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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. | 1 | hourly or contingency fee basis which has been |
| 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, | 2 | offered by counsel up in Chicago. And that |
| 3 | pro s | 3 | they state that it's in the best interests of |
| 4 | MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf | 4 | the estate to continue with the litigation up |
| 5 | Mr. Stansbury. With me in the court today is | 5 | in Chicago. |
| 6 | Mr. Stansbury | 6 | When we first had the hearing in front of |
| 7 | THE COURT: Thank you | 7 | Judge Colin back in May 2014, Your Honor, which |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: Also with me is one of my law | 8 | is now three years ago, there was some question |
| 9 | partners who may be participating today | 9 | raised by the parties in that room at that time |
|  | depending on what happens, Jeff Royer. Thank | 10 | as to whether this was going to be a wild goose |
| 11 | you. | 11 | chase. And so Judge Colin -- and by the way, |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Feaman, | 12 | we did a notice of filing the entire |
| 13 | this is your client's motion -- | 13 | transcript, Your Honor, which I will give to |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you | 14 | you at today's hearing if there's not a ruling |
| 15 | THE COURT: -- so you may begin | 15 | for Your Honor to review. Because only parts |
| 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Brief opening | 16 | of it have been cited by opposing counsel. It |
| 17 | statement, Your Honor, if I may. | 17 | can be somewhat misleading to the Court. |
| 18 | First, I am gratified that we had the | 18 | But there the question was and the issue |
| 19 | previous hearings concerning the conflict | 19 | was should the judge appoint Mr. Stansbury as |
|  | allegations because Your Honor had a chance to | 20 | administrator ad litem to pursue this. The |
|  | become familiar with what's going on in | 21 | Court said, well, I don't want it to be |
| 22 | Chicago. And so I would request first that | 22 | Mr. Stansbury because he is a claimant, but I |
| 23 | Your Honor try your best to harken back to some | 23 | can appoint somebody independent. But because |
|  | of that knowledge and some of those documents | 24 | there were arguments made that this was not in |
| 25 | may be repetitive, but I am glad we have that | 25 | the best interests of the estate, Mr. Stansbury |
|  | 56-13:56:05 Page 7 |  | P1-13:58:35 Page 9 |
|  | basis to go forward. | 1 | volunteered to front the costs. And so that's |
| 2 | The first part of this motion, Your Honor, | 2 | how we went forward. And now here we are three |
|  | should be the easiest, and that's to discharge | 3 | years later. It's clear that the evidence will |
| 4 | Mr. Stansbury from any further responsibility | 4 | show that the estate does want to proceed with |
| 5 | of funding the Illinois litigation on behalf of | 5 | this action and a benefit has been conferred, |
| 6 | the Estate of Simon Bernstein. There's no | 6 | which gets to the second part of the motion, |
| 7 | authority that I am aware of nor have I been | 7 | which is Mr. Stansbury should be reimbursed now |
| 8 | cited to by anyone else that a claimant can be | 8 | for his expenses that he has incurred. |
| 9 | forced to fund litigation that benefits the | 9 | The third part of the motion, Your Honor, |
| 10 | estate. That's number one. | 10 | is the actual costs and expenses and fees that |
| 11 | Number two, the previous orders that began | 11 | Mr. Stansbury has paid. And Mr. O'Connell and |
| 12 | this train going down this track of | 12 | Mr. Rose and I have stipulated that if there's |
| 13 | Mr. Stansbury funding the Chicago litigation, | 13 | a ruling that Mr. Stansbury has benefitted the |
| 14 | both of whom -- both orders said "initially." | 14 | estate, then we would have a separate |
| 15 | One said initially, the one that Judge Colin | 15 | evidentiary hearing if we can't otherwise agree |
| 16 | entered the day of the hearing on May 23rd. | 16 | on the amount of the fees. Because we want to |
| 17 | And then the second order that came out about | 17 | at least get done today what we can get done |
|  | three weeks later Judge Colin actually wrote in | 18 | with regard to Mr. Stansbury's right to be |
| 19 | "initially" in his order. | 19 | discharged from funding the estate and whether |
| 20 | And then thirdly, Your Honor, which we'll | 20 | Mr. Stansbury has conferred a benefit so that |
|  | bring to the Court's attention when we put in | 21 | he would -- at this time so that he would be |
|  | our evidence, the personal representative has | 22 | entitled to reimbursement of his costs. |
|  | filed two motions in this estate saying that | 23 | MR. ROSE: Just for the record, that's not |
| 24 | they would like to take over, they can take | 24 | the stipulation. The only thing we stipulated |
|  | over the funding of the litigation either on an | 25 | was we don't have to do today the amount. I |


|  | 48-13:59:33 Page 10 | 14:01:05-14:01:50 Page 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | certainly don't agree that if you discharge him |  | in Chicago his own motion on his own behalf as |
|  | he gets anything until there's been a benefit | 2 | a claimant to the Bernstein estate to |
| 3 | to the estate. I can argue that. I didn't | 3 | intervene. That motion was denied. But then |
| 4 | want the record to be unclear that I by silence | 4 | we had the hearing in May -- first we had |
| 5 | stipulated to something that's not true | 5 | Mr. Stansbury filed a motion to appoint an |
| 6 | MR. FEAMAN: I didn't mean to imply that, | 6 | administrator ad litem or a curator for the |
| 7 | Your Honor. | 7 | estate |
| 8 | THE COURT: I honestly did not think that | 8 | THE COURT: That was Mr. Brown; am I |
| 9 | you agreed to -- I understood. | 9 | correct? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: We'll do the amount at another | 10 | MR. FEAMAN: And that was Mr. Brown. And |
| 11 | time if you are going to award something. |  | hen once Mr. Brown was in place, then |
| 12 | THE COURT: I understood. Let me let | 12 | Mr. Stansbury moved and said, okay, I would |
| 13 | Mr. Feaman when he has completed his opening I | 13 | like to intervene, because Mr. Brown said, I |
| 14 | am going to ask the parties questions. So | 14 | don't know, I don't really know enough. So |
| 15 | continue. |  | Mr. Stansbury said, well, I will move. And |
| 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Now, in regard to | 16 | then we had the hearing on the 23rd. |
| 17 | benefit that Mr. Stansbury has conferred upon | 17 | The hearing on the 23rd then it was |
| 18 | the estate, the evidence will show that the | 18 | interesting because it was opposed by Ted |
| 19 | original personal representatives, | 19 | Bernstein. It was opposed by some of the other |
| 20 | Messrs. Tescher and Spallina, the disgraced | 20 | attorneys. And Mr. Brown really was kind of |
| 21 | attorneys, had no intention of trying to | 21 | neutral. It was before Mr. O'Connell got into |
| 22 | recover this money on behalf of the estate, the | 22 | that -- became the successor personal |
| 23 | life insurance proceeds. They were friends | 23 | representative. |
| 24 | with Ted Bernstein. And their loyalty was not | 24 | So Mr. Stansbury at that hearing through |
| 25 | first to the estate, it was to Ted Bernstein |  | me volunteered to front the fees and costs |
|  | 46-14:00:50 Page 11 |  | Page 14:03:02 13 |
| 1 | who is the plaintiff in that action. In fact, |  | because we wanted to make sure the estate would |
| 2 | they actively tried to keep the money out of | 2 | get in there. And so Judge Colin was gratified |
| 3 | the estate, in clear violation of their duties | 3 | that that was happening. So he signed both |
| 4 | as PR. |  | those orders. |
| 5 | At first Mr. Spallina, who was the PR | 5 | He signed the one order that said in |
| 6 | representative, said to the insurance company | 6 | paragraph three that Mr. -- I have that here. |
| 7 | claims department that he was the trustee of | 7 | This was the order signed on the day of the |
| 8 | the life insurance trust that's the plaintiff | 8 | hearing by the judge. It is attached to our |
| 9 | up there. And when he could not prove that |  | submission. |
| 10 | that was the case, because they've never come | 10 | And in paragraph three it says that |
| 11 | up with a copy of the alleged trust, then they | 11 | Mr. Stansbury will, quote, initially the costs |
| 12 | went to plan B. | 12 | will initially be borne by William Stansbury, |
| 13 | And then Mr. Bernstein is now the | 13 | close quote. Then in paragraph three, the |
| 14 | plaintiff, Ted Bernstein, in that Chicago | 14 | Court will consider any subsequent petition for |
| 15 | action saying he is the trustee of the trust | 15 | fees and costs by William Stansbury as |
| 16 | that's the plaintiff. So the insurance company | 16 | appropriate under Florida law. |
| 17 | just interplead the funds. | 17 | It's the second order that was cited by |
| 18 | Now, it wasn't until the PRs had to resign | 18 | counsel for the trustee which then says that, |
| 19 | from the estate in January of 2014 that then it | 19 | well, you are not entitled to, A, get out. And |
| 20 | became obvious that there's going to be | 20 | I would disagree with that interpretation of |
| 21 | administrator ad litem, a curator, and that's | 21 | the second order. And that certainly you are |
| 22 | when Mr. Stansbury said, okay, now that we need | 22 | not entitled to any fees until such time as |
| 23 | a new PR, let's appoint somebody to go and get | 23 | there's an actual money judgment, or recovery |
| 24 | that money, if possible. | 24 | of money, I should say, under paragraph three. |
| 25 | And so before that Mr. Stansbury had filed | 25 | Now, we take issue with that. That's not |


