IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIV. CASE NO. 50 2012 CP 004391 XXXX SB

IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN, Deceased.

## NOTICE OF FILING DECEMBER 15, 2015 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE JUDGE JOHN PHILLIPS IN THE SIMON BERNSTEIN ESTATE CASE, SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN ESTATE CASE, SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST CASE, SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST CASE

/

COMES NOW, Beneficiary and Interested Person, Eliot Ivan Bernstein ("Eliot"), Pro Se

and hereby gives notice of filing the court reporter transcript of a December 15, 2015 Hearing

before this Court, attached hereto as "Exhibit "25"

Dated: February 16, 2017

#### By: /S/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 561.245.8588 iviewit@iviewit.tv

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished to counsel of record and the proper parties on the attached Service List via the Court's e-portal system or Email Service on this 16th day of February, 2017.

**By: /S/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein** 

Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 561.245.8588 iviewit@iviewit.tv

# SERVICE LIST

Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com	Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@pm-law.com and arose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com	John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 514-0900 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm .com john@pankauskilawfirm.com
Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 rspallina@tescherspallina. com kmoran@tescherspallina.c om ddustin@tescherspallina.c om	Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com	Irwin J. Block, Esq. The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL 700 South Federal Highway Suite 200 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com
Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com	Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspall ina.com	Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com
Peter Feaman, Esquire Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. Boynton Beach, FL 33436 pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.co m	Kimberly Moran kmoran@tescherspallina.com	Julia Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, Her Parents and Natural Guardians 210 I Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com
Carley & Max Friedstein, Minors c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parents and Natural Guardians 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 6003	Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com	Brian M. O'Connell, Esq. Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq. Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell 515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-832-5900-Telephone 561-833-4209 - Facsimile

Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com	Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com; ifoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com; service@ciklinlubitz.com; slobdell@ciklinlibitz.com
·	SERVICE LIST
John P. Morrissey, Esq. 330 Clematis Street, Suite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 833-0766-Telephone (561) 833-0867 -Facsimile Email: John P. Morrissey (iohn@jrnoiTisseylaw.com)	Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 lisa@friedsteins.com
Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite Boynton Beach, FL 33436 (561) 734-5552 -Telephone (561) 734-5554 -Facsimile Email: service@feamanlaw.com: mkoskey@feamanlaw.com	Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com
Gary R. Shendell, Esq. Kenneth S. Pollock, Esq. Shendell & Pollock, P.L. 2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150 Boca Raton, FL 33431 (561)241-2323 - Telephone (561)241-2 Facsimile Email: gary@shendellpollock.com ken@shendellpollock.com britt@shendellpollock.com grs@shendellpollock.com	Counter Defendant Robert Spallina, Esq. Donald Tescher, Esq. Tescher & Spallina 925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432
Brian M. O'Connell, Esq. Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq. Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell 515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-832-5900-Telephone 561-833-4209 - Facsimile Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com; ifoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com; service@ciklinlubitz.com; slobdell@ciklinlibitz.com	Counter Defendant John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com john@pankauskilawfirm.com
Counter Defendant Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard	Counter Defendant Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Wells Fargo Plaza

Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net	925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com
Theodore Stuart Bernstein 880 Berkeley Boca Raton, FL 33487 tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com	Counter Defendant TESCHER & SPALLINA, <i>P.A.</i> . Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com
Theodore Stuart Bernstein Life Insurance Concepts, Inc. 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle Suite 3010 Boca Raton, FL 33487 tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com	Counter Defendant Alan B. Rose, Esq. PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 561-355-6991 arose@pm-law.com arose@mrachek-law.com
Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com	Counter Defendant L. Louis Mrachek, Esq. PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 561-355-6991 Imrachek@mrachek-law.com
Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com	Counter Defendant Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Lisa Sue Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com	Dennis McNamara Executive Vice President and General Counsel Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Corporate Headquarters 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 800-221-5588 Dennis.mcnamara@opco.com info@opco.com
Dennis G. Bedley Chairman of the Board, Director and Chief Executive Officer Legacy Bank of Florida Glades Twin Plaza 2300 Glades Road Suite 120 West – Executive Office Boca Raton, FL 33431 info@legacybankfl.com	Hunt Worth, Esq. President Oppenheimer Trust Company of Delaware 405 Silverside Road Wilmington, DE 19809 302-792-3500 hunt.worth@opco.com

DBedley@LegacyBankFL.com	
James Dimon Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer JP Morgan Chase & CO. 270 Park Ave. New York, NY 10017-2070 Jamie.dimon@jpmchase.com	Neil Wolfson President & Chief Executive Officer Wilmington Trust Company 1100 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19890-0001 nwolfson@wilmingtontrust.com
William McCabe Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. 85 Broad St Fl 25 New York, NY 10004 William.McCabe@opco.com	STP Enterprises, Inc. 303 East Wacker Drive Suite 210 Chicago IL 60601-5210 psimon@stpcorp.com
Charles D. Rubin Managing Partner Gutter Chaves Josepher Rubin Forman Fleisher Miller PA Boca Corporate Center 2101 NW Corporate Blvd., Suite 107 Boca Raton, FL 33431-7343 crubin@floridatax.com	Ralph S. Janvey Krage & Janvey, L.L.P. Federal Court Appointed Receiver Stanford Financial Group 2100 Ross Ave, Dallas, TX 75201 rjanvey@kjllp.com
Kimberly Moran Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 kmoran@tescherspallina.com	Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles Life Insurance Concepts 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle Suite 3010 Boca Raton, FL 33487 lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com
Gerald R. Lewin CBIZ MHM, LLC 1675 N Military Trail Fifth Floor Boca Raton, FL 33486	CBIZ MHM, LLC General Counsel 6480 Rockside Woods Blvd. South Suite 330 Cleveland, OH 44131 ATTN: General Counsel generalcounsel@cbiz.com (216)447-9000
Albert Gortz, Esq. Proskauer Rose LLP One Boca Place 2255 Glades Road Suite 421 Atrium Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360 agortz@proskauer.com	Heritage Union Life Insurance Company A member of WiltonRe Group of Companies 187 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897 cstroup@wiltonre.com
Estate of Simon Bernstein Brian M O'Connell Pa 515 N Flagler Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33401 boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com	Counter Defendant Steven Lessne, Esq. Gray Robinson, PA 225 NE Mizner Blvd #500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 steven.lessne@gray-robinson.com

Byrd F. "Biff" Marshall, Jr. President & Managing Director Gray Robinson, PA 225 NE Mizner Blvd #500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 biff.marshall@gray-robinson.com		Steven A. Lessne, Esq. Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, 777 South Flagler Drive, Suit West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: (561) 650-0545 Facsimile: (561) 655-5677 E-Mail Designations: slessne@gunster.com jhoppel@gunster.com eservice@gunster.com	
T&S Registered Agents, LLC Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com		David Lanciotti Executive VP and General Counsel LaSalle National Trust NA CHICAGO TITLE LAND TRUST COMPANY, as Successor 10 South LaSalle Street Suite 2750 Chicago, IL 60603 David.Lanciotti@ctt.com	
Joseph M. Leccese Chairman Proskauer Rose LLP Eleven Times Square New York, NY 10036 jleccese@proskauer.com		Brian Moynihan Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer 100 N Tryon St #170, Charlotte, NC 28202 Phone:(980) 335-3561	
ADR & MEDIATIONS SERVICES, LLC Diana Lewis 2765 Tecumseh Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33409 (561) 758-3017 Telephone Email: dzlewis@aol.com (Fla. Bar No. 351350)			
SERVICE LIST Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com	Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@pm-law.com and arose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com		John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 514-0900 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm .com john@pankauskilawfirm.com
Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 rspallina@tescherspallina. com	Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com		Irwin J. Block, Esq. The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL 700 South Federal Highway Suite 200 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com

kmoran@tescherspallina.c om ddustin@tescherspallina.c om		
Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com	Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspall ina.com	Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com
Peter Feaman, Esquire Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. Boynton Beach, FL 33436 pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.co m	Kimberly Moran kmoran@tescherspallina.com	Julia Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, Her Parents and Natural Guardians 210 I Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com
Carley & Max Friedstein, Minors c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parents and Natural Guardians 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 6003 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com	Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB

TED BERNSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,

Defendants.

TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 114

Tuesday, December 15, 2015 North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410 9:43 a.m. - 4:48 p.m.

Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198 - VOL 1

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES:
     On behalf of the Plaintiff:
2
          ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE
3
          GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
 4
          MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA
          THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
          505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
 5
          West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
                 561.655.2250
          Phone:
6
          E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
 7
8
     On behalf of the Defendant:
9
          ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
          2753 NW 34th Street
10
          Boca Raton, Florida 33434
          Phone:
                  561.245.8588
11
          E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12
13
     On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael
     Bernstein:
14
          JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
          LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
15
          330 Clematis Street
          Suite 213
16
          West Palm Beach, Florida
17
          Phone: 561.833.0866
          E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Direct Cross Vol 1 December 15, 2015 1 2 INDEX 3 4 5 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS ROBERT SPALLINA 6 BY MR. ROSE: 11 7 BY MR. MORRISSEY: 82 91 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 9 10 11 12 EXHIBITS 13 14 15 DESCRIPTION PAGE NUMBER PLAINTIFF'S EX. 1 COPY OF SHIRLEY'S WILL 16 34 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 2 SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST 62 17 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 3 FIRST AMENDMENT OF SHIRLEY 39 18 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 70 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 4 SI'S NEW WILL 19 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 5 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED 72 AND RESTATED TRUST 20 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 7 DOCUMENT 20 21 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 9 11/16/07 INTAKE SHEET 13 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 10 MEETING NOTES 14 22 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 11 4/19/08 LETTER 27 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 13 NOTES 46 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 14 23 EMAIL FROM ELIOT BERNSTEIN 61 5/24/12 LETTER DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY PLAINTIFF'S EX. 15 64 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 16 24 66 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 17 73 LETTER

25

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 3

- - -EXHIBITS (cont'd) - - -PLAINTIFF'S EX. 18 DEATH CERTIFICATE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 40A-F GREENWALD DOCUMENTS DEFENDANT'S EX. 1 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 102 BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT 

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE COURT: We're here on the Bernstein case.
4	Everybody ready to go?
5	MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes.
6	Alan Rose on behalf of the plaintiff, Ted S.
7	Bernstein, as successor trustee.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. ROSE: And with me is my partner, Greg
10	Weiss. May not be for the whole trial, but he is
11	with us for the beginning.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Well, great. Thanks for
13	coming.
14	And who's on the other side?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se, sir.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to have
17	any counsel? Who's with you at the table?
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's my lovely wife,
19	Candice.
20	THE COURT: All right. And why are you at the
21	table?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's one of the questions I
23	would like to address. I'm here individually.
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued individually.

1	But I'm also here on behalf, supposedly, of my
2	minor children, who aren't represented by counsel.
3	And I'm sued as a trustee of a trust that I've
4	never possessed.
5	THE COURT: Are you asking me a question?
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What's the question?
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are being
9	sued.
10	THE COURT: What's the question?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued as their
12	trustee, but I'm
13	THE COURT: Stop, please.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: I would love to talk with you all
16	day
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: but we're not going to have
19	that happen.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
21	THE COURT: This is not a conversation. This
22	is a trial. So my question is, What is your
23	question? You said you had a question.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: I tried to get counsel for my
25	children who was willing to make a pro hoc vice

1	THE COURT: When will you ask me the question?
2	Because this is all
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like to stay the
4	proceeding.
5	THE COURT: Okay. The request for a
6	continuance is denied. Thank you.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you read the filing I
8	filed? Because my children are minor
9	THE COURT: Was that your question?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are
11	minors
12	THE COURT: Please stop.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: and they're not represented
14	here.
15	THE COURT: What is your name again, sir?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I'll be
18	courteous, unless it doesn't work; then I'll be
19	more direct and more aggressive in enforcing the
20	rules that I follow when I conduct trials.
21	I've asked you several times if you had
22	questions. You finally asked me one, and it was,
23	Did you read my filing? No, I did not. You asked
24	for a continuance. I have denied that because it's
25	untimely.

1	Now I'm turning back to the plaintiff, and
2	we're going forward with this trial. That is one
3	day set on my docket. We're going to have this
4	trial done by the end of the day. You'll have half
5	the time to use as you see fit; so will the other
6	side. I'll not care if you waste it, but I'll not
7	participate in that. Thank you.
8	Now, from the plaintiff's side, what is it
9	that the Court is being asked to decide today?
10	MR. ROSE: Before I answer, could
11	Mr. Morrissey make an appearance, sir?
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, I'm here on behalf of
14	four of the defendants, Judge, four adult
15	grandchildren, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein
16	Michael Bernstein and Molly Simon, all of whom have
17	joined in the plaintiff's complaint today.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Last time I'll ask this
19	question of the plaintiff. What is it that I'm
20	asked to decide today?
21	MR. ROSE: We are asking you to decide whether
22	five testamentary documents are valid, authentic
23	and enforceable. And that is set forth in count
24	two of the amended complaint in this action. The
25	five documents are a 2008 will of Shirley

1	Bernstein, a 2008 trust of Shirley Bernstein, and
2	an amendment by Shirley Bernstein to her 2008
3	trust.
4	THE COURT: When was the amendment?
5	MR. ROSE: Amendment was in November of 2008.
6	THE COURT: All right. So there's also a 2008
7	amendment?
8	MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. In fact, I have a I
9	don't know if you can read it, but I did put up
10	here on the there are seven testamentary
11	documents. We believe five of them to be valid and
12	operative, and two of them to have been with
13	revoked by later documents.
14	So for Shirley, there are three documents that
15	count two seeks you to determine are valid,
16	authentic and enforceable according to their terms.
17	And for Simon Bernstein, he has a 2012 will,
18	and a 2012 amended and restated trust agreement.
19	And we're asking that these five documents be
20	validated today.
21	There also is a 2008 will and trust that
22	you'll hear testimony were prepared, but have been
23	revoked and superseded by later documents.
24	THE COURT: Does everybody agree that Simon's
25	2008 will and trust are invalid or is there some

1	claim that they're valid?
2	MR. ROSE: I can't answer.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'll ask.
4	Are you claiming that the Simon Bernstein 2008
5	will or 2008 trust are valid, or do you agree that
6	they are invalid?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I individually disagree.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: And my children
10	THE COURT: I just wanted to know
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: aren't represented by
12	counsel, so they can't have an opinion
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: even though they're parties
15	to the case.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, you can waste
17	all your time you want. I won't object to it, but
18	I won't participate in it.
19	You can put on your first witness.
20	MR. ROSE: Thank you. Plaintiff will call
21	Robert Spallina.
22	Thereupon,
23	(ROBERT SPALLINA)
24	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
25	and testified as follows:

1	THE WITNESS: I do.
2	MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay.
4	MR. ROSE: Okay. I brought for Your Honor
5	would you like a book instead of the exhibits?
6	THE COURT: Nothing better than a huge book.
7	MR. ROSE: We may not use all of them, but
8	we'll adjust it later.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. ROSE: And then I was going to hand the
11	witness the original for the admission into the
12	court file as we go.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. ROSE: I have a book for Mr. Eliot
15	Bernstein.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. ROSE:
18	Q. Would you state your name for the record?
19	A. Robert Spallina.
20	Q. Did you know Simon and Shirley Bernstein,
21	Mr. Spallina?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And when did you first meet Simon and Shirley
24	Bernstein?
25	A. In 2007.

1	Q. What was your occupation at the time?
2	A. I was working as an estate planning attorney.
3	Q. With a law firm?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And what was the name of the law firm?
6	A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Rubin, Ruffin and
7	Forman and Fleisher.
8	Q. And did Simon and Shirley Bernstein retain
9	your law firm?
10	A. Yes, they did.
11	Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit No. 9
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. Ask if you'd identify that
13	document?
14	A. This was an intake sheet to open up the file,
15	dated November 16th of 2007.
16	Q. And the clients are Simon and Shirley
17	Bernstein?
18	A. The clients were Simon and Shirley Bernstein,
19	yes.
20	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 9 into
21	evidence, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	[No verbal response]
24	THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'll
25	receive that as Plaintiff's 19.

1	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was received into
2	evidence.)
3	BY MR. ROSE:
4	Q. Now, what was the purpose of Simon and Shirley
5	Bernstein retaining your law firm?
6	A. They wanted to review and go over their
7	existing estate planning and make changes to their
8	documents.
9	Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 10, and ask
10	you if you can identify for the record Exhibit 10.
11	A. These are meeting notes, my meeting notes,
12	and and then partner Don Tescher's meeting notes from
13	several different meetings that we had with Si and
14	Shirley during the time following them retaining us as
15	clients.
16	Q. And is it your standard practice to take notes
17	when you're meeting with clients?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And were these notes kept in your company's
20	files and were they produced with Bates stamp numbers?
21	A. Yes, they were.
22	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 10 into
23	evidence, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Is there any objection to the
25	exhibit?

	-
1	[No verbal response].
2	THE COURT: No objection being stated, they'll
3	be received as Plaintiff's 10.
4	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was received into
5	evidence.)
6	BY MR. ROSE:
7	Q. Now, for today's purposes, are those notes in
8	chronological or reverse chronological order?
9	A. This is reverse chronological order.
10	Q. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of the stack
11	and start with the earliest notes. Do they reflect a
12	date?
13	A. Yes. 11/14/07.
14	Q. And if you'd turn to the last page, is that
15	your partner's notes that are in evidence?
16	A. Yes. We both would always take notes at the
17	meetings.
18	Q. And so the first was that the first meeting
19	with Mr. Simon or Shirley Bernstein?
20	A. I believe so, yes.
21	Q. Now, before you met with Simon and Shirley
22	Bernstein, did you have any prior relationship with
23	them?
24	A. No, we did not.
25	Q. Did you personally know either of them before

1	that date?
2	A. No, I did not.
3	Q. 11/14/2007. Okay. And if you'd just flip
4	back to the client intake. I think that was dated
5	November the 26th?
6	A. It was two days later, 11/16. The file was
7	opened two days later.
8	Q. So file open.
9	Now, did you know in advance of the meeting
10	what they were coming in to talk about?
11	A. Yeah. They were coming in to talk about their
12	estate planning.
13	Q. And did they provide you in advance of the
14	meeting with any of their prior estate planning
15	documents?
16	A. I believe we had copies of documents. I don't
17	know if they provided them at that meeting or if they
18	provided them before for us to look at, or after, but I
19	know that there were existing documents that were in our
20	file.
21	Q. Okay. Let me approach and hand you
22	Exhibit 40A, which is bears Tescher Spallina
23	Number 1.
24	Does that appear to be an envelope from
25	Stephen Greenwald

1	A. Yes.
2	Q directed to Simon Bernstein?
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. And copy of this was in your files when they
5	were produced?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And was Stephen Greenwald the prior lawyer
8	that represented Simon and Shirley Bernstein, as far as
9	you know?
10	A. Yes. Yes, he was.
11	Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40B, which is a
12	letter from Mr. Greenwald to Simon and Shirley
13	Bernstein.
14	Is that also is that also provided in your
15	files?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Does it bear a Bates stamp of your law firm?
18	A. Yes, it does.
19	Q. Okay. And does Mr. Greenwald, in that letter,
20	disclose what he is sending to Simon
21	Mr. and Mrs. Simon L. Bernstein?
22	A. Yes, he did. Their estate planning documents,
23	including their ancillary documents, their wills, their
24	trusts, health care powers, durable powers and living
25	wills.

1	Q. And if I'll show you 40C, D, E and F, and
2	ask if you can identify these as some of the documents
3	that were included with the letter from Mr. Greenwald?
4	A. We have each of the first codicils to
5	Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein's wills, and we have each of
6	their wills.
7	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 40A through F
8	into evidence, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Any objection?
10	[No response.]
11	THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'm
12	going to receive this as Plaintiff's 40A through F.
13	(Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 40A-F were received
14	into evidence.)
15	BY MR. ROSE:
16	Q. Within Exhibit 40, is there a will and a
17	for Simon and a will for Shirley?
18	A. Yes, there is.
19	Q. And could you tell the Court the date of those
20	documents?
21	A. August 15, 2000.
22	THE COURT: Are both documents the same date?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, they are, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I just wanted
25	to make sure I don't get confused.

1 BY MR. ROSE: 2 Ο. Can you generally describe what the estate 3 plan reflected in Exhibit 40 would be, who are the beneficiaries and what percentages? 4 Okay. Just give me a minute. I haven't seen 5 Α. these in... 6 7 The plan under the documents -- and let me just make sure it's the same under both documents. The 8 plan under the documents was to provide all the assets 9 to the survivor of Shirley and Si, and that at the death 10 of the survivor of the two of them, assets would pass 11 to -- it appears to be Ted, Pam, Eliot, Jill and Sue and 12 Lisa -- and Lisa. So it looks to be a typical estate 13 14 plan; everything would pass to the survivor at the first 15 death, and then at the second death everything to the children. 16 17 Ο. How many of the children under the 2000 documents? 18 19 Α. This shows all five. The will shows all five. 20 What page are you looking at? Q. The first page of the will. Is this -- oh, 21 Α. 2.2 no. That's just as to tangible personal property. I'm 23 sorry. That's okay. Are you on -- are you in Simon's 24 Ο. 25 or Shirley's?

1	A. I'm in on both documents, to make sure the
2	disposition was the same.
3	Q. Okay. So on the page the first page, it
4	talks under
5	A. It speaks to tangible personal property.
6	Q. Split equally among the five children?
7	A. Among the five children.
8	Q. Let me just stop you one second right there.
9	If you would, turn
10	MR. ROSE: This might help, Your Honor, if
11	you'd turn to Tab 7. It may be out of order.
12	Might be a good time just to go over the family
13	tree and let get everyone on the same page of
14	We prepared a chart, and I'm going to put
15	the it lists Simon and Shirley and the names of
16	their children on the second line, and then under
17	each child with arrows, the names of the
18	grandchildren and which parents they belong to.
19	THE WITNESS: This looks accurate.
20	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 7 into
21	evidence, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	[No response.]
24	THE COURT: No objection being stated, that's
25	in evidence as Plaintiff's 7.

1	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was received into
2	evidence.)
3	BY MR. ROSE:
4	Q. So under the 2000 documents, for personal
5	property, it's split among the five children.
6	And when you get to the residuary estate or
7	the amount that was put into trusts, who are the
8	beneficiaries?
9	A. Again, at the death of the survivor of the two
10	of them, tangible personal property would go to the five
11	children, and the residuary of the estate would go to
12	four of the five children. It appears that Pam is cut
13	out of these documents. And I recall that now, yes.
14	Q. Okay. So under the 2000 documents, Eliot
15	Bernstein would get 25 percent of the residuary?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Now, if you look at page 5, it talks
18	about page 5, near the top, it says "upon the death
19	of my husband," then "the principal of his trust shall
20	pass," and then the next sentence says "to the extent
21	that said power of appointment oh, "and such shares
22	equal or unequal and subject to such lawful trust terms
23	and conditions as my husband shall by will appoint."
24	Do you see what I'm talking about?
25	A. Yes, I do.

1	Q. That's a power of appointment?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And then it says, the next sentence, To the
4	extent the power of appointment is not effectively
5	exercised, then it goes to the four of the five
6	children?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. So under the 2000 documents, the survivor
9	would have the power to give it all to one?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And theoretically change it and give some to
12	Pam?
13	A. That's true, by the language of this document.
14	Q. Okay. So I'm just going to write. We have a
15	power of appointment, which we don't need to belabor, in
16	favor of the survivor; and then if it's not exercised,
17	Eliot gets 25 percent, and three other siblings get the
18	balance?
19	A. 25 percent each.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. Equal shares.
22	Q. Now, when Simon and Shirley came to you, did
23	they give you an indication whether they wanted to keep
24	in place the 2000 structure?
25	A. No. They wanted to change the dispositions

1	under their documents.
2	Q. Okay. So if we work through your notes now,
3	which are in evidence as Exhibit No. 10, the first
4	meeting was November the 14th, 2007. You had a
5	discussion about Simon's net worth Simon and
6	Shirley's net worth, how much money they had at that
7	time?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 12
10	before we
11	Do you recognize the handwriting on
12	Exhibit 12?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. I believe it's Simon Bernstein's
15	statement of his net worth.
16	But you have seen this document before?
17	A. I don't recall.
18	Q. Okay. And you're not familiar with his
19	handwriting to
20	A. No. Other than his signature.
21	Q. That's fine.
22	But during the discussion, did you discuss
23	Simon's net worth?
24	A. Yes. Both my partner and I.
25	Q. And if I look at Mr. Tescher's notes, which

1	are a little easier to read, he lists the joint
2	brokerage account, some money for Simon, Simon, a
3	house the house appears to have a million dollar
4	mortgage a condo, some miscellaneous and some life
5	insurance. And he totals that totals to 13 million,
6	and then he lists 5 million for 33 shares of the
7	company.
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Q. Okay. So if I add up what Mr. Tescher wrote
11	in his notes, I get to about \$18 million.
12	And this is on November the 14th of '07,
13	around 18 million, but that includes life insurance?
14	A. Yes, it does.
15	Q. Okay. Now, did you meet with them how long
16	were these meetings with Simon and Shirley Bernstein?
17	A. They could be an hour; sometimes more.
18	Q. Now, if we flip through your notes, does it
19	reflect a second meeting?
20	A. Yes, it does.
21	Q. And what's the date of the second meeting?
22	A. 12/19/07.
23	Q. And do you have any I'm sorry. 12/19?
24	A. 12/19/07.
25	Q. Okay. And what's the let's just put all

1	the dates up here. That was the second meeting.
2	Are there notes from a third meeting?
3	A. The next meeting was January 31, '08.
4	Q. Okay. Is there a fourth meeting?
5	A. March 12 of '08.
6	Q. Now, just to put this in perspective, the
7	document that we are going to well, the document
8	that's been admitted into probate in this case is a will
9	of Shirley Bernstein that bears a date of May 20, 2008.
10	Does that sound consistent with your memory?
11	A. Yeah, it was clearly 2008.
12	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. Can you
13	turn that so we can see it?
14	THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry.
15	THE COURT: Ma'am, you are not a party. You
16	are not an attorney. And you are not really
17	supposed to be sitting there. I'm letting you sit
18	there as a courtesy. If you ask for and inject
19	yourself any further in the proceeding than that,
20	I'll have to ask you to be seated in the gallery.
21	Do you understand?
22	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	BY MR. ROSE:
25	Q. So you have four meetings with Simon and

1	Shirley Bernstein.
2	And did it take that long to go over what they
3	wished to do with their estate planning documents?
4	A. It was more of us, you know, trying to get a
5	handle on everything that they had, the business, prior
6	planning. From the first meeting to the March meeting,
7	it was only a couple of months. The holidays were in
8	there. So it wasn't uncommon for us to meet with a
9	client more than once or twice when they had a
10	sophisticated plan and asset schedule.
11	Q. At this time
12	A. By the last meeting, we knew what we needed to
13	do.
14	Q. And around this based on your notes, did
15	Simon Bernstein believe he had a net worth all in of
16	about 18 million when he met with you?
17	A. Yeah, it appears that way, 18, 19 million
18	dollars.
19	Q. And did he discuss at all with you that he was
20	involved in a business at that time, an insurance
21	business?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And did he give you an indication of how well
24	the business was doing at around the times of these
25	meetings between November 2007 and March or May of 2008?

1	A. Yeah, the business was doing well at that
2	time. He was he was very optimistic about the future
3	of the business.
4	Q. Now, did you do any did you prepare any
5	documents before the will was signed in May? Did you
6	prepare drafts of the documents?
7	A. Yes, we did. We always prepare drafts of
8	documents.
9	Q. And did you share the drafts with Simon and
10	Shirley?
11	A. Yes, we did.
12	Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, and
13	ask if you can identify that for the record?
14	A. This is a letter from our firm dated April 19
15	of 2008. It's transmitting the documents to the client,
16	with an explanation that they could follow, better than
17	reading their documents a summary of the documents.
18	Q. Is that a true and authentic copy of a
19	document that you created?
20	A. Yes, it appears to be.
21	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 11 into
22	evidence, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
24	[No response.]
25	THE COURT: All right. Then that's in

1	evidence as Plaintiff's 11.
2	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was received into
3	evidence.)
4	BY MR. ROSE:
5	Q. And if I read Exhibit 11, the first three
6	words say, "Enclosed are drafts of each of your wills
7	and revocable trusts, the children's family trust, each
8	of your durable powers of attorney, designations of
9	health care surrogate and living wills," correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So about a month and 11 days before anything
12	was signed, documents were sent by Federal Express to
13	Simon and Shirley Bernstein?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And it appears to have gone to Simon's
16	business?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Now, if you look at does your does your
19	letter, sort of in laymen's terms, rather than reading
20	through the legalese of a will, explain what the estate
21	planning was under the documents that have yet to be
22	signed but that you were preparing?
23	A. Yes, it does, as much as possible in laymen's
24	terms.
25	Q. Can you just give us a short well, the will

1	itself for both Simon and Shirley was a relatively
2	simple will that poured over into a revocable trust, one
3	for each?
4	A. Yes, poured over wills for both.
5	Q. And whoever died first would inherent the
6	personal property?
7	A. All tangible personal property under the will
8	would pass to the survivor.
9	Q. So assuming Simon survived Shirley, he would
10	be the sole beneficiary of her estate?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And then any of her residuary would go into a
13	trust?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And he, in fact, outlived Shirley?
16	A. He did.
17	Q. Okay. Now, if you go to the second page, at
18	the top, you describe the will of Shirley Bernstein.
19	It's essentially identical to Si it says "Si."
20	Just for the record, that's Simon shorthand?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Si is the personal representative of Shirley's
23	estate, and Ted is designated as successor if Simon is
24	unable to serve.
25	That was what was in the document you sent in

1	April?
2	A. Yes. I believe so, yes.
3	Q. And that provision remained in the final
4	documents you signed?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now, did Ted eventually become a successor
7	personal representative upon Simon's death?
8	A. Yes, he did.
9	Q. Then you next start to talk about the Simon L.
10	Bernstein trust agreement.
11	And theoretically, that was going to be the
12	primary testamentary document?
13	A. Correct, it was.
14	Q. And that's fairly standard?
15	A. Yes. When a client wants to avoid probate, we
16	use a revocable trust to title assets in prior to death.
17	Those assets remain confidential; they're not part of
18	the court record. And the trust is also used to avoid
19	the need for the appointment of a guardian in the event
20	of incapacity, because there's a successor trustee
21	mechanism.
22	Q. Okay. Now, under Simon's trust agreement,
23	moving down to the third paragraph, under that heading,
24	it says that both trusts provide for mandatory income
25	distributions. And then the next sentence starts, "Upon

1	Shirley's death, she has been given a special power to
2	appoint the remaining assets of both the marital trust
3	and the family trust to any of your lineal descendants
4	and their spouses, a power to redirect and reallocate."
5	Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, is that consistent with the way the
8	documents were intended to be drafted?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	Q. And I guess it's sort of similar to what
11	existed in the 2000 wills?
12	A. Yes. Typically, you give the survivor of the
13	spouse a power to appoint in the event that they want to
14	change any of the estate planning of the first to die.
15	Found in most first marriage documents with only
16	children from that marriage.
17	Q. And this is a first marriage with all five
18	children being the product of the same marriage
19	A. Yes.
20	Q as far as you know?
21	A. As far as I know.
22	Q. And as far as you know, Simon and Shirley
23	Bernstein, they each married only once in their
24	lifetime, to each other?
25	A. That's all I know.

1	Q. If you flip to the next page, there's a
2	shorter paragraph for Shirley.
3	It basically says it's virtually identical,
4	except that Simon is the initial successor, and after
5	that, Ted would be Simon's replacement if he passed
6	away?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And is that the mechanism by which Ted
9	Bernstein became the successor trustee in this lawsuit?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Now, if Shirley died first, then did the
12	documents give Simon the same power of appointment over
13	the assets in her trust that was provided for in the
14	Simon document if he died?
15	A. Same power of appointment was in both
16	documents. They were identical documents, with one
17	exception.
18	Q. And what was the exception; the name of the
19	successor trustee?
20	A. The name of the successor trustee.
21	Q. And then Simon wanted his then business
22	partner, Bill Stansbury, to be his successor trustee in
23	both his will and his trust, and Shirley wanted her
24	oldest son, Ted, to be her successor in both documents?
25	A. Correct. The signer, non-survivor.

1	Q. Okay. And Shirley, I guess it says here, also
2	made a specific gift of \$200,000 to someone named
3	Matthew Logan?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. If you look at our family tree chart, I think
6	Matthew Logan is under Ted.
7	He is the son of Ted's second wife, Deborah?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. So there was a \$200,000 special gift to
10	Matthew that was in the documents that you sent on
11	April 9th?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Then you prepared family trusts for the
14	children.
15	Were those trusts created at the time?
16	A. Yes, they were.
17	Q. Now, after you sent your letter on April 9th,
18	did you have a further discussion with Simon and Shirley
19	before the documents were signed?
20	A. I can't recall, but we probably we probably
21	did, to set up a meeting and talk you know, either,
22	A, talk about the documents, the draft documents, any
23	changes that they wanted to make on the draft documents.
24	It would be typical of us to do that, although I don't
25	have any meeting notes that showed that, so

1	Q. Now, under we'll talk let's talk about
2	the ones that matter.
3	Because Shirley died first, her 2008 trust
4	became the beneficiary of her estate?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And then Simon had a power of appointment,
7	correct?
8	A. Um-hum.
9	Q. And if you have to say yes or no.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And if he didn't exercise the power of
12	appointment, was there a default set of beneficiaries
13	that were designated in the documents you drafted in
14	2008?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And what was the default set of beneficiaries?
17	A. Simon had and Shirley had in their documents
18	excluded Pam and Ted at the death of the survivor of the
19	two of them.
20	Q. Okay. So if the power of appointment was not
21	properly exercised, it would just go to three, and Eliot
22	would end up with 33 and a third percent and two of the
23	other sisters would get the balance?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Did Simon and Shirley eventually execute

documents in 2008? 1 2 Α. Yes, they did. 3 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 1, which is --4 A copy of Si's will from --5 Α. Do you have Exhibit 1? 6 Q. 7 Α. Excuse me. Sorry. Shirley's will. Is that a conformed copy of the document? Ο. 8 Yes, it is. Α. 9 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 1 into 10 11 evidence. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 [No response.] THE COURT: That's in evidence as 14 15 Plaintiff's 1. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was received into 16 evidence.) 17 BY MR. ROSE: 18 19 Now, that says "conformed copy." If I turn to Q. the last page, there's no handwritten signatures. 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Do you know where the original of that Q. 23 document sits today? 24 A. It was filed with the court. 25 Q. Okay. So somewhere in the courthouse, the

original goes.
And that's something that the client would
keep?
A. Correct. This is what we would send to the
client to include with their files.
Q. When you filed the original with the court,
did anyone object while Simon was alive?
A. No.
Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 2.
Do you recognize that document?
A. Yes. This is Shirley's trust agreement that
she executed in 2008.
Q. Now, does that document have copies of her
signature?
A. Yes. These are actual copies of the signing
parties and their signatures.
Q. And how many originals would have been created
of this document?
A. We always created three originals of the trust
agreements.
Q. Okay. Now, if you turn to the next if you
turn to the last page, it says that Shirley put a dollar
into her trust when it was created.
A. Yes.
Q. And that's to make it a valid trust?

1	A. Yeah, I mean, it's not required today, but
2	it's pretty much just form to show a dollar. She had
3	certainly funded it more than that.
4	Q. And eventually Shirley put some assets into
5	the trust?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And if you go to the page before that,
8	page 27, it appears to be a signature page, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Now, were you one of the witnesses to the
11	signature of Shirley Bernstein on Exhibit 2?
12	A. Yes, I was.
13	Q. And were you present with Shirley Bernstein
14	and the other witness, Traci Kratish, at the time of the
15	execution of the documents?
16	A. Yes, I was.
17	Q. And they're notarized by someone named
18	Kimberly Moran.
19	Does she work for your office?
20	A. Yes, she did.
21	Q. And through her involvement with your firm
22	and did she personally know Shirley and Traci
23	Kratish, as well as yourself?
24	A. Yes, she did.
25	Q. Now, at the same time that Shirley signed her

1	documents, did Simon sign a similar set of 2008 will and
2	trust, similar to the drafts that were sent in April?
3	A. Yes, he did. We were all sitting in the main
4	conference area in their offices together.
5	Q. In Simon's office or your office?
6	A. In Simon's offices.
7	Q. Okay. So why would someone from your office
8	come to Simon's office rather than rely on the notary
9	that they have there?
10	A. Because we wanted to accommodate Shirley and
11	Si in their offices and not have them travel.
12	Q. You personally went there. Did you personally
13	go through to make sure that the documents were signed
14	with all the formalities required under Florida law to
15	make them valid and enforceable?
16	A. Yes, we did. That's why we were there.
17	Q. And if Simon did not have a 2008 will
18	and sorry.
19	If Simon did not have a 2002 will and trust,
20	would it be your belief that the 2008 will and trust
21	would be valid?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were they properly signed with all the same
24	testamentary formalities required by Florida law?
25	A. Yes, they were.

1	Q. Okay. Did Shirley at some point amend her
2	trust agreement?
3	A. Yes, she did.
4	Q. And do you recall why she amended it?
5	A. She amended it to remove Matt Logan from the
6	document that she had included previously as a specific
7	device.
8	Q. Do you know why Matt was removed?
9	A. It's attorney-client privilege.
10	Does it matter?
11	Q. I'll withdraw the question.
12	Was Matthew removed at the direction of
13	Shirley?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. I'll withdraw
16	A. Yes. Yes. Yes.
17	Q. Did Shirley sign a document that effectively
18	removed Matthew?
19	A. Yes, she did.
20	Q. Let me hand you Exhibit No. 3, and ask you if
21	you recognize that document?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. Now, was this document signed with the same
24	testamentary formalities as the 2008 trust?
25	A. Yes, it was.

1	MR. ROSE: We would move Exhibit 3 into
2	evidence, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	[No response.]
5	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
6	Plaintiff's 3.
7	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
8	evidence.)
9	BY MR. ROSE:
10	Q. Now, if you look there's a paragraph 1 and
11	a paragraph 3, but no paragraph 2.
12	Do you know why that is?
13	A. It's just a mistake in drafting.
14	Q. And did you specifically discuss with Shirley,
15	whose privilege I technically would control my client
16	would control
17	Did you specifically discuss with Shirley the
18	fact that the effect of the first amendment would be to
19	remove the specific gift that she had made for Matthew
20	Logan?
21	A. Yes. Even prior to the signing of the
22	document.
23	Q. And is this the last relevant testamentary
24	document that Shirley ever signed that you're aware of?
25	A. Yes, it is.

1	Q. Did you meet with Simon and Shirley in person
2	to talk about this amendment?
3	A. Si had called me and said that Shirley had a
4	change to her documents, and asked me to give her a call
5	and have lunch with her. I called her. We arranged for
6	a meeting in her house to execute the document.
7	Q. Now, you brought your you brought Kimberly
8	with you to get for convenience and to make sure the
9	documents were properly executed?
10	A. Correct. She had she had her personal
11	assistant that was there, Rachel Walker, to serve as
12	another witness.
13	Q. Just so I don't have to go back, what's the
14	date of the amendment?
15	A. November 18th, 2008.
16	Q. So now we five documents that exist; 2008,
17	will, trust, will, trust, and an amendment to Shirley's
18	trust.
19	Did you share any of those documents with any
20	of Simon and Shirley's children at that time?
21	A. No, we did not.
22	Q. Did any of the did any of the children play
23	any role in bringing Simon or Shirley to your offices?
24	A. Not that I'm aware, no.
25	Q. Did any of the children accompany them

1	to any time they came to visit you, did any of the
2	children come with them, drag them along?
3	A. No.
4	Q. So you prepared did you do some other
5	estate planning in addition to the 2008 testamentary
6	documents?
7	A. Yes, we did.
8	Q. Can you briefly describe some of the things
9	you did?
10	A. We had set up a Florida limited partnership.
11	We created a general partner entity for that
12	partnership, a limited liability company.
13	Q. What's the name of the Florida limited
14	partnership?
15	A. Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP.
16	Q. Was that an entity that was in existence or
17	was it created under your direction?
18	THE COURT: Can I stop you a second? Is this
19	going to help me figure out the validity of the
20	testamentary documents?
21	MR. ROSE: Only in the very narrowest sense.
22	I'm just trying to establish that they had a very
23	lengthy and extensive relationship, and they did a
24	lot of estate planning for Simon and Shirley. But
25	I'll be very brief.

1	THE COURT: Well, if that becomes relevant
2	later, perhaps you could come back to it. But I
3	don't see the relevance at this point, so I'll ask
4	you to move on.
5	MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
6	BY MR. ROSE:
7	Q. Now, was Simon concerned at all about asset
8	protection as part of some of the things you discussed?
9	A. Yes, he was.
10	Q. Now, we have did you have any discussion
11	with him about who was expected to live longer or if
12	either of them had health problems that you had any
13	knowledge of?
14	A. Si was not he was in good health, but he
15	had had some heart issues. And Shirley had had other
16	issues as well. And I think it early on, he didn't
17	know, but as the relationship went on, we kind of knew
18	that Shirley was sicker than him and would probably pass
19	first.
20	Q. So Shirley died it's in the public
21	record but December
22	A. 2010, yeah.
23	Q 8th. So Simon was her he survived her;
24	he becomes the sole beneficiary as far as tangible
25	personal property under her will?

1	A. Yes, he does.
2	Q. The residuary goes into the Shirley Bernstein
3	Trust?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. He's the sole successor trustee and the sole
6	beneficiary
7	A. Yes, he is.
8	Q during the term of his life?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Now, was there a great deal of effort put into
11	inventorying the assets, things like that?
12	A. No, there wasn't. For purposes of opening up
13	Shirley's probate, we had asked Si to estimate the value
14	of, you know, her tangible personal property. And
15	that's what we included on the inventory that was filed
16	in the probate.
17	Q. Now, if I'm correct, 2010 was the year there
18	were no estate taxes at all?
19	A. No estate taxes.
20	Q. Simon's the sole beneficiary?
21	A. Sole beneficiary. Even if there were taxes,
22	there wouldn't have been any tax on the first death,
23	because everything went to Si, and there was a marital
24	deduction.
25	Q. While Simon was alive, did Ted have any access

1	to the documents, as far as you know? Did you ever send
2	the testamentary documents of Simon or Shirley to Ted?
3	A. No, we did not.
4	Q. Did Ted play any role in the administration of
5	the estate while Simon was alive?
6	A. No, he did not.
7	Q. Did any of the other children play any role in
8	the administration of the estate while Simon was alive?
9	A. No, they did not.
10	Q. Now, did you have to well, strike that.
11	Because it was only Simon, was it sort of the
12	decision by Simon, That I don't want to spend a lot of
13	time and money in this estate because it's just wasting
14	my own money?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And that's not unusual in a situation where
17	you have a surviving spouse that's the sole beneficiary?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Now, did there come a point in time when Pam,
20	who was not a named beneficiary of the Shirley's
21	documents, learned of the fact that she had been
22	excluded?
23	A. Yes, there was.
24	Q. Okay. And did you get involved with
25	discussions with Pam or her lawyer?

1 Α. She had hired an attorney, who had made a request to get a copy of her mother's documents. And I 2 3 called Si, spoke to Si about it, and he authorized me giving Pam those documents -- or her attorney those 4 documents. 5 Were they provided to any of the other 6 0. 7 children; that would be Ted or his brother, Eliot, or his two sisters, Lisa or Jill? 8 9 Α. No, they were not. 10 And did Simon Bernstein at some point decide Ο. to change his testamentary documents? 11 Yes, he did. 12 Α. Do you recall approximately when that 13 Ο. 14 happened? 15 Early 2012, he called and requested that we Α. 16 meet to go over his documents. I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked 17 Ο. 18 Exhibit 13, and ask you if you recognize those as your 19 own notes? 20 Α. Yes. These are my notes from that meeting in 21 2012. 2.2 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 13 into 23 evidence, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 [No response.]

