| р# | Hearing In | - | ipt | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|----|----------------|--------------|-----|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Shirley Estate | 9/13/2013 Y | | Jessica Thibault/Spallina Tescher | Emergency Motion to Freeze Estates | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20Emergency%20 Hearing%20Colin%20Spallina%20Tescher%20Ted %20Manceri%20ELIOT%20COMMENTS.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT% 20Emergency%20Hearing%20Colin%20Sp allina%20Tescher%20Ted%20Manceri%2 0ELIOT%20COMMENTS.pdf | |
| 2 | Shirley Estate | 10/28/2013 Y | | Michael Todd Berkowitz | Evidentiary Hearing - Moran Fraudulent Docs | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20131028%20Evidentiary%20Hearing%20TRAN SCRIPT%20Shirley%20Estate.pdf | | |
| 3 | Shirley Estate | 11/19/2013 N | 10 | /Brandan Pratt | Motion to Withdraw As Counsel filed by Huth & Pratt, counsel for Eliot Bernstein, | No Transcript - Brandan Pratt Ordered Hearing | No Transcript - Brandan Pratt Ordered Hearing | Order is not signed or dated in hand but stamped and not sure if there was hearing??? |
| 4 | Shirley Estate | 1/2/2014 Y | | Erica Field, EMPIRE (954) 241- 1010/Manceri for Tescher Spallina | Motion to Consolidate | olidate%20Cases%20with%20Colin%20Hearing%2 0Frenchs%20hearing%20in%20violation%20of%20 Statute.pdf | y%20Estate/20140102%20Colin%20Heari ng%20to%20Consolidate%20Cases%20wi | that Colin called his offic and had him schedule this |
| 5 | Shirley Estate | 1/2/2014 N | | Erica Field, EMPIRE (954) 241- 1010/Manceri for Tescher Spallina | Motion to Consolidate | No Transcript but transcribed | No Transcript but transcribed | |
| 6 | Shirley Estate | 1/23/2014 N | 10 | /Manceri for Tescher Spallina | MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD for Ted Bernstein, 01/23/14 AT 8:45 A.M. F/B: MARK R MANCERI E-FILED | No Transcript - Manceri Tescher Spallina Ordered Hearing | No Transcript - Manceri Tescher Spallina Ordered Hearing | |
| 7 | Shirley Estate | 2/18/2014 Y | | Lorraine Wofford - Pleasonton/Spallina | PETITION FOR RESIGNATION AND DISCHARGE (copy attached) FILED BY: Robert L. Spallina, Esq. | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140218%20Hearing%20Bernstein%20- %202%2018%2014%20hearing%20transcript.pdf | y%20Estate/20140218%20Hearing%20Be rnstein%20- | •••••• |
| 3 | Shirley Estate | 2/19/2014 Y | | David Marsaa/Pleasonton | Continued hearing from 2/18 | | | |
|) | Shirley Estate | 6/12/2014 Y | | David Marsaa - Pleasonton/Rose | Hearing re TED MOTION INSTRUCTIONS. MOTION COMPEL ELIOT COMPLY DISCOVERY REQUESTS, RULE 1.285//Curator 2nd Petition for Payment of Curator's Fees/Morrissey Hearing at Judge Colin's Request TED S. BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO COMPEL Hearing re TED MOTION INSTRUCTIONS. MOTION COMPEL ELIOT COMPLY DISCOVERY REQUESTS, RULE 1.285//Curator 2nd Petition for Payment of Curator's Fees/Morrissey Hearing at Judge Colin's Request TED S. BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO COMPEL | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140612%20Hearing%20Transcript%20Colin% 20Simon%20Estate.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle | |
| .0 | Shirley Estate | 6/12/2014 Y | ′es | /Morrissey | | Yes but in Simon Bernstein case | Yes but in Simon Bernstein case | Appears in Shirley Dock But Transcript Under Simon Estate Hearing no Shirley Estate. Docket #98 |
| 1 | Shirley Estate | 6/19/2014 Y | 'es | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | JUDGE: Honorable Martin Colin MATTER TO BE HEARD: TED S. BERNSTEIN'S OMNIBUS MOTION INCLUDING TO CONTINUE JULY 11TH HEARING AND SET STATUS CONFERENCE FOR JULY 11TH AND FOR OTHER RELIEF | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140619%20TRANSCRIPT%20- %20HEARING%20-%206-19-14.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20140619%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%206-19-14.pdf | |

| upp # | Hearing In | • | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|-------|----------------|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 12 | Shirley Trust | 9/3/2014 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | NOTICE OF HEARING on Alan Rose Construction of Trust to fix past Fraudulent Conversions and change bene's of irrevocable trust | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 13 | Shirley Trust | 9/15/2014 Yes | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | MATTER TO BE HEARD: TED S. BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO SEVER AND STAY COUNTERCLAIM PENDING RESOLUTION OF TRUST CONSTRUCTION COUNTS / Eliot Contempt | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140915%20TRANSCRIPT%20- %20HEARING%20-%209-15-14%20- %20Mot%20Hold%20Eliot%20in%20Contempt%2 0&%20Others.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20140915%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%209-15-14%20- %20Mot%20Hold%20Eliot%20in%20Cont empt%20&%20Others.pdf | |
| 14 | Shirley Estate | 11/14/2014 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN - TED S. BERNSTEIN'S PETITION TO RE- CLOSE ESTATE BASED UPON PRIOR SIGNED WAIVERS AND FOR DISCHARGE OF SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 15 | Shirley Trust | 12/3/2014 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT WITH NOTICE AGAINST PAM SIMON; LISA FRIEDSTEIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE; MAX FRIEDSTEIN; AND JILL IANTONI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE -and- TED S. BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO SET TRIAL ON COUNT II OF AMENDED COMPLAINT | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 16 | Shirley Trust | 1/13/2015 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | SHIRLEY TRUST TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S PETITION TO REMOVE TED S. BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST, MOTION TO STRIKE AND MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 17 | Shirley Trust | 1/27/2015 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S PETITION TO REMOVE TED S. BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST, MOTION TO STRIKE AND MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Shirley Trust | 3/25/2015 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | HEARING COLIN - NOT SET WITH PROPER NOTICE - MOTION TO APPROVE TRUST PROPERTY AND FOR ORDER PROHIBITING INTERFERENCE WITH CLOSING, INCLUDING DISCHARGING LIS PENDENS CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 19 | Shirley Trust | 3/26/2015 Yes | April Segui US Legal Support/Rose, Ted Bernstein | SPECIAL HEARING - MATTER(S) TO BE HEARD: EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON MOTION TO APPROVE SALE OF TRUST PROPERTY AND FOR ORDER PROHIBITING INTERFERENCE WITH CLOSING, INCLUDING DISCHARGING LIS PENDENS | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20150326%20HEARING%20TRANSCRIPT%20H OME%20SALE.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20150326%20HEARING%20T RANSCRIPT%20HOME%20SALE.pdf | |
| 20 | Shirley Trust | 4/23/2015 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | IMOTION TO DISMISS ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S PETITION REMOVE TED BERNSTEIN, AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED & RESTATED TRUST / MOTION TO HOLD ELIOT BERNSTEIN IN CONTEMPT OF COURT & FOR SANCTIONS / MOTION TO STOP SALE OF 7020 LIONS | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | Note Simon Matter bein heard in Shirley. Audio says April 22 |

| Supp # | Hearing In | Hearing Date | Trans cipt | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 21 | Shirley Trust | 4/23/2015 | No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | 2nd part MOTION TO DISMISS ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S PETITION TO REMOVE TED S. BERNSTEIN, AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST MOTION TO HOLD ELIOT BERNSTEIN IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND FOR SANCTIONS | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Ord |
| 22 | Shirley Trust | 5/6/2015 | No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | MATTER(S) TO BE HEARD: CONTINUED EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO APPROVE SALE OF TRUST ASSET EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S MOTION TO STOP SALE OF 7020 LIONS HEAD LANE PROPERTY EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON TRUSTEE'S SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION TO APPROVE SALE OF TRUST PROPERTY RE: CLOSING AND TITLE ISSUES FOR SHIRLEY'S HOMESTEAD | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Ord |
| 23 | Shirley Trust | 5/6/2015 | No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | COURT HEARING for evidence of market value and title company to appear in Shirley Trust case | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Ord |
| 24 | Shirley Estate | 6/4/2015 | Yes | /O'Connell | PETITION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE, STORE, AND SELL THE TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7020 LIONS HEAD LANE PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE ESTATE SHOULD MAKE A LOAN TO ELIOT BERNSTEIN AND FOR OTHER RELIEF PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND REVIEW OF COMPENSATION OF ACCOUNTANTS' FEES & COSTS PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR NOVEMBER THROUGH DECEMBER 2014 PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR NOVEMBER THROUGH DECEMBER 2014 PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR JANUARY 1, 2015 THROUGH MARCH 23, 2015 | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shir te/20150604%20Hearing%20Transcript S%20Estate%20of%20Simon%20Bernst |
| 25 | Shirley Trust | 12/15/2015 | Yes | Transscript Shirley King US Legal Support /Rose, Ted Bernstein | ORDER SETTING TRIAL on AMENDED COMPLAINT (DE 26) COUNT II This matter came before the court on its own motion, for resolution of outstanding issues as required by the October 6, 2014, Order of the Honorable Martin H Colin, the Circuit Court Judge formerly assigned to this case. In that Order, Judge Colin severed trial of Count II of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint from remaining claims in the action, and stayed all further proceedings in the action pending further Order of the Court. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS as follows: 1. Trial of the issues set forth in Judge Colin's October 6, 2014, Order on Amendments to Pleadings and Stay until Further Order of Court (DE 27) and Count II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (DE 26) shall take place on December 15, 2015 @ 9:30AM ; 1 (one) day has been set aside for trial. 2. The Petitioner and the Respondent have an obligation to make a good faith effort to resolve this case. Towards that end, the parties are ordered to attend a pre- trial mediation that must take place no later than ten (10) days before the first day of trial of this case. Failure to attend pretrial mediation absent an order waiving same may result in the striking of the case from the | s%20Validity%20Hearing.pdf |

| script | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|---|---|--|
| Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20150604%20Hearing%20Tr anscript%20COATES%20Estate%20of%20 Simon%20Bernstein.pdf | Scheduled in Simon but Shirley also ruled on. Judge Coates 1st hearing |
| | | |
| v/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta 20Hearing%20Transcript%20Phillip 20Hearing.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20151215%20Hearing%20Tr anscript%20Phillips%20Validity%20Hearin g.pdf | |

| Supp # | Hearing In | - | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| 26 | Shirley Trust | 1/14/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | HEARING IMPROPERLY SCHEDULED WITHOUT NOTICE - SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A GUARDIAN AD LITEM TO REPRESENT THE INTERESTS OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S CHILDREN; FOR A GAG ORDER TO PROTECT GUARDIAN AND OTHERS; AND TO STRIKE ELIOT'S FILINGS CERTIFI | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 27 | Shirley Trust | 2/4/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | MATTER(S) TO BE HEARD: TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO MODIFY FINAL ORDER APPROVING SALE DATED MAY 6, 2015 AND FOR FURTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | Court Callin with Phillip Standing Issue |
| 28 | Shirley Trust | 2/25/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | MATTER(S) TO BE HEARD: SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A GUARDIAN AD LITEM TO REPRESENT THE INTERESTS OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S CHILDREN; FOR A GAG ORDER TO PROTECT GUARDIAN AND OTHERS; AND TO STRIKE ELIOT'S FILINGS CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | |
| 29 | Shirley Trust | 3/28/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | EVIDENTIARY HEARING - TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO MODIFY FINAL ORDER APPROVING SALE DATED MAY 6, 2015 AND FOR FURTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing |
| 30 | Shirley Trust | 4/8/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | TRUSTEE'S AMENDED MOTION TO MODIFY FINAL ORDER APPROVING SALE DATED MAY 6, 2015 AND FOR FURTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ELIOT BERNSTEIN SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT + TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO MODIFY FINAL ORDER APPROVING SALE DATED MAY 6, 2015 AND FOR FURTHER INJUNCTIVE RELIEF | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing |
| 31 | Shirley Trust | 4/8/2016 No | /Rose, Ted Bernstein | SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S AMENDED MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A GUARDIAN AD LITEM TO REPRESENT THE INTERESTS OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S CHILDREN CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | No Transcript - Rose, Ted Bernstein Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing |
| 32 | Simon Estate | 11/12/2013 <mark>NO</mark> | /Feaman | Stansbury Hearing regarding objection to production of Lewin | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| 33 | Simon Estate | 11/21/2013 NO | /Feaman | Stansbury Hearing Extension of Time | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| 34 | Simon Estate | 12/11/2013 NO | /Feaman | Stansbury Motion to Intervene Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| 35 | Simon Estate | 1/14/2014 NO | /Manceri | Motion to Transfer and Consolidate | No Transcript - Manceri Order Hearing | No Transcript - Manceri Order Hearing | Judge French - Cancels hearing on 12/24/13 |
| 36 | Simon Estate | 1/23/2014 NO | /Manceri | MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD for Ted Bernstein, 01/23/14 AT 8:45 A.M. F/B: MARK R MANCERI E-FILED | No Transcript - Manceri Order Hearing | No Transcript - Manceri Order Hearing | |
| 37 | Stansbury Lawsuit | 1/28/2014 NO | /Feaman | WEST PALM BEACH - HEARING MOTION TO WITHDRAWWITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD F/B/ATTY MARK MANCERI FROM STANSBURY LAWSUIT Case #50 2012 CA 013933 MB AA or 502012CA013933XXXXMB | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| 38 | Simon Estate | 2/18/2014 YES | /Spallina | MOTION/MATTER: PETITION FOR RESIGNATION AND DISCHARGE (copy attached) FILED BY: Robert L. Spallina, Esq. | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140218%20Hearing%20Bernstein%20- %202%2018%2014%20hearing%20transcript.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20140218%20Hearing%20Be rnstein%20- %202%2018%2014%20hearing%20transc ript.pdf | |
| 39 | Simon Estate | 2/19/2014 YES | /Spallina | Hearing Colin Continuation of 2/18/14 hearing - Simon Estate - Shirley Estate | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140219%20Hearing%20Bernstein%20- %202%2019%2014%20hearing%20transcript%20(2).pdf | | |

| Supp # | Hearing In | Hearing Date | | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|--------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|-------|
| 40 | Simon Estate | 5/23/2014 | YES | /Brown | Colin; Curator's Motion for Instructions Regarding 2012 Will;Curator's Motion for Instructions Regarding May 1, 2014(1) Curator's Motion for Instruction/Determination Regarding Estate Entitlement to Life Insuranc(2) Petition for Appointment of Administra | %20of%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf | | |
| 41 | Simon Estate | 6/19/2014 | Yes | LISA GREENWELL, Court Reporter PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811/Brown | One is a Motion For Instructions Regarding Authority to Liquidate an IRA and To do an inventory to make sure they're there at the residence | | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20140619%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%206-19-14.pdf | |
| 42 | Simon Estate | 6/24/2014 | NO | /Brown | The Honorable Martin Colin - Curator's Motion for Instructions Regarding Authority to Retain Counsel filed by Curator | | No Transcript - Benjamin Brown Order Hearing | |
| 43 | Simon Estate | 8/19/2014 | Part 1 and | Lisa Mudrick, RPR, FPR @ Mudrick Court Reporting, Inc.(561) 615-8181/Feaman and Everman & Everman, Inc. 1101 N Olive Ave West Palm Beach FI 33401 | Motion to Special Set Petition to Remove Successor Trustee, Ted Bernstein | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140819%20TRANSCRIPT%20- %20HEARING%20-%208-19-14%20- %20full%20hearing.pdf and http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20140819%20TRANSCRIPT%20HEARING%20Pa rt%202%20Part%201%20missing.pdf | y%20Estate/20140819%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%208-19-14%20- %20full%20hearing.pdf and http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle | |
| 44 | Simon Estate | 9/18/2014 | No | /Brown | Matters: 1. Curator's Petition for Approval of Accounting and for Discharge; 2. Third Petition for Payment of Curator's Fee; 3. Fourth Petition for Payment of Curator's Fee; and 4. Fifth Petition for Payment of Curator's Fee. And NOTICE OF SPECIAL SET HEARING - Curator's Petition for Approval of Accounting and for Discharge | | No Transcript - Benjamin Brown Order Hearing | |

| Hearing In | - | cipt | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|--------------|------------|------|--|--|---|---|-------|
| Simon Estate | 9/24/2014 | No | Ordering Court Reporter = US Legal Support – Susan Shelling 835-0220 sueshelling@aol.com /Eliot | ALLEGED SIMON BERNSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST and subpart exhibits | No Trancript but transcribed by US Legal | No Trancript but transcribed by US Legal | |
| Simon Estate | 10/30/2014 | No | /Glaskow | IN RE: EST ATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN - Motion to Withdraw - Glaskow Attorney for Jill and Lisa | No Transcript - Glaskow Order Hearing | No Transcript - Glaskow Order Hearing | |
| Simon Estate | 10/30/2014 | No | /Feaman | Creditor, William E. Stansbury's Motion for Discharge from Further Responsibility for the Funding of the Estate's Participation in the Chicago Life Insurance Litigation and for Assumption of Responsibility by the Estate | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| Simon Estate | 12/18/2014 | NO | /Feaman | HEARING Motion of Creditor, William E. Stansbury, for Discharge from Further Responsibility for the Funding of the Estate's Participation in the Chicago Life Insurance Litigation and for Assumption of Responsibility by the Estate | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| Simon Estate | 12/23/2014 | NO | /O'Connell | IN RE: ESTATE OF: PROBATE DIVISION STATUS CONFERENCE ON PROPOSED FILING OF SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE'S MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO AND APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | |
| Simon Estate | 1/5/2015 | NO | /O'Connell | HEARING - STATUS CONFERENCE ON PROPOSED FILING OF SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE'S MOTION TO INTERVENE | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | |
| Simon Estate | 1/8/2015 | YES | /Feaman | Motion of Creditor, William E. Stansbury, for Discharge from Further Responsibility for the Funding of the Estate's Participation in the Chicago Life Insurance Litigation and for Assumption of Responsibility by the Estate | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20150108%20TRANSCRIPT%20- %20HEARING%20-%201-8-15%20- %20Mot%20Instructions%20re-%20Mortgage.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20150108%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%201-8-15%20- %20Mot%20Instructions%20re- %20Mortgage.pdf | |

| р# | Hearing In | • | ns Transcription : Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|----|--------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 52 | Simon Trust | 1/8/2015 YES | • | TED S. BERNSTEIN, AS SUCCESSSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST, MOTION FOR INSTRUCTIONS | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20150108%20TRANSCRIPT%20- %20HEARING%20-%201-8-15%20- %20Mot%20Instructions%20re-%20Mortgage.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20150108%20TRANSCRIPT% 20-%20HEARING%20-%201-8-15%20- %20Mot%20Instructions%20re- %20Mortgage.pdf | |
| 53 | Simon Estate | 1/13/2015 NO | /Eliot | Statement of Claims Eliot and Brian O'Connell | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | |
| 54 | Simon Estate | 1/27/2015 NO | Steno Beth Kelly @ Pleasanton Greenhill Meek and Marsaa/Feamn | MOTION OF CREDITOR, WILLIAM E. STANSBURY, FOR DISCHARGE FROM FURTHER RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE FUNDING OF THE ESTATE'S PARTICIPATION IN THE CHICAGO LIFE INSURANCE LITIGATION AND FOR ASSUMPTION OF RESPONSIBILITY BY THE ESTATE SUCC | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | |
| 55 | Simon Estate | 1/29/2015 NO | /O'Connell | HEARING 502012CP4391XXXXSB Simon Estate - STATUS CONFERENCE ON MOTION TO CONTINUE SPECIALLY SET HEARING ON FEBRUARY 9, 2015 | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | |
| 6 | Simon Trust | 2/10/2015 <mark>NO</mark> | /Eliot | HEARING JUDGE KEYSER - MOTION TO MOVE CASE TO HONORABLE JUDGE MARTIN COLIN – AGREED ORDER | NO | NO | 502014CA014637XXXX B Judge Keyser |
| 57 | Simon Estate | 2/26/2015 NO | /Brown | Petition for Discharge of Administrator Ad Litem | | No Transcript - Benjamin Brown Order Hearing | b Judge Reysel |
| 58 | Simon Estate | 3/18/2015 NO | /Brown | SIMON ESTATE BEN BROWN MOTION Amended Petition for Discharge of Administrator Ad Litem and Payment of Administrator Ad Litem's Fees | | No Transcript - Benjamin Brown Order Hearing | |
| 59 | Simon Estate | 3/25/2015 NO | Court Reporter Cindy Hopkin US Legal Support 5618350220/O'Conne | PETITION TO TAKE POSSESSION, STORE, INSPECT AND APPRAISE ESTATE TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | |
| 50 | Simon Estate | 3/31/2015 NO | /Eliot | Evidentiary Hearing - Statement of Claims Extension | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | |
| 1 | Simon Trust | 4/2/2015 NO | Reporter = Pleasonton Greenhill Meek & Marsaa Cindy Bender 561-833 7811/Eliot | CHANGED BACK HEARING SIMON TRUST - COMPLAINT TO REMOVE THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE F/B ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN TRANSFER IN FROM 502014CA014637 | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | No Transcript - Eliot Order Hearing | |
| 52 | Simon Estate | 6/4/2015 YES | /O'Connell | HEARING COATES - PETITION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE, STORE, AND SELL THE TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7020 | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20150604%20Hearing%20Transcript%20COATE | | COATES |
| | | | | LIONS HEAD LANE PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE ESTATE SHOULD MAKE A LOAN TO ELIOT BERNSTEIN AND FOR OTHER RELIEF | S%20Estate%20of%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf | anscript%20COATES%20Estate%20of%20 Simon%20Bernstein.pdf | |
| 63 | Simon Estate | 7/30/2015 NO | /O'Connell | HEARING SIMON ESTATE - STATUS CONFERENCE - TO SCHEDULE A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE | O'Connell Ordered Hearing | O'Connell Ordered Hearing | PHILLIPS |

| o # | Hearing In | - | | Transcription Service/Party Ordering | Hearing Title | Web Link Transcript | Document Link Transcript | Notes |
|-----|--------------|-----------|-----|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 54 | Simon Estate | 9/15/2015 | YES | /O'Connell | HEARING PHILLIPS 502012CP4391XXXXNB IH SIMON L. BERNSTEIN CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Esta te/20150915%20Judge%20Phillips%20Hearing%2 0Transcript%20- %20Estate%20of%20%20Simon%20Bernstein.pdf | http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirle y%20Estate/20150915%20Judge%20Philli ps%20Hearing%20Transcript%20- %20Estate%20of%20%20Simon%20Berns tein.pdf | |
| 5 | Simon Estate | 3/3/2016 | NO | /O'Connell | 1. Petition for Authorization for the Payment of Jewelry Appraisals | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing? |
| 56 | Simon Estate | 3/7/2016 | NO | /O'Connell | PETITION FOR AUTHORIZATION AND RATIFICATION FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE MOVING AND STORAGE OF, AND FOR AUTHORIZATION TO SELL, THE TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY LOCATED AT 7020 LIONS HEAD LANE, BOCA RATON, FL. PETITION TO HA VE THE ESTA TE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN DECLARED THE BENEFICIARY OF THE J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. IRA ACCOUNT(S). PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR JULY 1, 2015 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2015. PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR SEPTEMBER 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015. PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR SEPTEMBER 1, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2015. PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES FOR THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN FOR NOVEMBER 1, 2015 THROUGH NOVEMBER 31, 2015. | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | No Transcript - O'Connell Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing? |
| 57 | Simon Estate | 3/7/2016 | NO | /Feaman | HEARING STATUS CONFERENCE - Simon Estate | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing? |
| 8 | Simon Estate | 7/27/2016 | NO | /Feaman | Motion of Creditor, William E. Stansbury, for Discharge from Further Responsibility for the Funding of the Estate's Participation in the Chicago Life Insurance Litigation and for Assumption of Responsibility by the Estate and for Reimbursement of Advanced Funds | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | No Transcript - Feaman Order Hearing | Did not attend no standing? |

| 00001 | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|----------|--|
| 1 | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA |
| 2 3 | PROBATE/GUARDIANSHIP DIVISION IY CASE NO.: 502011CP000653XXXXSB |
| 4 | IN RE: THE ESTATE OF: SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN, Deceased |
| 5 | / |
| 6 | ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, Petitioner, |
| 7 | vs. |
| 8 | TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (AND ALL PARTNERS, ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL); ROBERT L. SPALLINA |
| 9 | (BOTH PERSONALLY & PROFESSIONALLY); DONALD R. TESCHER (BOTH PERSONALLY & PROFESSIONALLY); |
| 10 | THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (AS ALLEGED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE, TRUSTEE, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE) (BOTH PERSONALLY & PROFESSIONALLY); AND JOHN AND JANE |
| 11 | DOE'S (1-5000), Respondents. |
| 12 | / |
| 13 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 14 | BEFORE |
| 15 | THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN |
| 16 | THE HONORADEL HARTIN H, COLIN |
| 10 | South County Courthouse |
| 17 | 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 8 |
| 18 | Delray Beach, Florida 33344 |
| 19 | Derray Deach, 110110a 55544 |
| 20 | Friday, September 13, 2013 |
| 20 | 1:30 p.m 2:15 p.m. |
| 21 | 1.50 p.m. 2.15 p.m. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Stenographically Reported By: |
| 21 | JESSICA THIBAULT |
| 25 | JEJJICA MIDAULI |
| ہ | |
| 00002 | |
| 1 | APPEARANCES |
| 2 | |
| 3 | On Behalf of the Petitioner: |
| 4 | ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE |
| - | 2753 NW 34th Street |
| 5 | Boca Raton, Florida 33434 |
| 6 | · |
| | |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 7 8 On Behalf of the Defendants: LAW OFFICE OF MARK MANCERI, P.A. 9 2929 East Commercial Blvd., Ste. 702 10 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308 (954) 491-7099 mrmlaw@comcast.net 11 BY: MARK MANCERI, ESQ. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Also present: 22 Robert Spallina, Esq. 23 Theodore Bernstein 24 Mrs. Bernstein, Petitioner's wife 25 4 00003 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: All right, we're here on the No one is representing as the 3 Shirley Bernstein estate, 2011CP000653. Personal Representative, Counsel, make your appearances. 4 Manceri is representing them 5 MR. MANCERI: Good afternoon, your Honor, as estate counsel, their other I'm here on behalf of Robert 🖌 6 Mark Manceri. role. No Personal Rep 7 Spallina and Donald Tescher, named respondents. because when Si died no one 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, your notified the Court and a 9 Honor, my name is Eliot Bernstein, and I'm successor PR or Trustee was 10 representing myself pro se. never elected. MR. THEODORE BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, Ted 11 Bernstein, trustee of the estate, and I'm here 12 representing myself today. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay, thanks. 15 Let me just get the case up on the 16 computer, please. 17 All right, so I set oral argument based 18 upon Mr. Bernstein's emergency motions, and I 19 did so with the cautionary language in the 20 notice of hearing that I assume both of you 21 have, that indicates that I first want to hear 22 what makes this matter emergency as defined by Ted is not "trustee" of the estate as their was no papers 23 our law, so, because you're pro se, approved by court because 24 Mr. Bernstein, I want to make sure you're aware they never closed estate

Page 2

while Simon was alive.

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt of that particular aspect of what I just said. $\stackrel{\circ}{\uparrow}$

| Ť | |
|-------|--|
| 00004 | |
| 1 | Counsel knows. This is not an emergency in |
| 2 | your mind. It's an emergency as the law calls |
| 3 | it an emergency. You're probably going to show |
| 4 | me a case or an administrative order and tell |
| 5 | me how this is an emergency. |
| 6 | The second part of it is what type of |
| 7 | evidentiary hearing we need to have, so you're |
| 8 | up first. |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, you want me to |
| | |
| 10 | step up or? |
| 11 | THE COURT: You could do it right from |
| 12 | there. |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's an emergency |
| 14 | because three of the beneficiaries |
| 15 | THE COURT: Say again? I couldn't you |
| 16 | mumbled, I couldn't hear you. |
| 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's an emergency |
| 18 | because three of the beneficiaries of the |
| 19 | estates lives have been put in danger. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay, so they're about to be |
| 21 | killed? |
| 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They're about to be |
| 23 | cut off of school, insurance, the necessary |
| 24 | care that was set aside in the estates. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So it's not physical harm? |
| 4 | |
| 00005 | |
| 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 2 | THE COURT: So it's financial harm? |
| 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Educational harm? |
| 5 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Show me in either the law or |
| | the administrative order where that is defined |
| 7 | |
| 8 | as an emergency. |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If it's not then I |
| 10 | made a mistake. |
| 11 | THE COURT: You're supposed to know that. |
| 12 | That's why we're having this hearing. |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm pro se. |
| 14 | THE COURT: I know. We brought all this |
| 15 | judicial effort here. No, sir, this is not a |
| 16 | free shot for you. |
| 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I thought that it |
| 18 | was an emergency. |
| | |

| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ♀ | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt THE COURT: No, it's not your thought. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: I cautioned you in the notice of hearing you so came today I kind of cautioned you whether this is an emergency, okay? So you need to demonstrate to me where under our laws this situation that you say the |
|---|---|
| 00006 | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | evidence would show is imminently happening, imminent means today, okay, where an emergency exists. The last two emergencies I did, someone was on the way to the airport waiting to be taken illegally to Iran, a non-hate convention country. We had to get an order out so that Homeland Security would rush down with armed guards and protect a child from going overseas and never coming back to the U.S. The other one was we had to get an order so police could break down the door to prevent someone from being physically killed or harmed |
| 14 | physically. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ♀ | <pre>physically. Those two were emergencies. Is this an emergency like that? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe so. THE COURT: Okay, all right, so let me tell you, I'm going to let you go forward. If I do not believe so, get your checkbook out. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: You're going to personally pay for the cost of this. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: It doesn't seem so based upon</pre> |
| 00007 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | <pre>what you've told me, but you have this belief that it is. Remember, show me that it's a legal emergency like I gave the example of it. Someone is going to die, be taken out of the jurisdiction, someone's wellbeing today is going to be you know, they're going to be without food, they'll be on the street tomorrow.</pre> |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. |

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|----|--|
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. So tell me how that |
| 14 | what evidence is there that this is an |
| 15 | emergency along those lines? |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, the estate |
| 17 | representatives when my parents died told us |
| 18 | that they were understanding the special |
| 19 | circumstances me and my three children are in, |
| 20 | and that funds had been set aside and not to |
| 21 | worry, there would be no delay of paying their |
| 22 | living costs and everything that my father and |
| 23 | mother had been paying for years to take care |
| 24 | of them, and then they were paying that out of |
| 25 | a bank account at Legacy Bank. |

♀ **00008**

1 THE COURT: Who is they? 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Mr. Spallina had 3 directed Rachel Walker to pay the expenses of a 4 Legacy bank account. It was being paid. And 5 then Mr. Spallina stated that I should or that 6 Rachel should -- she was fired, she should now 7 turn the accounts over to my wife to start 8 writing checks out of an account we've never 9 seen.

10 So I said I didn't feel comfortable 11 writing checks out of an account, especially 12 where it appeared my dad was the signer, so I 13 called Legacy Bank with Rachel and they were 14 completely blown away that checks had been 15 being written out of a dead person's account. Nobody had notified them that Simon had 16 17 deceased. And that no -- by under no means 18 shall I write checks out of that account, and 19 so then Mr. Spallina told me to turn the 20 accounts over to Janet Craig of Oppenheimer, 21 and Oppenheimer was going to pay the bills as 22 it had been done by Rachel in the past. And so 23 we sent her the Legacy account. We thought all 24 that was how things were being done and, you 25 know, he doesn't give us any documents

♀ **00009**

| 1 | whatsoever in the estate, so we don't know, you |
|---|---|
| 2 | know, what he's operating out of, but |
| 3 | Oppenheimer then started to pay the things |
| 4 | first they said, wait a minute, these are |
| 5 | school trust funds well, they actually said |
| 6 | that after they started paying, and they were a |

| | To De The Estate of Chinley Devestain tot |
|----------------|--|
| 7 | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
| 7 8 | little hesitant that these funds were being used for personal living expenses of everybody, |
| 8 9 | which the other Legacy account had been paying |
| 9 10 | for through an agreement between and my |
| 10 | |
| 11 | parents. And then what happened was |
| 12 | Mr. Spallina directed them to continue, stating he would replenish and replace the funds if he |
| 13 | |
| | didn't get these other trusts he was in the |
| 15 16 | process of creating for my children in place |
| 16 17 | and use that money he would replenish and |
| 17 18 | replace it. |
| | So the other week or two weeks or a few |
| 19 20 | week ago Janet Craig said that funds are |
| 20 | running low and she contacted Mr. Spallina who |
| 21 | told her that he's not putting any money into |
| 22 | those trusts and that there's nothing there for |
| 23 | me, and that basically when that money runs out |
| 24 25 | the kids' insurance, school, their home |
| 25 ♀ | electricity and everything else I would |
| + 00010 | |
| 1 | consider an emergency for three minor children |
| 2 | will be cut off, and that was not |
| 3 | THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 5 | THE COURT: At the time when you say |
| 6 | things were as they should be, your parents |
| 7 | were alive and they were paying bills of you |
| 8 | and your children? |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct, |
| 10 | 100-percent, through an agreement. |
| 10 | THE COURT: An agreement with them? |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Then who died first? |
| 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My mom. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Because this is what you |
| 16 | filed it under your mom's estate. |
| 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Is your father alive or dead? |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My father is |
| 20 | deceased today a year ago. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. So you're saying |
| 22 | that after your father died, however it |
| 23 | happened, bills for you and your children |
| 24 | continued to be paid somehow? |
| 25 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: First out of an |
| 2 | |
| 00011 | |

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|---------------|---|
| 1 | account that they shouldn't have been being |
| 2 | paid out of. |
| 3 | THE COURT: And then it stopped? |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It stopped. Then it |
| 5 | was transferred to Oppenheimer. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | THE COURT: And they paid for a little while? |
| 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 8 9 | |
| 10 | THE COURT: And when did that stop? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct, just on |
| 10 | August 28th, with one-day's notice. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. So the bills that they |
| 12 | |
| 14 | were paying for you were what bills? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All of them. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All the bills. |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Health insurance, |
| 17 | electricity, water, food, clothing, everything, |
| 18 | 100-percent. |
| 19 | THE COURT: When did the emergency take |
| 20 | place? |
| 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On August 28th. |
| 22 | They told me if I didn't sign releases that |
| 23 | Robert wanted me to sign and turn the money |
| 24 | over to my brother, the remaining corpus of the |
| 25 | trust, that they were going to shut the funds |
| ع ۲ | chuse, that they were going to shat the runus |
| 00012 | |
| 1 | off as of that day. |
| 2 | THE COURT: And they did? |
| 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not 100-percent |
| 4 | sure, because then I asked them for their |
| 5 | operating documents that Mr. Spallina had sent |
| 6 | them, and once again we've got un-notarized |
| 7 | documents |
| 8 | THE COURT: We'll talk about the notary |
| 9 | thing in a second. |
| 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Then we have |
| 11 | new improperly notarized documents authorizing |
| 12 | the trust to operate, and they sent me |
| 13 | incomplete documents which are unsigned on |
| 14 | every page of the trust agreement, so they're |
| 15 | telling me and I've asked them three times if |
| 16 | they have signed copies and three times they've |
| 17 | sent me unsigned copies. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay, but what bills today |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All of them. |
| 20 | THE COURT: What bills are unpaid as |
| 21 | overdo today? |
| | |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Health insurance is 23 one. 24 THE COURT: What's overdue today? 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Health insurance is 4 00013 1 one. 2 THE COURT: All right, name the health 3 insurance company. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's COBRA. 5 THE COURT: COBRA is not a company. 6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Blue Cross. 7 THE COURT: Blue Cross, okay. How much is 8 overdue to Blue Cross today? 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: \$2,000 or so. 10 THE COURT: It's not \$2,000 a day. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: A month. 12 THE COURT: \$2,000 a month is the health 13 insurance bill? 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. 15 THE COURT: When was that bill due? 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, this is the 17 problem. All of the bills are going to them and they don't share with me any of that. 18 19 THE COURT: So how do you know that you 20 don't have health insurance coverage? 21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Only because it's 22 paid by them on that date. Usually on the 23 first. THE COURT: September 1st? 24 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. As of 4 00014 September 1st I don't believe they have --1 2 THE COURT: Is the coverage in effect 3 todav? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. 4 5 THE COURT: If you don't know, how do you 6 know that it's an emergency? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I just know they 7 8 haven't paid it. 9 THE COURT: Okay, so --MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't have --10 11 THE COURT: So you have coverage you said 12 as of August 31st you had coverage? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We don't know. We 13 14 don't have an accounting if she stated that, 15 I'm sorry.

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 16 THE COURT: Okay, so you may be covered, 17 you may not be covered? 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. 19 THE COURT: What other bill is unpaid as 20 of today. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And that's my wife 21 22 and my children too. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Again, they have all 25 the bills, so when they're due, like the 4 00015 1 electric was due on the 28th, then they usually 2 pay it. I don't even get the bills. So the 3 bills are going straight to Oppenheimer. 4 THE COURT: How do you know 5 authoritatively that they're not being paid? 6 Ma'am, you can't speak. You're not a 7 lawyer, right? 8 MRS. BERNSTEIN: No. 9 THE COURT: Up, move to the back. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You want her to go 11 back? 12 THE COURT: Yes, because she's disruptive. 13 I can't speak to you and hear her. 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 15 THE COURT: So stay there in absolute 16 silence. You could write something if you 17 want, is that agreed? 18 MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. How do you 20 know these monthly bills are not being paid? 21 How do you know the way you know today is Friday, you know what your name is, know 22 23 meaning indisputable knowledge. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I can't say for 25 certainty since I don't receive it and manage 4 00016 1 and pay the bills. THE COURT: Well then how is it an 2 3 emergency if you don't know? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, because we 4 5 know that within this next month if electricity 6 isn't paid and there's no money to pay it and 7 he doesn't reimburse the trusts that all those 8 bills on whatever date they were due were 9 lapsing in the next few hours.

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 10 THE COURT: From today? 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: From the 28th. 12 THE COURT: The 28th of August? 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct, sir. 14 THE COURT: All right. So you don't know 15 if they've been paid or not. You still have 16 your electric on? 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Are any services shut off? 18 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 20 MR. ROTHMAN: Maybe like things like lawn 21 and stuff, the lawn guys have been coming, said we owe them money, which we've never heard that 22 23 from this guy knocking on the door. 24 THE COURT: All right. Is the lawn an 25 emergency situation? 4 00017 1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. You just asked 2 if any bills --3 THE COURT: These are not emergencies 4 then. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 6 THE COURT: Remember, you filed a motion 7 that stopped the courthouse from working. 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm very sorry. 9 THE COURT: We thought you were ready to 10 die on the day you filed the motion. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm very sorry. 12 THE COURT: Okav. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believed it was an 14 emergency. The minor children are in there. 15 THE COURT: Let me ask, how old are you? 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm 50. 17 THE COURT: Can you pay an electric bill? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 18 No. 19 THE COURT: Why not? 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't have any 21 employment. 22 THE COURT: Why not? If there's an 23 emergency and you're not eating and you have 24 children --25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's very 4 00018 complicated, but --1 THE COURT: Well, could you work to pay 2 3 your electric bill? If that made a difference?

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|------------|---|
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, I haven't been |
| 5 | able to gain employment due to |
| 6 | Ricco-related-type crimes that have been |
| 7 | committed against me and my family. |
| 8 | THE COURT: So your kids are without food, |
| 9 | you would have them starve rather then go over |
| 10 | to Burger King or Dunkin Donuts and get a job |
| 11 | doing |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've tried all those |
| 13 | things. |
| 14 | THE COURT: And they won't hire you? |
| 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Let me explain. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Will they hire you to make |
| 17 | enough money? |
| 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. And that's why |
| 19 | my father and mother had set aside these funds |
| 20 | to pay those bills because they understood the |
| 21 | gravity |
| 22 | THE COURT: So here's what we'll do, we're |
| 23 | going to have a hearing, tell me if you're |
| 24 | comfortable, whether there's any employment you |
| 25 | could get, so I'm going to bring the people |
| 4 | |
| 00019 | |
| 1 | from Florida State Employment who tell me |
| 2 | there's hundreds of jobs today that you could |
| 3 | work. |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 5 | THE COURT: You could start today as a |
| 6 | laborer right outside this courthouse. Why |
| 7 | don't you do that? |
| 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, because if I |
| 9 | do that I have tax liens that are |
| 10 | THE COURT: Who cares? You want to feed |
| 11 12 | your children. They're going to pay you money |
| 12 13 | to feed your children. |
| 15 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, I'll explain. |
| 14 15 | I have tax liens which are under investigation by the inspector general of the tax |
| 15 | administration department, currently ongoing, |
| 16 17 | that were put on me as part of the efforts in a |
| 17 18 | Ricco-related lawsuit that I'm involved in. |
| 18 19 | These are just the facts, I'm just telling |
| 20 | you |
| 20 | THE COURT: What's to stop you from |
| 21 | working as a laborer? |
| 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Because they then |
| 23 | attach my wages |
| 2 7 | accach my wages |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 25 THE COURT: They don't even know that 4 00020 1 you're working, and you have an emergency, you 2 could feed your children. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They know I'm 4 working. 5 How do they know you're THE COURT: 6 working? 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, actually, if 8 you read the last articles I put in the 9 petition six or five, one of those two, I put 10 in the articles that have been released in the 11 press that say that they were misusing joint 12 terrorism task force funds and resources to 13 monitor and violate our rights through the 14 Patriot Act violations, and that they have done that to me in the related cases in the federal 15 16 court. 17 THE COURT: All right, whatever you say. 18 I don't think you want -- if you want a hearing on whether you could go to work today, 19 20 physically go to work and pay, I'll give you 21 that hearing right now and I'll get someone 22 from Florida Employment. Here's the deal, you 23 lose all your motions as soon as they tell you 24 that you could go outside and work. 25 Do you want that hearing or not? You 4 00021 1 could physically earn enough money to pay for 2 food for your children today, you tell me you 3 can't do -- that someone is going to tackle you and stop you from working outside as a laborer 4 5 to get enough money to feed your children? 6 That's the emergency, your children are 7 starving. You're a parent. You're going to 8 tell me you're going to let your children 9 starve and not work to earn enough money to 10 feed them, that's what you're telling me, 11 correct? 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Well, I won't 13 tell you that because, I guess, if you say 14 there's some job that you could get me I'll get 15 it. 16 THE COURT: There's tons of jobs. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I know, I've applied 18 for so many over the years --

Page 12

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 19 THE COURT: I mean maybe not as a CEO of a 20 company. \$10, \$9.00 an hour jobs --21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've applied for 22 minimum wage and had trouble, believe me. 23 THE COURT: I'm talking about getting work 24 today -- if you tell me you can't work today 25 I'll have a hearing on that. 4 00022 1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I can work today. 2 THE COURT: Well, then you could feed your 3 children today. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, if I could get 4 5 a job --6 THE COURT: That's not an emergency. You 7 might have a hearing on it down the line, but 8 it's not an emergency. 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 10 THE COURT: An emergency means my kids are 11 starving, they haven't eaten, there's no food, 12 and I can't legally get them food because I 13 can't work. I have people who are blind, who 14 have no arms and legs, and they can't work. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 16 THE COURT: That's different, that's not 17 you. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Can't work and don't 20 want to work, think they're reasons not to work 21 are two different things. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 23 THE COURT: Okay. What's your position on 24 the emergency before we go to some of these 25 others issues which concern me about what he 4 00023 1 said. 2 MR. MANCERI: Good afternoon, your Honor. 3 As I stated in my opening, I represent Robert Spallina and Mr. Tescher. I would like to 4 5 apologize --6 THE COURT: So their roles are what in 7 this case? 8 MR. MANCERI: They were counsel or are 9 counsel for the estate of Shirley Bernstein, as well as counsel for the estate of Simon 10 11 Bernstein, who is in front of Judge French. 12 THE COURT: Okay.

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|-----------|---|
| 13 | MR. MANCERI: But before I make my |
| 14 | presentation, I would just like to apologize |
| 15 | for Mr. Tescher's absence. He's out of town |
| 16 | for the holiday. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Who are the PR's that |
| 18 | you represent? |
| 19 | MR. MANCERI: Well, Shirley Bernstein |
| 20 | there is no technically any PR because we had |
| 21 | the estate closed. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. MANCERI: And what emanated from |
| 24 | Mr. Bernstein's 57-page filing, which falls |
| 25 | lawfully short of any emergency, was a petition |
| 4 | |
| 00024 | |
| 1 | to reopen the estate, so technically nobody has |
| 2 | letters right now. |
| 3 | Simon Bernstein, your Honor, who died a |
| 4 | year ago today as you heard, survived his wife, |
| 5 | Shirley Bernstein, who died December 10, 2010. |
| 6 | Simon Bernstein was the PR of his wife's |
| 7 | estate. |
| 8 | As a result of his passing, and in attempt |
| 9 | to reopen the estate we're looking to have the |
| 10 | estate reopened. So nobody has letters right |
| 11 | now, Judge. The estate was closed. |
| 12 | THE COURT: So you agree that in Shirley's |
| 13 | estate it was closed January of this year, |
| 14 | there was an order of discharge, I see that. |
| 15 | Is that true? |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. |
| | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Do you know that that's true? |
| 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, I believe. |
| 19 | THE COURT: So final disposition and the |
| 20 | order got entered that Simon, your father |
| 21 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 22 | THE COURT: he came to court and said I |
| 23 | want to be discharged, my wife's estate is |
| 24 | closed and fully administered. |
| 25 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. I think it |
| <u></u> ٩ | |
| 00025 | |
| 1 | happened after |
| 2 | THE COURT: No, I'm looking at it. |
| 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What date did that |
| 4 | happen? |
| 5 | THE COURT: January 3, 2013. |
| 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He was dead. |
| | |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 7 MR. MANCERI: That's when the order was 8 signed, yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: He filed it, physically came 10 to court. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. 12 THE COURT: So let me see when he actually 13 filed it and signed the paperwork. November. What date did your dad die? 14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: September. It's 16 hard to get through. He does a lot of things 17 when he's dead. 18 THE COURT: I have all of these waivers by 19 Simon in November. He tells me Simon was dead 20 at the time. 21 MR. MANCERI: Simon was dead at the time, 22 your Honor. The waivers that you're talking 23 about are waivers from the beneficiaries, I 24 believe. 25 THE COURT: No, it's waivers of 4 00026 1 accountings. 2 Right, by the beneficiaries. MR. MANCERI: 3 THE COURT: Discharge waiver of service of 4 discharge by Simon, Simon asked that he not 5 have to serve the petition for discharge. 6 MR. MANCERI: Right, that was in his 7 petition. When was the petition served? 8 THE COURT: November 21st. 9 MR. SPALLINA: Yeah, it was after his date 10 of death. 11 THE COURT: Well, how could that happen 12 legally? How could Simon --13 MR. MANCERI: Who signed that? 14 THE COURT: -- ask to close and not serve 15 a petition after he's dead? MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, what happened 16 17 was is the documents were submitted with the 18 waivers originally, and this goes to 19 Mr. Bernstein's fraud allegation. As you know, 20 your Honor, you have a rule that you have to 21 have your waivers notarized. And the original 22 waivers that were submitted were not notarized, 23 so they were kicked back by the clerk. They 24 were then notarized by a staff person from 25 Tescher and Spallina admittedly in error. They 4 00027

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 1 should not have been notarized in the absentia 2 of the people who purportedly signed them. And 3 I'll give you the names of the other siblings, 4 that would be Pamela, Lisa, Jill, and Ted 5 Bernstein. 6 THE COURT: So let me tell you because I'm 7 going to stop all of you folks because I think you need to be read your Miranda warnings. 8 9 MR. MANCERI: I need to be read my Miranda 10 warnings? 11 THE COURT: Everyone of you might have to 12 be. 13 MR. MANCERI: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Because I'm looking at a 15 formal document filed here April 9, 2012, signed by Simon Bernstein, a signature for him. 16 17 MR. MANCERI: April 9th, right. 18 THE COURT: April 9th, signed by him, and 19 notarized on that same date by Kimberly. It's 20 a waiver and it's not filed with The Court 21 until November 19th, so the filing of it, and 22 it says to The Court on November 19th, the undersigned, Simon Bernstein, does this, this, 23 24 and this. Signed and notarized on April 9, 25 2012. The notary said that she witnessed Simon 4 00028 1 sign it then, and then for some reason it's not 2 filed with The Court until after his date of 3 death with no notice that he was dead at the 4 time that this was filed. MR. MANCERI: Okay. 5 6 THE COURT: All right, so stop, that's 7 enough to give you Miranda warnings. Not you 8 personally --9 MR. MANCERI: Okav. 10 THE COURT: Are you involved? Just tell 11 me yes or no. 12 MR. SPALLINA: I'm sorry? 13 THE COURT: Are you involved in the 14 transaction? MR. SPALLINA: I was involved as the 15 16 lawyer for the estate, yes. It did not come to 17 my attention until Kimberly Moran came to me 18 after she received a letter from the Governor's 19 Office stating that they were investigating 20 some fraudulent signatures on some waivers that 21 were signed in connection with the closing of

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 22 the estate. 23 THE COURT: What about the fact, counsel, 24 let me see who signed this. Okay, they're all 25 the same as to -- so let me ask this, I have a 4 00029 document where Eliot, you're Eliot, right? 1 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Where you purportedly waived 4 accounting, agreed to a petition to discharge 5 on May 15th, and you signed that. Do you 6 remember doing that? Do you remember that or 7 not? I'm looking at it. 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I remember signing 9 it and sending it with a disclaimer that I was signing it because my father was under duress 10 11 and only to relieve this stress that he was 12 being --13 THE COURT: Well, I don't care -- I'm not 14 asking you why you signed it. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I also signed it 16 with the expressed -- when I signed it I was 17 coned by Mr. Spallina that he was going to send me all the documents of the estate to review. 18 19 I would have never lied on this form when I 20 signed it. It's saying that I saw and I never 21 saw --22 THE COURT: Let me ask you --23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I lied. 24 THE COURT: Did you have your signature 25 notarized? 4 00030 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 1 No. 2 THE COURT: Kimberly Moran never signed or 3 notarized his signature? MR. MANCERI: Yes, your Honor, and that's 4 5 been addressed with the Governor's office. 6 THE COURT: You need to address this with 7 me. 8 MR. MANCERI: I am going to address it 9 with you. THE COURT: Here's what I don't understand 10 because this is part of the problem here, is 11 12 that Shirley has an estate that's being administered by Simon. 13 14 MR. MANCERI: Correct. THE COURT: There comes a time where they 15

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 16 think it's time to close out the estate. 17 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 18 THE COURT: Waivers are sent out, that's kind of SOP, and people sign off on that. 19 20 MR. MANCERI: Right. 21 THE COURT: And why are they held up for 22 six months, and when they're filed it's after 23 Simon is already deceased? 24 MR. MANCERI: They were originally filed 25 away, your Honor, under the signature of the 4 00031 1 people. 2 THE COURT: No, they weren't filed, that's 3 the whole thing. I'm looking at the file date, 4 filed with The Court. 5 MR. MANCERI: No, they were returned by the clerk because they didn't have 6 7 notarization. We have affidavits from all 8 those people, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Well you may have that they 10 got sent up here. MR. MANCERI: We have affidavits from all 11 12 of those people. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Including Simon? 14 THE COURT: Slow down. You know how we 15 know something is filed? We see a stamp. 16 MR. MANCERI: It's on the docket sheet, I 17 understand. 18 THE COURT: So it's stamped in as filed in 19 November. The clerk doesn't have -- now, they 20 may have rejected it because it wasn't 21 notarized, and that's perhaps what happened, 22 but if in the meantime waiting cured the 23 deficiency of the document, two things happen you're telling me, one, Simon dies. 24 25 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 4 00032 1 THE COURT: And when those documents are 2 filed with the clerk eventually in November 3 they're filed and one of the documents says, I, 4 Simon, in the present. 5 MR. MANCERI: Of Ms. Moran. 6 THE COURT: No, not physically present, I 7 Simon, I would read this in November Simon 8 saying I waive -- I ask that I not have to have 9 an accounting and I want to discharge, that

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 10 request is being made in November. 11 MR. MANCERI: Okay. 12 THE COURT: He's dead. 13 MR. MANCERI: I agree, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Who filed that document? 15 MR. MANCERI: Robert, do you know who filed that document in your office? 16 17 MR. SPALLINA: I would assume Kimberly 18 did. 19 MR. MANCERI: Ms. Moran. 20 THE COURT: Who is she? 21 MR. MANCERI: She's a staff person at 22 Tescher and Spallina. 23 THE COURT: When she filed these, and one 24 would think when she filed these the person who 25 purports to be the requesting party is at least 4 00033 1 alive. 2 MR. MANCERI: Understood, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Not alive. So, well -- we're 4 going to come back to the notary problem in a 5 second. 6 MR. MANCERI: Okay. 7 THE COURT: In the meantime, based upon 8 all that I discharge the estate, it's closed. 9 Here's what I don't understand on your side, you're representing yourself, but the 10 11 rules still apply. You then file, Eliot 12 Bernstein, emergency petitions in this closed 13 estate, it's closed. 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You reopened it. 15 THE COURT: When did I reopen it? 16 MR. MANCERI: No, it hasn't been reopened, 17 your Honor. THE COURT: There's an order that I 18 entered in May of 2013 denying an emergency 19 20 petition to freeze assets. You filed this one 21 in May. Do you remember doing that? 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe so. 23 THE COURT: And what you said was there's 24 an emergency in May, you want to freeze the 25 estate assets appointing you PR, investigate 4 00034 the fraud documents, and do a whole host of 1 2 other things, and the estate had been closed. 3 The reason why it was denied among other

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 4 things, one, it may not have been an emergency, 5 but, two, the case was not reopened. There's 6 no reopen order. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I paid \$50 to 7 8 someone. 9 THE COURT: You may have paid to file what 10 you filed, but there's no order reopening the 11 estate. 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, that's my 13 mistake. 14 THE COURT: It's closed, the PR is 15 discharged, they all went home. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I filed to reopen because we discovered the fraudulent 17 18 documents. 19 THE COURT: But then you still had to ask 20 to reopen --21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And notice, your 22 Honor, that they haven't come to you in all of 23 that time, he said he just got notified from 24 the governor the other day about this fraud, I 25 put it in your court and served him months ago 4 00035 1 and he never came to me or you or anybody else 2 to know that the police are calling him, the 3 sheriff and the governor's Office. 4 THE COURT: Then you filed another 5 emergency similarly, served you folks, Tescher 6 and Spallina. I denied it because it wasn't an 7 emergency because nothing was happening I 8 thought had to happen on the day or two after. 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, now that I 10 understand emergency --11 THE COURT: The estate wasn't open and it 12 really wasn't an emergency at the time. And 13 then you filed a motion in the ordinary course 14 to have things heard, and a motion to -- bunch 15 of other motions, to remove PR. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, with each 17 successive crime we found -- by the way, that's 18 kind of why this is an emergency because with 19 the use of these fraudulent documents a bunch 20 of other crimes are taking place. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Representing yourself 22 is probably not the easiest thing. 23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I had counsel, your 24 Honor, but Mr. Spallina abused her so much and

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 25 she ran up a \$10,000 bill. 4 00036 1 THE COURT: Doesn't help me. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Doesn't help you, 3 okay. 4 THE COURT: Then in August you started 5 again, September you started again, and at least I set the hearing because it's kind of 6 7 hard when I read your allegations I couldn't 8 figure it out. Now I think, okay -- so now let 9 me ask you this, counsel. 10 MR. MANCERI: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: So the pleadings get filed, 12 the estate gets closed. 13 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 14 THE COURT: Simon dies. So what happened 15 with Shirley's estate? MR. MANCERI: Shirley's estate is closed, 16 17 as you said. 18 THE COURT: I know the administration is 19 closed. What happened with her estate? Where 20 did that go? Did she have a will? 21 MR. MANCERI: Her assets went into trusts, 22 and her husband had a power of appointment 23 which he exercised in favor of Mr. Bernstein's 24 children. 25 THE COURT: Okay. 4 00037 MR. MANCERI: And that leads to the trust 1 2 that he mentioned at Oppenheimer which he 3 mislead The Court as to what's happening with 4 that. 5 THE COURT: Let me slow you down. 6 MR. MANCERI: Okav. 7 THE COURT: So her estate assets went into 8 a trust? 9 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 10 THE COURT: And that trust is --MR. MANCERI: And Ted Bernstein, I 11 12 believe, is the trustee of that trust. 13 THE COURT: And you're brothers? MR. THEODORE BERNSTEIN: That's correct. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. So then -- so Simon really wasn't alive long when he died as 16 17 trustee? MR. MANCERI: Not terribly long. 18

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 19 THE COURT: All right. So he was a 20 trustee. Was she a trustee as well? 21 MR. MANCERI: He died, your Honor. Again she died December 10, 2010. He died September 22 23 of 2012. 24 THE COURT: Right, but was he a trustee 25 also of Shirley's trust? 4 00038 1 MR. MANCERI: Yes. 2 THE COURT: So she dies, the estate is 3 closed, her assets are in a trust. Simon then 4 dies. What happened with his estate? Judge 5 French is hearing it, but tell me what 6 happened. 7 MR. MANCERI: My understanding is that 8 money went into a trust for the grandchildren. 9 THE COURT: Grandchildren of Eliot? 10 MR. MANCERI: Well there's actually ten of 11 them, ten grandchildren, which he has three. THE COURT: So the beneficiary level for 12 13 Simon was he skipped over his children and gave 14 everything to the grandchildren? 15 MR. MANCERI: That's correct. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 17 THE COURT: That's not what happened with 18 your father's estate? 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 20 THE COURT: That's not what the rule says 21 to do? 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 23 THE COURT: What does the rule say to do? 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The rule is not 25 properly notarized. He didn't appear --4 00039 1 THE COURT: What did the will say that The 2 Court used? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The Court filed a 4 will and amended trust, both improperly 5 notarized. 6 THE COURT: You didn't answer my question, 7 so stop speaking. 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 9 THE COURT: If you don't answer me you 10 give up your right to participate. Stop, don't speak, all right, because you waived your right 11 12 because you refused to answer my question,

| | In Do The Estate of Shinloy Pennstein tyt |
|----------------|--|
| 13 | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt okay. So I'll let you answer it. |
| 14 | MR. MANCERI: If I can, your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Go ahead. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | MR. MANCERI: The ten grandchildren shares |
| | and I want to be clear on this, this |
| 18 | gentleman is only a tangible personal property |
| 19 | beneficiary. He and his own proper person. And the mother. That's all he's entitled to. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | No cash request, nothing directly to him, |
| 22 | because of his financial problems among other |
| 23 24 | issues. |
| | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 25 ♀ | MR. MANCERI: He has been asked to |
| + 00040 | |
| 1 | establish accounts for the benefit of his |
| 2 | children and he refused to do it. |
| 2 | THE COURT: I'm not interested in that, |
| 4 | here's what I'm interested in. |
| 4 5 | MR. MANCERI: All right. |
| | THE COURT: So before this latest realm of |
| 6 7 | |
| | pleadings were filed, both parents are deceased? |
| 8 | |
| 9 | MR. MANCERI: Yes. |
| 10 | THE COURT: They both have trusts? |
| 11 12 | MR. MANCERI: Right. |
| | THE COURT: Simon's trusts are for the |
| 13 | benefit of the grandchildren? |
| 14 15 | MR. MANCERI: Correct. |
| 15 | THE COURT: And Shirley's trust is for the benefit of who? |
| 16 | |
| | MR. MANCERI: The grandchildren now because Simon died. |
| 18 19 | THE COURT: So children-level, Eliot, Ted |
| 20 | were skipped over as beneficiaries? |
| 20 | MR. MANCERI: That's correct, your Honor. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Now, tell me the best you can |
| 23 | the way Eliot described that there was some |
| 23 | deal that had been in effect with Shirley and |
| 24 | Simon while they were alive that kept on going |
| 25 ۴ | Simon while they were all the that kept on going |
| 00041 | |
| 1 | after Shirley died to help support his |
| 2 | children. |
| 3 | MR. MANCERI: That I can't comment on |
| 4 | personally, your Honor, because I never met |
| 5 | either one of them. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Do you know anything about |
| 0 | THE COOKT. DO YOU KHOW ANYCHING ADOUC |

| | In Do The Estate of Chinley Depostoin tyt |
|----------------|--|
| 7 | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt that? |
| 8 | MR. MANCERI: He was the draftsman. His |
| 9 | firm was the draftsman. |
| 10 | THE COURT: So did Shirley and |
| 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They didn't draft |
| 12 | THE COURT: Stop. Next time you speak out |
| 12 | of turn you will be held in contempt of court. |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Why get yourself in trouble? |
| 15 | You're being rude. |
| 10 | • |
| 17 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| | THE COURT: So is it true that when they |
| 19 20 | were alive they were helping to support Eliot's |
| 20 21 | family? |
| 21 | MR. SPALLINA: To the best of my |
| 22 | knowledge, yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: So after Shirley died, did that continue? |
| 24 25 | |
| 25 ° | MR. SPALLINA: Yes, I assume so, that Si |
| ♀ 00042 | |
| 1 | was paving bills |
| 2 | was paying bills. |
| | THE COURT: And when he died in September |
| 3 4 | of last year, what happened, if anything? MR. SPALLINA: There was an account that |
| 4 5 | |
| 6 | we set up in the name of Bernstein Family |
| 0 7 | Reality. That was owned by three old trusts |
| 8 | not that we created, but were created by Mr. Bernstein in 2006 that owned the house that |
| o 9 | the family lives in, so there was an LLC that |
| 9 10 | • |
| 10 | was set up, Bernstein Family Realty, LLC, there's the three children's trust that own the |
| 11 | |
| 12 | membership interest in that, and there was a bank account at Legacy Bank that had a small |
| 13 | amount of money that Si's assistant Rachel had |
| 14 | been paying the bills out of on behalf of the |
| 15 | trusts. |
| 10 | When Mr. Bernstein died, Oppenheimer, as |
| 18 | trustee of the three trusts and in control of |
| 18 | the operations of that entity, assigned |
| 20 | |
| 20 21 | themselves as manager, had the account moved from Legacy to Oppenheimer, and continued to |
| 21 | pay the bills they could with the small amount |
| 22 | of money that was in the Legacy account. |
| 23 | At this time, the Legacy account was |
| 24 25 | terminated because there were no funds left, |
| ∠5 ♀ | terminated because there were no fullus fert, |
| 00043 | |

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|-------|--|
| 1 | they started using the funds inside the three |
| 2 | trusts at Oppenheimer to pay for health, |
| 3 | education, maintenance and support |
| 4 | |
| | THE COURT: Of the grandchildren? |
| 5 | MR. SPALLINA: Of the grandchildren. And |
| 6 | it was probably at the time that Mr. Bernstein |
| 7 | died about \$80,000 in each of those trusts last |
| 8 | September. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay, so then what happened? |
| 10 | MR. SPALLINA: So over the course of the |
| 11 | last year the kids go to private school, |
| 12 | that's an expensive bill that they pay, think |
| 13 | it's approximately \$65,000. There were other |
| 14 | expenses throughout the year. The trust assets |
| 15 | as of this week I spoke to Janet Craig, have |
| 16 | depleted down collectively across the three |
| 17 | trusts for about \$25,000. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Total left? |
| 19 | MR. SPALLINA: Total left in the three |
| 20 | trusts. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Any other trusts? |
| 22 | MR. SPALLINA: Again, this is not part of |
| 23 | the estate right now, so let's leave the estate |
| 24 | of Shirley and Si completely separate. Just |
| 25 | trying to get to the issue that Mr. Bernstein |
| 4 | |
| 00044 | |
| 1 | spoke about first. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oppenheimer called |
| 4 | me and said that the trusts are coming to the |
| 5 | end of their useful life, it doesn't pay to |
| 6 | administer them anymore. They're going to make |
| 7 | final distribution to Mr. Bernstein and his |
| 8 | wife as the guardians of their children. |
| 9 | They sent out standard waivers and |
| 10 | releases for him to sign in exchange for the |
| 11 | remaining money that was there. There was a |
| 12 | disagreement that ensued and I have the e-mail |
| 13 | correspondence between Eliot and Janet Craig at |
| 14 | Oppenheimer that this is extortion and that |
| 15 | Mr. Spallina and you have devised a plan not to |
| 16 | give us the rest of the money. That's not the |
| 17 | case at all. In fact, we told them to |
| 18 | distribute the rest of the money, there's been |
| 19 | \$12,000 in bills submitted to them that they |
| 20 | are either paying today or on Monday, and the |
| 21 | \$14,000 or some-odd dollars that would be left |
| | - |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 22 are in securities that they have to liquidate, 23 supposedly they would have good funds today, 24 but there was some threats of litigation and so they said that it might be prudent to hold onto 25 4 00045 There's also some expenses outstanding 1 this. 2 on accounting fees and tax preparation fees. THE COURT: Let me ask you this, what's 3 4 the other part of the estate planning that 5 Shirley or Simon had, another trust? 6 MR. SPALLINA: Both of their estates say 7 that at the death of the second of us to die. 8 pursuant to Si's exercise over his wife's 9 assets, that all of those assets would go down to ten grandchildren's trust created under 10 11 their dockets. Mr. Bernstein was on a call while his 12 13 father was alive with his other four siblings 14 where he had called me and said, Robert, I 15 think we need to do a phone call with my 16 children to explain to them that I'm going to 17 give this to the ten grandchildren. THE COURT: And that happened? 18 19 MR. SPALLINA: And that happened. 20 THE COURT: So right now the status, 21 there's a trust that deals with that, or more 22 than one trust. MR. SPALLINA: There's both Si's estates 23 24 and Shirley's estates basically say after and 25 again there is some litigation. 4 00046 THE COURT: And that's different than this 1 2 \$14,000 --3 MR. SPALLINA: Yeah, those are three trusts that were just designed to hold. 4 5 THE COURT: Who's administering those 6 trusts? 7 MR. SPALLINA: Those trusts, Ted Bernstein 8 is the trustee of his mother's trust and holds 9 three assets. THE COURT: Who is the trustee of the 10 11 father's trust? 12 MR. SPALLINA: Don Tescher and myself. 13 THE COURT: And what are those trusts 14 doing with trust assets? 15 MR. SPALLINA: On the estate side there

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 16 was a claim filed by a former employee of 17 Mr. Bernstein for \$2.5 million-plus, so there's 18 litigation that's been pending in the estate 19 now for basically since this date, and those 20 funds are just sitting in a partnership account 21 at JP Morgan with no distributions that have 22 been made at all. 23 THE COURT: So what's the total corpus of 24 the what I'll call the ten grandchildren's 25 trust of both grandparents? 4 00047 1 MR. SPALLINA: Not taking into account the 2 litigation? 3 THE COURT: Well, no, you haven't paid 4 anything out yet. 5 MR. SPALLINA: I would say it's 6 approximately \$4 million. 7 THE COURT: So there's litigation going on 8 in Simon's --9 MR. SPALLINA: Estate. 10 THE COURT: And at some point when that 11 claim is resolved the trust will then be 12 administered by your firm and... 13 MR. SPALLINA: No, that's not the case. 14 Each of the adult children for their own 15 children are designated to serve as trustee of 16 their children's trust. THE COURT: So a distribution takes place 17 18 then once the money gets to the trust age? 19 MR. SPALLINA: Correct, and today again 20 the Shirley Bernstein trust does have liquid 21 assets in it. There was two properties, real 22 estate properties, the residential home and a 23 condo on the beach. The condo on the beach 24 sold back in April or May. There were funds that came into the account at that time. Ted 25 4 00048 1 was going to make partial distribution. He sent out an e-mail with tax I.D. numbers and 2 3 the naming of the trust to the five children 4 for the purposes of them opening up the 5 accounts. 6 THE COURT: Okay, what happened? 7 MR. SPALLINA: Seven of ten accounts were 8 opened and were actually funded this week with 9 \$80,000.

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 10 THE COURT: Total or each? 11 MR. SPALLINA: Each. 12 THE COURT: Three of Eliot's --13 MR. SPALLINA: Are not open. And we've 14 asked multiple --15 THE COURT: And he executed documents to open \$240,000 immediately or very quickly go 16 17 into those accounts? 18 MR. SPALLINA: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 MR. SPALLINA: Now, there was a question 21 from our client as trustee of his mother's 22 trust because he has apprehension as do the 23 other siblings as to whether or not 24 Mr. Bernstein is the proper trustee for that 25 trust. 4 00049 1 THE COURT: Okay, all right. 2 MR. SPALLINA: We had discussions about 3 possibly making emergency distributions to pay the expenses, but not necessarily --4 5 THE COURT: Not giving the money directly 6 to him. 7 MR. SPALLINA: Not necessarily put in all 8 \$80,000 in all three of those trusts. 9 THE COURT: Does the trust pay expenses 10 directly or give money to the parent who pays 11 the expenses? Do you pay the electric bill or 12 do you give money to Eliot to pay the electric 13 bill? 14 MR. SPALLINA: Today? 15 THE COURT: Now, how does that work with 16 the others kids? 17 MR. SPALLINA: They were just funded, but normally the trustee of the trust would pay for 18 expenses on behalf of the beneficiary if 19 20 they're minor children. Some of the children 21 here are adults. So to the extent they're adults they would make distribution. 22 23 THE COURT: So what's the resolution of 24 the notary problem? Has that been resolved? 25 MR. SPALLINA: I can speak to it. 4 00050 MR. MANCERI: Please, Robert, go ahead. 1 2 The Judge is addressing you, be my guest. 3 MR. SPALLINA: In April of last year we

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 4 met with Mr. Bernstein in April of 2012 to 5 close his wife's estate. 6 THE COURT: No, I know that part. 7 MR. SPALLINA: Okay. 8 THE COURT: I mean everyone can see he 9 signed these not notarized. When they were 10 sent back to be notarized, the notary notarized them without him re-signing it, is that what 11 12 happened? 13 MR. SPALLINA: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: So whatever issues arose with 15 that, where are they today? 16 MR. SPALLINA: Today we have a signed affidavit from each of the children other than 17 18 Mr. Bernstein that the original documents that 19 were filed with The Court were in fact their 20 original signatures which you have in the file attached as Exhibit A was the original document 21 22 that was signed by them. 23 THE COURT: It was wrong for Moran to 24 notarize -- so whatever Moran did, the 25 documents that she notarized, everyone but 4 00051 1 Eliot's side of the case have admitted that 2 those are still the original signatures of 3 either themselves or their father? 4 MR. SPALLINA: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: I got it. 6 MR. MANCERI: And we can file those 7 affidavits, Judge, at any time. 8 THE COURT: So now I'm trying to deal with 9 the oral argument for today. 10 So I only have in front of me Shirley's 11 estate. Shirley's estate is closed. MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, could I bring 12 you up to speed on one thing maybe you're not 13 14 seeing on your docket. THE COURT: Yes. 15 16 MR. MANCERI: We actually filed a motion 17 to actually reopen the estate when we learned 18 about the deficiency in the affidavit issue. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. MANCERI: And that was signed 21 August 28th of this year. Do you have a copy 22 of that, Judge, can I approach? 23 THE COURT: Hold on, it should be here, 24 but let's see. Because I have an August 28th

25 ♀

| Ŧ | |
|-------|---|
| 00052 | |
| 1 | MR. MANCERI: You have that. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Motion to reopen the estate. |
| 3 | MR. MANCERI: Right, your Honor. We set |
| 4 | it for an evidentiary hearing. |
| 5 | THE COURT: When is it set? |
| 6 | MR. MANCERI: It's set for October 28th, |
| 7 | your Honor, for an hour at 11:00 a.m. |
| 8 | THE COURT: I'm going to decide on |
| 9 | Shirley's case whether to open it and how to |
| 10 | deal with whatever issues pertain to this, but, |
| 10 | • • • • |
| | Eliot, on your side you have an emergency |
| 12 | motion to freeze assets of the estate, so I |
| 13 | would say to you with a closed estate where the |
| 14 | PR, Simon, has been already discharged, and a |
| 15 | petition for discharge approved, what assets |
| 16 | are there in a closed estate where the estate |
| 17 | assets have already been distributed that I can |
| 18 | now in your motion freeze? |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The petition |
| 20 | THE COURT: Listen to my question. It's |
| 21 | artful. What assets now that the estate's been |
| 22 | closed, that the estate's been fully |
| 23 | administered, and the estate has been |
| 24 | discharged, can I freeze that I could identify |
| 25 | still belong to Shirley's estate? |
| 4 | |
| 00053 | |
| 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I can't tell you |
| 2 | because I never got a document regarding the |
| 3 | assets. |
| 4 | THE COURT: But when you say it's an |
| 5 | emergency hearing |
| 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But I was supposed |
| 7 | to get those documents, correct? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Well, I don't know what |
| 9 | documents |
| 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I was a beneficiary, |
| 10 | |
| 12 | unlike they said, me, my brother was cut out of |
| | my mother's estate and my older sister. |
| 13 | THE COURT: They said you were a |
| 14 | beneficiary of personal property. |
| 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, I was the third |
| 16 | beneficiary to the entire estate. |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right, I don't know. |
| 18 | MR. SPALLINA: At one point he was. |
| | |

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 19 MR. MANCERI: Early on, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: But on the will that was 21 probated? 22 MR. MANCERI: No. 23 THE COURT: Okay, so maybe you don't know then, your mother changed her will, they say. 24 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Did my mother change 4 00054 1 her will? 2 MR. SPALLINA: You know that your father 3 did. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, he asked if my 5 mother did. 6 MR. SPALLINA: Oh, yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay, all right --8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: After she was dead 9 using alleged --10 THE COURT: Not after she was dead. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, your Honor, my father went back into my mother's estate and 12 13 made changes after we believe he was dead using 14 documents that are signed forged, by the way 15 those documents you're looking at --16 THE COURT: Here's the thing. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. 18 THE COURT: You want me to freeze assets 19 of an estate that's already been fully 20 probated. I can't freeze something that 21 doesn't exist. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can you reopen it 23 because it was closed on fraudulent documents? 24 THE COURT: They asked for the estate to 25 be reopened. They want to have a hearing on 4 00055 1 that. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Do you have responses to your 4 motion? 5 MR. MANCERI: Mr. Spallina filed it, but I 6 don't believe so yet, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: So we know one person wants to reopen it, Eliot, correct? Who did you notice 8 9 of that motion? MR. MANCERI: This motion was served on 10 11 Ted Bernstein, Pamela --12 THE COURT: Ted, do you want the estate

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 13 reopened, Shirley's estate reopened? 14 MR. THEODORE BERNSTEIN: I think you're 15 asking me a legal question, your Honor. THE COURT: Does anyone represent you? 16 17 MR. MANCERI: Not at the moment, your 18 Honor. I may depending on how far this goes. 19 THE COURT: All right, well, what I'm 20 getting at is, is anyone opposing the reopening 21 of the estate? 22 MR. MANCERI: No, your Honor. We want to open it to cure what his allegation is. 23 24 THE COURT: First step, one, is reopen. 25 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 4 00056 1 THE COURT: So why do we have to wait 2 until the end of October to reopen the estate when we could do that in mid-September? 3 4 MR. MANCERI: No reason, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any reason why we need to 6 wait? 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. THE COURT: All right, so... 8 9 MR. MANCERI: You haven't heard any 10 objections to this from anybody else, have you 11 Robert? 12 MR. SPALLINA: No. THE COURT: All right, so get me up an 13 14 agreed order that I could open up the estate. 15 MR. MANCERI: Okay, you'll take care of 16 that, Robert? 17 MR. SPALLINA: Uh-Huh. 18 MR. MANCERI: We'll take the October 19 hearing off your docket. THE COURT: You don't need an evidentiary 20 hearing to prove it, I'm going to do it, and 21 under these circumstances that makes sense. 22 23 Okay, so I'm going to have it reopen the 24 estate. So now the question is --25 MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, just so I'm 4 00057 clear. 1 2 THE COURT: Yes, Shirley's estate. 3 MR. MANCERI: The reason we asked to 4 reopen it is to cure or address this alleged 5 fraud. 6 THE COURT: But all I'm physically doing

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 7 is saying, Rich, reopen. 8 MR. MANCERI: Agreed. I just wanted to be 9 clear. THE COURT: I don't want you to get rid of 10 11 the hearing. 12 MR. MANCERI: Oh, you don't, okay. 13 THE COURT: So at the hearing whatever it 14 is in relief that you want now that the estate 15 is open, I'll hear that. 16 MR. MANCERI: Okay. 17 THE COURT: And, Mr. Bernstein, whatever 18 you want relief-wise to happen with respect to 19 Shirley's estate, not Shirley's trust, but 20 Shirley's estate, you could have a hearing on 21 that. I'll combine everyone who has an 22 interest in getting some relief. 23 MR. MANCERI: Only thing I was going to 24 say, your Honor, after this was noticed I got 25 into this matter. I have a conflict on the 4 00058 28th at that hour. If we could move it to the 1 2 afternoon I'd appreciate it. 3 THE COURT: I'll get my book and see. 4 Maybe I can, I don't know. 5 MR. MANCERI: That's my only issue on the 6 28th. 7 THE COURT: I don't know, I'll look. 8 So let me try to make some progress, all 9 right. 10 So today is whether in Shirley's estate 11 there's an emergency, here is my order, no. 12 Okay? 13 MR. MANCERI: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Next, whether -- what type of 15 evidentiary hearing, if any, needs to be held. 16 For Shirley's estate purposes I guess I have to 17 figure out the following: It appears that 18 there could be some problem in the documents 19 that took place to lead Shirley's estate to be 20 closed and distributed as it took place, okay 21 because --22 MR. MANCERI: Right. 23 THE COURT: It took place pursuant to 24 documents that may have been improperly notarized. Now. That doesn't mean that 25 4 00059

| | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt |
|-------|---|
| 1 | anything happened, it just means the documents |
| 2 | may have a taint to them themselves. |
| 3 | MR. MANCERI: Right. |
| 4 | THE COURT: But I'll take a look at it and |
| 5 | see whether there's anything that has to happen |
| 6 | differently than what already happened with |
| 7 | respect to that. |
| 8 | MR. MANCERI: Judge, in furtherance in |
| 9 | making that determination, would you like us to |
| 10 | submit these to you? |
| 11 | THE COURT: What are those? |
| 12 | MR. MANCERI: These are the original |
| 13 | affidavits. I haven't made copies. |
| 14 | THE COURT: File them. |
| 15 | MR. MANCERI: Just file them, okay. Very |
| 16 | good, we'll file them and serve them. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, I want you to |
| 18 | understand something. Let's say you prove what |
| 19 | seems perhaps to be easy, that Moran notarized |
| 20 | your signature, your father's signature, other |
| 21 | people's signatures after you signed it, and |
| 22 | you signed it without the notary there and they |
| 23 | signed it afterwards. That may be a wrongdoing |
| 24 | on her part as far as her notary republic |
| 25 | ability, but the question is, unless someone |
| 4 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| 00060 | |
| 1 | claims and proves forgery, okay, forgery, |
| 2 | proves forgery, the document will purport to be |
| 3 | the document of the person who signs it, and |
| 4 | then the question is, will something different |
| 5 | happen in Shirley's estate then what was |
| 6 | originally intended? Originally intended they |
| 7 | say, the other side, was for Simon to close out |
| 8 | the estate. The estate they say was small. |
| 9 | The estate gave everything to the trust and |
| 10 | that's what it did, and that was the end of the |
| 11 | estate. |
| 12 | Remember, this is not everything about |
| 13 | your parents and their estate planning. This |
| 14 | is one small component, Shirley's estate alone, |
| 15 | not her trust, and nothing to do with what |
| 16 | happened with Simon, okay, because that's not |
| 17 | before me. Simon's case is before Judge |
| 18 | French. |
| 19 | Having said that, one of the other reasons |
| 20 | why I have to consider whether your matter is |
| 20 | an emergency, even if there was something that |

21 an emergency, even if there was something that

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 22 I could enter an order on or have a hearing on 23 immediately that could free up money from 24 Shirley that you personally would be entitled to, you tell me you don't even know that you 25 4 00061 were not a beneficiary of the estate, so 1 2 certainly you're not doing your groundwork to tell me if it's an emergency or not because it 3 4 could be an emergency if you were a beneficiary 5 of her will that was probated, but you don't 6 even know one way or the other. So you could 7 be a stranger to the estate. She may have 8 disinherited you from the estate. She may have 9 chosen to only give you personal property. So 10 if you're not entitled to anything, you don't 11 have an emergency. You're not entitled to 12 anything. Go ahead. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I never was 14 noticed --15 THE COURT: It doesn't matter. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- by the estate 17 planner when she died. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So he's supposed to 20 notify the beneficiaries. 21 THE COURT: Who? 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Mr. Spallina. 23 THE COURT: Of what? 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That there are 25 beneficiaries of the estate. 4 00062 THE COURT: But what if you weren't a 1 2 beneficiary? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I was at that time. 4 My dad doesn't change that until a 5 year-and-a-half later. Are you following? 6 THE COURT: This may be about it, but 7 you're interested in some financial relief. If you don't want to go out and get a laborer job 8 9 today to feed your children that's your choice. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't say that. 10 11 THE COURT: I'm not in charge of feeding 12 your children or paying your electric bills, you are. You have to do what a parent does to 13 14 take care of their children. It doesn't sound like you're doing everything that you can, but 15

| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ♀ | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt that's technically not before me. But in the meantime not knowing a whole lot about this case, it's my first time I'm really having this type of dialogue. I heard some voice that said there's cash to feed your children that could become readily in your pocket or in someone's pocket to pay bills that could help your children. I heard that. They say the stumbling block to your children getting the benefit of that money is you. I |
|---|---|
| 00063 | |
| | |
| 1 2 3 | don't know whether that's true or not, but if you want your children to imminently get money and they have imminent money to give your |
| 4 | children, maybe you want to sit with Ted and |
| 5 | that other side and see if there's some money |
| 6 | that could come to your children. |
| 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. |
| | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's like asking |
| 10 | me to participate in what I allege is a fraud. |
| 11 | THE COURT: No, it doesn't |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Listen, if the money |
| 13 | comes to my children and it was supposed to |
| | |
| 14 | have gone to me, and these documents that are |
| 15 | all shady and unsigned wills with |
| 16 | un-notarized wills and trusts don't stand. The |
| 17 | money comes to me personally, Eliot Bernstein. |
| 18 | MR. MANCERI: Your Honor |
| 19 | THE COURT: Let me just say this to you. |
| 20 | Maybe two, three years from now as a result of |
| 20 | the same trust litigation you'll be right, but |
| | |
| 22 | in the meantime according to you there's money |
| 23 | that could feed your children that you don't |
| 24 | want to touch because you think the money |
| 25 | should go to you instead of your children that |
| 4 | |
| 00064 | |
| 1 | they're willing to |
| | |
| 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I think there |
| 3 | are other beneficiaries. |
| 4 | THE COURT: put in accounts to go for |
| 5 | the benefit of your children. |
| 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I think there are |
| 7 | other beneficiaries that are also |
| | |
| 8 | THE COURT: They signed off. |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, just their |
| | |

| | In Partha Estate of Shinlay Ponnetain tyt |
|-----------------|--|
| 10 | In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt parents have. The children don't even know. |
| 10 | They're not even represented. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, the parents represent |
| 13 | the child. |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, but they have |
| 15 | conflicting interests. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, you say that |
| 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Our attorney wrote a |
| 18 | subpoena and said it. I had to get two lawyers |
| 19 | because my attorney couldn't represent both |
| 20 | sides of this. |
| 21 | MR. MANCERI: I'm very concerned about |
| 22 | something Mr. Bernstein just told The Court. |
| 23 | He's the one objecting they're in conflict, |
| 24 | he's stating from what I'm piecing together |
| 25 | that he believes that his children are getting |
| ک ح 4 | that he believes that his children are getting |
| 00065 | |
| 1 | money that the parents really was supposed to |
| 2 | go to him personally. He's got the inherent |
| 3 | conflict with that mindset. |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not saying I |
| 5 | don't. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay, here's the point, if |
| 7 | you're at a point where you're asking The Court |
| 8 | for an emergency because you can't feed |
| 9 | children, and there's someone around the corner |
| 10 | that's holding out a \$20 bill and says you |
| 11 | could have it to feed your children, and you |
| 12 | go, you know, I'm not going to take that to |
| 13 | feed my children because I want to have a court |
| 14 | determine that it really was mine, then I don't |
| 15 | know that you're treating this as an emergency. |
| 16 | Emergencies mean you figure out a way of |
| 17 | getting the money to your children sooner than |
| 18 | later, and they say it's happening imminently, |
| 19 | cash that could pay bills for your children. |
| 20 | That's what they say. If it's an emergency and |
| 21 | your kids are starving, and you as the parent |
| 22 | say that might be my money and not my kids', so |
| 23 | I want to wait for two or three years and let |
| 24 | the money stay in a bank account until I could |
| 25 | figure it out, and not feed my children, I |
| 4 | - |
| 00066 | |
| 1 | think you need to reflect upon some of your |
| 2 | decisions. |
| 3 | MR. MANCERI: Your Honor |
| | |

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 4 THE COURT: What? 5 MR. MANCERI: I'm not saying we're going 6 to do this, Judge, but this sounds like this may need an ad litem for these kids. 7 8 THE COURT: Well, I don't know, let's not 9 add fuel to the fire. 10 MR. MANCERI: Because I'm troubled by what 11 he's saying. 12 THE COURT: All right, so --13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's why I have 14 not taken that money. 15 THE COURT: Why? 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Because if you told 17 me, your Honor, that you just murdered him, and 18 here's \$20 from his pocket to feed your kids 19 from the crime --20 THE COURT: If they were starving I would 21 take the \$20. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On that advice, I'll 23 take the money. 24 THE COURT: If they were starving --25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On that advice --4 00067 1 THE COURT: Your kids are starving. I'm 2 not giving you advice. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On that advice, I 4 will --5 The \$20 didn't murder anybody, THE COURT: 6 Did the \$20-bill murder someone? did it? 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's stealing money 8 from people. 9 THE COURT: They're not -- this isn't 10 stolen money. This is your parents' money. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If I take that money 12 and put it in my kids' accounts, it's actually 13 taking money from what we believe are the true 14 and proper beneficiaries --15 THE COURT: Which is you. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, through -- one 17 of, through --18 THE COURT: So meanwhile if your kids are 19 starving and you don't take the money, all I 20 could say to you, there's obviously -- if you 21 look at the documents I mean you're not going 22 to confess to killing Kennedy as part of 23 receiving the money, but if they want to give 24 you money for your children and you don't want

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 25 to take it because you think it's yours, and 4 00068 1 you want to wait years --2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's not why I 3 want to dispute it. THE COURT: You think that there's some --4 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I think that it's 6 part of a fraud that forged documents were used 7 to --8 THE COURT: But it's still your parents 9 money --10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- convert estate 11 assets to the wrong beneficiary. 12 THE COURT: But they want to now get it to 13 you. 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, not me. 15 THE COURT: To your children. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Listen, I'll take 16 17 the money without explanation on it. I agree. 18 Listen, the only reason I didn't want to take 19 the money was so I wouldn't be part of a fraud. 20 THE COURT: You're not, obviously no one 21 is accusing you of fraud. If they give you 22 money to care for --23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But then I could 24 accuse them of fraud if I'm participating. 25 THE COURT: I mean all you're doing is 4 00069 1 signing a receipt. You don't know where the 2 money came from. You're not signing off --3 you're not saying that you make a declaration 4 that the money came from them, the other side 5 to you in only legal means. You're just 6 signing a receipt. 7 MR. MANCERI: But he is signing off on 8 that he's going to honor the terms of the 9 trust. If he is signing off to that --10 THE COURT: If it comes to you as trustee 11 for your children, you are -- you have a duty 12 to only use it for the children, not yourself. 13 Not you. You still have to work for you. Now, 14 you don't have to work for your children, 15 maybe. You still have to support yourself. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 17 THE COURT: The money has to get spent on your children if that's how you get it. 18

In Re The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Right. 20 THE COURT: That's all we're talking about 21 is money to feed your children. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You see, if the 23 money came to me, it's also for me and my wife 24 and feeds our children. 25 THE COURT: That's not what they said. It 4 00070 1 does not go to support you and your wife. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If the money comes 2 3 to me as a beneficiary, it does. If all these 4 nonsense documents that are forged and --5 THE COURT: If they want to give it to you 6 only under their condition this is because 7 their version is it belongs to your children. 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Right. 9 THE COURT: Don't accept it, you don't get 10 it. If you accept it, it goes to your 11 children. You may not like that, but it only 12 could be used for your children, because that's 13 the deal that they make. You take that deal 14 because you don't want your kids to starve. 15 You may not like it, you want to be 16 supported too, but they don't want to support 17 you. They don't think it's your money, they 18 think it's your children's money. So why turn 19 that -- maybe you're entitled to it, but why 20 turn down money that could help support your 21 children in the meantime. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If your logic is 23 correct, your Honor, I agree. 24 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if my logic 25 is correct. 4 00071 1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the legal 2 problem --3 THE COURT: Stop, no, the hearing is over. 4 I'm not giving more legal advice. Your hearing 5 goes on, okay, see you. 6 MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, any chance of 7 resetting it? 8 THE COURT: I'm going to ask my office to 9 flip it around to the afternoon. I'll take care of that. 10 11 MR. MANCERI: Thank you, your Honor. 12 We'll submit an order to your Honor.

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt THE COURT: Okay, clear it with him and see if you could actually get something that makes sense. It's really narrow. MR. MANCERI: It's very narrow. We've got the transcript, Judge. THE COURT: It's only really that there's no emergency here. Everything everyone raises on the 28th. MR. MANCERI: Very good, Judge. Do you think we can do it in an hour, Judge? THE COURT: We'll try. MR. MANCERI: Okay. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry, your Honor, for calling an emergency. THE COURT: All right. Just there's a lot of work when you call something an emergency. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't understand what you go through. THE COURT: Okay, bye. MR. MANCERI: It's an evidentiary, Judge, we're going to call witnesses. THE COURT: Witnesses and evidence. MR. MANCERI: Very good. (The proceeding was concluded at 2:15 p.m.) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, Jessica Thibault, a Court Reporter,

In Re_ The Estate of Shirley Bernstein.txt 7 certify that I was authorized to and did 8 stenographically report the proceedings in the 9 above-styled cause before the Honorable Martin H. 10 Colin, pages 1 through 72; and that the transcript 11 is a true record of my stenographic notes. 12 13 I further certify that I am not a 14 relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any 15 of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with 16 17 the action, nor am I financially interested in the 18 action. 19 20 Dated this 17th day of September, 2013. 21 22 23 Jessica Thibault Court Reporter 24 25 4

| 1 | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR |
|----|--|
| | PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA |
| 2 | |
| | CASE NO. 502011CP000653XXXXSP |
| 3 | |
| | IN RE: ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN, |
| 4 | |
| - | Deceased. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, |
| 7 | Petitioner, |
| 8 | V. |
| 0 | |
| 9 | TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., et al., |
| 9 | Pogpondonta |
| 10 | Respondents. |
| 11 | / |
| 12 | HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE |
| | MARTIN H. COLIN |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Taken before Michael Todd Berkowitz, Shorthand |
| 16 | Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of |
| 17 | Florida at Large. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | 200 West Atlantic Avenue |
| | Delray Beach, Florida 33344 |
| 24 | Monday, October 28, 2013 |
| | 4:00 P.M 5:09 P.M. |
| 25 | Michael T. Berkowitz, Court Reporter. |

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    On behalf of the Petitioner:
 3
                  HUTH & PRATT
                  2101 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
 4
                  Suite 400
                  Boca Raton, Florida 33431
 5
                  BY:
                       BRANDAN J. PRATT, ESQ.
 6
    On behalf of Ted Bernstein, Donald R. Tescher, Esq.,
 7
    Robert L. Spallina, Esq:
 8
                  MARK MANCERI, ESQ.
                  2929 East Commercial Boulevard
 9
                  Suite 702
                  Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308
10
11
                                ____
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. Good Afternoon. Judge Colin. 2011CP000653. The Estate of Shirley Bernstein. Can everyone make their appearances.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein.

8 MR. MANCERI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 9 Mark Manceri. I'm here on behalf of Ted 10 Bernstein as successor personal representative 11 of the Estate of Shirley Bernstein, and I'm 12 here on behalf of Donald Tescher and Robert 13 Spallina.

14MR. PRATT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.15Brandan Pratt appearing on behalf of Eliot16Bernstein, and I also have with me here today17Eliot Bernstein and his wife, Candace18Bernstein.

19THE COURT: Okay. So this was a hearing20that was set pursuant to an order of September2124, 2013, that order being an order on notice22on emergency motion to freeze assets, and it23says the purpose of this hearing is to address24any alleged improprieties or defects in the25form of pleadings or other documents submitted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1 to the Court in furtherance of the Estate of 2 Shirley Bernstein which was previously closed. 3 MR. PRATT: Your Honor, I'd like to evoke 4 the rule of sequestration. THE COURT: Hold on. I'll be right with 5 6 Okay. All right. The rule was evoked. you. 7 Are there any witnesses on either side that 8 are not parties that are going to testify? 9 Please stand up. 10 MR. PRATT: Non parties, Your Honor? 11 THE COURT: Parties are allowed to stay. 12 MR. PRATT: I believe Mr. Tescher and Mr. 13 Spallina are non parties to the estate 14 proceedings. 15 MR. MANCERI: No. They have been named by 16 your client. 17 THE COURT: They're in the heading of the 18 adversary proceeding. 19 Okay, what's your name, ma'am? 20 MS. MORAN: Kimberly Moran. 21 THE COURT: So Kimberly, you may or may not 22 be called as a witness. You need to wait 23 outside. You can't allow anyone to discuss 24 their testimony in your presence, or you 25 participate in that as well. If someone

| 1 | violates what I just said, find my bailiff, |
|------------------------------|--|
| 2 | let me know, and we'll deal with them. |
| 3 | MR. ROTH: Just to let you know, Your |
| 4 | Honor, David Roth on behalf of Ms. Moran. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Moran, that lady |
| 6 | that's just leaving? |
| 7 | MR. ROTH: Yes, sir. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Just for my benefit, the |
| 9 | lawyers can tell me, Ms. Moran is employee |
| 10 | of |
| 11 | MR. MANCERI: Tescher & Spallina, P.A. |
| 12 | THE COURT: I remember that. I've got it. |
| 13 | So it sounds like, Mr. Pratt, I think probably |
| 14 | from an orderly fashion, since I reserved this |
| 15 | time for you to raise on behalf of your client |
| 16 | some irregularities that we spoke about, I |
| 17 | think you're up. |
| 18 | MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, if I might, just |
| 19 | to refresh your memory, we had filed a motion |
| 20 | to reopen the estate. Tescher & Spallina had |
| 21 | filed a motion to reopen. Mr. Eliot Bernstein |
| 22 | was on here on his emergency motion that was |
| 23 | denied; that's what he filed. |
| 24 | THE COURT: I said there was no so the |
| 25 | order I have is the order on the emergency |
| Empire Legal Support Inc. (0 | D54) 241 1010 |

1 motion to freeze assets. I said there's no 2 emergency. 3 MR. MANCERI: Correct. 4 THE COURT: But I announced what the 5 purpose of the hearing was. MR. MANCERI: Yes. You did. It's embodied 6 7 of the order, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: So you want to go forward to 9 address irregularities in the pleadings that 10 Eliot says exist. 11 MR. MANCERI: I believe that's the way we 12 left it. 13 MR. PRATT: Your Honor, I disagree in that 14 he filed a motion to reopen the estate, an 15 agreed order --16 THE COURT: I reopened it. That's not an 17 issue. So whose pleadings are filed that are 18 challenging the propriety of the pleadings? 19 MR. MANCERI: That would be Mr. Bernstein. 20 He filed it, but he filed it as part of the 21 emergency motion. 22 THE COURT: I understand. I'm not 23 hearing -- I'm not sure what you're getting at 24 Mr. Manceri, so what is it that you're seeking 25 to do?

1MR. MANCERI:I'm just addressing the order2Judge, that you announced, that's all I'm3addressing.

THE COURT: Paragraph three of the September 24th order, it says the purpose of today's hearing is to address any alleged impropriety or defect in the form of the pleadings or other documents submitted to the court in furtherance of the closing of the Estate of Shirley Bernstein.

11MR. MANCERI: That's correct, Your Honor.12THE COURT: The person who filed the13request to, or who raised an allegation of14impropriety, was who?

MR. MANCERI: Actually, it's my position
that Mr. Tescher or Mr. Spallina raised it
voluntarily in their petition to reopen.
While you did reopen it, they were the one's
who actually brought the issue forward.

THE COURT: But I see their August 28th motion, I reopened the estate, so they said that they thought they wanted to give, using their words, persons the opportunity to cure the irregularities. Someone needs to formally identify the irregularities, unless you

1 stipulate what those are. 2 MR. MANCERI: There's no written 3 stipulation as to that. 4 THE COURT: So to do this in an orderly 5 way, which is all I'm getting at, you think 6 that you -- if I let you go first on your 7 side, Mr. Manceri, what irregularities, if 8 any, are you going to point out took place? 9 MR. MANCERI: We're going to point out, 10 take you through the issue of the submittance 11 of the original waivers which were returned by 12 the clerk, because of your method of doing 13 that, that required notarization, and then 14 take you through the affirmation of those 15 original waivers with current affidavits from 16 the beneficiary reaffirming that they, in 17 fact, acknowledge the original waivers which 18 were not notarized with current addresses, 19 with original affidavits attached to them, and 20 we would walk the Court through that exercise. 21 THE COURT: Stop there. What do you want 22 to tell me, Mr. Pratt, as to why you should go 23 first? 24 I think that whole thing was MR. PRATT:

brought about by the fact that my client,

25

Eliot Bernstein, in fact filed the emergency motion. As far --

THE COURT: That gets your nowhere. So we're long passed that point.

5 MR. PRATT: Number two, that they were 6 seeking to reopen the estate. The estate was 7 already reopened, and I don't know why they 8 would be challenging the documents that they 9 used to close the estate, but for Mr. Bernstein here filing his emergency motion in 10 11 which although it was titled emergency, it 12 bought up all of these issues that we're 13 currently here before this Court. It was only 14 after he filed the motion in which he was 15 contesting the validity of the waivers that 16 this Court -- that they ended up filing their 17 motion to reopen the estate. I wasn't --

18THE COURT: So what benefit is there to19this process for you to go first? Both sides20are going to go. We're only talking about21who's going first.

22 MR. PRATT: First off, he's the petitioner. 23 I don't know that they're going to necessarily 24 address all of the issues that we're going to 25 bring up.

1

2

3

4

1 THE COURT: So listen carefully. Mr. Pratt 2 qoes first. 3 MR. MANCERI: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: That way we can move along. Go 5 ahead. 6 MR. PRATT: All right. I just brought some 7 legal authority here that I'd like to point 8 out. May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. I'll look at that at the 9 10 right time. 11 MR. PRATT: I'm going to give a brief 12 opening statement before I call my first 13 witness, just to give an overview of why we 14 want the estate, I guess, to remain open, 15 rather than being closed. I'm kind of a 16 latecomer to the case, but I realize that's 17 kind of the issue here. 18 THE COURT: That would be helpful. Tell me 19 what the issue is; that's true. 20 MR. PRATT: This issue is, as far I 21 understand it, whether or not the estate will 22 remain open. Although there was an order that 23 reopened the estate, my understanding 24 essentially is the personal representative 25 essentially wants at this hearing to re-close

1 the estate.

2

3

4

5

THE COURT: Based upon the most recent waivers of accountings, petition for discharge, and the pleadings filed October 23rd; is that correct?

6 MR. MANCERI: It's not exactly correct, 7 Your Honor. We have no petition for discharge filed at the moment. The order specifically 8 9 addresses what you're going to hear today, we 10 had this whole thrust in parity if you 11 remember at the end of the last hearing with 12 Mr. Bernstein who was without counsel at the 13 time, that's why the order pursuant to your 14 ruling is crafted the way it's crafted. It's 15 a limited issue. You told Mr. Bernstein we're 16 not going to be discussing the Shirley 17 Bernstein Trust and all the distributions. We 18 went through this whole anemic experience. 19 You went through that whole thing.

THE COURT: So the issue is, is the estate going to remain open, or be closed, but there's no petition for discharge to close it, I'm told.

24 MR. PRATT: Or essentially address the 25 validity of the waivers. If all of the

1 beneficiaries have signed off on it, and we 2 contend that they haven't, it would be a 3 foregone conclusion that the estate would then 4 be closed. 5 THE COURT: So who are the beneficiaries 6 that have to sign off? 7 Well, I mean that's one of the MR. PRATT: 8 issues, because --9 THE COURT: From your point of view, who 10 are the beneficiaries? 11 MR. PRATT: Our point of view is the 12 beneficiaries that need to sign off would be 13 one of the decedents, essentially. 14 THE COURT: Who? 15 MR. ATTY: One of the decedents, Simon 16 Bernstein, and then also potentially Eliot, 17 three of Simon and Shirley's children, Eliot, 18 Lisa and Jill, and if they contend there was 19 a --20 THE COURT: Just who do you say that -- who 21 are the people that need to sign off? Simon, 22 Eliot, Lisa, Jill. 23 MR. PRATT: Yea. If they contend there was 24 a valid power of appointment executed before 25 the estate was closed, then there would be a

1 total of six grandchildren that would have to 2 sign the waivers in some sort of capacity. Ιf 3 they were minors, then they would have to have 4 that parents sign waivers, that sort of 5 capacity, or an administrator ad litem. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 7 There is also some issue MR. PRATT: 8 whether or not the power of appointment 9 expanded to include for additional 10 grandchildren. Some of those grandchildren 11 are over the age of 18, and if they contend 12 that there was a power of appointment that was 13 validly executed, which I believe that's the 14 position they're taking, that would also 15 include waivers by four additional 16 grandchildren, Eric, Michael, Eliot, and 17 Molly, and there would have been no documents 18 or waivers signed by any grandchildren, that 19 have been filed in this case. 20 And as far as that's concerned, Eliot's 21 waiver was invalidly executed. The reasons 22 why it was essentially, as shown in the 23 Sustrassen case, there has to be an 24 intentional relinguishment of the right. The 25 evidence that we're going to present is going

1 to show that he signed the waiver, but in 2 conjunction with him signing the waiver he was 3 always under the impression he would still get 4 financial information associated with the 5 estate. They sent him the waiver without any 6 financial information. He sent it back. He 7 sent it along with an e-mail saying, I'm still 8 going to get all this financial information. 9 There's a series of e-mail's spanning 10 throughout the next year, e-mail's and letters 11 from Mr. Bernstein's prior counsel, in which 12 he requested the information. And then as far 13 as Eliot Bernstein's waiver is concerned, this 14 court should not consider that to be valid.

Also, many of the waivers have been forged. I think the Court might recall from the last hearing there was a series of waivers that had been forged, that occurred after the prior personal representative had, in fact, died, and so that's another impropriety that we are going to present evidence on.

THE COURT: Okay. Then so if I find that Eliot's waiver was invalidated, you want the estate to remain open.

25 MR. PRATT: Exactly.

1 THE COURT: And then do what? 2 MR. PRATT: We want to receive the 3 financial information that he's been requesting since the estate was opened. 4 He 5 didn't even find out he was a beneficiary 6 until the time he received the waiver, and 7 essentially we want to receive financial 8 information and want a proper estate 9 accounting, and if everything is as they say 10 it is, then the estate will be closed after 11 the production of various documents and a 12 final accounting if we need to have a final 13 accounting. 14 THE COURT: So if you prove that there were 15 prior waivers that had been forged, what does

that do?

16

17MR. PRATT: Well, as far as the forgery18goes, those are going to be invalid.

19THE COURT: I'm told now that those same20individuals, and Mr. Manceri correct me if I'm21wrong, have now filled out and sent in new22waivers.

MR. MANCERI: Not only that, Judge, they
have already been filed.

25 THE COURT: That's what I mean; otherwise,

1 I would not have them in the file. As far as those waivers 2 MR. PRATT: Yea. 3 go, that's fine except for two important 4 points. One is that Simon Bernstein's waiver, 5 which was one of them that was forged, he's 6 deceased, so obviously he could not have 7 signed that waiver, so without some waiver or 8 something from the personal representative of 9 his estate, it wouldn't be able to close, and 10 then in addition to that although there are 11 several children that have reaffirmed their 12 waivers, it would not apply to all of the 13 grandchildren who don't have any waivers on 14 file.

15 THE COURT: So let's put aside for a moment that Eliot's saying I don't want my waiver 16 17 recognized, I want financial information, I 18 may want an accounting, and see what goes from 19 there, you only represent him, Eliot; correct? 20 MR. PRATT: That's right. 21 THE COURT: Is Simon represented here, or 22 anyone, since Simon's deceased, anyone on his 23 behalf here for him?

24 MR. PRATT: There was an order that 25 appointed Ted as the personal representative

1 of his estate when it was reopened. 2 THE COURT: Is he, Ted Bernstein, PR of 3 Simon Bernstein, complaining about any aspect 4 of Shirley Bernstein's estate, including your position that his, Simon's wavier may have 5 6 been improper? 7 I'm unaware of any complaints MR. PRATT: 8 he made. We questioned whether or not he has 9 a conflict of interest, whether or not he is a 10 co-defendant, in which they then allege that 11 millions of dollars have been taken, and there 12 is an association with the estate, and we 13 think there is a conflict with his estate in 14 the sense that Ted may have absconded with 15 millions of dollars without Sy's knowledge, 16 and therefore they may have a conflict of

18THE COURT: I would deal with that in19something filed in Simon's estate. We're20limited only to Shirley's estate here.

interest in that respect.

MR. PRATT: Yes.

THE COURT: And the fact that the other individuals who supposedly had their waivers executed improperly, who now may have tried to cure them, they're not seeking any further

17

21

relief from Shirley's estate; is that true? MR. PRATT: I believe Eliot is the only one who is seeking to have documents produced and have a financial accounting issued.

