IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB

TED BERNSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 114

Tuesday, December 15, 2015
North County Courthouse
Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
9:43 a.m. - 4:48 p.m.

Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198 - VOL 1

2	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
4	MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
5	505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
6	Phone: 561.655.2250 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
7	
8	On behalf of the Defendant:
9	ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
10	2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, Florida 33434
11	Phone: 561.245.8588 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12	
13	On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein:
14	JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
15	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
	330 Clematis Street
16	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida
17	Suite 213
17 18	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19 20	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19 20 21	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19 20 21 22	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866

1			
1			
2		INDEX	
3			
4			
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RE	CROSS
6	ROBERT SPALLINA		
7	BY MR. ROSE: BY MR. MORRISSEY:	<del></del>	
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	91	
9			
10			
11			
12		EXHIBITS	
13			
14			
15	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
16		COPY OF SHIRLEY'S WILL SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST	34 62
17		AGREEMENT FIRST AMENDMENT OF SHIRLEY	39
18		BERNSTEIN'S TRUST SI'S NEW WILL	70
19		SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST	
20	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 7	AGREEMENT	20
21	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 9	11/16/07 INTAKE SHEET MEETING NOTES	13 14
22	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 11	4/19/08 LETTER	27
23		EMAIL FROM ELIOT BERNSTEIN	
24		5/24/12 LETTER DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY	64 66
25	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 17	LETTER	73

1					
2					
3		Е Х Н	I B I T S (cont'd)		
4					
5	PIATNTTEF'S EX	1.8	DEATH CERTIFICATE	74	
6			GREENWALD DOCUMENTS	17	
7					
8	DEFENDANT'S EX.	1	FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT	102	
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18 19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE COURT: We're here on the Bernstein case.
4	Everybody ready to go?
5	MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes.
6	Alan Rose on behalf of the plaintiff, Ted S.
7	Bernstein, as successor trustee.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. ROSE: And with me is my partner, Greg
10	Weiss. May not be for the whole trial, but he is
11	with us for the beginning.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Well, great. Thanks for
13	coming.
14	And who's on the other side?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se, sir.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to have
17	any counsel? Who's with you at the table?
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's my lovely wife,
19	Candice.
20	THE COURT: All right. And why are you at the
21	table?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's one of the questions I
23	would like to address. I'm here individually.
24	THE COURT: Right.

1 But I'm also here on behalf, supposedly, of my 2 minor children, who aren't represented by counsel. 3 And I'm sued as a trustee of a trust that I've 4 never possessed. THE COURT: Are you asking me a question? 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: What's the question? MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are being 8 sued. 9 10 THE COURT: What's the question? MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued as their 11 12 trustee, but I'm --13 THE COURT: Stop, please. 14 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: I would love to talk with you all 16 day --17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 18 THE COURT: -- but we're not going to have 19 that happen. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: This is not a conversation. This 21 22 is a trial. So my question is, What is your 23 question? You said you had a question. MR. BERNSTEIN: I tried to get counsel for my 24 25 children who was willing to make a pro hoc vice --

```
1
          THE COURT: When will you ask me the question?
    Because this is all --
 2
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like to stay the
 4
    proceeding.
          THE COURT: Okay. The request for a
 5
    continuance is denied. Thank you.
6
7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you read the filing I
     filed? Because my children are minor --
8
          THE COURT: Was that your question?
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are
11
    minors --
12
          THE COURT: Please stop.
         MR. BERNSTEIN: -- and they're not represented
13
14
    here.
15
          THE COURT: What is your name again, sir?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein.
16
17
          THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I'll be
18
    courteous, unless it doesn't work; then I'll be
19
    more direct and more aggressive in enforcing the
    rules that I follow when I conduct trials.
20
21
          I've asked you several times if you had
22
    questions. You finally asked me one, and it was,
23
    Did you read my filing? No, I did not. You asked
24
     for a continuance. I have denied that because it's
25
    untimely.
```

1	Now I'm turning back to the plaintiff, and
2	we're going forward with this trial. That is one
3	day set on my docket. We're going to have this
4	trial done by the end of the day. You'll have half
5	the time to use as you see fit; so will the other
6	side. I'll not care if you waste it, but I'll not
7	participate in that. Thank you.
8	Now, from the plaintiff's side, what is it
9	that the Court is being asked to decide today?
10	MR. ROSE: Before I answer, could
11	Mr. Morrissey make an appearance, sir?
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, I'm here on behalf of
14	four of the defendants, Judge, four adult
15	grandchildren, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein
16	Michael Bernstein and Molly Simon, all of whom have
17	joined in the plaintiff's complaint today.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Last time I'll ask this
19	question of the plaintiff. What is it that I'm
20	asked to decide today?
21	MR. ROSE: We are asking you to decide whether
22	five testamentary documents are valid, authentic
23	and enforceable. And that is set forth in count
24	two of the amended complaint in this action. The
25	five documents are a 2008 will of Shirley

```
1
     Bernstein, a 2008 trust of Shirley Bernstein, and
 2
     an amendment by Shirley Bernstein to her 2008
 3
     trust.
          THE COURT: When was the amendment?
          MR. ROSE: Amendment was in November of 2008.
          THE COURT: All right. So there's also a 2008
 6
 7
     amendment?
          MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. In fact, I have a -- I
 8
     don't know if you can read it, but I did put up
     here on the -- there are seven testamentary
10
     documents. We believe five of them to be valid and
11
12
     operative, and two of them to have been with --
     revoked by later documents.
13
          So for Shirley, there are three documents that
14
     count two seeks you to determine are valid,
15
16
     authentic and enforceable according to their terms.
          And for Simon Bernstein, he has a 2012 will,
17
18
     and a 2012 amended and restated trust agreement.
19
     And we're asking that these five documents be
20
     validated today.
          There also is a 2008 will and trust that
21
22
     you'll hear testimony were prepared, but have been
23
     revoked and superseded by later documents.
          THE COURT: Does everybody agree that Simon's
24
25
     2008 will and trust are invalid or is there some
```

1	claim that they're valid?
2	MR. ROSE: I can't answer.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'll ask.
4	Are you claiming that the Simon Bernstein 2008
5	will or 2008 trust are valid, or do you agree that
6	they are invalid?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I individually disagree.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: And my children
10	THE COURT: I just wanted to know
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: aren't represented by
12	counsel, so they can't have an opinion
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: even though they're parties
15	to the case.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, you can waste
17	all your time you want. I won't object to it, but
18	I won't participate in it.
19	You can put on your first witness.
20	MR. ROSE: Thank you. Plaintiff will call
21	Robert Spallina.
22	Thereupon,
23	(ROBERT SPALLINA)
_	
24	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined

1	THE WITNESS: I do.
2	MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay.
4	MR. ROSE: Okay. I brought for Your Honor
5	would you like a book instead of the exhibits?
6	THE COURT: Nothing better than a huge book.
7	MR. ROSE: We may not use all of them, but
8	we'll adjust it later.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. ROSE: And then I was going to hand the
11	witness the original for the admission into the
12	court file as we go.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. ROSE: I have a book for Mr. Eliot
15	Bernstein.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. ROSE:
18	Q. Would you state your name for the record?
19	A. Robert Spallina.
20	Q. Did you know Simon and Shirley Bernstein,
21	Mr. Spallina?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And when did you first meet Simon and Shirley
24	Bernstein?
25	A. In 2007.

1	Q. What was your occupation at the time?		
2	A. I was working as an estate planning attorney.		
3	Q. With a law firm?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	Q. And what was the name of the law firm?		
6	A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Rubin, Ruffin and		
7	Forman and Fleisher.		
8	Q. And did Simon and Shirley Bernstein retain		
9	your law firm?		
10	A. Yes, they did.		
11	Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit No. 9		
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. Ask if you'd identify that		
13	document?		
14	A. This was an intake sheet to open up the file,		
15	dated November 16th of 2007.		
16	Q. And the clients are Simon and Shirley		
17	Bernstein?		
18	A. The clients were Simon and Shirley Bernstein,		
19	yes.		
20	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 9 into		
21	evidence, Your Honor.		
22	THE COURT: Any objection?		
23	[No verbal response]		
24	THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'll		
25	receive that as Plaintiff's 19.		

```
1
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was received into
     evidence.)
 2
 3
     BY MR. ROSE:
               Now, what was the purpose of Simon and Shirley
 4
     Bernstein retaining your law firm?
          Α.
               They wanted to review and go over their
 6
7
     existing estate planning and make changes to their
     documents.
 8
               I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 10, and ask
 9
          Ο.
     you if you can identify for the record Exhibit 10.
10
          Α.
               These are meeting notes, my meeting notes,
11
12
     and -- and then partner Don Tescher's meeting notes from
13
     several different meetings that we had with Si and
     Shirley during the time following them retaining us as
14
15
     clients.
16
               And is it your standard practice to take notes
     when you're meeting with clients?
17
18
          Α.
               Yes.
19
               And were these notes kept in your company's
          Q.
     files and were they produced with Bates stamp numbers?
20
21
          Α.
               Yes, they were.
2.2
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 10 into
23
          evidence, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Is there any objection to the
25
          exhibit?
```

1 [No verbal response]. THE COURT: No objection being stated, they'll 2 be received as Plaintiff's 10. 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was received into 4 evidence.) 5 BY MR. ROSE: 6 7 Now, for today's purposes, are those notes in chronological or reverse chronological order? 8 This is reverse chronological order. Α. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of the stack 10 Ο. and start with the earliest notes. Do they reflect a 11 date? 12 13 Α. Yes. 11/14/07. And if you'd turn to the last page, is that 14 your partner's notes that are in evidence? 15 16 Α. Yes. We both would always take notes at the 17 meetings. 18 Ο. And so the first -- was that the first meeting 19 with Mr. Simon or Shirley Bernstein? 20 Α. I believe so, yes. 21 Now, before you met with Simon and Shirley Ο. 22 Bernstein, did you have any prior relationship with 23 them? 24 Α. No, we did not. 25 Q. Did you personally know either of them before

that date? 1 2 Α. No, I did not. 3 Q. 11/14/2007. Okay. And if you'd just flip back to the client intake. I think that was dated 4 November the 26th? It was two days later, 11/16. The file was 6 Α. 7 opened two days later. So file open. Ο. 8 Now, did you know in advance of the meeting 10 what they were coming in to talk about? Α. Yeah. They were coming in to talk about their 11 12 estate planning. And did they provide you in advance of the 13 meeting with any of their prior estate planning 14 15 documents? I believe we had copies of documents. I don't 16 know if they provided them at that meeting or if they 17 18 provided them before for us to look at, or after, but I 19 know that there were existing documents that were in our file. 20 21 Okay. Let me approach and hand you Ο. 2.2 Exhibit 40A, which is -- bears Tescher Spallina 23 Number 1. 24 Does that appear to be an envelope from

25

Stephen Greenwald --

1 Α. Yes. -- directed to Simon Bernstein? 2 Ο. 3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 Q. And copy of this was in your files when they were produced? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 And was Stephen Greenwald the prior lawyer that represented Simon and Shirley Bernstein, as far as 8 you know? 10 Yes. Yes, he was. Α. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40B, which is a 11 Ο. letter from Mr. Greenwald to Simon and Shirley 12 Bernstein. 13 Is that also -- is that also provided in your 14 15 files? Yes, sir. 16 Α. Does it bear a Bates stamp of your law firm? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes, it does. 19 Okay. And does Mr. Greenwald, in that letter, Q. disclose what he is sending to Simon --20 Mr. and Mrs. Simon L. Bernstein? 21 2.2 Α. Yes, he did. Their estate planning documents, 23 including their ancillary documents, their wills, their

trusts, health care powers, durable powers and living

24

25

wills.

1 Q. And if -- I'll show you 40C, D, E and F, and ask if you can identify these as some of the documents 2 that were included with the letter from Mr. Greenwald? 3 We have each of the first codicils to Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein's wills, and we have each of their wills. 6 7 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 40A through F into evidence, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 [No response.] THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'm 11 12 going to receive this as Plaintiff's 40A through F. (Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 40A-F were received 13 14 into evidence.) 15 BY MR. ROSE: Within Exhibit 40, is there a will and a --16 Q. for Simon and a will for Shirley? 17 18 Α. Yes, there is. 19 And could you tell the Court the date of those Q. documents? 20 21 Α. August 15, 2000. 2.2 THE COURT: Are both documents the same date? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I just wanted 25 to make sure I don't get confused.

## BY MR. ROSE:

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

- Q. Can you generally describe what the estate plan reflected in Exhibit 40 would be, who are the beneficiaries and what percentages?
- 5 A. Okay. Just give me a minute. I haven't seen 6 these in...

The plan under the documents -- and let me just make sure it's the same under both documents. The plan under the documents was to provide all the assets to the survivor of Shirley and Si, and that at the death of the survivor of the two of them, assets would pass to -- it appears to be Ted, Pam, Eliot, Jill and Sue and Lisa -- and Lisa. So it looks to be a typical estate plan; everything would pass to the survivor at the first death, and then at the second death everything to the children.

- Q. How many of the children under the 2000 documents?
  - A. This shows all five. The will shows all five.
    - Q. What page are you looking at?
- A. The first page of the will. Is this -- oh,
  no. That's just as to tangible personal property. I'm
  sorry.
- Q. That's okay. Are you on -- are you in Simon's or Shirley's?

1 Α. I'm in -- on both documents, to make sure the 2 disposition was the same. 3 Ο. Okay. So on the page -- the first page, it talks under --4 It speaks to tangible personal property. Α. Split equally among the five children? 6 Q. 7 Α. Among the five children. Let me just stop you one second right there. 8 0. If you would, turn --10 MR. ROSE: This might help, Your Honor, if you'd turn to Tab 7. It may be out of order. 11 12 Might be a good time just to go over the family 13 tree and let -- get everyone on the same page of... We prepared a chart, and I'm going to put 14 15 the -- it lists Simon and Shirley and the names of their children on the second line, and then under 16 each child with arrows, the names of the 17 18 grandchildren and which parents they belong to. 19 THE WITNESS: This looks accurate. MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 7 into 20 21 evidence, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Any objection? 23 [No response.] 24 THE COURT: No objection being stated, that's 25 in evidence as Plaintiff's 7.

```
1
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was received into
     evidence.)
 2
 3
     BY MR. ROSE:
               So under the 2000 documents, for personal
 4
     property, it's split among the five children.
 5
               And when you get to the residuary estate or
6
7
     the amount that was put into trusts, who are the
     beneficiaries?
 8
               Again, at the death of the survivor of the two
 9
          Α.
     of them, tangible personal property would go to the five
10
     children, and the residuary of the estate would go to
11
12
     four of the five children. It appears that Pam is cut
13
     out of these documents. And I recall that now, yes.
14
               Okay. So under the 2000 documents, Eliot
15
     Bernstein would get 25 percent of the residuary?
          Α.
16
               Correct.
               Now, if you look at page 5, it talks
17
18
     about -- page 5, near the top, it says "upon the death
19
     of my husband," then "the principal of his trust shall
20
     pass," and then the next sentence says "to the extent
21
     that said power of appointment -- oh, "and such shares
2.2
     equal or unequal and subject to such lawful trust terms
23
     and conditions as my husband shall by will appoint."
               Do you see what I'm talking about?
24
25
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
```

- Q. That's a power of appointment?

  A. Correct.

  Q. And then it says, the next sent
- Q. And then it says, the next sentence, To the extent the power of appointment is not effectively exercised, then it goes to the four of the five children?
- 7 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. So under the 2000 documents, the survivor
  would have the power to give it all to one?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. And theoretically change it and give some to Pam?
- 13 A. That's true, by the language of this document.
- Q. Okay. So I'm just going to write. We have a power of appointment, which we don't need to belabor, in favor of the survivor; and then if it's not exercised, Eliot gets 25 percent, and three other siblings get the balance?
- A. 25 percent each.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Equal shares.
- Q. Now, when Simon and Shirley came to you, did they give you an indication whether they wanted to keep in place the 2000 structure?
- A. No. They wanted to change the dispositions

```
1
    under their documents.
 2
               Okay. So if we work through your notes now,
 3
     which are in evidence as Exhibit No. 10, the first
     meeting was November the 14th, 2007. You had a
 4
     discussion about Simon's net worth -- Simon and
 5
     Shirley's net worth, how much money they had at that
6
7
     time?
          Α.
               Yes.
 8
               Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 12
 9
          Q.
    before we --
10
               Do you recognize the handwriting on
11
     Exhibit 12?
12
13
          A.
               No.
               Okay. I believe it's Simon Bernstein's
14
15
     statement of his net worth.
               But you have seen this document before?
16
               I don't recall.
17
          Α.
18
          Q.
               Okay. And you're not familiar with his
19
     handwriting to --
               No. Other than his signature.
20
          Α.
21
          Ο.
               That's fine.
2.2
               But during the discussion, did you discuss
     Simon's net worth?
23
24
               Yes. Both my partner and I.
          Α.
25
          Q. And if I look at Mr. Tescher's notes, which
```

1 are a little easier to read, he lists the joint 2 brokerage account, some money for Simon, Simon, a 3 house -- the house appears to have a million dollar mortgage -- a condo, some miscellaneous and some life insurance. And he totals -- that totals to 13 million, 5 and then he lists 5 million for 33 shares of the 6 7 company. Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes, I do. 9 Okay. So if I add up what Mr. Tescher wrote 10 Ο. in his notes, I get to about \$18 million. 11 12 And this is on November the 14th of '07, around 18 million, but that includes life insurance? 13 Yes, it does. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Now, did you meet with them -- how long Ο. 16 were these meetings with Simon and Shirley Bernstein? 17 They could be an hour; sometimes more. Α. 18 Q. Now, if we flip through your notes, does it 19 reflect a second meeting? 20 Α. Yes, it does. 21 And what's the date of the second meeting? Ο. 2.2 Α. 12/19/07. 23 And do you have any -- I'm sorry. 12/19? Q. Α. 12/19/07. 24 25 Q. Okay. And what's the -- let's just put all

1	the dates up here. That was the second meeting.
2	Are there notes from a third meeting?
3	A. The next meeting was January 31, '08.
4	Q. Okay. Is there a fourth meeting?
5	A. March 12 of '08.
6	Q. Now, just to put this in perspective, the
7	document that we are going to well, the document
8	that's been admitted into probate in this case is a will
9	of Shirley Bernstein that bears a date of May 20, 2008.
10	Does that sound consistent with your memory?
11	A. Yeah, it was clearly 2008.
12	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. Can you
13	turn that so we can see it?
14	THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry.
15	THE COURT: Ma'am, you are not a party. You
16	are not an attorney. And you are not really
17	supposed to be sitting there. I'm letting you sit
18	there as a courtesy. If you ask for and inject
19	yourself any further in the proceeding than that,
20	I'll have to ask you to be seated in the gallery.
21	Do you understand?
22	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	BY MR. ROSE:
25	Q. So you have four meetings with Simon and

1 | Shirley Bernstein.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And did it take that long to go over what they wished to do with their estate planning documents?

- A. It was more of us, you know, trying to get a handle on everything that they had, the business, prior planning. From the first meeting to the March meeting, it was only a couple of months. The holidays were in there. So it wasn't uncommon for us to meet with a client more than once or twice when they had a sophisticated plan and asset schedule.
  - O. At this time --
- 12 A. By the last meeting, we knew what we needed to do.
  - Q. And around this -- based on your notes, did Simon Bernstein believe he had a net worth all in of about 18 million when he met with you?
  - A. Yeah, it appears that way, 18, 19 million dollars.
  - Q. And did he discuss at all with you that he was involved in a business at that time, an insurance business?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And did he give you an indication of how well the business was doing at around the times of these meetings between November 2007 and March or May of 2008?

1 Α. Yeah, the business was doing well at that 2 time. He was -- he was very optimistic about the future 3 of the business. Now, did you do any -- did you prepare any documents before the will was signed in May? Did you prepare drafts of the documents? 6 7 Yes, we did. We always prepare drafts of documents. 8 Q. And did you share the drafts with Simon and 9 Shirley? 10 Α. Yes, we did. 11 12 Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, and Q. ask if you can identify that for the record? 13 This is a letter from our firm dated April 19 14 Α. of 2008. It's transmitting the documents to the client, 15 with an explanation that they could follow, better than 16 reading their documents -- a summary of the documents. 17 18 Q. Is that a true and authentic copy of a 19 document that you created? 20 Yes, it appears to be. Α. MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 11 into 21 2.2 evidence, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Any objection? 24 [No response.] 25 THE COURT: All right. Then that's in

1 evidence as Plaintiff's 11. 2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was received into 3 evidence.) BY MR. ROSE: And if I read Exhibit 11, the first three Ο. words say, "Enclosed are drafts of each of your wills 6 7 and revocable trusts, the children's family trust, each of your durable powers of attorney, designations of 8 health care surrogate and living wills, " correct? 10 Α. Yes. So about a month and 11 days before anything 11 Ο. 12 was signed, documents were sent by Federal Express to 13 Simon and Shirley Bernstein? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And it appears to have gone to Simon's business? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Now, if you look at -- does your -- does your 19 letter, sort of in laymen's terms, rather than reading through the legalese of a will, explain what the estate 20 planning was under the documents that have yet to be 21 2.2 signed but that you were preparing? 23 Α. Yes, it does, as much as possible in laymen's terms. 24 25 Q. Can you just give us a short -- well, the will

1 itself for both Simon and Shirley was a relatively simple will that poured over into a revocable trust, one 2 for each? 3 Yes, poured over wills for both. Α. And whoever died first would inherent the Ο. personal property? 6 7 All tangible personal property under the will would pass to the survivor. 8 So assuming Simon survived Shirley, he would 9 Q. be the sole beneficiary of her estate? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And then any of her residuary would go into a 12 Ο. 13 trust? That's correct. 14 Α. 15 And he, in fact, outlived Shirley? Ο. He did. 16 Α. Okay. Now, if you go to the second page, at 17 18 the top, you describe the will of Shirley Bernstein. 19 It's essentially identical to Si -- it says "Si." Just for the record, that's Simon shorthand? 20 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Si is the personal representative of Shirley's Q. 23 estate, and Ted is designated as successor if Simon is 24 unable to serve. 25 That was what was in the document you sent in

April?

1

2

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And that provision remained in the final documents you signed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did Ted eventually become a successor personal representative upon Simon's death?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- 9 Q. Then you next start to talk about the Simon L.

  10 Bernstein trust agreement.
- And theoretically, that was going to be the primary testamentary document?
- 13 A. Correct, it was.
- Q. And that's fairly standard?
  - A. Yes. When a client wants to avoid probate, we use a revocable trust to title assets in prior to death. Those assets remain confidential; they're not part of the court record. And the trust is also used to avoid the need for the appointment of a guardian in the event of incapacity, because there's a successor trustee mechanism.
  - Q. Okay. Now, under Simon's trust agreement, moving down to the third paragraph, under that heading, it says that both trusts provide for mandatory income distributions. And then the next sentence starts, "Upon

1 Shirley's death, she has been given a special power to appoint the remaining assets of both the marital trust 2 3 and the family trust to any of your lineal descendants and their spouses, a power to redirect and reallocate." 5 Do you see that? Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. Now, is that consistent with the way the documents were intended to be drafted? 8 Α. Yes, it is. 10 And I quess it's sort of similar to what 11 existed in the 2000 wills? 12 Α. Yes. Typically, you give the survivor of the 13 spouse a power to appoint in the event that they want to change any of the estate planning of the first to die. 14 15 Found in most first marriage documents with only 16 children from that marriage. And this is a first marriage with all five 17 18 children being the product of the same marriage --19 Α. Yes. -- as far as you know? 20 Q. 21 Α. As far as I know. 2.2 Q. And as far as you know, Simon and Shirley 23 Bernstein, they each married only once in their 24 lifetime, to each other? 25 Α. That's all I know.

- 1 Q. If you flip to the next page, there's a 2 shorter paragraph for Shirley. 3 It basically says -- it's virtually identical, except that Simon is the initial successor, and after that, Ted would be Simon's replacement if he passed away? 6 7 Α. Correct. And is that the mechanism by which Ted Ο. 8 Bernstein became the successor trustee in this lawsuit? 10 Α. Yes, it is. Now, if Shirley died first, then did the 11 Ο. 12 documents give Simon the same power of appointment over 13 the assets in her trust that was provided for in the Simon document if he died? 14 15 Same power of appointment was in both Α. 16 documents. They were identical documents, with one 17 exception. 18 Q. And what was the exception; the name of the
  - Q. And what was the exception; the name of the successor trustee?
    - A. The name of the successor trustee.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then Simon wanted his then business partner, Bill Stansbury, to be his successor trustee in both his will and his trust, and Shirley wanted her oldest son, Ted, to be her successor in both documents?
  - A. Correct. The signer, non-survivor.

1 Q. Okay. And Shirley, I guess it says here, also 2 made a specific gift of \$200,000 to someone named 3 Matthew Logan? 4 Α. Correct. If you look at our family tree chart, I think Ο. Matthew Logan is under Ted. 6 7 He is the son of Ted's second wife, Deborah? Correct. 8 Α. Okay. So there was a \$200,000 special gift to 9 Q. Matthew that was in the documents that you sent on 10 April 9th? 11 12 Α. Correct. Then you prepared family trusts for the 13 Ο. 14 children. 15 Were those trusts created at the time? 16 Α. Yes, they were. Now, after you sent your letter on April 9th, 17 Q. 18 did you have a further discussion with Simon and Shirley 19 before the documents were signed? 20 I can't recall, but we probably -- we probably did, to set up a meeting and talk -- you know, either, 21 22 A, talk about the documents, the draft documents, any 23 changes that they wanted to make on the draft documents. It would be typical of us to do that, although I don't 24 25 have any meeting notes that showed that, so...

1 Q. Now, under -- we'll talk -- let's talk about 2 the ones that matter. 3 Because Shirley died first, her 2008 trust became the beneficiary of her estate? 4 Α. Correct. And then Simon had a power of appointment, 6 Q. 7 correct? Um-hum. Α. 8 And if -- you have to say yes or no. Ο. 10 Α. Yes. And if he didn't exercise the power of 11 Q. 12 appointment, was there a default set of beneficiaries 13 that were designated in the documents you drafted in 2008? 14 15 Α. Yes. And what was the default set of beneficiaries? 16 Q. Simon had and Shirley had in their documents 17 excluded Pam and Ted at the death of the survivor of the 18 19 two of them. Okay. So if the power of appointment was not 20 properly exercised, it would just go to three, and Eliot 21 22 would end up with 33 and a third percent and two of the other sisters would get the balance? 23 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Q. Did Simon and Shirley eventually execute

```
documents in 2008?
1
2
          Α.
               Yes, they did.
 3
          Q.
               I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 1, which
     is --
 4
               A copy of Si's will from --
          Α.
               Do you have Exhibit 1?
6
          Q.
7
          Α.
               Excuse me. Sorry. Shirley's will.
               Is that a conformed copy of the document?
          Ο.
8
               Yes, it is.
          Α.
9
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 1 into
10
11
          evidence.
12
               THE COURT: Any objection?
13
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: That's in evidence as
14
15
          Plaintiff's 1.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was received into
16
     evidence.)
17
    BY MR. ROSE:
18
19
               Now, that says "conformed copy." If I turn to
          Q.
     the last page, there's no handwritten signatures.
20
21
          Α.
              Correct.
22
               Do you know where the original of that
23
     document sits today?
24
          A. It was filed with the court.
25
          Q.
               Okay. So somewhere in the courthouse, the
```

1 original goes. And that's something that the client would 2 3 keep? Correct. This is what we would send to the 4 Α. client to include with their files. When you filed the original with the court, 6 Q. 7 did anyone object while Simon was alive? Α. No. 8 Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 2. Q. 10 Do you recognize that document? 11 Yes. This is Shirley's trust agreement that Α. she executed in 2008. 12 Now, does that document have copies of her 13 Ο. 14 signature? 15 Α. Yes. These are actual copies of the signing parties and their signatures. 16 And how many originals would have been created 17 of this document? 18 19 Α. We always created three originals of the trust 20 agreements. Okay. Now, if you turn to the next -- if you 21 Ο. 22 turn to the last page, it says that Shirley put a dollar into her trust when it was created. 23 24 Α. Yes.

Q. And that's to make it a valid trust?

- 1 Α. Yeah, I mean, it's not required today, but it's pretty much just form to show a dollar. She had 2 3 certainly funded it more than that. And eventually Shirley put some assets into the trust? 5 6
  - Α. Yes.
- 7 Okay. And if you go to the page before that, Ο. page 27, it appears to be a signature page, correct? 8
  - Α. Yes.
- Now, were you one of the witnesses to the 10 signature of Shirley Bernstein on Exhibit 2? 11
- Yes, I was. 12 Α.
- And were you present with Shirley Bernstein 13 Ο. and the other witness, Traci Kratish, at the time of the 14 15 execution of the documents?
- 16 Α. Yes, I was.
- 17 And they're notarized by someone named 18 Kimberly Moran.
- 19 Does she work for your office?
- Yes, she did. 20 Α.
- 21 And through her involvement with your firm Ο. 22 and -- did she personally know Shirley and Traci 23 Kratish, as well as yourself?
- 24 Α. Yes, she did.
- 25 Q. Now, at the same time that Shirley signed her

- documents, did Simon sign a similar set of 2008 will and trust, similar to the drafts that were sent in April?
  - A. Yes, he did. We were all sitting in the main conference area in their offices together.
    - Q. In Simon's office or your office?
  - A. In Simon's offices.

4

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. So why would someone from your office come to Simon's office rather than rely on the notary that they have there?
- A. Because we wanted to accommodate Shirley and
  Si in their offices and not have them travel.
  - Q. You personally went there. Did you personally go through to make sure that the documents were signed with all the formalities required under Florida law to make them valid and enforceable?
    - A. Yes, we did. That's why we were there.
- Q. And if Simon did not have a 2008 will and -- sorry.
- If Simon did not have a 2002 will and trust,
  would it be your belief that the 2008 will and trust
  would be valid?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they properly signed with all the same testamentary formalities required by Florida law?
- A. Yes, they were.

1 Q. Okay. Did Shirley at some point amend her 2 trust agreement? 3 Α. Yes, she did. And do you recall why she amended it? 4 Q. She amended it to remove Matt Logan from the Α. 5 document that she had included previously as a specific 6 device. 7 Ο. Do you know why Matt was removed? 8 It's attorney-client privilege. Α. Does it matter? 10 11 Q. I'll withdraw the question. Was Matthew removed at the direction of 12 13 Shirley? Α. 14 Yes. 15 Ο. I'll withdraw --16 Α. Yes. Yes. Yes. Did Shirley sign a document that effectively 17 removed Matthew? 18 19 Α. Yes, she did. Let me hand you Exhibit No. 3, and ask you if 20 21 you recognize that document? 2.2 Α. Yes, I do. 23 Q. Now, was this document signed with the same testamentary formalities as the 2008 trust? 24 25 Α. Yes, it was.

```
1
               MR. ROSE: We would move Exhibit 3 into
          evidence, Your Honor.
2
 3
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
          Plaintiff's 3.
6
7
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
     evidence.)
8
    BY MR. ROSE:
10
               Now, if you look -- there's a paragraph 1 and
     a paragraph 3, but no paragraph 2.
11
12
               Do you know why that is?
13
               It's just a mistake in drafting.
          Α.
               And did you specifically discuss with Shirley,
14
15
     whose privilege I technically would control -- my client
     would control --
16
               Did you specifically discuss with Shirley the
17
     fact that the effect of the first amendment would be to
18
19
     remove the specific gift that she had made for Matthew
20
     Logan?
21
               Yes. Even prior to the signing of the
2.2
     document.
23
          Q.
               And is this the last relevant testamentary
     document that Shirley ever signed that you're aware of?
24
25
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
```

- Q. Did you meet with Simon and Shirley in person to talk about this amendment?
  - A. Si had called me and said that Shirley had a change to her documents, and asked me to give her a call and have lunch with her. I called her. We arranged for a meeting in her house to execute the document.
  - Q. Now, you brought your -- you brought Kimberly with you to get -- for convenience and to make sure the documents were properly executed?
- A. Correct. She had -- she had her personal assistant that was there, Rachel Walker, to serve as another witness.
- Q. Just so I don't have to go back, what's the date of the amendment?
  - A. November 18th, 2008.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

19

20

21

- Q. So now we five documents that exist; 2008, will, trust, will, trust, and an amendment to Shirley's trust.
  - Did you share any of those documents with any of Simon and Shirley's children at that time?
    - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Did any of the -- did any of the children play any role in bringing Simon or Shirley to your offices?
  - A. Not that I'm aware, no.
- Q. Did any of the children accompany them

1 to -- any time they came to visit you, did any of the children come with them, drag them along? 2 3 Α. No. Ο. So you prepared -- did you do some other estate planning in addition to the 2008 testamentary documents? 6 7 Α. Yes, we did. Ο. Can you briefly describe some of the things 8 you did? Α. We had set up a Florida limited partnership. 10 We created a general partner entity for that 11 12 partnership, a limited liability company. Q. What's the name of the Florida limited 13 14 partnership? 15 Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP. Α. Was that an entity that was in existence or 16 Q. was it created under your direction? 17 18 THE COURT: Can I stop you a second? Is this 19 going to help me figure out the validity of the testamentary documents? 20 21 MR. ROSE: Only in the very narrowest sense. 2.2 I'm just trying to establish that they had a very 23 lengthy and extensive relationship, and they did a 24 lot of estate planning for Simon and Shirley. But 25 I'll be very brief.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Well, if that becomes relevant
 2
          later, perhaps you could come back to it. But I
 3
          don't see the relevance at this point, so I'll ask
 4
          you to move on.
               MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
     BY MR. ROSE:
6
7
               Now, was Simon concerned at all about asset
     protection as part of some of the things you discussed?
8
          Α.
               Yes, he was.
               Now, we have -- did you have any discussion
10
     with him about who was expected to live longer or if
11
12
     either of them had health problems that you had any
13
     knowledge of?
14
               Si was not -- he was in good health, but he
15
     had had some heart issues. And Shirley had had other
16
     issues as well. And I think it -- early on, he didn't
17
     know, but as the relationship went on, we kind of knew
18
     that Shirley was sicker than him and would probably pass
19
     first.
               So Shirley died -- it's in the public
20
21
     record -- but December --
2.2
          Α.
               2010, yeah.
23
               -- 8th. So Simon was her -- he survived her;
     he becomes the sole beneficiary as far as tangible
24
25
     personal property under her will?
```

- A. Yes, he does.

  Q. The residuary goes into the Shirley Bernstein

  Trust?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. He's the sole successor trustee and the sole beneficiary --
- 7 A. Yes, he is.
  - Q. -- during the term of his life?
- A. Correct.

8

- 10 Q. Now, was there a great deal of effort put into inventorying the assets, things like that?
- A. No, there wasn't. For purposes of opening up

  Shirley's probate, we had asked Si to estimate the value

  of, you know, her tangible personal property. And

  that's what we included on the inventory that was filed

  in the probate.
- Q. Now, if I'm correct, 2010 was the year there
  were no estate taxes at all?
- 19 A. No estate taxes.
  - Q. Simon's the sole beneficiary?
- A. Sole beneficiary. Even if there were taxes, there wouldn't have been any tax on the first death, because everything went to Si, and there was a marital deduction.
- Q. While Simon was alive, did Ted have any access

- Direct Cross Vol 1 December 15, 2015 1 to the documents, as far as you know? Did you ever send 2 the testamentary documents of Simon or Shirley to Ted? 3 Α. No, we did not. Did Ted play any role in the administration of 4 Ο. the estate while Simon was alive? 5 Α. No, he did not. 6 7 Ο. Did any of the other children play any role in the administration of the estate while Simon was alive? 8 Α. No, they did not. 10 Now, did you have to -- well, strike that. Ο. Because it was only Simon, was it sort of the 11 12 decision by Simon, That I don't want to spend a lot of time and money in this estate because it's just wasting 13 14 my own money? Α. Yes. And that's not unusual in a situation where Q.
- 15

19

20

21

2.2

24

- 16 you have a surviving spouse that's the sole beneficiary? 17
  - Α. Correct.
  - Now, did there come a point in time when Pam, Q. who was not a named beneficiary of the -- Shirley's documents, learned of the fact that she had been excluded?
- 23 Α. Yes, there was.
  - Okay. And did you get involved with Ο. discussions with Pam or her lawyer?

1 Α. She had hired an attorney, who had made a request to get a copy of her mother's documents. And I 2 3 called Si, spoke to Si about it, and he authorized me giving Pam those documents -- or her attorney those 4 documents. 5 Were they provided to any of the other 6 Ο. 7 children; that would be Ted or his brother, Eliot, or his two sisters, Lisa or Jill? 8 Α. No, they were not. 10 And did Simon Bernstein at some point decide Ο. to change his testamentary documents? 11 Yes, he did. 12 Α. Do you recall approximately when that 13 Ο. 14 happened? 15 Early 2012, he called and requested that we Α. 16 meet to go over his documents. I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked 17 18 Exhibit 13, and ask you if you recognize those as your 19 own notes? 20 Α. Yes. These are my notes from that meeting in 21 2012. 2.2 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 13 into 23 evidence, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 [No response.]

1 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as Plaintiff's 13 then. 2 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was received into evidence.) 4 BY MR. ROSE: Now, during this meeting, did Simon discuss 6 Q. 7 the possibility of altering his estate plan? Yes, he did. Α. 8 Did you also go over his current finances? Ο. 10 Yes, we did. Α. Now, we've seen from 2007 that he had 11 Ο. disclosed about \$18 million. 12 As part of the meeting in February of 2012, he 13 14 gave you sort of a summary of where he stood at that 15 time? 16 Α. Yes, he did. 17 And what was the status of the Shirley 18 Bernstein probate administration in early 2012, about 19 13 months after she passed away? It was still not closed. 20 Α. 21 Do you know why it was not closed? Ο. I think that we were still waiting -- I'm not 2.2 Α. 23 sure that -- we were still waiting on waivers and releases from the children to close the estate, to 24 25 qualify beneficiaries under the estate if Si were to

1 die. We had to get waivers and releases from them. 2 0. Standard operating procedure? 3 Α. Standard operating procedure. 4 Q. Okay. So Simon here, it says -- it says at the top "SIPC receivable." 5 Do you know what that is? 6 7 Α. Yes, I do. That was -- Si had made an investment in a Stanford product that was purported to be a CD; it was an offshore CD. And when the Stanford debacle hit, I quess he filed a claim with SIPC to get 10 those monies back, because it was supposedly a cash 11 investment. 12 And so he invested in a Ponzi scheme and lost 13 14 a bunch of money? 15 Α. Correct. Some of the 18 million he had in 2007 he lost 16 in the next four and a half years in investing in a 17 Ponzi scheme? 18 19 Α. That's correct. And then the maximum that the SIPC -- which is Q. 20 like the FDIC for investments. 21 2.2 You're familiar with that, correct? 23 Α. Yes. Q. The maximum is 500,000. 24 25 You don't actually necessarily recover

```
1
     500,000? You have a receivable, right?
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
          Q.
               Do you know how much he actually realized from
     the SIPC?
 4
          Α.
               I believe he never received anything.
               Okay. And then it said, LIC receivable,
6
          Q.
7
     $100,000.
               Am I reading that correct?
 8
          Α.
               Yes.
 9
               And LIC was the company he was involved, with
10
          Ο.
11
     others?
12
          Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. So I put here 600 that he put, but the
13
          Ο.
14
     600 is really probably closer to 100 if you didn't get
15
     the SIPC money?
16
          Α.
               Correct.
17
               So I'm going to just put a little star here
     and put it's really 100,000, and sort that out.
18
19
               So then he says -- he has -- Si's estate, this
20
     would be his personal assets. He's got an interest in
     the LLLP.
21
2.2
               That is not relevant to discuss how it was
     formed, but there was an LLLP that was owned, some by
23
     Si's trust, some by Shirley's trust?
24
25
          Α.
               Correct.
```

Q.	And at the time, he thought the value was
1,150,000	for his share?
Α.	That's correct.
	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object, Your Honor?
	THE COURT: What's the objection?
	MR. BERNSTEIN: Relevance.
	THE COURT: Overruled.
	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9 BY MR. ROSE:	
Q.	And then he had an IRA that says 750,000.
A.	Correct.
Q.	And those two things totaled 1,550,000?
Α.	No. They totaled one million nine. Right?
Q.	Okay. You're right.
	You wrote next to it "estate tax."
	What does that mean, on the side next to it?
Α.	I think what I had done was offset the value
of the assets in his estate by the loans that were	
outstanding at the time.	
Q.	And it shows a million seven in loans?
Α.	A million seven in loans.
Q.	So we had loans back in 2008 I'm sorry.
November of 2007 time period or 2008, which were	
only so	o we have loans now, you said, a million seven?
Α.	Well, he had a \$1.2 million loan with
	A.  BY MR. ROS  Q.  A.  Q.  A.  Q.  A.  Q.  A.  Of the ass outstandin  Q.  A.  Q.  November of only so

1 JP Morgan that was collateralized with the assets of the 2 LLLP. 3 Q. And then you list -- just to speed up, then you have -- underneath that, it says Shirley's asset was 4 empty, right? Because whatever was in had gone to 5 Simon? 6 7 Α. Yeah, her estate had nothing in it. She had a Bentley, I think, when she died. Ο. 8 Do you know what happened to the Bentley? 10 I wasn't aware that she had a Bentley. Α. Did you come to learn that she had a Bentley 11 Ο. 12 and Simon gave it to his girlfriend, and she traded it 13 in at the dealership and got a Range Rover? Much, much, much later on --14 Α. 15 Ο. But you know ---- after Si's death. 16 Α. 17 But you know that to be the case? Q. 18 Α. I wasn't aware that it was traded for the 19 Range Rover. I thought he bought her the Range Rover. I didn't realize he used a Bentley to do it. 20 21 Okay. Somehow you know the Bentley became Ο. 2.2 something for Maritza? 23 Α. Yes. That's the name of his girlfriend? 24 Q. 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Then it says, in Shirley's trust, 2 condo, one million -- I'm sorry. I should go to the 3 next column. It says "FMV." That would be shorthand for Fair Market Value? Α. Yes. So condo, 2 million, which is here; house, 6 Q. 7 3 million; half of the LLLP, which is Shirley's half after -- I assume, after the deduction of the loan, was 8 800,000? 10 Α. Um-hum. Then it says "LIC." That's the company Life 11 Q. 12 Insurance Concepts that Mr. -- that Simon, his son Ted, and a gentleman named Bill Stansbury had formally been 13 involved, another attorney, shares by then. Because 14 15 we're in February of 2012. 16 But, in any event, that's Simon's company? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And he told you in 2007 it was worth --19 Mr. Tescher's -- notes, like -- his interest was worth 5 million. 20 21 What did he tell you it was worth in 2012? 2.2 Α. Zero. 23 Q. Then underneath that -- I put zero here, so zero today. 24 So his net worth -- and then there was a home 25

1 that he owned for -- that Eliot lives in, right? didn't really own it, but he controlled it, Simon? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Okay. Did you set up the entity that owned the home? 5 Α. Yes, I did. 6 7 Ο. Just to save time, there's an entity called Bernstein Family Realty that owns the house. 8 9 Simon controlled that entity while he was 10 alive? 11 Yes, he did. Α. 12 Q. And his estate holds a mortgage on the house 13 for 365,000? 14 Α. Correct. 15 Ο. So there's some interest there. He didn't put it on his sheet when he talked 16 to you, but that still would have existed in some form, 17 18 right? 19 Α. Yes. And it still exists to this day. 20 Q. We don't know the value of it, but there still 21 22 is a mortgage, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. But either way, the point of this whole Q. 25 story is, his net worth went down significantly between

## 2007 and 2012?

1

- A. Yes, it did.
- Q. And in your world, that's not uncommon, with
- 4 | the stock market crash, the depression, things like
- 5 | that, that a lot of clients with high net worth would
- 6 have suffered losses during that time?
- 7 A. Many, many of them did. And even the values
- 8 | that are on this sheet were not the real values.
- O. We know that the --
- 10 A. Clients have a tendency to overstate their net
- 11 worth.
- 12 Q. All right. And we know the Ocean Drive house
- 13 | sold for about a million four?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And the Court -- there's an order that
- 16 | approved the sale, the gross sale price of a million one
- 17 | for St. Andrews?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. So that's still -- that's less than
- 20 | half, even then, Simon thought he would get.
- Now, if you look at the bottom of the
- 22 Exhibit No. 13, it says a word, begins with an "I." I
- 23 | can't really read it.
- 24 Can you read that?
- 25 A. Insurance.

1 Q. Well, did you have some discussions with Simon about his insurance? 2 3 Α. Yes, we did. In fact, I think -- Mr. Spallina, we talked Ο. about he had -- I'm sorry. Mr. Tescher's notes had a \$2 million life 6 7 insurance? Α. Correct. 8 Okay. Is this the same life insurance? Ο. 10 Yes, it is. Α. And was there a discussion about -- I quess it 11 Ο. 12 says 1 million --13 That's one million seven-fifty? A million 75 -- yeah, one million seven-fifty 14 15 was the value of the policy. And the death benefit was a million six? 16 Q. Million six. There was a small loan or 17 Α. 18 something against the policy. 19 Q. Okay. And then it says "Maritza." What was Maritza down there for? 20 21 Α. Si was considering changing -- the purpose of 22 the meeting was to meet, discuss his assets. And he 23 was, you know, having a lot of, I guess, internal -- he 24 had received another letter from his daughter -- he asked me to read the letter from Pam -- that she still 25

1 was not happy about the fact that she had been disinherited under her mother's documents if the assets 2 3 were to pass under the documents and he didn't exercise his power of appointment. And this meeting was to kind of figure out a way, with the assets that he had, to take care of everybody; the grandchildren, the children, 6 7 and Maritza. And so he thought maybe that he would change 8 the beneficiary designation on his life insurance to 10 include her. And we had talked about providing for her, depending on -- an amount -- an increasing scale, 11 12 depending on the number of years that he was with her. So if you look at the bottom, it says 0 to 13 Ο. 14 2 years, 250. 15 Is that what you're referring to? 16 Α. Yes. Two to four years, 500,000. And then anything over plus-four years would be -- I think that's 17 600,000. 18 19 Q. Now, during this discussion, was Simon mentally sharp and aware of what was going on? 20 21 Α. Oh, yeah. Yeah, he was -- he was the same 22 Simon. He was just -- you know, he was struggling with 23 his estate now. He was getting -- he felt -- I guess he was getting pulled. He had a girlfriend that wanted 24 25 something. He had his daughter who, you know, felt like

- she had been slighted. And he wanted to try to make good by everybody.
- Q. And at that point in time, other than the house that he had bought that Eliot lived in, were you aware that he was supporting Eliot with a very significant amount of money each year?
  - A. I was not.
- 8 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 BY MR. ROSE:

- 11 Q. Okay. So that's February.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What happens next in relation to Simon coming in to meet with you to talk about changing his documents?
- 16 A. He had called me on the phone and he -- we
  17 talked again about, you know, him changing his
  18 documents. He had been thinking about giving his estate
- 19 and Shirley's estate to his grandchildren. And at the
- 20 | February meeting, I did not think it was a great idea
- 21 | for him to include his girlfriend, Maritza, as a
- 22 beneficiary of the life insurance policy.
- Q. He took your advice? He didn't change that,
- 24 | as far as you know?
- 25 A. He did not.

- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Continue.
  - A. He did not.

2.2

I had suggested that he provide for her in other ways; a joint account that would pass to her at his death, but not to mix her in with his family in their dispositive documents. And he ultimately took that advice and decided that he wanted to give his estate to his ten grandchildren, and that the policy -- which I had never seen a copy of the policy, but, you know -- he had had. And I knew that he was paying for it, because -- it almost lapsed, or did lapse at one point, and it got reinstated -- that that policy was to pass to an insurance trust that named his five children as beneficiaries.

- Q. And that's something Simon specifically discussed with you when you were going over his estate planning in 2012?
- A. Correct -- or something that we had known about before that meeting. But he was -- at the meeting, he was starting to talk about doing a change to the beneficiary designation to include Maritza, and I wanted to talk him out of that.
- Q. And at some point, he made a decision to actually change his documents, correct?
- A. He did. He did.

1 Q. And did he direct you to set up any kind of a communication with his children? 2 3 Yes. He said, I want you to get -- put together a conference call with me and you and my five 4 children so I can talk to them about what I want to do 5 with my estate and Shirley's estate. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. This would be a good time for us to take a pause for a morning break. 8 We'll be in session again in 10 minutes. 10 As far as time use goes, so far Plaintiff's side has used 60 minutes. So you have 90 remaining 11 12 in your portion of the day. And that's where we 13 stand. MR. ROSE: We'll be well within our time, sir. 14 15 THE COURT: Great. Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Is ten 16 17 minutes enough time for everybody? That's what it'll be then. 18 19 (A break was taken.) 20 THE COURT: We're ready to proceed. 21 continue. 2.2 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. ROSE: I think we were when Shirley died in December 24 Ο. 25 of 2010, and you meet with Si, according to

1 Plaintiff's 13, on February 1st of 2012. 2 I think by May of 2012 was when this 3 conference call that you mentioned was? Yes, it was. Α. Okay. And did the five children attend the Ο. conference call? 6 7 Α. Yes, they all did. Ο. Were you present on the call? 8 Α. Yes, I was. 10 Was Simon present? Ο. Α. Yes, he was. 11 12 Q. Where was Simon physically during the call? His office -- I believe his office. 13 Α. 14 Ο. Were you in the same room as Simon? 15 No, I was not. Α. 16 You were in your office? Q. 17 I was in my office. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Generally, what was discussed during 19 this conference call? Simon wanted to talk to his children about 20 Α. providing for his estate and his wife's estate to go to 21 22 the ten grandchildren; wanted to have a discussion with 23 his children and see what they thought about that. 24 And was he asking them for their approval or Ο. 25 permission or...

- A. Well, I think he wanted to see what they all thought, you know, based on things that had happened in the past and documents that had been created in the past. And I don't know that it was going to sway his opinion, but when he told me, you know, to -- you know, to have the conference call, to contact his -- he said, This is what I'm going to do, so...
  - Q. During the call, did Simon ask his children if anybody had an objection to him leaving his and Shirley's wealth to the ten grandchildren?
    - A. Yes. He asked what everybody thought.
    - Q. Did Eliot respond?
- A. Yes, he did.

2.2

- Q. What did he say?
  - A. I'm paraphrasing, but he said something to the effect of, Dad, you know, whatever you want to do, whatever makes you happy, that's what's important.
  - Q. Did you also discuss during that call the need to close Shirley's estate?
  - A. Yes, we did. We had told Si that we needed to get back the waivers of accounting, the releases, and we asked -- he asked them to get those back to us as soon as possible.
  - Q. Okay. If I hand you Exhibit 14, it appears to be an email from Eliot Bernstein to you addressing the

