

Reverend Crystal L. Cox  
 Pro Se Defendant  
 Oregon Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF OREGON  
 Portland Division

Obsidian Finance Group  
 v.

Crystal Cox

Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ

Motion to Legally Relate U.S. District Court  
 Southern District of New York (Foley Square),  
 CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS  
 ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division )

I, Defendant Crystal L. Cox, request / move this court to enter **U.S. District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS, Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee et al Assigned to: Judge Shira A. Scheindlin as a Related Case to Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ, District of Oregon.**

**May of 2012**, 6 months after the Obsidian v. Cox Trial was over and COX had a 2.5 million dollar judgment against her and was many months into her appeal. Attorney David Aman, on behalf of Plaintiff, filed a lawsuit against Eliot Bernstein.

This was not filed as a separate claim, it was filed on this very docket in this very case, Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ. This was a violation of rules of procedure, of civil rights, due process laws and the constitution of the United States.

AMAN filed this claim as a retaliation against Bernstein, who is the inventor of what is said to be a 13 Trillion Dollar Invention, in which was the primary reason Enron collapses. AMAN, a Tonkon Torp lawyer brought Eliot Bernstein into this case to defame him, discredit him, slander him, intimidate him and attempt to scare him into silence.

Enron's main bankruptcy Attorney's, Law Firm was Tonkon Torp, Michael Morgan.

Tonkon Torp has motive to try and silence and discredit, harass and intimidate Eliot Bernstein, iViewit inventor.

Bringing in an unknown person to this case 6 months after the TRIAL and months into Cox's appeal, putting them on the docket for a named Defendant and showing that had a 2.5 million judgement against them for years is UNJUST, illegal, violates the rules of procedure, violates Eliot Bernsteins constitutional rights and harms him.

Judge Marco Hernandez immediately struck the claim down, however Judge Hernandez allowed to Eliot's name to be put on the docket as a named defendant, for years showing that Eliot Bernstein had a 2.5 million dollar judgement, even though he was not named in the case and no part of this case.

Judge Marco Hernandez has conspired with Tonkon Torp law firm against an Investigative Blogger, Crystal Cox to attempt to discredit the corruption in OREGON and surrounding the iViewit case in which Cox is exposing.

This has caused a great deal of harm to Eliot Bernstein in his other cases.

Defendant Cox's counterclaims named a large amount of counter defendant's and was a part of this case, Judge Marco Hernandez did not list ONE of them on the docket as counter defendants, and this was long before the trial.

This harmed Eliot Bernstein in his other multi-trillion dollar cases such as District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ), as well as his current legal battles over his family's estate which involves forgery, fraud and possible murder in Florida Estate Case, Simon Bernstein Trust **502012CP004391XXXXSB** and Florida Estate Case Shirley Bernstein Trust **502011CP000653XXXXSB**. In this ongoing case, Marc Randazza has conspired with those involved, and the attorneys are seeking ongoing revenge against COX and Bernstein, and using strong armed legal tactics as seen in the following eMail;

**Ted Bernstein eMail:**

"Alan - I want Eliot's deposition scheduled as soon as you can notice him. We can discuss the strategy once he is served. I want to go through each claim with you and/or John to determine the legal necessity to respond. If any reply is necessary, the record must be straight with respect to each.

This is a rambling, filled with contradictions that need to be exposed for what they are. If John does not want to tangle with Eliot, remove John immediately. I am sorry to be this blunt, but I do not want to address the John issue again.

If he is not 100% in support of me as trustee, including how I have protected myself with trust assets and will continue doing so as necessary, and being aggressive and forceful, if need be, with Eliot, remove him as counsel.

I do not want to spend another unnecessary dollar with counsel that is not going to zealously defend me as trustee and protect trust assets.

I cannot be more decisive re this and I say this with no animosity - simply for efficiency sake and my best interest.

Eliot is in default of production. Let's serve notice on him that he is in default.

I want Eliot to produce everything he has with respect to these cases, including:

Documents he refers to having that provide trusts for him and/or his children.

Agreements he has signed with my father and mother, together or separately.

All correspondence between him and my parents, together or separately concerning anything he has referenced in his ramblings through this one.

