

From the desk of:

Eliot I. Bernstein

**Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588 (o)
(561) 886-7628 (c)**

Sent Via Email:

Monday, September 26, 2016

Melinda M. Miguel
Chief Inspector General
Office of the Chief Inspector General
Room 1902 - The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001
Phone: (850) 717-9264
Fax: (850) 921-0817
E-Mail: cig@eog.myflorida.com

and

Greg White
Florida State Courts System
Office of Inspector General
Supreme Court Building
500 South Duval Street
Tallahassee, FL
32399-1925
(850) 488-9123
whiteg@flcourts.org
InspGenl@flcourts.org
<http://www.floridasupremecourt.org/oig/index.shtml>
Whistleblower Hotline - 1-800-543-5353

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT OFFICERS IN THE FOLLOWING FLORIDA CASES: Please Note the 4th District Court of Appeals and the Florida Supreme Court have been apprised of the various ongoing frauds and requested to Report same to the IG Offices and take action consistent with the Statewide Fraud policy, however, instead of taking any action to date have been issuing Orders with no Judges names listed while also imposing unreasonable timeframes while clear merit to extensions have been requested.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

COURT CASES INVOLVED IN THIS COMPLAINT:

Judge Martin Colin / Howard Coates / John Phillips Estate & Trust Cases Simon & Shirley Bernstein and Eliot Bernstein Children.

Fifteenth Judicial Court Cases:

1. Case # 502012CP004391XXXXSB – Simon Bernstein Estate
2. Case # 502015CP001162XXXXNB – Simon Bernstein Trust to Remove Ted Bernstein - Should be civil not probate case
 - a. OLD CASE # Was Civil but Colin wanted to transfer to him in Probate? 502014CA014637XXXXMB
3. Case # 502011CP000653XXXXSB – Shirley Bernstein Estate
4. Case # 502014CP003698XXXXNB – Shirley Trust Construction - Should be civil case not probate
5. Case # 502014CP002815XXXXSB – Oppenheimer v. Bernstein Minor Children
 - a. 502010CP003123XXXXSB
 - b. 502010CP003125XXXXSB
 - c. 502010CP003128XXXXSB
6. Case # 502015CP002717XXXX Colin Closed but transferred to Coates Eliot Bernstein v. Simon Estate Case for Claims
7. Case # 502014CA014637XXXXMB BERNSTEIN, ELIOT I VS BERNSTEIN, THEODORE S
8. Case # 50-2010-CP-003128-XXXX-SB – Joshua Bernstein alleged 2010 Trust Case Colin - Should be civil not probate case
9. Case # 50-2010-CP-003125-XXXX-SB -- Jacob Jake Bernstein alleged 2010 Trust Case Colin - Should be civil not probate case
10. Case # 50-2010-CP-003123-XXXX-SB– Daniel Danny Bernstein alleged 2010 Trust Case Colin - Should be civil not probate case
11. CASE NO.: 502012CA013933XXXXMB DIVISION: AA - WILLIAM E. STANSBURY, Plaintiff, V. ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN, and BERNSTEIN FAMILY REALTY, LLC,

4th DCA

12. 4DCA#: 16-0064
13. 4DCA#: 15-3849
14. 4DCA#: 16-0222
15. 4DCA#: 16-2249

Florida Supreme Court

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

16. SC16-29

17. SC15-1077

18. SC04-1078 - Eliot Bernstein v. The Florida Bar et al.

Dear Inspector General,

I write to your offices in regard to *ongoing frauds in the 15th Judicial Circuit courts* and multiple violations of the State Courts System Fraud Policy that was approved by the Florida Supreme Court on September 25, 2012¹, which policy applies to all officers and employees of the court system. The whistleblowing exposure herein involves frauds on the court and frauds by court officials and court appointed Officers of the Court (Attorneys, Fiduciaries and Guardians) involving several courts in Florida. Members of the civil, probate, district court and Florida Supreme Court are involved in these matters discussed herein.

Some of the “document” related forgery and falsification frauds have been admitted to by certain Officers of the Court while many other Reported frauds remain un-investigated and uncorrected by standard fraud on the Court policies and the Statewide Fraud Policy referenced above.

In order to preclude any conflicts of interest in the handling of these matters I ask that before review of the complaint herein or make any determinations, you review Exhibit 2, a Conflict of Interest Disclosure and sign and return it to me at the address contained therein. If conflict is found then determine a next course of action, including but not limited to, engaging a Federal Monitor to oversight the matters or wholly take over them going forward.

“VI. Investigation of Allegations of Fraud

a. Authority to Investigate Allegations of Fraud

¹ September 27, 2012 State of Florida Office of the State Courts Administrator Memorandum re “State Courts System Fraud Policy”

<http://www.jud6.org/News/StateCourtsSystemFraudPolicy.pdf>

and

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120927%20Florida%20State%20Courts%20System%20Fraud%20on%20the%20Court%20Policy%20Procedure.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

- i. The IG is authorized under section 20.055(6), Florida Statutes, to initiate, conduct, supervise, and coordinate investigations designed to detect, deter, prevent and eradicate fraud, waste, mismanagement, misconduct, and other abuses in state government.”

The fraud on and by the court that I write about involves, including but not limited to, Judge Martin Colin, Judge Howard Coates, Judge John Phillips, Judge David French and from a previous but related Intellectual Property crime involving fraud in the court, now Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court, Judge Jorge Labarga. The crimes and frauds on and by court officials and court appointed officers, fiduciaries and guardians also involves private attorneys at law acting as Officers of the Court, including but not limited to, Donald Tescher, Robert Spallina, Alan B. Rose, John Pankauski, Mark Manceri, John Morrissey, Joielle Foglietta, Brian O’Connell and others defined further herein. Also involved as Officers of the Court are court appointed fiduciaries and guardians, including but not limited to, former Judge Diane Lewis acting as Guardian Ad Litem to two of my minor children and one adult and Ted Bernstein (a non-attorney) acting as fiduciary in the estates and trusts of my mother and father. All of these parties have had involvement in the frauds on the court in the handling of my mother and father’s estates and trusts and my children’s trusts and are central parties to a host of other crimes detailed herein.

There are conflicts of interest that I have with members of the Florida Bar and Supreme Court Chief Judge Jorge Labarga, who I have pursued many years, which involves the separate fraud on and by the court relating to Intellectual Properties I invented and companies I formed for them with my father. In that case the IP is alleged stolen by formerly trusted attorneys from the law firms Proskauer Rose, LLP and Foley & Lardner and aided and abetted by Chief Judge of the Florida Supreme Court, Jorge Labarga and other members of the Florida Bar. This case from 2001 has Labarga as the judge (Case # CA 01-04671 AB Proskauer Rose v Iviewit - – Judge Jorge Labarga) and was prior to his remarkable rise to chief justice of the Supreme Court,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

while he was still at the 15th Judicial as a civil circuit judge. The two court frauds discussed herein, the IP case and the Probate/Trust/Guardian cases may be directly related and there is also a large commonality of parties in the two matters. Both cases involve allegations that members of the Florida Courts, Florida Bar and Judicial Qualification Commission, alongside Private Practice Attorneys acting as Court Appointed Officers of the Court and others, have actively participated in criminal activity to deprive my family, my companies and shareholders of my companies our rights to our properties.

The civil lawsuits and criminal and ethical complaints filed in regards to the IP theft filed by myself and others were then directly interfered with by various parties in state and federal government, primarily in New York and Florida, primarily within the legal system. These obstructions led to my testifying² before the New York Senate Judiciary Committee before now indicted Chairman Senator John Sampson D-NY³ with other similarly situated victims who all testified of civil court, ethical agencies (The Florida Bar, The Judicial Qualifications and others) and criminal agency corruptions that were used to block due process of victims of civil court and law enforcement corruption. Each case involving lawyers, law firms and judges accused of acting far outside the color of law and in my Federal RICO and ANTITRUST case they are accused of acting as a criminal RICO Cartel inside the system of jurisprudence with the intent of using the system to gain advantage of litigants and rob them of personal properties and more. The crimes in both cases were committed in large part by lawyers who infiltrated the courts, law enforcement and attorney and judicial regulatory agencies, with the specific intent to directly interfere in the civil and criminal complaints filed against their co-conspirators for their misconduct and violations of law. The Chairman of the New York Senate Judiciary Committee, John Sampson, is now a convicted felon and to be sentenced shortly, who stated upon his arrest that he was first threatened and then took bribes to cover up the corruption or words to that effect.

² September 24, 2009 Iviewit Inventor Eliot Bernstein New York Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing Sampson Proskauer

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7oHKs_crYls

³ New York Times Published: May 6, 2013 "Indictment of John L. Sampson"

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2013/05/07/nyregion/07sampson-document.html?_r=0

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

With the Judiciary Committee investigations derailed with the end of Sampson's career, the current Governor of New York, Andrew Cuomo (whom I have several complaints against) started a "Moreland Commission" to investigate public office corruption in New York's court and justice system, including the failed self-regulating bar and judicial conduct agencies. When I applied to testify in the Moreland Commission and submitted my case information on both the corruption in Florida and New York Courts regarding the IP thefts, the public office crimes and the new corruptions found committed by Fraud on the Court regarding my inheritancy with the State of Florida Probate court and was finally slated to testify along with many other victims of Judicial Corruption, the Moreland Commission was improperly and prematurely dissolved by Cuomo. This shuttering of the commission in the midst of investigations led to US Attorney Preet Bharara intervening on behalf of the inquiries already made by victims, including my own and taking the cases from the Commission to the US Attorney Southern District of New York Offices. After seizing the Moreland Commission's files and records, Bharara made arrests and convictions of two of the "3 Men in a Room" in New York, the most powerful and previously "untouchable" politicians in New York, consisting of the Speaker of the New York State Assembly. Sheldon "Shelly" Silver and Dean George Skelos the Majority Leader of the New York State Senate and considered the 2nd most powerful man in NY. Both men have now been convicted and touched by the long arm of the law.

Andrew Cuomo is the 3rd man in the room that controlled New York politics and recent reports show the US Attorney Bharara and NY AG Eric Schneiderman investigating Cuomo's executive offices and subpoenas have been served on his two right hand men who date back to his father Mario Cuomo's administration. My Intellectual Property theft case and the public corruptions that took place to obstruct my due process started in Florida and then spread instantly to New York as the main law firm perpetrators of these crimes had offices in both states and complaints were initially filed against them in New York and Florida with State and Federal Criminal Agencies, Ethical Agencies and the USPTO OED.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

The court corruption in my life began in Florida, in the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit of Palm Beach County (“15th Judicial”) with the IP thefts in early 2000. This is the same courthouse now involved in the Estate and Trust crimes alleged herein to now be committing Probate and Trust crimes against my family. Certain of the Probate court crimes already are proven and even prosecuted by the Palm Beach County Sheriff Department and DA and certain other crimes have been admitted directly to PBSO detectives during their investigation of attorney Robert Spallina, Esq. in January of 2014. While certain of the crimes reported have been acted on and prosecuted the new crimes reported have not yet been prosecuted or further investigated as of this time. Certain of the closed prior criminal cases filed are being appealed to Internal Affairs at PBSO due to intentional interference, obstruction and collusion between Judge Martin Colin and the PBSO detectives in efforts to derail criminal complaints against Officers of the Court and Court Appointed Officers and Fiduciaries and attempt to close them improperly and prematurely without fair and impartial due process.

The initial IP court corruption involved the now Chief Judge of the Florida Supreme Court, Jorge Labarga, while at that time he was a civil circuit court judge in the 15th Judicial. I have filed complaints against Labarga in both civil cases and criminal complaints since that time alleging him to be the one of the central conspirators in the IP thefts. I continue to pursue Labarga and other parties in Florida, including members of The Florida Bar who participated in the IP crimes and the subsequent cover ups of those crimes. I believe I am being further deprived due process in Florida with impunity in the probate process due to parties closely affiliated with Judge Labarga, including Colin who claims to have been mentored by him.

In May of 2013 I filed an “EMERGENCY PETITION TO: FREEZE ESTATE ASSETS, APPOINT NEW PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES, INVESTIGATE FORGED AND FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED TO THIS COURT AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES, RESCIND SIGNATURE OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN IN THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN AND MORE.” This filing provided Prima Facie evidence (later to be proven through investigation and admissions) that fraudulent documents had been submitted to the court by the law offices of Tescher & Spallina, PA (shuttered since)

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

and Fraud on the Court was also committed in the closing of my mother Shirley Bernstein's estate which was closed by my deceased father months after his death by attorneys Donald Tescher, Esq. and Robert Spallina, Esq. and Ted Bernstein fraudulently using my father's identity Post Mortem to pull a Fraud on the Court and fraud on beneficiaries to make it appear that my father had closed my mother's estate while alive, in efforts to fraudulently allege he made changes to the beneficiaries and fiduciaries of the estates and trusts. My mother's estate was later reopened and remains open due to the frauds on the court and beneficiaries.

Since discovering the initial fraudulent and forged documents there has been an ongoing effort to cover up the crimes, while allowing the crimes to continue with the court officers and court appointed Officers and Fiduciaries actively participating in the criminal misconduct, who are failing to follow, and in fact, willfully and knowingly violating the Florida Statewide Court Fraud Policy, Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law to commit the crimes and evade prosecution. Further, the Officers of the Court and Court Appointed Officers and Fiduciaries have completely failed to report the misconduct of the attorneys and judges involved in the crimes when they learned of them as they are required by ethical rules, judicial canons and law to do, including reporting to the IG offices. Instead, they have actively interfered in criminal complaints and are attempting to use the court to make their criminal acts appear legitimate and blessed by the court through continued legal process abuse, false process and obstruction.

Even after Fraud on the Court was proven and further admitted the wrongdoers and/or their appointed successors have been allowed to stay in the Probate and Trust cases as Fiduciaries and Counsel to continue the frauds when they should have all been removed and reported, investigated and prosecuted once the initial crimes were discovered. The judges involved refusing to mandatorily disqualify despite the fact that they are material and fact witnesses to the crimes that occurred in their courts committed by their court appointed counsel acting as Officers of the Court and Court Appointed Fiduciaries and Guardians. Since the Judges names are on several of the questionable documents and their employees also on documents involved they knew that they would have to answer questions to any formal investigation begun,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

which caused them to be material and fact witnesses. Due to these obstructions to an honest investigation the crimes continue to this day and the victims are now being retaliated on by the court Officers and Court Appointed Officers who were part of the original fraud when they should have all been removed from the cases and the cases reset free from all parties involved in the frauds to prevent this continuation of the crimes and prevent the ability to use the court to retaliate and cover up.

Upon Tescher and Spallina's resignation⁴ as Co-Personal Representatives, Co-Trustees, Counsel and in all Bernstein Family matters in January 2014, after admitting their law firm had committed multiple fraudulent acts in the Simon and Shirley estate and trust cases, as a final act they made their client Ted Bernstein the successor fiduciary in Simon's trust to keep the frauds going and to continue the cover up and further deny access to documents, records, assets, etc. This fraudulent transfer of Trusteeship to their client Ted who was the Fiduciary while documents were fraudulently deposited in my mother's estate by Tescher and Spallina on Ted's behalf took place despite language in the Simon Trust that prohibits Ted from being a successor trustee and clearly states Ted is predeceased for ALL PURPOSES of the Simon Trust, language Tescher and Spallina wrote. The courts of Judge Colin and Judge Phillips have looked the other way in regard to this improper transfer of trusteeship to Ted and thus have allowed the frauds to continue in the courts. Colin should have not allowed voluntary resignation, especially after learning that Spallina had committed crimes in January 2013 and then in September 2013 lied to the court and stated he only knew of the Moran crimes and did not come forth himself to tell of his crime but was rather forced to disclose them under a PBSO investigation in January 2014. Colin should have reported him, imposed severe sanctions, forced bonding to cover all costs to the victims, stricken all of their toxic false pleadings to the court and seized all the firm's records

⁴ January 14, 2014 Tescher Resignation Letter

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140114%20Tescher%20and%20Spallina%20Resignation%20Letter%20as%20PR%20in%20estates%20of%20Simon%20and%20Shirley.pdf>

and

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140122%20Petition%20for%20Resignation%20and%20Discharge%20TESCHER%20SPALLINA.pdf>

and

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/2014014%20Tescher%20Spallina%20Manceri%20Resignation%20Letters%20and%20Withdrawal%20as%20Counsel%20and%20Executors.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

or had the Sheriff do so and instead he let them walk out the door with no repercussion and Orders to shield and protect them instead of fry them.

The parties involved in the original Frauds on the Court, the Cover Up Frauds and the Ongoing Frauds on the Court and Frauds on the Beneficiaries and others that are Court Officers, Court Appointed Officers and Court Appointed Fiduciaries and Guardians complained of in this complaint, include but are not limited to, all of the following:

LIST OF ACCUSED PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Judges (Excluding Federal Patent Case and the Most Honorable Judge Shira Scheindlin):

1. Martin Colin – Recused one day after denying a Petition for his disqualification for charges of Fraud on the Court and Fraud by the Court. Then Post Recusal improperly steered cases to Judge Howard Coates, who Sua Sponte recused at the first hearing and ultimately the cases were transferred improperly to Judge Phillips in order to continue to cover up the Fraud on the Court, fraud by Colin's court and fraud by the fiduciaries and attorneys involved who Colin had protected prior.
2. Judge David E. French – Was the Judge for the Simon Bernstein Estate who improperly transferred his case to Judge Colin without proper hearings by both judges. Judge French is also the Judge in the Huhem family case where a case involving the transfer of the body was held.
3. Judge Howard Coates – Improperly accepted cases on transfer from Colin, did not disclose that he was a former partner at Proskauer Rose who is a Counter Defendant in the Estate and Trust cases he took over as presiding judge on. In an initial hearing he hid the fact that he had anything to do with me and my father Simon's technology companies and Intellectual Properties and denied any involvement in the Iviewit companies, where in fact he was a billing partner for the account. At the first hearing based on Alan Rose's objections to his continuing to handle the case Judge Coates Sua Sponte recused himself, after he had already obtained highly confidential court records of Judge Colin.
4. Judge John Phillips – May have brain damage from a bicycle accident or this is an excuse for his over the top and outside the color of law criminal actions since taking

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

over the cases from Judge Coates and Judge Colin to shut down my due process rights and those of my children and cover up the crimes of the court Officers and Court Appointed Officers and Fiduciaries. Phillips almost immediately removed my standing in my civil cases that I had for over three years, had Predatory Guardianships placed on two of my minor children and one adult child, denied me of being a beneficiary despite dispositive documents that clearly name me as a beneficiary and had estate and trusts documents validated by Robert Spallina, Esq. who admitted on the record and under oath in Phillips court during a validity trial that he had fraudulently created trust documents, his law firm had filed fraudulent documents with the court in the cases, he had closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein Fraudulently, he had mailed fraudulent documents to Eliot's minor children's counsel and is under an SEC Consent and pled guilty to a criminal count. Yet, Judge Phillips despite hearing these confessions of felony criminal misconduct that Spallina even claimed on the record that in certain crimes he had not notified authorities of committing them yet. This all while under oath in a December 15, 2015 hearing as a witness to the validity of the documents and Phillips even after learning of these newly revealed crimes ruled in favor of Spallina's testimony. Judge Phillips has failed to report these criminal admissions of a Court Appointed Officer and Fiduciary to the proper authorities and instead has only retaliated harder on my family and this dereliction of duties constitutes Misprision of Felony, Aiding and Abetting and Obstruction of Justice and more by now Phillips ("The Cleaner" or "The Fixer.")

Judge Phillips was made aware that the cases were transferred improperly by Colin to his court by attorney at law Peter Feaman in the first hearing before him. While stating that what Feaman claimed was an improper transfer, Phillips correctly referred to it as "Judge Shopping" and yet again he ignored the fact that Colin had steered the case improperly Post recusal. Phillips then barked at Feaman as the record reflects to take it up with the 4th DCA on appeal. However, Phillips at that point became cognizant of the "Judge Shopping" by Colin, constituting an improper transfer that further Obstructed Justice in the matters. Colin steered the case to The Fixer Phillips, with a pit stop to a highly conflicted Coates to give highly confidential court records to a party adverse to my family and then on to a favorable judge to Colin, Phillips, who in fact professed his "love" on the record for Colin repeatedly in the first hearing he held stating he would not review anything Colin had done prior. At the point Phillips learned of the misconduct of Colin from Feaman (A Florida Bar Licensed Practitioner) regarding the improper transfer, Phillips had legal obligations to report the misconduct of another judge to the appropriate authorities, including the IG, as required by Judicial Canon, the Florida Court

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Statewide Fraud policy and law, despite whether or not Feaman or anyone else appealed the order or reported the misconduct. From the September 15, 2015 hearing;

Page 3

8 THE COURT: We're here on the Simon

9 Bernstein case; is that right?

10 MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes, Judge.

11 THE COURT: This ended up in this division

12 of the Court because of a recusal from somebody

13 else in another division of the Court, right?

14 MR. FEAMAN: That raises an interesting

15 point. Peter Feaman on behalf of William

16 Stansbury, a creditor of the estate. I was

17 late coming in. Mr. O'Connell is late. All

18 the attorneys and the litigants are either in

19 West Palm or south. I respectfully don't

20 understand how we ended up here in the north

21 branch. Should we set it back to the main

22 branch?

23 THE COURT: No. That would be judge

24 shopping. When somebody recuses themselves

25 then it's randomly reassigned. I was verifying

Page 4

1 this isn't a case that started out with me.

2 It's a case that started out with somebody

3 else.

4 MR. FEAMAN: Judge Colin, actually,

5 specifically said in his recusal order north

6 branch, which I didn't understand.

7 THE COURT: That's what the 4th DCA is

8 for. I'm not here to question some other

9 judge's order. You won't have me saying he was

10 wrong. I'm not the appellate judge. If

11 somebody made a mistake and you all think

12 there's relief that should be granted to

13 correct his mistake that's what the 4th is for.

14 Please have a seat.

15 We're here because somebody else is not

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

16 the judge in the case anymore and I am, right?

17 MR. FEAMAN: Right.

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150915%20Judge%20Phillips%20Hearing%20-%20Estate%20of%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf>

Feaman then notified chief Judge Jeffrey Colbath who apparently also did nothing to rectify the Judge Shopping improper transfer that Obstructed Justice by Colin's Post Recusal interference and steering and so the frauds continue in the court of John Phillips today. See,

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160217%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Chief%20Judge%20Jeffrey%20Colbath.pdf>

Neither Phillips nor Colbath appear to have taken any steps under Judicial Canons and Attorney Conduct Codes or the Florida Court Statewide Fraud Policy to report the misconduct of another Judge and their court appointed Officer/Lawyers in the cases. This failure of Judges and Attorneys at Law involved in these matters to report misconduct of their brethren as required is a systemic failure throughout the court system and in this case the failures to report are rampant and this explains how the crimes have been unregulated and unprosecuted. The failure to report can also be construed criminally versus ethically and considered Aiding and Abetting and Misprision of Felony.

Code of Judicial Conduct - Canon 3 - A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently

D. Disciplinary Responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that another judge has committed a violation of this Code shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar shall take appropriate action.

Finally, in a recent filing by Feaman on September 23, 2016, "RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO RATIFY AND CONFIRM APPOINTMENT OF TED S. BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST⁵,” he again tries to notify the Court of Phillips of the misconduct of Ted Bernstein and his attorney Alan B. Rose, Esq., which presumably will be denied on some ground by Phillips and yet again, Phillips will be cognizant of the very serious reasons Feaman presents to the court and will fail to take corrective actions instead attempting to bury the claims and deny Feaman standing to remove Ted and further protect Ted and Alan Rose as Colin did.

5. 4th DCA Judges - These judges have information and evidence that Officers of the Court of Florida have admitted and committed Fraud and Fraud on the Court and have failed to follow their Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes, the Florida Statewide Court Fraud Policy and law and whereby such failure to report has Aided and Abetted the crimes. The 4th DCA ignores the very real Fraud of Officer of the Court and further retaliates through further Abuse of Process in efforts to affirm the actions of the lower court without first resolving the Fraud On, In and By the lower court officers and court appointed Officers, Fiduciaries and Guardians. In fact, allowing parties involved in the proven Frauds to continue to make representations in the matters and perverse the cases further. After contacting the clerk of the court to determine which Judges have been signing Orders that only have a clerk signature, I was advised to file a Motion to request the judge or judges on any panel that have participated in these matters and will file such motion shortly to gather their names for inclusion in this complaint with your office. Further, I have contacted several clerks to determine what the policy for Fraud on the Court is and how to report it and was advised each time that there was no official fraud policy and the only thing I could do was to bring the matters up with the judge in the case who was being accused of the fraud. Not one person directed us to the Florida Statewide Court Fraud Policy or directed us to criminal authorities to investigate.
6. Judge Richard Oftedal - In the Stansbury case failed to disclose Conflicts of Interest with litigant William Stansbury and made rulings while in conflict. When the conflict was disclosed by Peter Feaman he recused Sua Sponte, however he did not void his orders gained while acting in conflict.
7. Retired Judge Ronald Alvarez - Acted as a mediator at two mediations and threatened Eliot Bernstein in the first one and in the second one he ignored issues brought forth of

⁵ September 23, 2016 Feaman Opposition to Ted Bernstein as Successor Trustee
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160923%20Feaman%20Stansbury%20Response%20In%20Opp%20to%20Motion%20to%20Ratify%20and%20Confirm%20Appt%20of%20Ted%20Bernstein%20as%20Successor%20Trustee.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Ted and Alan Rose's misconduct and conflicts again stated by attorney at law Peter Feaman.

8. Former Judge Diana Lewis, acting as Predatory Guardian on two minor children and one adult. Diana Lewis has acted in violation of law and assumed a guardianship on an adult without any due process or procedure and despite being made aware of this has continued forward with disregard to the law or ward. Further, attorney at law Peter Feaman sent Judge Lewis a detailed letter explaining the misconduct of Ted and his lawyer Alan Rose and as of yet she has done nothing to report this misconduct and simply has Aided and Abetted the fraud she is now cognizant of.

B. Lawyers, Fiduciaries (Excluding Federal RICO & ANTITRUST Cases) and other parties involved in the criminal acts alleged herein.

1. Donald Tescher, Esq. – Tescher & Spallina PA (Under Consent with SEC for Insider Trading) Law Firm forged documents and fraudulently notarized documents to court and others. Tescher, along with Spallina, claimed to be the Co-Trustees and Co- Personal Representatives of the Estate and Trust of Simon Bernstein, in a trust document that is flawed in notarization and was done allegedly weeks before Simon died. Tescher and Ted Bernstein were business associates prior to Ted's introduction of Tescher and Spallina to the Bernstein family and Tescher was the lead attorney on the account when it was first gained through Tescher's former law firm Tescher Gutter Chaves Josepher Rubin Ruffin Forman Pa which was the initial law firm Simon and Shirley Bernstein contracted with.
2. Robert Spallina, Esq. - Tescher & Spallina PA (Under Consent with SEC for Insider Trading pled guilty to Criminal Misconduct in separate case, in this case Law Firm Tescher & Spallina forged documents and fraudulently notarized documents to court and others, Admitted Fraudulently Creating Post Mortem Trust for Shirley Bernstein and sent to counsel for minor children, Admitted Closing Estate of Shirley Bernstein using identity of Deceased Personal Representative/Executor Simon Bernstein, Admitted Mail Fraud in 12/15/15 Hearing Before Judge John Phillips and more.
3. Alan Rose, Esq. - Mrachek, Fitzgerald, Rose, Konopka, Thomas & Weiss, P.A. - Alan Rose, Esq. was the replacement to Ted's counsel as alleged PR and Trustee for Shirley's estate and trust Spallina and Tescher. Whereby with the resignation of his counsel Tescher and Spallina for having committed multiple frauds that directly benefitted Ted Bernstein and harmed other beneficiaries Ted should also have abdicated his fiduciary

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

duties due to the conflicts and his involvement in the frauds of the Shirley Bernstein Estate and Trust, which his attorneys committed acting on Ted's behalf as PR and Trustee. Upon their resignation for their frauds, Tescher appointed Ted as his successor despite clear language in the document that precludes Ted.

4. Steven Lessne, Esq. - GrayRobinson, P.A. & Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. - Steven Lessne was brought in by Oppenheimer Trust company to replace Ted's other resigned counsel Mark Manceri, Esq. regarding the Oppenheimer Trusts and represent the company BFR that my three children own. Lessne originally claimed he was representing my family to us and took our confidential information regarding our legal strategies, which included going after Tescher and Spallina for directing Oppenheimer to use trust funds inappropriately that they were to replace after using them and never did, where Spallina and Tescher had nothing to do with the trusts or their use but demanded Oppenheimer use the funds and Oppenheimer followed their orders. Once the funds were depleted Oppenheimer contacted Tescher and Spallina to replenish the funds and they refused as they were already under investigation in the Moran affairs and this was done to further harm my family and attempt to extort us into accepting their fraudulent transfers and other frauds. Oppenheimer then claimed they were closing the trusts and resigning as Manager of BFR, a position they gained illegally and in violation of the BFR operating agreement, again at the direction of Tescher and Spallina and where turning the fiduciary roles and assets over to me. I protested their sudden abdication of fiduciary duties and then without warning or notice they transferred highly confidential account information to Ted, Tescher and Spallina claiming that Ted was now the Manager of BFR and accepted the role. Ted began a series of acts to extort my family through his control of BFR which paid all bills for my family and suddenly power, alarms, cable and water were being turned off and where the bills were sent to Ted leaving us with no ability to control our expenses or have utilities, insurance, etc. paid. Later Ted and Oppenheimer would claim that Ted never accepted the position and left no one handling BFR or the expenses leaving us without basic needs and utilities with scienter and trying to extort my family to either accept their fraudulent acts or be further financially damaged. When Lessne was questioned on who he actually represented after taking our confidential legal information he admitted that he was not representing our family in the BFR and Trusts but was in fact representing Oppenheimer as manager, this confession came after he knew that we were planning on suing Oppenheimer for their breaches of fiduciary duties.
5. John Pankauski, Esq. - Pankauski Law Firm PLLC – Resigned citing Irreconcilable Differences with Ted Bernstein

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

6. Mark Manceri, Esq. - Mark R. Manceri, P.A. – Resigned as Ted counsel after Colin told him he had enough information at the first hearing to read him his Miranda's.
7. Jon Swergold, Esq. – Greenberg Traurig – Resigned as Ted counsel in the Stansbury litigation due to conflicts of interest with Ted.
8. Albert Gortz, Esq. - Proskauer Rose, a Will was submitted in the Simon Bernstein estate case for yet unknown reasons from 2001 done by Proskauer Rose law firm.
9. Brian O'Connell, Esq. - Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell (Boose partner convicted went to prison) – Replaced Curator Benjamin Brown who were both referred into the matters by Peter Feaman, Esq. counsel to the creditor. O'Connell despite pleading to the court that Ted was not a "Valid" Trustee under the Simon Trust and was made aware from Feaman of the misconduct of Ted and Alan Rose, instead of reporting the misconduct began working with Ted and Alan to deplete estate and trust assets through further fraudulent schemes and fraudulent billing schemes. In fact, most of O'Connell's outrageous bills are from working with Ted and Alan to help them evade criminal prosecution while knowing Ted is not "Valid." Peter Feaman has repeatedly made O'Connell aware of the misconduct of Ted and Alan Rose and this has been ignored. O'Connell is also aware that a conflict with his firm's partner Jerald Beer and me exists, as I have several ongoing complaints against Mr. Beer and O'Connell refuses to acknowledge the conflict and cure it through resignation.
10. Joielle Foglietta, Esq. - Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell – Assistant to O'Connell who lied numerous times in court statements and continues to work with Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose, Esq. regarding Simon Bernstein Trust matters despite making claims that Ted Bernstein is not a validly serving Trustee and continues to bill the estate of Simon for these inappropriate interactions.
11. Jerald Beer, Esq. - Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell (Boose partner convicted went to prison.) Jerald Beer, Esq. was a member of the Florida Bar and acting on their behalf in complaints that were filed against attorneys involved in the IP theft, O'Connell has been made aware of the conflict and refuses to address the matters with parties and the court.
12. Benjamin Brown, Esq. - Matwiczuk & Brown, LLP – Curator hired to replace Tescher and Spallina when they resigned due to fraud who died unexpectedly days after

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

notifying me that he had finally received tax returns for my parents, which to date remain missing from the records transferred to O'Connell and the Ciklin firm.

13. John Morrissey, Esq. - John P. Morrissey, P.A. – Ted and Pamela Simon alleged children counsel. It is believed that Ted has hired this counsel to represent his adult children but in fact Morrissey appears from court records to have represented against the children's interests in favor of Ted in the Illinois Federal Court regarding the Heritage Insurance Policy and in other matters.
14. Adam Simon, Esq. - The Simon Law Firm – Ted Bernstein counsel Illinois Federal Insurance Case. Brother to Pamela Simon's husband David B. Simon. Filed Federal Lawsuit on behalf of trust and trustee Ted, where it is now learned he has never seen or possessed a true copy of such trust he sued parties on behalf of making the case one of false process.
15. David Simon, Esq. - The Simon Law Firm– Ted Bernstein counsel Illinois Federal Insurance Case. Married to Pamela Simon and counsel to his brother-in-law Ted Bernstein. David represents the matter in conflict as he and his wife intended to collect 1/5th of the insurance proceeds in the Federal Lawsuit whereas if the money flows into the Simon estate or to Simon beneficiaries, Ted and Pam and their children would be wholly excluded.
16. John Stamos, Esq. - Stamos & Trucco LLP – Represents O'Connell and Estate of Simon in Federal Illinois Insurance action and was introduced through Peter Feaman, Esq. partner Jeffrey T. Royer, Esq.
17. Kevin Horan, Esq. - Stamos & Trucco LLP – Represents O'Connell and Estate of Simon in Federal Illinois Insurance action. and was introduced through Peter Feaman, Esq. partner Jeffrey T. Royer, Esq.
18. Ted Bernstein – Alleged Fiduciary in Shirley Bernstein Estate and Trusts and Simon Bernstein Trust. Ted's counsel as fiduciary Tescher & Spallina PA, who also acted as Simon's Estate and Trust co-fiduciaries were involved in fraud, forgery and more already proven in these matters. When Tescher and Spallina resigned amidst the fraud charges, Ted then subsequently retained teams of lawyers to defend him against multiple charges that he was directly involved in the crimes of his attorneys and benefited directly from their crimes.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

19. Kimberly Moran, Legal Assistant Notary Public - Convicted for fraudulent notarization, admitted to six forged documents for six separate parties including Post Mortem Simon Bernstein. Filed fraudulently notarized and forged documents with the 15th Judicial on behalf of employer Tescher & Spallina PA on behalf of client Ted Bernstein in the Shirley Bernstein estate.
20. Lindsay Baxley - Executed improper notarizations for Will and Amended Trust of Simon Bernstein days before he died. Governor office notary division confirms improper notarizations.
21. Gerald R. Lewin, CPA - Lewin is directly and centrally involved in both the IP theft case and the estate and trust cases and has submitted unsigned tax returns for Simon and Shirley Bernstein while concealing signed ones and other pertinent data relating to the IP and the holdings of Simon and Shirley Bernstein.

While it took several months to appear before the court of Judge Martin Colin initially due to repeated dismissals of the initial May 2013 petition on minor Pro Se filing technicalities by Colin, when it finally did get heard one year to the date after my father's death on September 13, 2013, Judge Colin concluded during the initial hearing that he had enough evidence to read Donald Tescher, Robert Spallina, Ted Bernstein and Mark Manceri all their Miranda rights for two separate and distinct frauds on the court and fraud on the beneficiaries that were proven and admitted to by Spallina and Manceri that day that they all took part in. From that hearing transcript:

“8 As a result of his passing, and in attempt
9 to reopen the estate we're looking to have the
10 estate reopened. So nobody has letters right
11 now, Judge. The estate was closed.
12 THE COURT: So you agree that in Shirley's
13 estate it was closed January of this year,
14 there was an order of discharge, I see that.
15 Is that true?
16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know.
17 THE COURT: Do you know that that's true?
18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, I believe.
19 THE COURT: So final disposition and the

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

20 order got entered that Simon, your father --

21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: -- he came to court and said I

23 want to be discharged, my wife's estate is

24 closed and fully administered.

25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. I think it

00025

1 happened after --

2 THE COURT: No, I'm looking at it.

3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What date did that

4 happen?

5 THE COURT: January 3, 2013.

6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He was dead.

Page 14

7 MR. MANCERI: That's when the order was

8 signed, yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: He filed it, physically came

10 to court.

11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh.

12 THE COURT: So let me see when he actually

13 filed it and signed the paperwork. November.

14 What date did your dad die?

15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: September. It's

16 hard to get through. He does a lot of things

17 when he's dead.

18 THE COURT: I have all of these waivers by

19 Simon in November. He tells me Simon was dead

20 at the time.

21 MR. MANCERI: Simon was dead at the time,

22 your Honor. The waivers that you're talking

23 about are waivers from the beneficiaries, I

24 believe.

25 THE COURT: No, it's waivers of

00026

1 accountings.

2 MR. MANCERI: Right, by the beneficiaries.

3 THE COURT: Discharge waiver of service of

4 discharge by Simon, Simon asked that he not

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

5 have to serve the petition for discharge.

6 MR. MANCERI: Right, that was in his

7 petition. When was the petition served?

8 THE COURT: November 21st.

9 MR. SPALLINA: Yeah, it was after his date

10 of death.