```
1
     waiver that he needed to sign?
 2
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
 3
               MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 14 into evidence.
 4
               THE COURT: Any objection?
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence
 6
 7
          then as Plaintiff's 14.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was received into
 8
     evidence.)
9
10
               MR. ROSE: As a matter of housekeeping, Your
          Honor, I think I might have failed to move in
11
12
          Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's 2008 trust
13
          agreement, which I would move, to the extent it's
          not in evidence, 1, 2 and 3, which are the
14
15
          operative documents Mr. Spallina's already
          testified about.
16
17
               THE COURT: Any objection?
18
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What was that? I'm sorry.
19
               THE COURT: Is there any objection to
          Plaintiff's 1, which is the will of Shirley
20
          Bernstein, Plaintiff's 2, which is the Shirley
21
2.2
          Bernstein Trust Agreement, and Plaintiff's 3, which
23
          is the First Amendment to the Shirley Bernstein
          Trust Agreement?
24
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. Those are all in
          evidence then as Plaintiff's 1, 2 and 3.
2
 3
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
     evidence.)
4
     BY MR. ROSE:
          Q.
               Okay. This email is dated May -- May 17,
6
7
     2012, from Eliot, correct?
               Yes, it is.
          Α.
8
               This would have been after the conference
          Ο.
     call?
10
               This, I believe, was after the conference
11
12
     call, yep.
               And he says he's attached the waiver
13
     accounting and portions of petition for discharge,
14
15
     waiver of service for a petition for discharge, and
     receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge that he
16
17
    had signed.
18
               Did you receive those from Eliot?
19
          Α.
               Yes, I did. We received -- that was the first
     waivers that we received.
20
21
               Then it says "as I mentioned in the phone
          Q.
2.2
     call."
23
               Did you have any separate phone calls with
     Eliot Bernstein, you and he, or is he referring to the
24
25
     conference call?
```

- Α. I think he's referring to the conference call.
- 2 Ο. Okay. I have not yet -- "I have not seen any 3 of the underlying estate documents or my mother's will at this point, yet I signed this document after our family call so that my father can be released of his
- duties as personal representative and put whatever 6 matters that were causing him stress to rest."
- Do you see that? 8
  - Α. Yes, I do.

7

12

21

- Now, while Simon was alive, did you ever get 10 authorization to share the testamentary documents with 11
- I did not. 13 Α.

Eliot Bernstein?

- Now, after the call and after the discussion 14 with the siblings, did you prepare a draft of -- of new 15 documents for Simon? 16
- Yes, I did. 17 Α.
- 18 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 15; ask if 19 that's a letter that you sent to Simon Bernstein 20 enclosing some new drafts?
  - Α. Yes, it is.
- 2.2 Q. Now, what's the date of that?
- 23 Α. May 24th, 2012.
- And what's -- what is the summary -- well, 24 Ο.
- 25 strike that.

1 You sent this letter to Simon Bernstein? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 Q. By FedEx to his home? Yes, I did. Α. MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 15 in evidence. 6 7 THE COURT: Any objection? [No response.] 8 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as Plaintiff's 15. 10 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was received into 11 evidence.) 12 BY MR. ROSE: 13 14 Okay. So then first page says, "Dear Si, we have prepared drafts of a new will and an amended and 15 16 restated trust agreement." Are those the 2012 documents that were his 17 final ones? 18 19 Α. Yes, they are. Okay. Then you sort of do the same thing you 20 did in 2008; you give a little summary of what the 21 22 estate plan is. 23 "Your amended and restated trust provides that on your death, your assets will be divided among and 24 25 held in separate trusts for your then living

grandchildren, "correct? I was reading paragraph -- the middle paragraph.

- A. Yes, I see that. Yes.
- Q. I actually skipped the part above, which is probably more important, which says -- in the middle of the first paragraph, it says, "In addition, you have exercised the special power of appointment granted to you under Shirley's trust agreement in favor of your grandchildren who survive you."

10 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And so that was Simon's intent as discussed on the conference call?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. Do you know if you made any changes to these draft documents from May 24th until the day they were signed?
  - A. I don't believe so. If I did, it was for grammar or something else. The dispositive plan that was laid out in this memo was ultimately the subject of the documents that he executed in July.
- Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, which is a durable power of attorney.
- 24 If you flip to Exhibit 16, the last page, does 25 it bear a signature of Simon Bernstein?

1 Α. Yes, it does. 2 And it indicates you were a witness to the 3 signature? Α. 4 Yes. Along with Kimberly Moran, who is someone from your office? 6 7 Α. Correct. And someone named Lindsay Baxley notarized the Ο. 8 documents? 10 Α. Yes, she did. Do you know who Lindsay Baxley was? 11 Q. Lindsay Baxley worked in Ted and Si's office. 12 Α. 13 She was like a secretary? Q. Assistant to Ted, I believe, maybe. 14 Α. 15 Q. Okay. And if you look at --MR. ROSE: Well, first of all, I'll move 16 Exhibit 16 into evidence. 17 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 [No response.] THE COURT: No objection made, then I'll 20 receive this as Plaintiff's 16. 21 22 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was received into 23 evidence.) 24 BY MR. ROSE: 25 If you look at the last page where the notary

1 block is there, it says "personally known" with an underline, or "produced identification" with an 2 3 underline. And she's checked the box "personally known" -- or she's checked the line. 4 Do you see that? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 So do you believe that -- did you know Lindsay 0. Baxley by that point in time? 8 9 Α. Yes, I did. 10 And you believe -- she obviously knew Simon, she knew Kim Moran from other dealings between your 11 offices? 12 13 Α. Yes. Okay. And did you all sign this durable power 14 15 of attorney with testamentary formalities? 16 Α. Yes, we did. And what's the date of that? 17 Q. 18 Α. July 25, 2012. 19 I'm going to approach with Exhibit 4, and ask Q. you if you recognize Exhibit 4? 20 21 Α. Yes, I do. 2.2 Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 4? This is Si's new will that he executed in 23 Α. 24 2012, on July 25th, the same day as that durable power

25

of attorney.

1 Q. Now, were you present when Simon executed his new will, which is Exhibit 4? 2 3 Α. Yes, I was. 4 Q. If you turn to the last page --Well, actually, if you turn to the first page, 5 does it say "copy" and bear a clerk's stamp? 6 7 Α. It does. Ο. 8 Okay. MR. ROSE: I would represent to the Court that 9 I went to the clerk's office -- unlike with 10 Shirley's will, I went to the clerk's office and 11 12 obtained a -- like, a copy made by the clerk of the 13 document itself, rather than have the typewritten 14 conformed copy. 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object to that? 16 THE COURT: What's the objection? 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Is he making a statement? I'm 18 not sure --19 THE COURT: You're asking me a question. I 20 don't know. 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm objecting. Is that a 2.2 statement? THE COURT: The objection is? What are you 23 objecting to? 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: With the statement being

from --1 2 THE COURT: Okay. That was a statement by 3 somebody who's not a sworn witness, so I'll sustain 4 the objection. MR. BERNSTEIN: And the chain of custody of the document, I'm just trying to clarify that. 6 7 Okay. The objection was to the THE COURT: 8 statement. I've sustained the objection. Next question, please. 10 11 BY MR. ROSE: Unlike the trust, how many originals of a will 12 Q. 13 do you have the client sign? 14 Α. There's only one. 15 Ο. And then you give the client the one with the 16 typewritten -- you call it conformed copy? We conform the copy of the will. 17 Α. 18 Q. And after Simon died, was your law firm 19 counsel for the personal representative of the Estate of Simon Bernstein? 20 21 Α. Yes, we were. 2.2 Q. Did you file the original will with the court? 23 Α. Yes, we did. 24 Is it your belief that the original of this Q. 25 document is somewhere in the Palm Beach County Court

```
1
     system with the clerk's office?
2
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
 3
               MR. ROSE: I'd move Exhibit 4 in evidence,
          Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
               [No response.]
6
7
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection stated, I'll
          receive this as Plaintiff's 4.
8
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was received into
 9
     evidence.)
10
    BY MR. ROSE:
11
12
               Now, if you turn to the next to the last page
          Q.
     of Exhibit --
13
14
          Α.
               Yes.
15
               -- Exhibit 4, you'll see it bears a signature
          Ο.
     of Simon Bernstein and two witnesses, yourself and
16
     Kimberly Moran, who all assert that you signed in the
17
18
     presence of each other?
19
          Α.
               Yes.
               And then in the next page, it has what would
20
21
    be a self-proving affidavit?
2.2
          A.
               Correct.
23
               Now, if you look at the signature block where
24
     the notary signed, where it says "who is personally
25
    known to me," it doesn't seem to have a check box there.
```

1 It just says "who is personally known to me or who has produced [blank] as identification," right? 2 3 Α. Correct. Is this the same person who notarized the Ο. exhibit we just put in evidence, Exhibit 15, the durable power of attorney -- 16, the durable power of attorney? 6 7 Α. Yes. Ο. Okay. And again, with regard to 8 Exhibit 4 -- strike that. 10 Do you recall where you signed Exhibit 4? Α. 11 Yes. In whose office? 12 Ο. This was also done in Si's office. 13 Α. 14 Ο. Okay. So you took -- you went personally again, along with Kim Moran, as your practice, to make 15 sure that the documents were signed properly; true? 16 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And that's important because, if the documents 19 aren't properly signed, they might not be valid and enforceable? 20 21 Α. That's correct. 2.2 Q. And I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5. This is 23 the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement. 24

Was that signed the same day, at the same

```
1
     time, with the same procedures?
               Yes, it was.
 2
          Α.
 3
          Q.
               And would this have been signed with three
     originals?
 4
               Yes, it would be.
          Α.
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 5 into
 6
7
          evidence, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Any objection?
8
               [No response.]
10
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
11
          Plaintiff's 5.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was received into
12
     evidence.)
13
    BY MR. ROSE:
14
15
               Now, we looked at the history when you did the
     first set of documents. In the second set, you started
16
     in February through July.
17
               Did you have a number of telephone conferences
18
19
     with Simon during that time?
20
          Α.
               Yes, we did.
               And at least a couple of face-to-face
21
          Q.
22
     meetings?
23
          Α.
               Yes, we did.
24
               Did at any time Simon give you any indication
          Q.
25
     that he was not fully mentally sharp and aware and
```

```
1
     acting of his own volition?
               Nope. He was Si that we had known since 2007.
2
          Α.
               I'll close with Exhibit 17. This is a letter
 3
          Ο.
     you sent to Simon Bernstein, enclosing a copy of his
4
     conformed will for him.
 5
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
6
7
          Ο.
               And it's dated the 26th, the day after he
     signed the documents?
8
9
          Α.
               Correct.
10
               And did you also leave him with two of the
     originals of his trust?
11
               Yes, we did.
12
          Α.
               MR. ROSE: I move -- did I move 17 in?
13
          will move it in.
14
15
               THE COURT: Number 7, is it?
16
               MR. ROSE: Seventeen, sir.
               THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
17
18
               Any objection?
19
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: All right.
20
                                       Then that's in
          evidence as Plaintiff's 17.
21
22
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was received into
23
     evidence.)
24
    BY MR. ROSE:
25
          Q.
               Now, Simon passed away on September 13, 2012.
```

1 Does that sound right? Yes, it does. 2 Α. I have Exhibit 18 as his death certificate. 3 Q. MR. ROSE: I'll just move 18 into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? [No response.] 6 7 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as Plaintiff's 18. 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was received into 9 evidence.) 10 BY MR. ROSE: 11 So that's the death certificate for Simon 12 Bernstein. 13 Did you have any further discussions or 14 15 meetings with Simon after he signed the will and trust in 2012 and before he died? 16 17 Α. Not that I recall, no. 18 Q. And you filed a notice of administration, 19 opened an asset, published it in the Palm Beach Daily Review, did what you had to do? 20 21 Α. Yes, we did. 2.2 Q. And you and Mr. Tescher were the personal 23 representatives of the estate? 24 Α. Yes, we were. 25 Q. And you and Mr. Tescher became the successor

- December 15, 2015 75 1 trustees of Simon's amended trust after he passed away? 2 Α. Yes, we did. 3 I guess while he was still alive, he was still the sole trustee of his trust, which was revocable 4 still? 5 Α. Correct. 6 7 And then upon his death, at some point, did Ted Bernstein become aware that he was going to become 8 the successor trustee to the Shirley trust? 10 Yes. We had a meeting with Ted. Α. And that was the first time he learned about 11 Ο. the contents of her trust, as far as you know? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Initially, did anybody object to the documents or the fact that the beneficiaries were supposed to be 15 16 the 10 grandchildren? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. When was there first some kind of an objection 19 or a complaint? I can't recall exactly when it happened. 20 Okay. Did you at some point get a letter from 21 Q. 22 a lawyer at the Tripp Scott firm?
  - Α. Yes, we did.

- Okay. I think she was asking you about 24 Q.
- 25 something called the status of something called I View

1 It Company? Do you recall that? 2 Α. Vaquely. 3 Did you know what the Iviewit company was before you received a letter from the Tripp Scott 4 5 lawyer? Α. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. I know today. 6 7 can't tell if I'm answering because I know about it today or if I knew about it at that time. 8 9 Q. Okay. And did -- was she asking for some 10 documents from you? 11 Α. Is this Ms. Yates? 12 Q. Yes. 13 Α. Yes. And did you provide her with certain 14 Ο. 15 documents? She had asked for copies of all of Shirley's 16 Α. and Si's estate planning documents. 17 18 Q. And did you provide her with all of the 19 documents? 20 Α. Yes, we did. 21 Was one of the documents that you provided her Ο. 22 not an accurate copy of what Shirley had executed during her lifetime? 23 24 Α. That is true. 25 Q. Okay. And I guess I'll hand you Exhibit 6,

- and this -- is Exhibit 6 a document that is not a
  genuine and valid testamentary document of Shirley
  Bernstein?
  - A. That's correct.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Can you explain to the Court why Exhibit 6 was prepared and the circumstances?
- A. It was prepared to carry out the intent of Mr. Bernstein in the meeting that he had had with his five children, and perhaps a vague -- or a layman -- a layman can make a mistake reading Shirley's documents and not understand who the intended beneficiaries were or what powers I had. So this document was created.
- Q. Is it your belief that under the terms of Shirley's document from -- the ones she actually signed, that Simon had the power to appoint the funds to the ten grandchildren?
- A. Yes. We -- we prepared the documents that way, and our planning transmittal letter to him reflected that.
- Q. And this document is, I think you said, to explain it to a layperson in simpler fashion?
- A. It was created so that the person that, you know, didn't read estate planning documents and prepare estate planning documents for a living -- you know, there was no intent to cut out Pam and Ted's children,

basically.

1

10

11

12

13

18

19

- Q. Now, did you ever file this exhibit in the courthouse?
  - A. No, we did not.
    - Q. Did you ever use it for any purpose?
- A. No, we did not.
- Q. Was it at one point provided to Eliot's counsel?
- A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. Now, the fact -- putting aside this document, were any of the other documents that we're talking about in any way altered or changed from the ones that were signed by Shirley or Simon?
- 14 A. No, they were not.
- Q. Now, after these issues came to light, did

  Mr. Eliot Bernstein begin to attack you through the

  internet and through blogging and things like that?
  - A. He was doing that long before this document came to light.
  - Q. Okay. What was Eliot doing?
- A. His first thing that he did was -- with
  respect to the courts, was to file an emergency petition
  to freeze assets and after his brother as successor
  trustee of his mother's trust had sold the condo.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object to

1	this line of questioning for relevance to validity?			
2	THE COURT: What's the line of questioning			
3	you're talking about?			
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: The slander defamation going			
5	on about me with, you know, what I do and			
6	THE COURT: Well, I wasn't aware there's a			
7	line of questioning going on. There is a question.			
8	You've objected to it.			
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.			
10	THE COURT: What's the objection to that			
11	question?			
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: The relevancy to a validity			
13	hearing.			
14	THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the court			
15	reporter read the question back?			
16	(A portion of the record was read by the			
17	reporter.)			
18	THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether			
19	this guy's posting on Facebook that's negative or			
20	not?			
21	MR. ROSE: Well, a couple of things, but,			
22	primarily, we're just trying to determine whether			
23	these documents are valid.			
24	THE COURT: Right.			
25	MR. ROSE: And he is the only one who's saying			

1 they're not valid, so I want to give some 2 explanation as to why he's saying they're not 3 valid, as opposed to --THE COURT: I don't care why he's saying they're valid or invalid. I'll wait to see what the facts are. So I'll sustain the objection. 6 7 MR. ROSE: That's fine. BY MR. ROSE: 8 Ο. Did Simon Bernstein make any special arrangements, other than -- strike that. 10 Did Simon or Shirley make any special 11 12 arrangements, other than the testamentary documents that are admitted into evidence, for special benefits for 13 Eliot Bernstein and his family? 14 15 No, they did not. Α. 16 Any special education trusts, other than the -- these five documents? And I believe there was 17 18 some shares of stock that were put in trust for all ten 19 grandchildren, right? There was no special arrangements made other 20 than the estate planning documents. 21 2.2 Q. After Simon died, did Eliot claim to you that 23 Simon was supposed to have made some special arrangements for him? 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevancy again.

1	THE COURT: Overruled.		
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.		
3	BY MR. ROSE:		
4	Q. Did he ever give you an indication how much		
5	money he thought he was going to inherent when his		
6	father died, or his children would inherent when his		
7	father died?		
8	A. Through his subsequent attorney, yes, he did.		
9	Q. And how much money did he indicate he thought		
10	there should be?		
11	A. I heard a number from one of his attorneys of		
12	40- to a \$100 million.		
13	Q. Are you aware of any assets that Simon		
14	Bernstein had other than what he disclosed to you at the		
15	two times that we've looked at in 2007 and again in		
16	February of 2012?		
17	A. No, I am not.		
18	MR. ROSE: No further questions, Your Honor.		
19	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.		
20	Is there any cross?		
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.		
22	MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, I have questions as		
23	well.		
24	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, let me have the		
25	direct finished. That way, all the		

1 cross-examination can take place without 2 interruption. So everybody make sure you're 3 fitting within the Plaintiff's side of the room's time limitations. We'll strictly obey those. 4 CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) 5 BY MR. MORRISSEY: 6 Good afternoon, Mr. Spallina. My name's John 7 Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren 8 of Simon Bernstein. 10 And since we're here today about validity, I'm just going to go over, and try to be very brief, 11 12 concerning the execution of these documents and your 13 knowledge about the execution. Exhibit 1, which has been entered as the will 14 of Shirley Bernstein, I'd ask you to direct your 15 16 attention to that document. And I'm looking here at 17 page 7. I ask that you turn to page 7 of Exhibit 1. 18 Were you a witness of this document, this will that was executed by Shirley Bernstein on May 20th of 19 2008? 20 21 Yes, I was. Α. 2.2 Q. And was Diana Banks the other witness? 23 Α. Yes, she was. And did you and Diana witness Mrs. Bernstein's 24 Ο. 25 execution of this document?

- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. You were present during her execution?
- 3 A. Yes, we were.

2

- Q. And was she present during your execution of this document as a witness?
- 6 A. Yes, she was.
- Q. And was she, Shirley Bernstein, present during

  Biana Banks' execution of this document?
- A. Yes, she was.
- Q. Okay. And I'm again focused on this
- 11 Exhibit No. 1, this will of Shirley Bernstein dated
- 12 | May 20th of 2008.
- Is it your opinion that at the time Shirley

  Bernstein executed this document she understood

  generally the nature and extent of her property?
- 16 A. Yes, she did.
- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein
  executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general understanding
  of those who would be the natural objects of her bounty?
  - A. Yes, she did.
- Q. Okay. And at the time she -- Shirley

  Bernstein executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general

  understanding of the practical effect of this will?
- 24 A. I believe she did.
- Q. Okay. And in your opinion, was Shirley

- 1 Bernstein unduly influenced by any beneficiary of Exhibit 1 in connection with its execution? 2 3 Α. Not to my knowledge. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any 4 Ο. beneficiary or anyone actively procuring Exhibit 1? 5 Α. No, I do not. 6 7 Ο. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's trust executed on the same date, 8 that is May 20th of 2008, I'll direct your attention to page 27 of Exhibit No. 2. And it appears that Shirley 10 Bernstein executed that document on May 20th of 2008. 11 And the witnesses were yourself and Traci -- I can't 12 read her last name. 13 Traci Kratish. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Did Shirley Bernstein execute Ο. 16 Exhibit No. 2 in the presence of both you and Traci Kratish? 17 18 Α. Yes, she did. 19 Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 2 in Q. the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Traci Kratish? 20 21 Α. Yes, I did. 2.2 Q. Okay. And did Traci Kratish execute 23 Exhibit No. 2 in your presence and Shirley Bernstein's
  - A. Yes, she did.

presence?

24

- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, which is her 2008 trust, is it your opinion that she had a general understanding of the nature and extent of her property?

  A. Yes, she did.
  - Q. Okay. And at the time that Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she understood generally the relationship of those who would -- were the natural objects of her bounty?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she generally understood the practical effect of this document?
  - A. I believe she did.
- Q. Okay. And did you have any belief that

  Shirley Bernstein was unduly influenced in connection

  with -- by any beneficiary in connection with her

  execution of Exhibit No. 2?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. And do you know or have any information about any beneficiary or anyone else actively procuring Exhibit No. 2?
- 24 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And with respect -- now we'll move on

1 to Exhibit No. 3, which is the first amendment of Shirley Bernstein's trust, executed on November 18th of 2 3 2008. And I'll direct your attention on that Exhibit 3 to Page No. 2. And on Page No. 2 --Well, let me ask this question. Did Shirley Bernstein execute Exhibit No. 3 in the presence of both 6 7 you and Rachel Walker? Yes, she did. Α. 8 Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 3 in Ο. the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Rachel Walker? 10 Α. Yes, I did. 11 12 Ο. And did Rachel Walker execute this document, Exhibit No. 3, in the presence of Shirley Bernstein and 13 14 yourself? 15 Α. Yes, she did. Okay. And at the time Exhibit No. 3 was 16 Q. 17 executed, is it your opinion that Ms. Bernstein 18 understood generally the nature and extent of her 19 property? 20 Yes, I believe so. 21 And is it your opinion that at the time Ο. 22 Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, she generally 23 understood the relationship of those who would be the

24

25

natural objects of her bounty?

Yes, I believe so.

Α.

1 Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, is it your opinion that she 2 3 generally understood the practical effect of this trust amendment? Yes, I believe so. Α. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 6 Q. 7 information about any beneficiary or any other person unduly influencing Shirley Bernstein to execute 8 Exhibit No. 3? 10 Α. I do not. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 11 Ο. information about any person, beneficiary or otherwise, 12 13 actively procuring Exhibit No. 3? I do not. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Moving on to Exhibit No. 4 then, which Ο. is the will of Simon Bernstein, and that is a will that 16 Mr. Bernstein executed on July -- yes, July 25 of 2012. 17 18 And let me direct your attention to page 7 of that will, 19 Exhibit No. 4. And did Simon Bernstein execute this document 20 in the presence of you and Kimberly Moran on July 25, 21 2.2 2012? 23 Α. Yes, he did. And did you execute this document, 24 Q.

Exhibit No. 4, as a witness in the presence of Simon

- Direct Cross Vol 1 December 15, 2015 88 1 Bernstein and Kimberly Moran on that date? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 4 4 as a witness in the presence of Simon Bernstein and yourself? 5 Α. Yes, she did. 6 7 Ο. Okay. And on this date -- or at the time of execution on this date of July 25, 2012, did Simon 8 Bernstein understand in a general way the nature and extent of his property? 10 Α. Yes, he did. 12
- 11

14

15

20

24

- Ο. Okay. At the time that Exhibit No. 4 was executed, did Simon Bernstein generally understand the relationship of those who would be the natural objects of his bounty?
- 16 Α. Yes, he did.
- 17 And at the time Exhibit No. 4 was executed, 18 did -- in your opinion, did Simon Bernstein understand 19 the practical effect of this will?
  - Α. Yes, he did.
- Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 21 Ο. 2.2 information about any person, whether beneficiary or 23 otherwise, actively procuring this Exhibit No. 4?
  - No, I do not. Α.
    - Q. Do you have any information about any person,

1 beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing Simon Bernstein to execute Exhibit No. 4? 2 3 Α. I do not. Okay. And moving on to the last document Ο. then, Exhibit No. 5, which is the Simon Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, and I'll direct 6 7 your attention to page 24 of that Exhibit No. 5. On July 25, 2012, did Simon Bernstein execute 8 this trust agreement in the presence of you and Kimberly 10 Moran? Yes, he did. 11 Α. 12 And did you execute this trust, Exhibit No. 5, Ο. as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and Kimberly 13 14 Moran? 15 Α. I did. 16 And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 5 as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and yourself? 17 She did. 18 Α. 19 Okay. And at the time Simon Bernstein Q. executed Exhibit No. 5, in your opinion, did he 20 generally understand the nature and extent of his 21 22 property? 23 Α. He did. 24 And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, Ο. 25 did Simon Bernstein, in your opinion, generally

1 understand the relationship of those who would be the 2 natural objects of his bounty? 3 Α. He did. And did Simon Bernstein, when Exhibit No. 5 4 Ο. was executed, understand generally the practical effect of this trust agreement? 6 7 Α. Yes, he did. Ο. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, do 8 you have any knowledge about any person, whether beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing 10 Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, to execute this 11 Exhibit No. 5? 12 13 Α. Nothing that I'm aware of. 14 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 15 information about any person, whether beneficiary or otherwise, actively procuring Exhibit No. 5? 16 17 Α. I do not. 18 MR. MORRISSEY: I have no further questions, 19 Judge. THE COURT: All right. 20 Thanks. 21 Now, is there any cross? You're not required 2.2 to ask any questions, but you just need to let me 23 know if you're going to. 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, are you asking me? 25 no idea.

1 THE COURT: I'm not asking you. I'm just telling you, if you have questions for the witness, 2 3 this is your opportunity to ask them; if you don't have any questions, you don't have to ask any. But 4 if you're going to, you have to start now. 5 CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) 6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide 8 0. some expert testimony, correct, on the --9 10 No, I was not. Α. Oh, okay. You're just going based on your 11 Q. 12 doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley 13 Bernstein's attorney? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. Are you still an attorney today? Q. 16 Α. I am not practicing. 17 Q. Can you give us the circumstances regarding 18 that? 19 Α. I withdrew from my firm. Are you under a consent order with the SEC? 20 Q. 21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 2.2 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 Did you sign a consent order for insider 25 trading --

1	A. Yes, I did.	
2	Q with the SEC?	
3	You did. Can you give us the circumstances of	
4	your consent order?	
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
6	THE COURT: That won't be relevant. Please	
7	move on to the next question.	
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
10	Q. Were you did you plead to a felony crime?	
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
12	THE COURT: Overruled.	
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant as to	
14	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument.	
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, what did you say?	
16	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. I	
17	sustained the objection no, I sustained the last	
18	objection. This one I'm overruling.	
19	You can answer.	
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I can't ask him if he's a	
21	felon?	
22	THE COURT: You're asking the wrong guy.	
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Are	
24	THE COURT: The witness is you asked the	
25	question.	

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
2	Q. Are you a convicted felony?		
3	THE COURT: Let's back up a second.		
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.		
5	THE COURT: When you're asking for a ruling,		
6	and I make one, then we're going to have the		
7	witness answer.		
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.		
9	THE COURT: I made my ruling. I'm letting the		
10	witness answer your earlier question, unless you're		
11	withdrawing it. Are you withdrawing your earlier		
12	question?		
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.		
14	THE COURT: You can answer the question, which		
15	is, did you plead to a felony?		
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, sir.		
17	THE WITNESS: I have not.		
18	THE COURT: Okay. Next question.		
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
20	Q. Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor?		
21	A. I have not.		
22	Q. Were you involved in a insider trading case?		
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.		
24	THE COURT: Sustained. Next question.		
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that mean he doesn't have		

2			
2	THE COURT: How many times have you been in		
ی	court?		
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a few where I've had to		
5	do this.		
6	THE COURT: You know how this works.		
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: I really don't.		
8	THE COURT: All right. If I sustain an		
9	objection, that's means he does not answer the		
10	question.		
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And overruled?		
12	THE COURT: If I overrule an objection, that		
13	means the witness does answer the question.		
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.		
15	THE COURT: And I've asked you to ask your		
16	next question.		
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.		
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
19	Q. Is that your picture on the Florida Law		
20	Review, SEC case settled against Florida attorneys?		
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.		
	THE COURT: Sustained.		
22			
22	Do you have any questions on the issues that I		
	Do you have any questions on the issues that I have to decide in this case?		

1	on his truthfulness.	
2	THE COURT: My question is, do you have any	
3	questions you want to ask about the issues relevant	
4	to this case?	
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is relevant to this	
6	case.	
7	THE COURT: I disagree.	
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.	
9	THE COURT: I thought I made that very clear	
10	in my ruling. You probably want to move on to a	
11	relevant issue.	
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
14	Q. Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with	
15	the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the	
16	Bernstein matters?	
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
18	THE COURT: Overruled.	
19	You can answer that.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.	
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
22	Q. And did you state to them that you	
23	fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then	
24	sent it through the mail to Christine Yates?	
25	A. Yes, I did.	

1 Q. Have you been charged with that by the Palm 2 Beach County Sheriff yet? 3 Α. No, I have not. 4 Ο. Okay. How many times were you interviewed by the Palm Beach County Sheriff? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to 9 Q. Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's 10 minor children? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 12 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 And when did you acknowledge that to the courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came 17 18 about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud? 19 Α. I don't know that I did do that. Well, you just said you went to the Palm Beach 20 County Sheriff and admitted altering a document and put 21 2.2 it in the mail. 23 THE COURT: Let me stop you there. If you want to ask the witness questions, you're permitted 24 25 to do that. If you would like to argue with the

you want to ask?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli  Bernstein's minor children's counsel.  Can you tell us what that document did to  affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change.	1	witness, that's not do you have any questions			
BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli Bernstein's minor children's counsel.  Can you tell us what that document did to affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	2	you want to ask?			
Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli Bernstein's minor children's counsel.  Can you tell us what that document did to affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.			
Bernstein's minor children's counsel.  Can you tell us what that document did to affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
Can you tell us what that document did to affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	5	Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli			
affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?  A. It has no effect.  Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	6	Bernstein's minor children's counsel.			
9 A. It has no effect.  10 Q. What was its intended effect of altering the 11 document?  12 A. To carry out your father's wishes in the 13 agreement that he had made with the five of you for a 14 layperson that would be reading the documents.  15 Q. You were carrying out his wishes by 16 fraudulently altering a document?  17 MR. ROSE: Objection.  18 THE COURT: Sustained.  19 That's argumentative. I don't want you to 20 argue with the witness. That's an argument.  21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  22 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	7	Can you tell us what that document did to			
Q. What was its intended effect of altering the document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	8	affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?			
document?  A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	9	A. It has no effect.			
A. To carry out your father's wishes in the agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	10	Q. What was its intended effect of altering the			
agreement that he had made with the five of you for a layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	11	document?			
layperson that would be reading the documents.  Q. You were carrying out his wishes by  fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to  argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	12	A. To carry out your father's wishes in the			
Q. You were carrying out his wishes by  fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to  argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	13	agreement that he had made with the five of you for a			
fraudulently altering a document?  MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to  argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	14	layperson that would be reading the documents.			
MR. ROSE: Objection.  THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to  argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	15	Q. You were carrying out his wishes by			
THE COURT: Sustained.  That's argumentative. I don't want you to  argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	16	fraudulently altering a document?			
That's argumentative. I don't want you to argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	17	MR. ROSE: Objection.			
argue with the witness. That's an argument.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	18	THE COURT: Sustained.			
MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  22 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	19	That's argumentative. I don't want you to			
22 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	20	argue with the witness. That's an argument.			
	21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.			
O. Did the fraudulently altered document change	22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
2. 223 213 213 2131 41 22 23 4 4 2 3 4 4 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	23	Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change			
the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust?	24	the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust?			
A. They did not.	25	A. They did not.			

1 Q. Who are the beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? 2 Α. It depends on -- under the trust instrument, 3 in the absence of Si exercising his power of appointment, it would be yourself and your two sisters, 4 Lisa and Jill. 5 Oh. So the only beneficiaries in Shirley's 6 Q. 7 trust are me, Lisa and Jill. Is that directly or through a family trust? 8 Α. Your father had established -- your parents had established family trusts for the three of you to 10 receive assets from the trust. 11 12 Q. Okay. So in that document that you sent to Christine Yates, did you include Ted and Pam's lineal 13 14 descendants under the amendment that you fraudulently 15 drafted and sent to her? 16 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. 17 18 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 19 Did in any way the document that you Q. fraudulently altered and sent to Yates change the 20 beneficiaries from Eliot, Lisa and Jill and their lineal 21 2.2 descendants to anybody else? 23 THE COURT: May I ask a question? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 24 25 THE COURT: This document that you're

```
1
    referring to, is anybody asking me to probate that
    document?
 2
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's part of the estate
 4
    plan. It's part --
          THE COURT: Is anybody seeking relief, either
 5
    you or the other side, under that document?
6
7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. They're seeking to
     change the beneficiaries of my mom's trust through
8
    that document and others.
10
          THE COURT: You're misperceiving my question.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Sorry.
11
12
          THE COURT: That document, which
     is -- nobody's put it in evidence; I don't know
13
14
    what it is, but it's -- that thing that you're
15
    asking the witness about, is somebody seeking
    relief based upon that document?
16
17
          MR. ROSE: Absolutely not. The opposite.
18
          THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking relief
19
    based upon that document?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Oh, absolutely.
21
          THE COURT: All right. Are you claiming that
22
    that document is subject to probate?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
24
          THE COURT: Is the lady who's giving you
25
    advice your attorney?
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.			
2	THE COURT: Ma'am, are you admitted to the bar			
3	in Florida? Remember what I told you earlier.			
4	I've let you sit there as a courtesy. Generally, I			
5	don't let wives or friends or anybody else sit at			
6	the table where the parties are because it confuses			
7	me. But you're giving that guy advice and you're			
8	also not listening to me, which I find odd, because			
9	I'm going to have you move you back to the gallery			
10	now. Please have a seat in the gallery. Please			
11	have a seat in the gallery. Please have a seat in			
12	the gallery. Soon. When courtesy is not returned,			
13	courtesy is withdrawn. Please have a seat in the			
14	gallery. Thank you.			
15	Do you have any other questions of the			
16	witness?			
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit this as evidence			
18	to the Court?			
19	THE COURT: Is that the document you've been			
20	asking the witness about?			
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.			
22	THE COURT: All right. Any objection to it			
23	being received as an exhibit?			
24	MR. ROSE: I don't have any objection to it			
25	being received as an exhibit. But as Your Honor			

```
1
    noted, we aren't seeking to probate it, and we're
 2
     not suggesting it's valid in the first place.
 3
          THE COURT: All right. Well, let me see what
     that document is, so then I'll see if I can make
 4
     some sense out of it.
 5
          You can't -- Gary's always afraid that if
6
7
     somebody's not a member of the bar, they might do
     something bad to me. Officers of the court aren't
8
     allowed to do things bad to the judge. Other folks
9
10
     don't know that. And so Gary watches out carefully
     for my well-being.
11
12
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
          THE COURT: Okay. So this is a document
13
14
     that's titled "First Amendment to Shirley Bernstein
15
     Trust Agreement."
16
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
          THE COURT: And it's in the book that I've
17
18
     been given earlier by the plaintiff as Tab 6.
19
     You're seeking to put it into evidence as
     Defendant's 1?
20
21
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2.2
          THE COURT: Right?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Yes, sir.
24
          THE COURT: You're offering it as an exhibit?
25
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Evidence 1.
```

1	THE COURT: The objection to it is that it's			
2	not relevant?			
3	MR. ROSE: Not relevant. Right, relevance.			
4	And it's also not something we're seeking to be			
5	probated or treated as authentic and genuine.			
6	THE COURT: Well, the other side is seeking to			
7	use the terms of this document instead of the terms			
8	of the amendment that's in evidence, right?			
9	MR. ROSE: I don't believe that's what he's			
10	doing.			
11	THE COURT: I'm not sure what he's doing, but			
12	in an abundance of caution, I'm going to receive it			
13	for what relevance it might have. I don't perceive			
14	any yet, but we'll see what happens.			
15	So this is Defendant 1.			
16	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 was received into			
17	evidence.)			
18	THE COURT: Any other questions of the			
19	witness?			
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.			
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
22	Q. You've testified here about Kimberly Moran.			
23	Can you describe your relationship with her?			
24	A. She's been our long-time assistant in the			
25	office.			

1 Q. Was she convicted of felony fraudulent notarization in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. 4 You're asking if she was convicted of a felony with respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? 6 7 You can answer the question. MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. 8 THE WITNESS: I believe she was. 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Ο. And what was she convicted for? 11 She had notarized the waiver releases of 12 Α. accounting that you and your siblings had previously 13 provided, and we filed those with the court. 14 15 Ο. We filed those with the court. Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents 16 to the court? 17 18 Α. No. We filed -- we filed your original documents with the court that were not notarized, and 19 the court had sent them back. 20 21 Ο. And then what happened? 2.2 Α. And then Kimberly forged the signatures and 23 notarized those signatures and sent them back. Judge Colon has a rule in his court to have 24 25 those documents notarized, even though that's not the

1 requirement under the Florida Probate Code. 2 Ο. So when you didn't follow the rule, you 3 frauded [sic] and forged the document? MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with that. 6 7 THE COURT: You've got to stop a second. MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: If you continue to argue with the 9 10 witness, then I'll assume you don't have any more questions. I sustained that last objection to 11 12 argumentative. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm a little confused --13 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry about your confusion, 15 but there are ways you could have dealt with that 16 before this trial. If you are confused during the 17 trial, you better get unconfused as quickly as you 18 can because bad things will happen. And I don't 19 want bad things to happen. I want to get the facts 20 so that I can accurately decide the case on its 21 merits. 2.2 Stop arguing, ask questions, let the witness 23 answer, and listen to any rulings that I make on the objections. That's the last time I'll repeat 24

that advice to you. Thank you.

