IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
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CASE NO. 502012 CP 004391 XXXX SB
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561.245.8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv
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IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT
    IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
                CASE NO: 502012CP4391XXXXNB
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IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

Deceased.
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PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE JOHN PHILLIPS

DATE: September 15, 2015

TIME: 9:27 a.m. to 10:32 a.m.

1 APPEARANCES:

2

11 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF MOLLY SIMON, et al:

12 JOHN MORRISSEY, ESQ. MORRISSEY LAW

13330 Clematis Street, 213
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE:

APPEARING OF BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY:

PETER FEAMAN, ESQ.
PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.
3695 Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
Boynton Beach, FL 33436

25

15 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED $S$. BERNSTEIN:

16 ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ.
PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD \& ROSE, P.A.
17505 S. Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
18

19

20
KENNETH S. POLLOCK, ESQ.
SHENDELL \& POLLOCK, P.L.
212700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
Boca Raton, FL 33431
22

23

24
14

APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TESCHER \& SPALLINA:

ALSO PRESENT: Eliot Bernstein

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before Honorable JOHN PHILLIPS, at the Palm Beach County Courthouse, 3188 PGA Blvd., Palm Beach Gardens, County of Palm Beach, state of Florida, on Tuesday, the 15 th day of September, 2015 , to wit:

THE COURT: We're here on the simon Bernstein case; is that right?

MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes, Judge.
THE COURT: This ended up in this division of the Court because of a recusal from somebody else in another division of the Court, right?

MR. FEAMAN: That raises an interesting point. Peter Feaman on behalf of William Stansbury, a creditor of the estate. I was late coming in. Mr. O'Connell is late. All the attorneys and the litigants are either in West Palm or south. I respectfully don't understand how we ended up here in the north branch. Should we set it back to the main branch?

THE COURT: No. That would be judge shopping. When somebody recuses themselves then it's randomly reassigned. I was verifying
this isn't a case that started out with me. It's a case that started out with somebody else.

MR. FEAMAN: Judge Colin, actually, specifically said in his recusal order north branch, which $I$ didn't understand.

THE COURT: That's what the 4 th DCA is for. I'm not here to question some other judge's order. You won't have me saying he was wrong. I'm not the appellate judge. If somebody made a mistake and you all think there's relief that should be granted to correct his mistake that's what the 4 th is for. Please have a seat.

We're here because somebody else is not the judge in the case anymore and $I$ am, right? MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: We'll go to the next step. This is a case management conference. What is it that $I$ need to do to manage the case? I received the trustees' status report which is lengthy and comprehensive. I've read that. Other than being brought up to speed by having read that report what else needs to be resolved to get this case done?

MR. ROSE: Good morning. I'm Alan Rose. Can I speak from here?

THE COURT: You can. MR. ROSE: I'm not planning on doing the whole hearing, but briefly there are, technically, four other cases that all were assigned. I think we've noticed a status conference in all four cases.

There are two estates. The Simon Bernstein that Your Honor mentioned, he died in 2012 .

THE COURT: Then there's the wife who pre-deceased him, has a case, and I've been asked to consider -- one of the things that needs to be done is the closing of that estate. MR. ROSE: Correct. She died in 2010. Each of those estates builds into a trust, so there's technically four pieces of pending litigation; an estate of Shirley, a Shirley trust construction, and an estate of Simon and claim in the simon trusts for the removal of my client. Those are the four separate matters. And then we came before you -- when Judge Colin recused himself there were pending motions counsel thought best to come and get some sort
of order.
The one thing that we believe, at least which was in the status report which should be addressed fairly early on, is whether we're going to have a guardian ad litem for the three minor children that are represented by Eliot Bernstein, and try to bring some order to this case which $I$ think was a little bit out of control in Judge Colin's courtroom.

THE COURT: Is there a motion for appointment of a GAL? Has a motion been filed by someone?