5 THE COURT: So from a practical point of 6 view, which we always have to keep our eye on 7 the ball, is there any reason -- I know from 8 the last hearing that there was a lot about 9 the alleged impropriety of the prior waivers, 10 but now that you have summarized the issues as 11 you have, is there any reason why, today, I 12 would go beyond, now that I have opened 13 Shirley's estate and I am entering an order 14 dealing with Shirley's estate, I wouldn't 15 focus in on the issue whether Eliot has waived 16 as a beneficiary whatever rights purportedly 17 he had waived, and if I say no waiver, what to 18 do about it. Is that the only area that Eliot 19 could get some relief from?

20 MR. PRATT: That and whether or not this 21 court believes that the grandchildren would 22 have also had to sign waivers in order to have 23 the estate closed.

24THE COURT:The grand children of who?25MR. PRATT:The grandchildren of Shirley

1

2

3

4

| 1 | - | |
|----------|---|--|
| _ | | |

2

3

4

Bernstein's estate.

THE COURT: But her children, the parents of those grandchildren are the one's who signed the waivers?

5 MR. PRATT: Yea. But they signed 6 essentially, and there was no statement in 7 there that they were signing on behalf of 8 their children. And in addition, there's a 9 contention that some of the grandchildren are 10 beyond the age of 18, so it would not be any 11 sort of natural guardianship that would occur.

12THE COURT: So what's Eliot's standing to13deal with that?

14MR. PRATT: What's his standing to deal15with that? The alleged --

16THE COURT: The alleged issue that17grandchildren may or may not be participating18in this proceeding.

19MR. PRATT: As far as whether or not the20estate was properly closed or not.

21THE COURT: Even though he may have no22financial interest in where that issue may go?23MR. PRATT: He does have a financial24interest in it.

25 THE COURT: How is that an interest?

1 Because that would change the MR. PRATT: 2 way the estate was distributed. 3 THE COURT: Okay. How? 4 Shirley's will devises her MR. PRATT: 5 assets to three of her five children. Ιt 6 actually devises it to a trust, which provides 7 for her husband, which ultimately is supposed 8 to go to three of her five children. There 9 was a power of appointment in association with 10 one or more of those trusts that gave her 11 husband, Simon, the ability to potentially 12 change the beneficiaries, but it was a limited 13 power of appointment, and I think a question 14 exists of, one, whether that document was 15 validly executed or not, and two, did that 16 document go above and beyond what was required 17 or what was allowed, because there's a 18 definition section underneath Shirley's trust 19 that says she defines her children as Eliot, 20 Lisa, and Jill, and specifically says for 21 purposes of this trust Ted and Pam shall be 22 treated as predeceased, and all their children 23 should be treated as predeceased. So if there 24 is a determination or some sort of 25 distribution in which now they're telling

Eliot that he is a beneficiary, and now all the sudden he isn't a beneficiary of the estate --

THE COURT: You already made your point. We will deal with whether Eliot is a beneficiary, whether he's had a valid wavier, I've got that part down, but the question is are there any other issues I need to deal with today.

10MR. PRATT: That I think is the primary11issue, but also the issue of whether certain12people are considered beneficiaries of the13estate.

14THE COURT: For Eliot to raise that, he has15to be an interested person in the outcome of16that proceeding.

MR. PRATT: He is.

18THE COURT: How is he affected by whether19the grandchildren are deemed to be20beneficiaries or not?

21 MR. PRATT: Because if -- it's kind of a 22 two-stage analysis. If the grandchildren 23 aren't beneficiaries, then he is not a 24 beneficiary. Then the second phase of that is 25 whether or not if the power of appointment was

17

1 validly executed, then the question becomes are his children entitled to half of the 2 3 estate, or are they entitled to three-tenths 4 of the estate. 5 Okay. Tell me what the will THE COURT: 6 says. 7 MR. PRATT: The will devices everything to 8 a trust. 9 THE COURT: So they disagree with that. 10 The will should say what it says, there 11 shouldn't be too much dispute about that. Are 12 you comfortable you know what it says, because 13 as soon as you said that --14 MR. PRATT: I welcome to hear their 15 interpretation. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Manceri, discipline 17 yourself right now and only tell me that you 18 can participate if you can answer my 19 questions. I don't want advocacy now. Tell 20 me what the will says. 21 MR. MANCERI: The will says that all the 22 tangible personal property goes to Simon, who 23 survived his wife, Shirley, and the residue 24 goes into what's known as an existing trust, 25 trust which we will call the Shirley Bernstein

1 Trust for purposes of the hearing. 2 MR. PRATT: I agree with that. 3 THE COURT: So at the end of the day of 4 this estate litigation is there a contest that 5 that's the provisions of the will? You said 6 that you agree. 7 MR. PRATT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So whatever Shirley had 9 available to devise personalty to Simon, who's 10 deceased and we can maybe deal with that in 11 his estate, everything else to Shirley's 12 trust. 13 MR. PRATT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: So this order limited us to 15 Shirley's estate, not to her trust. We were 16 pretty clear. Maybe I'm just confused. 17 That's the financial. The MR. PRATT: 18 analysis goes a little bit deeper than that, 19 because --20 THE COURT: If Shirley's assets, other than 21 the personalty goes to her trust, none of the 22 children are the beneficiaries, or 23 grandchildren are the beneficiaries under 24 Shirley's will. Her trust is; correct? 25 MR. PRATT: That's right, but --

1 THE COURT: Once the trust receives 2 whatever assets there are, the provisions of 3 the trust will rise and fall on who gets what; 4 is that true, or not? 5 Pretty much. MR. PRATT: 6 So his waiver, going back, was THE COURT: 7 the waiver a waiver that said to Eliot waive 8 whatever interest you may have in Shirley's 9 estate? 10 No. It was just a waiver of a MR. PRATT: 11 financial accounting and service of a petition 12 for discharge, just the normal estate closing 13 That's all it was. waiver. 14 THE COURT: It sounds like though that on 15 the estate level of Shirley this is really a 16 simple matter. Is there something that I'm 17 missing, on the estate level? You know, I 18 mean one thing we learned here is we pick the 19 manner in which we do our battles. It sounds 20 like on the estate level Shirley's probate 21 estate is simple. Simon gets her personalty, 22 and her trust gets everything else. What 23 could be more simple than that? 24 MR. PRATT: I would agree that it is 25 simple.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So you may have some 2 litigation, some action pending in her trust case. Is there?

4 MR. PRATT: There is no trust case, right 5 now, and I just want to point out a couple of 6 things as far as the trust code is concerned, 7 and these are some of the statutes and court 8 rules that I've handed you. Florida probate 9 5.240 basically says, if you have a situation 10 where the personal representative of an estate 11 is the same, it says a pour over will type of 12 situation pours all the assets into the trust, 13 and that trustee is the same, the qualified 14 beneficiaries of the trust are considered 15 interested parties for the estate proceedings.

16 THE COURT: Let's assume I make everybody 17 interested, you're still telling me that the 18 command of the estate is --

> We just want to know. MR. PRATT:

20 THE COURT: -- to pour over everything to 21 the trust. Let me read between the lines 22 here. I don't know what the trust says. I'm 23 not asking about that. Is the issue whether 24 the PR of the estate -- and who's the PR, Ted? 25 MR. PRATT: Yes. Currently.

3

19

1THE COURT: Whether he is carrying out2Shirley's mandate by transferring all of3Shirley's assets to the trust; is that an4issue?

5 MR. PRATT: I think that's it. We want to 6 know how much was in her estate, and we want 7 simple information related to the estate 8 administration. The estate inventory said 9 there was \$25,000. Eliot Bernstein believes 10 they were worth millions of dollars, and there 11 could have been assets entitled to the trust, 12 but this is part of a bigger picture. We want 13 to see what happened in the estate, so that we 14 can make sure everything got properly 15 transferred over to the trust.

16THE COURT:Tell me what the provisions of17the trust say.Do you know?

MR. PRATT: I know what the provisions of the trust say. Essentially there is a trust that provides for the benefit of her husband while he is alive, and then upon her death the assets are supposed to be distributed to three of her five children.

24 THE COURT: Who?

MR. PRATT: Eliot, Lisa, and Jill. Now,

25

1 there was also a power of appointment that 2 was -- I quess the trust also contained 3 provisions that said for the purposes of the trust two of her five children, Ted and Pam, 4 5 should be treated as predeceased. And then 6 there is a power of appointment that's named 7 in Simon's will that says I want to appoint 8 all of this money to all of his grandchildren, 9 which is a little bit different from the 10 provisions that were in Shirley's document. 11 THE COURT: So I'm just lost in one area, 12 because you mentioned Simon's will, so how 13 does Simon's will get involved? 14 MR. PRATT: Simon's will gets involved 15 because Shirley gave him a power of 16 appointment, a limited power of appointment, 17 to change the beneficiaries. 18 In the trust. THE COURT: 19 MR. PRATT: Exactly. 20 THE COURT: So Simon during his lifetime 21 could or not execute the power of appointment. 22 MR. PRATT: Exactly. 23 THE COURT: Did he? 24 There is a power of appointment MR. PRATT: 25 that exists in his will.

1 THE COURT: But you can exercise a power of appointment in a will, so when he dies, as 2 3 part of his will he's saying here's how I want 4 to exercise the power of appointment that 5 Shirley gave me. 6 MR. PRATT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: That only manifests itself because he survived her. What does he do with 8 9 that power of appointment. 10 MR. PRATT: As far as we understand, he 11 attempts to name -- he says it goes to all my 12 grandchildren, so there's 10 of them. 13 So bypassing, Eliot, Lisa, and THE COURT: 14 Jill. 15 MR. PRATT: And Ted and Pam. 16 THE COURT: Ted and Pam already out. So 17 the five children are out, and the 10 18 grandchildren are in. 19 MR. PRATT: Exactly. There's a question 20 whether that power of appointment was used 21 more broadly than was given to him. 22 THE COURT: So in what case does that get 23 litiqated? 24 That would not be in this case. MR. PRATT: 25 That would have to be in a case involving his

1 estate, or likely his trust, or maybe a case 2 that was against his trust and then put into 3 his estate. We don't even know if that 4 happened. 5 THE COURT: So let's get back to Shirley's 6 estate. 7 MR. PRATT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: So it sounds like Eliot may be, 9 either him or his children if the power of 10 appointment was executed, a beneficiary of 11 Shirley's trust. I'm working backwards. So 12 he wants to get information to make sure that 13 what Shirley owned at the time, or had an 14 ownership interest in at the time of her death 15 was transferred properly through her will and 16 poured over into her trust; is that what he 17 wants? 18 MR. PRATT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Is that something that he is 20 legally entitled to? 21 MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, it's our 22 contention he is not legally entitled to it, 23 because he did a full waiver of every interest 24 in the estate. 25 THE COURT: But for the waiver, he wouldn't

1 be interested. Would he be allowed to that information? 2 3 MR. MANCERI: His children would 4 technically be interested. None of the 5 children are beneficiaries, as you correctly 6 stated, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: The trust is the beneficiary. 8 You mean of the trust. 9 MR. MANCERI: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: Because a power of appointment 11 was executed. 12 MR. MANCERI: Right. By Simon to all the 13 grandchildren. 14 THE COURT: But I'm trying to get passed 15 some of the things that have to be ultimately 16 litigated in some other forum. Are we down to 17 whether the waiver was validly executed or 18 not? 19 MR. MANCERI: I think that's the bulk of 20 it, certainly, Your Honor, yes, whether the 21 waiver was validly executed. 22 THE COURT: If the waiver was not validly 23 executed, what Mr. Pratt is saying is he wants 24 financial information that may include an 25 accounting so he can determine, he, Eliot,

1 that what Ted as PR transferred over from 2 Shirley's estate poured over into her trust is 3 what should have gone. 4 MR. MANCERI: An accounting of the probate 5 estate he's talking about. 6 THE COURT: The probate estate. 7 Right. I think he can MR. MANCERI: 8 theoretically arguably ask for that, Your 9 Honor, on behalf of his children. 10 THE COURT: I know you attacked the waiver, 11 and you say it was valid. He says it's not. 12 What's the harm by giving him the information, 13 the financial disclosure of what Shirley's 14 estate poured over into the trust. 15 Simply, Your Honor, that he MR. MANCERI: 16 signed the waiver and we think this is all 17 done and behind us. If he wants to proceed 18 about a trust accounting he could get that 19 same information in the trust arena by asking 20 on the receipt side. 21 THE COURT: Every time this happens in a 22 trust case everyone says there's some bar, but 23 you didn't ask for it or get it in the estate 24 That's the experience I get when that case. 25 happens.

1 MR. MANCERI: We would certainly like --2 THE COURT: So in Shirley's estate, was 3 there an inventory done? 4 MR. MANCERI: I presume there is. 5 MR. PRATT: There was. 6 MR. MANCERI: You can tell you, Your Honor, 7 I want to clarify, and you asked me not to be 8 an advocate, but there are a couple of facts I 9 want to clear up. The co-personal 10 representatives of Simon's estate are Mr. 11 Spallina and Mr. Tescher, not Ted Bernstein. 12 Judge French has Simon Bernstein's estate, in 13 case you weren't aware of that. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. MANCERI: To go back --16 THE COURT: I'm happy. That's good. Let 17 someone else do it. 18 MR. MANCERI: We brought that up at the 19 last hearing, but I don't know if you recall 20 that or not, Judge. We would like to address 21 the waiver. Yes, there was an accounting 22 I don't know if we have the inventory. done. 23 It certainly would be in the file if it was 24 I don't have a copy handy. done. 25 THE COURT: Even if he did a waiver, I can

1 still give him the inventory. I can open it. 2 I can get it to him. 3 MR. PRATT: We have the inventory. 4 MR. MANCERI: They have the inventory. 5 MR. PRATT: We received the inventory after 6 the estate was closed. 7 THE COURT: You got the inventory. Was 8 there an accounting in the estate of Shirley 9 Bernstein? 10 MR. MANCERI: If it was waived, Your Honor, 11 that's the point. 12 THE COURT: All right. So what are the 13 other financial documents other than the 14 inventory that Eliot wants, Mr Pratt? 15 MR. MANCERI: Judge, if I might, the 16 inventory listed \$25,000 of tangible personal 17 property, which all went to Mr. Bernstein as 18 surviving spouse. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And that's it. 20 MR. MANCERI: That's it. 21 THE COURT: So according to the inventory 22 there were no assets poured over from 23 Shirley's estate into the trust. 24 MR. MANCERI: It was all funded pre-death. 25 That's my understanding, Your Honor.

1 I mean, we don't have any MR. PRATT: 2 documents to support that verification. We 3 don't know how the attorneys have been paid, 4 and we don't have any verification they were 5 the only assets that were in there. Plus --6 THE COURT: The inventory is a verified 7 document. 8 MR. PRATT: Right. 9 THE COURT: So that's -- so you have an 10 inventory that says personalty only, and I 11 could look it up. It's sealed. I can get it, 12 but you have it already. If that's what it 13 says, then Ted as PR is saying that's what I 14 turned over as PR, and so Simon got the 15 personalty. 16 MR. MANCERI: Simon was the PR at that 17 time, Your Honor. 18 He took it, that's right, THE COURT: 19 because Ted got appointed when Simon died, and 20 then nothing then is passing through the will, 21 and Mr. Manceri just said to the extent to 22 which Shirley's trust may have assets, it was 23 funded pre-death, which happens, and there is 24 no document in the estate that's going to 25 verify that.

1 I mean if that's truly the MR. PRATT: 2 case, then why not just produce an accounting? 3 THE COURT: He's saying that's the case. 4 Other than the lawyers saying that, I don't He's saying that was done, but more 5 know. 6 importantly Mr. Eliot can maybe do whatever 7 he wants to do in the trust case to see what 8 went into the trust, and that would be what 9 Mr. Manceri is describing as the pre-death 10 funding. But for purposes of Shirley's estate 11 trying to put things in a step-by-step 12 process, if her will says what it said, the 13 inventory says no assets other than personalty 14 of Shirley that went to Simon -- I mean, I'm 15 here to determine should the estate be closed 16 and then go to Judge French, have a good time. 17 What else is there really to accomplish, even 18 though we may have had a problem with the 19 waivers, I understand that, but now they've 20 reaffirmed them, so to -- I mean to spank 21 somebody that may deserve to get spanked for 22 doing something wrong, how does that put 23 dollars, or benefits, in Eliot's pocket? 24 I quess part of it is the fact MR. PRATT: 25 that there hadn't been really any information

provided all along. He never really got any information until the estate was actually closed, so I mean that's part of his reasoning for wanting to reopen the estate and get the financial information. I think --

6 The answer is none. There is THE COURT: 7 no estate, they're representing. I can put 8 Ted under oath let you question him, but the 9 issue is, which I'll do, whether there are any 10 assets other than what's reflected on the 11 inventory, and he'll say, I assume, based on 12 what Mr. Manceri just told me, he'll say no. 13 And so you can't produce financial documents 14 on things that don't exist to the matter that 15 we're speaking about.

16 MR. PRATT: I agree, but there could be an 17 accounting that was produced.

18THE COURT: You're not going to produce an19accounting of nothing, and the purpose of an20accounting is to get some verification that21what was processed through the PR's hands is22accurate. He'll testify in a moment just what23Mr. Manceri probably told us, that the answer24is nothing.

25 MR. PRATT: Okay.

1 THE COURT: So how does that --2 MR. PRATT: I agree if what their 3 representations are true it's possible we 4 could receive some financial information. 5 Other than -- I mean, you got THE COURT: 6 the inventory. That shows basically that 7 there are no estate assets other than 8 personalty, which I assume is not an issue 9 So today I'm not going to have them here. 10 draw up an accounting that says nothing, 11 because that doesn't do any good. Do you 12 agree? Who's that going to benefit if he goes

13 through the process of actually filing an 14 accounting that says what the inventory says, 15 personalty and nothing else.

MR. PRATT: Can we take a short break?
THE COURT: Absolutely. Sure. Go ahead.
(Thereupon, a short recess was taken.)
THE COURT: What did he say?
MR. PRATT: Your Honor, my client questions

21 the accuracy of the inventory and would like 22 the ability to utilize the estate proceedings 23 to verify it's accuracy.

24THE COURT: Well, I appreciate that he25challenges that, but you haven't told me what

1

2

3

4

5

6

basis he challenges that on.

MR. PRATT: Well, by virtue of the fact he believes his mother and father were worth millions and millions of dollars, yet the inventory of the estate contains only for \$25,000.

7 But we heard Mr. Manceri tell THE COURT: 8 us that Shirley transferred, during her 9 lifetime, assets to her trust. You heard that 10 as well. Plus, I know nothing about what 11 Simon did with his estate, and Simon, he may 12 have owned assets jointly and passed outside 13 the estate. So the inventory is a narrow 14 window of just that which passes through. 15 There are people, and I'll say this to Eliot, 16 we deal with this all the time, people 17 sometimes are super rich and they don't even 18 have a probate estate, because everything is 19 titled jointly, or they fund the trust in 20 advance, and there is no probate. People 21 don't like to have probate. So that very well 22 is what happened, but I'm willing to at least 23 I want you to put on your client and start. 24 take some testimony, because we had accuracy 25 issues, Mr. Manceri, on the accuracy of the

inventory, and then to state on the record what he knows about, that he's done a search for other assets but personalty, and there's nothing else that Shirley had in her probate estate to be poured over into her trust. That is what you were told.

7 MR. MANCERI: Your Honor, I like to put on 8 two people.

9 THE COURT: You might want that, but I tell 10 you what I want. I want the PR to do that 11 first, and then we see.

MR. MANCERI: Judge, I wasn't prepared to address the inventory. I don't have a copy of the inventory at my disposal. If anybody has a copy, I'll be glad to use that. Just to be clear, Ted was not the PR at the time this inventory was filed.

18THE COURT: But he's in charge of the19estate once he takes it over.

20 MR. MANCERI: He just got appointed a 21 couple of weeks ago, but yes.

THE COURT: He'll address it, otherwise I have to give him more time to do his work and not close the estate. You want the estate closed; right?

| 1 | MR. MANCERI: We want to address what the |
|----|---|
| 2 | court order said. We don't have a petition of |
| 3 | discharge filed. |
| 4 | THE COURT: You want to file one to close |
| 5 | the estate. |
| 6 | MR. MANCERI: Eventually, yes. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Come on up Ted. |
| 8 | MR. MANCERI: Mr. Bernstein, please. |
| 9 | Judge, I'm still waiting for the inventory. |
| 10 | THE COURT: He'll give it to you. Do you |
| 11 | have it? I mean, I have it. Do you have it |
| 12 | Mr. Pratt? |
| 13 | MR. PRATT: I don't think so. |
| 14 | (A brief pause.) |
| 15 | THE COURT: Hold on. I'll get you a copy. |
| 16 | (A brief pause.) |
| 17 | THE COURT: I have a question, Mr. Manceri. |
| 18 | I want to make sure of one thing, the |
| 19 | inventory was signed by Simon on August 29, |
| 20 | 2011. When did he die? |
| 21 | MR. MANCERI: Simon died a little more than |
| 22 | a year ago. |
| 23 | THE COURT: He was alive then. |
| 24 | MR. MANCERI: Yes, sir. |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. |

ſ

| 1 | MR. MANCERI: I think September, 2012 he |
|----|--|
| 2 | died |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 4 | MR. MANCERI: if my memory serves me |
| 5 | correctly. And Mrs. Bernstein died the prior |
| 6 | December. 2010, forgive me. |
| 7 | THE COURT: There is a petition for |
| 8 | discharge on file. |
| 9 | MR. MANCERI: That's the original petition. |
| 10 | That was probably for Simon. Now we have to |
| 11 | do one for Ted, Your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 13 | Whereupon Mr. Ted Bernstein was sworn in by |
| 14 | The Court.) |
| 15 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 16 | BY MR. MANCERI: |
| 17 | Q. State your name for the record, please. |
| 18 | A. Ted Bernstein. |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Bernstein, are you one of the children of |
| 20 | Shirley and Simon Bernstein? |
| 21 | A. Yes. I am. |
| 22 | Q. Do you have any siblings? |
| 23 | A. Yes. I do. |
| 24 | Q. What are their names? |
| 25 | A. Pam, Simon, Eliot Bernstein, Jill and Lisa. |

| 1 | Q. Are you aware of the fact that your father, |
|----|---|
| 2 | Simon, was the named personal representative of your |
| 3 | mother, Shirley's estate? |
| 4 | A. I am, yes. |
| 5 | Q. Did you have hands-on working participation as |
| 6 | far as the administration of your mother's estate, with |
| 7 | your father? |
| 8 | A. Did not. |
| 9 | Q. I'm going to show you a document which the court |
| 10 | bailiff has given us, which is entitled, "Inventory." |
| 11 | Are you familiar with your father's signature? |
| 12 | A. I am. |
| 13 | Q. Take a look at this document on page two, and |
| 14 | tell me if that's your father's signature, to the best |
| 15 | of your knowledge. |
| 16 | A. Yes. It is. |
| 17 | Q. Are you familiar with a gentleman named Robert |
| 18 | Spallina? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. How do you know that name, and how is it familiar |
| 21 | to you? |
| 22 | A. He is the personal representative of my father's |
| 23 | estate. |
| 24 | Q. Do you know whether or not he was one of the |
| 25 | attorneys that handled the administration of your |

1 mother's estate?

- 2
- A. I believe he was, yes.

This inventory reflects, and these are assets 3 Ο. 4 that would be in your mother's individual name, not in 5 her trust, not in her joint name, not with a beneficiary 6 named on them, of an estimated value of \$25,000 7 described as furniture, furnishings, household goods and 8 personal effects, do you see that? 9 Α. I see that. 10 Do you have any personal knowledge as to the Ο. 11 accuracy of the information here as signed under 12 penalties of perjury by your father? 13 I think that my personal knowledge is that would Α. 14 be accurate. 15 Do you have any information of your personal Ο. 16 knowledge that you acquired or knew about from the time 17 prior to you becoming appointed personal representative 18 of your mother's estate, or since you became personal 19 representative of your mother's estate, that would 20 materially change this \$25,000 figure? 21 Α. I do not. 22 MR. MANCERI: I don't have any further 23 questions, Your Honor. 24 Mr. Pratt. THE COURT: 25

| 1 | | CROSS EXAMINATION |
|----------|---------------|---|
| 2 | BY MR. | PRATT: |
| 3 | Q. | You didn't prepare this inventory; did you? |
| 4 | Α. | I did not. |
| 5 | Q. | You weren't involved in the preparation, at all? |
| 6 | Α. | I was not. |
| 7 | Q. | Do you have you were only appointed as |
| 8 | strike | e that. Have you even been appointed as personal |
| 9 | repres | sentative of your mother's estate yet? |
| 10 | Α. | Of my mother's estate? |
| 11 | Q. | Yes. |
| 12 | Α. | I believe that I have. |
| 13 | Q. | Do you know if the letters of administration have |
| 14 | actual | ly been issued? |
| 15 | Α. | I do not know that. |
| 16 | Q. | You haven't seen any letters of administration? |
| 17 | Α. | I'm not sure right now. |
| 18 | Q. | Since your appointment, or there was an order |
| 19 | that w | vas entered, what efforts have you made to |
| 20 | determ | ine the value of your mother's estate? |
| 21 | Α. | Of my mother's estate? |
| 22 | Q. | Exactly. |
| 23 | Α. | I have not done that. |
| 24 | Q. | On what basis, if you haven't done any |
| 25 | invest | igation yourself, why would you say that this |
| E | Less1 Commont | Inc. (054) 241 1010 |

| 1 | \$25,000 estimate of the value of the estate is accurate? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Well, upon my father's death I had to have the |
| 3 | assets of the estate inventory that was done, so that |
| 4 | was the combination of my mother's estate and my |
| 5 | father's, at the time of his death. So based on that |
| 6 | valuation at that time, that number was within reason of |
| 7 | this number, so I think that we're talking within |
| 8 | generalities the numbers are approximates and were |
| 9 | pretty close to the numbers that were stated here. |
| 10 | Q. You're not serving as personal representative of |
| 11 | your father's estate; are you? |
| 12 | A. I am not. |
| 13 | Q. You're just talking about the \$25,000 value of |
| 14 | the household furnishings, and not whether or not |
| 15 | there's any other assets out there; is that right? |
| 16 | A. That's correct. |
| 17 | THE COURT: He was appointed September 24th |
| 18 | by the way. |
| 19 | MR. PRATT: Okay. |
| 20 | THE COURT: 2013. |
| 21 | MR. PRATT: I have no further questions. |
| 22 | THE COURT: You can step down. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Thanks. |
| 24 | THE COURT: You said you want to call |
| 25 | another witness? |

| 1 | MR. MANCERI: I like to call Mr. Spallina, |
|----|---|
| 2 | Your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Come up. |
| 4 | (Whereupon Mr. Spallina was sworn in by the |
| 5 | Court.) |
| 6 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 7 | BY MR. MANCERI: |
| 8 | Q. Mr. Spallina, good afternoon. As you heard, |
| 9 | we've been asking questions about this inventory; are |
| 10 | you familiar with this document? |
| 11 | A. Yes. I am. |
| 12 | Q. Tell the Court how you're familiar with it. |
| 13 | A. This was the inventory that we filed in the |
| 14 | Shirley Bernstein estate, Your Honor. |
| 15 | Q. Were you one of the attorneys that represented |
| 16 | Simon as personal representative of the Estate of |
| 17 | Shirley Bernstein? |
| 18 | A. Yes. I was. |
| 19 | Q. And did you work with Simon Bernstein on creating |
| 20 | this inventory to be filed in the probate court? |
| 21 | A. Yes. I did. |
| 22 | Q. All right. Did you meet and speak with Simon in |
| 23 | furtherance of the preparation of this document? |
| 24 | A. Yes. We did. |
| 25 | Q. And that inventory reflects an estimated value of |

1 \$25,000 comprised of furniture, furnishings, household 2 goods, and personal effects, do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Based on your dealings with Simon Bernstein, do Ο. 5 you have any information or knowledge which would in any 6 way contradict the value that's reflected on that 7 inventory? 8 Α. I do not. No. 9 Have you come to learn in the furtherance of the Q. administration of the estate of Simon Bernstein, because 10 11 you were one of the co-PR's of that estate; correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Is there any information that you learned in 0. 14 furtherance of being the personal representative of 15 Simon's estate that the \$25,000 figure on this inventory 16 is inaccurate? 17 Α. No. I have not. This was a reasonable estimate 18 of the estate. 19 Now, did you or your firm handle the preparation 0. 20 of the estate planning documents for Shirley Bernstein? 21 Α. We did. Yes. 22 And one of the documents we heard that Shirley 0. 23 Bernstein had was what I'm going to call the Shirley 24 Bernstein trust. Do you know what I'm referring to? 25 Α. Yes.

| 1 | Q. Did you work with Shirley Bernstein in |
|----|---|
| 2 | furtherance of funding that trust while she was alive? |
| 3 | A. Yes. We did. |
| 4 | Q. To your knowledge, other than the assets that are |
| 5 | on this inventory, and excluding things that you know |
| 6 | would not be included, such as joint property and those |
| 7 | assets, were all of her material assets, to your |
| 8 | knowledge, funded into her trust before she died? |
| 9 | A. As far as we know, yes. |
| 10 | MR. MANCERI: I don't have any further |
| 11 | questions, Your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Mr. Pratt. |
| 13 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. PRATT: |
| 15 | Q. You said that you worked on preparing the |
| 16 | inventory in Shirley Bernstein's estate? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Can you tell me was that something that you |
| 19 | handled personally, or something that you delegated to |
| 20 | an assistant? |
| 21 | A. I called Simon, personally. |
| 22 | Q. But was there any efforts you made to contact |
| 23 | like say banks or third-parties to determine if there |
| 24 | was any other assets? |
| 25 | A. When we had a discussion about what to put on the |

| 1 | inventory, yes, and he said this was all there was to |
|----|---|
| 2 | put on the inventory. |
| 3 | Q. This inventory is based off of information that |
| 4 | you were given by Simon? |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. You basically took his word for it and didn't do |
| 7 | any investigation of your own; is that right? |
| 8 | A. That's correct. |
| 9 | MR. PRATT: I have no further questions. |
| 10 | THE COURT: So there's an inventory and you |
| 11 | are the PR of Simon, one of them. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 13 | THE COURT: What's the status of his |
| 14 | estate? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: His estate is pending. |
| 16 | There's litigation ongoing in the estate. We |
| 17 | have waited on distributing anything from the |
| 18 | estate due to the pending litigation, Your |
| 19 | Honor. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Did you file an |
| 21 | inventory in Simon's estate? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Has the inventory been |
| 24 | published? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I believe it has, Your Honor. |

1 THE COURT: Do you have an inventory from 2 Simon's estate? 3 MR. PRATT: No. We don't. 4 THE COURT: Do you object to them having 5 it? 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let you 8 have it, Elliott. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You can 10 have a seat. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: So technically the hearing 13 today was to deal with the improprieties of 14 the pleadings, meaning the prior waivers. Ι 15 heard a lit about this last time. I know you 16 brought in witness. Mr. Roth is here 17 obviously because of Ms. Moran's potential 18 difficulty, but I'm trying to think of putting 19 substance ahead of form to determine how it 20 benefits the estate to go into that issue any 21 further. So do you have a position on that 22 Mr. Pratt, now that Eliot has had a chance to 23 have the benefit of counsel and you've seen 24 the newest round of waivers. There is no 25 petition filed yet by Ted Bernstein. If he

1 files one and the estate for some reason is 2 not ready to be closed, or shouldn't be 3 closed, we can deal with that at that time. 4 You know, sometimes things happen and the 5 other side not having made a final decision on 6 this, what I heard was not good about what I 7 heard took place with respect to the 8 processing of these documents, the waivers 9 that the children signed and, essentially the 10 one that was signed purportedly by Simon, but 11 does it really matter, and I think I'd want it 12 to matter before more time and money and 13 energy is put into that, because if it's not 14 going to put money into anybody's pocket, what 15 good does it do just to tell Ms. Moran that 16 she did a bad thing. If that's the case, I 17 don't know that it is. I'm not finding that.

18 I'm not too concerned about the MR. PRATT: 19 validity of the waivers now that they've 20 signed new waivers and they filed them. What 21 We're trying to accomplish here is not to 22 throw anybody under the bus, or burn anybody. 23 We want a clear picture of what happened in 24 this entire estate plan, and the parent's 25 died --

1 THE COURT: I've got that, but Elliott is 2 entitled to that which anyone else in his 3 position is entitled to, no more no less, so 4 I'm not restricting, nor am I expanding on 5 this concept. There's laws that govern that 6 what he is entitled to. They're saying that, 7 you know, he's -- I mean, I don't know that 8 there's a formal request in Shirley's estate 9 for any other documentation other than that 10 which you now have, because there is nothing 11 else that is filed, and if what Ted says and 12 what Mr. Spallina says is true, if we 13 requested a final accounting we would get the 14 same things, and that's not going to get you 15 That's what they said. anywhere.

MR. PRATT: My concern is that we're not going to be in a giant rush to close the estate, not that we want to turn this --

19THE COURT: There's no petition to close it20right now. Let's be patient and see what21happens and there may be reasons not to, or to22close it, depending what goes on elsewhere.23But given that, I don't even know other than24what we now see in the inventories, because25those are the two official documents, I don't

know anything else about what monies may have passed through anyone's hands. But this isn't a case of first impression. The law deals with what potential beneficiaries are entitled to and what they're not, and what trustees can provide, and what not.

Let's be patient and do it in the correct forum. It seems like a lot, and what you want to accomplish is going to take place before Judge French, or if you can take it back to Judge Garrison.

MR. PRATT: Yea, I think you're right. We want to make sure that this piece of the puzzle is accurate, and if it is, you might not ever see us again.

16 THE COURT: So they say she funded the trust before her lifetime. Maybe she had some 17 18 assets that were jointly titled. Those are 19 not probate assets, they go just like people 20 want. She may have cash under the pillow and 21 it goes. So I'm going to take no further 22 action right now on the issue of alleged 23 impropriety or defects in the form of a 24 pleading or other documents submitted to the 25 court in furtherance of the closing of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

estate of Shirley Bernstein. I'm going to reserve on attorney's fees and any other possible sanction, if and when that matter becoming ripe for me to determine, but not today.

MR. MANCERI: Your Honor.

THE COURT: It may not Happen, at all.

MR. MANCERI: I want to address one thing that you mentioned, so everyone knows. We intend on filing a petition to close this estate very quickly.

12 THE COURT: But I can't preempt that and 13 deal with that. We have to be patient and 14 follow the rule. When you file it, you're 15 going to make sure Elliott gets served. You 16 serve him, and he can do whatever he wants 17 with it. This is all rule driven.

18 MR. MANCERI: No question about it, Your 19 Honor. We have the original waivers. As you 20 know, we don't file the one's in ink anymore. 21 We have them if you want to take them in open 22 court.

23THE COURT: No. E-files are good. You're24allowed to e-file.

MR. MANCERI: We'll rest with that. We

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

1 have a record. 2 THE COURT: I don't get files, you can 3 tell. 4 MR. MANCERI: We have them. 5 MR. ROTH: Can I just address the Court, 6 Judge, just to save some time in the future? 7 THE COURT: Sure. 8 MR. ROTH: I represent Kimberly Moran. She 9 has been charged by the state attorney's 10 office in a direct information with 11 improprieties regarding the notarization. 12 She's fully cooperated with the sheriff's 13 office. Just so you know so there's no 14 mystery here, she caused to be filed the 15 original waivers, which did not have a 16 notarization. This is pursuant to her 17 statement to the sheriff's office, it was her 18 understanding they did not need to be 19 notarized. Apparently Your Honor requires 20 them to be notarized. In order not to "get in 21 trouble" with Mr. Spallina and Mr. Tescher for 22 improperly filing unsworn and un-notarized 23 waivers, she stated to the sheriff's office 24 that she did that. She recognized what she 25 did was wrong. We surrendered her to the Palm

Beach Sheriff's office jail on Friday. If she was called as a witness in this proceeding, she would respectfully evoke her 5th Amendment privilege.

THE COURT: That's one reason I had her to stay outside and tried not to bring her in, because we don't cross over things between criminal and probate, and we were going to leave it to another time and place.

10 MR. ROTH: She's a secretary that made a 11 poor choice of judgment apparently, based upon 12 what I've been told by the state attorney's 13 office and the detective that investigated the 14 case. I wanted you to be aware of that. Ιt 15 would be a waste of time for her to subpoenaed 16 again, because she would evoke her privilege.

17THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pratt, I don't think18there's any doubt she's not going to testify19that she's here pursuant to a request to do20that. She has a 5th Amendment right and we're21going to stick to that. I don't know that22would benefit you anyway.

23 MR. PRATT: If she were to plead the 5th, 24 we could draw whatever conclusions we want to 25 from that. The ramifications of that, not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 that I plan to subpoena her in the future, or 2 that I intend to question her about that, but 3 if she pleads the 5th in a civil case, then we 4 can draw whenever conclusions we want to 5 from --6 THE COURT: You can draw adverse inferences 7 from her, but she's nobody. It's not going to 8 get you anywhere. Yes, I'll draw an adverse 9 inference, but then it gets you zero. 10 There's other ways to get it MR. PRATT: 11 in. 12 I don't think there's any doubt THE COURT: 13 about what happened. I'm aware of it. I just 14 don't like to make more trouble for people 15 that --16 MR. PRATT: I don't intend to. 17 THE COURT: It's not warranted. I didn't 18 know she was charged. There's obviously 19 consequences that she's now charged with a 20 crime. So dealing with all that sounds to be 21 enough, so let's leave that barking dog alone 22 for right now and see where it gets everybody. 23 It reaffirms my internal position that I made 24 along with my case manager to require waivers 25 to be notarized. This will be the case

| 1 | example, that bench bar, as to why it will |
|----|---|
| 2 | stay that way. We did it for a reason, not |
| 3 | with this case in mind, but it's important |
| 4 | now. We changed that rule soon after I got on |
| 5 | the bench here in probate, and this isn't the |
| 6 | only case where we had a problem with waivers |
| 7 | and challenges later on, so it's a good idea |
| 8 | to keep on doing that. No we just need to |
| 9 | make sure we notarize them correctly, but I |
| 10 | don't think that would be a problem. |
| 11 | Prepare the order that I indicated and |
| 12 | we'll be done for today. Thank you everyone. |
| 13 | (Whereupon the Hearing was concluded at |
| 14 | 5:13 p.m.) |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |
| 7 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | I, the undersigned authority, certify that the |
| 11 | above Hearing was taken by me stenographically, and is a |
| 12 | true and accurate transcription of my stenographic |
| 13 | notes. Witness my hand and official seal this 6th day |
| 14 | of December, 2013. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| | Michael Todd Berkowitz |
| 20 | Notary Public - State of Florida |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE No.: 502011CP000653XXXXSB

ORIGINAL

IN RE: ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties associates and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally & professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); and JOHN and JANE DOE'S (1-5000)

Respondents.

Transcript of Proceedings Before the Honorable Martin H. Colin, Circuit Court Judge

DATE TAKEN: January 2, 2014

TIME: Commenced at 10:03 a.m. Concluded at 10:08 a.m.

LOCATION: South County Courthouse 200 West Atlantic Avenue Courtroom 8 Delray Beach, Florida 33444

REPORTED BY: Erica Field, Stenograph Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, State of Florida

> EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

| | | | Page |
|---|----|--|------|
| _ | 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| | 2 | Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Pro Se | |
| | 3 | 2753 Northwest 34th Street Boca Raton, Florida 33434 | |
| | 4 | On behalf of the Petitioner, | |
| | 5 | | |
| | 6 | Mark R. Manceri, Esquire | |
| | 7 | Mark R. Manceri, P.A. 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 | |
| | 8 | Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308 | |
| | 9 | On behalf of the Respondents. | |
| | 10 | | |
| | 11 | | |
| | 12 | | |
| | 13 | | |
| | 14 | | |
| | 15 | | |
| | 16 | | |
| | 17 | | |
| | 18 | | |
| | 19 | | |
| | 20 | | |
| | 21 | | |
| | 22 | | |
| | 23 | | |
| | 24 | | |
| | 25 | | |
| L | | FMDIDE LECAL SUPPORT INC | |

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

| | | | Page 3 | 3 |
|------|--|---|--------|---|
| 1 | INDEX | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN | 4 | | |
| 4 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | 9 | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| √ 25 | | | | |
| - | | | | _ |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

PROCEEDINGS

MR. MANCERI: Good morning, your Honor. We're here on the estate of Shirley Bernstein. We were here on a status conference that your JA called and instructed me to set. I think it's as a result of a letter I sent to Court in respect for a motion to transfer that we had filed in front of Judge French. I sent you a letter.

THE COURT: I have the motion to transfer. 9 MR. MANCERI: Your assistant wasn't really 10 sure what you wanted to accomplish today when she 11 12 instructed me to set this hearing, but as a matter of fact, your Honor, there are two matters relating 13 to two estates. One is the Estate of Shirley 14Bernstein, which is in front of you, and the Estate 15 of Simon Bernstein, which is in front of Judge 16 French. Eliot Bernstein, who was one of the 17 children of Simon and Shirley Bernstein has filed 18 19 numerous pleadings in both of the estates, all of them are virtually duplicates of one another, and 20 21 under the administrative order 2.302, we have filed a motion to transfer and consolidate the two 22 estates of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, who the are 23 parents of Eliot Bernstein and my client, Ted 2425 Bernstein, as well as the grandchildren, who are

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

Page 4

ultimate beneficiaries of both of these estate or 1 2 the mother load of both of the estates, to transfer 3 both of them to you because you have the lower case So we would ask that you consider -- and 4 number. 5 here is a notice of filing that I did, Judge, which shows -- these are schedules which show all of the 6 7 various pleadings up through September 25th that 8 are comparative and duplicate between the two 9 estates. As you may remember, your Honor, we're 10 going to ask --

11

12

13

14

THE COURT: You're Eliot?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What do you say about their motion?

Well your Honor, the first 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 thing is I think he just said he is representing my brother, Ted, when in fact, I believe Ted filed 17 18 with you an appearance with Spallina, his client 19 that was his counsel, and Mr. Manceri is 20 representing, I believe, Spallina & Tescher in his 21 notices of appearance with your Court. So I'm not 22 exactly sure -- and one more thing, your Honor, just real quick to set the record straight, the 23 24 Court fixed the docket -- your docket, and there is 25 a list of respondents. I'd like to just make sure

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

Page 6 1 -- take like an attendance of who is here -- who's 2 represented. 3 THE COURT: I don't want you to do that, I 4 just want to know what your position is on their 5 motion. 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well what is their motion is 7 the first thing? 8 THE COURT: Basically, there is two estate 9 cases of your parents, one is before me and one is 10 before Judge French. I have the lower case number. They said there is some similar matters that are 11 12 going on. They want one judge to handle it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: That motion that you're 14 talking about was filed in Judge French's Court so 15 you're ruling out Judge French's motion? 16 THE COURT: Sure, yes. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah? 18 THE COURT: He's not here. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, because that hearing 20 was canceled. So my belief is that we shouldn't 21 merge the cases at this point. We've got, you 22 know, evidence of forgery and fraud in the Court. 23 THE COURT: I don't want the merits of it. I want to know why, procedurally, we shouldn't have 24 25 one judge hear the whole thing. It can be me or

> EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

Page 7

Judge French, but --

1

2

3

4

MR. BERNSTEIN: Well I filed a motion --THE COURT: -- the lower case number is with me.

5 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a motion this morning that it shouldn't be either of you two, that 6 7 perhaps you guys need to be disgualified because 8 you're centrally involved. The frauds occurred in 9 your Court and on your Court. Your signature is on 10 a bunch of the documents that were used 11 fraudulently to close the estate of my mother, 12 which caused its opening, and it might have to go 13 to two fresh judges, not that I'm saying that 14 anybody's involved, but we have to depose you about 15 signatures, about things in the Court and perhaps 16 officers of the Court. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: And further, your Honor --18 19 THE COURT: Stop. MR. BERNSTEIN: -- these officers --20 21 THE COURT: Stop. I'm cutting you off. MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 22 23 THE COURT: Motion to transfer granted. The cases are not consolidated. They can be two 24 25 separate cases, they're just both before me.

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

| | | | Page | 8 |
|---|----|---|------|---|
| (| 1 | MR. MANCERI: That's correct, Judge. Under | | |
| | 2 | the administrative order, that's correct. | | |
| | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. What else for today? | | |
| | 4 | MR. MANCERI: That's it, Judge. | | |
| | 5 | THE COURT: Okay. | | |
| | 6 | (The proceedings concluded at 10:08 a.m.) | | |
| | 7 | | | |
| | 8 | | | |
| | 9 | | | |
| | 10 | | | |
| | 11 | | | |
| | 12 | | | |
| | 13 | | | |
| | 14 | | | |
| | 15 | | | |
| | 16 | | | |
| - | 17 | | | |
| | 18 | | | |
| | 19 | | | |
| | 20 | | | |
| | 21 | | | |
| | 22 | | | |
| | 23 | | | |
| | 24 | | | |
| | 25 | | | |
| 1 | | | | |

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

| | Page 9 |
|---------|---|
| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF PALM BEACH |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, Erica Field, Stenograph Shorthand Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically |
| 6 7 | report the foregoing proceedings and that the foregoing Pages 4 through 8, inclusive, are a true and complete record of my stenograph notes. |
| 8 | I further certify that I am not a relative or |
| 9 | employee of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or counsel connected with the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially |
| 10 | interested in the outcome of the action. |
| 11 | DATED this 7th day of January, 2014. |
| 12 | |
| J 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | que all egg |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Erica Field |
| 19 | Stenograph Shorthand Reporter |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

eac54f5a-9f93-47c5-b431-57c37401fc2c

| Α | certify 9:5,8 | East 2:7 | H 1:16 3:3 | motion 4:7,9,22 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| accomplish 4:11 | children 4:18 | either 7:6 | handle 6:12 | 5:14 6:5,6,13,15 |
| action 9:9,10 | Circuit 1:1,16 | Eliot 1:6 2:2 4:17 | hear 6:25 | 7:2,5,23 |
| administrative | client 4:24 5:18 | 4:24 5:11 | hearing 4:12 6:19 | |
| 4:21 8:2 | close 7:11 | employee 9:8 | Honor 4:2,13 5:9 | <u> </u> |
| alleged 1:10 | Colin 1:16 3:3 | Erica 1:23 9:5,18 | 5:15,22 7:18 | N 2:1 3:1 |
| anybody's 7:14 | Commenced 1:19 | Esquire 2:6 | Honorable 1:16 3:3 | need 7:7 |
| appearance 5:18,21 | Commercial 2:7 | estate 1:4 4:3,14,15 | | Northwest 2:2 |
| assistant 4:10 | comparative 5:8 | 5:1 6:8 7:11 | I | Notary 1:24 |
| associates 1:9 | complete 9:6 | estates 4:14,19,23 | inclusive 9:6 | notes 9:7 |
| Atlantic 1:21 | concluded 1:19 8:6 | 5:2,9 | instructed 4:5,12 | notice 5:5 |
| attendance 6:1 | conference 4:4 | evidence 6:22 | interested 9:10 | notices 5:21 |
| attorneys 9:9 | connected 9:9,9 | exactly 5:22 | involved 7:8,14 | number 5:4 6:10 |
| authorized 9:5 | consider 5:4 | | Ivan 1:6 2:2 | 7:3 |
| Avenue 1:21 | consolidate 4:22 | F | | numerous 4:19 |
| a.m 1:19,19 8:6 | consolidated 7:24 | fact 4:13 5:17 | J | |
| a.m 1:19,19 8:0 | correct 8:1,2 | Field 1:23 9:5,18 | JA 4:4 | 0 |
| B | counsel 1:9 5:19 | filed 4:7,18,21 5:17 | JANE 1:12 | occurred 7:8 |
| Basically 6:8 | 9:9,9 | 6:14 7:2,5 | January 1:18 9:11 | officers 7:16,20 |
| Beach 1:1,22 9:3 | County 1:1,20 9:3 | filing 5:5 | JOHN 1:11 | Okay 6:19 7:17 8: |
| behalf 2:4,9 | Court 1:1,16 4:6,9 | financially 9:9 | judge 1:16 4:8,16 | 8:5 |
| belief 6:20 | 5:11,13,21,24 6:3 | first 5:15 6:7 | 5:5 6:10,12,14,15 | opening 7:12 |
| believe 5:17,20 | 6:8,14,16,18,22 | fixed 5:24 | 6:25 7:1 8:1,4 | order 4:21 8:2 |
| beneficiaries 5:1 | 6:23 7:3,9,9,15,16 | Florida 1:1,22,24 | judges 7:13 | outcome 9:10 |
| Bernstein 1:6,10 | 7:17,19,21,23 8:3 | 2:3,8 9:3 | | |
| 2:2 4:3,15,16,17 | 8:5 | foregoing 9:6,6 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 4:18,23,24,25 | Courthouse 1:20 | forgery 6:22 | know 6:4,22,24 | P 2:1,1 |
| 5:12,15 6:6,13,17 | Courtroom 1:21 | Fort 2:8 | L | Pages 9:6 |
| 6:19 7:2,5,18,20 | cutting 7:21 | fraud 6:22 | | PALM 1:1 9:3 |
| 7:22 | cutting 7.21 | frauds 7:8 | L 1:9 | parents 4:24 6:9 |
| BERSTEIN 1:4 | D | fraudulently 7:11 | Lauderdale 2:8 | parties 1:9 9:8,9 |
| Boca 2:3 | D 3:1 | French 4:8,17 6:10 | letter 4:6,8 | personal 1:11 |
| Boulevard 2:7 | DATE 1:18 | 7:1 | list 5:25 | personally 1:10,1 |
| brother 5:17 | DATED 9:11 | French's 6:14,15 | load 5:2 | Petitioner 1:7 2:4 |
| bunch 7:10 | day 9:11 | fresh 7:13 | LOCATION 1:20 | pleadings 4:19 5:7 |
| bunch 7:10 | Deceased 1:5 | front 4:7,15,16 | lower 5:3 6:10 7:3 | point 6:21 |
| С | Delray 1:22 | further 7:18 9:8 | M | position 6:4 |
| <u>C 2:1</u> | depose 7:14 | | ······ | Pro 1:6 2:2 |
| called 4:5 | disqualified 7:7 | G | Manceri 2:6,6 4:2 | procedurally 6:24 |
| canceled 6:20 | docket 5:24,24 | go 7:12 | 4:10 5:19 8:1,4 | proceedings 1:15 |
| case 1:2 5:3 6:10 | documents 7:10 | going 5:10 6:12 | Mark 2:6,6 | 3:3 4:1 8:6 9:6 |
| 7:3 | DOE'S 1:12 | Good 4:2 | Martin 1:16 3:3 | professionally 1:1 |
| | duplicate 5:8 | grandchildren 4:25 | matter 4:12 | 1:11 |
| cases 6:9,21 7:24,25 | - | granted 7:23 | matters 4:13 6:11 | Public 1:24 |
| caused 7:12 | duplicates 4:20 | guys 7:7 | merge 6:21 | P.A 1:9 2:6 |
| centrally 7:8 | E | 5 ~ <i>j</i> 5 / / / | merits 6:23 | |
| CERTIFICATE | E 2:1,1 3:1 | H | morning 4:2 7:5 | Q |
| 3:4 9:1 | 1.1. I.I. | | mother 5:2 7:11 | quick 5:23 |

EMPIRE LEGAL SUPPORT, INC. (954) 241-1010

| F | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | WI | |
| R | State 1:24 9:3 | West 1:21 | |
| R 2:1,6,6 | status 4:4 | we're 4:3 5:9 | |
| Raton 2:3 | stenograph 1:23 | We've 6:21 | |
| real 5:23 | 9:5,7,18 | | |
| really 4:10 | stenographically | <u> </u> | |
| record 5:23 9:7 | 9:5 | X 3:1 | |
| relating 4:13 | Stop 7:19,21 | | |
| relative 9:8,8 | straight 5:23 | <u> </u> | |
| remember 5:9 | Street 2:2 | Yeah 6:17 | |
| report 9:6 | STUART 1:10 | | |
| REPORTED 1:23 | successor 1:11 | | |
| | Suite 2:7 | 1-5000 1:12 | |
| Reporter 1:23 3:4 | sure 4:11 5:22,25 | 10:03 1:19 | |
| 9:1,5,18 | 6:16 | 10:08 1:19 8:6 | |
| representative 1:11 | 0.10 | | í l |
| represented 6:2 | T | 2 | |
| representing 5:16 | take 6:1 | 2 1:18 | |
| 5:20 | TAKEN 1:18 | 2.302 4:21 | |
| respect 4:6 | talking 6:14 | 200 1:21 | |
| respondents 1:13 | Ted 4:24 5:17,17 | 2014 1:18 9:11 | |
| 2:9 5:25 | Tescher 1:9 5:20 | 25th 5:7 | |
| result 4:6 | THEODORE 1:10 | 2753 2:2 | A |
| ROBERT 1:9 | thing 5:16,22 6:7 | 2929 2:7 | |
| ruling 6:15 | 6:25 | | |
| N | things 7:15 | 3 | |
| S | think 4:5 5:16 | 33308 2:8 | |
| S 2:1 | TIME 1:19 | 334342:3 | |
| saying 7:13 | | 33444 1:22 | |
| schedules 5:6 | today 4:11 8:3 | 34th 2:2 | |
| Se 1:6 2:2 | Transcript 1:15 | 4 | |
| sent 4:6,8 | transfer 4:7,9,22 | | |
| separate 7:25 | 5:2 7:23 | 4 3:3 9:6 | |
| September 5:7 | true 9:6 | 5 | |
| set 4:5,12 5:23 | trustee 1:11,11 | 502011CP000653 | |
| Shirley 1:4 4:3,14 | two 4:13,14,22 5:8 | 1:2 | |
| 4:18,23 | 6:8 7:6,13,24 | 1.2 | |
| Shorthand 1:23 9:5 | U | 7 | |
| 9:18 | ultimate 5:1 | 7th 9:11 | |
| show 5:6 | unimate 3,1 | 702 2:7 | |
| shows 5:6 | V | | |
| signature 7:9 | various 5:7 | 8 | |
| signatures 7:15 | virtually 4:20 | 81:219:6 | |
| similar 6:11 | vs 1:8 |) | |
| Simon 4:16,18,23 | | 9 | |
| sir 5:12 7:22 | W | 93:4 | |
| South 1:20 | want 6:3,4,12,23,24 | | |
| Spallina 1:9,9 5:18 | wanted 4:11 | | |
| 5:20 | wasn't 4:10 | | |
| | | | |

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME I

- - -

DATE: FEBRUARY 18, 2014

TIME: 1:32 P.M. - 2:38 P.M.

1

| | | 2 | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: | 1 | THE COURT: All right. So good afternoon, | |
| 2 | Eliot Bernstein, Pro se | | folks. I'm Judge Colin. This is case number | |
| 3 | 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, Florida 33434 | 3 | 2012CP004391, Estate of Simon Bernstein. | |
| 4 | | 4 | Counsel, make your appearances, please. | |
| 5 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A.: | 1 | • | |
| 6 | | 5 | MR. BLOCK: Irwin Block and Donald Tescher | |
| 7 | Donald R. Tescher, Esq. TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A. | 6 | for Donald Tescher. | |
| | 4855 Technology Way, Suite 720 | 7 | MR. GLASKO: William Glasko on behalf of | |
| 8 | Boca Raton, Florida 33431 | 8 | Jill lantoni and Lisa Freidman. | |
| | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF ROBERT L. SPALLINA: | 9 | THE COURT: Spell that last name. | |
| 10 | Robert L. Spallina, Esq. | 10 | MR. GLASKO: G-I-a-s-k-o. | |
| 11 | TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A. | 11 | THE COURT: No, not | |
| 12 | 4855 Technology Way, Suite 720 Boca Raton, Florida 33431 | 12 | MR. GLASKO: lantoni, l-a-n-t-o-n-i. And | |
| 13 | | 13 | F-r-e-i-d-m-a-n. | |
| 14 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DONALD R. TESCHER: | 14 | MR. TESCHER: Donald Tescher on behalf of | |
| '- | Irwin J. Block, Esq. | 15 | Tescher & Spallina, P.A. | |
| 15 | LAW OFFICE OF IRWIN J. BLOCK, PLLC 700 South Federal Highway, Suite 200 | 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. | |
| 16 | Boca Raton, Florida 33432 | 17 | Peter Feaman on behalf of the claimant against | |
| 17 18 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S, BERNSTEIN: | 18 | the Estate of Simon Bernstein, Mr. William | |
| 19 | Alan B. Rose, Esq. | 19 | Stansbury. With me in the courtroom today is | |
| | MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, | 20 | Nancy Guffey of my office. | |
| 20 | KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 | 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Welcome. | |
| 21 | West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | | | |
| 22 | John J. Pankauski, Esq. | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Good afternoon, Your | |
| 23 | PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC | 23 | Honor. John Pankauski. I'm joined by attorney | |
| 24 | 120 South Olive Avenue, Suite 701 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | 24 | Alan Rose. We represent Ted Bernstein, who is | |
| 25 | | 25 | to our right. | |
| | | | | |
| | | 3 | | |
| | | 3 | | |
| | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR WILLIAM | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR WILLIAM STANSBURY: | | THE COURT: Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your | |
| 2 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. | 1 | - | |
| | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your | |
| 2 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard | 1 2 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. | |
| 2 3 4 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. | 1 2 3 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 2 3 4 5 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 | 1 2 3 4 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? | |
| 2 3 4 5 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixle Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixle Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? MR. BLOCK: I have a proposed order. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? MR. BLOCK: I have a proposed order. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? MR. BLOCK: I have a proposed order. THE COURT: Okay. Hold on to that for a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 2, City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, beginning at 1:32 p.m., on Tuesday, the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? MR. BLOCK: I have a proposed order. THE COURT: Okay. Hold on to that for a second. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | STANSBURY: Peter M. Feaman, Esq. Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: William H. Glasko, Esq. GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, Florida 33149 ALSO PRESENT: Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury Candice Bernstein David Roth, Esq. BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 2, City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eliot Bernstein, pro se. THE COURT: Okay. All right. First matter is motion to discharge and to withdraw, correct? MR. BLOCK: Petition for resignation and discharge as co-PRs. And I represent Mr. Tescher. And I think Robert is here as a co-PR representing himself. And, to my knowledge, there are THE COURT: Excuse me. Can I see a courtesy copy, MR. BLOCK: no objections. THE COURT: copy of the motion, please? Thanks. Okay. So starting with all the interested persons you're standing, is there a reason for that? MR. BLOCK: I have a proposed order. THE COURT: Okay. Hold on to that for a second. It's represented that there may not be any | |

Γ

| [| | 6 | | _ |
|----------------------|--|----------------|--|---|
| 1 | all interested persons and their counsel. | ° 1 | attorneys for Ted, who is the personal | |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Good afternoon, Your | 2 | representative of that estate. | |
| 3 | Honor. On behalf of Defendant Bernstein, the | 3 | If the Judge, Your Honor, let's them out, | |
| 4 | trustee of the decedent's revocable trust, we | 4 | I would ask that there be provisions in the | |
| 5 | stipulate that you may accept the resignation | 5 | order such that the Court retains jurisdiction | |
| 6 | of the two co-personal representatives. | 6 | over them, that they don't walk away | |
| 7 | In their prayer for relief they ask Your | 7 | discharged, that they are still before Your | |
| 8 | Honor to handle everything else at a later date | 8 | Honor to the extent that if it is determined | |
| 9 | and we consent to that. Clearly, we can't have | 9 | that there was some sort of problem with the | |
| 10 | a petition for discharge. We can stipulate | 10 | drafting of the will, with the administration | |
| 11 | or, excuse me, we can agree that you can accept | 11 | of the estate, or any other of these issues | |
| 12 | their resignations and later appoint another | 12 | that they're still | |
| 13 | personal representative. | 13 | THE COURT: Well, did you see their | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | 14 | wherefore clause? | |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman, Your Honor, on | 15 | MR. GLASKO: I'm sorry? | |
| 16 | behalf of Mr. Stansbury, interested person. | 16 | THE COURT: Did you see their wherefore | |
| 17 | We have no objection to the petition. | 17 | clause? | |
| 18 | There is a proposed order that was submitted to | 18 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | |
| 19 | us this morning. We have only one objection to | 19 | THE COURT: It says they wanted me to | |
| 20 | the proposed order when Your Honor is ready to | 20 | accept their resignation, revoke their letters, | |
| 21 | consider that. | 21 | and reserve on all issues relating to | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. Counsel. | 22 | discharge. | |
| 23 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, William Glasko. There | 23 | MR. GLASKO: Okay. And I don't have an | |
| 24 | is a few motions set for today. And I just | 24 | objection to them getting out. I haven't seen | |
| 25 | want to make a comment about the bigger issue, | 25 | the proposed order. | |
| 1 | which is that this law firm represented Simon | 7 | THE COURT: Okay. I thought I heard it | |
| 2 | Bernstein and prepared his will. My | 2 | was circulated. Maybe you didn't get it to | |
| 3 | understanding is that there are issues of | 3 | him. Have him take a look at it. | |
| 4 | forgery. There have been some criminal cases | 4 | MR. TESCHER: He may not have. | |
| 5 | opened as a result of some forgeries that | 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I haven't seen it either. | |
| 6 | relate to estate documents. | 6 | Your Honor. | |
| 7 | My understanding is that in discovery | 7 | THE COURT: Okay. Take a look. This is | |
| 8 | there were two trust amendments to the mother's | 8 | the time to do it. | |
| 9 | trust, which there is some question as to | 9 | And Eliot is pro se. Can someone give him | |
| 10 | whether or not there is a forgery in that. We | 10 | a copy, as well? | |
| 11 | believe that there is an undue influence and/or | 11 | MR. TESCHER: I believe Mr. Bernstein did | |
| 12 | tortious inference case. | 12 | get one. | |
| 13 | My firm was just retained last night. And | 13 | THE COURT: Did you get a copy, Eliot? | |
| 14 | I've had a limited ability to review these | 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I've got so many things in | |
| 15 | documents. But based on what I've seen and | 15 | the last few days. | |
| 16 | what I've heard, we intend to open an action | 16 | THE COURT: Well, okay, but did you get a | |
| 17 | for undue influence, single tortious | 17 | copy of the proposed order? | |
| 18 | interference in this case as it relates to the | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I see it? I don't | |
| | father's will, which was drafted by Tescher & | 19 | think so. | |
| 19 | latter's will, which was traited by rescriet a | 1 | | |
| 19 20 | Spallina. | 20 | THE COURT: If you have one for me that | |
| | - | 20 21 | would be helpful. I can look at it at the same | |
| 20 | Spallina. | | | |
| 20 21 | Spallina. My concern, Judge, is that if you let | 21 | would be helpful. I can look at it at the same | |
| 20 21 22 | Spallina. My concern, Judge, is that if you let these lawyers out as co-personal | 21 22 | would be helpful. I can look at it at the same time. | |
| 20 21 22 23 | Spallina. My concern, Judge, is that if you let these lawyers out as co-personal representatives. And, again, what I've seen is | 21 22 23 | would be helpful. I can look at it at the same time. MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't recall ever seeing | |

| | | 10 | | | 12 |
|--|---|----|--|--|----|
| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't recall ever seeing | | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. | |
| 2 | this. | | 2 | THE COURT: They're not being discharged, | |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, look at it. | | 3 | they're resigning and their letters to revoke. | |
| 4 | Thank you. | | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. | |
| 5 | MR. GLASKO: I have no objection to that, | | 5 | THE COURT: Okay. So why do we need to, | |
| 6 | Judge. | | 6 | in this order, fund what the successor PR or | |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. So there's no objection | | 7 | curator is to perhaps | |
| 8 | from Mr. Glasko for his clients. | | 8 | MR. BLOCK: We have no objection to | |
| 9 | Mr. Feaman, you said there was one area, | | 9 | striking that paragraph. | |
| 10 | you had an objection to the form of the order? | | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. I'm striking it. | |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor, if it please | | 11 | MR, GLASKO: Striking the entire paragraph | |
| 12 | the Court. | | 12 | six? | |
| 13 | THE COURT: Where is that? | | 13 | THE COURT: Number six out, yeah. | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Paragraph six recites, Your | | 14 | MR. GLASKO: Thank you, sir. | |
| 15 | Honor, that the successor personal | | 15 | THE COURT: I'm going to add, though, a | |
| 16 | representative or curator is authorized to pay | | 16 | new number six which is that and here's the | |
| 17 | blank dollars retainer. | | 17 | language. I'll write it for myself and then | |
| 18 | I respectfully suggest to the Court that | | 18 | l'll tell you what I'm going to do. | 1 |
| 19 | that should be left up to the discretion of the | | 19 | Okay. Number six says, The Court reserves | |
| 20 | successor personal representative or the | | 20 | jurisdiction to enforce this order. | |
| 21 | curator, as the case may be, to make that | | 21 | Okay. I've signed it with the changes. | } |
| 22 | decision. I don't think, at this time, that we | | 22 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, there is one | |
| 23 | need to be in the position to anticipate or go | | 23 | blank | |
| 24 | that far. | | 24 | THE COURT: Oh, so hold on. Paragraph | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. I understand what | | 25 | two, there is a blank there. What is it that | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 11 | | | 13 |
| 1 | | | 1 | the moving party is polying that I put in there | |
| 2 | you're saying. MR. FEAMAN: Other than that, I have no | | 2 | the moving party is asking that I put in there | |
| 3 | objection. | ĺ | 2 | where it says within blank days, business days? | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski? | | 3 4 | MR. BLOCK: What do you want, 60 days? MR. TESCHER: It's the later of, I think, | |
| 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No objection, Your Honor. | | 4 5 | the date of the order or when the successor is | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. And Eliot Bernstein? | | 6 | appointed, if I'm not mistaken. Thirty days to | |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just the objections | | 7 | | |
| 8 | raised in the filing on February 14th. | | 7 8 | 60 days would be fine. THE COURT: Okay. Counsel. | |
| 9 | THE COURT: That's okay. So I don't | | о 9 | - | |
| 10 | know what those are. Right now the only | Ì | 9 10 | MR. FEAMAN: That sounds too long to me, Your Honor. | |
| 11 | question is do you agree with the form of the | | 11 | | |
| 12 | order? | | 12 | THE COURT: Well, give me the MR. FEAMAN: I would say five business | [|
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. | | 12 | days he can begin the process of turning | |
| 1 1 1 | WIX, DEIXING LEIN, 16dH. | | | everything over. | |
| | | 1 | | | I |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | | 14 15 | | |
| 14 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's | | 15 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin | } |
| 14 15 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. | | 15 16 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's | |
| 14 15 16 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? | | 15 16 17 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or | | 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? | | 15 16 17 18 19 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, who I haven't even named yet. | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a discharge. | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, who I haven't even named yet. MR. FEAMAN: And assuming that you don't | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a discharge. MR. BERNSTEIN: We're not discharging him | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, who I haven't even named yet. MR. FEAMAN: And assuming that you don't name a successor today, then I would like to | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a discharge. MR. BERNSTEIN: We're not discharging him yet, are we? | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, who I haven't even named yet. MR. FEAMAN: And assuming that you don't name a successor today, then I would like to see that done within 10 business days so we can | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's saying about the amount. THE COURT: Number six? MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or surety? THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a discharge. MR. BERNSTEIN: We're not discharging him | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin the process. This says, shall deliver. That's all the property. That's everything. That would be by next Monday every single thing in the estate is to be turned over to a successor, who I haven't even named yet. MR. FEAMAN: And assuming that you don't name a successor today, then I would like to | |

| | | 14 | |
|----|---|-----|---|
| 1 | Mr. Pankauski? | 1 | prior lawyers without the specific reservation. |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I think you need more like | 2 | |
| 3 | a couple weeks, 14, 15. | 3 | |
| 4 | MR. GLASKO: No objection to 14. | 4 | - |
| 5 | MR. BLOCK: We would like 15 days. | 5 | |
| 6 | THE COURT: You would like what? | e | - |
| 7 | MR. BLOCK: Fifteen. | 7 | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Fifteen. Okay. So I have 10, | e | So what I don't want is just a clean |
| 9 | 14, 15. | ç | • |
| 10 | Eliot, what do you want? You want | 10 | |
| 11 | tomorrow, right? | 11 | , |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yesterday. | 12 | , , , |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Sure. | 13 | |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. | 14 | |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't want you folks | 15 | MR. GLASKO: I just wanted to clarify. I |
| 16 | to argue about what a business day is. It's | 16 | |
| 17 | going to be March 4th. It can be sooner. | 17 | THE COURT: Any authoritative basis from |
| 18 | All right. I'll get you copies. | 18 | |
| 19 | Okay. What's next? | 19 | - |
| 20 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, there is a | 20 | - |
| 21 | companion order, petition and order to withdraw | 21 | order that the attorneys are not being let go. |
| 22 | as counsel on the Simon Bernstein estate. | 22 | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Any objection? | 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor. |
| 24 | MR. GLASKO: Once again, Judge, I just | 24 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 25 | want to make sure that the reservation of | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The firm of Tescher & |
| | | 15 | |
| 1 | jurisdiction is in the order with regard to the | 1 | Spallina and Mr. Spallina represent Mr. Tescher |
| 2 | attorneys and any part that they may have in | 2 | and Mr. Spallina as co-personal representatives |
| 3 | subsequent litigation. | 3 | of the estate. They don't represent Ted |
| 4 | THE COURT: I'll take a look at the order. | 4 | Bernstein. |
| 5 | Show it to him. | 5 | I think what the order was intended to say |
| 6 | So if you wouldn't mind, Mr. Spallina, to | 6 | was that Tescher & Spallina and Robert Spallina |
| 7 | circulate the order to everybody. | 7 | are authorized to withdraw as the attorneys for |
| 8 | Well, Mr. Pankauski, you're going to be | 8 | the co-personal representatives. |
| 9 | representing Ted; is that correct? | 9 | THE COURT: Or for Ted Bernstein in his |
| 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor, along | 10 | |
| 11 | with Mr. Rose. | 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Mr. Bernstein isn't |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, they could | 12 | |
| 13 | do this by an order or by a stipulation for | 13 | |
| 14 | substitution, either one. | 14 | THE COURT: Okay. So what's |
| 15 | So tell me the legal basis for what you | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Mr. Spallina individually |
| 16 | want added to this order. | 16 | and Mr. Tescher individually were the |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: Well, Judge, what I want | 17 | co-personal representatives. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Tell me what the law give | 18 | THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry, I'm confused. |
| 19 | me the law first, because I'll just allow a | 19 | They say in their motion and order that they |
| 20 | stipulation. People are just allowed to always | 20 | represent Ted Bernstein. That's not true in |
| 21 | substitute one lawyer for another. | 21 | this case? |
| 22 | MR. GLASKO: If there were a stipulation | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's correct, in the |
| 23 | of substitution of counsel and the Court | 23 | Estate of Simon Bernstein. |
| 24 | entered the order, I think the Court, I think | 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Who do you represent in |
| 25 | the Court would have jurisdiction ever the | 125 | the Estate of Cimen Demotoin? |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

the Court would have jurisdiction over the

the Estate of Simon Bernstein?

| | | 18 | | | 20 |
|----|---|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | MR. TESCHER: We represent ourselves. | | 1 | the attorney for Ted Bernstein? | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's not what | | 2 | MR. TESCHER: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 3 | the order says. | | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? | |
| 4 | MR. TESCHER: You are correct, that is | | 4 | MR. GLASKO: Again, Judge, I would ask for | |
| 5 | THE COURT: So what should the order say? | | 5 | a reservation of jurisdiction. | |
| 6 | MR. TESCHER: Withdrawal of counsel for | | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Do you have | |
| 7 | personal representatives, Donald R. Tescher and | | 7 | authoritative position on that? | |
| 8 | Robert Spallina. | | 8 | MR. GLASKO: I don't. | |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. So do you have a | | 9 | THE COURT: That request is denied, | |
| 10 | different position now that they've said that? | | 10 | because if you want to have a request like | |
| 11 | MR. GLASKO: No, Judge. I actually | | 11 | that, have authority to support it. | |
| 12 | thought we were all on the order where they | | 12 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | |
| 13 | were asking to withdraw as attorneys in the | | 13 | THE COURT: Just asking without authority | |
| 14 | Shirley estate. | | 14 | won't do it. | |
| 15 | THE COURT: No. We're still on Simon's | | 15 | Okay. Granted. | |
| 16 | case. | | 16 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, that concludes | |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: So they've asked to be let go | | 17 | the three motions that we had filed for hearing | |
| 18 | as personal representatives and the second | | 18 | at 1:30. | |
| 19 | order is discharged as the attorneys for | | 19 | THE COURT: So I know there are more | |
| 20 | themselves? | | 20 | motions. Do you folks want them to stay around | |
| 21 | THE COURT: Right. | | 21 | for the next series of motions? | |
| 22 | Okay. That's granted. | | 22 | MR. BLOCK: No, sir. | |
| 23 | And, Mr. Spallina, you're the attorney for | | 23 | THE COURT: No, I'm asking the other | |
| 24 | yourself in this case, correct? | | 24 | people who are moving parties. | |
| 25 | MR. SPALLINA: Yes, sir. | | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor, they're | |
| | | 19 | | | 21 |
| 1 | THE COURT: And you're also the attorney | | 1 | not required to stay. | |
| 2 | for the and the law firm is attorney for | | 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. We'll get you | |
| 3 | who? For themselves, for itself? | | 3 | copies if you wait outside. | |
| 4 | MR. SPALLINA: For the co-PRs of the | | 4 | MR. BLOCK: Thank you. | |
| 5 | estate. | | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. | |
| 6 | THE COURT: And who is that? | | 6 | Did they resign as trustees, too, as well as | |
| 7 | MR. SPALLINA: Donald Tescher and Robert | | 7 | PR? Is that in there? | |
| 8 | Spallina. | | 8 | THE COURT: No, nothing about any trust is | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. And you're withdrawing | | 9 | before me. | |
| 10 | as attorneys for yourselves in your capacity as | | 10 | (Mr. Block, Mr. Tescher and Mr. Spallina | |
| 11 | co-PR? | | 11 | left the courtroom.) | |
| 12 | MR. TESCHER: Yes, Your Honor. | | 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So now I have a motion | |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. | | 13 | for appointment of Ted Bernstein as curator, a | |
| 14 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, the third motion | | 14 | motion for appointment of Eliot Bernstein as | |
| 15 | that we set for today was on the Shirley | | 15 | curator or successor PR, or, in the | |
| 16 | Bernstein estate for an order on a motion to | | 16 | alternative, some other motions. And I know | |
| 17 | withdraw as counsel. | | 17 | that because I have the response from | |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? | | 18 | Mr. Feaman, but I don't have the motion itself. | |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm sure I don't. I just | | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The motion. | |
| 20 | need to see the order, Your Honor. | | 20 | THE COURT: I need the moving you know | |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Here you say your | | 21 | what, I just got some things in the mail. Let | |
| 22 | attorney for Ted Bernstein here again. | | 22 | me see. | |
| 23 | MR. TESCHER: That is correct in the | | 23 | Okay. I have Ted Bernstein's motion for | |
| 24 | Shirley Bernstein estate, Your Honor. | | 24 | appointment of curator or administrator ad | |
| 25 | THE COURT: So in Shirley's estate you are | | 25 | litem. Is that the traveling pleading? | |
| | | | | | |

24

25

| IR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | | |
|--|--|---|
| | 1 | everybody is here, why don't I at least have a |
| HE COURT: Okay. And I have Mr. Feaman's | 2 | hearing on or see what's going on with the |
| onse in opposition. | 3 | current motions. I may defer on entering an |
| kay. Are there any other pleadings that | 4 | order until I determine what the merits are of |
| to consider? | 5 | your motion to disqualify. |
| R. FEAMAN: No. I have an ore tenus | 6 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. |
| er that I was apprised of this morning, | 7 | THE COURT: Any objection to that |
| Honor. | 8 | procedure? |
| HE COURT: Okay. But no one's telling me | 9 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. |
| though. | 10 | MR. GLASKO: No, sir. |
| R. FEAMAN: It's | 11 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. So now on |
| HE COURT: Oh, it's your ore tenus | 12 | Simon's estate, because I let go the prior PRs, |
| on? | 13 | are we now in a situation where there is no PR |
| R. FEAMAN: Yes, mine. | 14 | or curator of Simon's estate; is that true? |
| HE COURT: Okay. Sure. | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. |
| R. FEAMAN: I'm asking permission to say | 16 | THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? |
| | 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes. |
| HE COURT: Okay, Sure, | 18 | THE COURT: So does everyone agree we need |
| R. FEAMAN: I found out from Eliot | 19 | to have someone take their place, that is |
| stein this morning that he the ore tenus | 20 | Tescher and Spallina? |
| on is an objection to Mr. Pankauski | 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. |
| senting Ted Bernstein in this matter. | 22 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. |
| ne basis of the motion, and I'm prepared | 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. |
| I Mr. Eliot Bernstein to the stand, is | 24 | THE COURT: So let me go around the room. |
| Eliot Bernstein consulted with | 25 | Mr. Pankauski, what is your request for |
| ll Mr. | Eliot Bernstein to the stand, is Bernstein consulted with | Eliot Bernstein to the stand, is 24 |

•

| 1 | Mr. Pankauski to represent him and had a couple | 1 | relief in that area? |
|----|---|----|---|
| 2 | of one-hour telephone conversations concerning | 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That you appoint Ted |
| 3 | the representation of Mr. Pankauski, supplied | 3 | Bernstein as curator. |
| 4 | him documents, which were very germane to | 4 | THE COURT: And, Mr. Feaman, what's your |
| 5 | Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein's position in | 5 | position there? |
| 6 | this case, which are not aligned with Mr. Ted | 6 | MR. FEAMAN: Our position is that we |
| 7 | Bernstein. | 7 | suggest Eliot Bernstein or, in the alternative, |
| 8 | And so I'm prepared to go forward and | 8 | an attorney that I've suggested to counsel is |
| 9 | present evidence today. | 9 | Brian O'Connell from the law firm of Casey, |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. But a | 10 | Ciklin I guess it's Ciklin, Lubitz now, a |
| 11 | motion for disqualification of counsel has to | 11 | Board-certified probate wills and trust |
| 12 | be in writing. You may have just learned about | 12 | attorney for over 20 years to be a curator. |
| 13 | it, but that definitely has to be reduced to | 13 | THE COURT: I know Mr. O'Connell. |
| 14 | writing. | 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Until we can get this |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: It does, Your Honor. | 15 | straightened out, Your Honor. |
| 16 | However, I don't want to be in a position to | 16 | THE COURT: What do you say? |
| 17 | have waived anything by allowing the attorney | 17 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, I would like to see an |
| 18 | to go forward and let my silence | 18 | independent curator appointed. We believe that |
| 19 | THE COURT: How about this, not knowing, | 19 | both Ted and Eliot are going to be fact |
| 20 | other than what you just told me and not | 20 | witnesses with regard to the issues that are |
| 21 | hearing from Mr. Pankauski, | 21 | going forward in this case. |
| 22 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. | 22 | The attorneys were common to Ted and the |
| 23 | THE COURT: I'm going to let you or | 23 | decedent Simon with regard to a will that was |
| 24 | whoever thinks it's appropriate file that | 24 | drafted subsequent to the original will with |
| 25 | motion in writing. But, in the meantime, since | 25 | regard to trust modifications and so forth, so. |
| | | | |

28

29

| | | 26 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: So when you say an independent | 1 | who we kind of pick by consensus of the moving |
| 2 | curator, you mean someone other than the person | 2 | parties or some other neutral method. |
| 3 | suggested by Mr. Feaman? | 3 | Is this a case that why shouldn't that |
| 4 | MR. GLASKO: Neither Ted nor Eliot, but an | 4 | position be the one that I take on this as |
| 5 | independent. These people are fact witnesses | 5 | opposed to having an interested person such as |
| 6 | and I believe there is a conflict, particularly | 6 | Ted involved? |
| 7 | with Ted. | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, Mr. Bernstein |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. So how do I | 8 | is uniquely qualified to serve and he's willing |
| 9 | mean, this is a pick a name out of the hat type | 9 | to serve without compensation. |
| 10 | situation? | 10 | He also has personal knowledge about |
| 11 | MR. GLASKO: I'm sorry? | 11 | litigation which the estate is involved in. He |
| 12 | THE COURT: When you say an independent, | 12 | also has personal knowledge about the assets |
| 13 | how is that independent person going to be | 13 | and liabilities of the decedent, his father. |
| 14 | derived? | 14 | THE COURT: So let me did Simon die |
| 15 | MR. GLASKO: Well, I would suggest that | 15 | testate or intestate? |
| 16 | the Court could appoint somebody. | 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: He died with a will, |
| 17 | THE COURT: No, I don't do that anymore, | 17 | testate. |
| 18 | because the last time I did that and that | 18 | THE COURT: And who were the designated |
| 19 | curator or PR or trustee actually sought fees, | 19 | PRs? |
| 20 | the issue came up, well, I may be more | 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The designated PRs were |
| 21 | favorable to that person because I appointed | 21 | Mr. Tescher and Mr. Spallina, who have now |
| 22 | them. | 22 | resigned. |
| 23 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | 23 | THE COURT: Okay. And no one else? |
| 24 | THE COURT: So I don't have any active | 24 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Correct. No successor was |
| 25 | involvement in the selection any longer of the | 25 | named in the will. |
| | | | |
| | | 27 | |
| 1 | person in that position. | 27 | THE COURT: Is there a preference of |
| 1 | person in that position. MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to | | THE COURT: Is there a preference of appointment that someone has at this stage? |
| | | 1 | |
| 2 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to | 1 2 | appointment that someone has at this stage? |
| 2 3 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. | 1 2 3 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a |
| 2 3 4 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So | 1 2 3 4 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, | 1 2 3 4 5 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you know, bow out of any conflict situation that I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into hearing your positions. No, I'm going to let | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you know, bow out of any conflict situation that I would see and feel obligated to. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into hearing your positions. No, I'm going to let everyone be heard. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you know, bow out of any conflict situation that I would see and feel obligated to. THE COURT: Okay. All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into hearing your positions. No, I'm going to let everyone be heard. Okay. So, Mr. Pankauski, you want Ted. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you know, bow out of any conflict situation that I would see and feel obligated to. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, Mr. Pankauski, you're up on your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to direct all counsel to agree to somebody. THE COURT: Okay. So MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, Judge? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that the Court understands, I just came into this case, so I know you know more than I do or at least I believe you know the case. The original estate plan was there are five children, three were going to receive. The will THE COURT: You want to just tell me something ahead of what everyone else wants to tell me now. You want this be your opening statement? MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into hearing your positions. No, I'm going to let everyone be heard. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | appointment that someone has at this stage? MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a majority in interest of the rev trust beneficiaries, no. THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: No preference, okay. All right. So it's Ted against the world. Okay. So I got it. Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be a co-personal representative and I'll, you know, bow out of any conflict situation that I would see and feel obligated to. THE COURT: Okay. All right. |

Τ

Г

32

33

| | 3 | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Mr. Ted Bernstein, my client, is not a | 1 | Your Honor, the amended complaint was attached. |
| 2 | beneficiary under the estate. He also is | 2 | May I approach? |
| 3 | trustee of his father's revocable trust. | 3 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 4 | And I'm prepared to call Ted to ask him | 4 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. And it's marked |
| 5 | questions so you have a record. | 5 | as Stansbury's Exhibit B, Your Honor. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Your first witness. | 6 | I'd like to draw your attention first to |
| 7 | MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, if it please the | 7 | Count IV of the second amended complaint, |
| 8 | Court, I have prepared an opening statement, if | 8 | because Count IV is a count against Mr. Ted |
| 9 | Your Honor would allow it. | 9 | Bernstein, but it's not against Simon |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. | 10 | Bernstein. |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: It's fairly brief. | 11 | And there are other claims throughout |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. | 12 | this complaint which show that while they're |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: All right. Thank you, Your | 13 | co-defendants, as discovery progresses, Your |
| 14 | Honor. | 14 | Honor, it can easily come to pass that there |
| 15 | If I may approach the | 15 | could be cross-claims between the co-defendants |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sure. | 16 | for indemnification or contribution depending |
| 17 | MR. FEAMAN: I represent Mr. Stansbury. | 17 | on the degree of negligence that a jury may |
| 18 | And I want to set a little predicate as to who | 18 | assign at some point. |
| 19 | Mr. Stansbury is. He's a creditor of the | 19 | So we would ask that because of that, Your |
| 20 | estate, because he's a plaintiff and the estate | 20 | Honor, there is clear conflict of interest that |
| 21 | is one of the defendants. | 21 | would that arises because Mr. Ted Bernstein, |
| 22 | However, Your Honor, Mr. Stansbury is a | 22 | should he become appointed as the either |
| 23 | lot more than that as it relates to Ted | 23 | curator or successor personal representative, |
| 24 | Bernstein. Because before the passing of Simon | 24 | as an individual defendant and managing the |
| 25 | Bernstein, which brings us here today, | 25 | affairs of the estate, there can easily be |
| | | _ | |
| | • 3' | i | |
| 1 | • 3 [.] Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon | 1 | overlap. |
| 1 2 | | | overlap. The estate could be used to pay his |
| | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon | 1 | |
| 2 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both | 1 2 | The estate could be used to pay his |
| 2 3 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various | 1 2 3 4 5 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. | 1 2 3 4 5 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, I think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint THE COURT: And these are circuit civil | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out for all of the interested parties in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint THE COURT: And these are circuit civil actions, correct? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out for all of the interested parties in the estate, which would include Mr. Stansbury as a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint THE COURT: And these are circuit civil actions, correct? MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. They're in | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out for all of the interested parties in the estate, which would include Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And the emails show that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint THE COURT: And these are circuit civil actions, correct? MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. They're in Judge Peter Blanc's division. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out for all of the interested parties in the estate, which would include Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And the emails show that Mr. Stansbury is even mentioned by name. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled. During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement. And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission. We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint THE COURT: And these are circuit civil actions, correct? MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. They're in | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The estate could be used to pay his individual attorney's fees and it creates, 1 think, a whole host of conflicts that the children certainly, it seems to me, would be very weary of. Children of the other siblings of Mr. Simon Bernstein and the grandchildren. Now, in addition to that, Your Honor, there are emails which are attached to our response. And we would intend to offer those as exhibits today. The email shows a concerted effort by Ted Bernstein to keep assets that might otherwise be payable to the estate to go directly to the children of Simon Bernstein, specifically for the purpose of avoiding Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And, as Your Honor knows, one of the jobs of the personal representative is to watch out for all of the interested parties in the estate, which would include Mr. Stansbury as a creditor. And the emails show that |

| | | | | 10 |
|--|--|--|---|----|
| | | 34 | | 36 |
| 1 | direct the proceeds of the life insurance | 1 | THE COURT: I've got it for opening | |
| 2 | policy on Simon Bernstein's life directly to | 2 | statement purposes. I understand what you're | |
| 3 | the kids rather than to the estate. | 3 | saying. I'll let you present some evidence. | |
| 4 | Right there that sets up a terrible | 4 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. | |
| 5 | conflict of interest based on his past conduct. | 5 | THE COURT: Do you have an opening, | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Who is the beneficiary of the | 6 | counsel, that you want to give? | |
| 7 | life insurance? | 7 | MR. GLASKO: No, sir. | |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: Well, that's what's in | 8 | THE COURT: All right. So let me ask | ĺ |
| 9 | dispute, Your Honor, because they claim that | 9 | this. Mr. Pankauski, Mr. Feaman suggested | |
| 10 | there is an insurance trust that set up the | 10 | through an oral motion, which I'm not going to | |
| 11 | children as the beneficiaries. However, the | 11 | act on, that there may be grounds to disqualify | |
| 12 | insurance trust is lost and nobody can find it. | 12 | you from representing Ted Bernstein and sort of | |
| 13 | So Heritage said, in the absence of a | 13 | discussed it a little bit in open court. | |
| 14 | designated beneficiary, as you know, it should | 14 | I've already said and he's required by the | |
| 15 | go to the estate. | 15 | rule to put it in writing. He suggested that | |
| 16 | So there is an impleader action going on | 16 | there may have been some prior representation | |
| 17 | up in the Northern District of Illinois. And | 17 | of Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 18 | that is in direct response of Ted Bernstein | 18 | I want to do something right now that I | |
| 19 | trying to direct those and, by the way, it's | 19 | think is appropriate. I'm going to recess for | |
| 20 | not an insubstantial sum, Your Honor, it's | 20 | a few minutes and I want you to talk to Mr. | |
| 21 | \$1.7 million. My client's claims against the | 21 | Feaman outside so there is no record of this | |
| 22 | estate are that and more. | 22 | discussion, because I want, if a motion is | |
| 23 | So this is very significant that | 23 | filed, I want it to be in writing. | |
| 24 | Mr. Bernstein would try to redirect that, which | 24 | But I think what I want you to do, | |
| 25 | certainly he has the if he thinks he has the | 25 | Mr. Feaman, is tell him as much as you know | 1 |
| | | 35 | | 37 |
| 1 | right to do, Your Honor. | 1 | about the situation. And then you, having | |
| 2 | I don't blame Mr. Bernstein in his | 2 | heard it, let me know whether you still think | |
| 3 | individual capacity to try to keep money out of | 3 | it's appropriate to go forward with | |
| 4 | the estate if he's a beneficiary of the estate, | 4 | representing Ted or that there's a bona fide | |
| 5 | but once he crosses that line as the personal | 5 | reason that under the conflict of interest | |
| 6 | representative | 6 | rules you can't. | |
| 7 | THE COURT: You're kind of going out of | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. | |
| 8 | opening statement to closing argument. | 8 | THE COURT: So go ahead. Let's do that | |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll | 9 | first and then we'll get back. | |
| 10 | move on. | 10 | Just let my bailiff know when you are | |
| 11 | | 10 | ready. | |
| 12 | And then further, Your Honor, the law is such that Ted Bernstein has made | 12 | (Brief recess taken.) | |
| 13 | misrepresentations to this Court and others in | 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So were you able to | |
| | • | 13 | have that informal conversation? | |
| 11 | the next in connection with the Shirley | | | |
| 14 15 | the past in connection with the Shirley | | MP DANKALISKI: Ves Vour Honor | |
| 15 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 15 16 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' | 15 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 15 16 17 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that | 15 16 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go | |
| 15 16 17 18 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of | 15 16 17 18 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on | 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on his basic character in how he has conducted | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on his basic character in how he has conducted himself in the past, which, as Your Honor | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona fide basis for the filing of the motion? | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on his basic character in how he has conducted himself in the past, which, as Your Honor knows, since there is no preference, Your Honor | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona fide basis for the filing of the motion? MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. In fact, | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on his basic character in how he has conducted himself in the past, which, as Your Honor knows, since there is no preference, Your Honor is allowed to take in the totality of the | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona fide basis for the filing of the motion? MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. In fact, I'm content to have you decide whether I'm | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this estate and other governmental authorities' evidence we would put on, which would show that Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of interest, is not otherwise qualified based on his basic character in how he has conducted himself in the past, which, as Your Honor knows, since there is no preference, Your Honor | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So are you still going to go forward with that written motion? MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona fide basis for the filing of the motion? MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. In fact, | |

| · · · · | | | · . . |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | 38 | |
| | and the second distance of the second state of the second states. | | |
| 1 | without it in writing. I just did a little | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr MR. FEAMAN: But I don't know if he's |
| 3 | research on that, because I think I've had this | 2 | THE COURT: Right, but he wants |
| 4 | issue come up one time before that actually got | 4 | • |
| 5 | this far. And I think, one, it has to be in writing because there is some verification | 4 | Mr. Pankauski for purposes of this motion. MR. FEAMAN: I'll file that motion |
| 6 | requirements. | 6 | tomorrow, Your Honor. I have no interest in |
| | MR. FEAMAN: Right. | 7 | trying to delay. |
| 8 | THE COURT: So the form of it is | 8 | THE COURT: Sure, I understand that. So |
| 9 | important. | 9 | let me just see how now we have this new |
| 10 | I dealt with this issue in a family law | 10 | calendaring system. Let me see how this thing |
| 11 | case, but it was long enough ago that I tried | 11 | works. |
| 12 | to pull the order that I wrote, but it's not | 12 | Okay. All right. So, I mean, what I'm |
| 13 | imaged, so I can't get it, so I could get a | 13 | going to say now would take everyone to want to |
| 14 | better handle on what the standards were, given | 14 | be able to do this. If you can literally get |
| 15 | that the representation was that you had | 15 | it done immediately, I have time tomorrow |
| 16 | consulted with another party, that is Eliot | 16 | afternoon that opened, if everyone can do it. |
| 17 | Bernstein, in some form. | 17 | MR. FEAMAN: I could file it by |
| 18 | And that was exactly the issue in this | 18 | 5:00 o'clock tomorrow, Your Honor. I can't get |
| 19 | other case that I dealt with. If you want, I | 19 | it done. I've got a hearing on Thursday in |
| 20 | can tell you what the other case is in a few | 20 | another court I have to prepare for. I have a |
| 21 | moments. | 21 | meeting with a client in Delray later today. |
| 22 | The only thing I'm thinking about, though, | 22 | THE COURT: All right. Let me look. |
| 23 | is with a motion to disqualify, I'm not certain | 23 | Hold on. This is I don't have, they |
| 24 | now whether it's appropriate to go forward on | 24 | don't let me have a book anymore. They took it |
| 25 | these motions that are left. And I think not, | 25 | away from me. And now they make the computer |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 39 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1 | because that's what I also double-checked, | 39 | |
| 1 2 | • | | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm |
| | because that's what I also double-checked, because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a | 1 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. |
| 2 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a | 1 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm |
| 2 3 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, | 1 2 3 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from |
| 2 3 4 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without | 1 2 3 4 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. |
| 2 3 4 5 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a | 1 2 3 4 5 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's granted, it's granted. And then we're not | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's granted, it's granted. And then we're not going to probably be able to go forward on | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just don't have time and now that I know that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's granted, it's granted. And then we're not going to probably be able to go forward on their motion because Ted would be pro se. And | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just don't have time and now that I know that. MR. FEAMAN: We'll try to get it done by |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's granted, it's granted. And then we're not going to probably be able to go forward on their motion because Ted would be pro se. And there is no competing motions, correct? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just don't have time and now that I know that. MR. FEAMAN: We'll try to get it done by noon? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a judge, you have to stop and do that without question. It's a little bit less certain on a lawyer, but the word is that it's a better practice to dispose of those immediately. I mean, it has to be writing. I don't think they could ever get it written that quickly that I could get it served on everybody, so I'm going to have to hear those first before I can hear the remaining motions. What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set this right away. I'll set it now. And I'll set the motion to appoint a curator for the same time. We're leaving enough time so we can hear the motion to disqualify. And then if it's granted, it's granted. And then we're not going to probably be able to go forward on their motion because Ted would be pro se. And | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | calendar so small I can barely read it, so I'm trying. So how about the 27th, a week from Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm out till about March 15th. THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to do this before. So let's see. Let me go back to the beginning. I know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr. Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I understand it may be an abbreviated way of doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. Is there any way you can get it done by the end of the day or first thing in the morning? I mean, everyone is here. That way I can do it tomorrow afternoon. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just don't have time and now that I know that. MR. FEAMAN: We'll try to get it done by |

| | | 42 | | | 44 |
|----|---|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | What time would you like us here tomorrow? | | 1 | THE COURT: Well, I might. And I think | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Hold on, let me just go back. | | 2 | that was brought by Eliot to my attention at | |
| 3 | All right. Two-thirty tomorrow. And you | | 3 | some point before today; isn't that true? | |
| 4 | have from 2:30 to 5:00 then. I'll expand the | | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Well, part of it is, | |
| 5 | time that you had for today. So between the | | 5 | but there was an admission to authorities by | |
| 6 | motion to disqualify and then the evidentiary | | 6 | Mr. Tescher of alleged, if you believe anything | |
| 7 | hearing on the motion to appoint. | | 7 | they say anymore, but that came out that there | |
| 8 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. | | 8 | was another document on top of the forged one | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. We'll do that all. We | | 9 | that also tried to switch beneficiaries. | |
| 10 | have two-and-a-half hours. Does that give you | | 10 | Which, by the way, Your Honor, Ted's | |
| 11 | enough time? | | 11 | centrally involved in picking all these | |
| 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | | 12 | attorneys who are bleeding this | |
| 13 | THE COURT: You think so, everyone? | | 13 | THE COURT: Well, that's what tomorrow's | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. | | 14 | hearing is. Let's not pickpocket yet. | |
| 15 | MR. GLASKO: You said 1:30, Judge? | | 15 | Okay. Well, so, I mean, prior hearings | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Two-thirty. | | 16 | reveal the issue of forgery, I don't know what | |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, may I have permission | | 17 | documents, I don't remember them, that existed. | |
| 18 | to appear by phone at the beginning of the | | 18 | It may be more than one. | |
| 19 | hearing? I'm coming down at 1:00 o'clock. | | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: But I'm learning from | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sure. Yeah, if you'll just | | 20 | Mr. Rose and Mr. Bernstein, Ted, is Your Honor | |
| 21 | tell counsel, I'll just call you directly from | | 21 | dealt with a back-dated notary clause. | |
| 22 | my office phone until you get here. You don't | | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 23 | even have to go on CourtCall. | | 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: There is another instance | |
| 24 | All right. So, Mr. Feaman, I'm going to | | 24 | of a trust amendment, an entire trust | |
| 25 | give you my fax number. Fax me over a copy of | | 25 | amendment, that was evidently fabricated that | |
| | | 43 | | | 45 |
| 1 | your motion. | | 1 | was disclosed to my client back in January. | |
| 2 | Ready? (561)274-1418. | | 2 | THE COURT: All right. | |
| 3 | And really it's right, because now that | | 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: And so my sense is that's | |
| 4 | I'm looking, I have another case where there is | | 4 | one of the things that this Court wants to know | |
| 5 | a hearing, an evidentiary hearing set for next | | 5 | about. | |
| 6 | Monday, and there is a motion to disqualify | | 6 | THE COURT: Well, okay. I'll hear | |
| 7 | counsel and I have that set for Thursday. | | 7 | anything that you think on the issue of I | |
| 8 | And I remember why I did that, because I | | 8 | mean, everyone is in agreement that now that | |
| 9 | thought the better practice was to have that | | 9 | Tescher and Spallina are off, someone has to | |
| 10 | done before the hearing on which the matter is | | 10 | take their place, true? | |
| 11 | set. | | 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 12 | So, okay. So file that motion. And then | | 12 | THE COURT: I mean, we're not going to | |
| 13 | I'll do both of them and everything else that | | 13 | have a vacuum there. So, I mean, this part | |
| 14 | we have to do on this tomorrow starting at | | 14 | isn't too complicated. The issues are Ted, | |
| 1 | - | | | | { |

4 15 2:30. Okay? 16 All right. Thanks, everybody. 17 MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I feel it's 18

important that we disclose something to you. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. PANKAUSKI: The reason the personal 21 representatives resigned is because, in the

- 22 course of this estate administration, evidently
- 23 a fabricated document was discovered. A trust
- 24 amendment was fabricated. And my sense is Your 25 Honor wants to know about that.
- complicated, The issues are 15 Eliot, or a curator who is not related to those 16 two? 17 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: So we can get that done 19 tomorrow afternoon.
- 20 Okay. Thanks. See you then.
- 21 MR. GLASKO: Judge, --
- 22 THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 MR. GLASKO: -- I'm sorry, I'd like to
- 24 ask. I only have the motions that were heard
- 25 today. I'd just like to ask counsel -- I'll

| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---|---|----|---------------------------------------|
| | | 46 | |
| 1 | give you my card, because I'd like to ask | | |
| | counsel to deliver the motions for tomorrow to | | |
| | me tonight, if you'd be so kind. | | |
| 4 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, he's filing, | | |
| | Mr. Feaman is filing the motion for tomorrow. | | |
| | - | | |
| 6 | MR. GLASKO: Whatever is being heard | | |
| | tomorrow. | | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Yeah, give him an extra copy | | |
| | of the motion here, take mine. | | |
| 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I've got one, Judge. | | |
| 11 | THE COURT: The motion for appointment you | | |
| | can take. I have Mr. Feaman's response. Do | | |
| 13 | you have that already? | | |
| 14 | MR. GLASKO: I do not. | | |
| 15 | THE COURT: They'll give that to you. | | |
| 16 | Thank you very much. | | |
| 17 | (The hearing was adjourned.) | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | : | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 1 2 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | | |
| <u>~</u> | | | |
| | E STATE OF FLORIDA,) | | |
| 3 THE | E STATE OF FLORIDA,) UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) | | |
| 3 THE | | | |
| 3 THE 4 CO | | | |
| 3 THE 4 CO 5 6 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) | | |
| 3 THE 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered | | |
| 3 THE 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional | | |
| 3 THE 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did | | |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings | | |
| 3 TH 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 here | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, | | |
| 3 TH 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 here 12 ster | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my | | |
| 3 TH 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 here 12 ster 13 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. | | |
| 3 THP 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 heru 12 ster 13 14 rela | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a | | · |
| 3 TH 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 her 12 ster 13 14 rela 15 the | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of | | |
| 3 TH 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 herd 12 ster 13 14 rela 15 the 16 atto | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such | | |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 - 6 - 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 here 12 ster 13 - 14 relation 15 the 16 attoo 17 diree | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rney or counsel, or financially interested, | | |
| 3 THP 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 her 12 ster 13 14 rela 15 the 16 atto 17 dire 18 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, ictly or indirectly, in this action. | | · · · |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 hero 12 ster 13 14 14 rela 15 the 16 atto 17 dire 18 19 19 reprint | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional porter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, actly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 - 6 - 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 hero 12 ster 13 - 14 rela 15 the 16 atto 17 dire 18 - 19 rep 20 the | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rmey or counsel, or financially interested, ictly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any roduction of the same by any means unless under | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 hero 12 ster 13 14 14 rela 15 the 16 atto 17 dire 18 repr 20 the 21 21 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, ctly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any roduction of the same by any means unless under direct control and/or direction of the reporter. | | |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 - 6 - 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 here 12 ster 13 - 14 relation 15 the 16 atto 17 dire 18 - 19 rep | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, ctly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any roduction of the same by any means unless under direct control and/or direction of the reporter. | | |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 herd 12 ster 13 14 14 relation 15 the 16 atton 17 dire 18 reprint 19 reprint 20 the 21 22 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, ctly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any roduction of the same by any means unless under direct control and/or direction of the reporter. | | |
| 3 THI 4 CO 5 6 7 Pro 8 Rep 9 ster 10 and 11 herd 12 ster 13 14 14 relation 15 the 16 atton 17 dire 18 reprint 19 reprint 20 the 21 22 | UNTY OF PALM BEACH.) I, LORRAINE M. WOFFORD, Registered fessional Reporter, Florida Professional borter, certify that I was authorized to and did nographically report the foregoing proceedings I that such transcription, Pages 1 through 46, ein is a true and accurate record of my nographic notes. I further certify that I am not a tive, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of parties, nor a relative or employee of such rrney or counsel, or financially interested, totly or indirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any roduction of the same by any means unless under direct control and/or direction of the reporter. Dated this 7th day of July, 2014. | | |

| | | | Page 1 of 9 |
|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Ś | | afternoon | appointment |
| \$1.7 34:21 | 5 | 4:1,16,22 5:2 | 21:13,14,24 |
| | 5:00 40:18 42:4 | 6:2 40:16 41:18 45:19 | 29:2 46:11 |
| 1 | 502012CP004391XXX | | apprised 22:7 |
| 1 47:10 | XSB 1:2 | against 4:17 29:9 31:1,9 32:8,9 | approach 30:15 |
| 1:00 42:19 | 505 2:20 | 34:21 | 32:2 |
| 1:30 20:18 42:15 | 561)274-1418 43:2 | ago 38:11 | <pre>appropriate 16:13 23:24 36:19</pre> |
| 1:32 1:25 3:23 | | agreement 45:8 | 37:3 38:24 |
| 10 13:23 14:8 | 6 | ahead 19:13 | area 10:9 25:1 |
| 1076 3:11 | 60 13:3,7 | 27:7,16 30:12 | argue 14:16 |
| 120 2:23 | 600 2:20 | 37:8 | argument 35:8 |
| 14 14:3,4,9 | | al 1:16 | arises 32:21 |
| 14 14:3,4,5 14th 11:8 | 7 | Alan 2:19 4:24 | assets 28:12 |
| | | aligned 23:6 | 33:13 |
| 15 14:3,5,9 | 701 2:23 | 31:13 | assign 32:18 |
| 15th 41:7 | 720 2:7,11 | alleged 1:15 44:6 | assistant 41:14 |
| 17345 3:9 | 7th 47:21 | allow 15:19 30:9 | associates 1:12 |
| 18 1:24 | | allowed 15:20 35:23 | assuming 13:21 |
| 18th 3:24 | <u>A</u> abbreviated 41:13 | | Atlantic 3:21 |
| | ability 7:14 | allowing 23:17 | attached 31:16 |
| 2 3:21 | able 37;13 39;21 | already 36:14 46:13 | 32:1 33:9 |
| 2:30 42:4 43:15 | 40:14 | alternative 21:16 | attention 32:6 |
| 2:30 42:4 43:13 2:38 1:25 | above-styled 3:19 | 25:7 | 44:2 |
| | absence 34:13 | am 22:5 47:13 | attorney 4:23 |
| 20 25:12 | accept 6:5,11 | amended 31:19,24 | 18:23 19:1,2,22 20:1 23:17 |
| 200 2:15 3:21 | 8:20 | 32:1,7 | 25:8,12 |
| 2012CP004391 4:3 | accurate 47:11 | amendment 43:24 | 47:14,16 |
| 2014 1:24 3:24 47:21 | act 36:11 | 44:24,25 | attorneys 8:1 15:2 16:21 17:7 |
| 2753 2:2 | action 7:16 34:16 | amendments 7:8 | 18:13,19 19:10 |
| 2755 212 27th 41:3 | 47:17 | amount 11:16 | 25:22 44:12 |
| | actions 31:21 | and/or 7:11 47:20 | attorney's 33:3 |
| 3 | active 26:24 | anticipate 10:23 | authoritative |
| 33149 3:12 | actual 16:6 | anymore 26:17 40:24 44:7 | 16:17 20:7 |
| 33157 3:9 | actually 18:11 | anything 23:17 | authorities 35:16 |
| 33401 2:21,24 | 26:19 31:25 38:3 | 44:6 45:7 | 44:5 |
| 33431 2:8,12 | ad 21:24 | apologize 31:25 | <pre>authority 16:11 20:11,13</pre> |
| 33432 2:16 | add 12:15 | appear 42:18 | authorized 10:16 |
| 33434 2:3 | add 12:15 added 15:16 | appearances 4:4 | 17:7 47:8 |
| 33436 3:4 | added 13:10 addition 33:8 | APPEARING | Avenue 2:23 3:21 |
| 34th 2:2 | | 2:1,5,9,13,18 | avoiding 33:16 |
| 3615 3:4 | adjourned 46:17 | 3:1,6 | away 8:6 39:15 |
| | administration 8:10 43:22 | apply 47:18 | 40:25 |
| 4 | administrator | appoint 6:12 25:2 | |
| 46 47:10 | 21:24 | 26:16 39:16 42:7 | B back-dated 44:21 |
| 4855 2:7,11 | admission 44:5 | appointed 13:6 | |
| 4th 14:17 | affairs 32:25 | 25:18 26:21 | bailiff 37:10 |
| | | 32:22 35:25 | barely 41:1 |

