IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel);
ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally);
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME II

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2014

TIME: 2:30 P.M. - 5:03 P.M.

<del></del>		1		2
	4:	9		51
1	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:	1	BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following	
2	Eliot Bernstein, Pro se 2753 NW 34th Street		proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause	
3	Boca Raton, Florida 33434			
4 5	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S. BERNSTEIN:		before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County	
"	Alan B. Rose, Esq. MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE	- 1	Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 2,	
6	KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.		City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of	
7	505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401		Florida, beginning at 2:30, on Wednesday, the 19th	
8	John I Dankeveki Fee	- 1	day of February, 2014, to wit:	<i>'</i>
9	John J. Pankauski, Esq. PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC	8		
1,	120 South Olive Avenue, Suite 701	9	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Be seated.	
10 11	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401	10	Okay. So we're back on the Estate of	- 1
12	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR WILLIAM	11	Simon Bernstein, 2012CP004391. Let the record	
13	STANSBURY:	12	reflect counsel is present following	
1,,	Peter M. Feaman, Esq.	13	yesterday's hearing and Mr. Feaman has now	
14	Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.	14	filed the verified motion to disqualify counsel	ŀ
15	3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard	15	which we're going to first hear.	
16	Boynton Beach, Florida 33436	16	So Mr. Feaman, you're up.	
17	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN	17	MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	
18	AND JILL IANTONI:	18	MR. PANKAUSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor.	
1,0	William H. Glasko, Esq.	19	Because I have not been able to provide a written	
19	GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center	20	response and because you were kind enough to give	-
20	17345 South Dixie Highway	21	Mr. Feaman an opening statement yesterday, may I	Ì
21	Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157	22	be briefly heard?	
22	ALSO PRESENT:	23	THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let him give his	
23	Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury	24	opening statement and then you.	
24	Candice Bernstein	25	Go ahead.	
25	•••	25	Go anead.	
		_		
	50			52
1			MR FEAMAN: Thank you Your Honor	52
1 2	INDEX	1	MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	52
2	INDEX	1 2	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor	52
2	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE	1 2 3	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of	52
2 3 4	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN	1 2 3 4	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective	52
2 3 4 5	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129	1 2 3 4 5	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who	52
2 3 4 5 6	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86	1 2 3 4 5 6	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of	52
2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor,	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR:  IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI  Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR:  IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129  Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159  Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118 Exhibit Number 5 125 142	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that there was indeed a relationship formed between	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118 Exhibit Number 5 125 142	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that there was indeed a relationship formed between Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118 Exhibit Number 5 125 142	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that there was indeed a relationship formed between Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as prospective specifically Eliot Bernstein as a prospective client.	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118 Exhibit Number 5 125 142	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that there was indeed a relationship formed between Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as prospective specifically Eliot Bernstein as a prospective client.  The law, Your Honor, as you know, states	52
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE ELIOT BERNSTEIN  Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86  JOHN PANKAUSKI Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 Cross by Mr. Feaman 152  EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE Exhibit Number 1 108 Exhibit Number 2 108 Exhibit Number 3 113 Exhibit Number 4 118 Exhibit Number 5 125 142	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of Professional Conduct dealing with prospective clients, which states that a person who discusses with a lawyer the possibility of forming a client-lawyer relationship with respect to a matter is a prospective client, at subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with an interest materially adverse to those of a prospective client in the same or substantially related matter.  In this case, Your Honor, we have filed our verified motion to disqualify counsel as opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this proceeding, which we do have a right to do. And in that verified motion it sets forth that there was indeed a relationship formed between Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as prospective specifically Eliot Bernstein as a prospective client.	52

53 55 1 doubt is to be resolved in favor of 1 attorney. 2 disqualifications. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So stop for a second. I 3 We respectively submit that based upon the 3 just want to make sure I'm looking at something --4 papers submitted that, in fact, the 4 because I agree time was quick on this. So in the 5 relationship as prospective counsel was -- and 5 packet that I received, the verified motion to 6 prospective client -- was formed. We attach as 6 disqualify counsel, it's brought by the 7 Exhibit A to the motion an e-mail which, in 7 petitioner, William Stansbury, as a creditor, an 8 fact, memorializes the existence of the 8 interested person in the estate, and it's -- it's 9 relationship. And we would then respectfully 9 verified by Eliot Bernstein and Candice Bernstein. 10 request, through our motion, that there be a 10 But it's not brought by them. It's brought only 11 disqualification in this case. I don't know 11 by William. So -- and William doesn't verify it. 12 what opposing counsel's arguments are going to 12 So the moving party doesn't verify the pleading. 13 be, so I will reserve after I hear. 13 So under the rule that governs these type of 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski. 14 pleadings who has to -- two questions -- who has 15 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. Good 15 to verify the motion; and does the motion have to 16 afternoon. So we're here today -- and I'm going 16 be brought by and/or in behalf of the alleged 17 17 to try to be concise with my opening statements -aggrieved individual? 18 18 what I'm going to try to do is talk about the MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. First 19 burden of proof, the standards which I believe are 19 with regard to the verification. The verification 20 to exist under 4-1.18, because I don't see any 20 has to state that I declare that the facts alleged 21 published decisions on this rule. I know our rule 21 are true. And Mr. Stansbury was not in a position 22 in Florida is a little bit different than the 22 to verify that because it would have been through 23 model rules that the ABA has. I'm also going to 23 secondhand information. So we thought that the 24 try to concisely tell you what the evidence is 24 proper verification should be signed by the people 25 going to show. 25 who actually are the prospective clients against 54 56 1 And then, in closing, I'd just like to 1 whom we say --2 address three points made by opposing counsel. 2 THE COURT: Now, I mean, they may be -- that 3 And thank you for this opportunity to be heard. 3 is Eliot and Candice -- may be witnesses. But 4 This is a tire kicker case. This is a case 4 from the motion itself, the moving party is 5 that the litigators, trial attorneys, who get 5 William. Eliot has not filed a motion. And so --6 lots of calls from prospective clients would 6 I didn't know this until I saw the pleading -- so 7 refer to as, you know, what happens when 7 William has filed a motion. He is seeking to 8 somebody calls up a number of attorneys and 8 disqualify Mr. Pankauski from representing Ted 9 says, hey, would you take my case, and 9 Bernstein. Eliot has not filed any action on 10 confidential information is not relayed, and 10 that. Has made no request for such a 11 then that attorney ends up being involved in a 11 disqualification. And William hasn't even signed 12 case. Should an attorney be removed from a 12 the pleading that he filed. So let me take a look 13 case -- in a tire kicking case -- because 13 at the rule for a second. 14 somebody is calling up and kicking the tires, 14 MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I also have case law with 15 should Your Honor, which you have the absolute 15 regard to whether William can bring it as opposing 16 discretion to do, impose your discretion to 16 counsel. 17 prohibit Mr. Ted Bernstein from having the 17 THE COURT: As opposing party? 18 counsel of his choice. So let me say at the 18 MR. FEAMAN: As opposing party, yes, sir. 19 beginning that Mr. Stansbury's counsel said 19 THE COURT: Okay. So -- I mean, correct me 20 that he has a right to file a motion to 20 if I'm wrong, the rule that is both 4-1.8 and 21 disqualify my firm. We contest that, Your 21 4-1.9 are rules of professional conduct that 22 Honor. That's not accurate. A third party, 22 advise about what lawyers can do under 23 such as a creditor like Mr. Stansbury, does not 23 circumstances that may be involved here. But it 24 have standing to determine whether Mr. Ted 24 doesn't really speak to the remedy. Both of you 25 Bernstein can hire someone as his or her 25 agree with that?

57 59 1 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. Your Honor. 1 primarily the responsibility of the lawyer THE COURT: So either of you have cases that 2 2 undertaking the representation, opposing counsel 3 3 speak to the issues of whether William, as the may properly raise the issue, as is the case here. 4 creditor, not as the purported client, who has an 4 That's the authority that we're relying 5 5 alleged conflict of interest with Mr. Pankauski, upon that we can bring it on behalf of 6 6 can bring this action. And secondly, whether --Mr. Stansbury. 7 whether I can disqualify Mr. Pankauski without 7 THE COURT: So in this Brent case -- let me 8 Eliot seeking that to happen, and by William as a 8 see who is who. Want to be heard on that matter, 9 creditor, interested person seeking that, and 9 Mr. Pankauski? 10 10 whether I can do it based upon a verified motion MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 not signed by William. Those are the three issues 11 The Brent v. Smathers case is outdated. It's a 12 I see on the surface. 12 1988 case. That pre-dates the 2009 change to 13 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. 13 Florida Rule 4-1.18. It also is traveling under 14 THE COURT: You want to address that first? 14 the wrong rule of professional conduct. 4-1.9 is 15 MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I have the burden, so 15 not applicable here. We both agree it's 4-1.18 16 I'll go first. First, I know there is a rule that 16 dealing with a prospective client. The standards 17 17 when you seek to disqualify a judge, that it must are different for a prospective client than an 18 18 be verified. existing attorney-client relationship. 19 THE COURT: Right. But the -- and in that 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- okay. Let me 20 area, the rule speaks out the procedure. 20 just look at that for one second. For that to be 21 21 MR. FEAMAN: Right. true, Mr. Feaman, it would have to be the position 22 22 THE COURT: Here, the procedure in the Bar by Eliot, through the evidence, or Eliot 23 23 Lawyer's Rules of Professional Conduct, it doesn't Bernstein, that there was no lawyer-client 24 speak to it. So I assume the case law speaks to 24 relationship between him and Mr. Pankauski. Is 25 25 that the case? 58 60 1 MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are 1 MR. FEAMAN: Was he formerly retained, is 2 silent as to the procedure. 2 that your question? 3 THE COURT: So what does the case law say the 3 THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm 4 4 procedure is? not. 5 MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to 5 MR, FEAMAN: All right. 6 the procedure. We have it verified to show that 6 THE COURT: The cases don't use the word 7 these are true facts on their face being brought 7 formal. 8 before the court. We didn't find a case that sets 8 MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a 9 forth the procedure of how this is brought, other 9 prospective client. The rules make a distinction. 10 10 than this should be an evidentiary hearing. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 11 THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 12 MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to 12 13 13 Mr. Stansbury bringing -- being the moving party MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 14 instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the 14 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even 15 case to our motion, which was the Smathers case 15 when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a 16 which --16 lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective 17 THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? 17 client shall not use or reveal information learned 18 18 MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would 19 Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that 19 permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply 20 20 decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. 21 discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about --22 of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it 22 Mr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether 23 23 says as to the law firm's second argument, the this could be brought by the creditor and not by 24 Eliot Bernstein. comments to Rule 4-1.7 states that: Although 24 25 questions involving conflicts of interest are 25 MR. PANKAUSKI: He's not permitted -- the

61 63 1 creditor is not permitted to bring this action. 1 understand how William Stansbury can say there is 2 The authority for that -- let me state what the 2 a conflict that Eliot doesn't say exists. 3 authority is. Privity is required for someone to 3 MR. FEAMAN: Mr. Stansbury is harmed as a 4 4 try to go up to an attorney and say you can't result of the apparent dereliction of 5 5 represent Ted Bernstein. There is no privity Mr. Pankauski's duties to Mr. Eliot Bernstein 6 here. There is no attorney-client relationship 6 because ---7 between my firm and the creditor, Mr. Stansbury. 7 THE COURT: Even if Eliot doesn't complain? 8 The authority for that is a 2012 Second District 8 MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. 9 9 case called THI Holdings, Thomas Howard Indigo THE COURT: Okay. Show me -- I need a case 10 10 Holdings, LLC. And it sets forth that privity is that says that that's possible. Because that's 11 required. It involved a motion to disqualify, a 11 what I don't see. Eliot, I think, can complain, 12 motion for pro hac vice. And it says here as a 12 and I'm not sure that it's -- which rule applies. 13 matter of undisputed facts, there is no privity 13 MR. FEAMAN: And he may yet complain, we 14 14 between the estate and Balassa or his firm. And don't know. And I can't --15 it goes on to talk about that. And then it says 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 even if the estate could convince this court that 16 MR. FEAMAN: -- speak for Eliot. 17 17 it had standing to raise the disqualification THE COURT: I know. Eliot is representing 18 issue, it cannot establish the legal requirements 18 himself. 19 for disqualification. 19 MR. FEAMAN: Nor do I prepare pleadings for 20 THE COURT: So here's the thing that's 20 Mr. Bernstein 21 concerning me, Mr. Feaman. The allegation, 21 THE COURT: Eliot went so far as to sign your 22 looking at the motion, is that there was -- I'm 22 verification, but it's not his motion. That's one 23 using this expression broadly -- some legal 23 problem. But also -- I'm almost positive because 24 dealings between Eliot Bernstein and the Pankauski 24 of some prior cases I had that the person who has 25 firm. That's what you allege, correct? 25 to complain is -- about a lawyer representing 62 64 1 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. 1 someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski 2 THE COURT: So -- and Eliot Bernstein has 2 continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the 3 not -- and then we also know that Ted Bernstein 3 person who purportedly is the benefactor of these 4 has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that 4 rules as an either prospective or former client. 5 Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? 5 But if he says no -- if he doesn't seek 6 MR. FEAMAN: True. 6 disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else 7 THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not 7 can -- has an interest, under the cases that I 8 following you -- almost like a matter of logic. 8 read, for that to happen. Let me just look here 9 With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or 9 if there is a case I just saw in my research. 10 any other outside person who doesn't claim a 10 MR. FEAMAN: The court --11 conflict of interest -- say I don't want 11 THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on 12 Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when 12 Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was --13 Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because 13 everyone said it was that rule, not the 14 14 Eliot is the other purported either prospective or prospective rule. Although, from reading your 15 former client, depending upon which rule you look 15 motion, it's almost the identical type of case. 16 at -- who has a right to either complain or not. 16 And both lawyers in that case said, though, that 17 So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if 17 it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But 18 Eliot didn't complain -- I mean, it could be that 18 the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party 19 Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I 19 who said that they -- that the other lawyer had a 20 did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it 20 conflict of interest because the other lawyer 21 started where it started, ended where it ended, 21 here, Mr. Pankauski, had performed some 22 and, you know, it may be that Ted hired him and 22 lawyer-client services, and there was other 23 that's okay with me. And I'm not asking that 23 information that led to the -- because if there is 24 Mr. Pankauski not represent Ted because of some 24 no attorney-client relationship that is complained 25 25 conflict with me, Eliot. I don't -- I just don't about that Eliot says was breached, I'm not sure

			· ·	<u>ه</u>
		65		67
1	that William has standing. And I just I mean,		can do or not do. That's not what's involved	
2	if you have a case that says he does		here. So here when Eliot was first in time.	- 1
3	MR. FEAMAN: Only thing I have is the comment	( )	3 right?	ĺ
4	to 4-1.7		MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.		THE COURT: You agree with that?	
6	MR. FEAMAN: which deals with conflicts of		6 MR. FEAMAN: Yes.	
7	interest.	-	7 THE COURT: So Eliot sees Mr. Pankauski, or	- }
8	THE COURT: Sure. Let me see.		his office does what he does we may be talking	
9	MR. FEAMAN: And it's at the end in the		about that in a few moments. And then the	
10	book I don't know if you have the book.	10	guestion becomes where Mr. Pankauski then	
11	THE COURT: I have the book, yeah.	11	continues to or chooses to represent someone	
12	MR. FEAMAN: On Page I have the 2013	12		
13	edition.	13		
14	THE COURT: Okay.	14		
15	MR. FEAMAN: Page 1985.	15		
16	THE COURT: Wait a minute. My Rule 4-1.8 the	16	•	-
17	comments are on a different page, but what's the	17	related matter. And it goes on to talk about	ı
18	heading of the comment?	18	-	
19	MR. FEAMAN: Conflict charge by an opposing	19	So is that the rule that you say applies?	ļ
20	party.	20		
21	THE COURT: Okay. Got that. Let me read it.	21	THE COURT: You agree that rule would apply?	- 1
22	MR. FEAMAN: It says, the second sentence, in	22		
23	litigation a court may raise the question when	23		
24	there is reason to infer that the lawyer has	24		
25	neglected the responsibility.	25	arises where there actually is a situation where	
<u> </u>				4
		66	•	68
1	THE COURT: Okay. Let me read the entire	1	Eliot Bernstein is then called a former client,	
2	what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies,	2	and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And	
3	Mr. Feaman?	3		
4	MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to	4	same language.	
5	4-1.18.	5		- [
6	THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again	l e	client or a prospective client, but if he's	-
7	4	7	first in line, then the rule is almost	
8	MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18.	8	identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can	
9	THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's	9	represent Ted Bernstein in the same or	i
10	different.	10	related substantially related matter. The	
11	Okay. That's duties to prospective	11	language is the same, true?	
12	client. Let's read.	12	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.	
13	MR. FEAMAN: Right.	13	THE COURT: You agree?	
14	THE COURT: Let me read that,	14	MR. FEAMAN: True.	
15	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.	15	THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed,	
16	THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a	16	though, the procedural aspect as to whether	
17	second.	17	William can bring that without William	
18	Okay. So I think there is maybe a little	18	Stansbury can bring this complaint in	+
19	overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20	prospective client, the way the rule starts,	20	· · ·	
21	there is a focus about when he purportedly	21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
22	consults with Mr. Pankauski and he's a	22	•	
		1	interest, entrer as a prospestive short of	
23	prospective client, if Mr. Pankauski has some	23		-
23 24			Mr. Pankauski or former client, if it got that	
1	prospective client, if Mr. Pankauski has some	23	Mr. Pankauski or former client, if it got that far.	

		_		7
	69	,		71
1	behalf of Mr. Stansbury, is that because Eliot	1	Bernstein received notice of that. He's chosen to	
2	Bernstein's interests are more closely aligned	2	go without counsel. He's chosen not to seek any	
3	with Mr. Stansbury's, and are clearly adverse to	3	affirmative relief.	
4	Ted Bernstein, and Mr. Stansbury's interests are	4	THE COURT: But that that's to the case.	
5	clearly adverse to Ted Bernstein, that if Eliot	5	But I'm talking about the motion to disqualify	
6	Bernstein transmitted information as a prospective	6	you.	
7	client to the attorney who's now Ted Bernstein's	7	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, that's what I am	
8	lawyer, and we're adverse to him, it's our	8	speaking about.	
9	position that we're harmed as a result of that.	9	THE COURT: So I mean I could do one of	
10	THE COURT: Well but it's not harm	10	two things. I can tell Eliot go over to the	
11	that's the rule is not a harm. The rule is a	11	library and start writing out Xeroxing this	
12	conflict of interest. And the conflict of	12	motion, sign it yourself, and bring it in, and	
13	interest has to be between Eliot and Ted. I'm not	13	then, you know	
14	sure how it could be otherwise.	14	MR. PANKAUSKI: You just want an oral joinder	
15	MR. FEAMAN: Yes, as a result of that	15	right now?	
16	conflict of interest	16	THE COURT: Yeah	
17	THE COURT: Well, okay. But I still have	17	MR. PANKAUSKI: That's fine.	
18	to	18	THE COURT: that's what I'm getting at.	
19	MR. FEAMAN: we're hurt.	19	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. Sorry, Judge.	
20	THE COURT: But if Eliot says because	20	THE COURT: Okay. What's your position on	
21	there could be waivers, says here in the rule	21	that?	
22	4-1.9 says a lawyer who has formerly represented a	22	MR. PANKAUSKI: But Mr. Stansbury can't do	
23	client shall not thereafter represent another	23	it. Eliot could do it.	
24	person, okay, unless and then there's unlesses	24	THE COURT: I think Eliot needs to be the	
25	[sic] and one of those things are clearly in	25	complaining party. Now, I'm not saying you can't	
		<del> </del> -		
	70			72
1			narticinate as counsel to maybe help steer the	72
1 2	this case Eliot could not complain about it.	1	participate as counsel to maybe help steer the	72
2	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your	1 2	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be	72
2	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?	1 2 3	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.	72
2 3 4	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?	1 2 3 4	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do	72
2	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?	1 2 3 4 5	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?	72
2 3 4 5 6	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?	1 2 3 4 5	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot	72
2 3 4 5 6 7	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a	72
2 3 4 5 6	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?	1 2 3 4 5	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you	72
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this case Eliot could not complain about it.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor?  THE COURT: You're objecting?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject?  THE COURT: What do you want to say?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it.  THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion.  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day.  THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can I treat this motion and go forward based upon it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join.  Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say?  MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality.  THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him.  MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.  THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se.  MR. FEAMAN: Correct.  THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the evidence. So I'm going to allow that.	72

73 75 1 THE COURT: So come on up and sit here so I administration. 2 can look at you and Judge your credibility when I 2 And that's my third point, Your Honor. 3 3 hear things that are going on. So sit right there This isn't an adverse lawsuit. This isn't a 4 4 in the middle. personal injury case. Mr. Ted Bernstein has 5 So whether -- again, I'm not -- I'm not 5 asked Your Honor if he can administer this 6 sure, I won't know til the end, what rule I 6 estate. He wants to be a fiduciary. As he is 7 7 think this comes under, but it looks like it's a fiduciary --8 8 either 1-9 -- or 1.9 or 1.18, but it sounds THE COURT: Okay. But the participation of 9 9 like it's one of those two. Ted is not questioned. It's whether you can do it 10 So, okay, so you're up first. 10 11 MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. I would call 11 MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. 12 12 Mr. Eliot Bernstein to the stand. THE COURT: So Ted is -- no one is suggesting 13 THE COURT: I figured that would be first. 13 Ted is not eligible to request that he be a PR or 14 Okay. Come on, Eliot. 14 curator. I mean, that wasn't objected to 15 MR. PANKAUSKI: Opening statements. 15 yesterday. 16 THE COURT: He has a right to opening. So 16 MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. And so, if I 17 have a seat here and I'll let Mr. Pankauski finish 17 may, let me go to the standard that we're 18 his opening. 18 traveling under today and what we should be doing 19 19 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thanks, Judge. And I -here. Because we are going to introduce evidence 20 okay. Thank you, Your Honor. So concisely, we 20 that there was no attorney-client relationship. 21 are traveling -- and I agree with Mr. Stansbury's 21 Evidence is going to be introduced that there was 22 22 counsel -- under 4-1.18. The evidence is going to no confidential information that Eliot Bernstein 23 show that my firm never had an attorney-client 23 conveyed to my law firm. The evidence is going to 24 relationship with Mr. Eliot Bernstein. 24 show that he called up trying to find an attorney 25 And if I may, let's just -- we've dealt 25 to sue Don Tescher for malpractice regarding some 74 76 1 with the standing issue of Mr. Stansbury. You 1 estate matters of his parents. 2 know, I'm of the position he does not have 2 I'm going to testify about 3 standing. I'm also of the position that Eliot 3 Mr. Bernstein's -- excuse me -- about 4 lacks standing to participate in this estate 4 Mr. Stansbury's verified motion. I'm going to 5 5 administration. He's not a beneficiary under testify as to what Mr. Stansbury's counsel told 6 the decedent's will. He's not a beneficiary 6 me out there yesterday when you asked me to 7 7 under the decedent's revocable trust. step out. And I'm going to demonstrate the 8 I do recognize that I'm coming in late to 8 amazing amount of inconsistencies in this 9 9 this estate administration. fiction that we had an attorney-client 10 10 Eliot Bernstein is not an interested relationship, or there is some type of 11 person in this estate. He shouldn't even be 11 confidential information that is going to be 12 here. 12 adverse to Mr. Eliot Bernstein. 13 So I need to --13 So it's a three-fold test or three-prong 14 THE COURT: What is Eliot Bernstein other 14 test, Your Honor. For you to disqualify this 15 15 than the brother of Ted? firm -- for you to say Ted Bernstein, you can 16 MR. PANKAUSKI: Nothing. 16 not hire the Pankauski law firm for estate 17 THE COURT: Okay. 17 administration. You would have first to make a 18 MR. PANKAUSKI: I mean, if this was a 18 finding of fact that the interests of Ted 19 19 guardianship, he may have standing to come in and Bernstein are materially adverse, not just 20 participate in the administration of his dad's 20 adverse, but materially adverse to the 21 21 person and property, but it's an estate. He prospective client, Eliot Bernstein. 22 22 totally lacks standing. And because he lacks The second thing that you would need to 23 standing, he doesn't -- he's not an interested 23 find is that I received confidential 24 person and can't come in and tell Ted Bernstein 24 information from Mr. Eliot Bernstein. 25 25 who he can hire as an attorney for an estate And then the third thing that you would

- 1				
		77		79
1	have to find is that I am going to use that		don't volunteer, because that's important. Okay.	
2			•	
3	·	3		
4				
5		5		
6		6		
7		7		
8	•	B	Q That would be Ted Bernstein?	
9	So that's the standard under 4-1.18. What	9	A That would be.	
10	does our Fourth District say about this?	10	Q And are your interests with Ted Bernstein	
11	THE COURT: I kind of want opening and not	11	adverse in connection with the estate of Simon Bernstein?	
12	closing. So I got that part.	12	A Yes, sir.	
13	MR. PANKAUSKI: Okay. So the standard that I	13	Q And how so?	
14	would direct our attention to is the Coolis	14	A Well, I'm pursuing Ted in a number of legal	
15	(phonetic) case. You would need to find and	15	actions, criminal actions, for	
16	again, it's a finding of fact that I had actual	16	THE COURT: So focus on the question. Okay.	
17	knowledge of material confidential information.	17	He didn't ask anything about criminal actions.	
18	What the Fourth has described as protected	18	So, you know, you're a witness now	
19	information. The burden is on Eliot.	19	THE WITNESS: We're adverse to each other.	
20	Finally, because disqualification of a	20	THE COURT: You need to pay let me	
21	party's counsel is such an egregious	21	finish you need to pay attention carefully to	ł
22	punishment, that we can't resort to	22	the question. Listen. Let me finish.	
23	speculation. Mr. Eliot Bernstein needs to	23		
24	prove by a greater weight of the evidence those	24		
25	three prongs that I described in 4-1.18.	25	scope of the question. Because Mr. Feaman's	}
		78		80
1	Thank you, Your Honor.	1	questions are designed to be tailormade for this	
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the	2		
3	evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we	3		
4			Go ahead.	
	see what the evidence is.	4		
5	see what the evidence is.  Okay. Raise your right hand.	I -		
1		4	BY MR. FEAMAN	
5	Okay. Raise your right hand.	4 5 6	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.	
5 6	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn	4 5 6 7	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your	
5 6 7	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)	4 5 6 7	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted	
5 6 7 8	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION	4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted	
5 6 7 8 9	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN	4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address?	4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe — what is your	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor.  How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein? A I am.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe — what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children — whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name.  A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.  Q Your residence address?  A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida.  Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein?  A I am.  Q And you reside in Florida presently?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do.	44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 18	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name.  A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.  Q Your residence address?  A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida.  Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein?  A I am.  Q And you reside in Florida presently?  A I do.  Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured?  A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point.	44 55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name.  A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.  Q Your residence address?  A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida.  Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein?  A I am.  Q And you reside in Florida presently?  A I do.  Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured?  A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point.  Q Okay.	44 55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN  Q And have you had discussions with Ted	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name.  A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.  Q Your residence address?  A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida.  Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein?  A I am.  Q And you reside in Florida presently?  A I do.  Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured?  A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point.  Q Okay.  A I believe I am.	44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 23	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN  Q And have you had discussions with Ted concerning this?	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you. Please state your name.  A Eliot Ivan Bernstein.  Q Your residence address?  A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida.  Q And you are the son of the late Simon  Bernstein?  A I am.  Q And you reside in Florida presently?  A I do.  Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured?  A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point.  Q Okay.	44 55 66 77 88 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FEAMAN  Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein?  A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates.  Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation.  THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN  Q And have you had discussions with Ted	

81 83 1 A Yes. 1 doesn't fit the question. 2 How so? What has he indicated to you? MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. Hold on. 3 A He believes his children should be included 3 BY MR. FEAMAN 4 in the estate. Q Are you aware that your wife sent an e-mail to 5 Q Do you disagree with that? 5 Mr. Pankauski's office? 6 A Yes. A Ido. 7 And did you, in September of last year, 7 Q And after that e-mail, did you personally have 8 approach, with your wife, the law offices of a conversation with Mr. Pankauski's office? 9 Mr. Pankauski? 9 A Yes. 10 A Yes, sir. 10 With whom did you speak? 11 Q Do you recall about when that was? 11 A Mr. Pankauski. 12 September 20th or so, around that area. 12 Q Directly? 13 Q And was the approach in person or by phone? 13 Α Yes. 14 A By phone. 14 Was this by telephone? Q 15 Q Okay. And who called? 15 Α 16 A I believe my wife initiated the call. 16 O For how long? 17 Okay. Were you present when she made the phone 17 A An hour or so. 18 call? 18 Q Was this shortly after -- or within a few days 19 A I don't believe so. 19 after the e-mail was sent by your wife? 20 Okay. And how long did she -- withdrawn. As a 20 A Yes, sir. 21 result of that phone call, was there an e-mail sent to 21 Q And was he in possession of documents that had 22 your -- to Mr. Pankauski's office? 22 been transmitted by your wife to him? 23 A Yes, sir. 23 A Yes, sir. 24 Q Do you know to whom it was sent? 24 Q And did you discuss with Mr. Pankauski anything 25 A I believe to Mr. Pankauski and his assistant, 25 that you would consider to be confidential? 82 84 Michelle Morley. A Yeah, confidential and adverse to the 2 MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's 2 information about my brother. 3 believing. Q Like what? 4 THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell A Like what we thought about my brother's 5 me what you know. Can you reanswer? 5 actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to 6 was forgery going on. We believed he was working with 7 the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and 7 both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. 8 BY MR. FEAMAN 8 Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them 9 Q And were you -- did your wife send an e-mail as 9 in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a 10 a follow-up to that telephone conversation? 10 lot of confidential information, I feel. 11 A Yes. 11 Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm 12 Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? 12 representing you? 13 A Yes. 13 A Yes, sir. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as 14 14 Q And was a retainer asked for? 15 Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail 15 A It was. 16 that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, 16 Q And what were the terms of the retainer that 17 after the initial conversation that she had with 17 you recall? 18 Mr. Pankauski's office? A To -- he wanted us to pay money and to retain 18 19 THE COURT: So just -- because I think I have 19 his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I 20 the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't 20 basically told him we couldn't afford it. 21 apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. 21 THE COURT: That's not the question. 22 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yeah, a retainer was 23 23 THE COURT: So if the other -- your question sought. 24 is, what did Candice send. But this is an e-mail 24 BY MR, FEAMAN 25 from Michelle. So it doesn't -- the e-mail 25 Q Was a retainer discussed?