MR. ROSE: I think the -- my understanding is the beneficiaries were about to file one. I don't think they filed yet. There is a pending motion to appoint an attorney for the children. It's sort of a similar issue. Maybe Mr. O'Connell can -- it's on one of his lists of motions.

And then there's -- I think the main thing we need to discuss is what order we're going to do the hearings in because along with the guardian ad litem it's our position the first thing we should decide, since almost every motion you're going to hear on Mr . O'Connell's
list is filed by Eliot Bernstein, is he's not a beneficiary. We have a one-count complaint to determine the validity of the documents. And under the documents, as drafted, he's disinherited. He's not a beneficiary under any way and if you remove his standing then $I$ believe we can go to mediation and resolve almost all of these motions without taking up, probably, two or three weeks of the Court's time.

THE COURT: Well, I noticed in the trustee's status report that there was mentioned several times that he's not a beneficiary. So has there been an order that establishes that or is that just the position that's being argued by the --

MR. ROSE: Well, the documents themselves, the operative document, for example, simon Bernstein's will -- the sole beneficiary is the trust. Simon Bernstein's trust the soul beneficiaries are his ten grandchildren. Shirley Bernstein's will, the sole beneficiary is her trust. Shirley Bernstein's trust gave Simon Bernstein the power of appointment to appoint and he appointed to his grandchildren.

So what we filed was a one-count complaint to determine those documents. We actually filed a trust construction action. Judge Colin advised us to file - to add a count. We added one count to determine the validity of those documents. It's been answered by everybody, and what Judge Colin did was he severed that one count from everything else and he stayed everything else until we resolved that one count. That's the issue that we believe, if you resolve that issue first, a lot of the stuff would go away and that was part of the purpose of the status conference. The parties can't, among themselves, agree what issues should be heard first. If you did that issue, either if he has standing or he doesn't, if he doesn't have standing we'll good through hundreds of thousands of dollars of legal fees resolving motions that he filed if he lacked standing.

I think if you couple it with a motion for a guardian ad litem there is a motion pending in a fifth case, the Oppenheimer case, that's also before you, not today, for a guardian ad litem. Judge Colin deferred on that. I
believe Mr. Morrissey's clients are going to move for a guardian ad litem. I believe Mr. Eliot Bernstein, in his papers, has indicated that he has a conflict with his children and they should have a lawyer and a guardian representing them. He can speak for himself to that point.

Those are the two issues we think should go first. If it happens first this case would become much more manageable and can even be resolved because, as we indicated in our report, these are relatively small estates.

There was a belief that's driving this that there was $\$ 100$ million left behind but they left behind modest estates. Over time we've been trying to sell property and trying to narrow things and all we've been doing is spending attorneys' fees between a curator --

THE COURT: I just want to figure out what's on the judicial plate that needs to be addressed.

MR. ROSE: That's what we think should happen first, those two issues, and everything else will fall into place.

THE COURT: What is the name or where is
the document to be found that has this single count for determination of validity of estate documents or trust documents that was severed out by Judge Colin?

MR. ROSE: It's in case 5020143698 --
THE COURT: What are the two letters in between the 14 and the 36 MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, CPOO3698XXX and now

THE COURT: I don't need that stuff. What's the docket entry number?

MS. FOGLIETTA: The filing number?
THE COURT: I want to know where to find this thing that seems to be one of the first things --

MS. FOGLIETTA: Are you talking about the amended complaint? I have a copy.

MR. ROSE: Just the docket entry, if you don't mind.

THE COURT: I have a computer here so don't think I'm being rude if $I$ look away from you all.

MR. ROSE: It was filed October 3, 2013. MS. FOGLIETTA: I have a copy. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make an
objection?
THE COURT: Who are you?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm Eliot Bernstein.

THE COURT: You can't object yet.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make a
statement?

THE COURT: Not yet. I'm looking at this computer screen trying to find the docket. Everybody, please be seated. You're making me nervous.

I'm just scrolling through the attorneys. I haven't even gotten to the pleadings yet. I'm looking for a pleading or an order entered October 3rd.

