

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 5
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 16 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

PARENT'S DEATH.

ELIOT AND CANDICE REAPED THE BENEFITS OF OPPENHEIMER'S SERVICES, AND IN ANY EVENT THERE IS NO REASON TO BELIEVE THAT CANDICE AND ELIOT DID NOT SIGN THESE PETITIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THEIR CHILDREN. IF ELIOT NOW SUGGESTS THAT HIS AND HIS WIFE'S SIGNATURES DO NOT APPEAR ON THE JUNE 2010 PETITIONS APPOINTING OPPENHEIMER 2010 ALLEGATION, WHICH IS HIGHLY DOUBTFUL JUST LOOKING AT THE THREE SETS OF SIGNATURES, THAT WOULD MEAN ELIOT IS ACCUSING SIMON OF BEING A FORGER. ELIOT IS ALREADY SUPPORTIVE OF BILL STANSBURY, WHO ACCUSES SIMON OF COMMITTING A FRAUD ON STANSBURY. I WOULD BE SHOCKED BY ANY ACCUSATION THAT SIMON DID NOT OBTAIN FROM ELIOT AND CANDICE THEIR GENUINE SIGNATURES ON THE JUNE 2010 PETITIONS, AND PARTICULARLY SHOCKED THAT ELIOT, WHO RECEIVED SO MUCH OF HIS FATHER'S (AND MOTHER'S) LARGESSE DURING THEIR LIFETIMES, WOULD NOW MALIGN SIMON'S NAME IN SUCH A MANNER.

ANYWAY, I'M NOT SURE IF EITHER OF YOU NEEDS THESE ANY LONGER, BUT IF YOU DO, HERE THEY ARE.

ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ.

AROSE@MRACHEK-LAW.COM
561.355.6991
505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE
SUITE 600
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33401
561.655.2250 PHONE

KRATISH FORWARDED ME THE EMAIL SHE HAD RECEIVED ALONG WITH ALL OF THE ATTACHMENTS. I ADVISED HER I WOULD BE ATTEMPTING TO SPEAK WITH ROSE AND VIEW THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS HE REFERS TO IN HIS LETTER. I TOLD HER I WOULD CONTACT HER IF I NEEDED ANYTHING FURTHER BUT THAT BASED UPON HER ACKNOWLEDGING THE SIGNATURE ON THE PAPERWORK WAS HERS, IT WAS UNLIKELY THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY.

ON 05/22/15, I ATTEMPTED TO REACH ROSE BY PHONE BUT THE CALL WENT TO VOICEMAIL. I LEFT MY CONTACT INFORMATION AND THE REASON FOR MY CALL AND ASKED THAT HE RETURN MY CALL AT HIS CONVENIENCE. LATER THAT DAY, I RECEIVED A CALL FROM ROSE. ROSE INQUIRED AS TO WHY THIS CASE WAS STILL BEING INVESTIGATED BY PBSO AS IT WAS A CIVIL MATTER. I EXPLAINED TO HIM I RECEIVED A COPY OF THE EMAIL HE HAD SENT TO BERNSTEIN AND STEVEN LESSNE. ROSE DESCRIBED THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE DISCOVERY OF THE DOCUMENTS AND IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS IN HIS EMAIL. HE AGAIN STATED THAT AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT, HE FELT BOUND TO MAINTAIN THOSE DOCUMENTS. HE DID NOT SEEM SURPRISED THAT BERNSTEIN HAD MADE THE ALLEGATION AS HE HAS MADE A NUMBER OF OTHER ALLEGATIONS IN REFERENCE TO THE TRUSTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. DURING OUR CONVERSATION, ROSE TOLD ME JUDGE COLIN HAS RECUSED HIMSELF FROM BERNSTEIN'S CASE AND THE CASE WAS CURRENTLY AWAITING REASSIGNMENT.

