1				
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	1 APPEARANCES	
-	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	2		
2	EASTERN DIVISION	1	ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN:	
3		3		
4	SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE		ADAM M. SIMON, ESQ.	
	INSURANCE TRUST DTD 6/21/95,	4	4 THE SIMON LAW FIRM	
5			303 East Wacker Drive	
	Plaintiff,	5	5 Suite 2725	
6	v. Case No. 13 cv 3643		Chicago, Illinois 60601	
7	HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE	6	6	
	COMPANY,		ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ.	
8	Defendant	7		
9	Defendant,		THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.	
10	HERITAGE UNION LIFE INSURANCE	8		
10	COMPANY,		Suite 600	
11	COM AIT,	9		
	Counter-Plaintiff	10		
12		11	ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON BERNSTEIN:	
	V.	11	JAMES J. STAMOS, ESQ.	
13		12		
	SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE	12	STAMOS & TRUCCO, LLP	
14	INSURANCE TRUST DTD 6/21/95	13	•	
15	Counter-Defendant		Suite 300	
16	and,	14		
17	FIRST ARLINGTON NATIONAL BANK	15	3 /	
18	as Trustee of S.B. Lexington, Inc. Employee Death Benefit Trust,	1	ELIOT BERNSTEIN, PRO SE	
-0	UNITED BANK OF ILLINOIS, BANK OF	16		
19	AMERICA, Successor in interest to	1	Boca Raton, Florida 33434	
-	LaSalle National Trust, N.A., SIMON	17		
20	BERNSTEIN TRUST, N.A., TED BERNSTEIN,	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	individually and as purported Trustee		Candice Bernstein (as noted)	
21	of the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable	19		
	Insurance Trust Dtd 6/21/95, and	20		
22	ELIOT BERNSTEIN	21		
23	Third-Party Defendants.	22		
2.4	/	23		
24 25		25		
23	1	23		3
	<u>'</u>			
1	ELTOT TVAN REDUCTETN	1	1 INDEX	
1 2	ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, Cross-Plaintiff	2		
_	V.)55
3		3		
	TED BERNSTEIN, individually and as	4		
4	alleged Trustee of the Simon Bernstein	5		121
	Irrevocable Insurance Trust Dtd	6	6 (By Mr. Simon) 113 11	L9
5	6/21/95,	7	7	
_	Cross-Defendant	8		
6	and	9		
7	and,		9 EXHIBITS	
•		10		
	PAMELA B. SIMON, DAVID B. SIMON, both	10	0	Page
8	PAMELA B. SIMON, DAVID B. SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, ADAM		O Exhibit Description P	age
8		10	O Exhibit Description P 1	-
8	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA,	11	D Exhibit Description P 1 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965	age 33
9	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally	11	O Exhibit Description P 1 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966	33
	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both	11	DEXHIBIT DESCRIPTION P THE PROPERTY OF THE PERFORMANCE P THE PROPERTY OF THE PERFORMANCE P THE PROPERTY OF THE PERFORMANCE P THE P THE PERFORMANCE P THE P	-
9 10	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA	11 12 13	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492	33
9	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON,	11	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492	33
9 10 11	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P.	11 12 13	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492	33
9 10	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC,	11 12 13	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 4 3 Email from Pam Simon dated	33 50
9 10 11	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P.	11 12 13	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 4 3 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012	33 50
9 10 11 12	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA),	11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 4 3 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012	335054
9 10 11 12	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS),	11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70	335054
9 10 11 12 13 14	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS),	11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70	33 50 54 55
9 10 11 12 13 14	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES	11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 Email chain Bates stamped BT65	335054
9 10 11 12 13 14	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 Email chain Bates stamped BT65	33 50 54 55
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLUINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF	11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489	33 50 54 55
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 2 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489	33 50 54 55
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLUINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 4 3 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 6 4 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 7 5 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 through BT66 8 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 through BT50	33 50 54 55 57 58
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit Description P 1 Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 3 2 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 4 3 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 6 4 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 7 5 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 through BT66 8 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 through BT50	33 50 54 55
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit Description P 1	33 50 54 55 57 58
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit Description P 1	33 50 54 55 57 58
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015 TIME: 5:06 p.m 8:15 p.m.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit Description P 1	33 50 54 55 57 58
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015 TIME: 5:06 p.m 8:15 p.m. PLACE: 2385 N.W. Executive Center Drive	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit Description P 1	333 50 54 55 57 58 59 60
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015 TIME: 5:06 p.m 8:15 p.m. PLACE: 2385 N.W. Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, Florida	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 Shough BT66 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 Through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT51 Through BT52 Email chain Bates stamped BT47	333 50 54 55 57 58 59 60
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015 TIME: 5:06 p.m 8:15 p.m. PLACE: 2385 N.W. Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, Florida Stenographically Reported by:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 Shrough BT66 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 Through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT51 Through BT52 Email chain Bates stamped BT47 Email chain Bates stamped BT44464 Through TS4466	333 50 54 55 57 58 59 60
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Professionally and Personally, ADAM SIMON, both Professionally and Personally, THE SIMON LAW FIRM, TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., DONALD TESCHER, both Professionally and Personally, ROBERT SPALLINA, both Professionally and Personally, LISA FRIEDSTEIN, JILL IANTONI, S.B. LEXINGTON, INC. EMPLOYEE DEATH BENEFIT TRUST, S.T.P. ENTERPRISES, INC., S.B. LEXINGTON, INC, NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF FLORIDA), NATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION (OF ILLINOIS), AND JOHN AND JANE DOES Third-Party Defendants. DEPOSITION OF TED BERNSTEIN Taken on behalf of the Estate of Simon Bernstein DATE TAKEN: May 6, 2015 TIME: 5:06 p.m 8:15 p.m. PLACE: 2385 N.W. Executive Center Drive Boca Raton, Florida	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit Description P Email chain Bates stamped TS4965 through TS4966 Email chain Bates stamped TS4489 through TS4492 Email from Pam Simon dated December 6, 2012 Email chain Bates stamped BT67 through BT70 Email chain Bates stamped BT65 Shrough BT66 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT48 Through BT50 Email chain Bates stamped BT51 Through BT52 Email chain Bates stamped BT47 Email chain Bates stamped BT44464 Through TS4466	333 50 54 55 57 58 59 60



1		EXHIBITS (Cont'd)		1	a lot of detail, but what was the nature of it? Was it
2	Exhibit	Description	Page	2	mostly life insurance?
3	14	Fmail chain Batos stamped TSSE79	66	3	A Yes, it was.
4	14	Email chain Bates stamped TS6578 through TS6579	66	4	Q Do you hold a license of any kind in Florida?
5	15	Email chain Bates stamped TS6508	67	5	A I do.
6		through TS6512		6	Q What kind of license do you hold?
7	16	Email chain Bates stamped TS5252 through TS5255	69	7	A A life insurance license: Life, accident and
8	17	Email chain Bates stamped TS6547	71	8	health insurance.
9		through TS6549		9	Q Do you hold a license in any other state?
9	18	Email chain Bates stamped TS7019	75	10	A I believe I do.
LO L1	19	through TS7020 Affidavit of Ted Bernstein		11	0 What other state or states?
12	21	Trust draft Bates stamped BT2		12	A I can't remember off the top of my head.
		through BT12		13	Q What are the candidates for states in which
L3	22	Trust draft Bates stamped BT13	12	14	•
L4	22	through BT21		15	you might hold a license?
.5	23	Simon Bernstein 2000 Insurance Trust dated August 15, 2000			MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
L6	2.4			16	You can answer.
L7	24	Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement		17	A I can't I really can't remember. There's
L8	25	Simon L. Bernstein Irrevocable	70	18	lot of states, and at different times we will do
L9		Trust Agreement		19	business in those states and get a nonresident license.
	26	Document titled "Text of Pam's	30	20	I really can't remember.
20		Notes 1 & 2" with two pages and handwritten notes attached		21	Q Let me ask you this: Did you ever have a
21		Dalm Danah Caumtu Chamiffle Office	100	22	resident license in any other state?
2	Α	Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office Offense Report	108	23	A I did.
23				24	Q What state is that?
24 25				25	A Illinois.
			5		
1		THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear or aft		1	Q Is that license still active?
2		the testimony you're about to give will		2	A My resident license is not.
3	trut	th, the whole truth, and nothing but the	truth?	3	Q Okay. Has any license, resident or otherwise
4		THE WITNESS: I do.		4	in any state ever been disciplined or restricted in any
5	DIRECT EX	AMINATION		5	way?
6	BY MR. ST			6	A I don't recall. I don't think so.
7	Q	State your name for the record, please.		7	
8	Α			•	
•		Ted Bernstein.		8	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein.
9	Q	Ted Bernstein. Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein?			
9	Q A		ì.	8	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein.
9 10	•	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein?		8 9	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague.
9 10 11	A	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida		8 9 10	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question?
9 10 11 12	A Q	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed?		8 9 10 11	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status".
9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida.		8 9 10 11 12	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any
9 L0 L1 L2 L3	A Q A Q	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you?		8 9 10 11 12 13	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or
9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q A	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts.	?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years.	?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine	? ess	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q before wo	where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine orking with Life Concepts?	? ess	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q before wo	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine orking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before.	? ess	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A Q before wo A Q	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busineserking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before. With who?	? ess	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust? A I don't recall.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q before wo A Q	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busines orking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before. With who? Primarily for myself.	? ess	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust? A I don't recall. Q You are also a plaintiff in the case that's
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q before wo A Q A	where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine orking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before. With who? Primarily for myself. Were you employed by yourself or were you	? ess ou an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust? A I don't recall. Q You are also a plaintiff in the case that's pending in Chicago; is that correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q before wo A Q employee	Where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine orking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before. With who? Primarily for myself. Were you employed by yourself or were you of some other person or entity?	? ess ou an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust? A I don't recall. Q You are also a plaintiff in the case that's pending in Chicago; is that correct? A Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q before wo A Q A	where do you reside, Mr. Bernstein? 880 Berkeley Street, Boca Raton, Florida Where are you employed? In Boca Raton, Florida. What's the entity that employs you? Life Insurance Concepts. How long have you been in that business? Approximately 15, 16, 17 years. Were you engaged in the insurance busine orking with Life Concepts? I was in the insurance business before. With who? Primarily for myself. Were you employed by yourself or were you	? ess ou an up.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respect to the Estate of Simon Bernstein. MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. Q Do you understand my question? A I don't understand the word "status". Q Well, do you have any official role in any official capacity with regard to the estate itself or any entities or structures that relate to the estate? MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. A I believe I do; as trustee. Q Of what are you trustee? A Simon Bernstein Trust. Q What is the year of that trust? A I don't recall. Q You are also a plaintiff in the case that's pending in Chicago; is that correct?



with respect to the trust and the estate while 2 simultaneously being a plaintiff in the case in Chicago? 3 I do not. As the trustee of the trust, the Simon 4 Bernstein Trust, will the proceeds of the estate, once 5 they are disbursed, be disbursed to that trust of which 6 7 you are a trustee? 8 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 9 To your knowledge, is that your understanding of the mechanics of it? 10 11 I do believe that that's correct. 12 And you agree that, if you are successful as a plaintiff in the Chicago case, the amount of assets 13 available in the estate to be disbursed to the trust of 14 15 which are you a trustee will be reduced, correct? 16 Α Could you -- could you ask me that in a 17 different way? 18 Yes. If you are successful as a plaintiff in 19 the Chicago case and the proceeds of the insurance policy regarding which we are all litigating is 20 21 disbursed to the plaintiffs in the Chicago case, those funds will not be disbursed to the estate. You 22 23 understand that? 24 Α I do. 25 And, therefore, the estate will have less Q 9 1 funds to disburse to the trust of which you are a 2 trustee. Do you understand mechanically that's what 3 would happen in that circumstance? 4 Α I -- I do. So you don't perceive a conflict in those 5 Q roles? 6 7

I'm not sure that I can recall when I first remembered when there was a trust. 2 Did you learn of it before or after your 3 4 father passed away? 5 Before. 6 MR. STAMOS: I just want to get oriented 7 mechanically here. What we did was we have a bunch of exhibits that we sent down, and the court 8 9 reporter was kind enough to break them into 10 exhibits so that we could use them with some ease. 11 I think there should be more than one set there I'm 12 hoping. And so we'll address those in a moment. 13 Among them would be the affidavit that was 14 submitted in support of the Motion for Summary 15 Judgment. I'm wondering if the court reporter 16 could give that to the witness now, and it is 17 Exhibit 19. 18 (Exhibit 19 was marked for identification.) 19 (By Mr. Stamos) Now, first of all, 20 Mr. Bernstein, can you tell me, who drafted this 21 affidavit? 22 Can you explain -- help me with the term Α 23 "draft"? 24 Who wrote it? Who created it? I'm not sure Q how to put it otherwise, but let's start with that. 25 11 Counsel and -- and me, I guess. 1 2 Mr. Simon --

Α I do not.

8 Okay. Now, the date of your father's death 9 was September 13, 2012, correct?

Α

10

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Prior to the time that your father died, were 11 you aware of the existence of any trust with regard to 12 13 any life insurance policy?

MR. SIMON: Objection; vague.

Can you define "existence"?

well, when did you first learn that -- well, strike that.

In the lawsuit in Chicago, you're aware that the plaintiffs are promoting the notion that there is a 1995 insurance trust which should receive the funds of the insurance proceeds, correct?

Correct.

when did you first become aware of the existence of the trust that is being promoted as the beneficiary in the Chicago case?

Q

3 Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- and you? Q

Correct.

What did you understand the purpose of the Q affidavit to be?

To create a record of what my understanding was of the questions being addressed here.

Now, if I could ask you, please, to look at --I think it's the -- I don't know what page it is, but it's -- I guess at the top it's Page 6 of 20, if you look up there, and paragraph 25. Do you see that?

Now, that paragraph says that, "I, Ted Bernstein, as trustee of the Bernstein Trust, retained plaintiff's counsel and initiated the filing of this action."

Now, the first question I have for you is what's the basis for your assertion that you are the trustee of the Bernstein Trust?

what is the basis of my understanding?

Q

I guess a couple of different things would be the basis of my understanding.

10

1 What are they? 1 trustee. 2 David Simon told me I was the successor 2 Well, there's a couple of versions of this 3 trustee. 3 document if my recollection is correct, and -- or maybe Okay. not this document, but maybe forms of this document, and 4 4 in another one of the forms of this document I have seen 5 I've seen documents that would lead me to Α in this, what I believe would be the same or similar believe that I was a successor trustee in some of the 6 7 notes that were in the documents that I've seen. section, some handwritten notes that listed me as a 7 8 What documents are those? 8 successor trustee. 9 Trust documents. 9 So, at least for our purposes, what I've shown 10 you as number 21 does not refer to you, correct? Which trust documents are you referring to? 10 11 I'm referring to the trust document that owned 11 That's correct. 12 All right. We'll get back to 21. 12 this trust. I mean owned this policy. 13 So do we share the understanding that no one 13 Looking at 22 now, if you go to Page 20, I has located an executed copy of the 1995 trust? understand, and tell me if you share this understanding, 14 14 15 15 that number 22 was a hard copy draft represented to be a 16 I have Exhibits 21 and 22. I would ask the 16 draft of the '95 trust that was found in a file 17 someplace in the Simon law office. Do you share that 17 court reporter to give those to you. (Exhibits 21 and 22 were marked for 18 18 understanding? 19 identification.) 19 I'm -- I'm not sure. Could you repeat that Α 20 Looking at number 21, I understand this to 20 for me, please? well, have you seen this before? 21 have been a draft of -- represented to be a draft of a 21 22 trust that was found on a computer in the Simon law 22 T have. 23 office. Have you seen this document before and is my 23 What do you understand it to be? Q 24 understanding correct as far as you know? 24 A version, another version of the -- of the 25 21? 25 trust document, of the '95 trust. 13 15 It is also unexecuted, correct? Yeah. 1 Q 1 Q 2 2 Yes, it is. (Pause.) Α 3 Does my question make sense or should I 3 When you look at Page BT20, do you see that? Q restate it? It was kind of convoluted. 4 4 Α 5 when you look at paragraph A under article 11, 5 Sure, please. Δ So looking at number 21, what do you 6 0 6 is that the handwriting you're talking about having 7 7 understand that to be? seen? 8 Α An unexecuted copy of the irrevocable trust 8 Α Yes, it is. 9 9 It says, "If for any reason --," it looks like agreement. I'll tell you what. When we're talking about it says, "Shirley dead," et cetera, question mark, 10 10 the '95 trust, how about if we both call it the '95 11 right? 11 trust? That way we won't confuse ourselves. Because I 12 12 Α Yes. 13 think I started by not doing that, and I don't want us 13 Then it says, "Does not continue to act as 14 confused. Okay? 14 trustee," and then it looks like it says, "Pam, Ted," The '95 trust, certainly. 15 15 right? 16 Have you seen this before? 16 Α 17 17 Yes, I have. whose handwriting is that, do you know? Q Is this one of the documents you're referring I believe it to be David's. 18 18 to as being one of the bases for your belief that you Did David ever have a conversation with you 19 19 are the trustee of the '95 trust? 20 about either of these documents, 21 or 22? 20 21 I believe so. 21 Α 22 When I look at Page 10, BT10, paragraph A 22 Other than those two documents that I've just refers to the appointment of a successor trustee and it shown you, Exhibits 21 and 22, are you aware of any 23 23 other documents that exist that constitute drafts of the 24 refers to David Simon, and I'm wondering what about this 24 document implies to you that you would be the successor 25 1995 trust? 25

14

in and conducted diligent searches of your father's 1 2 home, office and condominium, and some further activity So, as far as you know, these are the only 2 3 following that. Can you tell me when those searches drafts that are in our communal possession, correct? 3 took place relative to his death? I believe so. 4 4 Earlier, in beginning to answer one of my 5 5 No, I can't. questions, you said that David Simon was a source of 6 Can you give me a time range? If you think 6 7 your knowledge that you were the trustee. Did you ever about the date of his death being in September, did you 7 do that search October, November, December? have a conversation with David in that regard, or 8 8 9 conversations? 9 I really -- I don't know the dates. 10 who else searched, or who searched with you, Α About him telling me that I was the successor 10 11 trustee? 11 if that's different? 12 12 I don't believe that anybody else searched Q Yes. 13 13 Α Yes. with me. 14 Did anyone search separately for documents? Q When was the first time you and he talked 14 15 about that? 15 MR. SIMON: Object --16 Α It was sometime after Simon's death. I would 16 No. Α 17 say after Simon's death. 17 In paragraph 48 of Exhibit 19, it says, "I am 18 aware that the documents produced by Plaintiffs in this Do you have a sense for how long after Simon's 18 19 death? 19 matter also contain documents located by David Simon and 20 No, I really don't. Pamela Simon in their offices in Chicago." Do you see Α 20 21 Who was present for that conversation? 21 that there? Q 22 Other than he and me, I don't know if anybody 22 Α When do you understand they performed a search 23 was. 23 24 what did you say to him? What did he say to 24 of their offices in Chicago for documents relative to 25 you in that conversation? 25 the dispute we're in now? 17 19 I don't have any idea. MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 1 1 2 Q well, did you talk about the '95 trust? 2 I have no idea. 3 3 Well, you said that you're aware. How were Α Q 4 what did you say to him and what did he say to you made aware of that fact? Q 4 5 By learning of it probably from conversations. 5 you? I can't recall the specifics, but it was about Conversations with whom? 6 6 Q the fact that there was a trust that was unable to be 7 With David Simon, I would imagine. 7 8 located and who the -- the trustees were, who the 8 But you don't know the source -- you can't 9 successor trustees were. 9 tell me specifically the source of that information, 10 I can't be more specific with you than --10 correct? than -- than that. I just don't recall, you know, the 11 well, you're asking for dates or source? 11 12 specifics of the conversation at that point in time. 12 Well, source is where I'm going now. Q 13 All right. At the point in time that you had 13 Source, I think it was with -- with David 14 that conversation, did David have in his possession 14 Simon. either Exhibit Number 21 or Number 22, or had you seen What documents do you understand were located 15 15 either of them by then? and produced that were found in their offices? 16 16 17 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 17 I don't believe so. Is it fair to say that you didn't see 21 and Well, now, let's make sure we're clear. I'm 18 18 22 until sometime after your father died? never asking you to speculate -- there might be times 19 19 20 That's correct. 20 that I do ask you to speculate. Sometimes that's a 21 Now, if you would go to -- looking back at 21 useful question to ask. So when Mr. Simon says, "Objection; speculation," I'm asking you to tell me what 22 your exhibit now, which is number 19, if you would look 22 at paragraph 47. Do you see that? 23 23 you know or you don't know or what you think. So I just 24 Yes. 24 want you to be aware that I'm not asking you to take 25 wild guesses about things. Now, you describe there that you participated 25



forth. 1 Okay. 1 2 2 All right? It says, "In the summer of 1995, Simon Q 3 Α Could you ask me that last question again, 3 Bernstein discussed with me that he was forming a life insurance trust with a policy and that I would be named 4 please. 4 5 5 one of the trustees for the life insurance trust." Now I forget my question. 6 6 MR. SIMON: Can you read the question? Now, who was present for that conversation? 7 7 Of course Simon Bernstein, my father, would MR. STAMOS: Why don't you read that guestion 8 8 have been present, but other than that I can't remember. back. 9 (Candice Bernstein enters the room.) 9 After you and he talked about that in 1995, 10 what was the next time you had any information or (Read back by the reporter.) 10 11 MR. SIMON: Same objection. 11 knowledge regarding the existence, creation, changes to, 12 Let's just take a one-minute break. 12 et cetera, regarding a trust in 1995, dated 1995? 13 (Recess taken.) 13 I believe that would have been maybe a year, a 14 MR. STAMOS: Was there a question pending? year and a half prior to my father's death when there 14 15 (Read back by the reporter.) was a -- this -- the policy that was in this trust 15 16 THE WITNESS: And -- other than these 16 lapsed and there was a reinstatement matter, and about 17 documents, I would imagine, that you're asking me that time it would have -- it would have come up again. 17 18 about? 18 when you say, "It would have come up again," 19 (By Mr. Stamos) Other than 21 and 22 you mean? 19 did you have a conversation with anyone at that time Q 20 about the 1995 trust? In other words --Α Yes. 20 21 Q Yes. 21 Α No. 22 Other than 21 and 22. I believe there was a 22 -- at the time that you were addressing the 23 document that was something to do with a filing to the 23 reinstatement of the policy the year or two before he 24 IRS concerning the trust. There might have been a -- a 24 died, did you have any conversation with him, not about W-9 or something. And I think that might be the extent 25 25 the reinstatement of the policy, but about the 1995 23 of it. trust? 1 1 2 All right. So let's then go to number 88, 2 Α 3 paragraph 88. That's page 13 of 20. 3 So any other time prior to his death that you 4 88? 4 had conversations with anyone about the 1995 trust? Α 5 5 Α Q Yes. Now, it says here that he told you you were 6 Okay. It's on my Page 12, but okay. 6 Q Α 7 going to be one of the trustees. I take it you never Oh. If you look at the top, does the top say, 7 Q 8 "13 of 20"? 8 saw an executed trust with you -- period, correct? 9 13 of 20 on the top, it does. 9 Α Correct. 10 Yeah, I'm sorry. I think actually we had 10 So, therefore, you never saw an executed trust those numbered and sent to you, but the copy I had it 11 with your name on it as trustee, correct? 11 12 made from was never numbered. So we'll refer to it as 12 Not -- not that I recall. 13 Page 12. 13 Well, when you had the conversation with David 14 14 Simon that you described earlier in which you learned 15 All right. So 88, it says here, "In 1995, I 15 that you were the replacement -- the successor trustee. 16 was sharing office space with Simon Bernstein in 16 did you remember this conversation with your father, or Chicago, as was your sister Pam and David." was that a different topic because in '95 he said you 17 17 would be the trustee, not a successor trustee? 18 Now, first of all, during what years did you 18 19 share office space with your father in Chicago? 19 MR. SIMON: Objection; vague. 20 About these times, I'm going to say shared 20 So the conversation with David Simon would 21 office space in 1980 through 1995-ish. have made perfect sense -- based on '88, would have made 21 22 In 1995, did you leave for Florida? 22 perfect sense when he told me that I was, you know, Q 23 Yes. I began --23 successor trustee. Α 24 24 Right. I mean, I know it would have made Q Okay. 25 perfect sense. What I'm asking you is: Did you hearken Yes, I began going to Florida in 1995 back and 25