ļ		85
1	A Yes, sir.	1 A Violated, you know.
2	Q And was it an amount of money that you didn't	2 Q What is it?
3	presently have at that time?	3 A Violated.
4	A Yes.	4 Q Why?
5	Q And did you set about to try to obtain the	5 A Because it's a big risk. You know, he was
6	retainer?	6 also referred to me by Joel Weissman, who has very
7	A I told him I would try to get it from the	7 intimate knowledge of our case and what's going on in
8	court, and make a petition to the court, which I filed	8 my life, and information regarding my brother. And
9	with the court. And I've been waiting for an answer on	9 I've had conversations with Mr. Weissman about that.
10		10 And he was trying to help me out. And I, you know, I
111		11 feel violated, that's all I can say.
12	Q All right. Did you ever receive any	12 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. No further questions.
	communication from Mr. Pankauski saying he was	13 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 1	affirmatively not going to represent you?	14 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	A No.	15 CROSS EXAMINATION
16	Q When did you hear that Mr. Pankauski had been	16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI
	retained by Mr. Ted Bernstein?	17 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bernstein.
18	A Oh, week or two ago.	18 A Good afternoon, sir.
19	Q And did you review the notice of appearance	19 Q In addition to contacting my law firm, you
	that was filed by Mr. Pankauski in this case?	20 contacted Joel Weissman's law firm?
21	A I did.	21 A Joel Weissman was referred to us.
22	Q When did you receive that?	22 Q is that a yes?
23	A Oh, no, I don't think I've ever reviewed a	23 A Yes.
	notice of appearance from him.	24 Q And you contacted Norman Fleisher?
25	Q Okay. And when you found out that	25 A I might have.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	8	88
1		1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?
- 1	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?
- 1	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did?
2	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> </ol>
3	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> <li>A No, you were telling me. But I don't know.</li> <li>Who is Norman Fleisher?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> <li>A No, you were telling me. But I don't know.</li> <li>Who is Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> <li>A No, you were telling me. But I don't know.</li> <li>Who is Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller?</li> <li>A I might have.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> <li>A No, you were telling me. But I don't know.</li> <li>Who is Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller?</li> <li>A I might have.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?	<ol> <li>Q You did contact Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>A Are you telling me I did?</li> <li>Q I'm asking you.</li> <li>A No, you were telling me. But I don't know.</li> <li>Who is Norman Fleisher?</li> <li>Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller?</li> <li>A I might have.</li> <li>Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other — 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.  Q So this motion is not something that you have	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? A I did. Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And what was his response? A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something. Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? A I did. Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And what was his response? A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something. Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct? A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify,	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.  Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?  A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.  Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?  A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules.  Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this 19 matter? 20 A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard?  A I did.  Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein?  A Yes, sir.  Q Okay. And what was his response?  A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something.  Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?  A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have, 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this 19 matter? 20 A No. 21 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? A I did. Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And what was his response? A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something. Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?  A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules. Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request was rejected, correct? A Correct. He's here.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this 19 matter? 20 A No. 21 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is 22 refusing to answer my question and he hasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? A I did. Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And what was his response? A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something. Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct? A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules. Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request was rejected, correct? A Correct. He's here. Q How do you feel as a result of his continuing	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this 19 matter? 20 A No. 21 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is 22 refusing to answer my question and he hasn't 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, what was your reaction?  A I contacted him and said that I felt that he was conflicted. And that was the first contact.  Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? A I did. Q And did you express any desire that he not represent Mr. Bernstein? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. And what was his response? A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come here and talk to the judge or something. Q So this motion is not something that you have expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that correct?  A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, you know, under ethical rules. Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request was rejected, correct? A Correct. He's here.	1 Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? 2 A Are you telling me I did? 3 Q I'm asking you. 4 A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. 5 Who is Norman Fleisher? 6 Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? 7 A I might have. 8 Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? 9 MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 Q Please tell us what other 14 A The children retained Brandon Pratt. 15 Q Your children are minors, correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm 18 Beach County are that you contacted regarding this 19 matter? 20 A No. 21 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is 22 refusing to answer my question and he hasn't

89 91 1 THE WITNESS: I said no. 1 the estate and has been working closely with them and 2 THE COURT: No, you weren't going to answer 2 to block us from getting proper notices and notices of 3 3 his supposed titles and information and accountings and 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know to tell him 4 everything else too. And, you know, so I feel that we 5 who I've contacted in Palm Beach County. 5 have a differing interest in the outcome of the 6 THE COURT: Okay. 6 estates. And I've expressed that to you and told you 7 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 7 about the documents, and what I thought about him 8 Q I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't 8 working with Spallina and Tescher and all of those 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that I've 9 things. So that's stuff I don't normally tell somebody 10 mentioned, sir, please tell us what other attorneys 10 unless they're asking -- and all my documents were 11 you've contacted in Florida regarding this matter. 11 marked confidential that I sent you, all my e-mails 12 A I don't know. 12 were marked confidential, et cetera. 13 13 MR. FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's Q You sent me e-mails? 14 14 A Me or my wife. confidential. 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 15 Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 16 confidential too. 16 e-mails? 17 THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. 17 A I don't recall at this moment. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 18 Q In fact, you never --19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 20 Q You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? 21 I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 21 22 contacted and who I haven't. 22 Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 weren't those e-mails? 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 25 represent you in this matter? Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 90 92 1 A Possibly. 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 2 e-mails? 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 requested information under confidentiality. A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers --Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 11 that's not badgering. 12 adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 A We have differing interests in the outcomes 15 Go ahead. 16 out of the estate. 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 17 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes 17 Q Mr. Bernstein -- Mr. Eliot Bernstein -- you 18 are? personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an 19 A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in 19 e-mail to my law firm? 20 20 the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change A I did. 21 beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those 21 Q Other than February 10th and February 12th? 22 22 claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And A I don't believe so. 23 I believe that there's now evidence that certain 23 Q Thank you. 24 documents were signed postmortem for my father and 24 Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? 25 25 myself illegally. Ted brought these attorneys in to A Yes, sir.

93 95 Q You are not mentioned in the will as a 1 A. Do you see that that looks like an e-mail from your 2 beneficiary? 2 wife Candice? 3 A Which will? A Yes, sir. 4 Q Your dad's will. The one that's before this 4 THE COURT: No, that's -- but that's on 5 court. 5 February 10th. Is that what you're getting at? 6 A I don't believe so. 6 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, the date doesn't matter. 7 Q May I approach the witness with a copy of 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 Simon's will? 8 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 9 THE COURT: You're allowed to do that. 9 Q What is mentioned in line item three? 10 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 10 A Copies of revised wills, trusts for Simon 11 Q Would you like to take a look at it. If you 11 Bernstein. Q Thank you. 12 can just look through your dad, Simon's, will, which I 12 13 just handed to you, can you just confirm, please, that 13 A That means it's an amended and restated trust 14 you are not a beneficiary under your dad's will? 14 of Simon, not the trust of Simon that you asked about, 15 A I was convinced under this one I wasn't. But 15 just for your edification. 16 I was told by Spallina and Tescher that I was a 16 Q And, in fact, his amended trust is your dad's 17 personal property beneficiary or something. 17 last trust, correct? 18 Q So, you know, are you a beneficiary under your 18 A If you believe what they are saying. 19 dad's will that I just handed to you? 19 Q So you have seen your dad's trust? 20 THE COURT: So the date of the will? 20 A No, I've never seen my dad's trust. I've 21 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, asked and answered. 21 seen an amended and restated trust. The original 22 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. Date of the 22 trust, I believe, has me and my two sisters as 23 will? 23 beneficiary, and Ted and his children wholly excluded 24 MR. PANKAUSKI: The date of the will is 24 with my sister Pam as the only non-beneficiaries in 25 25 this whole thing. July 20 -- looks like first -- 2012. 94 96 THE COURT: Okay. Next question. Q You earlier testified that you sent an e-mail 2 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 2 to me, Pankauski, and my assistant. Do you recall that 3 Q And you are not a beneficiary of your father, 3 testimony? 4 Simon's, revocable trust? A I believe it was my wife sent an e-mail to 5 A I've never seen that. That's been withheld 5 your firm. 6 and suppressed and denied by former counsel --Yes. But I'd like to correct that. 7 THE COURT: So is the answer I don't know? 7 Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: No, it's I've never seen it, Q Your wife Candice sent an e-mail to my 9 THE COURT: Okay. Straight answer, we'll 9 assistant, not to me? 10 move through this. 10 A Correct. 11 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 11 Q And my assistant followed up with Candice by 12 Q Your testimony is you've never seen your dad, 12 e-mail? 13 Simon's, revocable trust? 13 A Well, actually, you requested that your 14 A That's correct. 14 assistant get the documents for your meeting with me. Q Do you have Exhibit A in front of you that 15 15 That's how I recall it. Candice came and asked me, and 16 Mr. Feaman asked you about earlier? 16 we sent you the information to your assistant for your 17 17 review for our meeting because you were in California 18 Q And Exhibit A was attached to the verified or something. 19 motion filed by Mr. Stansbury? 19 Q Let's be clear. I've never spoken to your wife 20 A No. 20 Candice? 21 Q Okay, May I approach the witness? 21 A Correct. 22 22 THE COURT: Yeah. Q I have never asked Candice for any documents? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A Except your assistant asked Candice for 24 Q I'm going to hand you my verified motion and 24 documents for our meeting, correct. 25 I'm going to ask you to direct your attention to Exhibit 25 Q Correct. You said that you had one

97 99 1 conversation with me for an hour or so. Do you remember 1 read that, Mr. Bernstein? 2 that testimony? A Mr. Pankauski --A Yeah. And I believe it was two conversations 3 3 Q No, I'm sorry, I meant just read it to 4 I had with you total. 4 yourself, so... 5 Q Now, it's two conversations? 5 A All right. 6 6 MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm sorry, I don't. I A Yeah. You called me back to tell me you had 7 found a way to pay for your bill. 7 should, but I don't. If you'd like to come over 8 8 Q And when were those two conversations? here, you're more than welcome to look at it with 9 A September something. I don't have it in 9 10 10 front of me today. I can check my calendar. MR. FEAMAN: May I approach the witness? 11 11 THE COURT: You may. Q Do you have your calendar with you? 12 12 BY MR, PANKAUSKI A I don't. 13 Q Okay. And how far apart were those two 13 Q Do you see about -- in your e-mail -- one, two, 14 conversations? 14 three, four, five, six -- bless you, Mr. Rose -- seven 15 A Shortly thereafter, I believe. 15 lines up from the bottom? 16 16 Q And they were in the evening, right? A Correct. 17 A I believe. 17 Q You see that as of February 10th, 18 Q Both of them were? 18 Mr. Bernstein, your story was that I proposed a retainer 19 A I believe. 19 of \$200,000? 20 20 Q And you said the first one lasted an hour or A Correct. 21 so. Do you recall how long this supposed second 21 Q Okay. So let me go on from there. You were 22 conversation lasted? 22 asked whether you had -- whether you discussed 23 23 confidential information to me, and you said yes? A I believe it was rather brief. 24 Q Less than five minutes? 24 A Correct. 25 25 A Maybe more. Q And you said that it involved forgery and 98 100 Q And I asked you for -- your belief is that I 1 Tescher and Spallina, correct? 2 asked you for a \$200,000 retainer? A Yes. 3 Q Any other confidential information? A No. My belief --3 4 THE COURT: No. No. Wait. Next question. Yeah, all kinds of stuff. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 Q Okay. 6 BY MR. PANKAUSKI We talked about in the course of our 7 conversation about you representing us. Q Isn't it your belief that -- strike that. 8 What's your understanding of how much I asked for a 8 Q Well, please tell us what that is. 9 retainer? A You know, I believe we spoke mainly about the 10 A I don't recall the exact amount for the 10 problems in the estate with the forgeries and the 11 retainer. 11 notary public, the police investigations that we were 12 THE COURT: Then stop. That's your answer. 12 launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe 13 Next question. 13 we talked about the various aspects of our legal 14 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 14 strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et 15 Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? 15 cetera, and were looking to retain you. 16 A Correct. 16 Q Is your testimony that you and I had a 17 Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is 17 conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? 18 a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, 18 A Against -- yes, against the estates, and the 19 correct? 19 people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal 20 20 representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of 21 21 Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial 22 22 strategy with you about suing your brother Ted? 23 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yeah, I should. 23 A Removing the personal representative and Ted 24 from having any interest in the estates. 24 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 25 25 Q And while I'm looking, could you just please Q I had a discussion with you about removing

101 103 1 Ted's interest in your dad's estate? 1 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 2 A In all the estates. Q So you and I did discuss malpractice against 3 Q Okay. 3 Mr. Tescher? A That I told you I believe these documents of A Correct. 5 2012 were forged and fraudulent and that we had 5 Q Okay. When I asked you about confidential 6 evidence, you know, I went into all that. 6 information a moment ago, you were talking about some 7 Q Sir, do we agree Ted is not a beneficiary of 7 criminal inquiries, you were talking about some 8 your dad's estate and that there would be nothing to 8 forgeries. You and I discussed a postdated or backdated 9 remove him from? notary clause? 10 A It's his children, excuse me. 10 A No. 11 Q Isn't it true that you spoke to me about filing 11 Q We didn't discuss a notary clause that was 12 a malpractice action? 12 presented to this court whose notary seal was improper? 13 A Excuse me, let me correct that, I did want 13 A Not only the notary seal, but the signatures. 14 you to remove Ted. Because Ted was representing that 14 Q Okay. So forgive me. You and I had a 15 he was trustee of this trust of my father's. And I 15 discussion about a deficient notary clause, correct? 16 expressed to you that he hadn't sent out the proper 16 A A forgery and deficient notary on a forged 17 forms. He hadn't followed any of the rules. And that 17 document, yes. 18 he was acting in bad faith as an alleged fiduciary 18 Q Correct. And when you spoke with me in 19 under alleged documents. 19 September of 2013, the notary clause information was 20 Q You spoke to me about a potential malpractice 20 already before this court? 21 action against Don Tescher? 21 A Part of it. 22 A That was only a small part. 22 Yeah, it was public information? 23 Q In fact, you told me that you --23 Α Some of it. 24 A Excuse me, in fact, you are the one -- we 24 Q And the criminal matters that you're talking 25 just told you that you should fund your bill from 25 about, those were -- there was already an ongoing 102 104 1 Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher 1 investigation by the time you and I chatted in September 2 and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the 2 of 2013? 3 acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery 3 A And I don't know if anybody else knew about 4 of my father's signature, et cetera. 4 that, et cetera. 5 Q You told me that you had been looking for a Q Is that a yes? 6 lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? 6 A Yes. There were several investigations 7 A Did I? 7 going. 8 Q Well, that's my question to you. 8 THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, 9 A Oh, that was a statement. 9 Mr. Bernstein. 10 THE COURT: He asked you the question. You 10 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 11 can answer. 11 Q The matters that you spoke to me about in 12 THE WITNESS: What was the -- how --12 September of 2013, you had spoken to -- you had spoken 13 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 about with other individuals? 14 Q You told me that you were trying to find an 14 A I had. 15 attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? 15 Q And, in fact, most of that information was 16 A No. 16 public record because much of it was going on right here 17 Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an 17 in this estate proceeding? 18 attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did 18 A No. 19 you tell me about the malpractice? 19 Q What wasn't a public record? 20 A Well, you contacted me and said --20 A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was 21 THE COURT: Listen to the question. 21 confidential information I gave you at the time. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the 22 That's -- I still feel it's confidential and feel that 23 malpractice, I said that case against Tescher and 23 I'm -- you might be exposing that stuff. 24 Spallina should be the point of funding for an 24 Q What's the confidential information? 25 25 attorney to get their fees paid for. A Just information about the documents we're

				16
	10	5		107
1	discussing in this case.	1	Bernstein will 2008, Simon Bernstein	
2	Q What information about the documents?	2	MR. FEAMAN: Got to go slower because the	
3	A I don't want to	3	court reporter	•
4	THE COURT: Okay. He's objecting.	4	THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. Okay. Shirley	
5	Sustained.	5	Bernstein trust, 2008. Shirley Bernstein will,	
6	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	6	2008. Simon Bernstein amended trust, 2012. Simon	
7	Q The February 10th e-mail from Candice to me	7	Bernstein will, 2012. Waivers unnotarized and	
8	that's in front of you.	8	notarized, transcripts part 2, 2013, 09/13,	
9	A Uh-huh.	9		
10	Q You would agree that those documents, 1 through	10		
I	4, are the only documents that Candice provided to my law	11	,	
	firm?	- 1	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	
13	MR. FEAMAN: Objection, form.	13		
14	Mischaracterizes the date of the e-mail.	- 1	entity, correct?	
15	MR. PANKAUSKI: Is it February 12th?	15		
16	MR. FEAMAN: It's September 20th September	16	•	
17	19th.	17 18		
18	MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. BY MR. PANKAUSKI		Q Okay. So those are all the documents that Candice provided to my law firm?	
20	Q Thank you. I'm not even close. Thank you.	20	•	
21	The e-mail that I handed you, the	21	Q Okay. And you did not provide any other	
	September 20, 2013 e-mail.		documents to my law firm?	
23	THE COURT: September 19th.	23	·	
	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	24	Q So all the documents that were provided to my	
25	Q Thank you. September 19, 2013	25	law firm were documents that are either public documents	
	10	6 l		108
1	A It's not in front of me.		or which have been filed with this court?	100
1 2	A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it			100
•		1	A No.	100
2	Q I'm sorry. Is it	1 2 3	A No.	100
2 3	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is	1 2 3	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff.	100
2 3 4	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion.	1 2 3 4	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?	100
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that.	1 2 3 4 5 6	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct?	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm?	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 22	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? MR. PANKAUSKI: Forgive me, it's the Monday,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to this law firm? A It's on the next page.	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 166 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? MR. PANKAUSKI: Forgive me, it's the Monday, February 10th, 2014, Candice Bernstein e-mail	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q I'm sorry. Is it — THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to this law firm?	1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 22	A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list?  A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? MR. PANKAUSKI: Forgive me, it's the Monday,	

109 111 1 how it was transmitted, but it's the September 19, 1 lawyers and you are not a client? 2 2013 e-mail from Michelle Morley to A Yeah. 3 Mrs. Bernstein. And then the follow-up e-mail 3 Q You received this letter from my office within 4 from Mrs. Bernstein. 4 a day or two of September 24, 2013? 5 THE COURT: September 19 e-mail from Michelle 5 A I never got it. 6 to Candice is 1. 6 Q Okay. 7 7 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 1 was marked in A I've never seen that letter in my life. 8 evidence) 8 How did you send it? 9 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. 9 MR. FEAMAN: You don't get to ask questions. 10 10 THE COURT: Number 2 will be the THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. Can I show that to my wife? 11 September 20, 2013 e-mail from Candice to 11 12 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 13 13 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 2 was marked in Q Mr. Stansbury's counsel, in his opening 14 evidence) 14 statement, said that a relationship was formed between 15 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. 15 you and me. You have never signed a legal services 16 THE COURT: So I'll need stamps on them 16 contract with my law firm? 17 eventually. 17 A Correct. 18 18 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. Q You've never provided an initial fee or 19 THE COURT: I have them here. 19 retainer to my law firm? 20 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 20 A Correct. 21 21 Q Do you remember when Mr. Stansbury's counsel MR. FEAMAN: We'll stipulate, Your Honor, 22 questioned you about you receiving a letter from 22 there is --23 Pankauski saying there was no representation? 23 THE COURT: Stipulation accepted. Got it. 24 A What do you mean? 24 MR. FEAMAN: -- no formal relationship. 25 Q I'm just asking you if you remember that 25 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 110 112 1 Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a Q The documents that's on Exhibit 1 that Candice 2 letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 3 from Tescher and Spallina? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you 4 A No. 5 never received the letter? 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Correct. 6 A Christine Yates. 7 7 MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. Your Honor? Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 10 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the 11 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 11 12 one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it 12 13 13 is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 14 home address? 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 15 15 A Allegedly. I've never seen it. ground, too. 16 Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? 16 THE COURT: Well --17 17 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney A I do. 18 Q And do you see in the third paragraph it 18 for me and my children. 19 references a malpractice action? 19 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I 20 A Yeah. 20 think you need to say how you got the documents. 21 21 THE WITNESS: She transmitted them to me. Q And do you see in the first sentence --22 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Excuse me, do you see in the second paragraph 24 where it says, because we have not been hired, we are not 24 Q Where did she receive them from? 25 25 doing any work on your behalf, period. We are not your A No idea.

			<del></del>	Т 8
		113		115
1	Q Okay. So you also hired Tripp Scott to		1 MR. FEAMAN: I have a relevancy objection to	
2		- 1	2 number 4, the batch of documents.	
3	A I hired them to represent us, my children and		3 THE COURT: Let's get through the ID part of	
4	I. And then I had to split it out to just my children		4 it first, though.	
5	because of the conflicts.		5 MR, FEAMAN: Okay.	
6	Q Tripp Scott still does not represent you?	- 1	6 BY MR. PANKAUSKI	
7	A No, they don't now.		7 Q So Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that I've	
8	Q Because you can't pay them?	- 1	8 handed you a 74-page e-mail?	
9	A Partially.		9 A Yes, sir.	
10	Q And Brandon Pratt doesn't represent you because	1	0 Q And that was an e-mail that you prepared?	
11	you can't pay him?	1	1 A I'd have to read it all and check that	
12	A No.	1	2 nothing has been changed. But	
13	MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy.	1	3 Q Well, I	
14	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.	1	4 A Looks like it could be.	
15	THE WITNESS: I actually overpaid him.	1	5 Q I'm sorry?	
16	THE COURT: Don't do that. Eliot, you know	1	6 A I said it looks like it could be, but I'd	
17	the rules.		7 have to check. There's been a lot of document	
18	THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir.		8 tampering going on, so I'm not going to attest to it a	
19	MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness,		9 hundred percent. I haven't had time to review it.	
20	Your Honor?	- 1	O Q Okay. Would you turn to Page 2, please?	
21	THE COURT: May you what?		1 A Yes.	
22	MR. PANKAUSKI: Approach the witness.		2 Q And I'm directing this question to you about	
23	THE COURT: Sure. You don't have to ask me.		3 your claim that you shared confidential information with	
24	BY MR. PANKAUSKI		4 my firm. So please keep that in mind when I ask you	
25	Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a		5 these questions. On Page 2 excuse me. Go back to	
		114		116
1	composite exhibit, a number of documents forgive me,		1 Page 1 for a moment. In this e-mail you not only sent it	
1	it's not a composite document. It's one e-mail. And		2 to Ted Bernstein, but you sent it to everybody after the	
3	Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you		3 to, T-O, colon, correct?	
4	sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people?		4 A Is the date missing on that?	
5	THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before	1	5 MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper,	
6	you do. You were talking about a September 24		6 lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me.	
7	letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is		7 THE WITNESS: Sorry.	
8	that I think that you were short copies of		8 THE COURT: Let me see it.	
9	that.		9 MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of	
10	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.	1	0 predicate, foundation.	
11	The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move	i i	1 THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is	
12	into evidence.		2 I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence?	
13	THE COURT: Any objection.	1	3 Because you need to do that if you're going to	
			4 refer to it.	
14	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.	1		
15	MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give	1	5 MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your	
15 16	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and	1	5 MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your 6 Honor.	
15 16 17	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three.	1 1 1	5 MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot	į
15 16 17 18	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in	1 1 1 1	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just	
15 16 17 18 19	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence)	1 1 1 1	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the	
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence)  MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the	1 1 1 1 2	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FEAMAN: No objection.  THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two andyou'll have three.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence)  MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp?	1 1 1 1 2 2	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp? MR. FEAMAN: I do.	1 1 1 1 2 2 2	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence. THE WITNESS: Without the date, I would say	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp? MR. FEAMAN: I do. THE COURT: Let him finish stamping them and	1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence. THE WITNESS: Without the date, I would say it's not my e-mail.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp? MR. FEAMAN: I do.	1 1 1 1 2 2 2	MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence. THE WITNESS: Without the date, I would say it's not my e-mail. MR. FEAMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Bernstein, if I	

		117
1	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	1 give the weight I give it, I'm not sure. If
2	MR. FEAMAN: Without a date, Your Honor, you	2 there is an issue about when it was sent. So do
3	can't connect confidential he's offering it for	3 you remember when you sent this e-mail?
4	the purpose that somehow it was	4 THE WITNESS: Looks like maybe shortly after
5	THE COURT: First thing is to identify it. 1	5 December 26 in response to letters from Tescher
6	haven't determined more than that right now. So	6 and Spallina that are attached.
7	this is it's being shown to Eliot Bernstein,	7 THE COURT: Of what year?
8	purportedly, to be an e-mail from him to others.	8 THE WITNESS: 2013.
9	MR, FEAMAN: Correct.	9 THE COURT: Okay, All right. So objection
10	THE WITNESS: Well, now that it's missing the	10 overruled. This is Number 4.
11	date, I would say it's not my e-mail.	11 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 4 was marked in
12	THE COURT: Okay. So are you sure you want	12 evidence)
13	me to believe that part of your testimony? Listen	13 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I get that
14	to me carefully. Because if I don't believe it,	14 copy back and use this one?
15	I'm likely not to believe anything else you say.	15 THE COURT: All right.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll believe it.	16 MR. PANKAUSKI: I'll stamp it.
17	THE COURT: Look at the e-mail. Let's not	17 THE COURT: Okay.
18	play games with me.	18 BY MR. PANKAUSKI
19	THE WITNESS: I'm not.	19 Q Mr. Bernstein, would you be good enough to turn
20	THE COURT: Well, that was a game playing	20 to Page 2, please?
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I notice right off the	21 A Yes, sir.
22	bat my normal stamp on my e-mails isn't here.	22 Q And so you see on Page 2 that in this
23	That scared me. So I said	23 communication to all these people, this e-mail?
24	THE COURT: So is I-V-I-E-W-I-T	24 A Yes, sir.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. That's all good.	25 Q You're discussing forgery and fraud?
		118 120
,		
1 2	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I	1 A Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive,	A Yes, sir.     Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of
2 3	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct?
2 3 4	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that	<ul> <li>1 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>3 Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> <li>Q Is that a yes?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> <li>Q Is that a yes?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> <li>Q Is that a yes?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing a power of appointment,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> <li>Q Is that a yes?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing a power of appointment,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency	<ul> <li>1 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>3 Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>5 long time, I believe.</li> <li>6 Q Is that a yes?</li> <li>7 A Yes.</li> <li>8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment,</li> <li>9 right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of	<ul> <li>1 A Yes, sir.</li> <li>2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>3 Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>5 long time, I believe.</li> <li>6 Q Is that a yes?</li> <li>7 A Yes.</li> <li>8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment,</li> <li>9 right?</li> <li>10 A Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some	<ol> <li>A Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of</li> <li>Simon's estate, correct?</li> <li>A Well, this is all after our conversation by a</li> <li>long time, I believe.</li> <li>Q Is that a yes?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you're discussing a power of appointment,</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>A And you're talking about grandchildren and</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's — okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about that. I'm looking here at the documents and	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber? 20 A Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about that. I'm looking here at the documents and they that are contained in this e-mail and	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q You sent it to lawyers at Plaster Greenberg?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about that. I'm looking here at the documents and they that are contained in this e-mail and there are a bunch of dates there. I see 2012,	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q You sent it to lawyers at Plaster Greenberg? 22 A Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about that. I'm looking here at the documents and they that are contained in this e-mail and there are a bunch of dates there. I see 2012, 2013 dates, court proceedings before me at some	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q You sent it to lawyers at Plaster Greenberg? 22 A Yes, sir. 23 Q In fact, you sent it to, what, a dozen or so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, will we find this e-mail?  THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that assumption.  THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All right. But I don't know the date of it, and you can ask questions about that. But the subject is response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of other things there. Okay. And then there's some other dates that are in the body of this exhibit.  So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what?  MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to whether the communications that Eliot made with Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or not.  THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about that. I'm looking here at the documents and they that are contained in this e-mail and there are a bunch of dates there. I see 2012,	1 A Yes, sir. 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of 3 Simon's estate, correct? 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a 5 long time, I believe. 6 Q Is that a yes? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, 9 right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and 12 beneficiaries, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to 15 attorney Mark Manceri? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q Mark Garber? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q You sent it to lawyers at Plaster Greenberg? 22 A Yes, sir.

