

<p style="text-align: right;">1</p> <p style="text-align: center;">VOLUME: I PAGES: 1-165 EXHIBITS: 1-15, A</p> <p style="text-align: center;">IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB CP - Probate</p> <p>IN RE:) ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN) _____)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION of DONALD R. TESCHER, called as a witness by and on behalf of Ted S. Bernstein, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, before P. Jodi Ohnemus, RPR, RMR, CRR, CA-CSR #13192, NH-LCR #91, MA-CSR #123193, and Notary Public, within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Hampton Inn & Suites, 10 Plaza Way, Plymouth, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, 9 July, 2014, commencing at 2:38 p.m.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">3</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 (Via Telephone)</p> <p>4 Irwin J. Block, Esq.</p> <p>5 700 South Federal Highway</p> <p>6 Suite 200</p> <p>7 Boca Raton, FL 33432</p> <p>8 561 910-3071</p> <p>9 ljb@ijblegal.com</p> <p>10 For the Deponent</p> <p>11</p> <p>12 (Via Telephone)</p> <p>13 John P. Morrissey, Esq.</p> <p>14 330 Clematis Street</p> <p>15 Suite 213</p> <p>16 West Palm Beach, FL 33401</p> <p>17 561 833-0766</p> <p>18 John@jmorrisseylaw.com</p> <p>19 For Molly Simon, Alexandra</p> <p>20 Bernstein, Eric Bernstein,</p> <p>21 Michael Bernstein</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 (Via Telephone)</p> <p>4 PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.</p> <p>5 BY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq.</p> <p>6 3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd.</p> <p>7 Boynton Beach, FL 33436</p> <p>8 561 734-5552</p> <p>9 Pfeaman@feamanlaw.com</p> <p>10 For William Stansbury</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 (Via Telephone)</p> <p>14 MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE,</p> <p>15 KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.</p> <p>16 BY: Alan B. Rose, Esq.</p> <p>17 505 South Flagler Drive</p> <p>18 Suite 600</p> <p>19 West Palm Beach, FL 33401</p> <p>20 561 655-2250</p> <p>21 Arose@mrachek-law.com</p> <p>22 For Ted S. Bernstein</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: (CONT'D)</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 (Via Telephone)</p> <p>6 TESCHER & SPALLINA</p> <p>7 BY: Robert Spallina, Esq.</p> <p>8 Wells Fargo Plaza</p> <p>9 925 South Federal Highway</p> <p>10 Suite 500</p> <p>11 Boca Raton, FL 33432</p> <p>12 561 997-7008</p> <p>13 Rspallina@tescherspallina.com</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 Eliot I. Bernstein, Pro Se</p> <p>17 2753 N.W. 34th Street</p> <p>18 Boca Raton, FL 33434</p> <p>19 561 245-8588</p> <p>20 Iviewit@iviewit.tv</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 Jill Iantoni</p> <p>23 Mimi McAndrews</p> <p>24 Eliot Bernstein</p> <p>25 Lisa Friedstein</p>

<div>1</div> <div>2</div> <div>3</div> <div>4</div> <div>5</div> <div>6</div> <div>7</div> <div>8</div> <div>9</div> <div>10</div> <div>11</div> <div>12</div> <div>13</div> <div>14</div> <div>15</div> <div>16</div> <div>17</div> <div>18</div> <div>19</div> <div>20</div> <div>21</div> <div>22</div> <div>23</div> <div>24</div> <div>25</div>	<div>5</div> <div>INDEX</div> <div>TESTIMONY OF:</div> <div>PAGE</div> <div>DONALD R. TESCHER</div> <div>(By Mr. Rose) 13</div> <div>(By Mr. Feaman) 31</div> <div>(By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) 106</div> <div>7</div> <div>Tescher 11-B Reconciliation Detail, 8</div> <div>period ending 11/30/2006</div> <div>Tescher 11-C Reconciliation Detail, 8</div> <div>period ending 1/31/2007</div> <div>Tescher 12 Declaratory Action to 9</div> <div>Establish a Lost Trust and</div> <div>Appoint a Successor Trustee</div> <div>Tescher 13 TS 001359-367, Will of Simon 9</div> <div>Bernstein</div> <div>Tescher 14 four-page document, 9</div> <div>12/6/2012</div> <div>Tescher 15 Florida Department of State, 9</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, T&S</div> <div>Registered Agents, LLC</div> <div>Tescher A Affidavit of Donald R. 14</div> <div>Tescher</div> <div>6</div> <div>EXHIBITS</div> <div>EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE</div> <div>Tescher 1 Simon L. Bernstein Amended 8</div> <div>and Restated Trust Agreement</div> <div>Tescher 2 three-page web printout 8</div> <div>Tescher 3 two-page letter, 1/14/2014 8</div> <div>Tescher 4 four-page email, 1/30/13 8</div> <div>Tescher 5 Will of Simon L. Bernstein 8</div> <div>Tescher 6 Florida Department of State, 8</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name,</div> <div>Bernstein Family Realty, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 7 Articles of Organization, 8</div> <div>Bernstein Holdings, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 8 Certificate of Limited 8</div> <div>Partnership, Bernstein</div> <div>Family Investments, LLP</div> <div>Tescher 9 Articles of Incorporation, 8</div> <div>Shirley Bernstein Family</div> <div>Foundation, Inc.</div> <div>Tescher 10 Florida Department of State, 8</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Two</div> <div>Oaks Consulting, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 11-A Reconciliation Detail, 8</div> <div>period ending 9/29/2006</div> <div>8</div> <div>(Tescher 1, Simon L. Bernstein Amended and</div> <div>Restated Trust Agreement.)</div> <div>(Tescher 2, three-page web printout.)</div> <div>(Tescher 3, two-page letter, 1/14/2014.)</div> <div>(Tescher 4, four-page email, 1/30/13.)</div> <div>(Tescher 5, Will of Simon L. Bernstein.)</div> <div>(Tescher 6, Florida Department of State,</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Bernstein Family</div> <div>Realty, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 7, Articles of Organization,</div> <div>Bernstein Holdings, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 8, Certificate of Limited</div> <div>Partnership, Bernstein Family</div> <div>Investments, LLP.)</div> <div>(Tescher 9, Articles of Incorporation,</div> <div>Shirley Bernstein Family Foundation, Inc.)</div> <div>(Tescher 10, Florida Department of State,</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Two Oaks</div> <div>Consulting, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-A, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>Period ending 9/29/2006.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-B, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>period ending 11/30/2006.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-C, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>period ending 1/31/2007.)</div>
<div>1</div> <div>2</div> <div>3</div> <div>4</div> <div>5</div> <div>6</div> <div>7</div> <div>8</div> <div>9</div> <div>10</div> <div>11</div> <div>12</div> <div>13</div> <div>14</div> <div>15</div> <div>16</div> <div>17</div> <div>18</div> <div>19</div> <div>20</div> <div>21</div> <div>22</div> <div>23</div> <div>24</div> <div>25</div>	<div>6</div> <div>EXHIBITS</div> <div>EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE</div> <div>Tescher 1 Simon L. Bernstein Amended 8</div> <div>and Restated Trust Agreement</div> <div>Tescher 2 three-page web printout 8</div> <div>Tescher 3 two-page letter, 1/14/2014 8</div> <div>Tescher 4 four-page email, 1/30/13 8</div> <div>Tescher 5 Will of Simon L. Bernstein 8</div> <div>Tescher 6 Florida Department of State, 8</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name,</div> <div>Bernstein Family Realty, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 7 Articles of Organization, 8</div> <div>Bernstein Holdings, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 8 Certificate of Limited 8</div> <div>Partnership, Bernstein</div> <div>Family Investments, LLP</div> <div>Tescher 9 Articles of Incorporation, 8</div> <div>Shirley Bernstein Family</div> <div>Foundation, Inc.</div> <div>Tescher 10 Florida Department of State, 8</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Two</div> <div>Oaks Consulting, LLC</div> <div>Tescher 11-A Reconciliation Detail, 8</div> <div>period ending 9/29/2006</div> <div>8</div> <div>(Tescher 1, Simon L. Bernstein Amended and</div> <div>Restated Trust Agreement.)</div> <div>(Tescher 2, three-page web printout.)</div> <div>(Tescher 3, two-page letter, 1/14/2014.)</div> <div>(Tescher 4, four-page email, 1/30/13.)</div> <div>(Tescher 5, Will of Simon L. Bernstein.)</div> <div>(Tescher 6, Florida Department of State,</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Bernstein Family</div> <div>Realty, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 7, Articles of Organization,</div> <div>Bernstein Holdings, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 8, Certificate of Limited</div> <div>Partnership, Bernstein Family</div> <div>Investments, LLP.)</div> <div>(Tescher 9, Articles of Incorporation,</div> <div>Shirley Bernstein Family Foundation, Inc.)</div> <div>(Tescher 10, Florida Department of State,</div> <div>Detail by Entity Name, Two Oaks</div> <div>Consulting, LLC.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-A, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>Period ending 9/29/2006.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-B, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>period ending 11/30/2006.)</div> <div>(Tescher 11-C, Reconciliation Detail,</div> <div>period ending 1/31/2007.)</div>