|  | 21-14:04:11 Page 14 | 14:05:46-14:06:44 Page 16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Florida law. And, most importantly, when it | 1 | his motion to be discharged arguing in that |
| 2 | comes time the hearing itself was not about the | 2 | motion that we did what we were required to do, |
| 3 | circumstances under which Mr. Stansbury would | 3 | the estate's in, and it's time to let the |
| 4 | eventually be reimbursed. And that was sort of | 4 | estate bear the burden going forward. |
| 5 | an add-on after the fact, which we'll get into | 5 | That was then, as Your Honor can see in |
| 6 | more later, but in the interests of time | 6 | those docket entries there, set for hearing |
| 7 | because we do want to try to finish today | 7 | seven times. I think Your Honor having |
| 8 | So I have created a timeline, Your Honor, | 8 | observed this case for the short time that you |
| 9 | so that you can get familiar. And if I may | 9 | have can understand why we never got to |
| 10 | approach? | 10 | actually hear that, as there's always so much |
| 11 | THE COURT: You may. | 11 | going on in this case for better or for worse. |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you | 12 | And so then Judge Phillips came on the |
| 13 | THE COURT: Thank you. | 13 | case, and so in May we re-filed our motion of |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: You are welcome | 14 | 2016, we re-filed our motion to have |
| 15 | THE COURT: Does everybody have a copy of | 15 | Mr. Stansbury discharged and for reimbursement. |
| 16 | the timeline? Thank you. This is just for | 16 | And as Your Honor is aware, that's been noticed |
| 17 | demonstrative purposes for the Court? | 17 | three or four times. And here we are, thank |
| 18 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I am not | 18 | you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | offering this. | 19 | THE COURT: Thank you. Can I ask a |
| 20 | THE COURT: Thank you | 20 | question before we proceed further? |
| 21 | MR. FEAMAN: And the timeline shows that | 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes. |
| 22 | in February of 2014, now that the personal | 22 | THE COURT: I just want to know. I don |
| 23 | representatives Spallina and Tescher are out, | 23 | want argument on it. I just want yes or no. I |
| $24$ | Mr. Ted Bernstein had moved to be appointed as | 24 | will start with Ms. Crispin. Do you oppose the |
|  | independent curator or successor PR. | 25 | discharge of Mr. Stansbury at this point from |
|  | 27-14:05:29 Page 15 |  | 8-14:07:46 Page 17 |
| 1 | Mr. Stansbury opposed that for the same | 1 | paying fees? |
| 2 | reasons that we opposed Mr. Ted Bernstein in | 2 | MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, it's complicated |
| 3 | connection with being administrator ad litem in | 3 | for me to answer yes or no because |
| 4 | connection with his action which we were here | 4 | Mr. O'Connell was not present at the hearing. |
| 5 | last month on. And instead, the Court on the | 5 | He does read the transcript to interpret that |
| 6 | 25 th appointed independent curator Ben Brown. | 6 | there was an agreement reached where |
| 7 | That's item number two. | 7 | Mr. Stansbury would pay for the costs of this |
| 8 | Entry number three -- and the docket | 8 | litigation. He has taken that position. He is |
| 9 | entries are there as well, Your Honor, so you | 9 | more primarily worried about if he is |
| 10 | can look those up. | 10 | discharged then what happens then. So really I |
| 11 | THE COURT: Thank you | 11 | think we are not really taking a position per |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: In March Mr. Stansbury then | 12 | se about whether or not he should or shouldn't |
| 13 | filed his petition as administrator ad litem to | 13 | be discharged. |
| 14 | protect the interests of the estate in the | 14 | But if he is called to testify, I want |
| 15 | Illinois litigation. And then, as I just | 15 | Your Honor to understand what his position |
| 16 | mentioned, in May the order granting that | 16 | would be on the stand. |
| 17 | petition was entered. And then on June 5th in | 17 | THE COURT: I think I understand. |
| 18 | fact the motion to intervene was filed by | 18 | Mr. Rose? |
| 19 | Mr. Stamos in Chicago. And in about seven | 19 | MR. ROSE: We oppose the relief they are |
| 20 | weeks, six weeks later, the Court on July 28th, | 20 | seeking. |
| 21 | 2014, granted the estate's motion to intervene. | 21 | THE COURT: So you oppose allowing him not |
| 22 | Having perceived that we had performed | 22 | to fund the litigation anymore? |
| 23 | what we intended to perform, I then filed on | 23 | MR. ROSE: The short 30 second legal |
| 24 | behalf of Mr. Stansbury, Your Honor, as you can | 24 | position is we have a valid court order. It |
| 25 | see on the timeline, in October of that year | 25 | was not appealed. There's now an amended order |


|  | 00-14:08:34 Page 18 | 14:09:34-14:10:30 |  |
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|  | which superseded the original order. So we |  | benefit. |
| 2 | have an amended order we are traveling under | 2 | us, there is no authority to force a |
| 3 | at's crystal clear, a transcript which backs | 3 | laimant to fund attempts to pursue assets of |
| 4 | up, and we -- and that order has not been | 4 | the estate in accordance with Bookman V |
| 5 | complied with | 5 | Davidson, which we cited. And in the interests |
| 6 | So our first position in our paper was | 6 | of time I won't go through it except to say |
| 7 | eeking relief fro | 7 | at that case says a personal representative |
| 8 | complied with, so he should be held in contempt | 8 | of an estate is required to pursue, is required |
| 9 | that order. And if he were not in contempt | 9 | by law to pursue assets and claims of the |
| 10 | of it, the order should be enforced as written. | 10 | estat |
| 11 | It was a deal, a complicated deal worked out | 11 | Now, why is Stansbury -- so we think |
| 12 | er hundreds of pages. | 12 | that's pretty clear, that part of the motion, |
| 13 | nd we did put | 13 | respectfuly. |
| 14 | anscript was already in the record at docket | 14 | The second part of the motion is why is |
| 15 | entry 148. I did reference it in my memo I | 15 | Mr. Stansbury entitled to get reimbursed now as |
| 16 | ubmitted. | 16 | opposed to sometime in the future? And our |
| 17 | IE CO | 17 | argument there, Your Honor, is that a benefit |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: So I wasn't hiding anything. | 18 | has been conferred on the estate and therefore |
| 19 | st gave you the short | 19 | his duty should end and he should be paid. |
| 20 | THE COURT: You need not worry | 20 | Now, why has he conferred benefit? |
| 21 | Give the Court a little credit that I read | 21 | Because as we cite in our papers in the Estate |
| 22 | everything, okay? | 22 | of Wejanowski, the court held that the trial |
| $23$ | MR. ROSE: I was giving you the | 23 | court could not require an executor to |
| 24 | pages that were relevant to my argument. | 24 | demonstrate a monetary benefit before allowing |
| 25 | THE COURT: Thank you | 25 | the expenditure of estate funds. And that the |
|  | 14:09:20 Page 19 |  | -44-14:11:42 Page 21 |
| 1 | MR. ROSE: O | 1 | ue benefit to an estate provided by an |
| 2 | uld be de | 2 | appellate attorney for purposes of entitlement |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Eliot? | 3 | to payment of appellate fees and costs out of |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am opposing | 4 | estate assets is the presentation of a good |
| 5 | ertain acts here. | 5 | faith appeal and its ultimate resolution. |
| 6 | THE COURT: | 6 | Here, Your Honor, we presented a good |
| 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I will get to | 7 | faith motion to intervene. The estate is now |
| 8 | ose, I guess, when I get to speak. Okay. | 8 | well positioned. He should get out and he |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. | 9 | should get paid. |
| 10 | Mr. Feaman? | 10 | Finally, Your Honor, with regard to the |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: So my next paragraph is why | 11 | trustee's arguments that have been presented to |
| 12 | ould Mr. Stansbury be discharged at this | 12 | you briefly, and then I will be done, the |
| 13 | time? | 13 | trustee, first of all, as Your Honor has |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. I am going to need you | 14 | already found, he is adverse to the estate. So |
| 15 | to shorten up your opening because we don't | 15 | I think Your Honor needs to take into account |
| 16 | have a tremendous amount of time. | 16 | what weight it will assign to the argument and |
| 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. Okay. First, he | 17 | evidence that the trustee puts in. |
| 18 | did his job. He fronted the fees and costs. | 18 | Secondly, they are arguing that no benefit |
| 19 | The estate has been allowed to intervene. And | 19 | has arisen to the estate until money is |
| 20 | it now stands to reap a financial windfall as a | 20 | actually recovered. |
| 21 | result of Mr. Stansbury's efforts. But for | 21 | First of all, with regard to that |
| 22 | Mr. Stansbury's efforts and Mr. Stansbury's | 22 | paragraph in Judge Colin's order, that's not -- |
| 23 | efforts alone, the estate would not be a party | 23 | HE COURT: I don't think I found that he |
| 24 | and the estate would not be in a position now | 24 | -- I don't think I made a finding that he was |
|  | to reap hundreds of thousands of dollars as a |  | adverse to the estate. |


