Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 1 of 38 PageID #:15221

1

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO: 502012CP004391XXXXNB(IH)

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

/

Proceedings before the Honorable ROSEMARIE SCHER

Volume I

Friday, June 2, 2017

3188 PGA Boulevard

North County Courthouse

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410

1:53 - 3:30 p.m.

Reported by: Lisa Mudrick, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida

> Mudrick Court Reporting, Inc. (561) 615-8181

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 2 of 38 PageID #:15222 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	non	Bernstein
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	6 Amended Petition for 59
2	On behalf of William E. Stansbury:	2	Authorization to Enter into
3	PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3695 West Boynton Beach Boulevard	3	Contingency Agreement, Docket
4	Suite 9 Boynton Beach, Florida 33436	4	Entry 405
5	BY: PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQUIRE (Mkoskey@feamanlaw.com)	5	7 Inventory 12-1-14 59
6	JEFFREY T. ROYER, ESQUIRE (Jroyer@feamanlaw.com)	6	8 Payment of Checks 69
7	Also present: William Stansbury	7	-
8	····	8	
9	On behalf of Ted Bernstein: MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA	9	
10	THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600	10	
11	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 BY: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQUIRE	11	
12	(Arose@mrachek-law.com)	12	
	On babalf of the Demonstrate bins of the	13	
13 14	On behalf of the Personal Representative of the Estate of Simon Bernstein:	14	
	CIKLIN LUBITZ & O'CONNELL 515 North Flagler Drive, 19th Floor		
15	West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 BY: ASHLEY CRISPIN ACKAL, ESQUIRE	15	
16	(Acrispin@ciklinlubitz.com) BRIAN M. O'CONNELL, ESQUIRE	16	
17	(Boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com)	17	
18	On behalf of Eliot Bernstein's minor children:	18	
19	ADR & MEDIATION SERVICES, LLC 2765 Tecumseh Drive	19	
20	West Palm Beach, Florida 33409 BY: THE HONORABLE DIANA LEWIS	20	
21	(Dzlewis@aol.com)	21	
22	On behalf of himself:	22	
23	ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, pro se (Iviewit@iviewit.tv)	23	
24	(24	
25		25	
	Dogo 2	12	53:29-13:53:45 Page 5
1	Page 3	15.	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
2	 	1	PROCEEDINGS
3	INDEX	2	
4		3	
5	EXAMINATIONS Page		proceedings were had in the above-styled and
6	Witness:		numbered cause in the North County Courthouse, City
-	WILLIAM STANSBURY		of Palm Beach Gardens, County of Palm Beach, in the
7	BY MR. FEAMAN 61		State of Florida, by Lisa Mudrick, RPR, FPR, before
8	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN 77		the Honorable ROSEMARIE SCHER, Judge in the
9	BY MS. CRISPIN 85	9	above-named Court, on June 2, 2017, to wit:
10		10	
11		11	8
12	EXHIBITS MARKED	12	
13	No. Stansbury's	13	1
14	1 Order Appointing Administrator Ad 54	14	1
15	Litem, 5/23/14	15	8
16	2 Amended Order Appointing 54	16	
17	Administrator Ad Litem, 6/16/14	17	7 1
18	3 Motion to Intervene 56	18	
19	4 Verified Copy of Order Granting 57	19	
20	Motion to Intervene	20	
21	5 Petition for Authorization to 57	21	5
22	Enter into Contingency Agreement,	22	,
23	Docket Entry 403	23	represent Ted S. Bernstein as successor trustee
2.5		1	
24		24	of the Simon Bernstein Trust, which is the sole
		24 25	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 3 of 38 PageID #:15223 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

Estate of Sim	on Be	ernstein	
3:54:43 Page 6	13:56:2	0-13:57:16 Pag	ge 8
THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, o se. MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf of r. Stansbury. With me in the court today is r. Stansbury. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: Also with me is one of my law rtners who may be participating today pending on what happens, Jeff Royer. Thank ou. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: so you may begin. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Brief opening atement, Your Honor, if I may. First, I am gratified that we had the evious hearings concerning the conflict legations because Your Honor had a chance to come familiar with what's going on in hicago. And so I would request first that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hourly or contingency fee basis which has been offered by counsel up in Chicago. And that they state that it's in the best interests of the estate to continue with the litigation up in Chicago. When we first had the hearing in front of Judge Colin back in May 2014, Your Honor, whi is now three years ago, there was some question raised by the parties in that room at that time as to whether this was going to be a wild goose chase. And so Judge Colin and by the way, we did a notice of filing the entire transcript, Your Honor, which I will give to you at today's hearing if there's not a ruling for Your Honor to review. Because only parts of it have been cited by opposing counsel. It can be somewhat misleading to the Court. But there the question was and the issue was should the judge appoint Mr. Stansbury as administrator ad litem to pursue this. The Court said, well, I don't want it to be Mr. Stansbury because he is a claimant, but I	ich n
our Honor try your best to harken back to some		can appoint somebody independent. But becau	se
that knowledge and some of those documents ay be repetitive, but I am glad we have that	24 25	there were arguments made that this was not in the best interests of the estate, Mr. Stansbury	
3:56:05 Page 7	13:57:3	31-13:58:35 Pag	ge 9
sis to go forward. The first part of this motion, Your Honor, ould be the easiest, and that's to discharge r. Stansbury from any further responsibility funding the Illinois litigation on behalf of e Estate of Simon Bernstein. There's no thority that I am aware of nor have I been ted to by anyone else that a claimant can be reed to fund litigation that benefits the tate. That's number one. Number two, the previous orders that began is train going down this track of r. Stansbury funding the Chicago litigation, oth of whom both orders said "initially." ne said initially, the one that Judge Colin tered the day of the hearing on May 23rd. and then the second order that came out about ree weeks later Judge Colin actually wrote in nitially" in his order. And then thirdly, Your Honor, which we'll ing to the Court's attention when we put in r evidence, the personal representative has ed two motions in this estate saying that ey would like to take over, they can take ter the funding of the litigation either on an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	volunteered to front the costs. And so that's how we went forward. And now here we are the years later. It's clear that the evidence will show that the estate does want to proceed with this action and a benefit has been conferred, which gets to the second part of the motion, which is Mr. Stansbury should be reimbursed no for his expenses that he has incurred. The third part of the motion, Your Honor, is the actual costs and expenses and fees that Mr. Stansbury has paid. And Mr. O'Connell an Mr. Rose and I have stipulated that if there's a ruling that Mr. Stansbury has benefitted the estate, then we would have a separate evidentiary hearing if we can't otherwise agree on the amount of the fees. Because we want to at least get done today what we can get done with regard to Mr. Stansbury's right to be discharged from funding the estate and whether Mr. Stansbury has conferred a benefit so that he would at this time so that he would be entitled to reimbursement of his costs. MR. ROSE: Just for the record, that's not the stipulation. The only thing we stipulated was we don't have to do today the amount. I	ow d
	3:54:43 Page 6 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, o.se. MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf of r. Stansbury. With me in the court today is r. Stansbury. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: Also with me is one of my law tritners who may be participating today pending on what happens, Jeff Royer. Thank u. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, s is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: so you may begin. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Brief opening tement, Your Honor, if I may. First, I am gratified that we had the evious hearings concerning the conflict egations because Your Honor had a chance to come familiar with what's going on in icago. And so I would request first that our Honor try your best to harken back to some that knowledge and some of those documents ay be repetitive, but I am glad we have that 3:56:05 Page 7 sis to go forward. The first part of this motion, Your Honor, ould be the easiest, and that's to discharge . Stansbury from any further responsibility funding the Illinois litigation on behalf of P Estate of Simon Bernstein. There's no	3:54:43Page 613:56:2THE COURT: Okay.1MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, o se.3MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf of r. Stansbury. With me in the court today is c. Stansbury.3THE COURT: Thank you.7MR. FEAMAN: Also with me is one of my law truers who may be participating today pending on what happens, Jeff Royer. Thank u.8THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, s is your client's motion13INF, FEAMAN: Thank you.14THE COURT: so you may begin.15MR, FEAMAN: Thank you. Brief opening tement, Your Honor, if I may.16First, I am gratified that we had the evious hearings concerning the conflict egations because Your Honor had a chance to come familiar with what's going on in aicago. And so I would request first that yue repetitive, but I am glad we have that223:56:05Page 713:57:3sis to go forward.11The first part of this motion, Your Honor, ould be the easiest, and that's to discharge r. Stansbury from any further responsibility funding the Illinois litigation on behalf of se Estate of Simon Bernstein. There's no thority that I am aware of nor have I been ed to fund litigation that benefits the att. That's number one.10Number two, the previous orders that began st rain going down this track of r. Stansbury funding the Chicago litigation, th of whom both orders said "initially."14te eweeks later Judge Colin att rain going down this track of r. Stansbury funding the Chicago litigation, th of whom both orders said "initially."16te eweeks later	 THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf ofStansbury. Stansbury. With me in the court today isStansbury. Stansbury. MR. FEAMAN: Also with me is one of my law thres who may be participating today pending on what happens, Jeff Royer. Thank u. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is or client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, is your client's motion MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. THE GOURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, was should the issue administrator ad litem to pursue this. The 's not a ruling that have had the 's your Honor, that a chance to come familiar with what's going on in itcago. And so I would request first that that kowledge and some of those documer to the scool part of the motion, Your Honor, Wich at a claimant to been of the second part of the motion, Your Honor, which was not in the second order that calimating in his order. And the this rack of 's classing which were that a volume ere weeks later Judge Colin tere's and which were's in the weithere's and 'there's

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 4 of 38 PageID #:15224 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	ion B	ernstein
13:58	48-13:59:33 Page 10	14:01:	05-14:01:50 Page 12
13:58 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 certainly don't agree that if you discharge him he gets anything until there's been a benefit to the estate. I can argue that. I didn't want the record to be unclear that I by silence stipulated to something that's not true. MR. FEAMAN: I didn't mean to imply that, Your Honor. THE COURT: I honestly did not think that you agreed to I understood. MR. ROSE: We'll do the amount at another time if you are going to award something. THE COURT: I understood. Let me let Mr. Feaman when he has completed his opening I am going to ask the parties questions. So continue. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Now, in regard to the benefit that Mr. Stansbury has conferred upon the estate, the evidence will show that the original personal representatives, 	14:01: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	05-14:01:50Page 12in Chicago his own motion on his own behalf as a claimant to the Bernstein estate to intervene. That motion was denied. But then we had the hearing in May first we had Mr. Stansbury filed a motion to appoint an administrator ad litem or a curator for the estateTHE COURT: That was Mr. Brown; am I correct?MR. FEAMAN: And that was Mr. Brown. And then once Mr. Brown was in place, then Mr. Stansbury moved and said, okay, I would like to intervene, because Mr. Brown said, I don't know, I don't really know enough. So Mr. Stansbury said, well, I will move. And then we had the hearing on the 23rd. The hearing on the 23rd then it was interesting because it was opposed by Ted Bernstein. It was opposed by some of the other
20	Messrs. Tescher and Spallina, the disgraced	20	attorneys. And Mr. Brown really was kind of
21	attorneys, had no intention of trying to	21	neutral. It was before Mr. O'Connell got into
22	recover this money on behalf of the estate, the	22	that became the successor personal
23 24	life insurance proceeds. They were friends with Ted Bernstein. And their loyalty was not	23 24	representative. So Mr. Stansbury at that hearing through
24 25	first to the estate, it was to Ted Bernstein	24 25	me volunteered to front the fees and costs
1 2	who is the plaintiff in that action. In fact, they actively tried to keep the money out of the actate in clear violation of their duties	1 2	04-14:03:02 Page 13 because we wanted to make sure the estate would get in there. And so Judge Colin was gratified that that was harpening. So he signed both
3 4 5 6	the estate, in clear violation of their duties as PR. At first Mr. Spallina, who was the PR representative, said to the insurance company	3 4 5 6	that that was happening. So he signed both those orders. He signed the one order that said in paragraph three that Mr I have that here.
7 8 9	claims department that he was the trustee of the life insurance trust that's the plaintiff up there. And when he could not prove that	7 8 9	This was the order signed on the day of the hearing by the judge. It is attached to our submission.
10	that was the case, because they've never come	10	And in paragraph three it says that
11	up with a copy of the alleged trust, then they went to plan B.	11 12	Mr. Stansbury will, quote, initially the costs will initially be borne by William Stansbury,
12 13	And then Mr. Bernstein is now the	12 13	close quote. Then in paragraph three, the
14	plaintiff, Ted Bernstein, in that Chicago	14	Court will consider any subsequent petition for
15	action saying he is the trustee of the trust	15	fees and costs by William Stansbury as
16 17	that's the plaintiff. So the insurance company just interplead the funds.	16 17	appropriate under Florida law. It's the second order that was cited by
18	Now, it wasn't until the PRs had to resign	18	counsel for the trustee which then says that,
19	from the estate in January of 2014 that then it	19	well, you are not entitled to, A, get out. And
20	became obvious that there's going to be	20	I would disagree with that interpretation of
21	administrator ad litem, a curator, and that's	21	the second order. And that certainly you are
22 23	when Mr. Stansbury said, okay, now that we need a new PR, let's appoint somebody to go and get	22 23	not entitled to any fees until such time as there's an actual money judgment, or recovery
23 24	that money, if possible.	23 24	of money, I should say, under paragraph three.
25	And so before that Mr. Stansbury had filed	25	Now, we take issue with that. That's not
	,		

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 5 of 38 PageID #:15225 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	ion E	Bernstein
14:03	:21-14:04:11 Page 14		:46-14:06:44 Page 16
1	Florida law. And, most importantly, when it	1	his motion to be discharged arguing in that
2	comes time the hearing itself was not about the	2	motion that we did what we were required to do,
3	circumstances under which Mr. Stansbury would	3	the estate's in, and it's time to let the
4	eventually be reimbursed. And that was sort of	4	estate bear the burden going forward.
5	an add-on after the fact, which we'll get into	5	That was then, as Your Honor can see in
6	more later, but in the interests of time	6	those docket entries there, set for hearing
7	because we do want to try to finish today.	7	seven times. I think Your Honor having
8	So I have created a timeline, Your Honor,	8	observed this case for the short time that you
9	so that you can get familiar. And if I may	9	have can understand why we never got to
10	approach?	10	actually hear that, as there's always so much
11	THE COURT: You may.	11	going on in this case for better or for worse.
12	MR. FEAMAN: Thank you.	12	And so then Judge Phillips came on the
13	THE COURT: Thank you.	13	case, and so in May we re-filed our motion of
14	MR. FEAMAN: You are welcome.	14	2016, we re-filed our motion to have
15	THE COURT: Does everybody have a copy of	15	Mr. Stansbury discharged and for reimbursement.
16	the timeline? Thank you. This is just for	16	And as Your Honor is aware, that's been noticed
17	demonstrative purposes for the Court?	17	three or four times. And here we are, thank
18	MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I am not	18	you, Your Honor.
19	offering this.	19	THE COURT: Thank you. Can I ask a
20	THE COURT: Thank you.	20	question before we proceed further?
21	MR. FEAMAN: And the timeline shows that	21	MR. FEAMAN: Yes.
22	in February of 2014, now that the personal	22	THE COURT: I just want to know. I don't
23	representatives Spallina and Tescher are out,	23	want argument on it. I just want yes or no. I
24	Mr. Ted Bernstein had moved to be appointed as	24	will start with Ms. Crispin. Do you oppose the
25	independent curator or successor PR.	25	discharge of Mr. Stansbury at this point from
14:04	:27-14:05:29 Page 15	14:06	:58-14:07:46 Page 17
1	Mr. Stansbury opposed that for the same	1	paying fees?
2	reasons that we opposed Mr. Ted Bernstein in	2	MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, it's complicated
3	connection with being administrator ad litem in	3	for me to answer yes or no because
4	connection with his action which we were here	4	Mr. O'Connell was not present at the hearing.
5	last month on. And instead, the Court on the	5	He does read the transcript to interpret that
6	25th appointed independent curator Ben Brown.	6	there was an agreement reached where
7	That's item number two.	7	Mr. Stansbury would pay for the costs of this
8	Entry number three and the docket		
9		8	litigation. He has taken that position. He is
	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you	9	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is
10	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up.	9 10	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I
10 11	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you.	9 10 11	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per
10 11 12	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then	9 10 11 12	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't
10 11 12 13	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to	9 10 11 12 13	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged.
10 11 12 13 14	 entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the 	9 10 11 12 13 14	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want
10 11 12 13 14 15	 entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are seeking.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th, 2014, granted the estate's motion to intervene.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are seeking. THE COURT: So you oppose allowing him not
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th, 2014, granted the estate's motion to intervene. Having perceived that we had performed	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are seeking. THE COURT: So you oppose allowing him not to fund the litigation anymore?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th, 2014, granted the estate's motion to intervene.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are seeking. THE COURT: So you oppose allowing him not
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you can look those up. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then filed his petition as administrator ad litem to protect the interests of the estate in the Illinois litigation. And then, as I just mentioned, in May the order granting that petition was entered. And then on June 5th in fact the motion to intervene was filed by Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th, 2014, granted the estate's motion to intervene. Having perceived that we had performed what we intended to perform, I then filed on	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	litigation. He has taken that position. He is more primarily worried about if he is discharged then what happens then. So really I think we are not really taking a position per se about whether or not he should or shouldn't be discharged. But if he is called to testify, I want Your Honor to understand what his position would be on the stand. THE COURT: I think I understand. Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are seeking. THE COURT: So you oppose allowing him not to fund the litigation anymore? MR. ROSE: The short 30 second legal

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 6 of 38 PageID #:15226 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	ion E	Bernstein
14:08	B:00-14:08:34 Page 18	14:09	:34-14:10:30 Page 20
1	which superseded the original order. So we	1	benefit.
2	have an amended order we are traveling under	2	Plus, there is no authority to force a
3	that's crystal clear, a transcript which backs	3	claimant to fund attempts to pursue assets of
4	it up, and we and that order has not been	4	the estate in accordance with Bookman V
5	complied with.	5	Davidson, which we cited. And in the interests
6	So our first position in our paper was he	6	of time I won't go through it except to say
7	is seeking relief from an order that he has not	7	that that case says a personal representative
8	complied with, so he should be held in contempt	8	of an estate is required to pursue, is required
9	of that order. And if he were not in contempt	9	by law to pursue assets and claims of the
10	of it, the order should be enforced as written.	10	estate.
11	It was a deal, a complicated deal worked out	11	Now, why is Stansbury so we think
12	over hundreds of pages.	12	that's pretty clear, that part of the motion,
13	And we did put in our motion the entire	13	respectfully.
14	transcript was already in the record at docket	14	The second part of the motion is why is
15	entry 148. I did reference it in my memo I	15	Mr. Stansbury entitled to get reimbursed now as
16	submitted.	16	opposed to sometime in the future? And our
17	THE COURT: I know.	17	argument there, Your Honor, is that a benefit
18	MR. ROSE: So I wasn't hiding anything. I	18	has been conferred on the estate and therefore
19	just gave you the short	19	his duty should end and he should be paid.
20	THE COURT: You need not worry about it.	20	Now, why has he conferred benefit?
21	Give the Court a little credit that I read	21	Because as we cite in our papers in the Estate
22	everything, okay?	22	of Wejanowski, the court held that the trial
23	MR. ROSE: I was giving you the excerpted	23	court could not require an executor to
24	pages that were relevant to my argument.	24	demonstrate a monetary benefit before allowing
25	THE COURT: Thank you.	25	the expenditure of estate funds. And that the
14.00	B:41-14:09:20 Page 19	14.10	:44-14:11:42 Page 21
14.00		14.10	C C
1	MR. ROSE: Our position is that the motion		
		1	true benefit to an estate provided by an
2	should be denied.	2	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement
3	should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot?	2 3	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of
3 4	should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing	2 3 4	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good
3 4 5	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. 	2 3 4 5	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution.
3 4 5 6	should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good
3 4 5 6 7	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to 	2 3 4 5 6 7	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now
3 4 5 6 7 8	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. The estate has been allowed to intervene. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit has arisen to the estate until money is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. The estate has been allowed to intervene. And it now stands to reap a financial windfall as a result of Mr. Stansbury's efforts. But for Mr. Stansbury's efforts and Mr. Stansbury's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit has arisen to the estate until money is actually recovered. First of all, with regard to that paragraph in Judge Colin's order, that's not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. The estate has been allowed to intervene. And it now stands to reap a financial windfall as a result of Mr. Stansbury's efforts. But for Mr. Stansbury's efforts and Mr. Stansbury's efforts alone, the estate would not be a party 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit has arisen to the estate until money is actually recovered. First of all, with regard to that paragraph in Judge Colin's order, that's not THE COURT: I don't think I found that he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. The estate has been allowed to intervene. And it now stands to reap a financial windfall as a result of Mr. Stansbury's efforts. But for Mr. Stansbury's efforts and Mr. Stansbury's efforts alone, the estate would not be a party and the estate would not be in a position now 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit has arisen to the estate until money is actually recovered. First of all, with regard to that paragraph in Judge Colin's order, that's not THE COURT: I don't think I found that he I don't think I made a finding that he was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 should be denied. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing certain acts here. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to those, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why should Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this time? THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you to shorten up your opening because we don't have a tremendous amount of time. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. The estate has been allowed to intervene. And it now stands to reap a financial windfall as a result of Mr. Stansbury's efforts. But for Mr. Stansbury's efforts and Mr. Stansbury's efforts alone, the estate would not be a party 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement to payment of appellate fees and costs out of estate assets is the presentation of a good faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. Here, Your Honor, we presented a good faith motion to intervene. The estate is now well positioned. He should get out and he should get paid. Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the trustee's arguments that have been presented to you briefly, and then I will be done, the trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has already found, he is adverse to the estate. So I think Your Honor needs to take into account what weight it will assign to the argument and evidence that the trustee puts in. Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit has arisen to the estate until money is actually recovered. First of all, with regard to that paragraph in Judge Colin's order, that's not THE COURT: I don't think I found that he

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 7 of 38 PageID #:15227 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	ion e	Bernstein
14:11	:49-14:12:33 Page 22	14:14	:00-14:15:01 Page 24
1	MR. FEAMAN: Okay. I am not going to	-	because under the Mills V Martinez case, 909
2	argue with Your Honor.	1 2	So.2d 340, that court held that an order that
	THE COURT: No, no, no.	∠ 3	merely grants or denies a motion does not
3	MR. FEAMAN: It's a side argument at this	4	resolve and does not resolve the issue
4	÷		conclusively, a trial court has the authority
5	point. THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted like	5	to modify that order before entering a final
6	if I had put that wording in the order I wanted	6	judgment.
7	1 0	7	Why is this important? Because in that
8	to go back and look. Okay. Thank you for	8	
9	saying. All right. Move on.	9	transcript and then I am done, Your Honor, in the interests of time. In that hearing at
10	MR. FEAMAN: It was a finding in connection with his appointment to be	10	page 22, line six, the court stated the issue.
11 12	administrator ad litem.	11 12	The court said, quote, So the question is
	THE COURT: Yes, I didn't think it was	13	should the claimant be declared here as
13 14	appropriate.	14	administrator ad litem for the purposes of
15	MR. FEAMAN: We have moved past Mr. Rose's	15	being permitted to ask the court to be able to
16	argument. That's been argued and done.	16	intervene which the court may or may not do?
17	THE COURT: Okay.	17	And after he stated the issue thusly, he
18	MR. FEAMAN: Now, has Mr. Stansbury	18	then repeated it, Judge Colin at page 23,
19	conferred benefit to the estate? We say at	19	because he started to move away from
20	this point absolutely, the Court need go no	20	Mr. Stansbury and moved into appointing Ben
20	further and can say, yes, you are entitled to	20	Brown to be the one to intervene on behalf of
22	be reimbursed. And we cite two cases which if	22	the estate. And the court said at page 23,
23	I have time I will argue at the end.	23	line 15, quote, I will allow someone else to
24	And I mention first the Wejanowski case	24	intervene to appropriately determine whether
25	which I have just mentioned. And then we	25	the estate has an interest in this money or
14:12	:47-14:13:43 Page 23	14:15	:16-14:16:12 Page 25
	-		-
1	actually found, Your Honor, and I have to give	1	not. That's the issue, correct? At which
2	kudos to one of my law partners, an 1882 case	2	point I said yes.
3	by the Supreme Court. But the language was	3	And so when we are dealing with that issue
4	appropriate, and it says, if under the	4	the Court, this Court now subsequently is not
5	circumstances the litigation was just and	5	bound by that last paragraph in that what I
6	proper and apparently for the benefit of the estate, and brought bona fide, he is entitled	6	call rogue order when we never had a chance to
7	to credits for costs and charges and for	7	argue when Mr. Stansbury would be entitled to reimbursement.
8	services rendered in connection with the	8	Now, they latched on to that gratuitous
9	litigation.	9 10	language at the end, but that wasn't before the
10 11	And that's the Sherrell versus Shepard	11	Court. It is before the Court now and we are
12		1 1	
	case 19 Florida 300 And that's the first	12	
12	case, 19 Florida 300. And that's the first time in my career I have been able to cite a	12 13	making that argument.
13 14	time in my career I have been able to cite a	13	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court
14	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually	13 14	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to
14 15	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it	13 14 15	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get
14 15 16	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point.	13 14 15 16	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated.
14 15 16 17	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for	13 14 15 16 17	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your
14 15 16	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that	13 14 15 16	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation
14 15 16 17 18	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully
14 15 16 17 18 19	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order, the second line, first, he did not revoke his	13 14 15 16 17 18	 making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully requesting that you also order that he is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully requesting that you also order that he is entitled to compensation and reserve on an
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order, the second line, first, he did not revoke his first order, but, secondly, that was not part of the hearing.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully requesting that you also order that he is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order, the second line, first, he did not revoke his first order, but, secondly, that was not part	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully requesting that you also order that he is entitled to compensation and reserve on an amount pending discussions with the parties
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time in my career I have been able to cite a case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually excited about that, Your Honor, because it seems to be right on point. In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that last paragraph of what I call the rogue order, the second line, first, he did not revoke his first order, but, secondly, that was not part of the hearing. And we say that Your Honor is free to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	making that argument. So we respectfully suggest that the Court is not bound by that language if it were to decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get discharged but that he should be compensated. At the very least he should be discharged, Your Honor. And then to end the litigation concerning his compensation we are respectfully requesting that you also order that he is entitled to compensation and reserve on an amount pending discussions with the parties which we have stipulated to. Thank you.