<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>1 (Teschler 12, Declaratory Action to 2 Establish a Lost Trust and Appoint a 3 Successor Trustee.) 4 (Teschler 13, TS 001359-367, 5 Will of Simon Bernstein.) 6 (Teschler 14, four-page document, 7 12/6/2012.) 8 (Teschler 15, Florida Department of State, 9 Detail by Entity Name, T&S Registered 10 Agents, LLC.) 11 MR. FEAMAN: Alan, you're taking this 12 deposition for the purpose of using it at the 13 hearings that are going to take place on Friday 14 before Judge Colin; and I'd like to stipulate that 15 by this deposition today, I am not waiving -- I 16 assume none of the other parties are waiving their 17 right to take the deposition of Mr. Tescher at some 18 point in the future concerning any issues that are 19 not before the court on Friday. 20 MR. BLOCK: What's before the court on 21 Friday? 22 MR. FEAMAN: Before the court on Friday, 23 according to an order entered by Judge Colin -- 24 MR. BLOCK: What date is Friday? 25 MR. FEAMAN: Friday is the 11th.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>1 I agree wholeheartedly. 2 I would actually express in advance some 3 concern that your exhibits would seem to go far 4 afield of those issues. But subject to that, I am 5 fine with your stipulation. And I would like to -- 6 I think the witness should be sworn in. 7 MR. MORRISSEY: This is John Morrissey. 8 The only addition that I would have to the 9 stipulation is Mr. Feaman made a couple of 10 references to the use of this deposition only at 11 the hearing on the 11th. 12 My understanding is we have a kind of a 13 pour-over hearing on the 16th. So I would add to 14 that stipulation that this deposition could be used 15 on the 16th to the extent necessary as well. 16 MR. FEAMAN: Well, my stipulation doesn't 17 involve use. It involves a waiver on the part of 18 my client to -- if -- that somehow he would not be 19 allowed or anybody else would be allowed to take 20 Mr. Tescher's deposition again because it's already 21 been taken. And the point is, there may be issues 22 that arise in the future in which Mr. Tescher is 23 involved -- either as a witness or in some other 24 capacity -- and, therefore, today's deposition 25 would not be a waiver of any parties' right to take</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>1 MR. BLOCK: Okay. Good enough. 2 MR. FEAMAN: And the four things that this 3 deposition -- I understand -- is being taken for 4 is, No. 1, Elliot Bernstein's motion to disqualify 5 Alan Rose and the Mrachek law firm and John 6 Pankauski and the Pankauski law firm; No. 2, the 7 various motions/petitions filed in connection with 8 an appointment of a successor personal 9 representative for the estate of Simon Bernstein; 10 No. 3, the various motions and petitions filed in 11 connection with the removal of Ted Bernstein as 12 trustee of the Simon Bernstein Revocable Trust; and 13 the determination of the applicability of the 14 attorney/client privilege regarding an email sent 15 by Ted Bernstein to Eliot Bernstein; and that, by 16 our asking questions of Mr. Tescher today, we do 17 not waive the right to take Mr. Tescher's 18 deposition, if necessary, at some point in the 19 future concerning any other issues that may or may 20 not arise in connection with these matters. 21 MR. ROSE: I have no objection to your 22 stipulation. In fact, I think it's very obvious 23 that this deposition should be limited to four very 24 narrow issues. One of them has nothing to do with 25 Mr. Tescher -- which will be the privileged email.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>1 his deposition in the future for other matters. 2 MR. ROSE: Let me take over for a second. 3 This is Alan Rose. Mr. Block, as counsel for Don 4 Tescher, do you agree he can be deposed again in 5 the future? 6 MR. BLOCK: Well -- 7 MR. FEAMAN: Subject to the limitation and 8 nobody would ask him the same questions we're going 9 to ask him today. 10 MR. BLOCK: What I agreed to is the 11 stipulation decided by Peter, with the additional 12 of the date of the 16th by John Morrissey. That's 13 what I agree to. 14 MR. ROSE: And for the record, the 15 deposition is going to be used for whatever 16 purposes a deposition can be used under the Florida 17 Rules of Civil Procedure with no limitation. 18 You can swear in the witness. 19 DONALD R. TESCHER, having 20 satisfactorily been identified by 21 the production of a driver's license, 22 and being first duly sworn by the Notary 23 Public, was examined and testified as 24 follows to interrogatories 25 BY MR. ROSE:</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 Q. Would you state your full name for the 2 recovered? 3 A. Donald R. Tescher. 4 Q. And are you an attorney licensed to 5 practice law in the State of Florida; currently a 6 partner of the law firm Tescher & Spallina? 7 A. Yes; and yes. 8 Q. Where are you physically located today? 9 A. Right now I'm sitting in Plymouth, 10 Massachusetts. 11 Q. Is your plan to spend the summer in 12 Massachusetts? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do you have plans to be in Palm Beach 15 county or July 11th or July 16th of this year? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Is -- is your current location more than 18 100 miles from the courthouse? 19 A. Your courthouse; right -- or our 20 courthouse, I should say, in Florida -- 21 Q. Is your -- 22 A. -- certainly it's about 1,500 miles. 23 Q. Is your location more than 100 miles from 24 the Palm Beach County courthouses? 25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 March, would you give me the same answers today as 2 you wrote in your affidavit? 3 A. I believe so. 4 Q. Now, attached to the affidavit there are 5 five documents that have been marked in the 6 affidavit as A, B, C, D, and E. 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Do you have those in front of you? 9 A. Yes, I do. 10 Q. The first document -- which is Exhibit A 11 to your affidavit -- is entitled "Will of Shirley 12 Bernstein." 13 Are you familiar with the will of Shirley 14 Bernstein? 15 A. This is the will that was executed on May 16 20, 2008. 17 Q. And the original of this will was held in 18 your safe deposit box and ultimately was filed with 19 the court? 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. Exhibit B is the Shirley Bernstein Trust 22 Agreement, also dated May 20, 2008. 23 Are you familiar with that document? 24 A. Yes, sir. 25 Q. Was your law firm responsible for drafting</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. You have in front of you something 2 called "Affidavit of Donald Tescher"? 3 A. I don't. (Witness reviews documents.) 4 I do. 5 MR. ROSE: I'd like to mark that as 6 Exhibit 1 to your deposition. 7 (Discussion off the record.) 8 (Tescher A, Affidavit of Donald R. 9 Tescher.) 10 Q. Are you familiar with Exhibit A, Mr. 11 Tescher? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Is this an affidavit you prepared some 14 time ago? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And signed under oath on March 4th, 2014? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Have you reviewed the affidavit recently? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And had you reviewed the affidavit and had 21 a role in editing it prior to the time that you 22 signed it in March of 2014? 23 A. Yes, I did. 24 Q. If I asked you questions that would elicit 25 the information that you put in your affidavit in</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 the will and the trust for Shirley Bernstein? 2 A. Yes, it was. 3 Q. If you look at Exhibit C, there's a 4 document called "First Amendment to Shirley 5 Bernstein Trust Agreement." 6 Are you familiar with that document? 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Is Exhibit C a true and accurate copy of 9 the first amendment to the Shirley Bernstein Trust 10 Agreement? 11 A. The only thing that's unusual about the 12 copy I'm looking at here is there's no date 13 inserted on the top of the first page; and I 14 believe that document, as I recall, was -- was 15 dated. It's dated, obviously, on the page 2. 16 Q. As far as you know, is the document 17 attached to the affidavit an accurate copy of what 18 would have been signed by Shirley Bernstein on or 19 about November 18, 2008? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Other than the three documents -- A, B, 22 and C -- are you aware of any other operative 23 documents that would have -- that would have been 24 signed by Shirley Bernstein while she was alive? 25 A. No.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>2 Q. I'll ask a different question: As far as</p> <p>3 you know, are Exhibits A, B, and C, the will, and</p> <p>4 the trust, and the amendment and -- the only</p> <p>5 amendment that you're aware of to the Shirley</p> <p>6 Bernstein Trust?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Now, Exhibit D is the will of Simon</p> <p>9 Bernstein.</p> <p>10 Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. And this is a -- not original document,</p> <p>13 but it appears to be dated on July 25, 2012.</p> <p>14 A. It appears to be a conformed copy of a</p> <p>15 will that was executed, apparently, on that date.</p> <p>16 Q. And this will would have been held in your</p> <p>17 safe deposit box in the original files with the</p> <p>18 court upon Mr. Bernstein's death?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. And as far as you know, is this the last</p> <p>21 will of Simon L. Bernstein?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. The last exhibit, Exhibit E, is the Simon</p> <p>24 L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement,</p> <p>25 which is dated July 25, 2012.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 A. I did not have discussions directly with</p> <p>2 Simon regarding that decision.</p> <p>3 Q. Upon Mr. Spallina's resignation as</p> <p>4 trustee, at some point in time you were the sole</p> <p>5 remaining trustee of the Simon L. Bernstein Amended</p> <p>6 and Restated Trust Agreement; is that correct?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir, for one day.</p> <p>8 Q. And did the trust document give you any</p> <p>9 powers with regard to deciding who would be your</p> <p>10 successor?</p> <p>11 A. The provision of the trust document</p> <p>12 provides first that if there is none named, that</p> <p>13 the last surviving trustee can designate the</p> <p>14 successor trustee.</p> <p>15 Q. And did you make a decision in your</p> <p>16 position who should be the successor to you?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>18 Q. And who did you select?</p> <p>19 A. I selected Theodore.</p> <p>20 Q. And could you tell the court why you</p> <p>21 selected Mr. Bernstein -- Mr. Ted Bernstein?</p> <p>22 A. I concluded that he was the logical choice</p> <p>23 for a variety of reasons, including the fact that</p> <p>24 he -- among all of the children, probably had the</p> <p>25 most knowledge of his -- his mother and father's</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 Are you familiar with this document?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. As far as you know, is this the final</p> <p>4 version of a -- or the last version of any trust</p> <p>5 document that Simon Bernstein signed prior to his</p> <p>6 death?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Are you familiar with the prior versions</p> <p>9 of Simon's will and trust from 2008?</p> <p>10 A. Generally.</p> <p>11 Q. In the 2012 document, were you and Robert</p> <p>12 Spallina designated as the successor cotrustees</p> <p>13 upon the death of Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, we were.</p> <p>15 Q. And do you recall who had been listed as a</p> <p>16 successor cotrustee in the 2008 version?</p> <p>17 A. My -- my recollection -- and I don't</p> <p>18 specifically recall -- that it might have been Mr.</p> <p>19 Stansbury.</p> <p>20 Q. And are you aware of a decision by Simon</p> <p>21 Bernstein to remove Mr. Stansbury as a successor</p> <p>22 trustee under his 2012 trust?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, indirectly.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you have any discussions with Simon</p> <p>25 about that decision?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 matters. He was then serving as successor --</p> <p>2 personal representative and successor trustee for</p> <p>3 Shirley after Simon had died. He had, you know,</p> <p>4 direct knowledge of the litigation that was ongoing</p> <p>5 with William Stansbury. He's not a beneficiary</p> <p>6 under any of those documents, other than dividing</p> <p>7 up tangible personal property; and I believe him to</p> <p>8 be a competent person and a competent businessman.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you give any consideration to</p> <p>10 selecting Eliot Bernstein for that role?</p> <p>11 A. Not at all.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you think that Simon Bernstein would</p> <p>13 want Eliot Bernstein to have any fiduciary role in</p> <p>14 connection with his will or his trust?</p> <p>15 A. I do not.</p> <p>16 Q. And can you tell us why?</p> <p>17 A. There has been --</p> <p>18 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>19 Q. You can answer, sir.</p> <p>20 A. Over -- over the years it was made</p> <p>21 apparent to us by members of the Bernstein family</p> <p>22 that Eliot -- Eliot suffers from certain</p> <p>23 impediments and impairments that would affect his</p> <p>24 judgment and ability to act in an impartial fashion</p> <p>25 and to handle the affairs that would be necessary</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 to be handled.</p> <p>2 Eliot and his family -- particularly his</p> <p>3 siblings -- did not enjoy a -- a wonderful</p> <p>4 relationship. It's my understanding that he, at</p> <p>5 times, threatened -- I'm not sure if he actually</p> <p>6 sued -- but he certainly threatened to sue certain</p> <p>7 members of the family.</p> <p>8 In fact, my recollection is that there is</p> <p>9 an agreement that Si Bernstein had Eliot and his</p> <p>10 wife execute regarding his ceasing that activity,</p> <p>11 in exchange for which -- I believe that there were</p> <p>12 payments to be made to Eliot Bernstein in</p> <p>13 connection with helping to support him.</p> <p>14 Q. Now, do you recall Mr. Stansbury also</p> <p>15 being named as the successor personal</p> <p>16 representative under Simon's 2008 document?</p> <p>17 A. I don't specifically recall, but I think</p> <p>18 that was the case.</p> <p>19 Q. And in the documents that were signed July</p> <p>20 25th, Mr. Stansbury is not named in any capacity;</p> <p>21 is that correct?</p> <p>22 A. That is correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know what happened between or</p> <p>24 around July of 2012 that would have caused Simon</p> <p>25 Bernstein to want to remove William Stansbury from</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 residence, which is owned in that limited liability</p> <p>2 company; and, in essence, owned by those three</p> <p>3 trusts for Eliot Bernstein's children.</p> <p>4 Q. And were those elaborate estate plans</p> <p>5 designed and created so that Eliot would not have</p> <p>6 any assets in his individual name or control?</p> <p>7 A. I believe that that was part of the</p> <p>8 rationale.</p> <p>9 Q. Prior to the time that you resigned, Ted</p> <p>10 was not playing any role in the Simon estate or the</p> <p>11 Simon trust; is that accurate?</p> <p>12 A. I'm sorry? Who wasn't?</p> <p>13 Q. Ted was not involved --</p> <p>14 A. Ted?</p> <p>15 Q. -- in a fiduciary capacity for the Simon</p> <p>16 estate or for the Simon trust prior to your</p> <p>17 resignation; is that accurate?</p> <p>18 A. That is correct.</p> <p>19 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to form.</p> <p>20 MR. ROSE: What's the objection?</p> <p>21 MR. FEAMAN: No predicate. Overly broad.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, was Ted at that same time serving in</p> <p>23 a fiduciary capacity as the successor trustee of</p> <p>24 the Shirley Bernstein Trust and the successor PR of</p> <p>25 the Shirley Bernstein estate?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 having any role or say in his affairs?</p> <p>2 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>3 A. Well, I -- I believe -- although I'm not a</p> <p>4 hundred percent certain -- that litigation had</p> <p>5 already commenced by Mr. Stansbury against Mr.</p> <p>6 Bernstein -- Simon Bernstein -- as well as the Life</p> <p>7 Insurance Concepts and other entities around that</p> <p>8 time.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you have personal knowledge of Simon's</p> <p>10 reaction to being sued by William Stansbury?</p> <p>11 A. Unfortunately, no, I do not.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. That's fine.</p> <p>13 Now, in connection with the estate</p> <p>14 planning, did Simon take any extra precautions or</p> <p>15 special arraignments in dealing with assets that</p> <p>16 were being provided to or set aside for Eliot</p> <p>17 Bernstein?</p> <p>18 A. Yeah. And, again, this was not -- this</p> <p>19 was not a matter that our firm was involved in</p> <p>20 creating or structuring.</p> <p>21 Simon Bernstein had trusts created for</p> <p>22 Eliot Bernstein's three children. He had those</p> <p>23 trusts become the members -- sole members of a</p> <p>24 limited liability company. He provided the</p> <p>25 financing and the monies to acquire their current</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>2 Q. And was your law firm representing him in</p> <p>3 his capacity as a fiduciary on the Shirley side?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, we were.</p> <p>5 Q. During the time that Ted was being</p> <p>6 represented by you, did there come -- from time to</p> <p>7 time -- situations where you would give advice of</p> <p>8 what -- what action should be taken in a given</p> <p>9 circumstance?</p> <p>10 A. Our firm did; yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Did Ted generally follow your advice?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Were there any times when Ted specifically</p> <p>14 refused to follow your advice?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Now, there was -- this is on the Shirley</p> <p>17 side --</p> <p>18 A. Well, I take that --</p> <p>19 Q. -- not especially relevant, but it relates</p> <p>20 to Ted's ability and capacity to serve as a</p> <p>21 fiduciary on the Simon side -- but was there a time</p> <p>22 when there was a sale of a large asset on the</p> <p>23 Shirley side?</p> <p>24 A. Yes. One of the --</p> <p>25 MR. FEAMAN: Objection.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">25</p> <p>1 A. -- one of the residences was sold.</p> <p>2 Q. And were there discussions concerning</p> <p>3 whether to make an interim distribution at that</p> <p>4 time?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, there were.</p> <p>6 Q. And at the end of the -- at the end of the</p> <p>7 day, after whatever discussions occurred, did your</p> <p>8 firm ever advise Ted that it would be improper for</p> <p>9 him, as trustee, to make an interim distribution?</p> <p>10 A. We never advised him that it was improper.</p> <p>11 We advised him to be cautious about making</p> <p>12 distributions, because at that time the Shirley</p> <p>13 trust was a named defendant in the Stansbury</p> <p>14 litigation.</p> <p>15 Q. And with respect to that -- so long as</p> <p>16 there was sufficient funds left over to cover</p> <p>17 whatever claim there was, there would be no problem</p> <p>18 with an interim distribution; is that accurate?</p> <p>19 A. Assumedly.</p> <p>20 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to form.</p> <p>21 Q. Now, Stansbury's claim has been against</p> <p>22 the estate of Shirley Bernstein or the Shirley</p> <p>23 Bernstein Trust have been dismissed with prejudice;</p> <p>24 are you aware of that?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">27</p> <p>1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>2 A. To the best of my knowledge, I don't think</p> <p>3 he was at that time.</p> <p>4 Q. One second, please.</p> <p>5 Do you recall when the Shirley Bernstein</p> <p>6 Trust sold the condominium that, among the contents</p> <p>7 of the condominium would be property that would</p> <p>8 have then been owned by the estate of Simon</p> <p>9 Bernstein?</p> <p>10 A. Well, under -- under Shirley's documents,</p> <p>11 all the tangible personal property passed to Simon.</p> <p>12 Q. I thought -- at the time that Shirley's</p> <p>13 condo was sold, whatever contents were in it would</p> <p>14 have been owned by Simon's estate.</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. At the time you were the personal</p> <p>17 representative or copersonal representative of</p> <p>18 Simon's estate; is that correct?</p> <p>19 A. At the time that the sale occurred; yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you and the other copersonal</p> <p>21 representative agree that the -- that the property</p> <p>22 should be sold with the condominium; and that if</p> <p>23 there was ever a time in the future when there</p> <p>24 needed to be some allocation, it could be handled</p> <p>25 in the future, rather than either interfering with</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">26</p> <p>1 Q. Back at the time when an interim</p> <p>2 distribution was being considered, what were your</p> <p>3 thoughts as to the merits of the lawsuit by Mr.</p> <p>4 Stansbury against the Shirley Bernstein estate or</p> <p>5 the Shirley Bernstein Trust?</p> <p>6 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>7 A. I thought it was ludicrous, frankly.</p> <p>8 They continued to keep naming Mr. Spallina</p> <p>9 and myself as the trustees of that trust, which we</p> <p>10 never were in -- in all of the pleadings.</p> <p>11 That trust had -- Shirley never owned any</p> <p>12 interest in the business. And I could see no</p> <p>13 reason why Mr. Stansbury was attempting to reach</p> <p>14 into that trust, other than the fact that it had</p> <p>15 some assets.</p> <p>16 Q. Now, there is an issue -- there is an</p> <p>17 issue on the Shirley side about whether the</p> <p>18 distribution should have been made to all 10</p> <p>19 grandchildren or to only six.</p> <p>20 Were you aware at the time of the interim</p> <p>21 distribution that there was a question about</p> <p>22 distributions to six versus 10?</p> <p>23 A. Not at that time; no.</p> <p>24 Q. As far as you know, was Ted aware of the</p> <p>25 issue of six versus 10?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">28</p> <p>1 the sale of the condo, or requiring the furniture</p> <p>2 to be to be removed from the condo?</p> <p>3 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall if I was directly involved</p> <p>5 in that discussion.</p> <p>6 Q. Does it make sense to you that if the</p> <p>7 beneficiaries of the Shirley trust are the same as</p> <p>8 the beneficiaries of the Simon estate should not</p> <p>9 undergo an expense to move furniture or undertake</p> <p>10 an allocation if the money is going to the same</p> <p>11 people?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And if at some later point in time it</p> <p>14 makes a difference, couldn't somebody then go back</p> <p>15 and allocate some portion of the purchase price</p> <p>16 from the Shirley condo and give the money to the</p> <p>17 Simon estate for the value of the -- of his</p> <p>18 personal property that was included in the sale?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, it could true up.</p> <p>20 Q. Does that make more sense to you, that an</p> <p>21 estate with limited resources -- to true it up at</p> <p>22 the end, if it matters, rather than undertake that</p> <p>23 expense at the time of the sale?</p> <p>24 MR. FEAMAN: Form.</p> <p>25 A. From a practical standpoint, given the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">29</p> <p>1 fact that these estates were not going to be 2 subject to federal estate tax liabilities; yes. 3 Q. As you sit here today, do you have any 4 reason you would advise Judge Colin or any 5 hesitancy in suggesting that Ted would be a proper 6 candidate and could -- and competent and capable of 7 doing the job if the judge were to appoint Ted as 8 the successor personal representative of his 9 father's estate? 10 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form. 11 A. I would have no object -- I would have no 12 problem in recommending Mr. Ted Bernstein to serve 13 in the fiduciary capacity requested. 14 MR. ROSE: That's the end of my 15 examination. I'd like mine ordered on an expedited 16 basis. And I have no further questions; and turn 17 him over to cross-examination by whomever wishes to 18 do so. 19 MR. FEAMAN: Okay if I go next with 20 everybody? 21 THE WITNESS: Is that Peter? 22 MR. FEAMAN: Yeah. 23 THE WITNESS: All right. Let me just get 24 the other set of exhibits there. 25 MR. FEAMAN: Alan Rose, I'm going to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">31</p> <p>1 That is to say, his standing to make 2 argument at the upcoming hearings. 3 MR. ROSE: This is Alan Rose. I concur in 4 that, but I also think we need to let him get 5 moving. 6 MR. MORRISSEY: Sure. I just want to make 7 that point or argument for the record. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. FEAMAN: 10 Q. All right. Mr. Tescher, this is Peter 11 Feaman on behalf of William Stansbury. 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. I'd first like to draw your attention to 14 one of the exhibits to the affidavit; and I believe 15 that it was Exhibit E that you discussed in direct 16 examination for Mr. Rose, which is the Simon 17 Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q. Can you -- 20 A. I have that in front of me. 21 Q. -- have that in front of you. Okay. 22 I'd like to -- now, you said that you 23 appointed or exercised the power of appointment of 24 a successor trustee under this document; and you 25 appointed Ted Bernstein.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">30</p> <p>1 object to the admission of the affidavit. So I 2 want to give you the opportunity to ask more 3 questions concerning what's contained in the 4 affidavit so you don't feel like I am sandbagging 5 you in any way. 6 So if you have further questions 7 concerning what's contained in the affidavit of 8 this witness, I want to give you the opportunity to 9 ask. 10 MR. ROSE: That's fine. 11 My -- and just for the record, I believe 12 that once the affidavit is tendered by the witness 13 during a deposition and is subject to 14 cross-examination by all parties, that the 15 affidavit is fully admissible, regardless of 16 whether I asked him every question. But I will 17 also go through -- while you're questioning him -- 18 and decide if there are any other questions I wish 19 to ask as a protective measure. 20 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Very good. 21 MR. MORRISSEY: This is John Morrissey. 22 Just for the record, by allowing Mr. Feaman to ask 23 questions today, I'm certainly not agreeing and -- 24 and don't waive any objection to -- to a standing 25 argument.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">32</p> <p>1 Can you find that provision in the trust 2 which allows you to do that? 3 A. Page 16, paragraph 3 -- subparagraph 2 -- 4 no, subparagraph 3(a). 5 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that under 6 paragraph (b)(1) on page 15, the bottom of page 15, 7 you and Mr. Spallina were the successor 8 cotrustees -- 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. -- before you have exercised your power of 11 appointment? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. And when did Mr. Spallina resign as 14 successor cotrustee? 15 A. The day before me. 16 Q. Did he do that in the form of a letter -- 17 A. He executed -- 18 Q. -- or how did he do that? 19 A. He executed a resignation form, as I 20 recall. 21 Q. All right. Do you know what day that -- 22 what the date of that was? 23 A. I don't have any of those documents in 24 front of me. I can't tell you. 25 Q. Okay. And do you have a copy of the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">33</p> <p>1 resignation form?</p> <p>2 A. I believe it exists; and I believe it's</p> <p>3 included somewhere in all the discovery that, you</p> <p>4 know, you all have from our files.</p> <p>5 Q. So then you do have it in your files at</p> <p>6 some point?</p> <p>7 A. I believe that we do. I know it exists.</p> <p>8 I saw it.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you excise -- or excuse me -- execute</p> <p>10 a resignation?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you recall the date of your</p> <p>13 resignation?</p> <p>14 A. No. I do not, other than to reference it</p> <p>15 the day after Mr. Spallina's resignation.</p> <p>16 Q. All right. Now, in your exercise of what</p> <p>17 you term your "appointment of a successor trustee,"</p> <p>18 what form did that exercise take?</p> <p>19 Did you write a letter? Or what did you</p> <p>20 do?</p> <p>21 A. It's a written form. It's "Resignation as</p> <p>22 Successor Trustee and Appointment of Successor," I</p> <p>23 believe.</p> <p>24 Again, I don't have the benefit of having</p> <p>25 the document in front of me, sir, so I'm at a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">35</p> <p>1 A. Not for purposes of paragraph 3(b) -- not</p> <p>2 for purposes of paragraph 3(a).</p> <p>3 For purposes of paragraph 3(b), he would</p> <p>4 be.</p> <p>5 Q. And where does 3(a) start?</p> <p>6 A. "The remaining trustees, if any."</p> <p>7 Q. All right. And did you not tell me that</p> <p>8 you exercised your power of appointment pursuant to</p> <p>9 the sentence that is actually two lines above that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, you probably didn't -- what I said</p> <p>11 to you was that, if the remaining trustee is the</p> <p>12 one who's making the appointment, the "flush"</p> <p>13 language dealing with "related or subordinate</p> <p>14 party" is not material.</p> <p>15 It's only material if a beneficiary of the</p> <p>16 trust picks a related or subordinate person to</p> <p>17 serve as their trustee.</p> <p>18 Q. Let me draw your attention, if I could, to</p> <p>19 the definitional section of this document, which is</p> <p>20 -- I believe begins at page 5, paragraph E.</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Would you go there, please?</p> <p>23 A. Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. And this is the definitional section of</p> <p>25 the trust; is that correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">34</p> <p>1 little bit of a loss.</p> <p>2 But it was a standard document that we</p> <p>3 would use in our office to have somebody resign and</p> <p>4 appoint a successor.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay, 'cause I have not seen such a</p> <p>6 document that you describe.</p> <p>7 MR. ROSE: I think they've been produced.</p> <p>8 If you want me to email you a copy, Peter, I'll be</p> <p>9 glad to do it.</p> <p>10 MR. FEAMAN: Sure. That will be fine.</p> <p>11 MR. ROSE: Okay.</p> <p>12 Q. All right. Now, the -- I'd like to draw</p> <p>13 your attention to the paragraph that you brought me</p> <p>14 to, which is paragraph 3 on page 16.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. It says that -- it's the last paragraph of</p> <p>17 page 16 -- "A trustee appointed under this</p> <p>18 paragraph shall not be a related or subordinate</p> <p>19 party of the trust."</p> <p>20 Do you see that?</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, but I --</p> <p>22 Q. Okay.</p> <p>23 A. Go ahead. I'm sorry.</p> <p>24 Q. Is Mr. Bernstein -- Ted Bernstein -- a</p> <p>25 related or subordinate party of the trust?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">36</p> <p>1 A. This is a definition provision.</p> <p>2 Q. All right. And under paragraph E, let me</p> <p>3 call your attention to paragraph 7, which appears</p> <p>4 on page 7.</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. It says "A related or subordinate party to</p> <p>7 a trust describes --" could you read that into the</p> <p>8 record?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir. 7: "Related or subordinate</p> <p>10 party: A related or subordinate party to a trust</p> <p>11 describes a beneficiary of the subject trust or a</p> <p>12 related or subordinate party to a beneficiary of</p> <p>13 the trust as the terms 'related or subordinate</p> <p>14 party' are defined under code section 672(c)."</p> <p>15 Q. And have you reviewed the code section</p> <p>16 referred to there as to how it's defined?</p> <p>17 A. I'm generally familiar with 672(c),</p> <p>18 although I don't have in front of me.</p> <p>19 Q. And does it define a related or</p> <p>20 subordinate party as a child or issue of a grantor?</p> <p>21 A. I would not want to comment without having</p> <p>22 the code provision in front of me.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you don't</p> <p>24 -- you don't know one way or the other whether a</p> <p>25 related party under that code section would include</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">37</p> <p>1 a child of the grantor; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A. They would be related to the grantor.</p> <p>3 Q. Is the grantor in this case under this</p> <p>4 trust Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>5 A. He was the grantor. He died.</p> <p>6 Q. And is it your understanding that Ted</p> <p>7 Bernstein is an issue of the grantor --</p> <p>8 A. He certainly is.</p> <p>9 Q. -- as used in the code section referred to</p> <p>10 in your document 672?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. I also want to direct your attention to --</p> <p>13 under the definition section, (e)(1).</p> <p>14 Do you see where it says "Children, Lineal</p> <p>15 Decedents"?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Now, that definition paragraph</p> <p>18 bleeds over on the top of page 6.</p> <p>19 Could you turn there?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. Could you read the last</p> <p>22 sentence of paragraph (e)(1), beginning with</p> <p>23 "Notwithstanding."</p> <p>24 A. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, for all</p> <p>25 purposes of this trust and the dispositions made</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">39</p> <p>1 A. I think you have to read it in context of</p> <p>2 the entire document.</p> <p>3 Q. And "for all purposes of this trust," you</p> <p>4 wrote that the children of the grantor are</p> <p>5 predeceased. So for all purposes of this trust, if</p> <p>6 the children have predeceased, then wouldn't that</p> <p>7 mean that Ted Bernstein, as one of the children,</p> <p>8 would not then be eligible to be appointed by you</p> <p>9 as a successor trustee?</p> <p>10 A. One could make that tortured argument, if</p> <p>11 you'd like.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. All right. Now, I wanted to ask</p> <p>13 you a question about your affidavit.</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. I want to draw your attention to paragraph</p> <p>16 5.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You state in the second sentence of</p> <p>19 paragraph 5, quote, "None of the five children were</p> <p>20 involved in the estate planning process, nor did</p> <p>21 any of them attend any meetings with myself as</p> <p>22 counsel."</p> <p>23 Did I read that correctly?</p> <p>24 A. You read it correctly.</p> <p>25 Q. When you refer to "estate planning</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">38</p> <p>1 hereunder, my children, Ted S. Bernstein, Pamela B.</p> <p>2 Simon, Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni, and Lisa S.</p> <p>3 Friedstein shall be deemed to have predeceased me,</p> <p>4 as I have adequately provided for them during my</p> <p>5 lifetime."</p> <p>6 Q. All right. Does -- does that provision</p> <p>7 apply, in your opinion, to the appointment of a</p> <p>8 successor trustee?</p> <p>9 A. No. "Dispositions hereunder," sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Now, it says "Notwithstanding the</p> <p>11 foregoing," it says "for all purposes of this</p> <p>12 trust."</p> <p>13 Are you limiting "for all purposes of this</p> <p>14 trust" to answer that question?</p> <p>15 A. Am I limiting the "Notwithstanding the</p> <p>16 foregoing"?</p> <p>17 Q. Yeah. What does "for all purposes of this</p> <p>18 trust" mean if it doesn't also include the children</p> <p>19 as eligible to be appointed as a successor trustee?</p> <p>20 A. This definition is intended solely for</p> <p>21 purposes of determining whether they are</p> <p>22 beneficiaries under the trust.</p> <p>23 Q. So when you said, "for all purposes of</p> <p>24 this trust," you didn't really mean all purposes of</p> <p>25 this trust; is that what you're saying?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">40</p> <p>1 process," are you talking about the 2008 estate</p> <p>2 planning process that you did for the Bernsteins or</p> <p>3 the 2012 estate planning process that you allegedly</p> <p>4 did for Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>5 A. Well, I clearly was referring first to the</p> <p>6 2008 process.</p> <p>7 With regard to the subsequent process, I</p> <p>8 did not attend any meetings as counsel in</p> <p>9 connection with the 2012 planning.</p> <p>10 Q. So you then have no personal knowledge as</p> <p>11 to --</p> <p>12 A. Just my understanding; that's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. -- the intent -- if I could finish my</p> <p>14 question -- you then have no personal knowledge as</p> <p>15 to the intent of Simon Bernstein when he allegedly</p> <p>16 changed his trust and will in 2012; is that</p> <p>17 correct?</p> <p>18 A. I believe that I did not have direct</p> <p>19 knowledge -- hearing it from his lips; that would</p> <p>20 be correct.</p> <p>21 Q. Now, I want to turn to some of the</p> <p>22 exhibits that I sent to the court reporter in</p> <p>23 advance.</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>25 MR. FEAMAN: Alan, I believe you have</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 these as well. I apologize to the others on this</p> <p>2 call, but due to the nature of this deposition, I</p> <p>3 wasn't able to provide copies for everybody.</p> <p>4 Q. Can you please take a look at what's been</p> <p>5 premarked as Exhibit 2 --</p> <p>6 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>7 Q. -- to this deposition.