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
2	Q. What law firm submitted those documents to the	
3	court?	
4	A. Tescher & Spallina, P.A.	
5	Q. Are you a partner in that firm?	
6	A. I was.	
7	Q. So your firm that you were a partner with sent	
8	in documents that were fraudulent to the court?	
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.	
10	THE COURT: Sustained.	
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
12	Q. Did Tescher & Spallina law firm submit	
13	Kimberly Moran's forged and fraudulent document waivers	
14	to the court?	
15	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.	
16	THE COURT: He already said he did.	
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: What is that?	
18	THE COURT: Cumulative means you've already	
19	had that answer given.	
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I didn't have that.	
21	THE COURT: He's already said that he did.	
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking if they deposited	
23	them with the court.	
24	THE COURT: And he said they didn't.	
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I asked him, and he	

1	said	
2	THE CO	URT: I won't argue with you. Do you
3	want to go on to the next item or not?	
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay, I do.	
5	THE CO	URT: Okay. Next question, please.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN	:
7	Q. Did yo	ur office did you submit documents to
8	close the estate	of Shirley with Simon as the personal
9	representative a	t a time Simon was dead?
10	A. We did	
11	Q. You di	d? Excuse me? I didn't hear an answer.
12	A. I said	yes.
13	Q. So Shi	rley's estate was closed by a dead
14	personal representative.	
15	Can yo	u give me the time that the estate was
16	closed by Simon while he was dead?	
17	MR. RO	SE: Objection. Argumentative.
18	THE CO	URT: Overruled.
19	You can answer.	
20	THE WITNESS: I believe it was October,	
21	November 2012.	
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN	:
23	Q. Do you	want to check your records on that?
24	A. I beli	eve it was after his death. I know he
25	died September 13, 2012. And we had received late from	

one of your sisters the signed waiver. So it was probably in November, somewhere around there.

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. You stated that Simon -- that Kimberly did five waivers for the siblings that she sent back in fraudulently to the court through your law firm.

Did she also do a fraudulent forged signature of a waiver for Simon?

- A. I'm not sure. I guess if you're saying she
  - Q. Well, the court has on file a waiver of Simon's that she's admitted to.
  - A. We filed all of the waivers originally with the court all signed by the appropriate parties, and the court kicked those back. And she forged and notarized new documents and sent them to the court. She felt she had made a mistake.
  - Q. Okay. Are you aware of an April 9th full waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you?
  - A. Yeah. That was the waiver that he had signed. And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the accountings.
  - Q. Okay. And in that April 9th full waiver you used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that he has all the waivers from all of the parties?

1 Α. He does. We sent out -- he signed that, and 2 we sent out the waivers to all of you. 3 Q. Okay. So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed, 4 with your presence, because your signature's on the document, a document stating he had all the waivers in 5 his possession from all of his children. 6 7 Had you sent the waivers out yet as of April 9th? 8 THE COURT: What is it that you want the 10 witness to answer? There was several questions. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, compounded a little bit? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. 14 THE COURT: So you even --15 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back. 16 THE COURT: So you even know the lingo of the 17 objections. 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back to one at 19 a time, because it's an important point. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver 21 22 of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of 23 the signed waivers of all of the parties? Standard operating procedure, to have him 24 Α. 25 sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids.

1	Q. Was Simon in possession because it's a				
2	sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of				
3	these waivers of my children on today, April 9th,				
4	correct, the day you two signed that?				
5	Okay. So if you hadn't sent out the waivers				
6	yet to the				
7	A. I'm not certain when the waivers were sent				
8	out.				
9	Q. Were they sent out after the				
10	A. I did not send them out.				
11	Q. Okay. More importantly, when did you receive				
12	those? Was it before April 9th or on April 9th?				
13	A. We didn't receive the first one until May.				
14	And it was your waiver that we received.				
15	Q. So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney,				
16	to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of				
17	all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til				
18	May?				
19	MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's relevance				
20	and cumulative. He's already answered.				
21	THE COURT: What's the relevance?				
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, this is very relevant.				
23	THE COURT: What is the relevance on the issue				
24	that I have to rule on today?				
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: On the validity? Well, it's				

1 relevant. If any of these documents are relevant, 2 this is important if it's a fraud. 3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I -- okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 When did you get -- did you get back prior to 6 Q. 7 Simon's death all the waivers from all the children? No, we did not. 8 Α. So in Simon's April 9th document where he Q. says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from 10 his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get 11 12 one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how could that be a true statement? 13 14 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Cumulative. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 Here's what I'm going to decide at the end of 17 the day; I'm going to decide whether Shirley's 2008 will and trust and 2008 amendment are valid and 18 19 enforceable. I'm going to decide whether Simon's 2012 will and 2012 trust documents are valid and 20 enforceable. You have a lot more on your mind than 21

I have on mine. You do. Right? But those are the

things that I'm working on. So I'm focused like a

laser and you're focused more like a shotgun. I'm

telling you this so that you can focus more tightly

2.2

23

24

25

on the questions you're asking and the facts you're developing so they'll help me make an accurate decision on those things that I'm going to decide today. You can keep asking questions that don't go anywhere, but I would hope that you'll adjust your approach so that you'll help me make an accurate decision.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.

#### BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- And on validity, let's just get right to that real quick. You've testified to a lot of documents here today, correct, of the estate documents you drafted, correct?
- Yes, I did. Α.
- Did you gain any pecuniary interest, did you Ο. gain any titles in those documents?
- Pecuniary interest? No. I was named by your 17 father as personal representative and trustee of his 19 trust.
  - And so you executed -- you drafted the documents, you signed them as a witness, and you gained interest in the documents, correct?
  - Α. No, I did not.
    - You didn't gain interest as a trustee --Q. MR. ROSE: Objection.

```
1
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 2
          Q. -- or a personal representative of those
 3
     documents?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked and
 4
          answered.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
6
 7
               THE WITNESS: I was named as his personal
          representative and trustee, along with my partner.
8
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10
               Did you witness the document?
          Ο.
               I did.
          Α.
11
12
          Q.
               Did you draft the document?
               I did.
13
          Α.
14
               Okay. You mentioned there was Kimberly Moran
15
     there at the signing of these documents, correct?
          Α.
               She was.
16
               Okay. Can you point her out, because I'm
17
18
     going to need her to testify as to the validity?
19
          Α.
               I do not see her in the courtroom.
               Okay. You mentioned a Traci Kratish. Can you
20
     point her out in the courtroom today to validate the
21
2.2
     documents?
               I don't see Traci in the room either.
23
          Α.
24
          Ο.
               So she was another witness that is not here
25
    present to validate the documents today? Well, it's
```

```
awful -- okay.
1
               Is Kimberly Moran here who notarized the
 2
 3
    documents.
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked that
 4
         a minute ago.
              MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't -- did I? Was it
6
7
         Moran --
               THE COURT: No, I thought it was some other
8
         name.
10
              MR. BERNSTEIN: So did I.
11
              THE COURT: Is Kimberly here?
              THE WITNESS: She's not.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
         Q. Okay. Being a former estate planning
    attorney. To validate a document, wouldn't you have the
16
    parties who witnessed and notarized and signed present?
17
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
18
19
         Misstates --
              THE COURT: Sustained.
20
21
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2.2
         Q.
              Is it necessary to validate documents with the
23
    necessary notaries and witnesses present?
24
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal
25
         conclusion.
```

```
THE COURT: Well, I'm the one that's going
1
    make that decision. I don't care what the witness
 2
 3
     says about the law.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          I gotcha. Okay.
          THE COURT: So this would be a good time for
    us to take a pause. We're not making headway.
6
7
          You ever here of cavitation when it comes to
    boat propellers?
8
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
10
          THE COURT: Okay. I don't know a lot about
     the physics of it, but a boat goes forward based on
11
12
    a propeller spinning in the water. And it happens
13
     sometimes in racing boats, maybe other boats too,
     that you get the propeller going so fast or you do
14
15
     something so much with the propeller that it
16
    cavitates, which means that it's not actually
17
    pushing in the water. It's making a lot of noise.
18
     It's spinning like crazy. It's furiously working,
19
    but it's not propelling the boat forward. I want
20
    to suggest to you that you've hit a point of
21
     cavitation. So this would be a good time for us to
2.2
    take our lunch break so that when we get back we'll
23
     go forward with this ship that is our trial.
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: How long?
25
          THE COURT: It'll be until 1:30.
```

```
1
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
          THE COURT: That'll give everybody a time to
2
     revive, if necessary, and we'll reconstitute
3
4
     ourselves at 1:30. Thanks.
          (A break was taken.)
 5
          (Proceedings continued in Volume 2.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE				
2					
3	STATE OF FLORIDA				
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH				
5					
6					
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional				
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was				
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the				
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true				
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.				
12	Dated this Ath day of January 2016.				
13	Minite V. King				
14	7.7.7				
15	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR				
16	SHIIIS, D. HIN, III				
17	Job #1358198-VOL 1				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

# \$

\$1.2 49:25 \$100 81:12 \$100,000 48:7 \$18 23:11 46:12 \$2 54:6 \$200,000 32:2,9

#### 0

0 55:13
07 23:12
08 24:3,5

#### 1

**1** 15:23 34:3,6, 10,15,16 39:10 54:12 61:14,20 62:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5 101:20, 25 102:15,16 **1,150,000** 49:2 **1,550,000** 49:12 **10** 13:9,10,22 14:3,4 22:3 58:9 75:16 **100** 48:14 **100,000** 48:18 **11** 26:12,21 27:1,2,5,11 **11/14/07** 14:13 **11/14/2007** 15:3 **11/16** 15:6 **12** 22:9,12 24:5 **12/19** 23:23 **12/19/07** 23:22, 24 **13** 23:5 45:18, 22 46:2,3,19 53:22 59:1 73:25 106:25 **14** 60:24 61:3, 7,8 **14th** 22:4 23:12 **15** 17:21 63:18 64:5,10,11

71:5

16 65:22,24
66:17,21,22
71:6

16th 12:15

17 62:6 73:3,
13,21,22

18 23:13 25:16,
17 47:16 74:3,
4,8,9

18th 40:15 86:2

19 12:25 25:17
26:14

1st 59:1

# 2

**2** 35:9 36:11 39:11 51:6 55:14 61:12, 14,21 62:2,3 84:7,10,16,19, 23 85:2,7,12, 19,23 86:4 20 24:9 **2000** 17:21 18:17 20:4,14 21:8,24 30:11 2002 37:19 **2007** 11:25 12:15 22:4 25:25 46:11 47:16 49:23 51:18 53:1 73:2 81:15 2008 8:25 9:1, 2,5,6,21,25 10:4,5 24:9,11 25:25 26:15 33:3,14 34:1 35:12 37:1,17, 20 38:24 40:15,16 41:5 49:22,23 61:12 64:21 82:20 83:12 84:9,11 85:2 86:3 110:17,18 **2010** 42:22 43:17 58:25 **2012** 9:17,18 45:15,21

46:13,18 51:15,21 53:1 57:17 59:1,2 62:7 63:23 64:17 67:18,24 73:25 74:16 81:16 87:17,22 88:8 89:8 106:21,25 108:3,21 110:20 **20th** 82:19 83:12 84:9,11 **24** 89:7 24th 63:23 65:16 **25** 20:15 21:17, 19 67:18 87:17,21 88:8 89:8 **250** 55:14

# 3

26th 15:5 73:7

**27** 36:8 84:10

**25th** 67:24

3 38:20 39:1,6, 7,11 51:7 61:14,22 62:2 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13 31 24:3 33 23:6 33:22 365,000 52:13

### 4

4 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,8,9, 15 71:9,10 87:15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2 40 17:16 18:3 40- 81:12 40A 15:22 17:7, 12 40A-F 17:13 **40B** 16:11 **40C** 17:1

#### 5

5 20:17,18 23:6 51:20 71:22 72:6,11,12 89:5,7,12,16, 20,24 90:4,8, 12,16 500,000 47:24 48:1 55:16

# 6

6 76:25 77:1,5 101:18 60 58:11 600 48:13,14 600,000 55:18

# 7

7 19:11,20,25 20:1 73:15 82:17 87:18 75 54:14 750,000 49:10

# 8

**800,000** 51:9 **8th** 42:23

# 9

9 12:11,12,20 13:1 90 58:11 9th 32:11,17 107:17,23 108:3,8,21 109:3,12 110:9,10

#### Α

absence 98:3

absolutely 99:17,20 abundance 102:12 access 43:25 accommodate 37:10 accompany 40:25 account 23:2 57:4 accounting 60:21 62:14 103:13 accountings 107:22 accurate 19:19 76:22 111:2,6 accurately 104:20 acknowledge 96:16 acknowledged 96:18 acting 73:1 action 8:24 actively 84:5 85:22 87:13 88:23 90:16 actual 35:15 add 23:10 addition 41:5 65:6 address 5:23 addressing 60:25 adjust 11:8 111:5 administration 44:4,8 46:18 74:18 admission 11:11 admitted 24:8 80:13 96:21 100:2 107:11 adult 8:14 82:8 **advance** 15:9,13 **advice** 56:23 57:7 99:25 100:7 104:25 affect 97:8

affidavit 70:21 affirmed 10:24 afraid 101:6 afternoon 82:7 aggressive 7:19 **agree** 9:24 10:5 agreement 9:18 29:10,22 35:11 38:2 61:13,22, 24 64:16 65:8 71:24 89:6,9 90:6 97:13 101:15 agreements 35:20 Alan 5:6 Alexandra 8:15 **alive** 35:7 43:25 44:5,8 52:10 63:10 75:3 110:11 allegedly 107:18 allowed 101:9 altered 78:12 95:23 97:23 98:20 altering 46:7 96:21 97:10,16 amend 38:1 amended 8:24 9:18 38:4,5 64:15,23 71:23 75:1 89:6 amendment 9:2, 4,5,7 39:18 40:2,14,17 61:23 86:1 87:4 98:14 101:14 102:8 110:18 amount 20:7 55:11 56:6 ancillary 16:23 Andrews 53:17 answering 76:7 appearance 8:11 appears 18:12 20:12 23:3

25:17 26:20

27:15 36:8

60:24 84:10 appoint 20:23 30:2,13 77:15 appointment 20:21 21:1,4, 15 29:19 31:12,15 33:6, 12,20 55:4 65:7 98:4 approach 11:2 12:11 15:21 67:19 111:6 approaches 11:3 approval 59:24 approved 53:16 approximately 45:13 **April** 26:14 29:1 32:11,17 37:2 107:17,23 108:3,8,21 109:3,12,17 110:9,10 area 37:4 **argue** 96:25 97:20 104:9 106:2 arguing 104:22 argument 92:14, 16 97:20 argumentative 97:19 98:16 104:4,12 106:17 arranged 40:5 arrangements 80:10,12,20,24 arrows 19:17 **assert** 70:17 **asset** 25:10 42:7 50:4 74:19 assets 18:9,11 29:16,17 30:2 31:13 36:4 43:11 48:20 49:18 50:1 54:22 55:2,5 64:24 78:23 81:13 98:11 assistant 40:11 assume 51:8 104:10 assuming 28:9 attached 62:13 attack 78:16 attend 59:5 attention 82:16 84:9 86:3 87:18 89:7 attorney 12:2 24:16 27:8 45:1,4 51:14 65:23 67:15,25 71:6 81:8 91:12,13,15 96:10 99:25 109:15 attorney-client 38:9 attorneys 81:11 94:20 August 17:21 authentic 8:22 9:16 26:18 102:5 authorization 63:11 authorized 45:3 avoid 29:15,18 aware 39:24 40:24 50:10,18 55:20 56:5 72:25 75:8 79:6 81:13 90:13 107:17

# В

back 8:1 15:4
40:13 42:2
47:11 49:22
60:21,22 79:15
93:3 100:9
103:20,23
107:4,14,21
108:15,18
110:6
bad 101:8,9
104:18,19
balance 21:18
33:23

66:14 102:24

22,25 63:1,5,

**called** 40:3,5

14 65:13 69:16

Banks 82:22
Banks' 83:8
bar 100:2 101:7
based 25:14
60:2 91:11
94:25 99:16,19
basically 31:3
78:1
Bates 13:20
16:17
Baxley 66:8,11,
12 67:8
<b>Beach</b> 69:25
74:19 95:15
96:2,5,20
<b>bear</b> 16:17
65:25 68:6
bears 15:22
24:9 70:15
<b>begin</b> 78:16
beginning 5:11
begins 53:22
behalf 5:6 6:1
8:13
belabor 21:15
belief 37:20
69:24 77:13
85:16
belong 19:18
beneficiaries
18:4 20:8
33:12,16 46:25 57:14 75:15
77:11 97:24
98:1,6,21 99:8
beneficiary
28:10 33:4
42:24 43:6,20,
21 44:17,20
55:9 56:22
57:21 62:16
84:1,5 85:18,
22 87:7,12
88:22 89:1
90:10,15
benefit 54:16
benefits 80:13
Bentley 50:8,9,
10,11,20,21
Bernstein 5:3, 7,15,18,22,25
1,13,10,44,45
1

```
6:6,8,11,14,
17,20,24 7:3,
7,10,13,16,17
8:15,16 9:1,2,
17 10:4,7,9,
11,14 11:15,
20,24 12:8,17,
18 13:5 14:19,
22 16:2,8,13,
21 20:15 23:16
24:9,12,22
25:1,15 27:13
28:18 29:10
30:23 31:9
36:11,13 41:15
43:2 45:10
46:18 49:4,6,8
52:8 56:8
60:25 61:18,
21,22,23,25
62:24 63:12,19
64:1 65:25
68:15,17,21,25
69:5,20 70:7,
16 71:23 73:4
74:13 75:8
77:3,8 78:16,
25 79:4,9,12
80:9,14,25
81:14,21 82:9,
15,19 83:7,11,
14,17,22 84:1,
11,15,20 85:1,
6,11,17 86:6,
10,13,17,22
87:1,8,16,17,
20 88:1,4,9,
13,18 89:2,5,
8,13,17,19,25
90:4,11,24
91:7,23 92:8,
9,13,15,20,23
93:1,4,8,13,
16,19,25 94:4,
7,11,14,17,18,
25 95:5,8,12,
13,16,21 96:8,
15 97:3,4,21,
22 98:18,24
99:3,7,11,20,
23 100:1,17,21
101:12,14,16,
21,23,25
102:20,21
```

```
103:2,6,8,10
 104:8,13
 105:1,11,17,
 20,22,25
 106:4,6,22
 108:11,13,15,
 18,20 109:22,
 25 110:4,5
 111:8,9
Bernstein's
 17:5 22:14
 61:12 82:24
 84:8,23 86:2
 91:12,13 96:10
 97:6
Bill 31:22
 51:13
bit
    108:11
blank 71:2
block 67:1
 70:23
blogging 78:17
book 11:5,6,14
 101:17
bottom 14:10
 53:21 55:13
bought
       50:19
 56:4
bounty 83:19
 85:9 86:24
 88:15 90:2
box 67:3 70:25
break 58:8,19
briefly 41:8
bringing 40:23
brokerage 23:2
brother 45:7
 78:23
brought
         11:4
 40:7
bunch 47:14
business 25:5,
 20,21,24 26:1,
 3 27:16 31:21
       C
```

call 10:20 40:4

12,19 60:6,8,

18 62:10,12,

58:4 59:3,6,8,

# 45:3,15 52:7 56:16 75:25 91:8 calls 62:23 Candice 5:19 24:12,22 care 8:6 16:24 27:9 55:6 80:4 carefully 101:10 **carry** 77:7 97:12 carrying 97:15 case 5:3 10:15 24:8 50:17 93:22 94:20,24 95:4,6 104:20 cash 47:11 causing 63:7 caution 102:12 **CD** 47:9 certificate 74:3,12 chain 69:5 **change** 21:11,25 30:14 40:4 45:11 55:8 56:23 57:20,24 97:23 98:20 99:8 changed 78:12 changing 54:21 56:14,17 charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 **check** 70:25 106:23 **checked** 67:3,4 **child** 19:17 children 6:2,8, 25 7:8,10 10:9 18:16,17 19:6, 7,16 20:5,11, 12 21:6 30:16, 18 32:14 40:20,22,25

41:2 44:7 45:7 46:24 55:6 57:13 58:2,5 59:5,20,23 60:8 77:9,25 81:6 96:11 107:21 108:6 109:3 110:7, 11,12 children's 27:7 97:6 Christine 95:24 96:10 98:13 chronological 14:8,9 circumstances 77:6 91:17 92:3 **claim** 10:1 47:10 80:22 claiming 10:4 99:21 clarify 69:6 **clear** 95:9 **clerk** 68:12 clerk's 68:6, 10,11 70:1 client 15:4 25:9 26:15 29:15 35:2,5 39:15 69:13,15 **clients** 12:16, 18 13:15,17 53:5,10 **close** 46:24 60:19 73:3 106:8 107:24 **closed** 46:20,21 106:13,16 **closer** 48:14 Code 104:1 codicils 17:4 collateralized 50:1 Colon 103:24 **column** 51:3 committed 96:18 communication 58:2 company 23:7 41:12 48:10

51:11,16 76:1, 3 company's 13:19 complaint 8:17, 24 75:19 compounded 108:11 Concepts 51:12 concerned 42:7 conditions 20:23 condo 23:4 51:2,6 78:24 conduct 7:20 conference 37:4 58:4 59:3,6,19 60:6 62:9,11, 25 63:1 65:13 conferences 72:18 confidential 29:17 conform 69:17 conformed 34:8, 19 68:14 69:16 73:5 confused 17:25 104:13,16 confuses 100:6 confusion 104:14 connection 84:2 85:17,18 consent 62:16 91:20,24 92:4 consistent 24:10 30:7 contact 60:6 contents 75:12 continuance 7:6,24 continue 57:1 58:21 104:9 **control** 39:15, 16 controlled 52:2,9 convenience 40:8

conversation

6:21

convicted 93:2 103:1,5,11 **copies** 15:16 35:13,15 76:16 copy 16:4 26:18 34:5,8,19 45:2 57:9 68:6,12, 14 69:16,17 73:4 76:22 correct 20:16 21:2,7,10 27:9,14 28:11, 14 29:13 31:7, 25 32:4,8,12 33:5,7,24 34:21 35:4 36:8 40:10 43:4,9,17 44:18 47:15, 19,22 48:8,16, 25 49:3,11 51:17 52:14 53:14,18 54:8 57:18,24 62:7 65:1 66:7 70:22 71:3,17, 21 73:9 75:6, 13 77:4 91:9 101:16 103:8 109:4 111:12, 13,22 counsel 5:17 6:2,24 10:12 69:19 78:8 97:6 count 8:23 9:15 County 69:25 95:15 96:2,5, 21 couple 25:7 72:21 79:21 court 5:3,8,12, 16,20,24 6:5, 7,10,13,15,18, 21 7:1,5,9,12, 15,17 8:9,12, 18 9:4,6,24 10:3,8,10,13, 16 11:3,6,9, 12,13 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11,19,22, 24 19:22,24

24:15,23 26:23,25 29:18 34:12,14,24 35:6 39:3,5 41:18 42:1 45:24 46:1 49:5,7 53:15 56:9 58:7,15, 20 61:4,6,17, 19 62:1 64:7,9 66:18,20 68:9, 16,19,23 69:2, 8,22,25 70:5 72:8,10 73:15, 17,20 74:5,7 77:5 79:2,6, 10,14,18,24 80:4 81:1,19, 24 90:20 91:1, 22 92:6,12,14, 16,22,24 93:3, 5,9,14,18,24 94:2,3,6,8,12, 15,22 95:2,7, 9,18 96:7,13, 23 97:18 98:17,23,25 99:5,10,12,18, 21,24 100:2, 18,19,22 101:3,8,13,17, 22,24 102:1,6, 11,18 103:4, 14,15,17,19, 20,24 104:5,7, 9,14 105:3,8, 10,14,16,18, 21,23,24 106:2,5,18 107:5,10,13, 14,15 108:9, 12,14,16 109:21,23 110:3,15 courteous 7:18 courtesy 24:18 100:4,12,13 courthouse 34:25 78:3 **courts** 78:22 96:17 crash 53:4

created 26:19 32:15 35:17, 19,23 41:11,17 60:3 77:12,22 **crime** 92:10 cross 81:20 82:5 90:21 91:6 crossexamination 82:1 cumulative 105:9,15,18 109:20 110:14 current 46:9 custody 69:5 cut 20:12 77:25

#### D

Dad 60:16 **Daily** 74:19 date 14:12 15:1 17:19,22 23:21 24:9 40:14 63:22 67:17 84:8 88:1,7,8 **dated** 12:15 15:4 26:14 62:6 73:7 83:11 dates 24:1 daughter 54:24 55:25 day 6:16 8:3,4 52:20 58:12 65:16 67:24 71:25 73:7 109:4 110:17 days 15:6,7 27:11 106:9,13, dead 16 deal 43:10 dealership 50:13 dealings 67:11 **dealt** 104:15 **Dear** 64:14 **death** 18:10,15 20:9,18 29:7,

16 30:1 33:18 43:22 50:16 54:16 57:5 64:24 74:3,12 75:7 106:24 110:7 debacle 47:10 Deborah 32:7 December 42:21 58:24 **decide** 8:9,20, 21 45:10 94:24 104:20 110:16, 17,19 111:3 decided 57:7 decision 44:12 57:23 111:3,7 deduction 43:24 51:8 defamation 79:4 default 33:12, 16 Defendant 102:15 defendant's 101:20 102:16 defendants 8:14 **denied** 7:6,24 depending 55:11,12 depends 98:2 deposited 105:22 depression 53:4 descendants 30:3 98:14,22 describe 18:2 28:18 41:8 102:23 designated 28:23 33:13 designation 55:9 57:21 designations 27:8 determine 9:15 79:22 developing 111:2

device 38:7

Diana 82:22,24 83:8 die 30:14 47:1 **died** 28:5 31:11,14 33:3 42:20 50:8 58:24 69:18 74:16 80:22 81:6,7 106:25 direct 7:19 11:16 58:1 81:25 82:15 84:9 86:3 87:18 89:6 directed 16:2 direction 38:12 41:17 directly 98:8 disagree 10:7 95:7 discharge 62:14,15,16 disclose 16:20 disclosed 46:12 81:14 discuss 22:22 25:19 39:14,17 46:6 48:22 54:22 60:18 discussed 42:8 57:16 59:18 65:13 107:20 discussion 22:5,22 32:18 42:10 54:11 55:19 59:22 63:14 95:14 discussions 44:25 54:1 74:14 disinherited 55:2 disposition 19:2 dispositions 21:25 dispositive 57:6 65:19 97:8 distributions 29:25

divided 64:24 docket 8:3 document 12:13 21:13 22:16 24:7 26:19 28:25 29:12 31:14 34:8,23 35:10,13,18 38:6,17,21,23 39:22,24 40:6 63:4 68:13 69:6,25 77:1, 2,12,14,20 78:10,18 82:16,18,25 83:5,8,14 84:11 85:14 86:12 87:20,24 89:4 95:23 96:9,21 97:5, 7,8,11,16,23 98:12,19,25 99:2,6,9,12, 16,19,22 100:19 101:4, 13 102:7 104:3 105:13 108:5 110:9 documents 8:22, 25 9:11,13,14, 19,23 13:8 15:15,16,19 16:22,23 17:2, 20,22 18:7,8, 9,18 19:1 20:4,13,14 21:8 22:1 25:3 26:5,6,8,15,17 27:12,21 29:4 30:8,15 31:12, 16,24 32:10, 19,22,23 33:13,17 34:1 36:15 37:1,13 40:4,9,16,19 41:6,20 44:1, 2,21 45:2,4,5, 11,16 55:2,3 56:15,18 57:6, 24 60:3 61:15 63:3,11,16 64:17 65:16,21 66:9 71:16,18 72:16 73:8

75:14 76:10, 15,17,19,21 77:10,17,23,24 78:11 79:23 80:12,17,21 82:12 97:14 103:16,19,25 105:2,8 106:7 107:15 108:25 110:1,20 111:11,12,16, 21,22 dollar 23:3 35:22 36:2 dollars 25:18 Don 13:12 draft 32:22,23 63:15 65:16 drafted 30:8
33:13 98:15
111:12,20
drafting 39:13
drafts 26:6,7,9 27:6 37:2 63:20 64:15 drag 41:2 Drive 53:12 duly 10:24 durable 16:24 27:8 65:23 67:14,24 71:5,
duties 63:6

# E

earlier 93:10, 11 100:3 101:18 earliest 14:11 early 42:16 45:15 46:18 easier 23:1 education 80:16 effect 39:18 60:16 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 97:9,10 effectively 21:4 38:17

effort 43:10 Eli 97:5 **Eliot** 5:15 7:16 11:14 18:12 20:14 21:17 33:21 45:7 52:1 56:4,5 60:12,25 62:7, 18,24 63:12 78:16,20 80:14,22 96:10 98:21 **Eliot's** 78:7 **email** 60:25 62:6 emergency 78:22 **empty** 50:5 Enclosed 27:6 enclosing 63:20 73:4 **end** 8:4 33:22 110:16 enforceable 8:23 9:16 37:15 71:20 110:19,21 enforcing 7:19 entered 82:14 entity 41:11,16 52:4,7,9 envelope 15:24 **equal** 20:22 21:21 equally 19:6 **Eric** 8:15 essentially 28:19 establish 41:22 established 98:9,10 estate 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 18:2,13 20:6,11 25:3 27:20 28:10,23 30:14 33:4 41:5,24 43:18, 19 44:5,8,13 46:7,24,25 48:19 49:15,18

50:7 52:12

55:23 56:18,19 57:8,16 58:6 59:21 60:19 63:3 64:22 69:19 74:23 76:17 77:23,24 80:21 99:3 103:2,6 106:8, 13,15 107:24 111:12 estimate 43:13 **event** 29:19 30:13 51:16 eventually 29:6 33:25 36:4 evidence 12:21 13:2,23 14:5, 15 17:8,14 19:21,25 20:2 22:3 26:22 27:1,3 34:11, 14,17 39:2,5,8 45:23 46:1,4 61:3,6,9,14 62:2,4 64:6,9, 12 66:17,23 70:3,10 71:5 72:7,10,13 73:21,23 74:4, 7,10 80:13 99:13 100:17 101:19,25 102:8,17 **EXAMINATION** 11:16 examined 10:24 exception 31:17,18 excluded 33:18 44:22 **Excuse** 24:12 34:7 106:11 execute 33:25 40:6 84:15,19, 22 86:6,9,12 87:8,20,24 88:3 89:2,8, 12,16 90:11 executed 35:12 40:9 65:21 67:23 68:1 76:22 82:19 83:14,18,22

84:8,11 85:2, 7,12 86:2,17, 22 87:2,17 88:13,17 89:20,24 90:5, 8 111:20 execution 36:15 82:12,13,25 83:2,4,8 84:2 85:19 88:8 exercise 33:11 55:3 exercised 21:5, 16 33:21 65:7 exercising 98:3 exhibit 12:11, 12,20 13:1,9, 10,22,25 14:4 15:22 16:11 17:7,13,16 18:3 19:20 20:1 22:3,9,12 26:12,21 27:2, 5 34:3,6,10,16 35:9 36:11 38:20 39:1,7 45:17,18,22 46:3 53:22 60:24 61:3,8, 12 62:3 63:18 64:5,11 65:22, 24 66:17,22 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,9, 13,15 71:5,9, 10,22 72:6,12 73:3,22 74:3,9 76:25 77:1,5 78:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5,7,10, 16,19,23 85:2, 7,12,19,23 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13,15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2,5,7,12, 16,20,24 90:4, 8,12,16 100:23,25 101:24 102:16

exhibits 11:5 **exist** 40:16 existed 30:11 52:17 existence 41:16 existing 13:7 15:19 **exists** 52:20 expected 42:11 **expert** 91:9 explain 27:20 77:5,21 explanation 26:16 80:2 **Express** 27:12 extensive 41:23 **extent** 20:20 21:4 61:13 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:10 89:21

#### F

face-to-face 72:21 Facebook 79:19 fact 9:8 28:15 39:18 44:21 54:4 55:1 75:15 78:10 **facts** 80:6 104:19 111:1 **failed** 61:11 Fair 51:4 **fairly** 29:14 familiar 22:18 47:22 **family** 19:12 27:7 30:3 32:5,13 41:15 52:8 57:5 63:5 80:14 98:8,10 fashion 77:21 father 63:5 81:6,7 98:9 111:18 father's 97:12 **favor** 21:16 65:8

**FDIC** 47:21 February 46:13 51:15 56:11,20 59:1 72:17 81:16 Federal 27:12 **Fedex** 64:3 **felon** 92:21 **felony** 92:10 93:2,15 103:1, felt 55:23,25 107:15 **figure** 41:19 55:5 **file** 11:12 12:14 15:6,8, 20 69:22 78:2, 22 107:10 **filed** 7:8 34:24 35:6 43:15 47:10 74:18 103:14,15,18 107:12 files 13:20 16:4,15 35:5 **filing** 7:7,23 final 29:3 64:18 finally 7:22 finances 46:9 **find** 100:8 fine 22:21 80:7 finished 81:25 **firm** 12:3,5,9 13:5 16:17 26:14 36:21 69:18 75:22 91:19 103:16 105:2,5,7,12 107:5 **fit** 8:5 fitting 82:3 Fleisher 12:7 flip 15:3 23:18 31:1 65:24 Florida 37:14. 24 41:10,13 94:19,20 100:3

104:1

FMV 51:3 focus 110:25 focused 83:10 110:23,24 **folks** 101:9 **follow** 7:20 26:16 104:2 **forged** 103:22 104:3 105:13 107:6,14 form 36:2 52:17 formalities 37:14,24 38:24 67:15 formally 51:13 **Forman** 12:7 **formed** 48:23 forward 8:2 Found 30:15 fourth 24:4 **fraud** 96:18 110:2 frauded 104:3 fraudulent 97:5 103:1,16 105:8,13 107:6 fraudulently 95:23 96:9 97:16,23 98:14,20 107:5 **freeze** 78:23 friends 100:5 front 89:13,17 **full** 107:17,23 108:21 fully 72:25 funded 36:3 **funds** 77:15 future 26:2 G

gain 111:15,16,
 24
gained 111:21
gallery 24:20
 100:9,10,11,
 12,14
Gary 101:10

Gary's 101:6 gave 46:14 50:12 general 41:11 83:18,22 85:3 88:9 generally 18:2 59:18 83:15 85:8,13 86:18, 22 87:3 88:13 89:21,25 90:5 100:4 gentleman 51:13 genuine 77:2 102:5 gift 32:2,9 39:19 girlfriend 50:12,24 55:24 56:21 give 18:5 21:9, 11,23 25:23 27:25 30:12 31:12 40:4 57:7 64:21 69:15 72:24 80:1 81:4 91:17 92:3 106:15 qivinq 45:4 56:18 99:24 100:7 **good** 5:5 19:12 42:14 56:2 58:7 82:7 Gotcha 101:12 grammar 65:19 grandchildren 8:15 19:18 55:6 56:19 57:8 59:22 60:10 65:1,9 75:16 77:16 80:19 82:8 granted 65:7 great 5:12 43:10 56:20 58:15 Greenwald 15:25 16:7,12,19 17:3

Greg 5:9
gross 53:16
guardian 29:19
guess 30:10
 32:1 47:10
 54:11,23 55:23
 75:3 76:25
 107:8
guilty 93:20
Gutter 12:6
guy 92:22 100:7
guy's 79:19

#### Η

half 8:4 47:17 51:7 53:20 hand 11:10 13:9 15:21 16:11 26:12 34:3 35:9 38:20 45:17 60:24 63:18 65:22 71:22 76:25 handle 25:5 handwriting 22:11,19 handwritten 34:20 happen 6:19 104:18,19 happened 45:14 50:9 60:2 75:20 103:21 happy 55:1 60:17 heading 29:23 health 16:24 27:9 42:12,14 hear 9:22 106:11 heard 81:11 hearing 79:13 **heart** 42:15 **held** 64:25 high 53:5 **hired** 45:1 **history** 72:15 hit 47:10 hoc 6:25

holds 52:12 holidavs 25:7 home 51:25 52:5 64:3 Honor 5:5 11:2, 4 12:21 13:23 17:8,23 19:10, 21 26:22 39:2 45:23 49:4 61:11 70:4 72:7 78:25 81:18 100:25 hope 111:5 hour 23:17 house 23:3 40:6 51:6 52:8,12 53:12 56:4 housekeeping 61:10 huge 11:6 husband 20:19, 23

## I

**idea** 56:20 90:25 identical 28:19 31:3,16 identification 67:2 71:2 identify 12:12 13:10 17:2 26:13 important 60:17 65:5 71:18 108:19 110:2 importantly 109:11 incapacity 29:20 include 35:5 55:10 56:21 57:21 98:13 included 17:3 38:6 43:15 includes 23:13 including 16:23 income 29:24 increasing 55:11

indication 21:23 25:23 72:24 81:4 individually 5:23,25 10:7 influenced 84:1 85:17 influencing 87:8 89:1 90:10 information 85:21 87:7,12 88:22,25 90:15 inherent 28:5 81:5,6 initial 31:4 Initially 75:14 inject 24:18 insider 91:24 93:22 instrument 98:2 insurance 23:5, 13 25:20 51:12 53:25 54:2,7,9 55:9 56:22 57:13 **intake** 12:14 15:4 intended 30:8 77:11 97:10 **intent** 65:12 77:7,25 interest 48:20 51:19 52:15 111:15,17,22, internal 54:23 internet 78:17 interruption 82:2 interviewed 96:4 invalid 9:25 10:6 80:5 inventory 43:15 inventorying 43:11 invested 47:13 investing 47:17 investment

investments
 41:15 47:21
involved 25:20
 44:24 48:10
 51:14 93:22
involvement
 36:21
IRA 49:10
issue 95:11
 109:23
issues 42:15,16
 78:15 94:23
 95:3
item 106:3
Iviewit 76:3

# J

January 24:3

Jill 18:12 45:8
98:5,7,21

John 82:7
joined 8:17
joint 23:1 57:4

JP 50:1
judge 8:14
81:22 90:19
101:9 103:24

July 65:21
67:18,24 72:17
87:17,21 88:8
89:8

#### K

kick 108:15,18 kicked 107:14 kids 108:25 **Kim** 67:11 71:15 Kimberly 36:18 40:7 66:5 70:17 87:21 88:1,3 89:9, 13,16 102:22 103:22 105:13 107:3 kind 42:17 55:4 58:1 75:18 **knew** 25:12 42:17 57:10 67:10,11 76:8

47:8,12

knowledge 42:13 82:13 84:3,4 85:20 87:6,11 88:21 90:9,14 **Kratish** 36:14, 23 84:14,17, 20,22

### L

lady 99:24 **laid** 65:20 language 21:13 lapse 57:11 **lapsed** 57:11 **laser** 110:24 **late** 106:25 law 12:3,5,9 13:5 16:17 37:14,24 69:18 94:19 103:16 105:2,12 107:5 **lawful** 20:22 lawsuit 31:9 lawyer 16:7 44:25 75:22 76:5 **layman** 77:9,10 laymen's 27:19, 23 layperson 77:21 97:14 **learn** 50:11 learned 44:21 75:11 **leave** 73:10 leaving 60:9 legalese 27:20 **lengthy** 41:23 **letter** 16:12,19 17:3 26:14 27:19 32:17 54:24,25 63:19 64:1 73:3 75:21 76:4 77:18 letting 24:17 93:9 liability 41:12 **LIC** 48:6,10 51:11

life 23:4,13 43:8 51:11 54:6,9 55:9 56:22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 **light** 78:15,19 limitations 82:4 limited 41:10, 12,13 Lindsay 66:8, 11,12 67:7 lineal 30:3 98:13,21 lingo 108:16 **Lisa** 18:13 45:8 98:5,7,21 list 50:3 **listed** 97:24 **listen** 104:23 listening 100:8 **lists** 19:15 23:1,6 **live** 42:11 lived 56:4 lives 52:1 living 16:24 27:9 64:25 77:24 **LLLP** 41:15 48:21,23 50:2 51:7 loan 49:25 51:8 54:17 loans 49:18,20, 21,22,24 Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20 long 23:15 25:2 78:18 long-time 102:24 longer 42:11 **looked** 72:15 81:15 losses 53:6 **lost** 47:13,16 **lot** 41:24 44:12 53:5 54:23

110:21 111:11

**love** 6:15 **lovely** 5:18 lunch 40:5

M made 32:2 39:19 45:1 47:7 57:23 65:15 66:20 68:12 80:20,23 93:9 95:9 97:13 107:16 mail 95:24 96:9,22 main 37:3 make 6:25 8:11 13:7 17:25 18:8 19:1 32:23 35:25 37:13,15 40:8 56:1 71:15 77:10 80:9,11 82:2 93:6 101:4 104:23 111:2,6 **makes** 60:17 making 68:17 mandatory 29:24 March 24:5 25:6,25 marital 30:2 43:23 Maritza 50:22 54:19,20 55:7 56:21 57:21 marked 45:17 market 51:4 53:4 marriage 30:15, 16,17,18 married 30:23 Matt 38:5,8 matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19

maximum 47:20, 24 means 94:9,13 105:18 mechanism 29:21 31:8 meet 11:23 23:15 25:8 40:1 45:16 54:22 56:14 58:25 meeting 13:11, 12,17 14:18 15:9,14,17 22:4 23:19,21 24:1,2,3,4 25:6,12 32:21, 25 40:6 45:20 46:6,13 54:22 55:4 56:20 57:19,20 75:10 77:8 107:20 meetings 13:13 14:17 23:16 24:25 25:25 72:22 74:15 **member** 101:7 memo 65:20 memory 24:10 mentally 55:20 72:25 mentioned 59:3 62:21 merits 104:21 met 14:21 25:16 Michael 8:16 **middle** 65:2,5 million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,16 54:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:12 mind 110:21 mine 109:17 110:22 minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6 minors 7:11

minute 18:5 minutes 58:9, 11,16,17 miscellaneous 23:4 misdemeanor 93:20 misperceiving 99:10 mistake 39:13 77:10 107:16 mix 57:5 Molly 8:16 mom's 99:8 money 22:6 23:2 44:13,14 47:14 48:15 56:6 81:5,9 monies 47:11 month 27:11 months 25:7 46:19 Moran 36:18 66:5 67:11 70:17 71:15 87:21 88:1,3 89:10,14,16 102:22 Moran's 105:13 Morgan 50:1 morning 5:5 58:8 Morrissey 8:11, 13 81:22 82:6, 8 90:18 mortgage 23:4 52:12,22 mother's 45:2 55:2 63:3 78:24 107:24 move 12:20 13:22 17:7 19:20 26:21 34:10 39:1 42:4 45:22 61:3,11,13 64:5 66:16 70:3 72:6 73:13,14 74:4 85:25 92:7 95:10 100:9

**moving** 29:23 84:7 87:15 89:4

Ν name's 82:7 **named** 32:2 36:17 44:20 51:13 57:13 66:8 111:17 names 19:15,17 narrowest 41:21 natural 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 **nature** 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:9 89:21 necessarily 47:25 needed 25:12 60:20 61:1 negative 79:19 **net** 22:5,6,15, 23 25:15 51:25 52:25 53:5,10 **nobody's** 99:13 non-survivor 31:25 Nos 17:13 notarization 103:2 notarized 36:17 66:8 71:4 103:12,19,23, 25 107:14 notary 37:8 66:25 70:24 **noted** 101:1 notes 13:11,12, 16,19 14:7,11, 15,16 22:2,25 23:11,18 24:2 25:14 32:25 45:19,20 51:19 54:6 **notice** 74:18

November

12:15 15:5

22:4 23:12

9:5

25:25 40:15 49:23 86:2 106:21 107:2 **number** 15:23 55:12 72:18 73:15 81:11 numbers 13:20

0 **obey** 82:4 object 10:17 35:7 49:4 56:8 68:15 75:14 78:25 80:25 objected 79:8 objecting 68:21,24 objection 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11 19:22,24 26:23 34:12 39:3 45:24 49:5 60:9 61:4,17, 19 64:7 66:18, 20 68:16,23 69:4,8,9 70:5, 7 72:8 73:18 74:5 75:18 79:10 80:6 91:21 92:5,11, 17,18 93:23 94:9,12,21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 100:22,24 102:1 103:3 104:4,11 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:3,14 111:25 objections 104:24 108:17 objects 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 obtained 68:12 occupation 12:1

October 106:20 odd 100:8 offering 101:24 **office** 36:19 37:5,7,8 59:13,16,17 66:6,12 68:10, 11 70:1 71:12, 13 95:15 102:25 106:7 Officers 101:8 offices 37:4,6, 11 40:23 67:12 **offset** 49:17 offshore 47:9 oldest 31:24 open 12:14 15:8 opened 15:7 74:19 opening 43:12 operating 47:2, 3 108:24 operative 9:12 61:15 opinion 10:12 60:5 83:13,25 85:3,7,12 86:17,21 87:2 88:18 89:20,25 opportunity 91:3 opposed 80:3 opposite 99:17 optimistic 26:2 order 14:8,9 19:11 53:15 91:20,24 92:4 original 11:11 34:22 35:1,6 69:22,24 103:18 originally 107:12 originals 35:17,19 69:12 72:4 73:11 outlived 28:15 outstanding 49:19 overrule 94:12

Ocean 53:12

overruled 49:7
56:9 81:1
92:12 94:11
95:18 96:13
103:4 106:18
overruling
92:18
overstate 53:10
owned 48:23
52:1,4
owns 52:8

#### P

P.A. 105:4 Palm 69:25 74:19 95:15 96:1,5,20 Pam 18:12 20:12 21:12 33:18 44:19,25 45:4 54:25 77:25 Pam's 98:13 paragraph 29:23 31:2 39:10,11 65:1,2,6 paraphrasing 60:15 parents 19:18 98:9 part 29:17 42:8 46:13 65:4 99:3,4 participate 8:7 10:18 parties 10:14 35:16 100:6 107:13,25 108:23 partner 5:9 13:12 22:24 31:22 41:11 105:5,7 partner's 14:15 partnership 41:10,12,14 **party** 24:15 pass 18:11,14 20:20 28:8 42:18 55:3 57:4,13

passed 31:5 46:19 73:25 75:1 110:12 past 60:3,4 **pause** 58:8 paying 57:10 pecuniary 111:15,17 **perceive** 102:13 percent 20:15 21:17,19 33:22 percentages 18:4 **period** 49:23 permission 59:25 permitted 96:24 person 40:1 71:4 77:22 87:7,12 88:22, 25 90:9,15 personal 18:22 19:5 20:4,10 28:6,7,22 29:7 40:10 42:25 43:14 48:20 63:6 69:19 74:22 106:8,14 111:18 personally 14:25 36:22 37:12 67:1,3 70:24 71:1,14 perspective 24:6 petition 62:14, 15 78:22 **phone** 56:16 62:21,23 physically 59:12 **picture** 94:19 **place** 21:24 82:1 101:2 plaintiff 5:6 8:1,19 10:20 101:18 plaintiff's 8:8,17 12:12, 25 13:1 14:3,4 17:12,13 19:25

20:1 27:1,2 34:15,16 39:6, 7 46:2,3 58:10 59:1 61:7,8, 20,21,22 62:2, 3 64:10,11 66:21,22 70:8, 9 72:11,12 73:21,22 74:8, 9 82:3 **plan** 18:3,7,9, 14 25:10 46:7 64:22 65:19 99:4 planning 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 25:3,6 27:21 30:14 41:5,24 57:17 76:17 77:18, 23,24 80:21 play 40:22 44:4,7 **plead** 92:10 93:15 **pled** 93:20 plus-four 55:17 point 38:1 42:3 44:19 45:10 52:24 56:3 57:12,23 63:4 67:8 75:7,21 78:7 108:19 policy 54:15,18 56:22 57:8,9, 12 Ponzi 47:13,18 portion 58:12 79:16 portions 62:14 possessed 6:4 possession 108:6,22 109:1,2,16 possibility 46:7 posting 79:19 poured 28:2,4 power 20:21 21:1,4,9,15 30:1,4,13 31:12,15 33:6,

11,20 55:4 65:7,23 67:14, 24 71:6 77:15 98:3 **powers** 16:24 27:8 77:12 practical 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 practice 13:16 71:15 practicing 91:16 prepare 26:4,6, 7 63:15 77:23 prepared 9:22 19:14 32:13 41:4 64:15 77:6,7,17 preparing 27:22 presence 70:18 84:16,20,23,24 86:6,10,13 87:21,25 88:4 89:9 108:4 present 36:13 59:8,10 68:1 83:2,4,7 pretty 36:2 previously 38:6 103:13 **price** 53:16 primarily 79:22 **primary** 29:12 principal 20:19 **prior** 14:22 15:14 16:7 25:5 29:16 39:21 110:6 privilege 38:9 39:15 **pro** 5:15 6:25 probate 24:8 29:15 43:13,16 46:18 99:1,22 101:1 104:1 probated 102:5 problems 42:12 procedure 47:2, 3 108:24

procedures 72:1
proceed 58:20
proceeding 7:4
24:19
procuring 84:5
85:22 87:13
88:23 90:16
produced 13:20
16:5 67:2 71:2
product 30:18
47:8
properly 33:21
37:23 40:9
71:16,19
property 18:22
19:5 20:5,10 28:6,7 42:25
43:14 83:15
85:4 86:19
88:10 89:22
protection 42:8
provide 15:13
18:9 29:24
57:3 76:14,18
91:8
<pre>provided 15:17,</pre>
18 16:14 31:13
45:6 76:21
78:7 103:14
providing 55:10
59:21
provision 29:3
public 42:20
published 74:19
pulled 55:24
purported 47:8
purpose 13:4
54:21 78:5
purposes 14:7
43:12
put 9:9 10:19
19:14 20:7 23:25 24:6
35.20 24:0
43:10 48:13
35:22 36:4 43:10 48:13, 17,18 51:23
52:16 58:3
63:6 71:5
80:18 96:21
99:13 101:19
putting 78:10

# Q

qualify 46:25 question 6:5,7, 10,22,23 7:1,9 8:19 38:11 68:19 69:10 79:7,11,15 86:5 92:7,25 93:10,12,14, 18,24 94:10, 13,16 95:2 98:23 99:10 103:7 106:5 questioning 79:1,2,7 questions 5:22 7:22 81:18,22 90:18,22 91:2, 4 94:23 95:3 96:24 97:1 100:15 102:18 104:11,22 108:10 111:1,4 quick 111:11 quickly 104:17

### R

**Rachel** 40:11 86:7,10,12 **Range** 50:13,19 read 7:7,23 9:9 23:1 27:5 53:23,24 54:25 77:23 79:15,16 84:13 reading 26:17 27:19 48:8 65:1 77:10 97:14 ready 5:4 58:20 real 53:8 111:11 realize 50:20 realized 48:3 reallocate 30:4 Realty 52:8 recall 20:13 22:17 32:20

38:4 45:13 71:10 74:17 75:20 76:1 receipt 62:16 receivable 47:5 48:1,6 **receive** 12:25 17:12 62:18 66:21 70:8 98:11 102:12 109:11,13 received 13:1 14:3,4 17:13 20:1 27:2 34:16 39:7 46:3 48:5 54:24 61:8 62:3,19,20 64:11 66:22 70:9 72:12 73:22 74:9 76:4 100:23,25 102:16 106:25 109:14 recess 58:16 recognize 22:11 35:10 38:21 45:18 67:20 **record** 11:18 13:10 26:13 28:20 29:18 42:21 79:16 records 106:23 recover 47:25 redirect 30:4 referring 55:15 62:24 63:1 99:1 reflect 14:11 23:19 reflected 18:3 77:19 regard 71:8 reinstated 57:12 relation 56:13 relationship 14:22 41:23 42:17 85:8 86:23 88:14

63:5 released 46:24 releases 47:1 60:21 103:12 relevance 42:3 49:6 56:8 79:1,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 102:3, 13 103:3 109:19,21,23 110:14 relevancy 79:12 80:25 relevant 39:23 42:1 48:22 92:6,13 95:3, 5,11 102:2,3 109:22 110:1 relief 99:5,16, 18 rely 37:8 remain 29:17 remained 29:3 remaining 30:2 58:11 Remember 100:3 remove 38:5 39:19 removed 38:8, 12,18 repeat 104:24 replacement 31:5 reporter 79:15, 17 represent 68:9 82:8 representative 28:22 29:7 63:6 69:19 106:9,14 111:18 representatives 74:23 represented 6:2 7:13 10:11 16:8 request 7:5 45:2

90:1 102:23

requested 45:15
_
required 36:1 37:14,24 90:21
requirement
104:1
residuary 20:6,
11,15 28:12
43:2
respect 78:22
85:25 103:6
respond 60:12
response 12:23
14:1 17:10
19:23 26:24
34:13 39:4
45:25 61:5
64:8 66:19
70:6 72:9
/3:19 /4:6
rest 63:7
restated 9:18
64:16,23 71:23
89:6
retain 12:8
retaining 13:5,
14
returned 100:12
reverse 14:8,9
review 13:6
74:20 94:20
revocable 27:7
28:2 29:16
75:4
revoked 9:13,23
robert 10:21,23
11:19 82:5
91:6
role 40:23
44:4,7
room 59:14
room's 82:3
Rose 5:5,6,9
8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2,
10:2,20 11:2,
4,7,10,14,17
12:20 13:3,22
14:6 17:7,15
18:1 19:10,20
20:3 24:24
26:21 27:4
34:10,18 39:1,
1 0 41 01 40 F C
9 41:21 42:5,6

45:22 46:5 49:9 56:10 58:14,22,23 61:3,10 62:5 64:5,13 66:16, 24 68:9 69:11 70:3,11 72:6, 14 73:13,16,24 74:4,11 79:21, 25 80:7,8 81:3,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 99:17 100:24 102:3,9 103:3 104:4 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:14 111:25 **Rover** 50:13,19 **Rubin** 12:6 Ruffin 12:6 rule 103:24 104:2 109:24 **rules** 7:20 **ruling** 93:5,9 95:10 rulings 104:23

#### S

**sale** 53:16 save 52:7 **scale** 55:11 schedule 25:10 **scheme** 47:13,18 Scott 75:22 76:4 100:10,11, seat 13 seated 24:20 **SEC** 91:20 92:2 94:20 secretary 66:13 **seeking** 99:5,7, 15,18 101:1,19 102:4,6 **seeks** 9:15 self-proving 70:21

**send** 35:4 44:1 108:25 109:10 sending 16:20 **sense** 41:21 101:5 sentence 20:20 21:3 29:25 separate 62:23 64:25 September 73:25 106:25 **serve** 28:24 40:11 service 62:15 session 58:9 **set** 8:3,23 32:21 33:12,16 37:1 41:10 52:4 58:1 72:16 settled 94:20 seven-fifty 54:13,14 Seventeen 73:16 **share** 26:9 40:19 49:2 63:11 **shares** 20:21 21:21 23:6 51:14 80:18 **sharp** 55:20 72:25 **sheet** 12:14 52:16 53:8 **Sheriff** 96:2,5, 21 Sheriff's 95:15 Shirley 8:25 9:1,2,14 11:20,23 12:8, 16,18 13:4,14 14:19,21 16:8, 12 17:17 18:10 19:15 21:22 23:16 24:9 25:1 26:10 27:13 28:1,9, 15,18 30:22 31:2,11,23 32:1,18 33:3, 17,25 35:22 36:4,11,13,22,

25 37:10 38:1, 13,17 39:14, 17,24 40:1,3, 23 41:24 42:15,18,20 43:2 44:2 46:17 58:24 61:12,20,21,23 75:9 76:22 77:2 78:13 80:11 82:15,19 83:7,11,13,17, 21,25 84:8,10, 15,20,23 85:1, 6,11,17 86:2, 5,10,13,22 87:1,8 91:12 95:23 97:8 101:14 103:2,6 106:8 Shirley's 18:25 22:6 28:22 30:1 34:7 35:11 40:17,20 43:13 44:20 48:24 50:4 51:1,7 56:19 58:6 60:10,19 65:8 68:11 76:16 77:10,14 97:24 98:1,6 106:13 110:17 **short** 27:25 shorter 31:2 shorthand 28:20 51:4 shotgun 110:24 **show** 17:1 22:9 36:2 **showed** 32:25 **shows** 18:19 49:20 **Si** 13:13 18:10 28:19,22 37:11 40:3 42:14 43:13,23 45:3 46:25 47:7 54:21 58:25 60:20 64:14 73:2 98:3 Si's 34:5 48:19,24 50:16 66:12 67:23

71:13 76:17
siblings 21:17
63:15 103:13
107:4
sic 104:3
sicker 42:18
<b>side</b> 5:14 8:6,8
49:16 58:11
82:3 99:6
102:6
<b>sign</b> 37:1 38:17
61:1 67:14
69:13 91:24
108:25 109:16
signature 22:20
35:14 36:8,11
65:25 66:3
70:15,23 107:6
signature's
108:4
signatures
34:20 35:16
103:22,23
signed 26:5
27:12,22 29:4
32:19 36:25
37:13,23 38:23
39:24 62:17
63:4 65:17
70:17,24
71:10,16,19,25
72:3 73:8
74:15 77:14
78:13 96:9
107:1,13,18,19
108:1,3,21,23
109:4 111:21
signer 31:25
significant
56:6
significantly
52:25
signing 35:15
39:21
similar 30:10
37:1,2
Simon 8:16 9:17
10:4 11:20,23
12:8,16,18
13:4 14:19,21
16:2,8,12,20,
10:4,0,14,40,
21 17:17 19:15