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 8 of 38 PageID #:15228 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sim	non E	Bernstein
14:16	:24-14:17:29 Page 26	1	:27-14:19:23 Page 28
1	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Don't want Mr. Rose	1	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And as I
2	to go?	2	understand it from the documents filed by
3	THE COURT: No, I am letting you go next.	3	Mr. Rose on behalf of Ted Bernstein and from
4	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, just to	4	the appearance made on the record today, Alan
5	make clear, Mr. Rose admitted himself today to	5	Rose is appearing for Ted who Your Honor found
6	the Court as representing Ted Bernstein as	6	in conflict of interest with the estate in
7	successor trustee to the Simon trust, correct?	7	relation to the Illinois litigation as
8	THE COURT: The record stands for itself.	8	indicated in your April 27th order. And Rose
9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And I believe	9	gave oral testimony and in statements in
10	that's what's in there. And I believe we just	10	relation to trying to represent the estate
11	went through two hearings for Mr. Rose to	11	against William Stansbury that he has no
12	represent the Stansbury litigation whereby he	12	involvement with the Illinois insurance
13	stated to this Court repeatedly on the record	13	litigation. But his precise filing as an
14	as a witness, et cetera, that he had nothing to	14	attorney for a Ted, filing number 56988413,
15	do with the Illinois litigation at all, him and	15	e-filed 5/26 in this court, is directly about
16	his client. They had no involvement in this	16	the Illinois insurance litigation. And again,
17	litigation whatsoever. But yet Mr. Feaman just	17	all three years he's been representing the
18	explained to you three years of this Illinois	18	Illinois insurance litigation issues that he
19	litigation where Mr. Rose is making opposition	19	told you he had nothing to do with. Clearly
20	in all kinds of things to interfere with the	20	repeated, and that's why you allowed him to
21	estate's hiring of counsel, et cetera, which is	21	represent in that other case.
22	exactly opposite of what he told the Court on	22	So this all contradicts his testimony and
23	the record just in those last hearings, which	23	your findings, which is the basis to reopen and
24	is further, like Mr. Feaman put in his closing statement for those hearings, that Mr. Rose	24	amend the April 27th order in itself. And I also know that I filed for an extension for
25	statement for mose nearings, that wir. Rose	25	also know that I filed for all extension for
14:17	:45-14:18:10 Page 27	14:19	29-14:20:12 Page 29
1	misrepresented the record and was	1	rehearing of this order.
2	misrepresenting things to the Court. Well,	2	THE COURT: No, we are here on today's
3	here he just filed a pleading in this case	3	motion.
4	representing Ted Bernstein in the Illinois	4	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What?
5	insurance litigation. And I believe your order	5	THE COURT: I want you to know, Mr. Eliot,
6	says they are conflicted there.	6	I will allow you to have opening on today's
7	MR. ROSE: I object.	7	motion which is whether in your position on
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And this would be	8	Mr. Stansbury's motion. That is what we are
9	THE COURT: Hold on.	9	going to limit this argument to.
10	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And this would be	10	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's all I am
11	I thought this was my opening. THE COURT: Yes.	11	arguing, meaning
12	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.	12 13	THE COURT: Okay. I must have misunderstood.
13 14	THE COURT: But I get to hear a legal	13 14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
14 15	objection.	14 15	THE COURT: So please continue, limiting
16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.	16	it to that issue.
17	MR. ROSE: I think that, first of all,	17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. What's really
18	it's improper argument. It's not really an	18	going on here is more direct frauds upon the
19	opening statement. And it's getting to be	19	Court, and Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose trying
20	borderline offensive.	20	to control the Illinois litigation by
21	THE COURT: Overruled. You won't insult	21	controlling the counsel for the estate in
22	Mr. Rose. But other than that, overruled.	22	efforts to cover up frauds. Not to mention the
23	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. But I will	23	fact that Alan Rose's papers show further
24	call a fraud a fraud.	24	collusion with the former PRs Tescher and
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.	25	Spallina who were central to all the original
		1	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 9 of 38 PageID #:15229 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	ion B	Sernstein
14:20	27-14:20:56 Page 30	14:22:	:01-14:22:48 Page 32
1	frauds in this court and in the Illinois court.	1	THE COURT: No. What you are raising are
2	And I can say that to my knowledge there's	2	not issues before the Court today, so please
3	been no filing or docket entry in the Illinois	3	stay focused.
4	case since the fraud of Rose and O'Connell in	4	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well,
5	denying me for over a year as a beneficiary in	5	everybody else has been able to give a little
6	Simon's estate, has now been admitted.	6	history, and Mr. Feaman was allowed that
7	MR. ROSE: Objection.	7	latitude.
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I have already	8	THE COURT: Mr
9	called upon the court	9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I would like to
10	MR. ROSE: This is beyond the scope of the	10	explain the opening in my view, meaning give
11	motion we are here for.	11	the background a little bit of why we are here
12	THE COURT: Sustained.	12	today and why I believe that Mr. Stansbury
13	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All related	13	should be recuperating his costs for the fraud
14	THE COURT: Sustained.	14	that's cost him all this money and all of us.
15	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: to the Illinois	15	Meaning the real victims here are
16	insurance.	16	Mr. Stansbury and me who were victims of the
17	THE COURT: Sustained. Let's stay on	17	original fraud that started this case.
18	point.	18	The Illinois insurance litigation was
19	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Called upon	19	started by Robert Spallina filing a fraudulent
20	this court to confirm	20	claim for life insurance benefits, as
21	THE COURT: No, that doesn't mean you keep	21	Mr. Feaman noted. He did that at a time that
22	the sentence going. Sustained. Move on to	22	my brother, who he was representing, had
23	your point. Stay focused.	23	notified the police, the sheriff, and the
24	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. So nothing	24	coroner that my father might have been murdered
25	should be in my view on this motion should be	25	by poisoning. And they tried to collect that
44.04	00 44 04 50 De res 04	44.00	04.44.00.50 Dave 00
14:21	:06-14:21:50 Page 31	14:23:	:04-14:23:56 Page 33
14:21 1	happening here today other than scheduling	14:23: 1	death benefit without telling anybody. And
	-		death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove
1	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on.	1	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of
1 2	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay.	1 2	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the
1 2 3	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw	1 2 3	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading
1 2 3 4 5 6	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with	1 2 3 4 5 6	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. MR. FEAMAN: Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also about this hearing has been improperly 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Eliot.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also about this hearing has been improperly THE COURT: No. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Eliot. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I think that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also about this hearing has been improperly THE COURT: No. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: conducted. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Eliot. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I think that goes to why Mr. Rose shouldn't be representing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 happening here today other than scheduling hearings to unravel the fraud that are going on. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with this thing, and now we have heard he has been objecting to this litigation, filing opposition papers two or three years. And let me explain why. This whole issue starts really, and you weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I want to explain. THE COURT: I just want your position on whether he should continue to pay or not continue to pay, because that is what the opening is about, and you have got two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also about this hearing has been improperly THE COURT: No. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 death benefit without telling anybody. And they got denied because they couldn't prove that they had that Spallina was trustee of the trust he never had. And that's all in the records here. And I'm sure you've been reading about it. And what we have is then Ted Bernstein suing the life insurance company for failure to pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. What he did was he sued though as trustee of the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. And then he wouldn't represent have the estate represented in these matters, because if the estate was represented by competent counsel, they immediately would have identified the fraud going on in the filing of claims by Mr. Spallina. THE COURT: I did make the finding, Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Eliot. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I think that

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 10 of 38 PageID #:15230 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

14:24	Estate of Sin :12-14:25:03 Page 34			ge 36
14.24	C C	14.20		ge o
1	appearing here after telling the Court he has	1	orchestrated. This whole Florida court is	
2	nothing to do with this stuff.	2	being manipulated to create another fraud on a	
3	But Mr. Spallina then failed to represent	3	federal court. And everybody who is aware the	nat
4	the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance	4	I am a beneficiary with standing should have	
5	litigation because it would have proven out	5	already notified federal Judge Blakey that	
6	that he committed fraud. So when we got rid of	6	Mr. Rose misled this Court to gain those order	rs
7	him after he admitted and his law firm admitted	7	by Judge Phillips. And that's where I will	
8	submitting fraudulent forged documents here, he	8	close it up.	
9	abdicated from the Illinois litigation	9	THE COURT: And that's good.	
10	representing my brother in any way. And then	10	Mr. Rose, you may proceed.	
11	we had to find new counsel. So Mr. Feaman	11	MR. ROSE: Thank you. Good afternoon,	
12	brought in Mr. Stamos. And the Court was kind	12	Your Honor.	
13	of forced to make a decision here of why isn't	13	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	
14	the estate representing	14	MR. ROSE: I just need to go back on a	
	MR. ROSE: Your Honor?	15	couple of points that were raised. Number one	0
L5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: on the interests			с,
.6		16	the trust that exists under which my client is	
L7	in a policy that has different beneficiaries.	17	appointed has a specific provision that says if	
L8	THE COURT: No, he has got two more	18	you are the trustee of one trust it does not	
.9	minutes. Hold on one second, please. He has	19	preclude you from being the trustee of separat	e
20	got two more minutes. I am going to let him	20	trust.	
21	complete his opening, at which point you will	21	I do not represent Ted Bernstein in	
22	be entitled to your opening.	22	connection with the Illinois litigation. We	
23	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.	23	have been down that road. Your Honor ruled	
24	THE COURT: You've got until exactly 20.	24	what you ruled and that was that	
25	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, he got like	25	Mr. Bernstein	
14:25	:07-14:26:02 Page 35	14:27	7:18-14:28:09 Pag	ge 3
14:25 1	25. Page 35	14:27 1		ge 3
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo	-
1 2	25. THE COURT: He has the burden.	1 2	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo	-
1 2 3	25.THE COURT: He has the burden.MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.	1 2 3	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you.	-
1 2 3 4	25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not.	1 2 3 4	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation	-
1 2 3 4 5	25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the	1 2 3 4 5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted	ou
1 2 3 4 5 6	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. 	1 2 3 4 5 6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have	ou
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that.	ou
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth	ou
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal	ou
1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by	ou
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0.0 1 2.2	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process.	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order.	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 3 12 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order. And I think you were told, and someone ca	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 7 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 7 8 9 1 1 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order.	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 .6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 2 .3 4 5 .6 7 .8 9 .0 1 .2 .3 4 5 .6 .7 .8 9 .0 .1 .2 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order. And I think you were told, and someone ca	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this Court's statement that I was not a beneficiary and had no standing in my father's estate to 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order. And I think you were told, and someone ca correct me if I am wrong, but you were told that there's a rogue order that has a provision	.5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this Court's statement that I was not a beneficiary and had no standing in my father's estate to throw me out on a summary judgment, saying I 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order. And I think you were told, and someone ca correct me if I am wrong, but you were told that there's a rogue order that has a provision in it that was never discussed at a hearing and	.5
1 2 4 5 6 7 8	 25. THE COURT: He has the burden. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: You do not. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the time? Okay. THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation, and I have advised the Court. And I would like to enter into the evidence today a letter THE COURT: This is not the appropriate time. This is opening. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was thrown out of the Illinois litigation because they told that court that I was not a beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this Court's statement that I was not a beneficiary and had no standing in my father's estate to 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. Yo know that. Thank you. MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation is pending you declined to appoint Ted Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have all moved past that. Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth time, a beneficiary of tangible personal property whose value after it's sold by Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 1 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of thousands or perhaps eventually a million dollars giving him his due process. But let me talk about why we are here today, and I am going to go a little bit in reverse order. And I think you were told, and someone ca correct me if I am wrong, but you were told that there's a rogue order that has a provision	nu 1

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 11 of 38 PageID #:15231 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	non E	Bernstein
14:28	:21-14:29:16 Page 38	14:30	21-14:31:12 Page 40
1	Well, if you look at the whole transcript	1	valid unappealed order of this Court. And
2	which again is docket entry 148, which also was	2	that's a liability.
3	recently re-filed by Mr. Stansbury,	3	So not only does Mr. Feaman want to be
4	Mr. Stansbury's counsel, on page 35 summarizes	4	ordered repaid the 70,000 that he paid, he
5	an entire discussion between Mr. Morrissey, who	5	wants the estate to start paying the 40,000 and
6	represents four of the ten grandchildren I	6	all the way through the trial. And guess what?
7	am on page 35 of the transcript. Mr. Morrissey	7	If they lose someone is right and wrong in
8	at that time represented four of the	8	Illinois, and we are not here to decide that.
9	grandchildren. The other six were	9	But it's gambling. If the estate is wrong and
10	unrepresented, although in my view the trustee	10	Mr. O'Connell has spent a couple hundred
11	was advocating their interests very well and	11	thousand dollars in litigation and he loses,
12	got us to this point.	12	guess what? It's not a windfall. It's a
13	At the top of 35 the Court says that	13	liability. It's a detriment.
14	after a lengthy discussion I didn't put that	14	And the whole point of the grand bargain
15	in because I didn't think someone would get up	15	that was discussed and reached in court that
16	and tell you that the issue was never raised	16	day was Mr. Stansbury is the only person
17	during the hearing.	17	outside the, quote, family that can take some
18	But the Court said, it would only be the	18	of this money. It's in his best interests to
19	case if there was a recovery for the estate to	19	get that money into the estate because he is
20	which then Mr. Stansbury would say under the	20	suing us for two and a half million dollars.
21	statute I performed a benefit for the estate.	21	And so he is the guy who benefits. If other
22	So we had a lengthy discussion at that	22	than him all the money stays in the family
23	hearing, pages and pages of transcript where	23	either through the Illinois trust or through the estate it would flow into this trust to
24 25	the issue was raised, when do I get paid back. And to suggest otherwise is being untrue to the	24 25	benefit the children or the grandchildren.
20	And to suggest otherwise is being und de to the	20	benefit the emildren of the grandenharen.
14:29	:25-14:30:06 Page 39	14:31	:23-14:32:16 Page 41
	25-14:30:06 Page 39		:23-14:32:16 Page 41
1	documents that are before you. And you can	1	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I
1 2	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own	1 2	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important
1 2 3	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision.	1 2 3	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court?
1 2 3 4	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I	1 2 3 4	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended
1 2 3 4 5	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object?	1 2 3 4 5	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you
1 2 3 4 5 6	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection,	1 2 3 4 5 6	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, there's a windfall to the estate been created 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you have a question?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, there's a windfall to the estate been created by Mr. Stansbury. In fact, the evidence will 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you have a question? THE COURT: I did. I am just thinking
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, there's a windfall to the estate been created by Mr. Stansbury. In fact, the evidence will demonstrate there's a liability created by 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you have a question?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel. No one disputes they are represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, there's a windfall to the estate been created by Mr. Stansbury. In fact, the evidence will demonstrate there's a liability created by Mr. Stansbury's actions. There's a lawyer in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you have a question? THE COURT: I did. I am just thinking about whether it does the Court any good to ask it, so give me a second. Let's set aside at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 documents that are before you. And you can read the transcript yourself and make your own decision. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object? THE COURT: What's the legal objection, Mr. Eliot? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on the Illinois insurance litigation. THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, Mr. Rose. MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is represented by counsel and that counsel is a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we are here to decide is who should pay that expense. Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, there's a windfall to the estate been created by Mr. Stansbury. In fact, the evidence will demonstrate there's a liability created by 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So we had this lengthy thing. And what I think we are here today is decide how important are orders of this Court? First of all, we know that an amended order supercedes the original order. So you can't tell me that the second order is a rogue order and I am going to ignore it. But they didn't appeal either of those orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the expenses, that might be good enough to get you into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with a court order is not acceptable to me, and I don't think it should be acceptable to this Court. We have a valid order. And the order was not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, and I gave you pages I am sorry, did you have a question?

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 12 of 38 PageID #:15232 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin		
14:32	31-14:33:08 Page 42	14:34	2:04-14:34:47 Page 44
1	reimbursement if money comes in. Let's just	1	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And he was supposed
2	set that aside.	2	to, by the way
3	Why am I not allowed to let him out and	3	THE COURT: So noted. Move on. No, no.
4	let Mr. O'Connell hire a contingency, put it on	4	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: (Overspeaking)
5	contingency basis? Wouldn't that be the PR's	5	court hearing.
6	decision as to whether or not to go forward	6	THE COURT: No, no.
7	with the claim?	7	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
8	MR. ROSE: Well	8	THE COURT: So noted.
9	THE COURT: That is the PR's right.	9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10	Please address just my question.	10	THE COURT: You may proceed.
11	MR. ROSE: I will.	11	MR. ROSE: So I am not directly in the
12	THE COURT: That's my question.	12	Illinois litigation, but I know specific facts
13	MR. ROSE: Okay. Well, the answer to your	13	about the Illinois litigation. One of the
14	question is we are here because you have power	14	facts I asked was if there's a budget to go to
15	to make a ruling. No one is denying that you	15	trial. So I think the budget for trial is
15	have the power to make a ruling.	16	\$50,000. It's going to be a one-day bench
17	THE COURT: Okay.	10	trial in Chicago. I think there's it's a
18	MR. ROSE: You are talking about the	18	fairly simple narrow case.
19	propriety of your ruling, the beneficiaries are	19	The proposed contingency fee would be
20	very much against hiring someone on a	20	\$700,000 if they win. It's a light switch
20	contingency fee basis for this reason. The	20	case, I call it a light switch case; you flick
22	cost to finish the case	22	it up or you flick it down. There's no carving
22	THE COURT: Wouldn't that be okay. Let	23	in the middle. You can't say, well, we are
23 24	me listen to you. I am sorry.	23 24	going to
24 25	MR. ROSE: Yeah. I understand. We put a	24 25	THE COURT: I understand. Either they get
25	MR: ROBL: Feat. Funderstand: We put a	2.5	THE COOKT: I understand. Entiter they get
14:33	19-14:34:01 Page 43	14:34	E:54-14:35:30 Page 45
			C C
1	lot of thought into this that goes on outside	1	the money
1 2	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to	1 2	the money MR. ROSE: Right.
1 2 3	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length.	1 2 3	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the
1 2 3 4	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved	1 2 3 4	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or
1 2 3 4 5	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the	1 2 3 4 5	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B.
1 2 3 4 5 6	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not	1 2 3 4 5 6	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right.
1 2 3 4 5	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust	1 2 3 4 5	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the preference of the people that will have to make
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your Honor.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the preference of the people that will have to make the decision afterwards.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your Honor. THE COURT: Legal objection?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the preference of the people that will have to make the decision afterwards. One of the decisions some of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your Honor. THE COURT: Legal objection? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the preference of the people that will have to make the decision afterwards. One of the decisions some of the decisions are going to be, do I pursue the case
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lot of thought into this that goes on outside of the courtroom. We have spoken to Mr. O'Connell at length. The agreement that you have not approved the agreement that you approved from the Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not yet considered from the Simon trust beneficiaries, which includes the four grandchildren who are represented by Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are not represented but whose parents are actively involved, and the three grandchildren who are whose interests are being protected by the guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and that those ten people agreed that if you are going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise that he has made MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your Honor. THE COURT: Legal objection? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He is misrepresenting that he has consent of all of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the money MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the money or the estate gets the money. It's A or B. MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a contingency fee when he has told us his hourly rates are going to be 50. And in addition, paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already put out would mean that the total fee for this litigation would be \$770,000. Everyone has agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not do, that the estate is going to handle the matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the preference of the people that will have to make the decision afterwards. One of the decisions some of the decisions are going to be, do I pursue the case or not. Another decision is do I settle the

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 13 of 38 PageID #:15233 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin		Deinstein
14:35	:37-14:36:25 Page 46	14:37	2:49-14:38:34 Page 48
1	MR. ROSE: The specific answer to your	1	The other thing is Mr. Stansbury has
2	question	2	gotten the benefit of all kinds of wonderful
3	THE COURT: You did.	3	things in the transcript. He has got the right
4	MR. ROSE: you clearly have the power	4	to talk to the lawyer in Chicago. He picks the
5	to do something. We are here asking you not to	5	lawyer. He consults with him. I was standing
6	change the order. Because if you read what	6	with Mr. Feaman outside
7	Judge Colin did, it was very, very specific.	7	THE COURT: Wrap up.
8	And it was not a five-minute hearing. It was a	8	MR. ROSE: He gets called by the lawyer.
9	lengthy hearing.	9	He is in communication. That was the bargain.
10	And, you know, the specific thing he says	10	So in my view it's very important that we
11	on paragraph two, for the reasons subject to	11	follow court orders. It was not appealed.
12	the conditions stated on the record, all	12	Everybody relied upon it. He has gotten the
13	attorney's fees and costs incurred shall be	13	benefit of it.
14	initially borne by Mr. Stansbury. He has not	14	This delay of years and years, I mean,
15	borne the expenses. He is in violation of the	15	there was nothing in the order at the time
16	order.	16	of this hearing we were waiting to get a
17	Florida law is very clear that if you are	17	permanent PR. That was on the horizon. I
18	in violation of an order you should not be	18	think the PR hearing was a few weeks after. I
19	heard on that order. I don't know if he should	19	think, if I recall, and I don't know for sure,
20	be heard on any matter, but he should at a	20	it was early July, like the 10th or something
21	minimum he should have brought this into	21	of July, when we had a hearing to determine the
22	compliance and shown up and said I have	22	PR when Mr. O'Connell was going. That was like
23	complied with the order and would like relief	23	a week after this order.
24	from it. So we have cited the case I won't	24	This isn't like it was a vacuum. We knew
25	argue. It's in our brief. It's very clear to	25	that there was going to be a PR. And it still
14:36	:38-14:37:39 Page 47	14:38	Page 49
1	me under the law.	1	is this, that he is going to fund it. And so
2	The second point, the order could not be	2	to suggest that this was a temporary
3	any clearer. Mr. Stansbury shall not be	3	arrangement is not correct.
4	reimbursed for any fees or costs incurred from	4	
5		4	Now, they had time to ask Judge Colin to
6	either the decedent's estate or the trust which	4 5	Now, they had time to ask Judge Colin to reconsider the order. They had a year and a
Ŭ	my client is the trustee of.		reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple
7	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain	5	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they
	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of	5 6	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will
7 8 9	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the	5 6 7 8 9	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years
7 8 9 10	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required	5 6 7 8 9 10	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never
7 8 9 10 11	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate
7 8 9 10 11 12	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate. That's not a rogue for someone to come	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. Presumably everyone on that side of the table
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate. That's not a rogue for someone to come here and I am not criticizing the lawyer.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. Presumably everyone on that side of the table thinks it's a winning case. So he is going to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate. That's not a rogue for someone to come here and I am not criticizing the lawyer. But the argument that is being made to you that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. Presumably everyone on that side of the table thinks it's a winning case. So he is going to fund the litigation. It's going to get tried.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate. That's not a rogue for someone to come here and I am not criticizing the lawyer. But the argument that is being made to you that that's a rogue order when it's an order that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. Presumably everyone on that side of the table thinks it's a winning case. So he is going to fund the litigation. It's going to get tried. The estate is going to win.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my client is the trustee of. And as Your Honor knows, under certain circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of money he can certify a need for money to the trust, and a revocable trust can be required under statute to occasionally pay money back. So some day they may come and ask my client to take money out of the trust that's designated for these ten grandchildren to fund this litigation that we you know, that right now is being funded perfectly fine. But he is not to be reimbursed unless there is a recovery on behalf of the estate that results in a net benefit to the estate. That's not a rogue for someone to come here and I am not criticizing the lawyer. But the argument that is being made to you that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reconsider the order. They had a year and a half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple occasions they just withdrew their motion, they would cancel their hearing. The record will speak for itself. But we are now three years down the line on an order that was never appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate to treat it like it's a worthless piece of paper. It's an order of this Court. Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And we would ask that you deny the motion. Now, this is what happens if you deny the motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. Presumably everyone on that side of the table thinks it's a winning case. So he is going to fund the litigation. It's going to get tried.