</p> <p>8 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>9 Q. Does this appear to be a true and correct</p> <p>10 copy of the three pages of your website for Tescher</p> <p>11 & Spallina, PA?</p> <p>12 A. To be honest with you, I haven't looked at</p> <p>13 that in so long, I'm not sure. But...</p> <p>14 Q. All right. Well, let's see if we can</p> <p>15 verify some of the information contained on it.</p> <p>16 A. Sure.</p> <p>17 Q. It shows the address of Tescher & Spallina</p> <p>18 as 925 South Federal Highway, Suite 500; is that</p> <p>19 correct?</p> <p>20 A. That is correct.</p> <p>21 Q. How long has Tescher & Spallina been</p> <p>22 located at that address?</p> <p>23 A. March 1, 2014.</p> <p>24 Q. All right. So then this was at least</p> <p>25 updated within the last couple of months; is that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Are you the managing partner of the firm?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know if I still am.</p> <p>4 I guess I am right now; yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And for how long have you been</p> <p>6 managing partner of Tescher & Spallina?</p> <p>7 A. Since its inception in -- would be a</p> <p>8 little over six years.</p> <p>9 Q. So you began Tescher & Spallina in 2008;</p> <p>10 is that correct?</p> <p>11 A. We began January 1, 2008; yes. January 1,</p> <p>12 2008.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And what firm were you with before</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin,</p> <p>16 Ruffin & Forman, PA.</p> <p>17 Q. How long were you with that firm?</p> <p>18 A. From its inception, going back to 1990.</p> <p>19 The name changed --</p> <p>20 Q. By the way, I forgot to ask you a question</p> <p>21 concerning the Amended and Restated Trust</p> <p>22 Agreement. Could you go back to that document for</p> <p>23 a second?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sir. I've got it.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. It appears that the -- this was</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 fair to say?</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, obviously.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay.</p> <p>4 A. At least the address was updated, sir.</p> <p>5 Q. All right. And you represent there in</p> <p>6 your website that your attorneys -- which I assume</p> <p>7 would include you -- have extensive expertise in</p> <p>8 certain areas, including "Wealth transfer planning</p> <p>9 for high-net-worth individuals and families"; is</p> <p>10 that correct?</p> <p>11 A. That is correct.</p> <p>12 Q. "Business succession planning"; is that</p> <p>13 correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. "Life insurance planning"; is that</p> <p>16 correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. "Probate administration"; is that correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Now, assisting you, it shows --</p> <p>21 there's a picture of you on the second page, Mr.</p> <p>22 Spallina, and then, on the third page, Ms.</p> <p>23 Galvani --</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. -- is that correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 dated July 25th, 2012; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A. July 25, 2012 is the date I see on it.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And if it was signed by Mr.</p> <p>4 Bernstein, it would have -- can it be assumed then</p> <p>5 that it was signed by him on that day?</p> <p>6 A. One could assume that. I think there's a</p> <p>7 related will that was signed on the same day also.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. That would be July 25th, 2012?</p> <p>9 A. I believe that's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. It's witnessed by Mr. Spallina and Ms.</p> <p>11 Moran?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. You were not present when this was signed;</p> <p>14 is that correct?</p> <p>15 A. I was not present when that was signed. I</p> <p>16 was ensconced on Cape Cod.</p> <p>17 Q. Now, do you know when that lawsuit that</p> <p>18 you referred to in your direct examination was</p> <p>19 filed by Mr. Stansbury?</p> <p>20 A. I said I wasn't sure whether it was before</p> <p>21 or after that date.</p> <p>22 Q. So then, when you testified that you</p> <p>23 believe the litigation had been filed at the time</p> <p>24 that these new documents were executed, you don't</p> <p>25 really know if that's true or not; do you?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">45</p> <p>1 A. I'm not certain as to whether, in fact, 2 litigation had commenced.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Now, on your web page you show 4 support staff of Kimberly Moran; correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And what is her position at the 7 firm -- or was her position at the firm?</p> <p>8 A. She is a legal secretary.</p> <p>9 Q. Does she still work there?</p> <p>10 A. She still works there.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And was she recently accused and 12 pled guilty to a crime in connection with work she 13 performed while at your firm involving the 14 Bernstein estate?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And what was that crime?</p> <p>17 A. She misused her notary seal in notarizing 18 certain documents regarding the Shirley Bernstein 19 estate.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you know the statute that she was 21 accused of violating and whether it was a felony or 22 a misdemeanor?</p> <p>23 A. I don't -- I don't know precisely how it 24 ended. I know that she did not and has not served 25 time in jail; that she is apparently currently on</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">47</p> <p>1 MR. ROSE: Just for the record -- this is 2 Alan Rose -- I could -- there's no issue for Friday 3 with regard to the document.</p> <p>4 MR. FEAMAN: Yeah, there is. Yeah, there 5 is.</p> <p>6 MR. ROSE: There's no issue.</p> <p>7 MR. FEAMAN: I'm going to tie it in in a 8 minute if you let me finish.</p> <p>9 MR. ROSE: There's also no issue in the 10 case that the document wasn't properly -- was not 11 properly --</p> <p>12 MR. FEAMAN: Wait a minute. Wait a 13 minute. Wait a minute. Unless you object to my 14 question, okay, this -- this statement on your part 15 is improper in the middle of my examination.</p> <p>16 MR. ROSE: Well, I'm not -- the witness 17 answered the question. I'm putting on the record I 18 think this is an irrelevant line of questioning and 19 you are wasting our time on --</p> <p>20 MR. FEAMAN: And you have no right to 21 interrupt the spontaneity of my examination by 22 making a statement like this at this time. And I 23 would respectfully request that you not do that. 24 And I'd like to finish this line of questioning. 25 I'm almost done.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">46</p> <p>1 probation. And that's all I know.</p> <p>2 Q. Is she still a notary?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. And what document was she accused of 5 notarizing falsely?</p> <p>6 A. In the Shirley Bernstein estate, when it 7 came time to basically close the probate 8 administration, she sent out to the five children 9 -- and I think to -- to Simon at that time too -- 10 waivers, consents, and joinders to the petition for 11 distribution and discharge; and they all came back; 12 everybody signed off -- including Eliot Bernstein; 13 those documents were then filed in the court; and 14 the clerk's office bounced those documents because 15 Judge Colin's division requires that that 16 particular document be signed and notarized; and 17 they had not been notarized.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And so did she subsequently 19 notarize them?</p> <p>20 A. She subsequently prepared new ones, and 21 signed them, and notarized them.</p> <p>22 Q. And when she prepared the new ones, that 23 included a form signed by Simon Bernstein; correct?</p> <p>24 A. I believe that's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. And Simon --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">48</p> <p>1 Q. My question, isn't it true that Ms. -- is 2 it Morin or Moran?</p> <p>3 A. Moran.</p> <p>4 Q. -- that Ms. Moran notarized Simon 5 Bernstein's signature at a time after he had, in 6 fact, passed away; is that correct?</p> <p>7 A. In connection with the Shirley Bernstein 8 estate closing.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. I believe I --</p> <p>11 Q. And at that time the successor personal 12 representative of the Shirley Bernstein estate was 13 Ted Bernstein; is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. I believe that's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And at that time the successor 16 trustee to the Shirley Bernstein Trust was Ted 17 Bernstein; is that correct?</p> <p>18 A. That would be correct.</p> <p>19 Q. All right. Now, Diane Dustin, what does 20 she do at the firm? Is she still there?</p> <p>21 A. She is still with me. She is a legal 22 assistant.</p> <p>23 Q. And then there's Sue Anne Tescher?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Is that a relative of yours?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">49</p> <p>1 A. Happens to be a very close relative of</p> <p>2 mine. She's my wife.</p> <p>3 Q. All right. And what does she do at the</p> <p>4 firm?</p> <p>5 A. She manages the firm accounts and books,</p> <p>6 pays the bills.</p> <p>7 Q. Kind of like a -- in the bookkeeper side</p> <p>8 of the office?</p> <p>9 A. In the bookkeeper side of the office. She</p> <p>10 has nothing to do with the legal side of the</p> <p>11 office.</p> <p>12 Q. So she oversees the checks that come into</p> <p>13 the firm; is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. All right.</p> <p>16 Now, how long, Mr. Tescher, have you known</p> <p>17 the Bernstein family -- either professionally or</p> <p>18 personally?</p> <p>19 A. I think -- my recollection would be 2007.</p> <p>20 And when you say "The Bernstein family," that would</p> <p>21 have -- that would be Ted Bernstein, Simon</p> <p>22 Bernstein, and Shirley Bernstein.</p> <p>23 I don't think that I had contact with the</p> <p>24 other Bernstein family members until subsequent to</p> <p>25 that.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">51</p> <p>1 one else. I don't think that -- I mean, Si was the</p> <p>2 personal -- you know, had been the personal</p> <p>3 representative of the estate.</p> <p>4 Q. And how did this come to light?</p> <p>5 A. My recollection is that Eliot Bernstein</p> <p>6 apparently found the discrepancy -- or what he</p> <p>7 thought appeared to be a discrepancy in the court</p> <p>8 documents when he reviewed the court files --</p> <p>9 again, this is supposition on my part; I don't</p> <p>10 know, you know, for a fact that that was the case.</p> <p>11 He filed a complaint with the governor's</p> <p>12 office which administers notary publics in the</p> <p>13 State of Florida. And apparently they filed --</p> <p>14 they sent Ms. Moran a -- an inquiry letter asking</p> <p>15 for, you know, an explanation, etcetera, of what</p> <p>16 had occurred.</p> <p>17 Q. And do you know when -- about -- that was?</p> <p>18 A. I don't recall exact dates.</p> <p>19 Q. Was that in the year 2013?</p> <p>20 A. I believe that it was in the year 2013.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Was it in the summer of 2013?</p> <p>22 A. It might have been -- 'cause in the summer</p> <p>23 -- if it was the summer, I was not in town. I</p> <p>24 would have been up here on Cape Cod.</p> <p>25 Q. Certainly you didn't bring it to light,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">50</p> <p>1 Q. All right. Oh, I forgot.</p> <p>2 Now, when it came to light that Ms. Moran</p> <p>3 had notarized a signature of a deceased person --</p> <p>4 namely Simon Bernstein -- did you at that time</p> <p>5 resign as the copersonal representative of the</p> <p>6 Simon Bernstein estate?</p> <p>7 A. Not at that time.</p> <p>8 What we did was we filed -- because --</p> <p>9 because the court proceedings were tainted in terms</p> <p>10 of the documents that had been -- in fact, the</p> <p>11 estate had been closed. And we -- we moved to have</p> <p>12 the estate reopened so that the record could be</p> <p>13 cleaned. And we petitioned the court to reopen the</p> <p>14 estate, allow us to obtain correct, untainted</p> <p>15 waivers from those who could give them, and</p> <p>16 attempted to expunge the -- what were tainted</p> <p>17 documents.</p> <p>18 Q. And when were those documents -- was it</p> <p>19 just one document that was criminally notarized, or</p> <p>20 were there others?</p> <p>21 A. There was one -- to the best of my</p> <p>22 recollection, there was one document -- one form</p> <p>23 document -- the waiver -- I think the waiver,</p> <p>24 consent, and joinder, if I'm not mistaken; and I</p> <p>25 think that only related to the five children and no</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">52</p> <p>1 nor did Mr. Spallina bring it to the attention of</p> <p>2 anybody; is that --</p> <p>3 A. We couldn't, because we weren't aware of</p> <p>4 it.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And when you became aware of it in</p> <p>6 2013, did you think it appropriate at that time to</p> <p>7 resign as copersonal representative from the estate</p> <p>8 of Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, did there come a time, however, when</p> <p>11 you did resign -- you and Mr. Spallina -- as</p> <p>12 copersonal representatives of the Simon Bernstein</p> <p>13 estate; correct?</p> <p>14 A. That is correct.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you recall when that was?</p> <p>16 A. January of 2014.</p> <p>17 Q. And what was the incident at that time</p> <p>18 that then caused you to resign as copersonal</p> <p>19 representatives of the estate of Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>20 A. It came to light -- it was brought to my</p> <p>21 attention that the -- there was an amendment --</p> <p>22 there was an altered document altering the</p> <p>23 amendment to Shirley Bernstein's revocable trust,</p> <p>24 which document had been forwarded to Christine</p> <p>25 Yates, who was then serving as counsel to Eliot</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">53</p> <p>1 Bernstein's children; and that document added a</p> <p>2 provision.</p> <p>3 Q. All right. And how did that document come</p> <p>4 to light -- the altered document?</p> <p>5 A. It was brought to my attention by someone</p> <p>6 in my office.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Now, the -- you identified the</p> <p>8 altered document as what again -- the Shirley</p> <p>9 Bernstein Trust?</p> <p>10 A. The Amendment to Shirley Bernstein's</p> <p>11 Revocable Trust Agreement.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And who in your office brought that</p> <p>13 to your attention?</p> <p>14 A. Our associate.</p> <p>15 Q. And who is that?</p> <p>16 A. Lauren Galvani.</p> <p>17 Q. And when did that take place?</p> <p>18 A. January 2013.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And there is a document that's</p> <p>20 attached to your affidavit, which is the -- I</p> <p>21 believe an amendment to the Shirley Bernstein</p> <p>22 Trust; is that correct?</p> <p>23 A. Hold on one moment. Let me get to that.</p> <p>24 Q. Is that Exhibit C?</p> <p>25 A. I believe that's C, if I'm not mistaken.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">55</p> <p>1 A. You'll have to ask her.</p> <p>2 Q. Did you ever ask her how she came across</p> <p>3 it that then subsequently caused you to resign as</p> <p>4 copersonal representative?</p> <p>5 A. She noticed that the amendment that had</p> <p>6 been included in the letter to Christine Yates was</p> <p>7 different than Exhibit -- the exhibit that's here</p> <p>8 attached to my affidavit.</p> <p>9 Q. And in that letter to Christine Yates,</p> <p>10 what was the date of that letter?</p> <p>11 A. I think it was January of 2013 -- I think.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And so that was after the death of</p> <p>13 Simon Bernstein; correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, it was.</p> <p>15 Q. So then that altered document contained in</p> <p>16 a document dated January 11, 2013 could very well</p> <p>17 have been prepared while Ted Bernstein was the</p> <p>18 successor personal representative and successor</p> <p>19 trustee to the Shirley Bernstein estate and trust;</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. No. Probably -- well...</p> <p>22 Probably -- I'm not sure, to be honest,</p> <p>23 Peter. I'm not a hundred percent certain on the</p> <p>24 timing.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And how did a year go by between</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">54</p> <p>1 Hold on one moment.</p> <p>2 (Witness reviews document.) Yeah. That's</p> <p>3 Exhibit C.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. All right.</p> <p>5 Now, Exhibit C, is that the altered</p> <p>6 document or the unaltered document?</p> <p>7 A. That is the unaltered document.</p> <p>8 Q. And what did the altered first amendment</p> <p>9 to the Shirley Bernstein trust say?</p> <p>10 A. I don't have it in front of me, but</p> <p>11 essentially what it did was there was a -- you see</p> <p>12 how it's numbered now 1 and 3? There were -- you</p> <p>13 know, somebody had messed up when it had been</p> <p>14 originally prepared, and it got numbered --</p> <p>15 paragraph No. 1, paragraph No. 3.</p> <p>16 A paragraph No. 2 was inserted between 1</p> <p>17 and 3.</p> <p>18 Q. And when did that take place?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know.</p> <p>20 Q. Was it -- did it take place sometime in</p> <p>21 2012?</p> <p>22 A. I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Did it take -- well, how did your</p> <p>24 associate suddenly come across it in January of</p> <p>25 2014?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">56</p> <p>1 the time of the January 11th, 2013 letter in which</p> <p>2 the altered document was produced to the attorneys</p> <p>3 for Eliot Bernstein and then the discovery that it</p> <p>4 was, in fact, an altered document? What happened</p> <p>5 in that 12-month time that caused you, or your</p> <p>6 associate, or your office to discover that, in</p> <p>7 fact, what had been supplied to counsel for Eliot</p> <p>8 Bernstein was, in fact, a forged document or</p> <p>9 altered document?</p> <p>10 A. I can't answer that question, actually --</p> <p>11 'cause I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. All right. And -- and who in your firm</p> <p>13 would be in the best position to know that -- if</p> <p>14 it's not the general manager -- the managing</p> <p>15 partner of the firm?</p> <p>16 A. Mr. Spallina or Ms. Galvani.</p> <p>17 Q. You were the managing partner at that time</p> <p>18 still; correct?</p> <p>19 A. I was the president.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And what did the altered document</p> <p>21 say in paragraph 2?</p> <p>22 A. I told you that I don't have that in front</p> <p>23 of me.</p> <p>24 Q. And the one attached to your affidavit?</p> <p>25 A. I told you that I don't have that in front</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">57</p> <p>1 of me.</p> <p>2 Q. I apologize if I'm being repetitive on</p> <p>3 that score.</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, I don't have --</p> <p>5 Q. Your best recollection.</p> <p>6 A. Yeah. Peter, I don't have it here.</p> <p>7 It dealt with the definition of children</p> <p>8 and lineals.</p> <p>9 MR. ROSE: Peter, I don't want to ruin</p> <p>10 your momentum that you're building up, but I need</p> <p>11 to take a bathroom break. Could we take -- we've</p> <p>12 been going at it for a little more than an hour.</p> <p>13 Can we take like a five-minute break?</p> <p>14 MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I'm moving on to the</p> <p>15 next item anyway.</p> <p>16 MR. ROSE: No more than five -- maybe as</p> <p>17 little as two minutes. I'll be right back.</p> <p>18 MR. FEAMAN: No problem.</p> <p>19 (Recess was taken.)</p> <p>20 Q. Mr. Tescher, I'd like you to take a look</p> <p>21 at what's been premarked as Exhibit 3.</p> <p>22 MR. FEAMAN: Madam Court Reporter, would</p> <p>23 you hand that to the witness.</p> <p>24 COURT REPORTER: Okay.</p> <p>25 MR. FEAMAN: Thank you.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">59</p> <p>1 withdrew.</p> <p>2 Q. I guess we'd have to refer to the court</p> <p>3 records for that; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>5 MR. ROSE: Peter, we're here trying to get</p> <p>6 to the truth. Why don't we just agree it was</p> <p>7 probably Friday, January the 11th, 2014 when Mr.</p> <p>8 Manceri filed papers -- that you and I both</p> <p>9 received -- withdrawing from the case; and ask your</p> <p>10 next question.</p> <p>11 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Good. Thank you for</p> <p>12 that.</p> <p>13 Q. I assume that representation is correct?</p> <p>14 A. I have no knowledge.</p> <p>15 MR. ROSE: To the best of my knowledge,</p> <p>16 that's correct.</p> <p>17 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Very well.</p> <p>18 MR. ROSE: Because shortly after that, I</p> <p>19 got a phone call that -- that Mr. Tescher had</p> <p>20 spoken with Ted Bernstein. So that's my frame of</p> <p>21 reference.</p> <p>22 MR. FEAMAN: Okay.</p> <p>23 Q. And up until now, had you recommended Ted</p> <p>24 Bernstein to be successor personal representative?</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall when I recommended anything</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">58</p> <p>1 Q. Now, just to have closure on the subject</p> <p>2 we were talking about about the altered Shirley</p> <p>3 Bernstein Trust, Exhibit 3 appears to be a true and</p> <p>4 correct -- is it a true and correct copy of the</p> <p>5 letter you wrote on January 14th, 2014 to Ted</p> <p>6 Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, Lisa Friedstein, Jill</p> <p>7 Iantoni, and Pam Simon announcing your resignation</p> <p>8 as personal representative of the estate of Simon</p> <p>9 Bernstein?</p> <p>10 A. Announcing our intent to resign; yes, sir.</p> <p>11 Q. Your intent to resign.</p> <p>12 And at that point had -- well, let me back</p> <p>13 up and lay a predicate.</p> <p>14 You hired Mr. Manceri as an attorney to</p> <p>15 represent the Simon Bernstein estate; correct?</p> <p>16 A. Mr. Manceri was hired -- was brought in by</p> <p>17 Ted Bernstein -- no, we hired him -- we hired him</p> <p>18 to assist with regard to the litigation with</p> <p>19 Stansbury as it related to the estate of Simon</p> <p>20 Bernstein.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. And now, as -- do you recall</p> <p>22 as of January 14th, 2014, whether Mr. Manceri had</p> <p>23 withdrawn as attorney for the estate in that</p> <p>24 litigation at this point?</p> <p>25 A. I do not recall when he specifically</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">60</p> <p>1 to anybody --</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. -- after -- after January 14th.</p> <p>4 Q. All right. Now, the -- the documents --</p> <p>5 subsequent to your resignation, you turned over</p> <p>6 documents to Mr. Brown, the administrator ad litem</p> <p>7 -- or I should say the curator appointed by the</p> <p>8 court; is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. And Mr. Brown received about -- what --</p> <p>11 700 pages of documents -- or thousands?</p> <p>12 A. I have no idea how many pages he received.</p> <p>13 I know that we completely copied onto disks all of</p> <p>14 our files.</p> <p>15 Q. All right. Did you keep copies of what</p> <p>16 you produced to Mr. Brown?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Do you have originals of any</p> <p>19 documents in your possession?</p> <p>20 A. I'm not sure if we have originals of any</p> <p>21 wills and trusts, for example. Those would have</p> <p>22 been all turned over or filed in the court.</p> <p>23 Q. 'Cause I have a copy of the Simon</p> <p>24 Bernstein last will and testament that appoints Mr.</p> <p>25 Stansbury as a copersonal representative after</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">61</p> <p>1 Shirley or successor personal representative after</p> <p>2 Shirley, but I don't have a copy of the original or</p> <p>3 the original -- nor have I seen one -- I only have</p> <p>4 a conformed copy.</p> <p>5 Do you have the original of that document?</p> <p>6 A. The original will is sitting in the court.</p> <p>7 Q. No, 2008.</p> <p>8 A. Oh. I'm sorry. I thought you were</p> <p>9 referring to the current document.</p> <p>10 Q. I'm sorry if I was not clear.</p> <p>11 A. Yeah. I don't know that we had it. If it</p> <p>12 was there, it got copied.</p> <p>13 Q. Right. But do you have the original of</p> <p>14 the 2008 will and trust of Mr. Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>15 A. If it was there -- I -- I don't know.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. I don't have my --</p> <p>18 Q. Do you keep those in a safe deposit box or</p> <p>19 a vault?</p> <p>20 A. Not if they're old documents that have</p> <p>21 been superseded.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And one more question on this, and</p> <p>23 then I'm going to move on: When Mr. Bernstein</p> <p>24 allegedly executed his 2012 documents, was that in</p> <p>25 your office; if you know?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">63</p> <p>1 Q. So you had a professional relationship</p> <p>2 with Mr. Ted Bernstein before you did with Mr.</p> <p>3 Simon Bernstein; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A. I think so.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did you also have a business</p> <p>6 relationship with Mr. Ted Bernstein?</p> <p>7 A. In what sense?</p> <p>8 Q. In any sense.</p> <p>9 A. Well, tell me what businesses. I mean, we</p> <p>10 had -- we had mutual clients.</p> <p>11 There were clients who I brought to Ted</p> <p>12 Bernstein for life insurance needs. There were</p> <p>13 clients that Ted Bernstein referred to us.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Were there any businesses in which</p> <p>15 you and Ted were both owners?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. All right. I'd like to draw your</p> <p>18 attention to Exhibit 6, if I could.</p> <p>19 A. Okay. Hold on a moment. (Witness reviews</p> <p>20 documents.)</p> <p>21 Okay.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, Exhibit 6 is a copy of a printout we</p> <p>23 got from the Florida Department of State, Division</p> <p>24 of Corporations. This makes reference to a</p> <p>25 "Bernstein Family Realty, LLC."</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">62</p> <p>1 A. No, sir; it was not.</p> <p>2 Q. Where did that execution take place?</p> <p>3 A. In Simon Bernstein's office.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. And have you been told -- since you</p> <p>5 obviously weren't there -- who was present when</p> <p>6 those documents were executed?</p> <p>7 A. Well, the only -- the only thing that I</p> <p>8 know who was present -- and there may have been</p> <p>9 others present -- is by the executions on the will</p> <p>10 and trust that were signed that day. And</p> <p>11 present --</p> <p>12 Q. Right. But you don't know anything more</p> <p>13 than I might know by looking at the documents; is</p> <p>14 that correct?</p> <p>15 A. That is correct.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Now, you said that you first met</p> <p>17 some members of the Bernstein family in 2007.</p> <p>18 Who did you meet first, Ted or Si?</p> <p>19 A. I think I met Ted before 2007; and we had</p> <p>20 -- we've had dealings over the years with mutual</p> <p>21 clients. I think that our first dealings with Ted</p> <p>22 Bernstein also involved his sister's company up in</p> <p>23 Chicago. They were doing a -- a life insurance</p> <p>24 arbitrage program that was kind of interesting; and</p> <p>25 we -- we had some mutual clients there.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">64</p> <p>1 Do you see that?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. Now, it shows the registered agent as "T &</p> <p>4 S Registered Agents."</p> <p>5 Are you familiar with that company?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. What is that company?</p> <p>8 A. That is a shell company that we typically</p> <p>9 use to serve as registered agent for entities that</p> <p>10 we form for clients.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And the address of T & S Registered</p> <p>12 Agents, is that 925 South Federal Highway, Suite</p> <p>13 500?</p> <p>14 A. It is now. It was the address that's on</p> <p>15 the Exhibit 6 up until March.</p> <p>16 Q. Right. That's your law firm?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>18 Q. Was your law firm at 4855 Technology Way,</p> <p>19 Suite 720?</p> <p>20 A. 7 -- yes, it was.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Now, it shows that the mailing</p> <p>22 address of the Bernstein Family Realty, LLC was</p> <p>23 changed -- it looks like -- in 2013 to the</p> <p>24 Oppenheimer Trust Company.</p> <p>25 Do you see that on Exhibit 6?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">65</p> <p>1 A. (Witness reviews document.) I see 2 Oppenheimer listed there; yeah. 3 Q. And what was your involvement in the 4 change? 5 A. I didn't have any involvement in the 6 change. 7 Q. Okay. And did you organize the Bernstein 8 Family Realty, LLC, as the attorney? 9 A. I didn't -- as the attorney. 10 Q. You did or you did not? 11 A. I might have filed the articles of 12 organization. I don't recall. I think I was 13 originally named as the registered agent. This was 14 done back in February of '08. At that time it -- 15 when I -- when I first withdrew from my prior firm, 16 Peter, we actually shared office space for a year 17 with my former partners -- just, you know, we just 18 continued using our office. It was a friendly -- 19 friendly division. 20 And when this company was formed, it was 21 formed February of '08, which would have been 22 roughly a month after -- a little over a month 23 after Robert Spallina and I had set up Tescher & 24 Spallina. We probably didn't even have a new 25 registered agent entity set up yet.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">67</p> <p>1 Bernstein Family Realty, LLC from the offices of 2 LIC -- LIC to Oppenheimer, if it wasn't somebody 3 acting on behalf of the estate? 4 A. I can't answer that question. 5 Q. All right. And is Bernstein Family Realty 6 listed as an asset of the Simon Bernstein estate? 7 A. No. He didn't own any equity interest in 8 it, other than a mortgage -- a note and mortgage. 9 Q. Okay. And so normally, as an expert in 10 this field, if a person is the only member of an 11 LLC, and that person passes away, what is your 12 standard operating procedure as to how the 13 operations of that LLC are carried out after the 14 passing of the only manager? 15 A. Mr. Feaman -- 16 MR. ROSE: Objection to form. 17 A. Mr. Feaman, I'm assuming you meant to say, 18 "manager" and not "member"? 19 Do you want to restate your question? 20 Q. No, because the electronic signature of 21 page 3 of Exhibit 6 is signed by Simon Bernstein, 22 as the managing member manager? 23 A. No, "manager," not "managing member." 24 "Manager," sir. 25 Q. Okay. Do you know -- as the registered</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">66</p> <p>1 Q. All right. And the documents on Exhibit 6 2 shows that the previous mailing address in 2012 was 3 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle, Suite 3010. 4 What address is that? 5 A. I think that was LIC's office. 6 Q. All right. And LIC was the business owned 7 by Simon Bernstein and Ted Bernstein by majority? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. All right. And if you look at the third 10 page -- 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. -- it shows that the managing member was 13 Simon Bernstein. 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. As of January 2012; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. Now, you are knowledgeable in trust and 18 estates and succession planning, like you said and 19 shown on your website. 20 Once Mr. Bernstein passes away, does the 21 estate then become the managing member? 22 A. No, the estate does not become the 23 managing member. 24 Q. Okay. Well, who then would have been 25 responsible for changing the mailing address of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">68</p> <p>1 agent, do you know where the books and records of 2 the Bernstein Family Realty, LLC are maintained? 3 A. I personally don't know. 4 Q. Has your office ever maintained them? 5 A. I don't think so. Remember that the 6 members -- the members of this entity, sir, are 7 three trusts, of which Oppenheimer until recently 8 -- or maybe still is; I don't know -- was the 9 trustee. 10 Q. And what -- what are those three trusts? 11 A. They are trusts -- irrevocable trusts 12 created in 2006 by Simon Bernstein for the benefit 13 of Eliot Bernstein's three children. 14 Q. Okay. Did you create those trusts? 15 A. No, we did not. 16 Q. Who did? 17 A. I don't -- I don't know. 18 Q. All right. 19 A. Their prior counsel obviously, not us. 20 Q. And as copersonal representative of the 21 estate of Simon Bernstein, would you have any 22 fiduciary responsibility to carry out the intent of 23 Mr. Simon Bernstein with regard to the management 24 of Bernstein Family Realty? 25 A. I don't believe so.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">69</p> <p>1 Q. Who were the trustees of those three 2 trusts that you mentioned that owned it? 3 A. Oppenheimer. 4 Q. And who were the trustees before 5 Oppenheimer? 6 A. Stanford. 7 Q. Stanford? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. And where are those trusts -- 10 copies of those trusts located? 11 A. I don't know. 12 Q. Do you have possession of the original? 13 A. Why would I? 14 Q. I don't know. 15 MR. ROSE: And I object to this line of 16 questioning as completely irrelevant and 17 immaterial, since the estate has no interest in 18 this, other than the mortgage, which has been 19 unpaid and for which Mr. Eliot Bernstein has been 20 living in the residence without paying any rent, or 21 mortgage, or interest for two years. 22 But other than that, I think this whole 23 line of questioning is wholly irrelevant; and 24 you're wasting valuable time. 25 Q. Let me ask a follow-up question: What</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">71</p> <p>1 Q. I mean, to your knowledge, on paper Mr. 2 Ted Bernstein has no involvement with Bernstein 3 Family Realty, LLC; correct? 4 A. Well, he has no direct involvement in 5 Bernstein Family Realty, LLC. He does have -- or 6 potentially has involvement vis-a-vis -- no, he 7 does not have involvement; that's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And so -- 9 A. That I'm aware of. 10 Q. Therefore, what would the nature of any -- 11 why would you be having any correspondence or 12 conversation with Mr. Ted Bernstein concerning 13 Bernstein Family Realty if he has no apparent 14 involvement in that entity? 15 A. Well, Bernstein Family Realty -- if I'm 16 not mistaken -- was also named as a defendant in 17 the Stansbury litigation. 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. And certainly in that regard there was 20 discussion. 21 Q. Okay. Is Bernstein Family Realty still a 22 defendant in the Stansbury litigation? 23 A. Is it still? I don't know. I -- those 24 are issues that I'm not necessarily privy to. 25 Q. All right. Let me draw your attention to</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">70</p> <p>1 conversations have you had with Ted Bernstein 2 concerning the Bernstein Family Realty, LLC, since 3 the passing of Simon Bernstein? 4 MR. BLOCK: Well, I ask a question as to 5 whether or not there's a privilege. I have no idea 6 of what you're talking, but just want to throw that 7 out. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm mulling that in my 9 head, Irwin, as to whether it is a privilege issue. 10 Q. Okay. Let me -- 11 MR. ROSE: The question is, have you had a 12 discussion? And if the -- the answer is either yes 13 or no; and then we can deal with the privilege 14 issue. 15 MR. FEAMAN: Yeah. Right. 16 A. Yeah. I think tangentially there has been 17 -- there had been discussion regarding Bernstein 18 Family Realty. 19 Q. Between you and Mr. Ted Bernstein; is that 20 correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Okay. And has there been email traffic 23 between you and Mr. Ted Bernstein concerning 24 Bernstein Family Realty, LLC? 25 A. Not me, I don't believe.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">72</p> <p>1 premarked Exhibit 7 -- 2 A. Yes, sir. 3 Q. -- for the deposition -- 4 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. -- which is a -- 6 MR. ROSE: Is it still a defendant in the 7 lawsuit, Peter, since it's your lawsuit? 8 MR. FEAMAN: Yes, it is. 9 Q. Marked as Exhibit 7 is a copy of Articles 10 of Incorporation for Bernstein Holdings, LLC. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. You are shown as the registered agent of 14 Bernstein Holdings, LLC; is that correct? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. All right. And the manager is shown as 17 Simon Bernstein under article 5 on page 2; correct? 18 A. That is correct. 19 Q. Now, the -- this looks like a different 20 address altogether than the ones we identified 21 before under article 4. It shows an address for 22 you of 2101 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 107. 23 Do you know what was located at that 24 address at that time? 25 A. Yes. That was in the first year of our --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">73</p> <p>1 of our firm. Starting January 1 of '08, that was</p> <p>2 our office address.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Very good.</p> <p>4 And it shows a manager as Simon Bernstein;</p> <p>5 is that correct?</p> <p>6 A. That's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. There's also a signature of a member,</p> <p>8 Robert Spallina.</p> <p>9 Do you know what his involvement in the</p> <p>10 corporation was or is?</p> <p>11 A. He didn't sign as a member. He signed as</p> <p>12 an authorized representative of a member.