```
21:22 22:5,14
 23:2,16 24:25
 25:15 26:9
 27:13 28:1,9,
 20,23 29:9
 30:22 31:4,12,
 14,21 32:18
 33:6,17,25
 35:7 37:1,17,
 19 40:1,20,23
 41:24 42:7,23
 43:25 44:2,5,
 8,11,12 45:10
 46:6 47:4
 50:6,12 51:12
 52:2,9 53:20
 54:1 55:19,22
 56:13 57:15
 59:10,12,14,20
 60:8 63:10,16,
 19 64:1 65:25
 67:10 68:1
 69:18,20 70:16
 71:23 72:19,24
 73:4,25 74:12,
 15 77:15 78:13
 80:9,11,22,23
 81:13 82:9
 87:16,20,25
 88:4,8,13,18
 89:1,5,8,13,
 17,19,25 90:4,
 11 91:12
 106:8,9,16
 107:3,7,18,24
 108:3 109:1,2,
 15 110:10
Simon's 9:24
 18:24 22:5,23
 27:15 29:7,22
 31:5 37:5,6,8
 43:20 51:16
 65:12 75:1
 107:11 108:22
 110:7,9,19
simple 28:2
simpler 77:21
SIPC 47:5,10,20
 48:4,15
sir 5:15 6:14
 7:15 8:11 9:8
 16:16 24:22
 42:5 58:14
```

```
73:16 93:4,16
 98:24 101:23
 104:8
sisters 33:23
 45:8 98:4
 107:1
sit
    24:17
 100:4,5
sits 34:23
sitting 24:17
 37:3
situation 44:16
skipped 65:4
slander 79:4
slighted 56:1
small 54:17
sold 53:13
 78:24
sole 28:10
 42:24 43:5,20,
 21 44:17 75:4
somebody's
 101:7
son 31:24 32:7
 51:12
sophisticated
 25:10
sort 27:19
 30:10 44:11
 46:14 48:18
 64:20
sound 24:10
 74:1
Spallina 10:21,
 23 11:19,21
 15:22 54:4
 82:5,7 91:6,8
 95:14 105:4,12
Spallina's
 61:15
speaks 19:5
special 30:1
 32:9 65:7
 80:9,11,13,16,
 20,23
specific 32:2
 38:6 39:19
specifically
 39:14,17 57:15
```

```
spend 44:12
split
      19:6 20:5
spoke 45:3
spouse 30:13
 44:17
spouses 30:4
St 53:17
stack 14:10
stamp 13:20
 16:17 68:6
stand 58:13
standard 13:16
 29:14 47:2,3
 108:24
Stanford 47:8,9
Stansbury 31:22
 51:13
star 48:17
start 14:11
 29:9 91:5
started 72:16
starting 57:20
starts 29:25
state 11:18
 95:22 107:24
stated 12:24
 14:2 17:11
 19:24 70:7
 107:3
statement 22:15
 68:17,22,25
 69:2,9 109:2,
 16 110:13
stating 108:5
status 46:17
 75:25
stay 7:3
Stephen 15:25
 16:7
stock 53:4
 80:18
stood 46:14
stop 6:13 7:12
 19:8 41:18
 96:23 104:7,22
story 52:25
stress 63:7
strictly
         82:4
strike 44:10
 63:25 71:9
```

**speed** 50:3

80:10 structure 21:24 struggling 55:22 subject 20:22 65:20 99:22 **submit** 100:17 105:12 106:7 submitted 103:16 105:2 subsequent 81:8 successor 5:7 28:23 29:6,20 31:4,9,19,20, 22,24 43:5 74:25 75:9 78:23 **Sue** 18:12 **sued** 5:25 6:3, 9,11 suffered 53:6 suggested 57:3 suggesting 101:2 **summary** 26:17 46:14 63:24 64:21 superseded 9:23 supporting 56:5 supposed 24:17 75:15 80:23 supposedly 6:1 47:11 surrogate 27:9 survive 65:9 survived 28:9 42:23 surviving 44:17 survivor 18:10, 11,14 20:9 21:8,16 28:8 30:12 33:18 sustain 69:3 80:6 94:8 110:3 sustained 69:9 91:22 92:17 93:24 94:22 96:7 97:18 98:17 104:5,11 105:10 110:15

sway 60:4
sworn 10:24
69:3 109:2,16
system 70:1

Т Tab 19:11 101:18 table 5:17,21 100:6 talk 6:15 15:10,11 29:9 32:21,22 33:1 40:2 56:14 57:20,22 58:5 59:20 talked 52:16 54:4 55:10 56:17 talking 20:24 78:11 79:3 talks 19:4 20:17 tangible 18:22 19:5 20:10 28:7 42:24 43:14 tax 43:22 49:15 taxes 43:18,19, 21 technically 39:15 **Ted** 5:6 18:12 28:23 29:6 31:5,8,24 32:6 33:18 43:25 44:2,4 45:7 51:12 66:12,14 75:8,10 98:13 **Ted's** 32:7 77:25 telephone 72:18 telling 91:2 110:25 ten 57:8 58:16 59:22 60:10 77:15 80:18 tendency 53:10

term 43:8

terms 9:16 20:22 27:19,24 77:13 102:7 Tescher 12:6 15:22 23:10 74:22,25 105:4,12 Tescher's 13:12 22:25 51:19 54:6 testamentary 8:22 9:10 29:12 37:24 38:24 39:23 41:5,20 44:2 45:11 63:11 67:15 77:2 80:12 testified 10:25 61:16 102:22 111:11 testimony 9:22 91:9 94:25 theoretically 21:11 29:11 thing 64:20 78:21 99:14 things 41:8 42:8 43:11 49:12 53:4 60:2 78:17 79:21 101:9 104:18,19 110:23 111:3 thinking 56:18 thought 49:1 50:19 53:20 55:8 59:23 60:2,11 81:5,9 95:9 **tightly** 110:25 til 109:17 110:12 time 8:5,18 10:17 12:1 13:14 19:12 22:7 25:11,20 26:2 32:15 36:14,25 40:20 41:1 44:13,19 46:15 49:1,19, 23 52:7 53:6

56:3 58:8,10, 14,17 67:8 72:1,19,24 75:11 76:8 82:4 83:13,17, 21 85:1,6,11 86:16,21 87:1 88:7,12,17 89:19,24 90:8 96:17 104:24 106:9,15 108:19 times 7:21 25:24 81:15 94:2 96:4 **title** 29:16 titled 101:14 titles 111:16 today 8:9,17,20 9:20 34:23 36:1 51:24 76:6,8 82:10 91:8,15 109:3, 24 111:4,12 today's 14:7 told 51:18 60:5,20 100:3 top 20:18 28:18 47:5 totaled 49:12, 13 totals 23:5 **Traci** 36:14,22 84:12,14,16, 20,22 traded 50:12,18 trading 91:25 93:22 transmittal 77:18 transmitting 26:15 **travel** 37:11 treated 102:5 tree 19:13 32:5 trial 5:10 6:22 8:2,4 104:16, 17 **trials** 7:20 75:22 Tripp 76:4

21:13 true 26:18 71:16 76:24 110:13 trust 6:3 9:1, 3,18,21,25 10:5 20:19,22 27:7 28:2,13 29:10,16,18,22 30:2,3 31:13, 23 33:3 35:11, 19,23,25 36:5 37:2,19,20 38:2,24 40:17, 18 43:3 48:24 51:1 57:13 61:12,22,24 64:16,23 65:8 69:12 71:23 73:11 74:15 75:1,4,9,12 78:24 80:18 84:8 85:2 86:2 87:3 89:6,9,12 90:6 95:23 97:8,24 98:1, 2,7,8,11 99:8 101:15 110:18, 20 111:19 trustee 5:7 6:3,12 29:20 31:9,19,20,22 43:5 75:4,9 78:24 111:18, 24 trustees 75:1 trusts 16:24 20:7 27:7 29:24 32:13,15 64:25 80:16 98:10 truthfulness 95:1 turn 14:14 19:9,11 24:13 34:19 35:21,22 68:4,5 70:12 82:17 turning 8:1 typewritten 68:13 69:16 typical 18:13 32:24

Typically 30:12 U ultimately 57:6 65:20 **Um-hum** 33:8 51:10 unable 28:24 uncommon 25:8 53:3 unconfused 104:17 underline 67:2, 3 underlying 63:3 underneath 50:4 51:23 understand 24:21 77:11 88:9,13,18 89:21 90:1,5 understanding 83:18,23 85:3 understood 83:14 85:8,13 86:18,23 87:3 unduly 84:1 85:17 87:8 89:1 90:10 unequal 20:22 **unlike** 68:10 69:12 untimely 7:25 unusual 44:16 V

**vague** 77:9 Vaguely 76:2 valid 8:22 9:11,15 10:1,5 35:25 37:15,21 71:19 77:2 79:23 80:1,3,5 101:2 110:18, 20 validated 9:20 validity 41:19 79:1,12 82:10 109:25 111:10

values 53:7,8 12:23 verbal 14:1 **vice** 6:25 **View** 75:25 virtually 31:3 **visit** 41:1 volition 73:1

#### W

wait 80:5 waiting 46:22, 23 waiver 61:1 62:13,15 103:12 107:1, 7,10,18,19,23 108:21 109:14 waivers 46:23 47:1 60:21 62:20 105:13 107:4,12,21,25 108:2,5,7,23 109:3,5,7,17 110:7,10 **Walker** 40:11 86:7,10,12 wanted 10:10 13:6 17:24 21:23,25 31:21,23 32:23 37:10 55:24 56:1 57:7,22 59:20,22 60:1 waste 8:6 10:16 **wasting** 44:13 watches 101:10 ways 57:4 104:15 **wealth** 60:10 Weiss 5:10 well-being 101:11 When's 96:17 wife 5:18 32:7 wife's 59:21 wills 16:23,25 17:5,6 27:6,9 28:4 30:11

wished 25:3 wishes 97:12,15 withdraw 38:11, withdrawing 93:11 withdrawn 100:13 withdrew 91:19 witnesses 36:10 70:16 84:12 wives 100:5 **word** 53:22 words 27:6 work 7:18 22:2 36:19 91:12 **worked** 66:12 working 12:2 110:23 works 94:6 **world** 53:3 worth 22:5,6, 15,23 25:15 51:18,19,21,25 52:25 53:5,11 write 21:14 wrong 92:22 wrote 23:10 49:15

#### Y

76:11 Yates 95:24 96:10 98:13,20 year 43:17 56:6 years 47:17 55:12,14,16,17

# Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

	·
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
2	IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB
3	TED BERNSTEIN,
4	Plaintiff,
5	-VS-
6	DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,
7	HIGH SON INTERSTREEN, STEE TENNET TENTONI, GC GI.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE  JOHN L. PHILLIPS
11	VOLUME 2 PAGES 117 - 260
12	Tuesday, December 15, 2015
13	North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
14	9:43 a.m 4:48 p.m.
15	
16	Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
17	Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198- VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
4	MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
5	505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
6	Phone: 561.655.2250 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
7	
8	On behalf of the Defendant:
9	ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE 2753 NW 34th Street
	Boca Raton, Florida 33434
11	Phone: 561.245.8588 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12	
13 14	On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein:
	JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
15	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213
16	West Palm Beach, Florida
17	Phone: 561.833.0866 E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	

1			-		
2		I N D	E X		
3			-		
4					
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT F	RECROSS
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN: BY MR. ROSE:		120	188	
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	194	100		
8	TED BERNSTEIN				
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN: BY MR. ROSE:		213		
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			217	
11					
12					
13			-		
14		EXHI	BITS		
15			-		
16	NUMBER	DESCR	IPTION		PAGE
17	DEFENDANT'S EX. 2 DEFENDANT'S EX. 3	LETTE	R	DISCHARGE	161
18					-50
19					
20					
21	NUMBER	DESCR	IPTION		PAGE
22	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 6		AMENDME TEIN'S I		7 187
23		2211110		<b></b>	
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS				
2					
3	(Proceedings continued from Volume 1.)				
4	THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Our				
5	witness is still under oath.				
6	Is there any further cross-examination?				
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.				
8	THE COURT: Okay.				
9	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) (Cont'd)				
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:				
11	Q. Mr. Spallina, just to clarify				
12	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can he just stand at				
13	the podium?				
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, use the podium. Your				
15	microphone will help explain your questions. But				
16	you can walk up there. If you need to show the				
17	witness a document or something, that's fine.				
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.				
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:				
20	Q. Did you are you a member of the Florida				
21	Bar?				
22	A. Yes, I am.				
23	Q. Currently?				
24	A. Yes, I am.				
25	Q. Okay. You said before you surrendered your				

1 license. I said I withdrew from my firm. It wasn't 2 that I was not practicing. 3 Okay. In the chain of custody of these 4 Q. documents, you stated that there were three copies made? Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. Do you have those three original trust copies here? 8 I do not. Α. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Does anybody? 11 THE COURT: Do you have any other questions of the witness? 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I wanted to ask him 13 some questions on the original documents. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Keep going. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. So the original documents aren't in the 17 18 court? 19 Α. I don't have them. Your firm is not in possession of any of the 20 21 original documents? 2.2 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not at the firm anymore. 23 When you left the firm, were there documents 24 still at the firm? 25 A. Yes, there were.

1	Q. Were you ordered by the court to turn those
2	documents over to the curator, Benjamin Brown?
3	A. I don't recall.
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Can he clarify the
5	question, which documents? Because I believe the
6	curator was for the estate, and the original will
7	was already in file, and the curator would have no
8	interest in the trust
9	THE COURT: Which documents? When you say
10	"those documents," which ones are you referring to?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Any of the trusts and estate
12	documents.
13	THE COURT: Okay. That's been clarified.
14	You can answer, if you can.
15	THE WITNESS: I believe that he was given I
16	believe all the documents were copied by
17	Mr. Pollock's office, and that he was given some
18	type of zip drive with everything. I'm not sure,
19	though. I couldn't
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Did the zip drive contain the original
22	documents?
23	A. Did not. I believe the original documents
24	came back to our office. Having said that, we would
25	only have when we made and had the client execute

```
1
    three documents, two originals of those documents would
     remain with the client, and then we would keep one
 2
 3
    original in our file, except -- including, most of the
     time, the original will, which we put in our safe
    deposit box. So we would have one original of every
 5
    document that they had executed, including the original
 6
7
    will, and they would keep two originals of everything,
     except for the will, which we would give them conformed
 8
    copies of, because there was only one original will.
10
               Okay. I asked a specific question. Did your
     firm, after the court order of Martin Colin, retain
11
12
     documents, original documents?
13
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Sorry. I should have
          let him finish.
14
15
               MR. BERNSTEIN: -- original documents?
               THE WITNESS: I believe --
16
               MR. ROSE: Relevance and misstates the --
17
18
          there's no such order.
19
               THE COURT: Well, the question is, Did your
          firm retain the original documents?
20
21
               Is that the question?
2.2
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
23
24
               Answer, please.
25
               THE WITNESS: I believe we had original
```

```
1
          documents.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 2
 3
               After the date you were court ordered to
 4
     produce them to the curator?
               MR. ROSE: Object -- that's the part I object
 6
          to.
7
               THE COURT: Sustained.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
 8
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10
               To your knowledge -- so, to your knowledge,
     the documents can't all be here since they may be at
11
12
    your firm today?
               I don't practice at the firm anymore, so I'm
13
     not sure where the documents are.
14
15
               Okay. And you said you made copies of all the
          Ο.
16
     documents that you turned over to the curator? Did you
     turn over any original documents as ordered by the
17
18
     court?
19
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Same objection.
          There's no court order requiring an original
20
21
          document be turned over.
2.2
               THE COURT: What order are you referring to?
23
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge Colin ordered when they
          resigned due to the fraudulent alteration of the
24
25
          documents that they turn over --
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           I just said, what order are you
 2
          referring to?
 3
               MR. BERNSTEIN: It's an order Judge Colin
          ordered.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Well, produce that
 5
          order so I can see it, because Judge Colton's [sic]
6
7
          been retired for six or seven years.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I don't have it with
 8
          me, but...
9
               THE COURT: Well, Judge Colton's a retired
10
          judge. He may have served in some other capacity,
11
12
          but he doesn't enter orders, unless he's sitting as
13
          a replacement judge. And that's why I'll need to
          see the order you're talking about, so I'll know if
14
15
          he's doing that. Okay. Thanks. Next question.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16
               Okay. Has anyone, to the best of your
17
18
     knowledge, seen the originals while you were in custody
19
     of them?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. Who?
21
          Q.
2.2
          Α.
               I believe Ken Pollock's firm was -- Ken
     Pollock's firm was the firm that took the documents for
23
     purposes of copying them.
24
25
          Q.
               Did anybody ask you, refer copies to inspect
```

1 the documents? 2 Α. Other than Ken Pollock's office, I don't 3 recall. 4 Ο. Did I ask you? Α. Perhaps you did. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to go through 6 7 some of the documents with him real quick. But I don't have my wife to hand me the documents, so 8 it's going to take me incredibly long. These are 10 just copies I have. Can I approach him? THE COURT: All approaches are okay. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 13 14 Are these the documents that you drafted, 15 Shirley's will and Shirley's trust agreement? MR. ROSE: Your Honor, could I see what he's 16 17 handing the witness before he hands it to them? 18 THE COURT: Say again. 19 MR. ROSE: I don't know what he's handing the 20 witness. 21 THE COURT: All right. You'll need to show 2.2 the other side the documents that you're handing to 23 the witness so that they're looking at the same thing you're talking about. 24 25 MR. ROSE: These are not accurate. These are

```
1
     multiple things stapled together. I'd object to
     the exhibit -- or the use of it.
 2
 3
          THE COURT: Ma'am, if you come back up past
     that bar one more time, you'll be in contempt of
 4
     court. I don't want you to be in contempt of
 5
     court. Do you understand my instruction?
 6
7
          MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
          THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
          MR. ROSE: I don't know if that's filed with
 9
     the court and I don't know that these are genuine.
10
     And the second document has attached to it --
11
12
          THE COURT: Well, you don't need to tell me
                           The thing that the person
13
     what the papers are.
14
     who's asking the questions has to do is show you
15
     the documents that he's going to show the witness.
16
          MR. ROSE: Okay.
          THE COURT: Then I intend to move forward.
17
18
     expect he'll show the witness the documents and
19
     then he'll probably ask a question.
20
          Am I right?
21
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to see those?
2.2
          THE COURT: Nope.
23
          So then if there's an objection to the
     documents coming in, if at some time they're
24
25
     proffered as an exhibit, then I'll take the
```

```
1
          objection.
 2
               Have you seen the documents that are in his
 3
          hand that are going to be shown to the witness?
               MR. ROSE: Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
 5
               Proceed.
6
7
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Okay. Can you look at the initials on the
          Ο.
8
    pages of that document and describe them -- describe
9
10
     what they look like?
11
          Α.
               The initials?
12
          Q.
               Yes.
13
          Α.
               On each page, there's an SB --
14
          Ο.
               Okay.
15
               -- for your mother's initials.
          Α.
16
          Q.
               And it's clearly SB?
               Is it clearly SB?
17
          Α.
               Yeah. Looks like SB?
18
          Q.
19
          Α.
               Yes, it's clearly SB.
               Okay. And on this will signed on the same
20
          Q.
21
     date by my mother in your presence, is that my mom's
22
     initials? And does it look like an SB? Do they even
     look similar?
23
24
              Well, your mother was asked to sign these
     documents.
25
```

Q. Okay.

- A. When we execute a will, unlike the bottom of
- 3 | the trust agreement where we initial the trust pages, on
- 4 | the bottom of the will, she's supposed to sign her
- 5 | signature. And which she has done at the bottom of each
- 6 page, is sign her signature consistent with the
- 7 | signature page that she signed.
- Q. So what you're saying is, she signed this
- 9 document, that she initialed this document?
- 10 A. Right. We only ask that for purposes of the
- 11 | trust that they initial each page. For purposes of the
- 12 | will, that they sign each page.
- 13 So this is the signature that she has -- this
- 14 is her signature on the bottom of this document.
- Q. Well, there's no line saying that's her
- 16 | signature, correct? There would be --
- 17 A. But that was our practice.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. That was our practice, to have --
- Q. Okay. You testified to my dad's state of mind
- 21 | that he was fine.
- 22 Si was usual when you saw him from May through
- 23 his death; is that correct?
- A. Are you speaking about 2012?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 Α. Correct. 2 Are you aware of any medical problems my 3 father was having at that time? No, I'm not. 4 Α. Ο. Are you aware of any stress he was under? Α. No, I was not. 6 7 Mr. Rose had you read into or -- read into the record a letter that I wrote with my waiver, saying, 8 anything -- I haven't seen the dispositive documents, 9 10 but I'll do anything, 'cause my dad is under stress, to relieve him of his stress. 11 Do you know what stress I was referring to? 12 I don't. 13 Α. Were you in the May meeting with my father, 14 15 May 10, 2012? I was -- are you talking about on the 16 Α. telephone call? 17 18 Q. Correct. 19 Α. I wasn't together with him. Okay. Were you together with anybody on that 20 Q. 21 call? 2.2 Α. No. I was on -- in my -- my office phone.
  - Q. Okay. And at that meeting, did Si state that he was having this meeting to end disputes among certain parties and himself?

23

24

A. I don't recall.

1

2

- Q. Were there any disputes you were aware of?
- 3 A. The only thing that he ever brought to my
- 4 attention was the letter that Pam had sent him.
  - Q. And what did Pam's letter state, basically?
- A. I can't remember it. I mean, it was the

  letter that he showed me in February of 2012. But the

  general gist of that letter was that she was unhappy
- 10 Q. Just her or her and her children?

about not being part of their estates.

- 11 A. She may have spoke to her children.
- Q. Was there anybody else who was left out of the wills and trusts?
- 14 A. That was causing him stress?
- Q. No. Just anybody at this point that was left out, other than Pam.
- 17 A. Yes. Ted.

18

- Q. And are you aware of anything Ted and Pam were doing to force upon Si changes?
- A. Not to my knowledge, other than the letter
  that Pam had sent to him just expressing her
  dissatisfaction.
- Q. You said you talked to her attorney?
- 24 A. I talked to her attorney.
- Q. And you told her attorney, while Si was

- 1 living, that she had been cut out of the estates and
  2 trusts with her brother Ted?
  - A. I don't recall the conversation with the attorney, but, ultimately, Si gave me authorization to send documents to the attorney. So we may have had a conversation about it.
  - Q. So you're stating that Si told you to -- he authorized you to tell his daughter that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts?
- 10 A. He authorized me to send documents to the 11 attorney.
  - Q. Did you send those documents to the attorney?
  - A. I believe we did, yes.

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. Was Ted and his lineal descendants disinherited?
  - A. They were, under the original documents.
  - Q. Well, under Shirley's document that's currently theirs, Ted considered predeceased for all purposes of disposition according to the language in the document you drafted?
  - A. To the extent that assets passed to him under the trust.
  - Q. Well, the document says, for all purposes of disposition, Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased, correct?

1 Α. You'll have to state the question again. Does the document you drafted say that Ted 2 Ο. 3 Bernstein is both considered predeceased under the beneficiary definition with his lineal descendants and 4 considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions 5 of the trust? 6 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. document's in evidence. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll have him read it. 10 THE COURT: Well, I mean, I can read it. 11 12 in evidence. So when it comes time, just point me to the part that you want me to read, and I'll read 13 it. But I don't need to have the witness read it 14 15 to me. That's of no benefit. 16 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, and for the record, 17 those issues are part of the other counts and 18 aren't being tried today. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 7, Your Honor, of the 20 Shirley trust. 21 THE COURT: What exhibit number is that? 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: You want me to enter it as my 23 exhibit? THE WITNESS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your 24 25 Honor.

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. Let me go to page 7 of
2
          Plaintiff's 2.
 3
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I enter this one into the
 4
          record?
               THE COURT: Is it the same as the one I
          already have?
6
7
               MR. BERNSTEIN: According to Alan, it's not.
               THE COURT: According to who?
 8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose.
9
10
               THE COURT: All right. Well, if it comes time
          for you to put any exhibits in on your case, if
11
12
          that's not a duplicate of an exhibit that's already
13
          in, you're welcome to put it into evidence.
14
          this is not the time when you put evidence in.
15
          This is the time when you're cross-examining the
16
          plaintiff's witness.
17
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18
               THE COURT: So on Page 7 of Plaintiff's 2, you
          can go on with your questioning.
19
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20
21
          Q.
               Are you there and are we on the same page?
2.2
     Yes?
23
               Yes, I am.
          Α.
               Okay. In the definition of -- under E1, do
24
          Q.
25
     you see where it starts "notwithstanding the foregoing"?
```

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. Okay. Can you read that? 3 Α. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for 4 purposes of the dispositions made under this trust to my 5 children, Ted S. Bernstein and Pamela B. Simon and their 6 7 respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, 8 however, if my children Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and" --10 11 Okay, that's -- you can stop there. Q. 12 Would you consider making distributions a 13 disposition under the trust? It would it depend on other factors. 14 Α. 15 Ο. What factors? 16 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. THE COURT: Sustained. 17 18 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 19 Is a validity hearing a disposition of the Q. 20 trust? 21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 2.2 conclusion. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he drafted the document, 25 so I'm trying to get what his meaning was when he

1 put it in. And it's relevant to the hearing today. THE COURT: I ruled it's not relevant. 2 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, you did rule that? THE COURT: Do you have another question of 4 the witness? Or we're moving on. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Ο. So for purposes of disposition, Ted, Pam and 8 her lineal descendants are considered predeceased, 10 correct? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy, cumulative 11 and best evidence. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 14 The document says what it says. 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 16 THE COURT: When you ask a witness if it says what it says, I don't pay any attention to his 17 18 answer, because I'm reading what it says. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 Did you produce a fraudulent copy of the 2.2 Shirley trust agreement? 23 Α. No, I did not. So when you sent to Christine Yates this trust 24 Ο. 25 agreement with the attached amendment that you've

1	already admitted you fraudulently altered, was that
2	producing a not valid copy of the trust that was
3	distributed to a party?
4	A. We've already talked about the amendment was
5	not a valid amendment.
6	Q. No, I'm asking, did you create a not valid
7	trust of my mother's and distribute it to Christine
8	Yates, my children's attorney?
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. He's
10	covered this.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it has to go to the
12	validity, Your Honor, because
13	THE COURT: The question I'm figuring out is,
14	have we already covered this?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: We touched on a piece of it.
16	The more important part
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let you reask
18	your question to cover something that we've not
19	already covered.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And we covered that
21	the
22	THE COURT: You don't have to remind me.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
24	THE COURT: Listen, see, this look at this.
25	I take notes. I write stuff down. Now, a lot of

1	times, if you see me not writing and I'm doodling,
2	that means you're not scoring any points.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: You've got to show me
4	THE COURT: The point is, I should be writing
5	notes. So that means you're not doing any good.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
7	THE COURT: So, please, the reason I write it
8	is so we don't have to repeat things.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. You've already stated that you created
11	a fraudulent amendment.
12	Did you attach it to a Shirley trust document?
13	A. No. We included the amendment with the
14	documents that we transmitted to her.
15	Q. So it was included as part of the Shirley
16	trust document as an amendment, correct?
17	A. It was included as an amendment.
18	Q. To the Shirley trust document.
19	Thereby, you created a fraudulent copy, a not
20	valid copy of the Shirley trust, correct?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
22	Cumulative.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	You can answer. Did that create a fraudulent
25	version of the trust?

1 THE WITNESS: It could have, yes, Your Honor. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 Can you explain why it couldn't have? Because Si ultimately exercised his power of 4 Α. appointment, which was broader than the definitional 5 provision in the document. 6 7 That's not my question. I'll just say it was asked and not answered. 8 Okay. So there are not validly -- not valid 9 Shirley trust agreements in circulation, correct? 10 Α. That's not true. 11 Well, the Shirley trust agreement you said 12 Ο. sent to Christine Yates you've just stated was invalidly 13 14 produced. 15 Α. To Christine Yates. Yeah, okay. So I said "in circulation." 16 Q. Is Christine Yates out of circulation? 17 I don't know what Christine Yates did with the 18 Α. 19 documents. Well, I got a copy, so they're even more in 20 circulation. 21 2.2 So my point being, you sent from your law firm 23 fraudulent -- a non-valid copy of the document --24 Α. Which document? 25 Q. -- the Shirley trust and her amendment to

1	Christine Yates, right?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll move on from
5	that.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Would you know about when you did that
8	fraudulent alteration of the document?
9	A. January 2013.
10	Q. And you were a fiduciary or you were
11	counsel to the alleged fiduciary, Ted Bernstein, of the
12	Shirley Bernstein trust, correct?
13	A. Yes, we were.
14	Q. And you were counsel to Ted Bernstein as the
15	alleged personal representative of Shirley's estate?
16	A. Yes, we were.
17	Q. And as Ted's counsel in the Shirley trust, can
18	you describe what the not valid trust agreement that was
19	sent to Ms. Yates did to alter the beneficiaries of the
20	document?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	What alterations did that make to the
24	beneficiaries?
25	THE WITNESS: It didn't make any alterations

to the beneficiaries. The document's not a valid document and so it couldn't have made any changes to the estate planning.

BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

Q. Okay. But what did it intend to do?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor. What did you say?

THE COURT: Next question.

## BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. What did it intend to do?
- 11 A. I answered that question earlier.

THE COURT: I can't let the witness object to questions. That won't work.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Earlier you asked me the question, and I responded to you that it was to carry out your father's intent and the agreement that you all had made prior to his death, on that telephone call, and to have a document that would provide, perhaps, clarity to a vague misinterpretation of your mother's document.

## BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

Q. So instead of going to the court, you just frauded a document to an attorney, who's representing minor children in this case -- produce a fraudulent copy of the trust document, making us have total trouble

1 understanding what's real and not, especially with your firm's history of fraudulent and forged documents 2 submitted to the court in this case. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're just 4 ranting. Ranting is not allowed. MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. 6 7 THE COURT: If you'd like to ask a question, I'll let you do that. If I have to call you on 8 this too many more times, I'm going to assume that 10 you're done questioning the witness. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 11 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 12 13 Ο. When did you first meet my parents? 14 Α. 2007. 15 And how did you meet them? Ο. I met them through someone that made a 16 Α. referral to them to our office. 17 18 Q. You didn't know Ted Bernstein prior to meeting 19 Si? I don't recall who we met first. I'm not 20 Α. 21 sure. 2.2 What firm were you with at the time? Q. 23 Α. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin and 24 Ruffin and Forman. 25 Q. And how long were you with them?

