IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

CASE NO. 4D16-1478

a trust that

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN	L.T. CASE NOS.	2014CP003698XXXXNB
		In lower court Eliot is sued under a trust that
Appellant,		does not exist, thus this case legally does
Арренані,		not exist. The children are alleged
		beneficiaries and sued under the trust that
V.		does not exist and Eliot is alleged to be
		trustee of the Simon Bernstein Amended
TED S. BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTE	F	and Restated Trust dated 9/13/12. There is
et al.	, L.,	no trust produced in any case with this
		name. Subtrusts for children do not exist
Appellee.		under any Simon Trust other than the Eliot,
		Jill and Lisa Family Trusts. Whole case big
	1	ole fat fraud on court.

APPELLEE'S, TED S. BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE, MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE APPEALS IN CASES NO. 4D16-1449, 4D16-1476 AND THIS CASE, 4D16-1478

Appellee, Ted S. Bernstein, as successor Trustee of the Shirley Bernstein Trust ("Appellee"), moves to consolidate three appeals brought by Appellant, Eliot Ivan Bernstein, relating to the appointment of a guardian ad litem to represent the interests of his children, and states:

Eliot Bernstein has requested an extension of time to file his initial brief 1. in several appeals which relate to the appointment of a Guardian Ad Litem for his children and the selection of a specific guardian. Appellee previously advised this Court it had no objection to a 30-day extension of time for the filing of an initial brief.

2. Upon reflection and discussion with counsel for Oppenheimer, the Appellee in two other appeals involving the appointment of the same guardian *ad litem* for the children of Eliot Bernstein, Appellee believes that this series of pending appeals — Cases No. 4D16-1449, 4D16-1476 and this case, 4D16-1478 — should be consolidated for all purposes.

We need to know who Judges are in each case as

- 3. Specifically, consolidating the cases for all purposes will enable the pro se litigant to file a single brief, addressing the same issues in these three cases, thereby making this task which is difficult for any prose litigant an easier job for him, and making the resolution of this appeal more manageable for this Court.
- 4. By way of brief explanation, this is a probate matter. Eliot Bernstein is the son of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, and would have been one of their natural heirs. However, in their final testamentary documents, if valid, Eliot Bernstein was to be disinherited in favor of his children. Because the assets in these trusts would

In Simon's Trust Eliot family trust is still bene and 6 grandchildren have interests if validated in real hearing.

Neither case is a true Probate case as they are both Trust cases. Eliot is a natural heir. In their (plural) testamentary docs Eliot is a beneficiary and in Shirley on day she died Eliot was forever a beneficiary of IRREVOCABLE TRUST, his children are not.

There are two other appeals by Eliot Bernstein pending in this Court; however, we believe those should remain separate because: (i) the issues are different; and (ii) an Initial Brief already has been filed in Case No. 4D16-222.

Case No. 4D16-222 is an appeal under Rule 9.170 from a final judgment upholding the validity of testamentary documents and determining beneficiaries (described in more detail below). That appeal does not involve Oppenheimer and addresses different issues. Case No. 4D16-2249 is an appeal of a final judgment in the Oppenheimer case, which is separate from the appeal of guardianship rulings.

be distributed to Eliot Bernstein's children, that would leave nothing for him and would also mean he lacks individual standing to participate in the case below.

- 5. Eliot Bernstein's challenge to the validity of the testamentary documents was tried to the probate court, and the issues were resolved against him by a final judgment dated December 16, 2015. That final judgment is on appeal in Case No. 4D16-222.
- After it was determined that Eliot Bernstein was not a beneficiary, the 6. trial court then entered an order determining that he lacked standing to participate in determine bene's the probate matter. Despite that ruling, Eliot Bernstein still purported to act as the parent and natural guardian of his three children, who are beneficiaries. Appellee, joined by Oppenheimer in its case, moved for the appointment of a guardian ad litem.
- After an evidentiary hearing, the probate court found that Eliot Bernstein 7. was acting "adverse" and "destructive" to the interests of his children, and therefore would no longer be permitted to serve as their natural guardian in the litigation, and ruled a Guardian Ad Litem needed to be appointed, in consultation of the parties. Later, the probate court appointed Diana Lewis, a former Palm Beach County Circuit Court Judge, to serve in the role as Guardian Ad Litem for the interests of Eliot Bernstein's children. Took GAL for Josh who was an adult and court and Rose were informed but did not hold proper

Again, Eliot is a bene of Shirley Trust cannot be changed and therefore there is a lot there for him and Eliot has standing in the cases in multiple capacities. Alan is misleading and lying to court.

onstruction hearing to

> Again in Shirley Trust and **Estate** Eliot is bene not his children.