1	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
2	Plaintiff's 13 then.
3	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was received into
4	evidence.)
5	BY MR. ROSE:
6	Q. Now, during this meeting, did Simon discuss
7	the possibility of altering his estate plan?
8	A. Yes, he did.
9	Q. Did you also go over his current finances?
10	A. Yes, we did.
11	Q. Now, we've seen from 2007 that he had
12	disclosed about \$18 million.
13	As part of the meeting in February of 2012, he
14	gave you sort of a summary of where he stood at that
15	time?
16	A. Yes, he did.
17	Q. And what was the status of the Shirley
18	Bernstein probate administration in early 2012, about
19	13 months after she passed away?
20	A. It was still not closed.
21	Q. Do you know why it was not closed?
22	A. I think that we were still waiting I'm not
23	sure that we were still waiting on waivers and
24	releases from the children to close the estate, to
25	qualify beneficiaries under the estate if Si were to

1	dia Wa had to get waiwarg and releaged from them
	die. We had to get waivers and releases from them.
2	Q. Standard operating procedure?
3	A. Standard operating procedure.
4	Q. Okay. So Simon here, it says it says at
5	the top "SIPC receivable."
6	Do you know what that is?
7	A. Yes, I do. That was Si had made an
8	investment in a Stanford product that was purported to
9	be a CD; it was an offshore CD. And when the Stanford
10	debacle hit, I guess he filed a claim with SIPC to get
11	those monies back, because it was supposedly a cash
12	investment.
13	Q. And so he invested in a Ponzi scheme and lost
14	a bunch of money?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Some of the 18 million he had in 2007 he lost
17	in the next four and a half years in investing in a
18	Ponzi scheme?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. And then the maximum that the SIPC which is
21	like the FDIC for investments.
22	You're familiar with that, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. The maximum is 500,000.
25	You don't actually necessarily recover

Γ

1	500,000? You have a receivable, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you know how much he actually realized from
4	the SIPC?
5	A. I believe he never received anything.
6	Q. Okay. And then it said, LIC receivable,
7	\$100,000.
8	Am I reading that correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And LIC was the company he was involved, with
11	others?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. So I put here 600 that he put, but the
14	600 is really probably closer to 100 if you didn't get
15	the SIPC money?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. So I'm going to just put a little star here
18	and put it's really 100,000, and sort that out.
19	So then he says he has Si's estate, this
20	would be his personal assets. He's got an interest in
21	the LLLP.
22	That is not relevant to discuss how it was
23	formed, but there was an LLLP that was owned, some by
24	Si's trust, some by Shirley's trust?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. And at the time, he thought the value was
2	1,150,000 for his share?
3	A. That's correct.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object, Your Honor?
5	THE COURT: What's the objection?
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Relevance.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	BY MR. ROSE:
10	Q. And then he had an IRA that says 750,000.
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And those two things totaled 1,550,000?
13	A. No. They totaled one million nine. Right?
14	Q. Okay. You're right.
15	You wrote next to it "estate tax."
16	What does that mean, on the side next to it?
17	A. I think what I had done was offset the value
18	of the assets in his estate by the loans that were
19	outstanding at the time.
20	Q. And it shows a million seven in loans?
21	A. A million seven in loans.
22	Q. So we had loans back in 2008 I'm sorry.
23	November of 2007 time period or 2008, which were
24	only so we have loans now, you said, a million seven?
25	A. Well, he had a \$1.2 million loan with

1	JP Morgan that was collateralized with the assets of the
2	LLLP.
3	Q. And then you list just to speed up, then
4	you have underneath that, it says Shirley's asset was
5	empty, right? Because whatever was in had gone to
6	Simon?
7	A. Yeah, her estate had nothing in it.
8	Q. She had a Bentley, I think, when she died.
9	Do you know what happened to the Bentley?
10	A. I wasn't aware that she had a Bentley.
11	Q. Did you come to learn that she had a Bentley
12	and Simon gave it to his girlfriend, and she traded it
13	in at the dealership and got a Range Rover?
14	A. Much, much, much later on
15	Q. But you know
16	A after Si's death.
17	Q. But you know that to be the case?
18	A. I wasn't aware that it was traded for the
19	Range Rover. I thought he bought her the Range Rover.
20	I didn't realize he used a Bentley to do it.
21	Q. Okay. Somehow you know the Bentley became
22	something for Maritza?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. That's the name of his girlfriend?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. Then it says, in Shirley's trust,
2	condo, one million I'm sorry. I should go to the
3	next column. It says "FMV."
4	That would be shorthand for Fair Market Value?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So condo, 2 million, which is here; house,
7	3 million; half of the LLLP, which is Shirley's half
8	after I assume, after the deduction of the loan, was
9	800,000?
10	A. Um-hum.
11	Q. Then it says "LIC." That's the company Life
12	Insurance Concepts that Mr that Simon, his son Ted,
13	and a gentleman named Bill Stansbury had formally been
14	involved, another attorney, shares by then. Because
15	we're in February of 2012.
16	But, in any event, that's Simon's company?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And he told you in 2007 it was worth
19	Mr. Tescher's notes, like his interest was worth
20	5 million.
21	What did he tell you it was worth in 2012?
22	A. Zero.
23	Q. Then underneath that I put zero here, so
24	zero today.
25	So his net worth and then there was a home

1	that he owned for that Eliot lives in, right? He
2	didn't really own it, but he controlled it, Simon?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Did you set up the entity that owned
5	the home?
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q. Just to save time, there's an entity called
8	Bernstein Family Realty that owns the house.
9	Simon controlled that entity while he was
10	alive?
11	A. Yes, he did.
12	Q. And his estate holds a mortgage on the house
13	for 365,000?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. So there's some interest there.
16	He didn't put it on his sheet when he talked
17	to you, but that still would have existed in some form,
18	right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And it still exists to this day.
21	We don't know the value of it, but there still
22	is a mortgage, right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. But either way, the point of this whole
25	story is, his net worth went down significantly between

1	2007 and 2012?
2	A. Yes, it did.
3	Q. And in your world, that's not uncommon, with
4	the stock market crash, the depression, things like
5	that, that a lot of clients with high net worth would
6	have suffered losses during that time?
7	A. Many, many of them did. And even the values
8	that are on this sheet were not the real values.
9	Q. We know that the
10	A. Clients have a tendency to overstate their net
11	worth.
12	Q. All right. And we know the Ocean Drive house
13	sold for about a million four?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And the Court there's an order that
16	approved the sale, the gross sale price of a million one
17	for St. Andrews?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Okay. So that's still that's less than
20	half, even then, Simon thought he would get.
21	Now, if you look at the bottom of the
22	Exhibit No. 13, it says a word, begins with an "I." I
23	can't really read it.
24	Can you read that?
25	A. Insurance.

1	Q. Well, did you have some discussions with Simon
2	about his insurance?
3	A. Yes, we did.
4	Q. In fact, I think Mr. Spallina, we talked
5	about he had I'm sorry.
6	Mr. Tescher's notes had a \$2 million life
7	insurance?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. Is this the same life insurance?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. And was there a discussion about I guess it
12	says 1 million
13	That's one million seven-fifty?
14	A. A million 75 yeah, one million seven-fifty
15	was the value of the policy.
16	Q. And the death benefit was a million six?
17	A. Million six. There was a small loan or
18	something against the policy.
19	Q. Okay. And then it says "Maritza."
20	What was Maritza down there for?
21	A. Si was considering changing the purpose of
22	the meeting was to meet, discuss his assets. And he
23	was, you know, having a lot of, I guess, internal he
24	had received another letter from his daughter he
25	asked me to read the letter from Pam that she still

1	was not happy about the fact that she had been
2	disinherited under her mother's documents if the assets
3	were to pass under the documents and he didn't exercise
4	his power of appointment. And this meeting was to kind
5	of figure out a way, with the assets that he had, to
6	take care of everybody; the grandchildren, the children,
7	and Maritza.
8	And so he thought maybe that he would change
9	the beneficiary designation on his life insurance to
10	include her. And we had talked about providing for her,
11	depending on an amount an increasing scale,
12	depending on the number of years that he was with her.
13	Q. So if you look at the bottom, it says 0 to
14	2 years, 250.
15	Is that what you're referring to?
16	A. Yes. Two to four years, 500,000. And then
17	anything over plus-four years would be I think that's
18	600,000.
19	Q. Now, during this discussion, was Simon
20	mentally sharp and aware of what was going on?
21	A. Oh, yeah. Yeah, he was he was the same
22	Simon. He was just you know, he was struggling with
23	his estate now. He was getting he felt I guess he
24	was getting pulled. He had a girlfriend that wanted
25	something. He had his daughter who, you know, felt like

1 she had been slighted. And he wanted to try to make 2 good by everybody. 3 Q. And at that point in time, other than the house that he had bought that Eliot lived in, were you 4 aware that he was supporting Eliot with a very 5 significant amount of money each year? 6 7 Α. I was not. MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevance. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 10 BY MR. ROSE: 11 Okay. So that's February. Ο. 12 Α. Yes. What happens next in relation to Simon coming 13 Ο. 14 in to meet with you to talk about changing his 15 documents? 16 Α. He had called me on the phone and he -- we talked again about, you know, him changing his 17 18 documents. He had been thinking about giving his estate 19 and Shirley's estate to his grandchildren. And at the 20 February meeting, I did not think it was a great idea 21 for him to include his girlfriend, Maritza, as a 2.2 beneficiary of the life insurance policy. 23 Q. He took your advice? He didn't change that, as far as you know? 24 25 Α. He did not.

1	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Continue.
2	A. He did not.
3	I had suggested that he provide for her in
4	other ways; a joint account that would pass to her at
5	his death, but not to mix her in with his family in
6	their dispositive documents. And he ultimately took
7	that advice and decided that he wanted to give his
8	estate to his ten grandchildren, and that the policy
9	which I had never seen a copy of the policy, but, you
10	know he had had. And I knew that he was paying for
11	it, because it almost lapsed, or did lapse at one
12	point, and it got reinstated that that policy was to
13	pass to an insurance trust that named his five children
14	as beneficiaries.
15	Q. And that's something Simon specifically
16	discussed with you when you were going over his estate
17	planning in 2012?
18	A. Correct or something that we had known
19	about before that meeting. But he was at the
20	meeting, he was starting to talk about doing a change to
21	the beneficiary designation to include Maritza, and I
22	wanted to talk him out of that.
23	Q. And at some point, he made a decision to
24	actually change his documents, correct?
25	A. He did. He did.

1 Q. And did he direct you to set up any kind of a communication with his children? 2 3 Α. Yes. He said, I want you to get -- put together a conference call with me and you and my five 4 children so I can talk to them about what I want to do 5 with my estate and Shirley's estate. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. This would be a good time for us to take a pause for a morning break. 8 We'll be in session again in 10 minutes. 9 10 As far as time use goes, so far Plaintiff's side has used 60 minutes. So you have 90 remaining 11 12 in your portion of the day. And that's where we 13 stand. MR. ROSE: We'll be well within our time, sir. 14 15 THE COURT: Great. Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Is ten 16 17 minutes enough time for everybody? That's what it'll be then. 18 19 (A break was taken.) 20 THE COURT: We're ready to proceed. Please 21 continue. 2.2 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. ROSE: I think we were when Shirley died in December 24 Ο. 25 of 2010, and you meet with Si, according to

1	Plaintiff's 13, on February 1st of 2012.
2	I think by May of 2012 was when this
3	conference call that you mentioned was?
4	A. Yes, it was.
5	Q. Okay. And did the five children attend the
6	conference call?
7	A. Yes, they all did.
8	Q. Were you present on the call?
9	A. Yes, I was.
10	Q. Was Simon present?
11	A. Yes, he was.
12	Q. Where was Simon physically during the call?
13	A. His office I believe his office.
14	Q. Were you in the same room as Simon?
15	A. No, I was not.
16	Q. You were in your office?
17	A. I was in my office.
18	Q. Okay. Generally, what was discussed during
19	this conference call?
20	A. Simon wanted to talk to his children about
21	providing for his estate and his wife's estate to go to
22	the ten grandchildren; wanted to have a discussion with
23	his children and see what they thought about that.
24	Q. And was he asking them for their approval or
25	permission or

1	A. Well, I think he wanted to see what they all
2	thought, you know, based on things that had happened in
3	the past and documents that had been created in the
4	past. And I don't know that it was going to sway his
5	opinion, but when he told me, you know, to you know,
6	to have the conference call, to contact his he said,
7	This is what I'm going to do, so
8	Q. During the call, did Simon ask his children if
9	anybody had an objection to him leaving his and
10	Shirley's wealth to the ten grandchildren?
11	A. Yes. He asked what everybody thought.
12	Q. Did Eliot respond?
13	A. Yes, he did.
14	Q. What did he say?
15	A. I'm paraphrasing, but he said something to the
16	effect of, Dad, you know, whatever you want to do,
17	whatever makes you happy, that's what's important.
18	Q. Did you also discuss during that call the need
19	to close Shirley's estate?
20	A. Yes, we did. We had told Si that we needed to
21	get back the waivers of accounting, the releases, and we
22	asked he asked them to get those back to us as soon
23	as possible.
24	Q. Okay. If I hand you Exhibit 14, it appears to
25	be an email from Eliot Bernstein to you addressing the

1	waiver that he needed to sign?
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 14 into evidence.
4	THE COURT: Any objection?
5	[No response.]
6	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence
7	then as Plaintiff's 14.
8	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was received into
9	evidence.)
10	MR. ROSE: As a matter of housekeeping, Your
11	Honor, I think I might have failed to move in
12	Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's 2008 trust
13	agreement, which I would move, to the extent it's
14	not in evidence, 1, 2 and 3, which are the
15	operative documents Mr. Spallina's already
16	testified about.
17	THE COURT: Any objection?
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was that? I'm sorry.
19	THE COURT: Is there any objection to
20	Plaintiff's 1, which is the will of Shirley
21	Bernstein, Plaintiff's 2, which is the Shirley
22	Bernstein Trust Agreement, and Plaintiff's 3, which
23	is the First Amendment to the Shirley Bernstein
24	Trust Agreement?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.

1	THE COURT: All right. Those are all in
2	evidence then as Plaintiff's 1, 2 and 3.
3	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
4	evidence.)
5	BY MR. ROSE:
6	Q. Okay. This email is dated May May 17,
7	2012, from Eliot, correct?
8	A. Yes, it is.
9	Q. This would have been after the conference
10	call?
11	A. This, I believe, was after the conference
12	call, yep.
13	Q. And he says he's attached the waiver
14	accounting and portions of petition for discharge,
15	waiver of service for a petition for discharge, and
16	receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge that he
17	had signed.
18	Did you receive those from Eliot?
19	A. Yes, I did. We received that was the first
20	waivers that we received.
21	Q. Then it says "as I mentioned in the phone
22	call."
23	Did you have any separate phone calls with
24	Eliot Bernstein, you and he, or is he referring to the
25	conference call?

1	A. I think he's referring to the conference call.
2	Q. Okay. I have not yet "I have not seen any
3	of the underlying estate documents or my mother's will
4	at this point, yet I signed this document after our
5	family call so that my father can be released of his
6	duties as personal representative and put whatever
7	matters that were causing him stress to rest."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Q. Now, while Simon was alive, did you ever get
11	authorization to share the testamentary documents with
12	Eliot Bernstein?
13	A. I did not.
14	Q. Now, after the call and after the discussion
15	with the siblings, did you prepare a draft of of new
16	documents for Simon?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 15; ask if
19	that's a letter that you sent to Simon Bernstein
20	enclosing some new drafts?
21	A. Yes, it is.
22	Q. Now, what's the date of that?
23	A. May 24th, 2012.
24	Q. And what's what is the summary well,
25	strike that.

1	You sent this letter to Simon Bernstein?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. By FedEx to his home?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 15 in
6	evidence.
7	THE COURT: Any objection?
8	[No response.]
9	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
10	Plaintiff's 15.
11	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was received into
12	evidence.)
13	BY MR. ROSE:
14	Q. Okay. So then first page says, "Dear Si, we
15	have prepared drafts of a new will and an amended and
16	restated trust agreement."
17	Are those the 2012 documents that were his
18	final ones?
19	A. Yes, they are.
20	Q. Okay. Then you sort of do the same thing you
21	did in 2008; you give a little summary of what the
22	estate plan is.
23	"Your amended and restated trust provides that
24	on your death, your assets will be divided among and
25	held in separate trusts for your then living

1	grandchildren," correct? I was reading paragraph the
2	middle paragraph.
3	A. Yes, I see that. Yes.
4	Q. I actually skipped the part above, which is
5	probably more important, which says in the middle of
6	the first paragraph, it says, "In addition, you have
7	exercised the special power of appointment granted to
8	you under Shirley's trust agreement in favor of your
9	grandchildren who survive you."
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And so that was Simon's intent as
13	discussed on the conference call?
14	A. Yes, it was.
15	Q. Do you know if you made any changes to these
16	draft documents from May 24th until the day they were
17	signed?
18	A. I don't believe so. If I did, it was for
19	grammar or something else. The dispositive plan that
20	was laid out in this memo was ultimately the subject of
21	the documents that he executed in July.
22	Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, which is a
23	durable power of attorney.
24	If you flip to Exhibit 16, the last page, does
25	it bear a signature of Simon Bernstein?

Γ

1	A.	Yes, it does.
2	Q.	And it indicates you were a witness to the
3	signature	?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Along with Kimberly Moran, who is someone from
6	your offi	ce?
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	And someone named Lindsay Baxley notarized the
9	documents	?
10	Α.	Yes, she did.
11	Q.	Do you know who Lindsay Baxley was?
12	Α.	Lindsay Baxley worked in Ted and Si's office.
13	Q.	She was like a secretary?
14	Α.	Assistant to Ted, I believe, maybe.
15	Q.	Okay. And if you look at
16		MR. ROSE: Well, first of all, I'll move
17	Exhi	bit 16 into evidence.
18		THE COURT: Any objection?
19		[No response.]
20		THE COURT: No objection made, then I'll
21	rece	ive this as Plaintiff's 16.
22		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was received into
23	evidence.	)
24	BY MR. RO	SE:
25	Q.	If you look at the last page where the notary

1	block is there, it says "personally known" with an
2	underline, or "produced identification" with an
3	underline. And she's checked the box "personally
4	known" or she's checked the line.
5	Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So do you believe that did you know Lindsay
8	Baxley by that point in time?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. And you believe she obviously knew Simon,
11	she knew Kim Moran from other dealings between your
12	offices?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And did you all sign this durable power
15	of attorney with testamentary formalities?
16	A. Yes, we did.
17	Q. And what's the date of that?
18	A. July 25, 2012.
19	Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit 4, and ask
20	you if you recognize Exhibit 4?
21	A. Yes, I do.
22	Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 4?
23	A. This is Si's new will that he executed in
24	2012, on July 25th, the same day as that durable power
25	of attorney.

1	Q. Now, were you present when Simon executed his
2	new will, which is Exhibit 4?
3	A. Yes, I was.
4	Q. If you turn to the last page
5	Well, actually, if you turn to the first page,
6	does it say "copy" and bear a clerk's stamp?
7	A. It does.
8	Q. Okay.
9	MR. ROSE: I would represent to the Court that
10	I went to the clerk's office unlike with
11	Shirley's will, I went to the clerk's office and
12	obtained a like, a copy made by the clerk of the
13	document itself, rather than have the typewritten
14	conformed copy.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object to that?
16	THE COURT: What's the objection?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Is he making a statement? I'm
18	not sure
19	THE COURT: You're asking me a question. I
20	don't know.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm objecting. Is that a
22	statement?
23	THE COURT: The objection is? What are you
24	objecting to?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: With the statement being

1	from
2	THE COURT: Okay. That was a statement by
3	somebody who's not a sworn witness, so I'll sustain
4	the objection.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: And the chain of custody of
6	the document, I'm just trying to clarify that.
7	Okay.
8	THE COURT: The objection was to the
9	statement. I've sustained the objection.
10	Next question, please.
11	BY MR. ROSE:
12	Q. Unlike the trust, how many originals of a will
13	do you have the client sign?
14	A. There's only one.
15	Q. And then you give the client the one with the
16	typewritten you call it conformed copy?
17	A. We conform the copy of the will.
18	Q. And after Simon died, was your law firm
19	counsel for the personal representative of the Estate of
20	Simon Bernstein?
21	A. Yes, we were.
22	Q. Did you file the original will with the court?
23	A. Yes, we did.
24	Q. Is it your belief that the original of this
25	document is somewhere in the Palm Beach County Court

1	system with the clerk's office?
2	A. Yes, I do.
3	MR. ROSE: I'd move Exhibit 4 in evidence,
4	Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
6	[No response.]
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection stated, I'll
8	receive this as Plaintiff's 4.
9	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was received into
10	evidence.)
11	BY MR. ROSE:
12	Q. Now, if you turn to the next to the last page
13	of Exhibit
14	A. Yes.
15	Q Exhibit 4, you'll see it bears a signature
16	of Simon Bernstein and two witnesses, yourself and
17	Kimberly Moran, who all assert that you signed in the
18	presence of each other?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And then in the next page, it has what would
21	be a self-proving affidavit?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Now, if you look at the signature block where
24	the notary signed, where it says "who is personally
25	known to me," it doesn't seem to have a check box there.

1	It just says "who is personally known to me or who has
2	produced [blank] as identification, " right?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Is this the same person who notarized the
5	exhibit we just put in evidence, Exhibit 15, the durable
6	power of attorney 16, the durable power of attorney?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And again, with regard to
9	Exhibit 4 strike that.
10	Do you recall where you signed Exhibit 4?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. In whose office?
13	A. This was also done in Si's office.
14	Q. Okay. So you took you went personally
15	again, along with Kim Moran, as your practice, to make
16	sure that the documents were signed properly; true?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And that's important because, if the documents
19	aren't properly signed, they might not be valid and
20	enforceable?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5. This is
23	the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust
24	Agreement.
25	Was that signed the same day, at the same

1	time, with the same procedures?
2	A. Yes, it was.
3	Q. And would this have been signed with three
4	originals?
5	A. Yes, it would be.
6	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 5 into
7	evidence, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Any objection?
9	[No response.]
10	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
11	Plaintiff's 5.
12	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was received into
13	evidence.)
14	BY MR. ROSE:
15	Q. Now, we looked at the history when you did the
16	first set of documents. In the second set, you started
17	in February through July.
18	Did you have a number of telephone conferences
19	with Simon during that time?
20	A. Yes, we did.
21	Q. And at least a couple of face-to-face
22	meetings?
23	A. Yes, we did.
24	Q. Did at any time Simon give you any indication
25	that he was not fully mentally sharp and aware and

1	acting of his own volition?
2	A. Nope. He was Si that we had known since 2007.
3	Q. I'll close with Exhibit 17. This is a letter
4	you sent to Simon Bernstein, enclosing a copy of his
5	conformed will for him.
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	Q. And it's dated the 26th, the day after he
8	signed the documents?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And did you also leave him with two of the
11	originals of his trust?
12	A. Yes, we did.
13	MR. ROSE: I move did I move 17 in? Or I
14	will move it in.
15	THE COURT: Number 7, is it?
16	MR. ROSE: Seventeen, sir.
17	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
18	Any objection?
19	[No response.]
20	THE COURT: All right. Then that's in
21	evidence as Plaintiff's 17.
22	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was received into
23	evidence.)
24	BY MR. ROSE:
25	Q. Now, Simon passed away on September 13, 2012.

1	Does that sound right?
2	A. Yes, it does.
3	Q. I have Exhibit 18 as his death certificate.
4	MR. ROSE: I'll just move 18 into evidence.
5	THE COURT: Any objection?
6	[No response.]
7	THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
8	Plaintiff's 18.
9	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was received into
10	evidence.)
11	BY MR. ROSE:
12	Q. So that's the death certificate for Simon
13	Bernstein.
14	Did you have any further discussions or
15	meetings with Simon after he signed the will and trust
16	in 2012 and before he died?
17	A. Not that I recall, no.
18	Q. And you filed a notice of administration,
19	opened an asset, published it in the Palm Beach Daily
20	Review, did what you had to do?
21	A. Yes, we did.
22	Q. And you and Mr. Tescher were the personal
23	representatives of the estate?
24	A. Yes, we were.
25	Q. And you and Mr. Tescher became the successor

1	trustees of Simon's amended trust after he passed away?
2	A. Yes, we did.
3	Q. I guess while he was still alive, he was still
4	the sole trustee of his trust, which was revocable
5	still?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And then upon his death, at some point, did
8	Ted Bernstein become aware that he was going to become
9	the successor trustee to the Shirley trust?
10	A. Yes. We had a meeting with Ted.
11	Q. And that was the first time he learned about
12	the contents of her trust, as far as you know?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Initially, did anybody object to the documents
15	or the fact that the beneficiaries were supposed to be
16	the 10 grandchildren?
17	A. No.
18	Q. When was there first some kind of an objection
19	or a complaint?
20	A. I can't recall exactly when it happened.
21	Q. Okay. Did you at some point get a letter from
22	a lawyer at the Tripp Scott firm?
23	A. Yes, we did.
24	Q. Okay. I think she was asking you about
25	something called the status of something called I View

Γ

1	It Company? Do you recall that?
2	A. Vaguely.
3	Q. Did you know what the Iviewit company was
4	before you received a letter from the Tripp Scott
5	lawyer?
6	A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. I know today. I
7	can't tell if I'm answering because I know about it
8	today or if I knew about it at that time.
9	Q. Okay. And did was she asking for some
10	documents from you?
11	A. Is this Ms. Yates?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And did you provide her with certain
15	documents?
16	A. She had asked for copies of all of Shirley's
17	and Si's estate planning documents.
18	Q. And did you provide her with all of the
19	documents?
20	A. Yes, we did.
21	Q. Was one of the documents that you provided her
22	not an accurate copy of what Shirley had executed during
23	her lifetime?
24	A. That is true.
25	Q. Okay. And I guess I'll hand you Exhibit 6,

1	and this is Exhibit 6 a document that is not a
2	genuine and valid testamentary document of Shirley
3	Bernstein?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Can you explain to the Court why Exhibit 6 was
6	prepared and the circumstances?
7	A. It was prepared to carry out the intent of
8	Mr. Bernstein in the meeting that he had had with his
9	five children, and perhaps a vague or a layman a
10	layman can make a mistake reading Shirley's documents
11	and not understand who the intended beneficiaries were
12	or what powers I had. So this document was created.
13	Q. Is it your belief that under the terms of
14	Shirley's document from the ones she actually signed,
15	that Simon had the power to appoint the funds to the ten
16	grandchildren?
17	A. Yes. We we prepared the documents that
18	way, and our planning transmittal letter to him
19	reflected that.
20	Q. And this document is, I think you said, to
21	explain it to a layperson in simpler fashion?
22	A. It was created so that the person that, you
23	know, didn't read estate planning documents and prepare
24	estate planning documents for a living you know,
25	there was no intent to cut out Pam and Ted's children,

1	basically.
2	Q. Now, did you ever file this exhibit in the
3	courthouse?
4	A. No, we did not.
5	Q. Did you ever use it for any purpose?
6	A. No, we did not.
7	Q. Was it at one point provided to Eliot's
8	counsel?
9	A. Yes, it was.
10	Q. Now, the fact putting aside this document,
11	were any of the other documents that we're talking about
12	in any way altered or changed from the ones that were
13	signed by Shirley or Simon?
14	A. No, they were not.
15	Q. Now, after these issues came to light, did
16	Mr. Eliot Bernstein begin to attack you through the
17	internet and through blogging and things like that?
18	A. He was doing that long before this document
19	came to light.
20	Q. Okay. What was Eliot doing?
21	A. His first thing that he did was with
22	respect to the courts, was to file an emergency petition
23	to freeze assets and after his brother as successor
24	trustee of his mother's trust had sold the condo.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object to

1	this line of questioning for relevance to validity?
2	THE COURT: What's the line of questioning
3	you're talking about?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: The slander defamation going
5	on about me with, you know, what I do and
6	THE COURT: Well, I wasn't aware there's a
7	line of questioning going on. There is a question.
8	You've objected to it.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What's the objection to that
11	question?
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: The relevancy to a validity
13	hearing.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the court
15	reporter read the question back?
16	(A portion of the record was read by the
17	reporter.)
18	THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether
19	this guy's posting on Facebook that's negative or
20	not?
21	MR. ROSE: Well, a couple of things, but,
22	primarily, we're just trying to determine whether
23	these documents are valid.
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. ROSE: And he is the only one who's saying

1	they're not valid, so I want to give some
2	explanation as to why he's saying they're not
3	valid, as opposed to
4	THE COURT: I don't care why he's saying
5	they're valid or invalid. I'll wait to see what
6	the facts are. So I'll sustain the objection.
7	MR. ROSE: That's fine.
8	BY MR. ROSE:
9	Q. Did Simon Bernstein make any special
10	arrangements, other than strike that.
11	Did Simon or Shirley make any special
12	arrangements, other than the testamentary documents that
13	are admitted into evidence, for special benefits for
14	Eliot Bernstein and his family?
15	A. No, they did not.
16	Q. Any special education trusts, other than
17	the these five documents? And I believe there was
18	some shares of stock that were put in trust for all ten
19	grandchildren, right?
20	A. There was no special arrangements made other
21	than the estate planning documents.
22	Q. After Simon died, did Eliot claim to you that
23	Simon was supposed to have made some special
24	arrangements for him?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevancy again.

1	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
3	BY MR. ROSE:
4	Q. Did he ever give you an indication how much
5	money he thought he was going to inherent when his
6	father died, or his children would inherent when his
7	father died?
8	A. Through his subsequent attorney, yes, he did.
9	Q. And how much money did he indicate he thought
10	there should be?
11	A. I heard a number from one of his attorneys of
12	40- to a \$100 million.
13	Q. Are you aware of any assets that Simon
14	Bernstein had other than what he disclosed to you at the
15	two times that we've looked at in 2007 and again in
16	February of 2012?
17	A. No, I am not.
18	MR. ROSE: No further questions, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
20	Is there any cross?
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
22	MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, I have questions as
23	well.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, let me have the
25	direct finished. That way, all the

1	cross-examination can take place without
2	interruption. So everybody make sure you're
3	fitting within the Plaintiff's side of the room's
4	time limitations. We'll strictly obey those.
5	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA)
6	BY MR. MORRISSEY:
7	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Spallina. My name's John
8	Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren
9	of Simon Bernstein.
10	And since we're here today about validity, I'm
11	just going to go over, and try to be very brief,
12	concerning the execution of these documents and your
13	knowledge about the execution.
14	Exhibit 1, which has been entered as the will
15	of Shirley Bernstein, I'd ask you to direct your
16	attention to that document. And I'm looking here at
17	page 7. I ask that you turn to page 7 of Exhibit 1.
18	Were you a witness of this document, this will
19	that was executed by Shirley Bernstein on May 20th of
20	2008?
21	A. Yes, I was.
22	Q. And was Diana Banks the other witness?
23	A. Yes, she was.
24	Q. And did you and Diana witness Mrs. Bernstein's
25	execution of this document?

1	A. Yes, we did.
2	Q. You were present during her execution?
3	A. Yes, we were.
4	Q. And was she present during your execution of
5	this document as a witness?
6	A. Yes, she was.
7	Q. And was she, Shirley Bernstein, present during
8	Diana Banks' execution of this document?
9	A. Yes, she was.
10	Q. Okay. And I'm again focused on this
11	Exhibit No. 1, this will of Shirley Bernstein dated
12	May 20th of 2008.
13	Is it your opinion that at the time Shirley
14	Bernstein executed this document she understood
15	generally the nature and extent of her property?
16	A. Yes, she did.
17	Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein
18	executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general understanding
19	of those who would be the natural objects of her bounty?
20	A. Yes, she did.
21	Q. Okay. And at the time she Shirley
22	Bernstein executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general
23	understanding of the practical effect of this will?
24	A. I believe she did.
25	Q. Okay. And in your opinion, was Shirley

1	Bernstein unduly influenced by any beneficiary of
2	Exhibit 1 in connection with its execution?
3	A. Not to my knowledge.
4	Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any
5	beneficiary or anyone actively procuring Exhibit 1?
6	A. No, I do not.
7	Q. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 2, which is
8	Shirley Bernstein's trust executed on the same date,
9	that is May 20th of 2008, I'll direct your attention to
10	page 27 of Exhibit No. 2. And it appears that Shirley
11	Bernstein executed that document on May 20th of 2008.
12	And the witnesses were yourself and Traci I can't
13	read her last name.
14	A. Traci Kratish.
15	Q. Okay. Did Shirley Bernstein execute
16	Exhibit No. 2 in the presence of both you and Traci
17	Kratish?
18	A. Yes, she did.
19	Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 2 in
20	the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Traci Kratish?
21	A. Yes, I did.
22	Q. Okay. And did Traci Kratish execute
23	Exhibit No. 2 in your presence and Shirley Bernstein's
24	presence?
25	A. Yes, she did.

1	Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein
2	executed Exhibit No. 2, which is her 2008 trust, is it
3	your opinion that she had a general understanding of the
4	nature and extent of her property?
5	A. Yes, she did.
6	Q. Okay. And at the time that Shirley Bernstein
7	executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she
8	understood generally the relationship of those who
9	would were the natural objects of her bounty?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein
12	executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she
13	generally understood the practical effect of this
14	document?
15	A. I believe she did.
16	Q. Okay. And did you have any belief that
17	Shirley Bernstein was unduly influenced in connection
18	with by any beneficiary in connection with her
19	execution of Exhibit No. 2?
20	A. Not to my knowledge.
21	Q. Okay. And do you know or have any information
22	about any beneficiary or anyone else actively procuring
23	Exhibit No. 2?
24	A. I do not.
25	Q. Okay. And with respect now we'll move on

1	to Exhibit No. 3, which is the first amendment of
2	Shirley Bernstein's trust, executed on November 18th of
3	2008. And I'll direct your attention on that Exhibit 3
4	to Page No. 2. And on Page No. 2
5	Well, let me ask this question. Did Shirley
6	Bernstein execute Exhibit No. 3 in the presence of both
7	you and Rachel Walker?
8	A. Yes, she did.
9	Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 3 in
10	the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Rachel Walker?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. And did Rachel Walker execute this document,
13	Exhibit No. 3, in the presence of Shirley Bernstein and
14	yourself?
15	A. Yes, she did.
16	Q. Okay. And at the time Exhibit No. 3 was
17	executed, is it your opinion that Ms. Bernstein
18	understood generally the nature and extent of her
19	property?
20	A. Yes, I believe so.
21	Q. And is it your opinion that at the time
22	Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, she generally
23	understood the relationship of those who would be the
24	natural objects of her bounty?
25	A. Yes, I believe so.

1 Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, is it your opinion that she 2 3 generally understood the practical effect of this trust amendment? 4 Yes, I believe so. Α. 5 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 6 Ο. 7 information about any beneficiary or any other person unduly influencing Shirley Bernstein to execute 8 Exhibit No. 3? 9 10 Α. I do not. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 11 Ο. information about any person, beneficiary or otherwise, 12 13 actively procuring Exhibit No. 3? I do not. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Moving on to Exhibit No. 4 then, which Ο. is the will of Simon Bernstein, and that is a will that 16 Mr. Bernstein executed on July -- yes, July 25 of 2012. 17 18 And let me direct your attention to page 7 of that will, 19 Exhibit No. 4. And did Simon Bernstein execute this document 20 in the presence of you and Kimberly Moran on July 25, 21 2.2 2012? 23 Α. Yes, he did. And did you execute this document, 24 Q. 25 Exhibit No. 4, as a witness in the presence of Simon

1	Bernstein and Kimberly Moran on that date?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 4
4	as a witness in the presence of Simon Bernstein and
5	yourself?
6	A. Yes, she did.
7	Q. Okay. And on this date or at the time of
8	execution on this date of July 25, 2012, did Simon
9	Bernstein understand in a general way the nature and
10	extent of his property?
11	A. Yes, he did.
12	Q. Okay. At the time that Exhibit No. 4 was
13	executed, did Simon Bernstein generally understand the
14	relationship of those who would be the natural objects
15	of his bounty?
16	A. Yes, he did.
17	Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 4 was executed,
18	did in your opinion, did Simon Bernstein understand
19	the practical effect of this will?
20	A. Yes, he did.
21	Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or
22	information about any person, whether beneficiary or
23	otherwise, actively procuring this Exhibit No. 4?
24	A. No, I do not.
25	Q. Do you have any information about any person,

1	beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing Simon
2	Bernstein to execute Exhibit No. 4?
3	A. I do not.
4	Q. Okay. And moving on to the last document
5	then, Exhibit No. 5, which is the Simon Bernstein
6	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, and I'll direct
7	your attention to page 24 of that Exhibit No. 5.
8	On July 25, 2012, did Simon Bernstein execute
9	this trust agreement in the presence of you and Kimberly
10	Moran?
11	A. Yes, he did.
12	Q. And did you execute this trust, Exhibit No. 5,
13	as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and Kimberly
14	Moran?
15	A. I did.
16	Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 5
17	as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and yourself?
18	A. She did.
19	Q. Okay. And at the time Simon Bernstein
20	executed Exhibit No. 5, in your opinion, did he
21	generally understand the nature and extent of his
22	property?
23	A. He did.
24	Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed,
25	did Simon Bernstein, in your opinion, generally

1	understand the relationship of those who would be the
2	natural objects of his bounty?
3	A. He did.
4	Q. And did Simon Bernstein, when Exhibit No. 5
5	was executed, understand generally the practical effect
6	of this trust agreement?
7	A. Yes, he did.
8	Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, do
9	you have any knowledge about any person, whether
10	beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing
11	Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, to execute this
12	Exhibit No. 5?
13	A. Nothing that I'm aware of.
14	Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or
15	information about any person, whether beneficiary or
16	otherwise, actively procuring Exhibit No. 5?
17	A. I do not.
18	MR. MORRISSEY: I have no further questions,
19	Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
21	Now, is there any cross? You're not required
22	to ask any questions, but you just need to let me
23	know if you're going to.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, are you asking me? I had
25	no idea.

1	THE COURT: I'm not asking you. I'm just
2	telling you, if you have questions for the witness,
3	this is your opportunity to ask them; if you don't
4	have any questions, you don't have to ask any. But
5	if you're going to, you have to start now.
6	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA)
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide
9	some expert testimony, correct, on the
10	A. No, I was not.
11	Q. Oh, okay. You're just going based on your
12	doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley
13	Bernstein's attorney?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Are you still an attorney today?
16	A. I am not practicing.
17	Q. Can you give us the circumstances regarding
18	that?
19	A. I withdrew from my firm.
20	Q. Are you under a consent order with the SEC?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
24	Q. Did you sign a consent order for insider
25	trading

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q with the SEC?
3	You did. Can you give us the circumstances of
4	your consent order?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
6	THE COURT: That won't be relevant. Please
7	move on to the next question.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Were you did you plead to a felony crime?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant as to
14	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, what did you say?
16	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. I
17	sustained the objection no, I sustained the last
18	objection. This one I'm overruling.
19	You can answer.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I can't ask him if he's a
21	felon?
22	THE COURT: You're asking the wrong guy.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Are
24	THE COURT: The witness is you asked the
25	question.

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Are you a convicted felony?
3	THE COURT: Let's back up a second.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: When you're asking for a ruling,
6	and I make one, then we're going to have the
7	witness answer.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	THE COURT: I made my ruling. I'm letting the
10	witness answer your earlier question, unless you're
11	withdrawing it. Are you withdrawing your earlier
12	question?
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
14	THE COURT: You can answer the question, which
15	is, did you plead to a felony?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, sir.
17	THE WITNESS: I have not.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20	Q. Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor?
21	A. I have not.
22	Q. Were you involved in a insider trading case?
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
24	THE COURT: Sustained. Next question.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that mean he doesn't have

1	to answer that?
2	THE COURT: How many times have you been in
3	court?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a few where I've had to
5	do this.
6	THE COURT: You know how this works.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: I really don't.
8	THE COURT: All right. If I sustain an
9	objection, that's means he does not answer the
10	question.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And overruled?
12	THE COURT: If I overrule an objection, that
13	means the witness does answer the question.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And I've asked you to ask your
16	next question.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. Is that your picture on the Florida Law
20	Review, SEC case settled against Florida attorneys?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	Do you have any questions on the issues that I
24	have to decide in this case?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, his testimony is based

1	on his truthfulness.
2	THE COURT: My question is, do you have any
3	questions you want to ask about the issues relevant
4	to this case?
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is relevant to this
6	case.
7	THE COURT: I disagree.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
9	THE COURT: I thought I made that very clear
10	in my ruling. You probably want to move on to a
11	relevant issue.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14	Q. Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with
15	the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the
16	Bernstein matters?
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	You can answer that.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
22	Q. And did you state to them that you
23	fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then
24	sent it through the mail to Christine Yates?
25	A. Yes, I did.

1	Q. Have you been charged with that by the Palm
2	Beach County Sheriff yet?
3	A. No, I have not.
4	Q. Okay. How many times were you interviewed by
5	the Palm Beach County Sheriff?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9	Q. Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to
10	Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's
11	minor children?
12	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. And when did you acknowledge that to the
17	courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came
18	about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud?
19	A. I don't know that I did do that.
20	Q. Well, you just said you went to the Palm Beach
21	County Sheriff and admitted altering a document and put
22	it in the mail.
23	THE COURT: Let me stop you there. If you
24	want to ask the witness questions, you're permitted
25	to do that. If you would like to argue with the

1	witness, that's not do you have any questions
2	you want to ask?
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli
6	Bernstein's minor children's counsel.
7	Can you tell us what that document did to
8	affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?
9	A. It has no effect.
10	Q. What was its intended effect of altering the
11	document?
12	A. To carry out your father's wishes in the
13	agreement that he had made with the five of you for a
14	layperson that would be reading the documents.
15	Q. You were carrying out his wishes by
16	fraudulently altering a document?
17	MR. ROSE: Objection.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	That's argumentative. I don't want you to
20	argue with the witness. That's an argument.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23	Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change
24	the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust?
25	A. They did not.

1	Q. Who are the beneficiaries of Shirley's trust?
2	A. It depends on under the trust instrument,
3	in the absence of Si exercising his power of
4	appointment, it would be yourself and your two sisters,
5	Lisa and Jill.
6	Q. Oh. So the only beneficiaries in Shirley's
7	trust are me, Lisa and Jill.
8	Is that directly or through a family trust?
9	A. Your father had established your parents
10	had established family trusts for the three of you to
11	receive assets from the trust.
12	Q. Okay. So in that document that you sent to
13	Christine Yates, did you include Ted and Pam's lineal
14	descendants under the amendment that you fraudulently
15	drafted and sent to her?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. Did in any way the document that you
20	fraudulently altered and sent to Yates change the
21	beneficiaries from Eliot, Lisa and Jill and their lineal
22	descendants to anybody else?
23	THE COURT: May I ask a question?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: This document that you're

1	referring to, is anybody asking me to probate that
2	document?
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's part of the estate
4	plan. It's part
5	THE COURT: Is anybody seeking relief, either
6	you or the other side, under that document?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. They're seeking to
8	change the beneficiaries of my mom's trust through
9	that document and others.
10	THE COURT: You're misperceiving my question.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Sorry.
12	THE COURT: That document, which
13	is nobody's put it in evidence; I don't know
14	what it is, but it's that thing that you're
15	asking the witness about, is somebody seeking
16	relief based upon that document?
17	MR. ROSE: Absolutely not. The opposite.
18	THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking relief
19	based upon that document?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Oh, absolutely.
21	THE COURT: All right. Are you claiming that
22	that document is subject to probate?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Is the lady who's giving you
25	advice your attorney?

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
2	THE COURT: Ma'am, are you admitted to the bar
3	in Florida? Remember what I told you earlier.
4	I've let you sit there as a courtesy. Generally, I
5	don't let wives or friends or anybody else sit at
6	the table where the parties are because it confuses
7	me. But you're giving that guy advice and you're
8	also not listening to me, which I find odd, because
9	I'm going to have you move you back to the gallery
10	now. Please have a seat in the gallery. Please
11	have a seat in the gallery. Please have a seat in
12	the gallery. Soon. When courtesy is not returned,
13	courtesy is withdrawn. Please have a seat in the
14	gallery. Thank you.
15	Do you have any other questions of the
16	witness?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit this as evidence
18	to the Court?
19	THE COURT: Is that the document you've been
20	asking the witness about?
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: All right. Any objection to it
23	being received as an exhibit?
24	MR. ROSE: I don't have any objection to it
25	being received as an exhibit. But as Your Honor

1	noted, we aren't seeking to probate it, and we're
2	not suggesting it's valid in the first place.
3	THE COURT: All right. Well, let me see what
4	that document is, so then I'll see if I can make
5	some sense out of it.
6	You can't Gary's always afraid that if
7	somebody's not a member of the bar, they might do
8	something bad to me. Officers of the court aren't
9	allowed to do things bad to the judge. Other folks
10	don't know that. And so Gary watches out carefully
11	for my well-being.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So this is a document
14	that's titled "First Amendment to Shirley Bernstein
15	Trust Agreement."
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
17	THE COURT: And it's in the book that I've
18	been given earlier by the plaintiff as Tab 6.
19	You're seeking to put it into evidence as
20	Defendant's 1?
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Right?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: You're offering it as an exhibit?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Evidence 1.

1	THE COURT: The objection to it is that it's
2	not relevant?
3	MR. ROSE: Not relevant. Right, relevance.
4	And it's also not something we're seeking to be
5	probated or treated as authentic and genuine.
6	THE COURT: Well, the other side is seeking to
7	use the terms of this document instead of the terms
8	of the amendment that's in evidence, right?
9	MR. ROSE: I don't believe that's what he's
10	doing.
11	THE COURT: I'm not sure what he's doing, but
12	in an abundance of caution, I'm going to receive it
13	for what relevance it might have. I don't perceive
14	any yet, but we'll see what happens.
15	So this is Defendant 1.
16	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 was received into
17	evidence.)
18	THE COURT: Any other questions of the
19	witness?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
22	Q. You've testified here about Kimberly Moran.
23	Can you describe your relationship with her?
24	A. She's been our long-time assistant in the
25	office.

1	Q. Was she convicted of felony fraudulent
2	notarization in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?
3	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
5	You're asking if she was convicted of a felony
6	with respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?
7	You can answer the question.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
9	THE WITNESS: I believe she was.
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. And what was she convicted for?
12	A. She had notarized the waiver releases of
13	accounting that you and your siblings had previously
14	provided, and we filed those with the court.
15	Q. We filed those with the court.
16	Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents
17	to the court?
18	A. No. We filed we filed your original
19	documents with the court that were not notarized, and
20	the court had sent them back.
21	Q. And then what happened?
22	A. And then Kimberly forged the signatures and
23	notarized those signatures and sent them back.
24	Judge Colon has a rule in his court to have
25	those documents notarized, even though that's not the

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	requirement under the Florida Probate Code.
2	Q. So when you didn't follow the rule, you
3	frauded [sic] and forged the document?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with that.
7	THE COURT: You've got to stop a second.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: If you continue to argue with the
10	witness, then I'll assume you don't have any more
11	questions. I sustained that last objection to
12	argumentative.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm a little confused
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry about your confusion,
15	but there are ways you could have dealt with that
16	before this trial. If you are confused during the
17	trial, you better get unconfused as quickly as you
18	can because bad things will happen. And I don't
19	want bad things to happen. I want to get the facts
20	so that I can accurately decide the case on its
21	merits.
22	Stop arguing, ask questions, let the witness
23	answer, and listen to any rulings that I make on
24	the objections. That's the last time I'll repeat
25	that advice to you. Thank you.

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. What law firm submitted those documents to the
3	court?
4	A. Tescher & Spallina, P.A.
5	Q. Are you a partner in that firm?
6	A. I was.
7	Q. So your firm that you were a partner with sent
8	in documents that were fraudulent to the court?
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Did Tescher & Spallina law firm submit
13	Kimberly Moran's forged and fraudulent document waivers
14	to the court?
15	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
16	THE COURT: He already said he did.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: What is that?
18	THE COURT: Cumulative means you've already
19	had that answer given.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I didn't have that.
21	THE COURT: He's already said that he did.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking if they deposited
23	them with the court.
24	THE COURT: And he said they didn't.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I asked him, and he

1	said	
2		THE COURT: I won't argue with you. Do you
3	want	to go on to the next item or not?
4		MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay, I do.
5		THE COURT: Okay. Next question, please.
6	BY MR. BEI	RNSTEIN:
7	Q.	Did your office did you submit documents to
8	close the	estate of Shirley with Simon as the personal
9	representa	ative at a time Simon was dead?
10	Α.	We did.
11	Q.	You did? Excuse me? I didn't hear an answer.
12	A.	I said yes.
13	Q.	So Shirley's estate was closed by a dead
14	personal 1	representative.
15		Can you give me the time that the estate was
16	closed by	Simon while he was dead?
17		MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
18		THE COURT: Overruled.
19		You can answer.
20		THE WITNESS: I believe it was October,
21	Nover	nber 2012.
22	BY MR. BEI	RNSTEIN:
23	Q.	Do you want to check your records on that?
24	A.	I believe it was after his death. I know he
25	died Septe	ember 13, 2012. And we had received late from

1	one of your sisters the signed waiver. So it was
2	probably in November, somewhere around there.
3	Q. You stated that Simon that Kimberly did
4	five waivers for the siblings that she sent back in
5	fraudulently to the court through your law firm.
6	Did she also do a fraudulent forged signature
7	of a waiver for Simon?
8	A. I'm not sure. I guess if you're saying she
9	did
10	Q. Well, the court has on file a waiver of
11	Simon's that she's admitted to.
12	A. We filed all of the waivers originally with
13	the court all signed by the appropriate parties, and the
14	court kicked those back. And she forged and notarized
15	new documents and sent them to the court. She felt she
16	had made a mistake.
17	Q. Okay. Are you aware of an April 9th full
18	waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you?
19	A. Yeah. That was the waiver that he had signed.
20	And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of
21	you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the
22	accountings.
23	Q. Okay. And in that April 9th full waiver you
24	used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that
25	he has all the waivers from all of the parties?

1	A. He does. We sent out he signed that, and
2	we sent out the waivers to all of you.
3	Q. Okay. So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed,
4	with your presence, because your signature's on the
5	document, a document stating he had all the waivers in
6	his possession from all of his children.
7	Had you sent the waivers out yet as of
8	April 9th?
9	THE COURT: What is it that you want the
10	witness to answer? There was several questions.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, compounded a little bit?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry.
14	THE COURT: So you even
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back.
16	THE COURT: So you even know the lingo of the
17	objections.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back to one at
19	a time, because it's an important point.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver
22	of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of
23	the signed waivers of all of the parties?
24	A. Standard operating procedure, to have him
25	sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids.