				20
Ì	121			123
1	Q Okay.	1	done this before and you're experienced in this.	
2	•	2		
3	•	3	•	
4	·	4		
5	•	5		
6	·	6	·	
7	. <u> </u>	7		
8		8	· -	
9		9		
	your interest in this estate of your father. You	10		
	mentioned that I believe you testified that you	11		
	believe you inherit from your dad Simon's estate, is that	12	, ,	
	accurate.	13		
14		14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15	Q Okay. And you don't want to have Ted be the	15	•	
1	personal representative of the curator because your	16	·	
	interests are adverse to Ted's?	17		
18	A And because Ted's been involved in a lot of	18	•	
19	confidential information, I discussed with you on the	19	THE COURT: So your answer is stricken. If	
1	phone.	20	the reporter will read back the question, we can	
21	Q The truth is, is that you've asked Ted for	21	get a clean answer. And don't give a rambling	
22	money to live on and Ted won't give you that money?	22	narrative, please.	
23	A That's your interpretation.	23	THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize.	
24	THE COURT: Listen to the question. Try to	24	(Record read)	
25	answer it.	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	
		+-	<del></del>	
1	122 BY MR. PANKAUSKI	1	BY MR. PANKALISKI	124
1 2	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	1 2	= 1 mm m 7 m m 4 m 4 m	124
2	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes?	1 2 3	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted's refused to? A No.	124
	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes.	2	Q And Ted's refused to? A No.	124
2 3 4	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q And Ted's refused to?</li><li>A No.</li><li>Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay</li></ul>	124
2 3 4	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence?	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q And Ted's refused to?</li><li>A No.</li><li>Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition?</li></ul>	124
2 3 4 5	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was	2 3 4 5	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of	124
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was	2 3 4 5 6	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of	124
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q Yes or no? Is that a yes?  A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes.  Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence?  A What happened was  THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop.  THE WITNESS: Yeah.  THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the  THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills.  BY MR. PANKAUSKI	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back. (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since she had he had volunteered to become manager of a company which he didn't have legal rights to and she didn't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back. (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes.	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since she had he had volunteered to become manager of a company which he didn't have legal rights to and she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back. (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since she had he had volunteered to become manager of a company which he didn't have legal rights to and she didn't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back. (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You are not currently employed?	124
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? A What happened was THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since she had he had volunteered to become manager of a company which he didn't have legal rights to and she didn't have the THE COURT: Stop. Stop.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And Ted's refused to? A No. Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? A I've asked him to pay the expenses of Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you about that. MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. THE COURT: I don't know that I need a capacity for that question. It's a little different type of question. So the objection is overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the question. Answer it as asked. Go ahead. Let's read it back. (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You are not currently employed? A No, I am currently employed.	124

_				21
	125			127
1	MR. PANKAUSKI: Impeachment.	1	Q Is that a no?	
2		'2		
3	·	3		
4	not serving in a fiduciary capacity. It's about	4		
5	his wanting for money. He's unemployed. He keeps	5		
6	asking for money.	6	a question, it's a statement.	
7	MR. FEAMAN: Objection. Move to strike.	7		
8	THE COURT: Okay. So the objection to that	′8	form of a question.	ļ
9	last question is sustained.	9	•	
	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	10	Q Were you contacted by a firm on behalf of Ted	İ
111	Q You are blogging and speaking publicly about	11		
- 1	the issues that you communicated to my law firm?		case?	
13	A Anything public I communicate to people who	13	A I do not believe so.	ļ
14		14	Q You have seen this document that's in front of	
15	Q Is that a yes?	1	you before?	
16	A Ask the question again, please.	16	A No.	
17	MR. PANKAUSKI: Sure. The court reporter, if	17	Q It's your testimony that you have never seen	
18	you could read it back, please.	1	the document that I just placed in front of you before,	
19	(Record read)		ever?	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes,	20	A No.	
21	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	21	THE COURT: Wait. But, no, I'm not sure your	
22	Q Okay. May I approach the witness?	22	testimony is you have seen it before, or you	
23	THE COURT: You may.	23	haven't?	İ
24	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	24	THE WITNESS: I've never seen this document	
25	Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a	25	before.	
1				
L.—				
	126			128
			TUE 00.177 01	128
1	document would you like a copy?	1	THE COURT: Okay.	128
2	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.	2	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	128
3	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.	2 3	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox?	128
2 3 4	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?	2 3 4	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do.	128
2 3 4 5	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?	2 3 4 5	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information	128
2 3 4 5 6	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case?	128
2 3 4 5 6 7	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.  THE COURT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox?	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)  BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein?  A Looks like it.  Q And Judge Colin?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one.  Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach?  THE COURT: This will be Number 5?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes.  THE COURT: Okay.  (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)  BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein?  A Looks like it.  Q And Judge Colin?  A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox?  A I do.  Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case?  A About several cases.  Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox?  A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look.  Q I didn't ask you where about the source.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox?	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q. This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A. Looks like it. Q. And Judge Colin? A. Yeah. Q. And Don Tescher? A. Yeah. Q. This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A. Incorrect. Q. Who published this blog?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't?	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)  BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox?  A I do.  Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case?  A About several cases.  Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox?  A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look.  Q I didn't ask you where about the source.  What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox?  A No.  Q It didn't?  A Not that I know of.  Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)  BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q. This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A. Looks like it. Q. And Judge Colin? A. Yeah. Q. And Don Tescher? A. Yeah. Q. This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A. Incorrect. Q. Who published this blog? A. Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q. You were contacted by your brother Ted beseeching you, asking you to stop blogging about this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about Judge Colin.	128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document would you like a copy?  MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you.  MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification)  BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. PANKAUSKI  Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox?  A I do.  Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case?  A About several cases.  Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox?  A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look.  Q I didn't ask you where about the source.  What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox?  A No.  Q It didn't?  A Not that I know of.  Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about	128

				22
	129			131
1	THE COURT: What's wrong with the form?	1	Q Okay. And was Mr. Ted Bernstein subsequently	
2		2		
3	provided information. You have to ask a question.	3	Bernstein's estate after you spoke to him?	
4	THE COURT: Do it in the form of a question.	4	A Yes, sir.	
5		5	Q Do you still wish to remove Ted Bernstein as	
6	Q Have you provided information to Crystal Cox	6	personal representative of the estate of Shirley	
7		7	Bernstein?	
8	A I believe so.	8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q About this estate?	9	Q Do you wish to remove him as trustee of in	
10	A I believe so.	10	what as the apparent trustee of your father's trust?	
11	Q About your mother and father's wills and	11	A Yes, sir.	
12	trusts?	12	Q Okay. Did you discuss that with Mr. Pankauski?	
13	A I don't recall.	13	A Yes.	
14	Q About Ted Bernstein?	14	MR. FEAMAN: No further questions.	
15	A Yes.	15	THE COURT: Okay.	
16	Q And after you provided this information to	16	All right. Thanks. You can step down,	
17	Crystal Cox, Crystal Cox blogged about those things?	17	Eliot.	
18	A Okay.	18	MR. FEAMAN: The e-mails are in, so I	
19	Q That's a yes?	19	THE COURT: One through 4 is in.	
20	A That was a statement, wasn't it?	20	MR. FEAMAN: So there is no need for me to	
21	Q Okay. No, that was a leading question.	21	call Candice Bernstein to authenticate them.	
22	A Okay.	22	THE COURT: They are in evidence.	
23	Q Do you need it read back to you?	23	Next witness.	
24	MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.	24	MR. FEAMAN: No other witnesses.	
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.	25	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski, you're	
	130			132
1	MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it	1	first.	
2	back, Mr. Reporter.	2	MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify,	
3	(Record read)	3	Mr. Rose is going to examine me.	
4	BY MR. PANKAUSKI	4	THE COURT: Okay.	
5	Q Okay. It was a yes or no question.	5	MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you.	
6	A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about	6	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I	
7		7	examine from the counsel table?	
8	MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I	8	THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the	
9	don't have any more questions.	9	Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have	
10	THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in	10	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three.	
11	evidence.	11	Four should be here.	
12	MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you.	12	Thank you, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Okay.	13	(Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn	
14	MR. FEAMAN: I have one question.	14	by the court)	
15	THE COURT: Redirect, yes.	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	16	BY MR. ROSE	
17	BY MR. FEAMAN	17	Q State your name for the record.	
18	Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein	18	A John Pankauski.	
19	A Yes, sir.	19	Q Do you have any did you bring any papers	
20	Q in September of 2013, about the time that	20	with you today?	
21	the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's	21	A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had	
22	office to your wife, did you talk to Mr. Pankauski about	22	executed, that I'd like to file with the court, because	
23	opposing Mr. Ted Bernstein as personal representative of	23	they a case that says affidavits should be filed,	
1	the estate of Shirley Bernstein?	ı	and it's my understanding that's part of the procedure.	
25	A Yes, sir.	25	I'd like to provide a copy to counsel and have it filed	

133 135 1 and introduced into evidence. 1 did you continue on with your trip to wherever you were THE COURT: You can file the affidavit and it 2 going while we interrupted it? 3 goes into the court file. You can give a copy to A After I met with you and Mr. Ted Bernstein, I 4 Mr. Feaman and to Eliot Bernstein. 4 did, I went up to Orlando. 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 5 Q Okay. Did you subsequently conduct an 6 MR. FEAMAN: I object to it as evidence. 6 investigation to determine whether there was anything 7 THE COURT: Yeah. No, it's --7 that would prohibit you from representing my client, Ted 8 MR. FEAMAN: It's hearsay and it's 8 Bernstein, as a fiduciary in these matters now that his 9 cumulative. 9 lawyers had indicated there was a problem and they would 10 THE COURT: You can file it. I don't know 10 need to withdraw? 11 what I'm going to do with it, but you're there to 11 A Yes, I did. What I did is I went back and I 12 testify live. So go ahead. But you can file 12 spoke to my office, I spoke to the person who does our 13 that, the original with the clerk, and give 13 intake. When a prospective client calls our office, 14 copies -- I'll let you give two copies for 14 they do not speak with an attorney, they speak with a 15 Mr. Feaman so he can get one for Eliot. 15 person who does the intake. I spoke with that person. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you, I'll give those to 16 She said that she did recall this issue about a 17 him now. 17 backdated notary clause. She went on her computer. I 18 BY MR. ROSE 18 examined her computer screen with her. She revealed to 19 Q Can you describe and explain to the court how 19 me that Candice, the wife of Eliot Bernstein, had 20 you came to represent Ted Bernstein? 20 spoken to her about a matter involving Don Tescher and 21 A I did. I was contacted by you on a Sunday 21 a backdated notary clause. I had her review her 22 morning in January, I was on my way to the Estate 22 e-mails. I had her review whatever documents that were 23 Planning Institute in Orlando, and you had asked me to 23 sent. She confirmed that the only documents that were 24 change my travel plans to meet with you and Mr. Ted 24 sent were public documents, wills and trusts. I think 25 Bernstein in your office downtown. 25 there was a deposition transcript from a hearing. 134 136 Q Did we give you any indication as to the 1 After that, I went into my own computer, I looked at my 1 2 subject matter of the meeting? 2 e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject 3 A You did. There was a great sense of urgency 3 matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a 4 and distraught. You had said that you were involved in 4 one take -- one-page intake sheet from the person who 5 an estate matter that had been -- you had just been 5 does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a 6 informed -- your client had just been informed that 6 particular date in September. And I also found what is 7 somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and 7 Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's 8 you'd requested to speak to me about that. 8 a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on 9 Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? 9 September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in 10 A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted 10 our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we 11 Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about 11 are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. 12 an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And 12 And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You 13 you told me that there had been this backdated or 13 decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you 14 post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that 14 are not a client. This letter went out from my office 15 to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. 15 time I conveyed to you that -- I asked you the names of 16 all the parties. None of the party's names rang a Q Can you -- by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can 16 17 bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a 17 you tell the date the documents were sent to you? 18 backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had 18 A Yes, I just need to get them. 19 called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a 19 Q Okav. 20 malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. 20 A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot

21 did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail

23 person. I had one conversation with Eliot, not two. 24 It wasn't during the day, it was during the evening.

25 And so Exhibit 1 was an e-mail from Michelle to, I

22 address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake

21 And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to

22 conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any

23 duties to a prospective client and the nature of that

Q Did you -- did you have -- after our meeting,

24 communication with my law firm.

25

139

140

- 2 September 19, 2013. Exhibit 2 is an e-mail to Michelle
- 3 from Candice on Friday, September 20, 2013. The
- 4 September 20th is an evening e-mail from Candice to
- 5 Michelle, 7:10 p.m., I think that's why they're

1 guess, it's Eliot's wife, Candice, on Thursday,

- 6 confused about speaking with me in the evening. I
- 7 never spoke with Candice. I only spoke with Eliot once
- 8 and that was in the afternoon.
- 9 Q Do you know which day -- was it the 19th, the
- 10 20th or a different day, that you actually spoke with
- 11 him?
- 12 A I don't. I remember where I was. I was -- I
- 13 was in my office. I was at my desk. I had my headset
- 14 on. I don't have a headset at home. I spoke with
- 15 Mr. Bernstein and I remember it because he wanted to
- 16 sue Don Tescher. And I know Don Tescher's name. I
- 17 don't really know Mr. Spallina, but I know Don Tescher.
- 18 And he was talking about a malpractice case and he was
- 19 talking about a backdated notary. And this was like
- 20 out of a novel, so I do remember those facts. But the
- 20 out of a flover, so t do femember those facts. But t
- 21 reason for the call was to discuss a malpractice
- 22 action. And I even referenced that in Exhibit 3; I
- 23 tell him that there is a two-year statute of
- 24 limitations for malpractice. He did mention that the
- 25 malpractice was committed within the estate of his mom

1 ten minutes I can tell whether they're adverse to an

- 2 existing client, what the legal issue is, and whether I
- 3 can help them or not. I try to politely then shift the
- 4 conversation to the sad realities of the business of
- 5 law, whether you can afford us or not. When they can't
- 6 afford us, the conversation gets very short. And
- 7 that's what happened with my conversation with Eliot
- 8 Bernstein. It didn't last an hour. It didn't last a
- 9 half an hour. It lasted less than that. And it was
- 10 clear that while the facts are interesting, he was not
- 11 going to hire us. He didn't have the money to hire us.
- 12 He did not reveal any confidential information to me.
- 13 What he did say was that there was a malpractice case,
- 14 there was two matters involving mom and dad's estate,
- 15 and his focus was Don Tescher.
- 16 Q If you look at the letter that you wrote
- 17 Mr. Bernstein declining to represent him, what's the date
- 18 of that?
- 19 A September 24, 2013.
- 20 Q So Friday, the 20th, was -- is the date on
- 21 Exhibit 2. And by the following Tuesday, the 23rd, you
- 22 sent him a letter declining to represent him?
- 23 A (witness nods head). Yes.
  - Q Okay. Did --
- 25 A Was September 24th a Monday?

138

- 1 or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as2 trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up
- 3 lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case.
- 4 And that he had called me -- and what he wanted to know
- 5 was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you
- 6 sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with
- 7 Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a
- 8 friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was
- 9 open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I
- 10 asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life
- 11 asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one
- 12 day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard
- 13 retainer -- and we don't even use the word retainer --
- 14 it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein --
- 15 Eliot Bernstein -- to his credit -- told me that he
- 16 couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some
- 17 type of a contingency, the conversation got short very
- 18 fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of
- 19 calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and
- 20 read every single document that they want us to read, I
- 21 would have no time to practice law. So I have a
- 22 practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not
- 23 spend a lot of time on the phone with a prospective
- 24 client. I give them up to 30 minutes free of charge 25 and that's it. The reason for that is within five to

138

24

137

Q Friday the -- if you look --

- 2 A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st,
- 3 Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a
- 4 Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated
- 5 with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with
- 6 Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my -- my
- 7 immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake,
- 8 was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not
- 9 representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us.
- 10 Q Could you just describe generally the nature of
- 11 your law practice?
- 12 A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and
- 13 administration. Predominantly restricted to estates,
- 14 trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all
- 15 we do.
- 16 Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do
- 17 trusts and estate administration work?
- 18 A Yes, that's why you called on me. You
- 19 originally went to another lawyer, but he was
- 20 conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to
- 21 assist Ted Bernstein with the administration of trusts
- 22 and estates.
- 23 Q Before you agreed to become the lawyer for Ted
- 24 Bernstein in this case, did you undertake, in your view,
- 25 a thorough and detailed analysis of your ethical duties

141 143 1 that might be owed to Mr. Eliot Bernstein as a A Yes, 2014. 2 prospective client? 2 MR. ROSE: I move this into evidence, Your 3 3 A I did, I did that twice, I mean, I did it Honor? 4 when you contacted me and I met with Mr. Bernstein 4 THE COURT: Any objection? 5 subsequently to our initial meeting, which was on a 5 MR. FEAMAN: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Number 6. 6 Sunday. That's the procedure and that's the 7 7 investigation that I conducted with Michelle in my (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked in 8 office. And then subsequently I filed a motion to have 8 evidence) 9 Mr. Ted Bernstein appointed as curator. Within 48 9 BY MR. ROSE 10 minutes I received an e-mail from Eliot Bernstein 10 Q If you look at Exhibit Number 6, Mr. Pankauski, 11 this was received by you at 5:52 p.m. on February 10th? 11 saying, hey, Pankauski, you can't represent Ted. I'm 12 12 going to disqualify you. When that happened, I went 13 Q And if you turn to the Page 2, it appears to be 13 back to Michelle and I said we need to discuss this 14 again. We re-examined everything that I've already 14 in response to a letter you sent Mr. Bernstein on 15 described. I found no e-mails from Ted Bernstein or 15 February the 10th at 5:06 p.m.? 16 Candice Bernstein. I felt -- I found no confidential A Yes. The letter was, I believe, the motion 17 information. I found no documents that were -- excuse 17 to appoint Ted Bernstein as curator. Q Forty-six minutes later you get this e-mail 18 me -- I found no documents that were Eliot Bernstein's. 18 19 from Mr. Bernstein? 19 The only documents that Eliot Bernstein provided were 20 someone else's that had been shared with others, like 20 A Yes. 21 wills and trusts. I, again, came to the conclusion 21 Q And in response to receiving this e-mail you 22 undertook the second investigation you just told us 22 that my representation of Ted Bernstein would not 23 about? 23 violate any duty that I owed a prospective client; such 24 as, Eliot Bernstein. I reviewed 4-1.18. I called the 24 A Correct. 25 25 ethics hotline of the Florida Bar. I also did a Q Are there things in this e-mail that you find 142 144 1 to be inaccurate? 1 Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this 2 issue. A Yes. There's a number of them. In his 3 second line, he says I -- the end of the first line, 3 Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from 4 Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? 4 beginning of the second line -- I sent you over private 5 A I do. There's two of them. 5 and confidential, highly sensitive information. He 6 Q May I approach, Your Honor? 6 didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send 7 A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot 7 over any confidential information. He didn't send me 8 Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. 8 anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and 9 Q Do you have more than one copy of that? 9 Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. 10 A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you 10 He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences 11 on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called 11 know what, I have the extra copy. 12 So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot 12 Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He 13 Bernstein's --13 thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon 14 Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 14 before the sun was setting. He said there was a series 15 6? 15 of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot 16 A This would be --16 Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice, 17 THE COURT: Six. 17 And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He --18 MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for 18 his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles 19 identification. Your Honor? 19 my intake. He also said -- he says, complete removal 20 20 of any papers filed in conflict -- strike that. He (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked 21 21 says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. for identification) 22 THE COURT: Yes, 22 We never had any meetings. We had one phone 23 BY MR. ROSE 23 conversation for less than 30 minutes. He says, that 24 Q Is this an e-mail you received from Eliot 24 you even told me and Candice once you reviewed the 25 Bernstein on February 10th? 25 volumes of materials sent to you. I never spoke with

145 147 1 Candice. He didn't send me volumes of material. He THE COURT: Yeah, I would. 2 sent Michelle those four or five documents that 2 MR. ROSE: I apologize for not having the 3 Mr. Eliot Bernstein previously identified when he 3 4 testified 4 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 7 was marked in 5 Q Did you ever agree to review the materials he 5 evidence) 6 sent you? 6 BY MR. ROSE 7 7 Q So 5:23 on February 11th, the day after you 8 Q Did you, in fact, ever review the materials he 8 received the e-mail from Mr. Bernstein, you wrote him and 9 sent you? 9 indicated that you disagreed with his objection to you? 10 A I did not. A I did. I said, I disagree with the substance 11 Q Once you sent the letter on February 24 11 and content of your e-mail. My firm intends to remain 12 declining to represent him, you took no further action? 12 as counsel to your brother, Ted. 13 A Correct. 13 Q You received a response from Eliot Bernstein 14 Q I didn't mean to interrupt you, you can 14 the following day at 3:07 in the afternoon? 15 continue, if you see anything else in the letter. A Yeah. And you know who he cc'd on that? 16 A Yes. He says you also proposed a retainer of 16 Crystal Cox, the blogger. 17 \$200,000. I've never proposed a retainer of \$200,000. 17 Q. I guess he cc'd Crystal L. Cox and Crystal L. 18 Our retainers -- our standard retainer is \$15,000. 18 Cox at liquidating trustee? 19 19 A Yes. Q You asked Mr. Bernstein that question today and 20 he denied that he indicated you demanded the \$200,000 20 Q Have you ever heard that name before? 21 retainer? 21 22 MR. FEAMAN: Object to form. Repetitive. 22 Q Have you seen information about you published 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 on the Internet? 24 BY MR. ROSE 24 A I have. Nothing ---25 Q Anything else in the letter that's inaccurate? 25 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. 146 148 A Just a moment, please. He said, I informed THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. 1 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 2 THE WITNESS: I have never known ---3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 4 objection. Next question. 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 5 BY MR. ROSE 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's 7 you. He said -- he references your promise of 7 e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 8 evidence as Exhibit 7? 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 10 Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think 11 Bernstein. 11 applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 12 firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my 13 e-mail? 13 intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than 14 A I did. 14 a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly 15 Q May I approach. i --15 confidential sensitive information and strategies. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 16 discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 17 know that when a prospective client calls up, that my 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 18 responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 19 minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. provide assistance to that client or not. Trial 21 MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as 21 strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share 22 Exhibit 7, Your Honor. 22 any trial strategies with me or any litigation 23 THE COURT: Any objection? 23 strategies with me. He says, I believe we had several 24 MR. FEAMAN: No objection. 24 phone calls with you directly and others with your MR. ROSE: Would you like a copy, Your Honor? 25 25 staff. That's inaccurate. There were not several

149 151 1 phone calls. He had one call with me. Then he says, Q In what capacity do you represent Ted 2 including several lengthy conversations between my wife 2 Bernstein? 3 and your employees. And, again, a large amount of A I represent him in his fiduciary capacity. 4 information and strategies were given to members of 4 Ted Bernstein is the trustee of his dad Simon's rev 5 your office as well. Entirely inaccurate. His wife 5 trust. He's the PR of his mom's estate. He's the 6 sent my intake person copies of Shirley's and Simon's 6 trustee of his mom's trust. 7 estate planning documents. There was no large amount 7 THE COURT: I got to write this down. 8 of information. He didn't speak with any employees 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor. 9 other than me once. I don't think he even spoke with 9 THE COURT: Trustee of Simon's. 10 Michelle, I think that was Candice. Again, he says I 10 THE WITNESS: Simon's trust. 11 promised him confidentiality. I didn't do that. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 And that concludes my testimony about this 12 THE WITNESS: Personal representative of 13 e-mail, Exhibit 7. 13 Shirley's estate, trustee of Shirley's trust. 14 THE COURT: You need to really move it along, 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 15 we're going to run out of time. THE WITNESS: And he's seeking to be 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 appointed as personal representative of Simon's 17 BY MR. ROSE 17 estate. 18 Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Feaman 18 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. 19 outside of the courtroom yesterday at the judge's 19 BY MR. ROSE 20 request? 20 Q Do you represent Mr. Bernstein individually in 21 A I did. And I have my notes that I took 21 any matters? 22 contemporaneous with that conversation. 22 A I do not. 23 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. 23 Q Do you anticipate representing Mr. Bernstein 24 THE COURT: Well, hold on. 24 individually in any matters? 25 MR. FEAMAN: Materiality. 25 A I do not. 150 152 THE COURT: Well, the only thing right now is 1 Q Are you familiar with the estate plan of 2 the answer that he took notes. I don't even know 2 Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? 3 what the next question is, so let's wait. A Yes. 4 MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 -- may Q Do you want to -- can you tell us the short 5 I approach? 5 version of how you --6 THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave 7 7 me -yet. 8 BY MR, ROSE 8 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. Q Are these notes you took yesterday in THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of 9 10 discussion with Mr. Feaman? 10 whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. 11 11 So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony 12 12 concerning notes that he took in a conversation MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. 13 13 that you requested us to have out in the hall THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under 14 yesterday, Your Honor. 14 Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents 15 THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. 15 to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad 16 16 provided for him outside of those estate plans MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? 17 17 THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of through the use of trusts and gifts. 18 18 using that conversation as something to fully THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it 19 regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. 19 up. I want to try to finish this and then, 20 It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. 20 depending on what I rule, deal with the other 21 21 There was no court reporter there. The purpose issue, so you can have some direction from me. So 22 was to be informal, so that we'd be able to 22 time is of the essence. 23 determine whether this hearing was necessary. 23 BY MR. ROSE So objection sustained. 24 24 Q You feel you have learned anything from -- from 25 BY MR. ROSE 25 your one telephone conference with Eliot Bernstein in any