Page 2 of 9

based 7:15 34:5 35:19 basic 35:20 basis 15:15 16:17 22:23 37:21 Bay 3:8,9 Beach 1:1 2:21,24 3:4.22 47:4 become 32:22 25:11 begin 13:13,15 beginning 3:23 41:10 42:18 behalf 2:1,5,9,13,18 3:1,6 4:7,14,17 book 40:24 6:3,16 believe 7:11 9:11 bow 29:20 25:18 26:6 27:11 44:6 Box 3:11 beneficiaries 29:5 34:11 44:9 beneficiary 30:2 34:6,14 35:4 Bernstein 1:6,8,14 2:2,18 3:15.16 4:3,18,24 5:2,3 6:3 7:2 business 9:11,14,18,23 10:1 11:6,7,13,15,18 ,22 12:1,4 14:12,14,22 17:4,9,11,12,20 ,23,25 19:16,22,24 20:1 21:5,13,14 22:20,22,24,25 23:5,7 25:3,7 28:7 29:15,17 30:1,24,25 31:2 32:9,10,21 card 46:1 33:7,13,15 34:18,24 35:2,12,15,18,2 4 36:12,17 38:17 44:4,20 Bernsteins 31:7 28:3 Bernstein's 21:23 43:4 23:5 34:2 cases 7:4 better 38:14 39:6 43:9 Casey 25:9 bigger 6:25 cause 3:19 bit 36:13 39:5 Center 3:8 blame 35:2 centrally 44:11

Blanc's 31:23 blank 10:17 12:23,25 13:2 bleeding 44:12 Block 2:14,15 4:5 5:7,14,20 12:8 13:3 14:5,7 20:22 21:4,10 Board-certified Boca 2:3,8,12,16 bona 37:4,20 bond 11:20,24 bonding 11:18 Boulevard 3:4 Bovnton 3:4 breach 31:9,10 Brian 25:9 27:25 brief 30:11 37:12 brings 30:25 brought 31:1 44:2 13:2,12,23 14:16 31:6 C calendar 41:1 calendaring 40:10 Candice 3:16 capacities 31:3 capacity 17:10 19:10 35:3 case 1:2 4:2 5:25 7:12,18 10:21 16:12,16 17:21 18:16,24 23:6 25;21 27:10,11 38:11,19,20

certain 38:23 39:5 certainly 33:5 34:25 CERTIFICATE 47:1 certification 47:18 certify 47:8,13 changes 12:21 character 35:20 Chicago 33:24 children 27:13 33:5,6,15 34:11 Ciklin 25:10 circuit 1:1 31:20 circulate 15:7 circulated 9:2 circumstances 16:6 City 3:22 civil 31:20 claim 34:9 claimant 4:17 claims 31:8 32:11 34:21 clarify 16:15,20 clause 8:14,17 44:21 **clean** 16:8 clear 16:3 32:20 Clearly 6:9 client 30:1 40:21 45:1 clients 10:8 client's 34;21 closing 35:8 co-defendants 31:13 32:13,15 Colin 1:21 3:20 4:2 comes 39:2 coming 42:19 comment 6:25 common 25:22 companies 31:7 companion 14:21 company 33:25

compensation 28:9 competing 39:23 complaint 31:14,16,17,18, 19,24 32:1,7,12 complicated 45:14 computer 40:25 concern 7:21 concerning 23:2 concerted 33:12 concludes 20:16 conduct 16:7 34:5. conducted 35:20 conflict 26:6 29:20 32:20 34:5 35:18 37:5 conflicts 33:4 confused 17:18 connection 35:14,15 consensus 28:1 consent 6:9 consider 6:21 22:5 consulted 22:25 38:16 content 37:23 contract 31:10 contribution 32:16 control 47:20 controlled 31:4 conversation 37:14 conversations 23:2 co-personal 6:6 7:22 17:2,8,17 29:19 copies 14:18 21:3 co-PR 5:10 19:11 co-PRs 5:8 19:4 copy 5:13,15 9:10,13,17 42:25 46:8 corporations 31:4 correct 5:6 15:9 17:22 18:4,24 19:23 28:24

29:7 31:21

| | | | Page 3 of 9 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 39:23 | 45:2,4,6,12,18, | 46:2 | 43:23 44:8 |
| counsel 1:12 4:4 | 22 46:8,11,15 | Delray 3:22 40:21 | documents 7:6,15 |
| 6:1,22 13:8 | CourtCall 42:23 | denied 20:9 | 23:4 44:17 |
| 14:22 15:23 | courtesy 5:13 | depending 32:16 | dollars 10:17 |
| 18:6 19:17 23:11 25:8 27:3 | Courthouse 3:21 | | Donald 1:13 |
| 36:6 39:3,25 | courtroom 3:21 | derived 26:14 | 2:6,13 4:5,6,14 |
| 42:21 43:7 | 4:19 21:11 31:6 | designated | 18:7 19:7 |
| 45:25 46:2 47:14,16 | COWAN 3:8 | 28:18,20 34:14 | done 13:23 |
| | creates 33:3 | determine 24:4 | 40:15,19 41:15,22 43:10 |
| count 32:7,8 | | determined 8:8 | 45;18 |
| County 1:1 | creditor 3:1 30:19 33:17,22 | die 28:14 | double-checked |
| 3:20,22 47:4 | criminal 7:4 | died 28:16 | 39:1 |
| couple 14:3 23:1 | | different 18:10 | drafted 7:19 |
| course 43:22 | cross-claims 32:15 | direct 27:3 | 25:24 |
| court 1:1 | CIOSSES 35:5 | 34:1,18,19 | drafting 8:10 |
| 4:1,9,11,21 5:1,4,12,15,21 | | 47:20 | draw 32:6 |
| 6:14,22 | curator 10:16,21 12:7 | direction 47:20 | Drive 2:20 |
| 8:5,13,16,19 | 21:13,15,24 | directly 33:14 | |
| 9:1,7,13,16,20, | 24:14 | 34:2 42:21 | During 31:5 |
| 25 10:3,7,12,13,18 | 25:3,12,18 26:2,19 32:23 | 47:17 | duty 31:9 |
| ,25 | 39:16 45:15 | discharge 5:6,8,25 6:10 | |
| 11:4,6,9,14,17, | current 24:3 | 8:22 11:21 | E easily 32:14,25 |
| 20,24 12:2,5,10,13,15 | | discharged 8:7 | - |
| ,19,24 | D | 12:2 18:19 | effort 33:12 |
| 13:8,11,15,25 | date 1:24 6:8 | discharging 11:22 | either 9:5 15:14 32:22 |
| 14:6,8,13,15,23 15:4,12,18,23,2 | 13:5 | disclose 43:18 | Eliot 1:8 2:2 5:3 |
| 4,25 | Dated 47:21 | disclosed 45:1 | 9:9,13 11:6 |
| 16:10,17,19,22, | David 3:16 | discovered 43:23 | 14:10 21:14 |
| 24 17:9,14,18,24 | day 3:24 14:16 | discovery 7:7 | 22:19,24,25 23:5 25:7,19 |
| 18:2,5,9,15,21 | 41:16 47:21 | 32;13 | 26:4 |
| 19:1,6,9,13,18, | days 9:15 | discretion 10:19 | 29:11,13,16 |
| 21,25 20:3,6,9,13,19, | 13:2,3,6,7,13,2 3 14:5 | 16:20 | 36:17 38:16 44:2 45:15 |
| 23 21:2,8,12,20 | | discussed 36:13 | |
| 22:2,9,12,15,18 | <pre>dealt 38:10,19 44:21</pre> | discussion 36:22 | else 6:8 27:16 28:23 43:13 |
| 23:10,19,23 24:7,11,16,18,2 | Deceased 1:7 | dispose 39:7 | email 33:12 |
| 4 25:4,13,16 | | dispute 34:9 | |
| 26:1,8,12,16,17 | decedent 25:23 28:13 | | emails 33:9,22 |
| ,24 27:2,4,7,9,15,2 | decedent's 6:4 | disqualification 23:11 | employee 47:14,15 |
| 0 28:14,18,23 | decide 37:23 | disqualified | enforce 12:20 |
| 29:1,6,8,14,16, | | 37:24 | entered 15:24 |
| 22 30:6,8,10,12,16 | decision 10:22 | disgualify 24:5 | entering 24:3 |
| 31:20 32:3 34:6 | <pre>defendant 6:3 32:24</pre> | 36:11 38:23 | entire 12:11 |
| 35:7,13 | | 39:2,19 42:6 | 44:24 |
| 36:1,5,8,13 | defendants 30:21 | 43:6 | Esq |
| 37:8,13,17,20,2 5 38:8 39:3 | defer 24:3 | District 34:17 | 2:6,10,14,19,22 3:2,3,7,11,16 |
| 40:1,3,8,20,22 | definitely 23:13 | division 1:2 | |
| 41:8,20,24 | degree 32:17 | 31:23 | estate 1:6 4:3,18 7:6,24 8:2,11 |
| 42:2,9,13,16,20 43:19 | delay 40:7 | Dixie 3:9 | 13:19 14:22 |
| 44:1,13,22 | deliver 13:16 | document 31:25 | 17:3,13,23,25 |
| | | | |

Page 4 of 9

18:14 19:5,16,24,25 24:12,14 27:12 28:11 30:2,20 31:8 32:25 33:2,14,21 34:3,15,22 35:4,15,16 43:22 et 1:16 everybody 15:7 24:1 39:11 43:16 everyone 24:16,18 27:16,22 29:6 40:13,16 41:17 42:13 45:8 everything 6:8 13:14,17 43:13 evidence 23:9 35:17 36:3 evidentiary 42:6 43:5 evidently 43:22 44:25 exactly 38:18 Except 11:15 excuse 5:12 6:11 16:23 21:5 29:15 Exhibit 32:5 exhibits 33:11 existed 44:17 expand 42:4 extent 8:8 **extra** 46:8 ਸ fabricated 43:23,24 44:25 fact 25:19 26:5 37:22 fairly 30:11 family 38:10 father 28:13 31:10 father's 7:19 30:3 favorable 26:21 fax 42:25 Feaman 3:2,3 4:16,17 6:15

10:9,11,14 11:2 13:9,12,21 21:18 22:6,11,14,16,1 9 23:15,22 24:6,17,21 25:4,6,14 26:3 27:24 29:7,12,13 30:7,11,13,17 31:22 32:4 34:8 35:9 36:4,9,21,25 37:16,19 38:7 39:14,24 40:2,5,17 41:12,19,22 42:14,24 46:5 Feaman's 22:2 46:12 February 1:24 3:24 11:8 Federal 2:15 feel 29:21 43:17 fees 26:19 33:3 fide 37:4,21 fiduciary 31:9 Fifteen 14:7,8 FIFTEENTH 1:1 file 23:24 40:5,17 43:12 filed 20:17 33:24 36:23 filing 11:8 37:21 46:4,5 financially 47:16 fine 13:7 27:19 41:25 firm 2:23 7:1,13 16:25 19:2 25:9 first 5:5 15:19 30:6 32:6 37:9 39:12 41:16 FITZGERALD 2:19 five 13:12 27:13 Flagler 2:20 Florida 1:1 2:3,8,12,16,21, 24 3:4,9,12,23 47:3,7 folks 4:2 14:15 20:20 foregoing 47:9

forged 44:8 forgeries 7:5 forgery 7:4,10 44:16 form 10:10 11:11 38:8,17 forth 25:25 forward 23:8,18 25:21 37:3,18 38:24 39:21 FPR 47:23 fraud 31:10 Freidman 4:8 F-r-e-i-d-m-a-n 4:13 FRIEDSTEIN 3:6 fund 12:6 G germane 23:4 getting 8:24 given 38:14 Glasko 3:7 4:7 6:23 10:8 27:25 G-1-a-s-k-o 4:10 **GLASKO** 4:7,10,12 6:23 8:15,18,23 10:5 12:11,14 14:4,24 15:17,22 16:15,19 18:11,17 20:4,8,12 24:10,22 25:17 26:4,11,15,23 27:2,5,8,19 36:7 42:15,17 45:21,23 46:6,14 GOLDEN 3:8 governmental 35:16 grandchildren 33:7 granted 18:22 20:15 39:20 grounds 36:11 guess 25:10 Guffey 3:3 4:20 н

happy 29:17,18 hat 26:9 haven't 8:24 9:5 13:20 having 28:5 37:1 hear 39:11,12,18 45:6 heard 7:16 9:1 27:22 37:2 45:24 46:6 hearing 7:24 20:17 23:21 24:2 27:21 40:19 42:7,19 43:5,10 44:14 46:17 hearings 44:15 help 41:14 helpful 9:21 41:12 herein 47:11 here's 12:16 Heritage 33:25 34:13 he's 11:15 28:8 30:19,20 35:4 36:14 40:2 46:4 Highway 2:15 3:9 hold 5:21 12:24 40:23 42:2 Honor 4:16,23 5:3 6:3,8,15,20 8:3,8 9:6 10:11,15 11:5 12:22 13:10,24 14:20 15:10 16:23 19:12,14,20,24 20:2,16,25 21:5 22:1,8 23:15 24:9,15,23 25:15 28:7 29:15,25 30:7,9,14,22 31:15,18,22 32:1,5,14,20 33:8,18 34:9,20 35:1,9,11,21,22 37:15,16,22 40:6,18 41:5,25 42:8,12 43:17,25 44:10,20 45:11,17 HONORABLE 1:21

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

handle 6:8 38:14

Page 5 of 9

host 33:4 hours 41:4 42:10 Τ Iantoni 4:8,12 T-a-p-t-o-n-i 4:12 IANTONI 3:6 I'd 29:18 32:6 45:23,25 46:1 I'll 12:17,18 14:18 15:4,19 29:19 35:9 36:3 39:14,15 40:5 42:4,21 43:13 45:6,25 Illinois 34:17 I'm 4:2,23 8:15 11:24 12:10,15,18 13:6 17:18 19:19 20:23 22:16,23 23:8,23 26:11 27:20,21 29:17,18 30:4 36:10,19 37:23 38:22,23 39:11 40:12 41:1,5,6 42:19,24 43:4 44:19 45:23 imaged 38:13 immediately 39:7 40:15 impleader 34:16 important 38:9 43:18 include 33:21 inconvenient 41:11indemnification 32:16 independent 25:18 26:1,5,12,13 27:24,25 29;13,14,18 indirectly 47:17 individual 31:3 32:24 33:3 35:3 individually 17:15,16 inducement 31:11 inference 7:12

influence 7:11,17 informal 37:14 instance 44:23 insubstantial 34:20 insurance 31:7 33:25 34:1,7,10,12 intend 7:16 33:10 intended 17:5 interest 29:4 32:20 34:5 35:19 37:5 40:6 interested 5:17 6:1,16 28:5 33:20 47:16 interests 31:13 interference 7:18 intestate 28:15 involved 28:6,11 44:11 involvement 26:25 Irwin 2:14,15 4:5 isn't 17:11 44:3 45:14 issue 6:25 26:20 38:3,10,18 44:16 45:7 issues 7:3 8:11,21 25:20 45:14 iterations 31:15 it's 5:23 13:4 14:16 16:3 22:11,12 23:24 25:10 29:9 30:11 31:14 32:4,9 34:19,20 37:3 38:12,24 39:5,6,19,20 41:11 43:3,17 IV 32:7,8 **IVAN** 1:8 I've 7:14,15,16,23 9:14 12:21 25:8 36:1,14 38:2 40:19 46:10 J January 45:1 Jill 3:6 4:8

jobs 33:18 John 2:22 4:23 joined 4:23 judge 3:20 4:2 6:23 7:21 8:3 10:6 14:24 15:17 16:19 18:11 20:4 25:17 27:6,19 31:23 39:4 42:15,17 45:21 46:10 JUDICIAL 1:1 July 47:21 jurisdiction 8:5 12:20 15:1,25 16:20 20:5 jury 32:17 Κ kids 34:3 knowledge 5:11 28:10,12 KONOPKA 2:20 L language 12:17 last 4:9 7:13 9:15 26:18 **later** 6:8,12 13:4 40:21 law 2:15,23 3:8 7:1 15:18,19 19:2 25:9 35:11 38:10 lawsuit 33:24 lawyer 15:21 39:6 lawyers 7:22 16:1,4 learned 23:12 learning 44:19 least 24:1 27:11 leaving 39:18 41:5 legal 15:15 16:10 less 39:5 let's 8:3 37:8 41:9 44:14 letters 8:20 12:3 liabilities 28:13

34;1,2,7 limited 7:14 line 35:5 Lisa 3:6 4:8 litem 21:25 literally 40:14 litigated 16:6 litigation 15:3 16:5 28:11 little 30:18 36:13 38:1 39:5 live 16:5 long 13:9 38:11 longer 26:25 LORRAINE 47:6,23 **lost** 34:12 lot 30:23 Lubitz 25:10 М mail 21:21 majority 29:4 managing 32:24 March 14:17 41:7 marked 32:4 Martin 1:21 3:20 matter 5:5 22:7,22 43:10 may 5:23 6:5 9:4 10:21 15:2 23:12 24:3 26:20 27:5 30:15 31:12 32:2,17 36:11,16 41:13 42:17 44:18 Maybe 9:2 41:14 mean 15:12 26:2,9 39:8 40:12 41:17,20 44:15 45:8,12,13 means 47:19 meantime 23:25 meeting 40:21 mentioned 33:23 merits 24:4 method 28:2 Miami 3:12

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

life 31:7

Page 6 of 9

37:7,15,22 40:4 opened 7:5 40:16 million 34:21 notary 44:21 41:5,25 42:8,12 mind 15:6 notes 47:12 opening 27:17 43:17,20 30:8 35:8 mine 22:14 46:9 nothing 21:8 44:19,23 36:1,5 45:3,11,17 minutes 36:20 notice 7:24 operative 31:25 46:4,10 NW 2:2 misrepresentation opposed 28:5 paragraph 10:14 **s** 35:13 12:9,11,24 opposition 22:3 mistaken 13:6 0 particularly 26:6 oral 36:10 objection 5:24 modifications parties 1:12 16:4 6:17,19 8:24 order 5:20 25;25 20:24 28:2 6:18,20 8:5,25 10:5,7,10 moments 38:21 33:20 47:15 11:3,5 12:8 9:17 10:10 mom's 7:24 14:4,23 19:18 11:12 12:6,20 party 13:1 38:16 20:3 22:21 24:7 13:5 14:21 Monday 13:18 43:6 pass 32:14 15:1,4,7,13,16, objections 5:14 money 35:3 24 16:9,13,21 passing 30:24 11:7 17:5,19 morning 6:19 past 34:5 obligated 29:21 18:3,5,12,19 22:7,20 41:16 35:14,21 19:16,20 24:4 o'clock 40:18 mother's 7:8 33:25 38:12 pay 10:16 33:2 42:19 motion 5:5,15 payable 33:14 ore 22:6,12,20 O'Connell 25:9,13 16:13 17:19 27:25 Pearson 3:11 original 25:24 19:14,16 27:12 offer 33:10 people 15:20 21:12,14,18,19, 23 22:13,21,23 20:24 26:5 others 35:13 office 2:15 4:20 23:11,25 24:5 42:22 perhaps 12:7 otherwise 33:13 29:24 36:10,22 35:19 **Oh** 12:1,24 22:12 permission 22:16 37:18,21 38:23 42:17 39:2,16,19,22 ourselves 18:1 okay 4:21 40:4,5 42:6,7 5:1,4,17,21 person 6:16 outside 21:3 43:1,6,12 6:14,22 8:23 26:2,13,21 27:1 35:18 36:21 46:5,9,11 9:1,7,16 28:5 overlap 33:1 motions 6:24 10:3,7,25 personal 1:15 11:4,6,9,14 overseas 41:6 20:17,20,21 6:13 8:1 12:1,4,5,10,19, 21:16 24:3 10:15,20 21 13:8,25 38:25 39:12,23 17:10,12 Ρ 14:8,13,15,19 45:24 46:2 18:7,18 **P.A** 2:5,7,11,20 15:12 16:10,22 28:10,12 32:23 move 13:24 35:10 3:3,8 4:15 17:14.24 33:19 35:5,25 18:2,22 moving 13:1 20:24 P.A., (and 1:12 43:20 21:20 28:1 19:9,18,21 p.m 1:25 3:23 personally 20:3,6,15 MRACHEK 2:19 1:13,14,15 21:2,12,23 P.O 3:11 multi-count 31:14 22:2,4,9,15,18 persons 5:18 6:1 Pages 47:10 23:10 24:11 myself 12:17 Peter 3:2,3 4:17 25:14 27:4,23 Palm 1:1 2:21,24 6:15 31:23 28:23 3:22 47:4 29:8,10,14,22 Ν Peter's 29:17 Palmetto 3:8,9 30:6,10,12 Nancy 3:3 4:20 petition 5:7,24 Pankauski 2:22,23 37:13,20 negligence 32:17 6:10,17 14:21 4:22,23 6:2 9:5 40:1,12 41:19,20,24 11:4,5 14:1,2 Neither 26:4 Petitioner 1:10 42:9 15:8,10 2:1 neutral 28:2 43:12,15,19 16:23,25 phone 42:18,22 **night** 7:13 44:15,22 17:11,15,22 45:6,20 19:19 20:25 pick 26:9 28:1 nobody 29:3 34:12 21:19 22:1,21 Olive 2:23 picking 44:11 noon 41:23 23:1,3,21 one-hour 23:2 pickpocket 44:14 24:9,15,23,25 nor 26:4 47:15 25:2 27:23 one's 22:9 plaintiff 30:20 Northern 34:17 28:7,16,20,24 open 7:16 36:13 29:3,23,25 36:9

Page 7 of 9

plan 27:12 pleading 21:25 pleadings 22:4 please 4:4 5:15 10:11 30:7 PLLC 2:15,23 point 27:5 32:18 44:3 policy 34:2 position 10:23 18:10 20:7 23:5,16 25:5,6 27:1 28:4 positions 27:21 PR 12:6 21:7,15 24:13 26:19 practice 39:7 43:9 prayer 6:7 predicate 30:18 preference 29:1,8 35:22 prepare 40:20 prepared 7:2 22:23 23:8 30:4,8 present 3:14 23:9 36:3 prior 16:1 24:12 36:16 44:15 pro 1:8 2:2 5:3 9:9 39:22 probably 39:21 probate 1:2 25:11 problem 8:9 procedure 24:8 proceedings 1:20 3:19 47:9 proceeds 34:1 process 13:13,16 Professional 47:7 professionally 1:13,14,16 progresses 32:13 property 13:17 proposed 5:20 6:18,20 8:25 9:17 provisions 8:4 PRs 24:12

28:19,20 pull 38:12 purpose 33:16 purposes 36:2 40:40 qualified 28:8 35:19 question 7:9 11:11 39:5 questions 30:5 quickly 39:10,14 R raised 11:8 rather 34:3 Raton 2:3,8,12,16 RE 1:5 ready 6:20 27:20 37:11 43:2 really 41:12 43:3 reason 5:18 37:5 43:20 recall 9:23 10:1 **receive** 27:13 recess 36:19 37:12 recites 10:14 record 30:5 36:21 47:11 redirect 34:24 reduced 23:13 regard 15:1 25:20,23,25 Registered 47:6 relate 7:6 16:7 related 45:15 relates 7:18 30:23 relating 8:21 relative 47:14,15 relevant 31:18 relief 6:7 25:1 remaining 39:12 remember 43:8 44:17 REMEMBERED 3:18

report 47:9 reporter 47:1,7,8,20 represent 4:24 5:8 17:1,3,20,24 18:1 23:1 30:17 representation 23:3 36:16 38:15 representative 1:15 6:13 8:2 10:16,20 17:10,12 29:19 32:23 33:19 35:6,25 representatives 6:6 7:23 17:2,8,17 18:7,18 43:21 represented 5:23 7:1 39:24 representing 5:10 15;9 22;22 36:12 37:4 reproduction 47:19 request 20:9,10 24:25 required 21:1 36:14 requirements 38:6 research 38:2 reservation 14:25 16:1,3 20:5 reserve 8:21 reserves 12:19 resign 21:6 resignation 5:7,24 6:5 8:20 11:25 resignations 6:12 resigned 28:22 43:21 resigning 12:3 respectfully 10:18 Respondents 1:17 response 21:17 22:3 33:10 34:18 46:12 result 7:5

retained 7:13 retainer 10:17 retains 8:5 rev 29:4 reveal 44:16 review 7:14 revocable 6:4 30:3 revoke 8:20 12:3 Robert 1:13 2:9,10 5:9 17:6 18:8 19:7 room 24:24 Rose 2:19 4:24 15:11 39:25 44:20 Roth 3:16 RPR 47:23 rule 36:15 rules 37:6 S se 1:8 2:2 5:3 9:9 39:22 second 5:22 7:24 18:18 31:17,19,24 32:7 seeing 9:23 10:1 seem 31:12 seems 33:5 seen 7:15,23 8:24 9:5 selection 26:25 sense 43:24 45:3 series 20:21 serve 28:8,9 served 39:10 sets 34:4 **Shirley** 18:14 19:15,24 35:14 Shirley's 19:25 shows 33:12 siblings 33:6 signed 12:21 significant 34:23 silence 23:18 Simon 1:6 4:3,18

Page 8 of 9

7:1 14:22 17:12,23,25 25:23 28:14 30:24 31:1 32:9 33:7.15 34:2 Simon's 18:15 24:12,14 single 7:17 13:18 sir 8:18 12:14 18:25 20:12,22 23:22 24:6,10,21,22 26:23 36:7 42:14 situation 24:13 26:10 29:20 35:24 37:1 **six** 10:14 11:17 12:12,13,16,19 small 41:1 somebody 26:16 27:3 someone 9:9 24:19 26:2 29:2 45:9 son 31:2,10 sooner 14:17 sorry 8:15 11:24 17:18 26:11 45:23 sort 8:9 36:12 sought 26:19 sounds 13:9 source 16:18 South 2:15,20,23 3;9,20 Spallina 1:12,13 2:5,7,9,10,11 4:15 7:20 15:6 17:1,2,6,15 18:8,23,25 19:4,7,8 21:10 24:20 28:21 45:9 specific 16:1,2 specifically 33:15 Spell 4:9 stage 29:2 stand 22:24 standards 38:14 standing 5:18 Stansbury 3:1,15

4:19 6:16

30:17,19,22 31:1,5 33:16,21,23 Stansbury's 32:5 starting 5:17 43:14 State 3:22 47:3 statement 27:18 30:8 35:8 36:2 statute 16:12,16 stay 20:20 21:1 stenographic 47:12 stenographically 47:9 stipulate 6:5,10 stipulation 15:13,20,22 stop 39:4 straightened $25 \cdot 15$ Street 2:2 striking 12:9,10,11 STUART 1:14 submission 31:16 submitted 6:18 subsequent 15:3 25:24 substitute 15:21 substitution 15:14,23 successor 1:15 10:15,20 12:6 13:5,19,22 21:15 28:24 32:23 SUE 3:6 suggest 10:18 25:7 26:15 suggested 25:8 26:3 36:9,15 suit 31:1 Suite 2:7,11,15,20,23 sum 34:20 supplied 23:3 support 20:11 sure 14:13,25 19:19 22:15,18 27:8 30:16 32:3

40:8 42:20 surety 11:19 surface 31:12 switch 44:9 system 40:10 ጥ talk 36:20 Technology 2:7,11 Ted 2:18 3:15 4:24 8:1 15:9 17:3,9,20 19:22 20:1 21:13,23 22:22 23:6 25:2,19,22 26:4,7 27:23 28:6 29:9 30:1,4,23 31:2 32:8,21 33:12 34:18 35:12,18 36:12 37:4 39:22,24 44:20 45:14Ted's 44:10 telephone 23:2 tenus 22:6,12,20 terrible 34:4 Tescher 1:12,13 2:5,6,7,11,13 4:5,6,14,15 5:9 7:19 9:4,11 12:22 13:4 14:20 16:25 17:1,6,16 18:1,4,6,7 19:7,12,14,23 20:2,16 21:10 24:20 28:21 44:6 45:9 testate 28:15,17 Thank 10:4 12:4,14 14:14 21:4 29:25 30:13 32:4 35:9 36:4 42:8 46:16 Thanks 5:16 21:2 43:16 45:20 that's 5:25 11:9 13:16,17 17:20,22 18:2,22 27:19 29:7 34:8 39:1 41:25 44:13 45:3 themselves 18:20 19:3

THEODORE 1:14 there's 10:7 37:4 Thev'll 46:15 they're 7:25 8:12 12:2,3 20:25 31:22 32:12 they've 18:10,17 third 19:14 Thirty 13:6 **THOMAS** 2:20 throughout 32:11 Thursday 40:19 41:4 43:7 till 41:7 today 4:19 6:24 13:22 19:15 23:9 30:25 31:6 33:11 40:21 42:5 44:3 45:25 tomorrow 14:11 40:6,15,18 41:18 42:1,3 43:14 45:19 46:2,5,7 tomorrow's 44:13 tonight 46:3 top 44:8 tortious 7:12,17 totality 35:23 transcription 47:10 traveling 21:25 tried 38:11 44:9 true 17:20 24:14 44:3 45:10 47:11 trust 6:4 7:8,9 21:8 25:11,25 29:4 30:3 34:10,12 43:23 44:24 trustee 1:15 6:4 26:19 30:3 trustee) (both 1:15 trustees 21:6 try 34:24 35:3 41:22 trying 34:19 40:7 41:2

Tuesday 3:23 41:6

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| turned 13:19 | 38:24 39:3 | | |
| turning 13:13 | whoever 23:24 | | |
| two-and-a-half | whole 33:4 | | |
| 42:10 | William | | |
| Two-thirty 42:3,16 | 3:1,7,11,15 4:7,18 6:23 | | |
| type 26:9 | willing 28:8 | | |
| | wills 25:11 | | |
| <u>U</u> | wit 3:24 | | |
| underlying 31:8 understand 10:25 36:2 40:8 41:13 | withdraw 5:6 7:25 14:21 16:14 17:7 18:13 19:17 | | |
| understanding 7:3,7 | Withdrawal 18:6 | | |
| understands 27:9 | withdrawing 19:9 | | |
| Understood 37:7 | witness 30:6 | | |
| undue 7:11,17 | witnesses 25:20 | | |
| uniquely 28:8 | 26:5 | | |
| unless 47:19 | WOFFORD 47:6,23 | | |
| | works 40:11 | | |
| V | world 29:9 | | |
| vacation 41:6 | write 12:17 | | |
| vacuum 45:13 | writing | | |
| various 31:3 | 23:12,14,25 36:15,23 38:1,5 | | |
| verification 38:5 | 39:8 | | |
| VOLUME 1:21 | written 37:18 | | |
| vs 1:11 | 39;9 | | |
| W | wrote 38:12 | | |
| wait 21:3 | ¥ | | |
| waived 23:17 | Yesterday 14:12 | | |
| walk 8:6 | yet 11:23 13:20 | | |
| watch 33:19 | 44:14 | | |
| weary 33:6 | you'll 42:20 | | |
| week 41:3 | yourself 18:24 yourselves 19:10 | | |
| weeks 14:3 | yourserves 19110 | | |
| WEISS 2:20 | | | |
| Welcome 4:21 | | | |
| we'll 21:2 37:9 41:22 42:9 | | 1 | |
| we're 11:22 18:15 39:18,20 45:12 | | | |
| West 2:21,24 3:21 | | | |
| we've 41:8 | | | |
| Whatever 46:6 | | | |
| wherefore 8:14,16 | | | |
| whether 7:10 35:24 37:2,23 | | | |

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME II

- - -

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2014

TIME: 2:30 P.M. - 5:03 P.M.

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB 1

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME II

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2014

TIME: 2:30 P.M. - 5:03 P.M.

| | | 49 | | | |
|---|----------|---|-------|--|--|
| | 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: | | | |
| | 2 | Eliot Bernstein, Pro se | 1 | BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following | |
| | | 2753 NW 34th Street | 2 | proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause | |
| | 3 4 | Boca Raton, Florida 33434 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S. BERNSTEIN: | 3 | before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County | |
| | 5 | Alan B. Rose, Esq. | 4 | Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 2, | |
| | | MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE | 5 | City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of | |
| | 6 | KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 | | | |
| | 7 | West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | | Florida, beginning at 2:30, on Wednesday, the 19th | |
| | 8 | laka I. Daskauski Fee | 7 | day of February, 2014, to wit: | |
| | 9 | John J. Pankauski, Esq. PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC | 8 | | |
| | | 120 South Olive Avenue, Suite 701 | 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Be seated. | |
| | 10 11 | West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | 10 | Okay. So we're back on the Estate of | |
| | 12 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR WILLIAM | 11 | Simon Bernstein, 2012CP004391. Let the record | |
| | | STANSBURY: | 12 | reflect counsel is present following | |
| | 13 | Peter M. Feaman, Esq. | 13 | yesterday's hearing and Mr. Feaman has now | |
| | 14 | Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. | 1 | | |
| | 45 | PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. | 14 | filed the verified motion to disqualify counsel | |
| | 15 | 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 | 15 | which we're going to first hear. | |
| | 16 | | 16 | So Mr. Feaman, you're up. | |
| | 17 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN AND JILL IANTONI: | 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| | 18 | AND SILE IAM ONI. | 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor. | |
| | | William H. Glasko, Esq. | 19 | Because I have not been able to provide a written | |
| | 19 | GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. Palmetto Bay Law Center | 20 | response and because you were kind enough to give | |
| | 20 | 17345 South Dixie Highway | 21 | Mr. Feaman an opening statement yesterday, may I | |
| I | 21 | Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 | 22 | | |
| | 22 | ALSO PRESENT: | | be briefly heard? | |
| | 23 | Ted S. Bernstein | 23 | THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let him give his | |
| | 24 | William Stansbury Candice Bemstein | 24 | opening statement and then you. | |
| | 25 | | 25 | Go ahead. | |
| ł | | | | | |
| | | 50 | | | |
| | 1 | INDEX | 1 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| | 2 | | 2 | Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor | |
| Ì | 3 | WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE | 3 | is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of | |
| | 4 | ELIOT BERNSTEIN | 4 | Professional Conduct dealing with prospective | |
| | 5 | Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 | 5 | clients, which states that a person who | |
| | | | 1 | • | |
| | 6 | Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86 | 6 | discusses with a lawyer the possibility of | |
| ł | 7 | JOHN PANKAUSKI | 7 | forming a client-lawyer relationship with | |
| | 8 | Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 | 8 | respect to a matter is a prospective client, at | |
| | 9 | Cross by Mr. Feaman 152 | 9 | subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, | |
| | 10 | | 10 | a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not | |
| 1 | 11 | | 11 | represent a client with an interest materially | |
| | 12 | | 12 | adverse to those of a prospective client in the | |
| | 13 | EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: | 13 | same or substantially related matter. | |
| | | | 14 | - | |
| | 14 | IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE | | In this case, Your Honor, we have filed | |
| | 15 | Exhibit Number 1 108 | 15 | our verified motion to disqualify counsel as | |
| 1 | 4.0 | | 1 1 6 | appealing acupacity Mr. Demotoin in this | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Exhibit Number 2

Exhibit Number 3

Exhibit Number 4

Exhibit Number 5

Exhibit Number 6

opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this

proceeding, which we do have a right to do.

And in that verified motion it sets forth that

prospective -- specifically Eliot Bernstein --

Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as

as a prospective client.

there was indeed a relationship formed between

The law, Your Honor, as you know, states

appearances of professional impropriety and any

that, under Florida law, attorneys must avoid

53 1 doubt is to be resolved in favor of 1 attorney. 2 disgualifications. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So stop for a second. I 3 3 We respectively submit that based upon the just want to make sure I'm looking at something --4 papers submitted that, in fact, the 4 because I agree time was quick on this. So in the 5 5 relationship as prospective counsel was -- and packet that I received, the verified motion to 6 prospective client -- was formed. We attach as 6 disqualify counsel, it's brought by the 7 7 Exhibit A to the motion an e-mail which, in petitioner, William Stansbury, as a creditor, an 8 8 fact, memorializes the existence of the interested person in the estate, and it's -- it's 9 9 relationship. And we would then respectfully verified by Eliot Bernstein and Candice Bernstein. 10 request, through our motion, that there be a 10 But it's not brought by them. It's brought only 11 disqualification in this case. I don't know 11 by William. So -- and William doesn't verify it. 12 what opposing counsel's arguments are going to 12 So the moving party doesn't verify the pleading. 13 be, so I will reserve after I hear. 13 So under the rule that governs these type of 14 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski. pleadings who has to -- two guestions -- who has 15 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. Good 15 to verify the motion; and does the motion have to 16 16 afternoon. So we're here today -- and I'm going be brought by and/or in behalf of the alleged 17 to try to be concise with my opening statements --17 aggrieved individual? 18 18 what I'm going to try to do is talk about the MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. First 19 burden of proof, the standards which I believe are 19 with regard to the verification. The verification 20 20 to exist under 4-1,18, because | don't see any has to state that I declare that the facts alleged 21 published decisions on this rule. I know our rule 21 are true. And Mr. Stansbury was not in a position 22 in Florida is a little bit different than the 22 to verify that because it would have been through 23 23 model rules that the ABA has. I'm also going to secondhand information. So we thought that the 24 try to concisely tell you what the evidence is 24 proper verification should be signed by the people 25 25 who actually are the prospective clients against going to show. 54 1 And then, in closing, I'd just like to 1 whom we say --2 address three points made by opposing counsel. 2 THE COURT: Now, I mean, they may be -- that 3 3 And thank you for this opportunity to be heard. is Eliot and Candice -- may be witnesses. But 4 This is a tire kicker case. This is a case 4 from the motion itself, the moving party is 5 5 that the litigators, trial attorneys, who get William. Eliot has not filed a motion. And so --6 6 I didn't know this until I saw the pleading -- so lots of calls from prospective clients would 7 refer to as, you know, what happens when 7 William has filed a motion. He is seeking to 8 somebody calls up a number of attorneys and 8 disqualify Mr. Pankauski from representing Ted 9 says, hey, would you take my case, and 9 Bernstein. Eliot has not filed any action on 10 10 confidential information is not relayed, and that. Has made no request for such a 11 11 disqualification. And William hasn't even signed then that attorney ends up being involved in a 12 case. Should an attorney be removed from a 12 the pleading that he filed. So let me take a look 13 13 case -- in a tire kicking case -- because at the rule for a second. MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I also have case law with 14 14 somebody is calling up and kicking the tires, 15 should Your Honor, which you have the absolute 15 regard to whether William can bring it as opposing 16 discretion to do, impose your discretion to 16 counsel. 17 prohibit Mr. Ted Bernstein from having the 17 THE COURT: As opposing party? 18 18 counsel of his choice. So let me say at the MR. FEAMAN: As opposing party, yes, sir. 19 19 THE COURT: Okay. So -- I mean, correct me beginning that Mr. Stansbury's counsel said 20 that he has a right to file a motion to 20 if I'm wrong, the rule that is both 4-1.8 and 21 disqualify my firm. We contest that, Your 21 4-1.9 are rules of professional conduct that 22 22 Honor. That's not accurate. A third party, advise about what lawyers can do under 23 23 such as a creditor like Mr. Stansbury, does not circumstances that may be involved here. But it 24 24 have standing to determine whether Mr. Ted doesn't really speak to the remedy. Both of you 25 25 Bernstein can hire someone as his or her agree with that?

3 55

| | | 57 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | 1 | primarily the responsibility of the lawyer |
| 2 | THE COURT: So either of you have cases that | 2 | undertaking the representation, opposing counsel |
| 3 | speak to the issues of whether William, as the | 3 | may properly raise the issue, as is the case here. |
| 4 | creditor, not as the purported client, who has an | 4 | That's the authority that we're relying |
| 5 | alleged conflict of interest with Mr. Pankauski, | 5 | upon that we can bring it on behalf of |
| 6 | can bring this action. And secondly, whether | 6 | Mr. Stansbury. |
| 7 | whether I can disqualify Mr. Pankauski without | 7 | THE COURT: So in this Brent case let me |
| 8 | Eliot seeking that to happen, and by William as a | 8 | see who is who. Want to be heard on that matter, |
| 9 | creditor, interested person seeking that, and | 9 | Mr. Pankauski? |
| 10 | whether I can do it based upon a verified motion | 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. |
| 11 | not signed by William. Those are the three issues | 11 | The Brent v. Smathers case is outdated. It's a |
| 12 | I see on the surface. | 12 | 1988 case. That pre-dates the 2009 change to |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | 13 | Florida Rule 4-1.18. It also is traveling under |
| 14 | THE COURT: You want to address that first? | 14 | the wrong rule of professional conduct. 4-1.9 is |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I have the burden, so | 15 | not applicable here. We both agree it's 4-1.18 |
| 16 | I'll go first. First, I know there is a rule that | 16 | dealing with a prospective client. The standards |
| 17 | when you seek to disqualify a judge, that it must | 17 | are different for a prospective client than an |
| 18 | be verified. | 18 | existing attorney-client relationship. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Right. But the and in that | 19 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me okay. Let me |
| 20 | area, the rule speaks out the procedure. | 20 | just look at that for one second. For that to be |
| 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Right. | 21 | true, Mr. Feaman, it would have to be the position |
| 22 | THE COURT: Here, the procedure in the Bar | 22 | by Eliot, through the evidence, or Eliot |
| 23 | Lawyer's Rules of Professional Conduct, it doesn't | 23 | Bernstein, that there was no lawyer-client |
| 24 | speak to it. So I assume the case law speaks to | 24 | relationship between him and Mr. Pankauski. Is |
| 25 | it. | 25 | that the case? |
| — | | | ····· |
| | | 58 | |
| 1 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are | 58 | MR. FEAMAN: Was he formerly retained, is |
| 1 | | | MR. FEAMAN: Was he formerly retained, is that your question? |
| | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are | 1 | - |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. | 1 2 | that your question? |
| 2 3 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the | 1 2 3 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm |
| 2 3 4 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? | 1 2 3 4 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to | 1 2 3 4 5 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What aboutMr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it says as to the law firm's second argument, the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What aboutMr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether this could be brought by the creditor and not by |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are silent as to the procedure. THE COURT: So what does the case law say the procedure is? MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to the procedure. We have it verified to show that these are true facts on their face being brought before the court. We didn't find a case that sets forth the procedure of how this is brought, other than this should be an evidentiary hearing. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | that your question? THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm not. MR. FEAMAN: All right. THE COURT: The cases don't use the word formal. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a prospective client. The rules make a distinction. MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What aboutMr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether |

| ······· | | |
|--|----|--|
| using this expression broadly some legal | 23 | problem. But also I'm almost positive because |
| dealings between Eliot Bernstein and the Pankauski | 24 | of some prior cases I had that the person who has |
| firm. That's what you allege, correct? | 25 | to complain is about a lawyer representing |
| | | |
| 62 | | |
| MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | 1 | someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski |
| THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has | 2 | continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the |
| not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein | 3 | person who purportedly is the benefactor of these |
| has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that | 4 | rules as an either prospective or former client. |
| Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? | 5 | But if he says no if he doesn't seek |
| MR. FEAMAN: True. | 6 | disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else |
| THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not | 7 | can has an interest, under the cases that I |
| following you almost like a matter of logic. | 8 | read, for that to happen. Let me just look here |
| With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or | 9 | if there is a case I just saw in my research. |
| any other outside person who doesn't claim a | 10 | MR. FEAMAN: The court |
| conflict of interest say I don't want | 11 | THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on |
| Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when | 12 | Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was |
| Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because | 13 | everyone said it was that rule, not the |
| Eliot is the other purported either prospective or | 14 | prospective rule. Although, from reading your |
| former client, depending upon which rule you look | 15 | motion, it's almost the identical type of case. |
| at who has a right to either complain or not. | 16 | And both lawyers in that case said, though, that |
| So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if | 17 | it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But |
| Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that | 18 | the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party |
| Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I | 19 | who said that they that the other lawyer had a |
| did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it | 20 | conflict of interest because the other lawyer |
| started where it started, ended where it ended, | 21 | here, Mr. Pankauski, had performed some |
| and, you know, it may be that Ted hired him and | 22 | lawyer-client services, and there was other |
| that's okay with me. And I'm not asking that | 23 | information that led to the because if there is |
| Mr. Pankauski not represent Ted because of some | 24 | no attorney-client relationship that is complained |
| conflict with me, Eliot. I don't I just don't | 25 | about that Eliot says was breached, I'm not sure |
| | 1 | |
| | | |

himself.

Mr. Bernstein.

because ---

understand how William Stansbury can say there is

MR. FEAMAN: Mr. Stansbury is harmed as a

THE COURT: Even if Eliot doesn't complain?

THE COURT: Okay. Show me -- I need a case

Mr. Pankauski's duties to Mr. Eliot Bernstein

that says that that's possible. Because that's

what I don't see. Eliot, I think, can complain,

and I'm not sure that it's -- which rule applies.

MR. FEAMAN: -- speak for Eliot.

MR. FEAMAN: And he may yet complain, we

THE COURT: I know. Eliot is representing

MR. FEAMAN: Nor do I prepare pleadings for

THE COURT: Eliot went so far as to sign your

verification, but it's not his motion. That's one

a conflict that Eliot doesn't say exists.

result of the apparent dereliction of

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir.

don't know. And I can't --

THE COURT: Right.

creditor is not permitted to bring this action.

The authority for that -- let me state what the

try to go up to an attorney and say you can't

represent Ted Bernstein. There is no privity

here. There is no attorney-client relationship

between my firm and the creditor, Mr. Stansbury.

case called THI Holdings, Thomas Howard Indigo

The authority for that is a 2012 Second District

Holdings, LLC. And it sets forth that privity is

required. It involved a motion to disgualify, a

motion for pro hac vice. And it says here as a

between the estate and Balassa or his firm. And

matter of undisputed facts, there is no privity

it goes on to talk about that. And then it says

it had standing to raise the disqualification

for disgualification.

even if the estate could convince this court that

issue, it cannot establish the legal requirements

THE COURT: So here's the thing that's

concerning me, Mr. Feaman. The allegation,

looking at the motion, is that there was -- I'm

authority is. Privity is required for someone to

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | 05 | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | that William has standing. And I just I mean, | 65 | can do or not do. That's not what's involved | (|
| 2 | if you have a case that says he does | 2 | here. So here when Eliot was first in time, | |
| | | | | |
| 3 | MR. FEAMAN: Only thing I have is the comment | 3 | right? | |
| 4 | to 4-1.7 | 4 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. | 5 | THE COURT: You agree with that? | |
| 6 | MR. FEAMAN: which deals with conflicts of | 6 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes. | |
| 7 | interest. | 7 | THE COURT: So Eliot sees Mr. Pankauski, or | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sure. Let me see. | 8 | his office does what he does we may be talking | |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: And it's at the end in the | 9 | about that in a few moments. And then the | |
| 10 | book I don't know if you have the book. | 10 | question becomes where Mr. Pankauski then | |
| 11 | THE COURT: I have the book, yeah. | 11 | continues to or chooses to represent someone | |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: On Page I have the 2013 | 12 | else, Ted Bernstein, it looks like Rule 4-1.18, | |
| 13 | edition. | 13 | subsection (c) applies. A lawyer subject to | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | 14 | subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with | |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Page 1985. | 15 | interest materially adverse to those of a | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Wait a minute. My Rule 4-1.8 the | 16 | prospective client in the same or substantially | |
| 17 | comments are on a different page, but what's the | 17 | related matter. And it goes on to talk about | |
| 18 | heading of the comment? | 18 | that. | |
| 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Conflict charge by an opposing | 19 | So is that the rule that you say applies? | |
| 20 | party. | 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Got that. Let me read it. | 21 | THE COURT: You agree that rule would apply? | |
| 22 | MR. FEAMAN: It says, the second sentence, in | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 23 | litigation a court may raise the question when | 23 | THE COURT: I think Rule 4-1.9, which is the | |
| 24 | there is reason to infer that the lawyer has | 24 | other conflict of interest rule, is where | |
| 25 | neglected the responsibility. | 25 | arises where there actually is a situation where | |
| | | 66 | | 1 |
| 4 | | | | |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me read the entire | 1 | Eliot Bernstein is then called a former client, | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me read the entire what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, | 1 | Eliot Bernstein is then called a former client, and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And | |
| | - | | | |
| 2 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, | 2 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And | |
| 2 3 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? | 2 3 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact | |
| 2 3 4 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to | 2 3 4 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. | |
| 2 3 4 5 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. | 2 3 4 5 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again | 2 3 4 5 6 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or | |
| 2 4 5 7 8 9 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? | |
| 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? | |
| 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 15 14 15 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 16 17 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 $ | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a prospective client, the way the rule starts, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 7 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 21 \\ 11 \\ 1$ | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a prospective client, the way the rule starts, there is a focus about when he purportedly | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party who is harmed by the potential conflicts of | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12$ | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a prospective client, the way the rule starts, there is a focus about when he purportedly consults with Mr. Pankauski and he's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party who is harmed by the potential conflicts of interest, either as a prospective client of | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 5 16 17 18 19 20 12 22 23 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a prospective client, the way the rule starts, there is a focus about when he purportedly consults with Mr. Pankauski and he's a prospective client, if Mr. Pankauski has some | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party who is harmed by the potential conflicts of interest, either as a prospective client of Mr. Pankauski or former client, if it got that | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | what subsection of 4-1.8 do you say applies, Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Well, our motion speaks to 4-1.18. THE COURT: Eighteen. Okay. Say that again 4 MR. FEAMAN: 4-1.18. THE COURT: Let me look at that, it's different. Okay. That's duties to prospective client. Let's read. MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: Let me read that. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me look at the comments for a second. Okay. So I think there is maybe a little overlap here. If Eliot Bernstein is a prospective client, the way the rule starts, there is a focus about when he purportedly consults with Mr. Pankauski and he's a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact same language. So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former client or a prospective client, but if he's first in line, then the rule is almost identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can represent Ted Bernstein in the same or related substantially related matter. The language is the same, true? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: You agree? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, though, the procedural aspect as to whether William can bring that without William Stansbury can bring this complaint in connection with the motion to disqualify when Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party who is harmed by the potential conflicts of interest, either as a prospective client of | |

71

72

| <u> </u> | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | 69 | |
| 1 | behalf of Mr. Stansbury, is that because Eliot | 1 | Bernstein received notice of that. He's chosen to |
| 2 | Bernstein's interests are more closely aligned | 2 | go without counsel. He's chosen not to seek any |
| 3 | with Mr. Stansbury's, and are clearly adverse to | 3 | affirmative relief. |
| 4 | Ted Bernstein, and Mr. Stansbury's interests are | 4 | THE COURT: But that that's to the case. |
| 5 | clearly adverse to Ted Bernstein, that if Eliot | 5 | But I'm talking about the motion to disqualify |
| 6 | Bernstein transmitted information as a prospective | 6 | you. |
| 7 | client to the attorney who's now Ted Bernstein's | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, that's what I am |
| 8 | lawyer, and we're adverse to him, it's our | 8 | speaking about. |
| 9 | position that we're harmed as a result of that. | 9 | THE COURT: So I mean I could do one of |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well but it's not harm | 10 | two things. I can tell Eliot go over to the |
| 11 | that's the rule is not a harm. The rule is a | 11 | library and start writing out Xeroxing this |
| 12 | conflict of interest. And the conflict of | 12 | motion, sign it yourself, and bring it in, and |
| 13 | interest has to be between Eliot and Ted. I'm not | 13 | then, you know |
| 14 | sure how it could be otherwise. | 14 | MR. PANKAUSKI: You just want an oral joinder |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, as a result of that | 15 | right now? |
| 16 | conflict of interest | 16 | THE COURT: Yeah |
| 17 | THE COURT: Well, okay. But I still have | 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's fine. |
| 18 | . to | 18 | THE COURT: that's what I'm getting at. |
| 19 | MR. FEAMAN: we're hurt. | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. Sorry, Judge. |
| 20 | THE COURT: But if Eliot says because | 20 | THE COURT: Okay. What's your position on |
| 21 | there could be waivers, says here in the rule | 21 | that? |
| 22 | 4-1.9 says a lawyer who has formerly represented a | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: But Mr. Stansbury can't do |
| 23 | client shall not thereafter represent another | 23 | it. Eliot could do it. |
| 24 | person, okay, unless and then there's unlesses | 24 | THE COURT: I think Eliot needs to be the |
| 25 | [sic] and one of those things are clearly in | 25 | complaining party. Now, I'm not saying you can't |
| | | | |
| | | 70 | · ·· |
| 1 | this case Eliot could not complain about it. | | participate as counsel to maybe help steer the |
| 1 | this case Eliot could not complain about it. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your | 70 1 2 | participate as counsel to maybe help steer the evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be |
| 1 | this case Eliot could not complain about it. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? | 1 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be |
| 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your | 1 2 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. |
| 2 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? | 1 2 3 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be |
| 2 3 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? | 1 2 3 4 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? | 1 2 3 4 5 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can I treat this motion and go forward based upon it | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the evidence. So I'm going to allow that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the |

| | | _ | | | |
|----|--|----|--------|---|-----|
| | 73 | 3 | | | 75 |
| 1 | THE COURT: So come on up and sit here so I | | 1 | administration. | |
| 2 | can look at you and Judge your credibility when I | | 2 | And that's my third point, Your Honor. | |
| 3 | hear things that are going on. So sit right there | | 3 | This isn't an adverse lawsuit. This isn't a | |
| 4 | in the middle. | | 4 | personal injury case. Mr. Ted Bernstein has | |
| 5 | So whether again, I'm not I'm not | | 5 | asked Your Honor if he can administer this | |
| 6 | sure, I won't know til the end, what rule I | | 6 | estate. He wants to be a fiduciary. As he is | |
| 7 | think this comes under, but it looks like it's | | 7 | a fiduciary | |
| 8 | either 1-9 or 1.9 or 1.18, but it sounds | | 8 | THE COURT: Okay. But the participation of | |
| 9 | like it's one of those two. | | 9 | Ted is not questioned. It's whether you can do it | |
| 10 | So, okay, so you're up first. | 1 | 0 | for him. | |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. I would call | 1 | 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. | |
| 12 | Mr. Eliot Bernstein to the stand. | 1 | 2 | THE COURT: So Ted is no one is suggesting | |
| 13 | THE COURT: I figured that would be first. | 1 | 3 | Ted is not eligible to request that he be a PR or | |
| 14 | Okay. Come on, Eliot. | 1 | 4 | curator. I mean, that wasn't objected to | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Opening statements. | 1 | 5 | yesterday. | : |
| 16 | THE COURT: He has a right to opening. So | 1 | 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. And so, if I | |
| 17 | have a seat here and I'll let Mr. Pankauski finish | 1 | 7 | may, let me go to the standard that we're | |
| 18 | his opening. | 1 | 8 | traveling under today and what we should be doing | |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thanks, Judge. And I | 1 | 9 | here. Because we are going to introduce evidence | |
| 20 | okay. Thank you, Your Honor. So concisely, we | 2 | 0 | that there was no attorney-client relationship. | |
| 21 | are traveling and I agree with Mr. Stansbury's | 2 | 1 | Evidence is going to be introduced that there was | |
| 22 | counsel under 4-1.18. The evidence is going to | 2 | 2 | no confidential information that Eliot Bernstein | |
| 23 | show that my firm never had an attorney-client | 2 | | conveyed to my law firm. The evidence is going to | |
| 24 | relationship with Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | 2 | | show that he called up trying to find an attorney | |
| 25 | And if I may, let's just we've dealt | 2 | 5 | to sue Don Tescher for malpractice regarding some | |
| | 74 | T | | | 76 |
| | | | | - to the second s | , . |
| 1 | with the standing issue of Mr. Stansbury. You | 1 | 1 | estate matters of his parents. | |
| 2 | know, I'm of the position he does not have | | 2 | I'm going to testify about | |
| 3 | standing. I'm also of the position that Eliot | | 3 | Mr. Bernstein's excuse me about | |
| 4 | lacks standing to participate in this estate administration. He's not a beneficiary under | | 4 5 | Mr. Stansbury's verified motion. I'm going to testify as to what Mr. Stansbury's counsel told | |
| 6 | the decedent's will. He's not a beneficiary | | 6 | me out there yesterday when you asked me to | |
| 7 | under the decedent's revocable trust. | | 7 | step out. And I'm going to demonstrate the | |
| 8 | I do recognize that I'm coming in late to | 1 | , 8 | amazing amount of inconsistencies in this | |
| 9 | this estate administration. | | 9 | fiction that we had an attorney-client | |
| 10 | Eliot Bernstein is not an interested | 1 | | relationship, or there is some type of | |
| 11 | person in this estate. He shouldn't even be | 1 | | confidential information that is going to be | |
| 12 | here. | 1 | | adverse to Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 13 | So I need to | 1: | 3 | So it's a three-fold test or three-prong | |
| 14 | THE COURT: What is Eliot Bernstein other | 1 | | test, Your Honor. For you to disqualify this | |
| 15 | than the brother of Ted? | 1 | 5 | firm for you to say Ted Bernstein, you can | |
| 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Nothing. | 1 | 6 | not hire the Pankauski law firm for estate | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | 1 | 7 | administration. You would have first to make a | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I mean, if this was a | 11 | 8 | finding of fact that the interests of Ted | |
| 19 | guardianship, he may have standing to come in and | 19 | 9 | Bernstein are materially adverse, not just | |
| 20 | participate in the administration of his dad's | 20 | 0 | adverse, but materially adverse to the | |
| 21 | person and property, but it's an estate. He | 2 | 1 | prospective client, Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 22 | totally lacks standing. And because he lacks | 22 | 2 | The second thing that you would need to | |
| 23 | standing, he doesn't he's not an interested | 2: | | find is that I received confidential | |
| 24 | person and can't come in and tell Ted Bernstein | 24 | | information from Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 25 | who he can hire as an attorney for an estate | 2 | 5 | And then the third thing that you would | |
| 1 | | 1 | | | I |

| | | 77 | | 79 |
|--|---|--|---|----|
| 1 | have to find is that I am going to use that | | don't volunteer, because that's important. Okay. | |
| 2 | information, that confidential information, to | | | |
| 3 | the disadvantage of Mr. Eliot Bernstein in an | | BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 4 | estate. | | Q Is your brother Ted Bernstein presently a | |
| 5 | THE COURT: That you are going to or could? | | beneficiary under the trust established by the estate | |
| 6 | I mean, it couldn't be going to | | o documents, if you know? | |
| 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That I could. Thank you, | | A I don't believe so. | |
| 8 | Your Honor. | 1 | Q That would be Ted Bernstein? | |
| 9 | So that's the standard under 4-1.18. What | | A That would be. | |
| 10 | does our Fourth District say about this? | 10 | Q And are your interests with Ted Bernstein | |
| 11 | THE COURT: I kind of want opening and not | 1 | adverse in connection with the estate of Simon Bernstein? | |
| 12 | closing. So I got that part. | 12 | 2 A Yes, sir. | |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Okay. So the standard that I | 1: | Q And how so? | |
| 14 | would direct our attention to is the Coolis | 14 | A Well, I'm pursuing Ted in a number of legal | |
| 15 | (phonetic) case. You would need to find and | 1! | actions, criminal actions, for | |
| 16 | again, it's a finding of fact that I had actual | 16 | THE COURT: So focus on the question. Okay. | |
| 17 | knowledge of material confidential information. | 17 | He didn't ask anything about criminal actions. | |
| 18 | What the Fourth has described as protected | 18 | | |
| 19 | information. The burden is on Eliot. | 19 | | |
| 20 | Finally, because disqualification of a | 20 | THE COURT: You need to pay let me | |
| 21 | party's counsel is such an egregious | 21 | finish you need to pay attention carefully to | |
| 22 | punishment, that we can't resort to | 22 | the question. Listen. Let me finish. | |
| 23 | speculation. Mr. Eliot Bernstein needs to | 23 | THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. | |
| 24 | prove by a greater weight of the evidence those | 24 | THE COURT: Okay. And not ramble outside the | |
| 25 | three prongs that I described in 4-1.18. | 25 | scope of the question. Because Mr. Feaman's | |
| | | 78 | | 80 |
| 1 | Thank you, Your Honor. | 1 | questions are designed to be tailormade for this | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the | 2 | | |
| 3 | evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we | 3 | Go ahead. | |
| 4 | see what the evidence is. | 4 | BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 5 | Okay. Raise your right hand. | 5 | Q Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| 6 | (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn | 6 | How is your interest in the estate of your | |
| 7 | by the court) | 7 | father directly, or through the trust, established by | |
| 8 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 8 | your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted | |
| 9 | BY MR. FEAMAN | e e | Bernstein? | |
| 10 | Q Thank you. Please state your name. | 10 | A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I | |
| 11 | A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. | 11 | differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. | |
| 12 | Q Your residence address? | 12 | Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your | |
| 13 | A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. | 13 | understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted | |
| 14 | Q And you are the son of the late Simon | 14 | and his children whether they should inherit under the | |
| 4 | | | estate, what is your understanding? | |
| 15 | Bernstein? | 15 | coluce, what is your understanding : | |
| 15 16 | Bernstein? A I am. | 15 16 | | |
| | | | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, Lack of | |
| 16 | A lam. | 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. | |
| 16 17 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? | 16 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it | |
| 16 17 18 19 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. | 16 17 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. | |
| 16 17 18 19 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the | 16 17 18 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? | 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point. | 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And have you had discussions with Ted | |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point. Q Okay. | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And have you had discussions with Ted concerning this? | - |

| | 81 | | | 83 |
|--|--|--|---|----|
| 1 | A Yes. | 1 | doesn't fit the question. | |
| 2 | Q How so? What has he indicated to you? | 2 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Thank you. Hold on. | |
| 3 | A He believes his children should be included | 3 | BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 4 | in the estate. | 4 | Q Are you aware that your wife sent an e-mail to | |
| 5 | Q Do you disagree with that? | 5 | Mr. Pankauski's office? | |
| 6 | A Ido. | 6 | A Yes. | |
| 7 | Q And did you, in September of last year, | 7 | Q And after that e-mail, did you personally have | |
| 8 | approach, with your wife, the law offices of | 8 | a conversation with Mr. Pankauski's office? | |
| 9 | Mr. Pankauski? | 9 | A Yes. | |
| 10 | A Yes, sir. | 10 | Q With whom did you speak? | |
| 11 | Q Do you recall about when that was? | 11 | A Mr. Pankauski. | |
| 12 | A September 20th or so, around that area. | 12 | Q Directly? | |
| 13 | Q And was the approach in person or by phone? | 13 | A Yes. | |
| 14 | A By phone. | 14 | Q Was this by telephone? | |
| 15 | Q Okay. And who called? | 15 | A Yes. | |
| 16 | A I believe my wife initiated the call. | 16 | Q For how long? | |
| 17 | Q Okay. Were you present when she made the phone | 17 | A An hour or so. | |
| 18 | call? | 18 | Q Was this shortly after or within a few days | |
| 19 | A I don't believe so. | 19 | after the e-mail was sent by your wife? | |
| 20 | Q Okay. And how long did she withdrawn. As a | 20 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 21 | result of that phone call, was there an e-mail sent to | 21 | Q And was he in possession of documents that had | |
| | your to Mr. Pankauski's office? | | been transmitted by your wife to him? | |
| 23 | A Yes, sir. | 23 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 24 | Q Do you know to whom it was sent? | 24 | Q And did you discuss with Mr. Pankauski anything | |
| 25 | A I believe to Mr. Pankauski and his assistant, | 25 | that you would consider to be confidential? | |
| | ' 82 | | { | 84 |
| 1 | Michelle Morley. | 1 | A Yeah, confidential and adverse to the | |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's | 2 | information about my brother. | |
| 3 | believing. | 3 | Q Like what? | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell | 4 | A Like what we thought about my brother's | |
| 5 | me what you know. Can you reanswer? | 5 | actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there | |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to | 6 | was forgery going on. We believed he was working with | |
| 7 | both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. | 7 | the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and | |
| 8 | BY MR. FEAMAN | 8 | Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them | |
| 9 | Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as | | in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a | |
| 10 | a follow-up to that telephone conversation? | 10 | lot of confidential information, I feel. | |
| 11 | | | | |
| | A Yes. | 11 | Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm | |
| 12 | A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? | 11 | Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? | |
| 12 13 | | 11 | | |
| 13 14 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail?A Yes.Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as | 11 12 | representing you? | |
| 13 14 15 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail | 11 12 13 14 15 | representing you? A Yes, sir. | |
| 13 14 15 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, | 11 12 13 14 15 16 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yeah, a retainer was | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So if the other your question | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yeah, a retainer was sought. | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yeah, a retainer was | |

ſ

| | | | | ТТ |
|----------------------|--|----------|---|----|
| | 8 | 5 | | 87 |
| 1 | A Yes, sir. | 1 | A Violated, you know. | |
| | Q And was it an amount of money that you didn't | | - | |
| 3 | presently have at that time? | 3 | | |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | | |
| 5 | Q And did you set about to try to obtain the | 5 | • | |
| 6 | | 6 | | |
| 7 | A I told him I would try to get it from the | 7 | | |
| | court, and make a petition to the court, which I filed | 8 | | |
| | | 9 | | |
| | with the court. And I've been waiting for an answer on | | | |
| | that. And then I would have called him back and got | 10 | | |
| | the money for him. | 11 | | |
| 12 | Q All right. Did you ever receive any | | | |
| | communication from Mr. Pankauski saying he was | 13 | | |
| | affirmatively not going to represent you? | 14 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 15 | A No. | 15 | CROSS EXAMINATION | |
| 16 | Q When did you hear that Mr. Pankauski had been | 16 | | |
| 17 | | 17 | Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bernstein. | |
| 18 | A Oh, week or two ago. | 18 | A Good afternoon, sir. | |
| 19 | Q And did you review the notice of appearance | 19 | Q In addition to contacting my law firm, you | |
| 1 | that was filed by Mr. Pankauski in this case? | 20 | | |
| 21 | A I did. | 21 | A Joel Weissman was referred to us. | |
| 22 | Q When did you receive that? | 22 | Q is that a yes? | |
| 23 | A Oh, no, I don't think I've ever reviewed a | 23 | A Yes. | |
| 24 | notice of appearance from him. | 24 | Q And you contacted Norman Fleisher? | |
| 25 | Q Okay. And when you found out that | 25 | A I might have. | |
| | | 3 | | 88 |
| | | | O Vou did contest Norman Elsisher? | |
| 1 | Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted | 1 | Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? | |
| 1 | Bernstein, what was your reaction? | 2 | A Are you telling me I did? | |
| 3 | A I contacted him and said that I felt that he | 3 | Q I'm asking you. | |
| 4 | was conflicted. And that was the first contact. | 4 | A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. | |
| 5 | Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? | 5 | Who is Norman Fleisher? | |
| 6 | A I did. | 6 | Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? | |
| 7 | Q And did you express any desire that he not | 7 | A I might have. | |
| 8 | represent Mr. Bernstein? | 8 | Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? | |
| 9 | A Yes, sir. | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. And what was his response? | 10 | THE COURT: Overruled. | |
| 11 | A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. | 11 | THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. | |
| | I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a | | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| | foliow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come | 13 | Q Please tell us what other | |
| | here and talk to the judge or something. | 14 | A The children retained Brandon Pratt. | |
| 15 | Q So this motion is not something that you have | 15 | Q Your children are minors, correct? | |
| | expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that | 16 | A Yes. | |
| | correct? | 17 | Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm | |
| 18 | A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, | 18 | Beach County are that you contacted regarding this | |
| 4 | you know, under ethical rules. | | matter? | |
| 20 | Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request | 20 | A No. | |
| 1 | in the stand of th | 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is | |
| 1 | was rejected, correct? | | | |
| 1 | A Correct. He's here. | 22 | refusing to answer my question and he hasn't | |
| 21 | • | 22 23 | refusing to answer my question and he hasn't THE COURT: I'm sorry. | |
| 21 22 23 | A Correct. He's here. | | | |
| 21 22 23 24 | A Correct. He's here.Q How do you feel as a result of his continuing | 23 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. | |

| | 89 | | | 91 |
|--|---|--|--|----------|
| 1 | THE WITNESS: I said no. | 1 | the estate and has been working closely with them and | |
| 2 | THE COURT: No, you weren't going to answer | | to block us from getting proper notices and notices of | |
| 3 | or no | | his supposed titles and information and accountings and | |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't know to tell him | | everything else too. And, you know, so I feel that we | |
| 5 | who I've contacted in Palm Beach County. | | have a differing interest in the outcome of the | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. | | estates. And I've expressed that to you and told you | |
| 7 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | about the documents, and what I thought about him | |
| 8 | Q I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't | 8 | working with Spallina and Tescher and all of those | |
| 9 | think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that I've | 9 | things. So that's stuff I don't normally tell somebody | |
| 10 | mentioned, sir, please tell us what other attorneys | 10 | unless they're asking and all my documents were | |
| 11 | you've contacted in Florida regarding this matter. | 11 | marked confidential that I sent you, all my e-mails | |
| 12 | A I don't know. | 12 | were marked confidential, et cetera. | |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's | 13 | Q You sent me e-mails? | |
| 14 | confidential. | 14 | A Me or my wife. | |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's | 15 | Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me | |
| 16 | confidential too. | 16 | e-mails? | |
| 17 | THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. | 17 | A I don't recall at this moment. | |
| 18 | So you can answer if you know of others that you | 18 | | |
| 19 | did contact. If not, say so. | 19 | A I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. | |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, | 20 | Q You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? | |
| 21 | I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I | 21 | A Yes, sir. | 1 |
| 22 | contacted and who I haven't. | 22 | Q Do you have any of those with you today? | - 1 |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 23 | A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, | |
| 24 | Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to | | weren't those e-mails? | |
| 25 | represent you in this matter? | 25 | Q Okay. Other than February 10th and | |
| | 90 | | | 92 |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| 1 | A Possibly. | 1 | February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any | |
| 1 2 | A Possibly. Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that | | February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any e-mails? | |
| 1 | • | | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. | |
| 2 3 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? | 2 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice | |
| 2 3 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys thatyou've contacted with the information that you providedto my law firm?A Not all of them. Only the ones that | 2 3 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. | 2 3 4 5 6 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Stop. Eliot, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sory. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? A I did. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? A I did. Q Other than February 10th and February 12th? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? A I did. Q Other than February 10th and February 12th? A I don't believe so. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And I believe that there's now evidence that certain | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? A I did. Q Other than February 10th and February 12th? A I don't believe so. Q Thank you. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided to my law firm? A Not all of them. Only the ones that requested information under confidentiality. Q And which lawyers A Similar to you. Q And which lawyers are those? A I can't recall. Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? A Yes. Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? A We have differing interests in the outcomes out of the estate. Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes are? A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | e-mails? A I believe my wife did. Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? A Sorry, your law firm. Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm sorry, but this is important. A No, I don't mind the badgering. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, that's not badgering. THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight question and this will go smoother. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an e-mail to my law firm? A I did. Q Other than February 10th and February 12th? A I don't believe so. | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

•

| | | т— | | <u> </u> |
|----------|---|----------|--|----------|
| | 93 | | | 95 |
| | Q You are not mentioned in the will as a | 1 | A. Do you see that that looks like an e-mail from your | |
| 2 | | | wife Candice? | |
| 3 | A Which will? | 3 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 4 | Q Your dad's will. The one that's before this | 4 | THE COURT: No, that's but that's on | |
| 5 | | 5 | February 10th. Is that what you're getting at? | |
| 6 | A I don't believe so. | 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, the date doesn't matter. | |
| 7 | Q May I approach the witness with a copy of | 7 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 8 | Simon's will? | 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 9 | THE COURT: You're allowed to do that. | 9 | Q What is mentioned in line item three? | |
| 10 | | 10 | A Copies of revised wills, trusts for Simon | |
| 11 | Q Would you like to take a look at it. If you | 11 | | |
| | can just look through your dad, Simon's, will, which I | 12 | Q Thank you. | |
| | just handed to you, can you just confirm, please, that | 13 | A That means it's an amended and restated trust | |
| 1 | you are not a beneficiary under your dad's will? | | of Simon, not the trust of Simon that you asked about, | |
| 15 | A I was convinced under this one I wasn't. But | i i | just for your edification. | |
| | I was told by Spallina and Tescher that I was a | 16 | Q And, in fact, his amended trust is your dad's | |
| 1 | personal property beneficiary or something. | 17 | last trust, correct? | |
| 18 | Q So, you know, are you a beneficiary under your | 18 | A If you believe what they are saying. | |
| 19 | dad's will that I just handed to you? | 19 | Q So you have seen your dad's trust? | |
| 20 | THE COURT: So the date of the will? | 20 | A No, I've never seen my dad's trust. I've | |
| 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, asked and answered. | 21 | seen an amended and restated trust. The original | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. Date of the | 22 | trust, I believe, has me and my two sisters as | |
| 23 | will? | 23 | beneficiary, and Ted and his children wholly excluded | |
| 24 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The date of the will is | 24 | with my sister Pam as the only non-beneficiaries in | |
| 25 | July 20 looks like first 2012. | 25 | this whole thing. | |
| | | | | 96 |
| 4 | | | • You carlies to stilled that you can't an a mail | |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. Next question. | 1 | Q You earlier testified that you sent an e-mail | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q And you are not a beneficiary of your father, | | to me, Pankauski, and my assistant. Do you recall that | |
| 3 | | 3 | testimony? | |
| 4 | Simon's, revocable trust? A l've never seen that. That's been withheld | 4 | A I believe it was my wife sent an e-mail to | |
| | and suppressed and denied by former counsel | 5 | your firm. Q Yes, But I'd like to correct that. | |
| | THE COURT: So is the answer I don't know? | 0 | | |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: No, it's I've never seen it. | 8 | A Okay. Q Your wife Candice sent an e-mail to my | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Straight answer, we'll | 9 | assistant, not to me? | |
| 10 | move through this. | 10 | A Correct. | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 11 | Q And my assistant followed up with Candice by | |
| 12 | Q Your testimony is you've never seen your dad, | | e-mail? | |
| | Simon's, revocable trust? | 13 | A Well, actually, you requested that your | |
| 14 | A That's correct. | 14 | assistant get the documents for your meeting with me. | |
| 15 | Q Do you have Exhibit A in front of you that | 15 | That's how I recall it. Candice came and asked me, and | |
| | Mr. Feaman asked you about earlier? | 16 | we sent you the information to your assistant for your | |
| 17 | A No. | 17 | review for our meeting because you were in California | |
| 18 | Q And Exhibit A was attached to the verified | 18 | or something. | |
| | motion filed by Mr. Stansbury? | 19 | Q Let's be clear. I've never spoken to your wife | |
| 20 | A No. | 20 | Candice? | |
| 21 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? | 21 | A Correct. | |
| ı~' | - shay may approach the mithoud (| | Q I have never asked Candice for any documents? | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. | 22 | | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 22 23 | • | |
| 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 23 | A Except your assistant asked Candice for | |
| 23 24 | | | • | |

| | | 1 | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | 97 | | | 9 |
| 1 c | conversation with me for an hour or so. Do you remember | 1 | read that, Mr. Bernstein? | |
| 2 ti | hat testimony? | 2 | A Mr. Pankauski | |
| 3 | A Yeah. And I believe it was two conversations | 3 | Q No, I'm sorry, I meant just read it to | |
| 4 I | had with you total. | 4 | yourself, so | |
| 5 | Q Now, it's two conversations? | 5 | A All right. | |
| 6 | A Yeah. You called me back to tell me you had | 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm sorry, I don't. I | |
| 7 fe | ound a way to pay for your bill. | 7 | should, but I don't. If you'd like to come over | |
| 8 | Q And when were those two conversations? | 8 | here, you're more than welcome to look at it with | |
| 9 | A September something. I don't have it in | 9 | me. | |
| 10 fr | ront of me today. I can check my calendar. | 10 | MR. FEAMAN: May I approach the witness? | |
| 11 | Q Do you have your calendar with you? | 11 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 12 | A I don't. | 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 13 | Q Okay. And how far apart were those two | 13 | Q Do you see about in your e-mail one, two, | |
| 14 c | onversations? | 14 | three, four, five, six bless you, Mr. Rose seven | |
| 15 | A Shortly thereafter, I believe. | 15 | lines up from the bottom? | |
| 16 | Q And they were in the evening, right? | 16 | A Correct. | |
| 17 | A I believe. | 17 | Q You see that as of February 10th, | |
| 18 | Q Both of them were? | 18 | Mr. Bernstein, your story was that I proposed a retainer | |
| 19 | A I believe. | 19 | of \$200,000? | |
| 20 | Q And you said the first one lasted an hour or | 20 | A Correct. | |
| 21 s | Do you recall how long this supposed second | 21 | Q Okay. So let me go on from there. You were | |
| 22 c | onversation lasted? | 22 | asked whether you had whether you discussed | |
| 23 | A I believe it was rather brief. | 23 | confidential information to me, and you said yes? | |
| 24 | Q Less than five minutes? | 24 | A Correct. | |
| 25 | A Maybe more. | 25 | Q And you said that it involved forgery and | |
| | 98 | | | |
| 1 | Q And I asked you for your belief is that I | 1 | Tescher and Spallina, correct? | |
| | sked you for a \$200,000 retainer? | 2 | A Yes. | |
| 3 | A No. My belief | 3 | Q Any other confidential information? | |
| 4 | THE COURT: No. No. Wait. Next guestion. | 4 | A Yeah, all kinds of stuff. | |
| 4 5 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 5 | Q Okay. | |
| | Y MR. PANKAUSKI | 6 | A We talked about in the course of our | |
| 7 | Q Isn't it your belief that strike that. | | conversation about you representing us. | |
| | Vhat's your understanding of how much I asked for a | 8 | Q Well, please tell us what that is. | |
| | etainer? | 9 | A You know, I believe we spoke mainly about the | |
| 10 | A I don't recall the exact amount for the | | problems in the estate with the forgeries and the | |
| | etainer. | 11 | notary public, the police investigations that we were | |
| 12 | THE COURT: Then stop. That's your answer. | | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe | |
| 13 | Next question. | | we talked about the various aspects of our legal | |
| | NY MR. PANKAUSKI | 14 | strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et | |
| | | 1'7 | cetera, and were looking to retain you. | |
| 14 B | Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? | 15 | and more recruin to recurry out | |
| 14 B 15 | Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. | | | |
| 14 B 15 16 | A Correct. | 16 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is | 16 17 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 18 a | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, | 16 17 18 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had aconversation about a legal strategy against the estate?A Against yes, against the estates, and the | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 18 a 19 co | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? | 16 17 18 19 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 18 a 19 cu 20 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? A Correct. | 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 18 a 19 c 20 21 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of | 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial | |
| 14 B 15 16 17 18 a 19 co 20 21 22 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of that? | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial strategy with you about suing your brother Ted? | |
| 14 B 15 17 18 a 19 c 20 21 22 23 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of that? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yeah, I should. | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial strategy with you about suing your brother Ted? A Removing the personal representative and Ted | |
| 14 B 15 17 18 a 19 c 20 21 22 23 | A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, orrect? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of that? | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial strategy with you about suing your brother Ted? | |

.

| | | 101 | | | 103 |
|--|---|-----|---|---|-----|
| 1 | Ted's interest in your dad's estate? | | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 2 | A In all the estates. | | 2 | Q So you and I did discuss malpractice against | |
| 3 | Q Okay. | | 3 | | |
| 4 | A That I told you I believe these documents of | | 4 | A Correct. | |
| 5 | 2012 were forged and fraudulent and that we had | | 5 | Q Okay. When I asked you about confidential | |
| 6 | evidence, you know, I went into all that. | | | information a moment ago, you were talking about some | |
| 7 | Q Sir, do we agree Ted is not a beneficiary of | | 7 | | |
| 8 | your dad's estate and that there would be nothing to | | 8 | | |
| 9 | remove him from? | | 9 | | |
| 10 | A It's his children, excuse me. | | 10 | A No. | |
| 11 | Q Isn't it true that you spoke to me about filing | | 11 | Q We didn't discuss a notary clause that was | |
| 1 | a malpractice action? | | | presented to this court whose notary seal was improper? | ĺ |
| 13 | A Excuse me, let me correct that. I did want | | 13 | A Not only the notary seal, but the signatures. | |
| l | you to remove Ted. Because Ted was representing that | | 14 | Q Okay. So forgive me. You and I had a | |
| 15 | he was trustee of this trust of my father's. And I | | I | discussion about a deficient notary clause, correct? | |
| 16 | expressed to you that he hadn't sent out the proper | | 16 | A A forgery and deficient notary on a forged | |
| | forms. He hadn't followed any of the rules. And that | | | document, yes. | |
| 18 | he was acting in bad faith as an alleged fiduciary | | 18 | Q Correct. And when you spoke with me in | |
| 19 | under alleged documents. | | 19 | | |
| 20 | Q You spoke to me about a potential malpractice | | 20 | | |
| 21 | action against Don Tescher? | | 20 | A Part of it. | |
| 22 | A That was only a small part. | | 22 | Q Yeah, it was public information? | |
| 22 | Q In fact, you told me that you | | 23 | A Some of it. | |
| 24 | A Excuse me, in fact, you are the one we | | 24 | Q And the criminal matters that you're talking | |
| 27 | just told you that you should fund your bill from | | | - | |
| 25 | | | 125 | | |
| 25 | | | 25 | about, those were there was already an ongoing | |
| 25 | | 102 | 25 | | 104 |
| | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher | 102 | | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September | 104 |
| 1 | | 102 | 1 | | 104 |
| 1 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher | 102 | 1 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September | 104 |
| 1 2 3 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the | 102 | 1 2 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? | 104 |
| 1 2 3 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery | 102 | 1 2 3 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? | 104 |
| 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. | 104 |
| 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that | 104 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the malpractice, I said that case against Tescher and | 102 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that I'm you might be exposing that stuff. | 104 |
| 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the | 102 | 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September of 2013? A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that | 104 |

| | | 105 | | | 107 |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| 1 | discussing in this case. | | 1 | Bernstein will 2008, Simon Bernstein | |
| 2 | Q What information about the documents? | | 2 | MR, FEAMAN: Got to go slower because the | |
| 3 | A I don't want to | | 3 | court reporter | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. He's objecting. | | 4 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. Okay. Shirley | |
| 5 | Sustained. | | 5 | Bernstein trust, 2008. Shirley Bernstein will, | |
| 6 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 6 | 2008. Simon Bernstein amended trust, 2012. Simon | |
| 7 | Q The February 10th e-mail from Candice to me | | 7 | Bernstein will, 2012. Waivers unnotarized and | |
| 8 | that's in front of you. | | 8 | notarized, transcripts part 2, 2013, 09/13, | |
| 9 | A Uh-huh. | | 9 | Bernstein Holdings, LLC, 2008, last pages, | |
| 10 | Q You would agree that those documents, 1 through | 1 | 0 | Bernstein Holdings, LLC, Shirley Bernstein | |
| 11 | 4, are the only documents that Candice provided to my law | 1 | 1 | petition for discharge. | |
| | firm? | 1 | 2 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, form. | 1 | 3 | Q Bernstein, LLC, you were not a member of that | |
| 14 | Mischaracterizes the date of the e-mail. | 1 | 4 | entity, correct? | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Is it February 12th? | 1 | 5 | A I don't know. | |
| 16 | MR. FEAMAN: It's September 20th September | 1 | 6 | Q Okay. So those are the | |
| 17 | 19th. | 1 | 7 | A I believe I am a member. | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 1 | 8 | Q Okay. So those are all the documents that | |
| 19 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 | 9 | Candice provided to my law firm? | |
| 20 | Q Thank you. I'm not even close. Thank you. | 2 | 20 | A I believe so. | |
| 21 | The e-mail that I handed you, the | 2 | 21 | Q Okay. And you did not provide any other | |
| 22 | September 20, 2013 e-mail. | 2 | 2 | documents to my law firm? | |
| ~~ | THE COURT: September 19th. | 2 | 3 | A I do not believe so. | |
| 23 | | 1 | | | |
| 23 24 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 2 | 4 | Q So all the documents that were provided to my | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | | | Q So all the documents that were provided to my law firm were documents that are either public documents | |
| 24 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | | | | |
| 24 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | 2 | 25 | | 108 |
| 24 25 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | 106 | 25 | law firm were documents that are either public documents | 108 |
| 24 25 1 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013A It's not in front of me. | 106 | 25 1 (| law firm were documents that are either public documents | 108 |
| 24 25 1 2 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it | 106 | 25 2 3 | law firm were documents that are either public documents | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is | 106 | 25 2 3 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. | 106 | 1 · 2 3 4 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I | 106 | 25 2 3 4 5 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? | 106 | 25 2 3 4 5 6 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. | 106 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go | 106 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. | 106 | 25 1 2 3 5 5 7 8 9 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 106 | 25 1 0 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what | 106 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. | 103 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 | 10 |
| 24 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 11 12 13 11 15 16 17 11 18 19 10 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? | 10 |
| 24 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 11 13 14 15 11 12 3 14 15 16 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 17 18 12 12 14 15 16 14 15 16 16 16 16 14 15 16 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | 10 |
| 24 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 3 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 12 3 4 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 12 13 14 15 6 12 21 11 12 12 22 1 12 12 12 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. | 106 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, | 10 |
| 24 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 111 111 111 111 12 2 111 111 111 12 12 111 111 111 111 12 12 111 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to this law firm? | 2 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | 25 123456789012345678901 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? | 10 |
| 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 112 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 23 11 11 11 23 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to | 2 106 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 | 25 1234567890123456789012 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? MR. PANKAUSKI: Forgive me, it's the Monday, | 10 |

| | | 109 | | ······································ | 111 |
|----------|---|-----|----------|--|-----|
| 1 | how it was transmitted, but it's the September 19, | | 1 | lawyers and you are not a client? | |
| 2 | 2013 e-mail from Michelle Morley to | | 2 | A Yeah. | |
| 3 | Mrs. Bernstein. And then the follow-up e-mail | | 3 | Q You received this letter from my office within | |
| 4 | from Mrs. Bernstein. | | 4 | | |
| 5 | THE COURT: September 19 e-mail from Michelle | 1 | 5 | A I never got it. | |
| 6 | to Candice is 1. | | 6 | Q Okay. | |
| 7 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 1 was marked in | | 7 | A I've never seen that letter in my life. | |
| 8 | evidence) | | 8 | How did you send it? | |
| 9 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: You don't get to ask questions. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: Number 2 will be the | | 10 | THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. | |
| 11 | September 20, 2013 e-mail from Candice to | | 11 | Can I show that to my wife? | |
| 12 | Michelle. | | 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 13 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 2 was marked in | | 13 | Q Mr. Stansbury's counsel, in his opening | |
| 14 | evidence) | | 14 | statement, said that a relationship was formed between | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | | | you and me. You have never signed a legal services | |
| 16 | THE COURT: So I'll need stamps on them | | | contract with my law firm? | |
| 17 | eventually. | | 17 | A Correct. | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | | 18 | Q You've never provided an initial fee or | |
| 19 | THE COURT: I have them here. | | 19 | retainer to my law firm? | |
| 20 | BY MR, PANKAUSKI | - I | 20 | A Correct. | |
| 21 | Q Do you remember when Mr. Stansbury's counsel | | 21 | MR. FEAMAN: We'll stipulate, Your Honor, | |
| 22 | questioned you about you receiving a letter from | | 22 | there is | |
| | Pankauski saying there was no representation? | | 23 | THE COURT: Stipulation accepted. Got it. | |
| 24 | A What do you mean? | | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: no formal relationship. | |
| 25 | Q I'm just asking you if you remember that | | 25 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? | | 1 2 | Q The documents that's on Exhibit 1 that Candice sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained | |
| | A Correct. | | | from Tescher and Spallina? | |
| | Q And you replied in the negative. You said you | | 3 4 | A No. | |
| | never received the letter? | | 4 5 | Q Who did you obtain those from? | |
| 6 | A Correct. | | 6 | A Christine Yates. | |
| | | | 7 | Q And who's Christine Yates? | |
| 8 | MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? | | 8 | A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Yes. | | 9 | Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | | Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? | |
| 11 | Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the | | 11 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client | |
| | one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it | | 12 | privilege. | |
| | is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your | | 13 | THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. | |
| | home address? | | 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that | |
| 15 | A Allegedly. I've never seen it. | | 15 | ground, too. | |
| 16 | Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? | | 16 | THE COURT: Well | |
| 17 | A I do. | | 17 | THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney | |
| 18 | Q And do you see in the third paragraph it | | 18 | for me and my children. | |
| | references a malpractice action? | | 19 | THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I | ĺ |
| 20 | A Yeah. | | 20 | think you need to say how you got the documents. | |
| 21 | Q And do you see in the first sentence | | 21 | THE WITNESS: She transmitted them to me. | |
| E E | | | | | |
| 122 | A Yeah. | | 21 | | |
| 22 | A Yeah. Q Excuse me, do you see in the second paragraph | | 22 23 | THE COURT: All right. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 23 | Q Excuse me, do you see in the second paragraph | | 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 23 24 | | | | - | |

| | | T | | Тe |
|----|---|----|--|-----|
| | . 113 | | | 115 |
| 1 | Q Okay. So you also hired Tripp Scott to | 1 | MR. FEAMAN: I have a relevancy objection to | |
| 2 | represent you regarding your mom and dad's estate? | 2 | number 4, the batch of documents. | |
| 3 | A I hired them to represent us, my children and | 3 | THE COURT: Let's get through the ID part of | |
| 4 | I. And then I had to split it out to just my children | 4 | it first, though. | |
| 5 | because of the conflicts. | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. | |
| 6 | Q Tripp Scott still does not represent you? | 6 | • | |
| 7 | A No, they don't now. | 7 | Q So Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that I've | |
| 8 | Q Because you can't pay them? | 8 | | |
| 9 | A Partially. | 9 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 10 | Q And Brandon Pratt doesn't represent you because | 10 | Q And that was an e-mail that you prepared? | |
| 11 | | 11 | A I'd have to read it all and check that | |
| 12 | A No. | 12 | nothing has been changed. But | |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | 13 | Q Well, I | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. | 14 | A Looks like it could be. | |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I actually overpaid him. | 15 | Q I'm sorry? | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Don't do that. Eliot, you know | 16 | A I said it looks like it could be, but I'd | |
| 17 | the rules. | 17 | have to check. There's been a lot of document | |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. | 18 | tampering going on, so I'm not going to attest to it a | |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, | 19 | hundred percent. I haven't had time to review it. | |
| 20 | Your Honor? | 20 | Q Okay. Would you turn to Page 2, please? | |
| 21 | THE COURT: May you what? | 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Approach the witness. | 22 | Q And I'm directing this question to you about | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sure. You don't have to ask me. | | your claim that you shared confidential information with | |
| 24 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | my firm. So please keep that in mind when I ask you | |
| 25 | Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a | 25 | these questions. On Page 2 excuse me. Go back to | |
| | - 114 | | | 116 |
| 1 | composite exhibit, a number of documents forgive me, | 1 | Page 1 for a moment. In this e-mail you not only sent it | |
| | it's not a composite document. It's one e-mail. And | | to Ted Bernstein, but you sent it to everybody after the | |
| | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you | | to, T-O, colon, correct? | |
| | sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? | 4 | A Is the date missing on that? | |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, | |
| 6 | you do. You were talking about a September 24 | 6 | lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. | |
| 7 | letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is | 7 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. | |
| 8 | that I think that you were short copies of | 8 | THE COURT: Let me see it. | |
| 9 | that. | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of | |
| 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. | 10 | predicate, foundation. | |
| 11 | The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move | 11 | THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is | |
| 12 | into evidence. | 12 | I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? | |
| 13 | THE COURT: Any objection. | 13 | Because you need to do that if you're going to | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | 14 | refer to it. | |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your | |
| 16 | you these things to stamp, here's one, two and | 16 | Honor. | |
| 17 | you'll have three. | 17 | THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot | |
| 18 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in | 18 | Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just | |
| 19 | evidence) | 19 | said he didn't have a chance to look at all the | |
| 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the | 20 | pages to make sure there weren't any documents | |
| 21 | stamp? | 21 | that were snuck in, in essence. | |
| 22 | MR. FEAMAN: I do. | 22 | THE WITNESS: Without the date, I would say | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Let him finish stamping them and | 23 | it's not my e-mail. | |
| 24 | then so next one will be purportedly number 4, | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Bernstein, if I | |
| 25 | but we're not there yet. | 25 | may. | |
| L | | Ł. | | |

| | | 117 | | 119 |
|----|--|-----|----|---|
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. | | 1 | give the weight I give it, I'm not sure. If |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: Without a date, Your Honor, you | | 2 | |
| 3 | can't connect confidential he's offering it for | | 3 | you remember when you sent this e-mail? |
| 4 | the purpose that somehow it was | | 4 | |
| 5 | THE COURT: First thing is to identify it. I | | 5 | |
| 6 | haven't determined more than that right now. So | | 6 | |
| 7 | this is it's being shown to Eliot Bernstein, | | 7 | |
| 8 | purportedly, to be an e-mail from him to others. | | 8 | - |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | | 9 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. So objection |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Well, now that it's missing the | | 10 | overruled. This is Number 4. |
| 11 | date, I would say it's not my e-mail. | | 11 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 4 was marked in |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So are you sure you want | | 12 | |
| 13 | me to believe that part of your testimony? Listen | | 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I get that |
| 14 | to me carefully. Because if I don't believe it, | | 14 | |
| 15 | I'm likely not to believe anything else you say. | | 15 | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll believe it. | | 16 | _ |
| 17 | THE COURT: Look at the e-mail. Let's not | | 17 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 18 | play games with me. | | 18 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I'm not. | | 19 | Q Mr. Bernstein, would you be good enough to turn |
| 20 | THE COURT: Well, that was a game playing | | 20 | |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Well, I notice right off the | | 21 | A Yes, sir. |
| 22 | bat my normal stamp on my e-mails isn't here. | | 22 | Q And so you see on Page 2 that in this |
| 23 | That scared me. So I said | | 23 | communication to all these people, this e-mail? |
| 24 | THE COURT: So is I-V-I-E-W-I-T | | 24 | A Yes, sir. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. That's all good. | | 25 | Q You're discussing forgery and fraud? |
| | | 118 | | 120 |
| 1 | THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I | | 1 | A Yes, sir. |
| 2 | mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, | | 2 | Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of |
| 3 | will we find this e-mail? | | 3 | Simon's estate, correct? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that | | 4 | A Well, this is all after our conversation by a |
| 5 | assumption. | | 5 | long time, I believe. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All | | 6 | Q Is that a yes? |
| 7 | right. But I don't know the date of it, and you | | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | can ask questions about that. But the subject is | | 8 | Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, |
| 9 | response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency | | 9 | right? |
| 10 | distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of | | 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | other things there. Okay. And then there's some | | 11 | Q And you're talking about grandchildren and |
| 12 | other dates that are in the body of this exhibit. | | 12 | beneficiaries, correct? |
| 13 | So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what? | | 13 | A Correct. |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a | | 14 | Q And if you turn back to one, you sent this to |
| 15 | date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to | | 15 | attorney Mark Manceri? |
| 16 | whether the communications that Eliot made with | | 16 | A Yes, sir. |
| 17 | Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or | | 17 | Q And you sent it to attorney Caroline Rogers? |
| 18 | not. | | 18 | A Yes, sir. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about | | 19 | Q Mark Garber? |
| 20 | that. I'm looking here at the documents and | | 20 | - |
| 21 | they that are contained in this e-mail and | | 21 | |
| 22 | there are a bunch of dates there. I see 2012, | | 22 | |
| 23 | 2013 dates, court proceedings before me at some | | 23 | |
| 24 | point in 2013. And so admissibility versus | | 24 | • |
| 25 | weight it's admissible. I mean, I may have to | | 25 | A Yes, sir. |
| | , . . | | -• | |

