Filing # 52565600 E-Filed 02/16/2017 06:58:04 AM

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIV. CASE NO. 50 2012 CP 004391 XXXX SB

IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN, Deceased.

NOTICE OF FILING DECEMBER 15, 2015 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE JUDGE JOHN PHILLIPS IN THE SIMON BERNSTEIN ESTATE CASE, SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN ESTATE CASE, SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST CASE, SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST CASE

COMES NOW, Beneficiary and Interested Person, Eliot Ivan Bernstein ("Eliot"), Pro Se

and hereby gives notice of filing the court reporter transcript of a December 15, 2015 Hearing

before this Court, attached hereto as "Exhibit "25"

Dated: February 16, 2017

By: /S/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 561.245.8588 iviewit@iviewit.tv

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished to counsel of record and the proper parties on the attached Service List via the Court's e-portal system or

Email Service on this 16th day of February, 2017.

By: /S/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 561.245.8588 iviewit@iviewit.tv

SERVICE LIST

| Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com | Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@pm-law.com and arose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com | John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 514-0900 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm .com john@pankauskilawfirm.com |
|---|---|---|
| Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 rspallina@tescherspallina. com kmoran@tescherspallina.c om ddustin@tescherspallina.c om | Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com | Irwin J. Block, Esq. The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL 700 South Federal Highway Suite 200 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com |
| Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com | Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspall ina.com | Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com |
| Peter Feaman, Esquire Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. Boynton Beach, FL 33436 pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.co m | Kimberly Moran kmoran@tescherspallina.com | Julia Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, Her Parents and Natural Guardians 210 I Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com |
| Carley & Max Friedstein, Minors c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parents and Natural Guardians 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 6003 | Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com | Brian M. O'Connell, Esq. Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq. Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell 515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-832-5900-Telephone 561-833-4209 - Facsimile |

| Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com | Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com; ifoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com; service@ciklinlubitz.com; slobdell@ciklinlibitz.com |
|--|---|
| · | SERVICE LIST |
| John P. Morrissey, Esq. 330 Clematis Street, Suite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 833-0766-Telephone (561) 833-0867 -Facsimile Email: John P. Morrissey (iohn@jrnoiTisseylaw.com) | Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 lisa@friedsteins.com |
| Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite Boynton Beach, FL 33436 (561) 734-5552 -Telephone (561) 734-5554 -Facsimile Email: service@feamanlaw.com: mkoskey@feamanlaw.com | Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com |
| Gary R. Shendell, Esq. Kenneth S. Pollock, Esq. Shendell & Pollock, P.L. 2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150 Boca Raton, FL 33431 (561)241-2323 - Telephone (561)241-2 Facsimile Email: gary@shendellpollock.com ken@shendellpollock.com britt@shendellpollock.com grs@shendellpollock.com | Counter Defendant Robert Spallina, Esq. Donald Tescher, Esq. Tescher & Spallina 925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 |
| Brian M. O'Connell, Esq. Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq. Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell 515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-832-5900-Telephone 561-833-4209 - Facsimile Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com; ifoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com; service@ciklinlubitz.com; slobdell@ciklinlibitz.com | Counter Defendant John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com john@pankauskilawfirm.com |
| Counter Defendant Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard | Counter Defendant Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Wells Fargo Plaza |

| Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net | 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com |
|---|---|
| Theodore Stuart Bernstein 880 Berkeley Boca Raton, FL 33487 tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com | Counter Defendant TESCHER & SPALLINA, <i>P.A.</i> . Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com |
| Theodore Stuart Bernstein Life Insurance Concepts, Inc. 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle Suite 3010 Boca Raton, FL 33487 tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com | Counter Defendant Alan B. Rose, Esq. PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 561-355-6991 arose@pm-law.com arose@mrachek-law.com |
| Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com | Counter Defendant L. Louis Mrachek, Esq. PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 561-355-6991 Imrachek@mrachek-law.com |
| Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com | Counter Defendant Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 |
| Lisa Sue Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com | Dennis McNamara Executive Vice President and General Counsel Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. Corporate Headquarters 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 800-221-5588 Dennis.mcnamara@opco.com info@opco.com |
| Dennis G. Bedley Chairman of the Board, Director and Chief Executive Officer Legacy Bank of Florida Glades Twin Plaza 2300 Glades Road Suite 120 West – Executive Office Boca Raton, FL 33431 info@legacybankfl.com | Hunt Worth, Esq. President Oppenheimer Trust Company of Delaware 405 Silverside Road Wilmington, DE 19809 302-792-3500 hunt.worth@opco.com |

| DBedley@LegacyBankFL.com | |
|--|--|
| James Dimon Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer JP Morgan Chase & CO. 270 Park Ave. New York, NY 10017-2070 Jamie.dimon@jpmchase.com | Neil Wolfson President & Chief Executive Officer Wilmington Trust Company 1100 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19890-0001 nwolfson@wilmingtontrust.com |
| William McCabe Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. 85 Broad St Fl 25 New York, NY 10004 William.McCabe@opco.com | STP Enterprises, Inc. 303 East Wacker Drive Suite 210 Chicago IL 60601-5210 psimon@stpcorp.com |
| Charles D. Rubin Managing Partner Gutter Chaves Josepher Rubin Forman Fleisher Miller PA Boca Corporate Center 2101 NW Corporate Blvd., Suite 107 Boca Raton, FL 33431-7343 crubin@floridatax.com | Ralph S. Janvey Krage & Janvey, L.L.P. Federal Court Appointed Receiver Stanford Financial Group 2100 Ross Ave, Dallas, TX 75201 rjanvey@kjllp.com |
| Kimberly Moran Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 kmoran@tescherspallina.com | Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles Life Insurance Concepts 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle Suite 3010 Boca Raton, FL 33487 lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com |
| Gerald R. Lewin CBIZ MHM, LLC 1675 N Military Trail Fifth Floor Boca Raton, FL 33486 | CBIZ MHM, LLC General Counsel 6480 Rockside Woods Blvd. South Suite 330 Cleveland, OH 44131 ATTN: General Counsel generalcounsel@cbiz.com (216)447-9000 |
| Albert Gortz, Esq. Proskauer Rose LLP One Boca Place 2255 Glades Road Suite 421 Atrium Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360 agortz@proskauer.com | Heritage Union Life Insurance Company A member of WiltonRe Group of Companies 187 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897 cstroup@wiltonre.com |
| Estate of Simon Bernstein Brian M O'Connell Pa 515 N Flagler Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33401 boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com | Counter Defendant Steven Lessne, Esq. Gray Robinson, PA 225 NE Mizner Blvd #500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 steven.lessne@gray-robinson.com |

| Byrd F. "Biff" Marshall, Jr. President & Managing Director Gray Robinson, PA 225 NE Mizner Blvd #500 Boca Raton, FL 33432 biff.marshall@gray-robinson.com | | Steven A. Lessne, Esq. Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, 777 South Flagler Drive, Suit West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: (561) 650-0545 Facsimile: (561) 655-5677 E-Mail Designations: slessne@gunster.com jhoppel@gunster.com eservice@gunster.com | |
|--|---|---|--|
| T&S Registered Agents, LLC Wells Fargo Plaza 925 South Federal Hwy Suite 500 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 dtescher@tescherspallina.com | | David Lanciotti Executive VP and General Counsel LaSalle National Trust NA CHICAGO TITLE LAND TRUST COMPANY, as Successor 10 South LaSalle Street Suite 2750 Chicago, IL 60603 David.Lanciotti@ctt.com | |
| Joseph M. Leccese Chairman Proskauer Rose LLP Eleven Times Square New York, NY 10036 jleccese@proskauer.com | | Brian Moynihan Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer 100 N Tryon St #170, Charlotte, NC 28202 Phone:(980) 335-3561 | |
| ADR & MEDIATIONS SERVICES, LLC Diana Lewis 2765 Tecumseh Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33409 (561) 758-3017 Telephone Email: dzlewis@aol.com (Fla. Bar No. 351350) | | | |
| SERVICE LIST Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com | Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@pm-law.com and arose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com | | John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 514-0900 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm .com john@pankauskilawfirm.com |
| Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 rspallina@tescherspallina. com | Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com | | Irwin J. Block, Esq. The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL 700 South Federal Highway Suite 200 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com |

| kmoran@tescherspallina.c om ddustin@tescherspallina.c om | | |
|--|---|--|
| Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com | Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspall ina.com | Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com |
| Peter Feaman, Esquire Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. Boynton Beach, FL 33436 pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.co m | Kimberly Moran kmoran@tescherspallina.com | Julia Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, Her Parents and Natural Guardians 210 I Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com |
| Carley & Max Friedstein, Minors c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parents and Natural Guardians 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 6003 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com | Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB

TED BERNSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,

Defendants.

TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 114

Tuesday, December 15, 2015 North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410 9:43 a.m. - 4:48 p.m.

Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198 - VOL 1

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES:
     On behalf of the Plaintiff:
2
          ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE
3
          GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
 4
          MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA
          THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
          505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
 5
          West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
                 561.655.2250
          Phone:
6
          E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
 7
8
     On behalf of the Defendant:
9
          ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
          2753 NW 34th Street
10
          Boca Raton, Florida 33434
          Phone:
                  561.245.8588
11
          E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12
13
     On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael
     Bernstein:
14
          JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
          LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
15
          330 Clematis Street
          Suite 213
16
          West Palm Beach, Florida
17
          Phone: 561.833.0866
          E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Direct Cross Vol 1 December 15, 2015 1 2 INDEX 3 4 5 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS ROBERT SPALLINA 6 BY MR. ROSE: 11 7 BY MR. MORRISSEY: 82 91 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 9 10 11 12 EXHIBITS 13 14 15 DESCRIPTION PAGE NUMBER PLAINTIFF'S EX. 1 COPY OF SHIRLEY'S WILL 16 34 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 2 SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST 62 17 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 3 FIRST AMENDMENT OF SHIRLEY 39 18 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 70 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 4 SI'S NEW WILL 19 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 5 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED 72 AND RESTATED TRUST 20 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 7 DOCUMENT 20 21 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 9 11/16/07 INTAKE SHEET 13 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 10 MEETING NOTES 14 22 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 11 4/19/08 LETTER 27 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 13 NOTES 46 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 14 23 EMAIL FROM ELIOT BERNSTEIN 61 5/24/12 LETTER DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY PLAINTIFF'S EX. 15 64 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 16 24 66 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 17 73 LETTER

25

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 3

- - -EXHIBITS (cont'd) - - -PLAINTIFF'S EX. 18 DEATH CERTIFICATE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 40A-F GREENWALD DOCUMENTS DEFENDANT'S EX. 1 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 102 BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | THE COURT: We're here on the Bernstein case. |
| 4 | Everybody ready to go? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes. |
| 6 | Alan Rose on behalf of the plaintiff, Ted S. |
| 7 | Bernstein, as successor trustee. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: And with me is my partner, Greg |
| 10 | Weiss. May not be for the whole trial, but he is |
| 11 | with us for the beginning. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, great. Thanks for |
| 13 | coming. |
| 14 | And who's on the other side? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se, sir. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to have |
| 17 | any counsel? Who's with you at the table? |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's my lovely wife, |
| 19 | Candice. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. And why are you at the |
| 21 | table? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's one of the questions I |
| 23 | would like to address. I'm here individually. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued individually. |

| 1 | But I'm also here on behalf, supposedly, of my |
|----|--|
| 2 | minor children, who aren't represented by counsel. |
| 3 | And I'm sued as a trustee of a trust that I've |
| 4 | never possessed. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Are you asking me a question? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 7 | THE COURT: What's the question? |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are being |
| 9 | sued. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the question? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued as their |
| 12 | trustee, but I'm |
| 13 | THE COURT: Stop, please. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 15 | THE COURT: I would love to talk with you all |
| 16 | day |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: but we're not going to have |
| 19 | that happen. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 21 | THE COURT: This is not a conversation. This |
| 22 | is a trial. So my question is, What is your |
| 23 | question? You said you had a question. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I tried to get counsel for my |
| 25 | children who was willing to make a pro hoc vice |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: When will you ask me the question? |
|----|--|
| 2 | Because this is all |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like to stay the |
| 4 | proceeding. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. The request for a |
| 6 | continuance is denied. Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you read the filing I |
| 8 | filed? Because my children are minor |
| 9 | THE COURT: Was that your question? |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are |
| 11 | minors |
| 12 | THE COURT: Please stop. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: and they're not represented |
| 14 | here. |
| 15 | THE COURT: What is your name again, sir? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I'll be |
| 18 | courteous, unless it doesn't work; then I'll be |
| 19 | more direct and more aggressive in enforcing the |
| 20 | rules that I follow when I conduct trials. |
| 21 | I've asked you several times if you had |
| 22 | questions. You finally asked me one, and it was, |
| 23 | Did you read my filing? No, I did not. You asked |
| 24 | for a continuance. I have denied that because it's |
| 25 | untimely. |

| 1 | Now I'm turning back to the plaintiff, and |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | we're going forward with this trial. That is one |
| 3 | day set on my docket. We're going to have this |
| 4 | trial done by the end of the day. You'll have half |
| 5 | the time to use as you see fit; so will the other |
| 6 | side. I'll not care if you waste it, but I'll not |
| 7 | participate in that. Thank you. |
| 8 | Now, from the plaintiff's side, what is it |
| 9 | that the Court is being asked to decide today? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Before I answer, could |
| 11 | Mr. Morrissey make an appearance, sir? |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 13 | MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, I'm here on behalf of |
| 14 | four of the defendants, Judge, four adult |
| 15 | grandchildren, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein |
| 16 | Michael Bernstein and Molly Simon, all of whom have |
| 17 | joined in the plaintiff's complaint today. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Last time I'll ask this |
| 19 | question of the plaintiff. What is it that I'm |
| 20 | asked to decide today? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: We are asking you to decide whether |
| 22 | five testamentary documents are valid, authentic |
| 23 | and enforceable. And that is set forth in count |
| 24 | two of the amended complaint in this action. The |
| 25 | five documents are a 2008 will of Shirley |
| | |

| 1 | Bernstein, a 2008 trust of Shirley Bernstein, and |
|----|---|
| 2 | an amendment by Shirley Bernstein to her 2008 |
| 3 | trust. |
| 4 | THE COURT: When was the amendment? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Amendment was in November of 2008. |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. So there's also a 2008 |
| 7 | amendment? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. In fact, I have a I |
| 9 | don't know if you can read it, but I did put up |
| 10 | here on the there are seven testamentary |
| 11 | documents. We believe five of them to be valid and |
| 12 | operative, and two of them to have been with |
| 13 | revoked by later documents. |
| 14 | So for Shirley, there are three documents that |
| 15 | count two seeks you to determine are valid, |
| 16 | authentic and enforceable according to their terms. |
| 17 | And for Simon Bernstein, he has a 2012 will, |
| 18 | and a 2012 amended and restated trust agreement. |
| 19 | And we're asking that these five documents be |
| 20 | validated today. |
| 21 | There also is a 2008 will and trust that |
| 22 | you'll hear testimony were prepared, but have been |
| 23 | revoked and superseded by later documents. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Does everybody agree that Simon's |
| 25 | 2008 will and trust are invalid or is there some |
| | |

| 1 | claim that they're valid? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: I can't answer. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. I'll ask. |
| 4 | Are you claiming that the Simon Bernstein 2008 |
| 5 | will or 2008 trust are valid, or do you agree that |
| 6 | they are invalid? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I individually disagree. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And my children |
| 10 | THE COURT: I just wanted to know |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: aren't represented by |
| 12 | counsel, so they can't have an opinion |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: even though they're parties |
| 15 | to the case. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, you can waste |
| 17 | all your time you want. I won't object to it, but |
| 18 | I won't participate in it. |
| 19 | You can put on your first witness. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Thank you. Plaintiff will call |
| 21 | Robert Spallina. |
| 22 | Thereupon, |
| 23 | (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 24 | having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined |
| 25 | and testified as follows: |
| | |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Okay. I brought for Your Honor |
| 5 | would you like a book instead of the exhibits? |
| 6 | THE COURT: Nothing better than a huge book. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: We may not use all of them, but |
| 8 | we'll adjust it later. |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: And then I was going to hand the |
| 11 | witness the original for the admission into the |
| 12 | court file as we go. |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: I have a book for Mr. Eliot |
| 15 | Bernstein. |
| 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 18 | Q. Would you state your name for the record? |
| 19 | A. Robert Spallina. |
| 20 | Q. Did you know Simon and Shirley Bernstein, |
| 21 | Mr. Spallina? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 23 | Q. And when did you first meet Simon and Shirley |
| 24 | Bernstein? |
| 25 | A. In 2007. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. What was your occupation at the time? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. I was working as an estate planning attorney. |
| 3 | Q. With a law firm? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. And what was the name of the law firm? |
| 6 | A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Rubin, Ruffin and |
| 7 | Forman and Fleisher. |
| 8 | Q. And did Simon and Shirley Bernstein retain |
| 9 | your law firm? |
| 10 | A. Yes, they did. |
| 11 | Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit No. 9 |
| 12 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. Ask if you'd identify that |
| 13 | document? |
| 14 | A. This was an intake sheet to open up the file, |
| 15 | dated November 16th of 2007. |
| 16 | Q. And the clients are Simon and Shirley |
| 17 | Bernstein? |
| 18 | A. The clients were Simon and Shirley Bernstein, |
| 19 | yes. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 9 into |
| 21 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 23 | [No verbal response] |
| 24 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'll |
| 25 | receive that as Plaintiff's 19. |
| | |

| 1 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was received into |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence.) |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. Now, what was the purpose of Simon and Shirley |
| 5 | Bernstein retaining your law firm? |
| 6 | A. They wanted to review and go over their |
| 7 | existing estate planning and make changes to their |
| 8 | documents. |
| 9 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 10, and ask |
| 10 | you if you can identify for the record Exhibit 10. |
| 11 | A. These are meeting notes, my meeting notes, |
| 12 | and and then partner Don Tescher's meeting notes from |
| 13 | several different meetings that we had with Si and |
| 14 | Shirley during the time following them retaining us as |
| 15 | clients. |
| 16 | Q. And is it your standard practice to take notes |
| 17 | when you're meeting with clients? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And were these notes kept in your company's |
| 20 | files and were they produced with Bates stamp numbers? |
| 21 | A. Yes, they were. |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 10 into |
| 23 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Is there any objection to the |
| 25 | exhibit? |

| | - |
|----|--|
| 1 | [No verbal response]. |
| 2 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, they'll |
| 3 | be received as Plaintiff's 10. |
| 4 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was received into |
| 5 | evidence.) |
| 6 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 7 | Q. Now, for today's purposes, are those notes in |
| 8 | chronological or reverse chronological order? |
| 9 | A. This is reverse chronological order. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of the stack |
| 11 | and start with the earliest notes. Do they reflect a |
| 12 | date? |
| 13 | A. Yes. 11/14/07. |
| 14 | Q. And if you'd turn to the last page, is that |
| 15 | your partner's notes that are in evidence? |
| 16 | A. Yes. We both would always take notes at the |
| 17 | meetings. |
| 18 | Q. And so the first was that the first meeting |
| 19 | with Mr. Simon or Shirley Bernstein? |
| 20 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 21 | Q. Now, before you met with Simon and Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein, did you have any prior relationship with |
| 23 | them? |
| 24 | A. No, we did not. |
| 25 | Q. Did you personally know either of them before |
| | |

| 1 | that date? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. No, I did not. |
| 3 | Q. 11/14/2007. Okay. And if you'd just flip |
| 4 | back to the client intake. I think that was dated |
| 5 | November the 26th? |
| 6 | A. It was two days later, 11/16. The file was |
| 7 | opened two days later. |
| 8 | Q. So file open. |
| 9 | Now, did you know in advance of the meeting |
| 10 | what they were coming in to talk about? |
| 11 | A. Yeah. They were coming in to talk about their |
| 12 | estate planning. |
| 13 | Q. And did they provide you in advance of the |
| 14 | meeting with any of their prior estate planning |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | A. I believe we had copies of documents. I don't |
| 17 | know if they provided them at that meeting or if they |
| 18 | provided them before for us to look at, or after, but I |
| 19 | know that there were existing documents that were in our |
| 20 | file. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Let me approach and hand you |
| 22 | Exhibit 40A, which is bears Tescher Spallina |
| 23 | Number 1. |
| 24 | Does that appear to be an envelope from |
| 25 | Stephen Greenwald |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q directed to Simon Bernstein? |
| 3 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 4 | Q. And copy of this was in your files when they |
| 5 | were produced? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. And was Stephen Greenwald the prior lawyer |
| 8 | that represented Simon and Shirley Bernstein, as far as |
| 9 | you know? |
| 10 | A. Yes. Yes, he was. |
| 11 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40B, which is a |
| 12 | letter from Mr. Greenwald to Simon and Shirley |
| 13 | Bernstein. |
| 14 | Is that also is that also provided in your |
| 15 | files? |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 17 | Q. Does it bear a Bates stamp of your law firm? |
| 18 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And does Mr. Greenwald, in that letter, |
| 20 | disclose what he is sending to Simon |
| 21 | Mr. and Mrs. Simon L. Bernstein? |
| 22 | A. Yes, he did. Their estate planning documents, |
| 23 | including their ancillary documents, their wills, their |
| 24 | trusts, health care powers, durable powers and living |
| 25 | wills. |

| 1 | Q. And if I'll show you 40C, D, E and F, and |
|----|--|
| 2 | ask if you can identify these as some of the documents |
| 3 | that were included with the letter from Mr. Greenwald? |
| 4 | A. We have each of the first codicils to |
| 5 | Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein's wills, and we have each of |
| 6 | their wills. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 40A through F |
| 8 | into evidence, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 10 | [No response.] |
| 11 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'm |
| 12 | going to receive this as Plaintiff's 40A through F. |
| 13 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 40A-F were received |
| 14 | into evidence.) |
| 15 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 16 | Q. Within Exhibit 40, is there a will and a |
| 17 | for Simon and a will for Shirley? |
| 18 | A. Yes, there is. |
| 19 | Q. And could you tell the Court the date of those |
| 20 | documents? |
| 21 | A. August 15, 2000. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Are both documents the same date? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes, they are, Your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I just wanted |
| 25 | to make sure I don't get confused. |
| | |

1 BY MR. ROSE: 2 Ο. Can you generally describe what the estate 3 plan reflected in Exhibit 40 would be, who are the beneficiaries and what percentages? 4 Okay. Just give me a minute. I haven't seen 5 Α. these in... 6 7 The plan under the documents -- and let me just make sure it's the same under both documents. The 8 plan under the documents was to provide all the assets 9 to the survivor of Shirley and Si, and that at the death 10 of the survivor of the two of them, assets would pass 11 to -- it appears to be Ted, Pam, Eliot, Jill and Sue and 12 Lisa -- and Lisa. So it looks to be a typical estate 13 14 plan; everything would pass to the survivor at the first 15 death, and then at the second death everything to the children. 16 17 Ο. How many of the children under the 2000 documents? 18 19 Α. This shows all five. The will shows all five. 20 What page are you looking at? Q. The first page of the will. Is this -- oh, 21 Α. 2.2 no. That's just as to tangible personal property. I'm 23 sorry. That's okay. Are you on -- are you in Simon's 24 Ο. 25 or Shirley's?

| 1 | A. I'm in on both documents, to make sure the |
|----|---|
| 2 | disposition was the same. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. So on the page the first page, it |
| 4 | talks under |
| 5 | A. It speaks to tangible personal property. |
| 6 | Q. Split equally among the five children? |
| 7 | A. Among the five children. |
| 8 | Q. Let me just stop you one second right there. |
| 9 | If you would, turn |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: This might help, Your Honor, if |
| 11 | you'd turn to Tab 7. It may be out of order. |
| 12 | Might be a good time just to go over the family |
| 13 | tree and let get everyone on the same page of |
| 14 | We prepared a chart, and I'm going to put |
| 15 | the it lists Simon and Shirley and the names of |
| 16 | their children on the second line, and then under |
| 17 | each child with arrows, the names of the |
| 18 | grandchildren and which parents they belong to. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: This looks accurate. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 7 into |
| 21 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 23 | [No response.] |
| 24 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, that's |
| 25 | in evidence as Plaintiff's 7. |
| | |

| 1 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was received into |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence.) |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. So under the 2000 documents, for personal |
| 5 | property, it's split among the five children. |
| 6 | And when you get to the residuary estate or |
| 7 | the amount that was put into trusts, who are the |
| 8 | beneficiaries? |
| 9 | A. Again, at the death of the survivor of the two |
| 10 | of them, tangible personal property would go to the five |
| 11 | children, and the residuary of the estate would go to |
| 12 | four of the five children. It appears that Pam is cut |
| 13 | out of these documents. And I recall that now, yes. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So under the 2000 documents, Eliot |
| 15 | Bernstein would get 25 percent of the residuary? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | Q. Now, if you look at page 5, it talks |
| 18 | about page 5, near the top, it says "upon the death |
| 19 | of my husband," then "the principal of his trust shall |
| 20 | pass," and then the next sentence says "to the extent |
| 21 | that said power of appointment oh, "and such shares |
| 22 | equal or unequal and subject to such lawful trust terms |
| 23 | and conditions as my husband shall by will appoint." |
| 24 | Do you see what I'm talking about? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I do. |

| 1 | Q. That's a power of appointment? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. And then it says, the next sentence, To the |
| 4 | extent the power of appointment is not effectively |
| 5 | exercised, then it goes to the four of the five |
| 6 | children? |
| 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | Q. So under the 2000 documents, the survivor |
| 9 | would have the power to give it all to one? |
| 10 | A. Correct. |
| 11 | Q. And theoretically change it and give some to |
| 12 | Pam? |
| 13 | A. That's true, by the language of this document. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So I'm just going to write. We have a |
| 15 | power of appointment, which we don't need to belabor, in |
| 16 | favor of the survivor; and then if it's not exercised, |
| 17 | Eliot gets 25 percent, and three other siblings get the |
| 18 | balance? |
| 19 | A. 25 percent each. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | A. Equal shares. |
| 22 | Q. Now, when Simon and Shirley came to you, did |
| 23 | they give you an indication whether they wanted to keep |
| 24 | in place the 2000 structure? |
| 25 | A. No. They wanted to change the dispositions |

| 1 | under their documents. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Okay. So if we work through your notes now, |
| 3 | which are in evidence as Exhibit No. 10, the first |
| 4 | meeting was November the 14th, 2007. You had a |
| 5 | discussion about Simon's net worth Simon and |
| 6 | Shirley's net worth, how much money they had at that |
| 7 | time? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 12 |
| 10 | before we |
| 11 | Do you recognize the handwriting on |
| 12 | Exhibit 12? |
| 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. I believe it's Simon Bernstein's |
| 15 | statement of his net worth. |
| 16 | But you have seen this document before? |
| 17 | A. I don't recall. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And you're not familiar with his |
| 19 | handwriting to |
| 20 | A. No. Other than his signature. |
| 21 | Q. That's fine. |
| 22 | But during the discussion, did you discuss |
| 23 | Simon's net worth? |
| 24 | A. Yes. Both my partner and I. |
| 25 | Q. And if I look at Mr. Tescher's notes, which |
| | |

| 1 | are a little easier to read, he lists the joint |
|----|---|
| 2 | brokerage account, some money for Simon, Simon, a |
| 3 | house the house appears to have a million dollar |
| 4 | mortgage a condo, some miscellaneous and some life |
| 5 | insurance. And he totals that totals to 13 million, |
| 6 | and then he lists 5 million for 33 shares of the |
| 7 | company. |
| 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So if I add up what Mr. Tescher wrote |
| 11 | in his notes, I get to about \$18 million. |
| 12 | And this is on November the 14th of '07, |
| 13 | around 18 million, but that includes life insurance? |
| 14 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Now, did you meet with them how long |
| 16 | were these meetings with Simon and Shirley Bernstein? |
| 17 | A. They could be an hour; sometimes more. |
| 18 | Q. Now, if we flip through your notes, does it |
| 19 | reflect a second meeting? |
| 20 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 21 | Q. And what's the date of the second meeting? |
| 22 | A. 12/19/07. |
| 23 | Q. And do you have any I'm sorry. 12/19? |
| 24 | A. 12/19/07. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And what's the let's just put all |
| | |

| 1 | the dates up here. That was the second meeting. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Are there notes from a third meeting? |
| 3 | A. The next meeting was January 31, '08. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Is there a fourth meeting? |
| 5 | A. March 12 of '08. |
| 6 | Q. Now, just to put this in perspective, the |
| 7 | document that we are going to well, the document |
| 8 | that's been admitted into probate in this case is a will |
| 9 | of Shirley Bernstein that bears a date of May 20, 2008. |
| 10 | Does that sound consistent with your memory? |
| 11 | A. Yeah, it was clearly 2008. |
| 12 | MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. Can you |
| 13 | turn that so we can see it? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Ma'am, you are not a party. You |
| 16 | are not an attorney. And you are not really |
| 17 | supposed to be sitting there. I'm letting you sit |
| 18 | there as a courtesy. If you ask for and inject |
| 19 | yourself any further in the proceeding than that, |
| 20 | I'll have to ask you to be seated in the gallery. |
| 21 | Do you understand? |
| 22 | MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 24 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 25 | Q. So you have four meetings with Simon and |
| | |

| 1 | Shirley Bernstein. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And did it take that long to go over what they |
| 3 | wished to do with their estate planning documents? |
| 4 | A. It was more of us, you know, trying to get a |
| 5 | handle on everything that they had, the business, prior |
| 6 | planning. From the first meeting to the March meeting, |
| 7 | it was only a couple of months. The holidays were in |
| 8 | there. So it wasn't uncommon for us to meet with a |
| 9 | client more than once or twice when they had a |
| 10 | sophisticated plan and asset schedule. |
| 11 | Q. At this time |
| 12 | A. By the last meeting, we knew what we needed to |
| 13 | do. |
| 14 | Q. And around this based on your notes, did |
| 15 | Simon Bernstein believe he had a net worth all in of |
| 16 | about 18 million when he met with you? |
| 17 | A. Yeah, it appears that way, 18, 19 million |
| 18 | dollars. |
| 19 | Q. And did he discuss at all with you that he was |
| 20 | involved in a business at that time, an insurance |
| 21 | business? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. And did he give you an indication of how well |
| 24 | the business was doing at around the times of these |
| 25 | meetings between November 2007 and March or May of 2008? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yeah, the business was doing well at that |
|----|---|
| 2 | time. He was he was very optimistic about the future |
| 3 | of the business. |
| 4 | Q. Now, did you do any did you prepare any |
| 5 | documents before the will was signed in May? Did you |
| 6 | prepare drafts of the documents? |
| 7 | A. Yes, we did. We always prepare drafts of |
| 8 | documents. |
| 9 | Q. And did you share the drafts with Simon and |
| 10 | Shirley? |
| 11 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, and |
| 13 | ask if you can identify that for the record? |
| 14 | A. This is a letter from our firm dated April 19 |
| 15 | of 2008. It's transmitting the documents to the client, |
| 16 | with an explanation that they could follow, better than |
| 17 | reading their documents a summary of the documents. |
| 18 | Q. Is that a true and authentic copy of a |
| 19 | document that you created? |
| 20 | A. Yes, it appears to be. |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 11 into |
| 22 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 24 | [No response.] |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Then that's in |
| | |

| 1 | evidence as Plaintiff's 11. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was received into |
| 3 | evidence.) |
| 4 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 5 | Q. And if I read Exhibit 11, the first three |
| 6 | words say, "Enclosed are drafts of each of your wills |
| 7 | and revocable trusts, the children's family trust, each |
| 8 | of your durable powers of attorney, designations of |
| 9 | health care surrogate and living wills," correct? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. So about a month and 11 days before anything |
| 12 | was signed, documents were sent by Federal Express to |
| 13 | Simon and Shirley Bernstein? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. And it appears to have gone to Simon's |
| 16 | business? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Now, if you look at does your does your |
| 19 | letter, sort of in laymen's terms, rather than reading |
| 20 | through the legalese of a will, explain what the estate |
| 21 | planning was under the documents that have yet to be |
| 22 | signed but that you were preparing? |
| 23 | A. Yes, it does, as much as possible in laymen's |
| 24 | terms. |
| 25 | Q. Can you just give us a short well, the will |

| 1 | itself for both Simon and Shirley was a relatively |
|----|--|
| 2 | simple will that poured over into a revocable trust, one |
| 3 | for each? |
| 4 | A. Yes, poured over wills for both. |
| 5 | Q. And whoever died first would inherent the |
| 6 | personal property? |
| 7 | A. All tangible personal property under the will |
| 8 | would pass to the survivor. |
| 9 | Q. So assuming Simon survived Shirley, he would |
| 10 | be the sole beneficiary of her estate? |
| 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. And then any of her residuary would go into a |
| 13 | trust? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. And he, in fact, outlived Shirley? |
| 16 | A. He did. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Now, if you go to the second page, at |
| 18 | the top, you describe the will of Shirley Bernstein. |
| 19 | It's essentially identical to Si it says "Si." |
| 20 | Just for the record, that's Simon shorthand? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Si is the personal representative of Shirley's |
| 23 | estate, and Ted is designated as successor if Simon is |
| 24 | unable to serve. |
| 25 | That was what was in the document you sent in |
| | |

| 1 | April? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. I believe so, yes. |
| 3 | Q. And that provision remained in the final |
| 4 | documents you signed? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. Now, did Ted eventually become a successor |
| 7 | personal representative upon Simon's death? |
| 8 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. Then you next start to talk about the Simon L. |
| 10 | Bernstein trust agreement. |
| 11 | And theoretically, that was going to be the |
| 12 | primary testamentary document? |
| 13 | A. Correct, it was. |
| 14 | Q. And that's fairly standard? |
| 15 | A. Yes. When a client wants to avoid probate, we |
| 16 | use a revocable trust to title assets in prior to death. |
| 17 | Those assets remain confidential; they're not part of |
| 18 | the court record. And the trust is also used to avoid |
| 19 | the need for the appointment of a guardian in the event |
| 20 | of incapacity, because there's a successor trustee |
| 21 | mechanism. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Now, under Simon's trust agreement, |
| 23 | moving down to the third paragraph, under that heading, |
| 24 | it says that both trusts provide for mandatory income |
| 25 | distributions. And then the next sentence starts, "Upon |

| 1 | Shirley's death, she has been given a special power to |
|----|--|
| 2 | appoint the remaining assets of both the marital trust |
| 3 | and the family trust to any of your lineal descendants |
| 4 | and their spouses, a power to redirect and reallocate." |
| 5 | Do you see that? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Now, is that consistent with the way the |
| 8 | documents were intended to be drafted? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 10 | Q. And I guess it's sort of similar to what |
| 11 | existed in the 2000 wills? |
| 12 | A. Yes. Typically, you give the survivor of the |
| 13 | spouse a power to appoint in the event that they want to |
| 14 | change any of the estate planning of the first to die. |
| 15 | Found in most first marriage documents with only |
| 16 | children from that marriage. |
| 17 | Q. And this is a first marriage with all five |
| 18 | children being the product of the same marriage |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q as far as you know? |
| 21 | A. As far as I know. |
| 22 | Q. And as far as you know, Simon and Shirley |
| 23 | Bernstein, they each married only once in their |
| 24 | lifetime, to each other? |
| 25 | A. That's all I know. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. If you flip to the next page, there's a |
|----|---|
| 2 | shorter paragraph for Shirley. |
| 3 | It basically says it's virtually identical, |
| 4 | except that Simon is the initial successor, and after |
| 5 | that, Ted would be Simon's replacement if he passed |
| 6 | away? |
| 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | Q. And is that the mechanism by which Ted |
| 9 | Bernstein became the successor trustee in this lawsuit? |
| 10 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 11 | Q. Now, if Shirley died first, then did the |
| 12 | documents give Simon the same power of appointment over |
| 13 | the assets in her trust that was provided for in the |
| 14 | Simon document if he died? |
| 15 | A. Same power of appointment was in both |
| 16 | documents. They were identical documents, with one |
| 17 | exception. |
| 18 | Q. And what was the exception; the name of the |
| 19 | successor trustee? |
| 20 | A. The name of the successor trustee. |
| 21 | Q. And then Simon wanted his then business |
| 22 | partner, Bill Stansbury, to be his successor trustee in |
| 23 | both his will and his trust, and Shirley wanted her |
| 24 | oldest son, Ted, to be her successor in both documents? |
| 25 | A. Correct. The signer, non-survivor. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And Shirley, I guess it says here, also |
|----|--|
| 2 | made a specific gift of \$200,000 to someone named |
| 3 | Matthew Logan? |
| 4 | A. Correct. |
| 5 | Q. If you look at our family tree chart, I think |
| 6 | Matthew Logan is under Ted. |
| 7 | He is the son of Ted's second wife, Deborah? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So there was a \$200,000 special gift to |
| 10 | Matthew that was in the documents that you sent on |
| 11 | April 9th? |
| 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. Then you prepared family trusts for the |
| 14 | children. |
| 15 | Were those trusts created at the time? |
| 16 | A. Yes, they were. |
| 17 | Q. Now, after you sent your letter on April 9th, |
| 18 | did you have a further discussion with Simon and Shirley |
| 19 | before the documents were signed? |
| 20 | A. I can't recall, but we probably we probably |
| 21 | did, to set up a meeting and talk you know, either, |
| 22 | A, talk about the documents, the draft documents, any |
| 23 | changes that they wanted to make on the draft documents. |
| 24 | It would be typical of us to do that, although I don't |
| 25 | have any meeting notes that showed that, so |

| 1 | Q. Now, under we'll talk let's talk about |
|----|--|
| 2 | the ones that matter. |
| 3 | Because Shirley died first, her 2008 trust |
| 4 | became the beneficiary of her estate? |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. And then Simon had a power of appointment, |
| 7 | correct? |
| 8 | A. Um-hum. |
| 9 | Q. And if you have to say yes or no. |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. And if he didn't exercise the power of |
| 12 | appointment, was there a default set of beneficiaries |
| 13 | that were designated in the documents you drafted in |
| 14 | 2008? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And what was the default set of beneficiaries? |
| 17 | A. Simon had and Shirley had in their documents |
| 18 | excluded Pam and Ted at the death of the survivor of the |
| 19 | two of them. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. So if the power of appointment was not |
| 21 | properly exercised, it would just go to three, and Eliot |
| 22 | would end up with 33 and a third percent and two of the |
| 23 | other sisters would get the balance? |
| 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | Q. Did Simon and Shirley eventually execute |

documents in 2008? 1 2 Α. Yes, they did. 3 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 1, which is --4 A copy of Si's will from --5 Α. Do you have Exhibit 1? 6 Q. 7 Α. Excuse me. Sorry. Shirley's will. Is that a conformed copy of the document? Ο. 8 Yes, it is. Α. 9 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 1 into 10 11 evidence. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 [No response.] THE COURT: That's in evidence as 14 15 Plaintiff's 1. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was received into 16 evidence.) 17 BY MR. ROSE: 18 19 Now, that says "conformed copy." If I turn to Q. the last page, there's no handwritten signatures. 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Do you know where the original of that Q. 23 document sits today? 24 A. It was filed with the court. 25 Q. Okay. So somewhere in the courthouse, the

| original goes. |
|--|
| And that's something that the client would |
| keep? |
| A. Correct. This is what we would send to the |
| client to include with their files. |
| Q. When you filed the original with the court, |
| did anyone object while Simon was alive? |
| A. No. |
| Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 2. |
| Do you recognize that document? |
| A. Yes. This is Shirley's trust agreement that |
| she executed in 2008. |
| Q. Now, does that document have copies of her |
| signature? |
| A. Yes. These are actual copies of the signing |
| parties and their signatures. |
| Q. And how many originals would have been created |
| of this document? |
| A. We always created three originals of the trust |
| agreements. |
| Q. Okay. Now, if you turn to the next if you |
| turn to the last page, it says that Shirley put a dollar |
| into her trust when it was created. |
| A. Yes. |
| Q. And that's to make it a valid trust? |
| |

| 1 | A. Yeah, I mean, it's not required today, but |
|----|--|
| 2 | it's pretty much just form to show a dollar. She had |
| 3 | certainly funded it more than that. |
| 4 | Q. And eventually Shirley put some assets into |
| 5 | the trust? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And if you go to the page before that, |
| 8 | page 27, it appears to be a signature page, correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Now, were you one of the witnesses to the |
| 11 | signature of Shirley Bernstein on Exhibit 2? |
| 12 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 13 | Q. And were you present with Shirley Bernstein |
| 14 | and the other witness, Traci Kratish, at the time of the |
| 15 | execution of the documents? |
| 16 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 17 | Q. And they're notarized by someone named |
| 18 | Kimberly Moran. |
| 19 | Does she work for your office? |
| 20 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 21 | Q. And through her involvement with your firm |
| 22 | and did she personally know Shirley and Traci |
| 23 | Kratish, as well as yourself? |
| 24 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 25 | Q. Now, at the same time that Shirley signed her |

| 1 | documents, did Simon sign a similar set of 2008 will and |
|----|--|
| 2 | trust, similar to the drafts that were sent in April? |
| 3 | A. Yes, he did. We were all sitting in the main |
| 4 | conference area in their offices together. |
| 5 | Q. In Simon's office or your office? |
| 6 | A. In Simon's offices. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. So why would someone from your office |
| 8 | come to Simon's office rather than rely on the notary |
| 9 | that they have there? |
| 10 | A. Because we wanted to accommodate Shirley and |
| 11 | Si in their offices and not have them travel. |
| 12 | Q. You personally went there. Did you personally |
| 13 | go through to make sure that the documents were signed |
| 14 | with all the formalities required under Florida law to |
| 15 | make them valid and enforceable? |
| 16 | A. Yes, we did. That's why we were there. |
| 17 | Q. And if Simon did not have a 2008 will |
| 18 | and sorry. |
| 19 | If Simon did not have a 2002 will and trust, |
| 20 | would it be your belief that the 2008 will and trust |
| 21 | would be valid? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Were they properly signed with all the same |
| 24 | testamentary formalities required by Florida law? |
| 25 | A. Yes, they were. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Did Shirley at some point amend her |
|----|---|
| 2 | trust agreement? |
| 3 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 4 | Q. And do you recall why she amended it? |
| 5 | A. She amended it to remove Matt Logan from the |
| 6 | document that she had included previously as a specific |
| 7 | device. |
| 8 | Q. Do you know why Matt was removed? |
| 9 | A. It's attorney-client privilege. |
| 10 | Does it matter? |
| 11 | Q. I'll withdraw the question. |
| 12 | Was Matthew removed at the direction of |
| 13 | Shirley? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. I'll withdraw |
| 16 | A. Yes. Yes. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Did Shirley sign a document that effectively |
| 18 | removed Matthew? |
| 19 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 20 | Q. Let me hand you Exhibit No. 3, and ask you if |
| 21 | you recognize that document? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 23 | Q. Now, was this document signed with the same |
| 24 | testamentary formalities as the 2008 trust? |
| 25 | A. Yes, it was. |

| 1 | MR. ROSE: We would move Exhibit 3 into |
|----|---|
| 2 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 4 | [No response.] |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 6 | Plaintiff's 3. |
| 7 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was received into |
| 8 | evidence.) |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. Now, if you look there's a paragraph 1 and |
| 11 | a paragraph 3, but no paragraph 2. |
| 12 | Do you know why that is? |
| 13 | A. It's just a mistake in drafting. |
| 14 | Q. And did you specifically discuss with Shirley, |
| 15 | whose privilege I technically would control my client |
| 16 | would control |
| 17 | Did you specifically discuss with Shirley the |
| 18 | fact that the effect of the first amendment would be to |
| 19 | remove the specific gift that she had made for Matthew |
| 20 | Logan? |
| 21 | A. Yes. Even prior to the signing of the |
| 22 | document. |
| 23 | Q. And is this the last relevant testamentary |
| 24 | document that Shirley ever signed that you're aware of? |
| 25 | A. Yes, it is. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Did you meet with Simon and Shirley in person |
|----|--|
| 2 | to talk about this amendment? |
| 3 | A. Si had called me and said that Shirley had a |
| 4 | change to her documents, and asked me to give her a call |
| 5 | and have lunch with her. I called her. We arranged for |
| 6 | a meeting in her house to execute the document. |
| 7 | Q. Now, you brought your you brought Kimberly |
| 8 | with you to get for convenience and to make sure the |
| 9 | documents were properly executed? |
| 10 | A. Correct. She had she had her personal |
| 11 | assistant that was there, Rachel Walker, to serve as |
| 12 | another witness. |
| 13 | Q. Just so I don't have to go back, what's the |
| 14 | date of the amendment? |
| 15 | A. November 18th, 2008. |
| 16 | Q. So now we five documents that exist; 2008, |
| 17 | will, trust, will, trust, and an amendment to Shirley's |
| 18 | trust. |
| 19 | Did you share any of those documents with any |
| 20 | of Simon and Shirley's children at that time? |
| 21 | A. No, we did not. |
| 22 | Q. Did any of the did any of the children play |
| 23 | any role in bringing Simon or Shirley to your offices? |
| 24 | A. Not that I'm aware, no. |
| 25 | Q. Did any of the children accompany them |
| | |

| 1 | to any time they came to visit you, did any of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | children come with them, drag them along? |
| 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | Q. So you prepared did you do some other |
| 5 | estate planning in addition to the 2008 testamentary |
| 6 | documents? |
| 7 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 8 | Q. Can you briefly describe some of the things |
| 9 | you did? |
| 10 | A. We had set up a Florida limited partnership. |
| 11 | We created a general partner entity for that |
| 12 | partnership, a limited liability company. |
| 13 | Q. What's the name of the Florida limited |
| 14 | partnership? |
| 15 | A. Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP. |
| 16 | Q. Was that an entity that was in existence or |
| 17 | was it created under your direction? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Can I stop you a second? Is this |
| 19 | going to help me figure out the validity of the |
| 20 | testamentary documents? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Only in the very narrowest sense. |
| 22 | I'm just trying to establish that they had a very |
| 23 | lengthy and extensive relationship, and they did a |
| 24 | lot of estate planning for Simon and Shirley. But |
| 25 | I'll be very brief. |

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, if that becomes relevant |
|----|--|
| 2 | later, perhaps you could come back to it. But I |
| 3 | don't see the relevance at this point, so I'll ask |
| 4 | you to move on. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. |
| 6 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 7 | Q. Now, was Simon concerned at all about asset |
| 8 | protection as part of some of the things you discussed? |
| 9 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 10 | Q. Now, we have did you have any discussion |
| 11 | with him about who was expected to live longer or if |
| 12 | either of them had health problems that you had any |
| 13 | knowledge of? |
| 14 | A. Si was not he was in good health, but he |
| 15 | had had some heart issues. And Shirley had had other |
| 16 | issues as well. And I think it early on, he didn't |
| 17 | know, but as the relationship went on, we kind of knew |
| 18 | that Shirley was sicker than him and would probably pass |
| 19 | first. |
| 20 | Q. So Shirley died it's in the public |
| 21 | record but December |
| 22 | A. 2010, yeah. |
| 23 | Q 8th. So Simon was her he survived her; |
| 24 | he becomes the sole beneficiary as far as tangible |
| 25 | personal property under her will? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, he does. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. The residuary goes into the Shirley Bernstein |
| 3 | Trust? |
| 4 | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. He's the sole successor trustee and the sole |
| 6 | beneficiary |
| 7 | A. Yes, he is. |
| 8 | Q during the term of his life? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. Now, was there a great deal of effort put into |
| 11 | inventorying the assets, things like that? |
| 12 | A. No, there wasn't. For purposes of opening up |
| 13 | Shirley's probate, we had asked Si to estimate the value |
| 14 | of, you know, her tangible personal property. And |
| 15 | that's what we included on the inventory that was filed |
| 16 | in the probate. |
| 17 | Q. Now, if I'm correct, 2010 was the year there |
| 18 | were no estate taxes at all? |
| 19 | A. No estate taxes. |
| 20 | Q. Simon's the sole beneficiary? |
| 21 | A. Sole beneficiary. Even if there were taxes, |
| 22 | there wouldn't have been any tax on the first death, |
| 23 | because everything went to Si, and there was a marital |
| 24 | deduction. |
| 25 | Q. While Simon was alive, did Ted have any access |

| 1 | to the documents, as far as you know? Did you ever send |
|----|--|
| 2 | the testamentary documents of Simon or Shirley to Ted? |
| 3 | A. No, we did not. |
| 4 | Q. Did Ted play any role in the administration of |
| 5 | the estate while Simon was alive? |
| 6 | A. No, he did not. |
| 7 | Q. Did any of the other children play any role in |
| 8 | the administration of the estate while Simon was alive? |
| 9 | A. No, they did not. |
| 10 | Q. Now, did you have to well, strike that. |
| 11 | Because it was only Simon, was it sort of the |
| 12 | decision by Simon, That I don't want to spend a lot of |
| 13 | time and money in this estate because it's just wasting |
| 14 | my own money? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And that's not unusual in a situation where |
| 17 | you have a surviving spouse that's the sole beneficiary? |
| 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Now, did there come a point in time when Pam, |
| 20 | who was not a named beneficiary of the Shirley's |
| 21 | documents, learned of the fact that she had been |
| 22 | excluded? |
| 23 | A. Yes, there was. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And did you get involved with |
| 25 | discussions with Pam or her lawyer? |
| | |

1 Α. She had hired an attorney, who had made a request to get a copy of her mother's documents. And I 2 3 called Si, spoke to Si about it, and he authorized me giving Pam those documents -- or her attorney those 4 documents. 5 Were they provided to any of the other 6 0. 7 children; that would be Ted or his brother, Eliot, or his two sisters, Lisa or Jill? 8 9 Α. No, they were not. 10 And did Simon Bernstein at some point decide Ο. to change his testamentary documents? 11 Yes, he did. 12 Α. Do you recall approximately when that 13 Ο. 14 happened? 15 Early 2012, he called and requested that we Α. 16 meet to go over his documents. I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked 17 Ο. 18 Exhibit 13, and ask you if you recognize those as your 19 own notes? 20 Α. Yes. These are my notes from that meeting in 21 2012. 2.2 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 13 into 23 evidence, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 [No response.]