1 Α. Five-plus years. 2 Ο. And where were you before that? 3 Α. I was in school. Okay. Did you work at Sony Digital ever? 4 Q. Α. I did. You did. And when was that, before school or 6 Q. 7 after? That was from 1994 to '96. Α. 8 So after school? Ο. 10 After college. Α. Okay. So that was -- you just forgot about 11 Q. 12 that one in your history. 13 Is there any other parts of your biography I'm 14 missing? 15 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 17 18 Q. Can you repeat, since I'm -- there was a 19 little clarification error there. Your history, you started --20 21 THE COURT: That's not necessary to repeat the 22 history. Do you have a new question? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to get the 24 history. 25 THE COURT: I don't want him to repeat what

1 he's already said. That moves the case backwards. 2 I want to go forward. You're cavitating. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 Did the altered trust document sent to Ο. 5 Christine Yates attempt to convince Yates and others she 6 sent that document to that Ted and Pam's lineal 7 descendants were actually inside the document? 8 Α. Say the question again. Well, we read the section where they're 10 Ο. considered predeceased, Ted and Pam and their lineal 11 descendants. 12 When you altered that amendment that you said 13 you were just doing Si's wishes postmortem by altering a 14 15 document, my question is, did you put language in there that would have made Ted and Pam's lineal descendants 16 now beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? 17 18 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's 19 cumulative. We've covered this. THE COURT: Sustained. 20 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Can the beneficiary of Shirley's trust be Ted, Pam or their lineal descendants? 24 25 Α. If the assets of her trust were to pass under

```
1
     the trust, no --
 2
          Q.
               Okay.
 3
          Α.
               -- under the trust.
               So in the trust language of the Shirley trust
 4
          Q.
     document, Ted's lineal descendants and Pam's lineal
 5
     descendants can get no dispositions, distributions,
6
7
     whatever you want to call it?
          Α.
               You have to ask the question in a different
 8
     way, because I answered the question. I said, if it
 9
     passes under the trust, that they would not inherent.
10
11
     Tf.
12
          Q.
               Okay. When Shirley died, was her trust
13
     irrevocable at that point?
               It was.
14
          Α.
15
          Ο.
               Who were the beneficiaries?
               Simon Bernstein.
16
          Α.
               And who were the beneficiaries -- well, Simon
17
          Q.
18
     Bernstein wasn't a beneficiary. He was a trustee.
19
          Α.
               No, he became the beneficiary of her trust
     when she died. He was the sole beneficiary of her trust
20
     when she died.
21
2.2
          Q.
               Okay. And then who would it go to when he
23
     died?
24
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
25
               THE COURT: Sustained.
```

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Okay. When Simon died, who would the benefits
3	of Shirley's trust go to?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
5	THE COURT: Are you asking him to tell you
6	what would happen if the mother died first, then
7	the father died second, and we have the trust
8	documents and the wills that are in place so far
9	that have been testified to at the trial?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
11	THE COURT: I already know all that stuff.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well
13	THE COURT: So what is the new question you
14	want to ask that's not cumulative?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get
16	to a very significant point there.
17	THE COURT: Get there. Just go there and see
18	what happens.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: I just have to learn to ask
20	these questions a little more like a lawyer.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: So I have to rethink how to
23	ask that.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Do you recall talking to Detective Ryan

1	Miller?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Can you tell me all the roles you had in these
6	estates and trusts, and your partner, Don Tescher?
7	A. We were the attorneys to your parents. Upon
8	your dad's death, we became counsel to his estate and
9	served as co-PRs and co-trustees under his documents.
10	Q. Any other roles?
11	A. Served as counsel for we served as counsel
12	for Ted as fiduciary under your mother's documents.
13	Q. And who served as your counsel as trustee
14	PR co-trustee, co-PR?
15	A. Mark Manceri.
16	Q. Mark Manceri submitted that he was your
17	attorney?
18	A. I believe so, yes.
19	Q. Did you take a retainer out with him?
20	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: What's the relevance of the
23	retainer question?
24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I take that back.
25	Mark Manceri was not counsel to us with respect to

```
1
          the estate, except on a very specific matter.
 2
               THE COURT: The question that was objected to
 3
          was, did you take out a retainer? What's the
          relevance of that?
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out
          if he was properly representing before the court
6
7
          these documents, and to his credibility, meaning
          his --
8
               THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
10
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11
12
          Ο.
               And a question about the court. How long
     before you notified the court as a personal
13
14
     representative fiduciary that you had produced a
15
     fraudulent trust of Shirley's?
               To whom? I don't know that we ever
16
          Α.
17
     represented the document to the court, and I don't know
18
     that anyone ever came to the court and said that we did.
19
               Well, I did in a petition I filed and served
          Q.
20
     on you --
21
               MR. ROSE: Objection.
2.2
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23
               -- of January -- excuse me -- petition that I
     served on you exposing a fraud of what happened with
24
25
     Christine Yates after you admitted that to the police.
```

1 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. 2 3 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. How many times have you spoken with 4 Alan Rose in the last three months? 5 Twice. Α. 6 7 Ο. Did you prepare for this hearing in any way with Alan Rose? 8 T did. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Okay. Was that the two times you spoke to 11 him? 12 Α. Yes. Do you see any other of the parties that would 13 Ο. be necessary to validate these trust documents in the 14 15 court today? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 18 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 19 And you gave testimony to the total net worth Q. of Simon today, when you were asked by Mr. Rose; is that 20 21 correct? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 How long did you serve as the co-trustee and co-personal representative? 24 25 Α. Of your father's estate? Since the date of

```
1
    his death.
               And his trust?
 2
          Ο.
 3
          Α.
               Same.
               Okay. Did you produce an accounting to
 4
          Q.
     support those claims you made today?
 5
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
6
7
               THE COURT: Sustained.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, can I argue that or --
8
               THE COURT: No.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Not even close. Does that
10
         mean I have to ask it a different way?
11
               THE COURT: Well, I can't answer questions.
12
13
          I'm not allowed to give anybody legal advice.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. That was procedural, I
14
15
          thought. But okay.
               THE COURT: Well, that's legal advice.
16
          Procedure is a legal issue.
17
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18
19
               As a fiduciary of the estate of Simon and the
          Q.
     trust of Simon, did your law firm produce a accounting?
20
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
21
2.2
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant to, if
23
         he's a fiduciary, his conduct. I mean, there's --
24
               THE COURT: Here's the way I handle
25
          objections --
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2	THE COURT: somebody asks a question, and
3	somebody in the courtroom says objection, and then
4	I have them state the legal objection and stop.
5	The other side doesn't say anything, unless I say,
6	Is there any argument one side or the other?
7	Because usually I can figure this stuff out without
8	having to waste time with arguments.
9	I didn't ask for any argument, right? Okay.
10	Sustained. Next question.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Mr. Rose asked you about Shirley's Bentley.
13	Are you aware you became aware of Shirley's
14	Bentley, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When you became aware of Shirley's Bentley,
17	did you put in an amended inventory to account for it?
18	THE COURT: What's this going to help me
19	decide on the validity of the wills or trusts?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just responding to the
21	statements that were brought up.
22	THE COURT: I wish you would have objected to
23	the relevancy then, but you didn't.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: I did.
25	THE COURT: I don't think so.

```
1
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         No?
2
          THE COURT: I'm a car guy, so I pay attention
3
     if somebody's asking questions about Bentleys just
    because it's interesting.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's so important, Your
 5
    Honor, because --
6
7
          THE COURT: No, it's not. Right now what is
     tied is, are the wills and trusts bound?
8
          MR. BERNSTEIN: We have to question his
9
10
    competency.
          THE COURT: And so what's in the estate or
11
12
    what's in the trust is not of any interest to me
    right now. So if that Bentley should have been in
13
     the estate or should not have been in the estate,
14
15
     it should have been accounted for, not accounted
16
     for, I'm not going to figure out today. But I want
    to get all the evidence I possibly can to see
17
    whether these wills and trusts that are in front of
18
19
    me are valid or not valid. And I'm hoping that
20
    you'll ask some questions that'll help me figure
21
     that out.
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Are those originals that you
23
    have?
          THE COURT: See, I'm not the witness. I'm the
24
25
     judge. So I'm not sworn in and I have no knowledge
```

1 of the facts of this case, other than what the 2 witnesses tell me. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm winding down. I'll check 4 my list. THE COURT: All right. 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 7 Are you familiar with a document the Bernstein Family Realty LLC agreement? 8 Α. Yes, I am. 10 Did you draft that document? 0. Α. Yes, I did. 11 12 Q. Was it part of Simon's estate planning? It was part of his estate planning -- well, 13 Α. 14 yes --15 And what was --Ο. 16 Α. -- in a roundabout way. 17 What was it designed to do? Q. 18 Α. It was designed to hold title to the home that you and your family live in. 19 20 Oh, okay. And so it was -- who's the owners 21 of that? 2.2 Α. The three kids -- your three kids, Josh, 23 Daniel -- your three kids' trusts that your father 24 created -- and Jake -- that he created in -- I believe 25 he created those trusts in 2006.

1 Q. And the prior testimony was, there were no 2 special documents under Simon's estate plan for my 3 family; is that correct? Right. None that we prepared. Those were not 4 Α. documents that we prepared. Q. Okay. I think he asked you if you knew of 6 7 any. So you knew of these, correct? 8 You're making me recall them. Yes. Α. 9 10 Oh, okay. Because you answered pretty Ο. affirmatively no before, that you weren't aware of any 11 12 special --13 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for the 14 witness? 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get it. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 You referenced an insurance policy. 17 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I -- well, I can't ask him 19 anything. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 Q. You referenced an insurance policy earlier, 22 life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is that correct? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And was that part of the estate plans?

1 Α. We never did any planning with that. That was an insurance policy that your father had taken out 2 3 30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for that. That was not a part of any of the planning that 4 we did for him. Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf 6 7 of that policy? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Is Christine Yates, who you sent the 11 Q. 12 fraudulently altered Shirley trust document that's not 13 valid, a layman? MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Is she an attorney at law? 18 THE COURT: Now you're asking a different 19 question. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Thanks. 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Q. Is she a layman, as you described prior? Α. She's an attorney. 24 25 Q. Okay. So you were sending that document that

1 you said you altered to make a layman understand the 2 language in the trust better? 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. THE COURT: Let me have you finish your 4 questioning. 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 7 But you sent it to Christine Yates, an attorney, who's not a layman? 8 We did. 9 Α. 10 Okay. So it could be that you sent that Ο. document to an attorney to commit a fraud upon her 11 clients, my children, minor children, correct? 12 13 Α. The intent was not to commit a fraud. 14 Ο. Okay. 15 Again, the intent was to carry out your dad's Α. wishes. 16 By fraudulently altering documents? 17 Q. MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 18 19 THE COURT: Sustained. If you ask one more argumentative question, I 20 21 will stop you from asking the other things, because 2.2 I'll figure that you're done. Is that clear? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 24 THE COURT: I'm done warning you. I think 25 that's just too much to have to keep saying over

```
1
          and over again.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 2
 3
               When Shirley died, were her wishes upheld?
               Your dad was the sole survivor of her
 4
          Α.
     estate -- he was the sole beneficiary of her estate and
     her trust.
 6
               So her wishes of her trusts when Simon died
7
          Ο.
     were to make who the beneficiaries?
 8
 9
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
10
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11
               Who did Shirley make -- are you familiar with
12
          Q.
     the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust?
13
14
          Α.
               I am.
15
          Q.
               And is that trust under the Shirley trust?
16
          Α.
               No, it's not.
17
          Q.
               It's a separate trust?
18
          Α.
               It is.
19
               Is it mentioned in the Shirley trust?
          Q.
20
               It may be.
          Α.
21
          Ο.
               As what?
2.2
          Α.
               As a receptacle for Shirley's estate.
23
          Q.
               Her trust?
               A potential receptacle for Shirley's trust.
24
          Α.
25
          Q.
               So there were three, the Eliot Bernstein
```

1 Family Trust, Lisa Friedstein and Jill Iantoni Family 2 Trust, that are mentioned as receptacles. I would 3 assume that's the word, beneficiary --MR. ROSE: Objection. 4 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 -- of the Shirley trust, correct? 6 Q. 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. THE COURT: Sustained. 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 9 10 Okay. On Simon's medical state eight weeks before he died, when these documents of the Simon trust 11 12 are alleged by you to have been signed, are you aware of 13 any conditions of Simon's at that time medically? 14 Α. I was not. 15 Were you aware of any medicines he was on? Q. 16 Α. I was not. Were you aware he was seeing a psychiatrist? 17 Q. 18 Α. I was not. 19 Were you aware that he was going for a brain Q. 20 scan? 21 Α. I was not. 2.2 Q. Were you aware that he was brought in to 23 multiple doctors during that time for brain problems; that they ended up doing a brain biopsy at Delray 24 25 Medical right around that time that he's said to sign

```
1
     these documents?
 2
               He did not make us aware of any medical issues
     that he had.
 3
 4
          Q.
               Okay. Did you ask him at the time you were
     signing those amended documents if he was under any
 5
     medical stress?
6
7
          Α.
              No, I did not.
               Okay.
          Q.
 8
          Α.
               He --
 9
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him to read that?
10
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11
12
          Q.
               Can you look at that document and --
13
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge, would you like a look
14
          at this?
15
               THE COURT: I don't look at anything that's
          not an exhibit.
16
               MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm exhibiting it to him.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine, but I
18
19
          want you to go ahead and ask your question. I
          don't look at things that aren't exhibits in
20
21
          evidence --
2.2
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
23
               THE COURT: -- unless I have to mark them.
24
          But no, I don't have a curiosity to look at pieces
25
          of paper.
```

```
1
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Should I exhibit it as
          evidence -- can I exhibit it as --
2
3
               THE COURT: If it comes into evidence, I'll
          look at it.
 4
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit it as
          evidence?
6
7
               THE COURT: Well, have you asked any questions
          to establish what it is?
8
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9
               Is this a letter from your law firm -- prior
10
     law firm?
11
12
          Α.
               I did not prepare this letter --
13
          Q.
               Okay.
14
          Α.
               -- but it appears to be, yes.
15
          Q.
               Prepared by?
               Donald Tescher.
16
          Α.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Now can I submit it?
17
18
               THE COURT: So you're offering it as an
19
          exhibit --
20
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Please.
21
               THE COURT: -- as Defendant's 2.
22
               Is there any objection?
23
               MR. ROSE: No objection.
               THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
24
25
          it.
               And that'll be in evidence as Defendant's 2.
```

```
1
          Thank you.
 2
               (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
 3
     evidence.)
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Can you just read into the record
     paragraph 2 --
6
7
               THE COURT: Well, I'm reading it.
          document is in the record.
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
10
               THE COURT: I'm reading paragraph 2 even as we
          speak, so I don't need the witness to read it for
11
12
          me. But if you want to ask him a question, you can
          go ahead with that.
13
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
          Ο.
               Okay. That letter states that Si's power of
     appointment for Simon could not be used in favor of Pam,
16
     Ted and their respective children; is that correct?
17
18
          Α.
               Yes. Don appears to have written that.
19
               Did you get a copy of this letter?
          Q.
               I don't recall getting a copy of it, but
20
          Α.
21
     doesn't mean that I didn't.
2.2
          Q.
               But you are partners in that firm?
23
          Α.
               Yes, we were partners in that firm.
          Q.
               Now, that -- this document --
24
25
               MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can I just -- I don't
```

```
1
     want to go out of order, but this is only relevant
     if the documents are valid. And if he's -- the
 2
 3
     whole point is the documents are valid. And he
     wants to arque the second part, of what they mean,
     then we should not have wasted a whole day arguing
     over the validity of these five documents.
 6
7
          THE COURT: Well, waste of time is what I do
     for a living sometimes. Saying we shouldn't be
8
     here doesn't help me decide anything.
10
          I thought I was supposed to decide the
     validity of the five documents that have been
11
12
     pointed out; some of them might be valid and some
13
     of them might be invalid. And I'm struggling to
14
     decide what's relevant or not relevant based upon
15
     the possibility that one of them might be invalid
16
     or one of them might not. And so I'm letting in a
17
     little bit more stuff than I normally think I
18
     would.
19
          MR. ROSE: I'm concerned we're arguing the
     second -- the second part of this trial is going to
20
21
     be to determine what the documents mean and what
2.2
     Simon's power of attorney could or couldn't do.
23
     And this document goes to trial two and not trial
     one, although I didn't object to its admissibility.
24
25
          THE COURT: Well, since it's in evidence,
```

1 we'll leave it there and see what happens next. 2 Do you have any other questions of the 3 witness? 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 It says that the document that you 6 Q. 7 fraudulently altered creating the invalid copy of the Shirley trust had some kind of paragraph 2 that was 8 missing from the original document --10 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 11 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 12 Ο. -- from my understanding. 13 THE COURT: You may finish your question. And make sure it's a question and not an argument. 14 15 Because you know what happens if this is an 16 argument. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not arguing. I'm just 18 asking --19 THE COURT: I want you to ask your question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 It says here that there was a blank spot that Ο. 22 you -- a Paragraph No. 2 which modified the definitional 23 language by deleting words. 24 According to this document, the power of 25 appointment by Simon could not alter the Shirley trust

```
1
     agreement, correct?
               Don seems to be suggesting that in the second
2
          Α.
 3
    paragraph. I don't necessarily believe that that's the
 4
     case.
               Did you review this document with Don?
 5
          Ο.
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
 6
7
               THE COURT: The question is, Did you go over
          this document with Don?
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
10
               THE COURT: Overruled.
11
               You can answer.
12
               THE WITNESS: No.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
13
               So he's -- Don, in this letter, is describing
14
15
     your actions, correct?
          Α.
16
               Yes.
               Okay. Did you write a letter to anybody
17
          Q.
18
     describing your actions?
19
          Α.
               I did not.
               You did not.
20
          Q.
21
               And what have you done to correct the damages
22
     caused by that to my family?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
23
24
               THE COURT: Sustained.
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
```

```
1
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               And are you aware of an autopsy that was done
 2
 3
     on my father the day -- or ordered the day he died?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
 4
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 5
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6
7
               Are you aware -- well, are you aware of a
     heavy metal poison test that was done by the Palm Beach
8
     County coroner?
9
10
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
11
               THE COURT: Sustained.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's --
12
13
               THE COURT: Next question.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm trying to figure that out.
14
15
          Your Honor, is -- I can't ask you that question.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16
               Competency. Based on everything you know
17
18
     about Simon, when he signed those documents, he was
19
     competent?
20
               To my knowledge, he was of sound mind and
21
    body.
2.2
               Now, are you a medical expert?
          Q.
               I'm not.
23
          Α.
               Are you aware of any other fraudulent activity
24
          Q.
25
     that took place in anything in the estate and trusts of
```

```
1
     Simon Bernstein by yourself or your employees?
 2
               Are you referring back to the closing of your
 3
     mother's estate?
               I'm referring to any other --
          Ο.
               -- we've talked about.
          Α.
               So can you list those and then just say that's
 6
          Q.
7
     all that you're aware of?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
 8
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 9
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10
               Other than the fraud that you've admitted to
11
          Ο.
     in the documents of Shirley, the Moran forged and
12
13
     fraudulent waivers, the April 9th waiver that you and Si
14
     signed stating he had all the waivers when he couldn't
15
     have, are there any other frauds that you're aware of
     that took place with these estate and trust documents?
16
17
               Not to my knowledge.
          Α.
18
          Q.
               When you were first interviewed by the Palm
19
     Beach County Sheriff with Kimberly Moran, did you notify
     them at that first interview that you had fraudulently
20
     altered a document?
21
2.2
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
23
               THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
24
25
          Q.
               When did you notify the sheriff that you
```

```
1
     fraudulently altered a document?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
2
3
               THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
4
               You have these exhibits. This will says
          Ο.
 5
     "conformed copy" on Exhibit 1 of their exhibits; is that
6
7
     correct?
               Yes, it does.
          Α.
8
               Does a conformed copy have to have the clerk
          Q.
9
10
     of the court's signature on it?
               Conformed copy would not be sent to the clerk
          Α.
11
     of the courts.
12
13
          Ο.
               Conformed copy -- okay.
               Is that your signature on the document? This
14
15
     is Exhibit 2, Shirley trust agreement, of the
     plaintiff's exhibit book, 2, page 27.
16
               Yes, it appears to be.
17
          Α.
18
          Q.
               It appears to be?
19
          Α.
               Yes.
               All right. And is that Traci Kratish's
20
          Q.
21
     signature?
2.2
          Α.
               She was there. I can't speak to her
23
     signature.
24
          Q.
               Did you witness her sign it?
25
          A.
               I did.
```

1 Q. Okay. Is that my mom's signature on page 28? 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 Q. On this first amendment to Shirley's trust --MR. BERNSTEIN: Exhibit 3, Your Honor, page 1 4 of 3, I guess. It's the first page in that exhibit. 6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Is that document -- do you recall that 8 document? 10 Α. Yes. Okay. And you recall the day it's signed and 11 Q. 12 notarized, allegedly? 13 Α. November 18th, 2008. 14 On the front page of that document, what day 15 is the document dated? It's not dated. 16 Α. Is that typical and customary in your office? 17 Q. 18 Α. Sometimes clients forget to put the date at 19 the top. 20 You forget? Q. 21 I said, sometimes clients forget to put the Α. 22 date at the top. 23 Well, did you check the document before making it a part of a will and trust? 24

It was notarized as a self-proving document.

25

Α.

Q. Are you aware that Kimberly Moran's
notarization of the Simon trust has been found by the
Governor Rick Scott's notary public division to be
deficient?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
Q. Are you aware of Kimberly Moran of your office
being contacted by the governor's office in relation to
these wills and trusts?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Sustained.
What do I care if he's aware of that or not?
How does that help me decide the validity of these
documents?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the governor's already
made a claim that
THE COURT: But you're asking the witness if
he's aware of. Are you aware the sky is blue right
now? It doesn't matter to me if he's aware of it
or not. Are you aware Rick Scott has started an
investigation of a moon landing? It doesn't matter
to me if he knows that or not. You asked him are
you aware of somebody from Rick Scott's office
doing something. It doesn't matter to me if he's

1 aware of that or not. I've got to figure out the 2 validity of these documents, so I need to know 3 facts about that, please. Any other questions of the witness on that? 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 7 Ο. Is that my father's signature? I'm not an expert on your father's signature. 8 Α. But if it's on his will, at the bottom of his will, that must have been a copy that was obtained from the clerk 10 of the courts, because that will was filed, and we would 11 12 have conformed copies in our file, which would not have 13 his signature at the bottom. Apparently, it is. 14 But it does say on the document that the original will's in your safe, correct? 15 16 Α. For your mother's document, it showed that. Oh, for my father's -- where are the originals 17 18 of my father's? 19 Your father's original will was deposited in Α. 20 the court. As was your mother's. How many copies of it were there that were 21 2.2 original? 23 Only one original. I think Mr. Rose had stated on the record that he requested a copy from the 24 25 clerk of the court of your father's original will, to

```
1
     make a copy of it.
               Certified?
2
          Ο.
               I'm not sure if he said it was certified or
 3
          Α.
 4
    not.
          Q.
               Is that your signature on my father's will?
               MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Exhibit 4, Your Honor,
 6
7
          Page 7.
               THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
8
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9
               Okay. Is that my father's signature?
10
          Q.
               Appears to be.
11
          Α.
12
          Q.
               Whose signature is that?
13
          Α.
               That's my signature.
               Oh, okay. So the only two witnesses you see
14
          Ο.
15
     on this document are you and Kimberly Moran; is that
     correct?
16
17
          Α.
               On that page.
18
          Q.
               And both you and Kimberly Moran have had
19
     misconduct in these cases?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
20
               THE COURT: Overruled. But it's cumulative.
21
2.2
               MR. ROSE: It's cumulative.
23
               THE COURT: How many times do I need to know
24
          this?
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What does that mean exactly,
```

1	cumulative? I don't get that. I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: Let's say you hit me over the head
3	with a two-by-four. That's one time. If you do it
4	twice, that's cumulative. Cumulative's not
5	allowed.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's an objection, is that
7	I've asked it
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: and it was answered? Is
10	that what it's kind of saying?
11	THE COURT: Yes, asked and answered. That's
12	another way of saying it.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I got it.
14	THE COURT: Asked and answered is a similar
15	way to say it.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Sorry.
17	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18	Q. Is that my father's signature, to the best of
19	your knowledge?
20	A. Appears to be, yes.
21	Q. And is that your signature?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	Q. And here, did Kimberly Moran properly notarize
24	this document?
25	A. Kimberly did not notarize the document.

```
1
          Q. Or Lindsay Baxley, did she check one -- either
 2
     the person was personally known or produced
     identification?
 3
 4
          Α.
              No. This is what Mr. Rose had gone over
    earlier.
 5
              No, those, I believe, are in other documents
6
          Q.
7
    we'll get to.
               So this notarization, as far as you can tell,
 8
    is incomplete?
9
10
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Are we on Exhibit 2?
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
11
               THE COURT: We're on Exhibit 4, as far as I
12
13
         recall.
14
               MR. BERNSTEIN: He does not miss a thing.
15
          Your Honor, page 8.
               THE WITNESS: This is Si's documents.
16
               MR. ROSE: Got it.
17
18
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19
          Q.
               Okay. So on Simon's trust, weeks before he
    dies, the notarization's improper?
20
         Α.
               This was the same document we spoke about
21
22
    before. Yes, she did not circle "known to me,"
23
    although...
          Q. So she didn't know you or Simon?
24
25
          A. No, she knew all of us. She just neglected to
```

```
1
     circle "known to me."
               And that's one of the three functions of a
 2
          Ο.
 3
     notary, to the best of your knowledge, to determine the
     person is in the presence that day by some form of I
     either know you or you gave me a license; is that
 5
     correct?
 6
7
          Α.
               Yes.
               So your firm -- have you done anything since
          Ο.
 8
     knowing this document's improperly notarized to correct
10
     it with the courts?
11
               MR. ROSE: Objection. It misstates facts.
12
          didn't say it was improperly notarized.
13
               THE COURT: Just state the objection, please.
               MR. ROSE: Well, calls for a legal conclusion.
14
15
               THE COURT: Sustained.
16
               MR. MORRISSEY: Another objection.
          misstates the law.
17
               THE COURT: Sustained.
18
19
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20
               Is that Lindsay -- oh, you can't answer that.
21
               So, to the best of your ability, regarding
22
     your signature, Kimberly or Lindsay Baxley has failed to
23
     state that you either were known to her or produced
24
     identification?
25
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
```

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll go on to document 5. 3 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 Is that my father's initials, to the best of Ο. your knowledge? 6 7 Α. Appears to be, yes. Ο. Do these initials look similar to you, this 8 one on page 2, next to this one on page 3, next to that 10 thing on page 4? Α. Initials typically don't look perfect page to 11 12 page, and they don't necessarily look similar page to page. I have seen clients execute a lot of documents, 13 and by the time they get to, you know, the second and 14 15 third document, their signatures and their initials do 16 not necessarily look --Look at page 13, for example. I mean, this is 17 18 almost -- if we go through page by page, tell me if you 19 see any that are even similar. On page -- let's start back at the beginning, if that'll help you. 20 21 That? Do those look similar to you as you're 22 flipping through those? 23 Yeah, they have a lot of the same -- similar ending marks. Your father's ending mark was that line. 24 25 I mean, it's on every single solitary page.

- Q. Okay. So your testimony today is those are my father's initials?

  A. That they were.

  Q. Okay.
  - A. I was there when he was...
- Q. And you've looked at all of these, page 19,
  page 20? Those look similar to what you're saying -- or
  why don't you just look at them. If you go through them
  all, they all look different. But okay.
- 10 A. They all look different, and they all look
  11 consistent at the same time.
- Q. Okay. Is that -- on page 24, is that my father's signature?
- A. Appears to be.
  - Q. Is that your signature?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.

15

21

22

- Q. Okay. Now, this is another trust document
  that Lindsay Baxley did that's supposed to be notarized,
  a will and trust, I believe, and the amended and
  restated.
  - Can you tell that Simon Bernstein was present or produced -- or present that day by the notarization?
- A. She again failed to mark that he was personally known, but she worked for him.
- Q. So these dispositive documents are improperly

```
1
    notarized?
 2
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Legal
          conclusion.
 3
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 4
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5
               Okay. And then let's go to the first
6
          Q.
7
    amendment to Shirley Bernstein's trust. Is this a
    document prepared --
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, that would be 6.
               THE COURT: All right.
10
11
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Is that a document prepared by your law firm?
12
          Q.
              Yes, it is.
13
          Α.
               And do you see where it's, "Now therefore by
14
    executing this instrument I hereby amend the trust
15
    agreement as following"? And what is it -- what are the
16
17
    numbering sequences there?
18
               It says, I hereby delete a paragraph of
19
    article --
               What number is that?
20
          Q.
21
               Paragraph B -- it's number 1.
          Α.
2.2
          Q.
               Okay. And what's Number 2?
23
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. It's in
24
          evidence. And it's cumulative.
25
               THE COURT: Two is in evidence, as is
```

```
1
    paragraph one and paragraph three. And I've
2
    read --
3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. But Number 1, Your
    Honor, take a look real quick. Number 1; there's
 4
 5
    no Number 2.
          THE COURT: The objection came on your next
6
7
    question, and that was dealing with paragraph 2,
    which says it's already in evidence. And it is.
8
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No, no, not paragraph 2. Look
9
    at down below. Under the "now therefore," there's
10
    a Number 1, and I was asking him what Number 2
11
12
    reads.
13
          THE COURT: I know you were.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: And there is no Number 2.
14
15
          THE COURT: You've asked me to look at
    Exhibit No. 6, right? Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has,
16
17
    under the therefore clause, a one, a two and a
18
     three. Are you asking me to look at a different
19
    document?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach?
          THE COURT: Sure. All right. So that's a
21
22
    different Number 6 than I have. So let's see your
23
    Number 6.
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: What do I do on that?
25
          THE COURT: That's not my decision.
```

```
1
         MR. BERNSTEIN: That's his book, not my book,
2
     just so you know.
 3
          THE COURT: Well, that Tab 6 is different than
 4
    my Tab 6. So there you go.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, which -- what do
 5
     I go off there?
6
7
          THE COURT: I have no --
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit that into
8
    evidence?
9
10
          THE COURT: I have no preference.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to submit
11
12
    this, because I'm not sure if the other one is in
13
    evidence wrong.
14
          THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
15
          MR. ROSE: Could I just see the book? Would
16
    you mind?
          THE COURT: Here, I'll show you my book. You
17
18
     can look at that book and see what's going on.
19
         And this will be a good time for us to take a
     short break, and let you all straighten it out. So
20
    we'll be back in session in 15 minutes. And then
21
2.2
    we'll go to the bitter end. Each of you has about
23
     60 minutes remaining.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, when you say
24
25
     "60 minutes remaining," we haven't got through all
```

```
1
    the witnesses yet.
          THE COURT: Well, we will have by the end of
 2
 3
     60 minutes on each side.
          This trial is over at five o'clock. I told
 4
    you when we started each of you has half of the
 5
    time; please use it wisely; use it as you wish.
6
7
     I've tried to encourage both sides to be efficient.
    When your time is gone, that's the end of the trial
 8
     for you.
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the case manager --
          THE COURT: When their trial is gone --
11
12
          MR. BERNSTEIN: At the case management, they
     said it would take a day. I argued and said to you
13
14
     it would take days. I mean, they've got
15
     10 witnesses. I need to have all the people who
    witnessed these documents here.
16
17
          THE COURT: Remember when I said a moment ago
18
    we're in recess? I was serious. Thanks. We'll go
19
    back in session 15 minutes from now.
          (A break was taken.)
20
21
          THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Are there
22
     any further questions for the witness on cross?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We were just working
    out that 1, 2, 3, Exhibit No. 6, so that we get the
24
25
    record straight.
```

```
1
          THE COURT:
                      Okay.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Shall I get a copy of yours,
3
    you get a copy of mine? Or how do you want to do
 4
    that?
          MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I tried to work it out.
 5
          THE COURT: Listen, I don't have any
6
7
    preference as to how we do anything. You all tell
    me how you've worked it out, and if I agree with
8
     it, I'll accept it.
10
          MR. ROSE: The copy that's been marked for the
    witness, the copy in my book and the copy in your
11
    book are all identical. I don't know what's in his
12
13
    book, and he wouldn't show me his book on the
14
    break.
15
          THE COURT: Okay.
16
          MR. ROSE: But I'm fine. It's a three-page
17
     document. And if he wants to put it in evidence,
18
    even though it's not operative, I have no
19
    objection.
20
          THE COURT: Okay. So are you putting
21
     something into evidence?
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. The one that I --
23
          THE COURT: Have you showed it to the other
     side yet? You can't put secret documents into
24
25
    evidence, only after they've been seen by everyone.
```

1 Let's at least show it to the other side so they 2 know the document that's being proffered as an 3 exhibit. If they still have no objection, I'll receive it as Defendant's 3. MR. ROSE: This is in evidence already as 5 Exhibit No. -- as Plaintiff's No. 3. 6 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: So what's 6? So now I don't even have the right 6 document. 8 MR. ROSE: The 6 that the witness has is three 9 pages. It's the same 6 that's in your book and 10 it's in my book. It's three consecutive pages of 11 12 the production from Tescher & Spallina law firm. It has the inoperative first amendment as page 1, 13 14 then it has the operative first amendment as 15 page 2, and the signature page as page 3. It's the 16 same document in everybody's book. That's all I 17 can tell you. THE COURT: Okay. 18 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, in my book, 3 and 6 are the identical documents --20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- so I would need --23 THE COURT: Are there any other questions of 24 the witness? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I was going to ask him

1	questions on this document.
2	THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's go.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I need a I don't
4	have the 6 that everybody else is referring to. My
5	sinks is the same as
6	THE COURT: There you go. Take whatever you
7	need.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. I think we
9	missed 6. It's just short on 6.
10	THE COURT: All right. Then here's my Tab 6.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir.
12	THE COURT: The idea is to keep moving.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll move on. I'm
14	almost done here.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 3, can you list the
17	numbers there?
18	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence.
19	Cumulative.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	You need to refer to which page. That's a
22	multi-page document, and both pages have numbered
23	paragraphs on them.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 1 of 2.
25	

## BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Q. The Roman Numeral -- or the numerals, can you give the sequence of those numbers?

- A. One and three. It's skipping two.
- Q. And this is a document you allege to be part of the Shirley trust that you're claiming is valid?
- 7 A. That's the amendment that Shirley executed in 8 November of 2008.
- 9 Q. And would there be a reason why your law firm 10 numbers one, three?
- MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 13 You can answer.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Human error.
- 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- Q. Okay. But it is an error in the document that you're claiming is valid Shirley trust?
- 18 A. It's a numbering error.
- Q. In the document, you're claiming this is a
- 20 | valid amendment, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then in number 6 from the judge,
- 23 | what's the numbering sequence?
- A. One, two, three.
- Q. Okay. So you added in a number two?

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. Okay. How did you go about doing that? 3 Α. There was a paragraph two inserted between one 4 and three. Ο. Well, the paragraph that's inserted between 5 one and three wouldn't fit there. 6 7 So what did you do? Α. The document was opened up and a paragraph was 8 inserted. Okay. So you increased the spacing on the 10 Ο. document, correct, by adding a number three, correct? 11 12 Α. Adding number two, yes. 13 By adding number two, correct. Ο. 14 Okay. So you actually had to alter the chronology as it was placed on the document? You didn't 15 16 just put a number two there in between one and three? 17 You actually went and expanded the document with words 18 that were inserted by you fraudulently, right? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. Cumulative. 20 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 23 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, the witness does have the exhibits in front of him. If Mr. Bernstein 24 25 could be at the podium.

```
1
         MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know if he has all the
 2
     exhibits.
 3
          THE COURT: Well, do you have the exhibit that
 4
     I gave you from the Court's?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, jeez.
 5
          THE COURT: Because I'd like to have it back
6
7
     so that that doesn't get lost.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. You gave me the one
8
    with one, two, three.
9
10
          Can I get a copy of this from the clerk?
          THE BAILIFF: There is no clerk.
11
12
          THE COURT: Can I have the document back,
    please? He's not a clerk.
13
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Marshall, sheriff, officer,
14
15
     sir. Sorry about that.
16
          THE COURT: He does not make copies.
17
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18
          THE COURT: Thanks. Any other questions of
19
     the witness? Your time is rapidly disappearing.
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Just going through that.
21
          THE COURT: And I think you said earlier you
22
    have no objection to Plaintiff's 6 being received
23
    as an exhibit?
24
         MR. ROSE: Correct.
25
          THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
1
               MR. ROSE:
                          Thank you.
               THE COURT: Then it's in evidence as
2
3
          Plaintiff's 6. I'm making it Plaintiff's 6, rather
          than Defendant's 3, because it's already marked and
 4
          it's been referred to by that number.
5
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was received into
6
7
     evidence.)
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8
          Q.
               Are these your notes?
9
10
               No, they're not. Those are Don's.
          Α.
               Do you know the date on that note?
11
          Q.
12
          Α.
               3/12/08.
13
               Did you take any notes in the meeting?
          Q.
14
          Α.
               Those are my notes there.
15
               These are? Oh, so this is a compilation of
          Ο.
16
     Don's and your notes?
17
               Those are my notes, yes.
          Α.
18
          Q.
               And those were taken on that day?
19
          Α.
               Correct.
               Whose notes are those?
20
          Q.
21
               I just saw those for the first time today. I
          Α.
22
    believe they're your father's notes.
23
          Q.
               How would you know those are my father's
24
     notes?
25
          Α.
               Mr. Rose introduced that document earlier.
```

1 Q. Document 12, did it come from your offices? I don't know where it came from. 2 Α. 3 Q. Did you Bates stamp this document as part of your documents? 4 I don't recall ever seeing that document. Α. 5 And it doesn't have your Bates stamp from your 6 Q. 7 production, right? Correct. Α. 8 You were supposed to turn over all your 9 Q. records, correct? 10 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. He's testified it wasn't in his --12 13 THE COURT: What's the objection to the 14 question? 15 MR. ROSE: Cumulative. THE COURT: Sustained. 16 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. Your Honor, I'm 18 done. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there any redirect? 20 21 MR. ROSE: Brief, Your Honor. 22 REDIRECT (ROBERT SPALLINA) 23 BY MR. ROSE: 24 Assuming the documents are valid, they'll have Q. to be a later trial to determine the effect of Simon's 25

- 1 exercise of his power of appointment?
  2 A. Yes.
- Q. It doesn't have any direct bearing on whether these five documents are valid?
  - A. No.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. And I take it you don't necessarily agree with

  Mr. Tescher's view as expressed in his letter of

  January 14th, 2014?
- 9 A. Again, I'm seeing that here. Surprised to see 10 that.
  - Q. The original documents, the wills, you retained at all times of Shirley and Simon in your firm?
    - A. Prior to their death, yes.
  - Q. And that's consistent practice for a trust and estate lawyer, to keep it in your will vault or in your safe deposit box?
    - A. Yes. I would say most attorneys do that just because there's only one original of the will, and very often documents can get lost if clients take documents home. So, typically, they're kept in a safe deposit box or a safe or something like that, and left with the attorney.
    - Q. I want to make sure I understand and the Court understands what happened with the waiver forms.
      - While Simon was alive, he signed a petition

```
1
     for discharge; is that correct?
 2
          Α.
               Correct. April of '08.
 3
          Q.
               And --
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What exhibit? Excuse me.
          What number are we looking at?
               MR. ROSE: None -- well, actually, it's in my
 6
7
          book. If you want to follow along, it's Tab 28.
          But it's not in evidence.
 8
     BY MR. ROSE:
               And Simon also then filed a waiver of
10
     accounting himself?
11
12
          Α.
               Correct.
               And is it necessary for Simon, even though
13
          Ο.
     he's the personal representative, to sign a waiver of
14
15
     accounting because he's a beneficiary?
               I mean, we do it as a matter of course.
16
          Α.
17
               And the signature of Simon Bernstein on
18
     April 9th, that's genuinely his signature?
19
          Α.
               Can I see?
               Exhibit 28 is a petition that was filed with
20
21
     the court. I'm going to just show you the exhibits.
2.2
     Exhibit A says "Petition for discharge full waiver."
23
               Is this a document you would have prepared for
     Simon Bernstein to sign?
24
25
          Α.
               Yeah, our firm would prepare that.
```

1 Q. Okay. And it's a three-page document. 2 Is that Simon Bernstein's signature --3 Α. Yes, it is. Q. -- April 9th, 2012? Yes, he signed the document. Α. And he was alive when he signed the document? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes, he was. Okay. Then he had to sign a waiver of 8 0. accounting, which he signed on the same day? 9 10 Α. Correct. And you have a document waiver of accounting 11 Q. 12 on the next page signed by Eliot Bernstein on May 15th? 13 Α. Correct. 14 And there's no doubt that's Eliot's signature 15 because he's the one who emailed you the document, 16 correct? 17 Α. And sent us the original by mail. 18 Q. Right. And we already have an exhibit which 19 is his email that sent you his waiver form? 20 Α. Correct. And the waiver forms of Ted, Pam, Lisa and 21 Ο. Jill are all valid, signed by them on the date that they 22 23 indicated they signed it? To the best of my knowledge, yes. 24 Α.

So then these got submitted to the court.

25

Q.

1 Is there anything wrong with submitting waiver forms to the court signed by Simon while he's alive 2 3 after he had passed away? Maybe we should have made a motion to, you know, have a successor PR appointed and file the documents through the successor PR. 6 7 Were you trying to just save expenses because there was nothing in the estate? 8 Α. Correct. And if Judge Colin had not rejected -- or his 10 assistant had not rejected the documents, and the estate 11 was closed, it would have been closed based on 12 legitimate, properly signed documents of Simon and his 13 five children? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 So then they get kicked back to your law firm, Q. 17 and you could file a motion and undertake some expense, instead --18 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object. This has been asked 20 and answered. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 2.2 BY MR. ROSE: 23 Q. Now, does the fact that -- well, strike that. At the time that Simon signed his 2012 will 24 25 and 2012 trust, had there been ever anyone question a

1 signature or a notarization of any document that had 2 been prepared by your law firm? 3 Α. No, there was not. You didn't see anything or observe anything or 4 Ο. any behavior of Simon Bernstein during the course of any 5 meeting you had with him that would call into question 6 7 his competence or his ability to properly execute a testamentary document? 8 We did not.. Α. 10 MR. ROSE: Nothing further, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. 12 Thank you, sir. You can step down. 13 MR. ROSE: At this time, we would rest our 14 case. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Any evidence from the defendant's side? 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like -- can I call 17 18 back Spallina? 19 THE COURT: If you want to call him as a witness on your behalf, sure. 20 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, sure. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spallina, you're 23 still under oath, and you're being called as a defense witness now. 24 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

```
1
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Mr. Spallina, when Simon died on
 2
 3
     September 12th -- or September 13th -- sorry -- 2012,
     and you were responsible as his attorney to appoint Ted
 4
     as the successor, correct, you were in charge of his
 5
     wills and trusts?
6
7
               THE COURT: You just asked three questions in
 8
          a row.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry.
10
               THE COURT: Which question would you like the
          witness to answer?
11
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12
          Ο.
               Okay. When Simon died, was Shirley's estate
13
14
     closed?
15
               No, it was not.
          Α.
16
               Okay. Did you appoint a successor to Simon
          Q.
17
     who was the personal representative of Shirley on the
18
     day he died?
19
          Α.
               I don't understand the question.
               Well, on the day Simon died, there was a
20
21
     successor to him in the will, correct?
2.2
          Α.
               That's correct. Ted.
23
               Okay. Did you appoint Ted?
          Q.
24
               I did not appoint Ted. Si did.
          Α.
25
          Q.
               Si appointed Ted?
```

1 Α. Si appointed Ted as a successor trustee under the document -- I mean, Shirley appointed Ted as the 2 successor trustee to Si under the document. 3 So Simon didn't appoint Ted? Ο. Α. Simon did not appoint Ted. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. He was the named successor under your mother's document. 8 Ο. Okay. So when Simon died -- just so I get all 9 this clear, when Simon died, your law firm knew Ted was 10 the successor, correct? 11 That's correct. 12 Α. 13 According to your story. Okay. Ο. Under Shirley's documents, you're talking 14 Α. 15 about. 16 Q. Under the alleged Shirley document. Okay. But yet did Simon then -- after he 17 18 died, did he not close the estate of Shirley while he 19 was dead? MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 20 21 cumulative. 2.2 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSE: And I believe this whole line of 23 24 questioning's been covered ad nauseam in the first 25 cross-examination.

1 THE COURT: Well, it's important not to ask 2 the same thing over and over again. You have 3 finite time to work with. 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 The estate of Shirley was closed in January, 6 Q. 7 correct, of 2013? Α. I don't recall, but it sounds -- it has to be 8 sometime after November. Okay. So it was closed by Simon, who was dead 10 at that time, correct? 11 12 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Did Ted Bernstein close the Estate of Shirley Ο. 16 Bernstein as the successor personal representative? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Who closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? 19 Α. The documents were filed with the court based 20 on the original petition that your father signed. 21 Ο. Did you close the estate? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out 24 25 who closed my mom's estate.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they  were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance to this.  THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it. THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling? MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for	1	THE COURT: What's the relevance I've got to
were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance to this.  THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	2	figure out?
to this.  THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose  brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they
THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	4	were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance
MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.  BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	5	to this.
BY MR. BERNSTEIN:  Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	6	THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.
Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I  just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That  would be 28.  MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into  evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	9	Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose
MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	10	brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I
MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	11	just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That
evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose  stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	12	would be 28.
stated it wasn't?  THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into
THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of  paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit  this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	14	evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose
paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	15	stated it wasn't?
this into evidence?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	16	THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of
MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.  THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its  admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  make a ruling?	17	paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit
THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its admissibility?  MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	18	this into evidence?
21 admissibility?  22 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  23 THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can  24 make a ruling?	19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.  THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	20	THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its
THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can make a ruling?	21	admissibility?
make a ruling?	22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
	23	THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can
MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for	24	make a ruling?
	25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for

1	discharge.
2	THE COURT: Did anybody testify to that, or
3	are you just
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, he just did.
5	THE COURT: If you have a piece of paper you
6	want to have me consider as an exhibit, the other
7	side has to have seen it and the witness has to
8	have seen it so I'll know what it is.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They were just talking
10	about it.
11	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, just to speed things
12	along, we have no objection to this document coming
13	into evidence. It is part of our Exhibit 28. The
14	whole 28 could come in evidence. That's fine with
15	me. Then it would all be in evidence. Or however
16	you wish to do it.
17	THE COURT: I'm letting this party take charge
18	of his own case.
19	Are you asking that to be received as an
20	exhibit? There's no objection. So that'll be
21	Defendant's 3. Hand that up, and I'll mark it.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
23	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	

1	THE COURT: So are you done with it?
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Can I use it still?
3	THE COURT: Anything that's supposed to be an
4	exhibit in evidence has to come back to me.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Okay. On this document, it's a petition for a
8	discharge, a "full waiver," it says.
9	Was this document sent back to your firm as
10	not notarized by Judge Colin's office?
11	A. I'm not sure. I didn't get the documents
12	back.
13	Q. Is it notarized?
14	A. No, it's not.
15	Q. Did you sign as the notary?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	The question was, is it notarized? The answer
19	was no. Then you asked if somebody else, if
20	they'd sign, and then the witness if he signed as a
21	notary.
22	THE WITNESS: I signed it as the attorney for
23	the estate.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Okay. On April 9th with Simon Bernstein?

- A. Yeah, it appears that way.
  - Q. Could it be another way?
- A. It didn't -- this document did not require
  that I witness Si's signature. So I believe that that
  document was sent to Si, and he signed it, sent it back,
- 6 | we signed it and filed it.

1

2

12

20

- Q. So you sent it to Si, he signed it, then sent it back, and you signed it all on April 9th?
- 9 A. It doesn't -- it's what day he signed it 10 that's relevant. He signed it on April 9th.
- 11 Q. And what day did you sign it?
  - A. I could have signed it April 11th.
- Q. Well, where does it say April 11th?
- 14 A. My signature doesn't require a date. His
  15 does.
- 16 Q. Why?
- 17 A. Just doesn't.
- Q. Well, the date that the document says this document's being signed on April 9th.
  - A. I did not sign that exhibit.
- Q. Next question. On September 13, 2013, the
  year after my father died, in Judge Martin Colin's
  court, when he discovered this document, did he threaten
  to read you your Miranda Rights, stating he had enough
  evidence to read you Mirandas?