</p> <p>13 MR. ROSE: Object to form.</p> <p>14 Q. All right. Well, let me draw your</p> <p>15 attention to page 3 of Exhibit 7, document dated by</p> <p>16 the Secretary of State April 12th, 2013. It shows</p> <p>17 the manager as Robert Spallina.</p> <p>18 That would be your law partner; correct?</p> <p>19 A. That is -- yes, he is my law partner.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. And how did your law partner, Mr.</p> <p>21 Spallina, come to be the manager of Bernstein</p> <p>22 Holdings, LLC?</p> <p>23 A. The manager died.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p> <p>25 A. The interest in this entity was owned by,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">75</p> <p>1 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and --</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And that's -- go ahead.</p> <p>3 A. -- and assumedly the family trust under --</p> <p>4 that was established for the benefit of Simon after</p> <p>5 Shirley's death under the Shirley Bernstein Trust</p> <p>6 Agreement from '08.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And the members designated you, as</p> <p>8 the managing member --</p> <p>9 A. They didn't designate me, Peter.</p> <p>10 Q. I mean -- sorry -- designated Mr. Spallina</p> <p>11 as the managing member. And the members were</p> <p>12 acting through Ted Bernstein as the successor</p> <p>13 trustee; is that right?</p> <p>14 MR. ROSE: Object to the form.</p> <p>15 A. I --</p> <p>16 Q. Do you remember --</p> <p>17 A. I have no personal knowledge.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Who would know?</p> <p>19 A. Mr. Spallina --</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 A. -- or perhaps Mr. Bernstein. I don't</p> <p>22 know.</p> <p>23 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 8, if we</p> <p>24 could.</p> <p>25 A. Okay. Yes, sir.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">74</p> <p>1 I believe, the Shirley Bernstein Trust and the</p> <p>2 Simon Bernstein Trust. They owned a majority</p> <p>3 interest -- those two trusts -- in Bernstein</p> <p>4 Holdings, LLC, which was the general partner of a</p> <p>5 limited partnership.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. So the manager died. And</p> <p>7 somehow Robert Spallina became manager.</p> <p>8 But you told me with regard to Bernstein</p> <p>9 Family Realty, Exhibit 6, we identified Simon</p> <p>10 Bernstein as the manager. But then you said nobody</p> <p>11 became the manager after that as far as you knew.</p> <p>12 How did Mr. Spallina become the manager of</p> <p>13 Bernstein Holdings, LLC?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>15 Q. Who are the members -- did you say -- of</p> <p>16 Bernstein Holdings, LLC?</p> <p>17 A. I don't have those documents in front of</p> <p>18 me, Peter. But I believe that it would have been</p> <p>19 the Simon Bernstein Trust and the Shirley Bernstein</p> <p>20 Trust; and there may have been some minor interest</p> <p>21 held for other family members. I'm not certain.</p> <p>22 Q. Which trusts would you be referring to --</p> <p>23 'cause we've identified a number of trusts here</p> <p>24 today?</p> <p>25 A. The 2012 -- July 25, 2012 Simon Bernstein</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">76</p> <p>1 Q. Exhibit 8 is a copy of a Certificate of</p> <p>2 Limited Partnership for the Bernstein Family</p> <p>3 Investments, LLLP.</p> <p>4 Do you see that?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, you're shown as the registered agent</p> <p>7 for that entity; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes. Again, February of 2008; that's</p> <p>9 correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And the general partner is shown as</p> <p>11 Bernstein Holdings, LLC.</p> <p>12 Is that the entity we just identified --</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. -- that Mr. Spallina is now the managing</p> <p>15 partner of?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And your shell company that you</p> <p>18 created is now the registered agent for that</p> <p>19 company rather than you individually; is that</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle,</p> <p>23 Suite 3010, what's located at that address?</p> <p>24 A. I presume that to be what was Simon</p> <p>25 Bernstein's office.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">77</p> <p>1 Q. And now I guess Ted Bernstein's office; 2 right? 3 A. I guess; yes. 4 Q. Okay. All right. 5 Let's take a look at Exhibit 9, if we 6 could. 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 Q. Now, this is a copy of Articles of 9 Incorporation for the Shirley Bernstein Family 10 Foundation, Inc.? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you see that? 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. Okay. You're shown as, again, the 15 registered agent. Did you -- are you the one that 16 created this entity? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And what is the purpose or the business of 19 the Shirley Bernstein Family Foundation, Inc.? 20 A. It has no purpose today. It's an inactive 21 entity, as far as I know. 22 It was formed back in 2008 at a time when 23 Shirley had -- Bernstein had expressed an interest 24 in setting up a foundation to carry on some of her 25 charitable desires.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">79</p> <p>1 bookkeeping services and office administration 2 services. 3 Q. All right. And 2600 Whispering Oaks Lane, 4 is that your home address? 5 A. Yes, it is, sir. 6 Q. All right. And your wife Sue Anne, that's 7 -- she's shown -- is she the only -- was she the 8 only manager? 9 A. She was the manager. 10 Q. Okay. And did Two Oaks Consulting do any 11 business for any entities owned or controlled by 12 the Bernsteins? 13 A. I believe that it might have. I don't 14 recall. I'm looking at your Exhibit 11-A for the 15 first time. So obviously there were some payments 16 that were made to Two Oaks. 17 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 11-A. 18 It's a copy of a reconciliation detail report for 19 Arbitrage International Management, LLC. 20 First, what is your understanding of what 21 Arbitrage International Management, LLC is? 22 A. I'm not 100 percent certain. It was one 23 -- it was part of the overall insurance business of 24 the Bernsteins. 25 Q. So is it fair to say, though, you do</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">78</p> <p>1 Q. All right. Let me draw your attention to 2 Exhibit 10. 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. Exhibit 10 is a printout from the Florida 5 Department of State Division of Corporation for an 6 entity known as "Two Oaks --" 7 MR. FEAMAN: That's O-a-k-s, Madam Court 8 Reporter, T-w-o, Oaks -- oh, you have it there in 9 front of you -- 10 Q. "-- Consulting, LLC." 11 Do you see that? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Okay. It shows it's currently inactive, 14 but it was apparently active from 2006 through 15 2011. 16 What is your understanding of what Two 17 Oaks Consulting, LLC is? 18 A. Geeze. I'm trying to remember now. 19 I set this up for -- principally for my 20 wife and I to use for purposes of trying to develop 21 some additional consulting business on our own. 22 Q. What kind of consulting? 23 A. All types of business consulting. 24 She is a pretty good bookkeeper. You 25 know, we could provide -- looking to provide, like,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">80</p> <p>1 understand that this company is -- was or is owned 2 and controlled by majority by Simon and Ted 3 Bernstein? 4 A. I believe I do understand that. 5 Q. Okay. Now, Simon's ownership interest in 6 Arbitrage International Management, LLC, did that 7 exist at the time of Mr. Bernstein's death in 2012? 8 A. I don't recall. 9 Q. Do you know if his ownership interest in 10 this company is shown on the inventory of the 11 estate? 12 A. I don't recall it being listed on the 13 inventory of the estate, because if it were owned 14 still, it might have been owned through his trust 15 and not through his -- him individually. 16 Q. Okay. I guess we have to do further 17 discovery on that. But you would agree that both 18 Ted and Simon Bernstein controlled that entity; 19 correct? 20 A. My knowledge -- to the best of my 21 knowledge. 22 Q. Yeah. That's all I can ask is to the best 23 of your knowledge. Yeah. Yeah. 24 Okay. Let me draw your attention to page 25 1 of Exhibit 11-A, to about two-thirds of the way</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">81</p> <p>1 down the page.</p> <p>2 There's a check that's listed there dated</p> <p>3 11/1/2006, No. 2047.</p> <p>4 Do you see that, sir?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>6 Q. And it's a check payable to Two Oaks</p> <p>7 Consulting for \$55,000.</p> <p>8 Do you see that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And what work was performed by Two</p> <p>11 Oaks Consulting that caused a delivery of that</p> <p>12 payment from Arbitrage International Management to</p> <p>13 Two Oaks for \$55,000 at or around October or</p> <p>14 November of 2006?</p> <p>15 A. This is seven-and-a-half years ago. To be</p> <p>16 honest with you, I don't know, without going back</p> <p>17 and trying to find out.</p> <p>18 I don't have any immediate knowledge.</p> <p>19 Q. All right. Then just below that is an</p> <p>20 entry for 11/1/2006 --</p> <p>21 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>22 Q. -- check No. 2046 for Tescher Gutter.</p> <p>23 That's your firm before Tescher &</p> <p>24 Spallina; correct?</p> <p>25 A. That is correct, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">83</p> <p>1 that it's a different check number.</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, I can't explain it. Obviously, it's</p> <p>3 not my reconciliation. But it certainly looks like</p> <p>4 the same things here.</p> <p>5 Q. Yeah. Okay. And then, finally, on page</p> <p>6 11-C --</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. -- there's a check in 2007 -- January</p> <p>9 22nd, 2007 -- payable to your wife's company from</p> <p>10 Arbitrage International Management for \$30,000 --</p> <p>11 check No. 2247.</p> <p>12 Do you know what that was for?</p> <p>13 A. No, sir, not without, you know, trying to</p> <p>14 go back and see if I can find it -- find out what</p> <p>15 it was.</p> <p>16 Q. As you sit here today, any idea</p> <p>17 whatsoever?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. All right, sir.</p> <p>20 Now, the -- when you do estate planning</p> <p>21 documents for clients, I assume that you also ask</p> <p>22 them about life insurance?</p> <p>23 A. Generally, we would ask them about --</p> <p>24 Q. As part of your due diligence; is that</p> <p>25 correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">82</p> <p>1 Q. That's the Chaves firm I guess you</p> <p>2 referred to.</p> <p>3 Now, there's a check for \$45,000 on the</p> <p>4 same day, which totaled a payment of \$100,000 on</p> <p>5 November 1st, 2006.</p> <p>6 Do you recall what that was for?</p> <p>7 A. Again, no, I'm not a hundred percent</p> <p>8 certain. But, obviously, if it's paid -- the</p> <p>9 payment -- I'm -- no, I do not know without</p> <p>10 checking.</p> <p>11 Q. All right. Well, let me draw your</p> <p>12 attention to the next page --</p> <p>13 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>14 Q. -- which is a reconciliation detail.</p> <p>15 And about a quarter of the way down --</p> <p>16 also on 11/1/2006 -- this is marked as Exhibit</p> <p>17 11-B, by the way --</p> <p>18 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. There's a check by -- a different check</p> <p>20 number, 2045, payable to Two Oaks Consulting for</p> <p>21 the same amount, \$55,000.</p> <p>22 Do you know what that was for?</p> <p>23 A. No. This looks like a duplication of</p> <p>24 11-A, frankly. I mean, I --</p> <p>25 Q. Yeah. That's what I thought too, except</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">84</p> <p>1 A. Yes, we would generally ask them about</p> <p>2 life insurance. Yes, sir.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And when you did your estate</p> <p>4 planning for Simon Bernstein and Shirley Bernstein</p> <p>5 in 2008, I assume then you asked them about life</p> <p>6 insurance; is that correct?</p> <p>7 A. I would presume that we did.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And are you aware that there's</p> <p>9 presently pending in Chicago litigation concerning</p> <p>10 a life insurance trust?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>12 Q. And are you aware that the litigation</p> <p>13 makes reference to a lost life insurance trust</p> <p>14 instrument?</p> <p>15 A. Yes. Yes, sir.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen it -- or a copy</p> <p>17 of it?</p> <p>18 A. No, sir.</p> <p>19 Q. Ever?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. All right. Do you know if Shirley</p> <p>22 Bernstein was ever a trustee of that document that</p> <p>23 you've never seen?</p> <p>24 A. It's my understanding but -- at some point</p> <p>25 that she was, but I -- I could not tell you for</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">85</p> <p>1 sure.</p> <p>2 Q. All right. And do you know who would be</p> <p>3 the alleged trustee of the alleged trust is today?</p> <p>4 A. I believe that -- that it is Ted</p> <p>5 Bernstein.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And --</p> <p>7 A. Now, you --</p> <p>8 Q. And what's the basis of your belief that</p> <p>9 Ted Bernstein is the trustee of the trust that you</p> <p>10 have never seen?</p> <p>11 A. Just, you know, information related to us,</p> <p>12 either from Pam Simon, or her husband, or Ted</p> <p>13 Bernstein, or the insurance companies.</p> <p>14 Q. So --</p> <p>15 A. And you understand -- you understand that</p> <p>16 the policy itself was owned by Simon Bernstein.</p> <p>17 Q. Yes.</p> <p>18 A. The alleged trust was only the alleged</p> <p>19 beneficiary.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Now, I'm not an expert in this</p> <p>21 area, but if Simon Bernstein was the owner of the</p> <p>22 trust --</p> <p>23 A. Owner of the policy, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. I mean -- of the policy -- would that make</p> <p>25 that a potential asset of the estate if the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">87</p> <p>1 this trust so as to keep it out of his estate for</p> <p>2 estate tax purposes.</p> <p>3 I don't know that to be 100 percent. You</p> <p>4 know, that's just what my understandings are. And</p> <p>5 I don't have specific knowledge. But that would --</p> <p>6 would make some sense and --</p> <p>7 Q. To your knowledge, that was never done;</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. That was never done as far as I know. And</p> <p>10 we --</p> <p>11 Q. Now, did your law partner, Mr. Spallina,</p> <p>12 represent that, in fact, he was the cotrustee -- I</p> <p>13 mean, successor trustee of that trust at one point?</p> <p>14 A. I -- I find that -- I can't -- I saw some</p> <p>15 document somewhere in all of the minutia of</p> <p>16 paperwork that has occurred in the last six months</p> <p>17 here where supposedly he signed something as a</p> <p>18 trustee.</p> <p>19 I don't think he ever represented himself</p> <p>20 to anybody really as being a trustee of a trust</p> <p>21 that was to receive the proceeds of that \$1.6</p> <p>22 million policy.</p> <p>23 Q. Well, take a look at Exhibit 14, if you</p> <p>24 would.</p> <p>25 A. Yeah. No. I said there is a document</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">86</p> <p>1 beneficiary can't be located?</p> <p>2 A. You're -- you're mixing -- you're mixing</p> <p>3 ownership and beneficiary.</p> <p>4 Q. Right.</p> <p>5 A. The fact that he was an owner only means</p> <p>6 that, at least for federal estate tax purposes, the</p> <p>7 life insurance proceeds would be includable as part</p> <p>8 of his federal gross estate.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Now, other than what Pam might have</p> <p>10 told you or Pam's husband, do you have any other</p> <p>11 reason why you say you believe that Mr. Ted</p> <p>12 Bernstein is currently the successor trustee?</p> <p>13 A. My recollection -- and, again, this is</p> <p>14 without the benefit of looking at documents -- at</p> <p>15 one point in time the -- Simon and Shirley</p> <p>16 Bernstein were being represented by the Proskauer</p> <p>17 firm in Boca. And Al Gortz, in that firm --</p> <p>18 G-o-r-t-z -- was doing some legal work for them;</p> <p>19 and I believe he prepared -- it may be a 2000</p> <p>20 trust; I don't remember precisely.</p> <p>21 He had prepared a new life -- irrevocable</p> <p>22 life insurance trust, I believe. And I think -- I</p> <p>23 think my understanding is -- and I could be wrong</p> <p>24 -- was that he was -- he had prepared that to have</p> <p>25 Simon transfer the ownership of the policy into</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">88</p> <p>1 floating around; and I didn't know what -- what</p> <p>2 documents you -- I hadn't seen these in advance, so</p> <p>3 I wasn't sure.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. Okay. I'm looking at 14 now.</p> <p>6 Q. Yeah. It's a composite exhibit?</p> <p>7 A. Right.</p> <p>8 Q. And it's a letter dated under your</p> <p>9 letterhead of Tescher & Spallina dated December 6,</p> <p>10 2012.</p> <p>11 Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And it's directed to the claims department</p> <p>14 of Heritage Union Life Insurance Company; is that</p> <p>15 correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, it is.</p> <p>17 Q. He states that he wants -- under the fifth</p> <p>18 bullet point -- the proceeds from the policy</p> <p>19 released so that, quote, "We can make distributions</p> <p>20 amongst the five Bernstein children," unquote.</p> <p>21 Do you see that?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen the Heritage</p> <p>24 policy itself?</p> <p>25 A. I'm not a hundred percent certain that I</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">89</p> <p>1 have.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know if it exists?</p> <p>3 A. I have no direct knowledge. I presume it</p> <p>4 exists. Somebody paid 1.6 million into the</p> <p>5 registry of the court. So there's some life</p> <p>6 insurance policy that existed.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, maybe they should have paid 2.6</p> <p>8 million.</p> <p>9 A. Well...</p> <p>10 Q. Has a request ever been made, to your</p> <p>11 knowledge -- either on behalf of the state or</p> <p>12 otherwise -- to get a copy of the policy?</p> <p>13 A. I'm not aware.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you and Mr. Spallina have discussions</p> <p>15 at or about the time that this letter dated</p> <p>16 December 6, 2012, marked as Exhibit 14 was written</p> <p>17 about under what authority Mr. Spallina made the</p> <p>18 demands that the proceeds should be paid to the</p> <p>19 five Bernstein children?</p> <p>20 A. It doesn't really read like a demand.</p> <p>21 But, you know, if you want to characterize it that</p> <p>22 way...</p> <p>23 I'm seeing this letter for the first time.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you have discussions with Mr. Spallina</p> <p>25 concerning the request made by him that he would</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">91</p> <p>1 unfair to the other participants.</p> <p>2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Perfectly fine.</p> <p>3 This is Eliot Bernstein; and it's relevant to the</p> <p>4 matters at hand as to the qualifications of Ted and</p> <p>5 the qualifications of Mr. Tescher.</p> <p>6 Q. Did Mr. Spallina, to your knowledge, have</p> <p>7 the consent of Mr. Eliot Bernstein to request that</p> <p>8 the distributions of the life insurance policy be</p> <p>9 made to him instead of the estate?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Do you recall that there were two</p> <p>12 other life insurance policies that were, in fact,</p> <p>13 paid to the Simon Bernstein estate?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I believe so. I don't recall. They</p> <p>15 were relatively modest policies, if I'm not</p> <p>16 mistaken.</p> <p>17 Q. Would you agree with me that, if the lost</p> <p>18 trust instrument cannot be established, that the</p> <p>19 proceeds of the insurance policy would be then</p> <p>20 payable to the estate of Simon Bernstein?</p> <p>21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal</p> <p>22 conclusion. Beyond the witness's knowledge, scope,</p> <p>23 information. Irrelevant. Immaterial. And</p> <p>24 improper question for the purposes of this</p> <p>25 deposition.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">90</p> <p>1 like the distributions amongst the five Bernstein</p> <p>2 children?</p> <p>3 A. Well, my conversations with Robert</p> <p>4 Spallina included relating conversations he had had</p> <p>5 with Simon Bernstein regarding the policy and the</p> <p>6 overall plan that Simon Bernstein wished to have</p> <p>7 occur upon his demise.</p> <p>8 Q. Are there emails between Mr. Bernstein --</p> <p>9 Simon -- and your office concerning any expressions</p> <p>10 of intent about the distribution of insurance</p> <p>11 proceeds upon his demise?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not certain. Again, we provided all</p> <p>13 of that documentation to everybody. There may have</p> <p>14 been notes. There may have been file notes.</p> <p>15 MR. ROSE: For the record, Peter, before</p> <p>16 you ask your next question -- and I hate to break</p> <p>17 your momentum -- but it's 4:30 p.m.</p> <p>18 There are a number of other people that</p> <p>19 might have questions. And you are, in my view,</p> <p>20 taking discovery in a case that you're not involved</p> <p>21 in that Mr. Stansbury has counsel, and is</p> <p>22 representing, as administrator ad litem, the</p> <p>23 interests of the estate in unrelated litigation;</p> <p>24 and I think this is far afield of the issues we</p> <p>25 have Friday. And I think you're being a little</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">92</p> <p>1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you representing</p> <p>2 Don Tescher?</p> <p>3 MR. ROSE: No. He's representing Ted</p> <p>4 Bernstein.</p> <p>5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you putting that</p> <p>6 objection on for Ted or...</p> <p>7 MR. FEAMAN: Don Tescher is represented</p> <p>8 by --</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: Irwin Block.</p> <p>10 MR. FEAMAN: -- Mr. Irwin Block, a very</p> <p>11 well-respected attorney here in Palm Beach County.</p> <p>12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Is he making</p> <p>13 the objections for Mr. Tescher?</p> <p>14 MR. ROSE: No. He's making the objections</p> <p>15 on behalf of Mr. Ted Bernstein, which he has the</p> <p>16 right to do.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So you can answer.</p> <p>18 A. Could you repeat the question, please?</p> <p>19 I'm sorry.</p> <p>20 MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I would ask the court</p> <p>21 reporter to read it back.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Sure.</p> <p>23 (Question read: Question: "Would you</p> <p>24 agree with me that, if the lost trust</p> <p>25 instrument cannot be established, that the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">93</p> <p>1 proceeds of the insurance policy would be</p> <p>2 then payable to the estate of Simon</p> <p>3 Bernstein?")</p> <p>4 A. I think it may depend upon the terms and</p> <p>5 conditions contained in the insurance policy. So I</p> <p>6 can't say absolutely that that would be the case.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. But it seems nobody can find the</p> <p>8 insurance policy. So in a default provision,</p> <p>9 wouldn't it, in fact, then go to the estate --</p> <p>10 A. I --</p> <p>11 Q. -- the -- Bernstein as the owner of the</p> <p>12 policy?</p> <p>13 A. I -- I --</p> <p>14 MR. ROSE: Objection to the form. Calls</p> <p>15 for a legal conclusion. Beyond the scope of the</p> <p>16 witness's knowledge.</p> <p>17 A. I don't have an answer.</p> <p>18 Q. All right. Well, as the copersonal</p> <p>19 representative, what effort did you take to</p> <p>20 ascertain whether the proceeds of this policy</p> <p>21 should, in fact, be payable to the estate?</p> <p>22 A. Well, the first -- what we attempted to</p> <p>23 first do was to go into court in Palm Beach County</p> <p>24 and have a declaratory action to establish a lost</p> <p>25 trust and appoint a successor trustee, which is a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">95</p> <p>1 Mr. Spallina; is that correct?</p> <p>2 A. It is a letter that appears to have been</p> <p>3 written by Mr. Spallina.</p> <p>4 Q. Now, let me draw your attention to the</p> <p>5 claimant's statement that is on page 2 of Exhibit</p> <p>6 14 --</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. -- do you see that?</p> <p>9 Do you know who filled that out?</p> <p>10 A. It looks to me like it is Mr. Spallina's</p> <p>11 signature for sure.</p> <p>12 Q. Pardon me?</p> <p>13 A. It looks to me like it is Mr. Spallina's</p> <p>14 signature for sure; that would be his signature.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. And apparently he wrote "Personal rep and</p> <p>17 trustee."</p> <p>18 Q. Did you and Mr. Spallina have discussion</p> <p>19 about this document at any time?</p> <p>20 A. No, sir.</p> <p>21 Q. Is it your understanding that he's</p> <p>22 representing himself to be the trustee of the lost</p> <p>23 insurance trust?</p> <p>24 A. No. It's my understanding that he was</p> <p>25 representing himself to be the trustee of Simon's</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">94</p> <p>1 -- you know, not an uncommon proceeding.</p> <p>2 We, in fact, had drafted a pleading, which</p> <p>3 is your Exhibit 12.</p> <p>4 The family, for whatever reasons -- and</p> <p>5 I'm not certain what all the reasons were --</p> <p>6 decided that they wanted to remove the action to</p> <p>7 Federal District Court in Illinois. So the matter</p> <p>8 was removed to federal district court in Illinois.</p> <p>9 Q. And --</p> <p>10 A. We are not a party any longer in that</p> <p>11 proceeding and have not been involved in that for</p> <p>12 quite some time.</p> <p>13 Q. Well as a PR, did you think you had any</p> <p>14 fiduciary obligations to creditors -- interested</p> <p>15 persons of the estate to marshall the assets of the</p> <p>16 estate for the benefit of not only the</p> <p>17 beneficiaries but the creditors too?</p> <p>18 A. The best information that was given to us</p> <p>19 that we acted upon was to attempt to see if the '95</p> <p>20 trust could either be located or reestablished</p> <p>21 through -- through appropriate testimony.</p> <p>22 Q. All right. Let's get back, if we could,</p> <p>23 to Exhibit 14, and then we'll get to my Exhibit 12.</p> <p>24 A. Okay. I've got 14. Okay.</p> <p>25 Q. So you can identify the letter written by</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">96</p> <p>1 trust and also personal representative of Simon's</p> <p>2 estate.</p> <p>3 Q. Well, let's go to the next page, which is</p> <p>4 Bates stamped No. JCK 001273.</p> <p>5 A. Yeah, I see it.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you see that where it says "Name of</p> <p>7 Trust: Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance</p> <p>8 Trust," dated 6/1/95. And it looks like "Printed</p> <p>9 name of trustee: Robert Spallina."</p> <p>10 Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A. I see that.</p> <p>12 Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr.</p> <p>13 Spallina concerning his authority to represent</p> <p>14 himself to the insurance company as the trustee of</p> <p>15 the 1995 revocable trust?</p> <p>16 A. No, sir. I'm seeing this document</p> <p>17 probably for the first time.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 MR. ROSE: Object to the form of the last</p> <p>20 one.</p> <p>21 Q. And then you mentioned the action that was</p> <p>22 then filed --</p> <p>23 A. I didn't say it was filed. I said it was</p> <p>24 contemplated to be filed.</p> <p>25 Q. No, in Illinois?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">97</p> <p>1 A. Oh. I'm sorry. Go ahead.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Now, there -- are you aware that</p> <p>3 the trustee of the lost trust -- that Mr. Ted</p> <p>4 Bernstein represents himself as the successor</p> <p>5 trustee?</p> <p>6 Are you aware of that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Do you know by what authority Mr.</p> <p>9 Bernstein represented himself as successor trustee?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Are you aware that there were discussions</p> <p>12 and email traffic between your office and counsel</p> <p>13 in Chicago concerning whether an action should be</p> <p>14 filed in Palm Beach County -- as you mentioned --</p> <p>15 or in Illinois?</p> <p>16 A. I believe there was correspondence back</p> <p>17 and forth; and there were also telephone</p> <p>18 discussions.</p> <p>19 Q. Are you aware that in that correspondence</p> <p>20 Mr. Spallina represented to counsel in Chicago that</p> <p>21 the life insurance proceeds were, in fact, an asset</p> <p>22 of the Simon Bernstein estate; therefore, it should</p> <p>23 be litigated in Palm Beach County?</p> <p>24 MR. ROSE: Object to the form.</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">99</p> <p>1 As to whether Eliot Bernstein's opinion was</p> <p>2 elicited, I would seriously doubt.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And, in fact, Eliot Bernstein is</p> <p>4 mentioned in paragraph 5 as one of the five</p> <p>5 surviving children of Simon Bernstein.</p> <p>6 A. That is -- that is correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. And how did the decision come about</p> <p>8 that the action instead was filed in Chicago -- in</p> <p>9 Illinois state court, rather than in the county</p> <p>10 where the decedent resided, in Palm Beach County;</p> <p>11 if you know?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. And who would be most knowledgeable about</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.</p> <p>16 Materiality. It's 4:42. You're trying the wrong</p> <p>17 case. The judge has already determined that Mr.</p> <p>18 Stansbury, at his own expense, can pursue the</p> <p>19 litigation in Illinois. And you're not supposed to</p> <p>20 use this deposition for discovery in that case.</p> <p>21 And, otherwise, everything you're asking is</p> <p>22 irrelevant and wasting the time of everyone,</p> <p>23 including the people that haven't yet had a chance</p> <p>24 to ask questions.</p> <p>25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. This is Eliot</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">98</p> <p>1 Q. All right. And now, take a look at</p> <p>2 Exhibit 12.</p> <p>3 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>4 Q. That's a draft of a complaint; correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Was that prepared in your office?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And who prepared it?</p> <p>9 A. I believe that I did.</p> <p>10 Q. And this is a draft of a complaint</p> <p>11 prepared by you entitled "Declaratory Action to</p> <p>12 Establish a Lost Trust and Appoint a Successor</p> <p>13 Trustee"; correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>15 Q. You prepared that on behalf of Ted</p> <p>16 Bernstein; is that correct?</p> <p>17 A. "Comes now Ted Bernstein, son of Simon</p> <p>18 Bernstein"; that's correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. So -- now, then you said earlier in</p> <p>20 your testimony that the family -- quote, "the</p> <p>21 family," close quote -- decided that it should be</p> <p>22 -- this action should be filed in Chicago?</p> <p>23 Was Eliot Bernstein a member of the family</p> <p>24 that you referred to?</p> <p>25 A. Eliot Bernstein is a member of the family.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">100</p> <p>1 Bernstein. I'll put back on the record that it's</p> <p>2 very relevant to the qualifications of Mr. Tescher</p> <p>3 to act in any capacity on this.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: I'm not being asked to act</p> <p>5 in any capacity, Mr. Bernstein.</p> <p>6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you objecting on</p> <p>7 behalf of Ted or on behalf of --</p> <p>8 Q. I mean, I'm laying a predicate here,</p> <p>9 because my next question is, in recommending Ted</p> <p>10 Bernstein as successor personal representative to</p> <p>11 the estate of Simon Bernstein, what are you</p> <p>12 recommending what action Ted Bernstein takes -- if</p> <p>13 he's appointed by the court as successor personal</p> <p>14 representative -- to protect the estate in trying</p> <p>15 to obtain the assets -- excuse me -- the proceeds</p> <p>16 of this insurance policy?</p> <p>17 A. I'm not your lawyer anymore.</p> <p>18 Q. Well, you were never my lawyer.</p> <p>19 A. Well, I'm no longer Ted Bernstein's lawyer</p> <p>20 either.</p> <p>21 Q. And would you expect him to take action to</p> <p>22 protect the estate?</p> <p>23 MR. ROSE: Objection to the form in light</p> <p>24 of Judge Colin's prior ruling.</p> <p>25 I think you understand it's an improper</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">101</p> <p>1 question, Peter, which is why you keep asking it.</p> <p>2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't think it's</p> <p>3 improper at all.</p> <p>4 A. I am --</p> <p>5 Q. You are recommending, sir, Mr. Ted</p> <p>6 Bernstein, the PR, you're the previous PR. And</p> <p>7 since you're in the business of recommending, would</p> <p>8 you recommend to Ted Bernstein as successor</p> <p>9 personal representative to take assets -- to take</p> <p>10 steps necessary to try to get these insurance</p> <p>11 proceeds into the estate of Simon Bernstein, since</p> <p>12 the PR has a fiduciary obligation to all interested</p> <p>13 persons of an estate?</p> <p>14 A. I think that Mr. Bernstein -- Ted</p> <p>15 Bernstein -- should do what he should do based upon</p> <p>16 his standing as a fiduciary; and if that means not</p> <p>17 taking a position -- if that means taking a</p> <p>18 position on behalf of the estate, if that means</p> <p>19 standing silent and letting the chips fall where</p> <p>20 they may, those are decisions that he will have to</p> <p>21 make.</p> <p>22 Q. And what decision did you make as personal</p> <p>23 representative with regard to those estate assets?</p> <p>24 A. We felt that they belonged to the '95</p> <p>25 trust; that there was enough evidence around that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">103</p> <p>1 Q. -- were they in a trust or owned by him</p> <p>2 personally?</p> <p>3 A. Well, they were paid --</p> <p>4 Q. Were they placed into a trust?</p> <p>5 A. No. They were owned by him personally,</p> <p>6 and they were payable to the estate.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did Eliot Bernstein ever express to</p> <p>8 you his disagreement of -- regarding the estate --</p> <p>9 of Simon Bernstein's noninvolvement in the Illinois</p> <p>10 action?</p> <p>11 A. He might have. I don't recall.</p> <p>12 Q. You don't recall? And if he might have --</p> <p>13 A. He --</p> <p>14 Q. -- do you recall what you might have said?</p> <p>15 MR. BLOCK: I object to the form of that</p> <p>16 question.</p> <p>17 A. I don't know how to respond to that</p> <p>18 question.</p> <p>19 Q. Did your office have disagreements with</p> <p>20 Chicago counsel in emails about the filing of the</p> <p>21 action in Illinois?</p> <p>22 A. We -- we did not necessarily totally agree</p> <p>23 with what they wanted to do.</p> <p>24 Q. And were the -- some of the children of</p> <p>25 Mr. Simon Bernstein fearful that the claim of Mr.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">102</p> <p>1 the trust, in fact, existed; and that it ought to</p> <p>2 be reestablished if it could be.</p> <p>3 That was our determination. The family --</p> <p>4 Q. Were you aware of the 2000 trust?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, we were.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And is that trust -- can that trust</p> <p>7 be found?</p> <p>8 A. I think so, if I'm not mistaken.</p> <p>9 I'm not 100 percent certain about that,</p> <p>10 Peter.</p> <p>11 Q. And is that also a life insurance trust?</p> <p>12 A. I believe it is, in the sense that it was</p> <p>13 going to be the owner and beneficiary of the</p> <p>14 policy, I believe.</p> <p>15 Q. And the --</p> <p>16 A. The reason why -- let me just tell you.</p> <p>17 The reason why Si never wanted to put the</p> <p>18 ownership out of his control was for the very</p> <p>19 reason that he wanted to be able to control where</p> <p>20 that policy was ultimately going.</p> <p>21 Q. And the two other policies that were paid</p> <p>22 to the --</p> <p>23 A. Yes, sir.</p> <p>24 Q. -- estate --</p> <p>25 A. Yes, sir.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">104</p> <p>1 Stansbury would consume the insurance proceeds and,</p> <p>2 therefore, wanted to keep those insurance proceeds</p> <p>3 that are the subject of the litigation in Illinois</p> <p>4 out of the estate at all costs?</p> <p>5 MR. BLOCK: Peter, what does that have to</p> <p>6 do with the issue before the court? This is Block.</p> <p>7 You know, I'm trying to be quiet, but I think</p> <p>8 you're really going far afield here.</p> <p>9 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Fair -- fair comment.</p> <p>10 Q. Let me ask one or two more questions: Did</p> <p>11 Ted Bernstein express to you -- either email or</p> <p>12 orally while you were personal representative --</p> <p>13 his desire to keep the insurance proceeds out of</p> <p>14 the estate of Simon Bernstein so that it would not</p> <p>15 be subject to the claim of Mr. Stansbury as a</p> <p>16 creditor?</p> <p>17 A. I don't recall. I mean, obviously we all</p> <p>18 knew that, if the proceeds were payable to the</p> <p>19 estate --</p> <p>20 MR. BLOCK: Don, did you hear my objection</p> <p>21 on privilege?</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.</p> <p>23 MR. BLOCK: If you want to answer it,</p> <p>24 that's up to you.</p> <p>25 MR. FEAMAN: Yeah. I'm asking that</p>