| 1 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
|----|---|
| 2 | Plaintiff's 13 then. |
| 3 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was received into |
| 4 | evidence.) |
| 5 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 6 | Q. Now, during this meeting, did Simon discuss |
| 7 | the possibility of altering his estate plan? |
| 8 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. Did you also go over his current finances? |
| 10 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 11 | Q. Now, we've seen from 2007 that he had |
| 12 | disclosed about \$18 million. |
| 13 | As part of the meeting in February of 2012, he |
| 14 | gave you sort of a summary of where he stood at that |
| 15 | time? |
| 16 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 17 | Q. And what was the status of the Shirley |
| 18 | Bernstein probate administration in early 2012, about |
| 19 | 13 months after she passed away? |
| 20 | A. It was still not closed. |
| 21 | Q. Do you know why it was not closed? |
| 22 | A. I think that we were still waiting I'm not |
| 23 | sure that we were still waiting on waivers and |
| 24 | releases from the children to close the estate, to |
| 25 | qualify beneficiaries under the estate if Si were to |

| 1 | dia Wa had to get waiwarg and releaged from them |
|----|--|
| | die. We had to get waivers and releases from them. |
| 2 | Q. Standard operating procedure? |
| 3 | A. Standard operating procedure. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So Simon here, it says it says at |
| 5 | the top "SIPC receivable." |
| 6 | Do you know what that is? |
| 7 | A. Yes, I do. That was Si had made an |
| 8 | investment in a Stanford product that was purported to |
| 9 | be a CD; it was an offshore CD. And when the Stanford |
| 10 | debacle hit, I guess he filed a claim with SIPC to get |
| 11 | those monies back, because it was supposedly a cash |
| 12 | investment. |
| 13 | Q. And so he invested in a Ponzi scheme and lost |
| 14 | a bunch of money? |
| 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | Q. Some of the 18 million he had in 2007 he lost |
| 17 | in the next four and a half years in investing in a |
| 18 | Ponzi scheme? |
| 19 | A. That's correct. |
| 20 | Q. And then the maximum that the SIPC which is |
| 21 | like the FDIC for investments. |
| 22 | You're familiar with that, correct? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. The maximum is 500,000. |
| 25 | You don't actually necessarily recover |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | 500,000? You have a receivable, right? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Do you know how much he actually realized from |
| 4 | the SIPC? |
| 5 | A. I believe he never received anything. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And then it said, LIC receivable, |
| 7 | \$100,000. |
| 8 | Am I reading that correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. And LIC was the company he was involved, with |
| 11 | others? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. So I put here 600 that he put, but the |
| 14 | 600 is really probably closer to 100 if you didn't get |
| 15 | the SIPC money? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | Q. So I'm going to just put a little star here |
| 18 | and put it's really 100,000, and sort that out. |
| 19 | So then he says he has Si's estate, this |
| 20 | would be his personal assets. He's got an interest in |
| 21 | the LLLP. |
| 22 | That is not relevant to discuss how it was |
| 23 | formed, but there was an LLLP that was owned, some by |
| 24 | Si's trust, some by Shirley's trust? |
| 25 | A. Correct. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. And at the time, he thought the value was |
|----|---|
| 2 | 1,150,000 for his share? |
| 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object, Your Honor? |
| 5 | THE COURT: What's the objection? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Relevance. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. And then he had an IRA that says 750,000. |
| 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. And those two things totaled 1,550,000? |
| 13 | A. No. They totaled one million nine. Right? |
| 14 | Q. Okay. You're right. |
| 15 | You wrote next to it "estate tax." |
| 16 | What does that mean, on the side next to it? |
| 17 | A. I think what I had done was offset the value |
| 18 | of the assets in his estate by the loans that were |
| 19 | outstanding at the time. |
| 20 | Q. And it shows a million seven in loans? |
| 21 | A. A million seven in loans. |
| 22 | Q. So we had loans back in 2008 I'm sorry. |
| 23 | November of 2007 time period or 2008, which were |
| 24 | only so we have loans now, you said, a million seven? |
| 25 | A. Well, he had a \$1.2 million loan with |
| | |

| 1 | JP Morgan that was collateralized with the assets of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | LLLP. |
| 3 | Q. And then you list just to speed up, then |
| 4 | you have underneath that, it says Shirley's asset was |
| 5 | empty, right? Because whatever was in had gone to |
| 6 | Simon? |
| 7 | A. Yeah, her estate had nothing in it. |
| 8 | Q. She had a Bentley, I think, when she died. |
| 9 | Do you know what happened to the Bentley? |
| 10 | A. I wasn't aware that she had a Bentley. |
| 11 | Q. Did you come to learn that she had a Bentley |
| 12 | and Simon gave it to his girlfriend, and she traded it |
| 13 | in at the dealership and got a Range Rover? |
| 14 | A. Much, much, much later on |
| 15 | Q. But you know |
| 16 | A after Si's death. |
| 17 | Q. But you know that to be the case? |
| 18 | A. I wasn't aware that it was traded for the |
| 19 | Range Rover. I thought he bought her the Range Rover. |
| 20 | I didn't realize he used a Bentley to do it. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Somehow you know the Bentley became |
| 22 | something for Maritza? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. That's the name of his girlfriend? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Then it says, in Shirley's trust, |
|----|--|
| 2 | condo, one million I'm sorry. I should go to the |
| 3 | next column. It says "FMV." |
| 4 | That would be shorthand for Fair Market Value? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. So condo, 2 million, which is here; house, |
| 7 | 3 million; half of the LLLP, which is Shirley's half |
| 8 | after I assume, after the deduction of the loan, was |
| 9 | 800,000? |
| 10 | A. Um-hum. |
| 11 | Q. Then it says "LIC." That's the company Life |
| 12 | Insurance Concepts that Mr that Simon, his son Ted, |
| 13 | and a gentleman named Bill Stansbury had formally been |
| 14 | involved, another attorney, shares by then. Because |
| 15 | we're in February of 2012. |
| 16 | But, in any event, that's Simon's company? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And he told you in 2007 it was worth |
| 19 | Mr. Tescher's notes, like his interest was worth |
| 20 | 5 million. |
| 21 | What did he tell you it was worth in 2012? |
| 22 | A. Zero. |
| 23 | Q. Then underneath that I put zero here, so |
| 24 | zero today. |
| 25 | So his net worth and then there was a home |
| | |

| 1 | that he owned for that Eliot lives in, right? He |
|----|---|
| 2 | didn't really own it, but he controlled it, Simon? |
| 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you set up the entity that owned |
| 5 | the home? |
| 6 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 7 | Q. Just to save time, there's an entity called |
| 8 | Bernstein Family Realty that owns the house. |
| 9 | Simon controlled that entity while he was |
| 10 | alive? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. And his estate holds a mortgage on the house |
| 13 | for 365,000? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. So there's some interest there. |
| 16 | He didn't put it on his sheet when he talked |
| 17 | to you, but that still would have existed in some form, |
| 18 | right? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And it still exists to this day. |
| 21 | We don't know the value of it, but there still |
| 22 | is a mortgage, right? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. But either way, the point of this whole |
| 25 | story is, his net worth went down significantly between |
| | |

| 1 | 2007 and 2012? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it did. |
| 3 | Q. And in your world, that's not uncommon, with |
| 4 | the stock market crash, the depression, things like |
| 5 | that, that a lot of clients with high net worth would |
| 6 | have suffered losses during that time? |
| 7 | A. Many, many of them did. And even the values |
| 8 | that are on this sheet were not the real values. |
| 9 | Q. We know that the |
| 10 | A. Clients have a tendency to overstate their net |
| 11 | worth. |
| 12 | Q. All right. And we know the Ocean Drive house |
| 13 | sold for about a million four? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. And the Court there's an order that |
| 16 | approved the sale, the gross sale price of a million one |
| 17 | for St. Andrews? |
| 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So that's still that's less than |
| 20 | half, even then, Simon thought he would get. |
| 21 | Now, if you look at the bottom of the |
| 22 | Exhibit No. 13, it says a word, begins with an "I." I |
| 23 | can't really read it. |
| 24 | Can you read that? |
| 25 | A. Insurance. |

| 1 | Q. Well, did you have some discussions with Simon |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | about his insurance? |
| 3 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 4 | Q. In fact, I think Mr. Spallina, we talked |
| 5 | about he had I'm sorry. |
| 6 | Mr. Tescher's notes had a \$2 million life |
| 7 | insurance? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Is this the same life insurance? |
| 10 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 11 | Q. And was there a discussion about I guess it |
| 12 | says 1 million |
| 13 | That's one million seven-fifty? |
| 14 | A. A million 75 yeah, one million seven-fifty |
| 15 | was the value of the policy. |
| 16 | Q. And the death benefit was a million six? |
| 17 | A. Million six. There was a small loan or |
| 18 | something against the policy. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And then it says "Maritza." |
| 20 | What was Maritza down there for? |
| 21 | A. Si was considering changing the purpose of |
| 22 | the meeting was to meet, discuss his assets. And he |
| 23 | was, you know, having a lot of, I guess, internal he |
| 24 | had received another letter from his daughter he |
| 25 | asked me to read the letter from Pam that she still |

| 1 | was not happy about the fact that she had been |
|----|--|
| 2 | disinherited under her mother's documents if the assets |
| 3 | were to pass under the documents and he didn't exercise |
| 4 | his power of appointment. And this meeting was to kind |
| 5 | of figure out a way, with the assets that he had, to |
| 6 | take care of everybody; the grandchildren, the children, |
| 7 | and Maritza. |
| 8 | And so he thought maybe that he would change |
| 9 | the beneficiary designation on his life insurance to |
| 10 | include her. And we had talked about providing for her, |
| 11 | depending on an amount an increasing scale, |
| 12 | depending on the number of years that he was with her. |
| 13 | Q. So if you look at the bottom, it says 0 to |
| 14 | 2 years, 250. |
| 15 | Is that what you're referring to? |
| 16 | A. Yes. Two to four years, 500,000. And then |
| 17 | anything over plus-four years would be I think that's |
| 18 | 600,000. |
| 19 | Q. Now, during this discussion, was Simon |
| 20 | mentally sharp and aware of what was going on? |
| 21 | A. Oh, yeah. Yeah, he was he was the same |
| 22 | Simon. He was just you know, he was struggling with |
| 23 | his estate now. He was getting he felt I guess he |
| 24 | was getting pulled. He had a girlfriend that wanted |
| 25 | something. He had his daughter who, you know, felt like |
| | |

1 she had been slighted. And he wanted to try to make 2 good by everybody. 3 Q. And at that point in time, other than the house that he had bought that Eliot lived in, were you 4 aware that he was supporting Eliot with a very 5 significant amount of money each year? 6 7 Α. I was not. MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevance. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 10 BY MR. ROSE: 11 Okay. So that's February. Ο. 12 Α. Yes. What happens next in relation to Simon coming 13 Ο. 14 in to meet with you to talk about changing his 15 documents? 16 Α. He had called me on the phone and he -- we talked again about, you know, him changing his 17 18 documents. He had been thinking about giving his estate 19 and Shirley's estate to his grandchildren. And at the 20 February meeting, I did not think it was a great idea 21 for him to include his girlfriend, Maritza, as a 2.2 beneficiary of the life insurance policy. 23 Q. He took your advice? He didn't change that, as far as you know? 24 25 Α. He did not.

| 1 | Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Continue. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. He did not. |
| 3 | I had suggested that he provide for her in |
| 4 | other ways; a joint account that would pass to her at |
| 5 | his death, but not to mix her in with his family in |
| 6 | their dispositive documents. And he ultimately took |
| 7 | that advice and decided that he wanted to give his |
| 8 | estate to his ten grandchildren, and that the policy |
| 9 | which I had never seen a copy of the policy, but, you |
| 10 | know he had had. And I knew that he was paying for |
| 11 | it, because it almost lapsed, or did lapse at one |
| 12 | point, and it got reinstated that that policy was to |
| 13 | pass to an insurance trust that named his five children |
| 14 | as beneficiaries. |
| 15 | Q. And that's something Simon specifically |
| 16 | discussed with you when you were going over his estate |
| 17 | planning in 2012? |
| 18 | A. Correct or something that we had known |
| 19 | about before that meeting. But he was at the |
| 20 | meeting, he was starting to talk about doing a change to |
| 21 | the beneficiary designation to include Maritza, and I |
| 22 | wanted to talk him out of that. |
| 23 | Q. And at some point, he made a decision to |
| 24 | actually change his documents, correct? |
| 25 | A. He did. He did. |

1 Q. And did he direct you to set up any kind of a communication with his children? 2 3 Α. Yes. He said, I want you to get -- put together a conference call with me and you and my five 4 children so I can talk to them about what I want to do 5 with my estate and Shirley's estate. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. This would be a good time for us to take a pause for a morning break. 8 We'll be in session again in 10 minutes. 9 10 As far as time use goes, so far Plaintiff's side has used 60 minutes. So you have 90 remaining 11 12 in your portion of the day. And that's where we 13 stand. MR. ROSE: We'll be well within our time, sir. 14 15 THE COURT: Great. Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Is ten 16 17 minutes enough time for everybody? That's what it'll be then. 18 19 (A break was taken.) 20 THE COURT: We're ready to proceed. Please 21 continue. 2.2 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. ROSE: I think we were when Shirley died in December 24 Ο. 25 of 2010, and you meet with Si, according to

| 1 | Plaintiff's 13, on February 1st of 2012. |
|----|---|
| 2 | I think by May of 2012 was when this |
| 3 | conference call that you mentioned was? |
| 4 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And did the five children attend the |
| 6 | conference call? |
| 7 | A. Yes, they all did. |
| 8 | Q. Were you present on the call? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 10 | Q. Was Simon present? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 12 | Q. Where was Simon physically during the call? |
| 13 | A. His office I believe his office. |
| 14 | Q. Were you in the same room as Simon? |
| 15 | A. No, I was not. |
| 16 | Q. You were in your office? |
| 17 | A. I was in my office. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Generally, what was discussed during |
| 19 | this conference call? |
| 20 | A. Simon wanted to talk to his children about |
| 21 | providing for his estate and his wife's estate to go to |
| 22 | the ten grandchildren; wanted to have a discussion with |
| 23 | his children and see what they thought about that. |
| 24 | Q. And was he asking them for their approval or |
| 25 | permission or |

| 1 | A. Well, I think he wanted to see what they all |
|----|--|
| 2 | thought, you know, based on things that had happened in |
| 3 | the past and documents that had been created in the |
| 4 | past. And I don't know that it was going to sway his |
| 5 | opinion, but when he told me, you know, to you know, |
| 6 | to have the conference call, to contact his he said, |
| 7 | This is what I'm going to do, so |
| 8 | Q. During the call, did Simon ask his children if |
| 9 | anybody had an objection to him leaving his and |
| 10 | Shirley's wealth to the ten grandchildren? |
| 11 | A. Yes. He asked what everybody thought. |
| 12 | Q. Did Eliot respond? |
| 13 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 14 | Q. What did he say? |
| 15 | A. I'm paraphrasing, but he said something to the |
| 16 | effect of, Dad, you know, whatever you want to do, |
| 17 | whatever makes you happy, that's what's important. |
| 18 | Q. Did you also discuss during that call the need |
| 19 | to close Shirley's estate? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. We had told Si that we needed to |
| 21 | get back the waivers of accounting, the releases, and we |
| 22 | asked he asked them to get those back to us as soon |
| 23 | as possible. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. If I hand you Exhibit 14, it appears to |
| 25 | be an email from Eliot Bernstein to you addressing the |
| | |

| 1 | waiver that he needed to sign? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 14 into evidence. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 5 | [No response.] |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence |
| 7 | then as Plaintiff's 14. |
| 8 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was received into |
| 9 | evidence.) |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: As a matter of housekeeping, Your |
| 11 | Honor, I think I might have failed to move in |
| 12 | Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's 2008 trust |
| 13 | agreement, which I would move, to the extent it's |
| 14 | not in evidence, 1, 2 and 3, which are the |
| 15 | operative documents Mr. Spallina's already |
| 16 | testified about. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What was that? I'm sorry. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Is there any objection to |
| 20 | Plaintiff's 1, which is the will of Shirley |
| 21 | Bernstein, Plaintiff's 2, which is the Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein Trust Agreement, and Plaintiff's 3, which |
| 23 | is the First Amendment to the Shirley Bernstein |
| 24 | Trust Agreement? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: All right. Those are all in |
|----|---|
| 2 | evidence then as Plaintiff's 1, 2 and 3. |
| 3 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was received into |
| 4 | evidence.) |
| 5 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 6 | Q. Okay. This email is dated May May 17, |
| 7 | 2012, from Eliot, correct? |
| 8 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 9 | Q. This would have been after the conference |
| 10 | call? |
| 11 | A. This, I believe, was after the conference |
| 12 | call, yep. |
| 13 | Q. And he says he's attached the waiver |
| 14 | accounting and portions of petition for discharge, |
| 15 | waiver of service for a petition for discharge, and |
| 16 | receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge that he |
| 17 | had signed. |
| 18 | Did you receive those from Eliot? |
| 19 | A. Yes, I did. We received that was the first |
| 20 | waivers that we received. |
| 21 | Q. Then it says "as I mentioned in the phone |
| 22 | call." |
| 23 | Did you have any separate phone calls with |
| 24 | Eliot Bernstein, you and he, or is he referring to the |
| 25 | conference call? |

| 1 | A. I think he's referring to the conference call. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Okay. I have not yet "I have not seen any |
| 3 | of the underlying estate documents or my mother's will |
| 4 | at this point, yet I signed this document after our |
| 5 | family call so that my father can be released of his |
| 6 | duties as personal representative and put whatever |
| 7 | matters that were causing him stress to rest." |
| 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 10 | Q. Now, while Simon was alive, did you ever get |
| 11 | authorization to share the testamentary documents with |
| 12 | Eliot Bernstein? |
| 13 | A. I did not. |
| 14 | Q. Now, after the call and after the discussion |
| 15 | with the siblings, did you prepare a draft of of new |
| 16 | documents for Simon? |
| 17 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 18 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 15; ask if |
| 19 | that's a letter that you sent to Simon Bernstein |
| 20 | enclosing some new drafts? |
| 21 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 22 | Q. Now, what's the date of that? |
| 23 | A. May 24th, 2012. |
| 24 | Q. And what's what is the summary well, |
| 25 | strike that. |

| 1 | You sent this letter to Simon Bernstein? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 3 | Q. By FedEx to his home? |
| 4 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 15 in |
| 6 | evidence. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 8 | [No response.] |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 10 | Plaintiff's 15. |
| 11 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was received into |
| 12 | evidence.) |
| 13 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So then first page says, "Dear Si, we |
| 15 | have prepared drafts of a new will and an amended and |
| 16 | restated trust agreement." |
| 17 | Are those the 2012 documents that were his |
| 18 | final ones? |
| 19 | A. Yes, they are. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Then you sort of do the same thing you |
| 21 | did in 2008; you give a little summary of what the |
| 22 | estate plan is. |
| 23 | "Your amended and restated trust provides that |
| 24 | on your death, your assets will be divided among and |
| 25 | held in separate trusts for your then living |
| | |

| 1 | grandchildren," correct? I was reading paragraph the |
|----|---|
| 2 | middle paragraph. |
| 3 | A. Yes, I see that. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. I actually skipped the part above, which is |
| 5 | probably more important, which says in the middle of |
| 6 | the first paragraph, it says, "In addition, you have |
| 7 | exercised the special power of appointment granted to |
| 8 | you under Shirley's trust agreement in favor of your |
| 9 | grandchildren who survive you." |
| 10 | Do you see that? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And so that was Simon's intent as |
| 13 | discussed on the conference call? |
| 14 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 15 | Q. Do you know if you made any changes to these |
| 16 | draft documents from May 24th until the day they were |
| 17 | signed? |
| 18 | A. I don't believe so. If I did, it was for |
| 19 | grammar or something else. The dispositive plan that |
| 20 | was laid out in this memo was ultimately the subject of |
| 21 | the documents that he executed in July. |
| 22 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, which is a |
| 23 | durable power of attorney. |
| 24 | If you flip to Exhibit 16, the last page, does |
| 25 | it bear a signature of Simon Bernstein? |

Γ

| 1 | A. | Yes, it does. |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | Q. | And it indicates you were a witness to the |
| 3 | signature | ? |
| 4 | Α. | Yes. |
| 5 | Q. | Along with Kimberly Moran, who is someone from |
| 6 | your offi | ce? |
| 7 | A. | Correct. |
| 8 | Q. | And someone named Lindsay Baxley notarized the |
| 9 | documents | ? |
| 10 | Α. | Yes, she did. |
| 11 | Q. | Do you know who Lindsay Baxley was? |
| 12 | Α. | Lindsay Baxley worked in Ted and Si's office. |
| 13 | Q. | She was like a secretary? |
| 14 | Α. | Assistant to Ted, I believe, maybe. |
| 15 | Q. | Okay. And if you look at |
| 16 | | MR. ROSE: Well, first of all, I'll move |
| 17 | Exhi | bit 16 into evidence. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 19 | | [No response.] |
| 20 | | THE COURT: No objection made, then I'll |
| 21 | rece | ive this as Plaintiff's 16. |
| 22 | | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was received into |
| 23 | evidence. |) |
| 24 | BY MR. RO | SE: |
| 25 | Q. | If you look at the last page where the notary |

| 1 | block is there, it says "personally known" with an |
|----|--|
| 2 | underline, or "produced identification" with an |
| 3 | underline. And she's checked the box "personally |
| 4 | known" or she's checked the line. |
| 5 | Do you see that? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. So do you believe that did you know Lindsay |
| 8 | Baxley by that point in time? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 10 | Q. And you believe she obviously knew Simon, |
| 11 | she knew Kim Moran from other dealings between your |
| 12 | offices? |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And did you all sign this durable power |
| 15 | of attorney with testamentary formalities? |
| 16 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 17 | Q. And what's the date of that? |
| 18 | A. July 25, 2012. |
| 19 | Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit 4, and ask |
| 20 | you if you recognize Exhibit 4? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 4? |
| 23 | A. This is Si's new will that he executed in |
| 24 | 2012, on July 25th, the same day as that durable power |
| 25 | of attorney. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Now, were you present when Simon executed his |
|----|---|
| 2 | new will, which is Exhibit 4? |
| 3 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 4 | Q. If you turn to the last page |
| 5 | Well, actually, if you turn to the first page, |
| 6 | does it say "copy" and bear a clerk's stamp? |
| 7 | A. It does. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I would represent to the Court that |
| 10 | I went to the clerk's office unlike with |
| 11 | Shirley's will, I went to the clerk's office and |
| 12 | obtained a like, a copy made by the clerk of the |
| 13 | document itself, rather than have the typewritten |
| 14 | conformed copy. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object to that? |
| 16 | THE COURT: What's the objection? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Is he making a statement? I'm |
| 18 | not sure |
| 19 | THE COURT: You're asking me a question. I |
| 20 | don't know. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm objecting. Is that a |
| 22 | statement? |
| 23 | THE COURT: The objection is? What are you |
| 24 | objecting to? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: With the statement being |

| 1 | from |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. That was a statement by |
| 3 | somebody who's not a sworn witness, so I'll sustain |
| 4 | the objection. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And the chain of custody of |
| 6 | the document, I'm just trying to clarify that. |
| 7 | Okay. |
| 8 | THE COURT: The objection was to the |
| 9 | statement. I've sustained the objection. |
| 10 | Next question, please. |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. Unlike the trust, how many originals of a will |
| 13 | do you have the client sign? |
| 14 | A. There's only one. |
| 15 | Q. And then you give the client the one with the |
| 16 | typewritten you call it conformed copy? |
| 17 | A. We conform the copy of the will. |
| 18 | Q. And after Simon died, was your law firm |
| 19 | counsel for the personal representative of the Estate of |
| 20 | Simon Bernstein? |
| 21 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 22 | Q. Did you file the original will with the court? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Is it your belief that the original of this |
| 25 | document is somewhere in the Palm Beach County Court |

| 1 | system with the clerk's office? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: I'd move Exhibit 4 in evidence, |
| 4 | Your Honor. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 6 | [No response.] |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection stated, I'll |
| 8 | receive this as Plaintiff's 4. |
| 9 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was received into |
| 10 | evidence.) |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. Now, if you turn to the next to the last page |
| 13 | of Exhibit |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q Exhibit 4, you'll see it bears a signature |
| 16 | of Simon Bernstein and two witnesses, yourself and |
| 17 | Kimberly Moran, who all assert that you signed in the |
| 18 | presence of each other? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And then in the next page, it has what would |
| 21 | be a self-proving affidavit? |
| 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. Now, if you look at the signature block where |
| 24 | the notary signed, where it says "who is personally |
| 25 | known to me," it doesn't seem to have a check box there. |
| | |

| 1 | It just says "who is personally known to me or who has |
|----|--|
| 2 | produced [blank] as identification, " right? |
| 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | Q. Is this the same person who notarized the |
| 5 | exhibit we just put in evidence, Exhibit 15, the durable |
| 6 | power of attorney 16, the durable power of attorney? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. And again, with regard to |
| 9 | Exhibit 4 strike that. |
| 10 | Do you recall where you signed Exhibit 4? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. In whose office? |
| 13 | A. This was also done in Si's office. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So you took you went personally |
| 15 | again, along with Kim Moran, as your practice, to make |
| 16 | sure that the documents were signed properly; true? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And that's important because, if the documents |
| 19 | aren't properly signed, they might not be valid and |
| 20 | enforceable? |
| 21 | A. That's correct. |
| 22 | Q. And I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5. This is |
| 23 | the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust |
| 24 | Agreement. |
| 25 | Was that signed the same day, at the same |
| | |

| 1 | time, with the same procedures? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 3 | Q. And would this have been signed with three |
| 4 | originals? |
| 5 | A. Yes, it would be. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 5 into |
| 7 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 9 | [No response.] |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 11 | Plaintiff's 5. |
| 12 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was received into |
| 13 | evidence.) |
| 14 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 15 | Q. Now, we looked at the history when you did the |
| 16 | first set of documents. In the second set, you started |
| 17 | in February through July. |
| 18 | Did you have a number of telephone conferences |
| 19 | with Simon during that time? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 21 | Q. And at least a couple of face-to-face |
| 22 | meetings? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Did at any time Simon give you any indication |
| 25 | that he was not fully mentally sharp and aware and |
| | |

| 1 | acting of his own volition? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Nope. He was Si that we had known since 2007. |
| 3 | Q. I'll close with Exhibit 17. This is a letter |
| 4 | you sent to Simon Bernstein, enclosing a copy of his |
| 5 | conformed will for him. |
| 6 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 7 | Q. And it's dated the 26th, the day after he |
| 8 | signed the documents? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. And did you also leave him with two of the |
| 11 | originals of his trust? |
| 12 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: I move did I move 17 in? Or I |
| 14 | will move it in. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Number 7, is it? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Seventeen, sir. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 18 | Any objection? |
| 19 | [No response.] |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Then that's in |
| 21 | evidence as Plaintiff's 17. |
| 22 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was received into |
| 23 | evidence.) |
| 24 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 25 | Q. Now, Simon passed away on September 13, 2012. |

| 1 | Does that sound right? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 3 | Q. I have Exhibit 18 as his death certificate. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: I'll just move 18 into evidence. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 6 | [No response.] |
| 7 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 8 | Plaintiff's 18. |
| 9 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was received into |
| 10 | evidence.) |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. So that's the death certificate for Simon |
| 13 | Bernstein. |
| 14 | Did you have any further discussions or |
| 15 | meetings with Simon after he signed the will and trust |
| 16 | in 2012 and before he died? |
| 17 | A. Not that I recall, no. |
| 18 | Q. And you filed a notice of administration, |
| 19 | opened an asset, published it in the Palm Beach Daily |
| 20 | Review, did what you had to do? |
| 21 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 22 | Q. And you and Mr. Tescher were the personal |
| 23 | representatives of the estate? |
| 24 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 25 | Q. And you and Mr. Tescher became the successor |
| | |

| 1 | trustees of Simon's amended trust after he passed away? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 3 | Q. I guess while he was still alive, he was still |
| 4 | the sole trustee of his trust, which was revocable |
| 5 | still? |
| 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | Q. And then upon his death, at some point, did |
| 8 | Ted Bernstein become aware that he was going to become |
| 9 | the successor trustee to the Shirley trust? |
| 10 | A. Yes. We had a meeting with Ted. |
| 11 | Q. And that was the first time he learned about |
| 12 | the contents of her trust, as far as you know? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. Initially, did anybody object to the documents |
| 15 | or the fact that the beneficiaries were supposed to be |
| 16 | the 10 grandchildren? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. When was there first some kind of an objection |
| 19 | or a complaint? |
| 20 | A. I can't recall exactly when it happened. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Did you at some point get a letter from |
| 22 | a lawyer at the Tripp Scott firm? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. I think she was asking you about |
| 25 | something called the status of something called I View |

Γ

| 1 | It Company? Do you recall that? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Vaguely. |
| 3 | Q. Did you know what the Iviewit company was |
| 4 | before you received a letter from the Tripp Scott |
| 5 | lawyer? |
| 6 | A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. I know today. I |
| 7 | can't tell if I'm answering because I know about it |
| 8 | today or if I knew about it at that time. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And did was she asking for some |
| 10 | documents from you? |
| 11 | A. Is this Ms. Yates? |
| 12 | Q. Yes. |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. And did you provide her with certain |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | A. She had asked for copies of all of Shirley's |
| 17 | and Si's estate planning documents. |
| 18 | Q. And did you provide her with all of the |
| 19 | documents? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 21 | Q. Was one of the documents that you provided her |
| 22 | not an accurate copy of what Shirley had executed during |
| 23 | her lifetime? |
| 24 | A. That is true. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And I guess I'll hand you Exhibit 6, |
| | |

| 1 | and this is Exhibit 6 a document that is not a |
|----|--|
| 2 | genuine and valid testamentary document of Shirley |
| 3 | Bernstein? |
| 4 | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. Can you explain to the Court why Exhibit 6 was |
| 6 | prepared and the circumstances? |
| 7 | A. It was prepared to carry out the intent of |
| 8 | Mr. Bernstein in the meeting that he had had with his |
| 9 | five children, and perhaps a vague or a layman a |
| 10 | layman can make a mistake reading Shirley's documents |
| 11 | and not understand who the intended beneficiaries were |
| 12 | or what powers I had. So this document was created. |
| 13 | Q. Is it your belief that under the terms of |
| 14 | Shirley's document from the ones she actually signed, |
| 15 | that Simon had the power to appoint the funds to the ten |
| 16 | grandchildren? |
| 17 | A. Yes. We we prepared the documents that |
| 18 | way, and our planning transmittal letter to him |
| 19 | reflected that. |
| 20 | Q. And this document is, I think you said, to |
| 21 | explain it to a layperson in simpler fashion? |
| 22 | A. It was created so that the person that, you |
| 23 | know, didn't read estate planning documents and prepare |
| 24 | estate planning documents for a living you know, |
| 25 | there was no intent to cut out Pam and Ted's children, |
| | |

| 1 | basically. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Now, did you ever file this exhibit in the |
| 3 | courthouse? |
| 4 | A. No, we did not. |
| 5 | Q. Did you ever use it for any purpose? |
| 6 | A. No, we did not. |
| 7 | Q. Was it at one point provided to Eliot's |
| 8 | counsel? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 10 | Q. Now, the fact putting aside this document, |
| 11 | were any of the other documents that we're talking about |
| 12 | in any way altered or changed from the ones that were |
| 13 | signed by Shirley or Simon? |
| 14 | A. No, they were not. |
| 15 | Q. Now, after these issues came to light, did |
| 16 | Mr. Eliot Bernstein begin to attack you through the |
| 17 | internet and through blogging and things like that? |
| 18 | A. He was doing that long before this document |
| 19 | came to light. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. What was Eliot doing? |
| 21 | A. His first thing that he did was with |
| 22 | respect to the courts, was to file an emergency petition |
| 23 | to freeze assets and after his brother as successor |
| 24 | trustee of his mother's trust had sold the condo. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object to |
| | |

| 1 | this line of questioning for relevance to validity? |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | THE COURT: What's the line of questioning |
| 3 | you're talking about? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The slander defamation going |
| 5 | on about me with, you know, what I do and |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, I wasn't aware there's a |
| 7 | line of questioning going on. There is a question. |
| 8 | You've objected to it. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the objection to that |
| 11 | question? |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The relevancy to a validity |
| 13 | hearing. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the court |
| 15 | reporter read the question back? |
| 16 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 17 | reporter.) |
| 18 | THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether |
| 19 | this guy's posting on Facebook that's negative or |
| 20 | not? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Well, a couple of things, but, |
| 22 | primarily, we're just trying to determine whether |
| 23 | these documents are valid. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: And he is the only one who's saying |
| | |

| 1 | they're not valid, so I want to give some |
|----|--|
| 2 | explanation as to why he's saying they're not |
| 3 | valid, as opposed to |
| 4 | THE COURT: I don't care why he's saying |
| 5 | they're valid or invalid. I'll wait to see what |
| 6 | the facts are. So I'll sustain the objection. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: That's fine. |
| 8 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 9 | Q. Did Simon Bernstein make any special |
| 10 | arrangements, other than strike that. |
| 11 | Did Simon or Shirley make any special |
| 12 | arrangements, other than the testamentary documents that |
| 13 | are admitted into evidence, for special benefits for |
| 14 | Eliot Bernstein and his family? |
| 15 | A. No, they did not. |
| 16 | Q. Any special education trusts, other than |
| 17 | the these five documents? And I believe there was |
| 18 | some shares of stock that were put in trust for all ten |
| 19 | grandchildren, right? |
| 20 | A. There was no special arrangements made other |
| 21 | than the estate planning documents. |
| 22 | Q. After Simon died, did Eliot claim to you that |
| 23 | Simon was supposed to have made some special |
| 24 | arrangements for him? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevancy again. |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes, he did. |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. Did he ever give you an indication how much |
| 5 | money he thought he was going to inherent when his |
| 6 | father died, or his children would inherent when his |
| 7 | father died? |
| 8 | A. Through his subsequent attorney, yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. And how much money did he indicate he thought |
| 10 | there should be? |
| 11 | A. I heard a number from one of his attorneys of |
| 12 | 40- to a \$100 million. |
| 13 | Q. Are you aware of any assets that Simon |
| 14 | Bernstein had other than what he disclosed to you at the |
| 15 | two times that we've looked at in 2007 and again in |
| 16 | February of 2012? |
| 17 | A. No, I am not. |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: No further questions, Your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 20 | Is there any cross? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 22 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, I have questions as |
| 23 | well. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, let me have the |
| 25 | direct finished. That way, all the |

| 1 | cross-examination can take place without |
|----|--|
| 2 | interruption. So everybody make sure you're |
| 3 | fitting within the Plaintiff's side of the room's |
| 4 | time limitations. We'll strictly obey those. |
| 5 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 6 | BY MR. MORRISSEY: |
| 7 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Spallina. My name's John |
| 8 | Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren |
| 9 | of Simon Bernstein. |
| 10 | And since we're here today about validity, I'm |
| 11 | just going to go over, and try to be very brief, |
| 12 | concerning the execution of these documents and your |
| 13 | knowledge about the execution. |
| 14 | Exhibit 1, which has been entered as the will |
| 15 | of Shirley Bernstein, I'd ask you to direct your |
| 16 | attention to that document. And I'm looking here at |
| 17 | page 7. I ask that you turn to page 7 of Exhibit 1. |
| 18 | Were you a witness of this document, this will |
| 19 | that was executed by Shirley Bernstein on May 20th of |
| 20 | 2008? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 22 | Q. And was Diana Banks the other witness? |
| 23 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 24 | Q. And did you and Diana witness Mrs. Bernstein's |
| 25 | execution of this document? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, we did. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. You were present during her execution? |
| 3 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 4 | Q. And was she present during your execution of |
| 5 | this document as a witness? |
| 6 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 7 | Q. And was she, Shirley Bernstein, present during |
| 8 | Diana Banks' execution of this document? |
| 9 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And I'm again focused on this |
| 11 | Exhibit No. 1, this will of Shirley Bernstein dated |
| 12 | May 20th of 2008. |
| 13 | Is it your opinion that at the time Shirley |
| 14 | Bernstein executed this document she understood |
| 15 | generally the nature and extent of her property? |
| 16 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
| 18 | executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general understanding |
| 19 | of those who would be the natural objects of her bounty? |
| 20 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And at the time she Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general |
| 23 | understanding of the practical effect of this will? |
| 24 | A. I believe she did. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And in your opinion, was Shirley |

| 1 | Bernstein unduly influenced by any beneficiary of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Exhibit 1 in connection with its execution? |
| 3 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any |
| 5 | beneficiary or anyone actively procuring Exhibit 1? |
| 6 | A. No, I do not. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 2, which is |
| 8 | Shirley Bernstein's trust executed on the same date, |
| 9 | that is May 20th of 2008, I'll direct your attention to |
| 10 | page 27 of Exhibit No. 2. And it appears that Shirley |
| 11 | Bernstein executed that document on May 20th of 2008. |
| 12 | And the witnesses were yourself and Traci I can't |
| 13 | read her last name. |
| 14 | A. Traci Kratish. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Did Shirley Bernstein execute |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 2 in the presence of both you and Traci |
| 17 | Kratish? |
| 18 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 2 in |
| 20 | the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Traci Kratish? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And did Traci Kratish execute |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 2 in your presence and Shirley Bernstein's |
| 24 | presence? |
| 25 | A. Yes, she did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
|----|--|
| 2 | executed Exhibit No. 2, which is her 2008 trust, is it |
| 3 | your opinion that she had a general understanding of the |
| 4 | nature and extent of her property? |
| 5 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And at the time that Shirley Bernstein |
| 7 | executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she |
| 8 | understood generally the relationship of those who |
| 9 | would were the natural objects of her bounty? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
| 12 | executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she |
| 13 | generally understood the practical effect of this |
| 14 | document? |
| 15 | A. I believe she did. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And did you have any belief that |
| 17 | Shirley Bernstein was unduly influenced in connection |
| 18 | with by any beneficiary in connection with her |
| 19 | execution of Exhibit No. 2? |
| 20 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And do you know or have any information |
| 22 | about any beneficiary or anyone else actively procuring |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 2? |
| 24 | A. I do not. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And with respect now we'll move on |
| | |

| 1 | to Exhibit No. 3, which is the first amendment of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Shirley Bernstein's trust, executed on November 18th of |
| 3 | 2008. And I'll direct your attention on that Exhibit 3 |
| 4 | to Page No. 2. And on Page No. 2 |
| 5 | Well, let me ask this question. Did Shirley |
| 6 | Bernstein execute Exhibit No. 3 in the presence of both |
| 7 | you and Rachel Walker? |
| 8 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 3 in |
| 10 | the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Rachel Walker? |
| 11 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 12 | Q. And did Rachel Walker execute this document, |
| 13 | Exhibit No. 3, in the presence of Shirley Bernstein and |
| 14 | yourself? |
| 15 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And at the time Exhibit No. 3 was |
| 17 | executed, is it your opinion that Ms. Bernstein |
| 18 | understood generally the nature and extent of her |
| 19 | property? |
| 20 | A. Yes, I believe so. |
| 21 | Q. And is it your opinion that at the time |
| 22 | Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, she generally |
| 23 | understood the relationship of those who would be the |
| 24 | natural objects of her bounty? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I believe so. |
| | |

1 Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, is it your opinion that she 2 3 generally understood the practical effect of this trust amendment? 4 Yes, I believe so. Α. 5 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 6 Ο. 7 information about any beneficiary or any other person unduly influencing Shirley Bernstein to execute 8 Exhibit No. 3? 9 10 Α. I do not. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 11 Ο. information about any person, beneficiary or otherwise, 12 13 actively procuring Exhibit No. 3? I do not. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Moving on to Exhibit No. 4 then, which Ο. is the will of Simon Bernstein, and that is a will that 16 Mr. Bernstein executed on July -- yes, July 25 of 2012. 17 18 And let me direct your attention to page 7 of that will, 19 Exhibit No. 4. And did Simon Bernstein execute this document 20 in the presence of you and Kimberly Moran on July 25, 21 2.2 2012? 23 Α. Yes, he did. And did you execute this document, 24 Q. 25 Exhibit No. 4, as a witness in the presence of Simon

| 1 | Bernstein and Kimberly Moran on that date? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 3 | Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 4 |
| 4 | as a witness in the presence of Simon Bernstein and |
| 5 | yourself? |
| 6 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And on this date or at the time of |
| 8 | execution on this date of July 25, 2012, did Simon |
| 9 | Bernstein understand in a general way the nature and |
| 10 | extent of his property? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. At the time that Exhibit No. 4 was |
| 13 | executed, did Simon Bernstein generally understand the |
| 14 | relationship of those who would be the natural objects |
| 15 | of his bounty? |
| 16 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 17 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 4 was executed, |
| 18 | did in your opinion, did Simon Bernstein understand |
| 19 | the practical effect of this will? |
| 20 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or |
| 22 | information about any person, whether beneficiary or |
| 23 | otherwise, actively procuring this Exhibit No. 4? |
| 24 | A. No, I do not. |
| 25 | Q. Do you have any information about any person, |
| | |

| 1 | beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing Simon |
|----|--|
| 2 | Bernstein to execute Exhibit No. 4? |
| 3 | A. I do not. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And moving on to the last document |
| 5 | then, Exhibit No. 5, which is the Simon Bernstein |
| 6 | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, and I'll direct |
| 7 | your attention to page 24 of that Exhibit No. 5. |
| 8 | On July 25, 2012, did Simon Bernstein execute |
| 9 | this trust agreement in the presence of you and Kimberly |
| 10 | Moran? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. And did you execute this trust, Exhibit No. 5, |
| 13 | as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and Kimberly |
| 14 | Moran? |
| 15 | A. I did. |
| 16 | Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 5 |
| 17 | as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and yourself? |
| 18 | A. She did. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And at the time Simon Bernstein |
| 20 | executed Exhibit No. 5, in your opinion, did he |
| 21 | generally understand the nature and extent of his |
| 22 | property? |
| 23 | A. He did. |
| 24 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, |
| 25 | did Simon Bernstein, in your opinion, generally |
| | |

| 1 | understand the relationship of those who would be the |
|----|---|
| 2 | natural objects of his bounty? |
| 3 | A. He did. |
| 4 | Q. And did Simon Bernstein, when Exhibit No. 5 |
| 5 | was executed, understand generally the practical effect |
| 6 | of this trust agreement? |
| 7 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 8 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, do |
| 9 | you have any knowledge about any person, whether |
| 10 | beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing |
| 11 | Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, to execute this |
| 12 | Exhibit No. 5? |
| 13 | A. Nothing that I'm aware of. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or |
| 15 | information about any person, whether beneficiary or |
| 16 | otherwise, actively procuring Exhibit No. 5? |
| 17 | A. I do not. |
| 18 | MR. MORRISSEY: I have no further questions, |
| 19 | Judge. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 21 | Now, is there any cross? You're not required |
| 22 | to ask any questions, but you just need to let me |
| 23 | know if you're going to. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, are you asking me? I had |
| 25 | no idea. |

| 1 | THE COURT: I'm not asking you. I'm just |
|----|--|
| 2 | telling you, if you have questions for the witness, |
| 3 | this is your opportunity to ask them; if you don't |
| 4 | have any questions, you don't have to ask any. But |
| 5 | if you're going to, you have to start now. |
| 6 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide |
| 9 | some expert testimony, correct, on the |
| 10 | A. No, I was not. |
| 11 | Q. Oh, okay. You're just going based on your |
| 12 | doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley |
| 13 | Bernstein's attorney? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Are you still an attorney today? |
| 16 | A. I am not practicing. |
| 17 | Q. Can you give us the circumstances regarding |
| 18 | that? |
| 19 | A. I withdrew from my firm. |
| 20 | Q. Are you under a consent order with the SEC? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 24 | Q. Did you sign a consent order for insider |
| 25 | trading |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, I did. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q with the SEC? |
| 3 | You did. Can you give us the circumstances of |
| 4 | your consent order? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 6 | THE COURT: That won't be relevant. Please |
| 7 | move on to the next question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Were you did you plead to a felony crime? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant as to |
| 14 | THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, what did you say? |
| 16 | THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. I |
| 17 | sustained the objection no, I sustained the last |
| 18 | objection. This one I'm overruling. |
| 19 | You can answer. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I can't ask him if he's a |
| 21 | felon? |
| 22 | THE COURT: You're asking the wrong guy. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Are |
| 24 | THE COURT: The witness is you asked the |
| 25 | question. |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Are you a convicted felony? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Let's back up a second. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 5 | THE COURT: When you're asking for a ruling, |
| 6 | and I make one, then we're going to have the |
| 7 | witness answer. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I made my ruling. I'm letting the |
| 10 | witness answer your earlier question, unless you're |
| 11 | withdrawing it. Are you withdrawing your earlier |
| 12 | question? |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 14 | THE COURT: You can answer the question, which |
| 15 | is, did you plead to a felony? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, sir. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I have not. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Next question. |
| 19 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 20 | Q. Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor? |
| 21 | A. I have not. |
| 22 | Q. Were you involved in a insider trading case? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that mean he doesn't have |
| | |

| 1 | to answer that? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: How many times have you been in |
| 3 | court? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a few where I've had to |
| 5 | do this. |
| 6 | THE COURT: You know how this works. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I really don't. |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. If I sustain an |
| 9 | objection, that's means he does not answer the |
| 10 | question. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And overruled? |
| 12 | THE COURT: If I overrule an objection, that |
| 13 | means the witness does answer the question. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 15 | THE COURT: And I've asked you to ask your |
| 16 | next question. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Is that your picture on the Florida Law |
| 20 | Review, SEC case settled against Florida attorneys? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | Do you have any questions on the issues that I |
| 24 | have to decide in this case? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, his testimony is based |

| 1 | on his truthfulness. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: My question is, do you have any |
| 3 | questions you want to ask about the issues relevant |
| 4 | to this case? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is relevant to this |
| 6 | case. |
| 7 | THE COURT: I disagree. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I thought I made that very clear |
| 10 | in my ruling. You probably want to move on to a |
| 11 | relevant issue. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with |
| 15 | the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the |
| 16 | Bernstein matters? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 19 | You can answer that. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. And did you state to them that you |
| 23 | fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then |
| 24 | sent it through the mail to Christine Yates? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Have you been charged with that by the Palm |
|----|---|
| 2 | Beach County Sheriff yet? |
| 3 | A. No, I have not. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. How many times were you interviewed by |
| 5 | the Palm Beach County Sheriff? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to |
| 10 | Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's |
| 11 | minor children? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. And when did you acknowledge that to the |
| 17 | courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came |
| 18 | about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud? |
| 19 | A. I don't know that I did do that. |
| 20 | Q. Well, you just said you went to the Palm Beach |
| 21 | County Sheriff and admitted altering a document and put |
| 22 | it in the mail. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Let me stop you there. If you |
| 24 | want to ask the witness questions, you're permitted |
| 25 | to do that. If you would like to argue with the |
| | |

| 1 | witness, that's not do you have any questions |
|----|--|
| 2 | you want to ask? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli |
| 6 | Bernstein's minor children's counsel. |
| 7 | Can you tell us what that document did to |
| 8 | affect the dispositive Shirley trust document? |
| 9 | A. It has no effect. |
| 10 | Q. What was its intended effect of altering the |
| 11 | document? |
| 12 | A. To carry out your father's wishes in the |
| 13 | agreement that he had made with the five of you for a |
| 14 | layperson that would be reading the documents. |
| 15 | Q. You were carrying out his wishes by |
| 16 | fraudulently altering a document? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 19 | That's argumentative. I don't want you to |
| 20 | argue with the witness. That's an argument. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change |
| 24 | the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust? |
| 25 | A. They did not. |

| 1 | Q. Who are the beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. It depends on under the trust instrument, |
| 3 | in the absence of Si exercising his power of |
| 4 | appointment, it would be yourself and your two sisters, |
| 5 | Lisa and Jill. |
| 6 | Q. Oh. So the only beneficiaries in Shirley's |
| 7 | trust are me, Lisa and Jill. |
| 8 | Is that directly or through a family trust? |
| 9 | A. Your father had established your parents |
| 10 | had established family trusts for the three of you to |
| 11 | receive assets from the trust. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. So in that document that you sent to |
| 13 | Christine Yates, did you include Ted and Pam's lineal |
| 14 | descendants under the amendment that you fraudulently |
| 15 | drafted and sent to her? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Did in any way the document that you |
| 20 | fraudulently altered and sent to Yates change the |
| 21 | beneficiaries from Eliot, Lisa and Jill and their lineal |
| 22 | descendants to anybody else? |
| 23 | THE COURT: May I ask a question? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 25 | THE COURT: This document that you're |
| | |

| 1 | referring to, is anybody asking me to probate that |
|----|--|
| 2 | document? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's part of the estate |
| 4 | plan. It's part |
| 5 | THE COURT: Is anybody seeking relief, either |
| 6 | you or the other side, under that document? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. They're seeking to |
| 8 | change the beneficiaries of my mom's trust through |
| 9 | that document and others. |
| 10 | THE COURT: You're misperceiving my question. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Sorry. |
| 12 | THE COURT: That document, which |
| 13 | is nobody's put it in evidence; I don't know |
| 14 | what it is, but it's that thing that you're |
| 15 | asking the witness about, is somebody seeking |
| 16 | relief based upon that document? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Absolutely not. The opposite. |
| 18 | THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking relief |
| 19 | based upon that document? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Oh, absolutely. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. Are you claiming that |
| 22 | that document is subject to probate? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Is the lady who's giving you |
| 25 | advice your attorney? |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Ma'am, are you admitted to the bar |
| 3 | in Florida? Remember what I told you earlier. |
| 4 | I've let you sit there as a courtesy. Generally, I |
| 5 | don't let wives or friends or anybody else sit at |
| 6 | the table where the parties are because it confuses |
| 7 | me. But you're giving that guy advice and you're |
| 8 | also not listening to me, which I find odd, because |
| 9 | I'm going to have you move you back to the gallery |
| 10 | now. Please have a seat in the gallery. Please |
| 11 | have a seat in the gallery. Please have a seat in |
| 12 | the gallery. Soon. When courtesy is not returned, |
| 13 | courtesy is withdrawn. Please have a seat in the |
| 14 | gallery. Thank you. |
| 15 | Do you have any other questions of the |
| 16 | witness? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit this as evidence |
| 18 | to the Court? |
| 19 | THE COURT: Is that the document you've been |
| 20 | asking the witness about? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection to it |
| 23 | being received as an exhibit? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: I don't have any objection to it |
| 25 | being received as an exhibit. But as Your Honor |
| | |

| 1 | noted, we aren't seeking to probate it, and we're |
|----|---|
| 2 | not suggesting it's valid in the first place. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. Well, let me see what |
| 4 | that document is, so then I'll see if I can make |
| 5 | some sense out of it. |
| 6 | You can't Gary's always afraid that if |
| 7 | somebody's not a member of the bar, they might do |
| 8 | something bad to me. Officers of the court aren't |
| 9 | allowed to do things bad to the judge. Other folks |
| 10 | don't know that. And so Gary watches out carefully |
| 11 | for my well-being. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. So this is a document |
| 14 | that's titled "First Amendment to Shirley Bernstein |
| 15 | Trust Agreement." |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And it's in the book that I've |
| 18 | been given earlier by the plaintiff as Tab 6. |
| 19 | You're seeking to put it into evidence as |
| 20 | Defendant's 1? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Right? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Yes, sir. |
| 24 | THE COURT: You're offering it as an exhibit? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Evidence 1. |

| 1 | THE COURT: The objection to it is that it's |
|----|---|
| 2 | not relevant? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Not relevant. Right, relevance. |
| 4 | And it's also not something we're seeking to be |
| 5 | probated or treated as authentic and genuine. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, the other side is seeking to |
| 7 | use the terms of this document instead of the terms |
| 8 | of the amendment that's in evidence, right? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I don't believe that's what he's |
| 10 | doing. |
| 11 | THE COURT: I'm not sure what he's doing, but |
| 12 | in an abundance of caution, I'm going to receive it |
| 13 | for what relevance it might have. I don't perceive |
| 14 | any yet, but we'll see what happens. |
| 15 | So this is Defendant 1. |
| 16 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 was received into |
| 17 | evidence.) |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any other questions of the |
| 19 | witness? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. You've testified here about Kimberly Moran. |
| 23 | Can you describe your relationship with her? |
| 24 | A. She's been our long-time assistant in the |
| 25 | office. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Was she convicted of felony fraudulent |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarization in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 5 | You're asking if she was convicted of a felony |
| 6 | with respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 7 | You can answer the question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I believe she was. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. And what was she convicted for? |
| 12 | A. She had notarized the waiver releases of |
| 13 | accounting that you and your siblings had previously |
| 14 | provided, and we filed those with the court. |
| 15 | Q. We filed those with the court. |
| 16 | Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents |
| 17 | to the court? |
| 18 | A. No. We filed we filed your original |
| 19 | documents with the court that were not notarized, and |
| 20 | the court had sent them back. |
| 21 | Q. And then what happened? |
| 22 | A. And then Kimberly forged the signatures and |
| 23 | notarized those signatures and sent them back. |
| 24 | Judge Colon has a rule in his court to have |
| 25 | those documents notarized, even though that's not the |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | requirement under the Florida Probate Code. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. So when you didn't follow the rule, you |
| 3 | frauded [sic] and forged the document? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with that. |
| 7 | THE COURT: You've got to stop a second. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 9 | THE COURT: If you continue to argue with the |
| 10 | witness, then I'll assume you don't have any more |
| 11 | questions. I sustained that last objection to |
| 12 | argumentative. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm a little confused |
| 14 | THE COURT: I'm sorry about your confusion, |
| 15 | but there are ways you could have dealt with that |
| 16 | before this trial. If you are confused during the |
| 17 | trial, you better get unconfused as quickly as you |
| 18 | can because bad things will happen. And I don't |
| 19 | want bad things to happen. I want to get the facts |
| 20 | so that I can accurately decide the case on its |
| 21 | merits. |
| 22 | Stop arguing, ask questions, let the witness |
| 23 | answer, and listen to any rulings that I make on |
| 24 | the objections. That's the last time I'll repeat |
| 25 | that advice to you. Thank you. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. What law firm submitted those documents to the |
| 3 | court? |
| 4 | A. Tescher & Spallina, P.A. |
| 5 | Q. Are you a partner in that firm? |
| 6 | A. I was. |
| 7 | Q. So your firm that you were a partner with sent |
| 8 | in documents that were fraudulent to the court? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Did Tescher & Spallina law firm submit |
| 13 | Kimberly Moran's forged and fraudulent document waivers |
| 14 | to the court? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: He already said he did. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What is that? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Cumulative means you've already |
| 19 | had that answer given. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I didn't have that. |
| 21 | THE COURT: He's already said that he did. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking if they deposited |
| 23 | them with the court. |
| 24 | THE COURT: And he said they didn't. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I asked him, and he |
| | |

| 1 | said | |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | | THE COURT: I won't argue with you. Do you |
| 3 | want | to go on to the next item or not? |
| 4 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay, I do. |
| 5 | | THE COURT: Okay. Next question, please. |
| 6 | BY MR. BEI | RNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. | Did your office did you submit documents to |
| 8 | close the | estate of Shirley with Simon as the personal |
| 9 | representa | ative at a time Simon was dead? |
| 10 | Α. | We did. |
| 11 | Q. | You did? Excuse me? I didn't hear an answer. |
| 12 | A. | I said yes. |
| 13 | Q. | So Shirley's estate was closed by a dead |
| 14 | personal 1 | representative. |
| 15 | | Can you give me the time that the estate was |
| 16 | closed by | Simon while he was dead? |
| 17 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 19 | | You can answer. |
| 20 | | THE WITNESS: I believe it was October, |
| 21 | Nover | nber 2012. |
| 22 | BY MR. BEI | RNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. | Do you want to check your records on that? |
| 24 | A. | I believe it was after his death. I know he |
| 25 | died Septe | ember 13, 2012. And we had received late from |
| | | |

| 1 | one of your sisters the signed waiver. So it was |
|----|--|
| 2 | probably in November, somewhere around there. |
| 3 | Q. You stated that Simon that Kimberly did |
| 4 | five waivers for the siblings that she sent back in |
| 5 | fraudulently to the court through your law firm. |
| 6 | Did she also do a fraudulent forged signature |
| 7 | of a waiver for Simon? |
| 8 | A. I'm not sure. I guess if you're saying she |
| 9 | did |
| 10 | Q. Well, the court has on file a waiver of |
| 11 | Simon's that she's admitted to. |
| 12 | A. We filed all of the waivers originally with |
| 13 | the court all signed by the appropriate parties, and the |
| 14 | court kicked those back. And she forged and notarized |
| 15 | new documents and sent them to the court. She felt she |
| 16 | had made a mistake. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Are you aware of an April 9th full |
| 18 | waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you? |
| 19 | A. Yeah. That was the waiver that he had signed. |
| 20 | And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of |
| 21 | you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the |
| 22 | accountings. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. And in that April 9th full waiver you |
| 24 | used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that |
| 25 | he has all the waivers from all of the parties? |

| 1 | A. He does. We sent out he signed that, and |
|----|---|
| 2 | we sent out the waivers to all of you. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed, |
| 4 | with your presence, because your signature's on the |
| 5 | document, a document stating he had all the waivers in |
| 6 | his possession from all of his children. |
| 7 | Had you sent the waivers out yet as of |
| 8 | April 9th? |
| 9 | THE COURT: What is it that you want the |
| 10 | witness to answer? There was several questions. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, compounded a little bit? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 14 | THE COURT: So you even |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back. |
| 16 | THE COURT: So you even know the lingo of the |
| 17 | objections. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back to one at |
| 19 | a time, because it's an important point. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver |
| 22 | of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of |
| 23 | the signed waivers of all of the parties? |
| 24 | A. Standard operating procedure, to have him |
| 25 | sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids. |