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 6
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 16 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

DURING OUR CONVERSATION THE TOPIC OF SPALLINA'S ACTIONS CAME UP AND ROSE ADVISED ME HE BELIEVED SPALLINA HAD RELINQUISHED HIS FLORIDA BAR LICENSE BECAUSE OF HIS INVOLVEMENT AND ACTIONS HE TOOK. IN REGARD TO BERNSTEIN'S RICO CASE IN NEW YORK, HE ADVISED ME JUDGE SHEINDLIN HAD DISMISSED THE CASE IN 2008. ROSE OFFERED TO ALLOW ME TO VIEW THE DOCUMENTS HE HAD LOCATED IN SIMON BERNSTEIN'S OFFICE AND IT WAS AGREED THAT I COULD CONTACT HIM AND SET UP A MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATE AND TIME.

ON 06/09/15, I RESPONDED TO THE LAW OFFICES OF ATTORNEY ALAN ROSE, LOCATED AT 505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE - SUITE 600, WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401. ROSE PROVIDED ME WITH A SEALED ENVELOPE AND ASKED THAT I SIGN, DATE AND TIME THE BACK OF THE FOLDER UPON BREAKING THE SEAL. I COMPLIED WITH THE REQUEST. I REVIEWED THE DOCUMENTATION IN THE THREE GREEN FOLDERS CONTAINED WITHIN THE SEALED ENVELOPE UPON CLOSE EXAMINATION; THEY APPEARED TO BE ORIGINALS OF THE TRUST AGREEMENTS FOR JOSHUA BERNSTEIN, JACOB BERNSTEIN, AND DANIEL BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE TRUSTS. I COMPARED THE SIGNATURES TO THE COPIES I HAD RECEIVED AND THOSE THAT ROSE HAD SENT TO BERNSTEIN AND LESSNE IN HIS EMAIL DATED 05/20/15. THEY WERE THE SAME. ROSE HAD PREPARED COPIES OF THE EXECUTED DOCUMENTS AS WELL AS IRS PAPERWORK IN REGARD TO EACH TRUST SHOWING TRACI KRATISH PA AS THE TRUSTEE. AFTER COMPLETING THE REVIEW OF THE DOCUMENTS, ROSE TOOK POSSESSION OF THEM AND RETURNED THEM TO THE ENVELOPE. THE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY ROSE DURING THIS EXAMINATION AS WELL AS ANY OTHERS ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL EMAIL WILL BE MADE PART OF THE CASE FILE.

ON 06/12/15, I RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM BERNSTEIN REQUESTING A TIME FOR US TO SPEAK REGARDING SOME OLD ISSUES AND NEW ISSUES IN THE CASE. I ATTEMPTED TO REACH BERNSTEIN THE SAME DAY ON BOTH HIS OFFICE LINE AND HIS CELL NUMBER. ALL ATTEMPTS WERE NEGATIVE.

ON 06/16/15, I SENT BERNSTEIN AN EMAIL LETTING HIM KNOW I HAD ATTEMPTED TO REACH HIM ON BOTH HIS NUMBERS ON 06/12/15 AS WELL AS EARLIER THIS DATE. BERNSTEIN RESPONDED LATER IN THE DAY THANKING ME FOR MY ATTEMPTS TO REACH HIM AND ASKING WHAT TIME WOULD BE GOOD TO SPEAK ON 06/17/15. I ADVISED HIM THAT I WOULD TRY AND CONTACT HIM BETWEEN 0800 AND 0900 HOURS ON 06/17/15. BERNSTEIN REPLIED THAT THIS WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO SPEAK AND OFFERED TO MOVE THE CALL FORWARD ONE DAY TO 06/18/15, IF THAT WORKED BETTER FOR ME. I EXPLAINED TO BERNSTEIN I WAS IN TRAINING ON 06/18 AND 06/19. BERNSTEIN REPLIED IN PART, "WE CAN START TOMORROW ON SOME THINGS AND PICK UP MORE THE FOLLOWING WEEK."