Anything and everything pertaining to iVIEWIT, including his harassment of Jerry Lewin, Al Gortz of Proskauer and their firms.

I want court proceedings, lawsuits, all correspondence to him and from him including paper and electronic, including video tapes and electronic interviews.

History of incidents at St. Andrews school.

All correspondence with Bill Stansbury. Everything related to Feaman / Stansbury

All bank accounts, credit cards, sources of income, loans and gifts.

All correspondence with anyone he has shared estate details.

All correspondence of every type with: walker, puzzio, SAHM, Diana banks, Scott banks, NACLERIO, Dietz, Gefen and every person on his email distribution list. If he doesn't comply, I want all of them deposed.

Everything in which he has mentioned my name including emails, phone calls, letters, complaints to whatever agencies he has made complaints including police, federal, state, regulatory.

Everything and anything he is doing that we are not yet aware of such as online web site attacks.

Everything connected to crystal cox concerning me and anyone else he is extorting and harassing together with her.

Manceri filed production requests. If it is possible to hand eliot a subpoena for his deposition at tomorrows hearing, that would be great.

I also want feamans deposition taken in connection with this case and what he is doing with Eliot.

If mediation is scheduled and you feel this is better done after the mediation, I am okay with that. If it is not, let's take his depo.

How much is in Feaman trust account that has been stolen from us?

I want an accounting.

Has any money been used for Stansbury defense thus far?

If we are scheduled for mediation, will this be revealed? If we are not, I want to know ASAP what is in account, I want all statements and any expenditures.

I'm sure I will think of more.

Ted Bernstein"

**Plaintiff's Attorney David Aman conspired with Marc Randazza in suing Eliot Bernstein** and in November of 2012 Marc Randazza sued Eliot Bernstein and Crystal Cox for millions out of Nevada. (DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2:12-cv-02040-JAD-PAL)

Randazza, Cox's former Attorney and AMAN Plaintiff's attorney worked together to get

Lara Pearson Receiver to SEIZE Eliot Bernstein's blogs, domain names and private information.

David Aman, Plaintiff's attorney has recently flat out lied and perjured himself in sworn affidavit in that ongoing case to retaliate and seek revenge against COX who is reporting on corruption, and has reported on Eliot Bernstein's invention (iViewit) for over 5 years.

Also it is of massive importance to NOTE that Eliot Bernstein interviewed SUMMIT BANKRUPTCY INSIDER AND Whistleblower Stephanie DeYoung while she was hospitalized against her will to silence her and COX believes to kill her.

Therefore Bernstein has knowledge of AMAN and Plaintiff, as well as Obsidian VP Patricia Whittington involved in HIPAA violations and false imprisonment of a whistleblower, as well as related crimes.

### **BACKGROUND**

I, Defendant Crystal L. Cox, have been reporting on the iViewit Technology, Eliot Bernstein Story for 5 years and have since been targeted to shut down my online investigative reporting.

In reporting on the Summit Bankruptcy I ran across the Enron, Tonkon Torp Proskauer Rose connection to a 13 trillion dollar stolen technology.

I began reporting on this invention iViewit. I interviewed Eliot Bernstein, and from that point my life was turned upside down. My home phone was tapped, I was targeted by police and attorneys, my home was taken in an illegal foreclosure, I was held at the Canadian border where I had lived my entire life for over 6 hours, I was held separate at airports such as Eureka California and told that YES I was on a watch list, I was threatened with being killed on several occasions, I was drugged, I was sued and constantly attacked and harassed. I am now homeless, penniless and have lost everything I knew to be my life, family, friends, business and home.

All this because I have been reporting on local crime, rape, rogue cops and a Sheriff recall and blowing the whistle on the real estate industry which led to reporting on the Summit 1031 Exchange Bankruptcy, which led to iViewit, which ruined my life.