|  | 49-14:12:33 Page 22 | 14:14:00-14:15:01 Page 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. I am not going to | 1 | because under the Mills V Martinez case, 909 |
|  | argue with Your Honor. | 2 | So.2d 340, that court held that an order that |
| 3 | THE COURT: No, no, no. | 3 | merely grants or denies a motion does not |
| 4 | MR. FEAMAN: It's a side argument at this | 4 | resolve -- and does not resolve the issue |
| 5 | point | 5 | conclusively, a trial court has the authority |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted -- lik | 6 | to modify that order before entering a final |
|  | if I had put that wording in the order I wanted | 7 | judgment. |
|  | to go back and look. Okay. Thank you for | 8 | Why is this important? Because in that |
| 9 | saying. All right. Move on. | 9 | transcript -- and then I am done, Your Honor, |
| 10 | MR. FEAMAN: It was a finding in | 10 | in the interests of time. In that hearing at |
|  | onnection with his appointment to be | 11 | page 22 , line six, the court stated the issue. |
| 12 | administrator ad litem. | 12 | The court said, quote, So the question is |
| 13 | THE COURT: Yes, I didn't think it was | 13 | should the claimant be declared here as |
| 14 | appropriate. | 14 | administrator ad litem for the purposes of |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: We have moved past Mr. Rose's | 15 | being permitted to ask the court to be able to |
| 16 | argument. That's been argued and done. | 16 | intervene which the court may or may not do? |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | 17 | And after he stated the issue thusly, he |
| 18 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, has Mr. Stansbury | 18 | then repeated it, Judge Colin at page 23, |
| 19 | conferred benefit to the estate? We say at | 19 | because he started to move away from |
|  | this point absolutely, the Court need go no | 20 | Mr. Stansbury and moved into appointing Ben |
|  | further and can say, yes, you are entitled to | 21 | Brown to be the one to intervene on behalf of |
| 22 | be reimbursed. And we cite two cases which if | 22 | the estate. And the court said at page 23, |
| 23 | I have time I will argue at the end. | 23 | line 15 , quote, I will allow someone else to |
| 24 | And I mention first the Wejanowski case | 24 | intervene to appropriately determine whether |
| 25 | which I have just mentioned. And then we | 25 | the estate has an interest in this money or |
|  | 47-14:13:43 Page 23 |  | 16-14:16:12 Page 25 |
|  | actually found, Your Honor, and I have to give |  | not. That's the issue, correct? At which |
|  | kudos to one of my law partners, an 1882 case | 2 | point I said yes. |
|  | by the Supreme Court. But the language was | 3 | And so when we are dealing with that issue |
|  | appropriate, and it says, if under the | 4 | the Court, this Court now subsequently is not |
| 5 | circumstances the litigation was just and | 5 | bound by that last paragraph in that what I |
| 6 | proper and apparently for the benefit of the | 6 | call rogue order when we never had a chance to |
|  | estate, and brought bona fide, he is entitled | 7 | argue when Mr. Stansbury would be entitled to |
| 8 | to credits for costs and charges and for | 8 | reimbursement. |
| 9 | services rendered in connection with the | 9 | Now, they latched on to that gratuitous |
| 10 | litigation. | 10 | language at the end, but that wasn't before the |
| 11 | And that's the Sherrell versus Shepard | 11 | Court. It is before the Court now and we are |
| 12 | case, 19 Florida 300. And that's the first | 12 | making that argument. |
| 13 | time in my career I have been able to cite a | 13 | So we respectfully suggest that the Court |
| 14 | case from the 1800s, so I am kind of actually | 14 | is not bound by that language if it were to |
| 15 | excited about that, Your Honor, because it | 15 | decide that not only can Mr. Stansbury get |
| 16 | seems to be right on point. | 16 | discharged but that he should be compensated. |
| 17 | In a more serious vein, Your Honor, for | 17 | At the very least he should be discharged, Your |
| 18 | Judge Colin to have ordered what he did in that | 18 | Honor. And then to end the litigation |
|  | last paragraph of what I call the rogue order, | 19 | concerning his compensation we are respectfully |
|  | the second line, first, he did not revoke his | 20 | requesting that you also order that he is |
|  | first order, but, secondly, that was not part | 21 | entitled to compensation and reserve on an |
| 22 | of the hearing. | 22 | amount pending discussions with the parties |
| 23 | And we say that Your Honor is free to | 23 | which we have stipulated to. Thank you. |
| 24 | modify that order and vacate those orders, but | 24 | THE COURT: Thank you. I am going to let |
| 25 | especially with regard to reimbursement now, | 25 | Mr. Eliot go next, please. |


