

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	6
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND FACTS.....	7
ARGUMENT	22
Argument 1 -.....	22
AS THERE WAS NO “EVIDENCE” RECEIVED OR OFFERED AT THE UMC HEARING, THE ORDER ON APPEAL LACKS COMPETENT SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE ORDER WHICH MUST BE REVERSED AND VACATED AS VOID.	22
Argument 2 -.....	25
THE ORDER IS VOID AS A RESULT OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS AND MUST BE REVERSED AND VACATED.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	34
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	35
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	35
SERVICE LIST	36

TABLE OF CITATIONS

Cases

Acoustic Innovations, Inc. v. Schafer, 976 So. 2d 1139, 1143 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008)	5
Aoude v. Mobil Oil Corp., 892 F.2d 1115, 1118 (1st Cir. 1989).....	26
Bengisu v. Bengisu, 12 So. 3d 283, 286 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009)	22
Boyd v.	
Gosser, 78 Fla. 64, 82 So. 758 (1918)	24
Browne v. State, 132 So. 3d 312, 316 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014)	5
C.M. Life Ins. Co. v. Ortega, 562 So.2d 702 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990), rev. denied, 576 So.2d 289 (Fla. 1991)	24
Cox v. Burke, 706 So. 2d 43, 47 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998).....	26, 27, 33
DORIS RICH CORYA, as Trustee of the Sanders Trust, et al v. Roy Sanders, Nos. 4D12-3067 and 4D12- 3926 (4th DCA Nov. 2014	29
DORIS RICH CORYA, as Trustee of the Sanders Trust, et al v. Roy Sanders, Nos. 4D12-3067 and 4D12- 3926 (4th DCA Nov. 2014).....	5, 29

Faircloth v Bliss, 917 So. 2d 1005 (2006).....	24
Fuller v. Fuller, 23 Fla. 236, 2 So. 426 (1887)	24
Hanono v. Murphy, 723 So. 2d 892, 895 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998).....	26, 33
Heard v. Perales, 189 So. 3d 834, 836 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015).....	23
Hendrix v. Department Stores National Bank, 4D14-1612 (Fla. App. 4 Dist. 9- 30-2015).....	25, 26
Hilgendorf v. ESTATE OF THELMA COLEMAN and JENNILYNN K. SMITH, No. 4D15-4870 (4th DCA Oct. 2016).....	27, 28
Holt v. Calchas, LLC, 155 So. 3d 499, 503 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015).....	4
Howell v. Blackburn, 100 Fla. 114, 129 So. 341 (1930).....	24
Id. at 1169 (quoting Malzahn v. Malzahn, 29 541 So. 2d 1359, 1360 (Fla. 4th DCA 1989)	32
In re Estate of Sterile, 902 So. 2d 915, 922 (Fla. 2d DCA 2005).....	5
Infante v. Vantage Plus Corp., 27 So.3d 678, 680 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009)	26
JOELLE SAWAYA, Appellant, v. MORRIS KENT THOMPSON, Appellee. No. 4D15-841 [November 30, 2016].....	31, 32
John D.C. v. State, 16 Fla. 554 (1878)	24
K.G. v. Fla. Dep't of Children & Families, 66 So. 3d 366 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011)	25
Kornblum v. Schneider, 609 So. 2d 138, 139 (Fla. 4th DCA 1992).....	26, 33
McCormick v. Cox, 118 So. 3d 980, 986-87 (Fla. 3d DCA 2013)	29
Metropolitan Dade County v. Martinsen, 736 So. 2d 794, 795 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999).....	26, 33
Miller v. First American Bank & Trust 607 So.2d 483 (4th DCA 1992).....	24
Minakan v. Husted, 27 So. 3d 695 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010).....	25
Moore v. Kelso-Moore, 152 So. 3d 681, 682-83 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014)	22
Mullins v. Mullins, 799 So. 2d 450, 451 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001)	22
Murphy v. Ridgard, 757 So. 2d 607, 608 (Fla. 5th DCA 2000).....	31
Newman v. Smith,77 Fla. 633, 650, 82 So. 236, 241 (1918).....	23
O'Vahey v. Miller, 644 So. 2d 550, 551 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994).....	26, 33
Pedraja v. Garcia, 667 So. 2d 461, 462 (Fla. 4th DCA 1996).....	22
Piluso v. Piluso, 622 So. 2d 117, 118 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993).....	22
Shiver v. Wharton, 9 So.3d 687, 690 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009)	25, 32
Sorrels v. McNally, 89 Fla. 457, 105 So. 106, 107 (Fla.1925)	28
Sperduto v. Household Realty Corp., 585 So. 2d 1168 (Fla. 4th DCA 1991).....	32
Tannenbaum v. Shea, No. 4D13-1368 (4th DCA 2014).....	25, 32
Uhley v. Tapio Constr. Co., Inc., 573 So.2d 390 (Fla. 4th DCA),rev. denied, 583 So.2d 1037 (Fla. 1991)	24
Van Maerssen v Gerdts, No. 4D15-3910 (4th DCA March 2017)	22
Van Maerssen v. Gerdts, No. 4D15-3910 (4th DCA March 2017)	22
Viets v. Am. Recruiters Enters., 922 So.2d 1090, 1095 (Fla. 4th DCA 2006).....	25, 32
Vollmer v. Key Dev. Props., 966 So.2d 1022, 1027 (Fla. 2nd DCA 2007).....	25
Vollmer v. Key Dev. Props., Inc., 966 So. 2d 1022, 1027 (Fla. 2d DCA 2007)	25
Washburn v. Washburn, No. 4D16-1299 (4th DCA Jan. 2017).....	22, 23
Statutes	
§ 736.1001(1), Fla. Stat. (2008)	28
§ 736.1002(1).....	29
§736.0603(1), Fla. Stat.....	27

§736.0603(1), Florida Statutes (2007).....	27
736.1013(2), Fla. Stat. (2008)	29
Brundage v. Bank of America, 996 So. 2d 877 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008)	27

Other Authorities

5th and 14th Amendments of the United States Constitution	6
Florida Constitution	6

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is an Appeal to the 4th District Court of Appeals of the Order of Judge Phillips dated Sept. 1, 2016. Eliot Bernstein is the Appellant. Ted Bernstein, purporting to act as the Trustee of the Shirley Bernstein Trust, is the Appellee.

The Record on Appeal shall be designated as ROA, including any prior supplements. An additional supplemental Appendix is attached by Appellant.

Abuse of discretion and lack of competent, substantial evidence is the standard of review. “The standard of review for evidentiary rulings is abuse of discretion.” Holt v. Calchas, LLC, 155 So. 3d 499, 503 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015). However, whether evidence is hearsay and whether evidence fits within an exception to the hearsay rule are questions of law reviewed de novo. Browne v. State, 132 So. 3d 312, 316 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014). Those based on findings of fact from disputed evidence are reviewed for competent, substantial evidence. Acoustic Innovations, Inc. v. Schafer, 976 So. 2d 1139, 1143 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008); In re Estate of Sterile,