22

back and say, "Oh, yeah, dad told me that," or something What I understand David has testified to, and 2 like that? 2 I believe it's on Page 90 of his deposition, is that 3 where it says, "Modified," that was the day it was put Oh. I don't recall. I can't remember. 3 Then if you would go, please, to paragraph 97, in the computer; where it says, "September 3rd," that 4 it says, "Following the death of my father, my sister was the day it was re-entered into a new database, 5 Pamela and brother-in-law David conducted searches of September 3, 2004; and where it says, "September 30, 6 7 their office files and records and that's where they 2013 accessed," that's the day it was taken off of the 7 located the unexecuted drafts." I take that to be 21 computer and ultimately printed so that we could see it. 8 9 and 22, correct? 9 Do you share that understanding? 10 Α 10 Yes. MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 11 Now, referring to the metadata that is in the 11 I don't. I don't have any idea what this -last sentence of that paragraph, if you would please 12 12 all this means. look at Exhibit 21, let me tell you what I understand 13 13 Q Do you know what date it was that this the facts to be, and tell me if you share the document, 21, was taken off of the computer? 14 14 15 understanding. I always get a little confused about 15 I don't. 16 metadata, but where it indicates, "Wednesday June 21, 16 where paragraph 98 says, "The second draft of 17 1995," then says, "Modified," David's told us that's 17 the Bernstein trust was located as a hard copy inside a actually the date the document was created. Does that file folder within the stored files of David Simon," do 18 18 19 sound like your understanding? 19 you know when that was found? 20 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. This is 20 Back to this document (indicating)? 21 not his database. He knows nothing about it. 21 Back to Exhibit Number 22, yes. Q 22 MR. STAMOS: Adam, if you've got an objection 22 Okay. Could you ask me that again, please? 23 as to form, you may do that, but I don't expect you 23 Yeah. If you look at -- do you know when Q 24 to give answers about what he knows or he doesn't 24 Exhibit Number 22 was found? 25 25 know, because the affidavit says it includes a I don't. 25 27 printout of metadata from the computer file for How did you learn it was found? 1 1 I learned of it from conversations with David. 2 this draft indicating it was last modified on 2 3 June 21st. So he's got some knowledge; otherwise, 3 I learned of it reading these things. I -- that's, I 4 he wouldn't have signed the affidavit. So please 4 guess, the two ways I would have learned about it. don't tell him what he knows and doesn't know. 5 5 we're going to go through some emails in a So I'm going to ask my question again. moment, but I imagine that the discovery of those two 6 6 7 (By Mr. Stamos) When you look at the metadata, drafts was considered to be an important step in this 7 8 do you understand -- this is my understanding. Do you 8 case for you, correct? 9 understand that, where it says, "Modified Wednesday 9 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. June 21, 1995" -- David Simon has told us that's the day 10 Was it important or not? 10 that the document was created. Is that your 11 I don't know. 11 12 understanding of it? 12 Did you think it was a positive development 13 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 13 from the point of view of the lawsuit, you as a 14 I just want to make sure that -- could you 14 plaintiff in the Chicago lawsuit, that these documents 15 help me out and -- where do you want me to look at on 15 were found? 16 this document in reference to what you're asking me? 16 MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. 17 17 I thought it was a positive development as a On the page you're looking at, is there --18 Can you see this (indicating)? 18 layperson. 19 Is there a little square box --19 How did you come to possess them so that you 20 Yes. there is. could look at them? Were they emailed to you from 20 21 -- rectangular box? Okay. 21 Chicago? 22 So you see those words there about -- on the 22 I don't recall. second half of it, so to speak, "Created, modified, 23 23 Do you recall seeing them before today, 24 accessed"? 24 obviously? 25 25 Yes, I do now, yeah. Yes. Α Yes.



26

- 1 Do you recall seeing him before the lawsuit 2 was filed in Chicago? 3 I don't recall. Now, a couple of more things about your 4 5 affidavit. 6 Some of these things that are in here -- I'd 7 like you, if you would, to look at paragraph 21, would you, of Exhibit Number 19. Do you see paragraph 21? 8 9 I do. 10 Now, the first sentence where it says, "The 11 Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated 6/21/95 is an irrevocable life insurance trust formed in 12 13 Illinois as further described below," does that assume that the trust -- your statement that it is a trust, is 14 15 that based upon your understanding that it was executed? 16 If I'm understanding your question correctly, 17 yes. 18 Q what's the basis for your understanding that 19 it was executed? 20
 - That -- number one, that David told me that it was; number two, that there were filings that had tax ID number. I believe I -- there was a form that may have been filled out for the insurance company that named the beneficiary -- I mean -- yeah, that named the life

insurance trust as the beneficiary, and maybe there was

- Well, how did you become aware? How did you become aware of the statement of the fact asserted in paragraph 41, that Robert Spallina, Esquire was named a third-party defendant to Eliot's claims? How do you know that to be true?
- Probably from seeing documents where he was a named defendant.
- 8 would that also be true with regard to the 9 succeeding paragraphs, 42, 43, 44?
 - Okay. So I've read those subsequent paragraphs. What is the question about them?
 - How do you know the facts asserted in those paragraphs?
 - well, they're all different paragraphs about different things, so some --
 - Well, we'll go through them one by one. That's fine.
 - Α Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- How do you know that National Services Association was named as a third-party defendant to Eliot's claim?
- From seeing documents or from -- and/or from having conversations with David and counsel.
- How about Benjamin Brown filed a motion to intervene? How did you know that?

1 an Equifax reporting where I think Simon said -mentioned that the contingent beneficiary of the life insurance policy was an irrevocable trust, just --

- But in terms of your father having signed the document, the knowledge of that is based on what David Simon told you, correct?
 - Α Yes.
- 8 Look if you will, at paragraph 40, which is on 9 page -- I'm guessing 7 at the bottom.
 - 40? Α

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Yes, paragraph 40, the last line of that. Do you see that?
 - Α
- It says, "The vivo was dissolved in 1998 upon dissolution of S.B. Lexington, Inc." How do you know that?
 - I know that from -- from David.
- where it says, paragraph 41, "Robert Spallina, Esquire was named a third-party defendant to Eliot's claims," how do you know that?
- I'm not sure how I know it. I just -- I'm not exactly sure that I even understand that question.
- You don't understand the question or the 23 24 assertion in 41?
 - Your question of how I know something.

From conversations with -- with counsel or seeing documents.

Look at page 59 -- I'm sorry, paragraph 59 on Page 9, please, and in that first sentence, it says, "During the application process, the insurer conducted a routine underwriting investigation of Simon Bernstein prior to approving his policy." How do you know that?

From conversations with counsel, and also there were a lot of documents that the insurance company sent over to me at the time that this policy was going through the reinstatement process. So these are all pretty common things for -- for me to see in -- in an insurance company's document like that.

I'm -- I'm -- I think it would be also in something about an application process that may have been through the discovery of the documents that the insurance company provided in that reinstatement process.

- 19 Look at paragraph 70, please. It's on Page 10. 20
 - Okay.
 - It says, "On or about June 5, 1992, a letter was submitted on behalf of the policyholder informing the insurer that LaSalle National Trust was being appointed as successor trustee." Did you become aware

30

32

have time for status --" 1 of that by reviewing documents in this case? 2 2 Yes, I believe so. 0 Okav. 3 Likewise, the June 17, 1992, acknowledgment by 3 -- I -- I can't be sure what led up to the -the insurer is also something you learned long after to that question being asked without any more guiding 4 4 1992, correct? 5 information in that sentence. 5 6 Did you have an understanding that 6 Yes. 7 7 Mr. Spallina submitted a claim to the insurance company That's all I want to talk to you about your Q affidavit for now. I want to walk through the emails representing himself to be the trustee of the '95 trust? 8 8 9 with you, if we can. I think they've been numbered. 9 Can you ask me that again? There was wind or I'd like to begin with Exhibit Number 1. 10 10 something. 11 (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) 11 I'm sorry. That's actually a train. 12 Do you have that in front of you? I believe 12 Do you understand that Mr. Spallina made it's marked Exhibit Number 1 with Bates numbers TS4965 application to the insurance company for the proceeds of 13 13 14 to 4966. Do you see that? 14 the insurance stating that he was the trustee of the 15 15 Yes, I do. trust? Α 16 Now, this is dated -- it's a string that 16 Α I do understand that, yes. begins, it looks like, on October 15th and ends on 17 When is the first time you became aware that 17 October 19th, if I'm looking at that correctly. So we Mr. Spallina was going to make an application 18 18 19 have to read the second page first. Okay? 19 identifying himself as the trustee? 20 A I'm -- I will say after Simon's death 20 21 Now, as best I'm able to tell, this is the 21 obviously, but other than that, I don't -- I can't tell 22 earliest email that I have on the subject matter of 22 you what the time period was. 23 obtaining the life insurance proceeds that we're 23 Did you ever have a -- were you aware he was 24 addressing here. Do you know when the process began, if 24 going to do that before he did it? 25 25 this was the beginning of the process or was there I was not. 33 35 1 effort and discussion about that prior to October 15, You were only aware of that after he was --1 2 after he did it? 2012? 2 3 I do not know. 3 Α After he did it. What's the first conversation you recall with How did you become aware of that? 4 4 Q anyone after your father's passing about the insurance 5 Through conversations with Robert Spallina. 5 Α policy and the trust and so forth? Look, if you will, at the top of -- I'm sorry, 6 6 7 My recollection would be with Robert Spallina look at the middle, from Robert Spallina, October 19th, 7 8 and/or Don Tescher. 8 to Pam Simon, copied to you. Do you see that? 9 If we're looking here at Exhibit Number 1, 9 We're on Page 1 now? Page 2 of that exhibit, on the 15th it looks like Pam 10 10 Q Yes. we are. wrote, "Hi all. Do you have time for a status," to 11 Page 1, and you want me to pick up where? 11 which Spallina writes, "There are no updates at this 12 where it says, right in the middle, "Pam, my 12 13 time." Does that imply to you that there must have been 13 office is processing." 14 communications before October 15th about the insurance 14 Α 15 policy? 15 Do you see that? Q MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 16 16 Yes, I do. 17 No, it doesn't. 17 And you were copied on this, correct? Q It doesn't? 18 Q 18 Α I was. 19 19 It says, "My office is processing --" this is 20 So, when he says there are no updates, would from Spallina. "My office is processing the claim as 20 that not imply to you that he knew there was something your father was the owner of the policy and the proceeds 21 21 22 to be updated and, therefore, would have been familiar 22 will likely be paid to the estate in the absence of 23 with the topic? finding the trust." 23 24 I -- I'm not sure. There were a lot of things 24 Is it fair to say -- did you understand at going on about a lot of topics. So the question "Do you that point it was understood that the trust could not be 25 25



1	located, the '95 trust?	1	lawyer. He's now told me Mr. Spallina was his
2	MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, form.	2	lawyer as trustee of Shirley's trust, and he's now
3	A Yes.	3	established with me that Shirley's trust had no
4	Q Then he says, "As I mentioned previously,	4	interest in the subject matter of the insurance
5	there was a discussion with the carrier about possibly	5	policy, while we know that Mr. Bernstein has a
6	using the 2000 trust (the one you are carved out of but	6	personal interest in the result of the insurance
7	would be split five ways according to Ted), but I am not	7	policy. So I don't see how Mr. Spallina was his
8	sure that we will achieve that result." Do you see	8	lawyer with regard to this topic.
9	that?	9	Do you have a basis for asserting that?
10	A I do.	10	MR. SIMON: He consulted with him as an
11	Q What was the first conversation you had with	11	attorney on this matter. That's my basis.
12	Mr. Spallina about the possibility of submitting the	12	Q (By Mr. Stamos) Is that true, Mr. Bernstein.
13	claim to the insurance company using the 2000 trust?	13	THE WITNESS: Answer?
14	A Around the same time that these discussions	14	MR. SIMON: (Nonverbal response.)
15	were going on.	15	A Is it true that I consulted with him about
16	Q When did you become aware that the 2000 trust	16	this matter?
17	existed?	17	
			•
18	A Around this same time period.	18 19	in a capacity other than as the trustee of Shirley's
19	Q When you first had that conversation with	-	trust.
20	Mr. Spallina, what did you say to him and what did he	20	And I don't mean to be disrespectful by saying
21	say to you about using the 2000 trust to submit a claim	21	"Shirley's trust". I'm just shortening
22	to the insurance company?	22	A Sure.
23	MR. SIMON: Objection; privilege.	23	Q Is "sure" the answer to my question or
24	Don't answer.	24	response to my comment there?
25	MR. STAMOS: Privilege? Privilege of who for	25	A Oh.
	37		39
1	whom?	1	Q I'm sorry, I'm confused.
2	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his	2	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the
2	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're	2	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused?
2 3 4	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his	2 3 4	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do.
2	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney.	2	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to
2 3 4	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley.
2 3 4 5	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney.	2 3 4 5	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to
2 3 4 5 6	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a conversation. I'm trying to establish I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that. Would you agree with me that Exhibit Number 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a conversation. I'm trying to establish I think that you're obligated to establish the basis of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that. Would you agree with me that Exhibit Number 1 reflects an email by Mr. Spallina to yourself and to Pam
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a conversation. I'm trying to establish I think that you're obligated to establish the basis of a privilege objection, and I'm entitled to test the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that. Would you agree with me that Exhibit Number 1 reflects an email by Mr. Spallina to yourself and to Pam with regard to the subject matter of the potential use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a conversation. I'm trying to establish I think that you're obligated to establish the basis of a privilege objection, and I'm entitled to test the existence of the privilege.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that. Would you agree with me that Exhibit Number 1 reflects an email by Mr. Spallina to yourself and to Pam with regard to the subject matter of the potential use of the 2000 trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SIMON: Attorney-client. He was his attorney. Spallina was his attorney. You're asking about a conversation between him and his attorney. Q Well, he was your attorney personally or as trustee or what? A He was my attorney as trustee. Q Trustee of what? A Shirley Bernstein Trust. Q Did the Shirley Bernstein Trust have an interest in the insurance policy that we're litigating about? A It did not. Q So what did the conversation you had with him about the 2000 trust have to do with your role as trustee of Shirley's trust? MR. SIMON: Same objection; privilege. Don't answer. MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking for a conversation. I'm trying to establish I think that you're obligated to establish the basis of a privilege objection, and I'm entitled to test the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSE: Do you want to confer about the privilege issue if you're confused? MR. STAMOS: I do. I do. Would you please recite the question again to the witness leaving out my comment about Shirley. MR. SIMON: We're going to take a minute and confer on a privilege issue. MR. STAMOS: That's a good idea. (Recess taken.) MR. STAMOS: All right. So can we read the last question back to the witness without my editorial comment at the end. (Read back by the reporter.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) Can you answer that, please. THE WITNESS: Could you read it back to me again, please. Q Actually, you know what, let me stop there. Let me ask a couple of more questions and I'll get back to that. Would you agree with me that Exhibit Number 1 reflects an email by Mr. Spallina to yourself and to Pam with regard to the subject matter of the potential use

- And, likewise, the email from yourself at the 1 2 top to Mr. Spallina and to Pam is talking generally here 3 about making the application to the insurance company, correct? 4 5 Α Correct. 6 So you made Pam privy to your conversations 7 and your communications with Mr. Spallina with regard to this topic, correct? 8 9 Well, I don't know if I made her privy, but this was a chain of people in -- in this email going, 10 11 you know, between two and three people. 12 Right. But you were the only one who was the 13 trustee of Shirley's trust, correct? 14 Yes. 15 MR. STAMOS: All right. Well, let me just add 16 that, not only do I still not understand what the 17 basis for a privilege would be, but if there was a 18 privilege, it was waived by including Pam in these 19 communications. So do I need to establish that any 20 more, Adam, or can I ask more questions? 21 MR. SIMON: If depends what the question is. 22 If it's about these emails, that's fine. If it's 23 about conversations between Robert and him 24 personally, it's not fine. It's privileged. 25 MR. STAMOS: All right. 41 (By Mr. Stamos) Were there any other 1 2 conversations in which you and Pam and he participated 3 with regard to the subject matter of the 2000 trust? 4 No, not that I recall. What was the notion behind the potential for 5 6
 - using the 2000 trust?
 - MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
 - I don't know.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- When Mr. Spallina made the application to the company identifying himself as the trustee of the '95 trust, was he acting as your lawyer at that time?
 - MR. SIMON: Objection; form. I think you said made an application to an insurance company?
- I thought we established earlier that you were aware that Mr. Spallina had applied to the insurance company for distribution of the proceeds to the '95 trust and had done that representing himself to be the trustee of the '95 trust. Did I hear that correctly?
- 20 Okay. When he did that, was he your lawyer 0 21 then?
- 22 Α Yes.
- So are you telling us that he submitted that 23 as your lawyer without your knowledge? 24
 - I'm telling you that, if that's what he did as

```
my -- if that's what he did, he was doing it as my
2
   attornev.
```

- But you're telling me that he did it without your knowledge?
- I'm telling you that, if he did it, he did it as my attorney. Whether he did it with my knowledge or not, that's something I think I've said I -- I don't remember.
- when you say he did it as your attorney, are you saying he did it as your attorney in your capacity as the trustee of Shirley's trust?
 - All my --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
- MR. STAMOS: Well, I mean, I'm not sure what's speculative about that.
- Can you answer that question?
- 17 MR. SIMON: Yeah, I can answer what's 18 speculative about it. He --

MR. STAMOS: No, no, no. I haven't asked you any questions. I'm asking the witness. I'm not asking you to explain to the witness now how to calculate this as being speculative. I'm asking the question.

I'm going to ask the court reporter to read that question back.

43

(Read back by the reporter.)

- I'm saying that my conversations with Robert Spallina, I viewed him as my counsel. In any conversations I had with Robert Spallina, I expected that the attorney-client privilege was there.
- But what I'm trying to get at is, do you have an understanding as to in what -- because you have -you wear many hats apparently. Are you saying he was your attorney in every hat you wore?
 - MR. SIMON: Object to form.
 - Do you understand my question?
 - I believe I do.
- Okay. Are you telling us that he was your attorney in each of the capacities you have that relate to the subject matter of this lawsuit?
- 16 In these -- in these matters --
 - For your father's --Q
 - Α Yes.
 - So that would include he was your attorney as the trustee of Shirley's trust; he was your attorney as the successor trustee of the '95 trust; and he was your personal attorney?
- 23 As everything that relates to these matters, 24 yes, I -- I viewed Robert as my attorney.
 - Did he ever disclose to you potential issues



of conflict that arose by virtue of the divergent roles we're addressing, such as the potential use of the 1 2 you have as I've just described, and perhaps there are 2 2000 trust, that the privilege was waived, that you 3 other roles? 3 can't -- that's number one. And, number 2, that these documents reflect 4 MR. SIMON: Objection; privilege. 4 5 5 that the communications on these topics were not MR. STAMOS: Privilege for which attorney -conducted solely between Mr. Spallina, as 6 MR. SIMON: If that's not privileged, nothing 6 7 7 is. Mr. Bernstein's lawyer, and Mr. Bernstein, but were 8 MR. STAMOS: Well, we're going to have to 8 conducted among Mr. Spallina and Mr. Bernstein and 9 litigate about this, so I'm trying to figure out --9 others who did not have his capacities regarding 10 MR. SIMON: That's fine. 10 these matters and was waived in that way as well. 11 MR. STAMOS: -- a privilege in which 11 So that's my position, and I ask you to 12 12 attorney-client relationship? The attorney-client reconsider yours. Otherwise, we'll have to have 13 13 relationship of him to -the judge address it. 14 MR. SIMON: You just asked -- Jim, let me 14 MR. SIMON: We'll likely have to have the 15 answer your question. You just asked about a 15 judge address it, but we'll consider it at a break. 16 conflict in many different capacities, correct? 16 MR. STAMOS: Okay. 17 17 MR. STAMOS: Yes. (By Mr. Stamos) Did you personally make a MR. SIMON: So any of those capacities or all 18 18 judgment or reach a conclusion as to whether the 2000 19 of them, it's privileged, and that's --19 trust should be used as a beneficiary in making a 20 MR. STAMOS: I understand conceptually. What submission to the insurance company for proceeds of the 20 21 I'm asking you is, in which capacity are you saying 21 insurance policy? 22 there was a conversation that resulted in a 22 I did not. 23 23 privileged conversation? Did you ever have a conversation with anyone 24 MR. SIMON: In the capacity that he was the 24 other than Mr. Spallina about the potential for using 25 client and Robert was the attorney, and we won't be 25 the 2000 trust in making an application to the insurance talking about conversations between them that are 1 company? 1 2 privileged. 2 Possibly -- possibly Donald Tescher. 3 (By Mr. Stamos) Are you going to follow your 3 Did you ever have a conversation with your 4 lawyer's instruction not to answer any questions about sister who would not have received proceeds of the 5 5 conversations you had with Robert Spallina? policy if, in fact, the 2000 trust were employed? 6 6 Not that I recall, no. 7 will that extend to conversations that are 7 So this entire process was conducted, and at 8 memorialized in the emails that we're going to be 8 no point did you discuss with your sister the fact that 9 reviewing here? 9 if the 2000 trust were employed, in fact, she would be 10 MR. SIMON: I will --10 cut out of the proceeds of the insurance policy? 11 Is that for me or him? 11 MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered. 12 MR. STAMOS: Well, that's for him, but I guess 12 You can answer. 13 I'm curious --13 Q Is that correct? That's your testimony? 14 (Cross-talking. Interruption by the 14 That's correct. Did you have a conversation with anyone else 15 reporter.) 15 16 MR. SIMON: We won't assert privilege where 16 other than maybe Spallina and maybe Tescher? 17 there's a third party on the email or it's been 17 About the 2000 trust document; is that the Α disclosed because we didn't assert the privilege. 18 18 auestion? 19 MR. STAMOS: Okay. I just want to state that 19 Yes. Q 20 my position, so to give you an opportunity to 20 No. I don't believe so. 21 modify yours, is that, by virtue of our having been 21 Where Mr. Spallina writes to Pam here in the 22 produced these emails, and we're going to go 22 middle of Exhibit Number 1, Page 1, "As I mentioned 23 previously, there was a discussion with the carrier through more, which themselves give us partial 23 24 information about conversations that took place and 24 about possibly using the 2000 trust, the one you are

25

46

25

communications that took place about the topics

carved out of but would be split five ways according to

Ted, but I'm not sure that we will achieve that result." 2 Are you familiar with what he's talking about there? 3 What's he talking about there? 4 It looks like he's talking about the fact that 5 the 2000 document didn't include Pam, and he was 6 7 probably -- he -- it looks like he may have been referencing, according to him, according to me, the --8 9 the -- there would be a split five ways. 10 What was the basis for your belief that there 11 would be a split five ways? 12 There were conversations going on at that point in time about how to -- what to do with, you know, 13 this insurance policy, and splitting it five ways was 14 what -- my understanding was how the -- what the 15 16 proceeds of the policy -- of the trust were going to be.