|  | 2-14:17:29 Page 26 | 14:18:27-14:19:23 Page 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Don't want Mr. Rose | 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And as I |
| 2 | to go? | 2 | understand it from the documents filed by |
| 3 | THE COURT: No, I am letting you go next. | 3 | Mr. Rose on behalf of Ted Bernstein and from |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, just to | 4 | the appearance made on the record today, Alan |
|  | make clear, Mr. Rose admitted himself today to | 5 | Rose is appearing for Ted who Your Honor found |
|  | e Court as representing Ted Bernstein as | 6 | conflict of interest with the estate in |
|  | successor trustee to the Simon trust, correct? |  | relation to the Illinois litigation as |
| 8 | THE COURT: The record stands for itself. | 8 | indicated in your April 27th order. And Rose |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And I believe | 9 | gave oral testimony and in statements in |
|  | that's what's in there. And I believe we just |  | relation to trying to represent the estate |
|  | went through two hearings for Mr. Rose to |  | against William Stansbury that he has no |
|  | represent the Stansbury litigation whereby he |  | involvement with the Illinois insurance |
|  | stated to this Court repeatedly on the record | 13 | litigation. But his precise filing as an |
|  | as a witness, et cetera, that he had nothing to |  | attorney for a Ted, filing number 56988413, |
|  | do with the Illinois litigation at all, him and | 15 | e-filed 5/26 in this court, is directly about |
|  | his client. They had no involvement in this | 16 | the Illinois insurance litigation. And again, |
| 17 | litigation whatsoever. But yet Mr. Feaman just | 17 | all three years he's been representing the |
|  | explained to you three years of this Illinois | 18 | Illinois insurance litigation issues that he |
|  | litigation where Mr. Rose is making opposition |  | told you he had nothing to do with. Clearly |
|  | in all kinds of things to interfere with the | 20 | repeated, and that's why you allowed him to |
|  | estate's hiring of counsel, et cetera, which is | 21 | represent in that other case. |
|  | exactly opposite of what he told the Court on | 22 | So this all contradicts his testimony and |
|  | the record just in those last hearings, which | 23 | your findings, which is the basis to reopen an |
|  | is further, like Mr. Feaman put in his closing |  | amend the April 27th order in itself. And I |
|  | statement for those hearings, that Mr. Rose |  | also know that I filed for an extension for |
|  | 45-14:18:10 Page 27 |  | 29-14:20:12 Page 29 |
|  | misrepresented the record and was |  | rehearing of this order. |
|  | misrepresenting things to the Court. Well, | 2 | THE COURT: No, we are here on today's |
|  | here he just filed a pleading in this case | 3 | motion. |
|  | representing Ted Bernstein in the Illinois | 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What? |
|  | insurance litigation. And I believe your order | 5 | THE COURT: I want you to know, Mr. Eliot, |
| 6 | says they are conflicted there. | 6 | I will allow you to have opening on today's |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: I object. | 7 | motion which is whether in your position on |
| 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And this would be -- | 8 | Mr. Stansbury's motion. That is what we are |
| 9 | THE COURT: Hold on. |  | going to limit this argument to. |
| 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And this would be -- | 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's all I am |
| 11 | I thought this was my opening. | 11 | arguing, meaning -- |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yes. | 12 | THE COURT: Okay. I must have |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 13 | misunderstood. |
| 14 | THE COURT: But I get to hear a legal | 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 15 | objection. | 15 | THE COURT: So please continue, limiting |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 16 | it to that issue. |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: I think that, first of all, | 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. What's really |
| 18 | it's improper argument. It's not really an |  | going on here is more direct frauds upon the |
| 19 | opening statement. And it's getting to be | 19 | Court, and Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose trying |
| 20 | borderline offensive. | 20 | to control the Illinois litigation by |
| 21 | THE COURT: Overruled. You won't insult | 21 | controlling the counsel for the estate in |
| 22 | Mr. Rose. But other than that, overruled. | 22 | efforts to cover up frauds. Not to mention the |
| 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. But I will | 23 | fact that Alan Rose's papers show further |
| 24 | call a fraud a fraud. |  | collusion with the former PRs Tescher and |
| 25 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 25 | Spallina who were central to all the original |
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|  | frauds in this court and in the Illinois court. |  | THE COURT: No. What you are raising are |
| 2 | And I can say that to my knowledge there's |  | not issues before the Court today, so please |
| 3 | been no filing or docket entry in the Illinois |  | tay focused. |
| 4 | case since the fraud of Rose and O'Connell in | 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, |
| 5 | denying me for over a year as a beneficiary in | 5 | verybody else has been able to give a little |
| 6 | Simon's estate, has now been admitted. | 6 | story, and Mr. Feaman was allowed that |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection | 7 | titude. |
| 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I have already | 8 | THE COURT: Mr. -- |
| 9 | called upon the court | 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I would like to |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: This is beyond the scope of |  | xplain the opening in my view, meaning giv |
| 11 | tion we are here for |  | e background a little bit of why we are here |
| 12 | HE COURT: Sustain |  | oday and why I believe that Mr. Stansbury |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All related -- |  | should be recuperating his costs for the fraud |
| 14 | THE COURT: Sustained |  | that's cost him all this money and all of us. |
| 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- to the Illinois | 15 | Meaning the real victims here are |
| 16 | uran |  | r. Stansbury and me who were victims of the |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. Let's stay on |  | original fraud that started this case. |
| 18 | point. | 18 | The Illinois insurance litigation was |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Called upon |  | started by Robert Spallina filing a fraudulent |
| 20 | this court to confirm -- |  | claim for life insurance benefits, as |
| 21 | THE COURT: No, that doesn't mean you keep | 21 | Mr. Feaman noted. He did that at a time that |
| 22 | sentence going. Sustained. Move on to |  | my brother, who he was representing, had |
| 23 | your point. Stay focused. | 23 | notified the police, the sheriff, and the |
| 24 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. So nothing | 24 | coroner that my father might have been murdered |
| 25 | should be in my view on this motion should be |  | by poisoning. And they tried to collect that |
|  | 06-14:21:50 Page 31 |  | :04-14:23:56 Page 33 |
|  | happening here today other than scheduling |  | death benefit without telling anybody. And |
| 2 | hearings to unravel the fraud that are going |  | they got denied because they couldn't prove |
| 3 | On. |  | that they had -- that Spallina was trustee of |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay |  | the trust he never had. And that's all in the |
| 5 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Meaning you just saw |  | ecords here. And I'm sure you've been reading |
| 6 | an attorney tell you he had nothing to do with | 6 | about it. |
| 7 | this thing, and now we have heard he has been | 7 | And what we have is then Ted Bernstein |
| 8 | objecting to this litigation, filing opposition |  | suing the life insurance company for failure to |
| 9 | papers two or three years. And let me explain |  | pay a claim to Robert Spallina as trustee. |
| 10 | why. |  | What he did was he sued though as trustee of |
| 11 | This whole issue starts really, and |  | the trust Spallina said he was trustee of. |
| 12 | weren't here for it, and why Mr. Stansbury is | 12 | And then he wouldn't represent -- have the |
| 13 | paying, Mr. Feaman kind of touched on, but I |  | estate represented in these matters, because if |
| 14 | want to explain. |  | the estate was represented by competent |
| 15 | THE COURT: I just want your position on |  | counsel, they immediately would have identified |
| 16 | whether he should continue to pay or not |  | the fraud going on in the filing of claims by |
| 17 | continue to pay, because that is what the | 17 | Mr. Spallina. |
| 18 | opening is about, and you have got two more | 18 | THE COURT: I did make the finding, |
| 19 | minutes. |  | Mr. Feaman, you are absolutely correct. |
| 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's also | 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. |
| 21 | about this hearing has been improperly -- | 21 | THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Eliot. |
| 22 | THE COURT: No. | 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I think that |
| 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- conducted. |  | goes to why Mr. Rose shouldn't be representing |
| 24 | THE COURT: It is |  | in conflict and that might be some sanctionable |
| 25 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Is he in conflict -- |  | actions to take, you know, for him even |
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| 1 appearing here after telling the Court he has | 1 orchestrated. This whole Florida court is |
| 2 nothing to do with this stu | 2 being manipulated to create another fraud on a |
| 3 But Mr. Spallina then failed to represent | 3 federal court. And everybody who is aware that |
| 4 the estate's interest in the Illinois insuranc | 4 I am a beneficiary with standing should have |
| 5 litigation because it would have proven out | 5 already notified federal Judge Blakey that |
| 6 that he committed fraud. So when we got rid of | 6 Mr. Rose misled this Court to gain those orders |
| 7 him after he admitted and his law firm admitted | 7 by Judge Phillips. And that's where I will |
| 8 submitting fraudulent forged documents here, he | 8 close it up. |
| 9 abdicated from the Illinois litigation | 9 THE COURT: And that's good. |
| 10 representing my brother in any way. And then | 10 Mr. Rose, you may proceed. |
| 11 we had to find new counsel. So Mr. Feaman | 11 MR. ROSE: Thank you. Good afternoon, |
| 12 brought in Mr. Stamos. And the Court was kind | 12 Your Honor. |
| 13 of forced to make a decision here of why isn't | 13 THE COURT: Good afternoon. |
| 14 the estate representing -- | 14 MR. ROSE: I just need to go back on a |
| 15 MR. ROSE: Your Honor? | 15 couple of points that were raised. Number one, |
| 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- on the interests | 16 the trust that exists under which my client is |
| 17 in a policy that has different beneficiaries. | 17 appointed has a specific provision that says if |
| 18 THE COURT: No, he has got two more | 18 you are the trustee of one trust it does not |
| 19 minutes. Hold on one second, please. He has | 19 preclude you from being the trustee of separate |
| 20 got two more minutes. I am going to let him | 20 trust. |
| 21 complete his opening, at which point you will | 21 I do not represent Ted Bernstein in |
| 22 be entitled to your opening. | 22 connection with the Illinois litigation. We |
| 23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 23 have been down that road. Your Honor ruled |
| 24 THE COURT: You've got until exactly 20. | 24 what you ruled and that was that |
| 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, he got like | 25 Mr. Bernstein -- |
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| 125. | 1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 2 THE COURT: He has the burden. | 2 THE COURT: I will not tolerate that. You |
| 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. | 3 know that. Thank you. |
| 4 THE COURT: You do not. | 4 MR. ROSE: While the Illinois litigation |
| 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So I get half the | 5 is pending you declined to appoint Ted |
| 6 time? Okay. | 6 Bernstein as administrator ad litem. We have |
| 7 THE COURT: So you get two more minutes. | 7 all moved past that. |
| 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go. | 8 Eliot Bernstein is, for the umpteenth |
| 9 I was thrown out of the Illinois | 9 time, a beneficiary of tangible personal |
| 10 litigation, and I have advised the Court. And | 10 property whose value after it's sold by |
| 11 I would like to enter into the evidence today a | 11 Mr. O'Connell will probably be worth ten or 15 |
| 12 letter -- | 12 thousand dollars, his one-fifth share. And for |
| 13 THE COURT: This is not the appropriate | 13 that \$15,000 we are spending hundreds of |
| 14 time. This is opening. | 14 thousands or perhaps eventually a million |
| 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. So I was | 15 dollars giving him his due process. |
| 16 thrown out of the Illinois litigation because | 16 But let me talk about why we are here |
| 17 they told that court that I was not a | 17 today, and I am going to go a little bit in |
| 18 beneficiary of my father's estate and I had no | 18 reverse order. |
| 19 standing. And Judge Blakey relied on this | 19 And I think you were told, and someone can |
| 20 Court's statement that I was not a beneficiary | 20 correct me if I am wrong, but you were told |
| 21 and had no standing in my father's estate to | 21 that there's a rogue order that has a provision |
| 22 throw me out on a summary judgment, saying I | 22 in it that was never discussed at a hearing and |
| 23 had no standing and therefore in Florida res | 23 was never part of an argument such that |
| 24 judicata and yada yada yada. | 24 Mr. Feaman's clients were -- client was denied |
| 25 The bottom line is that was all | 25 due process. |
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| 1 | Well, if you look at the whole transcript |  | valid unappealed order of this Court. And |
| 2 | which again is docket entry 148, which also was | 2 | that's a liability. |
| 3 | recently re-filed by Mr. Stansbury, | 3 | So not only does Mr. Feaman want to be |
| 4 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel, on page 35 summarizes | 4 | ordered repaid the 70,000 that he paid, he |
| 5 | an entire discussion between Mr. Morrissey, who | 5 | wants the estate to start paying the 40,000 and |
| 6 | represents four of the ten grandchildren -- I | 6 | all the way through the trial. And guess what? |
| 7 | am on page 35 of the transcript. Mr. Morrissey | 7 | If they lose -- someone is right and wrong in |
| 8 | at that time represented four of the | 8 | Illinois, and we are not here to decide that. |
| 9 | grandchildren. The other six were | 9 | But it's gambling. If the estate is wrong and |
| 10 | unrepresented, although in my view the trustee | 10 | Mr. O'Connell has spent a couple hundred |
|  | was advocating their interests very well and |  | thousand dollars in litigation and he loses, |
| 12 | got us to this point. | 12 | guess what? It's not a windfall. It's a |
| 13 | At the top of 35 the Court says that -- | 13 | liability. It's a detriment. |
| 14 | after a lengthy discussion -- I didn't put that | 14 | And the whole point of the grand bargain |
| 15 | in because I didn't think someone would get up | 15 | that was discussed and reached in court that |
| 16 | and tell you that the issue was never raised | 16 | day was Mr. Stansbury is the only person |
| 17 | during the hearing. | 17 | outside the, quote, family that can take some |
| 18 | But the Court said, it would only be the | 18 | of this money. It's in his best interests to |
| 19 | case if there was a recovery for the estate to | 19 | get that money into the estate because he is |
| 20 | which then Mr. Stansbury would say under the | 20 | suing us for two and a half million dollars. |
| 21 | statute I performed a benefit for the estate. | 21 | And so he is the guy who benefits. If other |
| 22 | So we had a lengthy discussion at that | 22 | than him all the money stays in the family |
| 23 | hearing, pages and pages of transcript where | 23 | either through the Illinois trust or through |
| 24 | the issue was raised, when do I get paid back. | 24 | the estate it would flow into this trust to |