Γ

| <u> </u> | | | | 20 |
|----------|---|----|--|-----|
| | 12 | 1 | | 123 |
| 1 | Q Okay. | 1 | done this before and you're experienced in this. | |
| 2 | - | 2 | | |
| 3 | it. As well as the other exhibits if you've | 3 | THE WITNESS: I have never done it. | |
| 4 | stamped them. | 4 | THE COURT: Listen to the question. Okay. | |
| 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, I think we did. I'll | 5 | | |
| 6 | complete them before we leave. | 6 | repeated. Mr. Feaman is a really good trial | |
| 7 | Thank you. | 7 | | |
| 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 8 | | |
| 9 | Q Mr. Bernstein, just a couple questions about | 9 | | |
| 10 | | 10 | | |
| 11 | | 11 | | |
| 12 | believe you inherit from your dad Simon's estate, is that | 12 | | |
| | accurate. | 13 | | |
| 14 | A Ido. | 14 | 5. S | |
| 15 | Q Okay. And you don't want to have Ted be the | 15 | _ | |
| 16 | | 16 | | |
| 1 | interests are adverse to Ted's? | 17 | | |
| 18 | A And because Ted's been involved in a lot of | 18 | | |
| 19 | | 19 | | |
| 20 | · · · | 20 | | |
| 21 | Q The truth is, is that you've asked Ted for | 21 | | |
| 22 | money to live on and Ted won't give you that money? | 22 | | |
| 23 | A That's your interpretation. | 23 | | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Listen to the question. Try to | 24 | | |
| 25 | answer it. | 25 | , , , | |
| 4 | | | | 124 |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 | | |
| 2 3 | Q Yes or no? Is that a yes?A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. | 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 4 | | 4 | | |
| 4 5 | Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of your residence? | | Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay your children's tuition? | |
| 5 6 | | | • | |
| | A What happened was | | | |
| 7 | THE COURT: No. No. Listen. Stop. Stop. THE WITNESS: Yeah. | | | |
| 8 | | 8 | | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be | 9 | | |
| 10 11 | narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to the | 10 | | |
| | THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay | 12 | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | those bills. BY MR. PANKAUSK | 13 | | |
| | | | | |
| 15 | Q And Ted has refused? A Ted has depied that lanet Crain at | 15 | | |
| 16 17 | A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at | 10 | • | |
| | Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the hills And I was supposed to deal with Ted only since | 17 | | |
| | bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since | 19 | , , | |
| | she had he had volunteered to become manager of a | | | |
| | company which he didn't have legal rights to and she | 20 | | |
| 21 | didn't have the | | Q You are not currently employed? | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Stop. Stop. | 22 | A No, I am currently employed. | |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor. | 23 | Q Where are you employed? | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Your answer is stricken. So, | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Relevancy. | |
| 25 | Eliot, here's the last you know, I mean, you've | 25 | THE COURT: Yeah, tell me the relevancy. | |

| | 12 | 5 | | 127 |
|--|--|---|---|-----|
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Impeachment. | 1 | Q ls that a no? | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Impeachment of what? | | | |
| 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: This isn't about his brother | 3 | | |
| 4 | not serving in a fiduciary capacity. It's about | - | Bernstein to ask you to stop blogging about this case? | |
| 5 | his wanting for money. He's unemployed. He keeps | 5 | | |
| 6 | asking for money. | 6 | , | |
| 7 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection. Move to strike. | | | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. So the objection to that | | , , , , , , | |
| 9 | last question is sustained. | | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 10 | | |
| 11 | Q You are blogging and speaking publicly about | 11 | | |
| | the issues that you communicated to my law firm? | | case? | |
| 13 | A Anything public I communicate to hyper who | 13 | | |
| | | 14 | | |
| 14 | are watching the public record. | | | |
| 16 | Q Is that a yes? | | you before? | |
| 17 | A Ask the question again, please. MR. PANKAUSKI: Sure. The court reporter, if | 16 | | |
| 18 | - | 17 | | |
| 19 | you could read it back, please. | | the document that I just placed in front of you before, | |
| 20 | (Record read) THE WITNESS: Yes. | | ever? | |
| | | 20 | | |
| 21 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 21 | THE COURT: Wait. But, no, I'm not sure your | |
| 22 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? | 22 | | 1 |
| | THE COURT: You may. | 23 | | |
| 24 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 24 25 | | |
| 20 | Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a | 20 | before. | |
| | 12 | | | 128 |
| | 12 | 1 | | 120 |
| 1 | | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. | 120 |
| 1 | document would you like a copy? | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 120 |
| | | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 120 |
| 2 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? | 120 |
| 2 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. | 1 2 3 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? | 1 2 3 4 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? | 1 2 3 4 5 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | 1 2 3 4 5 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted beseeching you, asking you to stop blogging about this | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about Judge Colin. | 120 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | document would you like a copy? MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about | 120 |

| | | - | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----|
| | 129 | ł | | 131 |
| 1 | THE COURT: What's wrong with the form? | 1 | Q Okay. And was Mr. Ted Bernstein subsequently | |
| 2 | MR, FEAMAN: It's a statement, You have | 1 | appointed as personal representative of Shirley | |
| 3 | provided information. You have to ask a question. | | Bernstein's estate after you spoke to him? | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do it in the form of a question. | 4 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 5 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 5 | Q Do you still wish to remove Ted Bernstein as | |
| 6 | Q Have you provided information to Crystal Cox | | personal representative of the estate of Shirley | |
| | about Judge Colin? | | Bernstein? | |
| 8 | A I believe so. | 8 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 9 | Q About this estate? | 9 | Q Do you wish to remove him as trustee of in | |
| 10 | A believe so. | | what as the apparent trustee of your father's trust? | |
| 11 | Q About your mother and father's wills and | 11 | A Yes, sir. | |
| | trusts? | 12 | Q Okay. Did you discuss that with Mr. Pankauski? | |
| 13 | A don't recall. | 13 | A Yes. | |
| 14 | Q About Ted Bernstein? | 14 | MR. FEAMAN: No further questions. | |
| 15 | A Yes. | 15 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| i i | | | • | |
| 16 | Q And after you provided this information to Crystal Cox, Crystal Cox blogged about those things? | 16 17 | All right. Thanks. You can step down, Eliot. | |
| | | | | |
| 18 | A Okay. | 18 | MR. FEAMAN: The e-mails are in, so I THE COURT: One through 4 is in. | |
| 19 | Q That's a yes? | 20 | MR, FEAMAN: So there is no need for me to | |
| 20 | A That was a statement, wasn't it? | 20 | call Candice Bernstein to authenticate them. | |
| 21 | Q Okay. No, that was a leading question. | 21 | | |
| 22 | A Okay. | | THE COURT: They are in evidence. | |
| 23 | Q Do you need it read back to you? | 23 | Next witness. | |
| 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form. | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: No other witnesses. | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski, you're | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | 130 | 1 | | 132 |
| 1 | | 1 | first. | 132 |
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it | | first. MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, | 132 |
| | | 1 | | 132 |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. | 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, | 132 |
| 2 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 2 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. | 1 2 3 4 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about | 1 2 3 4 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. Q in September of 2013, about the time that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers with you today? | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. Q in September of 2013, about the time that the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers with you today? A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. Q in September of 2013, about the time that the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's office to your wife, did you talk to Mr. Pankauski about | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers with you today? A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had executed, that I'd like to file with the court, because | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. Q in September of 2013, about the time that the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's office to your wife, did you talk to Mr. Pankauski about opposing Mr. Ted Bernstein as personal representative of | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers with you today? A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had executed, that I'd like to file with the court, because they a case that says affidavits should be filed, | 132 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it back, Mr. Reporter. (Record read) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. It was a yes or no question. A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about certain of those things. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more questions. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in evidence. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. THE COURT: Redirect, yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein A Yes, sir. Q in September of 2013, about the time that the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's office to your wife, did you talk to Mr. Pankauski about | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, Mr. Rose is going to examine me. THE COURT: Okay. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I examine from the counsel table? THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. Four should be here. Thank you, Your Honor. (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE Q State your name for the record. A John Pankauski. Q Do you have any did you bring any papers with you today? A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had executed, that I'd like to file with the court, because | 132 |

| | 133 | | | 135 |
|--|---|--|---|-----|
| 1 | and introduced into evidence. | 1 | did you continue on with your trip to wherever you were | ľ |
| 2 | THE COURT: You can file the affidavit and it | 2 | going while we interrupted it? | |
| 3 | goes into the court file. You can give a copy to | 3 | A After I met with you and Mr. Ted Bernstein, I | |
| 4 | Mr. Feaman and to Eliot Bernstein. | 4 | did, I went up to Orlando. | |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. | 5 | Q Okay. Did you subsequently conduct an | 1 |
| 6 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to it as evidence. | 6 | investigation to determine whether there was anything | |
| 7 | THE COURT: Yeah. No, it's | 7 | that would prohibit you from representing my client, Ted | |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: It's hearsay and it's | 8 | Bernstein, as a fiduciary in these matters now that his | |
| 9 | cumulative. | 9 | lawyers had indicated there was a problem and they would | |
| 10 | THE COURT: You can file it. I don't know | 10 | need to withdraw? | |
| 11 | what I'm going to do with it, but you're there to | 11 | A Yes, I did. What I did is I went back and I | |
| 12 | testify live. So go ahead. But you can file | 12 | spoke to my office, I spoke to the person who does our | |
| 13 | that, the original with the clerk, and give | 13 | intake. When a prospective client calls our office, | |
| 14 | copies I'll let you give two copies for | 14 | they do not speak with an attorney, they speak with a | |
| 15 | Mr. Feaman so he can get one for Eliot. | 15 | person who does the intake. I spoke with that person. | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, I'll give those to | 16 | She said that she did recall this issue about a | |
| 17 | him now. | | backdated notary clause. She went on her computer. I | |
| 18 | BY MR. ROSE | | examined her computer screen with her. She revealed to | |
| 19 | Q Can you describe and explain to the court how | | me that Candice, the wife of Eliot Bernstein, had | |
| 20 | | 1 | spoken to her about a matter involving Don Tescher and | |
| 21 | A I did. I was contacted by you on a Sunday | | a backdated notary clause. I had her review her | |
| 22 | morning in January, I was on my way to the Estate | | e-mails. I had her review whatever documents that were | |
| 23 | Planning Institute in Orlando, and you had asked me to | | sent. She confirmed that the only documents that were | |
| 24 | change my travel plans to meet with you and Mr. Ted | | sent were public documents, wills and trusts. I think | |
| 25 | Bernstein in your office downtown. | 25 | there was a deposition transcript from a hearing. | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | 134 | | | 136 |
| 1 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the | 1 | After that, I went into my own computer, I looked at my | 136 |
| | | | After that, I went into my own computer, I looked at my e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject | 136 |
| | Q Did we give you any indication as to the | 2 | | 136 |
| 2 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? | 2 3 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject | 136 |
| 2 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting?A You did. There was a great sense of urgency | 2 3 4 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a | 136 |
| 2 3 4 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in | 2 3 4 5 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and | 2 3 4 5 6 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any duties to a prospective client and the nature of that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake person. I had one conversation with Eliot, not two. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 20 21 22 3 24 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake | 136 |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | 1: | 37 | | 139 |
|---|---|--|---|-----|
| 1 | guess, it's Eliot's wife, Candice, on Thursday, | 1 | ten minutes I can tell whether they're adverse to an | |
| | 2 September 19, 2013. Exhibit 2 is an e-mail to Michelle | | existing client, what the legal issue is, and whether I | |
| | from Candice on Friday, September 20, 2013. The | 1 | can help them or not. I try to politely then shift the | |
| - 1 | September 20th is an evening e-mail from Candice to | | | |
| | 5 Michelle, 7:10 p.m., I think that's why they're | 5 | | |
| | confused about speaking with me in the evening. | 6 | | |
| 1 | ' never spoke with Candice. I only spoke with Eliot once | | that's what happened with my conversation with Eliot | |
| | and that was in the afternoon. | | | |
| | | g | | |
| 9 | Q Do you know which day was it the 19th, the 20th or a different day, that you actually spoke with | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | 11 | 5 5 | |
| 12 | | 12 | • | |
| | was in my office. I was at my desk. I had my headset | 1 | What he did say was that there was a malpractice case, | |
| 1 | on. I don't have a headset at home. I spoke with | 14 | 0 | |
| | Mr. Bernstein and I remember it because he wanted to | 15 | | |
| 1 | sue Don Tescher. And I know Don Tescher's name. I | 16 | | |
| | don't really know Mr. Spallina, but I know Don Tescher. | | Mr. Bernstein declining to represent him, what's the date | |
| | And he was talking about a malpractice case and he was | | of that? | |
| | talking about a backdated notary. And this was like | 19 | • | |
| 1 | out of a novel, so I do remember those facts. But the | 20 | | |
| 21 | • | 21 | | 1 |
| | action. And I even referenced that in Exhibit 3; I | 22 | C I | |
| | tell him that there is a two-year statute of | 23 | | |
| 1 | limitations for malpractice. He did mention that the | 24 | • | |
| 25 | malpractice was committed within the estate of his mom | 25 | A Was September 24th a Monday? | |
| | | | | |
| | | 38 | | 140 |
| | | | | 140 |
| | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as | 1 | Q Friday the if you look | 140 |
| 2 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up | 1 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, | 140 |
| 2 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. | 1 2 3 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a | 140 |
| 2 3 4 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know | 1 2 3 4 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you | 1 2 3 4 5 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with | 1 2 3 4 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 2 13 14 15 5 16 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 17 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 2 13 14 15 5 16 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You | 140 |
| 22 33 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 6 17 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and | 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 8 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to | 140 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was | 140 |
| 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and read every single document that they want us to read, I would have no time to practice law. So I have a practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not | 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 18 19 20 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to | 140 |
| 22 33 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and read every single document that they want us to read, I would have no time to practice law. So I have a practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not | 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 18 19 20 21 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to assist Ted Bernstein with the administration of trusts and estates. Q Before you agreed to become the lawyer for Ted | 140 |
| 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and read every single document that they want us to read, I would have no time to practice law. So I have a practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not spend a lot of time on the phone with a prospective | 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to assist Ted Bernstein with the administration of trusts and estates. Q Before you agreed to become the lawyer for Ted Bernstein in this case, did you undertake, in your view, | 140 |
| 22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 21 222 233 24 | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard retainer and we don't even use the word retainer it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some type of a contingency, the conversation got short very fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and read every single document that they want us to read, I would have no time to practice law. So I have a practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not spend a lot of time on the phone with a prospective | 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 7 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. Q Could you just describe generally the nature of your law practice? A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all we do. Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do trusts and estate administration work? A Yes, that's why you called on me. You originally went to another lawyer, but he was conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to assist Ted Bernstein with the administration of trusts and estates. Q Before you agreed to become the lawyer for Ted | 140 |

| | 141 | | | 143 |
|--|---|--|---|-----|
| 1 | that might be owed to Mr. Eliot Bernstein as a | 1 | A Yes, 2014. | |
| | prospective client? | 2 | MR. ROSE: I move this into evidence, Your | ł |
| 3 | A I did. I did that twice. I mean, I did it | 3 | Honor? | |
| | when you contacted me and I met with Mr. Bernstein | 4 | THE COURT: Any objection? | |
| | subsequently to our initial meeting, which was on a | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | |
| | Sunday. That's the procedure and that's the | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Number 6. | |
| | investigation that I conducted with Michelle in my | 7 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked in | |
| 1 | office. And then subsequently I filed a motion to have | 8 | evidence) | |
| | Mr. Ted Bernstein appointed as curator. Within 48 | 9 | BY MR. ROSE | |
| | minutes I received an e-mail from Eliot Bernstein | 10 | Q If you look at Exhibit Number 6, Mr. Pankauski, | |
| 11 | saying, hey, Pankauski, you can't represent Ted. I'm | 11 | this was received by you at 5:52 p.m. on February 10th? | i |
| | going to disqualify you. When that happened, I went | 12 | A Yes. | |
| 13 | back to Michelle and I said we need to discuss this | 13 | Q And if you turn to the Page 2, it appears to be | |
| 14 | again. We re-examined everything that I've already | 14 | in response to a letter you sent Mr. Bernstein on | |
| 15 | described. I found no e-mails from Ted Bernstein or | 15 | February the 10th at 5:06 p.m.? | |
| 16 | Candice Bernstein. I felt I found no confidential | 16 | A Yes. The letter was, I believe, the motion | |
| 17 | information. I found no documents that were excuse | 17 | to appoint Ted Bernstein as curator. | |
| 18 | me I found no documents that were Eliot Bernstein's. | 18 | Q Forty-six minutes later you get this e-mail | Í |
| 19 | The only documents that Eliot Bernstein provided were | 19 | from Mr. Bernstein? | |
| 20 | someone else's that had been shared with others, like | 20 | A Yes. | |
| 21 | wills and trusts. I, again, came to the conclusion | 21 | Q And in response to receiving this e-mail you | |
| 22 | that my representation of Ted Bernstein would not | 22 | undertook the second investigation you just told us | |
| 23 | violate any duty that I owed a prospective client; such | 23 | about? | |
| 24 | as, Eliot Bernstein. I reviewed 4-1.18. I called the | 24 | A Correct. | [|
| 25 | ethics hotline of the Florida Bar. I also did a | 25 | Q Are there things in this e-mail that you find | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | 142 | | | 144 |
| 1 | 142 Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this | 1 | to be inaccurate? | 144 |
| | | 1 | to be inaccurate? A Yes. There's a number of them. In his | 144 |
| | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this | | | 144 |
| 2 3 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. | 2 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his | 144 |
| 2 3 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from | 2 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, | 144 |
| 2 3 4 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? | 2 3 4 5 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. | 2 3 4 5 6 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. We never had any meetings. We had one phone | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. We never had any meetings. We had one phone conversation for less than 30 minutes. He says, that | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSE Q Is this an e-mail you received from Eliot | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. We never had any meetings. We had one phone conversation for less than 30 minutes. He says, that you even told me and Candice once you reviewed the | 144 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this issue. Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? A I do. There's two of them. Q May I approach, Your Honor? A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. Q Do you have more than one copy of that? A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you know what, I have the extra copy. So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot Bernstein's Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, 6? A This would be THE COURT: Six. MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his second line, he says I the end of the first line, beginning of the second line I sent you over private and confidential, highly sensitive information. He didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send over any confidential information. He didn't send me anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon before the sun was setting. He said there was a series of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. We never had any meetings. We had one phone conversation for less than 30 minutes. He says, that | 144 |

| | 145 | | 147 |
|--|---|---|-----|
| 1 Candice. He didn't send me volumes of material. He | | 1 THE COURT: Yeah, I would. | Í |
| 2 sent Michelle those four or five documents that | | 2 MR. ROSE: I apologize for not having the | |
| 3 Mr. Eliot Bernstein previously identified when he | | 3 prior one. | |
| 4 testified. | 1 | 4 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 7 was marked in | 1 |
| 5 Q Did you ever agree to review the materials he | | 5 evidence) | |
| 6 sent you? | | 6 BY MR. ROSE | |
| 7 A No. | | 7 Q So 5:23 on February 11th, the day after you | |
| 8 Q Did you, in fact, ever review the materials he | | 8 received the e-mail from Mr. Bernstein, you wrote him and | |
| 9 sent you? | | 9 indicated that you disagreed with his objection to you? | |
| 10 A I did not. | | 0 A I did. I said, I disagree with the substance | |
| 11 Q Once you sent the letter on February 24 | 1 | 1 and content of your e-mail. My firm intends to remain | |
| 12 declining to represent him, you took no further action? | | 2 as counsel to your brother, Ted. | |
| 13 A Correct. | | 3 Q You received a response from Eliot Bernstein | |
| 14 Q I didn't mean to interrupt you, you can |] 1 | 4 the following day at 3:07 in the afternoon? | |
| 15 continue, if you see anything else in the letter. | 1 | 5 A Yeah. And you know who he cc'd on that? | |
| 16 A Yes. He says you also proposed a retainer of | 1 | 6 Crystal Cox, the blogger. | |
| 17 \$200,000. I've never proposed a retainer of \$200,000. | 1 | 7 Q. I guess he cc'd Crystal L. Cox and Crystal L. | |
| 18 Our retainers our standard retainer is \$15,000. | 1 | 8 Cox at liquidating trustee? | |
| 19 Q You asked Mr. Bernstein that question today and | 1 | 9 A Yes. | |
| 20 he denied that he indicated you demanded the \$200,000 | 2 | 0 Q Have you ever heard that name before? | |
| 21 retainer? | 2 | 1 A No. | |
| 22 MR. FEAMAN: Object to form. Repetitive. | 2 | 2 Q Have you seen information about you published | |
| 23 THE COURT: Sustained. | 2 | 3 on the Internet? | |
| 24 BY MR. ROSE | 2 | 4 A I have. Nothing | |
| 25 Q Anything else in the letter that's inaccurate? | 2 | 5 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | |
| | | | |
| | 146 | · · · · | 148 |
| 1 A Just a moment, please. He said, I informed | | 1 THE COURT: Yeah, Sustained, | 148 |
| 1 A Just a moment, please. He said, I informed 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to | | 1 THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. 2 THE WITNESS: I have never known | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to | | | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. | | 2 THE WITNESS: I have never known | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the | 148 |
| you I would be working on raising those monies to retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. He said he didn't have the money. That's why the | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. | 148 |
| you I would be working on raising those monies to retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. He said he didn't have the money. That's why the conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't | | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot | 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? | 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. | 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can provide assistance to that client or not. Trial | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. 21 MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can provide assistance to that client or not. Trial strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. 21 MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as 22 Exhibit 7, Your Honor. | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can provide assistance to that client or not. Trial strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share any trial strategies with me or any litigation | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. 21 MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as 22 Exhibit 7, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Any objection? | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can provide assistance to that client or not. Trial strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share any trial strategies with me or any litigation strategies with me. He says, I believe we had several | 148 |
| 2 you I would be working on raising those monies to 3 retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. 4 He said he didn't have the money. That's why the 5 conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the 6 September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent 7 you. He said he references your promise of 8 confidentiality. I've never made a promise of 9 confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't 10 make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot 11 Bernstein. 12 Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's 13 e-mail? 14 A I did. 15 Q May I approach. I 16 A I responded to him on February 11th, the next 17 day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's 18 reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. 19 And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response 20 on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. 21 MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as 22 Exhibit 7, Your Honor. | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the objection. Next question. BY MR. ROSE Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in evidence as Exhibit 7? A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think applied to our discussions, plural, to retain your firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly confidential sensitive information and strategies. I discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I know that when a prospective client calls up, that my responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can provide assistance to that client or not. Trial strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share any trial strategies with me or any litigation strategies with you directly and others with your | 148 |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | | | 41 |
|--|---|--|---|-----|
| | 145 | | | 151 |
| 1 | phone calls. He had one call with me. Then he says, | 1 | Q In what capacity do you represent Ted | |
| | including several lengthy conversations between my wife | | Bernstein? | |
| | and your employees. And, again, a large amount of | 3 | A I represent him in his fiduciary capacity. | |
| | information and strategies were given to members of | 4 | Ted Bernstein is the trustee of his dad Simon's rev | |
| | your office as well. Entirely inaccurate. His wife | 5 | trust. He's the PR of his mom's estate. He's the | |
| 1 | sent my intake person copies of Shirley's and Simon's | 6 | trustee of his mom's trust. | |
| | estate planning documents. There was no large amount | 7 | THE COURT: I got to write this down. | |
| | of information. He didn't speak with any employees | 8 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor. | |
| 9 | | 9 | THE COURT: Trustee of Simon's. | |
| | Michelle, I think that was Candice. Again, he says I | 10 | THE WITNESS: Simon's trust. | |
| 11 | | 11 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 12 | And that concludes my testimony about this | 12 | THE WITNESS: Personal representative of | |
| | e-mail, Exhibit 7. | 13 | Shirley's estate, trustee of Shirley's trust. | |
| 14 | THE COURT: You need to really move it along, | 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 15 | we're going to run out of time. | 15 | THE WITNESS: And he's seeking to be | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 16 | appointed as personal representative of Simon's | |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE | 17 | estate. | |
| 18 | Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Feaman | 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Got it. | |
| 19 | outside of the courtroom yesterday at the judge's | | BY MR. ROSE | |
| 1 | request? | 20 | Q Do you represent Mr. Bernstein individually in | |
| 21 | A I did. And I have my notes that I took | 21 | any matters? | |
| 22 | contemporaneous with that conversation. | 22 | A I do not. | |
| 23 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | 23 | Q Do you anticipate representing Mr. Bernstein | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Well, hold on. | 24 | individually in any matters? | |
| 25 | MR. FEAMAN: Materiality. | 25 | A ido not. | |
| | 150 | ┢ | | 152 |
| 1 | | | A reveu femilier with the setate plan of | 152 |
| 1 | THE COURT: Well, the only thing right now is the answer that he took notes. I don't even know | 1 | Q Are you familiar with the estate plan of Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? | |
| 3 | what the next question is, so let's wait. | 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | • | 4 | | |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? | 5 | Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes | 6 | - | |
| 7 | | | A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me | |
| 8 | yet. BY MR, ROSE | 8 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | |
| 9 | Q Are these notes you took yesterday in | 9 | THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of | |
| | | | | |
| 110 | discussion with Mr. Ecomon? | | | |
| 11 | discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: Lobject to any testimony | 10 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. | |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony | 10 11 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. | |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation | 10 11 12 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. | |
| 12 13 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall | 10 11 12 13 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under | |
| 12 13 14 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. | 10 11 12 13 14 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents | |
| 12 13 14 15 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. | 10 11 12 13 14 15 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose was to be informal, so that we'd be able to | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So time is of the essence. | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose was to be informal, so that we'd be able to determine whether this hearing was necessary. | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So time is of the essence. BY MR. ROSE | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose was to be informal, so that we'd be able to | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So time is of the essence. | |

| _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 28 |
|--|---|--|--|-----|
| | | 153 | 1 | 155 |
| 1 | way compromises his position or materially disadvantages | | 1 Q Now, the you actually spoke to this | |
| | him when you will be representing Ted as the beneficiary | | 2 particular prospective client, correct? | |
| 3 | | | 3 A Yes. | |
| 4 | A Absolutely not. | | 4 Q And you would agree with me that Mr. Eliot | |
| 5 | Q Do you anticipate there being some litigation | | 5 Bernstein was, in fact, a prospective client, correct? | |
| 6 | | | 6 A Yes. | |
| 7 | A Yeah, I do, on the trust. Not necessarily | | 7 Q Okay. You said the focus was on Don Tescher. | |
| | | | 8 But could you take a look at Exhibit 3? | |
| | to file a dec action and ask Judge Colin for | | 9 A Sure. | |
| | instructions on how property under the trust should be | 1 | 0 Q That's your rejection letter right there? | |
| 11 | | 1 | 1 A Yes. | |
| 12 | Q And as counsel, is it your intention to file a | 1 | 2 Q The reference makes no reference to | |
| 13 | dec action and follow the instructions of the court? | 1 | 3 Mr. Tescher, does it? It says, Estate of Shirley | |
| 14 | A Absolutely. | 1 | 4 Bernstein and Estate of Simon Bernstein, correct? | |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: I have nothing further, Your | 1 | 5 A Yes. | |
| 16 | Honor. | 1 | 6 Q Only. And the documents that you received, | |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feaman. | 1 | 7 which are shown on Exhibit 2, which was the e-mail from | |
| 18 | MR. FEAMAN: I'll try to be as brief as I | 1 | 8 Candice Bernstein to Michelle of your office by the | |
| 19 | can. | 1 | 9 way, how long has she worked for you? | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. | 2 | 0 A Oh, Michelle has been with us probably three | |
| 21 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 2 | 1 to four years. | |
| 22 | BY MR. FEAMAN | 2 | 2 Q Okay. And you received documents that included | |
| 23 | Q If I understood your testimony, Mr. Pankauski, | 2 | 3 the Shirley Bernstein trust, the Shirley Bernstein will, | |
| 24 | are you taking the position that there is a difference | 2 | 4 back to 2008, correct? | |
| 25 | between documents received by your office that you didn't | 2 | 5 A Michelle from my law office received those | |
| | | | | |
| | | 154 | 1 | 56 |
| | | 154 | 1. | 56 |
| | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are | | 1 documents on Exhibit 2. | 56 |
| 2 | you making a distinction? | | 1 documents on Exhibit 2. 2 Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of | 56 |
| 2 3 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in | | 1 documents on Exhibit 2. 2 Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 3 2012, correct? | 56 |
| 2 3 4 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he | 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. | 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? | 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. | 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule | 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for prospective clients. There is no duty on my behalf to | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust amendment revokes all prior amendments, which would | 56 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust amendment revokes all prior amendments, which would make prior ones a non-issue. | 56 |

157 159 1 relationship to the -- as far as you can tell -- the 1 Bernstein. 2 estate plan, like the Bernstein Holdings, LLC? 2 Q So even though you didn't learn about it then, 3 A I don't think that's accurate. It's my 3 they still sent to you the Bernstein Holdings, LLC for a 4 understanding from Ted Bernstein and Mr. Rose that 4 reason I guess you have no idea, is that right? A Yeah. I don't know why Eliot's wife, 5 Bernstein Holdings, LLC was an entity that Simon 5 6 created to own a house that Eliot lives in. So where 6 Candice, sent Michelle Bernstein Holdings, LLC other 7 Eliot lives, that's actually owned by an LLC created by 7 than she wanted someone to review them. 8 his dad, Simon. And the members of the LLC are three 8 Q That's certainly -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to 9 interrupt --9 trusts. So I think that's all part of Simon's estate 10 plan, you know, that's one way he helped out Eliot. 10 A That's all right, you're doing a great job. 11 Q By your cross-examination of Mr. Eliot 11 You know, when prospective probate clients call you, 12 Bernstein, when you asked about whether he had asked Ted 12 they won't do a document dump. They want to open up, 13 Bernstein for money, that would be money that would be 13 they want to talk to you for hours, and they want you 14 due either Eliot Bernstein's children or Eliot Bernstein 14 to read everything in the world. We don't do that. We 15 through those trusts, correct? 15 don't have the time or the patience to do it. 16 A I don't know if they're due through that Q You would agree with me that the Bernstein 16 17 trust. It's my understanding the residence that Eliot 17 Holdings, LLC certainly has nothing to do with the 18 lives in is owned in the LLC, which is responsible for 18 malpractice action against Don Tescher, isn't that 19 correct? 19 paying the real estate taxes, the maintenance and 20 everything like that. I think Eliot Bernstein asked 20 A I wouldn't say absolutely, no. I'm not 21 the trustees of those trusts for money and they've run 21 trying to be evasive. I don't think that's an element 22 out of money, so he asked Ted for more money. 22 of the purported malpractice by Tescher and Spallina. 23 23 Q And the LLC is, in fact, the Bernstein Q Okay. Thanks. 24 24 Holdings, LLC? A Sure. 25 A Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. 158 160 1 Q Correct? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. 2 A Yes. 2 MR. ROSE: Two guestions? 3 Q Okay. And you received those documents back in 3 THE COURT: Yes, that's it. 4 September, correct? 4 **RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION** 5 Α Michelle did, yes. 5 BY MR. ROSE 6 Okay. And you are aware that there is a 6 Q Bernstein Family Realty is not a beneficiary of 0 7 dispute over payments from the LLC from Mr. Ted Bernstein 7 the estate, the will, the trust, is that correct? 8 to Mr. Eliot Bernstein, correct? You asked about it on 8 A That's correct. 9 cross-examination? 9 Q Absolutely nothing to do with what proceedings 10 A There is a dispute over payments to the LLC. 10 are going to be before Judge Colin, as far as you know? 11 Q Payments from the LLC to either Mr. Eliot 11 A Absolutely correct. 12 Bernstein or his kids or for the support of the house? 12 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 A You're confusing the LLC with the trust. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat. 14 Q Okay. So the trust should be making payments 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 to the Bernstein Holdings, LLC, is that your 15 THE COURT: Any other witnesses on your end? 16 understanding? 16 MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. 17 A No. Eliot wants money from Ted individually 17 THE COURT: Okay. I have just a guestion. 18 and Ted as trustee of either Shirley or Simon's trust. 18 In the estate case, where you're representing Ted, 19 19 And Shirley and Simon's trust don't permit that's the one where Mr. Feaman you're 20 20 distributions to Eliot. representing the creditor, correct? 21 Q You first found out about the issue -- that 21 MR. FEAMAN: Yes. 22 dispute between Mr. Eliot Bernstein and Mr. Ted Bernstein 22 THE COURT: Eliot is representing himself. 23 about the money when you spoke to him in September of 23 Okay. I'll let you do this, Mr. Feaman. What's 24 2013, didn't you? 24 pending in that case now, anything? Other than 25 A No, I learned about it from Alan Rose and Ted 25 the motion to appoint a curator.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | | 1 | | |
|----------|--|-----|----|--|-----|
| | | 161 | | | 163 |
| 1 | MR. FEAMAN: In the estate itself? | | 1 | appointed as personal representative. | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Yeah. | | 2 | THE COURT: Well, hear what I'm going to do, | |
| 3 | MR. FEAMAN: I am only aware of the motion to | | 3 | and then you'll see if you want to do that. | |
| 4 | appoint Ted Bernstein as the | | 4 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | |
| 5 | THE COURT: PR. | | 5 | THE COURT: Okay. Motion to disqualify is | |
| 6 | MR. FEAMAN: personal representative. | | 6 | denied. | |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. | | 7 | The burden is on Eliot. And I'm treating | |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: But I have not reviewed the | | 8 | this as really being Eliot's motion to show | |
| 9 | file. | | 9 | that he's an interested person under 731.20. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. And so is there an | | 10 | He has not carried that burden. And so that | |
| 11 | action filed with respect to Simon Bernstein's | | 11 | would disqualify him from being someone who has | |
| 12 | trust? | | 12 | an interest in trying to stop Mr. Pankauski | |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No I'm sorry. | | 13 | from representing Ted. | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Not yet. | | 14 | And, you know, I agree with the law that | |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. Okay. Have a seat. | | 15 | counsel Mr. Feaman just cited. This is | |
| 16 | Can I see the motion that | | 16 | really a view of Eliot from a subjective point | |
| 17 | Mr. Pankauski that you filed on behalf of | | 17 | of view as to as a prospective client of | |
| 18 | Ted to be appointed PR and the motion that asks | | 18 | Mr. Pankauski, now that Mr. Pankauski is | |
| 19 | for and I think it was both counsel, | | 19 | venturing to represent Ted, which is a | |
| 20 | including Mr. Glasko for a curator instead. | | 20 | subsequent representation. Mr. Pankauski is | |
| 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | | 21 | barred from representing Ted if there are | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Let me see those physically. | | 22 | interests that in the estate that | |
| 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: This is my motion for | | 23 | materially that are materially adverse to | |
| 24 | appointment. And I can get you the response in | | 24 | those of Eliot, and the rest of the rule. I | |
| 25 | opposition. | | 25 | find that Eliot has not carried his burden of | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | 162 | | | 164 |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. I remember seeing the | | 1 | proof on that, even from a light most favorable | |
| 2 | response, but okay. So here's everyone | | 2 | to him, which I'm giving him. | |
| 3 | finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule | | 3 | So motion to disqualify denied. | |
| 4 | on everything. | | 4 | Ted's motion for appointment of himself as | |
| 5 | MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your | | 5 | curator or administrator ad litem, denied. | |
| 6 | Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you | | 6 | William Stansbury and your client is | |
| 7 | earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. | | 7 | who? | |
| 8 | Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in | | 8 | MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and | |
| 9 | considering whether the attorney-client privilege | | 9 | Jill. | |
| 10 | applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a | | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a | |
| 11 | former client, the focus is on the perspective of | | 11 | moving party in a formal sense? | |
| 12 | the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the | | 12 | MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the | |
| 13 | lawyer does after the consultation. | | 13 | decedent, Judge. | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law. | | 14 | THE COURT: But have they filed I don't | |
| 15 | All right. So yes. | | 15 | have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I | |
| 16 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling | | 16 | do have they filed requesting a that there | |
| 17 | on the appointment of curator today? | | 17 | be a curator other than Ted. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about | | 18 | MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore | |
| 19 | a minute or two. | | 19 | tenus motion. | |
| 20 | MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court | | 20 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied | |
| 21 | because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection | | 21 | only not on the merit because I'm not doing | |
| 22 | to that. And I think the court needs | | 22 | this but I don't have to because William is an | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Why? | | 23 | interested person, able to, as a secured creditor, | |
| 24 | MR. GLASKO: the court needs to hear some | | 24 | who does have an interest under the case law and | |
| 25 | information with regard to Ted's ability to be | | 25 | under the statute in having this estate, which is | |
| 1 | | | | | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | | | 54 |
|----|---|----|---|-----|
| | 16 | 5 | | 167 |
| 1 | Simon Bernstein's estate administered, taking | 1 | into something that is not or shouldn't be. | |
| 2 | himself as a creditor into consideration. | 2 | - | |
| 3 | That motion is granted. | 3 | | |
| 4 | So you are going to have the following | 4 | believe your ruling was that just that they | |
| 5 | choices: Within x days, you'll tell me, in a | 5 | were denied, and I have blank orders on that. | |
| 6 | moment, you're going to tell me how much time | 6 | That would be the order on the verified motion to | |
| 7 | you, Pankauski, Feaman, Glasko, need, to tell | 7 | | |
| 8 | me who you want as curator. If that person is | 8 | Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 9 | a lawyer, the fees are capped at \$350 an hour | 9 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 10 | and cannot exceed \$5,000 in any increment | 10 | MR. FEAMAN: Because | |
| 11 | without coming before the court and telling me | 11 | THE COURT: But you can do it for reasons I | |
| 12 | why I should raise that cap incrementally. | 12 | mentioned on the record. So if someone | |
| 13 | We're putting a cap on this situation. | 13 | MR. FEAMAN: For reasons mentioned on the | |
| 14 | Okay. So you may need to make sure you | 14 | record. | |
| 15 | can find someone who is willing to serve in | 15 | THE COURT: Yeah, that's a good way of doing | |
| 16 | that capacity. | 16 | it. That way you don't have to start recreating | |
| 17 | lf you can't agree on someone, Pankauski, | 17 | it. I gave reasons on there. | |
| 18 | you're going to write two names out; Feaman, | 18 | MR, FEAMAN: And then I have a blank order on | |
| 19 | you're going to write two names out; | 19 | a motion for appointment of curator or | |
| 20 | Mr. Glasko, you'll write two names out on a | 20 | administrator ad litem, which you denied, by Ted | |
| 21 | little piece of paper. We're going to put them | 21 | Bernstein. | |
| 22 | in a jar and you three will come in on UMC | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. That's denied. | |
| 23 | after if you, unfortunately, can't agree | 23 | MR. FEAMAN: And then the other order on | |
| 24 | upon someone, my judicial assistant will come | 24 | Mr. Stansbury not sure how much detail you want | |
| 25 | out, and in your presence, shake the jar and | 25 | to get in there. | |
| | 16 | 3 | | 168 |
| 1 | pick. So I'll have nothing to do with the | 1 | THE COURT: Well, you're going to enter that | |
| 2 | actual person because it will be someone that | 2 | order once you folks talk now. Okay. | |
| 3 | one of the three of you picks. They all the | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. | |
| 4 | only way that that won't work out is if one of | 4 | THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is | |
| 5 | the people you pick are obviously not | 5 | included in that conversation of whether you can | |
| 6 | qualified, but I assume that's not going to be | 6 | agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not | |
| 7 | the case. | 7 | suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you | |
| 8 | So you need to if we go that route, on | 8 | should be able to. I mean, especially and you | |
| 9 | the two names that each side of the three sides | 9 | may want to say here's name one, here's name two, | |
| 10 | are going to pick, make sure that they are | 10 | here's name three, just to make sure that the | |
| 11 | willing to serve in advance. | 11 | people that you now talk about will accept the | |
| 12 | Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is | 12 | assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go | |
| 13 | because under 733.501 I take a position that | 13 | actually speak to these people, make sure they | |
| 14 | given where this case is, and the hype that | 14 | accept, and then under the conditions that I'm | 1 |
| 15 | we're achieving a disconnect between what | 15 | mentioning. And then we're going to go from | |
| 16 | should be involved and what could be a sensible | 16 | there. And then you put the name in the order. | |
| 17 | straightforward estate administration on the | 17 | Okay? | |
| 18 | estate portion of the case because that's | 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 19 | all that's before me right now. And not get | 19 | THE COURT: Understand? | |
| 20 | carried on into a whole bunch of other things | 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. | |
| 21 | that aren't yet before me or not yet filed. | 21 | THE COURT: All right. Understand, Eliot? | |
| 22 | So we're going to take this in nice small | 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. | |
| 23 | steps. This order applies to the estate case | 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Great. So speak now. I'm | |
| 24 | because there is no other case before me. | 24 | going to stay, but I'm going to ask you to | |
| 25 | So we're not going to let this blossom | 25 | start go outside because we need to kind of | |
| 1 | | 1 | | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | 169 | |
|--|--|--|
| 1 | close the court but I'm going to stay here and | |
| 2 | let the lawyers and Eliot come back in to tell me | |
| 3 | what you've agreed to. | |
| 4 | Okay. Thanks. | |
| 5 | (Therewer the presedings | |
| 6 7 | (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 5:03 p.m.) | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 23 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | 170 | |
| | 110 | |
| | | |
| 1 | CERTIFICATE | |
| 1 2 3 | CERTIFICATE | |
| 2 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA | |
| 2 3 4 | | |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | |

Page 1 of 20

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | Page 1 of 20 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| $ \frac{3}{15,000} (136:14) \\ 145:16 \\ 220,000 98:2 \\ 99:19 138:10,11 \\ 58:19,20 107:8 \\ 250,105 10 \\ 145:17,20 \\ 155:10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 155:10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 155:10 \\ 136:15 10 \\ 155:10 \\ 155:10 \\ 155:10 \\ 155:11 \\ 155:12 \\ 155:11 \\ 155:12 \\ 155:11 \\ 155:12 \\ $ | <u> </u> | 105:17,23 137:9 | | 6 50;20 89;24 |
| 1 45:16 2 3 $50:17$ $14:15,18$ $143:6,7,10$ \$200,00098:299:19136:10136:7146:1799:19136:10156:19139:19136:7146:17 $350:15:19$ 119:10,133:07147:14148:7 $50:00$ 165:10136:16137:2315744:23 $00130:11143:133343449:371146:1200155:17166:123343649:15731152:10100:11107:820093:25106:1293:35731152:1010:15105:10200146:25344649:12731.52166:1311:11106:1620093:25105:11106:1671731.52166:1311:11116:12200106:25116:12747162:16747750:511:11116:12200106:12116:12750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:5750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:6750:675$ | | | | 142:15,18,20 |
| \$200,00098:2 98:19 $138:10,11$ 193:10 $146:17$ 600 $146:17$ 60098:19138:10,11 193:10 $139:20$ $139:20$ $139:20$ $139:20$ $139:20$ \$50.00165:10 $139:20$ 230 3157 $147:14$ $148:7$ 600 $49:6$ 0 $139:20$ 232 300 $33:24$ $144:23$ 71 $146:17$ 600 $49:6$ 0 $139:22$ $139:22$ $33:24$ $144:23$ 71 $146:17$ 600 $49:6$ 0 $139:22$ $139:22$ $33:401$ $49:7,10$ $71:40:22$ $149:9$ $73:20$ $16:6:13$ $109/13$ $107:18$ 2109 $32:32$ $165:12$ $33:434$ $49:3$ $73:1$ $166:13$ $100:18$ $109:16,72$ 2005 $166:52$ 4 $71:10:137:5$ $73:1.50:166:13$ $112:1,20:10:16:11$ 2003 $136:9$ $73:1.50:166:13$ $73:1.50:166:13$ $112:12:10:10:16:11$ 2003 $136:9$ $73:1.50:166:13$ $74:162:8$ $11:13:20:12:12:10:16:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:$ | 1 - | | 3 50:17 114:15,18 | |
| 991913810137137128156 $45117,20$ 109109100130138144135155 | 4000 000 00.2 | | | 146:17 |
| 145:17,20105:10,13 $3:07 147:14 148:7$ \$350.165:9115:20,25 $30 138:24 144:23$ \$5,000.165:10136:16 137:2 $33157 49:20$ $139:11 143:13$ $33401 49:7,10$ $148:1 449:13$ $155:17 156:11$ $33434 49:30$ $7116 137:5$ $09/13 107:6$ 2130 46:25 51:6 $33436 49:15$ $109:10.16:1$ $2093:25 105:22$ $3441 49:2 78:13$ $155:17 156:17$ $3615 49:15$ $731 152:10$ $112:12,0 16:16$ $200 51:4$ $3615 49:15$ $106:12 109:6,7$ $2003 136:9$ $747 162:8$ $112:12,0 16:16$ $2003 136:9$ $747 162:8$ $112:12,0 16:16$ $2003 136:9$ $747 162:8$ $12:12,0 16:16,25$ $107:15,6.9$ $105:11 106:18$ $106 8:0:15,16$ $2012 61:8 92:25$ $119:10,11 121:2$ $106 8:2:19:17$ $136:3,6,17,22$ $59:13,15$ $105:17 108:23$ $2012 600391$ $60:10,0,14,20$ $06:18 9:18$ $109:2,11 10:13$ $4-1.8 56:20 64:17$ $113 50:16$ $109:2,11 10:13$ $4-1.8 56:20 64:17$ $114 8:13,24 119:6$ $4-1.9 56:21 58:121$ $12 89:24 146:20$ $148:13,24 119:6$ $146:16 147:7$ $106:12 142:7,12$ $128 9:19$ $106:12 142:12$ $124 9:24 146:20$ $148:12 165:16$ $129 50:15$ $2014 46:24 51:7$ $129 50:15$ $126:16,9$ $120 49:9$ $127:2,3 139:19$ $125 50:19$ $2014 46:24 51:7$ $129 50:15$ $124:10 120:12$ $124 9:24 146:20$ $148:12 165:16$ $129 73:8$ | • • | | 137:22 155:8 | 600 49:6 |
| | • | - | 3.07 147:14 148:7 | |
| 3350119:20,2230103:14143:133157144:13 $\frac{1}{90}$ 136:16137:231573157146:12147:14 $\frac{1}{90}$ 107:1620315731577146:22147:14 $\frac{1}{90}$ 107:162031573340449:303343449:13 $\frac{1}{90}$ 109:11137:13344449:133343449:13711153:17 $\frac{1}{106:12}$ 109:11137:13344449:12731.152:10731.152:10731.152:10 $106:12$ 106:122005106:125106:15106:15747166:13 $112:1,20$ 116:122005106:125106:15747166:13 $107:15,569$ 107:15,569106:15106:1577555 $106:15,16$ 200551:22101:15735.16755 $106:12:12$ 106:15201260:16,01,42060:16,420 $142:7,12,25$ 106:15201366:1277156:12 $142:7,12,25$ 106:15201366:12106:12166:12 $144:7,10$ 136:12106:12137:2,3139:1959:1460:11,14,18,19 $146:16$ 147:7106:12146:12157:13155:12166:12 $144:7,10$ 136:12136:144-1.956:1259:14155:12 $146:7,10$ 136:15137:4,10139:21146:15155:12166:15 $142:999$ 146:16147:7111:41:4115:22 </td <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> | - | - | | |
| | \$350 165:9 | | 30 138:24 144:23 | |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | \$5,000 165:10 | 136:16 137:2 | 33157 49:20 | |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 139:21 143:13 | 33401 49:7,10 | |
| 99/13 107:8 2:30 48:25 51:6 33436 49:15 701 49:9 1 20 93:25 105:22 109:11 137:3 34th 49:2 78:13 731 152:10 1 106:18 109:6.7 200 51:4 3615 49:15 731.52:10 1.87 73:8 200 51:4 3615 49:15 731.52:10 747 162:8 1.87 73:8 105:12 106:18 105:12 106:18 747 162:8 747 162:8 1.9 73:8 200 51:4 200 51:2 106:18 747 162:8 1.9 73:8 105:12 106:17 114:124 115:2 745 162:8 104 8:21 91:25 101:5 107:6.7 114:124 115:2 745 162:8 98:15,16 99:17 156:3.6.17,22 51:3,15 50:10,14.20 8 98:15,18 99:17 156:3.6.17,22 51:11 73:22 77:9,25 143:12 143 50:17 103:20 136:15 50:12 103:19 141:24 124:23 168:8 114 16:16 147:7 103:20 136:15 59:14 66:12 66:12 164:23 168:8 128 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 159:14 45:12 162:25 164:12 162:25 164:12 164:21 128 50:19 204 48:24 51:7 138:14:14:18 159:14 <t< td=""><td>0</td><td>155:17 156:1</td><td></td><td>7:10 137:5</td></t<> | 0 | 155:17 156:1 | | 7:10 137:5 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 09/13 107:8 | 2:30 48:25 51:6 | | 701 49:9 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 20 93:25 105:22 | 33436 49:15 | 731 152:10 |
| 1 0000 5110 2000 513 2000 513 2000 513 733.801 747 162:18 132:9 2008 106:25 4 50:18 747 162:18 747 162:18 1.18 73:8 107:1,5,6,9 105:11 106:18 77 50:5 74.7 page 115:8 1.09 73:8 2009 59:12 116:12 116:12 785 162:8 108 50:15,16 2012 61:8 93:25 1015:107:6,7 131:19 785 162:8 92:121 95:5 118:22 50:13,15 86 50:6 8 150:4 98:15,16 99:17 106:3,6,17,22 50:13,15 86 50:6 8 150:4 143:17,12,25 51:11 731.207 19,25 1141:24 114:24 1350:17 104:2,12 141:24 141:24 141:16:12 118 50:18 105:22,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 118 146:16 147:7 111:4 114:11 65:16 66:2 164:23 168:8 128 9:24 130:20 136:15 59:14 69:12 164:23 168:8 128 9:24 146:20 137:4,10 139:20 59:14 69:12 159:4,14 154:22 129 50:5 143:11 46:20 137:4,10 139:20 <td>1</td> <td>109:11 137:3</td> <td>34th 49:2 78:13</td> <td>731.20 163:9</td> | 1 | 109:11 137:3 | 34th 49:2 78:13 | 731.20 163:9 |
| 106:18109:6,7 112:11,10:116:12003136:9112:11,10:116:112008106:25 4 50:1866:7 105:1174.7162:181.1873:8200959:12105:11106:187750:510650:15,16201261:893:25119:10,11121:2785162:810650:15,16201261:893:25119:10,11121:2785162:8105:7106:12106:15107:6,7131:1973:2277:9,25785162:8105:7106:1273:2277:9,2559:13,158150:48650:6143:7,12,25201366:1273:2277:9,25164:2328:24146:20143:7,10105:22,25107:84-1.758:2464:17164:23166:2312099:21110:1311:4114:1165:1666:2164:23168:812099:21106:15136:1559:1460:11,1,4,18,19absolute 54:15absolute 54:1512499:9106:13137:4,10139:2055159:12159:20160:9,1112550:19201446:25140:2159:20160:9,11159:20160:9,1112450:19201446:355161:11,14159:30159:14159:30160:15,1412650:19201446:25137:4,10139:2055159:20159:20159:20 <t< td=""><td>1 50:15 105:10</td><td>200 51:4</td><td>3615 49:15</td><td>733.501 166:13</td></t<> | 1 50:15 105:10 | 200 51:4 | 3615 49:15 | 733.501 166:13 |
| 113:19136:116.254132:9136:116.25450:11866:71.1873:8107:1,5,6,9105:11106:121.973:8200959:12116:1210850:15,16201261:693:25119:10,1110th62:2191:15107:6,7131:1992:2195:5118:224-1.1859:13,1596:15,1899:17156:3,6,17,2259:13,15105:7106:5107:2,2551:1111350:17201365:12105:22,25107:84-1.7114114:1411616:12109:2,2111850:18100:2,2,25118109:2,21110:1312899:24146:20118:23118:23,2412099137:2,3132:55146:612250:19201448:24131:19146:212655:19201448:24131:10137:4,10132:55137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:502211131:50136:9131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50137:4,10131:50136:9131:50< | | 2003 136:9 | | |
| 1.18 107:1,5,6,9 4 50:18 66:7 77 70 77 50:5 1.9 73:8 155:24 156:1 106:11 114:24 115:2 106 50:15,16 2009 59:12 116:12 78 166:12 106 82:21 91:12 101:5 107:1,5,6,9 118:22 119:10,11 121:2 106 82:21 91:17 156:3,6,17,22 131:19 8 150:4 98:15,18 99:17 156:3,6,17,22 51:11 66:5,8 67:12 73:23 77 60:5,8 67:12 143:11,15 2013 65:12 103:12 73:22 77:9,25 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:23 164:23 164:24 164:24 164:23 164:24 164:24 164:24 164:24 164:24 16 | | 2008 106+25 | | |
| 1.9 73:8 2009 59:12 114:24 115:2 785 162:8 108 50:15,16 2012 2013 61:6,12 119:10,11 121:2 131:19 785 162:8 108 50:15,16 2012 61:8 93:25 10:15 10:15 10:10,11 121:2 131:19 785 162:8 105:7 108:12 101:5 107:6,7 131:19 8 150:4 8 50:4 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:5,2 16:1,1 16:1,1 16:1,2 16:1,1 15:1,2 15:1,1 15:1,1 | | | | |
| 1.9 73:8 2009 59:12 116:12 785 785 162:8 108 50:15,16 2012 61:8 93:25 131:19 331:19 8 150:4 16:12 17 16:12 <td></td> <td>155:24 156:7</td> <td></td> <td>77 50:5</td> | | 155:24 156:7 | | 77 50:5 |
| 10th 82:21 91:25 2012 618 93:25 131:19 8 92:21 95:5 118:22 4-1.18 52:3 53:20 8 150:4 98:15,18 99:17 156:3,6,17,22 59:13,15 86 50:6 143:17,12,225 2012CP004391 60:10,14,20 66:5,8 67:12 73:22 77:9,25 113 50:17 2013 65:12 103:19 141:24 ABA 53:23 ability 162:25 114 146:16 147:7 105:2,2,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 114 146:16 147:7 111:4 114:11 65:16 66:2 abolute 54:15 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 164:12,17 67:23 abolute 54:15 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 60:11,14,418,19 abolute 54:15 122 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 69:22 153:4,14 154:22 120 49:9 137:4,10 139:20 5:019 126:5,8 159:12 169:11 121 50:16 137:4,10 139:20 5:06 143:15 accurate 54:22 131 50:8 140:2,5 5:06 143:15 accurate 54:22 131 50:8 137:4,10 139:20 5:06 143:15 accurate 54:22 131 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 actin 56:9 57:6 152 50:9 </td <td>1.9 73:8</td> <td>2009 59:12</td> <td></td> <td>785 162:8</td> | 1.9 73:8 | 2009 59:12 | | 785 162:8 |
| 10th 82:21 91:25 101:5 107:6,7 131:19 8 92:21 95:5 118:22 4.1.18 52:3 53:20 8 150:4 98:15,18 99:17 156:3,6,17,22 59:13,15 60:10,14,20 86 50:6 142:7,12,25 51:11 60:10,14,20 86 50:6 8 113 50:17 106:2,12 77:9,25 141:24 78:22 77:9,25 78:45 114 50:18 105:2,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 ability 162:25 114 146:16 147:7 106:2,12 103:19 141:24 78:22 77:9,25 ability 162:25 114 50:18 105:2,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 ability 162:25 12 89:24 146:20 118:23,24 119:8 4-1.9 56:21 58:21 above-styled 51:2 absolute 54:15 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 60:11,14,18,19 absolute 14:3 159:20 160:9,11 125 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 69:22 153:4,14 154:23 159:20 160:9,11 125 50:19 2014 48:10 170:12 48 141:9 accurate 54:22 159:20 160:9,11 131 50:8 137:4,10 139:20 5 5 50:19 126:5,8 accurate 54:22 121:13 157:3 | 108 50:15,16 | 2012 61:8 93:25 | 119:10,11 121:2 | |
| 98:15,1899:17156:3,6,17,2259:13,1586 50:6105:7108:232012CP00439160:10,14,2066:5,867:12143:11,1551:1173:2277:9,25ABA 53:2311350:17104:2,12141:24ABA 53:2311650:18105:22,25107:84-1.758:24111146:16147:7111:4114:111289:24166:20118:23,24119:8148:7,10130:20136:1559:14able 51:1912049:9137:2,3139:1959:14126758:19106:23142:7,1212950:5143:10137:4,1012950:5143:10137:4,1013150:8140:213150:8140:214250:192014142105:1520th 81:1213150:823:14:7:714250:1923:136:913150:823:136:913150:823:136:91355:06134:4105:15136:924105:15114:6,11136:95:23137:2144:5,11142:50:19,202423:136:95:06133:19:19139:19139:19144:31140:25114:6,11139:195:23139:1913:13139:1913:1314213:13141:5125:191421 | 10th 82:21 91:25 | | 131:19 | 8 |
| 105:7 108:23 142:7,12,25 143:11,15 2012CP004391 51:11 60:10,14,20 66:5,8 67:12 73:22 77:9,25 141:24 85 50:6 113 50:17 2013 65:12 103:19 104:2,12 141:24 ABA 53:23 116 50:18 105:22,25 107:8 105:22,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 11th 146:16 147:7 110:12,11 105:22,25 107:8 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 12 89:24 146:20 118:23,24 119:8 4-1.9 56:21 58:21 absolute 51:12 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 60:11,14,18,19 absolute 54:15 126 7 58:19 108:23 142:7,12 69:22 153:4,14 154:22 127 58:19 108:12 105:16 137:4,10 139:20 155 50:19 126:5,8 155:20 160:9,11 131 50:8 140:2,5 5:03 48:25 169:7 accurate 54:22 159:13 157:3 141 50:20 21st 140:2 130:10 121:13 157:3 accurate 54:22 121:13 157:3 142 50:19,20 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 action 56:9 57:6 61:1 101:12,21 101:12,21 134:24 109:25 144:6:11 136:15 5:2012CP004391XXX XBB 48:2 159:18 161:11 139:19 145:11 139:19 145:11 5:23 147:7 action 56:9 57:6 61:1 101:12,2 | 92:21 95:5 | 118:22 | 4-1.18 52:3 53:20 | 8 150:4 |
| 105:7 105:7 105:7 105:7 105:7 105:7 105:7 105:22 105:12 105:22 105:22 105:22 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 105:22 105:22 107:6 4-1.7 56:20 66:10 111:2 105:22 111:2 111:2 105:22 111:2 111:2 105:22 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 111:2 105:22 111:2 <td>-</td> <td>156:3,6,17,22</td> <td>59:13,15</td> <td>86 50;6</td> | - | 156:3,6,17,22 | 59:13,15 | 86 50;6 |
| 143:11,15 51:11 73:22 77:9,55 A 113 50:17 104:2,12 141:24 ABA 53:23 116 50:18 105:22,25 107:6 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 114 146:16 147:7 109:2,11 110:13 4-1.8 56:20 64:17 able 51:19 150:22 120 49:2 146:20 118:23,24 119:8 59:14 above-styled 51:2 164:23 168:23 153:4,14 154:23 163:24 153:4,14 154:23 153:4,14 154:23 153:4,14 154:22 153:24 absolute 54:15 absolute 54:15 153:4,14 154:23 166:23 153:24 153:24,14 154:23 163:24 153:24 156:25 159:20 160:9,11 159:20 160:9,11 159:20 160:9,11 159:20 160:11,14 124:12 159:20 160:11,14 126:5,78 130:10 159:34 121:13 157:3 142:50:19 121:13 157:3 142:10 100:12 121:13 121:13 157:3 121:13 157:3 121:13 121:13 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2012CP004391</td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | 2012CP004391 | | |
| 113 50:17 2013 65:12 103:19 104:2,12 141:24 ABA 53:23 118 50:18 105:22,25 107:8 109:2,11 110:13 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 ability 162:25 11th 146:16 147:7 111:4 114:11 114: 114:11 65:16 66:2 able 51:19 150:22 164:23 168:8 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 146:6 158:24 60:11,14,18,19 64:12,17 67:23 69:22 above-styled 51:2 absolute 54:15 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 146:6 158:24 60:11,14,18,19 64:12,17 67:23 absolute 54:15 1267 58:19 108:23 142:7,12 143:1 146:20 4-5.3 154:15 abcourtal 54:22 131 50:8 137:4,10 139:20 140:2,5 5 550:19 126:5,8 140:2,5 accountings 91:3 accountings 91:3 141 50:20 21st 140:2 5:0 48:25 169:7 140:2,5 5:0 48:25 169:7 5:0 348:25 169:7 action 56:9 57:6 61:1 101:12,21 121:13 157:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:52 142:8 143:11 10:19 137:22 5:52 142:8 143:11 10:19 137:22 140:13 11:4 124:6 5:52 142:8 143:11 10:19 137:22 198 65:15 26 119:5 550 49:6 198 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 active 154:18 acts 102:3 | | 51;11 | | |
| 113 $50:17$ $104:2,12$ 141132 141132 141132 118 $50:18$ $105:22,25$ $107:8$ $4-1.7$ $58:24$ $65:4$ $ability$ $162:25$ 11th $146:16$ $147:7$ $111:4$ $114:11$ $65:16$ $66:2$ $ability$ $162:25$ 128 $92:4$ $146:20$ $118:23,24$ $119:8$ $4-1.9$ $56:20$ $64:17$ $above-styled$ $51:23$ 120 $49:9$ $137:2,3$ $139:19$ $56:16$ $66:12$ $above-styled$ $51:23$ 126 $50:19$ 2014 $48:24$ $51:7$ $69:22$ $above-styled$ $51:23$ 126 $58:19$ $108:23$ $142:7,12$ $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $above-styled$ $51:23$ 127 $58:19$ $108:23$ $142:7,12$ $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $abcoutesfully$ $164:23$ $163:23$ 1267 $58:19$ $108:23$ $142:7,12$ $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $accept$ $164:23$ $163:20$ 121 $137:4,10$ $139:20$ 5 $51:19$ $126:5,8$ $accurate$ $54:22$ $121:13$ $157:3$ 131 $50:8$ $140:2$ $5:03$ $48:25$ $169:7$ $acting$ $101:12$ 142 $50:19,20$ $2and$ $140:3$ $5:23$ $147:7$ $acting$ $61:1$ $101:12,21$ 142 $50:9$ 23 $136:9$ $5:06$ $143:15$ $acting$ $61:1$ $101:12,21$ 159 $50:8$ $23rd$ $139:21$ $140:3$ $5:23$ </td <td>-</td> <td>2013 65:12 103:19</td> <td></td> <td></td> | - | 2013 65:12 103:19 | | |
| 11th 146:16 147:7 109:2,11 10:13 4-1.8 56:20 64:17 12 89:24 146:20 118:23,24 119:8 4-1.9 56:21 58:21 able 51:19 164:23 164:13 163:14 1 | | - | | |
| 111: 1 14: 11111: 4 114: 1165: 16 66: 2164: 23 168: 812 89: 24 146: 20118: 23, 24 119: 8130: 20 136: 15159: 14164: 23 168: 8148: 7, 10137: 2, 3 139: 19146: 6 158: 24 $60: 11, 14, 18, 19$ above-styled 51: 2125 50: 192014 48: 24 51: 7 $64: 12, 17$ 67: 23 $69: 22$ $153: 4, 14$ 154: 221267 58: 19108: 23 142: 7, 12 $4-5.3$ 154: 15 $159: 20$ 160: 9, 11129 50: 5143: 1 146: 20 48 141: 9 $accept$ 168: 11, 1412t 92: 1, 2120th 81: 12 105: 16 $137: 4, 10$ 139: 20 5 $50: 19$ 126: 5, 8131 50: 8140: 2, 5 $50: 19$ 126: 5, 8 $accurate$ 54: 22141 50: 2021st 140: 2 $130: 10$ $121: 13$ 157: 3142 50: 19, 2022nd 140: 3 $5: 06$ 143: 15 $acting 101: 18$ 159 50: 823rd 139: 21 140: 3 $5: 23$ 147: 7 $action 56: 9$ 57: 617345 49: 2024 110: 13 111: 4 $5: 52$ 142: 8 143: 11 $10: 19$ 137: 2146: 6139: 19 145: 11 $x8B$ 48: 2 $159: 18$ 161: 11137: 2146: 6 505 49: 6 $action 79: 15, 17$ 1985 65: 1526 119: 5 $5th$ 170: 12 $active 154: 18$ 1988 59: 122753 49: 278: 13 $5th$ 170: 12 $active 154: 18$ 1988 59: 122459: 19 162: 8 $5th$ 170: 12 $acts$ 102: 3 | | 1 1 | | |
| 12 89:24 146:20 118:23,24 119:8 4-1.9 56:21 58:21 above-styled 51:2 120 49:9 137:2,3 139:19 60:11,14,18,19 absolute 59:14 125 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 69:22 153:4,14 154:22 1267 58:19 108:23 142:7,12 4-5.3 154:15 absolutely 146:3 129 50:5 143:1 146:20 4-5.3 154:15 accept 168:11,14 124 92:1,21 148:10 170:12 48 141:9 accept 168:11,14 125 50:19 137:4,10 139:20 5 50:19 126:5,8 accountings 91:3 131 50:8 137:4,10 139:20 5 50:19 126:5,8 accountings 91:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:23 147:7 action 56:9 57:6 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 action 56:9 57:6 159 | 11th 146:16 147:7 | · · · · | | |
| 143.7,10133.220136:1559:14absolute 54:1512049:9137:2,3139:19 $60:11,14,18,19$ $60:11,14,18,19$ $absolute 54:15$ 12550:19201448:2451:7 $69:22$ $153:4,14$ $154:22$ 126758:19108:23142:7,12 $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $absolute 19,14$ $159:20$ 12950:5143:1146:20 $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $accept$ $168:11,14$ 12th 92:1,21105:15143:12 $105:16$ $37:4,10$ $139:20$ $550:19$ $126:5,8$ $accountings$ $91:3$ 13150:8130:2021st $140:2$ $5:03$ $48:25$ $169:7$ $acting$ $121:13$ $157:3$ 14250:19,2022nd140:3 $5:06$ $143:15$ $acting$ $101:12,21$ $106:15,8$ $acting$ $101:18$ 15950:823rd $139:21$ $140:3$ $5:23$ $147:7$ $action$ $61:1$ $101:12,21$ 108:17109:1,5 $139:19$ $145:11$ 552 $142:8$ $143:11$ $61:1$ $101:12,21$ 108:17109:1,5 $139:19$ $145:11$ xSB $48:2$ $159:18$ $161:11$ 1-973:824th $139:25$ $140:4$ 552 $98:19$ $84:5$ 1985 $59:12$ 2753 $49:2$ $78:13$ $active$ $154:18$ 1985 $59:12$ 2753 $49:2$ $78:13$ $acts$ $102:3$ | | · · · · · | | |
| 120 49:9 137:27,313913 60:11,14,18,19 absolute 54:15 125 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 69:22 absolutely 146:3 1267 58:19 108:23 142:7,12 69:22 153:4,14 154:22 129 50:5 143:1 146:6 159:20 159:20 159:20 160:9,11 124 92:1,21 148:10 170:12 48 141:9 accept 168:11,14 12th 92:1,21 148:10 170:12 48 141:9 accept 168:11,14 135:20 137:4,10 139:20 5 5:0:19 126:5,8 accountings 91:3 141 50:20 21st 140:2 130:10 121:13 157:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:03 48:25 169:7 acting 101:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 10:13 11:4 5:52 142:8 101:12,21 10:19 137:22 108:17 | 148:7,10 | | | - |
| 125 50:19 2014 48:24 51:7 64:12,17 67:23 absolutely 146:3 1267 58:19 108:23 142:7,12 69:22 153:4,14 154:22 129 50:5 143:1 146:20 4-5.3 154:15 accept 168:11,14 12th 92:1,21 148:10 170:12 48 141:9 accept 168:11,14 105:15 20th 81:12 105:16 5 5 accept 168:11,14 131 50:8 140:2,5 5 5:019 126:5,8 accountings 91:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:03 48:25 169:7 acting 101:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 10:19 137:22 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 active 154:18 | 120 49:9 | | 60:11,14,18,19 | |
| 1267 58:19 $108:23 142:7,12$ $4-5.3 154:15$ $159:20 160:9,11$ 129 50:5 $143:1 146:20$ $4-5.3 154:15$ $accept 168:11,14$ 12th 92:1,21 $148:10 170:12$ $48 141:9$ $accept 168:11,14$ 105:15 $20th 81:12 105:16$ $550:19 126:5,8$ $accurate 54:22$ 131 50:8 $140:2,5$ $550:19 126:5,8$ $accurate 54:22$ 141 50:20 $21st 140:2$ $130:10$ $121:13 157:3$ 142 50:19,20 $22nd 140:3$ $5:03 48:25 169:7$ $acting 101:18$ 159 50:8 $23rd 139:21 140:3$ $5:52 142:8 143:11$ $61:1 101:12,21$ 19 48:24 105:25 $114:6,11 136:15$ $502012CP004391XXX$ $145:12 153:9,13$ $108:17 109:1,5$ $139:19 145:11$ $XSB 48:2$ $159:18 161:11$ $1-9 73:8$ $24th 139:25 140:4$ $505 49:6$ $actions 79:15,17$ $1985 65:15$ $26 119:5$ $2753 49:2 78:13$ $5th 170:12$ $acts 102:3$ | 125 50:19 | | - | = |
| 129 $163:13$ $142:7,12$ $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $153:20$ $150:9,11$ 122 h 92:1,21 105:15 $143:11$ $146:20$ $4-5.3$ $154:15$ $accept 168:11,14$ 12 th 92:1,21 105:15 20 th $81:12$ $105:16$ $137:4,10$ $39:20$ 48 $141:9$ 131 $50:8$ $140:2,5$ 5 $50:19$ $126:5,8$ $130:10$ $accupted$ $111:23$ $accuntings14250:19,2021 st140:2550:19126:5,8130:10accurate54:22121:13157:314250:19,2022 nd140:35:0348:25169:7130:10acting101:18121:1315250:923136:95:06143:15action56:957:661:11734549:2024110:13111:45:52142:8143:11100:1961:1101:12,21110:191948:24105:25108:17109:1,5139:19145:11139:19502012 CP004391 XXXX XSB44:5:12146:61-973:824 th139:25140:452958:19529actions79:15,1784:5198565:1526119:55th170:12active154:18actsacts198559:12275349:278:135th170:12acts102:3$ | 1267 58:19 | 1 | 69:22 | - |
| 12th 92:1,21 148:10 170:12 48 141:9 accept 168:11,14 105:15 20th 81:12 105:16 5 accepted 111:23 131 50:8 140:2,5 5 50:19 126:5,8 accountings 91:3 142 50:19,20 21st 140:2 130:10 121:13 157:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:03 48:25 169:7 acting 166:15 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 61:1 101:12,21 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 100:19 137:22 108:17 109:1,5 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 19 86 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 active 154:18 19 86 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 active 154:18 | | | 4-5.3 154:15 | - |
| 105:15 20th 81:12 105:16 137:4,10 139:20 140:2,5 5 accountings 91:3 131 50:8 140:2,5 5 50:19 126:5,8 130:10 accountings 91:3 142 50:19,20 21st 140:2 130:10 121:13 157:3 active 54:22 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:03 48:25 169:7 active 154:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:52 142:8 143:11 61:1 101:12,21 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 61:1 101:12,21 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 159:18 161:11 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 529 58:19 84:5 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | | | 48 141:9 | — |
| 131 50:8 137:4,10 139:20 140:2,5 5 accountings 91:3 141 50:20 21st 140:2 130:10 121:13 157:3 142 50:19,20 22nd 140:3 5:03 48:25 169:7 achieving 166:15 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 acting 101:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:52 142:8 143:11 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 110:19 137:22 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 139:19 145:11 110:19 137:22 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | - | 20th 81:12 105:16 | | accepted 111:23 |
| 141 50:2021st 140:25 50:19 126:5.8 130:10accurate 54:22 121:13 157:3142 50:19,2022nd 140:35:03 48:25 169:7achieving 166:15152 50:923 136:95:06 143:15acting 101:18159 50:823rd 139:21 140:35:23 147:7action 56:9 57:617345 49:2024 110:13 111:45:52 142:8 143:11110:19 137:2218:17 109:1,5139:19 145:11502012CP004391XXX145:12 153:9,13137:2146:6505 49:651:161-9 73:824th 139:25 140:4505 49:6actions 79:15,171985 65:1526 119:55th 170:12active 154:181988 59:122753 49:2 78:135th 170:12acti 02:3 | | | 5 | accountings 91:3 |
| 142 50:10 130:10 121:13 137:13 142 50:10,20 22nd 140:13 5:03 48:25 169:7 achieving 166:15 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 acting 101:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 acting 101:12,21 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 61:1 101:12,21 110:19 137:22 144:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 5052012CP004391XXX 145:12 159:18 161:11 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 159:18 161:11 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 529 58:19 84:5 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 ac | | | 5 50:19 126:5,8 | accurate 54:22 |
| 152 50:9 23 136:9 5:06 143:15 acting 101:18 159 50:8 23rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 action 56:9 57:6 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 110:19 137:22 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 159:18 161:11 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 active 154:18 | | 21st 140:2 | 130:10 | 121:13 157:3 |
| 159 50:8 23 rd 139:21 140:3 5:23 147:7 acting 101:13 17345 49:20 24 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 110:19 137:22 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 159:18 161:11 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | | 22nd 140:3 | 5:03 48:25 169:7 | achieving 166:15 |
| 17345 49:20 24 10:13 11:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 19 48:24 105:25 14:6,11 136:15 5:52 142:8 143:11 61:1 101:12,21 19 48:24 105:25 144:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 110:19 137:22 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 145:12 153:9,13 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 529 58:19 84:5 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 acts 102:3 | | 23 136:9 | 5:06 143:15 | acting 101:18 |
| 110:10 110:13 111:4 5:52 142:8 143:11 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | 159 50:8 | 23rd 139:21 140:3 | 5:23 147:7 | |
| 19 48:24 105:25 114:6,11 136:15 502012CP004391XXX 145:12 153:9,13 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 159:18 161:11 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | 17345 49:20 | 24 110:13 111:4 | 5:52 142:8 143:11 | - |
| 108:17 109:1,5 139:19 145:11 XSB 48:2 140:11 159:18 161:11 137:2 146:6 505 49:6 159:18 161:11 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 529 58:19 84:5 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 acts 102:3 | | | 502012CP004391XXX | |
| 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 505 49:6 actions 79:15,17 1985 65:15 26 119:5 529 58:19 84:5 1985 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 5th 170:12 actions 102:3 | | 1 | | - |
| 1-9 73:8 24th 139:25 140:4 529 58:19 84:5 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 acts 102:3 | | | 505 49:6 | actions 79:15,17 |
| 1985 65:15 26 119:5 5th 170:12 active 154:18 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 acts 102:3 10th 51:6 2d 58:19 162:8 acts 102:3 | | | 529 58:19 | |
| 1988 59:12 2753 49:2 78:13 acts 102:3 10th 51:6 2d 58:19 162:8 | | | | active 154:18 |
| 19th 51:6 2d 58:19 162:8 <u>6</u> actual 77:16 | 1988 59:12 | 2753 49:2 78:13 | | acts 102:3 |
| | 19th 51:6 | 2d 58:19 162:8 | 6 | actual 77:16 |

Page 2 of 20

166:2

actually 55:25 67:25 96:13 113:15 137:10 155:1 157:7

168:13 ad 164:5 167:20

add 162:5

addition 87:19

address 54:2 57:14 78:12 110:14 136:22

addressed 110:13

administer 75:5 administered

165:1 administration 74:5,9,20 75:1

76:17 140:13,17,21 166:17

administrator 164:5 167:20

admissibility 118:24

admissible 118:25 advance 166:11

adverse 52:12 67:15 69:3,5,8 75:3 76:12,19,20 79:11,19 84:1 90:12,14 121:17

139:1 163:23 advise 56:22

affidavit 132:21 133:2

affidavits 132:23 affirmative 71:3

affirmatively 85:14

afford 84:19,20 138:16 139:5,6 140:9

afternoon 53:16 87:17,18 137:8 144:13 147:14

against 55:25 100:12,14,17,18 101:21 102:23 103:2 159:18 aggrieved 55:17

64:18

ago 85:18 103:6 agreed 140:23 169:3 ahead 51:25 80:3,19 89:17 92:15 106:9 118:2 124:17 129:25 133:12 152:12 al 48:16 Alan 49:5 158:25 aligned 69:2 allegation 61:21 allege 61:25 alleged 48:15 55:16,20 57:5 64:18 101:18,19 allegedly 110:15 156:6 allow 72:17,23 allowed 93:9 already 103:20,25 141:14 am 71:7 77:1 78:16,23 107:17 124:22 161:3 162:18 168:6 amazing 76:8 amended 95:13,16,21 107:6 156:2,6 amendment 134:7 156:13,23 amendments 156:23 amends 156:14 amount 76:8 85:2 98:10 148:19 149:3,7 Amy 88:6 analysis 140:25 and/or 55:16 answer 80:19 85:9 88:22 89:2,18 92:13 94:7,9 98:12 102:11 121:25 122:10.24 123:8,19,21 124:16 150:2 answered 88:24 93:21

anticipate 151:23

anybody 104:3 146:9 anyone 138:3 anything 79:17 83:24 117:15 123;10 125:13 135:6 144:8 145:15,25 152:24 160:24 anywhere 128:12 apart 97:13 apologize 123:23 147:2 apparent 63:4 131:10 appearance 85:19,24 appearances 52:25 APPEARING 49:1,4,12,17 appears 130:6 143:13 applicable 59:15 applied 64:17 86:12 148:11 applies 60:12 63:12 66:2 67:13,19 162:10 166:23 apply 60:19 67:21 82:21 appoint 143:17 160:25 161:4 appointed 131:2 141:9 151:16 161:18 163:1 appointment 120:8 161:24 162:17 164:4 167:19 approach 81:8,13 93:7 94:21 98:17 99:10 106:6 108:11 110:7 113:19,22 125:22 126:4 142:6 146:15 150:5 area 57:20 81:12 aren't 166:21 argument 58:23 68:25 arguments 53:12

153:5

arises 67:25 aspect 68:16 aspects 100:13 asserted 90:21 assignment 168:12 assist 140:21 assistance 148:20 assistant 81:25 82:7 96:2,9,11,14,16 ,23 165:24 assistants 154:16,20 associates 48:12 assume 57:24 154:14 166:6 assumption 118:5 Atlantic 51:4 attach 53:6 attached 58:14 94:18 106:4,13 119;6 attention 77:14 79:21 94:25 123:10 attest 115:18 attorney 54:11,12 55:1 61:4 69:7 74:25 75:24 88:6 102:15,18,25 112:8,17 120:15,17 135:14 162:10 attorney-client 59:18 61:6 64:24 73:23 75:20 76:9 112:11 162:9 attorneys 52:24 54:5,8 84:5,7 88:17 89:9,10,24 90:2,25 120:24 authenticate 131:21 authorities 90:22 authority 59:4 61:2,3,8 142:1 authorized 170:9

Avenue 49:9 51:4

avoid 52:24 82:4

Page 3 of 20

113:10 aware 52:3 83:4 156:17,22 167:4 140:9,21,24 141:1,4,9,10,15 140:16 158:6 breached 64:25 believed 84:6 ,16,19,22,24 161:3Brent 58:17,18 believes 81:3 142:4,8,25 59:7,11 143:14,17,19 believing 82:3 R 144:12,16 brief 97:23 bell 134:17 backdated 103:8 145:3,19 153:18 134:13,18,20 146:11,19 Beller 88:6 135:17,21 briefly 51:22 147:8,13 137:19 benefactor 64:3 148:16,21 bring 56:15 57:6 151:2,4,20,23 beneficiaries 59:5 61:1 bad 101:18 152:2,25 154:4 78:19 90:21 68:17,18 71:12 badgering 92:9,11 155:5,14,18,23 120:12 72:22 89:21 156:2,5 Balassa 61:14 132:19 beneficiary 157:2,4,5,12,13 Bar 57:22 86:12 74:5,6 79:5 bringing 58:13 ,14,20,23 141:25 93:2,14,17,18 158:7,8,12,15,2 broadly 61:23 94:3 95:23 barred 163:21 2 159:1,3,6,16 brother 74:15 101:7 153:2 160:6 161:4 based 53:3 57:10 79:4 84:2 87:8 160:6 167:8,21 168:22 70:23 90;12,14 100:22 Bernstein Bernsteins 52:20 124:4 125:3 basically 84:20 48:6,9,14 126:22 147:12 Bernstein's 86:11 136:12 49:2,4,23,24 69:2,7 76:3 brother's 84:4 50:4 51:11 bat 117:22 131:3 141:18 52:16,21 brought batch 115:2 142:13 146:12 54:17,25 55:9 55:6,10,16 148:6 156:16 56:9 58:14 Bay 49:19,20 58:7,9 60:23 157:14 161:11 59:23 60:24 80:19 84:8 Beach 48:1 165:1 61:5,24 62:2,3 90:25 49:7,10,15 51:5 63:5,20 64:2 beseeching 126:23 88:18 89:5 bunch 118:10,22 66:19 67:12 170:4 Besides 89:9 166:20 68:1,9 69:4,5,6 70:2,5,7,13,20 become 122:19 bill 97:7 101:25 burden 53:19 71:1 72:7,25 140:23 57:15 72:15 bills 122:13,18 73:12,24 77:19 becomes 67:10 74:10,14,24 bit 53:22 163:7,10,25 beginning 51:6 75:4,22 blank 167:5,18 business 84:9 54:19 144:4 76:12,15,19,21, 138:8 139:4 bless 99:14 24 77:3,23 behalf 78:6,11,15 block 91:2 123:9 49:1,4,12,17 79:4,8,10,11 C 55:16 59:5 69:1 **blog** 126:11,17,20 80:9,25 85:17 calendar 97:10,11 86:1 110:25 128:8 86:2,8 87:17 127:3,10 154:23 California 96:17 92:17 95:11 blogged 128:16 161:17 99:1,18 104:9 129:17 130:6 Candice 49:24 belief 82:4 106:11,25 55:9 56:3 82:24 blogger 126:21 98:1,3,7 107:1,5,6,7,9,1 92:4,18 95:2 128:3,10 147:16 0,13 108:5,23 believe 53:19 96:8,11,15,20,2 109:3,4 110:11 blogging 125:11 78:23 79:7 2,23 105:7,11 113:25 114:3,4 126:23 127:4,11 106:15,18,21 80:10,12,20 115:7 81:16,19,25 107:19 blossom 166:25 116:2,18,24 90:19,23 91:23 108:20,23 117:7 119:19 Boca 49:3 78:13 92:3,22 93:6 109:6,11 112:1 121:9 124:7 131:21 135:19 95:18,22 96:4 body 118:12 125:25 126:11 97:3,15,17,19,2 136:5,20 127:4,11 129:14 book 65:10,11 3 100:9,12 137:1,3,4,7 130:18,23,24 140:4 141:16 101:4 bottom 58:21 131:1,5,7,21 107:17,20,23 99:15 144:8,11,16,18, 133:4,20,25 24 145:1 148:12 117:13,14,15,16 Boulevard 49:15 134:11 149:10 154:11 120:5 121:11,12 135:3,8,19 Boynton 49:15 155:18 159:6 127:2,13 136:8,15 137:15 129:8,10 143:16 Brandon cap 165:12,13 138:9,14,15 146:17 148:23 88:8,11,14 139:8,17 <u>capacity</u>

Page 4 of 20

conditions 168:14 closely 69:2 91:1 124:11,13 125:4 88:14,15 95:23 151:1,3 165:16 101:10 112:18 conduct 52:4 closing 54;1 113:3,4 157:14 capped 165:9 77:12 56:21 57:23 164:1259:14 134:22 carefully 79:21 Colin 48:21 51:3 children's 124:5 135;5 154;15 117:14 126:13 128:23 choice 54:18 129:7 153:9 conducted 141:7 Caroline 120:17 160:10 conference 152:25 choices 165:5 carried 163:10,25 colon 116:3 chooses 67:11 confidential 166:20 54:10 75:22 column 58:22 case 48:2 52:14 chosen 71:1,2 76:11,23 comes 73:7 148:21 53:11 Christine 112:6,7 77:2,17 83:25 54:4,9,12,13 coming 74:8 86:1 84:1,10 CIRCUIT 48:1 56:14 57:24 165:11 89:14,16 58:3,5,8,15 circumstances 91:11,12 99:23 comment 65:3,18 59:3,7,11,12,25 56:23 100:3 103:5 61:9 62:17 63:9 comments 58:24 104:21,22,24 cited 163:15 64:1,9,11,15,16 65:17 66:16 115:23 117:3 65:2 70:1 71:4 City 51:5 118:17 121:19 committed 102:3 75:4 77:15 80:2 139:12 141:16 claim 62:10 137:25 85:20 86:24,25 144:5,7 148:15 115:23 134:20 87:7 102:23 communicate 105:1 126:24 confidentiality claims 90:22 125:13 127:4,12 128:6 90:6 146:8,9,10 clause communicated 149:11 132:23 134:12 103:9,11,15,19 125:12 140:4 137:18 138:3 confirm 93:13 134:14,18,20 144:6 139:13 140:24 135:17,21 confirmed 135:23 160:18,24 162:6 communication 164:24 **clean** 123:21 85:13 119:23 conflict 57:5 166:7,14,18,23, 134:24 60:19 62:11,25 clear 89:9 96:19 24 63:2 64:20 139:10 communications 65:19 67:24 cases 57:2 60:6 118:16 clearly 69:3,5,25 69:12,16 63:24 64:7 70:21 company 122:20 80:8,10 138:5,6 128:7 142:1 **clerk** 133:13 144:20 complain 62:16,18 cause 51:2 123:11 63:7,11,13,25 conflicted 70:8 client cc'd 147:15,17 70:1 86;4 140:20 52;8,11,12,22 **Center** 49:19 53:6 57:4 complained 64:24 conflicts 58:25 59:16,17 certain 90:23 65;6 68;21 complaining 60:9,17,20 130:7 62:9,13 71:25 113:5 62:15 64:4 confused 137:6 certainly 146:9 66:12,20,23 complaint 68:18 159:8,17 67:14,16 confusing 158:13 complete 121:6 68:1,6,22,23 certify 170:8 144:19 170:11 connect 117:3 69:7,23 76:21 cetera 84:9 91:12 composite 106:12 111:1 connection 68:19 100:12,15,20 108:13 114:1,2 134:6,10,23 79:11 102:4 104:4 135:7,13 compromises 153:1 consider 83:25 136:10,14 chair 72:20 computer 138:24 139:2 consideration chance 116:19 135:17,18 141:2,23 165:2 136:1,3 148:17,20 change 59:12 considering 162:9 155:2,5 162:11 90:20 133:24 concerning 61:21 163:17 164:6,8 consultation 80:23 150:12 changed 115:12 60:18 162:13 client-lawyer concise 53:17 charge 65:19 52:7 60:15 consults 66:22 100:19 138:24 concisely 53:24 contact 86:4 88:1 clients 52:5 54:6 73:20 chatted 104:1 55:25 154:22,23 89:19 concluded 169:7 check 97:10 159:11 contacted 86:3 115:11,17 concludes 149:12 close 105:20 87:20,24 88:6,8,18 children 78:19 144:11 169:1 conclusion 141:21 89:5,11,20,22,2 80:11,14 81:3

Page 5 of 20

| | | | Page 5 of 20 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4 90:3 102:20 | 96:6,10,21,24,2 | 79:16,20,24 | courtroom 51:4 |
| 126:22 127:3,10 | 5 98:16,19,20 | 80:18 | 149:19 |
| 133:21 136:12 | 99:16,20,24 | 82:4,19,23 | 149.19 |
| 141:4 | 100:1 101:13 | 84:21 85:8,9 | courts 90:22 |
| | 103:4,15,18 | 87:13 | COWAN 49:19 |
| contacting 87:19 | 103:4,15,18 | 87:13 | |
| contained 118:21 | | | Cox 126:21 |
| concarned 110:21 | 107:14 108:21 | 89:2,6,17 | 128:3,5,10,16,1 |
| contemporaneous | 110:3,6 | 92:10,13 | 8,22 129:6,17 |
| 149:22 | 111:17,20 116:3 | 93:5,9,20,22 | 147:16,17,18 |
| contended 138;9 | 117:9 | 94:1,7,9,22 | Craig 122:16 |
| concended 138;9 | 120:3,12,13 | 95:4,7 98:4,12 | - |
| content 147:11 | 143:24 145:13 | 99:11 102:10,21 | created 157:6,7 |
| contest 54:21 | 154:21 | 103:12,20 104:8 | credibility 73:2 |
| | 155:2,5,14,24 | 105:4,23 | - |
| contingency | 156:3,21 157:15 | 106:3,8 107:3 | credit 138:15 |
| 138:17 | 158:1,4,8 | 108:1,15,17,20 | creditor 49:12 |
| continue 62:12 | 159:19 | 109:5,10,16,19 | 54:23 55:7 |
| 135:1 145:15 | 160:7,8,11,20 | 110:9 111:23 | 57:4,9 60:23 |
| | correspondences | 112:13,16,19,22 | 61:1,7 62:9 |
| continues 67:11 | 144:10 | 113:14,16,21,23 | 160:20 164:23 |
| continuing 64:2 | | 114:5,13,15,23 | 165:2 |
| 86:23 | counsel 48:12 | 115:3 | |
| 00.23 | 51:12,14 | 116:8,11,17 | criminal 79:15,17 |
| contract 111:16 | 52:15,16 53:5 | 117:5,12,17,20, | 90:22 103:7,24 |
| conversation | 54:2,18,19 55:6 | 24 | cross 50:6,9 |
| 82:10,17 83:8 | 56:16 59:2 71:2 | 118:1,6,19,23 | 80:18 87:15 |
| • | 72:1,21 73:22 | 119:7,9,15,17 | |
| 97:1,22 100:7,17 120:4 | 76:5 77:21 94:6 | 121:2,24 | 153:21 |
| - | 109:21 110:1 | 122:7,9,22,24 | cross-examination |
| 136:23 138:17 | 111:13 132:7,25 | 123:4,15,19 | 87:13 157:11 |
| 139:4,6,7 | 147:12 153:12 | 124:8,12,25 | 158:9 |
| 144:23 146:5 | 161:19 163:15 | 125:2,8,17,23 | 6 |
| 149:18,22 | 167:7 | 126:5,7 | Crystal 126:21 |
| 150:12,18 168:5 | | 127:7,21 128:1 | 128:3,5,10,16,1 |
| conversations | counsel's 53:12 | 129:1,4,25 | 8,22 129:6,17 |
| 87:9 | County 48:1 | 130:10,13,15 | 147:16,17 |
| 97:3,5,8,14 | 51:3,5 88:18 | 131:15,19,22,25 | cumulative 133:9 |
| 149:2 | 89:5 170:4 | 132:4,8,14,22 | augustan 75.14 |
| | | 133:2,3,7,10,19 | curator 75:14 121:16 141:9 |
| conveyed 75:23 | couple 121:9 | 142:17,22 | 143:17 160:25 |
| 134:15,21 | course 100:6 | 143:4,6 145:23 | 143:17 160:25 161:20 162:17 |
| convince 61:16 | court 48:1 | 146:23 147:1 | |
| convinced 93:15 | 51;9,23 53;14 | 148:1,3 | 164:5,17 165:8 |
| convinced 93:15 | | 149:14,24 | 167:19 |
| Coolis 77:14 | 55:2 56:2,17,19 | 150:1,6,15,17,2 | currently |
| copied 58:20 | 57:2,14,19,22 58:3,8,11,17 | 1 | 124:21,22 |
| 82:12,16 | | 151:7,9,11,14,1 | custom 138:22 |
| | 59:7,19 | 8 152:9,18 | |
| copies 95:10 | 60:3,6,11,21 | 153:13,17,20 | 148:18 |
| 114:8 133:14 | 61:16,20 62:2,7 | 159:25 | cut 146:5 |
| 142:10 149:6 | 63:7,9,15,17,21 | 160:3,13,15,17, | |
| copy 82:15 93:7 | 64:10,11 | | |
| 98:18,21 106:8 | 65:5,8,11,14,16 | | D |
| - | ,21,23 | 161:2,5,7,10,15 | dad 92:24 93:12 |
| 119:14 126:1 | 66:1,6,9,14,16 | ,22 | 94:12 121:12 |
| 132:25 133:3 | 67:5,7,21,23 | 162:1,14,18,20, | 138:1 151:4 |
| 142:4,9,11 | 68:13,15 | 22,23,24 | 152:15 157:8 |
| 146:25 | 69:10,17,20 | 163:2,5 | dad's 74:20 |
| correct 56:19 | 70:4,6,10,16 | 164:10,14,20 | 93:4,14,19 |
| 61;25 62;1 | 71:4,9,16,18,20 | 165:11 | 95:16,19,20 |
| 72:12,14 | ,24 72:10,13,15 | 167:9,11,15,22 | 101:1,8 113:2 |
| 82:21,22 | 73:1,13,16 | 168:1,4,19,21,2 | 139:14 |
| 86:17,21,22 | 74:14,17 | 3 169:1 170:15 | 137114 |
| 88:15 94:14 | 75:8,12 77:5,11 | Courthouse 51:4 | date 48:24 |
| 95:17 | 78:2,7,24 | | 93:20,22,24 |
| | | | |

Page 6 of 20

95;6 105:14 116:4,22 117:2,11 118:7,15 136:6,17 139:17,20 dated 134:14 148:7 170:12 dates 118:12,22,23 DAVID 170:7,15 day 51:7 70:15 111:4 134:9 136:24 137:9.10 138:12 146:17 147:7,14 170:12 davs 83:18 165:5 deal 122:18 152:20 dealing 52:4 59:16 dealings 61:24 62:5 84:9 deals 65:6 dealt 73:25 dec 153:9,13 Deceased 48:7 decedent 164:13 decedent's 74:6,7 December 119:5 decided 136:13 decision 58:20 decisions 53:21 declare 55:20 declining 139:17,22 145:12 deficient 103:15,16 define 124:11 defined 60:8,20 delegated 154:17 Delray 51:5 demanded 145:20 demonstrate 76:7 denied 94:6 122:16 145:20 163:6 164:3,5,20 167:5,20,22 deny 154:12

depending 62:15 152:20 deposition 135:25 dereliction 63:4 describe 133:19 140:10 described 77:18,25 141:15 designed 80:1 desire 86:7 desk 137:13 detail 167:24 detailed 140:25 determine 54:24 135:6 148:19 150:23 determined 117:6 differ 80:11 difference 153:24 different 53:22 59:17 65:17 66:10 124:14 137:10 differing 90:15,17 91:5 direct 50:5,8 77:14 78:8 88:9 94:25 132:15 directed 122:12,17 directing 115:22 direction 152:21 directly 80:7 83:12 148:24 disadvantage 77:3 disadvantages 153:1 disagree 81:5 147:10 disagreed 80:25 147:9 discharge 107:11 disclose 104:20 disconnect 166:15 discretion 54:16 discuss 83:24 84:11 103:2,11 131:12 137:21 141:13 discussed 84:25 99:22 100:21

103:8 121:19 148:16 discusses 52:6 discussing 105:1 119:25 120:2,8 discussion 58:21 100:25 103:15 150:10 discussions 60:16 80:22 148:11,12 dispute 158:7,10,22 disqualification 53:11 56:11 61:17,19 64:6 77:20 disqualifications 53:2 disgualify 51:14 52:15 54:21 55:6 56:8 57:7,17 61:11 68:19 71:5 76:14 86:18 141:12 162:10 163:5,11 164:3 167:7 distinction 60:9 154:2,3 distraught 134:4 distributed 153:11distributions 118:10 158:20 District 61:8 77:10 DIVISION 48:2 Dixie 49:20 document 103:17 108:3 110:12 114:2 115:17 126:1 127:14,18,24 128:9 138:20 146:18 156:17 159:12 documents 79:6 83:21 90:24 91:7,10 96:14,22,24 101:4,19 104:25 105:2,10,11 106:17,18,21,24 107:18,22,24,25 108:6

114:1 115:2 116:20 118:20 135:22,23,24 136:17 141:17,18,19 145:2 149:7 152:14 153:25 154:1,5,10 155:16,22 156:1,19,20,25 158:3 Don 75:25 101:21 102:15,18 126:15 134:12 135:20 137:16.17 138:5,6,7 139:15 155:7 159:18 Donald 48:13 118:9 done 123:1,3 do-not-rep 136:11 doubt 53:1 downtown 133:25 dozen 120:23 dozens 138:18 154:24 drive 49:6 118:2 due 157:14,16 duly 78:6 132:13 dump 159:12 during 136:24 duties 63:5 66:11 134:23 140:25 154:17 duty 141:23 154:23 Е earlier 94:16 96:1 162:7 economy 72:18 edification 95:15 edition 65:13 egregious 77:21 Eighteen 66:6 either 57:2 62:14,16 64:4 68:22 73:8 107:25 150:19

157:14

158:11,18

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

112:1,2,9,20

Page 7 of 20

| · | | | Page 7 of 20 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| element 159:21 | 96:1,4,8,12 | 91:1 100:10,17 | exact 68:3 98:10 |
| | 98:15,18 99:13 | 101:1,8 104:17 | |
| eligible 75:13 | 105:7,14,21,22 | 113:2 120:3 | EXAMINATION 50:3 |
| Eliot 48:9 49:2 | 106:14 108:23 | 121:10,12 129:9 | 78:8 87:15 |
| 50:4 52:21 55:9 | 109:2,3,5,11 | 130:24 131:3,6 | 130:16 132:15 |
| 56:3,5,9 57:8 | 114:2,3 | 133:22 134:5,12 | 153:21 160:4 |
| 58:14 59:22 | 115:8,10 | 137:25 139:14 | examine 132:3,7 |
| 60:24 61:24 | 116:1,18,23 | 140:12,17 149:7 | examined 135;18 |
| 62:2,5,9,13,14, | 117:8,11,17 | 151:5,13,17 | |
| 18,19,25 | 118:3,15,21 | 152:1,14,16 | exceed 165:10 |
| 63:2,5,7,11,16, | 119:3,23 | 153:8 155:13,14 | except 60:18 |
| 17,21 64:25 | 136:21,25 | 156:18,21 | 96:23 |
| 66:19 67:2,7 | 137:2,4 141:10 | 157:2,9,19 | and used of the |
| 68:1,5,20 | 142:3,24 | 160:7,18 161:1 | excluded 95:23 |
| 69:1,5,13,20 | 143:18,21,25 | 163:22 164:25 | excuse 51:18 76:3 |
| 70:1,2,5,7,13,1 | 144:16 146:13 | 165:1 | 101:10,13,24 |
| 9,21,24,25 | 147:8,11 | 166:17,18,23 | 110:23 115:25 |
| 71:10,23,24 | 148:7,9 149:13 | estates 80:11 | 116:6,24 141:17 |
| 72:3,6,13,16,24 | 155;17 | 91:6 | 164:8 |
| ,25 73:12,14,24 | e-mailed 136:22 | 100:14,18,24 | executed 132:22 |
| 74:3,10,14 | e-mails | 101:2 140:13,22 | |
| 75:22 76:12,21,24 | e-mails 91:11,13,16,19, | et 48:16 84:9 | exhibit 50:15,16,17,18, |
| 77:3,19,23 | 20,24 92:2,5 | 91:12 | 50:15,16,17,18, 19,20 53:7 |
| 78:6,11 | | 100:12,14,20 | 82:15,20 |
| 92:10,17 113:16 | 131:18 135:22 | 102:4 104:4 | 94:15,18,25 |
| 116:17 117:7 | 136:2 141:15 | 1 | 106:3,12 108:14 |
| 118:16 122:25 | 144:15,18 | ethical 86:19 | 109:7,13 |
| 124:15 130:18 | | 140:25 | 112:1,10 |
| 131:17 133:4,15 | emergency 118:9 | ethics 141:25 | 114:1,18 118:12 |
| 135:19 | employed | evasive 159:21 | 119:11 121:2 |
| 136:8,15,20,23 | 124:21,22,23 | | 126:8 136:7,25 |
| 137:7 138:15 | employees 149:3,8 | evening 97:16 | 137:2,22 139:21 |
| 139:7 140:6,9 | | 136:24 137:4,6 | 142:20 143:7,10 |
| 141:1,10,18,19, | encouraging 72:8 | 144:13 | 146:22 147:4 |
| 24 142:7,12,24 | ensues 60:15 | eventually 109:17 | 148:8 149:13 |
| 144:12,15 145:3 | | everybody 116:2 | 150:4 155:8,17 |
| 146:10,12,19 | enter 168:1 | 162:7 | 156:1 |
| 147:13 | entire 66:1 | 102:7 | exhibits 50:13 |
| 148:13,16,21 | Entirely 149:5 | everyone 64:13 | 121:3 132:9 |
| 152:6,10,13,25 | - | 72:19 162:2 | 136:16 |
| 154:4 155:4 | entity 107:14 | everyone's 138:19 | |
| 157:6,7,10,11,1 | 157:5 | - | exist 53:20 |
| 4,17,20 | especially 168:8 | everything 91:4 | existence 53:8 |
| 158:8,11,17,20, 22 160:22 | Esq | 141:14 157:20 159:14 162:4 | existing 59:18 |
| 163:7,16,24,25 | 49:5,8,13,14,18 | | 139:2 154:22 |
| 167:8 | | evidence 50:14 | |
| 168:4,21,22 | essence 116:21 | 53:24 59:22 | exists 63:2 |
| 169:2 | 152:22 | 70:10,11 | expenses 122:4 |
| | establish 61:18 | 72:2,23 73:22 | 124:6 |
| Eliot's 137:1 | established 79:5 | 75:19,21,23 | experienced 123:1 |
| 159:5 163:8 | 80:7 | 77:24 78:3,4 | - |
| else 64:1,6 66:24 | 1 | 90:23 101:6 | explain 90:17 |
| 67:12 91:4 | establishment | 108:14 109:8,14 114:7,12,19 | 133:19 |
| 104:3 117:15 | 118:14 | 114:/,12,19 | explained 123:17 |
| 145:15,25 162:7 | estate 48:6 51:10 | 130:11 131:22 | exposing 104:23 |
| else's 141:20 | 55:8 61:14,16 | 133:1,6 143:2,8 | |
| | 74:4,9,11,21,25 | 146:21 147:5 | empress 86:7 |
| e-mail 53:7 81:21 | 75:6 76:1,16 | 148:8 | expressed 86:16 |
| 82:9,12,15,24,2 | 77:4 78:20 | | 91:6 101:16 |
| 5 83:4,7,19 | 79:5,11 80:6,15 | evidentiary 58:10 | |
| 86:5,13 92:19 | 81:4 | evidently 134:7 | expression 61:23 |
| 95:1 | 90:16,20,21 | | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 8 of 20 49:3,7,10,15,20 extensive 64:11 89:13 90:11 133:2,3,10,12 51:6 52:24 93:21 94:16 153:9,12 161:9 extra 142:11 53:22 59:13 98:21 99:10 filed 51:14 52:14 105:13,16 107:2 eve 86:11 78:13,17 89:11 56:5,7,9,12 102:2 141:25 108:16,25 62:13 70:12,17 111:9,21,24 170:4,8 85:8,20 94:19 F 112:11 113:13 108:1 132:23,25 focus 66:21 79:16 fabricated 134:7 114:14,22 139:15 155:7 141:8 144:20 face 58:7 115:1.5 161:11,17 162:11 116:5,9,17,24 164:14,16 fact 53:4,8 76:18 folks 152:18 117:2,9 166:21 77:16 84:5 168:2 118:13,14 123:6 91:18 95:16 124:10,24 125:7 filing 101:11 follow-up 82:10 101:23,24 126:2 127:5 86:13 109:3 Finally 77:20 104:15 120:23 128:25 129:2,24 128:8 134:9 finding 76:18 forced 122:3 130:14,17 145:8 155:5 77:16 131:14,18,20,24 foregoing 170:10 157:23 133:4,6,8,15 fine 71:17 forged 101:5 facts 55:20 58:7 143:5 145:22 finish 73:17 103:16 61:13 137:20 146:24 147:25 79;21,22 114:23 139:10 148:19 149:18,23,25 forgeries 100:10 152:19 150:10,11,16 103:8 faith 101:18 152:8,12 finished 162:3 forgery 84:6 familiar 152:1 153:17,18,22 firm 49:9 54:21 99:25 102:1,3 154:14160:1,19,21,23 103:16 119:25 61:7,14,25 156:18,20 161:1,3,6,8,14 62:20 73:23 162:5 163:15 forget 144:21 Family 124:7 75:23 76:15,16 165:7,18 160:6 forgive 103:14 84:11 87:19,20 167:3,10,13,18, 108:22 114:1 90:4 92:6,19 fast 138:18 23 168:3 96:5 105:12 form 70:17 105:13 father 80:7 90:24 Feaman's 79:25 106:15,19,22 124:11 127:5,8 94:3 121:10 107:19,22,25 128:25 February 48:24 father's 80:8 110:16 129:1,4,24 51;7 82:21 111:16,19 101:15 102:4 145:22 91:25 92:1,21 112:2,8,10 129:11 131:10 95:5 98:15,18 formal 60:3,7 115:24 125:12 99:17 105:7,15 favor 53:1 111:24 164:11 127:3,10 134:24 108:17,23 favorable 164:1 136:10,22 formed 52:19 53:6 142:7,12,25 138:18 111:14 143:11,15 faxed 162:6 140:16,20 145:11 former 62:15 64:4 Feaman 49:13,14 147:11 148:12 146:16,20 147:7 68:1,5,23 94:6 50:5,9 148:7,10 firm's 58:23 162:11 51:13,16,17,21 first 51:15 55:18 52:1 55:18 fee 111:18 138:14 formerly 60:1 56:14,18 57:14,16 67:2 69:22 feel 84:10 86:23 57:15.21 68:7 73:10,13 87:11 89:15 forming 52:7 58:1,5,12,18 76:17 86:4 91:4 104:22 93:25 97:20 59:21 forms 101:17 152:24 60:1,5,8,13 106:12 110:21 forth 52:18 58:9 fees 102:25 165:9 115:4 117:5 61:21 62:1,6 61:10 130:21 63:3,8,13,16,19 123:13,15 132:1 felt 70:8 144:3 148:9 Forty-six 143:18 64:10 86:3,12,20 65:3,6,9,12,15, 158:21 141:16 forward 70:23 19,22 fit 83:1 72:20 fiction 76:9 66:3,4,8,13,15 foundation 80:17 FITZGERALD 49:5 67;6,20 fiduciary 75:6,7 116:6,10 68:14,25 101:18 125:4 five 97:24 99:14 69:15,19 135:8 151:3 138:25 145:2 Fourth 77:10,18 72:6,12,14 FIFTEENTH 48:1 fraud 90:19 102:1 Flagler 49:6 73:11 78:9 79:3 119:25 80:4,21 82:8,22 figured 73:13 Fleisher 87:24 83:2,3 84:24 86:13 88:1,5 fraudulent 101:5 87:12 88:9 file 54:20 132:22 Florida 48:1

> PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 9 of 20

| free 138:24 | Guffe |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Friday 137:3 139:20 140:1,2 | <u> </u> |
| FRIEDSTEIN 49:17 | hac 6 |
| friend 138:8 | half |
| front 94:15 97:10 | hall |
| 105:8 106:1 | hand |
| 127:14,18 128:8,16 146:18 | 113 |
| fully 150:18 | hande 105 |
| fund 101:25 | 115 |
| funding 102:24 | handi |
| | handl |
| G game 117:20 | handl |
| games 117:20 | happe |
| Garber 120:19 | happe 139 |
| generally 140:10 | happe |
| gentleman 138:7 | hard |
| gets 139:6 | harm |
| getting 71:18 | harme |
| 91;2 95;5 | 69: |
| 100:20 | haven |
| gifts 152:17 | 89: 117 |
| given 149:4 166:14 | havin |
| giving 164:2 | 100 164 |
| Glasko 49:18 | head |
| 161:20 162:16,20,24 | headi |
| 163:4 | 108 |
| 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 | heads |
| GOLDEN 49:19 | hear |
| governs 55:13 | 73: 162 |
| grab 114:20 | heard |
| grandchildren | 59; |
| 120:11 | heari 58: |
| granted 165:3 | 150 |
| <pre>great 134:3 159:10 168:23</pre> | hears |
| greater 77:24 | He'll |
| Greenberg 120:21 | help |
| ground 112:15 | 87: balma |
| guardianship | helpe |
| 74:19 | helpi here' |
| guardianships 140:14 | nere 114 |
| guess 137:1 | 162 |
| 147:17 159:4 | he's 66: |
| | |

ey 49:14 н 61:12 139:9 148:14 150:13 78:5 94:24 3:25 125:25 ed 93:13,19 5:21 110:12 5:8 128:9 ing 106:11 **le** 140:12 les 144:18 en 57:8 64:8 ened 122:6 3:7 141:12ens 54:7 118:2 69:10,11 ed 63:3 68:21 9 70:9 n't 70:12 22 115:19 7:6 127:23 ng 54:17 0:24 147:2 1:25 139:23 ing 65:18 3:25 set 137:13,14 51:15 53:13 3 85:16 2:24 163:2 d 51:22 54:3 8 147:20 **ing** 51:13 10 135;25 1:23 say 133:8 1 106:8 72:1,20,22 10 139:3 ed 157:10 ing 123:11 **'s** 61:20 62:7 1:16 122:25 2:2 168:9,10 60:8,25 66:22 68:6

71:1,2 72:16 74:5,6,23 82:2 86:22 105:4 117:3 123:7 125:5 138:7 151:5,15 163:9 hey 54:9 141:11 highly 144:5 148:14 Highway 49:20 hire 54:25 74:25 76:16 136:13 139:11 hired 62:4,22 110:24 113:1,3 hold 51:23 83:2 149:24 Holdings 61:9,10 107:9,10 157:2,5,24 158:15 159:3,6,17 home 110:14 137:14 Honor 51:17,18 52:1,2,9,14,23 53:15 54:15,22 55:18 57:1,13 58:19 59:10 67:4,20,22 68:12,25 70:3,25 73:20 75:2,5 76:14 77:8 78:1 80:5 87:14 88:21 108:13 110:8 111:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 117:2 119:13 122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 153:16 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 HONORABLE 48:21 hope 138:11 hotline 141:25 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13

158:12 Howard 61:9 hundred 78:21 115:19 hurt 69:19 123:16 hurting 123:12 hype 166:14 Т **I.D** 116:12 IANTONI 49:17 I'd 54:1 86:13 96:6 108:13 114:11 115:11,16 132:22,25 ID 115:3 130:10 idea 112:25 128:11 150:19 159:4 identical 64:15 68:8 identification 50:14 126:9 142:19,21 identified 106:14 116:18 145:3 identify 117:5 II 48:21 I'll 57:16 70:14 73:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:5 133:14,16 150:4 153:18 160:23 166:1 **illegally** 90:25 **I'm** 53:16,18,23 55:3 56:20 60:3 61:22 62:7,17,23 63:12,23 64:6,25 68:5 69:13 71:5,18,25 72:2,7,17,23 73:5 74:2,3,8 76:2,4,7 78:21 79:14 88:3,23 89:8 92:7 94:24,25 98:25 99:3,6 104:23 105:20 106:2,11 109:25 112:19

113:25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

house 157:6

Page 10 of 20

115:15,18,22 infer 65:24 interested 55:8 64:1,15 65:9 117:15,19 57:9 74:10,23 66:9 69:8,10 informal 150:22 118:20 119:1 152:10 163:9 70:17 73:7,9 information 54:10 74:21 75:9 123:13 125:25 164:23 76:13 77:16 55:23 60:17 127:21 128:15 interesting 64:23 69:6 87:5 89:15 94:8 132:2 133:11 139:10 138:12 141:11 75:22 76:11,24 95:13 97:5 77:2,17,19 82:6 interests 69:2.4 144:21 154:3 101:10 104:22 84:2,10 87:8 76:18 79:10 105:16 106:1,23 159:8,20 161:13 90:3,6 91:3 90:15 121:17 162:3,18 108:22,24,25 96:16 99:23 163:22 109:1 110:16 163:2,7 100:3 114:2 116:23 164:2,21 interject 103:6,19,22 117:7,10,11 168:14,23,24 70:2,5,11 104:15,21,24,25 118:25 169:1 Internet 126:18 105:2 115:23 123:7,13,15 immediate 140:7 147:23 121:19 124:13 125:4 128:5,10,12,16, Impeachment 127:5,6,17 interpretation 125:1,2 17,22,24 128:12 129:2 121:23 129:3,6,16 132:24 133:7,8 important 79:1 interrupt 145:14 139:12 141:17 136:7,9 137:1 92:8 159:9 144:5,7 147:22 138:14 152:15 impose 54:16 148:15 149:4,8 156:17 157:3,17 interrupted 135:2 162:25 improper 103:12 Ivan 48:9 78:11 intimate 87:7 116:5 informed 134;6 I've 85:9,23 87:9 introduce 75:19 146:1 impropriety 52:25 89:5,9,20 90:21 introduced 75:21 inherit 80:14 91:6 94:5,8 inaccuracies 114:7 133:1 121:12 152:6,13 95:20 96:19 148:6 investigation 110:15 111:7 inheritances inaccurate 115:7 123:2 104:1 134:22 140:14 144:1,15,17 124:6 127:24 135:6 141:7 145:25 148:25 initial 82:17 128:24 132:21 143:22 149:5 111:18 138:14 141:14 142:7 investigations 141:5 145:17 146:8 included 81:3 100:11 104:6 156:15 164:18 155:22 168:5 initiated 81:16 investigative I-V-I-E-W-I-T including 149:2 injury 75:4 126:21 117:24 161:20 inquiries 103:7 involved 54:11 inconsistencies 56:23 61:11 instead 58:14 76:8 J 67:1 99:25 161:20 Janet 122:16 121:18 134:4 Incorrect 126:19 Institute 133:23 166:16 January 133:22 increment 165:10 instructions involvement 86:24 jar 165:22,25 incrementally 153:10,13 154:18 165:12 **Jill** 49:17 164:9 intake 135:13,15 involving 58:25 indeed 52:19 136:4,22 140:7 job 159:10 134:12,20 144:19 148:13 independently 135:20 139:14 Joel 87:6,20,21 149:6 154:6,11 70:19 isn't 75:3 98:7 John 49:8 50:7 intakes 136:5 101:11 117:22 indicated 81:2 91:20 92:5 135:9 145:20 125:3 159:18 intends 147:11 132:13,18 147:9 issue 59:3 61:18 intention 153:12 **join** 72:3 indication 134:1 74:1 119:2 interest 52:11 joinder 71:14 135:16 139:2 Indigo 61:9 57:5 58:25 142:2 152:9,21 joined 62:11 64:7,20 individual 55:17 158:21 70:18,19,24 65:7 67:15,24 individually issues 57:3,11 joining 72:7,16 68:22 151:20,24 125:12 69:12,13,16 judge 51:3 57:17 158:17 80:6 91:5 item 95:9 71:19 73:2,19 100:24 101:1 individuals 86:14 106:5 it's 55:6,8,10 121:10 163:12 104:13 126:13 128:23 59:11,15 62:17 164:24 129:7 153:9 63:12.22