ON 06/17/15, I MADE CONTACT WITH BERNSTEIN AT APPROXIMATELY 0935 HOURS. I APOLOGIZED FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO CALL BETWEEN 0800 AND 0900. I EXPLAINED I WAS ON MY WAY TO A MEETING AND COULD ONLY SPEAK FOR A FEW MINUTES. BERNSTEIN WAS SPEAKING TO ME ON A SPEAKERPHONE AND SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISED ME THAT HE HAD HIS BUSINESS ADVISOR, KEVIN HALL, LISTENING TO THE CALL. I TOLD BERNSTEIN I WAS NOT COMFORTABLE SPEAKING WITH HIM ABOUT THE SPECIFICS OF HIS ALLEGATIONS

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

PALM BEACH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PAGE 7
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 16 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

WITH HIM BEING ON A SPEAKER PHONE IN FRONT OF SOMEONE NOT INVOLVED IN THE CASE. DURING THE COURSE OF THE CALL, BERNSTEIN BROUGHT UP OLD ISSUES THAT WERE NOT PART OF MY INVESTIGATION. I TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO HIM AGAIN THAT I WAS NOT LOOKING INTO THE ALREADY INVESTIGATED ISSUES AND HE BECAME UPSET. WHEN ASKED WHAT NEW CRIMES HE WAS REFERRING TO, BERNSTEIN TOLD ME JUDGE COLIN SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED FOR "FRAUD UPON THE COURT". I EXPLAINED I WAS UNAWARE OF THAT PARTICULAR CHARGE AND ASKED IF HE COULD PROVIDE A STATUTE NUMBER SO I COULD LOOK INTO IT. BERNSTEIN THEN STATED IT WAS AN OBSTRUCTION CHARGE. BERNSTEIN SPOKE OF FRAUDULENT ACTS HE BELIEVED JUDGE COLIN HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN DURING THE TIME BERNSTEIN'S CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO HIS DOCKET.

BERNSTEIN MADE REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT I WAS NOT DOING MY JOB AND ASKED IF HE NEEDED TO SPEAK WITH CAPTAIN GREGG AGAIN. I TOLD HIM BY DOING SO WOULD BYPASS MY ENTIRE CHAIN OF COMMAND AND I COULDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY HE WOULD FEEL THE NEED TO DO THAT. BERNSTEIN THEN ASKED IF HE SHOULD GO TO INTERNAL AFFAIRS. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I WAS DOING MY JOB AND THE CASE WOULD PROBABLY COME BACK TO ME EVEN AFTER HE SPOKE WITH WHOMEVER HE WAS GOING TO SPEAK TO. DURING THIS CONVERSATION I COULD HEAR HALL IN THE BACKGROUND SPEAKING TO BERNSTEIN AS IF HE WAS GIVING HIM THINGS TO SAY OR RESPONDING TO THINGS I HAD SAID. IT WAS VERY DIFFICULT TO CONVERSE WITH BERNSTEIN DURING THIS CALL, AS HE WOULD SPEAK OVER ME AS I WAS TRYING TO ANSWER HIS QUESTION OR EXPLAIN THINGS TO HIM. I DID NOT ADDRESS THE EMAIL THAT HAD BEEN SENT TO HIM FROM ATTORNEY ROSE AND HE DID NOT BRING IT UP EITHER. UPON REACHING MY DESTINATION, I ADVISED BERNSTEIN THAT I WOULD HAVE TO END OUR CALL AND I WOULD ATTEMPT TO REACH HIM LATER IN THE DAY. I ATTEMPTED TO REACH BERNSTEIN ON BOTH HIS OFFICE AND CELL NUMBERS LATER IN THE AFTERNOON. BOTH ATTEMPTS WERE MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS.