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 14 of 38 PageID #:15234 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sin	non E	Bernstein
14:39	:49-14:40:35 Page 50	14:41	:19-14:41:51 Page 52
1	recovery. We are not going to come in here and	1	THE COURT: So this will be Stansbury's.
2	say, well, we didn't really benefit us. And	2	Okay.
3	that was very clear from the beginning. That's	3	MR. FEAMAN: And I have the and
4	why Judge Colin said what he said.	4	everybody will get copies.
5	But if he is right about the case, he is	5	THE COURT: Mr. Eliot, do you have an
6	going to finish funding it, they are going to	6	objection?
7	try it and they are going to win it, and money	7	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Just
8	is going to come in. He is going to get paid	8	clarification. Your order said this was
9	back every penny he is entitled to. If they	9	confined, limited to one hour. Mr. Feaman sent
10	are wrong and it's a loser, the estate has no	10	out a letter saying that you and him had
11	harm whatsoever, no liability to a lawyer in	11	arranged that it couldn't go past 2:30. I just
12	Chicago, no outlay of funds.	12	said to whom no, that's not correct?
13	And you and I and Mr. O'Connell and	13	THE COURT: A couple of different things.
14	Mr. Feaman we are not capable of deciding who's	14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
15	going to get that money. That's the judge in	15	THE COURT: I am proceeding right now on
16	Illinois. But we arranged and I realize	16	my hearing.
17	that Mr. O'Connell wasn't here yet, Judge Lewis	17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Right.
18	wasn't in the case yet. But what the people	18	THE COURT: Secondly, I have never had a
19	that were in that courtroom in May arranged	19	conversation with Mr. Feaman ever outside of
20	with the judge, and I could read you the whole	20	this courtroom.
21	transcript, I have highlighted it, so I think	21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I meant with your
22	you've got a flavor. It was hotly contested.	22	clerk, with your J.A.
23	It was compromise. And Mr. Feaman made	23	THE COURT: My J.A.
24	representations on the court. And the specific	24	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct, in
25	thing that Judge Colin said at the end, part of	25	scheduling this.
14:40	:46-14:41:12 Page 51	14:41	:56-14:42:19 Page 53
	-	_	-
1	this is the sincerity of Mr. Feaman's side,	1	THE COURT: So I am going to proceed right
2	it's a good thing and they made a pledge to do it, they are not going to go back on their	2	now. MR. FEAMAN: I have never had a
3 4	word.	3 4	conversation with your J.A., Your Honor.
5	I would ask you not to let them go back on	5	THE COURT: Thank you.
	their word.		MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Or somebody did.
6 7	THE COURT: Thank you. All right,	6 7	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 1
8	Mr. Feaman, call your first witness.	8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. FEAMAN: I will move as quickly as	9	MR. FEAMAN: is the first order of
10	possible.	10	May 23rd.
11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor?	11	THE COURT: Okay. You are asking that
12	MR. FEAMAN: I want to put some documents	12	this be placed in evidence or Court take
13	in before Your Honor even though they are	13	judicial notice?
14	already in the record so that you can have with	14	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 1 it's stamped on the
15	you	15	back, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	16	THE COURT: Any objection?
17	MR. FEAMAN: documents to refer to.	17	MR. ROSE: I don't think it needs to be in
18	THE COURT: Do you want me to mark?	18	evidence, but I don't have any objection.
19	MR. FEAMAN: I have them marked on the	19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	back.	20	MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, it doesn't need
21	THE COURT: No. But tell me if you want	21	to be in evidence.
22	them how you want me to handle them,	22	THE COURT: I will just place it in
23	evidence, they are for me?	23	evidence.
24	MR. FEAMAN: I think evidence is the	24	MR. FEAMAN: It's just more orderly.
25	easiest way to create a record.	25	THE COURT: Sure. Sure. Stansbury
1		1	•

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 15 of 38 PageID #:15235 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sin	non	Bernstein
14:4	42:23-14:42:52 Page 54	14:4	43:36-14:44:12 Page 56
1	Petitioner's Number 1 admitted into evidence.	1	that was entered.
2	Okay.	2	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That that would
3	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 1, Order Appointing	3	override this. Okay. I should have brought a
4	Administrator Ad Litem, 5/23/14.)	4	pillow.
5	MR. FEAMAN: Then Number 2, Your Honor, is	5	THE COURT: My court reporter is really
6	the second order	6	having a hard time. I apologize. I will try
		_	to be more aware. I apologize very much to
7	MR. ROSE: No objection.	7	
8	MR. FEAMAN: referred to.	8	you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.	9	Okay. You may proceed.
10	MR. FEAMAN: I have an exhibit list.	10	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 3 is the motion to
11	MR. ROSE: No objection to 2.	11	intervene filed by the estate in the United
12	MR. FEAMAN: Thank you.	12	States District Court for the Northern District
13	THE COURT: Thank you.	13	of Illinois.
14	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 2, Amended Order	14	MR. ROSE: No objection.
15	Appointing Administrator Ad Litem, 6/16/14.)	15	THE COURT: So entered.
16	MR. FEAMAN: Do you need a copy or are you	16	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 3, Motion to
17	okay?	17	Intervene.)
18	MR. ROSE: Why don't I have a copy?	18	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 4 is the verified
19	MR. FEAMAN: I am trying to move quickly,	19	copy of the order granting the motion to
20	Your Honor.	20	intervene by the United States District Court
21	THE COURT: That's okay.	21	Northern District of Illinois.
22	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Do we know how long	22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	this hearing will go so we can	23	MR. ROSE: No objection to 4.
24	THE COURT: You know, that's very rude.	24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, excuse me.	25	///
2.5		2.5	
14:4	42:56-14:43:29 Page 55	14:4	44:58-14:45:40 Page 57
1	THE COURT: I am just saying you don't	1	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 4, Verified Copy of
2	just	2	
3	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've got kids. And	3	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 5 is the first motion
4	in the order	4	by successor personal representative Brian
5	THE COURT: You need to stop.	5	O'Connell, docket entry 403, for authorization
6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The order said	6	to enter into a contingency agreement with
0 7	THE COURT: No, no, no. When I say you	7	Illinois counsel in the pending life insurance
-			· ·
8	need to stop, you need to stop talking.	8	litigation.
9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.	9	THE COURT: I am happy to take that in
10	THE COURT: Whose phone is going off?	10	since that's the way we are doing it. I did
11	MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, I apologize to	11	notice that you filed a notice for judicial
12	the Court.	12	MR. FEAMAN: Yes.
13	THE COURT: That's okay. That's all	13	THE COURT: But I will just go ahead and
14	right. Thank you.	14	continue the flow.
15	I have entered an order in these cases	15	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 5, Petition for
16	indicating, while I indicated it would be an	16	
17	hour, that is no promise that the hearings will	17	Docket Entry 403.)
18	end exactly in an hour.	18	MR. FEAMAN: And the purpose of the
19	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: In this order you	19	don't mean to address the Court with my back to
20	said limited to one hour.	20	it.
21	THE COURT: And there was an order entered	21	THE COURT: That's okay.
22	after indicating	22	MR. FEAMAN: The purpose of this, Your
23	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's what I said	23	Honor, is for the Court to note in paragraph
24	to Mr. Feaman.	24	five where it says as of the date of the filing
25	THE COURT: There was a subsequent order	25	of this motion, which is December 2015,
<u> </u>	The coorter more was a subsequent of der	2.5	
1		1	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 16 of 38 PageID #:15236 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Simon Bernstein			
14:4	A6:02-14:46:53 Page 58	14:48	8:29-14:48:51 Page 60	
1	approximately a year and a half after the entry	1	MR. FEAMAN: Now I would call	
2	of the order ordering Mr. Stansbury to pay, it	2	Mr. Stansbury to the stand.	
3	says, quote, The legal fees to date in the life	3	THE COURT: All right.	
4	insurance litigation have been paid by William	4	MS. CRISPIN: I just want to interject	
5	Stansbury.	5	quickly. I know you asked the estate's	
6	And then paragraph seven, the successor	6	position on whether or not Mr. Stansbury should	
7	personal representative believes that it is in	7	be discharged.	
8	the best interests of the estate to continue	8	THE COURT: Yes.	
9	with the life insurance litigation.	9	MS. CRISPIN: There was a second component	
10	And then paragraph eight, Illinois counsel	10	to that, which was should he be reimbursed for	
11	has agreed to waive the outstanding balance	11	what he has already paid. And I did want the	
12	currently due and enter into a contingency	12	Court to know that Mr. O'Connell's position is	
13	agreement.	13	similar to that of Mr. Rose's, which is notated	
14	MR. ROSE: Are we here to	14	on page 35 of the transcript, is that until	
15	MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 6, Your Honor	15	there is a net recovery to the estate it should	
16	THE COURT: Now why are you interrupting?	16	not be repaid.	
17	MR. ROSE: No, no. Are we doing argument	17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
18	on each of these exhibits or just going to have	18	MS. CRISPIN: Thank you.	
19	them come in?	19	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Crispin.	
20	MR. FEAMAN: I wasn't arguing.	20	All right, go ahead.	
20	THE COURT: Please have a seat. He is	20	As I do in all the hearings, I will keep	
22	just handing me the exhibits.	22	the evidence up here for anybody to reference,	
23	MR. FEAMAN: Just reading. Exhibit 6 is	23	my very complicated evidence label.	
24	docket entry 405 which is Mr. O'Connell's	24		
25	amended petition for authorization. And the		Thereupon,	
	antenere Presson for anteneris and are			
14:4	I7:08-14:48:21 Page 59	14:49	9:11-14:49:48 Page 61	
1	amended petition contains the same language as	1	WILLIAM STANSBURY.	
1	amended petition contains the same language as Exhibit 5.	1	WILLIAM STANSBURY, a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the	
	Exhibit 5.	2	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the	
2 3	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition	2 3		
2 3 4	Exhibit 5.	2 3	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as	
2 3 4	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.)	2 3 4	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	
2 3 4 5	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency	2 3 4 5	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do.	
2 3 4 5 6	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you	2 3 4 5 6	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY)	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel.	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19	 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st,	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20	 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st, 2014, showing the claim for the insurance	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20 21	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have brought an action against the estate seeking the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st, 2014, showing the claim for the insurance proceeds in Chicago as an asset of the estate	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have brought an action against the estate seeking the recovery of money; is that correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st, 2014, showing the claim for the insurance proceeds in Chicago as an asset of the estate value unknown.	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have brought an action against the estate seeking the recovery of money; is that correct? A. It is, yes. 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st, 2014, showing the claim for the insurance proceeds in Chicago as an asset of the estate value unknown. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 7, Inventory	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have brought an action against the estate seeking the recovery of money; is that correct? A. It is, yes. Q. What's the approximate value of your 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Exhibit 5. (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition for Authorization to Enter into Contingency Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) THE COURT: All right. I don't want you to annotate the exhibits. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Just if you want to bring something to the Court's attention on it, then I will entertain anything else anyone else wants to bring to my attention. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing different is there's a new paragraph nine saying that there's also an hourly fee arrangement offered to the personal representative by Chicago counsel. And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor personal representative dated December 1st, 2014, showing the claim for the insurance proceeds in Chicago as an asset of the estate value unknown.	2 : 3 4 : 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 : 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a seat. MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the witness to go through some background information, Your Honor? THE COURT: I think that in this case we better just go with the standard. MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. FEAMAN: Q. Please state your name and address. A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida. Q. And you are presently a claimant against this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have brought an action against the estate seeking the recovery of money; is that correct? A. It is, yes. 	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 17 of 38 PageID #:15237 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Simon Bernstein			
14:5	0:06-14:51:05 Page 62	14:5	2:36-14:53:37 Page 64	
1	A. Approximately 2.5 million.	1	A. It's in the 1.6, 1.7 million dollar range,	
2	Q. And when did you first obtain knowledge	2	something in that vicinity.	
3	that there was a life insurance policy that was in	3	Q. And did there come a time when you learned	
4	effect at the time of Simon Bernstein's death where	4	that there was a disagreement over who the	
5	death benefits of which might rightfully belong to	5	beneficiary of that policy is?	
6	the Estate of Simon Bernstein?	6	A. Yes.	
7	A. I first became aware of the life insurance	7	Q. Did you make inquiries as to whether the	
8	policy in the fall of 2011.	8	estate was involved at that time in the litigation	
9	Q. How was that?	9	that was pending in Chicago?	
10	A. Inadvertently, I suspect, that the life	10	A. Yes.	
11	insurance policy on Mr. Bernstein lapsed. And	11	Q. And what did you find out?	
12	there was a great deal of panic in the office.	12	A. I found out that they were not being	
13	There were concerns about his health and the fact	13	represented at all in that litigation.	
14	that there may not be an opportunity to get the	14	Q. Did that concern you?	
15	policy benefit back alive. And because of my 40	15	A. It did.	
16	years of experience in the insurance industry, I	16	Q. Why?	
17	was consulted with to see if there was anything	17	A. Well, on a number of levels. First of	
18	that I could suggest or recommend that might help	18	all, you know, obviously, if I can bring additional	
19	to re-establish the benefit for Mr. Bernstein who	19	liquidity into the estate that tends to help not	
20	was the owner of the policy at that time.	20	just the estate but potentially any claim that I	
21	Q. Is that the same policy that's at issue in	21	might be awarded, so there was an interest there.	
22	the Chicago litigation?	22	I am I was at that time 40 years in the	
23	A. It is.	23	life insurance profession, and I ran large offices	
24	Q. And were you successful in getting the	24	and regions for major life insurance companies.	
25	policy reinstated?	25	And I understood from time to time that people do	
14:5	1:14-14:52:25 Page 63	14:5	3:53-14:54:57 Page 65	
	C C		-	
1	A. I was.	1	pass away and the beneficiaries are not always	
2	Q. And you were working with Mr. Simon	2	being they are not always able to be found.	
3	Bernstein at that time? A. I was.	3	Businesses have been listed as beneficiaries or	
4		4	trusts that are no longer there and can't be proven	
5	Q. And now Mr. Bernstein passed away in, I believe, the fall of 2012; is that correct?	5	up. And so I know that there were	
6 7	A. September of 2012, yes.	6 7	opportunities for estates of others to make claims,	
8	Q. Okay. How did you learn that there had	8	and those estates were subsequently awarded	
9	become an issue as to who or what the beneficiary	9	benefits that either were paid based on the will or	
9 10	of that life insurance policy was?	10	the intestacy laws of the state that the person	
11	A. There was a lot of e-mailing and things	11	resided in.	
12	going back and forth that I became aware of. And	12	And I took it as a professional	
13	the fact that the life insurance policy was being	13	responsibility. You know, this was not just	
14	submitted to the insurance company with a claim	14	something that I was trying out. As I said, I was	
15	being made by a trustee who wasn't the trustee of	15	40 years in the business at that point. And I had	
16	the life insurance policy that was described in the	16	leadership positions in the community and county	
17	benefit as being a beneficiary.	17	and nationally in the insurance business.	
18	Q. Was that Mr. Spallina?	18	And so for me to observe an application	
19	A. It was.	19	for insurance to be submitted by, not the	
20	Q. Did you become aware subsequently that	20	application, but the claim to be submitted by	
21	then a lawsuit had been filed in Illinois involving	21	someone who really had no interest in that, and	
22	the death benefits of that policy?	22	they represent to the insurance company claim	
23	A. Yes.	23	department that they are the beneficiary, to me	
24	Q. And how much are those death benefits as	24	that was offensive, you know, that is somewhat in	
25	far as you know?	25	violation of I am aware of a statute in Florida	
1		1		

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 18 of 38 PageID #:15238 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

14:55 1	Estate of Sim 5:17-14:56:15 Page 66	1	7:27-14:58:47 Page 68
1			
-	817.234. It seems to violate that statute.	1	A. It's in the range of \$70,000.
2	So I felt there was a responsibility to at	2	Q. And do you recall over what period of time
3	least bring to the attention of the court for the	3	that is?
4	reasons that I stated that there should be given an	4	A. It's from when I received his first
5	opportunity for the estate to have a seat at the	5	invoice through January of this year, 2017.
6	table to at least argue a case.	6	Q. Let me hand you what's been marked as
7	Q. So in November of 2013 did you personally	7	Composite Exhibit 8. Can you first identify what
8	hire an attorney to attempt to intervene on your	8	Composite Exhibit 8 represents?
9	behalf in that action as a claimant of the	9	A. They represent payments that were made to
10	Bernstein estate?	10	Ben Brown's firm and Mr. Stamos's firm for fees
11	A. I did.	11	that were generated as a result of what we'll call
12	Q. And what was the result of that?	12	the Chicago litigation.
13	A. We were denied.	13	Q. Okay. And so the first check is payable
13 14	Q. Now, you recall that in January of 2014	14	to Matwiczyk and Brown. Was that Ben Brown's firm,
	then the personal representatives, Messrs. Tescher	15	as you mentioned?
15	and Spallina, resigned; is that correct?	16	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.		Q. And then there's a check and then
17		17	•
18	Q. And did you then ask the probate court	18	there's, just in the interest of time THE COURT: Legal objection?
19	here in Florida to appoint an independent curator or administrator ad litem to intervene?	19	MR. ROSE: The document is not in evidence
20		20	
21	A. I did.	21	yet. I don't have an objection to it coming
22	Q. And the court, as you heard in opening	22	into evidence, but he shouldn't be reading from
23	statement, granted your motion for the appointment	23	a document that's not in evidence.
24	first of an independent curator; is that correct?	24	THE COURT: Are you moving it in?
25	A. Correct, yes.	25	///
14:56	5:26-14:57:15 Page 67	14:5	8:59-14:59:40 Page 69
1	Q. That was Mr. Brown?	1	BY MR. FEAMAN:
2	A. Correct.	2	Q. Are those checks generated by you
3	Q. Did you file then a subsequent motion to	3	THE COURT: Wait. Did you want to put it
4	have the estate intervene in the Chicago	4	in evidence?
5	litigation?	5	MR. FEAMAN: Yeah, I am going to lay a
6	A. Yes.	6	predicate.
7	Q. And your motion recited that you would be	7	THE COURT: He just said he didn't object.
8	the intervenor; is that correct?	8	MR. FEAMAN: I would move those in
9	A. Yes.	9	evidence at this time, Your Honor.
10	Q. And then do you recall the hearing on	10	THE COURT: Okay. Let me just mark it.
11	May 23rd, were you there in the courtroom at that	11	MR. FEAMAN: He has the marked one, if I
12	time in 2014 concerning the appointment that	12	could, I will switch.
13	resulted in the orders that we have discussed this	13	THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.
14	morning?	14	MR. ROSE: Is that 8?
15	A. Yes.	15	THE COURT: This is 8. This is
16	Q. And the court obviously then granted the	16	Stansbury's 8.
17	petition and ordered that you would initially bear	17	(Stansbury's Exb. No. 8, Payment of
18	the costs of the litigation, correct?	18	Checks.)
19	MR. ROSE: Objection, the order speaks for	19	BY MR. FEAMAN:
20	itself.	20	Q. And in the interests of time could you
21	THE COURT: Sustained.	21	just briefly list the check number and the amount
22	BY MR. FEAMAN:	22	and the date and the payee?
	Q. Now, do you know how much money at this	23	A. Starting with Mr. Brown or going to the
23	x. 1000, we you much more at this		
23 24	point you have actually naid just to Mr. Stamos's	24	first?
23 24 25	point you have actually paid just to Mr. Stamos's law firm?	24 25	first? Q. Yes, starting with the first page and

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 19 of 38 PageID #:15239 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