MR. ROSE: An amended complaint.
THE COURT: I have an amended complaint by Ted Bernstein. MR. ROSE: Yes.

THE COURT: And in that amended complaint is the count that was referred to. It's Count II?

MR. ROSE: I believe it is, Sir.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ROSE: Page 13 is the actual - the count itself incorporates the allegations and
the documents.
THE COURT: All right. Count II starts at Paragraph 79 of the document?

MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And then at some point in time you say Judge Colin severed out this count and said it should be heard separately. Is that --

MR. ROSE: He severed it and stayed --
THE COURT: Do you know when the order was entered on that?

MR. ROSE: 10-6 according to the chart from --

THE COURT: 10-6-14?
MR. ROSE: Yes. It says order on amendments to pleadings. There might be an order that predates that.

MS. FOGLIETTA: I do have a copy of it.
THE COURT: The other is almost the very next docket entry. The amended petition is Docket Entry 26. The order is Docket Entry 27.

MR. ROSE: Specifically Paragraph 3 on Page 2.

THE COURT: There was a response filed by Mr. Bernstein and the other defendants. Are

1

2
those things that happened?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What case? Is this Shirley Bernstein --

THE COURT: Case Number 14CP3698.
MR. ROSE: Everyone has either answered or been defaulted and I noticed the case for trial.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we here for Simon Bernstein? I'm confused. I'm not prepared for Shirley Bernstein's case today. Can I raise another point, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I only do one thing at a time.
You must stop.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What?
THE COURT: You must stop. I do one thing at a time. You're not that thing yet.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: This is a case management conference. I'm not deciding anything. I do decide that I'm the one that runs this courtroom so I don't have people jumping up and blurting things out. That doesn't help me orderly go through figuring out what the problem is and how to attack and resolve the problem. My specialty is wrestling stuff to
the ground and resolving it. That's what I'm going to do in this case and that's what $I$ do in every case. This is a bigger one to wrestle to the ground than some other ones but there's no octopus case that I've ever met that I haven't been able to figure out sooner or later. The only way $I$ can do that is talk to one person at a time. We'll figure out one thing at a time. I'm not a smart guy but I'm persistent. All these guys know me. I'm looking you in the eye because you haven't met me before, right? Sir, yes, you haven't met me?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know me. These other attorneys do because they're in court in front of me on other cases where I've done the same thing. I'm too stupid to -well, I'm stupid. I take one thing at a time and I make sure $I$ know what I'm doing and I go to the next thing. I try to be courteous to everybody. I try to make sure everybody is heard. I demand that people be courteous to me in return. I don't take any crap. In that method of proceeding we get through whatever is
uncomfortable, whatever is messed up, whatever is complex. We simplify it down enough for me to understand it and then we resolve it. That's what is going to happen in this case. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So my question is -THE COURT: I told you I'm not talking to you yet. $I$ was talking to you to tell you what I'm doing so you're not mystified, but now you sit silently until it's my time to talk to you. Right now I'm talking to some other people. Okay, so --

MR. ROSE: May I approach --
THE COURT: -- the trustees believe the
first thing that needs to be done is the resolution of this order that was entered by Judge Colin severing out the count and the amended complaint that deals with the validity of the testamentary documents, correct?

MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Does anybody
object to that issue being resolved first in the order of events in this sequence of cases? MR. O'CONNELL: Are you ready for me? THE COURT: Yeah, $I$ just want to know if there's any objection to having that issue
heard and resolved first. That's the issue that I'm chewing on right now.

MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I wouldn't call it an objection, but I'd like to be able to explain my role in it and these other motions.

THE COURT: Well, first $I$ want to know if there's any reason $I$ should attack this as the first order of business in setting a trial or hearing to have it resolved. Do you have any objection?

MR. O'CONNELL: I wouldn't object to that.
THE COURT: All right. Does anybody else seated at the tables have any objection?