The 2000 trust?

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

18 Α No, not the -- I knew nothing about a 2000 19 trust.

Do you recall receiving this email where -the last item in the string is from you, where Mr. Spallina says, "As I mentioned previously, there was a discussion with the carrier about possibly using the 2000 trust, the one you are carved out of but would be split five ways according to Ted," doesn't that imply

I guess Pam Simon twice, right?

Α Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Going back to front, the first message appears to be from Pam to Spallina and to you saying, "Hi, Robert. Any word on the proceeds," asking whether he needed help, correct?

> Α Yes.

Then the next item of the string is from Spallina to Pam saying, "Heritage responded back that they need a copy of the trust instrument. We do not have a copy, and the only executed trust document that we have in which the policy is listed as an asset is the 2000 trust prepared by Al Gortz." Do you see that?

I do see that.

This is dated, it looks like, November 19, 2012. It is your email back. "Highly unlikely they will use another trust. What is the SOP when a doc can't be found?" That's from you, right?

Yes, it is.

And it's dated November 19, 2012, right? Q

Α Yes.

Am I correct, as I'm reading this, at least by November 19, 2012, no one has located Exhibits 21 and 22 that we talked about earlier, the unsigned drafts of the trust, correct?

1 that you were involved in a conversation about the 2000 trust?

I didn't have conversations with the carrier. Spallina had conversations with the carrier. I did not.

No, no. Doesn't this imply that you had a conversation with Mr. Spallina in which he says, "But it would be split five ways according to Ted"? I mean, how would he know what Ted thought unless Ted told him, and you're Ted?

I -- I -- I can't help you there. I don't know what Spallina was thinking.

In any event, so we've established that this is a string of emails that you and Ted and Pam shared, correct? You and Spallina and Pam shared, correct?

Yes.

16 And you would have seen them at or about the time they're dated, correct?

Α

Let me then go to Exhibit Number 2, which is TS4489 through 92.

(Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)

Again, we have to go back to front, and this is a string of emails -- am I correct, this is a string of emails in which you participated, the last one being from you to Mr. Spallina, Pam Simon, David Simon and --

MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.

You are right, correct.

when you then go to the next page, 4490, it says, from Pam to you, copied to Spallina, "Please send the executed trust document before you respond to Heritage." Do you remember what Pam -- what trust document she was talking about?

I do not.

Is it fair to say the only executed document you had that would be relevant at that point would have been the 2000 trust document, correct?

MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.

Q As far as you knew.

Can you ask me that question again, please?

Yeah. Actually, it might help if I go above that. When you look at Spallina's note to you then, a little bit below the halfway point of page 4409, it says, from Spallina, "We are not responding to them with the document from 2000. We discussed that and you are carved out under that document. We need to find the 1995 trust ASAP."

Do you understand that was him responding to Pam where she said, "Please send the executed trust document before you respond to Heritage"?

A I -- I do.

52

49..52

51

He must have been talking about the 2000 If you could then --1 1 I'm sorry, continue to look at that exhibit, 2 trust, and he's telling her we're not going to use that 2 at 4519. It said there was -- at the bottom, that's 3 trust because you're cut out, right? 3 your email, correct, that says, "There was an exhaustive I can't say for sure, you know, why he's 4 4 5 saying that, but that's, you know, what -- what it looks search for the original trust document from 1995 which like from this document. is the beneficiary of the policy owned by dad. Since 6 7 we've have not been able to locate it," and then some When you received this and saw it, is that 7 what you assumed, that he's telling her we're not going further text. Is it fair to say that as of December 6, 8 9 to use the 2000 trust because you're cut out of it? 9 2012, the drafts of the trust, Numbers 21 and 22, had 10 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. still not been located? 10 11 MR. STAMOS: No. I'm not asking him to 11 That is correct. 12 12 Thank you. speculate. 13 I'm asking your perception when you read this. All right. If you could then look at Exhibit 13 14 MR. SIMON: No. You asked him what he 14 4. 15 assumed, is what you asked. 15 (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) 16 MR. STAMOS: Well, I'm not asking him to 16 Now, reading bottom to top here, which I think 17 speculate about what he assumed. I'm asking him to we need to do, on Page 69, this is from you -- I'm 17 18 tell me what he assumed, if he can remember. sorry, this is from Spallina to you, correct? 18 19 I can't remember, but according to this, 19 20 that's what it looks like Spallina is saying. 20 On 67 or -- a different page? 21 Okay. That's fine. 21 I'm sorry. 22 Then there's another letter -- there's another 22 Oh, you got 67. Okay, yeah, I'm sorry. I 23 note November 19th, the same date, from David Simon, 23 have two sets of them. 24 "May be able to achieve Sy's intended result through 24 when you're looking at Page 67, that's waiver and settlement agreement." That was the attempt 25 25 Mr. Spallina writing to you, correct? 55 that was made to get all five children to sign off, and Well, I'm copied. 1 1 You are one of those to whom this was then you wouldn't need to worry about what the trust 2 2 3 said or didn't say, correct? 3 addressed, correct? 4 4 I believe so, yes. Α Yes. Okay, excellent. If you then look at Exhibit 5 5 In it, Mr. Spallina was talking about options Number 3, it looks to me -- if you just take a quick and trying to deal -- dealing with the situation where 6 6 look at this, it looks to me that this is an email from 7 the agreement could not be achieved, right? 7 8 Pam, and you are among those copied --8 Α 9 I don't have it. 9 Among the things he said was, and this is in 10 We don't have 3 yet. 10 the fourth line from the bottom. "As none of us can be MR. STAMOS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Could sure exactly what the 1995 trust said (although an 11 11 12 the court reporter please give it to him. 12 educated guess would point to the children in light of 13 (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.) 13 the document prepared by Al Gortz in 2000), it is 14 I just have a simple question for you. important that we discuss further prior to spending more money to pursue this option." As of that day, and this 15 Looking at this, am I correct that this is a letter --15 16 an email that Pam sent and that you were copied on which 16 was dated January 22, 2013, none of you could know for 17 attempted to circulate a settlement agreement among you 17 sure what it said, correct? to try to get the proceeds without the need for 18 18 That's correct. litigation or worrying about the trusts? Am I correct, as of this date, Exhibits 21 and 19 19 20 That is what it looks like to me, yes. 22 had not been located, correct? 20 21 And you recall that effort was made, correct? 21 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, asked and Q 22 22 Α answered. 23 And it was not successful because Eliot would 23 That's correct. 24 not agree, correct? 24 MR. STAMOS: No, it hasn't been asked. 25 25 I believe that's the reason why, yes. I'm sorry, what was the answer?



54

```
MR. SIMON: You said did he understand that he
1
               Correct.
                                                                 1
2
                                                                 2
                                                                          understood. It's like two understandings removed.
               Thank you.
3
              MR. STAMOS: Do you want to take a break now,
                                                                 3
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: If that's what I did, let me fix
         Adam?
                                                                 4
4
                                                                          it.
                                                                 5
 5
              MR. SIMON: Please.
                                                                               when Mr. Spallina wrote that and you received
6
               MR. STAMOS: Okay.
                                                                 6
                                                                     this and read it, was it your understanding that
7
                                                                     Mr. Spallina had the understanding that the 1995 trust
               (Recess taken.)
                                                                 7
8
               MR. STAMOS: So now we're on Exhibit 5.
                                                                     was basically a copy, so to speak, of Pam's trust and,
9
               (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)
                                                                 9
                                                                     therefore, he could use Pam's trust to fill in the
10
               (By Mr. Stamos) Now, I'm looking at Exhibit
                                                                     missing boilerplate language that might be necessary to
                                                                10
11
    Number 5. Do you have page 65? Is that the page number
                                                                11
                                                                     be filled in?
12
                                                                12
     at the bottom?
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Same objections.
                                                                               You're using words like "mirror image" and
13
                                                                13
         Α
               Yes
14
              Looking at the message from Spallina, the
                                                                    I -- I don't believe that he was looking at Pam's
                                                                14
     second one here - it looks like the top is from Lisa to
                                                                     document, according to this email, as a -- as a tool and
15
                                                                15
16
     Spallina and Jill - where Spallina said, "I need to see
                                                                16
                                                                     a mirror image. I think he was using Pam's document
17
     Pam's life insurance trust to answer the question," do
                                                                17
                                                                     maybe as -- more as a quide, because I think they were
    you know what question he was talking about?
                                                                     prepared around the same time by the same firm. So --
18
                                                                18
19
               MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
                                                                19
                                                                     but I can't honestly speculate what was in Spallina's
20
               I don't.
                                                                20
                                                                     mind at the time he wrote this.
21
              All right. Then I'm going to skip Number 6.
                                                                21
                                                                          Q
                                                                               Have you ever seen Pam's trust?
22
               I'm just trying to cut this down so we can
                                                                22
                                                                               I have not.
23
    move along. I'm saving time by wasting a little bit of
                                                                23
                                                                               Then let's go to -- looking now at Exhibit
                                                                          Q
24
                                                                24
                                                                     Number 9.
    time.
25
                                                                25
                                                                               (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)
               I'm not going to talk to you about 7.
                                                           57
                                                                                                                            59
               If you would then look at Exhibit Number 8,
                                                                               We have number 9 in front of you. Page 51 and
1
                                                                 1
2
    please.
                                                                 2
                                                                     52, do you see that?
3
               (Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)
                                                                 3
                                                                               I do.
              This is from Mr. Spallina to Eliot and
                                                                               This looks to be, going back on Page 52, an
4
                                                                 4
    yourself and -- to Pam, carbon copied to Eliot and
5
                                                                 5
                                                                     email that you drafted giving your analysis of the
     yourself, Lisa, Jill and Christine, right?
                                                                     Heritage payout situation, and looking at that document,
6
                                                                 6
7
                                                                     about seven lines down, as of that point the trust could
         Α
               Correct.
                                                                 7
8
              See at the top there?
                                                                 8
                                                                     not be located still, correct?
         Q
9
                                                                 9
              Yes, you are correct.
                                                                               Correct.
10
              Thank you. And I want to direct you to the
                                                                10
                                                                               I take it at that time Exhibits 21 and 22 were
     fourth paragraph up, the one that begins, "Let's stop
                                                                     still not located, because if they were, you would have
11
                                                                11
12
     making." Do you see that?
                                                                12
                                                                     talked about them, correct?
               I do.
13
         Α
                                                                13
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
14
               The second sentence says, "Pam saw him execute
                                                                14
15
     the trust with the same attorney that prepared her own
                                                                15
                                                                               Then on Page 51, that's your email to your
16
     trust, a copy of which I have and will offer up to fill
                                                                16
                                                                     siblings and Mr. Spallina in which -- in further
     in the boilerplate provisions." Do you see that?
                                                                     analysis -- this is actually to Eliot - I see - with
17
                                                                17
                                                                     copies to your siblings responding to a prior email he
18
         Α
               Yes.
                                                                18
19
               When you received this, did you understand
                                                                     had written about what he thought the situation was,
                                                                19
    that to mean that Mr. Spallina understood that your
                                                                20
                                                                     correct?
20
     father's '95 trust was basically a mirror image of Pam's
                                                                21
21
                                                                               Yes, sir.
22
     and, therefore, he would use Pam's in order to fill in
                                                                22
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: Now, if we could go, please, to
23
     the blanks with regard to boilerplate language?
                                                                23
                                                                          Exhibit 10.
                                                                               (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)
24
               MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, form.
                                                                24
25
              I'm asking if that's your understanding.
                                                                25
                                                                               If you're looking at the bottom of Page 47,
```

58

this is part of a string that ends with Eliot writing on was it your understanding that Eliot would agree to have such a court order entered? 2 February 9th to yourself and to Pam, copies to many 2 3 other people. Do you see that? 3 I don't know. Yes, I do. 4 Α 4 This communication with Mr. Spallina includes copies to all of your siblings as well as to Christine 5 Then when you look at the bottom, the first email on that page where Pam says, on February 8, 2013, Yates, who was Eliot's attorney, correct? 6 6 "Yeah, bad news. We don't have copies of the policy. 7 I -- I believe so. 7 Dad probably took it when he emptied his office. 8 8 Is it your position that this was 9 Probably the trust, too." Do you see that? 9 attorney-client communication, as well, between you and 10 10 Yes, I do. Mr. Spallina? 11 Do you have any understanding as to how it 11 MR. SIMON: We didn't assert a privilege, if 12 12 that's what you're asking. I didn't object. came to be that a copy of the draft trust was located at 13 a later date even though a search had already been done 13 MR. STAMOS: Well, our position, for the trying to find the trust document itself? 14 record, is that you may not selectively employ the 14 15 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 15 privilege. 16 None. 16 So my question is, was this an attorney-client 17 when the trust documents -- strike that. 17 communication, as far as you were concerned? 18 when the draft trust documents. Exhibits 21 18 In every communication I had with Robert 19 and 22, were located, do you recall having any 19 Spallina, I would expect that that privilege was there. 20 conversation with anybody, Mr. Simon, your sister, 20 MR. ROSE: This is Alan Rose, just for the 21 anything to the effect of, "How come you didn't find 21 record, since I'm Mr. Bernstein's personal counsel. 22 these the first time you looked," or anything like that? 22 He's not asserting the privilege as to 23 No, nothing like that with me, no. 23 communications of this nature as responded in your 24 Did it strike you? Did you wonder? Whether 24 email. He's asserting privilege to private 25 communications he had one-on-one with Robert 25 you had a conversation or not, did you wonder how it was 63 that they didn't find them the first time? Spallina, who he considered to be his counsel. 1 1 2 Α That's the position for the record and that's why NO. 3 It didn't strike you as odd? 3 the privilege is being asserted. MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered. 4 4 Continue. No, it didn't. Having searched for things 5 5 MR. STAMOS: No, I understand that. It's just 6 before in my life, you search once, you search again, 6 that our position is that, if one has an sometimes you come across things, especially old. No, attorney-client relationship, in particular with 7 7 8 it didn't strike me as odd. 8 regard to discussions concerning a particular 9 If you could look at Exhibit Number 11, 9 topic, the privilege is waived when you do not Q 10 please. 10 maintain the privilege with respect to certain 11 (Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.) 11 communications and you do with others, and that's 12 This is another string here. Beginning at the 12 our position. So --13 bottom, this is your brother Eliot telling you that he's 13 MR. ROSE: Okay. But for the record, since 14 seeking independent counsel, correct, on February 13, 14 you're going to argue this in Illinois potentially, 2013? 15 15 in every piece of litigation, certain things that 16 16 you communicate with your lawyer eventually find 17 their way into pleadings or communication with the 17

Q Then the next email up, on February 14th, is you to Robert Spallina saying, "Please move forward as we discussed in the last group phone call in which we decided to have Heritage pay your trust account or a trust that you would act as trustee. Heritage has stated that they will pay based on a court order showing that there's consensus among the 1995 trust beneficiaries. Let's get this done."

My question about that is, as of that point,

MR. STAMOS: Can we proceed?

other side. That does not mean that private

about various things when you're seeking legal

communication you have one-on-one with your lawyer

advice on a confidential basis are not privileged.

That's the sole basis upon which the privilege is

being asserted and it's going to continue to be



18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

62

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asserted.

1 MR. ROSE: Absolutely. Thanks. 1 I don't. 2 MR. STAMOS: Got it. 2 If you would look at Exhibit Number 15, Q 3 (By Mr. Stamos) In any event, looking at 3 please. Exhibit 11, this was a -- whatever it says, this was an 4 (Exhibit 15 was marked for identification.) 4 5 email series of -- exchange between yourself and Eliot 5 This document, 6508 through 6512, is a string and all the addressees, correct? of emails that ends with one from you to Robert Spallina 6 6 7 copied to several people, correct? It appears to be, yes. 7 8 8 Have you ever investigated to advise yourself It appears that way so far, yes. 9 as to what took place within the insurance company, that 9 Take your time. Is that what that is? Q is to say the insurance company records, as to your 10 10 11 father's interactions or lack of interactions with them The last email in that string is one that you 11 Q 12 about beneficiary changes or ownership changes? 12 sent, correct? 13 I -- I have not; did not do that. 13 Α Yes. I take it you, therefore, have no knowledge when you say, "I think one of my --" This is 14 14 15 about that, no personal knowledge about that? to Robert: "Pam, Scooter, Jill, Lisa and I will be 15 16 Can you tell me what "that" is again. 16 discussing several related issues over the weekend," and 17 this is Saturday, March 16, 2013. "I think one of my About beneficiary changes that your father 17 either did send or did not send to the insurance previous emails asked you to hold off doing anything 18 18 19 19 concerning the life insurance policy after a specific company. 20 Again, I'm going to go back to that time of date. Please continue to work with the insurance 20 21 reinstatement where it was my understanding that the 21 company on our behalf." 22 beneficiary of this insurance policy was the trust, 22 What were you talking about there? 23 so -- I think you stated something that wasn't entirely 23 I cannot remember. 24 accurate about that I didn't have any knowledge. 24 If you would please look at 6510. It's the 25 Okay. So your knowledge of it would have been 25 third page of that exhibit. 67 1 with regard -- I think we talked about that earlier. Okay. 1 2 You told us what your role was in that -- what you knew 2 Do you see the reference to March 15, 2013 Q 3 about the reinstatement provision a couple of years 3 there from Spallina? 4 before he died, correct? 4 I see March 15, 2013. 5 5 Yes, that's right. Right. 7:07 a.m., in the middle of that page? Q All right. We don't need to go over that 6 6 And Mr. Spallina wrote in this email string 7 again. That, I understand. 7 8 Let's look, if we can, at Exhibit Number 14. 8 that ends with your last email, "There is a break in 9 (Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.) 9 title and beneficiary designation prior to getting where 10 Looking at that document, it looks like a the confirmation letters state where we are today, Sy as 10 string that ends with an email from Mr. Spallina to Pam owner and the trust as beneficiary." Do you know what 11 11 12 and copied to yourself and David, correct? 12 they're talking about? 13 Yes, that is correct. 13 I believe that I do. 14 Now that email -- the initial email in that 14 What did you understand Mr. Spallina was 15 string is one from David Simon -- I'm guessing to 15 conveying by that message? 16 Mr. Spallina, although it's not clear, where it says, 16 That there was a previous owner or an initial "Last of the docs we could dig up." Do you see that? owner of this policy and that I think he was learning 17 17 about the -- the chain of -- of ownership of the policy 18 Α I do. 18 19 My assumption, although it's not clear from from the very beginning and its iterations over time 19 the email, is that there was -- oh, yeah, I'm sorry. At when -- after speaking with the insurance company. 20 20 the bottom you can see there's a PDF attachment, a 21 Did you understand this to be that 21 22 Document 9 PDF. Do you see that on Page 6579? 22 Mr. Spallina was told by the insurance company that 23 23 there was a break in title and beneficiary designation? Yes. 24 Do you know what document he's referring to in 24 A Well, I -- I'm -- only because I'm reading 25 that email? 25 what he said. I don't know what he assumed that meant,