1going through?2A. I am having a difficult time seeing a3check number on a cashier's check. Do you see it?4Q. 1167815311?5A. Oh, okay.6Q. That's \$3,401, correct?7A. Correct.8Q. Okay.9A. The next check number is 1166312927.10Q. Date?11A. December the 18th, 2014.12Q. Amount?13A. \$5,290.49.14Q. Next?15A. It's my check number 129.16Q. Date?17A. February 27th, 2015.18Q. Amount?19A. Sp5,51.66.20Next?21A. Check number 134, amount22Q. Payee?23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.			Estate of Sin	non	Bernstein
2 A. Tam having a difficult time seeing a amount is \$10,000 even. 3 check number on a cashier's check. Do you see i? Q. May, At the hearing back in May of 2014 4 Wy did you volunteer to pay infraintly the fees and costs that would be incurred by the estate in connection with the intervention? Q. Okay, At the hearing back in May of 2014 7 A. Correct. 5 did you volunteer to pay infraintly the fees and costs that would be incurred by the estate in connection with the intervention? A. No. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 12 Q. Mawunt? 12 Time for the hearing. 13 A. S2, 200.49. 13 BY MR. FEAMAN 14 Q. Next? 16 A. No. 15 A. Firsty check number 129. 16 A. No. 16 Q. Amount? 17 Q. And after the motion to intervene was granted did you then move to be discharged from 19 A. S16.6. 19 A. Sys.551.66. 19 A. Idd. 10 20 A. Wext? A. Idd. 10 Check number 134, amount - 21 Q. Payee? A. Idd. 12 A. Idd. 21	14:5	9:54-15	:00:48 Page 70	15:0	2:42-15:03:28 Page 72
2 A. Tam having a difficult time seeing a amount is \$10,000 even. 3 check number on a cashier's check. Do you see i? Q. May, At the hearing back in May of 2014 4 Wy did you volunteer to pay infraintly the fees and costs that would be incurred by the estate in connection with the intervention? Q. Okay, At the hearing back in May of 2014 7 A. Correct. 5 did you volunteer to pay infraintly the fees and costs that would be incurred by the estate in connection with the intervention? A. No. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 12 Q. Mawunt? 12 Time for the hearing. 13 A. S2, 200.49. 13 BY MR. FEAMAN 14 Q. Next? 16 A. No. 15 A. Firsty check number 129. 16 A. No. 16 Q. Amount? 17 Q. And after the motion to intervene was granted did you then move to be discharged from 19 A. S16.6. 19 A. Sys.551.66. 19 A. Idd. 10 20 A. Wext? A. Idd. 10 Check number 134, amount - 21 Q. Payee? A. Idd. 12 A. Idd. 21	1	goin	g through?	1	Trucco. The date is February the 13th, 2017. The
 a check number on a cashier's check. Do you see it? Q. Okay. At the hearing back in May of 2014 why did you volunteer to pay thewell, first, did you volunteer to pay initially the fees and connection with the intervention? a. Correct. a. Correct. b. Correct. connection with the intervention? a. A. Yes. M. The next check number is 1166312927. a. A. Sc. 200.49. a. A. Sc. 200.49. b. A. Res. a. A. Sc. 200.49. b. A. Res. connection with the intervention? connection with the intervention? a. A. Sc. 200.49. b. Mext? connection with the intervention? d. A. Sc. 200.49. d. A. Noek d. A. Moek number 129. d. A. February 27th, 2015. d. A. Check number 134, amount d. A. Check number 134, amount d. A. Sc. 200.49 d. A. Sc. 200.49 d. A. Sc. 200.49 d. A. Sc. 200.49 d. A. Sc. 200.40 d. A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June d. A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June d. A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June d. A. Sys 30.60. d. A. Sys 30.60. d. A. Sc. 200.40 d. A. Sc. 200.40 d. A. Sys 30.60. <lid. 30.60.<="" a.="" li="" sys=""> <lid. 30.60.<="" a.="" li<="" sys="" td=""><td>2</td><th></th><td></td><td>2</td><td></td></lid.></lid.>	2			2	
5 Å. Ob, okay. 5 did you volunteer to pay infringh the fees and 5 6 Q. That's \$3,401, correct? 6 costs that would be incurred by the estate in 5 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. Okay. 8 8 Q. Okay. 8 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 9 M.S. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection. 10 A. December the 18th, 2014. 11 7 M. S. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection. 11 A. December the 18th, 2014. 11 Wast the the entring. 12 12 Q. Amount? 13 BY MR, FEAMAN: 14 M. Head personal representative been 13 A. Sy.551.66. 19 M. No. 17 Q. And alge resonal representative been 14 Q. Next? 18 Q. May use in Stamos and Trucco. 2 Q. And how long after the court's granting of 15 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 2 Q. May 3 A. Stamos and Trucco. 16 Q. Date? 19 A. Stamos and Trucco. 10 10 In the insurance proceeds. We were able to 15 A. Paybe is Stamos and Trucco. 10 A. Meuhor fift, may able to?	3			3	Q. Okay. At the hearing back in May of 2014
6 Q. That's \$3,401, correct? 6 correct. 7 7 A. Correct. 7 connection with the intervention? 8 Q. Okay. 8 A. Yes. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 9 MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection. 11 A. December the 18th, 2014. 10 Transcript speaks for itself what the position 12 Q. Amount? 11 BY MR. FEAMAN: 14 Q. Next? 14 Q. Had a personal representative been 15 A. If's my check number 129. 15 appointed by the court yet at that time? 16 Q. Date? 16 A. No. 17 17 A. February 27th, 2015. 17 Q. And after the motion to intervene was 18 Q. Amount? 20 A. No. 16 12 Q. Next? 10 A. Idd. 12 13 A. Spesions and Trucco. 20 Page 73 12 Q. And how long after the court's granting of the estate's motion to intervene up in Chicago did you move to be discharged from further 14 Q. Amount? 25 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 20	4	Q.	1167815311?	4	why did you volunteer to pay the well, first,
7A. Correct.7connection with the intervention?8Q. Okay.A. The next check number is 1166312927.MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection.10Q. Date?MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection.11A. Becember the 18th, 2014.Transcript speaks for itself what the position12Q. Amount?BY MR. FEAMAN:13A. S5,290.49.BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?G. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.FA A. No.16Q. Date?G. And after the motion to intervene was17A. February 27th, 2015.T18Q. And mount?G. And how long after the court's granting of21Q. Next?A. No.22Q. Payee?A. I did.23A. Payce is Stamos and Trucco.You move to be discharged from further24Q. Date?A. S4,107.28.25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.Somewhere in that neck of the woods.2Q. Amount?Somewhere in that neck of the woods.2A. Moent?Somewhere in that neck of the woods.2Q. Amount?Somewhere in that neck of the estate.3A. S7,805.60.Somewhere in that neck of the estate.3A. S16,935.8.Somewhere and a seat at the table and had any claim at3A. S16,936.38.Somewhere seate of words are, but get standing to3A. S16,936.38.Somewhere seate's participation in that4Q. Date?Somewhere seate's participation in that </td <td>5</td> <th></th> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td></td>	5			5	
8 Q. Okay, 8 A. Yes. 9 A. The next check number is 1166312927. 9 MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection. 11 A. December the 18th, 2014. 10 Transcript speaks for itself what the position 12 Q. Amount? 11 The court speaks for itself what the position 13 A. S5,290.49. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 Q. Next? 14 Q. Had a personal representative been 15 A. If's my check number 129. 15 appointed by the court yet at that time? 16 Q. Date? 7 Q. And after the motion to intervene was 18 Q. Amount? 16 A. No. 19 A. S0,551.06. 16 Q. Date? 2 2 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 25 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 25 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 25 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 26 O. Mamont? 2 A. Sepsibility that you can reall? 2 A. Stapos.60. 1 somewhere in that neck of the woods. 2 Q. Date? 3 A. Welth	6	Q.	That's \$3,401, correct?	6	
9A. The next check number is 1166312927.9MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, objection. Transcript speaks for itself what the position was at the time of the hearing.12Q. Amount?12THE COURT: Overruled.13A. S5,290,49.12BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.16A. No.16Q. Date?16A. No.17A. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And after the moite to intervene was granted did you then move to be discharged from19MS. Staff.20Q. And now long after the court's granting of thret responsibility for funding the estate?20Q. Payee?22A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2321Q. Amount?24Page 7125A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25316Q. Date?24Somewhere in that neck of the woods.2Q. The payee?15.03:38:15.04:532Q. The payee?15.03:38:15.04:532Q. The payee?15.03:38:15.04:533Page 731somewhere in that neck of the woods.4Q. Date?24Vely did you believe: it appropriate to move to be discharged at that time?5A. Stamos and Trucco.25A. Wuly did you believe: it appropriate to move to be discharged at that time?4A. Date payee!1A. Wuly did you believe: it appropriate to move to be discharged at that time? <tr< td=""><td>7</td><th></th><td></td><td>7</td><td></td></tr<>	7			7	
10Q. Date?10Transcript speaks for itself what the position11A. December the 18th, 2014.11was at the time of the heraing.12Q. Amount?12THE COURT: Overruled.13A. S5,290.49.13BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. If's my check number 129.15A. February 27th, 2015.16Q. Amount?16A. No.17A. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And for funding the estate?18Q. Amount?10A. I did.21A. Check number 134, amount20A. Sayee is Stamos and Trucco.22Q. Next?20A. Jaid.23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15.0t1-05-15.02.04Page 7115.03:38-15.04:53Page 7315.0t1-05-15.02.04Page 7115.03:38-15.04:53Page 7315.0t1-05-15.02.04Page 7115.03:38-15.04:53Page 7315.0t1-05-15.02.04Page 7115.03:38-15.04:53Page 7316.0t. Amount?11somewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. Statmos and Trucco.20Okay.3A. Statmos and Trucco.31somewhere in that neck of the estate.17A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.11would do. I generated a benefit for the estate.19A. Stamos and Trucco.11would do. I generated a benefit tor the estate.	8			8	
11Å. December the 18th, 2014.11was at the time of the hearing.12Q. Amount?13BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.16A. No.16Q. Date?16A. No.17A. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And after the motive to be discharged from18Q. Amount?19further responsibility for funding the estate?20Q. Next?20A. Idid.21A. Check number 134, amount22Q. And how long after the court's granting of22Q. Payee?23A. Idid.23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05:15:02:04Page 7115:03:38:15:04:53Page 7319Q. Amount?25A. Seems like it was two or three months,24Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June1somewhere in that neck of the woods.2Q. Okay.3A. Two, two and a half months.3Q. The payee?3A. Well, because I did what I promised that I3A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent dae seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?11represent dae state. Summary jodgments that were14Q. Payble to?13stamos and Trucco.15A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent dae state. summary jodgments that were14Q. Payble to?14represent dae	9			9	
12Q. Amount?12THE COURT: Overruled.13A. \$5,290.49.13BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.16appointed by the court yet at that time?16Q. Date?17Q. And after the motion to intervene was18Q. Amount?18granted did you then move to be discharged from20Payee?20A. Stapse:21Q. Next?20A. Check number 134, amount22Q. Payee?20A. I did.23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.20A. Stamos and Trucco.24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2625A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.27A. Seems like it was two or three months,1501.0515.02.04Page 711503.38-15.04.53Page 731Q. Amount?233. Semewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.233. Would do. I generated a benefit for the estate.3Q. The payee?33. Would do. I generated a benefit for the estate.34A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June44. We were able to5A. Stamos and Trucco.33. Stamos and Trucco.34A. Yeah, check?24. Stamos and Trucco.35A. July the 13th, 2015.1010the state. Summary judgments that	10	-			
13A.55.290.49.13BY MR. FEAMAN:14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.1516Q. Date?1617A. February 27th, 2015.1718Q. Amount?1819A. Stamos and Trucco.2020Payee?2021A. Check number 134, amount2122Q. Date?2223A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2610Q. Manunt?2725A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.150:3:8:1:6:0:4150:1:05:15:02:04Page 71150:1:05:15:02:04Page 7119A. Stamos and Trucco.2021Q. Amount?233Q. 136?14A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June15the Ist of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.66Q. Amount?17A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.28Q. The payce?210Q. The next check?11A. Check number 139.12Q. The next check?13A. Stamos and Trucco.14Q. Date?15A. July the 13th, 2015.16Q. Amount?17A. Stamos and Trucco.18Q. The next check?19A. Stamos and Trucco.19A. Stamos and Trucco.110Q. The next check?120					
14Q. Next?14Q. Had a personal representative been15A. It's my check number 129.15appointed by the court yet at that time?16Q. Date?16A. No.17A. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And after the motion to intervene was18Q. Amount?19further responsibility for funding the estate?20Q. Next?20A. I did.21Q. Payce?20A. I did.22Q. Date?20Payce?23A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.22C. Amount?24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2525A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05:15:02:04Page 7115:03:38:15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?21Somewhere in that neck of the woods.222A. Stanos and Trucco.3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to be discharged at that time?5A. Stamos and Trucco.9A. Well, decause I did what 1 promised that I7A. Stamos and Trucco.10Q. Payable to?13A. Stamos and Trucco.12represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?12represent de stato: garnifig to15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the state was represonsibility for14Q. Date?14Q. Why do you think you should be discharge		-			
15Å. It's my check number 129.15appointed by the court yet at that time?16Q. Date?A. No.17A. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And after the motion to intervene was18Q. Amount?19further responsibility for funding the estate?19A. Sy551.66.19further responsibility for funding the estate?10Q. Next?20A. Next?21A. Check number 134, amount21Q. And how long after the court's granting of22Q. Payee?222023A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.23you move to be discharged from further24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2525A. The date of the check as April 24th, 2015.26O. Kay.18Q. Amount?15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7119Q. Amount?15:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to be discharged 1 that time?5M. Stamos and Trucco.3A. Two, two and a half promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10Have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?11not the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were12 <td< td=""><td></td><th></th><td></td><td>_</td><td></td></td<>				_	
16Q. Date?17A. February 27th, 2015.18Q. Amount?19A. \$9,551.66.20Q. Next?21A. Check number 134, amount22Q. Payee?23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.26A. S4,107.28.3Q. 136?4A. Yeach, check number 136, it's dated June5the lot of 15, anniversary date, or yesterday.6Q. The payee?7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?9A. \$4,107.28.10Q. Amount?6Q. The payee?7A. Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?9A. \$7,805.60.10Q. The next check?11A. Check number 139.12Q. Payable to?13A. Stamos and Trucco.14Q. Date?15A. July the 13th, 2015.16Q. Amount?17A. Stamos and Trucco.18Q. Next check?19A. Stamos and Trucco.12A. Stamos and Trucco.13A. Stamos and Trucco.14Q. Date?15A. July the 13th, 2015.16Q. Amount?17A. Stamos and Trucco.18Q. Nate check?19A. Stamos and Trucco.19A. Stamos and Trucco.11A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. <td></td> <th>-</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			
17Å. February 27th, 2015.17Q. And after the motion to intervene was18Q. Amount?19further responsibility for funding the estate?20Q. Next?20A. I did.21A. Check number 134, amount21Q. And how long after the court's granting of22Q. Payee?20A. I did.23A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.22you move to be discharged from further24Q. Date?23A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.2525A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.26A. Seems like it was two or three months,1501:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 7319Q. Amount?2J. Somewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. Staff?1somewhere in that neck of the woods.22Q. Okay.3A. Two, two and a half months.44A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to be discharged at that time?5the lst of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I6Q. Amount?6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.13A. Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?10Q. The next check?11A. Check number 139.101012A. Sataos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were13A. Stamos and Trucco.1314<					
18Q. Amount?18granted did you then move to be discharged from19A. S9,551.66.9920Q. Next?A. Check number 134, amount2A. Idid.21A. Check number 134, amount2Q. And how long after the court's granting of22Q. Payee?2A. Idid.23A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.2the estate's motion to intervene up in Chicago did24Q. Date?2the estate's motion to intervene up in Chicago did25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?2somewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. S4,107.28.3A. Two, two and a half months.3Q. 136?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to did you believe it appropriate to move5the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6A. Well, because I did what 1 promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8A. Mount?68Q. Amount?9A. S7,805.60.910Q. The next check?1011the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.1111all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to12Q. Payable to?12represent the estate. Summary judgments that were13A. Stamos and		-			
19A.\$9,551.66.19further responsibility for funding the estate?20Q.Next?20A.I did.21A.Check number 134, amount21Q.And how long after the court's granting of22Q.Payee?22the estate's motion to intervene up in Chicago did23A.Payee is Stamos and Trucco.23you move to be discharged from further24Q.Date?25A.Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q.Amount?1somewhere in that neck of the woods.2Q.136?A.Two, two and a half months.4A.Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June1somewhere in that neck of the woods.5to be discharged at that time?6A.Well, because I di what I promised that I7A.Payee is Stamos and Trucco.9A.Well, because I di what I promised that I8Q.Amount?10New had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q.The next check?11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q.Nater15He estate.13A.Stamos and Trucco.12represent the estate.14Q.Date?12Number 139.15A.Stamos and Trucco.13Stamos and Trucco.16Q.Maeun?15161					
20Q. Next?21A. Check number 134, amount22Q. Payee?23A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.15.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 711Q. Amount?2A. \$4,107.28.3Q. 136?4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6Q. The payee?7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?9A. \$7,805.60.10Q. The next check?11A. Check number 139.12Q. Payable to?13A. Stamos and Trucco.14Q. Date?15A. Stamos and Trucco.15A. July the 13th, 2015.16Q. Amount?17A. \$16,936.38.18Q. Next check?19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.10Q. Date?12A. Staf6,585.13A. Staf6,585.14Q. Next check?15A. Sl6,585.24Q. Next check?25A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did26Q. Next check?27A. Sl6,5		-			• •
 A. Check number 134, amount Q. Payee? A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. Q. Date? A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. A. Stamos and Trucco. A. Check number 136, it's dated June the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday. Q. The payee? A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. A. Check number 136, it's dated June A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. A. Check number 139. A. Stamos and Trucco. A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and					
22Q. Payee?22the estate's motion to intervene up in Chicago did23A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.23you move to be discharged from further24Q. Date?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?1somewhere in that neck of the woods.22Q. Okay.3Q. Okay.3Q. 136?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to be discharged at that time?5the last of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8A. Mount?9A. \$7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to -11A. Check number 139.11represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?12represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.154. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did18Q. Next check?20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.12what I said I was going to do. I funded the19A. Stafos5.20A. Well, at t					
 23 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 24 Q. Date? 25 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 26 A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015. 27 A. Stamos and Trucco. 28 Q. Amount? 29 A. Stamos and Trucco. 30 A. \$7,805.60. 40 A. Yeak, check number 136, it's dated June 5 the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday. 6 Q. The payee? 7 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 8 Q. Amount? 9 A. \$7,805.60. 10 Q. The next check? 11 A. Check number 139. 12 A. Stamos and Trucco. 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 Q. Date? 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 Date? 12 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 Q. Amount? 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 Q. Date? 15 D. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 16 Q. Next check? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 Date? 12 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 13 A. Stamost and Trucco. 14 D. Date: A. Stamost and Trucco. 15 D. Next check? 16 D. Next check? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamost and Trucco. 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamost and Trucco. 20 Amount? 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Amount? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 24 Q. Next check? 25 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 26 A. Stamost and Trucco. 27 A. \$16,585.					
24Q. Date?24responsibility that you can recall?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.24responsibility that you can recall?25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?11somewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. Stal, 107.28.2Q. Okay.3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5to be discharged at that time?5G. The payee?6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. S7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.11on twe. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14Wid you uthink you should be discharged15A. S16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?20Amount?19A. S16,585.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.2222Q. Amount?2023A. S16,585.2424Next check?2425A. Stafoss.2426<		-	·		
25A. The date of the check is April 24th, 2015.25A. Seems like it was two or three months,15:01:05-15:02:04Page 7115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?115:03:38-15:04:53Page 731Q. Amount?11somewhere in that neck of the woods.22A. \$4,107.28.1somewhere in that neck of the woods.23Q. 136?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June4Q. Why did you believe it appropriate to move5the lst of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.9A. Well, because I did what I promised that I9A. \$7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?101011A. Check number 139.111012Q. Payable to?121313A. Stamos and Trucco.13714Q. Date?141015A. July the 13th, 2015.1516Q. Amount?1517A. \$16,936.38.1718Q. Next check?1619A. Stamos and Trucco.1619A. Stamos and Trucco.1719A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.1519A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.1619A. Mapust the 12th, 2016.20<					•
15.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 7115.01:05-15:02:04Page 711Q. Amount?2A. \$4,107.28.3Q. 136?4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6Q. The payee?7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?9A. \$7,805.60.10Q. The next check?11A. Check number 139.12Q. Payable to?13A. Stamos and Trucco.14Q. Date?15A. July the 13th, 2015.16Q. Amount?17A. \$16,936.38.18Q. Next check?19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.20Date?21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.22Q. Amount?23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?24Q. Next check?24Q. Next check?24Q. Next check?24Q. Next check?24M. Next check?24M. Next check?25A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?25A. \$10,585.24Q. Next check?25A. \$10,585.24Q. Next check?25A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?25A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?25A. \$16,585		-			
2A. \$4,107.28.2Q. Okay.3Q. 136?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June3A. Two, two and a half months.5the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6Q. Why did you believe it appropriate to move6Q. The payee?6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.7A. Well, because I did what I promised that I9A. \$7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Mount?14Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. S16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did19A. S16,585.2020A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21Q. Date			Ĵ		
3Q. 136?3A. Two, two and a half months.4A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June5the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday.6Q. The payee?5to be discharged at that time?7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.8Q. Amount?9A. \$7,805.60.6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I10Q. The next check?8And but for that intervention the estate may not11A. Check number 139.10have had a seat at the table and had any claim at12Q. Payable to?11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15616Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?10A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did19A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.22Q. Amount?23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?2024Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a		-			
 4 A. Yeah, check number 136, it's dated June 5 the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday. 6 Q. The payee? 7 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 8 Q. Amount? 9 A. \$7,805.60. 10 Q. The next check? 11 A. Check number 139. 12 Q. Payable to? 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 Q. Date? 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 16 Q. Amount? 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 20 Date? 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Mawunt? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 25 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 26 A. Well, at described, by Mr. Stamos who offered 27 A. \$16,085. 28 A. \$16,585. 29 A. \$20,00000000000000000000000000000000000					
 5 the 1st of 2015, anniversary date, or yesterday. 6 Q. The payee? 7 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 8 Q. Amount? 9 A. \$7,805.60. 10 Q. The next check? 11 A. Check number 139. 12 Q. Payable to? 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 Q. Date? 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 12 Q. Date? 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 D. Date? 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 12 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 13 A. \$16,585. 14 Q. Next check? 15 A. \$16,585. 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 Q. Amount? 13 A. \$16,585. 14 D. Next check? 15 A. \$10,585. 16 D. Amount? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 A. Mate is August the 12th, 2016. 13 A. \$16,585. 14 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 15 A. \$10,585. 16 A. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 A. Number 154, Payable to Stamos and Trucco. 19 A. Stamos the 12th, 2016. 20 A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Amount? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 24 D. Next check? 25 A. \$10,585. 24 D. Next check? 25 A. \$10,585. 26 A. Well, at his time, you kno		-		_	
6Q. The payee?6A. Well, because I did what I promised that I7A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco.7would do. I generated a benefit for the estate.8Q. Amount?9A. \$7,805.60.99A. \$7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?19A. Wumber 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did22Q. Amount?20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?24Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a					
 7 A. Payee is Stamos and Trucco. 8 Q. Amount? 9 A. \$7,805.60. 9 A. \$7,805.60. 9 have had a seat at the table and had any claim at 10 Q. The next check? 11 A. Check number 139. 12 Q. Payable to? 13 A. Stamos and Trucco. 14 Q. Date? 14 Q. Date? 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 15 A. July the 13th, 2015. 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 Q. Date? 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 Q. Amount? 13 A. \$16,585. 14 Q. Next check? 15 A. \$16,585. 16 Q. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 A. Stamos mand Trucco. 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 Q. Amount? 13 A. \$16,585. 14 Q. Next check? 15 A. \$16,585. 16 Q. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 10 A. Stamos the 12th, 2016. 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 A. Stamos the 12th, 2016. 13 A. \$16,585. 14 A. Next check? 15 A. \$10,585. 16 A. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 A. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, 2016. 10 A. Stamos who offered 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 A. Stamos the 12th, 2016. 13 A. \$16,585. 14 A. Next check? 15 A. \$16,585. 16 A. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 A. Next check? 19 A. Next check? 10 A. Stamos who offered 11 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 12 A. Stamos and Trucco. 13 A. \$16,585. 14 A. Next check? 15 A. \$16,585. 16 A. Next check? 17 A. \$16,585. 18 A. A. \$16,585. 19					0
8Q. Amount?8And but for that intervention the estate may not9A. \$7,805.60.9have had a seat at the table and had any claim at10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?18funding this estate's participation in that19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did22Q. Amount?203323A. \$16,585.23what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a					-
 A. \$7,805.60. have had a seat at the table and had any claim at all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to A. Check number 139. Q. Payable to? a. Stamos and Trucco. A. Stamos and Trucco. A. July the 13th, 2015. C. Amount? A. Stafo,936.38. A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. A. Stafo,585. A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. A. Next check? A. Next check? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. A. Next check? A. \$16,585. <l< td=""><td></td><th></th><td>•</td><td></td><td>-</td></l<>			•		-
10Q. The next check?10all to the insurance proceeds. We were able to11A. Check number 139.11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?18funding this estate's participation in that19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did23A. \$16,585.23what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a	9				
11A. Check number 139.11not we. The attorney was able to get, I don't know12Q. Payable to?12what the legal words are, but get standing to13A. Stamos and Trucco.13represent the estate. Summary judgments that were14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.17at this time from any further responsibility from18Q. Next check?18funding this estate's participation in that19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?2324Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a	10			10	-
 A. Stamos and Trucco. Q. Date? A. July the 13th, 2015. Q. Amount? A. \$16,936.38. Q. Next check? A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. Q. Date? Q. Date? A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. Q. Amount? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. A. Next check? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. A. Next check? A. \$16,585. <l< td=""><td>11</td><th>А.</th><td>Check number 139.</td><td>11</td><td></td></l<>	11	А.	Check number 139.	11	
14Q. Date?14presented by the plaintiff were defeated. And so15A. July the 13th, 2015.15the estate was represented and that was a benefit.16Q. Amount?16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged17A. \$16,936.38.16Q. Why do you think you should be discharged18Q. Next check?18funding this estate's participation in that19A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco.19litigation in Chicago?20Q. Date?20A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.21what I said I was going to do. I funded the22Q. Mext check?23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?23What I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24W. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a	12	-	·	12	
 A. July the 13th, 2015. A. mount? A. \$16,936.38. A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. Q. Date? A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. Q. Amount? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. M. Next check? M. Stamos who offered M. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a 	13			13	
 16 Q. Amount? 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 20 Q. Date? 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Amount? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 16 Q. Why do you think you should be discharged at this time from any further responsibility from 18 funding this estate's participation in that 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 20 Q. Date? 20 A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did 21 what I said I was going to do. I funded the 22 litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to 23 what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered 24 Q. Next check? 25 A. \$16,585. 26 A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did 27 A. \$16,585. 28 What I said I was provided, in addition to 29 What I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered 20 Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a 	14			14	
 17 A. \$16,936.38. 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 20 Q. Date? 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Amount? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 17 at this time from any further responsibility from 18 funding this estate's participation in that 19 litigation in Chicago? 20 A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did 21 what I said I was going to do. I funded the 22 litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to 23 what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered 24 Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a 	15				
 18 Q. Next check? 19 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. 20 Q. Date? 21 A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. 22 Q. Amount? 23 A. \$16,585. 24 Q. Next check? 18 funding this estate's participation in that 19 litigation in Chicago? 20 A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did 21 what I said I was going to do. I funded the 22 litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to 23 What I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered 24 Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a 		-			
 A. Number 154, payable to Stamos and Trucco. Q. Date? A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. Q. Amount? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. Next check? I and the and t					
 Q. Date? A. Date is August the 12th, 2016. Q. Amount? A. \$16,585. A. \$16,585. Mext check? A. Well, at this time, you know, again, I did what I said I was going to do. I funded the litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a 		-			
21A. Date is August the 12th, 2016.21what I said I was going to do. I funded the22Q. Amount?22litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to23A. \$16,585.23what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a					
22Q. Amount?22litigation. A benefit was provided, in addition to23A. \$16,585.23what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24Q. Next check?24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a		-			
23A. \$16,585.24Q. Next check?23what I just described, by Mr. Stamos who offered24Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a					
24 Q. Next check? 24 Mr. O'Connell the opportunity to take either a		-			•
					· · ·

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 20 of 38 PageID #:15240 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sin	ion	Bernstein
15:0	5:12-15:06:18 Page 74	15:0	7:27-15:08:01 Page 76
1	So from my perspective if you have any	1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	concerns about litigation expense, a contingency	2	Mr. Eliot, why don't you proceed?
3	fee arrangement sort of takes all of those expenses	3	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, first, I
4	that you might incur off the table. The only thing	4	wasn't trying to stop the proceeding.
5	that would result would be a benefit or no cost,	5	THE COURT: I know.
6	which to me to is benefit.	6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I brought a pillow
7	So from my perspective that is a large	7	and a tent, because your order says I could be
8	benefit and one that Mr. Stamos in the pleading or	8	here forever, which I think prejudiced me and
9	filing or motion, whatever you call it that you	9	everybody else. But because I have kids and I
10	read before, has agreed is a benefit. Whether he	10	got to take care of them and all those things.
11	chooses to pay hourly or not, that's up to him.	11	And I was just trying
12	But I have certainly provided the opportunity for	12	THE COURT: You can proceed with the
13	him to reap a benefit where the estate would lose	13	cross-examination.
14	nothing and only gain. To me that's a huge	14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I know, but
15	benefit.	15	THE COURT: Thank you. Now. Now. No,
16	Q. Did Ted Bernstein, the successor trustee	16	no, no. Thank you. Appreciate it.
17	to the trust that's the sole residual beneficiary	17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Don't think I have
18	of the Simon Bernstein estate, did he through his	18	enough time in a half hour to again do what I
19	counsel oppose your attempts to get the estate	19	need to do.
20	intervened?	20	THE COURT: You don't think you have
21	A. Yes.	21	enough time in a half hour?
22	Q. Why is that, do you believe?	22	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. I was going to
23	A. I can't figure it out because essentially	23	call some witnesses on my own.
24	it's the parents or the plaintiffs and their	24	THE COURT: No. You are just we are
25	children are the defendants. So it's, you know,	25	going to continue the hearing, sir. This is
45.0	0.04.45.07.45	45.0	
15:0	6:31-15:07:15 Page 75	15:0	8:08-15:08:39 Page 77
1	parents and children trying to figure out who gets	1	just your questions for Mr. Stansbury.
2	the money.	-	
3	But, you know, I can't speak for why they	2	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. Will we have
		2 3	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. Will we have enough time for me to call witnesses and
4	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding		enough time for me to call witnesses and everything?
4 5	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in	3	enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today?
	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate	3 4	enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes.
5	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike,	3 4 5	enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of
5 6 7 8	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation.	3 4 5 6 7 8	enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with
5 6 7 8 9	do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the
5 6 7 8 9 10	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy that's the subject of this hearing, correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, and I have two emergencies already going. So we can either end here or I'd like to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy that's the subject of this hearing, correct? A. Yes, it is.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, and I have two emergencies already going. So 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy that's the subject of this hearing, correct?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, and I have two emergencies already going. So we can either end here or I'd like to complete his testimony, if possible. But I 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy that's the subject of this hearing, correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. Did you see the policy at that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding from the documents that have been presented in court is that if the money goes to the estate MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, hearsay and speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one second, please. All right. Let me just interrupt. MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. But this goes to what question Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not respond because I didn't have an answer. We will need to I had this set for an hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, and I have two emergencies already going. So we can either end here or I'd like to complete his testimony, if possible. But I need it to be done by 3:30. So I don't know if 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 enough time for me to call witnesses and everything? THE COURT: Today? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Please do your questioning of Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the day. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. You said you worked on the policy reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And that's the life insurance policy that's the subject of this hearing, correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. Did you see the policy at that time?