1 Q. Was Simon in possession -- because it's a sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of 2 3 these waivers of my children on today, April 9th, correct, the day you two signed that? 4 Okay. So if you hadn't sent out the waivers 5 yet to the --6 7 Α. I'm not certain when the waivers were sent out. 8 Were they sent out after the --9 Q. 10 I did not send them out. Α. Okay. More importantly, when did you receive 11 Q. 12 those? Was it before April 9th or on April 9th? Α. We didn't receive the first one until May. 13 14 And it was your waiver that we received. 15 So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney, Ο. to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of 16 all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til 17 18 May? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's relevance 20 and cumulative. He's already answered. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 21 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, this is very relevant. THE COURT: What is the relevance on the issue 23 that I have to rule on today? 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: On the validity? Well, it's

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	relevant. If any of these documents are relevant,
2	this is important if it's a fraud.
3	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I okay.
5	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6	Q. When did you get did you get back prior to
7	Simon's death all the waivers from all the children?
8	A. No, we did not.
9	Q. So in Simon's April 9th document where he
10	says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from
11	his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get
12	one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how
13	could that be a true statement?
14	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Cumulative.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Here's what I'm going to decide at the end of
17	the day; I'm going to decide whether Shirley's 2008
18	will and trust and 2008 amendment are valid and
19	enforceable. I'm going to decide whether Simon's
20	2012 will and 2012 trust documents are valid and
21	enforceable. You have a lot more on your mind than
22	I have on mine. You do. Right? But those are the
23	things that I'm working on. So I'm focused like a
24	laser and you're focused more like a shotgun. I'm
25	telling you this so that you can focus more tightly

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	on the questions you're asking and the facts you're
2	developing so they'll help me make an accurate
3	decision on those things that I'm going to decide
4	today. You can keep asking questions that don't go
5	anywhere, but I would hope that you'll adjust your
6	approach so that you'll help me make an accurate
7	decision.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. And on validity, let's just get right to that
11	real quick. You've testified to a lot of documents here
12	today, correct, of the estate documents you drafted,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Did you gain any pecuniary interest, did you
16	gain any titles in those documents?
17	A. Pecuniary interest? No. I was named by your
18	father as personal representative and trustee of his
19	trust.
20	Q. And so you executed you drafted the
21	documents, you signed them as a witness, and you gained
22	interest in the documents, correct?
23	A. No, I did not.
24	Q. You didn't gain interest as a trustee
25	MR. ROSE: Objection.

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 Γ

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q or a personal representative of those
3	documents?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked and
5	answered.
6	THE COURT: Overruled.
7	THE WITNESS: I was named as his personal
8	representative and trustee, along with my partner.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Did you witness the document?
11	A. I did.
12	Q. Did you draft the document?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. Okay. You mentioned there was Kimberly Moran
15	there at the signing of these documents, correct?
16	A. She was.
17	Q. Okay. Can you point her out, because I'm
18	going to need her to testify as to the validity?
19	A. I do not see her in the courtroom.
20	Q. Okay. You mentioned a Traci Kratish. Can you
21	point her out in the courtroom today to validate the
22	documents?
23	A. I don't see Traci in the room either.
24	Q. So she was another witness that is not here
25	present to validate the documents today? Well, it's

awful -- okay. 1 Is Kimberly Moran here who notarized the 2 3 documents. MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked that 4 a minute ago. 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't -- did I? Was it 6 7 Moran --THE COURT: No, I thought it was some other 8 9 name. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: So did I. 11 THE COURT: Is Kimberly here? THE WITNESS: She's not. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Next question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Q. Okay. Being a former estate planning attorney. To validate a document, wouldn't you have the 16 parties who witnessed and notarized and signed present? 17 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 18 19 Misstates --THE COURT: Sustained. 20 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2.2 Q. Is it necessary to validate documents with the 23 necessary notaries and witnesses present? 24 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 25 conclusion.

1	THE COURT: Well, I'm the one that's going
2	make that decision. I don't care what the witness
3	says about the law.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: I gotcha. Okay.
5	THE COURT: So this would be a good time for
6	us to take a pause. We're not making headway.
7	You ever here of cavitation when it comes to
8	boat propellers?
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I don't know a lot about
11	the physics of it, but a boat goes forward based on
12	a propeller spinning in the water. And it happens
13	sometimes in racing boats, maybe other boats too,
14	that you get the propeller going so fast or you do
15	something so much with the propeller that it
16	cavitates, which means that it's not actually
17	pushing in the water. It's making a lot of noise.
18	It's spinning like crazy. It's furiously working,
19	but it's not propelling the boat forward. I want
20	to suggest to you that you've hit a point of
21	cavitation. So this would be a good time for us to
22	take our lunch break so that when we get back we'll
23	go forward with this ship that is our trial.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: How long?
25	THE COURT: It'll be until 1:30.

Γ

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2	THE COURT: That'll give everybody a time to
3	revive, if necessary, and we'll reconstitute
4	ourselves at 1:30. Thanks.
5	(A break was taken.)
6	(Proceedings continued in Volume 2.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	
6	
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	Dated this 4th day of January 2016.
13	Cheville Diline
14	A think the may
15	
16	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
17	Job #1358198-VOL 1
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	December	137 2013	
	71:5	46:13,18	<b>40B</b> 16:11
\$	<b>16</b> 65:22,24 66:17,21,22	51:15,21 53:1 57:17 59:1,2	<b>40C</b> 17:1
<b>\$1.2</b> 49:25	71:6	62:7 63:23	5
<b>\$100</b> 81:12	<b>16th</b> 12:15	64:17 67:18,24	
<b>\$100,000</b> 48:7	<b>17</b> 62:6 73:3,	73:25 74:16	<b>5</b> 20:17,18 23:6
<b>\$18</b> 23:11 46:12	13,21,22	81:16 87:17,22 88:8 89:8	51:20 71:22
<b>\$2</b> 54:6	<b>18</b> 23:13 25:16,	106:21,25	72:6,11,12
<b>\$200,000</b> 32:2,9	17 47:16 74:3,	108:3,21	89:5,7,12,16,
+	4,8,9	110:20	20,24 90:4,8,
	<b>18th</b> 40:15 86:2	<b>20th</b> 82:19	12,16
0	<b>19</b> 12:25 25:17 26:14	83:12 84:9,11	<b>500,000</b> 47:24 48:1 55:16
<b>0</b> 55:13	<b>1st</b> 59:1	<b>24</b> 89:7	40:1 55:10
07 23:12	<b>ISC</b> 59:1	<b>24th</b> 63:23	
08 24:3,5		65:16	6
,-	2	<b>25</b> 20:15 21:17,	<b>6</b> 76:25 77:1,5
1	<b>2</b> 35:9 36:11	19 67:18	101:18
<u> </u>	39:11 51:6	87:17,21 88:8	<b>60</b> 58:11
<b>1</b> 15:23 34:3,6,	55:14 61:12,	89:8 <b>250</b> 55:14	<b>600</b> 48:13,14
10,15,16 39:10	14,21 62:2,3		<b>600,000</b> 55:18
54:12 61:14,20	84:7,10,16,19,	<b>25th</b> 67:24	
62:2 82:14,17	23 85:2,7,12,	<b>26th</b> 15:5 73:7	
83:11,18,22	19,23 86:4	<b>27</b> 36:8 84:10	7
84:2,5 101:20,	20 24:9		<b>7</b> 19:11,20,25
25 102:15,16	2000 17:21	3	20:1 73:15
<b>1,150,000</b> 49:2	18:17 20:4,14		82:17 87:18
<b>1,550,000</b> 49:12	21:8,24 30:11	<b>3</b> 38:20 39:1,6,	<b>75</b> 54:14
<b>10</b> 13:9,10,22 14:3,4 22:3	<b>2002</b> 37:19	7,11 51:7 61:14,22 62:2	<b>750,000</b> 49:10
58:9 75:16	2007 11:25	86:1,3,6,9,13,	
<b>100</b> 48:14	12:15 22:4	16,22 87:2,9,	
<b>100,000</b> 48:18	25:25 46:11 47:16 49:23	13	8
<b>11</b> 26:12,21	51:18 53:1	<b>31</b> 24:3	800,000 51:9
27:1,2,5,11	73:2 81:15	<b>33</b> 23:6 33:22	8th 42:23
<b>11/14/07</b> 14:13	2008 8:25 9:1,	<b>365,000</b> 52:13	
<b>11/14/2007</b> 15:3	2,5,6,21,25		9
<b>11/16</b> 15:6	10:4,5 24:9,11	4	
<b>12</b> 22:9,12 24:5	25:25 26:15		<b>9</b> 12:11,12,20
<b>12/19</b> 23:23	33:3,14 34:1 35:12 37:1,17,	<b>4</b> 67:19,20,22	13:1
<b>12/19/07</b> 23:22,	20 38:24	68:2 70:3,8,9,	<b>90</b> 58:11
24	40:15,16 41:5	15 71:9,10	9th 32:11,17
<b>13</b> 23:5 45:18,	49:22,23 61:12	87:15,19,25	107:17,23
22 46:2,3,19	64:21 82:20	88:3,12,17,23 89:2	108:3,8,21
53:22 59:1	83:12 84:9,11	<b>40</b> 17:16 18:3	109:3,12
73:25 106:25	85:2 86:3	<b>40</b> 17:16 18:3	110:9,10
<b>14</b> 60:24 61:3,	110:17,18	<b>40-</b> 01:12 <b>40A</b> 15:22 17:7,	
7,8 14-1- 22.4 22.12	2010 42:22	<b>40A</b> 15:22 17:7, 12	А
<b>14th</b> 22:4 23:12	43:17 58:25	<b>40A-F</b> 17:13	-h
<b>15</b> 17:21 63:18 64:5,10,11	<b>2012</b> 9:17,18		absence 98:3
	45:15,21		

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

	December	19, 2019	2
absolutely	affidavit 70:21	60:24 84:10	assume 51:8
99:17,20	affirmed 10:24	appoint 20:23	104:10
abundance	afraid 101:6	30:2,13 77:15	assuming 28:9
102:12	afternoon 82:7	appointment	attached 62:13
<b>access</b> 43:25	aggressive 7:19	20:21 21:1,4,	<b>attack</b> 78:16
accommodate	agree 9:24 10:5	15 29:19	attend 59:5
37:10	agreement 9:18	31:12,15 33:6,	attention 82:16
accompany 40:25	29:10,22 35:11	12,20 55:4	84:9 86:3
account 23:2	38:2 61:13,22,	65:7 98:4	87:18 89:7
57:4	24 64:16 65:8	approach 11:2	attorney 12:2
accounting	71:24 89:6,9	12:11 15:21	24:16 27:8
60:21 62:14	90:6 97:13	67:19 111:6	45:1,4 51:14
103:13	101:15	approaches 11:3	65:23 67:15,25
accountings	agreements	approval 59:24	71:6 81:8
107:22	35:20	approved 53:16	91:12,13,15
accurate 19:19	<b>Alan</b> 5:6	approximately	96:10 99:25
76:22 111:2,6	Alexandra 8:15	45:13	109:15
accurately	<b>alive</b> 35:7	<b>April</b> 26:14	attorney-client
104:20	43:25 44:5,8	29:1 32:11,17	38:9
acknowledge	52:10 63:10	37:2 107:17,23	attorneys 81:11
96:16	75:3 110:11	108:3,8,21	94:20
acknowledged	allegedly	109:3,12,17	August 17:21
96:18	107:18	110:9,10	authentic 8:22
acting 73:1	allowed 101:9	<b>area</b> 37:4	9:16 26:18
action 8:24	altered 78:12	<b>argue</b> 96:25	102:5
actively 84:5	95:23 97:23	97:20 104:9 106:2	authorization
85:22 87:13	98:20	arguing 104:22	63:11
88:23 90:16	altering 46:7	argument 92:14,	authorized 45:3
<b>actual</b> 35:15	96:21 97:10,16	16 97:20	<b>avoid</b> 29:15,18
add 23:10	amend 38:1	argumentative	<b>aware</b> 39:24 40:24 50:10,18
addition 41:5	amended 8:24	97:19 98:16	55:20 56:5
65:6	9:18 38:4,5	104:4,12	72:25 75:8
address 5:23	64:15,23 71:23	106:17	79:6 81:13
addressing	75:1 89:6	arranged 40:5	90:13 107:17
60:25	<b>amendment</b> 9:2, 4,5,7 39:18	arrangements	
adjust 11:8	40:2,14,17	80:10,12,20,24	R
111:5	61:23 86:1	arrows 19:17	B
administration	87:4 98:14	assert 70:17	back 8:1 15:4
44:4,8 46:18 74:18	101:14 102:8	<b>asset</b> 25:10	40:13 42:2
admission 11:11	110:18	42:7 50:4	47:11 49:22
admission 11:11 admitted 24:8	amount 20:7	74:19	60:21,22 79:15
80:13 96:21	55:11 56:6	<b>assets</b> 18:9,11	93:3 100:9
100:2 107:11	ancillary 16:23	29:16,17 30:2	103:20,23
adult 8:14 82:8	Andrews 53:17	31:13 36:4	107:4,14,21
advance 15:9,13	answering 76:7	43:11 48:20	108:15,18
advince 56:23	appearance 8:11	49:18 50:1 54:22 55:2 5	110:6
57:7 99:25	appears 18:12	54:22 55:2,5 64:24 78:23	<b>bad</b> 101:8,9
100:7 104:25	20:12 23:3	81:13 98:11	104:18,19 balance 21:18
affect 97:8	25:17 26:20	assistant 40:11	33:23
	27:15 36:8	66:14 102:24	55.25
	1	1	1

2

	December	15, 2015	د
<pre>Banks 82:22 Banks' 83:8 bar 100:2 101:7 based 25:14     60:2 91:11     94:25 99:16,19 basically 31:3     78:1 Bates 13:20     16:17 Baxley 66:8,11,     12 67:8 Beach 69:25     74:19 95:15     96:2,5,20 bear 16:17     65:25 68:6 bears 15:22     24:9 70:15 begin 78:16 beginning 5:11 begins 53:22 behalf 5:6 6:1     8:13 belabor 21:15 belief 37:20     69:24 77:13     85:16 belong 19:18 beneficiaries     18:4 20:8     33:12,16 46:25     57:14 75:15     77:11 97:24     98:1,6,21 99:8 beneficiary     28:10 33:4     42:24 43:6,20,     21 44:17,20     55:9 56:22     57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18,     22 89:1     90.10 15</pre>	$ \begin{array}{c} 6:6,8,11,14, \\ 17,20,24 7:3, \\ 7,10,13,16,17 \\ 8:15,16 9:1,2, \\ 17 10:4,7,9, \\ 11,14 11:15, \\ 20,24 12:8,17, \\ 18 13:5 14:19, \\ 22 16:2,8,13, \\ 21 20:15 23:16 \\ 24:9,12,22 \\ 25:1,15 27:13 \\ 28:18 29:10 \\ 30:23 31:9 \\ 36:11,13 41:15 \\ 43:2 45:10 \\ 46:18 49:4,6,8 \\ 52:8 56:8 \\ 60:25 61:18, \\ 21,22,23,25 \\ 62:24 63:12,19 \\ 64:1 65:25 \\ 68:15,17,21,25 \\ 69:5,20 70:7, \\ 16 71:23 73:4 \\ 74:13 75:8 \\ 77:3,8 78:16, \\ 25 79:4,9,12 \\ 80:9,14,25 \\ 81:14,21 82:9, \\ 15,19 83:7,11, \\ 14,17,22 84:1, \\ 11,15,20 85:1, \\ 6,11,17 86:6, \\ 10,13,17,22 \\ 87:1,8,16,17, \\ 20 88:1,4,9, \\ 13,18 89:2,5, \\ 8,13,17,19,25 \\ 90:4,11,24 \\ 91:7,23 92:8, \\ 9,13,15,20,23 \\ 93:1,4,8,13, \\ 16,19,25 94:4, \\ 7,11,14,17,18, \\ 25 95:5,8,12, \\ \end{array} $	<pre>103:2,6,8,10 104:8,13 105:1,11,17, 20,22,25 106:4,6,22 108:11,13,15, 18,20 109:22, 25 110:4,5 111:8,9 Bernstein's 17:5 22:14 61:12 82:24 84:8,23 86:2 91:12,13 96:10 97:6 Bill 31:22 51:13 bit 108:11 blank 71:2 block 67:1 70:23 blogging 78:17 book 11:5,6,14 101:17 bottom 14:10 53:21 55:13 bought 50:19 56:4 bounty 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:15 90:2 box 67:3 70:25 break 58:8,19 briefly 41:8 bringing 40:23 brokerage 23:2 brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1, 3 27:16 31:21</pre>	22,25 63:1,5, 14 65:13 69:16 called 40:3,5 45:3,15 52:7 56:16 75:25 91:8 calls 62:23 Candice 5:19 24:12,22 care 8:6 16:24 27:9 55:6 80:4 carefully 101:10 carry 77:7 97:12 carrying 97:15 case 5:3 10:15 24:8 50:17 93:22 94:20,24 95:4,6 104:20 cash 47:11 causing 63:7 caution 102:12 CD 47:9 certificate 74:3,12 chain 69:5 change 21:11,25 30:14 40:4 45:11 55:8 56:23 57:20,24 97:23 98:20 99:8 changed 78:12 changing 54:21 56:14,17 charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4 child 19:17
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12	8,13,17,19,25 90:4,11,24 91:7,23 92:8, 9,13,15,20,23 93:1,4,8,13, 16,19,25 94:4, 7,11,14,17,18,	<pre>brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1,</pre>	<pre>charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4</pre>

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

41:2 44:7 45:7	51:11,16 76:1,	convicted 93:2	24:15,23
46:24 55:6	3	103:1,5,11	26:23,25 29:18
57:13 58:2,5	company's 13:19	<b>copies</b> 15:16	34:12,14,24
59:5,20,23	complaint 8:17,	35:13,15 76:16	35:6 39:3,5
60:8 77:9,25	24 75:19		41:18 42:1
81:6 96:11		<b>copy</b> 16:4 26:18	45:24 46:1
	compounded	34:5,8,19 45:2	
107:21 108:6	108:11	57:9 68:6,12,	49:5,7 53:15
109:3 110:7,	Concepts 51:12	14 69:16,17	56:9 58:7,15,
11,12	concerned 42:7	73:4 76:22	20 61:4,6,17,
children's 27:7		correct 20:16	19 62:1 64:7,9
97:6	conditions	21:2,7,10	66:18,20 68:9,
Christine 95:24	20:23		16,19,23 69:2,
96:10 98:13	<b>condo</b> 23:4	27:9,14 28:11,	8,22,25 70:5
	51:2,6 78:24	14 29:13 31:7,	72:8,10 73:15,
chronological	conduct 7:20	25 32:4,8,12	
14:8,9		33:5,7,24	17,20 74:5,7
circumstances	conference 37:4	34:21 35:4	77:5 79:2,6,
77:6 91:17	58:4 59:3,6,19	36:8 40:10	10,14,18,24
92:3	60:6 62:9,11,	43:4,9,17	80:4 81:1,19,
<b>claim</b> 10:1	25 63:1 65:13	44:18 47:15,	24 90:20 91:1,
47:10 80:22	conferences	19,22 48:8,16,	22 92:6,12,14,
	72:18	25 49:3,11	16,22,24 93:3,
claiming 10:4	confidential	51:17 52:14	5,9,14,18,24
99:21	29:17		94:2,3,6,8,12,
clarify 69:6		53:14,18 54:8	15,22 95:2,7,
<b>clear</b> 95:9	conform 69:17	57:18,24 62:7	9,18 96:7,13,
<b>clerk</b> 68:12	conformed 34:8,	65:1 66:7	23 97:18
	19 68:14 69:16	70:22 71:3,17,	98:17,23,25
<b>clerk's</b> 68:6,	73:5	21 73:9 75:6,	
10,11 70:1	confused 17:25	13 77:4 91:9	99:5,10,12,18,
<b>client</b> 15:4	104:13,16	101:16 103:8	21,24 100:2,
25:9 26:15	confuses 100:6	109:4 111:12,	18,19,22
29:15 35:2,5		13,22	101:3,8,13,17,
39:15 69:13,15	confusion	counsel 5:17	22,24 102:1,6,
clients 12:16,	104:14	6:2,24 10:12	11,18 103:4,
18 13:15,17	connection 84:2	69:19 78:8	14,15,17,19,
	85:17,18		20,24 104:5,7,
53:5,10	consent 62:16	97:6	9,14 105:3,8,
<b>close</b> 46:24	91:20,24 92:4	count 8:23 9:15	10,14,16,18,
60:19 73:3	consistent	<b>County</b> 69:25	21,23,24
106:8 107:24	24:10 30:7	95:15 96:2,5,	106:2,5,18
<b>closed</b> 46:20,21		21	107:5,10,13,
106:13,16	contact 60:6	couple 25:7	14,15 108:9,
<b>closer</b> 48:14	contents 75:12	72:21 79:21	
Code 104:1	continuance		12,14,16
	7:6,24	<b>court</b> 5:3,8,12,	109:21,23
codicils 17:4	continue 57:1	16,20,24 6:5,	110:3,15
collateralized	58:21 104:9	7,10,13,15,18,	courteous 7:18
50:1		21 7:1,5,9,12,	courtesy 24:18
<b>Colon</b> 103:24	<b>control</b> 39:15,	15,17 8:9,12,	100:4,12,13
column 51:3	16	18 9:4,6,24	courthouse
committed 96:18	controlled	10:3,8,10,13,	34:25 78:3
communication	52:2,9	16 11:3,6,9,	courts 78:22
58:2	convenience	12,13 12:22,24	96:17
	40:8	13:24 14:2	
company 23:7	conversation	17:9,11,19,22,	<b>crash</b> 53:4
41:12 48:10	6:21	24 19:22,24	
	1	1	1

4

**created** 26:19 16 30:1 33:18 **Diana** 82:22,24 **divided** 64:24 32:15 35:17, 43:22 50:16 83:8 docket 8:3 19,23 41:11,17 54:16 57:5 **die** 30:14 47:1 document 12:13 60:3 77:12,22 64:24 74:3,12 **died** 28:5 21:13 22:16 75:7 106:24 **crime** 92:10 24:7 26:19 31:11,14 33:3 110:7 **cross** 81:20 42:20 50:8 28:25 29:12 **debacle** 47:10 82:5 90:21 58:24 69:18 31:14 34:8,23 91:6 **Deborah** 32:7 74:16 80:22 35:10,13,18 81:6,7 106:25 38:6,17,21,23 **December** 42:21 cross-39:22,24 40:6 examination 58:24 **direct** 7:19 11:16 58:1 63:4 68:13 82:1 **decide** 8:9,20, 69:6,25 77:1, 81:25 82:15 cumulative 21 45:10 94:24 2,12,14,20 84:9 86:3 105:9,15,18 104:20 110:16, 78:10,18 87:18 89:6 109:20 110:14 17,19 111:3 82:16,18,25 directed 16:2 decided 57:7 current 46:9 83:5,8,14 direction 38:12 custody 69:5 decision 44:12 84:11 85:14 41:17 57:23 111:3,7 **cut** 20:12 77:25 86:12 87:20,24 directly deduction 43:24 98:8 89:4 95:23 51:8 disagree 10:7 96:9,21 97:5, D 95:7 defamation 79:4 7,8,11,16,23 discharge **default** 33:12, Dad 60:16 98:12,19,25 62:14,15,16 16 99:2,6,9,12, **Daily** 74:19 disclose 16:20 Defendant 16,19,22 **date** 14:12 15:1 disclosed 46:12 102:15 100:19 101:4, 17:19,22 23:21 81:14 defendant's 13 102:7 104:3 24:9 40:14 **discuss** 22:22 105:13 108:5 101:20 102:16 63:22 67:17 110:9 25:19 39:14,17 defendants 8:14 84:8 88:1,7,8 46:6 48:22 documents 8:22, **denied** 7:6,24 **dated** 12:15 54:22 60:18 25 9:11,13,14, 15:4 26:14 depending 19,23 13:8 discussed 42:8 62:6 73:7 55:11,12 57:16 59:18 15:15,16,19 83:11 depends 98:2 65:13 107:20 16:22,23 17:2, **dates** 24:1 deposited 20,22 18:7,8, discussion daughter 54:24 105:22 9,18 19:1 22:5,22 32:18 55:25 depression 53:4 20:4,13,14 42:10 54:11 **day** 6:16 8:3,4 descendants 21:8 22:1 25:3 55:19 59:22 52:20 58:12 30:3 98:14,22 26:5,6,8,15,17 63:14 95:14 65:16 67:24 describe 18:2 27:12,21 29:4 discussions 71:25 73:7 28:18 41:8 30:8,15 31:12, 44:25 54:1 109:4 110:17 102:23 16,24 32:10, 74:14 days 15:6,7 designated 19,22,23 disinherited 27:11 28:23 33:13 33:13,17 34:1 55:2 106:9,13, dead 36:15 37:1,13 designation disposition 16 40:4,9,16,19 55:9 57:21 19:2 deal 43:10 41:6,20 44:1, designations dispositions 2,21 45:2,4,5, dealership 27:8 21:25 11,16 55:2,3 50:13 determine 9:15 dispositive 56:15,18 57:6, dealings 67:11 79:22 57:6 65:19 24 60:3 61:15 **dealt** 104:15 developing 97:8 63:3,11,16 **Dear** 64:14 111:2 distributions 64:17 65:16,21 **death** 18:10,15 **device** 38:7 29:25 66:9 71:16,18 20:9,18 29:7, 72:16 73:8

5

	December	15, 2015	0
75:14 76:10, 15,17,19,21 77:10,17,23,24 78:11 79:23 80:12,17,21 82:12 97:14 103:16,19,25 105:2,8 106:7 107:15 108:25 110:1,20 111:11,12,16, 21,22 dollar 23:3 35:22 36:2 dollars 25:18 Don 13:12 draft 32:22,23 63:15 65:16 drafted 30:8 33:13 98:15 111:12,20 drafting 39:13 drafts 26:6,7,9 27:6 37:2 63:20 64:15 drag 41:2 Drive 53:12 duly 10:24 durable 16:24 27:8 65:23 67:14,24 71:5, 6 duties 63:6	effort 43:10 Eli 97:5 Eliot 5:15 7:16 11:14 18:12 20:14 21:17 33:21 45:7 52:1 56:4,5 60:12,25 62:7, 18,24 63:12 78:16,20 80:14,22 96:10 98:21 Eliot's 78:7 email 60:25 62:6 emergency 78:22 empty 50:5 Enclosed 27:6 enclosing 63:20 73:4 end 8:4 33:22 110:16 enforceable 8:23 9:16 37:15 71:20 110:19,21 enforcing 7:19 entered 82:14 entity 41:11,16 52:4,7,9 envelope 15:24 equal 20:22 21:21	55:23 56:18,19 57:8,16 58:6 59:21 60:19 63:3 64:22 69:19 74:23 76:17 77:23,24 80:21 99:3 103:2,6 106:8, 13,15 107:24 111:12 estimate 43:13 event 29:19 30:13 51:16 eventually 29:6 33:25 36:4 evidence 12:21 13:2,23 14:5, 15 17:8,14 19:21,25 20:2 22:3 26:22 27:1,3 34:11, 14,17 39:2,5,8 45:23 46:1,4 61:3,6,9,14 62:2,4 64:6,9, 12 66:17,23 70:3,10 71:5 72:7,10,13 73:21,23 74:4, 7,10 80:13 99:13 100:17 101:19,25 102:8,17 EXAMINATION	84:8,11 85:2, 7,12 86:2,17, 22 87:2,17 88:13,17 89:20,24 90:5, 8 111:20 execution 36:15 82:12,13,25 83:2,4,8 84:2 85:19 88:8 exercise 33:11 55:3 exercised 21:5, 16 33:21 65:7 exercising 98:3 exhibit 12:11, 12,20 13:1,9, 10,22,25 14:4 15:22 16:11 17:7,13,16 18:3 19:20 20:1 22:3,9,12 26:12,21 27:2, 5 34:3,6,10,16 35:9 36:11 38:20 39:1,7 45:17,18,22 46:3 53:22 60:24 61:3,8, 12 62:3 63:18 64:5,11 65:22, 24 66:17,22 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,9,
E	<b>equally</b> 19:6 <b>Eric</b> 8:15	11:16 <b>examined</b> 10:24	13,15 71:5,9, 10,22 72:6,12
<pre>earlier 93:10, 11 100:3 101:18 earliest 14:11 early 42:16 45:15 46:18 easier 23:1 education 80:16 effect 39:18 60:16 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 97:9,10 effectively 21:4 38:17</pre>	<pre>essentially     28:19 establish 41:22 established     98:9,10 estate 12:2     13:7 15:12,14     16:22 18:2,13     20:6,11 25:3     27:20 28:10,23     30:14 33:4     41:5,24 43:18,     19 44:5,8,13     46:7,24,25     48:19 49:15,18     50:7 52:12</pre>	<pre>exception     31:17,18 excluded 33:18     44:22 Excuse 24:12     34:7 106:11 execute 33:25     40:6 84:15,19,     22 86:6,9,12     87:8,20,24     88:3 89:2,8,     12,16 90:11 executed 35:12     40:9 65:21     67:23 68:1     76:22 82:19     83:14,18,22</pre>	73:3,22 74:3,9 76:25 77:1,5 78:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5,7,10, 16,19,23 85:2, 7,12,19,23 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13,15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2,5,7,12, 16,20,24 90:4, 8,12,16 100:23,25 101:24 102:16

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

exhibits 11:5 **FDIC** 47:21 FMV 51:3 **Gary's** 101:6 **exist** 40:16 **gave** 46:14 February 46:13 **focus** 110:25 51:15 56:11,20 50:12 existed 30:11 **focused** 83:10 59:1 72:17 52:17 110:23,24 **general** 41:11 81:16 existence 41:16 83:18,22 85:3 **folks** 101:9 **Federal** 27:12 88:9 existing 13:7 **follow** 7:20 15:19 **Fedex** 64:3 generally 18:2 26:16 104:2 59:18 83:15 **felon** 92:21 **exists** 52:20 **forged** 103:22 85:8,13 86:18, **felony** 92:10 104:3 105:13 expected 42:11 22 87:3 88:13 93:2,15 103:1, 107:6,14 expert 91:9 89:21,25 90:5 5 form 36:2 52:17 explain 27:20 100:4 **felt** 55:23,25 formalities 77:5,21 gentleman 51:13 107:15 37:14,24 38:24 explanation **genuine** 77:2 **figure** 41:19 67:15 26:16 80:2 102:5 55:5 formally 51:13 **Express** 27:12 gift 32:2,9 **file** 11:12 **Forman** 12:7 extensive 41:23 39:19 12:14 15:6,8, **formed** 48:23 **extent** 20:20 girlfriend 20 69:22 78:2, 21:4 61:13 forward 8:2 50:12,24 55:24 22 107:10 83:15 85:4 **Found** 30:15 56:21 **filed** 7:8 34:24 86:18 88:10 **fourth** 24:4 give 18:5 21:9, 35:6 43:15 89:21 **fraud** 96:18 11,23 25:23 47:10 74:18 110:2 27:25 30:12 103:14,15,18 **frauded** 104:3  $\mathbf{F}$ 31:12 40:4 107:12 fraudulent 97:5 57:7 64:21 **files** 13:20 face-to-face 103:1,16 69:15 72:24 16:4,15 35:5 72:21 105:8,13 107:6 80:1 81:4 **filing** 7:7,23 fraudulently 91:17 92:3 Facebook 79:19 **final** 29:3 106:15 95:23 96:9 **fact** 9:8 28:15 64:18 97:16,23 **qiving** 45:4 39:18 44:21 finally 7:22 98:14,20 107:5 56:18 99:24 54:4 55:1 **finances** 46:9 75:15 78:10 **freeze** 78:23 100:7 **find** 100:8 **facts** 80:6 **friends** 100:5 **good** 5:5 19:12 **fine** 22:21 80:7 42:14 56:2 104:19 111:1 **front** 89:13,17 finished 81:25 58:7 82:7 **failed** 61:11 **full** 107:17,23 **firm** 12:3,5,9 Gotcha 101:12 **Fair** 51:4 108:21 13:5 16:17 **grammar** 65:19 **fairly** 29:14 **fully** 72:25 26:14 36:21 grandchildren familiar 22:18 **funded** 36:3 69:18 75:22 8:15 19:18 47:22 **funds** 77:15 91:19 103:16 55:6 56:19 **family** 19:12 **future** 26:2 105:2,5,7,12 57:8 59:22 27:7 30:3 107:5 60:10 65:1,9 32:5,13 41:15 **fit** 8:5 G 75:16 77:16 52:8 57:5 63:5 fitting 82:3 80:19 82:8 80:14 98:8,10 Fleisher 12:7 gain 111:15,16, granted 65:7 fashion 77:21 24 **flip** 15:3 23:18 **great** 5:12 **father** 63:5 gained 111:21 31:1 65:24 43:10 56:20 81:6,7 98:9 **gallery** 24:20 **Florida** 37:14, 58:15 111:18 100:9,10,11, 24 41:10,13 Greenwald 15:25 **father's** 97:12 94:19,20 100:3 12,14 16:7,12,19 **favor** 21:16 104:1 **Gary** 101:10 17:3 65:8

Greq 5:9 gross 53:16 guardian 29:19 quess 30:10 32:1 47:10 54:11,23 55:23 75:3 76:25 107:8 **quilty** 93:20 **Gutter** 12:6 **quy** 92:22 100:7 guy's 79:19 н half 8:4 47:17 51:7 53:20 **hand** 11:10 13:9 15:21 16:11 26:12 34:3 35:9 38:20 45:17 60:24 63:18 65:22 71:22 76:25 handle 25:5 handwriting 22:11,19 handwritten 34:20 happen 6:19 104:18,19 happened 45:14 50:9 60:2 75:20 103:21 **happy** 55:1 60:17 heading 29:23 **health** 16:24 27:9 42:12,14 **hear** 9:22 106:11 **heard** 81:11 hearing 79:13 **heart** 42:15 **held** 64:25 **high** 53:5 **hired** 45:1 **history** 72:15 **hit** 47:10 hoc 6:25

holds 52:12 holidavs 25:7 home 51:25 52:5 64:3 Honor 5:5 11:2, 4 12:21 13:23 17:8,23 19:10, 21 26:22 39:2 45:23 49:4 61:11 70:4 72:7 78:25 81:18 100:25 hope 111:5 **hour** 23:17 house 23:3 40:6 51:6 52:8,12 53:12 56:4 housekeeping 61:10 huge 11:6 husband 20:19, 23 Ι **idea** 56:20 90:25 identical 28:19 31:3,16 identification 67:2 71:2 identify 12:12 13:10 17:2 26:13 important 60:17 65:5 71:18 108:19 110:2 importantly 109:11 incapacity 29:20 include 35:5 55:10 56:21 57:21 98:13 included 17:3 38:6 43:15 includes 23:13 including 16:23 **income** 29:24 increasing 55:11

indication 21:23 25:23 72:24 81:4 individually 5:23,25 10:7 influenced 84:1 85:17 influencing 87:8 89:1 90:10 information 85:21 87:7,12 88:22,25 90:15 inherent 28:5 81:5,6 initial 31:4 Initially 75:14 **inject** 24:18 insider 91:24 93:22 instrument 98:2 insurance 23:5, 13 25:20 51:12 53:25 54:2,7,9 55:9 56:22 57:13 **intake** 12:14 15:4 intended 30:8 77:11 97:10 **intent** 65:12 77:7,25 interest 48:20 51:19 52:15 111:15,17,22, 24 internal 54:23 internet 78:17 interruption 82:2 interviewed 96:4 invalid 9:25 10:6 80:5 inventory 43:15 inventorying 43:11 invested 47:13 investing 47:17 investment 47:8,12

investments
 41:15 47:21
involved 25:20
 44:24 48:10
 51:14 93:22
involvement
 36:21
IRA 49:10
issue 95:11
 109:23
issues 42:15,16
 78:15 94:23
 95:3
item 106:3
Iviewit 76:3

## J

January 24:3
Jill 18:12 45:8
98:5,7,21
John 82:7
joined 8:17
joint 23:1 57:4
JP 50:1
judge 8:14
81:22 90:19
101:9 103:24
July 65:21
67:18,24 72:17
87:17,21 88:8
89:8

## К

**kick** 108:15,18 **kicked** 107:14 kids 108:25 Kim 67:11 71:15 Kimberly 36:18 40:7 66:5 70:17 87:21 88:1,3 89:9, 13,16 102:22 103:22 105:13 107:3 **kind** 42:17 55:4 58:1 75:18 **knew** 25:12 42:17 57:10 67:10,11 76:8