```
1
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
2
               THE COURT: Sustained.
3
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Did you deposit this document, this April 9th
 4
     full discharge, with the court?
5
          Α.
               Did I personally do it?
6
7
          Ο.
               Did your law firm?
               No, the law firm did, yes.
8
          Α.
               Okay. And on whose behalf?
9
          Q.
10
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
11
               MR. ROSE: And relevance.
12
               THE COURT: Sustained.
13
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
          Ο.
               Simon was dead when this document was
16
    deposited with the court, correct?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Relevance.
17
18
               THE COURT: I've got that he is dead written
19
          down here several times. It's clear in my mind.
          You're not moving in a positive direction.
20
21
               MR. BERNSTEIN: I understand that part.
               THE COURT: All right. New question, please.
22
23
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
24
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25
          Q.
               Is this document sworn to and attested by my
```

father? Is it a sworn statement? Does it say "under 1 2 penalties of perjury"? 3 Α. It does. Okay. So under penalties of perjury, on 4 Q. April 9th, my father and you signed a document, it 5 appears, that states that Simon has fully administered 6 7 the estate. Was that done? 8 Α. Yes, it was. He had settled the estate, made dispositions 10 of all claims of Shirley's estate? 11 He was the only beneficiary of the estate. 12 Α. 13 The creditor period had passed. He was the only beneficiary of the will? 14 15 He was the only beneficiary of the will if Α. he -- that's if he survived your mother. 16 Did you say earlier that the five children 17 18 were tangible personal property devisees or 19 beneficiaries under the will? I did not. I said your father was the sole 20 21 beneficiary of your mother's estate by virtue of 2.2 surviving her. 23 I thought you mentioned -- can I take a look 24 at the will?

Okay. On Simon's will, which is Exhibit 4

```
1
    here --
 2
          Α.
               This is your mother's will we're talking
 3
     about.
               Well, hold on. Well, you did state there were
 4
     mirror documents, correct, at one point? That's okay.
 5
     I'll proceed. That part seems to be in error.
6
7
               Does the document say, "I, Shirley Bernstein,
     of Palm Beach County, Florida hereby revoke all of my
8
     prior wills and codicils and make this will my spouse's
9
10
     assignment. My children are Ted, Pam -- Pamela Simon,
     Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and Lisa Friedstein"?
11
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence and
12
13
          cumulative.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
14
15
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16
17
               Was there a separate written memorandum
18
     prepared for this will?
19
          Α.
               No, there was not.
               And if Simon didn't survive, the property
20
          Q.
21
     would be going to the children, correct?
2.2
               MR. ROSE: Objection.
23
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
               MR. ROSE: Best evidence and cumulative.
24
25
               THE COURT: Sustained.
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was I missed that.
2	Can I not ask him that question I just asked?
3	THE COURT: I sustained the objection. You
4	can ask a new question of him.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Is there any chance that the children could be
8	beneficiaries of anything under this will?
9	A. Not at the time of your mother's death. Your
10	father survived.
11	Q. So at the time of her death, you're saying
12	that if they both died together, would the
13	children
14	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q be beneficiaries?
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'm done with him.
19	MR. ROSE: No questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step
21	down now.
22	Next witness, please.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: My next witness, are you
24	saying?
25	THE COURT: If you have another witness, now's

1	the time to call him or her.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Ted Bernstein well,
3	one second.
4	Is Kimberly Moran, your witness, here? Is
5	Kimberly Moran, an exhibited witness, here,
6	Mr. Rose?
7	THE COURT: Listen, it's your case. I've
8	asked if you have any other witnesses. Do you have
9	any other witnesses?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I don't. I was going to
11	call some of their witnesses, but they're not here.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you aren't going to call
13	anybody?
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I'm going to call Ted
15	Bernstein.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's a witness, right?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just was
18	looking for the other ones on the witness list. I
19	didn't know if they were sitting outside.
20	Thereupon,
21	(TED BERNSTEIN)
22	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	THE WITNESS: I do.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. Ted --3 THE COURT: You've got to ask the witness his name. The record needs to reflect who's 4 testifying. MR. ROSE: And could I just ask that he stay 6 7 at the podium? THE COURT: Okay. You need to stay near the 8 microphone so that I can hear and the court 9 10 reporter can accurately hear you. And then if you need to go up to the witness stand for some reason, 11 12 you're allowed to do that. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 13 State your name for the record. 14 Ο. 15 Α. Ted Bernstein. 16 Q. Is that your full formal name? That is. 17 Α. 18 Q. Do you go by Theodore Stuart Bernstein ever? 19 Α. I do not. 20 Okay. Is that your name on your birth Q. certificate? 21 2.2 Α. Which one? 23 Q. Theodore Stuart Bernstein? 24 Α. It is not. 25 Q. Okay. Ted, you were made aware of Robert

- Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of your mother's when?
  - A. I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14.
  - Q. Okay. And when you found out, you were the fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly?
    - A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
    - Q. When you found out that there was a fraudulent altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust?
      - A. I was trustee, yes. I am trustee, yes.
- Q. And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and their law firm are the one who committed that fraud, correct, who altered that document?
- 14 A. That's what's been admitted to by them,
  15 correct.
- Q. Okay. So you became aware that your counsel that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

- Q. What did you do immediately after that?
- A. The same day that I found out, I contacted counsel. I met with counsel on that very day. I met with counsel the next day. I met with counsel the day after that.
- Q. Which counsel?

1	A. Alan Rose.
2	Q. Oh. Okay. So he was so Tescher and
3	Spallina were your counsel as trustee, but Alan Rose
4	became that day?
5	A. I'm not sure when, but I consulted him
6	immediately. You asked me when.
7	MR. ROSE: Can I caution the witness that it's
8	fine to say who he consulted with. I think the
9	advice was the attorney-client privilege I would
10	instruct him on.
11	THE COURT: All right. The attorney-client
12	privilege is available, and your client is on the
13	stand. Counsel's reminding him that it exists.
14	Are there any other questions? What is the
15	time period that you're asking about here?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right after he discovered that
17	there had been a fraudulent, invalid will created.
18	THE COURT: Right. And you're asking him what
19	he did afterwards?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right afterwards.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have your mother and father
22	both passed away at the time you're asking him
23	that?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
25	THE COURT: So the validity of the documents

1 that I've got to figure out won't have anything to 2 do with the questions you're asking him now about 3 his actions at trustee, will they? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Tell me how. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Because, Your Honor, 6 7 when he found out that there was fraud by his attorneys that he retained, the question is, what 8 did they do with those documents? Did he come to 10 the court to correct --The question you're asking him is 11 THE COURT: what did he do. 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: Well, that doesn't tell me 14 15 anything about what the attorneys did. So I'll 16 sustain my own objection. I want to keep you on 17 track here. You're running out of time, and I want 18 you to stay focused on what I've got to figure out. 19 You've got a lot more on your mind than I do. I 20 explained that to you earlier. Do you have any 21 other questions on the issues that I've got to 2.2 resolve at this point? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 23 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 25 Q. Have you seen the original will and trust of

```
1
     your mother's?
               Can you define original for me?
2
          Α.
 3
          Q.
               The original.
               The one that's filed in the court?
          Α.
          Q.
               Original will or the trust.
          Α.
               I've seen copies of the trusts.
 6
 7
          Ο.
               Have you done anything to have any of the
     documents authenticated since learning that your
 8
     attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive
 9
10
     documents that you were in custody of?
11
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
12
13
               THE WITNESS: I have not.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
               So you as the trustee have taken no steps to
          Q.
     validate these documents; is that correct?
16
17
          Α.
               Correct.
18
          Q.
               Why is that?
19
               I'm not an expert on the validity of
          Α.
     documents.
20
21
          Ο.
               Did you contract a forensic analyst?
2.2
          Α.
               I'm retained by counsel, and I've got counsel
23
     retained for all of this. So I'm not an expert on the
     validity of the documents.
24
25
          Q.
               You're the fiduciary. You're the trustee.
```

```
1
     You're the guy in charge. You're the guy who hires your
     counsel. You tell them what to do.
2
3
               So you found out that your former attorneys
     committed fraud. And my question is simple. Did you do
4
     anything, Ted Bernstein, to validate these documents,
 5
     the originals?
6
7
               THE COURT: That's already been answered in
          the negative. I wrote it down. Let's keep going.
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               As you sit here today, if the documents in
11
     your mother's -- in the estates aren't validated and
12
13
     certain documents are thrown out if the judge rules them
     not valid, will you or your family gain or lose any
14
15
     benefit in any scenario?
16
               Can you repeat that for me, please? I'm not
17
     sure I'm understanding.
18
          Ο.
               If the judge invalidates some of the documents
19
     here today, will you personally lose money, interest in
     the estates and trusts as the trustee, your family, you?
20
21
          Α.
               I will not.
2.2
          Q.
               Your family?
23
          Α.
               My -- my children will.
24
               So that's your family?
          Q.
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

1 Q. Okay. So do you find that as a fiduciary to be a conflict? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. 4 MR. ROSE: I think it calls for a legal conclusion. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Well, would it matter to you one way or the 9 Q. other how these documents are validated? 10 Α. What would matter to me would be to follow the 11 documents that are deemed to be valid and follow the 12 court orders that suggest and deem that they are valid. 13 That would be what I would be charged to do. 14 15 So you can sit here today and tell me that the Ο. validity of these documents, even though your family 16 will lose 40 percent, has no effect on you? 17 It has no effect on me. 18 Α. 19 Okay. And you don't find that to be adverse Q. to certain beneficiaries as the trustee? 20 21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 2.2 conclusion. 23 THE COURT: Well, what difference does it make to me? I mean, what he thinks about his role is 24 25 just not relevant to me.

```
1
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor --
 2
               THE COURT: So the next question, please.
3
          That's not relevant.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 4
               So in no way have you tried to authenticate
 5
     these documents as the trustee?
6
7
               THE COURT: He has already said that. That's
          the third time you've asked it, at least. And I've
8
          written it down. It's on my papers.
10
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll let it go. I'll
          let him go today.
11
12
               THE COURT: Okay. You have no further
13
          questions of the witness.
14
               Is there any cross?
15
               MR. ROSE: Briefly.
16
                      CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN)
     BY MR. ROSE:
17
18
          Q.
               You did a few things to authenticate the
19
     documents, didn't you? You filed a lawsuit?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
21
               In fact, we're here today because you filed a
          Ο.
22
     lawsuit to ask this judge to determine if these five
23
     documents are valid, correct?
24
          Α.
               That's correct.
25
          Q.
               And you fired Mr. Tescher and Spallina on the
```

1 spot? 2 Α. Correct. Called the bar association? 3 Q. The next business day. 4 Α. You consulted with counsel, and we retained Ο. 5 additional probate counsel over the weekend? 6 7 Α. We did. So as far as authenticating the documents, you Ο. 8 personally believe these are genuine and valid 10 documents, right? 11 Α. T do. And you, in fact, were in your office the day 12 Ο. your father signed them? 13 That's correct. 14 Α. 15 And witnessed Mr. Spallina and the notary Ο. coming to the office to sign the documents? 16 17 Α. Yes, that's right. 18 Q. And you had been on a conference call with 19 your father, your brother and your three sisters where your father told you exactly what he was going to do? 20 That is also correct. 21 Α. 2.2 Q. And the documents that we're looking at today 23 do exactly what your father told everybody, including your brother, Eliot, he was going to do on the 24 25 conference call in May of 2012?

- A. Yes, that is correct also.
- Q. Now, I think you were asked a good question.

3 Do you care one way or the other how these

- 4 documents are decided by the Court?
  - A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Did you care when your father or mother made a document that did not specifically leave any money to you?
- A. I did not.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 Q. Now, did you care for anybody other than 11 yourself?
- 12 A. I cared for the -- for the sake of my
  13 children.
- Q. And why did you care for the sake of your think the sake of your sa
  - A. My parents had a very good relationship with my children, and I did not want my children to misinterpret what the intentions of their grandparents were and would have been. And for that reason, I felt that it would have been difficult for my children.
  - Q. Did you ever have access to the original will of your father or mother that were in the Tescher & Spallina vaults?
  - A. I have no access, no.
- Q. Did you ever have access to the original

- copies of the trusts that Mr. Spallina testified were sitting in their firm's file cabinets or vaults?
- 3 A. I did not.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Now, did you find in your father's possessions the duplicate originals of the trusts of him and your mother that we've talked about?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And do you have any reason to believe that they aren't valid, genuine and signed by your father on the day that he -- your father and your mother on the days that it says they signed them?
  - A. None whatsoever.
- Q. You need to get a ruling on whether these five documents are valid in order for you to do your job as the trustee, correct?
- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Whichever way the Court rules, will you follow the final judgment of the Court and exactly consistent with what the documents say, and follow the advice of your counsel in living up to the documents as the Court construes them?
  - A. Always. A hundred percent.
- MR. ROSE: Nothing further, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 25 Is there any redirect?

## 1 REDIRECT (TED BERNSTEIN) BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 You just stated that you came to the court and validated the documents in this hearing today; is that 4 5 correct? MR. ROSE: Objection. It mis --6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: O. You filed a motion to validate the documents 8 today? 10 THE COURT: Wait. You've got to let me rule on the objection. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. I don't hear any 13 objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. Since -- did you file a motion that we're here for today for validity? 17 18 Α. Explain motion. 19 Q. A motion with the court for a validity hearing that we're here at right now. 20 21 Α. Do you mean the lawsuit? 2.2 Q. Well, yeah. 23 Α. Yes, we did file a lawsuit, yes. 24 Okay. Do you know when you filed that? Q. 25 A. No. I don't know, Eliot. I don't know when I

1 filed it. I don't have it committed to memory. 2 Ο. Do you have an idea? 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think the court file will reflect when the case was filed. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. The question was answered, I don't know. 6 7 question. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Prior to filing this lawsuit, Mr. Rose said you couldn't do anything because you didn't know if the 11 documents were valid. 12 My question is, did you do anything from the 13 14 time you found out the documents might not be valid and 15 needed a validity hearing to today at this validity 16 hearing? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 17 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 18 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he knew about these documents being fraudulent for X months. 20 21 THE COURT: What will that help me decide on 2.2 the validity of the five documents? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Why, Your Honor, they didn't 24 come to the court knowing that they needed a 25 validity hearing, and instead disposed and

1 disbursed of assets while they've known all this 2 time --3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'm not called to rule upon that stuff. I'm 4 called to rule upon the validity of these five paper documents. That's what I'm going to figure 6 7 out at the end of the day. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Mr. Rose asked you if you found documents and 9 Q. they all looked valid to you, and you responded yes. 10 Are you an expert? 11 12 Α. I am not. Can you describe what you did to make that 13 Ο. 14 analysis? 15 Α. They looked like they were their signatures on the documents. I had no reason whatsoever to think 16 those weren't the documents that were their planning 17 documents. I had no reason at all to think that. 18 19 Q. Even after your hired attorneys that were representing you admitted fraud, you didn't think there 20 21 was any reason to validate the documents? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Q. Did you find any reason to validate these

1 documents forensically? 2 I think I answered that by saying that we filed a lawsuit. 3 4 Ο. No, I'm asking you to have a forensic -- you're the trustee. And as a beneficiary -to protect the beneficiaries, do you think you should 6 validate these documents with a handwriting expert due 7 to the fact that we have multiple instances of fraud by 8 your counsel who were acting on your behalf? 10 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative and 11 argument. THE COURT: The question is, does he think 12 something. I've already told you when you ask a 13 question do you think, I stop listening. 14 15 relevant what the witness thinks. 16 So I'll sustain the objection. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 17 18 Ο. As a trustee, would you find it to be your 19 fiduciary duty upon learning of document forgeries and frauds by your counsel to have the dispositive documents 20 21 you're operating under validated by a professional 2.2 handwriting expert, forensic expert, et cetera? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 23 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

## 1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Do you think these documents should be 3 validated -- you're the trustee. Do you think these documents should be 4 validated by a professional firm forensically? 5 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 6 7 THE COURT: It's not relevant. You just asked him if he thinks he should have had them validated. 8 I don't care what he thinks. In making my decisions today, what he thinks he should have done 10 or not done isn't relevant. I'm looking for facts. 11 12 So I really wish you would address your questions to facts. 13 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 So, to the best of your knowledge, have these Ο. 16 documents been forensically analyzed by any expert? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 17 18 THE COURT: No, they are not. I already know 19 that. I wrote it down. He's already said they've 20 not been. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 21 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Ted, when your father signed, allegedly, his 2012 documents in July, were you aware of any medical 24 25 problems with your father?

1 Α. I don't think so. 2 Were you aware that I took him for a biopsy of his brain? 3 Α. I'm not aware of that, no. 4 Were you aware of the headaches he was Ο. 5 suffering that caused him to go for a biopsy of his 6 7 brain? I don't believe he had a biopsy of his brain. Α. 8 But if he did, then I'm not aware of it. 10 Oh, okay. Were you aware of headaches your father was suffering? 11 I recall he was having some headaches. 12 Α. 13 Were you aware that he was seeing a Ο. psychiatrist? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Were you aware of the reasons he was seeing a Q. psychiatrist? 17 18 Α. Absolutely not. 19 Were you ever in the psychiatrist's office Q. with him? 20 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Q. For what reason? 23 Α. I wanted to have a conversation with him.

About some personal issues that I wanted to

24

25

Q.

Α.

About?

1	discuss with him.
2	Q. Personal issues such as?
3	MR. ROSE: Can I get clarification? Are you
4	talking about you wanted to he may have a
5	privilege.
6	You were discussing Simon's issues or your own
7	personal issues?
8	THE WITNESS: They were both intertwined
9	together.
10	MR. ROSE: I think it's subject to a
11	privilege.
12	THE COURT: All right. Well, you've been
13	warned by your attorney you've got a
14	psychologist-client privilege, so use it as you
15	will.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: He's not a client of the
17	psychiatrist, I don't think.
18	THE COURT: I beg to differ with you.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, he is?
20	THE COURT: Because the answer just clarified
21	that he was in part seeking to be a client. Did
22	you listen to his clarification of his answer?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
24	THE COURT: Well, I did very closely.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was it?

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Next question, please.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll just see it on the
 2
 3
          transcript.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 4
               Were you aware of any medical conditions,
          Ο.
 5
     depression, anything like that your father was
6
7
     experiencing prior to his death?
               I never found our father to suffer from any
          Α.
8
    kind of depression or anything like that during his
     lifetime.
10
          Q. So after your mother died, he wasn't
11
12
     depressed?
13
          Α.
               No.
14
               MR. ROSE: Could I again ask Mr. Bernstein to
15
          step to the podium and not be so close to my
          client?
16
               THE COURT: If you speak into the microphone,
17
18
          it'll be even more easy to hear your questions.
19
          Thank you.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20
21
          Ο.
               So, according to you, your father's state of
22
     mind was perfectly fine after his wife died of -- a
23
     number of years --
24
          A.
               I didn't say that.
25
          Q.
               Okay. He wasn't depressed?
```

- A. That's what I said.
- Q. Were you aware of any medications he was on?
- 3 A. I was, yes.

- Q. Such as?
- A. From time to time, he would take something for your heart when you would have angina pains. But that he was doing for 30 years, for a good 30 years, that I knew dad was taking, whatever that medicine is when you have some chest pain.
- Q. Did you have any problems with your father prior to his death?
- 12 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
- THE COURT: The question is, did you have any
- 14 problems with your dad before he died?
- 15 I'll sustain the objection.
- 16 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- Q. Are you aware of any problems between you and your father that were causing him stress?
- 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- Q. Were you aware that your father was changing
- 23 | his documents allegedly due to stress caused by certain
- 24 of his children?
- 25 A. No.

1 Q. Were you on a May 10th phone call? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. In that phone call, did your father --MR. ROSE: Objection. It's beyond the 4 scope -- well --MR. BERNSTEIN: It has to do with the changes 6 7 of the documents and the state of mind. THE COURT: Do you have a question you want to 8 ask? He's withdrawn whatever he was saying, so you 9 can finish your question. 10 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 11 Okay. So on May 10th, at that meeting, your 12 Ο. father stated that he was having trouble with certain of 13 his children, and this would solve those problems. 14 15 Are you aware of that? 16 Α. No, I don't -- not from the way you're 17 characterizing that phone call. 18 Q. Well, how do you characterize that? 19 Α. He wanted to have a conversation with his five 20 children about some changes he was making to his 21 documents. 2.2 Q. And you had never talked to him about the 23 changes, that your family was disinherited? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. Prior to that call?

1 Α. No. When did you learn that you were disinherited? 2 Ο. I think when I first saw documents with --3 Α. maybe after dad -- once dad passed away. 4 Were you aware of the contact with your sister Ο. 5 Pam regarding her anger at your father for cutting both 6 7 of you out of the will? I'm aware of that. Α. 8 So that was before your father passed? Ο. Excuse me. Can you ask -- say the end of that 10 Α. sentence again. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you read that back? (A portion of the record was read by the 13 14 reporter.) 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You asked me a question, and I had answered too quickly. What was 16 the end of the question prior to that? 17 18 (A portion of the record was read by the 19 reporter.) 20 THE WITNESS: I'm aware that she was angry with him about how -- that he -- she was not in his 21 2.2 documents. 23 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 You didn't learn right there that you weren't in the documents? 25

1 Α. I can't remember if it was then or if it was when dad died. 2 3 Well, this is very important so can you think 4 back to that time. While your father was alive, did I invite you 5 to a Passover holiday at my home? 6 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 8 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevance to the 11 state of mind my dad was in while --12 13 THE COURT: Well, you're asking did this guy get invited to your home. You didn't ask about 14 15 your dad, so I'll sustain the objection. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. Did you get invited to a Passover 17 18 dinner at my home that your father was attending? 19 Α. I don't recall the circumstances of what -- whatever it is you're referring to. 20 21 Do you recall saying you wouldn't come to the Ο. 2.2 Passover dinner? 23 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Do you recall writing me a email that stated
3	that your family was dead for all intensive [sic]
4	purposes?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
6	THE COURT: What's the relevance to the
7	validity of these documents?
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: If Si was in the right state
9	of mind or if he was being, you know, forced at a
10	gun to make these changes by children who had
11	THE COURT: Your question asked this witness
12	if he wrote you a letter that said his family was
13	dead for all intents and purposes. What's that got
14	to do with the validity of these documents?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it establishes Simon's
16	state of mind.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. Well, then,
19	I'm all done then.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Is there any cross?
22	MR. ROSE: I already crossed.
23	THE COURT: Oh, that's true. So you're all
24	set. You're done. Thank you.
25	Next witness, please.

```
1
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         Alan Rose.
 2
          MR. ROSE: I object. Improper.
 3
          THE COURT: You've got 11 minutes yet.
                         Well, he's a witness to the
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
     chain of custody in these documents.
 5
          THE COURT: Well, you can call anybody you
6
7
    want. I just wanted you to know how much time you
    had left.
8
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
9
          MR. ROSE: He wants to call me, and I object
10
11
    to being called as a witness.
12
          THE COURT: Okay.
          MR. ROSE: I don't think that's proper.
13
14
          THE COURT: I don't think that's proper to
    call an attorney from the other side as your
15
16
    witness. So I accept the objection. Anybody else?
17
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I would agree with
18
     that normally --
19
          THE COURT: Well, thanks.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: -- but there's a small
20
    problem. The chain of custody we're trying to
21
2.2
     follow in these documents for other reasons, other
23
     criminal reasons, is Mr. Rose has pertinent
     information to; meaning, he claims to have
24
25
    discovered some of these documents and taken them
```

```
1
    off the property.
2
          THE COURT: I thought you said you wanted a
 3
    chain of custody?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. Meaning --
 4
          THE COURT: Well, the chain of custody to me
 5
    means the chain of custody after the time they were
6
7
    executed.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Right.
8
          THE COURT: All right. He wasn't around when
9
10
     they were executed.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but he found documents
11
12
     that are being inserted into this court case as
13
    originals, second originals that he found
    personally, and wrote a letter stating, I just
14
15
    happened to find these documents in Simon's home --
16
          THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the
17
    objection to you calling him as a surprise witness.
18
    He's a representative of your own. Do you have any
19
    other witnesses?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'm good.
21
          THE COURT: Okay. So you rest?
2.2
         MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest.
23
          THE COURT: Okay. Is there any rebuttal
24
    evidence from the plaintiff's side?
25
          MR. ROSE: No, sir.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. So the evidence is closed.
1
 2
    We'll have time for brief closing arguments. And
 3
     I'll take those now. Let me hear first from the
 4
    plaintiff's side.
          MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Did you say it was time
 5
     for me to speak?
6
7
          THE COURT: Yes. I'm taking closing arguments
8
    now.
          MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. May it please
9
10
    the Court.
          We're here on a very narrow issue. And
11
    we -- you know, I apologize to the extent I put on
12
    a little bit of background. We've had an extensive
13
14
     litigation before Judge Colin. This is our first
15
    time here. And if any of my background bored you,
16
     I apologize.
          There are five documents that are at issue,
17
18
    which we talked about before we started; the 2008
19
    will and trust of Shirley Bernstein, as well as the
     amendment that she signed, and then the 2012 will
20
21
     and trust of Simon Bernstein.
2.2
          So the uncontroverted evidence that you've
23
    heard was from Robert Spallina, who is an attesting
24
    witness to the documents and he was a draftsman of
25
    the documents.
```

3

15

1 I don't believe it's directly relevant to your inquiry, but you certainly heard evidence that what Simon Bernstein intended and what he communicated were his wishes; the exercise of a power of appointment through a will, the changing of the beneficiaries of his trust document by way of an 6 7 amended and restated 2012 document, to give his money -- leave his wealth to his ten grandchildren. The final documents as drafted and signed are 10 consistent with what. But what we're here to decide is, are these 11 documents valid and enforceable? And there are 12 self-proving affidavits attached to the documents. 13 And by themselves, if you find the self-proving 14 affidavits to be valid, then the wills themselves are valid and enforceable. 16 17 Now, the only question that's been raised as 18 to the self-proving affidavit is an issue with 19 notarization. And we have two cases to cite to the Court on the notarization issue. One is from the 20 21 Florida Supreme Court called The House of Lyons, 2.2 and one is from a sister court in the State of 23 North Carolina. 24 THE COURT: Just a second. 25 Sir, would you just have a seat.

```
1
    making me nervous.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         Sure.
 3
          THE COURT: Thanks.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Just aching.
          THE COURT: Well, I understand. But just have
 5
    a seat. That'll be better.
                                  Thanks.
 6
7
          And I'm sorry for the interruption.
          MR. ROSE: No, that's all right.
 8
          If I may I approach with the two cases we
9
    would rely on.
10
          THE COURT: All right.
11
12
          MR. ROSE: The House of Lyons. The second is
    a case from Georgia. The House of Lyons case is
13
14
     from the Florida Supreme Court. It deals in a
15
     slightly different context, but it deals with
16
    notarization. And so what you have here is, we've
17
    put on evidence. The documents that are in
18
    evidence, that these documents were signed
19
    properly. The witnesses were in the presence of
20
     each other, and the testator and the notary
21
    notarized them.
2.2
          Shirley's documents from 2008, there's no
23
    question that all the boxes were checked. There is
    a question that's been raised with regard to
24
25
     Simon's 2012 will and his 2012 trust; that the
```

```
1
     notary -- rather than the law firm employee
 2
     notarizing them, these were notarized by Simon's --
 3
     the testimony is by an employee of Simon's company,
     not a legal expert. And if on the face of the two
     documents -- and for the record, these would be
     Exhibits 4, which is Simon's will, and Exhibit 5,
6
7
     which is Simon's trust.
          On Exhibit 4, there's no box to check.
 8
     whole information is written out. And I don't
     believe there's any requirement that someone
10
     circled the word -- if you just read it as an
11
12
     English sentence, the notary confirmed that it was
     sworn to and ascribed before me the witness is
13
     Robert L. Spallina, who is personally known to me
14
15
     or who has produced no identification.
          So I think the natural inference from that
16
     sentence is that person was known to him, Kimberly
17
18
     Moran, who was personally known to me, and Simon
19
     Bernstein, who was personally known to me. So on
20
     its face, I think it -- the only inference you
21
     could draw from this is that the person knew them.
2.2
          Now, we've established from testimony that she
23
     in fact knew the three of them, and we've
     established by way of Exhibit 16, which was signed
24
25
     on the same day and notarized by the same person.
```

1 And Exhibit 16, unlike Exhibit 4, which doesn't have a little check mark, Exhibit 16 has a check 2 3 mark, and the notary properly checks personally known to the people that she was notarizing. So I believe -- and the In Re Lyon case stands for substantial compliance with a notary is 6 7 sufficient. And the North Carolina case is actually more directly on point. The Florida Supreme Court case, Lyons -- and we've highlighted it for the Court, but it says, clerical errors will 10 not be permitted to defeat acknowledges --11 acknowledgments when they, considered either alone 12 13 or in connection with the instrument acknowledged 14 and viewed in light of the statute controlling 15 them, fairly show a substantial compliance with the 16 statute. 17 The North Carolina case is a will case, In Re 18 Will of Durham. And there it's exactly our case. 19 The notary affidavit was silent as to whether the 20 person was personally known or not. And the Court 21 held the caveat was self-proving. The fact that 2.2 the notary's affidavit is silent as to whether 23 decedent was personally known to the notary or produced satisfactory evidence of his identity does 24 25 not show a lack of compliance with the notary

1 statute, given the issues of personal knowledge or 2 satisfactory evidence are simply not addressed in 3 that affidavit. So we have a Florida case and we have the North Carolina case, which I think is -- it's obviously not binding, but it is sort of 6 7 persuasive. If they're self-proved, we would win without any further inquiry. The reason we had a 8 trial and the reason we had to file a complaint was 10 everything in this case -- you've slogged through the mud with us for a day, but we've been slogging 11 12 through the mud for -- basically, I got directly 13 involved in January of 2014, after the Tescher 14 Spallina firm -- after the issues with the firm 15 came to light. So we've been slogging through this. 16 But we did file a complaint. We went the next 17 18 step. So the next step says to you, assume the 19 notaries are invalid, which they aren't invalid; 20 but if they were, all we need to establish these 21 documents is the testimony of any attesting 2.2 witness. So we put on the testimony of an 23 attesting witness, Mr. Spallina. He testified to the preparation of the documents. And I do think 24 25 it's relevant and it will give the Court comfort in making findings of fact that there was an extensive set of meetings between Mr. Spallina and his clients when they did the documents.

2.2

I mean, we documented for the first set of documents, you know, four meetings, a letter with some drafts, then a meeting to sign the documents, some phone calls and some amending the documents.

And in 2012, we've documented at least one meeting with notes involving Simon; telephone conferences between Simon and his client; eventually, when a decision was made, a conference call of all the children; drafts of the documents sent; the document being executed.

And so I think if you look at the evidence, the totality of the evidence, there's nothing to suggest that these five documents do not reflect the true intent of Simon and Shirley Bernstein.

There's nothing to suggest that they weren't prepared by the law firm; that they weren't signed by the people that purport to sign them; that undisputed testimony from an attesting witness was that all three people were present, and it was signed by the testator and the two witnesses in the presence of each other.

So under either scenario, you get the document

```
1
     admitted. In fact, the documents are in evidence.
 2
     They've been admitted to probate. But the
 3
     testimony under 732.502, 503, the testimony of the
     drafting attorney, who attested -- who was an
     attesting witness, is sufficient for these
 5
     documents.
 6
 7
          There's absolutely no evidence put on the
     Court that Simon Bernstein lacked mental capacity.
 8
     In fact, the evidence is directly to the contrary.
10
     Every witness testified that he was mentally sharp;
     making intelligent decisions; having a conference
11
12
     call with his children to explain his wishes. And
13
     there's simply no evidence in the record to
14
     determine that he lacked testamentary capacity.
15
          So if I have Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein,
16
     with testamentary capacity signing documents in the
17
     presence of two subscribing witnesses, the 2012
18
     documents should be upheld. I don't know if
19
     there's a question at all even about Shirley
20
     Bernstein's 2008 document, but the testimony is
21
     undisputed that the documents were consistent with
2.2
     her wishes. You saw a draft letter that explained
23
     to her exactly what was happening. She signed the
     documents. The self-proving affidavits for the
24
25
     Shirley documents are all checked perfectly. And
```

even if they weren't, we have an attesting witness
here.

2.2

And, frankly, I think Eliot Bernstein likes these documents. And all he wants to do is argue what they mean and how much money you get from them. And we didn't really need to spend a day arguing this, but we have and we're here. And we believe that the evidence conclusively demonstrates that these documents are valid.

Now, you've heard some nonsense and some shenanigans. There were a couple of problems in the case; one with the notarization of documents.

And it's sort of a sad and tortured story, but it's -- it was clearly wrong for someone to send documents into Judge Colin's courtroom that had been altered. The correct documents were submitted and the estate should have been closed.

And when the documents were returned, someone should have gone and filed a motion with Judge Colin to accept the un-notarized documents, since there was no dispute they were signed. And we wouldn't be here. But for whatever reason, that happened. And it's unfortunate that happened, but there's no evidence that Ted Bernstein, either of his sisters, or Eliot Bernstein, or any of the

grandchildren played any role in the fabrication of that document -- the false notarization.

2.2

The fabricated amendment to Shirley's trust document is a very disturbing fact, and we took immediate action to correct it. No one's purported to validate that document. We filed an action to have the Court construe the documents, tell us which are valid, tell us what they mean. And that's where we should be focusing our time on.

And this is, in my view, step one toward that.

But if you look at the evidence we've presented, if you -- I understand you've got to deal with the witnesses that you're handed. And I think Mr. Spallina's testimony, notwithstanding the two issues that we addressed, was persuasive, it was unrebutted.

And we would ask that you uphold the five documents and determine, as we have pled, that the five testamentary documents that are in evidence, I believe, as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be upheld and determined to be the valid and final testamentary documents of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. To the extent there's any question the document that has been admitted to be not genuine be determined to be an inoperative and ungenuine document, we would ask

```
1
     that you enter judgment for us on Count II and
2
     reserve jurisdiction to deal with the rest of the
 3
     issues as swiftly as we can.
 4
          THE COURT: All right.
                                  Thank you.
          Any closing argument from the other side?
6
     Okay.
7
          I keep forgetting that you've got a right to
     be heard, so please forgive me.
8
          MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, if I may approach, I
9
     have some case law and statutes that I may refer
10
         And I'll try to be brief and not cumulative.
11
12
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Could I get the other case law
13
     that was submitted? Do you have a copy of that?
14
          MR. ROSE:
                     Sure.
15
          MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, the relevant statute
16
     with respect to the execution of wills is 732.502.
17
     It says that every will must be in writing and
18
     executed as follows. And I'll just recite from the
19
     relevant parts, that is to say relevant with
20
     respect to our case.
21
          The testator must sign at the end of the will
22
     and it must be in the presence of at least two
23
     attesting witnesses. And if we drop down to
     Subsection C, the attesting witnesses must sign the
24
25
     will in the presence of the testator and in the
```

1 presence of each other. 2 Judge, that was established and uncontroverted 3 in connection with Mr. Spallina's testimony. So 732.502 was complied with. Now, I think that we -- there was kind of a distraction with respect to the self-proving 6 7 affidavits at the end. As Your Honor's aware, a self-proving affidavit is of no consequence in connection with the execution of a will. Execution of a will as dealt with in 732.502 merely requires 10 execution at the end by the testator or the 11 12 testatrix, and then two witnesses who go ahead and 13 attest as to the testator's signature. 14 Now, the self-proving affidavit at the end is 15 in addition to. So the fact that there may or may 16 not have been a proper notarization is of no 17 consequence in connection with a determination of 18 the validity of any of these documents. So that's 19 number one. Number two, I've also provided Your Honor with 20 another -- a statutory section, 733.107, and it's 21 2.2 titled "The Burden of Proof in Contest." And it says there, in Subsection 1, "In all proceedings 23 contesting the validity of a will, the burden shall 24

be upon the proponent of the will to establish,

25

1 prima facie, its formal execution and attestation." I would submit to the Court that that was done 2 3 today. We had Mr. Spallina's testimony, which was uncontroverted, that indicated that 732.502 was complied with. The statute goes on to state, "A 5 self-proving affidavit executed in accordance with 6 7 733.502 or an oath of an attesting witness executed as required under the statutes is admissible and 8 establishes, prima facie, the formal execution and 10 attestation of the will." So, once again, I would submit to the Court 11 12 that there were self-proving affidavits with respect to all of these testamentary documents. 13 14 They were proper in form, and therefore comply or 15 comport with the second sentence of the statute. 16 But even if not, we had Mr. Spallina testify today 17 so as to comply with this second sentence of 18 Subsection 1. 19 So if we drop down to the third sentence of this Subsection 1, it says that, "Thereafter, the 20 21 contestant shall have the burden of establishing 2.2 the grounds on which probate of the will is opposed 23 or revocation is sought." That was not done today by Mr. Eliot 24 25 Bernstein. He did not present any evidence or meet

1 any burden to overturn these valid wills. 2 Judge, there is the competency argument. The 3 testamentary competency, I'm now going to quote from In Re Wilmott's Estate, 66 So.2d 465. "A testamentary competency means the ability to 5 understand generally the nature and extent of one's 6 7 property, the relationship of those who would be the natural objects of the testator's bounty, and 8 the practical effect of the will." 10 The only testimony, I elicited that from Mr. Spallina. His is the only testimony that we 11 12 have in this regard. And it's uncontroverted that 13 both of these decedents met those very specific 14 criteria which -- with respect to each and every 15 one of the five documents that are submitted for 16 your Court's validation today. There's also case law, In Re Estate of Weihe, 17 W-E-I-H-E. That's 268 So.2d 446. That's a Fourth 18 19 DCA case that says, "Competency is generally 20 presumed and the burden of proving incompetency is on the contestant." So even if we didn't have 21 2.2 Mr. Spallina's testimony today, which I elicited, 23 competency on the part of both Shirley and Si 24 Bernstein would be presumed. And it would be the 25 contestant, Mr. Eliot Bernstein, who would have to

```
1
     come up with the -- or would have the burden of
 2
     showing that they were incompetent. He presented
 3
     no evidence today in that regard or in that
     respect.
          Lastly, there's the In Re Carnegie's estate,
     153 Florida 7. It's a 1943 case. That says that
 6
7
     testamentary capacity refers to competency at the
     time that the will was executed, so on that date.
8
          The only testimony we have with respect to any
     issues of competency on the date -- on the specific
10
     dates that these testamentary documents were signed
11
12
     was from Mr. Spallina. And on all such dates and
13
     times, Mr. Spallina testified that these requisites
14
     with respect to competency -- or testamentary
15
     competency were met.
16
          Finally, Judge, undue influence, that would be
     a reason for invalidating a will. Mr. Bernstein,
17
18
     once again, did not present any evidence to go
19
     ahead and suggest that these wills or trusts
20
     documents should be overturned on the grounds of
21
     undue influence. And in that regard, I provided
2.2
     Your Honor with the Estate of Carpenter, 253 So.2d
23
           To prove undue influence, one must
     demonstrate that a beneficiary had a confidential
24
25
     relationship with the decedent and actively
```

```
1
     procured the will or trust.
 2
          Mr. Eliot Bernstein did not even suggest today
 3
     that any of the beneficiaries actively procured the
     document. Why? Beneficiaries are essentially --
     are ultimately the ten grandchildren.
     Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, did not suggest
 6
7
     today that any one of the ten grandchildren, who
     are ultimately beneficiaries, were active in
 8
     procuring any of the five documents, nor did
10
     Mr. Bernstein submit to the Court any evidence of
     confidential relationship by anyone in connection
11
     with the various criteria to raise the presumption
12
13
     of undue influence, nor did Eliot Bernstein raise
     the presumption by satisfying any or enough of the
14
15
     criteria under the Carpenter case to go ahead and
16
     raise the presumption that anyone, any substantial
17
     beneficiary, had committed undue influence with
18
     respect to any of these documents.
19
          For those various, multifarious reasons,
20
     Judge, I would submit to the Court that these
21
     documents are valid and should be held as such.
2.2
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23
          Any closing from the defendant's side?
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah.
25
          THE COURT: You've got eight minutes
```

```
1
     remaining.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, we're
3
     really here today because of a complex fraud on the
     court and on beneficiaries like myself and my
 4
     children. The only witness they procured to
 5
     validate these documents has consented to the SEC
 6
7
     and felony charges recently with his partner for
     insider trading. He came up on the stand and
8
     admitted that he committed fraud, and that his law
10
     firm forged documents and frauded documents, and
     then submitted them not only to the court, but
11
12
     beneficiaries' attorneys as part of a very complex
13
     fraud to not only change beneficiaries, but to
     seize dominion and control of the estates through
14
15
     these very contestable documents.
16
          They've been shown by the governor's office to
17
     not be properly notarized. The two people who are
18
     going -- well, one is --
19
          MR. ROSE: I don't want to object to --
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: -- has no --
21
          MR. ROSE: Can I object? He's so far talking
2.2
     about things that aren't in evidence.
23
          THE COURT: Sustained.
          You can only argue those things that were
24
     received in evidence.
25
```

```
MR. ROSE: And I realize Your Honor has a good
1
 2
     memory of the evidence --
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I put in evidence that
 4
    Mr. Spallina was SEC --
          THE COURT: No, I sustained objections to
 5
     those questions.
6
7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
          THE COURT: You can only argue those things
8
     that came into evidence.
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They didn't bring in
     any of the necessary parties to validate these
11
12
    documents, other than Mr. Spallina, who admitted to
13
     the Court today that he fraudulently altered the
14
     trust document. Can I now say that?
15
          THE COURT: It's not good for you to ask me
16
    questions. I've got to rule on objections, and I'm
17
     trying to give you some guidance so that you don't
18
     screw up. But I can't answer your legal questions.
19
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So the only witness has
     admitted in this very case that his law firm
20
21
     submitted forged and fraudulent documents to the
2.2
    Court already in this case; that he himself did
    those frauds. And we're relying on his sole
23
24
    testimony.
25
         None of the other people who signed these
```

1 documents are here today to validate or even 2 confirm his statements. So it's a highly 3 uncredible [sic] witness to the documents, especially when Mr. Spallina drafted, signed as a witness, gained interest in the documents himself personally as a trustee, and seems to clearly have 6 7 then taken it upon himself to mislead beneficiaries as to the actual documents. I have asked for production of these documents. Today there were no originals produced 10 to this Court for you to examine. 11 And more importantly, there's a few last 12 things I wanted to state to the Court. My children 13 14 are not represented here today as beneficiaries. 15 They were supposed to be represented by a trustee 16 of a trust that does not exist in our possession. 17 So they were -- I was sued as a trustee of a trust 18 I've never been given to represent my children, who 19 are alleged beneficiaries by these guys. And the estate's done nothing to provide counsel to three 20 21 minor children, and left them here today without 2.2 counsel, and me as a trustee of a trust that 23 doesn't exist, as far as we know. I've never signed it. They haven't submitted it to the Court, 24 25 to anybody.