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 21 of 38 PageID #:15241 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sin	on	Bernstein
15:0	8:46-15:09:51 Page 78	15:1	1:16-15:12:16 Page 80
1	issued?	1	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
2	A. No, I did not.	2	Q. Are you aware that Simon Bernstein has you
3	Q. Did you get any paperwork on that?	3	as the successor trustee of his trust at one point,
	A. No, I didn't.		
4	-	4	and you would have been in charge of this insurance
5	Q. Okay. Have you notified state authorities	5	litigation?
6	that there was possible fraud in this insurance	6	MR. ROSE: Objection.
7	matter before this Court?	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am aware of that.
8	A. As I mentioned earlier, I am a	8	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
9	professional in the insurance industry. And I have	9	Q. Okay. Are you aware that when Robert
10	a responsibility with my license to advise the	10	Spallina filed that fraudulent insurance claim that
11	Department of Insurance if I see anything that	11	there was an investigation started at that time
12	appears to be an irregularity for them to	12	into my father's death being from poisoning?
13	investigate. And it was my professional opinion	13	MR. ROSE: Objection, relevance.
14	that there was an irregularity, and I notified the	14	MS. CRISPIN: Join.
15	Department of Insurance.	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q. What was the irregularity?	16	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
17	A. Well, the irregularity that I saw was that	17	Q. Well, I know well, let me ask you this.
18	I guess there were a couple. But number one was	18	Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's
19	the fact that a claim was made on a policy by an	19	interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is
20	individual representing himself as the trustee of a	20	that correct?
21	trust where he wasn't the trustee of the trust.	21	A. Not only failed to represent it; it
22	Q. Who was that individual?	22	appeared to me that he was actually working adverse
23	A. Robert Spallina.	23	to the estate.
24	Q. And he was who?	24	Q. Okay. And
25	A. He was well, he was a number of things.	25	MR. ROSE: Objection, move to strike,
			5
15:10	0:08-15:11:02 Page 79	15:1	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81
15:10 1	D:08-15:11:02 Page 79 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a	15:1 1	
			3:09-15:14:20 Page 81
1	He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a	1	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive.
1 2	He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also	1 2	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response
1 2 3	He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your	1 2 3	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question?
1 2 3 4	He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats.	1 2 3 4	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was
1 2 3 4	He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your	1 2 3 4 5	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.)
1 2 3 4 5 6	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters 	1 2 3 4 5 6	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. 	1 2 4 5 6 7 8	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation;
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate."
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Are you aware that in the Illinois litigation that there was a summary judgment 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Are you aware that in the Illinois litigation that there was a summary judgment against my rights stating that I wasn't a 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this? A. Yes. Q. You said you have some other
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Are you aware that in the Illinois litigation that there was a summary judgment 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this? A. Yes. Q. You said you have some other irregularities in the insurance policy in this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. By MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: a summary judgment against my rights stating that I wasn't a beneficiary and have standing in Simon Bernstein's estate? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this? A. Yes. Q. You said you have some other irregularities in the insurance policy in this litigation that you brought to the attention of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Are you aware that in the Illinois litigation that there was a summary judgment against my rights stating that I wasn't a beneficiary and have standing in Simon Bernstein's estate? MR. ROSE: Objection, relevance, 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this? A. Yes. Q. You said you have some other irregularities in the insurance policy in this litigation that you brought to the attention of the state. What were some of the other irregularities
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 He was a friend of Ted Bernstein's. He was a lawyer. And he was the PR. And I think he also wore the hat of trustee of the trust. So he was wearing a lot of hats. Q. Okay. And did you contact or have your attorney contacted the FBI regarding matters involving this insurance? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, relevance. MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for attorney/client privileged information. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So don't ask him again? Okay. Okay. THE COURT: Sustained on the attorney/client privilege. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. By MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: a summary judgment against my rights stating that I wasn't a beneficiary and have standing in Simon Bernstein's estate? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 3:09-15:14:20 Page 81 nonresponsive. THE COURT: Can I please have the response read back to me and the question? (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Well, let me ask you this. Mr. Spallina failed to represent the estate's interest in the Illinois insurance litigation; is that correct? "A. Not only failed to represent it; it appeared to me that he was actually working adverse to the estate." THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Q. Did you have to pay for this counsel, Mr. Stamos, due to the fact that the estate had not paid would not enter the case without your payment? Is that why you are paying this? A. Yes. Q. You said you have some other irregularities in the insurance policy in this litigation that you brought to the attention of the

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 22 of 38 PageID #:15242 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sim	ion	Bernstein
15:1	4:42-15:15:45 Page 82	1	7:34-15:18:12 Page 84
1	irregularities with the insurance, Eliot, but	1	A. As far as I know.
2	things that I thought needed to be explored. I	2	Q. Okay. And now that you've intervened in
3	mentioned one. The other is that as the claim was	3	the Illinois insurance litigation, you came in
4	denied from Heritage Life Insurance Company that	4	amidst the prior personal representative's leaving
5	Robert Spallina submitted as the trustee of the	5	in fraud and failing to represent the estate in the
6	trust, that after that time Ted Bernstein submitted	6	insurance litigation?
7	or filed a lawsuit as a plaintiff claiming that he	7	MR. ROSE: Objection, argumentative.
8	was the trustee of the trust, all the while knowing	8	MS. CRISPIN: Misstates the facts in
9	that Robert Spallina had filed a claim saying he	9	evidence.
10	was the trustee of the trust.	10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	And so the irregularity, again from my	11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Got to think that
12	perspective understanding insurance, is that a	12	one.
13	licensed insurance agent, that being Ted Bernstein,	13	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
14	was aware that another person was making a claim to	14	Q. Are you aware that I am the beneficiary of
15	be a trustee of a trust on a claim form when he	15	the Stanley and Simon estates?
16	knew that that couldn't be if he was then	16	MR. ROSE: Objection, calls for legal
17	subsequently filing a lawsuit saying that he was	17	conclusion, irrelevant, immaterial.
18	the plaintiff.	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	MR. ROSE: Objection, move sorry, I	19	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
20	thought he was finished.	20	Q. Are you aware it was alleged that I was
21	THE WITNESS: I am saying that he was a	21	not a beneficiary with standing in the estate of my
22	plaintiff in a lawsuit claiming that he was the	22	father?
23	trustee of the trust that Spallina said that he	23	MR. ROSE: Same objection.
24	was the trustee of the trust on.	24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	So again, it was just something that I	25	///
15.1	5:58-15:17:12 Page 83	15.1	8:44-15:19:26 Page 85
	-		Ŭ
1	thought as a licensed insurance person should	1	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
2	know that you don't participate in things that	2	Q. Are you aware that my standing as a
3	may not be true when you are dealing with	3	beneficiary in the Illinois litigation made in part
4	claims to insurance companies.	4	the need for legal counsel that you would possibly
5	MR. ROSE: Objection, move to strike,	5	depending on the Court's ruling have to continue to
6 7	nonresponsive, speculation, conjecture, not based on any fact in the record or outside of	6 7	pay for? MS. CRISPIN: Objection, Your Honor, form,
	the record.	8	complex, compound.
8 9	THE COURT: Can I have the question again,	9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	madam court reporter, please.	9 10	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go for
11	(The following portion of the record was	11	now. I am done.
12	read back.)	12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	"Q. You said you have some other	13	MS. CRISPIN: Mine will be short.
14	irregularities in the insurance policy in this	14	MR. ROSE: Right.
15	litigation that you brought to the attention of	15	CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY)
16	the state. What were some of the other	16	BY MS. CRISPIN:
17	irregularities you found in the insurance?"	17	Q. Mr. Stansbury, I am Ashley Crispin. I
18	THE COURT: Overruled. Next question.	18	represent Mr. O'Connell. Nice to make your
19	BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:	19	acquaintance.
20	Q. In the Illinois insurance litigation I was	20	A. Thank you. Nice to meet you.
21	the only party prior to you getting the estate to	21	Q. After the May 2014 hearing your lawyer
22	intervene who was representing, to the best of your	22	negotiated for you during that hearing some
23	knowledge, the estate's interest and basically	23	additional terms and things that you were going to
24	everybody else's interest, my children's interest,	24	be able to get out of the payment towards the fees
25	et cetera; is that correct?	25	of Mr. Stamos.
		1	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 23 of 38 PageID #:15243 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Simon Bernstein			
15:19	9:39-15:20:46 Page 86	1	2:02-15:22:45 Page 88	
1	For example, isn't it true that you were	1	THE COURT: I need to hear the question	
2	able to contact Mr. Brown at the time and	2	again.	
3	Mr. O'Connell to discuss strategy that you had with	3	MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, I will rephrase.	
4	respect to the case?	4	THE COURT: I was going to say, ask him	
5	MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form of the	5	what you want to know. Yeah, I am just missing	
6	question as to my negotiating at the hearing.	6	it.	
7	The transcript speaks for itself.	7	BY MS. CRISPIN:	
8	THE COURT: Overruled.	8	Q. Did your counsel at the hearing negotiate	
9	MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy.	9	as part of you paying for the Chicago litigation	
10	THE COURT: Overruled.	10	the ability to contact counsel in Chicago and give	
11	BY MS. CRISPIN:	11	your opinions and your strategy?	
12	Q. As part of your agreement I will	12	MR. FEAMAN: Same objection, the	
13	rephrase the question. As part of your agreement	13	transcript speaks for itself.	
14	to make the payment to Mr. Stamos you also had	14	MS. CRISPIN: I am asking him, Your Honor.	
15	the ability, and this was part of what you received	15	THE COURT: Overruled.	
16	at the hearing, to contact the counsel in Chicago	16	THE WITNESS: Can I see the transcript?	
17	and say, hey, have you considered this, I have	17	BY MS. CRISPIN:	
18	information to help your case? Is that true?	18	Q. I am asking you, do you know?	
18 19	A. It's not the way I understood it. The	19	A. Again, I do recall there was conversations	
20	arguments that were going back and forth, and again	20	about the interaction of the attorneys. And my	
20	I am going from my recollection, were privy, I	21	recollection is Judge Colin said, you guys always	
22	think was the word that Mr. Morrissey was using,	22	get together and talk about things anyway, so I am	
23	and what I should and should not be privy to.	23	not going to get in the way of that.	
24	And I think Judge Colin had suggested that	24	Q. At that hearing you were willing that day	
25	attorneys talk about cases all the time. I am not	25	to pay for the Illinois litigation as long as	
			to puj for the minors negation as rong as	
15:2	1:08-15:21:50 Page 87	15:2	2:58-15:23:46 Page 89	
1	sure that it was discussed or agreed to, although	1	somebody would intervene on behalf of the estate;	
2	that's just my recollection, that we had any input	2	is that true?	
3	with regard to direction, strategy or anything	3	A. Initially, yes, initially.	
4	along those lines. That Mr. Brown at that time was	4	Q. And when you say initially, what does that	
5	the client and that Mr. Stamos was the attorney,	5	mean?	
6	and that was the relationship.	6	A. I would have to refer to a dictionary, but	
7	Q. Mr. Feaman represented you at that	7	generally speaking initially doesn't mean	
8	hearing, correct?	8	permanently. It means at the beginning initially.	
9	A. He did.	9	Q. Why is it that there's nothing in the	
10	Q. And his positions that he put before the	10	transcript where your counsel on your behalf put	
11	court were your positions, correct?	11	forth when it would be that you would stop paying	
12	A. Yes.	12	for the litigation?	
13	Q. So is it true that he asked for the	13	MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form, asked	
14	ability as pursuant to the agreement that you were	14	for a state of mind of other people why	
15	going to make to pay for the Illinois litigation,	15	something did not happen.	
16	that he asked for you to be able to pick up the	16	THE COURT: Sustained.	
17	phone and call counsel in Chicago and say, hey,	17	BY MS. CRISPIN:	
18	have you considered this, I have information that	18	Q. Now, you said that Mr. Stamos offered to	
19	might help your case?	19	Mr. O'Connell a contingency fee or hourly fee	
20	MR. FEAMAN: Objection.	20	arrangement. And you said you thought that was a	
21	MS. CRISPIN: That was my question.	21	benefit that you brought to the estate; is that	
22	MR. FEAMAN: A, the transcript speaks for	22	true?	
23	itself. And B, he should be able to read page	23	A. It is.	
24	and line of the transcript if he is being asked	24	Q. Okay. But that's because and that was	
25	to comment on something I said at the hearing.	25	brought to Mr. O'Connell, that was because you	
		1		

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 24 of 38 PageID #:15244 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017

	Estate of Sim	non	Bernstein
15:2	4:02-15:25:02 Page 90	15:2	6:12-15:26:53 Page 92
1	weren't paying; isn't that true?	1	THE WITNESS: I have an agreement with
2	A. No, that's not true at all.	2	Mr. Stamos that I would initially fund the
3	Q. So the reason that there would be a waiver	3	litigation. Mr. Stamos has agreed that he will
4	of outstanding fees so that a contingency fee	4	take a contingency fee. Mr. Stamos's fee will
5	arrangement could be pursued had nothing to do with	5	be waived, all hourly fees will be waived. If
6	the fact that you had failed to make payment to	6	the estate chooses not to take a contingency
7	Mr. Stamos?	7	fee, they don't have to; they can do an hourly
8	A. I would have to go back and look at the	8	fee. So it's up to the estate to figure out
9	record in terms of what was billed and what was	9	whether they want to have the it's a win-win
10	paid through December'ish of 2015 when Mr. Stamos	10	for them. Either they win because he is able
11	offered Mr. O'Connell, I believe that's when it	11	to collect money for the estate, or he doesn't
12	was, the opportunity to go on a contingency. But	12	win in which case the estate doesn't spend a
13	my recollection is that the fees were paid	13	nickle.
14	currently.	14	BY MS. CRISPIN:
15	The other input is that if I confer a	15	Q. Okay. But right now the estate hasn't
16	benefit to the estate and the estate has to pay me	16	entered into a contingency fee arrangement with
17	back the money, or Mr. Stamos is willing to waive	17	Mr. Stamos, correct?
18	that and just roll it into the contingency fee, why	18	A. Yeah. That's beyond my comprehension why
19	would I create an extra expense for the estate when	19	they haven't, but that's another delay that seems
20	I didn't have to? So it seemed silly for me to pay	20	to go on forever.
21	something to a lawyer that I would have to get paid	21	MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike,
22	back from the estate when he already agreed to	22	nonresponsive.
23	waive it, and it would only be a cost item if he	23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	was able to get a benefit for the estate.	24	BY MS. CRISPIN:
25	Q. But you haven't moved here today for you	25	Q. The answer is, no, they haven't, right?
15:2	5:15-15:26:00 Page 91	15:2	7:03-15:27:43 Page 93
1	to change your fee arrangement that you have with	1	They have not entered into Mr. O'Connell has not
2	the estate which currently you are paying or you	2	
3			entered into a contingency fee arrangement with
5	are supposed to be paying, you haven't moved to	3	entered into a contingency fee arrangement with Mr. Stamos?
4	are supposed to be paying, you haven't moved to convert that into a contingency; is that true?		
		3	Mr. Stamos?
4	convert that into a contingency; is that true?	3 4	Mr. Stamos?A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and
4 5	convert that into a contingency; is that true?A. I don't know that I have the right or	3 4 5	Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they
4 5 6	convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the	3 4 5 6	Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't.
4 5 6 7	convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just	3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Stamos?A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't.Q. And you understand that there's an
4 5 6 7 8	convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank.	3 4 5 6 7 8	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000?
4 5 6 7 8 9	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes.
4 5 7 8 9 10	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Say it again. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part. BY MS. CRISPIN:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Say it again. MS. CRISPIN: Madam court reporter, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part. BY MS. CRISPIN: Q. That's not what I am asking you. My
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Say it again. MS. CRISPIN: Madam court reporter, please. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part. BY MS. CRISPIN: Q. That's not what I am asking you. My question is they are currently owed over
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Say it again. MS. CRISPIN: Madam court reporter, please. (The following portion of the record was read back.) "Q. Did you believe currently that you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part. BY MS. CRISPIN: Q. That's not what I am asking you. My question is they are currently owed over Mr. Stamos is currently owed over \$30,000. Are you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 convert that into a contingency; is that true? A. I don't know that I have the right or opportunity to do that. I think that's again the client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just the bank. Q. Did you believe currently that you are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get his last statement in answer to the question, "I am just the banker"? THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the bank." MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: That's what he said. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Say it again. MS. CRISPIN: Madam court reporter, please. (The following portion of the record was read back.) 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Mr. Stamos? A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and Mr. O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. Q. And you understand that there's an outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? A. There's a balance due, yes. Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that you are required to pay it? MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, objection. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I think when the estate has the opportunity to roll that fee into a contingency agreement, then for me to pay it would be irresponsible on my part. BY MS. CRISPIN: Q. That's not what I am asking you. My question is they are currently owed over Mr. Stamos is currently owed over \$30,000. Are you obligated to pay it?

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 25 of 38 PageID #:15245 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Sin	non	Bernstein
15:2	7:50-15:28:47 Page 94	15:3	0:02-15:30:37 Page 96
1 2	MS. CRISPIN: He has not answered it. THE COURT: Overruled.	1 2	scheduled for an hour, whatever, we get some semblance so we can notify our families, just
3	THE WITNESS: Do I believe I owe the	3	notify? I will sleep here. I don't care if
4	money?	4	this goes on two years straight. I am ready to
5	BY MS. CRISPIN:	5	put him in prison. So I am just trying to
6	Q. Yes.	6	figure out how I tell my family I am imprisoned
7	A. I believe that I agreed to initially fund	7	in court until the judge lets me go according
8	it. Initially was several years ago. We are long	8	to this order.
9	beyond initially.	9	THE COURT: All right. What I said is the
10	Q. Do you believe you need a court order that	10	Court has the discretion to extend the various
11	would permit you to stop funding it?	11	hearings. And what I mean is exactly what I
12	MR. FEAMAN: Objection, legal conclusion.	12	said. Certainly my deputies go home by
13	THE COURT: Overruled. It's what he	13	usually I end by 5:00. I have to. If not,
14	thinks.	14	it's overtime. So the matters will always be
15	THE WITNESS: If I evaporated on my way	15	concluded by 5:00.
16	home from this court, I believe that the estate	16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All right. That
17	would continue to argue that they have a right	17	will help.
18	to that insurance benefit. I don't believe	18	THE COURT: Thank you so much. All right.
19	that there is any obligation for me to continue	19	Court is in recess everyone. Thank you very
20	to pay for something when the attorney has	20	much. Is it Friday? Yes. Have a good weekend
21	agreed to waive the fee in consideration for a	21	everyone. Thank you.
22	contingency agreement.	22	
23	MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, I would ask that	23	(The proceeding adjourned at 3:30 p.m.)
24	the witness answer the question.	24	
25	THE COURT: He has answered. Overruled.	25	
15.2	9:01-15:29:48 Page 95		Page 97
15.2		1	CERTIFICATE
1	He has given his answer.	2	
2	BY MS. CRISPIN:	3	
3	Q. Do you have any intention to make the	4	The State of Florida
4	payment for the \$30,000 plus that's owed to	5	County of Palm Beach
5	Mr. Stamos if the Court does not relieve you of	6	
6	your obligation to pay?	7	I, Lisa Mudrick, RPR, FPR, certify that I
7	MR. FEAMAN: Objection, calls for	8	was authorized to and did stenographically report
8	speculation, and could involve the THE COURT: Sustained.	9	the foregoing proceedings, pages 1 through 96, and
9		10	that the transcript is a true record.
10	MS. CRISPIN: Nothing further.	11	
11 12	THE COURT: All right. We are going to stop here. I made a note. We are going to	12	Dated June 9, 2017.
12	you can get off the stand, sir.	13	,,
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	14	
15	THE COURT: We are going to come back on	15	
16	the date we had already set, that June 28th.	16	
17	Everybody was free. Everybody was available.	17	
18	We already have. We will start with this	18	I MILA
19	motion first. And we will conclude that motion	19	Lim Mudrick
20	before we begin the next motion. All right?	20	LISA MUDRICK, RPR, FPR
21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: One thing, Your	20	Mudrick Court Reporting, Inc.
22	Honor, because I am confused about your order.	21 22	1615 Forum Place, Suite 500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 561-615-8181
		44	J01-013-0101
	-	22	
23 24	I do have kids, and I can't tell them I am	23 24	
23 24	I do have kids, and I can't tell them I am going to be in court forever. Is there a way	24	
23	I do have kids, and I can't tell them I am		

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 26 of 38 PageID #:15246 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Bernsteir	1	
	- 21:15	ago (2)	appeal (2)	86:20
\$	acquaintance (1)	8:8;94:8	21:5:41:8	arisen (1)
Ψ	- 85:19	agree (2)	appealed (4)	21:19
\$10,000 (1)	action (6)	9:15;10:1	17:25;47:24;48:11;	arranged (3)
72:2	9:5;11:1,15;15:4;	agreed (11)	49:11	50:16,19;52:11
\$15,000 (1)	61:21;66:9	10:9;43:14,16;45:15;	appearance (1)	arrangement (8)
37:13	actions (2)	58:11;74:10;87:1;	28:4	49:3;59:16;74:3;
\$16,585 (1)	33:25;39:23	90:22;92:3;94:7,21	appearances (1)	89:20;90:5;91:1;92:16;
71:23	actively (2)	agreement (13)	5:12	93:2
\$16,936.38 (1)	11:2;43:11	17:6;43:4,5;57:6,16;	appeared (2)	Ashley (2)
71:17	acts (1)	58:13;59:5;86:12,13;	80:22;81:11	5:17;85:17
\$3,401 (1)	19:5	87:14;92:1;93:17;	appearing (2)	aside (3)
70:6	actual (2)	94:22	28:5;34:1	41:23,24;42:2
\$30,000 (3)	9:10;13:23	ahead (4)	appears (1)	asset (1)
93:8,22;95:4	actually (8)	27:25;43:25;57:13;	78:12	59:22
\$4,107.28 (1)	7:18;16:10;21:20;	60:20	appellate (2)	assets (3)
71:2	23:1,14;67:24;80:22;	Alan (4)	21:2,3	20:3,9;21:4
\$41,000 (1)	81:11	5:22;28:4;29:19,23	application (2)	assign (1)
39:24	ad (12)	alive (1) 62:15	65:18,20	21:16
\$5,290.49 (1)	8:20;11:21;12:6;		appoint (6) 8:19,23;11:23;12:5;	attached (1) 13:8
70:13	15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15;	allegations (1) 6:20	8:19,23;11:23;12:5; 37:5;66:19	13:8 attempt (1)
\$50,000 (1)	57:0;45:14;54:4,15; 66:20	alleged (2)	appointed (4)	66:8
44:16	addition (2)	11:11;84:20	14:24;15:6;36:17;	attempts (2)
\$7,805.60 (1)	45:11;73:22	allow (2)	72:15	20:3;74:19
71:9	additional (2)	24:23;29:6	appointing (3)	attention (6)
\$70,000 (1) 68:1	64:18;85:23	allowed (4)	24:20;54:3,15	7:21;59:10,12;66:3;
\$700,000 (2)	add-on (1)	19:19;28:20;32:6;	appointment (3)	81:22;83:15
44:20;45:9	14:5	42:3	22:11;66:23;67:12	attorney (8)
\$770,000 (1)	address (3)	allowing (2)	appreciate (2)	21:2;28:14;31:6;
45:14	42:10;57:19;61:16	17:21;20:24	69:13;76:16	66:8;73:11;79:6;87:5;
\$9,551.66 (1)	adjourned (1)	alone (1)	approach (1)	94:20
70:19	96:23	19:23	14:10	attorney/client (2)
	– administrator (11)	along (1)	appropriate (6)	79:10,15
/	8:20;11:21;12:6;	87:4	13:16;22:14;23:4;	attorneys (4)
	- 15:3,13;22:12;24:14;	although (2)	35:13;49:11;73:4	10:21;12:20;86:25;
/// (3)	37:6;54:4,15;66:20	38:10;87:1	appropriately (1)	88:20
56:25;68:25;84:25	admitted (5)	always (5)	24:24	attorney's (1)
	- 26:5;30:6;34:7,7;	16:10;65:1,2;88:21;	approved (2)	46:13
Α	54:1	96:14	43:4,5	August (1)
	- adverse (4)	amend (1)	approximate (1)	71:21
abdicated (1)	21:14,25;80:22;	28:24	61:24	authorities (1)
34:9	81:12 advise (1)	amended (7) 17:25;18:2;41:4;	approximately (2)	78:5
ability (3)	advise (1) 78:10	54:14;58:25;59:1,3	58:1;62:1 April (3)	authority (3) 7:7;20:2;24:5
86:15;87:14;88:10	advised (1)	amidst (1)	28:8,24;70:25	authorization (4)
able (12)	35:10	84:4	argue (7)	57:5,16;58:25;59:4
23:13;24:15;32:5;	advocating (1)	amount (14)	10:3;22:2,23;25:7;	available (1)
65:2;73:10,11;85:24; 86:2:87:16;23:00:24;	38:11	9:16,25;10:10;19:16;	46:25:66:6:94:17	95:17
86:2;87:16,23;90:24; 92:10	afternoon (3)	25:22;69:21;70:12,18,	argued (1)	award (1)
92:10 above-named (1)	5:16;36:11,13	21;71:1,8,16,22;72:2	22:16	10:11
5:9	afterwards (1)	anniversary (1)	arguing (4)	awarded (2)
above-styled (1)	45:20	71:5	16:1;21:18;29:11;	64:21;65:8
5:4	again (13)	annotate (1)	58:20	aware (16)
absolutely (2)	28:16;38:2;73:20;	59:7	argument (12)	7:7;16:16;36:3;56:7;
22:20;33:19	76:18;79:13;82:11,25;	answered (3)	16:23;18:24;20:17;	62:7;63:12,20;65:25;
acceptable (2)	83:9;86:20;88:2,19;	93:25;94:1,25	21:16;22:4,16;25:12;	79:18;80:2,7,9;82:14;
41:14,15	91:6,19	anymore (1)	27:18;29:9;37:23;	84:14,20;85:2
accordance (1)	against (5)	17:22	47:22;58:17	away (3)
20:4	28:11;42:20;61:19,	apologize (3)	argumentative (1)	24:19;63:5;65:1
according (1)	21;79:20	55:11;56:6,7	84:7	n
96:7	agent (1)	apparently (1)	arguments (4)	B
account (1)	82:13	23:6	8:24;21:11;39:9;	
			L	

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 27 of 38 PageID #:15247 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