153 155 1 way compromises his position or materially disadvantages Q Now, the -- you actually spoke to this 2 him when you will be representing Ted as the beneficiary 2 particular prospective client, correct? 3 in these matters? A Yes. A Absolutely not. 4 Q And you would agree with me that Mr. Eliot 5 Q Do you anticipate there being some litigation 5 Bernstein was, in fact, a prospective client, correct? 6 in this trust? 6 7 7 A Yeah, I do, on the trust. Not necessarily Q Okay. You said the focus was on Don Tescher. 8 the estate. In the trust. I think that Ted is going 8 But could you take a look at Exhibit 3? 9 to file a dec action and ask Judge Colin for 9 10 10 instructions on how property under the trust should be Q That's your rejection letter right there? 11 distributed or not distributed. 11 Α 12 Q And as counsel, is it your intention to file a 12 The reference makes no reference to 13 dec action and follow the instructions of the court? 13 Mr. Tescher, does it? It says, Estate of Shirley 14 A Absolutely. 14 Bernstein and Estate of Simon Bernstein, correct? 15 MR. ROSE: I have nothing further, Your 15 A Yes. 16 16 Only. And the documents that you received, Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feaman. 17 which are shown on Exhibit 2, which was the e-mail from 18 MR. FEAMAN: I'll try to be as brief as I 18 Candice Bernstein to Michelle of your office -- by the 19 19 way, how long has she worked for you? THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. 20 20 A Oh, Michelle has been with us probably three 21 **CROSS EXAMINATION** 21 to four years. 22 BY MR. FEAMAN 22 Q Okay. And you received documents that included 23 Q If I understood your testimony, Mr. Pankauski, 23 the Shirley Bernstein trust, the Shirley Bernstein will, 24 are you taking the position that there is a difference 24 back to 2008, correct? 25 between documents received by your office that you didn't 25 A Michelle from my law office received those 154 156 1 documents on Exhibit 2. 1 see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are 2 you making a distinction? Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in 3 2012, correct? 4 the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he A Yes. 5 sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents 5 Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original 6 to my intake person. 6 trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? 7 Q All right. And so you are taking the position 7 A The 2008? 8 that, therefore, you didn't see them? Q I don't know. 8 A No, my position is I didn't read them. There A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know 10 is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he 10 what you mean by that. 11 sent -- that Candice sent to my intake person. 11 Q Okay. 12 Q You don't deny your office received them? 12 A I looked at Mr --13 13 A No, not at all. Q The trust which this amendment purportedly 14 Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule 14 amends? 15 of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've 16 although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the 16 looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave 17 duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the 17 me, I believe it's the 2012 document. 18 presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer 18 Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate 19 shall review and be responsible for the work product of 19 plan. And all of the documents that are listed here 20 paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with 20 would be necessary documents that would make you familiar 21 that, correct? 21 with the estate plan, correct? 22 A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for 22 A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust 23 prospective clients. There is no duty on my behalf to 23 amendment revokes all prior amendments, which would 24 review any number of things that come in from dozens of 24 make prior ones a non-issue. 25 prospects. 25 Q There is also documents here that have no

157 159 1 relationship to the -- as far as you can tell -- the 1 Bernstein. 2 estate plan, like the Bernstein Holdings, LLC? Q So even though you didn't learn about it then, A I don't think that's accurate. It's my 3 they still sent to you the Bernstein Holdings, LLC for a 4 understanding from Ted Bernstein and Mr. Rose that 4 reason I guess you have no idea, is that right? A Yeah. I don't know why Eliot's wife, 5 Bernstein Holdings, LLC was an entity that Simon 6 created to own a house that Eliot lives in. So where 6 Candice, sent Michelle Bernstein Holdings, LLC other 7 Eliot lives, that's actually owned by an LLC created by 7 than she wanted someone to review them. 8 his dad, Simon. And the members of the LLC are three Q That's certainly -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to 9 trusts. So I think that's all part of Simon's estate 9 interrupt --10 10 plan, you know, that's one way he helped out Eliot. A That's all right, you're doing a great job. 11 Q By your cross-examination of Mr. Eliot 11 You know, when prospective probate clients call you, 12 Bernstein, when you asked about whether he had asked Ted 12 they won't do a document dump. They want to open up, 13 Bernstein for money, that would be money that would be 13 they want to talk to you for hours, and they want you 14 due either Eliot Bernstein's children or Eliot Bernstein 14 to read everything in the world. We don't do that. We 15 through those trusts, correct? 15 don't have the time or the patience to do it. 16 A I don't know if they're due through that Q You would agree with me that the Bernstein 17 trust. It's my understanding the residence that Eliot 17 Holdings, LLC certainly has nothing to do with the 18 lives in is owned in the LLC, which is responsible for 18 malpractice action against Don Tescher, isn't that 19 correct? 19 paying the real estate taxes, the maintenance and 20 everything like that. I think Eliot Bernstein asked 20 A I wouldn't say absolutely, no. I'm not 21 the trustees of those trusts for money and they've run 21 trying to be evasive. I don't think that's an element 22 out of money, so he asked Ted for more money. 22 of the purported malpractice by Tescher and Spallina. 23 23 Q And the LLC is, in fact, the Bernstein Q Okay. Thanks. 24 24 Holdings, LLC? A Sure. 25 A Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. 158 160 1 Q Correct? MR. FEAMAN: Okay. 2 A Yes. 2 MR. ROSE: Two questions? 3 Q Okay. And you received those documents back in 3 THE COURT: Yes, that's it. 4 September, correct? 1 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION . 5 Michelle did, yes. 5 BY MR. ROSE 6 Okay. And you are aware that there is a 6 Q Bernstein Family Realty is not a beneficiary of 7 dispute over payments from the LLC from Mr. Ted Bernstein 7 the estate, the will, the trust, is that correct? 8 to Mr. Eliot Bernstein, correct? You asked about it on A That's correct. 9 cross-examination? 9 Q Absolutely nothing to do with what proceedings 10 A There is a dispute over payments to the LLC. are going to be before Judge Colin, as far as you know? 11 Q Payments from the LLC to either Mr. Eliot 11 A Absolutely correct. 12 Bernstein or his kids or for the support of the house? 12 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 A You're confusing the LLC with the trust. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat. 14 Q Okay. So the trust should be making payments 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 to the Bernstein Holdings, LLC, is that your 15 THE COURT: Any other witnesses on your end? 16 understanding? 16 MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. 17 A No. Eliot wants money from Ted individually 17 THE COURT: Okay. I have just a question. 18 and Ted as trustee of either Shirley or Simon's trust. 18 In the estate case, where you're representing Ted, 19 19 And Shirley and Simon's trust don't permit that's the one where Mr. Feaman you're 20 distributions to Eliot. 20 representing the creditor, correct? 21 Q You first found out about the issue -- that 21 MR. FEAMAN: Yes. 22 dispute between Mr. Eliot Bernstein and Mr. Ted Bernstein 22 THE COURT: Eliot is representing himself. 23 about the money when you spoke to him in September of 23 Okay. I'll let you do this, Mr. Feaman. What's 24 2013, didn't you? 24 pending in that case now, anything? Other than 25 A No, I learned about it from Alan Rose and Ted 25 the motion to appoint a curator.

				30
		161		163
1	MR. FEAMAN: In the estate itself?	1	appointed as personal representative.	
2	THE COURT: Yeah.	2	THE COURT: Well, hear what I'm going to do,	
3	MR. FEAMAN: I am only aware of the motion to	3	and then you'll see if you want to do that.	
4	appoint Ted Bernstein as the	4	MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir.	
5	THE COURT: PR.	5	THE COURT: Okay. Motion to disqualify is	
6	MR. FEAMAN: personal representative.	6	denied.	
7	THE COURT: Okay.	7	The burden is on Eliot. And I'm treating	
8	MR. FEAMAN: But I have not reviewed the	8	this as really being Eliot's motion to show	
9	file.	9	that he's an interested person under 731.20.	
10	THE COURT: All right. And so is there an	10	He has not carried that burden. And so that	
11	action filed with respect to Simon Bernstein's	11	would disqualify him from being someone who has	
12	trust?	12	an interest in trying to stop Mr. Pankauski	
13	MR. PANKAUSKI: No I'm sorry.	13	from representing Ted.	
14	MR. FEAMAN: Not yet.	14	And, you know, I agree with the law that	
15	THE COURT: All right. Okay. Have a seat.	15	counsel Mr. Feaman just cited. This is	
16	Can I see the motion that	16	really a view of Eliot from a subjective point	
17	Mr. Pankauski that you filed on behalf of	17	of view as to as a prospective client of	
18	Ted to be appointed PR and the motion that asks	18	Mr. Pankauski, now that Mr. Pankauski is	
19	for and I think it was both counsel,	19	venturing to represent Ted, which is a	
20	including Mr. Glasko for a curator instead.	20	subsequent representation. Mr. Pankauski is	
21	MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.	21	barred from representing Ted if there are	
22	THE COURT: Let me see those physically.	22	interests that in the estate that	
23	MR. PANKAUSKI: This is my motion for	23	materially that are materially adverse to	
24	appointment. And I can get you the response in	24	those of Eliot, and the rest of the rule. I	
25	opposition.	25	find that Eliot has not carried his burden of	
		162		404
		102		164
1	THE COURT: Okay. I remember seeing the		proof on that, even from a light most favorable	164
1 2	THE COURT: Okay. I remember seeing the response, but okay. So here's everyone	1	proof on that, even from a light most favorable to him. which I'm giving him.	164
1 2 3	response, but okay. So here's everyone		to him, which I'm giving him.	164
2	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule	1 2	to him, which I'm giving him. So motion to disqualify denied.	164
2 3	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.	1 2 3	to him, which I'm giving him.	164
2 3 4	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your	1 2 3 4	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.	164
2 3 4 5	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.	1 2 3 4 5	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as	164
2 3 4 5 6	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you	1 2 3 4 5 6	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is	164
2 3 4 5 6 7	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law. All right. So yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I	164
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.  All right. So – yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law. All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.  All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?  THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.  MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.  All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?  THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about a minute or two.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.  MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore tenus motion.	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.  All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?  THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about a minute or two.  MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.  MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore tenus motion.  THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law. All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?  THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about a minute or two.  MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs THE COURT: Why?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.  MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore tenus motion.  THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing this but I don't have to because William is an interested person, able to, as a secured creditor,	104
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	response, but okay. So here's everyone finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule on everything.  MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in considering whether the attorney-client privilege applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a former client, the focus is on the perspective of the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the lawyer does after the consultation.  THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law.  All right. So yes.  MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling on the appointment of curator today?  THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about a minute or two.  MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to him, which I'm giving him.  So motion to disqualify denied.  Ted's motion for appointment of himself as curator or administrator ad litem, denied.  William Stansbury and your client is who?  MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and Jill.  THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a moving party in a formal sense?  MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the decedent, Judge.  THE COURT: But have they filed I don't have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I do have they filed requesting a that there be a curator other than Ted.  MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore tenus motion.  THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing this but I don't have to because William is an	104

				31
		165		167
1	Simon Bernstein's estate administered, taking	1	into something that is not or shouldn't be.	
2	himself as a creditor into consideration.	2	Okay. So who's going to write up orders?	
3	That motion is granted.	3	MR. FEAMAN: As to two of the motions, I	
4	So you are going to have the following	4	believe your ruling was that just that they	
5	choices: Within x days, you'll tell me, in a	5	were denied, and I have blank orders on that.	ľ
6	moment, you're going to tell me how much time	6	That would be the order on the verified motion to	
7	you, Pankauski, Feaman, Glasko, need, to tell	7	disqualify counsel. And it says the motion of	
8	me who you want as curator. If that person is	8	Eliot Bernstein.	
9	a lawyer, the fees are capped at \$350 an hour	9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	and cannot exceed \$5,000 in any increment	10	MR. FEAMAN: Because	-
11	without coming before the court and telling me	11	THE COURT: But you can do it for reasons I	
12	why I should raise that cap incrementally.	12	mentioned on the record. So if someone	
13	We're putting a cap on this situation.	13	MR. FEAMAN: For reasons mentioned on the	
14	Okay. So you may need to make sure you	14	record.	
15	can find someone who is willing to serve in	15	THE COURT: Yeah, that's a good way of doing	1
16	that capacity.	16	it. That way you don't have to start recreating	
17	lf you can't agree on someone, Pankauski,	17	it. I gave reasons on there.	
18	you're going to write two names out; Feaman,	18	MR. FEAMAN: And then I have a blank order on	
19	you're going to write two names out;	. 19	a motion for appointment of curator or	1
20	Mr. Glasko, you'll write two names out on a	20	administrator ad litem, which you denied, by Ted	
21	little piece of paper. We're going to put them	21	Bernstein.	
22	in a jar and you three will come in on UMC	22	THE COURT: Okay. That's denied.	
23	after if you, unfortunately, can't agree	23	MR. FEAMAN: And then the other order on	
24	upon someone, my judicial assistant will come	24	Mr. Stansbury not sure how much detail you want	
25	out, and in your presence, shake the jar and	25	to get in there.	
		166		168
1	pick. So I'll have nothing to do with the	1	THE COURT: Well, you're going to enter that	
2	actual person because it will be someone that	۔ ا		
1		. 2	order once you folks talk now. Okay.	
3	one of the three of you picks. They all the	3	•	
3	one of the three of you picks. They all the only way that that won't work out is if one of		order once you folks talk now. Okay.  MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is	
1		3	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.	
4	only way that that won't work out is if one of	3 4	MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is	
4 5	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not	3 4 5	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can	
4 5 6	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be	3 4 5 6	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not	
4 5 6 7	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.	3 4 5 6 7	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you	
4 5 6 7 8	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you	
4 5 6 7 8 9	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the	
4 5 6 7 8 9	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733,501 I take a position that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733,501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get carried on into a whole bunch of other things	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get carried on into a whole bunch of other things that aren't yet before me or not yet filed.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood.  THE COURT: All right. Understand, Eliot?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get carried on into a whole bunch of other things that aren't yet before me or not yet filed.  So we're going to take this in nice small	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Understand, Eliot?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get carried on into a whole bunch of other things that aren't yet before me or not yet filed.  So we're going to take this in nice small steps. This order applies to the estate case	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Understand, Eliot?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.  THE COURT: Okay. Great. So speak now. I'm	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	only way that that won't work out is if one of the people you pick are obviously not qualified, but I assume that's not going to be the case.  So you need to if we go that route, on the two names that each side of the three sides are going to pick, make sure that they are willing to serve in advance.  Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is because under 733.501 I take a position that given where this case is, and the hype that we're achieving a disconnect between what should be involved and what could be a sensible straightforward estate administration on the estate portion of the case because that's all that's before me right now. And not get carried on into a whole bunch of other things that aren't yet before me or not yet filed.  So we're going to take this in nice small	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FEAMAN: Okay.  THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is included in that conversation of whether you can agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you should be able to. I mean, especially and you may want to say here's name one, here's name two, here's name three, just to make sure that the people that you now talk about will accept the assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go actually speak to these people, make sure they accept, and then under the conditions that I'm mentioning. And then we're going to go from there. And then you put the name in the order. Okay?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.  THE COURT: Understand?  MR. PANKAUSKI: Understand, Eliot?  MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.	

		169	
	along the court but I'm going to stay here and		
1			'
2			
3			
4	•		
5			
6	(Thereupon, the proceedings		
7	were concluded at 5:03 p.m.)		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
-			
		170	
1			
2	CERTIFICATE		
3			
4	THE STATE OF FLORIDA		
1	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH.		
5			
6			
7	I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter,		
	State of Florida at large, certify that I was		
	authorized to and did stenographically report the		
1	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a		
11		İ	• •
12	Dated this 5th day of July, 2014.		
13			
14	•		
15	DAVID L MARCAA COURT DEPORTED		
46	DAVID L. MARSAA, COURT REPORTER		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 1 of 20

r		<u> </u>	Page 1 of 20
\$	105:17,23 137:9		6 50:20 89:24
\$15,000 138:14		3	142:15,18,20
145:18	2	3 50:17 114:15,18	143:6,7,10
<b>\$200,000</b> 98:2	2 50:16 51:4	132:9 136:7	146:17
99:19 138:10,11	58:19,20 107:8	137:22 155:8	600 49:6
145:17,20	109:10,13	3:07 147:14 148:7	
<b>\$350</b> 165:9	115:20,25	30 138:24 144:23	7
<b>\$5,000</b> 165:10	119:20,22 136:16 137:2	33157 49:20	7 146:22 147:4
	139:21 143:13	<b>33401</b> 49:7,10	148:8 149:13
0	155:17 156:1	· 1	7:10 137:5
09/13 107:8	2:30 48:25 51:6	33434 49:3	701 49:9
	<b>20</b> 93:25 105:22	33436 49:15	<b>731</b> 152:10
1	109:11 137:3	34th 49:2 78:13	<b>731.20</b> 163:9
1 50:15 105:10 106:18 109:6,7	200 51:4	<b>3615 4</b> 9:15	<b>733.501</b> 166:13
112:1,10 116:1	2003 136:9	<del></del>	<b>747</b> 162:8
132:9 136:16,25	2008 106:25	4 4 F0+10 CC+7	<b>74-page</b> 115:8
<b>1.18</b> 73:8	107:1,5,6,9	4 50:18 66:7 105:11 106:18	77 50:5
<b>1.9</b> 73:8	155:24 156:7	114:24 115:2	
<b>108</b> 50:15,16	<b>2009</b> 59:12	116:12	785 162:8
10th 82:21 91:25	2012 61:8 93:25 101:5 107:6.7	119:10,11 121:2 131:19	<del></del>
92:21 95:5	101:5 107:6,7		<u>8</u> 8 150:4
98:15,18 99:17	156:3,6,17,22	<b>4-1.18</b> 52:3 53:20 59:13,15	
105:7 108:23	2012CP004391	60:10,14,20	<b>86</b> 50:6
142:7,12,25	51:11	66:5,8 67:12	
143:11,15	<b>2013</b> 65:12 103:19	73:22 77:9,25	A
<b>113</b> 50:17	104:2,12	141:24	ABA 53:23
<b>118</b> 50:18	105:22,25 107:8	4-1.7 58:24 65:4	ability 162:25
11th 146:16 147:7	109:2,11 110:13 111:4 114:11	4-1.8 56:20 64:17	<b>able</b> 51:19 150:22
<b>12</b> 89:24 146:20	118:23,24 119:8	65:16 66:2	164:23 168:8
148:7,10	130:20 136:15	4-1.9 56:21 58:21	above-styled 51:2
120 49:9	137:2,3 139:19	59:14 60:11,14,18,19	absolute 54:15
<b>125</b> 50:19	146:6 158:24	64:12,17 67:23	absolutely 146:3
<b>1267</b> 58:19	2014 48:24 51:7	69:22	153:4,14 154:22
•	108:23 142:7,12 143:1 146:20	4-5.3 154:15	159:20 160:9,11
129 50:5	148:10 170:12	48 141:9	accept 168:11,14
12th 92:1,21 105:15	20th 81:12 105:16		accepted 111:23
	137:4,10 139:20	5	accountings 91:3
131 50:8	140:2,5	5 50:19 126:5,8	accurate 54:22
141 50:20	21st 140:2	130:10	121:13 157:3
<b>142</b> 50:19,20	22nd 140:3	<b>5:03 48:</b> 25 169:7	achieving 166:15
<b>152</b> 50:9	<b>23</b> 136:9	5:06 143:15	acting 101:18
<b>159</b> 50:8	23rd 139:21 140:3	5:23 147:7	action 56:9 57:6
<b>17345</b> 49:20	24 110:13 111:4	5:52 142:8 143:11	61:1 101:12,21
<b>19</b> 48:24 105:25	114:6,11 136:15	502012CP004391XXX	110:19 137:22
108:17 109:1,5	139:19 145:11	XSB 48:2	145:12 153:9,13 159:18 161:11
137:2	146:6	<b>505 4</b> 9:6	actions 79:15,17
1-9 73:8	24th 139:25 140:4	<b>529</b> 58:19	84:5
<b>1985</b> 65:15	26 119:5	5th 170:12	active 154:18
<b>1988</b> 59:12	<b>2753</b> 49:2 78:13	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	acts 102:3
19th 51:6	2d 58:19 162:8	6	actual 77:16
			GOUGET //:TO

	,		Page 2 of 20
166:2	ago 85:18 103:6	153:5	arises 67:25
actually 55:25	agreed 140:23	anybody 104:3	aspect 68:16
67:25 96:13	169:3	146:9	aspects 100:13
113:15 137:10 155:1 157:7	ahead 51:25	anyone 138:3	asserted 90:21
168:13	80:3,19 89:17	anything 79:17	
ad 164:5 167:20	92:15 106:9 118:2 124:17	83:24 117:15	assignment 168:12
	129:25 133:12	123:10 125:13	assist 140:21
add 162:5	152:12	135:6 144:8 145:15,25	assistance 148:20
addition 87:19	al 48:16	152:24 160:24	assistant 81:25
address 54:2	Alan 49:5 158:25	anywhere 128:12	82:7
57:14 78:12 110:14 136:22	aligned 69:2	apart 97:13	96:2,9,11,14,16 ,23 165:24
addressed 110:13	1	_	assistants
	allegation 61:21	apologize 123:23 147:2	154:16,20
administer 75:5	allege 61:25	apparent 63:4	associates 48:12
administered	alleged 48:15	131:10	assume 57:24
165:1	55:16,20 57:5 64:18 101:18,19	appearance	154:14 166:6
administration 74:5,9,20 75:1	allegedly 110:15	85:19,24	assumption 118:5
76:17	156:6	appearances 52:25	Atlantic 51:4
140:13,17,21	allow 72:17,23	APPEARING	
166:17	allowed 93:9	49:1,4,12,17	attach 53:6
administrator		appears 130:6	attached 58:14 94:18 106:4,13
164:5 167:20	already 103:20,25 141:14	143:13	119:6
admissibility	am 71:7 77:1	applicable 59:15	attention 77:14
118:24	78:16,23 107:17	applied 64:17	79:21 94:25
admissible 118:25	124:22 161:3	86:12 148:11	123:10
advance 166:11	162:18 168:6	applies 60:12	attest 115:18
adverse 52:12	amazing 76:8	63:12 66:2	attorney 54:11,12
67:15 69:3,5,8 75:3	amended	67:13,19 162:10 166:23	55:1 61:4 69:7
76:12,19,20	95:13,16,21		74:25 75:24 88:6
79:11,19 84:1	107:6 156:2,6	<b>apply</b> 60:19 67:21 82:21	102:15,18,25
90:12,14 121:17	amendment 134:7 156:13,23	appoint 143:17	112:8,17
139:1 163:23	1	160:25 161:4	120:15,17
advise 56:22	amendments 156:23	appointed 131:2	135:14 162:10
affidavit 132:21	amends 156:14	141:9 151:16	attorney-client 59:18 61:6
133:2	amount 76:8 85:2	161:18 163:1	64:24 73:23
affidavits 132:23	98:10 148:19 149:3,7	appointment 120:8	75:20 76:9
affirmative 71:3	Amy 88:6	161:24 162:17	112:11 162:9
affirmatively	1 ' -	164:4 167:19	attorneys 52:24
85:14	analysis 140:25	<pre>approach 81:8,13 93:7 94:21</pre>	54:5,8 84:5,7 88:17
afford 84:19,20	and/or 55:16	98:17 99:10	89:9,10,24
138:16 139:5,6 140:9	answer 80:19 85:9	106:6 108:11	90:2,25 120:24
afternoon 53:16	88:22 89:2,18 92:13 94:7,9	110:7 113:19,22	authenticate
87:17,18 137:8	98:12 102:11	125:22 126:4 142:6 146:15	131:21
144:13 147:14	121:25	150:5	authorities 90:22
against 55:25	122:10,24 123:8,19,21	area 57:20 81:12	authority 59:4
100:12,14,17,18	123:8,19,21	aren't 166:21	61:2,3,8 142:1
101:21 102:23 103:2 159:18	answered 88:24	argument 58:23	authorized 170:9
	93:21	68:25	Avenue 49:9 51:4
aggrieved 55:17 64:18	anticipate 151:23	arguments 53:12	avoid 52:24 82:4
1	·		ı

Page 3 of 20

	T	<del> </del>	
aware 52:3 83:4	156:17,22 167:4	140:9,21,24	113:10
140:16 158:6	believed 84:6	141:1,4,9,10,15	breached 64:25
161:3		,16,19,22,24	
	believes 81:3	142:4,8,25	Brent 58:17,18
В	believing 82:3	143:14,17,19	59:7,11
backdated 103:8	bell 134:17	144:12,16	brief 97:23
134:13,18,20		145:3,19	153:18
135:17,21	Beller 88:6	146:11,19 147:8,13	briefly 51:22
137:19	benefactor 64:3	148:16,21	bring 56:15 57:6
bad 101:18	beneficiaries	151:2,4,20,23	59:5 61:1
	78:19 90:21	152:2,25 154:4	68:17,18 71:12
badgering 92:9,11	120:12	155:5,14,18,23	72:22 89:21
Balassa 61:14	beneficiary	156:2,5	132:19
Bar 57:22 86:12	74:5,6 79:5	157:2,4,5,12,13	bringing 58:13
141:25	93:2,14,17,18	,14,20,23	
barred 163:21	94:3 95:23	158:7,8,12,15,2 2 159:1,3,6,16	broadly 61:23
	101:7 153:2	160:6 161:4	brother 74:15
based 53:3 57:10 70:23	160:6	167:8,21 168:22	79:4 84:2 87:8
	Bernstein	Bernsteins 52:20	90:12,14 100:22
basically 84:20	48:6,9,14		124:4 125:3 126:22 147:12
86:11 136:12	49:2,4,23,24	Bernstein's	·
bat 117:22	50:4 51:11	69:2,7 76:3	brother's 84:4
batch 115:2	52:16,21 54:17,25 55:9	131:3 141:18 142:13 146:12	brought
	56:9 58:14	142:13 146:12	55:6,10,16
Bay 49:19,20	59:23 60:24	157:14 161:11	58:7,9 60:23
Beach 48:1	61:5,24 62:2,3	165:1	80:19 84:8
49:7,10,15 51:5	63:5,20 64:2	beseeching 126:23	90:25
88:18 89:5	66:19 67:12	_	bunch 118:10,22
170:4	68:1,9 69:4,5,6	Besides 89:9	166:20
become 122:19	70:2,5,7,13,20	<b>bill</b> 97:7 101:25	burden 53:19
140:23	71:1 72:7,25	bills 122:13,18	57:15 72:15
becomes 67:10	73:12,24		77:19
beginning 51:6	75:4,22	<b>bit</b> 53:22	163:7,10,25
54:19 144:4	76:12,15,19,21,	blank 167:5,18	business 84:9
behalf	24 77:3,23	<b>bless</b> 99:14	138:8 139:4
49:1,4,12,17	78:6,11,15	block 91:2 123:9	
55:16 59:5 69:1	79:4,8,10,11		
86:1 110:25	80:9,25 85:17	blog 126:11,17,20	calendar 97:10,11
127:3,10 154:23	86:2,8 87:17	128:8	
161:17	92:17 95:11 99:1,18 104:9	blogged 128:16	California 96:17
belief 82:4	106:11,25	129:17 130:6	Candice 49:24
98:1,3,7	107:1,5,6,7,9,1	blogger 126:21	55:9 56:3 82:24
believe 53:19	0,13 108:5,23	128:3,10 147:16	92:4,18 95:2 96:8,11,15,20,2
78:23 79:7	109:3,4 110:11	blogging 125:11	2,23 105:7,11
80:10,12,20	113:25 114:3,4	126:23 127:4,11	106:15,18,21
81:16,19,25	115:7	blossom 166:25	107:19
90:19,23 91:23	116:2,18,24		108:20,23
92:3,22 93:6	117:7 119:19 121:9 124:7	Boca 49:3 78:13	109:6,11 112:1
95:18,22 96:4	125:25 124:7	body 118:12	131:21 135:19
97:3,15,17,19,2 3 100:9,12	127:4,11 129:14	book 65:10,11	136:5,20
101:4	130:18,23,24	bottom 58:21	137:1,3,4,7 140:4 141:16
107:17,20,23	131:1,5,7,21	99:15	144:8,11,16,18,
117:13,14,15,16	133:4,20,25		24 145:1 148:12
120:5 121:11,12	134:11	Boulevard 49:15	149:10 154:11
127:2,13	135:3,8,19	Boynton 49:15	155:18 159:6
129:8,10 143:16	136:8,15 137:15 138:9,14,15	Brandon	cap 165:12,13
146:17 148:23	139:8,17	88:8,11,14	<del>-</del>
<u> </u>		, ,	capacity