| 25 | And to suggest otherwise is being untrue to the | 25 | benefit the children or the grandchildren. |
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|  | documents that are before you. And you can | 1 | So we had this lengthy thing. And what I |
|  | read the transcript yourself and make your own | 2 | think we are here today is decide how important |
| 3 | decision. | 3 | are orders of this Court? |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I | 4 | First of all, we know that an amended |
| 5 | object? | 5 | order supercedes the original order. So you |
| 6 | THE COURT: What's the legal objection, | 6 | can't tell me that the second order is a rogue |
| 7 | Mr. Eliot? | 7 | order and I am going to ignore it. |
| 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That he is | 8 | But they didn't appeal either of those |
| 9 | conflicted and shouldn't be making arguments on | 9 | orders. And, you know, I understand batting 70 |
| 10 | the Illinois insurance litigation. | 10 | percent and he has paid about 70 percent of the |
| 11 | THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed, | 11 | expenses, that might be good enough to get you |
| 12 | Mr. Rose. |  | into the Hall of Fame in baseball or get you a |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: The estate in this case is | 13 | lot of things. But 70 percent compliance with |
| 14 | represented by counsel. No one disputes they | 14 | a court order is not acceptable to me, and I |
| 15 | are represented by counsel and that counsel is |  | don't think it should be acceptable to this |
| 16 | a fine lawyer, Mr. Stamos. The only thing we | 16 | Court. |
| 17 | are here to decide is who should pay that | 17 | We have a valid order. And the order was |
| 18 | expense. | 18 | not willy-nilly. If you read the transcript, |
| 19 | Now, you've heard, and I wrote it down, |  | and I gave you pages -- I am sorry, did you |
| 20 | there's a windfall to the estate been created | 20 | have a question? |
| 21 | by Mr. Stansbury. In fact, the evidence will | 21 | THE COURT: I did. I am just thinking |
| 22 | demonstrate there's a liability created by |  | about whether it does the Court any good to ask |
| 23 | Mr. Stansbury's actions. There's a lawyer in | 23 | it, so give me a second. Let's set aside at |
| 24 | Chicago that's currently owed over \$41,000 and |  | this moment let's set aside whether |
| 25 | counting that's not been paid pursuant to a |  | Mr. Stansbury may or may not be entitled to any |
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|  | reimbursement if money comes in. Let's just | 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And he was supposed |
| 2 | set that aside. | 2 | to, by the way -- |
| 3 | Why am I not allowed to let him out and | 3 | THE COURT: So noted. Move on. No, no. |
| 4 | let Mr. O'Connell hire a contingency, put it on | 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: (Overspeaking) -- |
| 5 | contingency basis? Wouldn't that be the PR's | 5 | court hearing. |
| 6 | decision as to whether or not to go forward | 6 | THE COURT: No, no. |
| 7 | with the claim? | 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Well | 8 | THE COURT: So noted. |
| 9 | THE COURT: That is the PR's right. | 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 10 | Please address just my question. | 10 | THE COURT: You may proceed. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: I will. | 11 | MR. ROSE: So I am not directly in the |
| 12 | THE COURT: That's my question. | 12 | Illinois litigation, but I know specific facts |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: Okay. Well, the answer to your | 13 | about the Illinois litigation. One of the |
| 14 | question is we are here because you have power | 14 | facts I asked was if there's a budget to go to |
| 15 | to make a ruling. No one is denying that you | 15 | trial. So I think the budget for trial is |
| 16 | have the power to make a ruling. | 16 | \$50,000. It's going to be a one-day bench |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | 17 | trial in Chicago. I think there's -- it's a |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: You are talking about the | 18 | fairly simple narrow case. |
| 19 | propriety of your ruling, the beneficiaries are | 19 | The proposed contingency fee would be |
| 20 | very much against hiring someone on a | 20 | \$700,000 if they win. It's a light switch |
| 21 | contingency fee basis for this reason. The |  | case, I call it a light switch case; you flick |
| 22 | cost to finish the case -- |  | it up or you flick it down. There's no carving |
| 23 | THE COURT: Wouldn't that be -- okay. Let |  | in the middle. You can't say, well, we are |
| 24 | me listen to you. I am sorry. | 24 | going to -- |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: Yeah. I understand. We put a | 25 | THE COURT: I understand. Either they get |
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|  | lot of thought into this that goes on outside |  | the money -- |
| 2 | of the courtroom. We have spoken to | 2 | MR. ROSE: Right. |
| 3 | Mr. O'Connell at length. | 3 | THE COURT: The insurance trust gets the |
| 4 | The agreement that you have not approved |  | money or the estate gets the money. It's A or |
| 5 | -- the agreement that you approved from the |  |  |
| 6 | Shirley trust beneficiaries, that you have not |  | MR. ROSE: Right. |
| 7 | yet considered from the Simon trust | 7 | THE COURT: I got it. |
| 8 | beneficiaries, which includes the four | 8 | MR. ROSE: At a loss, it's a loss. At a |
| 9 | grandchildren who are represented by |  | win, it's \$700,000 to the lawyer on a |
| 10 | Mr. Morrissey, the three grandchildren who are | 10 | contingency fee when he has told us his hourly |
| 11 | not represented but whose parents are actively |  | rates are going to be 50. And in addition, |
| 12 | involved, and the three grandchildren who are |  | paying back Mr. Stansbury the 70 he has already |
| 13 | -- whose interests are being protected by the | 13 | put out would mean that the total fee for this |
| 14 | guardian ad litem, those ten people agreed they | 14 | litigation would be $\$ 770,000$. Everyone has |
| 15 | wanted Mr. O'Connell to oppose this motion, and |  | agreed if Your Honor is going to excuse |
| 16 | that those ten people agreed that if you are |  | Mr. Stansbury, which we would request you not |
| 17 | going to excuse Mr. Stansbury from the promise | 17 | do, that the estate is going to handle the |
| 18 | that he has made -- | 18 | matter on an hourly rate basis, or that's the |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object, Your | 19 | preference of the people that will have to make |
| 20 | Honor. | 20 | the decision afterwards. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Legal objection? | 21 | One of the decisions -- some of the |
| 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He is |  | decisions are going to be, do I pursue the case |
| 23 | misrepresenting that he has consent of all of | 23 | or not. Another decision is do I settle the |
| 24 | the beneficiaries. | 24 | case or not. But that's for Mr. O'Connell. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So noted. Go ahead. | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. |
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| 1 | MR. ROSE: The specific answer to your | 1 | The other thing is Mr. Stansbury has |
| 2 | question | 2 | gotten the benefit of all kinds of wonderful |
| 3 | THE COURT: You did | 3 | things in the transcript. He has got the right |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: -- you clearly have the power | 4 | to talk to the lawyer in Chicago. He picks the |
| 5 | to do something. We are here asking you not to | 5 | lawyer. He consults with him. I was standing |
| 6 | change the order. Because if you read what | 6 | with Mr. Feaman outside |
| 7 | Judge Colin did, it was very, very specific. | 7 | THE COURT: Wrap up. |
| 8 | And it was not a five-minute hearing. It was a | 8 | MR. ROSE: He gets called by the lawyer. |
| 9 | lengthy hearing. | 9 | He is in communication. That was the bargain. |
| 10 | And, you know, the specific thing he says | 10 | So in my view it's very important that we |
| 11 | on paragraph two, for the reasons subject to |  | follow court orders. It was not appealed. |
| 12 | the conditions stated on the record, all |  | Everybody relied upon it. He has gotten the |
| 13 | attorney's fees and costs incurred shall be | 13 | benefit of it. |
| 14 | initially borne by Mr. Stansbury. He has not | 14 | This delay of years and years, I mean, |
| 15 | borne the expenses. He is in violation of the |  | there was nothing in the order -- at the time |
| 16 | order. |  | of this hearing we were waiting to get a |
| 17 | Florida law is very clear that if you are | 17 | permanent PR. That was on the horizon. I |
| 18 | in violation of an order you should not be | 18 | think the PR hearing was a few weeks after. I |
| 19 | heard on that order. I don't know if he should |  | think, if I recall, and I don't know for sure, |
| 20 | be heard on any matter, but he should at a |  | it was early July, like the 10th or something |
| 21 | minimum he should have brought this into |  | of July, when we had a hearing to determine the |
| 22 | compliance and shown up and said I have | 22 | PR when Mr. O'Connell was going. That was like |
| 23 | complied with the order and would like relief | 23 | a week after this order. |
|  | from it. So we have cited the case I won't | 24 | This isn't like it was a vacuum. We knew |
|  | argue. It's in our brief. It's very clear to |  | that there was going to be a PR. And it still |
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|  | me under the law. |  | is this, that he is going to fund it. And so |
| 2 | The second point, the order could not be | 2 | to suggest that this was a temporary |
|  | any clearer. Mr. Stansbury shall not be | 3 | arrangement is not correct. |
|  | reimbursed for any fees or costs incurred from | 4 | Now, they had time to ask Judge Colin to |
| 5 | either the decedent's estate or the trust which | 5 | reconsider the order. They had a year and a |
| 6 | my client is the trustee of. | 6 | half to ask Judge Phillips. And on multiple |
| 7 | And as Your Honor knows, under certain | 7 | occasions they just withdrew their motion, they |
| 8 | circumstances if Mr. O'Connell runs out of |  | would cancel their hearing. The record will |
| 9 | money he can certify a need for money to the | 9 | speak for itself. But we are now three years |
| 10 | trust, and a revocable trust can be required |  | down the line on an order that was never |
| 11 | under statute to occasionally pay money back. |  | appealed. And I don't think it's appropriate |
| 12 | So some day they may come and ask my client to | 12 | to treat it like it's a worthless piece of |
| 13 | take money out of the trust that's designated |  | paper. It's an order of this Court. |
| 14 | for these ten grandchildren to fund this | 14 | Mr. Feaman said he never relied on a case from |
| 15 | litigation that we -- you know, that right now | 15 | the 1800s. Well, I am relying on a case from |
| 16 | is being funded perfectly fine. | 16 | this Court entered by this Court in 2014. And |
| 17 | But he is not to be reimbursed unless | 17 | we would ask that you deny the motion. |
|  | there is a recovery on behalf of the estate | 18 | Now, this is what happens if you deny the |
| 19 | that results in a net benefit to the estate. |  | motion. Mr. Stansbury funds the litigation. |
| 20 | That's not a rogue -- for someone to come | 20 | Presumably everyone on that side of the table |
| 21 | here and -- I am not criticizing the lawyer. | 21 | thinks it's a winning case. So he is going to |
| 22 | But the argument that is being made to you that |  | fund the litigation. It's going to get tried. |
| 23 | that's a rogue order when it's an order that | 23 | The estate is going to win. |
|  | was never appealed, I think it is just flat out | 24 | There's no question that Mr. Stansbury |
| 25 | wrong. |  | gets paid back immediately and first from a net |
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|  | recovery. We are not going to come in here and | 1 | THE COURT: So this will be Stansbury's. |
| 2 | say, well, we didn't really benefit us. And | 2 | Okay. |
| 3 | that was very clear from the beginning. That's | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: And I have the -- and |
| 4 | why Judge Colin said what he said. | 4 | everybody will get copies. |
| 5 | But if he is right about the case, he is | 5 | THE COURT: Mr. Eliot, do you have an |
| 6 | going to finish funding it, they are going to | 6 | objection? |
| 7 | try it and they are going to win it, and money | 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Just |
| 8 | is going to come in. He is going to get paid | 8 | clarification. Your order said this was |
| 9 | back every penny he is entitled to. If they | 9 | confined, limited to one hour. Mr. Feaman sent |
| 10 | are wrong and it's a loser, the estate has no | 10 | out a letter saying that you and him had |
| 11 | harm whatsoever, no liability to a lawyer in |  | arranged that it couldn't go past $2: 30$. I just |
| 12 | Chicago, no outlay of funds. | 12 | said to whom -- no, that's not correct? |
| 13 | And you and I and Mr. O'Connell and | 13 | THE COURT: A couple of different things. |
| 14 | Mr. Feaman we are not capable of deciding who's | 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 15 | going to get that money. That's the judge in | 15 | THE COURT: I am proceeding right now on |
| 16 | Illinois. But we arranged -- and I realize | 16 | my hearing. |
| 17 | that Mr. O'Connell wasn't here yet, Judge Lewis | 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Right. |
| 18 | wasn't in the case yet. But what the people | 18 | THE COURT: Secondly, I have never had a |
| 19 | that were in that courtroom in May arranged | 19 | conversation with Mr. Feaman ever outside of |
| 20 | with the judge, and I could read you the whole | 20 | this courtroom |
| 21 | transcript, I have highlighted it, so I think | 21 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I meant with your |
| 22 | you've got a flavor. It was hotly contested. | 22 | clerk, with your J.A. |
| 23 | It was compromise. And Mr. Feaman made | 23 | THE COURT: My J.A. |
| 24 | representations on the court. And the specific | 24 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct, in |
| 25 | thing that Judge Colin said at the end, part of |  | scheduling this. |
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|  | this is the sincerity of Mr. Feaman's side, | 1 | THE COURT: So I am going to proceed right |
| 2 | it's a good thing and they made a pledge to do | 2 | now. |
| 3 | it, they are not going to go back on their | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: I have never had a |
| 4 | word. | 4 | conversation with your J.A., Your Honor. |
| 5 | I would ask you not to let them go back on | 5 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 6 | their word. | 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Or somebody did. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Thank you. All right, | 7 | MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 1 -- |
| 8 | Mr. Feaman, call your first witness. | 8 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: I will move as quickly as | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: -- is the first order of |
| 10 | possible. | 10 | May 23rd. |
| 11 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor? | 11 | THE COURT: Okay. You are asking that |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: I want to put some documents | 12 | this be placed in evidence or Court take |
| 13 | in before Your Honor even though they are | 13 | judicial notice? |
| 14 | already in the record so that you can have with | 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 1 it's stamped on the |
| 15 | you -- | 15 | back, Your Honor. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Thank you. | 16 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 17 | MR. FEAMAN: -- documents to refer to. | 17 | MR. ROSE: I don't think it needs to be in |
| 18 | THE COURT: Do you want me to mark? | 18 | evidence, but I don't have any objection. |
| 19 | MR. FEAMAN: I have them marked on the | 19 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 20 | back. | 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, it doesn't need |
| 21 | THE COURT: No. But tell me if you want | 21 | to be in evidence. |
| 22 | them -- how you want me to handle them, | 22 | THE COURT: I will just place it in |
| 23 | evidence, they are for me? | 23 | evidence. |
| 24 | MR. FEAMAN: I think evidence is the | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: It's just more orderly. |
| 25 | easiest way to create a record. | 25 | THE COURT: Sure. Sure. Stansbury |