1 Q. Was Simon in possession -- because it's a sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of 2 3 these waivers of my children on today, April 9th, correct, the day you two signed that? 4 Okay. So if you hadn't sent out the waivers 5 yet to the --6 7 Α. I'm not certain when the waivers were sent out. 8 Were they sent out after the --9 Q. 10 I did not send them out. Α. Okay. More importantly, when did you receive 11 Q. 12 those? Was it before April 9th or on April 9th? Α. We didn't receive the first one until May. 13 14 And it was your waiver that we received. 15 So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney, Ο. to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of 16 all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til 17 18 May? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's relevance 20 and cumulative. He's already answered. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 21 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, this is very relevant. THE COURT: What is the relevance on the issue 23 that I have to rule on today? 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: On the validity? Well, it's

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | relevant. If any of these documents are relevant, |
|----|--|
| 2 | this is important if it's a fraud. |
| 3 | THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I okay. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. When did you get did you get back prior to |
| 7 | Simon's death all the waivers from all the children? |
| 8 | A. No, we did not. |
| 9 | Q. So in Simon's April 9th document where he |
| 10 | says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from |
| 11 | his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get |
| 12 | one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how |
| 13 | could that be a true statement? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Cumulative. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 16 | Here's what I'm going to decide at the end of |
| 17 | the day; I'm going to decide whether Shirley's 2008 |
| 18 | will and trust and 2008 amendment are valid and |
| 19 | enforceable. I'm going to decide whether Simon's |
| 20 | 2012 will and 2012 trust documents are valid and |
| 21 | enforceable. You have a lot more on your mind than |
| 22 | I have on mine. You do. Right? But those are the |
| 23 | things that I'm working on. So I'm focused like a |
| 24 | laser and you're focused more like a shotgun. I'm |
| 25 | telling you this so that you can focus more tightly |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | on the questions you're asking and the facts you're |
|----|---|
| 2 | developing so they'll help me make an accurate |
| 3 | decision on those things that I'm going to decide |
| 4 | today. You can keep asking questions that don't go |
| 5 | anywhere, but I would hope that you'll adjust your |
| 6 | approach so that you'll help me make an accurate |
| 7 | decision. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. And on validity, let's just get right to that |
| 11 | real quick. You've testified to a lot of documents here |
| 12 | today, correct, of the estate documents you drafted, |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 15 | Q. Did you gain any pecuniary interest, did you |
| 16 | gain any titles in those documents? |
| 17 | A. Pecuniary interest? No. I was named by your |
| 18 | father as personal representative and trustee of his |
| 19 | trust. |
| 20 | Q. And so you executed you drafted the |
| 21 | documents, you signed them as a witness, and you gained |
| 22 | interest in the documents, correct? |
| 23 | A. No, I did not. |
| 24 | Q. You didn't gain interest as a trustee |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 Γ

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q or a personal representative of those |
| 3 | documents? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked and |
| 5 | answered. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: I was named as his personal |
| 8 | representative and trustee, along with my partner. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Did you witness the document? |
| 11 | A. I did. |
| 12 | Q. Did you draft the document? |
| 13 | A. I did. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. You mentioned there was Kimberly Moran |
| 15 | there at the signing of these documents, correct? |
| 16 | A. She was. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Can you point her out, because I'm |
| 18 | going to need her to testify as to the validity? |
| 19 | A. I do not see her in the courtroom. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You mentioned a Traci Kratish. Can you |
| 21 | point her out in the courtroom today to validate the |
| 22 | documents? |
| 23 | A. I don't see Traci in the room either. |
| 24 | Q. So she was another witness that is not here |
| 25 | present to validate the documents today? Well, it's |

awful -- okay. 1 Is Kimberly Moran here who notarized the 2 3 documents. MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked that 4 a minute ago. 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't -- did I? Was it 6 7 Moran --THE COURT: No, I thought it was some other 8 9 name. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: So did I. 11 THE COURT: Is Kimberly here? THE WITNESS: She's not. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Next question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Q. Okay. Being a former estate planning attorney. To validate a document, wouldn't you have the 16 parties who witnessed and notarized and signed present? 17 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 18 19 Misstates --THE COURT: Sustained. 20 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2.2 Q. Is it necessary to validate documents with the 23 necessary notaries and witnesses present? 24 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 25 conclusion.

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, I'm the one that's going |
|----|---|
| 2 | make that decision. I don't care what the witness |
| 3 | says about the law. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I gotcha. Okay. |
| 5 | THE COURT: So this would be a good time for |
| 6 | us to take a pause. We're not making headway. |
| 7 | You ever here of cavitation when it comes to |
| 8 | boat propellers? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't know a lot about |
| 11 | the physics of it, but a boat goes forward based on |
| 12 | a propeller spinning in the water. And it happens |
| 13 | sometimes in racing boats, maybe other boats too, |
| 14 | that you get the propeller going so fast or you do |
| 15 | something so much with the propeller that it |
| 16 | cavitates, which means that it's not actually |
| 17 | pushing in the water. It's making a lot of noise. |
| 18 | It's spinning like crazy. It's furiously working, |
| 19 | but it's not propelling the boat forward. I want |
| 20 | to suggest to you that you've hit a point of |
| 21 | cavitation. So this would be a good time for us to |
| 22 | take our lunch break so that when we get back we'll |
| 23 | go forward with this ship that is our trial. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: How long? |
| 25 | THE COURT: It'll be until 1:30. |

Γ

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: That'll give everybody a time to |
| 3 | revive, if necessary, and we'll reconstitute |
| 4 | ourselves at 1:30. Thanks. |
| 5 | (A break was taken.) |
| 6 | (Proceedings continued in Volume 2.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional |
| 8 | Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was |
| 9 | authorized to and did stenographically report the |
| 10 | foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true |
| 11 | and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 12 | Dated this 4th day of January 2016. |
| 13 | Cheville Diline |
| 14 | A think the may |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 17 | Job #1358198-VOL 1 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| | December | 137 2013 | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | 71:5 | 46:13,18 | 40B 16:11 |
| \$ | 16 65:22,24 66:17,21,22 | 51:15,21 53:1 57:17 59:1,2 | 40C 17:1 |
| \$1.2 49:25 | 71:6 | 62:7 63:23 | 5 |
| \$100 81:12 | 16th 12:15 | 64:17 67:18,24 | |
| \$100,000 48:7 | 17 62:6 73:3, | 73:25 74:16 | 5 20:17,18 23:6 |
| \$18 23:11 46:12 | 13,21,22 | 81:16 87:17,22 88:8 89:8 | 51:20 71:22 |
| \$2 54:6 | 18 23:13 25:16, | 106:21,25 | 72:6,11,12 |
| \$200,000 32:2,9 | 17 47:16 74:3, | 108:3,21 | 89:5,7,12,16, |
| + | 4,8,9 | 110:20 | 20,24 90:4,8, |
| | 18th 40:15 86:2 | 20th 82:19 | 12,16 |
| 0 | 19 12:25 25:17 26:14 | 83:12 84:9,11 | 500,000 47:24 48:1 55:16 |
| 0 55:13 | 1st 59:1 | 24 89:7 | 40:1 55:10 |
| 07 23:12 | ISC 59:1 | 24th 63:23 | |
| 08 24:3,5 | | 65:16 | 6 |
| ,- | 2 | 25 20:15 21:17, | 6 76:25 77:1,5 |
| 1 | 2 35:9 36:11 | 19 67:18 | 101:18 |
| <u> </u> | 39:11 51:6 | 87:17,21 88:8 | 60 58:11 |
| 1 15:23 34:3,6, | 55:14 61:12, | 89:8 250 55:14 | 600 48:13,14 |
| 10,15,16 39:10 | 14,21 62:2,3 | | 600,000 55:18 |
| 54:12 61:14,20 | 84:7,10,16,19, | 25th 67:24 | |
| 62:2 82:14,17 | 23 85:2,7,12, | 26th 15:5 73:7 | |
| 83:11,18,22 | 19,23 86:4 | 27 36:8 84:10 | 7 |
| 84:2,5 101:20, | 20 24:9 | | 7 19:11,20,25 |
| 25 102:15,16 | 2000 17:21 | 3 | 20:1 73:15 |
| 1,150,000 49:2 | 18:17 20:4,14 | | 82:17 87:18 |
| 1,550,000 49:12 | 21:8,24 30:11 | 3 38:20 39:1,6, | 75 54:14 |
| 10 13:9,10,22 14:3,4 22:3 | 2002 37:19 | 7,11 51:7 61:14,22 62:2 | 750,000 49:10 |
| 58:9 75:16 | 2007 11:25 | 86:1,3,6,9,13, | |
| 100 48:14 | 12:15 22:4 | 16,22 87:2,9, | |
| 100,000 48:18 | 25:25 46:11 47:16 49:23 | 13 | 8 |
| 11 26:12,21 | 51:18 53:1 | 31 24:3 | 800,000 51:9 |
| 27:1,2,5,11 | 73:2 81:15 | 33 23:6 33:22 | 8th 42:23 |
| 11/14/07 14:13 | 2008 8:25 9:1, | 365,000 52:13 | |
| 11/14/2007 15:3 | 2,5,6,21,25 | | 9 |
| 11/16 15:6 | 10:4,5 24:9,11 | 4 | |
| 12 22:9,12 24:5 | 25:25 26:15 | | 9 12:11,12,20 |
| 12/19 23:23 | 33:3,14 34:1 35:12 37:1,17, | 4 67:19,20,22 | 13:1 |
| 12/19/07 23:22, | 20 38:24 | 68:2 70:3,8,9, | 90 58:11 |
| 24 | 40:15,16 41:5 | 15 71:9,10 | 9th 32:11,17 |
| 13 23:5 45:18, | 49:22,23 61:12 | 87:15,19,25 | 107:17,23 |
| 22 46:2,3,19 | 64:21 82:20 | 88:3,12,17,23 89:2 | 108:3,8,21 |
| 53:22 59:1 | 83:12 84:9,11 | 40 17:16 18:3 | 109:3,12 |
| 73:25 106:25 | 85:2 86:3 | 40 17:16 18:3 | 110:9,10 |
| 14 60:24 61:3, | 110:17,18 | 40- 01:12 40A 15:22 17:7, | |
| 7,8 14-1- 22.4 22.12 | 2010 42:22 | 40A 15:22 17:7, 12 | А |
| 14th 22:4 23:12 | 43:17 58:25 | 40A-F 17:13 | -h |
| 15 17:21 63:18 64:5,10,11 | 2012 9:17,18 | | absence 98:3 |
| | 45:15,21 | | |
| | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| | December | 19, 2019 | 2 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| absolutely | affidavit 70:21 | 60:24 84:10 | assume 51:8 |
| 99:17,20 | affirmed 10:24 | appoint 20:23 | 104:10 |
| abundance | afraid 101:6 | 30:2,13 77:15 | assuming 28:9 |
| 102:12 | afternoon 82:7 | appointment | attached 62:13 |
| access 43:25 | aggressive 7:19 | 20:21 21:1,4, | attack 78:16 |
| accommodate | agree 9:24 10:5 | 15 29:19 | attend 59:5 |
| 37:10 | agreement 9:18 | 31:12,15 33:6, | attention 82:16 |
| accompany 40:25 | 29:10,22 35:11 | 12,20 55:4 | 84:9 86:3 |
| account 23:2 | 38:2 61:13,22, | 65:7 98:4 | 87:18 89:7 |
| 57:4 | 24 64:16 65:8 | approach 11:2 | attorney 12:2 |
| accounting | 71:24 89:6,9 | 12:11 15:21 | 24:16 27:8 |
| 60:21 62:14 | 90:6 97:13 | 67:19 111:6 | 45:1,4 51:14 |
| 103:13 | 101:15 | approaches 11:3 | 65:23 67:15,25 |
| accountings | agreements | approval 59:24 | 71:6 81:8 |
| 107:22 | 35:20 | approved 53:16 | 91:12,13,15 |
| accurate 19:19 | Alan 5:6 | approximately | 96:10 99:25 |
| 76:22 111:2,6 | Alexandra 8:15 | 45:13 | 109:15 |
| accurately | alive 35:7 | April 26:14 | attorney-client |
| 104:20 | 43:25 44:5,8 | 29:1 32:11,17 | 38:9 |
| acknowledge | 52:10 63:10 | 37:2 107:17,23 | attorneys 81:11 |
| 96:16 | 75:3 110:11 | 108:3,8,21 | 94:20 |
| acknowledged | allegedly | 109:3,12,17 | August 17:21 |
| 96:18 | 107:18 | 110:9,10 | authentic 8:22 |
| acting 73:1 | allowed 101:9 | area 37:4 | 9:16 26:18 |
| action 8:24 | altered 78:12 | argue 96:25 | 102:5 |
| actively 84:5 | 95:23 97:23 | 97:20 104:9 106:2 | authorization |
| 85:22 87:13 | 98:20 | arguing 104:22 | 63:11 |
| 88:23 90:16 | altering 46:7 | argument 92:14, | authorized 45:3 |
| actual 35:15 | 96:21 97:10,16 | 16 97:20 | avoid 29:15,18 |
| add 23:10 | amend 38:1 | argumentative | aware 39:24 40:24 50:10,18 |
| addition 41:5 | amended 8:24 | 97:19 98:16 | 55:20 56:5 |
| 65:6 | 9:18 38:4,5 | 104:4,12 | 72:25 75:8 |
| address 5:23 | 64:15,23 71:23 | 106:17 | 79:6 81:13 |
| addressing | 75:1 89:6 | arranged 40:5 | 90:13 107:17 |
| 60:25 | amendment 9:2, 4,5,7 39:18 | arrangements | |
| adjust 11:8 | 40:2,14,17 | 80:10,12,20,24 | R |
| 111:5 | 61:23 86:1 | arrows 19:17 | B |
| administration | 87:4 98:14 | assert 70:17 | back 8:1 15:4 |
| 44:4,8 46:18 74:18 | 101:14 102:8 | asset 25:10 | 40:13 42:2 |
| admission 11:11 | 110:18 | 42:7 50:4 | 47:11 49:22 |
| admission 11:11 admitted 24:8 | amount 20:7 | 74:19 | 60:21,22 79:15 |
| 80:13 96:21 | 55:11 56:6 | assets 18:9,11 | 93:3 100:9 |
| 100:2 107:11 | ancillary 16:23 | 29:16,17 30:2 | 103:20,23 |
| adult 8:14 82:8 | Andrews 53:17 | 31:13 36:4 | 107:4,14,21 |
| advance 15:9,13 | answering 76:7 | 43:11 48:20 | 108:15,18 |
| advince 56:23 | appearance 8:11 | 49:18 50:1 54:22 55:2 5 | 110:6 |
| 57:7 99:25 | appears 18:12 | 54:22 55:2,5 64:24 78:23 | bad 101:8,9 |
| 100:7 104:25 | 20:12 23:3 | 81:13 98:11 | 104:18,19 balance 21:18 |
| affect 97:8 | 25:17 26:20 | assistant 40:11 | 33:23 |
| | 27:15 36:8 | 66:14 102:24 | 55.25 |
| | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2

| | December | 15, 2015 | د |
|---|--|--|---|
| <pre>Banks 82:22 Banks' 83:8 bar 100:2 101:7 based 25:14 60:2 91:11 94:25 99:16,19 basically 31:3 78:1 Bates 13:20 16:17 Baxley 66:8,11, 12 67:8 Beach 69:25 74:19 95:15 96:2,5,20 bear 16:17 65:25 68:6 bears 15:22 24:9 70:15 begin 78:16 beginning 5:11 begins 53:22 behalf 5:6 6:1 8:13 belabor 21:15 belief 37:20 69:24 77:13 85:16 belong 19:18 beneficiaries 18:4 20:8 33:12,16 46:25 57:14 75:15 77:11 97:24 98:1,6,21 99:8 beneficiary 28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 89:1 90.10 15</pre> | $ \begin{array}{c} 6:6,8,11,14, \\ 17,20,24 7:3, \\ 7,10,13,16,17 \\ 8:15,16 9:1,2, \\ 17 10:4,7,9, \\ 11,14 11:15, \\ 20,24 12:8,17, \\ 18 13:5 14:19, \\ 22 16:2,8,13, \\ 21 20:15 23:16 \\ 24:9,12,22 \\ 25:1,15 27:13 \\ 28:18 29:10 \\ 30:23 31:9 \\ 36:11,13 41:15 \\ 43:2 45:10 \\ 46:18 49:4,6,8 \\ 52:8 56:8 \\ 60:25 61:18, \\ 21,22,23,25 \\ 62:24 63:12,19 \\ 64:1 65:25 \\ 68:15,17,21,25 \\ 69:5,20 70:7, \\ 16 71:23 73:4 \\ 74:13 75:8 \\ 77:3,8 78:16, \\ 25 79:4,9,12 \\ 80:9,14,25 \\ 81:14,21 82:9, \\ 15,19 83:7,11, \\ 14,17,22 84:1, \\ 11,15,20 85:1, \\ 6,11,17 86:6, \\ 10,13,17,22 \\ 87:1,8,16,17, \\ 20 88:1,4,9, \\ 13,18 89:2,5, \\ 8,13,17,19,25 \\ 90:4,11,24 \\ 91:7,23 92:8, \\ 9,13,15,20,23 \\ 93:1,4,8,13, \\ 16,19,25 94:4, \\ 7,11,14,17,18, \\ 25 95:5,8,12, \\ \end{array} $ | <pre>103:2,6,8,10 104:8,13 105:1,11,17, 20,22,25 106:4,6,22 108:11,13,15, 18,20 109:22, 25 110:4,5 111:8,9 Bernstein's 17:5 22:14 61:12 82:24 84:8,23 86:2 91:12,13 96:10 97:6 Bill 31:22 51:13 bit 108:11 blank 71:2 block 67:1 70:23 blogging 78:17 book 11:5,6,14 101:17 bottom 14:10 53:21 55:13 bought 50:19 56:4 bounty 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:15 90:2 box 67:3 70:25 break 58:8,19 briefly 41:8 bringing 40:23 brokerage 23:2 brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1, 3 27:16 31:21</pre> | 22,25 63:1,5, 14 65:13 69:16 called 40:3,5 45:3,15 52:7 56:16 75:25 91:8 calls 62:23 Candice 5:19 24:12,22 care 8:6 16:24 27:9 55:6 80:4 carefully 101:10 carry 77:7 97:12 carrying 97:15 case 5:3 10:15 24:8 50:17 93:22 94:20,24 95:4,6 104:20 cash 47:11 causing 63:7 caution 102:12 CD 47:9 certificate 74:3,12 chain 69:5 change 21:11,25 30:14 40:4 45:11 55:8 56:23 57:20,24 97:23 98:20 99:8 changed 78:12 changing 54:21 56:14,17 charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4 child 19:17 |
| 28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 | 8,13,17,19,25 90:4,11,24 91:7,23 92:8, 9,13,15,20,23 93:1,4,8,13, 16,19,25 94:4, 7,11,14,17,18, | <pre>brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1,</pre> | <pre>charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4</pre> |
| | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 41:2 44:7 45:7 | 51:11,16 76:1, | convicted 93:2 | 24:15,23 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 46:24 55:6 | 3 | 103:1,5,11 | 26:23,25 29:18 |
| 57:13 58:2,5 | company's 13:19 | copies 15:16 | 34:12,14,24 |
| 59:5,20,23 | complaint 8:17, | 35:13,15 76:16 | 35:6 39:3,5 |
| 60:8 77:9,25 | 24 75:19 | | 41:18 42:1 |
| 81:6 96:11 | | copy 16:4 26:18 | 45:24 46:1 |
| | compounded | 34:5,8,19 45:2 | |
| 107:21 108:6 | 108:11 | 57:9 68:6,12, | 49:5,7 53:15 |
| 109:3 110:7, | Concepts 51:12 | 14 69:16,17 | 56:9 58:7,15, |
| 11,12 | concerned 42:7 | 73:4 76:22 | 20 61:4,6,17, |
| children's 27:7 | | correct 20:16 | 19 62:1 64:7,9 |
| 97:6 | conditions | 21:2,7,10 | 66:18,20 68:9, |
| Christine 95:24 | 20:23 | | 16,19,23 69:2, |
| 96:10 98:13 | condo 23:4 | 27:9,14 28:11, | 8,22,25 70:5 |
| | 51:2,6 78:24 | 14 29:13 31:7, | 72:8,10 73:15, |
| chronological | conduct 7:20 | 25 32:4,8,12 | |
| 14:8,9 | | 33:5,7,24 | 17,20 74:5,7 |
| circumstances | conference 37:4 | 34:21 35:4 | 77:5 79:2,6, |
| 77:6 91:17 | 58:4 59:3,6,19 | 36:8 40:10 | 10,14,18,24 |
| 92:3 | 60:6 62:9,11, | 43:4,9,17 | 80:4 81:1,19, |
| claim 10:1 | 25 63:1 65:13 | 44:18 47:15, | 24 90:20 91:1, |
| 47:10 80:22 | conferences | 19,22 48:8,16, | 22 92:6,12,14, |
| | 72:18 | 25 49:3,11 | 16,22,24 93:3, |
| claiming 10:4 | confidential | 51:17 52:14 | 5,9,14,18,24 |
| 99:21 | 29:17 | | 94:2,3,6,8,12, |
| clarify 69:6 | | 53:14,18 54:8 | 15,22 95:2,7, |
| clear 95:9 | conform 69:17 | 57:18,24 62:7 | 9,18 96:7,13, |
| clerk 68:12 | conformed 34:8, | 65:1 66:7 | 23 97:18 |
| | 19 68:14 69:16 | 70:22 71:3,17, | 98:17,23,25 |
| clerk's 68:6, | 73:5 | 21 73:9 75:6, | |
| 10,11 70:1 | confused 17:25 | 13 77:4 91:9 | 99:5,10,12,18, |
| client 15:4 | 104:13,16 | 101:16 103:8 | 21,24 100:2, |
| 25:9 26:15 | confuses 100:6 | 109:4 111:12, | 18,19,22 |
| 29:15 35:2,5 | | 13,22 | 101:3,8,13,17, |
| 39:15 69:13,15 | confusion | counsel 5:17 | 22,24 102:1,6, |
| clients 12:16, | 104:14 | 6:2,24 10:12 | 11,18 103:4, |
| 18 13:15,17 | connection 84:2 | 69:19 78:8 | 14,15,17,19, |
| | 85:17,18 | | 20,24 104:5,7, |
| 53:5,10 | consent 62:16 | 97:6 | 9,14 105:3,8, |
| close 46:24 | 91:20,24 92:4 | count 8:23 9:15 | 10,14,16,18, |
| 60:19 73:3 | consistent | County 69:25 | 21,23,24 |
| 106:8 107:24 | 24:10 30:7 | 95:15 96:2,5, | 106:2,5,18 |
| closed 46:20,21 | | 21 | 107:5,10,13, |
| 106:13,16 | contact 60:6 | couple 25:7 | 14,15 108:9, |
| closer 48:14 | contents 75:12 | 72:21 79:21 | |
| Code 104:1 | continuance | | 12,14,16 |
| | 7:6,24 | court 5:3,8,12, | 109:21,23 |
| codicils 17:4 | continue 57:1 | 16,20,24 6:5, | 110:3,15 |
| collateralized | 58:21 104:9 | 7,10,13,15,18, | courteous 7:18 |
| 50:1 | | 21 7:1,5,9,12, | courtesy 24:18 |
| Colon 103:24 | control 39:15, | 15,17 8:9,12, | 100:4,12,13 |
| column 51:3 | 16 | 18 9:4,6,24 | courthouse |
| committed 96:18 | controlled | 10:3,8,10,13, | 34:25 78:3 |
| communication | 52:2,9 | 16 11:3,6,9, | courts 78:22 |
| 58:2 | convenience | 12,13 12:22,24 | 96:17 |
| | 40:8 | 13:24 14:2 | |
| company 23:7 | conversation | 17:9,11,19,22, | crash 53:4 |
| 41:12 48:10 | 6:21 | 24 19:22,24 | |
| | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 |

4

created 26:19 16 30:1 33:18 **Diana** 82:22,24 **divided** 64:24 32:15 35:17, 43:22 50:16 83:8 docket 8:3 19,23 41:11,17 54:16 57:5 **die** 30:14 47:1 document 12:13 60:3 77:12,22 64:24 74:3,12 **died** 28:5 21:13 22:16 75:7 106:24 **crime** 92:10 24:7 26:19 31:11,14 33:3 110:7 **cross** 81:20 42:20 50:8 28:25 29:12 **debacle** 47:10 82:5 90:21 58:24 69:18 31:14 34:8,23 91:6 **Deborah** 32:7 74:16 80:22 35:10,13,18 81:6,7 106:25 38:6,17,21,23 **December** 42:21 cross-39:22,24 40:6 examination 58:24 **direct** 7:19 11:16 58:1 63:4 68:13 82:1 **decide** 8:9,20, 69:6,25 77:1, 81:25 82:15 cumulative 21 45:10 94:24 2,12,14,20 84:9 86:3 105:9,15,18 104:20 110:16, 78:10,18 87:18 89:6 109:20 110:14 17,19 111:3 82:16,18,25 directed 16:2 decided 57:7 current 46:9 83:5,8,14 direction 38:12 custody 69:5 decision 44:12 84:11 85:14 41:17 57:23 111:3,7 **cut** 20:12 77:25 86:12 87:20,24 directly deduction 43:24 98:8 89:4 95:23 51:8 disagree 10:7 96:9,21 97:5, D 95:7 defamation 79:4 7,8,11,16,23 discharge **default** 33:12, Dad 60:16 98:12,19,25 62:14,15,16 16 99:2,6,9,12, **Daily** 74:19 disclose 16:20 Defendant 16,19,22 **date** 14:12 15:1 disclosed 46:12 102:15 100:19 101:4, 17:19,22 23:21 81:14 defendant's 13 102:7 104:3 24:9 40:14 **discuss** 22:22 105:13 108:5 101:20 102:16 63:22 67:17 110:9 25:19 39:14,17 defendants 8:14 84:8 88:1,7,8 46:6 48:22 documents 8:22, **denied** 7:6,24 **dated** 12:15 54:22 60:18 25 9:11,13,14, 15:4 26:14 depending 19,23 13:8 discussed 42:8 62:6 73:7 55:11,12 57:16 59:18 15:15,16,19 83:11 depends 98:2 65:13 107:20 16:22,23 17:2, **dates** 24:1 deposited 20,22 18:7,8, discussion daughter 54:24 105:22 9,18 19:1 22:5,22 32:18 55:25 depression 53:4 20:4,13,14 42:10 54:11 **day** 6:16 8:3,4 descendants 21:8 22:1 25:3 55:19 59:22 52:20 58:12 30:3 98:14,22 26:5,6,8,15,17 63:14 95:14 65:16 67:24 describe 18:2 27:12,21 29:4 discussions 71:25 73:7 28:18 41:8 30:8,15 31:12, 44:25 54:1 109:4 110:17 102:23 16,24 32:10, 74:14 days 15:6,7 designated 19,22,23 disinherited 27:11 28:23 33:13 33:13,17 34:1 55:2 106:9,13, dead 36:15 37:1,13 designation disposition 16 40:4,9,16,19 55:9 57:21 19:2 deal 43:10 41:6,20 44:1, designations dispositions 2,21 45:2,4,5, dealership 27:8 21:25 11,16 55:2,3 50:13 determine 9:15 dispositive 56:15,18 57:6, dealings 67:11 79:22 57:6 65:19 24 60:3 61:15 **dealt** 104:15 developing 97:8 63:3,11,16 **Dear** 64:14 111:2 distributions 64:17 65:16,21 **death** 18:10,15 **device** 38:7 29:25 66:9 71:16,18 20:9,18 29:7, 72:16 73:8

5

| | December | 15, 2015 | 0 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 75:14 76:10, 15,17,19,21 77:10,17,23,24 78:11 79:23 80:12,17,21 82:12 97:14 103:16,19,25 105:2,8 106:7 107:15 108:25 110:1,20 111:11,12,16, 21,22 dollar 23:3 35:22 36:2 dollars 25:18 Don 13:12 draft 32:22,23 63:15 65:16 drafted 30:8 33:13 98:15 111:12,20 drafting 39:13 drafts 26:6,7,9 27:6 37:2 63:20 64:15 drag 41:2 Drive 53:12 duly 10:24 durable 16:24 27:8 65:23 67:14,24 71:5, 6 duties 63:6 | effort 43:10 Eli 97:5 Eliot 5:15 7:16 11:14 18:12 20:14 21:17 33:21 45:7 52:1 56:4,5 60:12,25 62:7, 18,24 63:12 78:16,20 80:14,22 96:10 98:21 Eliot's 78:7 email 60:25 62:6 emergency 78:22 empty 50:5 Enclosed 27:6 enclosing 63:20 73:4 end 8:4 33:22 110:16 enforceable 8:23 9:16 37:15 71:20 110:19,21 enforcing 7:19 entered 82:14 entity 41:11,16 52:4,7,9 envelope 15:24 equal 20:22 21:21 | 55:23 56:18,19 57:8,16 58:6 59:21 60:19 63:3 64:22 69:19 74:23 76:17 77:23,24 80:21 99:3 103:2,6 106:8, 13,15 107:24 111:12 estimate 43:13 event 29:19 30:13 51:16 eventually 29:6 33:25 36:4 evidence 12:21 13:2,23 14:5, 15 17:8,14 19:21,25 20:2 22:3 26:22 27:1,3 34:11, 14,17 39:2,5,8 45:23 46:1,4 61:3,6,9,14 62:2,4 64:6,9, 12 66:17,23 70:3,10 71:5 72:7,10,13 73:21,23 74:4, 7,10 80:13 99:13 100:17 101:19,25 102:8,17 EXAMINATION | 84:8,11 85:2, 7,12 86:2,17, 22 87:2,17 88:13,17 89:20,24 90:5, 8 111:20 execution 36:15 82:12,13,25 83:2,4,8 84:2 85:19 88:8 exercise 33:11 55:3 exercised 21:5, 16 33:21 65:7 exercising 98:3 exhibit 12:11, 12,20 13:1,9, 10,22,25 14:4 15:22 16:11 17:7,13,16 18:3 19:20 20:1 22:3,9,12 26:12,21 27:2, 5 34:3,6,10,16 35:9 36:11 38:20 39:1,7 45:17,18,22 46:3 53:22 60:24 61:3,8, 12 62:3 63:18 64:5,11 65:22, 24 66:17,22 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,9, |
| E | equally 19:6 Eric 8:15 | 11:16 examined 10:24 | 13,15 71:5,9, 10,22 72:6,12 |
| <pre>earlier 93:10, 11 100:3 101:18 earliest 14:11 early 42:16 45:15 46:18 easier 23:1 education 80:16 effect 39:18 60:16 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 97:9,10 effectively 21:4 38:17</pre> | <pre>essentially 28:19 establish 41:22 established 98:9,10 estate 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 18:2,13 20:6,11 25:3 27:20 28:10,23 30:14 33:4 41:5,24 43:18, 19 44:5,8,13 46:7,24,25 48:19 49:15,18 50:7 52:12</pre> | <pre>exception 31:17,18 excluded 33:18 44:22 Excuse 24:12 34:7 106:11 execute 33:25 40:6 84:15,19, 22 86:6,9,12 87:8,20,24 88:3 89:2,8, 12,16 90:11 executed 35:12 40:9 65:21 67:23 68:1 76:22 82:19 83:14,18,22</pre> | 73:3,22 74:3,9 76:25 77:1,5 78:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5,7,10, 16,19,23 85:2, 7,12,19,23 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13,15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2,5,7,12, 16,20,24 90:4, 8,12,16 100:23,25 101:24 102:16 |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

exhibits 11:5 **FDIC** 47:21 FMV 51:3 **Gary's** 101:6 **exist** 40:16 **gave** 46:14 February 46:13 **focus** 110:25 51:15 56:11,20 50:12 existed 30:11 **focused** 83:10 59:1 72:17 52:17 110:23,24 **general** 41:11 81:16 existence 41:16 83:18,22 85:3 **folks** 101:9 **Federal** 27:12 88:9 existing 13:7 **follow** 7:20 15:19 **Fedex** 64:3 generally 18:2 26:16 104:2 59:18 83:15 **felon** 92:21 **exists** 52:20 **forged** 103:22 85:8,13 86:18, **felony** 92:10 104:3 105:13 expected 42:11 22 87:3 88:13 93:2,15 103:1, 107:6,14 expert 91:9 89:21,25 90:5 5 form 36:2 52:17 explain 27:20 100:4 **felt** 55:23,25 formalities 77:5,21 gentleman 51:13 107:15 37:14,24 38:24 explanation **genuine** 77:2 **figure** 41:19 67:15 26:16 80:2 102:5 55:5 formally 51:13 **Express** 27:12 gift 32:2,9 **file** 11:12 **Forman** 12:7 extensive 41:23 39:19 12:14 15:6,8, **formed** 48:23 **extent** 20:20 girlfriend 20 69:22 78:2, 21:4 61:13 forward 8:2 50:12,24 55:24 22 107:10 83:15 85:4 **Found** 30:15 56:21 **filed** 7:8 34:24 86:18 88:10 **fourth** 24:4 give 18:5 21:9, 35:6 43:15 89:21 **fraud** 96:18 11,23 25:23 47:10 74:18 110:2 27:25 30:12 103:14,15,18 **frauded** 104:3 \mathbf{F} 31:12 40:4 107:12 fraudulent 97:5 57:7 64:21 **files** 13:20 face-to-face 103:1,16 69:15 72:24 16:4,15 35:5 72:21 105:8,13 107:6 80:1 81:4 **filing** 7:7,23 fraudulently 91:17 92:3 Facebook 79:19 **final** 29:3 106:15 95:23 96:9 **fact** 9:8 28:15 64:18 97:16,23 **qiving** 45:4 39:18 44:21 finally 7:22 98:14,20 107:5 56:18 99:24 54:4 55:1 **finances** 46:9 75:15 78:10 **freeze** 78:23 100:7 **find** 100:8 **facts** 80:6 **friends** 100:5 **good** 5:5 19:12 **fine** 22:21 80:7 42:14 56:2 104:19 111:1 **front** 89:13,17 finished 81:25 58:7 82:7 **failed** 61:11 **full** 107:17,23 **firm** 12:3,5,9 Gotcha 101:12 **Fair** 51:4 108:21 13:5 16:17 **grammar** 65:19 **fairly** 29:14 **fully** 72:25 26:14 36:21 grandchildren familiar 22:18 **funded** 36:3 69:18 75:22 8:15 19:18 47:22 **funds** 77:15 91:19 103:16 55:6 56:19 **family** 19:12 **future** 26:2 105:2,5,7,12 57:8 59:22 27:7 30:3 107:5 60:10 65:1,9 32:5,13 41:15 **fit** 8:5 G 75:16 77:16 52:8 57:5 63:5 fitting 82:3 80:19 82:8 80:14 98:8,10 Fleisher 12:7 gain 111:15,16, granted 65:7 fashion 77:21 24 **flip** 15:3 23:18 **great** 5:12 **father** 63:5 gained 111:21 31:1 65:24 43:10 56:20 81:6,7 98:9 **gallery** 24:20 **Florida** 37:14, 58:15 111:18 100:9,10,11, 24 41:10,13 Greenwald 15:25 **father's** 97:12 94:19,20 100:3 12,14 16:7,12,19 **favor** 21:16 104:1 **Gary** 101:10 17:3 65:8

Greq 5:9 gross 53:16 guardian 29:19 quess 30:10 32:1 47:10 54:11,23 55:23 75:3 76:25 107:8 **quilty** 93:20 **Gutter** 12:6 **quy** 92:22 100:7 guy's 79:19 н half 8:4 47:17 51:7 53:20 **hand** 11:10 13:9 15:21 16:11 26:12 34:3 35:9 38:20 45:17 60:24 63:18 65:22 71:22 76:25 handle 25:5 handwriting 22:11,19 handwritten 34:20 happen 6:19 104:18,19 happened 45:14 50:9 60:2 75:20 103:21 **happy** 55:1 60:17 heading 29:23 **health** 16:24 27:9 42:12,14 **hear** 9:22 106:11 **heard** 81:11 hearing 79:13 **heart** 42:15 **held** 64:25 **high** 53:5 **hired** 45:1 **history** 72:15 **hit** 47:10 hoc 6:25

holds 52:12 holidavs 25:7 home 51:25 52:5 64:3 Honor 5:5 11:2, 4 12:21 13:23 17:8,23 19:10, 21 26:22 39:2 45:23 49:4 61:11 70:4 72:7 78:25 81:18 100:25 hope 111:5 **hour** 23:17 house 23:3 40:6 51:6 52:8,12 53:12 56:4 housekeeping 61:10 huge 11:6 husband 20:19, 23 Ι **idea** 56:20 90:25 identical 28:19 31:3,16 identification 67:2 71:2 identify 12:12 13:10 17:2 26:13 important 60:17 65:5 71:18 108:19 110:2 importantly 109:11 incapacity 29:20 include 35:5 55:10 56:21 57:21 98:13 included 17:3 38:6 43:15 includes 23:13 including 16:23 **income** 29:24 increasing 55:11

indication 21:23 25:23 72:24 81:4 individually 5:23,25 10:7 influenced 84:1 85:17 influencing 87:8 89:1 90:10 information 85:21 87:7,12 88:22,25 90:15 inherent 28:5 81:5,6 initial 31:4 Initially 75:14 **inject** 24:18 insider 91:24 93:22 instrument 98:2 insurance 23:5, 13 25:20 51:12 53:25 54:2,7,9 55:9 56:22 57:13 **intake** 12:14 15:4 intended 30:8 77:11 97:10 **intent** 65:12 77:7,25 interest 48:20 51:19 52:15 111:15,17,22, 24 internal 54:23 internet 78:17 interruption 82:2 interviewed 96:4 invalid 9:25 10:6 80:5 inventory 43:15 inventorying 43:11 invested 47:13 investing 47:17 investment 47:8,12

investments
 41:15 47:21
involved 25:20
 44:24 48:10
 51:14 93:22
involvement
 36:21
IRA 49:10
issue 95:11
 109:23
issues 42:15,16
 78:15 94:23
 95:3
item 106:3
Iviewit 76:3

J

January 24:3
Jill 18:12 45:8
98:5,7,21
John 82:7
joined 8:17
joint 23:1 57:4
JP 50:1
judge 8:14
81:22 90:19
101:9 103:24
July 65:21
67:18,24 72:17
87:17,21 88:8
89:8

К

kick 108:15,18 **kicked** 107:14 kids 108:25 Kim 67:11 71:15 Kimberly 36:18 40:7 66:5 70:17 87:21 88:1,3 89:9, 13,16 102:22 103:22 105:13 107:3 **kind** 42:17 55:4 58:1 75:18 **knew** 25:12 42:17 57:10 67:10,11 76:8