2

3

13

15

1 I want to bring up Rule 1.20, pretrial procedure, case management conference process provides, "The matter to be considered shall be specified in the order of notice setting the conference." 5 So I just want to say that we had a status 6 7 conference in Simon Bernstein's estate, and only Simon Bernstein's estate, and that this trial was scheduled in Simon's status conference, which violates that very rule. So this trial, in my 10 view, was conducted improperly. 11 12 Like I said, if you look at the hearing transcript of that day, you'll see that Mr. Rose misleads the Court to think that all these cases 14 were noticed up that day. But Mr. O'Connell, the 16 PR, had only noticed it up for Simon's estate. 17 what I'm doing here at a trial in Shirley's trust 18 violates Rule 1.20. 19 There are some other things that are violated and not -- I believe we didn't get to discuss 20 the -- at the case management, the fact that, you 21 2.2 know -- and I did try to get this out -- that we 23 would need a lot more time for a competency hearing, for a removal of Ted process, which should 24 25 have come first before doing this and letting them

```
1
    argue, where it's been alleged that there's some
 2
     serious problems with Ted Bernstein's
 3
    representation, including the fact that the PR of
     the estate of Simon has filed with this Court
    notice that he's not a valid trustee.
          MR. ROSE: Objection. Outside -- not in
 6
7
    evidence.
          THE COURT: Okay. If you're not going to
8
    arque the facts that are in evidence in this trial,
10
     then I'm going to ask you to stop.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'll keep going
11
12
    on my -- see, that's what's confusing. What trial?
    We had a case management. I was prepared for a
13
14
     Simon, where I have Simon trust construction, all
15
     those things ready, and I didn't come with any
    notes about Shirley. And I've tried to notice the
16
     Court that under 1.200, this trial was scheduled
17
18
     improperly in the estate of Simon, and should have
19
    been reheard or rescheduled or something.
          But that seems not to matter. It doesn't
20
21
    matter that we follow the rules. I follow the
22
    rules, but it seems that the other side doesn't
23
     follow any of the rules; doesn't submit documents
24
    properly to courts; commits frauds on courts; and
25
    then wants you to believe the validity of these
```

```
1
    documents based on a felony statement to the Court,
    who's under a consent with the SEC.
 2
 3
          THE COURT: You've got two minutes remaining.
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         There were outstanding
     discovery requests. I was denied all these
 5
    documents. I was denied the trust that I'm sued
 6
7
    under representing my children. So I can't get any
    of those documents. We would have brought all that
 8
    up at a real status conference had it been a real
     status conference and not a corralling or, as you
10
     called it, a wrangling of octopuses.
11
12
          THE COURT: That's vivid imagery. Isn't it?
     I pride myself on that one.
13
14
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Well, I was
    wrangled, technically, into the wrong case here
15
16
     today, in a status conference that you should have
17
     corrected upon learning about this. And Mr. Rose
18
    has been aware of his mistake in misleading the
19
    Court that all these cases were noticed up, when
20
     they weren't. And he didn't come to the Court to
21
     correct it. Kind of like they didn't come to the
2.2
    Court to correct the validity of these documents
23
    before acting under them, knowing they needed to be
    not only challenged on validity, but on
24
    construction of terms, which will come next, which
25
```

```
1
     is going to just go right back into the same circle
     of fraud.
 2
          So their star witness is a felon.
 3
                                             Their star
     witness has committed fraud upon this Court in this
     case. That's who they're relying on, and hoping
 5
     you bank on his words to validate documents.
 6
7
          I, Your Honor, am asking that you don't
     validate the documents; that we move forward to
 8
     have the documents properly forensically analyzed.
     They were the subject of ongoing criminal
10
     investigations, which are just getting kicked off.
11
12
     In fact, I got 7200 documents from Mr. Spallina,
13
     where almost, I think, 7200 are fraud.
14
          THE COURT: Your time is more than elapsed. I
     was letting you finish up as a courtesy, but you're
15
16
     getting off into things that aren't in evidence --
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I don't think the
17
18
     trial was conducted fairly. I think that my due
19
     process rights have been denied under the law.
20
          THE COURT: Your time is more than up.
21
     you.
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          Okay.
23
                      Is there any rebuttal?
          THE COURT:
          MR. BERNSTEIN: And I still would like to move
24
25
     for your disqualification, on the record.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: On the record doesn't count.
 2
     You've got to put it in writing.
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you sure? I thought I saw
     in the rules --
 4
          THE COURT: I'll tell you what. You proceed
 5
     under your understanding of the law and the rules.
6
7
     That's fine.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
8
          THE COURT: Before I take this --
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest.
          THE COURT: -- before I take this rebuttal
11
12
     argument, I'll let you put your request for recusal
13
     in writing. We'll be out of session five minutes.
14
          Is that something you want me to read?
15
          MR. ROSE: I just want to make my final --
16
          THE COURT: I just want to make sure that
     there's been no possibility that this gentleman
17
18
     won't have his moment to shine.
19
          So go ahead and go put that in writing, sir.
     Be back in five minutes.
20
21
          (A break was taken.)
2.2
          THE COURT: Did you get that written down?
                         Can I approach?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
          THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay.
24
25
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to wait for
```

```
1
    everybody?
 2
          THE COURT: Do you have something that you
 3
    wanted to file, a written motion to recuse?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. In freestyle.
 4
          THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
     it.
         Thank you.
 6
7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask a question?
          THE COURT: I'll be in recess. I'll take a
8
     look at this written motion. Thank you. It'll
10
     take me just a minute. Don't anybody go away.
11
          (A break was taken.)
12
          THE COURT: The stack of documents handed up
     to me by the defendant are duplicates of documents
13
     that he filed, it looks like, twice with the clerk
14
15
    on December 4th, and they've already been ruled
16
    upon by me. But I am also ruling today by
    handwritten order on the face of one of the
17
18
    documents that the disqualification motion is
19
    denied as legally insufficient; already ruled upon
     in the order of 12/8/15, at Docket Entry No. 98;
20
21
     identical to motions filed by defendant on
2.2
     12/4/2015 at Docket Entries Nos. 94 and 98; done in
23
    order of John Phillips, 12/15/15. And since I have
24
     skills, I made copies of my handwritten order for
25
    everybody.
```

```
1
          Gary, if you could, just hand these out.
     That'll take care of all that.
 2
 3
          Now we can go back to talking about the case.
     I was going to take the rebuttal argument from
     Plaintiff's side. I'd take that now.
 5
          MR. ROSE: I have just the exhibits that we
 6
7
     put in evidence on the plaintiff's side, if that's
     easier for the Court.
 8
          THE COURT: That would be much easier.
10
     you.
          MR. ROSE: And I have a proposed final
11
12
     judgment. And I wanted to talk about one paragraph
13
     of the final judgment in particular.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't had time to review
14
     any final judgment or anything.
15
16
          THE COURT: You're interrupting the argument.
17
     Thank you.
18
          MR. ROSE: So the complaint alleges -- and I
19
     realize we didn't cover every issue in the entire
     case, but we do it within the four corners of Count
20
21
     II of the complaint. Count II of the complaint was
2.2
     stated in paragraph 79 through 88 of the complaint.
          And the answer that's filed in this case on
23
     Count II at paragraph 80 alleges that there's been
24
25
     a fraud on the court by Ted Bernstein, including,
```

1 but not limited to, proven forgery, fraudulent notarizations, fraud on the court, altercation 2 3 [sic] of trust documents, et cetera, et cetera. And in paragraph 82, the answer says that Ted should be removed for his ongoing involvement in fraud which is dealing with these documents. 6 7 Ted Bernstein is serving as a fiduciary. You've heard -- that was the defense to this case. 8 That's stated in the complaint. You heard no evidence that Ted Bernstein was involved in the 10 preparation or creation of any fraudulent 11 documents. In fact, the evidence from Mr. Spallina 12 13 was to the contrary. 14 So our final judgment in paragraph 5 asks the Court to make a ruling on the issues that are pled 15 16 in the answer, specifically that there was no evidence that Ted was involved and that the 17 18 evidence was to the contrary. 19 So we have no rebuttal. We believe we've established our case, and we proposed a final 20 judgment for Your Honor's consideration that 21 2.2 discusses that this is an action to adjudicate five 23 documents to be the testamentary documents. on the evidence presented, they're genuine, 24 25 authentic, valid and enforceable; has the requisite

```
1
     findings. Paragraph 5, which I've explained, the
     reason we believe it's appropriate in the final
 2
 3
     judgment, given the pleadings that were made and
     the lack of evidence on those pleadings. And we
 5
     didn't get into it today, but --
          THE COURT: Well, if we didn't get into it
 6
7
     today, then it's not proper for argument.
                    Well, it's alleged in the complaint
          MR. ROSE:
 8
     and not proven, so I think it's appropriate to make
10
     a finding on it. You didn't actually hear
     testimony that was relevant to those issues about
11
12
     Ted Bernstein. And I would ask you to consider
13
     that 5 is supported by the evidence and the
14
     pleadings.
15
          And 6, we would like you to declare the
     unauthorized one invalid, because it does change
16
     potentially something, and we want to know what
17
18
     we're doing going forward. And I don't think
19
     anyone disputes that Exhibit 6 that's in evidence
     was not valid. And then it just states this is
20
     intended to be a final order under the rules of
21
2.2
     probate code.
          So that's our order. We would ask you to
23
     enter our judgment or a judgment similar to it;
24
25
     find in favor of the plaintiff; reserve
```

```
jurisdiction for numerous other matters that we
1
     need to deal with as quickly as we can. But,
2
3
    hopefully, with the guidance we get today, we'll be
     able to do it more quickly and more efficiently.
 5
     So thank you.
          THE COURT: All right.
6
                                  Thanks.
7
          We'll be in recess. It was fun spending time
     with you all.
8
          Sir, do you have any proposed final judgment
9
10
     you want me to consider? I've received one from
11
     the plaintiff's side. Is there some from the
     defendant's side?
12
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I haven't received one
13
     from them. And seeing theirs --
14
15
          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16
          Then we'll be in recess. Thank you all very
     much. I'll get this order out as quickly as I can.
17
18
          (At 4:48 p.m. the trial was concluded.)
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

_	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	
6	
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	Dated this 4th day of January, 2016.
13	$AU_{\bullet} \cap AU_{\bullet}$
14	Mille V. Line
15	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
16	Shiring, Rink, Tin
17	Job #1358198-VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

**446** 245:18

0
<b>08</b> 190:2
1
1 120:3 167:6 168:4 177:21 178:3,4,11 180:24 182:13 183:24 241:20 243:23 244:18,
1.20 251:1,18 1.200 252:17 10 130:15 180:15
<b>10th</b> 226:1,12 <b>11</b> 230:3
<b>11th</b> 200:12,13
12 188:1 12/15/15 256:23
<b>12/4/2015</b> 256:22
<b>12/8/15</b> 256:20
<b>12th</b> 194:3 <b>13</b> 175:17
200:21 <b>13th</b> 194:3
<b>14</b> 207:3 <b>14th</b> 189:8
<b>15</b> 179:21
180:19 <b>153</b> 246:6
<b>15th</b> 191:12 <b>16</b> 235:24
236:1,2 <b>18th</b> 168:13
<b>19</b> 176:6
<b>1943</b> 246:6 <b>1994</b> 143:8
<b>1995</b> 155:3
2
2 133:24 134:2, 18 160:21,25 161:2,6,10 163:8,22

```
167:15,16
 173:10 175:9
 177:22 178:5,
 7,9,11,14
 180:24 182:15
 183:24 241:20
20 176:7
2006 153:25
2007 142:14
2008 168:13
 184:8 232:18
 234:22 239:20
2012 129:24
 130:15 131:7
 191:4 192:24,
 25 194:3
 214:25 221:24
 232:20 233:7
 234:25 238:8
 239:17
2013 140:9
 196:7 200:21
 207:3
2014 189:8
 237:13
24 176:12
253 246:22
268 245:18
27 167:16
28 168:1 190:7,
 20 197:12
 198:13,14
       3
3 168:4,5 175:9
 180:24 182:4,
 6,15,19 183:16
 187:4 198:21,
 23 241:20
3/12/08 187:12
30 155:3 225:7
       4
4 171:6 173:12
 175:10 202:25
 235:6,8 236:1
 241:20
40 212:17
```

465 245:4	
<b>4th</b> 256:15	a
5	
<b>5</b> 175:3 235:6 241:20	ā
<b>503</b> 239:3	ā
6	ā
<b>6</b> 177:9 178:16,	ā
22,23 179:3,4 180:24 182:7, 8,9,10,20	ā
183:4,9,10 184:22 186:22	a
187:3,6 <b>60</b> 179:23,25	
180:3 <b>66</b> 245:4	a
<b>697</b> 246:23	ā
7	ā
7 133:19 134:1, 18 171:7 246:6	ā
<b>7200</b> 254:12,13 <b>732.502</b> 239:3	a
242:16 243:4, 10 244:4	a
<b>733.107</b> 243:21	a
<b>733.502</b> 244:7	a
8	ā
8 173:15	a
9	ā
<b>94</b> 256:22	a
96 143:8 98 256:20,22	8
9th 166:13	a
190:18 191:4 199:25 200:8,	ā
10,19 201:4 202:5	a
	a

# Α **bility** 174:21 193:7 245:5 bsolutely 215:5 222:18 239:7 ccept 181:9 230:16 240:20 ccess 215:21, 24,25 ccordance 244:6 ccount 151:17 ccounted 152:15 ccounting 150:4,20 190:11,15 191:9,11 ccurate 126:25 ccurately 206:10 ching 234:4 cknowledged 236:13 cknowledges 236:11 cknowledgments 236:12 cting 220:9 253:23 ction 241:5,6 ctions 164:15, 18 209:3 ctive 247:8 ctively 246:25 247:3 ctivity 165:24 ctual 250:8 d 195:24 **dded** 184:25 dding 185:11, 12,13 **ddition** 243:15 dditional 214:6 ddress 221:12 ddressed 237:2

241:15
adequately
135:4 administered
202:6
admissibility
162:24 197:21
admissible
244:8
admit 197:13,
17,19 admitted 137:1
148:25 166:11
207:14 219:20
239:1,2 241:24
248:9 249:12,
20
adverse 212:19
<b>advice</b> 150:13, 16 208:9
216:19
affidavit
233:18 236:19,
22 237:3
243:8,14 244:6
affidavits
233:13,15 239:24 243:7
244:12
affirmatively
154:11
affirmed 205:22
<b>agree</b> 181:8
189:6 230:17
agreement 126:15 129:3
136:22,25
139:12 140:18
141:17 153:8
164:1 167:15
177:16
agreements 139:10
ahead 159:19
161:13 243:12
246:19 247:15
255:19
Alan 134:7
149:5,8 208:1,
3 230:1 alive 189:25
191:6 192:2

```
228:5
allege 184:5
alleged 140:11,
 15 158:12
 195:16 250:19
 252:1
allegedly
 168:12 207:5
 221:23 225:23
allowed 142:5
 150:13 172:5
 206:12
alter 140:19
 163:25 185:14
alteration
 124:24 140:8
 207:1
alterations
 140:23,25
altercation
 207:8
altered 137:1
 144:5,13
 155:12 156:1
 163:7 166:21
 167:1 207:13
 240:16 249:13
altering 144:14
 156:17 210:9
amend 177:15
amended 151:17
 159:5 176:19
 233:7
amending 238:7
amendment
 136:25 137:4,5
 138:11,13,16,
 17 139:25
 144:13 168:3
 177:7 182:13,
 14 184:7,20
 232:20 241:3
analysis 219:14
analyst 210:21
analyzed 221:16
 254:9
anger 227:6
angina 225:6
angry 227:20
anymore 121:22
 124:13
```

```
apologize
 232:12,16
Apparently
 170:13
appears
         160:14
 161:18 167:17,
 18 171:11
 172:20 175:7
 176:14 200:1
 202:6
appoint 194:4,
 16,23,24
 195:4,5
appointed 192:5
 194:25 195:1,2
appointment
 139:5 161:16
 163:25 189:1
 233:5
approach 126:10
 178:20 234:9
 242:9 255:23
approaches
 126:11 255:24
April 166:13
 190:2,18 191:4
 199:25 200:8,
 10,12,13,19
 201:4 202:5
argue 150:8
 162:4 240:4
 248:24 249:8
 252:1,9
argued 180:13
arguing 162:5,
 19 163:17
 240:7
argument 151:6,
 9 163:14,16
 220:11 242:5
 245:2 255:12
argumentative
 138:21 143:15
 155:14 156:18,
 20 163:10
 185:19 195:20
 219:22
arguments 151:8
 232:2,7
article 177:19
ascribed 235:13
```

```
151:2
asks
assets 132:21
 144:25 219:1
assignment
 203:10
assistant
 192:11
association
 214:3
assume 142:9
 158:3 237:18
Assuming 188:24
attach 138:12
attached 127:11
 136:25 233:13
attempt 144:6
attending
 228:18
attention 131:4
 136:17 152:2
attest 243:13
attestation
 244:1,10
attested 201:25
 239:4
attesting
 232:23 237:21,
 23 238:21
 239:5 240:1
 242:23,24
 244:7
attorney
 131:23,24,25
 132:4,5,11,12
 137:8 141:23
 147:17 155:17,
 24 156:8,11
 162:22 189:22
 194:4 199:22
 223:13 230:15
 239:4
attorney-client
 208:9,11
attorneys
          147:7
 189:17 207:11
 209:8,15 210:9
 211:3 219:19
 248:12
authenticate
 213:5,18
authenticated
 210:8
```

authenticating 214:8 authorization 132:4 authorized 132:8,10 autopsy 165:2 aware 130:2,5 131:2,18 151:13,16 154:11 158:12, 15, 17, 19, 22 159:2 165:2,7, 24 166:7,15 169:1,8,13,19, 20,21,24 170:1 206:25 207:16 221:24 222:2, 4,5,9,10,13,16 224:5 225:2, 17,22 226:15 227:5,8,20 243:7 253:18

#### В

back 122:24 127:3 147:24 166:2 175:20 179:21 180:19 186:6,12 192:16 193:18 199:4,9,12 200:5,8 227:12 228:4 254:1 255:20 background 232:13,15 backwards 144:1 **BAILIFF** 186:11 bank 254:6 bar 120:21 127:4 214:3 based 162:14 165:17 192:12 196:19 253:1 basically 131:5 237:12 Bates 188:3,6 **Baxley** 173:1 174:22 176:18

165:8 Beach 166:19 203:8 bearing 189:3 **beq** 223:18 beginning 175:20 behalf 155:6 193:20 201:9 220:9 behavior 193:5 beneficiaries 140:19,24 141:1 144:17 145:15,17 157:8 202:19 204:8,16 212:20 220:6 233:6 247:3,4, 8 248:4,13 250:7,14,19

# beneficiaries' 248:12

beneficiary
133:4 144:23
145:18,19,20
157:5 158:3
190:15 202:12,
14,15,21 220:5
246:24 247:17

benefit 133:15 155:6 211:15 benefits 146:2 Benjamin 122:2 Bentley 151:12, 14,16 152:13

Bentleys 152:3

Bernstein

120:7,10,18,19 121:10,13,16 122:11,20 123:15,22 124:2,8,9,23 125:3,8,16 126:6,12,13 127:7,21 128:7 132:24 133:3, 10,19,22 134:3,7,9,17, 20 135:6,9,18, 24 136:3,6,7, 15,19,20 137:11,15,20, 23 138:3,6,9 139:2 140:4,6, 11,12,14 141:4,6,9,21 142:6,11,12,18 143:17,23 144:3,4,21,22 145:16,18 146:1,10,12, 15, 19, 22, 24 147:4 148:5, 10,11,22 149:3,18 150:8,10,14, 18,22 151:1, 11,20,24 152:1,5,9,22 153:3,6,7 154:15,16,18, 20 155:10,15, 16,20,22 156:6,23 157:2,11,13,25 158:5,9 159:10,11,13, 17,22 160:1,5, 9,17,20 161:4, 9,14 163:4,5, 11,17,20 164:9,13,25 165:1,6,12,14, 16 166:1,10,24 167:4 168:4,7 169:7,16 170:5,6 171:6, 9,25 172:6,9, 13,16,17 173:11,14,18 174:19 175:2,4 176:21 177:5, 9,11 178:3,9, 14,20,24 179:1,5,8,11, 24 180:10,12, 23 181:2,22 182:7,19,22,25 183:3,8,11,13, 15,24 184:1,15 185:22,24 186:1,5,8,14, 17,20 187:8 188:17 190:4, 17,24 191:12 192:19 193:5,

17,21 194:1,9, 12 196:4,5,14, 15,16,18,24 197:3,7,8,13, 19,22,25 198:4,9,22 199:2,5,6,24, 25 201:3,14, 21,23,24 203:7,11,15,16 204:1,5,6,15, 18,23 205:2, 10,14,15,17,21 206:1,13,15, 18,23 208:16, 20,24 209:4,6, 13,23,24 210:14 211:5, 9,10 212:8 213:1,4,10,16 217:1,2,7,12, 15 218:8,9,19, 23 219:8,24 220:17 221:1, 14,21,22 223:16,19,23, 25 224:2,4,14, 20 225:16,21 226:6,11 227:12,23 228:9,11,16 229:1,8,15,18 230:1,4,9,17, 20 231:4,8,11, 20,22 232:19, 21 233:3 234:2,4 235:19 238:17 239:8, 15 240:3,24,25 241:22 242:12 244:25 245:24, 25 246:17 247:2,6,10,13, 24 248:2,20 249:3,7,10,19 252:11 253:4, 14 254:17,22, 24 255:3,8,10, 23,25 256:4,7 Bernstein's 177:7 191:2

239:20 251:7,8

binding 237:6 biography 143:13
biopsy 158:24 222:2,6,8
birth 206:20 bit 162:17 232:13
bitter 179:22 blank 163:21
blue 169:19 body 165:21 book 167:16
179:1,15,17,18 181:11,12,13 182:10,11,16, 19 190:7
bored 232:15
bottom 129:2,4,
5,14 170:9,13 <b>bound</b> 152:8
bounty 245:8
<b>box</b> 123:5
189:16,20 235:8
boxes 234:23
brain 158:19, 23,24 222:3,7, 8
break 179:20 180:20 181:14 255:21 256:11
<b>Briefly</b> 213:15
bring 249:10 251:1
bringing 197:4
broader 139:5 brother 132:2 214:19,24
brought 131:3 151:21 158:22 197:10 253:8
Brown 122:2
burden 243:22, 24 244:21 245:1,20 246:1
business 214:4

# C

cabinets 216:2 call 130:17,21 141:18 142:8 145:7 193:6, 17,19 205:1, 11,12,14 214:18,25 226:1,3,17,25 230:6,10,15 238:11 239:12 **called** 193:23 214:3 219:4,5 230:11 233:21 253:11 **calling** 231:17 calls 135:21 174:14 212:5, 21 238:7 capacity 125:11 239:8,14,16 246:7 car 152:2 care 169:13 215:3,6,10,14 221:9 cared 215:12 Carnegie's 246:5 Carolina 233:23 236:7,17 237:5 Carpenter 246:22 247:15 carry 141:16 156:15 case 134:11 141:24 142:3 144:1 153:1 164:4 180:10, 12 193:14 198:18 205:7 218:4 231:12 234:13 236:5, 7,9,17,18 237:4,5,10 240:12 242:10, 12,20 245:17, 19 246:6 247:15 249:20, 22 251:2,21

252:13 253:15 254:5 cases 171:19 233:19 234:9 251:14 253:19 caused 164:22 222:6 225:23 **causing** 131:14 225:18 caution 208:7 caveat 236:21 cavitating 144:2 certificate 206:21 certified 171:2,3 **cetera** 220:22 chain 121:4 230:5,21 231:3,5,6 challenged 253:24 **chance** 204:7 **change** 248:13 changing 225:22 233:5 characterize 226:18 characterizing 226:17 charge 194:5 198:17 207:9 211:1 charged 212:14 charges 248:7 Chaves 142:23 **check** 153:3 168:23 173:1 235:8 236:2 **checked** 234:23 239:25 **checks** 236:3 **chest** 225:9 children 131:10,11 135:6,9 141:24 156:12 161:17 192:14 202:17 203:10,21 204:7,13

211:23 215:13, 15,17,20 225:24 226:14, 20 229:10 238:12 239:12 248:5 250:13, 18,21 253:7 children's 137:8 Christine 136:24 137:7 139:13,15,17, 18 140:1 144:6 148:25 155:11 156:7 chronology 185:15 circle 173:22 174:1 254:1 **circled** 235:11 circulation 139:10,16,17, circumstances 228:19 **cite** 233:19 claim 155:6 169:17 claiming 184:6, 17,19 **claims** 150:5 202:11 230:24 clarification 143:19 223:3, 22 clarified 122:13 223:20 **clarify** 120:11 122:4 **clarity** 141:19 **clause** 178:17 **clear** 156:22 195:10 201:19 clerical 236:10 **clerk** 167:9,11 170:10,25 186:10,11,13 256:14 **client** 122:25 123:2 208:12 223:16,21 224:16 238:10

clients 156:12 168:18,21 175:13 189:19 238:3
close 150:10 195:18 196:15,
21 224:15 closed 192:12 194:14 196:6, 10,18,25 232:1 240:17
closely 223:24
closing 166:2 232:2,7 242:5 247:23
co-personal
co-pr 147:14 co-prs 147:9
co-trustee 147:14 149:23
co-trustees 147:9
codicils 203:9
Colin 123:11 124:23 125:3 192:10 232:14 240:20
Colin's 199:10 200:22 240:15
<pre>college 143:10 Colton's 125:6, 10</pre>
<b>comfort</b> 237:25
commit 156:11,
commits 252:24
committed 207:12,17 210:9 211:4 218:1 247:17 248:9 254:4
communicated 233:3
company 235:3
competence 193:7
competency 152:10 165:17 245:2,3,5,19, 23 246:7,10,

competent 165:19 compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 complex 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 confirmed 235:12 confirmed 235:12 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive 182:11	
compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 complex 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	14,15 251:23
compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 complex 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	_
187:15 complaint 237:9,17 complex 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 confict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
complex 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
<pre>complex 248:3,     12 compliance     236:6,15,25 complied 243:4     244:5 comply 244:14,     17 comport 244:15 concerned     162:19 conclusion     135:22 174:14     177:3 212:6,22 conclusively     240:8 conditions     158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted     251:11 254:18 conference     214:18,25     238:11 239:11     251:2,5,7,9     253:9,10,16 conferences     238:9 confidential     246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed     235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8     167:6,9,11,13     170:12 confusing     252:12 connection     236:13 243:3,     9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	complaint
compliance     236:6,15,25 complied    243:4     244:5 comply    244:14,     17 comport    244:15 concerned     162:19 conclusion     135:22 174:14     177:3 212:6,22 conclusively     240:8 conditions     158:13 224:5 conduct    150:23 conducted     251:11 254:18 conference     214:18,25     238:11 239:11     251:2,5,7,9     253:9,10,16 conferences     238:9 confidential     246:24 247:11 confirm    250:2 confirmed     235:12 conflict    212:2 conformed    123:8     167:6,9,11,13     170:12 confusing     252:12 connection     236:13 243:3,     9,17 247:11 consecutive	237:9,17
236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
244:5 comply 244:14, 17 comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	1
comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	_
comport 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	comply 244:14,
concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
conclusion  135:22 174:14  177:3 212:6,22  conclusively  240:8  conditions  158:13 224:5  conduct 150:23  conducted  251:11 254:18  conference  214:18,25  238:11 239:11  251:2,5,7,9  253:9,10,16  conferences  238:9  confidential  246:24 247:11  confirm 250:2  confirmed  235:12  conflict 212:2  conformed 123:8  167:6,9,11,13  170:12  confusing  252:12  connection  236:13 243:3,  9,17 247:11  consecutive	<b>comport</b> 244:15
conclusion     135:22 174:14     177:3 212:6,22 conclusively     240:8 conditions     158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted     251:11 254:18 conference     214:18,25     238:11 239:11     251:2,5,7,9     253:9,10,16 conferences     238:9 confidential     246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed     235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8     167:6,9,11,13     170:12 confusing     252:12 connection     236:13 243:3,     9,17 247:11 consecutive	
135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	1
240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
158:13 224:5 conduct 150:23 conducted     251:11 254:18 conference     214:18,25     238:11 239:11     251:2,5,7,9     253:9,10,16 conferences     238:9 confidential     246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed     235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8     167:6,9,11,13     170:12 confusing     252:12 connection     236:13 243:3,     9,17 247:11 consecutive	240:8
conduct 150:23 conducted    251:11 254:18 conference    214:18,25    238:11 239:11    251:2,5,7,9    253:9,10,16 conferences    238:9 confidential    246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed    235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8    167:6,9,11,13    170:12 confusing    252:12 connection    236:13 243:3,    9,17 247:11 consecutive	I and the second
conducted     251:11 254:18  conference     214:18,25     238:11 239:11     251:2,5,7,9     253:9,10,16  conferences     238:9  confidential     246:24 247:11  confirm 250:2  confirmed     235:12  conflict 212:2  conformed 123:8     167:6,9,11,13     170:12  confusing     252:12  connection     236:13 243:3,     9,17 247:11  consecutive	1
251:11 254:18  conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16  conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
<pre>confidential   246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed   235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8   167:6,9,11,13   170:12 confusing   252:12 connection   236:13 243:3,   9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	conferences
246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
<pre>confirm 250:2 confirmed   235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8   167:6,9,11,13   170:12 confusing   252:12 connection   236:13 243:3,   9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	1
<pre>confirmed   235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8   167:6,9,11,13   170:12 confusing   252:12 connection   236:13 243:3,   9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	
235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
<pre>conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8   167:6,9,11,13   170:12 confusing   252:12 connection   236:13 243:3,   9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	
<pre>conformed 123:8   167:6,9,11,13   170:12 confusing   252:12 connection   236:13 243:3,   9,17 247:11 consecutive</pre>	
167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	conformed 122.2
170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	167.6 9 11 13
<pre>confusing   252:12 connection   236:13  243:3,   9,17  247:11 consecutive</pre>	
252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	
236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive	_
9,17 247:11 consecutive	connection
consecutive	

consent 253:2
consented 248:6
consequence 243:8,17
considered
132:18,24
133:3,5 136:9
144:11 236:12
251:3
consistent 129:6 176:11
189:14 216:18
233:10 239:21
construction
252:14 253:25
construe 241:7
construes
216:21
consulted 208:5,8 214:5
Cont'd 120:9
contact 227:5
contacted 169:9
207:21
contempt 127:4,
5
Contest 243:22
contestable
248:15
contestant
244:21 245:21, 25
contesting
243:24
<b>context</b> 234:15
continued 120:3
contract 210:21
contrary 239:9
control 248:14
controlling 236:14
conversation
132:3,6 222:23
226:19
convince 144:6
<pre>copied 122:16</pre>
copies 121:5,7
123:9 124:15
125:25 126:10
170:12,21

```
216:1 256:24
copy 136:21
 137:2 138:19,
 20 139:20,23
 141:24 161:19,
 20 163:7
 167:6,9,11,13
 170:10,24
 171:1 181:2,3,
 10,11 186:10
 242:13
copying 125:24
coroner
         165:9
corralling
 253:10
correct 129:16,
 23 130:1,18
 132:25 136:10
 138:16,20
 139:10 140:12
 146:10 149:21
 151:14 154:3,
 8,23 156:12
 158:6 161:17
 164:1,9,15,21
 167:7 170:15
 171:16 174:6,9
 184:20,21
 185:11,13
 186:24 187:19
 188:8,10
 190:1,2,12
 191:10,13,16,
 20 192:9,15
 194:5,21,22
 195:11,12
 196:7,11
 201:16 203:5,
 21,23 207:13,
 15,18,19
 208:24 209:10
 210:16,17
 213:23,24
 214:2,14,21
 215:1 216:15,
 16 217:5
 240:16 241:5
 253:21,22
corrected
 253:17
counsel 140:11,
 14,17 147:8,
 11,13,25
```

```
164:7,10,24
165:5,11,13
166:9,23 167:3
169:6,12,18
170:20,25
171:21,23
172:2,8,11,14
173:12 174:13,
15,18 175:1
177:4,10,25
178:6,13,15,
21,25 179:3,7,
10,14,17
180:2,11,17,21
181:1,6,15,20,
23 182:18,21,
23 183:2,6,10,
12,20 184:12
185:21 186:3,
6,12,16,18,21,
25 187:2
188:13,16,19
189:23 190:21
191:25 192:2,
21 193:11,15,
19,22 194:7,10
195:22 196:1,
13,19,23
197:1,6,16,20,
23 198:2,5,17
199:1,3,17
200:23 201:2,
5,11,13,16,18,
22 203:14,25
204:3,17,20,25
205:7,12,16
206:3,8,9
208:11,18,21,
25 209:5,10,
11,14 210:4,12
211:7 212:7,
13,23 213:2,7,
12 215:4
216:17,18,20,
24 217:3,10,
14,19 218:3,5,
18,21,24
219:3,23
220:12,24
221:7,18
223:12,18,20,
24 224:1,17
225:13,20
226:8 228:10,
```

```
13,24 229:6,
 11,17,20,23
 230:3,6,12,14,
 19 231:2,5,9,
 12,16,21,23
 232:1,7,10
 233:20,21,22,
 24 234:3,5,11,
 14 236:9,10,20
 237:25 239:8
 241:7 242:4
 244:2,11
 247:10,20,22,
 25 248:4,11,23
 249:5,8,13,15,
 22 250:11,13,
 24 251:14
 252:4,8,17
 253:1,3,12,19,
 20,22 254:4,
 14,20,23
 255:1,5,9,11,
 16,22,24
 256:2,5,8,12
court's 167:10
 186:4 245:16
courtesy 254:15
courtroom 151:3
 240:15
courts 167:12
 170:11 174:10
 252:24
cover
       137:18
covered 137:10,
 14,19,20
 144:19 195:24
create 137:6
 138:24
created 138:10,
 19 153:24,25
 155:3 208:17
creating 163:7
credibility
 148:7
creditor
          202:13
criminal
          230:23
 254:10
criteria
          245:14
 247:12,15
cross 120:9
 180:22 197:10
 213:14,16
```

```
cross-
examination
 120:6 195:25
cross-examining
 134:15
crossed 229:22
cumulative
 136:11 137:9
 138:22 140:2,
 21 144:19
 145:24 146:4,
 14 149:16
 156:3 157:9
 158:7 164:6
 166:8 171:21,
 22 172:1,4
 174:25 177:2,
 24 183:19
 184:11 185:20
 188:15 195:21
 199:16 201:10,
 17 203:13,24
 220:10,23
 221:6,17
 242:11
Cumulative's
 172:4
curator 122:2,
 6,7 124:4,16
curiosity
 159:24
custody 121:4
 125:18 210:10
 230:5,21
 231:3,5,6
customary
 168:17
cut
     132:1,9
cutting 227:6
       D
```

```
dad 130:10
  157:4 225:8,14
  227:4 228:2,
  12,15
dad's 129:20
  147:8 156:15
damages 164:21
Daniel 153:23
```

date 124:3 128:21 149:25
168:18,22 187:11 191:22
200:14,18 246:8,10
<b>dated</b> 168:15,16
dates 246:11,12
daughter 132:8
day 162:5 165:3 168:11,14
174:4 176:22
180:13 187:18
191:9 194:18,
20 200:9,11 207:21,22,23
208:4 214:4,12
216:10 219:7
235:25 237:11 240:6 251:13,
15
days 180:14
216:11
DCA 245:19 dead 195:19
196:10 201:15,
18 229:3,13
<b>deal</b> 241:13
242:2 dealing 178:7
deals 234:14,15
dealt 243:10
<b>death</b> 129:23
141:18 147:8
150:1 155:6 189:13 204:9,
11 224:7
225:11
<b>decedent</b> 236:23 246:25
decedents
245:13
December 256:15
<b>decide</b> 151:19 162:9,10,14
169:14 218:21
233:11
decided 215:4
<b>decision</b> 178:25 238:11
decisions
221:10 239:11
1

Decembe
<b>deem</b> 212:13
<pre>deemed 135:7</pre>
212:12
defeat 236:11
defendant 256:13,21
defendant's
160:21,25
161:2 182:4
187:4 193:16 198:21,23
247:23
defense 193:24
deficient 169:4
define 210:2
<b>definition</b> 133:4 134:24
definitional
139:5 163:22
<b>delete</b> 177:18
deleting 163:23
Delray 158:24
demonstrate 246:24
demonstrates
240:8
<b>denied</b> 253:5,6 254:19 256:19
depend 135:14
deposit 123:5
189:16,20
201:4
<b>deposited</b> 170:19 201:16
depressed
224:12,25
depression
224:6,9
<b>descendants</b> 132:14 133:4
135:7 136:9
144:8,12,16,24
145:5,6
<b>describe</b> 128:9 140:18 219:13
describing
164:14,18
designed
153:17,18

Detective

determination
243:17
determine
162:21 174:3
188:25 213:22
239:14 241:18
determined
241:21,24
<b>devisees</b> 202:18
died 145:12,20,
21,23 146:2,6, 7 157:3,7
/ 15/:3,/ 150.11 165.2
194.2 13 18 20
158:11 165:3 194:2,13,18,20 195:9,10,18
200:22 204:12
224:11,22
225:14 228:2
dies 173:20
<b>differ</b> 223:18
difference
212:23
difficult
215:20
<b>Digital</b> 143:4
dinner 228:18,
22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
<pre>direct 189:3   193:25 205:25</pre>
direction
201:20
directly 233:1 236:8 237:12
239:9
disappearing
186:19
disbursed 219:1
discharge
190:1,22 197:9
198:1 199:8
201:5
discovered
200:23 208:16
230:25
discovery 253:5
discuss 223:1
251:20
Z. 11 . Z.V.
discussing
discussing 223:6
discussing

```
227:2
disposed 218:25
disposition
 132:19,24
 135:13,19
 136:8
dispositions
 133:5 135:5
 145:6 202:10
dispositive
 130:9 176:25
 210:9 220:20
dispute 240:21
disputes 130:24
 131:2
disqualification
 254:25 256:18
dissatisfaction
 131:22
distraction
 243:6
distribute
 137:7
distributed
 137:3
distributions
 135:12 145:6
disturbing
 241:4
division 169:3
Docket 256:20,
 22
doctors 158:23
document 120:17
 123:6 124:21
 127:11 128:9
 129:9,14
 132:17,20,23
 133:2 135:24
 136:14 138:12,
 16,18 139:6,
 23,24 140:8,20
 141:2,19,20,
 23,25 144:5,7,
 8,15 145:5
 148:17 153:7,
 10 155:12,25
 156:11 159:12
 161:8,24
 162:23 163:6,
 9,24 164:5,8
 166:21 167:1,
```

165:18 166:12,

16 169:15

```
170:2 173:6,16
 175:13 176:25
 180:16 181:24
 182:20 188:4,
 24 189:4,11,19
 192:6,11,13
 195:14 196:19
 197:3 199:11
 203:5 208:25
 209:9 210:8,
 10,16,20,24
 211:5,11,13,18
 212:10,12,16
 213:6,19,23
 214:8,10,16,22
 215:4 216:14,
 19,20 217:4,8
 218:12,14,20,
 22 219:6,9,16,
 17,18,21
 220:1,7,20
 221:2,4,16,24
 225:23 226:7,
 21 227:3,22,25
 229:7,14
 230:5,22,25
 231:11,15
 232:17,24,25
 233:9,12,13
 234:17,18,22
 235:5 237:21,
 24 238:3,5,6,
 7,12,16 239:1,
 6,16,18,21,24,
 25 240:4,9,12,
 15, 16, 18, 20
 241:7,18,19,22
 243:18 244:13
 245:15 246:11,
 20 247:9,18,21
 248:6,10,15
 249:12,21
 250:1,3,5,8,10
 252:23 253:1,
 6,8,22 254:6,
 8,9,12 256:12,
 13,18
dominion 248:14
    147:6
 161:18 164:2,
 5,8,14
Don's
       187:10,16
Donald 160:16
```

```
doodling
          138:1
doubt
      191:14
draft 153:10
 239:22
drafted 126:14
 132:20 133:2
 135:24 233:9
 250:4
drafting 239:4
drafts 238:6,12
draftsman
 232:24
draw 235:21
drive 122:18,21
drop 242:23
 244:19
due
    124:24
 220:7 225:23
 254:18
duly 205:22
duplicate
 134:12 216:5
duplicates
 256:13
Durham 236:18
duty 220:19
```

#### Е

```
E1
    134:24
earlier 141:11,
 14 154:21
 173:5 186:21
 187:25 202:17
 209:20
early 207:3
easy 224:18
effect 188:25
 212:17,18
 245:9
efficient 180:7
elapsed 254:14
elicited
 245:10,22
Eliot 135:9
 157:13,25
 191:12 203:11
 214:24 217:25
 240:3,25
 244:24 245:25
 247:2,6,13
```

```
Eliot's
        191:14
email 191:19
 229:2
emailed
        191:15
employee
          235:1,
employees
           166:1
encourage 180:7
     130:24
end
 179:22 180:2,8
 219:7 227:10,
 17 242:21
 243:7,11,14
ended 158:24
ending 175:24
enforceable
 233:12,16
English 235:12
enter 125:12
 133:22 134:3
 242:1
Entries
         256:22
Entry 256:20
       143:19
error
 184:14,16,18
 203:6
errors 236:10
essentially
 247:4
establish 160:8
 237:20 243:25
established
 235:22,24
 243:2
establishes
 229:15 244:9
establishing
 244:21
estate 122:6,11
 140:15 141:3
 147:8 148:1
 149:25 150:19
 152:11,14
 153:12,13
 154:2,25
 157:5,22
 165:25 166:3,
 16 189:15
 192:8,11
 194:13 195:18
 196:6,15,18,
```

21,25 199:23 202:7,10,11, 12,21 240:17 245:4,17 246:5,22 251:7,8,16 252:4,18 estate's 250:20 estates 131:9
132:1,9 147:6
211:12,20
248:14
eventually
238:10
everybody's
182:16
evidence 133:7,
8,12 134:13,14
136:12 152:17 159:21 160:2,
3,6,25 161:3
162:25 177:23,
24,25 178:8
179:9,13
181:17,21,25 182:5 183:18
187:2,7 190:8
193:16 197:14,
18 198:13,14,
15,24 199:4
200:25 203:12,
24 231:24
232:1,22 233:2 234:17,18
236:24 237:2
238:14,15
239:1,7,9,13 240:8,24
240:8,24
241:11,19
244:25 246:3, 18 247:10
248:22,25
249:2,3,9
252:7,9 254:16
EXAMINATION
193:25 205:25
examine 250:11
examined 205:22
excuse 141:6
148:23 155:15 190:4 227:10
execute 122:25
129:2 175:13

```
193:7
executed 123:6
 184:7 231:7,10
 238:13 242:18
 244:6,7 246:8
executing
 177:15
execution
 242:16 243:9,
 11 244:1,9
exercise 189:1
 233:4
exercised 139:4
exhibit 127:2.
 25 133:21,23,
 24 134:12
 159:16 160:1,
 2,19 161:2
 167:6,15,16
 168:4,6 171:6
 173:10,12
 178:16 180:24
 182:3,6 183:16
 186:3,23 187:6
 190:4,20,22
 191:18 198:6,
 13,20,23 199:4
 200:20 202:25
 235:6,8,24
 236:1,2
exhibited 205:5
exhibiting
 159:17
exhibits 134:11
 159:20 167:5,6
 185:24 186:2
 190:21 235:6
exist 250:16,23
exists 208:13
expanded 185:17
expect 127:18
expense 192:17
expenses
          192:7
experiencing
 224:7
expert 165:22
 170:8 210:19,
 23 219:11
 220:7,22
 221:16 235:4
explain 120:15
 139:3 217:18
```

```
239:12
explained
 209:20 239:22
exposing 148:24
expressed 189:7
expressing
 131:21
extensive
 232:13 238:1
extent 132:21
 232:12 241:23
 245:6
```

# F

```
fabricated
 241:3
fabrication
 241:1
face 235:4,20
 256:17
facie 244:1,9
fact 192:23
 213:21 214:12
 220:8 235:23
 236:21 238:1
 239:1,9 241:4
 243:15 251:21
 252:3 254:12
factors 135:14,
 15
facts 153:1
 170:3 174:11
 221:11,13
 252:9
failed 174:22
 176:23
fairly 236:15
 254:18
false 241:2
familiar 153:7
 157:12
family 153:8,19
 154:3 157:13
 158:1 164:22
 211:14,20,22,
 24 212:16
 226:23 229:3,
 12
father 130:3,14
 146:7 153:23
```

```
155:2 165:3
 196:20 200:22
 202:1,5,20
 204:10 208:21
 214:13,19,20,
 23 215:6,22
 216:9,10
 221:23,25
 222:11 224:6,8
 225:10,18,22
 226:3,13
 227:6,9 228:5,
 18
father's 141:16
 149:25 170:7,
 8,17,18,19,25
 171:5,10
 172:18 175:5,
 24 176:2,13
 187:22,23
 216:4 224:21
favor 161:16
February 131:7
felon 254:3
felony 248:7
 253:1
felt 215:19
fiduciary
 140:10,11
 147:12 148:14
 150:19,23
 207:5,9 210:25
 212:1 220:19
figure 148:5
 151:7 152:16,
 20 156:22
 165:14 170:1
 196:24 197:2
 209:1,18 219:6
figuring 137:13
file 122:7
 123:3 155:6
 170:12 192:5,
 17 216:2
 217:16,23
 218:3 237:9,17
 256:3
filed 127:9
 148:19 170:11
 190:10,20
 196:19 200:6
 210:4 213:19,
 21 217:8,24
```

218:1,4 220:3
240:19 241:6
252:4 256:14,
21
<b>filing</b> 218:10
<b>final</b> 216:18
233:9 241:21
255:15
Finally 246:16
find 212:1,19
216:4 219:25 220:18 231:15
233:14
findings 238:1
fine 120:17
128:5 129:21
159:18 181:16
198:14 208:8
224:22 255:7
finish 123:14
156:4 163:13
226:10 254:15
finite 196:3
<b>fired</b> 213:25
firm 121:2,20,
22,23,24
123:11,20
124:12,13
125:22,23
139:22 142:22
150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23
174:8 177:12
182:12 184:9
189:12 190:25
192:16 193:2
195:10 199:9
201:7,8 207:12
221:5 235:1
237:14 238:19
248:10 249:20
firm's 142:2 216:2
fit 185:6
Five-plus 143:1
flipping 175:22
Florida 120:20
203:8 233:21
<u> </u>
234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6
237:4 246:6