			Page 4 of 20
124:11,13 125:4	88:14,15 95:23	closely 69:2 91:1	conditions 168:14
151:1,3 165:16 capped 165:9	101:10 112:18 113:3,4 157:14	closing 54:1 77:12	conduct 52:4 56:21 57:23
carefully 79:21	164:12	Colin 48:21 51:3	59:14 134:22
117:14	children's 124:5	126:13 128:23	135:5 154:15
Caroline 120:17	choice 54:18	129:7 153:9 160:10	conducted 141:7
carried 163:10,25	choices 165:5	colon 116:3	conference 152:25
166:20	chooses 67:11	column 58:22	confidential 54:10 75:22
case 48:2 52:14	chosen 71:1,2		76:11,23
53:11 54:4,9,12,13	Christine 112:6,7	comes 73:7 148:21	77:2,17 83:25
56:14 57:24	CIRCUIT 48:1	coming 74:8 86:1 165:11	84:1,10 89:14,16
58:3,5,8,15	circumstances		91:11,12 99:23
59:3,7,11,12,25 61:9 62:17 63:9	56:23	comment 65:3,18	100:3 103:5
64:1,9,11,15,16	<b>cited</b> 163:15	comments 58:24 65:17 66:16	104:21,22,24 115:23 117:3
65:2 70:1 71:4	City 51:5	committed 102:3	115:23 117:3
75:4 77:15 80:2 85:20 86:24,25	<b>claim</b> 62:10	137:25	139:12 141:16
87:7 102:23	115:23 134:20	communicate	144:5,7 148:15
105:1 126:24	claims 90:22	125:13	confidentiality
127:4,12 128:6 132:23 134:12	clause	communicated	90:6 146:8,9,10 149:11
137:18 138:3	103:9,11,15,19	125:12 140:4	confirm 93:13
139:13 140:24	134:14,18,20 135:17,21	144:6	confirmed 135:23
160:18,24 162:6 164:24	clean 123:21	communication 85:13 119:23	
166:7,14,18,23,	clear 89:9 96:19	134:24	conflict 57:5 60:19 62:11,25
24	139:10	communications	63:2 64:20
cases 57:2 60:6	<b>clearly</b> 69:3,5,25	118:16	65:19 67:24
63:24 64:7 128:7 142:1	70:21	company 122:20	69:12,16 80:8,10 138:5,6
cause 51:2 123:11	clerk 133:13	complain 62:16,18	144:20
	client	63:7,11,13,25	conflicted 70:8
cc'd 147:15,17	52:8,11,12,22	70:1	86:4 140:20
Center 49:19	53:6 57:4 59:16,17	complained 64:24	conflicts 58:25
certain 90:23	60:9,17,20	complaining	65:6 68:21 113:5
1	62:15 64:4	62:9,13 71:25	
certainly 146:9 159:8,17	66:12,20,23 67:14,16	complaint 68:18	confused 137:6
certify 170:8	68:1,6,22,23	complete 121:6 144:19 170:11	confusing 158:13
cetera 84:9 91:12	69:7,23 76:21		connect 117:3
100:12,15,20	111:1 134:6,10,23	composite 106:12 108:13 114:1,2	connection 68:19
102:4 104:4	135:7,13	compromises 153:1	
chair 72:20	136:10,14	computer	consider 83:25
chance 116:19	138:24 139:2 141:2,23	135:17,18	consideration 165:2
change 59:12	148:17,20	136:1,3	
90:20 133:24	155:2,5 162:11	concerning 61:21	considering 162:9
changed 115:12	163:17 164:6,8	80:23 150:12	consultation 60:18 162:13
charge 65:19	client-lawyer 52:7 60:15	concise 53:17	consults 66:22
100:19 138:24	clients 52:5 54:6	concisely 53:24	contact 86:4 88:1
chatted 104:1	55:25 154:22,23	73:20	89:19
check 97:10	159:11	concluded 169:7	contacted 86:3
115:11,17	close 105:20	concludes 149:12	87:20,24
children 78:19	144:11 169:1	conclusion 141:21	88:6,8,18
80:11.14 81:3			89:5,11,20,22,2

Page 5 of 20

			Page 5 of 20
4 90:3 102:20	06.6 10 21 24 2	70.16.20.24	
126:22 127:3,10	96:6,10,21,24,2	79:16,20,24	courtroom 51:4
-	5 98:16,19,20	80:18	149:19
133:21 136:12	99:16,20,24	82:4,19,23	courts 90:22
141:4	100:1 101:13	84:21 85:8,9	<b>501137</b> 40 40
contacting 87:19	103:4,15,18	87:13	COWAN 49:19
	106:15,16	88:10,23,25	Cox 126:21
contained 118:21	107:14 108:21	89:2,6,17	128:3,5,10,16,1
contemporaneous	110:3,6	92:10,13	8,22 129:6,17
149:22	111:17,20 116:3	93:5,9,20,22	147:16,17,18
	117:9	94:1,7,9,22	
contended 138:9	120:3,12,13	95:4,7 98:4,12	<b>Craig</b> 122:16
content 147:11	143:24 145:13	99:11 102:10,21	created 157:6,7
contest 54:21	154:21	103:12,20 104:8	•
Concest 54:21	155:2,5,14,24	105:4,23	credibility 73:2
contingency	156:3,21 157:15	106:3,8 107:3	<b>credit</b> 138:15
138:17	158:1,4,8	108:1,15,17,20	
continue 62:12	159:19	109:5,10,16,19	creditor 49:12
	160:7,8,11,20	110:9 111:23	54:23 55:7
135:1 145:15	govvognonden gog	112:13,16,19,22	57:4,9 60:23
continues 67:11	correspondences	113:14,16,21,23	61:1,7 62:9
gontinuing 64:0	144:TO	114:5,13,15,23	160:20 164:23
continuing 64:2 86:23	counsel 48:12	115:3	165:2
00:23	51:12,14	116:8,11,17	criminal 79:15,17
contract 111:16	52:15,16 53:5	117:5,12,17,20,	90:22 103:7,24
conversation	54:2,18,19 55:6	24	-
82:10,17 83:8	56:16 59:2 71:2	118:1,6,19,23	cross 50:6,9
97:1,22	72:1,21 73:22	119:7,9,15,17	80:18 87:15
· -	76:5 77:21 94:6	121:2,24	153:21
100:7,17 120:4	109:21 110:1	122:7,9,22,24	cross-examination
136:23 138:17	111:13 132:7,25	123:4,15,19	87:13 157:11
139:4,6,7	147:12 153:12	124:8,12,25	158:9
144:23 146:5	161:19 163:15	125:2,8,17,23	
149:18,22	167:7	126:5,7	Crystal 126:21
150:12,18 168:5		127:7,21 128:1	128:3,5,10,16,1
conversations	counsel's 53:12	129:1,4,25	8,22 129:6,17
87:9	County 48:1	130:10,13,15	147:16,17
97:3,5,8,14	51:3,5 88:18	131:15,19,22,25	cumulative 133:9
149:2	89:5 170:4	132:4,8,14,22	
	couple 121:9	133:2,3,7,10,19	curator 75:14
conveyed 75:23	couple 121:9	142:17,22	121:16 141:9
134:15,21	course 100:6	143:4,6 145:23	143:17 160:25
convince 61:16	court 48:1	146:23 147:1	161:20 162:17
convinced 93:15	51:9,23 53:14	148:1,3	164:5,17 165:8
		149:14,24	167:19
Coolis 77:14	55:2 56:2,17,19 57:2 14 19 22	150:1,6,15,17,2	currently
copied 58:20	57:2,14,19,22 58:3,8,11,17	1	124:21,22
82:12,16	59:7,19	151:7,9,11,14,1	custom 138:22
·	60:3,6,11,21	8 152:9,18	
copies 95:10		153:13,17,20	148:18
114:8 133:14	61:16,20 62:2,7	159:25	cut 146:5
142:10 149:6	63:7,9,15,17,21	160:3,13,15,17,	
copy 82:15 93:7	64:10,11	22	
98:18,21 106:8	65:5,8,11,14,16	161:2,5,7,10,15	D
119:14 126:1	,21,23	,22	dad 92:24 93:12
132:25 133:3	66:1,6,9,14,16	162:1,14,18,20,	94:12 121:12
142:4,9,11	67:5,7,21,23		138:1 151:4
146:25	68:13,15	22,23,24 163:2,5	152:15 157:8
	69:10,17,20	-	dad's 74:20
correct 56:19	70:4,6,10,16	164:10,14,20	93:4,14,19
61:25 62:1	71:4,9,16,18,20	165:11	95:16,19,20
72:12,14	,24 72:10,13,15	167:9,11,15,22	101:1,8 113:2
82:21,22	73:1,13,16	168:1,4,19,21,2	139:14
86:17,21,22	74:14,17	3 169:1 170:15	
88:15 94:14	75:8,12 77:5,11	Courthouse 51:4	date 48:24
95:17	78:2,7,24	1	93:20,22,24

Page 6 of 20

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 6 of 20
95:6 105:14	depending 62:15	103:8 121:19	114:1 115:2
116:4,22	152:20	148:16	116:20 118:20
117:2,11			135:22,23,24
118:7,15	deposition 135:25	discusses 52:6	136:17
136:6,17	dereliction 63:4	discussing 105:1	141:17,18,19
139:17,20	describe 133:19	119:25 120:2,8	145:2 149:7
dated 134:14	140:10	discussion 58:21	152:14 153:25
148:7 170:12	described	100:25 103:15	154:1,5,10
dates	77:18,25 141:15	150:10	155:16,22 156:1,19,20,25
118:12,22,23	-	discussions 60:16	158:3
	designed 80:1	80:22 148:11,12	
<b>DAVID</b> 170:7,15	desire 86:7	dispute	Don 75:25 101:21
day 51:7 70:15	desk 137:13	158:7,10,22	102:15,18 126:15 134:12
111:4 134:9	detail 167:24	·	135:20
136:24 137:9,10		disqualification 53:11 56:11	137:16,17
138:12 146:17 147:7,14 170:12	detailed 140:25	61:17,19 64:6	138:5,6,7
- I	determine 54:24	77:20	139:15 155:7
days 83:18 165:5	135:6 148:19	·	159:18
deal 122:18	150:23	disqualifications	Donald 48:13
152:20	determined 117:6		118:9
dealing 52:4	differ 80:11	disqualify 51:14	done 123:1,3
59:16	· ·	52:15 54:21 55:6 56:8	
dealings 61:24	difference 153:24	57:7,17 61:11	do-not-rep 136:11
62:5 84:9	different 53:22	68:19 71:5	doubt 53:1
deals 65:6	59:17 65:17	76:14 86:18	downtown 133:25
	66:10 124:14	141:12 162:10	dozen 120:23
<b>dealt</b> 73:25	137:10	163:5,11 164:3	
dec 153:9,13	differing	167:7	dozens 138:18
Deceased 48:7	90:15,17 91:5	distinction 60:9	154:24
	direct 50:5,8	154:2,3	<b>drive 4</b> 9:6 118:2
decedent 164:13	77:14 78:8 88:9	distraught 134:4	due 157:14,16
decedent's 74:6,7	94:25 132:15	distributed	•
December 119:5	directed	153:11	duly 78:6 132:13
decided 136:13	122:12,17		dump 159:12
	directing 115:22	distributions	during 136:24
decision 58:20	- J	118:10 158:20	duties 63:5 66:11
decisions 53:21	direction 152:21	District 61:8	134:23 140:25
declare 55:20	directly 80:7	77:10	154:17
	83:12 148:24	DIVISION 48:2	
declining	disadvantage 77:3	Dixie 49:20	duty 141:23 154:23
139:17,22 145:12	disadvantages		1071AU
	153:1	document 103:17	
deficient	·	108:3 110:12 114:2 115:17	E
103:15,16	<b>disagree</b> 81:5 147:10	126:1	earlier 94:16
<b>define</b> 124:11		127:14,18,24	96:1 162:7
defined 60:8,20	disagreed 80:25	128:9 138:20	economy 72:18
·	147:9	146:18 156:17	edification 95:15
delegated 154:17	discharge 107:11	159:12	edition 65:13
Delray 51:5	disclose 104:20	documents 79:6	
demanded 145:20	disconnect 166:15	83:21 90:24	egregious 77:21
demonstrate 76:7		91:7,10	Eighteen 66:6
_	discretion 54:16	96:14,22,24	either 57:2
denied 94:6	discuss 83:24	101:4,19 104:25	62:14,16 64:4
122:16 145:20	84:11 103:2,11	105:2,10,11	68:22 73:8
163:6 164:3,5,20	131:12 137:21	106:17,18,21,24	107:25 150:19
164:3,5,20	141:13	107:18,22,24,25 108:6	157:14
	discussed 84:25	112:1,2,9,20	158:11,18
deny 154:12	99:22 100:21	112111213120	

Page 7 of 20

element 159:21
eligible 75:13
Eliot 48:9 49:2
50:4 52:21 55:9
56:3,5,9 57:8
58:14 59:22 60:24 61:24
62:2,5,9,13,14,
18,19,25
63:2,5,7,11,16,
17,21 64:25 66:19 67:2,7
68:1,5,20
69:1,5,13,20
70:1,2,5,7,13,1 9,21,24,25
71:10,23,24
72:3,6,13,16,24
,25 73:12,14,24 74:3,10,14
75:22
76:12,21,24
77:3,19,23
78:6,11 92:10,17 113:16
116:17 117:7
118:16 122:25
124:15 130:18
131:17 133:4,15 135:19
136:8,15,20,23
137:7 138:15
139:7 140:6,9 141:1,10,18,19,
24 142:7,12,24
144:12,15 145:3
146:10,12,19 147:13
147:13
152:6,10,13,25
154:4 155:4
157:6,7,10,11,1
4,17,20 158:8,11,17,20,
22 160:22
163:7,16,24,25
167:8 168:4,21,22
169:2
Eliot's 137:1
159:5 163:8
else 64:1,6 66:24
67:12 91:4
104:3 117:15 145:15,25 162:7
else's 141:20
e-mail 53:7 81:21 82:9,12,15,24,2
5 83:4,7,19
86:5,13 92:19
95:1

96:1,4,8,12 98:15,18 99:13 105:7,14,21,22 106:14 108:23 109:2,3,5,11 114:2,3 115:8,10 116:1,18,23 117:8,11,17 118:3,15,21 119:3,23 136:21,25 137:2,4 141:10 142:3,24 143:18,21,25 144:16 146:13 147:8,11 148:7,9 149:13 155:17
e-mailed 136:22
e-mails 91:11,13,16,19, 20,24 92:2,5 117:22 130:21 131:18 135:22 136:2 141:15 144:15,18
emergency 118:9
employed 124:21,22,23
employees 149:3,8
encouraging 72:8
ensues 60:15
enter 168:1
entire 66:1
Entirely 149:5
entity 107:14 157:5
especially 168:8
Esq 49:5,8,13,14,18
essence 116:21 152:22
establish 61:18
established 79:5
establishment 118:14
estate 48:6 51:10
55:8 61:14,16 74:4,9,11,21,25 75:6 76:1,16 77:4 78:20 79:5,11 80:6,15

81:4

90:16,20,21

91:1 100:10,17 101:1,8 104:17 113:2 120:3 121:10,12 129:9 130:24 131:3,6 133:22 134:5,12 137:25 139:14 140:12,17 149:7 151:5,13,17 152:1,14,16 153:8 155:13,14 156:18,21 157:2,9,19 160:7,18 161:1 163:22 164:25
165:1 166:17,18,23 estates 80:11 91:6 100:14,18,24
101:2 140:13,22 et 48:16 84:9 91:12 100:12,14,20
102:4 104:4 ethical 86:19 140:25
ethics 141:25 evasive 159:21
evening 97:16 136:24 137:4,6 144:13 eventually 109:17
everybody 116:2 162:7
everyone 64:13 72:19 162:2
everyone's 138:19
everything 91:4 141:14 157:20 159:14 162:4
evidence 50:14 53:24 59:22 70:10,11 72:2,23 73:22 75:19,21,23 77:24 78:3,4 90:23 101:6 108:14 109:8,14 114:7,12,19 116:12 119:12 130:11 131:22 133:1,6 143:2,8 146:21 147:5 148:8 evidentiary 58:10
evidently 134:7
·

```
exact 68:3 98:10
EXAMINATION 50:3
  78:8 87:15
  130:16 132:15
  153:21 160:4
examine 132:3,7
examined 135:18
exceed 165:10
except 60:18
  96:23
excluded 95:23
excuse 51:18 76:3
  101:10,13,24
  110:23 115:25
  116:6,24 141:17
  164:8
executed 132:22
exhibit
  50:15,16,17,18,
  19,20 53:7
  82:15,20
  94:15,18,25
  106:3,12 108:14
  109:7,13
  112:1,10
  114:1,18 118:12
  119:11 121:2
  126:8 136:7,25
  137:2,22 139:21
  142:20 143:7,10
  146:22 147:4
  148:8 149:13
  150:4 155:8,17
  156:1
exhibits 50:13
  121:3 132:9
  136:16
exist 53:20
existence 53:8
existing 59:18
  139:2 154:22
exists 63:2
expenses 122:4
  124:6
experienced 123:1
explain 90:17
  133:19
explained 123:17
exposing 104:23
express 86:7
expressed 86:16
 91:6 101:16
expression 61:23
```

Page 8 of 20

			rage o or zo
extensive 64:11	89:13 90:11	133:2,3,10,12	49:3,7,10,15,20
	93:21 94:16	153:9,12 161:9	51:6 52:24
extra 142:11	98:21 99:10	1.	53:22 59:13
<b>eye</b> 86:11	105:13,16 107:2	filed 51:14 52:14 56:5,7,9,12	78:13,17 89:11
	108:16,25	62:13 70:12,17	102:2 141:25
F	111:9,21,24	85:8,20 94:19	170:4,8
fabricated 134:7	112:11 113:13	108:1 132:23,25	focus 66:21 79:16
fabricated 134:7	114:14,22	141:8 144:20	139:15 155:7
<b>face</b> 58:7	115:1,5	161:11,17	162:11
fact 53:4,8 76:18	116:5,9,17,24	164:14,16	
77:16 84:5	117:2,9	166:21	folks 152:18
91:18 95:16	118:13,14 123:6	6131 101.11	168:2
101:23,24	124:10,24 125:7	filing 101:11	follow-up 82:10
104:15 120:23	126:2 127:5	Finally 77:20	86:13 109:3
128:8 134:9	128:25 129:2,24	finding 76:18	forced 122:3
145:8 155:5	130:14,17	77:16	
157:23	133:4,6,8,15	61 84 48	foregoing 170:10
facts 55:20 58:7	143:5 145:22	fine 71:17	forged 101:5
61:13 137:20	146:24 147:25	finish 73:17	103:16
139:10 148:19	149:18,23,25	79:21,22 114:23	forgeries 100:10
	150:10,11,16	152:19	103:8
faith 101:18	152:8,12	finished 162:3	
familiar 152:1	153:17,18,22		forgery 84:6
154:14	160:1,19,21,23	firm 49:9 54:21	99:25 102:1,3
156:18,20	161:1,3,6,8,14	61:7,14,25 62:20 73:23	103:16 119:25
Family 124:7	162:5 163:15	75:23 76:15,16	forget 144:21
160:6	165:7,18	84:11 87:19,20	forgive 103:14
fast 138:18	167:3,10,13,18,	90:4 92:6,19	108:22 114:1
188C 138;18	23 168:3	96:5 105:12	£
father 80:7 90:24	Feaman's 79:25	106:15,19,22	form 70:17 105:13 124:11 127:5,8
94:3 121:10	February 48:24	107:19,22,25	124:11 127:5,8
father's 80:8	51:7 82:21	110:16	129:1,4,24
101:15 102:4	91:25 92:1,21	111:16,19	145:22
129:11 131:10	95:5 98:15,18	112:2,8,10	
favor 53:1	99:17 105:7,15	115:24 125:12	formal 60:3,7
	108:17,23	127:3,10 134:24	111:24 164:11
favorable 164:1	142:7,12,25	136:10,22	formed 52:19 53:6
<b>faxed</b> 162:6	143:11,15	138:18	111:14
Feaman 49:13,14	145:11	140:16,20	former 62:15 64:4
50:5,9	146:16,20 147:7	147:11 148:12	68:1,5,23 94:6
51:13,16,17,21	148:7,10	firm's 58:23	162:11
52:1 55:18	fee 111:18 138:14	first 51:15 55:18	£
56:14,18		57:14,16 67:2	formerly 60:1 69:22
57:15,21	feel 84:10 86:23 87:11 89:15	68:7 73:10,13	
58:1,5,12,18	91:4 104:22	76:17 86:4	forming 52:7
59:21	152:24	93:25 97:20	forms 101:17
60:1,5,8,13		106:12 110:21	forth 52:18 58:9
61:21 62:1,6	fees 102:25 165:9	115:4 117:5	61:10 130:21
63:3,8,13,16,19	felt 70:8	123:13,15 132:1	
64:10	86:3,12,20	144:3 148:9	Forty-six 143:18
65:3,6,9,12,15,	141:16	158:21	forward 70:23
19,22	fiction 76:9	fit 83:1	72:20
66:3,4,8,13,15 67:6,20		FITZGERALD 49:5	foundation 80:17
68:14,25	fiduciary 75:6,7		116:6,10
69:15,19	101:18 125:4 135:8 151:3	five 97:24 99:14	•
72:6,12,14		138:25 145:2	Fourth 77:10,18
73:11 78:9 79:3	FIFTEENTH 48:1	Flagler 49:6	fraud 90:19 102:1
80:4,21 82:8,22	figured 73:13	Fleisher 87:24	119:25
83:2,3 84:24	86:13	88:1,5	fraudulent 101:5
87:12 88:9	file 54:20 132:22	· ·	
	TITE 24:20 132:22	Florida 48:1	