| knowledge 42:13 1ife 23:4,13 43:8 55:2 maximum 47:20, 85:20 87:6,11 43:8 55:9 10xe 6:15 10xel 9:51 85:20 1ifetime 30:24 76:23 105:18 means 94:9,13 20:22 1ifetime 30:24 76:23 105:18 mechanism 22:15 31:8 1ady 99:24 1inited 11:10, 77:23 65:15 66:20 68:12 66:20 68:12 66:20 66:12 66:20 66:12 85:22 55:42 22:14 23:15 23:12,11,11 1apsed 71:11 96:19,22 95:9 97:13 12,17 14:17 1ase 10:6:25 96:9,724 13:6 13:7 17:25 14:6:6,13 23:6,12 23:12,23,12 24:6,23 24:6,23 23:6,12 24:19,21 24:12,23 23:12,12 24:12 23:15 55:4 55:4 10:12,11 11:12 11:12 12:17 14:17 24:18 12:11 | | December | 19, 2019 | 9 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 85:20 87:6,11 54:6,9 55:9 88:21 90:9,14 16 56:22 Xratish 36:14,17,20,22 11 16 16 16 20,22 11 11 16 17 10 10 31:8 1ady 99:24 11 12,13 11 12,13 10 12,13 11 12,13 10 12,13 10 12,13 10 12,13 13:8 12,14 17,7 14 13:14 13:7 17:12,2,3,4 13:14,17 22:4 23:12,31 10,7:16 15:9,14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 23:4 23:12,17 13:14 12:7,11 | knowledge 42:13 | life 23:4,13 | love 6:15 | maximum 47:20, |
| 88:22 087:6,11 88:22 90:9,14 54:22 56:22 lunch 40:5 means 94:9,13 105:18 Kratish 36:14, 20,22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 M M meats 10:24 meats 23:23:15 L M meats 11:23 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 22:23:15 L M meats 32:23:15 M L M meats 32:23:15 M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M | 82:13 84:3,4 | 43:8 51:11 | lovelv 5:18 | 24 |
| 88:21 90:9,14 56:22 Iifetime 30:24 M 23.84:14,17, 76:23 Iight 78:15,19 Iady 99:24 Iight 78:15,19 made 32:2 39:19 L Iimited 41:10, 56:20 66:12 1ady 99:24 12,13 66:8, 1arguage 21:13 11,12 67:7 107:16 1apse 57:11 1ase 10:24 1ist 10:21 1ase 10:24 1ist 30:3 98:13,21 1ase 10:24 1ist 50:3 13:7 17:25 13:5 [6:17 1ist 97:24 37:14,24 69:18 144:25 75:22 1ist 97:24 37:13,15 40:8 144:25 75:22 1ive 42:11 1iving 100:8 1awauri 31:9 23:1,6 100:2,12 1aymen 'S 27:19, 22 23:16 11:2,6 1aymen's 27:19, 23 27:9 64:25 77:24 1aymen's 27:19, 23 21:2,224 100:21 1earned 44:21 1coan 49:25 51:8 51:4 16art 16:12,19 10:2:24 martal 30:2 197:14 10:2:24 10:2:24 1aymen's 27:19, 23:17 10:2:2 11:2,6 1ayman 77:9,10 10:3:5 51:25 | 85:20 87:6,11 | 54:6,9 55:9 | - | means 94:9,13 |
| Kratish 36:14, 23.84:14,17, 20,22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 M mechanism 29:21 L light 78:15,19 imitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:147:7 mechanism 29:21 lady 99:24 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15 mechanism 29:21 lady 99:24 limited 41:10, 12,13 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15 mechanism 29:21 language 21:13 limited 41:10, 12,13 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 mate 32:2 39:19 laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 main 37:3 meeting 13:11, 12:17 14:18 laser 110:24 liste 104:23 liste 107:20 main 37:3 late 106:25 liste 107:21 liste 103:23 make 6:25 8:11 liste 19:15 lawei 13:9 listen 104:23 liste 104:21 liste 104:21 liste 109:12 lawei 31:9 23:1,6 lives 52:1 makes 60:17 memeo 65:20 lawei 31:9 lives 52:1 lives 52:1 maked 45:17 memeo 65:20 layers 77:9,10 lives 52:1 loga 32:35 25:3 martis 30:22 si:14: | 88:21 90:9,14 | | | - |
| 23 84:14,17, 20,22 76:23 light 78:15,19 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:12,13 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:17 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:12 li | | lifetime 30:24 | | |
| 20,22 light 78:15,19 made 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 L minitations 82:4 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 L minitations 82:4 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Lady 99:24 Linked 41:10, 12,13 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Lady 99:24 Linked 41:10, 12,13 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Language 21:13 Linked 30:3 Biss 25 meet 11:23 Lapsed 57:11 lineal 30:3 99:13/21 main 37:3 meet 13:24 59:14 Late 106:25 Lisa 18:13 45:8 main 37:3 meet 13:23 22:4 12:2:11 Jiste 100:21 liste 100:23 make 12:2 93:6:11 31:7 17:25 46:6:1 54:20 Lawful 20:22 Liste 100:23 1:3:7 17:25 46:6:1 54:20 31:31 Jawrun 31:9 Liste 100:23 1:3:7 17:25 77:10 80:9,11 31:417 23:16 Jawrun 31:9 Live 42:11 1:1:23 24:17 23:16 44:12 23:25 Jawrun 31:9 Live 42:11 1:1:23 24:17 23:16 24:12 25:10 | | | М | |
| L limitations add: 32:2 39:19 23:15 25:8 lady 99:24 limitated 41:10, 23:15 25:8 lady 99:24 lindsay 66:8, 11,12 67:7 107:16 54:22 56:14 54:22 56:14 12,13 107:16 12,17 12,13 107:16 12,17 14:17 22:4 23:15 56:14 12,17 14:18 107:16 12,17 14:17 22:4 23:19,21 12:17 14:18 107:16 12,17 12:17 14:18 12:17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | |
| L 82:4 45:1 47:7 40:1 45:16 lady 99:24 12,13 57:23 65:15 54:22 56:14 language 21:13 11,12 67:7 10:1 45:16 58:25 lapse 57:11 11,12 67:7 10:716 12,17 14:18 lapse 57:11 11,02 67:7 10:716 12,17 14:18 late 106:25 19:31.21 10:08:16 main 37:3 24:1,2,3,4 13:5 16:17 1isted 97:24 37:13,21 09:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 14:66,13 54:22 13:5 16:17 1iste 10:4:23 13:7 17:25 14:66,13 54:22 57:19,20 75:10 13:7 17:25 13:5 46:17 13:7 17:25 14:17 23:16 24:12,23,4 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 11:12,6 77:10,00:9,11 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:17 1:ves 52:1 1ived 56:4 11:12,2 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:17 10:14 10:4:23 14:17 23:16 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:10 10:14 10:22 23:23 72:22 74:15 laymen's 27:19, 23:10 10:14 10:21 77:24 10:14 10:22 14 | 20722 | | made 32:2 39:19 | |
| J 1 | | | | |
| lady 99:24 12,13 11,12 67:7 107:16 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 1ineal 30:3 95:9 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:5,7,21 95:5,7,21 late 106:25 1ist 06:17 main 37:3 24:1,2,3,4 37:14,24 69:18 95:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 46:6,13 54:22 94:19 103:16 1isted 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 1isten 104:23 77:10 80:9,11 82:29 93:6 lawwit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 12:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19,2 77:24 23:6,25 making 68:17 laymen's 27:19 77:24 12.2,24 marital 30:2 43:23 leave 73:10 109:21:2,24 100:4 4:25 75:12 leave 73:10 109:21:17 100:22 102:24 leave 73:10 109:2:14 103:15 25:2 leave 73:10 109:2:14 103:12 6:110 le | L | | | |
| laid65:20Lindsay66:8,97:13meeting13:11,lapsed11,1267:7107:1612,1714:18lapsed57:111ineal30:396:9,2222:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21law12:513:516:1713:532:2335:2557:19,2022:437:14,2469:1813:sten10:2337:13,1540:877:8107:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:2137:13,1540:877:8107:20105:2,12107:51isten10:2235:557:19,2077:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:12101:4104:2314:1723:101awrot23:1,6111:2,6makes60:17member101:714:2575:221ived55:1111:2,6match95:314:1723:1016:2423:2372:2274:1510:410:42110:24111:2,6metioned59:312:425:1010:2413:2314:1725:2012:310:1110:2213:514:1713:25:1612:412:1710:3213:1314:1714:1213:514:1713:1514:1713:1514:1714:12:2,2350:151:1 | | | | |
| language 21:13 11,12 67:7 107:16 12,17 14:18 lapse 57:11 lineal 30:3 mail 95:24 11:9,14,17 lapsed 57:11 lineal 30:3 96:13,21 mail 95:24 22:4 23:19,21 laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 mail 37:3 22:4 23:19,21 22:4 23:19,21 late 106:25 list 18:13 45:8 96:5,7,21 make 6:25 8:11 25:6,12 32:21, larse 12:12:107:5 listed 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19,20 75:10 layers 16:7 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 77:20 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 lived 56:4 make 60:17 memo 65:20 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 marital 30:2 43:23 learned 44:21 loans 49:12,51:8 53:4 53:4 62:21 merits 104:21 leared 73:10 loans 49:25 51:8 53:4 72:25 61,11,13 25:16, 11:10e 23:3,5, leared 60:9 a3:5 39:20 as:5 39:20 mariag 30:21, 74:6:12,21,4 64:1,2,4,21,1 loger 42:17 10:3:5 39:20 mariag 30:23 | | | - | |
| LanguageLanguag | laid 65:20 | | | - |
| Lapse5:1198:13,2196:19,2222:422:1,2,7,4Laser10:24Lingo108:16main37:324:1,2,7,4Late106:25Lisa18:1345:8main37:324:1,2,7,4Law12:3,5,913:516:1713:513:717:2525:6,1232:2337:14,2469:1813:ed97:2432:2335:2555:455:455:494:19103:1613:ed97:2432:2335:2577:19,2075:10105:2,12107:513:ed100:856:171:1577:8107:201awrul20:2213:st19:1582:293:614:1723:131awrul20:2213:ed10:4104:2377:28107:2075:101awrul16:711/ve42:11101:4104:2372:2274:151aymen's27:1927:7964:25making66:17memory24:101aymen's27:1927:7964:25marital30:236:2272:251aymen's27:1010:an49:18,2022:1memory24:1012aerned44:2151:710:an49:2551:866:1712aerned44:2110:an49:18,2022:21merital30:212aerned60:921,22,2410:as32:3632:472:2512aerned60:922:438:1553:461:1,1011:1217:3 </td <td>language 21:13</td> <td></td> <td>107:16</td> <td>-</td> | language 21:13 | | 107:16 | - |
| lapsed57:1198:13,2196:9,2222:4 23:19,21lase110:24lingo108:16min37:3late106:25lisg18:13 45:8make6:25 8:1125:6,12 32:21,law12:3,5,9list98:5,7,2113:7 17:2546:6,13 54:2213:5 16:17listed97:2432:23 35:2557:19,20 75:1037:14,24 69:18listen104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:2094:19 103:16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:201awful20:22listen 19:1537:10 80:9,1114:17 23:161awger16:7live42:11111:2,6meetings1ayman77:9,10living16:24makes60:171aymen's27:1927:9 64:25makes56:17member77:1211iving16:2425:6,25method 59:31aymen's27:1015:7makes50:17method 59:31eaver73:1010an49:25 51:852:6,25method 59:375:1110an49:18,20,21:2,2,24martaz50:21method 81:61eaytop60:910g-time13:1516:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-time10:22:416:17,18middle65:21.57:2116:12,7610g-21:210:2:2416:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-21:2516:1711:10ag-23:3,5,565:12.616:11 97:6100:22:416:1711: | lapse 57:11 | | mail 95:24 | |
| laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 main 37:3 22:1,27,34 late 106:25 98:5,7,21 make 6:25 8:11 25:6,12,32:22,12 law 12:3,5,9 1ist 50:3 13:7 17:25 18:8 19:1 25:6,12,32:21,25 37:14,24 69:18 listed 97:24 32:23 35:25 55:4 55:20 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 listening 100:8 16:17 17:10 80:9,11 14:17 23:16 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 77:22 74:15 lawper 16:7 lived 56:1 111:2,6 member 101:7 d4:25 75:22 lived 56:4 111:2,6 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 marital 30:2 32:23 97:14 12:12 51:7 make 60:17 memory 24:10 mentally 55:20 12:21 12:17 54:17 marital 30:2 32:23 62:21 learned 44:21 51:7 54:19,20.55:7 56:21 <td< td=""><td>lapsed 57:11</td><td>98:13,21</td><td>96:9,22</td><td></td></td<> | lapsed 57:11 | 98:13,21 | 96:9,22 | |
| late 106:25 Lisa 18:13 45:8 make 6:25 8:11 25 40:6 45:20 law 12:3,5,9 list 50:5 list 13:7 17:125 25 40:6 45:20 46:6,13 54:22 37:14,24 69:18 listed 97:24 37:13,15 40:6 77:10 | | lingo 108:16 | main 37:3 | |
| law 12:3,5,9 98:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 125 40:6 45:20 law 12:3,5,9 list 50:3 13:7 17:25 146:6,13 54:22 37:14,24 69:18 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 94:19 103:16 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 listening 100:8 56:1 71:15 77:10 80:9,11 lawyer 16:7 live 42:11 11:2,6 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 nakes 60:17 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 mentioned 59:3 97:14 48:21,23 50:2 43:23 marital 30:2 62:21 learned 44:21 loans 49:18,20, 21,22,24 marked 45:17 met 14:21 25:16 leaving 60:9 10:2:24 marrieg 30:15, 17:46:12.47:16 14:17 23:16, leaving 60:9 10:2:24 16,17,18 marted 35:2 11:10:22 17:3 26:14 100:2:4 10:2:24 marted 30:23 7,20 53:13,16 leaving 60:9 10:2:24 16,17,18 marited 30:23 | | Lisa 18:13 45:8 | make 6:25 8:11 | |
| law12:3,5,5,7list50:318:819:155:455:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:1< | | 98:5,7,21 | | |
| 13:5:14.6:17 1isted 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19.20 75:10 94:19 103:16 1isten 104:23 37:13.15 40:8 77:19.20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 1isten 104:23 10:80:9,11 82:2 93:6 77:8 107:20 1awyer 16:7 1ive 42:11 10:4 104:23 77:10 80:9,11 82:2 93:6 14:17 23:16 1ayman 77:9,10 1ive 456:4 101:4 104:23 72:22 74:15 member 101:7 1aymen's 27:19, 23 77:24 77:24 making 68:17 member 101:7 1aearned 44:21 10:31:4.72 51:7 marital 30:2 72:25 merits 104:21 1earned 44:21 10:31:5 25:2 77:24 73:24 73:23 72:25 member 101:7 1earned 44:21 10:31:4.72 54:17 56:21 57:21 member 101:7 metics 104:21 1earned 44:21 10:33:15 25:2 77:24 73:2 73:4 74:13 74:12.2 75:21 mertics 104:21 10:ans 49:18,20 21,22,24 10:33:5 39:20 marriage 30:15, 17.46:12 47:16 10:22,4 16:17,18 10:224 10:224 10:41:24 45:12 38:10 61:10 74:61:2,6,7 | | list 50:3 | | |
| 94.19,103.16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877.18,107.20105:2,12 107:5listening 100:837:13,15 40:877.18,107.201awful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,677.10 80:9,111awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161ayman 77:9,10live 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:201aymen's 27:19, 2377:24matatory 29:24memor 52:202377:2427:9 64:2525:6,2597:1448:21,23 50:254:19,20 55:7metioned 59:397:1451:710an 49:25 51:854:171earned 44:2110an 49:18,20,21,22,24leave 73:1010ar 49:18,20,38:5 39:2017:3 26:1478:18102:2427:19 32:1710g-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3102:2477:1881:1593:9102:24100x 47:13,16103:23,6111:1210x:4 42:15111:210x:24111:210x:24112:2410x:24113:15103:12,18114:1710:21115:1410:21116:12,19102:24117:1881:151115103:23,61115103:24,13,161115103:23,61110:22100;241110:22100;241110:22101:211110:221110:221110:22111110 <td< td=""><td></td><td>listed 97:24</td><td>32:23 35:25</td><td></td></td<> | | listed 97:24 | 32:23 35:25 | |
| 105:2,12 107:5listening 100:856:1 71:15mettings 13:13lawful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,6mettings 13:13lawsuit 31:923:1,611xe 293:614:17 23:16lawyer 16:7lived 42:1111:2,624:25 25:25layman 77:9,10lives 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:20laymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25matters 60:17memor 65:202377:2427:9 64:25matters 50:22mentioned 59:397:1448:21,23 50:243:23mertisl 30:2learne 50:1151:7Maritza 50:2254:19,20 55:7learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21learving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:277:18marriag 30:15,letter 16:12,19102:24Matter 33:26,11,13 25:16,77:18100ked 72:1538:10 61:10matters 63:793:9100ked 72:1538:10 61:10minon 6:2 7:893:9100t 41:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11 | - | | 37:13,15 40:8 | |
| lawful20:22lists19:1577:1080:9,11lawsuit31:923:1,610:4104:1324:2525:25lawyer16:7live42:1111:2,6member101:4104:2344:2575:221ived56:4member101:7memo65:20layman77:2127:964:2577:24making68:17memo65:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24making68:17memo of 55:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24match 24:5572:25memoor 24:10lagnen's77:1010:4104:23memoor 55:2072:25memoor 55:20lagnen's73:1010ans49:2551:854:17memtoed59:3learned44:2110ans49:18,20,53:4martiza50:22merits104:21leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5middle65:2,5leaglese27:2010ng23:1525:273:46,11,1325:16,11746:1247:1617:326:1473:3102:24103:237253:41746:1249:13,20,21,11742:2551:2,6,11746:1249:13,20,21,114:1716,1716,1718:1216,171649:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:12161617< | | | 56:1 71:15 | |
| lawsuit $31:9$ $23:1,6$ $82:2 93:6$ $24:25 25:25$ lawyer $16:7$ live $42:11$ $101:4 104:23$ $24:25 25:25$ $44:25 75:22$ lives $56:4$ $101:4 104:23$ $72:22 74:15$ $76:5$ lives $52:1$ lives $52:1$ laymen's $27:19$ $27:9 64:25$ $77:24$ making $68:17$ layperson $77:21$ LLLP $41:15$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ member $101:7$ learned $44:21$ $54:17$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ mentioned $59:3$ $75:11$ loan $49:25 51:8$ $54:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leave $73:10$ loans $49:18,20$, $21,22,24$ marked $45:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leaving $60:9$ $21,22,24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ leaving $60:9$ $102:24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ $17:3 26:14$ $10ger 42:11$ $10ger 42:11$ $16,17,18$ $174:122$ $75:21 76:4$ $102:24$ $102:24$ $81:15$ $103:23$ $72:23,6$ $64:17:7:18$ $81:15$ $10ses 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $10:22$ $11ibility$ $41:12$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10se 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $96:11 97:6$ $110:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10s 44:24:42$ $39:19$ $10:22$ <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>77:10 80:9,11</td> <td></td> | | - | 77:10 80:9,11 | |
| lawyer 16:7live 42:11101:4 104:2372:22 74:1544:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2.6makes 60:17haymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25making 68:17memo 65:202377:2425:6,25mandatory 29:24laymen's 27:1927:9 64:25marital 30:272:252377:2425:6,25mentally 55:201ayperson 77:2151:7marital 30:262:21learned 44:2110an 49:25 51:854:1762:21leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17letter 16:12,19102:24martiage 30:15,17:3 26:14102:24marter 33:217:3 26:14102:24matter 33:216,17,18102:24matter 33:264:1 73:310nger 42:1175:21 76:410ses 53:675:1210ses 53:693:910st 47:13,161ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td>82:2 93:6</td> <td></td> | | | 82:2 93:6 | |
| 44:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2,6member 101:776:5lives 52:1makes 60:17member 101:7layman 77:9,10living 16:24making 68:17memory 29:24laymen's 27:19, 2327:9 64:25marital 30:277:242377:2425:6,25marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:748:21,23 50:243:23memtod 59:3learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16leaglese 27:20long 23:15 25:278:18marriag 30:15,17:3 26:14long-timemarted 30:237,20 53:13,1627:19 32:17102:24Matt 38:5,8104:21,3,14,64:1 73:3longer 42:11matter 33:236:10,75:21 76:4looked 72:1538:10 61:10mind 110:2177:1881:1595:16mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:695:16mind 110:2193:9105 47:13,1610 38:12,1839:19111:22105 42:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11 | | | 101:4 104:23 | |
| 76:5lives52:1makesmemo65:20layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17laymen's27:964:25mandatory29:242377:2427:964:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mentioned59:397:1448:21,2350:243:23mertise104:21learn50:1151:7marital30:243:2362:21learned44:21loans49:18,20,marked51:7mertise1eave73:10loans49:18,20,marked51:7marked51:7leaving60:921,22,24marked53:4middle65:2,5lengthy41:2338:539:20marriage30:15,16,17,1817:326:14102:24martied30:237,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24matter33:262:2116,17,1864:173:310nger42:1138:1061:1016,1775:2176:4100ses53:695:16matters63:793:993:9105:447:13,16103:8:12,18100:221iability41:12105:4:2339:19minors7:11 | | | - | |
| layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17memory24:10laymen's27:19,27:9 64:2577:2427:9 64:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mential30:297:1448:21,23 50:251:748:21,23 50:243:23mentioned59:3learn50:1151:710ans49:25 51:854:19,20 55:7merits104:21leave73:10loans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17metidle65:2,5legalese27:20logan32:3,653:4million23:3,5,legalese27:20long23:15 25:216,17,18marriage30:15,17:3 26:1478:18102:24matter38:5,844:12,2,3,14,16:17 3:310aref42:1138:1061:1044:6,12,13,14,16:17 81102:24matters33:2,3,6,38:1061:1011ability41:12loses53:695:16100:22matters39:910st47:13,161038:12,18,10:22minor6:2 7:893:910st41:2444:1239:199:1197:610:22110:2210:2253:554:239:1910:22 | | | makes 60:17 | |
| laymen's 2327:964:25 77:24mandatory 29:2429:24 mentally 55:20layperson 97:14LLLP 41:15 48:21,23March 24:524:5 25:6,25mentally 55:20learn 75:1151:7March 48:21,2350:2 43:23mentally 62:2151:3 62:21learned 44:2110an 54:1749:18,20, 21,22,24Marked 45:17met 14:21 25:16leaving 60:960:9 21,22,24Logan 32:3,6 38:5Marked 45:17middle 65:2,5letter 16:12,1910ng 23:1523:15 25:20marked 45:17middle 65:2,517:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long 10:22423:15 25:2market 53:451:4 6,11,13 25:16,102:24 75:21 93:9longer 42:11 10sses 77:18matter 33:2 33:933:10 61:10mind 110:21 mind 110:21letting 93:924:17 10sses 53:5losses 53:6 103:15,25:2marters 95:16minor 6:2 7:8 96:11liability Lic 48:6,1041:24 53:554:23minor 53:57:21 | | | making 68:17 | |
| 2377:24March 24:572:25layperson 77:21LLLP 41:1525:6,25marital 30:262:2197:1448:21,23 50:251:7marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marked 45:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,638:5 39:20letter 16:12,1910ng 23:15 25:278:1817:3 26:1410ng 23:15 25:274:027:19 32:17102:24marriage 30:15,64:1 73:3100ger 42:1175:21 76:4longer 42:1177:18losses 53:693:9lost 47:13,16liability 41:12lot 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23 | - | | mandatory 29:24 | - |
| layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25marital30:297:1448:21,2350:243:23marital30:262:21learn50:1110an49:2551:854:17maritza50:22leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010ag23:1525:253:4million23:3,5,letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,181746:1247:1617:326:14102:24102:24married30:237,2053:13,1664:173:3102:24matter33:238:1061:1016,1716,1714100ger42:1110sses53:61038:1210110:21151110sses53:61038:12,1895:161010:2293:910547:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81ability41:1210553:554:2339:19minors7:11 | | | March 24:5 | - |
| 97:1448:21,23 50:2marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marital 30:262:211eave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2454:19,20 55:7merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20marriage 30:15, 16,17,18million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:1617:3 26:14long-time 102:24102:24married 30:23164:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 105 47:13,16matther 33:2,3,6 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 95:16liability 41:12Lic 48:6,1053:5 54:23Matt 38:12,18 39:19minor 57:11 | | | 25:6,25 | |
| learn50:1151:743:23learned44:2151:7Maritza50:2275:11loan49:2551:81eave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24Maritza50:22leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leaglese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:5market51:4 53:4letter16:12,1910mg23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817:326:14 26:14long-time 102:24matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:101etting24:17 93:9losses53:6 10stMatthew32:3,6, 10 38:12,18mind110:21 minor1iability41:12 41:24Lot41:2444:12 39:19minor6:27:8 96:11 | | | marital 30:2 | |
| learned44:21loan49:2551:8Maritza50:22met14:2125:161eave73:10loans49:18,20,54:19,2055:756:2157:21Michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5million23:3,5,lengthy41:23long23:1525:253:4marriage30:15,1746:1247:16letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,1824,2551:2,6,7,2053:13,1617:326:14102:24matter30:237,2053:13,1654:6,12,13,14,16,1781:1238:1061:10mind110:2154:24,2563:19102:24matter33:238:1061:10mind110:21mine109:1710:2277:1810sses53:695:16Matthew32:3,6,1038:12,1896:1197:693:910st47:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81iability41:1253:554:2339:1910:22minors7:11 | | | 43:23 | |
| learned44:21loan49:25 51:854:17met14:21 25:1675:1154:1710ans49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010gan32:3,6 38:5 39:2053:4marriage30:15, 16,17,18middle65:2,5letter16:12,1910ng23:15 25:278:18marriage30:15, 16,17,186,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:1617:3 26:1410g-time 102:24102:24married30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,854:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1254:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1275:21 76:410oked72:1538:10 61:10mind110:2177:1881:1595:16matters63:7 95:16minor6:2 7:8 96:11 97:61iability41:1210t41:24 44:12 53:5 54:2339:19minors7:11 | | | Maritza 50:22 | |
| 75:1154:1754:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21Michael 8:16leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20sait53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18f6,17,18married 30:2317:3 26:14long-time 102:24married 30:23Matt 38:5,8matter 33:264:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24looked 72:15 81:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 9:19LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23minors 7:11 | | | | met 14:21 25:16 |
| leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17mituite 03.2,3legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20saste 39:20market 51:4 53:4saste 39:20lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:2 78:18long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1617 46:12 47:16long -time 102:24marriage 30:2317 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10matter 33:2 38:10 61:1054:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1264:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matters 63:7 95:16mind 110:21 mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16mattew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6liability 41:1253:5 54:2353:5 54:239:19 | | | - | Michael 8:16 |
| leaving 60:921,22,24legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20market 51:4 53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:16letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18marriage 30:2317:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long-time 102:24married 30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19 64:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7mind 110:21 mine 109:17letting 24:17 93:9losses 53:6 lost 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6 | | | marked 45:17 | middle 65:2,5 |
| legalese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:553:46,11,1325:16, 17:3letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817 46:1247:1617:326:1410ng-time16,17,1816,17,1849:13,20,21, 24,2524,2551:2,6, 7,207,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24Matt38:5,838:1061:1046:1781:1275:2176:4100ked72:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,1895:16100:22Iability41:1210t41:2444:1239:19100:22minor6:2LIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1953:4minors7:11 | leaving 60:9 | | | million 23:3,5, |
| lengthy41:2338:5 39:20marriage30:15,17 46:12 47:16letter16:12,19long23:15 25:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:3 26:14long-time102:2416,17,1824,25 51:2,6,54:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,87,20 53:13,1664:1 73:3longer42:11Matter33:275:21 76:4looked72:1538:10 61:10mind77:1881:15103ses53:695:16letting24:17losses53:695:1693:9lot47:13,1610 38:12,1896:11 97:6liability41:1253:5 54:2339:1996:11 97:6 | legalese 27:20 | - | | 6,11,13 25:16, |
| letter16:12,19101g23:1525:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:326:1410g-time30:2324,2551:2,6,27:1932:17102:24102:24Matt38:5,864:173:310ger42:11Matt38:5,875:2176:410oked72:1538:1061:1077:1881:1581:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,18100:221iability41:1253:554:231038:12,18JIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1996:11 | lengthy 41:23 | | | 17 46:12 47:16 |
| 17:3 26:1478:1827:19 32:17long-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3100 er 42:1175:21 76:4looked 72:1577:1881:15letting 24:17103ses 53:693:910st 47:13,16liability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23 | letter 16:12,19 | | | 49:13,20,21, |
| 27:19 32:17 101g-time 54:24,25 63:19 102:24 64:1 73:3 10nger 42:11 75:21 76:4 100ked 72:15 77:18 81:15 10st 47:13,16 10sses 53:6 1ability 41:12 1ot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 Matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 | 17:3 26:14 | | | |
| 54:24,25 63:19 102.24 64:1 73:3 longer 42:11 75:21 76:4 looked 72:15 77:18 81:15 losses 53:6 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19 | 27:19 32:17 | | | |
| 64:173:3 10nger 42:11 75:21 76:4 looked 72:15 77:18 81:15 93:9 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 | | | | |
| 75:21 76:4 100ked 72:15 77:18 81:15 letting 24:17 losses 53:6 93:9 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 matters 63:7 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 minors 7:11 | | - | | |
| Ietting 24:17 losses 53:6 95:16 110:22 93:9 lost 47:13,16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10:38:12,18 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 39:19 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 95:16 minor 6:2 7:8 | | | | |
| Pacting Package | | | | |
| Isst 47:13,16 10 38:12,18 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 39:19 minor 7:11 | - | | | |
| Itability 41:12 Iot 41:24 44:12 39:19 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 39:19 minors 7:11 | | | | |
| LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 minors 7:11 | - | | | |
| | | | | minors 7:11 |
| | 51:11 | 110:21 111:11 | | |
| | | | | |

minute 18:5 minutes 58:9, 11,16,17 miscellaneous 23:4 misdemeanor 93:20 misperceiving 99:10 **mistake** 39:13 77:10 107:16 **mix** 57:5 Molly 8:16 **mom's** 99:8 money 22:6 23:2 44:13,14 47:14 48:15 56:6 81:5,9 **monies** 47:11 **month** 27:11 months 25:7 46:19 Moran 36:18 66:5 67:11 70:17 71:15 87:21 88:1,3 89:10,14,16 102:22 Moran's 105:13 Morgan 50:1 morning 5:5 58:8 Morrissey 8:11, 13 81:22 82:6, 8 90:18 mortgage 23:4 52:12,22 mother's 45:2 55:2 63:3 78:24 107:24 **move** 12:20 13:22 17:7 19:20 26:21 34:10 39:1 42:4 45:22 61:3,11,13 64:5 66:16 70:3 72:6 73:13,14 74:4 85:25 92:7 95:10 100:9

moving 29:23 84:7 87:15 89:4 Ν **name's** 82:7 **named** 32:2 36:17 44:20 51:13 57:13 66:8 111:17 **names** 19:15,17 narrowest 41:21 **natural** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 **nature** 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:9 89:21 necessarily 47:25 **needed** 25:12 60:20 61:1 negative 79:19 **net** 22:5,6,15, 23 25:15 51:25 52:25 53:5,10 **nobody's** 99:13 non-survivor 31:25 **Nos** 17:13 notarization 103:2 notarized 36:17 66:8 71:4 103:12,19,23, 25 107:14 **notary** 37:8 66:25 70:24 **noted** 101:1 **notes** 13:11,12, 16,19 14:7,11, 15,16 22:2,25 23:11,18 24:2 25:14 32:25 45:19,20 51:19 54:6 **notice** 74:18 November 9:5 12:15 15:5 22:4 23:12

25:25 40:15 49:23 86:2 106:21 107:2 **number** 15:23 55:12 72:18 73:15 81:11 **numbers** 13:20 0 **obey** 82:4 **object** 10:17 35:7 49:4 56:8 68:15 75:14 78:25 80:25 objected 79:8 objecting 68:21,24 objection 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11 19:22,24 26:23 34:12 39:3 45:24 49:5 60:9 61:4,17, 19 64:7 66:18, 20 68:16,23 69:4,8,9 70:5, 7 72:8 73:18 74:5 75:18 79:10 80:6 91:21 92:5,11, 17,18 93:23 94:9,12,21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 100:22,24 102:1 103:3 104:4,11 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:3,14 111:25 objections 104:24 108:17 **objects** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 obtained 68:12 occupation 12:1 **Ocean** 53:12

October 106:20 odd 100:8 offering 101:24 **office** 36:19 37:5,7,8 59:13,16,17 66:6,12 68:10, 11 70:1 71:12, 13 95:15 102:25 106:7 Officers 101:8 offices 37:4,6, 11 40:23 67:12 **offset** 49:17 offshore 47:9 **oldest** 31:24 open 12:14 15:8 **opened** 15:7 74:19 opening 43:12 operating 47:2, 3 108:24 operative 9:12 61:15 **opinion** 10:12 60:5 83:13,25 85:3,7,12 86:17,21 87:2 88:18 89:20,25 opportunity 91:3 opposed 80:3 opposite 99:17 optimistic 26:2 **order** 14:8,9 19:11 53:15 91:20,24 92:4 original 11:11 34:22 35:1,6 69:22,24 103:18 originally 107:12 originals 35:17,19 69:12 72:4 73:11 **outlived** 28:15 outstanding 49:19 **overrule** 94:12

overruled 49:7 passed 31:5 20:1 27:1,2 11,20 55:4 46:19 73:25 56:9 81:1 34:15,16 39:6, 65:7,23 67:14, 24 71:6 77:15 92:12 94:11 75:1 110:12 7 46:2,3 58:10 95:18 96:13 59:1 61:7,8, 98:3 **past** 60:3,4 103:4 106:18 20,21,22 62:2, **powers** 16:24 **pause** 58:8 3 64:10,11 overruling 27:8 77:12 **paying** 57:10 66:21,22 70:8, 92:18 practical 83:23 pecuniary 9 72:11,12 overstate 53:10 85:13 87:3 111:15,17 73:21,22 74:8, 88:19 90:5 **owned** 48:23 **perceive** 102:13 9 82:3 52:1,4 practice 13:16 **percent** 20:15 **plan** 18:3,7,9, 71:15 owns 52:8 21:17,19 33:22 14 25:10 46:7 practicing percentages 64:22 65:19 91:16 18:4 Ρ 99:4 **prepare** 26:4,6, **period** 49:23 planning 12:2 7 63:15 77:23 P.A. 105:4 permission 13:7 15:12,14 prepared 9:22 **Palm** 69:25 59:25 16:22 25:3,6 19:14 32:13 74:19 95:15 permitted 96:24 27:21 30:14 41:4 64:15 96:1,5,20 person 40:1 41:5,24 57:17 77:6,7,17 **Pam** 18:12 20:12 71:4 77:22 76:17 77:18, preparing 27:22 21:12 33:18 87:7,12 88:22, 23,24 80:21 presence 70:18 44:19,25 45:4 25 90:9,15 **play** 40:22 84:16,20,23,24 54:25 77:25 personal 18:22 44:4,7 86:6,10,13 **Pam's** 98:13 19:5 20:4,10 **plead** 92:10 87:21,25 88:4 paragraph 29:23 28:6,7,22 29:7 93:15 89:9 108:4 40:10 42:25 31:2 39:10,11 **pled** 93:20 **present** 36:13 43:14 48:20 65:1,2,6 plus-four 55:17 59:8,10 68:1 63:6 69:19 paraphrasing **point** 38:1 42:3 83:2,4,7 74:22 106:8,14 60:15 44:19 45:10 pretty 36:2 111:18 parents 19:18 52:24 56:3 previously 38:6 personally 98:9 57:12,23 63:4 103:13 14:25 36:22 part 29:17 42:8 67:8 75:7,21 **price** 53:16 37:12 67:1,3 46:13 65:4 78:7 108:19 70:24 71:1,14 primarily 79:22 99:3,4 **policy** 54:15,18 perspective **primary** 29:12 participate 8:7 56:22 57:8,9, 24:6 principal 20:19 10:18 12 petition 62:14, **prior** 14:22 **Ponzi** 47:13,18 **parties** 10:14 15 78:22 15:14 16:7 35:16 100:6 portion 58:12 **phone** 56:16 25:5 29:16 107:13,25 79:16 62:21,23 39:21 110:6 108:23 portions 62:14 physically privilege 38:9 partner 5:9 possessed 6:4 59:12 39:15 13:12 22:24 possession pro 5:15 6:25 31:22 41:11 **picture** 94:19 108:6,22 105:5,7 **place** 21:24 probate 24:8 109:1,2,16 82:1 101:2 **partner's** 14:15 29:15 43:13,16 possibility partnership plaintiff 5:6 46:18 99:1,22 46:7 101:1 104:1 41:10,12,14 8:1,19 10:20 posting 79:19 101:18 probated 102:5 **party** 24:15 **poured** 28:2,4 plaintiff's problems 42:12 **pass** 18:11,14 **power** 20:21 8:8,17 12:12, procedure 47:2, 20:20 28:8 21:1,4,9,15 25 13:1 14:3,4 3 108:24 42:18 55:3 30:1,4,13 17:12,13 19:25 57:4,13 31:12,15 33:6,

procedures 72:1 **proceed** 58:20 proceeding 7:4 24:19 procuring 84:5 85:22 87:13 88:23 90:16 produced 13:20 16:5 67:2 71:2 **product** 30:18 47:8 properly 33:21 37:23 40:9 71:16,19 property 18:22 19:5 20:5,10 28:6,7 42:25 43:14 83:15 85:4 86:19 88:10 89:22 protection 42:8 **provide** 15:13 18:9 29:24 57:3 76:14,18 91:8 provided 15:17, 18 16:14 31:13 45:6 76:21 78:7 103:14 providing 55:10 59:21 provision 29:3 **public** 42:20 published 74:19 **pulled** 55:24 purported 47:8 purpose 13:4 54:21 78:5 purposes 14:7 43:12 **put** 9:9 10:19 19:14 20:7 23:25 24:6 35:22 36:4 43:10 48:13, 17,18 51:23 52:16 58:3 63:6 71:5 80:18 96:21 99:13 101:19 putting 78:10

Q qualify 46:25 question 6:5,7, 10,22,23 7:1,9 8:19 38:11 68:19 69:10 79:7,11,15 86:5 92:7,25 93:10,12,14, 18,24 94:10, 13,16 95:2 98:23 99:10 103:7 106:5 questioning 79:1,2,7 questions 5:22 7:22 81:18,22 90:18,22 91:2, 4 94:23 95:3 96:24 97:1 100:15 102:18 104:11,22 108:10 111:1,4 quick 111:11 quickly 104:17 R **Rachel** 40:11 86:7,10,12 **Range** 50:13,19 **read** 7:7,23 9:9 23:1 27:5 53:23,24 54:25 77:23 79:15,16 84:13 reading 26:17 27:19 48:8 65:1 77:10 97:14 **ready** 5:4 58:20 **real** 53:8 111:11 **realize** 50:20 realized 48:3 reallocate 30:4 Realty 52:8 **recall** 20:13 22:17 32:20

38:4 45:13 71:10 74:17 75:20 76:1 **receipt** 62:16 receivable 47:5 48:1,6 **receive** 12:25 17:12 62:18 66:21 70:8 98:11 102:12 109:11,13 received 13:1 14:3,4 17:13 20:1 27:2 34:16 39:7 46:3 48:5 54:24 61:8 62:3,19,20 64:11 66:22 70:9 72:12 73:22 74:9 76:4 100:23,25 102:16 106:25 109:14 **recess** 58:16 recognize 22:11 35:10 38:21 45:18 67:20 **record** 11:18 13:10 26:13 28:20 29:18 42:21 79:16 **records** 106:23 **recover** 47:25 redirect 30:4 referring 55:15 62:24 63:1 99:1 **reflect** 14:11 23:19reflected 18:3 77:19 regard 71:8 reinstated 57:12 relation 56:13 relationship 14:22 41:23 42:17 85:8 86:23 88:14 90:1 102:23

released 63:5 46:24 releases 47:1 60:21 103:12 relevance 42:3 49:6 56:8 79:1,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 102:3, 13 103:3 109:19,21,23 110:14 relevancy 79:12 80:25 relevant 39:23 42:1 48:22 92:6,13 95:3, 5,11 102:2,3 109:22 110:1 **relief** 99:5,16, 18 **rely** 37:8 **remain** 29:17 remained 29:3 remaining 30:2 58:11 Remember 100:3 **remove** 38:5 39:19 **removed** 38:8, 12,18 **repeat** 104:24 replacement 31:5 reporter 79:15, 17 represent 68:9 82:8 representative 28:22 29:7 63:6 69:19 106:9,14 111:18 representatives 74:23 represented 6:2 7:13 10:11 16:8 request 7:5 45:2

| | December | 15, 2015 | 13 |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| requested 45:15 | 45:22 46:5 | send 35:4 44:1 | 25 37:10 38:1, |
| required 36:1 | 49:9 56:10 | 108:25 109:10 | 13,17 39:14, |
| 37:14,24 90:21 | 58:14,22,23 | sending 16:20 | 17,24 40:1,3, |
| | 61:3,10 62:5 | - | 23 41:24 |
| requirement | 64:5,13 66:16, | sense 41:21 | 42:15,18,20 |
| 104:1 | 24 68:9 69:11 | 101:5 | 43:2 44:2 |
| residuary 20:6, | 70:3,11 72:6, | sentence 20:20 | 46:17 58:24 |
| 11,15 28:12 | 14 73:13,16,24 | 21:3 29:25 | 61:12,20,21,23 |
| 43:2 | 74:4,11 79:21, | separate 62:23 | 75:9 76:22 |
| respect 78:22 | 25 80:7,8 | 64:25 | 77:2 78:13 |
| 85:25 103:6 | 81:3,18 91:21 | September 73:25 | 80:11 82:15,19 |
| respond 60:12 | 92:5,11 93:23 | 106:25 | 83:7,11,13,17, |
| response 12:23 | 94:21 95:17 | serve 28:24 | 21,25 84:8,10, |
| 14:1 17:10 | 96:6,12 97:17 | 40:11 | 15,20,23 85:1, |
| 19:23 26:24 | 98:16 99:17 | service 62:15 | 6,11,17 86:2, |
| 34:13 39:4 | 100:24 102:3,9 | session 58:9 | 5,10,13,22 |
| 45:25 61:5 | 103:3 104:4 | set 8:3,23 | 87:1,8 91:12 |
| 64:8 66:19 | 105:9,15 | 32:21 33:12,16 | 95:23 97:8 |
| 70:6 72:9 | 106:17 109:19 | 37:1 41:10 | 101:14 103:2,6 |
| 73:19 74:6 | 110:14 111:25 | 52:4 58:1 | 106:8 |
| rest 63:7 | Rover 50:13,19 | 72:16 | Shirley's 18:25 |
| restated 9:18 | Rubin 12:6 | settled 94:20 | 22:6 28:22 |
| 64:16,23 71:23 | | seven-fifty | 30:1 34:7 |
| 89:6 | Ruffin 12:6 | | 35:11 40:17,20 |
| retain 12:8 | rule 103:24 | 54:13,14 | 43:13 44:20 |
| retaining 13:5, | 104:2 109:24 | Seventeen 73:16 | 48:24 50:4 |
| 14 | rules 7:20 | share 26:9 | 51:1,7 56:19 |
| returned 100:12 | ruling 93:5,9 | 40:19 49:2 | 58:6 60:10,19 |
| reverse 14:8,9 | 95:10 | 63:11 | 65:8 68:11 |
| review 13:6 | rulings 104:23 | shares 20:21 | 76:16 77:10,14 |
| | | 21:21 23:6 | 97:24 98:1,6 |
| 74:20 94:20 | S | 51:14 80:18 | 106:13 110:17 |
| revocable 27:7 | | sharp 55:20 | short 27:25 |
| 28:2 29:16 | sale 53:16 | 72:25 | shorter 31:2 |
| 75:4 | save 52:7 | sheet 12:14 | shorthand 28:20 |
| revoked 9:13,23 | scale 55:11 | 52:16 53:8 | 51:4 |
| robert 10:21,23 | | Sheriff 96:2,5, | |
| 11:19 82:5 | schedule 25:10 | 21 | shotgun 110:24 |
| 91:6 | scheme 47:13,18 | Sheriff's 95:15 | show 17:1 22:9 |
| role 40:23 | Scott 75:22 | Shirley 8:25 | 36:2 |
| 44:4,7 | 76:4 | 9:1,2,14 | showed 32:25 |
| room 59:14 | seat 100:10,11, | 11:20,23 12:8, | shows 18:19 |
| room's 82:3 | 13 | 16,18 13:4,14 | 49:20 |
| Rose 5:5,6,9 | seated 24:20 | 14:19,21 16:8, | Si 13:13 18:10 |
| 8:10,21 9:5,8 | SEC 91:20 92:2 | 12 17:17 18:10 | 28:19,22 37:11 |
| 10:2,20 11:2, | 94:20 | 19:15 21:22 | 40:3 42:14 |
| 4,7,10,14,17 | secretary 66:13 | 23:16 24:9 | 43:13,23 45:3 |
| 12:20 13:3,22 | seeking 99:5,7, | 25:1 26:10 | 46:25 47:7 |
| 14:6 17:7,15 | 15,18 101:1,19 | 27:13 28:1,9, | 54:21 58:25 |
| 18:1 19:10,20 | 102:4,6 | 15,18 30:22 | 60:20 64:14 |
| 20:3 24:24 | seeks 9:15 | 31:2,11,23 | 73:2 98:3 |
| 26:21 27:4 | self-proving | 32:1,18 33:3, | Si's 34:5 |
| 34:10,18 39:1, | 70:21 | 17,25 35:22 | 48:19,24 50:16 |
| 9 41:21 42:5,6 | | 36:4,11,13,22, | 66:12 67:23 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | December | 15, 2015 | 14 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 71:13 76:17 | 21:22 22:5,14 | 73:16 93:4,16 | spend 44:12 |
| siblings 21:17 | 23:2,16 24:25 | 98:24 101:23 | split 19:6 20:5 |
| 63:15 103:13 | 25:15 26:9 | 104:8 | spoke 45:3 |
| 107:4 | 27:13 28:1,9, | sisters 33:23 | spouse 30:13 |
| sic 104:3 | 20,23 29:9 | 45:8 98:4 | 44:17 |
| sicker 42:18 | 30:22 31:4,12, | 107:1 | spouses 30:4 |
| side 5:14 8:6,8 | 14,21 32:18 | sit 24:17 | St 53:17 |
| 49:16 58:11 | 33:6,17,25 | 100:4,5 | |
| 82:3 99:6 | 35:7 37:1,17, | sits 34:23 | stack 14:10 |
| 102:6 | 19 40:1,20,23 | sitting 24:17 | stamp 13:20 |
| sign 37:1 38:17 | 41:24 42:7,23 | 37:3 | 16:17 68:6 |
| 61:1 67:14 | 43:25 44:2,5, | situation 44:16 | stand 58:13 |
| 69:13 91:24 | 8,11,12 45:10 | skipped 65:4 | standard 13:16 |
| 108:25 109:16 | 46:6 47:4 | slander 79:4 | 29:14 47:2,3 |
| signature 22:20 | 50:6,12 51:12 | slighted 56:1 | 108:24 |
| 35:14 36:8,11 | 52:2,9 53:20 | | Stanford 47:8,9 |
| 65:25 66:3 | 54:1 55:19,22 56:13 57:15 | small 54:17 | Stansbury 31:22 |
| 70:15,23 107:6 | 59:10,12,14,20 | sold 53:13 | 51:13 |
| signature's | 60:8 63:10,16, | 78:24 | star 48:17 |
| 108:4 | 19 64:1 65:25 | sole 28:10 | start 14:11 |
| signatures | 67:10 68:1 | 42:24 43:5,20, | 29:9 91:5 |
| 34:20 35:16 | 69:18,20 70:16 | 21 44:17 75:4 | started 72:16 |
| 103:22,23 | 71:23 72:19,24 | somebody's | starting 57:20 |
| signed 26:5 | 73:4,25 74:12, | 101:7 | starts 29:25 |
| 27:12,22 29:4 | 15 77:15 78:13 | son 31:24 32:7 | state 11:18 |
| 32:19 36:25 | 80:9,11,22,23 | 51:12 | 95:22 107:24 |
| 37:13,23 38:23 | 81:13 82:9 | sophisticated | stated 12:24 |
| 39:24 62:17 | 87:16,20,25 | 25:10 | 14:2 17:11 |
| 63:4 65:17 | 88:4,8,13,18 | sort 27:19 | 19:24 70:7 |
| 70:17,24 | 89:1,5,8,13, | 30:10 44:11 | 107:3 |
| 71:10,16,19,25 | 17,19,25 90:4, | 46:14 48:18 | statement 22:15 |
| 72:3 73:8 | 11 91:12 | 64:20 | 68:17,22,25 |
| 74:15 77:14 | 106:8,9,16 | sound 24:10 | 69:2,9 109:2, |
| 78:13 96:9 | 107:3,7,18,24 | 74:1 | 16 110:13 |
| 107:1,13,18,19 | 108:3 109:1,2, | Spallina 10:21, | stating 108:5 |
| 108:1,3,21,23 | 15 110:10 | 23 11:19,21 | status 46:17 |
| 109:4 111:21 | Simon's 9:24 | 15:22 54:4 82:5,7 91:6,8 | 75:25 |
| signer 31:25 | 18:24 22:5,23 | 95:14 105:4,12 | stay 7:3 |
| significant | 27:15 29:7,22 | Spallina's | Stephen 15:25 |
| 56:6 | 31:5 37:5,6,8 43:20 51:16 | 61:15 | 16:7 |
| significantly | 65:12 75:1 | | stock 53:4 |
| 52:25 | 107:11 108:22 | speaks 19:5 | 80:18 |
| signing 35:15 | 110:7,9,19 | special 30:1 32:9 65:7 | stood 46:14 |
| 39:21 | simple 28:2 | | stop 6:13 7:12 |
| similar 30:10 | simple 28.2 simpler 77:21 | 80:9,11,13,16, 20,23 | 19:8 41:18 |
| 37:1,2 | - | | 96:23 104:7,22 |
| Simon 8:16 9:17 | SIPC 47:5,10,20 | specific 32:2 38:6 39:19 | story 52:25 |
| 10:4 11:20,23 | 48:4,15 | specifically | stress 63:7 |
| 12:8,16,18 | sir 5:15 6:14 7:15 8:11 9:8 | 39:14,17 57:15 | strictly 82:4 |
| 13:4 14:19,21 | 16:16 24:22 | | strike 44:10 |
| 16:2,8,12,20, | 42:5 58:14 | speed 50:3 | 63:25 71:9 |
| 21 17:17 19:15 | 12.J JU.14 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

80:10 structure 21:24 struggling 55:22 subject 20:22 65:20 99:22 **submit** 100:17 105:12 106:7 Tab submitted 103:16 105:2 subsequent 81:8 successor 5:7 28:23 29:6,20 31:4,9,19,20, 22,24 43:5 74:25 75:9 78:23 **Sue** 18:12 **sued** 5:25 6:3, 9,11 suffered 53:6 suggested 57:3 suggesting 101:2 **summary** 26:17 46:14 63:24 64:21 superseded 9:23 supporting 56:5 supposed 24:17 21 75:15 80:23 supposedly 6:1 47:11 surrogate 27:9 survive 65:9 survived 28:9 42:23 surviving 44:17 **survivor** 18:10, 11,14 20:9 21:8,16 28:8 30:12 33:18 sustain 69:3 80:6 94:8 110:3 sustained 69:9 91:22 92:17 93:24 94:22 96:7 97:18 98:17 104:5,11 105:10 110:15

sway 60:4 10:24 sworn 69:3 109:2,16 **system** 70:1 т 19:11 101:18 table 5:17,21 100:6 talk 6:15 15:10,11 29:9 32:21,22 33:1 40:2 56:14 57:20,22 58:5 59:20 talked 52:16 54:4 55:10 56:17 talking 20:24 78:11 79:3 talks 19:4 20:17 tangible 18:22 19:5 20:10 28:7 42:24 43:14 tax 43:22 49:15 taxes 43:18,19, technically 39:15 **Ted** 5:6 18:12 28:23 29:6 31:5,8,24 32:6 33:18 43:25 44:2,4 45:7 51:12 66:12,14 75:8,10 98:13 **Ted's** 32:7 77:25 telephone 72:18 telling 91:2 110:25 ten 57:8 58:16 59:22 60:10 77:15 80:18 tendency 53:10 term 43:8

terms 9:16 20:22 27:19,24 77:13 102:7 **Tescher** 12:6 15:22 23:10 74:22,25 105:4,12 **Tescher's** 13:12 22:25 51:19 54:6 testamentary 8:22 9:10 29:12 37:24 38:24 39:23 41:5,20 44:2 45:11 63:11 67:15 77:2 80:12 testified 10:25 61:16 102:22 111:11 testimony 9:22 91:9 94:25 theoretically 21:11 29:11 **thing** 64:20 78:21 99:14 things 41:8 42:8 43:11 49:12 53:4 60:2 78:17 79:21 101:9 104:18,19 110:23 111:3 thinking 56:18 thought 49:1 50:19 53:20 55:8 59:23 60:2,11 81:5,9 95:9 tightly 110:25 til 109:17 110:12 **time** 8:5,18 10:17 12:1 13:14 19:12 22:7 25:11,20 26:2 32:15 36:14,25 40:20 41:1 44:13,19 46:15 49:1,19, 23 52:7 53:6

56:3 58:8,10, 14,17 67:8 72:1,19,24 75:11 76:8 82:4 83:13,17, 21 85:1,6,11 86:16,21 87:1 88:7,12,17 89:19,24 90:8 96:17 104:24 106:9,15 108:19 times 7:21 25:24 81:15 94:2 96:4 **title** 29:16 titled 101:14 titles 111:16 **today** 8:9,17,20 9:20 34:23 36:1 51:24 76:6,8 82:10 91:8,15 109:3, 24 111:4,12 today's 14:7 told 51:18 60:5,20 100:3 top 20:18 28:18 47:5 totaled 49:12, 13 totals 23:5 **Traci** 36:14,22 84:12,14,16, 20,22 traded 50:12,18 trading 91:25 93:22 transmittal 77:18 transmitting 26:15 **travel** 37:11 **treated** 102:5 tree 19:13 32:5 trial 5:10 6:22 8:2,4 104:16, 17 **trials** 7:20 75:22 Tripp 76:4

| | December | 15, 2015 | 16 |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| true 21:13 | Typically 30:12 | values 53:7,8 | wished 25:3 |
| 26:18 71:16 | | verbal 12:23 | wishes 97:12,15 |
| 76:24 110:13 | | 14:1 | |
| trust 6:3 9:1, | υ | | withdraw 38:11, |
| 3,18,21,25 | | vice 6:25 | 15 |
| 10:5 20:19,22 | ultimately 57:6 | View 75:25 | withdrawing |
| 27:7 28:2,13 | 65:20 | <pre>virtually 31:3</pre> | 93:11 |
| 29:10,16,18,22 | Um-hum 33:8 | visit 41:1 | withdrawn |
| 30:2,3 31:13, | 51:10 | volition 73:1 | 100:13 |
| 23 33:3 35:11, | unable 28:24 | | withdrew 91:19 |
| 19,23,25 36:5 | uncommon 25:8 | W | witnesses 36:10 |
| 37:2,19,20 | 53:3 | | 70:16 84:12 |
| 38:2,24 40:17, | unconfused | wait 80:5 | wives 100:5 |
| 18 43:3 48:24 | 104:17 | waiting 46:22, | word 53:22 |
| 51:1 57:13 | underline 67:2, | 23 | words 27:6 |
| 61:12,22,24 | 3 | waiver 61:1 | work 7:18 22:2 |
| 64:16,23 65:8 | underlying 63:3 | | 36:19 91:12 |
| 69:12 71:23 | underneath 50:4 | 62:13,15 103:12 107:1, | worked 66:12 |
| 73:11 74:15 | 51:23 | 7,10,18,19,23 | working 12:2 |
| 75:1,4,9,12 | understand | 108:21 109:14 | 110:23 |
| 78:24 80:18 | 24:21 77:11 | waivers 46:23 | works 94:6 |
| 84:8 85:2 86:2 | 88:9,13,18 | 47:1 60:21 | world 53:3 |
| 87:3 89:6,9,12 | 89:21 90:1,5 | 62:20 105:13 | |
| 90:6 95:23 | understanding | 107:4,12,21,25 | worth 22:5,6, |
| 97:8,24 98:1, | 83:18,23 85:3 | 108:2,5,7,23 | 15,23 25:15 |
| 2,7,8,11 99:8 | understood | 109:3,5,7,17 | 51:18,19,21,25 52:25 53:5,11 |
| 101:15 110:18, | 83:14 85:8,13 | 110:7,10 | |
| 20 111:19 | 86:18,23 87:3 | Walker 40:11 | write 21:14 |
| trustee 5:7 | unduly 84:1 | 86:7,10,12 | wrong 92:22 |
| 6:3,12 29:20 | 85:17 87:8 | wanted 10:10 | wrote 23:10 |
| 31:9,19,20,22 | 89:1 90:10 | 13:6 17:24 | 49:15 |
| 43:5 75:4,9 | unequal 20:22 | 21:23,25 | |
| 78:24 111:18, | unlike 68:10 | 31:21,23 32:23 | Y |
| 24 | 69:12 | 37:10 55:24 | |
| trustees 75:1 | | 56:1 57:7,22 | Yates 76:11 |
| trusts 16:24 | untimely 7:25 | 59:20,22 60:1 | 95:24 96:10 |
| 20:7 27:7 | unusual 44:16 | waste 8:6 10:16 | 98:13,20 |
| 29:24 32:13,15 | · | wasting 44:13 | year 43:17 56:6 |
| 64:25 80:16 | v | watches 101:10 | years 47:17 |
| 98:10 | | ways 57:4 | 55:12,14,16,17 |
| truthfulness | vague 77:9 | 104:15 | |
| 95:1 | Vaguely 76:2 | wealth 60:10 | |
| turn 14:14 | valid 8:22 | Weiss 5:10 | |
| 19:9,11 24:13 | 9:11,15 10:1,5 | | |
| 34:19 35:21,22 | 35:25 37:15,21 | well-being 101:11 | |
| 68:4,5 70:12 | 71:19 77:2 | | |
| 82:17 | 79:23 80:1,3,5 | When's 96:17 | |
| turning 8:1 | 101:2 110:18, | wife 5:18 32:7 | |
| typewritten | 20 | wife's 59:21 | |
| 68:13 69:16 | validated 9:20 | wills 16:23,25 | |
| typical 18:13 | validity 41:19 | 17:5,6 27:6,9 | |
| 32:24 | 79:1,12 82:10 | 28:4 30:11 | |
| | 109:25 111:10 | | |
| | | | |
| L | | | |

