

1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.

2 A. To the best of my knowledge, I don't think
3 he was at that time.

4 Q. One second, please.

5 Do you recall when the Shirley Bernstein
6 Trust sold the condominium that, among the contents
7 of the condominium would be property that would
8 have then been owned by the estate of Simon
9 Bernstein?

10 A. Well, under -- under Shirley's documents,
11 all the tangible personal property passed to Simon.

12 Q. I thought -- at the time that Shirley's
13 condo was sold, whatever contents were in it would
14 have been owned by Simon's estate.

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. At the time you were the personal
17 representative or copersonal representative of
18 Simon's estate; is that correct?

19 A. At the time that the sale occurred; yes.

20 Q. Did you and the other copersonal
21 representative agree that the -- that the property
22 should be sold with the condominium; and that if
23 there was ever a time in the future when there
24 needed to be some allocation, it could be handled
25 in the future, rather than either interfering with

1 the sale of the condo, or requiring the furniture
2 to be to be removed from the condo?

3 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.

4 A. I don't recall if I was directly involved
5 in that discussion.

6 Q. Does it make sense to you that if the
7 beneficiaries of the Shirley trust are the same as
8 the beneficiaries of the Simon estate should not
9 undergo an expense to move furniture or undertake
10 an allocation if the money is going to the same
11 people?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And if at some later point in time it
14 makes a difference, couldn't somebody then go back
15 and allocate some portion of the purchase price
16 from the Shirley condo and give the money to the
17 Simon estate for the value of the -- of his
18 personal property that was included in the sale?

19 A. Yes, it could true up.

20 Q. Does that make more sense to you, that an
21 estate with limited resources -- to true it up at
22 the end, if it matters, rather than undertake that
23 expense at the time of the sale?

24 MR. FEAMAN: Form.

25 A. From a practical standpoint, given the

1 fact that these estates were not going to be
2 subject to federal estate tax liabilities; yes.

3 **Q. As you sit here today, do you have any**
4 **reason you would advise Judge Colin or any**
5 **hesitancy in suggesting that Ted would be a proper**
6 **candidate and could -- and competent and capable of**
7 **doing the job if the judge were to appoint Ted as**
8 **the successor personal representative of his**
9 **father's estate?**

10 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form.

11 A. I would have no object -- I would have no
12 problem in recommending Mr. Ted Bernstein to serve
13 in the fiduciary capacity requested.

14 MR. ROSE: That's the end of my
15 examination. I'd like mine ordered on an expedited
16 basis. And I have no further questions; and turn
17 him over to cross-examination by whomever wishes to
18 do so.

19 MR. FEAMAN: Okay if I go next with
20 everybody?

21 THE WITNESS: Is that Peter?

22 MR. FEAMAN: Yeah.

23 THE WITNESS: All right. Let me just get
24 the other set of exhibits there.

25 MR. FEAMAN: Alan Rose, I'm going to