Second   S				Page 9 of 20
Friday 137:3   139:20 140:1,2   H	free 138:24	Guffev 49:14	71:1.2 72:16	158:12
TRIEDREIN 49:17   had 61:12   had 61:12   had 61:12   his 61:13   123:7   123:15   138:9   half 139:9   148:14   hard 78:21   115:15   163:9   hurt 69:19   123:11   hurting 123:12   hurting 1			•	
FRIEDSTEIN 49:17 friend 138:8 half 139:9 148:14 front 94:15 97:10 105:8 106:11 half 139:9 148:14 127:14,18 128:8,16 146:18 hand 78:5 94:24 13:25 125:25 handles 93:13,19 fully 150:18  G gmme 117:20 gmme 117:20 gmes 117:18 happens 54:7 hard 118:2 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 gotting 71:18 local 39:22 diffets 152:17 given 149:4 local 40:12 giftes 152:17 gotting 64:22 local 417:2 gotting 64:22 local 417:2 gotting 64:22 local 417:2 gotting 64:22 local 417:2 gotting 64:23 local 41:25 local 41:25 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 39:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 30:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 30:23 local 41:20 gotting 71:18 local 30:23 local 41:21 local 41:22 local			86:22 105:4	
friend 138:8 front 94:15 97:10 105:8 106:11 127:14,18 128:8,16 146:18 half 139:9 148:14 highly 144:5 fully 150:19 fund 101:25 funding 102:24 handles 144:18 happen 57:8 64:8 happen 57:8 64:8 happen 122:6 happen 122	·			
front 94:15 97:10 105:8 106:1 127:114,18 128:8,16 146:18 fully 150:18 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:25 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:26 fund 101:21 fund 101				
105:8 106:1	friend 138:8	half 139:9 148:14		hurt 69:19 123:16
127:14,18   128:8,16 146:18   handed 93:13,19   105:21 110:12   115:8 128:9   76:16 136:13   139:11   139:11   139:11   115:12   13:22   13:25   14:14   139:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   139:12   139:13   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   139:13   14:11   139:12   139:13   139:12   139:13	front 94:15 97:10	hall 150:13	hey 54:9 141:11	hurting 123:12
128:8,16 146:18		hand 78:5 94:24		hvpe 166:14
Fully 150:18   handed 93:13,19   105:21 110:12   fund 101:25   115:8 128:9   15:8 128:9   76:16 136:13   IANTONI 49:17   IANTONI 49:17   Id. 13:10:24 113:1,3   handle 140:12   handle 144:18   happen 57:8 64:8   happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64:9 happen 57:8 64	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	113:25 125:25	148:14	
fund 101:25 fund 101:25 funding 102:24  handles 140:12 handles 140:12 handles 140:12 handles 144:18 happen 57:8 64:8 happened 122:6 Garber 120:19 generally 140:10 depended 18:2 feeting 71:18 getting 71:18 gifts 152:17 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 160:15 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:15 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:14 160:15 160:14 160:	1	handed 93:13,19	Highway 49:20	т
funding 102:24    handing 106:11   handle 140:12   happen 57:8 64:8   happen 57:8 64:8   happen 120:19   139:7 141:12   107:9,10   idea 112:22,22,25   idea 12:22,23   idea 112:25   idea	_		hire 54:25 74:25	
Second   S	fund 101:25	115:8 128:9		TANDONT 49.17
Same   117:20   handle   144:18   happen   57:8   64:8   10:24   113:1,3   14:11   115:11,16   132:12,25   149:24   139:14   115:11,16   132:12,25   149:24   139:14   115:11,16   132:12,25   114:12   10:14   15:12,5,24   158:15   15:12,5,24   158:15   159:3,6,17   168:15   159:3,6,17   168:15   10:20   169:20   10:20   169:20   10:20   169:2	funding 102:24	handing 106:11	139:11	
games 117:10 games 117:10 happen 57:8 64:8 happened 122:6 lasyr 140:10 generally 140:10 happens 57:8 64:8 happened 122:6 hard 118:2 158:13 158:13 169:2,7,2,4 hard 118:2 158:13 158:13 160:12,1,2,3,3,4,1,1,4 166:14 166		handle 140:12		l
game 117:20 games 117:18 happen 57:8 64:8 happened 122:6 139:7 14:12 generally 140:10 generally 140:10 gentlemen 138:7 gets 139:6 hard 118:2 91:2 95:5 100:20 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 giving 164:2 162:16, 20, 24 163:2 164:8, 12, 18 166:14, 108:25 164:8, 12, 18 166:17, 20 GOLDEN 49:19 governs 55:13 great 134:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:14 guess 137:1 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 140:12 hear first didentify 11:5 159:13 hours 15:7; 2, 24 160ids 112:25 128:11 150:19 100:07:17, 18 160ids 112:25 128:11 150:19 100:07:17, 18 160ids 112:25 128:11 150:19 100:07:17, 18 160ids 112:25 128:11 150:19 10:17:14 home 110:14 137:14 home 110	G	handles 144:18	110:24 113:1,3	
Garber 120:19 Garber 120:19 generally 140:10 generally 140:10 gentleman 138:7 gets 139:6	game 117:20			
Garber 120:19   139:7 141:12   107:9,10   107:9,10   107:9,10   157:3 130:10   107:9,10   157:2,5,24   158:15   128:11 150:19   159:4   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:3,6,17   159:4   159:3,6,17   159:4   159:3,6,17   159:4   1	games 117:18	i	149:24	132:22,25
generally 140:10 gentleman 138:7 gets 139:6 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 gifts 152:17 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 getting 164:2 Glasko 49:18 166:120 162:16,20,24 168:18 166:18,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:19,70:9 100:20 head 139:23 161:10 16:16 163:4 162:16,20,24 163:16 163:4 164:8,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:18,12,18 166:19,10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:16,20,24 163:10 162:10 162:10 GOLDEN 49:19 governs 55:13 governs 55:13 governs 55:13 governs 55:13 great 14:20 grandchildren 120:11 hear fill 50:19 155:18 160:12 11:11 hear fill 50:19 159:14 110:14 110:14 137:14 137:14 137:14 137:14 137:14 133:15 54:15,22 133:15 54:15,22 134:19,14,13 135:10 135:10 135:15 136:12,25 136:10 137:13 136:10 136:13 136:13 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16,12 130:8 132:16 130:18 13	-		Holdings 61:9,10	ID 115:3 130:10
gentleman 138:7 gets 139:6 harm 69:10,11 harmed 63:3 68:21 159:3,6,17 home 110:14 159:15 68:8 identification 50:14 126:9 139:14 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 53:15 54:15,22 142:19,21 17:6 127:23 15:18 57:1,13 15:19 59:10 166:14 166:14 having 54:17 67:4,20,22 68:12,25 68:12,25 164:25 70:3,25 73:20 75:2,5 76:14 77:8 78:1 80:5 77:2,5 76:14 161:20 heading 65:18 108:23 110:8 111:21 113:20 116:16 14:83,14 108:25 111:21 113:20 116:16 120:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 117:16 119:16 121:14 14:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 14:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:14 15:14 15:14 13:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:12 112:14 113:15 13:14 16:14 13:15 13:14 13:15 13:14 13:			· ·	idea 112:25
gentleman 138:7 gets 139:6 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 getting 71:18 gifts 152:17 gifts 152:17 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 harmed 63:3 68:21 f66:14 having 54:17 f100:24 147:2 giving 164:2 f164:25 f164:25 f164:25 f164:25 f164:25 f164:25 f164:26 f164:2 f164:26 f164:2 f164:27 f100:24 147:2 f100:25 76:14 f100:18 f100:11 f100	generally 140:10	happens 54:7		
getting 71:18 getting 71:18 11:2 95:5 100:20 haven't 70:12 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 having 54:17 100:24 147:2 giving 164:2 Glasko 49:18 161:20 head 139:23 162:16,20,24 163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 GOLDEN 49:19 governs 55:13 grantchildren 120:11 hearing 51:13 granted 165:3 grantchildren 120:11 hearing 51:13 granted 165:3 great 134:3 great 134:3 great 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guardianship 74:19 guardianship 74:19 guess 137:1 home 110:14 137:14 home 110:14 163:10:10:14 hear 69:10,11 haxmed 63:3 68:21 137:14 home 110:14 168:8 home 110:14 168:8 home 110:14 137:14 137:14 137:14 homor 51:17,18 52:11,2,9,14,23 52:11,2,9,14 52:11,21,21 100:12 11:11,21 110:18 11:11:11 110:18 11:11:11 110:18 110:18 142:11 100:18 112:11 110:18 142:10 116:18 142:11 100:18 117:16 119:16 112:14 12:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 111:12 113:20 112:14 114:15 110:18 14:10 10:8 11:11 10:8 117:12 119:13 10:12 110:8	gentleman 138:7	hard 118:2		
getting 71:18 91:2 95:5 100:20 haven't 70:12 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 having 54:17 160:120 haved't 447:2 file 120:16, 20, 24 164:25 head 139:23 164:25 heading 65:18 165:7, 20 GOLDEN 49:19 govens 55:13 great 14:20 grandchildren 120:11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 great 134:3 great 134:3 great 17:12 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guess 137:1 haven't 70:12 89:22 115:19 152:17 89:22 115:19 152:17 89:22 115:19 152:17 89:22 115:19 152:17 89:22 15:19 162:24 167:23 164:25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12, 25 168:12 17:1 57:16 70:14 73:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 121:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:15 13:10:8 111:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 160:23 166:1 114:10 116:16 121:16:18 17:10 118:18 17:10 118:18 189:17 109:16 121:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:12 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14, 14 160:22 17:17:18 116:18 115:19:10 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 116:12 116:18 145:3 117:1 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 117:11 13:20 117:12 119:13 120:11 114:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 114:10 116:16 121	gets 139:6	harm 69:10,11		identical 64:15
91:2 95:5 100:20 gifts 152:17 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 having 54:17 100:24 147:2 164:25 Glasko 49:18 166:26,20,24 163:4 163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 head 139:23 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 governs 55:13 grandchildren 120:11 granted 165:3 granted 165:3 greater 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 grandianship quardianship quardians	getting 71:18	harmed 63:3 68:21		
100:20   haven't 70:12   52:13,29,14,23   50:14 126:9   142:19,21   17:6 127:23   55:18 57:1,13   142:19,21   166:14   having 54:17   58:19 59:10   16:18 145:3   16:120   162:16,20,24   163:4   108:25   164:8,12,18   165:7,20   head 65:18   165:7,20   headset 137:13,14   165:7,20   head 51:15 53:13   73:3 85:16   162:24 163:2   142:23 126:4   120:21			•	identification
gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 166:14 having 54:17 giving 164:2 Glasko 49:18 161:20 162:16,20,24 163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 head 139:23 heading 65:18 165:7,20 head 139:13 164:8 117:13:10:11 165:7,20 head 139:23 head 137:13,14 heading 65:18 165:7,20 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 heading 65:18 108:25 108:25 108:25 108:25 108:25 114:10 115:18 108:17 109:16 115:18 115:19 116:18 11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:11:11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:10:18 11:	100:20	haven't 70:12	•	
given 149:4 166:14 166:14 giving 164:2 giving 164:2 Glasko 49:18 161:20 head 139:23 162:16,20,24 163:4 165:7,20 heading 65:18 165:7,20 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 grab 114:20 grandchildren 120:11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 great 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1 guess 137:1 guess 137:1 guess 137:1 guardianships 140:24 guess 137:1 guardianships 140:26 giving 164:2 having 54:17 67:4,20,22 68:12,25 70:3,25 73:20 75:2,5 76:14 77:8 78:1 80:5 87:14 88:21 17:11 57:16 70:14 73:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 117:2 119:13 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 17:16 70:14 73:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 112:14 114:15 112:15 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 121:6 121:5 133:14,16 121:1 13:20 114:10 116:16 111:18 145:3 identifjed 106:1 116:18 146:21 17:10 7:14 18:21 11:1 57:16 70:14 11:10 116:16 121:15 13:14 11:21 113:20 117:10 119:16 121:5 13:14,16 121:5 13:14,16 121:5 13:14,16 122:5 13:14 150:12 1106:16 112:14 14:15 11:15 13:14 11:21 13:20 11:21 14:14:15 11:21	gifts 152:17			142:19,21
166:14   siving 54:17   100:24 147:2   67:4,20,22   68:12,25   68:12,25   70:3,25 73:20   75:2,5 76:14   73:17 80:18   89:17 109:16   164:8,12,18   164:8,12,18   165:7,20   head set 137:13,14   hear 51:15 53:13   73:3 85:16   114:10 116:16   121:5 133:14,16   162:24 163:2   grandchildren   120:11   hear of 51:22 54:3   great 134:3   159:10 168:23   hearsay 133:8   hearsay 133:8   hearsay 133:8   helped 157:10   helping 123:11   here's 61:20 62:7   14:16 122:25   140:14   146:25   162:2 168:9,10   hears 59:13   hears 60:8,25   hours 159:13   100:25   100	given 149:4	117:6 127:23	-	identified 106:14
giving 164:2         100:24 147:2 164:25         67:4,20,22 68:12,25         identify 117:5           Glasko 49:18         head 139:23         70:3,25 73:20         II 48:21           161:20 162:16,20,24 163:4 164:8,12,18 164:8,12,18 165:7,20         headset 137:13,14 165:7,20         headset 137:13,14 165:7,20         headset 137:13,14 11:21 113:20 117:16 119:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 117:2 119:13 120 117:16 119:16 117:2 119:13 120:11 11:21 113:20 117:16 119:16 117:2 119:13 120:11 11:21 113:20 117:16 119:16 117:2 119:13 120:11 11:21 113:20 117:16 119:16 117:2 119:13 120:11 150:4 153:18 160:23 166:1 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 110:23 166:1 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 153:16 160:23 166:1 110:23 166:1 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 150:23 150:14 151:8 150:23 150:14 151:8 150:23 150:14 151:8 150:23 150:14 151:8 160:23 166:1 17:10 168:23 150:14 151:8 168:18 150:23 150:14 151:8 168:18 150:23 150:14 151:8 168:18 160:23 166:1 17:5,18,25 150:14 151:8 168:18 17:10 168:23 150:14 151:8 168:18 17:10 168:23 168:11 10:20:14 141:25 17:5,18,25		having 54:17		116:18 145:3
Glasko 49:18 164:25 head 139:23 162:16,20,24 162:16,20,24 163:4 108:25 headset 137:13,14 165:7,20 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 governs 55:13 heard 51:22 54:3 grandchildren 120:11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 hearsay 133:8 ferenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1 guess 137:1 guess 137:1  hear 60:8.25 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 head 139:23 heading 65:18 70:3,25 73:20 75:2,5 76:14 77:18 78:1 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:15 133:14,16 121:2 119:13 122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 131:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 17:16 119:16 121:15 133:14,16 121:15 133:14,16 121:15 133:14,16 121:15 133:14,16 121:12 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:15 133:14,16 121:12 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:15 133:14,16 121:12 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:15 133:14,16 121:14 114:15 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 121:14 114:15 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 160:12	giving 164:2	_		identify 117:5
head 139:23		164:25	•	-
162:16,20,24 163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 GOLDEN 49:19 governs 55:13 grab 114:20 grandchildren 120:11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 hearsay 133:8 hearsay 133:8 greater 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 granddianship 74:19 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1  heading 65:18 108:25 heading 65:18 108:25 87:14 88:21 108:13 110:8 111:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 117:2 119:13 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 112:14 114:15 110:11 11:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:5 133:14,16 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 173:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 122:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:5 133:14,16 121:5 133:14,16 122:25 150:23 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 173:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 112:14 114:15 110:14:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:5 133:14,16 122:3 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:22 162:2 168:9,10 160:12,14,16 163:18 17:16 70:18 17:78 78:1 80:18 89:17 109:16 122:14 114:15 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 112:14 114:15 110:16 122:11 12:14 110:16 122:11 12:14 110:16 121:5 133:14,16 122:1 12:14 150:14 150:4 150:14 150:4 150:14 150:4 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 150:14 15:15 150:14		head 139:23	-	
163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 73:3 85:16 162:24 163:2 prandchildren 120:11 hearing 51:13 fs:10 135:25 fs:10 135:25 fs:10 135:25 fs:10 168:23 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 greater 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 granddianship 74:19 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1  108:13 110:8 111:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 121:5 133:14,16 117:2 119:13 122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:14 151:8 160:23 166:1  11egally 90:25 155:3 56:20 60:3 155:3 56:20 60:3 155:3 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 160:12,14,16 168:18 17:5 18:25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 168:18 17:5 18:25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 17:5 18:25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 17:5 18:25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 17:5 3:16,18,23 159:10 168:23 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 17:5 3:16,18,23 159:10 168:23 150:14 151:8 160:23 166:1 17:16 119:16 121:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 121:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 121:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 112:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:15 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:16 122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:8 132:6,12 130:4 15:8 160:23 166:1 17:16 119:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 112:14 114:15 110:19:16 112:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:15 110:19:16 122:14 114:10 110:16 121:5 133:14,16 10:23 166:1 110:23 166:1 110:24 15:18 160:23 166:1 17:16 119:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:16 122:14:16:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19 12:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:19:16 122:14:16:	. — . — .	heading 65:18	=	
164:8,12,18 165:7,20  GOLDEN 49:19 governs 55:13 grab 114:20 thear 51:15 53:13 grandchildren 120:11 fear first fir		-		
GOLDEN 49:19  GOLDEN 49:19  GOLDEN 49:19  GOLDEN 49:19  Table 114:20  Grab 114:20  Grandchildren  120:11  Granted 165:3  Great 134:3  159:10 168:23  Greater 77:24  Greenberg 120:21  Greenberg 120:21  Grandchilds  Grandchilds  Grandchilds  Grandchilds  Greenberg 120:21  Grandchilds  Grandchilds  Granted 165:3  Greater 77:24  Greenberg 120:21  Grandchilds  Grandchilds  He'll 106:8  He'll 106:8  Helping 123:11  helping 123:11  helping 123:11  here's 61:20 62:7  114:16 122:25  160:23 126:4  130:8 132:6,12  133:5 136:20  142:6,19 143:3  146:22,25  150:14 151:8  160:12,14,16  161:21  162:6  168:18  160:12,14,16  168:18  160:12,14,16  168:18  160:12,14,16  168:18  169:13  17: 16 119:16  121:5 133:14,16  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:2 119:13  150:4 153:18  160:23 166:1  117:16 119:16  121:5 133:14,16  121:5 133:14,16  121:5 133:14,16  121:5 133:14,16  121:5 133:14,16  160:23 166:1  117:16:10  16:10  117:16 119:16  121:5 13:14:16  121:5 13:14:16  121:5 13:14:16  121:5 13:14  160:23 166:1  117:16:10  16:20  16:21  16:20  16:20  16:20  16:21  16:22  16:4  130:8 132:6,12  133:5 13:6:20  150:14 151:8  160:12,14,16  16:22  62:7,17,23  63:12,23  64:6,25 68:5  69:13  71:5,18,25  72:2,7,17,23  73:5 74:2,3,8  76:2,4,7 78:21  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:14 88:3,23  79:36 4:6:12  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12 1  100:12		headset 137:13.14		
GOLDEN 49:19  governs 55:13  grab 114:20  grandchildren  120:11  granted 165:3  great 134:3  159:10 168:23  greater 77:24  Greenberg 120:21  grandianship  74:19  guardianships  140:14  guess 137:1  guess 137:1  guess 137:1  hear 53:13  117:2 119:13  121:5 133:14,16  120:23 126:4  130:8 132:6,12  133:5 136:20  142:6,19 143:3  146:22,25  150:14 151:8  150:4 151:8  160:12,14,16  160:23 166:1  11m 53:16,18,23  55:3 56:20 60:3  61:22  62:7,17,23  63:12,23  64:6,25 68:5  69:13  71:5,18,25  72:2,7,17,23  73:5 74:2,3,8  76:2,4,7 78:21  79:14 88:3,23  89:8 92:7  94:24,25 98:25  99:3,6 104:23  guess 137:1  hels 60:8 25  hours 159:13  hours 159:13  hours 159:13	165:7,20			
governs 55:13  grab 114:20  grandchildren 120:11  granted 165:3  great 134:3 159:10 168:23  greater 77:24  Greenberg 120:21  ground 112:15  guardianship 74:19  guardianships 140:14  guess 137:1  162:24 163:2  122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18  He'll 106:8  help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 14:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hears 317:1  hels 60:8,25  helped 157:10 helping 123:11 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25  hels 60:8,25	GOLDEN 49:19	1		
grab 114:20       heard 51:22 54:3       130:8 132:6,12       illegally 90:25         grandchildren       59:8 147:20       142:6,19 143:3       I'm 53:16,18,23         120:11       hearing 51:13       146:22,25       55:3 56:20 60:3         granted 165:3       58:10 135:25       150:14 151:8       61:22         great 134:3       hearsay 133:8       160:12,14,16       61:22         greater 77:24       He'll 106:8       168:18       63:12,23         Greenberg 120:21       help 72:1,20,22       87:10 139:3       138:11       71:5,18,25         ground 112:15       helped 157:10       helping 123:11       hotline 141:25       76:2,4,7 78:21         guardianship       14:16 122:25       162:2 168:9,10       148:14 165:9       99:3,6 104:23         guess 137:1       he's 60:8,25       160:8,25       100:25       106:2,111	governs 55:13		122:23 126:4	
grandchildren       59:8 147:20       142:6,19 143:3       111egg11y 50:23         granted 165:3       hearing 51:13       146:22,25       55:3 56:20 60:3         great 134:3       159:10 168:23       hearsay 133:8       160:12,14,16       62:7,17,23         greater 77:24       He'll 106:8       168:18       63:12,23         Greenberg 120:21       help 72:1,20,22       87:10 139:3       HONORABLE 48:21       71:5,18,25         grandchildren       help 72:1,20,22       87:10 139:3       71:5,18,25       72:2,7,17,23         greater 77:24       help 72:1,20,22       87:10 139:3       71:5,18,25       72:2,7,17,23         ground 112:15       helped 157:10       hour 83:11       73:5 74:2,3,8       76:2,4,7 78:21         guardianship       14:16 122:25       79:1,20 139:8,9       79:14 88:3,23       89:8 92:7         guess 137:1       he's 60:8,25       hours 159:13       105:20 106:2,11			•	
120:11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 greater 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1  hearing 51:13 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 171:5,18,25 172:2,7,17,23 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:1 16:2,11 18:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 18:14 165:9 19:3 56:20 60:3 150:14 151:8 160:12,14,16 161:22 162:7,17,23 163:12,23 164:6,25 68:5 172:2,7,17,23 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:5 74:2,3,8 173:1 16:2,112:18 18:14 165:9 18:14 16:22,25 18:14 16:22,25 18:14 16:22,25 18:14 16:21 18:14 16:22,25 18:14 16:22,25 18:14 16:21 18:14 16:22 18:14 16	_			I
granted 165:3       58:10 135:25       150:14 151:8       61:22         great 134:3       159:10 168:23       160:12,14,16       62:7,17,23         greater 77:24       He'll 106:8       168:18       63:12,23         Greenberg 120:21       He'll 106:8       168:18       69:13         Greenberg 120:21       HONORABLE 48:21       71:5,18,25         ground 112:15       Helped 157:10       Hope 138:11       73:5 74:2,3,8         guardianship       Helping 123:11       Hour 83:17       79:14 88:3,23         guardianships       140:14       162:2 168:9,10       148:14 165:9       94:24,25 98:25         guess 137:1       He's 60:8,25       hours 159:13       105:20 106:2,11	<del>-</del>	hearing 51:13		
granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 hearsay 133:8 He'll 106:8 He'll 106:8 Help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1 he's 60:8.25  153:16 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 He'll 106:8 168:18 He'll 106:8 168:18 Honorable 48:21 hope 138:11 hotline 141:25 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13  153:16 62:7,17,23 63:12,23 64:6,25 68:5 69:13 71:5,18,25 72:2,7,17,23 73:5 74:2,3,8 hotline 141:25 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13  105:20 106:2,11 109:25 112:19		- 1		
great 134:3     159:10 168:23     hearsay 133:8     160:12,14,16     63:12,23       greater 77:24     He'll 106:8     168:18     64:6,25 68:5       Greenberg 120:21     help 72:1,20,22     HONORABLE 48:21     71:5,18,25       ground 112:15     helped 157:10     hotline 141:25     73:5 74:2,3,8       guardianship     helping 123:11     hour 83:17     79:14 88:3,23       guardianships     140:14     162:2 168:9,10     148:14 165:9     99:3,6 104:23       guess 137:1     he's 60:8,25     hours 159:13     105:20 106:2,11	-			
greater 77:24  Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19  guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1  He'll 106:8  help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 help 60:8.25  He'll 106:8  168:18  HONORABLE 48:21 hope 138:11 hope 138:11 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 99:3,6 104:23 105:20 106:2,11	_	hearsay 133:8		
Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 guardianships 140:14 guess 137:1  help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 help 60:8.25 help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 hope 138:11 hope 138:11 hotline 141:25 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13 hours 159:13	1	·	·	=
Greenberg 120:21     87:10 139:3     hope 138:11     72:2,7,17,23       ground 112:15     helped 157:10     hotline 141:25     76:2,4,7 78:21       guardianship     helping 123:11     hour 83:17     79:14 88:3,23       guardianships     114:16 122:25     148:14 165:9     94:24,25 98:25       140:14     162:2 168:9,10     hours 159:13     105:20 106:2,11       help 60:8,25     104:25     112:19	greater 77:24			
ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 140:14 guess 137:1 helping 123:15 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 hours 159:13  73:5 74:2,3,8 76:2,4,7 78:21 79:14 88:3,23 89:8 92:7 94:24,25 98:25 99:3,6 104:23 105:20 106:2,11	Greenberg 120:21			
guardianship     helped 157:10     hotline 141:25     76:2,4,7 78:21       ration     helping 123:11     hour 83:17     79:14 88:3,23       guardianships     here's 61:20 62:7     97:1,20 139:8,9     89:8 92:7       140:14     141:16 122:25     148:14 165:9     99:3,6 104:23       guess 137:1     hours 159:13     105:20 106:2,11	ground 112:15	ľ	hope 138:11	
74:19  guardianships 140:14  guess 137:1  helping 123:11  here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10  helping 123:11  hour 83:17 97:14 88:3,23 89:8 92:7 94:24,25 98:25 99:3,6 104:23 105:20 106:2,11	quardianshin	_	hotline 141:25	
guardianships     here's 61:20 62:7     97:1,20 139:8,9     89:8 92:7       140:14     114:16 122:25     148:14 165:9     94:24,25 98:25       162:2 168:9,10     hours 159:13     105:20 106:2,11       109:25 112:19		helping 123:11	hour 83:17	-
140:14 14:16 122:25 148:14 165:9 99:3,6 104:23 162:2 168:9,10 help 60:8.25 hours 159:13 105:20 106:2,11	mardianshins			
guess 137:1   162:2 168:9,10   hours 159:13   105:20 106:2,11			148:14 165:9	•
held 60:8.25		162:2 168:9,10	hours 159:13	105:20 106:2,11
	147:17 159:4		house 157:6	
66:22 68:6 113:25		66:22 68:6		113:25

		<u> </u>	Page 10 of 20
115:15,18,22	infer 65:24	interested 55:8	64:1,15 65:9
117:15,19		57:9 74:10,23	66:9 69:8,10
118:20 119:1	informal 150:22	152:10 163:9	70:17 73:7,9
123:13 125:25	information 54:10	164:23	74:21 75:9
127:21 128:15	55:23 60:17		76:13 77:16
132:2 133:11	64:23 69:6	interesting	87:5 89:15 94:8
138:12 141:11	75:22 76:11,24	139:10	95:13 97:5
144:21 154:3	77:2,17,19 82:6	interests 69:2,4	101:10 104:22
159:8,20 161:13	84:2,10 87:8	76:18 79:10	105:16 106:1,23
162:3,18	90:3,6 91:3	90:15 121:17	108:22,24,25
163:2,7	96:16 99:23	163:22	109:1 110:16
164:2,21	100:3	interject	114:2 116:23
168:14,23,24	103:6,19,22	70:2,5,11	117:7,10,11
169:1	104:15,21,24,25	70:2,5,11	118:25
immediate 140:7	105:2 115:23 121:19	Internet 126:18 147:23	123:7,13,15
Impeachment	128:5,10,12,16,		124:13 125:4
125:1,2	17,22,24	interpretation	127:5,6,17
· ·	129:3,6,16	121:23	128:12 129:2
important 79:1	139:12 141:17	interrupt 145:14	132:24 133:7,8
92:8	144:5,7 147:22	159:9	136:7,9 137:1
impose 54:16	148:15 149:4,8	interrupted 135:2	138:14 152:15 156:17 157:3,17
improper 103:12 116:5	162:25	intimate 87:7	Ivan 48:9 78:11
impropriety 52:25	informed 134:6 146:1	introduce 75:19	I've 85:9,23 87:9
	inherit 80:14	introduced 75:21	89:5,9,20 90:21
inaccuracies	121:12 152:6,13	114:7 133:1	91:6 94:5,8
148:6	· ·	4	95:20 96:19
inaccurate	inheritances	investigation	110:15 111:7
144:1,15,17	140:14	104:1 134:22	115:7 123:2
145:25 148:25	initial 82:17	135:6 141:7	124:6 127:24
149:5	111:18 138:14	143:22	128:24 132:21
433	141:5	investigations	141:14 142:7
included 81:3		100:11 104:6	145:17 146:8 156:15 164:18
155:22 168:5	initiated 81:16	investigative	156:15 164:18
including 149:2	injury 75:4	126:21	I-V-I-E-W-I-T
161:20			117:24
inconsistencies	inquiries 103:7	involved 54:11	
76:8	instead 58:14	56:23 61:11	<del></del> j
ľ	161:20	67:1 99:25	Janet 122:16
Incorrect 126:19	Institute 133:23	121:18 134:4 166:16	
increment 165:10	instructions	involvement 86:24	January 133:22
incrementally	153:10,13	154:18	jar 165:22,25
165:12	intake 135:13,15		Jill 49:17 164:9
indeed 52:19	136:4,22 140:7	involving 58:25 134:12,20	<b>job</b> 159:10
independently	144:19 148:13	135:20 139:14	Joel 97:6 20 21
70:19	149:6 154:6,11		Joel 87:6,20,21
	intakes 136:5	isn't 75:3 98:7	John 49:8 50:7
indicated 81:2		101:11 117:22	91:20 92:5
135:9 145:20	intends 147:11	125:3 159:18	132:13,18
147:9	intention 153:12	<b>issue</b> 59:3 61:18	join 72:3
indication 134:1	interest 52:11	74:1 119:2	
Indigo 61:9	57:5 58:25	135:16 139:2	joinder 71:14
individual 55:17	62:11 64:7,20	142:2 152:9,21 158:21	joined
	65:7 67:15,24		70:18,19,24
individually	68:22	issues 57:3,11	joining 72:7,16
151:20,24	69:12,13,16	125:12	judge 51:3 57:17
158:17	80:6 91:5	item 95:9	71:19 73:2,19
individuals	100:24 101:1		86:14 106:5
104:13	121:10 163:12	it's 55:6,8,10	126:13 128:23
	164:24	59:11,15 62:17	120:13 120:23
		63:12,22	A4711 13313