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

Γ

| 1 | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA |
|----|---|
| 2 | CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB |
| 3 | TED BERNSTEIN, |
| 4 | Plaintiff, |
| 5 | -VS- |
| 6 | DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al., |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Defendants. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS |
| 11 | VOLUME 2 PAGES 117 - 260 |
| 12 | Tuesday, December 15, 2015 |
| 13 | North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410 |
| 14 | 9:43 a.m 4:48 p.m. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 17 | Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198- VOL 2 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 **APPEARANCES:** On behalf of the Plaintiff: 2 3 ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA 4 THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 5 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Phone: 561.655.2250 6 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com 7 8 On behalf of the Defendant: 9 ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE 2753 NW 34th Street 10 Boca Raton, Florida 33434 Phone: 561.245.8588 11 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv 12 13 On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein: 14 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE 15 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 16 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866 17 E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015 1 - -2 INDEX 3 - - -4 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 120 BY MR. ROSE: 188 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 194 TED BERNSTEIN 8 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 206 BY MR. ROSE: 213 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 217 11 12 13 - - -14 EXHIBITS 15 - - -16 DESCRIPTION NUMBER PAGE DEFENDANT'S EX. 2 LETTER 17 161 DEFENDANT'S EX. 3 PETITION FOR DISCHARGE 198 18 19 20 21 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 6 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 187 22 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 23 24 25

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | (Proceedings continued from Volume 1.) |
| 4 | THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Our |
| 5 | witness is still under oath. |
| 6 | Is there any further cross-examination? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 9 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) (Cont'd) |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Spallina, just to clarify |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can he just stand at |
| 13 | the podium? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, use the podium. Your |
| 15 | microphone will help explain your questions. But |
| 16 | you can walk up there. If you need to show the |
| 17 | witness a document or something, that's fine. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 19 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 20 | Q. Did you are you a member of the Florida |
| 21 | Bar? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 23 | Q. Currently? |
| 24 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. You said before you surrendered your |
| | |

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

1 license. I said I withdrew from my firm. It wasn't 2 Α. that I was not practicing. 3 Okay. In the chain of custody of these 4 Q. documents, you stated that there were three copies made? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. Do you have those three original trust copies here? 8 T do not. 9 Α. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Does anybody? 11 THE COURT: Do you have any other questions of the witness? 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I wanted to ask him 13 some questions on the original documents. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Keep going. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. So the original documents aren't in the 17 Q. 18 court? 19 Α. I don't have them. Your firm is not in possession of any of the 20 Q. 21 original documents? 2.2 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not at the firm anymore. 23 Q. When you left the firm, were there documents 24 still at the firm? 25 A. Yes, there were.

| 1 | Q. Were you ordered by the court to turn those |
|----|---|
| 2 | documents over to the curator, Benjamin Brown? |
| 3 | A. I don't recall. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Can he clarify the |
| 5 | question, which documents? Because I believe the |
| 6 | curator was for the estate, and the original will |
| 7 | was already in file, and the curator would have no |
| 8 | interest in the trust |
| 9 | THE COURT: Which documents? When you say |
| 10 | "those documents," which ones are you referring to? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Any of the trusts and estate |
| 12 | documents. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. That's been clarified. |
| 14 | You can answer, if you can. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I believe that he was given I |
| 16 | believe all the documents were copied by |
| 17 | Mr. Pollock's office, and that he was given some |
| 18 | type of zip drive with everything. I'm not sure, |
| 19 | though. I couldn't |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Did the zip drive contain the original |
| 22 | documents? |
| 23 | A. Did not. I believe the original documents |
| 24 | came back to our office. Having said that, we would |
| 25 | only have when we made and had the client execute |
| | |

| 1 | three documents, two originals of those documents would |
|----|---|
| 2 | remain with the client, and then we would keep one |
| 3 | original in our file, except including, most of the |
| 4 | time, the original will, which we put in our safe |
| 5 | deposit box. So we would have one original of every |
| 6 | document that they had executed, including the original |
| 7 | will, and they would keep two originals of everything, |
| 8 | except for the will, which we would give them conformed |
| 9 | copies of, because there was only one original will. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. I asked a specific question. Did your |
| 11 | firm, after the court order of Martin Colin, retain |
| 12 | documents, original documents? |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Sorry. I should have |
| 14 | let him finish. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: original documents? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: I believe |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Relevance and misstates the |
| 18 | there's no such order. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, the question is, Did your |
| 20 | firm retain the original documents? |
| 21 | Is that the question? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 24 | Answer, please. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I believe we had original |
| | |

| 1 | documents. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. After the date you were court ordered to |
| 4 | produce them to the curator? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Object that's the part I object |
| 6 | to. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. To your knowledge so, to your knowledge, |
| 11 | the documents can't all be here since they may be at |
| 12 | your firm today? |
| 13 | A. I don't practice at the firm anymore, so I'm |
| 14 | not sure where the documents are. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And you said you made copies of all the |
| 16 | documents that you turned over to the curator? Did you |
| 17 | turn over any original documents as ordered by the |
| 18 | court? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Same objection. |
| 20 | There's no court order requiring an original |
| 21 | document be turned over. |
| 22 | THE COURT: What order are you referring to? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge Colin ordered when they |
| 24 | resigned due to the fraudulent alteration of the |
| 25 | documents that they turn over |

| 1 | THE COURT: I just said, what order are you |
|----|---|
| 2 | referring to? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It's an order Judge Colin |
| 4 | ordered. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. Well, produce that |
| 6 | order so I can see it, because Judge Colton's [sic] |
| 7 | been retired for six or seven years. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I don't have it with |
| 9 | me, but |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well, Judge Colton's a retired |
| 11 | judge. He may have served in some other capacity, |
| 12 | but he doesn't enter orders, unless he's sitting as |
| 13 | a replacement judge. And that's why I'll need to |
| 14 | see the order you're talking about, so I'll know if |
| 15 | he's doing that. Okay. Thanks. Next question. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Has anyone, to the best of your |
| 18 | knowledge, seen the originals while you were in custody |
| 19 | of them? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Who? |
| 22 | A. I believe Ken Pollock's firm was Ken |
| 23 | Pollock's firm was the firm that took the documents for |
| 24 | purposes of copying them. |
| 25 | Q. Did anybody ask you, refer copies to inspect |

| 1 | the documents? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Other than Ken Pollock's office, I don't |
| 3 | recall. |
| 4 | Q. Did I ask you? |
| 5 | A. Perhaps you did. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to go through |
| 7 | some of the documents with him real quick. But I |
| 8 | don't have my wife to hand me the documents, so |
| 9 | it's going to take me incredibly long. These are |
| 10 | just copies I have. Can I approach him? |
| 11 | THE COURT: All approaches are okay. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. Are these the documents that you drafted, |
| 15 | Shirley's will and Shirley's trust agreement? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, could I see what he's |
| 17 | handing the witness before he hands it to them? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Say again. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: I don't know what he's handing the |
| 20 | witness. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. You'll need to show |
| 22 | the other side the documents that you're handing to |
| 23 | the witness so that they're looking at the same |
| 24 | thing you're talking about. |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: These are not accurate. These are |

| 1 | multiple things stapled together. I'd object to |
|----|--|
| 2 | the exhibit or the use of it. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Ma'am, if you come back up past |
| 4 | that bar one more time, you'll be in contempt of |
| 5 | court. I don't want you to be in contempt of |
| 6 | court. Do you understand my instruction? |
| 7 | MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I don't know if that's filed with |
| 10 | the court and I don't know that these are genuine. |
| 11 | And the second document has attached to it |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, you don't need to tell me |
| 13 | what the papers are. The thing that the person |
| 14 | who's asking the questions has to do is show you |
| 15 | the documents that he's going to show the witness. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Okay. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Then I intend to move forward. I |
| 18 | expect he'll show the witness the documents and |
| 19 | then he'll probably ask a question. |
| 20 | Am I right? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to see those? |
| 22 | THE COURT: Nope. |
| 23 | So then if there's an objection to the |
| 24 | documents coming in, if at some time they're |
| 25 | proffered as an exhibit, then I'll take the |
| | |

| 1 | objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Have you seen the documents that are in his |
| 3 | hand that are going to be shown to the witness? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. |
| 6 | Proceed. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Can you look at the initials on the |
| 9 | pages of that document and describe them describe |
| 10 | what they look like? |
| 11 | A. The initials? |
| 12 | Q. Yes. |
| 13 | A. On each page, there's an SB |
| 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | A for your mother's initials. |
| 16 | Q. And it's clearly SB? |
| 17 | A. Is it clearly SB? |
| 18 | Q. Yeah. Looks like SB? |
| 19 | A. Yes, it's clearly SB. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And on this will signed on the same |
| 21 | date by my mother in your presence, is that my mom's |
| 22 | initials? And does it look like an SB? Do they even |
| 23 | look similar? |
| 24 | A. Well, your mother was asked to sign these |
| 25 | documents. |

| 1 | Q. Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. When we execute a will, unlike the bottom of |
| 3 | the trust agreement where we initial the trust pages, on |
| 4 | the bottom of the will, she's supposed to sign her |
| 5 | signature. And which she has done at the bottom of each |
| 6 | page, is sign her signature consistent with the |
| 7 | signature page that she signed. |
| 8 | Q. So what you're saying is, she signed this |
| 9 | document, that she initialed this document? |
| 10 | A. Right. We only ask that for purposes of the |
| 11 | trust that they initial each page. For purposes of the |
| 12 | will, that they sign each page. |
| 13 | So this is the signature that she has this |
| 14 | is her signature on the bottom of this document. |
| 15 | Q. Well, there's no line saying that's her |
| 16 | signature, correct? There would be |
| 17 | A. But that was our practice. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | A. That was our practice, to have |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You testified to my dad's state of mind |
| 21 | that he was fine. |
| 22 | Si was usual when you saw him from May through |
| 23 | his death; is that correct? |
| 24 | A. Are you speaking about 2012? |
| 25 | Q. Yes. |

| 1 | A. Correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Are you aware of any medical problems my |
| 3 | father was having at that time? |
| 4 | A. No, I'm not. |
| 5 | Q. Are you aware of any stress he was under? |
| 6 | A. No, I was not. |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Rose had you read into or read into the |
| 8 | record a letter that I wrote with my waiver, saying, |
| 9 | anything I haven't seen the dispositive documents, |
| 10 | but I'll do anything, 'cause my dad is under stress, to |
| 11 | relieve him of his stress. |
| 12 | Do you know what stress I was referring to? |
| 13 | A. I don't. |
| 14 | Q. Were you in the May meeting with my father, |
| 15 | May 10, 2012? |
| 16 | A. I was are you talking about on the |
| 17 | telephone call? |
| 18 | Q. Correct. |
| 19 | A. I wasn't together with him. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Were you together with anybody on that |
| 21 | call? |
| 22 | A. No. I was on in my my office phone. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. And at that meeting, did Si state that |
| 24 | he was having this meeting to end disputes among certain |
| 25 | parties and himself? |
| | |

| 1 | A. I don't recall. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were there any disputes you were aware of? |
| 3 | A. The only thing that he ever brought to my |
| 4 | attention was the letter that Pam had sent him. |
| 5 | Q. And what did Pam's letter state, basically? |
| 6 | A. I can't remember it. I mean, it was the |
| 7 | letter that he showed me in February of 2012. But the |
| 8 | general gist of that letter was that she was unhappy |
| 9 | about not being part of their estates. |
| 10 | Q. Just her or her and her children? |
| 11 | A. She may have spoke to her children. |
| 12 | Q. Was there anybody else who was left out of the |
| 13 | wills and trusts? |
| 14 | A. That was causing him stress? |
| 15 | Q. No. Just anybody at this point that was left |
| 16 | out, other than Pam. |
| 17 | A. Yes. Ted. |
| 18 | Q. And are you aware of anything Ted and Pam were |
| 19 | doing to force upon Si changes? |
| 20 | A. Not to my knowledge, other than the letter |
| 21 | that Pam had sent to him just expressing her |
| 22 | dissatisfaction. |
| 23 | Q. You said you talked to her attorney? |
| 24 | A. I talked to her attorney. |
| 25 | Q. And you told her attorney, while Si was |
| | |

1 living, that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts with her brother Ted? 2 I don't recall the conversation with the 3 Α. attorney, but, ultimately, Si gave me authorization to 4 send documents to the attorney. So we may have had a 5 conversation about it. 6 7 So you're stating that Si told you to -- he 0. authorized you to tell his daughter that she had been 8 cut out of the estates and trusts? 9 10 He authorized me to send documents to the Α. 11 attorney. 12 Ο. Did you send those documents to the attorney? 13 Α. I believe we did, yes. Okay. Was Ted and his lineal descendants 14 Ο. 15 disinherited? They were, under the original documents. 16 Α. Well, under Shirley's document that's 17 Q. 18 currently theirs, Ted considered predeceased for all 19 purposes of disposition according to the language in the document you drafted? 20 21 Α. To the extent that assets passed to him under 2.2 the trust. 23 Q. Well, the document says, for all purposes of disposition, Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased, 24 25 correct?

| 1 | A. You'll have to state the question again. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Does the document you drafted say that Ted |
| 3 | Bernstein is both considered predeceased under the |
| 4 | beneficiary definition with his lineal descendants and |
| 5 | considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions |
| 6 | of the trust? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. The |
| 8 | document's in evidence. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll have him read it. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Well, I mean, I can read it. It's |
| 12 | in evidence. So when it comes time, just point me |
| 13 | to the part that you want me to read, and I'll read |
| 14 | it. But I don't need to have the witness read it |
| 15 | to me. That's of no benefit. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, and for the record, |
| 17 | those issues are part of the other counts and |
| 18 | aren't being tried today. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 7, Your Honor, of the |
| 20 | Shirley trust. |
| 21 | THE COURT: What exhibit number is that? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You want me to enter it as my |
| 23 | exhibit? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your |
| 25 | Honor. |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: All right. Let me go to page 7 of |
| 2 | Plaintiff's 2. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I enter this one into the |
| 4 | record? |
| 5 | THE COURT: Is it the same as the one I |
| 6 | already have? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: According to Alan, it's not. |
| 8 | THE COURT: According to who? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. Well, if it comes time |
| 11 | for you to put any exhibits in on your case, if |
| 12 | that's not a duplicate of an exhibit that's already |
| 13 | in, you're welcome to put it into evidence. But |
| 14 | this is not the time when you put evidence in. |
| 15 | This is the time when you're cross-examining the |
| 16 | plaintiff's witness. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: So on Page 7 of Plaintiff's 2, you |
| 19 | can go on with your questioning. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Are you there and are we on the same page? |
| 22 | Yes? |
| 23 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. In the definition of under E1, do |
| 25 | you see where it starts "notwithstanding the foregoing"? |
| | |

| | · |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. Can you read that? |
| 3 | A. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have |
| 4 | adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for |
| 5 | purposes of the dispositions made under this trust to my |
| 6 | children, Ted S. Bernstein and Pamela B. Simon and their |
| 7 | respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have |
| 8 | predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, |
| 9 | however, if my children Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni |
| 10 | and" |
| 11 | Q. Okay, that's you can stop there. |
| 12 | Would you consider making distributions a |
| 13 | disposition under the trust? |
| 14 | A. It would it depend on other factors. |
| 15 | Q. What factors? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Is a validity hearing a disposition of the |
| 20 | trust? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 22 | conclusion. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he drafted the document, |
| 25 | so I'm trying to get what his meaning was when he |
| | |

| 1 | put it in. And it's relevant to the hearing today. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: I ruled it's not relevant. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, you did rule that? |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do you have another question of |
| 5 | the witness? Or we're moving on. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. So for purposes of disposition, Ted, Pam and |
| 9 | her lineal descendants are considered predeceased, |
| 10 | correct? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy, cumulative |
| 12 | and best evidence. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | The document says what it says. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 16 | THE COURT: When you ask a witness if it says |
| 17 | what it says, I don't pay any attention to his |
| 18 | answer, because I'm reading what it says. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Did you produce a fraudulent copy of the |
| 22 | Shirley trust agreement? |
| 23 | A. No, I did not. |
| 24 | Q. So when you sent to Christine Yates this trust |
| 25 | agreement with the attached amendment that you've |

| 1 | already admitted you fraudulently altered, was that |
|----|---|
| 2 | producing a not valid copy of the trust that was |
| 3 | distributed to a party? |
| 4 | A. We've already talked about the amendment was |
| 5 | not a valid amendment. |
| 6 | Q. No, I'm asking, did you create a not valid |
| 7 | trust of my mother's and distribute it to Christine |
| 8 | Yates, my children's attorney? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. He's |
| 10 | covered this. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it has to go to the |
| 12 | validity, Your Honor, because |
| 13 | THE COURT: The question I'm figuring out is, |
| 14 | have we already covered this? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: We touched on a piece of it. |
| 16 | The more important part |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let you reask |
| 18 | your question to cover something that we've not |
| 19 | already covered. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And we covered that |
| 21 | the |
| 22 | THE COURT: You don't have to remind me. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Listen, see, this look at this. |
| 25 | I take notes. I write stuff down. Now, a lot of |
| | |

| 1 | times, if you see me not writing and I'm doodling, |
|----|--|
| 2 | that means you're not scoring any points. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You've got to show me |
| 4 | THE COURT: The point is, I should be writing |
| 5 | notes. So that means you're not doing any good. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 7 | THE COURT: So, please, the reason I write it |
| 8 | is so we don't have to repeat things. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. You've already stated that you created |
| 11 | a fraudulent amendment. |
| 12 | Did you attach it to a Shirley trust document? |
| 13 | A. No. We included the amendment with the |
| 14 | documents that we transmitted to her. |
| 15 | Q. So it was included as part of the Shirley |
| 16 | trust document as an amendment, correct? |
| 17 | A. It was included as an amendment. |
| 18 | Q. To the Shirley trust document. |
| 19 | Thereby, you created a fraudulent copy, a not |
| 20 | valid copy of the Shirley trust, correct? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 22 | Cumulative. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 24 | You can answer. Did that create a fraudulent |
| 25 | version of the trust? |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: It could have, yes, Your Honor. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. Can you explain why it couldn't have? |
| 4 | A. Because Si ultimately exercised his power of |
| 5 | appointment, which was broader than the definitional |
| 6 | provision in the document. |
| 7 | Q. That's not my question. I'll just say it was |
| 8 | asked and not answered. |
| 9 | Okay. So there are not validly not valid |
| 10 | Shirley trust agreements in circulation, correct? |
| 11 | A. That's not true. |
| 12 | Q. Well, the Shirley trust agreement you said |
| 13 | sent to Christine Yates you've just stated was invalidly |
| 14 | produced. |
| 15 | A. To Christine Yates. |
| 16 | Q. Yeah, okay. So I said "in circulation." |
| 17 | Is Christine Yates out of circulation? |
| 18 | A. I don't know what Christine Yates did with the |
| 19 | documents. |
| 20 | Q. Well, I got a copy, so they're even more in |
| 21 | circulation. |
| 22 | So my point being, you sent from your law firm |
| 23 | fraudulent a non-valid copy of the document |
| 24 | A. Which document? |
| 25 | Q the Shirley trust and her amendment to |
| | |

| Christine Yates, right? |
|--|
| MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| THE COURT: Sustained. |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll move on from |
| that. |
| BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| Q. Would you know about when you did that |
| fraudulent alteration of the document? |
| A. January 2013. |
| Q. And you were a fiduciary or you were |
| counsel to the alleged fiduciary, Ted Bernstein, of the |
| Shirley Bernstein trust, correct? |
| A. Yes, we were. |
| Q. And you were counsel to Ted Bernstein as the |
| alleged personal representative of Shirley's estate? |
| A. Yes, we were. |
| Q. And as Ted's counsel in the Shirley trust, can |
| you describe what the not valid trust agreement that was |
| sent to Ms. Yates did to alter the beneficiaries of the |
| document? |
| MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| THE COURT: Overruled. |
| What alterations did that make to the |
| beneficiaries? |
| THE WITNESS: It didn't make any alterations |
| |

| 1 | to the beneficiaries. The document's not a valid |
|----|---|
| 2 | document and so it couldn't have made any changes |
| 3 | to the estate planning. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Okay. But what did it intend to do? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor. |
| 7 | What did you say? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Next question. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. What did it intend to do? |
| 11 | A. I answered that question earlier. |
| 12 | THE COURT: I can't let the witness object to |
| 13 | questions. That won't work. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Earlier |
| 15 | you asked me the question, and I responded to you |
| 16 | that it was to carry out your father's intent and |
| 17 | the agreement that you all had made prior to his |
| 18 | death, on that telephone call, and to have a |
| 19 | document that would provide, perhaps, clarity to a |
| 20 | vague misinterpretation of your mother's document. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. So instead of going to the court, you just |
| 23 | frauded a document to an attorney, who's representing |
| 24 | minor children in this case produce a fraudulent copy |
| 25 | of the trust document, making us have total trouble |
| | |

| 1 | understanding what's real and not, especially with your |
|----|---|
| 2 | firm's history of fraudulent and forged documents |
| 3 | submitted to the court in this case. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're just |
| 5 | ranting. Ranting is not allowed. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 7 | THE COURT: If you'd like to ask a question, |
| 8 | I'll let you do that. If I have to call you on |
| 9 | this too many more times, I'm going to assume that |
| 10 | you're done questioning the witness. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 12 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 13 | Q. When did you first meet my parents? |
| 14 | A. 2007. |
| 15 | Q. And how did you meet them? |
| 16 | A. I met them through someone that made a |
| 17 | referral to them to our office. |
| 18 | Q. You didn't know Ted Bernstein prior to meeting |
| 19 | Si? |
| 20 | A. I don't recall who we met first. I'm not |
| 21 | sure. |
| 22 | Q. What firm were you with at the time? |
| 23 | A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin and |
| 24 | Ruffin and Forman. |
| 25 | Q. And how long were you with them? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Five-plus years. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And where were you before that? |
| 3 | A. I was in school. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you work at Sony Digital ever? |
| 5 | A. I did. |
| 6 | Q. You did. And when was that, before school or |
| 7 | after? |
| 8 | A. That was from 1994 to '96. |
| 9 | Q. So after school? |
| 10 | A. After college. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. So that was you just forgot about |
| 12 | that one in your history. |
| 13 | Is there any other parts of your biography I'm |
| 14 | missing? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. Can you repeat, since I'm there was a |
| 19 | little clarification error there. Your history, you |
| 20 | started |
| 21 | THE COURT: That's not necessary to repeat the |
| 22 | history. Do you have a new question? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to get the |
| 24 | history. |
| 25 | THE COURT: I don't want him to repeat what |
| | |

| 1 | he's already said. That moves the case backwards. |
|----|--|
| 2 | I want to go forward. You're cavitating. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Did the altered trust document sent to |
| 6 | Christine Yates attempt to convince Yates and others she |
| 7 | sent that document to that Ted and Pam's lineal |
| 8 | descendants were actually inside the document? |
| 9 | A. Say the question again. |
| 10 | Q. Well, we read the section where they're |
| 11 | considered predeceased, Ted and Pam and their lineal |
| 12 | descendants. |
| 13 | When you altered that amendment that you said |
| 14 | you were just doing Si's wishes postmortem by altering a |
| 15 | document, my question is, did you put language in there |
| 16 | that would have made Ted and Pam's lineal descendants |
| 17 | now beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's |
| 19 | cumulative. We've covered this. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Can the beneficiary of Shirley's trust be Ted, |
| 24 | Pam or their lineal descendants? |
| 25 | A. If the assets of her trust were to pass under |
| | |

| 1 | the trust, no |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay. |
| 3 | A under the trust. |
| 4 | Q. So in the trust language of the Shirley trust |
| 5 | document, Ted's lineal descendants and Pam's lineal |
| 6 | descendants can get no dispositions, distributions, |
| 7 | whatever you want to call it? |
| 8 | A. You have to ask the question in a different |
| 9 | way, because I answered the question. I said, if it |
| 10 | passes under the trust, that they would not inherent. |
| 11 | If. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. When Shirley died, was her trust |
| 13 | irrevocable at that point? |
| 14 | A. It was. |
| 15 | Q. Who were the beneficiaries? |
| 16 | A. Simon Bernstein. |
| 17 | Q. And who were the beneficiaries well, Simon |
| 18 | Bernstein wasn't a beneficiary. He was a trustee. |
| 19 | A. No, he became the beneficiary of her trust |
| 20 | when she died. He was the sole beneficiary of her trust |
| 21 | when she died. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And then who would it go to when he |
| 23 | died? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sustained. |

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. Okay. When Simon died, who would the benefits 3 of Shirley's trust go to? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 4 THE COURT: Are you asking him to tell you 5 what would happen if the mother died first, then 6 7 the father died second, and we have the trust documents and the wills that are in place so far 8 that have been testified to at the trial? 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. 10 THE COURT: I already know all that stuff. 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well --12 THE COURT: So what is the new question you 13 want to ask that's not cumulative? 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get to a very significant point there. 16 17 THE COURT: Get there. Just go there and see 18 what happens. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: I just have to learn to ask 20 these questions a little more like a lawyer. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: So I have to rethink how to 23 ask that. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 25 Q. Do you recall talking to Detective Ryan

| 1 | Miller? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Can you tell me all the roles you had in these |
| 6 | estates and trusts, and your partner, Don Tescher? |
| 7 | A. We were the attorneys to your parents. Upon |
| 8 | your dad's death, we became counsel to his estate and |
| 9 | served as co-PRs and co-trustees under his documents. |
| 10 | Q. Any other roles? |
| 11 | A. Served as counsel for we served as counsel |
| 12 | for Ted as fiduciary under your mother's documents. |
| 13 | Q. And who served as your counsel as trustee |
| 14 | PR co-trustee, co-PR? |
| 15 | A. Mark Manceri. |
| 16 | Q. Mark Manceri submitted that he was your |
| 17 | attorney? |
| 18 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 19 | Q. Did you take a retainer out with him? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 22 | THE COURT: What's the relevance of the |
| 23 | retainer question? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I take that back. |
| 25 | Mark Manceri was not counsel to us with respect to |

| 1 | the estate, except on a very specific matter. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: The question that was objected to |
| 3 | was, did you take out a retainer? What's the |
| 4 | relevance of that? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out |
| 6 | if he was properly representing before the court |
| 7 | these documents, and to his credibility, meaning |
| 8 | his |
| 9 | THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. And a question about the court. How long |
| 13 | before you notified the court as a personal |
| 14 | representative fiduciary that you had produced a |
| 15 | fraudulent trust of Shirley's? |
| 16 | A. To whom? I don't know that we ever |
| 17 | represented the document to the court, and I don't know |
| 18 | that anyone ever came to the court and said that we did. |
| 19 | Q. Well, I did in a petition I filed and served |
| 20 | on you |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q of January excuse me petition that I |
| 24 | served on you exposing a fraud of what happened with |
| 25 | Christine Yates after you admitted that to the police. |
| | |

| , | |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 3 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 4 | Q. Okay. How many times have you spoken with |
| 5 | Alan Rose in the last three months? |
| 6 | A. Twice. |
| 7 | Q. Did you prepare for this hearing in any way |
| 8 | with Alan Rose? |
| 9 | A. I did. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Was that the two times you spoke to |
| 11 | him? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Do you see any other of the parties that would |
| 14 | be necessary to validate these trust documents in the |
| 15 | court today? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. And you gave testimony to the total net worth |
| 20 | of Simon today, when you were asked by Mr. Rose; is that |
| 21 | correct? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. How long did you serve as the co-trustee and |
| 24 | co-personal representative? |
| 25 | A. Of your father's estate? Since the date of |
| | |

| 1 | his death. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And his trust? |
| 3 | A. Same. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you produce an accounting to |
| 5 | support those claims you made today? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, can I argue that or |
| 9 | THE COURT: No. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Not even close. Does that |
| 11 | mean I have to ask it a different way? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, I can't answer questions. |
| 13 | I'm not allowed to give anybody legal advice. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. That was procedural, I |
| 15 | thought. But okay. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, that's legal advice. |
| 17 | Procedure is a legal issue. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. As a fiduciary of the estate of Simon and the |
| 20 | trust of Simon, did your law firm produce a accounting? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant to, if |
| 23 | he's a fiduciary, his conduct. I mean, there's |
| 24 | THE COURT: Here's the way I handle |
| 25 | objections |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: somebody asks a question, and |
| 3 | somebody in the courtroom says objection, and then |
| 4 | I have them state the legal objection and stop. |
| 5 | The other side doesn't say anything, unless I say, |
| 6 | Is there any argument one side or the other? |
| 7 | Because usually I can figure this stuff out without |
| 8 | having to waste time with arguments. |
| 9 | I didn't ask for any argument, right? Okay. |
| 10 | Sustained. Next question. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Mr. Rose asked you about Shirley's Bentley. |
| 13 | Are you aware you became aware of Shirley's |
| 14 | Bentley, correct? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. When you became aware of Shirley's Bentley, |
| 17 | did you put in an amended inventory to account for it? |
| 18 | THE COURT: What's this going to help me |
| 19 | decide on the validity of the wills or trusts? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just responding to the |
| 21 | statements that were brought up. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I wish you would have objected to |
| 23 | the relevancy then, but you didn't. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I did. |
| 25 | THE COURT: I don't think so. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: I'm a car guy, so I pay attention |
| 3 | if somebody's asking questions about Bentleys just |
| 4 | because it's interesting. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's so important, Your |
| 6 | Honor, because |
| 7 | THE COURT: No, it's not. Right now what is |
| 8 | tied is, are the wills and trusts bound? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: We have to question his |
| 10 | competency. |
| 11 | THE COURT: And so what's in the estate or |
| 12 | what's in the trust is not of any interest to me |
| 13 | right now. So if that Bentley should have been in |
| 14 | the estate or should not have been in the estate, |
| 15 | it should have been accounted for, not accounted |
| 16 | for, I'm not going to figure out today. But I want |
| 17 | to get all the evidence I possibly can to see |
| 18 | whether these wills and trusts that are in front of |
| 19 | me are valid or not valid. And I'm hoping that |
| 20 | you'll ask some questions that'll help me figure |
| 21 | that out. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Are those originals that you |
| 23 | have? |
| 24 | THE COURT: See, I'm not the witness. I'm the |
| 25 | judge. So I'm not sworn in and I have no knowledge |

| 1 | of the facts of this case, other than what the |
|----|---|
| 2 | witnesses tell me. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm winding down. I'll check |
| 4 | my list. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Are you familiar with a document the Bernstein |
| 8 | Family Realty LLC agreement? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 10 | Q. Did you draft that document? |
| 11 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 12 | Q. Was it part of Simon's estate planning? |
| 13 | A. It was part of his estate planning well, |
| 14 | yes |
| 15 | Q. And what was |
| 16 | A in a roundabout way. |
| 17 | Q. What was it designed to do? |
| 18 | A. It was designed to hold title to the home that |
| 19 | you and your family live in. |
| 20 | Q. Oh, okay. And so it was who's the owners |
| 21 | of that? |
| 22 | A. The three kids your three kids, Josh, |
| 23 | Daniel your three kids' trusts that your father |
| 24 | created and Jake that he created in I believe |
| 25 | he created those trusts in 2006. |

| 1 | Q. And the prior testimony was, there were no |
|----|--|
| 2 | special documents under Simon's estate plan for my |
| 3 | family; is that correct? |
| 4 | A. Right. None that we prepared. Those were not |
| 5 | documents that we prepared. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I think he asked you if you knew of |
| 7 | any. |
| 8 | So you knew of these, correct? |
| 9 | A. You're making me recall them. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Oh, okay. Because you answered pretty |
| 11 | affirmatively no before, that you weren't aware of any |
| 12 | special |
| 13 | THE COURT: Do you have any questions for the |
| 14 | witness? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get it. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. You referenced an insurance policy. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I well, I can't ask him |
| 19 | anything. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. You referenced an insurance policy earlier, |
| 22 | life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is |
| 23 | that correct? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. And was that part of the estate plans? |
| | |

| 1 | A. We never did any planning with that. That was |
|----|--|
| 2 | an insurance policy that your father had taken out |
| 3 | 30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for |
| 4 | that. That was not a part of any of the planning that |
| 5 | we did for him. |
| 6 | Q. Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf |
| 7 | of that policy? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Is Christine Yates, who you sent the |
| 12 | fraudulently altered Shirley trust document that's not |
| 13 | valid, a layman? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Is she an attorney at law? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Now you're asking a different |
| 19 | question. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Thanks. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Is she a layman, as you described prior? |
| 24 | A. She's an attorney. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. So you were sending that document that |

| 1 | you said you altered to make a layman understand the |
|----|--|
| 2 | language in the trust better? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Let me have you finish your |
| 5 | questioning. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. But you sent it to Christine Yates, an |
| 8 | attorney, who's not a layman? |
| 9 | A. We did. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So it could be that you sent that |
| 11 | document to an attorney to commit a fraud upon her |
| 12 | clients, my children, minor children, correct? |
| 13 | A. The intent was not to commit a fraud. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | A. Again, the intent was to carry out your dad's |
| 16 | wishes. |
| 17 | Q. By fraudulently altering documents? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 20 | If you ask one more argumentative question, I |
| 21 | will stop you from asking the other things, because |
| 22 | I'll figure that you're done. Is that clear? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: I'm done warning you. I think |
| 25 | that's just too much to have to keep saying over |
| | |

| 1 | and over again. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. When Shirley died, were her wishes upheld? |
| 4 | A. Your dad was the sole survivor of her |
| 5 | estate he was the sole beneficiary of her estate and |
| 6 | her trust. |
| 7 | Q. So her wishes of her trusts when Simon died |
| 8 | were to make who the beneficiaries? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Who did Shirley make are you familiar with |
| 13 | the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust? |
| 14 | A. Iam. |
| 15 | Q. And is that trust under the Shirley trust? |
| 16 | A. No, it's not. |
| 17 | Q. It's a separate trust? |
| 18 | A. It is. |
| 19 | Q. Is it mentioned in the Shirley trust? |
| 20 | A. It may be. |
| 21 | Q. As what? |
| 22 | A. As a receptacle for Shirley's estate. |
| 23 | Q. Her trust? |
| 24 | A. A potential receptacle for Shirley's trust. |
| 25 | Q. So there were three, the Eliot Bernstein |
| | |

Г

| 1 | Family Trust, Lisa Friedstein and Jill Iantoni Family |
|----|--|
| 2 | Trust, that are mentioned as receptacles. I would |
| 3 | assume that's the word, beneficiary |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q of the Shirley trust, correct? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. On Simon's medical state eight weeks |
| 11 | before he died, when these documents of the Simon trust |
| 12 | are alleged by you to have been signed, are you aware of |
| 13 | any conditions of Simon's at that time medically? |
| 14 | A. I was not. |
| 15 | Q. Were you aware of any medicines he was on? |
| 16 | A. I was not. |
| 17 | Q. Were you aware he was seeing a psychiatrist? |
| 18 | A. I was not. |
| 19 | Q. Were you aware that he was going for a brain |
| 20 | scan? |
| 21 | A. I was not. |
| 22 | Q. Were you aware that he was brought in to |
| 23 | multiple doctors during that time for brain problems; |
| 24 | that they ended up doing a brain biopsy at Delray |
| 25 | Medical right around that time that he's said to sign |
| | |

| 1 | these documents? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. He did not make us aware of any medical issues |
| 3 | that he had. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you ask him at the time you were |
| 5 | signing those amended documents if he was under any |
| 6 | medical stress? |
| 7 | A. No, I did not. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | A. He |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him to read that? |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Can you look at that document and |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge, would you like a look |
| 14 | at this? |
| 15 | THE COURT: I don't look at anything that's |
| 16 | not an exhibit. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm exhibiting it to him. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine, but I |
| 19 | want you to go ahead and ask your question. I |
| 20 | don't look at things that aren't exhibits in |
| 21 | evidence |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 23 | THE COURT: unless I have to mark them. |
| 24 | But no, I don't have a curiosity to look at pieces |
| 25 | of paper. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Should I exhibit it as |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence can I exhibit it as |
| 3 | THE COURT: If it comes into evidence, I'll |
| 4 | look at it. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit it as |
| 6 | evidence? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, have you asked any questions |
| 8 | to establish what it is? |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Is this a letter from your law firm prior |
| 11 | law firm? |
| 12 | A. I did not prepare this letter |
| 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | A but it appears to be, yes. |
| 15 | Q. Prepared by? |
| 16 | A. Donald Tescher. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Now can I submit it? |
| 18 | THE COURT: So you're offering it as an |
| 19 | exhibit |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Please. |
| 21 | THE COURT: as Defendant's 2. |
| 22 | Is there any objection? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: No objection. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at |
| 25 | it. And that'll be in evidence as Defendant's 2. |
| | |

| | ,, |
|----|--|
| 1 | Thank you. |
| 2 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was received into |
| 3 | evidence.) |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Can you just read into the record |
| 6 | paragraph 2 |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, I'm reading it. The |
| 8 | document is in the record. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 10 | THE COURT: I'm reading paragraph 2 even as we |
| 11 | speak, so I don't need the witness to read it for |
| 12 | me. But if you want to ask him a question, you can |
| 13 | go ahead with that. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. Okay. That letter states that Si's power of |
| 16 | appointment for Simon could not be used in favor of Pam, |
| 17 | Ted and their respective children; is that correct? |
| 18 | A. Yes. Don appears to have written that. |
| 19 | Q. Did you get a copy of this letter? |
| 20 | A. I don't recall getting a copy of it, but |
| 21 | doesn't mean that I didn't. |
| 22 | Q. But you are partners in that firm? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we were partners in that firm. |
| 24 | Q. Now, that this document |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can I just I don't |
| | |

| 1 | want to go out of order, but this is only relevant |
|----|---|
| 2 | if the documents are valid. And if he's the |
| 3 | whole point is the documents are valid. And he |
| 4 | wants to argue the second part, of what they mean, |
| 5 | then we should not have wasted a whole day arguing |
| 6 | over the validity of these five documents. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, waste of time is what I do |
| 8 | for a living sometimes. Saying we shouldn't be |
| 9 | here doesn't help me decide anything. |
| 10 | I thought I was supposed to decide the |
| 11 | validity of the five documents that have been |
| 12 | pointed out; some of them might be valid and some |
| 13 | of them might be invalid. And I'm struggling to |
| 14 | decide what's relevant or not relevant based upon |
| 15 | the possibility that one of them might be invalid |
| 16 | or one of them might not. And so I'm letting in a |
| 17 | little bit more stuff than I normally think I |
| 18 | would. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: I'm concerned we're arguing the |
| 20 | second the second part of this trial is going to |
| 21 | be to determine what the documents mean and what |
| 22 | Simon's power of attorney could or couldn't do. |
| 23 | And this document goes to trial two and not trial |
| 24 | one, although I didn't object to its admissibility. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Well, since it's in evidence, |

| 1 | we'll leave it there and see what happens next. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Do you have any other questions of the |
| 3 | witness? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. It says that the document that you |
| 7 | fraudulently altered creating the invalid copy of the |
| 8 | Shirley trust had some kind of paragraph 2 that was |
| 9 | missing from the original document |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q from my understanding. |
| 13 | THE COURT: You may finish your question. And |
| 14 | make sure it's a question and not an argument. |
| 15 | Because you know what happens if this is an |
| 16 | argument. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not arguing. I'm just |
| 18 | asking |
| 19 | THE COURT: I want you to ask your question. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. It says here that there was a blank spot that |
| 22 | you a Paragraph No. 2 which modified the definitional |
| 23 | language by deleting words. |
| 24 | According to this document, the power of |
| 25 | appointment by Simon could not alter the Shirley trust |
| | |

| 1 | agreement, correct? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Don seems to be suggesting that in the second |
| 3 | paragraph. I don't necessarily believe that that's the |
| 4 | case. |
| 5 | Q. Did you review this document with Don? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 7 | THE COURT: The question is, Did you go over |
| 8 | this document with Don? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 11 | You can answer. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. So he's Don, in this letter, is describing |
| 15 | your actions, correct? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Did you write a letter to anybody |
| 18 | describing your actions? |
| 19 | A. I did not. |
| 20 | Q. You did not. |
| 21 | And what have you done to correct the damages |
| 22 | caused by that to my family? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And are you aware of an autopsy that was done |
| 3 | on my father the day or ordered the day he died? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Are you aware well, are you aware of a |
| 8 | heavy metal poison test that was done by the Palm Beach |
| 9 | County coroner? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's |
| 13 | THE COURT: Next question. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm trying to figure that out. |
| 15 | Your Honor, is I can't ask you that question. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Competency. Based on everything you know |
| 18 | about Simon, when he signed those documents, he was |
| 19 | competent? |
| 20 | A. To my knowledge, he was of sound mind and |
| 21 | body. |
| 22 | Q. Now, are you a medical expert? |
| 23 | A. I'm not. |
| 24 | Q. Are you aware of any other fraudulent activity |
| 25 | that took place in anything in the estate and trusts of |
| | |

| 1 | Simon Bernstein by yourself or your employees? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Are you referring back to the closing of your |
| 3 | mother's estate? |
| 4 | Q. I'm referring to any other |
| 5 | A we've talked about. |
| 6 | Q. So can you list those and then just say that's |
| 7 | all that you're aware of? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Other than the fraud that you've admitted to |
| 12 | in the documents of Shirley, the Moran forged and |
| 13 | fraudulent waivers, the April 9th waiver that you and Si |
| 14 | signed stating he had all the waivers when he couldn't |
| 15 | have, are there any other frauds that you're aware of |
| 16 | that took place with these estate and trust documents? |
| 17 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 18 | Q. When you were first interviewed by the Palm |
| 19 | Beach County Sheriff with Kimberly Moran, did you notify |
| 20 | them at that first interview that you had fraudulently |
| 21 | altered a document? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. When did you notify the sheriff that you |

| 1 | fraudulently altered a document? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. You have these exhibits. This will says |
| 6 | "conformed copy" on Exhibit 1 of their exhibits; is that |
| 7 | correct? |
| 8 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 9 | Q. Does a conformed copy have to have the clerk |
| 10 | of the court's signature on it? |
| 11 | A. Conformed copy would not be sent to the clerk |
| 12 | of the courts. |
| 13 | Q. Conformed copy okay. |
| 14 | Is that your signature on the document? This |
| 15 | is Exhibit 2, Shirley trust agreement, of the |
| 16 | plaintiff's exhibit book, 2, page 27. |
| 17 | A. Yes, it appears to be. |
| 18 | Q. It appears to be? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. All right. And is that Traci Kratish's |
| 21 | signature? |
| 22 | A. She was there. I can't speak to her |
| 23 | signature. |
| 24 | Q. Did you witness her sign it? |
| 25 | A. I did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Is that my mom's signature on page 28? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 3 | Q. On this first amendment to Shirley's trust |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Exhibit 3, Your Honor, page 1 |
| 5 | of 3, I guess. It's the first page in that |
| 6 | exhibit. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Is that document do you recall that |
| 9 | document? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And you recall the day it's signed and |
| 12 | notarized, allegedly? |
| 13 | A. November 18th, 2008. |
| 14 | Q. On the front page of that document, what day |
| 15 | is the document dated? |
| 16 | A. It's not dated. |
| 17 | Q. Is that typical and customary in your office? |
| 18 | A. Sometimes clients forget to put the date at |
| 19 | the top. |
| 20 | Q. You forget? |
| 21 | A. I said, sometimes clients forget to put the |
| 22 | date at the top. |
| 23 | Q. Well, did you check the document before making |
| 24 | it a part of a will and trust? |
| 25 | A. It was notarized as a self-proving document. |

| 1 | Q. Are you aware that Kimberly Moran's |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarization of the Simon trust has been found by the |
| 3 | Governor Rick Scott's notary public division to be |
| 4 | deficient? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Are you aware of Kimberly Moran of your office |
| 9 | being contacted by the governor's office in relation to |
| 10 | these wills and trusts? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 13 | What do I care if he's aware of that or not? |
| 14 | How does that help me decide the validity of these |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the governor's already |
| 17 | made a claim that |
| 18 | THE COURT: But you're asking the witness if |
| 19 | he's aware of. Are you aware the sky is blue right |
| 20 | now? It doesn't matter to me if he's aware of it |
| 21 | or not. Are you aware Rick Scott has started an |
| 22 | investigation of a moon landing? It doesn't matter |
| 23 | to me if he knows that or not. You asked him are |
| 24 | you aware of somebody from Rick Scott's office |
| 25 | doing something. It doesn't matter to me if he's |

| 1 | aware of that or not. I've got to figure out the |
|----|--|
| 2 | validity of these documents, so I need to know |
| 3 | facts about that, please. Any other questions of |
| 4 | the witness on that? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Is that my father's signature? |
| 8 | A. I'm not an expert on your father's signature. |
| 9 | But if it's on his will, at the bottom of his will, that |
| 10 | must have been a copy that was obtained from the clerk |
| 11 | of the courts, because that will was filed, and we would |
| 12 | have conformed copies in our file, which would not have |
| 13 | his signature at the bottom. Apparently, it is. |
| 14 | Q. But it does say on the document that the |
| 15 | original will's in your safe, correct? |
| 16 | A. For your mother's document, it showed that. |
| 17 | Q. Oh, for my father's where are the originals |
| 18 | of my father's? |
| 19 | A. Your father's original will was deposited in |
| 20 | the court. As was your mother's. |
| 21 | Q. How many copies of it were there that were |
| 22 | original? |
| 23 | A. Only one original. I think Mr. Rose had |
| 24 | stated on the record that he requested a copy from the |
| 25 | clerk of the court of your father's original will, to |
| | |

| 1 | make a copy of it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Certified? |
| 3 | A. I'm not sure if he said it was certified or |
| 4 | not. |
| 5 | Q. Is that your signature on my father's will? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Exhibit 4, Your Honor, |
| 7 | Page 7. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Is that my father's signature? |
| 11 | A. Appears to be. |
| 12 | Q. Whose signature is that? |
| 13 | A. That's my signature. |
| 14 | Q. Oh, okay. So the only two witnesses you see |
| 15 | on this document are you and Kimberly Moran; is that |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | A. On that page. |
| 18 | Q. And both you and Kimberly Moran have had |
| 19 | misconduct in these cases? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Overruled. But it's cumulative. |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: It's cumulative. |
| 23 | THE COURT: How many times do I need to know |
| 24 | this? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What does that mean exactly, |
| | |

| 1 | cumulative? I don't get that. I'm sorry. |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Let's say you hit me over the head |
| 3 | with a two-by-four. That's one time. If you do it |
| 4 | twice, that's cumulative. Cumulative's not |
| 5 | allowed. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's an objection, is that |
| 7 | I've asked it |
| 8 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: and it was answered? Is |
| 10 | that what it's kind of saying? |
| 11 | THE COURT: Yes, asked and answered. That's |
| 12 | another way of saying it. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I got it. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Asked and answered is a similar |
| 15 | way to say it. |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Sorry. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. Is that my father's signature, to the best of |
| 19 | your knowledge? |
| 20 | A. Appears to be, yes. |
| 21 | Q. And is that your signature? |
| 22 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 23 | Q. And here, did Kimberly Moran properly notarize |
| 24 | this document? |
| 25 | A. Kimberly did not notarize the document. |

| 1 | Q. Or Lindsay Baxley, did she check one either |
|----|--|
| 2 | the person was personally known or produced |
| 3 | identification? |
| 4 | A. No. This is what Mr. Rose had gone over |
| 5 | earlier. |
| 6 | Q. No, those, I believe, are in other documents |
| 7 | we'll get to. |
| 8 | So this notarization, as far as you can tell, |
| 9 | is incomplete? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Are we on Exhibit 2? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 12 | THE COURT: We're on Exhibit 4, as far as I |
| 13 | recall. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: He does not miss a thing. |
| 15 | Your Honor, page 8. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: This is Si's documents. |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Got it. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So on Simon's trust, weeks before he |
| 20 | dies, the notarization's improper? |
| 21 | A. This was the same document we spoke about |
| 22 | before. Yes, she did not circle "known to me," |
| 23 | although |
| 24 | Q. So she didn't know you or Simon? |
| 25 | A. No, she knew all of us. She just neglected to |

1 circle "known to me." And that's one of the three functions of a 2 Ο. 3 notary, to the best of your knowledge, to determine the person is in the presence that day by some form of I 4 either know you or you gave me a license; is that 5 correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. So your firm -- have you done anything since Ο. 8 knowing this document's improperly notarized to correct 9 10 it with the courts? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. It misstates facts. He 12 didn't say it was improperly notarized. 13 THE COURT: Just state the objection, please. MR. ROSE: Well, calls for a legal conclusion. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. MORRISSEY: Another objection. Ιt misstates the law. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 Q. Is that Lindsay -- oh, you can't answer that. 21 So, to the best of your ability, regarding 22 your signature, Kimberly or Lindsay Baxley has failed to 23 state that you either were known to her or produced 24 identification? 25 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.

| 1 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll go on to |
| 3 | document 5. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Is that my father's initials, to the best of |
| 6 | your knowledge? |
| 7 | A. Appears to be, yes. |
| 8 | Q. Do these initials look similar to you, this |
| 9 | one on page 2, next to this one on page 3, next to that |
| 10 | thing on page 4? |
| 11 | A. Initials typically don't look perfect page to |
| 12 | page, and they don't necessarily look similar page to |
| 13 | page. I have seen clients execute a lot of documents, |
| 14 | and by the time they get to, you know, the second and |
| 15 | third document, their signatures and their initials do |
| 16 | not necessarily look |
| 17 | Q. Look at page 13, for example. I mean, this is |
| 18 | almost if we go through page by page, tell me if you |
| 19 | see any that are even similar. On page let's start |
| 20 | back at the beginning, if that'll help you. |
| 21 | That? Do those look similar to you as you're |
| 22 | flipping through those? |
| 23 | A. Yeah, they have a lot of the same similar |
| 24 | ending marks. Your father's ending mark was that line. |
| 25 | I mean, it's on every single solitary page. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. So your testimony today is those are my |
|----|--|
| 2 | father's initials? |
| 3 | A. That they were. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. |
| 5 | A. I was there when he was |
| 6 | Q. And you've looked at all of these, page 19, |
| 7 | page 20? Those look similar to what you're saying or |
| 8 | why don't you just look at them. If you go through them |
| 9 | all, they all look different. But okay. |
| 10 | A. They all look different, and they all look |
| 11 | consistent at the same time. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Is that on page 24, is that my |
| 13 | father's signature? |
| 14 | A. Appears to be. |
| 15 | Q. Is that your signature? |
| 16 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Now, this is another trust document |
| 18 | that Lindsay Baxley did that's supposed to be notarized, |
| 19 | a will and trust, I believe, and the amended and |
| 20 | restated. |
| 21 | Can you tell that Simon Bernstein was present |
| 22 | or produced or present that day by the notarization? |
| 23 | A. She again failed to mark that he was |
| 24 | personally known, but she worked for him. |
| 25 | Q. So these dispositive documents are improperly |

| 1 | notarized? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Legal |
| 3 | conclusion. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And then let's go to the first |
| 7 | amendment to Shirley Bernstein's trust. Is this a |
| 8 | document prepared |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, that would be 6. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Is that a document prepared by your law firm? |
| 13 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 14 | Q. And do you see where it's, "Now therefore by |
| 15 | executing this instrument I hereby amend the trust |
| 16 | agreement as following"? And what is it what are the |
| 17 | numbering sequences there? |
| 18 | A. It says, I hereby delete a paragraph of |
| 19 | article |
| 20 | Q. What number is that? |
| 21 | A. Paragraph B it's number 1. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And what's Number 2? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. It's in |
| 24 | evidence. And it's cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Two is in evidence, as is |