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 11 of 20

light 164:1 160:10 162:16 lawsuit 75:3 164:13 **likely** 117:15 lawyer 52:6,10 iudge's 149:19 limit 123:8 59:1 60:16 62:4 63:25 64:19,20 judicial 48:1 limitations 65:24 67:13 72:18 165:24 137:24 69;8,22 102;6 July 93:25 170:12 line 68:7 95:9 123:7 140:19,23 144:3,4 148:9 154:17,18 162:12,13 165:9 ĸ lines 99:15 kicker 54:4 lawver-client liquidating 59:23 64:22 kicking 54:13,14 147:18 66:24 kids 158:12 Lisa 49:17 164:8 lawyers 56:22 Kimberly 102:1 list 89:21 108:4 64:16 90:7,9 111:1 120:21 kinds 100:4 listed 106:18 135;9 136;13 156:19 knew 104:3 138:3 169:2 listen 79:22 knowledge 58:1 Lawyer's 57:23 102:21 117:13 77:17 87:7 121:24 122:7,9 leading 129:21 known 128:3 148:2 123:4 124:15 learn 70:13 159:2 138:19 KONOPKA 49:6 learned 60:17 litem 164:5 152:24 158:25 167:20 L **least** 70:19 literally 138:18 lack 80:16 116:6,9 leave 121:6 litigation 65:23 140:12 148:22 lacks 74:4,22 led 64:23 153:5 language 68:4,11 left-hand 58:22 litigators 54:5 large 149:3,7 legal 61:18,23 170:8 little 53:22 62:5 79:14 66:18 124:13 100:13,17 last 58:22 81:7 165:21 111:15 122:20 91:23 95:17 139:2 154:16,20 live 121:22 107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8 lengthy 149:2 133:12 lives 157:6,7,18 lasted 97:20,22 less 97:24 139:9 139:9 144:23 148:13 LLC 61;10 late 74:8 78:14 let's 66:12 73:25 107:9,10,13 78:2,25 92:4,7 108:5 later 138:9 96:19 115:3 157:2,5,7,8,18, 143:18 148:21 23,24 117:17 124:17 launching 100:12 158:7,10,11,13, 150:3 15 159:3,6,17 law 49:9,19 letter 108:18 52:23,24 56:14 lodge 162:21 109:22 57:24 58:3,5,23 110:2,5,13 logic 62:8 75:23 76:16 111:3,7 78:3 81:8 84:11 long 81:20 83:16 114:7,11 97:21 120:5 87:19,20 90:4 136:7,8,11,14 155:19 92:6,19 102:2 139:16,22 105:11 143:14,16 lot 84:10 115:17 106:15,19,22 145:11,15,25 121:18 138:23 107:19,22,25 146:6 155:10 lots 54:6 110:16 letters 118:9 111:16,19 119:5 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 library 71:11 mainly 100:9 138;21 139;5 life 87:8 111:7 maintenance 140:11,16 138:10 155:25 162:14 157:19

163:14 164:24

malpractice 75:25 101:12,20 102:15,18,19,23 103:2 110:19 134:20 137:18,21,24,25 139:13 159:18,22 manager 122:19 Manceri 120:15 mark 120:15,19 142:18 150:4 marked 50:13 82:14 91:11.12 109:7,13 114:18 119:11 126:8 142:20 143:7 147:4 MARSAA 170:7,15 Martin 48:21 51:3 material 77:17 145:1 Materiality 149:25 materially 52:11 67:15 76:19,20 153:1 163:23 materials 144:25 145:5,8 matter 52:8,13 59:8 61:13 62:8 67:17 68:10 72:19 88:19 89:11,25 95:6 134:2,5 135:20 136:3 matters 76:1 103:24 104:11 135:8 139:14 151:21,24 153:3 may 51:21 56:2,3,23 59:3 62:22 63:13 65:23 67:8 70:2 73:25 74:19 75:17 78:24 80:19 93:7 94;21 98:17 99:10,11 106:5 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118:25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 146:15 150:4 154:16 165:14 168:9

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

м

Page 12 of 20

maybe 66:18 72:1 144:23 97:25 119:4 Mischaracterizes mean 56:2,19 105:14 62:18 65:1 71:9 missing 116:4 74:18 75:14 117:10 77:6 104:20 model 53:23 109:24 118:1,2,25 mom 113:2 137:25 122:25 141:3 139:14 152:15 145:14 152:6 moment 91:17 156:10 159:8 103:6 116:1 168:6,8 146:1 165:6 means 95:13 moments 67:9 112:17 123:7 mom's 151:5,6 meant 99:3 Monday 108:22 meet 133:24 134:9 139:25 140:3,6 meeting 142:12 96:14,17,24 money 84:18 134:2,25 141:5 85:2,11 121:22 meetings 125:5,6 139:11 144:21,22 146:4 157:13,21,22 member 107:13,17 158:17,23 members 144:17 monies 146:2 149:4 157:8 memorializes 53:8 month 138:19 Moran's 102:1 mention 137:24 Morley 82:1 mentioned 89:10 108:20 109:2 93:1 95:9 121:11 134:17 morning 133:22 142:3 167:12,13 mother 129:11 mentioning 168:15 motion 51:14 merit 164:21 52:15,18 53:7,10 54:20 met 134:10 135:3 55;5,15 141:4 56:4,5,7 57:10 Metcalf 162:7,8 58:15 Michelle 82:1,25 61:11,12,22 62:13 63:22 108:20,24 109:2,5,12 64:15 66:4 136:22,25 68:19 137:2,5 140:5,7 70:12,17,23 71:5,12 141:7,13 144:9,12,18 72:17,24 76:4 86:15 94:19,24 145:2 149:10 155:18,20,25 106:4,13 141:8 143:16 160:25 158:5 159:6 161:3,16,18,23 middle 73:4 163:5,8 mind 92:9 115:24 164:3,4,19,20 165:3 minimum 148:19 167:6,7,19 minors 88:15 motions 167:3 minute 65:16 move 94:10 108:13 162:19 114:11 125:7 minutes 97:24 143:2 146:21 138:24 139:1 149:14 141:10 143:18

moving 55:12 56:4 58:13 64:18 116:12 164:11 MRACHEK 49:5 myself 90:25 N Nancy 49:14 narrative 123:9,22 narrow 122:10 nature 134:23 140:10 necessarily 153:7 necessary 150:23 156:20,22 negative 110:4 neglected 65:25 neutrality 72:9 **nice** 166:22 nods 139:23 non-beneficiaries 95:24 none 88:25 134:16 non-issue 156:24 nor 63:19 144:16 no-rep 136:7 normal 117:22 normally 91:9 Norman 87:24 88:1.5 notarized 107:8 notary 100:11 102:3 103:9,11,12,13, 15,16,19 134:14,18,20 135:17,21 137:19 notes 149:21 150:2,6,9,12 170:11 nothing 74:16 101:8 115:12 140:8 147:24 153:15 159:17 160:9 166:1 notice 71:1 85:19,24 117:21 notices 91:2

NW 49:2 78:13 0 object 112:14 124:10 133:6 145:22 150:11 objected 75:14 objecting 70:4 105:4 123:7 objection 72:4,6 80:16 82:2 89:13 93:21 105:13 108:15,16 112:11 113:13 114:13,14 115:1 116:5,9 118:13 119:9 124:14 125:7,8 127:5 128:25 129:24 143:4,5 146:23,24 147:9,25 148:4 149:23 150:15,24 152:8 162:21 obtain 85:5 108:6 112:5 obtained 108:8 112:2 obviously 86:20 166:5 offering 117:3 office 67:8 81:22 82:18 83:5,8 111:3 130:22 133:25 134:11,19 135:12,13 136:8,14 137:13 141:8 144:9,17 149:5 153:25 154:12 155:18,25 offices 81:8 Oh 84:22 85:18,23 102:9 111:10 142:10 155:20 okay 51:9,10 53:14 55:2 56:19 58:11 59:19 60:21 62:23 63:9 65:5,14,21 66:1,6,11,15,18 69:17,24 71:20 72:3,24,25

73:10,14,20

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

novel 137:20

Page 13 of 20

.

| Y4:17 Y5:8 Y3:15, 16, 18 page 50:3 110:13, 16, 16 Y7:13 Y7:14 Y7:14< | | | | Page 13 of 20 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 77:13 76:2,5,22 77:11 111:13 56:19,20 122:15,8 87:14,16,24 Oppenheimer 65:13,15,17 122:17 106:12,23 122:1,14 81:15,17,20 122:17 106:12,23 122:1,14 122:1,14 81:15,17,20 Opportunity 54:3 119:20,22 1,24:12,64,6,10 122:1,74 86:12,020 07:12 53:12 54:2 59:2 116:20 130:12,25 91:15,25 59:2 59:2 59:2 59:2 16:12 122:1,22 92:7,12,13,24 130:12 130:12,122 133:12,25 133:12,25 133:12,25 92:7,12,13,24 order 166:13 pedd 10:25 133:12,17,21 168:12,21 168:12,18,20 100:5:10:3 order 166:13 penetto 49:19,20 168:12,21 168:12,20 168:12,20 112:15,17 0rder 167:2,5 50:6,7 51:18 51:12 51:14 168:12,20 113:15:16:17 13:13:15:15,9 order 166:23 57:1,5,7,13 91:22 82:16 13:12 168:12,20 114:19,17 12:11:14:10:10 164:18,20 59:10,0,24 66:12,22.35 | 74.17 75.0 | 73.15 16 10 | 7000 E012 | 110.13 16 19 |
| 7:1,16,24 Oppenheimer 136:12,15,17 122:17 124:12,33 8:135,17,20 122:17 136:12,33 135:12,05,17 124:1,30 8:142,16,832 opportunity 54:3 115:12,05,15 124:1,30 125:12,15,17 8:142,16,832 opportunity 54:3 139:12,02 125:12,15,17 124:1,30 125:12,14 8:16,00 51:12,15,17 139:12,02 125:12,15,17 124:1,30 125:12,15,17 8:16,00 51:12,15,17 139:12,02 135:14,4,6,12,22 125:15 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 125:12,15,17 131:12,0,25 131:12,0,25 131:12,0,25 131:12,0,27 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:12,12,16 131:11,12,12,16 131:11,12,12,16 131 | | | | |
| Bot:12 Coppendition 122:17 50:162,23 115:20,25 114:1,20 B:15,17,20 Copportunity 54:3 119:20,23 115:20,25 123:12 B:15,27 Sill 2 54:2 Copportunity 54:3 119:20,22 123:12,25 B:15,27 Sill 2 54:2 Pages 107:9 133:12,25 133:12,25 Sill 3,17,28 Sill 2 65:15 prid 102:25 133:12,25 133:12,25 Sill 3,17,99:21 Copportion 161:25 Sill 2 66:13 133:12,160:16 153:23 160:16 106:3,11,17,91:2 Cord 71:14 Cord 7:14 T70:4 168:12,80 168:12,80 100:14,16,18,21 Cord 51:15 Sill 2 65:15 Sill 2 65:16 133:12,16,20 168:12,18,20 113:11,17,7,21,23 Cord 51:12 Sill 2 65:16 Sill 2 88:18 93:5,24 100:14,16,18,21 Cord 51:12 Sill 2 65:16 Sill 2 88:18 13:13:14:20 113:11,17,21 Cord 51:11 Sill 2 65:12 Sill 2 62:12,1 161:12 113:11,14:15 Cord 51:12 Sill 2 62:2,25 Sill 2 62:2,25 Sill 2 62:2,25 | | //:11 111:13 | - | - |
| aiis, 17, 20 122:17 iis. 20, 28 16:1 123:1, 3, 10, 17, 2 ai, 12, 14 opportunity 54:3 139:20, 22 139:10, 22 129:15 bi: 6, 20, 27:12 53:12, 54:2 pages 107:9 139:12, 25 91:15, 25 59:2, 65:13 pages 107:9 130:12, 25 92:7, 12, 13, 24 59:2, 65:13 paid 102:25 130:12, 25 96:6, 77, 97:13 opposition 161:25 paid 102:25 131:12, 25 100:5 101:3 oral 71:14 70:14 8 14:11 14:14:10 100:5:4 107:6, 18, 23 pam 95:24 166:17, 77, 71 105:4 orders 167:2, 5 50:6, 75, 51:18 51:2, 86:17, 89:5 113:1:114:5 original 95:21 57:14, 7, 13 pager 165:21 13:1:1, 14:5 original 95:21 57:14, 7, 13 pager 165:21 13:1:1, 14:15 original 95:21 57:14, 15, 10, 24 pager 165:21 13:1:1, 14:15 original 95:21 57:14, 15, 10, 24 pager 16:12 13:1:1, 14:15 original 95:21 57:14, 17, 10, 17, 12 part16:125 < | | Oppenheimer | | - |
| 8:8:4, i2, i4 8:12 opportunity 54:3 119:20, 22 129:20, 22 8:23 85:125 opposing 52:16 133:13 129:20, 22 129:13 9:6: 30:2, 11 59:12 59:12 59:12 130:12, 48:12 129:13 9:7: 30:2, 13, 24 59:2 59:2 59:2 130:12, 25 130:12, 25 130:12, 25 130:12, 25 131:12, 25 131:12, 25 131:12, 25 132:2, 5, 10, 13, 13 15:12 131:12, 25 132:2, 5, 10, 13, 13 15:12, 80:17 131:12, 25 132:2, 5, 10, 13, 13 15:12, 80:17 131:12, 25 132:2, 5, 10, 13, 14 15:12, 80:17 15:13, 17, 21, 23 16:13, 17, | | 122:17 | - | |
| 84:22 85:25 opposing 52:16 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 143:13 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 129:15 130:12 <th12< th=""> <th120:12< th=""> <th120:12< <="" td=""><td>• -</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></th120:12<></th120:12<></th12<> | • - | | - | |
| Bestin, 2,0 By a generation of the second seco | | opportunity 54:3 | - | |
| 66:10,20 7:12 53:12 53:12 129:5 90:6 90:2,211 56:15,77,18 130:23 129:5 91:7,13,24 130:23 162:10 131:12,25 132:12,5,10,13,1 94:1,9,21 95:7 opposition 161:25 paid 102:12 131:12,25 132:12,5,10,13,1 96:7,97:13 order 166:23 paid 102:12 166:13,17,18 161:13,17,21,23 100:5 101:3 order 166:23 Palm 49:19,20 165:17,17 106:4,11,17,21, 166:12,12,15 Pankauski 49:19,20 165:17,17 113:1 145:5 order 167:2,5 Pankauski 49:19,20 165:12 113:1 145:5,9 ordiginal 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 Paper 55:4 113:1 145:5,9 originally 140:19 61:24 130:12 113:1 143:20 63:4,13,20,24 paper 55:21 132:19 13:1:1,15 135:4 64:1,22 paralegals 13:1:1,15 135:4 64:1,22 paralegals 13:1:1,15 originally 140:19 63:2,17,19 | | opposing 52:16 | 143:13 | |
| 89:6: 90:2,11 56:15,17,18 116:20 130:1,4,8,12,22 92:15,25 59:2 65:19 paid 102:25 131:12,25 92:17,12,13,24 130:23 162:10 paid 102:25 131:12,25 92:17,12,13,24 130:23 162:10 paid 102:25 131:12,25 96:5,77 99:23 order 16:23 paid 102:25 131:12,25 100:5 101:3 order 16:23 paimeto 49:19,20 165:17,17 105:4 167:6,18,23 rem 95:24 166:17,17 106:3,17,171:1:6 order 16:2,2 55:6,6,7 51:1:8 107:4,16,18,21 orders 16:2,2 55:1,5,9 91:22,82:18 113:1,114:5 ordginal 95:21 55:9,10,24 130:12,4 113:5,20 ordifial 95:21 55:9,10,24 132:19 144:20 13:3:14:14 0riginally 140:19 61:2,2,25 154:16,20 154:16,20 13:3:4:4 0rig2,2,25 154:16,20 154:16,20 154:16,20 13:3:4:4 0rig2,2,25 154:16,20 154:16,20 154:16,20 13:5:1:7 13:5:17;1,57,71 < | - | | pages 107:9 | |
| 9115,25 5912,6519 130123,16210 paid 102125 132112,25 92:7,12,13,24 130123,16210 paid 102125 13212,5,10,13,1 96:7,97,13 oppedition 161:25 paim 49:7,10 15812,25,10,13,1 100:5,10:13 order 166:23 170:4 165:7,17 105:4 167:2,12,16 palmetto 49:19,20 165:7,17 106:8,11,17,21, 166:2,12,16 palmetto 49:19,20 165:7,17 106:1,11,17,21, 166:2,12,16 palmetto 49:19,20 Parkauski 49:8,9 106:3,11,17,21, order 166:23 50:6,7,51:18 91:22 82:18 112:9,14,19 154:18,20 57:1,57,13 9207 165:21 133:13,13 156:5,9 60:10,22,25 9207 165:21 133:14,12,15,25 132:12,16 9207 165:21 9217 129 131:12,21 originally 140:19 61:24 9207 165:21 132:14,22 126:14,12,20 137:4,71,19 9207 166:12 133:14,245 originally 140:19 61:24 9207 22,25 139:14,14 0throme 99:15,77 73:15,77,19 9217 132 139:14,21,25,20 0throme 99:15,77 73:15,1 | | | | |
| 92:7,12,13,24 130:23 162:10 peld 102:25 132:2,5,10,13,1 96:5,7 97:13 opposition 161:25 relation 46:1 49:7,10 51:5 86:17 89:51 153:23 160:16 100:5 101:3 ozder 166:23 relation 46:1 49:19,20 153:23 160:16 153:23 160:16 100:5 101:3 ozder 166:23 relation 46:1 49:19,20 165:7,71 105:4 167:6,16,23 relation 49:19,20 166:17,17 106:3,17,111:6 orders 167:2,5 relation 49:19,20 168:18,20 107:4,16,18,21 orders 167:2,5 relation 49:19,20 168:18,20 113:1,114:5 original 95:21 55:9,10,24 93:5,8 84:11 130:21 133:13 156:5,9 55:9,10,24 papers 53:4 130:21 131:1,15 original 95:21 56:4,7,71:3 papers 53:4 130:21 131:1,21 original 91:40:19 62:4,12,20,24 132:19 144:20 132:19 144:20 139:2,21,22:10 148:14 70:22,25 154:16,20 154:16,20 139:12,46:13:19 otters 89:18,20 57:1,7,7,13 participals 154:16,20 139:14,16 otters 89:18,20 57:1,7,7,19 partic | 91:15,25 | • • | | - |
| 94:1,9,21 95:7 opposition 161:23 Palm 49:7,10 5 81 11:11 143:10 96:7 97:13 oral 71:14 56:7 56:7 15:32:31 160:1:3 17:7,4 15:32:32 160:1:3,17,21,23 100:5 101:3 0rder 16:2,12,16 Palm etto 99:524 Pankauski 49:8,9 16:1:1,14:3:10 16:1:1,12:10,22 16:1:1,11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11:11 | 92:7,12,13,24 | | paid 102:25 | 132:2,5,10,13,1 |
| 96:7.97:13 opposition 16:1425 51:5 88:17 89:21 153:23 160:16 96:5.717 99:21 oral 71:14 170:4 163:12,12,12,33 100:52 101:3 order 166:23 Palmetto 49:19,20 163:12,12,12,33 105:4 167:6,18,23 Palmetto 49:19,20 163:12,18,20 106:6,11,17,21,23 167:6,18,23 Palmetto 49:19,20 163:12,18,20 107:4,16,18,21 orders 167:2,5 0:6,7,57:18 81:22 82:18 106:3,17,111:6 ore 162:21 57:1,5,7,13 paper 165:21 113:11,14:5 original 95:21 59:9,10,24 paper 165:21 113:11,11:6 original 95:21 59:9,10,24 paper 165:21 121:1,15 original 95:21 59:19,10,24 papers 53:4 121:1,26 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 paragraph 123:4,23 124:4 otherwise 69:14 70:12,23 110:18,23 123:4,6,13 outcome 90:15 73:15,17,19 participate 76:1 133:5: 136:19 outcome 91:5 73:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 135:14 outcome 91:5 73:11,16 76:16 <td>94:1,9,21 95:7</td> <td></td> <td>Palm 48:1 49:7.10</td> <td>8 141:11 143:10</td> | 94:1,9,21 95:7 | | Palm 48:1 49:7.10 | 8 141:11 143:10 |
| 98:5,17 99:21 oral 171:14 170:4 161:13,7,21,23 100:5 107:4 170:4 170:4 161:13,7,21,23 100:5:4 107:4,16,18,21 168:2,12,16 Pankauski 49:8,9 165:7,17 24 orders 167:4,16,18,21 orders 50:6,7 51:18 83:12,20:116 100:3,17 111:6 164:18,20 57:1,5,7,13 99:165:21 99:165:21 113:1 114:5 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 9aper 165:21 9aper 53:4 113:1,14:5 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 9aper 165:21 9aper 165:21 113:5,20 117:18 13:23 66:41,21 9aper 53:4 13:2:19 123:4,23 124:4 70:22,25 110:18,23 10:18,23 123:4,21,22 otherwise 69:14 71:7,1,13 110:18,23 12:19 133:4 otherwise 69:14 71:7,7,13 10:18,23 15:14:16,20 133:41 13:11,12,25 outcome 91:5,17 73:15,17,19 110:18,23 133:41 13:11:16 <td>96:7 97:13</td> <td>opposition 161:25</td> <td></td> <td>153:23 160:16</td> | 96:7 97:13 | opposition 161:25 | | 153:23 160:16 |
| 100:5 101:1 <th< td=""><td>98;5,17 99:21</td><td>oral 71:14</td><td></td><td>161:13,17,21,23</td></th<> | 98;5,17 99:21 | oral 71:14 | | 161:13,17,21,23 |
| 102:22 105:5.14 order 166:23 Palmetto 49:19,20 165:7.17 105:4 167:6.18,23 Pam 95:24 166:8.2,0 106:4 167:6.18,23 Pam ys:24 168:18,20 107:4,16,18,21 orders 167:2,5 50:6,7 51:18 83:5,8 84:11 100:3,17 111:6 164:18,20 56:8 83:5,8 84:11 113:5,20 116:17,17 133:13 156:5,9 50:6,7 51:18 83:5,8 84:11 113:5,20 116:17,17 133:13 156:5,9 50:10,22,25 132:19 144:20 113:5,20 116:17,17 07lando 133:23 66:41,21 papervok 164:15 paragraph 123:4,423 124:44 70:122,23 110:18,23 paralegals 154:16,20 133:5,136:19 0utome 90:15,17 73:15,17,13 110:18,23 participate 72:1 133:4 62:4,12,20,24 participate 72:1 74:4,20 133:4 0utome 90:15,17 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 133:4 149:19 52:16 65:13,16:16 74:4,20 | - | | | 163:12,18,20 |
| 167:6,18,23Pam 95:24168:18,20106:8,11,17,21,168:2,12,16Pankauski 49:8,9Pankauski *9:8,9107:4,16,18,21orders 167:2,550:6,7 51:1883:5,8 84:11108:3,17 11:16164:18,2052:20 53:14,15130:21113:1 114:5ordiginal 95:2157:1,5,7,13Paper 165:21113:1 114:5original 95:2157:1,2,7,13Paper 165:21113:1,12:16original 95:2157:1,2,7,13Paper 165:21113:1,14:5original 95:2157:1,2,2,0,24Papers 33:4110:8,22 126:17117:18 141:2066:12,2,23110:18,23123:16,21,22148:2470:22,25154:16,20130:5,6,13outcome 91:573:15,17,19paralegals130:6,12148:2470:22,25154:16,20130:1,1,2,15,25outcome 91:573:15,17,19participate 72:1133:24,46133:5outcome 91:5,1774:16,18155:2,11outcale 69:1471:7,14,17,19,2participate 72:1139:24 143:6outcale 59:1177:7,13 80:16155:2,11outcale 69:15,1775:16155:2,2157:2486:13,16,20155:7,22149:19 152:1685:13,16,20155:2,2157:2486:12162:1,2,14132:19155:24overrule 86:10155:240verrule 86:10136:4164:12,23166:12,1,2,17113:15166:12,1,2,17113:16166:2,3,17,23124:1,23166:2,2,24134:12166:2,2, | | | Palmetto 49:19,20 | 165:7,17 |
| 166:8,11,17,21, 168:2,12,16 Pankauski 49:8,9 24 orders 167:2,5 Pankauski 49:8,9 107:4,16,18,21 orders 167:2,5 Pankauski 49:8,9 108:3,17 111:6 164:18,20 50:6,7 51:18 113:1,14:15 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 paper 165:21 117:12,16 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 paper 165:21 123:4,12,20 originally 140:19 60:10,22,25 13:2:19 144:20 123:4,23 124:4 originally 140:19 66:2,8,12,23 paragraph 123:4,23 124:4 others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paragraph 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 parategals 133:24 133:25 outcome 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24 143:16 outside 62:10 87:14,16 participate 72:1 139:24 04:13 99:12,21 99:12,23 99:12,24 130:11,12,12,12 outcome 90:15,17 74:16,18 99:12,24 139:14 140:12 99 | - | 167:6,18,23 | Dom 05:04 | - |
| 24 orders 167:2.5 Pankauki 49:8.9 Pankauki 49:8.9 Pankauki 49:8.9 107:4,16,18,21 ore 162:21 50:6.7 51:18 81:22 82:16 112:19,14,19 166:18,20 56:8 51:06,7 51:18 113:1 114:5 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 Paper 165:21 13:12,16 originally 140:19 61:24 13:2:19 144:20 113:14,15 originally 140:19 61:24,23 13:13 19:26 123:4,23 124:4 others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paperwork 164:15 123:19,218,21,22 148:24 70:128,25 154:16,20 13:11,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 paralegals 13:2:4,6 133:5 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 13:2:4,6 133:12 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 13:2:4,6 133:12 outcome 91:5 73:14,16 74:4,20 13:1:1,14,18 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 13:5:4,6,14 outcome 91:5 93:11,24 74:4,20< | | 168:2,12,16 | Pam 95;24 | |
| 107:4,16,18,21 Soft 21 50:6,7 51:18 81:22 82:18 108:3,17 111:6 164:18,20 50:6,7 51:18 81:5,8 84:11 113:1,114:5 164:18,20 50:6,7 51:18 81:5,8 84:11 113:1,20:16:17 133:13 156:5,9 60:10,22,25 9aper:53:4 113:1,21:15 135:4 66:22,23 110:16,20 123:4,23 124:4 Orleado 133:23 62:4,12,20,24 9aper:05:14 123:4,23 124:4 Otherwise 69:14 66:22,23 110:16,23 123:4,23 124:4 Otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 135:16:20 130:5,6,13 Outcomes 90:15,17 73:15,17,19 9arents 76:1 133:24 143:6 Outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 9articipate 72:1 139:24 143:6 Outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 9articipate 72:1 139:24 143:6 Outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 9articipate 72:1 139:24 143:6 Outcate 59:11 77:7,13 80:16 9a:13,24 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 86:13,16,20 9articipate 72:1 159:23 Overule 68:10 94:2,11,23 | | andana 167.0 F | Pankauski 49:8,9 | |
| 10:8:3,17 11:1:6 OFE 162:121 52:20 53:14,15 51:30:21 113:1 114:5 0riginal 95:21 56:8 57:1,5,7,13 130:12 113:1 114:5 0riginal 95:21 130:13 130:12 paper 165:21 113:1 114:5 0riginal 95:21 59:9,10,24 60:10,22,25 132:19 144:20 113:1 12:1,15 0riginal 95:21 135:4 62:4,12,20,24 66:22,23 10:18,23 12:11,15 0riginal 95:21 135:4 66:22,23 10:18,23 papers 53:4 12:11,15 0riginal 95:12 64:12,20,24 66:2,2,33 10:18,23 paragraph 12:11,21,5 0therwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,22 72:4 parents 76:1 110:18,23 13:5:13:6:19 0utcome 90:15,17 75:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 participat 72:1 75:8 14:11,14,18 0utside 62:10 79:14,86:18 79:14,86:19 74:14,16 participat 72:1 15:17,15 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 74:4,20 participat 72:1 | | OLGETS TO 1:4'2 | | |
| 112:9,14,19 164:18,20 56:8 130:121 113:1 114:5 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 59:9,0,0,24 paper 165:21 113:5,20 116:1,7 133:13 156:5,9 60:10,22,25 132:19 144:20 119:9,17 Originally 140:19 61:24 66:22,23 110:18,23 paper 165:21 papers 53:4 123:4,23 124:4 Others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paragraph 110:18,23 123:4,23 124:4 Others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paralegals 154:16,20 130:5,6,13 Otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 paralegals 154:16,20 131:1,12,15,25 Outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 74:46,18 139:24 143:16 outdated 59:11 77:13.80:16 76:16 149:16 150:6,15 outdated 59:11 77:13.80:16 75:12 149:16 150:6,15 outdated 59:11 77:14,16 97:24.86:9 97:14,16 155:7,22 166:12 168:25 86:11,16 155:2 97:24.86:9 13:12:4 156:2,1,11 168:25 86:12,21 | | ore 162:21 | 52:20 53:14,15 | - |
| 113:1 113:1 114:5 original 95:21 57:1,5,7,13 paper 165:21 115:5,20 16:17 133:13 156:5,9 60:10,22,25 papers 53:4 118:6,11,19 originally 140:19 61:24 papers 53:4 132:19 144:20 121:1,15 i35:4 64:1,21 paperwork 164:15 papers 75:4,67,71,02 paperwork 164:15 121:1,15 i35:4 64:1,22 papers 75:4,67,71,02 paperwork 164:15 paragraph 125:8,22 126:7 117:8 141:20 66:2,23 110:18,23 paragraph 129:18,21,22 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 paraets 76:1 paraets 76:1 131:12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 paticlpate 72:1 paticlpate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outside 62:10 77:7,13 paticlpate 72:1 paticlpate 72:1 149:16 150:6,15 overlag 66:19 85:11,620 paticlpate 72:1 paticlpate 72:1 155:7,22 149:19 12:15 86:1,16 paticlpate 72:1 paticlpate 72:1 156:7,12 i53:16 maticlpates 80:12 93:1,24 paticlpa | - | 164:18,20 | 56:8 | 130:21 |
| 115:17 113:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17 13:13 15:57.20 16:17.22 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:57.20 13:13 15:17.11.20 13:17.12.20 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:13 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:13 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:13 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:13 13:13 15:17.11.11 13:11 < | | | 57:1,5,7,13 | naner 165:21 |
| 113:13:14 113:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13: | | | | |
| 111:11 originally 140:19 61:24 132:19 144:20 118:6,11,19 0rlando 133:23 61:24 132:19 144:20 121:1,15 135:4 61:24 paperwork 164:15 122:4,23 124:4 others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paragraph 128:6,22 126:7 117:8 141:20 67:4,7,10,22 paralegals 129:18,21,22 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 parents 76:1 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outcames 90:15,17 75:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 participate 72:1 149:16 150:6,15 outside 62:10 77:7,13 80:16 participate 72:1 74:4,20 149:19 152:12 168:25 86:11,24 participation 75:5 75:8 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 86:12,21 participate 72:1 75:8 75:8 75:8 160:1,13,17,23 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 party 54:22 55:12 56:2 92:5,16 92:5,16 92:5,16 92: | - | 133:13 156:5,9 | | papers 53:4 |
| 113:6:0,11,15 Orlando 133:23 62:4,12,20,24 paperwork 164:15 121:1,15 135:4 64:1,21 paragraph 123:4,23 124:4 others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 paragraph 129:18,21,22 148:24 66:2,23 110:18,23 130:5,6,13 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17.19,2 paralegals 131:1,12,15,25 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17.19,79,2 parents 76:1 135:5 136:19 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:11 135:5 136:19 outcome 91:5,17 74:16,18 participate 72:11 149:16 150:6,15 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participation 155:12 153:10 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 156:2,11 166:25 86:1,16 155:2 particular 136:6 155:2 160:1,3,17,23 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 party 54:22 55:12 134:16 165:14 166:12 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 134:16 165:2,3,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 57:23 91:20 134:16 | - | originally 140:19 | | 132:19 144:20 |
| 121:1,15 135:4 64:1,21 paragraph 123:4,23 124:4 0thers 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 110:18,23 129:18,21,22 148:24 68:2,8,12,23 paralegals 154:16,20 129:18,21,22 0therwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 parategals 154:16,20 130:5,6,13 0therwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 parategals 154:16,20 131:1,12,15,25 0utcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 paraticipate 72:1 74:4,20 139:24 143:6 0utdated 59:11 75:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 75:8 139:24 149:19 152:12 153:13,16,20 participate 72:1 75:8 151:11,14,18 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 75:8 75:8 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:2 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 particular 136:6 155:2 156:14,14 overpad 66:19 87:14,16 134:16 155:2 136:4 134:16 160:1,2,17,23 166:12 19:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 51:13<64:12< | | | | Deperwork 164.15 |
| 12311,1,13 paragraph 12314,23 124:4 others 89:18,20 123:8,22 126:7 117:8 141:20 129:18,21,22 148:24 70:22,25 paralegals 130:5,6,13 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 participation 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participation 135:5 136:19 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participation 139:24 143:6 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:12,27 participation 155:1,21 153:20 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 75:8 participation 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:2 161:7,15 overlap 66:19 67:14,16 party 54:22 55:12 161:7,15 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25:164:11 166:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25:164:11 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 | | | | Daperwork 104113 |
| 1251:4,25 124:14 others 89:18,20 67:4,7,10,22 110:18,23 128:1 148:24 70:22,25 154:16,20 130:5,6,13 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 paralegals 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outcomes 90:15,17 75:11,16 76:12 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outdated 59:11 75:11,16 76:12 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outgate 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participate 72:1 155:7,22 149:19 152:12 158:3,6,14 overlap 66:19 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:2 156:2,11 0verlap 66:19 87:14,16 155:2 party 54:22 55:12 161:7,15 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 56:4,17,18 56:4,17,18 56:14,17,18 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 | - | 135:4 | - | paragraph |
| 125:8,22 126:7 117:8 141:20 66:2,8,12,23 paralegals 129:18,21,22 0therwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 154:16,20 130:5,6,13 outcome 91:5 72:4 paralegals 132:4,6 133:5 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 parategals 139:24,6 133:5 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outdated 59:11 75:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 149:16 150:6,15 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participation 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 particular 136:6 159:23 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 particular 134:16 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 166:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 58:13 166:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 167:2,9,22 owed 13 | - | others 89:18,20 | | 110:18,23 |
| 128:1 148:24 70:12/23 participate 129:18,21,22 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 parents 76:1 130:5,6,13 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 parents 76:1 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 parents 76:1 132:4,6,133:5 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24,143:6 outcated 59:11 77:7,13 80:16 participate 72:1 149:16,15 outcated 59:11 77:7,13 80:16 participate 72:1 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 participate 72:1 158:3,6,14 overlap 66:19 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:7,22 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 particular 136:6 163:5,164,10 overrule 88:10 94:2,11,23 58:13,64:18 165:14,166:12 119:10,124:15 98:6,614,23,24 91:25,164 169:4 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 134:16 169:4 owerd 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, patience 159:15 01ive 49:9 owerd 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,20, | - | - | | paralogal g |
| 130:5,6,13 otherwise 69:14 71:7,14,17,19,2 parents 76:1 131:1,12,15,25 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 parents 76:1 132:4,6 133:5 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participate 72:1 151:11,14,18 79:24 86:9 83:11,24 75:8 participate 72:1 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:2 159:23 overlap 66:19 86:1,16 155:2 parties 48:12 134:16 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 partis 48:12 134:16 161:7,15 overrule 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| 130:19,0,13 0utcome 91:5 2 72:4 particly 80:11 131:1,12,15,25 0utcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 particly 113:9 132:4,6 133:5 0utcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 particly 113:9 135:5 136:19 0utcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 particly 113:9 139:24 143:6 0utated 59:11 77:7,13 80:16 particly at 72:1 149:16 150:6,15 0utated 59:11 77:7,13 80:16 particly at 72:1 152:12 153:20 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particly at 136:6 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 160:1,13,17,23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 134:16 162:1,2,14 112:19 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 167:2,9,22 0ver rule 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 0wed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 169:4 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 0live 49:9 owed 157:7,18 106:61,5,18,19,9 partence 159:15 0nes 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay | 129:18,21,22 | | - | - |
| 131:1,1,2,15,23 outcome 91:5 73:15,17,19 Partially 113:9 132:4,6 133:5 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 133:24 143:6 outdated 59:11 75:11,16 74:4,20 149:16 150:6,15 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participate 72:1 152:12 153:20 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 155:7,22 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 particular 136:6 155:2 158:3,6,14 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 particular 136:6 155:2 160:1,7,15 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:0,24 56:4,17,18 162:1,2,14 112:19 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 163:5 164:10 overrule 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 169:4 owned 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 134:16 0live 49:9 owned 157:7,18 106:1,19,22 particular 136:15 patience 159:15 134:18 | 130:5,6,13 | otherwise 69:14 | | parents 76:1 |
| 132:4,0 133:5 outcomes 90:15,17 74:16,18 participate 72:1 139:24 143:6 outdated 59:11 75:11,16 76:16 74:4,20 149:16 150:6,15 outside 62:10 77:7,13 80:16 participate 72:1 151:11,14,18 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 participate 75:8 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 86:1,16 155:2 particular 136:6 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 89:7,23 91:20 parties 88:12 160:1,13,17,23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 parties 86:1,16 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 56:20 66:20 66:20 165:72,9,22 0verrule 88:10 95:6,8 92:6,12 92:6,12 92:5,16 92:5,16 92:5,16 51:20 68:20 71:25 164:11 134:16 168:2,3,17,23 0wed 134:22 99:2,6,12 92:6,12 92:5,16 92:5,16 92:5 164:11 134:16 92:5 164:11 134: | 131:1,12,15,25 | outcome 91:5 | | Dertially 113.9 |
| 139:124143:16outdated 59:1175:11,1676:1674:4,20149:16150:6,15outside 62:1081:9,2582:2,7participation152:12153:20149:19152:1685:13,16,20particular155:7,22149:19152:1685:13,16,20particular156:2,11168:2586:1,16155:2158:3,6,14overlap66:1987:14,16parties 48:12160:1,13,17,23overpaid113:1589:7,2391:20161:7,15overrule89:1792:5,16party54:22162:1,2,14112:1993:10,2456:4,17,18165:14166:12119:10124:1598:6,14,23,2471:25166:2,3,17,23owed134:2299:2,6,1265:2068:20167:2,9,22owed134:2299:2,6,12party's 77:21134:16168:2,3,17,23owed134:2299:2,6,12party's 77:21134:16169:40wed157:7,18105:6,15,18,19,passed 68:15patience0ne-page110:12109:9,15,18,20,84:1897:7113:8,11156:24p.A., (and 48:1223110:2,7,10113:8,11122:4,12,170ngoing103:25p.m.48:25137:5111:12,25122:4,12,170pening13:21,24paying138:16138:16opening51:21,24paying157:19134:4.6 | 132:4,6 133:5 | | | - |
| 139:124 H3:6Outcated 59:1177:7,13 80:1677:7,13 80:16149:16 150:6,15outside 62:1081:9,25 82:2,7participation152:12 153:2079:24 88:983:11,2475:8155:7,22149:19 152:1685:13,16,20particular 136:6156:2,11168:2586:1,16155:2159:23overlap 66:1987:14,16particular 136:6160:1,13,17,23overrule 89:1792:5,16party 54:22 55:12162:1,2,14112:1993:10,2456:4,17,18163:5 164:10overruled 88:1095:6,6 96:265:20 68:20167:2,9,22119:10 124:1598:6,14,23,2471:25 164:11169:4141:1,23102:13 103:11134:16Olive 49:9owned 157:7,18105:6,15,18,19,passed 68:15one-page 110:12p. A., (and 48:1223 110:2,7,10113:8,11156:24p. M 48:25 137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17ongoing 103:25p. M 48:25 137:5111:12,22123:10 124:4,6opening 51:21,24p. M 48:25 137:5111:12,22123:10 124:4,6opening 51:21,24p. M 48:25 137:5113:19,22,24138:16opening 51:21,24p. M 48:25 137:5113:19,22,24138:16 | 135:5 136:19 | outcomes 90:15,17 | - | |
| 149:16 150:16,15 outside 62:10 81:9,25 82:2,7 participation 151:11,14,18 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 75:8 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 participation 155:7,22 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 particular 136:6 159:23 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 parties 48:12 160:1,13,17,23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 134:16 161:7,15 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overruled 88:10 94:2,11,23 58:13 64:18 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 169:4 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 134:16 olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19,9 pased 6 | 139:24 143:6 | outdated 59:11 | - | 74:4,20 |
| 151:11,14,18 79:24 88:9 83:11,24 75:8 152:12 153:20 149:19 152:16 85:13,16,20 particular 136:6 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 158:3,6,14 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 particular 136:6 159:23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 parties 48:12 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overrule 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 141:1,23 0wed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 169:4 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 109:9,15,18,20, 84:18 97:7 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 123:10 124:4,6 146:17 169:7 113:19,22,24 138:16 opening 51:21,24 pastot 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 | 149:16 150:6,15 | | ÷ | nerticipation |
| 152:12 152:12 152:16 152:16 152:16 153:13,16,20 155:7,22 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 156:2,11 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 158:3,6,14 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 particular 136:6 159:23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 134:16 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 58:13 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 19:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 65:20 68:15 169:4 0wmed 157:7,18 102:13 103:11 134:16 0live 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, patience 159:15 patience 159:15 136:4 P P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 patience 159:15 patience 159:15 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 122:4,12,17 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:15:1 112 | 151:11,14,18 | | | |
| 155:7,22 168:25 86:1,16 155:2 156:2,11 overlap 66:19 87:14,16 155:2 159:23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 134:16 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 parties 48:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 165:14 166:12 119:10 112:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 167:2,9,22 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 65:20 68:15 169:4 0wed 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 one-page 110:12 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 ones 90:5 91:26,14 107:12 party 54:22 55:12 01:ve 49:9 owed 157:7,18 104:10 134:16 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 100:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 013:19 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 123:10 <td>152:12 153:20</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> | 152:12 153:20 | | _ | |
| 156:2,11overlap 66:1987:14,16155:2159:23overpaid 113:1589:7,23 91:20parties 48:12160:1,13,17,23overpaid 113:1589:7,23 91:20parties 48:12161:7,15overrule 89:1792:5,16party 54:22 55:12162:1,2,14112:1993:10,2456:4,17,18163:5 164:10overruled 88:1095:6,8 96:265:20 68:20167:2,9,22119:10 124:1598:6,14,23,2471:25 164:11168:2,3,17,23owed 134:2299:2,6,12party's 77:21169:4141:1,23102:13 103:1134:16Olive 49:9owned 157:7,18105:6,15,18,19,pased 68:15ones 90:5 91:23p.A 49:6,14,19108:11,19,22pay 79:20,21156:24p.A., (and 48:1223 110:2,7,10113:8,11ongoing 103:25p.m 48:25 137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17opening 51:21,24p.m 48:55 137:5113:19,22,24138:16opening 51:21,24p.gabet 55:5142:10,20 115:6paying 157:19 | 155:7,22 | | | particular 136:6 |
| 158:3,6,14overlap 66:1987:14,16parties 48:12159:23overpaid 113:1589:7,23 91:20134:16160:1,13,17,23overrule 89:1792:5,16party 54:22 55:12161:7,15overrule 89:1792:5,16party 54:22 55:12162:1,2,14112:1993:10,2456:4,17,18163:5 164:10overruled 88:1095:6,8 96:265:20 68:20167:2,9,22119:10 124:1598:6,14,23,2471:25 164:11168:2,3,17,23owed 134:2299:2,6,12party's 77:21169:40wmed 157:7,18105:6,15,18,19,passed 68:15one-page 110:1224 106:5,10patience 159:15136:4P.A. (and 48:1223 110:2,7,10113:8,11ongoing 103:25p.m 48:25 137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17open 138:9 159:12142:8 143:11,15112:23123:10 124:4,6opening 51:21,24parket 55:5114:10,20 115:6paying 157:19 | - | 168:25 | • | 155:2 |
| 159:23 overpaid 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 134:16 160:1,13,17,23 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overruled 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 169:4 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 one-page 110:12 P.A. (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 pack 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | - | overlap 66:19 | - | parties 19:12 |
| 160:1,13,17,23 overpain 113:15 89:7,23 91:20 party 54:22 55:12 161:7,15 112:19 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overruled 88:10 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 169:4 0wmed 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 one-page 110:12 107:12 patience 159:15 136:4 P.A. (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 84:18 97:7 0ngoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 0pen 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 0pening 51:21,24 parket 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | - | | _ |
| 161:7,15 overrule 89:17 92:5,16 party 54:22 55:12 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overruled 88:10 94:2,11,23 56:4,17,18 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 71:25 164:11 169:4 owned 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 one-page 110:12 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 136:4 P 107:12 passed 68:15 patience 159:15 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 113:19,22,24 138:16 | | overpaid 113:15 | • | |
| 162:1,2,14 112:19 93:10,24 56:4,17,18 163:5 164:10 overruled 88:10 94:2,11,23 58:13 64:18 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 169:4 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 0live 49:9 owned 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 ones 90:5 91:23 p 04:10 105:6,15,18,19, patience 159:15 156:24 p.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 84:18 97:7 0ngoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 123:10 124:4,6 0pen 138:9 159:12 p.m 48:25 137:5 113:19,22,24 123:10 124:4,6 138:16 opening 51:21,24 pagket 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | overrule 89:17 | - | |
| 163:5 164:10 94:2,11,23 58:13 64:18 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 95:6,8 96:2 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 0wed 134:22 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 169:4 0wed 134:22 102:13 103:1 134:16 94:2,11,23 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 0live 49:9 0wed 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 104:10 134:16 0nes 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 0ngoing 103:25 P.A., (and 109:9,15,18,20, 84:18 97:7 136:4 P.A., (and 23 110:2,7,10 122:4,12,17 123:10 122:4,12,17 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 122:4,12,17 123:10 122:4,12,17 0pen 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 0pening 51:21,24 pasket 55:5 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>56:4,17,18</td></td<> | | | - | 56:4,17,18 |
| 165:14 166:12 119:10 124:15 95:6,8 96:2 65:20 68:20 167:2,9,22 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 99:2,6,12 98:6,14,23,24 99:2,6,12 134:16 0live 49:9 owned 157:7,18 102:13 103:1 134:16 134:16 one-page 110:12 107:12 passed 68:15 136:4 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 84:18 97:7 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 122:4,12,17 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 123:10 124:4,6 open 138:9 159:12 146:17 113:19,22,24 138:16 138:16 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | | | |
| 1103.112 119:10 124:15 98:6,14,23,24 71:25 164:11 167:2,9,22 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 99:2,6,12 134:16 169:4 041:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 134:16 Olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 one-page 110:12 107:12 patience 159:15 156:24 P.A. 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 84:18 97:7 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 123:10 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 146:17 146:17 113:19,22,24 138:16 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | | 95:6,8 96:2 | 65:20 68:20 |
| 168:2,3,17,23 owed 134:22 99:2,6,12 party's 77:21 169:4 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 Olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 one-page 110:12 P 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 136:4 P 107:12 pay 79:20,21 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A. 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 142:8 143:11,15 open 138:9 159:12 p.m 48:25 137:5 113:19,22,24 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 packet 55:5 paying 157:19 | | 119:10 124:15 | 98:6,14,23,24 | |
| 160:14,5,17,125 141:1,23 102:13 103:1 134:16 169:4 owned 157:7,18 104:10 134:16 olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 136:4 p 107:12 patience 159:15 ones 90:5 91:23 p.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 p.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | owed 134:22 | 99:2,6,12 | |
| 103.1 owned 157:7,18 104:10 134:16 0live 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 136:4 107:12 patience 159:15 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 84:18 97:7 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | | 102:13 103:1 | |
| Olive 49:9 owned 157:7,18 105:6,15,18,19, passed 68:15 one-page 110:12 24 106:5,10 patience 159:15 136:4 107:12 pay 79:20,21 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 84:18 97:7 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | • | 104:10 | |
| one-page 110:12 P 24 106:5,10 patience 159:15 136:4 P 107:12 pay 79:20,21 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | Olive 49:9 | owned 157:7,18 | | passed 68:15 |
| Discrepting 110:112 p 107:12 patience 139:15 136:4 P 107:12 pay 79:20,21 ones 90:5 91:23 P.A 49:6,14,19 108:11,19,22 pay 79:20,21 156:24 P.A., (and 48:12 23 110:2,7,10 113:8,11 ongoing 103:25 p.m 48:25 137:5 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 packet 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | one-nage 110:12 | | | _ |
| 130.14 1 ones 90:5 91:23 156:24 P.A 49:6,14,19 0.156:24 P.A. , (and 48:12 0.109:9,15,18,20, 0.109:9,15,18,20, 100:9,15,18,20, 111:12,25 111:12,25 122:4,12,17 122:4,12,17 123:10 122:4,12,17 123:10 122:4,12,17 123:10 124:4,6 138:9 159:12 146:17 113:19,22,24 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | | | - | patience 159:15 |
| ones90:591:23F.A 45:0,14,15109:9,15,18,20,84:1897:7156:24P.A., (and 48:1223110:2,7,10113:8,11ongoing103:25p.m 48:25137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17open138:9159:12142:8143:11,15112:23123:10opening51:21,24packot55:5114:10,20115:6paying | T 30 ! # | | 1 | pay 79:20,21 |
| 156:24P.A., (and 48:1223 110:2,7,10113:8,11ongoing 103:25p.m 48:25 137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17open 138:9 159:12142:8 143:11,15112:23123:10 124:4,6opening 51:21,24packot 55:5142:0 115:6paying 157:19 | ones 90:5 91:23 | F.A 49:0,14,19 | | |
| ongoing 103:25p.m 48:25 137:5111:12,25122:4,12,17open 138:9 159:12142:8 143:11,15112:23123:10 124:4,6opening 51:21,24146:17 169:7113:19,22,24138:16opening 51:21,24packot 55:5114:10,20 115:6paying 157:19 | 156:24 | P.A., (and 48:12 | | |
| ongoing 103.23 p.m 43.23 137.3 112.23 123:10 124:4,6 open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 112:23 123:10 124:4,6 opening 51:21,24 nackot 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | ongoing 103:05 | - | • • | - |
| open 138:9 159:12 142:8 143:11,15 113:19,22,24 138:16 opening 51:21,24 packot 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | ongoing 103:25 | - | - | |
| opening 51:21,24 nacket 55:5 114:10,20 115:6 paying 157:19 | open 138:9 159:12 | - | | |
| $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{y}$ | opening 51,21 24 | 146:17 169:7 | | |
| - 110,13,110,17 | | packet 55:5 | | paying 157:19 |
| | ···· | _ | | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

.

Page 14 of 20

payments 158:7,10,11,14 pending 160:24 people 55:24 100:19 114:4 119:23 125:13 166:5 168:11,13 percent 78:21 115:19 perform 154:16 performed 64:21 period 110:25 permit 60:19 158:19 permitted 60:25 61:1 person 52:5 55:8 57:9 62:10 63:24 64:3 69:24 74:11,21,24 81:13 135:12,15 136;4,23 148;13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2 personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1 personally 48:13,14,15 83;7 92:5,18 perspective 162:11 Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11 petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7 phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1 phonetic 77:15 physically 161:22 pick 166:1,5,10 picks 166:3 piece 165:21

placed 127:18 plan 152:1,14 156:19,21 157:2,10 planners 90:20 planning 133:23 149:7 plans 133:24 152:16 **Plaster** 120:21 play 117:18 playing 117:20 pleading 55:12 56:6,12 pleadings 55:14 63:19 please 78:10 88:13,17 89:10 93:13 98:25 100:8 115:20,24 119:20 123:22 125:16,18 130:1 146:1 **PLLC** 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21 102:24 118:24 163:16 points 54:2 police 100:11 politely 70:7 86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21 59:21 60:22 62:19 69:9 70:20 71:20 72:8 74:2,3 153:1,24 154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possibility 52:6 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1 postdated 103:8 post-death 134:14 postmortem 90:24 102:3 potential 68:21 70;11 101:20

power 120:8 PR 75:13 151:5 161:5.18 practice 136:9 138:21,22 140:11 148:18 Pratt 88:8,11,14 113:10 pre-dates 59:12 predicate 116:10 Predominantly 140:13 prepare 63:19 prepared 115:10 presence 150:20 154:18 165:25 present 49:22 51:12 81:17 presented 103:12 presently 78:17,20 79:4 85:3 previously 106:14 145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2 116:15 140:5 155:20 probate 48:2 159:11 probative 118:15 problem 63:23 135:9 problems 100:10 procedural 68:16 procedure 57:20,22 58:2,4,6,9 132:24 138:22 141:6

proceeding 52:17 104:17 proceedings 48:20 51:2 118:23 160:9 169:6 170:10 product 128:9

154:19 professional

52:4,25 56:21 57:23 59:14 154:15 170:7

professionally 48:13,14,16

proffer 150:16

prohibit 54:17 135:7

promise 146:7,8,10

promised 149:11

prongs 77:25

proof 53:19 164:1

proper 55:24 91:2 101:16 123:8

properly 59:3

property 74:21 93:17 153:10

proposed 99:18 145:16,17

prospective

52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17

prospects 154:25

protected 77:18

prove 77:24

provide 51:19
 106:21 107:21
 128:5 132:25
 148:20

provided 90:2,3
105:11 106:19
107:19,24
111:18
128:10,17,22,24
129:3,6,16
141:19

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 15 of 20

152:14,16 public 100:11 103:22 104:16,19 107:25 108:3 125:13,14 128:12 135:24 publicly 125:11 published 53:21 126:17,20 147:22 pull 118:2 punishment 77:22 purported 57:4 62:14 68:20 159:22 purportedly 64:3 66:21 114:24 117:8 156:13 purpose 117:4 150:17,21 pursuing 79:14 putting 165:13 qualified 166:6 question 60:2 65:23 67:10 78:25 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 92:14 94:1 98:4,13 102:8,10,21 115:22 121:24 122:9 123:4,5,8,9,20 124:13,14,16 125:9,16 127:6,8 129:3,4,21 130:5,14 145:19 148:4 150:3 160:17 questioned 75:9 109:22 questions 55:14 58;25 80:1 87:12 111:9 115:25 118:8 121:9 130:9 131:14 160:2 quick 55:4 quote 144:10,11

162:8

R raise 59:3 61:17 65:23 78:5 165:12 raising 146:2 ramble 79:24 rambling 123:21 rang 134:16 rather 97:23 Raton 49;3 78:13 re 48:5 118:9 reaction 86:2 140:7 reading 64:14 ready 72:19 162:3 real 157:19 realities 139:4 reality 62:17 really 56:24 123:6 137:17 149;14 152:18 163:8,16 **Realty** 124:7 160:6 reanswer 82:5 reason 65:24 137:21 138:25 159:4 166:12 reasons 167:11,13,17 recall 81:11 84:17 90:10 91:17 96:2,15 97:21 98:10 108:7 129:13 135:16 receive 85:12,22 110:1 112:24 received 55:5 71:1 76:23 110:5 111:3 141:10 142:3,24 143:11 147:8,13 153:25 154:12 155:16,22,25 158:3 receives 138:18 receiving 109:22 143:21 recognize 74:8

104:16,19 123:24 124:18 125:14,19 130:3 132:17 167:12,14 170:11 recorded 150:20 recreating 167:16 Redirect 130:15,16 **RE-DIRECT** 160:4 re-examined 141:14 refer 54:7 116:14 reference 155:12 referenced 137:22 references 60:13 110:19 146:7 referred 87:6,21 reflect 51:12 reflected 146:18 refused 122:15 124:2 refusing 88:22 regard 55:19 56:15 58:12 86:5 162:25 regarding 75:25 87:8 88:18 89:11 113:2 134:19 142:1 regards 102:22 regurgitate 150:19 rejected 86:21 rejection 155:10 related 52:13 67:17 68:10 relationship 52:7,19 53:5,9 59:18,24 60:15 61:6 64:24 66:24 73:24 75:20 76:10 111:14,24 157:1 relayed 54:10 relevancy 113:13 115:1 124:24,25 147:25 149:23 152:8 relevant 152:11

record 51:11

relief 71:3 relying 59:4 remain 147:11 remedy 56:24 remember 91:15 97:1 109:21,25 119:3 137:12,15,20 140:6 162:1 REMEMBERED 51:1 removal 144:19 **remove** 101:9,14 131:5,9 removed 54:12 removing 100:23,25 138:1 repeated 123:6 Repetitive 145:22 replied 110:4 report 170:9 reporter 107:3 123:20 125:17 130:2 150:21 170:7,15 represent 52:11 61:5 62:12,24 64:2 67:11,14 68:2,9 69:23 72:11,22 85:14 86:8 89:25 110:2 113:2,3,6,10 133:20 139:17,22 140:8 141:11 145:12 146:6 151:1,3,20 163:19 representation 59:2 109:23 141:22 163:20 representative 48:15 100:23 121:16 130:23 131;2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1 representatives 100:20 represented 69:22 representing 56:8 63:17,25 84:12 100:7 101:14

135:7 140:9

151:23 153:2

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

114:3 115:7

Page 16 of 20

160:18,20,22 163:13,21 request 53:10 56:10 75:13 86:20 127:11 149:20 requested 90:6 96:13 134:8 150:13 requesting 164:16 required 61:3,11 requirements 61:18 research 64:9 reserve 53:13 reside 78:17 residence 78:12 122:5 157:17 resigned 84:7 resolved 53:1 resort 77:22 respect 52:8 161:11 respectfully 53:9 respectively 53:3 **respond** 146:12 responded 146:16,19 Respondents 48:17 response 51:20 86:10 118:9 119:5 143:14,21 146:19 147:13 161:24 162:2 responsibility 59:1 65:25 148:18 responsible 102:2 154:19 157:18 rest 163:24 Restate 127:7 restated 95:13,21 restricted 140:13 result 63:4 69:9,15 81:21 86:23 retain 84:18 100:15 146:3 148:11 retained 60:1 85:17 88:11,14

136:11 retainer 84:14,16,22,25 85:6 98:2,9,11 99:18 111:19 138:10,11,13 145:16,17,18,21 retainers 145:18 rev 151:4 reveal 60:17 139:12 revealed 135:18 review 85:19 96:17 115:19 135:21,22 145:5,8 154:19,24 159:7 reviewed 85:23 141:24 144:24 161:8 revised 95:10 revocable 74:7 94:4,13 revokes 156:23 rid 100:20 rights 122:20 risk 87:5 **ROBERT 48:13** Rogers 120:17 Rose 49:5 50:8 99:14132:3,6,16 133:18 142:18,23 143:2,9 145:24 146:21,25 147:2,6 148:5 149:17 150:4,8,25 151:19 152:23 153:15 156:16 157:4 158:25 160:2,5,12 route 166:8 rule 52:3 53:21 55:13 56:13,20 57:16,20 58:21,24 59:13,14 60:11,18 62:15 63:12 64:12,13,14 65:16 66:20 67:12,19,21,23, 24 68:7 69:11,21 73:6

152:20 154:14 162:3 163:24 rules 52:3 53:23 56:21 57:23 58:1 60:8,9 64:4 66:25 86:12,19 101:17 113:17 ruling 162:16 167:4 run 149:15 157:21 s sad 139:4 Saturday 140:2 saw 56:6 64:9 136:3 scared 117:23 scope 79:25 88:9 **Scott** 112:8,10 113:1,6 screen 135:18 se 48:9 49:2 72:13 seal 103:12,13 search 142:1 seat 73:17 160:13 161:15 seated 51:9 second 55:2 56:13 58:23 59:20 61:8 65:22 66:17 72:20 76:22 97:21 110:23 143:22 144:3,4 secondhand 55:23 secondly 57:6 secured 164:23 seeing 162:1 seek 57:17 64:5 71:2 seeking 56:7 57:8,9 151:15 162:12 seen 94:5,8,12 95;19,20,21 110:15 111:7 127:14,17,22,24 147:22 156:5 sees 67:7 send 82:9,24 86:5

92:1 111:8 136:11 144:6,7 145:1 sense 134:3 164:11 sensible 166:16 sensitive 144:5 148:15 sent 81:21,24 82:6,16 83:4,19 86:12 91:11,13,15,19, 20,23 92:5,18 96:1,4,8,16 98:15,18 101:16 106:14 112:2 114:4 116:1,2 119:2.3 120:14,17,21,23 135:23,24 136:8,17 139:22 143:14 144:4,8,16,18,2 5 145:2,6,9,11 146:5 149:6 154:5.11 159:3,6 sentence 58:22 65:22 110:21 September 81:7,12 97:9 103:19 104:1,12 105:16,22,23,25 109:1,5,11 110:13 111:4 114:6,11 118:17 130:20 136:6,9,15 137:2,3,4 139:19,25 140:4,5 146:6 158:4,23 series 144:14 **serve** 165:15 166:11 services 64:22 84:19 111:15 serving 125:4 sets 52:18 58:8 61:10 setting 144:14 seven 99:14 several 104:6 128:7 144:10,17 148:23,25 149:2 shake 165:25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 17 of 20

share 148:21 shared 115:23 141:20 sheet 136:4 She's 112:8 **shift** 139:3 **Shirley** 106:25 107:4,5,10 130:24 131:2,6 152:2 155:13,23 158:18,19 Shirley's 144:9 149:6 151:13 short 114:8 138:17 139:6 146:5 152:4 shortly 83:18 97:15 119:4 shown 117:7 155:17 aic 69:25 136:9 **sides** 166:9 side's 150:19 sign 63:21 71:12 signature 102:4 signatures 103:13 signed 55:24 56:11 57:11 90:24 111:15 silent 58:2 similar 64:12 90:8 **Simon 48:6 51:11** 78:14 79:11 95:10,14 107:1,6 144:8 152:2 155:14 156:2,5,16 157:5,8 161:11 165:1 Simon's 92:24 93:8,12 94:4,13 120:3 121:12 149:6 151:4,9,10,16 152:14 157:9 158:18,19 single 138:20 sir 56;18 63;8 79:12 81:10,23 83:20,23 84:13 85:1 86:9 87:18 89:10 91:21 92:25 95:3

101:7 107:4 113:18 115:9 119:21,24 120:1,16,18,20, 22,25 123:25 130:19,25 131:4,8,11 163:4 164:18 168:22 **sister** 95:24 sisters 95:22 sit 73:1,3 80:13 situation 67:25 165:13 six 99:14 142:17 **slower** 107:2 small 101:22 166:22 Smathers 58:15,17,18 59:11 smoother 92:14 snuck 116:21 somebody 54:8,14 91:9 134:7,18 somehow 117:4 someone 54:25 61:3 64:1,6 66:24 67:11 141:20 159:7 163:11 165:15,17,24 166:2 167:12 168:6 son 78:14 sorry 71:19 88:23 89:8 92:6,8,12 99:3,6 106:2 107:4 111:10 113:18 115:15 116:7 117:1 122:23 123:13 151:8 159:8 161:13 sought 84:23 sounds 73:8 source 128:14 South 49:6,9,20 51:3 Spallina 48:12,13 84:8 91:8 93:16 100:1,19 102:2,24 108:8 112:3 119:6 137:17 159:22

speak 56:24 57:3,24 63:16 83:10 134:8 135:14 149:8 168:13,23 speaking 71:8 125:11 137:6 speaks 57:20,24 66:4 specifically 52:21 speculation 77:23 82:2 apend 138:23 split 113:4 spoke 100:9 101:11,20 103:18 104:11 131:3 135:12,15 136:5137:7,10,14 140:5 144:12,25 148:12,13 149:9 155;1 158:23 spoken 96:19 104:12 135:20 staff 148:25 stamp 114:16,21 117:22 119:16 stamped 121:4 stamping 114:23 stamps 109:16 stand 73:12 standard 75:17 77:9,13 136:9 138:12 145:18 standards 53:19 59;16 standby 72:21 standing 54:24 61:17 65:1 74:1,3,4,19,22, 23 Stansbury 49:12,23 54:23 55:7,21 58:13 59:6 61:7 63:1,3 68:18 69:1 70:18 71:22 74:1 94:19 106:13 164:6 167:24 Stansbury's 54:19 69:3,4 73:21

76:4,5 109:21

110:1 111:13 start 71:11 72:16 167:16 168:25 started 62:21 starts 66:20 state 51;5 55;20 61:2 78:10 132:17 170:4,8 statement 51:21,24 102:9 111:14 127:6 129:2,20 statements 53:17 73:15 states 52:5,23 58:24 60:14 154:15 162:8 statute 137:23 164:25 stay 168:24 169:1 steer 72:1 stenographic 170:11 stenographically 170:9 step 76:7 131:16 steps 166:23 stipulate 111:21 Stipulation 111:23 stop 55:2 92:10 98:12 122:7,22 126:23 127:4,11 163:12 story 99:18 138:19 straight 92:4,7,13 94:9 straightforward 166:17 strategies 148:15,21,22,23 149:4 strategy 100:14,17,22 148:16 Street 49:2 78:13 stricken 122:24 123:19

strike 98:7 125:7 144:20

structured 78:20

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 18 of 20

| STUART 48:14 | 125:9 145:23 | 163:13,19,21 | that's 54:22 59:4 |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| stuff 91:9 100:4 | 148:1,3 | 164:17 166:12 | 60:10 61:20,25 |
| 104:23 108:5 | 150:15,24 | 167:20 | 62:23 63:10,22 66:11 67:1 |
| subdivision 52:10 | sworn 78:6 132:13 | Ted's 101:1 | 69:11 70:10,20 |
| 67:14 | | 121:17,18 124:2 | 71:4,7,17,18 |
| | <u> </u> | 162:25 164:4 | 72:12 75:2 77:9 |
| <pre>subject 52:10 67:13 118:8</pre> | table 132:7 | telephone 82:10 | 79:1 82:21 |
| 134:2 136:2 | | 83:14 152:25 | 84:21 87:11 |
| | tailormade 80:1 | ten 139:1 | 89:13 91:9 |
| subjective 163:16 | taking 62:19 | tenus 162:21 | 92:11 93:4 |
| submit 53:3 | 153:24 154:7 | 164:19,20 | 94:5,14 95:4 96:15 98:12 |
| submitted 53:4 | 165:1 | | 102:8 104:22 |
| subpart 52:9 | talk 53:18 61:15 | terms 84:16 86:24 | 105:8 |
| · = | 67:17 78:3 | Tescher 48:12,13 | 106:3,13,14 |
| subsection 66:2 | 86:14 130:22 | 75:25 84:7,8 | 108:17,25 112:1 |
| 67:13 68:3 | 138:1 159:13 168:2,11 | 91:8 93:16 | 116:5 117:25 |
| subsequent 163:20 | | 100:1,12,19 101:21 | 118:1,6 121:23 |
| subsequently | talked 100:6,13 | 102:1,6,15,18,2 | 127:14 128:8,16 |
| 131:1 135:5 | talking 67:8 71:5 | 3 103:3 108:8 | 129:19 132:24 137:5 138:25 |
| 141:5,8 | 103:6,7,24 | 112:3 119:5 | 139:7 |
| substance 147:10 | 114:6 120:11 | 126:15 | 140:14,18,20 |
| substantially | 137:18,19 | 134:12,17,19 | 141:6 144:15 |
| 52:13 67:16 | talks 148:14 | 135:20 | 145:25 |
| 68:10 | tampering 115:18 | 137:16,17 138:5,6,7 | 146:4,5,17,18 |
| | taxes 157:19 | 139:15 155:7,13 | 148:25 152:11 |
| successor 48:15 | | 159:18,22 | 155:10 |
| sue 49:17 75:25 | Ted 49:4,23 | Tescher's 137:16 | 157:3,7,9,10 159:8,10,21 |
| 102:6,15,18 | 54:17,24 56:8 61:5 | | 160:3,8,19 |
| 137:16 138:6 | 62:3,12,22,24 | test 76:13,14 | 162:14 |
| suggesting 75:12 | 64:2 67:12 | testified 96:1 | 166:6,18,19 |
| 168:7 | 68;2,9 | 121:11 145:4 | 167:15,22 |
| suing 100:22 | 69:4,5,7,13 | testify 76:2,5 | THEODORE 48:14 |
| Suite 49:6,9 | 74:15,24 | 132:2 133:12 | thereafter 69:23 |
| | 75:4,9,12,13 | testimony 94:12 | 97:15 |
| sun 144:14 | 76:15,18 | 96:3 97:2 | |
| Sunday 133:21 | 79:4,8,10,14 80:8,10,11,13,2 | 100:16,21 | therefore |
| 140:3 141:6 | 2,25 85:17 86:1 | 117:13 | 154:1,8,10 |
| support 158:12 | 90:12,14,20,25 | 127:17,22 | there's 69:24 |
| supposed 91:3 | 95:23 | 149:12 150:11 | 90:19,23 115:17 |
| 97:21 122:18 | 100:12,14,22,23 | 153:23 154:4 | 118:10,11 142:5 144:2 |
| suppressed 94:6 | 101:7,14 114:4 | thank 51:17 52:1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 116:2 118:9 | 53:15 54:3 | Thereupon 78:6 |
| sure 55:3 56:14 | 121:15,21,22 122:3,4,12,15,1 | 55:18 59:10 | 109:7,13 114:18 119:11 126:8 |
| 57:15 62:17 63:12 64:6,25 | 122:3,4,12,15,1 6,18 124:4,11 | 73:11,20 77:7 78:1,10 80:5 | 132:13 142:20 |
| 65:8 68:5 69:14 | 126:11,22 | 83:2 87:14 | 143:7 147:4 |
| 73:6 78:21,25 | 127:3,10 129:14 | 92:23 95:12 | 169:6 |
| 79:2 113:23 | 130:23 131:1,5 | 105:18,20,25 | they're 91:10 |
| 116:20 117:12 | 133:20,24 | 106:5 109:9,15 | 137:5 139:1 |
| 119:1 125:17 | 134:10,21 | 114:10,20 121:7 | 157:16 |
| 127:21 132:8 | 135:3,7 138:1 | 126:3 130:8,12 | they've 157:21 |
| 155:9 159:24 | 140:21,23 141:9,11,15,22 | 132:5,12 | - |
| 164:15 165:14 166:10 167:24 | 141:9,11,15,22 | 133:5,16 160:12,14 | THI 61:9 |
| 168:10,13 | 151:1,4 153:2,8 | 1 | third 54:22 75:2 |
| - | 157:4,12,22 | Thanks 51:9 73:19 | 76:25 110:18 |
| surface 57:12 | 158:7,17,18,22, | 108:12 131:16 | Thomas 49:6 61:9 |
| sustained 93:22 | 25 160:18 | 153:20 159:23 169:4 | |
| 105:5 113:14 | 161:4,18 | | thorough 140:25 |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 19 of 20

124:10 127:21

three-fold 76:13 three-prong 76:13 Thursday 137:1 til 73:6 tire 54:4,13 tires 54:14 titles 91:3 T-O 116:3 today 53:16 75:18 80:13 86:16 91:22 97:10 132:20 145:19 162:7,17 top 82:20 total 97:4 totally 74:22 transcript 135:25 170:10 transcripts 107:8 transfer 148:14 transmitted 69:6 83:22 109:1 112:21 travel 133:24 traveling 52:2 59:13 73:21 75:18 treat 70:23 treating 163:7 trial 54:5 100:21 123:6 148:16,20,22 trip 135:1 Tripp 112:8,10 113:1,6 true 55:21 58:7 59:21 62:5,6 68:11,14 78:25 82:15 101:11 170:11 trust 74:7 79:5 80:7 94:4,13 95:13,14,16,17, 19,20,21,22 101:15 106:25 107:5,6 131:10 134:7 151:5,6,10,13 153:6,7,8,10 155:23 156:2,6,13,16,2 2 157:17 158:13,14,18,19

160:7 161:12 trustee 48:15 101:15 131:9,10 138:2 147:18 151:4,6,9,13 158:18 trustee) (both 48:15 trustees 157:21 trusts 95:10 120:2 129:12 135:24 140:14,17,21 141:21 144:9 152:17 157:9,15,21 truth 121:21 try 53:17,18,24 61:4 82:4 85:5,7 104:8 121:24 139:3 152:19 153:18 trying 75:24 87:10 102:14 128:15 159:21 163:12 Tuesday 139:21 140:4,6 tuition 124:5 turn 115:20 119:19 120:14 143:13 twice 141:3 two-page 146:18 two-year 137:23 type 55:13 64:15 76:10 124:14 138:17 υ **Uh-huh** 79:23 105:9 UMC 165:22 uncertain 144:21 understand 63:1 123:5 168:19,21 understanding 80:13,15 98:8 132:24 152:15 157:4,17 158:16 understood 75:11,16 153:23 168:20

undertaking 59:2 undertook 143:22 undisputed 61:13 unemployed 125:5 unfortunately 165:23 unless 69:24 91:10 unlesses 69:24 unnotarized 107:7 upon 53:3 57:10 59:5 62:15 70:23 165:24 168:6 urgency 134:3 v value 118:15 various 100:13 venturing 163:19 verification 55:19,24 63:22 verified 51:14 52:15,18 55:5,9 57:10,18 58:6 76:4 94:18,24 106:13 167:6 verifv 55:11,12,15,22 version 152:5 versus 58:17,18 118:24 vice 61:12 view 140:24 163:16,17 violate 72:9 141:23 violated 87:1,3,11 VOLUME 48:21 volumes 144:25 145:1 volunteer 79:1 104:8 122:17 volunteered 122:19 **vs** 48:11 W wait 65:16 92:10 98:4 116:6

150:3 waiting 85:9 waiver 134:14 waivers 69:21 107:7 warned 123:2 wasn't 75:14 93:15 104:19 114:7 129:20 136:24 150:20 watching 125:14 we'd 150:22 Wednesday 51:6 146:20 148:10 week 85:18 91:23 weight 77:24 118:25 119:1 WEISS 49:6 Weissman 87:6,9,21 Weissman's 87:20 welcome 99:8 welfare 124:7 we'll 94:9 111:21 we're 51:10,15 52:2 53:16 59:4 69:8,9,19 75:17 79:19 80:10 104:25 114:25 136:13 149:15 165:13,21 166:15,22,25 168:15 West 49:7,10 51:4 Westlaw 142:1 we've 73:25 144:10whatever 62:19 135:22 wherever 135:1 whether 54:24 56:15 57:3,6,7,10 60:22 68:16 73:5 75:9 80:13,14 90:11 99:22 118:16 134:22 135:6 139:1,2,5 148:19 150:23 152:10 157:12 162:9 168:5

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

undertake 140:24

| whole 95:25 | 133:5,16 139:23 | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--|
| 118:10 166:20 wholly 95:23 | 148:2 149:16 151:8,10,12,15 | | |
| - | 152:13 160:14 | | |
| whom 56:1 81:24 83:10 | witnesses 56:3 131:24 160:15 | | |
| who's 69:7 112:7 | work 110:25 | | |
| 167:2 | 140:17 154:19 | | |
| whose 103:12 | 166:4 | | |
| wife 81:8,16 | worked 155:19 | | |
| 82:9,16 | working 84:6 | | |
| 83:4,19,22 | 91:1,8 146:2 | | |
| 91:14 92:3,4 | world 159:14 | | |
| 95:2 96:4,8,19 106:15 111:11 | | | |
| 130:22 135:19 | wrap 152:18 | | |
| 137:1 144:8,18 | write 151:7 | | |
| 149:2,5 154:5 | 165:18,19,20 167:2 | | |
| 159:5 | | | |
| William | writing 71:11 | | |
| 49:12,18,23 55:7,11 | written 51:19 | | |
| 56:5,7,11,15 | 70:17 | 1 | |
| 57:3,8,11 63:1 | wrong 56:20 59:14 | | |
| 65:1 68:17 | 129:1 | | |
| 72:22 164:6,22 | wrote 139:16 | | |
| willing 165:15 | 147:8 | | |
| 166:11 | | | |
| wills 95:10 120:2 | <u> </u> | | |
| 129:11 135:24 140:14 141:21 | Xeroxing 71:11 | | |
| 144:9 | | | |
| wish 131:5,9 | Y Yates 112:6,7 | | |
| wit 51:7 | yesterday 51:21 | | |
| withdraw 135:10 | 70:14 75:15 | | |
| withdrawn 81:20 | 76:6 84:7 149:19 150:9,14 | | |
| withheld 94:5 | yesterday's 51:13 | | |
| witness 50:3 | vet 63:13 70:22 | | |
| 79:2,18,19,23 80:20 82:6 | 114:25 138:12 | | |
| 84:22 | 150:7 161:14 | | |
| 88:11,21,24 | 166:21 168:12 | | |
| 89:1,4,15,20 | you'll 114:17 | | |
| 92:12 93:7 94:8,21 98:5,17 | 163:3 165:5,20 | | |
| 99:10 102:12,22 | yourself 71:12 99:4 123:12,16 | | |
| 106:6,7 107:4 | | | |
| 110:7 111:10 | you've 89:11 90:2,3 92:24 | | |
| 112:14,17,21 113:15,18,19,22 | 94:12 111:18 | | |
| 116:7,22 | 121:3,21 | | |
| 117:1,10,16,19, | 122:4,25 123:17 | | |
| 21,25 118:4 | 124:4 140:20 169:3 | | |
| 119:4,8 122:8,12,23 | 10313 | | |
| 123:3,13,17,23, | | | |
| 25 124:19 | | | |
| 125:20,22 | | | |
| <u>127:24 131:23</u> | L | <u> </u> | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION

CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

NOTICE OF FILING

Curator, Benjamin P. Brown, hereby gives notice of filing the complete transcript of the

hearing¹ on June 12, 2014, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by email upon the parties on the attached service list this 23 day of June, 2014.

> MATWICZYK & BROWN LLP Attorney for Curator 625 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 401 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: (561) 651-4004 Fax: (561) 651-4003

By: Benjamin P. Brown

Florida Bar No. 841552

¹ Transcript also includes the hearing on a motion in *In re: Estate of Shirley Bernstein*, Palm Beach County Case No. 502011CP000653XXXXSB.

EMAIL SERVICE LIST Estate of Simon L. Bernstein Palm Beach County Case No. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

| M P -1-1-1-1- | Alan D. Dana Ban | Labor I. Developmental Dam | Control Pain Interior Minner |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Max Friedstein | Alan B. Rose, Esq. | John J. Pankauski, Esq. | Carley Friedstein, Minor |
| 2142 Churchill Lane | Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald | Pankauski Law Firm PLLC | c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein |
| Highland Park, IL 6003 | & Rose, P.A. | 120 South Olive Avenue | Parent and Natural Guardian |
| | 505 South Flagler Drive, | 7th Floor | 2142 Churchill Lane |
| | Suite 600 | West Palm Beach, FL 33401 | Highland Park, IL 6003 |
| | West Palm Beach, Florida | (561) 514-0900 | Lisa@friedsteins.com |
| | 33401 | john@Pankauskilawfirm.com | lisa.friedstein@gmail.com |
| | (561) 355-6991 | | |
| | arose@pm-law.com | | |
| Pamela Beth Simon | Irwin J. Block, Esq. | Julia Iantoni, a Minor | Joshua, Jacob and Daniel |
| 950 N. Michigan Avenue | The Law Office of Irwin J. | c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, | Bernstein, Minors |
| Apartment 2603 | Block PL | Her Parents and Natural | c/o Eliot and Candice |
| Chicago, IL 60611 | 700 South Federal Highway | Guardians | Bernstein, |
| psimon@stpcorp.com | Suite 200 | 210 I Magnolia Lane | Parents and Natural Guardians |
| | Boca Raton, Florida 33432 | Highland Park, IL 60035 | 2753 NW 34th Street |
| | ijb@ijblegal.com | jilliantoni@gmail.com | Boca Raton, FL 33434 |
| | | | iviewit@iviewit.tv |
| Jill Iantoni | Peter Feaman, Esquire | Eliot Bernstein | John P. Morrissey, Esq. |
| 2101 Magnolia Lane | Peter M. Feaman, P.A. | 2753 NW 34th Street | 330 Clematis Street, Suite 213 |
| Highland Park, IL 60035 | 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. | Boca Raton, FL 33434 | West Palm Beach, FL 33401 |
| jilliantoni@gmail.com | Boynton Beach, FL 33436 | iviewit@iviewit.tv | john@jmorrisseylaw.com |
| Junioun (Ognium o one | pfeaman@feamanlaw.com | | |
| Lisa Friedstein | William H. Glasko, Esq. | | |
| 2142 Churchill Lane | Golden Cowan, P.A. | | |
| Highland Park, IL 60035 | 1734 South Dixie Highway | | |
| Lisa@friedsteins.com | Palmetto Bay, FL 33157 | | 1 |
| lisa.friedstein@gmail.com | bill@palmettobaylaw.com | | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY , FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE

Petitioner(s),

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties associated and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondent(s).

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN

DATE: June 12, 2014

TIME: 9:50 a.m. - 10:35 a.m.

| 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM E. STANSBURY: |
|----|---|
| 2 | PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. BOYNTON BEACH BOULEVARD |
| 3 | BOYNTON BEACH, FL 33436 By: PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQ. |
| 4 | Dy: PEIER M. FEAMAN, ESQ. |
| 5 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA & DOW, P.A. |
| 7 | 505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE, SUITE 600 |
| 8 | WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 By: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. |
| 9 | MATWICZYK & BROWN 625 N. FLALGER DRIVE, SUITE 401 |
| 10 | WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 |
| 11 | By: BENJAMIN P. BROWN, ESQ. (CURATOR) |
| 12 | JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ. 330 CLEMATIS STREET, SUITE 213 |
| 13 | WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 |
| 14 | ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, Pro se |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following |
| 18 | proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before |
| 19 | the Honorable MARTIN COLIN, at the Palm Beach County |
| 20 | Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Room 8, in the City |
| 21 | of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, |
| 22 | on June 12, 2014, to wit: |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 3 MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor, There is a number of matters in the Simon Bernstein, but 4 there is only one in the Shirley Bernstein, can we 5 do that first? 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. ROSE: We have a motion to compel. May I 9 approach? 10 THE COURT: Yeah. Sure. MR. ROSE: This is just a very simple motion 11 to compel. Prior to my involvement, predecessor 12 counsel served a request to produce on Elliot 13 Bernstein, December 19th. Mr. Bernstein has not 14 15 responded and has indicated he doesn't believe that he -- he's indicated to me he doesn't believe 16 he should have to provide us with any discovery. 17 THE COURT: All right. Let me take a look. 18 Where's Elliot? 19 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Hi. 20 21 THE COURT: So you got a request to produce 22 in Shirley's estate, correct? MR, ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. 23 24 THE COURT: And haven't responded or done anything? 25

1 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I think you are aware of the last counsel fled town under -- with 2 3 the other counsel. And we've put in motions that Ted should not be the PR, even though you ordered 4 that, it was -- he's got too many conflicts now 5 6 with the fraudulent documents, changing 7 beneficiaries, all that. THE COURT: But you still have to do a 8 9 written response on discovery. 10 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, I didn't know 11 because Manseri left. That was filed by Manseri and to send things to Manseri would have been kind 12 of crazy because he was resigning because all of 13 14 that crazy. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So what's the 16 relief you're requesting on this motion? Compel him to --17 MR. ROSE: THE COURT: File a response? 18 MR. ROSE: Produce the documents. 19 THE COURT: You don't produce -- I don't ever 20 21 do -- the key is not the production, that's part 22 two. Part one is the response. You need a response so that you know what you're going to get 23 24 in production. If you're going to rule that he 25 MR. ROSE:

hasn't waived his objection by not filing the 1 response, I would be fine giving him ten days to 2 file a written response. 3 THE COURT: How much time -- you have to file 4 5 a written response to the request. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 6 Okav. 7 THE COURT: How much time do you need? MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 8 Well, 30 days. 9 THE COURT: Why not? It's going to be 10 response -- and then when you file your response, 11 it has to be a line item response. And then you have to produce that which you say is in your 12 documents in your possession and control. 13 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Unless I don't want to 14 15 produce them to them. 16 THE COURT: No. No, there is no such thing you don't want to. 17 18 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem. Ι feel that right now, for example, here in this 19 court, we're allowing people to act in fiduciary 20 21 capacities that they don't have. You're about to 22 see that their legal strategy is to harass me. 23 And that's the document that they're trying to 24 keep privileged --25 THE COURT: Hold on one second.

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: And they are asking for very sensitive information that's involved in RICO cases both in Nevada and the U.S. District 3 Court in New York.

1

2

4

6

5 THE COURT: Tell you what I'm going to do. Listen carefully. Here's how I'm handling this. 6 I don't want you to give me speaking objections to 7 8 discovery. Okay. I'm going to change my order a little bit around. I'm moving the time frame up. 9 You have 20 days from today to file a response. 10 The response has to be line item. Pay attention 11 to that. The rule requires that. Understand that 12 part so far? 13

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Address each thing in 14 their thing? 15

16 THE COURT: Yeah, line item.

17 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Got it.

18 THE COURT: Even though your time has passed, I'm going to allow you still to be able to make it 19 a legal objection. But understand, this is not a 20 speaking objection. These aren't stories. 21 These aren't long -- objections are, for example, 22 someone would write -- doesn't apply to you --23 attorney-client privilege. Work product. Okay. 24 25 A legally recognized objection. Because otherwise

discovery takes place under Florida law. 1 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 2 Okav. THE COURT: Okay. Write the order up on 3 that. 4 5 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I think, 6 though --THE COURT: So if you have a legally 7 8 recognizable objection, you have to put that in writing. And then he knows what that is. 9 I know what it is. We're not guessing. So I'm giving 10 you a right to assert an objection, even though 11 it's late, you just have to do it in writing. 12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I get that. 13 And appreciate that. My biggest concern is that I've 14 put in motion after motion to get rid of the PR 15 16 for all kinds of violations of fiduciaries, et 17 cetera. I don't mind giving discovery to a guy 18 like Ben Brown, who's honorable in doing things that I can't find anything wrong with. But when I 19 find a litany of things that I've submitted to 20 Your Honor that, you know, when we've got a 21 hearing coming up to get rid of Ted in all these 22 crazy capacities that he's assuming, and some of 23 them are literally crazy, trustees have lost 24 25 trusts, starting federal insurance cases, et

So, you know, I don't want to be giving 1 cetera. this guy who's got a plan to attack my children's 2 school records in this and all kinds of --3 THE COURT: I don't know what discovery is, 4 5 but Florida works it this way. You got discovery requests. If you have a legal objection, make it. 6 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: But doesn't it have to 7 8 be that you're giving discovery to somebody who's qualified to be --9 10 THE COURT: No. Right now, as long as they are a party -- this is -- Ted is currently right 11 12 now successor PR of Shirley's estate? 13 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, he's given no 14 15 notice to anybody, no accountings were turned over 16 by the last PR. 17 THE COURT: Doesn't mean discovery can't --18 you know, I'll deal with that which is before me. 19 Okay. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I put my stuff 20 before you a long time ago and --21 THE COURT: There is nothing that I have that 22 I don't hear. I mean, I hear stuff you bring to 23 24 me. 25 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I've got a ton of

1 motions that haven't been heard since May. 2 THE COURT: Have you done a notice of hearing? 3 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I have. I'm trying to 4 do one, but this guy won't schedule anything 5 6 unless I depose and all kinds of crazy conditions. 7 He's running around wasting everybody's time, money, et cetera. 8 9 THE COURT: Well, try to coordinate hearings. 10 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I've been trying for 11 days. THE COURT: Sit in the back and try to 12 coordinate dates -- does he have matters pending 13 that he's trying to get you to have hearings for? 14 I think there is some hearings 15 MR. ROSE: coming up in July. All I said, we'd like to get 16 17 the discovery and his deposition before we have 18 the motion on the hearing he has pending. 19 THE COURT: If the motion --MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Conditions. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to figure out 22 what happened to my trial. Okay. So -- all 23 right. You know, everyone has a duty to coordinate hearings. Okay. So let's make sure we 24 do that. I'll have -- you write up the order I 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

just mentioned on this one.

1

MR. ROSE: I have a --2 THE COURT: Did you write it up? 3 I wrote up an order --4 MR. ROSE: THE COURT: Write what I said about 5 objections, write that out, spell that out. Legal 6 7 objections only. 8 MR. ROSE: I'll type an order and submit it after sending it to Mr. Bernstein or do you want 9 me to do it right now? 10 THE COURT: Do it right now. Do it before 11 12 you leave today. Now I have time. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you Ted's counsel 13 14 as the PR of the estate? Am I correct on that, Alan? Are you, Alan? See, here we go. Are you 15 16 Ted's counsel? He's filed no notices of 17 appearance. 18 THE COURT: He filed a pleading here. I have it. 19 20 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: But not the original pleading. I'm sure the law says something about 21 22 that. THE COURT: Now everything is e-filed, so I 23 24 don't know what's original and what's not. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, he's a 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 replacement counsel. THE COURT: Okay. Good. 2 З MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Without an original pleading -- he's filed no notice of appearance in 4 any matter. 5 THE COURT: A pleading like this -- he says 6 Ted Bernstein, I'm his lawyer. That's what he 7 said. Okav. 8 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: He hasn't addressed 9 that. He didn't say he's Ted Bernstein's counsel 10 as PR yet. I just asked him that. 11 THE COURT: Are you Ted Bernstein's lawyer? 12 13 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: As PR to the Shirley 14 estate? 15 16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Wait. Wait. Don't 18 answer that for him. 19 THE COURT: Estate of Shirley Bernstein, correct? 20 21 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 22 Okay. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What's next? 23 Now, we're going to the other estate. 24 25 MR. BROWN: Simon. I have a second petition

1 for payment of curator's fees, and this would be for April. 2 I don't know if there is any objection. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 5 MR. BROWN: The order is substantially similar to the first one, just changes the 6 numbers. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 MR. ROSE: May I be heard on his petition? THE COURT: 10 Yeah. MR. ROSE: We have no objection to his fees, 11 but I do think it's important to point out to the 12 court -- and it's going to be related to the next 13 motion that we have. Ms. Eliot Bernstein sent the 14 e-mails to Ben Brown and when we end up with, you 15 know, a petition for instructions, we had two of 16 17 them on May 23rd, and you instructed everyone that 18 he should not be Elliot's lawyer. And Eliot 19 responded he doesn't have to just, you know, jump through hoops. Ben is concerned that he, you 20 know, fulfill his duties and not run afoul of 21 Eliot, but we've got two or three more petitions. 22 And the fees, I think, so far, approaching \$50,000 23 for the curator. 24 25 THE COURT: Well, the way it works is pretty

1 simple. I mean, you know, if Mr. Brown is getting bombarded by a party, and, you know, I mean, he's 2 concerned that it may not be necessary and 3 reasonable, then he, you know, he deals with that 4 5 and causes himself not to have wasted time, but he knows how to handle that. 6 MR. ROSE: We have no objection to Mr. Brown. 7 8 It's not his -- the issue is not really with him, but I think the curator needs some protection from 9 constantly being bombarded --10 11 THE COURT: He can protect himself. 12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you his attorney 13 too? THE COURT: Eliot, no, no. Don't do that. 14 MR. BROWN: Actually, Judge, this kind of 15 16 dovetails into what happened on February 23rd. Ι 17 did have the two motions for instruction, one of 18 them was when Eliot asked me --19 MR. FEAMAN: May 23rd. MR. BROWN: May 23rd. Asked me to look into 20 44 different subcategories of documents and kind 21 22 of take the lead on objecting to the Tescher Spallina accounting. The other one is with regard 23 24 to the will, the 2012 will. And I have the pages of the transcript of what Your Honor said. 25 And,

1 essentially, I said it's not the curator's role to take the lead on objecting to the accounting. 2 It's not the curator's role to -з THE COURT: Hold on one second. 4 5 MR. BROWN: It's not the curator's role to challenge or even investigate the circumstances of 6 the 2012 will. Your Honor --7 8 THE COURT: You know, but here's the thing, 9 if I said that and you then are being asked to do 10 something that you don't think is within the scope of the curatorship, then you make a decision based 11 upon that. 12 MR. BROWN: Well, Your Honor, sometimes it's 13 not necessarily all that clear. 14 THE COURT: That's why you use discretion and 15 you decide what to get involved with and whatnot, 16 17 and, then depending on that, I may hear from 18 someone else who likes that idea or not. 19 MR. BROWN: What Your Honor said -- actually what Your Honor said on the 23rd was that you 20 21 said, stop, you don't have to go further. That's the position. That's law. You don't do that. 22 Τf there is an accounting, there is a rule on 23 The parties object, they don't use 24 objections. 25 you. You don't work for them, which is true, I'm

1 no one's here attorney.

THE COURT: Right.

2 3 MR. BROWN: You work for the court, that's 4 what you said. You said, you are not an advocate. 5 You only investigate things that the parties may 6 be interested in. They can do that -- do what 7 they think they need to do based on the rules of 8 procedure and the statutes. 9 THE COURT: All right. So if someone asked to do something you think is outside the scope of 10 what I ordered, what I mentioned in the hearings, 11 12 then don't do it. 13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, you also didn't enter 14 an order on those motions on May 23rd. THE COURT: Well, someone has to submit them 15 16 to me. 17 MR. BROWN: I did, Your Honor. Ι submitted -- I submitted them to all the parties. 18 19 Mr. Rose had some changes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. BROWN: I then -- you then went on 22 vacation and then, unfortunately, got ill. I sent you a letter that said here are the competing 23 orders, and here's what you said. 24 THE COURT: I didn't see the competing 25

orders.

| 2 | MR. BROWN: That's the order. Now, Mr. Eliot |
|----|--|
| 3 | Bernstein, he had an objection because he said |
| 4 | that all of the minor beneficiaries didn't |
| 5 | necessarily receive notice of the May 23rd |
| 6 | hearing. But, in fact, based on the e-mail |
| 7 | report, I believe that everyone has received I |
| 8 | mean, here's how long the e-mail service list is. |
| 9 | Everybody received notice of everything. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the what's the dispute |
| 11 | now with the form of these orders? |
| 12 | MR. BROWN: Well, the dispute was Mr. Rose |
| 13 | had some changes to what I submitted. |
| 14 | THE COURT: So you folks did did you agree |
| 15 | upon the final form? |
| 16 | MR. BROWN: He's got handwritten we didn't |
| 17 | agree on a final form because I think, and I don't |
| 18 | want to put words in Elliot's mouth, Eliot said I |
| 19 | don't agree to either orders because there are |
| 20 | minor beneficiaries of the trust, the pour-over |
| 21 | trust, who weren't here on the 23rd, or didn't get |
| 22 | notice. And this is the notice service list. |
| 23 | I mean, this is everybody. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Let's put the notice aside. Is |
| 25 | there any other have you guys agreed upon the |
| | |

1 form of the order now, or you didn't notice this? 2 MR. ROSE: I think that my comments are 3 minor. I think Mr. Brown approved them. So I 4 have no objection to the order. 5 THE COURT: In this packet, give me a clean 6 order, Mr. Brown. 7 MR. BROWN: All right. I didn't have a 8 problem with -- it's not necessarily a clean order, Your Honor, itself an order. 9 THE COURT: One that I can sign, I mean. 10 11 This has Mr. Rose's changes that he has written 12 in. 13 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I -- do we all have copies of Roses' things? 14 THE COURT: Let me see what --15 16 MR. BROWN: Mr. Rose e-mailed them to 17 everybody. But they are attached to that May 30th 18 letter. 19 THE COURT: I'll give you a copy of it. Any reason not to sign this based upon that hearing 20 21 that I had. 22 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Are you saying he's 23 not authorized, as the estate, if he finds missing items, or whatever, to challenge the accounting as 24 the --25

1 THE COURT: He's not -- he's not filing objections to the accounting, the parties are. 2 3 You're not, correct? 4 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: But he's the estate, 5 isn't he? Isn't he a party known as the estate? THE COURT: Yeah, but he's a curator, that's 6 7 different. 8 MR. BROWN: I'm essentially a caretaker until --9 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, wouldn't the 10 11 PR --12 THE COURT: Let me ask you this --13 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: If you got -- if you're the PR and you got an accounting that's 14 fraudulent in front of you, don't you have a duty 15 16 to challenge that and --17 THE COURT: Maybe so, but that's up to 18 everybody --19 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: But you're saying he's 20 not authorized. 21 THE COURT: He's not. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: You're precluding him. 22 23 THE COURT: He is not. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, he's the PR 24 tech --25

MR. BROWN: No, I'm not the personal 1 representative, and I have declined to serve. 2 3 THE COURT: He's the curator, it's a 4 different role. In fact, Your Honor, I was 5 MR. BROWN: supposed to be the curator for just a couple of 6 months. 7 8 THE COURT: Right. MR. BROWN: We don't even have a hearing set, 9 THE COURT: Yeah, the parties have to do the 10 objections to the accounting. 11 12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it's not anything that's teed up today, is that we don't have a 14 hearing set --15 THE COURT: What do you mean, a hearing on --16 17 MR. BROWN: -- to get a personal representative. 18 THE COURT: You know, I can't deal with 19 20 getting hearing dates. Is there a problem with 21 getting hearing dates? 22 MR. BROWN: There's not a problem with getting hearing dates. It's a problem with 23 getting anybody to move to appoint -- thank you --24 25 to appoint a personal representative. And --

1 THE COURT: Well, I thought the hearing was on the objections on the accounting. 2 MR. BROWN: This is the other one, Your 3 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Oh. 6 MR. BROWN: There is a motion objecting to the accountings, but there is no hearing set to 7 appoint a personal representative. And I'm -- you 8 know, frankly, I'm the curator. I'm --9 10 THE COURT: Well, at some point if no one wants to be PR, you know, I'll discharge you and 11 the estate will be closed. Just close the estate. 12 13 MR. BROWN: I think that's the case right now, nobody wants to be a PR. 14 15 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, that's not true. THE COURT: Well, someone has to file a 16 petition, though. 17 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm -- I got a 18 ton of petitions in that I'm trying to get 19 hearings. Like I said, they are blocking and 20 trying to get this case closed. 21 22 I mean, he just said are you having problems scheduling hearings. I want hearings 23 since, you know, May. 24 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me take a look at 25

1 this one. 2 Okay. All right. So I'm going to order 3 everyone cooperate in coordinating hearings. That's a silly thing to have difficulty with. 4 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Am I forced to take a 5 deposition before I schedule a hearing with you on 6 an unrelated --7 8 THE COURT: You can schedule a hearing, Then 9 you get a hearing date from me. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: He won't schedule a 10 11 hearing until I take a deposition. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Here's the way it works. 13 Scheduling is one thing. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Right. 14 THE COURT: Discovery is another thing. 15 16 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: That's right. 17 THE COURT: You try to do your discovery 18 based upon hearing dates coming up. So if you get a hearing date within 30 days, then that tells you 19 20 you have to have discovery sooner. If you have a hearing in six months, unlikely in my division, 21 then, you know, you could spread out your 22

23 discovery requests, but they're separate and

24 apart.

25

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: No, but I'm trying to

schedule a hearing and he's refusing unless I 1 2 take --3 THE COURT: You get a hearing. And then 4 depending upon what the nature is that's going to be heard, if discovery is necessary, you do 5 They're two independent items. 6 discovery. 7 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: But your secretary or 8 your clerk has told us that we have to coordinate our hearings with these guys, doesn't seem they 9 have to do that with us, since none of this is 10 11 scheduled with our knowledge. But nonetheless, if 12 I want to schedule a hearing, I got to get 13 approval from nine guys, three of them won't respond at all. Alan Rose says you better take a 14 deposition or I'm not scheduling hearings. 15 And, you know, they are trying to delay it so they can 16 get in all these hearings while a bunch of 17 criminals sit around --18 THE COURT: All right. I'll have my 19 20 assistant come out and see you folks when you're 21 done here, before you all leave, and she'll coordinate hearings with you. 22 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I can't do that. 23 24 I got to --25 THE COURT: Why?

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: -- go back and check 1 2 my schedules. Can I call in? 3 THE COURT: You want quick service and I'm 4 going to give you --MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I appreciate that. 5 Ι 6 greatly appreciate that. 7 THE COURT: So there's a rule there that says 8 if you ask for something and I give it to you, like that --9 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't bring a cell 10 11 phone, I don't have my schedule, I got doctors --12 that's the biggest concern -- and if I'm free on 13 those days they want to schedule them, great. I already gave them a date, you know, July 14th. 14 THE COURT: Try to work it out, we'll get 15 there. 16 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Your secretary has 17 been holding it. 18 MR. BROWN: Judge, the problem with 19 20 appointing a personal representative is not that 21 nobody is -- there is no hearing date -- because nobody has actually filed a motion to appoint a 22 personal representative. 23 THE COURT: But Eliot says he has. 24 25 MR. ROSE: There's two motions pending.

1 There's a petition by Ted to be the personal representative. I think Eliot may have filed a 2 3 petition to be the personal representative. We need to set those for a contested hearing. 4 5 THE COURT: So, Mr. Brown, there are two. 6 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: He denied Ted's motion. 7 8 MR. ROSE: I'll set them. 9 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Ted already filed a motion to be PR and you denied it. 10 THE COURT: Go ahead. 11 12 MR. ROSE: We had a motion pending, Your 13 Honor, and you appointed a curator. THE COURT: 14 Right. MR. ROSE: In the meantime, to avoid what's 15 going to be a very expensive and costly battle, 16 I've been having discussions with Mr. Brown as 17 curator, a number of discussions of a way to try 18 to streamline -- Mr. Brown can confirm that's the 19 20 goal. 21 THE COURT: Sure. MR. ROSE: Just had those discussions. 22 I've tried to have discussions with some of the other 23 beneficiaries to see if we can at least get a 24 25 consensus. I thought that was a worthwhile

exercise before we have what's going to be a half 1 2 day or day contested trial on who should be the 3 PR. One of the motions dovetails into that -- I 4 have two motions pending today. One is a motion to compel Eliot to appear for deposition and 5 6 comply with the privilege rule. We had a hearing you may recall on May 23rd with inadvertent 7 I have that motion. 8 privilege. I also have a 9 motion that relates to Mr. Brown, if you want to do that motion first, in case Mr. Brown wanted to 10 11 leave. We filed a petition -- a motion for --12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like to do the 13 privilege because if we get through this, we'll find out that he might not even be here in a 14 minute. 15 16 I'd actually prefer to do --MR. BROWN: 17 MR. ROSE: Do whatever Mr. Brown likes. 18 MR. BROWN: I don't know that I like it, but I don't have any opposition to it. 19 20 MR. ROSE: It's the one about the mortgage. 21 MR. BROWN: Okay. THE COURT: Let me see. 22 This is a motion -- this is kind 23 MR. ROSE: of in line with Your Honor's instructions. 24 Ted 25 Bernstein, as successor trustee of the Simon

Bernstein trust, has asked the curator to take 1 action with regard to an asset of the estate, 2 3 Mr. Brown has indicated, consistent with your 4 ruling, that's not his job. So we have filed a 5 motion seeking instructions. And we are before you addressing one -- there is only a real handful б of assets in the estate. One of the assets in the 7 estate of Simon Bernstein is a second mortgage 8 9 that the estate holds on a piece of property. The property is owned by an entity called Bernstein 10 Family Realty, LLC. That's an entity that was 11 12 created by Simon while he was alive. And while he 13 was alive, Simon was the manager of that entity. 14 This Bernstein Family Realty, which owns this house, there is also a first mortgage on the house 15 held by the seller back when the house was sold in 16 17 2008. So there is a first mortgage of \$110,000. Purchase money mortgage? 18 THE COURT: 19 MR. ROSE: Purchase money mortgage. That mortgage matured, technically, a few years ago and 20 21 they did an amendment, and they extended the term 22 for three years. The lease matures on June 19th, which is in about seven days. Next week. 23 The 24 first mortgage, not the lease, the first mortgage 25 matures. They are owed \$110,000, plus interest.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

26

1 The mortgage is held by a gentleman named Walter 2 Somm. There is unpaid taxes for 2013. We're told 3 that the house is not insured. Okav. That's the 4 starting point. What the estate has, which Mr. Brown is in possession of, is the estate has a 5 second mortgage on that property. There's a 6 second mortgage holder on property when there is a 7 first, unpaid taxes, no insurance, you would want 8 to take action to try to protect whatever equity 9 is in the property. The current occupant of the 10 11 house -- and just so I can assure you -- we're 12 going to hear it in a minute -- this is no way to try to harm Eliot. This is trying to protect the 13 assets that are in the estate, and try to protect 14 the value of it. But the tenant of the property 15 16 is Eliot Bernstein and his family. They live in there rent free. They don't pay taxes. 17 I don't have all the information other than that. 18

19And just if you indulge me for a little20background. I think Simon bought the house for21Eliot. He put the ownership, though, in an22entity called Bernstein Family Realty. It's23encumbered by two mortgages. And the mortgages24exceed the value of the property. I don't know25what it's exactly worth. I attached a Zillow,

27

1 which is not an appraisal.

THE COURT: What's the issue, though? 2 3 MR. ROSE: The issue is, someone's got to 4 administer the second mortgage. It's in default and no one has been administering it. And --5 THE COURT: You say administering it, seek 6 collection of its payment. 7 8 MR. ROSE: Potentially. Or do something to 9 protect the asset. And in addition, we've asked permission to have the estate potentially pay the 10 11 first -- buy the first mortgage. Because if we 12 don't take care of the first mortgage on June 19, 13 what's going to happen is that Mr. Somm is going to hire legal counsel. 14 THE COURT: When you say Simon held a note 15 and mortgage, second mortgage? 16 17 MR. ROSE: Right. THE COURT: Who was -- who's the mortgagor? 18 19 MR. ROSE: Bernstein Family Realty. 20 THE COURT: I thought they owned the 21 property. 22 MR. ROSE: They own the property. They are 23 the title owner. They are the mortgage -- they are the mortgagee of the property. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. But who's the mortgagor?