66

but I'm assuming from what I'm reading that he is saying dispute that results in Mr. Spallina saying, "Ted, I'm done with this matter"? What did you understand was 2 that there was some break there. 3 And this was in response to your email from --3 going to happen? it looks like --The change in who was going to be handling the 4 4 5 well, it looks like the times are a little bit life insurance policy at -- at around this time. odd there. I'm not sure why that is. 6 It was changed from whom to whom? 6 7 7 From the Tescher & Spallina firm to Adam Right. 8 I wonder if one is eastern time and one is 8 Simon. 9 central time? 9 Were there any discussions with the insurance Between me and Robert? company about that prior to the lawsuit being filed in 10 10 11 Yeah. Could that have been possible? 11 Q Chicago? 12 Anything's possible, but unlikely, I think. 12 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 13 Well, in any event, when you received that, 13 I've -- I simply don't know. did you understand what he was talking about? 14 You don't? 14 15 At the time, I probably did not. 15 I do not. 16 Now, looking at Exhibit 16, please. 16 Now, when you then look at --17 (Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.) 17 I'm sorry, we'll go to the next exhibit, which 18 Do you know who Mr. Welling is, before I ask is -- it looks like Exhibit 17. 18 19 you any questions about the document? 19 (Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.) 20 I believe that he was someone connected to the 20 Now, looking at Exhibit Number 17, where 21 insurance company. 21 Mr. Tescher writes, "I feel that we have serious 22 I'd like you, if you will, to take a moment 22 conflicts in continuing to represent you as trustee to 23 and read Exhibit Number 12 -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 23 the life insurance trust and need to withdraw from 24 Number 16, back to front, and then I want to ask you 24 further representation," do you see that? 25 25 some questions about it. It's not all that long. I do. 69 71 So you'd like me to read all the pages in the Now, first, this document is an email string 1 Α 1 2 email? that ends with Mr. Tescher sending an email to 3 Yeah. 3 Mr. Welling, Mr. Spallina and also to yourself, as well Q 4 Okay. 4 as the Simons, correct? Α 5 5 Just take a moment to read it. The messages Α Yes. You recall receiving this, do you? 6 are actually pretty brief. 6 Q 7 MR. ROSE: While he's looking at that, I'd 7 Now that I see it, I recall. 8 just state for the record that TS5253, at the 8 Now, where Mr. Tescher says that, "There's a 9 bottom, clearly supports the assertion of the 9 serious conflict continuing to represent you as trustee 10 10 of the life insurance trust," is he referring to the privilege. MR. STAMOS: In as much as it includes Scott 11 1995 trust? 11 12 Welling on it, I'd have a hard time understanding 12 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 13 how that supports the existence of a privilege, 13 I believe that that's what he's referring to 14 but --14 here. 15 MR. ROSE: Okay. 15 I take it that he withdraw from representing 16 (By Mr. Stamos) Have you had a chance to read 16 you in that capacity as of this email? I -- I believe that to be the case. 17 that yet, Mr. Bernstein? 17 Did they continue to represent you in any 18 Yes. I'm -- yes, I have. 18 19 I bet you recall this email string, correct? other capacity after that date? Q 19 20 20 Yes. Α 21 It ends with a message from Mr. Spallina to In what capacities did they continue to 21 22 you which would have included all the rest of it, 22 represent you? correct? 23 23 As the -- counsel for the Shirley Bernstein 24 24 Α Yes. Trust. 25 25 What's this about? What's the genesis of this Do they continue to be your attorney in that

capacity? Shirley Bernstein Trust. 1 1 2 2 And finally Exhibit Number 18. Currently? Α 3 (Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.) Q Yes. 3 They are not. 4 Are you ready? 4 Q 5 5 When did they cease being your attorney in Q Α Yes. 6 Let me just back up a second. The document 6 that capacity? 7 Early 2014 is my recollection. 7 that you were talking about that there was a problem 8 What led to that? 8 with was a document which it appeared that the Tescher & Q 9 What led to that was --9 Spallina firm had participated in backdating a signature 10 MR. ROSE: Well, let me -- to the extent he's by your father, correct? Is that your understanding of 10 11 discussing communications he had with his former 11 it? 12 counsel, they would be privileged, and I would 12 Something along those lines. I'm not quite 13 instruct him not to answer based upon any 13 sure that it's backdating or creation of a document. communications with his counsel. 14 14 I'm not sure that backdating would be the right way to 15 MR. STAMOS: Okay. 15 describe that. 16 I don't agree with that, but I assume you're 16 Q It included a notarization that was not 17 going to follow your attorney's instruction not to 17 authentic, correct? 18 answer that? 18 There were -- there were two issues that arose 19 19 out of that law firm that were highly irregular as far Δ Yes. 20 Q All right. We don't need to say anymore, but 20 as I'm concerned. 21 we'll certify that. 21 Q What were those? 22 Leaving aside conversations then with 22 One was a -- was the signing of a notarized 23 Mr. Spallina or Mr. Tescher, what led to their ceasing 23 document by a notary that was not proper, and the second 24 to be your attorneys? 24 was the creation or fabrication of a document by 25 25 My recollection is that they withdrew. Mr. Spallina that -- that related to Shirley's trust 73 75 document. It was, I believe, in the amended trust 1 Q Okay. 1 2 Again, we're going back quite a while, but I document, but I'm going now by complete recollection 3 believe what led to them not being my attorneys is that 3 of --4 they withdrew. 4 Do you recall what the purpose of that Q 5 MR. ROSE: And just for the record, there are 5 document was, the second document you're talking about? 6 aspects of that that are not privileged, but you The purpose was to make changes to the 6 7 asked him about his -- I just advised him not to 7 original trust document. 8 disclose his private, confidential communication 8 Any particular change that you can recall? 9 with them while they were still his lawyers. That 9 No, not -- not, you know, sitting here without 10 does not foreclose your questioning. 10 the document. no. 11 MR. STAMOS: No, what I asked him was what 11 The last document that I've shown you, this 12 other circumstances led to that other than --12 Exhibit Number 18, this is Mr. Tescher -- it looks like 13 without reference to such conversations, and he 13 he's writing to you and your siblings in particular 14 said they withdrew. 14 about billing, correct? 15 Do you know why they withdrew? 15 Α Yes. 16 I -- I do know why they withdrew. There were 16 This is August 30, 2013, correct? some questions within their firm about documents and 17 17 Yes, it is. irregular -- irregularity around documents, and they As of this date, he's still referring to the 18 18 19 withdrew because I felt it was best for them to 19 fact that your father's - looking at the second full 20 withdraw. 20 paragraph from the bottom - that your father's affairs 21 what documents were there -- with regard to 21 were not left in the best order and so forth, and also 22 what documents were there irregularities, as far as you 22 some concern that Eliot's activity might be costing the 23 knew? 23 estate money, correct? 24 There was an amendment to a trust document. 24 That's what he says here, yes. Α

25

Which trust?

74

25

76

As of this time that this was written, you

still were not aware of the existence of Exhibits 21 and died and then you participated in helping to make a 2 22, the draft unsigned '95 trust, correct? 2 claim. correct? 3 I'm not sure. 3 Yes. Here's what I want to ask you: You're aware 4 In doing that, I'm sure you've interacted with 4 that the 2000 trust is an insurance trust, correct? attorneys, including those who have drafted trusts as 5 It's for the purpose of receiving insurance proceeds, part of that process, right? 6 6 7 7 Yes. correct? Α 8 8 Is it your experience, what I believe to be MR. SIMON: Objection. Are you going to show 9 9 him the document? universal among estates and trusts lawyers, that they 10 maintain trusts that they have drafted or estate plans MR. STAMOS: Yeah, I can. I was going to work 10 11 from memory, but we can. they have created because they're aware that down the 11 12 That's Exhibit Number 23. 12 line when someone dies, number one, they might need to (Exhibit 23 was marked for identification.) 13 13 find those documents, and number 2, the lawyers hope to 14 So, first, let me ask you this: I imagine get the business as part of the estate? Is that true in 14 15 that your business, over the years that you've been 15 your experience? 16 involved in selling life insurance, you've dealt with 16 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, form. 17 many customers or clients who have had insurance trusts, 17 MR. STAMOS: I'm asking for his experience. 18 correct? 18 MR. SIMON: He's not an attorney. 19 That is correct. 19 That, I don't know. I mean, what their intent Δ This is not the first time you've ever looked 20 20 is for drafting the documents and -- I can't say in Q 21 at an insurance trust, the one you've just looked at, 21 general terms --22 correct? 22 Okay. But in your experience, have you ever 23 Α 23 gone to a firm that drafted a trust and they didn't have Also correct, yeah. 24 In your experience, the lawyers who draft 24 a copy of it? 25 25 trusts, for example this one, very often do what was I don't know. 77 79 done here, which is they provide a first page indicating Here, do you know if efforts were made to 1 1 2 who prepared it with the law firm's name on it, right? contact the attorneys who are purported to have drafted 3 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 3 the 1995 trust to see if they had a copy of it? 4 Is that your experience to see that? 4 I believe that efforts were made to do that, Q 5 5 yes. Δ If you look at Exhibit Number 24 and 25 --Did you learn what the results of that 6 6 Q 7 Let's start with Number 24. 7 investigation were? 8 (Exhibits 24 and 25 were marked for 8 My recollection was the firm was absorbed by 9 identification.) 9 another firm, or maybe there were two, you know, 10 Looking at 24, that's the trust dated July 25, iterations of this, but the firm is no longer in 0 10 2012, correct? existence and that they didn't keep the records or they 11 11 12 12 may have sent out something about records. Α Yes, it is. 13 And number 25 is a trust dated May 20, 2008, 13 I'm just going by memory, so I can't be -- you 14 correct? 14 know, give you anything more than that. 15 Yes. 15 Do you remember who told you that? Α 16 And those are both prepared by the Tescher & 16 I do believe that was Robert Spallina. I think he was making those inquiries to the other firm. 17 Spallina firm, right? 17 It may have been David in Chicago. 18 Α Yes. 18 19 The three trusts that we have, at least that 19 Now, David has testified that -- I'm speaking we know are executed, each one of them identifies the roughly, but I believe accurately in describing his 20 20 law firms who prepared them, correct? 21 testimony, which is that he -- that when Simon created 21 22 22 the '95 trust, that David assisted him in preparing it 23 In your experience as a life insurance 23 on the computer actually and Simon then took that professional, I'm sure you've had occasion over time to 24 version and took it over to Hopkins & Sutter, the law

be the first one advised that one of the insureds has

78

25

80

firm that they say prepared it, and that was the basis

for the trust ultimately that Simon executed. Does that 1 Don't tell me the number. 2 2 sound familiar to you? MR. STAMOS: I'm looking --3 It doesn't. It does not sound familiar that 3 MR. ROSE: What does it say on the front? Scooter was -- that David was creating a document on MR. STAMOS: Let's start again. 4 4 5 5 a -- on a -- on a computer. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Proskauer Rose trust. We now know that David testifies that there 6 MR. STAMOS: I'm looking at Exhibit 23. The 6 7 was a document on the computer, correct, because that's 7 very first page indicates it was prepared by the what Exhibit Number 21 is, right? 8 Proskauer firm. Do we all have that document in 8 9 Okay. 9 front of us? 10 Okay? I mean, do you agree with me, that's 10 MR. SIMON: Yes. 11 what we understand that to be? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 I do. 12 (By Mr. Stamos) All right. If you flip that 13 So the question I have for you is, did you 13 first page and go to TS3893, paragraph number 1, do we ever have a conversation with David in which he said -agree that it says, "As and for a gift, the settlor 14 14 15 when these communications were taking place with hereby assigns and transfers to the trustees and their 15 16 Mr. Spallina about how do we approach, we can't find the 16 successors (together "the trustees"), the life insurance '95 trust and so forth, did David ever say anything to 17 policies set forth in Schedule A." 17 you like, "You know, I put it on my computer to begin 18 MR. SIMON: Continue. 18 19 with. Maybe I should check there"? Do you ever 19 Do you see that? 20 remember any such conversation? 20 MR. SIMON: Continue. 21 I do not. 21 Well, it says other things as well, but -- you 22 When you look at Exhibit Number 23, if you 22 can read as much as you -- read as much of it as you 23 would look at that, please, the first page indicates 23 want and then tell me whether you've read it. 24 that the 2000 trust is to receive the proceeds --24 MR. SIMON: Into the record. Read the whole 25 25 looking at the very first paragraph, the first sentence thing into the record. 83 1 actually, was to receive the proceeds of some insurance Okay? You see that, correct? 1 2 policies listed on Exhibit A, correct? 2 I see it. Α 3 Okay. I'm with you now. You want me looking 3 All right. And then Schedule A includes in it Α the life insurance policy with regard to which we are 4 at 23? 4 Yup. And look at the first page of it, which 5 5 currently litigating, right? MR. SIMON: I'm going to object as to form, 6 is 3893, the first text page. 6 7 7 because again you've misstated what paragraph 1 Okay. I'm with you. 8 This trust provides that the insurance 8 said. 9 policies set forth in Schedule A, the proceeds of those 9 Yeah. I'm going to read it. "The life policies are going to be paid to the trust, right? 10 insurance policies set forth in Schedule A annexed 10 11 MR. SIMON: Objection; the document speaks for hereto, and the settlor agrees to execute all such 11 12 itself. 12 assignments and changes of beneficiary and to do such 13 MR. STAMOS: I'm asking if that's his 13 other acts and things as may be necessary in order to 14 understanding of it. 14 make the trustees irrevocable absolute assignees of said life insurance policies. The trustee shall hold said 15 MR. SIMON: Same objection. 15 16 I mean, the document says what it says. 16 policies together with any other property which may be Α received by them in trust upon the terms and conditions 17 Right? 17 It says that it transfers to the trustees of set forth herein. This trust shall be known as the 18 18 this 2008 trust the life insurance policies set forth in 19 Simon Bernstein 2000 Insurance Trust." 19 20 Schedule A, right? 20 And I don't believe this policy ever 21 MR. ROSE: Wait. Which one are you looking 21 received -- this trust ever received the policy, but 22 22 at? 23 MR. SIMON: Objection as to form of question. 23 I just want to establish first what it says, 24 That's not what it says. 24 see if we could agree what it says. I agree that's what 25 it -- you accurately read it. I agree with you. MR. ROSE: Which document are you looking at? 25

82

1	A Olean	1	O Year of this desiment said for everyla
1	A Okay.	1	Q Yeah. If this document said, for example,
2	Q Listed on Schedule A then, as being subject to	2	"I'm replacing the '95 trust with this 2000 trust,"
3	the words that you just read, is included the insurance	3	would you have expected that Mr. Spallina would have
4	policy that we're litigating about, correct?	4	given you advice with regard to that fact, if it were a
5	A Let me go to sub 2A.	5	fact?
6	Q Okay.	6	MR. ROSE: I'm going to object, instruct him
7	THE WITNESS: Do you have Schedule A?	7	not to answer based on communications he had with
8	MR. SIMON: It's the last page, I think.	8	Mr. Spallina, but you can ask the question with
9	Q It's the last page of that exhibit.	9	regard to information that Spallina disseminated to
10	A Got it.	10	third parties or
11	Q All right?	11	Q Well, other than conversations that just
12	A I missed it at the top.	12	involved you and Mr. Spallina, but not excluding
13	Q That's okay. And that includes the life	13	communications that involved your siblings, like so many
14	insurance policy that we are litigating about in this	14	of these emails did, would you have expected in such
15	case, correct?	15	communications when you and he were talking about
16	A That is correct.	16	whether we're going to use the 2000 trust and so forth,
17	Q Do you agree with me that this trust document	17	if the 2000 trust had referenced the existence of a
18	does not reference the existence of a prior trust that	18	prior trust, do you not think he would have brought that
-9 19	had any interest in that insurance policy or any prior	19	to your attention so that you could decide what impact
20	trust at all, right?	20	that had on your view that the '95 trust still applied?
21	MR. SIMON: I'm going to have to ask him to	21	MR. SIMON: Objection; form.
22	read the entire document.	22	A Honestly, I'm not sure. I can't, you know,
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't answer	23	tell you or speculate as to what Spallina what the
23 24	MR. SIMON: Go ahead.	24	expectations were of what was in this document.
		25	·
25	A I can't answer that question without reading	23	Honestly, I I can't.
	85		87
1	the whole document	1	MR STAMOS: If you can give me just one
1	the whole document.	1	MR. STAMOS: If you can give me just one
2	MR. SIMON: Go ahead.	2	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a
2	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q well, it speaks for itself.	2 3	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second.
2 3 4	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether	2 3 4	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it	2 3 4 5	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust?	2 3 4 5 6	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not	2 3 4 5 6 7	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did reference the 1995 trust, that Mr. Spallina would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as to whether you are a beneficiary or are entitled to any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did reference the 1995 trust, that Mr. Spallina would have commented on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as to whether you are a beneficiary or are entitled to any distribution from the 2012 trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did reference the 1995 trust, that Mr. Spallina would have commented on that? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as to whether you are a beneficiary or are entitled to any distribution from the 2012 trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; the document speaks for itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did reference the 1995 trust, that Mr. Spallina would have commented on that? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Q Would you have expected him to tell you that it did?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as to whether you are a beneficiary or are entitled to any distribution from the 2012 trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; the document speaks for itself. A Do you want me to read the whole document? If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SIMON: Go ahead. Q Well, it speaks for itself. Let me ask you this: Are you aware of whether it does without reading it? Are you aware of whether it references any 1995 trust or any other trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Not allowing him to read it. MR. STAMOS: No, no. I'm just asking if he's aware of it without reading it. It says what it says. His reading is not going to change what it says. I'm asking his state of mind. Q Are you aware of whether or not that document references the 1995 trust without having read it? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. Go ahead. Q Do you know? A I'm not I'm not aware. Q Do you think that if this document did reference the 1995 trust, that Mr. Spallina would have commented on that? MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. Q Would you have expected him to tell you that it did?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	second, I want to confer with Mr. Horan for a second. (Recess taken.) Q (By Mr. Stamos) If you would look at Exhibit 24, please. A Okay. Q Is it your understanding that this document, the Simon L. Bernstein Trust I'm sorry, let me start again. This document is dated July 25, 2012, correct? A Yes. It's hard to read, but yes. Q You understand this document treats all of Simon's children as predeceasing for the purpose of its distribution, correct? A I have not read this document, but so I can't you know, I can't tell you that I agree with you. Q Are you aware, being one of those children, as to whether you are a beneficiary or are entitled to any distribution from the 2012 trust? MR. SIMON: Objection; the document speaks for itself.

then --The third page is a transcription so that we 1 2 No, I don't -- that's not what I'm asking you. 2 could read what it actually said. Do you see that? 3 There's a reasonable amount of money involved here, and 3 Do I see what the third page is? what I'm asking you is, as one of Simon's children, are 4 Yeah. Q 5 you aware, personally aware -- not did you read this 5 Yes, I do. just now and what is it saying, but are you aware of 6 what was the genesis of the facts surrounding 6 whether you are a beneficiary of a trust that he left 7 Pam writing this note? 7 when he died? 8 MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation. 8 9 I am -- I am aware of the trust when he died 9 I'm asking what you know, not what you're and I'm aware that I'm not a beneficiary. 10 10 speculating about. 11 Okay. That's what 2012 talks about, correct? Can you ask me the -- what -- the question 11 12 12 again, or what you're specifically asking me? 13 Not only are you not a beneficiary, none of 13 What do you understand to have been the your siblings are beneficiaries, correct? circumstances of the facts that led to Pam writing this 14 14 15 note to your father? Why did she write it, as far as You are correct. 15 16 was there a dispute in the family when you all 16 you know? 17 learned that your father was going to, in effect, 17 MR. SIMON: Objection. As far as I know, she read it -- she wrote it disinherit his singling? I'm sorry, the siblings? 18 18 19 MR. ROSE: What time was that? Did you --19 because she was -- she was passionate about the fact 20 that the document -- that the estate plan did not MR. STAMOS: Let me start again. 20 21 Prior to his death, you became aware that it 21 include some of Sy's beneficiaries. 22 was his plan that he was not going to leave money to his 22 Meaning several of the siblings, right? 23 children, correct? 23 Some of his children. Some of my siblings. 24 I did -- I'm aware of that. 24 Did it exclude you as well? Δ Q 25 25 And that lead to some discord in the family, It did. 91 correct? Did you encourage her to write that, or did 1 1 2 Α It did. you know she was going to write that note when she wrote 3 was there a call in which he participated, as 3 it? 4 did the siblings, in which you attempted to get him to 4 I did not. Α change his mind or explain why his plan was not 5 5 Did you take any view on the subject matter? MR. SIMON: Objection. 6 appropriate? 6 7 7 The subject of the disinheritance. Α No. 8 There was no such call? 8 MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. 9 There was no such call based on what you just 9 Q You may answer. 10 said that call was about. 10 Did I take any view to who? Α was there a call prior to his death that 11 Did you have a view internally as to the 11 involved inheritance, that involved the siblings and appropriateness of your father's plan to disinherit some 12 12 13 your father? 13 of his children? 14 14 Appropriateness, no. I encouraged --Who said what to whom in that conference? 15 15 You didn't have any --Q 16 Robert Spallina explained that my father was 16 -- my father -going to leave the -- his assets to ten grandchildren 17 17 Oh, go ahead, I'm sorry. I encouraged my father to go speak with his 18 equally. 18 19 when -- I ask you to -- if you could pick up counsel about the fact that he received this and what he 19 Exhibit Number 26, please. should contemplate doing in receipt of it and how he was 20 20 21 (Exhibit 26 was marked for identification.) feeling about it, and I encouraged him to talk to 21 22 Exhibit Number 26 was one of the documents 22 counsel about it. 23 produced by the Tescher & Spallina firm. Have you seen 23 Ultimately, he left the estate plan in place it before? 24 24 so that upon his death none of his estate passed to the

25

Α

Yes.