MR. FEAMAN: May it please the Court. Peter Feaman on behalf of William Stansbury. He's a $\$ 2.5$ million creditor of the estate of Simon Bernstein.

We're here in the estate of simon Bernstein and it's the position of Mr. Stansbury that a removal of Ted Bernstein as successor trustee should be heard first.

THE COURT: Okay. Why?
MR. FEAMAN: The reason for that is if that issue is determined one way or the other we believe that is the linchpin to then
resolving probably all the other issues in this case.

THE COURT: The trustee believes the issue to resolving many of the issues is to determine whether Eliot -- I'm using first names, I'm sorry. Is it Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You can call me Eliot.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't mean to be disrespectful. I don't want to do that.

The trustee's thought is that resolving whether Eliot has any standing to be involved in the litigation is key. You're saying that's not key, it's something else that's key? What else is it that you're suggesting is the key issue to be resolved?

MR. FEAMAN: Because that's the Shirley Bernstein trust. The matter that is before Your Honor today is the estate of Simon Bernstein, and Simon Bernstein had a separate trust which was different from the Shirley Bernstein trust and the -- most of the assets are in the simon Bernstein trust which then had the pour-over will into -- most of the assets are in the Simon Bernstein estate and then had
the pour-over will into the trust and that's -that's the matter that is the most significant, in my humble opinion, that is before Your Honor is the simon Bernstein estate and the simon Bernstein trust. It's the opinion of Mr. Stansbury that Mr. Ted Bernstein, as a successor trustee to the Simon Bernstein trust, should be heard first.

THE COURT: Let me ask this: How is it that there is an order by Judge Colin severing out this count about the validity of some estate documents in the simon Bernstein case if the documents in question were filed in a different estate? Maybe the trustee can address that. MR. ROSE: Sure. THE COURT: What's up with that? MR. ROSE: We have a trust construction count that was to determine the validity and then the construction of the Shirley Bernstein trust. Within that claim, because there's an overlap of issues there, the standing issue is the same in both. What Judge Colin ordered me to do was to file an additional count into that complaint. Everyone was properly noticed. We
already had the jurisdiction over all the beneficiaries, those that answered, those that did not. Nobody moved to dismiss upon the ground that it's not properly in one case, and so because there's a direct overlap between documents that were executed and the validity of those documents, and the validity of the will of Simon directly relates to the validity of the exercise of power of appointment because he exercised his power through his will. So what Judge Colin did was he ordered me to file a simple one-count complaint, as simple as it could be, list the four documents and allege that they're all valid and enforceable. In the context of trying that issue you will decide whether, for example, simon Bernstein was unduly influenced, if that's an allegation, to execute the power of appointment. The power of appointment is what deprives Mr. Eliot Bernstein of standing. Judge colin ordered us all put it all in this count. He then stayed everything else and severed that and we're supposed to try that and we get bogged down constantly in --

THE COURT: Don't get sidetracked or I'll
get confused and disaster happens.
Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, you've got an objection to the trial of the issue about the validity of the estate documents that's just been discussed?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: What's your objection?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Several, with that being the first thing. The first part is that Mr. O'Connell has filed with the court in the Simon Bernstein estate nothing to be done with Ted Bernstein as trustee because Mr. O'Connell and Mr. Feaman, two prominent lawyers that you know, have claimed that the document itself that they're operating under precludes Ted Bernstein from being a trustee. The language says he can't be a related party --

THE COURT: You got to get back to my question

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem

THE COURT: No. I'm the one that's telling you the question I'd like you to answer. Remember $I$ told you $I$ chew on one tiny thing at a time. $I$ don't want to get confused.

I might make a mistake if $I$ get confused.
This is the thing I'm trying to establish in my mind now: What is your objection to trying the issue about the validity of the estate documents that are found in count II of the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, $I$ mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. so he's got a conflict in arguing a construction --

THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have -- how can we hear his --

THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't hear --

THE COURT: Stop. Please be seated. You failed to answer my question. You got something else on your mind that doesn't address what I'm trying to figure out.