•

MR. ROSE: Of the second mortgage, Simon 1 Bernstein, while he was alive. So now it's the 2 3 estate of Simon Bernstein. 4 THE COURT: So he owed the money. He's the 5 debtor on the debtor/creditor part of the mortgage, Simon was the debtor? 6 MR. ROSE: No, Simon was the creditor. 7 8 THE COURT: Who's the debtor? 9 MR. ROSE: Bernstein Family Realty, an entity that owns the house. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not -- I thought --11 12 they own the house and Bernstein Family Realty 13 borrowed \$360,000, or whatever, the amount of the 14 second mortgage is? MR. ROSE: Correct. Bernstein Family Realty 15 potentially owns \$110,000, plus interest, to 16 17 Walter Somm. He's the first mortgage holder. And Bernstein Family Realty also owes 365,000, plus 18 19 interest, to the estate of Simon Bernstein, 20 THE COURT: Which -- that transaction, how did that second mortgage come about? 21 22 MR. ROSE: Simon put -- I think Simon put up all the money for the house and the renovations. 23 And so in order to --24 25 THE COURT: So he had the owner give him a

1 second mortgage back.

| 2 | MR. ROSE: Correct. He was the manager of |
|----|--|
| 3 | the ownership entity. Simon structured this |
| 4 | through Bernstein Family Realty. Bernstein Family |
| 5 | Realty, after Simon passed away, the new manager |
| 6 | of Bernstein Family Realty is Oppenheimer. And I |
| 7 | believe the equity the owners of the equity of |
| 8 | Bernstein Family Realty are three trusts created |
| 9 | for Elliot's three children. No one is disputing |
| 10 | that. But the house is clearly upside down, |
| 11 | unless it's worth more than \$500,000, which it |
| 12 | doesn't appear to be worth more than \$500,000. If |
| 13 | it is, that's great because that's better for the |
| 14 | estate. The concern here for Ted as the fiduciary |
| 15 | for the trust is that we have an asset. It's the |
| 16 | mortgage. It's not fully secured. It's probably |
| 17 | mostly secured, if you know what I mean, partially |
| 18 | secured. There is equity in the property over and |
| 19 | above the first mortgage and the taxes, but |
| 20 | there's not enough to pay it off in full. And so |
| 21 | the concern is that Walter Somm, a third party, |
| 22 | innocent guy, will have to file a lawsuit to |
| 23 | foreclose his mortgage. Eliot is potentially |
| 24 | going to be a party to that lawsuit because he's a |
| 25 | tenant. Mr. Somm will probably spend a hundred or |

\$200,000 in legal fees fighting with Eliot 1 2 Bernstein. And then at the end of the day, poof, 3 there is nothing left. And the estate's \$365,000 4 mortgage is worth nothing. We've raised the issue with Mr. Brown. 5 We have worked very closely with him. 6 THE COURT: I understand. 7 я What do you say Eliot? MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, to deconstruct 9 all those lies -- you really want me to start --10 11 my father bought a house for my children. Ιt 12 wasn't an asset of the estate till suddenly the 13 guys who altered the estate documents put it on an amended inventory, right after the long arm of the 14 law knocked on their door and said come to the 15sheriff's office. This is part of an extortion. 16 I put it in motion to you. I asked for emergency 17 hearings. And what they have done is beyond 18 criminal. First of all, my -- the company, BFR, 19 20 is owned by my three kids entirely. The house -trust owned the house. Okay. 21 My brother and Oppenheimer conspired -- and I got a whole bunch 22 of stuff showing what's happened here -- to take 23 over the management position of BFR. When my 24 father died there was supposed to be vote by the 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

31

members, my three minor children, which could be, 1 2 or my wife, as guardians, to elect a new member. 3 Instead, Spallina anointed Janet Craig of Oppenheimer to self-anoint herself as manager of 4 Then after they misuse all these funds, 5 BFR. telling us, oh, we're going to use the kids' 6 education funds to pay your house expenses, when 7 there is all these other entities that have been 8 paying for the house for years, my brother --9 Janet Craig of Oppenheimer says, oh, I'm turning 10 over the management to Ted. She hands him all my 11 12 personal files, my kids, all our statements, 13 bills, everything, which I don't even get the They've been going through BFR -bills. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I got your position. Let 15 me ask you this. What's the relief that you're 16 asking for, though? 17 Hold on. 18 I don't know what the relief is, that's 19 20 what I'm trying to figure out. The relief is for first -- at the 21 MR. ROSE: request of the curator, the curator would like to 22 transfer the asset to the trustee of Simon's 23 revocable trust. 24 THE COURT: The property that is owned by 25

1 Bernstein Family Realty, LLC? 2 MR. ROSE: No, technically, the asset is just 3 the mortgage. Is the mortgage. THE COURT: 4 Yeah, you know, the tangible --5 MR. ROSE: That's owned by the estate right 6 THE COURT: 7 now. MR. ROSE: It's owned by the estate and under 8 9 the control of Mr. Brown. 10 THE COURT: And you --They would remain liable for --11 MR. ROSE: the trustees of the revocable trust is liable for 12 the debts of a creditor. So, you know, 13 Mr. Stansbury's counsel is here and he would be 14 someone that might object to it. We're not asking 15 16 to transfer it, other than to have someone other than Mr. Brown, who's not prepared to administer 17 the asset, to administer the asset. 18 In fact, in discussions I've had with Mr. Brown, I mean, 19 his -- one of Mr. Brown's discussions with me was 20 he could transfer all the assets in the estate to 21 the revocable trust. If the trustee of the 22 revocable trust could administer the assets, he 23 24 would still be liable to Mr. Stansbury for any And, you know, you could have Mr. Brown 25 claims.

1 as a curator take a very nominal role so we don't 2 have to incur as much money. Alternatively, we 3 could have the PR hearing which --That's what we need to do. THE COURT: 4 We 5 need to have the PR hearing. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: We need to have an 6 7 evidentiary hearing on this, don't we, Your Honor? MR. ROSE: But in the short --8 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 MR. ROSE: In the short term, if you look at the exhibit we attached. 11 12 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead. MR. ROSE: The second mortgage. 13 It's the Exhibit A. I don't have tabs, I apologize, but if 14 15 you flip through --16 THE COURT: I see it. 17 MR. ROSE: So there is a promissory note. It's signed by Simon Bernstein. I mean, I don't 18 think there is any question that that's Simon's 19 signature. And he did it in July of 2008. And if 20 you go to the next page, which is the actual 21 second mortgage, it's recorded in the public 22 records of this county, on September the 4th, 23 24 2008. 25 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Missing the promissory 1 note, which was supposed to be attached, but it's 2 not. 3 MR, ROSE: I didn't think -- I would request 4 that Mr. Bernstein not comment when I'm speaking. 5 THE COURT: Yeah, true. 6 MR. ROSE: So we have a mortgage, the second It's signed by Simon Bernstein. He set 7 mortgage. 8 up the structure with Bernstein Family Realty. Ι don't care about the structure. We don't control 9

Bernstein Family Realty. We have nothing to do 10 with it. Our concern is very simply: If you ask 11 12 Eliot Bernstein what are you going to do when 13 Walter Somm files a foreclosure action, he's going 14 to tell you, I'm going to make Walter Somm spend every penny he's got to try to foreclose the 15 mortgage on Bernstein Family Realty. And we're 16 17 going to be back here in two years, or whatever, saying the mortgage is worthless because it's all 18 19 been burned away in fees. But at the same time, I 20 don't think it's appropriate for Mr. Brown or the 21 estate to buy the mortgage if -- until we take the 22 deposition of Mr. Bernstein and find out if he has 23 any issues with regard to the mortgage or the structure. I mean, other, you know, everything, 24 25 you know, is a conspiracy.

1 THE COURT: I got it. All right. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 2 Okay. I got more. MR. FEAMAN: May it please the court. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 Peter Feaman on behalf of 5 MR. FEAMAN: Mr. Stansbury, about the largest and only creditor 6 7 of the estate, sizeable amount, in a litigation that's pending before Judge Blanc. 8 9 Mr. Stansbury's interest obviously is to preserve or protect the assets of the estate in the event 10 11 that he's successful in his litigation. We've been in touch with Mr. Somm. Mr. Stansbury 12 actually knows Mr. Somm, the first mortgage 13 holder. And it's a possibility that we can get 14 him to forebear for a little while until we get 15 16 this straightened out. THE COURT: Why don't you try to do that. 17 18 Because I'd really like to get passed the PR stage because that would clear the way to have things 19 done in the ordinary course here. 20 21 MR. FEAMAN: Right. So we think we can do that. 22 THE COURT: 23 Okay. MR. FEAMAN: And he told me he won't do 24 anything precipitous. He knows -- he knew 25

1 Mr. Bernstein, he knows Mr. Stansbury, and --2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, one 4 last --5 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. No. No, I'm 6 deferring on the motion for instruction in this 7 matter. 8 MR. BROWN; Judge, on the PR thing just --9 I'm going to go ahead and seek dates in early 10 August. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. BROWN: Because we have notices of 13 unavailability for July. THE COURT: 14 Okav. 15 MR. BROWN: And frankly, between now and early August, I can minimize my fees by just 16 17 hanging on to what the estate's got. 18 THE COURT: If you guys will coordinate those hearings. 19 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I've never not 20 21 coordinated a hearing. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. What's next, the last one. 23 24 MR. ROSE: Last one. Two motions to compel. 25 MR. BROWN: Actually, may I be excused?

THE COURT: 1 Sure. 2 MR. ROSE: On the motion we just heard, Your 3 Honor, I'll send in an order that says the motion 4 is deferred. Mr. Stansbury will work with Mr. Somm and report to the parties. 5 THE COURT: 6 Exactly. 7 8 9 10 MR. ROSE: We've got two motions to compel, 11 one is very simple. One is more complicated. 12 They are in the same document. The first one is 13 just a deposition. I've asked Mr. Bernstein if I could take his deposition, get some dates. 14 Hе 15 indicated that he would not appear for deposition 16 for whatever reason. And I just think we need an order compelling him to appear for deposition 17 18 within 20 days or something to that effect. THE COURT: Okay. And the matters you want 19 20 to depose him on are what? 21 MR. ROSE: There are a number of pending So -- they're set for evidentiary 22 petitions. 23 hearing. Some of them involve things he wants the 24 trustee or the personal representative to do for 25 him and --

1 THE COURT: Hold on. Elliot, do you have 2 pending petitions? MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I do. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And you want hearing dates 4 on those, correct? 5 6 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: All of them. THE COURT: Okay. So two things are going to 7 happen. You're going to get hearing dates and 8 you're going to sit for deposition. 9 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I never said I 10 wouldn't sit for a deposition. 11 THE COURT: He just said you wouldn't. 12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: He says things for me 13 that are just completely untrue, like the last 14 15 whole litany of things he said I said about Walt By the way, I got a letter from Walt Somm 16 Somm. that addresses -- that he's mortified what they're 17 doing. 18 So the answer is -- I want 1.9 THE COURT: Okay. to you sit for deposition. They are entitled to 20 depose you on the outstanding --21 22 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Maybe. 23 THE COURT: And I want hearing dates. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 24 Okay. THE COURT: I want the deposition to take 25

place before the hearing dates.

1

| 2 | MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I need to have |
|----|---|
| 3 | the hearings if they're qualified to be PR, as my |
| 4 | brother's saying he's successor trustee here |
| 5 | before you today. He's never sent out notice. |
| 6 | The guys you threw out of here that were acting |
| 7 | that way, haven't noticed anybody that they |
| 8 | transferred it to Ted, that he's accepted, |
| 9 | accountings haven't been sent out, no |
| 10 | procedural in fact, you appointed my brother on |
| 11 | my mom's estate, God knows how long ago, no |
| 12 | accountings. We have three years, no accountings |
| 13 | in my mother's estate or trust. There is robbery |
| 14 | and fraud going on right before your nose every |
| 15 | day because these two clowns continue to |
| 16 | represent |
| 17 | THE COURT: Cool it. Cool it. We don't |
| 18 | MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Whatever. |
| 19 | THE COURT: No. No. Stop. |
| 20 | MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. These two |
| 21 | people are not following any rules or procedures |
| 22 | and we keep having hearings that are hurting my |
| 23 | family with people who are working to hurt me and |
| 24 | haven't followed a single rule of things. He |
| 25 | hasn't notified anybody. |

40

1 THE COURT: You're going to schedule your hearings. You'll call up and my assistant will 2 give you three or four hearing dates. You'll 3 call, you'll coordinate, and, you know what, if 4 5 you're getting -- if you say -- if you say that they are not cooperating, pick a date. 6 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 7 Okav. THE COURT: Pick a date. If you say that --8 and that's the rule that applies. 9 10 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Perfect. THE COURT: If one side says the other side 11 is not cooperating, pick a date, and then I'll 12 13 determine whether there is in-propriety. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And I don't 14 want to be deposed before I have those hearings to 15 see if they are qualified to depose me. 16 17 Because --18 THE COURT: No, I'm letting them take your 19 deposition on your pending petitions. Okay. On your petitions that you're going to get hearing 20 dates on, they can take discovery. 21 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh, just on that. 22 THE COURT: On those matters, yeah. 23 That's what he's asking for to be able to take your 24 25 deposition.

41

That's fine. 1 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. MR. ROSE: There is a hearing currently set 2 It's an hour hearing on some 3 for Julv 12th. objections to accountings. 4 5 THE COURT: Okav. 6 MR. ROSE: On behalf of the trustee, we got an extension to file objections to accountings. 7 8 Mr. Block, who represents Tescher & Spallina, is here, he wasn't in the loop for that. So we have 9 an hour of your time reserved for -- I would 10 suggest it might be appropriate to have a status 11 conference. We can have the status conference 12 before the August evidentiary hearing on all the 13 pending matters, where everyone can come in and 14 actually go over the pending matters, see what 15 issues could be resolved and what issues are open, 16 17 because we have an hour of your time, which is 18 valuable. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Fine. That's good. Then the last motion 20 MR. ROSE: we have is the second half of this, we've 21 addressed this issue once. This is the e-mail 22 that my client inadvertently sent to Elliot 23 24 Bernstein. 25 THE COURT: I thought I dealt with this

1 already. MR. ROSE: You did deal with it. You dealt 2 with it in sort of an informal way, if you recall. 3 It happened on Thursday night, we were here Friday 4 morning. I raised it because I was concerned that 5 I wanted it to be dealt with immediately. 6 THE COURT: This is the inadvertent 7 disclosure? 8 Yes, sir. And Mr. Bernstein said 9 MR. ROSE: 10 he would comply with what Your Honor said. Ŵе have a transcript of the hearing. 11 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: You told me to go home 12 and read the rule --13 THE COURT: There is a rule that says --14 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I read it. It's not 15 privileged. It's a letter from my brother to me, 16 17 there is no attorney involved. I don't know what they're talking about. This is more of this 18 19 harassment. And when you read this letter, the reason why they want to hide this letter between 20 my brother and me --21 THE COURT: But it's you -- put the letter 22 aside for a moment. If there is a claim of 23 inadvertent disclosure -- which is what they made, 24 25 right?

1

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct.

2 THE COURT: Then under the rule, you do what 3 you're supposed to do.

Okay. 4 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Let's say that my brother inadvertently sent me an e-mail saying, 5 hey, let's murder my brother, which is basically 6 what this says. And then all of a sudden they 7 want to retract it and pull it back, hide it from 8 9 the world. They've gotten a levied threat across. 10 Now, I sent this letter, as I told you, the minute I read it to everybody who's named in it. And 11 I've already had two people call saying they are 12 afraid of my brother being crazy and starting to 13 harass them based on this letter. 14 15 THE COURT: We're getting far afield. MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. 17 THE COURT: Did you respond pursuant to the 18 rule? 19 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, I told him I don't believe so, let's set a hearing. 20 MR. ROSE: At the hearing on the date Elliot 21 said he'll do all that, he'll do what you told him 22 to do. And then he e-mailed me --23 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, and he --24 25 MR. ROSE: Can I, sir, please speak?

44

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Yeah. THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

So I got an e-mail from Elliot З MR. ROSE: after the hearing that says I just got back and 4 will definitely delete and destroy the e-mail in 5 accordance with the law. That's the first e-mail 6 from Eliot. That was at 1:05 on the day of the 7 hearing. And then I got -- at 3:30 an e-mail from 8 his colleague, Crystal Cox, who's the woman that 9 10 blogs things, basically using expletives against 11 me. And then Ms. Cox has taken the letter that was privileged and has republished it, e-mailing 12 it to lawyers in the case, and putting it on the 13 Internet. And then I got an e-mail from Elliot 14 15 telling me he will not comply with the issue and he wants to challenge the assertion of the 16 privilege. So I realize this was an 8:45 and I, 17 18 you know, would -- might need to be set for an 19 evidentiary hearing -- but we're here and we could 20 do an evidentiary hearing to decide this privilege issue. 21 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, I'd like to do 22 23 that. 24 THE COURT: Hold on. After Elliot got the notice of inadvertent disclosure, did he file a 25

45

response, Mr. Rose?

1

17

25

2 MR. ROSE: He has not filed a response in the 3 court.

THE COURT: To you, though? 4 5 MR. ROSE: He did respond to me. THE COURT: Let me read this. Did he say 6 that -- did he do what the rule says, party 7 receiving a notice of inadvertent disclosure 8 9 either destroys the matters and says that, you 10 know, they've taken care of it and nothing is being disclosed, disseminated, or they can 11 actually --12

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: It's not an
inadvertent disclosure --

15 THE COURT: But they've made that claim it 16 is, though.

MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.

THE COURT: The rule says, if you want to
challenge that, you have to do that in writing.
MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I did. I told him,

21 I'm challenging it, let's have a hearing.

22THE COURT: Okay. All right. So then he23said a challenge, he said it wasn't privileged.24That's one of the grounds.

MR. ROSE: In an e-mail he's taken the

1 position that it's not privileged, it was intended for him. 2 THE COURT: That's one of the rule-related З items you can mention. 4 During -- in the intervening time MR. ROSE: 5 he's not allowed to be sending it out to --6 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't sent it to a 7 single person that didn't already get it before I 8 9 came to this court. I contacted all those people 10 out of respect to Your Honor. And what my word was, and I said, hey, guys, here's a rule, you're 11 supposed to destroy this possibly, contact your 12 lawyer. Whatever. Crystal Cox said to him, screw 13 I don't control her. She's a blogger. vou. 14 15 Nobody seems to be able to control her, but that's her. And he's --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 18 MR. ROSE: This is one of the --19 THE COURT: You can set that for hearing. 20 MR. ROSE: There's one other part of our motion, we asked for an injunction. He did say he 21 can't control Crystal Cox. He sends her 22 23 everything in this case. He sends her every pleading, every order. He sent her my privileged 24 e-mail. Her e-mail to me says, I cordially invite 25

1 you to go blank yourself. And then she goes out And then she sends the e-mail, the same 2 on me. e-mail, this privileged e-mail, she sends to every З counsel and party in the case twice already. He 4 should be enjoined from communicating with her. 5 THE COURT: So he's already made a statement 6 7 on the record, that is Elliot, that since the time that he got the notice of inadvertent disclosure, 8 9 he has made no new disclosure to anyone, true? 10 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: True. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSE: So --12 MR. ELLIOT BERNSTEIN: I did everything else 13 just to be safe. 14 THE COURT: Set it for hearing. 15 MR. ROSE: An evidentiary hearing? 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. ROSE: Okay. 19 THE COURT; On whether it's privileged because that's what the rule says. 20 Go ahead, folks, Thanks. 21 MR. ROSE: Do you want the order from the 22 23 first or you want me to just mail them in? THE COURT: You can mail it in. 24 25 I got a 9:30 still to do.

CERTIFICATE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 15th day of June, 2014. DAVID L. MARSAA, COURT REPORTER

401 2:9 \$110,000 26:17,25 44 13:21 29:16 4th 34:23 \$200,000 31:1 \$360,000 29:13 \$365,000 31:3 XSB 1:3 \$50,000 12:23 505 2:7 \$500,000 30:11,12 600 2:7 **^^** 38:9 625 2:9 1 1:05 45:7 8 2:20 10:35 1:25 49:7 8:45 45:17 12 1:24 2:22 12th 42:3 14th 23:14 9:30 48:25 15th 50:12 9:50 1:25 19 28:12 19th 3:14 26:22 2 20 6:10 38:18 47:15 200 2:20 2008 26:17 34:20,24 2012 13:24 14:7 2013 27:2 2014 1:24 2:22 20:2 50:12213 2:12 23rd 12:17 42:4,7 13:16,19,20 across 44:9 14:20 15:14 16:5,21 25:7 act 5:20 acting 40:6 з 3:30 45:8 35:13 30 5:8 21:19 30th 17:17 330 2:12 33401 2:7,10,13 33436 2:3 46:12 3615 2:2

365,000 29:18

4

5 502012CP004391XXX 6 8 9 Α a.m. 1:25 49:7 able 6:19 41:24 above-styled 2:18 accepted 40:8 accordance 45:6 accounting 13:23 14:2,23 17:24 18:2,14 19:11 accountings 8:15 20:7 40:9,12 action 26:2 27:9 actual 34:21 actually 13:15 14:19 23:22 25:16 36:13 37:25 42:15 addition 28:9 Address 6:14 addressed 11:9 42:22

addresses 39:17 addressing 26:6 administer 28:4 33:17,18,23 administering 28:5,6 advocate 15:4 afield 44:15 afoul 12:21 afraid 44:13 against 45:10 ago 8:21 26:20 40:11 agreed 16:25 ahead 24:11 34:9,12 37:9 48:21 al 1:17 Alan 2:8 10:15 22:14 alive 26:12,13 29:2 alleged 1:16 allow 6:19 allowed 47:6 allowing 5:20 already 23:14 24;9 43:1 44:12 47:8 48:4,6 altered 31:13 Alternatively 34:2 Am 10:14 21:5 amended 31:14 amendment 26:21 amount 29:13 36:7 anointed 32:3 answer 11:18 39:19 anybody 8:15 19:24 40:7,25 anvone 48:9 anything 3:25 7:19 9:5 19:13 36;25 apart 21:24 apologize 34:14 appear 25:5 30:12 38:15,17

Page 1 of 10

appearance 10:17 11:4 APPEARING 2:1,5 applies 41:9 apply 6:23 appoint 19:24,25 20:8 23:22 appointed 24:13 40:10 appointing 23:20 appraisal 28:1 appreciate 7:14 23:5,6 approach 3:9 approaching 12:23 appropriate 35:20 42:11 approval 22:13 approved 17:3 April 12:2 aren't 6:21,22 arm 31:14 **aside** 16:24 43:23 assert 7:11 assertion 45:16 asset 26:2 28:9 30:15 31:12 32:23 33:2,18 assets 26:7 27:14 33:21,23 36:10 assistant 22:20 41:2 associated 1:14 assuming 7:23 assure 27:11 Atlantic 2:20 attached 17:17 27:25 34:11 35:1 attack 8:2 attention 6:11 attorney 13:12 15:1 43:17 attorney-client 6:24 August 37:10,16 42:13 authorized 17:23 18:20 50:9

Page 2 of 10

| | | | Page 2 of 10 |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Avenue 2:20 | 42:1,24 | Brown's 33:20 | closed 20:12,21 |
| avoid 24:15 | 43:9,12,15 | bunch 22:17 31:22 | closely 31:6 |
| aware 4:2 | 44:1,4,16,19,24 45:1,22 | burned 35:19 | clowns 40:15 |
| away 30:5 35:19 | 46:13,17,20 | buy 28:11 35:21 | COLIN 1:22 2:19 |
| - | 47:7 48:10,13 | - | colleague 45:9 |
| B | Bernstein's | C | collection 28:7 |
| background 27:20 | 11:10,12 | capacities 5:21 | coming 7:22 9:16 |
| based 14:11 15:7 | better 22:14 30:13 | 7:23 | 21:18 |
| 16:6 17:20 21:18 44:14 | beyond 31:18 | care 28:12 35:9 46:10 | comment 35:4 |
| | BFR 31:19,24 | | comments 17:2 |
| <pre>basically 44:6 45:10</pre> | 32:5,14 | carefully 6:6 | communicating |
| battle 24:16 | biggest 7:14 | caretaker 18:8 | 48:5 |
| Beach 1:2 | 23:12 | case 1:3 20:13,21 25:10 45:13 | company 31:19 |
| 2:2,3,7,10,13,1 | bills 32:13,14 | 47:23 48:4 | compel 3:8,12 |
| 9,21 50:4 | bit 6:9 | cases 6:3 7:25 | 4:17 25:5 37:24 |
| behalf 2:1,5 36:5 | Blanc 36:8 | cause 2;18 | 38:10 |
| 42:6 | blank 48:1 | causes 13;5 | compelling 38:17 |
| <pre>believe 3:15,16 16:7 30:7 44:20</pre> | Block 42:8 | cell 23:10 | competing 15:23,25 |
| Ben 7:18 12:15,20 | blocking 20:20 | certify 50:8 | complete 50:11 |
| beneficiaries 4:7 | blogger 47:14 | cetera 7:17 8:1 | completely 39:14 |
| 16:4,20 24:24 | blogs 45:10 | 9:8 | complicated 38:11 |
| BENJAMIN 2:10 | bombarded 13:2,10 | challenge 14:6 | comply 25:6 43:10 |
| Bernstein | borrowed 29:13 | 17:24 18:16 45:16 46:19,23 | 45:15 |
| 1:7,9,16 2:5,14 | bought 27:20 | challenging 46:21 | concern 7:14 |
| 3:4,5,14,20,23 4:1,10 | 31:11 | | 23:12 30:14,21 |
| 5:6,8,14,18 | BOULEVARD 2:2 | change 6:8 | 35:11 |
| 6:1,14,17 7:2,5,13 | BOYNTON 2:2,3 | changes 12:6 15:19 16:13 | concerned 12:20 13:3 43:5 |
| 8:7,14,20,25 | bring 8:23 23:10 | 17:11 | concluded 49:7 |
| 9:4,10,20 | brother 31:21 | changing 4:6 | conditions 9:6,20 |
| 10:9,13,20,25 11:3,7,9,14,17, | 32:9 40:10 | check 23:1 | conference 42:12 |
| 19,22 12:14 | 43:16,21 44:5,6,13 | children 30:9 | confirm 24:19 |
| 13:12 16:3 17:13,22 | brother's 40:4 | 31:11 32:1 | |
| 18:4,10,13,19,2 | Brown 2:9,10 7:18 | children's 8:2 | conflicts 4:5 |
| 2,24 19:12 | 11:25 12:5,15 | CIRCUIT 1:1 | consensus 24:25 |
| 20:15,18 21:5,10,14,16,2 | 13:1,7,15,20 | circumstances | consistent 26:3 |
| 5 22:7,23 | 14:5,13,19 15:3,13,17,21 | 14:6 | conspiracy 35:25 |
| 23:1,5,10,17 | 16:2,12,16 | City 2:20 | conspired 31:22 |
| 24:6,9 25:12,25 26:1,8,10,14 | 17:3,6,7,16 18:8 | claim 43:23 46:15 | constantly 13:10 |
| 27:16,22 28:19 | 19:1,5,9,13,17, | claims 33:25 | contact 47:12 |
| 29:2,3,9,12,15, 18,19 30:4,6,8 | 22 20:3,6,13 | clean 17:5,8 | contacted 47:9 |
| 31:2,9 33:1 | 23:19 24:5,17,19 | clear 14:14 36:19 | contested 24:4 |
| 34:6,18,25 | 25:9,10,16,17,1 | clearly 30:10 | 25:2 |
| 35:4,7,8,10,12, 16,22 36:2 | 8,21 26:3 27:5 | CLEMATIS 2:12 | continue 40:15 |
| 37:1,3,20 38:13 | 31:5 33:9,17,19,25 | clerk 22:8 | control 5:13 33:9 |
| 39:3,6,10,13,22 | 35:20 | client 42:23 | 35:9 47:14,15,22 |
| ,24 40:2,18,20 41:7,10,14,22 | 37:8,12,15,25 | close 20:12 | Cool 40:17 |
| ,,, | | | |

Page 3 of 10

| | ···· · | | rage 5 OI IU |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| cooperate 21:3 | 35:5 | 50:12 | disclosed 46:11 |
| cooperating | 36:1,3,4,17,23 | days 5:2,8 6:10 | disclosure |
| 41:6,12 | 37:2,5,11,14,18 | 9:11 21:19 | 43;8,24 45;25 |
| | ,22 38:1,6,19 | 23:13 26:23 | 46:8,14 48:8,9 |
| coordinate | 39:1,4,7,12,19, | 38:18 | |
| 9:9,13,24 | 23,25 40:17,19 | | discovery 3:17 |
| 22:8,22 37:18 | 41:1,8,11,18,23 | deal 8:18 19:19 | 4:9 6:8 7:1,17 |
| 41:4 | 42:5,19,25 | 43:2 | 8:4,5,8,17 9:17 |
| coordinated 37:21 | 43:7,14,22 | deals 13:4 | 21:15,17,20,23 |
| | 44:2,15,17 | | 22:5,6 41:21 |
| coordinating 21:3 | 45:2,24 | dealt 42:25 | discretion 14:15 |
| copies 17:14 | 46:3,4,6,15,18, | 43:2,6 | |
| - | 22 47:3,9,17,19 | debtor 29:5,6,8 | discussions |
| copy 17:19 | 48:6,11,15,17,1 | | 24:17,18,22,23 |
| cordially 47:25 | 9,24 50:15 | debtor/creditor | 33:19,20 |
| - | Courthouse 2:20 | 29:5 | dispute 16:10,12 |
| correct 3:22,23 10:14 11:20 | | debts 33:13 | - |
| 18:3 29:15 30:2 | Cox 45:9,11 | Deceased 1:8 | disputing 30:9 |
| | 47:13,22 | Deceased 1:8 | disseminated |
| 39:5 44:1 | Craig 32:3,10 | December 3:14 | 46:11 |
| costly 24:16 | <u> </u> | decide 14:16 | District 6:3 |
| counsel 1:14 3:13 | crazy 4:13,14 | 45:20 | |
| | 7:23,24 9:6 | | division 21:21 |
| 4;2,3 10;13,10 11:1,10 28:14 | 44:13 | decision 14:11 | doctors 23:11 |
| 33:14 48:4 | created 26:12 | declined 19:2 | |
| JJ;14 40;4 | 30:8 | | document 5:23 |
| county 1:2 | | deconstruct 31:9 | 38:12 |
| 2:19,21 34:23 | creditor 29:7 | default 28:4 | documents 4:6,19 |
| 50:4 | 33:13 36:6 | | 5:13 13:21 |
| couple 19:6 | criminal 31:19 | deferred 38:4 | 31:13 |
| - | criminals 22:18 | deferring 37:6 | |
| course 36:20 | | | DONALD 1:15 |
| court 1:1 | Crystal 45:9 | definitely 45:5 | done 3:24 9:2 |
| 3:7,10,18,21,24 | 47:13,22 | delay 22:16 | 22:21 31:18 |
| 4:8,15,18,20 | curator 2:10 | delete 45:5 | 36:20 |
| 5:4,7,9,16,20,2 | 12:24 13:9 18:6 | | |
| 5 6:4,5,16,18 | 19:3,6 20:9 | Delray 2:21 | door 31:15 |
| 7:3,7 | 24:13,18 26:1 | denied 24:6,10 | dovetails 13:16 |
| 8:4,10,17,22 | 32:22 34:1 | • | 25:3 |
| 9:2,9,12,19,21 | JAIAA JAIL | depending 14:17 | DOW 2:6 |
| 10:3,5,11,18,23 | curator's 12:1 | 22;4 | DOW 2:0 |
| 11:2,6,12,16,19 | 14:1,3,5 | depose 9:6 38:20 | DRIVE 2:7,9 |
| ,23 | curatorship 14:11 | 39;21 41:16 | During 47:5 |
| 12:4,8,10,13,25 | | | - |
| 13:11,14 | current 27:10 | deposed 41:15 | duties 12:21 |
| 14:4,8,15 | currently 8:11 | deposition 9:17 | duty 9:23 18:15 |
| 15:2,3,9,15,20, | 42:2 | 21:6,11 22:15 | |
| 25 16:10,14,24 | | 25:5 35:22 | |
| 17:5,10,15,19 | | 38:13,14,15,17 | E |
| 18:1,6,12,17,21 | D | 39:9,11,20,25 | early 37:9,16 |
| ,23 | date 1:24 21:9,19 | 41:19,25 | education 32:7 |
| 19:3,8,10,16,19 | 23:14,21 | destroy 45:5 | |
| 20:1,5,10,16,25 | 41:6,8,12 44:21 | 47:12 | effect 38:18 |
| 21:8,12,15,17 | Dated 50:12 | | e-filed 10:23 |
| 22:3,19,25 | | destroys 46:9 | |
| 23:3,7,15,24 | dates 9:13 | determine 41:13 | either 16:19 46:9 |
| 24:5,11,14,21 | 19:20,21,23 | | elect 32:2 |
| 25:22 26:18 | 21:18 37:9 | died 31:25 | |
| 28:2,6,15,18,20 | 38:14 39:4,8,23 | different 13:21 | Eliot 1:9 2:14 |
| ,25 | 40:1 41:3,21 | 18:7 19:4 | 12:14,18,22 |
| 29:4,8,11,20,25 | DAVID 50:7,15 | | 13:14,18 |
| 31:7 32:15,25 | | difficulty 21:4 | 16:2,18 23:24 |
| 33:4,6,10 | day 25:2 31:2 | discharge 20:11 | 24:2 25:5 |
| 34:4,9,12,16 | 40:15 45:7 | ~ | 27:13,16,21 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

Page 4 of 10

| | | | Page 4 of 10 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 30:23 31:1,8 | 30:7,18 | family 26:11,14 | 48:21 |
| 35:12 45:7 | | 27:16,22 28:19 | forced 21:5 |
| Elliot | ESQ 2:3,8,10,12 | 29:9,12,15,18 | |
| 3:13,19,20,23 | essentially 14:1 | 30:4,6,8 33:1 | forebear 36:15 |
| 4:1,10 | 18:8 | 35:8,10,16 40:23 | foreclose 30:23 |
| 5:6,8,14,18 | estate 1:7 3:22 | | 35:15 |
| 6:1,14,17 | 8:12 10:14 11:15,19,24 | father 31:11,25 | foreclosure 35:13 |
| 7:2,5,13 8:7,14,20,25 | 17:23 18:4,5 | Feaman 2:2,3 | foregoing 50:10 |
| 9;4,10,20 | 20:12 | 13:19 | form 16:11,15,17 |
| 10:13,20,25 | 26:2,7,8,9 | 36:3,5,21,24 | 17:1 |
| 11:3,9,14,17,22 | 27:4,5,14 28:10 | February 13:16 | frame 6:9 |
| $13.12 \ 17.13,22$ | 29:3,19 30:14 31:12,13 | federal 7:25 | |
| 18:4,10,13,19,2 2,24 19:12 | 33:6,8,21 35:21 | feel 5:19 | <pre>frankly 20:9 37:15</pre> |
| 20:15,18 | 36:7,10 | fees 12:1,11,23 | |
| 21:5,10,14,16,2 | 40:11,13 | 31:1 35:19 | fraud 40;14 |
| 5 22:7,23 | estate's 31:3 | 37:16 | fraudulent 4:6 |
| 23:1,5,10,17 24:6,9 25:12 | 37:17 | fiduciaries 7:16 | 18:15 |
| 31:9 34:6,25 | et 1:17 7:16,25 | fiduciary 5:20 | free 23:12 27:17 |
| 36:2 37:3,20 | 9:8 | 30:14 | Friday 43:4 |
| 39:1,3,6,10,13, | event 36:10 | FIFTEENTH 1:1 | front 18:15 |
| 22,24 | everybody 16:9,23 | | fulfill 12:21 |
| 40:2,18,20 41:7,10,14,22 | 17:17 18:18 | fighting 31:1 | |
| 42:1,23 | 44:11 | figure 9:21 32:20 | full 30:20 |
| 43:12,15 | everybody's 9:7 | file 4:18 | fully 30:16 |
| 44:1,4,16,19,21 | everyone 9:23 | 5:3,4,10 6:10 | funds 32:5,7 |
| ,24 | 12:17 16:7 21:3 | 20:16 30:22 42:7 45:25 | |
| 45:1,3,14,22,24 46:13,17,20 | 42:14 | | G |
| 47:7 48:7,10,13 | everything 10:23 | filed 4:11 10:16,18 11:4 | gentleman 27:1 |
| Elliot's 12:18 | 16:9 32:13 | 23:22 24:2,9 | getting 13:1 |
| 16;18 30;9 | 35:24 47:23 | 25:11 26:4 46:2 | 19:20,21,23,24 |
| else 14:18 48:13 | 48:13 | files 32:12 35:13 | 41:5 44:15 |
| | evidentiary 34:7 | | given 8:14 |
| e-mail 16:6,8 42:22 44:5 | 38:22 42:13 | filing 5:1 18:1 | giving 5:2 |
| 42:22 44:5 | 45:19,20 48:16 | final 16:15,17 | 7:10,17 8:1,8 |
| 46:25 47:25 | exactly 27:25 | finds 17:23 | goal 24:20 |
| 48:2,3 | 38:6 | fine 5:2 42:1,19 | God 40:11 |
| e-mailed 17:16 | example 5:19 6:22 | first 3:6 12:6 | |
| 44:23 | exceed 27:24 | 25:10 | gotten 44:9 |
| e-mailing 45:12 | excused 37:25 | 26:15,17,24 | great 23:13 30:13 |
| e-mails 12:15 | exercise 25:1 | 27:8 28:11,12 29:17 30:19 | greatly 23:6 |
| emergency 31:17 | exhibit 34:11,14 | 31:19 32:21 | grounds 46:24 |
| encumbered 27:23 | expenses 32:7 | 36:13 38:12 | guardians 32:2 |
| enjoined 48:5 | expensive 24:16 | 45:6 48:23 | guessing 7:10 |
| - | - | FITZGERALD 2:6 | guy 7:17 8:2 9:5 |
| enter 15:13 | expletives 45:10 | FL 2:3,7,10,13 | 30:22 |
| entirely 31:20 | extended 26:21 | FLAGLER 2:7 | guys 16:25 |
| entities 32:8 | extension 42:7 | FLALGER 2:9 | 22:9,13 31:13 |
| entitled 39:20 | extortion 31:16 | fled 4:2 | 37:18 40:6 47:11 |
| entity | | flip 34:15 | ** / i |
| 26:10,11,13 27:22 29:9 30:3 | F | Florida 1:2 2:21 | · |
| | fact 16:6 19:5 | 7:1 8:5 50:4,8 | H half 25:1 42:21 |
| equity 27:9 | 33:18 40:10 | folks 16:14 22:20 | MALL AULT TAIAL |
| L | L | | |

Page 5 of 10

| | | | Page 5 of 10 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| handful 26:6 | 16:16 17:22 | 7:10 9:4 10:21 | involvement 3:12 |
| handle 13:6 | 18:1,4,6,19,21, | 11:7 14:25 18:8 | isn't 18:5 |
| handling 6:6 | 24 19:3 22:1 29:4,17 30:24 | 19:1 20:8,9,18,19 | issue 13:8 28:2,3 |
| hands 32:11 | 35;13,15 36:11 | 21:2,25 22:15 | 31:5 42:22 |
| handwritten 16:16 | 39:17 40:4,5,8 | 23:3,12 29:11 | 45:15,21 |
| | 41:24 46:25 47:6,16 48:6 | 32:10,20 35:4,14 37:5,9 | issues 35:23 |
| hanging 37:17 | - | 41:18 46:21 | 42:16 |
| happen 28:13 39:8 | hey 44:6 47:11 | immediately 43:6 | item 5:11 6:11,16 |
| happened 9:22 13:16 31:23 | H1 3:20 | important 12:12 | items 17:24 22:6 |
| 43:4 | hide 43:20 44:8 | inadvertent 25:7 | 47:4 |
| harass 5:22 44:14 | hire 28:14 | 43:7,24 45:25 | it's 5:9 7:12 12:12,13 13:8 |
| harassment 43:19 | Hold 5:25 14:4 | 46:8,14 48:8 | 14;1,3,5,13 |
| | 20:25 32:18 37:5 39:1 45:24 | inadvertently | 17:8 19:3,13,23 |
| harm 27:13 | holder 27:7 29:17 | 42:23 44:5 | 25:20 27:22,25 28:4 29:2 |
| haven't 3:24 9:1 40:7,9,24 47:7 | 36:14 | incur 34:2 | 30:11,15,16 |
| having 20:22 | holding 23:18 | independent 22:6 | 33:8 |
| 24:17 40:22 | holds 26:9 | indicated 3:15,16 | 34:13,18,22 35:1,7,18,20 |
| hear 8;23 14:17 | home 43:12 | 26:3 38:15 | 36:14 42:3 |
| 27:12 | | indulge 27:19 | 43:15,16,22 |
| heard 9:1 12:9 | Honor 3:3 7:5,21 13:25 | informal 43:3 | 46:13 47:1 48:19 |
| 22:5 38:2 | 14:7,13,19,20 | information 6:2 | IVAN 1:9 |
| hearing 7:22 | 15:13,17 17:9 | 27:18 | |
| 9:3,18 16:6 17:20 | 19:5,13 20:4 24:13 34:7 37:3 | injunction 47:21 | I've 7:14,20 8:25 9:10 24:17,22 |
| 19:9,15,16,20,2 | 38:3 43:10 | innocent 30:22 | 33:19 37:20 |
| 1,23 20:1,7 | 47:10 | in-propriety | 38:13 44:12 |
| 21:6,8,9,11,18, 19,21 22:1,3,12 | honorable 1:22 | 41:13 | |
| 23:21 24:4 25:6 | 2:19 7:18 | Instead 32:3 | J |
| 34:3,5,7 37:21 | Honor's 25:24 | instructed 12:17 | Janet 32:3,10 |
| 38:23 39:4,8,23 40:1 41:3,20 | hoops 12:20 | instruction 13:17 | job 26:4 |
| 42:2,3,13 43:11 | hour 42:3,10,17 | 37:6 | JOHN 2:12 |
| 44:20,21 | house 26:15,16 | instructions | Judge 13:15 23:19 |
| 45:4,8,19,20 46:21 47:19 | 27:3,11,20 29:10,12,23 | 12:16 25:24 26:5 | 36:8 37:8 |
| 48:15,16 | 30:10 | insurance 7:25 | JUDICIAL 1:1 |
| hearings | 31:11,20,21 | 27:8 | July 9:16 23:14 |
| 9:9,14,15,24 | 32:7,9 | insured 27:3 | 34:20 37:13 42:3 |
| 15:11 20:20,23 21:3 | hundred 30:25 | intended 47:1 | jump 12;19 |
| 22:9,15,17,22 | hurt 40:23 | interest 26:25 | June 1:24 2:22 |
| 31:18 37:19 | hurting 40:22 | 29:16,19 36:9 | 26:22 28:12 |
| 40:3,22 41:2,15 | | interested 15:6 | 50:12 |
| held 26:16 27:1 | | Internet 45:14 | |
| 28:15 | I'd 25:12,16 36:18 45:22 | intervening 47:5 | <u></u> |
| he'll 44:22 | idea 14:18 | inventory 31:14 | key 4:21 |
| here's 5:18 6:6 14:8 15:24 16:8 | | - | kids 31:20 32:6,12 |
| | ill 15:22 | <pre>investigate 14:6 15:5</pre> | - |
| herself 32:4 | I'll 8:18 9:25 10:8 17:19 | invite 47:25 | kinds 7:16 8:3 9:6 |
| he's 3:16 4:5 | 20:11 22:19 | involve 38:23 | knew 36:25 |
| 7:23 8:14 | 24:8 38:3 41:12 | | |
| 9:7,14 10:16,25 | I'm 6:5,6,8,9,19 | involved 6:2 14:16 43:17 | knocked 31:15 |
| 11:4,10 13:2 | | | knowledge 22:11 |

Page 6 of 10

| | | | Page 6 of 10 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| known 18:5 | long 6:22 8:10,21 | moment 43:23 | night 43:4 |
| KONOPKA 2:6 | 16:8 31:14 40:11 | mom's 40:11 | nine 22:13 |
| ACATOR ALL ATO | loop 42:9 | money 9:8 | nobody 20:14 |
| L | - | 26:18,19 | 23:21,22 47:15 |
| large 50:8 | lost 7:24 | 29:4,23 34:2 | nominal 34:1 |
| largest 36:6 | M | months 19:7 21:21 | none 22:10 |
| last 4:2 8:16 | mail 48:23,24 | morning 3:3 43:5 | nonetheless 22:11 |
| 37:4,23,24 | management 31:24 | MORRISSEY 2:12 | nose 40:14 |
| 39:14 42:20 | 32:11 | mortgage 25:20 | note 28:15 34:17 |
| late 7:12 | manager 26:13 | 26:8,15,17,18,1 9,20,24 | 35:1 |
| law 7:1 10:21 | 30:2,5 32:4 | 27:1,6,7 | notes 50:11 |
| 14:22 31:15 45:6 | Manseri 4:11,12 | 28:4,11,12,16,2 3 | nothing 8:22 |
| lawsuit 30:22,24 | MARSAA 50:7,15 | 329:1,6,14,17,21 | 31:3,4 35:10 46:10 |
| lawyer 11:7,12 | MARTIN 1:22 2:19 | 30:1,16,19,23 | |
| 12:18 47:13 | matter 11:5 37:7 | 31:4 33:3,4 34:13,22 | notice 8:15 9:2 11:4 |
| lawyers 45:13 | matters 3:4 9:13 | 35:6,7,16,18,21 | 16:5,9,22,24 |
| lead 13:22 14:2 | 38:19 41:23 | ,23 36:13 | 17:1 40:5 45:25 |
| lease 26:22,24 | 42:14,15 46:9 | mortgagee 28:24 | 46:8 48:8 |
| least 24:24 | matured 26:20 | mortgages 27:23 | noticed 40:7 |
| | matures 26:22,25 | mortgagor | notices 10:16 37:12 |
| leave 10:12 22:21 25:11 | MATWICZYK 2:9 | 28:18,25 | notified 40:25 |
| legal 5:22 6:20 | may 3:8 9:1 | mortified 39:17 | nocified 40:25 |
| 8;6 10:6 28:14 | 12:9,17 | mostly 30:17 | 0 |
| 31:1 | 13:3,19,20 14:17 15:5,14 | mother's 40:13 | object 14:24 |
| legally 6:25 7:7 | 16:5 17:17 | motion 3:8,11 | 33:15 |
| let's 9:24 16:24 | 20:24 24:2 25:7 36:3 37:25 | 4:16 7:15 | objecting 13:22 |
| 44:4,6,20 46:21 | Maybe 18:17 39:22 | 9:18,19 12:14 20:6 23:22 | 14:2 20:6 |
| letter 15:23 17:18 39:16 | - | 24:7,10,12 | objection 5:1 |
| 43:16,19,20,22 | mean 8:17,23 13:1,2 16:8,23 | 25:4,8,9,10,11, | 6:20,21,25 7:8,11 8:6 |
| 44:10,14 45:11 | 17:10 19:16 | 23 26;5 31;17 37;6 38;2,3 | 12:3,4,11 13:7 |
| letting 41:18 | 20:22 30:17 33:19 34:18 | 42:20 47:21 | 16:3 17:4 |
| levied 44:9 | 35:24 | motions 4:3 9:1 | objections 6:7,22 |
| liable | meantime 24:15 | 13:17 15:14 | 10:6,7 14:24 18:2 19:11 20:2 |
| 33:11,12,24 | member 32:2 | 23:25 25:3,4 37:24 38:10 | 42:4,7 |
| lies 31:10 | members 32:1 | mouth 16:18 | obviously 36:9 |
| line 5:11 6:11,16 | mention 47:4 | move 19:24 | occupant 27:10 |
| 25:24 | mentioned 10:1 | moving 6:9 | office 31:16 |
| list 16:8,22 | 15;11 | MRACHEK 2:6 | oh 4:10 20:5 |
| Listen 6:6 | mind 7:17 | murder 44:6 | 32:6,10 41:22 |
| litany 7:20 39:15 | minimize 37:16 | MULGET 44:0 | Okay 3:7 4:15 5:6 |
| literally 7:24 | minor 16:4,20 | N | 6:8,24 7:2,3 8:19 9:21,22,24 |
| litigation | 17:3 32:1 | nature 22:4 | 11:2,8,22,23 |
| 36:7,11 | minute 25:15 | necessarily 14:14 | 12:8 15:20 |
| little 6:9 27:19 | 27:12 44:10 | 16:5 17:8 | 19:12 21:2,12 25:21 27:3 |
| | | | 42 41 47 3 |
| 36:15 | missing 17:23 | necessary 13:3 | 28:25 29:11 |
| | | necessary 13:3 22:5 Nevada 6:3 | |

Page 7 of 10

38:19 39:4,7,19,24 40:18,20 41:7,10,14,19 42:1,5,19 44:4,16 45:2 46:17,22 47:17 48:11,18 one's 15:1 open 42:16 **Oppenheimer** 30:6 31:22 32:4,10 opposition 25:19 order 6:8 7:3 9:25 10:4,8 12:5 15:14 16:2 17:1,4,6,9 21:2 29:24 38:3,17 47:24 48:22 ordered 4:4 15:11 orders 15:24 16:1,11,19 ordinary 36:20 original 10:20,24 11:3 otherwise 6:25 outside 15:10 outstanding 39:21 owed 26:25 29:4 owes 29:18 owned 26:10 28:20 31:20,21 32:25 33:6,8 owner 28:23 29:25 owners 30:7 ownership 27:21 30:3 owns 26:14 29:10,16 Þ **P.A** 1:13 2:2,6 packet 17:5 page 2:6 34:21 pages 13:24 Palm 1:2 2:7,10,13,19,21 50:4 partially 30:17 parties 1:14 14:24 15:5,18 18:2 19:10 38:5

party 8:11 13:2 18:5 30:21,24 46;7 48:4 passed 6:18 30:5 36:18 pay 6:11 27:17 28:10 30:20 32:7 paying 32:9 payment 12:1 28:7 pending 9:13,18 23:25 24:12 25:4 36:8 38:21 39:2 41:19 42:14,15 penny 35:15 people 5:20 40:21,23 44:12 47:9 Perfect 41:10 permission 28:10 person 47:8 personal 1:16 19:1,17,25 20:8 23:20,23 24:1,3 32:12 38:24 personally 1:14,15,17 Peter 2:2,3 36:5 petition 11:25 12:9,16 20:17 24:1,3 25:11 Petitioner (s 1:11 petitions 12:22 20:19 38:22 39:2 41:19,20 phone 23:11 pick 41:6,8,12 piece 26:9 plan 8:2 pleading 10:18,21 11:4,6 47:24 please 36:3 44:25 plus 26:25 29:16,18 point 12:12 20:10 27:4 poof 31:2 position 14:22 31:24 32:15 47:1possession 5:13

27:5 possibility 36:14 possibly 47:12 potentially 28:8,10 29:16 30:23 pour-over 16:20 PR 4:4 7:15 8:12,16 10:14 11:11,14 18:11,14,24 20:11,14 24:10 25:3 34:3,5 36:18 37:8 40:3 precipitous 36:25 precluding 18:22 predecessor 3:12 prefer 25:16 prepared 33:17 preserve 36:9 pretty 12:25 **Prior** 3:12 privilege 6:24 25:6,8,13 45:17,20 privileged 5:24 43:16 45:12 46:23 47:1,24 48:3,19 Pro 1:9 2:14 probably 30:16,25 problem 5:18 17:8 19:20,22,23 23:19 problems 20:23 procedural 40:10 procedure 15:8 procedures 40:21 proceedings 1:21 2:18 49:6 50:10 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 produce 3:13,21 4:19,20 5:12,15 product 6:24 production 4:21,24 Professional 50:7 professionally 1:15,17

34:17,25 property 26:9,10 27:6,7,10,15,24 28:21,22,24 30:18 32:25 protect 13:11 27:9,13,14 28:9 36:10 protection 13:9 provide 3:17 public 34:22 pull 44:8 Purchase 26:18,19 pursuant 44;17 putting 45:13 \sim qualified 8:9 40:3 41:16 question 34:19 quick 23:3 R raised 31:5 43:5 RE 1:6 real 26:6 realize 45:17 really 13:8 31:10 36:18 Realty 26:11,14 27:22 28:19 29:9,12,15,18 30:4,5,6,8 33:1 35:8,10,16 **reason** 17:20 38:16 43:20 reasonable 13:4 recall 25:7 43:3 receive 16:5 received 16:7,9 receiving 46:8 recognizable 7:8 recognized 6:25 record 48:7 50:11 recorded 34:22 records 8:3 34:23 refusing 22:1 regard 13:23 26:2 35:23

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

promissory

Page 8 of 10

related 12:13 relates 25:9 relief 4:16 32:16.19.21 remain 33:11 REMEMBERED 2:17 renovations 29:23 rent 27:17 replacement 11:1 report 16:7 38:5 50:9 Reporter 50:7,15 represent 40:16 representative 1:16 19:2,18,25 20:8 23:20,23 24:2,3 38:24 represents 42:8 republished 45:12 request 3:13,21 5:5 32:22 35:3 requesting 4:16 requests 8:6 21:23 requires 6:12 reserved 42:10 resigning 4:13 resolved 42:16 respect 47:10 respond 22:14 44:17 46:5 responded 3:15,24 12:19 Respondent (s 1:19 response 4:9,18,22,23 5:2,3,5,10,11 6:10,11 46:1,2 retract 44:8 revocable 32:24 33:12,22,23 RICO 6:3 rid 7:15,22 robbery 40:13 ROBERT 1:14 role 14:1,3,5 19:4 34:1 Room 2:20 Rose 2:6,8

3:3,8,11 4:17,19,25 8:13 9:15 10:2,4,8 11:13,21 12:9,11 13:7 15:19 16:12 17:2.16 22:14 23:25 24:8,12,15,22 25:17,20,23 26:19 28:3,8,17,19,22 29:1,7,9,15,22 30:2 32:21 33:2,5,8,11 34:8,10,13,17 35:3,6 37:24 38:2,10,21 42:2,6,20 43:2,9 44:21,25 45:346:1,2,5,25 47:5,18,20 48:12,16,18,22 Roses 17:14 Rose's 17:11 rule 4:25 6:12 14:23 23:7 25:6 40:24 41:9 43:13,14 44:2,18 46:7,18 47:11 48:20 rule-related 47:3 rules 15:7 40:21 ruling 26:4 run 12:21 running 9:7 s safe 48:14 schedule 9:5 21:6,8,10 22:1,12 23;11,13 41:1 scheduled 22:11 schedules 23:2 scheduling 20:23 21:13 22:15 school 8:3 scope 14:10 15:10 screw 47:13 se 1:9 2:14 second 5:25 11:25 14:4 26:8 27:6,7 28:4,16 29:1,14,21 30:1

34:13,22 35:6 42:21 secretary 22:7 23:17secured 30:16,17,18 seek 28:6 37:9 seeking 26:5 seem 22:9 geemg 47.15 self-anoint 32:4 seller 26:16 send 4:12 38:3 sending 10:9 47:6 sends 47:22,23 48:2,3 sensitive 6:2 sent 12:14 15:22 40:5,9 42:23 44:5,10 47:7,24 separate 21:23 September 34:23 serve 19:2 served 3:13 service 16:8,22 23:3 seven 26:23 she'll 22:21 sheriff's 31:16 She's 47:14 Shirley 3:5 11:14,19 Shirley's 3:22 8:12 short 34:8,10 showing 31:23 sign 17:10,20 signature 34:20 signed 34:18 35:7 silly 21:4 similar 12:6 Simon 1:7 3:4 11:25 25:25 26:8,12,13 27:20 28:15 29:1,3,6,7,19,2 2 30:3,5 34:18 35:7 Simon's 32:23

34:19 simple 3:11 13:1 38:11 simply 35:11 **single** 40:24 47:8 sir 8:13 11:13,21 43:9 44:25 sit 9:12 22:18 39:9,11,20 six 21:21 sizeable 36:7 sold 26:16 somebody 8:8 someone 6:23 14:18 15:9,15 20:16 33:15,16 someone's 28:3 Somm 27:2 28:13 29:17 30:21,25 35:13,14 36:12,13 38:5 39:16 sooner 21:20 sort 43:3 SOUTH 2.7 Spallina 1:13,14 13:23 32:3 42:8 speak 44:25 speaking 6:7,21 35:4 **spell** 10:6 spend 30:25 35:14 spread 21:22 stage 36:18 Stansbury 2:1 33:24 36:6,12 37:1 38:4 Stansbury's 33:14 36:9 start 31:10 starting 7:25 27:4 44:13 State 2:21 50:4,8 statement 48:6 statements 32:12 status 42:11.12 statutes 15:8 stenographic 50:11

Page 9 of 10

| stenographically 50:9 | Ted' 24 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| stop 14:21 40:19 | teed |
| stories 6:21 | ten |
| straightened 36:16 | tena 30 |
| strategy 5:22 | term |
| streamline 24:19 | Tesc |
| STREET 2:12 | 13 |
| structure 35:8,9,24 | than Than |
| structured 30:3 | that |
| STUART 1:16 | 6: |
| stuff 8:20,23 | 14 15 |
| 31:23 | 18 |
| subcategories | 19 |
| 13:21 | 21 23 |
| submit 10:8 15:15 | 26 |
| submitted 7:20 | 30 33 |
| 15:18 16:13 | 36 |
| substantially 12:5 | 42 |
| successful 36:11 | 46 48 |
| successor 1:16 | THEC |
| 8:12 25:25 40:4 | ther |
| sudden 44:7 | 23 |
| suddenly 31:12 | 27 |
| suggest 42:11 | 47 |
| SUITE 2:7,9,12 | Ther |
| supposed 19:6 | they 21 |
| 31:25 35:1 44:3 | 38 |
| 47:12 | 40 |
| <pre>sure 3:10 9:24 10:21 24:21</pre> | they 44 |
| 38:1 | thir |
| , | thre |
| T | thre |
| talking 43:18 | Thur |
| tangible 33:5 | till |
| taxes 27:2,8,17 | titl |
| 30:19 | toda 19 |
| tech 18:25 | ton |
| technically 26:20 33:2 | touc |
| Ted 2:5 4:4 7:22 | town |
| 8:11 11:7,10,12 | trai |
| 24:1,9 25:24 30:14 32:11 | trar |
| 40:8 | 13 |
| | |

s 10:13,16 1:6 1 19:14 5:2 ant 27:15 2:25 n 26:21 34:10 cher 1:13,15 3:22 42:8 nk 19:24 nks 48:21 t's 4:21 5:23 2 11:7 4;15,21,22 5:3 16:2 8:6,14,17 9:14 20:13,15 1:4,16 22:4 3:12 24:19 6:4,11 27:3 0:13 32:19 3:6 34:4,19 6:8 41:9,23 2:1,20 45:6 6:24 47:3,15 8:20 **ODORE** 1:16 re's 19:22 3:7,25 24:1 7:6 30:20 7:20 reupon 49:6 y're 5:23 1:23 22:6 8:22 39:17 0:3 43:18 y've 32:14 4:9 46:10,15 rd 30:21 eat 44:9 ew 40:6 rsday 43:4 1 31:12 le 28:23 ay 6:10 10:12 9:14 25:4 40:5 8:25 20:19 ch 36:12 **n** 4:2 nsaction 29:20 nscript 1:21 3:25 43:11

```
transfer 32:23
 33:16,21
transferred 40:8
trial 9:22 25:2
tried 24:23
true 14:25 20:15
 35:5 48:9,10
 50:11
trust 16:20,21
 26:1 30:15
 31:21 32:24
 33:12,22,23
 40:13
trustee 1:16,17
 25:25 32:23
 33:22 38:24
 40:4 42:6
trustees 7:24
  33:12
trusts 7:25 30:8
try 9:9,12 21:17
 23:15 24:18
 27:9,13,14
  35:15 36:17
trying 5:23
  9:4,10,14,21
  20:19,21 21:25
  22:16 27:13
  32:20
turned 8:15
turning 32:10
twice 48:4
type 10:8
       υ
U.S 6:3
unavailability
  37:13
understand
  6:12,20 31:7
unfortunately
  15:22
unless 5:14 9:6
  22:1 30:11
unlikely 21:21
unpaid 27:2,8
unrelated 21:7
untrue 39:14
upon 14:12
  16:15,25 17:20
  21:18 22:4
```

50:10

upside 30:10 77 vacation 15:22 valuable 42:18 value 27:15,24 violations 7:16 **vote** 31:25 **vs** 1:12 Ŵ Wait 11:17 waived 5:1 Walt 39:15,16 Walter 27:1 29:17 30:21 35:13,14 wasn't 31:12 42:9 46:23 wasted 13:5 wasting 9:7 we'd 9:16 week 26:23 we'll 23:15 25:13 we're 5:20 7:10 11:24 27:2.11 32:6 33:15 35:16 44:15 45:19West 2:7,10,13,20 we've 4:3 7:21 12:22 28:9 31:5 36:11 38:10 42:21 whatever 17:24 25:17 27:9 29:13 35:17 38:16 40:18 47:13 whatnot 14:16 Where's 3:19 whether 41:13 48:19 whole 31:22 39:15 who's 7:18 8:2,8 28:18,25 29:8 33:17 44:11 45:9 wife 32:2 WILLIAM 2:1 wit 2:22

| | | | Fage IV OF IV |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---|---------------|
| woman 45:9 | | | |
| work 6:24 14:25 15:3 23:15 38:4 | | | |
| worked 31:6 | | | |
| working 40:23 | | | |
| works 8:5 12:25 21:12 | | | |
| world 44:9 | | | |
| worth 27:25 30:11,12 31:4 | | | |
| worthless 35:18 | | | |
| worthwhile 24:25 | | | |
| write 6:23 7:3 9:25 10:3,5,6 | | | |
| writing 7:9,12 46:19 | | | |
| written 4:9 5:3,5 17:11 | | | |
| wrong 7:19 | | | |
| wrote 10:4 | | | |
| Y | | | |
| yet 11:11 York 6:4 | | | |
| you'll 41:2,3,4 | | | |
| yourself 48:1 | | | |
| - | | | |
| Z Zillow 27:25 | | | |
| Z1110W 2/:25 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | l | I |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PAM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

> File No. 50 2012 CP004391XXXXSB Probate Division

IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

CURATOR'S MOTION FOR INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING AUTHORITY TO LIQUIDATE IRA

CURATOR'S MOTION TO INSPECT AND TAKE POSSESSION OF ESTATE TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY

DATE TAKEN: Thursday, June 19, 2014

TIME: 8:45 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

PLACE: PALM BEACH SOUTH COUNTY COURTHOUSE 200 West Atlantic Avenue Delray Beach, Florida 33444

BEFORE: HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN, CIRCUIT JUDGE

HEARING TAKEN BEFORE:

LISA GREENWELL, Court Reporter

| 1 | APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF THE CURATOR |
|----|---|
| 2 | · |
| 3 | BENJAMIN P. BROWN, Esquire |
| 4 | THE LAW OFFICES OF MATWICZYK & BROWN, LLP. 625 North Flagler Drive |
| 5 | Suite 401 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE |
| 9 | |
| | ALAN B. ROSE, Esquire |
| 10 | THE LAW OFFICES OF PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD |
| 11 | & ROSE, P.A. |
| 12 | 505 South Flagler Drive Suite 600 |
| 13 | West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY |
| 17 | JEFFREY T. ROYER, Esquire |
| 18 | THE LAW OFFICES OF PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3695 West Boynton Beach Boulevard |
| 19 | Suite 9 |
| 20 | Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 |
| 21 | |
| 22 | ALSO PRESENT |
| 23 | ELIOT BERNSTEIN, pro se |
| 24 | 2753 Northwest 334th Street |
| 25 | Boca Raton, Florida 33434 |
| | |

1 (Thereupon, the following proceedings were 2 had:) MR. ROSE: Morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Hi. What do you have? 4 MR. ROSE: We have three orders from last 5 weeks hearings that I submitted to all counsel. 6 7 Mr. Eliot Bernstein has an objection to all three orders. 8 If I may, these were Motions to Compel, 9 fairly simple -- may I approach with the orders. 10 MR. ROYER: Mr. Bernstein has some 11 objection, I believe. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSE: Just three different orders. 14 15 THE COURT: So I ruled on these matters, 16 right? MR. ROSE: You ruled on the matters and no 17 other counsel has objected to the form 18 of the orders. 19 Mr. Eliot Bernstein has some objections that 20 he's e-mailed to me in a lengthy e-mail. 21 22 THE COURT: So here's the way this works everyone; I enter an order, someone becomes a 23 scrivener and writes out what an I ruled. 24 It's not a chance to change it, not a chance to argue 25

1 with it, it's just putting into writing what my 2 rulings is. If I find that anyone tries to argue to 3 rework the order, the merits of the order, the 4 subject, today, this morning, there will be 5 sanctions granted, okay. 6 So you say on behalf of your side that these 7 orders represent what I ruled? 8 MR. ROSE: 9 I submit -- yes. THE COURT: And everyone else agrees it's 10 what I ruled except for Mr. Eliot Bernstein? 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 12 Correct. THE COURT: And you say I ruled this? 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I said that I was --14 15 we were tricked by Mr. Rose on some of that stuff 16 that needs to be reheard. I put in an objection 17 to --THE COURT: That's not what I'm asking. 18 That's not the purpose of this exercise. 19 20 I gave --MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The order --21 22 THE COURT: I announced what the purpose of 23 this was. If you proceed and try to do anything other than tell me that this is not what 24 I ruled --25

4

1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I have an order on it. 2 I don't mind --THE COURT: You're not doing me a favor by 3 telling me that. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Listen, I'm putting in 5 the objection the minute you sign that, that it 6 was -- so use it for my objection right here. 7 THE COURT: Well, I have to have -- if you 8 want to have something reheard --9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem --10 THE COURT: -- you have to enter an order. 11 Listen to me. 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 13 Okay. Okay. You have to have an order THE COURT: 14 15 entered to have an rehearing on it. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get that. 17 But here's my problem, he is submitting orders and he's got adverse interest in this case that 18 legally preclude him from acting in these 19 capacities --20 THE COURT: No, that's not true because if I 21 22 ask him to be a scrivener and write an order on 23 that I order, that's not task that's here. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But he's legally 24 barred from representing when he has adverse 25

5

6

interest.

1 2 THE COURT: That's your position on that. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, that's the Bar 3 rules, that's the law. 4 THE COURT: But I told him to write 5 an order -- I told him write an order on what 6 I've done. 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I know, but he's 8 9 acting out of his capacities --THE COURT: Okay. That's your version of 10 it. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, that's --12 13 THE COURT: I don't deal with bar things here, I'm dealing --14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's law. He's not 16 qualified. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, you're not listening, okay. If I ask a lawyer to put into 18 writing that which I order and they do that, then 19 they're doing the right thing because that's what 20 I've asked them to do. 21 22 We don't the have here in State Court clerks 23 to write out my order, so I need the lawyers to write what I announce. I asked him to do it. 24 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I've also asked

1 that you have adverse interest in these matters 2 and you shouldn't be ruling on the case under law. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I am not sure what you're 4 getting at. You can put whatever you want in 5 writing. 6 7 Your participation in this hearing this morning, listen to me, it's over with. If you 8 9 speak again because you're now -- you're pro se but you are violating some rules of decency and 10 civility. 11 Don't speak again unless I speak to you. 12 Ιf you speak again, I'm going to take action against 13 you that will be adverse to you immediately by my 14 15 bailiff. Understand what I said, yes or no, it's 16 all you can say? 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Okay, that's one. 18 Okay. Come on up. All three are right 19 here. 20 What else in this case, anything else? 21 22 MR. ROSE: We have a Motion to Continue this 23 hearing is set for July the 11th. Judge, I noticed mine first. 24 MR. BROWN: 25 THE COURT: Whoever noticed things Okay.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

first.

1

2

MR. ROSE: Sorry.

8

MR. BROWN: I have two motions, Your Honor. 3 One is a Motion For Instructions Regarding 4 Authority to Liquidate an IRA. The Estate, 5 through a series of occurrences, ended up with 6 the Decedent's IRA. It's at about 541,000. It 7 holds equity. Although it is performing very 8 well, it should be converted to cash. 9 It's not appropriate for the Estate to hold 10 an IRA -- I don't believe it's appropriate for 11 the Estate to hold an IRA that's holding equities 12 that could --13 THE COURT: Okay. Let's me hear from anyone 14 15 else your position on this starting from my left to right, I'll catch everybody. 16 17 MR. ROYER: Jeff Royer, counsel for William Stansbury. We have no objection for. 18 MR. ROSE: We have no objection to 19 liquidating the IRA. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I do have an 21 22 objection. 23 THE COURT: Okay. What is it? 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, Ben was going to get some information that appears that the 25

1 beneficiary is lost, it's bizarre. Again, we have 2 another missing beneficiary on behalf of the Estates that could be a part of the furtherance 3 of fraud. 4 We're waiting for the JP Morgan statements 5 Ben was going to get. We haven't got 'em yet. 6 Until all that's decided, this IRA might be 7 to a beneficiary and the covering up of the 8 9 beneficiary might be part of the furtherance of a frauds that have already occurred to try to 10 change beneficiaries in this case in this court 11 before Your Honor by the same people, I believe. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So is this -- is there a 13 beneficiary on the IRA form? 14 15 MR. BROWN: We're not able to locate, apparently, a beneficiary form. That's why the 16 17 Estate ended up with the IRA. But this has -this is --18 THE COURT: So who --19 -- this is not a distribution --20 MR. BROWN: THE COURT: No, I understand that. 21 22 MR. BROWN: -- this is just to divert cash. 23 THE COURT: All right. But are you going to keep the cash segregated in the Estate account 24 and not be used for any other purpose without 25

1 order of the Court so in case a beneficiary of 2 this IRA can be found, then the beneficiary would be entitled to the IRA as opposed to the Estate? 3 MR. BROWN: That's correct. And Your Honor, 4 it would actually stay in the IRA because once 5 it's converted to cash, if it starts getting 6 distributed then it's taxed. So that's why it's 7 only to convert to cash. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. But stay there, not used without court order. 10 MR. BROWN: Correct. 11 THE COURT: Is that --12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If you're good with 13 it, I'm good with that. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. As long as 16 it stays that way. 17 MR. BROWN: I'll just need to write on the easel that. 18 I'm all right with that as long 19 THE COURT: as it's -- so you folks do your due diligence. 20 If you find a beneficiary, then the beneficiary 21 22 will make a claim to it, but it will be held 23 intact. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: By the way, Your 24 25 Honor, that aspect was also not put into the

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 inventory of the Estate till after Tescher & 2 Spallina were being, you know, investigated and their notary arrested for forgery and fraudulent 3 notarizations and all that nonsense, and so it 4 appears that this is might, also, be part of a 5 fraud, not just a missing beneficiary. 6 THE COURT: Well, it is what it is --7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 THE COURT: -- and anyone can do what they 9 think they need to do with it. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okav. So it's stated 11 for the record. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 So I'll include in the order 14 MR. BROWN: 15 it's to stay intact in the IRA until further order of the Court. 16 17 THE COURT: Okav. MR. BROWN: My other motion is to inspect 18 and take possession. This is mostly an appraisal 19 20 so don't worry. THE COURT: 21 Okay. 22 MR. BROWN: There's tangible personal 23 property that belongs to the Estate. It's located now in the Decedent's residence which is 24 owned by the Decedent's Irrevocable Trust. 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 There have been questions raised as to 2 whether or not some of the tangible personal property when the Decedent died was located in a 3 condominium that was then told sold and the 4 property was purportedly sent to the residence, 5 so there's some question as to whether or not all 6 7 that property that's on the appraisal is now located in the residence which is in St. Andrews 8 9 Country Club.

10 So we called the appraiser and asked how 11 much would it be for you to go into the residence 12 at St. Andrews Country Club and simply do an 13 inventory of your appraised items. And he said 14 about \$500 unless things are boxed up and very 15 inaccessible.

16THE COURT: So he's appraised the items17already?

MR. BROWN: This is Robert Hittle is his
name. He said about \$500 if everything is
accessible.

21 THE COURT: Well, what I'm getting at is, 22 this which you've attached to your motion is the 23 appraisal?

24 MR. BROWN: Correct. Which list all the 25 items.

THE COURT: 1 So the question is, did you 2 inventory of where those items are now? To do an inventory to make sure 3 MR. BROWN: they're there at the residence. And then once we 4 make that determination, then what I would 5 envision the Court doing is just entering an 6 order requiring whoever the trustee is, make sure 7 those items stay there pending further order of 8 9 the Court because storing them to be expensive. THE COURT: Are all the items -- so you are 10 no the sure whether all the items that are on 11 this appraisal are in the St. Andrews home or 12 not? 13 I'm absolutely not sure and I am MR. BROWN: 14 15 not qualified to walk in there and try to figure it out. 16 17 THE COURT: So where -- But here it says, I'm looking quickly at the appraisal, where the 18 items were when he did the appraisal. 19 MR. BROWN: 20 Right. THE COURT: So they're not there any longer? 21 22 MR. BROWN: Some of them -- the Decedent had 23 a condo on the beach, I believe, and had the house in St. Andrews. Some of them were in the 24 25 condo, some of them were in the house.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

When the condo was sold --1 2 THE COURT: Okay. -- during the --3 MR. BROWN: THE COURT: So they either got moved to the 4 house or they're somewhere else? 5 MR. BROWN: Correct. 6 Okay. All right. 7 THE COURT: Left to right, what do you say about the motion? 8 9 MR. ROYER: No objection. MR. ROSE: We have some objection to this. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 The first thing is, this 12 MR. ROSE: Okay. is an Eliot Bernstein motivated motion. All this 13 stuff was inventoried in both properties. 14 15 It's an issue that the prior personal representatives had looked into and in one sense, 16 17 if Eliot wants to pay the \$500 for another appraisal, that's fine, but --18 THE COURT: No. But he doesn't want an 19 20 appraisal. MR. ROSE: I mean, he wants the appraiser to 21 22 go out and inventory it at a cost of, at a minimum, of \$500 which we think if Eliot wants to 23 have an inventory, he should bear the expense of 24 it. 25

This is all -- the items were in two 1 2 different residences, the residence --THE COURT: So the items that were in the 3 home are purportedly still there. The items that 4 are in the condominium, is that the issue, now 5 that the condo's been sold, where are those 6 items? 7 MR. ROSE: Some of the items, some furniture 8 was left at the condo when it was sold. 9 There may be some allocation issue at some point to do 10 between the money that should go to the Estate 11 and the money should go to the Trust. 12 It may not matter at the end of the day 13 because the ten beneficiaries are the same in 14 15 both. I think the prior PR's decided to table the issue 'til a later date. We will get a new 16 17 PR at some point. Maybe the new PR should take this up. 18 You know, my client has --19 THE COURT: Okay. I understand your 20 position. 21 22 MR. ROSE: Okay. Okay. Eliot, what do you say? 23 THE COURT: Well, first that his MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 24 position is argued improperly because he's 25

1 adverse. 2 And second, we need a new appraiser. I saw that --3 THE COURT: That's not the motion, though. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, I know, but in --5 just for record. 6 THE COURT: Well, no, but I don't want to 7 deal with an item that's not before of me. 8 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't want you to rule on an appraiser going back there of the same 10 11 guy. Okay, that's the motion. So I'm 12 THE COURT: asking what your position is. 13 I'm telling you why MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 14 15 we're objecting to that. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Stansbury's attorneys had put in that they question the appraisals on 18 these items and I do to. 19 We believe we found some evidence that there 20 might be fencing of jewels in some of the 21 22 appraisals done by the former PR's who are 23 involved in frauding documents, forging documents, all that good stuff. 24 And so now we found out, reported it to the 25

1 police, they have condo investigations of this 2 stuff, and so Ben Brown, you know, didn't know -- when we looked at the record they 3 submitted, which is shotty, there's not a single 4 thing that says they paid for moving costs of any 5 furniture to the St. Andrews home and Ben 6 couldn't find it either. And, you know, we -- I 7 said we do and this is what he did. 8 9 So you know, I support that it be done but by a different appraiser that's qualified. 10 THE COURT: Well, I don't know that you need 11 12 an appraiser to do an inventory. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, the inventory, 13 14 correct. 15 THE COURT: Because it's not going to be appraised. Basically what you're saying is, 16 17 here's the appraisal. They have, you know, a bunch of items --18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But anything involved 19 20 in the past. THE COURT: Where are those items, that's 21 22 the question, Mr. Brown? 23 MR. BROWN: The question is, whether the items are there at 77020 Lyons Head and whether 24 or not they're the same items that appear on the 25

18

| 1 | appraisal. |
|----|---|
| 2 | The reason we called Mr. Hittle is because |
| 3 | he's the one who actually looked at them, |
| 4 | photographed them and described the items on the |
| 5 | appraisal. |
| 6 | THE COURT: If he'll do it for a maximum, a |
| 7 | maximum not a minimum, a maximum of \$500 I'll |
| 8 | allow it. |
| 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can we get someone |
| 10 | else |
| 11 | THE COURT: No, he can do it because he's |
| 12 | the one who can identify |
| 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But he's involved with |
| 14 | the prior guys |
| 15 | THE COURT: But here's the thing, it's going |
| 16 | to cost more to have some one clean and I rather, |
| 17 | you know, use the money more wisely and allow him |
| 18 | just to identify. |
| 19 | So he can do this, but Mr. Brown, someone |
| 20 | else has to be present when he does this. |
| 21 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I be there? |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. Well |
| 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't been to my |
| 24 | parent's home since they locked me out. |
| 25 | MR. BROWN: If I'm there it's \$350 an hour, |
| | |

Г

1 so it defeats the purpose. 2 THE COURT: Okay. We object to the 3 MR. ROSE: Eliot Bernstein --4 THE COURT: Yeah, I rather not have an 5 active party there. 6 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I be there as a witness? 8 THE COURT: But here's the thing, if you're 9 there, then they're going to want someone to be 10 there and all of sudden, it's going to be a show. 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't mind. 12 THE COURT: I'm trying to avoid that from 13 happening. 14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I know, but I'm trying to avoid further fraud. 16 17 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, may I? Mr. Bernstein, Ted Bernstein who's the Trustee of 18 the trust that owns the house will unlock the 19 door and stay outside. 20 And this gentleman's a professional. 21 Ι 22 think we could trust him to go inventory it last time and I think if we let him in by himself, I 23 don't think he's going to it steal anything. 24 He's directly involved 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:

1 in the prior frauds in advancing these schemes on 2 the court and everybody else to get beneficiaries --3 THE COURT: Okay, here's what I will allow. 4 So, on the date of the appointment for this 5 fellow to go to the house, the house, I assume, 6 is locked. Ted Bernstein has the key? 7 MR. ROSE: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Eliot, you can be there as well. 10 11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: But not to go in the house, okay. So you can watch the appraiser go in 13 there --14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: -- he'll do his thing, he'll 16 17 come out. And assuming he's not carrying boxes of items, it's probably a good idea he's not 18 stealing anything from inside, okay, because 19 that's the question. I mean, but he can do --20 Okay. You can write that in the order. 21 You 22 both can watch to make sure he doesn't prolong 23 any items in there. I'll write that. MR. BROWN: 24 Yeah, write that in. Have fun. 25 THE COURT:

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

What else? MR. ROSE: We have a Motion to Continue. There's some hearings set for July 11th. addressed it at the prior hearing. There was some confusion, so I withdraw the order I was going to submit and start from scratch.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 There's two hearings on July 11th. One is Eliot's Motion For Trust Construction in the 8 9 Shirley Trust and one is his objections to the accountings of Tescher & Spallina. 10

THE COURT: In the Simon Trust? 11 MR. ROSE: In the Simon Trust -- in the 12 Simon Estate. 13

Tescher & Spallina are unavailable for those 14 15 days. I've obtained on behalf of Ted as the Trustee of his father's trust an extension to 16 17 obtain -- to make objections until time after the -- sometime after the PR is appointed because 18 the PR is the one who really should prosecute the 19 objections. So we've asked to continue those 20 hearings. 21

22 Everyone was in agreement. And I did bring 23 it last week, thought it was an agreement. Mr. Bernstein objected. So I withdraw what we 24 did last week and now we're just formally asking 25

> PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Τ

you to continue those hearings for a variety of 1 2 reasons. One is, we have two hours set aside. We 3 have to have, maybe, half a day or a day trial on 4 a Trust Instruction Action or maybe more, there's 5 other parties involved and the objections to the 6 7 accounting are going to take a long time. What we've asked you to do because there's a 8 9 myriad of --THE COURT: Let me just --10 MR. ROSE: We have to have status 11 conference --12 THE COURT: One of the problems is that 13 Eliot says he can't get hearing dates. 14 And so, at least, what you're telling me is set on July 15 11th, one of those matters is his Motion For 16 Trust Construction, so why can't that be heard? 17 MR. ROSE: Well, it's going to take a day or 18 two to have that heard. We need discovery. 19 We'd asked for -- what I'd suggest is, if we 20 have a status conference on that day, we can go 21 22 through all the motions that are pending and 23 figure out what actually needs to be heard, what's agreed upon and, you know, set the case to 24 25 where it's manageable.

1 To have the Trust Construction, first of 2 all, it's filed in the wrong case. I don't know if it's been properly noticed to everybody --3 THE COURT: That, I don't know. I know you 4 tell me there's a hearing date. Beyond that, I 5 don't know whether it's done properly or not, but 6 7 that's a matter in the Shirley's case. MR. ROSE: That's in the -- Yeah, I filed it 8 this Motion to Continue in both cases. 9 THE COURT: No. But Eliot's Trust 10 Construction motion is in the Shirley Bernstein 11 12 case? MR. ROSE: Correct. In the Shirley 13 Bernstein Estate, it's merely construed as the 14 Shirley Bernstein Trust, it's not properly --15 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of your 16 motion that's set? 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, but it's in Simon, 18 you can look it up on the record. I have a 19 docket here. 20 THE COURT: So is it in Simon's Trust case 21 22 or -- Simon's Estate or Shirley's Estate? 23 MR. ROSE: The Trust Construction, I think, is in Shirley's Estate. 24 25 THE COURT: And they say no. So I kind of

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 would like to know that. 2 MR. BROWN: Okay. I probably have a copy of it. 3 MR. ROSE: It is in the Simon Estate. 4 So we have in the Simon Estate, they's a document 5 that wants to construe as the Shirley 6 7 Bernstein Trust, it's MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 8 9 THE COURT: I just heard Eliot say this has nothing to do with the Shirley Bernstein Estate. 10 This is Petition For Construction of Testament 11 Trust of Removal of Trustee And Trust Accounting 12 in the Simon case. That's what it pertains to, 13 all about Simon? 14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I thought so. THE COURT: Well, we have -- as far as the 16 17 accounting goes, there's been an accounting, there are objections to the accounting, I have to 18 hear that, so --19 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No trust accounting, neither Estate. God knows how many rules that 21 22 violated. 23 THE COURT: I am not sure why I can't hear this motion. I mean, assuming -- I mean, he 24 25 obviously has to make sure everyone's served and

1 it has to be done pursuant to the rules. 2 Okay. I'm going to hear this motion. MR. ROSE: There is --3 THE COURT: But the hearing, at the same 4 time on the objections to the accounting, we're 5 not going to do that because we're never going to 6 have time. This will take two hours in and of 7 itself anyway and it's -- You know, it's just not 8 9 helpful to try to squeeze things in. Mr. Brown, what do you say? 10 MR. BROWN: Well, my suggestion is, Your 11 12 Honor, I had tried to set the Motion to Appoint Personal Representative for July 16th. 13 THE COURT: 14 Okay. 15 MR. BROWN: And I think that Mr. Glasko and Mr. Feaman have issues with July 16th, but 16 17 July 11th would be clear. And it makes sense to go ahead and have the 18 Motion to Remove the Trustee, who's 19 Ted Bernstein, and petition to appoint -- the 20 competing petitions to appoint the personal 21 22 representative --THE COURT: All right. I like that idea 23 because I think we need to get a PR in here if we 24 25 can.

1 MR. BROWN: I agree. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Any reason we can't do both of those on that date? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor --4 THE COURT: Well, because if I remove a 5 trustee, I need someone to fill the blank there, 6 7 I need a PR. MR. ROSE: That's not going to be a two-hour 8 9 trial, that's going to be an all day affair, at least. 10 THE COURT: 11 Okay. MR. ROSE: 12 And --THE COURT: All right. 13 This is my concern; we have --MR. ROSE: 14 15 there was a block of time on July 16th. And I 16 suggested that -- everyone agreed last week, 17 other than Eliot, that it made sense to have a status conference. 18 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me take a look at 19 my calendar and see that time because I want to 20 make sure we have enough time to finish this. 21 22 Okay. I can give you three-and-a-half hours 23 on that day. Your Honor, if I may, 24 MR. ROYER: 25 Mr. Feaman is in mediation on that day.

26

1 THE COURT: On the 11th? 2 MR. ROYER: He was just on the calendar on the 11th. 3 THE COURT: But everybody -- You know, I'm 4 not going to get a day where someone doesn't have 5 something, that's the problem. 6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, respectfully, 7 Mr. Feaman, he has a dog in the fight in the form 8 of Mr. Stansbury, but he's not asking 9 to be -- Mr. Stansbury is not asking to be 10 Trustee nor is he asking to be personal 11 12 representative. 13 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not too concerned about him in this hearing. 14 15 MR. ROSE: I think he has filed a motion to 16 appoint Eliot Bernstein as personal 17 representative. MR. ROYER: It's Eliot's motion. 18 THE COURT: So I have scheduled now on the 19 16th for half a day the Petition to Appoint PR. 20 MR. BROWN: Correct. 21 22 THE COURT: So why don't we do this, we're 23 going to start these hearings on the 11th. I'11 give you three-and-a-half hours. 24 If we don't finish, we move over to the 16th 25

1 which we have a half a day. We just have to 2 bifurcate it that day. MR. ROSE: 3 Okav. THE COURT: So I'm going to deal with this 4 Petition For Construction and Removal. We're not 5 doing accountings and then we're going to deal 6 7 with the appointment of the PR in consecutive time, so those two matters will take care of 8 9 those two days. Hold on one second, let me sign this. 10 Okay. What else? Anything else from you? 11 MR. ROSE: Well, we still have to have a 12 status conference. 13 THE COURT: We'll discuss that. 14 I mean, yeah, before you all leave, at some point we'll 15 16 status. 17 Yes? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, I object. 18 19 THE COURT: To what part? 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: To everything he said because he's adverse. 21 22 THE COURT: He didn't say anything to object 23 to. He just said can we have a status conference. 24 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I object to some of

28

| 1 | the stuff he put in the record. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Yeah. But now I'm giving you |
| 3 | the hearing. |
| 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Because it's false. |
| 5 | THE COURT: But this is UMC, I am not taking |
| 6 | testimony. |
| 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay, gotcha. The |
| 8 | only thing I want that I think is important |
| 9 | first, is that you hear if he is adverse |
| 10 | THE COURT: I'm going to hear everything |
| 11 | that the rules allow. |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Someone will call a witness, |
| 14 | we'll have a hearing. |
| 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, no. My question, |
| 16 | I put in motions saying that his adverse interest |
| 17 | and cannot represent it any party as counsel |
| 18 | because he's a direct fact and material witness, |
| 19 | is involved in the perpetration of the |
| 20 | frauded (sic) document, he's been police |
| 21 | investigations |
| 22 | THE COURT: Is there a formal Motion to |
| 23 | Disqualify? |
| 24 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, it's all on |
| 25 | there. It's the one I asked you to disqualify |
| | |

Г

for the same reasons. 1 2 THE COURT: I don't represent anybody, so it couldn't be for the same reasons. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, under adverse 4 interest. 5 Okay. Do you have a Motion to 6 THE COURT: 7 Disqualify from him? MR. ROSE: I was going at that approach, 8 without comment. This was filed --9 THE COURT: Yeah. So let me review it for 10 legal sufficiency, that's what I have to do. A11 11 12 right. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Sir, I voluntarily ask you to disqualify because you have adverse 14 15 interest if you're a material and fact witness, your name's on a bunch of documents --16 THE COURT: Okay. I'll look at this motion 17 when I get off the bench at some point and I'll 18 either rule on it based upon its sufficiency or 19 I'll set it for hearing. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. But then --21 22 okay. THE COURT: But right now we have these 23 hearings dates. 24 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Before any hearing

1 happen, we you need --2 THE COURT: I'll rule on this before the 11th? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's for you. 4 It's got a little bit of him. You want me to file a 5 6 separate motion --This one is for -- hold on. 7 THE COURT: MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: In fact, Your Honor, 8 9 this document they're trying to become privileged that's not between attorneys and clients is a 10 threatening letter that talks about legal abusive 11 12 strategies --13 THE COURT: Okay. Slow down one second. Ι thought this motion had to do with Mr. Rose, it 14 15 doesn't, this has to do with me. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSE: Right. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But it does deal with 19 him, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Is there another motion that 21 22 deals separately with him? 23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, but if you want, I'll do it. 24 THE COURT: 25 No. Sure -- no, I'm not inviting

31

1 that. Okay. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He should voluntarily I mean, he has bar rules and judicial --3 leave. THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, this is UMC. 4 We have a big crowd here. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. I'll rule on this and then -- was there a prior motion to 8 9 disgualify me? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 10 No. THE COURT: Okay. So the rule is different 11 when there's a successive motion. 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The bottom line is, 13 that before we have those hearings on the 11th or 14 16th, or whatever you just said, we have to hear 15 if the counsel and the Judge are legally 16 17 qualified --THE COURT: I just told you I'm going to 18 rule on this in the next day or two. 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You know they were 20 involved. 21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, you are starting 23 to move past what the UMC allows me to do. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 24 File something in writing and 25 THE COURT:

1 I'll look at it. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will. You got it. Okay. Let me have the orders. 3 THE COURT: Okay, bye. Next case. 4 Write out the orders to make room --5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: By the way, Your 6 7 Honor, part of this is my family's in danger. That privileged letter that he's trying to hide 8 9 from your eyes and federal investigators and everybody else, is a letter that talks about 10 using abusive legal strategies to harass me and 11 my father's friends -- listen. 12 THE COURT: 13 No, no, stop, stop. 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 15 THE COURT: You're beyond what UMC allows to 16 you do. That is an e-mail that Eliot 17 MR. BROWN: wrote to me and I told him that I would give you, 18 talks about Mr. Rose's duty to disgualify 19 himself. 20 THE COURT: 21 Okay. 22 MR. BROWN: That one I'm going to need the original to certify JP Morgan. And this is the 23 other one, that I do not need back, just a copy. 24 Thank you, Judge. 25

| 1 | THE COURT: | Okay. Thanks. | |
|----|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 2 | (Thereupon, | the hearing was | concluded.) |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |
| 5 |) SS: County of west palm beach) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | I, LISA GREENWELL, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did |
| 9 | stenographically report the HEARING before the Honorable Martin Colin, that a review of the transcript |
| 10 | was requested; and that the foregoing transcript Pages 1 through 35 is a true record of my stenographic notes. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, |
| 13 | employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' |
| 14 | attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Dated this 1st day of July, 2014 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | LISA GREENWELL, Court Reporter Bailey & Associates |
| 22 | 500 East Broward Boulevard Suite 1700 |
| 23 | Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33394 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

Г

Page 1 of 7

attached 12:22

\$ **\$350** 18:25 **\$500** 12:14,19 14:17,23 18:7 1 35:10 **11th** 7:23 21:3,7 22:16 25:17 27:1,3,23 31:3 32:14 **16th** 25:13,16 26:15 27:20,25 32:15 1700 35:22 **19** 1:15 1st 35:17 2 200 1:17 2012 1:4 2014 1:15 35:17 2753 2:24 3 33394 35:23 33401 2:5,12 33434 2:24 33436 2:19 33444 1:18 334th 2:24 35 35:10 3695 2:18 4 **401** 2:4 5 50 1:4 500 35:22 505 2:11 541,000 8:7 6 600 2:12 **625** 2:4 7 77020 17:24

8 8:45 1:16 9 9 2:19 9:45 1:16 Α a.m 1:16 **able** 9:15 absolutely 13:14 **abusive** 31:11 33:11 accessible 12:20 account 9:24 accounting 22:7 24:12,17,18,20 25:5 accountings 21:10 28:6 acting 5:19 6:9 action 7:13 22:5 35:14 active 19:6 actually 10:5 18:3 22:23 addressed 21:4 advancing 20:1 adverse 5:18,25 7:1,14 16:1 28:21 29:9,16 30:4,14 affair 26:9 against 7:13 agreed 22:24 26:16 agreement 21:22,23 ahead 25:18 ALAN 2:9 allocation 15:10 **allow** 18:8,17 20:4 29:11 **allows** 32:23 33:15 already 9:10 12:17 am 7:4 13:14 24:23 29:5

35:12,13,14 Andrews 12:8,12 13:12,24 17:6 announce 6:24 announced 4:22 anybody 30:2 **anyone** 4:3 8:14 11:9 anything 4:23 7:21 17:19 19:24 20:19 28:11,22 anyway 25:8 apparently 9:16 appear 17:25 APPEARANCE 2:1,7,15 appears 8:25 11:5 appoint 25:12,20,21 27:16,20 appointed 21:18 appointment 20:5 28:7 appraisal 11:19 12:7,23 13:12,18,19 14:18,20 17:17 18:1,5 appraisals 16:18,22 appraised 12:13,16 17:16 appraiser 12:10 14:21 16:2,10 17:10,12 20:13 approach 3:10 30:8 appropriate 8:10,11 argue 3:25 4:3 **argued** 15:25 arrested 11:3 **aside** 22:3 aspect 10:25 Associates 35:21 **assume** 20:6 assuming 20:17 24:24 Atlantic 1:17

attorney 35:13,14 attorneys 16:17 31:10 Authority 1:10 8:5 authorized 35:8 **Avenue** 1:17 **avoid** 19:13,16 в **Bailey** 35:21 bailiff 7:15 **bar** 6:3,13 32:3 **barred** 5:25 **based** 30:19 Basically 17:16 beach 1:2,17,18 2:5,12,18,19 13:23 35:5 bear 14:24 become 31:9 becomes 3:23 **behalf** 2:1,7,15 4:7 9:2 21:15 believe 3:12 8:11 9:12 13:23 16:20 belongs 11:23 Ben 8:24 9:6 17:2,6 **bench** 30:18 beneficiaries

beneficiary
9:1,2,8,9,14,16
10:1,2,21 11:6

9:11 15:14 20:3

BENJAMIN 2:2

Bernstein 1:7 2:7,23 3:7,11,20 4:11,12,14,21 5:1,5,10,13,16, 24 6:3,8,12,15,17, 25 7:17 8:21,24 10:13,24 11:8,11 14:13 15:24 16:5,9,14,17 17:13,19 18:9,13,21,23

Page 2 of 7

| | | | Page 2 of 7 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 19:4,7,12,15,18 | 23:2,7,12,21 | converted 8:9 | crowd 32:5 |
| ,25 20:7,11,15 | 24:13 33:4 | 10:6 | CURATOR 2:1 |
| 21:24 23:11,14,15,18 | cases 23:9 | copy 23:16 24:2 | CURATOR'S 1:10,11 |
| 24:7,8,10,15,20 | cash 8:9 9:22,24 | 33:24 | |
| 25:20 26:4 | 10:6,8 | correct 4:12 | D |
| 27:16 28:18,20,25 | catch 8:16 | 10:4,11 12:24 14:6 17:14 | danger 33:7 |
| 29:4,7,12,15,24 | CERTIFICATE 35:1 | 23:13 27:21 | date 1:15 15:16 |
| 30:4,13,21,25 | certify 33:23 | cost 14:22 18:16 | 20:5 23:5 26:3 |
| 31:4,8,16,19,23 32:2,4,6,10,13, | 35:8,12 | costs 17:5 | Dated 35:17 |
| 20,22,24 | chance 3:25 | counsel 3:6,18 | dates 22:14 30:24 |
| 33:2,6,14 | change 3:25 9:11 | 8:17 29:17 | day 15:13 |
| beyond 23:5 33:15 | CIRCUIT 1:1,19 | 32:16 35:13,14 | 22:4,18,21 |
| bifurcate 28:2 | civility 7:11 | Country 12:9,12 | 26:9,23,25 27:5,20 28:1,2 |
| bit 31:5 | claim 10:22 | COUNTY 1:2,17 | 32:19 35:17 |
| bizarre 9:1 | clean 18:16 | 35:5 | days 21:15 28:9 |
| blank 26:6 | clear 25:17 | court 1:1,23 3:4,13,15,22 | deal 6:13 16:8 |
| block 26:15 | clerks 6:22 | 4:10,13,18,22 | 28:4,6 31:19 |
| Boca 2:24 | client 15:19 | 5:3,8,11,14,21 | dealing 6:14 |
| bottom 32:13 | clients 31:10 | 6:2,5,10,13,17, 22 7:4,18,25 | deals 31:22 |
| Boulevard 2:18 | Club 12:9,12 | 8:14,23 | Decedent 12:3 |
| 35:22 | Colin 1:19 35:9 | 9:11,13,19,21,2 | 13:22 |
| boxed 12:14 | | 3 10:1,9,10,12,15 | Decedent's 8:7 |
| boxes 20:17 | comment 30:9 | ,19 | 11:24,25 |
| Boynton 2:18,19 | Compel 3:9 | 11:7,9,13,16,17 ,21 12:16,21 | decency 7:10 |
| bring 21:22 | competing 25:21 | 13:1,6,9,10,17, | decided 9:7 15:15 |
| Broward 35:22 | concern 26:14 | 21 | defeats 19:1 |
| Brown 2:2,3 7:24 | concerned 27:13 | 14:2,4,7,11,19 15:3,20,23 | Delray 1:18 |
| 8:3 9:15,20,22 | concluded 34:2 | 16:4,7,12,16 | described 18:4 |
| 10:4,11,17 | condo 13:23,25 | 17:11,15,21 | determination |
| 11:14,18,22 12:18,24 | 14:1 15:9 17:1 | 18:6,11,15,22 19:2,5,9,13 | 13:5 |
| 13:3,14,20,22 | condominium 12:4 15:5 | 20:2,4,9,12,16, | died 12:3 |
| 14:3,6 | | 25 21:11 | different 3:14 |
| 17:2,22,23 18:19,25 20:24 | condo's 15:6 | 22:10,13 23:4,10,16,21,2 | 15:2 17:10 32:11 |
| 24:2 | conference 22:12,21 26:18 | 5 24:9,16,23 | diligence 10:20 |
| 25:10,11,15 | 28:13,24 | 25:4,14,23 26:2,5,11,13,19 | direct 29:18 |
| 26:1 27:7,21 33:17,22 | confusion 21:5 | 27:1,4,13,19,22 | directly 19:25 |
| bunch 17:18 30:16 | connected 35:14 | 28:4,14,19,22 | discovery 22:19 |
| bye 33:4 | consecutive 28:7 | 29:2,5,10,13,22 30:2,6,10,17,23 | discuss 28:14 |
| · · · · | Construction 21:8 | 31:2,7,13,17,21 | |
| С | 22:17 | ,25 32:4,7,11,18,22 | disqualify 29:23,25 |
| calendar 26:20 | 23:1,11,23 24:11 28:5 | ,25 | 30:7,14 32:9 |
| 27:2 | construe 24:6 | 33:3,13,15,21 | 33:19 |
| capacities 5:20 6:9 | construed 23:14 | 34:1 35:8,21 | distributed 10:7 |
| care 28:8 | continue 7:22 | COURTHOUSE 1:17 | distribution 9:20 |
| carrying 20:17 | 21:2,20 22:1 | covering 9:8 | divert 9:22 |
| case 5:18 7:2,21 | 23:9 | CP004391XXXXSB 1:4 | Division 1:4 |
| 9:11 10:1 22:24 | convert 10:8 | _ | docket 23:20 |

Page 3 of 7

```
document 24:5
  29:20 31:9
documents
  16:23,24 30:16
dog 27:8
done 6:7 16:22
  17:9 23:6 25:1
door 19:20
Drive 2:4,11
due 10:20
during 14:3
duty 33:19
       Е
easel 10:18
East 35:22
either 14:4 17:7
  30:19
Eliot 2:23 3:7,20
  4:11,12,14,21
  5:1,5,10,13,16,
  24
  6:3,8,12,15,25
  7:17 8:21,24
  10:13,24
  11:8,11
  14:13,17,23
  15:23,24
  16:5,9,14,17
  17:13,19
  18:9,13,21,23
  19:4,7,12,15,25
  20:9,11,15
  22:14 23:18
  24:8,9,15,20
  26:4,17 27:16
  28:18,20,25
  29:4,7,12,15,24
  30:4,13,21,25
  31:4,8,16,19,23
  32:2,6,10,13,20
  ,24
  33:2,6,14,17
Eliot's 21:8
  23:10 27:18
else 4:10 7:21
  8:15 14:5
  18:10,20 20:2
  21:1 28:11
  33:10
em 9:6
```

e-mail 3:21 33:17 e-mailed 3:21 employee 35:13 enter 3:23 5:11

entered 5:15 entering 13:6 entitled 10:3 envision 13:6 equities 8:12 equity 8:8 **Esquire** 2:2,9,17 Estate 1:7,12 8:5,10,12 9:17,24 10:3 11:1,23 15:11 21:13 23:14,22,24 24:4,5,10,21 Estates 9:3 everybody 8:16 20:2 23:3 27:4 33:10 everyone 3:23 4:10 21:22 26:16 everyone's 24:25 everything 12:19 28:20 29:10 evidence 16:20 except 4:11 exercise 4:19 **expense** 14:24 expensive 13:9 extension 21:16 eves 33:9 F fact 29:18 30:15 31:8 fairly 3:10 false 29:4 family's 33:7 father's 21:16 33:12 favor 5:3 Feaman 2:18 25:16 26:25 27:8 federal 33:9 fellow 20:6 fencing 16:21

FIFTEENTH 1:1

figure 13:15

fight 27:8

22:23 file 1:4 31:5 32:25 **filed** 23:2,8 27:15 30:9 fill 26:6 financially 35:14 fine 10:15 14:18 **finish** 26:21 27:25 **first** 7:24 8:1 14:12 15:24 23:1 29:9 FITZGERALD 2:10 Flagler 2:4,11 **Florida** 1:2,18 2:5,12,19,24 35:4,23 folks 10:20 foregoing 35:10 forgery 11:3 forging 16:23 form 3:18 9:14,16 27:8 formal 29:22 formally 21:25 **former** 16:22 Fort 35:23 fraud 9:4 11:6 19:16 frauded 29:20 frauding 16:23 **frauds** 9:10 20:1 fraudulent 11:3 friends 33:12 fun 20:25 furniture 15:8 17:6 furtherance 9:3,9 G gentleman's 19:21 getting 7:5 10:6 12:21 giving 29:2 Glasko 25:15 God 24:21 gotcha 29:7

granted 4:6 **GREENWELL** 1:23 35:8,21 guy 16:11 guys 18:14 н half 22:4 27:20 28:1 happen 31:1 harass 33:11 haven't 9:6 18:23 Head 17:24 hear 8:14 24:19,23 25:2 29:9,10 32:15 heard 22:17,19,23 24:9 hearing 1:22 7:7,23 21:4 22:14 23:5 25:4 27:14 29:3,14 30:20,25 34:2 35:9 hearings 3:6 21:3,7,21 22:1 27:23 30:24 32:14 **held** 10:22 he'll 18:6 20:16 helpful 25:9 hereby 35:8 here's 3:22 5:10,17 17:17 18:15 19:9 20:4 he's 3:21 5:18,24 6:8,15 12:16 15:25 18:3,11,13 19:24,25 20:17,18 27:9 28:21 29:18,20 33:8 Hi 3:4 hide 33:8 Hittle 12:18 18:2 hold 8:10,12 26:19 28:9 31:7 holding 8:12 holds 8:8 home 13:12 15:4 17:6 18:24

Page 4 of 7

Martin 1:19 35:9

Honor 3:3 8:3 9:12 10:4,25 19:17 25:12 26:4,24 27:7 31:8,20 33:7 Honorable 1:19 35:9 hour 18:25 hours 22:3 25:7 26:22 27:24 house 13:24,25 14:5 19:19 20:6,12 Т I'd 22:20 idea 20:18 25:23 identify 18:12,18 I'll 8:16 10:17 11:14 18:7 20:24 27:23 30:17,18,20 31:2,24 32:7 33:1 **I'm** 4:18 5:5 6:14 7:13 10:14,19 12:21 13:14,18 16:12,14 18:25 19:13,15 25:2 27:4,13 28:4 29:2,10 31:25 32:18 33:22 immediately 7:14 important 29:8 improperly 15:25 inaccessible 12:15 include 11:14 information 8:25 **inside** 20:19 inspect 1:11 11:18 Instruction 22:5 Instructions 1:10 8:4 **intact** 10:23 11:15 **interest** 5:18 6:1 7:1 29:16 30:5,15 interested 35:14 inventoried 14:14 inventory 11:1

12:13 13:2,3 14:22,24 17:12,13 19:22 investigated 11:2 investigations 17:1 29:21 investigators 33:9 inviting 31:25 involved 16:23 17:19 18:13 19:25 22:6 29:19 32:21 **IRA** 1:10 8:5,7,11,12,20 9:7,14,17 10:2,3,5 11:15 Irrevocable 11:25 **issue** 14:15 15:5,10,16 **issues** 25:16 item 16:8 items 12:13,16,25 13:2,8,10,11,19 15:1,3,4,7,8 16:19 17:18,21,24,25 18:4 20:18,23 it's 3:24 4:1,10 6:15 7:8,15 8:7,10,11 9:1 10:6,7,20 11:11,15,23 14:15 17:15 18:15,25 19:11 20:18 22:18,25 23:2,3,6,14,15, 18 24:7 25:8 27:18 29:4,24,25 31:4 **I've** 6:7,21,25 21:15 J Jeff 8:17 JEFFREY 2:17 **iewels** 16:21 JP 9:5 33:23 Judge 1:19 7:24 32:16 33:25 iudicial 1:1 32:3 July 7:23 21:3,7 22:15

26:15 35:17 **June** 1:15 к key 20:7 \mathbf{L} **last** 3:5 19:22 21:23,25 26:16 **later** 15:16 Lauderdale 35:23 law 2:3,10,18 6:4,15 7:3 **lawyer** 6:18 lawyers 6:23 least 22:15 26:10 leave 28:15 32:3 legal 30:11 31:11 33:11 **legally** 5:19,24 32:16 lengthy 3:21 Let's 8:14 **letter** 31:11 33:8,10 line 32:13 Liquidate 1:10 8:5 liquidating 8:20 **LISA** 1:23 35:8,21 list 12:24 **listen** 5:5,12 7:8 33:12 listening 6:18 **little** 31:5 LLP 2:3 **locate** 9:15 located 11:24 12:3,8 locked 18:24 20:7 **long** 10:15,19 22:7 **longer** 13:21 lost 9:1 Lyons 17:24 М manageable 22:25

material 29:18 30:15 matter 15:13 23:7 matters 3:15,17 7:1 22:16 28:8 MATWICZYK 2:3 **maximum** 18:6,7 may 3:9,10 15:10,13 19:17 26:24 maybe 15:17 22:4,5 **mean** 14:21 20:20 24:24 28:14 32:3 mediation 26:25 **merelv** 23:14 merits 4:4 **mind** 5:2 19:12 mine 7:24 **minimum** 14:23 18:7 minute 5:6 **missing** 9:2 11:6 **money** 15:11,12 18:17 Morgan 9:5 33:23 morning 3:3 4:5 7:8 mostly 11:19 motion 1:10,11 7:22 8:4 11:18 12:22 14:8,13 16:4,12 21:2,8 22:16 23:9,11,17 24:24 25:2,12,19 27:15,18 29:22 30:6,17 31:6,14,21 32:8,12 motions 3:9 8:3 22:22 29:16 motivated 14:13 move 27:25 32:23 **moved** 14:4 moving 17:5 MRACHEK 2:10 myriad 22:9