90

25

siblings, correct?

```
MR. ROSE: Object to the form.
                                                                               I don't know what a holographic will is.
1
                                                                 1
2
               Oh, that's your objection.
                                                                 2
                                                                               It's a document that was written to leave
3
                                                                     Maritza a portion of the death benefit that Rachel
              He left the -- he left it in place.
                                                                 3
              Meaning that each of you and your siblings was
                                                                 4
                                                                     walker --
4
     deemed to have been predeceased for the purpose of his
                                                                 5
5
                                                                               Did she give you documents at the hospital the
     estate planning?
                                                                 6
                                                                     night he died?
6
7
               MR. SIMON: Objection; form.
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; form. What's the
                                                                 7
8
              Is that your understanding? If it's not, tell
                                                                 8
                                                                          question? Did she give you documents?
9
     me. I mean, I don't -- I'm not going to --
                                                                 9
                                                                               Did Rachel -- do you know Rachel Walker?
10
               MR. SIMON: Well, the first time you said
                                                                10
                                                                               I do.
11
          "estate" and the second time you said "estate
                                                                11
                                                                               On the night your father died, did she bring
                                                                          Q
12
                                                                     documents to you at the hospital?
         planning", which is much more general.
                                                                12
                                                                13
13
               MR. STAMOS: I didn't mean a distinction.
                                                                               I believe she did.
                                                                               Was one of those documents a document with a
14
               I just want to establish, upon his death, no
                                                                14
15
    money as a consequence of his death passed or will have
                                                                15
                                                                     check and a letter regarding Maritza Puccio?
                                                                16
16
    passed to you and your siblings if the '95 trust is
                                                                          Α
17
     never enforced and receives money through the insurance
                                                                17
                                                                               what documents did she bring you?
                                                                          Q
18
    policy, right?
                                                                               My recollection is she brought me something --
                                                                18
19
               Correct.
                                                                19
                                                                     things pertaining to living wills. I'm not using
20
               But the money will otherwise pass to all of
                                                                     correct legal terms I'm sure, but DNRs and things like
         Q
                                                                20
21
    your children, correct?
                                                                21
                                                                     that.
22
              To all of his grandchildren.
                                                                22
                                                                               On the day your dad died, did you contact the
                                                                          Q
23
              All of Simon's grandchildren, including your
                                                                23
                                                                     sheriff?
24
     children as well, correct?
                                                                24
                                                                          Α
25
                                                                25
               Correct.
                                                                               On the day after he died, did you contact the
                                                                          Q
                                                           93
              MR. STAMOS: Give me just one second.
                                                                     sheriff?
1
                                                                 1
2
                                                                               I don't recall.
               THE WITNESS: Sure.
                                                                 2
                                                                          Α
3
              This is my final question, or just about:
                                                                 3
                                                                               Did you file a sheriff's report at all after
                                                                          Q
    When you learned that Mr. Spallina had filed a claim
4
                                                                     your father died?
     identifying himself as trustee of the '95 trust, did you
                                                                 5
                                                                               I don't recall.
5
                                                                               Did you make any claims that Maritza Puccio,
6
     ever report to anyone in the insurance company or any
                                                                 6
7
     authority that he, in fact, was never the trustee of the
                                                                     his girlfriend, might have poisoned him?
                                                                 7
8
     '95 trust?
                                                                 8
                                                                          Α
9
               I did not.
                                                                 9
                                                                               You gave no statement to the sheriff?
         Α
                                                                          Q
10
               Did you ever instruct him to take steps to
                                                                10
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered.
     correct any misimpression he might have caused others to
                                                                11
11
12
     form as a result of him having made that claim?
                                                                12
                                                                               Did you file a coroner's -- did you order a
13
               I'm not sure he caused misimpressions in
                                                                13
                                                                     coroner inquiry on the day your father died?
14
    anybody, so I don't know, and I didn't have any
                                                                14
                                                                               I did not.
15
     conversations with insurance companies.
                                                                15
                                                                               At any time?
                                                                          Q
16
              MR. STAMOS: All right. That's all I have.
                                                                16
                                                                               I did not.
17
         Thank you.
                                                                17
                                                                               Do you know anybody who did?
                                                                               I believe the Palm Beach County did.
18
               THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
                                                                18
19
                                                                19
                                                                               Palm Beach County who?
               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I have a few
                                                                          Q
20
          questions.
                                                                20
                                                                               The County.
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
                                                                21
                                                                               The County ordered a coroner's --
21
                                                                22
22
    BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Asked and answered.
23
              Ted, are you aware of a holographic will
                                                                23
                                                                               -- investigation?
    leaving some of the insurance proceeds to Maritza
24
                                                                24
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Asked and answered.
25
    Puccio?
                                                                25
                                                                               Okay. Why did they order it?
                                                           94
                                                                                                                            96
```

```
MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
1
                                                                 1
                                                                          I can't tell if you're acting like you're not able
               Have you seen the report?
2
                                                                 2
         0
                                                                          to hear.
3
               I believe so.
                                                                 3
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: No, we can hear. We got it.
               On the day after your -- on the morning after
                                                                 4
4
                                                                               MR. ROSE: Okay.
    your father died -- or actually that morning, did you go
                                                                 5
5
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: Thank you.
     to your father's house?
                                                                 6
                                                                               MR. ROSE: You're welcome. I just saw your
6
7
              What date are you asking me about?
                                                                 7
                                                                          face, so...
8
               September 13th.
                                                                 8
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: Thanks.
9
               You know, it's a blurry time. I -- shortly
                                                                 9
                                                                               (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) So you became aware
     after dad died, I -- I went to his house.
                                                                     at some point that there was a coroner's inquiry and you
10
                                                                10
11
              Were there sheriffs there?
                                                                11
                                                                     were aware that there was claims about his medication,
12
               I believe some -- somebody from a law
                                                                12
                                                                     correct?
    enforcement agency showed up one of those days shortly
                                                                13
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; form.
13
    after dad died.
                                                                14
                                                                               That if he had been --
14
15
              Did you speak with those sheriffs?
                                                                15
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. I'll skip
         Q
16
               T did.
                                                                16
                                                                          that for a second.
17
              What did you talk to them about?
                                                                17
                                                                               If this 1995 trust is lost and is not valid by
         Q
               Not a lot of recollection, but they were
                                                                     the court, you get no benefits whatsoever, correct?
18
                                                                18
19
    asking me questions about things.
                                                                19
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, and calls
20
              Like?
                                                                20
                                                                          for a legal conclusion.
         Q
21
               Medication, what -- what amounts of
                                                                21
                                                                               Can you look at the trust document, either one
22
    medication, if I knew what kind of medication he took or
                                                                22
                                                                     of those trust documents that were exhibited, and tell
                                                                     me who the law firm is on that trust document.
23
    was taking or things like that.
                                                                23
24
              Why were they there?
                                                                24
                                                                               Tescher & Spallina's law firm?
                                                                          Α
25
                                                                25
               MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation.
                                                                               No, the two 1995 trusts that you're claiming
                                                           97
              Well, you met with the sheriff. Didn't you
                                                                     you're the trustee of. Who's the law firm that prepared
1
                                                                 1
2
    wonder why he was at your father's house on the day he
                                                                 2
                                                                     that document?
3
     died and you were giving statements to him?
                                                                 3
                                                                               MR. STAMOS: Those are Exhibit 21 and 22.
4
              MR. SIMON: Same objection.
                                                                 4
                                                                               THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you, Jim.
              You -- did you ask me why were they there?
                                                                 5
5
                                                                               21 and 22? Of course I kept everything in
         Δ
6
         Q
                                                                 6
                                                                          order except 21 and 22.
7
                                                                 7
                                                                               Do you have it? He's looking for the law
              I don't know. I can't remember why they were
         Α
8
     there.
                                                                 8
                                                                          firm's name? Is this 21 and 22?
9
               And you had no involvement in the call. Did
                                                                 9
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Yeah, these are 21 and 22. You
    vour attorney have any involvement in the call to the
                                                                10
                                                                          can just look at it.
10
     sheriff that you're aware of?
                                                                11
                                                                               Are you asking me for the law firm on 21 and
11
12
               I don't -- I can't -- I don't think so. I
                                                                12
                                                                     22?
13
    don't think so.
                                                                13
                                                                          Q
14
               So you, to the best of your recollection, you
                                                                14
                                                                               I don't see a law firm.
    don't know who called the sheriff or contacted them?
                                                                               You don't see a law firm on the trust
15
                                                                15
               MR. SIMON: Objection; form.
16
                                                                16
                                                                     document?
               Are you aware the night your father died that
                                                                17
17
    a call had been made to the hospital claiming that he
18
                                                                18
                                                                          Q
                                                                               Anywhere on the document, does it say who
19
     had been poisoned?
                                                                19
                                                                     prepared it?
20
               I'm not -- I'm not aware of a call that was
                                                                20
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered.
21
    made where -- where it was claimed that he was poisoned.
                                                                21
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm asking him
22
                                                                22
              You weren't aware of that?
                                                                          is -- anywhere on the document, is there a
         Q
23
               (Nonverbal response.)
                                                                23
                                                                          reference to a law firm.
         Α
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Asked and answered.
24
              Okay.
                                                                24
25
                                                                25
                                                                               Not -- not that I see.
               MR. ROSE: Can you hear this okay in Chicago?
                                                                                                                          100
```

1	Q Are you aware of any claim that your father	1	Q Is Adam Simon related to you?
2	had been poisoned by anybody? Have you ever heard that	2	MR. SIMON: It's an easy question. No.
3	claim in the course of these proceedings?	3	A I don't think so, no.
4	A I I have heard things about dad being	4	Q Is he related to your sister's husband?
5	poisoned.	5	A He is.
6	Q Did you report those things to the insurance	6	Q He is. And does your sister stand to lose all
7	company?	7	of her benefit if this trust can't be proven and the
8	MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance.	8	money gets paid to the estate?
9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, there's a death	9	MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, calls for
10	benefit claim, and I think it would be pretty	10	a legal conclusion.
11	relevant, if somebody was murdered, who the	11	A No no idea.
12	beneficiaries would be and how it would be paid and	12	Q So you know that if the trust doesn't succeed
13	if the insurance company should seek an	13	and the money's paid to the estate, you, because you're
14	investigation.	14	considered predeceased, don't get benefit, but you're
15	MR. SIMON: You can ask the question.	15	not sure about your sister who's also considered
16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: SO	16	predeceased?
17	Q Go right ahead.	17	MR. SIMON: Objection as to form; makes a
18	A Can you ask me the question again?	18	legal conclusion that's not necessarily correct.
19	Q Did you report to the insurance company that	19	I wouldn't even answer that one.
20	you had information that your father might have been	20	Continue.
21	poisoned?	21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. So we'll certify
22	A I did not.	22	that to take up with the judge.
23	Q Did you report it to the federal court that	23	MR. SIMON: Please.
24	your father might have been poisoned?	24	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
25	A I have I have not.	25	Q Do you think that notifying an insurance
	101		103
1	Q When you filed the lawsuit, did you notify	1	company of a potential claim that the insured was
2	anybody that your father might have been poisoned?	2	murdered is appropriate in your experience as an
3	A Which lawsuit?	3	insurance agent?
4	Q The 1995 trust.	4	MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation, form.
5	A I did not.	5	You can try to answer.
6	Q When you became trustee Robert Spallina	6	A I think you're asking me, if I knew that
7	filed that original claim. When you became trustee, who	7	somebody was murdered would I notify an insurance
8	did you notify? Did you send out anything to the	8	company if I knew that somebody was murdered.
9	beneficiaries?	9	Q If you thought somebody was murdered.
10	A When I became the trustee of	10	A Would I notify an insurance company if I had
11	Q The successor trustee of this lost trust that	11	reason to be involved in that situation, I think what
12	doesn't exist legally.	12	you're asking me is, if I had that knowledge, I would
13	A Did I send anything to anybody?	13	notify an insurance company.
14	Q Yeah.	14	Q When you filed this lawsuit, you filed a
15	MR. SIMON: Objection as to form.	15	breach of contract lawsuit, correct?
16	Q Did you contact the beneficiaries by sending	16	A I'm not sure.
17	them proper notice that you were trustee?	17	Q Well, you're the plaintiff. You filed the
18	MR. SIMON: Objection as to form.	18	lawsuit
19	A I think all the beneficiaries were in	19	MR. SIMON: Show him the Complaint. That's
20	discussions, but I didn't.	20	what it's for.
21	Q Are you familiar with the laws regarding	21	Q So you're not sure
22	successor trustees?	22	MR. SIMON: Show him the Complaint, Mr.
23	MR. SIMON: Objection; vague, asking for legal	23	Bernstein.
		1	
24	conclusions.	24	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's a good enough
24 25	conclusions. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.	24 25	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's a good enough answer.
1			

1	Q What type of lawsuit did you file with the	1	Q Well, were you in charge of Simon's personal
2	federal court?	2	property to remove documents off the estate when he
3	MR. SIMON: Objection. Show him the	3	died?
4	Complaint, please.	4	MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance.
5	Q I'm just asking based on your knowledge.	5	A I don't understand the question.
6	A And I'm and I'm not a lawyer, and I don't	6	Q Well, we have missing documents, Ted
7	have the document, and the type of lawsuit that was	7	A Yes.
8	filed, without looking at something, I can't tell you.	8	Q as you're aware, estate documents, trusts.
9	Q So you're the trustee of this trust and you	9	Rachel came with
10	filed as a plaintiff a lawsuit and you don't know what	10	How many documents did she give you that
11	kind of lawsuit?	11	night?
12	MR. SIMON: Objection; speculation,	12	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. That's not
13	argumentative. We've asked you several times to	13	even
14	give him the Complaint which would give you the	14	Q Approximately how many documents did she bring
15	answer you're looking for, Mr. Bernstein, so please	15	to you that were estate planning documents?
16	continue.	16	A A couple.
17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm just asking for his	17	Q And then you have no idea where you have those
18	knowledge.	18	documents?
19	MR. SIMON: I'm just asking you to continue.	19	A No. At this time, I don't.
20	We'll just stop. We can just stop.	20	Q In those documents, you weren't aware of any
21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm just asking for his	21	documents that were supposed to be tendered back to the
22	knowledge.	22	estate?
23	MR. SIMON: Then go ahead.	23	MR. SIMON: Objection.
24	Q So, based on your knowledge, you are claiming	24	Q You removed property from the estate or had
25	that you have no idea how you filed this lawsuit?	25	someone remove it on your behalf. Did you have it
	105		107
_	an omina objective modele estable	1	and and the other category.
1	MR. SIMON: Objection. That's not what	1	returned to the estate?
2	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a	2	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him
2	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question.	2	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions.
2 3 4	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got	2 3 4	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn
2 3 4 5	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party?	2 3 4 5	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them?
2 3 4 5 6	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now where they are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now where they are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. MR. STAMOS: Yeah, we have that. I think we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now where they are. Q Were you in custody of Simon's personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. MR. STAMOS: Yeah, we have that. I think we have that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now where they are. Q Were you in custody of Simon's personal property and possessions after he died?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. MR. STAMOS: Yeah, we have that. I think we have that. MR. ROSE: I'm just trying to be helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he's you're testifying for him. Ask him a question. Q Did you deliver the documents that you got from Rachel Walker at the hospital to any party? A Other than the hospital? Q Yeah. A Deliver them? I don't recall, Eliot. Q Where are those documents? A I don't recall that either. Q Well, Rachel Walker, you sent her to get documents from the home of Simon after he died, correct? A I believe I did. Q And they were estate documents, correct? A I think I understand what you're asking me, and, yes, they were they were documents that were part of his estate planning. Q And I'm asking you if you know where they are. A I think I answered. I don't recall right now where they are. Q Were you in custody of Simon's personal property and possessions after he died? MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Didn't let him answer. Compound questions. Q Were you requested by any parties to turn those documents over to them? A I don't believe so. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to submit this as an exhibit. Can we get a copy of that real quick. (Recess taken.) (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) MR. STAMOS: Can you describe that for us? We don't have a copy. Q (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) Ted, could you describe that document. MR. ROSE: (Indicating.) MR. STAMOS: Is that the police report document? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. MR. STAMOS: Yeah, we have that. I think we have that. MR. ROSE: I'm just trying to be helpful. MR. STAMOS: Thank you.

```
1
               MR. ROSE: This one says January 31, '13.
                                                                               Did you contact a private company regarding
2
               MR. STAMOS: Oh.
                                                                 2
                                                                     doing an autopsy?
                                                                               I believe that I did.
3
              MR. ROSE: The report entry though is --
                                                                 3
          starts with the words "On 9/13/12 at 12:11 hours."
                                                                 4
                                                                               Oh, now you did, okay.
4
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Objection; move to strike,
 5
              MR. STAMOS: Oh, okay. We don't have that
                                                                 5
6
         one. All right.
                                                                 6
                                                                          argumentative.
7
                                                                 7
                                                                               Did you contact the Palm Beach County Medical
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
8
               (By Mr. Eliot Bernstein) You were talking to
                                                                 8
                                                                     Examiner's Office about having an autopsy?
9
     the sheriff's department on this day, correct?
                                                                 9
                                                                               I can't recall.
10
                                                                               Well, read the next line. Did you tell a
               Yes, I was.
                                                                10
11
              And that's the day your father died, right?
                                                                11
                                                                     sheriff's deputy that?
         Q
12
                                                                12
                                                                               which line are you asking me to read?
13
               Did you advise the sheriff's department that
                                                                13
                                                                               The one that is -- I think it's like 14. Hold
    your father might have been overdosed or the likes by
14
                                                                14
                                                                     on.
15
    his girlfriend?
                                                                15
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Eliot, I'm going to give you two
16
         Δ
               No.
                                                                16
                                                                          more questions, and then we're going to do my
17
               No?
                                                                17
                                                                          questions, and then I'm going to stop.
         Q
18
                                                                18
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've got a few more
         Α
               NO.
19
              Okay. Were you advised by anybody that your
                                                                19
                                                                          questions.
20
     father could have been overdosed?
                                                                20
                                                                               MR. SIMON: You've got two.
21
               Yes.
                                                                21
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And these are very
22
              That's good. So now you're remembering that
                                                                22
                                                                          serious questions, so please. This could have --
23
    you did talk to the sheriff's department that day?
                                                                23
                                                                          you know, potential murder of my father. I know
24
               MR. SIMON: Objection; move to strike,
                                                                24
                                                                          you're concerned because my father spoonfed you his
                                                                25
                                                                          whole life.
25
         argumentative.
                                                          109
                                                                                                                          111
               Did you voice concerns to Delray Hospital that
                                                                               MR. SIMON: Nobody from the insurance
1
                                                                 1
2
    your father might have been overdosed or taken too much
                                                                 2
                                                                          department --
3
     medication?
                                                                 3
                                                                              Ted, on Line 15 --
              MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered.
4
                                                                 4
                                                                               MR. SIMON: We're done now.
              Okay. Can you read in the 11th line.
                                                                 5
                                                                               -- Ted contacted -- it starts with "Ted
5
         Q
              What is the first word?
                                                                     contacted." Could you read that into the record,
6
                                                                 6
              It will be at the end of that sentence. "He,"
                                                                7
                                                                     please.
7
8
     being you, Ted, "said," can you read that?
                                                                 8
                                                                               MR. SIMON: You can read that.
9
               "He said he voiced his concerns to the doctors
                                                                 9
                                                                               Three lines up from the bottom of the first
                                                                          Q
    at Delray Community Hospital but they advised there did
                                                                10
10
                                                                     paragraph.
    not appear to be any suspicious circumstances
                                                                11
                                                                               "Ted contacted both the private company and
11
12
    surrounding Simon's death and they would not be
                                                                12
                                                                     the Palm Beach County Medical Examiner's Office
13
     conducting an autopsy."
                                                                13
                                                                     regarding having an autopsy conducted. Both advised he
14
               Can you keep reading the next sentence,
                                                                14
                                                                     should contact the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office."
15
    please.
                                                                15
                                                                               Did you contact the Palm Beach County
                                                                     Sheriff's Office?
16
               "Ted contacted both a private company and the
                                                                16
     Palm Beach County Medical Examiner's Office regarding
                                                                               I don't remember.
17
                                                                17
     having an autopsy conducted."
18
                                                                18
                                                                               MR. SIMON: We're done.
19
              would you like to change your prior statement?
                                                                               You don't recall that you're --
                                                                19
20
               MR. SIMON: Objection; argumentative, form.
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not done. I have
                                                                20
21
               Does that say you contacted the private
                                                                21
                                                                          questions.
                                                                22
22
     autopsy firm?
                                                                               MR. SIMON: You're done. We agreed to five to
23
              MR. SIMON: Objection.
                                                                23
                                                                          eight. I'm going to ask him two questions and then
              It says, "Regarding."
                                                                24
                                                                          we're out of here.
24
25
               MR. SIMON: Document says what it says.
                                                                25
                                                                               MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Then you're out of time.
                                                          110
                                                                                                                          112
```

made it, you know, emphatically clear, and I knew it 1 MR. SIMON: Come on. 2 from the reinstatement process, and I also just knew it 2 Okav. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 3 from his medical history, that there was really little chance or no chance of getting another life insurance (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the 4 policy on his life. So I thought it might be easy to 5 room.) 6 MR. ROSE: We're temporarily off the record. use existing life insurance and just change the 6 7 beneficiary portion of the policy to take care of the (Recess taken.) 7 8 MR. SIMON: This is Adam Simon. I just have needs that we would have needed in the buy/sell 9 9 agreement discussions, but he was unwilling to do that. two or three questions. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well -- so you're I guess he was unwilling to do that because he felt it 10 11 interrupting my line of questioning? I was 11 was part of his overall plan to have those life 12 questioning. So we should take this up with the insurance policies, you know, do other things to be left 12 13 judge to give me more time? 13 obviously for his children through the trust. 14 MR. SIMON: Please do. 14 MR. SIMON: I have nothing further. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, we will. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to ask you a 16 MR. SIMON: Please do. Please. Please do. 16 question on that. 17 Yeah, the judge has been so --17 RECROSS EXAMINATION 18 (Cross-talking. Interruption by the 18 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 19 reporter.) 19 You mentioned the policy. You're the trustee 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your father would be of this lost trust. Do you have possession of the 20 21 ashamed. 21 policy? 22 MR. SIMON: All right. You guys ready? 22 I think I have a copy of the policy. 23 MR. STAMOS: We're ready. 23 A fully executed life insurance policy? 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION 24 MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. 25 25 BY MR. SIMON: Have you produced that policy to the court? 113 115 Ted, we talked about the 2000 insurance trust, MR. SIMON: Objection; relevance. The 1 Q 1 2 correct? 2 policy's been paid out by the carrier. 3 3 The policy, do you have a copy of the actual Δ Yes. 4 Have you seen any documents produced by anyone 4 policy from the carrier? that assigned the ownership of the Capital Bankers 5 A copy of the policy? I think so. 5 policy to the 2000 trust? 6 6 Fully executed? 7 No, I haven't. It's my understanding that MR. SIMON: Objection. 7 8 that -- that trust never received any assets, didn't 8 I don't know what that means. receive the insurance policy, was never named as a 9 A policy that has all the pages to it that's a 9 10 beneficiary. 10 complete policy, that's got the beneficiaries, the death Never named as a beneficiary or an owner, 11 benefits, all that listed out. A copy of the policy. 11 Q 12 correct? 12 MR. SIMON: Objection; form --13 Or an owner. 13 Do you have possession of that? 14 Around the time of the reinstatement of the 14 MR. SIMON: Objection; form. Objection; 15 policy that you discussed, did you have any 15 foundation. 16 conversations with your father regarding the beneficiary 16 Do you have the policy? of the policy and the purpose of the policy? MR. SIMON: Objection, relevance. 17 17 I did. I believe I have a copy of what the insurance 18 Α 18 19 And can you describe that conversation. company sent during this time of reinstatement. I 19 20 So we were having conversations at that time believe I have a copy of the insurance policy. Whether 20 about a buy/sell agreement, you know, buying each other 21 executed, I -- I don't know what they deem executed. 21 22 out of the business as he was winding things down in his 22 You have a copy of the insurance policy, okay. 23 career, and I wanted a life insurance policy because we 23 Have you given that in your production? 24 24 were partners in that business and I, you know, was MR. SIMON: Objection; misstated his answer. hoping that we would get a life insurance policy, but he 25 I asked you did you put it in production. You 25 114 116

which is probably inaccurate. 1 haven't answered. 1 2 MR. SIMON: He said he saw it in production. 2 I'm asking your understanding. 3 He said what was produced. 3 MR. SIMON: Relevance. His understanding is No. I asked you, did you put your copy of the 4 not going to determine that. 4 It's my understanding that if the trust is 5 policy in production. You were supposed to --5 6 MR. SIMON: No, you didn't. 6 determined not to be the beneficiary of the insurance 7 policy, that I will not receive whatever it was I was -- put all your documents. 7 8 MR. SIMON: That's not what you said. That's supposed to receive. That's my -- what I understand. 9 not what he said. He said he found the documents 9 Anything else, I don't -- I don't know. 10 through production. 10 Just one last -- but the corollary of that is 11 Did you put the policy in with your production 11 your notion that if the court does recognize the trust Q 12 as being the beneficiary, you'll receive something; documents? 12 13 Α 13 you're just not sure what it is? I'm not sure. 14 You were asked by the court to produce 14 That's correct. 15 documents. Did you produce all your documents? 15 MR. STAMOS: Okay. Thanks. That's all I 16 I don't know if I was asked by a court to 16 have. 17 17 produce documents, but... MR. SIMON: I just have one more. 18 Okay. We had to do a Rule 26 document 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION 19 request. You're the plaintiff. You produced documents. 19 BY MR. SIMON: 20 20 MR. SIMON: I'm going to object to this line Do you understand that there is a third 21 of questioning. He has answered about the policy. 21 possibility, that even if the trust is not acknowledged, 22 He believes he had a copy. He's not sure if --22 it may not go to the estate? It could possibly be 23 You believe you had a copy --23 decided to go somewhere else by the judge? Do you 24 (Cross-talking. Interruption by the 24 understand that? 25 25 reporter.) I do understand that. 117 119 Did you put the copy of the policy you claim MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I have one last 1 1 2 to have with your production to the court when you 2 question. 3 produced? 3 MR. STAMOS: Let me ask -- let me follow that 4 I'm not sure. 4 un. MR. SIMON: Jim, we're ten minutes over the 5 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION agreed time. Do you have anything further? 6 6 BY MR. STAMOS: 7 MR. STAMOS: I just have one additional 7 Where do you understand to be the third 8 question, if you don't mind. 8 possibility as the destination for the proceeds of the 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 policy? 10 BY MR. STAMOS: 10 So there's, you know, all kinds of You described this conversation you had with 11 possibilities of where insurance proceeds can go when 11 your father a moment ago about the trust, how it related 12 they're up for grabs like that and --12 13 to the buy/sell and so forth. Do you recall that 13 MR. SIMON: And I'm going to object, because 14 question and answer you just gave? 14 this is all legal conclusion for the judge to 15 Yes, I do. 15 decide. 16 And apropos of that conversation and any 16 MR. STAMOS: I'm just following up your other -- apropos of that conversation, you understand 17 question. You asked him was there a third 17 that if the court recognizes the '95 trust as being the possibility; he said yes. I'm just trying to find 18 18 appropriate beneficiary for the policy, that you will 19 out what third possibility he understands that 19 20 receive 20 percent of the proceeds, and that if the 20 there is. 21 court doesn't recognize the '98 [sic] trust as the 21 MR. SIMON: I said third possibility that the 22 beneficiary of the insurance policy in question, you 22 judge would determine. That was my question. 23 will receive none of the proceeds of that policy, 23 MR. STAMOS: Yeah. Well, Adam, I'm just 24 24 asking what he understands. If he has no correct? 25 25 understanding, he can tell me that and we can go MR. SIMON: Objection; it's a legal conclusion