ON 06/23/15, I RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM BERNSTEIN INQUIRING IF I WOULD HAVE TIME TO SPEAK WITH HIM ON THE 23RD OR 24TH. I REPLIED TO THE EMAIL AND IT WAS AGREED WE WOULD SPEAK ON 06/24/15 BETWEEN 0800-1000 HOURS. LATER THAT AFTERNOON, BERNSTEIN NOTIFIED ME BY EMAIL THAT HE WAS GOING TO HAVE TO RESCHEDULE THE MEETING AS HE WOULD NEED TO BE IN MIAMI TESTIFYING AT ANOTHER COURT CORRUPTION HEARING FOR A PROBATE VICTIM. HE INQUIRED AS TO WHETHER THE FOLLOWING DAY AT THE SAME TIME WOULD BE OK. I ADVISED HIM I WOULD NOT KNOW UNTIL LATE IN THE AFTERNOON. I WAS UNABLE TO CALL BERNSTEIN DUE TO BEING CALLED OUT FOR AN IN PROGRESS CASE.

ON 06/25/15, BERNSTEIN SENT ME AN EMAIL REQUESTING A TIME WHEN WE COULD CONTINUE OUR DISCUSSION REGARDING THE NEW CRIMES AND OLD CRIMES THAT WERE DISCUSSED THE PRIOR WEEK. I RESPONDED TO BERNSTEIN IMMEDIATELY ADVISING HIM THAT I WAS DOING A SEARCH WARRANT THAT MORNING AND I WAS UNSURE HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE. I ADVISED HIM I WOULD GAUGE THE REST OF THE DAY AND GIVE HIM A CALL LATER IN THE AFTERNOON. LATE IN THE AFTERNOON OF 06/25/15, I ATTEMPTED

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 8
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 16 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

CONTACT WITH BERNSTEIN AT HIS OFFICE NUMBER. THE CALL WAS ANSWERED BY HIS WIFE WHO TOLD ME BERNSTEIN WAS NOT THERE. I ASKED IF SHE THOUGHT I COULD REACH HIM ON HIS CELL PHONE AND AFTER A BRIEF HOLD, SHE CAME BACK ON THE LINE AND ADVISED BERNSTEIN WAS NOW PRESENT AND COULD TAKE MY CALL. UPON BERNSTEIN COMING ON THE LINE I REALIZED HE WAS ON A SPEAKERPHONE. I EXPLAINED THAT I COULD NOT HEAR HIM VERY WELL AND ASKED HIM TO TAKE THE SPEAKERPHONE OFF. BERNSTEIN ADVISED HIS BUSINESS ADVISOR, HALL, WAS PRESENT AND WOULD BE MONITORING THE CALL.

DURING THE COURSE OF THIS CONVERSATION, BERNSTEIN AGAIN SPOKE OF A NUMBER OF FRAUDS AGAINST THE COURT HE BELIEVED JUDGE COLIN HAD COMMITTED THAT HE WISHED HIM INVESTIGATED AND PROSECUTED FOR. BERNSTEIN ADVISED ME HE WAS AWARE THAT KRATISH HAD COME TO SEE ME AT MY OFFICE AND I HAD TURNED HER AWAY. I TRIED TO EXPLAIN THIS WAS NOT ACCURATE AND THAT I HAD SPOKEN WITH KRATISH AT HER ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, AND HAD SPOKEN WITH OTHER SUBJECTS AS WELL IN REGARD TO THIS INVESTIGATION. UPON BROACHING THE SUBJECT OF THE RICO CASE IN NY THAT BERNSTEIN HAD MENTIONED ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS AND INQUIRING AS TO THE STATUS OF THE CASE, BERNSTEIN BECAME VERY UPSET. BERNSTEIN ACKNOWLEDGED THE CASE WAS DISMISSED IN 2008 BUT STATED HE WAS FILING AN APPEAL AS NEW ALLEGATIONS AND EVIDENCE HAD COME TO LIGHT.