knowledge         42:13         1ife         23:4,13         43:8         55:2         maximum         47:20,           85:20         87:6,11         43:8         55:9         10xe 6:15         10xel 9:51           85:20         1ifetime         30:24         76:23         105:18         means         94:9,13           20:22         1ifetime         30:24         76:23         105:18         mechanism         22:15         31:8           1ady 99:24         1inited         11:10,         77:23         65:15         66:20         68:12         66:20         68:12         66:20         66:12         66:20         66:12         85:22         55:42         22:14         23:15         23:12,11,11           1apsed         71:11         96:19,22         95:9         97:13         12,17         14:17           1ase         10:6:25         96:9,724         13:6         13:7         17:25         14:6:6,13         23:6,12         23:12,23,12         24:6,23         24:6,23         23:6,12         24:19,21         24:12,23         23:12,12         24:12         23:15         55:4         55:4         10:12,11         11:12         11:12         12:17         14:17         24:18         12:11		December	19, 2019	9
85:20       87:6,11       54:6,9       55:9         88:21       90:9,14       16       56:22         Xratish       36:14,17,20,22       11       16       16       16         20,22       11       11       16       17       10       10       31:8         1ady       99:24       11       12,13       11       12,13       10       12,13       11       12,13       10       12,13       10       12,13       10       12,13       13:8       12,14       17,7       14       13:14       13:7       17:12,2,3,4       13:14,17       22:4       23:12,31       10,7:16       15:9,14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       22:4       23:14,17       23:4       23:12,17       13:14       12:7,11	knowledge 42:13	life 23:4,13	love 6:15	<b>maximum</b> 47:20,
88:22 087:6,11 88:22 90:9,14         54:22 56:22         lunch 40:5         means 94:9,13 105:18           Kratish 36:14, 20,22         lifetime 30:24 76:23         M           M         meats 10:24         meats 23:23:15           L         M         meats 11:23           L         M         meats 12:23:15           L         M         meats 12:23:15           L         M         meats 12:23:15           L         M         meats 12:23:15           L         M         meats 22:23:15           L         M         meats 32:23:15         M           L         M         meats 32:23:15         M           L         M         M         M         M           L         M         M         M         M           L         M         M         M         M           L         M         M         M         M           L         M         M         M         M         M           M         M         M         M         M         M           M         M         M         M         M         M           M         M         M	82:13 84:3,4	43:8 51:11	lovelv 5:18	24
88:21 90:9,14       56:22       Iifetime 30:24       M         23.84:14,17,       76:23       Iight 78:15,19         Iady 99:24       Iight 78:15,19       made 32:2 39:19         L       Iimited 41:10,       56:20 66:12         1ady 99:24       12,13       66:8,         1arguage 21:13       11,12 67:7       107:16         1apse 57:11       1ase 10:24       1ist 10:21         1ase 10:24       1ist 30:3       98:13,21         1ase 10:24       1ist 50:3       13:7 17:25         13:5 [6:17       1ist 97:24       37:14,24 69:18         144:25 75:22       1ist 97:24       37:13,15 40:8         144:25 75:22       1ive 42:11       1iving 100:8         1awauri 31:9       23:1,6       100:2,12         1aymen 'S 27:19, 22       23:16       11:2,6         1aymen's 27:19, 23       27:9 64:25       77:24         1aymen's 27:19, 23       21:2,224       100:21         1earned 44:21       1coan 49:25 51:8       51:4         16art 16:12,19       10:2:24       martal 30:2         197:14       10:2:24       10:2:24         1aymen's 27:19, 23:17       10:2:2       11:2,6         1ayman 77:9,10       10:3:5 51:25	85:20 87:6,11	54:6,9 55:9	-	<b>means</b> 94:9,13
Kratish 36:14, 23.84:14,17, 20,22         lifetime 30:24 76:23         M         mechanism 29:21           L         light 78:15,19 imitations 82:4         made 32:2 39:19 55:147:7         mechanism 29:21           lady 99:24         limited 41:10, 12,13         finitations 82:4         made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15         mechanism 29:21           lady 99:24         limited 41:10, 12,13         limited 41:10, 12,13         finitations 82:4         made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15         mechanism 29:21           language 21:13         limited 41:10, 12,13         limited 41:10, 12,13         finitations 82:4         mate 32:2 39:19           laser 110:24         lingo 108:16         main 37:3         meeting 13:11, 12:17 14:18           laser 110:24         liste 104:23         liste 107:20         main 37:3           late 106:25         liste 107:21         liste 103:23         make 6:25 8:11         liste 19:15           lawei 13:9         listen 104:23         liste 104:21         liste 104:21         liste 109:12           lawei 31:9         23:1,6         lives 52:1         makes 60:17         memeo 65:20           lawei 31:9         lives 52:1         lives 52:1         maked 45:17         memeo 65:20           layers 77:9,10         lives 52:1         loga 32:35 25:3         martis 30:22         si:14:	88:21 90:9,14			-
23         84:14,17, 20,22         76:23 light 78:15,19 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:12,13 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:17 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:12 li		lifetime 30:24		
20,22         light 78:15,19         made 32:2 39:19         meet 11:23           L         minitations         82:4         make 32:2 39:19         meet 11:23           L         minitations         82:4         make 32:2 39:19         meet 11:23           Lady 99:24         Linked 41:10, 12,13         make 32:2 39:19         meet 11:23           Lady 99:24         Linked 41:10, 12,13         make 32:2 39:19         meet 11:23           Language 21:13         Linked 30:3         Biss 25         meet 11:23           Lapsed 57:11         lineal 30:3         99:13/21         main 37:3         meet 13:24         59:14           Late 106:25         Lisa 18:13 45:8         main 37:3         meet 13:23         22:4 12:2:11           Jiste 100:21         liste 100:23         make 12:2 93:6:11         31:7 17:25         46:6:1 54:20           Lawful 20:22         Liste 100:23         1:3:7 17:25         46:6:1 54:20         31:31           Jawrun 31:9         Liste 100:23         1:3:7 17:25         77:10 80:9,11         31:417 23:16           Jawrun 31:9         Live 42:11         1:1:23         24:17 23:16         44:12 23:25           Jawrun 31:9         Live 42:11         1:1:23         24:17 23:16         24:12 25:10			М	
L         limitations         add:         32:2         39:19         23:15         25:8           lady         99:24         limitated         41:10,         23:15         25:8           lady         99:24         lindsay         66:8,         11,12         67:7         107:16         54:22         56:14         54:22         56:14         12,13         107:16         12,17         12,13         107:16         12,17         14:17         22:4         23:15         56:14         12,17         14:18         107:16         12,17         14:17         22:4         23:19,21         12:17         14:18         107:16         12,17         12:17         14:18         12:17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
L         82:4         45:1 47:7         40:1 45:16           lady 99:24         12,13         57:23 65:15         54:22 56:14           language 21:13         11,12 67:7         10:1 45:16         58:25           lapse 57:11         11,12 67:7         10:716         12,17 14:18           lapse 57:11         11,02 67:7         10:716         12,17 14:18           late 106:25         19:31.21         10:08:16         main 37:3         24:1,2,3,4           13:5 16:17         1isted 97:24         37:13,21 09:5,7,21         13:7 17:25         14:66,13 54:22           13:5 16:17         1iste 10:4:23         13:7 17:25         14:66,13 54:22         57:19,20 75:10           13:7 17:25         13:5 46:17         13:7 17:25         14:17 23:16         24:12,23,4           lawsuit 31:9         23:1,6         11:12,6         77:10,00:9,11         14:17 23:16           laymen's 27:19, 23:17         1:ves 52:1         1ived 56:4         11:12,2         14:17 23:16           laymen's 27:19, 23:17         10:14 10:4:23         14:17 23:16         14:17 23:16           laymen's 27:19, 23:10         10:14 10:22         23:23         72:22 74:15           laymen's 27:19, 23:10         10:14 10:21         77:24         10:14 10:22         14	20722		<b>made</b> 32:2 39:19	
J         1				
lady 99:24       12,13       11,12 67:7       107:16       12,17 14:18         lagse 57:11       1ineal 30:3       95:9 97:13       12,17 14:18         lagse 57:11       95:19 97:13       12,17 14:18         lagse 57:11       95:19 97:13       12,17 14:18         lagse 57:11       95:19 97:13       12,17 14:18         lagse 57:11       95:5,7,21       95:5,7,21         late 106:25       1ist 06:17       main 37:3       24:1,2,3,4         37:14,24 69:18       95:5,7,21       13:7 17:25       46:6,13 54:22         94:19 103:16       1isted 97:24       32:23 35:25       57:19,20 75:10         105:2,12 107:5       1isten 104:23       77:10 80:9,11       82:29 93:6         lawwit 31:9       23:1,6       101:4 104:23       12:17 23:16         laymen's 27:19,2       77:24       23:6,25       making 68:17         laymen's 27:19       77:24       12.2,24       marital 30:2       43:23         leave 73:10       109:21:2,24       100:4 4:25       75:12         leave 73:10       109:21:17       100:22       102:24         leave 73:10       109:2:14       103:15 25:2         leave 73:10       109:2:14       103:12       6:110         le	L			
laid65:20Lindsay66:8,97:13meeting13:11,lapsed11,1267:7107:1612,1714:18lapsed57:111ineal30:396:9,2222:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21law12:513:516:1713:532:2335:2557:19,2022:437:14,2469:1813:sten10:2337:13,1540:877:8107:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:2137:13,1540:877:8107:20105:2,12107:51isten10:2235:557:19,2077:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:12101:4104:2314:1723:101awrot23:1,6111:2,6makes60:17member101:714:2575:221ived55:1111:2,6match95:314:1723:1016:2423:2372:2274:1510:410:42110:24111:2,6metioned59:312:425:1010:2413:2314:1725:2012:310:1110:2213:514:1713:25:1612:412:1710:3213:1314:1714:1213:514:1713:1514:1713:1514:1714:12:2,2350:151:1				
language 21:13       11,12 67:7       107:16       12,17 14:18         lapse 57:11       lineal 30:3       mail 95:24       11:9,14,17         lapsed 57:11       lineal 30:3       96:13,21       mail 95:24       22:4 23:19,21         laser 110:24       lingo 108:16       mail 37:3       22:4 23:19,21       22:4 23:19,21         late 106:25       list 18:13 45:8       96:5,7,21       make 6:25 8:11       25:6,12 32:21,         larse 12:12:107:5       listed 97:24       32:23 35:25       57:19,20 75:10         layers 16:7       listen 104:23       37:13,15 40:8       57:19,20 75:10         lawsuit 31:9       23:1,6       101:4 104:23       77:20         laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25       lived 56:4       make 60:17       memo 65:20         laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25       77:24       25:6,25       marital 30:2       43:23         learned 44:21       loans 49:12,51:8       53:4       53:4       62:21       merits 104:21         leared 73:10       loans 49:25 51:8       53:4       72:25       61,11,13 25:16,       11:10e 23:3,5,         leared 60:9       a3:5 39:20       as:5 39:20       mariag 30:21,       74:6:12,21,4       64:1,2,4,21,1         loger 42:17       10:3:5 39:20       mariag 30:23			-	
LanguageLanguag	<b>laid</b> 65:20			-
Lapse5:1198:13,2196:19,2222:422:1,2,7,4Laser10:24Lingo108:16main37:324:1,2,7,4Late106:25Lisa18:1345:8main37:324:1,2,7,4Law12:3,5,913:516:1713:513:717:2525:6,1232:2337:14,2469:1813:ed97:2432:2335:2555:455:455:494:19103:1613:ed97:2432:2335:2577:19,2075:10105:2,12107:513:ed100:856:171:1577:8107:201awrul20:2213:st19:1582:293:614:1723:131awrul20:2213:ed10:4104:2377:28107:2075:101awrul16:711/ve42:11101:4104:2372:2274:151aymen's27:1927:7964:25making66:17memory24:101aymen's27:1927:7964:25marital30:236:2272:251aymen's27:1010:an49:18,2022:1memory24:1012aerned44:2151:710:an49:2551:866:1712aerned44:2110:an49:18,2022:21merital30:212aerned60:921,22,2410:as32:3632:472:2512aerned60:922:438:1553:461:1,1011:1217:3 </td <td>language 21:13</td> <td></td> <td>107:16</td> <td>-</td>	language 21:13		107:16	-
lapsed57:1198:13,2196:9,2222:4 23:19,21lase110:24lingo108:16min37:3late106:25lisg18:13 45:8make6:25 8:1125:6,12 32:21,law12:3,5,9list98:5,7,2113:7 17:2546:6,13 54:2213:5 16:17listed97:2432:23 35:2557:19,20 75:1037:14,24 69:18listen104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:2094:19 103:16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:201awful20:22listen 19:1537:10 80:9,1114:17 23:161awger16:7live42:11111:2,6meetings1ayman77:9,10living16:24makes60:171aymen's27:1927:9 64:25makes56:17member77:1211iving16:2425:6,25method 59:31aymen's27:1015:7makes50:17method 59:31eaver73:1010an49:25 51:852:6,25method 59:375:1110an49:18,20,21:2,2,24martaz50:21method 81:61eaytop60:910g-time13:1516:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-time10:22:416:17,18middle65:21.57:2116:12,7610g-21:210:2:2416:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-21:2516:1711:10ag-23:3,5,565:12.616:11 97:6100:22:416:1711:	<b>lapse</b> 57:11		<b>mail</b> 95:24	
laser         110:24         lingo         108:16         main         37:3         22:1,27,34           late         106:25         98:5,7,21         make         6:25 8:11         25:6,12,32:22,12           law         12:3,5,9         1ist         50:3         13:7 17:25         18:8 19:1         25:6,12,32:21,25           37:14,24 69:18         listed         97:24         32:23 35:25         55:4 55:20         57:19,20 75:10           105:2,12 107:5         listening         100:8         16:17         17:10 80:9,11         14:17 23:16           lawsuit         31:9         23:1,6         101:4 104:23         77:22 74:15           lawper 16:7         lived         56:1         111:2,6         member 101:7           d4:25 75:22         lived         56:4         111:2,6         memory 24:10           laymen's         27:19,         27:9 64:25         marital         30:2         32:23           97:14         12:12         51:7         make 60:17         memory 24:10         mentally 55:20           12:21         12:17         54:17         marital         30:2         32:23         62:21           learned         44:21         51:7         54:19,20.55:7         56:21 <td< td=""><td>lapsed 57:11</td><td>98:13,21</td><td>96:9,22</td><td></td></td<>	lapsed 57:11	98:13,21	96:9,22	
late         106:25         Lisa         18:13         45:8         make         6:25         8:11         25         40:6         45:20           law         12:3,5,9         list         50:5         list         13:7         17:125         25         40:6         45:20         46:6,13         54:22           37:14,24         69:18         listed         97:24         37:13,15         40:6         77:10		<b>lingo</b> 108:16	<b>main</b> 37:3	
law 12:3,5,9       98:5,7,21       13:7 17:25       125 40:6 45:20         law 12:3,5,9       list 50:3       13:7 17:25       146:6,13 54:22         37:14,24 69:18       listen 104:23       37:13,15 40:8       57:19,20 75:10         94:19 103:16       listen 104:23       37:13,15 40:8       57:19,20 75:10         105:2,12 107:5       listening 100:8       56:1 71:15       77:10 80:9,11         lawyer 16:7       live 42:11       11:2,6       14:17 23:16         laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25       nakes 60:17       memory 24:10         laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25       77:24       25:6,25       memory 24:10         laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25       77:24       25:6,25       mentioned 59:3         97:14       48:21,23 50:2       43:23       marital 30:2       62:21         learned 44:21       loans 49:18,20,       21,22,24       marked 45:17       met 14:21 25:16         leaving 60:9       10:2:24       marrieg 30:15,       17:46:12.47:16       14:17 23:16,         leaving 60:9       10:2:24       16,17,18       marted 35:2       11:10:22         17:3 26:14       100:2:4       10:2:24       marted 30:23       7,20 53:13,16         leaving 60:9       10:2:24       16,17,18       marited 30:23		<b>Lisa</b> 18:13 45:8	<b>make</b> 6:25 8:11	
law12:3,5,5,7list50:318:819:155:455:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:1<		98:5,7,21		
13:5:14.6:17       1isted 97:24       32:23 35:25       57:19.20 75:10         94:19 103:16       1isten 104:23       37:13.15 40:8       77:19.20 75:10         105:2,12 107:5       1isten 104:23       10:80:9,11       82:2 93:6       77:8 107:20         1awyer 16:7       1ive 42:11       10:4 104:23       77:10 80:9,11       82:2 93:6       14:17 23:16         1ayman 77:9,10       1ive 456:4       101:4 104:23       72:22 74:15       member 101:7         1aymen's 27:19, 23       77:24       77:24       making 68:17       member 101:7         1aearned 44:21       10:31:4.72       51:7       marital 30:2       72:25       merits 104:21         1earned 44:21       10:31:5 25:2       77:24       73:24       73:23       72:25       member 101:7         1earned 44:21       10:31:4.72       54:17       56:21 57:21       member 101:7       metics 104:21         1earned 44:21       10:33:15 25:2       77:24       73:2       73:4       74:13       74:12.2       75:21       mertics 104:21         10:ans 49:18,20       21,22,24       10:33:5 39:20       marriage 30:15,       17.46:12 47:16       10:22,4         16:17,18       10:224       10:224       10:41:24 45:12       38:10 61:10       74:61:2,6,7		<b>list</b> 50:3		
94.19,103.16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877.18,107.20105:2,12 107:5listening 100:837:13,15 40:877.18,107.201awful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,677.10 80:9,111awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161ayman 77:9,10live 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:201aymen's 27:19, 2377:24matatory 29:24memor 52:202377:2427:9 64:2525:6,2597:1448:21,23 50:254:19,20 55:7metioned 59:397:1451:710an 49:25 51:854:171earned 44:2110an 49:18,20,21,22,24leave 73:1010ar 49:18,20,38:5 39:2017:3 26:1478:18102:2427:19 32:1710g-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3102:2477:1881:1593:9102:24100x 47:13,16103:23,6111:1210x:4 42:15111:210x:24111:210x:24112:2410x:24113:15103:12,18114:1710:21115:1410:21116:12,19102:24117:1881:151115103:23,61115103:24,13,161115103:23,61110:22100;241110:22100;241110:22101:211110:221110:221110:22111110 <td< td=""><td></td><td>listed 97:24</td><td>32:23 35:25</td><td></td></td<>		listed 97:24	32:23 35:25	
105:2,12 107:5listening 100:856:1 71:15mettings 13:13lawful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,6mettings 13:13lawsuit 31:923:1,611xe 293:614:17 23:16lawyer 16:7lived 42:1111:2,624:25 25:25layman 77:9,10lives 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:20laymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25matters 60:17memor 65:202377:2427:9 64:25matters 50:22mentioned 59:397:1448:21,23 50:243:23mertisl 30:2learne 50:1151:7Maritza 50:2254:19,20 55:7learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21learving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:277:18marriag 30:15,letter 16:12,19102:24Matter 33:26,11,13 25:16,77:18100ked 72:1538:10 61:10matters 63:793:9100ked 72:1538:10 61:10minon 6:2 7:893:9100t 41:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11	-		37:13,15 40:8	
lawful20:22lists19:1577:1080:9,11lawsuit31:923:1,610:4104:1324:2525:25lawyer16:7live42:1111:2,6member101:4104:2344:2575:221ived56:4member101:7memo65:20layman77:2127:964:2577:24making68:17memo65:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24making68:17memo of 55:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24match 24:5572:25memoor 24:10lagnen's77:1010:4104:23memoor 55:2072:25memoor 55:20lagnen's73:1010ans49:2551:854:17memtoed59:3learned44:2110ans49:18,20,53:4martiza50:22merits104:21leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5middle65:2,5leaglese27:2010ng23:1525:273:46,11,1325:16,11746:1247:1617:326:1473:3102:24103:237253:41746:1249:13,20,21,11742:2551:2,6,11746:1249:13,20,21,114:1716,1716,1718:1216,171649:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:12161617<			56:1 71:15	
lawsuit $31:9$ $23:1,6$ $82:2 93:6$ $24:25 25:25$ lawyer $16:7$ live $42:11$ $101:4 104:23$ $24:25 25:25$ $44:25 75:22$ lives $56:4$ $101:4 104:23$ $72:22 74:15$ $76:5$ lives $52:1$ lives $52:1$ laymen's $27:19$ $27:9 64:25$ $77:24$ making $68:17$ layperson $77:21$ LLLP $41:15$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ member $101:7$ learned $44:21$ $54:17$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ mentioned $59:3$ $75:11$ loan $49:25 51:8$ $54:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leave $73:10$ loans $49:18,20$ , $21,22,24$ marked $45:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leaving $60:9$ $21,22,24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ leaving $60:9$ $102:24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ $17:3 26:14$ $10ger 42:11$ $10ger 42:11$ $16,17,18$ $174:122$ $75:21 76:4$ $102:24$ $102:24$ $81:15$ $103:23$ $72:23,6$ $64:17:7:18$ $81:15$ $10ses 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $10:22$ $11ibility$ $41:12$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10se 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $96:11 97:6$ $110:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10s 44:24:42$ $39:19$ $10:22$ <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>77:10 80:9,11</td> <td></td>		-	77:10 80:9,11	
lawyer 16:7live 42:11101:4 104:2372:22 74:1544:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2.6makes 60:17haymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25making 68:17memo 65:202377:2425:6,25mandatory 29:24laymen's 27:1927:9 64:25marital 30:272:252377:2425:6,25mentally 55:201ayperson 77:2151:7marital 30:262:21learned 44:2110an 49:25 51:854:1762:21leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17letter 16:12,19102:24martiage 30:15,17:3 26:14102:24marter 33:217:3 26:14102:24matter 33:216,17,18102:24matter 33:264:1 73:310nger 42:1175:21 76:410ses 53:675:1210ses 53:693:910st 47:13,161ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td>82:2 93:6</td> <td></td>			82:2 93:6	
44:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2,6member 101:776:5lives 52:1makes 60:17member 101:7layman 77:9,10living 16:24making 68:17memory 29:24laymen's 27:19, 2327:9 64:25marital 30:277:242377:2425:6,25marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:748:21,23 50:243:23memtod 59:3learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16leaglese 27:20long 23:15 25:278:18marriag 30:15,17:3 26:14long-timemarted 30:237,20 53:13,1627:19 32:17102:24Matt 38:5,8104:21,3,14,64:1 73:3longer 42:11matter 33:236:10,75:21 76:4looked 72:1538:10 61:10mind 110:2177:1881:1595:16mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:695:16mind 110:2193:9105 47:13,1610 38:12,1839:19111:22105 42:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11			101:4 104:23	
76:5lives52:1makesmemo65:20layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17laymen's27:964:25mandatory29:242377:2427:964:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mentioned59:397:1448:21,2350:243:23mertise104:21learn50:1151:7marital30:243:2362:21learned44:21loans49:18,20,marked51:7mertise1eave73:10loans49:18,20,marked51:7marked51:7leaving60:921,22,24marked53:4middle65:2,5lengthy41:2338:539:20marriage30:15,16,17,1817:326:14102:24martied30:237,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24matter33:262:2116,17,1864:173:310nger42:1138:1061:1016,1775:2176:4100ses53:695:16matters63:793:993:9105:447:13,16103:8:12,18100:221iability41:12105:4:2339:19minors7:11			-	
layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17memory24:10laymen's27:19,27:9 64:2577:2427:9 64:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mential30:297:1448:21,23 50:251:748:21,23 50:243:23mentioned59:3learn50:1151:710ans49:25 51:854:19,20 55:7merits104:21leave73:10loans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17metidle65:2,5legalese27:20logan32:3,653:4million23:3,5,legalese27:20long23:15 25:216,17,18marriage30:15,17:3 26:1478:18102:24matter38:5,844:12,2,3,14,16:17 3:310aref42:1138:1061:1044:6,12,13,14,16:17 81102:24matters33:2,3,6,38:1061:1011ability41:12loses53:695:16100:22matters39:910st47:13,161038:12,18,10:22minor6:2 7:893:910st41:2444:1239:199:1197:610:22110:2210:2253:554:239:1910:22			<b>makes</b> 60:17	
laymen's 2327:964:25 77:24mandatory 29:2429:24 mentally 55:20layperson 97:14LLLP 41:15 48:21,23March 24:524:5 25:6,25mentally 55:20learn 75:1151:7March 48:21,2350:2 43:23mentally 62:2151:3 62:21learned 44:2110an 54:1749:18,20, 21,22,24Marked 45:17met 14:21 25:16leaving 60:960:9 21,22,24Logan 32:3,6 38:5Marked 45:17middle 65:2,5letter 16:12,1910ng 23:1523:15 25:20marked 45:17middle 65:2,517:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long 10:22423:15 25:2market 53:451:4 6,11,13 25:16,102:24 75:21 93:9longer 42:11 10sses 77:18matter 33:2 33:933:10 61:10mind 110:21 mind 110:21letting 93:924:17 10sses 53:5losses 53:6 103:15,25:2marters 95:16minor 6:2 7:8 96:11liability Lic 48:6,1041:24 53:554:23minor 53:57:21			making 68:17	
2377:24March 24:572:25layperson 77:21LLLP 41:1525:6,25marital 30:262:2197:1448:21,23 50:251:7marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marked 45:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,638:5 39:20letter 16:12,1910ng 23:15 25:278:1817:3 26:1410ng 23:15 25:274:027:19 32:17102:24marriage 30:15,64:1 73:3100ger 42:1175:21 76:4longer 42:1177:18losses 53:693:9lost 47:13,16liability 41:12lot 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23	-		mandatory 29:24	-
layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25marital30:297:1448:21,2350:243:23marital30:262:21learn50:1110an49:2551:854:17maritza50:22leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010ag23:1525:253:4million23:3,5,letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,181746:1247:1617:326:14102:24102:24married30:237,2053:13,1664:173:3102:24matter33:238:1061:1016,1716,1714100ger42:1110sses53:61038:1210110:21151110sses53:61038:12,1895:161010:2293:910547:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81ability41:1210553:554:2339:19minors7:11			<b>March</b> 24:5	-
97:1448:21,23 50:2marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marital 30:262:211eave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2454:19,20 55:7merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20marriage 30:15, 16,17,18million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:1617:3 26:14long-time 102:24102:24married 30:23164:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 105 47:13,16matther 33:2,3,6 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 95:16liability 41:12Lic 48:6,1053:5 54:23Matt 38:12,18 39:19minor 57:11			25:6,25	
learn50:1151:743:23learned44:2151:7Maritza50:2275:11loan49:2551:81eave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24Maritza50:22leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leaglese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:5market51:4 53:4letter16:12,1910mg23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817:326:14 26:14long-time 102:24matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:101etting24:17 93:9losses53:6 10stMatthew32:3,6, 10 38:12,18mind110:21 minor1iability41:12 41:24Lot41:2444:12 39:19minor6:27:8 96:11			marital 30:2	
learned44:21loan49:2551:8Maritza50:22met14:2125:161eave73:10loans49:18,20,54:19,2055:756:2157:21Michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5million23:3,5,lengthy41:23long23:1525:253:4marriage30:15,1746:1247:16letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,1824,2551:2,6,7,2053:13,1617:326:14102:24matter30:237,2053:13,1654:6,12,13,14,16,1781:1238:1061:10mind110:2154:24,2563:19102:24matter33:238:1061:10mind110:21mine109:1710:2277:1810sses53:695:16Matthew32:3,6,1038:12,1896:1197:693:910st47:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81iability41:1253:554:2339:1910:22minors7:11			43:23	
learned44:21loan49:25 51:854:17met14:21 25:1675:1154:1710ans49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010gan32:3,6 38:5 39:2053:4marriage30:15, 16,17,18middle65:2,5letter16:12,1910ng23:15 25:278:18marriage30:15, 16,17,186,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:1617:3 26:1410g-time 102:24102:24married30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,854:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1254:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1275:21 76:410oked72:1538:10 61:10mind110:2177:1881:1595:16matters63:7 95:16minor6:2 7:8 96:11 97:61iability41:1210t41:24 44:12 53:5 54:2339:19minors7:11			Maritza 50:22	
75:1154:1754:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21Michael 8:16leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20sait53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18f6,17,18married 30:2317:3 26:14long-time 102:24married 30:23Matt 38:5,8matter 33:264:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24looked 72:15 81:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 9:19LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23minors 7:11				<b>met</b> 14:21 25:16
leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17mituite 03.2,3legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20saste 39:20market 51:4 53:4saste 39:20lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:2 78:18long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1617 46:12 47:16long -time 102:24marriage 30:2317 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10matter 33:2 38:10 61:1054:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1264:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matters 63:7 95:16mind 110:21 mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16mattew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6liability 41:1253:5 54:2353:5 54:239:19			-	Michael 8:16
leaving 60:921,22,24legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20market 51:4 53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:16letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18marriage 30:2317:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long-time 102:24married 30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19 64:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7mind 110:21 mine 109:17letting 24:17 93:9losses 53:6 lost 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6			marked 45:17	<b>middle</b> 65:2,5
legalese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:553:46,11,1325:16, 17:3letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817 46:1247:1617:326:1410ng-time16,17,1816,17,1849:13,20,21, 24,2524,2551:2,6, 7,207,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24Matt38:5,838:1061:1046:1781:1275:2176:4100ked72:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,1895:16100:22Iability41:1210t41:2444:1239:19100:22minor6:2LIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1953:4minors7:11	leaving 60:9			<b>million</b> 23:3,5,
lengthy41:2338:5 39:20marriage30:15,17 46:12 47:16letter16:12,19long23:15 25:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:3 26:14long-time102:2416,17,1824,25 51:2,6,54:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,87,20 53:13,1664:1 73:3longer42:11Matter33:275:21 76:4looked72:1538:10 61:10mind77:1881:15103ses53:695:16letting24:17losses53:695:1693:9lot47:13,1610 38:12,1896:11 97:6liability41:1253:5 54:2339:1996:11 97:6	legalese 27:20	-		6,11,13 25:16,
letter16:12,19101g23:1525:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:326:1410g-time30:2324,2551:2,6,27:1932:17102:24102:24Matt38:5,864:173:310ger42:11Matt38:5,875:2176:410oked72:1538:1061:1077:1881:1581:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,18100:221iability41:1253:554:231038:12,18JIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1996:11	lengthy 41:23			17 46:12 47:16
17:3 26:1478:1827:19 32:17long-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3100 er 42:1175:21 76:4looked 72:1577:1881:15letting 24:17103ses 53:693:910st 47:13,16liability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23	<b>letter</b> 16:12,19			49:13,20,21,
27:19 32:17       101g-time         54:24,25 63:19       102:24         64:1 73:3       10nger 42:11         75:21 76:4       100ked 72:15         77:18       81:15         10st 47:13,16       10sses 53:6         1ability 41:12       1ot 41:24 44:12         LIC 48:6,10       53:5 54:23    Matt 38:5,8          Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matt 38:5,8         Matter 33:2         38:10 61:10         Matters 63:7         95:16         Matthew 32:3,6,         10 38:12,18         96:11 97:6	17:3 26:14			
54:24,25 63:19       102.24         64:1 73:3       longer 42:11         75:21 76:4       looked 72:15         77:18       81:15         losses 53:6       lost 47:13,16         liability 41:12       lot 41:24 44:12         LIC 48:6,10       53:5 54:23    matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19	27:19 32:17			
64:173:3       10nger 42:11         75:21 76:4       looked 72:15         77:18       81:15         93:9       lost 47:13,16         liability 41:12       lot 41:24 44:12         LIC 48:6,10       53:5 54:23				
75:21 76:4       100ked 72:15         77:18       81:15         letting 24:17       losses 53:6         93:9       lost 47:13,16         liability 41:12       lot 41:24 44:12         LIC 48:6,10       53:5 54:23    matters 63:7      matters 63:7        95:16       Matthew 32:3,6,         10 38:12,18         96:11 97:6         minors 7:11		-		
Ietting       24:17       losses       53:6       95:16       110:22         93:9       lost       47:13,16       Matthew       32:3,6,       10:38:12,18         liability       41:12       lot       41:24       44:12       39:19       96:11       97:6         LIC       48:6,10       53:5       54:23       95:16       minor       6:2       7:8				
Pacting       Package				
Isst 47:13,16       10 38:12,18         liability 41:12       lot 41:24 44:12       10 38:12,18       96:11 97:6         LIC 48:6,10       53:5 54:23       39:19       minor 7:11	-			
Itability       41:12       Iot       41:24       44:12       39:19       96:11       97:6         LIC       48:6,10       53:5       54:23       39:19       minors       7:11				
LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 minors 7:11	-			
				minors 7:11
	51:11	110:21 111:11		

**minute** 18:5 minutes 58:9, 11,16,17 miscellaneous 23:4 misdemeanor 93:20 misperceiving 99:10 **mistake** 39:13 77:10 107:16 **mix** 57:5 Molly 8:16 **mom's** 99:8 money 22:6 23:2 44:13,14 47:14 48:15 56:6 81:5,9 **monies** 47:11 **month** 27:11 months 25:7 46:19 Moran 36:18 66:5 67:11 70:17 71:15 87:21 88:1,3 89:10,14,16 102:22 Moran's 105:13 Morgan 50:1 morning 5:5 58:8 Morrissey 8:11, 13 81:22 82:6, 8 90:18 mortgage 23:4 52:12,22 mother's 45:2 55:2 63:3 78:24 107:24 **move** 12:20 13:22 17:7 19:20 26:21 34:10 39:1 42:4 45:22 61:3,11,13 64:5 66:16 70:3 72:6 73:13,14 74:4 85:25 92:7 95:10 100:9

**moving** 29:23 84:7 87:15 89:4 Ν **name's** 82:7 **named** 32:2 36:17 44:20 51:13 57:13 66:8 111:17 **names** 19:15,17 narrowest 41:21 **natural** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 **nature** 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:9 89:21 necessarily 47:25 **needed** 25:12 60:20 61:1 negative 79:19 **net** 22:5,6,15, 23 25:15 51:25 52:25 53:5,10 **nobody's** 99:13 non-survivor 31:25 **Nos** 17:13 notarization 103:2 notarized 36:17 66:8 71:4 103:12,19,23, 25 107:14 **notary** 37:8 66:25 70:24 **noted** 101:1 **notes** 13:11,12, 16,19 14:7,11, 15,16 22:2,25 23:11,18 24:2 25:14 32:25 45:19,20 51:19 54:6 **notice** 74:18 November 9:5 12:15 15:5 22:4 23:12

25:25 40:15 49:23 86:2 106:21 107:2 **number** 15:23 55:12 72:18 73:15 81:11 **numbers** 13:20 0 **obey** 82:4 **object** 10:17 35:7 49:4 56:8 68:15 75:14 78:25 80:25 objected 79:8 objecting 68:21,24 objection 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11 19:22,24 26:23 34:12 39:3 45:24 49:5 60:9 61:4,17, 19 64:7 66:18, 20 68:16,23 69:4,8,9 70:5, 7 72:8 73:18 74:5 75:18 79:10 80:6 91:21 92:5,11, 17,18 93:23 94:9,12,21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 100:22,24 102:1 103:3 104:4,11 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:3,14 111:25 objections 104:24 108:17 **objects** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 obtained 68:12 occupation 12:1 **Ocean** 53:12

October 106:20 odd 100:8 offering 101:24 **office** 36:19 37:5,7,8 59:13,16,17 66:6,12 68:10, 11 70:1 71:12, 13 95:15 102:25 106:7 Officers 101:8 offices 37:4,6, 11 40:23 67:12 **offset** 49:17 offshore 47:9 **oldest** 31:24 open 12:14 15:8 **opened** 15:7 74:19 opening 43:12 operating 47:2, 3 108:24 operative 9:12 61:15 **opinion** 10:12 60:5 83:13,25 85:3,7,12 86:17,21 87:2 88:18 89:20,25 opportunity 91:3 opposed 80:3 opposite 99:17 optimistic 26:2 **order** 14:8,9 19:11 53:15 91:20,24 92:4 original 11:11 34:22 35:1,6 69:22,24 103:18 originally 107:12 originals 35:17,19 69:12 72:4 73:11 **outlived** 28:15 outstanding 49:19 **overrule** 94:12

overruled 49:7 passed 31:5 20:1 27:1,2 11,20 55:4 46:19 73:25 56:9 81:1 34:15,16 39:6, 65:7,23 67:14, 24 71:6 77:15 92:12 94:11 75:1 110:12 7 46:2,3 58:10 95:18 96:13 59:1 61:7,8, 98:3 **past** 60:3,4 103:4 106:18 20,21,22 62:2, **powers** 16:24 **pause** 58:8 3 64:10,11 overruling 27:8 77:12 **paying** 57:10 66:21,22 70:8, 92:18 practical 83:23 pecuniary 9 72:11,12 overstate 53:10 85:13 87:3 111:15,17 73:21,22 74:8, 88:19 90:5 **owned** 48:23 **perceive** 102:13 9 82:3 52:1,4 practice 13:16 **percent** 20:15 **plan** 18:3,7,9, 71:15 owns 52:8 21:17,19 33:22 14 25:10 46:7 practicing percentages 64:22 65:19 91:16 18:4 Ρ 99:4 **prepare** 26:4,6, **period** 49:23 planning 12:2 7 63:15 77:23 P.A. 105:4 permission 13:7 15:12,14 prepared 9:22 **Palm** 69:25 59:25 16:22 25:3,6 19:14 32:13 74:19 95:15 permitted 96:24 27:21 30:14 41:4 64:15 96:1,5,20 person 40:1 41:5,24 57:17 77:6,7,17 **Pam** 18:12 20:12 71:4 77:22 76:17 77:18, preparing 27:22 21:12 33:18 87:7,12 88:22, 23,24 80:21 presence 70:18 44:19,25 45:4 25 90:9,15 **play** 40:22 84:16,20,23,24 54:25 77:25 personal 18:22 44:4,7 86:6,10,13 **Pam's** 98:13 19:5 20:4,10 **plead** 92:10 87:21,25 88:4 paragraph 29:23 28:6,7,22 29:7 93:15 89:9 108:4 40:10 42:25 31:2 39:10,11 **pled** 93:20 **present** 36:13 43:14 48:20 65:1,2,6 plus-four 55:17 59:8,10 68:1 63:6 69:19 paraphrasing **point** 38:1 42:3 83:2,4,7 74:22 106:8,14 60:15 44:19 45:10 pretty 36:2 111:18 parents 19:18 52:24 56:3 previously 38:6 personally 98:9 57:12,23 63:4 103:13 14:25 36:22 part 29:17 42:8 67:8 75:7,21 **price** 53:16 37:12 67:1,3 46:13 65:4 78:7 108:19 70:24 71:1,14 primarily 79:22 99:3,4 **policy** 54:15,18 perspective **primary** 29:12 participate 8:7 56:22 57:8,9, 24:6 principal 20:19 10:18 12 petition 62:14, **prior** 14:22 **Ponzi** 47:13,18 **parties** 10:14 15 78:22 15:14 16:7 35:16 100:6 portion 58:12 **phone** 56:16 25:5 29:16 107:13,25 79:16 62:21,23 39:21 110:6 108:23 portions 62:14 physically privilege 38:9 partner 5:9 possessed 6:4 59:12 39:15 13:12 22:24 possession pro 5:15 6:25 31:22 41:11 **picture** 94:19 108:6,22 105:5,7 **place** 21:24 probate 24:8 109:1,2,16 82:1 101:2 **partner's** 14:15 29:15 43:13,16 possibility partnership plaintiff 5:6 46:18 99:1,22 46:7 101:1 104:1 41:10,12,14 8:1,19 10:20 posting 79:19 101:18 probated 102:5 **party** 24:15 **poured** 28:2,4 plaintiff's problems 42:12 **pass** 18:11,14 **power** 20:21 8:8,17 12:12, procedure 47:2, 20:20 28:8 21:1,4,9,15 25 13:1 14:3,4 3 108:24 42:18 55:3 30:1,4,13 17:12,13 19:25 57:4,13 31:12,15 33:6,

procedures 72:1 **proceed** 58:20 proceeding 7:4 24:19 procuring 84:5 85:22 87:13 88:23 90:16 produced 13:20 16:5 67:2 71:2 **product** 30:18 47:8 properly 33:21 37:23 40:9 71:16,19 property 18:22 19:5 20:5,10 28:6,7 42:25 43:14 83:15 85:4 86:19 88:10 89:22 protection 42:8 **provide** 15:13 18:9 29:24 57:3 76:14,18 91:8 provided 15:17, 18 16:14 31:13 45:6 76:21 78:7 103:14 providing 55:10 59:21 provision 29:3 **public** 42:20 published 74:19 **pulled** 55:24 purported 47:8 purpose 13:4 54:21 78:5 purposes 14:7 43:12 **put** 9:9 10:19 19:14 20:7 23:25 24:6 35:22 36:4 43:10 48:13, 17,18 51:23 52:16 58:3 63:6 71:5 80:18 96:21 99:13 101:19 putting 78:10

Q qualify 46:25 question 6:5,7, 10,22,23 7:1,9 8:19 38:11 68:19 69:10 79:7,11,15 86:5 92:7,25 93:10,12,14, 18,24 94:10, 13,16 95:2 98:23 99:10 103:7 106:5 questioning 79:1,2,7 questions 5:22 7:22 81:18,22 90:18,22 91:2, 4 94:23 95:3 96:24 97:1 100:15 102:18 104:11,22 108:10 111:1,4 quick 111:11 quickly 104:17 R **Rachel** 40:11 86:7,10,12 **Range** 50:13,19 **read** 7:7,23 9:9 23:1 27:5 53:23,24 54:25 77:23 79:15,16 84:13 reading 26:17 27:19 48:8 65:1 77:10 97:14 **ready** 5:4 58:20 **real** 53:8 111:11 **realize** 50:20 realized 48:3 reallocate 30:4 Realty 52:8 **recall** 20:13 22:17 32:20

38:4 45:13 71:10 74:17 75:20 76:1 **receipt** 62:16 receivable 47:5 48:1,6 **receive** 12:25 17:12 62:18 66:21 70:8 98:11 102:12 109:11,13 received 13:1 14:3,4 17:13 20:1 27:2 34:16 39:7 46:3 48:5 54:24 61:8 62:3,19,20 64:11 66:22 70:9 72:12 73:22 74:9 76:4 100:23,25 102:16 106:25 109:14 **recess** 58:16 recognize 22:11 35:10 38:21 45:18 67:20 **record** 11:18 13:10 26:13 28:20 29:18 42:21 79:16 **records** 106:23 **recover** 47:25 redirect 30:4 referring 55:15 62:24 63:1 99:1 **reflect** 14:11 23:19reflected 18:3 77:19 regard 71:8 reinstated 57:12 relation 56:13 relationship 14:22 41:23 42:17 85:8 86:23 88:14 90:1 102:23

released 63:5 46:24 releases 47:1 60:21 103:12 relevance 42:3 49:6 56:8 79:1,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 102:3, 13 103:3 109:19,21,23 110:14 relevancy 79:12 80:25 relevant 39:23 42:1 48:22 92:6,13 95:3, 5,11 102:2,3 109:22 110:1 **relief** 99:5,16, 18 **rely** 37:8 **remain** 29:17 remained 29:3 remaining 30:2 58:11 Remember 100:3 **remove** 38:5 39:19 **removed** 38:8, 12,18 **repeat** 104:24 replacement 31:5 reporter 79:15, 17 represent 68:9 82:8 representative 28:22 29:7 63:6 69:19 106:9,14 111:18 representatives 74:23 represented 6:2 7:13 10:11 16:8 request 7:5 45:2

	December	15, 2015	13
requested 45:15	45:22 46:5	<b>send</b> 35:4 44:1	25 37:10 38:1,
required 36:1	49:9 56:10	108:25 109:10	13,17 39:14,
37:14,24 90:21	58:14,22,23	sending 16:20	17,24 40:1,3,
	61:3,10 62:5	-	23 41:24
requirement	64:5,13 66:16,	<b>sense</b> 41:21	42:15,18,20
104:1	24 68:9 69:11	101:5	43:2 44:2
residuary 20:6,	70:3,11 72:6,	sentence 20:20	46:17 58:24
11,15 28:12	14 73:13,16,24	21:3 29:25	61:12,20,21,23
43:2	74:4,11 79:21,	separate 62:23	75:9 76:22
respect 78:22	25 80:7,8	64:25	77:2 78:13
85:25 103:6	81:3,18 91:21	September 73:25	80:11 82:15,19
respond 60:12	92:5,11 93:23	106:25	83:7,11,13,17,
response 12:23	94:21 95:17	<b>serve</b> 28:24	21,25 84:8,10,
14:1 17:10	96:6,12 97:17	40:11	15,20,23 85:1,
19:23 26:24	98:16 99:17	<b>service</b> 62:15	6,11,17 86:2,
34:13 39:4	100:24 102:3,9	session 58:9	5,10,13,22
45:25 61:5	103:3 104:4	set 8:3,23	87:1,8 91:12
64:8 66:19	105:9,15	32:21 33:12,16	95:23 97:8
70:6 72:9	106:17 109:19	37:1 41:10	101:14 103:2,6
73:19 74:6	110:14 111:25	52:4 58:1	106:8
<b>rest</b> 63:7	<b>Rover</b> 50:13,19	72:16	Shirley's 18:25
restated 9:18	<b>Rubin</b> 12:6	settled 94:20	22:6 28:22
64:16,23 71:23		seven-fifty	30:1 34:7
89:6	Ruffin 12:6		35:11 40:17,20
retain 12:8	<b>rule</b> 103:24	54:13,14	43:13 44:20
retaining 13:5,	104:2 109:24	Seventeen 73:16	48:24 50:4
14	<b>rules</b> 7:20	share 26:9	51:1,7 56:19
returned 100:12	<b>ruling</b> 93:5,9	40:19 49:2	58:6 60:10,19
reverse 14:8,9	95:10	63:11	65:8 68:11
<b>review</b> 13:6	<b>rulings</b> 104:23	shares 20:21	76:16 77:10,14
		21:21 23:6	97:24 98:1,6
74:20 94:20	S	51:14 80:18	106:13 110:17
revocable 27:7		<b>sharp</b> 55:20	<b>short</b> 27:25
28:2 29:16	<b>sale</b> 53:16	72:25	shorter 31:2
75:4	<b>save</b> 52:7	<b>sheet</b> 12:14	shorthand 28:20
<b>revoked</b> 9:13,23	scale 55:11	52:16 53:8	51:4
<b>robert</b> 10:21,23		<b>Sheriff</b> 96:2,5,	
11:19 82:5	schedule 25:10	21	<b>shotgun</b> 110:24
91:6	scheme 47:13,18	<b>Sheriff's</b> 95:15	<b>show</b> 17:1 22:9
<b>role</b> 40:23	<b>Scott</b> 75:22	Shirley 8:25	36:2
44:4,7	76:4	9:1,2,14	<b>showed</b> 32:25
<b>room</b> 59:14	<b>seat</b> 100:10,11,	11:20,23 12:8,	<b>shows</b> 18:19
room's 82:3	13	16,18 13:4,14	49:20
<b>Rose</b> 5:5,6,9	seated 24:20	14:19,21 16:8,	<b>Si</b> 13:13 18:10
8:10,21 9:5,8	SEC 91:20 92:2	12 17:17 18:10	28:19,22 37:11
10:2,20 11:2,	94:20	19:15 21:22	40:3 42:14
4,7,10,14,17	secretary 66:13	23:16 24:9	43:13,23 45:3
12:20 13:3,22	<b>seeking</b> 99:5,7,	25:1 26:10	46:25 47:7
14:6 17:7,15	15,18 101:1,19	27:13 28:1,9,	54:21 58:25
18:1 19:10,20	102:4,6	15,18 30:22	60:20 64:14
20:3 24:24	seeks 9:15	31:2,11,23	73:2 98:3
26:21 27:4	self-proving	32:1,18 33:3,	<b>Si's</b> 34:5
34:10,18 39:1,	70:21	17,25 35:22	48:19,24 50:16
9 41:21 42:5,6		36:4,11,13,22,	66:12 67:23

	December	15, 2015	14
71:13 76:17	21:22 22:5,14	73:16 93:4,16	<b>spend</b> 44:12
siblings 21:17	23:2,16 24:25	98:24 101:23	<b>split</b> 19:6 20:5
63:15 103:13	25:15 26:9	104:8	<b>spoke</b> 45:3
107:4	27:13 28:1,9,	<b>sisters</b> 33:23	spouse 30:13
<b>sic</b> 104:3	20,23 29:9	45:8 98:4	44:17
<b>sicker</b> 42:18	30:22 31:4,12,	107:1	spouses 30:4
<b>side</b> 5:14 8:6,8	14,21 32:18	<b>sit</b> 24:17	<b>St</b> 53:17
49:16 58:11	33:6,17,25	100:4,5	
82:3 99:6	35:7 37:1,17,	<b>sits</b> 34:23	<b>stack</b> 14:10
102:6	19 40:1,20,23	sitting 24:17	stamp 13:20
<b>sign</b> 37:1 38:17	41:24 42:7,23	37:3	16:17 68:6
61:1 67:14	43:25 44:2,5,	situation 44:16	<b>stand</b> 58:13
69:13 91:24	8,11,12 45:10	skipped 65:4	standard 13:16
108:25 109:16	46:6 47:4	slander 79:4	29:14 47:2,3
signature 22:20	50:6,12 51:12	slighted 56:1	108:24
35:14 36:8,11	52:2,9 53:20		<b>Stanford</b> 47:8,9
65:25 66:3	54:1 55:19,22 56:13 57:15	<b>small</b> 54:17	Stansbury 31:22
70:15,23 107:6	59:10,12,14,20	<b>sold</b> 53:13	51:13
signature's	60:8 63:10,16,	78:24	<b>star</b> 48:17
108:4	19 64:1 65:25	<b>sole</b> 28:10	<b>start</b> 14:11
signatures	67:10 68:1	42:24 43:5,20,	29:9 91:5
34:20 35:16	69:18,20 70:16	21 44:17 75:4	started 72:16
103:22,23	71:23 72:19,24	somebody's	starting 57:20
signed 26:5	73:4,25 74:12,	101:7	<b>starts</b> 29:25
27:12,22 29:4	15 77:15 78:13	<b>son</b> 31:24 32:7	<b>state</b> 11:18
32:19 36:25	80:9,11,22,23	51:12	95:22 107:24
37:13,23 38:23	81:13 82:9	sophisticated	<b>stated</b> 12:24
39:24 62:17	87:16,20,25	25:10	14:2 17:11
63:4 65:17	88:4,8,13,18	<b>sort</b> 27:19	19:24 70:7
70:17,24	89:1,5,8,13,	30:10 44:11	107:3
71:10,16,19,25	17,19,25 90:4,	46:14 48:18	statement 22:15
72:3 73:8	11 91:12	64:20	68:17,22,25
74:15 77:14	106:8,9,16	sound 24:10	69:2,9 109:2,
78:13 96:9	107:3,7,18,24	74:1	16 110:13
107:1,13,18,19	108:3 109:1,2,	Spallina 10:21,	stating 108:5
108:1,3,21,23	15 110:10	23 11:19,21	<b>status</b> 46:17
109:4 111:21	Simon's 9:24	15:22 54:4 82:5,7 91:6,8	75:25
<b>signer</b> 31:25	18:24 22:5,23	95:14 105:4,12	<b>stay</b> 7:3
significant	27:15 29:7,22	Spallina's	Stephen 15:25
56:6	31:5 37:5,6,8 43:20 51:16	61:15	16:7
significantly	65:12 75:1		<b>stock</b> 53:4
52:25	107:11 108:22	speaks 19:5	80:18
signing 35:15	110:7,9,19	<b>special</b> 30:1 32:9 65:7	<b>stood</b> 46:14
39:21	simple 28:2		<b>stop</b> 6:13 7:12
<b>similar</b> 30:10	simple 28.2 simpler 77:21	80:9,11,13,16, 20,23	19:8 41:18
37:1,2	-		96:23 104:7,22
Simon 8:16 9:17	<b>SIPC</b> 47:5,10,20	<b>specific</b> 32:2 38:6 39:19	<b>story</b> 52:25
10:4 11:20,23	48:4,15	specifically	stress 63:7
12:8,16,18	<b>sir</b> 5:15 6:14 7:15 8:11 9:8	39:14,17 57:15	strictly 82:4
13:4 14:19,21	16:16 24:22		<b>strike</b> 44:10
16:2,8,12,20,	42:5 58:14	<b>speed</b> 50:3	63:25 71:9
21 17:17 19:15	12.J JU.14		

80:10 structure 21:24 struggling 55:22 subject 20:22 65:20 99:22 **submit** 100:17 105:12 106:7 Tab submitted 103:16 105:2 subsequent 81:8 successor 5:7 28:23 29:6,20 31:4,9,19,20, 22,24 43:5 74:25 75:9 78:23 **Sue** 18:12 **sued** 5:25 6:3, 9,11 suffered 53:6 suggested 57:3 suggesting 101:2 **summary** 26:17 46:14 63:24 64:21 superseded 9:23 supporting 56:5 supposed 24:17 21 75:15 80:23 supposedly 6:1 47:11 surrogate 27:9 survive 65:9 survived 28:9 42:23 surviving 44:17 **survivor** 18:10, 11,14 20:9 21:8,16 28:8 30:12 33:18 sustain 69:3 80:6 94:8 110:3 sustained 69:9 91:22 92:17 93:24 94:22 96:7 97:18 98:17 104:5,11 105:10 110:15

**sway** 60:4 10:24 sworn 69:3 109:2,16 **system** 70:1 т 19:11 101:18 table 5:17,21 100:6 talk 6:15 15:10,11 29:9 32:21,22 33:1 40:2 56:14 57:20,22 58:5 59:20 talked 52:16 54:4 55:10 56:17 talking 20:24 78:11 79:3 talks 19:4 20:17 tangible 18:22 19:5 20:10 28:7 42:24 43:14 tax 43:22 49:15 taxes 43:18,19, technically 39:15 **Ted** 5:6 18:12 28:23 29:6 31:5,8,24 32:6 33:18 43:25 44:2,4 45:7 51:12 66:12,14 75:8,10 98:13 **Ted's** 32:7 77:25 telephone 72:18 telling 91:2 110:25 ten 57:8 58:16 59:22 60:10 77:15 80:18 tendency 53:10 term 43:8

**terms** 9:16 20:22 27:19,24 77:13 102:7 **Tescher** 12:6 15:22 23:10 74:22,25 105:4,12 **Tescher's** 13:12 22:25 51:19 54:6 testamentary 8:22 9:10 29:12 37:24 38:24 39:23 41:5,20 44:2 45:11 63:11 67:15 77:2 80:12 testified 10:25 61:16 102:22 111:11 testimony 9:22 91:9 94:25 theoretically 21:11 29:11 **thing** 64:20 78:21 99:14 things 41:8 42:8 43:11 49:12 53:4 60:2 78:17 79:21 101:9 104:18,19 110:23 111:3 thinking 56:18 thought 49:1 50:19 53:20 55:8 59:23 60:2,11 81:5,9 95:9 tightly 110:25 til 109:17 110:12 **time** 8:5,18 10:17 12:1 13:14 19:12 22:7 25:11,20 26:2 32:15 36:14,25 40:20 41:1 44:13,19 46:15 49:1,19, 23 52:7 53:6

56:3 58:8,10, 14,17 67:8 72:1,19,24 75:11 76:8 82:4 83:13,17, 21 85:1,6,11 86:16,21 87:1 88:7,12,17 89:19,24 90:8 96:17 104:24 106:9,15 108:19 times 7:21 25:24 81:15 94:2 96:4 **title** 29:16 titled 101:14 titles 111:16 **today** 8:9,17,20 9:20 34:23 36:1 51:24 76:6,8 82:10 91:8,15 109:3, 24 111:4,12 today's 14:7 told 51:18 60:5,20 100:3 top 20:18 28:18 47:5 totaled 49:12, 13 totals 23:5 **Traci** 36:14,22 84:12,14,16, 20,22 traded 50:12,18 trading 91:25 93:22 transmittal 77:18 transmitting 26:15 **travel** 37:11 **treated** 102:5 tree 19:13 32:5 trial 5:10 6:22 8:2,4 104:16, 17 **trials** 7:20 75:22 Tripp 76:4

	December	15, 2015	16
<b>true</b> 21:13	Typically 30:12	<b>values</b> 53:7,8	wished 25:3
26:18 71:16		verbal 12:23	wishes 97:12,15
76:24 110:13		14:1	
trust 6:3 9:1,	υ		withdraw 38:11,
3,18,21,25		<b>vice</b> 6:25	15
10:5 20:19,22	<b>ultimately</b> 57:6	<b>View</b> 75:25	withdrawing
27:7 28:2,13	65:20	<pre>virtually 31:3</pre>	93:11
29:10,16,18,22	<b>Um-hum</b> 33:8	<b>visit</b> 41:1	withdrawn
30:2,3 31:13,	51:10	volition 73:1	100:13
23 33:3 35:11,	<b>unable</b> 28:24		withdrew 91:19
19,23,25 36:5	uncommon 25:8	W	witnesses 36:10
37:2,19,20	53:3		70:16 84:12
38:2,24 40:17,	unconfused	wait 80:5	wives 100:5
18 43:3 48:24	104:17	waiting 46:22,	word 53:22
51:1 57:13	underline 67:2,	23	words 27:6
61:12,22,24	3	waiver 61:1	work 7:18 22:2
64:16,23 65:8	underlying 63:3		36:19 91:12
69:12 71:23	underneath 50:4	62:13,15 103:12 107:1,	worked 66:12
73:11 74:15	51:23	7,10,18,19,23	working 12:2
75:1,4,9,12	understand	108:21 109:14	110:23
78:24 80:18	24:21 77:11	waivers 46:23	works 94:6
84:8 85:2 86:2	88:9,13,18	47:1 60:21	world 53:3
87:3 89:6,9,12	89:21 90:1,5	62:20 105:13	
90:6 95:23	understanding	107:4,12,21,25	worth 22:5,6,
97:8,24 98:1,	83:18,23 85:3	108:2,5,7,23	15,23 25:15
2,7,8,11 99:8	understood	109:3,5,7,17	51:18,19,21,25 52:25 53:5,11
101:15 110:18,	83:14 85:8,13	110:7,10	
20 111:19	86:18,23 87:3	Walker 40:11	write 21:14
trustee 5:7	unduly 84:1	86:7,10,12	wrong 92:22
6:3,12 29:20	85:17 87:8	wanted 10:10	wrote 23:10
31:9,19,20,22	89:1 90:10	13:6 17:24	49:15
43:5 75:4,9	unequal 20:22	21:23,25	
78:24 111:18,	unlike 68:10	31:21,23 32:23	Y
24	69:12	37:10 55:24	
trustees 75:1		56:1 57:7,22	<b>Yates</b> 76:11
trusts 16:24	untimely 7:25	59:20,22 60:1	95:24 96:10
20:7 27:7	<b>unusual</b> 44:16	waste 8:6 10:16	98:13,20
29:24 32:13,15	·	wasting 44:13	<b>year</b> 43:17 56:6
64:25 80:16	v	watches 101:10	<b>years</b> 47:17
98:10		ways 57:4	55:12,14,16,17
truthfulness	<b>vague</b> 77:9	104:15	
95:1	Vaguely 76:2	wealth 60:10	
turn 14:14	<b>valid</b> 8:22	Weiss 5:10	
19:9,11 24:13	9:11,15 10:1,5		
34:19 35:21,22	35:25 37:15,21	well-being 101:11	
68:4,5 70:12	71:19 77:2		
82:17	79:23 80:1,3,5	When's 96:17	
turning 8:1	101:2 110:18,	wife 5:18 32:7	
typewritten	20	wife's 59:21	
68:13 69:16	validated 9:20	wills 16:23,25	
typical 18:13	validity 41:19	17:5,6 27:6,9	
32:24	79:1,12 82:10	28:4 30:11	
	109:25 111:10		
L			

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

Γ

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
2	CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB
3	TED BERNSTEIN,
4	Plaintiff,
5	-VS-
6	DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,
7	
8	Defendants.
9	
10	TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS
11	VOLUME 2 PAGES 117 - 260
12	Tuesday, December 15, 2015
13	North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
14	9:43 a.m 4:48 p.m.
15	
16	Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
17	Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198- VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 **APPEARANCES:** On behalf of the Plaintiff: 2 3 ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA 4 THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 5 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Phone: 561.655.2250 6 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com 7 8 On behalf of the Defendant: 9 ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE 2753 NW 34th Street 10 Boca Raton, Florida 33434 Phone: 561.245.8588 11 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv 12 13 On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein: 14 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE 15 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 16 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866 17 E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015 1 - -2 INDEX 3 - - -4 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 120 BY MR. ROSE: 188 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 194 TED BERNSTEIN 8 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 206 BY MR. ROSE: 213 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 217 11 12 13 - - -14 EXHIBITS 15 - - -16 DESCRIPTION NUMBER PAGE DEFENDANT'S EX. 2 LETTER 17 161 DEFENDANT'S EX. 3 PETITION FOR DISCHARGE 198 18 19 20 21 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 6 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 187 22 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Proceedings continued from Volume 1.)
4	THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Our
5	witness is still under oath.
6	Is there any further cross-examination?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) (Cont'd)
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. Mr. Spallina, just to clarify
12	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can he just stand at
13	the podium?
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, use the podium. Your
15	microphone will help explain your questions. But
16	you can walk up there. If you need to show the
17	witness a document or something, that's fine.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20	Q. Did you are you a member of the Florida
21	Bar?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	Q. Currently?
24	A. Yes, I am.
25	Q. Okay. You said before you surrendered your

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

1 license. I said I withdrew from my firm. It wasn't 2 Α. that I was not practicing. 3 Okay. In the chain of custody of these 4 Q. documents, you stated that there were three copies made? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. Do you have those three original trust copies here? 8 T do not. 9 Α. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Does anybody? 11 THE COURT: Do you have any other questions of the witness? 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I wanted to ask him 13 some questions on the original documents. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Keep going. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. So the original documents aren't in the 17 Q. 18 court? 19 Α. I don't have them. Your firm is not in possession of any of the 20 Q. 21 original documents? 2.2 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not at the firm anymore. 23 Q. When you left the firm, were there documents 24 still at the firm? 25 A. Yes, there were.