1 question, Irwin, in his capacity as personal
2 representative.

3 MR. BLOCK: Does that mean he wasn't a
4 lawyer?

5 MR. FEAMAN: Well, when you're a personal
6 representative --

7 MR. BLOCK: Go ahead, Peter.

8 A. I will answer it this way: We were all
9 obviously aware -- everybody was aware that if the
10 proceeds came into the estate they would be exposed
11 to a successful prosecution of Mr. Stansbury's
12 lawsuit if he got a judgment.

13 **Q. Did you talk to Mr. Stansbury at any time**
14 **about his claim -- or your attorney, Mr. Manceri?**

15 A. I don't recall ever talking to Mr.
16 Stansbury about it.

17 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. All right. I think
18 that's all I have at this point.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Peter.

20 MR. ROSE: Does anybody else wish to ask
21 questions of Mr. Tescher?

22 MR. MORRISSEY: John Morrissey. I have no
23 questions.

24 MR. ROSE: Anybody else?

25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: This is Eliot

A			
\$1.6 87:21	15:11 16:17 30:1	announcing 58:7,10	72:9 77:8
\$100,000 82:4	30:4,7,12,15	answer 20:19 38:14	ascertain 93:20
\$30,000 83:10	31:14 39:13	56:10 67:4 70:12	aside 22:16
\$45,000 82:3	53:20 55:8 56:24	92:17 93:17	asked 14:24 30:16
\$55,000 81:7,13	afield 11:4 90:24	104:23 105:8	84:5 100:4
82:21	104:8	answered 47:17	asking 10:16 51:14
ability 20:24	agent 64:3,9 65:13	answers 15:1	99:21 101:1
24:20	65:25 68:1 72:13	anybody 11:19 52:2	104:25
able 41:3 102:19	76:6,18 77:15	60:1 87:20	asset 24:22 67:6
absolutely 93:6	Agents 7:14 9:10	105:20,24	85:25 97:21
accounts 49:5	64:4,12	anymore 100:17	assets 22:15 23:6
accurate 16:8,17	ago 14:14 81:15	anyway 57:15	26:15 94:15
23:11,17 25:18	agree 11:1 12:4,13	apologize 41:1	100:15 101:9,23
accused 45:11,21	27:21 59:6 80:17	57:2	assist 58:18
46:4	91:17 92:24	apparent 20:21	assistant 48:22
acquire 22:25	103:22	71:13	assisting 42:20
act 20:24 100:3,4	agreed 12:10	apparently 17:15	associate 53:14
acted 94:19	agreeing 30:23	45:25 51:6,13	54:24 56:6
acting 67:3 75:12	agreement 6:5 8:2	78:14 95:16	assume 9:16 42:6
action 7:5 9:1	15:22 16:5,10	appear 41:9	44:6 59:13 83:21
24:8 93:24 94:6	17:24 19:6 21:9	APPEARANCES 2:1	84:5
96:21 97:13	31:17 43:22	3:1 4:1	assumed 44:4
98:11,22 99:8	53:11 75:1,6	appeared 51:7	assumedly 25:19
100:12,21 103:10	ahead 34:23 75:2	appears 17:13,14	75:3
103:21	97:1 105:7	36:3 43:25 58:3	assuming 67:17
active 78:14	Al 86:17	95:2	attached 15:4
activity 21:10	Alan 2:16 9:11	applicability	16:17 53:20 55:8
ad 60:6 90:22	10:5 12:3 29:25	10:13	56:24
add 11:13	31:3 40:25 47:2	applicable 1:18	attempt 94:19
added 53:1	Alexandra 3:19	apply 38:7	attempted 50:16
addition 11:8	alive 16:24	appoint 7:7 9:2	93:22
additional 12:11	alleged 85:3,3,18	29:7 34:4 93:25	attempting 26:13
78:21	85:18	98:12	attend 39:21 40:8
address 41:17,22	allegedly 40:3,15	appointed 31:23,25	attention 31:13
42:4 64:11,14,22	61:24	34:17 38:19 39:8	34:13 35:18 36:3
66:2,4,25 72:20	allocate 28:15	60:7 100:13	37:12 39:15 52:1
72:21,24 73:2	allocation 27:24	appointment 10:8	52:21 53:5,13
76:23 79:4	28:10	31:23 32:11	63:18 71:25
adequately 38:4	allow 50:14	33:17,22 35:8,12	73:15 78:1 80:24
administers 51:12	allowed 11:19,19	38:7	82:12 95:4
administration	allowing 30:22	appoints 60:24	attorney 13:4
42:18 46:8 79:1	allows 32:2	appropriate 52:6	58:14,23 65:8,9
administrator 60:6	altered 52:22 53:4	94:21	92:11 105:14
90:22	53:8 54:5,8	April 73:16	attorney/client
admissible 30:15	55:15 56:2,4,9	arbitrage 62:24	10:14
admission 30:1	56:20 58:2	79:19,21 80:6	attorneys 42:6
advance 11:2 40:23	altering 52:22	81:12 83:10	56:2
88:2	altogether 72:20	area 85:21	authority 89:17
advice 24:7,11,14	Amended 6:4 8:1	areas 42:8	96:13 97:8
advise 25:8 29:4	17:24 19:5 31:17	argument 30:25	authorized 73:12
advised 25:10,11	43:21 75:1	31:2,7 39:10	aware 16:22 17:5
affairs 20:25 22:1	amendment 16:4,9	Arose@mrachek- ...	18:20 25:24
affect 20:23	17:4,5 52:21,23	2:21	26:20,24 52:3,5
affidavit 7:15	53:10,21 54:8	arraignments 22:15	71:9 84:8,12
14:2,8,13,18,20	55:5	article 72:17,21	89:13 97:2,6,11
14:25 15:2,4,6	amount 82:21	articles 6:13,18	97:19 102:4
	Anne 48:23 79:6	8:10,15 65:11	105:9,9