In reporting on and exposing Marc Randazza, his connection to David Aman, Plaintiff attorney and issues whereby Marc Randazza supported Pedophiles, defended Human Traffickers and was involved in organized crime in the Porn Industry, I ran across the whistleblower blogs of **Diana Grandmason aKa Desi Foxx** (registered Human Traffic Victim) and **Alexandria Melody aKa Monica Foster**. And I began reposting from their sites on to my blogs. Randazza then sued and attacked them both in multiple courts, threatened them, used subpoenas through my cases to get private information on them and on Eliot Bernstein.

Randazza, conspiring with David Aman Plaintiff attorney in this case, attacked Monica Foster aKa Alexandria Melody in court cases after she extensively interviewed Eliot Bernstein about the iViewit Technology, of which the porn industry uses the technology every minute of everyday with no royalties to the inventors which ENRON, Proskauer Rose and Tonkon Torp helped to STEAL their rights.

I have told the courts over and over of the danger Monica Foster aKa Alexandria Melody is believed to be in and I am unheard, as she is exposing huge human trafficking, organized crime and pedophile rings within the porn industry and is targeted, sued, harassed, and intimidated on a daily basis.

Named Defendants of **2:13-cv-00297-JCM-VCF have retaliated against iViewit Technology, Eliot Bernstein.** District of Nevada Case 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL is legally related to **2:13-cv-00297-JCM-VCF, as the docket shows. Eliot Bernstein is a named defendant in** District of Nevada Case 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL which is now 2:12-cv-02040-JAD-PAL

I have reported on the Christine Anderson case out of New York where elite law firms were whitewashing documents, this involved Enron and iViewit and I am targeted for this as well.

District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ), DISCUSSES the ISSUES surrounding David Aman and Marc Randazza, as well as Judge Marco Hernandez bringing Eliot Bernstein into this case and others ILLEGALLY;

**Exhibit 1- Page 56-59**

VI. ABUSE OF PROCESS CLAIMS

..... 56

1. OBSIDIAN FINANCE GROUP, LLC ET AL. V. COX CASE NO. 3:11-CV-00057-HZ (HEREBY FULLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN ENTIRETY HEREIN, ALL PLEADINGS, ORDERS, ETC.) ..... 56

VI. ABUSE OF PROCESS CLAIMS

1. OBSIDIAN FINANCE GROUP, LLC ET AL. V. COX CASE NO. 3:11-CV-00057-HZ (HEREBY FULLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN ENTIRETY HEREIN, ALL PLEADINGS, ORDERS, ETC.)

50. That on January 2011 Obsidian V. Cox was Filed in the District of Oregon.

51. That this case involves Crystal Cox (“Cox”) who is an investigative journalist reporting on the Plaintiffs and Defendants in the Anderson and Legally Related Cases and reporting upon the actions and inactions of this Court.

52. That Cox has now also become the target of several central Defendants of this RICO and ANTITRUST Lawsuit through LEGAL PROCESS ABUSE and more.

53. That now these same Defendants in this RICO are now inextricably bound to the Obsidian lawsuit.

4. That upon my knowledge, information and belief, The Obsidian Finance Group v. Crystal Cox trial was in November of 2011 and there was a \$2.5 million dollar verdict rendered to Cox. At that time and at all times, Cox was the only named and served defendant in that case, the only defendant on trial, and the only defendant a judgment was ordered against.

55. That six months after a judgment was issued against Cox in the case, which is now on appeal with the famed First Amendment Rights Attorney at Law and Professor Eugene Volokh, Esq., Professor at UCLA School of Law who is representing Cox, attempts were made to add Plaintiff Bernstein via a “Supplemental Motion” to the Obsidian lawsuit as a defendant and have him added to the 2.5 Million Dollar Judgment in effect. After the case was already decided and where Plaintiff was not ever before a party.

56. That several hours after the filing of this “Supplemental Complaint” the Judge struck it from the record, as indicated in the Docket report below.