118

			I		
1	home.	1	ERRATA-SIGNATURE PAGE		
2	A I understand that there's infinite	2	SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE TRUST VS. HERITAGE UNION 2 LIFE INSURANCE		
3	possibilities of where it could go in the event that a	3	Case No. 13 CV 3643 DEPOSITION TAKEN MAY 6, 2015		
4	judge makes a ruling on where they go.	4 Page Line:			
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I have one last	5	Page Line: Now Reads:		
	•	6	Should Read:		
6	question.	6 7	Reason for Change: Page Line:		
7	RECROSS EXAMINATION	8	Now Reads:Should Read:		
8	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:		Reason for Change:		
9	Q Ted, what's the primary beneficiary on the	9	Page Line:		
10	policy that you possess?	10	Now Reads:Should Read:		
11	A The primary beneficiary, if I recall, was a	11	Reason for Change:		
12	was a I think it was a voluntary employee benefit	12	Page: Now Reads::		
13	plan.	13	Should Read:		
14	Q Would that happen to be LaSalle National	14	Reason for Change:		
15	Trust?	15	Page Line: Now Reads:		
16	A Oh, boy, I I don't know.		Should Read:		
	-	16 17	Reason for Change: Page Line:		
17	Q You don't know who the primary beneficiary on		Now Reads:		
18	the policy that you're the trustee for is?	18	Should Read:Reason for Change:		
19	MR. SIMON: Objection; asked and answered,	19	Page Line:		
20	argumentative.	20	Now Reads:		
21	We're done. Let's go.	21	Should Read: Reason for Change:		
22	Q One more question.	22			
23	MR. SIMON: No. We're done.	23	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing transcript and that the facts stated		
24	Q Who's the contingent beneficiary named on it?	24	in it are true.		
25	Are you aware your father of his heavy				
	121	25	Date TED BERNSTEIN 123		
1	metal poison test, Ted? Ted?	1			
l	illetat porson test, rea: rea:				
2	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the	2	CERTIFICATE OF OATH		
	•	2	CERTIFICATE OF OATH		
2	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so	2 3 4			
2 3 4	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past	2	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
2 3 4 5	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in	2 3 4 5	STATE OF FLORIDA))		
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right?	2 3 4 5	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past.	2 3 4 5	STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF FLORIDA)) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSE: I think Adam's terminated the deposition, so MR. SIMON: Yeah. We're way past MR. ROSE: You have no further questions in Chicago, right? MR. SIMON: Way past. MR. STAMOS: No, we're all set. MR. ROSE: Have a good night, guys. (Mr. Simon and Mr. Ted Bernstein exit the room.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF FLORIDA) (COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Lisa Gropper, Registered Professional Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that TED BERNSTEIN personally appeared before me on the 6th day of May, 2015 and was duly sworn. WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL this 19th day of May, 2015. LISA GROPPER, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission No.: EE136111		



```
2
                     CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3
     STATE OF FLORIDA
4
     COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5
6
         I, LISA GROPPER, Registered Professional Reporter,
7
     Florida Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
8
     was authorized to and did stenographically report the
     deposition of TED BERNSTEIN; that a review of the
10
    transcript was requested; and that the foregoing
    transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.
11
12
         I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13
    employee, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a
     relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
14
15
    counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially
16
     interested in the action.
17
         Dated this 19th day of May, 2015.
18
19
                           Lisa Gropper, R.P.R., F.P.R.
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                          126
1
                McCorkle Litigation Services, Inc.
                200 N. LaSalle Street - Suite 2900
                     Chicago, Illinois 60601
                          (312) 263-0052
    May 19, 2015
5
     The Simon Law Firm
    303 East Wacker Drive
     Suite 2725
    Chicago, Illinois 60601
     ATTN: Adam M. Simon, Esq.
          SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE TRUST VS. HERITAGE
9
           UNION LIFE INSURANCE
10
11
    Dear Mr. Simon,
          Enclosed please find the deposition transcript of
     TED BERNSTEIN in the above-captioned case taken on
13
    May 6, 2015.
         Please have Mr. Bernstein read your transcript copy
    and sign the attached errata sheet. Make a copy of the
14
     errata sheet to attach to your copy of the transcript,
15
    and then please forward the original errata sheet back
     to our office.
16
         Please make arrangements to have this accomplished
     as soon as possible. The failure to read and sign the
17
    deposition could be constituted as a waiver if not
     accomplished within a reasonable period of time.
18
         Your attention to this matter is appreciated.
19
    Sincerely,
20
21
     Lisa Gropper, RPR, FPR
23
24
                                                          127
```



	⊤.		40	66:22	addressees
Exhibits	Bernstein Exhibit	2	30:8,10,11	9/13/12	65:6
EXIIDITS	5		41	109:4	addressing
Т.	_57:8,9,10,11	2	30:18,24 31:3	90	23:22 33:24 47:1
Bernstein Exhibit	T.	34:10 47:4 50:19,21 79:13	42 31:9	27:2 92	advice 64:21 87:4
1 33:10,11,13 34:9	Bernstein Exhibit 8	20	43	50:20	advise
40:21 48:22	58:1,3	12:12 15:13 22:3,8,9	31:9	95	65:8 109:13
T.	Т.	78:13 118:20 2000	44 31:9	14:11,15,20 15:16, 25 18:2 24:17 35:8	advised 74:7 78:25 109:19
Bernstein Exhibit	Bernstein Exhibit	37:6,13,16,21 38:16	4409	37:1 42:10,16,18	110:10 112:13
60:23,24	9 59:23,24,25	40:24 42:3,6 47:2,	52:17	44:21 58:21 77:2	affairs
T.	T.	18,25 48:5,9,17,24 49:6,17,18,24 50:1	4490	80:22 81:17 87:2,20 93:16 94:5,8 118:18	76:20 affidavit
Bernstein Exhibit	Bernstein Exhibit	51:13 52:11,19 53:1,	52:3 4519	97	11:13,21 12:7 25:25
11 62:9,11 65:4	A	9 56:13 77:5 81:24	55:3	25:4	26:4 29:5 33:8
T.	82:2 108:11	84:19 87:2,16,17 114:1,6	47	98 27:16 118:21	affirm
Bernstein Exhibit	1	2004	18:23 60:25 48	9th	6:1 agency
14	<u> </u>	27:6	19:17	61:2	97:13
66:8,9 T.	22:40 44 42 24:0	2008 78:13 82:19	4966		agent
Bernstein Exhibit	33:10,11,13 34:9 36:9,11 40:21 48:22	2012	33:14	Α	104:3 agree
15	83:13 84:7	10:9 34:2 51:16,20,		a.m.	9:12 40:21 54:24
67:2,4	14:22 22:20 60:22	23 55:9 78:11 88:11, 21 89:11	5	68:5	63:1 73:16 81:10
T.	14:22 32:20 60:23, 24	2013	5	absence	83:14 84:24,25 85:17 88:17
Bernstein Exhibit 16	11	27:7 56:16 61:6	32:22 57:8,9,11 51	36:22 absolute	agreed
69:16,17,23,24	16:5 62:9,11 65:4	62:15 67:17 68:2,4	60:1,15	84:14	112:22 118:6
Т.	108:24 11th	76:16 2014	52	Absolutely	agreement 14:9 53:25 54:17
Bernstein Exhibit	110:5	73:7 108:24	60:2,4	65:1 absorbed	56:7 114:21 115:9
17 71:18,19,20	12	21	59 32:3	80:8	agrees
T.	22:6,13 69:23 12:11	13:16,18,20,25 14:6 15:10,12 16:20,23	02.0	accessed	84:11
Bernstein Exhibit	109:4	18:15,18 21:19,22	6	26:24 27:7	ahead 85:24 86:2,16 92:17
18	13	25:8,13,16 26:10	6	accident 7:7	101:17 105:23
75:2,3 76:12 T.	10:9 22:3,8,9 62:14	27:14 29:7,8 51:23 55:9 56:19 60:10	12:12 55:8 57:21	account	Alan
Bernstein Exhibit	109:1 13th	61:18 77:1 81:8	6/21/95	62:20	63:20 allowing
19	97:8	100:3,5,6,8,9,11	29:12	accurate 65:24	86:8
11:17,18 19:17 29:8	14	21st 26:3	65 57:11	accurately	amended
T. Bernstein Exhibit	66:8,9 111:13	22	6508	80:20 84:25	76:1
2	62:17	13:16,18 15:13,15	67:5	achieve 37:8 49:1 53:24	amendment 74:24
50:19,21	15	16:20,23 18:15,19 21:19,22 25:9 27:21,	6510 67:24	achieved	amount
T.	6:16 34:1 67:2,4 68:2,4 112:3	24 51:23 55:9 56:16,	6512	56:7	9:13 89:3
Bernstein Exhibit	15th	20 60:10 61:19 77:2	67:5	acknowledged	amounts 97:21
18:15 25:13 81:8	33:17 34:10,14	100:3,5,6,8,9,12 23	6579 66:22	119:21 acknowledgment	analysis
100:3	16	77:12,13 81:22 82:4	67	33:3	60:5,17
T.	6:16 67:17 69:16,17, 24	83:6	55:20,22,24	act	and/or 31:22 34:8
Bernstein Exhibit 22	17	78:6,7,8,10 88:6	69	16:13 62:21 acting	annexed
27:21,24	6:16 33:3 71:18,19, 20	25	55:17	42:11 99:1	84:10
Т.	18	12:13 78:6,8,10,13	7	action	answers 25:24
Bernstein Exhibit	75:2,3 76:12	88:11 26		12:18 active	anymore
23 77:12,13 81:22 83:6	19 11:17,18 18:22	90:20,21,22 117:18	7 30:9 57:25	8:1	73:20
T.	11:17,18 18:22 19:17 29:8 51:15,20,	2A	70	activity	Anything's
Bernstein Exhibit	23	85:5	32:19	19:2 76:22 acts	69:12 apparently
70.6 00.5 6	1980		7:07	84:13	44:8
78:6 88:5,6 T.	22:21 1 992	3	68:5	actual	appeared
Bernstein Exhibit	32:22 33:3,5	3	8	116:3	75:8 appears
25	1995	27:6 54:6,10,13 30		Adam 25:22 41:20 57:4	51:4 65:7 67:8
т.	10:20 13:14 16:25 22:15,22,25 23:2,9,	27:6 76:16	8 58:1,3 61:6	71:7 103:1 113:8	application
Bernstein Exhibit	12,20,25 24:4 25:17	31	88	120:23	32:5,15 35:13,18 41:3 42:9,13 47:25
26	26:10 52:21 55:5	109:1 3893	22:2,3,4,15 24:21	Adam's 122:2	applied
_90:20,21,22	56:11 59:7 62:23 72:11 80:3 86:6,14,	82:6	880 6:10	add	42:15 87:20
T.	20 99:17,25 102:4	3rd	8:15	41:15	appointed
Bernstein Exhibit 3	1995-ish	27:4	122:12	additional	32:25 appointment
54:5,6,13	22:21 1 998			address	14:23
Т.	30:14	4	9	11:12 47:13,15	approach
Bernstein Exhibit	19th	4	9	addressed 12:9 56:3	81:16 appropriateness
4 55:13,14,15	33:18 36:7 53:23	55:14,15	32:4 59:24,25 60:1	12.9 30.3	92:12,14
İ	I	I	İ	İ	İ



approving autopsy benefits circulate concluded 110:13,18,22 111:2, 99:18 116:11 54:17 122:12 С 8 112:13 circumstance Approximately Beniamin conclusion 47:18 99:20 103:10. 6.16 107.14 aware 31.24 calculate 10:3 10:12,18,23 16:23 18 118:25 120:14 apropos **Berkeley** circumstances 43:22 conclusions 118:16.17 19:18 20:3,4,24 6:10 74:12 91:14 110:11 call 31:1.2 32:25 35:17. argue Bernstein 14:11 62:19 90:3,8, claim 102:24 23 36:1,4 37:16 31:21 35:7 36:20 conditions 64:14 6:8,9 8:8,18 9:5 9,10,11 98:9,10,18, argumentative 42:15 77:1,4 79:11 11:20 12:16,21 21:9 37:13,21 79:2 94:4, 84:17 86:4,5,10,13,18 22:16 23:3,7 27:17 12 101:1,3,10 102:7 condominium 105:13 109:25 called 88:19 89:5,6,9,10, 104:1 118:1 110:20 111:6 121:20 29:11 32:6 38:10 11 98:15 10.2 21,24 94:23 98:11, 39.5 12 47.7 8 70.17 claimed arose calls conducted 17,20,22 99:9,11 45:1 75:18 72:23 75:1 83:5 99:19 103:9 98:21 19:1 25:6 32:5 47:6, 101:1 107:8,20 article 84:19 88:9 94:19.22 claiming 8 48:7 110:18 candice 121:25 98:18 99:25 105:24 99:9.15 100:21 112.13 16:5 21.9 101:9,16 102:25 conducting **ASAP** claims candidates 103:21,24 104:23,24 30:20 31:4 96:6 52:21 R 110:13 7:13 105:15,17,21 108:7, ashamed capacities 99.11 confer 14,19 109:8 111:18, back clarify 40:2,8 88:2 113:21 44:14 45:16,18 47:9 21 112:20,25 113:3, 15:12 18:21 21:8.10. 106:24 conference 72:21 aspects 4,10,15,20 115:15, 15 22:25 25:1 27:20. clear 90:15 74:6 capacity 18 120:1 121:5,8 21 40:12 14 16 19 20:18 66:16,19 8:13 39:18 43:10 confidential assert 122:10 43:25 44:1 50:22 115:1 46:16,18 63:11 45:21,24 72:16,19 64:21 74:8 Bernstein's 51:3.9.16 60:4 65:20 client asserted 73:1,6 confirmation 47:7 63:21 69:24 74:2 75:6 45:25 31:2.12 64:3.23.24 Capital 68:10 107:21 bet 114:5 clients conflict asserting backdating 70:19 77:17 8:25 10:5 45:1,16 39:9 63:22,24 carbon billing 75:9,13,14 comment assertion 58:5 72:9 bad 76:14 39:24 40:6,13 12:20 30:24 70:9 care conflicts 61.7 bit 115:7 commented 71:22 asset 52:17 57:23 69:5 **Bankers** confuse 86:21 career 51:12 blanks 114:5 common 114.23 14:12 assets based confused carrier 32:12 9:13 90:17 114:8 24:21 29:15 30:5 blurry 14:14 25:15 40:1,3 37:5 48:23 49:23 communal assigned 62:22 73:13 87:7 97.9 50:3,4 116:2,4 17:3 connected 114:5 90:9 105:5,24 Boca communicate carved 69:20 assignees bases 6:10,12 37:6 48:25 49:24 64:16 consensus 84.14 14:19 boilerplate 52:20 communication 62:23 assignments basically 58:17,23 59:10 63:4,9,17,18 64:17, 84:12 case consequence 58:21 59:8 bottom 8:21 9:2,13,19,21 19 74:8 93:15 assigns basis 30:9 55:3,16 56:10 10:25 28:8 33:1 communications considered 83:15 12:20,22,25 29:18 57:12 60:25 61:5 72:17 85:15 34:14 41:7,19 46:25 28:7 64:1 103:14,15 assisted 38:22 39:9,11 41:17 62:13 66:21 70:9 caused 47:5 63:23,25 64:11 constitute 80:22 49:10 64:21,22 76:20 112:9 94:11,13 73:11,14 81:15 87:7, Association 16:24 80.25 box 13.15 cease consulted 31:20 **Bates** 26:19,21 Community 73:5 39:10,15,17 assume 33:13 boy ceasing 110:10 contact 29:13 73:16 Beach 121:16 companies 73:23 80:2 95:22,25 assumed 96:18,19 110:17 breach 6:24 94:15 central 102:16 111:1,7 53:8,15,17,18 68:25 111:7 112:12,14,15 104:15 company 69:9 112:14 15 assuming began break 29:23 32:9,17 35:7, certify contacted 69:1 22:23,25 33:24 11:9 21:12 47:15 13 37:13,22 41:3 73:21 103:21 98:15 110:16,21 assumption begin 57:3 68:8,23 69:2 42:10.13.16 47:20 cetera 112:5,6,11 66:19 33:10 81:18 bring 48.1 65.9 10 19 16:10 23:12 contemplate beginning attachment 95:11,17 107:14 67:21 68:20.22 chain 92:20 17:5 33:25 62:12 66:21 brother 69:21 71:10 94:6 41:10 68:18 contingent attempt 68:19 62:13 101:7.13.19 104:1.8. chance 30:2 121:24 53:25 begins brother-in-law 10.13 110:16 111:1 70:16 115:4 continue attempted 33:17 58:11 25.6 112:11 116:19 16:13 55:2 64:4,23 change 54:17 90:4 behalf brought company's 71:4 76:8 86:11 90:5 67:20 72:18,21,25 attention 32:23 67:21 107:25 87:18 95:18 32:13 110:19 115:6 83:18,20 103:20 87:19 belief Brown Complaint 105:16,19 changed attornev 14:19 49:10 104:19,22 105:4,14 31:24 continuing 71:6 38:3,5,6,8 39:11 believes **BT10** complete 71:22 72:9 charge 43:2,6,9,10 44:9,14, 117:22 14.22 76:2 116:10 contract 107:1 19,20,22,24 45:5,25 beneficiaries **BT20** Compound 104:15 check 58:15 63:6 72:25 62:24 89:14 91:21 16:3 108:3 conversation 81:19 95:15 73:5 79:18 98:10 101:12 102:9,16,19 bunch computer attorney's Chicago 16:19 17:8.21.25 116:10 13:22 26:1 27:4,8,14 11:7 18:12,14 23:6,19,24 8:22 9:2,13,19,21 73:17 beneficiary 80:23 81:5,7,18 business 24:13,16,20 34:4 10:18,25 19:20,24 attorney-client 10:25 29:24,25 30:2 6:15,17,19 7:19 Concepts 22:17,19 28:14,21 37:11,19 38:4,15,21 38:2 44:5 45:12 47:19 55:6 65:12,17, 77:15 79:14 114:22, 6:14,18 29:2 71:11 80:18 45:22,23 47:23 48:3, 63:9.16 64:7 22 68:9,11,23 84:12 conceptually 15 50:1.6 61:20.25 98:25 122:6 attorneys 88:20 89:7,10,13 buy/sell 45:20 children 81:14,20 114:19 73:24 74:3 79:5 80:2 114:10,11,16 115:7 114:21 115:8 118:13 54:1 56:12 88:14.19 concern 118:11,16,17 118:19.22 119:6.12 August buying 76:22 conversations 89.4 23 91.23 92.13 121:9,11,17,24 76:16 114.21 concerned 17:9 20:5,6 24:4 93:21,24 115:13 authentic benefit 63:17 75:20 111:24 28:2 31:23 32:1,8 Christine 95:3 101:10 103:7, 75:17 36:5 41:6,23 42:2 concerns 58:6 63:5 authority 14 121:12 44:2.4 46:1.5.7.24 110:1,9 94:7 49:12 50:3,4 73:22