After evidentiary NOT **GUARDIAN GAL Hearing** violated rules Used as silence weapor and deny due process. Arguments claimed Eliot was trying to expose corruption of courts after finding **FIDUCIARIES ATTORNEYS** and COURT committing

mass frauds.

not exist at this time

hearing for Josh. The GAL case was heard in

the Shirley Trust case and that case Josh Jake and Danny are sued on behalf of Trust that does

- 8. Those separate orders entered in the Bernstein Trust and Oppenheimer cases are the subject of these appeals if consolidated. In Appellee's view, it would make sense practically and from a judicial economy standpoint for all of the issues relating to the appointment and selection of a guardian *ad litem* to be resolved in one appeal. Therefore, Appellee suggests that the following cases be substantively consolidated for all purposes, including the filing of one brief by the pro se Appellant, Eliot Bernstein: Case Nos. 4D16-1449; 4D16-1476 and 4D1478. The issues to be decided in the consolidated appeals would be: (i) whether the trial court erred in appointing a guardian *ad litem* for Eliot Bernstein's children, as beneficiaries of certain trusts; and (ii) whether the trial court erred in appointing former circuit judge Diana Lewis as the guardian.
- 9. The undersigned has consented to an extension for the filing of the Initial Brief. This Court, by Order dated August 3, 2016, granted that motion, such that the Initial Brief now is not due until September 2, 2016. The consolidated Initial Brief should be filed on or before that date.

We have other issues with cases. Also the only cases that could be consolidated are the 2 Opp and 2 Shirley Trust appeals but as separate briefs. Who are the current judges on each case as we fear conflicts and would need to know before any consolidation to conflicted parties.

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH CONFERENCE

- 10. The undersigned counsel has conferred with Eliot Bernstein and counsel for Oppenheimer to determine if there is opposition to the consolidation of these appeals.
- a. Oppenheimer has no opposition and agrees that a consolidated of the three appeals is preferable.
- b. The undersigned has attempted to confer with pro se Appellant,

 Eliot Bernstein, but he has not responded to an email sending a draft of this Motion

 Sent email 1 day before filing this.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been served by e-mail on all parties listed on the attached service list, this 4th day of August, 2016.

MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 655-2250 Telephone /(561) 655-5537 Facsimile email: arose@mrachek-law.com Attorneys for Ted S. Bernstein

By: /s/ Alan B. Rose Alan B. Rose (Fla. Bar No. 961825)

SERVICE LIST - CASE NO. 4D16-1478

Eliot Bernstein, individually and Eliot and Candice Bernstein, as Parents and Natural Guardians of D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B, Minors 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 (561) 245-8588 - Telephone (561) 886-7628 - Cell (561) 245-8644 - Facsimile Email: Eliot I. Bernstein

(561) 245-8644 - Facsimile Email: Eliot I. Bernstein (iviewit@iviewit.tv)

John P. Morrissey, Esq.
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 833-0766 - Telephone

Email: John P. Morrissey (john@jmorrisseylaw.com)

(561) 833-0867 - Facsimile

Counsel for Molly Simon, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein, Michael Bernstein

Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
lisa@friedsteins.com
Individually and as trustee for her
children, and as natural guardian for
M.F. and C.F., Minors

Peter M. Feaman, Esq.
Peter M. Feaman, P.A.
3695 W. Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
(561) 734-5552 - Telephone
(561) 734-5554 - Facsimile
Email: service@feamanlaw.com;
mkoskey@feamanlaw.com;
Counsel for William Stansbury

Pam Simon 303 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 2725 Chicago, IL 60601 psimon@stpcorp.com

Robert Spallina, Esq.
Donald Tescher, Esq.
Tescher & Spallina
925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500
Boca Raton, Florida 33432

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com
Individually and as trustee for her
children, and as natural guardian for
J.I. a minor

Diana Lewis, Esq.
ADA & Mediations Services, LLC
2765 Tecumseh Drive
West Palm Beach, FL 33409
(561) 758-3017 - Telephone
Email: dzlewis@aol.com
Guardian Ad Litem for
Eliot Bernstein's minor children,
Jo.B., Ja.B., and D.B.

Brian M. O'Connell, Esq.
Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq.
Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell
515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
561-832-5900 - Telephone
561-833-4209 - Facsimile
Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com;
jfoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com;
service@ciklinlubitz.com;

slobdell@ciklinlubitz.com