Estate of Simon Bernstein				
back (27)	5:25;30:5;35:18,20;	borne (3)	81:2;83:9;88:16;92:7;	73:19;86:16;87:17;
5:20;6:23;8:7;22:8;	36:4;37:9;63:9,17;	13:12;46:14,15	95:13,25;96:2	88:9,10
36:14;38:24;45:12;	64:5;65:23;74:17;	both (3)	cancel (1)	children (3)
47:11;49:25;50:9;51:3,	79:21;84:14,21;85:3	7:14,14;13:3	49:8	40:25;74:25;75:1
5,20;53:15;57:19;	benefit (35)	bottom (1)	capable (1)	children's (1)
62:15;63:12;72:3;81:3,	9:5,20;10:2,17;20:1,	35:25	50:14	83:24
5;83:12;86:20;90:8,17,	17,20,24;21:1,18;	bound (2)	care (2)	chooses (2)
22;91:23;95:15	22:19;23:6;33:1;38:21;	25:5,14	76:10;96:3	74:11;92:6
background (2)	40:25;47:19;48:2,13;	Boynton (1)	career (1)	circumstances (3)
32:11;61:9	50:2;62:15,19;63:17;	61:18	23:13	14:3;23:5;47:8
backs (1)	73:7,15,22;74:5,6,8,10,	Brian (2)	carving (1)	cite (3)
18:3	13,15;89:21;90:16,24;	5:17;57:4	44:22	20:21;22:22;23:13
balance (3)	94:18	Brief (2)	case (35)	cited (5)
58:11;93:8,9	benefits (7)	6:16;46:25	11:10;16:8,11,13;	7:8;8:16;13:17;20:5;
bank (2)	7:9;32:20;40:21;	briefly (2)	20:7;22:24;23:2,12,14;	46:24
91:8,15	62:5;63:22,24;65:9	21:12;69:21	24:1;27:3;28:21;30:4;	City (1)
banker (1)	benefitted (1)	bring (5)	32:17;38:19;39:13;	5:5
91:13	9:13	7:21;59:9,12;64:18;	42:22;44:18,21,21;	claim (16)
bargain (2)	Bernstein (118)	66:3	45:22,24;46:24;49:14,	32:20;33:9;42:7;
40:14;48:9	5:19,23,24;6:2,2;7:6;	brother (2)	15,21;50:5,18;61:11;	59:21;61:25;63:14;
baseball (1)	10:24,25;11:13,14;	32:22;34:10	66:6;81:17;86:4,18;	64:20;65:20,22;73:9;
41:12 based (2)	12:2,19;14:24;15:2; 19:4,7;26:1,4,6,9;27:4,	brought (10) 23:7;34:12;46:21;	87:19;92:12 cases (3)	78:19;80:10;82:3,9,14, 15
65:9;83:7			22:22;55:15;86:25	claimant (7)
basically (1)	8,10,13,16,23;28:1,3; 29:4,10,14,17,19;30:8,	56:3;61:21;76:6;81:22; 83:15;89:21,25	cashier's (1)	7:8;8:22;12:2;20:3;
83:23	13,15,19,24;31:5,20,	Brown (12)	70:3	24:13;61:19;66:9
basis (7)	23,25;32:4,9;33:7,22;	12:8,10,11,13,20;	cause (1)	claiming (2)
7:1;8:1;28:23;42:5,	34:16,23,25;35:3,5,8,	15:6;24:21;67:1;68:14;	5:5	82:7,22
21;45:18;73:25	15;36:21,25;37:1,6,8;	69:23;86:2;87:4	Caviro (1)	claims (5)
batting (1)	39:4,8;43:19,22;44:1,4,	Brown's (2)	61:17	11:7;20:9;33:16;
41:9	7,9;51:11;52:7,14,17,	68:10,14	central (1)	65:7;83:4
Beach (3)	21,24;53:6;54:22,25;	budget (2)	29:25	clarification (1)
5:6,6;61:18	55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2;	44:14,15	certain (2)	52:8
bear (2)	61:20;62:6,11,19;63:3,	burden (2)	19:5;47:7	clear (8)
16:4;67:17	5;66:10;74:16,18;76:3,	16:4;35:2	certainly (4)	9:3;11:3;18:3;20:12;
became (4)	6,14,17,22;77:2,6,11,	business (2)	10:1;13:21;74:12;	26:5;46:17,25;50:3
11:20;12:22;62:7;	13,15;79:12,16,17;	65:15,17	96:12	clearer (1)
63:12	80:1,2,8,16;81:14;82:6,	Businesses (1)	certify (1)	47:3
become (3)	13;83:19;84:11,13,19;	65:3	47:9	Clearly (2)
6:21;63:9,20	85:1,10;95:21;96:16	C	cetera (3)	28:19;46:4
began (1)	Bernstein's (3)	С	26:14,21;83:25	clerk (1)
7:11	62:4;79:1,21	call (12)	chance (2) 6:20;25:6	52:22
begin (2) 6:15;95:20	best (6) 6:23;8:3,25;40:18;	23:19;25:6;27:24;	change (2)	client (7) 26:16;36:16;37:24;
beginning (2)	58:8;83:22	44:21;51:8;60:1;68:11;	46:6;91:1	47:6,12;87:5;91:7
50:3;89:8	better (2)	74:9;76:23;77:3;81:25;	charge (1)	clients (1)
behalf (13)	16:11;61:12	87:17	80:4	37:24
5:17;6:4;7:5;10:22;	beyond (3)	called (5)	charges (1)	client's (1)
12:1;15:24;24:21;28:3;	30:10;92:18;94:9	17:14;30:9,19;48:8;	23:8	6:13
47:18;61:2;66:9;89:1,	Bill (1)	61:2	chase (1)	close (2)
10	91:7	calls (4)	8:11	13:13;36:8
believes (1)	billed (1)	79:9;84:16;93:12;	check (15)	closing (1)
58:7	90:9	95:7	68:13,17;69:21;70:3,	26:24
belong (1)	bit (2)	came (3)	3,9,15,21,25;71:4,10,	Colin (13)
62:5	32:11;37:17	7:17;16:12;84:3	11,18,24,25	7:15,18;8:7,11;13:2;
Ben (4)	Blakey (2)	can (36)	checks (2)	23:18;24:18;46:7;49:4;
15:6;24:20;68:10,14	35:19;36:5	5:13;7:8,24;8:17,23;	69:2,18	50:4,25;86:24;88:21
bench (1)	bona (1)	9:17;10:3;14:9;15:10,	Chicago (23)	Colin's (1)
44:16	23:7	24;16:5,9,19;22:21;	6:22;7:13;8:2,5;	21:22
beneficiaries (7)	Bookman (1)	25:15;30:2;37:19;39:1,	11:14;12:1;15:19;	collect (2)
34:17;42:19;43:6,8,	20:4	4;40:17;47:9,10;51:14;	39:24;44:17;48:4;	32:25;92:11
24;65:1,3	borderline (1)	54:23;64:18;68:7;	50:12;59:17,22;62:22;	collusion (1) 20.24

beneficiary (15)

27:20

72:24;75:21;76:12;

64:9;67:4;68:12;72:22;

29:24

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 28 of 38 PageID #:15248 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

coming (1) 68:21 comment (1) 87:25 committed (1) 34:6 communication (1) 48:9 community (1) 65:16 companies (2) 64:24;83:4 company (6) 11:6,16;33:8;63:14; 65:22;82:4 compensated (1) 25:16 compensation (2) 25:19,21 competent (1) 33:14 complete (2) 34:21;75:22 completed (1) 10:13 complex (1) 85:8 compliance (2) 41:13;46:22 complicated (3) 17:2:18:11:60:23 complied (3) 18:5,8;46:23 component (1) 60:9 **Composite** (2) 68:7.8 compound (1) 85:8 comprehension (1) 92:18 compromise (1) 50:23 concern (1) 64:14 concerning (3) 6:19;25:19;67:12 concerns (2) 62:13;74:2 conclude (1) 95:19 concluded (1) 96:15 conclusion (3) 84:17;93:12;94:12 conclusively (1) 24:5 conditions (1) 46:12 conducted (1) 31:23 confer (1) 90:15

conferred (6) 9:5.20:10:17:20:18. 20:22:19 confined (1) 52:9 confirm (1) 30:20 conflict (4) 6:19;28:6;31:25; 33:24 conflicted (2) 27:6:39:9 confused (1) 95:22 conjecture (1) 83:6 connection (6) 15:3,4;22:11;23:9; 36:22:72:7 consent (1) 43:23 consider (1) 13:14 consideration (1) 94:21 considered (3) 43:7;86:17;87:18 consulted (1) 62:17 consults (1) 48:5 contact (4) 79:5:86:2,16:88:10 contacted (1) 79:6 contains (1) 59:1 contempt (2) 18:8.9 contested (1) 50:22 contingency (22) 8:1;42:4,5,21;44:19; 45:10;57:6,16;58:12; 59:4;74:2;89:19;90:4, 12,18;91:4;92:4,6,16; 93:2,17;94:22 contingent (1) 73:25 continue (12) 8:4:10:15:29:15; 31:16,17;33:21;57:14; 58:8;76:25;85:5;94:17, 19 contradicts (1) 28:22 control (1) 29:20 controlling (1) 29:21 conversation (2) 52:19:53:4 conversations (2)

88:19:93:5 convert (1) 91:4 copies (1) 52:4 copy (6) 11:11;14:15;54:16, 18:56:19:57:1 coroner (1) 32:24 cost (4) 32:14;42:22;74:5; 90:23 costs (15) 9:1,10,22;12:25; 13:11,15;17:7;19:18; 21:3;23:8;32:13;46:13; 47:4;67:18;72:6 counsel (22) 8:2,16;13:18;26:21; 29:21:33:15:34:11: 38:4;39:14,15,15;57:7; 58:10;59:17;74:19; 81:15;85:4;86:16; 87:17;88:8,10;89:10 counting (1) 39:25 County (3) 5:5,6;65:16 couple (4) 36:15:40:10:52:13; 78:18 **Court (263)** 5:9,11,13,21;6:1,5,7, 12,15;8:17,21;10:8,12; 12:8;13:14;14:11,13, 15,17,20;15:5,11,20; 16:19,22;17:17,21,24; 18:17,20,21,25;19:3,6, 9,14;20:22,23;21:23; 22:3,6,13,17,20;23:3; 24:2,5,11,12,15,16,22; 25:4,4,11,11,13,24; 26:3,6,8,13,22;27:2,9, 12,14,21,25;28:15; 29:2,5,12,15,19;30:1,1, 9,12,14,17,20,21;31:4, 15,22,24;32:1,2,8; 33:18,21;34:1,12,18, 24;35:2,4,7,10,13,17; 36:1.3.6.9.13:37:2; 38:13,18;39:6,11;40:1, 15;41:3,14,16,21,22; 42:9,12,17,23;43:21, 25;44:3,5,6,8,10,25; 45:3,7,25;46:3;48:7, 11;49:13,16,16;50:24; 51:7,16,18,21;52:1,5, 13,15,18,23;53:1,5,8, 11,12,16,19,22,25; 54:9,13,21,24;55:1,5,7, 10,12,13,21,25;56:5,5, 12,15,20,22,24;57:9,

13,19,21,23;58:16,21; 59:6.9:60:3.8.12.17.19: 61:3,6,11:66:3,18,22; 67:16,21;68:19,24; 69:3,7,10,13,15;72:12, 15;75:6,9,14;76:1,5,12, 15,20,24;77:5,7,12; 78:7:79:11.14.25; 80:15;81:2,13;83:9,10, 18:84:10,18,24:85:9, 12;86:8,10;87:11;88:1, 4,15;89:16;91:17,20; 92:23;93:14;94:2,10, 13,16,25;95:5,9,11,15, 24;96:7,9,10,18,19 Courthouse (1) 5:5 courtroom (4) 43:2;50:19;52:20; 67:11 Court's (5) 7:21;35:20;59:10; 72:21;85:5 cover (1) 29:22 create (3) 36:2;51:25;90:19 created (3) 14:8;39:20,22 credit (1) 18:21 credits (1) 23:8Crispin (35) 5:14,16,17;16:24; 17:2;60:4,9,18,19; 72:9;75:7;79:8;80:14; 84:8;85:7,13,16,17; 86:11;87:21;88:3,7,14, 17:89:17:91:20:92:14, 21,24;93:19;94:1,5,23; 95:2,10 criticizing (1) 47:21 CROSS (2) 77:14;85:15 cross-examination (1) 76:13 crystal (1) 18:3 curator (6) 11:21;12:6;14:25; 15:6:66:19,24 currently (8) 39:24;58:12;90:14; 91:2,9,24;93:21,22 D date (13) 57:24;58:3;69:22;

70:10,16,24,25;71:5,

14,20,21;72:1;95:16

dated (2) 59:20:71:4 Davidson (1) 20:5day (6) 7:16;13:7;40:16; 47:12;77:10;88:24 deal (3) 18:11,11;62:12 dealing (2) 25:3;83:3 death (6) 33:1;62:4,5;63:22, 24;80:12 decedent's (1) 47:5 December (3) 57:25;59:20;70:11 December'ish (1) 90:10 decide (4) 25:15;39:17;40:8; 41:2 deciding (1) 50:14 decision (5) 34:13;39:3;42:6; 45:20,23 decisions (2) 45:21,22 declared (1) 24:13 declined (1) 37:5 defeated (1) 73:14 defendants (1) 74:25 delay (2) 48:14:92:19 demonstrate (2) 20:24;39:22 demonstrative (1) 14:17 denied (6) 12:3;19:2;33:2; 37:24;66:13;82:4 denies (1) 24:3 deny (2) 49:17,18 denying (2) 30:5;42:15 department (4) 11:7;65:23;78:11,15 depending (2) 6:10;85:5 deputies (1) 96:12 described (2) 63:16:73:23 designated (1) 47:13

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 29 of 38 PageID #:15249 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Simon Bernstein			
determine (2)	44:22;49:10	96:13	34:4;60:5;72:22;73:18;	experience (1)
24:24;48:21	due (5)	enforced (1)	80:18;81:7;83:23	62:16
detriment (1)	37:15,25;58:12;	18:10	et (3)	explain (3)
40:13	81:16;93:9	enough (5)	26:14,21;83:25	31:9,14;32:10
dictionary (1)	duly (1)	12:14;41:11;76:18,	evaporated (1)	explained (1)
89:6	61:3	21;77:3	94:15	26:18
different (3)	during (2)	enter (6)	even (3)	explored (1)
34:17;52:13;59:14	38:17;85:22	35:11;57:6,16;58:12;	33:25;51:13;72:2	82:2
difficult (1)	duties (1)	59:4;81:17	eventually (2)	extend (1)
70:2	11:3	entered (10)	14:4:37:14	96:10
direct (2)	duty (1)	7:16;15:17;49:16;	everybody (9)	extension (1)
29:18;61:14	20:19	55:15,21;56:1,15;	14:15;32:5;36:3;	28:25
direction (1)	20.19	92:16;93:1,2	48:12;52:4;76:9;83:24;	extra (1)
87:3	Ε	entering (1)	95:17,17	90:19
directly (2)	L	24:6	everyone (5)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
28:15;44:11	earlier (2)	entertain (1)	5:12;45:14;49:20;	F
disagree (1)	75:16;78:8	59:11	96:19,21	
13:20	early (1)	entire (3)	evidence (21)	fact (11)
disagreement (1)	48:20	8:12;18:13;38:5	7:22;9:3;10:18;	11:1;14:5;15:18;
64:4	easiest (2)	entitled (11)	21:17;35:11;39:21;	29:23;39:21;62:13;
discharge (3)	7:3;51:25	9:22;13:19,22;20:15;	51:23,24;53:12,18,21,	63:13;78:19;81:16;
7:3;10:1;16:25	effect (1)	22:21;23:7;25:7,21;	23;54:1;60:22,23;	83:7;90:6
discharged (13)	62:4	34:22;41:25;50:9	68:20,22,23;69:4,9;	facts (3)
9:19;16:1,15;17:10,	efforts (4)	entitlement (1)	84:9	44:12,14;84:8
13;19:12;25:16,17;	19:21,22,23;29:22	21:2	evidentiary (1)	failed (6)
60:7;72:18,23;73:5,16	e-filed (1)	entries (2)	9:15	34:3;80:18,21;81:7,
discretion (1)	28:15	15:9;16:6	exactly (4)	10;90:6
96:10	eight (1)	Entry (9)	26:22;34:24;55:18;	failing (1)
discuss (1)	58:10	15:8;18:15;30:3;	96:11	84:5
86:3	either (9)	38:2;57:5,17;58:1,24;	examined (1)	failure (1)
discussed (4)	7:25;40:23;41:8;	59:5	61:3	33:8
37:22;40:15;67:13;	44:25;47:5;65:9;73:24;	especially (1)	example (1)	fairly (1)
87:1	75:21;92:10	23:25	86:1	44:18
		20.20	00.1	44.10
discussion (3)	ELIOT (95)	essentially (1)	Exb (8)	faith (2)
discussion (3) 38:5,14,22				
38:5,14,22 discussions (1)	ELIOT (95)	essentially (1)	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17	faith (2)
38:5,14,22	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25;	essentially (1) 74:23	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1,	faith (2) 21:5,7
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110)	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17,	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10,	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2,	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6)	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5;	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99)
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1)	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16,	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1)	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20 done (9)	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1) 63:11	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7; 92:6,8,11,12,15;93:15;	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1) 20:25	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12; 16:21;19:10,11,17;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20 done (9) 9:17,17;21:12;22:16;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1) 63:11 emergencies (1)	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7; 92:6,8,11,12,15;93:15; 94:16	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1) 20:25 expense (3)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12; 16:21;19:10,11,17; 22:1,4,10,15,18;26:17,
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20 done (9) 9:17,17;21:12;22:16; 24:9;75:23,25;77:8;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1) 63:11 emergencies (1) 75:20	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7; 92:6,8,11,12,15;93:15; 94:16 estates (3)	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1) 20:25 expense (3) 39:18;74:2;90:19	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12; 16:21;19:10,11,17; 22:1,4,10,15,18;26:17, 24;31:13;32:6,21;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20 done (9) 9:17,17;21:12;22:16; 24:9;75:23,25;77:8; 85:11	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1) 63:11 emergencies (1) 75:20 end (8)	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7; 92:6,8,11,12,15;93:15; 94:16 estates (3) 65:7,8;84:15	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1) 20:25 expense (3) 39:18;74:2;90:19 expenses (5)	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12; 16:21;19:10,11,17; 22:1,4,10,15,18;26:17, 24;31:13;32:6,21; 33:19,20;34:11;40:3;
38:5,14,22 discussions (1) 25:22 disgraced (1) 10:20 disputes (1) 39:14 District (4) 56:12,12,20,21 docket (9) 15:8;16:6;18:14; 30:3;38:2;57:5,17; 58:24;59:5 document (2) 68:20,23 documents (7) 6:24;28:2;34:8;39:1; 51:12,17;75:5 dollar (1) 64:1 dollars (5) 19:25;37:12,15; 40:11,20 done (9) 9:17,17;21:12;22:16; 24:9;75:23,25;77:8;	ELIOT (95) 6:2,2;19:3,4,7;25:25; 26:1,4,9;27:8,10,13,16, 23;28:1;29:4,5,10,14, 17;30:8,13,15,19,24; 31:5,20,23,25;32:4,9; 33:21,22;34:16,23,25; 35:3,5,8,15;37:1,8; 39:4,7,8;43:19,22;44:1, 4,7,9;51:11;52:5,7,14, 17,21,24;53:6;54:22, 25;55:3,6,9,19,23;56:2; 75:16;76:2,3,6,14,17, 22;77:2,6,11,13,15; 79:12,16,17;80:1,8,16; 81:14;82:1;83:19; 84:11,13,19;85:1,10; 95:21;96:16 else (6) 7:8;24:23;32:5; 59:11,11;76:9 else's (1) 83:24 e-mailing (1) 63:11 emergencies (1) 75:20	essentially (1) 74:23 Estate (110) 5:19,25;7:6,10,23; 8:4,25;9:4,14,19;10:3, 18,22,25;11:3,19;12:2, 7;13:1;15:14;16:4; 19:19,23,24;20:4,8,10, 18,21,25;21:1,4,7,14, 19,25;22:19;23:7; 24:22,25;28:6,10; 29:21;30:6;33:13,14; 34:14;35:18,21;38:19, 21;39:13,20;40:5,9,19, 24;45:4,17;47:5,18,19; 49:23;50:10;56:11; 58:8;59:22;60:15; 61:20,21;62:6;64:8,19, 20;66:5,10;67:4;72:6, 19;73:7,8,13,15;74:13, 18,19;75:6;79:22; 80:23;81:12,16;83:21; 84:5,21;89:1,21;90:16, 16,19,22,24;91:2,7; 92:6,8,11,12,15;93:15; 94:16 estates (3)	Exb (8) 54:3,14;56:16;57:1, 15;59:3,24;69:17 except (1) 20:6 excerpted (1) 18:23 excess (1) 93:8 excited (1) 23:15 excuse (3) 43:17;45:15;54:25 executor (1) 20:23 Exhibit (12) 53:7,14;54:10;56:10, 18;57:3;58:15,23;59:2, 18;68:7,8 exhibits (3) 58:18,22;59:7 exists (1) 36:16 expenditure (1) 20:25 expense (3) 39:18;74:2;90:19	faith (2) 21:5,7 fall (2) 62:8;63:6 Fame (1) 41:12 familiar (2) 6:21;14:9 families (1) 96:2 family (3) 40:17,22;96:6 far (3) 5:14;63:25;84:1 father (2) 32:24;84:22 father's (3) 35:18,21;80:12 FBI (1) 79:6 Feaman (99) 6:4,4,8,12,14,16; 10:6,13,16;12:10; 14:12,14,18,21;15:12; 16:21;19:10,11,17; 22:1,4,10,15,18;26:17, 24;31:13;32:6,21;

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 30 of 38 PageID #:15250 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Bernstein		
52:3,9,19;53:3,7,9,14,	finish (3)	four (4)	66:4;95:1	hard (1)
20,24;54:5,8,10,12,16,	14:7;42:22;50:6	16:17;38:6,8;43:8	giving (2)	56:6
19;55:11,24;56:10,18;	finished (1)	FPR (1)	18:23;37:15	harken (1)
57:3,12,18,22;58:15,	82:20	5:7	glad (1)	6:23
20,23;59:8,13;60:1;	firm (5)	fraud (11)	6:25	harm (1)
61:8,13,15;67:22;69:1,	34:7;67:25;68:10,10,	27:24,24;30:4;31:2;	goes (5)	50:11
5,8,11,19;72:13;75:12,	14	32:13,17;33:16;34:6;	33:23;43:1;75:6,15;	hat (1)
25;79:9;86:5,9;87:7,	First (33)	36:2;78:6;84:5	96:4	79:3
20,22;88:12;89:13;	6:18,22;7:2;8:6;	frauds (3)	good (10)	hats (1)
91:11,16,18;93:12,24;	10:25;11:5;12:4;18:6;	29:18,22;30:1	5:16;21:4,6;36:9,11,	79:4
94:12;95:7 Feaman's (2)	19:17;21:13,21;22:24;	fraudulent (3)	13;41:11,22;51:2; 96:20	health (1) 62:13
37:24;51:1	23:12,20,21;27:17; 41:4;49:25;51:8;53:9;	32:19;34:8;80:10 free (2)	goose (1)	62.15 hear (3)
February (3)	57:3;62:2,7;64:17;	23:23;95:17	8:10	16:10;27:14;88:1
14:22;70:17;72:1	66:24;68:4,7,13;69:24,	Friday (1)	grand (1)	heard (6)
federal (2)	25;72:4;76:3;95:19	96:20	40:14	31:7;39:19;46:19,20;
36:3,5	five (1)	friend (1)	grandchildren (7)	66:22;91:14
fee (21)	57:24	79:1	38:6,9;40:25;43:9,	hearing (40)
8:1;42:21;44:19;	five-minute (1)	friends (1)	10,12;47:14	7:16;8:6,14;9:15;
45:10,13;59:15;73:25;	46:8	10:23	granted (4)	12:4,16,17,24;13:8;
74:3;89:19,19;90:4,18;	flat (1)	front (3)	15:21;66:23;67:16;	14:2;16:6;17:4;23:22;
91:1;92:4,4,7,8,16;	47:24	8:6;9:1;12:25	72:18	24:10;31:21;37:22;
93:2,16;94:21	flavor (1)	fronted (1)	granting (4)	38:17,23;44:5;46:8,9;
fees (19) 9:10,16;12:25;13:15,	50:22 flick (2)	19:18 fund (8)	15:16;56:19;57:2; 72:21	48:16,18,21;49:8; 52:16;54:23;67:10;
22;17:1;19:18;21:3;	44:21,22	7:9;17:22;20:3;	grants (1)	72:3,11;76:25;77:20;
46:13;47:4;58:3;68:10;	Florida (10)	47:14;49:1,22;92:2;	24:3	85:21,22;86:6,16;87:8,
72:5;85:24;90:4,13;	5:7;13:16;14:1;	94:7	gratified (2)	25;88:8,24
91:10,25;92:5	23:12;35:23;36:1;	funded (2)	6:18;13:2	hearings (8)
felt (1)	46:17;61:18;65:25;	47:16;73:21	gratuitous (1)	6:19;26:11,23,25;
66:2	66:19	funding (8)	25:9	31:2;55:17;60:21;
few (1)	flow (2)	7:5,13,25;9:19;50:6;	great (1)	96:11
48:18	40:24;57:14	72:19;73:18;94:11	62:12	hearsay (1)
fide (1) 23:7	focused (2) 30:23;32:3	funds (4) 11:17;20:25;49:19;	guardian (1) 43:14	75:8 held (3)
figure (4)	follow (1)	50:12	43.14 guess (4)	18:8;20:22;24:2
74:23;75:1;92:8;	48:11	further (10)	19:8;40:6,12;78:18	help (5)
96:6	following (4)	7:4;16:20;22:21;	guy (1)	62:18;64:19;86:18;
file (1)	5:3;81:4;83:11;	26:24;29:23;72:19,23;	40:21	87:19;96:17
67:3	91:22	73:17;75:12;95:10	guys (1)	Heritage (1)
filed (16)	follows (1)	future (1)	88:21	82:4
7:23;11:25;12:5;	61:4	20:16	TT	hey (2)
15:13,18,23;27:3;28:2,	force (1)	G	H	86:17;87:17
25;56:11;57:11;59:19; 63:21;80:10;82:7,9	20:2 forced (2)	G	half (7)	hiding (1) 18:18
filing (10)	7:9;34:13	gain (2)	35:5;40:20;49:6;	highlighted (1)
8:12;28:13,14;30:3;	forever (3)	36:6;74:14	58:1;73:3;76:18,21	50:21
31:8;32:19;33:16;	76:8;92:20;95:24	gambling (1)	Hall (1)	himself (3)
57:24;74:9;82:17	forged (1)	40:9	41:12	26:5;61:2;78:20
final (1)	34:8	Gardens (1)	hand (1)	hire (2)
24:6	form (4)	5:6	68:6	42:4;66:8
Finally (2)	82:15;85:7;86:5;	gave (3)	handing (1)	hiring (2)
21:10;59:18	89:13	18:19;28:9;41:19	58:22	26:21;42:20
financial (1) 19:20	former (1) 29:24	general (1) 5:12	handle (2) 45:17;51:22	history (1) 32:6
find (2)	forth (3)	generally (1)	43.17,31.22 happen (1)	Hold (2)
34:11;64:11	63:12;86:20;89:11	89:7	89:15	27:9;34:19
finding (3)	forward (4)	generated (3)	happening (2)	home (2)
21:24;22:10;33:18	7:1;9:2;16:4;42:6	68:11;69:2;73:7	13:3;31:1	94:16;96:12
findings (1)	found (8)	gets (7)	happens (3)	honestly (1)
28:23	21:14,23;23:1;28:5;	9:6;10:2;45:3,4;	6:10;17:10;49:18	10:8
fine (2) 30:16:47:16	64:12;65:2;81:24; 83:17	48:8;49:25;75:1	happy (1) 57:9	Honor (63)
39:16;47:16	83:17	given (2)	51.7	5:17,22;6:17,20,23;