74:13 87:11 94:15 creating deemed disinheritance engaged 114:16,20 81:4 93:5 92:7 6:17 Ε conveying creation defendant dispute entered 19:25 71:1 89:16 68:15 23:11 75:13,24 30:19 31:4,7,20 63.2 earlier convoluted CROSSdefine disrespectful 17:5 24:14 42:14 enters 14:4 **EXAMINATION** 10:15 39:20 51:24 66:1 21:9 disseminated copied deliver earliest entire 94:21 113:24 36:8,17 52:4 54:8,16 48:7 85:22 cross-talking 106:4,8 87:9 33:22 56:1 58:5 66:12 67:7 Delray dissolution Early entities 46:14 113:18 117:24 110:1,10 copies curious 30.15 73:7 8.14 60:18 61:2,7 63:5 department dissolved entitled 46:13 ease copy custody 109:9,13,23 112:2 30:14 11:10 38:23 88:20 13:14 14:8 15:15 depends distinction entity eastern 106:21 24 22:11 27:17 51:10, 6:13,23 customers 41:21 93:13 69:8 11 58:16 59:8 61:12 deposition distribution 77:17 easy entry 79:24 80:3 108:8,13 cut 27:2 122:3.12 42:16 88:15,21 103:2 115:5 109:3 115:22 116:3,5,11, divergence editorial 48:10 53:3,9 57:22 deputy equally 18,20,22 117:4,22, 111:11 8:24 40:13 90:18 23 118:1 describe divergent educated **Equifax** D corollary 18:25 75:15 108:12, 45:1 56:12 30:1 119:10 **DNRS** 15 114:19 effect **Esquire** dad coroner describing 95:20 61:21 89:17 30:19 31:3 25:1 55:6 61:8 95:22 96:13 doc effort establish 97:10.14 101:4 coroner's designation 51:17 34:1 54:21 38:21,22 41:19 database 96:12.21 99:10 docs efforts 68:9.23 84:23 93:14 25:21 27:5 correct established destination 66:17 80:1,4 date 8:22 9:11,15 10:9, 120:8 doctors 39:3 42:14 50:12 10:8 19:7 25:18 Eliot 21,22 12:3,5 13:24 detail 54:23 58:4,5 60:17 27:13 53:23 56:19 110:9 estate 15:3,10,11 16:1 17:3 61:1 62:13 63:1 65:5 8:8,13,14 9:1,5,14, 61:13 67:20 72:19 7:1 document 18:20 20:10 24:8,9, determine 13:11.23 14:25 15:3. 83:5 94:19,22 99:9, 22,25 36:22 76:23 76:18 97:7 11 25:9 28:8 30:6 119:4 120:22 79:10,14 91:20 4.5.25 21:23 25:18 15 100:21 101:9.16 dated 33:5 36:17 41:4,5,8, 92:23,24 93:6,11 102:25 103:21 24 23:12 29:11 33:16 determined 26:11.16 27:14.20 13 45:16 48:13,14 103:8.13 106:14.17 50:17 51:15,20 30:5 32:13 48:17 104:24 105:17,21 119:6 50:14,17,23 51:6,22, 107.2 8 15 22 24 56:16 78:10,13 development 49:6 51:11 52:5.7.9. 106:8 108:7.14.19 25 52:2 11 54:3 15 108:1 119:22 11,19,20,24 53:6 109:8 111:15,18,21 88:11 28:12.17 21.24 55:4.11.18.25 55:5 56:13 59:15,16 112:20 25 113:3 10 estates dates died 56:3.17.18.19.20.23 60:6 61:14 66:10,22, 15,20 115:15,18 79:9 19:9 20:11 10:11 18:19 23:24 57:1 58:7,9 60:8,9, 24 67:5 69:19 72:1 120:1 121:5,8 event David 66:4 79:1 89:8.9 12.14.20 62:14 63:6 74:24 75:6,8,13,23, Eliot's 50:12 65:3 69:13 13:2 14:24 16:19 95:6,11,22,25 96:4, 65:6 66:4,12,13 24 76:1,2,5,7,10,11 30:19 31:4,21 63:6 121:3 17:6,8 18:14 19:19 13 97:5,10,14 98:3, 67:7,12 70:19,23 77:9 81:4,7 82:11, 76:22 eventually 20:7,13 22:17 24:13, 17 106:12,22 107:3 72:4 75:10,17 76:14, 16,25 83:8 85:17,22 email 20 25:6 26:10 27:1, 109:11 64.16 16,23 77:2,5,7,18, 86:1,13,19 87:1,24 **EXAMINATION** 18 28:2 29:20 30:5, dies 33:22 40:22 41:1.10 19,22,23 78:11,14, 88:8,11,13,16,22,24 17 31:23 50:25 46:17 49:20 51:16 6:5 115:17 118:9 79:12 21 79:2 81:7 82:2 91:20 95:2.14 99:21. 53:23 66:12,15 54:7,16 55:4 59:15 119:18 120:5 121:7 dig 84:1 85:4,15,16 23 100:2.16.18.22 60:5,15,18 61:6 80:18,19,22 81:4,6, Examiner's 88:11,15 89:11,12, 66:17 105:7 108:15 18 62:17 63:24 65:5 110:17 111:8 112:12 14.17 14,15,23 90:1 92:25 diligent 110:25 117:18 66:11,14,20,25 David's excellent 93:19,21,24,25 19:1 documents 67:11 68:7,8 69:3 16:18 25:17 94:11 95:20 99:12, direct 54:5 13:5,7,8,9,10 14:18 70:2,19 72:1,2,16 exchange 18 103:18 104:15 dav 6:5 58:10 16:20,22,24 19:14, emailed 26:10 27:3,5,7 56:15 106:12 14 109:9 disburse 65:5 18,19,24 20:15 28:20 95:22,25 96:13 97:4 exclude 114:2,12 118:24 10:1 21:17 28:14 31:6,22 98:2 109:9,11,23 emails 119:14 disbursed 91.24 32:2,9,16 33:1 47:4 28:5 33:8 41:22 days correctly excluding 9:6,14,21,22 61:17,18 74:17,18, 46:8,22 50:13,23,24 97:13 29:16 33:18 42:18 disciplined 87:12 21,22 79:13,20 67:6,18 87:14 dead costing execute 8:4 90:22 95:5,8,12,14, emphatically 76:22 16:10 58:14 84:11 disclose 17 99:22 106:4,9,12, 115:1 deal counsel executed 44:25 74:8 14 16 107 2 6 8 10 employ 12:1,17 31:23 32:1,8 56:6 13:14 24:8,10 29:15, disclosed 14 15 18 20 21 63.14 dealing 44:3 62:14 63:21 19 51:11 52:5,9,23 108:5 114:4 117:7,9, 46:18 employed 64:1 72:23 73:12,14 56.6 78:20 81:1 115:23 discord 12,15,17,19 6:11,22,24 48:5,9 92:19.22 dealt 116:6,21 Don 89:25 employee County exhaustive discovery 34:8 6:23 121:12 96:18,19,20,21 death 55:4 28:6 32:16 Donald employs 110:17 111:7 10:8 17:16.17.19 exhibit discuss 48:2 112:12,14,15 6:13 19.4 7 23.14 24.3 11:17,18 18:15,22 draft 48:8 56:14 25:5 35:20 89:21 emptied couple 19:17 25:13 27:21, discussed 11:23 13:21 15:15, 12:24 15:2 29:4 61:8 90:11 92:24 93:14, 24 29:8 33:10,11,13 23:3 52:19 62:19 16 26:2 27:16 61:12, 40:19 66:3 107:16 15 95:3 101:9 encourage 34:9,10 40:21 48:22 114:15 18 77:2.24 110:12 116:10 92:1 50:19,21 54:5,13 court discussing drafted 6:1 11:8,15 13:17 December encouraged 55:2,13,15 57:8,9,10 11:20 60:5 79:5,10, 67:16 73:11 43:24 54:12 62:22 19:8 55:8 92:14,18,21 58:1,3 59:23,25 discussion 23 80:2 63:2 99:18 101:23 decide end 60:23,24 62:9,11 drafting 34:1 37:5 48:23 40:13 110:7 65:4 66:8,9 67:2,4, 105:2 115:25 87:19 120:15 49:23 79:20 117:14.16 118:2.18. 25 69:16,17,23 decided ends drafts discussions 71:17,18,19,20 75:2, 21 119:11 62:20 119:23 33:17 61:1 66:11 16:24 17:3 25:8 28:7 37:14 64:8 71:9 create 67:6 68:8 70:21 72:2 3 76:12 77:12.13 declared 102:20 115:9 51:24 55:9 12:8 78.6 81.8 22 82.2 enforced 38:25 disinherit 83:6 85:9 88:5 created 93:17 deem 89:18 92:12 90:20,21,22 100:3 11:24 25:18 26:11, enforcement 116:21 108:8.11 23 79:11 80:21 97:13



exhibited feel 99:22 71:21 exhibits feelina 11:8 10 13:16 18 92.21 16:23 51:23 56:19 felt 74:19 115:10 60:10 61:18 77:1 78.8 figure exist 45:9 16:24 102:12 file existed 15:16 26:1 27:18 37:17 96:3.12 105:1 existence filed 10:12,15,24 23:11 29:2 31:24 71:10 38:24 70:13 77:1 94:4 102:1,7 104:14, 80:11 85:18 87:17 17 105:8,10,25 existing files 115:6 25:7 27:18 exit filing 113.4 122.10 12:17 21:23 expect filings 25:23 63:19 29:21 expectations fill 87.24 58:16,22 59:9 expected filled 44:4 86:23 87:3,14 29:23 59:11 experience final 77:24 78:4,23 79:8. 94:3 15,17,22 104:2 finally explain 75:2 11:22 43:21 90:5 find explained 52:20 61:14,21 62:1 90:16 64:16 79:13 81:16 extend 120:18 46.7 finding extent 36:23 21:25 73:10 fine 31:17 41:22,24 45:10 53:21 F firm 59.18 71.7 74.17 fabrication 75.9 19 78.17 79.23 75:24 80:8,9,10,17,25 83:8 face 90:23 99:23,24 99.7 100:1,11,14,15,23 fact 110:22 18:7 20:4 31:2 48:5, firm's 8,9 49:5 76:19 87:4, 78:2 100:8 5 91:19 92:19 94:7 firms facts 78:21 25:14 31:12 91:6,14 fix fair 59:3 18:18 36:24 52:9 flip 55:8 familiar 83:12 34:22 49:2 81:2,3 Florida 6:10,12 7:4 22:22,25 102:21 family folder 89:16,25 27:18 follow father 46:3 73:17 120:3 10:11 11:4 18:19 22:19 23:7 24:16 foreclose 25:5 30:4 36:21 74:10 65:17 75:10 89:17 forget 90:13,16 91:15 21:5 92:16,18 95:11 96:4, form 13 97:5 98:17 101:1, 25:23 29:22 37:2 20.24 102:2 109:11. 42:12 44:10 58:24 14 20 110:2 111:23 79:16 82:23 84:6 24 113:20 114:16 87:21 93:1,7 94:12 118:12 121:25 95:7 98:16 99:13 father's 102:15,18 103:17 10:8 19:1 23:14 34:5 104:4 107:12 108:2 44:17 58:21 65:11 110:20 116:12,14 76:19,20 92:12 97:6 formed 98:2 29.12 fax forming 108:24 25 23:3 **February** forms

found 13:22 15:16 20:16 27:19,24 28:1,15 51:18 117:9 foundation 116:15 fourth 56:10 58:11 front 33:12 50:22 51:3 60:1 69:24 83:3.9 full 76:19 fully 115:23 116:6 funds 9:22 10:1.20 gave 96:9 118:14 general 79:21 93:12 generally 6.25 41.2 genesis 70:25 91:6 get all 54:1 gift 83.14 give guys

62:20,21 girlfriend highly 51:16 75:19 96:7 109:15 history 6:2 11:16 13:17 19:6 115:3 25:24 46:20 23 hold 54:12 80:14 88:1 7:4,6,9,14 67:18 94:1 95:5,8 105:14 84:15 111:13 107:10 111:15 holographic 113:13 94.23 95.1 giving home 60:5 98:3 19:2 106:12 121:1 good honestly 40:9 104:24 109:22 59:19 87:22,25 122.9 hope Gortz 79:13 51:13 56:13 hoping grabs 11:12 114:25 120:12 **Hopkins** grandchildren 80:24 90:17 93:22,23 Horan group 88.2 62:19 hospital guess 12:1,12,24 28:4 6 110:1,10 46:12 51:1 56:12 hours 115:10 109:4 guesses house 20:25 97:6,10 98:2 guessing husband 30:9 66:15 103:4 guide 59:17 guiding 35:4 ID 29:21 113:22 122:9 idea 103:11 105:25 Н 107:17 half identification 23:14 26:23

handwritten happen 10:3 71:3 121:14 15:15 27:17 70:12 42:18 98:25 99:2,3 101:2,4 hearken 121:25 helpful 108:22 helping Heritage 51:9 52:6,24 60:6 95:5,12 98:18 106:5, 18:1 20:2 27:11 40:9 11:18 13:19 33:11 50:21 54:13 55:15 57:9 58:3 59:25

15:7

hard

hat

hats

88:12

44:9

44.8

7:12

health

7.8

heard

24:25

heavy

79:1

hereto

84:11

hear

head

identifies 78:20 identifying 35:19 42:10 94:5 Illinois 7:25 29:13 64:14 image 58:21 59:13,16 imagine 20:7 21:17 28:6 77.14 impact 87:19 implies 14.25 imply 34:13,21 49:25 50:5 important 28:7,10 56:14 inaccurate 119.1 include 44:19 49:6 91:21 included 70:22 75:16 85:3 includes 25:25 63:4 70:11 84:3 85:13 including 41:18 79:5 93:23 independent 62:14 indicating 26:2,18 27:20 78:1 108:16 infinite 121:2 information 20:9 23:10 35:5 46:24 87:9 101:20 informina 32:23 inheritance 90:12 initial 66:14 68:16 initiated 12:17 inquiries 80:17 inquiry 96:13 99:10 inside 27.17 instruct 73:13 87:6 94:10 instruction 46:4 73:17 instrument 51:10 insurance 6:14,17,19 7:2,7,8 9:19 10:13,20,21 23:4,5 29:11,12,23, 25 30:3 32:9,13,17

33:23 34:5,14 35:7,

13.14 37:13.22

25 48:10 49:14

38:12 39:4,6 41:3

42:13 15 47:20 21

57:17 65:9,10,18,22

67:19,20 68:20,22

72:10 77:5,6,16,17,

21 78:23 82:1,8,19

85:3,14,19 93:17

83:16 84:4,10,15,19

94:6,15,24 101:6,13,

19 103:25 104:3,7,

10,13 112:1 114:1,9,

23,25 115:4,6,12,23

69:21 71:5.9.23

119:6 120:11 insured 104.1 insureds 78:25 insurer 32:5,24 33:4 intended 53:24 intent 79:19 interacted 79:4 interactions 65:11 interest 8:25 38:12 39:4,6 85:19 internally 92:11 interrupting 113:11 Interruption 46:14 113:18 117:24 intervene 31:25 investigated investigation 32:6 80:7 96:23 101.14 involved 50:1 77:16 87:12,13 89:3 90:12 104:11 involvement 98:9.10 irregular 74:18 75:19 irregularities irregularity 74:18 irrevocable 14:8 29:11,12 30:3 84:14 **IRS** 21:24 issue 40:3.8 issues 44:25 67:16 75:18 item 49:21 51:8 iterations 68:19 80:10 J January 56:16 109:1 Jill 57:16 58:6 67:15

116:18,20,22 118:22

Jim 45:14 100:4 118:5 judge 47:13.15 103:22 113:13.17 119:23 120:14.22 121:4 judgment 11:15 47:18 July 78:10 88:11 June 25:16 26:3,10 32:22 33:3



61:2,6 62:14,17

101:23 105:2

108:24

federal

15:4.5

forward

62:18

60:24 62:11 66:9

67:4 69:17 71:19

75:3 77:13 78:9

90:21 108:11

halfway

52:17

71:4

handling

16:6.17

handwriting

7:4,6 11:9 14:4 97:22 105:11 kinds 120:10 knew 34:21 49:18 52:13 66:2 74:23 97:22 104:6,8 115:1,2 knowledge 9:9 17:7 23:11 26:3 30:5 42:24 43:4,6 65:14.15.24.25 104:12 105:5,18,22, L lack language 58:23 59:10 lapsed 23:16 Lasalle 32:24 121:14 law 13:22 15:17 75:19 78:2,21 80:24 97:12 99:23,24 100:1,7,11, 14 15 23 laws 102:21 lawsuit 10:18 28:13,14 29:1 44:15 71:10 102:1,3 104:14,15,18 105:1, 7.10,11,25 lawver 39:1,2,8 42:11,20,24 47:7 64:16,19 105:6 lawyer's 46:4 lawvers 74:9 77:24 79:9,13 layperson 28:18 lead 13:5 89:25 learn 10:16 11:3 28:1 80:6 learned 24:14 28:2,3,4 33:4 89:17 94:4 learning 20:5 68:17 leave 22:22 89:22 90:17 leaving 40:6 73:22 94:24 led 35:3 73:8,9,23 74:3, 12 91:14 left 76:21 89:7 92:23 93:3 115:12 legal 64:20 95:20 99:20 102:23 103:10.18 118:25 120:14 legally 102:12 legend 108:25 letter 32:22 53:22 54:15

Κ

95:15 letters 68:10 Lexington 30:15 license 7:4,6,7,9,14,19,22 8:1,2,3 life 6:14,18 7:2,7 10:13 23:3 5 29:12 24 30:2 33:23 57:17 62:6 67:19 71:5,23 72:10 77:16 78:23 82:19 83:16 84:4,9,15 85:13 111:25 114:23,25 115:4,5,6, 11,23 light 56:12 likes 109:14 likewise 33:3 41:1 lines 60:7 75:12 112:9 Lisa 57:15 58:6 67:15 listed 15:7 51:12 82:2 85:2 116.11 litigate litigating 9:20 38:12 84:5 85:4,14 litigation 54:19 64:15 living 95:19 locate 55.7 located

13:14 18:8 19:19 20:15 25:8 27:17 37:1 51:23 55:10 56:20 60:8,11 61:12, long 6:15 17:18 33:4 69:25 longer 80:10

looked 61:22 77:20,21 lose 103.6 lost

99:17 102:11 115:20 lot

7:1.18 32:9 34:24.25 97:18

М

made 20.4 22.12 24.21 24 35:12 41:6,9 42:9,13 54:1,21 80:1,4 94:12 98:18,21 115:1 maintain 64:10 79:10

make 14:3 20:18 26:14 35:18 47:17 76:6 79:1 84:14 96:6

makes 103:17 121:4 making 41:3 47:19,25 58:12 80.17

March 67:17 68:2,4 Maritza 94.24 95.3 15 96.6 mark 16:10 marked

11:18 13:18 33:11, 13 50:21 54:13 55:15 57:9 58:3 59:25 60:24 62:11 66:9 67:4 69:17 71:19 75:3 77:13 78:8 90:21 108:11 matter

19:19 23:16 33:22 39:4,11,16,17 40:23 42:3 44:15 71:2 92:5 matters

44.16 23 47.10 Meaning 91:22 93:4

means 27:12 116:8 meant 68:25

mechanically 10:2 11:7 mechanics 9:10

medical 110:17 111:7 112:12 115:3

medication 97:21,22 99:11 110:3 memorialized

46:8 memory 77:11 80:13 mentioned 30:2 37:4 48:22

49:22 115:19 message 51:3 57:14 68:15

70:21 messages 70:5 met 98.1

metadata 25:11,16 26:1,7 metal 122.

middle 36:7,12 48:22 68:5 mind

59:20 86:12 90:5 118:8 minute

40.7 minutes 118:5

mirror 58:21 59:13.16 misimpression 94:11

misimpressions 94:13 missed

85:12 missing 59:10 107:6 misstated 84:7 116:24 modified

25:17 26:2,9,23 27:3 modify 46:21

moment 11:12 28:6 69:22 70:5 118:12

money 56:15 76:23 89:3,22 93:15,17,20 103:8 money's

103:13 morning 97:4.5 motion

11:14 31:24 move 57:23 62:18 109:24 111.5

111.23 murdered 101:11 104:2,7,8,9

murder

Ν

named 23:4 29:23,24 30:19 31:3,7,20 114:9,11

121:24 **National** 31.19 32.24 121.14

nature 7:1 63:23 necessarily 103:18 needed

51:6 115:8 news 61:7

niaht 95:6,11 98:17 107:11 122:9

nonresident 7.19 nonverbal

39:14 98:23 notarization 75:16 notarized

75:22 notary 75:23

note 52:16 53:23 91:7,15

92:2 notes 13:7 15:7

notice 102:17

notify 102:1,8 104:7,10,13 notifying

103:25 notion 10:19 42:5 119:11

November 19:8 51:15.20.23

53:23 number

13:20 14:6 15:10,15 18:15,22 22:2 27:21, 24 29:8,20,21,22 33:10,13 34:9 40:21 47:3,4 48:22 50:19 54:6 57:11,21 58:1 59:24 60:1 62:9 66:8 67:2 69:23,24 71:20 75:2 76:12 77:12 78:6.7.13 79:12.13 81:8.22 83:1.13 90:20.22 108:25

numbered 22:11,12 33:9 numbers 33:13 55:9

object

0

19:15 44:10 63:12

84:6 87:6 93:1

117:20 120:13 objection 7:15 8:9,15 9:8 10:14 20:1,17,22 21:11 24:19 25:20, 22 26:13 27:10 28:9, 16 34:16 37:2,23 38:18,23 42:7,12 43:13 45:4 48:11 52:1,12 53:10 56:21 57:19 58:24 60:13 61:15 62:4 71:12 72:12 77:8 78:3 79:16 82:11 15 23 86:7,15,22 87:21 88:22 91:8,17 92:6,8 93.2 7 95.7 96.10 97:1.25 98:4.16 99:13,19 100:20 101:8 102:15,18,23 103:9,17 104:4 105:3,12 106:1,23

111:5 115:24 116:1, 7,12,14,17,24 118:25 121:19 objections 59.12 obligated 38:22 obtaining

107:4,12,23 108:2

109:24 110:4,20,23

33:23 occasion 78:24

October 19:8 33:17,18 34:1, 14 36:7

odd 62:3,8 69:6 offer 58:16

office 13:23 15:17 19:2 22:16.19.21 25:7 36:13,19,20 61:8 110:17 111:8 112:12,14,16

offices 19:20,24 20:16 official 8:12.13 one-minute

21:12 one-on-one 63:25 64:19 opportunity 46:20

option 56:15 options 56:5 order

58:22 62:22 63:2 76:21 84:13 96:12. 25 100:6 ordered

96:21 oriented 11:6

original 55:5 76:7 102:7 overdosed 109:14,20 110:2 owned 13:11,12 55:6 owner 36:21 68:11,16,17 114:11,13

Р

65:12 68:18 114:5

ownership

p.m. 122:12 pages 70:1 116:9 paid 36:22 82:10 101:12 103:8,13 116:2 Palm 96:18,19 110:17 111:7 112:12,14,15 Pam

16:14 22:17 34:10 36:8,12 40:22 41:2, 6,18 42:2 48:21 49:6 50:13,14,25 51:1,4,9 52:4.6.23 54:8.16 58:5.14 61:2.6 66:11 67:15 91:7.14