11 THE COURT: Well, how could that happen

12 legally? How could Simon --

13 MR. MANCERI: Who signed that?

14 THE COURT: -- ask to close and not serve

15 a petition after he's dead?

16 MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, what happened

17 was is the documents were submitted with the

18 waivers originally, and this goes to

19 Mr. Bernstein's fraud allegation. As you know,

20 your Honor, you have a rule that you have to

21 have your waivers notarized. And the original

22 waivers that were submitted were not notarized,

23 so they were kicked back by the clerk. They

24 were then notarized by a staff person from

25 Tescher and Spallina admittedly in error. They

00027

Page 15

1 should not have been notarized in the absentia

2 of the people who purportedly signed them. And

3 I'll give you the names of the other siblings,

4 that would be Pamela, Lisa, Jill, and Ted

5 Bernstein.

6 THE COURT: So let me tell you because I'm

7 going to stop all of you folks because I think

8 you need to be read your Miranda warnings.

9 MR. MANCERI: I need to be read my Miranda

10 warnings?

11 THE COURT: Everyone of you might have to

12 be.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

[This is the first crime admitted to involving 6 forged and fraudulent waivers, including one forged and fraudulently notarized by Simon post mortem]

13 MR. MANCERI: Okay.

14 THE COURT: Because I'm looking at a
15 formal document filed here April 9, 2012,
16 signed by Simon Bernstein, a signature for him.

17 MR. MANCERI: April 9th, right.

18 THE COURT: April 9th, signed by him, and
19 notarized on that same date by Kimberly. It's
20 a waiver and it's not filed with The Court
21 until November 19th, so the filing of it, and
22 it says to The Court on November 19th, the
23 undersigned, Simon Bernstein, does this, this,
24 and this. Signed and notarized on April 9,
25 2012. The notary said that she witnessed Simon
00028

1 sign it then, and then for some reason it's not
2 filed with The Court until after his date of
3 death with no notice that he was dead at the
4 time that this was filed.

5 MR. MANCERI: Okay.

6 THE COURT: All right, so stop, that's
7 enough to give you Miranda warnings. Not you
8 personally --

[This is the second crime referring to a Petition for Discharge - Full Waiver signed April 09, 2012]

9 MR. MANCERI: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Are you involved? Just tell
11 me yes or no.

12 MR. SPALLINA: I'm sorry?

13 THE COURT: Are you involved in the
14 transaction?

15 MR. SPALLINA: I was involved as the
16 lawyer for the estate, yes. It did not come to
17 my attention until Kimberly Moran came to me

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

18 after she received a letter from the Governor's
19 Office stating that they were investigating
20 some fraudulent signatures on some waivers that
21 were signed in connection with the closing of
Page 16
22 the estate.

Spallina claims his only involvement in Sept 2013 is with learning of the Moran forged and fraudulent waivers. However, it is now known that Spallina stated to Palm Beach Sheriff Deputies in January 2014 and on the record in a hearing before Judge Phillips on 12/10/15 that in January of 2013 he forged and fraudulently created a Shirley Trust document. Spallina sent it via mail to parties counsel as part of fraud to change beneficiaries and in efforts to provide legitimacy to illegally converted assets made already to improper parties.

On the November Petition for Discharge Spallina also attests under cross examination in the Phillips hearing that he signed and Simon signed under penalty of perjury to knowingly false statements in the document and filed it with the court. Spallina's signature attesting to Simon's statements, including Simon allegedly falsely stating in the Petition in April of 2012 that he, Simon, had all his children's waivers in his possession and where none had even been sent out at that time and some were not returned until after his death, There are multiple fraudulent statements in the Petition.

Further from the hearing on Sept. 13, 2013;

23 THE COURT: What about the fact, counsel,
24 let me see who signed this. Okay, they're all
25 the same as to -- so let me ask this, I have a
00029

1 document where Eliot, you're Eliot, right?

2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: Where you purportedly waived
4 accounting, agreed to a petition to discharge
5 on May 15th, and you signed that. Do you
6 remember doing that? Do you remember that or
7 not? I'm looking at it.

8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I remember signing
9 it and sending it with a disclaimer that I was
10 signing it because my father was under duress

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

11 and only to relieve this stress that he was

12 being --

13 THE COURT: Well, I don't care -- I'm not

14 asking you why you signed it.

15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I also signed it

16 with the expressed -- when I signed it I was

17 coned by Mr. Spallina that he was going to send

18 me all the documents of the estate to review.

19 I would have never lied on this form when I

20 signed it. It's saying that I saw and I never

21 saw --

22 THE COURT: Let me ask you --

23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I lied.

24 THE COURT: Did you have your signature

25 notarized?

00030

1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No.

2 THE COURT: Kimberly Moran never signed or

3 notarized his signature?

4 MR. MANCERI: Yes, your Honor, and that's

5 been addressed with the Governor's office.

[Governor Rick Scott]

6 THE COURT: You need to address this with

7 me.

8 MR. MANCERI: I am going to address it

9 with you.

10 THE COURT: Here's what I don't understand

11 because this is part of the problem here, is

12 that Shirley has an estate that's being

13 administered by Simon.

14 MR. MANCERI: Correct.

15 THE COURT: There comes a time where they

Page 17

16 think it's time to close out the estate.

17 MR. MANCERI: Correct.

18 THE COURT: Waivers are sent out, that's

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

19 kind of SOP, and people sign off on that.

20 MR. MANCERI: Right.

21 THE COURT: And why are they held up for
22 six months, and when they're filed it's after
23 Simon is already deceased?

24 MR. MANCERI: They were originally filed
25 away, your Honor, under the signature of the
00031

1 people.

2 THE COURT: No, they weren't filed, that's
3 the whole thing. I'm looking at the file date,
4 filed with The Court.

5 MR. MANCERI: No, they were returned by
6 the clerk because they didn't have
7 notarization. We have affidavits from all
8 those people, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Well you may have that they
10 got sent up here.

11 MR. MANCERI: We have affidavits from all
12 of those people.

13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Including Simon?

14 THE COURT: Slow down. You know how we
15 know something is filed? We see a stamp.

16 MR. MANCERI: It's on the docket sheet, I
17 understand.

18 THE COURT: So it's stamped in as filed in
19 November. The clerk doesn't have -- now, they
20 may have rejected it because it wasn't
21 notarized, and that's perhaps what happened,
22 but if in the meantime waiting cured the
23 deficiency of the document, two things happen
24 you're telling me, one, Simon dies.

25 MR. MANCERI: Correct.
00032

1 THE COURT: And when those documents are
2 filed with the clerk eventually in November
3 they're filed and one of the documents says, I,
4 Simon, in the present.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

5 MR. MANCERI: Of Ms. Moran.

6 THE COURT: No, not physically present, I
7 Simon, I would read this in November Simon
8 saying I waive -- I ask that I not have to have
9 an accounting and **I want to discharge**, that
Page 18

10 request is being made in November.

11 MR. MANCERI: Okay.

12 THE COURT: He's dead.

13 MR. MANCERI: I agree, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Who filed that document?

15 MR. MANCERI: Robert, do you know who
16 filed that document in your office?

17 MR. SPALLINA: I would assume Kimberly
18 did.

19 MR. MANCERI: Ms. Moran.

20 THE COURT: Who is she?

21 MR. MANCERI: She's a staff person at
22 Tescher and Spallina.

23 THE COURT: When she filed these, and one
24 would think when she filed these the person who
25 purports to be the requesting party is at least
00033

1 alive.

2 MR. MANCERI: Understood, Judge.

3 THE COURT: Not alive. So, well -- we're
4 going to come back to the notary problem in a
5 second.

6 MR. MANCERI: Okay.

7 THE COURT: In the meantime, based upon
8 all that I discharge the estate, it's closed.
9 Here's what I don't understand on your
10 side, you're representing yourself, but the
11 rules still apply. You then file, Eliot
12 Bernstein, emergency petitions in this closed
13 estate, it's closed.

14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You reopened it.

15 THE COURT: When did I reopen it?

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

16 MR. MANCERI: No, it hasn't been reopened,
17 your Honor.

18 THE COURT: There's an order that I
19 entered in May of 2013 denying an emergency
20 petition to freeze assets. You filed this one
21 in May. Do you remember doing that?

22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe so.

23 THE COURT: And what you said was there's
24 an emergency in May, you want to freeze the
25 estate assets appointing you PR, investigate
00034

1 the fraud documents, and do a whole host of
2 other things, and the estate had been closed.

3 The reason why it was denied among other
Page 19

4 things, one, it may not have been an emergency,
5 but, two, the case was not reopened. There's
6 no reopen order.

7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I paid \$50 to
8 someone.

9 THE COURT: You may have paid to file what
10 you filed, but there's no order reopening the
11 estate.

12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, that's my
13 mistake.

14 THE COURT: It's closed, the PR is
15 discharged, they all went home.

16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I filed to
17 reopen because we discovered the fraudulent
18 documents.

19 THE COURT: But then you still had to ask
20 to reopen --

21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And notice, your
22 Honor, that they haven't come to you in all of
23 that time, he said he just got notified from
24 the governor the other day about this fraud, I
25 put it in your court and served him months ago
00035

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1 and he never came to me or you or anybody else
2 to know that the police are calling him, the
3 sheriff and the governor's Office.
4 THE COURT: Then you filed another
5 emergency similarly, served you folks, Tescher
6 and Spallina. I denied it because it wasn't an
7 emergency because nothing was happening I
8 thought had to happen on the day or two after.”⁶

These admissions by counsel of fraud were just for two of the many crimes alleged both in the civil pleadings and in formal verified and signed criminal complaints with state and federal agencies. At this juncture after the first hearing with Judge Colin and identifying that fraud had occurred, Judge Colin had legal obligations both under Judicial Canons and law to report the misconduct (felony crimes) of his court appointed officers and fiduciaries Ted, Spallina and Tescher and notify agencies and parties within the court system of the frauds, including but not limited to, your office of the Inspector General, the Judicial Qualification Commission, the Florida Bar, the Chief Judge and also notify state criminal authorities of the felony fraudulent acts, which constituted criminal statute violations by the parties involved. As can be seen at the initial hearing in September where Colin had already received Prima Facie evidence of fraud on the Court in my May 2013 filing that included a Post Mortem notarization of a deceased party on documents posited with the Court, Colin stated in the hearing that he found nothing of an emergency and so denied the petition as an Emergency and allowed four months to pass until the first hearing in September. In fact, Colin spent the first half of the September hearing berating Eliot Bernstein, instead of coring into the fraud and where Fraud on the Court and fraud on beneficiaries by officers and fiduciaries of his court did not appear an emergency to Colin is ludicrous to say the least as it aided and abetted the criminals giving them time to further perpetrate their frauds,

⁶ September 13, 2013 Hearing Colin

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20Emergency%20Hearing%20Colin%20Spallina%20Tescher%20Ted%20Manceri.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

It is at this point, when Colin becomes cognizant of crimes in his court on documents he signed and took part in that made him a material and fact witness and possible suspect, where the frauds on the court and frauds by the court begin to compound instead of properly being reported and investigated and handled by parties that were non-conflicted and not involved in the frauds, and new crimes were undertaken in an elaborate attempt to cover up the crimes and further commit new frauds to rob my family's inheritance by Judge Colin all under the cloak of the court. Colin, instead of reporting anyone, allowed them to continue in the court as Officers of the Court and fiduciaries to the detriment of my family for the three years he remained in the case and ALL OF HIS ACTIONS WERE OUTSIDE THE COLOR OF LAW. It should be noted that Judge Colin claims publicly to have been mentored by Judge Labarga⁷ when he was at the 15th Judicial (presumably during the time of my IP case) and this may be the key to uncovering Colin's path of continued fraud in and by his court and court appointed officials against my family in efforts to shut down my whistleblowing efforts and criminal cases against the court officers instead of doing what was required of him by Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes, Probate Rules and Statutes, the Florida Court Fraud Policy and state and federal law.

Judge Colin and certain court employees also became material and fact witnesses at this point to the frauds on the court and frauds on the beneficiaries, as they would now be subject to deposition and more regarding their actions in the fraud to determine any inside involvement and thus under clear and established Judicial Canons, Judge Colin should have mandatorily disqualified himself, voided any orders issued and turned the matter over to a non-conflicted party to adjudicate the matters and fairly review the crimes committed in his court by his court appointed officers (Tescher, Spallina and Manceri) and the fiduciaries (Tescher, Spallina and Ted Bernstein.) Certainly, Colin could not investigate himself, his court appointed officers (attorneys and fiduciaries) and his own employees without emitting an egregious appearance of

⁷ December 24, 2006 "Judicial Profiles - Judge Martin Colin" by Irwin Gilbert, Palm Beach County Bar Association.

<http://www.palmbeachbar.org/judicial-profiles/judge-martin-colin/>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

impropriety and ignoring the conflicts of interest and adverse interests his continuing in the matters created.

Florida Judicial Canons

Canon 3 - A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently

E. Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:

(d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

(iv) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding;

Further, Fraud on the Court is a cause for vacating orders,

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by Officers of the Court so that the judicial machinery cannot perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In Bulloch v. United States, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding? "Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

From the Florida Bar regarding Fraud on the Court⁸:

Courts throughout this state have repeatedly held "that a party who has been guilty of fraud or misconduct in the prosecution or defense of a civil proceeding should not be permitted to continue to employ the very institution it has subverted to achieve her ends." Metropolitan Dade County v. Martinsen, 736 So. 2d 794, 795 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999) (quoting Hanono v. Murphy, 723 So. 2d 892, 895 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998)); see also Cox v. Burke, 706 So. 2d 43, 47 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998); O'Vahey v. Miller, 644 So. 2d 550, 551 (Fla. 3d DCA 1994); Kornblum v. Schneider, 609 So. 2d 138, 139 (Fla. 4th DCA 1992).

From other courts regarding Fraud on the Court:

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistrieri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually

⁸ "Fraud on the Court as a Basis for Dismissal with Prejudice or Default: An Old Remedy Has New Teeth" by John T. Kolinski, *The Florida Bar Journal* February, 2004 Volume LXXVIII, No. 2 http://www.floridabar.org/DIVCOM/JN/JNJournal01.nsf/c0d731e03de9828d852574580042ae7a/7ee566f4f7305b2085256e24006a34b6!OpenDocument&Highlight=0,disability*

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

Each and every action of Judge Martin Colin forward once he failed to disqualify himself as a material and fact witness in the case became a new act of fraud, every hearing and every order issued were void and part of false and fraudulent legal process as they were gained by Colin acting knowingly and with scienter outside the color of law. Every pleading by parties involved in these frauds who were not instantly removed from the cases in all capacities are void and should have been stricken and the parties involved in the frauds should have been immediately removed from the matters by the Court and reported to proper authorities, which they were not and have not been reported as of this day by Court Officers and Court Appointed Officers. All parties involved in the frauds should have had their records seized and preserved (including the courts), all assets of the estates and trusts in the court's custody should have been seized and protected by a successor judge who was not involved in any way with the parties or frauds and who was further screened for conflicts. This failure to disqualify and report and regulate the fraud has led to the last four years of continued and ongoing frauds on the court by the court Officers, Court Appointed Officers, Fiduciaries and Guardians in the matters and new criminal acts being committed through abuse of legal process to steal assets of the estates and trusts through complex legal frauds.

Therefore, every hearing and pleading henceforth from the discovery of the fraud and failure to follow procedures for fraud was a sham and an abuse of process to obstruct justice. Had proper fraud protocols and state laws been followed all parties involved in the fraudulent activity would have been removed and investigated, the case files of the court and all parties

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

secured. All of the assets in the custody of the State of the Florida held through the probate court would have been seized and transferred to the new non-conflicted parties in the matters to adjudicate fairly over. Your offices would certainly have been engaged by Colin, Coates and Phillips regarding the fraud by Officers of the Court and Court Appointed Officers and worked alongside criminal authorities in the regulation and prosecution of those involved and in efforts to protect the victims of the court crimes, the true and proper beneficiaries. But nothing like that happened in fact a complete dereliction of duties took place. This fraud involving court appointed Officers of the Court and court appointed Fiduciaries, submitting fraudulent papers to the court should have instantly landed in the Inspector General's office for investigation as required by the Statewide Fraud Policy at that time and yet here I am today reporting this to your offices for the first time, when all the Officers of the Court should have been coming forth with this information of the crimes they were aware of and obligated to report for the last four years. Had we not discovered the Statewide Fraud Policy of the Florida Supreme Court no one would have noticed your offices and when we asked various clerks of the courts for the fraud policy and procedures we were repeatedly advised there was no official policy. The only party, who has made repeated efforts to inform the courts of the misconduct of the attorneys and judges, is Peter Feaman, Esq., who will be discussed further herein.

Instead, four years have elapsed wherein the court of Judge Colin and his improperly gleaned successors judges continue to work to not only cover up the crimes proven, admitted and alleged in these matters by Court Appointed Officers and Fiduciaries but further work to continue to commit crimes through legal process abuses that are sanctioned with the court's blessing in efforts to rob the estates and trusts' assets while protecting the criminals and retaliating against the victims with the muscle of the court, all acts outside the color of law. So began a long series of retaliatory attacks on my family and the creditor in the case, William Stansbury, using the court as a weapon against the victims of the crimes caused by court officials. These crimes in Colin's court were allowed to continue by the very parties directly involved in the frauds, members of the Florida Bar and Officers of the Court who should have been removed as Colin had enough in the first hearing to remove them instantly when he claimed

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

to have enough evidence to read them their Miranda rights, a veiled threat to the perpetrators, as Colin has no authority to read anyone their Miranda rights and would to have had a Sheriff of PD officer read them. Spallina and Tescher were allowed to continue in the case for months after admitting fraud and so enabled to file slanderous and defamatory pleadings and hold retaliatory sham hearings and use the court to harass and extort me and my family, until Spallina and Tescher had to be removed after confessing to crimes to the Palm Beach County Sheriff for crimes Spallina committed nine months before the initial hearing in Sept. 2013 and failed to disclose until January 2014. Spallina in fact misled the court in Sept/Oct 2013 when he claimed on the record in hearings regarding the frauds on the court to know of no other frauds other than his legal assistant and notary publics six forgeries and six fraudulent notarizations on documents submitted to the court in these matters by his firm, yet at that time he knew he had personally forged and fraudulently altered my mother's trust and sent it to my children's counsel.

The retaliation by the Florida courts is in efforts to shut down my exposing of the initial frauds and the successive and ongoing frauds that include many sham hearings and pleadings (by those who committed the frauds) for contempt and jail against me (the victim of the crimes) and many threats by Judge Colin and Judge Phillips of jail and more in these sham hearings to extort me to try and consent and stop exposing them publicly and to authorities. At any juncture we exposed the frauds or protested the courts mishandling and collusion and attempted to have the court follow its own rules, the Florida Statewide Court Fraud Policy, Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and state and FEDERAL laws, we were further harmed, extorted and retaliated upon using the court as the weapon against us through continued and ongoing abuse after abuse of process. This failure of the Court Officers and Court Appointed Officers and Fiduciaries to follow rules was also witnessed by attorney at law Peter Feaman who throughout the proceedings has notified the tribunal of misconduct in the cases but similarly he too was wholly ignored and shut down by both Colin and Phillips.

Judge Colin went so far as to contact the Palm Beach County Sheriff detectives investigating criminal complaints that I filed against Officers of the Court, Fiduciaries and others

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

involved in the fraud and alleging that Colin might be directly involved in the frauds, aiding and abetting them through his court and then attempting to cover them up in efforts to derail the complaints. Colin interfered with the criminal complaints after PBSO made an arrest for one of the crimes Colin learned of in the September 13, 2013 hearing, involving six forged and fraudulently notarized documents, for six separate parties allegedly done by a one Kimberly Moran, legal assistant and notary public for the law firm of Tescher & Spallina, PA, including Post Mortem forgeries of my father's signature and forgery of my name and my four siblings. Moran pled guilty but her sworn statements to the Governor's notary public office and sworn statements to PBSO contradicted each other in her claims of how and why she committed the crimes, this perjury as yet still uninvestigated and ignored by the Court and PBSO. Colin's direct interference with PBSO investigations at that time led the investigations to be prematurely shut down, as detectives claimed to my wife and I that Judge Colin's chambers stated he would be handling the criminal complaints and the investigations against the Officers of the Court involved, yet how could he fairly and impartially investigate himself, his court appointed officers and fiduciaries and his employees.

When confronted with this sudden shuttering of my criminal complaints that included many other criminal acts that were committed and crimes which were being committed in the court and were not investigated by PBSO yet, I was forced to petition Internal Affairs and Captain Carol Gregg of the Palm Beach County Sheriff's office to have the cases all reopened and the other crimes investigated. The cases were then reopened once it was determined that Judge Colin had no jurisdiction to conduct criminal investigations or prosecute them as a probate judge or interfere with them at all and that Judge Colin was in fact a possible suspect who would be conflicted in handling any aspects of investigations involving himself, his court staff involved and his court appointed attorney at law Officers of the Court and Fiduciaries or any other party. Colin's only move on the chessboard when fraud upon the court was discovered directly involving members of his court and himself, was to mandatorily disqualify as a material and fact witness and follow all state, federal and ethical obligations regarding reporting the fraud and

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

reporting the lawyers involved as required and NOT INTERFERING IN CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS.

Robert Spallina also claimed to the court and PBSO that at that time in September/October of 2013 that he did not know of any other crimes committed by his law offices. Only later in January of 2014 would we learn that Robert Spallina and Donald Tescher's law firm had committed another fraud by sending via US Mail and Wire, a fraudulent and forged trust document for my mother to my minor children's counsel Christine C. Yates of Tripp Scott law firm that Spallina alleged to have created solely to , in efforts to fraudulently change the beneficiaries by altering language of the trust to include improper beneficial parties, including Tescher and Spallina's legal client, close personal friend and business associate, Ted Bernstein, who had contracted and retained them to represent him as an alleged fiduciary in the estate and trust of Shirley Bernstein. Ted's family through this scheme would receive with his sister Pam's family %40 of the Shirley Trust but where both were disinherited and considered predeceased when the trust became irrevocable upon Shirley's death in Dec. 2010. Ted made distributions to his sister Pam's family and his own, despite clear language in the Shirley Trust that also stated Ted was considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions of the trust

Once the cases were reopened by PBSO, Spallina was again called in to PBSO and this time admitted to committing another fraud in creating a forged and fraudulent Shirley Trust document that he concealed from the court and beneficiaries until January 2014 and as of today, this crime has still not been prosecuted. Yet, Spallina, who has now pled guilty to criminal misconduct in a signed SEC consent order⁹ for insider trading in another matter, was recently in

⁹ September 28, 2015 SEC Press Release Regarding SPALLINA and TESCHER INSIDER TRADING CHARGES, "SEC Charges Five With Insider Trading, Including Two Attorneys and an Accountant" <http://www.sec.gov/news/pressrelease/2015-213.html>

AND

September 28, 2015 SEC Government Complaint filed against TESCHER and SPALLINA @ <http://www.sec.gov/litigation/complaints/2015/comp-pr2015-213.pdf>

AND

October 01, 2015 SEC Consent Orders Felony Insider Trading SPALLINA signed September 16, 2015 and TESCHER signed June 15, 2014

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/2015%20Spallina%20and%20Tescher%20SE>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

court on December 15, 2015 testifying to the validity of the estate and trust documents he created and those he fraudulently altered for Simon and Shirley Bernstein before Judge John Phillips. Amazingly, Spallina was brought in by Ted and his counsel Alan Rose to testify on their behalf and where Spallina was Ted's counsel who committed the frauds that directly benefited their client Ted that forced he and his partner's resignations as fiduciaries and counsel in all Bernstein related matters. Spallina was as the only witness to testify in proving the authenticity of the estate and trust documents (COPIES ONLY AS THE ORIGINALS ARE MISSING, SUPPRESSED OR DENIED), including testifying about the validity of the Shirley Trust that he admitted on the record that he fraudulently altered and mailed to various parties with language that clearly benefitted his client Ted to the disadvantage of other beneficiaries. Phillips allowed this testimony, ignoring the fact that Spallina admitted new crimes he had not disclosed to authorities yet and other frauds and in fact as the record reflects protected him and shielded him when I was cross examining him so as to continuously steer the hearing away from the frauds and disclosure on the record of information regarding them. Phillips was more concerned with threatening my wife with contempt multiple times for absolutely no reason other than to harass and intimidate her.

In that sham validity hearing on December 15, 2015¹⁰, under cross examination by me acting Pro Se, Spallina admitted to new crimes he claimed not to have told any authority regarding prior to the hearing while under oath. Judge Phillips ignored such confession of felony criminal acts, including Federal Mail and Wire frauds and more and has since failed to follow the Statewide Court Fraud Policy, Judicial Canons and state and federal laws that mandate his reporting of Spallina's admitted criminal misconduct and in so failing has aided and abetted and committed Misprision of Felony in covering up the felony crimes instead. Willfully and maliciously Phillips began furthering the pattern and practice of retaliation and extortion started

[C%20Settlement%20Consent%20Orders%20Insider%20Trading.pdf](#)

February 24, 2016 Motion for Injunction Federal Court Judge John Robert Blakey

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160224%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20MOTION%20FOR%20INJUNCTION%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY%20COMBINED%20FILING.pdf>

¹⁰ December 15, 2015 Validity Hearing Judge John Phillips

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20151215%20Hearing%20Transcript%20Phillips%20Validity%20Hearing.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

by Colin, further misusing the court to effectuate such acts, including removing my standing, removing me as a beneficiary from estates and trusts where I am clearly a beneficiary according to all documents tendered at this time and having improperly scheduled Guardian Ad Litem hearings in violation of statute to gain predatory guardianships over my two minor children and one adult child to wholly shut down my civil rights and access to the courts. All of these acts outside the color of law in efforts to silence my whistleblowing efforts to expose the continuing and ongoing frauds on the court, on the beneficiaries and interested parties by court officials and court appointed Officers of the Court and Fiduciaries.

From a recent Federal Court filing on February 24, 2016 before Hon. Judge John Robert Blakey¹¹ exemplifying the continuing frauds and the continuing dereliction of duties by court officers and court appointed officer despite crimes being admitted and SEC consent orders being violated;

*****Note: Footnotes referenced in this citation are at the end of the citation*****

**SPALLINA ADMITS NEW STATE AND FEDERAL
CRIMES AT A “VALIDITY HEARING” BEFORE JUDGE
PHILLIPS INCLUDING NEW ADMISSIONS OF FRAUD
ON THE COURT AND MORE AND VIOLATES A
CONSENT ORDER HE IS UNDER WITH THE SEC**

1. On or about September 28, 2015, the SEC out of Washington, DC publicly announced Insider Trading and related charges in a separate action against Florida attorneys and Third-Party Defendants herein SPALLINA and TESCHER.
2. That SPALLINA pled guilty of criminal misconduct and the SEC Consent signed by SPALLINA states,

“2. Defendant has agreed to plead guilty to criminal conduct relating to certain matters alleged in the

¹¹ February 24, 2016 Emergency Motion Injunction

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160224%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20Blakey%20I%20Notice%20of%20Emergency%20Motion%20Injunction%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

complaint in this action and acknowledges that his conduct violated the federal securities laws. Specifically, Defendant has agreed to plead guilty to a one count information which charges him with committing securities fraud involving insider trading in the securities of Pharmasset, Inc. in a matter to be filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, (the “Criminal Action”).”

3. Yet, in a December 15, 2015 hearing under sworn oath as a witness in a Validity Hearing before Judge PHILLIPS, SPALLINA stated the following from the hearing transcript:

Page 93 Lines 14-22^[1];

14 · · · · · THE COURT: · You can answer the question, which
15 · · · · · is, did you plead to a felony?

16 · · · · · MR. BERNSTEIN: · Sorry, sir.

17 · · · · · THE WITNESS: · I have not.

18 · · · · · THE COURT: · Okay. · Next question.

19 · BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

20 · · · · Q · Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor?

21 · · · · A · I have not.

22 · · · · Q · Were you involved in a insider trading case?

23 · · · · · MR. ROSE: · Objection. · Relevance.

24 · · · · · THE COURT: · Sustained. · Next question.

4. Further, in the SEC Consent signed by SPALLINA reads,

“12. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the term of 17 C.P.R. f 202,S(e). which provides in part that it is the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." As part of Defendant's agreement to comply with the terms of Section 202.5(e), Defendant acknowledges that he has agreed to plead

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

guilty for related conduct as described in paragraph 2 above, and: (i) will not take any action or make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; (ii) will not make or permit to be made any public statement to the effect that Defendant does not admit the allegations of the complaint, or that this Consent contains no admission of the allegations; (iii) upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint; and (iv) stipulates for purposes of exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §523, that the allegations in the complaint are true...”

5. SPALLINA further states under sworn testimony at the Validity Hearing regarding the trust documents he created being valid admits to fraudulently altering a Shirley Trust Document and sending to Attorney at Law Christine Yates, Esq. representing the minor children of Eliot via the mail,

Page 95 Lines 14-25 and Page 96 Line 1-19,

14 · · · · Q · Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with
15 · the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the
16 · Bernstein matters?

17 · · · · · MR. ROSE: · Objection. · Relevance.

18 · · · · · THE COURT: · Overruled.

19 · · · · · You can answer that.

20 · · · · · THE WITNESS: · Yes, I have.

21 · BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

22 · · · · Q · And did you state to them that you
23 · fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then
24 · sent it through the mail to Christine Yates?

25 · · · · A · Yes, I did.

·1 · · · · Q · Have you been charged with that by the Palm

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2· Beach County Sheriff yet?

3· ··· A· No, I have not.

4· ··· Q· Okay. How many times were you interviewed by
5· the Palm Beach County Sheriff?

6· ··· MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.

7· ··· THE COURT: Sustained.

8· BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

9· ··· Q· Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to
10· Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's
11· minor children?

12· ··· MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.

13· ··· THE COURT: Overruled.

14· ··· THE WITNESS: Yes.

15· BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

16· ··· Q· And when did you acknowledge that to the
17· courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came
18· about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud?
19· ··· A· I don't know that I did do that.

6. Further, SPALLINA perjures himself in self contradiction when he tries to claim that his law firm did not mail Fraudulent documents to the court and commit further FRAUD ON THE COURT and then slips up and admits that they sent the fraudulent documents back to the court when he states;

10· BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

11· ··· Q· And what was she convicted for?

12· ··· A· She had notarized the waiver releases of
13· accounting that you and your siblings had previously
14· provided, and we filed those with the court.

15· ··· Q· We filed those with the court.

16· ··· Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents
17· to the court?

18· ··· A· No. We filed -- we filed your original
19· documents with the court that were not notarized, and
20· the court had sent them back.

21· ··· Q· And then what happened?

22· ··· A· And then Kimberly forged the signatures and

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

23· ·notarized those signatures and sent them back.

7. That not only does SPALLINA admit to Felony criminal acts that have not yet been investigated but admits that his office members are also involved in proven Fraudulent Creation of a Shirley Trust and where MORAN has already admitted six counts of forgery for six separate parties (including for a deceased Simon and one for Eliot) and fraudulent notarizations of such documents. Spallina states in the hearing:

Pages 102-103,

102

20· · · · · MR. BERNSTEIN:· Sure.

21· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

22· · · · Q· ·You've testified here about Kimberly Moran.

23· · · · · Can you describe your relationship with her?

24· · · · A· ·She's been our long-time assistant in the

25· ·office.

103

·1· · · · Q· ·Was she convicted of felony fraudulent

·2· ·notarization in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?

·3· · · · · MR. ROSE:· Objection.· Relevance.

·4· · · · · THE COURT:· Overruled.

·5· · · · · You're asking if she was convicted of a felony

·6· · · · with respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?

·7· · · · · You can answer the question.

·8· · · · · MR. BERNSTEIN:· Correct.

·9· · · · · THE WITNESS:· I believe she was.

8. SPALLINA then claims that it is standard practice for he and his clients to sign sworn Final Waivers under penalty of perjury with knowingly and irrefutably false statements. Then SPALLINA had a deceased Simon file that alleged sworn document with the Court as Personal Representative on a date after his death while acting as Personal Representative as part of a Fraud on the Court and Fraud on the Beneficiaries and Interested Parties. SPALLINA states in testimony as follows:

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Pages 108-110

17 · · · · Q · · Okay · Are you aware of an April 9th full
18 · waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you?
19 · · · · A · · Yeah · That was the waiver that he had signed.
20 · And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of
21 · you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the
22 · accountings.

23 · · · · Q · · Okay · And in that April 9th full waiver you
24 · used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that
25 · he has all the waivers from all of the parties?

·1 · · · · A · · He does · We sent out -- he signed that, and
·2 · we sent out the waivers to all of you.

·3 · · · · Q · · Okay · So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed,
·4 · with your presence, because your signature's on the
·5 · document, a document stating he had all the waivers in
·6 · his possession from all of his children.

·7 · · · · · Had you sent the waivers out yet as of
·8 · April 9th?

...

20 · BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

21 · · · · Q · · April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver
22 · of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of
23 · the signed waivers of all of the parties?

24 · · · · A · · Standard operating procedure, to have him
25 · sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids.

·..

·1 · · · · Q · · Was Simon in possession -- because it's a
·2 · sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of
·3 · these waivers of my children on today, April 9th,
·4 · correct, the day you two signed that?

·5 · · · · · Okay · So if you hadn't sent out the waivers
·6 · yet to the --

·7 · · · · A · · I'm not certain when the waivers were sent
·8 · out.

·9 · · · · Q · · Were they sent out after the --

10 · · · · A · · I did not send them out.

11 · · · · Q · · Okay · More importantly, when did you receive

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

12· ·those?· Was it before April 9th or on April 9th?

13· ··· A· ·We didn't receive the first one until May.

14· ·And it was your waiver that we received.

15· ··· Q· ·So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney,

16· ·to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of

17· ·all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til

18· ·May?

19· ····· MR. ROSE:· Objection· I think it's relevance

20· ··· and cumulative· He's already answered.

21· ····· THE COURT:· What's the relevance?

22· ····· MR. BERNSTEIN:· Oh, this is very relevant.

23· ····· THE COURT:· What is the relevance on the issue

24· ··· that I have to rule on today?

25· ····· MR. BERNSTEIN:· On the validity?· Well, it's

1· ··· relevant· If any of these documents are relevant,

·2· ··· this is important if it's a fraud.

·3· ····· THE COURT:· I'll sustain the objection.

·4· ····· MR. BERNSTEIN:· Okay· Can I -- okay.

·5· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

·6· ··· Q· ·When did you get -- did you get back prior to

·7· ·Simon's death all the waivers from all the children?

·8· ··· A· ·No, we did not.

·9· ··· Q· ·So in Simon's April 9th document where he

10· ·says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from

11· ·his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get

12· ·one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how

13· ·could that be a true statement?

14· ····· MR. ROSE:· Objection· Relevance· Cumulative.

15· ····· THE COURT:· Sustained.

9. SPALLINA also perjures himself under sworn oath at the hearing when testifying to the status of his Florida Bar license, which at this time he is listed as “ineligible^[2],” to practice law in the state of Florida, when he states in the December 15, 2015 hearing,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

7· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

·8· ··· Q· ·Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide

·9· ·some expert testimony, correct, on the --

10· ··· A· ·No, I was not.

11· ··· Q· ·Oh, okay. · You're just going based on your

12· ·doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley

13· ·Bernstein's attorney?

14· ··· A· ·Yes.

15· ··· Q· ·Okay. · Are you still an attorney today?

16· ··· A· ·I am not practicing.

17· ··· Q· ·Can you give us the circumstances regarding

18· ·that?

19· ··· A· ·I withdrew from my firm.

Pages 120-121

19· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

20· ··· Q· ·Did you -- are you a member of the Florida

21· ·Bar?

22· ··· A· ·Yes, I am.

23· ··· Q· ·Currently?

24· ··· A· ·Yes, I am.

25· ··· Q· ·Okay. · You said before you surrendered your

·1· ·license.

·2· ··· A· ·I said I withdrew from my firm. · It wasn't

·3· ·that I was not practicing.

10. Spallina further perjures his testimony when asked if the Fraudulent Shirley Trust he created by Post Mortem fraudulently altering a Shirley Amendment and disseminated through the mail attempted to change the beneficiaries of the Shirley Trust and he answered no. Yet, the following analysis shows different;

22· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

23· ··· Q· ·Did the fraudulently altered document change

24· ·the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust?

25· ··· A· ·They did not.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

11. Now comparing the language in the two documents the Court can see that this statement is wholly untrue. From the alleged Shirley Trust document,

“Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for purposes of the dispositions made under this Trust, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN ("TED") and PAMELA B. SIMON ("PAM"), **and their respective lineal descendants** shall be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, however, if my children, ELIOT BERNSTEIN, JILL IANTONI and LISA S. FRIEDSTEIN, and their lineal descendants all predecease the survivor of my spouse and me, then TED and PAM, and their respective lineal descendants shall not be deemed to have predeceased me and shall be eligible beneficiaries for purposes of the dispositions made hereunder.”^[3]

12. Then the language from the fraudulent amendment states;

2. I hereby amend the last sentence of Paragraph E. of Article III. to read as follows:

"Notwithstanding the foregoing, as my spouse and I have adequately provided for them during our lifetimes, for purposes of the dispositions made under this Trust, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN ("TED") and PAMELA B. SIMON ("PAM '), shall be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, however, if my children, ELIOT BERNSTEIN, JILL IANTONI and LISA S. FRIEDSTEIN, and their respective lineal descendants all predecease the survivor of my spouse and me, then TED and PAM shall not be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

spouse and me and shall become eligible beneficiaries for purposes of the dispositions made hereunder. [4],,

13. Clearly the fraudulent amendment attempts to remove from the predeceased language TED and PAMELA's lineal descendants from being excluded by removing them from the original trust language through a fraudulent amendment as being considered predeceased and thus change the beneficiaries of the Shirley Trust and this perjury changed the outcome of the validity hearing adding cause for a rehearing and voiding the Order that resulted, which was already void and of no effect since Judge Phillips should have already voluntarily mandatorily disqualified himself from the proceedings prior to holding hearings.