Judicial 48:1   63:25 64:19,20   1   1   1   123:8   1   13:2   10:19   13:20   13:2				Page II of 20
164:13   Judge's 149:19   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 17:15   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 17:12   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 17:15   Sept 160:16 62:4   Sept 17:12   Sept 1	160:10 162:16		light 164:1	malpractice 75:25
judge's 149:19 jude's 149:1		lawsuit 75:3	<del>-</del>	
Judicial 48:1 72:16 165:24 72:16 165:24 63:28 64:19,20 137:24 138:21 72:16 165:24 65:24 67:13 137:24 137:27	dudgolg 149.19	lawyer 52:6,10	11Kely 117:15	102:15,18,19,23
Total	1	1	limit 123:8	103:2 110:19
139:12   139:13   1		-	limitations	
123:7 140:19.23   146:68:7 95:9   144:3,4 148:9   159:18.22   manager 122:19   manager 12	72:18 165:24	l .		
Table   Tabl	July 93:25 170:12		14 60.7 05.0	
Kicker 54:4   lawyer-client   59:23 64:22   147:18   148:12   147:18   148:12   148:18   148:12   148:18   14		-		159:18,22
Ricking 54:13,14   Ricking 54:13,14   Sp:23 64:22   66:24   Liquidating 147:18   Liquidatin	77			manager 122:19
Ricking 54:13,14   66:24   66:24   147:18   14		ì	lines 99:15	Manceri 120:15
Rids 158:12			liquidating	
Rids 158:12   Lawyers 56:22   64:16 90:7,9   111:1 120:21   1isted 106:18   115:9 136:13   138:3 169:2   138:3 169:2   138:3 169:2   138:3 169:2   120:21 117:13   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:21 120:31   120:	kicking 54:13,14		147:18	
Rimberly 102:1	kids 158:12	66:24	Tiga 49:17 164:8	142:18 150:4
kinds 100:4 knew 104:3 last 169:2 ladding 129:21 leading 129:21 learn 70:13 159:2 learn 70:19 litem 164:5 life 164:5 life 165:23 life 164:5 life 165:23 life 164:5 life 165:23 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:24 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 165:25 life 164:5 life 1	1	lawyers 56:22		marked 50:13
Rinew 104:3   135:9 136:13   136:19   156:19   156:19   142:20 143:7   147:4   147:4   147:4   136:19   14	Kimberly 102:1	II	list 89:21 108:4	•
Rnowledge 58:1   Lawyer's 57:23   listen 79:22   102:21 117:13   MARSAA 170:7,15	kinds 100:4	1	<b>listed</b> 106:18	· ·
Internation   Color	knew 104:3		156:19	
Trill   Tril	1	138:3 169:2	listen 79:22	3
Rnown 128:3 148:2   leading 129:21   121:24 122:7,9   123:4 124:15   material 77:17   145:11   145:12   157:12   153:12   153:13   140:12   145:13   145:15,8   145:15,8   145:15,8   145:15,8   145:15,9   145:1		Lawyer's 57:23		14/:4
RNOWFRA 49:6	i	leading 129:21		MARSAA 170:7,15
RONOPKA 49:6   learn 0:13 19:2   learned 60:17   152:24 158:25   least 70:19   litem 164:5   167:20   literally 138:18   litem 164:5   149:25   large 149:3,7   leaf 64:23   large 149:3,7   legal 61:18,23   62:5 79:14   100:13,17   11:15 122:20   139:2 154:16,20   laste 58:22 81:7   107:9 122:25   125:9 139:8   lengthy 149:2   laste 49:7:20,22   less 97:24 139:9   144:23 148:13   let's 66:12 73:25   late 74:8 78:14   late 74:8 78:14   late 74:8 78:14   launching 100:12   launching 100:13   launching 100:13   launching 100:13   launching 100:13   launching 1	known 128:3 148:2	l		Martin 48:21 51:3
Lack 80:16   least 70:19   litem 164:5   167:20   Materiality   149:25     lack 80:16   least 70:19   literally 138:18   litigation 65:23   late 74:4,22   language 68:4,11   left-hand 58:22   large 149:3,7   170:8   62:5 79:14   log:13,17   f6:15 76:19,20   log:13 10:12 138:18   litigation 65:23   litigators 54:5   little 53:22   log:13 165:21   log:16 124:13   log:16 124:14   log:16 125:19   log:17   log:	KONOPKA 49:6	learn 70:13 159:2	138:19	
L   lack 80:16   least 70:19   literally 138:18   litigation 65:23   lacks 74:4,22   led 64:23   loft-hand 58:22   larguage 68:4,11   left-hand 58:22   large 149:3,7   logal 61:18,23   62:5 79:14   loft-hand 58:22   litigators 54:5   little 53:22   153:5   little 53:22   155:15   little 53:22   155:5   little 53:22   155:5   little 53:22   little 53:25   little		learned 60:17	litem 164:5	
lack 80:16		152:24 158:25		
116:6,9		least 70:19	libomollus 130.10	
lacks 74:4,22   led 64:23   140:12 148:22   153:5   153:1 163:23   153:1 163:1 163:23   153:1 163:1 163:23   153:1 163:1		Joerro 121.6	-	149:25
language 68:4,11 large 149:3,7 170:8 last 58:22 81:7 91:23 95:17 107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8 lasted 97:20,22 139:9 late 74:8 78:14 later 138:9 143:18 148:21 launching 100:12 law 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 1107:19,22,25 1107:19,22,25 1107:19,22,25 1107:19,22,25 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:10 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:5 1108:10 1108:18 1109:22 1108:18 1109:22 1108:18 1109:22 1109:22 1109:22 1109:21 111:16,19 111:16,19 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 112:18 138:23 110:27 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 112:18 138:23 110:29 110:19 112:21,8,10 112:18 138:23 110:29 110:19 110:16 111:16,19 112:22,8,10 112:18 138:23 110:29 110:19 110:16 111:16,19 112:22,8,10 112:5:25 162:14	1			
larguage 68:4,11  large 149:3,7 170:8  last 58:22 81:7 107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8  lasted 97:20,22 139:9  late 74:8 78:14  launching 100:12  launching 100:12  launching 100:12  law 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:21 139:5 114:23 148:21  late 87:8 110 122:8,10 123:10 123:10 124:13 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  legal 61:18,23	lacks 74:4,22	led 64:23		•
large 13:3,7 170:8  last 58:22 81:7 91:23 95:17 107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8  lasted 97:20,22 139:9  late 74:8 78:14 later 138:9 144:23 148:13  later 138:9 143:18 148:21 launching 100:12 launching 100:12 law 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:25 12:14  later 138:9 110:25,7 13:10 125:21 139:5 110:25,13 110:25 110:16 111:16,19 111:16,19 111:21,24 138:21 139:5 146:6 155:10 1158:20 145:13 165:21 145:15,8 11ttle 53:22 166:18 124:13 165:21 1ive 121:22 133:12 139:8 11tve 121:22 133:12 139:10 107:9,10,13 100:13,17 100:19 110:10 110:10 110:10 110:10 110:10 110:10 110:16 111:16,19 111:15,25 110:26 110:10 1	language 68:4,11	left-hand 58:22		153:1 163:23
170:8	large 149:3.7	legal 61:18.23	litigators 54:5	materials 144:25
last 58:22 81:7       100:13,17       66:18 124:13       matter 52:8,13         91:23 95:17       111:15 122:20       139:2 154:16,20       live 121:22       67:17 68:10         125:9 139:8       lengthy 149:2       133:12       72:19 88:19         lasted 97:20,22       less 97:24 139:9       144:23 148:13       lbc 61:10       39:11,25 95:6         late 74:8 78:14       let's 66:12 73:25       107:9,10,13       matters 76:1       136:3         later 138:9       143:18 148:21       117:17 124:17       157:2,5,7,8,18,       133:24       103:24 104:11         law 49:9,19       150:3       125:23,24 56:14       159:23       158:7,10,11,13,       matters 76:1         137:24 58:3,5,23       109:22       109:22       109:23       100ge 162:21       56:2,3,23 59:3         137:19,20 90:4       136:7,8,11,14       136:7,8,11,14       97:21 120:5       73:25 74:19         105:11       106:15,19,22       143:14,16       145:11,5,25       121:18 138:23       108:11 100:7         106:15,19,22       146:6 155:10       10s 54:6       114:20 116:5         110:16       11:16,19       119:5       119:5         125:12 134:24       139:5       16s:10       110:9         100:16       11:16,19       10:		_	little 53:22	145:5,8
Second Second	1t 50.00 01.7	1	66:18 124:13	matter 52:8.13
107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8  lasted 97:20,22 139:9 144:23 148:13 late 74:8 78:14 later 138:9 143:18 148:21 launching 100:12 law 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  139:2 154:16,20 133:12 100:5 100:5 100:5 100:5 100:5 100:5 11 100:6:15,19,22 105:11 100:6:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:11 136:7 138:10  1139:9 111:7 138:10  1139:9 113:12 133:12 133:12 133:12 107:9,10,13 108:5 1100:5 155:17,7,8,18, 125:2,5,7,8,18, 125:2,7,7,8,18, 125:2,7,7,8,18, 125:2,10,11,13, 125:2,1,24 153:3  125:2,2,3 23:14 125:2,3,23 59:3 62:22 63:13 62:		111:15 122:20	165:21	59:8 61:13 62:8
125:9 139:8     lengthy 149:2     133:12     72:19 88:19 89:11,25 95:6 134:25, 95:6 134:25, 135:20 136:3       1asted 97:20,22     less 97:24 139:9 144:23 148:13     lives 157:6,7,18 136:3     134:2,5 135:20 136:3       late 74:8 78:14     let's 66:12 73:25 78:2,25 92:4,7 96:19 115:3 108:5 157:2,5,7,8,18, 117:17 124:17 150:3     108:5 157:2,5,7,8,18, 123:24 104:11 135:8 139:14 151:21,24 153:3       launching 100:12     150:3     157:2,5,7,8,18, 23,24 151:21,24 153:3     151:21,24 153:3       law 49:9,19     letter 108:18 109:22 105:23 76:16 78:38 13:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:61,19 102:2 105:11 106:51,19,22 105:11 106:51,19,22 105:11 106:51,19,22 107:19,22,25 146:6 155:10 101:6 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14     logic 62:8 13:10 15:17 121:18 138:23 108:11 10:7 13:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 154:16 165:14 157:19       125:12 134:24 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14     1ibrary 71:11 138:10     1ife 87:8 111:7 138:10     100:9 136:20 142:6 165:14 157:19	1	139:2 154:16,20	live 121:22	67:17 68:10
lasted 97:20,22		lengthy 149:2		72:19 88:19
139:9     144:23 148:13     LLC 61:10     136:3       late 74:8 78:14     let's 66:12 73:25     107:9,10,13     matters 76:1       later 138:9     78:2,25 92:4,7     108:5     103:24 104:11       143:18 148:21     117:17 124:17     157:2,5,7,8,18,     135:8 139:14       launching 100:12     150:3     158:7,10,11,13,     151:21,24 153:3       law 49:9,19     letter 108:18     159:3,6,17     may 51:21       52:23,24 56:14     109:22     lodge 162:21     56:2,3,23 59:3       75:23 76:16     111:3,7     logic 62:8     65:23 67:8 70:2       78:3 81:8 84:11     114:7,11     long 81:20 83:16     73:25 74:19       92:6,19 102:2     136:7,8,11,14     97:21 120:5     80:19 93:7       105:11     143:14,16     lot 84:10 115:17     99:10,11 106:5       107:9,22,25     146:6 155:10     lot 84:10 115:17     199:10,11 106:5       110:16     letters 118:9     119:5     118:25 119:13       110:16     letters 118:9     119:5     118:25 119:13       12:2,8,10     life 87:8 111:7     maintenance     146:15 150:4       140:11,16     155:25 162:14     157:19     168:9	1		1dama 157.6 7 10	
late 74:8 78:14				
later 138:9 143:18 148:21 1aunching 100:12 1aw 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  78:2,25 92:4,7 96:19 115:3 157:2,5,7,8,18, 135:8 139:14 151:21,24 153:3  158:7,10,11,13, 15 159:3,6,17 168:5 169:6 62:8 159:3,6,17 169:6 62:8 103:24 104:11 135:8 139:14 151:21,24 153:3  may 51:21 56:2,3,23 59:3 62:22 63:13		144:23 148:13		136:3
later 138:9     143:18 148:21  launching 100:12  law 49:9,19  52:22,24 56:14  57:24 58:3,5,23  75:23 76:16  78:3 81:8 84:11  87:19,20 90:4  92:6,19 102:2  106:15,19,22  106:15,19,22  107:19,22,25  110:16  111:16,19  112:2,8,10  125:12 134:24  138:21 139:5  140:11,16  155:25 162:14  96:19 115:3  117:17 124:17  150:3  117:17 124:17  150:3  157:2,5,7,8,18,  23,24  158:7,10,11,13,  151:21,24 153:3  may 51:21  56:2,3,23 59:3  62:22 63:13  65:23 67:8 70:2  73:25 74:19  75:17 78:24  80:19 93:7  94:21 98:17  106:15,19,22  107:19,22,25  146:6 155:10  letters 118:9  119:5  M  mainly 100:9  maintenance  157:19  168:9	late 74:8 78:14			matters 76:1
launching 100:12	later 138:9			
launching 100:12     150:3     158:7,10,11,13, 151:21,24 133.3       law 49:9,19     1etter 108:18     159:3,6,17     may 51:21       52:23,24 56:14     109:22     lodge 162:21     56:2,3,23 59:3       75:23 76:16     111:3,7     logic 62:8     65:23 67:8 70:2       78:3 81:8 84:11     114:7,11     long 81:20 83:16     73:25 74:19       87:19,20 90:4     136:7,8,11,14     97:21 120:5     80:19 93:7       92:6,19 102:2     139:16,22     155:19     80:19 93:7       105:11     143:14,16     10t 84:10 115:17     99:10,11 106:5       107:19,22,25     146:6 155:10     10t 84:10 115:17     99:10,11 106:5       110:16     111:16,19     119:5     119:5     114:20 116:25       112:2,8,10     119:5     119:5     118:25 119:13       125:12 134:24     1ife 87:8 111:7     maintenance     154:16 165:14       155:25 162:14     138:10     158:7,10,11,13,13,15     159:3,6,17     160ge 162:21     160ge 162:21     162:22     63:13     65:23 67:8 70:2     73:25 74:19     75:17 78:24     80:19 93:7     75:17 78:24     80:19 93:7     94:21 98:17     10:10:15     10:15:17     10:15:17     113:19,21     114:20 116:25     113:19,21     114:20 116:25     113:19,21     114:20 116:25     115:21,22,23     126:4     12	143:18 148:21			
law 49:9,19     letter 108:18     15 159:3,6,17     may 51:21       52:23,24 56:14     109:22     lodge 162:21     56:2,3,23 59:3       57:24 58:3,5,23     110:2,5,13     logic 62:8     62:22 63:13       78:3 81:8 84:11     114:7,11     long 81:20 83:16     73:25 74:19       87:19,20 90:4     136:7,8,11,14     97:21 120:5     80:19 93:7       92:6,19 102:2     139:16,22     143:14,16     97:21 120:5     80:19 93:7       105:11     143:14,16     105:41     105:41     99:10,11 106:5       107:19,22,25     146:6 155:10     10ts 54:6     113:19,21       110:16     11:16,19     19:5     19:5       112:2,8,10     19:5     19:5       125:12 134:24     19:5     118:25 119:13       138:21 139:5     140:11,16     15:25 162:14     16:25       15:25 162:14     15:25 162:14     15:25 162:14     15:25 162:14	launching 100:12		-	151:21,24 153:3
52:23,24 56:14       109:22       10dge 162:21       56:2,3,23 59:3         57:24 58:3,5,23       110:2,5,13       10gic 62:8       65:23 67:8 70:2         78:3 81:8 84:11       11:3,7       11:3,25       11:3,25       11:3,25       11:3,25       11:3,25       11:3,21       11:3,21       11:3,21       11:3,25       <	1			
57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,5,13 110:2,6,13 111:3,7 110:4 110:5,14 110:7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:16 111:3,7 110:10:5 110:10:5 110:10:10 110	•	1		
75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  111:3,7 111:3,7 114:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:7,11 14:1,14 97:21 120:5 155:19 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14	-		_	
78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  114:7,11 114:7,11 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 136:7,8,11,14 139:16,22 155:19 100:81:20 83:16 97:21 120:5 155:19 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 157:19  maintenance 157:19			<b>logic</b> 62:8	
87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  136:7,8,11,14 97:21 120:5 155:19 90:19 93:7 94:21 98:17 99:10,11 106:5 121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 157:19  maintenance 157:19		- I	long 81:20 83:16	2
92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  139:16,22 155:19 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 10t 84:10 115:17 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 157:19  maintenance 157:19		-		
105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  143:14,16 143:14,16 145:11,15,25 145:11,15,25 146:6 155:10 10ts 84:10 115:17 121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 157:19  16ts 84:10 115:17 100:15 10s 54:6  110:16 111:10:16 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 157:19	-			
106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  145:11,15,25 146:6 155:10  121:18 138:23 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 mainly 100:9 maintenance 157:19  108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 154:16 165:14	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lot 84:10 115:17	
107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  116:6 155:10  letters 118:9 119:5    M	1 ' '			-
110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  letters 118:9 119:5    M		146:6 155:10		
111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14  119:5    M		letters 118:9	10TB 54:6	· ·
125:2,8,10				
138:21 139:5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14 138:10 138:10 138:10 130:19 146:15 150:4 154:16 165:14 168:9	I		M	125:22,23 126:4
140:11,16 155:25 162:14 138:10 157:19 146:15 150:4 154:16 165:14		_	mainly 100:9	1
155:25 162:14 157:19 158:10 157:19			maintenance	
1 1 107:9	•	138:10		
	163:14 164:24			108:3

	<del></del>		Page 12 of 20
maybe 66:18 72:1	144:23	moving 55:12 56:4	NW 49:2 78:13
97:25 119:4	Mischaracterizes	58:13 64:18	
mean 56:2,19	105:14	116:12 164:11	
62:18 65:1 71:9		MRACHEK 49:5	0
74:18 75:14	missing 116:4		object 112:14
77:6 104:20	117:10	myself 90:25	124:10 133:6 145:22 150:11
109:24	model 53:23		
118:1,2,25	mom 113:2 137:25	N	objected 75:14
122:25 141:3	139:14 152:15	Nancy 49:14	objecting 70:4
145:14 152:6		<u> </u>	105:4 123:7
156:10 159:8	moment 91:17	narrative 123:9,22	-5-4
168:6,8	103:6 116:1	123:9,22	objection 72:4,6 80:16 82:2
means 95:13	146:1 165:6	narrow 122:10	89:13 93:21
112:17 123:7	moments 67:9	nature 134:23	105:13
	mom's 151:5,6	140:10	108:15,16
meant 99:3			112:11 113:13
meet 133:24 134:9	Monday 108:22	necessarily 153:7	114:13,14 115:1
meeting	139:25 140:3,6	necessary 150:23	116:5,9 118:13
96:14,17,24	142:12	156:20,22	119:9 124:14
134:2,25 141:5	money 84:18	negative 110:4	125:7,8 127:5
meetings	85:2,11 121:22	neglected 65:25	128:25 129:24
144:21,22	125:5,6 139:11	_	143:4,5
1	146:4	neutrality 72:9	146:23,24
member 107:13,17	157:13,21,22	nice 166:22	147:9,25 148:4
members 144:17	158:17,23		149:23
149:4 157:8	monies 146:2	nods 139:23	150:15,24 152:8 162:21
memorializes 53:8	month 138:19	non-beneficiaries	· ·
		95:24	<b>obtain</b> 85:5 108:6
mention 137:24	Moran's 102:1	none 88:25 134:16	112:5
mentioned 89:10	Morley 82:1	non-issue 156:24	obtained 108:8
93:1 95:9	108:20 109:2		112:2
121:11 134:17	morning 133:22	nor 63:19 144:16	obviously 86:20
142:3 167:12,13	mother 129:11	no-rep 136:7	166:5
mentioning 168:15		normal 117:22	offering 117:3
merit 164:21	motion 51:14		_
	52:15,18 53:7,10 54:20	normally 91:9	office 67:8 81:22
met 134:10 135:3	55:5,15	Norman 87:24	82:18 83:5,8
141:4	56:4,5,7 57:10	88:1,5	111:3 130:22
Metcalf 162:7,8	58:15	notarized 107:8	133:25 134:11,19
Michelle 82:1,25	61:11,12,22		134:11,19
108:20,24	62:13 63:22	notary 100:11	136:8,14 137:13
109:2,5,12	64:15 66:4	102:3 103:9,11,12,13,	141:8 144:9,17
136:22,25	68:19	15,16,19	149:5 153:25
137:2,5 140:5,7	70:12,17,23	134:14,18,20	154:12
141:7,13	71:5,12	135:17,21	155:18,25
144:9,12,18	72:17,24 76:4	137:19	offices 81:8
145:2 149:10	86:15 94:19,24		
155:18,20,25	106:4,13 141:8	notes 149:21	Oh 84:22 85:18,23
158:5 159:6	143:16 160:25	150:2,6,9,12 170:11	102:9 111:10
middle 73:4	161:3,16,18,23 163:5,8		142:10 155:20
mind 92:9 115:24	164:3,4,19,20	nothing 74:16	<b>okay</b> 51:9,10
	165:3	101:8 115:12	53:14 55:2
minimum 148:19	167:6,7,19	140:8 147:24	56:19 58:11
minors 88:15	, · ·	153:15 159:17 160:9 166:1	59:19 60:21
minute 65:16	motions 167:3	_	62:23 63:9
162:19	move 94:10 108:13	notice 71:1	65:5,14,21
Į.	114:11 125:7	85:19,24 117:21	66:1,6,11,15,18 . 69:17,24 71:20
minutes 97:24	143:2 146:21	notices 91:2	72:3,24,25
138:24 139:1	149:14		72:3,24,25
141:10 143:18	<u> </u>	novel 137:20	/3:10,14,20

Page 13 of 20

FA AR FF O	F2.45.46.40	F0:0	110.12.16.10
74:17 75:8 77:13 78:2,5,22	73:15,16,18 77:11 111:13	page 50:3 58:19,20	119:13,16,18 121:5,8
79:1,16,24		65:12,15,17	121:5,8
80:12	Oppenheimer	106:12,13,17	122:1,14
81:15,17,20	122:17	115:20,25 116:1	125:1,3,10,17,2
· · ·	opportunity 54:3		
82:4,12,14 83:2		119:20,22 143:13	1,24 126:4,6,10 127:9 128:2
84:22 85:25	opposing 52:16	143:13	
86:10,20 87:12	53:12 54:2	pages 107:9	129:5
89:6 90:2,11	56:15,17,18	116:20	130:1,4,8,12,22
91:15,25	59:2 65:19	paid 102:25	131:12,25
92:7,12,13,24	130:23 162:10	para 102:25	132:2,5,10,13,1
94:1,9,21 95:7	opposition 161:25	Palm 48:1 49:7,10	8 141:11 143:10
96:7 97:13		51:5 88:17 89:5	153:23 160:16
98:5,17 99:21	oral 71:14	170:4	161:13,17,21,23
100:5 101:3	order 166:23	Palmetto 49:19,20	163:12,18,20
102:22 103:5,14	167:6,18,23		165:7,17
105:4	168:2,12,16	Pam 95:24	168:18,20
106:8,11,17,21,		Pankauski 49:8,9	Pankauski's 63:5
24	orders 167:2,5	50:6,7 51:18	81:22 82:18
107:4,16,18,21	ore 162:21	52:20 53:14,15	83:5,8 84:11
108:3,17 111:6	164:18,20	56:8	130:21
112:9,14,19	1	57:1,5,7,13	mamaw 165.21
113:1 114:5	original 95:21	59:9,10,24	paper 165:21
115:5,20 116:17	133:13 156:5,9	60:10,22,25	papers 53:4
117:12,16	originally 140:19	61:24	132:19 144:20
118:6,11,19	Orlando 133:23	62:4,12,20,24	paperwork 164:15
119:9,17	135:4	64:1,21	
121:1,15	13514	66:22,23	paragraph
123:4,23 124:4	others 89:18,20	67:4,7,10,22	110:18,23
125:8,22 126:7	117:8 141:20	68:2,8,12,23	paralegals
128:1	148:24	70:22,25	154:16,20
129:18,21,22	otherwise 69:14	71:7,14,17,19,2	-
130:5,6,13 131:1,12,15,25		2 72:4	parents 76:1
132:4,6 133:5	outcome 91:5	73:15,17,19	Partially 113:9
135:5 136:19	outcomes 90:15,17	74:16,18	participate 72:1
139:24 143:6	outdated 59:11	75:11,16 76:16	74:4,20
149:16 150:6,15		77:7,13 80:16	· I
151:11,14,18	outside 62:10	81:9,25 82:2,7	participation
152:12 153:20	79:24 88:9	83:11,24	75:8
155:7,22	149:19 152:16	85:13,16,20	particular 136:6
156:2,11	168:25	86:1,16	155:2
158:3,6,14	overlap 66:19	87:14,16	
159:23	_	88:12,21	<pre>parties 48:12     134:16</pre>
160:1,13,17,23	overpaid 113:15	89:7,23 91:20	
161:7,15	overrule 89:17	92:5,16	party 54:22 55:12
162:1,2,14	112:19	93:10,24	56:4,17,18
163:5 164:10	overruled 88:10	94:2,11,23	58:13 64:18
165:14 166:12	119:10 124:15	95:6,8 96:2	65:20 68:20
167:2,9,22		98:6,14,23,24	71:25 164:11
168:2,3,17,23	owed 134:22	99:2,6,12	party's 77:21
169:4	141:1,23	102:13 103:1	134:16
<b>Olive</b> 49:9	owned 157:7,18	104:10	
OTIVE 49.9		105:6,15,18,19,	passed 68:15
one-page 110:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24 106:5,10	patience 159:15
136:4	P	107:12	-
ones 90:5 91:23	P.A 49:6,14,19	108:11,19,22	pay 79:20,21
156:24	P.A., (and 48:12	109:9,15,18,20,	84:18 97:7
		23 110:2,7,10	113:8,11
ongoing 103:25	p.m 48:25 137:5	111:12,25	122:4,12,17
open 138:9 159:12	142:8 143:11,15	112:23	123:10 124:4,6
_	146:17 169:7	113:19,22,24	138:16
opening 51:21,24	packet 55:5	114:10,20 115:6	<b>paying</b> 157:19
53:17	<u>pacino 0 0010</u>	116:15 118:17	,

		T .	
payments 158:7,10,11,14	placed 127:18	power 120:8	proceedings 48:20 51:2 118:23
pending 160:24	plan 152:1,14 156:19,21	PR 75:13 151:5 161:5,18	160:9 169:6 170:10
people 55:24	157:2,10	practice 136:9	
100:19 114:4	planners 90:20	138:21,22	product 128:9 154:19
119:23 125:13	planning 133:23	140:11 148:18	
166:5 168:11,13	149:7	Pratt 88:8,11,14	professional
percent 78:21	plans 133:24	113:10	52:4,25 56:21 57:23 59:14
115:19	152:16	pre-dates 59:12	154:15 170:7
perform 154:16	Plaster 120:21	predicate 116:10	professionally
performed 64:21	play 117:18	- Predominantly	48:13,14,16
period 110:25	playing 117:20	140:13	proffer 150:16
permit 60:19	pleading 55:12	prepare 63:19	prohibit 54:17
158:19	56:6,12	prepared 115:10	135:7
permitted 60:25	pleadings 55:14	presence 150:20	promise
61:1	63:19	154:18 165:25	146:7,8,10
person 52:5 55:8	please 78:10	present 49:22	promised 149:11
57:9 62:10 63:24 64:3	88:13,17 89:10	51:12 81:17	prongs 77:25
69:24	93:13 98:25 100:8 115:20,24	presented 103:12	proof 53:19 164:1
74:11,21,24	119:20 123:22	presently	proper 55:24 91:2
81:13 135:12,15	125:16,18 130:1	78:17,20 79:4	101:16 123:8
	146.1	85:3	properly 59:3
136:4,23 148:13	146:1		
	PLLC 49:9	previously 106:14	
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23		145:3	property 74:21 93:17 153:10
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11		property 74:21
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2 personal 48:15	PLLC 49:9	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16	property 74:21 93:17 153:10
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2 personal 48:15 75:4 86:24	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21	145:3 primarily 59:1	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2 personal 48:15	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21 102:24 118:24	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21 102:24 118:24 163:16	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21 102:24 118:24 163:16 points 54:2	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2 116:15 140:5	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14	PLLC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2 116:15 140:5 155:20	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11 petitioner 48:10	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23	145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2 116:15 140:5 155:20 probate 48:2	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16  147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12  162:9  privity  61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2  61:12 72:13  probably 72:2  116:15 140:5  155:20  probate 48:2  159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11 petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7 phone	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possibility 52:6	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16  147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12  162:9  privity  61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2  61:12 72:13  probably 72:2  116:15 140:5  155:20  probate 48:2  159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23  135:9	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prove 77:24
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16  147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12  162:9  privity  61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2  61:12 72:13  probably 72:2  116:15 140:5  155:20  probate 48:2  159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23  135:9  problems 100:10	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14  petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7  phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possibility 52:6	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16  147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12  162:9  privity  61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2  61:12 72:13  probably 72:2  116:15 140:5  155:20  probate 48:2  159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23  135:9	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11 petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7 phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possible 63:10	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16     147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12     162:9  privity     61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2     61:12 72:13  probably 72:2     116:15 140:5     155:20  probate 48:2     159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23     135:9  problems 100:10  procedural 68:16  procedure	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prove 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25 148:20
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14  petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7  phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1  phonetic 77:15	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16  147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12  162:9  privity  61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2  61:12 72:13  probably 72:2  116:15 140:5  155:20  probate 48:2  159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23  135:9  problems 100:10  procedural 68:16	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14  petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7  phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1  physically 161:22	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1 postdated 103:8 post-death 134:14 postmortem 90:24	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16     147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12     162:9  privity     61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2     61:12 72:13  probably 72:2     116:15 140:5     155:20  probate 48:2     159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23     135:9  problems 100:10  procedural 68:16  procedure     57:20,22     58:2,4,6,9     132:24 138:22	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25 148:20 provided 90:2,3 105:11 106:19 107:19,24
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14  petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7  phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1  phonetic 77:15 physically 161:22 pick 166:1,5,10	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16  points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possibility 52:6 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1 postdated 103:8 post-death 134:14 postmortem 90:24     102:3	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16     147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12     162:9  privity     61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2     61:12 72:13  probably 72:2     116:15 140:5     155:20  probate 48:2     159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23     135:9  problems 100:10  procedure     57:20,22     58:2,4,6,9     132:24 138:22     141:6	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25 148:20 provided 90:2,3 105:11 106:19 107:19,24 11:18
136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2  personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1  personally 48:13,14,15 83:7 92:5,18  perspective 162:11  Peter 49:13,14  petition 85:8 107:11  petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7  phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1  physically 161:22	PLIC 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21     102:24 118:24     163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7     86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21     59:21 60:22     62:19 69:9     70:20 71:20     72:8 74:2,3     153:1,24     154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1 postdated 103:8 post-death 134:14 postmortem 90:24	primarily 59:1  prior 63:24 86:16     147:3 156:23,24  private 144:4  privilege 112:12     162:9  privity     61:3,5,10,13  pro 48:9 49:2     61:12 72:13  probably 72:2     116:15 140:5     155:20  probate 48:2     159:11  probative 118:15  problem 63:23     135:9  problems 100:10  procedural 68:16  procedure     57:20,22     58:2,4,6,9     132:24 138:22	property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17 prospects 154:25 protected 77:18 prov 77:24 provide 51:19 106:21 107:21 128:5 132:25 148:20 provided 90:2,3 105:11 106:19 107:19,24