```
focusing
          241:9
follow 190:7
 212:11,12
 216:17,19
 230:22 252:21,
force 131:19
forced 229:9
foregoing
 134:25 135:3
forensic 210:21
 220:5,22
forensically
 220:1 221:5,16
 254:9
forged 142:2
 166:12 248:10
 249:21
forgeries
 220:19
forget 168:18,
 20,21
forgetting
 242:7
forgive 242:8
forgot 143:11
form 174:4
 191:19 244:14
formal 206:16
 244:1,9
Forman 142:24
forms 189:24
 191:21 192:2
forward 127:17
 144:2 254:8
found 169:2
 207:4,7,21
 209:7 211:3
 218:14 219:9
 224:8 231:11,
 13
foundation
 197:20
Fourth 245:18
frankly 240:3
fraud 148:24
 156:11,13
 166:11 207:12,
 17 209:7 210:9
 211:4 219:20
 220:8 248:3,9,
```

```
13 254:2,4,13
frauded 141:23
 248:10
frauds 166:15
 220:20 249:23
 252:24
fraudulent
 124:24 136:21
 138:11,19,24
 139:23 140:8
 141:24 142:2
 148:15 165:24
 166:13 207:1,7
 208:17 218:20
 249:21
fraudulently
 137:1 155:12
 156:17 163:7
 166:20 167:1
 185:18 249:13
freestyle 256:4
Friedstein
 158:1 203:11
front 152:18
 168:14 185:24
full
     190:22
 199:8 201:5
 206:16
fully 202:6
functions 174:2
       G
```

```
gain 211:14
gained 250:5
gave 132:4
 149:19 174:5
 186:4,8
general 131:8
generally
 245:6,19
gentleman
 255:17
genuine 127:10
 214:9 216:9
 241:24
genuinely
 190:18
Georgia
         234:13
get all 152:17
 195:9
```

qist 131:8 give 123:8 150:13 184:3 233:7 237:25 249:17 **good** 138:5 179:19 215:2, 16 225:7 231:20 249:1, 15 Gotcha 138:6 199:5 Governor 169:3 governor's 169:9,16 248:16 grandchildren 233:8 241:1 247:5,7 grandparents 215:18 grounds 244:22 246:20 guess 168:5 quidance 249:17 qun 229:10 **Gutter** 142:23 guy 152:2 211:1 228:13 guys 250:19

#### Η

half 180:5 hand 126:8 128:3 198:21 **handed** 241:13 256:12 handing 126:17, 19,22 handle 150:24 hands 126:17 handwriting 220:7,22 handwritten 256:17,24 happen 146:6 happened 148:24 189:24 231:15 240:23

happening 239:23
he'll 127:18,19
head 172:2
headaches
222:5,10,12
hear 206:9,10
217:12 224:18
232:3
heard 232:23
233:2 240:10
242:8
hearing 135:19 136:1 149:7
136:1 149:7
217:4,19
218:15,16,25
251:12,24
<b>Hearsay</b> 169:5,
11
heart 225:6
heavy 165:8
held 236:21
247:21
highlighted
236:9
highly 250:2 hired 219:19
hires 211:1
history 142:2 143:12,19,22,
24
hit 172:2
hold 153:18
203:4
holiday 228:6
home 153:18
189:20 228:6,
14,18 231:15
Honor 120:12
126.16 133.16
19,25 137:12
139:1 141:6,14
152:6 161:25
165:15 168:4
171:6 173:15
177:9 178:4
179:24 181:5
182:19 185:23
188:17,21
193:10 197:14
198:11 209:6
213:1 218:23

230:17 243:20 246:22 248:2 249:1 254:7 Honor's 243:7 hoping 152:19 254:5 House 233:21 234:12,13 Human 184:14 hundred 216:22

Ι Iantoni 135:9 158:1 203:11 **idea** 183:12 218:2 identical 181:12 182:20 256:21 identification 173:3 174:24 235:15 **identity** 236:24 **II** 242:1 **imagery** 253:12 immediately 207:20 208:6 important 137:16 152:5 196:1 228:3 importantly 250:12 improper 173:20 230:2 improperly 174:9,12 176:25 251:11 252:18 included 138:13,15,17 including 123:3,6 214:23 252:3 incompetency 245:20 incompetent 246:2 incomplete

173:9

increased 185:10 incredibly 126:9 inference 235:16,20 influence 246:16,21,23 247:13,17 information 230:24 235:9 inherent 145:10 initial 129:3, 11 initialed 129:9 initials 128:8, 11,15,22 175:5,8,11,15 176:2 inoperative 182:13 241:25 inquiry 233:2 237:8 inserted 185:3, 5,9,18 231:12 inside 144:8 insider 248:8 **inspect** 125:25 instances 220:8 instruct 208:10 instruction 127:6 instrument 177:15 236:13 insufficient 256:19 insurance 154:17,21,22 155:2 intelligent 239:11 intend 127:17 141:5,10 intended 233:3 intensive 229:3 intent 141:16 156:13,15 238:17 intentions

intents 229:13 interest 122:8 152:12 211:19 250:5 interesting 152:4 interruption 234:7 intertwined 223:8 interview 166:20 interviewed 166:18 introduced 187:25 invalid 162:13, 15 163:7 208:17 237:19 invalidates 211:18 invalidating 246:17 invalidly 139:13 inventory 151:17 investigation 169:22 investigations 254:11 **invite** 228:5 invited 228:14, 17 involved 237:13 involving 238:9 irrevocable 145:13 **issue** 150:17 232:11,17 233:18,20 **issues** 133:17 159:2 209:21 222:25 223:2, 6,7 237:1,14 241:15 242:3 246:10

250:21

legal 135:21

## J

Jake 153:24 **January** 140:9 148:23 189:8 196:6 237:13 **ieez** 186:5 **Jill** 135:9 158:1 191:22 203:11 **job** 216:14 **John** 256:23 **Josepher** 142:23 Josh 153:22 judge 124:23 125:3,6,10,11, 13 152:25 159:13 184:22 192:10 199:10 200:22 211:13, 18 213:22 232:14 240:15, 19 242:9,15 243:2 245:2 246:16 247:20 judgment 216:18 242:1 **July** 221:24 jurisdiction 242:2

# K

Ken 125:22 126:2 kicked 192:16 254:11 **kids** 153:22 **kids'** 153:23 **Kimberly** 166:19 169:1,8 171:15,18 172:23,25 174:22 205:4,5 235:17 **kind** 163:8 172:10 224:9 243:5 253:21 knew 154:6,8 173:25 195:10

218:19 225:8 235:21,23 knowing 174:9 218:24 253:23 knowledge 124:10 125:18 131:20 152:25 165:20 166:17 172:19 174:3 175:6 191:24 221:15 237:1 Kratish's 167:20

## L

lack 236:25 lacked 239:8,14 laid 197:20 landing 169:22 **language** 132:19 144:15 145:4 156:2 163:23 **Lastly** 246:5 law 139:22 150:20 155:17 160:10,11 174:17 177:12 182:12 184:9 192:16 193:2 195:10 201:7,8 207:12 235:1 238:19 242:10, 12 245:17 248:9 249:20 254:19 255:6 lawsuit 213:19, 22 217:21,23 218:10 220:3 **lawyer** 146:20 189:15 layman 155:13, 23 156:1,8 **learn** 146:19 227:2,24 learning 210:8 220:19 253:17 **leave** 163:1 215:7 233:8 **left** 121:23 131:12,15 189:21 230:8

150:13,16,17 151:4 174:14 177:2 212:5,21 235:4 249:18 **legally** 256:19 legitimate 192:13 **letter** 130:8 131:4,5,7,8,20 160:10,12 161:15,19 164:14,17 189:7 229:12 231:14 238:5 239:22 **letting** 162:16 198:17 251:25 254:15 license 121:1 174:5 life 154:22 lifetime 135:4 224:10 light 236:14 237:15 likes 240:3 Lindsay 173:1 174:20,22 176:18 lineal 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:7, 11,16,24 145:5 **Lisa** 158:1 191:21 203:11 **list** 153:4 166:6 183:16 205:18 **listen** 137:24 181:6 205:7 223:22 listening 220:14 litigation 232:14 **live** 153:19 living 132:1 162:8 216:20 **LLC** 153:8

**long** 126:9 142:25 148:12 149:23 **looked** 176:6 219:10,15 lose 211:14,19 212:17 **lost** 186:7 189:19 **lot** 137:25 175:13,23 209:19 251:23 **Lyon** 236:5 Lyons 233:21 234:12,13 236:9

## M

**made** 121:5 122:25 124:15 135:5 141:2,17 142:16 144:16 150:5 169:17 192:4 202:10 206:25 215:6 238:11 256:24 **mail** 191:17 make 140:23,25 156:1 157:8,12 159:2 163:14 171:1 186:16 189:23 197:24 203:9 212:23 219:13 229:10 255:15,16 making 135:12 141:25 154:9 168:23 187:3 221:9 226:20 234:1 238:1 239:11 management 180:12 251:2, 21 252:13 manager 180:10 Manceri 147:15, 16,25 mark 147:15,16, 25 159:23 175:24 176:23 198:21 236:2,3

marked 181:10 187:4
marks 175:24
Marshall 186:14
Martin 123:11
200:22
matter 148:1
169:20,22,25
190:16 212:9,
11 251:3
252:20,21
-
meaning 135:25
148:7 230:24
231:4
means 138:2,5
231:6 245:5
medical 130:2
158:10,25
159:2,6 165:22
221:24 224:5
medically
158:13
medications
225:2
medicine 225:8
medicines
158:15
meet 142:13,15
244:25
meeting 130:14,
23,24 142:18
187:13 193:6
226:12 238:6,8
meetings 238:2,
5
member 120:20
memorandum
203:17
memory 218:1
249:2
mental 239:8
mentally 239:10
mentioned
157:19 158:2
202:23
met 142:16,20
207:22,23
245:13 246:15
metal 165:8
microphone
120:15 206:9
1

	December
224:17	
Miller	147:1
mind 12	9:20
165:20 201:19	179:16
201:19	209:19
224:22	226:7
228:12 16	229:9,
mine 18	1.3
minor 1	41:24
156:12	250:21
minute	256:10
minutes 23,25 1 230:3 2 253:3 2	179:21,
23,25 1	180:3,19
230:3 2	247:25
253:3 2	255:13,
20 <b>Miranda</b>	200.24
Mirandas	200.24
mirror	
mis 217	
miscondu	
171:19	
misinter	pret
215:18	_
misinter	
<b>n</b> 141:2	
mislead	
misleadi: 253:18	ng
misleads	251.1/
missed	183.9
204:1	100.5
missing	143:14
163:9	
misstate	
	174:11,
17	252 10
mistake modified	
mom's 1	
168:1 1	20.21 L96:25
moment	
255:18	
money 2	11:19
215:7 2	233:8
240:5	
months	149:5
218:20	0 - 00
moon 16	9:22

Moran 166:12,19 169:8 171:15, 18 172:23 205:4,5 235:18 Moran's 169:1 MORRISSEY 174:16 242:9, 15 mother 128:21, 24 146:6 202:16 208:21 215:6,22 216:6,10 224:11 mother's 128:15 137:7 141:20 147:12 166:3 170:16,20 195:7 202:21 203:2 204:9 207:2 210:1 211:12 motion 192:4,17 217:8,16,18,19 240:19 256:3, 9,18 motions 256:21 move 127:17 140:4 183:13 254:8,24 moves 144:1 **moving** 136:5 183:12 201:20 **mud** 237:11,12 multi-page 183:22 multifarious 247:19 multiple 127:1 158:23 220:8  $\mathbf{N}$ 

named 195:7
narrow 232:11
natural 235:16
 245:8
nature 245:6
nauseam 195:24
necessarily
 164:3 175:12,

16 189:6 **needed** 218:15, 24 253:23 negative 211:8 neglected 173:25 nervous 234:1 **net** 149:19 non-valid 139:23 nonsense 240:10 North 233:23 236:7,17 237:5 Nos 256:22 notaries 237:19 notarization 169:2 173:8 176:22 193:1 233:19,20 234:16 240:12 241:2 243:16 notarization's 173:20 notarize 172:23,25 notarized 168:12,25 174:9,12 176:18 177:1 199:10,13,18 234:21 235:2, 25 248:17 notarizing 235:2 236:4 **notary** 169:3 174:3 199:15, 21 214:15 234:20 235:1, 12 236:3,6,19, 23,25 **notary's** 236:22 **note** 187:11 **notes** 137:25 138:5 187:9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20,22,24 238:9 252:16 **notice** 251:4 252:5,16 **noticed** 251:15, 16 253:19

officer notified 148:13 150:6,21 186:14 owners 153:20 151:3,4 155:8, offices **notify** 166:19, 188:1 14 156:3,18 25 one's 241:5 Ρ 157:9 158:4,7 notwithstanding 245:6 160:22,23 134:25 135:3 ongoing 254:10 pages 128:9 163:10 164:6, 241:14 **opened** 185:8 129:3 182:10, 23 165:4,10 November 168:13 11 183:22 operating 166:8,22 167:2 184:8 196:9 pain 225:9 220:21 169:5,11 now's 204:25 operative pains 225:6 171:20 172:6 number 133:21 181:18 182:14 Palm 165:8 173:10 174:11, 177:20,21,22 opposed 244:22 166:18 203:8 13,16,25 178:3,4,5,11, order 123:11,18 177:2,23 178:6 Pam 131:4,16, 14,22,23 124:20,22 18,21 136:8 179:14 181:19 184:22,25 125:1,3,6,14 144:11,24 182:3 183:18 185:11,12,13, 162:1 216:14 161:16 191:21 184:11 185:19 16 187:5 190:5 251:4 256:17, 203:10 227:6 186:22 188:11, 224:23 243:19, 13 195:20 20,23,24 Pam's 131:5 20 196:12,22 ordered 122:1 144:7,16 145:5 numbered 183:22 197:6 198:12, 124:3,17,23 **Pamela** 135:6 numbering 20 199:16 125:4 165:3 203:10 177:17 184:18, 201:1,10,17 orders 125:12 paper 159:25 23 203:12,22 212:13 197:17 198:5 **numbers** 183:17 204:3,14 original 121:7, 219:6 184:3,10 209:16 210:11 14,17,21 papers 127:13 **Numeral** 184:2 212:3,21 122:6,21,23 213:9 217:6,11,13,14 numerals 184:2 123:3,4,5,6,9, paragraph 218:3,17 12,15,20,25 161:6,10 219:3,22 124:17,20 163:8,22 164:3 0 220:10,16,23 132:16 163:9 177:18,21 221:6,17 170:15,19,22, 178:1,7,9 O'connell 225:12,15,19 23,25 189:11, 185:3,5,8 251:15 226:4 228:7, 18 191:17 paragraphs oath 120:5 15,23 229:5,17 196:20 209:25 183:23 193:23 244:7 230:16 231:17 210:2,3,5 parents 142:13 **object** 124:5 252:6 215:21,25 147:7 215:16 127:1 141:12 objections originals 162:24 192:19 part 124:5 150:25 249:5, 123:1,7 125:18 230:2,10 131:9 133:13, 16 152:22 170:17 248:19,21 17 137:16 objects 245:8 211:6 216:5 138:15 153:12, objected 148:2 observe 193:4 231:13 250:10 13 154:25 151:22 obtained 170:10 outstanding 155:4 162:4,20 objection 122:4 253:4 octopuses 168:24 184:5 123:13 124:19 253:11 Overruled 188:3 198:13 127:23 128:1 123:23 138:23 offering 160:18 201:21 203:6 133:7 135:16, 140:22 164:10 office 122:17, 223:21 245:23 21 136:11 171:21 184:12 248:12 24 126:2 137:9 138:21 199:17 210:12 130:22 142:17 **parties** 130:25 140:2,21 218:5 168:17 169:8, 149:13 249:11 143:15 144:18

9,24 199:10

222:19 248:16

214:12,16

145:24 146:4

147:2,20

148:9,21

149:1,16

overturn 245:1

overturned

246:20

partner

248:7

partners

161:22,23

<pre>parts 143:13   242:19</pre>
<pre>party 137:3   198:17</pre>
pass 144:25
passed 132:21
192:3 202:13 208:22 227:4,9
passes 145:10
Passover 228:6, 17,22
<pre>past 127:3 pay 136:17</pre>
pay 136:17 152:2
penalties
202:2,4 people 180:15
236:4 238:20,
22 248:17 249:25
<pre>percent 212:17 216:22</pre>
perfect 175:11
perfectly
224:22 239:25
<pre>period 202:13   208:15</pre>
perjury 202:2,4
<pre>permitted   236:11</pre>
person 127:13
173:2 174:4 235:17,21,25
236:20
personal 140:15
148:13 190:14 194:17 196:16
202:18 222:25
223:2,7 237:1
personally
173:2 176:24 201:6 211:19
214:9 231:14
235:14,18,19
236:3,20,23
250:6 persuasive
237:7 241:15
pertinent
230:23 petition
Pericion

```
148:19,23
 189:25 190:20,
 22 196:20
 197:9,25 199:7
Phillips 256:23
phone 130:22
 226:1,3,17
 238:7
picking 197:16
piece 137:15
 197:16 198:5
pieces 159:24
place 146:8
 165:25 166:16
plaintiff's
 133:24 134:2,
 16,18 167:16
 178:16 182:6
 186:22 187:3,6
 231:24 232:4
plan 154:2
planning 141:3
 153:12,13
 155:1,4 219:17
plans 154:25
played 241:1
pled 241:18
podium 120:13,
 14 185:25
 206:7 224:15
point 131:15
 133:12 138:4
 139:22 145:13
 146:16 162:3
 203:5 209:22
 236:8
pointed 162:12
points 138:2
poison 165:8
police 148:25
policy 154:17,
 21,22 155:2,7
Pollock's
 122:17 125:22,
 23 126:2
portion 227:13,
 18
positive 201:20
possession
 121:20 250:16
```

possessions 216:4
possibility 162:15 255:17
possibly 152:17 postmortem
144:14 potential 157:24
power 139:4 161:15 162:22
163:24 189:1 233:4
PR 147:14 192:5,6 251:16 252:3
practical 245:9
<pre>practice 124:13   129:17,19   189:14</pre>
practicing 121:3
<pre>predeceased   132:18,24   133:3,5 135:8   136:9 144:11</pre>
preference
179:10 181:7
preparation 237:24
prepare 149:7
160:12 190:25
prepared 154:4, 5 160:15
177:8,12
190:23 193:2 203:18 238:19
252:13
<pre>presence 128:21 174:4 234:19</pre>
238:24 239:17
242:22,25 243:1
243:1 present 176:21,
22 238:22
244:25 246:18
<pre>presented   241:12 246:2</pre>
presumed
245:20,24 presumption

```
pretrial 251:1
pretty 154:10
pride 253:13
prima 244:1,9
prior 141:17
 142:18 154:1
 155:23 160:10
 189:13 203:9
 218:10 224:7
 225:11 226:25
 227:17
privilege
 208:9,12
 223:5,11,14
probate 214:6
 239:2 244:22
problem 230:21
problems 130:2
 158:23 221:25
 225:10,14,17
 226:14 240:11
 252:2
procedural
 150:14
procedure
 150:17 251:2
proceed 128:6
 203:6 255:5
proceedings
 120:3 243:23
process 251:2,
 24 254:19
procured 247:1,
 3 248:5
procuring 247:9
produce 124:4
 125:5 136:21
 141:24 150:4,
 20
produced 139:14
 148:14 173:2
 174:23 176:22
 235:15 236:24
 250:10
producing 137:2
production
 182:12 188:7
 250:9
professional
 220:21 221:5
proffered
```

247:12,14,16

161:20 168:8,

127:25 182:2 **Proof** 243:22 proper 230:13, 14 243:16 244:14 properly 148:6 172:23 192:13 193:7 234:19 236:3 248:17 252:24 254:9 property 202:18 203:20 231:1 245:7 proponent 243:25 protect 220:6 **prove** 246:23 **provide** 141:19 250:20 provided 135:4, 8 243:20 246:21 **proving** 245:20 provision 139:6 psychiatrist 158:17 222:14, 17 223:17 psychiatrist's 222:19 psychologist**client** 223:14 **public** 169:3 **pulled** 197:11 **purport** 238:20 purported 241:5 purposes 125:24 129:10,11 132:19,23 133:5 135:5 136:8 229:4,13 123:4 put 134:11,13,14 136:1 144:15 151:17 168:18, 21 181:17,24 185:16 232:12 234:17 237:22 239:7 249:3 255:2,12,19 **putting** 181:20

# Q

question 122:5 123:10,19,21 125:15 127:19 133:1 136:4 137:13,18 139:7 141:8, 11,15 142:7 143:22 144:9, 15 145:8,9 146:13 147:23 148:2,12 151:2,10 152:9 155:19 156:20 159:19 161:12 163:13,14,19 164:7 165:13, 15 178:7 188:14 192:25 193:6 194:10, 19 199:18 200:21 201:22 204:2,4 207:6 209:8,11 211:4 213:2 215:2 218:6,7,13 220:12,14 224:1 225:13 226:8,10 227:16,17 229:11 233:17 234:23,24 239:19 241:23 256:7 questioning 134:19 142:10 156:5 questioning's 195:24 questions 120:15 121:11, 14 127:14 141:13 146:20 150:12 152:3, 20 154:13 160:7 163:2 170:3 180:22 182:23 183:1 186:18 194:7 204:19 208:14 209:2,21

213:13 221:12 224:18 249:6, 16,18 quick 126:7 178:4 **quickly** 227:16 **quote** 245:3

#### R

raise

247:12, 13,16 raised 233:17 234:24 ranting 142:5 **rapidly** 186:19 **read** 130:7 133:10,11,13, 14 135:2 144:10 159:10 161:5,11 178:2 200:24,25 227:12,13,18 235:11 255:14 reading 136:18 161:7,10 reads 178:12 readv 120:4 180:21 252:15 **real** 126:7 142:1 178:4 253:9 realize 249:1 **Realty** 153:8 **reask** 137:17 reason 138:7 184:9 206:11 215:19 216:8 219:16,18,21, 25 222:22 237:8,9 240:22 246:17 222:16 reasons 230:22,23 247:19 rebuttal 231:23 254:23 255:11 recall 122:3 126:3 131:1 132:3 142:20 146:25 154:9

11 173:13 188:5 196:8 222:12 228:8, 19,21 229:2 receive 182:4 received 161:2 186:22 187:6 198:19,23 248:25 recently 248:7 receptacle 157:22,24 receptacles 158:2 recess 180:18 256:8 recite 242:18 record 130:8 133:16 134:4 161:5,8 170:24 180:25 206:4, 14 227:13,18 235:5 239:13 254:25 255:1 records 188:10 recusal 255:12 **recuse** 256:3 redirect 188:20,22 216:25 217:1 **refer** 125:25 183:21 242:10 referenced 154:17,21 referral 142:17 referred 187:5 referring 122:10 124:22 125:2 130:12 166:2,4 183:4 228:20 **refers** 246:7 reflect 206:4 218:4 238:16 regard 234:24 245:12 246:3, 21 reheard 252:19 rejected 192:10,11

relation 169:9
relationship
215:16 245:7
246:25 247:11
relevance
123:17 147:2,
20,22 148:4
149:1 150:21
164:23 165:4,
10 166:22
167:2 171:20
196:12,22,23
197:1,4 201:1,
12,17 210:11
218:17,18
225:12,19
228:7,10,11,23
229:5,6
relevancy
135:16 136:11
150:6 151:23
155:8 204:14
relevant 136:1,
2 150:22
162:1,14
200:10 212:25
213:3 220:15
221:7,11 233:1
237:25 242:15,
19
relieve 130:11
rely 234:10
relying 249:23
254:5 remain 123:2
remaining
179:23,25 248:1 253:3
remember 131:6
180:17 197:10
228:1
remind 137:22
reminding
208:13
removal 251:24
repeat 138:8
143:18,21,25
211:16
replacement
125:13
reporter 206:10
227.14 19
227:14,19

```
represent
 250:18
representation
 252:3
representative
 140:15 148:14
 149:24 190:14
 194:17 196:16
 231:18
represented
 148:17 250:14,
 15
representing
 141:23 148:6
 219:20 253:7
request 255:12
requested
 170:24
requests 253:5
require 200:3,
 14
required 244:8
requirement
 235:10
requires 243:10
requiring
 124:20
requisites
 246:13
rescheduled
 252:19
reserve 242:2
resigned 124:24
resolve 209:22
respect 147:25
 242:16,20
 243:6 244:13
 245:14 246:4,
 9,14 247:18
respective
 135:7 161:17
responded
 141:15 219:10
responding
 151:20
responsible
 194:4
rest 193:13
 231:21,22
 242:2 255:10
```

restated 176:20
233:7
resume 120:4 180:21
retain 123:11, 20
retained 189:12
207:17 209:8 210:22,23 214:5
retainer
147:19,23 148:3
rethink 146:22
retired 125:7, 10
returned 240:18
review 164:5
revocation 244:23
revoke 203:8
Rick 169:3,21,
24
rights 200:24
254:19
robert 120:9 188:22 206:25
232:23 235:14
role 212:24 241:1
roles 147:5,10
Roman 184:2
Rose 120:12 122:4 123:13, 17 124:5,19 126:16,19,25 127:9,16 128:4 130:7 133:7,16 134:9 135:16, 21 136:11 137:9 138:21 140:2,21 143:15 144:18 145:24 146:4 147:2,20 148:21 149:1, 5,8,16,20
150:6,21 151:12 155:8, 14 156:3,18 157:9 158:4,7 160:23 161:25

```
162:19 163:10
 164:6,23
 165:4,10
 166:8,22 167:2
 169:5,11
 170:23 171:20,
 22 173:4,10,17
 174:11,14,25
 177:2,23
 179:15 181:5,
 10,16 182:5,9
 183:18 184:11
 185:19,23
 186:24 187:1,
 25 188:11,15,
 21,23 190:6,9
 192:22 193:10,
 13 195:20,23
 196:12,22
 197:9,14
 198:11 199:16
 201:1,10,12,17
 203:12,22,24
 204:14,19
 205:6 206:6
 208:1,3,7
 210:11 212:3,
 5,21 213:15,17
 216:23 217:6
 218:3,10,17
 219:9,22
 220:10,23
 221:6,17
 223:3,10
 224:14 225:12,
 19 226:4
 228:7,23
 229:5,22
 230:1,2,10,13,
 23 231:25
 232:5,9 234:8,
 12 242:14
 248:19,21
 249:1 251:13
 252:6 253:17
 255:15
roundabout
 153:16
row 194:8
Rubin 142:23
Ruffin 142:24
rule 136:3
 217:10 219:4,5
```

249:16 251:1, 10,18 ruled 136:2 256:15,19 rules 211:13 216:17 252:21, 22,23 255:4,6 ruling 197:24 216:13 256:16 running 209:17 Ryan 146:25

#### S

**sad** 240:13 safe 123:4 170:15 189:16, 20,21 **sake** 215:12,14 satisfactory 236:24 237:2 satisfying 247:14 **save** 192:7 **SB** 128:13,16, 17,18,19,22 **scan** 158:20 scenario 211:15 238:25 scheduled 251:9 252:17 school 143:3,6, **scope** 226:5 scoring 138:2 **Scott** 169:21 Scott's 169:3, 24 **screw** 249:18 **seat** 233:25 234:6 SEC 248:6 249:4 253:2 **secret** 181:24 **section** 144:10 243:21 **seeking** 223:21 **seize** 248:14 self-proved 237:7

self-proving 168:25 233:13, 14,18 236:21 239:24 243:6, 8,14 244:6,12 **send** 132:5,10, 12 240:14 sending 155:25 sentence 227:11 235:12,17 244:15,17,19 separate 157:17 203:17 September 194:3 200:21 sequence 184:3, 23 sequences 177:17 **serve** 149:23 **served** 125:11 147:9,11,13 148:19,24 **session** 179:21 180:19 255:13 **set** 229:24 238:2,4 setting 251:4 **settled** 202:10 **sharp** 239:10 shenaniqans 240:11 **sheriff** 166:19, 25 186:14 **shine** 255:18 **Shirley** 133:20 136:22 138:12, 15,18,20 139:10,12,25 140:12,17 145:4,12 155:12 157:3, 12,15,19 158:6 163:8,25 166:12 167:15 177:7 184:6,7, 17 189:12 194:17 195:2, 16,18 196:6, 15,18 203:7 232:19 238:17 239:19,25

241:22 245:23 252:16 Shirley's 126:15 132:17 140:15 144:17, 23 146:3 148:15 151:12, 13,16 157:22, 24 168:3 194:13 195:14 202:11 207:5,9 234:22 241:3 251:17 **short** 179:20 183:9 **show** 120:16 126:21 127:14, 15,18 138:3 179:17 181:13 182:1 190:21 236:15,25 **showed** 131:7 170:16 181:23 showing 246:2 **shown** 128:3 248:16 **Si** 129:22 130:23 131:19, 25 132:4,7 139:4 142:19 166:13 194:24, 25 195:1,3 200:5,7 229:8 245:23 Si's 144:14 161:15 173:16 200:4 **sic** 125:6 207:8 229:3 250:3 **side** 126:22 151:5,6 180:3 181:24 182:1 193:16 198:7 230:15 231:24 232:4 242:5 247:23 252:22 **sides** 180:7 **sign** 128:24 129:4,6,12 158:25 167:24 190:14,24 191:8 199:15,

20 200:11,20 214:16 238:6, 20 242:21,24 signature 129:5,6,7,13, 14,16 167:10, 14,21,23 168:1 170:7,8,13 171:5,10,12,13 172:18,21 174:22 176:13, 15 182:15 190:17,18 191:2,14 193:1 200:4,14 243:13 signatures 175:15 219:15 **signed** 128:20 129:7,8 158:12 165:18 166:14 168:11 189:25 191:5,6,9,12, 22,23 192:2, 13,24 196:20 199:20,22 200:5,6,7,8,9, 10,12,19 202:5 214:13 216:9, 11 221:23 232:20 233:9 234:18 235:24 238:19,23 239:23 240:21 246:11 249:25 250:4,24 significant 146:16 signing 159:5 239:16 **silent** 236:19, 22 **similar** 128:23 172:14 175:8, 12,19,21,23 176:7 **Simon** 135:6 145:16,17 146:2 149:20 150:19,20 157:7 158:11 161:16 163:25 165:18 166:1

169:2 173:24
169:2 1/3:24
176:21 189:12,
25 190:10,13,
17,24 191:2
192:2,13,24 193:5 194:2, 13,16,20
192.2,13,24
193:5 194:2,
13 16 20
107.107.20
195:4,5,9,10,
17 196:10
199:25 201:15
202:6 203:10,
20 232:21
233:3 235:18
238:9,10,17
230.3,10,17
239:8,15
241:22 251:7,8
050 4 14 10
252:4,14,18
Simon's 153:12
154:2 158:10,
13 162:22
172 10 100 05
1/3:19 188:25
202:25 223:6
220.15 221.15
202:25 223:6 229:15 231:15 234:25 235:2
234:25 235:2,
3,6,7 251:9,16
simple 211:4
<b>simply</b> 237:2
239:13
<b>single</b> 175:25
<b>single</b> 175:25
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22   128:4 183:11   186:15 193:12</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22   128:4 183:11   186:15 193:12</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22   128:4 183:11   186:15 193:12   216:23 231:25</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22    128:4 183:11    186:15 193:12    216:23 231:25    233:25 255:19</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22    128:4 183:11    186:15 193:12    216:23 231:25    233:25 255:19</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22    128:4 183:11    186:15 193:12    216:23 231:25    233:25 255:19 sister 227:5</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22    128:4 183:11    186:15 193:12    216:23 231:25    233:25 255:19 sister 227:5    233:22</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22    128:4 183:11    186:15 193:12    216:23 231:25    233:25 255:19 sister 227:5    233:22</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10 slogging</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10 slogging     237:11,15</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10 slogging</pre>
<pre>single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22     128:4 183:11     186:15 193:12     216:23 231:25     233:25 255:19 sister 227:5     233:22 sisters 214:19     240:25 sit 211:11     212:15 sitting 125:12     205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10 slogging     237:11,15</pre>

December 1
<b>So.2d</b> 245:4,18 246:22
<b>sole</b> 145:20 157:4,5 202:20
249:23
solitary 175:25
solve 226:14 somebody's
152:3
<b>Sony</b> 143:4
sort 237:6
240:13 sought 244:23
<b>sound</b> 165:20
<b>sounds</b> 196:8
spacing 185:10
<b>Spallina</b> 120:9, 11 182:12
188:22 193:18,
22 194:2
207:11 208:3
213:25 214:15 215:23 216:1
232:23 235:14
237:14,23
238:2 244:16 245:11 246:12,
13 249:4,12
250:4 254:12
Spallina's 207:1 241:14
243:3 244:3
245:22
<pre>speak 161:11 167:22 224:17</pre>
232:6
speaking 129:24
<pre>special 154:2,    12</pre>
<b>specific</b> 123:10 148:1 245:13
246:10
specifically
215:7
<pre>speed 198:11 spend 240:6</pre>
spoke 131:11
149:10 173:21
spoken 149:4
<b>spot</b> 163:21 214:1
71 <del>1</del> .1

```
spouse 135:8
spouse's
          203:9
stack 256:12
stamp 188:3,6
stand 120:12
 206:11 208:13
 248:8
stands 236:5
stapled 127:1
star 254:3
start 175:19
started 143:20
 169:21 180:5
 232:18
starts 134:25
state 129:20
 130:23 131:5
 133:1 151:4
 158:10 174:13,
 23 203:4
 206:14 224:21
 226:7 228:12
 229:8,16
 233:22 244:5
 250:13
stated 121:5
 138:10 139:13
 170:24 197:15
 217:3 226:13
 229:2
statement 202:1
 253:1
statements
 151:21 250:2
states 161:15
 202:6
stating 132:7
 166:14 200:24
 231:14
status 251:6,9
 253:9,10,16
statute 236:14,
 16 237:1
 242:15 244:5,
 15
statutes 242:10
 244:8
statutory
 243:21
stay 206:6,8
 209:18
```

```
step
     193:12
 204:20 224:15
 237:18 241:10
steps 210:15
stop 135:11
 151:4 156:21
 220:14 252:10
story 195:13
 240:13
straight 180:25
straighten
 179:20
stress 130:5,
 10,11,12
 131:14 159:6
 225:18,23
strike 192:23
struggling
 162:13
Stuart 206:18,
 23
stuff 137:25
 146:11 151:7
 162:17 219:4
subject 223:10
 254:10
submit 160:5,17
 179:8,11
 244:2,11
 247:10,20
 252:23
submitted 142:3
 147:16 191:25
 240:16 242:13
 245:15 248:11
 249:21 250:24
submitting
 192:1
subscribing
 239:17
Subsection
 242:24 243:23
 244:18,20
substantial
 236:6,15
 247:16
successor
 192:5,6 194:5,
 16,21 195:1,3,
 7,11 196:16
sued 250:17
 253:6
```

suffer 224:8				
suffering				
222:6,11				
sufficient				
236:7 239:5				
suggest 212·13				
suggest 212:13 238:16,18				
246:19 247:2,6				
suggesting				
164:2				
support 150:5				
supposed 129:4				
162:10 176:18				
188:9 199:3				
250:15				
<b>Supreme</b> 233:21 234:14 236:9				
234:14 236:9				
surprise 231:17				
Surprised 189:9				
surrendered				
120:25				
survive 203:20				
survived 202:16				
204:10				
surviving				
202:22				
<b>survivor</b> 135:8 157:4				
sustain 148:9				
197:6 209:16				
217:14 219:3				
220:16 225:15				
228:15 229:17				
231:16				
sustained 124:7				
133:9 135:17,				
23 136:13				
140:3 143:16				
144:20 145:25				
147:3 149:2,17				
150:7 151:10				
155:9 156:19				
157:10 158:8				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5,				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18 175:1 177:4				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18 175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18 175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18 175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21 195:22 196:13				
157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18 175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21				

203:14,25 204:3,17 212:7 219:23 220:24 225:20 228:24 248:23 249:5 swiftly 242:3 sworn 152:25 201:25 202:1 205:22 235:13

# Т

179:3,4 Tab 183:10 190:7 taking 225:8 232:7 talked 131:23, 24 137:4 166:5 216:6 226:22 232:18 talking 125:14 126:24 130:16 146:25 195:14 198:9 203:2 223:4 248:21 tangible 202:18 technically 253:15 ted 131:17,18 132:2,14,18,24 133:2 135:6 136:8 140:11, 14 142:18 144:7,11,16,23 147:12 161:17 191:21 194:4, 22,23,24,25 195:1,2,4,5,10 196:15 203:10 205:2,14,21 206:2,15,25 211:5 213:16 217:1 221:23 240:24 251:24 252:2 **Ted's** 140:17 145:5 telephone 130:17 141:18 238:9 ten 233:8

247:5,7

253:25 terms **Tescher** 142:23 147:6 160:16 182:12 207:11 208:2 213:25 215:22 237:13 Tescher's 189:7 test 165:8 testamentary 193:8 239:14, 16 241:19,21 244:13 245:3,5 246:7,11,14 testator 234:20 238:23 242:21, 25 243:11 testator's 243:13 245:8 testatrix 243:12 testified 129:20 146:9 188:11 205:23 216:1 237:23 239:10 246:13 **testify** 198:2 244:16 testifying 206:5 testimony 149:19 154:1 176:1 235:3,22 237:21,22 238:21 239:3, 20 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:10,11,22 246:9 249:24 that'll 152:20 160:25 175:20 198:20 234:6 Theodore 206:18,23 thing 126:24 127:13 131:3 173:14 175:10 196:2 things 127:1 138:8 156:21 159:20 198:11 213:18 248:22, 24 249:8

250:13 251:19 252:15 254:16 thinks 212:24 220:15 221:8, 9,10 thought 150:15 162:10 202:23 231:2 255:3 threaten 200:23 three-page 181:16 191:1 thrown 211:13 tied 152:8 time 123:4 127:4,24 130:3 133:12 134:10, 14,15 142:22 151:8 158:13, 23,25 159:4 162:7 172:3 175:14 176:11 179:19 180:6,8 186:19 187:21 192:24 193:13 196:3,11 204:9,11 205:1 208:15,22 209:17 213:8 218:14 219:2 225:5 228:4 230:7 231:6 232:2,5,15 241:9 246:8 251:23 254:14, 20 times 138:1 142:9 149:4,10 171:23 189:12 201:19 246:13 title 153:18 titled 243:22 today 124:12 133:18 136:1 149:15,20 150:5 152:16 176:1 187:21 211:11,19 212:15 213:11, 21 214:22 217:4,9,17 218:15 221:10 244:3,16,24 245:16,22

247:5,8

240:20

uncredible

understand

250:3

245:6

un-notarized

uncontroverted

232:22 243:2

244:4 245:12

127:6 156:1

189:23 194:19

201:21 207:6

234:5 241:12

142:1 163:12

211:17 255:6

238:21 239:21

21,23 247:13,

undue 246:16,

understanding

understands

189:24

undertake

192:17

17

undisputed

unfortunate

240:23

ungenuine

241:25

246:3 247:2,7 248:3 249:13 250:1,10,14,21 253:16 256:16 told 131:25 132:7 180:4 214:20,23 220:13 top 168:19,22 tortured 240:13 total 141:25 149:19 totality 238:15 touched 137:15 Traci 167:20 track 209:17 trading 248:8 transcript 224:3 251:13 transmitted 138:14 trial 146:9 162:20,23 180:4,8,11 188:25 237:9
251:8,10,17 252:9,12,17 254:18
trouble 141:25 226:13
true 139:11 229:23 238:17  trust 121:7 122:8 126:15 129:3,11 132:22 133:6, 20 135:5,13,20 136:22,24 137:2,7 138:12,16,18, 20,25 139:10, 12,25 140:12, 17,18 141:25 144:5,17,23,25 145:1,3,4,10, 12,19,20 146:3,7 148:15 149:14 150:2, 20 152:12 155:3,12 156:2 157:6,13,15, 17,19,23,24

```
158:1,2,6,11
 163:8,25
 166:16 167:15
 168:3,24 169:2
 173:19 176:17,
 19 177:7,15
 184:6,17
 189:14 192:25
 207:1,5,8,9
 209:25 210:5
 232:19,21
 233:6 234:25
 235:7 241:3
 247:1 249:14
 250:16,17,22
 251:17 252:14
 253:6
trustee 145:18
 147:13 195:1,3
 207:10,17
 208:3 209:3
 210:15,25
 211:20 212:20
 213:6 216:15
 220:5,18 221:3
 250:6,15,17,22
 252:5
trusts 122:11
 131:13 132:2,9
 147:6 151:19
 152:8,18
 153:23,25
 157:7 165:25
 169:10 194:6
 210:6 211:20
 216:1,5 246:19
turn 122:1
 124:17,25
 188:9
turned 124:16,
 21
two-by-four
 172:3
type 122:18
typical 168:17
typically
 175:11 189:20
```

U

ultimately

132:4 139:4

# unhappy 131:8 **unlike** 129:2 236:1 unrebutted 241:16 **upheld** 157:3 239:18 241:20 **uphold** 241:17 usual 129:22 V **vague** 141:20 **valid** 137:2,5,6 138:20 139:9 140:18 141:1 152:19 155:13 162:2,3,12 184:6,17,20 188:24 189:4 191:22 211:14

```
212:12,13
 213:23 214:9
 216:9,14
 218:12,14
 219:10 233:12,
 15,16 240:9
 241:8,21 245:1
 247:21 252:5
validate 149:14
 210:16 211:5
 217:8 219:21,
 25 220:7 241:6
 248:6 249:11
 250:1 254:6,8
validated
 211:12 212:10
 217:4 220:21
 221:3,5,8
validation
 245:16
validity 135:19
 137:12 151:19
 162:6,11
 169:14 170:2
 208:25 210:19,
 24 212:16
 217:17,19
 218:15,22,25
 219:5 229:7,14
 243:18,24
 252:25 253:22,
 24
validly 139:9
vault 189:15
vaults 215:23
 216:2
version 138:25
view 189:7
 241:10 251:11
viewed 236:14
violated 251:19
violates
 251:10,18
virtue 202:21
vivid 253:12
Volume 120:3
       W
```

W-e-i-h-e

wait 217:10	witnessed	
255:25	180:16 214:15	Z
waiver 130:8	witnesses 153:2	
166:13 189:24	171:14 180:1,	<b>zip</b> 122:18,21
190:10,14,22	15 205:8,9,11	216 122.10,21
191:8,11,19,21	231:19 234:19	
192:1 199:8	238:23 239:17	
waivers 166:13,	241:13 242:23,	
14 197:4	24 243:12	
walk 120:16	word 158:3	
walking 197:17	235:11	
wanted 121:13	words 163:23	
222:23,25	185:17 254:6	
223:4 226:19	work 141:13	
230:7 231:2	143:4 181:5	
250:13 256:3	196:3	
warned 223:13	worked 176:24	
warning 156:24	181:8	
waste 151:8	working 180:23	
162:7	worth 149:19	
wasted 162:5	wrangled 253:15	
wealth 233:8	wrangling	
weekend 214:6	253:11	
	write 137:25	
weeks 158:10	138:7 164:17	
173:19	writing 138:1,4	
Weihe 245:17	229:2 242:17	
whatsoever	255:2,13,19	
216:12 219:16	written 161:18	
Whichever	201:18 203:17	
216:17	213:9 235:9	
wife 126:8	255:22 256:3,9	
224:22	wrong 179:13	
will's 170:15	192:1 240:14	
wills 131:13	253:15	
146:8 151:19	wrote 130:8	
152:8,18	211:8 221:19	
169:10 189:11 194:6 203:9	229:12 231:14	
233:15 242:16		
245:1 246:19	У	
Wilmott's 245:4		
win 237:7	Yates 136:24	
winding 153:3	137:8 139:13,	
	15,17,18	
wisely 180:6	140:1,19 144:6	
wishes 144:14	148:25 155:11	
156:16 157:3,7	156:7	
233:4 239:12,	<b>year</b> 200:22	
	years 125:7	
withdrawn 226:9	143:1 155:3	
withdrew 121:2	224:23 225:7	
	]	I .