14. That in relation to this very case before the Federal Court in SPALLINA's testimony under oath at the Validity Hearing SPALLINA states,

Pages 154-55

20· BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

21· Q· You referenced an insurance policy earlier,
22· life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is
23· that correct?

24· A· Yes.

25· Q· And was that part of the estate plans?

1· A· We never did any planning with that. That was
2· an insurance policy that your father had taken out
3· 30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for
4· that. That was not a part of any of the planning that
5· we did for him.

6· Q· Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf
7· of that policy?

8· MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.

9· THE COURT: Sustained.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

15. This statement of SPALLINA's that he had nothing to do with the "planning with that" makes his actions in the insurance matters before this Court questionable, as if he had nothing to do with the planning of the policy and the lost and missing trust involved in this action alleged to be the beneficiary, how in the world did Spallina file an insurance death benefit claim^[5] for the policy benefits acting and signing as the claimant on the policy, in the fiduciary capacity of "Trustee" of the 1995 Missing, Lost or Suppressed Trust and acting as the Policy Beneficiary, which appears now to be part of the alleged Insurance Fraud, Mail and Wire Fraud alleged in Petitioner's pleadings that is now further supported by his perjurious statement in the Florida court denying any involvement.

16. The Court should note that while SPALLINA was filing a death benefit claim as Trustee for the lost and missing trust he claims to have had no involvement with, while he was simultaneously claiming to Eliot that a Florida Probate Court order^[6] would be necessary to determine who the trustee, beneficiaries, etc. of a lost and missing trust would be^[7], he was secretly and in conspire with others filing claims for the Policy and when that failed filing this Lawsuit, without notifying Eliot or the Creditor or the Probate Court of this action and failing to including Eliot as part of the legal action, all as part of a complex insurance fraud against Eliot and Beneficiaries of the Estate and the Creditor of the Estate, STANSBURY, and attempting to have the insurance money deposited to his law firm's trust account acting as the Beneficiary of the Policy he claims to have nothing to do with, acting as Trustee of the lost trust he claims to have never seen and impersonating himself as the Primary Beneficiary of the Policy, as Trustee of the LaSalle National Trust NA, of which he is none of.

17. That the fraudulent claim filed by SPALLINA is what led to this Federal Lawsuit being filed as a breach of contract lawsuit for HERITAGE failing to pay the claim to SPALLINA until he

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

could prove the trust and that he was Trustee, of the trust he claims in court under sworn testimony to have had NOTHING to do with.

18. That the Court must question where Judge PHILLIPS was during the hearing where confessions to new crimes of Fraud on the Court, Mail Fraud, Fraud on the Beneficiaries (and Eliot's minor children's counsel, Christine Yates of Tripp Scott law firm) and more are being admitted to on the record by an Officer of the Court SPALLINA, a former Co-Trustee and Co-Personal Representative along with his partner in the crime and the ringleader another former Co-Trustee and Co-Personal Representative, TESCHER who also is under an SEC Consent Order for Insider Trading and one look at the transcript will find Judge PHILLIPS "doodling" (Page 138 Line 1) during the hearing and more interested in threatening Candice Bernstein with contempt of court repeatedly, even removing her from the defense table and sending her to the audience section and yet failing to force SPALLINA to show cause regarding the crimes he committed and admitted to the court, in fact sustaining Eliot from probing these serious felony admissions including Fraud on the Court and Beneficiaries in the validity matters SPALLINA was testifying about and where SPALLINA's felonies were far more serious in nature than Candice's alleged contempt for asking ROSE in the hearing to turn an exhibit for all to see and handing Eliot a document (Page 24 Lines 12-23 and Page 127 Lines 3-7).

19. Further, the Court must question and call to account for what Judge PHILLIPS did after learning of these crimes of the star witness of the "validity" hearing, some admitted by SPALLINA to have not been investigated or reported by him at the time and thus ripe for prosecution and now having pleadings which show the perjured statements in violation of his SEC Consent Order, did he take control to find out how and who the fraudulent documents were posited in the Court as part of newly admitted FRAUDS ON THE COURT and has Judge PHILLIPS contacted the SEC to report the violation of SPALLINA's consent order or did he contact and report the crimes of Fraud on the Court to the IG of the Court or the Chief Judge or did he contact the Federal Bureau of

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Investigations regarding the admitted mail fraud or did he have his bailiff, a member of the Palm Beach County Sheriff deputies arrest SPALLINA on the spot?

20. Judge PHILLIPS appears to have done nothing but take SPALLINA's sole testimony to the validity of the documents (some which SPALLINA admitted in the hearing he and others had fraudulently created) and in a bizarre ruling that defies logic and appears outside the color of law, then ruled that the documents were valid with no other parties present to confirm the perjurious Felon's testimony whose Hands are Unclean, credibility shattered and one certainly must ask why the Trustee TED did not call ANY of the other witnesses or multiple notaries and instead choose SPALLINA his business associate and TED's counsel as ALLEGED PR and Trustee who admitted to PBSO that he committed fraud that altered documents to benefit TED's family, which had been wholly considered PREDECEASED prior to the fraud in Shirley Trust. TED filed for the validity hearing after his counsel committed fraud to benefit him and his only witness is his counsel that has committed fraud and TED in his own words stated under sworn oath at the Validity hearing,

Page 206-210

25. . . . Q. . Okay. . Ted, you were made aware of Robert

1. . Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of

2. . your mother's when?

3. . . . A. . I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14.

4. . . . Q. . Okay. . And when you found out, you were the

5. . fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly?

6. . . . A. . I'm not sure I understand the question.

7. . . . Q. . When you found out that there was a fraudulent

8. . altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the

9. . fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust?

10. . . . A. . I was trustee, yes. . I am trustee, yes.

11. . . . Q. . And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and

12. . their law firm are the one who committed that fraud,

13. . correct, who altered that document?

14. . . . A. . That's what's been admitted to by them,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

15· correct.

16· ··· Q· ·Okay· So you became aware that your counsel

17· that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud,

18· correct?

19· ··· A· ·Correct.

20· ··· Q· ·What did you do immediately after that?

21· ··· A· ·The same day that I found out, I contacted

22· counsel· I met with counsel on that very day· I met

23· with counsel the next day· I met with counsel the day

24· after that.

25· ··· Q· ·Which counsel?

·1· ··· A· ·Alan Rose.

...

P 209-210

24· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

25· ··· Q· ·Have you seen the original will and trust of

·1· your mother's?

·2· ··· A· ·Can you define original for me?

·3· ··· Q· ·The original.

·4· ··· A· ·The one that's filed in the court?

·5· ··· Q· ·Original will or the trust.

·6· ··· A· ·I've seen copies of the trusts.

·7· ··· Q· ·Have you done anything to have any of the

·8· documents authenticated since learning that your

·9· attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive

10· documents that you were in custody of?

11· ··· ·MR. ROSE:· Objection· Relevance.

12· ··· ·THE COURT:· Overruled.

13· ··· ·THE WITNESS:· I have not.

14· ·BY MR. BERNSTEIN:

15· ··· Q· ·So you as the trustee have taken no steps to

16· validate these documents; is that correct?

17· ··· A· ·Correct.

21. TED further shows he is an incompetent Trustee at his validity hearing where he admits having not seen the original documents, not bringing any of them to the hearing to prove them valid and that he did "NOTHING" to validate them and did not

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

even have them forensically analyzed or request the originals back from his former disgraced counsel after their admission of fraudulent created trusts and forged documents posited into the court record in his mother's estate and elsewhere and the admitted fraudulent use of his deceased father by his former counsel to commit fraud upon the court, fraud upon the beneficiaries and close his deceased mother's estate (despite a COURT ORDER for TESCHER and SPALLINA to turn over "ALL" RECORDS) .

22. The formal Complaint filed by the SEC contains breaches of fiduciary duties by SPALLINA and TESCHER that are almost identical to the claims Eliot has made in the Florida Probate Courts of Palm Beach County since at least on or about May of 2013[8] and[9]and[10]and[11].

Multiple requests for Discovery from TED in the Florida Probate Courts have been made including by short term counsel Brendan Pratt, Esq.[12] but no voluntary compliance by TED has occurred and no voluntary Discovery by TED produced.

FOOTNOTES FOR CITATION ABOVE

[¹] December 15, 2015 PHILLIPS VALIDITY HEARING TRANSCRIPT
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20151215%20Hearing%20Transcript%20Phillips%20Validity%20Hearing.pdf>

[²] Florida Bar Robert Spallina Ineligible to Practice Law
https://www.floridabar.org/wps/portal/flbar/home/attysearch/mprofile!/ut/p/a1/jc_LDoIwEAXQT-pthRaWo6mkRazxgdCNYUWaKLowfr_42LioOrtJzs3cYZ41zA_dLfTdNZyH7vjYvTxACM3dBrawxEHIOI3ZqgSEHEE7girnXJMMNktoDIOr2qgtF7RM_8sjMoRf-T3zn8RJNQO5BXKtp0AxeYNIRTj-HTx_eJ2II7ycdg2C6e8_WXgh/dl5/d5/L2dBISEvZ0FBIS9nQSEh/?flag=Y&mid=497381

[³] Shirley Trust Page 7
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/Shirley%20Trust%20plus%20fraudulent%20amendment%202.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

[⁴] Spallina Fraudulent Shirley Trust Page 30

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/Shirley%20Trust%20plus%20fraudulent%20amendment%202.pdf>

[⁵] Spallina Fraudulent Insurance Claim Form He Signs as Beneficiary of the Policy as Trustee of a Trust and Policy he has claimed he had nothing to do with, which is DECLINED by Heritage - See Page 05

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20121101%20Heritage%20Claim%20Form%20Spallina%20Insurance%20Fraud.pdf>, Spallina also represents in the correspondences to the carrier that he is Trustee of LaSalle National Trust, NA, which he is not but that is because LaSalle is the Primary Beneficiary.

[⁶] January 22, 2013 SPALLINA Letter Re Insurance

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130122%20Ted%20Letter%20and%20Spallina%20Letter%20re%20Insurance.pdf>

[⁷] TESCHER & SPALLINA Prepared Settlement Regarding Insurance Policy

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/EXHIBIT%205%20-%2020130205%20Eliot%20Letter%20to%20Spallina%20et%20al%20Regarding%20Analysis%20of%20SAMR.pdf>

[⁸] September 28, 2015 SEC Press Release Regarding SPALLINA and TESCHER INSIDER TRADING CHARGES, “SEC Charges Five With Insider Trading, Including Two Attorneys and an Accountant”

<http://www.sec.gov/news/pressrelease/2015-213.html>

[⁹] September 28, 2015 SEC Government Complaint filed against TESCHER and SPALLINA @

<http://www.sec.gov/litigation/complaints/2015/comp-pr2015-213.pdf>

[¹⁰] October 01, 2015 SEC Consent Orders Felony Insider Trading

SPALLINA signed September 16, 2015 and TESCHER signed June 15, 2014

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/2015%20Spallina%20and%20Tesch%20SEC%20Settlement%20Consent%20Orders%20Insider%20Trading.pdf>

[¹¹] May 06, 2013 Bernstein Emergency Petition Florida Probate

Simon and Shirley Estate Cases @

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130506%2>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

[0FINAL%20SIGNED%20Petition%20Freeze%20Estates%20Orgi
nal%20Large.pdf](#)

[¹² November 01, 2013 Production Request Ted Bernstein

[http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131101%20ELIOT%20BERNSTEINS%20FIRST%20REQUEST%20FOR%20PRODUCTION%20OF%20DOCUMENTS%20AND%20TINGS%20PROPOUNDED%20ON%20TED%20S%20%20BERNSTEIN.pdf](#)

Again, Judge Phillips, despite direct admissions of fraud in the dispositive documents by Spallina, the only witness called other than Ted his client, further apprised at the validity hearing that all original documents were missing and never seen by the fiduciary Ted operating under them and that Spallina had not disclosed certain crimes to authorities until his sworn statements before Phillips, still moved swiftly to validate the dispositive testamentary documents and wholly ignore his obligations to report Spallina to the proper state, federal and ethical agencies. Phillips instead, from a validity only hearing, then issued an order that dealt with construction issues, beneficiary issues and Ted's involvement in the fraud, none of which were part of the validity hearing that Phillips limited to a validity hearing only. All pre-trial procedures and trial procedures were not followed for this hearing further disabling my due process rights and my children were denied time to have their retained attorney, Candice Schwager, Esq. brought in Pro Hac Vice and thus they were unrepresented by counsel or parents and two were minors and one adult (there was no guardian for the three children at that time.) Phillips claimed that the request for stay at the trial to give my minor children's counsel time to join the case was untimely in opposite of Florida Statutes which allows for a stay for counsel even at the hearing.

Phillips, as with Colin, has refused to mandatorily disqualify himself despite a number of reasons that call for his disqualification, as is further evidenced in motions¹² I filed for his disqualification, which he too dismissed as legally insufficient.

¹² December 28, 2015 Motion for Mandatory Disqualification of Judge John L. Phillips
[http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20151228%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20NOTARIZED%20Second%20Disqualification%20of%20Judge%20Phillips%20after%20Validity%20Hearing%20on%20December%202015,%202015%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf](#)

and

December 31, 2015 Motion for New Trial

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

In the prior three years of Colin's reign of criminal terror starting with his failure to mandatorily disqualify himself once becoming a material and fact witness and further denying several attempts by me to disqualify him, new and emboldened schemes and artifices to defraud my family occurred in the court, including the fact that ALL ORIGINAL records of my parents are now missing, suppressed or destroyed, despite a court order to produce them upon the resignation and withdrawal of Tescher and Spallina as Co-Personal Representatives of the estate of my father, Co-Trustees of the alleged trust of my father, counsel to Ted Bernstein as alleged PR and Trustee of my mother's estate and trust, as counsel to themselves as fiduciaries and in all matters related to my family, after the admission by Spallina that he fraudulently created a trust for my mother and more. Therefore, NO original documents could be validated at trial as there are no originals to validate the alleged copies produced.

At the sham validity hearing on December 15, 2015 neither Ted, his counsel Rose or Spallina knew where the original wills and trusts were and Ted claimed never to have seen or possessed the Original documents he is operating under and yet, the copies were then authenticated without being able to compare the copies to any originals that at this time appear to be suppressed, denied or destroyed, with scienter by all fiduciaries past and present.

In light of the fact of known document fraud, document suppression or destruction, asset unaccountability in violation of statute, I am further seeking the IG to use all powers to now immediately secure the records and assets from all parties, including all court records from each judge and all records related to the matters in the possession of court appointed officers, fiduciaries and guardian, as called for under the Florida Court Statewide Fraud Policy, which states,

“In the course of investigating fraud, suspected fraud or other wrong-doing within the scope of this policy, the IG shall have free

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20151231%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20MOTION%20FOR%20NEW%20TRIAL%20STAY%20INJUNCTION%20PHILLIPS%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

and unrestricted access to all records and premises required to evaluate allegations. When investigating fraud, suspected fraud or other wrong-doing within the scope of this policy, the IG may inspect, examine, copy or remove SCS records and property without prior consent of any individual who may have custody of such items.”

Upon resignation and withdrawal of Tescher and Spallina, Colin allowed Tescher and Spallina’s tainted pleadings to stand and Ted Bernstein who they acted on behalf of and to the benefit of was allowed to stay in place as a fiduciary, despite the crimes being committed by his attorneys Tescher and Spallina acting on his behalf to deposit the fraudulent documents as counsel for him as the fiduciary. This failure to remove Ted allowed the frauds to continue and allowed Ted to maintain the cover up of the crimes of himself and his counsel and further suppress records and fail to account for assets. This failure then also allowed Ted to bring in new counsel also part of Ted’s entourage of lawyers who was present at the time of the fraudulent acts and working with Tescher and Spallina, attorney Alan B. Rose. Where to further seal the continuation of the frauds, Tescher and Spallina, as a final act before withdrawal for the frauds they committed as Ted’s counsel, transferred trusteeship of my father’s trust to Ted, their client that acted as the fiduciary whose name the fraudulent documents were posited with the court under when the frauds occurred and where Ted’s family is directly benefiting from the ongoing frauds to the detriment of other beneficiaries. The transfer of trusteeship in Simon is improper as the language of the trust specifically precludes family members from becoming successor trustee and where Ted is also considered predeceased for ALL PURPOSES of Simon Trust.

The real problem in this matter is not the changing of beneficiaries in the documents but the seizing of Dominion and Control of the Fiduciary and counsel positions by Tescher, Spallina, Ted and Rose that this blatantly improper transfer of trusteeship furthers. The fact is, once Tescher, Spallina and Ted seized the fiduciary roles, they took control of all records and prevented beneficiaries and interested parties the documents owed them under statute, they failed to properly account for any estate or trust assets (and still have not complied) in violation of

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

statute, created virtually no transparency to their actions and these failures allowed them to steal assets out the backdoor in a variety of frauds, thefts and other crimes. The seizing of Dominion and Control appears the true motive of the document forgeries and frauds, not the change of beneficiaries, for it is not relevant who the beneficiaries are if most of the assets are not accounted for and stolen and nothing is left for them in the end. In fact, Ted and his counsel Rose now claim (with no proper and statutorily required accountings filed detailing the assets from the time of Shirley and Simon's death forward) that there is very little money left and where parole evidence in the case shows well over \$20,000,000.00 shortly before Simon's death and that is from documents that they have produced. This claim of no money left of course is not substantiated by any proper accountings.

It should be further noted that Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased for ALL purposes of Dispositions in the Shirley Trust and ALL purposes of the Simon Trust by express language in the documents and thus was not and is not qualified to be a trustee making dispositions. The language in the trusts is as follows:

From Simon's alleged 2012 Trust alleged done days before his death,

“Notwithstanding the foregoing, for all purposes of this Trust and the dispositions made hereunder, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN, PAMELA B. SIMON, ELIOT BERNSTEIN, JILL IANTONI and LISA S. FRIEDSTEIN, shall be deemed to have predeceased me ...”

Ted is further precluded as successor trustee in the alleged 2012 simon amended and restated trust from additional trust language, “Article IV, Section C.(3) (Page 16) of the Revocable Trust states:
C. Appointment of Successor Trustee
3. . . . A successor Trustee appointed under this subparagraph shall not be a Related or Subordinate Party of the trust.
Under Article III, Subsection E(7), A "Related or Subordinate Party" is defined in the Trust as follows:
ARTICLE III. GENERAL
E. Definitions. In this Agreement,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

7. Related or Subordinate Party. A "Related or Subordinate Party" to a trust describes a beneficiary of the subject trust or a related or subordinate party to a beneficiary of the trust as the terms "related or subordinate party" are defined under Code Section 672(c). The "Code" is defined as "the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ... " A "Related or subordinate party" under the Code means any nonadverse party who is " ... (2) any one of the following: The Grantor's father, mother, issue, brother or sister ..."

TED is the son, or an "issue" of the Grantor, SIMON BERNSTEIN, and a related party (father) to alleged beneficiaries, TED's sons, SIMON's grandsons. Therefore, TED is ineligible as a Related or Subordinate Party and is therefore again unfit to serve as a successor trustee under §736.0706(2)(c).

From Shirley Bernstein's alleged Trust in 2008 the language is also clear;

“ARTICLE III. General

E. Definitions. In this Agreement,

Children, Lineal Descendants. The terms "child," "children" and "lineal descendant" mean only persons whose relationship to the ancestor designated is created entirely by or through (a) legitimate births occurring during the marriage of the joint biological parents to each other, (b) children and their lineal descendants arising from surrogate births and/or third party donors when (i) the child is raised from or near the time of birth by a married couple (other than a same sex married couple) through the pendency of such marriage, (ii) one of such couple is the designated ancestor, and (iii) to the best knowledge of the Trustee both members of such couple participated in the decision to have such child, and (c) lawful adoptions of minors under the age of twelve years. No such child or lineal descendant loses his or her status as such through adoption by another person. **Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for purposes of the dispositions made under this Trust, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN ("TED") and PAMELA B. SIMON ("PAM"), and their respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me...**”

Even if Ted was a named trustee his powers would be strictly limited by the language of the trusts, in Shirley's limited from any disposition of the trust and in Simon's trust he is limited

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

for all purposes of the trust. The fraud continues to this day with Alan Rose and Ted attempting to make multiple criminal acts, including improper distributions to improper parties and improper dispositions get approved by the court after the fact that they were done fraudulently in violation of the terms of the trusts. How can one make crimes legal after the fact? through sham hearings with sham orders attempting to make the past frauds appear legitimate and the court of both Colin and Phillips have been complicit to and party to these abuse of process schemes by holding knowingly sham hearings, with conflicted and adverse parties and granting orders that defy law. The hearings are fraught from start to finish with a total lack of procedural due process by these wholly conflicted parties acting outside the color of law and all in efforts to secure orders that defy law and make their crimes appear legitimate and to attempt to cover up their prior crimes. This despite the fact that all of these orders have all been gained through violation of state and federal laws and ethical Rules and Canons and are thus VOID.

I now seek whistleblower protections from the Inspector General office from the court officials, court appointed lawyers acting as Officers of the Court and the court appointed fiduciaries and guardians involved in these matters and where such protections are necessary to protect my family from further retaliation, including further fraud, theft, abuse of process, physical harms and threats of being imprisoned or found in contempt and where such whistleblower protections are outlined in the statewide fraud policy,

“The IG is also authorized to receive and investigate complaints filed pursuant to the Whistle-blower’s Act in section 112.3187-112.31895, Florida Statutes. The IG shall refer complaints involving judges, attorneys or other licensed or regulated individuals to the appropriate oversight or regulatory body for investigation and determination of probable cause.”

In exposing the crimes in my cases I was contacted by several parties throughout the state and several non-profit groups who are all experiencing similar fraud on their families through the Florida Family Court, Probate Court and Guardianship courts, who are complaining of very serious criminal activities being committed by the court officials and court appointed fiduciaries,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

counsel and guardians in their cases. Colin denied several disqualification motions¹³ in my cases as “legally insufficient” despite overwhelming cause for his Mandatory Disqualification, he finally denied the last one filed on May 18, 2015 and then the very next day instead issued a recusal order off the cases with absolutely no reason provided and not voiding his orders due to the fraud on and by the court alleged in the disqualifications. Shortly thereafter, the Palm Beach Post began a series, “Guardianship - A Broken Trust”¹⁴ by reporter John Pacenti and exposed

¹³ May 14, 2015 Motion to Disqualify Colin

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150514%20FINAL%20Motion%20for%20Disqualification%20Colin%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

May 18, 2015 Order Denying Colin Disqualification

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150518ORDERDenyingDisqualificationColin.pdf>

May 19, 2015 Order Colin Sua Sponte Recusal

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150519%20Colin%20Recusals%20Clerk%20Reasons.pdf>

¹⁴ Guardianship Series - Guardianship a Broken Trust <http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/guardianships-colin-savitt>

and Guardianship Probate Series Palm Beach Post Compiled PDF

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Pacenti%20Articles%20Compiled%20as%20of%20Feb%202002%202016L.pdf>

and Sun Sentinel re Colin and wife Savitt

<http://www.sun-sentinel.com/opinion/editorials/fl-editorial-guardianship-law-20160129-story.html#ifrndnlocgoogle>

and

January 14, 2016 “Judge’s finances show history of unpaid debt, IRS liens, foreclosures” By John Pacenti - Palm Beach Post Staff Writer

<http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/news/judges-finances-show-history-of-unpaid-debt-irs-li/np4rH/>

and

“Post challenges order calling reporter as witness in Colin case” CRIME & LAW By Holly Baltz - Palm Beach Post Staff Writer Posted: 6:19 p.m. Tuesday, Aug. 23, 2016

<http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/news/crime-law/post-challenges-order-calling-reporter-as-witness-nsK45/>

and

<http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/guardianships-docs/>

and

“Judge Colin appoints ex-law partner to case that nets \$500,000-plus” CRIME & LAW By John Pacenti - Palm Beach Post Staff Writer Posted: 7:00 a.m. Saturday, Aug. 20, 2016

<http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/news/crime-law/judge-colin-appoints-ex-law-partner-to-case-that-n/nsJbh/>

and

May 31, 2016 John Pacenti Interview on American Voice Radio with Dean Loren and Francis Steffan

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160531PacentiInterviewAmericanVoiceDeanLorenFrancisSteffan.MP3>

and

Attorney for Judge Colin’s son calls Palm Beach Post reporter to testify in hearing” Palm Beach Post, Holly Baltz, June 2, 2016

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Judge Colin and Judge French and others of running a racket on cases in the 15th Judicial, it is reported that once it was discovered that Colin and his wife, Elizabeth Betsy Savitt Colin were in a MASS of conflict of interests involving judge French that appear to constitute, including but not limited to, fraudulent billing schemes against litigants and theft of assets using the court as accomplice, Colin suddenly and without explanation recused himself off over 115 cases, not informing the litigants as to the real reason for his departure, similar to how he recused in my case. I have been working with the Palm Beach Post and other news outlets and national organizations involved in the exposing of family court crimes nationwide in guardianship and probate cases committed by judges, attorneys and guardians. With the recent flurry of exposure nationwide into these schemes and the recent retaliation of Phillips and Colin, I feel further at jeopardy for me and my family and in need of safeguards and oversight of the courts by your offices or other regulatory agencies you may involve. The IG office should look deeply into all of the cases Colin recused off after his secret conflicts with his wife and friend Judge French revealed and determine how many of these cases should be wholly reheard due to the conflicts of interest that were not addressed with his recusal.

I have filed several complaints with authorities both state and federal and have been joined by organizations that have several hundred to several thousand victims in Florida, all cases complaining of judicial and attorney corruption, including charges of Kidnapping, Murder, Sedation, Elder Abuse, Predatory Guardianships, home foreclosure frauds, property thefts (of assets under state custody of wards and litigants) and more, all as part of a well-oiled racketeering styled conspiracy to rob these victims of their properties through abuse of process schemes that deny due process and obstruct justice of litigants and victims and blocking them from getting any statewide relief through these Frauds on the Court and Frauds by the Court and its officers and appointees. A Criminal Cartel inside the Florida Court System is certainly worthy of your immediate attention and as far as I know, at this point in time, despite the

<http://palmbeachhealthbeat.blog.palmbeachpost.com/2016/05/27/judge-colins-attorney-calls-palm-beach-post-reporter-to-testify-in-hearing/>

and

“Judge failed to follow law when Post reporter called to testify” Wednesday, Sept. 14, 2016

<http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/news/judge-failed-to-follow-law-when-post-reporter-call/nsYL3>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

exposure from the press and victims nothing has been done to remove or even censure these judges who therefore feel further emboldened to misuse the courts with impunity. Estimates of the amount of damages of these crimes have caused to the citizens of Florida range in the hundreds of billions to trillions of dollars nationwide. From an ad in the Palm Beach Post ran by a national organization fighting Guardianship nationwide and run by Dr. Sam Sugar alongside the Post's Guardian series, the public outcry over these court orchestrated frauds is clear.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

FLORIDA RESIDENTS BEWARE!!!
YOU ARE IN DANGER OF BEING FINANCIALLY ATTACKED AND DEPRIVED OF ALL OF YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS BY FLORIDA GUARDIANSHIP / PROBATE JUDGES, ATTORNEYS AND THE "PROFESSIONAL" GUARDIANS THEY PROTECT IN ILLEGITIMATE, CORRUPT GUARDIANSHIP COURT PROCEEDINGS DESIGNED TO FINANCIALLY DEFRAUD YOU AND YOUR FAMILY ALL OF YOUR ASSETS AS THEY ISOLATE AND ABUSE YOUR LOVED ONES

*MARCO RUBIO, BILL NELSON
PAM BONDI, RICK SCOTT, PRESIDENT OBAMA, JAMES COMEY
WE HAVE DESPERATELY SOUGHT YOUR INTERVENTION
FOR YEARS TO SAVE AND PROTECT OUR FAMILIES
BUT YOU HAVE MISERABLY FAILED TO PROTECT US*

LORETTA LYNCH, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WE DEMAND THE IMMEDIATE APPOINTMENT OF FEDERAL MONITORS TO PROVIDE OVERSIGHT ON THESE FLORIDA COURTS TO HONESTLY INVESTIGATE AND ULTIMATELY PROSECUTE THE GUARDIANS, JUDGES AND ATTORNEYS WHO RUN THIS VILE RACKET

MR. DONALD TRUMP, AS A PALM BEACH RESIDENT, WE CHALLENGE YOUR PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN TO SHINE A NATIONAL SPOTLIGHT ON THIS ABJECT ABUSE OF U.S. CITIZENS AND FAMILIES

Florida is home to over 4.5 million retirees and baby boomers who seek a sunny place to spend their golden years. But, even as the flow of seniors in the "Silver Tsunami" increases, retiring to Florida as well as other "retirement States" has become a nightmare as the wealth accumulated by honest, law abiding, hard working Americans is being systematically extorted in thousands of fraudulent guardian and probate proceedings every year.

This devious scheme mercilessly deprives retirees of their assets inheritances and constitutional rights with racketeering lawyers, judges, guardians and complicit state agencies. Probate/Guardianship Courts all across the State are used to financially exploit, isolate and often physically abuse elderly adults with assets while family members who object and expose these crimes publically are viciously retaliated against and isolated from their loved ones. And there is no reason to expect that anything will change without Federal intervention.

Billions of dollars have been extorted from our loved ones in this inconceivable crime that is hidden in secrecy in the Courts using sequestered files, "Inside Clubhouse" Lawyers, massive billing fraud, persons taken into Guardianship with no due process and blatant unchecked conflicts of interest and unfit, complicit Judges.

Before another victim falls into the abyss of these court based predators, we urge you to learn more at <http://aaapg.net/the-guardianship-racket-in-florida/>
Political Advertisement paid for by the AAAPG

[http://digital.olivesoftware.com/Olive/ODE/PalmBeachPost/server/GetContent.asp?contentsrc=primitive&dochref=PBC%2F2016%2F04%2F04&entityid=Ad01500&pageno=15&chunkid=Ad01500&pagelabel=B5&repformat=1.0&primid=Ad0150004&imgext=png&type=Content&for=pr
imi](http://digital.olivesoftware.com/Olive/ODE/PalmBeachPost/server/GetContent.asp?contentsrc=primitive&dochref=PBC%2F2016%2F04%2F04&entityid=Ad01500&pageno=15&chunkid=Ad01500&pagelabel=B5&repformat=1.0&primid=Ad0150004&imgext=png&type=Content&for=pr
imi)

In attempting to have Colin's denial of my disqualification and voiding of his orders appealed, his recusal quashed and mandatory disqualification mandated with the voiding of his orders, as Colin himself denied the disqualification against him as legally insufficient, a term that

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

has no legal definition and is not based on a meritorious review and therefore his decision could not be made on the merits of the pleading as the rules of disqualification prohibit the judge from ruling on the merits, This ability for a judge to review and determine legal sufficiency of a disqualification exposed a massive loophole in the state's disqualification procedures that allowed Colin to deny the pleading and leave me with no recourse to have such decision on his own disqualification heard by either the Florida Supreme Court or the 4th DCA on the merits. In filing an All Writs petition with the Florida Supreme Court¹⁵, it was remanded to the 4th DCA with instruction that it did not need to be heard on the merits and I was further denied due process to hear the matter on the merits. The 4th DCA then denied it with no explanation as to their decision and apparently not on the merits, thus leaving Judge Colin to rule on his own disqualification and the higher courts evading due process of the charges therein on the merits, the disqualification technically never heard. Here again is evidence of how the fraud in the court is covered and concealed by the appeal courts in Florida and the victims are further disabled due process rights through obstruction after obstruction and cover up after cover up, all the way to the top of the judicial process in Florida and where Judges can rule on their own disqualifications after reviewing the victims complaints, leaves the victim returning to an angry judge who then prejudices the case further against them.

Colin finally Sua Sponte recused after three years but then improperly, POST RECUSAL, interfered with the transfer of the cases and improperly steered the cases to Judge, Howard Coates, who was a former partner in the law firm Proskauer Rose, where Colin knew Proskauer was a counter defendant in my counter complaints that he stayed in the Shirley Trust case and the Oppenheimer children's cases (Colin was also listed in the counter complaint, along

¹⁵ May 14, 2015 Mandatory Disqualification Motion Judge Martin Colin

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150514%20FINAL%20Motion%20for%20Disqualification%20Colin%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

ORIGINAL ALL WRITS

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150609%20FINAL%20All%20Writs%20Mandamus%20Prohibition%20and%20Restraining%20Order%20Stay%20re%20Martin%20Colin%20DisqualificationECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

REDO OF ALL WRITS

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150630%20FINAL%20REDO%20All%20Writs%20Mandamus%20Prohibition%20and%20Restraining%20Order%20Stay%20re%20Martin%20Colin%20Disqualification%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

with French as Material and Fact Witnesses that may become Defendants further enraging Colin.) Coates then accepted the cases despite the fact that Proskauer is a named Counter Defendant in the inheritancy lawsuit he was taking over and where Proskauer is alleged to have been the original source of the Intellectual Property Thefts. Coates was notified by Attorney Brian O'Connell and Alan Rose that he may have conflicts with the matters prior to him taking the cases but Coates **took the cases and all the private and confidential court data of the cases** and at the first hearing he held¹⁶, despite repeatedly denying any conflict then Sua Sponte recused himself, after on the record denying the conflict and denying he had anything to do with my Intellectual Property companies while at Proskauer. His claim of no involvement is untrue according to Proskauer billing records¹⁷ and other information that clearly shows him a billing partner in the Proskauer Rose billing statements for me and my father's technology companies.

Coates recusal led to the cases being reassigned to a one Judge John L. Phillips again without correcting the first instance of Judge Steering/Judge Shopping by Colin to the alleged target of Colin's improper post recusal transfer, as Colin allegedly knew once Coates took the files he would either recuse himself or be disqualified after gaining the highly confidential court papers. Phillips, then in the first hearing he held on September 15, 2015¹⁸ claimed he could "wrestle octopuses" and prejudiced the case by repeatedly professing his "love" for Colin and the attorneys involved in the case and claiming that he preferred his friends, the counsel and fiduciaries before him that he knew and was friendly wife to my wife and I who he did not know, severely prejudicing the case. Phillips, appeared to be and claimed to be incompetent in the hearings, acting almost drunken as the record reflects, where he repeatedly professed that he was "stupid" on the record and began acting, as the record reflects, confused about what cases he was

¹⁶ June 04, 2015 Judge Coates Hearing Transcript

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150604%20Hearing%20Transcript%20COATES%20Estate%20of%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf>

¹⁷ Proskauer Billing Record Coates

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/Coates%20Billing%20Iviewit%20Holdings%20as%20Proskauer%20Partner%20on%20Iviewit%20Clean.pdf>

¹⁸ September 15, 2015 Phillips hearing transcript

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150915%20Judge%20Phillips%20Hearing%20-%20Estate%20of%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

in and who the prior judge was at each of these sham and shameful hearings he held. The hearings are replete with no pre-trial procedures followed and no due process afforded my family during the hearings. Phillips first off began holding a status hearing in one case and ruling after in other cases. Phillips was also issuing prefabricated Orders submitted by attorneys Alan Rose and Steven Lessne, which were not submitted according to procedural rules to allow our side fair review and where the Orders reflect nothing heard at the hearings. Phillips void Orders even removing my standing as a Beneficiary, as a Trustee of Trusts for Beneficiaries and as a sued party individually after three years into the litigation. My standing was removed in all capacities because I, a Pro Se Litigant, did not know the exact statute that gave me standing off the top of my head at the moment he asked me in a UMC hearing held on January 14, 2016. The hearing on standing was contested and should not have been held as UMC (Uniform Motion Calendar) hearing as there was opposition to the motions filed requiring an evidentiary hearing, yet another procedural rule violation that left no transcription of the hearing on standing. Despite later providing the standing statutes in pleadings for reconsideration showing that I clearly had legal stand in multiple capacities, Phillips still refused to reconsider and began then to issue orders to strike three years of my pleadings in efforts to cover up and remove the evidence I had submitted for three years proving the multiple frauds on the courts and by court officers and deny my counter complaints and defenses.

Phillips now has blocked my pleadings from being accepted at the Clerk's office so as to wholly deny me due process rights to defend myself in a lawsuit I was sued under such as the Shirley Trust construction lawsuit and the Oppenheimer lawsuit. The main goal of these sham proceedings was to remove my counter complaints, deny me standing, hold sham and predatory guardianship hearings to remove my guardianship over two minor children and one adult child (who has never been served in the matters as an adult or had any competency hearing to gain guardianship despite being an adult at the time,) deny me rights as a beneficiary and wholly shut down any civil rights I had to defend my family and all to shut down my exposing the continuing and ongoing frauds and thefts in the estates and trusts by Officers of the Court and Court Appointed Officers, Fiduciaries and Guardians..