66:21 114:24       ready 72:19 162:3       referenced 137:22       repeated         117:8 156:13       real 157:19       references 60:13       repeated         purpose 117:4       realities 139:4       references 60:13       Repetitiv         150:17,21       reality 62:17       references 87:6,21       replied 1         pursuing 79:14       reality 56:24       reflect 51:12       report 17         really 56:24       reflected 146:18       reporter       123:20:1         149:14 152:18       refused 122:15       130:2 1!         163:8,16       refused 122:15       130:2 1!         160:6       reason 65:24       regard 55:19       61:5 62         regard 55:19       64:2 67       68:2,9 6         79:16,22,25       regard 137:21 138:25       regarding 75:25       86:8 89         84:21 88:22       reasons       89:11 113:2       110:2         130:2 11       113:2 2       113:2 2	91:15 9:21,25 15,20 62:1 D 51:1 44:19 1:9,14 4:12 25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
publicly 125:11         rambling 123:21         recreating 167:16         137:12, 140:6 1           126:17,20         rather 97:23         Redirect         130:15,16         REMEMBERE           pull 118:2         Raton 49:3 78:13         RE-DIRECT 160:4         removal 1         removal 1           punishment 77:22         re 48:5 118:9         re-examined         141:14         remove 10           62:14 68:20         140:7         refer 54:7 116:14         removed 5           159:22         reading 64:14         reference 155:12         removing           purportedly 64:3         real 157:19         reference 155:12         removing           100:23,7         reference 60:13         repeated           159:22         real 157:19         reference 60:13         repeated           100:23,7         reference 60:13         repeated           150:17,21         reality 62:17         reference 87:6,21         replied 1           pursuing 79:14         really 56:24         reflected 146:18         report 17           149:14 152:18         163:8,16         reflected 146:18         reporter           160:6         reason 65:24         regard 55:19         61:5 62           65:23 67:10         reason 65:24         86:15 56:12         64	62:1 D 51:1 44:19 1:9,14 4:12 25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
147:22       rather 97:23       130:15,16       REMEMBERE         pull 118:2       Raton 49:3 78:13       RE-DIRECT 160:4       removal 1         punishment 77:22       re 48:5 118:9       re-examined       remove 10         purported 57:4       focil 4 68:20       reaction 86:2       refer 54:7 116:14       removed 5         purportedly 64:3       reading 64:14       reference 155:12       removing         purpose 117:4       real 157:19       references 60:13       repeated         pursuing 79:14       realities 139:4       reference 87:6,21       replied 1         putting 165:13       reality 62:17       reference 47:6,21       report 17         really 56:24       really 56:24       reflect 51:12       report 17         qualified 166:6       Realty 124:7       refused 122:15       130:2 11         160:6       reason 65:24       regard 55:19       61:5 62         79:16,22,25       137:21 138:25       regarding 75:25       68:2,9         82:23 83:1       159:4 166:12       89:11 113:2       10:2         84:21 88:22       reasons       reasons       89:11 113:2       113:2	44:19 1:9,14 4:12 25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
punishment 77:22 purported 57:4 62:14 68:20 159:22 purportedly 64:3 66:21 114:24 117:8 156:13 purpose 117:4 150:17,21 pursuing 79:14 putting 165:13   Comparison 60:2 qualified 166:6 question 60:2 65:23 67:10 78:25 79:16,22,25 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 92:14 94:1  Punishment 77:22  re 48:5 118:9 re-examined 141:14 refer 54:7 116:14 reference 155:12 reference 137:22 references 60:13 110:19 146:7 referred 87:6,21 replied 1 reflect 51:12 reference 137:22 references 60:13 110:19 146:7 reflect 51:12 reflect 51:12 reflected 146:18 reporter refused 122:15 124:2 refused 122:15 123:20 130:2 1: 170:7,1: refused 55:19 61:5 62:25 regarding 75:25 86:8 89 110:2 87:8 88:18 89:11 113:2	1:9,14 4:12 25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
purported 57:4 62:14 68:20 159:22 reading 64:14 117:8 156:13 real 157:19 realities 139:4 150:17,21 reality 62:17 reality 62:17 reality 56:24 reference 155:12 reported 17:4 putting 165:13  Q qualified 166:6 question 60:2 65:23 67:10 78:25 79:16,22,25 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 Reaction 86:2 141:14 reference 155:12 referenced 137:22 references 60:13 110:19 146:7 referred 87:6,21 replied 1 reflect 51:12 report 17 reflected 146:18 reporter 123:20 130:2 11 124:2 refused 122:15 130:2 11 170:7,11 regard 55:19 56:15 58:12 64:2 67 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 reasons reaction 86:2 141:14 131:5,9 removing 100:23, reference 155:12 repeated reference 47:6,21 replied 1 reflect 51:12 report 17 reflected 146:18 reporter 123:20 130:2 11 170:7,11 regard 55:19 56:15 58:12 64:2 67 86:5 162:25 Reason 65:24 137:21 138:25 Researding 75:25 87:8 88:18 89:11 113:2	4:12 25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
62:14 68:20 159:22  purportedly 64:3 66:21 114:24 117:8 156:13  purpose 117:4 150:17,21  pursuing 79:14  putting 165:13  Q qualified 166:6 question 60:2 65:23 67:10 78:25 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 92:14 94:1	25 138:1 123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
purportedly 64:3 66:21 114:24 117:8 156:13  purpose 117:4 150:17,21  pursuing 79:14  putting 165:13   Comparison of the comparison of the	123:6 e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
66:21 114:24       real 157:19       references 60:13       repeated         purpose 117:4       realities 139:4       references 60:13       Repetitiv         pursuing 79:14       reality 62:17       referred 87:6,21       replied 1         putting 165:13       123:6 137:17       reflect 51:12       reporter         149:14 152:18       refused 122:15       123:20         163:8,16       refused 122:15       130:2 1!         qualified 166:6       Realty 124:7       refusing 88:22       represent         question 60:2       reanswer 82:5       regard 55:19       61:5 62         78:25       reason 65:24       86:5 162:25       68:2,9 6         79:16,22,25       137:21 138:25       regarding 75:25       72:11,22         84:21 88:22       159:4 166:12       87:8 88:18       10:2         82:14 94:1       reasons       89:11 113:2       113:2	e 145:22 10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
purpose 117:4     150:17,21     pursuing 79:14     putting 165:13	10:4 0:9 107:3 125:17
reality 62:17  pursuing 79:14  putting 165:13  O  qualified 166:6  question 60:2  65:23 67:10  78:25  79:16,22,25  82:23 83:1  84:21 88:22  92:14 94:1	0:9 107:3 125:17
putting 165:13     123:6 137:17     reflected 146:18     reporter       149:14 152:18     refused 122:15     130:2 19       163:8,16     124:2     170:7,19       qualified 166:6     Realty 124:7     refusing 88:22     represent       question 60:2     reason 65:24     regard 55:19     61:5 62       78:25     reason 65:24     86:5 162:25     68:2,9       79:16,22,25     137:21 138:25     regarding 75:25     72:11,22       84:21 88:22     reasons     89:11 113:2     110:2       89:11 113:2     110:2	125:17
Q     163:8,16     refused 122:15     130:2 19       qualified 166:6     Realty 124:7     refusing 88:22     represent       question 60:2     reanswer 82:5     regard 55:19     61:5 62       78:25     reason 65:24     86:5 162:25     68:2,9       79:16,22,25     137:21 138:25     regarding 75:25     72:11,23       84:21 88:22     159:4 166:12     87:8 88:18     110:2       92:14 94:1     reasons     89:11 113:2     113:2	
qualified 166:6         Realty 124:7         refusing 88:22         represent           question 60:2         reanswer 82:5         56:15 58:12         61:5 62           78:25         reason 65:24         86:5 162:25         68:2,9 6           79:16,22,25         137:21 138:25         regarding 75:25         72:11,22           82:23 83:1         159:4 166:12         87:8 88:18         110:2           92:14 94:1         reasons         89:11 113:2         113:2	
65:23 67:10 reanswer 82:5 56:15 58:12 64:2 67 78:25 reason 65:24 86:5 162:25 68:2,9 6 79:16,22,25 137:21 138:25 regarding 75:25 82:23 83:1 159:4 166:12 87:8 88:18 110:2 84:21 88:22 reasons 89:11 113:2 113:2	52:11
79:16,22,25 137:21 138:25 regarding 75:25 82:23 83:1 159:4 166:12 87:8 88:18 110:2 82:14 94:1 reasons 89:11 113:2 113:2	- 1
82:23 83:1 159:4 166:12 87:8 88:18 86:8 89 84:21 88:22 reasons 89:11 113:2 110:2	
84:21 88:22 87:8 88:18 110:2 reasons 89:11 113:2 110:2	
1 99:14 94:1 1 1945/45 1	,23
1 468-44 40 48   134-19 149-1	,6,10
98:4,13	
115,32, 121,34	22 140:8
122:9 91:17 96:2,15 regurgitate 146:6	
123:4,5,8,9,20 97:21 98:10 151:1,3	,20
105.71	
127:6,8 represent	
129:3,4,21 receive 85:12,22 related 52:13 59:2 109:130:5,14 145:19 110:1 112:24 67:17 68:10 141:22	
148:4 150:3     received 55:5     relationship     represent       160:17     71:1 76:23     52:7,19 53:5,9     48:15 10	
110.5 111.3	
109:22   141:10 142:3,24   61:6 64:24   131:2,6	İ
143:11 147:8,13   66:24 73:24   151:12,1	L6 161:6
58:25 80:1	
87:12 111:9 158:3	atives
115:25 118:8 receives 138:18	7 60 00
121:9 130:9 relevancy 113:13 represent	acı 69:221
143.31   Tepresent	
quick 55:4   13:17, 25   152:8   100:7.10	ing 56:8
quote 144:10,11 114:3 115:7 relevant 152:11 135:7 14	ing 56:8

Page 16 of 20

160:18,20,22 163:13,21	136:11 retainer	152:20 154:14 162:3 163:24	92:1 111:8 136:11 144:6,7
		7	145:1
request 53:10	84:14,16,22,25	rules 52:3 53:23	
56:10 75:13	85:6 98:2,9,11	56:21 57:23	sense 134:3
86:20 127:11	99:18 111:19	58:1 60:8,9	164:11
149:20	138:10,11,13	64:4 66:25	sensible 166:16
requested 90:6	145:16,17,18,21	86:12,19 101:17	<b>2</b>
96:13 134:8	retainers 145:18	113:17	sensitive 144:5
	recainers 145:18		148:15
150:13	rev 151:4	ruling 162:16	sent 81:21,24
requesting 164:16	reveal 60:17	167:4	82:6,16 83:4,19
	I .	run 149:15 157:21	
required 61:3,11	139:12		86:12
requirements	revealed 135:18	<del>`</del>	91:11,13,15,19,
61:18		S	20,23 92:5,18
	review 85:19	<b>sad</b> 139:4	96:1,4,8,16
research 64:9	96:17 115:19	Saturday 140:2	98:15,18 101:16
reserve 53:13	135:21,22	Sacurday 140:2	106:14 112:2
	145:5,8	<b>saw</b> 56:6 64:9	114:4 116:1,2
reside 78:17	154:19,24 159:7	136:3	119:2,3
residence 78:12	reviewed 85:23	scared 117:23	120:14,17,21,23
122:5 157:17	141:24 144:24	BCared 11/:23	135:23,24
	161:8	<b>scope</b> 79:25 88:9	136:8,17 139:22
resigned 84:7		- - 	143:14
resolved 53:1	revised 95:10	Scott 112:8,10 113:1,6	144:4,8,16,18,2
resort 77:22	revocable 74:7	113:1,6	5 145:2,6,9,11
	94:4,13	screen 135:18	146:5 149:6
respect 52:8	revokes 156:23	ge 48:9 49:2	154:5,11
161:11	revokes 156:23	72:13	159:3,6
respectfully 53:9	rid 100:20		sentence 58:22
	rights 122:20	seal 103:12,13	65:22 110:21
respectively 53:3		search 142:1	
respond 146:12	risk 87:5	seat 73:17 160:13	September 81:7,12
responded	ROBERT 48:13	161:15	97:9 103:19
146:16,19	B 100:15		104:1,12
•	Rogers 120:17	seated 51:9	105:16,22,23,25
Respondents 48:17	Rose 49:5 50:8	second 55:2 56:13	109:1,5,11
response 51:20	99:14	58:23 59:20	110:13 111:4
86:10 118:9	132:3,6,16	61:8 65:22	114:6,11 118:17
119:5 143:14,21	133:18	66:17 72:20	130:20
146:19 147:13	142:18,23	76:22 97:21	136:6,9,15
161:24 162:2	143:2,9 145:24	110:23 143:22	137:2,3,4
	146:21,25	144:3,4	139:19,25
responsibility	147:2,6 148:5		140:4,5 146:6
59:1 65:25	149:17	secondhand 55:23	158:4,23
148:18	150:4,8,25	secondly 57:6	series 144:14
responsible 102:2	151:19 152:23		gerve 165:15
154:19 157:18	153:15 156:16	secured 164:23	
	157:4 158:25	seeing 162:1	166:11
rest 163:24	160:2,5,12	- I	services 64:22
Restate 127:7	166-0	seek 57:17 64:5	84:19 111:15
	route 166:8	71:2	serving 125:4
restated 95:13,21	rule 52:3 53:21	seeking 56:7	-
restricted 140:13	55:13 56:13,20	57:8,9 151:15	<b>sets</b> 52:18 58:8
result 63:4	57:16,20	162:12	61:10
69:9,15 81:21	58:21,24	seen 94:5,8,12	setting 144:14
86:23	59:13,14	95:19,20,21	-
	60:11,18 62:15	110:15 111:7	seven 99:14
retain 84:18	63:12	127:14,17,22,24	several 104:6
100:15 146:3	64:12,13,14	147:22 156:5	128:7 144:10,17
148:11	65:16 66:20	,	148:23,25 149:2
retained 60:1	67:12,19,21,23,	sees 67:7	-
85:17 88:11,14	24 68:7	send 82:9,24 86:5	shake 165:25
	69:11,21 73:6		

Page 17 of 20

			Page 17 of 20
share 148:21	101:7 107:4	speak 56:24	110:1 111:13
shared 115:23	113:18 115:9	57:3,24 63:16	start 71:11 72:16
141:20	119:21,24	83:10 134:8	167:16 168:25
sheet 136:4	120:1,16,18,20,	135:14 149:8	started 62:21
	22,25 123:25 130:19,25	168:13,23	
She's 112:8	131:4,8,11	speaking 71:8	starts 66:20
shift 139:3	163:4 164:18	125:11 137:6	<b>state</b> 51:5 55:20
Shirley 106:25	168:22	<b>speaks</b> 57:20,24	61:2 78:10
107:4,5,10	sister 95:24	66:4	132:17 170:4,8
130:24 131:2,6	sisters 95:22	specifically	statement
152:2 155:13,23	1	52:21	51:21,24 102:9
158:18,19	sit 73:1,3 80:13	speculation 77:23	111:14 127:6 129:2,20
Shirley's 144:9	situation 67:25	82:2	,
149:6 151:13	165:13	spend 138:23	statements 53:17
short 114:8	six 99:14 142:17	split 113:4	73:15
138:17 139:6	slower 107:2	l -	states 52:5,23
146:5 152:4	small 101:22	spoke 100:9	58:24 60:14
shortly 83:18	166:22	101:11,20 103:18 104:11	154:15 162:8
97:15 119:4		131:3 135:12,15	statute 137:23
shown 117:7	Smathers	136:5	164;25
155:17	58:15,17,18 59:11	137:7,10,14	stay 168:24 169:1
sic 69:25 136:9		140:5 144:12,25	steer 72:1
<b>sides</b> 166:9	smoother 92:14	148:12,13 149:9 155:1 158:23	stenographic
side's 150:19	snuck 116:21		170:11
	somebody 54:8,14	spoken 96:19	stenographically
sign 63:21 71:12	91:9 134:7,18	104:12 135:20	170:9
signature 102:4	somehow 117:4	staff 148:25	step 76:7 131:16
signatures 103:13	someone 54:25	stamp 114:16,21	_
signed 55:24	61:3 64:1,6	117:22 119:16	<b>steps</b> 166:23
56:11 57:11	66:24 67:11	stamped 121:4	stipulate 111:21
90:24 111:15	141:20 159:7	stamping 114:23	Stipulation
silent 58:2	163:11 165:15,17,24	stamps 109:16	111:23
similar 64:12	166:2 167:12	_	stop 55:2 92:10
90:8	168:6	stand 73:12	98:12 122:7,22
Simon 48:6 51:11	son 78:14	standard 75:17	126:23 127:4,11
78:14 79:11		77:9,13 136:9	163:12
95:10,14	sorry 71:19 88:23 89:8 92:6,8,12	138:12 145:18	<b>story</b> 99:18
107:1,6 144:8	99:3,6 106:2	standards 53:19	138:19
152:2 155:14	107:4 111:10	59:16	straight
156:2,5,16	113:18 115:15	standby 72:21	92:4,7,13 94:9
157:5,8 161:11 165:1	116:7 117:1	standing 54:24	straightforward
1	122:23 123:13 151:8 159:8	61:17 65:1	166:17
Simon's 92:24 93:8,12 94:4,13	161:13	74:1,3,4,19,22, 23	strategles
120:3 121:12			148:15,21,22,23
149:6	sought 84:23	Stansbury	149:4
151:4,9,10,16	sounds 73:8	49:12,23 54:23 55:7,21 58:13	strategy
152:14 157:9	source 128:14	59:6 61:7	100:14,17,22
158:18,19	South 49:6,9,20	63:1,3 68:18	148:16
single 138:20	51:3	69:1 70:18	Street 49:2 78:13
sir 56:18 63:8	Spallina 48:12,13	71:22 74:1	stricken 122:24
79:12 81:10,23	84:8 91:8 93:16	94:19 106:13	123:19
83:20,23 84:13	100:1,19	164:6 167:24	<b>strike</b> 98:7 125:7
85:1 86:9 87:18 89:10 91:21	102:2,24 108:8	Stansbury's 54:19	144:20
92:25 95:3	112:3 119:6 137:17 159:22	69:3,4 73:21	structured 78:20
	13/:1/ 123:77	76:4,5 109:21	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rage 10 Of 20		
STUART 48:14	125:9 145:23	163:13,19,21	that's 54:22 59:4		
stuff 91:9 100:4	148:1,3	164:17 166:12	60:10 61:20,25		
104:23 108:5	150:15,24	167:20	62:23 63:10,22		
aubdirdalar F2:10	sworn 78:6 132:13	Ted's 101:1	66:11 67:1		
<b>subdivision</b> 52:10 67:14		121:17,18 124:2	69:11 70:10,20		
		162:25 164:4	71:4,7,17,18 72:12 75:2 77:9		
subject 52:10	T table 132:7	telephone 82:10	79:1 82:21		
67:13 118:8 134:2 136:2		83:14 152:25	84:21 87:11		
	tailormade 80:1	ten 139:1	89:13 91:9		
subjective 163:16	taking 62:19		92:11 93:4		
submit 53:3	153:24 154:7	tenus 162:21	94:5,14 95:4		
submitted 53:4	165:1	164:19,20	96:15 98:12		
	talk 53:18 61:15	terms 84:16 86:24	102:8 104:22		
subpart 52:9	67:17 78:3	Tescher 48:12,13	105:8		
subsection 66:2	86:14 130:22	75:25 84:7,8	106:3,13,14 108:17,25 112:1		
67:13 68:3	138:1 159:13	91:8 93:16	116:5 117:25		
subsequent 163:20	168:2,11	100:1,12,19	118:1,6 121:23		
subsequently	talked 100:6,13	101:21	127:14 128:8,16		
131:1 135:5	talking 67:8 71:5	102:1,6,15,18,2	129:19 132:24		
141:5.8	103:6,7,24	3 103:3 108:8 112:3 119:5	137:5 138:25		
1	114:6 120:11	12:3 119:5	139:7		
substance 147:10	137:18,19	134:12,17,19	140:14,18,20		
substantially	talks 148:14	135:20	141:6 144:15 145:25		
52:13 67:16		137:16,17	145:25		
68:10	tampering 115:18	138:5,6,7	148:25 152:11		
successor 48:15	taxes 157:19	139:15 155:7,13	155:10		
sue 49:17 75:25	Ted 49:4,23	159:18,22	157:3,7,9,10		
102:6,15,18	54:17,24 56:8	Tescher's 137:16	159:8,10,21		
137:16 138:6	61:5	test 76:13,14	160:3,8,19		
suggesting 75:12	62:3,12,22,24		162:14		
168:7	64:2 67:12	testified 96:1 121:11 145:4	166:6,18,19 167:15,22		
suing 100:22	68:2,9 69:4,5,7,13		·		
_	74:15,24	testify 76:2,5	THEODORE 48:14		
<b>Suite</b> 49:6,9	75:4,9,12,13	132:2 133:12	thereafter 69:23		
sun 144:14	76:15,18	testimony 94:12	97:15		
Sunday 133:21	79:4,8,10,14	96:3 97:2	therefore		
140:3 141:6	80:8,10,11,13,2	100:16,21	154:1,8,10		
support 158:12	2,25 85:17 86:1	117:13 127:17,22	there's 69:24		
	90:12,14,20,25	149:12 150:11	90:19,23 115:17		
supposed 91:3	95:23 100:12,14,22,23	153:23 154:4	118:10,11 142:5		
97:21 122:18	100:12,14,22,23	thank 51:17 52:1	144:2		
suppressed 94:6	116:2 118:9	53:15 54:3	Thereupon 78:6		
sure 55:3 56:14	121:15,21,22	55:18 59:10	109:7,13 114:18		
57:15 62:17	122:3,4,12,15,1	73:11,20 77:7	119:11 126:8		
63:12 64:6,25	6,18 124:4,11	78:1,10 80:5	132:13 142:20		
65:8 68:5 69:14	126:11,22	83:2 87:14	143:7 147:4		
73:6 78:21,25	127:3,10 129:14	92:23 95:12	169:6		
79:2 113:23 116:20 117:12	130:23 131:1,5 133:20,24	105:18,20,25	they're 91:10		
110:20 117:12	134:10,21	106:5 109:9,15 114:10,20 121:7	137:5 139:1		
127:21 132:8	135:3,7 138:1	126:3 130:8,12	157:16		
155:9 159:24	140:21,23	132:5,12	they've 157:21		
164:15 165:14	141:9,11,15,22	133:5,16	THI 61:9		
166:10 167:24	143:17 147:12	160:12,14			
168:10,13	151:1,4 153:2,8	Thanks 51:9 73:19	third 54:22 75:2		
surface 57:12	157:4,12,22	108:12 131:16	76:25 110:18		
sustained 93:22	158:7,17,18,22, 25 160:18	153:20 159:23	Thomas 49:6 61:9		
105:5 113:14	161:4,18	169:4	thorough 140:25		
			<del>-</del>		

three-fold 76:13
three-prong 76:13
Thursday 137:1
til 73:6
tire 54:4,13
tires 54:14
titles 91:3
T-O 116:3
today 53:16 75:18 80:13 86:16 91:22 97:10 132:20 145:19 162:7,17
top 82:20
total 97:4
totally 74:22
transcript 135:25 170:10
transcripts 107:8
transfer 148:14
transmitted 69:6 83:22 109:1 112:21
travel 133:24
traveling 52:2 59:13 73:21 75:18
treat 70:23
treating 163:7
<b>trial</b> 54:5 100:21 123:6
148:16,20,22
trip 135:1
Tripp 112:8,10 113:1,6
true 55:21 58:7
59:21 62:5,6 68:11,14 78:25
82:15 101:11 170:11
trust 74:7 79:5
80:7 94:4,13
95:13,14,16,17, 19,20,21,22
101:15 106:25
107:5,6 131:10 134:7
151:5,6,10,13
153:6,7,8,10 155:23
156:2,6,13,16,2 2 157:17
158:13,14,18,19

160:7 161:12 trustee 48:15 101:15 131:9,10 138:2 147:18 151:4,6,9,13 158:18
trustee) (both 48:15
trustees 157:21
trusts 95:10 120:2 129:12 135:24 140:14,17,21 141:21 144:9 152:17 157:9,15,21
truth 121:21
try 53:17,18,24 61:4 82:4 85:5,7 104:8 121:24 139:3 152:19 153:18
trying 75:24 87:10 102:14 128:15 159:21 163:12
Tuesday 139:21 140:4,6
tuition 124:5
turn 115:20 119:19 120:14 143:13
twice 141:3
two-page 146:18
two-year 137:23
type 55:13 64:15 76:10 124:14 138:17
U
<b>Uh-huh</b> 79:23 105:9
UMC 165:22
uncertain 144:21
understand 63:1 123:5 168:19,21
understanding 80:13,15 98:8 132:24 152:15 157:4,17 158:16
understood 75:11,16 153:23 168:20

_
undertak
undertoo
undispute
unemploy
unfortuna 165:23
<b>unless</b> 69 91:10
unlesses
unnotari
upon 53:3 59:5 62 70:23 1
168:6
urgency 1
V
value 118
various 1
venturing
verificat 55:19,2
verified
52:15,1 57:10,1
76:4 94
106:13
<b>verify</b> 55:11,1
version 1
<b>versus</b> 58 118:24
<b>vice</b> 61:1
<b>view</b> 140: 163:16,
<b>violate</b> 7
violated 87:1,3,
VOLUME 48
volumes 1 145:1
volunteer 104:8 1
volunteer
122:19 <b>vs</b> 48:11
** TO!TT
W

·	Page 19 of 2
indertaking 59:2	124:10 127:21
ndertook 143:22	150:3
mdisputed 61:13	waiting 85:9
memployed 125:5	waiver 134:14
infortunately 165:23	waivers 69:21 107:7
nless 69:24 91:10	warned 123:2 wasn't 75:14
ınlesses 69:24	93:15 104:19 114:7 129:20
nnotarized 107:7	136:24 150:20
pon 53:3 57:10	watching 125:14
59:5 62:15 70:23 165:24	we'd 150:22
168:6	Wednesday 51:6
rgency 134:3	146:20 148:10
<u> </u>	week 85:18 91:23
V Value 118:15	weight 77:24 118:25 119:1
arious 100:13	WEISS 49:6
enturing 163:19	<b>Weissman</b> 87:6,9,21
rerification 55:19,24 63:22	Weissman's 87:20
erified 51:14	welcome 99:8
52:15,18 55:5,9	welfare 124:7
57:10,18 58:6	we'll 94:9 111:21
76:4 94:18,24 106:13 167:6	we're 51:10,15
erify	52:2 53:16 59:4
55:11,12,15,22	69:8,9,19 75:17 79:19 80:10
ersion 152:5	104:25 114:25
ersus 58:17,18	136:13 149:15 165:13,21
118:24	166:15,22,25
ice 61:12	168:15
iew 140:24 163:16,17	West 49:7,10 51:4
iolate 72:9	Westlaw 142:1
141:23	we've 73:25 144:10
iolated	whatever 62:19
87:1,3,11	135:22
OLUME 48:21	wherever 135:1
olumes 144:25 145:1	whether 54:24
olunteer 79:1	56:15 57:3,6,7,10
104:8 122:17	60:22 68:16
olunteered	73:5 75:9
122:19	80:13,14 90:11 99:22 118:16
s 48:11	134:22 135:6
To To To To To To To To To To To To To T	139:1,2,5 148:19 150:23
W ait 65:16 92:10	152:10 157:12
98:4 116:6	162:9 168:5

undertake 140:24

Page 20 of 20

			Page	ZU OT ZU
<b>whole</b> 95:25	133:5,16 139:23		1	
118:10 166:20	148:2 149:16			
wholly 95:23	151:8,10,12,15 152:13 160:14			
whom 56:1 81:24	witnesses 56:3			
83:10	131:24 160:15			
who's 69:7 112:7 167:2	work 110:25			
whose 103:12	140:17 154:19			
wife 81:8,16	166:4			
82:9,16	worked 155:19			
83:4,19,22	working 84:6 91:1,8 146:2			Ì
91:14 92:3,4 95:2 96:4,8,19	world 159:14			
106:15 111:11	wrap 152:18			
130:22 135:19 137:1 144:8,18	write 151:7			
149:2,5 154:5	165:18,19,20		ł	
159:5	167:2			
William	writing 71:11		ł	
49:12,18,23 55:7,11	written 51:19			
56:5,7,11,15	70:17		1	
57:3,8,11 63:1 65:1 68:17	wrong 56:20 59:14 129:1			
72:22 164:6,22	wrote 139:16			
willing 165:15	147:8			
166:11				
wills 95:10 120:2	X			
129:11 135:24 140:14 141:21	Xeroxing 71:11			
144:9				
wish 131:5,9	Yates 112:6,7		}	
wit 51:7	yesterday 51:21			
withdraw 135:10	70:14 75:15			
withdrawn 81:20	76:6 84:7 149:19 150:9,14			
withheld 94:5	yesterday's 51:13			
witness 50:3	yet 63:13 70:22			İ
79:2,18,19,23 80:20 82:6	114:25 138:12			
84:22	150:7 161:14 166:21 168:12			
88:11,21,24 89:1,4,15,20	you'll 114:17			İ
92:12 93:7	163:3 165:5,20			
94:8,21 98:5,17 99:10 102:12,22	yourself 71:12			
106:6,7 107:4	99:4 123:12,16			
110:7 111:10	you've 89:11			
112:14,17,21 113:15,18,19,22	90:2,3 92:24 94:12 111:18			
116:7,22	121:3,21			
117:1,10,16,19,	122:4,25 123:17 124:4 140:20			
21,25 118:4 119:4,8	169:3			
122:8,12,23				
123:3,13,17,23, 25 124:19		·		
125:20,22				
127:24 131:23				