05/11/2012 136 STRICKEN per order of 5/11/2012. Supplemental Complaint. (statutory fee exempt status selected) Jury Trial Requested: Yes. Filed by Obsidian Finance Group,

LLC, Kevin D. Padrick against All Defendants. (Aman, David) Modified on 5/11/2012 (mr). (Entered: 05/11/2012)

05/11/2012 137 STRICKEN per order of 5/11/2012. Proposed Summons to Eliot Bernstein Filed by All Plaintiffs. (Aman, David) Modified on 5/11/2012 (mr). (Entered: 05/11/2012)

05/11/2012 138 ORDER: STRIKING the supplemental complaint 136 and proposed summons 137 for failure to comply with FRCP 15(d) which requires that the party seeking to file a supplemental complaint do so by motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(d); see also Connecticut, LLC v. Zuckerberg, 522 F.3d 82, 90 (1st Cir. 2008) (supplemental complaint cannot be filed as a matter of course).

20 SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT (FRAUDULENT TRANSFER)

<http://ia600403.us.archive.org/9/items/gov.uscourts.ord.101036/gov.uscourts.ord.101036.136.0.pdf>

In any motion for leave to file a supplemental complaint, plaintiffs are requested to thoroughly address, with relevant authority, the following issues:

(1) this Court's jurisdiction over the matter given that a Notice of Appeal has been filed;

(2) whether a supplemental complaint is allowed post-judgment; (3) why the alleged fraudulent transfer claim should be raised in a supplemental complaint as opposed to bringing it in a new action. Ordered by Judge Marco A. Hernandez.

Copy of this order emailed and mailed to defendant Crystal Cox. (mr) (Entered: 05/11/2012)

57. That upon my knowledge, information and belief, the District of Oregon court by Judge Marco Hernandez ("Hernandez") within hours denied this FRAUDULENT attempt to add Bernstein as a defendant in the lawsuit after the fact and yet this reveals another instance of attempted Fraud on that Court through Abuse of Process by these criminals disguised as Attorneys at Law in efforts to secure a judgment against Plaintiff and further defame and harass him.

However, despite this attempt being denied by that Court, Plaintiff now appears to be a defendant on the docket of that lawsuit, despite never having been a defendant in the case

or ever being served in the suit and this acts to defame and damage Plaintiff despite the ruling to strike Plaintiff as a defendant.

Anyone looking up the case for example at Pacer sees Plaintiff as a defendant and may presume the Judgment was rendered against him too. That this constitutes further RICO acts against Plaintiff in harassing him through further Abuse of Process and more.

58. That upon my knowledge, information and belief, the District of Oregon court strikingly however failed to docket a single counter defendant sued by Cox in her counter complaint and yet made sure to get Plaintiff center stage billing on the docket for such a brief appearance.

59. That upon my knowledge, information and belief, David S. Aman ("Aman") is a lawyer with Tonkon Torp Law Firm ("TT") in Portland Oregon. Aman is counsel for Obsidian Finance Group and Kevin D. Padrick ("Padrick"), in the legal action Obsidian Finance Group v. Crystal Cox. ( District of Oregon 3:11-cv-00057-HZ ). Aman was involved in the Summit bankruptcy in which Cox, an investigative blogger had been reporting on for three years. Aman was named in an objection to the fees legal action filed by Stephanie Studebaker DeYoung ("DeYoung"), and other Summit bankruptcy investors and creditors. Aman deposed Cox's "source", the Summit bankruptcy whistleblower DeYoung years prior to Obsidian Finance Group v. Crystal Cox, and knew the role that Cox played in the reporting of the Summit bankruptcy case.

Aman filed a legal action against Cox for 10 million dollars, on behalf of Padrick, bankruptcy trustee. This legal action was to shut down the blogs of investigative blogger Cox, as these blogs exposed the details of a \$40 million dollar Oregon bankruptcy.

These blogs also expose and link to the details of the Iviewit companies Intellectual Property thefts and wholly cover this RICO lawsuit and the related lawsuits.

The blogs also tie the involvement of TT clients Enron and Intel and where Plaintiff alleges that attempted thefts of Plaintiff's Intellectual Properties were the primary reason by which Enron collapsed through their Enron Broadband Division and led to Arthur Andersen's collapse, as pleaded previously to this Court. "

**See Exhibit 1 attached to this motion for full document.**

Marc J. Randazza contacted Eliot Bernstein to attempt to get him to conspire against Crystal Cox. Claiming that he would not sue Eliot Bernstein if he aided Randazza and

AMAN in his efforts to shut down Crystal Cox.