Is it true that Judge Colin issued a stay order on the other parts of the litigation and it intended -- somehow he manifested an intention to resolve the validity of the estate documents? Is there an order that says that somewhere?

MR. ROSE: I think that goes too far. There are multiple proceedings. He severed this count --

THE COURT: I got that.

MR. ROSE: It's our view that that should be what is decided --

THE COURT: I know. But you said a minute ago that he stayed other proceedings. Is there an order that says that? Where do $I$ find that order?

MR. ROSE: It's the one that you looked at, October 6th. It stays the rest of the proceedings inside the shirley Bernstein trust construction case. It doesn't stay everything in the Simon Bernstein side.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: That's what $I$ was clarifying.
THE COURT: Okay. You've been living with
these cases for several years.
MR. ROSE: Yes.
THE COURT: I've been living with them for 30 minutes so I'm not as intimately familiar with the ins and outs of what's going on here. I'm not even familiar with everybody's names, so $I$ apologize to you for that.

Well, then there's no reason for me not to set a trial on that Count II of the amended complaint, right? I'll do that whether everybody wants me to do or not that way I'll get something done and that way we'll move down the road. That will be done. Court to order set. How much time you think we need to try that?

MR. ROSE: Normally $I$ would think we can try the case within a day.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody think we need a different amount of time?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I think it will take several days. THE COURT: Why?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, you're going to have to first start with is Ted Bernstein a valid trustee to argue the case. So that's --

THE COURT: No, I won't have to decide that.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You want somebody to argue who's not valid --

THE COURT: What else? Any other issue? Is there any other issue that's going to take more than a day?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's very complicated.

THE COURT: No, this isn't going to be complicated.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: It's not. There's documents, pieces of paper that somebody claims were executed or not executed.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: There's been fraud in the document.

THE COURT: I was explaining to you something. If you interrupt me you can be held in contempt. If I interrupt you I'm keeping order in my courtroom. You see the difference there? This is not a conversation. Okay. No
need for me to explain anything further. I intend to set this for trial. I intend to set it for a day. I intend that issue of the validity of the estate documents will be resolved in that trial. Is there any reason to not think $I$ can do that in a day other than what Mr. Eliot Bernstein has mentioned?

MR. FEAMAN: On behalf of Mr. Stansbury we have no involvement in the Shirley Bernstein estate.

THE COURT: So you don't care what $I$ do. MR. ROSE: Mr. O'Connell is a party, he's intervening because of the overlap of the power of appointment. I can't speak for him but I want to make sure he agrees that a day is enough. We are all bad estimators.

THE COURT: I asked this question to the entire courtroom. If anybody thinks differently then what I'm getting ready to do you're supposed to say something. He hasn't said anything.

MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, John Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren who will ultimately be beneficiaries under the trust document.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MORRISSEY: So certainly my clients have an interest here in what's going on. I just want to let Your Honor know, because I don't think -- I hope Mr. Feaman is not misleading the Court. On two occasions so far he said that he represents a creditor of the estate, that's incorrect.

THE COURT: William Stansbury.
MR. MORRISSEY: Correct. William
Stansbury is not a creditor of the estate. He's someone who filed a claim in the estate. An objection was filed by the personal representative, or counsel for the personal representative, which means that Mr. Stansbury had 30 days to run off and file his lawsuit which he's done. He's not done anything with that separate civil litigation. It's not been reduced to a judgment. He is not a creditor, therefore, Judge, he does not have standing not only with respect to the validity of the documents but with respect to anything else in these various litigations.

THE COURT: That's not helping me figure out how much time $I$ need to set aside for this
trial.
MR. MORRISSEY: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: When I'm telling you I'm a simple guy I'm not being modest. I'm just being truthful. That's where I'm at. I'm going to write down what $I$ do next when $I$ leave this room. What $I$ do next when $I$ leave this room is tell my judicial assistant to reserve a day, set this trial date, send you notices. Bang. That thing is done. So that's why $I$ want to stick with this. Do you have any objection to that?