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

With a crowd of observers from the FACT (Families Against Court Travesties, an offshoot of NOW) and other citizens in attendance at another sham hearing held by Phillips on February 24, 2016 to gain Predatory Guardianships on my children that was not properly docketed with the court as a Guardian Ad Litem hearing and thus no state mandated recording of the GAL proceeding was had involving two of my minor children and one adult child, again we find utter disregard for due process and procedure both Pre and Post Trial. Phillips at the hearing when I noticed no court reporter attending refused me time to get a court reporter. Phillips, knowing my children were unrepresented at the Guardian hearing refused to allow me at the hearing to stay the proceeding until a record could be properly created and allow time for the children to be represented by counsel that was trying to get in the case for weeks Pro Hac Vice. Retained counsel for the children was attempting to appear but could not get records of the trusts the children were sued under from counsel Alan Rose, **who now has admitted that the trusts he sued myself and children under do not factually exist**, making Jurisdiction improper over the non-existent parties sued. Instead, knowing counsel was waiting to come in the case, Phillips proceeded in this sham guardianship hearing with no record and minors unrepresented in efforts to knock out my representation of my children's interests. There was no proper procedure for the adult guardianship he ordered on my adult child who was not even served process of the lawsuit or the hearing. Phillips then ruled to have Guardians placed on my children primarily because Alan Rose and Steven Lessne claimed my wife and I were troublemakers and accusing lawyers and judges of misconduct and had to be restrained and even jailed as their pleadings reveal. Phillips then ordered that my wife and I cannot even talk to the Guardian without fear of damning retaliation by the court, with the full weight of the court's coercive power as the order states as if we were found through evidence and witness to be unfit parents, which again the record would reflect if one had been allowed to have been created. There is an audio of the hearing that is available upon request. Further, the problem with my mother's entire trust case that the guardianship hearing was held in, not a GAL case and not a Civil Case but a Probate Case, is that the children are sued as beneficiaries of a trust that does not legally exist and this

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

has now been confirmed by attorney Alan B. Rose, Esq. who filed the lawsuit naming the children under a non-existent trust as defined further herein.

Further, there are claims that the minor children of my siblings and me are the beneficiaries of the Estates and Trusts but throughout the proceedings, my minor children and my siblings minor children have not been represented by counsel or their parents in the proceedings. At the December 15, 2015 hearing the record reflects that the minor children were not represented and I had just secured counsel for them who was trying to come in Pro Hac Vice and yet despite requesting a proper stay at the hearing to get them counsel, Phillips refused the request for a stay to get counsel and proceeded with them unrepresented stating it was an untimely request when factually the law allows an oral request for stay to be heard at time of trial to allow counsel to come into a case.

The parents cannot represent their children because there are conflicts that have been created through the frauds on the court that have called into question who the beneficiaries are, the parents or their children. Therefore, the parents and children all need separate counsel for matters relating to beneficial interests and this again has not occurred throughout the proceedings. The beneficiaries are either 3 of 5 of the children (Ted and his sister Pam and their lineal descendants are declared "Predeceased" in all of the trust documents for all purposes of the trust and all purposes of disposition, making it further impossible for Ted to be acting in any fiduciary capacity or making any dispositions) or the beneficiaries are the 10 grandchildren of Simon and Shirley. For issues regarding who the beneficiaries are the parents are in conflict with the children and yet I am the only party trying to have my minor children represented and none of the other minor children have either counsel or parents representing their interests and despite the court knowing all this, proceedings have continued without representation of minor parties who have potential interests for almost four years.

Phillips continues using the court as a weapon as the record of the hearings reflects and through this abuse of legal process in a recent flurry of improper sham hearings has;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1. removed all my rights as a beneficiary with no Construction hearing or proper Validity hearing, despite clear language in the documents that I am a beneficiary and Colin prior ruling that I was a beneficiary with standing as the record reflects. Colin even directing me to file the Simon Trust lawsuit to remove Ted as fiduciary, clearly recognizing my standing as either a beneficiary or guardian for my children,

2. removed my standing so I may not even counter sue those who have sued me or defend myself or my minor children,

3. removed and stricken my pleadings,

4. removed my and my wife's guardian status over our children so we cannot protect or represent them and issued illegally gained PREDATORY GUARDIANSHIPS over my children, including one that is an adult child, without holding a proper guardian hearings for an adult,

5. threatened me and my wife with contempt and jail multiple times,

6. held multiple improper hearings with no Pre or Post trial procedures followed,

7. issued prefabricated orders that do not reflect the hearings transcripts or recordings and,

8. failed to report Felony misconduct of court appointed officials, Tescher and Spallina, admitted to under oath in a December 15, 2015 hearing as the transcript already exhibited herein clearly shows and where the record also reflects that Spallina violated his consent order in multiple regards by falsifying information regarding the consent under oath, all of which Phillips was made aware of and where Phillips just covered it up thereby aiding and abetting and committing Misprision of Felony, as Spallina admitted to Felony Federal acts of mail and wire fraud, which Spallina had not previously disclosed to parties or authorities.

I have had to rush this complaint with your offices and file this merely as an initial complaint intake and where I have a mass of additional information and evidence to submit to your office regarding the frauds on the court, frauds by court officials and court appointed officers, fiduciaries and guardian, fraud on the beneficiaries and other crimes already described herein.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Due to the fact that my family, including my three children are in extreme danger of retaliation by the court officials and court appointees in efforts to shut us down before criminal investigations can be further filed with state and federal authorities against them, I seek immediate response to this complaint so that I may submit additional evidence and meet with your agency immediately. Further, due to a car bombing of my family's vehicle (see www.iviewit.tv homepage for graphic images) and in light of allegations made by my brother Ted on the day his father died of an alleged Homicide of my father that led to a PBSO investigation and a PB Coroner autopsy (that later revealed elevated levels of three heavy metal poisons) and the recent Homicide/Suicide investigation of the purchaser of my father's homestead sold through yet another series of fraudulent estate hearings, a one Mitchell Huhem (a close friend of Donald Trump according to press reports), whereby his head according to PBSO was blown clear off in my father and mother's garage in the house they built from ground up in love, I seek immediate protections that may be afforded to whistleblowers by your office.

The Homicide/Suicide occurred in the same week that I and others discovered that the land trust used to purchase the home was alleged fraudulent and the incorporator claimed her identity had been misused, the Registered Agent claimed their name was improperly used at the Florida State Department and more and that information was brought to Federal Judge John Robert Blakey, in an ongoing Federal Court case # 13-cv-03643. That case is regarding a missing trust that is now claimed to be legally nonexistent that filed for insurance policy proceeds on Simon Bernstein's life insurance policy. The claim was filed by Robert Spallina who signed as "Trustee" of a trust he now has claimed he never saw and had nothing to do with, yet the claim form clearly shows him signing as Trustee and the insurance company DENIED the claim as he could not prove a legally existent Trust. A lawsuit was then instituted by the legally non-existent trust by Ted who now claimed to be "Trustee" of a trust he now has claimed he has never seen or possessed and not Spallina and Ted sued the carrier for Breach of Contract for failing to pay Spallina's fraudulent claim. Again, abuse of process is alleged in efforts to steal a life insurance policy from the estate through a complex legal fraud that uses the court to

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

effectuate the crime. Again, Ted, Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina are the alleged main perpetrators of this unfolding crime.

Some of the crimes being committed in the court and reported to state and federal criminal investigators by me, include but are not limited to;

1. RICO
2. Racketeering,
3. Murder * 3 possible, Shirley Bernstein, Simon Bernstein & Mitchell Huhem,
4. Attempted Murder via Car Bombing Eliot Bernstein Family,
5. Conspiracy,
6. Coercion,
7. Extortion,
8. Fraud on State and Federal courts,
9. Fraud by State Court Officers and Court Appointed Fiduciaries, Counsel and Guardians,
10. Document forgery,
11. Document fraud,
12. Fraudulent notarizations,
13. Fraud on beneficiaries, interested parties and creditors of ongoing civil cases,
14. Insurance fraud,
15. Private and Public Securities fraud,
16. Trust Company fraud,
17. Mail fraud,
18. Wire fraud,
19. Banking fraud,
20. Theft,
21. Abuse of process,
22. Obstruction of Justice both state and federal,
23. Identity theft,
24. Trafficking in Stolen goods,
25. Financial Exploitation of minors,
26. Interstate commerce violations,
27. Perjury,
28. Intellectual Property Thefts,
29. Obstruction of State and Federal investigations,
30. Spoilation of Evidence,
31. Misprision of Felony,
32. Aiding and Abetting,

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

33. Tax Evasion,
34. Elder Abuse,
35. Corporate Fraud,
36. Creditor Fraud.

Since Judge Phillips has taken over he has prejudiced the cases, completely acting outside the color of law trying to build a false record against me, refusing mandatory disqualification and has threatened both me and my wife, on and off the record, with contempt charges and jail since day one. He has put predatory guardianships on my children, overturned prior law of the case and appears to be out his mind in rage trying to have us jailed and our children removed from our legal guardianship as parents and thus we have refused to attend any further hearings in his court as a matter of protecting our family. Phillips is acting swiftly to attempt to close up the estate and trust cases and steal off with the remaining UNACCOUNTED for assets with further fraudulent orders and actions all gained by continuing and ongoing frauds on, in and by the court. Witnesses to some of these sham and toxic hearings reveal a public view of the court misconduct and prejudice of Judge Phillips against my family, the statement of creditor William Stansbury (see <http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160302%20Signed%20William%20Stansbury%20Amended%20Eliot%20and%20Candice%20Bernstein%20GAL%20issue%203.2.2016.pdf>) who witnessed with his attorney Peter Feaman the absurdity of the guardianship hearings, stands as solid evidence of misconduct worthy of investigation and a witness report from FACT (Families Against Court Travesties, an offshoot of NOW) also supports my claims of the misconduct in the Phillips court, (see <http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160226%20FACT%20Court%20Report%20Guardianship%20Hearing%20Phillips.pdf> .) Stansbury's attorney, Peter Feaman, Esq. appointed by Governor Rick Scott to the 4th District Court of Appeal Nominating Commission, 2011- present, has made several efforts to notify the FL courts and others of the misconduct in the courts by the court appointed officers and fiduciaries but has been wholly ignored and this further deprives his client of fair and impartial due process under law, causing a bill for services to his client in excess of \$500,000.00 over the four years, See Exhibit 1.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

In seeking Leave to Amend my Cross Claims in the Illinois Federal Lawsuit, I included an Exhibit Listing several of the judges named herein as to be added defendants in the federal complaint, see “Motion for Injunctive relief under the All Writs Act, Anti-Injunction Act and alternatively a Temporary Restraining Order-Stay-Preliminary Injunction and Other relief” <http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160224%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20MOTION%20FOR%20INJUNCTION%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY%20COMBINED%200FILING.pdf> pages 142-149 List of to be added Defendants to Amended Complaint or new Federal Complaint. Further, shortly after filing in a hearing before Judge Phillips, Alan Rose presented Phillips with pages from the filing that showed Judge Phillips that he too was being added to any Amended or New Federal Complaint being filed as listed below:

**EXHIBIT A - COUNTER COMPLAINT DEFENDANTS /
PARTIES COUNTER-DEFENDANTS/THIRD PARTY
DEFENDANTS FOR AMENDED COMPLAINT AND
PARTY DESIGNATIONS**

- 1.Hon. Jorge Labarga, Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court, professionally;
- 2.Hon. Jorge Labarga, Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court, personally;
- 3.Judge Martin Colin, professionally;
- 4.Judge Martin Colin, personally;
- 5.Judge David French, professionally;
- 6.Judge David French, personally;
- 7.Judge Howard Coates, professionally;
- 8.Judge Howard Coates, personally;
- 9.Judge John Phillips, professionally;**
- 10.Judge John Phillips, personally;**
- 11.The State of Florida;
- 12.The Florida Supreme Court;
- 13.The 4th District Court of Appeals;
- 14.Palm Beach County Probate and Circuit Courts;
- 15.The County of Palm Beach;
- 16.The Palm Beach County Sheriff;
- 17.Detective Ryan Miller;
- 18.Detective David Groover;
- 19.Detective Andrew Panzer;
- 20.Captain Carol Gregg.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Upon seeing the list of to be added defendants including his name Judge Phillips became further enraged and began threatening me and it became apparent that he was going to further cause harm to my family in efforts to destroy us further before we could file and this is exactly what he did thereafter, including making slanderous and defamatory statements about both me and my wife in his orders and adding language to further harm us that was not in the prefabricated orders Alan Rose provided him. Judge Phillips is biased, prejudiced and acting far outside the color of law in conflict with adversity to my family in very real efforts to cause us harm. Again, knowing he was being added as a defendant in the Federal case should have been cause for his disqualification on his own motion as he is not a fair trier of facts and has a vested interest in trying to stop my efforts to seek justice against the Florida court officers, including himself and his good friends, the opposing counsel and his "love" Judge Colin.

In light of these very real dangers of retaliation for my whistleblowing efforts and pursuit of criminal complaints against the judges and lawyers and certain law enforcement agents involved that have in part led to recent legislative changes to protect parties from these predatory guardianship/probate/family court schemes and artifices to defraud citizens, I am requesting your offices do all of the following in expediting this formal complaint and protect us from the very courts that are supposed to be upholding and protecting our rights:

1. Provide me with a formal complaint number so that I may submit further evidence and information to your offices that will be available under such case number when a FOIA is requested.
2. Immediately seize and preserve all court records of Judges, Colin, French, Phillips and Coates relating to any of my family's cases.
3. Immediately report to the proper criminal authorities the criminal elements being alleged against the attorneys and judges involved in this complaint.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

4. To the extent possible restrain Judge Phillips until review of his proper jurisdiction is had and determine if he is acting Outside the Color of Law with his Court Appointed Officers and if his mandatory disqualification he has denied has merit based on the actual merits of the filing.
5. Seek to enforce immediately all parts of the Florida Court Statewide Fraud Policy that are not currently being applied in any of the herein listed cases by any Officers, Court Appointed Officers, Employees of the courts who have knowledge of the crimes committed and alleged and have failed to follow the IG Policy, Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law.
6. Sign a conflict of interest disclosure form prior to review or determination by this office and where conflict is presumed to exist, if found by your offices to exist, request that a Federal Monitor be brought in to assist in any fair and impartial review.

Respectfully Yours,

/s/Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Inventor

2753 NW 34th St.

Boca Raton, FL 33434

(561) 245-8588

iviewit@iviewit.tv

www.iviewit.tv

DATED: September 26, 2016

Enclosure(s)/Attachment(s)/URL's

All Uniform Resource Locators (URL's) and the contents of those URL's are incorporated in entirety by reference herein and therefore must be included in your hard copy file WITH ALL EXHIBITS, as part of this correspondence and as further evidentiary material to be Investigated. Due to allegations alleged by New York State Supreme Court Whistleblower Christine C. Anderson and similar claims in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit regarding Document Destruction and Tampering with Official Complaints and Records, PRINT all referenced URL's and their

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

corresponding exhibits and attach them to your hard copy file, as this is now necessary to ensure fair and impartial review. In order to confirm that NO DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION OR ALTERCATIONS have occurred, once complete forward a copy of this correspondence with all exhibits and materials included to, Eliot I. Bernstein at the address listed herein. This will insure that all parties are reviewing the same documentation and no additional illegal activity is taking place. If you, for any reason, are incapable of providing this confirmation copy, please put your reasons for failure to comply in writing and send that to Eliot I. Bernstein at the address listed herein. Note, that this is a request only for a copy of this Correspondence and the referenced materials and NOT a request for any Case Investigation information, which may be protected by law.

cmb/eib

EXHIBIT 1

Peter Feaman, Esq. and William Stansbury Efforts to Notify Court and Criminal Authorities of Fraud of Fiduciaries and Counsel and others

Feaman and Stansbury Notification to Criminal authorities of criminal misconduct in courts by fiduciaries and counsel:

- Feaman has contacted Florida Law Enforcement regarding the misconduct he has observed in the court by Ted, Alan Rose, Robert Spallina and others
- Feaman has contacted Federal Agents and filed a complaint with information regarding Spallina et al. with Palm Beach FBI and received no response as of this date
- Feaman has contacted Federal Agents regarding an ongoing investigation of Robert Spallina, separate and apart from Spallina and Tescher's SEC complaints
- Feaman has contacted guardian Diane Lewis regarding the misconduct of Alan Rose and Ted Bernstein and more
- Feaman has notified Brian O'Connell of the misconduct of Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose in the Illinois Insurance litigation and more
- Stansbury has filed with the Department of Insurance a complaint regarding the fraudulent application for insurance on Simon L. Bernstein's life by Robert Spallina
- Stansbury has filed a complaint with the Department of Labor regarding benefit plan abuses by Ted Bernstein

Feaman and Stansbury Notification to Courts and Fiduciaries of criminal and civil misconduct in courts:

- June 20, 2012 Letter from Peter Feaman to Ted Bernstein regarding allegations of fraud, check fraud, mail fraud and more by Ted Bernstein.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120620%20Feaman%20Stansbury%20Letter%20to%20Ted%20re%20Lawsuit.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
- October 17, 2013 Feaman filed “Motion to Intervene” notifying court of misconduct of fiduciaries
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131017%20Stansbury%20Motion%20to%20Intervene%20Shirley%20Estate%20from%20record.pdf>
 - February 11, 2014 “RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF TED BERNSTEIN AS CURATOR AND MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN AS CURATOR OR SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR APPOINTMENT OF AN INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY AS SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OR CURATOR.” Outlines to conduct serious Misconduct in the Shirley Estate and Shirley Trust by Fiduciaries and Counsel, Ted Bernstein, Donald Tescher, Robert Spallina et al.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140217%20Stansbury%20Response%20in%20Opposition.pdf>
 - March 14, 2014 Petition for Admin Ad Litem filed by Feaman
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140314%20Petition%20for%20Administrator%20Ad%20Litem%20Feaman%20Stansbury.pdf>
 - March 14, 2014 Feaman Letter to Curator Benjamin Brown, Esq. regarding fraud in Illinois Insurance Litigation involving Spallina fraudulent application for Life Insurance and Ted Bernstein and Robert Spallina’s fraudulent representation as alleged Trustee of a lost trust that neither possess that filed a Federal Court action using said non-existent trust.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140304%20Stansbury%20Letter%20to%20Curator.pdf>
 - May 22, 2014 “JOINDER IN PETITION FILED BY ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEE AND FOR TRUST ACCOUNTING” Notifying the Court of criminal and fiduciary misconduct in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein involving Ted Bernstein and his counsel.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140522StansburyJoinder1.pdf>
 - June 27, 2014 Peter Feaman filing on behalf of William Stansbury, “RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE APPOINTMENT OF TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF AN INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY AS BOTH SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT”
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140627%20Response%20in%20Opposition%20to%20the%20Appointment%20of%20Ted%20Bernstein%20as%20Successor%20PR%20etc%20filed%20by%20Feaman%20Stansbury.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
- July 29, 2014 Feaman filed “PETITION TO REMOVE TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST”
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140729%20Petition%20to%20Remove%20Ted%20Bernstein%20as%20Successor%20Trustee%20of%20Simon%20Trust%20Stansbury%20Filed.pdf>
 - August 05, 2014 Feaman Letter to Alan Rose re Using the Grandchildren as Pawns and monies set aside for their schooling.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140808%20Response%20to%20Motion%20for%20Contempt%20-%20Exhibit%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Alan%20Re%20St%20Andrews%20Tuition.pdf>
 - August 29, 2014 Feaman Letter to Successor Personal Representative Brian O’Connell stating assets were being illegally converted and more.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140829%20Feaman%20Stansbury%20Letter%20to%20Brian%20O'Connell.pdf>
 - September 19, 2014 Feaman letter to O’Connell regarding missing and unaccounted for assets of the estate.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140829%20Feaman%20Stansbury%20Letter%20to%20Brian%20O'Connell.pdf>
 - June 02, 2014 Stansbury Objections to Final Accounting of Co-Personal Representatives Tescher and Spallina.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140602%20Objection%20to%20Spallina%20Tescher%20Accounting%20Stansbury%20Feaman.pdf>
 - December 16, 2014 Feaman Letter to Brian O’Connell regarding Conflicts of Interest and more of Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose that should cause the removal of both parties, Ted from fiduciary roles and Alan as counsel for the fiduciary.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20141216%20Attorney%20Peter%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Attorney%20Personal%20Representative%20Brian%20O'Connell%20re%20Ted%20and%20Alan%20Conflicts.pdf>
 - December 01, 2015 Petition of Claimant and Creditor William Stansbury to Intervene, notifying the Court of a multitude of reasons for the immediate removal of Ted and his counsel.
<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20151201%20Petition%20of%20Claimant%20and%20Creditor%20Stansbury%20to%20Intervene%20Shirley%20Trust%20Feaman.pdf>
 - February 27, 2016 Feaman Letter to Chief Judge Jeffrey Colbath informing him that Judge Martin Colin Violated Administrative Orders when he POST RECUSAL interfered

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

with the court process to transfer the cases and instead steered them in violation of court rules and procedures.

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160217%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Chief%20Judge%20Jeffrey%20Colbath.pdf>

- March 03, 2016 - Stansbury Statement Regarding Guardian Ad Litem hearing held improperly by Judge John Phillips to gain predatory guardianship on Eliot's two minor children and one adult child.

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160302%20Signed%20William%20Stansbury%20Amended%20Eliot%20and%20Candice%20Bernstein%20GAL%20is%20sue%203.2.2016.pdf>

- March 18, 2016 - Stansbury Motion for Protective Order as to Deposition of William Stansbury and Appearance at Evidentiary Hearing / Trial

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160318%20Feaman%20Stansbury%20Motion%20For%20Protective%20Order.pdf>

- August 26, 2016 - Feaman Letter to Judge Phillips regarding Ted and Alan conflicts and more.

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20160826%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Judge%20Phillips%20re%20Simon%20Estate%20and%20Motion%20for%20Retention%20of%20Counsel%20and%20to%20Appoint%20Ted%20Adminsitrator%20Ad%20Litem.pdf>

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

EXHIBIT 1 - Conflict of Interest Disclosure of Parties to be added to any screening of parties handling this complaint.

Conflict of Interest (COI) Disclosure Form



"Lasciate ogni speranza, voi ch'intrate"^[1]

whom fail to heed this form.

**THIS COI MUST BE SIGNED AND RETURNED PRIOR TO ANY
ACTION BY YOU IN THESE MATTERS**

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Please accept and return signed, the following Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form (COI) before continuing further with adjudication, review or investigation or involvement in the Florida Probate Court matters of Eliot Bernstein and Family, the Simon and Shirley Bernstein Estate and Trusts.

After 10 Days, if this form has not been signed or subsequently turned over to a NON CONFLICTED PARTY, your Failure to comply may result in criminal and civil charges FILED against you FOR AIDING AND ABETTING A RICO CRIMINAL ORGANIZATION, FEDERAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, AS NOTED HEREIN.

The Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form is designed to ensure that the review and any determination from such review of the enclosed materials should not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other conflicting interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this confidential information. Whereby any conflict with any of the main alleged perpetrators of the alleged crimes referenced in these matters herein, or any other perpetrators not known at this time, must be fully disclosed in writing and returned by anyone reviewing these matters prior to making ANY determination.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers, by any party, to any of the following questions, are demanded not to open the remainder of the documents or opine in any manner, until the signed COI is reviewed and approved by the Ivewit companies and Eliot I. Bernstein. If you feel that a Conflict of Interest exists that cannot be eliminated through conflict resolution with the Ivewit Companies or Eliot Bernstein, instantly forward the matters to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict that can sign and complete the requisite disclosure. Please identify conflicts that you have, in writing, upon terminating your involvement in the matters to the address listed at the end of this disclosure form for Ivewit companies or Eliot I. Bernstein. As many of these alleged perpetrators are large law firms, lawyers, members of various state and federal courts, officers of federal, state and local law enforcement and regulatory agencies, careful review and disclosure of any conflict with those named herein is pertinent in your continued handling of these matters objectively.

These matters already involve claims of, including but not limited to, Conflicts of Interest, Violations of Public Offices, Whitewashing of Official Complaints in the Supreme Courts of New York, Florida, Virginia and elsewhere, Threatening a Federal Witness in a "legally related" Federal Whistleblower Lawsuit, Document Destruction and Alteration, Obstructions of Justice, RICO, ATTEMPTED MURDER and much more. The need for prescreening for conflict is essential to the administration of due process in these matters and necessary to avoid charges of OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE and more, against you. US Federal District Court Judge, Shira A. Scheindlin, legally related the matters to a New York Supreme Court Attorney Whistleblower Lawsuit of Christine C. Anderson, Esq. who alleges similar claims of public office corruption against Supreme Court of New York Officials, US Attorneys, NY District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys. Therefore, this Conflict Check is a formal request for full disclosure of any conflict on your part, such request conforming with all applicable state and federal laws, public office rules and regulations, attorney conduct codes and judicial canons or other international law and

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

treatises requiring disclosure of conflicts and disqualification from these matters where conflict precludes involvement.

Failure to comply with all applicable conflict disclosure rules, public office rules and regulations, and, state, federal and international laws, prior to continued action on your part, shall constitute cause for the filing of criminal and civil complaints against you for any decisions or actions you make prior to a signed Conflict Of Interest Disclosure Form. Charges will be filed against you for failure to comply. Complaints will be filed with all appropriate authorities, including but not limited to, the appropriate Federal, State, Local and International Law Enforcement Agencies, Public Integrity Officials, Judicial Conduct Officials, State and Federal Bar Associations, Disciplinary Departments and any/all other appropriate agencies.

I. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s) in any entity, or any of the parties listed in **EXHIBIT 1** of this document, or any of the named Defendants in these matters contained at the URL, <http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm#proskauer> ? Also please disclose any conflicts with any parties in the following lawsuits, including Judges, Attorneys at Law and Fiduciaries involved in these cases as follows:

Judge Martin Colin / Howard Coates / John Phillips Estate & Trust Cases
Estate and Trust Cases, Simon, Shirley and Eliot Children
Cases Transferred from Colin to Coates to Phillips

1. Case # 502012CP004391XXXXSB – Simon Bernstein Estate
2. Case # 502011CP000653XXXXSB – Shirley Bernstein Estate
3. Case # 502014CP002815XXXXSB – Oppenheimer v. Bernstein Minor Children
4. Case # 502014CP003698XXXXSB – Shirley Trust Construction
5. Case # 502015CP001162XXXXSB – Eliot Bernstein v. Trustee Simon Trust Case OLD CASE # 502014CA014637XXXXMB
6. Case # TBD – Creditor Claim – Eliot v. Estate of Simon

Judge Coates Case

7. Case ID: 502015CP002717XXXXNB

Judge David E. French Cases

8. Case # 20I2CP004391 IX – Simon Bernstein Estate

Federal Illinois Case – Judge John Robert Blakey

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

9. Case # 13-cv-03643 - Federal Lawsuit in the US District Court of Eastern Illinois

Florida Appeals – 4th DCA

10. 4DCA#: 16-0064
11. 4DCA#: 15-3849
12. 4DCA#: 16-0222

Florida Supreme Court

13. SC16-29
14. SC15-1077

And

RICO & ANTITRUST LAWSUIT & LEGALLY RELATED CASES TO WHISTLEBLOWER ANDERSON BY
FED JUDGE SHIRA SCHEINDLIN

Related Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY

1. 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT which other cases have been marked legally “related” to by Fed. Judge Shira A. Scheindlin
2. 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.
3. 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.,
4. 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.,
5. 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.,
6. 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al., and,
7. 08cv4438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
8. 08 cv 6368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York

Sought Relation but not legally related by Scheindlin

1. 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.,
2. 08cv4053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.
3. 06cv05169 McNamara v The State of New York, et al

Cases @ New York Second Circuit

1. File USCA Case Number 10-5303 = P. Stephen Lamont Appeal Docket No.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Case 08-4873-cv United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Docket - Bernstein, et al. v Appellate

Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT

1. Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.
2. Esposito v The State of New York, et al.
3. McKeown v The State of New York, et al.

II. Please review the online index and exhibited of conflicted parties herein and the subject lawsuits listed herein in entirety prior to answering, as there are several thousand persons and entities that may cause conflict with your handling of these matters.

NO YES

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

III. Do you, your spouse and your dependents, in the aggregate, have any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), in any entity, or any direct or indirect relations, relationships or interest(s), to ANY other known, or unknown person, or known or unknown entity, not named herein, which will cause your review of the materials you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest(s) or any other interest(s)?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any relations, relationships, interests and conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

IV. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any person or entity related in any way to the parties defined in Question I, including but not limited to, campaign contributions whether direct, "in kind" or of any type at all?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any interests or conflicts, on a separate and attached sheet, fully disclosing all information regarding the conflicts or considerations. If the answer is Yes, please describe the relations, relationships and / or interests, and, affirm whether such conflicts or interests present a conflict of interest that precludes fair review of the matters contained herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

V. Have you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, had any prior communication(s), including but not limited to, phone, facsimile, e-mail, mail, verbal, etc., with any person related to the proceedings of Iviewit, Eliot Ivan Bernstein or the related matters in anyway and parties in Question I?

NO YES

Please describe in detail any identified communication(s) on a separate and attached sheet fully disclosing all information regarding the communication(s). If the answer is Yes, please describe the communication(s) in detail, including but not limited to, who was present, what type of communication, the date and time, length, what was discussed, please affirm whether such communication(s) present a conflict of interest in fairly reviewing the matters herein without undue bias or prejudice of any kind.

VI. I have run a thorough and exhaustive Conflict of Interest check, conforming to any/all, state, federal and local laws, public office rules and regulations, and, any professional association rules and regulations, regarding disclosure of any/all conflicts. I have verified that my spouse, my dependents, and I, in the aggregate, have no conflicts with any parties or entities to the matters referenced herein. I understand that any undisclosed conflicts, relations, relationships and interests, will result in criminal and civil charges filed against me both personally and professionally.

NO YES

VII. I have notified all parties with any liabilities regarding my continued actions in these matters, including state agencies, shareholders, bondholders, auditors and insurance concerns or any other person with liability that may result from my actions in these matters as required by any laws, regulations and public office rules I am bound by.

NO YES

Relevant Sections of Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law

Conflict of Interest Laws & Regulations

Conflict of interest indicates a situation where a private interest may influence a public decision. Conflict of Interest Laws are Laws and designed to prevent Conflicts of Interest that deny fair and impartial due process and procedure thereby Obstructing Justice in State and Federal, Civil and Criminal Proceedings. These Laws may contain provisions related to financial or asset disclosure, exploitation of one's official position and privileges, improper relationships, regulation of campaign practices, etc. The Relevant Sections of Attorney Conduct Codes, Judicial Cannons, Public Office Rules & Regulations and State & Federal Law listed herein are merely a benchmark guide

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

and other state, federal and international laws, rules and regulations may be applicable to your particular circumstances in reviewing or acting in these matters. For a more complete list of applicable sections of law relating to these matters, please visit the URL,

http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/oneofthesedays/index.htm#_Toc107852933,

fully incorporated by reference in entirety herein.

New York State Consolidated Laws Penal

ARTICLE 200 BRIBERY INVOLVING PUBLIC SERVANTS AND RELATED OFFENSES

S 200.03 Bribery in the second degree

S 200.04 Bribery in the first degree

S 200.05 Bribery; defense

S 200.10 Bribe receiving in the third degree

S 200.11 Bribe receiving in the second degree

S 200.12 Bribe receiving in the first degree

S 200.15 Bribe receiving; no defense

S 200.20 Rewarding official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.22 Rewarding official misconduct in the first degree S 200.25 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the second degree

S 200.27 Receiving reward for official misconduct in the first degree

S 200.30 Giving unlawful gratuities

S 200.35 Receiving unlawful gratuities

S 200.40 Bribe giving and bribe receiving for public office; definition of term

S 200.45 Bribe giving for public office

S 200.50 Bribe receiving for public office

ARTICLE 175 OFFENSES INVOLVING FALSE WRITTEN STATEMENTS

S 175.05 Falsifying business records in the second degree. S 175.10 Falsifying business records in the first degree.

S 175.15 Falsifying business records; defense

S 175.20 Tampering with public records in the second degree

S 175.25 Tampering with public records in the first degree

S 175.30 Offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree

S 175.35 Offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree

NY Constitution ARTICLE XIII Public Officers

Public Officers - Public Officers ARTICLE 1

ARTICLE 2 Appointment and Qualification of Public Officers - ARTICLE 15 ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

S 468-b. Clients' security fund of the state of New York

S 476-a. Action for unlawful practice of the law

S 476-b. Injunction to restrain defendant from unlawful practice of the law

S 476-c. Investigation by the attorney-general

S 487. Misconduct by attorneys

S 488. Buying demands on which to bring an action.

Public Officers Law SEC 73 Restrictions on the Activities Of Current and Former State Officers and Employees

Public Officers Law SEC 74 Code of Ethics

Conflicts of Interest Law, found in Chapter 68 of the New York City Charter, the City's Financial Disclosure Law, set forth in section 12-110 of the New York City Administrative Code, and the Lobbyist Gift Law, found in sections 3-224 through 3-228 of the Administrative Code.

TITLE 18 FEDERAL CODE & OTHER APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAW

Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

A federal judge, or any other government official, is required as part of the judge's mandatory administrative duties, to receive any offer of information of a federal crime. If that judge blocks such report, that block is a felony under related obstruction of justice statutes, and constitutes a serious offense.

Upon receiving such information, the judge is then required to make it known to a government law enforcement body that is not themselves involved in the federal crime.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

This federal statute permits any citizen to file a lawsuit in the federal courts to obtain a court order requiring a federal official to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful acts. This statute is Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.

Fraud upon the court

FRAUD on the COURT

In the United States, when an officer of the court is found to have fraudulently presented facts to court so that the court is impaired in the impartial performance of its legal task, the act, known as "fraud upon the court", is a crime deemed so severe and fundamentally opposed to the operation of justice that it is not subject to any statute of limitation.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Officers of the court include: Lawyers, Judges, Referees, and those appointed; Guardian Ad Litem, Parenting Time Expeditors, Mediators, Rule 114 Neutrals, Evaluators, Administrators, special appointees, and any others whose influence are part of the judicial mechanism.

"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by Officers of the Court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that are presented for adjudication". Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, ¶ 60.23

In Bulloch v. United States, 763 F.2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985), the court stated "Fraud upon the court is fraud which is directed to the judicial machinery itself and is not fraud between the parties or fraudulent documents, false statements or perjury. ... It is where the court or a member is corrupted or influenced or influence is attempted or where the judge has not performed his judicial function --- thus where the impartial functions of the court have been directly corrupted."

What effect does an act of "fraud upon the court" have upon the court proceeding? "Fraud upon the court" makes void the orders and judgments of that court.

TITLE 18 PART I CH 11

Sec. 201. Bribery of public officials and witnesses

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Sec. 205. - Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government

Sec. 208. - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

Sec. 210. - Offer to procure appointive public office

Sec. 225. - Continuing financial crimes enterprise

TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 Sec 1623 - False declarations before grand jury or court

Sec 654 - Officer or employee of United States converting property of another

TITLE 18 PART I CH 73 Sec 1511 - Obstruction of State or local law enforcement

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 Sec 1961 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT Organizations ("RICO")

Section 1503 (relating to obstruction of justice),

Section 1510 (relating to obstruction of criminal investigations)

Section 1511 (relating to the obstruction of State or local law enforcement),

Section 1952 (relating to racketeering),

Section 1957 (relating to engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity),

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) RICO

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO

title 18 part i ch 19 sec 1962 (d) RICO

TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY Sec 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES

TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity

TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 Sec 1031 - Major fraud against the United States

Judicial Cannons

What causes the "Disqualification of Judges?"

Federal law requires the automatic disqualification of a Federal judge under certain circumstances.

In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." [Emphasis added]. *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).

Courts have repeatedly held that positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847, 108 S.Ct. 2194 (1988) (what matters is not the reality of bias or prejudice but its appearance); *United States v. Balistreri*, 779 F.2d 1191 (7th Cir. 1985) (Section 455(a) "is directed against the appearance of partiality, whether or not the judge is actually biased.") ("Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S.C. §455(a), is not intended to protect litigants from actual bias in their judge but rather to promote public confidence in the impartiality of the judicial process.").

That Court also stated that Section 455(a) "requires a judge to recuse himself in any proceeding in which her impartiality might reasonably be questioned." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989). In *Pfizer Inc. v. Lord*, 456 F.2d 532 (8th Cir. 1972), the Court stated that "It is important that the litigant not only actually receive justice, but that he believes that he has received justice."

The Supreme Court has ruled and has reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). A judge receiving a bribe from an interested party over which he is presiding, does not give the appearance of justice.

"Recusal under Section 455 is self-executing; a party need not file affidavits in support of recusal and the judge is obligated to recuse herself sua sponte under the stated circumstances." *Taylor v. O'Grady*, 888 F.2d 1189 (7th Cir. 1989).

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

Further, the judge has a legal duty to disqualify himself even if there is no motion asking for his disqualification. The Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals further stated that "We think that this language [455(a)] imposes a duty on the judge to act sua sponte, even if no motion or affidavit is filed." Balistreri, at 1202.

Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which, possibly, further disqualifies the judge. Should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. None of the orders issued by any judge who has been disqualified by law would appear to be valid. It would appear that they are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect.

Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.").

Should a judge issue any order after he has been disqualified by law, and if the party has been denied of any of his / her property, then the judge may have been engaged in the Federal Crime of "interference with interstate commerce". The judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity. It has been said that this judge, acting in this manner, has no more lawful authority than someone's next-door neighbor (provided that he is not a judge). However some judges may not follow the law.