1	Q. Were you ordered by the court to turn those
2	documents over to the curator, Benjamin Brown?
3	A. I don't recall.
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Can he clarify the
5	question, which documents? Because I believe the
6	curator was for the estate, and the original will
7	was already in file, and the curator would have no
8	interest in the trust
9	THE COURT: Which documents? When you say
10	"those documents," which ones are you referring to?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Any of the trusts and estate
12	documents.
13	THE COURT: Okay. That's been clarified.
14	You can answer, if you can.
15	THE WITNESS: I believe that he was given I
16	believe all the documents were copied by
17	Mr. Pollock's office, and that he was given some
18	type of zip drive with everything. I'm not sure,
19	though. I couldn't
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Did the zip drive contain the original
22	documents?
23	A. Did not. I believe the original documents
24	came back to our office. Having said that, we would
25	only have when we made and had the client execute

1	three documents, two originals of those documents would
2	remain with the client, and then we would keep one
3	original in our file, except including, most of the
4	time, the original will, which we put in our safe
5	deposit box. So we would have one original of every
6	document that they had executed, including the original
7	will, and they would keep two originals of everything,
8	except for the will, which we would give them conformed
9	copies of, because there was only one original will.
10	Q. Okay. I asked a specific question. Did your
11	firm, after the court order of Martin Colin, retain
12	documents, original documents?
13	MR. ROSE: Objection. Sorry. I should have
14	let him finish.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: original documents?
16	THE WITNESS: I believe
17	MR. ROSE: Relevance and misstates the
18	there's no such order.
19	THE COURT: Well, the question is, Did your
20	firm retain the original documents?
21	Is that the question?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	Answer, please.
25	THE WITNESS: I believe we had original

1	documents.
2	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
3	Q. After the date you were court ordered to
4	produce them to the curator?
5	MR. ROSE: Object that's the part I object
6	to.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. To your knowledge so, to your knowledge,
11	the documents can't all be here since they may be at
12	your firm today?
13	A. I don't practice at the firm anymore, so I'm
14	not sure where the documents are.
15	Q. Okay. And you said you made copies of all the
16	documents that you turned over to the curator? Did you
17	turn over any original documents as ordered by the
18	court?
19	MR. ROSE: Objection. Same objection.
20	There's no court order requiring an original
21	document be turned over.
22	THE COURT: What order are you referring to?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge Colin ordered when they
24	resigned due to the fraudulent alteration of the
25	documents that they turn over

1	THE COURT: I just said, what order are you
2	referring to?
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: It's an order Judge Colin
4	ordered.
5	THE COURT: All right. Well, produce that
6	order so I can see it, because Judge Colton's [sic]
7	been retired for six or seven years.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I don't have it with
9	me, but
10	THE COURT: Well, Judge Colton's a retired
11	judge. He may have served in some other capacity,
12	but he doesn't enter orders, unless he's sitting as
13	a replacement judge. And that's why I'll need to
14	see the order you're talking about, so I'll know if
15	he's doing that. Okay. Thanks. Next question.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Okay. Has anyone, to the best of your
18	knowledge, seen the originals while you were in custody
19	of them?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Who?
22	A. I believe Ken Pollock's firm was Ken
23	Pollock's firm was the firm that took the documents for
24	purposes of copying them.
25	Q. Did anybody ask you, refer copies to inspect

1	the documents?
2	A. Other than Ken Pollock's office, I don't
3	recall.
4	Q. Did I ask you?
5	A. Perhaps you did.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to go through
7	some of the documents with him real quick. But I
8	don't have my wife to hand me the documents, so
9	it's going to take me incredibly long. These are
10	just copies I have. Can I approach him?
11	THE COURT: All approaches are okay.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14	Q. Are these the documents that you drafted,
15	Shirley's will and Shirley's trust agreement?
16	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, could I see what he's
17	handing the witness before he hands it to them?
18	THE COURT: Say again.
19	MR. ROSE: I don't know what he's handing the
20	witness.
21	THE COURT: All right. You'll need to show
22	the other side the documents that you're handing to
23	the witness so that they're looking at the same
24	thing you're talking about.
25	MR. ROSE: These are not accurate. These are

1	multiple things stapled together. I'd object to
2	the exhibit or the use of it.
3	THE COURT: Ma'am, if you come back up past
4	that bar one more time, you'll be in contempt of
5	court. I don't want you to be in contempt of
6	court. Do you understand my instruction?
7	MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. ROSE: I don't know if that's filed with
10	the court and I don't know that these are genuine.
11	And the second document has attached to it
12	THE COURT: Well, you don't need to tell me
13	what the papers are. The thing that the person
14	who's asking the questions has to do is show you
15	the documents that he's going to show the witness.
16	MR. ROSE: Okay.
17	THE COURT: Then I intend to move forward. I
18	expect he'll show the witness the documents and
19	then he'll probably ask a question.
20	Am I right?
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to see those?
22	THE COURT: Nope.
23	So then if there's an objection to the
24	documents coming in, if at some time they're
25	proffered as an exhibit, then I'll take the

1	objection.
2	Have you seen the documents that are in his
3	hand that are going to be shown to the witness?
4	MR. ROSE: Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry.
5	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
6	Proceed.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. Okay. Can you look at the initials on the
9	pages of that document and describe them describe
10	what they look like?
11	A. The initials?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. On each page, there's an SB
14	Q. Okay.
15	A for your mother's initials.
16	Q. And it's clearly SB?
17	A. Is it clearly SB?
18	Q. Yeah. Looks like SB?
19	A. Yes, it's clearly SB.
20	Q. Okay. And on this will signed on the same
21	date by my mother in your presence, is that my mom's
22	initials? And does it look like an SB? Do they even
23	look similar?
24	A. Well, your mother was asked to sign these
25	documents.

1	Q. Okay.
2	A. When we execute a will, unlike the bottom of
3	the trust agreement where we initial the trust pages, on
4	the bottom of the will, she's supposed to sign her
5	signature. And which she has done at the bottom of each
6	page, is sign her signature consistent with the
7	signature page that she signed.
8	Q. So what you're saying is, she signed this
9	document, that she initialed this document?
10	A. Right. We only ask that for purposes of the
11	trust that they initial each page. For purposes of the
12	will, that they sign each page.
13	So this is the signature that she has this
14	is her signature on the bottom of this document.
15	Q. Well, there's no line saying that's her
16	signature, correct? There would be
17	A. But that was our practice.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. That was our practice, to have
20	Q. Okay. You testified to my dad's state of mind
21	that he was fine.
22	Si was usual when you saw him from May through
23	his death; is that correct?
24	A. Are you speaking about 2012?
25	Q. Yes.

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Are you aware of any medical problems my
3	father was having at that time?
4	A. No, I'm not.
5	Q. Are you aware of any stress he was under?
6	A. No, I was not.
7	Q. Mr. Rose had you read into or read into the
8	record a letter that I wrote with my waiver, saying,
9	anything I haven't seen the dispositive documents,
10	but I'll do anything, 'cause my dad is under stress, to
11	relieve him of his stress.
12	Do you know what stress I was referring to?
13	A. I don't.
14	Q. Were you in the May meeting with my father,
15	May 10, 2012?
16	A. I was are you talking about on the
17	telephone call?
18	Q. Correct.
19	A. I wasn't together with him.
20	Q. Okay. Were you together with anybody on that
21	call?
22	A. No. I was on in my my office phone.
23	Q. Okay. And at that meeting, did Si state that
24	he was having this meeting to end disputes among certain
25	parties and himself?

1	A. I don't recall.
2	Q. Were there any disputes you were aware of?
3	A. The only thing that he ever brought to my
4	attention was the letter that Pam had sent him.
5	Q. And what did Pam's letter state, basically?
6	A. I can't remember it. I mean, it was the
7	letter that he showed me in February of 2012. But the
8	general gist of that letter was that she was unhappy
9	about not being part of their estates.
10	Q. Just her or her and her children?
11	A. She may have spoke to her children.
12	Q. Was there anybody else who was left out of the
13	wills and trusts?
14	A. That was causing him stress?
15	Q. No. Just anybody at this point that was left
16	out, other than Pam.
17	A. Yes. Ted.
18	Q. And are you aware of anything Ted and Pam were
19	doing to force upon Si changes?
20	A. Not to my knowledge, other than the letter
21	that Pam had sent to him just expressing her
22	dissatisfaction.
23	Q. You said you talked to her attorney?
24	A. I talked to her attorney.
25	Q. And you told her attorney, while Si was

1 living, that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts with her brother Ted? 2 I don't recall the conversation with the 3 Α. attorney, but, ultimately, Si gave me authorization to 4 send documents to the attorney. So we may have had a 5 conversation about it. 6 7 So you're stating that Si told you to -- he 0. authorized you to tell his daughter that she had been 8 cut out of the estates and trusts? 9 10 He authorized me to send documents to the Α. 11 attorney. 12 Ο. Did you send those documents to the attorney? 13 Α. I believe we did, yes. Okay. Was Ted and his lineal descendants 14 Ο. 15 disinherited? They were, under the original documents. 16 Α. Well, under Shirley's document that's 17 Q. 18 currently theirs, Ted considered predeceased for all 19 purposes of disposition according to the language in the document you drafted? 20 21 Α. To the extent that assets passed to him under 2.2 the trust. 23 Q. Well, the document says, for all purposes of disposition, Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased, 24 25 correct?

1	A. You'll have to state the question again.
2	Q. Does the document you drafted say that Ted
3	Bernstein is both considered predeceased under the
4	beneficiary definition with his lineal descendants and
5	considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions
6	of the trust?
7	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. The
8	document's in evidence.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll have him read it.
11	THE COURT: Well, I mean, I can read it. It's
12	in evidence. So when it comes time, just point me
13	to the part that you want me to read, and I'll read
14	it. But I don't need to have the witness read it
15	to me. That's of no benefit.
16	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, and for the record,
17	those issues are part of the other counts and
18	aren't being tried today.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 7, Your Honor, of the
20	Shirley trust.
21	THE COURT: What exhibit number is that?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: You want me to enter it as my
23	exhibit?
24	THE WITNESS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your
25	Honor.

1	
1	THE COURT: All right. Let me go to page 7 of
2	Plaintiff's 2.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I enter this one into the
4	record?
5	THE COURT: Is it the same as the one I
6	already have?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: According to Alan, it's not.
8	THE COURT: According to who?
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose.
10	THE COURT: All right. Well, if it comes time
11	for you to put any exhibits in on your case, if
12	that's not a duplicate of an exhibit that's already
13	in, you're welcome to put it into evidence. But
14	this is not the time when you put evidence in.
15	This is the time when you're cross-examining the
16	plaintiff's witness.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: So on Page 7 of Plaintiff's 2, you
19	can go on with your questioning.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Are you there and are we on the same page?
22	Yes?
23	A. Yes, I am.
24	Q. Okay. In the definition of under E1, do
25	you see where it starts "notwithstanding the foregoing"?

	·
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Can you read that?
3	A. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have
4	adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for
5	purposes of the dispositions made under this trust to my
6	children, Ted S. Bernstein and Pamela B. Simon and their
7	respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have
8	predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided,
9	however, if my children Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni
10	and"
11	Q. Okay, that's you can stop there.
12	Would you consider making distributions a
13	disposition under the trust?
14	A. It would it depend on other factors.
15	Q. What factors?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. Is a validity hearing a disposition of the
20	trust?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal
22	conclusion.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he drafted the document,
25	so I'm trying to get what his meaning was when he

1	put it in. And it's relevant to the hearing today.
2	THE COURT: I ruled it's not relevant.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, you did rule that?
4	THE COURT: Do you have another question of
5	the witness? Or we're moving on.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. So for purposes of disposition, Ted, Pam and
9	her lineal descendants are considered predeceased,
10	correct?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy, cumulative
12	and best evidence.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	The document says what it says.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
16	THE COURT: When you ask a witness if it says
17	what it says, I don't pay any attention to his
18	answer, because I'm reading what it says.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Did you produce a fraudulent copy of the
22	Shirley trust agreement?
23	A. No, I did not.
24	Q. So when you sent to Christine Yates this trust
25	agreement with the attached amendment that you've

1	already admitted you fraudulently altered, was that
2	producing a not valid copy of the trust that was
3	distributed to a party?
4	A. We've already talked about the amendment was
5	not a valid amendment.
6	Q. No, I'm asking, did you create a not valid
7	trust of my mother's and distribute it to Christine
8	Yates, my children's attorney?
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. He's
10	covered this.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it has to go to the
12	validity, Your Honor, because
13	THE COURT: The question I'm figuring out is,
14	have we already covered this?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: We touched on a piece of it.
16	The more important part
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let you reask
18	your question to cover something that we've not
19	already covered.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And we covered that
21	the
22	THE COURT: You don't have to remind me.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
24	THE COURT: Listen, see, this look at this.
25	I take notes. I write stuff down. Now, a lot of

1	times, if you see me not writing and I'm doodling,
2	that means you're not scoring any points.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: You've got to show me
4	THE COURT: The point is, I should be writing
5	notes. So that means you're not doing any good.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
7	THE COURT: So, please, the reason I write it
8	is so we don't have to repeat things.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. You've already stated that you created
11	a fraudulent amendment.
12	Did you attach it to a Shirley trust document?
13	A. No. We included the amendment with the
14	documents that we transmitted to her.
15	Q. So it was included as part of the Shirley
16	trust document as an amendment, correct?
17	A. It was included as an amendment.
18	Q. To the Shirley trust document.
19	Thereby, you created a fraudulent copy, a not
20	valid copy of the Shirley trust, correct?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
22	Cumulative.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	You can answer. Did that create a fraudulent
25	version of the trust?

1	THE WITNESS: It could have, yes, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
3	Q. Can you explain why it couldn't have?
4	A. Because Si ultimately exercised his power of
5	appointment, which was broader than the definitional
6	provision in the document.
7	Q. That's not my question. I'll just say it was
8	asked and not answered.
9	Okay. So there are not validly not valid
10	Shirley trust agreements in circulation, correct?
11	A. That's not true.
12	Q. Well, the Shirley trust agreement you said
13	sent to Christine Yates you've just stated was invalidly
14	produced.
15	A. To Christine Yates.
16	Q. Yeah, okay. So I said "in circulation."
17	Is Christine Yates out of circulation?
18	A. I don't know what Christine Yates did with the
19	documents.
20	Q. Well, I got a copy, so they're even more in
21	circulation.
22	So my point being, you sent from your law firm
23	fraudulent a non-valid copy of the document
24	A. Which document?
25	Q the Shirley trust and her amendment to

Christine Yates, right?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll move on from
that.
BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
Q. Would you know about when you did that
fraudulent alteration of the document?
A. January 2013.
Q. And you were a fiduciary or you were
counsel to the alleged fiduciary, Ted Bernstein, of the
Shirley Bernstein trust, correct?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. And you were counsel to Ted Bernstein as the
alleged personal representative of Shirley's estate?
A. Yes, we were.
Q. And as Ted's counsel in the Shirley trust, can
you describe what the not valid trust agreement that was
sent to Ms. Yates did to alter the beneficiaries of the
document?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
What alterations did that make to the
beneficiaries?
THE WITNESS: It didn't make any alterations

1	to the beneficiaries. The document's not a valid
2	document and so it couldn't have made any changes
3	to the estate planning.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Okay. But what did it intend to do?
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor.
7	What did you say?
8	THE COURT: Next question.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. What did it intend to do?
11	A. I answered that question earlier.
12	THE COURT: I can't let the witness object to
13	questions. That won't work.
14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Earlier
15	you asked me the question, and I responded to you
16	that it was to carry out your father's intent and
17	the agreement that you all had made prior to his
18	death, on that telephone call, and to have a
19	document that would provide, perhaps, clarity to a
20	vague misinterpretation of your mother's document.
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
22	Q. So instead of going to the court, you just
23	frauded a document to an attorney, who's representing
24	minor children in this case produce a fraudulent copy
25	of the trust document, making us have total trouble

1	understanding what's real and not, especially with your
2	firm's history of fraudulent and forged documents
3	submitted to the court in this case.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're just
5	ranting. Ranting is not allowed.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry.
7	THE COURT: If you'd like to ask a question,
8	I'll let you do that. If I have to call you on
9	this too many more times, I'm going to assume that
10	you're done questioning the witness.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
12	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
13	Q. When did you first meet my parents?
14	A. 2007.
15	Q. And how did you meet them?
16	A. I met them through someone that made a
17	referral to them to our office.
18	Q. You didn't know Ted Bernstein prior to meeting
19	Si?
20	A. I don't recall who we met first. I'm not
21	sure.
22	Q. What firm were you with at the time?
23	A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin and
24	Ruffin and Forman.
25	Q. And how long were you with them?

1	A. Five-plus years.
2	Q. And where were you before that?
3	A. I was in school.
4	Q. Okay. Did you work at Sony Digital ever?
5	A. I did.
6	Q. You did. And when was that, before school or
7	after?
8	A. That was from 1994 to '96.
9	Q. So after school?
10	A. After college.
11	Q. Okay. So that was you just forgot about
12	that one in your history.
13	Is there any other parts of your biography I'm
14	missing?
15	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18	Q. Can you repeat, since I'm there was a
19	little clarification error there. Your history, you
20	started
21	THE COURT: That's not necessary to repeat the
22	history. Do you have a new question?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to get the
24	history.
25	THE COURT: I don't want him to repeat what

1	he's already said. That moves the case backwards.
2	I want to go forward. You're cavitating.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Did the altered trust document sent to
6	Christine Yates attempt to convince Yates and others she
7	sent that document to that Ted and Pam's lineal
8	descendants were actually inside the document?
9	A. Say the question again.
10	Q. Well, we read the section where they're
11	considered predeceased, Ted and Pam and their lineal
12	descendants.
13	When you altered that amendment that you said
14	you were just doing Si's wishes postmortem by altering a
15	document, my question is, did you put language in there
16	that would have made Ted and Pam's lineal descendants
17	now beneficiaries of Shirley's trust?
18	MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's
19	cumulative. We've covered this.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23	Q. Can the beneficiary of Shirley's trust be Ted,
24	Pam or their lineal descendants?
25	A. If the assets of her trust were to pass under

1	the trust, no
2	Q. Okay.
3	A under the trust.
4	Q. So in the trust language of the Shirley trust
5	document, Ted's lineal descendants and Pam's lineal
6	descendants can get no dispositions, distributions,
7	whatever you want to call it?
8	A. You have to ask the question in a different
9	way, because I answered the question. I said, if it
10	passes under the trust, that they would not inherent.
11	If.
12	Q. Okay. When Shirley died, was her trust
13	irrevocable at that point?
14	A. It was.
15	Q. Who were the beneficiaries?
16	A. Simon Bernstein.
17	Q. And who were the beneficiaries well, Simon
18	Bernstein wasn't a beneficiary. He was a trustee.
19	A. No, he became the beneficiary of her trust
20	when she died. He was the sole beneficiary of her trust
21	when she died.
22	Q. Okay. And then who would it go to when he
23	died?
24	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. Okay. When Simon died, who would the benefits 3 of Shirley's trust go to? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 4 THE COURT: Are you asking him to tell you 5 what would happen if the mother died first, then 6 7 the father died second, and we have the trust documents and the wills that are in place so far 8 that have been testified to at the trial? 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. 10 THE COURT: I already know all that stuff. 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well --12 THE COURT: So what is the new question you 13 want to ask that's not cumulative? 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get to a very significant point there. 16 17 THE COURT: Get there. Just go there and see 18 what happens. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: I just have to learn to ask 20 these questions a little more like a lawyer. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: So I have to rethink how to 23 ask that. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 25 Q. Do you recall talking to Detective Ryan

1	Miller?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Can you tell me all the roles you had in these
6	estates and trusts, and your partner, Don Tescher?
7	A. We were the attorneys to your parents. Upon
8	your dad's death, we became counsel to his estate and
9	served as co-PRs and co-trustees under his documents.
10	Q. Any other roles?
11	A. Served as counsel for we served as counsel
12	for Ted as fiduciary under your mother's documents.
13	Q. And who served as your counsel as trustee
14	PR co-trustee, co-PR?
15	A. Mark Manceri.
16	Q. Mark Manceri submitted that he was your
17	attorney?
18	A. I believe so, yes.
19	Q. Did you take a retainer out with him?
20	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: What's the relevance of the
23	retainer question?
24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I take that back.
25	Mark Manceri was not counsel to us with respect to

1	the estate, except on a very specific matter.
2	THE COURT: The question that was objected to
3	was, did you take out a retainer? What's the
4	relevance of that?
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out
6	if he was properly representing before the court
7	these documents, and to his credibility, meaning
8	his
9	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. And a question about the court. How long
13	before you notified the court as a personal
14	representative fiduciary that you had produced a
15	fraudulent trust of Shirley's?
16	A. To whom? I don't know that we ever
17	represented the document to the court, and I don't know
18	that anyone ever came to the court and said that we did.
19	Q. Well, I did in a petition I filed and served
20	on you
21	MR. ROSE: Objection.
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23	Q of January excuse me petition that I
24	served on you exposing a fraud of what happened with
25	Christine Yates after you admitted that to the police.

,	
1	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
4	Q. Okay. How many times have you spoken with
5	Alan Rose in the last three months?
6	A. Twice.
7	Q. Did you prepare for this hearing in any way
8	with Alan Rose?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. Okay. Was that the two times you spoke to
11	him?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you see any other of the parties that would
14	be necessary to validate these trust documents in the
15	court today?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. And you gave testimony to the total net worth
20	of Simon today, when you were asked by Mr. Rose; is that
21	correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How long did you serve as the co-trustee and
24	co-personal representative?
25	A. Of your father's estate? Since the date of

1	his death.
2	Q. And his trust?
3	A. Same.
4	Q. Okay. Did you produce an accounting to
5	support those claims you made today?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, can I argue that or
9	THE COURT: No.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Not even close. Does that
11	mean I have to ask it a different way?
12	THE COURT: Well, I can't answer questions.
13	I'm not allowed to give anybody legal advice.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. That was procedural, I
15	thought. But okay.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's legal advice.
17	Procedure is a legal issue.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. As a fiduciary of the estate of Simon and the
20	trust of Simon, did your law firm produce a accounting?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant to, if
23	he's a fiduciary, his conduct. I mean, there's
24	THE COURT: Here's the way I handle
25	objections

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2	THE COURT: somebody asks a question, and
3	somebody in the courtroom says objection, and then
4	I have them state the legal objection and stop.
5	The other side doesn't say anything, unless I say,
6	Is there any argument one side or the other?
7	Because usually I can figure this stuff out without
8	having to waste time with arguments.
9	I didn't ask for any argument, right? Okay.
10	Sustained. Next question.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Mr. Rose asked you about Shirley's Bentley.
13	Are you aware you became aware of Shirley's
14	Bentley, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When you became aware of Shirley's Bentley,
17	did you put in an amended inventory to account for it?
18	THE COURT: What's this going to help me
19	decide on the validity of the wills or trusts?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just responding to the
21	statements that were brought up.
22	THE COURT: I wish you would have objected to
23	the relevancy then, but you didn't.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: I did.
25	THE COURT: I don't think so.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: No?
2	THE COURT: I'm a car guy, so I pay attention
3	if somebody's asking questions about Bentleys just
4	because it's interesting.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's so important, Your
6	Honor, because
7	THE COURT: No, it's not. Right now what is
8	tied is, are the wills and trusts bound?
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: We have to question his
10	competency.
11	THE COURT: And so what's in the estate or
12	what's in the trust is not of any interest to me
13	right now. So if that Bentley should have been in
14	the estate or should not have been in the estate,
15	it should have been accounted for, not accounted
16	for, I'm not going to figure out today. But I want
17	to get all the evidence I possibly can to see
18	whether these wills and trusts that are in front of
19	me are valid or not valid. And I'm hoping that
20	you'll ask some questions that'll help me figure
21	that out.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Are those originals that you
23	have?
24	THE COURT: See, I'm not the witness. I'm the
25	judge. So I'm not sworn in and I have no knowledge

1	of the facts of this case, other than what the
2	witnesses tell me.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm winding down. I'll check
4	my list.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Are you familiar with a document the Bernstein
8	Family Realty LLC agreement?
9	A. Yes, I am.
10	Q. Did you draft that document?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. Was it part of Simon's estate planning?
13	A. It was part of his estate planning well,
14	yes
15	Q. And what was
16	A in a roundabout way.
17	Q. What was it designed to do?
18	A. It was designed to hold title to the home that
19	you and your family live in.
20	Q. Oh, okay. And so it was who's the owners
21	of that?
22	A. The three kids your three kids, Josh,
23	Daniel your three kids' trusts that your father
24	created and Jake that he created in I believe
25	he created those trusts in 2006.

1	Q. And the prior testimony was, there were no
2	special documents under Simon's estate plan for my
3	family; is that correct?
4	A. Right. None that we prepared. Those were not
5	documents that we prepared.
6	Q. Okay. I think he asked you if you knew of
7	any.
8	So you knew of these, correct?
9	A. You're making me recall them. Yes.
10	Q. Oh, okay. Because you answered pretty
11	affirmatively no before, that you weren't aware of any
12	special
13	THE COURT: Do you have any questions for the
14	witness?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get it.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. You referenced an insurance policy.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I well, I can't ask him
19	anything.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. You referenced an insurance policy earlier,
22	life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is
23	that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And was that part of the estate plans?

1	A. We never did any planning with that. That was
2	an insurance policy that your father had taken out
3	30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for
4	that. That was not a part of any of the planning that
5	we did for him.
6	Q. Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf
7	of that policy?
8	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. Is Christine Yates, who you sent the
12	fraudulently altered Shirley trust document that's not
13	valid, a layman?
14	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Is she an attorney at law?
18	THE COURT: Now you're asking a different
19	question.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Thanks.
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23	Q. Is she a layman, as you described prior?
24	A. She's an attorney.
25	Q. Okay. So you were sending that document that

1	you said you altered to make a layman understand the
2	language in the trust better?
3	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
4	THE COURT: Let me have you finish your
5	questioning.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. But you sent it to Christine Yates, an
8	attorney, who's not a layman?
9	A. We did.
10	Q. Okay. So it could be that you sent that
11	document to an attorney to commit a fraud upon her
12	clients, my children, minor children, correct?
13	A. The intent was not to commit a fraud.
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. Again, the intent was to carry out your dad's
16	wishes.
17	Q. By fraudulently altering documents?
18	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	If you ask one more argumentative question, I
21	will stop you from asking the other things, because
22	I'll figure that you're done. Is that clear?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
24	THE COURT: I'm done warning you. I think
25	that's just too much to have to keep saying over

1	and over again.
2	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
3	Q. When Shirley died, were her wishes upheld?
4	A. Your dad was the sole survivor of her
5	estate he was the sole beneficiary of her estate and
6	her trust.
7	Q. So her wishes of her trusts when Simon died
8	were to make who the beneficiaries?
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Who did Shirley make are you familiar with
13	the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust?
14	A. Iam.
15	Q. And is that trust under the Shirley trust?
16	A. No, it's not.
17	Q. It's a separate trust?
18	A. It is.
19	Q. Is it mentioned in the Shirley trust?
20	A. It may be.
21	Q. As what?
22	A. As a receptacle for Shirley's estate.
23	Q. Her trust?
24	A. A potential receptacle for Shirley's trust.
25	Q. So there were three, the Eliot Bernstein

Г

1	Family Trust, Lisa Friedstein and Jill Iantoni Family
2	Trust, that are mentioned as receptacles. I would
3	assume that's the word, beneficiary
4	MR. ROSE: Objection.
5	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6	Q of the Shirley trust, correct?
7	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. On Simon's medical state eight weeks
11	before he died, when these documents of the Simon trust
12	are alleged by you to have been signed, are you aware of
13	any conditions of Simon's at that time medically?
14	A. I was not.
15	Q. Were you aware of any medicines he was on?
16	A. I was not.
17	Q. Were you aware he was seeing a psychiatrist?
18	A. I was not.
19	Q. Were you aware that he was going for a brain
20	scan?
21	A. I was not.
22	Q. Were you aware that he was brought in to
23	multiple doctors during that time for brain problems;
24	that they ended up doing a brain biopsy at Delray
25	Medical right around that time that he's said to sign

1	these documents?
2	A. He did not make us aware of any medical issues
3	that he had.
4	Q. Okay. Did you ask him at the time you were
5	signing those amended documents if he was under any
6	medical stress?
7	A. No, I did not.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. He
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him to read that?
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Can you look at that document and
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge, would you like a look
14	at this?
15	THE COURT: I don't look at anything that's
16	not an exhibit.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm exhibiting it to him.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine, but I
19	want you to go ahead and ask your question. I
20	don't look at things that aren't exhibits in
21	evidence
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
23	THE COURT: unless I have to mark them.
24	But no, I don't have a curiosity to look at pieces
25	of paper.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Should I exhibit it as
2	evidence can I exhibit it as
3	THE COURT: If it comes into evidence, I'll
4	look at it.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit it as
6	evidence?
7	THE COURT: Well, have you asked any questions
8	to establish what it is?
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Is this a letter from your law firm prior
11	law firm?
12	A. I did not prepare this letter
13	Q. Okay.
14	A but it appears to be, yes.
15	Q. Prepared by?
16	A. Donald Tescher.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Now can I submit it?
18	THE COURT: So you're offering it as an
19	exhibit
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Please.
21	THE COURT: as Defendant's 2.
22	Is there any objection?
23	MR. ROSE: No objection.
24	THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
25	it. And that'll be in evidence as Defendant's 2.

	,,
1	Thank you.
2	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
3	evidence.)
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Can you just read into the record
6	paragraph 2
7	THE COURT: Well, I'm reading it. The
8	document is in the record.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
10	THE COURT: I'm reading paragraph 2 even as we
11	speak, so I don't need the witness to read it for
12	me. But if you want to ask him a question, you can
13	go ahead with that.
14	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15	Q. Okay. That letter states that Si's power of
16	appointment for Simon could not be used in favor of Pam,
17	Ted and their respective children; is that correct?
18	A. Yes. Don appears to have written that.
19	Q. Did you get a copy of this letter?
20	A. I don't recall getting a copy of it, but
21	doesn't mean that I didn't.
22	Q. But you are partners in that firm?
23	A. Yes, we were partners in that firm.
24	Q. Now, that this document
25	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can I just I don't

1	want to go out of order, but this is only relevant
2	if the documents are valid. And if he's the
3	whole point is the documents are valid. And he
4	wants to argue the second part, of what they mean,
5	then we should not have wasted a whole day arguing
6	over the validity of these five documents.
7	THE COURT: Well, waste of time is what I do
8	for a living sometimes. Saying we shouldn't be
9	here doesn't help me decide anything.
10	I thought I was supposed to decide the
11	validity of the five documents that have been
12	pointed out; some of them might be valid and some
13	of them might be invalid. And I'm struggling to
14	decide what's relevant or not relevant based upon
15	the possibility that one of them might be invalid
16	or one of them might not. And so I'm letting in a
17	little bit more stuff than I normally think I
18	would.
19	MR. ROSE: I'm concerned we're arguing the
20	second the second part of this trial is going to
21	be to determine what the documents mean and what
22	Simon's power of attorney could or couldn't do.
23	And this document goes to trial two and not trial
24	one, although I didn't object to its admissibility.
25	THE COURT: Well, since it's in evidence,

1	we'll leave it there and see what happens next.
2	Do you have any other questions of the
3	witness?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
5	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6	Q. It says that the document that you
7	fraudulently altered creating the invalid copy of the
8	Shirley trust had some kind of paragraph 2 that was
9	missing from the original document
10	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q from my understanding.
13	THE COURT: You may finish your question. And
14	make sure it's a question and not an argument.
15	Because you know what happens if this is an
16	argument.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not arguing. I'm just
18	asking
19	THE COURT: I want you to ask your question.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. It says here that there was a blank spot that
22	you a Paragraph No. 2 which modified the definitional
23	language by deleting words.
24	According to this document, the power of
25	appointment by Simon could not alter the Shirley trust

1	agreement, correct?
2	A. Don seems to be suggesting that in the second
3	paragraph. I don't necessarily believe that that's the
4	case.
5	Q. Did you review this document with Don?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
7	THE COURT: The question is, Did you go over
8	this document with Don?
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
10	THE COURT: Overruled.
11	You can answer.
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14	Q. So he's Don, in this letter, is describing
15	your actions, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Did you write a letter to anybody
18	describing your actions?
19	A. I did not.
20	Q. You did not.
21	And what have you done to correct the damages
22	caused by that to my family?
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. And are you aware of an autopsy that was done
3	on my father the day or ordered the day he died?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Are you aware well, are you aware of a
8	heavy metal poison test that was done by the Palm Beach
9	County coroner?
10	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's
13	THE COURT: Next question.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm trying to figure that out.
15	Your Honor, is I can't ask you that question.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Competency. Based on everything you know
18	about Simon, when he signed those documents, he was
19	competent?
20	A. To my knowledge, he was of sound mind and
21	body.
22	Q. Now, are you a medical expert?
23	A. I'm not.
24	Q. Are you aware of any other fraudulent activity
25	that took place in anything in the estate and trusts of

1	Simon Bernstein by yourself or your employees?
2	A. Are you referring back to the closing of your
3	mother's estate?
4	Q. I'm referring to any other
5	A we've talked about.
6	Q. So can you list those and then just say that's
7	all that you're aware of?
8	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. Other than the fraud that you've admitted to
12	in the documents of Shirley, the Moran forged and
13	fraudulent waivers, the April 9th waiver that you and Si
14	signed stating he had all the waivers when he couldn't
15	have, are there any other frauds that you're aware of
16	that took place with these estate and trust documents?
17	A. Not to my knowledge.
18	Q. When you were first interviewed by the Palm
19	Beach County Sheriff with Kimberly Moran, did you notify
20	them at that first interview that you had fraudulently
21	altered a document?
22	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. When did you notify the sheriff that you

1	fraudulently altered a document?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. You have these exhibits. This will says
6	"conformed copy" on Exhibit 1 of their exhibits; is that
7	correct?
8	A. Yes, it does.
9	Q. Does a conformed copy have to have the clerk
10	of the court's signature on it?
11	A. Conformed copy would not be sent to the clerk
12	of the courts.
13	Q. Conformed copy okay.
14	Is that your signature on the document? This
15	is Exhibit 2, Shirley trust agreement, of the
16	plaintiff's exhibit book, 2, page 27.
17	A. Yes, it appears to be.
18	Q. It appears to be?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. All right. And is that Traci Kratish's
21	signature?
22	A. She was there. I can't speak to her
23	signature.
24	Q. Did you witness her sign it?
25	A. I did.

1	Q. Okay. Is that my mom's signature on page 28?
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	Q. On this first amendment to Shirley's trust
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Exhibit 3, Your Honor, page 1
5	of 3, I guess. It's the first page in that
6	exhibit.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. Is that document do you recall that
9	document?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And you recall the day it's signed and
12	notarized, allegedly?
13	A. November 18th, 2008.
14	Q. On the front page of that document, what day
15	is the document dated?
16	A. It's not dated.
17	Q. Is that typical and customary in your office?
18	A. Sometimes clients forget to put the date at
19	the top.
20	Q. You forget?
21	A. I said, sometimes clients forget to put the
22	date at the top.
23	Q. Well, did you check the document before making
24	it a part of a will and trust?
25	A. It was notarized as a self-proving document.

1	Q. Are you aware that Kimberly Moran's
2	notarization of the Simon trust has been found by the
3	Governor Rick Scott's notary public division to be
4	deficient?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. Are you aware of Kimberly Moran of your office
9	being contacted by the governor's office in relation to
10	these wills and trusts?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	What do I care if he's aware of that or not?
14	How does that help me decide the validity of these
15	documents?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the governor's already
17	made a claim that
18	THE COURT: But you're asking the witness if
19	he's aware of. Are you aware the sky is blue right
20	now? It doesn't matter to me if he's aware of it
21	or not. Are you aware Rick Scott has started an
22	investigation of a moon landing? It doesn't matter
23	to me if he knows that or not. You asked him are
24	you aware of somebody from Rick Scott's office
25	doing something. It doesn't matter to me if he's

1	aware of that or not. I've got to figure out the
2	validity of these documents, so I need to know
3	facts about that, please. Any other questions of
4	the witness on that?
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Is that my father's signature?
8	A. I'm not an expert on your father's signature.
9	But if it's on his will, at the bottom of his will, that
10	must have been a copy that was obtained from the clerk
11	of the courts, because that will was filed, and we would
12	have conformed copies in our file, which would not have
13	his signature at the bottom. Apparently, it is.
14	Q. But it does say on the document that the
15	original will's in your safe, correct?
16	A. For your mother's document, it showed that.
17	Q. Oh, for my father's where are the originals
18	of my father's?
19	A. Your father's original will was deposited in
20	the court. As was your mother's.
21	Q. How many copies of it were there that were
22	original?
23	A. Only one original. I think Mr. Rose had
24	stated on the record that he requested a copy from the
25	clerk of the court of your father's original will, to

1	make a copy of it.
2	Q. Certified?
3	A. I'm not sure if he said it was certified or
4	not.
5	Q. Is that your signature on my father's will?
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Exhibit 4, Your Honor,
7	Page 7.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. Is that my father's signature?
11	A. Appears to be.
12	Q. Whose signature is that?
13	A. That's my signature.
14	Q. Oh, okay. So the only two witnesses you see
15	on this document are you and Kimberly Moran; is that
16	correct?
17	A. On that page.
18	Q. And both you and Kimberly Moran have had
19	misconduct in these cases?
20	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
21	THE COURT: Overruled. But it's cumulative.
22	MR. ROSE: It's cumulative.
23	THE COURT: How many times do I need to know
24	this?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: What does that mean exactly,

1	cumulative? I don't get that. I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: Let's say you hit me over the head
3	with a two-by-four. That's one time. If you do it
4	twice, that's cumulative. Cumulative's not
5	allowed.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's an objection, is that
7	I've asked it
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: and it was answered? Is
10	that what it's kind of saying?
11	THE COURT: Yes, asked and answered. That's
12	another way of saying it.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I got it.
14	THE COURT: Asked and answered is a similar
15	way to say it.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Sorry.
17	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18	Q. Is that my father's signature, to the best of
19	your knowledge?
20	A. Appears to be, yes.
21	Q. And is that your signature?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	Q. And here, did Kimberly Moran properly notarize
24	this document?
25	A. Kimberly did not notarize the document.

1	Q. Or Lindsay Baxley, did she check one either
2	the person was personally known or produced
3	identification?
4	A. No. This is what Mr. Rose had gone over
5	earlier.
6	Q. No, those, I believe, are in other documents
7	we'll get to.
8	So this notarization, as far as you can tell,
9	is incomplete?
10	MR. ROSE: Objection. Are we on Exhibit 2?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
12	THE COURT: We're on Exhibit 4, as far as I
13	recall.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: He does not miss a thing.
15	Your Honor, page 8.
16	THE WITNESS: This is Si's documents.
17	MR. ROSE: Got it.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. Okay. So on Simon's trust, weeks before he
20	dies, the notarization's improper?
21	A. This was the same document we spoke about
22	before. Yes, she did not circle "known to me,"
23	although
24	Q. So she didn't know you or Simon?
25	A. No, she knew all of us. She just neglected to

1 circle "known to me." And that's one of the three functions of a 2 Ο. 3 notary, to the best of your knowledge, to determine the person is in the presence that day by some form of I 4 either know you or you gave me a license; is that 5 correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. So your firm -- have you done anything since Ο. 8 knowing this document's improperly notarized to correct 9 10 it with the courts? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. It misstates facts. He 12 didn't say it was improperly notarized. 13 THE COURT: Just state the objection, please. MR. ROSE: Well, calls for a legal conclusion. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. MORRISSEY: Another objection. Ιt misstates the law. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 Q. Is that Lindsay -- oh, you can't answer that. 21 So, to the best of your ability, regarding 22 your signature, Kimberly or Lindsay Baxley has failed to 23 state that you either were known to her or produced 24 identification? 25 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll go on to
3	document 5.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Is that my father's initials, to the best of
6	your knowledge?
7	A. Appears to be, yes.
8	Q. Do these initials look similar to you, this
9	one on page 2, next to this one on page 3, next to that
10	thing on page 4?
11	A. Initials typically don't look perfect page to
12	page, and they don't necessarily look similar page to
13	page. I have seen clients execute a lot of documents,
14	and by the time they get to, you know, the second and
15	third document, their signatures and their initials do
16	not necessarily look
17	Q. Look at page 13, for example. I mean, this is
18	almost if we go through page by page, tell me if you
19	see any that are even similar. On page let's start
20	back at the beginning, if that'll help you.
21	That? Do those look similar to you as you're
22	flipping through those?
23	A. Yeah, they have a lot of the same similar
24	ending marks. Your father's ending mark was that line.
25	I mean, it's on every single solitary page.

