

standing to seek such discovery and information. This lack of standing is more evident given the fact that the Estate is independently represented by counsel in Illinois, under the direction of Brian O'Connell as Personal Representative, with court-ordered funding by Stansbury; thus the Illinois case is being handled as it should by the Estate's Personal Representative. The Estate Personal Representative is handling Stansbury's claim independently of the Illinois litigation, and at the request of the direct and indirect beneficiaries, and to save expenses, has selected the Mrachek firm and waived any potential conflict. As the only personal complaining is Stansbury, whose interests are directly adverse to the Estate in Florida, and potentially expose the Estate to fee and cost expense in Illinois, the motivation is obvious. The only adversity in this case is between Stansbury, a potential claimant against the Estate, and the Trustee, the sole beneficiary. There is no conflict between the Trustee and the Estate, and to the extent the Trustee's service as trustee of a separate trust is relevant, that is acknowledged and permitted by Simon Bernstein in his Trust Agreement.

WHEREFORE, Trustee requests that this Court sustain his objection, prohibiting Stansbury from obtaining the issuance of the Subpoena to MFRKTW, and any other relief this Court deems appropriate.