If you were a non-represented litigant, and should the court not follow the law as to non-represented litigants, then the judge has expressed an "appearance of partiality" and, under the law, it would seem that he/she has disqualified him/herself.

However, since not all judges keep up to date in the law, and since not all judges follow the law, it is possible that a judge may not know the ruling of the U.S. Supreme Court and the other courts on this subject. Notice that it states "disqualification is required" and that a judge "must be disqualified" under certain circumstances.

The Supreme Court has also held that if a judge wars against the Constitution, or if he acts without jurisdiction, he has engaged in treason to the Constitution. If a judge acts after he has been automatically disqualified by law, then he is acting without jurisdiction, and that suggest that he is then engaging in criminal acts of treason, and may be engaged in extortion and the interference with interstate commerce.

Courts have repeatedly ruled that judges have no immunity for their criminal acts. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts.

Canon 1. A Judge Should Uphold the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary

[1.1] Deference to the judgments and rulings of courts depends upon public confidence in the integrity and independence of judges. The integrity and independence of judges depends in turn upon their acting without fear or favor. Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this Code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to this

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

responsibility. Conversely, violation of this Code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary and thereby does injury to the system of government under law.

Canon 2. A Judge Should Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in All Activities

(A) A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

[2.2][2A] The prohibition against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety applies to both the professional and personal conduct of a judge. Because it is not practicable to list all prohibited acts, the proscription is necessarily cast in general terms that extend to conduct by judges that is harmful although not specifically mentioned in the Code. Actual improprieties under this standard include violations of law, court rules or other specific provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.

Canon 3. A Judge Should Perform the Duties of the Office Impartially and Diligently

(B) Adjudicative responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor or fear of criticism.

(2) A judge shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the judge.

(D) Disciplinary responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that another judge has committed a substantial violation of this Part shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information indicating a substantial likelihood that a lawyer has committed a substantial violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility shall take appropriate action.

(3) Acts of a judge in the discharge of disciplinary responsibilities are part of a judge's judicial duties.

(E) Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned

[3.11][3B(6)(e)] A judge may delegate the responsibilities of the judge under Canon 3B(6) to a member of the judge's staff. A judge must make reasonable efforts, including the provision of appropriate supervision, to ensure that Section 3B(6) is not violated through law clerks or other personnel on the judge's staff. This provision does not prohibit the judge or the judge's law clerk from informing all parties individually of scheduling or administrative decisions.

[3.21][3E(1)] Under this rule, a judge is disqualified whenever the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, regardless whether any of the specific rules in Section 3E(1) apply. For example, if a judge were in the process of negotiating for employment with a law firm, the judge would be disqualified from any matters in which that firm appeared, unless the disqualification was waived by the parties after disclosure by the judge.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

[3.22][3E(1)] A judge should disclose on the record information that the judge believes the parties or their lawyers might consider relevant to the question of disqualification, even if the judge believes there is no real basis for disqualification.

Canon 4. A Judge May Engage in Extra-Judicial Activities To Improve the Law, the Legal System, and the Administration of Justice

Canon 5. A Judge Should Regulate Extra-Judicial Activities To Minimize the Risk of Conflict with Judicial Duties

Public Office Conduct Codes New York

PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW Laws 1909, Chap. 51.

CHAPTER 47 OF THE CONSOLIDATED LAWS PUBLIC OFFICERS LAW

Sec. 17. Defense and indemnification of state officers and employees. 2 (b)

Sec. 18. Defense and indemnification of officers and employees of public entities.3 (b)

Sec. 74. Code of ethics.(2)(3)(4)

§ 73. Business or professional activities by state officers and employees and party officers.

NY Attorney Conduct Code

(a) "Differing interests" include every interest that will adversely affect either the judgment or the loyalty of a lawyer to a client, whether it be a conflicting, inconsistent, diverse, or other interest.

CANON 5. A Lawyer Should Exercise Independent Professional Judgment on Behalf of a Client

DR 5-101 [1200.20] Conflicts of Interest - Lawyer's Own Interests.

DR 5-102 [1200.21] Lawyers as Witnesses.

DR 5-103 [1200.22] Avoiding Acquisition of Interest in Litigation.

DR 5-104 [1200.23] Transactions Between Lawyer and Client.

DR 5-105 [1200.24] Conflict of Interest; Simultaneous Representation.

DR 5-108 [1200.27] Conflict of Interest - Former Client.

CANON 6. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Competently

CANON 7. A Lawyer Should Represent a Client Zealously Within the Bounds of the Law

DR 7-102 [1200.33] Representing a Client Within the Bounds of the Law.

DR 7-110 [1200.41] Contact with Officials.

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

DR 8-103 [1200.44] Lawyer Candidate for Judicial Office.

A. A lawyer who is a candidate for judicial office shall comply with section 100.5 of the Chief Administrator's Rules Governing Judicial Conduct (22 NYCRR) and Canon 5 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

CANON 9. A Lawyer Should Avoid Even the Appearance of Professional Impropriety

DR 9-101 [1200.45] Avoiding Even the Appearance of Impropriety.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

I declare under penalty of perjury and more that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this ____ day, of _____, 20___. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims will subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the RICO related crimes including the alleged attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and his wife and children in a terrorist styled car-bombing attempt on their lives.



NOTE- THE CAR BOMBING IS NOT A SCENE OUT OF A WAR ZONE BUT INSTEAD TOOK PLACE IN BOYNTON BEACH FL

More images @ www.iviewit.tv

I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM prior to review. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document with undisclosed conflict, relations, relationships or interests. In the event that I continue to represent these matters without signing such COI first, this failure to sign and return the COI will act as a formal admission of such conflicts, relations, relationships or interests and serve as Prima Facie evidence in the event criminal or civil charges are brought against me.

Organization: **Inspector General - Florida Court System**

Print Your FULL Name and Title

Signature _____ Date _____ / _____ / _____

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

If you are unable to sign this COI and are therefore unable to continue further to pursue these matters, please attach a statement of whom we may contact as your replacement, in writing, within 10 business days to preclude legal actions against you for Obstruction of Justice and more. A copy can be sent to iviewit@iviewit.tv and the original sent to the mailing address below:

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
<http://www.iviewit.tv>

We the people are the rightful master of both congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution. - Abraham Lincoln

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

EXHIBIT 1 - PARTIAL LIST OF KNOWN CONFLICTED PARTIES

EXTENDED LIST OF DEFENDANTS INCLUDED IN THE AMENDED RICO AND ANTITRUST LAWSUIT
APPROVED BY FEDERAL JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN.

**The first number is a total defendant, the second number after the period is a number for each group.

1. PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP.
2. 1. ABRAHAM GUTWEIN
3. 2. DANIEL R. HALEM
4. 3. ADAM T. BERKOWITZ
5. 4. JORDANA T. BERMAN
6. 5. AIMEE M. ADLER
7. 6. IRA AKSELRAD
8. 7. ALAN B. HYMAN
9. 8. DAWN M. IRIZARRY
10. 9. ALAN M. HOFFMAN
11. 10. DANIEL R. HOFFMAN
12. 11. ALAN P. PARNES
13. 12. CHARLES H. PARSONS
14. 13. ALEXANDER KAPLAN
15. 14. JEREMY RAPHAEL KASHA
16. 15. ALIZA R. CINAMON
17. 16. KAREN E. CLARKE
18. 17. ALIZA ROSS
19. 18. GARY ROSS
20. 19. ALLEN I. FAGIN
21. 20. STACEY O'HAIRE FAHEY
22. 21. ALLISON D. SONDAK
23. 22. ALEXIS SOTERAKIS
24. 23. AMY F. MELICAN
25. 24. SILVANA M. MERLINO
26. 25. AMY J. DILCHER
27. 26. MALCOLM J. HARKINS, III
28. 27. AMY J. WILLIAMS
29. 28. HOWARD WILSON
30. 29. ANA VERMAL
31. 30. BALDASSARE VINTI
32. 31. ANDRE G. CASTAYBERT
33. 32. ROBERTA K. CHEVLOWE
34. 33. ANDREA ROSENBLUM
35. 34. CORY W. EICHHORN
36. 35. ANDREA S. RATTNER
37. 36. BRIAN S. RAUCH
38. 37. ANDREW D. LEVY
39. 38. FRED W. MATTLIN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

40.	39.	ANDREW I. GERBER	41.	40.	JAMES P. GERKIS
42.	41.	ANDREW M. GUTTERMAN	43.	42.	CHARLES GUTTMAN
44.	43.	ANDY S. OH	45.	44.	DAVID P. OLENER
46.	45.	ANTHONY J. ONCIDI	47.	46.	ANTHONY PACHECO
48.	47.	ANTHONY T. WLADYKA III	49.	48.	CHARLINE K. WRIGHT
50.	49.	AUDREY INGBER BENDER	51.	50.	SUSAN LEWIS BERGIN
52.	51.	AVITAI GOLD	53.	52.	LEON P. GOLD
54.	53.	AVRAM E. MORELL	55.	54.	DANIEL J. O'DONNELL
56.	55.	BALDASSARE VINTI			
57.	56.	BEATRICE POLA	58.	57.	MARIE PORTHE
59.	58.	BELA P. AMLADI	60.	59.	SUSAN AUFIERO
61.	60.	BENJAMIN SPECIALE	62.	61.	BROOKE H. SPIGLER
63.	62.	BERNARD M. HUSSON	64.	63.	WILLIAM KRISEL
65.	64.	BERNARD M. PLUM	66.	65.	JOHN F. POKORNY
67.	66.	BERT H. DEIXLER	68.	67.	JACK P. DICANIO
69.	68.	BERTRAM A. ABRAMS	70.	69.	NEIL H. ABRAMSON
71.	70.	BERTRAND C. SELLIER	72.	71.	RONALD D. SERNAU
73.	72.	BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE	74.	73.	STEVEN E. OBUS
75.	74.	BRIAN B. MARGOLIS	76.	75.	MICHAEL R. MARRA
77.	76.	BRIAN JEFFREY GERSHENGORN	78.	77.	LOREN M. GESINSKY
79.	78.	BRIAN L. FRIEDMAN	80.	79.	DAVID C. FRIEDMAN
81.	80.	BRUCE GORMAN JR.	82.	81.	ALAK R. GOSWAMI
83.	82.	CAROLE O'BLINES	84.	83.	JENNIFER O'BRIEN
85.	84.	CARRIE L. MITNICK	86.	85.	JEREMY M. MITTMAN
87.	86.	CELIA L. PASSARO	88.	87.	CARLA RAYNAL DE PASSOS
89.	88.	CHARLES E. DROPKIN	90.	89.	JENNIFER D. DUBERSTEIN
91.	90.	CHRISTINE KENNY	92.	91.	JUSTIN P. KILLIAN
93.	92.	CHRISTOPHER A. RAIMONDI	94.	93.	STEPHEN L. RATNER

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

95.	94.	CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER	96.	95.	CHRISTINE ALBER
97.	96.	CHRISTOPHER L. PENNINGTON	98.	97.	MICHAEL J. PERLOFF
99.	98.	CHRISTOPHER WOLF	100.	99.	MARK W. BATTEN
101.	100.	COLIN A. UNDERWOOD	102.	101.	DAIN CHARLES LANDON
103.	102.	COLIN M. PAGE	104.	103.	RICHARD S. REIG
105.	104.	DAIN CHARLES LANDON	106.	105.	FRANCIS D. LANDREY
107.	106.	DARYN A. GROSSMAN	108.	107.	CLAIRE P. GUTEKUNST
109.	108.	DAVID G. MIRANDA	110.	109.	KIMBERLY A. MOTTLEY
111.	110.	DAVID H. DIAMOND	112.	111.	DONALD C. DOWLING JR.
113.	112.	DAVID J. CERVENY	114.	113.	CHRISTOPHER CHUNG
115.	114.	DAVID J. WEINBERGER	116.	115.	LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN
117.	116.	DAVID M. ALIN	118.	117.	JULIE M. ALLEN
119.	118.	DAVID M. LEDERKRAMER	120.	119.	ANDREW L. LEE
121.	120.	DAVID N. ELLENHORN	122.	121.	KLAUS EPPLER
123.	122.	DEBORAH M. VERNON	124.	123.	SCOTT WITONSKY
125.	124.	DEVORA L. LINDEMAN	126.	125.	ERICA LOOMBA
127.	126.	DONALD E. 'ROCKY' THOMPSON II	128.	127.	STEPHANIE REED TRABAND
129.	128.	DONALD W. SAVELSON	130.	129.	GERALD W. SAWCZYN
131.	130.	DONNA A. CORRIGAN	132.	131.	PAULA M. CORSARO
133.	132.	DOUGLAS C. RENNIE	134.	133.	VICTORIA L. RICHTER
135.	134.	DYLAN FORD	136.	135.	TANYA L. FORSHEIT
137.	136.	DYLAN S. POLLACK	138.	137.	RENATA C. POMPA
139.	138.	EBEN A. KRIM	140.	139.	JUSTIN LUNDBERG
141.	140.	EDWARD A. BRILL	142.	141.	LAWRENCE H. BUDISH
143.	142.	EDWARD S. KORNREICH	144.	143.	RONALD S. KORNREICH
145.	144.	EDWARD TROY WERNER	146.	145.	MELISSA L. WESTBROOK
147.	146.	ELANA GILAAD	148.	147.	MARVIN M. GOLDSTEIN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

149. 148. ELANA R. BUTLER	150. 149. PERRY A. CACACE
151. 150. ELENA ERACLEOUS	152. 151. BRUCE E. FADER
153. 152. ELIZABETH M. GARRETT	154. 153. JEFFREY GENTES
155. 154. ELLEN H. MOSKOWITZ	156. 155. THOMAS M. MULLINS JR.
157. 156. FRANK P. SCIBILIA	158. 157. JENNIFER R. SCULLION
159. 158. FREDERICK WARREN STRASSER	160. 159. ERIC BRIAN TOPEL
161. 160. FREDRIC C. LEFFLER	162. 161. HOWARD N. LEFKOWITZ
163. 162. GAIL S. PORT	164. 163. CAROLINE S. PRESS
165. 164. GAURAV MALHOTRA	166. 165. CONOR MALINOWSKI
167. 166. GEORGE A. PINCUS	168. 167. JURATE SCHWARTZ
169. 168. GEORGE D. KARIBJANIAN	170. 169. ARLENE KARIN KLINE
171. 170. GERALD E. WORTH	172. 171. KIMBERLY L. BARBAR
173. 172. GREGG M. MASHBERG	174. 173. JESSICA MASTROGIOVANNI
175. 174. GWEN J. LOURIE	176. 175. ADAM M. LUPION
177. 176. HAROLD M. BRODY	178. 177. LISA ANNE CALLIF
179. 178. HARRY FRISCHER	180. 179. JOHN F. FULLERTON III
181. 180. HENRY O. SMITH III	182. 181. GERSHOM R. SMITH
183. 182. HERSCHEL GOLDFIELD	184. 183. HERMAN L. 'HANK' GOLDSMITH
185. 184. HOWARD Z. ROBBINS	186. 185. MARY TANG ROCHA
187. 186. IDO WARSHAVSKI	188. 187. JAY D. WAXENBERG
189. 188. ILISE S. ALBA	190. 189. RORY JUDD ALBERT
191. 190. ISAAC NESSER	192. 191. KRISTIN H. NEUMAN
193. 192. IVAN TABACK	194. 193. YUVAL TAL
195. 194. JACK P. JACKSON	196. 195. ARNOLD S. JACOBS
197. 196. JACOB I. FRIEDMAN	198. 197. WILBUR H. FRIEDMAN
199. 198. JAMES E. GREGORY	200. 199. JOHN H. GROSS
201. 200. JAMES H. SHALEK	202. 201. PETER J.W. SHERWIN
203. 202. JANICE K. SMITH	204. 203. JOHN H. SNYDER

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

205.	204.	JASON D. FERNBACH	206.	205.	ERIC M. FISHER
207.	206.	JE JUN MOON	208.	207.	EMERSON S. MOORE I
209.	208.	JEAN-BAPTISTE MARTIN	210.	209.	GUILLAUME PERRIER
211.	210.	JEAN-LUC CUADRADO	212.	211.	CHRISTOPHE HENIN
213.	212.	JEFFERY A. GROSS	214.	213.	JESSICA A. HERTHEL
215.	214.	JEFFREY A. LEHMAN	216.	215.	HENRY J. LEIBOWITZ
217.	216.	JEFFREY W ROSS	218.	217.	LAWRENCE J. ROTHENBERG
219.	218.	JEFFREY W. LEVITAN	220.	219.	JOSHUA L. LEVY
221.	220.	JENNIFER A. CAMACHO	222.	221.	JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR.
223.	222.	JENNIFER E. BURNS	224.	223.	DEVIN J. BURSTEIN
225.	224.	JENNIFER MORRIS COHEN	226.	225.	MARY ELIZABETH DENO
227.	226.	JEREMY M. BROWN	228.	227.	EDWARD CERASIA II
229.	228.	JEREMY P. OCZEK	230.	229.	ERIK SAARMAA
231.	230.	JEREMY R. FEINBERG	232.	231.	GLENN M. FEIT
233.	232.	JEROLD D. JACOBSON	234.	233.	ALAN S. JAFFE
235.	234.	JERRY L. DASTI	236.	235.	MARK E. DAVIDSON
237.	236.	JESSICA COHEN	238.	237.	SAUL S. COHEN
239.	238.	JESSICA L. FREIHEIT	240.	239.	TAMMY D. FRIED
241.	240.	JODY S. RIGER	242.	241.	KRISTIN S. ROZIC
243.	242.	JOHN C. STELLABOTTE	244.	243.	EMILY STERN
245.	244.	JOHN M. FOX-SNIDER	246.	245.	ALBERT W. GORTZ
247.	246.	JOHN R. SEEWALD JR.	248.	247.	ANNE N. SMITH
249.	248.	JOHN SIEGAL	250.	249.	ADAM D. SIEGARTEL
251.	250.	JOHN W. RITCHIE	252.	251.	SAMANTHA RIVKIND
253.	252.	JOHNATHAN C. DUNCAN	254.	253.	SCOTT A. EGGERS
255.	254.	JON A. BAUMGARTEN	256.	255.	ROBERT M. PLAINTIFF
257.	256.	JONATHAN E. RICH	258.	257.	MARY H. ROSE
259.	258.	JONATHAN H. ORAM	260.	259.	CHARLES B. ORTNER

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

261. 260. JORDAN B. LEADER	262. 261. MICHAEL J. LEBOWICH
263. 262. JOSEPH C. O'KEEFE	264. 263. JOANNE ORIZAL
265. 264. JOSEPH E. CASSON	266. 265. MARK A. CATAN
267. 266. JOSEPH M. LECCESE	268. 267. JEREMY LECHTZIN
269. 268. JOSEPH Y. CHOI	270. 269. RICKY CHUNG
271. 270. JOSHUA A. STEIN	272. 271. TOM STEIN
273. 272. JOSHUA D. PLAINTIFF	274. 273. ERIC H. BLINDERMAN
275. 274. JOSHUA F. ALLOY	276. 275. DANIEL ALTCHER
277. 276. JOSHUA W. RUTHIZER	278. 277. SCOTT K. RUTSKY
279. 278. JUDSON L. HAND	280. 279. LAURIE ELIZABETH HOLSEY
281. 280. JULIAN GOMEZ	282. 281. STEVEN P. GONZALEZ
283. 282. KARA ELLICE SIMMONS	284. 283. STEPHEN D. SOLOMON
285. 284. KATHLEEN F. PATERNO	286. 285. JOSHUA J. POLLACK
287. 286. KATHY H. ROCKLEN	288. 287. STEPHEN M. RODIN
289. 288. KELLY M. GALLIGAN	290. 289. HOWARD L. GANZ
291. 290. KENNETH RUBENSTEIN	292. 291. STEPHEN W. RUBIN
293. 292. KENNETH S. HILTON	294. 293. RUSSELL L. HIRSCHHORN
295. 294. KERRI L. STONE	296. 295. SHANE JOSEPH STROUD
297. 296. KEVIN J. PERRA	298. 297. MARK N. PERRIN
299. 298. KRISTEN W. PROHL	300. 299. ROBERT M. PROJANSKY
301. 300. LARRY BLISS	302. 301. BRADLEY R. BOBROFF
303. 302. LARRY M. LAVINSKY	304. 303. MICHAEL S. LAZAROFF
305. 304. LARY ALAN RAPPAPORT	306. 305. STEPHEN F. REED
307. 306. LAURA J. VARELA	308. 307. ALLAN H. WEITZMAN
309. 308. LAUREN K. BOGLIVI	310. 309. IRA G. BOGNER
311. 310. LAWRENCE J. LIPSON	312. 311. FRANK J. LOPEZ
313. 312. LAWRENCE Z. LORBER	314. 313. STEPHANIE L. MARN

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

315. 314. LEAH G. NEWKIRK	316. 315. AMANDA H. NUSSBAUM
317. 316. LEE K. CRAWFORD	318. 317. CHRISTINE D'ANGELO DE BRETTEVILLE
319. 318. LEE M. GOLDSMITH	320. 319. RICHARD M. GOLDSTEIN
321. 320. LEONARD S. BAUM	322. 321. JOSEPH BAUMGARTEN
323. 322. LIA M. PISTILLI	324. 323. BETTINA B. PLEVAN
325. 324. LINDA ZABRISKIE	326. 325. ERIN ZAVALKOFF
327. 326. LIONEL E. PASHKOFF	328. 327. DAVID A. RAPPAPORT
329. 328. LISA A. BAUER	330. 329. EDWIN M. BAUM
331. 330. LISA A. CHIAPPETTA	332. 331. MICHAEL J. CHIARAVALLOTI
333. 332. LISA A. HILL	334. 333. ROBERT H. HORN
335. 334. LISA M. STERN	336. 335. SETH A. STEVELMAN
337. 336. LLOYD B. CHINN	338. 337. STEVEN R. CHIODINI
339. 338. LOUIS GRECO	340. 339. EVAN S. GREENE
341. 340. LOUIS M. SOLOMON	342. 341. ORI SOLOMON
343. 342. M. DAVID ZURNDORFER	344. 343. ADAM CHRISTOPHER ABRAHMS
345. 344. MARA LAINIE TAYLOR	346. 345. SANJAY THAPAR
347. 346. MARA LERNER ROBBINS	348. 347. GAYLE COLEMAN
349. 348. MARC A. MANDELMAN	350. 349. EDWARD SCOTT MANHEIMER
351. 350. MARC ADAM PERSILY	352. 351. DAVID A. PICON
353. 352. MARC ELLIOT ALIFANZ	354. 353. HAROUTYUN ASATRIAN
355. 354. MARCELLA BALLARD	356. 355. LEE A. BARKAN
357. 356. MARCY HAHN-SAPERSTEIN	358. 357. LISA BERKOWITZ HERRNSON
359. 358. MARGARET J. BABB	360. 359. LISA G. BARENHOLTZ
361. 360. MARGUERITE STENSON WYNNE	362. 361. STEVEN YARUSINSKY
363. 362. MARK A. SALOMAN	364. 363. LAWRENCE R. SANDAK
365. 364. MARK J. BIROS	366. 365. BRUCE E. BOYDEN
367. 366. MARK THEODORE	368. 367. LOIS D. THOMPSON

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

369. 368. MARK W. LEVINE	370. 369. ROBERT J. LEVINSOHN
371. 370. MARTHA E. GIFFORD	372. 371. EVANDRO C. GIGANTE
373. 372. MARTIN J. OPPENHEIMER	374. 373. ALEXANDRA OPRESCU
375. 374. MATITHYOHU BALAS	376. 375. KELLY BALDWIN
377. 376. MATTHEW B. SABLOFF	378. 377. CANDACE SADY
379. 378. MATTHEW G. HEINZ	380. 379. CYNARA HERMES
381. 380. MATTHEW J. MORRIS	382. 381. SAMANTHA L. MORRIS
383. 382. MATTHEW S. QUELER	384. 383. PAUL I. RACHLIN
385. 384. MATTHEW WALDING	386. 385. ANA VERMAL
387. 386. MEGAN H. TINKER	388. 387. SUSAN A. TURNER
389. 388. MELISSA BETH DAVIS	390. 389. STEPHEN A. DEVANEY
391. 390. MEREDITH R. MILLER	392. 391. CLAUDE M. MILLMAN
393. 392. MICHAEL A. FIRESTEIN	394. 393. CHRISTINE E. FLORES
395. 394. MICHAEL A. KATZ	396. 395. WAYNE D. KATZ
397. 396. MICHAEL E. CALLAHAN	398. 397. ROBERT A. CANTONE
399. 398. MICHAEL E. FELDMAN	400. 399. TOBIAS FENTON
401. 400. MICHAEL E. FOREMAN	402. 401. JAMES H. FREEMAN
403. 402. MICHAEL E. SIEVERS	404. 403. ARTHUR F. SILBERGELD
405. 404. MICHAEL H. WEISS	406. 405. HOWARD WEITZMAN
407. 406. MICHAEL J. ALBUM	408. 407. KENNETH E. ALDOUS
409. 408. MICHAEL KRASNOVSKY	410. 409. STEFANIE S. KRAUS
411. 410. MICHAEL R. TRICARICO	412. 411. MATTHEW H. TRIGGS
413. 412. MICHAEL S. SIRKIN	414. 413. DAVID W. SLOAN
415. 414. MICHAEL T. MERVIS	416. 415. MICHELLE R. MIGDON
417. 416. MICHELE M. OVESEY	418. 417. JENIFER DEWOLF PAINE
419. 418. MICHELLE ILCZYSZYN	420. 419. GLORIA C. JAN
421. 420. MITCHELL M. GASWIRTH	422. 421. BERNARD D. GOLD

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

423. 422. MORGAN E. HANKIN	424. 423. WILLIAM M. HART
425. 424. MYRON D. RUMELD	426. 425. BRADLEY I. RUSKIN
427. 426. NANCY A. KILSON	428. 427. STEVEN L. KIRSHENBAUM
429. 428. NAVID YADEGAR	430. 429. MARTIN S. ZOHN
431. 430. NEAL S. SCHELBERG	432. 431. AARON J. SCHINDEL
433. 432. NILOOFAR NEJAT-BINA	434. 433. NKECHI C. ODU
435. 434. NOAH S. GITTERMAN	436. 435. GREGORY P. GNALL
437. 436. NUBIAA K. SHABAKA	438. 437. HAL S. SHAFTEL
439. 438. OLIVIER SAVELLI	440. 439. DELIA B. SPITZER
441. 440. PAMELA L. KRAMER,	442. 441. STEVEN C. KRANE
443. 442. PATRICK J. LAMPARELLO	444. 443. JAMES K. LANDAU
445. 444. PETER D. CONRAD	446. 445. KAREN D. COOMBS
447. 446. PETER G. SAMUELS	448. 447. GAIL SANGER
449. 448. PETER M. FASS	450. 449. ALAN FEDERBUSH
451. 450. PHILIP M. SUSSWEIN	452. 451. LISA A. SWEBERG
453. 452. RANDALL J. CUDE	454. 453. MARGARET A. DALE
455. 454. RICHARD A. LEVIN	456. 455. ARNOLD J. LEVINE
457. 456. RICHARD H. ROWE	458. 457. JAMES F. SEGROVES
459. 458. RICHARD L. GOLDBERG	460. 459. BRUCE N. GOLDBERGER
461. 460. RICHARD L. SPINOGATTI	462. 461. JACK B. SPIZZ
463. 462. RICHARD MARMARO	464. 463. HAYES F. MICHEL
465. 464. RICHARD S. BASUK	466. 465. L. ROBERT BATTERMAN
467. 466. RICHARD S. BASUK	468. 467. L. ROBERT BATTERMAN
469. 468. RIMA MOAWAD	470. 469. LAMIAA MOHAMED
471. 470. ROBERT J. CLEARY	472. 471. ALAN S. COHEN
473. 472. ROBERT J. KAFIN	474. 473. EVAN L. KAHN
475. 474. ROBERT JACOBOWITZ	476. 475. STUART T. KAPP

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

477. 476. ROBERT K. KANE	478. 477. ADAM J. KANSLER
479. 478. ROBERT M. KAUFMAN	480. 479. STEPHEN R. KAYE
481. 480. ROBERT S. MAYER	482. 481. KATHLEEN M. MCKENNA
483. 482. RONALD R. PAPA	484. 483. VINCENZO PAPARO
485. 484. RONALD S. RAUCHBERG	486. 485. AMY B. REGAN
487. 486. RONNIE BETH LASKY	488. 487. STEPHANIE E. LEVINE
489. 488. ROSE J. MURPHY	490. 489. MICHAEL R. NEIDELL
491. 490. ROY P. SALINS	492. 491. PAUL SALVATORE
493. 492. RUSSELL A. WETANSON	494. 493. MICHAEL A. WORONOFF
495. 494. SALLY L. SCHNEIDER	496. 495. DALE A. SCHREIBER
497. 496. SALONI MAVANI	498. 497. VALARIE H. MCPHERSON
499. 498. SAMIR N. SHAH	500. 499. MONICA J. SHILLING
501. 500. SAMUEL L. MARTIN	502. 501. CARLOS E. MARTINEZ
503. 502. SANDRA A. CRAWSHAW	504. 503. ROBYN S. CROSSON
505. 504. SARA KRAUSS	506. 505. MARK A. KREITMAN
507. 506. SARAH S. GOLD	508. 507. NOLAN M. GOLDBERG
509. 508. SARI GABAY RAFIY	510. 509. PETER P. RAHBAR
511. 510. SCOTT P. COOPER	512. 511. SEAN R. COUTAIN
513. 512. SCOTT R. LANDAU	514. 513. NATHAN R. LANDER
515. 514. SETH B. SCHAFLER	516. 515. MAGDA SCHALER-HAYNES
517. 516. SHONA MACK-POLLOCK	518. 517. SUSANNAH J. MALEN
519. 518. SIMON BLOCK	520. 519. JAMAAR M. BOYD
521. 520. SIMONE R. COLEY	522. 521. CHRISTOPHER J. COLLINS
523. 522. SOLOMON L. WARHAFTIG	524. 523. BARRY E. WARNER
525. 524. STACEY M. MOORE	526. 525. THOMAS C. MOORE
527. 526. STACEY P. HERBERT	528. 527. JAMES P. HOLLOWAY
529. 528. STACY L. KLEIN	530. 529. SERGEY KOLMYKOV

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

531. 530. STANLEY KOMAROFF	532. 531. JANET B. KORINS
533. 532. STEPHANIE T. SASAKI	534. 533. DAVID R. SCHEIDEMANTLE
535. 534. STEVEN A. BEEDE	536. 535. DAVID BENNETT BELL
537. 536. STEVEN A. FISHMAN	538. 537. MARGO S. FLUG
539. 538. STEVEN A. MEETRE	540. 539. FERN R. MEHLER
541. 540. STEVEN D. WEINSTEIN	542. 541. CAROLINE LISA WERNER
543. 542. STEVEN H. HOLINSTAT	544. 543. JEFFREY A. HORWITZ
545. 544. STEVEN L. LICHTENFELD	546. 545. BRUCE L. LIEB
547. 546. STEVEN M. BAUER	548. 547. DANIEL J. PLAINTIFF
549. 548. STEVEN M. KAYMAN	550. 549. BRIANNA C. KENNY
551. 550. STUART J. GOLDSTEIN	552. 551. IRA M. GOLUB
553. 552. STUART M. COHEN	554. 553. ANTHONY C. COLES
555. 554. SUSAN D. FRIEDFEL	556. 555. ERIC D. FRIEDLANDER
557. 556. SUSAN JOE	558. 557. DINA R. JOHNSON
559. 558. SUSAN L. WIENER	560. 559. ALLAN R. WILLIAMS
561. 560. THOMAS A. MCKINNEY	562. 561. JULIA MCMILLEN
563. 562. THOMAS W. DOLLINGER	564. 563. ANDREW S. EITINGON
565. 564. TIFFANY A. LEVATO	566. 565. IAN LLOYD LEVIN
567. 566. TRACEY I. LEVY	568. 567. OLIVERIO LEW
569. 568. TRACEY ROGERS	570. 569. STUART L. ROSOW
571. 570. TRACY E. AUGUSTINE	572. 571. HOWARD D. BEHAR
573. 572. TRISTA E. SCHROEDER	574. 573. MARVIN SEARS
575. 574. TRISTAN AUDOUARD	576. 575. GREGORY BASNIER
577. 576. TZVI HIRSHAUT	578. 577. SHELDON I. HIRSHON
579. 578. VALERIE J. FASOLO	580. 579. PATRICIA LARREA GANNON
581. 580. VANESSA M. THOMAS	582. 581. JULIE A. TIRELLA
583. 582. VANESSA NICOLE KLINE	584. 583. KENNETH KRUG

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

585. 584. WANDA L. ELLERT	586. 585. ROSETTA E. ELLIS
587. 586. WENDY J. SCHRIBER	588. 587. JOHN W. SCHUCH
589. 588. WENDY T. WU	590. 589. ELISE A. YABLONSKI
591. 590. YANIV DAVE SILBERMAN	592. 591. CAROLE SIMON
593. 592. YASMINE TARASEWICZ	594. 593. NATHALIE V EUILLOT
595. 594. YELENA SIMONYUK	596. 595. CHARLES S. SIMS
597. 596. YULEE PARK	598. 597. KATHARINE H. PARKER
599. 598. YVETTE GORDON JENNINGS	600. 599. MAGDALE LINDA LABBE
601. 600. YVONNE Y. BOTCHEY	602. 601. JOHN R. BRAATZ

1. MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSEL, P.C.

604. 1. STEPHEN M. BREITSTONE	605. 2. HOWARD M. ESTERCES
606. 3. LORETTA M. GASTWIRTH	607. 4. RONI E. GLASER
608. 5. SHELDON M. GOLDSTEIN	609. 6. IRA R. HALPERIN
610. 7. JOSEPH KATZ	611. 8. RICHARD A. LIPPE
612. 9. THOMAS J. MCGOWAN	613. 10. MARC BEKERMAN
614. 11. GARY M. MELTZER	615. 12. LEWIS S. MELTZER
616. 13. DAVID I. SCHAFFER	617. 14. MICHAEL J. SCHAFFER
618. 15. IRWIN SCHERAGO	619. 16. MICHAEL J. WEINER
620. 17. CHAIM BERKOWITZ	621. 18. MARIANNE J. GALLIPOLI
622. 19. EREZ TUCNER	623. 20. GERALD P. HALPERN
624. 21. RICHARD REICHLER	625. 22. HERBERT W. SOLOMON
626. 23. BERNARD TANNENBAUM	627. 24. KENNETH RUBENSTEIN
628. 25. RAYMOND A. JOAO;	629. 26. FRANK MARTINEZ;
630. 27. HERBERT W. SOLOMON	631. 28. RICHARD REICHLER
632. 29. NEIL H. ACKERMAN	633. 30. CHARLES A. BILICH

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

634. 31. STEPHEN M. BREITSTONE

635. 32. HOWARD M. ESTERCES

636. 33. LORETTA M. GASTWIRTH

637. 34. RONI E. GLASER

1. FOLEY & LARDNER

639. 1. WILLIAM J. DICK

640. 2. DOUGLAS BOEHM

641. 3. ABRAHAM, JR.,

642. 4. ABROHAMS, BENJAMIN

643. 5. ACEVEDO, LISA J.

644. 6. ADAMS, CHRISTI R.

645. 7. ADKINS, AKITA N.

646. 8. ADLER, M. PETER

647. 9. AGARWAL, PAVAN K.

648. 10. AIELLO, MARK A.

649. 11. AKERS, BRIAN P.

650. 12. ALBERT, JR, G. PETER

651. 13. ALBERT, RICHARD M.

652. 14. ALLEN, JASON W.

653. 15. ALLEN, MARY ELLEN

654. 16. AMES, WESLEY B.

655. 17. ANDERSON, BRYAN S.

656. 18. ANDERSON, MATHEW

657. 19. ANDERSON, SCOTT D.

658. 20. ANDERSON, THOMAS K.

659. 21. ANDRES, MATTHEW N.

660. 22. ANNIS, MICHAEL D.

661. 23. ANWAR, HEMA R.

662. 24. APRAHAMIAN, MICHAEL

663. 25. ARKIN, J. GORDON

664. 26. ARNOLD, LAURENCE R.

665. 27. ARNTSEN, ALLEN A.

666. 28. ARONOFF, YONATON

667. 29. ARTICOLA, PHILLIP J.

668. 30. ASH, GEORGE W.

669. 31. ASTOLFI, PAUL J.

670. 32. ATKIN, JEFFERY R.

671. 33. AUEN, MICHAEL H.

672. 34. AVERY-SMITH, ELLEN

673. 35. BAIG, MICHAEL S.

674. 36. BAILEY, MICHAEL G.

675. 37. BAIRD, JAMES H.

676. 38. BAKER, MARION E.

677. 39. BALLMANN, KENLEE V.

678. 40. BARBATANO, SALVATORE A.

679. 41. BARDSLEY, JOEL B.

680. 42. BARGLOW, JASON N.

681. 43. BARGREN, PAUL

682. 44. BARNER, SHARON R.

683. 45. BARNES, LAURIE E.