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

25:13,16,17

Page 5 of 7

N **name's** 30:16 neither 24:21 nonsense 11:4 **nor** 27:11 35:13,14 North 2:4 Northwest 2:24 notarizations 11:4 notary 11:3 notes 35:10 nothing 24:10 **noticed** 7:24,25 23:3 0 object 19:3 28:18,22,25 objected 3:18 21:24 objecting 16:15 objection 3:7,12 4:16 5:6,7 8:18,19,22 14:9,10 objections 3:20 21:9,17,20 22:6 24:18 25:5 obtain 21:17 obtained 21:15 obviously 24:25 occurred 9:10 occurrences 8:6 **OFFICES** 2:3,10,18 okay 3:13 4:6 5:13,14,16 6:10,18 7:4,18,19,25 8:14,23 9:13 10:9,15 11:8,11,13,17,2 1 14:2,7,11,12 15:20,22,23 16:12,16 17:13 18:22 19:2 20:4,9,13,15,19 ,21 24:2 25:2,14 26:2,11,22 28:3,11,18 29:7,12

30:6,17,21,22

31:13,17 32:1,6,11,24 33:3,4,14,21 34:1 opposed 10:3 order 3:23 4:4,21 5:1,11,14,22,23 6:6,19,23 10:1,10 11:14,16 13:7,8 20:21 21:5 orders 3:5,8,10,14,19 4:8 5:17 33:3,5 original 33:23 **outside** 19:20 owned 11:25 owns 19:19 Р **P.A** 2:11,18 **PAGE** 2:10 Pages 35:10 paid 17:5 **Palm** 1:17 2:5,12 35:5 **PAM** 1:2 parent's 18:24 participation 7:7 parties 22:6 35:13 party 19:6 29:17 past 17:20 32:23 pay 14:17 pending 13:8 22:22 people 9:12 performing 8:8 perpetration 29:19 personal 1:12 11:22 12:2 14:15 25:13,21 27:11,16 pertains 24:13 **PETER** 2:18 petition 24:11 25:20 27:20 28:5 petitions 25:21 question 12:6

photographed 18:4 point 15:10,17 28:15 30:18 police 17:1 29:20 position 6:2 8:15 15:21,25 16:13 possession 1:11 11:19 PR 15:17 21:18,19 25:24 26:7 27:20 28:7 preclude 5:19 present 2:22 18:20 prior 14:15 15:15 18:14 20:1 21:4 32:8 privileged 31:9 33:8 pro 2:23 7:9 probably 20:18 24:2 Probate 1:4 problem 5:10,17 27:6 problems 22:13 proceed 4:23 proceedings 3:1 professional 19:21 prolong 20:22 properly 23:3,6,15 properties 14:14 property 1:12 11:23 12:3,5,7 prosecute 21:19 PR's 15:15 16:22 purportedly 12:5 15:4 **purpose** 4:19,22 9:25 19:1 pursuant 25:1 **putting** 4:1 5:5 0 qualified 6:16 13:15 17:10 32:17

17:22,23 20:20 29:15 questions 12:1 quickly 13:18 R raised 12:1 rather 18:16 19:5 **Raton** 2:24 **RE** 1:7 **really** 21:19 **reason** 18:2 26:2 reasons 22:2 30:1,3 **record** 11:12 16:6 17:3 23:19 29:1 35:10 **Regarding** 1:10 8:4 **reheard** 4:16 5:9 rehearing 5:15 relative 35:12,13 Removal 24:12 28:5 remove 25:19 26:5 report 35:9 reported 16:25 Reporter 1:23 35:1,8,21 represent 4:8 29:17 30:2 representative 25:13,22 27:12,17 representatives 14:16representing 5:25 requested 35:10 requiring 13:7 residence 11:24 12:5,8,11 13:4 15:2 residences 15:2 respectfully 27:7 review 30:10 35:9 rework 4:4 **Robert** 12:18 room 33:5 **Rose** 2:9,11

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

13:1 16:18

Page 6 of 7

6:2,3,4,10,12,2

3:3,5,14,17 4:9,15 7:22 8:2,19 14:10,12,21 15:8,22 19:3,17 20:8 21:2,12 22:11,18 23:8,13,23 24:4 25:3 26:8,12,14 27:15 28:3,12 30:8 31:14,18 Rose's 33:19 **Royer** 2:17 3:11 8:17 14:9 26:24 27:2,18 **rule** 16:10 30:19 31:2 32:7,11,19 **ruled** 3:15,17,24 4:8,11,13,25 rules 6:4 7:10 24:21 25:1 29:11 32:3 ruling 7:2 rulings 4:2 S sanctions 4:6 saw 16:2 scheduled 27:19 schemes 20:1 scratch 21:6 scrivener 3:24 5:22 se 2:23 7:9 second 16:2 28:9 31:13 segregated 9:24 sense 14:16 25:18 26:17 **sent** 12:5 separate 31:6 separately 31:22 series 8:6 served 24:25 Shirley 21:9 23:11,13,15 24:6,10 Shirley's 23:7,22,24 shotty 17:4 sic 29:20

sign 5:6 28:9 **Simon** 1:7 21:11,12,13 23:18 24:4,5,13,14 **Simon's** 23:21,22 simple 3:10 **simply** 12:12 single 17:4 **Sir** 30:13 **Slow** 31:13 **sold** 12:4 14:1 15:6,9 someone 3:23 18:9,19 19:10 26:6 27:5 29:13 sometime 21:18 somewhere 14:5 **Sorry** 8:2 **South** 1:17 2:11 Spallina 11:2 21:10,14 **speak** 7:9,12,13 squeeze 25:9 **SS** 35:4 St 12:8,12 13:12,24 17:6 Stansbury 2:15 8:18 27:9,10 Stansbury's 16:17 start 21:6 27:23 starting 8:15 32:22 starts 10:6 State 6:22 35:4 **stated** 11:11 statements 9:5 status 22:11,21 26:18 28:13,16,23 **stay** 10:5,9 11:15 13:8 19:20 **stays** 10:16 steal 19:24 stealing 20:19 stenographic 35:10 stenographically 35:9

stop 33:13 storing 13:9 strategies 31:12 33:11 **Street** 2:24 stuff 4:15 14:14 16:24 17:2 29:1 subject 4:5 **submit** 4:9 21:6 submitted 3:6 17:4 submitting 5:17 successive 32:12 SUCCESSOR 2:8 **sudden** 19:11 sufficiency 30:11,19 suggest 22:20 suggested 26:16 suggestion 25:11 **Suite** 2:4,12,19 35:22 support 17:9 sure 7:4 13:3,7,11,14 20:22 24:23,25 26:21 31:25 T table 15:15 taking 29:5 talks 31:11 33:10,19 tangible 1:12 11:22 12:2 task 5:23 taxed 10:7 Ted 2:7 19:18 20:7 21:15 25:20 ten 15:14 Tescher 11:1 21:10,14 Testament 24:11 testimony 29:6 Thank 20:11 33:25 Thanks 34:1 that's 4:18,19 5:21,23

0 7:18 8:12 9:7,16 10:4,7,15 12:7 14:18 16:4,8,12 17:10,21 20:20 23:7,8,17 24:13 26:8,9 27:6 30:11 31:4,10 there's 11:22 12:6 17:4 21:3,7 22:5,8 23:5 24:17 32:12 Thereupon 3:1 34:2 they're 6:20 13:4,21 14:5 17:25 19:10 31:9 they's 24:5 threatening 31:11 three-and-a-half 26:22 27:24 Thursday 1:15 til 15:16 till 11:1 **today** 4:5 transcript 35:9,10 trial 22:4 26:9 tricked 4:15 tried 25:12 tries 4:3 true 5:21 35:10 trust 11:25 15:12 19:19,22 21:8,9,11,12,16 22:5,17 23:1,10,15,21,2 3 24:7,12,20 trustee 2:8 13:7 19:18 21:16 24:12 25:19 26:6 27:11 try 4:23 9:10 13:15 25:9 trying 19:13,15 31:9 33:8 two-hour 26:8 IJ UMC 29:5 32:4,23

| | | Page 7 of 7 |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 33:15 | 10:17 | |
| unavailable 21:14 | 20:21,24,25 33:5 | |
| understand 7:15 9:21 15:20 | writes 3:24 | |
| unless 7:12 12:14 | writing 4:1 6:19 7:6 32:25 | |
| unlock 19:19 | wrong 23:2 | |
| upon 22:24 30:19 | wrote 33:18 | |
| | | |
| variety 22:1 | <u>Y</u> yet 9:6 | |
| version 6:10 | you've 12:22 | |
| violated 24:22 | you ve 12.22 | |
| violating 7:10 | | |
| voluntarily 30:13 32:2 | | |
| | | |
| W | | |
| waiting 9:5 | | |
| walk 13:15 | | |
| watch 20:13,22 We'd 22:20 | | |
| week 21:23,25 | | |
| 26:16 | | |
| weeks 3:6 | | |
| we'll 28:14,15 29:14 | | |
| <pre>we're 9:5,15 16:15 21:25 25:5,6 27:22 28:5,6</pre> | | |
| West 1:17 2:5,12,18 35:5 | | |
| we've 21:20 22:8 | | |
| <pre>whatever 7:5 32:15</pre> | | |
| <pre>whether 12:2,6 13:11 17:23,24 23:6</pre> | | |
| whoever 7:25 13:7 | | |
| who's 19:18 25:19 | | |
| William 2:15 8:17 | | |
| wisely 18:17 | | |
| withdraw 21:5,24 | | |
| witness 19:8 29:13,18 30:15 | | |
| works 3:22 | | |
| worry 11:20 | | |
| write 5:22 | | |
| 6:5,6,23,24 | | |

| 1 | 3 |
|--|--|
| <pre>1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No.502014CF003698XXXXSB TED BERNSTEIN, as Trustee of the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement Dated May 20, 2008, As Amended, Plaintiff, -vs- ALEXANDRA BERNSTEIN; ERIC BERNSTEIN; MICHAEL BERNSTEIN; MOLLY SIMON; PAMELA B. SIMON, Individually and as Trustee f/b/o Molly Simon under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12; ELIOT BERNSTEIN, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B. under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of his minor children D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B.; JILL IANTONI, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o J. I. under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of her minor child J.I.; MAX FRIEDSTEIN; LISA FRIEDSTEIN, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o Max Friedstein and C.F., under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of her minor child, C.F.,</pre> | APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiff: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQUIRE MRACHECK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Phone: 561.655.2250 On behalf of the Defendant: ELIOT BERNSTEIN, PRO SE CANDICE BERNSTEIN, PRO SE 2753 Northwest 34th Street Boca Raton, Florida 33434 Phone: 561.245.8588 On behalf of the personal representative: JOIELLE "JOY" FOGLIETTA, ESQUIRE |
| Defendants. HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN Monday, September 15, 2014 Palm Beach County Courthouse | ¹⁵ JOIELLE JOY FOGLIETTA, ESQUIRE CIKLIN, LUBITZ, MARTENS & O'CONNELL ¹⁶ 515 North Flagler Drive 20th Floor ¹⁷ West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Phone: 561.832.5900 ¹⁸ ²⁰ ²¹ ALSO PRESENT: Ted Bernstein |
| Delray Beach, Florida 33444 9:57 a.m 11:14 a.m. Stenographically Reported By: 2 | Donna Bernstein ²³ ²⁴ ²⁵ 4 |
| 1 Jeana Kim, CRR, RMR, FPR, CLR 2 Certified Realtime Reporter 3 U.S. Legal Support, Inc. 3 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 U.S. Legal Support, Inc. | 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: All right. So, good morning. 4 We're here on Case No. 2012CP004391. 5 Counsel and pro ses, make your appearances. 6 MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Alan 7 Rose on behalf of Ted S. Bernstein, successor 8 trustee. 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 Eliot Bernstein, pro se. 11 THE COURT: All right. So we're here on 12 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, one minute 13 THE COURT: a for motion for contempt; is 14 that correct? 15 MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, Joy Foglietta on behalf 16 of Brian O'Connell, personal representative. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: But I see that Eliot filed an 20 urgent motion to schedule for medical reasons, et 21 cetera. 22 What's going on with that, Eliot? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: (Indicating). 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220 1 (Pages 1 to 4)

| 5 | 7 |
|---|---|
| ¹ with nine spikes of titanium nails cutting me out. | ¹ so let's go over because I think I want to we |
| ² But he wouldn't this is the most important | ² need to be able to do this for everyone's sake |
| ³ hearing in the world, so I'm here. Let's go. | ³ what it means to have to an emergency hearing in |
| ⁴ THE COURT: All right. Okay. I have let | ⁴ probate, okay? |
| ⁵ me address one thing. I appreciate that you did | ⁵ So we really don't have it's being drafted |
| ⁶ something different this time, and I'm not sure it | ⁶ now a specific administrative order that deals |
| ⁷ was intentional, but if it was, good for you. You | ⁷ exactly with that topic in probate itself. We have |
| ⁸ changed the style of your pleading from "emergency" | ⁸ it in civil and we have one in family. But now |
| ⁹ to "urgent." Was that intentional? | ⁹ we're drafting one. |
| ¹⁰ MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't like to call an | ¹⁰ But the general one is that it's a matter of |
| ¹¹ emergency unless there is an emergency. | ¹¹ life and death, meaning that the clerk has to stop |
| ¹² THE COURT: So that was good, meaning but | ¹² what they're doing. If I'm in the middle of |
| ¹³ here's the problem. I'm going to ask you to just | ¹³ someone else's hearing, I can't hear their matter. |
| ¹⁴ be aware of this. It doesn't take a whole lot to | ¹⁴ I have to rule on the emergency right away because |
| let me know if someone needs something rescheduled, | ¹⁵ if I don't rule in the next five minutes, someone |
| okay? The filing in this case was 331 pages. | |
| ¹⁷ MR. BERNSTEIN: That was the evidence added to | may ule. |
| | in a probate case, that's really unusual. T |
| 11. | checked around. No one said it's ever nappened in |
| WIK. KOSE. Dut see, that's what you're not | the history of probate cases. So we don't really |
| supposed to do. | get that. |
| ²¹ MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. | in family, by example, when someone mes a |
| ²² THE COURT: So the clerk's file, they have to | ²² motion for not necessarily an emergency hearing bu |
| take time to scan everything in. They have no | ²³ for relief and they say, the child is at the |
| ²⁴ discretion if you give them something. | ²⁴ airport boarding the plane to a country that |
| ²⁵ And so, for example, when I went to print out | ²⁵ doesn't accept the Hate Convention, Homeland |
| 6 | 8 |
| ¹ your pleading, I didn't pay attention to how long | ¹ Security says you've got 15 minutes to stop, |
| ² it was at first, and then I saw it was 331 pages. | ² otherwise, you'll never see the child again, that's |
| It was at first, and then I saw it was 551 pages. | |
| | |
| ³ I had to | ³ what that means. |
| ³ I had to ⁴ MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? | ³ what that means. ⁴ So because and that's the reason why those |
| ³ I had to ⁴ MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? ⁵ THE COURT: What? | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a verification that you had a medical procedure. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a verification that you had a medical procedure. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a wrification that you had a medical procedure. MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a verification that you had a medical procedure. MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told him a lot of times, so I put in all of the | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the computer on my desk. They actually gave me a new |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a verification that you had a medical procedure. MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told him a lot of times, so I put in all of the correspondences. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the computer on my desk. They actually gave me a new one here, it's bigger, for scheduling purposes. |
| I had to MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a motion, you don't put your evidence in. MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits? THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that you'll have, for a motion to continue, based upon if you have a medical reason, you say, Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I need other than maybe if you thought I needed a verification that you had a medical procedure. MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told him a lot of times, so I put in all of the correspondences. THE COURT: That's unnecessary, okay? MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I apologize. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the computer on my desk. They actually gave me a new one here, it's bigger, for scheduling purposes. And the other because some of the filings of emergency motions were 90 pages and 100 pages |
| 3I had to4MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in?5THE COURT: What?6MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in?7THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a8motion, you don't put your evidence in.9MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits?10THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an11exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't12it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that13you'll have, for a motion to continue, based14upon if you have a medical reason, you say,15Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I16need other than maybe if you thought I needed a17verification that you had a medical procedure.18MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told19him a lot of times, so I put in all of the20correspondences.21THE COURT: That's unnecessary, okay?22MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I apologize.23THE COURT: I get it right away like that. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the computer on my desk. They actually gave me a new one here, it's bigger, for scheduling purposes. And the other because some of the filings of emergency motions were 90 pages and 100 pages and the clerk just has to I mean, the system |
| 3I had to4MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in?5THE COURT: What?6MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I not put exhibits in?7THE COURT: Yeah, you don't put in a8motion, you don't put your evidence in.9MR. BERNSTEIN: For exhibits?10THE COURT: Yeah, you don't have to if it's an11exhibit. But a motion to continue, it doesn't12it's almost beyond the realm of possibilities that13you'll have, for a motion to continue, based14upon if you have a medical reason, you say,15Judge, I have a medical reason; what exhibits do I16need other than maybe if you thought I needed a17verification that you had a medical procedure.18MR. BERNSTEIN: It was just that I had told19him a lot of times, so I put in all of the20correspondences.21THE COURT: That's unnecessary, okay?22MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I apologize.23THE COURT: I get it right away like that. | what that means. So because and that's the reason why those motions are getting denied, because they're not emergencies. However, we do allow you, if you want to this is for everybody to have a hearing, because I rarely grant emergency relief without a hearing. I mean, that has to be that means I'm doing an ex-parte without the other side being heard. But if you want a hearing and you want it to be done sooner than later, whether it's because it's important or because you're having trouble scheduling, put the word "urgent," and then that comes to me. And then I'll look at it and then I'll be involved, which I'm now even more accessible to scheduling because I now have the computer on my desk. They actually gave me a new one here, it's bigger, for scheduling purposes. And the other because some of the filings of emergency motions were 90 pages and 100 pages and the clerk just has to I mean, the system |

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

| | _ | | |
|----------|---|-----------------|---|
| | 9 | | 11 |
| 1 | But now I think you've got the right button to | 1 | Can I approach with what we're here for today? |
| 2 | push. It will be the urgent button if you think | 2 | THE COURT: Is this the motion |
| 3 | it's something that is more important than not. | 3 | MR. ROSE: There are four issues. I have a |
| 4 | And then I'll consider it and give you a hearing | 4 | short, just sort of a summary outline, if it |
| 5 | sooner than later. | 5 | would I think it would just focus the Court on |
| 6 | Now, in my division, I set things sooner | 6 | where we're going today. It's very short issues. |
| 7 | anyway. So you almost don't even need to go there. | 7 | These are four very short, narrow issues that are |
| 8 | If you think so, fine. | 8 | essentially a motion to hold Eliot Bernstein in |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I say something? | 9 | contempt of court for violating your prior orders |
| 10 | THE COURT: Sure, if you want. | 10 | and to compel him to comply with your prior orders |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The emergency that I did file, | 11 | and with the rulings of civil procedure. They are |
| 12 | which I apologize to you in the pleading, was to | 12 | four very narrow issues. |
| 13 | keep my kids in school and complete my parents' | 13 | The first one deals with the privileged |
| 14 | wishes. Mr. Rose said he was going to schedule | 14 | e-mail, which Your Honor had entered an order, |
| 15 | something and bring it to you and, blah, and they | 15 | which is tab on my set up, it's Tab A. You |
| 16 | were turned away from school. And I was trying to | 16 | entered an order back on July 18th directing |
| 17 | get in here as fast as I could | 17 | certain things to be done because there was a |
| 18 | THE COURT: I thought I entered the order on | 18 | privileged e-mail that Mr. Bernstein received |
| 19 | that. And my comment, when I denied the emergency | V ¹⁹ | inadvertently. We had a whole evidentiary hearing. |
| 20 | hearing, was that I had already entered an order | 20 | He has not appealed the order, so it's a final |
| 21 | directing payment and so | 21 | it's an order that's not appealable at this time. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: He didn't pay it. | 22 | He's continuing to use the materials that were in |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, but the order was entered. | 23 | the privileged e-mail, which you determined to be |
| 24 | I don't enter an order twice. Once I order it, it | 24 | privileged, which he hasn't appealed. |
| 25 | means do it. So it meant pay the money for the | 25 | And I've given Your Honor and Mr. Bernstein |
| | means do it. So it meant pay the money for the | | And I ve given Tour Honor and with Demisteri |
| | 10 | | 12 |
| 1 | schooling. I'm pretty sure that's what the order | 1 | this morning three examples of the many examples. |
| 2 | was. | 2 | That would be I gave a package to you earlier. |
| 3 | But that's got resolved? | 3 | If you flip through, one of these is a complaint. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. My kids got thrown out of | f ⁴ | The second of the examples |
| 5 | school on the day of school. | 5 | THE COURT: That's in this packet? |
| 6 | THE COURT: Are they back in school? | 6 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir, the second example. It's |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. They had to go to new | 7 | a complaint. Actually, it's the last one, |
| 8 | schools. And we've been trying to get them in. | 8 | |
| 9 | This is more of Mr. Rose's strategy of force and | 9 | probably, if they're in the same order as mine. |
| 10 | | 10 | THE COURT: Well, this is opening. So that's |
| 11 | aggression. | 11 | one, a purported violation of the July 18th order. |
| 12 | THE COURT: I'm not happy to hear that it | 12 | MR. ROSE: Correct. He's basically |
| 13 | didn't work out well, but I'm not going to hear | 13 | republished your order in a complaint that he filed |
| | that now. I will hear it | 13 | against me and 92 other people and 5,000 John Does |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, that was the | 14 | in unrelated cases of Oppenheimer. But he's |
| 15 | emergency. I'm sorry. We'll get to that later, | | republished your e-mail verbatim, incorporated it |
| 16 | you're right. I agree with you, let's | 16 | by reference. And there are numerous other |
| 17 | THE COURT: In the order, that the money get | 17 | THE COURT: My e-mail? |
| 18 | paid because you folks agreed to that, so that | 18 | MR. ROSE: No, I'm sorry. |
| 19 | wasn't too hard for me. | 19 | THE COURT: You said my e-mail. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: For the record, Your Honor, | 20 | MR. ROSE: My e-mail. He's republished the |
| 21 | Mr. Bernstein refused to comply with the order. He | 21 | e-mail from Ted Bernstein to me in violation of |
| 22 | refused to sign the agreement that you read in open | 22 | your order. There are three or four other examples |
| 23 | court and, therefore, they did make the payment, | 23 | among other examples. |
| | | | |
| 24 | made only two days later; it was too late. But | 24 | The three issues are very narrow. You've |
| 24 25 | made only two days later; it was too late. But that's not why we're here today. | 24 25 | The three issues are very narrow. You've ordered him to appear for deposition, and he |

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

| 13 | | 15 |
|---|-----|---|
| refuses to give me a date, not because of medical | 1 | every single person in my law firm. That violates |
| reasons but because of his view that I should be | 2 | the rules. |
| disqualified or removed or Ted should be removed or | . 3 | So those are the three issues we're here for |
| other things. He's already lost a motion to | 4 | today. I think they're simple and straightforward. |
| disqualify me, but he refuses to appear for | 5 | THE COURT: Stop. So under the contempt |
| deposition or | 6 | rules, Eliot, the way we do this is I'll take |
| THE COURT: That's the 19th order? | 7 | this one at a time. Do you have in front of you |
| MR. ROSE: Correct. | 8 | the order of July 18, 2014? |
| THE COURT: What's next? | 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| MR. ROSE: Production. The same thing, he | 10 | THE COURT: It's called so that one deals |
| won't produce any documents to me because he | 11 | with the prohibition against republishing the |
| · · | 12 | · · · · · |
| doesn't recognize the validity of my existence in | 13 | e-mail that you received that Mr. Rose intended to |
| this case. THE COURT: Where is that? | 14 | send to his client. And so this is civil contempt. |
| | 15 | So paragraph 9 says what it says about that |
| MR. ROSE: That's the production request and | 16 | order. They contend you violated the order by |
| his objections to our discovery. He objected to | 17 | continuing to publish that document. |
| every discovery on the grounds that | 18 | So I need to ask you one of two things: |
| THE COURT: Can I see the production request | 19 | Either you agree that you did the act, and then |
| and his objections? | 20 | you're allowed to be heard on why or why not you |
| And are you saying that I already ruled on | 20 | should be held in contempt, or you can challenge |
| that? | 21 | whether you did it and make them prove it. |
| MR. ROSE: No. | 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| THE COURT: So that can't be contempt, | | THE COURT: Which one do you want to do? |
| correct? | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll challenge it. |
| MR. ROSE: Right, that's just to for the | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. The next thing they want to |
| 14 | | 16 |
| purposes of today, I can withdraw that and come | 1 | say is that you're not agreeing to sit for |
| back on the production on a different day, Your | 2 | deposition, notwithstanding the order of June 19th. |
| Honor. That's easy. | 3 | Is that an issue or not? |
| The fourth thing is very important, though, | 4 | And he says it's because you don't recognize |
| | E | |

which is e-mail and e-service rules. There are rules. Rule 2.516 of the Rules of Judicial Administration and Rule 1.080 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, you're only allowed, when you have the privilege of using e-mail service, to serve the people who the lawyers designate to be served. I could designate him to serve anybody I want in the world along with one or two secondary e-mail addresses. But that's the only e-mail he can serve.

If you look at this table, he has served every single one of these papers on every single person in my law firm. Every legal assistant, every secretary, every lawyer, the bookkeeper, the people that are in my second office. If you can imagine getting hundreds of e-mails from Eliot Bernstein with stacks and stacks of paper, how disruptive that is, and it violates the rules. This is what he served on Friday. And on Saturday and on Sunday -- or on Sunday and Monday

I got 538 more pages twice. And he's sending it to

him as a person who can take it, but I ordered it. MR. BERNSTEIN: I challenge that. THE COURT: You challenge that? Have you sat for a deposition already? MR. BERNSTEIN: No. THE COURT: And then the last one is the nature by which you're serving papers pursuant to --MR. BERNSTEIN: Challenge. THE COURT: You saying you're serving in compliance with the rules? MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. THE COURT: Your first witness? MR. ROSE: Mr. Eliot Bernstein. THE COURT: Come on up. Proceed. Thereupon, (ELIOT BERNSTEIN) having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined

and testified as follows:

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

| | 17 | | 19 |
|------------------------|--|----|---|
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Absolutely. | 1 | already told you they did, correct? |
| 2 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 2 | Q. Do you believe that somebody could find the |
| 3 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 3 | privileged e-mail by going to that e-mail |
| 4 H | BY MR. ROSE: | 4 | A. I believe the court order addresses that. |
| 5 | Q. Did I hand you these three documents outside | 5 | Q. And if you go to |
| ⁶ 0 | of court today? | 6 | A. It said I shouldn't forward by e-mail the |
| 7 | A. I believe so. | 7 | letter. I haven't done that. |
| 8 | Q. I'd like you to take a look at the three | 8 | Q. And then if you look at paragraph 236, "That |
| ⁹ e | exhibits. The first one that we're going to look at | 9 | in keeping with the court order, you will not republish |
| | l'll make it easy. | 10 | the e-mail, but you will direct the Court to available |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Do you want me to mark this as | 11 | sites where it exists publicly and eternally in the |
| 12 | Exhibit 1? | 12 | worldwide web." |
| 13 | THE COURT: Whatever you want. | 13 | Do you see that? |
| 14 | (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for | 14 | A. Correct. |
| ¹⁵ i | dentification.) | 15 | Q. And then the last sentence of paragraph 236, |
| | BY MR. ROSE: | 16 | you incorporate by reference the privileged e-mail in |
| 17 | Q. I'm handing you what I've marked as | 17 | its entirety in this lawsuit that you filed? |
| ¹⁸ I | Petitioner's Exhibit 1, which is a countercomplaint that | 18 | A. No. I put in a link to a website. |
| | you filed in the case called Eliot Bernstein versus | 19 | Q. And then it says, "Incorporate by referencing |
| | Oppenheimer & Company. Do you see that? | 20 | in entirety herein." |
| 21 | A. Correct. | 21 | A. Okay, yeah. |
| 22 | Q. Did you file that paper? | 22 | Q. Correct? |
| 23 | A. I believe so. | 23 | A. Yeah. |
| 24 | Q. If you turn to the first green tab on the | 24 | Q. That would include what's in that website? |
| 25 | side, paragraph 235 | 25 | A. Correct. |
| | nuo, paragraphi 200 | | |
| | 18 | | 20 |
| 1 | A. Yes, sir. | 1 | Q. And you would agree the privileged e-mail is |
| 2 | Q. Did you write that paragraph? | 2 | at that website? |
| 3 | A. I did. | 3 | A. I don't know, I said. |
| 4 | Q. And in that paragraph, you advised that | 4 | Have you checked it? |
| 5 • | 'Claims of privilege were levied in attempts to cover up | 5 | Q. It's your testimony, sir. Are you telling the |
| ⁶ t | he documents that both threatens Eliot with | 6 | Court you don't believe |
| ⁷ f | corcefulness and aggressiveness and displays a wide | 7 | A. I don't know if the whole e-mail is there or |
| ⁸ V | variety of breaches of fiduciary duty in the estate." | 8 | what they published. I know those are links I was given |
| 9 | Did you write that? | 9 | to that document. |
| 10 | A. I did. | 10 | Q. And it's your testimony |
| 11 | Q. And you don't believe that discloses the | 11 | A. And it's a whole blog on it, actually, on the |
| ¹² S | substance of the e-mail that Ted sent to me? | 12 | Ted Bernstein report. |
| 13 | A. I don't believe that violates the Court's | 13 | (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 was marked for |
| ¹⁴ C | order. | 14 | identification.) |
| 15 | Q. That wasn't my question. | 15 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 16 | Does that contain the substance of what was in | 16 | Q. If you look at what we'll mark as Exhibit 2 |
| ¹⁷ t | he e-mail that there was threaten to forcefulness and | 17 | A. The order was that I not e-mail the document |
| ¹⁸ a | aggressiveness? | 18 | to anybody or forward it. I didn't do any of that. |
| 19 | A. No. That is a link to a website that somebody | 19 | Q. Exhibit No. 2 is an |
| ²⁰ e | else published. | 20 | A. Or republish the link of somebody else's. |
| 21 | Q. And in the website, someone could find the | 21 | Q. Exhibit No. 2 is an e-mail dated |
| ²² F | privileged e-mail? | 22 | September 4th. Did you send this e-mail to me and |
| 23 | A. Possibly. I didn't publish it. | 23 | numerous other people? |
| 24 | Q. Do you believe | 24 | A. I did. |
| 25 | A. I believe parts are up there, but somebody has | 25 | Q. If you turn to the second page with a green |
| 1 | | | |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

| 21 | 23 |
|--|--|
| ¹ tab, did you write, "As the privileged letter revealed | ¹ BY MR. ROSE: |
| ² and Ted's admissions" | ² Q. If you go to page 4, there is a tab, another |
| ³ THE COURT: Wait, wait. Where are you now? | ³ green tab, that should be on the side. If it's not |
| ⁴ THE WITNESS: The second page of the e-mail. | ⁴ A. No. |
| ⁵ MR. ROSE: I'm on the e-mail from | ⁵ Q. Paragraph 5, "That Alan attempted to smear, |
| ⁶ September 4th. It should be the three things in | ⁶ harass, defame and Eliot, et cetera, according to the |
| ⁷ the package with the green tabs. I'm sorry, Your | ⁷ alleged trustee, Theodore, under oath in these" |
| ⁸ Honor, we switched to a different document. | ⁸ THE COURT: Slow down. |
| ⁹ THE COURT: All right. I see September 4th | ⁹ MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. |
| ¹⁰ e-mail from Eliot to Alan. Okay, got it. | ¹⁰ BY MR. ROSE: |
| ¹¹ MR. ROSE: And numerous other people. | ¹¹ Q "of forcefulness and aggression in dealing |
| ¹² BY MR. ROSE: | ¹² with Eliot. This strategy was also stated and detailed |
| ¹³ Q. So page 2, did you write, "As the privileged | ¹³ in an e-mail sent to Eliot by Theodore that contained a |
| ¹⁴ letter revealed and Ted's admissions on the stand | ¹⁴ letter Theodore wrote to Alan, a claim they intended on |
| ¹⁵ reflect, you and Ted have engaged in legal strategy | ¹⁵ using this strategy of force and aggression on Eliot and |
| ¹⁶ against of 'force and aggression.'" | ¹⁶ all those who are helping him, too." |
| ¹⁷ Do you see that? | ¹⁷ Did you write that? |
| ¹⁸ A. Yes, I do. | ¹⁸ A. Yeah. |
| ¹⁹ (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3 was marked for | ¹⁹ Q. Are there numerous other places in which you |
| ²⁰ identification.) | ²⁰ have referenced the forcefulness and aggression and the |
| ²¹ BY MR. ROSE: | ²¹ facts that came out |
| ²² Q. And the third document, which is marked as | ²² A. Yep. |
| ²³ Exhibit 3, is a motion in opposition, which you filed in | - |
| ²⁴ the Shirley Bernstein estate. Can you identify that | ²⁴ A. Yeah. I think all over the place. |
| ²⁵ A. The one where is John Pankowski (phonetic) | ²⁵ Q. Next, do you serve every single piece of paper |
| u , | |
| 22 | 24 |
| ¹ fired? | ¹ in this case on every single person in my law firm that |
| ² THE COURT: I missed the question. | ² you know their e-mail address? |
| ³ THE WITNESS: So did I. | ³ A. I've been serving |
| ⁴ THE COURT: Slow down. | ⁴ THE COURT: Listen to his question. |
| ⁵ MR. ROSE: I'm onto the next exhibit. | ⁵ THE WITNESS: I am. I've been serving the |
| ⁶ THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. | ⁶ people that I listed as respondents in these |
| ⁷ BY MR. ROSE: | ⁷ matters, and as counter defendants. And I've asked |
| ⁸ Q. Exhibit No. 3 is the motion in opposition. | ⁸ Alan Rose repeatedly to give me their attorneys so |
| ⁹ Did you file that document in the courthouse on | ⁹ I could contact them, but he has refused, God |
| ¹⁰ August 28th of this year? | ¹⁰ knows, 10, 15 requests for who their lawyers are |
| ¹¹ THE COURT: Do I have that? | ¹¹ that are representing them so I could properly |
| ¹² MR. ROSE: It's the third the three things | ¹² serve the lawyers. But since he refuses to give me |
| ¹³ that I | ¹³ that information, yes, I've been serving the people |
| ¹⁴ THE WITNESS: Yes. | ¹⁴ at his law firm that I am suing. |
| ¹⁵ THE COURT: One, two. | ¹⁵ BY MR. ROSE: |
| ¹⁶ THE WITNESS: Yes. | ¹⁶ Q. Do you serve the bookkeeper at a law firm with |
| ¹⁷ MR. ROSE: I have an extra copy, Your Honor | every piece of paper |
| ¹⁸ THE WITNESS: You probably have it in your | |
| ¹⁹ computer. | ¹⁹ make a yeah, I am suing her. |
| ²⁰ MR. ROSE: This would be Exhibit 3, Your | ²⁰ Q. And do you serve every piece of paper on |
| ²¹ Honor. I apologize. | everyone in all of the offices of our law firm? |
| ²² THE COURT: Okay. So this is called, in the | ²² A. I've served you, the marshal served you or |
| ²³ Shirley Bernstein Estate, a motion in opposition, | ²³ somebody, right? Did they, yes or? |
| ²⁴ et cetera. | ²⁴ MR. ROSE: Mr. Bernstein, answer the question. |
| ²⁵ Go ahead. | ²⁵ THE WITNESS: Well, I was just |
| | |

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

| | 25 | 27 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: No. No. | ¹ THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yeah, I'm sorry. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Did I serve yeah, I served | ² BY MR. ROSE: |
| 3 | until you tell me who their lawyers are, I'm going | ³ Q. Did I call you a week ago to try to schedule a |
| 4 | to keep serving to people I'm supposed to. They're | ⁴ hearing on September 24th, and you advised me you were |
| 5 | on the service list. | ⁵ unavailable that day? |
| 6 | BY MR. ROSE: | ⁶ A. I did. |
| 7 | Q. Do you serve every single receptionist in both | 7 Q. Did you then call me a couple of days later |
| 8 | offices? | ⁸ and advise that the Court had three hours available on |
| 9 | A. I served people at your office that I'm suing. | ⁹ September 24th, and you were now available and wanted to |
| 10 | Q. Well, are you going to continue to do it? | ¹⁰ have your hearings heard on that day? |
| 11 | A. Unless I'm ordered not to, I have to. I'm | ¹¹ A. Well, what happened was you were harassing me |
| 12 | serving documents that are respondents and defendants. | ¹² to all of these trying to schedule all of these |
| 13 | You won't give me their lawyers. | hearings you canceled and depositions you canceled that |
| 14 | Who is you representing you, for example? | ¹⁴ you never even notified me about. So I called the Court |
| 15 | Q. Have you served process on the receptionist or | ¹⁵ to find out when we could have the thing that Martin |
| 16 | the secretary or the associates or the legal assistants? | ¹⁶ Colin, Honorable Martin Colin, wanted to hear next, |
| 17 | A. Not yet. I asked you to waive it, but you | ¹⁷ which was the removal of Ted. |
| 18 | refused to waive yours in your own lawsuit. And you're | ¹⁸ And since you were trying to schedule all of |
| 19 | making this expensive in trying, but yeah. | ¹⁹ these things, I called to get it done quickly because we |
| 20 | And if they have lawyers, I'm more than happy | have evidence that assets are now missing and that |
| 21 | to serve their lawyers. I've asked you 100 times. | ²¹ you've told the Court one thing, which we'll hear on my |
| 22 | Q. Have you cooperated in scheduling a date for | ²² contempt proceeding against you next at that now we |
| 23 | your deposition? | have deposition statement that say you sold furniture |
| 24 | A. Yes. | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 25 | Q. Do you believe I have the right to take your | ²⁵ THE WITNESS: that you said transferred |
| | Q. Do you beneve i nave the right to take your | |
| | 26 | 28 |
| 1 | deposition? | ¹ before. |
| 2 | A. Absolutely. If you served if yes, I do, | ² THE COURT: You're way beyond the scope of the |
| 3 | at this point. | ³ question. |
| 4 | Q. Do you recall the Court ordering that I'm | ⁴ THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 5 | entitled to take your deposition prior to the hearing on | ⁵ MR. ROSE: Nothing further. |
| 6 | your pending motions and petitions including the | ⁶ THE COURT: So before you respond, I have a |
| 7 | petitions to remove Ted? | ⁷ question |
| 8 | A. Yes. But I had no hearing scheduled until | ⁸ MR. ROSE: I did forget one other aspect. |
| 9 | last week. So the 30 times you tried to schedule a | ⁹ THE COURT: Sure. |
| 10 | deposition were all abusive and harassment, and there | ¹⁰ BY MR. ROSE: |
| 11 | was no upcoming hearing. And I told you I was in | ¹¹ Q. Did you tell us that you sent the e-mail, |
| 12 | medical procedures that are causing me tremendous | ¹² privileged e-mail, to 2,000 people between the time you |
| 13 | headaches. I'm on medicines, I'm on heavy narcotic. | received it on a Thursday evening and the following |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would you like to | ¹⁴ morning when we were in front of Judge Colin? |
| 15 | see the list I'm on since their July hearing? I | ¹⁵ A. No. What I said was that I had sent the |
| 16 | brought it with me today for you. It's the Publix | ¹⁶ e-mail to many of the people who were named in there to |
| 17 | receipts. | ¹⁷ be abused by you guys. And then what happened was I |
| 18 | THE COURT: I don't need to see the receipts. | ¹⁸ believed I posted it on social media, where just like on |
| 19 | You just told me about it. | ¹⁹ Facebook I have like 4,000 friends, let alone all of the |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Well, you can see I don't have | ²⁰ other places I'm socially connected, which is |
| 21 | teeth and I have a broken face where all my bones | everywhere. That's everywhere. |
| 22 | were shattered. When the teeth are out, I have | ²² So I believe that thousands of people have |
| 23 | extreme headaches that a mortal man wouldn't be | ²³ that information. And in fact, I'm certain quite a lot |
| 24 | here. | ²⁴ of them do. And I posted things for everybody to delete |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Let's focus. | ²⁵ it, but I'm not exactly sure how that works on Facebook |
| | THE COOKT. AII HEIR. Let's locus. | it, out i in not exactly such ow that works on i account |
| | I | |

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

| 29 | 31 |
|--|--|
| ¹ and Instagram and everywhere else. But, okay. | ¹ A misread to some other kind of statement, |
| ² Q. Do you recall testifying on May 23rd that you | ² which is more of what's going on here, but okay. We'll |
| ³ sent it to your friends who are lawyers, you sent it to | ³ get to my turn next. |
| ⁴ a number of people; you got so busy sending it to people | |
| ⁵ because it scared you that by the time you were done | ⁵ supposed to do in the meantime with your copies," |
| ⁶ sending it to people, your wife stopped you and said it | ⁶ correct? |
| was time to go to court? | ⁷ A. I told them that pending the appeal, they |
| ⁸ A. I do recall that. | ⁸ should consult with a lawyer; that I'm not sure what to |
| ⁹ Q. That would mean from 10:00 at night until the | ⁹ do on appeal. I looked up the rules, but I'm not a |
| ¹⁰ morning when it was time to come to court, you were | ¹⁰ lawyer, and it doesn't say much about the appeal. And |
| | ¹¹ Judge Colin's order didn't say anything about Eliot. So |
| senting the e-man to people. | |
| A. who said I statted at 10.00 in the morning | I told them to seek legal counsel, which I thought was |
| 10.00 at linght. | what they should do. Tou knew that people were |
| Q. wen, you got reu's e-man, and then about | objecting to destroying it. |
| ¹⁵ less than an hour, you got my e-mail telling you not to | ¹⁵ Q. Did you appeal the order? |
| ¹⁶ send it to the people. | ¹⁶ A. I haven't yet. |
| ¹⁷ Had you sent it to anybody | ¹⁷ Q. Did you advise the people after your deadline |
| ¹⁸ A until the next morning when I got up. | ¹⁸ to appeal a file [sic] that you were no longer appealing |
| ¹⁹ Q. How many people have you advised | ¹⁹ it, and they should delete all copies and return them |
| ²⁰ A. Every single person I sent an e-mail, and I've | ²⁰ and destroy them as Judge Colin ordered you to do? It's |
| ²¹ given you and the Court copies and I regret that you | ²¹ a yes or no. |
| ²² continue to try to mislead the Court that I have been | ²² A. I've already advised them to delete the e-mail |
| ²³ provided the e-mails that I sent and telling those | ²³ and what to do, and gave them the rules and gave them |
| ²⁴ people to delete and destroy. | ²⁴ Judge Colin's order. They're not, you know |
| ²⁵ Q. Well, there are about four people that you | ²⁵ MR. ROSE: Nothing further. |
| 30 | 20 |
| 30 ¹ sent e-mails that you provided as part of your | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to |
| | |
| ¹ sent e-mails that you provided as part of your | ¹ THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed with the Court. It's in the court record. It |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it because | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed with the Court. It's in the court record. It |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this that there was a designation that you made this to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed with the Court. It's in the court record. It would be let me look to see if I have a copy of it. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the | THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to do. Stay there, Eliot. Do you have any other witnesses? MR. ROSE: No. THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement that there was a designation that you made this is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed with the Court. It's in the court record. It would be let me look to see if I have a copy of it. MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to 19 serve somebody until they've been served with |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to 19 serve somebody until they've been served with 20 process. So he can't send anything to anybody in |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. So I said to everybody, I'm not a lawyer, but I'm appealing this. So in the interim, I don't know | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to 19 serve somebody until they've been served with 19 process. So he can't send anything to anybody in 11 my law firm. By law, he can't |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. So I said to everybody, I'm not a lawyer, but I'm appealing this. So in the interim, I don't know what you do with your copies; contact a lawyer. But you | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do we have it, Candy? 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to 19 serve somebody until they've been served with 19 process. So he can't send anything to anybody in 11 my law firm. By law, he can't 12 <t< th=""></t<> |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. So I said to everybody, I'm not a lawyer, but I'm appealing this. So in the interim, I don't know what you do with your copies; contact a lawyer. But you misquoted and took out that little one tiny section and | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to 17 Mr. Bernstein, and he refuses. 18 Now, as a matter of law, he has no right to 19 serve somebody until they've been served with |
| sent e-mails that you provided as part of your A. However many there were. Q. So how does four people equal 2,000 people? A. I just told you, on Facebook, when you post something, there are thousands of people that can access that publication. Q. And did you remove everything from Facebool A. I think. It's kind of hard to know. I posted people to delete it. Q. Did you tell people that they could they should decide for themselves what to do with it becaus you were going to appeal it? A. No. What I told them to do, if you read the letter, is to delete it. I gave them the Judge's order. I complied fully 100 percent with Judge Colin's order. I told them to delete it, blah. Then I said, because Judge Colin's order is confusing, it says, "If Eliot appeals, he should," whatever. So I said to everybody, I'm not a lawyer, but I'm appealing this. So in the interim, I don't know what you do with your copies; contact a lawyer. But you | 1 THE COURT: Okay. So here's what I want to 2 do. Stay there, Eliot. 3 Do you have any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: No. 5 THE COURT: You mentioned in opening statement 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 6 that there was a designation that you made this 7 is to Mr. Rose about who Mr. Bernstein should 8 serve by e-mail. I need to see that designation. 9 MR. ROSE: I'm not sure I have it. It's filed 10 with the Court. It's in the court record. It 11 would be let me look to see if I have a copy of 12 it. 13 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 14 MR. ROSE: The designation only lists one 15 e-mail. It's mine only. I didn't list any 16 secondaries. And I have e-mailed it to |

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

| 33 | 35 |
|---|---|
| ¹ Do you know when you sent that e-mail | ¹ Mr. Bernstein? |
| ² designation? | ² MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct, sir. |
| ³ MR. ROSE: I think it was in I think it was | ³ THE COURT: But they're not served yet. So |
| ⁴ in like June or July, that time frame. I think | ⁴ the question is, can you start the e-mail process |
| ⁵ THE COURT: Okay. Did you get | ⁵ in advance of them even being served because it's |
| ⁶ Mr. Bernstein, Eliot, did you get the designation | ⁶ the service of process that lets them know that |
| ⁷ by Mr. Rose as to who would receive e-mails? | ⁷ you're a party; otherwise, they tend |
| ⁸ MR. BERNSTEIN: No. | ⁸ MR. BERNSTEIN: But they're |
| ⁹ THE COURT: You don't have a copy of it? | ⁹ THE COURT: they don't know the lawsuit is |
| ¹⁰ MR. BERNSTEIN: No. | ¹⁰ taking place that you filed. |
| ¹¹ THE COURT: I'm talking to Mr. Rose now. | ¹¹ MR. BERNSTEIN: I also ask them all to waive, |
| ¹² MR. ROSE: Let me see the e-mail. | ¹² and these are lawyers and this is going to run up |
| ¹³ MR. BERNSTEIN: And Your Honor, I've asked | ¹³ huge expenses to me. |
| ¹⁴ them if he was going to be accepting service for | ¹⁴ THE COURT: Well, I understand that. |
| ¹⁵ everybody. | ¹⁵ MR. ROSE: Your Honor |
| ¹⁶ THE COURT: Well, service is different. This | ¹⁶ MR. BERNSTEIN: and they refused to waive |
| ¹⁷ is now the issue of whether the e-mail rules are | ¹⁷ THE COURT: Hold on. |
| ¹⁸ being complied with. | ¹⁸ MR. ROSE: It does raise a completely |
| ¹⁹ MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they're all respondents, | · · · |
| ²⁰ all those people. | ²⁰ Bernstein is an experienced litigant. Even though |
| ²¹ THE COURT: But that's it may be different. | ²¹ he's pro se, he's been litigating with people for |
| ²² MR. BERNSTEIN: Tricky? | ²² 15 or 20 years as a pro se. So what he does is he |
| ²³ THE COURT: Hold on. I have to find this. | ²³ goes to the clerk's office without filing |
| ²⁴ MR. ROSE: In point of fact, the rule is self | ²⁴ anything |
| ²⁵ executing, Your Honor. What the rule says is if I | ²⁵ MR. BERNSTEIN: I object. |
| 34 | 36 |
| ¹ haven't filed a designation, you're to serve me at | ¹ THE COURT: Hold on. |
| ² the e-mail address that I have listed with the | ² MR. ROSE: and again, it's my name as a |
| ³ Florida Bar. But you're not to serve parties. | ³ respondent. If you look at the docket sheet, he |
| ⁴ He continues to serve all these that are | ⁴ has the word "respondent" after my name. |
| ⁵ represented by counsel. And there is no right to | ⁵ THE COURT: To what pleading? |
| ⁶ serve anyone in my law firm other than me. | ⁶ MR. ROSE: Just to the docket sheet. He goes |
| ⁷ I'm having the document e-mailed to me. | ⁷ to the clerk's office, and somehow either he does |
| ⁸ THE COURT: You need to, because I'm just | ⁸ it or |
| ⁹ having trouble ¹⁰ MP_PEPNSTEIN: They were all respondents in | ⁹ THE COURT: With every pleading, he serves |
| WIK. DEKINSTEIN. They were all respondents in | i Mik. DEKINSTEIN. Tpaiù die cierk, which you |
| tills matter, if you look at mem. | tota me to pay to make them respondents. I paid \$5 |
| THE COOKT. They may be, but if you're Thi | for each respondent. They re an instea in the |
| not taiking about, right now, if this following | Court that way. That's what was I advised to do. |
| everybody, wir. Beinstein's attempt to serve process | THE COURT. The respondent is a person who is |
| 15 on component in a large 's TI start 1'CC st | 15 the maximizer $f = -f(1) + f(1) + f(1)$ |
| ¹⁵ on someone named in a lawsuit. That's a different | ¹⁵ the recipient of a petition filed by a petitioner, ¹⁶ who Leaguage is Mr. Demotrin at extern |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. | ¹⁶ who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to | ¹⁶ who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. ¹⁷ So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't ²⁰ do it because he should only e-mail them to you. | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? MR. BERNSTEIN: No, sir. |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't ²⁰ do it because he should only e-mail them to you. ²¹ Mr. Bernstein says, in response, that if he | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? MR. BERNSTEIN: No, sir. MR. ROSE: I don't think it's I don't even |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't ²⁰ do it because he should only e-mail them to you. ²¹ Mr. Bernstein says, in response, that if he ²² e-mails something, he's not going to e-mail to | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? MR. BERNSTEIN: No, sir. MR. ROSE: I don't think it's I don't even understand the point of it other than it's all |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't ²⁰ do it because he should only e-mail them to you. ²¹ Mr. Bernstein says, in response, that if he ²² e-mails something, he's not going to e-mail to ²³ another party a pleading to you, Mr. Rose, because | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? MR. BERNSTEIN: No, sir. MR. ROSE: I don't think it's I don't even understand the point of it other than it's all designed to |
| ¹⁶ subject, I think. ¹⁷ The question is, can you e-mail papers to ¹⁸ them? ¹⁹ And Mr. Rose, you're saying that he shouldn't ²⁰ do it because he should only e-mail them to you. ²¹ Mr. Bernstein says, in response, that if he ²² e-mails something, he's not going to e-mail to ²³ another party a pleading to you, Mr. Rose, because | who I assume is Mr. Bernstein, et cetera. So if you're named a respondent, Mr. Rose, then in the heading, that's what you're talking about? You're a respondent in the heading? MR. BERNSTEIN: No, sir. MR. ROSE: I don't think it's I don't even understand the point of it other than it's all designed to |

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

| | | | 20 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | 37 | 1 | 39 |
| 2 | an example. Show me. | 2 | question: Are you being joined as a party through |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Individually and | 3 | a pleading filed by Mr. Bernstein? |
| 4 | professionally. | 4 | MR. ROSE: No. |
| 5 | THE COURT: I see that. I see your name is | 5 | THE COURT: So Mr. Bernstein, listen |
| 6 | there. | 6 | carefully. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: It's not a procedure that's | 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't hear that. I'm so |
| 8 | recognized by Florida law, but if you look on the | 8 | sorry. I was peeling |
| 9 | docket sheet, he lists my name | 9 | THE COURT: There is an estate case open |
| 10 | THE COURT: I see, "Respondent Alan Ross, | 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: my gums off. |
| 11 | personally, and Alan Rose, professionally." | 11 | MR. ROSE: In the Shirley Bernstein and the |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: I'm not a respondent in I'm a | 12 | Simon Bernstein Estate. |
| 13 | counsel of record for somebody. I'm under the | 13 | THE COURT: I'm looking at Simon right now, |
| 14 | I'm serving as counsel in the case. And what he | | which is 2012CP4391. |
| 14 | does is he adds the name "respondent," and then he | 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 16 | says I'm a party. | 16 | THE COURT: I see here that you have named as |
| 10 | His whole goal is to get us to quit or step | 17 | a respondent Mr. Rose, personally and |
| 18 | aside. He's already moved to disqualify us. It's | 18 | professionally. |
| 19 | not a legally recognized thing to just call someone | 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 20 | a respondent. | 20 | THE COURT: So is there a pleading that you |
| 20 | THE COURT: Why don't you dismiss | 21 | have filed or someone else has filed in which |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Respondent | 22 | Mr. Rose is being sued in that capacity in this |
| 23 | THE COURT: yourself as a party if | 23 | case? |
| 24 | you're were you served with process? | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: No. | 25 | THE COURT: What's that pleading? |
| 23 | THE COURT: So | 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: All of them, the petitions and |
| | 38 | | 40 |
| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You haven't been served with | 1 | everything. I've listed him as a respondent and |
| 2 | any process, Alan? | 2 | notified him. |
| 3 | THE COURT: No. | 3 | MR. ROSE: And when he is |
| 4 | If you're a named party in a lawsuit, then you | 4 | THE COURT: You listed him as a respondent. |
| 5 | would and you don't know why you're there or | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: But when he gets served |
| 6 | think you shouldn't be there, you need to move to | 6 | THE COURT: Slow down. In an estate case, the |
| 7 | dismiss yourself or strike yourself from that part | 7 | actions that get filed in this estate case are |
| 8 | of the pleading, and then I can consider doing | 8 | governed by the rules of procedure. They are if |
| 9 | that. | 9 | they're lawsuits, they're adversary proceedings. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And he is served | 10 | They're either mandatory or they're discretionary. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Stop. Stop. | 11 | You have to ask for permission and you have to have |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: We're talking about two different | 12 | a special heading for them tacked onto the |
| 13 | things. He has now filed two counterclaims against | 13 | pleading. And I would have to see what the |
| 14 | me. We're going to deal with those another day. | 14 | adversary pleading is that supposedly would bring |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. | 15 | Mr. Rose or any other attorney from the point of an |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: But in the estate proceeding, I'm | 16 | attorney to being a named party. |
| 17 | not a party to the estate proceeding in any way, | 17 | And I assume it's you who are the petitioners |
| 18 | shape or form. There is no basis to make me a | 18 | in that actions. |
| 19 | party to the estate proceeding. | 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 20 | THE COURT: But | 20 | THE COURT: And so I'm going to deal with |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You were involved in the | 21 | that. |
| 22 | fraud, in the forgery | 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And by the way, in Florida, |
| 23 | THE COURT: Slow down. | 23 | when he accepts when he gets the petition served |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: advancing us. | 24 | on him and accepts it at his e-mail address that's |
| 25 | THE COURT: If he wants so here's the | 25 | registered with the Court, he's accepted service of |
| | | | - , 1 |

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

| | 41 | | 43 |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1 | that document. He's a respondent. He could have | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir. |
| 2 | filed an opposition pleading, but he's refusing to | 2 | Okay. The first |
| 3 | do that. | 3 | THE COURT: Go back there. Take your stuff |
| 4 | By the way, he's also been served process in | 4 | and go back. |
| 5 | the countercomplaint of his own lawsuit he filed | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. He says |
| 6 | while I'm infirmed and he forced me to do all this | 6 | that I didn't comply. Was that the first thing? |
| 7 | nonsense. | 7 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Hold on. | 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: In fact, I complied early, |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Anyways, he's now been served | 9 | before you ever told me. I went back. The first |
| 10 | by the marshal. And that's after he waives | 10 | time I learned of this privileged claim, you told |
| 11 | wouldn't waive service so I had to pay 2-, 3-, | 11 | me to read the rules; I read them. I knew I had a |
| 12 | \$400, whatever, that I don't have as you know | 12 | right to challenge, but I didn't want to, you know, |
| 13 | because of the frauds that have held up my | 13 | get in trouble. I didn't quite understand what |
| 14 | inheritance. But he's now served. And he should | 14 | happened that day in court, so I notified everybody |
| 15 | have counsel on several things. | 15 | I had sent the e-mail to. Here's the rules: |
| 16 | He's being accused of very serious crimes; | 16 | Destroy and delete, is what it says. And you have |
| 17 | fraud, legal malpractice, breaches | 17 | to, you know, do what you think, talk to your |
| 18 | THE COURT: Those are not crimes. You're in | 18 | counsel. |
| 19 | the wrong court if he's you don't serve someone | 19 | After your ruling of privilege, your order |
| 20 | with crimes. | 20 | said send everybody a copy of your order, notify |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I've served civil fraud or the | 21 | them to destroy and delete, and I did all of that. |
| 22 | counts | 22 | Would you like to see that evidence? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Civil is different than | 23 | THE COURT: Show it to Mr. Rose first. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right, civil fraud | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Did you get copies o |
| 25 | THE COURT: Be careful about your wording. | 25 | that? Just the first page there. |
| | 42 | | 44 |
| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: malpractice and about 100 | 1 | THE COURT: He can look at the whole thing. |
| 2 | other torts. | 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 3 | THE COURT: I see one now. Hold on. Hold on. | 3 | MR. ROSE: I've seen copies of certain of |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you notified your | 4 | these, but not all of them. |
| 5 | counsel? | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What does it say? |
| 6 | THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, quiet. | 6 | MR. ROSE: Here. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. | 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What does it say? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. So this is how I want you | 8 | THE COURT: No, you're on the stand. You |
| 9 | to do it: I'm going to let you be heard, Eliot, | 9 | can't ask him questions. |
| 10 | right now on the issue of compliance or not with my | 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Not yet? I can call him in a |
| 11 | July 18th order, of 2014, dealing with the | 11 | minute? |
| 12 | privileged letter or e-mail. Go ahead. | 12 | THE COURT: Right. Go back. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So I can call witnesses and | 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you have do you want to |
| 14 | such? | 14 | see it? |
| 15 | THE COURT: Well, you can call witnesses in a | 15 | THE COURT: Hand it to my bailiff. |
| 16 | second, but I want you to finish your testimony | 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It's two letters, Your Honor. |
| 17 | first because you're on the stand. | 17 | The first one is after your order. The first one |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm confused. | 18 | was long before your order just because I did the |
| 19 | THE COURT: So you're now able you can show | | right thing, according to what I thought was right. |
| 20 | cause why you shouldn't be held in contempt, and | 20 | THE COURT: Keep on going. |
| 21 | then you can call witnesses. | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So I did notify everybody. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So I can start? | 22 | That's misstated in his petition. He's known about |
| 23 | THE COURT: Right from there. | 23 | that. He has copies of that. He's on the |
| 24 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I have to get my stuff. | 24 25 | distribution list. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 20 | I have submitted those to the Court as |
| L | | | |

^{11 (}Pages 41 to 44)

| | 45 | | 47 |
|----------|--|----|--|
| 1 | evidence that | 1 | letter of the law. |
| 2 | Do you have that, honey, that proof that | 2 | If you want to rechange it and tell me I can't |
| 3 | THE COURT: Keep on going. Keep on | 3 | speak of it Mr. Rose doesn't even want me to |
| 4 | testifying. | 4 | speak; that even though my brother went on the |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. If you look in your | 5 | stand and said he had a strategy, they conspired of |
| 6 | docket, I submitted those letters to the Court as | 6 | force and aggression against me, to harass me. |
| 7 | proof, but yet Mr. Rose continues to try to set | 7 | THE COURT: Stop. Let me read this. |
| 8 | this up that I didn't comply, that I did something | 8 | Okay, go on. Anything else on this issue |
| 9 | wrong. At the very bottom of it, you'll see that I | 9 | without repeating yourself? |
| 10 | put in the note to everyone, I don't know what to | 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Was there anything else you |
| 11 | do pending appeal, check with your lawyer. That's | 11 | wanted me to address? |
| 12 | all I said. But I had already told everybody to | 12 | THE COURT: On this so let's go to the |
| 13 | delete and destroy according to the Judge's order, | 13 | deposition. |
| 14 | which is attached herein, which I attached therein. | 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, the deposition. Your |
| 15 | I have similarly posted some things around | 15 | order, again, states clearly that Eliot should do a |
| 16 | social media, but I don't know what effect that | 16 | deposition before his next hearings. |
| 17 | had. I don't know who read what and passed it to | 17 | THE COURT: So when are your next hearings? |
| 18 | who. I'm so linked to this world in the Internet, | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, it was just |
| 19 | it's hard to imagine it isn't everywhere. | 19 | scheduled last week. |
| 20 | Okay. Do you want more time? | 20 | THE COURT: For when? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Whatever you want to tell me. | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You're listening or reading? | 22 | For September 24th. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Both. | 23 | THE COURT: Is that accurate? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What was the second thing you | 24 | MR. ROSE: Yes. |
| 25 | wanted to know? | 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So |
| | | | |
| | 46 | | 48 |
| 1 | THE COURT: No, I | 1 | THE COURT: All right. Slow down. So |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, I didn't forward the | 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 3 | e-mail to anybody. Your order says don't forward | 3 | THE COURT: So here's what we're doing: When |
| 4 | the e-mail. I didn't forward the e-mail. I didn't | 4 | do you want to take his deposition? |
| 5 | publish the e-mail anywhere. I didn't republish it | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, could I ask a |
| 6 | inside any documents. | 6 | question? |
| 7 | I did do what your order has nothing to do | 7 | THE COURT: No. Let me first get that. |
| 8 | with, which was tell people there are links that | 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | has this information on the worldwide web. There | 9 | THE COURT: Does it have to do with that |
| 10 | was nothing we could do about the fact that before | 10 | issue? |
| 11 | there was a privileged claim, it had already got to | 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It does. |
| 12 | reporters and others. And you know, the reporter | 12 | MR. ROSE: We have |
| 13 | obviously is refusing. So your order says nothing | 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, let him go on. |
| 14 | about even republishing it, to be quite honest. | 14 | MR. ROSE: We have other hearings this |
| 15 | But I didn't republish it. I pointed people | 15 | Thursday. I could take it after those hearings. |
| 16 | to blogs that contain lots of information, | 16 | THE COURT: This Thursday, I saw in the |
| 17 | including that one. I have no control over those. | 17 | calendar there is something for this Thursday, but |
| 18 | THE COURT: What about you putting, though, | | I'm not sure. It looks like it's a petition for |
| 19 | information about this letter in these lawsuits you | 19 | discharge? |
| 20 | filed? | 20 | MR. ROSE: Of Ben Brown. |
| 21 | | 21 | |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't. I just put a link. | 22 | THE COURT: Of Ben Brown, okay. I didn't get |
| 22 | THE COURT: Well, okay. | 23 | that detail on there. |
| | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, your order says don't | 24 | Okay. So that's not one of the hearings that's referred to in the June 19th order? |
| 24 | | | $\frac{1}{100}$ |
| 24 25 | forward the e-mail to anybody. I didn't forward an e-mail to anybody. I followed your order to the | 25 | MR. ROSE: Correct. |

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

| | 49 | | 51 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: All right. So the next hearing | 1 | THE COURT: Hold on then I have to move |
| 2 | dealing with Eliot's pending motion/petitions is | 2 | both. |
| 3 | September 24th. | 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The hearings? |
| 4 | When do you want to take his deposition? | 4 | THE COURT: And the deposition. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I could take it on the 18th right | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I did want them later. |
| 6 | after the hearing. We could do it in the | 6 | It's just that we have serious problems. |
| 7 | courthouse, if we can get a room. | 7 | THE COURT: This is scheduling |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll only be able to do it | 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: There is one more thing. No. |
| 9 | telephonically. I'm medically | 9 | I get that. I'm more than happy to schedule, even |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well, you're going to be here, | 10 | though I don't feel well and it's wrong. |
| 11 | though, on the 18th. | 11 | The bottom line is, up till last week, |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I probably won't. | 12 | Mr. Rose has scheduled depositions without my |
| 13 | THE COURT: You're not going to be here for | 13 | knowledge, without my consent, filed, wasted the |
| 14 | then? | 14 | Court's time, money, the State's money, everybody, |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I shouldn't even be here now. | 15 | to cancel it because it was bogus. |
| 16 | THE COURT: But who's filed the petition for | 16 | THE COURT: Let's |
| 17 | discharge? | 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait, wait. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Ben Brown. | 18 | THE COURT: No, I want to get to |
| 19 | THE COURT: He's a curator? | 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: This is very important. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. | 20 | THE COURT: I'm going to get to the |
| 21 | THE COURT: And he wants to be discharged? | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. | 22 | THE COURT: other issues in a little bit. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Does anyone oppose that? | 23 | Let me deal with this. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I think the creditors. | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So you're not going to be here for | 25 | THE COURT: Are you going to be able to sit |
| | 50 | | 52 |
| 1 | then? | 1 | for your deposition between now and the 24th? |

| | 50 | | 52 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | then? | 1 | for your deposition between now and the 24th? |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I ask a question? | 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. |
| 3 | THE COURT: No, no. So here's the way this is | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. So we can keep the |
| 4 | going to work, this is really simple. The order | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can we do |
| 5 | that I entered on June 19th says what it says. | 5 | THE COURT: Hold on. The answer is you want |
| 6 | That usually is instructive enough not to cause a | 6 | to be able to keep the 24th hearing? |
| 7 | problem, but that doesn't work in this case. | 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 8 | So are you agreeing that he can appear by | 8 | THE COURT: So if you do that, you have to be |
| 9 | phone? If it's yes, it's yes. If it's no, I'll do | 9 | able to sit. |
| 10 | something else. | 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: I'd prefer we're all in Boca. | 11 | THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do. No, |
| 12 | It's not at a distance. It's very difficult to | 12 | I'm not going to barter with you folks with this |
| 13 | take a telephone deposition. | 13 | because time is important for this morning. Before |
| 14 | THE COURT: So this is the way it works. And | 14 | you leave, you're going to get a date that's |
| 15 | we're dealing with this today so you're not leaving | 15 | agreeable. |
| 16 | the courthouse without this. You have hearings on, | 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I make a statement? |
| 17 | what I'll call, Eliot's pending motions. They are | 17 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 18 | what they are. | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I am on heavy medication. |
| 19 | They have a right to take your deposition in | 19 | THE COURT: That's why I'm |
| 20 | person before those hearings. The hearings are set | 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait. Here. Right. |
| 21 | for the 24th. If you want those hearings still on | 21 | THE COURT: giving you a chance to |
| 22 | the 24th, you have to sit for your deposition. If | 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's exactly right. And I |
| 23 | you can't sit for the deposition for medical or | 23 | am going to get off it for the hearing to be a |
| 24 | other reasons | 24 | little bit cognizant. And so I would like to take |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well | 25 | the deposition, since I am going through procedures |
| | | | |

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

| | 53 | | 55 |
|----------|---|----|--|
| 1 | right up to that point, the day before or so | 1 | So the 22nd. Start at 10:00, Mr. Rose |
| 2 | because that's appropriate. | 2 | MR. ROSE: Okay. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, the only problem with that | 3 | THE COURT: at the court reporter's office. |
| 4 | is if you get it the day before and they want to be | 4 | Have someone from your office, Mr. Rose, now get |
| 5 | able to use your deposition at the hearing | 5 | the name of that reporter because I want it in the |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, two days before? | 6 | order, and I'm going to serve it on both you and |
| 7 | THE COURT: expedite it, it's a real | 7 | Mr. Bernstein. |
| 8 | expensive procedure. | 8 | MR. ROSE: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: They did that with Don Tescher | 9 | THE COURT: And that will be your deposition |
| 10 | (phonetic) and wasted all our money. | 10 | And that deposition will concern the pending |
| 11 | THE COURT: Well, I'm just | 11 | matters that are being set for the 24th. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So I'm just saying I have a | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Only, correct? |
| 13 | medical reason to do it this way. | 13 | THE COURT: You have tons of matters. So the |
| 14 | THE COURT: Can you take it two days before? | 14 | way it works is that's the scope of the order. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Otherwise, they're putting me | 15 | Mr. Bernstein, if you want to make an objection, |
| 16 | on all the narcotics | 16 | okay, you don't stop the deposition; that's the |
| 17 | THE COURT: Is that better for you? Hold on. | 17 | rule. You make an objection for record, and then I |
| 18 | Let's look at your schedule. That's a Monday | 18 | rule on the objection if they want to use the |
| 19 | because the hearing is on a Wednesday. | 19 | deposition at a hearing. But there is no such |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I'll take it Monday, and I'll | 20 | thing as canceling, walking out |
| 21 | decide we start early Monday and do it | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Protective order, I believe. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Let's do this right now. Pick the | 22 | THE COURT: But you |
| 23 | time and the place. | 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Move for that? Okay. |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: 9:00 a.m. We had to pick the court | 24 | THE COURT: You move for that, and then you |
| 25 | reporter that was somewhere in Boca Raton, | 25 | continue the deposition. |
| | reporter mat was somewhere in Doca ration, | | continue die deposition. |
| | 54 | | 56 |
| 1 | central | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Pick it now. Do you want to do it | 2 | THE COURT: Because here's the thing: You |
| 3 | at the court reporter's office? | 3 | want these hearings set |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: We noticed it at the court reporter | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm fine with the deposition, |
| 5 | that is behind One Boca Place on Glades, somewhere | 5 | Your Honor. |
| 6 | near between Glades and Military. | 6 | THE COURT: you get deposed. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Do you know where he's talking | 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know why we need on |
| 8 | about? | 8 | I'm going to be here for the hearing. So he could |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: My wife probably knows. | 9 | ask me the questions directly. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Do you know where he's talking | 10 | THE COURT: He wants to know in advance. So |
| 11 | about? | 11 | he can do that. |
| 12 | MS. BERNSTEIN: Yes, but can we not make it | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: God bless him. |
| 13 | 9:00? Can we make it closer to 10:30, 11:00 | 13 | THE COURT: So that takes care of that issue |
| 14 | because we have kids at school that start at 9:00. | 14 | here. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Well, I want him to finish. I | 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And is it limited in scope |
| 16 | don't want it to get postponed. | 16 | just so that I might not understand |
| 17 | | 17 | THE COURT: to the matters that |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: I would do it in this building if | 18 | |
| 19 | We THE COURT: I don't think we do we would to | 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: to removing Ted? |
| 20 | THE COURT: I don't think we do we used to, | 20 | THE COURT: Or any other pending motions that |
| 20 | but they don't do that anymore. | | I'm hearing on the 24th. |
| 0.1 | MS. BERNSTEIN: Where was the location again? | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just the motion to remove Te |
| 21 | ÷ | 22 | |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Call us with the location. | 22 | MR. ROSE: We have three hours reserved. |
| 22 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Call us with the location. We're pretty amenable. | 23 | There are a number of other really important |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Call us with the location. | | |

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

| | 57 | | 59 |
|----|--|----|---|
| 1 | time | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It's been like that since |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. | 2 | going back to time. |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: and if we do have time, we can | 3 | THE COURT: You have John and Jane Does 1 |
| 4 | reach them? | 4 | through 5,000. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, I don't know what those | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Only if it ties into my |
| 6 | matters are. And the problem is that I can give | 6 | federal RICO |
| 7 | you other hearing dates. If you want to have | 7 | THE COURT: But this is my case. |
| 8 | | 8 | • |
| 9 | another hearing date on one of your motions, | 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: But it might jump into anothe |
| 10 | Mr. Rose, on Ted's side, I'll give you a hearing | 10 | court. |
| 10 | right away. And I'll give you a hearing on that. | 11 | THE COURT: And I saw recently, and I'm not |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: I'm running into the same issues | 12 | sure where the pleading was, but if it gets brought |
| | with the scheduling; they're not agree to schedule | | to my attention that you put myself and Judge |
| 13 | them. We had times available | 13 | French down in the heading of the case, or in a sub |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. So then if you tell | 14 | heading of the case. You actually have us in the |
| 15 | me | 15 | pleadings. |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I need all that time. | 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: As material and fact witnesses |
| 17 | THE COURT: When you want okay. So he ha | | possibly. |
| 18 | his hearing date on the 24th. If you want another | 18 | THE COURT: Yeah, but I mean, you can't just |
| 19 | date | 19 | do things like that unless |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I'll schedule them separately. | 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm filing a countercomplaint |
| 21 | THE COURT: No, this morning, when I'm in | 21 | Your Honor. That's where it's at. |
| 22 | another hearing. But you'll sit outside and | 22 | THE COURT: But you can't put a counter but |
| 23 | schedule. If you have a problem, you'll come back | 23 | you haven't filed one, and you're putting us |
| 24 | in. | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I have filed one. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can that be after my medical | 25 | THE COURT: But judges' names are being put in |
| | 58 | | 60 |
| 1 | treatments? I've told him | 1 | the heading of the case as a witness. Witnesses |
| 2 | THE COURT: You could have the hearing, but | 2 | don't go in headings. |
| 3 | you'll schedule it you'll talk to him about when | 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, I'll move it down then, |
| 4 | you want it scheduled. | 4 | I'm sorry. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I've already told him | 5 | THE COURT: All right. We're not we're |
| 6 | after the 10th. | 6 | judges. So we're trying to do this thing as |
| 7 | THE COURT: It can't be sometime way down the | 7 | dignified as possible. |
| 8 | road. | 8 | I think I have an order prepared to sua sponte |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I told him after the 10th, and | 9 | strike those paragraphs because you're not allowed |
| 10 | you're not even available till then anyway | 10 | to do that. That violates significant rules to do |
| 11 | according to Sherry. | 11 | that. We're not witnesses |
| 12 | THE COURT: So we'll work on that. | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 13 | THE COURT: We're not witnesses to events that |
| 14 | THE COURT: So now, we've got to move this a | 14 | take place in the courtroom. |
| 15 | little bit. Let me go to the third issue, the | 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, these are |
| 16 | - | 16 | THE COURT: No, no, I'm not going to argue |
| 17 | e-mail. | 17 | |
| 18 | Okay. Mr. Bernstein? | 18 | with you on that. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir? | 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Your list of respondents seem to | 20 | THE COURT: So you need |
| 20 | be growing, okay? As the pleadings continue, | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So move it down? |
| | they're getting longer and longer. | | THE COURT: No. You need to eliminate us from |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Your Honor. | 22 | the heading, and I'm striking I think it's |
| 23 | THE COURT: They're not? | 23 | paragraphs 53 and 54 of that whatever that |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. | 24 | lawsuit was that tries to say, as a pleading in the |
| 25 | THE COURT: I mean | 25 | case, that you want Judge French and I to be |
| | | | |

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

| 61 | | 63 |
|---|----|---|
| witnesses to different things that you say happened | 1 | secretaries. Could you imagine suing General |
| improperly by others in our courtroom. That's not | 2 | Motors and e-mailing all of their 50,000 employees? |
| a proper pleading. That violates civil rules, and | 3 | Number two, in the Simon Bernstein Estate, |
| you're barking up the wrong tree when you start to | 4 | we're not in that case. It has nothing to do with |
| do that stuff. So I'm going to be striking that. | 5 | it. |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 6 | There are two other things he sued us, and he |
| THE COURT: I'm the judge, you're the party. | 7 | sued me in the case called Oppenheimer, which is |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: I got it. | 8 | pending before Your Honor. Now, in that case, I've |
| THE COURT: Let's leave it that way. | 9 | been served, but no one else has been served and no |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: I will. I respect that. | 10 | one else is really named. He didn't name the |
| THE COURT: On the e-mail things, here's what | 11 | secretary. He didn't name those people. |
| I'm hearing, and I want to make sure I understand | 12 | And in this case, we filed a trust |
| it. You have filed an action. It could be in the | 13 | construction case. One of the important issues we |
| form of a countercomplaint. And you're naming | 14 | want to have heard is my motion to sever his |
| individuals from Mr. Rose's office as parties. So | 15 | counterclaim. Your Honor has already addressed his |
| far true? | 16 | counterclaim briefly by saying he joined you as |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. | 17 | a |

MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait. You're defending in that case, and you accepted service. So who are you representing right now?

| | J 1 0 0 |
|----|---|
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Alan? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Stop. |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: In the counterclaim |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he's talking a different |
| | |

| | 62 | | 64 |
|----|---|----|---|
| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I have at their e-mail | 1 | case right now, Your Honor. |
| 2 | addresses that are | 2 | THE COURT: All right. Hold on. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Right, but I'm talking about | 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And he's a defendant who has |
| 4 | service now, because you're talking about process. | 4 | been served in that case, his own lawsuit. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. Exactly. I've asked | 5 | THE COURT: So here's what we're doing. I'm |
| 6 | them to waive, and I'm trying to get that to save | 6 | going to case manage this a little bit better. So |
| 7 | us a few thousand dollars. | 7 | let me just give you a rule that I'm going to rely |
| 8 | THE COURT: Pending serving these individuals, | 8 | upon. |
| 9 | who you want to name as party defendants or | 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I have to ask |
| 10 | respondents in your action, you're e-mailing things | 10 | Alan |
| 11 | to them; is that accurate? | 11 | THE COURT: Stop, stop. Stop for a second. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. The countercomplaints | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | and waiver of service saying, will you please waive | 13 | THE COURT: So in the Rule 5s, which are the |
| 14 | and | 14 | probate rules, it has some really specific guidance |
| 15 | THE COURT: Is that what you're getting? | 15 | here. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: That's not exactly true, Your | 16 | So here's what we're doing from now on: |
| 17 | Honor. What's happening is, right now there are | 17 | Whether it's in an estate case or in a trust case |
| 18 | four different things that in the Estate of | 18 | that's filed before me, two things I want. This is |
| 19 | Shirley Bernstein, we aren't parties. There is no | 19 | ordered that must happen from here on in. If there |
| 20 | claim made against us. | 20 | is a desire on anyone to file an adversary |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: There is. | 21 | proceeding, which is anything other than the |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Other than me appearing in the | 22 | ordinary administration of the estate or the trust, |
| 23 | case, there's nothing to do and he's e-mailing | 23 | okay, they must do the following first: They have |
| 24 | everything in the Shirley Bernstein to every | 24 | to set a hearing before the Court. They can |
| 25 | member, every person in my firm, including | 25 | prepare the purported pleading. They bring the |

18

19

20

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

THE COURT: And you're trying to serve them as

THE COURT: Okay. And you haven't yet served

MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct, sir.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I served Mr. Rose.

THE COURT: But you haven't served the

them, and no one has made --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parties?

individuals --

| | 65 | | 67 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | pleading, unfiled with the clerk, before me, and I | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, but I'm not a lawyer. |
| 2 | determine whether it's going to be allowed to be | 2 | THE COURT: But Mr. Bernstein, there is no way |
| 3 | filed with the clerk, and start the process under | 3 | in any of these actions that 100 pages is a short |
| 4 | the rule as an adversary proceeding. That's one. | 4 | pleading. So you need to be able to have that in |
| 5 | That's Rule 5.025. | 5 | mind. Understand you don't prove your case in your |
| 6 | But I don't want I'm modifying the rule a | 6 | pleading; it's a notice pleading. |
| 7 | little bit because I don't even want it served in | 7 | If you look at, for example, the Supreme Court |
| 8 | clerk's office. The clerk is getting bombarded | 8 | forms, on a simple matter, which is there is an |
| 9 | here unnecessarily. | 9 | action in county court that the Supreme Court gives |
| 10 | I'm going to look at the lawsuit, okay? I'm | 10 | you the form on. If you loan someone \$100 and they |
| 11 | going to see how it's styled, and then I'll | 11 | don't pay you back, here's what you write in the |
| 12 | determine whether it meets the rules for an | 12 | lawsuit. You write, "I loaned John \$100. He |
| 13 | adversary proceeding by the petitioner versus the | 13 | hasn't paid me back. I want a judgment for \$100." |
| 14 | respondent in the particular case that's filed. So | 14 | You don't put, "I loaned John \$100. He's an |
| 15 | I'll determine that. That's one. | 15 | SOB. He's using it for this." |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that apply to the | 16 | Maybe all of that stuff is true and maybe you |
| 17 | countercomplaint? I mean, I've been served | 17 | need to prove that at trial, but that's not how you |
| 18 | THE COURT: Well, when | 18 | plead it. The Supreme Court gives examples of |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: and I have a time limit. | 19 | lawsuits. They're all less than a page. |
| 20 | THE COURT: When you say you have, a | 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor |
| 21 | countercomplaint, there's a complaint filed in what | 21 | THE COURT: So you may need more than a page, |
| 22 | case? | 22 | but you're going to pay attention to that rule. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Two cases, Oppenheimer and | 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask you a question? |
| 24 | then Alan Rose sued me the other day in a and | 24 | THE COURT: Not yet. I'm finishing my order. |
| 25 | served me, and I had an answer a countercomplaint | 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, no problem. |
| | 66 | | 68 |
| 1 | | | |
| T | while I was not well | 1 | THE COURT: So we're going to go ahead now and |
| 2 | while I was not well. THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is | 1 2 | THE COURT: So we're going to go ahead now and modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this |
| | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is | | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this |
| 2 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. | 2 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? |
| 2 3 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that | 2 3 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've |
| 2 3 4 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. | 2 3 4 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this | 2 3 4 5 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it | 2 3 4 5 6 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule | 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed countercomplaints. I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed countercomplaints. I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word is? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the way of lawsuits, petitions, counterpetitions, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word is? MR. BERNSTEIN: Short. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the way of lawsuits, petitions, counterpetitions, adversary proceedings without first bringing them |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word is? MR. BERNSTEIN: Short. THE COURT: Short. Short. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed countercomplaints. I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the way of lawsuits, petitions, counterpetitions, adversary proceedings without first bringing them in here unfiled for me to review. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word is? MR. BERNSTEIN: Short. THE COURT: Short. Short. MR. BERNSTEIN: But there's a lot of crimes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed countercomplaints. I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the way of lawsuits, petitions, counterpetitions, adversary proceedings without first bringing them in here unfiled for me to review. If you file them, don't do anything else on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: The answer is yes. The answer is yes, I want to see it. I want to see it all. MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed that THE COURT: I want to see it all. Now, the other rule and you're writing this in the order, and so Mr. Bernstein gets it everybody needs to remember that there is a rule, 5.020. So your wife is now taking that number. Let me read you something about what that rule says. "When you plead something, the pleading, called the petition, shall contain a short and plain statement of the relief sought, short statement of the grounds, and short statement of the jurisdiction of the court." That's what goes in a pleading, okay? Is there a word that I've been repeating in that last dialogue that should mean something to everybody? What do you think the operative word is? MR. BERNSTEIN: Short. THE COURT: Short. Short. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | modify all of the lawsuits to comply with this order, okay? So now that will take care of the I've dealt with Item 2 and 3. Now let's go back to No. 1. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Hold on. I'm not done. I have to finish my ruling. I have to get rid of you folks. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure exactly what that meant just now. THE COURT: What? MR. BERNSTEIN: Meaning I filed countercomplaints. I'm serving them. I've got THE COURT: Well, you filed them already. I'm not going to unfile them. MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. THE COURT: I want to see all of the things that are pending. Don't file anything new in the way of lawsuits, petitions, counterpetitions, adversary proceedings without first bringing them in here unfiled for me to review. |

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

| 6 | С |
|---|---|
| U | ~ |

Г

| | 69 | | 71 |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|---|
| 1 t | alking about not wasting time, Mr. Bernstein, and | 1 | THE COURT: Because if you'll listen to me, |
| | agree with you there because time is money in | 2 | you'll hear it. |
| | his business, to make sure that the matter is in | 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| | he right court, in the right case, and is going to | 4 | THE COURT: So here's the problem: The e-mail |
| | be able to go through the system the way it's | 5 | from Eliot seems like he did what 9A, B, and C did. |
| | upposed to go as opposed to having to be bombarded | 6 | What I see he's done also is he puts reference to |
| | with motions that waste a lot of time and money. | 7 | that e-mail in the pleadings, correct? |
| | So I'll review them. | 8 | MR. ROSE: Correct. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: My | 9 | THE COURT: And that's what I want to be able |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor | 10 | to see because here's the so technically, Eliot, |
| 11 | THE COURT: Hold on. | 11 | you're correct that I didn't put in my e-mail not |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: What I would request the Court to | 12 | to publish anything about that communication in |
| ¹³ d | lo is to stay my complaint and his counterclaim. | 13 | other media |
| | No one will have to be reserved. Everyone who has | 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't publish that. |
| | lready been served will deemed to be served. But | 15 | THE COURT: No, no. Publishing meaning |
| | tay them both until we have both filed | 16 | referred to it in your pleadings, which you did. I |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you doing that as a | 17 | read it. |
| ¹⁸ d | lefendant? | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, that I agree, right. |
| 19 | THE COURT: He's saying stay his action | 19 | THE COURT: So I didn't because it's not |
| ²⁰ a | igainst | 20 | always easy to think about, when I style an order |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: He's been served | 21 | like this, every possible way someone might stretch |
| 22 | THE COURT: Listen to me. | 22 | this rule. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 23 | So I'm going to here's my ruling: I'm |
| 24 | THE COURT: I'm repeating what he said. He's | 24 | deferring on the contempt ruling on violation of |
| ²⁵ n | not saying just your side. He's saying both sides. | 25 | the July 18th order. But I'm going to take up |
| | | | |
| | 70 | | 72 |
| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't want my side to be | 1 | through what would be at the next hearing that we |
| 2 | stayed. | 2 | have on this matter, a consideration of a motion to |
| 3 | THE COURT: I know, but I'm going to do that | 3 | strike those allegations that you say violate the |
| 4 ł | because I want to see you know, we've got to get | 4 | intent of the privileged communication law. And I |
| | a grasp. You know, Eliot | 5 | may have to remove them, okay? And that's the idea |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't sue him. He sued me | 6 | here. So we're going to have to kind of cleanse |
| 7 | 'm just responding within a legal time. | 7 | it, okay, a little bit to do this the right way. |
| 8 | THE COURT: his suit against you and see if | 8 | But now, Eliot, one final word. That's my |
| 9 | think it's proper as well. | 9 | ruling. I don't need any other evidence. You can |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. I thought you were | e ¹⁰ | have a seat. Go ahead. |
| ¹¹ I | reading this stuff. | 11 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor |
| 12 | THE COURT: Listen to what I'm saying. | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Do I get |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 13 | THE COURT: No, because I'm done. |
| 14 | THE COURT: So I'm going to look at all it of. | 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: But I've got a side that |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: I'll enter the order saying staying | 15 | hasn't been |
| ¹⁶ I | my case, both sides, until it's | 16 | THE COURT: I didn't hold you in contempt. Do |
| 17 | THE COURT: I've got to get done here. | 17 | you want me to consider your side and reconsider? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Okay. | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 19 | THE COURT: So the question is, is Eliot in | 19 | THE COURT: I didn't hold you in contempt. |
| 20 0 | contempt of violation of the July 18th | 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I know, but I've got a |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Do I get to put on my side of | 21 | counter to this that I want to ask him some |
| | his? | 22 | questions. I just want to put him on the stand. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Not yet because | 23 | THE COURT: A counter to what? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: How are we doing that | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I don't think this |
| ²⁵ V | without | 25 | was this filing was contempt. I think it was |
| 1 | 1 | | |

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

٦

| 73 harassment, it was frivolous THE COURT: It's a proper way of doing it. | 1 2 | 75 who's already been injured by fraud, forgery |
|--|--|--|
| | 2 | |
| | | THE COURT: Slow down. |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my question is this, | 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: My children have been harmed, |
| Your Honor. | 4 | et cetera. Money has been cut off. That's life |
| THE COURT: What? | 5 | support money to us. |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein, why is this | 6 | THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, what do you want to |
| happening in the Estate of Simon, meaning there was | 7 | tell me? |
| a privileged letter that my brother sent me some | 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking you, because it |
| letter that wasn't a lawyer. You knew the whole | 9 | benefits the Estate, obviously they're bringing all |
| story. Why is this in the Estate of Simon? Why | 10 | these actions, and it's obvious I need counsel. |
| has Alan Rose chose to file this | 11 | THE COURT: Get to the bottom line. |
| THE COURT: This order I entered is in the | 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you approve that the |
| Estate of Simon's case. | 13 | Estate pay for my counsel? |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but he has no right to | 14 | THE COURT: If I can so here's the answer |
| be filing it in the Estate. But you already | 15 | to that: How do I legally do that, is the answer. |
| ordered a curator | 16 | And if you've hired a lawyer or spoke to a lawyer, |
| THE COURT: If I entered an order, if I | 17 | then why don't you ask the lawyer if it's legally |
| entered an order in the case | 18 | allowed? |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but why are we hearing | 19 | Remember, I can't give you a legal opinion. I |
| this here? Alan Rose is bringing things into the | 20 | have to |
| estate where we already have a curator and a PR | 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It is legal |
| now. | 22 | THE COURT: Then have the lawyer file the |
| - | | MR. BERNSTEIN: I did file a motion with you |
| | | for legal fees, to compel them to pay legal fees. |
| hearing | 25 | THE COURT: Have your lawyer, have your |
| 74 | | 76 |
| THE COURT: I understand that. He's | 1 | purported lawyer bring that matter before me. |
| conducting it because he "he," Mr. Rose is | 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: He won't represent me until |
| allowed to go forward on matters even though the | 3 | pay him. |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4 | THE COURT: He can represent you on a matter |
| who we had for him hasn't done that. So these | | to determine whether I'll have the estate pay for |
| are | | him or not. He has to come forward on that. |
| - | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, how do you say that? |
| schedule your deposition. He has a right to be | | One more. No, I'm listening. |
| able to do that. | | THE COURT: He can bring a matter before me t |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Under this? Under Simon's | | determine whether the Estate should pay for him. |
| Estate? | | MR. BERNSTEIN: And then you rule on that? |
| THE COURT: Wherever it's noticed. It was | 12 | THE COURT: And then I'll rule on it, sure. |
| noticed | 13 | There is nothing wrong with ruling on that. If |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, like the deposition was | 14 | it's legal |
| noticed in Shirley. | 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it saves you a headach |
| THE COURT: Hold on. No, I'm looking at it. | 16 | and me a headache. |
| The order entered was in Simon. | 17 | THE COURT: You know what, we don't want |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: But you're ruling on things | 18 | headaches. |
| from Shirley's Estate, like production | 19 | But we need these pleadings to be, |
| THE COURT: It says Ted's Bernstein's motion. | 20 | Mr. Bernstein, we need these pleadings to be |
| I'm not going to revisit this. That's my order. | 21 | contained a little bit better. We need to have a |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask you another | 22 | more sensible direction of the service list. We |
| question? You're talking about me having counsel | 23 | need to focus in on who it is that really is the |
| | | - |
| and not being you know, how to file. These are estate actions brought against me as a beneficiary | 24 25 | focus of the action or the adversary proceeding. And here's my final word on this, and you're |
| | MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein, why is this happening in the Estate of Simon, meaning there was a privileged letter that my brother sent me some letter that wasn't a lawyer. You knew the whole story. Why is this in the Estate of Simon? Why has Alan Rose chose to file this THE COURT: This order I entered is in the Estate of Simon's case. MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but he has no right to be filing it in the Estate. But you already ordered a curator THE COURT: If I entered an order, if I entered an order in the case MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but why are we hearing this here? Alan Rose is bringing things into the estate where we already have a curator and a PR now. THE COURT: Right, but he's MR. BERNSTEIN: Why he is conducting this hearing 74 THE COURT: I understand that. He's conducting it because he "he," Mr. Rose is allowed to go forward on matters even though the PR, who I think is Mr. O'Connell and I forgot who we had for him hasn't done that. So these are I've looked at your motion. He wants to schedule your deposition. He has a right to be able to do that. MR. BERNSTEIN: Under this? Under Simon's Estate? THE COURT: Wherever it's noticed. It was noticed MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, like the deposition was noticed in Shirley. THE COURT: Hold on. No, I'm looking at it. The order entered was in Simon. MR. BERNSTEIN: But you're ruling on things from Shirley's Estate, like production THE COURT: It says Ted's Bernstein's motion. I'm not going to revisit this. That's my order. | MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein, why is this 6 happening in the Estate of Simon, meaning there was 7 a privileged letter that my brother sent me some 8 letter that wasn't a lawyer. You knew the whole 9 story. Why is this in the Estate of Simon? Why 10 has Alan Rose chose to file this 11 THE COURT: This order I entered is in the 12 Estate of Simon's case. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but he has no right to 14 be filing it in the Estate. But you already 15 ordered a curator 16 THE COURT: If I entered an order, if I 17 entered an order in the case 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: I know, but why are we hearing 19 this here? Alan Rose is bringing things into the 20 estate where we already have a curator and a PR 21 now. 22 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Why he is conducting this 24 hearing 24 THE COURT: I understand that. He's 2 conducting it because he "he," Mr. Rose is 2 allowed to go forward on matters even though the 3 PR, w |

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

| _ | ~ |
|---|---|
| 7 | 9 |
| | |

THE COURT: I don't have a motion for contempt

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, you do.

| 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Ub-huh. 4 THE COURT: No you agree? 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 5 7 THE COURT: So this is a non-jury proceeding. 7 8 Sel fef Sinsh that sentence: You want to win in ecourt by the judge ruling in your favor. That should be the gal, rule? 6 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: The. 7 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: So you have to do is step 7 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: So you have to do is step 7 14 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need 7 15 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need 7 16 the idea is, is that you have to do is step 7 16 the idea is, is that you have to be able 7 16 the idea is, is that you have to be able 7 17 Treally don't get upset, you know. If's a 7 18 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 7 19 fittle bi of a different hing because I'm not the 7 19 fittle bi of a different hing sthat will 7 20 the idea is, is that you have to be able 7 21 the idea is, is that you have | | The is to will, light? Tou want to will in court? | | WIR. DERIVOTEIN. Teal, you do. |
|--|----|---|----|--|
| Intercontent in the content of the content | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Uh-huh. | 4 | THE COURT: Where is there a motion? I don't |
| 1 THE COURT: So this is a non-jury proceeding. 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed it on Friday to be 1 So let's finish that sentence: You want to win in 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed it on Friday to be 10 should be the goal, true? 13 THE COURT: You can't You can't fue 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: True. 13 14 THE COURT: You can't You can't fue 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: True. 13 14 THE COURT: You can't You can't fue 13 lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 15 16 14 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 16 17 17 15 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 17 18 11 16 the draft get upset, you know. If's a 17 17 16 16 16 to flag get upset, you know. If's a 17 17 16 16 17 trally don't get upset, you know to be able 17 17 17 18 16 to flag this get upset, you know to be able 18 18 16 | 5 | THE COURT: Do you agree? | 5 | have that notice. |
| 1111: COURT: 30 tinks at numpuly proceeding. 1111: MR. Biolas, '(four-close reliables), '(four-close | 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. | 6 | Do you have that? |
| 4 So let's finish that sentence: You want to win in court by the judge ruling in your favor. That should be the goal, true? MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed it on Friday to be heard on 11 Should be the goal, true? THE COURT: You can't. You can't file something on Friday to be heard on 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: True. THE COURT: You can't. You can't file something on Friday to be heard on 13 lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step THE COURT: Do two mays make a right? 14 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need THE court Do two mays make a right? 14 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need THE court is on the thing because I'm not the 16 the other side. THE court is on the things that will THE court is on the things that will 17 I the ide is, is that you have to be able The more you focus in on the things that will THE court is on directed to winning, the more you THE court is on directed to winning, the more you cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into THE court. There's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 17 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficul, because that's in a that with a table to find THE court mothing 16 the pot that is ond directed to winning, the more you have agoad case on THE court mothing what's been< | 7 | THE COURT: So this is a non-jury proceeding. | 7 | MR. ROSE: (Non-verbal response). |
| Count by large running in your larour. Trunt Intervent is should be the goal, rune? If the COURT: You can't. You can't. You can't if the something on Friday to behaved on If the COURT: Coars. So you have to always, and image in the come side. If the COURT: You can't. | 8 | | 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed it on Friday to be |
| 10 should be the goal, true? 10 THE COURT: You can't. You can't file something on Friday to be heard on 11 THE COURT: Sou can't. You can't file something on Friday to be heard on 11 12 THE COURT: Tou. 12 13 lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 12 14 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 11 15 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 12 16 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 12 17 Telly don't get upset, you know. It's a 13 18 bittle bit of a different thing because I'm not the 14 19 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 15 10 to play this game to win ati. And there is a 14 11 to play this game to win ati. And there is a 14 12 The more you focus in on the things that vill. 12 13 to play this game to win ati. And there is a 14 14 to play bit game to win ati. And there is a 14 15 the more you focus in on the things that vill. 14 16 to play bit game to win ati. And there is a 14 | 9 | court by the judge ruling in your favor. That | 9 | heard here. |
| 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: True. 11 something on Friday to be heard on 12 THE COURT: Okay. So you have to do is step 12 13 lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 13 14 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need 14 15 to do win? Winning isn't necessarily upseting 14 16 the other side. 14 17 Ireally don't get upset, you know. It's a 17 18 bit of a different thing because I'm not the 19 19 patry to this. 19 10 by patry to this. 19 11 to lag this game to win at it. And there is a 11 12 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 12 12 cloud those cases, the more you focus. in on the things that will 12 12 help you win, the better for you. 14 14 the pot wait, the better for you. 14 15 the pot wait, the autore of what it is hat maybe 16 16 the pot wait, the fact is that maybe 16 16 the pot wait, the fact is that maybe 16 17 | 10 | | 10 | THE COURT: You can't. You can't file |
| 12 THE COURT: Okay. So you have to always, as 12 12 Lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 14 13 Lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 14 14 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 15 15 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 16 16 the other side. 17 17 Ireally don't get upset, you know. It's a 17 18 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 18 19 party to this. 17 We've got to get you folks out. It's I:1:5. I 10 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 18 22 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 18 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 20 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 26 26 of the clouds that I have to pul aside to be able 16 26 of the clouds that I have to pul aside to be able 26 27 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 11 28 t | 11 | | 11 | |
| 11 lawyers and pro ses, what you have to do is step 13 time. 12 back and say, how do l win? What is it that 1 need 14 the other side. 15 12 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 15 16 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 | 12 | | 12 | |
| 14 back and say, how do I win? What is it that I need 14 THE COURT: Do two wrongs make a right? 15 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 16 All right. Peel better with you mouth. 17 I really don't get upset, you know. It's a 17 We've got to get you folks out. It's 11:15. I 18 hittle bit of a different thing because I'n not the 18 We've got to get you folks out. It's 11:15. I 18 haven't even head my 10.45 yet. 18 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I think your ruling is 22 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 20 amend your order so he can 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 20 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 20 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 24 the pot that is not directed to winning me to east as uparate hearing what's been 20 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 25 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 20 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 26 filed. Sile the motion to strike and | 13 | | | |
| 15 to do to win? Winning isn't necessarily upsetting 15 All right. Feel better with your mouth. 16 the other side. 16 Write the order and deal with the deposition. 17 Ireally don't get upset, you know. It's a 17 We've got to get you folks out. It's 1:15. I 18 little bit of a different thing because I'm not the 18 haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 18 but the idea is, is that you have to be able 18 haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 19 Dat the idea is, is that you have to be able 18 haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 19 The more you focus in on the things that will 20 shouldn't your order no lawyer could republish 20 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 21 the help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 21 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 10 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 22 you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 23 of the clouds that 1 have to pull aside to be able 2 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 34 the kpot that is is not directed to winning, the more 1 | 14 | | 14 | |
| 16 the other side. 15 Write the order and deal with the deposition. 17 Iracilly don't get upset, you know. It's a 15 We've got to get you foks out. It's 11:5. I 18 little bit of a different thing because I'm not the 16 Haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 19 party to this. 19 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I think your ruling is 10 the hole to win at it. And there is a 16 10 the paty this game to win at it. And there is a 16 11 the botter of royou. The more you focus in on the things that will 17 12 cloud those cases, the more you focus in on the thing that word if you and, the better for you. The more you the bot pall of you win, the better for you. The more you the own at it is that maybe 17 12 you make your path difficult, because that's more 17 14 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 16 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 13 the pot that is not directed to what it is that maybe 17 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 14 the pot that is not directed to waining, the more 17 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones sting me. 17 | 15 | • | 15 | |
| 17 I really don't get upset, you know. It's a 17 We've got to get you folks out. It's 11:15. I 18 little bit of a different thing because I'm not the 18 haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 20 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 20 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I think your ruling is 21 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 21 shouldn't your order - no lawyer could republish 22 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 22 the thory your order so he can - 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 23 the haw time your order so he can - 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 25 you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing 2 you have a good case on 5 HE COURT: until you come before me. I 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able to find 6 have time. You need to oi. I'll get you in right away. Okay, bye. 3 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 1 field. Tile COURT: Maybe I won't be able to find <tr< td=""><td>16</td><td></td><td>16</td><td></td></tr<> | 16 | | 16 | |
| 13 little bit of a different thing because I'm not the 14 haven't even heard my 10:45 yet. 13 party to this. 15 MR. ROSE: 'Your Honor, I think your ruling is 20 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 21 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 21 that he hasn't violated your order - no lawyer could republish 22 the more you focus in on the things that will 22 the the better for you. The more you 23 24 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 25 THE COURT: Here's the thing: 'Im going to 25 cloud those cases on + 78 80 26 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 27 you make your path difficult, because that's more 2 11 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 3 else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 4 to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. 1 have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in 7 THE COURT: But maybe I | 17 | | 17 | _ |
| 19 party to this. 19 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I think your ruling is 20 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 21 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 21 shouldn't your order no lawyer could republish 22 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 22 shouldn't your order yet, but 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 23 amend your order so he can 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 25 look at more at a separate hearing what's been 2 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 2 else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. 5 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 right away. Okay, bye. 10 But they know this because they't trained 1 THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the </td <td>18</td> <td></td> <td>18</td> <td></td> | 18 | | 18 | |
| 20 But the idea is, is that you have to be able 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 21 to play this game to win at it. And there is a 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 22 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 20 that he hasn't violated your order yet, but 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 20 the thing that they know is privileged, so can we 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 25 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to 26 look at more - at a separate hearing what's been 26 1 78 80 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 29 you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 filed. File the form of pleadings. Don't 4 to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Thay're the ones suing me. 5 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of 7 7 THat's directed to everybody, okay? 7 7 7< | | - | | |
| 1 but the Real S, is utary of have to be able 1 and the last N, is utary of have to be able 1 22 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 22 1 the more you focus in on the things that will 23 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 the more you focus in on the things that will 23 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 25 10 kok at more at a separate hearing what's been 26 you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 21 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 24 you make your path difficult, because that's more 2 1 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 3 else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 4 the genvest what it is that maybe 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. 1 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 right away. Okay, bye. 1 8 the yok now this because they're trained 1 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| 22 certain way of doing it. There is a certain style. 22 the thing that they know is privileged, so can we amend your order so he can 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 26 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 26 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficul, because that's more you make your path difficul, because that's more you have a good case on 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able of find en tature of what it is that maybe 4 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. 6 HA: WB: ERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of 7 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 R. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in response to these lawsuits. 7 That's directed to everybody, okay? 9 7 THE COURT: I'l not undoing what's been filed, but no more action on them. I've stayed 14 | | - | | |
| 23 The more you focus in on the things that will 23 amend your order so he can 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: Tm going to 25 look at more at a separate hearing what's been 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 78 80 78 80 78 80 78 80 78 80 79 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 70 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 70 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 70 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 71 1100 kat is that maybe 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 71 1100 kat is that maybe 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 72 700 kat is that maybe 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 700 kat hear a good case on 1000 kat is that maybe 1000 kat more at a separate hearing what's been 700 kat hear a good case on 1000 kat is that maybe 1000 kat is that maybe 700 kat hear a good case on 1000 kat is that maybe 1000 kat is that maybe 700 kat hear a good case on 1000 kat is that maybe 1000 kat is that maybe 70 | | | | |
| 24 help you win, the better for you. The more you 24 THE COURT: Here's the thing: I'm going to look at more at a separate hearing what's been 25 1 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficult, because that's more you make your path difficult, because that's more you have a good case on 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 80 4 to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe you have a good case on 5 THE COURT: I'll got you in right away. Okay, bye. 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find it because there is all this other stuff in there. 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of 1 nave time. You need to do it. I'll get you in right away. Okay, bye. MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in response to these lawsuits. 10 But they know this because they're trained 10 THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed. 14 lawyers. But you need to 11 but no more action on them. I've stayed 12 14 THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer? 15 order up. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy? 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: If filed a response to theris. 17 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | |
| 25 cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into 25 look at more at a separate hearing what's been 78 78 80 1 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 2 you make your path difficult, because that's more 2 filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and 3 of the clouds that 1 have to pull aside to be able 3 else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't 4 to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Inhey're the ones suing me. 7 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 right away. Okay, bye. 8 9 That's directed to everybody, okay? 9 response to these lawsuits. 7 10 But they know this because they're trained 10 THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed, 11 lawyers. But you need to 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of 12 13 these pleadings on me and making me to respond 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer. 14 THE COURT: On what? 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy? </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| 78 80 1 the pot that is not directed to winning, the more 1 2 you make your path difficult, because that's more 1 3 of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able 1 4 to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe 2 5 you nake a good case on 5 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. 7 7 THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find 7 8 it because there is all this other stuff in there. 6 9 That's directed to everybody, okay? 9 10 But they know this because they're trained 10 11 lawyers. But you need to 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of 12 13 these pleadings on me and making me to respond 13 14 THE COURT: On what? 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get 16 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs. 17 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs. 19 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | |
| 1the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficult, because that's more of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe | 25 | cloud those cases, the more you throw stuff into | 25 | look at more at a separate hearing what's been |
| 1the pot that is not directed to winning, the more you make your path difficult, because that's more of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe you have a good case onfiled. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find it because there is all this other stuff in there.filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and I'll put i into perspective there. But nothing else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't MR. BERNSTEIN: Thay're the ones suing me. That's directed to everybody, okay?10MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of these pleadings on me and making me to respond THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the order up.MR. BERNSTEIN: Even the Oppenheimer. THE COURT: Prin the oppenheimer.14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the order up.MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get to put onMR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah, notify everybody on that stuff.15MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs. up our response.MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed? THE COURT: Not, no.14THE COURT: No, no.MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hearMR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| 111112you make your path difficult, because tha's more3113of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able3114to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe4MR. BERNSTEIN: I have toTHE COURT: until you come before me. I5you have a good case on5THE COURT: until you come before me. I16MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find7right away. Okay, bye.8It because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get1616MR. BERNSTEIN: One what?18THE COURT: No that you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20 <t< td=""><td></td><td>78</td><td></td><td>80</td></t<> | | 78 | | 80 |
| 2you make your path difficult, because that's more2I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing3of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able3else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't4to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe4MR. BERNSTEIN: I have to5you have a good case on5THE COURT: until you come before me. I6MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find7right away. Okay, bye.8it because there is all this other stuff in there.6MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: On that it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a moti | 1 | the pot that is not directed to winning, the more | 1 | filed. File the motion to strike and remove, and |
| 3of the clouds that I have to pull aside to be able to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe you have a good case on3else filed in the form of pleadings. Don't MR. BERNSTEIN: I have to5you have a good case on5THE COURT: until you come before me. I have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in right away. Okay, bye.6MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me. it because there is all this other stuff in there.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in right away. Okay, bye.9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get1616MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.1919MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear2324MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24war. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing everyone in my firm? | 2 | you make your path difficult, because that's more | 2 | I'll put it into perspective there. But nothing |
| 4to figure out the nature of what it is that maybe4MR. BERNSTEIN: I have to5you have a good case on5THE COURT: until you come before me. I6MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find7right away. Okay, bye.8it because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer?15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?22THE COURT: But that's not a motion.24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.23MR. ROSE: Is he pro | 3 | · · · · | 3 | |
| 5you have a good case on5THE COURT: until you come before me. I6MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find7right away. Okay, bye.8it because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of1213these pleadings on me and making me to respond1314THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the1415order up.1516MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get1617to put on1718THE COURT: On what?1819MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.1919MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.1920THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's2021your response.2122MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.2223THE COURT: No, no.2324MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24 | 4 | - | 4 | · • |
| 6MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones suing me.6have time. You need to do it. I'll get you in7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find7right away. Okay, bye.8it because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get1616THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.21MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 5 | - | 5 | THE COURT: until you come before me. I |
| 7THE COURT: But maybe I won't be able to find it because there is all this other stuff in there.7right away. Okay, bye.8it because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed, lawyers. But you need to12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get1616MR. BERNSTEIN: On what?18THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: No, no.2323THE COURT: No, no.23MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear2424MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 6 | | 6 | |
| 8it because there is all this other stuff in there.8MR. BERNSTEIN: Wait, wait. I filed things in9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 7 | | 7 | |
| 9That's directed to everybody, okay?9response to these lawsuits.10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 8 | - | 8 | |
| 10But they know this because they're trained10THE COURT: I'm not undoing what's been filed,11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed?22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 9 | | 9 | |
| 11lawyers. But you need to11but no more action on them. I've stayed12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: No, no.2223THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 10 | | 10 | 1 |
| 12MR. BERNSTEIN: They're the ones filing all of12everything.13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 11 | | 11 | ÷ |
| 13these pleadings on me and making me to respond13MR. BERNSTEIN: Even in Oppenheimer?14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 12 | | 12 | |
| 14THE COURT: I'll look at it all. Write the14THE COURT: Even the Oppenheimer.15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | 13 | | | |
| 15order up.15MR. BERNSTEIN: Everything?16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | ** |
| 16MR. BERNSTEIN: One more second. I didn't get16THE COURT: I'm staying17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | ** |
| 17to put on17MR. BERNSTEIN: So should we notify that guy?18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | - | | , , |
| 18THE COURT: On what?18THE COURT: Notify what you want. Yeah,19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | ÷ . | | |
| 19MR. BERNSTEIN: I filed a response to theirs.19notify everybody on that stuff.20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| 20THE COURT: But that's not a motion. That's20MR. BERNSTEIN: That everything is stayed?21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| 21your response.21THE COURT: Everything is stayed?22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22THE COURT: Everything is stayed. We can look23THE COURT: No, no.22MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | - | | |
| 22MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, no, I filed a motion.22more carefully at the pleadings in the case.23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| 23THE COURT: No, no.23MR. ROSE: Is he prohibited from e-mailing24MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear24everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| ²⁴ MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear ²⁴ everyone in my firm? | | | | |
| | 23 | THE COURT: No, no. | 23 | |
| ²⁵ today. ²⁵ THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. No more e-mailing. | 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Three components to hear | 24 | everyone in my firm? |
| | 25 | today. | 25 | THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. No more e-mailing. |
| | | | | |

77

pro se. So what I tell pro ses and I tell this to

lawyers, the idea of litigation is to win, okay?

