

PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF FORGERY AND FRAUD AND MORE IN SIGNATURES AND DATES FOR SIMON AND ELIOT WAIVERS

May 15, 2012 Alleged Signature of Eliot Bernstein. In the un-notarized Waiver the date is in cursive and on the notarized Waiver the signature is in Print making the two Waivers wholly dissimilar. The E in the signature for Eliot in the un-notarized Waiver crosses through the word "By:" and in the notarized document it does not strike through the word "By:" making these wholly dissimilar signatures. Note, Eliot never met Kimberly Moran nor notarized any documents with her. Therefore, Moran did not just affix a stamp to the alleged original Waiver, Moran instead recreated the Waiver and added the date, forged the signature and therefore these are dissimilar Waivers and Prima Facie evidence of Forgery and Fraud. As these Waivers were submitted to the Probate court constitutes alleged Fraud on the Court and more.

UN-NOTARIZED WAIVER SIGNATURE AND DATE

(h) Consents to the entry of an order discharging the personal representative without notice, hearing or waiting period and without further accounting.

Signed on May 15, 2012.

Beneficiary

By: [Signature]
ELIOT BERNSTEIN

Note E in Eliot loops through the "ary" in "Beneficiary"

Note date in Cursive and "y" in May is looped. "5" is open at top and has comma after

Note the document has no law firm markings or legal form markings

Note E in Eliot crosses through "By:"

Note no notary markings

NOTARIZED WAIVER SIGNATURE AND DATE

(h) Consents to the entry of an order discharging the personal representative without notice, hearing or waiting period and without further accounting.

Signed on May 15 2012.

Beneficiary

By: [Signature]
ELIOT BERNSTEIN
Sworn to and subscribed before me on May 15, 2012, by ELLIOT BERNSTEIN, who is personally known to me, or who produced _____ as identification.

(Affix Notarial Seal)



Kimberly Moran
Notary Public State of Florida

Note E in Eliot does NOT loop through the "ary" in "Beneficiary"

Note now date in Print not cursive and "y" in May is NOT looped. "5" is closed at top and bottom. No comma after "5"

Note E in Eliot does NOT cross through "By:"

Note document has no legal form markings or law firm markings.

Note NEW AFFIXED notary markings

April 09, 2012 Alleged Signature of Simon Bernstein. Note, in the un-notarized document the date number 9 crosses the date line and the two separators // for day/year cross the date line, in the notarized document the entire date and separators never cross the date line, indicating these are wholly dissimilar documents regarding the date. That in the un-notarized document date, the 2 in the year 12 is closed at the bottom and in the notarized document the 2 is open at the bottom, making them appear dissimilar documents. That the Signature of Simon appears wholly dissimilar as the S in Simon in the un-notarized waiver crosses through the word "Beneficiary" and in the notarized Waiver it never touches the word "beneficiary." The S in Simon in the un-notarized Waiver never touches the signature line and in the notarized Waiver the S rests on the signature line. That Simon was deceased in November 2012 when Moran notarized the Waiver at the Court's request and therefore this cannot be Simon's signature, especially if it is dissimilar to the alleged April 09, 2012 Waiver and the notarization took place in November 2012.

Therefore, Moran did not just affix a stamp to the alleged original document. Moran recreated the document and added the date and the signature and forged the signatures as that cannot be Simon's signature on the Notarized Document after he was deceased. Therefore, Moran did not just affix a stamp to the alleged original Waiver allegedly signed in April. Moran instead recreated the Waiver and added the date, forged the signature and therefore these are dissimilar Waivers and Prima Facie evidence of Forgery and Fraud. As the Waiver was submitted to the Probate court constitutes alleged Fraud on the Court and more. The Notary is also fraudulent as Simon could not have appeared on April 09, 2012.


UN-NOTARIZED WAIVER SIGNATURE AND DATE

(h) Consents to the entry of an order discharging the personal representative without notice, hearing or waiting period and without further accounting.

Signed on 4/9/12, 2012.

Note that the "9" and the separators "/" for date/year touch the date line. Note the bottom of the 2 is closed.

Note that "S" in Simon crosses through the "ici" in "Beneficiary and circles "iciary" in beneficiary

Beneficiary
By: 
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

Note remainder of signature above signature line

Note the "S" in Simon crosses through the "MO" in the Print Name


NOTARIZED WAIVER SIGNATURE AND DATE

(h) Consents to the entry of an order discharging the personal representative without notice, hearing or waiting period and without further accounting.

Signed on 4/9/12, 2012.

Note that the "9" and the separators "/" for date/year DO NOT touch the date line. Note the bottom of the 2 is NOT closed.

Note the "S" in Simon crosses through the "N" and "L" NOT the "MO" in the Print Name.


Beneficiary
By: 
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

Note remainder of signature BELOW signature line

Sworn to before me on April 9, 2012, by SIMON BERNSTEIN, who is personally known to me or who produced _____ as identification.



(Affix Notarial Seal)


Notary Public State of Florida

Note the date change is not initialed that it was changed and by whom/

Note that "S" in Simon DOES NOT cross through the word "Beneficiary" at all and DOES NOT circle "iciary" in beneficiary

Additional Notes

1. The date inside the notarization of Simon and Eliot's Waivers are wholly forged as there was no notary stamp on the un-notarized documents.
2. That in Simon's notarization the crossed out 2 in 2012 in the notary section needs further analysis to determine what was crossed out and why no acknowledgement that change had been made.
3. That Candice and Eliot Bernstein on information and belief state that there was a handwritten note by Eliot on the bottom of his original Waiver with similar language to that sent in the email allegedly containing the Waiver signed. The original of all these documents is necessary to analyze for further evidence of forgery and fraud.
4. All documents lack any law firm marking or legal form service markings.