Γ

| 1 | paragraph one and paragraph three. And I've |
|----|---|
| 2 | read |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. But Number 1, Your |
| 4 | Honor, take a look real quick. Number 1; there's |
| 5 | no Number 2. |
| 6 | THE COURT: The objection came on your next |
| 7 | question, and that was dealing with paragraph 2, |
| 8 | which says it's already in evidence. And it is. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, no, not paragraph 2. Look |
| 10 | at down below. Under the "now therefore," there's |
| 11 | a Number 1, and I was asking him what Number 2 |
| 12 | reads. |
| 13 | THE COURT: I know you were. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And there is no Number 2. |
| 15 | THE COURT: You've asked me to look at |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 6, right? Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has, |
| 17 | under the therefore clause, a one, a two and a |
| 18 | three. Are you asking me to look at a different |
| 19 | document? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sure. All right. So that's a |
| 22 | different Number 6 than I have. So let's see your |
| 23 | Number 6. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What do I do on that? |
| 25 | THE COURT: That's not my decision. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's his book, not my book, |
|----|--|
| 2 | just so you know. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, that Tab 6 is different than |
| 4 | my Tab 6. So there you go. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, which what do |
| 6 | I go off there? |
| 7 | THE COURT: I have no |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit that into |
| 9 | evidence? |
| 10 | THE COURT: I have no preference. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to submit |
| 12 | this, because I'm not sure if the other one is in |
| 13 | evidence wrong. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Could I just see the book? Would |
| 16 | you mind? |
| 17 | THE COURT: Here, I'll show you my book. You |
| 18 | can look at that book and see what's going on. |
| 19 | And this will be a good time for us to take a |
| 20 | short break, and let you all straighten it out. So |
| 21 | we'll be back in session in 15 minutes. And then |
| 22 | we'll go to the bitter end. Each of you has about |
| 23 | 60 minutes remaining. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, when you say |
| 25 | "60 minutes remaining," we haven't got through all |

| 1 | the witnesses yet. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Well, we will have by the end of |
| 3 | 60 minutes on each side. |
| 4 | This trial is over at five o'clock. I told |
| 5 | you when we started each of you has half of the |
| 6 | time; please use it wisely; use it as you wish. |
| 7 | I've tried to encourage both sides to be efficient. |
| 8 | When your time is gone, that's the end of the trial |
| 9 | for you. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the case manager |
| 11 | THE COURT: When their trial is gone |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: At the case management, they |
| 13 | said it would take a day. I argued and said to you |
| 14 | it would take days. I mean, they've got |
| 15 | 10 witnesses. I need to have all the people who |
| 16 | witnessed these documents here. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Remember when I said a moment ago |
| 18 | we're in recess? I was serious. Thanks. We'll go |
| 19 | back in session 15 minutes from now. |
| 20 | (A break was taken.) |
| 21 | THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Are there |
| 22 | any further questions for the witness on cross? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We were just working |
| 24 | out that 1, 2, 3, Exhibit No. 6, so that we get the |
| 25 | record straight. |

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Shall I get a copy of yours, |
| 3 | you get a copy of mine? Or how do you want to do |
| 4 | that? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I tried to work it out. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Listen, I don't have any |
| 7 | preference as to how we do anything. You all tell |
| 8 | me how you've worked it out, and if I agree with |
| 9 | it, I'll accept it. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: The copy that's been marked for the |
| 11 | witness, the copy in my book and the copy in your |
| 12 | book are all identical. I don't know what's in his |
| 13 | book, and he wouldn't show me his book on the |
| 14 | break. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: But I'm fine. It's a three-page |
| 17 | document. And if he wants to put it in evidence, |
| 18 | even though it's not operative, I have no |
| 19 | objection. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. So are you putting |
| 21 | something into evidence? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. The one that I |
| 23 | THE COURT: Have you showed it to the other |
| 24 | side yet? You can't put secret documents into |
| 25 | evidence, only after they've been seen by everyone. |
| | |

| 1 | Let's at least show it to the other side so they |
|----|--|
| 2 | know the document that's being proffered as an |
| 3 | exhibit. If they still have no objection, I'll |
| 4 | receive it as Defendant's 3. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: This is in evidence already as |
| 6 | Exhibit No as Plaintiff's No. 3. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So what's 6? So now I don't |
| 8 | even have the right 6 document. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: The 6 that the witness has is three |
| 10 | pages. It's the same 6 that's in your book and |
| 11 | it's in my book. It's three consecutive pages of |
| 12 | the production from Tescher & Spallina law firm. |
| 13 | It has the inoperative first amendment as page 1, |
| 14 | then it has the operative first amendment as |
| 15 | page 2, and the signature page as page 3. It's the |
| 16 | same document in everybody's book. That's all I |
| 17 | can tell you. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, in my book, 3 and |
| 20 | 6 are the identical documents |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: so I would need |
| 23 | THE COURT: Are there any other questions of |
| 24 | the witness? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I was going to ask him |
| | |

| 1 | questions on this document. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's go. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I need a I don't |
| 4 | have the 6 that everybody else is referring to. My |
| 5 | sinks is the same as |
| 6 | THE COURT: There you go. Take whatever you |
| 7 | need. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. I think we |
| 9 | missed 6. It's just short on 6. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. Then here's my Tab 6. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir. |
| 12 | THE COURT: The idea is to keep moving. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll move on. I'm |
| 14 | almost done here. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 3, can you list the |
| 17 | numbers there? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. |
| 19 | Cumulative. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | You need to refer to which page. That's a |
| 22 | multi-page document, and both pages have numbered |
| 23 | paragraphs on them. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 1 of 2. |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. The Roman Numeral or the numerals, can you |
| 3 | give the sequence of those numbers? |
| 4 | A. One and three. It's skipping two. |
| 5 | Q. And this is a document you allege to be part |
| 6 | of the Shirley trust that you're claiming is valid? |
| 7 | A. That's the amendment that Shirley executed in |
| 8 | November of 2008. |
| 9 | Q. And would there be a reason why your law firm |
| 10 | numbers one, three? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | You can answer. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Human error. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. Okay. But it is an error in the document that |
| 17 | you're claiming is valid Shirley trust? |
| 18 | A. It's a numbering error. |
| 19 | Q. In the document, you're claiming this is a |
| 20 | valid amendment, correct? |
| 21 | A. Correct. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And then in number 6 from the judge, |
| 23 | what's the numbering sequence? |
| 24 | A. One, two, three. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. So you added in a number two? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay. How did you go about doing that? |
| 3 | A. There was a paragraph two inserted between one |
| 4 | and three. |
| 5 | Q. Well, the paragraph that's inserted between |
| 6 | one and three wouldn't fit there. |
| 7 | So what did you do? |
| 8 | A. The document was opened up and a paragraph was |
| 9 | inserted. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So you increased the spacing on the |
| 11 | document, correct, by adding a number three, correct? |
| 12 | A. Adding number two, yes. |
| 13 | Q. By adding number two, correct. |
| 14 | Okay. So you actually had to alter the |
| 15 | chronology as it was placed on the document? You didn't |
| 16 | just put a number two there in between one and three? |
| 17 | You actually went and expanded the document with words |
| 18 | that were inserted by you fraudulently, right? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 20 | Cumulative. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, the witness does have |
| 24 | the exhibits in front of him. If Mr. Bernstein |
| 25 | could be at the podium. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know if he has all the |
|----|---|
| 2 | exhibits. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, do you have the exhibit that |
| 4 | I gave you from the Court's? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, jeez. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Because I'd like to have it back |
| 7 | so that that doesn't get lost. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. You gave me the one |
| 9 | with one, two, three. |
| 10 | Can I get a copy of this from the clerk? |
| 11 | THE BAILIFF: There is no clerk. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Can I have the document back, |
| 13 | please? He's not a clerk. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Marshall, sheriff, officer, |
| 15 | sir. Sorry about that. |
| 16 | THE COURT: He does not make copies. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Thanks. Any other questions of |
| 19 | the witness? Your time is rapidly disappearing. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just going through that. |
| 21 | THE COURT: And I think you said earlier you |
| 22 | have no objection to Plaintiff's 6 being received |
| 23 | as an exhibit? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Correct. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. |

| 1 | MR. ROSE: Thank you. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Then it's in evidence as |
| 3 | Plaintiff's 6. I'm making it Plaintiff's 6, rather |
| 4 | than Defendant's 3, because it's already marked and |
| 5 | it's been referred to by that number. |
| 6 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was received into |
| 7 | evidence.) |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Are these your notes? |
| 10 | A. No, they're not. Those are Don's. |
| 11 | Q. Do you know the date on that note? |
| 12 | A. 3/12/08. |
| 13 | Q. Did you take any notes in the meeting? |
| 14 | A. Those are my notes there. |
| 15 | Q. These are? Oh, so this is a compilation of |
| 16 | Don's and your notes? |
| 17 | A. Those are my notes, yes. |
| 18 | Q. And those were taken on that day? |
| 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | Q. Whose notes are those? |
| 21 | A. I just saw those for the first time today. I |
| 22 | believe they're your father's notes. |
| 23 | Q. How would you know those are my father's |
| 24 | notes? |
| 25 | A. Mr. Rose introduced that document earlier. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Document 12, did it come from your offices? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I don't know where it came from. |
| 3 | Q. Did you Bates stamp this document as part of |
| 4 | your documents? |
| 5 | A. I don't recall ever seeing that document. |
| 6 | Q. And it doesn't have your Bates stamp from your |
| 7 | production, right? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. You were supposed to turn over all your |
| 10 | records, correct? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. He's testified it |
| 12 | wasn't in his |
| 13 | THE COURT: What's the objection to the |
| 14 | question? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Cumulative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. Your Honor, I'm |
| 18 | done. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 20 | Is there any redirect? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Brief, Your Honor. |
| 22 | REDIRECT (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 23 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 24 | Q. Assuming the documents are valid, they'll have |
| 25 | to be a later trial to determine the effect of Simon's |
| | |

| 1 | exercise of his power of appointment? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. It doesn't have any direct bearing on whether |
| 4 | these five documents are valid? |
| 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | Q. And I take it you don't necessarily agree with |
| 7 | Mr. Tescher's view as expressed in his letter of |
| 8 | January 14th, 2014? |
| 9 | A. Again, I'm seeing that here. Surprised to see |
| 10 | that. |
| 11 | Q. The original documents, the wills, you |
| 12 | retained at all times of Shirley and Simon in your firm? |
| 13 | A. Prior to their death, yes. |
| 14 | Q. And that's consistent practice for a trust and |
| 15 | estate lawyer, to keep it in your will vault or in your |
| 16 | safe deposit box? |
| 17 | A. Yes. I would say most attorneys do that just |
| 18 | because there's only one original of the will, and very |
| 19 | often documents can get lost if clients take documents |
| 20 | home. So, typically, they're kept in a safe deposit box |
| 21 | or a safe or something like that, and left with the |
| 22 | attorney. |
| 23 | Q. I want to make sure I understand and the Court |
| 24 | understands what happened with the waiver forms. |
| 25 | While Simon was alive, he signed a petition |

| 1 | for discharge; is that correct? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Correct. April of '08. |
| 3 | Q. And |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What exhibit? Excuse me. |
| 5 | What number are we looking at? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: None well, actually, it's in my |
| 7 | book. If you want to follow along, it's Tab 28. |
| 8 | But it's not in evidence. |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. And Simon also then filed a waiver of |
| 11 | accounting himself? |
| 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. And is it necessary for Simon, even though |
| 14 | he's the personal representative, to sign a waiver of |
| 15 | accounting because he's a beneficiary? |
| 16 | A. I mean, we do it as a matter of course. |
| 17 | Q. And the signature of Simon Bernstein on |
| 18 | April 9th, that's genuinely his signature? |
| 19 | A. Can I see? |
| 20 | Q. Exhibit 28 is a petition that was filed with |
| 21 | the court. I'm going to just show you the exhibits. |
| 22 | Exhibit A says "Petition for discharge full waiver." |
| 23 | Is this a document you would have prepared for |
| 24 | Simon Bernstein to sign? |
| 25 | A. Yeah, our firm would prepare that. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And it's a three-page document. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Is that Simon Bernstein's signature |
| 3 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 4 | Q April 9th, 2012? |
| 5 | A. Yes, he signed the document. |
| 6 | Q. And he was alive when he signed the document? |
| 7 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Then he had to sign a waiver of |
| 9 | accounting, which he signed on the same day? |
| 10 | A. Correct. |
| 11 | Q. And you have a document waiver of accounting |
| 12 | on the next page signed by Eliot Bernstein on May 15th? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. And there's no doubt that's Eliot's signature |
| 15 | because he's the one who emailed you the document, |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | A. And sent us the original by mail. |
| 18 | Q. Right. And we already have an exhibit which |
| 19 | is his email that sent you his waiver form? |
| 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | Q. And the waiver forms of Ted, Pam, Lisa and |
| 22 | Jill are all valid, signed by them on the date that they |
| 23 | indicated they signed it? |
| 24 | A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. |
| 25 | Q. So then these got submitted to the court. |
| | |

| 1 | Is there anything wrong with submitting waiver |
|----|--|
| 2 | forms to the court signed by Simon while he's alive |
| 3 | after he had passed away? |
| 4 | A. Maybe we should have made a motion to, you |
| 5 | know, have a successor PR appointed and file the |
| 6 | documents through the successor PR. |
| 7 | Q. Were you trying to just save expenses because |
| 8 | there was nothing in the estate? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. And if Judge Colin had not rejected or his |
| 11 | assistant had not rejected the documents, and the estate |
| 12 | was closed, it would have been closed based on |
| 13 | legitimate, properly signed documents of Simon and his |
| 14 | five children? |
| 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | Q. So then they get kicked back to your law firm, |
| 17 | and you could file a motion and undertake some expense, |
| 18 | instead |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Object. This has been asked |
| 20 | and answered. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 22 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 23 | Q. Now, does the fact that well, strike that. |
| 24 | At the time that Simon signed his 2012 will |
| 25 | and 2012 trust, had there been ever anyone question a |
| | |

| 1 | signature or a notarization of any document that had |
|----|--|
| 2 | been prepared by your law firm? |
| 3 | A. No, there was not. |
| 4 | Q. You didn't see anything or observe anything or |
| 5 | any behavior of Simon Bernstein during the course of any |
| 6 | meeting you had with him that would call into question |
| 7 | his competence or his ability to properly execute a |
| 8 | testamentary document? |
| 9 | A. We did not. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Nothing further, Your Honor. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 12 | Thank you, sir. You can step down. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: At this time, we would rest our |
| 14 | case. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 16 | Any evidence from the defendant's side? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like can I call |
| 18 | back Spallina? |
| 19 | THE COURT: If you want to call him as a |
| 20 | witness on your behalf, sure. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, sure. |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spallina, you're |
| 23 | still under oath, and you're being called as a |
| 24 | defense witness now. |
| 25 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Mr. Spallina, when Simon died on |
| 3 | September 12th or September 13th sorry 2012, |
| 4 | and you were responsible as his attorney to appoint Ted |
| 5 | as the successor, correct, you were in charge of his |
| 6 | wills and trusts? |
| 7 | THE COURT: You just asked three questions in |
| 8 | a row. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Which question would you like the |
| 11 | witness to answer? |
| 12 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 13 | Q. Okay. When Simon died, was Shirley's estate |
| 14 | closed? |
| 15 | A. No, it was not. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Did you appoint a successor to Simon |
| 17 | who was the personal representative of Shirley on the |
| 18 | day he died? |
| 19 | A. I don't understand the question. |
| 20 | Q. Well, on the day Simon died, there was a |
| 21 | successor to him in the will, correct? |
| 22 | A. That's correct. Ted. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Did you appoint Ted? |
| 24 | A. I did not appoint Ted. Si did. |
| 25 | Q. Si appointed Ted? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Si appointed Ted as a successor trustee under |
|----|---|
| 2 | the document I mean, Shirley appointed Ted as the |
| 3 | successor trustee to Si under the document. |
| 4 | Q. So Simon didn't appoint Ted? |
| 5 | A. Simon did not appoint Ted. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | A. He was the named successor under your mother's |
| 8 | document. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So when Simon died just so I get all |
| 10 | this clear, when Simon died, your law firm knew Ted was |
| 11 | the successor, correct? |
| 12 | A. That's correct. |
| 13 | Q. According to your story. Okay. |
| 14 | A. Under Shirley's documents, you're talking |
| 15 | about. |
| 16 | Q. Under the alleged Shirley document. |
| 17 | Okay. But yet did Simon then after he |
| 18 | died, did he not close the estate of Shirley while he |
| 19 | was dead? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. It's |
| 21 | cumulative. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: And I believe this whole line of |
| 24 | questioning's been covered ad nauseam in the first |
| 25 | cross-examination. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, it's important not to ask |
|----|---|
| 2 | the same thing over and over again. You have |
| 3 | finite time to work with. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. The estate of Shirley was closed in January, |
| 7 | correct, of 2013? |
| 8 | A. I don't recall, but it sounds it has to be |
| 9 | sometime after November. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So it was closed by Simon, who was dead |
| 11 | at that time, correct? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. Did Ted Bernstein close the Estate of Shirley |
| 16 | Bernstein as the successor personal representative? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Who closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 19 | A. The documents were filed with the court based |
| 20 | on the original petition that your father signed. |
| 21 | Q. Did you close the estate? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 23 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out |
| 25 | who closed my mom's estate. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | THE COURT: What's the relevance I've got to |
|----|--|
| 2 | figure out? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they |
| 4 | were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance |
| 5 | to this. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose |
| 10 | brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I |
| 11 | just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That |
| 12 | would be 28. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into |
| 14 | evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose |
| 15 | stated it wasn't? |
| 16 | THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of |
| 17 | paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit |
| 18 | this into evidence? |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its |
| 21 | admissibility? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can |
| 24 | make a ruling? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for |

| 1 | discharge. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Did anybody testify to that, or |
| 3 | are you just |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, he just did. |
| 5 | THE COURT: If you have a piece of paper you |
| 6 | want to have me consider as an exhibit, the other |
| 7 | side has to have seen it and the witness has to |
| 8 | have seen it so I'll know what it is. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They were just talking |
| 10 | about it. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, just to speed things |
| 12 | along, we have no objection to this document coming |
| 13 | into evidence. It is part of our Exhibit 28. The |
| 14 | whole 28 could come in evidence. That's fine with |
| 15 | me. Then it would all be in evidence. Or however |
| 16 | you wish to do it. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I'm letting this party take charge |
| 18 | of his own case. |
| 19 | Are you asking that to be received as an |
| 20 | exhibit? There's no objection. So that'll be |
| 21 | Defendant's 3. Hand that up, and I'll mark it. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. |
| 23 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 was received into |
| 24 | evidence.) |
| 25 | |

| 1 | THE COURT: So are you done with it? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Can I use it still? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Anything that's supposed to be an |
| 4 | exhibit in evidence has to come back to me. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Okay. On this document, it's a petition for a |
| 8 | discharge, a "full waiver," it says. |
| 9 | Was this document sent back to your firm as |
| 10 | not notarized by Judge Colin's office? |
| 11 | A. I'm not sure. I didn't get the documents |
| 12 | back. |
| 13 | Q. Is it notarized? |
| 14 | A. No, it's not. |
| 15 | Q. Did you sign as the notary? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 18 | The question was, is it notarized? The answer |
| 19 | was no. Then you asked if somebody else, if |
| 20 | they'd sign, and then the witness if he signed as a |
| 21 | notary. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I signed it as the attorney for |
| 23 | the estate. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. Okay. On April 9th with Simon Bernstein? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yeah, it appears that way. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Could it be another way? |
| 3 | A. It didn't this document did not require |
| 4 | that I witness Si's signature. So I believe that that |
| 5 | document was sent to Si, and he signed it, sent it back, |
| 6 | we signed it and filed it. |
| 7 | Q. So you sent it to Si, he signed it, then sent |
| 8 | it back, and you signed it all on April 9th? |
| 9 | A. It doesn't it's what day he signed it |
| 10 | that's relevant. He signed it on April 9th. |
| 11 | Q. And what day did you sign it? |
| 12 | A. I could have signed it April 11th. |
| 13 | Q. Well, where does it say April 11th? |
| 14 | A. My signature doesn't require a date. His |
| 15 | does. |
| 16 | Q. Why? |
| 17 | A. Just doesn't. |
| 18 | Q. Well, the date that the document says this |
| 19 | document's being signed on April 9th. |
| 20 | A. I did not sign that exhibit. |
| 21 | Q. Next question. On September 13, 2013, the |
| 22 | year after my father died, in Judge Martin Colin's |
| 23 | court, when he discovered this document, did he threaten |
| 24 | to read you your Miranda Rights, stating he had enough |
| 25 | evidence to read you Mirandas? |

| 1 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 3 | BY MR. BER | NSTEIN: |
| 4 | Q. | Did you deposit this document, this April 9th |
| 5 | full disch | arge, with the court? |
| 6 | Α. | Did I personally do it? |
| 7 | Q. | Did your law firm? |
| 8 | Α. | No, the law firm did, yes. |
| 9 | Q. | Okay. And on whose behalf? |
| 10 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 11 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 12 | | MR. ROSE: And relevance. |
| 13 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | BY MR. BER | NSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. | Simon was dead when this document was |
| 16 | deposited | with the court, correct? |
| 17 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Relevance. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: I've got that he is dead written |
| 19 | down | here several times. It's clear in my mind. |
| 20 | You'r | e not moving in a positive direction. |
| 21 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: I understand that part. |
| 22 | | THE COURT: All right. New question, please. |
| 23 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 24 | BY MR. BER | NSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. | Is this document sworn to and attested by my |

| 1 | father? Is it a sworn statement? Does it say "under |
|----|--|
| 2 | penalties of perjury"? |
| 3 | A. It does. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So under penalties of perjury, on |
| 5 | April 9th, my father and you signed a document, it |
| 6 | appears, that states that Simon has fully administered |
| 7 | the estate. |
| 8 | Was that done? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 10 | Q. He had settled the estate, made dispositions |
| 11 | of all claims of Shirley's estate? |
| 12 | A. He was the only beneficiary of the estate. |
| 13 | The creditor period had passed. |
| 14 | Q. He was the only beneficiary of the will? |
| 15 | A. He was the only beneficiary of the will if |
| 16 | he that's if he survived your mother. |
| 17 | Q. Did you say earlier that the five children |
| 18 | were tangible personal property devisees or |
| 19 | beneficiaries under the will? |
| 20 | A. I did not. I said your father was the sole |
| 21 | beneficiary of your mother's estate by virtue of |
| 22 | surviving her. |
| 23 | Q. I thought you mentioned can I take a look |
| 24 | at the will? |
| 25 | Okay. On Simon's will, which is Exhibit 4 |

| 1 | here |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. This is your mother's will we're talking |
| 3 | about. |
| 4 | Q. Well, hold on. Well, you did state there were |
| 5 | mirror documents, correct, at one point? That's okay. |
| 6 | I'll proceed. That part seems to be in error. |
| 7 | Does the document say, "I, Shirley Bernstein, |
| 8 | of Palm Beach County, Florida hereby revoke all of my |
| 9 | prior wills and codicils and make this will my spouse's |
| 10 | assignment. My children are Ted, Pam Pamela Simon, |
| 11 | Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and Lisa Friedstein"? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence and |
| 13 | cumulative. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Was there a separate written memorandum |
| 18 | prepared for this will? |
| 19 | A. No, there was not. |
| 20 | Q. And if Simon didn't survive, the property |
| 21 | would be going to the children, correct? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Best evidence and cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What was I missed that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Can I not ask him that question I just asked? |
| 3 | THE COURT: I sustained the objection. You |
| 4 | can ask a new question of him. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Is there any chance that the children could be |
| 8 | beneficiaries of anything under this will? |
| 9 | A. Not at the time of your mother's death. Your |
| 10 | father survived. |
| 11 | Q. So at the time of her death, you're saying |
| 12 | that if they both died together, would the |
| 13 | children |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q be beneficiaries? |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'm done with him. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: No questions. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step |
| 21 | down now. |
| 22 | Next witness, please. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: My next witness, are you |
| 24 | saying? |
| 25 | THE COURT: If you have another witness, now's |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | the time to call him or her. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Ted Bernstein well, |
| 3 | one second. |
| 4 | Is Kimberly Moran, your witness, here? Is |
| 5 | Kimberly Moran, an exhibited witness, here, |
| 6 | Mr. Rose? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Listen, it's your case. I've |
| 8 | asked if you have any other witnesses. Do you have |
| 9 | any other witnesses? |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I don't. I was going to |
| 11 | call some of their witnesses, but they're not here. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So you aren't going to call |
| 13 | anybody? |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I'm going to call Ted |
| 15 | Bernstein. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, that's a witness, right? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just was |
| 18 | looking for the other ones on the witness list. I |
| 19 | didn't know if they were sitting outside. |
| 20 | Thereupon, |
| 21 | (TED BERNSTEIN) |
| 22 | having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined |
| 23 | and testified as follows: |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 25 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Ted |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got to ask the witness his |
| 4 | name. The record needs to reflect who's |
| 5 | testifying. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: And could I just ask that he stay |
| 7 | at the podium? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. You need to stay near the |
| 9 | microphone so that I can hear and the court |
| 10 | reporter can accurately hear you. And then if you |
| 11 | need to go up to the witness stand for some reason, |
| 12 | you're allowed to do that. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. State your name for the record. |
| 15 | A. Ted Bernstein. |
| 16 | Q. Is that your full formal name? |
| 17 | A. That is. |
| 18 | Q. Do you go by Theodore Stuart Bernstein ever? |
| 19 | A. I do not. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Is that your name on your birth |
| 21 | certificate? |
| 22 | A. Which one? |
| 23 | Q. Theodore Stuart Bernstein? |
| 24 | A. It is not. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. Ted, you were made aware of Robert |

| 1 | Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of |
|----|---|
| 2 | your mother's when? |
| 3 | A. I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And when you found out, you were the |
| 5 | fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly? |
| 6 | A. I'm not sure I understand the question. |
| 7 | Q. When you found out that there was a fraudulent |
| 8 | altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the |
| 9 | fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust? |
| 10 | A. I was trustee, yes. I am trustee, yes. |
| 11 | Q. And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and |
| 12 | their law firm are the one who committed that fraud, |
| 13 | correct, who altered that document? |
| 14 | A. That's what's been admitted to by them, |
| 15 | correct. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. So you became aware that your counsel |
| 17 | that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud, |
| 18 | correct? |
| 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | Q. What did you do immediately after that? |
| 21 | A. The same day that I found out, I contacted |
| 22 | counsel. I met with counsel on that very day. I met |
| 23 | with counsel the next day. I met with counsel the day |
| 24 | after that. |
| 25 | Q. Which counsel? |

| 1 | A. Alan Rose. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Oh. Okay. So he was so Tescher and |
| 3 | Spallina were your counsel as trustee, but Alan Rose |
| 4 | became that day? |
| 5 | A. I'm not sure when, but I consulted him |
| 6 | immediately. You asked me when. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Can I caution the witness that it's |
| 8 | fine to say who he consulted with. I think the |
| 9 | advice was the attorney-client privilege I would |
| 10 | instruct him on. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. The attorney-client |
| 12 | privilege is available, and your client is on the |
| 13 | stand. Counsel's reminding him that it exists. |
| 14 | Are there any other questions? What is the |
| 15 | time period that you're asking about here? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right after he discovered that |
| 17 | there had been a fraudulent, invalid will created. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Right. And you're asking him what |
| 19 | he did afterwards? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right afterwards. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Have your mother and father |
| 22 | both passed away at the time you're asking him |
| 23 | that? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So the validity of the documents |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | that I've got to figure out won't have anything to |
|----|---|
| 2 | do with the questions you're asking him now about |
| 3 | his actions at trustee, will they? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Tell me how. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Because, Your Honor, |
| 7 | when he found out that there was fraud by his |
| 8 | attorneys that he retained, the question is, what |
| 9 | did they do with those documents? Did he come to |
| 10 | the court to correct |
| 11 | THE COURT: The question you're asking him is |
| 12 | what did he do. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Well, that doesn't tell me |
| 15 | anything about what the attorneys did. So I'll |
| 16 | sustain my own objection. I want to keep you on |
| 17 | track here. You're running out of time, and I want |
| 18 | you to stay focused on what I've got to figure out. |
| 19 | You've got a lot more on your mind than I do. I |
| 20 | explained that to you earlier. Do you have any |
| 21 | other questions on the issues that I've got to |
| 22 | resolve at this point? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. Have you seen the original will and trust of |

| 1 | your mother's? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Can you define original for me? |
| 3 | Q. The original. |
| 4 | A. The one that's filed in the court? |
| 5 | Q. Original will or the trust. |
| 6 | A. I've seen copies of the trusts. |
| 7 | Q. Have you done anything to have any of the |
| 8 | documents authenticated since learning that your |
| 9 | attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive |
| 10 | documents that you were in custody of? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I have not. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. So you as the trustee have taken no steps to |
| 16 | validate these documents; is that correct? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. Why is that? |
| 19 | A. I'm not an expert on the validity of |
| 20 | documents. |
| 21 | Q. Did you contract a forensic analyst? |
| 22 | A. I'm retained by counsel, and I've got counsel |
| 23 | retained for all of this. So I'm not an expert on the |
| 24 | validity of the documents. |
| 25 | Q. You're the fiduciary. You're the trustee. |
| | |

| 1 | You're the guy in charge. You're the guy who hires your |
|----|--|
| 2 | counsel. You tell them what to do. |
| 3 | So you found out that your former attorneys |
| 4 | committed fraud. And my question is simple. Did you do |
| 5 | anything, Ted Bernstein, to validate these documents, |
| 6 | the originals? |
| 7 | THE COURT: That's already been answered in |
| 8 | the negative. I wrote it down. Let's keep going. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. As you sit here today, if the documents in |
| 12 | your mother's in the estates aren't validated and |
| 13 | certain documents are thrown out if the judge rules them |
| 14 | not valid, will you or your family gain or lose any |
| 15 | benefit in any scenario? |
| 16 | A. Can you repeat that for me, please? I'm not |
| 17 | sure I'm understanding. |
| 18 | Q. If the judge invalidates some of the documents |
| 19 | here today, will you personally lose money, interest in |
| 20 | the estates and trusts as the trustee, your family, you? |
| 21 | A. I will not. |
| 22 | Q. Your family? |
| 23 | A. My my children will. |
| 24 | Q. So that's your family? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. So do you find that as a fiduciary to |
|----|---|
| 2 | be a conflict? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I think it calls for a legal |
| 6 | conclusion. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Well, would it matter to you one way or the |
| 10 | other how these documents are validated? |
| 11 | A. What would matter to me would be to follow the |
| 12 | documents that are deemed to be valid and follow the |
| 13 | court orders that suggest and deem that they are valid. |
| 14 | That would be what I would be charged to do. |
| 15 | Q. So you can sit here today and tell me that the |
| 16 | validity of these documents, even though your family |
| 17 | will lose 40 percent, has no effect on you? |
| 18 | A. It has no effect on me. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And you don't find that to be adverse |
| 20 | to certain beneficiaries as the trustee? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 22 | conclusion. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, what difference does it make |
| 24 | to me? I mean, what he thinks about his role is |
| 25 | just not relevant to me. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: So the next question, please. |
| 3 | That's not relevant. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. So in no way have you tried to authenticate |
| 6 | these documents as the trustee? |
| 7 | THE COURT: He has already said that. That's |
| 8 | the third time you've asked it, at least. And I've |
| 9 | written it down. It's on my papers. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll let it go. I'll |
| 11 | let him go today. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. You have no further |
| 13 | questions of the witness. |
| 14 | Is there any cross? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Briefly. |
| 16 | CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN) |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 18 | Q. You did a few things to authenticate the |
| 19 | documents, didn't you? You filed a lawsuit? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. In fact, we're here today because you filed a |
| 22 | lawsuit to ask this judge to determine if these five |
| 23 | documents are valid, correct? |
| 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | Q. And you fired Mr. Tescher and Spallina on the |
| | |

| 1 | spot? |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. Called the bar association? |
| 4 | A. The next business day. |
| 5 | Q. You consulted with counsel, and we retained |
| 6 | additional probate counsel over the weekend? |
| 7 | A. We did. |
| 8 | Q. So as far as authenticating the documents, you |
| 9 | personally believe these are genuine and valid |
| 10 | documents, right? |
| 11 | A. I do. |
| 12 | Q. And you, in fact, were in your office the day |
| 13 | your father signed them? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. And witnessed Mr. Spallina and the notary |
| 16 | coming to the office to sign the documents? |
| 17 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 18 | Q. And you had been on a conference call with |
| 19 | your father, your brother and your three sisters where |
| 20 | your father told you exactly what he was going to do? |
| 21 | A. That is also correct. |
| 22 | Q. And the documents that we're looking at today |
| 23 | do exactly what your father told everybody, including |
| 24 | your brother, Eliot, he was going to do on the |
| 25 | conference call in May of 2012? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, that is correct also. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Now, I think you were asked a good question. |
| 3 | Do you care one way or the other how these |
| 4 | documents are decided by the Court? |
| 5 | A. Absolutely not. |
| 6 | Q. Did you care when your father or mother made a |
| 7 | document that did not specifically leave any money to |
| 8 | you? |
| 9 | A. I did not. |
| 10 | Q. Now, did you care for anybody other than |
| 11 | yourself? |
| 12 | A. I cared for the for the sake of my |
| 13 | children. |
| 14 | Q. And why did you care for the sake of your |
| 15 | children? |
| 16 | A. My parents had a very good relationship with |
| 17 | my children, and I did not want my children to |
| 18 | misinterpret what the intentions of their grandparents |
| 19 | were and would have been. And for that reason, I felt |
| 20 | that it would have been difficult for my children. |
| 21 | Q. Did you ever have access to the original will |
| 22 | of your father or mother that were in the Tescher & |
| 23 | Spallina vaults? |
| 24 | A. I have no access, no. |
| 25 | Q. Did you ever have access to the original |
| | |

| 1 | copies of the trusts that Mr. Spallina testified were |
|----|---|
| 2 | sitting in their firm's file cabinets or vaults? |
| 3 | A. I did not. |
| 4 | Q. Now, did you find in your father's possessions |
| 5 | the duplicate originals of the trusts of him and your |
| 6 | mother that we've talked about? |
| 7 | A. I did. |
| 8 | Q. And do you have any reason to believe that |
| 9 | they aren't valid, genuine and signed by your father on |
| 10 | the day that he your father and your mother on the |
| 11 | days that it says they signed them? |
| 12 | A. None whatsoever. |
| 13 | Q. You need to get a ruling on whether these five |
| 14 | documents are valid in order for you to do your job as |
| 15 | the trustee, correct? |
| 16 | A. Yes, that is correct. |
| 17 | Q. Whichever way the Court rules, will you follow |
| 18 | the final judgment of the Court and exactly consistent |
| 19 | with what the documents say, and follow the advice of |
| 20 | your counsel in living up to the documents as the Court |
| 21 | construes them? |
| 22 | A. Always. A hundred percent. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Nothing further, sir. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 25 | Is there any redirect? |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1 REDIRECT (TED BERNSTEIN) BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 Ο. You just stated that you came to the court and validated the documents in this hearing today; is that 4 5 correct? MR. ROSE: Objection. It mis --6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: O. You filed a motion to validate the documents 8 today? 9 10 THE COURT: Wait. You've got to let me rule on the objection. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. I don't hear any 13 objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Q. Okay. Since -- did you file a motion that we're here for today for validity? 17 18 Α. Explain motion. 19 Q. A motion with the court for a validity hearing that we're here at right now. 20 21 Α. Do you mean the lawsuit? 2.2 Q. Well, yeah. 23 Α. Yes, we did file a lawsuit, yes. 24 Okay. Do you know when you filed that? Q. 25 Α. No. I don't know, Eliot. I don't know when I

| 1 | filed it. I don't have it committed to memory. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Do you have an idea? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. I think the court file |
| 4 | will reflect when the case was filed. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 6 | The question was answered, I don't know. Next |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Prior to filing this lawsuit, Mr. Rose said |
| 11 | you couldn't do anything because you didn't know if the |
| 12 | documents were valid. |
| 13 | My question is, did you do anything from the |
| 14 | time you found out the documents might not be valid and |
| 15 | needed a validity hearing to today at this validity |
| 16 | hearing? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 18 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he knew about these |
| 20 | documents being fraudulent for X months. |
| 21 | THE COURT: What will that help me decide on |
| 22 | the validity of the five documents? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Why, Your Honor, they didn't |
| 24 | come to the court knowing that they needed a |
| 25 | validity hearing, and instead disposed and |
| | |

1 disbursed of assets while they've known all this 2 time --3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'm not called to rule upon that stuff. I'm 4 called to rule upon the validity of these five 5 paper documents. That's what I'm going to figure 6 7 out at the end of the day. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Mr. Rose asked you if you found documents and 9 Q. they all looked valid to you, and you responded yes. 10 Are you an expert? 11 12 Α. I am not. Can you describe what you did to make that 13 Ο. 14 analysis? 15 Α. They looked like they were their signatures on the documents. I had no reason whatsoever to think 16 those weren't the documents that were their planning 17 documents. I had no reason at all to think that. 18 19 Q. Even after your hired attorneys that were representing you admitted fraud, you didn't think there 20 21 was any reason to validate the documents? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Ο. Did you find any reason to validate these

| 1 | documents forensically? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I think I answered that by saying that we |
| 3 | filed a lawsuit. |
| 4 | Q. No, I'm asking you to have a |
| 5 | forensic you're the trustee. And as a beneficiary |
| 6 | to protect the beneficiaries, do you think you should |
| 7 | validate these documents with a handwriting expert due |
| 8 | to the fact that we have multiple instances of fraud by |
| 9 | your counsel who were acting on your behalf? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative and |
| 11 | argument. |
| 12 | THE COURT: The question is, does he think |
| 13 | something. I've already told you when you ask a |
| 14 | question do you think, I stop listening. It's not |
| 15 | relevant what the witness thinks. |
| 16 | So I'll sustain the objection. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. As a trustee, would you find it to be your |
| 19 | fiduciary duty upon learning of document forgeries and |
| 20 | frauds by your counsel to have the dispositive documents |
| 21 | you're operating under validated by a professional |
| 22 | handwriting expert, forensic expert, et cetera? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Do you think these documents should be |
| 3 | validated you're the trustee. |
| 4 | Do you think these documents should be |
| 5 | validated by a professional firm forensically? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 7 | THE COURT: It's not relevant. You just asked |
| 8 | him if he thinks he should have had them validated. |
| 9 | I don't care what he thinks. In making my |
| 10 | decisions today, what he thinks he should have done |
| 11 | or not done isn't relevant. I'm looking for facts. |
| 12 | So I really wish you would address your questions |
| 13 | to facts. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, have these |
| 16 | documents been forensically analyzed by any expert? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 18 | THE COURT: No, they are not. I already know |
| 19 | that. I wrote it down. He's already said they've |
| 20 | not been. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Ted, when your father signed, allegedly, his |
| 24 | 2012 documents in July, were you aware of any medical |
| 25 | problems with your father? |
| | |

| 1 | A. I don't think so. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were you aware that I took him for a biopsy of |
| 3 | his brain? |
| 4 | A. I'm not aware of that, no. |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of the headaches he was |
| 6 | suffering that caused him to go for a biopsy of his |
| 7 | brain? |
| 8 | A. I don't believe he had a biopsy of his brain. |
| 9 | But if he did, then I'm not aware of it. |
| 10 | Q. Oh, okay. Were you aware of headaches your |
| 11 | father was suffering? |
| 12 | A. I recall he was having some headaches. |
| 13 | Q. Were you aware that he was seeing a |
| 14 | psychiatrist? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Were you aware of the reasons he was seeing a |
| 17 | psychiatrist? |
| 18 | A. Absolutely not. |
| 19 | Q. Were you ever in the psychiatrist's office |
| 20 | with him? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. For what reason? |
| 23 | A. I wanted to have a conversation with him. |
| 24 | Q. About? |
| 25 | A. About some personal issues that I wanted to |

discuss with him. 1 Ο. Personal issues such as? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Can I get clarification? Are you talking about you wanted to -- he may have a 4 privilege. 5 You were discussing Simon's issues or your own 6 7 personal issues? THE WITNESS: They were both intertwined 8 together. 9 10 MR. ROSE: I think it's subject to a 11 privilege. THE COURT: All right. Well, you've been 12 13 warned by your attorney you've got a psychologist-client privilege, so use it as you 14 15 will. MR. BERNSTEIN: He's not a client of the 16 psychiatrist, I don't think. 17 18 THE COURT: I beg to differ with you. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, he is? THE COURT: Because the answer just clarified 20 21 that he was in part seeking to be a client. Did 2.2 you listen to his clarification of his answer? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: No. 24 THE COURT: Well, I did very closely. 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: What was it?

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: Next question, please. |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll just see it on the |
| 3 | transcript. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of any medical conditions, |
| 6 | depression, anything like that your father was |
| 7 | experiencing prior to his death? |
| 8 | A. I never found our father to suffer from any |
| 9 | kind of depression or anything like that during his |
| 10 | lifetime. |
| 11 | Q. So after your mother died, he wasn't |
| 12 | depressed? |
| 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Could I again ask Mr. Bernstein to |
| 15 | step to the podium and not be so close to my |
| 16 | client? |
| 17 | THE COURT: If you speak into the microphone, |
| 18 | it'll be even more easy to hear your questions. |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. So, according to you, your father's state of |
| 22 | mind was perfectly fine after his wife died of a |
| 23 | number of years |
| 24 | A. I didn't say that. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. He wasn't depressed? |

| 1 | A. That's what I said. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were you aware of any medications he was on? |
| 3 | A. I was, yes. |
| 4 | Q. Such as? |
| 5 | A. From time to time, he would take something for |
| 6 | your heart when you would have angina pains. But that |
| 7 | he was doing for 30 years, for a good 30 years, that I |
| 8 | knew dad was taking, whatever that medicine is when you |
| 9 | have some chest pain. |
| 10 | Q. Did you have any problems with your father |
| 11 | prior to his death? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: The question is, did you have any |
| 14 | problems with your dad before he died? |
| 15 | I'll sustain the objection. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Are you aware of any problems between you and |
| 18 | your father that were causing him stress? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. Were you aware that your father was changing |
| 23 | his documents allegedly due to stress caused by certain |
| 24 | of his children? |
| 25 | A. No. |

| 1 | Q. Were you on a May 10th phone call? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. In that phone call, did your father |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. It's beyond the |
| 5 | scope well |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It has to do with the changes |
| 7 | of the documents and the state of mind. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Do you have a question you want to |
| 9 | ask? He's withdrawn whatever he was saying, so you |
| 10 | can finish your question. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Okay. So on May 10th, at that meeting, your |
| 13 | father stated that he was having trouble with certain of |
| 14 | his children, and this would solve those problems. |
| 15 | Are you aware of that? |
| 16 | A. No, I don't not from the way you're |
| 17 | characterizing that phone call. |
| 18 | Q. Well, how do you characterize that? |
| 19 | A. He wanted to have a conversation with his five |
| 20 | children about some changes he was making to his |
| 21 | documents. |
| 22 | Q. And you had never talked to him about the |
| 23 | changes, that your family was disinherited? |
| 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | Q. Prior to that call? |

| 1 | A. No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. When did you learn that you were disinherited? |
| 3 | A. I think when I first saw documents with |
| 4 | maybe after dad once dad passed away. |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of the contact with your sister |
| 6 | Pam regarding her anger at your father for cutting both |
| 7 | of you out of the will? |
| 8 | A. I'm aware of that. |
| 9 | Q. So that was before your father passed? |
| 10 | A. Excuse me. Can you ask say the end of that |
| 11 | sentence again. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you read that back? |
| 13 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 14 | reporter.) |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You asked me a |
| 16 | question, and I had answered too quickly. What was |
| 17 | the end of the question prior to that? |
| 18 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 19 | reporter.) |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I'm aware that she was angry |
| 21 | with him about how that he she was not in his |
| 22 | documents. |
| 23 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 24 | Q. You didn't learn right there that you weren't |
| 25 | in the documents? |
| | |

| 1 | A. I can't remember if it was then or if it was |
|----|---|
| 2 | when dad died. |
| 3 | Q. Well, this is very important so can you think |
| 4 | back to that time. |
| 5 | While your father was alive, did I invite you |
| 6 | to a Passover holiday at my home? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't recall. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevance to the |
| 12 | state of mind my dad was in while |
| 13 | THE COURT: Well, you're asking did this guy |
| 14 | get invited to your home. You didn't ask about |
| 15 | your dad, so I'll sustain the objection. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Did you get invited to a Passover |
| 18 | dinner at my home that your father was attending? |
| 19 | A. I don't recall the circumstances of |
| 20 | what whatever it is you're referring to. |
| 21 | Q. Do you recall saying you wouldn't come to the |
| 22 | Passover dinner? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Do you recall writing me a email that stated |
| 3 | that your family was dead for all intensive [sic] |
| 4 | purposes? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 6 | THE COURT: What's the relevance to the |
| 7 | validity of these documents? |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: If Si was in the right state |
| 9 | of mind or if he was being, you know, forced at a |
| 10 | gun to make these changes by children who had |
| 11 | THE COURT: Your question asked this witness |
| 12 | if he wrote you a letter that said his family was |
| 13 | dead for all intents and purposes. What's that got |
| 14 | to do with the validity of these documents? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it establishes Simon's |
| 16 | state of mind. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. Well, then, |
| 19 | I'm all done then. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 21 | Is there any cross? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: I already crossed. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Oh, that's true. So you're all |
| 24 | set. You're done. Thank you. |
| 25 | Next witness, please. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Alan Rose. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: I object. Improper. |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got 11 minutes yet. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he's a witness to the |
| 5 | chain of custody in these documents. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, you can call anybody you |
| 7 | want. I just wanted you to know how much time you |
| 8 | had left. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: He wants to call me, and I object |
| 11 | to being called as a witness. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: I don't think that's proper. |
| 14 | THE COURT: I don't think that's proper to |
| 15 | call an attorney from the other side as your |
| 16 | witness. So I accept the objection. Anybody else? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I would agree with |
| 18 | that normally |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, thanks. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: but there's a small |
| 21 | problem. The chain of custody we're trying to |
| 22 | follow in these documents for other reasons, other |
| 23 | criminal reasons, is Mr. Rose has pertinent |
| 24 | information to; meaning, he claims to have |
| 25 | discovered some of these documents and taken them |
| | |

| 1 | off the property. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: I thought you said you wanted a |
| 3 | chain of custody? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. Meaning |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, the chain of custody to me |
| 6 | means the chain of custody after the time they were |
| 7 | executed. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. He wasn't around when |
| 10 | they were executed. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but he found documents |
| 12 | that are being inserted into this court case as |
| 13 | originals, second originals that he found |
| 14 | personally, and wrote a letter stating, I just |
| 15 | happened to find these documents in Simon's home |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the |
| 17 | objection to you calling him as a surprise witness. |
| 18 | He's a representative of your own. Do you have any |
| 19 | other witnesses? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'm good. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. So you rest? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Is there any rebuttal |
| 24 | evidence from the plaintiff's side? |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: No, sir. |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. So the evidence is closed. |
|----|---|
| 2 | We'll have time for brief closing arguments. And |
| 3 | I'll take those now. Let me hear first from the |
| 4 | plaintiff's side. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Did you say it was time |
| 6 | for me to speak? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Yes. I'm taking closing arguments |
| 8 | now. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. May it please |
| 10 | the Court. |
| 11 | We're here on a very narrow issue. And |
| 12 | we you know, I apologize to the extent I put on |
| 13 | a little bit of background. We've had an extensive |
| 14 | litigation before Judge Colin. This is our first |
| 15 | time here. And if any of my background bored you, |
| 16 | I apologize. |
| 17 | There are five documents that are at issue, |
| 18 | which we talked about before we started; the 2008 |
| 19 | will and trust of Shirley Bernstein, as well as the |
| 20 | amendment that she signed, and then the 2012 will |
| 21 | and trust of Simon Bernstein. |
| 22 | So the uncontroverted evidence that you've |
| 23 | heard was from Robert Spallina, who is an attesting |
| 24 | witness to the documents and he was a draftsman of |
| 25 | the documents. |
| | |

| 1 | I don't believe it's directly relevant to your |
|----|---|
| 2 | inquiry, but you certainly heard evidence that what |
| 3 | Simon Bernstein intended and what he communicated |
| 4 | were his wishes; the exercise of a power of |
| 5 | appointment through a will, the changing of the |
| 6 | beneficiaries of his trust document by way of an |
| 7 | amended and restated 2012 document, to give his |
| 8 | money leave his wealth to his ten grandchildren. |
| 9 | The final documents as drafted and signed are |
| 10 | consistent with what. |
| 11 | But what we're here to decide is, are these |
| 12 | documents valid and enforceable? And there are |
| 13 | self-proving affidavits attached to the documents. |
| 14 | And by themselves, if you find the self-proving |
| 15 | affidavits to be valid, then the wills themselves |
| 16 | are valid and enforceable. |
| 17 | Now, the only question that's been raised as |
| 18 | to the self-proving affidavit is an issue with |
| 19 | notarization. And we have two cases to cite to the |
| 20 | Court on the notarization issue. One is from the |
| 21 | Florida Supreme Court called The House of Lyons, |
| 22 | and one is from a sister court in the State of |
| | |

23 North Carolina.

24

25

THE COURT: Just a second.

Sir, would you just have a seat. You're

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | making me nervous. |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Thanks. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just aching. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, I understand. But just have |
| 6 | a seat. That'll be better. Thanks. |
| 7 | And I'm sorry for the interruption. |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: No, that's all right. |
| 9 | If I may I approach with the two cases we |
| 10 | would rely on. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: The House of Lyons. The second is |
| 13 | a case from Georgia. The House of Lyons case is |
| 14 | from the Florida Supreme Court. It deals in a |
| 15 | slightly different context, but it deals with |
| 16 | notarization. And so what you have here is, we've |
| 17 | put on evidence. The documents that are in |
| 18 | evidence, that these documents were signed |
| 19 | properly. The witnesses were in the presence of |
| 20 | each other, and the testator and the notary |
| 21 | notarized them. |
| 22 | Shirley's documents from 2008, there's no |
| 23 | question that all the boxes were checked. There is |
| 24 | a question that's been raised with regard to |
| 25 | Simon's 2012 will and his 2012 trust; that the |
| | |

| 1 | notary rather than the law firm employee |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarizing them, these were notarized by Simon's |
| 3 | the testimony is by an employee of Simon's company, |
| 4 | not a legal expert. And if on the face of the two |
| 5 | documents and for the record, these would be |
| 6 | Exhibits 4, which is Simon's will, and Exhibit 5, |
| 7 | which is Simon's trust. |
| 8 | On Exhibit 4, there's no box to check. The |
| 9 | whole information is written out. And I don't |
| 10 | believe there's any requirement that someone |
| 11 | circled the word if you just read it as an |
| 12 | English sentence, the notary confirmed that it was |
| 13 | sworn to and ascribed before me the witness is |
| 14 | Robert L. Spallina, who is personally known to me |
| 15 | or who has produced no identification. |
| 16 | So I think the natural inference from that |
| 17 | sentence is that person was known to him, Kimberly |
| 18 | Moran, who was personally known to me, and Simon |
| 19 | Bernstein, who was personally known to me. So on |
| 20 | its face, I think it the only inference you |
| 21 | could draw from this is that the person knew them. |
| 22 | Now, we've established from testimony that she |
| 23 | in fact knew the three of them, and we've |
| 24 | established by way of Exhibit 16, which was signed |
| 25 | on the same day and notarized by the same person. |

| 1 | And Exhibit 16, unlike Exhibit 4, which doesn't |
|----|---|
| 2 | have a little check mark, Exhibit 16 has a check |
| 3 | mark, and the notary properly checks personally |
| 4 | known to the people that she was notarizing. |
| 5 | So I believe and the In Re Lyon case stands |
| 6 | for substantial compliance with a notary is |
| 7 | sufficient. And the North Carolina case is |
| 8 | actually more directly on point. The Florida |
| 9 | Supreme Court case, Lyons and we've highlighted |
| 10 | it for the Court, but it says, clerical errors will |
| 11 | not be permitted to defeat acknowledges |
| 12 | acknowledgments when they, considered either alone |
| 13 | or in connection with the instrument acknowledged |
| 14 | and viewed in light of the statute controlling |
| 15 | them, fairly show a substantial compliance with the |
| 16 | statute. |
| 17 | The North Carolina case is a will case, In Re |

18 Will of Durham. And there it's exactly our case. 19 The notary affidavit was silent as to whether the 20 person was personally known or not. And the Court held the caveat was self-proving. The fact that 21 22 the notary's affidavit is silent as to whether 23 decedent was personally known to the notary or 24 produced satisfactory evidence of his identity does 25 not show a lack of compliance with the notary

statute, given the issues of personal knowledge or
 satisfactory evidence are simply not addressed in
 that affidavit.