1	Q. Okay. So your testimony today is those are my
2	father's initials?
3	A. That they were.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. I was there when he was
6	Q. And you've looked at all of these, page 19,
7	page 20? Those look similar to what you're saying or
8	why don't you just look at them. If you go through them
9	all, they all look different. But okay.
10	A. They all look different, and they all look
11	consistent at the same time.
12	Q. Okay. Is that on page 24, is that my
13	father's signature?
14	A. Appears to be.
15	Q. Is that your signature?
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	Q. Okay. Now, this is another trust document
18	that Lindsay Baxley did that's supposed to be notarized,
19	a will and trust, I believe, and the amended and
20	restated.
21	Can you tell that Simon Bernstein was present
22	or produced or present that day by the notarization?
23	A. She again failed to mark that he was
24	personally known, but she worked for him.
25	Q. So these dispositive documents are improperly

1	notarized?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Legal
3	conclusion.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6	Q. Okay. And then let's go to the first
7	amendment to Shirley Bernstein's trust. Is this a
8	document prepared
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, that would be 6.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Is that a document prepared by your law firm?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	Q. And do you see where it's, "Now therefore by
15	executing this instrument I hereby amend the trust
16	agreement as following"? And what is it what are the
17	numbering sequences there?
18	A. It says, I hereby delete a paragraph of
19	article
20	Q. What number is that?
21	A. Paragraph B it's number 1.
22	Q. Okay. And what's Number 2?
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. It's in
24	evidence. And it's cumulative.
25	THE COURT: Two is in evidence, as is

Γ

1	paragraph one and paragraph three. And I've
2	read
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. But Number 1, Your
4	Honor, take a look real quick. Number 1; there's
5	no Number 2.
6	THE COURT: The objection came on your next
7	question, and that was dealing with paragraph 2,
8	which says it's already in evidence. And it is.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, no, not paragraph 2. Look
10	at down below. Under the "now therefore," there's
11	a Number 1, and I was asking him what Number 2
12	reads.
13	THE COURT: I know you were.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: And there is no Number 2.
15	THE COURT: You've asked me to look at
16	Exhibit No. 6, right? Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has,
17	under the therefore clause, a one, a two and a
18	three. Are you asking me to look at a different
19	document?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach?
21	THE COURT: Sure. All right. So that's a
22	different Number 6 than I have. So let's see your
23	Number 6.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: What do I do on that?
25	THE COURT: That's not my decision.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's his book, not my book,
2	just so you know.
3	THE COURT: Well, that Tab 6 is different than
4	my Tab 6. So there you go.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, which what do
6	I go off there?
7	THE COURT: I have no
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit that into
9	evidence?
10	THE COURT: I have no preference.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to submit
12	this, because I'm not sure if the other one is in
13	evidence wrong.
14	THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
15	MR. ROSE: Could I just see the book? Would
16	you mind?
17	THE COURT: Here, I'll show you my book. You
18	can look at that book and see what's going on.
19	And this will be a good time for us to take a
20	short break, and let you all straighten it out. So
21	we'll be back in session in 15 minutes. And then
22	we'll go to the bitter end. Each of you has about
23	60 minutes remaining.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, when you say
25	"60 minutes remaining," we haven't got through all

1	the witnesses yet.
2	THE COURT: Well, we will have by the end of
3	60 minutes on each side.
4	This trial is over at five o'clock. I told
5	you when we started each of you has half of the
6	time; please use it wisely; use it as you wish.
7	I've tried to encourage both sides to be efficient.
8	When your time is gone, that's the end of the trial
9	for you.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the case manager
11	THE COURT: When their trial is gone
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: At the case management, they
13	said it would take a day. I argued and said to you
14	it would take days. I mean, they've got
15	10 witnesses. I need to have all the people who
16	witnessed these documents here.
17	THE COURT: Remember when I said a moment ago
18	we're in recess? I was serious. Thanks. We'll go
19	back in session 15 minutes from now.
20	(A break was taken.)
21	THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Are there
22	any further questions for the witness on cross?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We were just working
24	out that 1, 2, 3, Exhibit No. 6, so that we get the
25	record straight.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Shall I get a copy of yours,
3	you get a copy of mine? Or how do you want to do
4	that?
5	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I tried to work it out.
6	THE COURT: Listen, I don't have any
7	preference as to how we do anything. You all tell
8	me how you've worked it out, and if I agree with
9	it, I'll accept it.
10	MR. ROSE: The copy that's been marked for the
11	witness, the copy in my book and the copy in your
12	book are all identical. I don't know what's in his
13	book, and he wouldn't show me his book on the
14	break.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. ROSE: But I'm fine. It's a three-page
17	document. And if he wants to put it in evidence,
18	even though it's not operative, I have no
19	objection.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So are you putting
21	something into evidence?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. The one that I
23	THE COURT: Have you showed it to the other
24	side yet? You can't put secret documents into
25	evidence, only after they've been seen by everyone.

1	Let's at least show it to the other side so they
2	know the document that's being proffered as an
3	exhibit. If they still have no objection, I'll
4	receive it as Defendant's 3.
5	MR. ROSE: This is in evidence already as
6	Exhibit No as Plaintiff's No. 3.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: So what's 6? So now I don't
8	even have the right 6 document.
9	MR. ROSE: The 6 that the witness has is three
10	pages. It's the same 6 that's in your book and
11	it's in my book. It's three consecutive pages of
12	the production from Tescher & Spallina law firm.
13	It has the inoperative first amendment as page 1,
14	then it has the operative first amendment as
15	page 2, and the signature page as page 3. It's the
16	same document in everybody's book. That's all I
17	can tell you.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, in my book, 3 and
20	6 are the identical documents
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: so I would need
23	THE COURT: Are there any other questions of
24	the witness?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I was going to ask him

1	questions on this document.
2	THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's go.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I need a I don't
4	have the 6 that everybody else is referring to. My
5	sinks is the same as
6	THE COURT: There you go. Take whatever you
7	need.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. I think we
9	missed 6. It's just short on 6.
10	THE COURT: All right. Then here's my Tab 6.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir.
12	THE COURT: The idea is to keep moving.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll move on. I'm
14	almost done here.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 3, can you list the
17	numbers there?
18	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence.
19	Cumulative.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	You need to refer to which page. That's a
22	multi-page document, and both pages have numbered
23	paragraphs on them.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 1 of 2.
25	

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. The Roman Numeral or the numerals, can you
3	give the sequence of those numbers?
4	A. One and three. It's skipping two.
5	Q. And this is a document you allege to be part
6	of the Shirley trust that you're claiming is valid?
7	A. That's the amendment that Shirley executed in
8	November of 2008.
9	Q. And would there be a reason why your law firm
10	numbers one, three?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	You can answer.
14	THE WITNESS: Human error.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. Okay. But it is an error in the document that
17	you're claiming is valid Shirley trust?
18	A. It's a numbering error.
19	Q. In the document, you're claiming this is a
20	valid amendment, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Okay. And then in number 6 from the judge,
23	what's the numbering sequence?
24	A. One, two, three.
25	Q. Okay. So you added in a number two?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. How did you go about doing that?
3	A. There was a paragraph two inserted between one
4	and three.
5	Q. Well, the paragraph that's inserted between
6	one and three wouldn't fit there.
7	So what did you do?
8	A. The document was opened up and a paragraph was
9	inserted.
10	Q. Okay. So you increased the spacing on the
11	document, correct, by adding a number three, correct?
12	A. Adding number two, yes.
13	Q. By adding number two, correct.
14	Okay. So you actually had to alter the
15	chronology as it was placed on the document? You didn't
16	just put a number two there in between one and three?
17	You actually went and expanded the document with words
18	that were inserted by you fraudulently, right?
19	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
20	Cumulative.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
23	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, the witness does have
24	the exhibits in front of him. If Mr. Bernstein
25	could be at the podium.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know if he has all the
2	exhibits.
3	THE COURT: Well, do you have the exhibit that
4	I gave you from the Court's?
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, jeez.
6	THE COURT: Because I'd like to have it back
7	so that that doesn't get lost.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. You gave me the one
9	with one, two, three.
10	Can I get a copy of this from the clerk?
11	THE BAILIFF: There is no clerk.
12	THE COURT: Can I have the document back,
13	please? He's not a clerk.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Marshall, sheriff, officer,
15	sir. Sorry about that.
16	THE COURT: He does not make copies.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Thanks. Any other questions of
19	the witness? Your time is rapidly disappearing.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Just going through that.
21	THE COURT: And I think you said earlier you
22	have no objection to Plaintiff's 6 being received
23	as an exhibit?
24	MR. ROSE: Correct.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. ROSE: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Then it's in evidence as
3	Plaintiff's 6. I'm making it Plaintiff's 6, rather
4	than Defendant's 3, because it's already marked and
5	it's been referred to by that number.
6	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was received into
7	evidence.)
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9	Q. Are these your notes?
10	A. No, they're not. Those are Don's.
11	Q. Do you know the date on that note?
12	A. 3/12/08.
13	Q. Did you take any notes in the meeting?
14	A. Those are my notes there.
15	Q. These are? Oh, so this is a compilation of
16	Don's and your notes?
17	A. Those are my notes, yes.
18	Q. And those were taken on that day?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Whose notes are those?
21	A. I just saw those for the first time today. I
22	believe they're your father's notes.
23	Q. How would you know those are my father's
24	notes?
25	A. Mr. Rose introduced that document earlier.

1	Q. Document 12, did it come from your offices?
2	A. I don't know where it came from.
3	Q. Did you Bates stamp this document as part of
4	your documents?
5	A. I don't recall ever seeing that document.
6	Q. And it doesn't have your Bates stamp from your
7	production, right?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. You were supposed to turn over all your
10	records, correct?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. He's testified it
12	wasn't in his
13	THE COURT: What's the objection to the
14	question?
15	MR. ROSE: Cumulative.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. Your Honor, I'm
18	done.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	Is there any redirect?
21	MR. ROSE: Brief, Your Honor.
22	REDIRECT (ROBERT SPALLINA)
23	BY MR. ROSE:
24	Q. Assuming the documents are valid, they'll have
25	to be a later trial to determine the effect of Simon's

1	exercise of his power of appointment?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. It doesn't have any direct bearing on whether
4	these five documents are valid?
5	A. No.
6	Q. And I take it you don't necessarily agree with
7	Mr. Tescher's view as expressed in his letter of
8	January 14th, 2014?
9	A. Again, I'm seeing that here. Surprised to see
10	that.
11	Q. The original documents, the wills, you
12	retained at all times of Shirley and Simon in your firm?
13	A. Prior to their death, yes.
14	Q. And that's consistent practice for a trust and
15	estate lawyer, to keep it in your will vault or in your
16	safe deposit box?
17	A. Yes. I would say most attorneys do that just
18	because there's only one original of the will, and very
19	often documents can get lost if clients take documents
20	home. So, typically, they're kept in a safe deposit box
21	or a safe or something like that, and left with the
22	attorney.
23	Q. I want to make sure I understand and the Court
24	understands what happened with the waiver forms.
25	While Simon was alive, he signed a petition

1	for discharge; is that correct?
2	A. Correct. April of '08.
3	Q. And
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: What exhibit? Excuse me.
5	What number are we looking at?
6	MR. ROSE: None well, actually, it's in my
7	book. If you want to follow along, it's Tab 28.
8	But it's not in evidence.
9	BY MR. ROSE:
10	Q. And Simon also then filed a waiver of
11	accounting himself?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. And is it necessary for Simon, even though
14	he's the personal representative, to sign a waiver of
15	accounting because he's a beneficiary?
16	A. I mean, we do it as a matter of course.
17	Q. And the signature of Simon Bernstein on
18	April 9th, that's genuinely his signature?
19	A. Can I see?
20	Q. Exhibit 28 is a petition that was filed with
21	the court. I'm going to just show you the exhibits.
22	Exhibit A says "Petition for discharge full waiver."
23	Is this a document you would have prepared for
24	Simon Bernstein to sign?
25	A. Yeah, our firm would prepare that.

1	Q. Okay. And it's a three-page document.
2	Is that Simon Bernstein's signature
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q April 9th, 2012?
5	A. Yes, he signed the document.
6	Q. And he was alive when he signed the document?
7	A. Yes, he was.
8	Q. Okay. Then he had to sign a waiver of
9	accounting, which he signed on the same day?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And you have a document waiver of accounting
12	on the next page signed by Eliot Bernstein on May 15th?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And there's no doubt that's Eliot's signature
15	because he's the one who emailed you the document,
16	correct?
17	A. And sent us the original by mail.
18	Q. Right. And we already have an exhibit which
19	is his email that sent you his waiver form?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And the waiver forms of Ted, Pam, Lisa and
22	Jill are all valid, signed by them on the date that they
23	indicated they signed it?
24	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
25	Q. So then these got submitted to the court.

1	Is there anything wrong with submitting waiver
2	forms to the court signed by Simon while he's alive
3	after he had passed away?
4	A. Maybe we should have made a motion to, you
5	know, have a successor PR appointed and file the
6	documents through the successor PR.
7	Q. Were you trying to just save expenses because
8	there was nothing in the estate?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And if Judge Colin had not rejected or his
11	assistant had not rejected the documents, and the estate
12	was closed, it would have been closed based on
13	legitimate, properly signed documents of Simon and his
14	five children?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. So then they get kicked back to your law firm,
17	and you could file a motion and undertake some expense,
18	instead
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Object. This has been asked
20	and answered.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	BY MR. ROSE:
23	Q. Now, does the fact that well, strike that.
24	At the time that Simon signed his 2012 will
25	and 2012 trust, had there been ever anyone question a

1	signature or a notarization of any document that had
2	been prepared by your law firm?
3	A. No, there was not.
4	Q. You didn't see anything or observe anything or
5	any behavior of Simon Bernstein during the course of any
6	meeting you had with him that would call into question
7	his competence or his ability to properly execute a
8	testamentary document?
9	A. We did not.
10	MR. ROSE: Nothing further, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
12	Thank you, sir. You can step down.
13	MR. ROSE: At this time, we would rest our
14	case.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
16	Any evidence from the defendant's side?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like can I call
18	back Spallina?
19	THE COURT: If you want to call him as a
20	witness on your behalf, sure.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, sure.
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spallina, you're
23	still under oath, and you're being called as a
24	defense witness now.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

Γ

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Mr. Spallina, when Simon died on
3	September 12th or September 13th sorry 2012,
4	and you were responsible as his attorney to appoint Ted
5	as the successor, correct, you were in charge of his
6	wills and trusts?
7	THE COURT: You just asked three questions in
8	a row.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry.
10	THE COURT: Which question would you like the
11	witness to answer?
12	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
13	Q. Okay. When Simon died, was Shirley's estate
14	closed?
15	A. No, it was not.
16	Q. Okay. Did you appoint a successor to Simon
17	who was the personal representative of Shirley on the
18	day he died?
19	A. I don't understand the question.
20	Q. Well, on the day Simon died, there was a
21	successor to him in the will, correct?
22	A. That's correct. Ted.
23	Q. Okay. Did you appoint Ted?
24	A. I did not appoint Ted. Si did.
25	Q. Si appointed Ted?

1	A. Si appointed Ted as a successor trustee under
2	the document I mean, Shirley appointed Ted as the
3	successor trustee to Si under the document.
4	Q. So Simon didn't appoint Ted?
5	A. Simon did not appoint Ted.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. He was the named successor under your mother's
8	document.
9	Q. Okay. So when Simon died just so I get all
10	this clear, when Simon died, your law firm knew Ted was
11	the successor, correct?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. According to your story. Okay.
14	A. Under Shirley's documents, you're talking
15	about.
16	Q. Under the alleged Shirley document.
17	Okay. But yet did Simon then after he
18	died, did he not close the estate of Shirley while he
19	was dead?
20	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. It's
21	cumulative.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	MR. ROSE: And I believe this whole line of
24	questioning's been covered ad nauseam in the first
25	cross-examination.

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	THE COURT: Well, it's important not to ask
2	the same thing over and over again. You have
3	finite time to work with.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
5	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
6	Q. The estate of Shirley was closed in January,
7	correct, of 2013?
8	A. I don't recall, but it sounds it has to be
9	sometime after November.
10	Q. Okay. So it was closed by Simon, who was dead
11	at that time, correct?
12	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15	Q. Did Ted Bernstein close the Estate of Shirley
16	Bernstein as the successor personal representative?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Who closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?
19	A. The documents were filed with the court based
20	on the original petition that your father signed.
21	Q. Did you close the estate?
22	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
23	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out
25	who closed my mom's estate.

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	THE COURT: What's the relevance I've got to
2	figure out?
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they
4	were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance
5	to this.
6	THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9	Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose
10	brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I
11	just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That
12	would be 28.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into
14	evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose
15	stated it wasn't?
16	THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of
17	paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit
18	this into evidence?
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.
20	THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its
21	admissibility?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can
24	make a ruling?
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for

1	discharge.
2	THE COURT: Did anybody testify to that, or
3	are you just
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, he just did.
5	THE COURT: If you have a piece of paper you
6	want to have me consider as an exhibit, the other
7	side has to have seen it and the witness has to
8	have seen it so I'll know what it is.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They were just talking
10	about it.
11	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, just to speed things
12	along, we have no objection to this document coming
13	into evidence. It is part of our Exhibit 28. The
14	whole 28 could come in evidence. That's fine with
15	me. Then it would all be in evidence. Or however
16	you wish to do it.
17	THE COURT: I'm letting this party take charge
18	of his own case.
19	Are you asking that to be received as an
20	exhibit? There's no objection. So that'll be
21	Defendant's 3. Hand that up, and I'll mark it.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
23	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	

1	THE COURT: So are you done with it?
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Can I use it still?
3	THE COURT: Anything that's supposed to be an
4	exhibit in evidence has to come back to me.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Okay. On this document, it's a petition for a
8	discharge, a "full waiver," it says.
9	Was this document sent back to your firm as
10	not notarized by Judge Colin's office?
11	A. I'm not sure. I didn't get the documents
12	back.
13	Q. Is it notarized?
14	A. No, it's not.
15	Q. Did you sign as the notary?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	The question was, is it notarized? The answer
19	was no. Then you asked if somebody else, if
20	they'd sign, and then the witness if he signed as a
21	notary.
22	THE WITNESS: I signed it as the attorney for
23	the estate.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Okay. On April 9th with Simon Bernstein?

1	A. Yeah, it appears that way.
2	Q. Could it be another way?
3	A. It didn't this document did not require
4	that I witness Si's signature. So I believe that that
5	document was sent to Si, and he signed it, sent it back,
6	we signed it and filed it.
7	Q. So you sent it to Si, he signed it, then sent
8	it back, and you signed it all on April 9th?
9	A. It doesn't it's what day he signed it
10	that's relevant. He signed it on April 9th.
11	Q. And what day did you sign it?
12	A. I could have signed it April 11th.
13	Q. Well, where does it say April 11th?
14	A. My signature doesn't require a date. His
15	does.
16	Q. Why?
17	A. Just doesn't.
18	Q. Well, the date that the document says this
19	document's being signed on April 9th.
20	A. I did not sign that exhibit.
21	Q. Next question. On September 13, 2013, the
22	year after my father died, in Judge Martin Colin's
23	court, when he discovered this document, did he threaten
24	to read you your Miranda Rights, stating he had enough
25	evidence to read you Mirandas?

1		MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
2		THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. BER	NSTEIN:
4	Q.	Did you deposit this document, this April 9th
5	full disch	arge, with the court?
6	Α.	Did I personally do it?
7	Q.	Did your law firm?
8	Α.	No, the law firm did, yes.
9	Q.	Okay. And on whose behalf?
10		MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
11		THE COURT: Sustained.
12		MR. ROSE: And relevance.
13		THE COURT: Sustained.
14	BY MR. BER	NSTEIN:
15	Q.	Simon was dead when this document was
16	deposited	with the court, correct?
17		MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Relevance.
18		THE COURT: I've got that he is dead written
19	down	here several times. It's clear in my mind.
20	You'r	e not moving in a positive direction.
21		MR. BERNSTEIN: I understand that part.
22		THE COURT: All right. New question, please.
23		MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
24	BY MR. BER	NSTEIN:
25	Q.	Is this document sworn to and attested by my

1	father? Is it a sworn statement? Does it say "under
2	penalties of perjury"?
3	A. It does.
4	Q. Okay. So under penalties of perjury, on
5	April 9th, my father and you signed a document, it
6	appears, that states that Simon has fully administered
7	the estate.
8	Was that done?
9	A. Yes, it was.
10	Q. He had settled the estate, made dispositions
11	of all claims of Shirley's estate?
12	A. He was the only beneficiary of the estate.
13	The creditor period had passed.
14	Q. He was the only beneficiary of the will?
15	A. He was the only beneficiary of the will if
16	he that's if he survived your mother.
17	Q. Did you say earlier that the five children
18	were tangible personal property devisees or
19	beneficiaries under the will?
20	A. I did not. I said your father was the sole
21	beneficiary of your mother's estate by virtue of
22	surviving her.
23	Q. I thought you mentioned can I take a look
24	at the will?
25	Okay. On Simon's will, which is Exhibit 4

1	here
2	A. This is your mother's will we're talking
3	about.
4	Q. Well, hold on. Well, you did state there were
5	mirror documents, correct, at one point? That's okay.
6	I'll proceed. That part seems to be in error.
7	Does the document say, "I, Shirley Bernstein,
8	of Palm Beach County, Florida hereby revoke all of my
9	prior wills and codicils and make this will my spouse's
10	assignment. My children are Ted, Pam Pamela Simon,
11	Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and Lisa Friedstein"?
12	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence and
13	cumulative.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Was there a separate written memorandum
18	prepared for this will?
19	A. No, there was not.
20	Q. And if Simon didn't survive, the property
21	would be going to the children, correct?
22	MR. ROSE: Objection.
23	THE WITNESS: Correct.
24	MR. ROSE: Best evidence and cumulative.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was I missed that.
2	Can I not ask him that question I just asked?
3	THE COURT: I sustained the objection. You
4	can ask a new question of him.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Is there any chance that the children could be
8	beneficiaries of anything under this will?
9	A. Not at the time of your mother's death. Your
10	father survived.
11	Q. So at the time of her death, you're saying
12	that if they both died together, would the
13	children
14	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q be beneficiaries?
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'm done with him.
19	MR. ROSE: No questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step
21	down now.
22	Next witness, please.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: My next witness, are you
24	saying?
25	THE COURT: If you have another witness, now's

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	the time to call him or her.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Ted Bernstein well,
3	one second.
4	Is Kimberly Moran, your witness, here? Is
5	Kimberly Moran, an exhibited witness, here,
6	Mr. Rose?
7	THE COURT: Listen, it's your case. I've
8	asked if you have any other witnesses. Do you have
9	any other witnesses?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I don't. I was going to
11	call some of their witnesses, but they're not here.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you aren't going to call
13	anybody?
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I'm going to call Ted
15	Bernstein.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's a witness, right?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just was
18	looking for the other ones on the witness list. I
19	didn't know if they were sitting outside.
20	Thereupon,
21	(TED BERNSTEIN)
22	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	THE WITNESS: I do.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Ted
3	THE COURT: You've got to ask the witness his
4	name. The record needs to reflect who's
5	testifying.
6	MR. ROSE: And could I just ask that he stay
7	at the podium?
8	THE COURT: Okay. You need to stay near the
9	microphone so that I can hear and the court
10	reporter can accurately hear you. And then if you
11	need to go up to the witness stand for some reason,
12	you're allowed to do that.
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14	Q. State your name for the record.
15	A. Ted Bernstein.
16	Q. Is that your full formal name?
17	A. That is.
18	Q. Do you go by Theodore Stuart Bernstein ever?
19	A. I do not.
20	Q. Okay. Is that your name on your birth
21	certificate?
22	A. Which one?
23	Q. Theodore Stuart Bernstein?
24	A. It is not.
25	Q. Okay. Ted, you were made aware of Robert

1	Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of
2	your mother's when?
3	A. I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14.
4	Q. Okay. And when you found out, you were the
5	fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly?
6	A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
7	Q. When you found out that there was a fraudulent
8	altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the
9	fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust?
10	A. I was trustee, yes. I am trustee, yes.
11	Q. And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and
12	their law firm are the one who committed that fraud,
13	correct, who altered that document?
14	A. That's what's been admitted to by them,
15	correct.
16	Q. Okay. So you became aware that your counsel
17	that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud,
18	correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. What did you do immediately after that?
21	A. The same day that I found out, I contacted
22	counsel. I met with counsel on that very day. I met
23	with counsel the next day. I met with counsel the day
24	after that.
25	Q. Which counsel?

1	A. Alan Rose.
2	Q. Oh. Okay. So he was so Tescher and
3	Spallina were your counsel as trustee, but Alan Rose
4	became that day?
5	A. I'm not sure when, but I consulted him
6	immediately. You asked me when.
7	MR. ROSE: Can I caution the witness that it's
8	fine to say who he consulted with. I think the
9	advice was the attorney-client privilege I would
10	instruct him on.
11	THE COURT: All right. The attorney-client
12	privilege is available, and your client is on the
13	stand. Counsel's reminding him that it exists.
14	Are there any other questions? What is the
15	time period that you're asking about here?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right after he discovered that
17	there had been a fraudulent, invalid will created.
18	THE COURT: Right. And you're asking him what
19	he did afterwards?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right afterwards.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have your mother and father
22	both passed away at the time you're asking him
23	that?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
25	THE COURT: So the validity of the documents

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	that I've got to figure out won't have anything to
2	do with the questions you're asking him now about
3	his actions at trustee, will they?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Tell me how.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Because, Your Honor,
7	when he found out that there was fraud by his
8	attorneys that he retained, the question is, what
9	did they do with those documents? Did he come to
10	the court to correct
11	THE COURT: The question you're asking him is
12	what did he do.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Well, that doesn't tell me
15	anything about what the attorneys did. So I'll
16	sustain my own objection. I want to keep you on
17	track here. You're running out of time, and I want
18	you to stay focused on what I've got to figure out.
19	You've got a lot more on your mind than I do. I
20	explained that to you earlier. Do you have any
21	other questions on the issues that I've got to
22	resolve at this point?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Have you seen the original will and trust of

1	your mother's?
2	A. Can you define original for me?
3	Q. The original.
4	A. The one that's filed in the court?
5	Q. Original will or the trust.
6	A. I've seen copies of the trusts.
7	Q. Have you done anything to have any of the
8	documents authenticated since learning that your
9	attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive
10	documents that you were in custody of?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	THE WITNESS: I have not.
14	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15	Q. So you as the trustee have taken no steps to
16	validate these documents; is that correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Why is that?
19	A. I'm not an expert on the validity of
20	documents.
21	Q. Did you contract a forensic analyst?
22	A. I'm retained by counsel, and I've got counsel
23	retained for all of this. So I'm not an expert on the
24	validity of the documents.
25	Q. You're the fiduciary. You're the trustee.

1	You're the guy in charge. You're the guy who hires your
2	counsel. You tell them what to do.
3	So you found out that your former attorneys
4	committed fraud. And my question is simple. Did you do
5	anything, Ted Bernstein, to validate these documents,
6	the originals?
7	THE COURT: That's already been answered in
8	the negative. I wrote it down. Let's keep going.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. As you sit here today, if the documents in
12	your mother's in the estates aren't validated and
13	certain documents are thrown out if the judge rules them
14	not valid, will you or your family gain or lose any
15	benefit in any scenario?
16	A. Can you repeat that for me, please? I'm not
17	sure I'm understanding.
18	Q. If the judge invalidates some of the documents
19	here today, will you personally lose money, interest in
20	the estates and trusts as the trustee, your family, you?
21	A. I will not.
22	Q. Your family?
23	A. My my children will.
24	Q. So that's your family?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. So do you find that as a fiduciary to
2	be a conflict?
3	MR. ROSE: Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	MR. ROSE: I think it calls for a legal
6	conclusion.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9	Q. Well, would it matter to you one way or the
10	other how these documents are validated?
11	A. What would matter to me would be to follow the
12	documents that are deemed to be valid and follow the
13	court orders that suggest and deem that they are valid.
14	That would be what I would be charged to do.
15	Q. So you can sit here today and tell me that the
16	validity of these documents, even though your family
17	will lose 40 percent, has no effect on you?
18	A. It has no effect on me.
19	Q. Okay. And you don't find that to be adverse
20	to certain beneficiaries as the trustee?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal
22	conclusion.
23	THE COURT: Well, what difference does it make
24	to me? I mean, what he thinks about his role is
25	just not relevant to me.

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor
2	THE COURT: So the next question, please.
3	That's not relevant.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. So in no way have you tried to authenticate
6	these documents as the trustee?
7	THE COURT: He has already said that. That's
8	the third time you've asked it, at least. And I've
9	written it down. It's on my papers.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll let it go. I'll
11	let him go today.
12	THE COURT: Okay. You have no further
13	questions of the witness.
14	Is there any cross?
15	MR. ROSE: Briefly.
16	CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN)
17	BY MR. ROSE:
18	Q. You did a few things to authenticate the
19	documents, didn't you? You filed a lawsuit?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. In fact, we're here today because you filed a
22	lawsuit to ask this judge to determine if these five
23	documents are valid, correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. And you fired Mr. Tescher and Spallina on the

1	spot?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Called the bar association?
4	A. The next business day.
5	Q. You consulted with counsel, and we retained
6	additional probate counsel over the weekend?
7	A. We did.
8	Q. So as far as authenticating the documents, you
9	personally believe these are genuine and valid
10	documents, right?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. And you, in fact, were in your office the day
13	your father signed them?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And witnessed Mr. Spallina and the notary
16	coming to the office to sign the documents?
17	A. Yes, that's right.
18	Q. And you had been on a conference call with
19	your father, your brother and your three sisters where
20	your father told you exactly what he was going to do?
21	A. That is also correct.
22	Q. And the documents that we're looking at today
23	do exactly what your father told everybody, including
24	your brother, Eliot, he was going to do on the
25	conference call in May of 2012?

1	A. Yes, that is correct also.
2	Q. Now, I think you were asked a good question.
3	Do you care one way or the other how these
4	documents are decided by the Court?
5	A. Absolutely not.
6	Q. Did you care when your father or mother made a
7	document that did not specifically leave any money to
8	you?
9	A. I did not.
10	Q. Now, did you care for anybody other than
11	yourself?
12	A. I cared for the for the sake of my
13	children.
14	Q. And why did you care for the sake of your
15	children?
16	A. My parents had a very good relationship with
17	my children, and I did not want my children to
18	misinterpret what the intentions of their grandparents
19	were and would have been. And for that reason, I felt
20	that it would have been difficult for my children.
21	Q. Did you ever have access to the original will
22	of your father or mother that were in the Tescher &
23	Spallina vaults?
24	A. I have no access, no.
25	Q. Did you ever have access to the original

1	copies of the trusts that Mr. Spallina testified were
2	sitting in their firm's file cabinets or vaults?
3	A. I did not.
4	Q. Now, did you find in your father's possessions
5	the duplicate originals of the trusts of him and your
6	mother that we've talked about?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. And do you have any reason to believe that
9	they aren't valid, genuine and signed by your father on
10	the day that he your father and your mother on the
11	days that it says they signed them?
12	A. None whatsoever.
13	Q. You need to get a ruling on whether these five
14	documents are valid in order for you to do your job as
15	the trustee, correct?
16	A. Yes, that is correct.
17	Q. Whichever way the Court rules, will you follow
18	the final judgment of the Court and exactly consistent
19	with what the documents say, and follow the advice of
20	your counsel in living up to the documents as the Court
21	construes them?
22	A. Always. A hundred percent.
23	MR. ROSE: Nothing further, sir.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	Is there any redirect?

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1 REDIRECT (TED BERNSTEIN) BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 Ο. You just stated that you came to the court and validated the documents in this hearing today; is that 4 5 correct? MR. ROSE: Objection. It mis --6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: O. You filed a motion to validate the documents 8 today? 9 10 THE COURT: Wait. You've got to let me rule on the objection. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. I don't hear any 13 objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Q. Okay. Since -- did you file a motion that we're here for today for validity? 17 18 Α. Explain motion. 19 Q. A motion with the court for a validity hearing that we're here at right now. 20 21 Α. Do you mean the lawsuit? 2.2 Q. Well, yeah. 23 Α. Yes, we did file a lawsuit, yes. 24 Okay. Do you know when you filed that? Q. 25 Α. No. I don't know, Eliot. I don't know when I

1	filed it. I don't have it committed to memory.
2	Q. Do you have an idea?
3	MR. ROSE: Objection. I think the court file
4	will reflect when the case was filed.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	The question was answered, I don't know. Next
7	question.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Prior to filing this lawsuit, Mr. Rose said
11	you couldn't do anything because you didn't know if the
12	documents were valid.
13	My question is, did you do anything from the
14	time you found out the documents might not be valid and
15	needed a validity hearing to today at this validity
16	hearing?
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
18	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he knew about these
20	documents being fraudulent for X months.
21	THE COURT: What will that help me decide on
22	the validity of the five documents?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Why, Your Honor, they didn't
24	come to the court knowing that they needed a
25	validity hearing, and instead disposed and

1 disbursed of assets while they've known all this 2 time --3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'm not called to rule upon that stuff. I'm 4 called to rule upon the validity of these five 5 paper documents. That's what I'm going to figure 6 7 out at the end of the day. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Mr. Rose asked you if you found documents and 9 Q. they all looked valid to you, and you responded yes. 10 Are you an expert? 11 12 Α. I am not. Can you describe what you did to make that 13 Ο. 14 analysis? 15 Α. They looked like they were their signatures on the documents. I had no reason whatsoever to think 16 those weren't the documents that were their planning 17 documents. I had no reason at all to think that. 18 19 Q. Even after your hired attorneys that were representing you admitted fraud, you didn't think there 20 21 was any reason to validate the documents? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Ο. Did you find any reason to validate these

1	documents forensically?
2	A. I think I answered that by saying that we
3	filed a lawsuit.
4	Q. No, I'm asking you to have a
5	forensic you're the trustee. And as a beneficiary
6	to protect the beneficiaries, do you think you should
7	validate these documents with a handwriting expert due
8	to the fact that we have multiple instances of fraud by
9	your counsel who were acting on your behalf?
10	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative and
11	argument.
12	THE COURT: The question is, does he think
13	something. I've already told you when you ask a
14	question do you think, I stop listening. It's not
15	relevant what the witness thinks.
16	So I'll sustain the objection.
17	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18	Q. As a trustee, would you find it to be your
19	fiduciary duty upon learning of document forgeries and
20	frauds by your counsel to have the dispositive documents
21	you're operating under validated by a professional
22	handwriting expert, forensic expert, et cetera?
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Do you think these documents should be
3	validated you're the trustee.
4	Do you think these documents should be
5	validated by a professional firm forensically?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
7	THE COURT: It's not relevant. You just asked
8	him if he thinks he should have had them validated.
9	I don't care what he thinks. In making my
10	decisions today, what he thinks he should have done
11	or not done isn't relevant. I'm looking for facts.
12	So I really wish you would address your questions
13	to facts.
14	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15	Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, have these
16	documents been forensically analyzed by any expert?
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
18	THE COURT: No, they are not. I already know
19	that. I wrote it down. He's already said they've
20	not been.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23	Q. Ted, when your father signed, allegedly, his
24	2012 documents in July, were you aware of any medical
25	problems with your father?

1	A. I don't think so.
2	Q. Were you aware that I took him for a biopsy of
3	his brain?
4	A. I'm not aware of that, no.
5	Q. Were you aware of the headaches he was
6	suffering that caused him to go for a biopsy of his
7	brain?
8	A. I don't believe he had a biopsy of his brain.
9	But if he did, then I'm not aware of it.
10	Q. Oh, okay. Were you aware of headaches your
11	father was suffering?
12	A. I recall he was having some headaches.
13	Q. Were you aware that he was seeing a
14	psychiatrist?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Were you aware of the reasons he was seeing a
17	psychiatrist?
18	A. Absolutely not.
19	Q. Were you ever in the psychiatrist's office
20	with him?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. For what reason?
23	A. I wanted to have a conversation with him.
24	Q. About?
25	A. About some personal issues that I wanted to

discuss with him. 1 Ο. Personal issues such as? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Can I get clarification? Are you talking about you wanted to -- he may have a 4 privilege. 5 You were discussing Simon's issues or your own 6 7 personal issues? THE WITNESS: They were both intertwined 8 together. 9 10 MR. ROSE: I think it's subject to a 11 privilege. THE COURT: All right. Well, you've been 12 13 warned by your attorney you've got a psychologist-client privilege, so use it as you 14 15 will. MR. BERNSTEIN: He's not a client of the 16 psychiatrist, I don't think. 17 18 THE COURT: I beg to differ with you. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, he is? THE COURT: Because the answer just clarified 20 21 that he was in part seeking to be a client. Did 2.2 you listen to his clarification of his answer? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: No. 24 THE COURT: Well, I did very closely. 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: What was it?

1	
1	THE COURT: Next question, please.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll just see it on the
3	transcript.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Were you aware of any medical conditions,
6	depression, anything like that your father was
7	experiencing prior to his death?
8	A. I never found our father to suffer from any
9	kind of depression or anything like that during his
10	lifetime.
11	Q. So after your mother died, he wasn't
12	depressed?
13	A. No.
14	MR. ROSE: Could I again ask Mr. Bernstein to
15	step to the podium and not be so close to my
16	client?
17	THE COURT: If you speak into the microphone,
18	it'll be even more easy to hear your questions.
19	Thank you.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. So, according to you, your father's state of
22	mind was perfectly fine after his wife died of a
23	number of years
24	A. I didn't say that.
25	Q. Okay. He wasn't depressed?

1	A. That's what I said.
2	Q. Were you aware of any medications he was on?
3	A. I was, yes.
4	Q. Such as?
5	A. From time to time, he would take something for
6	your heart when you would have angina pains. But that
7	he was doing for 30 years, for a good 30 years, that I
8	knew dad was taking, whatever that medicine is when you
9	have some chest pain.
10	Q. Did you have any problems with your father
11	prior to his death?
12	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
13	THE COURT: The question is, did you have any
14	problems with your dad before he died?
15	I'll sustain the objection.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Are you aware of any problems between you and
18	your father that were causing him stress?
19	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
22	Q. Were you aware that your father was changing
23	his documents allegedly due to stress caused by certain
24	of his children?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Were you on a May 10th phone call?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. In that phone call, did your father
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. It's beyond the
5	scope well
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: It has to do with the changes
7	of the documents and the state of mind.
8	THE COURT: Do you have a question you want to
9	ask? He's withdrawn whatever he was saying, so you
10	can finish your question.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Okay. So on May 10th, at that meeting, your
13	father stated that he was having trouble with certain of
14	his children, and this would solve those problems.
15	Are you aware of that?
16	A. No, I don't not from the way you're
17	characterizing that phone call.
18	Q. Well, how do you characterize that?
19	A. He wanted to have a conversation with his five
20	children about some changes he was making to his
21	documents.
22	Q. And you had never talked to him about the
23	changes, that your family was disinherited?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Prior to that call?

1	A. No.
2	Q. When did you learn that you were disinherited?
3	A. I think when I first saw documents with
4	maybe after dad once dad passed away.
5	Q. Were you aware of the contact with your sister
6	Pam regarding her anger at your father for cutting both
7	of you out of the will?
8	A. I'm aware of that.
9	Q. So that was before your father passed?
10	A. Excuse me. Can you ask say the end of that
11	sentence again.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you read that back?
13	(A portion of the record was read by the
14	reporter.)
15	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You asked me a
16	question, and I had answered too quickly. What was
17	the end of the question prior to that?
18	(A portion of the record was read by the
19	reporter.)
20	THE WITNESS: I'm aware that she was angry
21	with him about how that he she was not in his
22	documents.
23	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
24	Q. You didn't learn right there that you weren't
25	in the documents?

1	A. I can't remember if it was then or if it was
2	when dad died.
3	Q. Well, this is very important so can you think
4	back to that time.
5	While your father was alive, did I invite you
6	to a Passover holiday at my home?
7	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevance to the
12	state of mind my dad was in while
13	THE COURT: Well, you're asking did this guy
14	get invited to your home. You didn't ask about
15	your dad, so I'll sustain the objection.
16	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
17	Q. Okay. Did you get invited to a Passover
18	dinner at my home that your father was attending?
19	A. I don't recall the circumstances of
20	what whatever it is you're referring to.
21	Q. Do you recall saying you wouldn't come to the
22	Passover dinner?
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Do you recall writing me a email that stated
3	that your family was dead for all intensive [sic]
4	purposes?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
6	THE COURT: What's the relevance to the
7	validity of these documents?
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: If Si was in the right state
9	of mind or if he was being, you know, forced at a
10	gun to make these changes by children who had
11	THE COURT: Your question asked this witness
12	if he wrote you a letter that said his family was
13	dead for all intents and purposes. What's that got
14	to do with the validity of these documents?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it establishes Simon's
16	state of mind.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. Well, then,
19	I'm all done then.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Is there any cross?
22	MR. ROSE: I already crossed.
23	THE COURT: Oh, that's true. So you're all
24	set. You're done. Thank you.
25	Next witness, please.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Alan Rose.
2	MR. ROSE: I object. Improper.
3	THE COURT: You've got 11 minutes yet.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he's a witness to the
5	chain of custody in these documents.
6	THE COURT: Well, you can call anybody you
7	want. I just wanted you to know how much time you
8	had left.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
10	MR. ROSE: He wants to call me, and I object
11	to being called as a witness.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. ROSE: I don't think that's proper.
14	THE COURT: I don't think that's proper to
15	call an attorney from the other side as your
16	witness. So I accept the objection. Anybody else?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I would agree with
18	that normally
19	THE COURT: Well, thanks.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: but there's a small
21	problem. The chain of custody we're trying to
22	follow in these documents for other reasons, other
23	criminal reasons, is Mr. Rose has pertinent
24	information to; meaning, he claims to have
25	discovered some of these documents and taken them

1	off the property.
2	THE COURT: I thought you said you wanted a
3	chain of custody?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. Meaning
5	THE COURT: Well, the chain of custody to me
6	means the chain of custody after the time they were
7	executed.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right.
9	THE COURT: All right. He wasn't around when
10	they were executed.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but he found documents
12	that are being inserted into this court case as
13	originals, second originals that he found
14	personally, and wrote a letter stating, I just
15	happened to find these documents in Simon's home
16	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the
17	objection to you calling him as a surprise witness.
18	He's a representative of your own. Do you have any
19	other witnesses?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'm good.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So you rest?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is there any rebuttal
24	evidence from the plaintiff's side?
25	MR. ROSE: No, sir.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So the evidence is closed.
2	We'll have time for brief closing arguments. And
3	I'll take those now. Let me hear first from the
4	plaintiff's side.
5	MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Did you say it was time
6	for me to speak?
7	THE COURT: Yes. I'm taking closing arguments
8	now.
9	MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. May it please
10	the Court.
11	We're here on a very narrow issue. And
12	we you know, I apologize to the extent I put on
13	a little bit of background. We've had an extensive
14	litigation before Judge Colin. This is our first
15	time here. And if any of my background bored you,
16	I apologize.
17	There are five documents that are at issue,
18	which we talked about before we started; the 2008
19	will and trust of Shirley Bernstein, as well as the
20	amendment that she signed, and then the 2012 will
21	and trust of Simon Bernstein.
22	So the uncontroverted evidence that you've
23	heard was from Robert Spallina, who is an attesting
24	witness to the documents and he was a draftsman of
25	the documents.

1	I don't believe it's directly relevant to your
2	inquiry, but you certainly heard evidence that what
3	Simon Bernstein intended and what he communicated
4	were his wishes; the exercise of a power of
5	appointment through a will, the changing of the
6	beneficiaries of his trust document by way of an
7	amended and restated 2012 document, to give his
8	money leave his wealth to his ten grandchildren.
9	The final documents as drafted and signed are
10	consistent with what.
11	But what we're here to decide is, are these
12	documents valid and enforceable? And there are
13	self-proving affidavits attached to the documents.
14	And by themselves, if you find the self-proving
15	affidavits to be valid, then the wills themselves
16	are valid and enforceable.
17	Now, the only question that's been raised as
18	to the self-proving affidavit is an issue with
19	notarization. And we have two cases to cite to the
20	Court on the notarization issue. One is from the
21	Florida Supreme Court called The House of Lyons,
22	and one is from a sister court in the State of

23 North Carolina.

24

25

THE COURT: Just a second.

Sir, would you just have a seat. You're

1	
1	making me nervous.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.
3	THE COURT: Thanks.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Just aching.
5	THE COURT: Well, I understand. But just have
6	a seat. That'll be better. Thanks.
7	And I'm sorry for the interruption.
8	MR. ROSE: No, that's all right.
9	If I may I approach with the two cases we
10	would rely on.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MR. ROSE: The House of Lyons. The second is
13	a case from Georgia. The House of Lyons case is
14	from the Florida Supreme Court. It deals in a
15	slightly different context, but it deals with
16	notarization. And so what you have here is, we've
17	put on evidence. The documents that are in
18	evidence, that these documents were signed
19	properly. The witnesses were in the presence of
20	each other, and the testator and the notary
21	notarized them.
22	Shirley's documents from 2008, there's no
23	question that all the boxes were checked. There is
24	a question that's been raised with regard to
25	Simon's 2012 will and his 2012 trust; that the

1	notary rather than the law firm employee
2	notarizing them, these were notarized by Simon's
3	the testimony is by an employee of Simon's company,
4	not a legal expert. And if on the face of the two
5	documents and for the record, these would be
6	Exhibits 4, which is Simon's will, and Exhibit 5,
7	which is Simon's trust.
8	On Exhibit 4, there's no box to check. The
9	whole information is written out. And I don't
10	believe there's any requirement that someone
11	circled the word if you just read it as an
12	English sentence, the notary confirmed that it was
13	sworn to and ascribed before me the witness is
14	Robert L. Spallina, who is personally known to me
15	or who has produced no identification.
16	So I think the natural inference from that
17	sentence is that person was known to him, Kimberly
18	Moran, who was personally known to me, and Simon
19	Bernstein, who was personally known to me. So on
20	its face, I think it the only inference you
21	could draw from this is that the person knew them.
22	Now, we've established from testimony that she
23	in fact knew the three of them, and we've
24	established by way of Exhibit 16, which was signed
25	on the same day and notarized by the same person.

1	And Exhibit 16, unlike Exhibit 4, which doesn't
2	have a little check mark, Exhibit 16 has a check
3	mark, and the notary properly checks personally
4	known to the people that she was notarizing.
5	So I believe and the In Re Lyon case stands
6	for substantial compliance with a notary is
7	sufficient. And the North Carolina case is
8	actually more directly on point. The Florida
9	Supreme Court case, Lyons and we've highlighted
10	it for the Court, but it says, clerical errors will
11	not be permitted to defeat acknowledges
12	acknowledgments when they, considered either alone
13	or in connection with the instrument acknowledged
14	and viewed in light of the statute controlling
15	them, fairly show a substantial compliance with the
16	statute.
17	The North Carolina case is a will case, In Re

18 Will of Durham. And there it's exactly our case. 19 The notary affidavit was silent as to whether the 20 person was personally known or not. And the Court held the caveat was self-proving. The fact that 21 22 the notary's affidavit is silent as to whether 23 decedent was personally known to the notary or 24 produced satisfactory evidence of his identity does 25 not show a lack of compliance with the notary

statute, given the issues of personal knowledge or
 satisfactory evidence are simply not addressed in
 that affidavit.

So we have a Florida case and we have the 4 North Carolina case, which I think is -- it's 5 obviously not binding, but it is sort of 6 7 persuasive. If they're self-proved, we would win without any further inquiry. The reason we had a 8 trial and the reason we had to file a complaint was 9 10 everything in this case -- you've slogged through the mud with us for a day, but we've been slogging 11 12 through the mud for -- basically, I got directly 13 involved in January of 2014, after the Tescher 14 Spallina firm -- after the issues with the firm 15 came to light. So we've been slogging through this. 16

But we did file a complaint. We went the next 17 18 step. So the next step says to you, assume the 19 notaries are invalid, which they aren't invalid; 20 but if they were, all we need to establish these 21 documents is the testimony of any attesting 2.2 witness. So we put on the testimony of an 23 attesting witness, Mr. Spallina. He testified to the preparation of the documents. And I do think 24 25 it's relevant and it will give the Court comfort in

1 making findings of fact that there was an extensive 2 set of meetings between Mr. Spallina and his 3 clients when they did the documents. I mean, we documented for the first set of 4 documents, you know, four meetings, a letter with 5 some drafts, then a meeting to sign the documents, 6 7 some phone calls and some amending the documents. And in 2012, we've documented at least one meeting 8 with notes involving Simon; telephone conferences 9 10 between Simon and his client; eventually, when a decision was made, a conference call of all the 11 12 children; drafts of the documents sent; the 13 document being executed.