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 31 of 38 PageID #:15251 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	-	Estate of Simon Bernstein		
7:2,20;8:7,13,15;9:9;	96:6	interesting (1)	78:12,14,16,17;	26:20;48:2
10:7;14:8,18;15:9,24;	improper (1)	12:18	82:11	knew (2)
16:5,7,16,18;17:2,15;	27:18	interests (12)	irrelevant (1)	48:24;82:16
20:17;21:6,10,13,15;	improperly (1)	8:3,25;14:6;15:14;	84:17	knowing (1)
20:17,21:0,10,13,13, 22:2;23:1,15,17,23;	31:21	20:5;24:10;34:16;	irresponsible (1)	82:8
24:9;25:18;28:5;34:15;	Inadvertently (1)	38:11;40:18;43:13;	93:18	knowledge (4)
36:12,23;39:4;43:20;	62:10	58:8;69:20	issue (13)	6:24;30:2;62:2;
45:15;47:7;51:11,13;	includes (1)	interfere (1)	8:18;13:25;24:4,11,	83:23
43.13,47.7,51.11,13, 53:4,15,20;54:5,20;	43:8	26:20	17;25:1,3;29:16;31:11;	65.25 knows (1)
55:11;57:23;58:15;	45.8 incur (1)			47:7
61:10;69:9;72:9;75:7,	74:4	interject (1)	38:16,24;62:21;63:9	
		60:4	issued (1)	kudos (1)
13;85:7;88:3,14;92:21;	incurred (4)	interplead (1)	78:1	23:2
94:23;95:22	9:8;46:13;47:4;72:6	11:17	issues (2)	L
Honorable (1) 5:8	independent (5)	interpret (1)	28:18;32:2	L
	8:23;14:25;15:6;	17:5	item (2)	1-1-1 (1)
horizon (1)	66:19,24	interpretation (1)	15:7;90:23	label (1)
48:17	indicated (2)	13:20	т	60:23
hotly (1)	28:8;55:16	interrupt (2)	J	Lane (1)
50:22	indicating (2)	75:11,15		61:17
hour (8)	55:16,22	interrupting (1)	JA (3)	language (4)
52:9;55:17,18,20;	individual (2)	58:16	52:22,23;53:4	23:3;25:10,14;59:1
75:19;76:18,21;96:1	78:20,22	intervene (20)	January (3)	lapsed (1)
hourly (9)	industry (2)	12:3,13;15:18,21;	11:19;66:14;68:5	62:11
8:1;45:10,18;59:15;	62:16;78:9	19:19;21:7;24:16,21,	Jeff (1)	large (2)
73:25;74:11;89:19;	information (4)	24;56:11,17,20;57:2;	6:10	64:23;74:7
92:5,7	61:10;79:10;86:18;	66:8,20;67:4;72:17,22;	job (1)	last (5)
huge (1)	87:18	83:22;89:1	19:18	15:5;23:19;25:5;
74:14	initially (17)	intervened (2)	Join (1)	26:23;91:12
hundred (1)	7:14,15,19;13:11,12;	74:20;84:2	80:14	latched (1)
40:10	46:14;67:17;72:5;89:3,	intervenor (1)	Judge (27)	25:9
hundreds (3)	3,4,7,8;92:2;94:7,8,9	67:8	5:8;7:15,18;8:7,11,	later (4)
18:12;19:25;37:13	input (2)	intervention (2)	19;13:2,8;16:12;21:22;	7:18;9:3;14:6;15:20
-	87:2;90:15	72:7;73:8	23:18;24:18;35:19;	latitude (1)
Ι	inquiries (1)	intestacy (1)	36:5,7;46:7;49:4,6;	32:7
	64:7	65:10	50:4,15,17,20,25;	law (9)
identified (1)	instead (1)	into (24)	75:19;86:24;88:21;	6:8;13:16;14:1;20:9;
33:15			067	
	15:5	12:21;14:5;21:15;	96:7	23:2;34:7;46:17;47:1;
identify (1)	insult (1)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24;	judgment (4)	67:25
identify (1) 68:7	insult (1) 27:21	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1;	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22;	67:25 laws (1)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4;	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19	67:25 laws (1) 65:10
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12;	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1)	67:25 laws (1)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1,	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18;	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1,	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11,	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2;
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18;	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21;
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2;
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11,	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1)
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9:59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12,	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15;	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1)
<pre>identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8;</pre>	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15;	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4;	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 intention (2) 10:21;95:3	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 intention (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 intention (2) 10:21;95:3	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25 imply (1)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 interaction (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1) 88:20 interest (11)	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involved (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2) 63:21;79:7	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3) 11:2;30:21;60:21	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25 imply (1) 10:6 important (3) 24:8;41:2;48:10	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 intention (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1) 88:20	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3) 11:2;30:21;60:21 kids (3)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4) 9:17;25:17;66:3,6
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25 imply (1) 10:6 important (3) 24:8;41:2;48:10 importantly (1)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 interaction (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1) 88:20 interest (11) 24:25;28:6;34:4; 64:21;65:21;68:18;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 invostigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2) 63:21;79:7 irregularities (5) 81:21,23;82:1;83:14,	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3) 11:2;30:21;60:21 kids (3) 55:3;76:9;95:23 kind (4) 12:20;23:14;31:13;	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4) 9:17;25:17;66:3,6 leaving (1)
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25 imply (1) 10:6 important (3) 24:8;41:2;48:10 importantly (1) 14:1	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 interaction (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1) 88:20 interest (11) 24:25;28:6;34:4;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 investigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2) 63:21;79:7 irregularities (5)	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3) 11:2;30:21;60:21 kids (3) 55:3;76:9;95:23 kind (4)	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4) 9:17;25:17;66:3,6 leaving (1) 84:4
identify (1) 68:7 ignore (1) 41:7 Illinois (39) 7:5;15:15;26:15,18; 27:4;28:7,12,16,18; 29:20;30:1,3,15;32:18; 34:4,9;35:9,16;36:22; 37:4;39:10;40:8,23; 44:12,13;50:16;56:13, 21;57:7;58:10;63:21; 79:18;80:19;81:8; 83:20;84:3;85:3;87:15; 88:25 immaterial (1) 84:17 immediately (2) 33:15;49:25 imply (1) 10:6 important (3) 24:8;41:2;48:10 importantly (1)	insult (1) 27:21 insurance (57) 10:23;11:6,8,16; 27:5;28:12,16,18; 30:16;32:18,20;33:8; 34:4;39:10;45:3;57:7; 58:4,9;59:21;62:3,7,11, 16;63:10,13,14,16; 64:23,24;65:17,19,22; 73:10;77:19;78:6,9,11, 15;79:7;80:4,10,19; 81:8,21,24;82:1,4,12, 13;83:1,4,14,17,20; 84:3,6;94:18 intended (1) 15:23 interaction (2) 10:21;95:3 interaction (1) 88:20 interest (11) 24:25;28:6;34:4; 64:21;65:21;68:18;	24:20;35:11;40:19,24; 41:12;43:1;46:21;54:1; 57:6,16;58:12;59:4; 64:19;68:22;80:12; 90:18;91:4;92:16;93:1, 2,16 inventory (2) 59:19,24 investigate (1) 78:13 invostigation (1) 80:11 invoice (1) 68:5 involve (1) 95:8 involved (2) 43:12;64:8 involvement (2) 26:16;28:12 involving (2) 63:21;79:7 irregularities (5) 81:21,23;82:1;83:14,	judgment (4) 13:23;24:7;35:22; 79:19 judgments (1) 73:13 judicata (1) 35:24 judicial (2) 53:13;57:11 July (4) 15:20;48:20,21; 71:15 June (4) 5:9;15:17;71:4; 95:16 K keep (3) 11:2;30:21;60:21 kids (3) 55:3;76:9;95:23 kind (4) 12:20;23:14;31:13;	67:25 laws (1) 65:10 lawsuit (4) 63:21;82:7,17,22 lawyer (11) 39:16,23;45:9;47:21; 48:4,5,8;50:11;79:2; 85:21;90:21 lay (1) 69:5 lead (1) 61:8 leadership (1) 65:16 learn (1) 63:8 learned (1) 64:3 least (4) 9:17;25:17;66:3,6 leaving (1) 84:4 left (1)

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 32 of 38 PageID #:15252 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Dernstein		
		15 16 16 10 04 16 16		
17:23;27:14;39:6;	9;35:10,16;36:22;37:4;	15:16;16:13;24:16,16;	23:24;24:6	name (1)
43:21;58:3;68:19;	39:10;40:11;44:12,13;	33:21;36:10;39:11;	moment (1)	61:16
73:12;84:16;85:4;	45:14;47:15;49:19,22;	41:25,25;44:10;47:12;	41:24	narrow (1)
93:12;94:12	57:8;58:4,9;62:22;	50:19;53:10;56:9;	monetary (1)	44:18
length (1)	64:8,13;67:5,18;68:12;	62:14;67:11;72:3;73:8;	20:24	nationally (1)
43:3	73:19,22;74:2;79:19;	83:3;85:21	money (28)	65:17
lengthy (4)	80:5,19;81:8,22;83:15,	mean (9)	10:22;11:2,24;13:23,	neck (1)
38:14,22;41:1;46:9	20;84:3,6;85:3;87:15;	10:6;30:21;45:13;	24;21:19;24:25;32:14;	73:1
lets (1)	88:9,25;89:12;92:3	48:14;57:19;75:14;	40:18,19,22;42:1;45:1,	need (17)
96:7	little (4)	89:5,7;96:11	4,4;47:9,9,11,13;50:7,	11:22;18:20;19:14;
letter (2)	18:21;32:5,11;37:17	meaning (4)	15;61:22;67:23;75:2,6;	22:20;36:14;47:9;
35:12;52:10	long (4)	29:11;31:5;32:10,15	90:17;92:11;94:4	53:20;54:16;55:5,8,8;
letting (1)	54:22;72:21;88:25;	means (1)	month (1)	75:18,23;76:19;85:4;
26:3	94:8	89:8	15:5	88:1;94:10
levels (1)	longer (1)	meant (1)	months (2)	needed (1)
64:17	65:4	52:21	72:25;73:3	82:2
Lewis (1)	look (4)	meet (1)	more (10)	needs (2)
50:17	15:10;22:8;38:1;	85:20	14:6;17:9;23:17;	21:15;53:17
liability (4)	90:8	memo (1)	29:18;31:18;34:18,20;	negotiate (1)
39:22;40:2,13;50:11	lose (2)	18:15		88:8
			35:7;53:24;56:7	
license (1)	40:7;74:13	mention (2)	morning (1)	negotiated (1)
78:10	loser (1)	22:24;29:22	67:14	85:22
licensed (2)	50:10	mentioned (5)	Morrissey (4)	negotiating (1)
82:13;83:1	loses (1)	15:16;22:25;68:15;	38:5,7;43:10;86:22	86:6
life (17)	40:11	78:8;82:3	most (1)	net (3)
10:23;11:8;32:20;	loss (2)	merely (1)	14:1	47:19;49:25;60:15
33:8;57:7;58:3,9;62:3,	45:8,8	24:3	motion (43)	neutral (1)
7,10;63:10,13,16;	lot (4)	Messrs (2)	6:13;7:2;9:6,9;12:1,	12:21
64:23,24;77:19;82:4	41:13;43:1;63:11;	10:20;66:15	3,5;15:18,21;16:1,2,13,	new (4)
light (2)	79:4	middle (1)	14;18:13;19:1;20:12,	11:23;34:11;59:14;
44:20,21	loyalty (1)	44:23	14;21:7;24:3;29:3,7,8;	77:25
limit (1)	10:24	might (8)	30:11,25;43:15;49:7,	next (12)
29:9				
29:9 limited (2)	М	32:24;33:24;41:11;	17,19;56:10,16,19;	19:11;25:25;26:3;
limited (2)	М	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4;	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3,	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18,
limited (2) 52:9;55:20		32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19,	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1)	madam (3)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1;	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9;	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7;	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 32:21;43:25;44:3,8
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 32:21;43:25;44:3,8 notice (4)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 32:21;43:25;44:3,8 notice (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64)	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 32:21;43:25;44:3,8 notice (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64) 7:5,9,13,25;8:4;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14 Matwiczyk (1)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5 Misstates (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24 must (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 32:21;43:25;44:3,8 notice (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1) 16:16
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64) 7:5,9,13,25;8:4; 15:15;17:8,22;23:5,10;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14 Matwiczyk (1) 68:14	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5 Misstates (1) 84:8	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1) 16:16 notified (4)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64) 7:5,9,13,25;8:4; 15:15;17:8,22;23:5,10; 25:18;26:12,15,17,19;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14 Matwiczyk (1) 68:14 may (29)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5 Misstates (1) 84:8 misunderstood (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24 must (1) 29:12	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1) 16:16 notified (4) 32:23;36:5;78:5,14
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64) 7:5,9,13,25;8:4; 15:15;17:8,22;23:5,10; 25:18;26:12,15,17,19; 27:5;28:7,13,16,18;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14 Matwiczyk (1) 68:14 may (29) 6:9,15,17,25;7:16;	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5 Misstates (1) 84:8 misunderstood (1) 29:13	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24 must (1)	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1) 16:16 notified (4) 32:23;36:5;78:5,14 notify (2)
limited (2) 52:9;55:20 limiting (1) 29:15 line (6) 23:20;24:11,23; 35:25;49:10;87:24 lines (1) 87:4 liquidity (1) 64:19 Lisa (1) 5:7 list (2) 54:10;69:21 listed (1) 65:3 listen (1) 42:24 litem (12) 8:20;11:21;12:6; 15:3,13;22:12;24:14; 37:6;43:14;54:4,15; 66:20 litigation (64) 7:5,9,13,25;8:4; 15:15;17:8,22;23:5,10; 25:18;26:12,15,17,19;	madam (3) 83:10;91:11,20 major (1) 64:24 making (4) 25:12;26:19;39:9; 82:14 manipulated (1) 36:2 March (1) 15:12 mark (2) 51:18;69:10 marked (3) 51:19;68:6;69:11 Martinez (1) 24:1 materiality (1) 79:24 matter (3) 45:18;46:20;78:7 matters (3) 33:13;79:6;96:14 Matwiczyk (1) 68:14 may (29)	32:24;33:24;41:11; 62:5,18;64:21;74:4; 87:19 million (4) 37:14;40:20;62:1; 64:1 Mills (1) 24:1 mind (1) 89:14 Mine (1) 85:13 minimum (1) 46:21 minutes (4) 31:19;34:19,20;35:7 misleading (1) 8:17 misled (1) 36:6 misrepresented (1) 27:1 misrepresenting (2) 27:2;43:23 missing (1) 88:5 Misstates (1) 84:8 misunderstood (1)	17,19;56:10,16,19; 57:2,3,25;66:23;67:3, 7;72:17,22;74:9;95:19, 19,20 motions (1) 7:23 move (16) 12:15;22:9;24:19; 30:22;44:3;51:9;54:19; 69:8;72:18,23;73:4; 75:7;80:25;82:19;83:5; 92:21 moved (7) 12:12;14:24;22:15; 24:20;37:7;90:25;91:3 moving (1) 68:24 much (7) 16:10;42:20;56:7; 63:24;67:23;96:18,20 Mudrick (1) 5:7 multiple (1) 49:6 murdered (1) 32:24 must (1) 29:12	19:11;25:25;26:3; 70:9,14,20;71:10,18, 24;81:13;83:18;95:20 Nice (2) 85:18,20 nickle (1) 92:13 nine (1) 59:14 nonresponsive (3) 81:1;83:6;92:22 nor (1) 7:7 North (1) 5:5 Northern (2) 56:12,21 notated (1) 60:13 note (2) 57:23;95:12 noted (4) 8:12;53:13;57:11,11 noticed (1) 16:16 notified (4) 32:23;36:5;78:5,14

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 33 of 38 PageID #:15253 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Bernstein		T
November (1)	8:2;59:16;73:23;	18:1,2,4,7,9,10;21:22;	own (4)	39:17;47:11;58:2;72:4,
66:7	89:18;90:11	22:7;23:19,21,24;24:2,	12:1,1;39:2;76:23	5;74:11;81:15;85:6;
number (20)	offering (1)	6;25:6,20;27:5;28:8,	owner (1)	87:15;88:25;90:16,20;
7:10,11;15:7,8;	14:19	24;29:1;37:18,21;40:1;	62:20	91:10,25;93:11,17,23;
28:14;36:15;54:1,5;	office (1)	41:5,5,6,7,14,17,17;	02.20	94:20;95:6
64:17;69:21;70:3,9,15,	62:12	46:6,16,18,19,23;47:2,	Р	payable (4)
	offices (1)	23,23;48:15,23;49:5,	1	68:13;71:12,19,25
21;71:4,11,19,25; 78:18,25	64:23			
	once (1)	10,13;52:8;53:9;54:3,	page (8)	payee (5)
numbered (1)	12:11	6,14;55:4,6,15,19,21, 25;56:19;57:2;58:2;	24:11,18,22;38:4,7;	69:22;70:22,23;71:6,
5:5			60:14;69:25;87:23	/
0	one (24)	67:19;76:7;94:10;	pages (5)	paying (10)
0	6:8;7:10,15,15;13:5;	95:22;96:8	18:12,24;38:23,23;	17:1;31:13;40:5;
	23:2;24:21;34:19;	ordered (3)	41:19	45:12;81:18;88:9;
object (4)	36:15,18;39:14;42:15;	23:18;40:4;67:17	paid (17)	89:11;90:1;91:2,3
27:7;39:5;43:19;	44:13;45:21;52:9;	ordering (1)	9:11;20:19;21:9;	payment (7)
69:7	55:20;69:11;74:8;75:9;	58:2	38:24;39:25;40:4;	21:3;69:17;81:18;
objecting (1)	78:18;80:3;82:3;84:12;	orderly (1)	41:10;49:25;50:8;58:4;	85:24;86:14;90:6;95:4
31:8	95:21	53:24	60:11;65:9;67:24;	payments (1)
objection (36)	one-day (1)	orders (9)	81:17;90:10,13,21	68:9
27:15;30:7;39:6;	44:16	7:11,14;13:4;23:24;	Palm (2)	pending (4)
43:21;52:6;53:16,18;	one-fifth (1)	36:6;41:3,9;48:11;	5:6,6	25:22;37:5;57:7;
54:7,11;56:14,23;	37:12	67:13	panic (1)	64:9
67:19;68:19,21;72:9;	only (14)	original (5)	62:12	penny (1)
79:8,9,23;80:6,13,25;	8:15;9:24;25:15;	10:19;18:1;29:25;	paper (2)	50:9
82:19;83:5;84:7,16,23;	38:18;39:16;40:3,16;	32:17;41:5	18:6;49:13	people (6)
85:7;86:5,9;87:20;	59:13;74:4,14;80:21;	others (1)	papers (3)	43:14,16;45:19;
88:12;89:13;93:13,24;	81:10;83:21;90:23	65:7	20:21;29:23;31:9	50:18;64:25;89:14
94:12;95:7	open (1)	otherwise (2)	paperwork (1)	per (1)
obligated (3)	75:19	9:15;38:25	78:3	17:11
91:10,25;93:23	opening (12)	out (25)	paragraph (13)	perceived (1)
obligation (2)	6:16;10:13;19:15;	7:17;11:2;13:19;	13:6,10,13,24;19:11;	15:22
94:19;95:6	27:11,19;29:6;31:18;	14:23;18:11;21:3,8;	21:22;23:19;25:5;	percent (3)
observe (1)	32:10;34:21,22;35:14;	34:5;35:9,16,22;42:3;	46:11;57:23;58:6,10;	41:10,10,13
65:18	66:22	45:13;47:8,13,24;	59:14	perfectly (1)
observed (1)	opinion (1)	52:10;64:11,12;65:14;	parents (3)	47:16
16:8	78:13	74:23;75:1;85:24;92:8;	43:11;74:24;75:1	perform (1)
obtain (1)	opinions (1)	96:6	part (14)	15:23
62:2	88:11	outlay (1)	7:2;9:6,9;20:12,14;	performed (2)
obvious (1)	opportunities (1)	50:12	23:21;37:23;50:25;	15:22;38:21
11:20	65:7	outside (5)	85:3;86:12,13,15;88:9;	perhaps (1)
obviously (2)	opportunity (7)	40:17;43:1;48:6;	93:18	37:14
64:18;67:16	62:14;66:5;73:24;	52:19;83:7	participate (1)	period (1)
occasionally (1)	74:12;90:12;91:6;	outstanding (3)	83:2	68:2
47:11	93:16	58:11;90:4;93:8	participating (1)	permanent (1)
occasions (1)	oppose (5)	over (9)	6:9	48:17
49:7	16:24;17:19,21;	7:24,25;18:12;30:5;	participation (1)	
			- - · <i>· · ·</i>	permanently (1)
O'Connell (24)	43:15;74:19	39:24;64:4;68:2;93:21,	73:18	89:8 Doministration (1)
5:18;9:11;12:21;	opposed (5)	22	parties (3)	Permission (1)
17:4;30:4;37:11;40:10;	12:18,19;15:1,2;	override (1)	8:9;10:14;25:22	61:8
42:4;43:3,15;45:24;	20:16	56:3	partners (2)	permit (1)
47:8;48:22;50:13,17;	opposing (2)	Overruled (12)	6:9;23:2	94:11
57:5;59:19;73:24;	8:16;19:4	27:21,22;39:11;	parts (1)	permitted (1)
85:18;86:3;89:19,25;	opposite (1)	72:12;83:18;86:8,10;	8:15	24:15
90:11;93:1	26:22	88:15;93:14;94:2,13,	party (2)	person (4)
O'Connell's (3)	opposition (2)	25	19:23;83:21	40:16;65:10;82:14;
58:24;60:12;93:5	26:19;31:8	Overspeaking (1)	pass (1)	83:1
October (1)	oral (1)	44:4	65:1	personal (14)
15:25	28:9	overtime (1)	passed (1)	5:18;7:22;10:19;
off (3)	orchestrated (1)	96:14	63:5	12:22;14:22;20:7;37:9;
55:10;74:4;95:13	36:1	owe (2)	past (3)	57:4;58:7;59:16,20;
offensive (2)	order (70)	93:10;94:3	22:15;37:7;52:11	66:15;72:14;84:4
27:20;65:24	7:17,19;13:5,7,17,	owed (4)	pay (23)	personally (1)
offered (5)	21;15:16;17:24,25;	39:24;93:21,22;95:4	17:7;31:16,17;33:9;	66:7