B			
b 2:16 6:1 15:6,21 16:21 17:3 32:6 38:1 back 26:1 28:14 43:18,22 46:11 57:17 58:12 65:14 77:22 81:16 83:14 92:21 94:22 97:16 100:1 based 101:15 basically 46:7 basis 29:16 85:8 Bates 96:4 bathroom 57:11 Beach 1:7 2:6,7,19 3:16 13:14,24 92:11 93:23 97:14,23 99:10 began 43:9,11 beginning 37:22 begins 35:20 behalf 1:17 31:11 67:3 89:11 92:15 98:15 100:7,7 101:18 belief 85:8 believe 15:3 16:14 20:7 21:11 22:3 23:7 30:11 31:14 33:2,2,7,23 35:20 40:18,25 44:9,23 46:24 48:10,14 51:20 53:21,25 68:25 70:25 74:1,18 79:13 80:4 85:4 86:11,19,22 91:14 97:16 98:9 102:12,14 belonged 101:24 beneficiaries 28:7 28:8 38:22 94:17 beneficiary 20:5 35:15 36:11,12 85:19 86:1,3 102:13 benefit 33:24 68:12 75:4 86:14 94:16 Bernstein 1:12,18 2:22 3:20,20,21 4:16,24 5:9 6:4 6:9,12,14,16,19 7:9 8:1,6,8,11 8:13,16 9:5 10:9	10:11,12,15,15 15:12,14,21 16:1 16:5,9,18,24 17:6,9,21,24 18:5,13,21 19:5 19:21,21 20:10 20:12,13,21 21:9 21:12,25 22:6,6 22:17,21 23:24 23:25 25:22,23 26:4,5 27:5,9 29:12 31:17,25 34:24,24 37:4,7 38:1,2 39:7 40:4 40:15 44:4 45:14 45:18 46:6,12,23 48:7,12,13,16,17 49:17,20,21,22 49:22,24 50:4,6 51:5 52:8,12,19 53:9,21 54:9 55:13,17,19 56:3 56:8 58:3,6,6,9 58:15,17,20 59:20,24 60:24 61:14,23 62:17 62:22 63:2,3,6 63:12,13,25 64:22 65:7 66:7 66:7,13,20 67:1 67:5,6,21 68:2 68:12,21,23,24 69:19 70:1,2,3 70:17,19,23,24 71:2,2,5,12,13 71:15,21 72:10 72:14,17 73:4,21 74:1,2,3,8,10,13 74:16,19,19,25 75:5,12,21 76:2 76:11 77:9,19,23 80:3,18 84:4,4 84:22 85:5,9,13 85:16,21 86:12 86:16 88:20 89:19 90:1,5,6,8 91:2,3,7,13,20 92:1,4,5,12,15 93:3,11 96:7 97:4,9,22 98:16 98:17,18,23,25 99:3,5,25 100:1 100:5,6,10,11,12 101:2,6,8,11,14 101:15 103:7,25 104:11,14 105:25 Bernstein's 10:4	17:18 22:22 23:3 48:5 52:23 53:1 53:10 62:3 68:13 76:25 77:1 80:7 99:1 100:19 103:9 Bernsteins 40:2 79:12,24 best 27:2 50:21 56:13 57:5 59:15 80:20,22 94:18 Beyond 91:22 93:15 bills 49:6 bit 34:1 bleeds 37:18 Block 3:4 9:20,24 10:1 12:3,6,10 70:4 92:9,10 103:15 104:5,6 104:20,23 105:3 105:7 Blvd 2:6 Boca 3:7 4:11,18 86:17 bookkeeper 49:7,9 78:24 bookkeeping 79:1 books 49:5 68:1 bottom 32:6 Boulevard 72:22 bounced 46:14 box 15:18 17:17 61:18 Boynton 2:6,7 break 57:11,13 90:16 bring 51:25 52:1 broad 23:21 brought 34:13 52:20 53:5,12 58:16 63:11 Brown 60:6,10,16 building 57:10 bullet 88:18 business 26:12 42:12 63:5 66:6 77:18 78:21,23 79:11,23 101:7 businesses 63:9,14 businessman 20:8	59:19 called 1:17 14:2 16:4 Calls 91:21 93:14 candidate 29:6 capable 29:6 capacity 11:24 21:20 23:15,23 24:3,20 29:13 100:3,5 105:1 Cape 44:16 51:24 carried 67:13 carry 68:22 77:24 case 21:18 37:3 47:10 51:10 59:9 90:20 93:6 99:17 99:20 cause 34:5 51:22 56:11 60:23 74:23 caused 21:24 52:18 55:3 56:5 81:11 cautious 25:11 ceasing 21:10 certain 20:22 21:6 22:4 42:8 45:1 45:18 55:23 74:21 79:22 82:8 88:25 90:12 94:5 102:9 certainly 13:22 21:6 30:23 37:8 51:25 71:19 83:3 Certificate 6:15 8:12 76:1 chance 99:23 change 65:4,6 changed 40:16 43:19 64:23 changing 66:25 characterize 89:21 charitable 77:25 Chaves 43:15 82:1 check 81:2,6,22 82:3,19,19 83:1 83:8,11 checking 82:10 checks 49:12 Chicago 62:23 84:9 97:13,20 98:22 99:8 103:20 child 36:20 37:1 children 19:24 22:22 23:3 37:14 38:1,18 39:4,6,7 39:19 46:8 50:25 53:1 57:7 68:13
		C	
		C 15:6 16:3,8,22 17:3 53:24,25 54:3,5 CA-CSR 1:20 call 36:3 41:2	

88:20 89:19 90:2 99:5 103:24 chips 101:19 choice 19:22 Christine 52:24 55:6,9 Circle 66:3 76:22 CIRCUIT 1:6,7 circumstance 24:9 Civil 1:19 12:17 claim 25:17,21 103:25 104:15 105:14 claimant's 95:5 claims 88:13 cleaned 50:13 clear 61:10 clearly 40:5 Clematis 3:14 clerk's 46:14 client 11:18 clients 62:21,25 63:10,11,13 64:10 83:21 close 46:7 49:1 98:21 closed 50:11 closing 48:8 closure 58:1 Cod 44:16 51:24 code 36:14,15,22 36:25 37:9 Colin 9:14,23 29:4 Colin's 46:15 100:24 come 24:6 49:12 51:4 52:10 53:3 54:24 73:21 99:7 Comes 98:17 commenced 22:5 45:2 commencing 1:25 comment 36:21 104:9 Commonwealth 1:22 companies 85:13 company 22:24 23:2 62:22 64:5,7,8 64:24 65:20 76:17,19 80:1,10 83:9 88:14 96:14 competent 20:8,8 29:6 complaint 51:11 98:4,10 completely 60:13 69:16	composite 88:6 Concepts 22:7 concern 11:3 concerning 9:18 10:19 25:2 30:3 30:7 43:21 70:2 70:23 71:12 84:9 89:25 90:9 96:13 97:13 concluded 19:22 conclusion 91:22 93:15 concur 31:3 conditions 93:5 condo 27:13 28:1,2 28:16 condominium 27:6,7 27:22 conformed 17:14 61:4 connection 10:7,11 10:20 20:14 21:13 22:13 40:9 45:12 48:7 consent 50:24 91:7 consents 46:10 consideration 20:9 considered 26:2 consulting 6:23 8:19 78:10,17,21 78:22,23 79:10 81:7,11 82:20 consume 104:1 CONT'D 3:1 4:1 contact 49:23 contained 30:3,7 41:15 55:15 93:5 contemplated 96:24 contents 27:6,13 context 39:1 continued 26:8 65:18 control 23:6 102:18,19 controlled 79:11 80:2,18 conversation 71:12 conversations 70:1 90:3,4 copersonal 27:17 27:20 50:5 52:7 52:12,18 55:4 60:25 68:20 93:18 copied 60:13 61:12 copies 41:3 60:15 69:10	copy 16:8,12,17 17:14 32:25 34:8 41:10 58:4 60:23 61:2,4 63:22 72:9 76:1 77:8 79:18 84:16 89:12 Corporate 66:3 72:22 76:22 corporation 73:10 78:5 Corporations 63:24 correct 15:20 19:6 21:21,22 23:18 27:15,18 28:12 32:9,12 35:25 36:5 37:1 40:12 40:17,20 41:9,19 41:20 42:10,11 42:13,14,16,18 42:24,25 43:10 44:1,9,12,14 45:4 46:23,24 48:6,13,14,17,18 49:13,14 50:14 52:13,14 53:22 55:13,20 56:18 58:4,4,15 59:3 59:13,16 60:8 62:14,15 63:3 66:8,14,15,16 70:20,21 71:3,7 72:14,15,17,18 73:5,6,18 76:7,9 76:20 80:19 81:24,25 83:25 84:6 87:8 88:15 95:1 98:4,13,16 98:18 99:6 correctly 39:23,24 correspondence 71:11 97:16,19 costs 104:4 cotrustee 18:16 32:14 87:12 cotrustees 18:12 32:8 counsel 12:3 39:22 40:8 52:25 56:7 68:19 90:21 97:12,20 103:20 county 1:7 13:15 13:24 92:11 93:23 97:14,23 99:9,10 couple 11:9 41:25 court 1:6 9:19,20	9:22 15:19 17:18 19:20 40:22 46:13 50:9,13 51:7,8 57:22,24 59:2 60:8,22 61:6 78:7 89:5 92:20 93:23 94:7 94:8 99:9 100:13 104:6 courthouse 13:18 13:19,20 courthouses 13:24 cover 25:16 CP 1:9 create 68:14 created 22:21 23:5 68:12 76:18 77:16 creating 22:20 creditor 104:16 creditors 94:14,17 crime 45:12,16 criminally 50:19 cross-examination 29:17 30:14 CRR 1:20 curator 60:7 current 13:17 22:25 61:9 currently 13:5 45:25 78:13 86:12
D			
D 5:1 15:6 17:8 date 9:24 12:12 16:12 17:15 32:22 33:12 44:2 44:21 55:10 dated 15:22 16:15 16:15 17:13,25 44:1 55:16 73:15 81:2 88:8,9 89:15 96:8 dates 51:18 day 19:7 25:7 32:15,21 33:15 44:5,7 62:10 82:4 deal 70:13 dealing 22:15 35:13 dealings 62:20,21 dealt 57:7 death 17:18 18:6 18:13 55:12 75:5 80:7			

deceased 50:3	8:20,22,24 9:9	19:11 21:16	either 11:23 27:25
decedent 99:10	79:18 82:14	31:24 33:25 34:2	49:17 70:12
Decedents 37:15	determination	34:6 35:19 37:10	85:12 89:11
December 88:9	10:13 102:3	39:2 43:22 46:4	94:20 100:20
89:16	determined 99:17	46:16 47:3,10	104:11
decide 30:18	determining 38:21	50:19,22,23	elaborate 23:4
decided 12:11 94:6	develop 78:20	52:22,24 53:1,3	electronic 67:20
98:21	Diane 48:19	53:4,8,19 54:2,6	elicit 14:24
deciding 19:9	died 20:3 37:5	54:6,7 55:15,16	elicited 99:2
decision 18:20,25	73:23 74:6	56:2,4,8,9,20	eligible 38:19
19:2,15 99:7	difference 28:14	61:5,9 65:1	39:8
101:22	different 17:2	73:15 84:22	Eliot 4:16,24 5:9
decisions 101:20	55:7 72:19 82:19	87:15,25 95:19	10:15 20:10,13
declaratory 7:5	83:1	96:16	20:22,22 21:2,9
9:1 93:24 98:11	diligence 83:24	documentation	21:12 22:16,22
deemed 38:3	direct 20:4 31:15	90:13	23:3,5 38:2
default 93:8	37:12 40:18	documents 14:3	46:12 51:5 52:25
defendant 25:13	44:18 71:4 89:3	15:5 16:21,23	56:3,7 58:6
71:16,22 72:6	directed 88:13	20:6 21:19 27:10	68:13 69:19 91:2
define 36:19	directly 19:1 28:4	32:23 44:24	91:3,7 92:1,5,12
defined 36:14,16	disagreement 103:8	45:18 46:13,14	98:23,25 99:1,3
definition 36:1	disagreements	50:10,17,18 51:8	99:25,25 100:6
37:13,17 38:20	103:19	60:4,6,11,19	101:2 103:7
57:7	discharge 46:11	61:20,24 62:6,13	105:25,25
definitional 35:19	discover 56:6	63:20 66:1 74:17	Elliot 10:4
35:24	discovery 33:3	83:21 86:14 88:2	email 6:8 8:5
delivery 81:11	56:3 80:17 90:20	doing 29:7 62:23	10:14,25 34:8
demand 89:20	99:20	86:18	70:22 97:12
demands 89:18	discrepancy 51:6,7	Don 12:3 92:2,7	104:11
demise 90:7,11	discussed 31:15	104:20	emails 90:8 103:20
department 6:10,21	discussion 14:7	Donald 1:16 5:5	ended 45:24
7:12 8:7,17 9:8	28:5 70:12,17	7:15 12:19 13:3	enjoy 21:3
63:23 78:5 88:13	71:20 95:18	14:2,8	ensconced 44:16
depend 93:4	discussions 18:24	doubt 99:2	entered 9:23
Deponent 3:10	19:1 25:2,7	draft 98:4,10	entire 39:2
deposed 12:4	89:14,24 96:12	drafted 94:2	entities 22:7 64:9
deposit 15:18	97:11,18	drafting 15:25	79:11
17:17 61:18	disks 60:13	draw 31:13 34:12	entitled 15:11
deposition 1:16	dismissed 25:23	35:18 39:15	98:11
9:12,15,17 10:3	dispositions 37:25	63:17 71:25	entity 6:11,22
10:18,23 11:10	38:9	73:14 78:1 80:24	7:13 8:8,18 9:9
11:14,20,24 12:1	disqualify 10:4	82:11 95:4	65:25 68:6 71:14
12:15,16 14:6	distribution 25:3	Drive 2:17	73:25 76:7,12
30:13 41:2,7	25:9,18 26:2,18	driver's 12:21	77:16,21 78:6
72:3 91:25 99:20	26:21 46:11	due 41:2 83:24	80:18
describe 34:6	90:10	duly 12:22	entry 81:20
describes 36:7,11	distributions	duplication 82:23	equity 67:7
DESCRIPTION 6:2	25:12 26:22	Dustin 48:19	Eric 3:20
designate 19:13	88:19 90:1 91:8		especially 24:19
75:9	district 94:7,8		Esq 2:5,16 3:4,13
designated 18:12	dividing 20:6		4:7
75:7,10	division 46:15		essence 23:2
designed 23:5	63:23 65:19 78:5		essentially 54:11
desire 104:13	document 7:10 9:6		establish 7:6 9:2
desires 77:25	15:10,23 16:4,6		93:24 98:12
detail 6:11,22,24	16:14,16 17:12		established 75:4
7:1,3,13 8:8,18	18:1,5,11 19:8		91:18 92:25

estate 1:12 10:9 22:13 23:4,10,16 23:25 25:22 26:4 27:8,14,18 28:8 28:17,21 29:2,9 39:20,25 40:1,3 45:14,19 46:6 48:8,12 50:6,11 50:12,14 51:3 52:7,13,19 55:19 58:8,15,19,23 66:21,22 67:3,6 68:21 69:17 80:11,13 83:20 84:3 85:25 86:6 86:8 87:1,2 90:23 91:9,13,20 93:2,9,21 94:15 94:16 96:2 97:22 100:11,14,22 101:11,13,18,23 102:24 103:6,8 104:4,14,19 105:10	73:15 74:9 75:23 76:1 77:5 78:2,4 79:14,17 80:25 82:16 87:23 88:6 89:16 94:3,23,23 95:5 98:2 exhibits 1:3 11:3 17:3 29:24 31:14 40:22 exist 80:7 existed 89:6 102:1 exists 33:2,7 89:2 89:4 expect 100:21 expedited 29:15 expense 28:9,23 99:18 expert 67:9 85:20 expertise 42:7 explain 83:2 explanation 51:15 exposed 105:10 express 11:2 103:7 104:11 expressed 77:23 expressions 90:9 expunge 50:16 extensive 42:7 extent 11:15 extra 22:14	76:2 77:9,19 94:4 98:20,21,23 98:25 102:3 far 11:3 16:16 17:2,20 18:3 26:24 74:11 77:21 87:9 90:24 104:8 Fargo 4:8 fashion 20:24 father's 19:25 29:9 Feaman 2:4,5 5:8 9:11,22,25 10:2 11:9,16 12:7 17:1 20:18 22:2 23:19,21 24:25 25:20 26:6 27:1 28:3,24 29:10,19 29:22,25 30:20 30:22 31:9,11 34:10 40:25 47:4 47:7,12,20 57:14 57:18,22,25 59:11,17,22 67:15,17 70:15 72:8 78:7 92:7 92:10,20 104:9 104:25 105:5,17 fearful 103:25 February 65:14,21 76:8 federal 3:5 4:9 29:2 41:18 64:12 86:6,8 94:7,8 feel 30:4 felony 45:21 felt 101:24 fiduciary 20:13 23:15,23 24:3,21 29:13 68:22 94:14 101:12,16 field 67:10 FIFTEENTH 1:6 fifth 88:17 file 90:14 filed 10:7,10 15:18 44:19,23 46:13 50:8 51:11 51:13 59:8 60:22 65:11 96:22,23 96:24 97:14 98:22 99:8 files 17:17 33:4,5 51:8 60:14 filing 103:20 filled 95:9	final 18:3 finally 83:5 financing 22:25 find 32:1 81:17 83:14,14 87:14 93:7 fine 11:5 22:12 30:10 34:10 91:2 finish 40:13 47:8 47:24 firm 10:5,6 13:6 15:25 22:19 24:2 24:10 25:8 43:2 43:13,17 45:7,7 45:13 48:20 49:4 49:5,13 56:12,15 64:16,18 65:15 73:1 81:23 82:1 86:17,17 first 12:22 15:10 16:4,9,13 19:12 31:13 40:5 54:8 62:16,18,21 65:15 72:25 79:15,20 89:23 93:22,23 96:17 FITZGERALD 2:14 five 15:5 39:19 46:8 50:25 57:16 88:20 89:19 90:1 99:4 five-minute 57:13 FL 2:7,19 3:7,16 4:11,18 Flagler 2:17 floating 88:1 Florida 1:7,19 6:10,21 7:12 8:7 8:17 9:8 12:16 13:5,20 51:13 63:23 78:4 flush 35:12 follow 24:11,14 follow-up 69:25 follows 12:24 foregoing 37:24 38:11,16 forged 56:8 forgot 43:20 50:1 form 17:1 20:18 22:2 23:19 25:20 26:6 27:1 28:3 28:24 29:10 32:16,19 33:1,18 33:21 46:23 50:22 64:10 67:16 73:13
estates 29:1 66:18 etcetera 51:15 everybody 29:20 41:3 46:12 90:13 105:9 evidence 101:25 exact 51:18 examination 29:15 31:8,16 44:18 47:15,21 examined 12:23 example 60:21 exchange 21:11 excise 33:9 excuse 33:9 100:15 execute 21:10 33:9 executed 15:15 17:15 32:17,19 44:24 61:24 62:6 execution 62:2 executions 62:9 exercise 33:16,18 exercised 31:23 32:10 35:8 exhibit 6:2 14:6 14:10 15:10,21 16:3,8 17:8,23 17:23 31:15 41:5 53:24 54:3,5 55:7,7 57:21 58:3 63:18,22 64:15,25 66:1 67:21 72:1,9	F fact 10:22 19:23 21:8 26:14 29:1 45:1 48:6 50:10 51:10 56:4,7,8 86:5 87:12 91:12 93:9,21 94:2 97:21 99:3 102:1 fair 32:5 42:1 79:25 104:9,9 fall 101:19 falsely 46:5 familiar 14:10 15:13,23 16:6 18:1,8 36:17 64:5 families 42:9 family 6:12,17,19 8:8,13,16 20:21 21:2,7 49:17,20 49:24 62:17 63:25 64:22 65:8 67:1,5 68:2,24 70:2,18,24 71:3 71:5,13,15,21 74:9,21 75:3	fact 10:22 19:23 21:8 26:14 29:1 45:1 48:6 50:10 51:10 56:4,7,8 86:5 87:12 91:12 93:9,21 94:2 97:21 99:3 102:1 fair 32:5 42:1 79:25 104:9,9 fall 101:19 falsely 46:5 familiar 14:10 15:13,23 16:6 18:1,8 36:17 64:5 families 42:9 family 6:12,17,19 8:8,13,16 20:21 21:2,7 49:17,20 49:24 62:17 63:25 64:22 65:8 67:1,5 68:2,24 70:2,18,24 71:3 71:5,13,15,21 74:9,21 75:3	