And so I think if you look at the evidence, 14 15 the totality of the evidence, there's nothing to suggest that these five documents do not reflect 16 the true intent of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. 17 18 There's nothing to suggest that they weren't 19 prepared by the law firm; that they weren't signed 20 by the people that purport to sign them; that 21 undisputed testimony from an attesting witness was 2.2 that all three people were present, and it was 23 signed by the testator and the two witnesses in the presence of each other. 24

25

So under either scenario, you get the document

1	admitted. In fact, the documents are in evidence.
2	They've been admitted to probate. But the
3	testimony under 732.502, 503, the testimony of the
4	drafting attorney, who attested who was an
5	attesting witness, is sufficient for these
6	documents.
7	There's absolutely no evidence put on the
8	Court that Simon Bernstein lacked mental capacity.
9	In fact, the evidence is directly to the contrary.
10	Every witness testified that he was mentally sharp;
11	making intelligent decisions; having a conference
12	call with his children to explain his wishes. And
13	there's simply no evidence in the record to
14	determine that he lacked testamentary capacity.
15	So if I have Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein,
16	with testamentary capacity signing documents in the
17	presence of two subscribing witnesses, the 2012
18	documents should be upheld. I don't know if
19	there's a question at all even about Shirley
20	Bernstein's 2008 document, but the testimony is
21	undisputed that the documents were consistent with
22	her wishes. You saw a draft letter that explained
23	to her exactly what was happening. She signed the
24	documents. The self-proving affidavits for the
25	Shirley documents are all checked perfectly. And

1	even if they weren't, we have an attesting witness
2	here.
3	And, frankly, I think Eliot Bernstein likes
4	these documents. And all he wants to do is argue
5	what they mean and how much money you get from
6	them. And we didn't really need to spend a day
7	arguing this, but we have and we're here. And we
8	believe that the evidence conclusively demonstrates
9	that these documents are valid.
LO	Now, you've heard some nonsense and some
L1	shenanigans. There were a couple of problems in
L2	the case; one with the notarization of documents.
L3	And it's sort of a sad and tortured story, but

14 it's -- it was clearly wrong for someone to send 15 documents into Judge Colin's courtroom that had 16 been altered. The correct documents were submitted 17 and the estate should have been closed.

18 And when the documents were returned, someone 19 should have gone and filed a motion with Judge 20 Colin to accept the un-notarized documents, since there was no dispute they were signed. And we 21 22 wouldn't be here. But for whatever reason, that 23 happened. And it's unfortunate that happened, but 24 there's no evidence that Ted Bernstein, either of 25 his sisters, or Eliot Bernstein, or any of the

Γ

1	grandchildren played any role in the fabrication of
2	that document the false notarization.
3	The fabricated amendment to Shirley's trust
4	document is a very disturbing fact, and we took
5	immediate action to correct it. No one's purported
6	to validate that document. We filed an action to
7	have the Court construe the documents, tell us
8	which are valid, tell us what they mean. And
9	that's where we should be focusing our time on.
10	And this is, in my view, step one toward that.
11	But if you look at the evidence we've
12	presented, if you I understand you've got to
13	deal with the witnesses that you're handed. And I
14	think Mr. Spallina's testimony, notwithstanding the
15	two issues that we addressed, was persuasive, it
16	was unrebutted.
17	And we would ask that you uphold the five
18	documents and determine, as we have pled, that the
19	five testamentary documents that are in evidence, I
20	believe, as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be upheld and
21	determined to be the valid and final testamentary
22	documents of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. To the
23	extent there's any question the document that has
24	been admitted to be not genuine be determined to be
25	an inoperative and ungenuine document, we would ask

1	that you enter judgment for us on Count II and
2	reserve jurisdiction to deal with the rest of the
3	issues as swiftly as we can.
4	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5	Any closing argument from the other side?
6	Okay.
7	I keep forgetting that you've got a right to
8	be heard, so please forgive me.
9	MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, if I may approach, I
10	have some case law and statutes that I may refer
11	to. And I'll try to be brief and not cumulative.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Could I get the other case law
13	that was submitted? Do you have a copy of that?
14	MR. ROSE: Sure.
15	MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, the relevant statute
16	with respect to the execution of wills is 732.502.
17	It says that every will must be in writing and
18	executed as follows. And I'll just recite from the
19	relevant parts, that is to say relevant with
20	respect to our case.
21	The testator must sign at the end of the will
22	and it must be in the presence of at least two
23	attesting witnesses. And if we drop down to
24	Subsection C, the attesting witnesses must sign the
25	will in the presence of the testator and in the

1	presence of each other.
2	Judge, that was established and uncontroverted
3	in connection with Mr. Spallina's testimony. So
4	732.502 was complied with.
5	Now, I think that we there was kind of a
6	distraction with respect to the self-proving
7	affidavits at the end. As Your Honor's aware, a
8	self-proving affidavit is of no consequence in
9	connection with the execution of a will. Execution
10	of a will as dealt with in 732.502 merely requires
11	execution at the end by the testator or the
12	testatrix, and then two witnesses who go ahead and
13	attest as to the testator's signature.
14	Now, the self-proving affidavit at the end is
15	in addition to. So the fact that there may or may
16	not have been a proper notarization is of no
17	consequence in connection with a determination of
18	the validity of any of these documents. So that's
19	number one.
20	Number two, I've also provided Your Honor with
21	another a statutory section, 733.107, and it's
22	titled "The Burden of Proof in Contest." And it
23	says there, in Subsection 1, "In all proceedings
24	contesting the validity of a will, the burden shall
25	be upon the proponent of the will to establish,

Γ

1	prima facie, its formal execution and attestation."
2	I would submit to the Court that that was done
3	today. We had Mr. Spallina's testimony, which was
4	uncontroverted, that indicated that 732.502 was
5	complied with. The statute goes on to state, "A
6	self-proving affidavit executed in accordance with
7	733.502 or an oath of an attesting witness executed
8	as required under the statutes is admissible and
9	establishes, prima facie, the formal execution and
10	attestation of the will."
11	So, once again, I would submit to the Court
12	that there were self-proving affidavits with
13	respect to all of these testamentary documents.
14	They were proper in form, and therefore comply or
15	comport with the second sentence of the statute.
16	But even if not, we had Mr. Spallina testify today
17	so as to comply with this second sentence of
18	Subsection 1.
19	So if we drop down to the third sentence of
20	this Subsection 1, it says that, "Thereafter, the
21	contestant shall have the burden of establishing
22	the grounds on which probate of the will is opposed
23	or revocation is sought."
24	That was not done today by Mr. Eliot
25	Bernstein. He did not present any evidence or meet

Γ

1	any burden to overturn these valid wills.
2	Judge, there is the competency argument. The
3	testamentary competency, I'm now going to quote
4	from In Re Wilmott's Estate, 66 So.2d 465. "A
5	testamentary competency means the ability to
6	understand generally the nature and extent of one's
7	property, the relationship of those who would be
8	the natural objects of the testator's bounty, and
9	the practical effect of the will."
10	The only testimony, I elicited that from
11	Mr. Spallina. His is the only testimony that we
12	have in this regard. And it's uncontroverted that
13	both of these decedents met those very specific
14	criteria which with respect to each and every
15	one of the five documents that are submitted for
16	your Court's validation today.
17	There's also case law, In Re Estate of Weihe,
18	W-E-I-H-E. That's 268 So.2d 446. That's a Fourth
19	DCA case that says, "Competency is generally
20	presumed and the burden of proving incompetency is
21	on the contestant." So even if we didn't have
22	Mr. Spallina's testimony today, which I elicited,
23	competency on the part of both Shirley and Si
24	Bernstein would be presumed. And it would be the
25	contestant, Mr. Eliot Bernstein, who would have to

1	come up with the or would have the burden of
2	showing that they were incompetent. He presented
3	no evidence today in that regard or in that
4	respect.

Lastly, there's the In Re Carnegie's estate, Is3 Florida 7. It's a 1943 case. That says that testamentary capacity refers to competency at the time that the will was executed, so on that date.

9 The only testimony we have with respect to any 10 issues of competency on the date -- on the specific 11 dates that these testamentary documents were signed 12 was from Mr. Spallina. And on all such dates and 13 times, Mr. Spallina testified that these requisites 14 with respect to competency -- or testamentary 15 competency were met.

Finally, Judge, undue influence, that would be 16 a reason for invalidating a will. Mr. Bernstein, 17 18 once again, did not present any evidence to go 19 ahead and suggest that these wills or trusts documents should be overturned on the grounds of 20 21 undue influence. And in that regard, I provided 2.2 Your Honor with the Estate of Carpenter, 253 So.2d 23 697. To prove undue influence, one must 24 demonstrate that a beneficiary had a confidential 25 relationship with the decedent and actively

1 procured the will or trust. 2 Mr. Eliot Bernstein did not even suggest today 3 that any of the beneficiaries actively procured the document. Why? Beneficiaries are essentially --4 are ultimately the ten grandchildren. 5 Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, did not suggest 6 7 today that any one of the ten grandchildren, who are ultimately beneficiaries, were active in 8 procuring any of the five documents, nor did 9 10 Mr. Bernstein submit to the Court any evidence of confidential relationship by anyone in connection 11 with the various criteria to raise the presumption 12 13 of undue influence, nor did Eliot Bernstein raise the presumption by satisfying any or enough of the 14 15 criteria under the Carpenter case to go ahead and 16 raise the presumption that anyone, any substantial 17 beneficiary, had committed undue influence with 18 respect to any of these documents. 19 For those various, multifarious reasons, 20 Judge, I would submit to the Court that these 21 documents are valid and should be held as such. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 23 Any closing from the defendant's side? 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. 25 THE COURT: You've got eight minutes

1 remaining.

2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, we're 3 really here today because of a complex fraud on the court and on beneficiaries like myself and my 4 children. The only witness they procured to 5 validate these documents has consented to the SEC 6 7 and felony charges recently with his partner for insider trading. He came up on the stand and 8 admitted that he committed fraud, and that his law 9 10 firm forged documents and frauded documents, and then submitted them not only to the court, but 11 12 beneficiaries' attorneys as part of a very complex 13 fraud to not only change beneficiaries, but to seize dominion and control of the estates through 14 15 these very contestable documents. 16 They've been shown by the governor's office to 17 not be properly notarized. The two people who are 18 going -- well, one is --19 MR. ROSE: I don't want to object to --20 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- has no --21 MR. ROSE: Can I object? He's so far talking 2.2 about things that aren't in evidence. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. You can only argue those things that were 24 received in evidence. 25

1	MR. ROSE: And I realize Your Honor has a good
2	memory of the evidence
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: I put in evidence that
4	Mr. Spallina was SEC
5	THE COURT: No, I sustained objections to
6	those questions.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
8	THE COURT: You can only argue those things
9	that came into evidence.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They didn't bring in
11	any of the necessary parties to validate these
12	documents, other than Mr. Spallina, who admitted to
13	the Court today that he fraudulently altered the
14	trust document. Can I now say that?
15	THE COURT: It's not good for you to ask me
16	questions. I've got to rule on objections, and I'm
17	trying to give you some guidance so that you don't
18	screw up. But I can't answer your legal questions.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So the only witness has
20	admitted in this very case that his law firm
21	submitted forged and fraudulent documents to the
22	Court already in this case; that he himself did
23	those frauds. And we're relying on his sole
24	testimony.
25	None of the other people who signed these

1	documents are here today to validate or even
2	confirm his statements. So it's a highly
3	uncredible [sic] witness to the documents,
4	especially when Mr. Spallina drafted, signed as a
5	witness, gained interest in the documents himself
6	personally as a trustee, and seems to clearly have
7	then taken it upon himself to mislead beneficiaries
8	as to the actual documents.
9	I have asked for production of these
10	documents. Today there were no originals produced
11	to this Court for you to examine.
12	And more importantly, there's a few last
13	things I wanted to state to the Court. My children
14	are not represented here today as beneficiaries.
15	They were supposed to be represented by a trustee
16	of a trust that does not exist in our possession.
17	So they were I was sued as a trustee of a trust
18	I've never been given to represent my children, who
19	are alleged beneficiaries by these guys. And the
20	estate's done nothing to provide counsel to three
21	minor children, and left them here today without
22	counsel, and me as a trustee of a trust that
23	doesn't exist, as far as we know. I've never
24	signed it. They haven't submitted it to the Court,
25	to anybody.

1	I want to bring up Rule 1.20, pretrial
2	procedure, case management conference process
3	provides, "The matter to be considered shall be
4	specified in the order of notice setting the
5	conference."
6	So I just want to say that we had a status
7	conference in Simon Bernstein's estate, and only
8	Simon Bernstein's estate, and that this trial was
9	scheduled in Simon's status conference, which
10	violates that very rule. So this trial, in my
11	view, was conducted improperly.
12	Like I said, if you look at the hearing
13	transcript of that day, you'll see that Mr. Rose
14	misleads the Court to think that all these cases
15	were noticed up that day. But Mr. O'Connell, the
16	PR, had only noticed it up for Simon's estate. So
17	what I'm doing here at a trial in Shirley's trust
18	violates Rule 1.20.
19	There are some other things that are violated
20	and not I believe we didn't get to discuss
21	the at the case management, the fact that, you
22	know and I did try to get this out that we
23	would need a lot more time for a competency
24	hearing, for a removal of Ted process, which should
25	have come first before doing this and letting them

1	argue, where it's been alleged that there's some
2	serious problems with Ted Bernstein's
3	representation, including the fact that the PR of
4	the estate of Simon has filed with this Court
5	notice that he's not a valid trustee.
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Outside not in
7	evidence.
8	THE COURT: Okay. If you're not going to
9	argue the facts that are in evidence in this trial,
10	then I'm going to ask you to stop.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'll keep going
12	on my see, that's what's confusing. What trial?
13	We had a case management. I was prepared for a
14	Simon, where I have Simon trust construction, all
15	those things ready, and I didn't come with any
16	notes about Shirley. And I've tried to notice the
17	Court that under 1.200, this trial was scheduled
18	improperly in the estate of Simon, and should have
19	been reheard or rescheduled or something.
20	But that seems not to matter. It doesn't
21	matter that we follow the rules. I follow the
22	rules, but it seems that the other side doesn't
23	follow any of the rules; doesn't submit documents
24	properly to courts; commits frauds on courts; and
25	then wants you to believe the validity of these

1	documents based on a felony statement to the Court,
2	who's under a consent with the SEC.
3	THE COURT: You've got two minutes remaining.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: There were outstanding
5	discovery requests. I was denied all these
6	documents. I was denied the trust that I'm sued
7	under representing my children. So I can't get any
8	of those documents. We would have brought all that
9	up at a real status conference had it been a real
10	status conference and not a corralling or, as you
11	called it, a wrangling of octopuses.
12	THE COURT: That's vivid imagery. Isn't it?
13	I pride myself on that one.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Well, I was
15	wrangled, technically, into the wrong case here
16	today, in a status conference that you should have
17	corrected upon learning about this. And Mr. Rose
18	has been aware of his mistake in misleading the
19	Court that all these cases were noticed up, when
20	they weren't. And he didn't come to the Court to
21	correct it. Kind of like they didn't come to the
22	Court to correct the validity of these documents
23	before acting under them, knowing they needed to be
24	not only challenged on validity, but on
25	construction of terms, which will come next, which

1 is going to just go right back into the same circle of fraud. 2 So their star witness is a felon. 3 Their star witness has committed fraud upon this Court in this 4 case. That's who they're relying on, and hoping 5 you bank on his words to validate documents. 6 7 I, Your Honor, am asking that you don't validate the documents; that we move forward to 8 have the documents properly forensically analyzed. 9 They were the subject of ongoing criminal 10 investigations, which are just getting kicked off. 11 12 In fact, I got 7200 documents from Mr. Spallina, 13 where almost, I think, 7200 are fraud. 14 THE COURT: Your time is more than elapsed. I was letting you finish up as a courtesy, but you're 15 16 getting off into things that aren't in evidence --MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I don't think the 17 18 trial was conducted fairly. I think that my due 19 process rights have been denied under the law. 20 THE COURT: Your time is more than up. Thank 21 you. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 23 Is there any rebuttal? THE COURT: MR. BERNSTEIN: And I still would like to move 24 25 for your disqualification, on the record.

1 THE COURT: On the record doesn't count. 2 You've got to put it in writing. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you sure? I thought I saw in the rules --4 THE COURT: I'll tell you what. You proceed 5 under your understanding of the law and the rules. 6 7 That's fine. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Before I take this --9 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest. THE COURT: -- before I take this rebuttal 11 12 argument, I'll let you put your request for recusal 13 in writing. We'll be out of session five minutes. 14 Is that something you want me to read? 15 MR. ROSE: I just want to make my final --16 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that there's been no possibility that this gentleman 17 18 won't have his moment to shine. 19 So go ahead and go put that in writing, sir. Be back in five minutes. 20 21 (A break was taken.) 2.2 THE COURT: Did you get that written down? Can I approach? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay. 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to wait for

1	everybody?
2	THE COURT: Do you have something that you
3	wanted to file, a written motion to recuse?
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. In freestyle.
5	THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
6	it. Thank you.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask a question?
8	THE COURT: I'll be in recess. I'll take a
9	look at this written motion. Thank you. It'll
10	take me just a minute. Don't anybody go away.
11	(A break was taken.)
12	THE COURT: The stack of documents handed up
13	to me by the defendant are duplicates of documents
14	that he filed, it looks like, twice with the clerk
15	on December 4th, and they've already been ruled
16	upon by me. But I am also ruling today by
17	handwritten order on the face of one of the
18	documents that the disqualification motion is
19	denied as legally insufficient; already ruled upon
20	in the order of 12/8/15, at Docket Entry No. 98;
21	identical to motions filed by defendant on
22	12/4/2015 at Docket Entries Nos. 94 and 98; done in
23	order of John Phillips, 12/15/15. And since I have
24	skills, I made copies of my handwritten order for
25	everybody.

1	Gary, if you could, just hand these out.
2	That'll take care of all that.
3	Now we can go back to talking about the case.
4	I was going to take the rebuttal argument from
5	Plaintiff's side. I'd take that now.
6	MR. ROSE: I have just the exhibits that we
7	put in evidence on the plaintiff's side, if that's
8	easier for the Court.
9	THE COURT: That would be much easier. Thank
10	you.
11	MR. ROSE: And I have a proposed final
12	judgment. And I wanted to talk about one paragraph
13	of the final judgment in particular.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't had time to review
15	any final judgment or anything.
16	THE COURT: You're interrupting the argument.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. ROSE: So the complaint alleges and I
19	realize we didn't cover every issue in the entire
20	case, but we do it within the four corners of Count
21	II of the complaint. Count II of the complaint was
22	stated in paragraph 79 through 88 of the complaint.
23	And the answer that's filed in this case on
24	Count II at paragraph 80 alleges that there's been
25	a fraud on the court by Ted Bernstein, including,

Γ

-	
1	but not limited to, proven forgery, fraudulent
2	notarizations, fraud on the court, altercation
3	[sic] of trust documents, et cetera, et cetera.
4	And in paragraph 82, the answer says that Ted
5	should be removed for his ongoing involvement in
6	fraud which is dealing with these documents.
7	Ted Bernstein is serving as a fiduciary.
8	You've heard that was the defense to this case.
9	That's stated in the complaint. You heard no
10	evidence that Ted Bernstein was involved in the
11	preparation or creation of any fraudulent
12	documents. In fact, the evidence from Mr. Spallina
13	was to the contrary.
14	So our final judgment in paragraph 5 asks the
15	Court to make a ruling on the issues that are pled
16	in the answer, specifically that there was no
17	evidence that Ted was involved and that the
18	evidence was to the contrary.
19	So we have no rebuttal. We believe we've
20	established our case, and we proposed a final
21	judgment for Your Honor's consideration that
22	discusses that this is an action to adjudicate five
23	documents to be the testamentary documents. Based
24	on the evidence presented, they're genuine,
25	authentic, valid and enforceable; has the requisite

1	findings. Paragraph 5, which I've explained, the
2	reason we believe it's appropriate in the final
3	judgment, given the pleadings that were made and
4	the lack of evidence on those pleadings. And we
5	didn't get into it today, but
6	THE COURT: Well, if we didn't get into it
7	today, then it's not proper for argument.
8	MR. ROSE: Well, it's alleged in the complaint
9	and not proven, so I think it's appropriate to make
10	a finding on it. You didn't actually hear
11	testimony that was relevant to those issues about
12	Ted Bernstein. And I would ask you to consider
13	that 5 is supported by the evidence and the
14	pleadings.
15	And 6, we would like you to declare the
16	unauthorized one invalid, because it does change
17	potentially something, and we want to know what
18	we're doing going forward. And I don't think
19	anyone disputes that Exhibit 6 that's in evidence
20	was not valid. And then it just states this is
21	intended to be a final order under the rules of
22	probate code.
23	So that's our order. We would ask you to
24	enter our judgment or a judgment similar to it;
25	find in favor of the plaintiff; reserve

1	jurisdiction for numerous other matters that we				
2	need to deal with as quickly as we can. But,				
3	hopefully, with the guidance we get today, we'll be				
4	able to do it more quickly and more efficiently.				
5	So thank you.				
6	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.				
7	We'll be in recess. It was fun spending time				
8	with you all.				
9	Sir, do you have any proposed final judgment				
10	you want me to consider? I've received one from				
11	the plaintiff's side. Is there some from the				
12	defendant's side?				
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I haven't received one				
14	from them. And seeing theirs				
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.				
16	Then we'll be in recess. Thank you all very				
17	much. I'll get this order out as quickly as I can.				
18	(At 4:48 p.m. the trial was concluded.)				
19					
20					
20					
21					
21					
21 22					
21 22 23					

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	
6	
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	Dated this 4th day of January, 2016.
13	$A \downarrow A \cap A \downarrow$
14	Sheriller N. Kine
15	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
16	
17	Job #1358198-VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	December	==; ====	L
	167:15,16	<b>446</b> 245:18	
0	173:10 175:9	<b>465</b> 245:4	A
	177:22 178:5,	<b>4th</b> 256:15	A
08 190:2	7,9,11,14		<b>ability</b> 174:21
	180:24 182:15		193:7 245:5
	183:24 241:20	5	absolutely
1	<b>20</b> 176:7	<b>5</b> 175:3 235:6	215:5 222:18
1 100 2 167 6	<b>2006</b> 153:25	241:20	239:7
<b>1</b> 120:3 167:6 168:4 177:21	2007 142:14	<b>503</b> 239:3	accept 181:9
178:3,4,11	2008 168:13	503 239:3	230:16 240:20
180:24 182:13	184:8 232:18		access 215:21,
183:24 241:20	234:22 239:20	6	24,25
243:23 244:18,	<b>2012</b> 129:24		accordance
20	130:15 131:7	<b>6</b> 177:9 178:16,	244:6
<b>1.20</b> 251:1,18	191:4 192:24,	22,23 179:3,4	account 151:17
<b>1.200</b> 252:17	25 194:3	180:24 182:7,	accounted
<b>10</b> 130:15	214:25 221:24	8,9,10,20 183:4,9,10	152:15
180:15	232:20 233:7	183:4,9,10	accounting
<b>10th</b> 226:1,12	234:25 238:8	187:3,6	150:4,20
<b>11</b> 230:3	239:17	<b>60</b> 179:23,25	190:11,15
<b>11th</b> 200:12,13	<b>2013</b> 140:9 196:7 200:21	180:3	191:9,11
<b>12</b> 188:1	207:3	<b>66</b> 245:4	accurate 126:25
<b>12/15/15</b> 256:23	<b>2014</b> 189:8	<b>697</b> 246:23	accurately
12/4/2015	237:13		206:10
256:22	<b>24</b> 176:12		aching 234:4
<b>12/8/15</b> 256:20	<b>253</b> 246:22	7	acknowledged
<b>12th</b> 194:3	<b>268</b> 245:18	<b>7</b> 133:19 134:1,	236:13
<b>13</b> 175:17	<b>27</b> 167:16	18 171:7 246:6	acknowledges
200:21	<b>28</b> 168:1 190:7,	7200 254:12,13	236:11
<b>13th</b> 194:3	20 197:12	<b>732.502</b> 239:3	acknowledgments
<b>14</b> 207:3	198:13,14	242:16 243:4,	236:12
<b>14th</b> 189:8	190110,11	10 244:4	<b>acting</b> 220:9
<b>15</b> 179:21		733.107 243:21	253:23
180:19	3	<b>733.502</b> 244:7	action 241:5,6
<b>153</b> 246:6	<b>3</b> 168:4,5 175:9		actions 164:15,
<b>15th</b> 191:12	180:24 182:4,	8	18 209:3
<b>16</b> 235:24	6,15,19 183:16	8	active 247:8
236:1,2	187:4 198:21,	8 173:15	<b>actively</b> 246:25 247:3
<b>18th</b> 168:13	23 241:20		
<b>19</b> 176:6	<b>3/12/08</b> 187:12	9	activity 165:24
<b>1943</b> 246:6	<b>30</b> 155:3 225:7	J	actual 250:8
<b>1994</b> 143:8		<b>94</b> 256:22	ad 195:24
<b>1995</b> 155:3	4	<b>96</b> 143:8	added 184:25
		<b>98</b> 256:20,22	adding 185:11,
2	<b>4</b> 171:6 173:12	9th 166:13	12,13 addition 243:15
<b>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</b>	175:10 202:25	190:18 191:4	addition 243:15
<b>2</b> 133:24 134:2,	235:6,8 236:1	199:25 200:8,	214:6
18 160:21,25	241:20	10,19 201:4	address 221:12
161:2,6,10	<b>40</b> 212:17	202:5	addressed 237:2
163:8,22			auureppeu 237:2

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1

241:15 adequately 135:4 administered 202:6 admissibility 162:24 197:21 admissible 244:8 admit 197:13, 17,19 admitted 137:1 148:25 166:11 207:14 219:20 239:1,2 241:24 248:9 249:12, 20 **adverse** 212:19 advice 150:13, 16 208:9 216:19 affidavit 233:18 236:19, 22 237:3 243:8,14 244:6 affidavits 233:13,15 239:24 243:7 244:12 affirmatively 154:11 affirmed 205:22 **agree** 181:8 189:6 230:17 agreement 126:15 129:3 136:22,25 139:12 140:18 141:17 153:8 164:1 167:15 177:16 agreements 139:10 **ahead** 159:19 161:13 243:12 246:19 247:15 255:19 **Alan** 134:7 149:5,8 208:1, 3 230:1 **alive** 189:25 191:6 192:2

228:5 **allege** 184:5 **alleged** 140:11, 15 158:12 195:16 250:19 252:1 allegedly 168:12 207:5 221:23 225:23 **allowed** 142:5 150:13 172:5 206:12 **alter** 140:19 163:25 185:14 alteration 124:24 140:8 207:1 alterations 140:23,25 altercation 207:8 **altered** 137:1 144:5,13 155:12 156:1 163:7 166:21 167:1 207:13 240:16 249:13 altering 144:14 156:17 210:9 **amend** 177:15 **amended** 151:17 159:5 176:19 233:7 amending 238:7 amendment 136:25 137:4,5 138:11,13,16, 17 139:25 144:13 168:3 177:7 182:13, 14 184:7,20 232:20 241:3 **analysis** 219:14 **analyst** 210:21 analyzed 221:16 254:9**anger** 227:6 **angina** 225:6 **angry** 227:20 **anymore** 121:22 124:13

apologize 232:12,16 Apparently 170:13 appears 160:14 161:18 167:17, 18 171:11 172:20 175:7 176:14 200:1 202:6 **appoint** 194:4, 16,23,24 195:4,5 appointed 192:5 194:25 195:1,2 appointment 139:5 161:16 163:25 189:1 233:5 approach 126:10 178:20 234:9 242:9 255:23 approaches 126:11 255:24 **April** 166:13 190:2,18 191:4 199:25 200:8, 10,12,13,19 201:4 202:5 **argue** 150:8 162:4 240:4 248:24 249:8 252:1,9 **argued** 180:13 **arguing** 162:5, 19 163:17 240:7 argument 151:6, 9 163:14,16 220:11 242:5 245:2 255:12 argumentative 138:21 143:15 155:14 156:18, 20 163:10 185:19 195:20 219:22 arguments 151:8 232:2,7 **article** 177:19 ascribed 235:13

asks 151:2 **assets** 132:21 144:25 219:1 assignment 203:10 assistant 192:11 association 214:3 **assume** 142:9 158:3 237:18 Assuming 188:24 attach 138:12 **attached** 127:11 136:25 233:13 **attempt** 144:6 attending 228:18 attention 131:4 136:17 152:2 **attest** 243:13 attestation 244:1,10 attested 201:25 239:4 attesting 232:23 237:21, 23 238:21 239:5 240:1 242:23,24 244:7 attorney 131:23,24,25 132:4,5,11,12 137:8 141:23 147:17 155:17, 24 156:8,11 162:22 189:22 194:4 199:22 223:13 230:15 239:4 attorney-client 208:9,11 attorneys 147:7 189:17 207:11 209:8,15 210:9 211:3 219:19 248:12 authenticate 213:5,18 authenticated 210:8

authenticating 214:8         Beach 165:8 166:19 203:8         23 138:3,6,9 139:2 140:4,6,         17,21 194:1,9, 12 196:4,5,14,           authorization 132:4         bearing 189:3 beginning 132:8,10         11,12,14         15,16,18,24           authorized 132:8,10         beginning 175:20         141:4,6,9,21         197:3,7,8,13, 142:6,11,12,18         19,22,25           autopsy 165:2         behalf 155:6         144:3,4,21,22         199:2,5,6,24, 145:16,18         199:2,5,6,24, 200:9           131:2,18         behavior 193:5         15,17,19,22         146:1,10,12, 200:9         203:7,11,15,16           154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 159:2 165:2,7, 24 166:7,15         145:15,17         169:1,8,13,19, 204:8,16         10,14,22         18,23 205:2, 140:19,24         18,23 208:16, 152:1,5,9,22           20,21,24 170:1         204:8,16         152:1,5,9,22         13,2,24           20,21,24 170:1         204:8,16         152:1,5,9,22         13,2,24           21:20 220:6         152:1,5,9,22         13:2,2,4           22:1:24 222:2, 22:24         23:6 247:3,4, 248:12         154:15,16,18, 250:7,14,19         23:14,41(0,16           22:4:24 22:2, 17:2,226:15         248:12         157:2,11,13,25         23:216,19,23, 23:12,214,22           248:12         157:5,158:3         9,17,20 161:4, 252:24:2,4,24, 157:2,11,13,25         222:16,19,23, 23
Bernstein174:19175:2,415240:3,24,25backwards144:1120:7,10,18,19174:19175:2,41515240:3,24,25bark254:6122:11,2014,20,2425246:17241:22242:22bar120:21124:2,8,9,2314,20,2425246:17247:2,6,10,13,127:4214:3125:3,8,1623181:2,22249:3,7,10,19based162:14126:6,12,13182:7,19,22,25249:3,7,10,19165:17192:12132:24133:3,15,24184:1,15196:19253:110,19,22185:22,2423,25256:4,7basically131:510,19,22185:22,2423,25256:4,7237:12134:3,7,9,17,186:1,5,8,14,177:7191:2Bates188:3,624136:3,6,7,188:17190:4,174:22173:115,19,2017,24191:12239:20174:22176:1815,19,20,17,24191:12252:2

3

binding 237:6		252:13 253:15	211:23 215:13,
biography	С	254:5	15,17,20
143:13		<b>cases</b> 171:19	225:24 226:14,
<b>biopsy</b> 158:24	cabinets 216:2	233:19 234:9	20 229:10
222:2,6,8	<b>call</b> 130:17,21	251:14 253:19	238:12 239:12
<b>birth</b> 206:20	141:18 142:8	<b>caused</b> 164:22	248:5 250:13,
<b>bit</b> 162:17	145:7 193:6,	222:6 225:23	18,21 253:7
232:13	17,19 205:1,	<b>causing</b> 131:14	children's
<b>bitter</b> 179:22	11,12,14	225:18	137:8
<b>blank</b> 163:21	214:18,25	caution 208:7	Christine
<b>blue</b> 169:19	226:1,3,17,25	<b>caveat</b> 236:21	136:24 137:7
body 165:21	230:6,10,15	cavitating	139:13,15,17,
book 167:16	238:11 239:12	144:2	18 140:1 144:6
179:1,15,17,18	<b>called</b> 193:23	certificate	148:25 155:11
181:11,12,13	214:3 219:4,5	206:21	156:7
182:10,11,16,	230:11 233:21	certified	chronology
19 190:7	253:11	171:2,3	185:15
bored 232:15	<b>calling</b> 231:17	<b>cetera</b> 220:22	<b>circle</b> 173:22
bottom 129:2,4,	calls 135:21	<b>chain</b> 121:4	174:1 254:1
5,14 170:9,13	174:14 212:5,	230:5,21	circled 235:11
bound 152:8	21 238:7	231:3,5,6	circulation
bounty 245:8	capacity 125:11	challenged	139:10,16,17,
box 123:5	239:8,14,16	253:24	21
189:16,20	246:7	<b>chance</b> 204:7	circumstances
235:8	<b>car</b> 152:2	change 248:13	228:19
<b>boxes</b> 234:23	<b>care</b> 169:13	changing 225:22	<b>cite</b> 233:19
brain 158:19,	215:3,6,10,14	233:5	<b>claim</b> 155:6
23,24 222:3,7,	221:9	characterize	169:17
8	<b>cared</b> 215:12	226:18	claiming 184:6,
break 179:20	Carnegie's	characterizing	17,19
180:20 181:14	246:5	226:17	claims 150:5
255:21 256:11	<b>Carolina</b> 233:23	<b>charge</b> 194:5	202:11 230:24
Briefly 213:15	236:7,17 237:5	198:17 207:9	clarification
bring 249:10	Carpenter	211:1	143:19 223:3,
251:1	246:22 247:15	charged 212:14	22
bringing 197:4	<b>carry</b> 141:16	charges 248:7	clarified
broader 139:5	156:15	Chaves 142:23	122:13 223:20
brother 132:2	<b>case</b> 134:11	check 153:3	<b>clarify</b> 120:11
214:19,24	141:24 142:3	168:23 173:1	122:4
	144:1 153:1	235:8 236:2	<b>clarity</b> 141:19
brought 131:3 151:21 158:22	164:4 180:10,	checked 234:23	<b>clause</b> 178:17
197:10 253:8	12 193:14	239:25	<b>clear</b> 156:22
	198:18 205:7	checks 236:3	195:10 201:19
Brown 122:2	218:4 231:12	chest 225:9	clerical 236:10
<b>burden</b> 243:22, 24 244:21	234:13 236:5,	children	<b>clerk</b> 167:9,11
24 244:21 245:1,20 246:1	7,9,17,18	131:10,11	170:10,25
business 214:4	240:12 242:10,	135:6,9 141:24	186:10,11,13
business 214:4	12,20 245:17,	156:12 161:17	256:14
	19 246:6	192:14 202:17	<b>client</b> 122:25
	247:15 249:20,	203:10,21	123:2 208:12
	22 251:2,21	204:7,13	223:16,21
			224:16 238:10
	I	I	I

<b>clients</b> 156:12 168:18,21 175:13 189:19 238:3
<pre>close 150:10 195:18 196:15, 21 224:15</pre>
<pre>closed 192:12 194:14 196:6, 10,18,25 232:1 240:17</pre>
<pre>closely 223:24 closing 166:2 232:2,7 242:5 247:23</pre>
co-personal 149:24
<b>co-pr</b> 147:14
<b>co-prs</b> 147:9
<b>co-trustee</b> 147:14 149:23
<pre>co-trustees 147:9</pre>
codicils 203:9
<b>Colin</b> 123:11
124:23 125:3 192:10 232:14 240:20
Colin's 199:10 200:22 240:15
<b>college</b> 143:10
<b>Colton's</b> 125:6, 10
<b>comfort</b> 237:25
commit 156:11, 13
commits 252:24
committed
207:12,17
210:9 211:4
218:1 247:17
248:9 254:4
communicated 233:3
<b>company</b> 235:3
competence 193:7
competency
152:10 165:17
245:2,3,5,19,
23 246:7,10,

14,15 251:23 competent 165:19 compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 **complex** 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 **comport** 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 **conduct** 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive 182:11

253:2 consent consented 248:6 consequence 243:8,17 considered 132:18,24 133:3,5 136:9 144:11 236:12 251:3 consistent 129:6 176:11 189:14 216:18 233:10 239:21 construction 252:14 253:25 construe 241:7 construes 216:21 consulted 208:5,8 214:5 **Cont'd** 120:9 **contact** 227:5 contacted 169:9 207:21 contempt 127:4, 5 Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting 243:24 context 234:15 continued 120:3 **contract** 210:21 239:9 contrary **control** 248:14 controlling 236:14 conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 convince 144:6 **copied** 122:16 copies 121:5,7 123:9 124:15 125:25 126:10 170:12,21 186:16 210:6

216:1 256:24 **copy** 136:21 137:2 138:19, 20 139:20,23 141:24 161:19, 20 163:7 167:6,9,11,13 170:10,24 171:1 181:2,3, 10,11 186:10 242:13 copying 125:24 coroner 165:9 corralling 253:10 **correct** 129:16, 23 130:1,18 132:25 136:10 138:16,20 139:10 140:12 146:10 149:21 151:14 154:3, 8,23 156:12 158:6 161:17 164:1,9,15,21 167:7 170:15 171:16 174:6,9 184:20,21 185:11,13 186:24 187:19 188:8,10 190:1,2,12 191:10,13,16, 20 192:9,15 194:5,21,22 195:11,12 196:7,11 201:16 203:5, 21,23 207:13, 15,18,19 208:24 209:10 210:16,17 213:23,24 214:2,14,21 215:1 216:15, 16 217:5 240:16 241:5 253:21,22 corrected 253:17 counsel 140:11, 14,17 147:8, 11,13,25

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Decelimer	137 2013	
	25 208:3 210:22 211:2 214:5,6 216:20 220:9,20 250:20,22 Counsel's 208:13 count 242:1 255:1 counts 133:17 County 165:9 166:19 203:8 couple 240:11 court 120:4,8, 14 121:11,15, 18 122:1,9,13 123:11,19,23 124:3,7,18,20, 22 125:1,5,10 126:11,18,21 127:3,5,6,8, 10,12,17,22 128:5 133:9, 11,21 134:1,5, 8,10,18 135:17,23 136:2,4,13,16 137:13,17,22, 24 138:4,7,23 140:3,22 141:8,12,22 142:3,4,7 143:16,21,25 144:20 145:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 144:20,45:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 148:2,6,9,12, 13,17,18 149:2,15,17 150:7,9,12,16, 24 151:2,18, 22,25 152:2,7, 11,24 153:5 154:13 155:9, 18,21 156:4, 19,24 157:10 158:8 159:15, 18,23 160:3,7, 18,21,24 161:7,10	164:7,10,24 165:5,11,13 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12,18 170:20,25 171:21,23 172:2,8,11,14 173:12 174:13, 15,18 175:1 177:4,10,25 178:6,13,15, 21,25 179:3,7, 10,14,17 180:2,11,17,21 181:1,6,15,20, 23 182:18,21, 23 183:2,6,10, 12,20 184:12 185:21 186:3, 6,12,16,18,21, 25 187:2 188:13,16,19 189:23 190:21 191:25 192:2, 21 193:11,15, 19,22 194:7,10 195:22 196:1, 13,19,23 197:1,6,16,20, 23 198:2,5,17 199:1,3,17 200:23 201:2, 5,11,13,16,18, 22 203:14,25 204:3,17,20,25 205:7,12,16 206:3,8,9 208:11,18,21, 25 209:5,10, 11,14 210:4,12 211:7 212:7, 13,23 213:2,7, 12 215:4 216:17,18,20, 24 217:3,10, 14,19 218:3,5, 18,21,24 219:3,23 220:12,24 21:7,18 223:12,18,20, 24 224:1,17	13,24 229:6, 11,17,20,23 230:3,6,12,14, 19 231:2,5,9, 12,16,21,23 232:1,7,10 233:20,21,22, 24 234:3,5,11, 14 236:9,10,20 237:25 239:8 241:7 242:4 244:2,11 247:10,20,22, 25 248:4,11,23 249:5,8,13,15, 22 250:11,13, 24 251:14 252:4,8,17 253:1,3,12,19, 20,22 254:4, 14,20,23 255:1,5,9,11, 16,22,24 256:2,5,8,12 court's 167:10 186:4 245:16 courtesy 254:15 courts 167:12 170:11 174:10 252:24 covered 137:10, 14,19,20 144:19 195:24 created 138:10, 19 153:24,25 155:3 208:17 creating 163:7 credibility 148:7 creditor 202:13 criminal 230:23 254:10 criteria 245:14 247:12,15 cross 120:9	Cross- examination 120:6 195:25 Cross-examining 134:15 Crossed 229:22 cumulative 136:11 137:9 138:22 140:2, 21 144:19 145:24 146:4, 14 149:16 156:3 157:9 158:7 164:6 166:8 171:21, 22 172:1,4 174:25 177:2, 24 183:19 184:11 185:20 188:15 195:21 199:16 201:10, 17 203:13,24 220:10,23 221:6,17 242:11 Cumulative's 172:4 curator 122:2, 6,7 124:4,16 curiosity 159:24 custody 121:4 125:18 210:10 230:5,21 231:3,5,6 customary 168:17 cut 132:1,9 cutting 227:6 D dad 130:10 157:4 225:8,14 227:4 228:2, 12,15 dad's 129:20 147:8 156:15 damages 164:21
18,21,24223:12,18,20,247:12,15147:8 156:15161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20212:14 16Daniel 153:23				dad's 129:20
161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20180:22 197:10Daniel 153:23	18,21,24	-		
162:7,25     225:13,20     180:22 197:10     Daniel 153:23			<b>cross</b> 120:9	
162 10 Daniel 153:23	-			
163:13,19 226:8 228:10, <sup>213:14,16</sup>	-	225:13,20		<b>Daniel</b> 153:23
	163:13,19	-	213:14,16	

6

date 124:3 128:21 149:25 168:18,22 187:11 191:22 200:14,18 246:8,10 **dated** 168:15,16 **dates** 246:11,12 daughter 132:8 **day** 162:5 165:3 168:11,14 174:4 176:22 180:13 187:18 191:9 194:18, 20 200:9,11 207:21,22,23 208:4 214:4,12 216:10 219:7 235:25 237:11 240:6 251:13, 15 days 180:14 216:11 **DCA** 245:19 **dead** 195:19 196:10 201:15, 18 229:3,13 **deal** 241:13 242:2 dealing 178:7 **deals** 234:14,15 **dealt** 243:10 **death** 129:23 141:18 147:8 150:1 155:6 189:13 204:9, 11 224:7 225:11 **decedent** 236:23 246:25 decedents 245:13 **December** 256:15 **decide** 151:19 162:9,10,14 169:14 218:21 233:11 **decided** 215:4 **decision** 178:25 238:11 decisions 221:10 239:11

deem 212:13 **deemed** 135:7 212:12 defeat 236:11 defendant 256:13,21 defendant's 160:21,25 161:2 182:4 187:4 193:16 198:21,23 247:23 **defense** 193:24 deficient 169:4 **define** 210:2 definition 133:4 134:24 definitional 139:5 163:22 **delete** 177:18 deleting 163:23 **Delray** 158:24 demonstrate 246:24 demonstrates 240:8 denied 253:5,6 254:19 256:19 **depend** 135:14 deposit 123:5 189:16,20 201:4 deposited 170:19 201:16 depressed 224:12,25 depression 224:6,9 descendants 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:8,12,16,24 145:5,6 describe 128:9 140:18 219:13 describing 164:14,18 designed 153:17,18 Detective 146:25

determination 243:17 determine 162:21 174:3 188:25 213:22 239:14 241:18 determined 241:21,24 devisees 202:18 **died** 145:12,20, 21,23 146:2,6, 7 157:3,7 158:11 165:3 194:2,13,18,20 195:9,10,18 200:22 204:12 224:11,22 225:14 228:2 **dies** 173:20 **differ** 223:18 difference 212:23 difficult 215:20 Digital 143:4 dinner 228:18, 22 **direct** 189:3 193:25 205:25 direction 201:20 directly 233:1 236:8 237:12 239:9 disappearing 186:19 disbursed 219:1 discharge 190:1,22 197:9 198:1 199:8 201:5 discovered 200:23 208:16 230:25 discovery 253:5 **discuss** 223:1 251:20 discussing 223:6 disinherited 132:15 226:23

227:2 disposed 218:25 disposition 132:19,24 135:13,19 136:8 dispositions 133:5 135:5 145:6 202:10 dispositive 130:9 176:25 210:9 220:20 **dispute** 240:21 **disputes** 130:24 131:2 disqualification 254:25 256:18 dissatisfaction 131:22 distraction 243:6 distribute 137:7 distributed 137:3 distributions 135:12 145:6 disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 **Docket** 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 **document** 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6, 9,24 164:5,8 166:21 167:1,

	December	15, 2015	8
14 168:8,9,14, 15,23,25 170:14,16 171:15 172:24, 25 173:21 175:3,15 176:17 177:8, 12 178:19 181:17 182:2, 8,16 183:1,22 184:5,16,19 185:8,11,15,17 186:12 187:25 188:1,3,5 190:23 191:1, 5,6,11,15 193:1,8 195:2, 3,8,16 198:12 199:7,9 200:3, 5,18,23 201:4, 15,25 202:5 203:7 207:1,8, 13 215:7 220:19 233:6,7 238:13,25 239:20 241:2, 4,6,23,25 247:4 249:14 <b>document's</b> 133:8 141:1 174:9 200:19 <b>documented</b> 238:4,8 <b>documents</b> 121:5,14,17, 21,23 122:2,5, 9,10,12,16,22, 23 123:1,12, 15,20 124:1, 11,14,16,17,25 125:23 126:1, 7,8,14,22 127:15,18,24	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	doodling 138:1 doubt 191:14 draft 153:10 239:22 drafted 126:14 132:20 133:2 135:24 233:9 250:4 drafting 239:4 drafts 238:6,12 draftsman 232:24 draw 235:21 drive 122:18,21 drop 242:23 244:19 due 124:24 220:7 225:23 254:18 duly 205:22 duplicate 134:12 216:5 duplicates 256:13 Durham 236:18 duty 220:19 	Eliot's 191:14 email 191:19 229:2 emailed 191:15 employee 235:1, 3 employees 166:1 encourage 180:7 end 130:24 179:22 180:2,8 219:7 227:10, 17 242:21 243:7,11,14 ended 158:24 ending 175:24 enforceable 233:12,16 English 235:12 enter 125:12 133:22 134:3 242:1 Entries 256:22 Entry 256:20 error 143:19 184:14,16,18 203:6 errors 236:10 essentially 247:4 establish 160:8 237:20 243:25 established 235:22,24 243:2 establishes 229:15 244:9 establishing 244:21 estate 122:6,11 140:15 141:3 147:8 148:1
<pre>documents     121:5,14,17,     21,23 122:2,5,     9,10,12,16,22,     23 123:1,12,     15,20 124:1,     11,14,16,17,25     125:23 126:1,     7,8,14,22</pre>	6,16,18,21,24, 25 240:4,9,12, 15,16,18,20 241:7,18,19,22 243:18 244:13 245:15 246:11, 20 247:9,18,21 248:6,10,15 249:12,21	14 154:21 173:5 186:21 187:25 202:17 209:20 early 207:3 easy 224:18 effect 188:25 212:17,18	<pre>established   235:22,24   243:2 establishes   229:15 244:9 establishing   244:21 estate 122:6,11   140:15 141:3</pre>