684. 46. BARNES, PAGE R.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

685. 47. BARNES, PAUL M.	686. 48. BARRON, RUSSELL J.
687. 49. BARTH, STEVEN R.	688. 50. BATES, CHERYL M.
689. 51. BATES, DAVID J.	690. 52. BATES, JEFFREY R.
691. 53. BATHIA, VINEETA A.	692. 54. BAUMAN, BRIAN W.
693. 55. BAXA JR., EDMUND T.	694. 56. BAXTER, ANN E.
695. 57. BEATTY, JOSEPH W.	696. 58. BECK, GEORGE C.
697. 59. BECKER, STEVEN C.	698. 60. BECKER, WESLEY N.
699. 61. BECKWITH, DAVID E.	700. 62. BEETZ, L. ELIZABETH
701. 63. BEEZY, MIRIAM C.	702. 64. BELL, CALLIE M.
703. 65. BELONGIA, HEIDI L.	704. 66. BEMENT, CHAD E.
705. 67. BENATOR, SARAH G.	706. 68. BENFIELD, LINDA E.
707. 69. BENNER, CHARLES A.	708. 70. BENSLEY, NORMAN C.
709. 71. BENT, JASON R.	710. 72. BENT, STEPHEN A.
711. 73. BENZ, WILLIAM H.	712. 74. BERMAN, MYLES D.
713. 75. PLAINTIFF, ROBERT S.	714. 76. BERRY, CHRISTOPHER
715. 77. BEST, GEORGE C.	716. 78. BEWERSDORF, RYAN S.
717. 79. BIEHL, MICHAEL M.	718. 80. BIERMAN, JAMES N.
719. 81. BILAS, LAURA L.	720. 82. BILL, ARTHUR H.
721. 83. BILODEAU, THOMAS G.	722. 84. BINDER, ROBERT L.
723. 85. BIRMINGHAM JR., JOHN	724. 86. BIRR III, JAMES O.
725. 87. BISHOP, MARTIN J.	726. 88. BLACKER, RICHARD A.
727. 89. BLANCHARD-SAIGER, GAIL M.	728. 90. BLANK, BRUCE I.
729. 91. BLUMENTHAL, DAVID	730. 92. BLUTSTEIN, ELIZABETH
731. 93. BOATWRIGHT, JENNIFER L.	732. 94. BOBBER, BERNARD J.
733. 95. BOER, RALF-REINHARD	734. 96. BONNER, ROBERT J.
735. 97. BONNEY, LARRY J.	736. 98. BORNSTEIN, THEODORE
737. 99. BOSWORTH, WENDY REED	738. 100. BOWEN, MICHAEL A.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

739. 101. BOYD, W. J. DOUGLASS	740. 102. BRADLEY, ROBERT B.
741. 103. BRAHM, JOHN W.	742. 104. BRANCH, JOSEPH C.
743. 105. BRAYER, MICHAEL S.	744. 106. BRAZA, MARY K.
745. 107. BREMER, JASON A.	746. 108. BREUER, MATTHEW G.
747. 109. BREWER, CHRISTOPHER	748. 110. BREWER, TREVOR K.
749. 111. BRINCKERHOFF, COURTENAY C.	750. 112. BRODY, JAMES P.
751. 113. BROEKING, JAMES M.	752. 114. BROMLEY, RICHARD
753. 115. BROOKS, JOHN T.	754. 116. BROWN, LOWELL C.
755. 117. BROWN, MARSHALL J.	756. 118. BROWN, MELISSA C.
757. 119. BROWN, SHARIE A.	758. 120. BRUCH, GREGORY S.
759. 121. BRUECKEL, BECKY	760. 122. BUCK, DOUGLAS S.
761. 123. BUDDE, TOM L.	762. 124. BUENGER, JAMES A.
763. 125. BUENING, STACY E.	764. 126. BUGGE, LAWRENCE J.
765. 127. BURCH, MARCUS A.	766. 128. BURKA, ROBERT A.
767. 129. BURKE, NORMAN F.	768. 130. BURMAN, TERRI R.
769. 131. BURROUS, BETH A.	770. 132. BURT, MELISSA A.
771. 133. BURTON, DANIEL N.	772. 134. BUTWINICK, JEFFREY
773. 135. CADDELL, DOUGLAS D.	774. 136. CADDELL, DOUGLAS D.
775. 137. CAHILL, JANE A.	776. 138. CAIN, CHRISTOPHER C.
777. 139. CALLAGHAN, KRISTA L.	778. 140. CALLAN, JOHN F.
779. 141. CALLEN, SCOTT	780. 142. CAMMARANO, TERRI WAGNER
781. 143. CANTOR, ALAN I.	782. 144. CARAGHER, JAMES M.
783. 145. CARDEN, DOUGLAS L.	784. 146. CAREY, RAYMOND J.
785. 147. CAREY, RAYMOND R.	786. 148. CARLBERG, RUSSELL L
787. 149. CARLSON JR., HARRY V.	788. 150. CARLUCCI, THOMAS F.
789. 151. CARROLL, RONALD N.	790. 152. CARTER, CHARLES G.
791. 153. CASAS, CARLA M.	792. 154. CASPER, RICHARD H.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

793. 155. CAVANAUGH, MICHAEL	794. 156. CAVEN JR., JOHN W.
795. 157. CHAFFEE, BRENT M.	796. 158. CHAMEIDES, STEVEN B.
797. 159. CHAN, ALISTAIR K.	798. 160. CHATTERJEE, AARON
799. 161. CHEATHAM, ROBERT	800. 162. CHEREK, KRISTINE S.
801. 163. CHESTER, MAKSIM	802. 164. CHETTLE, JOHN H.
803. 165. CHIAIESE, BETH E.	804. 166. CHILTON, BRIAN S.
805. 167. CHINONIS, THOMAS J.	806. 168. CHOI, RICHARD T.
807. 169. CHONG, SUET M.	808. 170. CHOUNDAS, MARINA A.
809. 171. CHRISTIANSEN, JON P.	810. 172. CHRISTIANSEN, KEITH
811. 173. CHRISTIE, R LEE	812. 174. CHUDNOVSKY, CHRISTINE P.
813. 175. CHURCH, GILBERT W.	814. 176. CLARK, ALLAN P.
815. 177. CLARK, DOUGLAS B.	816. 178. CLARK, JAMES R.
817. 179. COCHRAN, R. GREGORY	818. 180. COHEN, GARY O.
819. 181. COHEN, HOWARD W.	820. 182. COHN, JONATHON E.
821. 183. COLLING, DANIEL P.	822. 184. COLLINS, ANNE A.
823. 185. COMMANDER III, CHARLES E.	824. 186. COMPTON, MICHELE M
825. 187. CONLEY, WILLIAM M.	826. 188. CONN, LAWRENCE C.
827. 189. CONNELLY, JAMES P.	828. 190. CONNOLLY JR., WALTER
829. 191. CONOHAN, JAMES R.	830. 192. CONTI, ANTHONY D.
831. 193. CONWAY, MICHAEL M.	832. 194. COOK, DAVID C.
833. 195. COOPER III, JOHN C.	834. 196. COREY, ELIZABETH L.
835. 197. COREY, JOANN K.	836. 198. COSENZA, MARTIN J.
837. 199. COSLICK, RONALD	838. 200. COSTAKOS, JEFFREY N.
839. 201. COTHROLL, BRIAN E.	840. 202. COX, KATHRYN E.
841. 203. CRANE, STEPHEN A.	842. 204. CREELY, CURT P.
843. 205. CROSBIE, MICHAEL D.	844. 206. CUNNINGHAM, GEORGE
845. 207. CURTIS, CHRISTY L.	846. 208. CUSHMAN, VIRGINIA I.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

847. 209. DANCE, SIMON E.	848. 210. D'ANGELO, JULIE A.
849. 211. DANIELS, TYMON C.	850. 212. DASSO, JAMES D.
851. 213. DAUGHERTY, PATRICK	852. 214. DAVENPORT III, GORDON
853. 215. DAVIS, GARDNER F.	854. 216. DAVIS, RICHARD S.
855. 217. DAWSON, JOHN R.	856. 218. DAY, SCOTT M.
857. 219. DE GYARFAS, VICTOR S.	858. 220. DECASTRO, JOSE-MANUEL A.
859. 221. DEGOOYER, JOHN G.	860. 222. DEKOVEN, RONALD
861. 223. DELAHUNTY JR., TERENCE J.	862. 224. DELEHUNT, MICHAEL
863. 225. DEMARET-FLEMING, VALERIE M.	864. 226. DHAND, SANJEEV K.
865. 227. DIAZ, EMILY F.	866. 228. DICASTRI, FRANK W.
867. 229. DICKINSON, LLOYD J.	868. 230. DILIBERTI, MARK J.
869. 231. DINNEEN-LONG, CHRISTIAN B.	870. 232. DIONISOPOULOS, GEORGE A.
871. 233. DIPASQUALE, BENN S.	872. 234. DODD, KIMBERLY K.
873. 235. DODSON, MARIAN E.	874. 236. DOOGAL, DALJIT S.
875. 237. DOOGE, GREGG H.	876. 238. DOOHAN, PAULINE E.
877. 239. DORFMAN, MARC B.	878. 240. DOUGHTY, BRUCE W.
879. 241. DOUGLAS BOEHM	880. 242. DOUGLAS, JOHN H.
881. 243. DOW, RODNEY H.	882. 244. DRAGICH, DAVID G.
883. 245. DRUMMOND, ROBERT	884. 246. DRYER, EDWIN JASON
885. 247. DUHART, SERITA	886. 248. DUROSE, RICHARD A.
887. 249. EADS, JOAN L.	888. 250. EARLY, SCOTT E.
889. 251. EDMONDSON JR., JOSEPH D.	890. 252. EDWARDS, MARK A.
891. 253. EDWARDS, TED B.	892. 254. EGAN, KEVIN J.
893. 255. EGGERS, KATHLEEN M.	894. 256. EISNAUGLE, ERIC J.
895. 257. EISNER, ADAM J.	896. 258. ELIAS, PETER J.
897. 259. ELLIS, MEGAN J.	898. 260. ELLIS, WILLIAM T.
899. 261. ELLISEN, E. PATRICK	900. 262. ELSON, ELIZABETH S.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

901. 263. ENGSTROM, HARRY C.	902. 264. ENTIN, FREDRIC J.
903. 265. EPSTEIN, BENNETT L.	904. 266. ERENS, JAY
905. 267. FARNEY, DENNIS R.	906. 268. FATTAHI, SAHYEH S.
907. 269. FEE, PATRICK P.	908. 270. FELDHAUS, JOHN J.
909. 271. FELDKAMP, FREDERICK	910. 272. FENDRICK, WILLIAM K.
911. 273. FETZER, PETER D.	912. 274. FISCHER, BRAD S.
913. 275. FISHER, STEPHEN M.	914. 276. FITZGERALD, KEVIN G.
915. 277. FLANAGAN, MICHAEL D.	916. 278. FLECK, DAVID H.
917. 279. FLORSHEIM, RICHARD	918. 280. FO, ANTHONY K.L
919. 281. FOGT JR., HOWARD W.	920. 282. FOLEY, MARK F.
921. 283. FONNER, CYNTHIA A.	922. 284. FONSS, CHRISTIAN P.
923. 285. FORREST, JEFFREY W.	924. 286. FORTNER, CARL D.
925. 287. FOWLER, KEVIN D.	926. 288. FOX, STEVEN R.
927. 289. FRAKES, JENNIFER A.	928. 290. FRANECKI, CYNTHIA J.
929. 291. FRANK, EVE L.	930. 292. FRANZON, ANDERS W.
931. 293. FRAUTSCHI, TIMOTHY	932. 294. FREDERICKSEN, SCOTT
933. 295. FREEDMAN, DAVID G.	934. 296. FREEDMAN, JAY W.
935. 297. FREMLIN, GRACE PARKE	936. 298. FRIEDMAN, ARTHUR S.
937. 299. FRIEDRICHSEN, BERNARD P.	938. 300. FROILAND, DAVID J B
939. 301. FURLONG, HEIDI M.	940. 302. FURRER, PETER C.
941. 303. GAGE, LAURA J.	942. 304. GALLAGHER, RICHARD
943. 305. GARMER III, BENJAMIN	944. 306. GARRISON, LATASHA A
945. 307. GASTI, DANIEL N.	946. 308. GAVIN, JOHN N.
947. 309. GAY, FRANCIS V.	948. 310. GAY, MICHAEL B.
949. 311. GEENEN, NANCY J.	950. 312. GEHL, MICHAEL A.
951. 313. GEILFUSS II, C FREDERICK	952. 314. GEIST JR., ROBERT C.
953. 315. GEMPELER, HENRY A.	954. 316. GEORGE, LADALE K.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

955. 317. GERENRAICH, STEVEN	956. 318. GIANOS, DIANE E.
957. 319. GIBBONS, MEGAN C.	958. 320. GIBSON, LEO J.
959. 321. GILLMAN, CATHERINE	960. 322. GILLS, JEANNE M.
961. 323. GO, ARMAND C.	962. 324. GOBLE, AMIE M.
963. 325. GODES, JAMES N.	964. 326. GOLDBERG, PHILLIP M.
965. 327. GOLDSTEIN, ROBERT E.	966. 328. GONZALEZ KNAVEL, MARIA E.
967. 329. GOODFELLOW, LYNN R	968. 330. GOODMAN, GEOFFREY
969. 331. GOODMAN, GEORGE R.	970. 332. GORANSON, ANDREA J.
971. 333. GORMLEY, JAMES H.	972. 334. GOROFF, DAVID B.
973. 335. GOULD, BENJAMIN F.	974. 336. GRANE, KAREN M.
975. 337. GRAY, ELIZABETH P.	976. 338. GREBE, MICHAEL W.
977. 339. GREELEY, JAMES E.	978. 340. GREEN, EDWARD J.
979. 341. GREENWELL, STACIE Y.	980. 342. GRIFFIN, CHRISTOPHER L.
981. 343. GRIFFITH, DONALD E.	982. 344. GRODIN, JAMES S.
983. 345. GROETHE, REED	984. 346. GROSSMAN, BARRY L.
985. 347. GROVE, TREVOR R.	986. 348. GULBIS, VITAUTS M.
987. 349. GUNDERSEN, JEFFREY	988. 350. GUNDRUM, RALPH J.
989. 351. GUSTAFSON, ADAM M.	990. 352. GUZZO, GARY A.
991. 353. HAGEN, HAROLD A.	992. 354. HAKIM, ANAT
993. 355. HALFENGER, G MICHAEL	994. 356. HALL, GREGORY J.
995. 357. HALLOIN, MARY ANN C.	996. 358. HAMILTON, JOHN R.
997. 359. HAMMOND, EDWARD J.	998. 360. HANEWICZ, WAYNE O.
999. 361. HANIGAN, ELIZABETH	1000. 362. HANNA, SANDRA M.
1001. 363. HANNING, JR., F.	1002. 364. HANRAHAN, PHILLIP
ROBERTS	J.
1003. 365. HANSEN, LINDA E.B.	1004. 366. HANZLIK, PAUL F.
1005. 367. HARPER, CHARLES	1006. 368. HARRELL, JESSIE L.
D.	

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1007. C.	369. HARRINGTON, IRVIN	1008. RICHARD L.	370. HARRINGTON,
1009.	371. HART, RACHELLE R.	1010. E.	372. HARTMAN, THOMAS
1011.	373. HATCH, MICHAEL W.	1012.	374. HAVLIK, KRISTINE L.
1013. RICHARD W.	375. HAWTHORNE,	1014.	376. HAYES, RICHARD J.
1015.	377. HAYNIE, VAN E.	1016.	378. HEATH, KYLE J.
1017. V.	379. HEDRICK, CHARLES	1018. MICHAEL	380. HEFFERNAN,
1019. ROBERT	381. HEFFERNAN,	1020.	382. HEIMER, DORIT S.
1021.	383. HEINRICH, JULIE L.	1022.	384. HELD, KATHLEEN R.
1023.	385. HELLIGE, JAMES R.	1024. F.	386. HENSCHER, ROUGET
1025. CARLISLE	387. HERBERT, WM	1026.	388. HESS, DANIEL M.
1027. E.	389. HIETT, KIMBERLEE	1028. L.	390. HIGDON, DEBORAH
1029. JOSEPH	391. HILDEBRANDT,	1030. H.	392. HILFINGER, STEVEN
1031.	393. HILL III, LEWIS H.	1032.	394. HITE, BEVERLY H.
1033.	395. HIZNAY, JULIET D.	1034. KARL	396. HOCHKAMMER,
1035. J.	397. HODGES, LAWSIKIA	1036.	398. HOEFT, DAVID S.
1037. F.	399. HOFFMAN, SAMUEL	1038. A.	400. HOGAN, CAROLINE
1039.	401. HOLKEBOER, VAN E.	1040. MARCUS A.	402. HOLLABAUGH,
1041.	403. HOLT, JEREMY	1042. MARIANNE	404. HOLZHALL,
1043.	405. HORAN, JOHN P.	1044.	406. HORN, CAROLE A.
1045.	407. HOUSE, BRYAN B.	1046.	408. HOWE, TIMOTHY J.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1047. T.	409. HOWELL, CHANLEY	1048. F.	410. HOWELL, ROBERTA
1049. R.	411. HRDLICK, THOMAS	1050.	412. HUANG, STEPHEN D.
1051.	413. HUBER, JAMES O.	1052.	414. HUFF, MARSHA E.
1053. GRIM	415. HUGHES, KRISTEN	1054.	416. HULEATT, JAYME A.
1055.	417. HUNTER, PAUL S.	1056.	418. HUSTON, JAMES L.
1057.	419. HWANG, JOSEPH R.	1058.	420. HYDE, KEVIN E.
1059. K.	421. IMPOLA, MATTHEW	1060.	422. INCIARDI, SCOTT P.
1061.	423. IRELAND, EMORY	1062.	424. ITO, PETER W.
1063. M.	425. ITZKOFF, DONALD	1064. D.	426. JACKSON, BRADLEY
1065.	427. JACOBS, EPHRAIM	1066.	428. JAMES, THOMAS L.
1067.	429. JASPAN, STANLEY S.	1068.	430. JEFFERY, DONALD D.
1069.	431. JEFFERY, HEIDI H.	1070.	432. JELENCIC, SARAH O.
1071.	433. JESKE, DEAN M.	1072.	434. JESKE, JERALD L.
1073.	435. JEWETT, HILARY	1074.	436. JOHNS, RICHARD W.
1075. R.	437. JOHNSON, BRADLEY	1076. RICHARD	438. JOHNSON, C
1077. P.	439. JOHNSON, WILLIAM	1078.	440. JONES, JAMES T.
1079.	441. JONES, JEFFREY J.	1080.	442. JONES, PAUL J.
1081. ARTHUR W.	443. JORGENSEN III,	1082.	444. JUDGE, RICHARD J.
1083.	445. JULIAN, JASON M.	1084.	446. JUNG, BRYAN T D
1085.	447. KAAS, BRIAN S.	1086.	448. KALYVAS, JAMES R.
1087.	449. KAMINSKI, MICHAEL	1088.	450. KANWIT, GLEN H.
1089.	451. KAPLAN, DANIEL A.	1090.	452. KARON, SHELDON
1091.	453. KARRON, JENNIFER	1092.	454. KASHANI, MIR SAIED

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

G.

1093.	455. KASSEL, MARK A.	1094. TOSHIAKI R.	456. KAWAGUCHI,
1095.	457. KEENER, JASON J.	1096.	458. KELLER, GEORGE H.
1097.	459. KELSO, LINDA Y.	1098.	460. KENNY, GEORGE E.
1099.	461. KESSLER, JOAN F.	1100.	462. KEYES, BRUCE A.
1101. WILLIAM J.	463. KIERNAN, JR.,	1102. MICHELLE	464. KILE, MARY
1103.	465. KING, IVONNE MENA	1104.	466. KING, THERESE C.
1105.	467. KING, WILLIAM D.	1106.	468. KIZER, SCOTT A.
1107.	469. KLEIN, KENNETH S.	1108.	470. KLEMZ, NICOLE A.
1109.	471. KLUG, SCOTT L.	1110. CHRISTOPHER N.	472. KNIGHT,
1111.	473. KNOX II, W. DAVID	1112.	474. KOCH, GARY D.
1113. J.	475. KOEHLER, MICHAEL	1114.	476. KOENEN, FREDERICK
1115.	477. KOEPPL, KELLY L.	1116.	478. KOHLER, MICHAEL P.
1117.	479. KOPP, JEFFREY S.	1118. ALLAN	480. KORITZINSKY,
1119. SUSAN	481. KOVAROVICS,	1120.	482. KREBS, THOMAS P.
1121.	483. KRIDER, LEAH M.	1122.	484. KROLL, AMY N.
1123.	485. KROSIN, KENNETH E.	1124. S.	486. KUBALE, BERNARD
1125.	487. KUGLER, CARL R.	1126.	488. KURTZ, HARVEY A.
1127.	489. LACH, DANA M.	1128. MARILYN	490. LAGERMAN,
1129.	491. LAHR, JACK L.	1130. C.	492. LAMBERT, STEVEN
1131. Y.	493. LAMB-HALE, NICOLE	1132.	494. LAMONT, SUSAN
1133.	495. LANDE, CHARLES A.	1134.	496. LANDGRAF, THOMAS

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

		N.	
1135.	497. LANDIS, JAMES M.	1136.	498. LANDIS, JOHN R.
1137.	499. LANE, PATRICIA J.	1138.	500. LANGENFELD, MARK L.
1139. RICHARD	501. LASATER II,	1140.	502. LASKIS, MICHAEL G.
1141. THOMAS C	503. LAUERMAN,	1142.	504. LAVENDER, JASON E.
1143.	505. LAW, GLENN	1144.	506. LAWRENCE IV, WAYMAN C.
1145. KATHERINE	507. LAZARSKI,	1146.	508. LAZARUS, JOHN M.
1147.	509. LEE, ANNE A.	1148.	510. LEE, LADONNA Y.
1149.	511. LEE, NHAN T.	1150.	512. LEE, ZHU
1151.	513. LEFFEL, MICHAEL D.	1152.	514. LEIBERG, CHARLES M.
1153.	515. LEMMO, JOHN C.	1154.	516. LENAIN, ADAM C.
1155.	517. LENTINI, DAVID P.	1156.	518. LENZ, ETHAN D.
1157.	519. LEONARD, JERRIS	1158.	520. LEONARD, KATHLEEN
1159. ROBERT	521. LEVENTHAL,	1160.	522. LEVER JR., CHAUNCEY
1161.	523. LEVIN, BENJAMIN D.	1162.	524. LEVITT, MELINDA F.
1163.	525. LIEN, JOHN D.	1164.	526. LIGNIER, SOPHIE
1165. JONATHAN	527. LINDEKE,	1166.	528. LINDENBAUM, KEITH D
1167. C.	529. LINZMEYER, PETER	1168.	530. LITTLE, THOMAS M.
1169.	531. LOBBIN, STEPHEN M.	1170.	532. LOCHMANN, JESSICA S.
1171.	533. LOFTON, LAUREN K.	1172.	534. LONG, CAROLYN T.
1173.	535. LONG, J CRAIG	1174.	536. LORD JR., JOHN S.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1175. M.	537. LORIE, ELIZABETH	1176.	538. LOTT, DAVID S.
1177.	539. LOTUS, JOSEPH J.	1178. M.	540. LOTZIA, EMERSON
1179.	541. LUCEY, DAVID M.	1180.	542. LUDWIG, BRETT H.
1181. C.	543. LUEDER, MICHAEL	1182.	544. LUEDERS, WAYNE R.
1183. G.	545. LUETTGEN, DAVID	1184.	546. LUND, MORTEN
1185. C.	547. LUNDE III, MARVIN	1186. T.	548. LYNCH, LAWRENCE
1187.	549. MAASSEN, ERIC L.	1188.	550. MACK, PETER G.
1189. B.	551. MAEBIUS, STEPHEN	1190.	552. MAHE, HENRY E.
1191.	553. MAIDA, THOMAS J.	1192.	554. MAIO, F ANTHONY
1193.	555. MAISA, SUSAN R.	1194. D.	556. MAKOWSKI, KEVIN
1195.	557. MALEK, JODI L.	1196. CHRISTOPHER R.	558. MALONEY,
1197. L.	559. MALZAHN, ANGELA	1198.	560. MANKOFSKY, LISA S.
1199.	561. MANN, MARTIN D.	1200. MICHELLE	562. MANNING,
1201.	563. MARASHI, MOEIN	1202. VINCENT	564. MARCHETTI,
1203. P.	565. MARREN, GREGORY	1204. L.	566. MARSHALL, LARRY
1205. E.	567. MARTIN, MATTHEW	1206.	568. MARTIN, MICHELE F.
1207. KAY	569. MARTIRE, MARY	1208.	570. MASON, ANDREA I.
1209.	571. MASON, EDWIN D.	1210. MICHAEL	572. MATTHEWS,
1211. K.	573. MAURER, THOMAS	1212. LAWRENCE	574. MCBRIDE,

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1213.	575. MCBRIDE, M. SCOTT	1214. W.	576. MCCAFFREY, JOHN
1215. RICHARD B	577. MCCASLIN,	1216. CASSANDRA H.	578. MCCAULEY,
1217. MICHAEL P.	579. MCCLOSKEY,	1218.	580. MCCLUNE, GREGORY
1219. HARROLD	581. MCCOMAS,	1220. A.	582. MCFEELY, STEPHEN
1221.	583. MCGAFFEY, JERE D.	1222. MAUREEN	584. MCGINNITY,
1223.	585. MCGRATH, BRIAN W.	1224. JEANNINE	586. MCGREGOR,
1225. J.	587. MCKENNA, RICHARD	1226. J.	588. MCKENNA, WILLIAM
1227.	589. MCKEOWN, JAMES T.	1228. WILLIAM G.	590. MCMASTER JR.,
1229. MICHAEL J.	591. MCMORROW,	1230. J.	592. MCNAMARA, BRIAN
1231. D.	593. MCNEILL, HEATHER	1232.	594. MCNUTT, GEOFFREY
1233. MAURICE J.	595. MCSWEENEY,	1234. D.	596. MCWHORTER, SHERI
1235.	597. MEARA, JOSEPH P.	1236. S.	598. MECKSTROTH, KURT
1237.	599. MEEK, E ROBERT	1238. A.	600. MEINHARDT, ROBYN
1239. A.	601. MEISINGER, DAVID	1240.	602. MELOY, SYBIL
1241.	603. MENGES, JASON D.	1242.	604. MENNELL, ANN I.
1243. G.	605. MICKLOS, JEFFREY	1244.	606. MILLER, DULCY A.
1245.	607. MILLER, RICHARD H.	1246.	608. MINASSIAN, LORI V.
1247.	609. MISHRA, MUIRA K.	1248.	610. MITCHELL, CLETA
1249.	611. MITCHELL, JENICE C.	1250.	612. MOHAN, DANIEL G.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1251.	613. MOHAN-RAM, VID S.	1252. SHARON	614. MOLLMAN-ELLIOTT,
1253. F.	615. MONDAY, GREGORY	1254.	616. MONSEES, PAUL R.
1255.	617. MOORE, LINDA A.	1256.	618. MOORE, MARILYN A.
1257.	619. MOORE, ROBERT K.	1258.	620. MORABITO, ERIKA L.
1259.	621. MORAN, RICARDO J.	1260. S.	622. MORGAN, BELINDA
1261. SHIRLEY P	623. MORRIGAN,	1262.	624. MORROW, JAMES G.
1263.	625. MOSER, GREGORY V.	1264. L.	626. MOSKITIS, RICHARD
1265. MATTHEW	627. MULKEEN,	1266. THOMAS MCCANN	628. MULLOOLY,
1267. F.	629. MUNRO II, THOMAS	1268.	630. MURCH, JILL L.
1269.	631. MURPHY, JOHN M.	1270.	632. NACKE, PHILIP A.
1271.	633. NANDA, DEEPAK	1272. LEEANN	634. NAPOLITANA,
1273. A.	635. NARANJO, MICHAEL	1274.	636. NEAL, AUSTIN B.
1275.	637. NEAL, GERALD J.	1276.	638. NEBEL, KAI A.
1277.	639. NELSON, ANDREW L.	1278. B.	640. NELSON, CATHERINE
1279.	641. NELSON, ERIC C.	1280.	642. NELSON, KARA E.
1281.	643. NELSON, SHARON C.	1282.	644. NELSON, TERRY D.
1283.	645. NEPPL, GREGORY E.	1284.	646. NEUBAUER, LISA S.
1285. S.	647. NEWMAN, JEFFREY	1286.	648. NEWSOM, ERIC A.
1287.	649. NGUYEN, JAMES D.	1288. A.	650. NGUYEN, LIEN-CHI
1289.	651. NICKELS, STEPHAN J.	1290.	652. NIELSON, SCOTT C.
1291.	653. NOLAN, MICHAEL S.	1292.	654. NORBITZ, TODD C.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1293. KENSUKE	655. NORICHIKA,	1294. S.	656. NORROD, GREGORY
1295. V.	657. NORTHCUTT, DAVID	1296.	658. NORVELL, MARY K.
1297. M.	659. NORWAY, ROBERT	1298.	660. NOURANI, LEILA
1299.	661. NOVER, MARTIN H.	1300. M.	662. NOWAK, SUZANNE
1301.	663. NYE, DEBRA D.	1302. J.	664. O'HALLORAN, HUGH
1303.	665. OHARA, YOSHIMI	1304. DARRELL	666. OHLHAUSER,
1305.	667. OKATY, MICHAEL A.	1306.	668. OLIFF, JONATHAN W.
1307.	669. OLSON, ELANA H.	1308.	670. OLSON, JOHN M.
1309.	671. O'NEILL, JUDY A.	1310.	672. O'NEILL, TANYA C.
1311. CHARLES	673. OPPENHEIM,	1312. A.	674. ORGAN, CHRISTINE
1313.	675. OSOBA, WAYNE F.	1314.	676. OSSEIRAN, NINA M.
1315.	677. OSSYRA, JAMES D.	1316. R.	678. OVERLY, MICHAEL
1317.	679. OWENS, KEITH C.	1318.	680. PALMER, JOHN B.
1319. E.	681. PANARITES, PETER	1320.	682. PARKER, ROBERT J.
1321.	683. PASSINO, SEAN A.	1322. KATHLEEN R.	684. PASULKA-BROWN,
1323.	685. PATEL, JAMSHED J.	1324.	686. PAULS, JASON E.
1325.	687. PEET, RICHARD C.	1326.	688. PENCE, THOMAS C.
1327. ALEXANDER T.	689. PENDLETON,	1328. E.	690. PENNER, INGEBORG
1329. REBECA	691. PEREZ-SERRANO,	1330.	692. PETERSON, JAMES P.
1331.	693. PETERSON, LIANE M.	1332. ELIZABETH ERICKSON	694. PEVEHOUSE,

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1333.	695. PFISTER, TODD B.	1334.	696. PHELAN, RICHARD J.
1335.	697. PHILIPP, CINDY L.	1336.	698. PHILLIPS, ARDEN T.
1337.	699. PHILLIPS, PHILIP B.	1338.	700. PILLOFF, RACHEL K.
1339.	701. PLICHTA, MARK T.	1340.	702. POLIN, KENNETH D.
1341. CHRISTOPHER	703. PONTE,	1342.	704. PORTER, ANDREA T.
1343.	705. PORTER, JACK A.	1344.	706. PRAGER, MARK L.
1345.	707. PREBIL, RICHARD L.	1346. A.	708. PRECOURT, LYMAN
1347. ANTONINA	709. PRESTIGIACOMO,	1348.	710. PUGH, DARRELL L.
1349.	711. PURCELL, AMY P.	1350.	712. PURINTUN, ORIN
1351.	713. QUICK, PATRICK G.	1352. K.	714. QUIGLEY, MEGHAN
1353.	715. QUILLIN, GEORGE E.	1354.	716. RACICOT, DIANE M.
1355. J.	717. RADELET, TIMOTHY	1356.	718. RADOMSKY, LEON
1357.	719. RAGATZ, THOMAS G.	1358.	720. RAIJ, IRWIN P.
1359. T.	721. RALSTON JR., DAVID	1360. SMEETA S.	722. RAMARATHNAM,
1361.	723. RATHE, TODD A.	1362. JOHN P	724. RATNASWAMY,
1363. E.	725. RAWLINS, ANDREW	1364. D.	726. RECHTIN, MICHAEL
1365.	727. RECK, KEVIN A.	1366. MICHAEL	728. REGENFUSS,
1367.	729. REICHER, DAVID M.	1368.	730. REID, STEVEN M.
1369.	731. REILLY, PATRICK W.	1370.	732. REILLY, SHEILA M.
1371. S.	733. REINBERG, DANIEL	1372.	734. REINECKE, DAVID W.
1373.	735. REISMAN, LAUREN	1374.	736. REITER, STEPHEN E.
1375.	737. RENFERT, BLAINE R.	1376.	738. RENZ, GREG W.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1377.	739. RESNICK, DAVID P.	1378. BARTHOLOMEW F.	740. REUTER,
1379.	741. RICH, NORMAN J.	1380. CLARE	742. RICHARDSON,
1381.	743. RICHBURG, SCOTT D.	1382. J.	744. RICKERT, KENNETH
1383.	745. RIDLEY, EILEEN R.	1384.	746. RIDLEY, FRED S.
1385. F.	747. RILEY JR., RICHARD	1386.	748. RILEY, LEIGH C.
1387.	749. RILEY, SUSAN M.	1388.	750. RIPPPIE, E GLENN
1389.	751. RITTMASER, TED R.	1390.	752. RIZVI, RAMLA H.
1391. REAGEN C.	753. ROBBINS ATWOOD,	1392.	754. ROBBINS, DAVID L.
1393.	755. ROBINS, LENA	1394. J.	756. ROBINSON, WILLIAM
1395.	757. ROCKLIN, AMY M.	1396. RIOS	758. RODRIGUEZ, DENISE
1397.	759. ROE, PATRICIA J. R.	1398.	760. ROEDEL, ANN M.
1399.	761. ROGERS III, JOHN L.	1400.	762. RONDON, RADIAH L.
1401.	763. ROOT JR., GEORGE L.	1402. WAYNE	764. ROSENBAUM, S.
1403. E.	765. ROSENBERG, HEIDI	1404. MICHAEL	766. ROSENBERG,
1405. ASHLEY	767. ROSENTHAL,	1406. A.	768. ROSENTHAL, JASON
1407. E.	769. ROSENTHAL, PAUL	1408.	770. ROSS, ANNE E.
1409.	771. ROTHMAN, JAY O.	1410.	772. ROVNER, GARY S.
1411.	773. RUBIN, DAMON	1412.	774. RUPKEY, JOSEPH S.
1413.	775. RUSKIN, JENNIFER B.	1414. D.	776. RUTENBERG, ALAN
1415.	777. RUTT, STEVEN	1416.	778. RYAN, DAVID B.
1417.	779. RYAN, MICHAEL J.	1418.	780. RYBA, RUSSELL E.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1419.	781. SABLE, JOSHUA M.	1420.	782. SACKS, DAVID A.
1421. F.	783. SADLER JR., LUTHER	1422. JAN	784. SALEK-ANDERSON,
1423.	785. SALZBERG, MARK A.	1424.	786. SANDERS, DAVID S.
1425.	787. SANDERS, JOHN A.	1426. RICHARD	788. SANPIETRO,
1427. M.	789. SAUE, JACQUELINE	1428.	790. SAXE, BERNHARD D.
1429. MICHAEL	791. SCARANO JR., R	1430.	792. SCHAAK, JOHN C.
1431. R.	793. SCHEIDLER, ALISON	1432.	794. SCHER, ROBERT A.
1433.	795. SCHIEBLE, MARK T.	1434. CHRISTOPHER S.	796. SCHILDER,
1435.	797. SCHIRTZER, RONALD	1436. MICHAEL G.	798. SCHNEIDERMAN,
1437. SUSAN R	799. SCHOENFELD,	1438.	800. SCHORR, KRISTEL
1439. JENNIFER	801. SCHROEDER,	1440. E.	802. SCHULTE, LEONARD
1441.	803. SCHULTZ, BRYAN S.	1442.	804. SCHULZ, KEVIN R.
1443. L.	805. SCHWAAB, RICHARD	1444. M.	806. SCHWARCZ, AARON
1445.	807. SCHWARTZ, ARTHUR	1446.	808. SCHWARTZ, SUSAN J.
1447. CATHERINE	809. SCHWARZ,	1448. A	810. SCOTT, KATHRYN E.
1449.	811. SEABOLT, SCOTT T.	1450.	812. SEFTON, JOHN T.
1451.	813. SEIDEN, RICHARD F.	1452.	814. SENNETT, NANCY J.
1453.	815. SERWIN, ANDREW B.	1454.	816. SEVELL, ROBERT D.
1455.	817. SHAH, ANKUR D.	1456. S.	818. SHAPIRO, MICHAEL
1457. Y.	819. SHARPE, KARUSHA	1458.	820. SHATZER, LARRY L.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1459. J.	821. SHEEHAN, TIMOTHY	1460. W.	822. SHELTON, MORGAN
1461. N.	823. SHIPLEY, HOWARD	1462.	824. SHIVERS, OLIN G.
1463. THOMAS	825. SHRINER JR.,	1464.	826. SHUR, KIMBERLY J.
1465. KATHERINE	827. SIDDON O'BRIEN,	1466.	828. SIGMAN, SCOTT W.
1467. JAMES	829. SILBERMANN,	1468.	830. SILVA, ALBERT P.
1469.	831. SIMKIN, MICHELE M.	1470. A.	832. SIMMONS, JEFFREY
1471.	833. SIMON, DAVID W.	1472.	834. SIMON, GEORGE T.
1473.	835. SIMON, JOHN A.	1474.	836. SIMS, LUKE E.
1475.	837. SINGER, AMIE J.	1476.	838. SKLAR, WILLIAM P.
1477. B.	839. SLADE III, THOMAS	1478.	840. SLAVIN, STEPHEN M.
1479.	841. SLOOK, DAVID W.	1480.	842. SMALL, MICHAEL J.
1481.	843. SMASON, TAMI S.	1482. K.	844. SMIETANSKI, DEBRA
1483.	845. SMITH, JESSICA L.	1484.	846. SMITH, JULIE A.
1485.	847. SMITH, MICHAEL D.	1486.	848. SMITH, MICHAEL S.
1487.	849. SMYLIE, SCOTT K.	1488.	850. SNADER, SHAUN R.
1489.	851. SOBLE, JEFFREY A.	1490.	852. SOLIK, MARY D.
1491.	853. SON, ANTHONY H.	1492.	854. SONG, MICHAEL J.
1493. M.	855. SORENSEN, ANITA	1494.	856. SORTINO, DAVID M.
1495. LEONARD	857. SOSNOWSKI,	1496.	858. SPALDING, TODD N.
1497.	859. SPEHAR, TERESA	1498. ANDREW	860. SPERANZINI,
1499. B.	861. SPILLANE, THOMAS	1500. R.	862. SPIVEY, JONATHAN