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 34 of 38 PageID #:15254 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	Estate of Simon Bernstein			
perspective (3)	position (12)	9:4;16:20;36:10;	quickly (3)	record (19)
74:1,7;82:12	17:8,11,15,24;18:6;	39:11;44:10;53:1;56:9;	51:9;54:19;60:5	5:13;9:23;10:4;
Peter (1)	19:1,24;29:7;31:15;	76:2,12	quote (6)	18:14;26:8,13,23;27:1;
6:4	60:6,12;72:10	proceeding (3)	13:11,13;24:12,23;	28:4;46:12;49:8;51:14,
petition (8)	positioned (1)	52:15;76:4;96:23	40:17;58:3	25;81:4;83:7,8,11;
13:14;15:13,17;	21:8	proceedings (1)		90:9;91:22
57:15;58:25;59:1,3;	positions (3)	5:4	R	records (1)
67:17	65:16;87:10,11	proceeds (3)		33:5
Petitioner's (1)	possible (5)	10:23;59:22;73:10	raised (4)	recover (1)
54:1	11:24;51:10;75:22,	process (2)	8:9;36:15;38:16,24	10:22
Phillips (3)	24;78:6	37:15,25	raising (1)	recovered (1)
16:12;36:7;49:6	possibly (1)	profession (1)	32:1	21:20
phone (2)	85:4	64:23	ran (1)	recovery (6)
55:10;87:17	potentially (1)	professional (3)	64:23	13:23;38:19;47:18;
pick (1)	64:20	65:12;78:9,13	range (2)	50:1;60:15;61:22
87:16	power (3)	promise (2)	64:1;68:1	recuperating (1)
picks (1)	42:14,16;46:4	43:17;55:17	rate (1)	32:13
48:4	PR (9)	promised (1)	45:18	re-establish (1)
piece (1) 49:12	11:4,5,23;14:25; 48:17,18,22,25;79:2	73:6 proper (1)	rates (1) 45:11	62:19 refer (2)
49.12 pillow (2)	48.17,18,22,25,79.2 precise (1)	23:6	reached (2)	51:17;89:6
56:4;76:6	28:13	property (1)	17:6;40:15	reference (2)
place (2)	preclude (1)	37:10	read (12)	18:15;60:22
12:11:53:22	36:19	proposed (1)	17:5;18:21;39:2;	referred (1)
placed (1)	predicate (1)	44:19	41:18;46:6;50:20;	54:8
53:12	69:6	propriety (1)	74:10;81:3,5;83:12;	re-filed (3)
plaintiff (8)	preference (1)	42:19	87:23;91:23	16:13,14;38:3
11:1,8,14,16;73:14;	45:19	protect (1)	reading (3)	regard (6)
82:7,18,22	prejudiced (1)	15:14	33:5;58:23;68:22	9:18;10:16;21:10,21;
plaintiffs (1)	76:8	protected (1)	ready (1)	23:25;87:3
74:24	present (1)	43:13	96:4	regarding (1)
plan (1)	17:4	prove (2)	real (1)	79:6
11:12	presentation (1)	11:9;33:2	32:15	regions (1)
pleading (2)	21:4	proven (2)	realize (1)	64:24
27:3;74:8	presented (4)	34:5;65:4	50:16	rehearing (1)
please (13)	21:6,11;73:14;75:5	provided (3) 21:1;73:22;74:12	really (10) 12:14,20;17:10,11;	29:1 reimbursed (7)
25:25;29:15;32:2; 34:19;42:10;58:21;	presently (1) 61:19	provision (2)	27:18;29:17;31:11;	9:7;14:4;20:15;
61:6,16;75:10;77:7;	Presumably (1)	36:17;37:21	50:2;56:5;65:21	22:22;47:4,17;60:10
81:2;83:10;91:21	49:20	PRs (2)	reap (3)	reimbursement (5)
pledge (1)	pretty (1)	11:18;29:24	19:20,25;74:13	9:22;16:15;23:25;
51:2	20:12	PR's (2)	reason (2)	25:8;42:1
Plus (2)	previous (2)	42:5,9	42:21;90:3	reinstated (1)
20:2;95:4	6:19;7:11	purpose (2)	reasons (3)	62:25
point (15)	primarily (1)	57:18,22	15:2;46:11;66:4	reinstatement (1)
16:25;22:5,20;23:16;	17:9	purposes (3)	recall (6)	77:17
25:2;30:18,23;34:21;	prior (2)	14:17;21:2;24:14	48:19;66:14;67:10;	related (1)
38:12;40:14;47:2;	83:21;84:4	pursuant (2)	68:2;72:24;88:19	30:13
65:15;67:24;80:3;	prison (1)	39:25;87:14	received (2)	relation (2)
95:25	96:5	pursue (5)	68:4;86:15	28:7,10
points (1)	privilege (1)	8:20;20:3,8,9;45:22	recently (1)	relationship (1)
36:15	79:15	pursued (1) 90:5	38:3	87:6
poisoning (2) 32:25;80:12	privileged (1) 79:10	90:5 put (13)	recess (2) 77:9;96:19	relevance (3) 79:8,23;80:13
police (1)	privy (3)	7:21;18:13;22:7;	recited (1)	relevancy (1)
32:23	86:21,23;93:4	26:24;38:14;42:4,25;	67:7	86:9
policy (20)	pro (1)	45:13;51:12;69:3;	recollection (4)	relevant (1)
34:17;62:3,8,11,15,	6:3	87:10;89:10;96:5	86:21;87:2;88:21;	18:24
20,21,25;63:10,13,16,	probably (1)	puts (1)	90:13	relied (3)
22;64:5;77:16,19,22,	37:11	21:17	recommend (1)	35:19;48:12;49:14
25;78:19;81:21;83:14	probate (1)		62:18	relief (3)
portion (3)	66:18	Q	reconsider (1)	17:19;18:7;46:23
81:4;83:11;91:22	proceed (9)	-	49:5	relieve (1)

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 35 of 38 PageID #:15255 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Bernstein		
95:5	residual (1)	room (1)	7:17;9:6;13:17,21;	side (3)
relying (1)	74:17	8:9	17:23;20:14;23:20;	22:4;49:20;51:1
49:15	residuary (1)	ROSE (68)	34:19;41:6,23;47:2;	signed (3)
REMEMBERED (1)	5:25	5:22,22;9:12,23;	54:6;60:9;75:10	13:3,5,7
5:3	resign (1)	10:10;17:18,19,23;	Secondly (3)	signing (1)
rendered (1)	11:18	18:18,23;19:1;26:1,5,	21:18;23:21;52:18	75:19
23:9	resigned (1)	11,19,25;27:7,17,22;	seeing (1)	silence (1)
reopen (1)	66:16	28:3,5,8;29:19;30:4,7,	70:2	10:4
28:23	resolution (1)	10;33:23;34:15;36:6,	seeking (3)	silly (1)
repaid (2)	21:5	10,11,14;37:4;39:12,	17:20;18:7;61:21	90:20
40:4;60:16	resolve (2)	13;42:8,11,13,18,25;	seemed (1)	similar (1)
repeated (2)	24:4,4	44:11;45:2,6,8;46:1,4;	90:20	60:13
24:18;28:20	respect (1)	48:8;53:17;54:7,11,18;	seems (4)	Simon (13)
repeatedly (1)	86:4	56:14,23;58:14,17;	23:16;66:1;72:25;	5:19,24;7:6;26:7;
26:13	respectfully (3)	67:19;68:20;69:14;	92:19	43:7;61:20;62:4,6;
repetitive (1)	20:13;25:13,19	79:23;80:6,13,25;	semblance (1)	63:2;74:18;79:21;80:2;
6:25	respond (1)	82:19;83:5;84:7,16,23;	96:2	84:15
rephrase (2)	75:17	85:14	sent (1)	Simon's (1)
86:13;88:3	response (1)	ROSEMARIE (1)	52:9	30:6
reporter (6)	81:2	5:8	sentence (1)	simple (1)
5:13;56:5;83:10;	responsibility (7)	Rose's (3)	30:22	44:18
91:11,14,20	7:4;65:13;66:2;	22:15;29:23;60:13	separate (2)	sincerity (1)
represent (16)	72:19,24;73:17;78:10	Royer (1)	9:14;36:19	51:1
5:23;26:12;28:10,21;	result (4)	6:10	September (1)	six (3)
33:12;34:3;36:21;	19:21;66:12;68:11;	RPR (1)	63:7	15:20;24:11;38:9
65:22;68:9;73:13;	74:5	5:7	serious (1)	sleep (1)
80:18,21;81:7,10;84:5;	resulted (1)	rude (1)	23:17	96:3
85:18	67:13	54:24	services (1)	So2d (1)
representations (1)	results (1)	ruled (2)	23:9	24:2
50:24	47:19	36:23,24	set (6)	sold (1)
representative (10)	reverse (1)	ruling (6)	16:6;41:23,24;42:2;	37:10
5:18;7:22;11:6;	37:18	8:14;9:13;42:15,16,	75:18;95:16	sole (2)
12:23;20:7;57:4;58:7;	review (1)	19;85:5	settle (1)	5:24;74:17
59:17,20;72:14	8:15	runs (1)	45:23	somebody (4)
representatives (3)	revocable (1)	47:8	seven (3)	8:23;11:23;53:6;
10:19;14:23;66:15	47:10		15:19;16:7;58:6	89:1
representative's (1)	revoke (1)	S	several (1)	someone (7)
84:4	23:20		94:8	24:23;37:19;38:15;
represented (10)	rid (1)	same (5)	shall (2)	40:7;42:20;47:20;
33:13,14;38:8;39:14,	34:6	15:1;59:1;62:21;	46:13;47:3	65:21
15;43:9,11;64:13;	right (33)	84:23;88:12	share (1)	sometime (1)
73:15;87:7	5:11,15;6:12;9:18;	sanctionable (1)	37:12	20:16
representing (9)	19:9;22:9;23:16;40:7;	33:24	Shepard (1)	somewhat (2)
26:6;27:4;28:17;	42:9;45:2,6;47:15;	saw (2)	23:11	8:17;65:24
32:22;33:23;34:10,14;	48:3;50:5;51:7;52:15,	31:5;78:17	sheriff (1)	somewhere (1)
78:20;83:22	17;53:1;55:14;59:6;	saying (10)	32:23	73:1
represents (2)	60:3,20;75:10;85:14;	7:23;11:15;22:9;	Sherrell (1)	Sorry (5)
38:6;68:8	91:5;92:15,25;94:17;	35:22;52:10;55:1;	23:11	37:1;41:19;42:24;
request (2)	95:11,20;96:9,16,18	59:15;82:9,17,21	Shirley (1)	75:14;82:19
6:22;45:16	rightfully (1)	scheduled (1)	43:6	sort (2)
requesting (1)	62:5	96:1	short (4)	14:4;74:3
25:20	rights (1)	scheduling (2)	16:8;17:23;18:19;	Spallina (19)
require (1)	79:20	31:1;52:25	85:13	10:20;11:5;14:23;
20:23	road (1)	SCHER (1)	shorten (1)	29:25;32:19;33:3,9,11,
required (5)	36:23 Babart (6)	5:8 seens (1)	19:15 show (3)	17;34:3;63:18;66:16;
16:2;20:8,8;47:10;	Robert (6)	scope (1)	show (3)	78:23;80:10,18;81:7;
93:11 ros (1)	32:19;33:9;78:23;	30:10	9:4;10:18;29:23	82:5,9,23
res (1)	80:9;82:5,9	se (2)	showing (1)	speak (3)
35:23	rogue (6) 23:19;25:6;37:21;	6:3;17:12	59:21 shown (1)	19:8;49:9;75:3 speaking (1)
reserve (1) 25:21	41:6;47:20,23	seat (5) 5:11;58:21;61:7;	46:22	89:7
resided (1)	roll (2)	66:5;73:9	40:22 shows (1)	speaks (5)
65:11	90:18;93:16	second (14)	14:21	67:19;72:10;86:7;
03.11	20.10,23.10	sconu (14)	14.21	07.19,72.10,00.7,

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 36 of 38 PageID #:15256 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

87:22;88:13 specific (6) 36:17;44:12;46:1,7, 10:50:24 speculation (3) 75:8;83:6;95:8 spend (1) 92:12 spending (1) 37:13 spent (1) 40:10 spoken (1) 43:2 Stamos (24) 15:19;34:12;39:16; 70:23;71:7,13,19,25; 73:23;74:8;81:16; 85:25;86:14;87:5; 89:18;90:7,10,17;92:2, 3,17;93:3,22;95:5 Stamos's (6) 67:24;68:10;91:10, 25:92:4:93:4 stamped (1) 53:14 stand (3) 17:16;60:2;95:13 standard (1) 61:12 standing (9) 35:19.21.23:36:4: 48:5:73:12:79:21: 84:21:85:2 stands (2) 19:20;26:8 Stanley (1) 84:15 Stansbury (68) 6:5,6;7:4,13;8:19,22, 25;9:7,11,13,20;10:17; 11:22,25;12:5,12,15, 24;13:11,12,15;14:3; 15:1,12,24;16:15,25; 17:7;19:12;20:11,15; 22:18;24:20;25:7,15; 26:12;28:11;31:12; 32:12,16;38:3,20; 39:21;40:16;41:25; 43:17;45:12,16;46:14; 47:3;48:1;49:19,24; 53:25;58:2,5;60:2,6; 61:1,14,17;77:1,8,9,14; 85:15,17;91:7 Stansbury's (17) 9:18;19:21,22,22; 29:8;38:4;39:23;52:1; 54:3,14;56:16;57:1,15; 59:3,24;69:16,17 start (4) 5:14;16:24;40:5; 95:18 started (4)

24:19:32:17.19; 80:11 Starting (2) 69:23,25 starts (1) 31:11 State (8) 5:7;8:3;61:16;65:10; 78:5;81:23;83:16; 89:14 stated (5) 24:11,17;26:13; 46:12;66:4 statement (6) 6:17;26:25;27:19; 35:20;66:23;91:12 statements (1) 28:9 States (2) 56:12,20 stating (1) 79:20 statute (4) 38:21;47:11;65:25; 66:1 stay (3) 30:17,23;32:3 stays (1) 40:22 still (1) 48:25 stipulated (4) 9:12,24:10:5:25:23 stipulation (1) 9:24 stop (7) 55:5,8,8;76:4;89:11; 94:11:95:12 straight (1) 96:4 strategy (3) 86:3;87:3;88:11 strike (4) 75:7;80:25;83:5; 92:21 stuff (1) 34:2 subject (2) 46:11;77:20 submission (1) 13:9 submitted (6) 18:16;63:14;65:19, 20;82:5,6 submitting (1) 34:8 subsequent (3) 13:14;55:25;67:3 subsequently (4) 25:4;63:20;65:8; 82:17 successful (1) 62:24

successor (9) 5:23;12:22;14:25; 26:7:57:4:58:6:59:19: 74:16:80:3 sued (1) 33:10 suggest (4) 25:13;38:25;49:2; 62:18 suggested (1) 86:24 suing (2) 33:8:40:20 summarizes (1) 38:4 summary (3) 35:22;73:13;79:19 supercedes (1) 41:5 superseded (1) 18:1 supposed (2) 44:1;91:3 Supreme (1) 23:3 sure (7) 13:1;33:5;48:19; 53:25,25;81:25;87:1 suspect (1) 62:10 Sustained (18) 30:12.14.17.22: 67:21:75:9:79:11.14, 25:80:15:81:13:84:10. 18,24;85:9;89:16; 92:23:95:9 switch (3) 44:20,21;69:12 sworn (1) 61:3 Т table (4) 49:20;66:6;73:9; 74:4 talk (4) 37:16;48:4;86:25; 88:22 talking (2) 42:18:55:8 tangible (1) 37:9 Ted (20) 5:23;10:24,25;11:14; 12:18;14:24;15:2;26:6; 27:4;28:3,5,14;29:19; 33:7;36:21;37:5;74:16; 79:1;82:6,13 telling (2) 33:1:34:1 temporary (1) 49:2

ten (5) 37:11:38:6:43:14.16: 47:14 tends (1) 64:19 tent (1) 76:7 terms (2) 85:23;90:9 Tescher (4) 10:20;14:23;29:24; 66:15 testified (1) 61:3 testify (1) 17:14 testimony (3) 28:9,22;75:22 therefore (2) 20:18;35:23 Thereupon (1) 60:25 thinking (1) 41:21 third (1) 9:9 thirdly (1) 7:20 though (2) 33:10:51:13 thought (6) 27:11:43:1:82:2.20: 83:1:89:20 thousand (2) 37:12:40:11 thousands (2) 19:25:37:14 three (16) 7:18;8:8;9:2;13:6,10, 13,24;15:8;16:17; 26:18;28:17;31:9; 43:10,12;49:9;72:25 throw (1) 35:22 thrown (2) 35:9,16 thusly (1) 24:17 timeline (4) 14:8,16,21;15:25 times (2) 16:7.17 today (15) 6:5,9;9:17,25;14:7; 26:5;28:4;31:1;32:2, 12;35:11;37:17;41:2; 77:5;90:25 today's (3) 8:14;29:2,6 together (1) 88:22 told (6) 26:22;28:19;35:17;

37:19,20:45:10 tolerate (1) 37:2 took (1) 65:12 top (1) 38:13 total (1) 45:13 touched (1) 31:13 towards (1) 85:24 track (1) 7:12 train (1) 7:12 transcript (20) 8:13;17:5;18:3,14; 24:9;38:1,7,23;39:2; 41:18;48:3;50:21; 60:14;72:10;86:7; 87:22,24;88:13,16; 89:10 traveling (1) 18:2 treat (1) 49:12 tremendous (1) 19:16 trial (6) 20:22:24:5:40:6: 44:15,15,17 tried (3) 11:2;32:25;49:22 Trucco (5) 70:23;71:7,13,19; 72:1 true (11) 10:5;21:1;83:3;86:1, 18;87:13;89:2,22;90:1, 2:91:4 Trust (30) 5:24;11:8,11,15; 26:7;33:4,11;36:16,18. 20;40:23,24;43:6,7; 45:3;47:5,10,10,13; 74:17;78:21,21;79:3; 80:3;82:6,8,10,15,23, 24 trustee (28) 5:23;11:7,15;13:18; 21:13,17;26:7;33:3,9, 10,11;36:18,19;38:10; 47:6;63:15,15;74:16; 78:20,21;79:3;80:3; 82:5,8,10,15,23,24 trustee's (1) 21:11 trusts (1) 65:4 try (4) 6:23;14:7;50:7;56:6

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 37 of 38 PageID #:15257 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

		Estate of Simon Bernstein		
trying (9)	various (1)	whatsoever (2)	18:20	24:23;37:11
10:21;28:10;29:19;	96:10	26:17;50:11	worse (1)	154 (1)
54:19;65:14;75:1;76:4,	vein (1)	whereby (1)	16:11	71:19
11;96:5	23:17	26:12	worth (1)	159 (1)
two (17)	verified (2)	whole (5)	37:11	71:25
7:11,23;15:7;22:22;	56:18;57:1	31:11;36:1;38:1;	worthless (1)	1800s (2)
26:11;31:9,18;34:18,	versus (1)	40:14;50:20	49:12	23:14;49:15
20;35:7;40:20;46:11;	23:11	who's (1)	Wrap (1)	1882 (1)
72:25;73:3,3;75:20;	vicinity (1)	50:14	48:7	23:2
96:4	64:2	whose (4)	written (1)	18th (1)
	victims (2)	37:10;43:11,13;	18:10	70:11
U	32:15,16	55:10	wrong (5)	19 (1)
	view (4)	wild (1)	37:20;40:7,9;47:25;	23:12
ultimate (1)	30:25;32:10;38:10;	8:10	50:10	1st (2)
21:5	48:10	William (9)	wrote (2)	59:20;71:5
umpteenth (1)	violate (1)	13:12,15;28:11;58:4;	7:18;39:19	
37:8	66:1	61:1,14,17;77:14;		2
unappealed (1)	violation (4)	85:15	Y	
40:1	11:3;46:15,18;65:25	willing (2)		2 (4)
unclear (1)	volunteer (2)	88:24;90:17	yada (3)	5:9;54:5,11,14
10:4	72:4,5	willy-nilly (1)	35:24,24,24	2.5 (1)
under (11)	volunteered (2)	41:18	year (5)	62:1
13:16,24;14:3;18:2;	9:1;12:25	win (6)	15:25;30:5;49:5;	2:30 (1)
23:4;24:1;36:16;38:20;		44:20;45:9;49:23;	58:1;68:5	52:11
47:1,7,11	\mathbf{W}	50:7;92:10,12	years (13)	20 (1)
understood (4)		windfall (3)	8:8;9:3;26:18;28:17;	34:24
10:9,12;64:25;86:19	Wait (1)	19:20;39:20;40:12	31:9;48:14,14;49:9;	2011 (2)
United (2)	69:3	winning (1)	62:16;64:22;65:15;	62:8;77:17
56:11,20	waiting (1)	49:21	94:8;96:4	2012 (2)
unknown (1)	48:16	win-win (1)	yesterday (1)	63:6,7
59:23	waive (4)	92:9	71:5	2013 (1)
unless (1)	58:11;90:17,23;	wit (1)		66:7
			1	
47:17	94:21	5:9	1	2014 (11)
47:17 unravel (1)	94:21 waived (2)	5:9 withdrew (1)		2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22;
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7	1 (4)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21;
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11;
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9;	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5,
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16;	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6;	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3,	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10;	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15;	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19;	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10;
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15;24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 71:21	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10;
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 71:21 134 (1) 70:21	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 71:21 134 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 70:21 136 (2) 71:3,4 139 (1)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 70:21 136 (2) 71:3,4 139 (1) 71:11	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1) 23:24 vacuum (1) 48:24	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14 weren't (2)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16 working (3)	1 (4) 53:7,14;54:1,3 1.6 (1) 64:1 1.7 (1) 64:1 10th (1) 48:20 1166312927 (1) 70:9 1167815311 (1) 70:4 12-1-14 (1) 59:25 129 (1) 70:15 12th (1) 70:21 136 (2) 71:3,4 139 (1) 71:11 13th (2)	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3) 28:8,24;70:17
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1) 23:24 vacuum (1) 48:24 valid (3)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14 weren't (2) 31:12;90:1	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16 working (3) 63:2;80:22;81:11	1 (4) $53:7,14;54:1,3$ $1.6 (1)$ $64:1$ $1.7 (1)$ $64:1$ $10th (1)$ $48:20$ $1166312927 (1)$ $70:9$ $1167815311 (1)$ $70:4$ $12-1-14 (1)$ $59:25$ $129 (1)$ $70:15$ $12th (1)$ $71:21$ $134 (1)$ $70:21$ $136 (2)$ $71:3,4$ $139 (1)$ $71:11$ $13th (2)$ $71:15;72:1$	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3) 28:8,24;70:17 28th (2)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1) 23:24 vacuum (1) 48:24 valid (3) 17:24;40:1;41:17	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14 weren't (2) 31:12;90:1 what's (6)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16 working (3) 63:2;80:22;81:11 worried (1)	1 (4) $53:7,14;54:1,3$ $1.6 (1)$ $64:1$ $1.7 (1)$ $64:1$ $10th (1)$ $48:20$ $1166312927 (1)$ $70:9$ $1167815311 (1)$ $70:4$ $12-1-14 (1)$ $59:25$ $129 (1)$ $70:15$ $12th (1)$ $71:21$ $134 (1)$ $70:21$ $136 (2)$ $71:3,4$ $139 (1)$ $71:11$ $13th (2)$ $71:15;72:1$ $148 (2)$	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3) 28:8,24;70:17
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1) 23:24 vacuum (1) 48:24 valid (3) 17:24;40:1;41:17 value (3)	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14 weren't (2) 31:12;90:1 what's (6) 6:21;26:10;29:17;	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16 working (3) 63:2;80:22;81:11 worried (1) 17:9	1 (4) $53:7,14;54:1,3$ $1.6 (1)$ $64:1$ $1.7 (1)$ $64:1$ $10th (1)$ $48:20$ $1166312927 (1)$ $70:9$ $1167815311 (1)$ $70:4$ $12-1-14 (1)$ $59:25$ $129 (1)$ $70:15$ $12th (1)$ $71:21$ $134 (1)$ $70:21$ $136 (2)$ $71:3,4$ $139 (1)$ $71:11$ $13th (2)$ $71:15;72:1$ $148 (2)$ $18:15;38:2$	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3) 28:8,24;70:17 28th (2)
47:17 unravel (1) 31:2 unrepresented (1) 38:10 untrue (1) 38:25 up (19) 8:2,4;11:9,11;15:10; 18:4;19:15;29:22;36:8; 38:15;44:22;46:22; 48:7;60:22;65:5;72:22; 74:11;87:16;92:8 upon (5) 10:17;29:18;30:9,19; 48:12 using (1) 86:22 usually (1) 96:13 V vacate (1) 23:24 vacuum (1) 48:24 valid (3) 17:24;40:1;41:17	94:21 waived (2) 92:5,5 waiver (1) 90:3 wants (2) 40:5;59:12 way (10) 8:11;34:10;40:6; 44:2;51:25;57:10; 86:19;88:23;94:15; 95:24 wearing (1) 79:4 week (1) 48:23 weekend (1) 96:20 weeks (4) 7:18;15:20,20;48:18 weight (1) 21:16 Wejanowski (2) 20:22;22:24 welcome (1) 14:14 weren't (2) 31:12;90:1 what's (6)	5:9 withdrew (1) 49:7 without (2) 33:1;81:17 witness (15) 26:14;51:8;61:2,5,9; 80:7;82:21;88:16; 91:19;92:1;93:15;94:3, 15,24;95:14 witnesses (2) 76:23;77:3 wonderful (1) 48:2 woods (1) 73:1 word (3) 51:4,6;86:22 wording (1) 22:7 words (1) 73:12 wore (1) 79:3 worked (2) 18:11;77:16 working (3) 63:2;80:22;81:11 worried (1)	1 (4) $53:7,14;54:1,3$ $1.6 (1)$ $64:1$ $1.7 (1)$ $64:1$ $10th (1)$ $48:20$ $1166312927 (1)$ $70:9$ $1167815311 (1)$ $70:4$ $12-1-14 (1)$ $59:25$ $129 (1)$ $70:15$ $12th (1)$ $71:21$ $134 (1)$ $70:21$ $136 (2)$ $71:3,4$ $139 (1)$ $71:11$ $13th (2)$ $71:15;72:1$ $148 (2)$	2014 (11) 8:7;11:19;14:22; 15:21;49:16;59:21; 66:14;67:12;70:11; 72:3;85:21 2015 (6) 57:25;70:17,25;71:5, 15;90:10 2016 (2) 16:14;71:21 2017 (3) 5:9;68:5;72:1 22 (1) 24:11 23 (2) 24:18,22 23rd (5) 7:16;12:16,17;53:10; 67:11 24th (1) 70:25 25 (1) 35:1 25th (1) 15:6 27th (3) 28:8,24;70:17 28th (2)

Case: 1:13-cv-03643 Document #: 297-14 Filed: 11/09/17 Page 38 of 38 PageID #:15258 Hon. Rosemarie Scher - 06/02/2017 Estate of Simon Bernstein

	-	Estate of Simon Bernstein		
	70,000 (1)			
3	40:4			
	0	-		
3 (2)	8			
56:10,16	8 (6)			
3:30 (1) 75:23	68:7,8;69:14,15,16,			
3:30 pm (1)	17			
96:23	817.234 (1)			
30 (1)	66:1			
17:23	9			
300 (1)	,	_		
23:12 340 (1)	909 (1)			
24:2	24:1			
35 (4)				
38:4,7,13;60:14				
Λ				
4	_			
4 (3)				
56:18,23;57:1				
40 (3)				
62:15;64:22;65:15 40,000 (1)				
40:5				
403 (2)				
57:5,17				
405 (2)				
58:24;59:5	_			
5				
	-			
5 (3)				
57:3,15;59:2 5/23/14 (1)				
54:4				
5/26 (1)				
28:15				
5:00 (2) 96:13,15				
50 (1)				
45:11				
56988413 (1)				
28:14				
5th (1) 15:17				
	-			
6				
6 (3)				
58:15,23;59:3				
6/16/14 (1)				
54:15				
5920 (1)				
61:17	_			
7				
	-			
7 (2)				
59:18,24 70 (4)				
	1	1	1	1