BERNSTEIN ACCUSED ME OF NOT DOING MY JOB AND AS HE SPOKE HE WOULD SAY "AND YOU TOLD ME OR AND YOU DID OR DIDN'T DO THIS". I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT A NUMBER OF THINGS HE WAS SPEAKING OF HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH ME OR MY PORTION OF THE INVESTIGATION. BERNSTEIN REPLIED THAT WHEN HE SAID "YOU" HE WAS REFERRING TO PBSO IN CERTAIN INSTANCES BUT WASN'T CLEAR WHICH INSTANCES HE WAS REFERRING TO. BERNSTEIN FELT THAT ROBERT SPALLINA SHOULD HAVE BEEN ARRESTED AND COULD NOT UNDERSTAND WHY HE HAD NOT BEEN ARRESTED AS OF YET. I ADVISED BERNSTEIN IT WAS NOT UP TO HIM AS TO WHETHER OR NOT SPALLINA WAS ARRESTED AND ANOTHER DETECTIVE HAD HANDLED THAT PART OF THE INVESTIGATION. AS BERNSTEIN BECAME MORE UPSET WITH THE ANSWERS HE WAS RECEIVING FROM ME, THE ISSUE OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION CAME UP AS BERNSTEIN HAD MADE ALLEGATIONS OF INTERSTATE MAIL AND WIRE FRAUD. I ADVISED HIM HE COULD SEEK ASSISTANCE FROM A FEDERAL AGENCY AND THAT I WOULD WRAP UP MY CASE AND HE COULD MOVE FORWARD FEDERALLY, ALTHOUGH I COULD NOT THINK OF AN AGENCY THAT WOULD TAKE THE CASE. BERNSTEIN STATED HE DID NOT WISH TO DISCUSS THIS CASE WITH ME ANY FURTHER, YET HE CONTINUED TO SPEAK TO ME. AS I TOLD BERNSTEIN I WOULD BE COMPLETING MY REPORT, HE STATED HE DID NOT WANT ME TO DO THAT AS HE WAS GOING TO BE CONTACTING CAPTAIN GREGG AND POSSIBLY INTERNAL AFFAIRS. BERNSTEIN THEN ASKED FOR THE NUMBER TO INTERNAL AFFAIRS AND I PROVIDED HIM INFORMATION AS TO HOW TO REACH THEM THROUGH THE MAIN PBSO NUMBER. BERNSTEIN CONTINUED TO SPEAK TO ME AND SHORTLY THEREAFTER THE CALL WAS CONCLUDED.

ON 06/29/15, I RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM BERNSTEIN IN REGARD TO THE

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM

P A L M B E A C H C O U N T Y S H E R I F F ' S O F F I C E PAGE 9
CASE NO. 14029489 SUPPLEMENT 16 OFFENSE REPORT CASE NO. 14029489
DISPOSITION: ZULU

INVESTIGATION. THE EMAIL WAS COPIED TO 13 OTHER RECIPIENTS. THE EMAIL AND ITS ATTACHMENTS AS WELL AS ALL OF THE EMAILS RECEIVED FROM BERNSTEIN DURING MY PORTION OF THIS INVESTIGATION WILL BE TRANSFERRED TO DISK AND PLACED INTO PBSO EVIDENCE.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT BERNSTEIN HAS YET TO DISCLOSE TO ME HE WAS IN RECEIPT OF THE EMAIL OF 05/20/15 FROM ATTORNEY ROSE DESCRIBING THE DISCOVERY OF THE ORIGINAL TRUST DOCUMENTS, WHICH WAS THE BASIS FOR THIS ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION AND THAT BERNSTEIN ALLEGED WERE FORGED AND/OR DID NOT EXIST. IT IS NOT KNOWN IF HE IS AWARE THAT I HAVE MET WITH ROSE AND VIEWED THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS OR THAT KRATISH HAS IDENTIFIED THE SIGNATURES ON THE COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS SHOWN TO HER AS BEING HER SIGNATURE.

BASED UPON THE TOTALITY OF THE INVESTIGATIVE EFFORT, I DO NOT FIND EVIDENCE OR PROBABLE CAUSE TO SUPPORT ANY CRIMINAL CHARGES. THIS CASE WILL BE CLASSIFIED AS A NON-CRIMINAL INFORMATION REPORT.

DETECTIVE ANDREW PANZER #6685

06/30/15

TRANS. VIA EMAIL/COPY/PASTE: 07/02/2015/MDR/#6405

printed by Employee Id #: 8105 on August 31, 2015 01:31:42PM