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1501.	863. SPROW, MARCUS W.	1502.	864. STANGL, PAUL F.
1503.	865. STEFFES, GEORGE R.	1504. SUSAN	866. STEFFES-FERRI,
1505.	867. STEINBERG, JAY A.	1506. CHRISTIAN G.	868. STEINMETZ,
1507. ROBERT	869. STEPHENSON,	1508.	870. STERN, JAMES F.
1509. SAMUEL	871. STERRETT JR.,	1510.	872. STEVEN BECKER
1511.	873. STEWART, PAUL A.	1512.	874. STIRRUP, JOHN T.
1513.	875. STOLL, RICHARD G.	1514.	876. STONE, PETER J.
1515. A.	877. STOREY III, EDWARD	1516.	878. STRAIN, PAUL D.
1517. A.	879. STRATFORD, CAROL	1518. WESLEY	880. STRICKLAND, NATE
1519. L.	881. STRUP, NATHANIEL	1520. M.	882. SULLIVAN, JEFFREY
1521.	883. SULLIVAN, KIRK N.	1522. STEPHANIE	884. SWEITZER,
1523.	885. SWISS, GERALD F.	1524.	886. SZABO, STEPHEN J.
1525.	887. TAFFORA, KELLI A.	1526. M.	888. TALARICO, JOSEPH
1527.	889. TALES, SHAUHN A.	1528.	890. TANNER, LORNA L.
1529. WILLIAM	891. TARANTINO,	1530.	892. TASSO, JON P.
1531.	893. TAVI, ANDREW J.	1532.	894. TAYLOR, ALLEN M.
1533.	895. TAYLOR, GAIL D.	1534.	896. TAYLOR, MICHAEL L.
1535.	897. TAYLOR, STACY L.	1536.	898. TECTOR, LESLIE M.
1537.	899. TEIGEN, RICHARD L.	1538.	900. TENGBERG, VAN A.
1539. T.	901. TENNEY, FREDERIC	1540.	902. THARPE, LISA L.
1541.	903. THIMKE, MARK A.	1542. L.	904. THORNTON, GLENDA

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1543.	905. TIBBETTS, JEAN M.	1544.	906. TILKENS, MARK P.
1545.	907. TILL, MARY C.	1546.	908. TOAL, HELEN L.
1547.	909. TODD, STEPHEN	1548.	910. TOFT, PATRICK J.
1549. MICHAEL	911. TOMLINSON,	1550. CHRISTOPHER	912. TORRES,
1551.	913. TOWNSEND, KEITH J.	1552.	914. TRABER, MARTIN A.
1553. ANTHONY	915. TRAMBLEY, C.	1554. JOHN	916. TRENTACOSTA,
1555.	917. TREW, HEATHER M.	1556.	918. TRKLA, KATHRYN M.
1557.	919. TSAO, NAIKANG	1558. MARTHA F.	920. TSUCHIHASHI,
1559.	921. TUCKER IV, JOHN A.	1560.	922. TUCKER, WENDY L.
1561.	923. TULLIUS, LOUIS W.	1562.	924. TURLAIS, JOHN E.
1563.	925. TYNION III, JAMES T.	1564.	926. TYRE, SCOTT P.
1565.	927. TYSON JR., JOSEPH B.	1566.	928. UETZ, ANN MARIE
1567. M.	929. ULIANO, AMANDA	1568. C	930. UNDERWOOD, PETER
1569.	931. UNG, DIANE	1570.	932. URBAN, JENNIFER L.
1571. MICHAEL B.	933. VAN SICKLEN,	1572.	934. VANCE, PAUL C.
1573. EGERTON K.	935. VANDENBERG,	1574.	936. VANOPHEM, JOHN A.
1575. M.	937. VANRIPER, YVETTE	1576.	938. VARON, JAY N.
1577.	939. VAUGHAN, LORI V.	1578. W.	940. VAZQUEZ, STEVEN
1579. J.	941. VECHIOLA, ROBERT	1580.	942. VEDDER, ANDREW T.
1581.	943. VICTOR, DEAN M.	1582. CYNTHIA	944. VILLAREAL,
1583. TIMOTHY	945. VOIGTMAN,	1584. P.	946. VOM EIGEN, ROBERT

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1585. KARL	947. VON DRATHEN,	1586.	948. VORLOP, FREDERIC J.
1587.	949. VUCIC, MIKI	1588. M.	950. WALBY, KATHLEEN
1589.	951. WALLACE, HARRY L.	1590. L.	952. WALLISON, JEREMY
1591.	953. WALMER, EDWIN F.	1592.	954. WALSH, DAVID G.
1593.	955. WALTER, RONALD L.	1594. MICHELLE	956. WALTERS,
1595.	957. WALTZ, JUDITH A.	1596.	958. WANG, PETER N.
1597. RUSSELL	959. WAPENSKY,	1598. J.	960. WARBURG, RICHARD
1599.	961. WARE, DABNEY D.	1600. SUSANNE C.	962. WASHINGTON,
1601. L.	963. WASSON, DEBORAH	1602. M.	964. WAWRZYN, RONALD
1603.	965. WAXMAN, J. MARK	1604.	966. WEBER, ROBERT G.
1605.	967. WEGNER, HAROLD C.	1606.	968. WEIDIG, ERIK G.
1607. WILLIAM C.	969. WEINSHEIMER,	1608.	970. WEINSTEIN, MARC K.
1609.	971. WEISS, RICHARD A.	1610. SAMANTHA E.	972. WEISSBLUTH,
1611.	973. WEISSBURG, CARL I.	1612.	974. WELCH JR., JOHN M.
1613.	975. WELCH, SEAN P.	1614. A.	976. WELLMAN, ARTHUR
1615.	977. WELSH III, H. K.	1616.	978. WELSH, SUSAN L.
1617. ROBERT	979. WENBOURNE,	1618.	980. WERBER, STEVEN A.
1619. CHRISTOPHER J.	981. WERNER,	1620. M.	982. WESTHOFF, BRYAN
1621.	983. WHALEY, KEVIN P.	1622.	984. WHEELER, ELLEN M.
1623. R.	985. WHITLEY, DANIELLE	1624.	986. WICK, JON R.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1625. E.	987. WICKHEM, REBECCA	1626.	988. WIECHERT, ERIC M.
1627. HERBERT P.	989. WIEDEMANN,	1628.	990. WIENSCH, ADAM J.
1629.	991. WILEY, EDWIN P.	1630.	992. WILKE, JAMES A.
1631.	993. WILL, TREVOR J.	1632.	994. WILLIAM DICK
1633.	995. WILLIAM DICK	1634. ALLEN	996. WILLIAMS JR.,
1635. RODERICK	997. WILLIAMS,	1636.	998. WILLIAMS, TRACY D.
1637.	999. WILLIS, WILLIAM J.	1638. P.	1000. WILLMORE, STEVEN
1639.	1001. WILNAU, DAWN R.	1640.	1002. WILSON, BARRY S.
1641.	1003. WILSON, JOHN K.	1642.	1004. WILSON, JON M.
1643.	1005. WINER, KENNETH B.	1644.	1006. WINER, SAMUEL J.
1645.	1007. WINKLER, JAMES A.	1646.	1008. WITTE, EDWARD B.
1647. C.	1009. WITTORFF, KELLY	1648. H.	1010. WOLFE JR., WALTER
1649. J.	1011. WOLFE, RANDOLPH	1650.	1012. WOLFSON, MARK J.
1651.	1013. WOLK, MICHAEL D.	1652.	1014. WOODALL, KEVIN F.
1653.	1015. WOODIE, TIFFANY C.	1654.	1016. WOODSON, R DUKE
1655. MICHAEL	1017. WOOLEVER,	1656. DONALD A.	1018. WORKMAN,
1657.	1019. WRIGHT, DEREK L.	1658. JACQUELINE	1020. WRIGHT,
1659. J.	1021. WRONSKI, ANDREW	1660.	1022. WRYCHA, PAUL T.
1661. O.	1023. YOUNG, BRANDON	1662.	1024. ZABRISKIE, JOHN F.
1663. PATRICK	1025. ZABROWSKI,	1664.	1026. ZEIGLER, JANET E.
1665.	1027. ZIBART,	1666.	1028. ZIEBERT, JOSEPH N.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

CHRISTOPHER

1667. M.	1029. ZIGMAN, LYNETTE	1668. ROBERT	1030. ZIMMERMAN,
1669. WALTER	1031. ZIMMERMAN,	1670.	1032. ZINKGRAF, GARY M.
1671. WILLIAM J.	1033. ABRAHAM, JR.,	1672. BENJAMIN	1034. ABROHAMS,
1673.	1035. ACEVEDO, LISA J.	1674.	1036. ADAMS, CHRISTI R.
1675.	1037. ADKINS, AKITA N.	1676.	1038. ADLER, M. PETER
1677. K.	1039. aGARWAL, PAVAN	1678.	1040. AIELLO, MARK A.
1679.	1041. AKERS, BRIAN P.	1680. PETER	1042. ALBERT, JR, G.
1. SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY, LLP.			
1682. BARROWAY	1. ANDREW L.	1683.	2. ANDREW L. ZIVITZ
1684. SWEET	3. BENJAMIN J.	1685. NELSON	4. CHRISTOPHER L.
1686.	5. DARREN J. CHECK	1687.	6. DAVID KESSLER
1688. CHANG	7. EDWARD W.	1689.	8. EDWARD W. CIOLKO
1690.	9. ERIC L. ZAGAR	1691.	10. ERIC LECHTZIN
1692. III	11. GERALD D. WELLS	1693.	12. GREGORY M. CASTALDO
1694.	13. HAL J. KLEINMAN	1695.	14. IAN D. BERG
1696. CAGAN	15. JONATHAN R.	1697.	16. JOSEPH H. MELTZER
1698.	17. KAREN E. REILLY	1699.	18. KATHARINE M. RYAN
1700. BORNSTEIN	19. KATHERINE B.	1701.	20. KAY E. SICKLES
1702. ZYLSTRA	21. KENDALL S.	1703.	22. KRISHNA B. NARINE
1704.	23. MARC A. TOPAZ	1705.	24. MARC D. WEINBERG

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1706.	25.	MARC I. WILLNER	1707.	26.	MICHAEL K. YARNOFF
1708. WEISER	27.	PATRICIA C.	1709.	28.	RICHARD A. MANISKAS
1710. SCHIFFRIN	29.	RICHARD S.	1711.	30.	RICHARD S. SCHIFFRIN
1712.	31.	ROBERT B. WEISER	1713.	32.	ROBIN WINCHESTER
1714.	33.	SANDRA G. SMITH	1715.	34.	SEAN M. HANDLER
1716. CONNOLLY	35.	STEPHEN E.	1717.	36.	STEVEN D. RESNICK
1718. BERMAN	37.	STUART L.	1719.	38.	TAMARA SKVIRSKY
1720. GRAMMER	39.	THOMAS W.	1721.	40.	TOBIAS L. MILLROOD

1. BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

1723.	1.	ADAM FURST	1724.	2.	ALAN BURNETT
1725.	3.	ANDRE GIBBS	1726. MARAIS	4.	ANDRE L.
1727.	5.	ANGELO J. GAZ	1728. AZURE	6.	ANTHONY H.
1729. HARTOUNIAN	7.	ARLEN M.	1730.	8.	BILL ALFORD
1731.	9.	BRENT E. VECCHIA	1732. WONG	10.	CHUI-KIU TERESA
1733.	11.	CORY G. CLAASSEN	1734.	12.	DAN DEVOS
1735.	13.	DANIEL OVANEZIAN	1736.	14.	DAX ALVAREZ
1737.	15.	DENNIS G. MARTIN	1738. TAYLOR	16.	EDWIN H.
1739.	17.	ERIC HYMAN	1740.	18.	ERIC T. KING
1741.	19.	FARZAD E. AMINI	1742.	20.	GARTH VIVIER
1743.	21.	GEORGE HOOVER	1744. HOOVER	22.	GEORGE W.
1745.	23.	GORDON LINDEEN	1746. CALDWELL	24.	GREG D.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1747. MOLLEUR	25. HEATHER M.	1748.	26. JAMES SCHELLER
1749.	27. JAMES Y. GO	1750. LITTLE-WASHINGTON	28. JAN CAROL
1751.	29. JIM HENRY	1752. WARD	30. JOHN PATRICK
1753.	31. JON C. REALI	1754. MILLER	32. JONATHAN S.
1755.	33. JORDAN M. BECKER	1756.	34. JOSEPH LUTZ
1757.	35. JUDITH A. SZEPESE	1758.	36. KEVIN G. SHAO
1759.	37. LARRY J. JOHNSON	1760. VINCENT	38. LESTER J.
1761.	39. LISA TOM	1762. STOCKTON	40. LORI M.
1763.	41. LORI N. BOATRIGHT	1764. SOBRINO	42. MARIA E.
1765.	43. MARINA PORTNOVA	1766. KUPANOFF	44. MARK A.
1767.	45. MARK C. VAN NESS	1768.	46. MARK L. WATSON
1769.	47. MARK R. VATUONE	1770. BERNADICOU	48. MICHAEL A.
1771.	49. MICHAEL J. MALLIE	1772.	50. MIMI D. DAO
1773.	51. NATHAN ELDER	1774. ZAFMAN	52. NORMAN
1775.	53. OZZIE JAFFERY	1776. MENDONSA	54. PAUL A.
1777.	55. PHILIP A. PEDIGO	1778. O'ROURKE	56. ROBERT B.
1779.	57. ROGER W. BLAKELY	1780.	58. SCOTT HEILESON
1781. SOKOLOFF	59. STANLEY W.	1782. KLERK	60. STEPHEN M. DE
1783.	61. STEVEN LAUT	1784.	62. SUE HOLLOWAY
1785.	63. SUK S. LEE	1786.	64. TAREK N. FAHMI

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1787.	65.	THE ESTATE OF MARIA E. SOBRINO (1959 - 2002)	1788.	66.	THINH V. NGUYEN
1789.	67.	THOMAS A. VAN ZANDT	1790.	68.	THOMAS C. WEBSTER
1791.	69.	THOMAS FERRILL	1792.	70.	THOMAS M. COESTER
1793.	71.	TODD M. BECKER	1794.	72.	VANI MOODLEY
1795.	73.	VINCENT ANDERSON	1796.	74.	W. THOMAS BABBITT
1797.	75.	WILLIAM W. SCHAAL	1798.	76.	WILLMORE F. HOLBROW

1. WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON LLP

1800.	1.	ABBOUD, ANTHONY L.	1801.	2.	ACKERSON, FRED M.
1802.	3.	ALFERT, REBECCA	1803.	4.	ALLEN, THOMAS D.
1804.	5.	ALLISON, STEPHEN A.	1805.	6.	ANDERSON, AIMEE B.
1806.	7.	ARADO, JOHN J.	1807.	8.	ARVEY, HOWARD
1808.	9.	AUSTIN, BRENT R.	1809.	10.	BARNES, JR., WILLIAM
1810.	11.	BENDER, JOSEPH E.	1811.	12.	BENNETT, MICHAEL P.
1812.	13.	BICKEL, TODD A.	1813.	14.	BLANKSHAIN, MICHAEL R.
1814.	15.	BOHLEN, JON	1815.	16.	BOICE, HEATHER A.
1816.	17.	BORSTEIN, SCOTT R.	1817.	18.	BORUSZAK, BRUCE L.
1818.	19.	BOWER, ALBERT M.	1819.	20.	BROWN, JOHN THOMPSON
1820.	21.	BUCCOLA, CHRISTINA	1821.	22.	BURMAN, MARSHALL
1822.	23.	BURNTON, CAL R.	1823.	24.	CALISOFF, ADAM

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1824. R	25. CARLSON, DOUGLAS	S. 1825. DEMETRIUS	26. CARNEY,
1826.	27. CARNIE, BRIAN R.	1827.	28. CHAIT, LELAND H.
1828.	29. CHANG, GINA M.	1829. JAMES A.	30. CHRISTMAN,
1830.	31. CHROUST, DAVID J.	1831.	32. CLARK, CHAD E.
1832. GEOFFREY	33. COCKRELL,	1833.	34. COHEN, SAMUEL S.
1834.	35. CONLON, ALISON C.	1835.	36. COOK, WILLIAM J.
1836.	37. COPLAND, DAVID A.	1837. W.	38. COSTELLO, JOHN
1838.	39. FALBE, LAWRENCE W.	1839.	40. FANCSALI, BETH L.
1840. E	41. FERGUSON, NATHAN	1841. DONALD E.	42. FIGLIULO,
1842.	43. FISCHER, DAVID J.	1843. DONALD	44. FLAYTON,
1844.	45. FOCHLER, CRAIG S.	1845. M.	46. FONTOURA, LISA
1846.	47. FOX, KATHY P.	1847. K.	48. FREEBORN, PAUL
1848.	49. FREY, JOHN E.	1849. MATTHEW	50. GARRETT,
1850.	51. GAURON, AFTON L.	1851. HOWARD N.	52. GILBERT,
1852.	53. GILLEN, GARY R.	1853. KATHLEEN	54. GILLIGAN,
1854.	55. GOGAN, LESLIE	1855.	56. GOLD, JUDITH A.
1856.	57. GOLD, NORMAN M.	1857.	58. GOLDSTEIN, LORI
1858. JONATHAN S.	59. GOODMAN,	1859. KENNETH	60. GORENBERG,
1860.	61. GOTTSALL, JUSTINE	1861.	62. GRAY, JEFFREY P.
1862.	63. GUNN, ROBERT M.	1863.	64. HAGNELL, KAREN

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1864.	65.	HALEY, ROBERT E.	A.	1865.	66.	HAMILTON,
				ROBERT E		
1866.	67.	HARRIS, JONATHAN A.	1867.	68.	68.	HARROLD,
			BERNARD			
1868.	69.	HEARD, H. RODERIC	1869.	70.	70.	HENGSBACH,
			BETHANY			
1870.	71.	HEYDEMANN,	1871.	72.	72.	HIGGINS, MARY P.
HELAINÉ						
1872.	73.	HIGHT, DAVID H.	1873.	74.	74.	HOFFMAN,
			RICHARD			
1874.	75.	HOLLEB, MARSHALL	1875.	76.	76.	HOPP, ANTHONY
			G.			
1876.	77.	HOWARD, KATHLEEN	1877.	78.	78.	HOWARD, PETER
			M.			
1878.	79.	HRTANEK, CATHLEEN	1879.	80.	80.	HUDDLE, MARK
1880.	81.	IGEL-CAMILLONE,	1881.	82.	82.	JANCASZ,
MARLENE J.			RICHARD J.			
1882.	83.	JOHNSON, RICHARD C.	1883.	84.	84.	KAEDING,
			MICHAEL A.			
1884.	85.	KANTER, DAVID A.	1885.	86.	86.	KANTER, MARTHA
			D.			
1886.	87.	KEFALOS, NICHOLAS	1887.	88.	88.	KEILEY,
			ELIZABETH			
1888.	89.	KHANDEKAR, MANOJ	1889.	90.	90.	KIM, CHARLES C.
1890.	91.	KIMBALL, ANNE G.	1891.	92.	92.	KLEIN, STEVEN H.
1892.	93.	KOLKMEIER, KIP	1893.	94.	94.	KOSC, JEFFREY
1894.	95.	KROMKOWSKI, MARK	1895.	96.	96.	KUENSTLER, JOHN
			F.			
1896.	97.	KUNKLE, WILLIAM J.	1897.	98.	98.	KURFIRST,
			LEONARD S			
1898.	99.	LANDES, STEPHEN	1899.	100.	100.	LAPORTE,
			MICHAEL R.			
1900.	101.	LAUER, SUSAN M.	1901.	102.	102.	LAZAR, DENISE A.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1902.	103. LEFFELMAN, DEAN J.	1903.	104. LETCHINGER, JOHN
1904.	105. LEWIS, BRIAN W.	1905.	106. LISIECKI, LUCY
1906.	107. LONG, REBECCA R.	1907. F.	108. LORCH, KENNETH
1908.	109. LUBURIC, JOHN A.	1909.	110. LUSK, MICHAEL
1910.	111. LYNCH, THOMAS M.	1911. F.	112. MADONIA, JOSEPH
1912. CHARLES R.	113. MANDLY, JR.,	1913. MOLYNEAUX	114. MARTYN W.
1914.	115. MATYAS, THOMAS I.	1915. MICHELLE	116. MCATEE,
1916.	117. MCCANN, R. MICHAEL	1917. MICHAEL	118. MCCLUGGAGE,
1918.	119. MCELROY, EDWARD M	1919. ANNETTE	120. MCGARRY,
1920.	121. MCGINNESS, JEFFREY	1921. E.	122. MCGOVERN, JOHN
1922.	123. MCKITTRICK, ETHAN	1923. R.	124. MERSCH, ANGELA
1924. DOCKTERMAN	125. MICHAEL	1925. P.	126. MIGDAL, SHELDON
1926.	127. MILLER, LAURIE M.	1927.	128. MILLER, MARK P.
1928.	129. MILLER, MATTHEW S.	1929. NICHOLAS	130. MITCHELL,
1930.	131. MURPHY, BART T.	1931.	132. MURTISHI, RRAIM
1932.	133. NEWMAN, ROBERT W.	1933.	134. NEWTON, CARRIE
1934.	135. NICHOLS, JULIE M.	1935.	136. NOCERA, NICOLE
1936.	137. NOLAN, HEATHER E.	1937.	138. OLSON, SARAH L.
1938.	139. OPPENHEIM, DAVID M.	1939.	140. OWENS, MARCIA K.
1940.	141. PALMER, RICHARD C.	1941.	142. PASCHKE, JOEL C.
1942.	143. PETERS, DANIEL J.	1943. WILLIAM R	144. POKORNY,

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

1944. JR.	145. POLICHAK, JAMES W.	1945. DOUGLAS	146. PROCHNOW,
1946.	147. RIAHEI, MELISSA M.	1947.	148. RING, THOMAS J.
1948.	149. ROBERTS, JOHN A.	1949. MICHAEL F.	150. ROSENBLUM,
1950.	151. ROTH, ALAN B.	1951.	152. ROTH, MICHAEL M.
1952.	153. RUBIN, JAMIE	1953.	154. SCHEER, D. KEITH
1954.	155. SCHOEFFEL, AMY	1955.	156. SCHULZ, FRED E.
1956.	157. SEFTON, BEAU C.	1957. A.	158. SEMENEK, SCOTT
1958.	159. SHARMA, RAJITA	1959. L.	160. SHUFTAN, ROBERT
1960.	161. SIMMONS, LISA S.	1961.	162. SIMON, DAVID M.
1962.	163. SINGER, ERIC L.	1963. S.	164. SKILKEN, MELISSA
1964.	165. SLOBODIEN, ANDREW	1965.	166. SMITH, DEREK C.
1966.	167. SMITH, GREGORY M.	1967.	168. SMITH, JOSHUA L.
1968.	169. SMOLENSKY, KIRSTEN	1969.	170. SNYDER, JAMES M.
1970.	171. SNYDER, MARTIN D.	1971. H.	172. SNYDER, THOMAS
1972.	173. SOLOMON, AARON	1973. A.	174. STERN, CHARLES
1974.	175. STEVENS, CYNTHIA B.	1975.	176. STRAUB, JENEE M
1976.	177. STREET, R. JOHN	1977.	178. SUGAR, BRYAN P.
1978.	179. THIES, RICHARD B.	1979. A.	180. TOMARAS, PETER
1980.	181. TOMCHEY, HOLLY L.	1981.	182. TOON, JASON M.
1982.	183. TRAVIS, SHERRIE	1983.	184. VALLAS, DAVID P.
1984.	185. VAN VUREN, THERESA	1985.	186. VITULLO, LOUIS P.
1986.	187. VOGTS, JAMES B.	1987.	188. WAGNER, ROBERT.
1988.	189. WAHLEN, EDWIN A.	1989.	190. WEINSTEIN, DAVID

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

		L.	
1990.	191. WHITE, CRAIG M.	1991.	192. WILDMAN, MAX
1992.	193. WOLF, NEIL G.	1993.	194. WULFSTAT, ALLAN A.
1994.	195. YAGHMAI, MIKE M.	1995.	196. YAO, WAYNE
1996.	197. YOUNG, JONATHAN	1997.	198. ZAENGLE, EDWARD P

NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS, NON-COMPETE EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS, STRATEGIC ALLIANCES, LICENSEES, PATENT DISCLOSURES, OTHER CONTRACTS REQUIRING CONFIDENTIALITY

<u>COMPANY</u>		<u>FULL NAME – NDA SIGNOR</u>
1998. ART.COM	1.	1999. 2. JOHN HALLBERG
2000. ARTHUR ANDERSEN & COMPANY SC	3.	2001. 4. PARAAG K. MEHTA
2002. ARTHUR ANDERSEN & COMPANY SC	5.	
2004. ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP	7.	2005. 8. MARK LAURENCE BERENBLUT
2006. ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO	9.	
2007. ARTIST DIRECT	10.	2008. 11. MARC GEIGER
2009. ARTIST DIRECT	12.	2010. 13. JONATHAN TROEN
2011. ARTISTS MANAGEMENT GROUP - AMG	14.	2012. 15. SCOTT MCGHEE
2013. ARVIDA/JMB PARTNERS, L.P.	16.	2014. 17. JUDD D. MALKIN
2015. ASSOCIATED GROUP, INC.	18.	2016. 19. DAVID J. BERKMAN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2017.	20.	2018.	21.	BRENT GRAY
ASSOCIATED GROUP, INC.				
2019.	22.	2020.	23.	BONNIE GURNEY
ASSOCIATION FOR MANUFACTURING INVENTIONS, THE				
2021.	24.	2022.	25.	PATRICK SAINT-LAURENT
AT&T				
2023.	26.	2024.	27.	ELIZABETH (LIBBY) BRENNAN
AT&T				
2025.	28.	2026.	29.	JOSEPH SALENETRI CVE
AT&T CORP.				
2027.	30.	2028.	31.	MICHAEL C. ARMSTRONG
AT&T CORP.				
2029.	32.	2030.	33.	DAN PERRY
AT&T CORP.				
2031.	34.	2032.	35.	ANA C. PETERSON
AT&T SOLUTIONS JP MORGAN				
2033.	36.	2034.	37.	L. SCOTT PERRY
AT&T SOLUTIONS JP MORGAN				
2035.	38.	2036.	39.	JOSH HOLPZMAN
ATHLETESDIRECT				
2037.	40.	2038.	41.	ALLEN SHAPIRO
ATLAS ENTERTAINMENT				
2039.	42.	2040.	43.	JONATHAN S. ROBBINS
ATLAS, PEARLMAN, TROP & BORKSON, P.A.				
2041.	44.	2042.	45.	IRL NATHAN
ATOM FILMS				
2043.	46.	2044.	47.	BRENDA WEAVER
ATTORNEYS.COM				
2045.	48.	2046.	49.	MARK KANE
AUCTION MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, INC.				
2047.	50.	2048.	51.	J. JEREMY HOGUE
AUDAX MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC /AUDAX GROUP				
2049.	52.	2050.	53.	SARAH LIPSCOMB

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

AUDAX MANAGEMENT
COMPANY, LLC /AUDAX
GROUP

2051.	54.	2052.	55.	WILLIAM R. WOODWARD
AVALON INVESTMENTS INC.				
2053.	56.	2054.	57.	ALEXANDER SUH
CALIFORNIA INVENTIONS VENTURES, LLC				
2055.	58.	2056.	59.	IMELDA FORD
CAPITA TECHNOLOGIES				
2057.	60.	2058.	61.	ALBERT CHIANG
CATTERTON PARTNERS				
2059.	62.	2060.	63.	HANK POWELL
CORPORATE FINANCE, INC.				
2061.	64.	2062.	65.	JOHN J. LOFQUIST
CENTRACK INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED				
2063.	66.	2064.	67.	STEPHEN WILSON
CHASE H&Q				
2065.	68.	2066.	69.	MARK DALZIEL
CHASE MANHATTAN PRIVATE BANK, N.A.				
2067.	70.	2068.	71.	THOMAS TOLL
CHATFISH				
2069.	72.	2070.	73.	LEE GERBER
CHG ALLIED, INC.				
2071.	74.	2072.	75.	CHRIS P. B.
CHRIS P. B.				
2073.	76.	2074.	77.	J. DAVID GRISSOM
CHRYSLIS VENTURES				
2075.	78.	2076.	79.	BEN DOWNS
CIBC WORLD MARKETS / OPPENHEIMER				
2077.	80.	2078.	81.	PAUL ROGERS
CIBC WORLD MARKETS / OPPENHEIMER				
2079.	82.	2080.	83.	ERIC CAMIRAND
CINAX DESIGNS INC.				

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2081.	84.	2082.	85.	CURT MARVIS
CINEMANOW, INC.				
2083.	86.	2084.	87.	ERIC STEIN
CINEMANOW, INC.				
2085.	88.	2086.	89.	BRUCE DAVID EISEN
CINEMANOW, INC.				
2087.	90.	2088.	91.	ALAN GLASS
CIRCOR CONNECTIONS				
2089.	92.	2090.	93.	EDWARD E. IACOBUCCI
CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC.				
2091.	94.	2092.	95.	AIDAN P. FOLEY
CLEARVIEW NETWORKS				
2093.	96.	2094.	97.	KOICHI YANAGA
CLEARVIEW NETWORKS, INC.				
2095.	98.	2096.	99.	WAI MAN VONG
CLEARVIEW NETWORKS, INC.				
2097.	100.	2098.	101.	NAK PHAINGDY
CLEARVIEW NETWORKS, INC.				
2099.	102.	2100.	103.	RAYMOND JOAO
COBRIN GITTES & SAMUEL				
2101.	104.	2102.	105.	JAMES L. HONORÉ
COLUMBIA TRISTAR MOTION PICTURE GROUP A SONY PICTURES ENTERTAINMENT COMPANY				
2103.	106.	2104.	107.	STEVEN M. HEEB
COMCAST				
2105.	108.	2106.	109.	INDER TALLUR
COMMONWEALTH ASSOCIATES LP				
2107.	110.	2108.	111.	BRYAN CRINO
COMMUNICATIONS EQUITY ASSOCIATES				
2109.	112.	2110.	113.	THOMAS J. MACCRORY
COMMUNICATIONS EQUITY ASSOCIATES				
2111.	114.	2112.	115.	JOE KAPP
COMPAQ COMPUTERS -				

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

ECOMMERCE

2113.	116.	2114.	117. IRA B. LAMPERT
CONCORD CAMERA CORP.			
2115.	118.	2116.	119. JOEL GOLD
CONCORD CAMERA CORP.			
2117.	120.	2118.	121. GREG BROGGER
CONVERGENT COMPANIES, INC.			
2119.	122.	2120.	123. PLAMEN
COVI STUDIOS			
2121.	124.	2122.	125. LOUIS M. SUPOWITZ
COX INTERACTIVE MEDIA, INC.			
2123.	126.	2124.	127. ERROL GERSON
CREATIVE ARTISTS AGENCY			
2125.	128.	2126.	129. JOSH POLLACK
CREATIVE ARTISTS AGENCY, INC.			
2127.	130.	2128.	131. CARL LUCCHI
DOCUMENTATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL, INC.			
2129.	132.	2130.	133. BEN DUROSA
DONALDSON, LUFTKIN & JENERRETE			
2131.	134.	2132.	135. MITCH LESTER
DONALDSON, LUFTKIN & JENERRETE			
2133.	136.	2134.	137. JASON SPEAKS
DOYLE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND TRAINING			
2135.	138.	2136.	139. HOWARD DRAFT
DRAFT WORLDWIDE			
2137.	140.	2138.	141. JEFF MORRIS
DRAKE ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.			
2139.	142.	2140.	143. ANTHONY D'AMATO
DRAKE ALEXANDER ASSOCIATES, INC.			
2141.	144.	2142.	145. KERRY GORDY

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

DREAMCASTLE/KERRY GORDY ENTERPRISES			
2143.	146.	2144.	147. RAYMOND A. JOAO
DREIER & BARITZ LLP			
2145.	148.	2146.	149. KENNETH RUBENSTEIN
DVD PATENT POOL			
2147.	150. E-	2148.	151. ROBERT DUNLAP
MOD.COM, INC. (EDUCATIONAL MEDIA ON DEMAND)			
2149.	152. E	2150.	153. ROBERT D. LONG
OFFERING CORP			
2151.	154. E	2152.	155. ROBERT D. LOWE
OFFERING CORPORATION			
2153.	156.	2154.	157. KEVIN M. O'DONNELL
EARTHLINK NETWORK, INC.			
2155.	158.	2156.	159. SKY DYLAN DAYTON
EARTHLINK NETWORK, INC.			
2157.	160.	2158.	161. TOM BERARDUCCI
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY			
2159.	162.	2160.	163. PHILIP GERSKOVICH
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY/DIGITAL & APPLIED IMAGING			
2161.	164.	2162.	165. PAUL NADEL
EASTWEST VENTUREGROUP			
2163.	166.	2164.	167. RONALD W. MILLS, SR.
ECARE SOULTIONS, INC.			
2165.	168.	2166.	169. EDMUND CHAVEZ
ECH CONSULTING			
2167.	170.	2168.	171. HARVEY J. WILSON
ECLIPSYS CORPORATION			
2169.	172.	2170.	173. STEPHANIE MASSENGILL
ECLIPSYS/HEALTHVISIO N, INC.			
2171.	174.	2172.	175. RANDY SELMAN
EDNET, INC.			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2173.	176.	2174.	177. ERIC M. CHEN
EMERALD CAPITAL PARTNERS, INC.			
2175.	178.	2176.	179. MAURICE BUCHSBAUM
EMERALD CAPITAL PARTNERS, INC.			
2177.	180.	2178.	181. SILVIA VEITIA
ENRON BROADBAND SERVICES			
2179.	182.	2180.	183. LARRY PETTIT
FRAN VEST, A DIVISION OF SHEPARD COMPANIES			
2181.	184.	2182.	185. BRADLEY (BRAD) S.SHRAIBERG, ESQ.
FURR & COHEN P. A.		2184.	186. BILL GERBER
		2186.	188. SUSHIL GARG
2185.	187.		
GARG DATA INTERNATIONAL			
2187.	189.	2188.	190. ROBERT "ROB" MARQUSEE
GATEWAY, INC.			
2189.	191. GDI	2190.	192. ROBERT L. WEIL
2191.	193. GDI	2192.	194. DONALD G. KANE II
2193.	195.	2194.	196. ROBERT GUCCIONE
GEAR MAGAZINE			
2195.	197.	2196.	198. NAOMI MIDDELMAN
GEAR MAGAZINE			
2197.	199.	2198.	200. STEVEN T. JOANIS
GENESIS VENTURES, LLC			
2199.	201.		
GERICO STATE CAPITAL			
2201.	202.	2202.	203. JOHN GONZALEZ
GETTY IMAGES, INC.			
2203.	204.	2204.	205. BILL LEDERER
GETTY IMAGES, INC. - ART.COM			
2205.	206.	2206.	207. GARY WINNICK
GLOBAL CROSSING, LTD./PACIFIC CAPITAL GROUP			
2207.	208.	2208.	209. JON JACOBS
GOLDEN SHADOW PICTURES			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2209. GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	210.	2210. FRIEDSTEIN	211. JEFFREY & SHELDON
2211. GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC.	212.	2212.	213. DONALD G. KANE II
2213. GOLDSTEIN LEWIN	214.	2214.	215. JENNIFER LEWIN
2215. GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.	216.	2216.	217. GERALD R. LEWIN
2217. GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & COMPANY	218.	2218.	219. ERIKA LEWIN
2219. GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN, P.C.	220.	2220.	221. MICHAEL I. RACKMAN
2221. GRANITE VENTURES	222.	2222.	223. BORG ADAMS
2223. GREAT EXPECTATIONS	224.	2224.	225. LEVINE, MICHAEL
2225. GREG MANNING AUCTIONS	226.	2226.	227. GREG MANNING
2227. GRINBERG WORLDWIDE IMAGES	228.	2228.	229. GABRIELLE BRENNER
2229. GRUNTAL & COMPANY	230.	2230.	231. LEO ABBE
2231. GRUNTAL & COMPANY	232.	2232.	233. JEFFREY BERMAN
2233. GRUNTAL & COMPANY	234.	2234.	235. RICHARD L. SERRANO
2235. GRUNTAL & COMPANY	236.	2236.	237. WILLIAM J. GRAMAS
2237. GRUNTAL & COMPANY	238.	2238.	239. MITCHELL WELSCH
2239. GULFSTREAM CAPITAL GROUP, L.C.	240.	2240.	241. HARVEY KAYE
		2242.	242. KADIE LIBESCH
2243. H.I.G. CAPITAL	243.	2244.	244. JACQUELINE ROSALES