MR. MORRISSEY: NO.
THE COURT: Okay. Great. This is the way I intend to proceed -- I love Marty Colin. This guy is a judge that's been around a long time. I know him. He's an entirely different guy than me. I expect that your experience with Judge Colin has been different than sitting here with me. Am I right? I never appeared in front of him as a judge - I never appeared in front of him while he's a judge and while $I$ was a lawyer. He appeared in front of me while he was a lawyer and $I$ was a judge. I don't know how he is as a judge but $I$ am pretty
sure he's a different guy than me. Nice guy. I like him. But we're different judges. Your experiences with Judge Colin, put them aside. You're having an experience with me now. We have to do it the way $I$ do it or else I'll mess up.

The second thing $I$ have on my list of things to ask you about that I've been jotting down here is this request for guardian ad litem. $I$ think $I$ remember asking and being told that no one has filed a formal request for appointment of a guardian ad litem; is that correct?

MR. O'CONNELL: Correct.
MR. ROSE: In these four cases no one has done that yet.

THE COURT: Okay. Am I going to?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe they have, actually.

THE COURT: When was it filed? What docket entry?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. It was denied a long time ago by Tescher and Spallina, the guys that were removed for fraud in the court. They tried to put guardians on

THE COURT: No, no, no. You see I don't want all the other baggage. I just want the answer to that question. When was it filed?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. At the beginning.

THE COURT: At the beginning. That takes me to the bottom. That slows down progress on our case management conference. I will go through it. What was the title of the pleading?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. I don't think Joy's records went back that far.

MS. FOGLIETTA: We pulled things that were pending, Judge. I don't have that.

MR. MORRISSEY: On behalf of the four adult grandchildren it's our intention to file one. We were hoping to file one before today's hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Since that hasn't been filed then $I$ 'm not taking action on it. That's my practice. If there's something filed I'll move towards getting it resolved. If it's not been filed and it's just in somebody's mind $I$ find that it's difficult to take any action.

I'm crossing that off my list.
There's a pending motion to appoint attorneys -- an attorney for the children. Is that an attorney ad litem?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: An attorney for my children.

THE COURT: Who filed that motion? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Me.

THE COURT: When did you file? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Just to pay the fees for counsel for my children. THE COURT: When did you file it is what I'm trying to figure it out. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: A while ago. THE COURT: Any closer estimate than that? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've been filing that since the first petition in this case in May of 2013 which still isn't heard. THE COURT: May of 2013 is when you filed it?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. MR. O'CONNELL: We think we found one August 28, 2014 in the Simon Bernstein estate. THE COURT: The Simon Bernstein estate is the only one $I$ got up on the computer. The
only thing that happened on August 20 th is an order by Judge Colin maybe.

MR. O'CONNELL: 28th, sorry, Your Honor, 2-8.

THE COURT: Okay. I just got my trifocals reissued. These are the old ones so an 8 and a 0 look alike. I'm moving my head and trying to focus. Bear with me a second.

I don't see anything anywhere near the 28 th of August of '14. Is that the year, '14? MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. It says, "Motion to compel estates of Simon and Shirley to pay counsel for Eliot and his minor children." MS. FOGLIETTA: That's in case number -THE COURT: Well, I don't see any motion with that description. Perhaps the Court doesn't have it scanned in or something. Who knows. Anybody have a paper copy of it that I can look at?

MS. FOGLIETTA: I do.
THE COURT: I wouldn't mind looking at a paper copy if you got one handy. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. THE COURT: And was there a ruling on this motion for having the estate pay for attorneys
for Eliot and his minor children? Has there been an order on this?