Pam's 57:17 58:21,22 59:8, 9,14,16,21 Pamela

19:20 25:6 paragraph 12:13,15 14:22 16:5 18:23 19:17 22:3 25:4,12 27:16 29:7,8 30:8,11,18 31:3 32:3,19 58:11 76:20 81:25 83:13 84:7 112:10

paragraphs 31:9.11.13.14

part 61:1 79:6,14 106:17 115:11

partial 46:23 participated 18:25 42:2 50:24 75:9 79:1 90:3

parties 87:10 108:4 partners 114.24

party 46:17 106:5 pass

93:20 passed 11:4 92:24 93:15,16 passing

34.5 passionate 91.19

past 122:4,7 **Pause**

14.2 pay 62:20,22

payout 60:6 PDF

66:21.22 pending 8:22 21:14



receiving people 25 116:3.4.5.9.10. privileged 23,25 44:11 45:15 remember 41:10,11 61:3 67:7 48:18 52:14 54:14 49:20 72:6 77:6 11,16,20,22 117:5, 41:24 45:6,19,23 7:12,17,20 23:8 perceive 46:2 64:21 73:12 24:16 25:3 43:8 52:6 11,21 118:1,19,22, 57:17,18 62:25 recess 23 119:7 120:9 63:16 81:13 82:23 53:18,19 67:23 21.13 40.10 57.7 10.5 121:10,18 85:25 86:25 87:8 80:15 81:20 98:7 perceived privy 88:4 108:10 113:7 91:11 94:3 95:8 112:17 policy's 41.6 9 8.24 recite 101:15,18 103:2 remembered percent 116.2 problem 40:5 106:3 107:5 115:16 policyholder 11:2 118:20 75:7 recognize 118:8,14,22 120:2, perception 32:23 proceed 118:21 119:11 remembering portion 17.22 121:6.22 recognizes 109:22 64:25 53.13 questioning proceedings remove perfect 95:3 115:7 118.18 74:10 113:11,12 107:2 25 24:21,22,25 position 101:3 recollection 117:21 performed 46:20 47:11 63:8,13 proceeds 15:3 34:7 73:7,25 removed questions 64:2,6,12 9:5,19 10:21 33:23 76:2 80:8 95:18 59:2 107:24 19:23 12:9 17:6 40:19 positive 35:13 36:21 42:16 97:18 98:14 repeat period 41:20 43:20 46:4 47:20 48:4,10 49:16 28:12,17 reconsider 15:19 24:8 35:22 37:18 69:19.25 74:17 51:5 54:18 77:6 replacement person possess 47.12 94:20 97:19 108:3 81:24 82:1,9 94:24 28:19 121:10 record 24.15 6:23 111:16.17.19.22 118:20 23 120:8 11 possession 6:7 12:8 63:14,21 replacing personal 112:21.23 113:9 17:3 18:14 115:20 process 64:2,13 70:8 74:5 39:6 44:22 63:21 122:5 32:5,11,15,18 33:24, 83:24,25 112:6 116:13 65:15 106:21 107:1 report quick 25 48:7 79:6 115:2 possessions 94:6 96:3 97:2 personally 54:6 108:9 processing records 101:6,19,23 108:17 106:22 38:6 41:24 47:17 36:13,19,20 25:7 65:10 80:11,12 possibilities 89:5 109:3 produce R 120:11 121:3 RECROSS reporter pertaining 117:14,15.17 possibility 115:17 119:18 121:7 6:1 11:9,15 13:17 95.19 Rachel 37:12 119:21 120:8, produced rectangular 21:10,15 40:14 phone 95:3.9 106:5.11 19:18 20:16 46:22 43:24 44:1 46:15 18,19,21 26:21 62:19 90:23 114:4 115:25 107:9 54:12 113:19 117:25 REDIRECT pick possibly 117:3,19 118:3 range reporting 37:5 48:2.24 49:23 36:11 90:19 118:9 120:5 production 19:6 piece 119.22 reduced 30.1 Raton 116:23,25 117:2,5, potential 9:15 represent 64:15 10,11 118:2 6:10,12 40:23 42:5 44:25 71:22 72:9,18,22 refer place re-entered professional representation 47:1,24 104:1 15:10 22:12 19:4 46:24,25 65:9 78:24 27.5 111:23 71:24 81:15 92:23 93:3 reference potentially reach promoted represented 26:16 68:2 74:13 plaintiff 10:24 47:18 8:21 9:2,13,18 28:14 64:14 85:18 86:20 100:23 13:21 15:15 read promoting predeceased referenced representing 104:17 105:10 21:6,7,10,15 31:10 93:5 103:14,16 10:19 35:8 42:17 72:15 87:17 117:19 33:19 40:11.14.16 predeceasing proper references request plaintiff's 43.24 44.1 53.13 75:23 102:17 88:14 117:19 86:6.14 12:17 property 59:6 69:23 70:1.5.16 prepared requested plaintiffs referencing 83:22.23.24 84:9.25 84:16 106:22 107:2, 9:21 10:19 19:18 51:13 56:13 58:15 49:8 108:4 85:3 22 86:8 14 plan 59:18 78:2,16,21 24 referring reside 88:12.16.24 89:5 80:25 83:7 100:1,19 **Proskauer** 13:10,11 14:18 6:9 89:22 90:5 91:20 91:2,18 110:5,8 83:5,8 preparing 25:11 66:24 72:10, resident 92:12,23 115:11 111:10,12 112:6,8 proven 80:22 121:13 13 76:18 7:22 8:2.3 reading planning present 103:7 refers respect 28:3 51:22 55:16 93:6,12 106:17 17:21 23:6.8 provide 8:8 9:1 64:10 14:23,24 68:24 69:1 85:25 pretty 78:1 107:15 reflect respond 86:5,10,11 110:14 32:12 70:6 101:10 provided plans 47:4 52:5.24 ready previous 32:17 reflects responded 79:10 75:4 113:22,23 67:18 68:16 provision pleadings 40.22 51.9 63.23 real previously 66:3 regard responding 64:17 108:8 37:4 48:23 49:22 point provisions 8:13 10:12 17:8 31:8 52:18,22 60:18 reason **Primarily** 58:17 18:12.13 28:13 39:8 40:23 41:7 42:3 response 16:9 54:25 104:11 58:23 64:8 66:1 36:25 48:8 49:13 **Puccio** 39:14,24 69:3 98:23 reasonable 94:25 95:15 96:6 74:21 84:4 87:4,9 52:10,17 56:12 60:7 primary rest 89:3 121:9,11,17 purported reinstatement 62:25 99:10 70:22 recall poison printed 80:2 23:16,23,25 32:11, restate 8:6,20 11:1 18:6,11 122:1 27:8 purpose 17 65:21 66:3 14:4 24:12 25:3 28:22,23 poisoned 12:6 76:4,6 77:6 114:14 115:2 116:19 restricted printout 29:1,3 34:4 42:4 96:7 98:19,21 101:2, 88:14 93:5 114:17 relate 26:1 8.4 48:6 49:20 54:21 8:14 44:14 purposes 5,21,24 102:2 prior result 61:19 70:19 72:6 7 related police 10:11 23:14 24:3 15.9 37:8 39:6 49:1 53:24 76:4.8 96:2.5 106:8. 67:16 75:25 103:1,4 108:17 32:7 34:1 56:14 pursue 94:12 10.19 111:9 112:19 policies 60:18 68:9 71:10 56:15 118.12 resulted 118:13 121:11 85:18,19 87:18 relates 82:2,9,10,19 83:17 put receipt 45:22 89:21 90:11 110:19 11:25 27:3 81:18 84:10,15,16 115:12 44:23 results relationship policy private 116:25 117:4,7,11 receive 71:1 80:6 9:20 10:13 13:12 63:24 64:18 74:8 45:12,13 64:7 118:1 retained 10:20 81:24 82:1 110:16,21 111:1 relative 23:4,15,23,25 30:3 114:9 118:20.23 12:16 112.11 32:7.10 34:6.15 19:4.24 119:7.8.12 returned Q privilege 36:21 38:12 39:5,7 relevance received 108:1 47:21 48:5,10 49:14, 37:23,25 38:18,23, 28:16 86:15 92:8 question 48:4 53:7 58:19 59:5 reviewing 16 51:12 55:6 61:7 24 40:3,8 41:17,18 101:8 106:23 107:4 8:10 12:19 14:3 69:13 84:17,21 33:1 46:9 65:22 67:19 68:17, 44:5 45:4,5,11 115:24 116:1,17 16:10 20:21 21:3,5, 92:19 114:8 Robert 18 71:5 84:4,20,21 46:16,18 47:2 63:11, 119:3 6,7,14 26:6 29:16 receives 30:18 31:3 34:7 85:4,14,19 93:18 15,19,22,24 64:3,9, 30:22.23.25 31:11 relevant 36:5,7 41:23 44:2,4, 93:17 114:6,9,15,17,23,25 10,22 70:10,13



115:5,7,19,21,22,23,

52:10 101:11

34:25 35:4 39:23

40:5,12 41:21 43:16,

24 45:25 46:5 51:5

62:18 63:18,25 67:6, 53:10,14,23 56:21 57:14,16 58:4,20 starts suspicious set 6:24 11:11 82:9,19 59:5,7 60:16 62:18 109:4 112:5 15 69:10 80:16 57:5,19 58:24 59:1, 110:11 90:16 102:6 83:17 84:10.18 12 60:13 61:15,20 63:4,10,19 64:1 state Sutter 62:4 63:11 66:15 66:11,16 67:6 68:3, role 6:7 7:9,11,22,24 8:4 80.24 8:12,25 38:16 66:2 71:8,12 72:12 77:8 7,14,22 70:21 71:1,7 sets 46:19 68:10 70:8 swear 78:3 79:16.18 80:21. 72:3 73:23 75:9.25 roles 55.23 86.12 6:1 23 81:1 82:11.15.23 78:17 80:16 81:16 10:6 45:1,3 settlement stated Sy 83:10 18 20 24 84:6 86:20 87:3 8 9 12 23 53:25 54:17 62:22 65:23 68:10 room 90:16,23 94:4 102:6 19 85:8.21.24 86:2. 21:9 113:5 122:11 settlor statement Sy's 83:14 84:11 7.15.22 87:21 88:9. Spallina's 29:14 31:2 96:9 53:24 91:21 Rose 22 91:8.17 92:6.8 52:16 59:19 99:24 110:19 40:2 63:20 64:13 share 93.7 10 95.7 96.10 speak 13:13 15:14,17 statements 65:1 70:7,15 73:10 Т 22,24 97:1,25 98:4, 26:23 59:8 92:18 74:5 82:21,25 83:3,5 22:19 25:14 27:9 98:3 16 99:13,19 100:9, 97:15 87:6 89:19 93:1 shared states taking 20,24 101:8,15 speaking 98:25 99:4,6 108:16, 22:20 50:13,14 7:11,13,18,19 81:15 97:23 102:15,18,23 103:1, 68:20 80:19 22 109:1,3 113:6 sharing stating talk 2,9,17,23 104:4,19, 122:2,5,9 speaks 22:16 35.14 18:2 33:7 57:25 22 105:3,12,19,23 82:11 86:3 88:22 roughly sheriff status 92:21 97:17 109:23 106:1,12,23 107:4, specific 80.20 95:23 96:1,9 98:1, 8:7,11 34:11 35:1 12,23 108:2 109:24 talked routine . 18·10 67·19 11,15 step 17:14 23:9 51:24 110:4,20,23,25 32:6 specifically sheriff's 28:7 60:12 66:1 114:1 111:5,15,20 112:1,4, Rule . 20:9 91:12 96:3 109:9,13,23 steps talking 8,18,22 113:1,4,8, 117:18 111.11 112.14 16 specifics 94:10 14:10 16:6 41:2 46:1 14,16,22,25 115:14, ruling . 18:6,12 sheriffs stop 49:2,4,5 52:7 53:1 24 116:1.7.12.14.17. speculate 121:4 40:18 58:11 105:20 97:11,15 56:5 57:18 67:22 24 117:2.6.8.20 20:19,20 53:12,17 Shirley 118:5,25 119:3,17, 111:17 68:12 69:14 75:7 16:10 38:10,11 40:6 59:19 87:23 76:5 87:15 109:8 19 120:13 21 stored S speculating 72:23 75:1 talks 121:19.23 122:4.7. 27:18 91:10 Shirley's S.B. Street 89:11 speculation 38:17 39:2.3.18.21 30:15 Simon's 6:10 tax 7·15 9·8 20·1 17 22 41:13 43:11 44:20 17:16,17,18 35:20 Saturday strike 29:21 25:20 26:13 27:10 67:17 75:25 88:14 89:4 93:23 10:17 61:17,24 62:3, Ted 28:9 34:16 37:2 42:7 shortening 106:21 107:1 110:12 8 109:24 111:5 6:8 12:15 16:14 37:7 saving 43:13 52:1 12 53:10 39:21 Simons 57:23 string 49:1,25 50:7,8,9,13 56:21 57:19 58:24 shortly Schedule 72.4 33:16 49:21 50:13, 71:1 94:23 107:6 60:13 61:15 71:12 82:9,20 83:17 84:3, 97:9.13 simple 23 51:8 61:1 62:12 108:14 110:8.16 72:12 78:3 79:16 show 66:11,15 67:5,11 112:3,5,11 113:4 10 85:2.7 54:14 86:7.22 91:8 97:1.25 77:8 104:19,22 68:7 70:19 72:1 114:1 121:9 122:1, Scooter simply 99:19 103:9 104:4 105:3 structures 67:15 81:4 71:13 105:12 telling showed 8:14 Scott simultaneously speculative 17:10 42:23,25 43:3, subject 70:11 97:13 . 43:15.18.22 5 44:13 53:2,8 62:13 showing 33:22 39:4 40:23 singling search spending temporarily 19:8,14,23 55:5 62:22 89:18 42:3 44:15 85:2 56:14 92:5. 61:13 62:6 shown sir 113.6 split 15:9 16:23 76:11 submission ten 60:21 searched . 37:7 48:25 49:9,11, 90:17 118:5 siblinas 47:20 19:10,12 62:5 sister 25 50:7 60:16,18 63:5 76:13 submit tendered searches 22:17 25:5 48:4,8 splitting 87:13 89:14,18 90:4, 37:21 108:7 61:20 103:6,15 107:21 19:1,3 25:6 49.14 12 91:22.23 92:25 submitted term section sister's spoonfed 93:4.16 11:14 32:23 35:7 11:22 103:4 15:7 111:24 sic 42:23 terminated seek sitting square 118:21 submitting 122:2 101:13 76:9 26:19 side terms seeking situation 37:12 Stamos 64.18 30:4 79:21 84:17 56:6 60:6,19 104:11 subsequent 62:14 64:20 6:6 11:6,19 21:7,14, selectively sign 31:10 95:20 skip 19 25:22 26:7 37:25 57:21 99:15 succeed Tescher 54:1 63:14 38:20 39:12 40:4,9, signature 103:12 34:8 48:2,16 71:7,21 selling sole 11,15 41:15,25 42:1 72:2,8 73:23 75:8 succeeding 75:9 64:22 77:16 43:14,19 45:5,8,11, 76:12 78:16 90:23 signed 31.9 send solely 17,20 46:3,12,19 99:24 26:4 30:4 52:4,23 65:18 102:8, successful 47:6 47:16,17 53:11,16 signing 9:12,18 54:23 test 13 someplace 54:11 56:24 57:3,6, 38:23 122:1 75:22 successor sending 15:17 8,10 59:3 60:22 similar 13:2,6 14:23,25 15:8 testified 72:2 102:16 SOP 63:13 64:5,25 65:2,3 27:1 80:19 17:10 18:9 24:15,18, 15:6 51:17 sense 70:11,16 73:15 23 32:25 44:21 testifies 14:3 17:18 24:21,22, Simon sound 74:11 77:10 79:17 102:11 22 81:6 7:15 8:8,9,15,18 9:4, 25:19 81:2.3 25 82:13 83:2 4 6 12 testifying 8 10:14 12:2 13:2,22 successors sentence source 86:9 88:1.5 89:20 14:24 15:17 17:6 83:16 106:2 25:12 29:10 32:4 17:6 20:8,9,11,12,13 93:13 94:1,16 99:3. 19:15,19,20 20:1,7, Summary testimony 35:5 58:14 81:25 5,8 100:3 108:12,17, space 14,17,21 21:6,11 6:2 48:13 80:21 11:14 110:7,14 22:16,19,21 20.23 109:2.5 22:16 23:2,7 24:14, summer text separately 113:23 118:7,10 **Spallina** 19,20 25:20 26:10, 55:8 82:6 119:15 120:3,6,16, 23:2 19.14 30:18 31:3 34:7,12 13 27:10,18 28:9,16 23 122:8 support thing September 35:7,12,18 36:5,7,20 29:11 30:1,6 32:6 stand 83:25 10:9 19:7 27:4.6 11:14 37:12.20 38:3.25 34:16 36:8 37:2,23 103:6 things supports 97.8 39:1,7 40:22 41:2,7 38:2,18 39:10,14 12:24 20:25 28:3 start series 42:9,15 44:3,4 46:5 70:9.13 40:7 41:21 42:7.12 29:4,6 31:15 32:12 11:25 78:7 83:4 88:9 65:5 47:6,8,24 48:16,21 supposed 43:13 17 44:10 45:4 107:21 117:5 119:8 34:24 56:9 62:5.7 Services 49:22 50:4,6,11,14, 89.20 6,10,14,18,24 46:10, started surrounding 64:15 20 83:21 25 51:4.9 52:4.18 31:19 16 47:14 48:11 53:20 55:18,25 56:5 84:13 95:19 20 14:13 91:6 110:12 50:25 51:1 52:1.12 97:19,23 101:4,6



114:22 115:12 57:17 58:15.16.21 58:25 59:6,7 61:11 Welling thinking 69:18 70:12 72:3 59:7,8,9,21 60:7 63:1 65:21 70:12 61:9,12,14,17,18 75:10 82:14 88:8 whatsoever 50.11 62:20,21,23 65:22 93:8 114:7 119:2,3,5 third-party 99.18 68:11 71:23 72:10, 120:25 30:19 31:4,20 wild 11,24 74:24,25 75:1, understandings thought 20:25 25 76:1.7 77:2.5.21 28:17 42:14 50:8 59.2 wills 78:10,13 79:23 80:3, understands 60:19 104:9 115:5 95:19 22 81:1.17.24 82:8. 120:19,24 wind time 10,19 83:5 84:17,18 understood 10:11 17:14 18:12. 35:9 19.21 85:17.18.20 36:25 58:20 59:2 13 19:6 23:10.17.19. winding 86:6,14,20 87:2,16, underwriting 22 24:3 32:10 34:11, 114:22 17,18,20 88:9,21 13 35:1,17,22 37:14, 32:6 withdraw 89:7,9 93:16 94:5,8 18 42:11 49:13 unexecuted 71:23 72:15 74:20 99:17,21,22,23 50:17 57:23,24 14:8 16:1 25:8 withdrew 100:15 102:4,11 59:18,20 60:10 universal 73:25 74:4,14,15,16, 103:7,12 105:9 61:22 62:1 65:20 79.9 114:1,6,8 115:13,20 67:9 68:19 69:8,9,15 unsigned wondering 118:12,18,21 119:5, 70:12 71:5 76:25 51:24 77:2 11:15 14:24 11,21 121:15 77:20 78:24 89:19 unwilling word trustee 93:10,11 96:15 97:9 115:9.10 8:11 51:5 110:6 8:16.17 9:4.7.15 107:19 112:25 updated words 10:2 12:16.21 13:3.6 113:13 114:14.20 34.22 23:20 26:22 59:13 14:20.23 15:1.8 116:19 118:6 updates 85:3 109:4 16:14 17:7,11 24:11, times 34:12,20 wore 15,18,23 32:25 35:8, 7:18 20:19 22:20 44.9 14,19 38:7,8,9,17 69:5 105:13 39:2,18 41:13 42:10, work title 18 43:11 44:20,21 67:20 77:10 68:9,23 62:21 71:22 72:9 working vaque today 84:15 94:5,7 100:1 8:9.15 10:14 24:19 6:18 28:23 68:10 102:6,7,10,11,17 worry 102:23 told 105:9 115:19 121:18 valid 54:2 13:2 24:6,22 25:1,17 trustees 99:17 worrying 26:10 29:20 30:6 18:8.9 23:5 24:7 version 54:19 39:1 50:8 66:2 68:22 82:18 83:15 16 15:24 80:24 write 80:15 84.14 102.22 91:15 92:1,2 versions tool trusts 15:2 writes 59:15 54:19 77:17,25 34:12 48:21 71:21 view top 78:19 79:5,9,10 writing 28:13 87:20 92:5,10, 7:12 12:12 22:7,9 99:25 107:8 55:25 61:1 76:13 36:6 41:2 55:16 truth 91:7,14 viewed 57:15 58:8 85:12 6:3 written 44:3.24 topic TS3893 virtue 60:19 76:25 95:2 24:17 34:23 39:8 83:13 45:1 46:21 wrote 41:8 64:9 TS4489 vivo 11:24 34:11 59:5,20 topics 50:20 30:14 68:7 91:18 92:2 34:25 46:25 47:5 TS4965 voice topped 33:13 110:1 Υ 108:24 TS5253 voiced train 70:8 110:9 **Yates** 35:11 turn voluntary 63:6 transcription 108:4 91:1 121:12 year type 8:19 23:13,14,23 transfers 105:1,7 years 82:18 83:15 W 6:16 22:18 66:3 treats U W-9 77:15 88:13 Yup 21:25 true ultimately Wait 82:5 31:5,8 39:12,15 27:8 81:1 92:23 82:21 79:14 unable waived trust 18.7 41:18 47:2,10 64:9 8:18.19 9:1.4.5.6.14 understand 10:1,12,20,24 11:2 waiver 8:10,11 9:23 10:2 12:16,21 13:9,10,11, 53:25 12:6 13:20 14:7 12,14,22 14:8,11,12, walk 15:14,23 19:23 15,20 15:16,25 33:8 20:15 25:13 26:8,9 16:25 18:2,7 21:24 Walker 27:1 30:22,23 35:12, 23:4,5,12,15,20 95:4,9 106:5,11 16 36:24 41:16 24:1,4,8,10 27:17 wanted 44:11 45:20 52:22 29:11,12,14,25 30:3 114:23 58:19 59:1 64:5 66:7 32:24 34:6 35:8,15 wasting 68:14,21 69:14 71:2 36:23,25 37:1,6,13, 57:23 81:11 88:13 91:13 16,21 38:10,11,16,

ways

wear

44:8

Wednesday

25:16 26:9

weekend

28:4 37:7 48:25

49:9,11,14,25 50:7

106:15 107:5 118:17

119:8,20,24,25

understanding

9:9 12:8,22,25

13:13,24 15:14,18

25:15,19 26:8,12

27:9 29:15,16,18

35:6 44:7 49:15

120.7 121.2



17 39:2.3.19.21

11 17 18 43:11

40.24 41.13 42.3 6

44:20.21 47:2.19.25

17.19.24 50:2 51:10.

11,13,17,25 52:5,6,

11,21,23 53:2,3,9

54:2 55:5,9 56:11

48:5,9,17,24 49:16