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2245. HACHETTE FILIPACCHI MEDIA	245.	2246.	246. GERALD DE ROQUEMAUREL
2247. VIEW IT	247. I	2248.	248. KEVIN J. LOCKWOOD
2249. VIEW IT	249. I	2250.	250. SIMON L. BERNSTEIN
2251. VIEW IT	251. I	2252.	252. WILLIAM R. KASSER
2253. VIEW IT	253. I	2254.	254. PAUL W. MELNYCHUCK
2255. VIEW IT	255. I		
2256. VIEW IT	257. I		
2257. IBEAM	259.	2258.	260. CHRIS PAPPAS
2259. IBEAM BROADCASTING, INC.	261.	2260.	262. MARTIN A. CAMI
2261. ICEBOX.COM	263.	2262.	264. BRAD FELDMAN
2263. IDEAL CONDITIONS	265.	2264.	266. IRV YACHT
2265. IFILM.COM	267.	2266.	268. JESSE JACOBS
2267. CORPORATION	269. IFX	2268.	270. JOEL M. EIDELSTEIN
2269. IIGROUP, INC.	271.	2270.	272. BRUCE HAUSMAN
2271. IIGROUP, INC.	273.	2272.	274. NEIL SWARTZ
2273. INDUSTRY ENTERTAINMENT	275.	2274.	276. LYNWOOD SPINKS
2275. INFINITE LOGIC MANAGEMENT, LLC	277.	2276.	278. JOSH EIKOV
2277. INTEGIC	279.	2278.	280. WILLIAM M. SENICH
2279.	281.	2280.	282. LARRY PALLEY

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

INTEL			
2281.	283.	2282.	284. PETER FELDMAN
INTER@CTIVATE, INC.			
2283.	285.	2284.	286. BRAD WEBER
INTERACTIVE TELECOM			
NETWORK, INC			
2285.	287.	2286.	288. JOHN REYNOLDS
INTERNATIONAL			
NETWORK GROUP			
2287.	289.	2288.	290. RICHARD HOLMAN
INTERNET INVESTMENT			
BANKING SERVICES			
2289.	291.	2290.	292. WALTER MEREMIANIN
INTERNETTRAIN			
2291.	293.	2292.	294. NICHOLAS MEREMIANIN
INTERNETTRAIN			
2293.	295.	2294.	296. BRETT MESSING
INTERPACKET GROUP			
2295.	297.	2296.	298. SCOTT MURPHY
IVIEWIT			
2297.	299.	2298.	300. LINDA SHERWIN
IVIEWIT			
2299.	301.	2300.	302. REDJEM BOUHENGUEL
IVIEWIT			
2301.	303.	2302.	304. DIANA ISRAEL
IVIEWIT			
2303.	305.	2304.	306. COURTNEY JURCAK
IVIEWIT			
2305.	307.	2306.	308. LOUISE TOVATT
IVIEWIT			
2307.	309.	2308.	310. RAYMOND T. HERSH
IVIEWIT			
2309.	311.	2310.	312. DR. CHRISTOPHER TAYLOR
MILWAUKEE SCHOOL OF			
ENGINEERING			
2311.	313.	2312.	314. JENNIFER A. KLUGE
IVIEWIT			
2313.	315.	2314.	316. MARTHA MANTECON
IVIEWIT			
2315.	317.	2316.	318. ROSS MILLER
IVIEWIT			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2317. IVIEWIT	319.		
2319. IVIEWIT	321.	2320.	322. STEVE L. SKLAR
2321. IVIEWIT	323.	2322.	324. BLAZE BENHAM
2323. IVIEWIT	325.	2324.	326. JACK P. SCANLAN
		2325.	327. PETER S. LEE
2326. IVIEWIT	328.	2327. MONDRAGON	329. LAWRENCE ALLAN
2328. IVIEWIT	330.	2329.	331. VINCE BANK
2330. IVIEWIT	332.	2331.	333. VASILY ZOLOTOV
2332. IZ.COM INCORPORATED/VISION ART MANAGEMENT	334.	2333.	335. SCOTT SCHWARTZ
2334. WHITNEY & CO.	336. J. H.	2335.	337. PETER J. HUFF
2336. MEDIOL.COM	338.	2337.	339. ERIC CHEN
2338. MEGASYSTEMS, INC.	340.	2339.	341. HILARY A. GRINKER
2340. METRO GOLDWYN MAYER	342.	2341.	343. DAVID RONDAN
2342. METRO GOLDWYN MAYER	344.	2343.	345. MEGAN CRAWFORD
2344. MEVC.COM, INC.	346.	2345.	347. JOHN GRILLOS
2346. MIND ARROW SYSTEMS/INTERNATION AL NETWORK GROUP	348.	2347.	349. TOM BLAKELEY
2348. MONARCH VENTURES	350.	2349.	351. ROBERT P. GUYTON, JR.
2350. MONARCH VENTURES	352.	2351.	353. KATY FALAKSHAHI, PH.D.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2352. MORGAN CREEK COMPANIES	354.	2353.	355. JAMES G. ROBINSON
2354. MOTION POINT	356.	2355.	357. WILL FLEMING
2356. MOTOROLA/GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION	358.	2357.	359. LOU MASTROCOLA
2358. MOVIEFLY	360.		
2359. MPINET	361.	2360.	362. DUANE BARNES
2361. MTVI GROUP	363.	2362.	364. GENNADIY BORISOV
2363. MUSICBANK	365.	2364.	366. DON ROSENFELD
2365. MUSICBANK, INCORPORATED	367.	2366.	368. PIERCE LEDBETTER
2367. MYCFO INC.	369.		
2368. MYCITY.COM	371.	2369.	372. WOLF SHLAGMAN
2370. NANCY ROSE & ASSOCIATES	373.	2371.	374. NANCY Y. ROSE
2372. NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MEDIA INVENTIONS CENTERS(NAMTC)	375.	2373.	376. JON WIBBELS
2374. NCR	377.	2375.	378. KATHLEEN HOFFER
2376. NEC	379.	2377.	380. LARRY MCCAIN
2378. NETCUBATOR	381.	2379.	382. GEMAL SEEDE
2380. NEURON BROADCASTING TECHNOLOGIES	383.	2381.	384. RONALD CROPPER
2382.	385.	2383.	386. JENS JOHANSEN

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

NOMAD FILM PROJECT, THE			
2384. ARCHDIOCESE	387. NY	2385.	388. MIKE LAVERY
2386. OASIS OUTSOURCING, INC.	389.	2387.	390. DAVE BROWN
2388. OCEAN DRIVE MAGAZINE	391.	2389.	392. MARC ABRAMS
2390. ON2.COM INC.	393.	2391.	394. DAN MILLER
2392. ON2.COM INC.	395.	2393.	396. STRAUSS ZELNICK
2394. ONE LIBERTY VENTURES	397.	2395.	398. DUNCAN MCCALLUM
2396. ONLOAN	399.	2397.	400. RICHARD POLUMBO
2398. ONLOAN	401.	2399.	402. BARNEY DANZANSKY
2400. ONVISION TECHNOLOGIES	403.	2401.	404. RICHARD E. BENNETT
2402. ONVISION TECHNOLOGIES	405.	2403.	406. WILLIAM SWARTZ
2404. OPENGRAPHICS CORPORATION	407.	2405.	408. STEVE SUTHERLAND
2406. OPPENHEIMERFUNDS	409.	2407.	410. AL NAGARAJ
2408. PACIFIC CAPITAL GROUP, INC.	411.	2409.	412. ROBERT WEBSTER
2410. PACIFIC CAPITAL GROUP, INC.	413.	2411.	414. GREGG W. RITCHIE
2412. PACKET VIDEO CORP	415.	2413.	416. JIM CAROL
2414. PAINE WEBBER GROUP INC.	417.	2415.	418. MARTIN D. MAGIDA

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2416.	419.	2417.	420. PETER ZURKOW
PAINE WEBBER GROUP INC			
2418.	421.	2419.	422. FRANK DRAZKA
PAINE WEBBER GROUP INC.			
2420.	423.	2421.	424. ROBERT G. FRIEDMAN
PARAMOUNT PICTURES			
2422.	425.	2423.	426. STUART BELLOFF
PARATECH RESOURCES INC.			
2424.	429.	2425.	430. PAUL C. HEESCHEN
PAUL C. HEESCHEN CONSULTING			
2426.	431.	2427.	432. PAUL C. PERSHES
PAUL C. PERSHES			
2428.	433.	2429.	434. PAUL C. REISCHE
PAUL C. REISCHE			
2430.	435.	2431.	436. DAN SCOTT
PAYFORVIEW.COM			
2432.	437.	2433.	438. STEVE FEDER
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP			
2434.	439.	2435.	440. JAMES P. MCNIEL
PEQUOT CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.			
2436.	441.	2437.	442. MICHAEL KRALL
RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES			
2438.	443.	2439.	444. REUBEN JOHNSON
RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES			
2440.	445.	2441.	446. BO GODBOLD
RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES			
2442.	447.	2443.	448. PHIL LEIGH
RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES			
2444.	449.	2445.	450. DR. ROBERT D. DRESSLER-SC.
RAYMOND JAMES & ASSOCIATES, INC.			
2446.	451.	2447.	452. JOHN SCAPPATURA
RAZORFISH, INC.			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2448.	453.	2449.	454. ROSALIE BIBONA
REAL 3D®, INC./INTEL SGI & LOCKHEED			
2450.	455.	2451.	456. STEVE COCHRAN
REAL 3D®, INC./INTEL SGI & LOCKHEED			
2452.	457.	2453.	458. TIM CONNOLLY
REAL 3D®, INC./INTEL SGI & LOCKHEED			
2454.	459.	2455.	460. GERALD W. STANLEY
REAL 3D®, INC./INTEL SGI & LOCKHEED			
2456.	461.	2457.	462. DAVID BOLTON
REAL 3D®, INC./INTEL SGI & LOCKHEED			
2458.	465.	2459.	466. STEVEN KIMMEL
REALCAST			
2460.	467.	2461.	468. BRANT WILLIAMS
REALNETWORKS INC.			
2462.	469.	2463.	470. JONATHAN GREENBLATT
REALSELECT, INC.			
2464.	471.	2465.	472. THOMAS A. SZABO
RED DOT NET			
2466.	473.	2467.	474. LYNDA KEELER
RED LEAF VENTURE CAPITAL			
2468.	475.	2469.	476. G. BRADFORD JONES
REDPOINT VENTURES/BRENTWOOD VENTURES			
2470.	477.	2471.	478. GREG MARTIN
REDPOINT VENTURES/BRENTWOOD VENTURES			
2472.	479.	2473.	480. PHILIPPE BRAWERMAN
REEF®			
2474.	481.	2475.	482. MITCHELL B. SANDLER
REGENESIS HOLDINGS INC.			
2476.	483.	2477.	484. JASON JORDAN
REVOLUTION VENTURES			
2478.	485.	2479.	486. ARTIE RIPP
RIPP ENTERTAINMENT			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

GROUP

2480.	487.	2481.	488. ROBERT M. CHIN
ROBERT M. CHIN			
2482.	489.	2483.	490. GEORGE O. ROBERTS, JR.
SHARP			
2484.	491.	2485.	492. ART BILGER
SHELTER VENTURES			
2486.	493.	2487.	494. KEVIN WALL
SHELTER VENTURES			
2488.	495.	2489.	496. SHIRO F. SHIRAGA
SHIRO F. SHIRAGA			
2490.	497.	2491.	498. PHIL ANDERSON
SIAR CAPITAL			
2492.	499.	2493.	500. SCOTT SANDER
SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES			
2494.	501.	2495.	502. KEVIN BERG
SIGNCAST			
2496.	503.	2497.	504. LINDA K. HALPERT
SILVER LINING PRODUCTIONS			
2498.	505.	2499.	506. LAWRENCE SILVER
SILVER YOUNG FUND			
2500.	507.	2501.	508. ALAN YOUNG
SILVER YOUNG FUND			
2502.	509.	2503.	510. CONRAD VERNON
SITESNET.COM			
2504.	511.	2505.	512. AL WOODRUFF
SMARTSPEED			
2506.	513.	2507.	514. JON K. HIRSCHTICK
SOLIDWORKS CORPORATION			
2508.	515.	2509.	516. MICHAEL GUYTAN
SOLOMON SMITH BARNEY			
2510.	517.	2511.	518. MICHAEL CHRISTENSON
SOLOMON SMITH BARNEY			
2512.	519.	2513.	520. DOUGLAS CHEY
SONY PICTURES DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2514.	521.	2515.	522. CORII BERG
SONY PICTURES DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT			
2516.	523.	2517.	524. A. ALFRED TAUBMAN
SOTHEBY'S HOLDINGS, INC.			
2518.	525.	2519.	526. DAVID C. BLIVIN
SOUTHEAST INTERACTIVE			
2520.	527.	2521.	528. PETER ENDERLAN
SOUTHEAST RESEARCH PARTNERS/RYAN BECK			
2522.	529.	2523.	530. ROD MICKLER
SPORTSCHANNEL FLORIDA, INC.			
2524.	531.	2525.	532. GREG LEWIS
SPORTSLINE USA, INC.			
2526.	533.	2527.	534. MICHAEL LEVY
SPORTSLINE USA, INC.			
2528.	535.	2529.	536. JOHN RUBEY
SPRING COMMUNICATIONS, INC.			
2530.	537.	2531.	538. BEN DEROSA
SPROUT GROUP			
2532.	539.	2533.	540. MIKE MCGINLEY
SRO CONSULTANTS/MICROSOFT			
		2535.	541. RICHARD CHWATT
2536.	542.	2537.	543. RICHARD LEHMAN
STAMPFINDER.COM			
		2538.	544. STEVEN J. PEREGE
2539.	545.		
STREAMCENTER.COM			
2540.	546.		
STREAMING EYE MEDIA			
2541.	547.	2542.	548. JIM ERIKSON
STREAMING SOLUTIONS INC.			
2543.	549.	2544.	550. RICHARD BOWSHER
STREAMINGMEDIA.COM			

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2545.	551.	2546.	552. STEVE TIMMERMAN
SUPERSCAPE INC.			
2547.	553.	2548.	554. JOHN KING
SUPERSCAPE INC.			
2549.	555.		
SWISS LIFE COMPANIES			
2551.	556. SY	2552.	557. LAWRENCE M. SILVER
PARTNERS			
2553.	558.	2554.	559. BRETT FORMAN
SYLVAN VENTURES			
2555.	560.	2556.	561. LAWRENCE TALISMAN
TALISMAN GROUP			
2557.	562.	2558.	563. ROBERT ZELINKA
VERTEX GROUP, INC.			
2559.	564.	2560.	565. DEAN SIVLEY
VERTICALNET			
2561.	566.	2562.	567. THOMAS B. MCGRATH
VIACOM			
ENTERTAINMENT GROUP			
2563.	568.	2564.	569. BRIAN SPAULDING
VIANT			
2565.	570.	2566.	571. RONALD J. OMSGARTEN
VIDEO ON DEMAND			
NETWORK			
2567.	572.	2568.	573. NOAH E. HOCKMAN
VIDYAH, LLC			
2569.	574.	2570.	575. ROBERT RICE
VIEWPOINT			
2571.	576.	2572.	577. CHRIS TORKELSON
VIRAGE, INC.			
2573.	578.	2574.	579. MICHELLE L. ROBINSON
VIRTUAL IMPACT			
PRODUCTIONS, INC.			
2575.	580.	2576.	581. DAVID A. BERGEN
VIRTUAL WORLD FILMS			
2577.	582.	2578.	583. MURRAY DENNIS
VISIONEER			
2579.	584.	2580.	585. ALAN M. SAPERSTEIN
VISUAL DATA			
CORPORATION			
2581.	586.	2582.	587. RANDY S. SELMAN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

VISUAL DATA CORPORATION			
2583.	588.	2584.	589. TERENCE LEE
VISUAL DATA CORPORATION			
2585.	590.	2586.	591. TERRENCE LEE
VISUAL DATA CORPORATION			
2587.	592.	2588.	593. SCOTT MARQUARDT
VODUSA			
2589.	594.	2590.	595. DAVID J. COLTER
VULCAN VENTURES AND OUR WORLD LIVE			
2591.	596.	2592.	597. MICHAEL A. VIOLA
WACHENHUT RESOURCES, INC.			
2593.	598.	2594.	599. JOE S. LEE
WACHOVIA BANK			
2595.	600.	2596.	601. CLAIRE J. WIGGILL
WACHOVIA SECURITIES, INC.			
2597.	602.	2598.	603. DAVID A. BUCHSBAUM
WACHOVIA SECURITIES, INC.			
2599.	604.	2600.	605. SCOTT BOWMAN
WACHOVIA SECURITIES, INC.			
2601.	606.	2602.	607. JOHN D. DEERING
WACHOVIA SECURITIES, INC.			
2603.	608.	2604.	609. CHRIS PULA
WALT DISNEY COMPANY, THE			
2605.	610.	2606.	611. ROGER HARRIS
WARBURG PINCUS			
2607.	612.	2608.	613. DAVID J. COLTER
WARNER BROS.			
2609.	614.	2610.	615. RAY CALDITO
WARNER BROS. ONLINE			
2611.	616.	2612.	617. CAROLYN WESSLING
WARNER BROS. ONLINE			
2613.	618.	2614.	619. FRANK J. BIONDI, JR.

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

WATERVIEW PARTNERS

2615.	620.	2616.	621. KIMBERLY CHU
WATERVIEW PARTNERS			
2617.	622.	2618.	623. MOFE STALLINGS
WEAVE INNOVATIONS			
2619.	624.	2620.	625. SCOTT KLOSOSKY
WEBCASTS.COM			
2621.	626.	2622.	627. RAJ MEHRA
WEISS, PECK & GREER VENTURE PARTNERS			
2623.	628.	2624.	629. BRIAN G. UTLEY
WHERETOLIVE.COM, INC.			
		2625.	630. KAREN CHASTAIN
		2626.	631. MILDRED COLON
		2627.	632. HOWARD GUGGENHEIM
		2628.	633. MITCHELL WOLF
		2629.	634. N. BELOFF
		2630.	635. STUART ROSOW
		2631.	636. ED RISTAINO
		2632.	637. ROB ZEIGEN
		2633.	638. JAMIE LINEBERGER
2634.	639.	2635.	640. DANIEL FOREMAN
ABN-AMRO PRIVATE EQUITY			
2636.	641.		
AEC			
2637.	642.	2638.	643. MARC KLEE
AMERICAN FUNDS ADVISORS			
2639.	644.	2640.	645. BRIAN L. FOX
ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP			
2641.	646.	2642.	647. ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER
ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO			
2643.	648.	2644.	649. JONATHAN S. ROBBINS
ATLAS, PEARLMAN, TROP & BORKSON, P.A.			
2645.	650.	2646.	651. ROD BELL
ATTORNEY			

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2647. BEAR STEARNS	652.	2648.	653. ED RIMLAND
2649. MICROWAVE SATELLITE INVENTIONS	654. C/O	2650.	655. FRANK MATARAZO
2651. THE CARLYLE GROUP	656. C/O	2652.	657. LEE PURCELL
2653. CHASE MANHATTAN PRIVATE BANK, N.A.	658.	2654.	659. MARK DALZIEL
2655. CIBC WORLD MARKETS OPPENHEIMER	660.	2656.	661. PAUL ROGERS
2657. CINEMANOW, INC.	662.	2658.	663. BRUCE DAVID EISEN
2659. CINEMANOW, INC.	664.	2660.	665. BRUCE DAVID EISEN
2661. COMPAQ COMPUTERS – ECOMMERCE	666.	2662.	667. JOE KAPP
2663. CONVERGENT COMPANIES, INC.	668.	2664.	669. GREG BROGGER
2665. CYBER-CARE INC	670.	2666.	671. PAUL PERCHES
2667. CYBERWORLD INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	672.	2668.	673. KEITH SAEZ
2669. DEUTSCHE BANC ALEX. BROWN	674.	2670.	675. KEVIN CORY
2671. DEUTSCHE TELEKOM, INC.	676.	2672.	677. MICHAEL R. FOX
2673. DEUTSCHE TELEKOM, INC.	678.	2674.	679. DONALD J. HASSENBEIN
2675. DIGITAL EDITING SOLUTIONS	680.	2676.	681. MARKINSON BRETT
2677. DIGITAL ISLAND	682.	2678.	683. CLIVE WHITTAKER

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

2679.	684.	2680.	685. GUIOMAR ALVAREZ
DISNEY INTERACTIVE			
2681.	686.	2682.	687. MICHAEL HASPEL
DLC NATIONAL			
2683.	688.	2684.	689. MITCH LESTER
DONALDSON, LUFTKIN & JENERRETE			
2685.	690. E	2686.	691. ROBERT D. LONG
OFFERING CORP			
2687.	692.	2688.	693. HARVEY J. WILSON
ECLIPSYS CORPORATION			
2689.	694.	2690.	695. HARVEY J. WILSON
ECLIPSYS CORPORATION			
2691.	696.		
ERNST & YOUNG			
2692.	698.	2693.	699. STICKELLS, SUSAN P.
ESSEX INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC			
2694.	700.	2695.	701. BARRY AHRON
EXECUTIVE CONSULTING & MANAGEMENT			
2696.	702.	2697.	703. WAYNE HUNTER
FIRST UNION SECURITES			
2698.	704.	2699.	705. LEE WILLET
FIRST UNION/WHEAT			
2700.	706.		
GERICO STATE CAPITAL			
2701.	707.	2702.	708. HARVEY KAYE
GULFSTREAM CAPITAL GROUP, L.C.			
2703.	709.	2704.	710. GARY S. GOLDSTEIN
HEADWAY CORPORATE RESOURCES, INC.			
2705.	711.	2706.	712. IRENE HUNTER
HEALTH VISION (ECLIPSYS)			
2707.	713.	2708.	714. HALE HOAK
HOAK CAPITAL CORPORATION			
2709.	715.	2710.	716. GARY BROWN

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

HRONE

2711.	717.	2712.	718. CRIS V. BRANDEN
HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED			
2713.	719.	2714.	720. ERIC SIMS
HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED			
2715.	721.	2716.	722. ROBERT J. HENNINGER
HUIZENGA HOLDINGS, INC.			
2717.	723.	2718.	724. H. WAYNE HUIZENGA JR.
HUIZENGA HOLDINGS, INC.			
2719.	725.	2720.	726. RICHARD PALUMBO
HUIZENGA HOLDINGS, INC.			
2721.	727.	2722.	728. RICHARD HOLMAN
INTERNET INVESTMENT BANKING SERVICES			
2723.	729.	2724.	730. WALTER MEREMIANIN
INTERNETTRAIN			
2725.	731.	2726.	732. NICHOLAS MEREMIANIN
INTERNETTRAIN			
2727.	733.	2728.	734. H. WAYNE HUIZENGA JR.
INVESTTECH			
2729.	735. J. H.	2730.	736. KEVIN CURLEY
WHITNEY & CO.			
2731.	737. JW	2732.	738. STORM BOSWICK
SELIGMAN			
2733.	739. JW	2734.	740. CHRIS BOOVA
SELIGMAN			
2735.	741.	2736.	742. TIMOTHY VALLANCE
LANCORE REALTY, INC.			
2737.	743.	2738.	744. YORK WANG
YORK TELECOM			
		2739.	745. JEAN SPENCE
		2740.	746. LILIANA & NAIOMI GOMEZ
		2741.	747. MATT ROSEN
		2742.	748. ALLAN APPLESTEIN
		2743.	749. CHRIS CONKLIN

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

	2744.		750. IRA BOGNER
	2745.		751. IVAN TABACK
	2746.		752. WAYNE E. LEGUM
	2747.		753. RAND ELLER
	2748.		754. JEAN SPENCE
	2749.		755. PETER M. NALLEY
	2750.		756. PETER CALIN
	2751.		757. PETER M. NALLER
	2752.		758. RICHARD KESNER
	2753.		759. LILIANA & NAIOMI GOMEZ
	2754.		760. CHRISTIAN IANTONI
	2755.		761. DANIEL A. STAUBER
	2756.		762. MR. DOLLINGER
	2757.		763. ALLAN APPLESTEIN
	2758.		764. STEVE JACOBS
	2759.		765. THOMAS HANKINS
	2760.		766. RHYS RYAN
2761.	767.	2762.	768. DANIEL SOKOLOFF, MIKE MCGINLEY, WILL POOLE
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			

1. MPEGLA, LLC.

1. DVD6C LICENSING GROUP (DVD6C)

EXTENDED LIST OF DEFENDANTS

1. Proskauer Rose, LLP; Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye") and in his estate with New York Supreme Court Chief Judge Judith Kaye ("J. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zamas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP;

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
- Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
2. MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.; Lewis Melzter - ("Meltzer"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 3. FOLEY & LARDNER LLP; Ralf Boer ("Boer"); Michael Grebe ("Grebe"); Christopher Kise ("Kise"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 4. Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 5. Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 6. Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 7. Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 8. YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE; Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 9. GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.; Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C. Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N. Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 10. INTEL Corporation;
 11. Silicon Graphics Inc.;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
12. Lockheed Martin Corporation;
 13. Real 3D, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO; Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO - ("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton; Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A. Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities, and any successor companies both individually and professionally;
 14. Tiedemann Investment Group; Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 15. Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners; Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Rene P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 16. BROAD & CASSEL; James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 17. FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD; Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose Shareholder in Iviewit - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Ross Miller, Esq. ("Miller"), Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Maurice Buchsbaum - Board Director; Stephen Warner - Board Director; Simon L. Bernstein – Board Director ("S. Bernstein"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 18. FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA; Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C");
 19. THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE; Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;
 20. THE FLORIDA BAR; Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
21. MPEGLA, LLC. – Kenneth Rubenstein, Patent Evaluator; Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 22. DVD6C LICENSING GROUP - Licensors and Licensees, please visit www.mpegla.com for a complete list; Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 23. Harrison Goodard Foote incorporating Brewer & Son; Martyn Molyneaux, Esq. (“Molyneaux”); Any other John Doe ("John Doe") Harrison Goodard Foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Harrison Goodard Goote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 24. Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
 25. James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department; Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;
 26. Houston & Shahady, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Houston & Shahady, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Houston & Shahady, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 27. Furr & Cohen, P.A. any other John Doe ("John Doe") Furr & Cohen, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Furr & Cohen, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 28. Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., any other John Doe ("John Doe") Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A., affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Moskowitz, Mandell, Salim & Simowitz, P.A. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 29. The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Donald G. Kane (“Kane”); any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 30. Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sachs Saxs & Klein, PA related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 31. Huizenga Holdings Incorporated any other John Doe ("John Doe") Huizenga Holdings Incorporated affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Huizenga Holdings Incorporated related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
 32. Davis Polk & Wardell;
 33. Ropes & Gray LLP;
 34. Sullivan & Cromwell LLP;
 35. P. Stephen Lamont, (“Lamont”) a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries;
 36. SKULL AND BONES;
 37. The Russell Trust Co.;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
38. Yale Law School;
 39. Council on Foreign Relations;
 40. The Bilderberg Group;
 41. The Federalist Society;
 42. The Bradley Foundation;
 43. STATE OF NEW YORK;
 44. THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION OF THE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM;
 45. STEVEN C. KRANE in his official and individual Capacities for the New York State Bar Association and the Appellate Division First Department Departmental disciplinary Committee, and, his professional and individual capacities as a Proskauer partner;
 46. ESTATE OF STEPHEN KAYE, in his professional and individual capacities;
 47. MATTHEW M. TRIGGS in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer;
 48. JON A. BAUMGARTEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 49. SCOTT P. COOPER, in his professional and individual capacities;
 50. BRENDAN J. O'ROURKE, in his professional and individual capacities;
 51. LAWRENCE I. WEINSTEIN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 52. WILLIAM M. HART, in his professional and individual capacities;
 53. DARYN A. GROSSMAN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 54. JOSEPH A. CAPRARO JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
 55. JAMES H. SHALEK; in his professional and individual capacities;
 56. GREGORY MASHBERG, in his professional and individual capacities;
 57. JOANNA SMITH, in her professional and individual capacities;
 58. TODD C. NORBITZ, in his professional and individual capacities;
 59. ANNE SEKEL, in his professional and individual capacities;
 60. JIM CLARK, in his professional and individual capacities;
 61. STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA;
 62. FLORIDA SUPREME COURT;
 63. HON. CHARLES T. WELLS, in his official and individual capacities;
 64. HON. HARRY LEE ANSTEAD, in his official and individual capacities;
 65. HON. R. FRED LEWIS, in his official and individual capacities;
 66. HON. PEGGY A. QUINCE, in his official and individual capacities;
 67. HON. KENNETH B. BELL, in his official and individual capacities;
 68. THOMAS HALL, in his official and individual capacities;
 69. DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities;
 70. DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA;
 71. CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA.;
 72. ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities;
 73. ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities;
 74. PAUL CURRAN in his official and individual capacities;
 75. MARTIN R. GOLD in his official and individual capacities;
 76. SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT;
 77. CATHERINE O'HAGIEN WOLFE in her official and individual capacities;
 78. HON. ANGELA M. MAZZARELLI in her official and individual capacities;
 79. HON. RICHARD T. ANDRIAS in his official and individual capacities;
 80. HON. DAVID B. SAXE in his official and individual capacities;
 81. HON. DAVID FRIEDMAN in his official and individual capacities;
 82. HON. LUIZ A. GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
 83. SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT;
 84. SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION SECOND DEPARTMENT DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE;

169

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
85. HON. A. GAIL PRUDENTI in her official and individual capacities;
 86. HON. JUDITH S. KAYE in her official and individual capacities;
 87. STATE OF NEW YORK COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION;
 88. ANTHONY CARTUSCIELLO in his official and individual capacities;
 89. LAWYERS FUND FOR CLIENT PROTECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
 90. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK;
 91. ELIOT SPITZER in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as former Governor of the State of New York;
 92. ANDREW CUOMO in his official and individual capacities, as both former Attorney General for the State of New York, and, as current Governor of the State of New York;
 93. Steven M. Cohen in his official and individual capacities, as both former Chief of Staff to Attorney General Andrew Cuomo for the State of New York, and, as current Secretary to the Governor of the State of New York;
 94. Emily Cole, in her official and individual capacities, as an employee of Steven M. Cohen for the Governor Cuomo of the State of New York;
 95. COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA;
 96. VIRGINIA STATE BAR;
 97. ANDREW H. GOODMAN in his official and individual capacities;
 98. NOEL SENDEL in her official and individual capacities;
 99. MARY W. MARTELINO in her official and individual capacities;
 100. LIZBETH L. MILLER, in her official and individual capacities;
 101. MPEGLA LLC; LAWRENCE HORN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 102. INTEL CORP.; LARRY PALLEY, in his professional and individual capacities;
 103. SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.;
 104. LOCKHEED MARTIN Corp.;
 105. EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE;
 106. ALAIN POMPIDOU in his official and individual capacities;
 107. WIM VAN DER EIJK in his official and individual capacities;
 108. LISE DYBDAHL in her official and personal capacities;
 109. DIGITAL INTERACTIVE STREAMS, INC.;
 110. ROYAL O'BRIEN, in his professional and individual capacities;
 111. HUIZENGA HOLDINGS INCORPORATED, WAYNE HUIZENGA, in his professional and individual capacities;
 112. WAYNE HUIZENGA, JR., in his professional and individual capacities;
 113. BART A. HOUSTON, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
 114. BRADLEY S. SCHRAIBERG, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
 115. WILLIAM G. SALIM, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
 116. BEN ZUCKERMAN, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities;
 117. SPENCER M. SAX, in his professional and individual capacities;
 118. ALBERTO GONZALES in his official and individual capacities;
 119. JOHNNIE E. FRAZIER in his official and individual capacities;
 120. IVIEWIT, INC., a Florida corporation;
 121. IVIEWIT, INC., a Delaware corporation;
 122. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Uview.com, Inc.);
 123. UVIEW.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
 124. IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (f.k.a. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.);
 125. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Florida corporation;
 126. IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Florida corporation;
 127. I.C., INC., a Florida corporation;
 128. IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation;
 129. IVIEWIT.COM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

-
- 130.IVIEWIT LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
 - 131.IVIEWIT CORPORATION, a Florida corporation;
 - 132.IBM CORPORATION;

To be added New Defendants in the RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit and future criminal and civil action through amendment or in any anticipated future litigations and criminal filings:

1. Justice Richard C. Wesley in his official and individual capacities,
2. Justice Peter W. Hall in his official and individual capacities,
3. Justice Debra Ann Livingston in her official and individual capacities,
4. Justice Ralph K. Winter in his official and individual capacities,
5. P. Stephen Lamont, (Questions about Lamont's filings on behalf of others and more already filed with criminal authorities and this Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Lamont)
6. Alan Friedberg, in his official and individual capacities,
7. Roy Reardon, in his official and individual capacities,
8. Martin Glenn, in his official and individual capacities,
9. Warner Bros. Entertainment, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
10. Time Warner Communications, (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
11. AOL Inc., (Already named in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
12. Ropes & Gray,
13. Stanford Financial Group. (This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Stanford Financial Group relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit)
14. Bernard L. Madoff et al. (This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Bernard L. Madoff et al. relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit)
15. Marc S. Dreier, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed. This Court has already been notified in Motion of the alleged fraudulent activities of Marc S. Dreier relating directly to Defendants in this Lawsuit Bernard L. Madoff et al.)
16. Sony Corporation, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
17. AT&T Corp. (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
18. Ernst & Young, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
19. Arthur Andersen, (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
20. Enron et al. (Already named Defendant in the lawsuit since the amended complaint filed)
21. White and Case LLP,
22. Obsidian Finance Group,
23. Kevin D. Padrick, Esq., in his individual and professional capacities,
24. David W. Brown, Esq., in his individual and professional capacities,
25. Tonkon Torp LLP, any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tonkon Torp LLP partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tonkon Torp LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Tonkon Torp LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
26. David S. Aman, Esq. in his individual and professional capacities,
27. Steven M. Wilker, Esq. in his individual and professional capacities,
28. Robyn R. Aoyagi, Esq. in her individual and professional capacities,
29. Miller Nash LLP, any other John Doe ("John Doe") Miller Nash LLP partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Miller Nash LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Miller Nash LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
30. Perkins Coie Trust Company LLC, any other John Doe ("John Doe") Perkins Coie Trust Company LLC partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Perkins Coie Trust Company LLC; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Perkins Coie Trust Company LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD

UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

31. Sussman Shank LLP any other John Doe ("John Doe") Sussman Shank LLP partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Sussman Shank LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any Sussman Shank LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally;
32. DOJ Trustee Pamela Griffith,
33. Judge Martin Colin,
34. Judge David E French,
35. Robert Spallina, Esq.
36. Donald Tescher, Esq,
37. Alan B. Rose, Esq.
38. Tescher & Spallina, PA
39. Judge John L Phillips
40. Judge Howard Coates,
41. Mark Manceri, Esq.
42. John Morrissey, Esq.
43. Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, Detective Ryan Miller,
44. Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, Detective David Groover,
45. Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, Detective Andrew Panzer,
46. Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, Captain Carol Gregg
47. John and Jane Doe's 1-5000 inclusive, said names being fictitious, it being the intention of the Plaintiffs to designate any and all entities involved in the acts of malfeasance alleged herein, the true names of the fictitious Defendants are otherwise unknown at the present time and will be supplemented by amendment when ascertained,

Defendants – Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CASE 07 Civ. 11196 (Shira Anne Scheindlin)

LEGALLY Related Case by Federal Judge Shira a. Scheindlin to:
(07 Civ. 9599) (SAS-AJP) Christine C. Anderson v. the State of New York, et al.

Cases seeking or related to anderson:

1. 08-4873-cv United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Docket - Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al. - TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT
2. Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.
3. Esposito v The State of New York, et al.
4. McKeown v The State of New York, et al.
5. Related Cases @ US District Court - Southern District NY
6. 07cv09599 Anderson v The State of New York, et al. - WHISTLEBLOWER LAWSUIT which other cases have been marked legally "related" to by Fed. Judge Shira A. Scheindlin
7. 07cv11196 Bernstein, et al. v Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee, et al.
8. 07cv11612 Esposito v The State of New York, et al.
9. 08cv00526 Capogrosso v New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, et al.
10. 08cv02391 McKeown v The State of New York, et al.
11. 08cv02852 Galison v The State of New York, et al.
12. 08cv03305 Carvel v The State of New York, et al.
13. 08cv4053 Gizella Weisshaus v The State of New York, et al.
14. 08cv4438 Suzanne McCormick v The State of New York, et al.
15. 08 cv 6368 John L. Petrec-Tolino v. The State of New York
16. 06cv05169 McNamara v The State of New York, et al.

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD
UPON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY COURT APPOINTED OFFICERS

[1] il Sommo Poeta ~ Durante degli Alighieri, "Divina Commedia" 1308-1321 Canto III