| 14:46:02-14:46:53 Page 58 | 14:48:29-14:48:51 Page 60 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 approximately a year and a half after the entry | 1 MR. FEAMAN: Now I would call |
| 2 of the order ordering Mr. Stansbury to pay, it | 2 Mr . Stansbury to the stand. |
| 3 says, quote, The legal fees to date in the life | 3 THE COURT: All right. |
| 4 insurance litigation have been paid by William | 4 MS. CRISPIN: I just want to interject |
| 5 Stansbury. | 5 quickly. I know you asked the estate's |
| 6 And then paragraph seven, the successor | 6 position on whether or not Mr. Stansbury should |
| 7 personal representative believes that it is in | 7 be discharged. |
| 8 the best interests of the estate to continue | 8 THE COURT: Yes. |
| 9 with the life insurance litigation. | 9 MS. CRISPIN: There was a second component |
| 10 And then paragraph eight, Illinois counsel | 10 to that, which was should he be reimbursed for |
| 11 has agreed to waive the outstanding balance | 11 what he has already paid. And I did want the |
| 12 currently due and enter into a contingency | 12 Court to know that Mr. O'Connell's position is |
| 13 agreement. | 13 similar to that of Mr. Rose's, which is notated |
| 14 MR. ROSE: Are we here to -- | 14 on page 35 of the transcript, is that until |
| 15 MR. FEAMAN: Exhibit 6, Your Honor -- | 15 there is a net recovery to the estate it should |
| 16 THE COURT: Now why are you interrupting? | 16 not be repaid. |
| 17 MR. ROSE: No, no. Are we doing argument | 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 18 on each of these exhibits or just going to have | 18 MS. CRISPIN: Thank you. |
| 19 them come in? | 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Crispin. |
| 20 MR. FEAMAN: I wasn't arguing. | 20 All right, go ahead. |
| 21 THE COURT: Please have a seat. He is | 21 As I do in all the hearings, I will keep |
| 22 just handing me the exhibits. | 22 the evidence up here for anybody to reference, |
| 23 MR. FEAMAN: Just reading. Exhibit 6 is | 23 my very complicated evidence label. |
| 24 docket entry 405 which is Mr. O'Connell's | 24 |
| 25 amended petition for authorization. And the | 25 Thereupon, |
| 14:47:08-14:48:21 Page 59 | 14:49:11-14:49:48 Page 61 |
| 1 amended petition contains the same language as | 1 WILLIAM STANSBURY, |
| 2 Exhibit 5. | 2 a witness called on behalf of himself, being by the |
| 3 (Stansbury's Exb. No. 6, Amended Petition | 3 Court duly sworn, was examined and testified as |
| 4 for Authorization to Enter into Contingency | 4 follows: |
| 5 Agreement, Docket Entry 405.) | 5 THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 6 THE COURT: All right. I don't want you | 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a |
| 7 to annotate the exhibits. | 7 seat. |
| 8 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. | 8 MR. FEAMAN: Permission to lead the |
| 9 THE COURT: Just if you want to bring | 9 witness to go through some background |
| 10 something to the Court's attention on it, then | 10 information, Your Honor? |
| 11 I will entertain anything else anyone else | 11 THE COURT: I think that in this case we |
| 12 wants to bring to my attention. | 12 better just go with the standard. |
| 13 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Just the only thing | 13 MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. |
| 14 different is there's a new paragraph nine | 14 DIRECT (WILLIAM STANSBURY) |
| 15 saying that there's also an hourly fee | 15 BY MR. FEAMAN: |
| 16 arrangement offered to the personal | 16 Q. Please state your name and address. |
| 17 representative by Chicago counsel. | 17 A. William Stansbury. 6920 Caviro Lane, |
| 18 And then, finally, Exhibit 7 is the | 18 Boynton Beach, Florida. |
| 19 inventory filed by Mr. O'Connell as successor | 19 Q. And you are presently a claimant against |
| 20 personal representative dated December 1st, | 20 this Estate of Simon Bernstein, and you have |
| 21 2014, showing the claim for the insurance | 21 brought an action against the estate seeking the |
| 22 proceeds in Chicago as an asset of the estate | 22 recovery of money; is that correct? |
| 23 value unknown. | 23 A. It is, yes. |
| 24 (Stansbury's Exb. No. 7, Inventory | 24 Q. What's the approximate value of your |
| 25 12-1-14.) | 25 claim? |