So we have a Florida case and we have the 4 North Carolina case, which I think is -- it's 5 obviously not binding, but it is sort of 6 7 persuasive. If they're self-proved, we would win without any further inquiry. The reason we had a 8 trial and the reason we had to file a complaint was 9 10 everything in this case -- you've slogged through the mud with us for a day, but we've been slogging 11 12 through the mud for -- basically, I got directly 13 involved in January of 2014, after the Tescher 14 Spallina firm -- after the issues with the firm 15 came to light. So we've been slogging through this. 16

But we did file a complaint. We went the next 17 18 step. So the next step says to you, assume the 19 notaries are invalid, which they aren't invalid; 20 but if they were, all we need to establish these 21 documents is the testimony of any attesting 2.2 witness. So we put on the testimony of an 23 attesting witness, Mr. Spallina. He testified to the preparation of the documents. And I do think 24 25 it's relevant and it will give the Court comfort in

1 making findings of fact that there was an extensive 2 set of meetings between Mr. Spallina and his 3 clients when they did the documents. I mean, we documented for the first set of 4 documents, you know, four meetings, a letter with 5 some drafts, then a meeting to sign the documents, 6 7 some phone calls and some amending the documents. And in 2012, we've documented at least one meeting 8 with notes involving Simon; telephone conferences 9 10 between Simon and his client; eventually, when a decision was made, a conference call of all the 11 12 children; drafts of the documents sent; the 13 document being executed.

And so I think if you look at the evidence, 14 15 the totality of the evidence, there's nothing to suggest that these five documents do not reflect 16 the true intent of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. 17 18 There's nothing to suggest that they weren't 19 prepared by the law firm; that they weren't signed 20 by the people that purport to sign them; that 21 undisputed testimony from an attesting witness was 2.2 that all three people were present, and it was 23 signed by the testator and the two witnesses in the presence of each other. 24

25

So under either scenario, you get the document

| 1 | admitted. In fact, the documents are in evidence. |
|----|---|
| 2 | They've been admitted to probate. But the |
| 3 | testimony under 732.502, 503, the testimony of the |
| 4 | drafting attorney, who attested who was an |
| 5 | attesting witness, is sufficient for these |
| 6 | documents. |
| 7 | There's absolutely no evidence put on the |
| 8 | Court that Simon Bernstein lacked mental capacity. |
| 9 | In fact, the evidence is directly to the contrary. |
| 10 | Every witness testified that he was mentally sharp; |
| 11 | making intelligent decisions; having a conference |
| 12 | call with his children to explain his wishes. And |
| 13 | there's simply no evidence in the record to |
| 14 | determine that he lacked testamentary capacity. |
| 15 | So if I have Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, |
| 16 | with testamentary capacity signing documents in the |
| 17 | presence of two subscribing witnesses, the 2012 |
| 18 | documents should be upheld. I don't know if |
| 19 | there's a question at all even about Shirley |
| 20 | Bernstein's 2008 document, but the testimony is |
| 21 | undisputed that the documents were consistent with |
| 22 | her wishes. You saw a draft letter that explained |
| 23 | to her exactly what was happening. She signed the |
| 24 | documents. The self-proving affidavits for the |
| 25 | Shirley documents are all checked perfectly. And |

| 1 | even if they weren't, we have an attesting witness |
|----|---|
| 2 | here. |
| 3 | And, frankly, I think Eliot Bernstein likes |
| 4 | these documents. And all he wants to do is argue |
| 5 | what they mean and how much money you get from |
| 6 | them. And we didn't really need to spend a day |
| 7 | arguing this, but we have and we're here. And we |
| 8 | believe that the evidence conclusively demonstrates |
| 9 | that these documents are valid. |
| LO | Now, you've heard some nonsense and some |
| L1 | shenanigans. There were a couple of problems in |
| L2 | the case; one with the notarization of documents. |
| L3 | And it's sort of a sad and tortured story, but |

14 it's -- it was clearly wrong for someone to send 15 documents into Judge Colin's courtroom that had 16 been altered. The correct documents were submitted 17 and the estate should have been closed.

18 And when the documents were returned, someone 19 should have gone and filed a motion with Judge 20 Colin to accept the un-notarized documents, since there was no dispute they were signed. And we 21 22 wouldn't be here. But for whatever reason, that 23 happened. And it's unfortunate that happened, but 24 there's no evidence that Ted Bernstein, either of 25 his sisters, or Eliot Bernstein, or any of the

Γ

| 1 | grandchildren played any role in the fabrication of |
|----|---|
| 2 | that document the false notarization. |
| 3 | The fabricated amendment to Shirley's trust |
| 4 | document is a very disturbing fact, and we took |
| 5 | immediate action to correct it. No one's purported |
| 6 | to validate that document. We filed an action to |
| 7 | have the Court construe the documents, tell us |
| 8 | which are valid, tell us what they mean. And |
| 9 | that's where we should be focusing our time on. |
| 10 | And this is, in my view, step one toward that. |
| 11 | But if you look at the evidence we've |
| 12 | presented, if you I understand you've got to |
| 13 | deal with the witnesses that you're handed. And I |
| 14 | think Mr. Spallina's testimony, notwithstanding the |
| 15 | two issues that we addressed, was persuasive, it |
| 16 | was unrebutted. |
| 17 | And we would ask that you uphold the five |
| 18 | documents and determine, as we have pled, that the |
| 19 | five testamentary documents that are in evidence, I |
| 20 | believe, as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be upheld and |
| 21 | determined to be the valid and final testamentary |
| 22 | documents of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. To the |
| 23 | extent there's any question the document that has |
| 24 | been admitted to be not genuine be determined to be |
| 25 | an inoperative and ungenuine document, we would ask |

| 1 | that you enter judgment for us on Count II and |
|----|---|
| 2 | reserve jurisdiction to deal with the rest of the |
| 3 | issues as swiftly as we can. |
| 4 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 5 | Any closing argument from the other side? |
| 6 | Okay. |
| 7 | I keep forgetting that you've got a right to |
| 8 | be heard, so please forgive me. |
| 9 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, if I may approach, I |
| 10 | have some case law and statutes that I may refer |
| 11 | to. And I'll try to be brief and not cumulative. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Could I get the other case law |
| 13 | that was submitted? Do you have a copy of that? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Sure. |
| 15 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, the relevant statute |
| 16 | with respect to the execution of wills is 732.502. |
| 17 | It says that every will must be in writing and |
| 18 | executed as follows. And I'll just recite from the |
| 19 | relevant parts, that is to say relevant with |
| 20 | respect to our case. |
| 21 | The testator must sign at the end of the will |
| 22 | and it must be in the presence of at least two |
| 23 | attesting witnesses. And if we drop down to |
| 24 | Subsection C, the attesting witnesses must sign the |
| 25 | will in the presence of the testator and in the |
| | |

| 1 | presence of each other. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Judge, that was established and uncontroverted |
| 3 | in connection with Mr. Spallina's testimony. So |
| 4 | 732.502 was complied with. |
| 5 | Now, I think that we there was kind of a |
| 6 | distraction with respect to the self-proving |
| 7 | affidavits at the end. As Your Honor's aware, a |
| 8 | self-proving affidavit is of no consequence in |
| 9 | connection with the execution of a will. Execution |
| 10 | of a will as dealt with in 732.502 merely requires |
| 11 | execution at the end by the testator or the |
| 12 | testatrix, and then two witnesses who go ahead and |
| 13 | attest as to the testator's signature. |
| 14 | Now, the self-proving affidavit at the end is |
| 15 | in addition to. So the fact that there may or may |
| 16 | not have been a proper notarization is of no |
| 17 | consequence in connection with a determination of |
| 18 | the validity of any of these documents. So that's |
| 19 | number one. |
| 20 | Number two, I've also provided Your Honor with |
| 21 | another a statutory section, 733.107, and it's |
| 22 | titled "The Burden of Proof in Contest." And it |
| 23 | says there, in Subsection 1, "In all proceedings |
| 24 | contesting the validity of a will, the burden shall |
| 25 | be upon the proponent of the will to establish, |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | prima facie, its formal execution and attestation." |
|----|---|
| 2 | I would submit to the Court that that was done |
| 3 | today. We had Mr. Spallina's testimony, which was |
| 4 | uncontroverted, that indicated that 732.502 was |
| 5 | complied with. The statute goes on to state, "A |
| 6 | self-proving affidavit executed in accordance with |
| 7 | 733.502 or an oath of an attesting witness executed |
| 8 | as required under the statutes is admissible and |
| 9 | establishes, prima facie, the formal execution and |
| 10 | attestation of the will." |
| 11 | So, once again, I would submit to the Court |
| 12 | that there were self-proving affidavits with |
| 13 | respect to all of these testamentary documents. |
| 14 | They were proper in form, and therefore comply or |
| 15 | comport with the second sentence of the statute. |
| 16 | But even if not, we had Mr. Spallina testify today |
| 17 | so as to comply with this second sentence of |
| 18 | Subsection 1. |
| 19 | So if we drop down to the third sentence of |
| 20 | this Subsection 1, it says that, "Thereafter, the |
| 21 | contestant shall have the burden of establishing |
| 22 | the grounds on which probate of the will is opposed |
| 23 | or revocation is sought." |
| 24 | That was not done today by Mr. Eliot |
| 25 | Bernstein. He did not present any evidence or meet |

Γ

| 1 | any burden to overturn these valid wills. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Judge, there is the competency argument. The |
| 3 | testamentary competency, I'm now going to quote |
| 4 | from In Re Wilmott's Estate, 66 So.2d 465. "A |
| 5 | testamentary competency means the ability to |
| 6 | understand generally the nature and extent of one's |
| 7 | property, the relationship of those who would be |
| 8 | the natural objects of the testator's bounty, and |
| 9 | the practical effect of the will." |
| 10 | The only testimony, I elicited that from |
| 11 | Mr. Spallina. His is the only testimony that we |
| 12 | have in this regard. And it's uncontroverted that |
| 13 | both of these decedents met those very specific |
| 14 | criteria which with respect to each and every |
| 15 | one of the five documents that are submitted for |
| 16 | your Court's validation today. |
| 17 | There's also case law, In Re Estate of Weihe, |
| 18 | W-E-I-H-E. That's 268 So.2d 446. That's a Fourth |
| 19 | DCA case that says, "Competency is generally |
| 20 | presumed and the burden of proving incompetency is |
| 21 | on the contestant." So even if we didn't have |
| 22 | Mr. Spallina's testimony today, which I elicited, |
| 23 | competency on the part of both Shirley and Si |
| 24 | Bernstein would be presumed. And it would be the |
| 25 | contestant, Mr. Eliot Bernstein, who would have to |
| | |

| 1 | come up with the or would have the burden of |
|---|--|
| 2 | showing that they were incompetent. He presented |
| 3 | no evidence today in that regard or in that |
| 4 | respect. |

Lastly, there's the In Re Carnegie's estate, Is3 Florida 7. It's a 1943 case. That says that testamentary capacity refers to competency at the time that the will was executed, so on that date.

9 The only testimony we have with respect to any 10 issues of competency on the date -- on the specific 11 dates that these testamentary documents were signed 12 was from Mr. Spallina. And on all such dates and 13 times, Mr. Spallina testified that these requisites 14 with respect to competency -- or testamentary 15 competency were met.

Finally, Judge, undue influence, that would be 16 a reason for invalidating a will. Mr. Bernstein, 17 18 once again, did not present any evidence to go 19 ahead and suggest that these wills or trusts documents should be overturned on the grounds of 20 21 undue influence. And in that regard, I provided 2.2 Your Honor with the Estate of Carpenter, 253 So.2d 23 697. To prove undue influence, one must 24 demonstrate that a beneficiary had a confidential 25 relationship with the decedent and actively

1 procured the will or trust. 2 Mr. Eliot Bernstein did not even suggest today 3 that any of the beneficiaries actively procured the document. Why? Beneficiaries are essentially --4 are ultimately the ten grandchildren. 5 Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, did not suggest 6 7 today that any one of the ten grandchildren, who are ultimately beneficiaries, were active in 8 procuring any of the five documents, nor did 9 10 Mr. Bernstein submit to the Court any evidence of confidential relationship by anyone in connection 11 with the various criteria to raise the presumption 12 13 of undue influence, nor did Eliot Bernstein raise the presumption by satisfying any or enough of the 14 15 criteria under the Carpenter case to go ahead and 16 raise the presumption that anyone, any substantial 17 beneficiary, had committed undue influence with 18 respect to any of these documents. 19 For those various, multifarious reasons, 20 Judge, I would submit to the Court that these 21 documents are valid and should be held as such. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 23 Any closing from the defendant's side? 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. 25 THE COURT: You've got eight minutes

1 remaining.

2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, we're 3 really here today because of a complex fraud on the court and on beneficiaries like myself and my 4 children. The only witness they procured to 5 validate these documents has consented to the SEC 6 7 and felony charges recently with his partner for insider trading. He came up on the stand and 8 admitted that he committed fraud, and that his law 9 10 firm forged documents and frauded documents, and then submitted them not only to the court, but 11 12 beneficiaries' attorneys as part of a very complex 13 fraud to not only change beneficiaries, but to seize dominion and control of the estates through 14 15 these very contestable documents. 16 They've been shown by the governor's office to 17 not be properly notarized. The two people who are 18 going -- well, one is --19 MR. ROSE: I don't want to object to --20 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- has no --21 MR. ROSE: Can I object? He's so far talking 2.2 about things that aren't in evidence. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. You can only argue those things that were 24 received in evidence. 25

| 1 | MR. ROSE: And I realize Your Honor has a good |
|----|---|
| 2 | memory of the evidence |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I put in evidence that |
| 4 | Mr. Spallina was SEC |
| 5 | THE COURT: No, I sustained objections to |
| 6 | those questions. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 8 | THE COURT: You can only argue those things |
| 9 | that came into evidence. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They didn't bring in |
| 11 | any of the necessary parties to validate these |
| 12 | documents, other than Mr. Spallina, who admitted to |
| 13 | the Court today that he fraudulently altered the |
| 14 | trust document. Can I now say that? |
| 15 | THE COURT: It's not good for you to ask me |
| 16 | questions. I've got to rule on objections, and I'm |
| 17 | trying to give you some guidance so that you don't |
| 18 | screw up. But I can't answer your legal questions. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So the only witness has |
| 20 | admitted in this very case that his law firm |
| 21 | submitted forged and fraudulent documents to the |
| 22 | Court already in this case; that he himself did |
| 23 | those frauds. And we're relying on his sole |
| 24 | testimony. |
| 25 | None of the other people who signed these |

| 1 | documents are here today to validate or even |
|----|---|
| 2 | confirm his statements. So it's a highly |
| 3 | uncredible [sic] witness to the documents, |
| 4 | especially when Mr. Spallina drafted, signed as a |
| 5 | witness, gained interest in the documents himself |
| 6 | personally as a trustee, and seems to clearly have |
| 7 | then taken it upon himself to mislead beneficiaries |
| 8 | as to the actual documents. |
| 9 | I have asked for production of these |
| 10 | documents. Today there were no originals produced |
| 11 | to this Court for you to examine. |
| 12 | And more importantly, there's a few last |
| 13 | things I wanted to state to the Court. My children |
| 14 | are not represented here today as beneficiaries. |
| 15 | They were supposed to be represented by a trustee |
| 16 | of a trust that does not exist in our possession. |
| 17 | So they were I was sued as a trustee of a trust |
| 18 | I've never been given to represent my children, who |
| 19 | are alleged beneficiaries by these guys. And the |
| 20 | estate's done nothing to provide counsel to three |
| 21 | minor children, and left them here today without |
| 22 | counsel, and me as a trustee of a trust that |
| 23 | doesn't exist, as far as we know. I've never |
| 24 | signed it. They haven't submitted it to the Court, |
| 25 | to anybody. |

| 1 | I want to bring up Rule 1.20, pretrial |
|----|---|
| 2 | procedure, case management conference process |
| 3 | provides, "The matter to be considered shall be |
| 4 | specified in the order of notice setting the |
| 5 | conference." |
| 6 | So I just want to say that we had a status |
| 7 | conference in Simon Bernstein's estate, and only |
| 8 | Simon Bernstein's estate, and that this trial was |
| 9 | scheduled in Simon's status conference, which |
| 10 | violates that very rule. So this trial, in my |
| 11 | view, was conducted improperly. |
| 12 | Like I said, if you look at the hearing |
| 13 | transcript of that day, you'll see that Mr. Rose |
| 14 | misleads the Court to think that all these cases |
| 15 | were noticed up that day. But Mr. O'Connell, the |
| 16 | PR, had only noticed it up for Simon's estate. So |
| 17 | what I'm doing here at a trial in Shirley's trust |
| 18 | violates Rule 1.20. |
| 19 | There are some other things that are violated |
| 20 | and not I believe we didn't get to discuss |
| 21 | the at the case management, the fact that, you |
| 22 | know and I did try to get this out that we |
| 23 | would need a lot more time for a competency |
| 24 | hearing, for a removal of Ted process, which should |
| 25 | have come first before doing this and letting them |

| 1 | argue, where it's been alleged that there's some |
|----|---|
| 2 | serious problems with Ted Bernstein's |
| 3 | representation, including the fact that the PR of |
| 4 | the estate of Simon has filed with this Court |
| 5 | notice that he's not a valid trustee. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Outside not in |
| 7 | evidence. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. If you're not going to |
| 9 | argue the facts that are in evidence in this trial, |
| 10 | then I'm going to ask you to stop. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'll keep going |
| 12 | on my see, that's what's confusing. What trial? |
| 13 | We had a case management. I was prepared for a |
| 14 | Simon, where I have Simon trust construction, all |
| 15 | those things ready, and I didn't come with any |
| 16 | notes about Shirley. And I've tried to notice the |
| 17 | Court that under 1.200, this trial was scheduled |
| 18 | improperly in the estate of Simon, and should have |
| 19 | been reheard or rescheduled or something. |
| 20 | But that seems not to matter. It doesn't |
| 21 | matter that we follow the rules. I follow the |
| 22 | rules, but it seems that the other side doesn't |
| 23 | follow any of the rules; doesn't submit documents |
| 24 | properly to courts; commits frauds on courts; and |
| 25 | then wants you to believe the validity of these |
| | |

| 1 | documents based on a felony statement to the Court, |
|----|---|
| 2 | who's under a consent with the SEC. |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got two minutes remaining. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: There were outstanding |
| 5 | discovery requests. I was denied all these |
| 6 | documents. I was denied the trust that I'm sued |
| 7 | under representing my children. So I can't get any |
| 8 | of those documents. We would have brought all that |
| 9 | up at a real status conference had it been a real |
| 10 | status conference and not a corralling or, as you |
| 11 | called it, a wrangling of octopuses. |
| 12 | THE COURT: That's vivid imagery. Isn't it? |
| 13 | I pride myself on that one. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Well, I was |
| 15 | wrangled, technically, into the wrong case here |
| 16 | today, in a status conference that you should have |
| 17 | corrected upon learning about this. And Mr. Rose |
| 18 | has been aware of his mistake in misleading the |
| 19 | Court that all these cases were noticed up, when |
| 20 | they weren't. And he didn't come to the Court to |
| 21 | correct it. Kind of like they didn't come to the |
| 22 | Court to correct the validity of these documents |
| 23 | before acting under them, knowing they needed to be |
| 24 | not only challenged on validity, but on |
| 25 | construction of terms, which will come next, which |

1 is going to just go right back into the same circle of fraud. 2 So their star witness is a felon. 3 Their star witness has committed fraud upon this Court in this 4 case. That's who they're relying on, and hoping 5 you bank on his words to validate documents. 6 7 I, Your Honor, am asking that you don't validate the documents; that we move forward to 8 have the documents properly forensically analyzed. 9 They were the subject of ongoing criminal 10 investigations, which are just getting kicked off. 11 12 In fact, I got 7200 documents from Mr. Spallina, 13 where almost, I think, 7200 are fraud. 14 THE COURT: Your time is more than elapsed. I was letting you finish up as a courtesy, but you're 15 16 getting off into things that aren't in evidence --MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I don't think the 17 18 trial was conducted fairly. I think that my due 19 process rights have been denied under the law. 20 THE COURT: Your time is more than up. Thank 21 you. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 23 Is there any rebuttal? THE COURT: MR. BERNSTEIN: And I still would like to move 24 25 for your disqualification, on the record.

1 THE COURT: On the record doesn't count. 2 You've got to put it in writing. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you sure? I thought I saw in the rules --4 THE COURT: I'll tell you what. You proceed 5 under your understanding of the law and the rules. 6 7 That's fine. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Before I take this --9 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest. THE COURT: -- before I take this rebuttal 11 12 argument, I'll let you put your request for recusal 13 in writing. We'll be out of session five minutes. 14 Is that something you want me to read? 15 MR. ROSE: I just want to make my final --16 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that there's been no possibility that this gentleman 17 18 won't have his moment to shine. 19 So go ahead and go put that in writing, sir. Be back in five minutes. 20 21 (A break was taken.) 2.2 THE COURT: Did you get that written down? Can I approach? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay. 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to wait for

| 1 | everybody? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Do you have something that you |
| 3 | wanted to file, a written motion to recuse? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. In freestyle. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at |
| 6 | it. Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask a question? |
| 8 | THE COURT: I'll be in recess. I'll take a |
| 9 | look at this written motion. Thank you. It'll |
| 10 | take me just a minute. Don't anybody go away. |
| 11 | (A break was taken.) |
| 12 | THE COURT: The stack of documents handed up |
| 13 | to me by the defendant are duplicates of documents |
| 14 | that he filed, it looks like, twice with the clerk |
| 15 | on December 4th, and they've already been ruled |
| 16 | upon by me. But I am also ruling today by |
| 17 | handwritten order on the face of one of the |
| 18 | documents that the disqualification motion is |
| 19 | denied as legally insufficient; already ruled upon |
| 20 | in the order of 12/8/15, at Docket Entry No. 98; |
| 21 | identical to motions filed by defendant on |
| 22 | 12/4/2015 at Docket Entries Nos. 94 and 98; done in |
| 23 | order of John Phillips, 12/15/15. And since I have |
| 24 | skills, I made copies of my handwritten order for |
| 25 | everybody. |

| 1 | Gary, if you could, just hand these out. |
|----|---|
| 2 | That'll take care of all that. |
| 3 | Now we can go back to talking about the case. |
| 4 | I was going to take the rebuttal argument from |
| 5 | Plaintiff's side. I'd take that now. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: I have just the exhibits that we |
| 7 | put in evidence on the plaintiff's side, if that's |
| 8 | easier for the Court. |
| 9 | THE COURT: That would be much easier. Thank |
| 10 | you. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: And I have a proposed final |
| 12 | judgment. And I wanted to talk about one paragraph |
| 13 | of the final judgment in particular. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't had time to review |
| 15 | any final judgment or anything. |
| 16 | THE COURT: You're interrupting the argument. |
| 17 | Thank you. |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: So the complaint alleges and I |
| 19 | realize we didn't cover every issue in the entire |
| 20 | case, but we do it within the four corners of Count |
| 21 | II of the complaint. Count II of the complaint was |
| 22 | stated in paragraph 79 through 88 of the complaint. |
| 23 | And the answer that's filed in this case on |
| 24 | Count II at paragraph 80 alleges that there's been |
| 25 | a fraud on the court by Ted Bernstein, including, |
| | |

Γ

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | but not limited to, proven forgery, fraudulent |
| 2 | notarizations, fraud on the court, altercation |
| 3 | [sic] of trust documents, et cetera, et cetera. |
| 4 | And in paragraph 82, the answer says that Ted |
| 5 | should be removed for his ongoing involvement in |
| 6 | fraud which is dealing with these documents. |
| 7 | Ted Bernstein is serving as a fiduciary. |
| 8 | You've heard that was the defense to this case. |
| 9 | That's stated in the complaint. You heard no |
| 10 | evidence that Ted Bernstein was involved in the |
| 11 | preparation or creation of any fraudulent |
| 12 | documents. In fact, the evidence from Mr. Spallina |
| 13 | was to the contrary. |
| 14 | So our final judgment in paragraph 5 asks the |
| 15 | Court to make a ruling on the issues that are pled |
| 16 | in the answer, specifically that there was no |
| 17 | evidence that Ted was involved and that the |
| 18 | evidence was to the contrary. |
| 19 | So we have no rebuttal. We believe we've |
| 20 | established our case, and we proposed a final |
| 21 | judgment for Your Honor's consideration that |
| 22 | discusses that this is an action to adjudicate five |
| 23 | documents to be the testamentary documents. Based |
| 24 | on the evidence presented, they're genuine, |
| 25 | authentic, valid and enforceable; has the requisite |
| | |

| 1 | findings. Paragraph 5, which I've explained, the |
|----|---|
| 2 | reason we believe it's appropriate in the final |
| 3 | judgment, given the pleadings that were made and |
| 4 | the lack of evidence on those pleadings. And we |
| 5 | didn't get into it today, but |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, if we didn't get into it |
| 7 | today, then it's not proper for argument. |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Well, it's alleged in the complaint |
| 9 | and not proven, so I think it's appropriate to make |
| 10 | a finding on it. You didn't actually hear |
| 11 | testimony that was relevant to those issues about |
| 12 | Ted Bernstein. And I would ask you to consider |
| 13 | that 5 is supported by the evidence and the |
| 14 | pleadings. |
| 15 | And 6, we would like you to declare the |
| 16 | unauthorized one invalid, because it does change |
| 17 | potentially something, and we want to know what |
| 18 | we're doing going forward. And I don't think |
| 19 | anyone disputes that Exhibit 6 that's in evidence |
| 20 | was not valid. And then it just states this is |
| 21 | intended to be a final order under the rules of |
| 22 | probate code. |
| 23 | So that's our order. We would ask you to |
| 24 | enter our judgment or a judgment similar to it; |
| 25 | find in favor of the plaintiff; reserve |

| 1 | jurisdiction for numerous other matters that we | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | need to deal with as quickly as we can. But, | | | | |
| 3 | hopefully, with the guidance we get today, we'll be | | | | |
| 4 | able to do it more quickly and more efficiently. | | | | |
| 5 | So thank you. | | | | |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. | | | | |
| 7 | We'll be in recess. It was fun spending time | | | | |
| 8 | with you all. | | | | |
| 9 | Sir, do you have any proposed final judgment | | | | |
| 10 | you want me to consider? I've received one from | | | | |
| 11 | the plaintiff's side. Is there some from the | | | | |
| 12 | defendant's side? | | | | |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I haven't received one | | | | |
| 14 | from them. And seeing theirs | | | | |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. | | | | |
| 16 | Then we'll be in recess. Thank you all very | | | | |
| 17 | much. I'll get this order out as quickly as I can. | | | | |
| 18 | (At 4:48 p.m. the trial was concluded.) | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | | |
| 21 22 23 | | | | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional |
| 8 | Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was |
| 9 | authorized to and did stenographically report the |
| 10 | foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true |
| 11 | and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 12 | Dated this 4th day of January, 2016. |
| 13 | $A \downarrow A \cap A \downarrow$ |
| 14 | Sheriller N. Kine |
| 15 | Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Job #1358198-VOL 2 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| | December | ==; ==== | L |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 167:15,16 | 446 245:18 | |
| 0 | 173:10 175:9 | 465 245:4 | A |
| | 177:22 178:5, | 4th 256:15 | A |
| 08 190:2 | 7,9,11,14 | | ability 174:21 |
| | 180:24 182:15 | | 193:7 245:5 |
| | 183:24 241:20 | 5 | absolutely |
| 1 | 20 176:7 | 5 175:3 235:6 | 215:5 222:18 |
| 1 100 2 167 6 | 2006 153:25 | 241:20 | 239:7 |
| 1 120:3 167:6 168:4 177:21 | 2007 142:14 | 503 239:3 | accept 181:9 |
| 178:3,4,11 | 2008 168:13 | 503 239:3 | 230:16 240:20 |
| 180:24 182:13 | 184:8 232:18 | | access 215:21, |
| 183:24 241:20 | 234:22 239:20 | 6 | 24,25 |
| 243:23 244:18, | 2012 129:24 | | accordance |
| 20 | 130:15 131:7 | 6 177:9 178:16, | 244:6 |
| 1.20 251:1,18 | 191:4 192:24, | 22,23 179:3,4 | account 151:17 |
| 1.200 252:17 | 25 194:3 | 180:24 182:7, | accounted |
| 10 130:15 | 214:25 221:24 | 8,9,10,20 183:4,9,10 | 152:15 |
| 180:15 | 232:20 233:7 | 183:4,9,10 | accounting |
| 10th 226:1,12 | 234:25 238:8 | 187:3,6 | 150:4,20 |
| 11 230:3 | 239:17 | 60 179:23,25 | 190:11,15 |
| 11th 200:12,13 | 2013 140:9 196:7 200:21 | 180:3 | 191:9,11 |
| 12 188:1 | 207:3 | 66 245:4 | accurate 126:25 |
| 12/15/15 256:23 | 2014 189:8 | 697 246:23 | accurately |
| 12/4/2015 | 237:13 | | 206:10 |
| 256:22 | 24 176:12 | | aching 234:4 |
| 12/8/15 256:20 | 253 246:22 | 7 | acknowledged |
| 12th 194:3 | 268 245:18 | 7 133:19 134:1, | 236:13 |
| 13 175:17 | 27 167:16 | 18 171:7 246:6 | acknowledges |
| 200:21 | 28 168:1 190:7, | 7200 254:12,13 | 236:11 |
| 13th 194:3 | 20 197:12 | 732.502 239:3 | acknowledgments |
| 14 207:3 | 198:13,14 | 242:16 243:4, | 236:12 |
| 14th 189:8 | 190110,11 | 10 244:4 | acting 220:9 |
| 15 179:21 | | 733.107 243:21 | 253:23 |
| 180:19 | 3 | 733.502 244:7 | action 241:5,6 |
| 153 246:6 | 3 168:4,5 175:9 | | actions 164:15, |
| 15th 191:12 | 180:24 182:4, | 8 | 18 209:3 |
| 16 235:24 | 6,15,19 183:16 | 8 | active 247:8 |
| 236:1,2 | 187:4 198:21, | 8 173:15 | actively 246:25 247:3 |
| 18th 168:13 | 23 241:20 | | |
| 19 176:6 | 3/12/08 187:12 | 9 | activity 165:24 |
| 1943 246:6 | 30 155:3 225:7 | J | actual 250:8 |
| 1994 143:8 | | 94 256:22 | ad 195:24 |
| 1995 155:3 | 4 | 96 143:8 | added 184:25 |
| | | 98 256:20,22 | adding 185:11, |
| 2 | 4 171:6 173:12 | 9th 166:13 | 12,13 addition 243:15 |
| ــــــــــــــــــــــــــ | 175:10 202:25 | 190:18 191:4 | addition 243:15 |
| 2 133:24 134:2, | 235:6,8 236:1 | 199:25 200:8, | 214:6 |
| 18 160:21,25 | 241:20 | 10,19 201:4 | address 221:12 |
| 161:2,6,10 | 40 212:17 | 202:5 | addressed 237:2 |
| 163:8,22 | | | auureppeu 237:2 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1

241:15 adequately 135:4 administered 202:6 admissibility 162:24 197:21 admissible 244:8 admit 197:13, 17,19 admitted 137:1 148:25 166:11 207:14 219:20 239:1,2 241:24 248:9 249:12, 20 **adverse** 212:19 advice 150:13, 16 208:9 216:19 affidavit 233:18 236:19, 22 237:3 243:8,14 244:6 affidavits 233:13,15 239:24 243:7 244:12 affirmatively 154:11 affirmed 205:22 **agree** 181:8 189:6 230:17 agreement 126:15 129:3 136:22,25 139:12 140:18 141:17 153:8 164:1 167:15 177:16 agreements 139:10 **ahead** 159:19 161:13 243:12 246:19 247:15 255:19 **Alan** 134:7 149:5,8 208:1, 3 230:1 **alive** 189:25 191:6 192:2

228:5 **allege** 184:5 **alleged** 140:11, 15 158:12 195:16 250:19 252:1 allegedly 168:12 207:5 221:23 225:23 **allowed** 142:5 150:13 172:5 206:12 **alter** 140:19 163:25 185:14 alteration 124:24 140:8 207:1 alterations 140:23,25 altercation 207:8 **altered** 137:1 144:5,13 155:12 156:1 163:7 166:21 167:1 207:13 240:16 249:13 altering 144:14 156:17 210:9 **amend** 177:15 **amended** 151:17 159:5 176:19 233:7 amending 238:7 amendment 136:25 137:4,5 138:11,13,16, 17 139:25 144:13 168:3 177:7 182:13, 14 184:7,20 232:20 241:3 **analysis** 219:14 **analyst** 210:21 analyzed 221:16 254:9**anger** 227:6 **angina** 225:6 **angry** 227:20 **anymore** 121:22 124:13

apologize 232:12,16 Apparently 170:13 appears 160:14 161:18 167:17, 18 171:11 172:20 175:7 176:14 200:1 202:6 **appoint** 194:4, 16,23,24 195:4,5 appointed 192:5 194:25 195:1,2 appointment 139:5 161:16 163:25 189:1 233:5 approach 126:10 178:20 234:9 242:9 255:23 approaches 126:11 255:24 **April** 166:13 190:2,18 191:4 199:25 200:8, 10,12,13,19 201:4 202:5 **argue** 150:8 162:4 240:4 248:24 249:8 252:1,9 **argued** 180:13 **arguing** 162:5, 19 163:17 240:7 argument 151:6, 9 163:14,16 220:11 242:5 245:2 255:12 argumentative 138:21 143:15 155:14 156:18, 20 163:10 185:19 195:20 219:22 arguments 151:8 232:2,7 **article** 177:19 ascribed 235:13

asks 151:2 **assets** 132:21 144:25 219:1 assignment 203:10 assistant 192:11 association 214:3 **assume** 142:9 158:3 237:18 Assuming 188:24 attach 138:12 **attached** 127:11 136:25 233:13 **attempt** 144:6 attending 228:18 attention 131:4 136:17 152:2 **attest** 243:13 attestation 244:1,10 attested 201:25 239:4 attesting 232:23 237:21, 23 238:21 239:5 240:1 242:23,24 244:7 attorney 131:23,24,25 132:4,5,11,12 137:8 141:23 147:17 155:17, 24 156:8,11 162:22 189:22 194:4 199:22 223:13 230:15 239:4 attorney-client 208:9,11 attorneys 147:7 189:17 207:11 209:8,15 210:9 211:3 219:19 248:12 authenticate 213:5,18 authenticated 210:8

| authenticating 214:8 Beach 165:8 166:19 203:8 23 138:3,6,9 139:2 140:4,6, 17,21 194:1,9, 12 196:4,5,14, authorization 132:4 bearing 189:3 beginning 132:8,10 11,12,14 15,16,18,24 authorized 132:8,10 beginning 175:20 141:4,6,9,21 197:3,7,8,13, 142:6,11,12,18 19,22,25 autopsy 165:2 behalf 155:6 144:3,4,21,22 199:2,5,6,24, 145:16,18 199:2,5,6,24, 200:9 131:2,18 behavior 193:5 15,17,19,22 146:1,10,12, 200:9 203:7,11,15,16 154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 159:2 165:2,7, 24 166:7,15 145:15,17 169:1,8,13,19, 204:8,16 10,14,22 18,23 205:2, 140:19,24 18,23 208:16, 152:1,5,9,22 20,21,24 170:1 204:8,16 152:1,5,9,22 13,2,24 20,21,24 170:1 204:8,16 152:1,5,9,22 13,2,24 21:20 220:6 152:1,5,9,22 13:2,2,4 22:1:24 222:2, 22:24 23:6 247:3,4, 248:12 154:15,16,18, 250:7,14,19 23:14,41(0,16 22:4:24 22:2, 17:2,226:15 248:12 157:2,11,13,25 23:216,19,23, 23:12,214,22 248:12 157:5,158:3 9,17,20 161:4, 252:24:2,4,24, 157:2,11,13,25 222:16,19,23, 23 |
|---|
| Bernstein174:19175:2,415240:3,24,25backwards144:1120:7,10,18,19174:19175:2,41515240:3,24,25bark254:6122:11,2014,20,2425246:17241:22242:22bar120:21124:2,8,9,2314,20,2425246:17247:2,6,10,13,127:4214:3125:3,8,1623181:2,22249:3,7,10,19based162:14126:6,12,13182:7,19,22,25249:3,7,10,19165:17192:12132:24133:3,15,24184:1,15196:19253:110,19,22185:22,2423,25256:4,7basically131:510,19,22185:22,2423,25256:4,7237:12134:3,7,9,17,186:1,5,8,14,177:7191:2Bates188:3,624136:3,6,7,188:17190:4,174:22173:115,19,2017,24191:12239:20174:22176:1815,19,20,17,24191:12252:2 |

3

| binding 237:6 | | 252:13 253:15 | 211:23 215:13, |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| biography | С | 254:5 | 15,17,20 |
| 143:13 | | cases 171:19 | 225:24 226:14, |
| biopsy 158:24 | cabinets 216:2 | 233:19 234:9 | 20 229:10 |
| 222:2,6,8 | call 130:17,21 | 251:14 253:19 | 238:12 239:12 |
| birth 206:20 | 141:18 142:8 | caused 164:22 | 248:5 250:13, |
| bit 162:17 | 145:7 193:6, | 222:6 225:23 | 18,21 253:7 |
| 232:13 | 17,19 205:1, | causing 131:14 | children's |
| bitter 179:22 | 11,12,14 | 225:18 | 137:8 |
| blank 163:21 | 214:18,25 | caution 208:7 | Christine |
| blue 169:19 | 226:1,3,17,25 | caveat 236:21 | 136:24 137:7 |
| body 165:21 | 230:6,10,15 | cavitating | 139:13,15,17, |
| book 167:16 | 238:11 239:12 | 144:2 | 18 140:1 144:6 |
| 179:1,15,17,18 | called 193:23 | certificate | 148:25 155:11 |
| 181:11,12,13 | 214:3 219:4,5 | 206:21 | 156:7 |
| 182:10,11,16, | 230:11 233:21 | certified | chronology |
| 19 190:7 | 253:11 | 171:2,3 | 185:15 |
| bored 232:15 | calling 231:17 | cetera 220:22 | circle 173:22 |
| bottom 129:2,4, | calls 135:21 | chain 121:4 | 174:1 254:1 |
| 5,14 170:9,13 | 174:14 212:5, | 230:5,21 | circled 235:11 |
| bound 152:8 | 21 238:7 | 231:3,5,6 | circulation |
| bounty 245:8 | capacity 125:11 | challenged | 139:10,16,17, |
| box 123:5 | 239:8,14,16 | 253:24 | 21 |
| 189:16,20 | 246:7 | chance 204:7 | circumstances |
| 235:8 | car 152:2 | change 248:13 | 228:19 |
| boxes 234:23 | care 169:13 | changing 225:22 | cite 233:19 |
| brain 158:19, | 215:3,6,10,14 | 233:5 | claim 155:6 |
| 23,24 222:3,7, | 221:9 | characterize | 169:17 |
| 8 | cared 215:12 | 226:18 | claiming 184:6, |
| break 179:20 | Carnegie's | characterizing | 17,19 |
| 180:20 181:14 | 246:5 | 226:17 | claims 150:5 |
| 255:21 256:11 | Carolina 233:23 | charge 194:5 | 202:11 230:24 |
| Briefly 213:15 | 236:7,17 237:5 | 198:17 207:9 | clarification |
| bring 249:10 | Carpenter | 211:1 | 143:19 223:3, |
| 251:1 | 246:22 247:15 | charged 212:14 | 22 |
| bringing 197:4 | carry 141:16 | charges 248:7 | clarified |
| broader 139:5 | 156:15 | Chaves 142:23 | 122:13 223:20 |
| brother 132:2 | case 134:11 | check 153:3 | clarify 120:11 |
| 214:19,24 | 141:24 142:3 | 168:23 173:1 | 122:4 |
| | 144:1 153:1 | 235:8 236:2 | clarity 141:19 |
| brought 131:3 151:21 158:22 | 164:4 180:10, | checked 234:23 | clause 178:17 |
| 197:10 253:8 | 12 193:14 | 239:25 | clear 156:22 |
| | 198:18 205:7 | checks 236:3 | 195:10 201:19 |
| Brown 122:2 | 218:4 231:12 | chest 225:9 | clerical 236:10 |
| burden 243:22, 24 244:21 | 234:13 236:5, | children | clerk 167:9,11 |
| 24 244:21 245:1,20 246:1 | 7,9,17,18 | 131:10,11 | 170:10,25 |
| business 214:4 | 240:12 242:10, | 135:6,9 141:24 | 186:10,11,13 |
| business 214:4 | 12,20 245:17, | 156:12 161:17 | 256:14 |
| | 19 246:6 | 192:14 202:17 | client 122:25 |
| | 247:15 249:20, | 203:10,21 | 123:2 208:12 |
| | 22 251:2,21 | 204:7,13 | 223:16,21 |
| | | | 224:16 238:10 |
| | I | I | I |

| clients 156:12 168:18,21 175:13 189:19 238:3 |
|--|
| <pre>close 150:10 195:18 196:15, 21 224:15</pre> |
| <pre>closed 192:12 194:14 196:6, 10,18,25 232:1 240:17</pre> |
| <pre>closely 223:24 closing 166:2 232:2,7 242:5 247:23</pre> |
| co-personal 149:24 |
| co-pr 147:14 |
| co-prs 147:9 |
| co-trustee 147:14 149:23 |
| <pre>co-trustees 147:9</pre> |
| codicils 203:9 |
| Colin 123:11 |
| 124:23 125:3 192:10 232:14 240:20 |
| Colin's 199:10 200:22 240:15 |
| college 143:10 |
| Colton's 125:6, 10 |
| comfort 237:25 |
| commit 156:11, 13 |
| commits 252:24 |
| committed |
| 207:12,17 |
| 210:9 211:4 |
| 218:1 247:17 |
| 248:9 254:4 |
| communicated 233:3 |
| company 235:3 |
| competence 193:7 |
| competency |
| 152:10 165:17 |
| 245:2,3,5,19, |
| 23 246:7,10, |

14,15 251:23 competent 165:19 compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 **complex** 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 **comport** 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 **conduct** 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive 182:11

253:2 consent consented 248:6 consequence 243:8,17 considered 132:18,24 133:3,5 136:9 144:11 236:12 251:3 consistent 129:6 176:11 189:14 216:18 233:10 239:21 construction 252:14 253:25 construe 241:7 construes 216:21 consulted 208:5,8 214:5 **Cont'd** 120:9 **contact** 227:5 contacted 169:9 207:21 contempt 127:4, 5 Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting 243:24 context 234:15 continued 120:3 **contract** 210:21 239:9 contrary **control** 248:14 controlling 236:14 conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 convince 144:6 **copied** 122:16 copies 121:5,7 123:9 124:15 125:25 126:10 170:12,21 186:16 210:6

216:1 256:24 **copy** 136:21 137:2 138:19, 20 139:20,23 141:24 161:19, 20 163:7 167:6,9,11,13 170:10,24 171:1 181:2,3, 10,11 186:10 242:13 copying 125:24 coroner 165:9 corralling 253:10 **correct** 129:16, 23 130:1,18 132:25 136:10 138:16,20 139:10 140:12 146:10 149:21 151:14 154:3, 8,23 156:12 158:6 161:17 164:1,9,15,21 167:7 170:15 171:16 174:6,9 184:20,21 185:11,13 186:24 187:19 188:8,10 190:1,2,12 191:10,13,16, 20 192:9,15 194:5,21,22 195:11,12 196:7,11 201:16 203:5, 21,23 207:13, 15,18,19 208:24 209:10 210:16,17 213:23,24 214:2,14,21 215:1 216:15, 16 217:5 240:16 241:5 253:21,22 corrected 253:17 counsel 140:11, 14,17 147:8, 11,13,25

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | Decelimer | 137 2013 | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 25 208:3 210:22 211:2 214:5,6 216:20 220:9,20 250:20,22 Counsel's 208:13 count 242:1 255:1 counts 133:17 County 165:9 166:19 203:8 couple 240:11 court 120:4,8, 14 121:11,15, 18 122:1,9,13 123:11,19,23 124:3,7,18,20, 22 125:1,5,10 126:11,18,21 127:3,5,6,8, 10,12,17,22 128:5 133:9, 11,21 134:1,5, 8,10,18 135:17,23 136:2,4,13,16 137:13,17,22, 24 138:4,7,23 140:3,22 141:8,12,22 142:3,4,7 143:16,21,25 144:20 145:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 144:20,45:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 148:2,6,9,12, 13,17,18 149:2,15,17 150:7,9,12,16, 24 151:2,18, 22,25 152:2,7, 11,24 153:5 154:13 155:9, 18,21 156:4, 19,24 157:10 158:8 159:15, 18,23 160:3,7, 18,21,24 161:7,10 | 164:7,10,24 165:5,11,13 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12,18 170:20,25 171:21,23 172:2,8,11,14 173:12 174:13, 15,18 175:1 177:4,10,25 178:6,13,15, 21,25 179:3,7, 10,14,17 180:2,11,17,21 181:1,6,15,20, 23 182:18,21, 23 183:2,6,10, 12,20 184:12 185:21 186:3, 6,12,16,18,21, 25 187:2 188:13,16,19 189:23 190:21 191:25 192:2, 21 193:11,15, 19,22 194:7,10 195:22 196:1, 13,19,23 197:1,6,16,20, 23 198:2,5,17 199:1,3,17 200:23 201:2, 5,11,13,16,18, 22 203:14,25 204:3,17,20,25 205:7,12,16 206:3,8,9 208:11,18,21, 25 209:5,10, 11,14 210:4,12 211:7 212:7, 13,23 213:2,7, 12 215:4 216:17,18,20, 24 217:3,10, 14,19 218:3,5, 18,21,24 219:3,23 220:12,24 21:7,18 223:12,18,20, 24 224:1,17 | 13,24 229:6, 11,17,20,23 230:3,6,12,14, 19 231:2,5,9, 12,16,21,23 232:1,7,10 233:20,21,22, 24 234:3,5,11, 14 236:9,10,20 237:25 239:8 241:7 242:4 244:2,11 247:10,20,22, 25 248:4,11,23 249:5,8,13,15, 22 250:11,13, 24 251:14 252:4,8,17 253:1,3,12,19, 20,22 254:4, 14,20,23 255:1,5,9,11, 16,22,24 256:2,5,8,12 court's 167:10 186:4 245:16 courtesy 254:15 courts 167:12 170:11 174:10 252:24 covered 137:10, 14,19,20 144:19 195:24 created 138:10, 19 153:24,25 155:3 208:17 creating 163:7 credibility 148:7 creditor 202:13 criminal 230:23 254:10 criteria 245:14 247:12,15 cross 120:9 | Cross- examination 120:6 195:25 Cross-examining 134:15 Crossed 229:22 cumulative 136:11 137:9 138:22 140:2, 21 144:19 145:24 146:4, 14 149:16 156:3 157:9 158:7 164:6 166:8 171:21, 22 172:1,4 174:25 177:2, 24 183:19 184:11 185:20 188:15 195:21 199:16 201:10, 17 203:13,24 220:10,23 221:6,17 242:11 Cumulative's 172:4 curator 122:2, 6,7 124:4,16 curiosity 159:24 custody 121:4 125:18 210:10 230:5,21 231:3,5,6 customary 168:17 cut 132:1,9 cutting 227:6 D dad 130:10 157:4 225:8,14 227:4 228:2, 12,15 dad's 129:20 147:8 156:15 damages 164:21 |
| 18,21,24223:12,18,20,247:12,15147:8 156:15161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20212:14 16Daniel 153:23 | | | | dad's 129:20 |
| 161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20180:22 197:10Daniel 153:23 | 18,21,24 | - | | |
| 162:7,25 225:13,20 180:22 197:10 Daniel 153:23 | | | cross 120:9 | |
| 162 10 Daniel 153:23 | - | | | |
| 163:13,19 226:8 228:10, ^{213:14,16} | - | 225:13,20 | | Daniel 153:23 |
| | 163:13,19 | - | 213:14,16 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

6

date 124:3 128:21 149:25 168:18,22 187:11 191:22 200:14,18 246:8,10 **dated** 168:15,16 **dates** 246:11,12 daughter 132:8 **day** 162:5 165:3 168:11,14 174:4 176:22 180:13 187:18 191:9 194:18, 20 200:9,11 207:21,22,23 208:4 214:4,12 216:10 219:7 235:25 237:11 240:6 251:13, 15 days 180:14 216:11 **DCA** 245:19 **dead** 195:19 196:10 201:15, 18 229:3,13 **deal** 241:13 242:2 dealing 178:7 **deals** 234:14,15 **dealt** 243:10 **death** 129:23 141:18 147:8 150:1 155:6 189:13 204:9, 11 224:7 225:11 **decedent** 236:23 246:25 decedents 245:13 **December** 256:15 **decide** 151:19 162:9,10,14 169:14 218:21 233:11 **decided** 215:4 **decision** 178:25 238:11 decisions 221:10 239:11

deem 212:13 **deemed** 135:7 212:12 defeat 236:11 defendant 256:13,21 defendant's 160:21,25 161:2 182:4 187:4 193:16 198:21,23 247:23 **defense** 193:24 deficient 169:4 **define** 210:2 definition 133:4 134:24 definitional 139:5 163:22 **delete** 177:18 deleting 163:23 **Delray** 158:24 demonstrate 246:24 demonstrates 240:8 denied 253:5,6 254:19 256:19 **depend** 135:14 deposit 123:5 189:16,20 201:4 deposited 170:19 201:16 depressed 224:12,25 depression 224:6,9 descendants 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:8,12,16,24 145:5,6 describe 128:9 140:18 219:13 describing 164:14,18 designed 153:17,18 Detective 146:25

determination 243:17 determine 162:21 174:3 188:25 213:22 239:14 241:18 determined 241:21,24 devisees 202:18 **died** 145:12,20, 21,23 146:2,6, 7 157:3,7 158:11 165:3 194:2,13,18,20 195:9,10,18 200:22 204:12 224:11,22 225:14 228:2 **dies** 173:20 **differ** 223:18 difference 212:23 difficult 215:20 Digital 143:4 dinner 228:18, 22 **direct** 189:3 193:25 205:25 direction 201:20 directly 233:1 236:8 237:12 239:9 disappearing 186:19 disbursed 219:1 discharge 190:1,22 197:9 198:1 199:8 201:5 discovered 200:23 208:16 230:25 discovery 253:5 **discuss** 223:1 251:20 discussing 223:6 disinherited 132:15 226:23