MR. O'CONNELL: Not that I'm aware of, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Was there ever a hearing? MR. ROSE: I don't believe it was set for hearing. That was alluded to that

Mr. Bernstein had requested an attorney for his children and I would suggest that -- subject to -- I don't think there was an objection from anyone -- it's not appropriate to appoint an attorney for his children. If you appoint a guardian ad litem to represent his children then the guardian ad litem has the power to go out and retain counsel and to accomplish the relief that's sought. We don't believe it's appropriate though for Mr. Bernstein himself, but certainly his children who are beneficiaries should have --

THE COURT: All right. It looks like this motion just asks for money. It's not asking for the appointment of counsel. Mr. Eliot is seeking the issuance of money from the trust for the estate. He alludes to the children needing an attorney but he doesn't ask for one to be appointed. He asks if he can be given money.

There's an order $I$ see, Docket Entry 24, where Judge Colin prohibits any new filings. I've not read the order yet but $I$ see the title of the order takes up 20 lines of docket entry here in our computer program. I hope the order is shorter than the title.

MR. O'CONNELL: We got it for Your Honor. (Handing)

THE COURT: Now are these copies ones I should return to you all or can $I$ keep these? MS. FOGLIETTA: You can keep them.

THE COURT: Thanks. Judge Colin had a case management conference. It's a case management order. How about that. It's a great order. He must have been having problems with the progress of this case to issue an order like that. That was at Docket Entry Number 24 which leads me to ask this question, perhaps foolishly, and that's the question if this order was entered by Judge Colin in September of 2014 at Docket Entry Number 24 how come we're up to 82 docket entries and other petitions and things and stuff being filed?

Did he disregard the order, because I think it's a great order, or did something else happen that $I$ don't know about that changed the order, or did he retract the order?

MR. O'CONNELL: Let me try to help there. Just so you can get my position in all this, 1 want to explain. I am a successor personal representative in the simon Bernstein estate, so that's my universe in terms of this matter. I got over a year at this point that I've been involved in that capacity. With regard to that particular order the way everyone has interpreted it is it has to do with anyone to institute new litigation, a new adversary matter they would have to go before Judge Colin, because we certainly have filed, on an administrative level, a number of motions of things that needed to happen.

THE COURT: Administrative stuff is allowed to happen.

MR. O'CONNELL: To go to your good question, well, why are there so many items, not that we filed a ton of motions and petitions but certainly, on my behalf, there are definitely some that we have filed.

THE COURT: Docket Entry Number 41 there is a petition to remove Theodore Stuart Bernstein as alleged successor trustee filed by Eliot Bernstein. How did that get filed? Did Judge Colin approved that?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that.
THE COURT: Say that again?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that.
THE COURT: So there was a hearing that he authorized this petition to be filed?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. And then a new case was started. He ordered a new case to remove $T$ ed and we're in the middle of that. That's one of the cases.

Just to clarify something, I'm still confused, the first part about the hearing you're ordering, that's not --

THE COURT: We're not on that subject. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we on Simon's case or Shirley's case? I'm confused by that. THE COURT: I'm confused too. Welcome to my world.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Welcome to mine.
THE COURT: We're going to eliminate some of the confusion by trying some of these things
pled in this case and one of them that's been pled is Count II of the amended petition of Docket Entry 26 that Judge Colin severed out and said is going to be tried separately.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's in Shirley.
THE COURT: I'm telling you what I'm doing. You asked me what I'm doing, to clarify what I'm doing. I just told you.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
MR. ROSE: If $I$ can, just briefly with that, what Judge Colin was doing is you can fax him the motion or bring it to his attention THE COURT: He uses fax? Okay. He is a dinosaur.

MR. ROSE: He would give permission that something could be filed or not filed. We had to go through the extra step of sending him in advance, or asking permission if $I$ wanted to file a motion to approve a sale or whatever we had to get his permission in advance.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I find there's no pending motion for appointment of attorneys for the children so I'm striking that off my list.