Marc Randazza sued Eliot Bernstein (iViewit) as BERNSTEIN would not conspire against COX.

Randazza, as AMAN sued me, Pro Se Litigant Crystal Cox, in retaliation to shut down my whistleblower media, blogs reporting on the iViewit Technology case and the involvement of Marc Randazza's clients and Tonkon Torp's client ENRON, this is related case. District of Nevada 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL, and thereby **2:13-cv-00297-JCM-VCF** is directly related to U.S. District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS. ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ).

Attorney Marc Randazza, and PLAINTIFF Attorney David Aman has retaliated against iViewit Technology, Eliot Bernstein, through this legal action and against defendant Investigative Blogger Crystal Cox, in order to suppress information on the iViewit Technology.. District of Nevada Case 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL is legally related to 2:13-cv-00297-JCM-VCF, as the docket shows. Eliot Bernstein is a named defendant in District of Nevada Case 2:12-cv-02040-GMN-PAL.

Marc J. Randazza contacted Eliot Bernstein to attempt to get him to conspire against me, Crystal Cox, and make some deal with Marc Randazza and David Aman. Claiming that he would not sue Eliot Bernstein if Bernstein aided Randazza in his efforts to shut down Crystal Cox, though this directly shut down blogs reporting on the iViewit Technology Story (U.S. District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS. ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ) AND U.S. District Court Southern District of New York, Civil Case Number 1:07-cv-09599-SAS. (Anderson v. The State of New York et al) which is legally related cases as show on both of those case dockets.

Randazza and Aman also spoke with former iViewit connection Stephen Lamont to conspire against COX and Bernstein.

I, Defendant Crystal L. Cox, request this court to enter U.S. District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS, Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division First Department, Departmental Disciplinary Committee et al, Assigned to: Judge Shira A. Scheindlin, as a Related Case to this case.

Over the last 3 years I, Crystal L. Cox, have reported on, received tips on, took interviews on those connected with the Christine Anderson Whistle Blower case out of New York (

U.S. District Court Southern District of New York, Civil Case Number 1:07-cv-09599-SAS. ) Anderson v. The State of New York et al, this case is legally related to CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ).

I have also reported on connected issues, people, and corporations such as Andrew Cuomo as New York Attorney General, James Pelzer, Rita Adler ex Chief Counsel of the NYS Grievance Committee for Tenth Judicial District, many stories regarding the Tenth Judicial District, New York's Second Department, State Attorney General Office, Nicole Corrado Esq Whistle Blower, New York Senate Judiciary Committee, Naomi Goldstein, New York State Bar, New York Ethics Committee, JP Morgan, Peter Sivere Whistle Blower, Elliot Spitzer, Enron, George Demos, Philip Falcone FCC Issues, Warner Bros., Time Warner, Proskauer Rose Law Firm, SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING, Senator John Sampson Chairman, Judicial & Attorney Disciplinary Process in the State of New York and many more involved in the New York Judicial Process and connected to the "Cleaner", Whistle Blower case brought forth by Christine Anderson.

**I, Crystal L. Cox, have been the target of widespread whistle blower retaliation, the goal being to shut down my investigative reporting. The tactics used to stop the flow of information on my investigative blogs has cause irreparable damage to my sources, informants, and to my personal life, business and reputation.**

The Felony Crimes alleged by Anderson, directly relate to this RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit.

Evidence was obtained on Thursday, January 24, 2013, confirming the position of a former NYS attorney ethics committee insider that various illegal actions were employed by New York State employees to target and/or protect select attorneys.

During the wrongful termination case of former Manhattan ethics attorney Christine Anderson, it was revealed that New York State employees had a nick-name for supervising ethics attorney Naomi Goldstein. Naomi Goldstein was, "The Cleaner."

I, DEFENDANT Crystal L. Cox, believe I am a "TARGET" of these wiretaps, surveillance, and retaliations and therefore :13-cv-00297-JCM-VCF is legally related to this case.

"A. NEW YORK SUPREME COURT WHISTLEBLOWER ATTORNEY, CHRISTINE C.