227:2 disposed 218:25 disposition 132:19,24 135:13,19 136:8 dispositions 133:5 135:5 145:6 202:10 dispositive 130:9 176:25 210:9 220:20 **dispute** 240:21 **disputes** 130:24 131:2 disqualification 254:25 256:18 dissatisfaction 131:22 distraction 243:6 distribute 137:7 distributed 137:3 distributions 135:12 145:6 disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 **Docket** 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 **document** 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6, 9,24 164:5,8 166:21 167:1,

| | December | 15, 2015 | 8 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 14 168:8,9,14, 15,23,25 170:14,16 171:15 172:24, 25 173:21 175:3,15 176:17 177:8, 12 178:19 181:17 182:2, 8,16 183:1,22 184:5,16,19 185:8,11,15,17 186:12 187:25 188:1,3,5 190:23 191:1, 5,6,11,15 193:1,8 195:2, 3,8,16 198:12 199:7,9 200:3, 5,18,23 201:4, 15,25 202:5 203:7 207:1,8, 13 215:7 220:19 233:6,7 238:13,25 239:20 241:2, 4,6,23,25 247:4 249:14 document's 133:8 141:1 174:9 200:19 documented 238:4,8 documents 121:5,14,17, 21,23 122:2,5, 9,10,12,16,22, 23 123:1,12, 15,20 124:1, 11,14,16,17,25 125:23 126:1, 7,8,14,22 127:15,18,24 | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | doodling 138:1 doubt 191:14 draft 153:10 239:22 drafted 126:14 132:20 133:2 135:24 233:9 250:4 drafting 239:4 drafts 238:6,12 draftsman 232:24 draw 235:21 drive 122:18,21 drop 242:23 244:19 due 124:24 220:7 225:23 254:18 duly 205:22 duplicate 134:12 216:5 duplicates 256:13 Durham 236:18 duty 220:19 | Eliot's 191:14 email 191:19 229:2 emailed 191:15 employee 235:1, 3 employees 166:1 encourage 180:7 end 130:24 179:22 180:2,8 219:7 227:10, 17 242:21 243:7,11,14 ended 158:24 ending 175:24 enforceable 233:12,16 English 235:12 enter 125:12 133:22 134:3 242:1 Entries 256:22 Entry 256:20 error 143:19 184:14,16,18 203:6 errors 236:10 essentially 247:4 establish 160:8 237:20 243:25 established 235:22,24 243:2 establishes 229:15 244:9 establishing 244:21 estate 122:6,11 140:15 141:3 147:8 148:1 |
| <pre>documents 121:5,14,17, 21,23 122:2,5, 9,10,12,16,22, 23 123:1,12, 15,20 124:1, 11,14,16,17,25 125:23 126:1, 7,8,14,22</pre> | 6,16,18,21,24, 25 240:4,9,12, 15,16,18,20 241:7,18,19,22 243:18 244:13 245:15 246:11, 20 247:9,18,21 248:6,10,15 249:12,21 | 14 154:21 173:5 186:21 187:25 202:17 209:20 early 207:3 easy 224:18 effect 188:25 212:17,18 | <pre>established 235:22,24 243:2 establishes 229:15 244:9 establishing 244:21 estate 122:6,11 140:15 141:3</pre> |

8

21,25 199:23 193:7 202:7,10,11, **executed** 123:6 12,21 240:17 184:7 231:7,10 245:4,17 238:13 242:18 246:5,22 244:6,7 246:8 251:7,8,16 executing 252:4,18 177:15 estate's 250:20 execution estates 131:9 242:16 243:9, 132:1,9 147:6 11 244:1,9 211:12,20 exercise 189:1 248:14 233:4 eventually exercised 139:4 238:10 **exhibit** 127:2, everybody's 25 133:21,23, 182:16 24 134:12 evidence 133:7, 159:16 160:1, 8,12 134:13,14 2,19 161:2 136:12 152:17 167:6,15,16 159:21 160:2, 168:4,6 171:6 3,6,25 161:3 173:10,12 162:25 177:23, 178:16 180:24 24,25 178:8 182:3,6 183:16 179:9,13 186:3,23 187:6 181:17,21,25 190:4,20,22 182:5 183:18 191:18 198:6, 187:2,7 190:8 13,20,23 199:4 193:16 197:14, 200:20 202:25 18 198:13,14, 235:6,8,24 15,24 199:4 236:1,2 200:25 203:12, exhibited 205:5 24 231:24 exhibiting 232:1,22 233:2 159:17 234:17,18 **exhibits** 134:11 236:24 237:2 159:20 167:5,6 238:14,15 185:24 186:2 239:1,7,9,13 190:21 235:6 240:8,24 **exist** 250:16,23 241:11,19 **exists** 208:13 244:25 246:3, expanded 185:17 18 247:10 **expect** 127:18 248:22,25 249:2,3,9 **expense** 192:17 252:7,9 254:16 expenses 192:7 EXAMINATION experiencing 193:25 205:25 224:7 **examine** 250:11 **expert** 165:22 examined 205:22 170:8 210:19, 23 219:11 **excuse** 141:6 220:7,22 148:23 155:15 190:4 227:10 221:16 235:4 **explain** 120:15 **execute** 122:25 139:3 217:18 129:2 175:13

239:12 explained 209:20 239:22 exposing 148:24 expressed 189:7 expressing 131:21 extensive 232:13 238:1 **extent** 132:21 232:12 241:23 245:6 F fabricated 241:3 fabrication 241:1 face 235:4,20 256:17 **facie** 244:1,9 **fact** 192:23 213:21 214:12 220:8 235:23 236:21 238:1 239:1,9 241:4 243:15 251:21 252:3 254:12 factors 135:14, 15 **facts** 153:1 170:3 174:11 221:11,13 252:9 **failed** 174:22 176:23 **fairly** 236:15 254:18 **false** 241:2 familiar 153:7 157:12 family 153:8,19 154:3 157:13 158:1 164:22 211:14,20,22, 24 212:16 226:23 229:3, 12 **father** 130:3,14 146:7 153:23

155:2 165:3 196:20 200:22 202:1,5,20 204:10 208:21 214:13,19,20, 23 215:6,22 216:9,10 221:23,25 222:11 224:6,8 225:10,18,22 226:3,13 227:6,9 228:5, 18 **father's** 141:16 149:25 170:7, 8,17,18,19,25 171:5,10 172:18 175:5, 24 176:2,13 187:22,23 216:4 224:21 **favor** 161:16 February 131:7 **felon** 254:3 **felony** 248:7 253:1 **felt** 215:19 fiduciary 140:10,11 147:12 148:14 150:19,23 207:5,9 210:25 212:1 220:19 **figure** 148:5 151:7 152:16, 20 156:22 165:14 170:1 196:24 197:2 209:1,18 219:6 **figuring** 137:13 **file** 122:7 123:3 155:6 170:12 192:5, 17 216:2 217:16,23 218:3 237:9,17 256:3 **filed** 127:9 148:19 170:11 190:10,20 196:19 200:6 210:4 213:19, 21 217:8,24

218:1,4 220:3 240:19 241:6 252:4 256:14, 21 **filing** 218:10 **final** 216:18 233:9 241:21 255:15 **Finally** 246:16 **find** 212:1,19 216:4 219:25 220:18 231:15 233:14 findings 238:1 **fine** 120:17 128:5 129:21 159:18 181:16 198:14 208:8 224:22 255:7 **finish** 123:14 156:4 163:13 226:10 254:15 **finite** 196:3 **fired** 213:25 firm 121:2,20, 22,23,24 123:11,20 124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 **firm's** 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 **Florida** 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6 **focused** 209:18

focusing 241:9 **follow** 190:7 212:11,12 216:17,19 230:22 252:21, 23 **force** 131:19 **forced** 229:9 foregoing 134:25 135:3 forensic 210:21 220:5,22 forensically 220:1 221:5,16 254:9 **forged** 142:2 166:12 248:10 249:21 forgeries 220:19 forget 168:18, 20,21 forgetting 242:7 forgive 242:8 **forgot** 143:11 **form** 174:4 191:19 244:14 **formal** 206:16 244:1,9 **Forman** 142:24 **forms** 189:24 191:21 192:2 **forward** 127:17 144:2 254:8 **found** 169:2 207:4,7,21 209:7 211:3 218:14 219:9 224:8 231:11, 13 foundation 197:20 **Fourth** 245:18 **frankly** 240:3 **fraud** 148:24 156:11,13 166:11 207:12, 17 209:7 210:9 211:4 219:20 220:8 248:3,9,

13 254:2,4,13 **frauded** 141:23 248:10 **frauds** 166:15 220:20 249:23 252:24 fraudulent 124:24 136:21 138:11,19,24 139:23 140:8 141:24 142:2 148:15 165:24 166:13 207:1,7 208:17 218:20 249:21 fraudulently 137:1 155:12 156:17 163:7 166:20 167:1 185:18 249:13 freestyle 256:4 Friedstein 158:1 203:11 **front** 152:18 168:14 185:24 190:22 full 199:8 201:5 206:16 **fully** 202:6 functions 174:2 G gain 211:14 gained 250:5 **gave** 132:4 149:19 174:5 186:4,8 **general** 131:8 generally 245:6,19 gentleman 255:17

genuine 127:10

234:13

152:17

214:9 216:9

241:24

genuinely

190:18

Georgia

get all

195:9

qist 131:8 **give** 123:8 150:13 184:3 233:7 237:25 249:17 **good** 138:5 179:19 215:2, 16 225:7 231:20 249:1, 15 Gotcha 138:6 199:5 Governor 169:3 governor's 169:9,16 248:16 grandchildren 233:8 241:1 247:5,7 grandparents 215:18 grounds 244:22 246:20 guess 168:5 **quidance** 249:17 qun 229:10 **Gutter** 142:23 guy 152:2 211:1 228:13 guys 250:19

н

half 180:5 hand 126:8 128:3 198:21 handed 241:13 256:12 handing 126:17, 19,22 **handle** 150:24 **hands** 126:17 handwriting 220:7,22 handwritten 256:17,24 **happen** 146:6 happened 148:24 189:24 231:15 240:23

happening 239:23 he'll 127:18,19 **head** 172:2 headaches 222:5,10,12 **hear** 206:9,10 217:12 224:18 232:3 **heard** 232:23 233:2 240:10 242:8 **hearing** 135:19 136:1 149:7 217:4,19 218:15,16,25 251:12,24 **Hearsay** 169:5, 11 **heart** 225:6 heavy 165:8 **held** 236:21 247:21 highlighted 236:9 **highly** 250:2 **hired** 219:19 **hires** 211:1 **history** 142:2 143:12,19,22, 2.4 **hit** 172:2 hold 153:18 203:4 **holiday** 228:6 home 153:18 189:20 228:6, 14,18 231:15 **Honor** 120:12 126:16 133:16, 19,25 137:12 139:1 141:6,14 152:6 161:25 165:15 168:4 171:6 173:15 177:9 178:4 179:24 181:5 182:19 185:23 188:17,21 193:10 197:14 198:11 209:6 213:1 218:23

230:17 243:20 246:22 248:2 249:1 254:7 Honor's 243:7 hoping 152:19 254:5 **House** 233:21 234:12,13 **Human** 184:14 **hundred** 216:22 Ι **Iantoni** 135:9 158:1 203:11 **idea** 183:12 218:2 identical 181:12 182:20 256:21 identification 173:3 174:24 235:15 **identity** 236:24 **II** 242:1 **imagery** 253:12 immediately 207:20 208:6 important 137:16 152:5 196:1 228:3 importantly 250:12 **improper** 173:20 230:2 improperly 174:9,12 176:25 251:11 252:18 included 138:13,15,17 including 123:3,6 214:23 252:3 incompetency 245:20 incompetent

246:2 incomplete 173:9 increased 185:10 incredibly 126:9 inference 235:16,20 influence 246:16,21,23 247:13,17 information 230:24 235:9 **inherent** 145:10 **initial** 129:3, 11 initialed 129:9 **initials** 128:8, 11,15,22 175:5,8,11,15 176:2 inoperative 182:13 241:25 **inquiry** 233:2 237:8 inserted 185:3, 5,9,18 231:12 **inside** 144:8 insider 248:8 **inspect** 125:25 instances 220:8 **instruct** 208:10 instruction 127:6 instrument 177:15 236:13 insufficient 256:19 insurance 154:17,21,22 155:2 intelligent 239:11 **intend** 127:17 141:5,10 intended 233:3 intensive 229:3 **intent** 141:16 156:13,15 238:17 intentions 215:18

intents 229:13 interest 122:8 152:12 211:19 250:5 interesting 152:4 interruption 234:7 intertwined 223:8 interview 166:20 interviewed 166:18 introduced 187:25 invalid 162:13, 15 163:7 208:17 237:19 invalidates 211:18 invalidating 246:17 invalidly 139:13 inventory 151:17 investigation 169:22 investigations 254:11 **invite** 228:5 **invited** 228:14, 17 **involved** 237:13 involving 238:9 irrevocable 145:13 **issue** 150:17 232:11,17 233:18,20 **issues** 133:17 159:2 209:21 222:25 223:2, 6,7 237:1,14 241:15 242:3 246:10

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

J Jake 153:24 **January** 140:9 148:23 189:8 196:6 237:13 **jeez** 186:5 **Jill** 135:9 158:1 191:22 203:11 **job** 216:14 **John** 256:23 **Josepher** 142:23 **Josh** 153:22 judge 124:23 125:3,6,10,11, 13 152:25 159:13 184:22 192:10 199:10 200:22 211:13, 18 213:22 232:14 240:15, 19 242:9,15 243:2 245:2 246:16 247:20 judgment 216:18 242:1 **July** 221:24 jurisdiction 242:2 κ Ken 125:22 126:2 **kicked** 192:16 254:11 kids 153:22 kids' 153:23 **Kimberly** 166:19 169:1,8 171:15,18 172:23,25 174:22 205:4,5 235:17

kind 163:8
172:10 224:9
243:5 253:21
knew 154:6,8
173:25 195:10

218:19 225:8 235:21,23 **knowing** 174:9 218:24 253:23 knowledge 124:10 125:18 131:20 152:25 165:20 166:17 172:19 174:3 175:6 191:24 221:15 237:1 Kratish's 167:20 ь lack 236:25 **lacked** 239:8,14 **laid** 197:20 landing 169:22 **language** 132:19 144:15 145:4 156:2 163:23 Lastly 246:5 **law** 139:22 150:20 155:17 160:10,11 174:17 177:12 182:12 184:9 192:16 193:2 195:10 201:7,8 207:12 235:1 238:19 242:10, 12 245:17 248:9 249:20 254:19 255:6 **lawsuit** 213:19, 22 217:21,23 218:10 220:3 **lawyer** 146:20 189:15 **layman** 155:13, 23 156:1,8 **learn** 146:19 227:2,24 learning 210:8 220:19 253:17 **leave** 163:1 215:7 233:8 **left** 121:23 131:12,15 189:21 230:8

250:21 **legal** 135:21 150:13,16,17 151:4 174:14 177:2 212:5,21 235:4 249:18 **legally** 256:19 legitimate 192:13 **letter** 130:8 131:4,5,7,8,20 160:10,12 161:15,19 164:14,17 189:7 229:12 231:14 238:5 239:22 **letting** 162:16 198:17 251:25 254:15 license 121:1 174:5**life** 154:22 lifetime 135:4 224:10 **light** 236:14 237:15 **likes** 240:3 **Lindsay** 173:1 174:20,22 176:18 **lineal** 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:7, 11,16,24 145:5 **Lisa** 158:1 191:21 203:11 **list** 153:4 166:6 183:16 205:18 **listen** 137:24 181:6 205:7 223:22 listening 220:14 litigation 232:14 **live** 153:19 **living** 132:1 162:8 216:20 **LLC** 153:8

long 126:9 142:25 148:12 149:23 **looked** 176:6 219:10,15 **lose** 211:14,19 212:17 **lost** 186:7 189:19 **lot** 137:25 175:13,23 209:19 251:23 **Lyon** 236:5 **Lyons** 233:21 234:12,13 236:9

Μ

made 121:5 122:25 124:15 135:5 141:2,17 142:16 144:16 150:5 169:17 192:4 202:10 206:25 215:6 238:11 256:24 **mail** 191:17 **make** 140:23,25 156:1 157:8,12 159:2 163:14 171:1 186:16 189:23 197:24 203:9 212:23 219:13 229:10 255:15,16 **making** 135:12 141:25 154:9 168:23 187:3 221:9 226:20 234:1 238:1 239:11 management 180:12 251:2, 21 252:13 manager 180:10 Manceri 147:15, 16,25 **mark** 147:15,16, 25 159:23 175:24 176:23 198:21 236:2,3

marked 181:10 224:17 **Moran** 166:12,19 16 189:6 187:4 169:8 171:15, **Miller** 147:1 **needed** 218:15, 18 172:23 **marks** 175:24 **mind** 129:20 24 253:23 205:4,5 235:18 Marshall 186:14 165:20 179:16 negative 211:8 **Moran's** 169:1 201:19 209:19 Martin 123:11 neglected 224:22 226:7 MORRISSEY 200:22 173:25 228:12 229:9, 174:16 242:9, **matter** 148:1 **nervous** 234:1 16 15 169:20,22,25 **net** 149:19 **mine** 181:3 mother 128:21, 190:16 212:9, non-valid 24 146:6 11 251:3 **minor** 141:24 139:23 202:16 208:21 252:20,21 156:12 250:21 **nonsense** 240:10 215:6,22 meaning 135:25 **minute** 256:10 **North** 233:23 216:6,10 148:7 230:24 **minutes** 179:21, 236:7,17 237:5 224:11 231:4 23,25 180:3,19 **Nos** 256:22 mother's 128:15 **means** 138:2,5 230:3 247:25 **notaries** 237:19 137:7 141:20 231:6 245:5 253:3 255:13, notarization 147:12 166:3 20 medical 130:2 169:2 173:8 170:16,20 **Miranda** 200:24 158:10,25 176:22 193:1 195:7 202:21 159:2,6 165:22 Mirandas 200:25 233:19,20 203:2 204:9 221:24 224:5 **mirror** 203:5 234:16 240:12 207:2 210:1 medically mis 217:6 241:2 243:16 211:12 158:13 misconduct notarization's **motion** 192:4,17 medications 171:19 173:20 217:8,16,18,19 225:2 misinterpret notarize 240:19 256:3, medicine 225:8 215:18 172:23,25 9,18 medicines misinterpretatio notarized motions 256:21 158:15 **n** 141:20 168:12,25 **move** 127:17 meet 142:13,15 mislead 250:7 174:9,12 140:4 183:13 244:25 176:18 177:1 misleading 254:8,24 **meeting** 130:14, 199:10,13,18 253:18 moves 144:1 23,24 142:18 234:21 235:2, misleads 251:14 **moving** 136:5 187:13 193:6 25 248:17 **missed** 183:9 183:12 201:20 226:12 238:6,8 notarizing 204:1 **mud** 237:11,12 meetings 238:2, 235:2 236:4 **missing** 143:14 multi-page 5 **notary** 169:3 163:9 183:22 **member** 120:20 174:3 199:15, misstates multifarious memorandum 21 214:15 123:17 174:11, 247:19 203:17 234:20 235:1, 17 multiple 127:1 12 236:3,6,19, **memory** 218:1 mistake 253:18 158:23 220:8 23,25 249:2 **modified** 163:22 **notary's** 236:22 **mental** 239:8 mom's 128:21 Ν **note** 187:11 mentally 239:10 168:1 196:25 **notes** 137:25 mentioned **moment** 180:17 **named** 195:7 138:5 187:9, 157:19 158:2 255:18 **narrow** 232:11 202:23 13,14,16,17, **money** 211:19 235:16 20,22,24 238:9 natural **met** 142:16,20 215:7 233:8 245:8 252:16 207:22,23 240:5**notice** 251:4 **nature** 245:6 245:13 246:15 **months** 149:5 252:5,16 **nauseam** 195:24 **metal** 165:8 218:20 **noticed** 251:15, necessarily microphone **moon** 169:22 16 253:19 164:3 175:12, 120:15 206:9

| | December | , | <u>т</u> - |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| notified 148:13 | 150:6,21 | officer 186:14 | owners 153:20 |
| notify 166:19, | 151:3,4 155:8, | offices 188:1 | |
| 25 | 14 156:3,18 | one's 241:5 | |
| notwithstanding | 157:9 158:4,7 | 245:6 | P |
| - | 160:22,23 | | |
| 134:25 135:3 | 163:10 164:6, | ongoing 254:10 | pages 128:9 |
| 241:14 | 23 165:4,10 | opened 185:8 | 129:3 182:10, |
| November 168:13 | 166:8,22 167:2 | operating | 11 183:22 |
| 184:8 196:9 | | 220:21 | pain 225:9 |
| now's 204:25 | 169:5,11 | operative | pains 225:6 |
| number 133:21 | 171:20 172:6 | 181:18 182:14 | Palm 165:8 |
| 177:20,21,22 | 173:10 174:11, | opposed 244:22 | 166:18 203:8 |
| 178:3,4,5,11, | 13,16,25 | | |
| 14,22,23 | 177:2,23 178:6 | order 123:11,18 | Pam 131:4,16, |
| 184:22,25 | 179:14 181:19 | 124:20,22 | 18,21 136:8 |
| 185:11,12,13, | 182:3 183:18 | 125:1,3,6,14 | 144:11,24 |
| 16 187:5 190:5 | 184:11 185:19 | 162:1 216:14 | 161:16 191:21 |
| | 186:22 188:11, | 251:4 256:17, | 203:10 227:6 |
| 224:23 243:19, | 13 195:20 | 20,23,24 | Pam's 131:5 |
| 20 | 196:12,22 | ordered 122:1 | 144:7,16 145:5 |
| numbered 183:22 | 197:6 198:12, | 124:3,17,23 | Pamela 135:6 |
| numbering | 20 199:16 | 125:4 165:3 | 203:10 |
| 177:17 184:18, | 201:1,10,17 | orders 125:12 | paper 159:25 |
| 23 | 203:12,22 | 212:13 | 197:17 198:5 |
| numbers 183:17 | 204:3,14 | original 121:7, | 219:6 |
| 184:3,10 | 209:16 210:11 | 14,17,21 | |
| Numeral 184:2 | 212:3,21 | 122:6,21,23 | papers 127:13 |
| numerals 184:2 | 217:6,11,13,14 | 123:3,4,5,6,9, | 213:9 |
| | 218:3,17 | | paragraph |
| | 219:3,22 | 12,15,20,25 | 161:6,10 |
| 0 | 220:10,16,23 | 124:17,20 | 163:8,22 164:3 |
| | 221:6,17 | 132:16 163:9 | 177:18,21 |
| 0'connell | 225:12,15,19 | 170:15,19,22, | 178:1,7,9 |
| 251:15 | 226:4 228:7, | 23,25 189:11, | 185:3,5,8 |
| oath 120:5 | 15,23 229:5,17 | 18 191:17 | paragraphs |
| 193:23 244:7 | 230:16 231:17 | 196:20 209:25 | 183:23 |
| object 124:5 | 252:6 | 210:2,3,5 | parents 142:13 |
| 127:1 141:12 | | 215:21,25 | 147:7 215:16 |
| 162:24 192:19 | objections | originals | part 124:5 |
| 230:2,10 | 150:25 249:5, | 123:1,7 125:18 | 131:9 133:13, |
| 248:19,21 | 16 | 152:22 170:17 | 17 137:16 |
| objected 148:2 | objects 245:8 | 211:6 216:5 | 138:15 153:12, |
| 151:22 | observe 193:4 | 231:13 250:10 | 13 154:25 |
| | obtained 170:10 | outstanding | 155:4 162:4,20 |
| objection 122:4 | octopuses | 253:4 | 168:24 184:5 |
| 123:13 124:19 | 253:11 | Overruled | 188:3 198:13 |
| 127:23 128:1 | offering 160:18 | 123:23 138:23 | 201:21 203:6 |
| 133:7 135:16, | office 122:17, | 140:22 164:10 | 201:21 203:6 |
| 21 136:11 | 24 126:2 | 171:21 184:12 | 248:12 |
| 137:9 138:21 | 130:22 142:17 | 199:17 210:12 | |
| 140:2,21 | | 218:5 | parties 130:25 |
| 143:15 144:18 | 168:17 169:8, | overturn 245:1 | 149:13 249:11 |
| 145:24 146:4 | 9,24 199:10 | | partner 147:6 |
| 147:2,20 | 214:12,16 | overturned | 248:7 |
| 148:9,21 | 222:19 248:16 | 246:20 | partners |
| 149:1,16 | | | 161:22,23 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

parts 143:13 242:19 **party** 137:3 198:17 **pass** 144:25 **passed** 132:21 192:3 202:13 208:22 227:4,9 **passes** 145:10 Passover 228:6, 17,22 past 127:3 pay 136:17 152:2 penalties 202:2,4 **people** 180:15 236:4 238:20, 22 248:17 249:25 percent 212:17 216:22 **perfect** 175:11 perfectly 224:22 239:25 **period** 202:13 208:15 **perjury** 202:2,4 permitted 236:11 **person** 127:13 173:2 174:4 235:17,21,25 236:20 personal 140:15 148:13 190:14 194:17 196:16 202:18 222:25 223:2,7 237:1 personally 173:2 176:24 201:6 211:19 214:9 231:14 235:14,18,19 236:3,20,23 250:6 persuasive 237:7 241:15 pertinent 230:23 petition

148:19,23 189:25 190:20, 22 196:20 197:9,25 199:7 Phillips 256:23 **phone** 130:22 226:1,3,17 238:7 **picking** 197:16 **piece** 137:15 197:16 198:5 **pieces** 159:24 **place** 146:8 165:25 166:16 plaintiff's 133:24 134:2, 16,18 167:16 178:16 182:6 186:22 187:3,6 231:24 232:4 **plan** 154:2 planning 141:3 153:12,13 155:1,4 219:17 **plans** 154:25 **played** 241:1 **pled** 241:18 podium 120:13, 14 185:25 206:7 224:15 **point** 131:15 133:12 138:4 139:22 145:13 146:16 162:3 203:5 209:22 236:8 **pointed** 162:12 points 138:2 **poison** 165:8 **police** 148:25 policy 154:17, 21,22 155:2,7 Pollock's 122:17 125:22, 23 126:2 **portion** 227:13, 18 **positive** 201:20 possession 121:20 250:16

possessions 216:4 possibility 162:15 255:17 **possibly** 152:17 postmortem 144:14 potential 157:24 **power** 139:4 161:15 162:22 163:24 189:1 233:4 **PR** 147:14 192:5,6 251:16 252:3 practical 245:9 practice 124:13 129:17,19 189:14 practicing 121:3 predeceased 132:18,24 133:3,5 135:8 136:9 144:11 preference 179:10 181:7 preparation 237:24 **prepare** 149:7 160:12 190:25 prepared 154:4, 5 160:15 177:8,12 190:23 193:2 203:18 238:19 252:13 presence 128:21 174:4 234:19 238:24 239:17 242:22,25 243:1 present 176:21, 22 238:22 244:25 246:18 presented 241:12 246:2 presumed 245:20,24 presumption 247:12,14,16

pretrial 251:1 **pretty** 154:10 **pride** 253:13 244:1,9 prima **prior** 141:17 142:18 154:1 155:23 160:10 189:13 203:9 218:10 224:7 225:11 226:25 227:17 privilege 208:9,12 223:5,11,14 probate 214:6 239:2 244:22 problem 230:21 problems 130:2 158:23 221:25 225:10,14,17 226:14 240:11 252:2 procedural 150:14 procedure 150:17 251:2 **proceed** 128:6 203:6 255:5 proceedings 120:3 243:23 **process** 251:2, 24 254:19 procured 247:1, 3 248:5 procuring 247:9 **produce** 124:4 125:5 136:21 141:24 150:4, 20 **produced** 139:14 148:14 173:2 174:23 176:22 235:15 236:24 250:10 producing 137:2 production 182:12 188:7 250:9 professional 220:21 221:5 proffered

127:25 182:2 **Proof** 243:22 proper 230:13, 14 243:16 244:14 properly 148:6 172:23 192:13 193:7 234:19 236:3 248:17 252:24 254:9 property 202:18 203:20 231:1 245:7proponent 243:25 **protect** 220:6 **prove** 246:23 **provide** 141:19 250:20 provided 135:4, 8 243:20 246:21 **proving** 245:20 provision 139:6 psychiatrist 158:17 222:14, 17 223:17 psychiatrist's 222:19 psychologist**client** 223:14 **public** 169:3 **pulled** 197:11 **purport** 238:20 purported 241:5 **purposes** 125:24 129:10,11 132:19,23 133:5 135:5 136:8 229:4,13 123:4 put 134:11,13,14 136:1 144:15 151:17 168:18, 21 181:17,24 185:16 232:12 234:17 237:22 239:7 249:3 255:2,12,19 **putting** 181:20

Q question 122:5 123:10,19,21 125:15 127:19 133:1 136:4 137:13,18 139:7 141:8, 11,15 142:7 143:22 144:9, 15 145:8,9 146:13 147:23 148:2,12 151:2,10 152:9 155:19 156:20 159:19 161:12 163:13,14,19 164:7 165:13, 15 178:7 188:14 192:25 193:6 194:10, 19 199:18 200:21 201:22 204:2,4 207:6 209:8,11 211:4 213:2 215:2 218:6,7,13 220:12,14 224:1 225:13 226:8,10 227:16,17 229:11 233:17 234:23,24 239:19 241:23 256:7 questioning 134:19 142:10 156:5 questioning's 195:24 questions 120:15 121:11, 14 127:14 141:13 146:20 150:12 152:3, 20 154:13 160:7 163:2 170:3 180:22 182:23 183:1 186:18 194:7 204:19 208:14 209:2,21

213:13 221:12 224:18 249:6, 16,18 quick 126:7 178:4 quickly 227:16 **quote** 245:3 R raise 247:12, 13,16 **raised** 233:17 234:24 ranting 142:5 **rapidly** 186:19 **read** 130:7 133:10,11,13, 14 135:2 144:10 159:10 161:5,11 178:2 200:24,25 227:12,13,18 235:11 255:14 **reading** 136:18 161:7,10 **reads** 178:12 **readv** 120:4 180:21 252:15 **real** 126:7 142:1 178:4 253:9 **realize** 249:1 **Realty** 153:8 **reask** 137:17 **reason** 138:7 184:9 206:11 215:19 216:8 219:16,18,21, 25 222:22 237:8,9 240:22 246:17 222:16 reasons 230:22,23 247:19 **rebuttal** 231:23 254:23 255:11 **recall** 122:3 126:3 131:1 132:3 142:20 146:25 154:9

161:20 168:8, 11 173:13 188:5 196:8 222:12 228:8, 19,21 229:2 **receive** 182:4 received 161:2 186:22 187:6 198:19,23 248:25 recently 248:7 receptacle 157:22,24 receptacles 158:2 **recess** 180:18 256:8 recite 242:18 **record** 130:8 133:16 134:4 161:5,8 170:24 180:25 206:4, 14 227:13,18 235:5 239:13 254:25 255:1 **records** 188:10 **recusal** 255:12 **recuse** 256:3 redirect 188:20,22 216:25 217:1 **refer** 125:25 183:21 242:10 referenced 154:17,21 referral 142**:**17 referred 187:5 referring 122:10 124:22 125:2 130:12 166:2,4 183:4 228:20 **refers** 246:7 **reflect** 206:4 218:4 238:16 **regard** 234:24 245:12 246:3, 21 **reheard** 252:19 rejected 192:10,11

relation 169:9 relationship 215:16 245:7 246:25 247:11 relevance 123:17 147:2, 20,22 148:4 149:1 150:21 164:23 165:4, 10 166:22 167:2 171:20 196:12,22,23 197:1,4 201:1, 12,17 210:11 218:17,18 225:12,19 228:7,10,11,23 229:5,6 relevancy 135:16 136:11 150:6 151:23 155:8 204:14 relevant 136:1, 2 150:22 162:1,14 200:10 212:25 213:3 220:15 221:7,11 233:1 237:25 242:15, 19 **relieve** 130:11 **rely** 234:10 **relying** 249:23 254:5 **remain** 123:2 remaining 179:23,25 248:1 253:3 remember 131:6 180:17 197:10 228:1 **remind** 137:22 reminding 208:13 **removal** 251:24 **repeat** 138:8 143:18,21,25 211:16 replacement 125:13 **reporter** 206:10 227:14,19

represent 250:18 representation 252:3 representative 140:15 148:14 149:24 190:14 194:17 196:16 231:18 represented 148:17 250:14, 15 representing 141:23 148:6 219:20 253:7 **request** 255:12 requested 170:24 requests 253:5 **require** 200:3, 14 required 244:8 requirement 235:10 **requires** 243:10 requiring 124:20 requisites 246:13 rescheduled 252:19 **reserve** 242:2 resigned 124:24 **resolve** 209:22 respect 147:25 242:16,20 243:6 244:13 245:14 246:4, 9,14 247:18 respective 135:7 161:17 responded 141:15 219:10 responding 151:20 responsible 194:4 **rest** 193:13 231:21,22 242:2 255:10

restated 176:20 233:7 **resume** 120:4 180:21 retain 123:11, 20 **retained** 189:12 207:17 209:8 210:22,23 214:5 retainer 147:19,23 148:3 rethink 146:22 **retired** 125:7, 10 returned 240:18 **review** 164:5 revocation 244:23**revoke** 203:8 Rick 169:3,21, 24 rights 200:24 254:19 **robert** 120:9 188:22 206:25 232:23 235:14 **role** 212:24 241:1 roles 147:5,10 **Roman** 184:2 120:12 Rose 122:4 123:13, 17 124:5,19 126:16,19,25 127:9,16 128:4 130:7 133:7,16 134:9 135:16, 21 136:11 137:9 138:21 140:2,21 143:15 144:18 145:24 146:4 147:2,20 148:21 149:1, 5,8,16,20 150:6,21 151:12 155:8, 14 156:3,18 157:9 158:4,7 160:23 161:25

162:19 163:10 164:6,23 165:4,10 166:8,22 167:2 169:5,11 170:23 171:20, 22 173:4,10,17 174:11,14,25 177:2,23 179:15 181:5, 10,16 182:5,9 183:18 184:11 185:19,23 186:24 187:1, 25 188:11,15, 21,23 190:6,9 192:22 193:10, 13 195:20,23 196:12,22 197:9,14 198:11 199:16 201:1,10,12,17 203:12,22,24 204:14,19 205:6 206:6 208:1,3,7 210:11 212:3, 5,21 213:15,17 216:23 217:6 218:3,10,17 219:9,22 220:10,23 221:6,17 223:3,10 224:14 225:12, 19 226:4 228:7,23 229:5,22 230:1,2,10,13, 23 231:25 232:5,9 234:8, 12 242:14 248:19,21 249:1 251:13 252:6 253:17 255:15 roundabout 153:16 **row** 194:8 **Rubin** 142:23 **Ruffin** 142:24

rule 136:3

217:10 219:4,5

249:16 251:1, 10,18 ruled 136:2 256:15,19 **rules** 211:13 216:17 252:21, 22,23 255:4,6 **ruling** 197:24 216:13 256:16 **running** 209:17 **Ryan** 146:25 S **sad** 240:13 safe 123:4 23 170:15 189:16, 20,21 **sake** 215:12,14 satisfactory 236:24 237:2 satisfying 247:14 **save** 192:7 **SB** 128:13,16, 17,18,19,22 **scan** 158:20 **scenario** 211:15 238:25 scheduled 251:9 252:17 **school** 143:3,6, 9 **scope** 226:5 scoring 138:2 **Scott** 169:21 Scott's 169:3, 24 **screw** 249:18 **seat** 233:25 234:6 **SEC** 248:6 249:4 253:2 **secret** 181:24 **section** 144:10 243:21 **seeking** 223:21 **seize** 248:14 self-proved 237:7

self-proving 168:25 233:13, 14,18 236:21 239:24 243:6, 8,14 244:6,12 **send** 132:5,10, 12 240:14 **sending** 155:25 **sentence** 227:11 235:12,17 244:15,17,19 **separate** 157:17 203:17 September 194:3 200:21 sequence 184:3, sequences 177:17 **serve** 149:23 **served** 125:11 147:9,11,13 148:19,24 **session** 179:21 180:19 255:13 **set** 229:24 238:2,4 setting 251:4 **settled** 202:10 **sharp** 239:10 shenaniqans 240:11 **sheriff** 166:19, 25 186:14 **shine** 255:18 **Shirley** 133:20 136:22 138:12, 15,18,20 139:10,12,25 140:12,17 145:4,12 155:12 157:3, 12,15,19 158:6 163:8,25 166:12 167:15 177:7 184:6,7, 17 189:12 194:17 195:2, 16,18 196:6, 15,18 203:7 232:19 238:17 239:19,25

241:22 245:23 252:16 Shirley's 126:15 132:17 140:15 144:17, 23 146:3 148:15 151:12, 13,16 157:22, 24 168:3 194:13 195:14 202:11 207:5,9 234:22 241:3 251:17 **short** 179:20 183:9 **show** 120:16 126:21 127:14, 15,18 138:3 179:17 181:13 182:1 190:21 236:15,25 **showed** 131:7 170:16 181:23 showing 246:2 **shown** 128:3 248:16 **Si** 129:22 130:23 131:19, 25 132:4,7 139:4 142:19 166:13 194:24, 25 195:1,3 200:5,7 229:8 245:23 **Si's** 144:14 161:15 173:16 200:4**sic** 125:6 207:8 229:3 250:3 **side** 126:22 151:5,6 180:3 181:24 182:1 193:16 198:7 230:15 231:24 232:4 242:5 247:23 252:22 **sides** 180:7 **sign** 128:24 129:4,6,12 158:25 167:24 190:14,24 191:8 199:15,

20 200:11,20 214:16 238:6, 20 242:21,24 signature 129:5,6,7,13, 14,16 167:10, 14,21,23 168:1 170:7,8,13 171:5,10,12,13 172:18,21 174:22 176:13, 15 182:15 190:17,18 191:2,14 193:1 200:4,14 243:13 signatures 175:15 219:15 **signed** 128:20 129:7,8 158:12 165:18 166:14 168:11 189:25 191:5,6,9,12, 22,23 192:2, 13,24 196:20 199:20,22 200:5,6,7,8,9, 10,12,19 202:5 214:13 216:9, 11 221:23 232:20 233:9 234:18 235:24 238:19,23 239:23 240:21 246:11 249:25 250:4,24 significant 146:16 signing 159:5 239:16 **silent** 236:19, 22 **similar** 128:23 172:14 175:8, 12,19,21,23 176:7 **Simon** 135:6 145:16,17 146:2 149:20 150:19,20 157:7 158:11 161:16 163:25 165:18 166:1

| | December | 15, 2015 | 13 |
|---|--|---|---|
| <pre>169:2 173:24 176:21 189:12, 25 190:10,13, 17,24 191:2 192:2,13,24 193:5 194:2, 13,16,20 195:4,5,9,10, 17 196:10 199:25 201:15 202:6 203:10, 20 232:21 233:3 235:18 238:9,10,17 239:8,15 241:22 251:7,8 252:4,14,18 Simon's 153:12 154:2 158:10, 13 162:22 173:19 188:25 202:25 223:6 229:15 231:15 234:25 235:2, 3,6,7 251:9,16 simple 211:4 simply 237:2 239:13 single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11 186:15 193:12 216:23 231:25 233:25 255:19 sister 227:5 233:22 sisters 214:19 240:25 sit 211:11 212:15 sitting 125:12 205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 237:10 slogging 237:11,15 small 230:20</pre> | So.2d 245:4,18 246:22 sole 145:20 157:4,5 202:20 249:23 solitary 175:25 solve 226:14 somebody's 152:3 Sony 143:4 sort 237:6 240:13 sought 244:23 sound 165:20 sounds 196:8 spacing 185:10 Spallina 120:9, 11 182:12 188:22 193:18, 22 194:2 207:11 208:3 213:25 214:15 215:23 216:1 232:23 235:14 237:14,23 238:2 244:16 245:11 246:12, 13 249:4,12 250:4 254:12 Spallina's 207:1 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:22 speak 161:11 167:22 224:17 232:6 speaking 129:24 special 154:2, 12 specific 123:10 148:1 245:13 246:10 specifically 215:7 speed 198:11 spend 240:6 spoke 131:11 149:10 173:21 spoken 149:4 spot 163:21 214:1 | <pre>spouse 135:8 spouse's 203:9 stack 256:12 stamp 188:3,6 stand 120:12 206:11 208:13 248:8 stands 236:5 stapled 127:1 star 254:3 start 175:19 started 143:20 169:21 180:5 232:18 starts 134:25 state 129:20 130:23 131:5 133:1 151:4 158:10 174:13, 23 203:4 206:14 224:21 226:7 228:12 229:8,16 233:22 244:5 250:13 stated 121:5 138:10 139:13 170:24 197:15 217:3 226:13 229:2 statement 202:1 253:1 statements 151:21 250:2 states 161:15 202:6 stating 132:7 166:14 200:24 231:14 statue 236:14, 16 237:1 242:15 244:5, 15 statutes 242:10 244:8 statutory 243:21 stay 206:6,8 209:18</pre> | <pre>step 193:12 204:20 224:15 237:18 241:10 steps 210:15 stop 135:11 151:4 156:21 20:14 252:10 story 195:13 240:13 straight 180:25 straighten 179:20 stress 130:5, 10,11,12 131:14 159:6 225:18,23 strike 192:23 struggling 162:13 Stuart 206:18, 23 stuff 137:25 146:11 151:7 162:17 219:4 subject 223:10 254:10 submit 160:5,17 179:8,11 244:2,11 244:2,11 247:10,20 252:23 submitted 142:3 147:16 191:25 240:16 242:13 245:15 248:11 249:21 250:24 submitting 192:1 subscribing 239:17 Subsection 242:24 243:23 244:18,20 substantial 236:6,15 247:16 successor 192:5,6 194:5, 16,21 195:1,3, 7,11 195:1,3, 7,11 195:16 sucd 250:17</pre> |
| small 230:20 | - | 209:18 | |
| | | | 253:6 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

suffer 224:8 suffering 222:6,11 sufficient 236:7 239:5 **suggest** 212:13 238:16,18 246:19 247:2,6 suggesting 164:2 support 150:5 supposed 129:4 162:10 176:18 188:9 199:3 250:15 Supreme 233:21 234:14 236:9 **surprise** 231:17 Surprised 189:9 surrendered 120:25 **survive** 203:20 **survived** 202:16 204:10 surviving 202:22 **survivor** 135:8 157:4 **sustain** 148:9 197:6 209:16 217:14 219:3 220:16 225:15 228:15 229:17 231:16 sustained 124:7 133:9 135:17, 23 136:13 140:3 143:16 144:20 145:25 147:3 149:2,17 150:7 151:10 155:9 156:19 157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21 195:22 196:13 201:2,11,13

203:14,25 204:3,17 212:7 219:23 220:24 225:20 228:24 248:23 249:5 **swiftly** 242:3 **sworn** 152:25 201:25 202:1 205:22 235:13 т 179:3,4 Tab 183:10 190:7 taking 225:8 232:7 talked 131:23, 24 137:4 166:5 216:6 226:22 232:18 talking 125:14 126:24 130:16 146:25 195:14 198:9 203:2 223:4 248:21 tangible 202:18 technically 253:15 ted 131:17,18 132:2,14,18,24 133:2 135:6 136:8 140:11, 14 142:18 144:7,11,16,23 147:12 161:17 191:21 194:4, 22,23,24,25 195:1,2,4,5,10 196:15 203:10 205:2,14,21 206:2,15,25 211:5 213:16 217:1 221:23 240:24 251:24 252:2**Ted's** 140:17 145:5 telephone 130:17 141:18 238:9 ten 233:8 247:5,7

terms 253:25 **Tescher** 142:23 147:6 160:16 182:12 207:11 208:2 213:25 215:22 237:13 **Tescher's** 189:7 test 165:8 testamentary 193:8 239:14, 16 241:19,21 244:13 245:3,5 246:7,11,14 **testator** 234:20 238:23 242:21, 25 243:11 testator's 243:13 245:8 testatrix 243:12 testified 129:20 146:9 188:11 205:23 216:1 237:23 239:10 246:13 **testify** 198:2 244:16 testifying 206:5 testimony 149:19 154:1 176:1 235:3,22 237:21,22 238:21 239:3, 20 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:10,11,22 246:9 249:24 that'll 152:20 160:25 175:20 198:20 234:6 Theodore 206:18,23 thing 126:24 127:13 131:3 173:14 175:10 196:2 things 127:1 138:8 156:21 159:20 198:11 213:18 248:22, 24 249:8

250:13 251:19 252:15 254:16 thinks 212:24 220:15 221:8, 9,10 **thought** 150:15 162:10 202:23 231:2 255:3 **threaten** 200:23 three-page 181:16 191:1 **thrown** 211:13 tied 152:8 time 123:4 127:4,24 130:3 133:12 134:10, 14,15 142:22 151:8 158:13, 23,25 159:4 162:7 172:3 175:14 176:11 179:19 180:6,8 186:19 187:21 192:24 193:13 196:3,11 204:9,11 205:1 208:15,22 209:17 213:8 218:14 219:2 225:5 228:4 230:7 231:6 232:2,5,15 241:9 246:8 251:23 254:14, 20 times 138:1 142:9 149:4,10 171:23 189:12 201:19 246:13 **title** 153:18 **titled** 243:22 today 124:12 133:18 136:1 149:15,20 150:5 152:16 176:1 187:21 211:11,19 212:15 213:11, 21 214:22 217:4,9,17 218:15 221:10 244:3,16,24 245:16,22

246:3 247:2,7 248:3 249:13 250:1,10,14,21 253:16 256:16 told 131:25 132:7 180:4 214:20,23 220:13 **top** 168:19,22 tortured 240:13 total 141:25 149:19 totality 238:15 touched 137:15 **Traci** 167:20 track 209:17 trading 248:8 transcript 224:3 251:13 transmitted 138:14 trial 146:9 162:20,23 180:4,8,11 188:25 237:9 251:8,10,17 252:9,12,17 254:18 trouble 141:25 226:13 **true** 139:11 229:23 238:17 trust 121:7 122:8 126:15 129:3,11 132:22 133:6, 20 135:5,13,20 136:22,24 137:2,7 138:12,16,18, 20,25 139:10, 12,25 140:12, 17,18 141:25 144:5,17,23,25 145:1,3,4,10, 12,19,20 146:3,7 148:15 149:14 150:2, 20 152:12 155:3,12 156:2 157:6,13,15, 17,19,23,24

158:1,2,6,11 163:8,25 166:16 167:15 168:3,24 169:2 173:19 176:17, 19 177:7,15 184:6,17 189:14 192:25 207:1,5,8,9 209:25 210:5 232:19,21 233:6 234:25 235:7 241:3 247:1 249:14 250:16,17,22 251:17 252:14 253:6 trustee 145:18 147:13 195:1,3 207:10,17 208:3 209:3 210:15,25 211:20 212:20 213:6 216:15 220:5,18 221:3 250:6,15,17,22 252:5 trusts 122:11 131:13 132:2,9 147:6 151:19 152:8,18 153:23,25 157:7 165:25 169:10 194:6 210:6 211:20 216:1,5 246:19 turn 122:1 124:17,25 188:9 turned 124:16, 21 two-by-four 172:3 type 122:18 typical 168:17 typically 175:11 189:20 U ultimately 132:4 139:4

247:5,8 un-notarized 240:20 uncontroverted 232:22 243:2 244:4 245:12 uncredible 250:3 understand 127:6 156:1 189:23 194:19 201:21 207:6 234:5 241:12 245:6 understanding 142:1 163:12 211:17 255:6 understands 189:24 undertake 192:17 undisputed 238:21 239:21 **undue** 246:16, 21,23 247:13, 17 unfortunate 240:23 ungenuine 241:25 unhappy 131:8 **unlike** 129:2 236:1 unrebutted 241:16 **upheld** 157:3 239:18 241:20 uphold 241:17 usual 129:22 v vague 141:20 valid 137:2,5,6 138:20 139:9 140:18 141:1 152:19 155:13 162:2,3,12 184:6,17,20 188:24 189:4 191:22 211:14

212:12,13 213:23 214:9 216:9,14 218:12,14 219:10 233:12, 15,16 240:9 241:8,21 245:1 247:21 252:5 **validate** 149:14 210:16 211:5 217:8 219:21, 25 220:7 241:6 248:6 249:11 250:1 254:6,8 validated 211:12 212:10 217:4 220:21 221:3,5,8 validation 245:16 **validity** 135:19 137:12 151:19 162:6,11 169:14 170:2 208:25 210:19, 24 212:16 217:17,19 218:15,22,25 219:5 229:7,14 243:18,24 252:25 253:22, 24 **validly** 139:9 **vault** 189:15 vaults 215:23 216:2 version 138:25 **view** 189:7 241:10 251:11 **viewed** 236:14 **violated** 251:19 violates 251:10,18 **virtue** 202:21 **vivid** 253:12 **Volume** 120:3

W

W-e-i-h-e 245:18

| wait 217:10 255:25 | <pre>witnessed 180:16 214:15</pre> | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----|-----------|
| waiver 130:8 | | | Z |
| 166:13 189:24 | 171:14 180:1, | | 100 10 01 |
| 190:10,14,22 | 15 205:8,9,11 | zıp | 122:18,21 |
| | 231:19 234:19 | | |
| 192:1 199:8 | 238:23 239:17 | | |
| waivers 166:13, | 241:13 242:23, | | |
| 14 197:4 | 24 243:12 | | |
| | word 158:3 | | |
| walking 197:17 | 235:11 | | |
| wanted 121:13 | words 163:23 | | |
| 222:23,25 | 185:17 254:6 | | |
| | work 141:13 | | |
| 230:7 231:2 | 143:4 181:5 | | |
| 250:13 256:3 | 196:3 | | |
| | worked 176:24 | | |
| warning 156:24 | 181:8 | | |
| waste 151:8 | working 180:23 | | |
| 162:7 | worth 149:19 | | |
| | wrangled 253:15 | | |
| | wrangling | | |
| weekend 214:6 | 253:11 | | |
| | write 137:25 | | |
| weeks 158:10 | 138:7 164:17 | | |
| 173:19 | writing 138:1,4 | | |
| Weihe 245:17 | 229:2 242:17 | | |
| whatsoever | 255:2,13,19 | | |
| 216:12 219:16 | written 161:18 | | |
| Whichever | 201:18 203:17 | | |
| 216:17 | 213:9 235:9 | | |
| wife 126:8 | 255:22 256:3,9 | | |
| 224:22 | wrong 179:13 | | |
| will's 170:15 | 192:1 240:14 | | |
| vills 131:13 | 253:15 | | |
| 146:8 151:19 | wrote 130:8 | | |
| 152:8,18 | 211:8 221:19 | | |
| 169:10 189:11 | 229:12 231:14 | | |
| 194:6 203:9 | | | |
| 233:15 242:16 | | | |
| 245:1 246:19 | Y | | |
| Wilmott's 245:4 | Yates 136:24 | | |
| win 237:7 | 137:8 139:13, | | |
| winding 153:3 | 15,17,18 | | |
| wisely 180:6 | 140:1,19 144:6 | | |
| wishes 144:14 | 148:25 155:11 | | |
| 156:16 157:3,7 | 156:7 | | |
| 233:4 239:12, | year 200:22 | | |
| 22 | years 125:7 | | |
| withdrawn 226:9 | 143:1 155:3 | | |
| | | 1 | |
| withdrew 121:2 | 224:23 225:7 | | |