Now back to the william stansbury claim
regarding the estate of Simon Bernstein. What is the pleading that sets up any claim that needs to be adjudicated in that case that was not already set? It's the one thing that you're not involved in. What about the claim you said that William Stansbury has? MR. FEAMAN: That's a separate action that was filed and is pending before Judge Blanc in the general jurisdiction division. THE COURT: Okay. So Blanc will figure that one out, right? MR. FEAMAN: And the estate is a defendant.

THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out what I have to set. Blanc has that one, right? MR. FEAMAN: Yes, yes, Your Honor. The only thing, with regard to Mr. Stansbury, I believe, is Mr. Stansbury has filed a motion to discharge him from responsibility for funding the estate's participation in some Chicago litigation, and that should be borne by the estate, but that's already set before Your Honor on October 20 th in the special set hearing. THE COURT: When was that set? When did
the document hit the court records when -setting that hearing?

MR. FEAMAN: I'd say ten days ago. It was set for the day after tomorrow and it had to be reset at my request due to a conflict, and then it was set October 20,2015 pursuant to a notice of hearing $I$ believe our office sent out, I believe, ten days ago, approximately. THE COURT: That would be in case number what?

MR. FEAMAN: That would be case Number 124391CP - 12 -- 2012CP4391.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's a different case than I have on the computer screen. Let me get that one up.

MR. FEAMAN: That's the case number that actually brings us here today pursuant to notice of hearing filed by Mr. O'Connell, the personal representative of the estate.

THE COURT: Just a second. I've been looking at, apparently, the trust case, 14CP3698.

MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, that's the Shirley trust.

THE COURT: Did you ever see Colin use a
computer in court?
MR. O'CONNELL: Not really.
THE COURT: That's why $I$ call him a
dinosaur. I'd say it to his face trying to get him to be more tech savvy.

I'm scrolling, okay. You see me scrolling with my finger. I've scrolled through all the attorneys. This is more like it. We're up to 386, and roughly ten days ago there was some sort of hearing set. A re-notice of hearing. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That was an objection to an accounting that $I$ filed timely. THE COURT: The notice of hearing, Mr. Feaman, that you scheduled, or you sent out that I'm referring to is called the fifth re-notice of hearing and it sets hearing on the motion of creditor William Stansbury for a hearing on October 20.

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You set aside a 15 -minute period of time for that. Judge Blanc has got the litigation that you referred to in his court and he'll figure that out. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: All right.

MR. FEAMAN: But there's also, with regard, if $I$ may, Your Honor, to Mr. Stansbury's claim, Mr. O'Connell has also filed a motion to enter and approve a settlement agreement between the estate and Mr. Stansbury which is still out there. But related to that is a motion by Mr. O'Connell filed on 7-20-2015 to have Simon Bernstein declared the beneficiary of the JP Morgan IRA account, and the reason it relates to Mr. Stansbury is because the settlement money contemplated to be paid to Mr. Stansbury would come out of that account and there's a question whether that is actually money that should be part of the estate or not so before we actually wanted to fund the settlement we wanted to - I don't mean to speak for Mr. O'Connell -- we wanted to make sure that that would be appropriate source of funds to fund the settlement so there would be no clawback claims either against Mr. Stansbury or the estate subsequent to the consummation of the settlement.

```
    THE COURT: Is that petition at issue?
    MR. FEAMAN: It -- Mr. O'Connell?
```

MR. O'CONNELL: I don't think it was filed as an adversary matter. It's a free-standing petition.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. O'CONNELL: Everybody has been served with it.

MR. ROSE: For the record we have no objection to that motion being granted. I don't know if anybody objects to the motion. That's certainly something that should be heard if it's objected to very early.

THE COURT: Unless somebody notices it up for hearing, get ready for that.

We've used up all the time $I$ set aside for the Bernstein case. It would sure be nice to spend the rest of my career talking to you about this but $I$ have other people scheduled at 10:30 and $I$ must see them now. Thanks a lot. I'll do my work on setting the trial on the one thing we got and we'll see what happens next.

MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you.
THE COURT: It was fun and look forward to a long list of hearings as well. (Whereupon, the hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m.)
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