8

21,25 199:23 193:7 202:7,10,11, **executed** 123:6 12,21 240:17 184:7 231:7,10 245:4,17 238:13 242:18 246:5,22 244:6,7 246:8 251:7,8,16 executing 252:4,18 177:15 estate's 250:20 execution estates 131:9 242:16 243:9, 132:1,9 147:6 11 244:1,9 211:12,20 exercise 189:1 248:14 233:4 eventually exercised 139:4 238:10 **exhibit** 127:2, everybody's 25 133:21,23, 182:16 24 134:12 evidence 133:7, 159:16 160:1, 8,12 134:13,14 2,19 161:2 136:12 152:17 167:6,15,16 159:21 160:2, 168:4,6 171:6 3,6,25 161:3 173:10,12 162:25 177:23, 178:16 180:24 24,25 178:8 182:3,6 183:16 179:9,13 186:3,23 187:6 181:17,21,25 190:4,20,22 182:5 183:18 191:18 198:6, 187:2,7 190:8 13,20,23 199:4 193:16 197:14, 200:20 202:25 18 198:13,14, 235:6,8,24 15,24 199:4 236:1,2 200:25 203:12, exhibited 205:5 24 231:24 exhibiting 232:1,22 233:2 159:17 234:17,18 **exhibits** 134:11 236:24 237:2 159:20 167:5,6 238:14,15 185:24 186:2 239:1,7,9,13 190:21 235:6 240:8,24 **exist** 250:16,23 241:11,19 **exists** 208:13 244:25 246:3, expanded 185:17 18 247:10 **expect** 127:18 248:22,25 249:2,3,9 **expense** 192:17 252:7,9 254:16 expenses 192:7 EXAMINATION experiencing 193:25 205:25 224:7 **examine** 250:11 **expert** 165:22 examined 205:22 170:8 210:19, 23 219:11 **excuse** 141:6 220:7,22 148:23 155:15 190:4 227:10 221:16 235:4 **explain** 120:15 **execute** 122:25 139:3 217:18 129:2 175:13

239:12 explained 209:20 239:22 exposing 148:24 expressed 189:7 expressing 131:21 extensive 232:13 238:1 **extent** 132:21 232:12 241:23 245:6 F fabricated 241:3 fabrication 241:1 face 235:4,20 256:17 **facie** 244:1,9 **fact** 192:23 213:21 214:12 220:8 235:23 236:21 238:1 239:1,9 241:4 243:15 251:21 252:3 254:12 factors 135:14, 15 **facts** 153:1 170:3 174:11 221:11,13 252:9 **failed** 174:22 176:23 **fairly** 236:15 254:18 **false** 241:2 familiar 153:7 157:12 family 153:8,19 154:3 157:13 158:1 164:22 211:14,20,22, 24 212:16 226:23 229:3, 12 **father** 130:3,14 146:7 153:23

155:2 165:3 196:20 200:22 202:1,5,20 204:10 208:21 214:13,19,20, 23 215:6,22 216:9,10 221:23,25 222:11 224:6,8 225:10,18,22 226:3,13 227:6,9 228:5, 18 **father's** 141:16 149:25 170:7, 8,17,18,19,25 171:5,10 172:18 175:5, 24 176:2,13 187:22,23 216:4 224:21 **favor** 161:16 February 131:7 **felon** 254:3 **felony** 248:7 253:1 **felt** 215:19 fiduciary 140:10,11 147:12 148:14 150:19,23 207:5,9 210:25 212:1 220:19 **figure** 148:5 151:7 152:16, 20 156:22 165:14 170:1 196:24 197:2 209:1,18 219:6 **figuring** 137:13 **file** 122:7 123:3 155:6 170:12 192:5, 17 216:2 217:16,23 218:3 237:9,17 256:3 **filed** 127:9 148:19 170:11 190:10,20 196:19 200:6 210:4 213:19, 21 217:8,24

218:1,4 220:3 240:19 241:6 252:4 256:14, 21 **filing** 218:10 **final** 216:18 233:9 241:21 255:15 **Finally** 246:16 **find** 212:1,19 216:4 219:25 220:18 231:15 233:14 findings 238:1 **fine** 120:17 128:5 129:21 159:18 181:16 198:14 208:8 224:22 255:7 **finish** 123:14 156:4 163:13 226:10 254:15 **finite** 196:3 **fired** 213:25 firm 121:2,20, 22,23,24 123:11,20 124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 **firm's** 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 **Florida** 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6 **focused** 209:18

focusing 241:9 **follow** 190:7 212:11,12 216:17,19 230:22 252:21, 23 **force** 131:19 **forced** 229:9 foregoing 134:25 135:3 forensic 210:21 220:5,22 forensically 220:1 221:5,16 254:9 **forged** 142:2 166:12 248:10 249:21 forgeries 220:19 forget 168:18, 20,21 forgetting 242:7 forgive 242:8 **forgot** 143:11 **form** 174:4 191:19 244:14 **formal** 206:16 244:1,9 **Forman** 142:24 **forms** 189:24 191:21 192:2 **forward** 127:17 144:2 254:8 **found** 169:2 207:4,7,21 209:7 211:3 218:14 219:9 224:8 231:11, 13 foundation 197:20 **Fourth** 245:18 **frankly** 240:3 **fraud** 148:24 156:11,13 166:11 207:12, 17 209:7 210:9 211:4 219:20 220:8 248:3,9,

13 254:2,4,13 **frauded** 141:23 248:10 **frauds** 166:15 220:20 249:23 252:24 fraudulent 124:24 136:21 138:11,19,24 139:23 140:8 141:24 142:2 148:15 165:24 166:13 207:1,7 208:17 218:20 249:21 fraudulently 137:1 155:12 156:17 163:7 166:20 167:1 185:18 249:13 freestyle 256:4 Friedstein 158:1 203:11 **front** 152:18 168:14 185:24 190:22 full 199:8 201:5 206:16 **fully** 202:6 functions 174:2 G gain 211:14 gained 250:5 **gave** 132:4 149:19 174:5 186:4,8 **general** 131:8 generally 245:6,19 gentleman 255:17

**genuine** 127:10

234:13

152:17

214:9 216:9

241:24

genuinely

190:18

Georgia

get all

195:9

qist 131:8 **give** 123:8 150:13 184:3 233:7 237:25 249:17 **good** 138:5 179:19 215:2, 16 225:7 231:20 249:1, 15 Gotcha 138:6 199:5 Governor 169:3 governor's 169:9,16 248:16 grandchildren 233:8 241:1 247:5,7 grandparents 215:18 grounds 244:22 246:20 guess 168:5 **quidance** 249:17 qun 229:10 **Gutter** 142:23 guy 152:2 211:1 228:13 guys 250:19

## н

half 180:5 hand 126:8 128:3 198:21 handed 241:13 256:12 handing 126:17, 19,22 **handle** 150:24 **hands** 126:17 handwriting 220:7,22 handwritten 256:17,24 **happen** 146:6 happened 148:24 189:24 231:15 240:23

happening 239:23 he'll 127:18,19 **head** 172:2 headaches 222:5,10,12 **hear** 206:9,10 217:12 224:18 232:3 **heard** 232:23 233:2 240:10 242:8 **hearing** 135:19 136:1 149:7 217:4,19 218:15,16,25 251:12,24 **Hearsay** 169:5, 11 **heart** 225:6 heavy 165:8 **held** 236:21 247:21 highlighted 236:9 **highly** 250:2 **hired** 219:19 **hires** 211:1 **history** 142:2 143:12,19,22, 2.4 **hit** 172:2 hold 153:18 203:4 **holiday** 228:6 home 153:18 189:20 228:6, 14,18 231:15 **Honor** 120:12 126:16 133:16, 19,25 137:12 139:1 141:6,14 152:6 161:25 165:15 168:4 171:6 173:15 177:9 178:4 179:24 181:5 182:19 185:23 188:17,21 193:10 197:14 198:11 209:6 213:1 218:23

230:17 243:20 246:22 248:2 249:1 254:7 Honor's 243:7 hoping 152:19 254:5 **House** 233:21 234:12,13 **Human** 184:14 **hundred** 216:22 Ι **Iantoni** 135:9 158:1 203:11 **idea** 183:12 218:2 identical 181:12 182:20 256:21 identification 173:3 174:24 235:15 **identity** 236:24 **II** 242:1 **imagery** 253:12 immediately 207:20 208:6 important 137:16 152:5 196:1 228:3 importantly 250:12 **improper** 173:20 230:2 improperly 174:9,12 176:25 251:11 252:18 included 138:13,15,17 including 123:3,6 214:23 252:3 incompetency 245:20 incompetent

246:2 incomplete 173:9 increased 185:10 incredibly 126:9 inference 235:16,20 influence 246:16,21,23 247:13,17 information 230:24 235:9 **inherent** 145:10 **initial** 129:3, 11 initialed 129:9 **initials** 128:8, 11,15,22 175:5,8,11,15 176:2 inoperative 182:13 241:25 **inquiry** 233:2 237:8 inserted 185:3, 5,9,18 231:12 **inside** 144:8 insider 248:8 **inspect** 125:25 instances 220:8 **instruct** 208:10 instruction 127:6 instrument 177:15 236:13 insufficient 256:19 insurance 154:17,21,22 155:2 intelligent 239:11 **intend** 127:17 141:5,10 intended 233:3 intensive 229:3 **intent** 141:16 156:13,15 238:17 intentions 215:18

intents 229:13 interest 122:8 152:12 211:19 250:5 interesting 152:4 interruption 234:7 intertwined 223:8 interview 166:20 interviewed 166:18 introduced 187:25 invalid 162:13, 15 163:7 208:17 237:19 invalidates 211:18 invalidating 246:17 invalidly 139:13 inventory 151:17 investigation 169:22 investigations 254:11 **invite** 228:5 **invited** 228:14, 17 **involved** 237:13 involving 238:9 irrevocable 145:13 **issue** 150:17 232:11,17 233:18,20 **issues** 133:17 159:2 209:21 222:25 223:2, 6,7 237:1,14 241:15 242:3 246:10

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

J Jake 153:24 **January** 140:9 148:23 189:8 196:6 237:13 **jeez** 186:5 **Jill** 135:9 158:1 191:22 203:11 **job** 216:14 **John** 256:23 **Josepher** 142:23 **Josh** 153:22 judge 124:23 125:3,6,10,11, 13 152:25 159:13 184:22 192:10 199:10 200:22 211:13, 18 213:22 232:14 240:15, 19 242:9,15 243:2 245:2 246:16 247:20 judgment 216:18 242:1 **July** 221:24 jurisdiction 242:2 κ Ken 125:22 126:2 **kicked** 192:16 254:11 kids 153:22 kids' 153:23 **Kimberly** 166:19 169:1,8 171:15,18 172:23,25 174:22 205:4,5 235:17

kind 163:8
172:10 224:9
243:5 253:21
knew 154:6,8
173:25 195:10

## 218:19 225:8 235:21,23 **knowing** 174:9 218:24 253:23 knowledge 124:10 125:18 131:20 152:25 165:20 166:17 172:19 174:3 175:6 191:24 221:15 237:1 Kratish's 167:20 ь lack 236:25 **lacked** 239:8,14 **laid** 197:20 landing 169:22 **language** 132:19 144:15 145:4 156:2 163:23 Lastly 246:5 **law** 139:22 150:20 155:17 160:10,11 174:17 177:12 182:12 184:9 192:16 193:2 195:10 201:7,8 207:12 235:1 238:19 242:10, 12 245:17 248:9 249:20 254:19 255:6 **lawsuit** 213:19, 22 217:21,23 218:10 220:3 **lawyer** 146:20 189:15 **layman** 155:13, 23 156:1,8 **learn** 146:19 227:2,24 learning 210:8 220:19 253:17 **leave** 163:1 215:7 233:8 **left** 121:23 131:12,15 189:21 230:8

250:21 **legal** 135:21 150:13,16,17 151:4 174:14 177:2 212:5,21 235:4 249:18 **legally** 256:19 legitimate 192:13 **letter** 130:8 131:4,5,7,8,20 160:10,12 161:15,19 164:14,17 189:7 229:12 231:14 238:5 239:22 **letting** 162:16 198:17 251:25 254:15 license 121:1 174:5**life** 154:22 lifetime 135:4 224:10 **light** 236:14 237:15 **likes** 240:3 **Lindsay** 173:1 174:20,22 176:18 **lineal** 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:7, 11,16,24 145:5 **Lisa** 158:1 191:21 203:11 **list** 153:4 166:6 183:16 205:18 **listen** 137:24 181:6 205:7 223:22 listening 220:14 litigation 232:14 **live** 153:19 **living** 132:1 162:8 216:20 **LLC** 153:8

**long** 126:9 142:25 148:12 149:23 **looked** 176:6 219:10,15 **lose** 211:14,19 212:17 **lost** 186:7 189:19 **lot** 137:25 175:13,23 209:19 251:23 **Lyon** 236:5 **Lyons** 233:21 234:12,13 236:9

## Μ

**made** 121:5 122:25 124:15 135:5 141:2,17 142:16 144:16 150:5 169:17 192:4 202:10 206:25 215:6 238:11 256:24 **mail** 191:17 **make** 140:23,25 156:1 157:8,12 159:2 163:14 171:1 186:16 189:23 197:24 203:9 212:23 219:13 229:10 255:15,16 **making** 135:12 141:25 154:9 168:23 187:3 221:9 226:20 234:1 238:1 239:11 management 180:12 251:2, 21 252:13 manager 180:10 Manceri 147:15, 16,25 **mark** 147:15,16, 25 159:23 175:24 176:23 198:21 236:2,3

marked 181:10 224:17 **Moran** 166:12,19 16 189:6 187:4 169:8 171:15, **Miller** 147:1 **needed** 218:15, 18 172:23 **marks** 175:24 **mind** 129:20 24 253:23 205:4,5 235:18 Marshall 186:14 165:20 179:16 negative 211:8 **Moran's** 169:1 201:19 209:19 Martin 123:11 neglected 224:22 226:7 MORRISSEY 200:22 173:25 228:12 229:9, 174:16 242:9, **matter** 148:1 **nervous** 234:1 16 15 169:20,22,25 **net** 149:19 **mine** 181:3 mother 128:21, 190:16 212:9, non-valid 24 146:6 11 251:3 **minor** 141:24 139:23 202:16 208:21 252:20,21 156:12 250:21 **nonsense** 240:10 215:6,22 meaning 135:25 **minute** 256:10 **North** 233:23 216:6,10 148:7 230:24 **minutes** 179:21, 236:7,17 237:5 224:11 231:4 23,25 180:3,19 **Nos** 256:22 mother's 128:15 **means** 138:2,5 230:3 247:25 **notaries** 237:19 137:7 141:20 231:6 245:5 253:3 255:13, notarization 147:12 166:3 20 medical 130:2 169:2 173:8 170:16,20 **Miranda** 200:24 158:10,25 176:22 193:1 195:7 202:21 159:2,6 165:22 Mirandas 200:25 233:19,20 203:2 204:9 221:24 224:5 **mirror** 203:5 234:16 240:12 207:2 210:1 medically mis 217:6 241:2 243:16 211:12 158:13 misconduct notarization's **motion** 192:4,17 medications 171:19 173:20 217:8,16,18,19 225:2 misinterpret notarize 240:19 256:3, medicine 225:8 215:18 172:23,25 9,18 medicines misinterpretatio notarized motions 256:21 158:15 **n** 141:20 168:12,25 **move** 127:17 meet 142:13,15 mislead 250:7 174:9,12 140:4 183:13 244:25 176:18 177:1 misleading 254:8,24 **meeting** 130:14, 199:10,13,18 253:18 moves 144:1 23,24 142:18 234:21 235:2, misleads 251:14 **moving** 136:5 187:13 193:6 25 248:17 **missed** 183:9 183:12 201:20 226:12 238:6,8 notarizing 204:1 **mud** 237:11,12 meetings 238:2, 235:2 236:4 **missing** 143:14 multi-page 5 **notary** 169:3 163:9 183:22 **member** 120:20 174:3 199:15, misstates multifarious memorandum 21 214:15 123:17 174:11, 247:19 203:17 234:20 235:1, 17 multiple 127:1 12 236:3,6,19, **memory** 218:1 mistake 253:18 158:23 220:8 23,25 249:2 **modified** 163:22 **notary's** 236:22 **mental** 239:8 mom's 128:21 Ν **note** 187:11 mentally 239:10 168:1 196:25 **notes** 137:25 mentioned **moment** 180:17 **named** 195:7 138:5 187:9, 157:19 158:2 255:18 **narrow** 232:11 202:23 13,14,16,17, **money** 211:19 235:16 20,22,24 238:9 natural **met** 142:16,20 215:7 233:8 245:8 252:16 207:22,23 240:5**notice** 251:4 **nature** 245:6 245:13 246:15 **months** 149:5 252:5,16 **nauseam** 195:24 **metal** 165:8 218:20 **noticed** 251:15, necessarily microphone **moon** 169:22 16 253:19 164:3 175:12, 120:15 206:9

	December	,	<u>т</u> -
notified 148:13	150:6,21	<b>officer</b> 186:14	owners 153:20
<b>notify</b> 166:19,	151:3,4 155:8,	offices 188:1	
25	14 156:3,18	one's 241:5	
notwithstanding	157:9 158:4,7	245:6	P
-	160:22,23		
134:25 135:3	163:10 164:6,	ongoing 254:10	<b>pages</b> 128:9
241:14	23 165:4,10	opened 185:8	129:3 182:10,
November 168:13	166:8,22 167:2	operating	11 183:22
184:8 196:9		220:21	<b>pain</b> 225:9
<b>now's</b> 204:25	169:5,11	operative	<b>pains</b> 225:6
<b>number</b> 133:21	171:20 172:6	181:18 182:14	<b>Palm</b> 165:8
177:20,21,22	173:10 174:11,	opposed 244:22	166:18 203:8
178:3,4,5,11,	13,16,25		
14,22,23	177:2,23 178:6	order 123:11,18	<b>Pam</b> 131:4,16,
184:22,25	179:14 181:19	124:20,22	18,21 136:8
185:11,12,13,	182:3 183:18	125:1,3,6,14	144:11,24
16 187:5 190:5	184:11 185:19	162:1 216:14	161:16 191:21
	186:22 188:11,	251:4 256:17,	203:10 227:6
224:23 243:19,	13 195:20	20,23,24	<b>Pam's</b> 131:5
20	196:12,22	ordered 122:1	144:7,16 145:5
numbered 183:22	197:6 198:12,	124:3,17,23	<b>Pamela</b> 135:6
numbering	20 199:16	125:4 165:3	203:10
177:17 184:18,	201:1,10,17	orders 125:12	paper 159:25
23	203:12,22	212:13	197:17 198:5
<b>numbers</b> 183:17	204:3,14	original 121:7,	219:6
184:3,10	209:16 210:11	14,17,21	
Numeral 184:2	212:3,21	122:6,21,23	<b>papers</b> 127:13
numerals 184:2	217:6,11,13,14	123:3,4,5,6,9,	213:9
	218:3,17		paragraph
	219:3,22	12,15,20,25	161:6,10
0	220:10,16,23	124:17,20	163:8,22 164:3
	221:6,17	132:16 163:9	177:18,21
0'connell	225:12,15,19	170:15,19,22,	178:1,7,9
251:15	226:4 228:7,	23,25 189:11,	185:3,5,8
<b>oath</b> 120:5	15,23 229:5,17	18 191:17	paragraphs
193:23 244:7	230:16 231:17	196:20 209:25	183:23
object 124:5	252:6	210:2,3,5	<b>parents</b> 142:13
127:1 141:12		215:21,25	147:7 215:16
162:24 192:19	objections	originals	<b>part</b> 124:5
230:2,10	150:25 249:5,	123:1,7 125:18	131:9 133:13,
248:19,21	16	152:22 170:17	17 137:16
objected 148:2	objects 245:8	211:6 216:5	138:15 153:12,
151:22	<b>observe</b> 193:4	231:13 250:10	13 154:25
	obtained 170:10	outstanding	155:4 162:4,20
objection 122:4	octopuses	253:4	168:24 184:5
123:13 124:19	253:11	Overruled	188:3 198:13
127:23 128:1	offering 160:18	123:23 138:23	201:21 203:6
133:7 135:16,	office 122:17,	140:22 164:10	201:21 203:6
21 136:11	24 126:2	171:21 184:12	248:12
137:9 138:21	130:22 142:17	199:17 210:12	
140:2,21		218:5	<b>parties</b> 130:25
143:15 144:18	168:17 169:8,	overturn 245:1	149:13 249:11
145:24 146:4	9,24 199:10		partner 147:6
147:2,20	214:12,16	overturned	248:7
148:9,21	222:19 248:16	246:20	partners
149:1,16			161:22,23

**parts** 143:13 242:19 **party** 137:3 198:17 **pass** 144:25 **passed** 132:21 192:3 202:13 208:22 227:4,9 **passes** 145:10 Passover 228:6, 17,22 past 127:3 pay 136:17 152:2 penalties 202:2,4 **people** 180:15 236:4 238:20, 22 248:17 249:25 percent 212:17 216:22 **perfect** 175:11 perfectly 224:22 239:25 **period** 202:13 208:15 **perjury** 202:2,4 permitted 236:11 **person** 127:13 173:2 174:4 235:17,21,25 236:20 personal 140:15 148:13 190:14 194:17 196:16 202:18 222:25 223:2,7 237:1 personally 173:2 176:24 201:6 211:19 214:9 231:14 235:14,18,19 236:3,20,23 250:6 persuasive 237:7 241:15 pertinent 230:23 petition

148:19,23 189:25 190:20, 22 196:20 197:9,25 199:7 Phillips 256:23 **phone** 130:22 226:1,3,17 238:7 **picking** 197:16 **piece** 137:15 197:16 198:5 **pieces** 159:24 **place** 146:8 165:25 166:16 plaintiff's 133:24 134:2, 16,18 167:16 178:16 182:6 186:22 187:3,6 231:24 232:4 **plan** 154:2 planning 141:3 153:12,13 155:1,4 219:17 **plans** 154:25 **played** 241:1 **pled** 241:18 podium 120:13, 14 185:25 206:7 224:15 **point** 131:15 133:12 138:4 139:22 145:13 146:16 162:3 203:5 209:22 236:8 **pointed** 162:12 points 138:2 **poison** 165:8 **police** 148:25 policy 154:17, 21,22 155:2,7 Pollock's 122:17 125:22, 23 126:2 **portion** 227:13, 18 **positive** 201:20 possession 121:20 250:16

possessions 216:4 possibility 162:15 255:17 **possibly** 152:17 postmortem 144:14 potential 157:24 **power** 139:4 161:15 162:22 163:24 189:1 233:4 **PR** 147:14 192:5,6 251:16 252:3 practical 245:9 practice 124:13 129:17,19 189:14 practicing 121:3 predeceased 132:18,24 133:3,5 135:8 136:9 144:11 preference 179:10 181:7 preparation 237:24 **prepare** 149:7 160:12 190:25 prepared 154:4, 5 160:15 177:8,12 190:23 193:2 203:18 238:19 252:13 presence 128:21 174:4 234:19 238:24 239:17 242:22,25 243:1 present 176:21, 22 238:22 244:25 246:18 presented 241:12 246:2 presumed 245:20,24 presumption 247:12,14,16

pretrial 251:1 **pretty** 154:10 **pride** 253:13 244:1,9 prima **prior** 141:17 142:18 154:1 155:23 160:10 189:13 203:9 218:10 224:7 225:11 226:25 227:17 privilege 208:9,12 223:5,11,14 probate 214:6 239:2 244:22 problem 230:21 problems 130:2 158:23 221:25 225:10,14,17 226:14 240:11 252:2 procedural 150:14 procedure 150:17 251:2 **proceed** 128:6 203:6 255:5 proceedings 120:3 243:23 **process** 251:2, 24 254:19 procured 247:1, 3 248:5 procuring 247:9 **produce** 124:4 125:5 136:21 141:24 150:4, 20 **produced** 139:14 148:14 173:2 174:23 176:22 235:15 236:24 250:10 producing 137:2 production 182:12 188:7 250:9 professional 220:21 221:5 proffered

127:25 182:2 **Proof** 243:22 proper 230:13, 14 243:16 244:14 properly 148:6 172:23 192:13 193:7 234:19 236:3 248:17 252:24 254:9 property 202:18 203:20 231:1 245:7proponent 243:25 **protect** 220:6 **prove** 246:23 **provide** 141:19 250:20 provided 135:4, 8 243:20 246:21 **proving** 245:20 provision 139:6 psychiatrist 158:17 222:14, 17 223:17 psychiatrist's 222:19 psychologist**client** 223:14 **public** 169:3 **pulled** 197:11 **purport** 238:20 purported 241:5 **purposes** 125:24 129:10,11 132:19,23 133:5 135:5 136:8 229:4,13 123:4 put 134:11,13,14 136:1 144:15 151:17 168:18, 21 181:17,24 185:16 232:12 234:17 237:22 239:7 249:3 255:2,12,19 **putting** 181:20

Q question 122:5 123:10,19,21 125:15 127:19 133:1 136:4 137:13,18 139:7 141:8, 11,15 142:7 143:22 144:9, 15 145:8,9 146:13 147:23 148:2,12 151:2,10 152:9 155:19 156:20 159:19 161:12 163:13,14,19 164:7 165:13, 15 178:7 188:14 192:25 193:6 194:10, 19 199:18 200:21 201:22 204:2,4 207:6 209:8,11 211:4 213:2 215:2 218:6,7,13 220:12,14 224:1 225:13 226:8,10 227:16,17 229:11 233:17 234:23,24 239:19 241:23 256:7 questioning 134:19 142:10 156:5 questioning's 195:24 questions 120:15 121:11, 14 127:14 141:13 146:20 150:12 152:3, 20 154:13 160:7 163:2 170:3 180:22 182:23 183:1 186:18 194:7 204:19 208:14 209:2,21

213:13 221:12 224:18 249:6, 16,18 quick 126:7 178:4 quickly 227:16 **quote** 245:3 R raise 247:12, 13,16 **raised** 233:17 234:24 ranting 142:5 **rapidly** 186:19 **read** 130:7 133:10,11,13, 14 135:2 144:10 159:10 161:5,11 178:2 200:24,25 227:12,13,18 235:11 255:14 **reading** 136:18 161:7,10 **reads** 178:12 **readv** 120:4 180:21 252:15 **real** 126:7 142:1 178:4 253:9 **realize** 249:1 **Realty** 153:8 **reask** 137:17 **reason** 138:7 184:9 206:11 215:19 216:8 219:16,18,21, 25 222:22 237:8,9 240:22 246:17 222:16 reasons 230:22,23 247:19 **rebuttal** 231:23 254:23 255:11 **recall** 122:3 126:3 131:1 132:3 142:20 146:25 154:9

161:20 168:8, 11 173:13 188:5 196:8 222:12 228:8, 19,21 229:2 **receive** 182:4 received 161:2 186:22 187:6 198:19,23 248:25 recently 248:7 receptacle 157:22,24 receptacles 158:2 **recess** 180:18 256:8 recite 242:18 **record** 130:8 133:16 134:4 161:5,8 170:24 180:25 206:4, 14 227:13,18 235:5 239:13 254:25 255:1 **records** 188:10 **recusal** 255:12 **recuse** 256:3 redirect 188:20,22 216:25 217:1 **refer** 125:25 183:21 242:10 referenced 154:17,21 referral 142**:**17 referred 187:5 referring 122:10 124:22 125:2 130:12 166:2,4 183:4 228:20 **refers** 246:7 **reflect** 206:4 218:4 238:16 **regard** 234:24 245:12 246:3, 21 **reheard** 252:19 rejected 192:10,11

relation 169:9 relationship 215:16 245:7 246:25 247:11 relevance 123:17 147:2, 20,22 148:4 149:1 150:21 164:23 165:4, 10 166:22 167:2 171:20 196:12,22,23 197:1,4 201:1, 12,17 210:11 218:17,18 225:12,19 228:7,10,11,23 229:5,6 relevancy 135:16 136:11 150:6 151:23 155:8 204:14 relevant 136:1, 2 150:22 162:1,14 200:10 212:25 213:3 220:15 221:7,11 233:1 237:25 242:15, 19 **relieve** 130:11 **rely** 234:10 **relying** 249:23 254:5 **remain** 123:2 remaining 179:23,25 248:1 253:3 remember 131:6 180:17 197:10 228:1 **remind** 137:22 reminding 208:13 **removal** 251:24 **repeat** 138:8 143:18,21,25 211:16 replacement 125:13 **reporter** 206:10 227:14,19

represent 250:18 representation 252:3 representative 140:15 148:14 149:24 190:14 194:17 196:16 231:18 represented 148:17 250:14, 15 representing 141:23 148:6 219:20 253:7 **request** 255:12 requested 170:24 requests 253:5 **require** 200:3, 14 required 244:8 requirement 235:10 **requires** 243:10 requiring 124:20 requisites 246:13 rescheduled 252:19 **reserve** 242:2 resigned 124:24 **resolve** 209:22 respect 147:25 242:16,20 243:6 244:13 245:14 246:4, 9,14 247:18 respective 135:7 161:17 responded 141:15 219:10 responding 151:20 responsible 194:4 **rest** 193:13 231:21,22 242:2 255:10

restated 176:20 233:7 **resume** 120:4 180:21 retain 123:11, 20 **retained** 189:12 207:17 209:8 210:22,23 214:5 retainer 147:19,23 148:3 rethink 146:22 **retired** 125:7, 10 returned 240:18 **review** 164:5 revocation 244:23**revoke** 203:8 Rick 169:3,21, 24 rights 200:24 254:19 **robert** 120:9 188:22 206:25 232:23 235:14 **role** 212:24 241:1 roles 147:5,10 **Roman** 184:2 120:12 Rose 122:4 123:13, 17 124:5,19 126:16,19,25 127:9,16 128:4 130:7 133:7,16 134:9 135:16, 21 136:11 137:9 138:21 140:2,21 143:15 144:18 145:24 146:4 147:2,20 148:21 149:1, 5,8,16,20 150:6,21 151:12 155:8, 14 156:3,18 157:9 158:4,7 160:23 161:25

162:19 163:10 164:6,23 165:4,10 166:8,22 167:2 169:5,11 170:23 171:20, 22 173:4,10,17 174:11,14,25 177:2,23 179:15 181:5, 10,16 182:5,9 183:18 184:11 185:19,23 186:24 187:1, 25 188:11,15, 21,23 190:6,9 192:22 193:10, 13 195:20,23 196:12,22 197:9,14 198:11 199:16 201:1,10,12,17 203:12,22,24 204:14,19 205:6 206:6 208:1,3,7 210:11 212:3, 5,21 213:15,17 216:23 217:6 218:3,10,17 219:9,22 220:10,23 221:6,17 223:3,10 224:14 225:12, 19 226:4 228:7,23 229:5,22 230:1,2,10,13, 23 231:25 232:5,9 234:8, 12 242:14 248:19,21 249:1 251:13 252:6 253:17 255:15 roundabout 153:16 **row** 194:8 **Rubin** 142:23 **Ruffin** 142:24

**rule** 136:3

217:10 219:4,5

249:16 251:1, 10,18 ruled 136:2 256:15,19 **rules** 211:13 216:17 252:21, 22,23 255:4,6 **ruling** 197:24 216:13 256:16 **running** 209:17 **Ryan** 146:25 S **sad** 240:13 safe 123:4 23 170:15 189:16, 20,21 **sake** 215:12,14 satisfactory 236:24 237:2 satisfying 247:14 **save** 192:7 **SB** 128:13,16, 17,18,19,22 **scan** 158:20 **scenario** 211:15 238:25 scheduled 251:9 252:17 **school** 143:3,6, 9 **scope** 226:5 scoring 138:2 **Scott** 169:21 Scott's 169:3, 24 **screw** 249:18 **seat** 233:25 234:6 **SEC** 248:6 249:4 253:2 **secret** 181:24 **section** 144:10 243:21 **seeking** 223:21 **seize** 248:14 self-proved 237:7

self-proving 168:25 233:13, 14,18 236:21 239:24 243:6, 8,14 244:6,12 **send** 132:5,10, 12 240:14 **sending** 155:25 **sentence** 227:11 235:12,17 244:15,17,19 **separate** 157:17 203:17 September 194:3 200:21 sequence 184:3, sequences 177:17 **serve** 149:23 **served** 125:11 147:9,11,13 148:19,24 **session** 179:21 180:19 255:13 **set** 229:24 238:2,4 setting 251:4 **settled** 202:10 **sharp** 239:10 shenaniqans 240:11 **sheriff** 166:19, 25 186:14 **shine** 255:18 **Shirley** 133:20 136:22 138:12, 15,18,20 139:10,12,25 140:12,17 145:4,12 155:12 157:3, 12,15,19 158:6 163:8,25 166:12 167:15 177:7 184:6,7, 17 189:12 194:17 195:2, 16,18 196:6, 15,18 203:7 232:19 238:17 239:19,25

241:22 245:23 252:16 Shirley's 126:15 132:17 140:15 144:17, 23 146:3 148:15 151:12, 13,16 157:22, 24 168:3 194:13 195:14 202:11 207:5,9 234:22 241:3 251:17 **short** 179:20 183:9 **show** 120:16 126:21 127:14, 15,18 138:3 179:17 181:13 182:1 190:21 236:15,25 **showed** 131:7 170:16 181:23 showing 246:2 **shown** 128:3 248:16 **Si** 129:22 130:23 131:19, 25 132:4,7 139:4 142:19 166:13 194:24, 25 195:1,3 200:5,7 229:8 245:23 **Si's** 144:14 161:15 173:16 200:4**sic** 125:6 207:8 229:3 250:3 **side** 126:22 151:5,6 180:3 181:24 182:1 193:16 198:7 230:15 231:24 232:4 242:5 247:23 252:22 **sides** 180:7 **sign** 128:24 129:4,6,12 158:25 167:24 190:14,24 191:8 199:15,

20 200:11,20 214:16 238:6, 20 242:21,24 signature 129:5,6,7,13, 14,16 167:10, 14,21,23 168:1 170:7,8,13 171:5,10,12,13 172:18,21 174:22 176:13, 15 182:15 190:17,18 191:2,14 193:1 200:4,14 243:13 signatures 175:15 219:15 **signed** 128:20 129:7,8 158:12 165:18 166:14 168:11 189:25 191:5,6,9,12, 22,23 192:2, 13,24 196:20 199:20,22 200:5,6,7,8,9, 10,12,19 202:5 214:13 216:9, 11 221:23 232:20 233:9 234:18 235:24 238:19,23 239:23 240:21 246:11 249:25 250:4,24 significant 146:16 signing 159:5 239:16 **silent** 236:19, 22 **similar** 128:23 172:14 175:8, 12,19,21,23 176:7 **Simon** 135:6 145:16,17 146:2 149:20 150:19,20 157:7 158:11 161:16 163:25 165:18 166:1

	December	15, 2015	13
<pre>169:2 173:24 176:21 189:12, 25 190:10,13, 17,24 191:2 192:2,13,24 193:5 194:2, 13,16,20 195:4,5,9,10, 17 196:10 199:25 201:15 202:6 203:10, 20 232:21 233:3 235:18 238:9,10,17 239:8,15 241:22 251:7,8 252:4,14,18 Simon's 153:12 154:2 158:10, 13 162:22 173:19 188:25 202:25 223:6 229:15 231:15 234:25 235:2, 3,6,7 251:9,16 simple 211:4 simply 237:2 239:13 single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11 186:15 193:12 216:23 231:25 233:25 255:19 sister 227:5 233:22 sisters 214:19 240:25 sit 211:11 212:15 sitting 125:12 205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 237:10 slogging 237:11,15 small 230:20</pre>	So.2d 245:4,18 246:22 sole 145:20 157:4,5 202:20 249:23 solitary 175:25 solve 226:14 somebody's 152:3 Sony 143:4 sort 237:6 240:13 sought 244:23 sound 165:20 sounds 196:8 spacing 185:10 Spallina 120:9, 11 182:12 188:22 193:18, 22 194:2 207:11 208:3 213:25 214:15 215:23 216:1 232:23 235:14 237:14,23 238:2 244:16 245:11 246:12, 13 249:4,12 250:4 254:12 Spallina's 207:1 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:22 speak 161:11 167:22 224:17 232:6 speaking 129:24 special 154:2, 12 specific 123:10 148:1 245:13 246:10 specifically 215:7 speed 198:11 spend 240:6 spoke 131:11 149:10 173:21 spoken 149:4 spot 163:21 214:1	<pre>spouse 135:8 spouse's 203:9 stack 256:12 stamp 188:3,6 stand 120:12 206:11 208:13 248:8 stands 236:5 stapled 127:1 star 254:3 start 175:19 started 143:20 169:21 180:5 232:18 starts 134:25 state 129:20 130:23 131:5 133:1 151:4 158:10 174:13, 23 203:4 206:14 224:21 226:7 228:12 229:8,16 233:22 244:5 250:13 stated 121:5 138:10 139:13 170:24 197:15 217:3 226:13 229:2 statement 202:1 253:1 statements 151:21 250:2 states 161:15 202:6 stating 132:7 166:14 200:24 231:14 statue 236:14, 16 237:1 242:15 244:5, 15 statutes 242:10 244:8 statutory 243:21 stay 206:6,8 209:18</pre>	<pre>step 193:12 204:20 224:15 237:18 241:10 steps 210:15 stop 135:11 151:4 156:21 20:14 252:10 story 195:13 240:13 straight 180:25 straighten 179:20 stress 130:5, 10,11,12 131:14 159:6 225:18,23 strike 192:23 struggling 162:13 Stuart 206:18, 23 stuff 137:25 146:11 151:7 162:17 219:4 subject 223:10 254:10 submit 160:5,17 179:8,11 244:2,11 244:2,11 247:10,20 252:23 submitted 142:3 147:16 191:25 240:16 242:13 245:15 248:11 249:21 250:24 submitting 192:1 subscribing 239:17 Subsection 242:24 243:23 244:18,20 substantial 236:6,15 247:16 successor 192:5,6 194:5, 16,21 195:1,3, 7,11 195:1,3, 7,11 195:16 sucd 250:17</pre>
<b>small</b> 230:20	-	209:18	
			253:6

**suffer** 224:8 suffering 222:6,11 sufficient 236:7 239:5 **suggest** 212:13 238:16,18 246:19 247:2,6 suggesting 164:2 support 150:5 supposed 129:4 162:10 176:18 188:9 199:3 250:15 Supreme 233:21 234:14 236:9 **surprise** 231:17 Surprised 189:9 surrendered 120:25 **survive** 203:20 **survived** 202:16 204:10 surviving 202:22 **survivor** 135:8 157:4 **sustain** 148:9 197:6 209:16 217:14 219:3 220:16 225:15 228:15 229:17 231:16 sustained 124:7 133:9 135:17, 23 136:13 140:3 143:16 144:20 145:25 147:3 149:2,17 150:7 151:10 155:9 156:19 157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21 195:22 196:13 201:2,11,13

203:14,25 204:3,17 212:7 219:23 220:24 225:20 228:24 248:23 249:5 **swiftly** 242:3 **sworn** 152:25 201:25 202:1 205:22 235:13 т 179:3,4 Tab 183:10 190:7 taking 225:8 232:7 talked 131:23, 24 137:4 166:5 216:6 226:22 232:18 talking 125:14 126:24 130:16 146:25 195:14 198:9 203:2 223:4 248:21 tangible 202:18 technically 253:15 ted 131:17,18 132:2,14,18,24 133:2 135:6 136:8 140:11, 14 142:18 144:7,11,16,23 147:12 161:17 191:21 194:4, 22,23,24,25 195:1,2,4,5,10 196:15 203:10 205:2,14,21 206:2,15,25 211:5 213:16 217:1 221:23 240:24 251:24 252:2**Ted's** 140:17 145:5 telephone 130:17 141:18 238:9 ten 233:8 247:5,7

terms 253:25 **Tescher** 142:23 147:6 160:16 182:12 207:11 208:2 213:25 215:22 237:13 **Tescher's** 189:7 test 165:8 testamentary 193:8 239:14, 16 241:19,21 244:13 245:3,5 246:7,11,14 **testator** 234:20 238:23 242:21, 25 243:11 testator's 243:13 245:8 testatrix 243:12 testified 129:20 146:9 188:11 205:23 216:1 237:23 239:10 246:13 **testify** 198:2 244:16 testifying 206:5 testimony 149:19 154:1 176:1 235:3,22 237:21,22 238:21 239:3, 20 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:10,11,22 246:9 249:24 that'll 152:20 160:25 175:20 198:20 234:6 Theodore 206:18,23 thing 126:24 127:13 131:3 173:14 175:10 196:2 things 127:1 138:8 156:21 159:20 198:11 213:18 248:22, 24 249:8

250:13 251:19 252:15 254:16 thinks 212:24 220:15 221:8, 9,10 **thought** 150:15 162:10 202:23 231:2 255:3 **threaten** 200:23 three-page 181:16 191:1 **thrown** 211:13 tied 152:8 time 123:4 127:4,24 130:3 133:12 134:10, 14,15 142:22 151:8 158:13, 23,25 159:4 162:7 172:3 175:14 176:11 179:19 180:6,8 186:19 187:21 192:24 193:13 196:3,11 204:9,11 205:1 208:15,22 209:17 213:8 218:14 219:2 225:5 228:4 230:7 231:6 232:2,5,15 241:9 246:8 251:23 254:14, 20 times 138:1 142:9 149:4,10 171:23 189:12 201:19 246:13 **title** 153:18 **titled** 243:22 today 124:12 133:18 136:1 149:15,20 150:5 152:16 176:1 187:21 211:11,19 212:15 213:11, 21 214:22 217:4,9,17 218:15 221:10 244:3,16,24 245:16,22

246:3 247:2,7 248:3 249:13 250:1,10,14,21 253:16 256:16 told 131:25 132:7 180:4 214:20,23 220:13 **top** 168:19,22 tortured 240:13 total 141:25 149:19 totality 238:15 touched 137:15 **Traci** 167:20 track 209:17 trading 248:8 transcript 224:3 251:13 transmitted 138:14 trial 146:9 162:20,23 180:4,8,11 188:25 237:9 251:8,10,17 252:9,12,17 254:18 trouble 141:25 226:13 **true** 139:11 229:23 238:17 trust 121:7 122:8 126:15 129:3,11 132:22 133:6, 20 135:5,13,20 136:22,24 137:2,7 138:12,16,18, 20,25 139:10, 12,25 140:12, 17,18 141:25 144:5,17,23,25 145:1,3,4,10, 12,19,20 146:3,7 148:15 149:14 150:2, 20 152:12 155:3,12 156:2 157:6,13,15, 17,19,23,24

158:1,2,6,11 163:8,25 166:16 167:15 168:3,24 169:2 173:19 176:17, 19 177:7,15 184:6,17 189:14 192:25 207:1,5,8,9 209:25 210:5 232:19,21 233:6 234:25 235:7 241:3 247:1 249:14 250:16,17,22 251:17 252:14 253:6 trustee 145:18 147:13 195:1,3 207:10,17 208:3 209:3 210:15,25 211:20 212:20 213:6 216:15 220:5,18 221:3 250:6,15,17,22 252:5 trusts 122:11 131:13 132:2,9 147:6 151:19 152:8,18 153:23,25 157:7 165:25 169:10 194:6 210:6 211:20 216:1,5 246:19 turn 122:1 124:17,25 188:9 turned 124:16, 21 two-by-four 172:3 type 122:18 typical 168:17 typically 175:11 189:20 U ultimately 132:4 139:4

247:5,8 un-notarized 240:20 uncontroverted 232:22 243:2 244:4 245:12 uncredible 250:3 understand 127:6 156:1 189:23 194:19 201:21 207:6 234:5 241:12 245:6 understanding 142:1 163:12 211:17 255:6 understands 189:24 undertake 192:17 undisputed 238:21 239:21 **undue** 246:16, 21,23 247:13, 17 unfortunate 240:23 ungenuine 241:25 unhappy 131:8 **unlike** 129:2 236:1 unrebutted 241:16 **upheld** 157:3 239:18 241:20 uphold 241:17 usual 129:22 v vague 141:20 valid 137:2,5,6 138:20 139:9 140:18 141:1 152:19 155:13 162:2,3,12 184:6,17,20 188:24 189:4 191:22 211:14

212:12,13 213:23 214:9 216:9,14 218:12,14 219:10 233:12, 15,16 240:9 241:8,21 245:1 247:21 252:5 **validate** 149:14 210:16 211:5 217:8 219:21, 25 220:7 241:6 248:6 249:11 250:1 254:6,8 validated 211:12 212:10 217:4 220:21 221:3,5,8 validation 245:16 **validity** 135:19 137:12 151:19 162:6,11 169:14 170:2 208:25 210:19, 24 212:16 217:17,19 218:15,22,25 219:5 229:7,14 243:18,24 252:25 253:22, 24 **validly** 139:9 **vault** 189:15 vaults 215:23 216:2 version 138:25 **view** 189:7 241:10 251:11 **viewed** 236:14 **violated** 251:19 violates 251:10,18 **virtue** 202:21 **vivid** 253:12 **Volume** 120:3

W

W-e-i-h-e 245:18

wait 217:10 255:25	<pre>witnessed     180:16 214:15</pre>		
waiver 130:8			Z
166:13 189:24	171:14 180:1,		100 10 01
190:10,14,22	15 205:8,9,11	zıp	122:18,21
	231:19 234:19		
192:1 199:8	238:23 239:17		
waivers 166:13,	241:13 242:23,		
14 197:4	24 243:12		
	word 158:3		
walking 197:17	235:11		
wanted 121:13	words 163:23		
222:23,25	185:17 254:6		
	work 141:13		
230:7 231:2	143:4 181:5		
250:13 256:3	196:3		
	worked 176:24		
warning 156:24	181:8		
waste 151:8	working 180:23		
162:7	worth 149:19		
	wrangled 253:15		
	wrangling		
weekend 214:6	253:11		
	write 137:25		
weeks 158:10	138:7 164:17		
173:19	writing 138:1,4		
Weihe 245:17	229:2 242:17		
whatsoever	255:2,13,19		
216:12 219:16	written 161:18		
Whichever	201:18 203:17		
216:17	213:9 235:9		
wife 126:8	255:22 256:3,9		
224:22	wrong 179:13		
will's 170:15	192:1 240:14		
vills 131:13	253:15		
146:8 151:19	wrote 130:8		
152:8,18	211:8 221:19		
169:10 189:11	229:12 231:14		
194:6 203:9			
233:15 242:16			
245:1 246:19	Y		
Wilmott's 245:4	<b>Yates</b> 136:24		
win 237:7	137:8 139:13,		
winding 153:3	15,17,18		
wisely 180:6	140:1,19 144:6		
wishes 144:14	148:25 155:11		
156:16 157:3,7	156:7		
233:4 239:12,	year 200:22		
22	years 125:7		
withdrawn 226:9	143:1 155:3		
		1	
withdrew 121:2	224:23 225:7		