A. Approximately 2.5 million.
Q. And when did you first obtain knowledge that there was a life insurance policy that was in effect at the time of Simon Bernstein's death where death benefits of which might rightfully belong to the Estate of Simon Bernstein?
A. I first became aware of the life insurance policy in the fall of 2011.

## Q. How was that?

A. Inadvertently, I suspect, that the life insurance policy on Mr. Bernstein lapsed. And there was a great deal of panic in the office. There were concerns about his health and the fact that there may not be an opportunity to get the policy benefit back alive. And because of my 40 years of experience in the insurance industry, I was consulted with to see if there was anything that I could suggest or recommend that might help to re-establish the benefit for Mr. Bernstein who was the owner of the policy at that time.
Q. Is that the same policy that's at issue in the Chicago litigation?
A. It is.
Q. And were you successful in getting the policy reinstated?

## A. I was. <br> Q. And you were working with Mr. Simon

 Bernstein at that time?A. I was.
Q. And now Mr. Bernstein passed away in, I believe, the fall of 2012; is that correct?
A. September of 2012, yes.
Q. Okay. How did you learn that there had
become an issue as to who or what the beneficiary of that life insurance policy was?
A. There was a lot of e-mailing and things going back and forth that I became aware of. And the fact that the life insurance policy was being submitted to the insurance company with a claim being made by a trustee who wasn't the trustee of the life insurance policy that was described in the benefit as being a beneficiary.
Q. Was that Mr. Spallina?
A. It was.
Q. Did you become aware subsequently that then a lawsuit had been filed in Illinois involving the death benefits of that policy?
A. Yes.
Q. And how much are those death benefits as far as you know?
A. It's in the $1.6,1.7$ million dollar range, something in that vicinity.
Q. And did there come a time when you learned that there was a disagreement over who the beneficiary of that policy is?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you make inquiries as to whether the estate was involved at that time in the litigation that was pending in Chicago?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find out?
A. I found out that they were not being represented at all in that litigation.
Q. Did that concern you?
A. It did.
Q. Why?
A. Well, on a number of levels. First of
all, you know, obviously, if I can bring additional liquidity into the estate that tends to help not just the estate but potentially any claim that I might be awarded, so there was an interest there.

I am -- I was at that time 40 years in the life insurance profession, and I ran large offices and regions for major life insurance companies. And I understood from time to time that people do

1 pass away and the beneficiaries are not always
being -- they are not always able to be found. Businesses have been listed as beneficiaries or trusts that are no longer there and can't be proven up.

And so I know that there were
opportunities for estates of others to make claims, and those estates were subsequently awarded benefits that either were paid based on the will or the intestacy laws of the state that the person resided in.

And I took it as a professional responsibility. You know, this was not just something that I was trying out. As I said, I was 40 years in the business at that point. And I had leadership positions in the community and county and nationally in the insurance business.

And so for me to observe an application for insurance to be submitted by, not the application, but the claim to be submitted by someone who really had no interest in that, and they represent to the insurance company claim department that they are the beneficiary, to me that was offensive, you know, that is somewhat in violation of I am aware of a statute in Florida



| 15:05:12-15:06:18 Page 74 | 15:07:27-15:08:01 Page 76 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 So from my perspective if you have any | 1 THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 2 concerns about litigation expense, a contingency | 2 Mr. Eliot, why don't you proceed? |
| 3 fee arrangement sort of takes all of those expenses | 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, first, I |
| 4 that you might incur off the table. The only thing | 4 wasn't trying to stop the proceeding. |
| 5 that would result would be a benefit or no cost, | 5 THE COURT: I know. |
| 6 which to me to is benefit | 6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I brought a pillow |
| 7 So from my perspective that is a large | 7 and a tent, because your order says I could be |
| 8 benefit and one that Mr. Stamos in the pleading or | 8 here forever, which I think prejudiced me and |
| 9 filing or motion, whatever you call it that you | 9 everybody else. But because I have kids and I |
| 10 read before, has agreed is a benefit. Whether he | 10 got to take care of them and all those things. |
| 11 chooses to pay hourly or not, that's up to him. | 11 And I was just trying -- |
| 12 But I have certainly provided the opportunity for | 12 THE COURT: You can proceed with the |
| 13 him to reap a benefit where the estate would lose | 13 cross-examination. |
| 14 nothing and only gain. To me that's a huge | 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I know, but -- |
| 15 benefit. | 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Now. Now. No, |
| 16 Q. Did Ted Bernstein, the successor trustee | 16 no, no. Thank you. Appreciate it. |
| 17 to the trust that's the sole residual beneficiary | 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Don't think I have |
| 18 of the Simon Bernstein estate, did he through his | 18 enough time in a half hour to again do what I |
| 19 counsel oppose your attempts to get the estate | 19 need to do. |
| 20 intervened? | 20 THE COURT: You don't think you have |
| 21 A. Yes. | 21 enough time in a half hour? |
| 22 Q. Why is that, do you believe? | 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. I was going to |
| 23 A. I can't figure it out because essentially | 23 call some witnesses on my own. |
| 24 it's the parents or the plaintiffs and their | 24 THE COURT: No. You are just -- we are |
| 25 children are the defendants. So it's, you know, | 25 going to continue the hearing, sir. This is |
| 15:06:31-15:07:15 Page 75 | 15:08:08-15:08:39 Page 77 |
| 1 parents and children trying to figure out who gets | 1 just your questions for Mr. Stansbury. |
| 2 the money. | 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. Will we have |
| 3 But, you know, I can't speak for why they | 3 enough time for me to call witnesses and |
| 4 do what they do. But, you know, my understanding | 4 everything? |
| 5 from the documents that have been presented in | 5 THE COURT: Today? |
| 6 court is that if the money goes to the estate -- | 6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 7 MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, | 7 THE COURT: Please do your questioning of |
| 8 hearsay and speculation | 8 Mr. Stansbury. And after we are done with |
| 9 THE COURT: Sustained. Give me one | 9 Mr. Stansbury we are going to recess for the |
| 10 second, please. All right. Let me just | 10 day. |
| 11 interrupt. | 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 12 MR. FEAMAN: No further questions, Your | 12 THE COURT: Okay? |
| 13 Honor. | 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 14 THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry, I didn't mean | 14 CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY) |
| 15 to interrupt. But this goes to what question | 15 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 Mr. Eliot was asking earlier. I did not | 16 Q. You said you worked on the policy |
| 17 respond because I didn't have an answer. | 17 reinstatement in 2011; is that correct? |
| 18 We will need to -- I had this set for an | 18 A. Correct. |
| 19 hour. I left it open. But I am signing judge, | 19 Q. And that's the life insurance policy |
| 20 and I have two emergencies already going. So | 20 that's the subject of this hearing, correct? |
| 21 we can either end here -- or I'd like to | 21 A. Yes, it is. |
| 22 complete his testimony, if possible. But I | 22 Q. Okay. Did you see the policy at that |
| 23 need it to be done by $3: 30$. So I don't know if | 23 time? |
| 24 that's possible | 24 A. No, I did not. |
| 25 MR. FEAMAN: I am done. | 25 Q. Did you see the new policy that was |



A. As far as I know.
Q. Okay. And now that you've intervened in the Illinois insurance litigation, you came in amidst the prior personal representative's leaving in fraud and failing to represent the estate in the insurance litigation?

MR. ROSE: Objection, argumentative.
MS. CRISPIN: Misstates the facts in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Got to think that one.

## BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:

Q. Are you aware that $I$ am the beneficiary of the Stanley and Simon estates?

MR. ROSE: Objection, calls for legal
conclusion, irrelevant, immaterial.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
Q. Are you aware it was alleged that I was not a beneficiary with standing in the estate of my father?

MR. ROSE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
///

## BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:

Q. Are you aware that my standing as a
beneficiary in the Illinois litigation made in part the need for legal counsel that you would possibly depending on the Court's ruling have to continue to pay for?