75:14 93:14 96:19 97:24 100:23 103:15 Forman 43:16 formed 65:20,21 77:22 former 65:17 forth 97:17 forwarded 52:24 found 51:6 102:7 foundation 6:20 8:16 77:10,19,24 four 10:2,23 four-page 6:8 7:10 8:5 9:6 frame 59:20 frankly 26:7 82:24 Friday 9:13,19,21 9:22,24,25 47:2 59:7 90:25 Friedstein 4:25 38:3 58:6 friendly 65:18,19 front 14:1 15:8 31:20,21 32:24 33:25 36:18,22 54:10 56:22,25 74:17 78:9 full 13:1 fully 30:15 funds 25:16 furniture 28:1,9 further 29:16 30:6 80:16 future 9:18 10:19 11:22 12:1,5 27:23,25	43:22 55:25 75:2 83:14 93:9,23 96:3 97:1 105:7 going 9:13 12:8,15 28:10 29:1,25 43:18 47:7 57:12 61:23 81:16 102:13,20 104:8 good 10:1 30:20 59:11 73:3 78:24 Gortz 86:17 governor's 51:11 grandchildren 26:19 grantor 36:20 37:1 37:2,3,5,7 39:4 gross 86:8 guess 43:4 59:2 77:1,3 80:16 82:1 guilty 45:12 Gutter 43:15 81:22	81:16 hour 57:12 hundred 22:4 55:23 82:7 88:25 husband 85:12 86:10	instrument 84:14 91:18 92:25 insurance 22:7 42:15 62:23 63:12 79:23 83:22 84:2,6,10 84:13 85:13 86:7 86:22 88:14 89:6 90:10 91:8,12,19 93:1,5,8 95:23 96:7,14 97:21 100:16 101:10 102:11 104:1,2 104:13 intended 38:20 intent 40:13,15 58:10,11 68:22 90:10 interest 26:12 67:7 69:17,21 73:25 74:3,20 77:23 80:5,9 interested 94:14 101:12 interesting 62:24 interests 90:23 interfering 27:25 interim 25:3,9,18 26:1,20 International 79:19,21 80:6 81:12 83:10 interrogatories 12:24 interrupt 47:21 inventory 80:10,13 Investments 6:17 8:14 76:3 involve 11:17 involved 11:23 22:19 23:13 28:4 39:20 62:22 90:20 94:11 involvement 65:3,5 71:2,4,6,7,14 73:9 involves 11:17 involving 45:13 irrelevant 47:18 69:16,23 91:23 99:22 irrevocable 68:11 86:21 96:7 Irwin 3:4 70:9 92:9,10 105:1 issue 26:16,17,25 36:20 37:7 47:2
G	H	I	
G-o-r-t-z 86:18 Galvani 42:23 53:16 56:16 Geeze 78:18 general 56:14 74:4 76:10 generally 18:10 24:11 36:17 83:23 84:1 give 15:1 19:8 20:9 24:7 28:16 30:2,8 50:15 given 24:8 28:25 94:18 glad 34:9 go 11:3 28:14 29:19 30:17 34:23 35:22	H 6:1 Hampton 1:23 hand 57:23 91:4 handle 20:25 handled 21:1 27:24 happened 21:23 56:4 Happens 49:1 hate 90:16 head 70:9 hear 104:20 hearing 11:11,13 40:19 hearings 9:13 31:2 held 15:17 17:16 74:21 helping 21:13 hereunder 38:1,9 Heritage 88:14,23 hesitancy 29:5 high-net-worth 42:9 Highway 3:5 4:9 41:18 64:12 hired 58:14,16,17 58:17 Hold 53:23 54:1 63:19 Holdings 6:14 8:11 72:10,14 73:22 74:4,13,16 76:11 home 79:4 honest 41:12 55:22	81:16 immediate 81:18 impairments 20:23 impartial 20:24 impediments 20:23 improper 25:8,10 47:15 91:24 100:25 101:3 inactive 77:20 78:13 inception 43:7,18 incident 52:17 includable 86:7 include 36:25 38:18 42:7 included 28:18 33:3 46:23 55:6 90:4 including 19:23 42:8 46:12 99:23 Incorporation 6:18 8:15 72:10 77:9 indirectly 18:23 individual 23:6 individually 76:19 80:15 individuals 42:9 information 14:25 41:15 85:11 91:23 94:18 Inn 1:23 inquiry 51:14 inserted 16:13 54:16	

47:6,9 70:9,14 104:6 issues 9:18 10:19 10:24 11:4,21 71:24 90:24 item 57:15 Iviewit@iviewi... 4:20	54:13,19,22 56:11,13 60:13 61:11,15,25 62:8 62:12,13 65:17 67:25 68:1,3,8 68:17 69:11,14 71:23 72:23 73:9 75:18,22 77:21 78:25 80:9 81:16 82:9,22 83:12,13 84:21 85:2,11 87:3,4,9 88:1 89:2,21 91:10 94:1 95:9 97:8 99:11,12 103:17 104:7 knowledge 19:25 20:4 22:9 27:2 40:10,14,19 59:14,15 71:1 75:17 80:20,21 80:23 81:18 87:5 87:7 89:3,11 91:6,22 93:16 knowledgeable 66:17 99:13 known 49:16 78:6 KONOPKA 2:15	89:15,23 94:25 95:2 letterhead 88:9 letting 101:19 liabilities 29:2 liability 22:24 23:1 LIC 66:6 67:2,2 LIC's 66:5 license 12:21 licensed 13:4 life 22:6 42:15 62:23 63:12 83:22 84:2,5,10 84:13 86:7,21,22 88:14 89:5 91:8 91:12 97:21 102:11 lifetime 38:5 light 50:2 51:4,25 52:20 53:4 100:23 limitation 12:7,17 limited 6:15 8:12 10:23 22:24 23:1 28:21 74:5 76:2 limiting 38:13,15 line 47:18,24 69:15,23 Lineal 37:14 lineals 57:8 lines 35:9 lips 40:19 Lisa 4:25 38:2 58:6 listed 18:15 65:2 67:6 80:12 81:2 litem 60:6 90:22 litigated 97:23 litigation 20:4 22:4 25:14 44:23 45:2 58:18,24 71:17,22 84:9,12 90:23 99:19 104:3 little 34:1 43:8 57:12,17 65:22 90:25 living 69:20 LLC 6:12,14,23 7:14 8:9,11,19 9:10 63:25 64:22 65:8 67:1,11,13 68:2 70:2,24 71:3,5 72:10,14 73:22 74:4,13,16 76:11 78:10,17	79:19,21 80:6 LLLP 76:3 LLP 6:17 8:14 located 13:8 41:22 69:10 72:23 76:23 86:1 94:20 location 13:17,23 logical 19:22 long 25:15 41:13 41:21 43:5,17 49:16 longer 94:10 100:19 look 16:3 41:4 57:20 66:9 75:23 77:5 79:17 87:23 98:1 looked 41:12 looking 16:12 62:13 78:25 79:14 86:14 88:5 looks 64:23 72:19 82:23 83:3 95:10 95:13 96:8 loss 34:1 lost 7:6 9:2 84:13 91:17 92:24 93:24 95:22 97:3 98:12 ludicrous 26:7
J	L		M
J 3:4 jail 45:25 January 43:11,11 52:16 53:18 54:24 55:11,16 56:1 58:5,22 59:7 60:3 66:15 73:1 83:8 JCK 96:4 Jill 4:22 38:2 58:6 job 29:7 Jodi 1:20 John 3:13 10:5 11:7 12:12 30:21 105:22 John@jmorrisse... 3:18 joinder 50:24 joinders 46:10 Joseph 43:15 judge 9:14,23 29:4 29:7 46:15 99:17 100:24 judgment 20:24 105:12 JUDICIAL 1:6 July 1:25 13:15,15 17:13,25 21:19 21:24 44:1,2,8 74:25	L 1:12 6:4,9 8:1,6 17:21,24 19:5 Lane 79:3 language 35:13 large 24:22 Lauren 53:16 law 10:5,6 13:5,6 15:25 24:2 64:16 64:18 73:18,19 73:20 87:11 lawsuit 26:3 44:17 72:7,7 105:12 lawyer 100:17,18 100:19 105:4 lay 58:13 laying 100:8 left 25:16 legal 45:8 48:21 49:10 86:18 91:21 93:15 let's 41:14 75:23 77:5 79:17 94:22 96:3 letter 6:7 8:4 32:16 33:19 51:14 55:6,9,10 56:1 58:5 88:8	89:15,23 94:25 95:2 letterhead 88:9 letting 101:19 liabilities 29:2 liability 22:24 23:1 LIC 66:6 67:2,2 LIC's 66:5 license 12:21 licensed 13:4 life 22:6 42:15 62:23 63:12 83:22 84:2,5,10 84:13 86:7,21,22 88:14 89:5 91:8 91:12 97:21 102:11 lifetime 38:5 light 50:2 51:4,25 52:20 53:4 100:23 limitation 12:7,17 limited 6:15 8:12 10:23 22:24 23:1 28:21 74:5 76:2 limiting 38:13,15 line 47:18,24 69:15,23 Lineal 37:14 lineals 57:8 lines 35:9 lips 40:19 Lisa 4:25 38:2 58:6 listed 18:15 65:2 67:6 80:12 81:2 litem 60:6 90:22 litigated 97:23 litigation 20:4 22:4 25:14 44:23 45:2 58:18,24 71:17,22 84:9,12 90:23 99:19 104:3 little 34:1 43:8 57:12,17 65:22 90:25 living 69:20 LLC 6:12,14,23 7:14 8:9,11,19 9:10 63:25 64:22 65:8 67:1,11,13 68:2 70:2,24 71:3,5 72:10,14 73:22 74:4,13,16 76:11 78:10,17	79:19,21 80:6 LLLP 76:3 LLP 6:17 8:14 located 13:8 41:22 69:10 72:23 76:23 86:1 94:20 location 13:17,23 logical 19:22 long 25:15 41:13 41:21 43:5,17 49:16 longer 94:10 100:19 look 16:3 41:4 57:20 66:9 75:23 77:5 79:17 87:23 98:1 looked 41:12 looking 16:12 62:13 78:25 79:14 86:14 88:5 looks 64:23 72:19 82:23 83:3 95:10 95:13 96:8 loss 34:1 lost 7:6 9:2 84:13 91:17 92:24 93:24 95:22 97:3 98:12 ludicrous 26:7
K			
keep 26:8 60:15 61:18 87:1 101:1 104:2,13 Kimberly 45:4 kind 11:12 49:7 62:24 78:22 knew 74:11 104:18 know 16:16 17:3,20 18:3 20:3 21:23 26:24 32:21 33:4 33:7 36:24 43:3 44:17,25 45:20 45:23,24 46:1 51:2,10,10,15,17			M M 2:4,5 MA-CSR 1:21 Madam 57:22 78:7 mailing 64:21 66:2 66:25 maintained 68:2,4 majority 66:7 74:2 80:2 making 25:11 35:12 47:22 92:12,14 management 68:23 79:19,21 80:6 81:12 83:10 manager 56:14 67:14,18,22,23 67:24 72:16 73:4 73:17,21,23 74:6 74:7,10,11,12 79:8,9 manages 49:5 managing 43:2,6 56:14,17 66:12 66:21,23 67:22 67:23 75:8,11 76:14

Manceri 58:14,16 58:22 59:8 105:14	53:25 71:16 91:16 102:8	needs 63:12	100:15
March 14:16,22 15:1 41:23 64:15	misused 45:17	never 25:10 26:10 26:11 84:23	obvious 10:22
mark 14:5	mixing 86:2,2	85:10 87:7,9	obviously 16:15 42:2 62:5 68:19
marked 15:5 72:9 82:16 89:16	modest 91:15	100:18 102:17	79:15 82:8 83:2
marshall 94:15	Molly 3:19	new 44:24 46:20,22 65:24 86:21	104:17 105:9
Massachusetts 1:23 1:24 13:10,12	moment 53:23 54:1 63:19	NH-LCR 1:21	occur 90:7
material 35:14,15	momentum 57:10 90:17	noninvolvement 103:9	occurred 25:7 27:19 51:16 87:16
Materiality 99:16	money 28:10,16	normally 67:9	October 81:13
matter 22:19 94:7	monies 22:25	notarize 46:19	office 34:3 46:14 49:8,9,11 51:12
matters 10:20 12:1 20:1 28:22 91:4	month 65:22,22	notarized 46:16,17 46:21 48:4 50:3 50:19	53:6,12 56:6 61:25 62:3 65:16 65:18 66:5 68:4 73:2 76:25 77:1 79:1 90:9 97:12 98:6 103:19
McAndrews 4:23	months 41:25 87:16	notarizing 45:17 46:5	offices 67:1
mean 38:18,24 39:7 51:1 63:9 71:1 75:10 82:24 85:24 87:13 100:8 104:17 105:3	Moran 44:11 45:4 48:2,3,4 50:2 51:14	notary 1:21 12:22 45:17 46:2 51:12	oh 50:1 61:8 78:8 97:1
means 86:5 101:16 101:17,18	Morin 48:2	note 67:8	Ohnemus 1:20
meant 67:17	Morrissey 3:13 11:7,7 12:12 30:21,21 31:6 105:22,22	notes 90:14,14	okay 10:1 14:1 22:12 29:19 30:20 31:21 32:5 32:25 34:5,11,22 35:23 36:23 37:17 38:10 39:12 42:3,20 43:5,13,25 44:3 44:8 45:3,6,11 46:18 47:14 48:9 48:15 49:15 51:21 52:5 53:7 53:12,19 54:4 55:12,25 56:20 57:24 59:11,17 59:22 60:2,18 61:16,22 62:4,16 63:5,14,19,21 64:11,21 65:7 66:24 67:9,25 68:14 69:9 70:10 70:22 71:8,18,21 73:3,20,24 75:2 75:7,18,20,25 76:10,17,22 77:4 77:14 78:13 79:10,17 80:5,16 80:24 81:10 83:5 84:3,8,16 85:6 85:20 86:9 88:4 88:5,23 91:11 92:12,17 93:7 94:24,24 95:15 96:18 97:2,8
measure 30:19	mortgage 67:8,8 69:18,21	noticed 55:5	
meet 62:18	mother 19:25	Notwithstanding 37:23,24 38:10 38:15	
meetings 39:21 40:8	motion 10:4	November 16:19 81:14 82:5	
member 66:12,21,23 67:10,18,22,23 73:7,11,12 75:8 75:11 98:23,25	motions 10:10	number 74:23 82:20 83:1 90:18	
members 20:21 21:7 22:23,23 49:24 62:17 68:6,6 74:15,21 75:7,11	motions/petitions 10:7	numbered 54:12,14	
mentioned 69:2 96:21 97:14 99:4	move 28:9 61:23		
merits 26:3	moved 50:11	O	
messed 54:13	moving 31:5 57:14	O-a-k-s 78:7	
met 62:16,19	Mrachek 2:14 10:5	Oaks 6:23 8:18 78:6,8,17 79:3 79:10,16 81:6,11 81:13 82:20	
Michael 3:21	mulling 70:8	oath 14:16	
middle 47:15	mutual 62:20,25 63:10	object 29:11 30:1 47:13 69:15 73:13 75:14 96:19 97:24 103:15	
miles 13:18,22,23	N	objecting 100:6	
million 87:22 89:4 89:8	N 5:1	objection 10:21 17:1 20:18 22:2 23:19,20 24:25 25:20 26:6 27:1 28:3 29:10 30:24 67:16 91:21 92:6 93:14 99:15 100:23 104:20	
Mimi 4:23	N.W 4:17	objections 92:13 92:14	
mine 29:15 49:2	name 6:11,22 7:13 8:8,18 9:9 13:1 23:6 43:19 96:6 96:9	obligation 101:12	
minor 74:20	named 19:12 21:15 21:20 25:13 65:13 71:16	obligations 94:14	
minute 47:8,12,13 47:13	naming 26:8	obtain 50:14	
minutes 57:17	narrow 10:24		
minutia 87:15	nature 41:2 71:10		
misdemeanor 45:22	necessarily 71:24 103:22		
mistaken 50:24	necessary 10:18 11:15 20:25 101:10		
	need 31:4 57:10		
	needed 27:24		

98:8,19 99:3,7 99:25 102:6 103:7 104:9 105:17 old 61:20 once 30:12 66:20 ones 46:20,22 72:20 ongoing 20:4 operating 67:12 operations 67:13 operative 16:22 opinion 38:7 99:1 Oppenheimer 64:24 65:2 67:2 68:7 69:3,5 opportunity 30:2,8 orally 104:12 order 9:23 ordered 29:15 organization 6:13 8:10 65:12 organize 65:7 original 15:17 17:12,17 61:2,3 61:5,6,13 69:12 originally 54:14 65:13 originals 60:18,20 ought 102:1 overall 79:23 90:6 Overly 23:21 oversees 49:12 owned 23:1,2 26:11 27:8,14 66:6 69:2 73:25 74:2 79:11 80:1,13,14 85:16 103:1,5 owner 85:21,23 86:5 93:11 102:13 owners 63:15 ownership 80:5,9 86:3,25 102:18	82:12 83:5 95:5 96:3 pages 1:2 41:10 60:11,12 paid 82:8 89:4,7 89:18 91:13 102:21 103:3 Palm 1:7 2:19 3:16 13:14,24 92:11 93:23 97:14,23 99:10 Pam 58:7 85:12 86:9 Pam's 86:10 Pamela 38:1 Pankauski 10:6,6 paper 71:1 papers 59:8 paperwork 87:16 paragraph 32:3,6 34:13,14,16,18 35:1,2,3,20 36:2 36:3 37:17,22 39:15,19 54:15 54:15,16 56:21 99:4 Pardon 95:12 part 11:17 23:7 47:14 51:9 79:23 83:24 86:7 participants 91:1 particular 46:16 particularly 21:2 parties 9:16 11:25 30:14 partner 13:6 43:2 43:6 56:15,17 73:18,19,20 74:4 76:10,15 87:11 partners 65:17 partnership 6:16 8:13 74:5 76:2 party 34:19,25 35:14 36:6,10,10 36:12,14,20,25 94:10 passed 27:11 48:6 passes 66:20 67:11 passing 67:14 70:3 payable 81:6 82:20 83:9 91:20 93:2 93:21 103:6 104:18 paying 69:20 payment 81:12 82:4 82:9 payments 21:12	79:15 pays 49:6 pending 84:9 Peninsula 66:3 76:22 people 28:11 90:18 99:23 percent 22:4 55:23 79:22 82:7 87:3 88:25 102:9 Perfectly 91:2 performed 45:13 81:10 period 6:25 7:2,4 8:21,23,25 person 20:8 35:16 50:3 67:10,11 personal 10:8 20:2 20:7 21:15 22:9 27:11,16 28:18 29:8 40:10,14 48:11 51:2,2 55:18 58:8 59:24 61:1 75:17 95:16 96:1 100:10,13 101:9,22 104:12 105:1,5 personally 49:18 68:3 103:2,5 persons 94:15 101:13 Peter 2:4,5 12:11 29:21 31:10 34:8 55:23 57:6,9 59:5 65:16 72:7 74:18 75:9 90:15 101:1 102:10 104:5 105:7,19 petition 46:10 petitioned 50:13 petitions 10:10 Pfeaman@feaman... 2:9 phone 59:19 physically 13:8 picks 35:16 picture 42:21 place 9:13 53:17 54:18,20 62:2 placed 103:4 plan 13:11 90:6 planning 22:14 39:20,25 40:2,3 40:9 42:8,12,15 66:18 83:20 84:4 plans 13:14 23:4 playing 23:10	Plaza 1:24 4:8 pleading 94:2 pleadings 26:10 please 27:4 35:22 41:4 92:18 pled 45:12 Plymouth 1:24 13:9 point 9:18 10:18 11:21 19:4 28:13 31:7 33:6 58:12 58:24 84:24 86:15 87:13 88:18 105:18 policies 91:12,15 102:21 policy 85:16,23,24 86:25 87:22 88:18,24 89:6,12 90:5 91:8,19 93:1,5,8,12,20 100:16 102:14,20 portion 28:15 position 19:16 45:6,7 56:13 101:17,18 possession 60:19 69:12 potential 85:25 potentially 71:6 pour-over 11:13 power 31:23 32:10 35:8 powers 19:9 PR 23:24 94:13 101:6,6,12 practical 28:25 practice 13:5 precautions 22:14 precisely 45:23 86:20 predeceased 38:3 39:5,6 predicate 23:21 58:13 100:8 prejudice 25:23 premarked 41:5 57:21 72:1 prepared 14:13 46:20,22 54:14 55:17 86:19,21 86:24 98:6,8,11 98:15 present 4:3 44:13 44:15 62:5,8,9 62:11 presently 84:9 president 56:19
---	---	---	--

P

P 1:20 3:13
P.A 2:4,15
p.m 1:25 90:17
PA 41:11 43:16
page 5:3 6:2 16:13
16:15 32:3,6,6
34:14,17 35:20
36:4 37:18 42:21
42:22 45:3 66:10
67:21 72:17
73:15 80:24 81:1

presume 76:24 84:7 89:3	38:4 90:12	reach 26:13	59:21 63:24
pretty 78:24	provides 19:12	reaction 22:10	84:13
previous 66:2 101:6	provision 19:11 32:1 36:1,22 38:6 53:2 93:8	read 36:7 37:21 39:1,23,24 89:20 92:21,23	references 11:10
price 28:15	provisions 1:19	really 38:24 44:25 87:20 89:20 104:8	referred 36:16 37:9 44:18 63:13 82:2 98:24
principally 78:19	Public 1:22 12:23	Realty 6:12 8:9 63:25 64:22 65:8 67:1,5 68:2,24 70:2,18,24 71:3 71:5,13,15,21 74:9	referring 40:5 61:9 74:22
Printed 96:8	publics 51:12	reason 26:13 29:4 86:11 102:16,17 102:19	refused 24:14
printout 6:6 8:3 63:22 78:4	purchase 28:15	reasons 19:23 94:4 94:5	regard 19:9 40:7 47:3 58:18 68:23 71:19 74:8 101:23
prior 14:21 18:5,8 23:9,16 65:15 68:19 100:24	purpose 9:12 77:18 77:20	recall 16:14 18:15 18:18 21:14,17 27:5 28:4 32:20 33:12 51:18 52:15 58:21,25 59:25 65:12 74:14 79:14 80:8 80:12 82:6 91:11 91:14 97:25 103:11,12,14 104:17 105:15	regarding 10:14 19:2 21:10 45:18 70:17 90:5 103:8
privilege 10:14 70:5,9,13 104:21	purposes 12:16 35:1,2,3 37:25 38:11,13,17,21 38:23,24 39:3,5 78:20 86:6 87:2 91:24	receive 87:21	regardless 30:15
privileged 10:25	pursuant 1:18 35:8	received 59:9 60:10,12	registered 7:14 9:9 64:3,4,9,11 65:13,25 67:25 72:13 76:6,18 77:15
privy 71:24	pursue 99:18	Recess 57:19	registry 89:5
Pro 4:16	put 14:25 100:1 102:17	recollection 18:17 21:8 49:19 50:22 51:5 57:5 86:13	related 34:18,25 35:13,16 36:6,9 36:10,12,13,19 36:25 37:2 44:7 50:25 58:19 85:11
probably 19:24 35:10 55:21,22 59:7 65:24 96:17	putting 47:17 92:5	recommend 101:8	relates 24:19
probate 1:9 42:18 46:7		recommended 59:23 59:25	relating 90:4
probation 46:1	<hr/> Q <hr/>	recommending 29:12 100:9,12 101:5,7	relationship 21:4 63:1,6
problem 25:17 29:12 57:18	qualifications 91:4,5 100:2	reconciliation 6:24 7:1,3 8:20 8:22,24 79:18 82:14 83:3	relative 48:25 49:1
procedure 1:19 12:17 67:12	quarter 82:15	record 12:14 14:7 30:11,22 31:7 36:8 47:1,17 50:12 90:15 100:1	relatively 91:15
proceeding 94:1,11	question 17:2 26:21 30:16 38:14 39:13 40:14 43:20 47:14,17 48:1 56:10 59:10 61:22 67:4,19 69:25 70:4,11 90:16 91:24 92:18,23,23 100:9 101:1 103:16,18 105:1	records 59:3 68:1	released 88:19
proceedings 50:9	questioning 30:17 47:18,24 69:16 69:23	recovered 13:2	Relevance 99:15
proceeds 86:7 87:21 88:18 89:18 90:11 91:19 93:1,20 97:21 100:15 101:11 104:1,2 104:13,18 105:10	questions 10:16 12:8 14:24 29:16 30:3,6,18,23 90:19 99:24 104:10 105:21,23	reestablished 94:20 102:2	relevant 24:19 91:3 100:2
process 39:20 40:1 40:2,3,6,7	quiet 104:7	refer 39:25 59:2	remaining 19:5 35:6,11
produced 34:7 56:2 60:16	quite 94:12	reference 33:14	remember 68:5 75:16 78:18 86:20
production 12:21	quote 39:19 88:19 98:20,21		removal 10:11
professional 63:1			remove 18:21 21:25 94:6
professionally 49:17	<hr/> R <hr/>		removed 28:2 94:8
program 62:24	R1 :16 5:5 7:15 12:19 13:3 14:8		rent 69:20
proper 29:5	rationale 23:8		reopen 50:13
properly 47:10,11	Raton 3:7 4:11,18		reopened 50:12
property 20:7 27:7 27:11,21 28:18			rep 95:16
prosecution 105:11			repeat 92:18
Proskauer 86:16			repetitive 57:2
protect 100:14,22			report 79:18
protective 30:19			reporter 40:22
provide 41:3 78:25 78:25			
provided 22:16,24			