ANDERSON, ESQ. ("Anderson") MAKES FELONY CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS IN US FEDERAL COURT AND BEFORE THE NEW YORK SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SENIOR RANKING OFFICIALS OF THE US ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT, THE NEW YORK SUPREME COURT DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENTS, "FAVORED LAWYERS AND LAW FIRMS" [Footnote 3] and names a "CLEANER" [Footnote 4] , as revealed in federal court testimony, a one Naomi Goldstein."

"This "whitewashing" sometimes involved burying cases or destroying evidence, so that certain complaints were inevitably, unavoidably, dismissed. I witnessed this destruction of evidence myself. Other reported misconduct involves victimizing attorneys lacking privileged positions or connections."

I, Crystal L. Cox, believe I am "targeted" also as I have been reporting on these issues for over 5 years, and have named many in this RICO complaint that are connected to the Christine Anderson case and it's legally related iViewit Technology, Eliot Bernstein Case. CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ).

### **"Ethics" Retaliation Machine Was Real**

The focus of this initial article concerns the 1st and 2nd judicial department, though the illegal methods are believed to have been utilized statewide in all 4 judicial departments.

The Cleaner's Man says that he would receive a telephone call from Naomi Goldstein, who would say, "we have another target, I want to meet you..." The Man also says that Thomas Cahill, a former DDC Chief Counsel, and Sherry Cohen, a former Deputy-Chief Counsel, were knowledgeable of all of Naomi Goldstein's activity with him and his team.

The meetings, he says, were usually at a park or restaurant near the Manhattan Attorney ethics offices (the "DDC") in lower Manhattan, however he did over time meet Goldstein at his office, the DDC or in movie theater- a venue picked by Naomi. Goldstein would provide her Man with the name, and other basic information, so that the Man's team could begin their "investigation."

The Man specifically recalls Naomi Goldstein advising him to "get as much damaging information as possible on Christine [Anderson]."

The Man says that they then tapped Ms. Anderson's phones, collected ALL "ISP" computer data, including all emails, and set up teams to surveil Anderson 24/7. The Man says he viewed the improperly recorded conversations and ISP data, and then personally handed those items over to Naomi Goldstein.

Anderson should not, however, feel like she was a lone target. According to The Man, "...over 125 cases were interfered with...." And there were dozens of "targeted" lawyers, says The Man, adding, that the actions of his teams were clearly "intentionally obstructing justice."

If Ms. Goldstein had identified the Ethics Committee's newest target as an attorney, it was quickly qualified with whether the involved lawyer was to be "screwed or UNScrewed."

Unscrewed was explained as when an attorney needed to be "protected" or "saved" even if they did, in fact, have a major ethics problem.

The Man has a nice way of explaining his actions, the "authority" to so act and, he says, over 1.5 million documents as proof..... The U.S. Attorney is aware of The Man and his claims...."

Christine Anderson, Nicole Corrado and Other Public Office Whistleblowers cited, also gave explanation for why Judges and Attorneys at Law are now desperately trying to grant themselves

immunity for felony crimes and attempting to use the s and other Government officials as accomplice in the cover-up. I, Plaintiff Crystal L. Cox, believe I am "targeted" and therefore seek to legally related these cases.

**In RICO / Racketeering Cases**, it is essential to prove pattern and history.

1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ) will provide such proof and essential to the merits, and matter of law of District of Nevada RICO

2:13-cv-00297-MMD-VCF.

## **CONCLUSION**

Therefore it is essential to JUSTICE for all to relate District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ) with this case District of Oregon Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ.

Cox movest this court to relate District Court Southern District of New York (Foley Square), CIVIL CASE #: 1:07-cv-11196-SAS ( Bernstein et al v. Appellate Division ) with this case District of Oregon Civil No. CV 11-0057 HZ.

**Certificate of Service**

On August 16, 2014 I hereby certify that I served the foregoing on:

Portland U.S. Courts  
1000 SW Third Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

via Electronic Service

/s/ Crystal L. Cox, Pro Se

Pro Se Defendant  
Reverend Crystal L. Cox  
PO Box 2027  
Port Townsend, WA 98368  
SavvyBroker@yahoo.com