MS. CRISPIN: Objection, Your Honor, form, complex, compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will let it go for now. I am done.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. CRISPIN: Mine will be short.
MR. ROSE: Right.
CROSS (WILLIAM STANSBURY)

## BY MS. CRISPIN:

Q. Mr. Stansbury, I am Ashley Crispin. I represent Mr. O'Connell. Nice to make your acquaintance.
A. Thank you. Nice to meet you.
Q. After the May 2014 hearing your lawyer negotiated for you during that hearing some additional terms and things that you were going to be able to get out of the payment towards the fees of Mr. Stamos.

| 15:19:39-15:20:46 Page 86 | 15:22:02-15:22:45 Page 88 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 For example, isn't it true that you were | 1 THE COURT: I need to hear the question |
| 2 able to contact Mr. Brown at the time and | 2 again. |
| 3 Mr. O'Connell to discuss strategy that you had with | 3 MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, I will rephrase. |
| 4 respect to the | 4 THE COURT: I was going to say, ask him |
| 5 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form of the | 5 what you want to know. Yeah, I am just missing |
| 6 question as to my negotiating at the hearing | 6 |
| 7 The transcript speaks for itself. | 7 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 8 THE COURT: Overruled | 8 Q. Did your counsel at the hearing negotiate |
| 9 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy | 9 as part of you paying for the Chicago litigation |
| 10 THE COURT: Overruled | 10 the ability to contact counsel in Chicago and give |
| 11 | 11 your opinions and your strategy? |
| 12 Q. As part of your agre | 12 MR. FEAMAN: Same objection, the |
| 13 rephrase the question. As part of your agree | 13 transcript speaks for itself. |
| 14 to make the payment to Mr. Stamos you also had | 14 MS. CRISPIN: I am asking him, Your Honor. |
| 15 the ability, and this was part of what you received | 15 THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 16 at the hearing, to contact the counsel in Chicago | 16 THE WITNESS: Can I see the transcript? |
| 17 and say, hey, have you considered this, I have | 17 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 18 information to help your case? Is that true? | 18 Q. I am asking you, do you know? |
| 19 A. It's not the way I understood it. The | 19 A. Again, I do recall there was conversations |
| 20 arguments that were going back and forth, and agai | 20 about the interaction of the attorneys. And my |
| 21 I am going from my recollection, were privy, I | 21 recollection is Judge Colin said, you guys always |
| 22 think was the word that Mr. Morrissey was using, | 22 get together and talk about things anyway, so I am |
| 23 and what I should and should not be privy to. | 23 not going to get in the way of that. |
| 24 And I think Judge Colin had suggested that | 24 Q. At that hearing you were willing that day |
| 25 attorneys talk about cases all the time. I am not | 25 to pay for the Illinois litigation as long as |
| 15:21:08-15:21:50 Page 87 | 15:22:58-15:23:46 Pag |
| 1 sure that it was discussed or agreed to, although | 1 somebody would intervene on behalf of the estate; |
| 2 that's just my recollection, that we had any input | 2 is that true? |
| 3 with regard to direction, strategy or anything | 3 A. Initially, yes, initially |
| 4 along those lines. That Mr. Brown at that time wa | 4 Q. And when you say initially, what does that |
| 5 the client and that Mr. Stamos was the attorney, | 5 mean? |
| 6 and that was the relationship. | 6 A. I would have to refer to a dictionary, but |
| 7 Q. Mr. Feaman represented you at that | 7 generally speaking initially doesn't mean |
| 8 hearing, correct? | 8 permanently. It means at the beginning initially. |
| 9 A. He did. | 9 Q. Why is it that there's nothing in the |
| 10 Q. And his positions that he put before the | 10 transcript where your counsel on your behalf put |
| 11 court were your positions, correct? | 11 forth when it would be that you would stop paying |
| 12 A. Yes. | 12 for the litigation? |
| 13 Q. So is it true that he asked for the | 13 MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form, asked |
| 14 ability as pursuant to the agreement that you were | 14 for a state of mind of other people why |
| 15 going to make to pay for the Illinois litigation, | 15 something did not happen |
| 16 that he asked for you to be able to pick up the | 16 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 17 phone and call counsel in Chicago and say, hey, | 17 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 18 have you considered this, I have information that | 18 Q. Now, you said that Mr. Stamos offered to |
| 19 might help your case? | 19 Mr. O'Connell a contingency fee or hourly fee |
| 20 MR. FEAMAN: Objectio | 20 arrangement. And you said you thought that was a |
| 21 MS. CRISPIN: That was my question. | 21 benefit that you brought to the estate; is that |
| 22 MR. FEAMAN: A, the transcript speaks for | 22 true? |
| 23 itself. And B, he should be able to read page | 23 A. It is. |
| 24 and line of the transcript if he is being asked | 24 Q. Okay. But that's because -- and that was |
| 25 to comment on something I said at the hearing. | 25 brought to Mr. O'Connell, that was because you |


| 15:24:02-15:25:02 Page 90 | 15:26:12-15:26:53 Page 92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 weren't paying; isn't that true? | 1 THE WITNESS: I have an agreement with |
| 2 A. No, that's not true at all | 2 Mr . Stamos that I would initially fund the |
| 3 Q. So the reason that there would be a waiver | 3 litigation. Mr. Stamos has agreed that he will |
| 4 of outstanding fees so that a contingency fee | 4 take a contingency fee. Mr. Stamos's fee will |
| 5 arrangement could be pursued had nothing to do w | 5 be waived, all hourly fees will be waived. If |
| 6 the fact that you had failed to make payment | 6 the estate chooses not to take a contingency |
| 7 Mr. Stamos | 7 fee, they don't have to; they can do an hourly |
| 8 A. I would have to go back and look at the | 8 fee. So it's up to the estate to figure out |
| 9 record in terms of what was billed and what was | 9 whether they want to have the -- it's a win-win |
| 10 paid through December'ish of 2015 when Mr. Stamos | 10 for them. Either they win because he is able |
| 11 offered Mr. O'Connell, I believe that's when | 11 to collect money for the estate, or he doesn't |
| 12 was, the opportunity to go on a contingency. But | 12 win in which case the estate doesn't spend a |
| 13 my recollection is that the fees were paid | 13 nickle. |
| 14 currently. | 14 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 15 The o | 15 Q. Okay. But right now the estate hasn't |
| 16 benefit to t | 16 entered into a contingency fee arrangement with |
| 17 back the money, or Mr. Stamos is willing to waive | 17 Mr. Stamos, correct? |
| 18 that and just roll it into the contingency fee, why | 18 A. Yeah. That's beyond my comprehension why |
| 19 would I create an extra expense for the estate when | 19 they haven't, but that's another delay that seems |
| 20 I didn't have to? So it seemed silly for me to pay | 20 to go on forever. |
| 21 something to a lawyer that I would have to get paid | 21 MS. CRISPIN: Your Honor, move to strike, |
| 22 back from the estate when he already agreed to | 22 nonresponsive. |
| 23 waive it, and it would only be a cost item if he | 23 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 24 was able to get a benefit for the estate. | 24 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 25 Q. But you haven't moved here today for you | 25 Q. The answer is, no, they haven't, right? |
| 15:25:15-15:26:00 Page 91 | 15:27:03-15:27:43 Page 93 |
| 1 to change your fee arrangement that you have with | 1 They have not entered into -- Mr. O'Connell has not |
| 2 the estate which currently you are paying or you | 2 entered into a contingency fee arrangement wit |
| 3 are supposed to be paying, you haven't moved to | 3 Mr. Stamos? |
| 4 convert that into a contingency; is that true? | 4 A. Well, I am not privy to Mr. Stamos's and |
| 5 A. I don't know that I have the right or | 5 Mr . O'Connell's conversations. But if you say they |
| 6 opportunity to do that. I think that's again the | 6 haven't, then I have to believe that they haven't. |
| 7 client is the estate, not Bill Stansbury. I'm just | 7 Q. And you understand that there's an |
| 8 the bank. | 8 outstanding balance in excess of \$30,000? |
| 9 Q. Did you believe currently that you are | 9 A. There's a balance due, yes. |
| 10 obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees? | 10 Q. And do you owe it? Do you believe that |
| 11 MR. FEAMAN: Madam reporter, did you get | 11 you are required to pay it? |
| 12 his last statement in answer to the question, | 12 MR. FEAMAN: Calls for a legal conclusion, |
| 13 "I am just the banker"? | 13 objection. |
| 14 THE REPORTER: I heard "I'm just the | 14 THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 15 bank." | 15 THE WITNESS: I think when the estate ha |
| 16 MR. FEAMAN: Okay | 16 the opportunity to roll that fee into a |
| 17 THE COURT: That's what he said | 17 contingency agreement, then for me to pay it |
| 18 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. | 18 would be irresponsible on my part. |
| 19 THE WITNESS: Say it again. | 19 BY MS. CRISPIN: |
| 20 MS. CRISPIN: Madam court reporter, | 20 Q. That's not what I am asking you. My |
| 21 please. | 21 question is they are currently owed over -- |
| 22 (The following portion of the record was | 22 Mr. Stamos is currently owed over \$30,000. Are you |
| 23 read back.) | 23 obligated to pay it? |
| 24 "Q. Did you believe currently that you | 24 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, asked and |
| 25 are obligated to pay Mr. Stamos's fees?" | 25 answered. |
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