57:22,24 78:8 92:21 represent 42:5 58:15 87:12 96:13 representation 59:13 representative 10:9 20:2 21:16 27:17,17,21 29:8 48:12 50:5 51:3 52:7 55:4,18 58:8 59:24 60:25 61:1 68:20 73:12 93:19 96:1 100:10,14 101:9 101:23 104:12 105:2,6 representatives 52:12,19 represented 24:6 86:16 87:19 92:7 97:9,20 representing 24:2 90:22 92:1,3 95:22,25 represents 97:4 request 47:23 89:10,25 91:7 requested 29:13 requires 46:15 requiring 28:1 resided 99:10 residence 23:1 69:20 residences 25:1 resign 32:13 34:3 50:5 52:7,11,18 55:3 58:10,11 resignation 19:3 23:17 32:19 33:1 33:10,13,15,21 58:7 60:5 resigned 23:9 resources 28:21 respect 25:15 respectfully 47:23 respond 103:17 responsibility 68:22 responsible 15:25 66:25 restate 67:19 Restated 6:5 8:2 17:24 19:6 31:17 43:21 75:1 reviewed 14:18,20	36:15 51:8 reviews 14:3 54:2 63:19 65:1 revocable 10:12 52:23 53:11 96:15 right 9:17 10:17 11:25 13:9,19 29:23 31:10 32:21 33:16 34:12 35:7 36:2 37:21 38:6 39:12 41:14,24 42:5 43:4 47:20 48:19 49:3,15 50:1 53:3 54:4 56:12 57:17 58:21 60:4 60:15 61:13 62:12 63:17 64:16 66:1,6,9 67:5 68:18 70:15 71:25 72:16 73:14 74:6 75:13 77:2,4 78:1 79:3 79:6 81:19 82:11 83:19 84:21 85:2 86:4 88:7 92:16 93:18 94:22 98:1 105:17 RMR 1:20 Robert 4:7 18:11 65:23 73:8,17 74:7 90:3 96:9 role 14:21 20:10 20:13 22:1 23:10 Rose 2:14,16 5:7 10:5,21 12:2,3 12:14,25 14:5 23:20 29:14,25 30:10 31:3,3,16 34:7,11 47:1,2,6 47:9,16 57:9,16 59:5,15,18 67:16 69:15 70:11 72:6 73:13 75:14 90:15 91:21 92:3 92:14 93:14 96:19 97:24 99:15 100:23 105:20,24 roughly 65:22 RPR 1:20 Rspallina@tesc... 4:13 Rubin 43:15 Ruffin 43:16 ruin 57:9	Rules 1:19 12:17 ruling 100:24 <hr/> S <hr/> S 1:18 2:22 6:1 38:1,2 64:4,11 safe 15:18 17:17 61:18 sale 24:22 27:19 28:1,18,23 sandbagging 30:4 satisfactorily 12:20 saw 33:8 87:14 saying 38:25 says 34:16 36:6 37:14 38:10,11 96:6 scope 91:22 93:15 score 57:3 Se 4:16 seal 45:17 second 12:2 27:4 39:18 42:21 43:23 secretary 45:8 73:16 section 35:19,24 36:14,15,25 37:9 37:13 see 17:10 26:12 34:20 37:14 41:14 44:2 54:11 64:1,25 65:1 72:11 76:4 77:12 78:11 81:4,8 83:14 88:11,21 94:19 95:8 96:5 96:6,10,11 seeing 89:23 96:16 seen 34:5 61:3 84:16,23 85:10 88:2,23 select 19:18 selected 19:19,21 selecting 20:10 sense 28:6,20 63:7 63:8 87:6 102:12 sent 10:14 40:22 46:8 51:14 sentence 35:9 37:22 39:18 seriously 99:2 serve 24:20 29:12 35:17 64:9 served 45:24 services 79:1,2	serving 20:1 23:22 52:25 set 22:16 29:24 65:23,25 78:19 setting 77:24 seven-and-a-half 81:15 shared 65:16 shell 64:8 76:17 Shirley 6:19 8:16 15:11,13,21 16:1 16:4,9,18,24 17:5 20:3 23:24 23:25 24:3,16,23 25:12,22,22 26:4 26:5,11,17 27:5 28:7,16 45:18 46:6 48:7,12,16 49:22 52:23 53:8 53:10,21 54:9 55:19 58:2 61:1 61:2 74:1,19 75:5 77:9,19,23 84:4,21 86:15 Shirley's 27:10,12 75:5 shortly 59:18 show 45:3 shown 66:19 72:13 72:16 76:6,10 77:14 79:7 80:10 shows 41:17 42:20 64:3,21 66:2,12 72:21 73:4,16 78:13 Si 21:9 51:1 62:18 102:17 siblings 21:3 side 24:3,17,21,23 26:17 49:7,9,10 sign 73:11 signature 48:5 50:3 67:20 73:7 95:11,14,14 signed 14:16,22 16:18,24 18:5 21:19 44:3,5,7 44:13,15 46:12 46:16,21,23 62:10 67:21 73:11 87:17 silent 101:19 Simon 1:12 3:19 6:4,9 7:8 8:1,6 9:5 10:9,12 17:8 17:21,23 18:5,13 18:20,24 19:2,5
--	---	---	--

20:3,12 21:24	43:8 87:16	105:11	4:10 41:18 64:12
22:6,14,21 23:10	sold 25:1 27:6,13	start 35:5	64:19 66:3 72:22
23:11,15,16	27:22	Starting 73:1	76:23
24:21 27:8,11	sole 19:4 22:23	state 6:10,21 7:12	Suites 1:23
28:8,17 31:16	solely 38:20	8:7,17 9:8 13:1	summer 13:11 51:21
37:4 38:2 40:4	somebody 28:14	13:5 39:18 51:13	51:22,23
40:15 46:9,23,25	34:3 54:13 67:2	63:23 73:16 78:5	superseded 61:21
48:4 49:21 50:4	89:4	89:11 99:9	supplied 56:7
50:6 52:8,12,19	son 98:17	statement 47:14,22	support 21:13 45:4
55:13 58:7,8,15	sorry 23:12 34:23	95:5	supposed 99:19
58:19 60:23	61:8,10 75:10	states 88:17	supposedly 87:17
61:14 62:3 63:3	92:19 97:1	statute 45:20	supposition 51:9
66:7,13 67:6,21	South 2:17 3:5 4:9	steps 101:10	sure 21:5 31:6
68:12,21,23 70:3	41:18 64:12	stipulate 9:14	34:10 41:13,16
72:17 73:4 74:2	space 65:16	stipulation 10:22	44:20 55:22
74:9,19,25 75:4	Spallina 4:6,7	11:5,9,14,16	57:14 60:20 85:1
76:24 80:2,18	13:6 18:12 26:8	12:11	88:3 92:20,22
84:4 85:12,16,21	32:7,13 41:11,17	Street 3:14 4:17	95:11,14
86:15,25 90:5,6	41:21 42:22 43:6	structuring 22:20	surviving 19:13
90:9 91:13,20	43:9 44:10 52:1	subject 11:4 12:7	99:5
93:2 96:7 97:22	52:11 56:16	29:2 30:13 36:11	swear 12:18
98:17 99:5	65:23,24 73:8,17	58:1 104:3,15	sworn 11:6 12:22
100:11 101:11	73:21 74:7,12	subordinate 34:18	
103:9,25 104:14	75:10,19 76:14	34:25 35:13,16	T
Simon's 18:9 21:16	81:24 87:11 88:9	36:6,9,10,12,13	T 6:1 64:3,11
22:9 27:14,18	89:14,17,24 90:4	36:20	T-w-o 78:8
80:5 95:25 96:1	91:6 95:1,3,18	subparagraph 32:3	T&S 7:13 9:9
sir 13:25 14:12	96:9,13 97:20	32:4	tainted 50:9,16
15:7,24 16:7	Spallina's 19:3	subsequent 40:7	take 9:13,17 10:17
17:11,19,22 18:7	33:15 95:10,13	49:24 60:5	11:19,25 12:2
19:7 20:19 24:1	special 22:15	subsequently 46:18	22:14 24:18
31:12,18 33:25	specific 87:5	46:20 55:3	33:18 41:4 53:17
35:21 36:9 37:16	specifically 18:18	successful 105:11	54:18,20,23
37:20 38:9 39:14	21:17 24:13	succession 42:12	57:11,11,13,20
40:24 41:6,8	58:25	66:18	62:2 75:23 77:5
42:4,19 43:24	spend 13:11	successor 7:7 9:3	79:17 87:23
45:5 58:10 59:4	spoken 59:20	10:8 18:12,16,21	93:19 98:1
60:9,17 62:1	spontaneity 47:21	19:10,14,16 20:1	100:21 101:9,9
64:2,17 67:24	staff 45:4	20:2 21:15 23:23	taken 10:3 11:21
68:6 72:2,4,12	stamped 96:4	23:24 29:8 31:24	24:8 57:19
75:25 76:5,13,16	standard 34:2	32:7,14 33:17,22	takes 100:12
76:21,21 77:7,13	67:12	33:22 34:4 38:8	talk 105:13
77:17 78:3,12	standing 30:24	38:19 39:9 48:11	talking 40:1 58:2
79:5 81:4,5,9,21	31:1 101:16,19	48:15 55:18,18	70:6 105:15
81:25 82:13,18	standpoint 28:25	59:24 61:1 75:12	tangentially 70:16
83:7,13,19 84:2	Stanford 69:6,7	86:12 87:13	tangible 20:7
84:11,15,18,20	Stansbury 2:10	93:25 97:4,9	27:11
85:23 88:22 95:7	18:19,21 20:5	98:12 100:10,13	tax 29:2 86:6 87:2
95:20 96:16 97:7	21:14,20,25 22:5	101:8	Technology 64:18
98:3,7,14 101:5	22:10 25:13 26:4	suddenly 54:24	Ted 1:18 2:22
102:23,25 104:22	26:13 31:11	sue 21:6 48:23	10:11,15 19:21
sister's 62:22	44:19 58:19	79:6	23:9,13,14,22
sit 29:3 36:23	60:25 71:17,22	sued 21:6 22:10	24:5,11,13 25:8
83:16	90:21 99:18	suffers 20:22	26:24 29:5,7,12
sitting 13:9 61:6	104:1,15 105:13	sufficient 25:16	31:25 34:24 37:6
situations 24:7	105:16	suggesting 29:5	38:1 39:7 48:13
six 26:19,22,25	Stansbury's 25:21	Suite 2:18 3:6,15	48:16 49:21

55:17 58:5,17 59:20,23 62:18 62:19,21 63:2,6 63:11,13,15 66:7 70:1,19,23 71:2 71:12 75:12 77:1 80:2,18 85:4,9 85:12 86:11 91:4 92:3,6,15 97:3 98:15,17 100:7,9 100:12,19 101:5 101:8,14 104:11 Ted's 24:20 telephone 2:3,13 3:3,12 4:5 97:17 TELEPHONIC 1:16 tell 19:20 20:16 32:24 35:7 63:9 84:25 102:16 tendered 30:12 term 33:17 terms 36:13 50:9 93:4 Tescher 1:17 4:6 5:5 6:4,6,7,8,9 6:10,13,15,18,21 6:24 7:1,3,5,8 7:10,12,15,16 8:1,3,4,5,6,7,10 8:12,15,17,20,22 8:24 9:1,4,6,8 9:17 10:16,25 11:22 12:4,19 13:3,6 14:2,8,9 14:11 31:10 41:10,17,21 43:6 43:9,15 48:23 49:16 57:20 59:19 65:23 81:22,23 88:9 91:5 92:2,7,13 100:2 105:21 Tescher's 10:17 11:20 testament 60:24 testified 12:23 44:22 testimony 5:3 94:21 98:20 Thank 57:25 59:11 105:19 Theodore 19:19 thing 16:11 62:7 things 10:2 83:4 think 10:22 11:6 20:12 21:17 27:2 31:4 34:7 39:1	44:6 46:9 47:18 49:19,23 50:23 50:25 51:1 52:6 55:11,11 62:19 62:21 63:4 65:12 66:5 68:5 69:22 70:16 86:22,23 87:19 90:24,25 93:4 94:13 100:25 101:2,14 102:8 104:7 105:17 third 42:22 66:9 THOMAS 2:15 thought 26:7 27:12 51:7 61:8 82:25 thoughts 26:3 thousands 60:11 threatened 21:5,6 three 16:21 22:22 23:2 41:10 68:7 68:10,13 69:1 three-page 6:6 8:3 throw 70:6 tie 47:7 time 14:14,21 19:4 22:8 23:9,22 24:5,6,7,21 25:4 25:12 26:1,20,23 27:3,12,16,19,23 28:13,23 44:23 45:25 46:7,9 47:19,22 48:5,11 48:15 50:4,7 52:6,10,17 56:1 56:5,17 65:14 69:24 72:24 77:22 79:15 80:7 86:15 89:15,23 94:12 95:19 96:17 99:22 105:13 times 21:5 24:13 timing 55:24 today 9:15 10:16 12:9 13:8 15:1 29:3 30:23 36:23 74:24 77:20 83:16 85:3 today's 11:24 told 56:22,25 62:4 74:8 86:10 top 16:13 37:18 tortured 39:10 totaled 82:4 totally 103:22 town 51:23	traffic 70:22 97:12 transfer 42:8 86:25 true 16:8 28:19,21 41:9 44:25 48:1 58:3,4 trust 6:5 7:6 8:2 9:2 10:12 15:21 16:1,5,9 17:4,6 17:24 18:4,9,22 19:6,8,11 20:14 23:11,16,24 25:13,23 26:5,9 26:11,14 27:6 28:7 31:17 32:1 34:19,25 35:16 35:25 36:7,10,11 36:13 37:4,25 38:12,14,18,22 38:24,25 39:3,5 40:16 43:21 48:16 52:23 53:9 53:11,22 54:9 55:19 58:3 61:14 62:10 64:24 66:17 74:1,2,19 74:20 75:1,3,5 80:14 84:10,13 85:3,9,18,22 86:20,22 87:1,13 87:20 91:18 92:24 93:25 94:20 95:23 96:1 96:7,8,15 97:3 98:12 101:25 102:1,4,6,6,11 103:1,4 trustee 7:7 9:3 10:12 18:22 19:4 19:5,13,14 20:2 23:23 25:9 31:24 33:17,22 34:17 35:11,17 38:8,19 39:9 48:16 55:19 68:9 75:13 84:22 85:3,9 86:12 87:13,18,20 93:25 95:17,22 95:25 96:9,14 97:3,5,9 98:13 trustees 26:9 35:6 69:1,4 trusts 22:21,23 23:3 60:21 68:7 68:10,11,11,14 69:2,9,10 74:3	74:22,23 truth 59:6 try 101:10 trying 59:5 78:18 78:20 81:17 83:13 99:16 100:14 104:7 TS 7:8 9:4 turn 29:16 37:19 40:21 turned 60:5,22 two 6:22 8:18 35:9 57:17 69:21 74:3 78:6,16 79:10,16 81:6,10,13 82:20 91:11 102:21 104:10 two-page 6:7 8:4 two-thirds 80:25 types 78:23 typically 64:8 <hr/> U <hr/> ultimately 15:18 102:20 unaltered 54:6,7 uncommon 94:1 undergo 28:9 understand 10:3 80:1,4 85:15,15 100:25 understanding 11:12 21:4 37:6 40:12 78:16 79:20 84:24 86:23 95:21,24 understandings 87:4 undertake 28:9,22 unfair 91:1 Unfortunately 22:11 Union 88:14 unpaid 69:19 unquote 88:20 unrelated 90:23 untainted 50:14 unusual 16:11 upcoming 31:2 updated 41:25 42:4 use 11:10,17 34:3 64:9 78:20 99:20 <hr/> V <hr/> valuable 69:24 value 28:17 variety 19:23
---	--	--	--

various 10:7,10
vault 61:19
verify 41:15
version 18:4,4,16
versions 18:8
versus 26:22,25
view 90:19
violating 45:21
vis-a-vis 71:6
VOLUME 1:1

W

Wait 47:12,12,13
waive 10:17 30:24
waiver 11:17,25
 50:23,23
waivers 46:10
 50:15
waiving 9:15,16
want 20:13 21:25
 30:2,8 31:6 34:8
 36:21 37:12
 39:15 40:21 57:9
 67:19 70:6 89:21
 104:23
wanted 39:12 94:6
 102:17,19 103:23
 104:2
wants 88:17
wasn't 23:12 41:3
 44:20 47:10 67:2
 88:3 105:3
wasting 47:19
 69:24 99:22
way 1:24 30:5
 36:24 43:20
 64:18 80:25
 82:15,17 89:22
 105:8
we'll 94:23
we're 12:8 59:5
we've 57:11 62:20
 74:23
Wealth 42:8
web 6:6 8:3 45:3
website 41:10 42:6
 66:19
Wednesday 1:24
WEISS 2:15
well-respected
 92:11
Wells 4:8
weren't 52:3 62:5
West 2:6,19 3:16
whatsoever 83:17
Whispering 79:3
wholeheartedly

11:1
wholly 69:23
wife 21:10 49:2
 78:20 79:6
wife's 83:9
William 2:10 20:5
 21:25 22:10
 31:11
wills 60:21
wish 30:18 105:20
wished 90:6
wishes 29:17
withdrawing 59:9
withdrawn 58:23
withdrew 59:1
 65:15
witness 1:17 11:6
 11:23 12:18 14:3
 29:21,23 30:8,12
 47:16 54:2 57:23
 63:19 65:1 70:8
 92:9,22 100:4
 104:22 105:19
witness's 91:22
 93:16
witnessed 44:10
wonderful 21:3
work 45:9,12 81:10
 86:18
works 45:10
wouldn't 39:6 93:9
write 33:19
written 33:21
 89:16 94:25 95:3
wrong 86:23 99:16
wrote 15:2 39:4
 58:5 95:16

X

X 5:1 6:1

Y

Yates 52:25 55:6,9
yeah 22:18 29:22
 34:21 38:17 42:2
 47:4,4 54:2 57:4
 57:6 61:11 65:2
 70:15,16 80:22
 80:23,23 82:25
 83:2,5 87:25
 88:6 96:5 104:25
year 13:15 51:19
 51:20 55:25
 65:16 72:25
years 20:20 43:8
 62:20 69:21
 81:15

Z

0

001273 96:4
001359-367 7:8 9:4
08 65:14,21 73:1
 75:6

1

1 6:4 8:1 10:4
 14:6 32:6 37:13
 37:22 41:23
 43:11,11 54:12
 54:15,16 73:1
 80:25
1-15 1:3
1-165 1:2
1,500 13:22
1.6 89:4
1/14/2014 6:7 8:4
1/30/13 6:8 8:5
1/31/2007 7:4 8:25
10 1:23 6:21 8:17
 26:18,22,25 78:2
 78:4
100 13:18,23 79:22
 87:3 102:9
106 5:9
107 72:22
11 55:16
11-A 6:24 8:20
 79:14,17 80:25
 82:24
11-B 7:1 8:22
 82:17
11-C 7:3 8:24 83:6
11/1/2006 81:3,20
 82:16
11/30/2006 7:2
 8:23
11th 9:25 11:11
 13:15 56:1 59:7
12 7:5 9:1 94:3,23
 98:2
12-month 56:5
12/6/2012 7:11 9:7
123193 1:21
12th 73:16
13 5:7 7:8 9:4
13192 1:21
14 7:10,15 9:6
 87:23 88:5 89:16
 94:23,24 95:6
14th 58:5,22 60:3
15 7:12 9:8 32:6,6
16 32:3 34:14,17
16th 11:13,15

12:12 13:15
18 16:19
1990 43:18
1995 96:15
1st 82:5

2

2 6:6 8:3 10:6
 16:15 32:3 41:5
 54:16 56:21
 72:17 95:5
2.6 89:7
2:38 1:25
20 15:16,22
200 3:6
2000 86:19 102:4
2006 68:12 78:14
 81:14 82:5
2007 49:19 62:17
 62:19 83:8,9
2008 15:16,22
 16:19 18:9,16
 21:16 40:1,6
 43:9,11,12 61:7
 61:14 76:8 77:22
 84:5
2011 78:15
2012 17:13,25
 18:11,22 21:24
 40:3,9,16 44:1,2
 44:8 54:21 61:24
 66:2,15 74:25,25
 80:7 88:10 89:16
2013 51:19,20,21
 52:6 53:18 55:11
 55:16 56:1 64:23
 73:16
2014 1:25 14:16,22
 41:23 52:16
 54:25 58:5,22
 59:7
2045 82:20
2046 81:22
2047 81:3
2101 72:22
213 3:15
2247 83:11
22nd 83:9
245-8588 4:19
25 17:13,25 44:2
 74:25
25th 21:20 44:1,8
2600 79:3
2753 4:17

3

3 6:7 8:4 10:10

32:3 34:14 54:12 54:15,17 57:21 58:3 67:21 73:15 3(a) 32:4 35:2,5 3(b) 35:1,3 3010 66:3 76:23 31 5:8 330 3:14 33401 2:19 3:16 33432 3:7 4:11 33434 4:18 33436 2:7 34th 4:17 3695 2:6 <hr/> 4 <hr/> 4 6:8 8:5 72:21 4:30 90:17 4:42 99:16 4855 64:18 4th 14:16 <hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 6:9 8:6 35:20 39:16,19 72:17 99:4 500 4:10 41:18 64:13 502012CP004391... 1:8 505 2:17 561 2:8,20 3:8,17 4:12,19 <hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 6:10 8:7 37:18 63:18,22 64:15 64:25 66:1 67:21 74:9 88:9 89:16 6/1/95 96:8 600 2:18 655-2250 2:20 672 37:10 672(c) 36:14,17 <hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 6:13 8:10 36:3,4 36:9 64:20 72:1 72:9 73:15 700 3:5 60:11 720 64:19 734-5552 2:8 <hr/> 8 <hr/> 8 6:4,6,7,8,9,10 6:13,15,15,18,21 6:24 7:1,3 8:12	75:23 76:1 833-0766 3:17 <hr/> 9 <hr/> 9 1:24 6:18 7:5,8 7:10,12 8:15 77:5 9/29/2006 6:25 8:21 91 1:21 910-3071 3:8 925 4:9 41:18 64:12 95 94:19 101:24 950 66:3 76:22 997-7008 4:12		
--	--	--	--