True? Is to win, right? You want to win in court?

1

2

3

sent by you.

1

2

3

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

| | 81 | |
|----------|--|---|
| 1 | MR. ROSE: I have an order on that. | |
| 2 | THE COURT: You're not e-mailing no, there | |
| 3 | is nothing to there is no more notices of | |
| 4 | hearing, Mr. Rose. No more e-mailing to the crew. | |
| 5 | It's unnecessary to do that. | |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: I have an order in each of the | |
| 7 | three cases. | |
| 8 | THE COURT: You don't need to do that until I | |
| 9 | determine they're proper parties. | |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. | |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. You got it. Goodbye. Go | |
| 12 | outside and work on the deposition. You need to | |
| 13 | meet outside to finish the deposition place. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | (The hearing was concluded.) | |
| 16 17 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | 82 | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | CERTIFICATE | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA | |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | I, Jeana Kim, Registered Realtime Reporter, | |
| 8 | State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized | 1 |
| 9 | to and did stenographically report the foregoing | |
| 10 | proceedings and that the transcript is a true and | |
| 11 12 | complete record of my stenographic notes. | |
| 13 | Dated this 16th day of September, 2014. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Jeans Joans Joans Jeans Kim, CRR, RMR, FPR, CLR | |
| | Jeana Kim, CRR, RMR. FPR. CLR | |
| 16 | , - , ,, | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220 21 (Pages 81 to 82)

Page 1

Α **\$100** 67:10,12,13 67:14 **\$3** 36:11 **\$400** 41:12 **a.m**1:23,23 53:24 **able** 7:2 42:19 49:8 51:25 52:6 52:9 53:5 67:4 69:5 71:9 74:9 77:20 78:3,7 Absolutely 17:1 26:2 **abused** 28:17 **abusive** 26:10 **accept** 7:25 **accepted** 40:25 63:19 accepting 33:14 **accepts** 40:23,24 **access** 30:5 accessible 8:18 **accurate** 47:23 62:11 **accused** 41:16 act 15:18 action 61:13 62:10 67:9 69:19 76:24 80:11 **actions** 40:7,18 67:3 74:25 75:10 added 5:17 address 5:5 24:2 34:2 40:24 47:11 addressed 63:15 addresses 14:13 19:4 62:2 adds 37:14 administration 14:7 64:22 administrative 7:6 admissions 21:2,14 advance 35:5 56:10 advancing 38:24 **adversary** 40:9,14 64:20 65:4,13 68:21 76:24 advise 27:8 31:17 advised 18:4 27:4 29:19 31:22 36:13 affirmed 16:24 aggression 10:10 21:16 23:11,15 23:20 47:6 aggressiveness 18:7,18

ago 27:3 agree 10:16 15:18 20:1 57:12 69:2 71:18 77:5 agreeable 52:15 agreed 10:18 agreeing 16:1 50:8 agreement 1:4 10:22 **ahead** 17:2 22:6,25 42:12,25 68:1 72:10 airport 7:24 Alan 3:3 4:6 21:10 23:5,14 24:8 37:9,10 38:2 63:22 64:10 65:24 73:11,20 ALEXANDRA 1:7 allegations 72:3 alleged 23:7 **allow** 8:7 **allowed**14:8 15:19 60:9 65:2 74:3 75:18 **amenable** 54:23 amend 79:23 Amended 1:4 **answer** 24:24 52:5 65:25 66:2,2 75:14,15 **anybody** 14:11 20:18 29:17 32:20 46:3,24,25 **anymore** 54:20 **anyway** 9:7 58:10 Anyways 41:9 apologize 6:22,24 9:12 22:21 **appeal** 30:12 31:7 31:9,10,15,18 45:11 appealable 11:21 **appealed** 11:20,24 appealing 30:21 31:18 **appeals** 30:18 **appear** 12:25 13:5 50:8 appearances 3:1 4:5 **appearing** 62:22 **apply** 65:16 appreciate 5:5 approach 11:1 **appropriate** 53:2 **approve** 75:12

argue 60:16 **arguing** 32:22 **aside** 37:17 78:3 **asked** 24:7 25:17 25:21 33:13 62:5 asking 75:8 aspect 28:8 **assets** 27:20 assistant 14:17 assistants 25:16 associates 25:16 **assume** 36:16 40:17 **attached** 45:14,14 **attempt** 34:14 attempted 23:5 attempts 18:5 attention 6:1 59:12 67:22 **attorney** 40:15,16 attorneys 24:8 August 22:10 authorized 82:8 **available** 19:10 27:8,9 57:13 58:10 aware 5:14 в **B**1:7,9,9,10,10 3:3 71:5 **back** 10:6 11:16 14:2 32:25 43:3 43:4,9 44:12 57:23 59:2 67:11 67:13 68:5 77:14 **bailiff** 44:15 Bar 34:3 barking 61:4 **barter** 52:12 **based** 6:13 basically 12:11 **basis** 38:18 Beach 1:1,22,22 3:5,17 82:4 **behalf**1:9,11,13 3:2,8,14 4:7,15 **believe** 17:7,23 18:11,13,24,25 19:2,4 20:6 25:25 28:22 55:21 **believed** 28:18 Ben 48:20,21 49:18 beneficiary 74:25 benefits 75:9 Bernstein 1:4,4,7 1:7,7,8,8,9,11

1:12 3:9,10,22 3:22 4:7,9,10,23 4:25 5:10,17,21 6:4,6,9,18,22,24 9:9,11,22 10:4,7 10:14,21 11:8,18 11:25 12:21 14:20 15:9,22,24 16:6,9,13,16,18 16:23 17:19 20:12 21:24 22:23 24:24 32:7 32:13,17 33:6,8 33:10,13,19,22 34:10,21 35:1,2 35:8,11,16,19,20 35:25 36:10,16 36:20 37:2,21 38:1,10,21,24 39:2,4,6,9,10,11 39:14,18,23,25 40:5,19,22 41:9 41:21,24 42:1,4 42:6,7,13,18,22 42:24 43:1,5,8 43:24 44:2,5,7 44:10,13,16,21 45:5,22,24 46:2 46:21,23 47:10 47:14,18,21,25 48:2,5,8,11,13 49:8,12,15,18,20 49:22,24 50:2,25 51:3,5,8,17,19 51:21,24 52:2,4 52:7,10,16,18,20 52:22 53:6,9,12 53:15 54:9,12,21 54:22 55:7,12,15 55:21,23 56:1,4 56:7,12,15,18,21 57:2,16,25 58:5 58:9,13,17,18,22 58:24 59:1,5,8 59:16,20,24 60:3 60:12,15,18,20 61:6,8,10,17,20 61:23 62:1,5,12 62:19,21,24 63:3 63:18,22,25 64:3 64:9,12 65:16,19 65:23 66:4,7,21 66:23 67:1,2,20 67:23,25 68:7,10 68:13,17 69:1,10 69:17,21,23 70:1 70:6,10,13,21,24

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

| | | | Page 2 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | l . | I |
| 71:3,14,18 72:12 | С | civil 7:8 11:11 | consideration 72:2 |
| 72:14,18,20,24 | C 4:1 71:5 82:1,1 | 14:7 15:13 41:21 | conspired 47:5 |
| 73:3,6,6,14,19 | C.F 1:12,13 | 41:23,24 61:3 | construction 63:13 |
| 73:24 74:10,14 | calendar 48:17 | claim 23:14 43:10 | consult 31:8 |
| 74:18,22 75:3,6 | call 5:10 27:3,7 | 46:11 62:20 | contact 24:9 30:22 |
| 75:8,12,21,23 | 37:18 42:13,15 | Claims 18:5 | contain 18:16 |
| 76:2,7,11,15,20 | 42:21 44:10 | cleanse 72:6 | 46:16 66:12 |
| 77:4,6,11 78:6 | 50:17 54:22 | clearly 47:15 | contained 23:13 |
| 78:12,16,19,22 | called 15:10 17:19 | clerk 7:11 8:23 | 76:21 |
| 78:24 79:3,8,12 | 22:22 27:14,19 | 36:10 65:1,3,8 | contempt 4:13 11:9 |
| 80:4,8,13,15,17 | 63:7 66:12 | clerk's 5:22 35:23 | 13:23 15:5,13,20 |
| 80:20 81:10 | cancel 51:15 | 36:7 65:8 | 27:22 42:20 |
| Bernstein's 34:14 | canceled 27:13,13 | client 15:13 | 70:20 71:24 |
| 74:20 | | closer 54:13 | 72:16,19,25 79:1 |
| better 53:17 64:6 | canceling 55:20 | cloud 77:25 | contend 15:15 |
| 76:21 77:24 | CANDICE 3:10 | clouds 78:3 | continue 6:11,13 |
| 79:15 | Candy 32:13 | CLR 2:1 82:15 | 25:10 29:22 |
| beyond 6:12 28:2 | capacity 39:21 | cognizant 52:24 | 55:25 58:20 |
| bigger 8:20 | care 56:13 68:4 | Colin 1:18 27:16 | continues 34:4 |
| bit 51:22 52:24 | careful 41:25 | 27:16 28:14 | 45:7 |
| 58:15 64:6 65:7 | carefully 39:5 | 31:20 | continuing 11:22 |
| 72:7 76:21 77:18 | 80:22 | Colin's 30:15,17 | 15:16 |
| blah 9:15 30:16 | case 1:2 4:4 5:16 | 31:11,24 | control 46:17 |
| bless 56:12 | 7:17 13:13 17:19 | come 14:1 16:19 | Convention 7:25 |
| blog 20:11 | 24:1 32:25 37:13 | 29:10 57:23 76:6 | cooperated 25:22 |
| blogs 46:16 | 39:8,22 40:6,7 | 80:5 | copies 29:21 30:22 |
| boarding 7:24 | 50:7 59:7,13,14 | comes 8:16 | 31:5,19 43:24 |
| Boca 3:11 50:11 | 60:1,25 62:23 | comment 9:19 | 44:3,23 |
| 53:25 54:5 | 63:4,7,8,12,13 | communication | copy 22:17 32:11 |
| bogus 51:15 | 63:19 64:1,4,6 | 71:12 72:4 | 33:9 43:20 |
| bombarded 65:8 | 64:17,17 65:14 | | correct 4:14 12:11 |
| 69:6 | 65:22 67:5 69:4 | Company 17:20 | 13:8,24 16:16 |
| | 70:16 73:13,18 | compel 11:10 75:24 | |
| bones 26:21 | 78:5 80:22 | complaint 12:3,7 12:12 65:21 | 17:21 19:1,14,22 19:25 31:6 34:25 |
| bookkeeper 14:18 | cases 7:19 12:14 | 69:13 | |
| 24:16 | 65:23 77:25 81:7 | | 35:2 39:18 40:19 |
| bottom 45:9 51:11 | cause 42:20 50:6 | complete 9:13 | 48:25 49:22 |
| 75:11 | causing 26:12 | 82:11 | 55:12 61:20 71:7 |
| breaches 18:8 | central 54:1 | completely 35:18 | 71:8,11 |
| 41:17 | certain 11:17 | compliance 16:15 | correspondences |
| Brian 4:16 | 28:23 44:3 77:22 | 42:10 | 6:20 |
| briefly 63:16 | 77:22 | complied 30:15 | counsel 4:5 31:12 |
| bring 9:15 40:14 | Certified 2:1 | 33:18 43:8 | 34:5 37:12,13 |
| 64:25 68:24 76:1 | certify 82:8 | comply 10:21 11:10 | 41:15 42:5 43:18 |
| 76:9 | cetera 4:21 22:24 | 43:6 45:8 68:2 | 74:23 75:10,13 |
| bringing 68:21 | 23:6 36:16 75:4 | components 78:24 | counter 24:7 59:22 |
| 73:20 75:9 | challenge 15:20,24 | computer 8:19 | 72:21,23 |
| broken 26:21 | 16:6,7,13 43:12 | 22:19 32:25 | counterclaim 63:15 |
| brother 47:4 73:8 | chance 52:21 | concern 55:10 | 63:16,24 69:13 |
| brought 26:16 | changed 5:8 | concluded 81:15 | counterclaims |
| 59:11 74:25 | check 45:11 | conducting 73:24 | 38:13 |
| Brown 48:20,21 | checked 7:18 20:4 | 74:2 | countercomplaint |
| 49:18 | child 1:11,13 7:23 | confused 42:18 | 17:18 41:5 59:20 |
| building 54:17 | 8:2 | confusing 30:18 | 61:14 65:17,21 |
| business 69:3 | children 1:10 75:3 | connected 28:20 | 65:25 |
| busy 29:4 | chose 73:11 | consent 51:13 | countercomplaints |
| button 9:1,2 | CIKLIN 3:15 | consider 9:4 38:8 | 62:12 68:14 |
| bye 80:7 | CIRCUIT 1:1,1 | 72:17 | counterpetitions |
| | l | | |
| | | | |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

| | I | 1 | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 68:20 | 57:5,14,17,21 | 65:24 82:12 | 81:9 |
| country 7:24 | 58:2,7,12,14,19 | days 10:24 27:7 | determined 11:23 |
| counts 41:22 | 58:23,25 59:3,7 | 53:6,14 | dialogue 66:18 |
| county 1:1,22 67:9 | 59:9,10,18,22,25 | deadline 31:17 | die 7:16 |
| 82:4 | 60:5,13,16,19,21 | deal 38:14 40:20 | different 5:6 14:2 |
| couple 27:7 | 61:7,9,11,18,21 | 51:23 79:16 | 21:8 33:16,21 |
| court 1:1 4:3,11 | 61:24 62:3,8,15 | dealing 23:11 | 34:15 35:19 |
| 4:13,17,19,24 5:4,12,22 6:5,7 | 63:23 64:2,5,11 64:13,24 65:18 | 42:11 49:2 50:15 deals 7:6 11:13 | 38:12 41:23 61:1 62:18 63:25 |
| 6:10,21,23,25 | 65:20 66:2,5,15 | 15:10 | 77:18 |
| 9:10,18,23 10:6 | 66:22,24 67:2,7 | dealt 68:5 | difficult 50:12 |
| 10:11,17,23 11:2 | 67:9,9,18,21,24 | death 7:11 | 78:2 |
| 11:5,9 12:5,9,17 | 68:1,8,12,15,18 | decide 30:11 53:21 | dignified 60:7 |
| 12:19 13:7,9,14 | 69:4,11,12,19,22 | deemed 69:15 | direct 17:3 19:10 |
| 13:18,23 15:5,10 | 69:24 70:3,8,12 | defame 23:6 | directed 78:1,9 |
| 15:23,25 16:7,10 | 70:14,17,19,23 | defendant 3:8 64:3 | directing 9:21 |
| 16:14,17,19 17:2 | 71:1,4,9,15,19 | 69:18 | 11:16 |
| 17:6,13 19:4,9 | 72:13,16,19,23 | defendants 1:14 | direction 76:22 |
| 19:10 20:6 21:3 | 73:2,5,12,17,23 | 24:7 25:12 62:9 | directly 56:9 |
| 21:9 22:2,4,6,11 | 74:1,12,16,20 | defending 63:18 | discharge 48:19 |
| 22:15,22 23:8 | 75:2,6,11,14,22 | deferring 71:24 | 49:17 |
| 24:4 25:1 26:4 | 75:25 76:4,9,12 | delete 28:24 29:24 | discharged 49:21 |
| 26:18,25 27:8,14 | 76:17 77:3,5,7,9 | 30:9,14,16 31:19 | discloses 18:11 |
| 27:21,24 28:2,6 | 77:12 78:7,14,18 | 31:22 43:16,21 | discovery 13:16,17 |
| 28:9 29:7,10,21 | 78:20,23 79:1,4 | 45:13 | discretion 5:24 |
| 29:22 32:1,5,10 | 79:10,14,24 80:5 | Delray 1:22 | discretionary |
| 32:10,22,24 33:5 | 80:10,14,16,18 | denied 8:5 9:19 | 40:10 |
| 33:9,11,16,21,23 | 80:21,25 81:2,8 | deposed 56:6 | dismiss 37:20 38:7 |
| 34:8,12 35:3,9 | 81:11 | deposition 12:25 | displays 18:7 |
| 35:14,17 36:1,5 | Court's 18:13 | 13:6 16:2,8 | disqualified 13:3 |
| 36:9,13,14,24 | 51:14 | 25:23 26:1,5,10 | disqualify 13:5 |
| 37:4,9,20,22,25 | courthouse 1:22 | 27:23 47:13,14 | 37:17 |
| 38:3,11,15,20,23 | 22:9 49:7 50:16 | 47:16 48:4 49:4 | disruptive 14:21 |
| 38:25 39:4,8,12 | courtroom 60:14 61:2 | 50:13,19,22,23 | distance 50:12 |
| 39:15,19,24 40:4 40:6,20,25 41:8 | cover 18:5 | 51:4 52:1,25 53:5 55:9,10,16 | distribution 44:24 division 9:6 |
| 41:18,19,23,25 | creditors 49:24 | 55:19,25 56:4 | docket 36:3,6 37:8 |
| 42:3,6,8,15,19 | crew 81:4 | 74:8,14 79:16 | 45:6 |
| 42:23,25 43:3,7 | crimes 41:16,18,20 | 81:12,13 | document 15:16 |
| 43:14,23 44:1,8 | 66:23 | depositions 27:13 | 20:9,17 21:8,22 |
| 44:12,15,20,25 | CRR 2:1 82:15 | 51:12 | 22:9 34:7 41:1 |
| 45:3,6,21,23 | curator 49:19 | designate 14:10,11 | documents 13:11 |
| 46:1,18,22 47:7 | 73:16,21 | designation 32:6,8 | 17:5 18:6 25:12 |
| 47:12,17,20,23 | cut 75:4 | 32:14 33:2,6 | 46:6 |
| 48:1,3,7,9,16,21 | cutting 5:1 | 34:1 | doing 7:12 8:10 |
| 49:1,10,13,16,19 | | designed 36:23 | 38:8 48:3 64:5 |
| 49:21,23,25 50:3 | D | 66:24 | 64:16 69:17 |
| 50:14 51:1,4,7 | D 4:1 | desire 64:20 | 70:24 73:2 77:22 |
| 51:16,18,20,22 | D.B 1:9,10 | desk 8:19 | dollars 62:7 |
| 51:25 52:3,5,8 | date 13:1 25:22 | destroy 29:24 | Don 53:9 |
| 52:11,17,19,21 | 52:14 57:8,18,19 | 31:20 43:16,21 | Donna 3:22 |
| 53:3,7,11,14,17 | dated 1:4 20:21 | 45:13 | drafted 7:5 |
| 53:22,24 54:2,3 | 82:12 | destroying 31:14 | drafting 7:9 |
| 54:4,7,10,15,19 | dates 57:7 | detail 48:22 | Drive 3:4,16 |
| 54:24 55:3,3,9 55:13,22,24 56:2 | day 10:5 14:2 27:5 27:10 38:14 | <pre>detailed 23:12 determine 65:2,12</pre> | Dtd1:8,9,11,12 duly16:24 |
| 56:6,10,13,17,19 | 43:14 53:1,4 | 65:15 76:5,10 | duty 18:8 |
| ,,,,,, | | 00.10 /0.0,10 | |
| | 1 | I | I |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

ends 30:24 **exists** 19:11 Е **engaged** 21:15 **E**4:1,1 82:1,1 **enter** 9:24 70:15 e-mail 11:14,18,23 entered 9:18,20,23 12:15,17,19,20 11:14,16 50:5 53:8 12:21 14:5,9,12 73:12,17,18 14:13 15:12 74:17 18:12,17,22 19:3 **entirety** 19:17,20 19:3,6,10,16 entitled 26:5 20:1,7,17,21,22 equal 30:3 21:4,5,10 23:13 **ERIC** 1:7 **F**82:1 23:23 24:2 28:11 **ESQUIRE** 3:3,15 28:12,16 29:11 essentially 11:8 29:14,15,20 **estate** 18:8 21:24 31:22 32:8,15 22:23 38:16,17 30:4,7 33:1,12,17 34:2 38:19 39:8,11 34:17,20,22 35:4 40:6,7 62:18 40:24 42:12 63:3 64:17,22 43:15 46:3,4,4,5 73:7,10,13,15,21 46:24,25 58:16 74:11,19,25 75:9 61:11 62:1 71:4 75:13 76:5,10 71:7,11 et 4:20 22:24 23:6 **e-mailed** 32:16 36:16 75:4 34:7 **eternally** 19:11 **e-mailing** 62:10,23 evening 28:13 63:2 80:23,25 events 60:13 81:2,4 **everybody** 8:8,24 **e-mails** 14:20 28:24 30:20 29:23 30:1 33:7 33:15 34:14 34:22 43:14,20 44:21 **e-service** 14:5 45:12 51:14 66:8 **earlier** 12:2 66:19 78:9 80:19 early 43:8 53:21 80:1 everyone's 7:2 **easy**14:3 17:10 evidence 5:17 6:8 71:20 27:20 43:22 45:1 **effect** 45:16 72:9 **either** 15:18 36:7 evidentiary 11:19 40:10 **ex-parte** 8:11 eliminate 60:21 **exactly** 7:7 28:25 **Eliot**1:8 3:9 4:10 52:22 62:5,16 4:19,22 11:8 68:10 14:20 15:6 16:18 **EXAMINATION** 17:3 16:23 17:19 18:6 examined 16:24 21:10 23:6,12,13 **example** 5:25 7:21 23:15 30:18 12:6 25:14 37:1 31:11 32:2 33:6 67:7 35:19 42:9 47:15 **examples** 12:1,1,4 70:5,19 71:5,10 12:22,23 67:18 72:8 executing 33:25 **Eliot's** 49:2 50:17 exhibit 6:11 17:12 else's 7:13 20:20 17:14,18 20:13 emergencies 8:6 20:16,19,21 emergency 5:8,11 21:19,23 22:5,8 76:25 5:11 7:3,14,22 22:20 8:9,22 9:11,19 **exhibits** 6:4,6,9 10:15 6:15 17:9 employees 24:18 existence 13:12 63:2

expedite 53:7 expenses 35:13 expensive 25:19 experienced 35:20 **extra** 22:17 **extreme** 26:23 F **f/b/o**1:8,9,10,12 face 26:21 Facebook 28:19,25 **fact** 28:23 33:24 43:8 46:10 59:16 **facts** 23:21 family 7:8,21 **far** 61:16 **fast** 9:17 favor 77:9 **federal** 59:6 **feel** 51:10 79:15 **fees** 75:24,24 fiduciary 18:8 **figure** 78:4 **file** 5:22 9:11 17:22 22:9 31:18 64:20 68:19,23 73:11 74:24 75:22,23 79:10 filed 4:19 12:12 17:19 19:17 21:23 32:9 34:1 35:10 36:15 38:13 39:2,20,20 40:7 41:2,5 46:20 49:16 51:13 59:23,24 61:13 63:12 64:18 65:3,14,21 66:4 68:13,15 69:16 78:19,22 79:8 80:1,3,8,10 **files** 7:21 filing 5:16 35:23 59:20 72:25 73:15 78:12 filings 8:21 final 11:20 72:8 **find** 18:21 19:2 27:15 33:23 78:7 fine 9:8 56:4 finish 42:16 54:15

Page 4

68:9 77:8 81:13 finishing 67:24 **fired** 22:1 firm 14:17 15:1 24:1,14,16,21 32:21 34:6 62:25 80:24 **first** 6:2 11:13 16:17,24 17:9,24 42:17 43:2,6,9 43:23,25 44:17 44:17 48:7 64:23 68:21 FITZGERALD 3:3 five 7:15 **Flagler** 3:4,16 **flip** 12:3 **Floor** 3:16 Florida 1:1,22 3:5 3:11,17 34:3 37:7 40:22 82:3 82:8 **focus** 11:5 26:25 76:23,24 77:23 Foglietta 3:15 4:15,15 **folks** 10:18 52:12 68:9 79:17 followed 46:25 following 28:13 34:13 64:23 **follows** 16:25 force 10:9 21:16 23:15 47:6 forced 41:6 forcefulness 18:7 18:17 23:11,20 foregoing 82:9 forgery 38:22 75:1 forget 28:8 **forgot** 74:4 **form** 38:18 54:24 61:14 67:10 80:3 forms 67:8 forward 19:6 20:18 46:2,3,4,24,24 74:3 76:6 four 11:3,7,12 12:22 29:25 30:3 62:18 **fourth** 14:4 **FPR**2:1 82:15 **frame** 33:4 **fraud** 38:22 41:17 41:21,24 75:1 **frauds** 41:13 French 59:13 60:25

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

Friday 14:23 79:8 79:11 Friedstein 1:11,11 1:12 friends 28:19 29:3 frivolous 73:1 **front**15:7 28:14 **fully** 30:15 furniture 27:23 further 28:5 31:25 G G4:1 game 77:21 **general** 7:10 63:1 getting 8:5 14:20 58:21 62:15 65:8 give 5:24 9:4 13:1 24:8,12 25:13 36:25 57:6,9,10 64:7 75:19 given 11:25 20:8 29:21 **gives** 67:9,18 giving 52:21 **Glades** 54:5,6 go 5:3 7:1 9:7 10:7 17:2 19:5 22:6,25 23:2 29:7 42:12,25 43:3,4 44:12 47:8,12 48:13 54:24 58:15 60:2 68:1,5 69:5,6 72:10 74:3 81:11 goal 37:16 77:10 **God** 24:9 56:12 **goes** 35:23 36:6 66:15 going 4:22,25 5:13 9:14 10:12 11:6 17:9 19:3 25:3 25:10 30:12 31:2 33:14 34:22 35:12 38:14 40:20 42:9 44:20 45:3 49:10,13,25 50:4 51:20,25 52:11,12,14,23 52:25 54:24 55:6 56:8 59:2 60:16 61:5 64:6,7 65:2 65:10,11 67:22 68:1,16 69:4 70:3,14 71:23,25 72:6 74:21 79:24 good 4:3,6,9 5:7

5:12 78:5 **Goodbye** 81:11 governed 40:8 grant 8:9 grasp 70:5 green 17:24 20:25 21:7 23:3 **grounds** 13:17 66:14 **growing** 58:20 guidance 64:14 gums 39:9 **guy** 80:17 guys 28:17 н **H**1:18 hand 17:5 44:15 **handing** 17:17 happen 64:19

43:14 61:1

73:7

51:9

73:1

45:19

Hate 7:25

76:18

78:24

79:9,11,18

harmed 75:3

61:12 64:24 72:1 73:19,25 79:25 81:4,15 hearings 27:10,13 47:16,17 48:14 48:15,23 50:16 50:20,20,21 51:3 56:3 heavy 26:13 52:18 held 15:20 41:13 42:20 help 77:24 helping 23:16 hired 75:16 history 7:19 hold 11:8 33:23 35:17 36:1 41:8 42:3,3 51:1 52:5 53:17 64:2 68:8 69:11 72:16,19 happened 7:18 27:11 28:17 74:16 Homeland 7:25 happening 62:17 **honest** 46:14 **honey** 45:2 happy 10:11 25:20 **Honor** 4:6,9,12 10:20 11:14,25 harass 23:6 47:6 14:3 21:8 22:17 22:21 26:14 harassing 27:11 harassment 26:10 33:13,25 35:15 43:5 44:16 48:5 hard 10:19 30:8 56:5 58:22 59:21 62:17 63:8,15,21 64:1,9 67:20 68:7 69:10 72:11 **headache** 76:15,16 73:4 79:19 **headaches** 26:13,23 Honorable 1:18 27:16 heading 36:18,19 hour 29:15 36:25 40:12 hours 27:8 56:22 59:13,14 60:1,22 huge 35:13 hundreds 14:20 headings 60:2 hear 7:13 10:11,12 Τ 10:13 27:16,21 39:6 56:24 71:2 **IANTONI** 1:10 idea 72:5 77:2,20 heard 8:11 15:19 identification 27:10 42:9 63:14 17:15 20:14 21:20 hearing 1:18 5:3 identify 21:24 7:3,13,22 8:8,9 **imagine** 14:19 8:12 9:4,20 45:19 63:1 **important** 5:2 8:14 11:19 26:5,8,11 26:15 27:4 49:1 9:3 14:4 51:19 49:6 52:6,23 52:13 56:23 53:5,19 55:19 63:13 56:8,20 57:7,8,9

57:10,18,22 58:2 inadvertently 11:19 **include** 19:24 including 26:6 46:17 62:25 incorporate 19:16 19:19 incorporated 12:15 Indicating 4:23 Individually 1:7,8 1:10,12 37:2 individuals 61:15 61:25 62:8 infirmed 41:6 information 24:13 28:23 46:9,16,19 inheritance 41:14 injured 75:1 **inside** 46:6 Instagram 29:1 instructive 50:6 **intended** 15:12 23:14 **intent** 72:4 intentional 5:7,9 **interim** 30:21 **Internet** 45:18 **involved** 8:17 38:21 **issue** 16:3 33:17 35:19 42:10 47:8 48:10 56:13 58:15 **issues** 11:3,6,7,12 12:24 15:3 51:22 57:11 63:13 **Item** 68:5 J **J**1:10 **J.I**1:11 **Ja**1:9,10 **Jane** 59:3 Jeana 2:1 82:7,15 **JILL** 1:10 **Jo**1:9,10 **John** 12:13 21:25 59:3 67:12,14 **JOIELLE** 3:15 joined 39:1 63:16 **Joy** 3:15 4:15 judge 4:15 6:15 28:14 30:15,17 31:11,20,24 59:12 60:25 61:7 77:9 improperly 61:2 Judge's 30:14

9:25

45:13 judges 59:25 60:6 judgment 67:13 Judicial 1:1 14:6 **July** 11:16 12:10 15:8 26:15 33:4 42:11 70:20 71:25 **jump** 59:8 June 16:2 33:4 48:24 50:5 jurisdiction 66:15 κ keep 9:13 25:4 44:20 45:3,3 52:3,6 keeping 19:9 kids 9:13 10:4 54:14 Kim 2:1 82:7,15 kind 30:8 31:1 72:6 **knew** 31:13 43:11 73:9 **know** 5:15 20:3,7,8 24:2 30:8,21 31:24 33:1 35:6 35:9 38:5 41:12 43:12,17 45:10 45:16,17,25 46:12 54:7,10 56:7,10 57:5 70:3,4,5 72:20 73:14,19 74:24 76:17 77:17 78:10 79:22 knowledge 51:13 **known** 44:22 knows 24:10 54:9 **KONOPKA** 3:3 L **L**1:8,9,10,12 **large** 82:8 **late** 10:24 **law**14:17 15:1 24:1,14,16,21 32:18,21,21 34:6 37:7 47:1 72:4 **lawsuit** 19:17 25:18 34:15 35:9 38:4 41:5 60:24 64:4 65:10 67:12 lawsuits 40:9 46:19 67:19 68:2 68:20 80:9

lawyer 14:18 30:20 30:22 31:8,10 45:11 67:1 73:9 75:16,16,17,22 75:25 76:1 79:21 **lawyers** 14:10 24:10,12 25:3,13 25:20,21 29:3 35:12 77:2,13 78:11 **learned** 43:10 **leave** 52:14 61:9 **leaving** 50:15 **left** 30:24 legal 2:2 14:17 21:15 25:16 31:12 41:17 70:7 75:19,21,24,24 76:14 legally 37:18 75:15,17 let's 5:3 7:1 10:16 26:25 47:12 51:16 53:18,22 61:9 68:5 77:8 **letter** 19:7 21:1 21:14 23:14 30:14 42:12 46:19 47:1 73:8 73:9 letters 44:16 45:6 levied 18:5 **life** 7:11 75:4 limit 65:19 **limited** 56:15 line 51:11 75:11 **link** 18:19 19:18 20:20 46:21 **linked** 45:18 links 20:8 46:8 **LISA**1:11 **list** 25:5 26:15 32:15 44:24 58:19 76:22 **listed** 24:6 34:2 36:12 40:1,4 listen 24:4 39:4 69:22 70:12 71:1 listening 45:22 76:8 **lists** 32:14 37:8 **litigant** 35:20 litigating 35:21 litigation 77:2 little 30:23 51:22 52:24 58:15 64:6

65:7 72:7 76:21 77:18 **loan** 67:10 **loaned** 67:12,14 **location** 54:21,22 **long** 6:1 44:18 longer 31:18 58:21 58:21 **look** 8:16 14:15 17:8,9 19:8 20:16 32:11 34:11 36:3 37:7 44:1 45:5 53:18 65:10 67:7 70:14 78:14 79:25 80:21 **looked** 31:9 74:7 **looking** 39:12 74:16 **looks** 36:24 48:18 **lost**13:4 **lot** 5:14 6:19 28:23 66:23 69:7 **lots** 46:16 **LUBITZ** 3:15 М making 25:19 78:13 malpractice 41:17 42:1 man 26:23 **manage** 64:6 mandatory 40:10 mark 17:11 20:16 **marked** 17:14,17 20:13 21:19,22 marshal 24:22 41:10 **MARTENS** 3:15 Martin 1:18 27:15 27:16 **material** 59:16 materials 11:22 **matter** 7:10,13 32:18 34:11 67:8 69:3 72:2 76:1,4 76:9 matters 24:7 55:11 55:13 56:17,24 57:6 74:3 Max 1:11,12 mean 8:10,23 29:9 58:25 59:18 65:17 66:18 meaning 5:12 7:11 68:13 71:15 73:7 means 7:3 8:3,10

meant 9:25 68:11 media 28:18 45:16 71:13 medical 4:20 6:14 6:15,17 13:1 26:12 50:23 53:13 57:25 medically 49:9 medication 52:18 medicines 26:13 meet 81:13 meets 65:12 **member** 62:25 mentioned 32:5 **MICHAEL** 1:7 middle 7:12 Military 54:6 **mind** 67:5 mine 12:8 32:15 minor 1:9,11,13 **minute** 4:12 44:11 minutes 7:15 8:1 **mislead** 29:22 misquoted 30:23 misread 31:1 missed 22:2 missing 27:20 misstated 44:22 modify 68:2 modifying 65:6 Molly 1:7,8 Monday 1:21 14:24 53:18,20,21 **money** 9:25 10:17 51:14,14 53:10 69:2,7 75:4,5 **morning** 4:3,6,9 12:1 28:14 29:10 29:12,18 52:13 57:21 mortal 26:23 motion 4:13,20 6:8 6:11,13 7:22 11:2,8 13:4 21:23 22:8,23 56:21 63:14 72:2 74:7,20 75:23 78:20,22 79:1,4 80:1 motion/petitions 49:2 **motions** 8:5,22 26:6 50:17 56:19 57:8 69:7 Motors 63:2 mouth 79:15

move 38:6 51:1 55:23,24 58:14 60:3,20 16:2 moved 37:17 MRACHECK 3:3 N N4:1 23:19 **nails** 5:1 **name** 36:2,4 37:4,8 37:14 55:5 62:9 **0**4:1 63:10,11 named 28:16 34:15 36:17 38:4 39:15 40:16 63:10 **names** 59:25 **naming** 61:14 narcotic 26:13 narcotics 53:16 55:18 **narrow** 11:7,12 12:24 13:19 nature 16:11 78:4 **near** 54:6 necessarily 7:22 75:9 77:15 **need** 6:16 7:2 9:7 15:17 26:18 32:8 34:8 38:6 56:7 65:8 57:16 60:19,21 67:4,17,21 72:9 75:10 76:19,20 76:21,23 77:14 78:11 80:6 81:8 81:12 **needed** 6:16 **needs** 5:15 66:8 **never** 8:2 27:14 **new**8:19 10:7 68:19 **night** 29:9,13 **nine** 5:1 No.502014CP003... 1:2 non-jury 77:7 Non-verbal 79:7 nonsense 41:7 **North** 3:16 Northwest 3:10 **note** 45:10 notes 82:11 **notice** 67:6 79:5 **noticed** 54:4 74:12 74:13,15 notices 81:3 notified 27:14 40:2 42:4 43:14 81:11 **notify** 43:20 44:21

80:17,18,19 notwithstanding number 29:4 56:23 63:3 66:9 **numerous** 12:16 20:23 21:11 О **O'Connell** 3:15 4:16 74:4 oath 23:7 object 35:25 **objected** 13:16 objecting 31:14 **objection** 55:15,17 objections 13:16 **obvious** 75:10 obviously 46:13 office 14:19 25:9 35:23 36:7 54:3 55:3,4 61:15 offices 24:21 25:8 **Oh** 5:21 44:2 46:2 60:3,18 62:5 68:17 70:10 okay 4:17,24 5:4 5:16,21 6:21,22 7:4 10:14 15:22 15:25 19:21 21:10 22:6,22 27:24 29:1 31:2 32:1 33:5 38:15 42:8 43:2,5,24 44:2 45:5,20 46:22 47:8,14,18 47:25 48:8,21,23 50:2 51:5,21,24 52:3 53:6 55:2,8 55:16,23 56:1 57:17 58:5,13,17 58:20 60:18 61:6 61:21 64:12,23 65:10 66:16 67:1 67:25 68:3,7,17 69:23 70:10,13 70:18 71:3 72:5 72:7 77:2,12 78:9 80:7 81:10 **Once** 9:24

ones 78:6,12 open 10:22 39:8 **opening** 12:9 32:5 operative 66:19 opinion 75:19 Oppenheimer 12:14 17:20 63:7 65:23 80:13,14 **oppose** 49:23 **opposed** 69:6 opposition 21:23 22:8,23 41:2 order 7:6 9:18,20 9:23,24,24 10:1 10:17,21 11:14 11:16,20,21 12:8 12:10,12,22 13:7 15:8,15,15 16:2 18:14 19:4,9 20:17 30:14,15 30:17 31:11,15 31:24 42:11 43:19,20 44:17 44:18 45:13 46:3 46:7,13,23,25 47:15 48:24 50:4 54:25 55:6,14,21 60:8 66:7 67:24 68:3 70:15 71:20 71:25 73:12,17 73:18 74:17,21 78:15 79:16,20 79:21,23 81:1,6 ordered 12:25 16:5 25:11 31:20 64:19 73:16 ordering 26:4 orders 11:9,10 ordinary 64:22 **outline** 11:4 outside 17:5 57:22 81:12,13 Ρ **P**4:1 **P.A**3:4 package 12:2 21:7 **packet** 12:5 page 20:25 21:4,13 23:2 43:25 67:19 67:21 pages 5:16 6:2 8:22,22 14:25 67**:**3 paid 10:18 36:10 36:11 67:13 **Palm**1:1,22 3:5,17

82:4 **PAMELA** 1:7 Pankowski 21:25 paper 14:21 17:22 23:25 24:17,20 papers 14:16 16:11 34:17 paragraph 15:14 17:25 18:2,4 19:8,15 23:5 paragraphs 60:9,23 **parents** 9:13 part 30:1 38:7 particular 65:14 parties 34:3 61:15 61:19 62:19 81:9 parts 18:25 **party** 34:23,24 35:7 37:15,22 38:4,17,19 39:1 40:16 61:7 62:9 77:19 **passed** 45:17 **path** 78:2 **pay** 6:1 9:22,25 36:11 41:11 67:11,22 75:13 75:24 76:3,5,10 payment 9:21 10:23 peeling 39:7 **pending** 26:6 31:7 45:11 49:2 50:17 55:10 56:19 62:8 63:8 68:19 **people** 12:13 14:10 14:18 20:23 21:11 24:6,13 25:4,9 28:12,16 28:22 29:4,4,6 29:11,16,19,24 29:25 30:3,3,5,9 30:10 31:4,13,17 33:20 35:21 46:8 46:15 63:11 **percent** 30:15 permission 40:11 person 14:16 15:1 16:5 24:1 29:20 36:14 50:20 62:25 personal 3:14 4:16 personally 37:10 39:16 perspective 80:2 **petition** 36:15 40:23 44:22 48:18 49:16

66:12 petitioner 36:15 65:13 Petitioner's 17:14 17:18 20:13 21:19 petitioners 40:17 petitions 26:6,7 39:25 68:20 **phone** 3:6, 11, 17 50:9 **phonetic** 21:25 53:10 physically 36:24 **pick** 53:22,24 54:2 **piece** 23:25 24:17 24:20 **place** 23:24 35:10 53:23 54:5 60:14 81:13 places 23:19 28:20 **plain** 66:13 **Plaintiff** 1:5 3:2 **plane** 7:24 **play** 77:21 plead 66:11 67:18 **pleading** 5:8 6:1 9:12 34:23 36:5 36:9 38:8 39:2 39:19,24 40:13 40:14 41:2 59:11 60:24 61:3 64:25 65:1 66:11,16,25 67:4,6,6 pleadings 58:20 59:15 71:7,16 76:19,20 78:13 80:3,22 **please** 62:13 **point** 26:3 33:24 36:22 40:15 53:1 pointed 46:15 possibilities 6:12 possible 60:7 71:21 possibly 18:23 59:17 **post** 30:4 posted 28:18,24 30:8 45:15 postponed 54:16 **pot** 78:1 **PR**73:21 74:4 prefer 50:11 **prepare** 64:25 prepared 60:8 **PRESENT** 3:21

pretty 10:1 54:23 **print** 5:25 **prior** 11:9,10 26:5 privilege 14:9 18:5 43:19 privileged 11:13 11:18,23,24 18:22 19:3,16 20:1 21:1,13 28:12 42:12 43:10 46:11 72:4 73:8 79:22 **pro** 3:9,10 4:5,10 35:21,22 77:1,1 77:13 probably 12:8 22:18 49:12 54:9 **probate** 7:4,7,17 7:19 64:14 problem 5:13 50:7 53:3 57:6,23 67:25 71:4 **problems** 51:6 procedure 6:17 11:11 14:8 37:6 40:8 53:8 procedures 26:12 52:25 **Proceed** 16:20 proceeding 27:22 38:16,17,19 64:21 65:4,13 76:24 77:7 proceedings 40:9 68:21 82:10 **process** 25:15 32:20 34:14 35:4 35:6 37:23 38:2 41:4 62:4 65:3 produce 13:11 production 13:10 13:15,18 14:2 74:19 professionally 37:3,10 39:17 prohibited 80:23 prohibition 15:11 **proof** 45:2,7 proper 61:3 70:9 73:2 81:9 **properly** 24:11 Protective 55:21 prove 15:21 67:5 67**:**17 **provided** 29:23 30:1 publication 30:6

publicly 19:11 **publish** 15:16 18:23 46:5 71:12 71:14 published 18:20 20:8 Publishing 71:15 **Publix** 26:16 **pull** 78:3 purported 12:10 64:25 76:1 purposes 8:20 14:1 pursuant 16:11 **push** 9:2 put 6:4,6,7,8,19 8:15 19:18 45:10 46:21 59:12,22 59:25 67:14 70:21 71:11 72:22 78:17 80:2 **puts** 71:6 **putting** 46:18 53:15 59:23 Q question 18:15 22:2 24:4,24 28:3,7 34:17 35:4 39:1 48:6 50:2 67:23 70:19 73:3 74:23 questions 44:9 56:9 72:22 quickly 27:19 quiet 42:6 quit 37:16 quite 28:23 43:13 46:14 R **R**4:1 82:1 **raise** 35:18 rarely 8:9 Raton 3:11 53:25 **reach** 57:4 read 10:22 30:13 43:11,11 45:17 47:7 66:10 71:17 reading 45:22 70:11 **real** 53:7 **really** 7:5, 17, 19 50:4 56:23 63:10 64:14 76:23 77:17 **realm** 6:12 **Realtime** 2:1 82:7

reason 6:14,15 8:4 53:13 **reasons** 4:20 13:2 50:24 recall 26:4 29:2,8 **receipts** 26:17,18 **receive** 33:7 **received** 11:18 15:12 28:13 receptionist 25:7 25:15 rechange 47:2 recipient 36:15 recognize 13:12 16:4 recognized 37:7,18 reconsider 72:17 **record** 10:20 32:10 37:12 55:17 82:11 **reference** 12:16 19:16 71:6 referenced 23:20 referencing 19:19 **referred** 48:24 71:16 **reflect** 21:15 **refused** 10:21,22 24:9 25:18 35:16 **refuses** 13:1,5 24:12 32:17 refusing 41:2 46:13 registered 40:25 82:7 **regret** 29:21 **relief** 7:23 8:9 66:13 **rely** 64:7 remember 66:8 75:19 **removal** 27:17 **remove** 26:7 30:7 56:21 72:5 80:1 **removed** 13:3,3 removing 56:18 repeatedly 24:8 repeating 47:9 66:17 69:24 **report** 20:12 82:9 Reported 1:25 **reporter** 2:1 46:12 53:25 54:4 55:5 82:7 reporter's 54:3 55:3 reporters 46:12

| <pre>represent 76:2,4 representative 3:14 4:16 represented 34:5</pre> | 71:18 73:23 79:14, RMR 2:1 |
|--|---|
| representing 24:11 | road 58: |
| 25:14 34:24 | room 49: |
| 63:20 | Rose 3:3 |
| republish 19:9 | 4:12,1 |
| 20:20 46:5,15 | 9:14 1 |
| 79:21 | 12:6,1 |
| republished 12:12 | 13:8,1 |
| 12:15,20 | 15:12 |
| republishing 15:11 | 17:11, |
| 46:14 | 21:5,1 |
| request 13:15,18 | 22:5,7 |
| 69:12 | 23:1,9 |
| requests 24:10 | 24:15, |
| rescheduled 5:15 | 27:2 2 |
| reserved 56:22 | 31:25 |
| 69:14 | 32:14, |
| resolved 10:3 | 33:11, |
| respect 61:10 | 34:19, |
| respond 28:6 78:13 | 35:18 |
| respondent 36:3,4 | 36:21 |
| 36:12,14,17,19 | 37:24 |
| 36:25 37:9,11,14 | 39:3,1 |
| 37:19,21 39:16 | 40:3,1 |
| 40:1,4 41:1 | 44:3,6 |
| 65:14 | 47:21, |
| respondents 24:6 | 48:14, |
| 25:12 33:19 | 50:11 |
| 34:10 36:11 | 53:20, |
| 58:19 62:10 | 55:1,2 |
| responding 70:7 | 57:3,9 |
| <pre>response 34:21 78:19,21 79:7 80:9 return 31:19 revealed 21:1,14 review 68:22 69:8 revisit 74:21 RICO 59:6</pre> | 61:23 63:21, 69:9,1 71:8 73:20 79:19 81:4,6 Rose's 1 |
| rid 68:9 right 4:3,11 5:4 6:23 7:14 9:1 10:16 13:25 21:9 24:23 25:25 26:25 32:18 34:5 34:13 39:12 41:24 42:10,23 43:12 44:12,19 44:19 48:1 49:1 49:5 50:19 52:20 52:22 53:1,22 57:10,14 60:5 62:3,17 63:20 64:1,2 69:4,4 | Rose s 1 Ross 37: rule 7:1 14:7 3 55:17, 65:4,5 66:10 71:22 ruled 13 rules 14 14:22 16:15 33:17 43:15 64:14 ruling 4 |

72:7 73:14 74:8 77:3 15 80:7 82:15 8 7 3,3 4:6,7 8 5:19 L0:20 11:3 1,18,20 0,15,22,25 16:18 17:4 16 20:15 1,12,21 ,12,17,20 9,10 24:8 24 25:6 28:5,8,10 32:4,7,9 23 33:3,7 12,24 23 35:15 36:2,6,17 37:6,10,11 38:12,16 0,16,21 5 43:23 5 45**:**7 47**:**3 24 48:12 20,25 49:5 51:12 24 54:4,17 2,4,8 56:22 9,11,20 62:16,22 24 65:24 .2 70:15,18 72:11 73:11 74:2 79:7 80:23 81:1 5 0:9 61:15 9 4,15 14:6 33:24,25 18 64:7,13 5,6 66:6,8 67:22 76:11,12 3:20 :5,6,6,7 15:2,6 31:9,23 40:8 43:11 60:10 61:3 65**:**12 3:19 68:9

```
71:23,24 72:9
 74:18 76:13 77:9
 79:19
rulings 11:11
run 35:12
running 57:11
         S
S4:1,7
sake 7:2
sat16:7
Saturday 14:24
save 62:6
saves 76:15
saw 6:2 48:16
 59:10
saying 13:20 16:14
 34:19,25 53:12
 62:13 63:16
 69:19,25,25
 70:12,15
says 8:1 15:14,14
 16:4 19:19 24:18
 30:18 33:25
 34:21 37:15 43:5
 43:16 46:3,13,23
 50:5,5 66:11
 74:20
scan 5:23
scared 29:5
schedule 4:20 9:14
 26:9 27:3,12,18
 51:9 53:18 57:12
 57:20,23 58:3
 74:8
scheduled 26:8
 47:19 51:12 58:4
scheduling 8:15,18
 8:20 25:22 51:7
 57:12
school 9:13,16
 10:5,5,6 54:14
schooling 10:1
schools 10:8
scope 28:2 55:14
 56:15
se 3:9,10 4:10
 35:21,22 77:1
seat 72:10
second 12:4,6
 14:19 20:25 21:4
 42:16 45:24
 64:11 78:16
secondaries 32:16
secondary 14:12
secretaries 63:1
secretary 14:18
```

```
25:16 63:11
section 30:23
Security 8:1
see 4:19 5:19 8:2
 13:18 17:20
 19:13 21:9,17
 26:15,18,20 32:8
 32:11 33:12 37:4
 37:4,9 39:15
 40:13 42:3 43:22
 44:14 45:9 65:11
 66:3,3,5 68:18
 68:24 70:4,8
 71:6,10
seek 31:12
seen 44:3
self 33:24
send 15:13 20:22
 29:16 32:20
 43:20
sending 14:25 29:4
 29:6,11
sensible 76:22
sent 18:12 23:13
 28:11,15 29:3,3
 29:17,20,23 30:1
 33:1 43:15 73:8
 79:2
sentence 19:15
 77:8
separate 79:25
separately 57:20
September 1:21
 20:22 21:6,9
 27:4,9 47:22
 49:3 82:12
serious 41:16 51:6
serve 14:9,11,14
 23:25 24:12,16
 24:20 25:2,7,21
 32:8,19 34:1,3,4
 34:6,14 41:19
 55:6 61:18
served 14:10,15,23
 24:22,22 25:2,9
 25:15 26:2 32:19
 35:3,5 37:23
 38:1,10 40:5,23
 41:4,9,14,21
 61:21,23,24 63:9
 63:9 64:4 65:7
 65:17,25 69:15
 69:15,21
serves 36:9
service 14:9 25:5
 33:14,16 35:6
 40:25 41:11 62:4
```

62:13 63:19 76:22 **serving** 16:11,14 24:3,5,13 25:4 25:12 37:13 62:8 68:14 **ses** 4:5 77:1,13 **set** 9:6 11:15 45:7 50:20 55:11 56:3 56:25 64:24 **sever** 63:14 **shape** 38:18 shattered 26:22 **sheet** 36:3,6 37:8 **Sherry** 58:11 **Shirley** 1:4 21:24 22:23 39:10 62:19,24 74:15 Shirley's 74:19 **short** 11:4,6,7 66:12,13,14,21 66:22,22,24 67:3 **show** 37:1 42:19 43:23 **shuts** 8:24 **sic** 31:18 **side** 8:11 17:25 23:3 57:9 69:25 70:1,21 72:14,17 77:16 **sides** 69:25 70:16 **sign** 10:22 significant 60:10 similarly 45:15 **Simon** 1:7,7,8,8,9 1:10,12 39:11,12 63:3 73:7,10 74:17 **Simon's** 73:13 74:10 **simple** 15:4 50:4 67:8 **single** 14:16,16 15:1 23:25 24:1 25:7 29:20 sir 4:18 12:6 15:9 18:1 20:5 35:2 36:20 39:14 43:1 48:2 58:18 61:17 61:20 **sit**16:1 50:22,23 51:25 52:9 57:22 **sites** 19:11 **Slow** 22:4 23:8 38:23 40:6 48:1 75:2 **smear** 23:5

SOB 67:15 **social** 28:18 45:16 socially 28:20 **sold** 27:23 **somebody** 18:19,25 19:2 20:20 24:23 32:19 37:12 **sooner** 8:13 9:5,6 **sorry**10:15 12:18 21:7 23:9 27:1,1 28:4 32:23 39:7 42:7 60:4 **sort** 11:4 **sought** 66:13 **South** 3:4 **speak** 47:3,4 speaking 4:25 **special** 40:12 **specific** 7:6 64:14 spikes 5:1 **spoke** 75:16 **sponte** 60:8 stacks 14:21,21 stand 21:14 42:17 44:8 47:5 72:22 **start** 35:4 42:22 53:21 54:14 55:1 61:4 65:3 **started** 29:12 State 82:3,8 State's 51:14 stated 23:12 statement 27:23 31:1 32:5 52:16 66:13,14,14 **states** 47:15 stay 32:2 69:13,16 69:19 stayed 70:2 80:11 80:20,21 **staying** 70:15 80:16 stenographic 82:11 stenographically 1:25 82:9 **step** 37:16 77:13 **stop** 7:11 8:1 15:5 38:11,11 47:7 55:16 63:23 64:11,11,11 stopped 29:6 **story** 73:10 straightforward 15:4 strategy 10:9 21:15 23:12,15 47:5

Street 3:10 **stretch** 71:21 strike 38:7 60:9 72:3 80:1 striking 60:22 61:5 **stuff** 42:24 43:3 61:5 67:16 70:11 77:25 78:8 80:19 **style** 5:8 71:20 77:22 styled 65:11 **sua** 60:8 **sub** 59:13 **subject** 34:16 **submitted** 44:25 45:6 **substance** 18:12,16 successor 4:7 **sue** 70:6 sued 39:21 63:6,7 65:24 70:6 **suing** 24:14,19 25:9 63:1 78:6 suit 70:8 Suite 3:5 summary 11:4 Sunday 14:24,24 support 2:2 75:5 supposed 5:20 25:4 31:5 69:6 supposedly 40:14 Supreme 67:7,9,18 sure 5:6 9:10 10:1 28:9,25 31:4,8 32:9 48:18 52:2 59:11 61:12 62:12 68:10,25 69:3 76:12 switched 21:8 **sworn** 16:24 system 8:23 69:5 т **T** 82:1,1 tab 11:15,15 17:24 21:1 23:2,3 table 14:15 tabs 21:7 tacked 40:12 take 5:14,23 15:6 16:5 17:8 25:25 26:5 43:3 48:4 48:15 49:4,5 50:13,19 52:24 53:14,20 60:14 68:4 71:25

takes 56:13 talk 43:17 58:3 **talking** 33:11 34:13 36:18 38:12 54:7,10 62:3,4 63:25 69:1 74:23 technically 71:10 **Ted**1:4 3:22 4:7 12:21 13:3 18:12 20:12 21:15 26:7 27:17 56:18,21 73:6 **Ted's** 21:2,14 29:14 57:9 74:20 **teeth** 26:21,22 telephone 50:13 telephonically 49:9 **tell** 25:3 28:11 30:10 36:24 45:21 46:8 47:2 57:14 75:7 77:1 77:1 telling 20:5 29:15 29:23 tend 35:7 **Tescher** 53:9 testified 16:25 testifying 29:2 45:4 **testimony** 20:5,10 42:16 **Thank** 43:1 **theirs** 78:19 **Theodore** 23:7,13 23:14 thing 5:5 13:10 14:4 15:25 27:15 27:21 37:18 43:6 44:1,19 45:24 51:8 55:20 56:2 60:6 77:18 79:22 79:24 things 9:6 11:17 13:4 15:17 21:6 22:12 27:19 28:24 38:13 41:15 45:15 59:19 61:1,11 62:10,18 63:6 64:18 68:18 73:20 74:18 77:23 80:8 think 7:1 9:1,2,8 11:5 15:4 23:24 30:8 33:3,3,4

| 43: 54: 66: | 19 19 24, 19 | 49 60 70 25 | :2 :8 :9 7 | 4 1 | 2 7 : | 2 1 4 | : | 2 | 0 | tı tı Tı tı tı |
|---|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 58: THOM thou 31: 70: thou | AS 3 ght 12 10 | 6: 44 | :1 | 9 | | : | 1 | 8 | | tı tı |
| thou: 30: threa | san 5 ate: | ds n 1 | 28 8: | : 1 | 7 | 2 | | | | tı |
| threa 15: 22: 78: | e 12 3 1 12 24 | :1 7: 27 81 | ,2 5, :8 :7 | 2 8 | , | 2 | 1 | : | | tı |
| ties | wn 1 sday 15, 59: | 0: y 2 16 5 | 4 8: ,1 | 7 | | | | | | tu tu tv |
| 29: 43: | 5:6 7 2 7,1 10 | ,2 8: 0 45 | 3 12 33 :2 | 1 : 0 | 1 2 4 | : | 2 | 1 | | |
| 53: 57: 65: 70: time: | 14 23 1,3 19 7 7 s 6: 9 5 | 56 ,1 69 9: 19 | :2 6 :1 13 2 | 4 5 7 5 | 9 2 8 | :, | 2 7 : | 6 | | U U U U U U U |
| tiny tita toda 14: | 30: niu | 23 m 5 :2 5: | :1 5 4 | 1 1 | 1 7 | :: | 1 6 | , | 6 | ur ur ur |
| 30: | 6:1 11, 4,1 4,7 | 19 3, ,1 | 2 16 2 | 7 , 3 | : 2 6 | 5 : | | | | ur ur ur ur |
| 58: tons topic torts train | 1,5 55: c6: s42 ned | ,9 13 25 :2 78 | 7 :1 | : | 7 | | | | | ur ur ur ur |
| tran: tran: trea | scr sfe | ip† rre | t 8 ed | 2 2 | 7 | : | | | | us |

ree 61:4 remendous 26:12 rial 67:17 ricky 33:22 ried 26:9 **ries** 60:24 rouble 8:14 34:9 43:13 **rue** 61:16 62:16 67:16 77:3,10,11 82:10 **rust**1:4,8,9,11 1:12 63:12 64:17 64:22 **rustee** 1:4,8,9,10 1:12 4:8 23:7 **cy** 27:3 29:22 45:7 rying 9:16 10:8 25:19 27:12,18 60:6 61:18 62:6 urn 17:24 20:25 31:3 **irned** 9:16 wice 9:24 14:25 **vo**10:24 14:12 15:17 22:15 30:24 38:12,13 44:16 53:6,14 63:3,6 64:18 65:23 79:14 U **S**2:2 **n-huh** 77:4 navailable 27:5 nderstand 35:14 36:22 43:13 56:16 61:12 67:5 74:1 ndoing 80:10 **file** 68:16 **filed** 65:1 68:22 nnecessarily 65:9 nnecessary 6:21 81:5 nrelated 12:14 nusual 7:17 coming 26:11 **pset** 77:17 osetting 77:15 rgent 4:20 5:9 8:15 9:2 se11:22 53:5 55:18 **sually** 50:6

v validity 13:12 variety 18:8 **verbatim** 12:15 verification 6:17 versus 17:19 65:13 **view**13:2 **violate** 72:3 **violated** 15:15 79:20 **violates** 14:22 15:1 18:13 60:10 61:3 violating 11:9 **violation** 12:10,21 70:20 71:24 **vs**1:6 W wait 21:3,3 51:17 51:17,17 52:20 63:18 76:7 80:8 80:8 waive 25:17,18 35:11,16 41:11 62:6,13 waiver 62:13 waives 41:10 **walking** 55:20 want 7:1 8:7,12,12 9:10 14:11 15:23 15:25 17:11,13 32:1,22 42:8,16 43:12 44:13 45:20,21 47:2,3 48:4 49:4 50:21 51:5,18 52:5 53:4 54:2,15,16 55:5,15,18 56:3 57:7,17,18 58:4 60:25 61:12 62:9 63:14 64:18 65:6 65:7 66:3,3,5 67:13 68:18 70:1 70:4 71:9 72:17 72:21,22 75:6 76:17 77:3,8 80:18 wanted 27:9,16 45:25 47:11 wants 38:25 49:21 56:10 74:7 wasn't10:19 18:15 73:9 **waste** 69:7 wasted 51:13 53:10 wasting 69:1

way 15:6 28:2 36:13 38:17 40:22 41:4 50:3 50:14 53:13 55:14 58:7 61:9 67:2 68:20 69:5 71:21 72:7 73:2 77:22 we'll 10:15 20:16 27:21 31:2 58:12 we're 4:4,11 6:25 7:9 10:25 11:1,6 15:3 17:9 38:12 38:14 48:3 50:11 50:15 54:23 60:5 60:5,6,11,13 63:4 64:5,16 68:1 72:6 we've 10:8 58:14 70:4 79:17 web 19:12 46:9 website 18:19,21 19:18,24 20:2 Wednesday 53:19 week 26:9 27:3 47:19 51:11 WEISS 3:4 went 5:25 43:9 47:4 West 3:5,17 wide 18:7 wife 29:6 54:9 66:9 win 77:2,3,3,8,14 77:15,21,24 winning 77:15 78:1 wishes 9:14 withdraw 14:1 witness 16:17 17:1 21:4 22:3,14,16 22:18 24:5,25 25:2 26:14,20 27:1,25 28:4 60:1 witnesses 32:3 42:13,15,21 59:16 60:1,11,13 61:1 word 8:15 36:4 66:17,19 72:8 76:25 wording 41:25 work 10:12 50:4,7 58:12 81:12 works 28:25 50:14 55:14 world 5:3 14:12

| | | | Iay |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 45.10 | 40.04 50.5 | 600 3:5 | |
| 45:18 | 48:24 50:5 | 600 3:5 | |
| worldwide 19:12 | 2 | 7 | |
| 46:9 wouldn't 5:2 26:23 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | 2 20:13,16,19,21 | 8 | |
| 41:11 | 21:13 41:11 68:5 | | |
| write 18:2,9 21:1 | 2,000 28:12 30:3 | 9 | |
| 21:13 23:17 | 2.51614:6 | | |
| 67:11,12 78:14 | 20 1:4 35:22 | 9 15:14 | |
| 79:16 | 2008 1:4 | 9/13/121:8,9,11 | |
| writing 66:6 | 2012CP004391 4:4 | 1:12 | |
| wrong 41:19 45:9 | 2012CP4391 39:13 | 9:00 53:24 54:13 | |
| 51:10 61:4 76:13 | 2014 1:21 15:8 | 54:14 | |
| wrongs 79 : 14 | 42:11 82:12 | 9:57 1:23 | |
| wrote 23:14 | 20th 3:16 | 90 8:22 | |
| | 22nd 55:1 | 92 12:13 | |
| X | 235 17:25 | 9A 71:5 | |
| | 236 19:8,15 | | |
| Y | 23rd 29:2 | | |
| yeah 6:7,10 19:21 | 24th 27:4,9 47:22 | | |
| 19:23 23:18,24 | 49:3 50:21,22 | | |
| 24:18,19 25:2,19 | 52:1,6 55:11 | | |
| 27:1 39:23 49:20 | 56:20 57:18 | | |
| 59:18 72:18 77:6 | 2753 3:10 | | |
| 78:22 79:3 80:18 | 28th 22:10 | | |
| 80:25,25 | 200122.10 | | |
| year 22:10 | 3 | | |
| years 35:22 | 3 21:19,23 22:8,20 | | |
| Yep 23:22 | 41:11 68:5 | | |
| Tep 23:22 | 30 26:9 | | |
| Z | 331 5:16 6:2 | | |
| | | | |
| 0 | 33401 3:5,17 | | |
| 0 | 33434 3:11 33444 1:22 | | |
| 1 | | | |
| | 34th 3:10 | | |
| 1 17:12,14,18 59:3 | 4 | | |
| 68:6 | | | |
| 1.080 14:7 | 4 23:2 | | |
| 10 24:10 | 4,00028:19 | | |
| 10:00 29:9,12,13 | 4th 20:22 21:6,9 | | |
| 55:1 | E | | |
| 10:30 54:13 | 5 | | |
| 10:45 79:18 | 5 23:5 | | |
| 100 8:22 25:21 | 5,000 12:13 59:4 | | |
| 30:15 42:1 67:3 | 5.020 66:9 | | |
| 10th 58:6,9 | 5.025 65:5 | | |
| 11:00 54 : 13 | 50,000 63:2 | | |
| 11:14 1:23 | 505 3 : 4 | | |
| 11:15 79:17 | 515 3:16 | | |
| 15 1:21 8:1 24:10 | 53 60:23 | | |
| 35:22 | 538 14:25 | | |
| 15TH 1:1 | 54 60:23 | | |
| 16th 82:12 | 561.245.8588 3:11 | | |
| 18 15:8 | 561.655.2250 3:6 | | |
| 18th 11:16 12:10 | 561.832.5900 3:17 | | |
| 42:11 49:5,11 | 5s 64:13 | | |
| 70:20 71:25 | | | |
| | 6 | | |
| 19th 13:7 16:2 | 0 | | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO. 502014CP003698XXXXSB

TED BERNSTEIN, as Trustee of the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement dated May 20, 2008, as amended,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

ALEXANDRA BERNSTEIN; ERIC BERNSTEIN; MICHAEL BERNSTEIN; MOLLY SIMON; PAMELA B. SIMON, Individually and as Trustee f/b/o Molly Simon under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12; ELIOT BERNSTEIN, individually, as Trustee f/b/o D.B., Ja.B. and Jo.B. under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of his minor children D.B., Ja.B. and Jo.B.; JILL IANTONI, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o J.I. under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of her minor child J.I.; MAX FRIEDSTEIN; LISA FRIEDSTEIN, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o Max Friedstein and C.F., under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of her minor child, C.F.,

Defendants.

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN

Thursday, March 26, 2015 South County Courthouse Courtroom 8 Delray Beach, Florida 33444 1:03 p.m. - 2:10 p.m.

Stenographically Reported By: April Y. Segui, RPR, FPR Registered Professional Reporter Florida Professional Reporter

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 On behalf of the Plaintiff: 3 MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 4 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 5 Phone: (561) 655-2250 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com 6 BY: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQUIRE 7 On behalf of the Personal Representative: 8 CIKLIN, LUBITZ, MARTENS & O'CONNELL 9 515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 10 Phone: (561)832-5900 E-mail: Jfoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com 11 BY: JOIELLE A. FOGLIETTA, ESQUIRE 12 13 On behalf of D.B., Ja.B. and Jo.B., Minors, as Parents and Natural Guardians 14 and individually: 15 ELIOT BERNSTEIN and CANDICE BERNSTEIN, PRO SE 2753 NW 34th Street 16 Boca Raton, Florida 33434 (561)245 - 8588Phone: 17 Iviewit@iviewit.tv E-mail: 18 BY: ELIOT BERNSTEIN 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 - - -INDEX 2 3 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 4 JOHN POLETTO BY MR. ROSE 4 5 TED BERNSTEIN 6 BY MR. ROSE 16 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN 18 7 8 ELIOT BERNSTEIN 30 9 JOHN POLETTO 10 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN 42 11 12 EXHIBITS 13 _ _ _ 14 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE 15 EXHIBIT 1 MR. POLETTO'S CV 5 7 2 MR. POLETTO'S AFFIDAVIT EXHIBIT 16 EXHIBIT 3 CONTRACT 10 EXHIBIT 4 APPRAISAL 11 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the 4 Bernstein Shirley trust case. Time is of the 5 I carved out a time for you folks, so essence. 6 who are you going to call as your first witness? 7 MR. ROSE: John Poletto, P-O-L-E-T-T-O. He's 8 coming down the hall now. 9 Mr. Poletto, you'll be on the stand. 10 THE COURT: Have a seat, please. Raise your 11 right hand. 12 Thereupon, 13 (JOHN POLETTO) 14 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined 15 and testified as follows: 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 If I may approach, Your Honor. MR. ROSE: 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. ROSE: 22 Q. Would you state your name for the record, 23 sir? 24 Α. John Poletto. 25 And what's your occupation? Q.

1 I am the co-owner of Nestler Poletto Α. 2 Sotheby's International Realty as a real estate broker. 3 I have attached -- Exhibit 1 is a page from 0. 4 your Web site that says, "About John Poletto." Is that 5 an accurate page from your Web site that describes some 6 of your professional background? 7 Α. Yes, it is. 8 MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 1 into evidence. 9 THE COURT: Any objection? It's his CV. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Number 1. 12 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification 13 and admitted in evidence.) 14 BY MR. ROSE: 15 Is your firm the listing agent for the house Q. 16 at 7020 Lions Head Lane owned by the Shirley Bernstein 17 Trust? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. How long has that house been on the market? 20 Α. One thousand one hundred fifty days. 21 How does that compare to other listings in Q. 2.2 your firm? 23 It is one of the longest on record in the Α. 24 last five years. 25 And the house was initially listed by whom? Q.

5

1 Initially listed by our company, myself. Α. 2 Who owned the house or who was the --Q. 3 Si Bernstein. Α. 4 Do you recall when that was listed? Q. 5 Original listing date was January 24, 2012. Α. 6 MR. ROSE: For the record, Mr. Bernstein died 7 in September of 2012. 8 BY MR. ROSE: 9 Q. What did you think of the price when it was 10 listed? 11 Α. It was extremely high. 12 Can you tell the Court why it was listed that Q. 13 high? 14 Α. Mr. Bernstein had another residence on the ocean, and he was spending time between both residences 15 16 and wasn't motivated at that moment to sell. 17 THE COURT: What was the listing amount? 18 THE WITNESS: On the other property? 19 THE COURT: On this property. 20 THE WITNESS: On this property, three million 21 four-fifty. 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. 23 BY MR. ROSE: 24 Did you provide an affidavit at my request? Q. 25 Α. I did.

1 Before you signed it, did you read it and Q. 2 edit it? 3 I did. Α. 4 MR. ROSE: Exhibit 2, Your Honor, is the 5 Affidavit of John Poletto. I would move that into 6 evidence. 7 THE COURT: Any objection to his affidavit? 8 Well, I haven't MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 9 had any time to review any of this stuff. 10 THE COURT: We had this hearing on an 11 expedited basis. That's not a legal basis not to 12 admit it. Okay. Number 2. 13 (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification 14 and admitted into evidence.) 15 BY MR. ROSE: 16 Is there a pending contract on the house? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 How much is -- what's the price of the Q. 19 pending contract? 20 Α. 1.1 million. 21 Is it your belief that -- a recommendation Q. 22 that that offer should be accepted by the trustee? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Does that offer represent, in your opinion, Q. 25 the current fair market value of the property?

A. Yes.

1

2 Can you tell the Court if you have any --Q. 3 well, specific experience in the St. Andrews community 4 where this particular house is located? 5 I started with the developer in 1983, and --Α. 6 with new sales in the community. 1989 my partner, Mark 7 Nestler, and I established our realty company called 8 Nestler Poletto Realty. We have since 1983, on the new 9 sales and resale side, sold over 750 new and resale 10 homes within the community. 11 Where do you currently live? 0. 12 Α. In St. Andrews Country Club for the last 25 13 years. 14 Does your firm have a number of other houses Q. 15 currently listed in the St. Andrews community? 16 Yes. Currently about 12. Α. 17 Q. Okay. Was the asking price lowered at some 18 point from what Simon Bernstein had initially told you 19 to sell the house for? 20 Yes. Α. 21 And can you briefly tell the Court the short Q. 22 history of that? 23 The short history, started with a number that Α. 24 Si Bernstein knew, at the outset, was completely a 25 start number. We went from -- over the course of time

1 down into about -- we broke the two million range in 2 July of 2013. We went to one million nine ninety-five, 3 taking it down consistently based upon showings. We 4 had traffic and showings, but were simply not getting 5 offers. 6 Q. Did you have the house -- did you suggest 7 that the house be appraised? 8 Α. In all -- same situations, I recommended it 9 to all clients. 10 Q. Was the house, in fact, appraised? 11 Α. Yes. 12 May I approach, Your Honor? MR. ROSE: 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 MR. ROSE: I just need to give Mr. Bernstein 15 his copies. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, we've never 17 seen this. 18 Well, he's showing it to you. THE COURT: 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's the first time 20 we're getting this stuff, okay, just on the 21 record --22 MR. ROSE: This is 3 and this is 4. 23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- and we're 24 beneficiaries. 25

1 (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 2 identification.) 3 BY MR. ROSE: 4 I'm going to hand you what's marked as Q. 5 Exhibit 3. Is this a contract between a buyer, whose 6 name has been redacted, and the Shirley Bernstein 7 Trust? 8 Α. Yes. 9 For \$1.1 million? Q. 10 That's correct. Α. 11 Look at -- paragraph 4 on the first page says Q. 12 that the closing was to be on April 20, 2015 --13 Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 -- or before. Q. 16 Did circumstances arise after this was signed 17 that necessitated an earlier or more immediate closing? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Can you just tell the Court briefly? Q. 20 As of April 1st of this year the membership Α. 21 is going to increase \$30,000. Current membership is 22 \$95,000. It will be going to \$125,000. 23 When the house was appraised, were you given Q. 24 a copy of the appraisal? 25 Α. I was not.

1 I would move the appraisal in as MR. ROSE: 2 Exhibit 4. 3 Any objection to the appraisal? THE COURT: 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. 5 THE COURT: Number 4. 6 (Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification 7 and admitted into evidence.) BY MR. ROSE: 8 9 Q. I'm going to show you an appraisal that was 10 done in July of 2014, and I believe comes out as an 11 indicated value in the range of one million two or one 12 million two fifty? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Is that consistent with your memory? Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Now, based upon recent comps in the Q. 17 neighborhood, do you have a belief whether \$1.1 million 18 is a reasonable price for this house? 19 I'll give these to you so you can have them Α. 2.0 for the record. A property that was originally listed 21 that we had listed for over \$3 million, and was reduced 22 over the course of time over the course of one year, 23 320 days, list price of one million eight ninety-nine 2.4 at 7876 square feet of living space, that property sold 25 for 1.3 million, and just closed as of March 11th and

1 is the most recent sale, and that sold for \$165 a 2 This home, it was updated and in really square foot. 3 exceptional condition. That is one comparable sale. Α 4 second comparable sale on 7106 Ayrshire Lane, which by 5 the way, this property also is at 7154 Ayrshire Lane. 6 The second property at the 7106 Ayrshire Lane was 7 listed originally at one nine seven five is 8 7,594 square feet of living space -- both of these 9 homes are substantially larger than the subject 10 house -- on the market for a fourth time, but sold for 11 one million three seventy, and that was almost 12 7600 square feet. That cost per square foot was \$180 a 13 The proposed number we have is \$177 a square foot. 14 square foot. These are the two most recent sales that 15 are within the last six months. 16 Q. How many times have you shown this, the 17 Bernstein house? 18 Over 75 times over the time period. Α. 19 Q. How would you describe the layout? Is it a 2.0 layout that is attractive to most buyers? 21 Si Bernstein bought this house on a builder Α. 22 foreclosure, and the reason was this particular builder 23 had three homes that did not hit the marketplace as to 24 what properties -- what buyers were buying in 25 St. Andrews. Mr. Si, actually, and his wife purchased

1 the home and customized the homes themselves. Tt. 2 doesn't have a floor plan that is consistent with the 3 buyers for St. Andrews. 4 And what's the condition of the house Q. 5 currently? 6 Α. Well, the biggest factor is it's all Spanish; 7 Spanish driveway tiles, interior Spanish tile floors, 8 interior -- or exterior patio all terra cotta tile. 9 Most people would tear all of that out. 10 Can you tell the Court how much you would Q. 11 expect the new buyer to spend on the house in 12 renovations? 13 In excess of 600,000. Α. 14 Have there been any prior written offers in Q. 15 excess of \$1,000,000? Written offers. 16 Α. No. 17 Q. Have there been any prior oral offers in 18 excess of \$1,000,000? 19 Four months ago we received an oral offer of Α. 20 approximately 1.1 million. 21 What happened to that offer? Q. 22 Α. The buyer was able to get his builder into 23 the property and determine the home needed too much 24 work for them to consider it seriously, so it never 25 went to a written contract offer.

1 Q. Have the dealings with this particular buyer 2 been arm's length and conducted in good faith? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Did they initially have a lower offer and it 5 was negotiated to being higher? 6 Correct. Well, let me counter that. Α. Their 7 initial conversation with me was a number in the nine 8 to nine fifty range, and I simply said that, in my 9 opinion, that that would not even receive a response. 10 Q. And then they made an offer, there was a 11 counteroffer. That's in your Affidavit. The 1.1 was 12 indicated as their best and final offer? 13 And we -- on behalf of the Bernstein estate, Α. 14 we countered the \$1.1 million offer unfurnished, as is. 15 It was countered at one million one fifty. The buyer 16 rejected that counter. 17 Q. Do you agree with the statement that although 18 there's an appraisal, the best evidence of the market 19 value of the property would be putting it on the market 2.0 for an extended period of time and showing it to a 21 number of buyers? 22 Α. Yes. 23 In your opinion, this offer is --Q. 24 Strike that. 25 Is this a fire sale price?

1 By no means. Α. 2 And, in your opinion, you would recommend Q. 3 that the Court approve the transaction as structured? 4 Α. In my opinion. 5 MR. ROSE: Nothing further. Thank you, sir. 6 THE COURT: Cross. 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, do I get an 8 opening statement in this hearing? 9 THE COURT: No. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't think I need to 11 get cross of him if I can get my statement out. 12 No. Because opening statements THE COURT: 13 are not evidence, so I'm going to let you testify. 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Put in evidence 15 and stuff? 16 THE COURT: Yeah. Now is the time for 17 cross-examination. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I reserve that? 19 THE COURT: Reserve what? 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The time to 21 cross-examine. 22 THE COURT: Yeah. Sure, you can. I'll let 23 you do that. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure I need 25 anything from him.

1 THE COURT: Okay. He's reserving on cross. 2 Keep him here just to see if we need him. 3 Any other witnesses? 4 MR. ROSE: The only other witness I would 5 call would be Ted Bernstein. 6 Just as a proffer, all he would say is that 7 there's a contract, he signed it, and he wants to 8 close, and he followed the advice of the 9 professional realtors he hired. 10 THE COURT: Well, I'm not accepting a 11 proffer. Put him on or not. 12 MR. ROSE: I'll put Ted on the stand. 13 THE COURT: Stay here. 14 Thereupon, 15 (TED BERNSTEIN) 16 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined 17 and testified as follows: 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. ROSE: 21 Did you receive the offer that's embodied in Q. 22 the contract that's marked as Exhibit 3? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 And is it your opinion that that is in the Q. 25 best interest of the trust and the beneficiaries of the

1 trust? 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 Have you followed the advice and counsel of Q. 4 the professional realtors that you hired and you just 5 heard testify? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And what's the carrying cost of the house if Q. 8 it's not sold under this contract, approximately, per 9 month? 10 Six, \$7,000 per month. Α. 11 MR. ROSE: Nothing further. 12 THE COURT: Any questions? 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Can I examine 14 him? 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That's what 16 cross-examination means. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit 18 some things into evidence? 19 THE COURT: Give them to Marias and he'll --20 it's not in evidence. You have to show it to him 21 first. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Who, Ted? 23 THE COURT: Yes, if that's what you want to 24 do with them. Go ahead. 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Do I have to mark it in

1 evidence? 2 THE COURT: Let's wait. Give him a document, 3 ask him what you want. If you want to move it in, 4 I'll consider it. 5 CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN) 6 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 7 Ted, are you familiar with that report that's Ο. 8 a Palm Beach County sheriff's report? 9 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. 10 THE COURT: I don't know what it says. Do 11 you have an extra copy for me? 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't have a lot of 13 time to prepare three copies for today's hearing, 14 but I've got two, one for you. They have 50 15 copies of this. We sent it in 20 pleadings. 16 This is dealing with issues MR. ROSE: 17 about --18 THE COURT: I want to see it. 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. Show it to you? 20 THE COURT: So the question is: Is he 21 familiar with this document purportedly authored 22 by the sheriff's office? Is that the question? 23 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. 24 THE COURT: You can answer yes or no. Are 25 you familiar with it?

| | 19 |
|----|---|
| | |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I am familiar with it. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Go ahead. Next question. |
| 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I use that? |
| 4 | THE COURT: Yeah. |
| 5 | BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. Okay. Can you turn to page 5, the second |
| 7 | paragraph? It's highlighted. It says the following, |
| 8 | correct, "He said" that would be you, Ted or |
| 9 | Spallina, your attorney who was |
| 10 | Was Robert Spallina, Ted, your attorney as |
| 11 | trustee and PR of the estate and trust of Shirley? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Beyond the |
| 13 | scope. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled. |
| 15 | Was he? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Was he counsel for me for the |
| 17 | Shirley Bernstein Trust? |
| 18 | BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. And Shirley Bernstein when you were PR. |
| 20 | A. I'm not sure about PR. They were counsel for |
| 21 | the Shirley Bernstein trust for a period. |
| 22 | Q. So the trust that's central to this piece of |
| 23 | property; correct? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. So he, Spallina, said, though, that |
| | |
| | |

"Against" --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Here's the thing. You don't know this, but you're reading from a document not in evidence --MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I submit it? THE COURT: -- and the author of it, of the document, is the sheriff's office, I assume is what you're telling me, and they are quoting someone who is not here, Mr. Spallina, so there's multiple evidentiary problems there. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I go to ones when Ted speaks to the sheriff? THE COURT: Well, just ask him the question without referring to the document. Is this true? BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Is it true that against -- that your counsel, Q. Spallina, admitted that against his better judgment, he altered the first page of the first amendment to the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement that you're trustee for; right? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All relevant. We are only here to decide whether MR. ROSE: the sale of this particular property makes sense. THE COURT: Slow down.

1 So tell me, in a short form, Eliot, what the 2 relevancy of this is. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What we're going to 4 establish is that Ted has sold the property in the 5 past against the advice of his counsel, then made 6 distributions to improper parties against the 7 advice of his counsel, that he didn't read the 8 trust document he was operating under when he did 9 that, that he signed tax forms that are going to 10 be -- that are under investigation right now where 11 he alleged he was personal representative of the 12 estate. You'll remember the estate at the time he 13 signed that was closed. 14 THE COURT: Remember, I said in short form 15 give me the relevancy. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The relevance is -- is 17 this sale going to cause a simmer -- similar group 18 of allegations, or fraud; caused the sheriff's 19 department to go investigate all of this; 20 caused --21 THE COURT: Well, you just told me that the 22 allegations on this other property had to do with 23 improper disbursements. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Improper sale, improper 25 documents.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And we're going to show 3 that he's making this sale under the same kind of 4 things where no notice was given to beneficiaries, 5 it's not following the statutes. So the question 6 isn't what the property is worth --7 THE COURT: I'm trying to do this in a 8 separate fashion. I'm not deciding right at this 9 moment whether to let the sale take place for any 10 of the legal issues that you might want to raise. 11 I'll hear about that in a second. What I want to 12 decide now is there's a piece of property, it's 13 owned by the trust. That, you said, was not in 14 dispute. Ted is the trustee. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Alleged. We've got a 16 hearing coming up on that three days after. 17 THE COURT: He is the trustee for purposes of 18 that transaction, and the issue is whether the 19 trust, regardless of who the trustee is, can sell 2.0 this piece of property, pursuant to this contract 21 for \$1.1 million, and have the trust proceeds held 22 in a way that I'm going to determine, not the way 23 anyone else wants it to be. So the issue is: Is 24 it appropriate to have the sale take place as 25 opposed to holding onto the piece of real estate

1 that the realtors or Ted said cost six or \$7,000 a 2 month to do. Then I'll deal with the legal issues 3 separately. This is a factual issue on whether 4 it's good for the trust, putting everything else 5 aside. I'm not deciding right now any of the 6 other issues. 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. So I'll be 8 able --9 THE COURT: You can ask him questions about 10 the reasonableness of the sale for the amount in 11 question --12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 13 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 14 Q. When you said --15 THE COURT: -- which he doesn't, apparently, 16 know anything about. He's listening to 17 Mr. Poletto. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And I'm saying, 19 for right now, I don't have a lot of questions as 2.0 to -- I haven't had any of these documents to look 21 at anything they have said. So the beneficiaries, 22 if we didn't get a Zillow report, we would have 23 never known this sale was happening, okay? 24 THE COURT: Well, we'll deal with that in a 25 second.

1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 2 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 3 Ted, did you notify the beneficiaries of that Q. 4 sale? Did you send out the documents when you started 5 closing? Did you give any notice to any beneficiaries? 6 MR. ROSE: Objection. 7 THE COURT: It is definitely relevant so let 8 You had this property listed; correct? me ask. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Did the beneficiaries know that 10 11 the property was on the market? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And at some point you got 14 a contract? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. And it looks like the 17 contract was signed. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: See, I didn't even know 19 that. 20 Let the record stand that I just got that. 21 THE COURT: You're interrupting me. Sit down 22 now because you just violated a serious rule. Sit 23 down. Sit down. I'm in the middle of 24 questioning. I'll let you participate, but you're 25 not going to be standing on top of me when you do

that.

1

| 2 | Looks like the date of the contract is |
|----|---|
| 3 | March 16, 2015. Does that sound right? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: It does, Your Honor. |
| 5 | THE COURT: So when this contract was |
| 6 | executed, did you provide notice to anyone of the |
| 7 | fact that the property that you said you had |
| 8 | noticed them was being listed, was now under |
| 9 | contract? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I did. There |
| 11 | are several other beneficiaries, obviously, so |
| 12 | yes, I did. I know that Alan Rose has been in |
| 13 | communication with Brian O'Connell, so, yes, the |
| 14 | beneficiaries, many of the beneficiaries were |
| 15 | aware of the sale of the property. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, did you do deal with the |
| 17 | disseminate of the information about the contract |
| 18 | or did you leave it to someone else to do that? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I believe it was left to Alan |
| 20 | Rose. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. So do you know |
| 22 | whether Eliot Bernstein received notice? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I believe that he did through |
| 24 | Mr. O'Connell. That's my belief. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So all right. |
| | |

1 Next time -- Eliot Bernstein, the next time 2 you laugh --3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't laugh. 4 THE COURT: I heard you. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I coughed. I took a 6 sip and coughed. 7 THE COURT: Well, you know what? Go outside 8 and cough. 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. I did not 10 laugh. 11 THE COURT: You know what? There was a while 12 that you were behaving well. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am. 14 THE COURT: You're getting worse now, because 15 noise comes from you, gestures come from you, 16 okay? Every time you do that two things happen. 17 It's disruptive, just like it is now, okay, and it 18 makes the presentation of your case worse. So if 19 you have a desire to hurt yourself, continue to do 20 this until eventually I stop you, okay? So I'm 21 warning you, on the record, cut that out. No more 22 Speak only when I ask you to speak, disruptions. 23 and I'll always give you time to do it. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 25 THE COURT: No noise, no raising and flailing

1 your hands. No one else does that, only you. Cut 2 it out. Understood? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will, yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. 5 So I want to get to the heart of this. 6 Miss Foglietta, you're here for? 7 MS. FOGLIETTA: Mr. O'Connell. 8 THE COURT: Did you have notice of this sale 9 from Ted Bernstein? 10 MS. FOGLIETTA: No. The first notice I 11 received was from Eliot and then I called 12 Mr. Rose, who then told me he was just about to 13 file a motion, and call my office and advise us of 14 it. 15 THE COURT: When was that? 16 MS. FOGLIETTA: Monday afternoon. 17 THE COURT: Today is the 26th, so Monday was the 23rd. 18 19 Mr. Rose, tell me and show me the notices 20 that you gave of this contract being executed on 21 the 16th by Ted. Tell me and then show me. Ι 22 mean, put aside tell me. Show me, because Ted 23 says he didn't do it, he allowed you to do it. 24 Show me the notices that you gave. I don't 25 want -- there's no words here. Hand them to me.

| 1 | MR. ROSE: It's the motion we filed. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. The motion. The first |
| 3 | |
| - | time notice of this contract went to the |
| 4 | beneficiaries or the PR was when you filed the |
| 5 | motion? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Correct. |
| 7 | THE COURT: When was that? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: To correct Your Honor, though, Ted |
| 9 | signed it on the 18th, not the 16th. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: That was a Wednesday. I was out |
| 12 | of town. The buyers had seven days to do an |
| 13 | inspection and cancel the contract. As soon as I |
| 14 | got back to the office Monday, we prepared a |
| 15 | motion to approve and we sent it to everybody. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Did you send it to the PR's |
| 17 | office? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: I did. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, you just heard that they |
| 20 | said they didn't know about it until Eliot told |
| 21 | them. |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: The sequence of the events is Ted |
| 23 | signed the contract, it looks like, on the 18th. |
| 24 | The buyers were doing their inspections. The |
| 25 | realtors changed the listing from listed to a |
| | |
| | |

1 contingent contract. Eliot found out about it. 2 He actually e-mailed out a notice of lis pendens 3 on Saturday morning. So he had learned that. Ι 4 returned from my trip on Sunday night. I prepared 5 a motion for -- to approve it. I needed an 6 affidavit from Mr. Poletto, which I had sent him 7 Sunday, to wait for. When I got his affidavit 8 back, I filed our motion. In the mean time, 9 Miss Foglietta called me and I confirmed the sale, 10 made arrangements to get the personal property 11 inspected and all of that. 12 THE COURT: All right. Have a seat. 13 I got that. Okay. I got it. 14 Because we can't go on too long on this, do 15 you have any other questions about Ted dealing 16 with his -- the testimony he's given so far? 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Does that include Alan? 18 THE COURT: No. Answer my questions. You 19 can't ask Alan anything. Any other 2.0 cross-examination of Ted? 21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 22 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 23 Q. I need to know what beneficiaries you told 2.4 that there was this sale. 25 THE COURT: He just said he left it up to his

1 lawyer. He did say that. 2 Have a seat. He's done for now. 3 Nothing further. MR. ROSE: 4 THE COURT: Miss Foglietta, do you have any 5 position on the appropriateness or reasonableness 6 of the sale? 7 MS. FOGLIETTA: No. My only concern is the 8 personal property, to make sure that's not in the 9 contract or any fixtures. 10 THE COURT: We'll deal with that if we have 11 to. 12 So, Eliot, I'm going to let you testify, if 13 I'm going to break this down. you want. 14 Initially, it goes just to the issue Mr. Poletto 15 spoke about, some aspect of whether it's 16 reasonable to have this sale done at the 17 1.1 million price and close on the 31st. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, since I 22 didn't get any of the documents, and can't review 23 any of this, and see what -- if there was 75 24 people, how many made offers, even dummy offers, 25 whatever, low balls, would validate any of this.

1 So this is all being thrown on me. I didn't even 2 have the contract until today, okay? So I haven't 3 had any of these documents until today. I had no 4 chance to cross-examine or get a deposition from 5 Mr. Poletto. These are issues that would 6 concern --7 He had -- when my dad died he had listed 8 property with Mr. Poletto at \$5.5 million on these 9 two properties. We're about to sell both of them 10 for two million, as if the market blew apart, went 11 screaming down in that time. In fact, it went the 12 opposite way. 13 So there's Zillow listings, which is just 14 basic Zillow online, where the property's at two 15 four with a \$170,000 increase in the last few 16 days, 90 days or something. 17 THE COURT: Why didn't you show that to 18 Mr. Poletto when he was on the stand? 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm reserving my right, 20 if we have to get there. I want to get to the 21 legal sufficiency, if this sale is following 22 proper procedure, because that's what you're 23 always interested in, statutes and all those codes 24 and stuff. 25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So the question is: 2 Were beneficiaries given time? Did I get a chance 3 to throw out that I might want to buy the house 4 with my father or anything, if I had time to look 5 at all the documents, get a second opinion? No. 6 Has there been adequate disclosure of this sale? 7 And let me tell you. Alan Rose is wrong. We got 8 alerted by Zillow that the property was being 9 pending a sale (sic). We called the -- Joi and 10 spoke with her and said --11 THE COURT: All right. Stop for a second. 12 I have a question now, looking at this, to 13 Mr. Poletto. Mr. Poletto, come on up because 14 there's something I need to ask you on the record. 15 It looks like the contract -- the closing is 16 to be on April 20th or before; correct? 17 MR. POLETTO: That was the initial contract 18 offer. The contract that was finally accepted, 19 the closing date is on or before the 31st of this 2.0 month. THE COURT: So this isn't the contract? 21 22 MR. POLETTO: That's not the final contract. 23 THE COURT: I have two of them. The Exhibit 24 3 says April 20th. 25 MR. ROSE: There's actually an addendum in

there, I believe.

1

| ÷ | there, i belleve. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. POLETTO: The addendum takes it to the |
| 3 | 31st of March. The reason being for that, Judge, |
| 4 | is because St. Andrews Country Club, the issue was |
| 5 | in order to close and take advantage |
| 6 | THE COURT: I'm looking at the exhibit to see |
| 7 | if I see that in here. |
| 8 | MR. POLETTO: It's in the addendum. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 10 | THE COURT: I have it here. It's attached. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: It's on paragraph 20. I can show |
| 12 | it to Your Honor. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me read it. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: The actual |
| 15 | THE COURT: I'm reading the Addendum. Okay. |
| 16 | Well, the Addendum that was signed on the 18th |
| 17 | says that the "Buyer agrees to make full and |
| 18 | complete application for golf membership including |
| 19 | payment of \$95,000 for it." And it's contingent |
| 20 | upon "The contract is contingent upon buyer |
| 21 | being able to be approved for a golf membership." |
| 22 | So has he made the application? |
| 23 | MR. POLETTO: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Is he approved? |
| 25 | MR. POLETTO: He is in the process right now |
| | |

| 1 | of being approved, yes. There's no reason that he |
|----|--|
| 2 | would not be approved. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. So in the contract |
| 4 | itself, which date is also the 18th, it says that |
| 5 | "Buyer wants to take advantage of the country club |
| 6 | equity incentive and must close by 3/31/15." |
| 7 | MR. POLETTO: Correct. |
| 8 | THE COURT: So is the price increasing from |
| 9 | 95 to 125, or is it 125, but there's an incentive |
| 10 | to have if someone closes by the end of March, |
| 11 | they will reduce it to 95? |
| 12 | MR. POLETTO: It's increasing as of April 1st |
| 13 | by \$30,000. |
| 14 | THE COURT: So if I don't let this close on |
| 15 | the 31st because of the objections made to the |
| 16 | rush aspect of this, what happens to this |
| 17 | contract? |
| 18 | MR. POLETTO: It will cost \$30,000 more and |
| 19 | the buyer has the right to cancel. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Too bad. Motion is |
| 21 | denied. |
| 22 | Your notice is vastly inadequate, okay, and |
| 23 | I'm concerned that, for the record, that even the |
| 24 | PR really didn't know, and there's other things |
| 25 | that the PR didn't know that I have to sift out |
| | |

| 1 | chevet this two postion . It may be used able |
|----|--|
| | about this transaction. It may be reasonable, |
| 2 | okay, the price, but because of what goes on in |
| 3 | this case, there needs to be notice. |
| 4 | And Eliot raised a good point. You know, if |
| 5 | he hears that there's a buyer for 1.1 million, he |
| 6 | then, or anyone else, can make an offer to match |
| 7 | that, not that he's going to do that, but they are |
| 8 | entitled to notice. And the problem here, |
| 9 | Mr. Rose, is I don't see how I can eliminate |
| 10 | notice here, and the notice is just inadequate. |
| 11 | It doesn't they are getting their copies of the |
| 12 | contracts today. That's when they just said they |
| 13 | got it. |
| 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We don't have the right |
| 15 | one. |
| 16 | THE COURT: It's, apparently, the right one |
| 17 | because it refers to the to that. |
| 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 19 | THE COURT: So, you know I mean, there's a |
| 20 | due process aspect of getting in a contested |
| 21 | case like this, where people want to look and see, |
| 22 | in dealing with the history of not you, but your |
| 23 | predecessors admitted to improper dealings with |
| 24 | things, they want to be able to look in and |
| 25 | they're you know, Eliot is going to demand |
| | |

every page be analyzed and every leaf be overturned because when Spallina and Tescher were involved with this, a lot of bad things clearly happened. Now, could that all wind up going nowhere beyond Spallina and Tescher? The answer is yes. You know, is there any other wrongdoing? I haven't found it yet. I keep hearing about it, but I haven't found it yet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But this stuff has to be flushed out, and so these buyers are going to have to -- I mean, I can't rush this through in this count to try to save the buyers -- the buyers -- \$30,000. Okay. If you think that it's going to kill the deal, kill the deal or, Mr. Poletto, take it out of your commission, okay, if you want. So if I hear that, okay, that doesn't even cure it because they want notice, meaning you can tell them that you will, not the trust, but you will pay that \$30,000. I'm not telling you to do this, but I know what realtors do to make deals go through. If they are going to back out, and after notice is given, you know, they are still willing to buy by the 20th, because April 20th is now the closing date, but for the rush part of this, so everyone is on notice that is now the target date of this, okay?

1 So everyone has to do their due diligence by that 2 date, or then by that date I'll make a decision as 3 to allow this to be sold or not. So far, what I 4 heard, makes the price sound reasonable. 5 Eliot, when you say, but, Judge, why would 6 the value of this home keep on going down when 7 real estate is going up? The answer is, it is 8 what it is, unless you show me otherwise. They 9 have an appraisal that has an appraised value of 10 this close to the amount that they are selling it, 11 and the realtor explained appropriately about the 12 conditions of the home, and it's been on the 13 market for a long time, and so, you know, no one 14 is buying this, and it's not a good idea to let a 15 sale go that may not be able to be retrieved. 16 Because I'm not worried about what happens with 17 the consequences of this sale, in that, clearly, 18 if it takes place, this money goes into escrow, 19 that is the net proceeds to the trust, and cannot 2.0 be used for any purpose, can't be used for fees, 21 can't be used for costs. I mean --22 I would a hundred percent agree MR. ROSE: 23 with that, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Right. Okay. So -- but, you 25 know, both -- I mean Miss Foglietta even was

1 concerned about the rush job of this -- did I 2 state that accurate -- being that the personal 3 property --4 MS. FOGLIETTA: The personal property, we 5 haven't seen it. 6 THE COURT: So this has got to be flushed 7 out, and for \$30,000, you know, we can deal with 8 that and have the closing by April 20th. 9 MR. ROSE: Just for the record, just on the 10 notice issue --11 THE COURT: Yeah. 12 MR. ROSE: -- I mean the trust document does 13 not require notice. The trustee is empowered to 14 buy and sell real estate without notice to 15 anybody. We fully intended to make disclosure, 16 and we did it. The dates here -- I mean, I 17 realize Your Honor is saying it's a short notice, 18 but the contract was signed. It wasn't even final 19 or binding until they did their inspection, but we 2.0 did get the notice out on Monday. The Affidavit 21 of Mr. Poletto was attached on Monday. 22 THE COURT: I'm not faulting -- it became a 23 rush because -- this would not be happening, 2.4 everyone concedes, but for what's going on at the 25 country club in the raise of the \$30,000. You

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | say your client's agreeing to that. |
| 2 | MR. ROSE: I wasn't saying no. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Your client is saying yes to |
| 4 | that. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: It was also part of the |
| 6 | inspection, that the buyers had the right to |
| 7 | cancel, so they said we'll take it as is with |
| 8 | no you don't have to do anything, or give us |
| 9 | any money for anything that is broken or needs |
| 10 | fixed. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Don't change that. But if it's |
| 12 | just a question between the April 20th date and |
| 13 | March 31st of the 30,000, you folks can think of |
| 14 | something creative to keep this deal alive. |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: I don't think it would be fair |
| 16 | THE COURT: I'm not making him |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Al's total commission I think |
| 18 | would be \$33,000, and then he's going to pay 30 of |
| 19 | it after he's marketed the property for |
| 20 | THE COURT: Well, then, don't do that because |
| 21 | that's not reasonable. I'm not suggesting that |
| 22 | you do that. I wasn't even having in mind how |
| 23 | much your commission was. |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: What would you like us to do with |
| 25 | the buyers, advise them of what, we're going to |
| | |

have another hearing to determine if the price is adequate? I think --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I don't have -- I mean -- okay. So I don't have any problem with the price, and I've heard no evidence -- and this was a chance for Eliot to present that, that there's a problem with the price. The problem is is that he's allowed to do other things, like review the contract, and something else that he may want to present, if he can, that makes it inappropriate to have the sale at the 1.1 million, okay? But I'm not -- I mean, there's nothing that I see that suggests it. Eliot may present a witness who says that the fair market value of this house should be much more than 1.1 million, but I would need hard core evidence of that. Not Eliot, he's not qualified to do it; not Zillow, not Houzz. Thev are not competent evidence to do that. You have to have a hard core person to do that. And I would weigh, then, that opinion, based upon the fact that now there's an actual buyer who is going to do an as-is cash contract. And so that's -you know, cash is king, okay, from my point of view. But I can tell you, if this deal goes down the tubes, it's over your objection, and if you

1 wind up at the hearing coming up, having nothing 2 at all, then I'm going to take that into 3 consideration. Nothing substantial. Not things 4 that you say, Eliot, because you're in a zone that 5 you share with, I don't know who, but it's not 6 necessarily where I'm coming from. You know, you 7 see a lot of things that are wrong here that are 8 not necessarily legal wrongs, or wrongs that have 9 been proven, and so I'm stopping this deal because 10 you, Eliot, don't want it to go through, okay? Ιt 11 has all of the remnants of something that could be 12 good for the trust. You don't want it. I'm not 13 going to forget that. So you said: I want a 14 chance to prove it's bad for the trust for various 15 I'm going to hold you to that proof. reasons. 16 True? 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Perfect. 18 Your Honor, can I --19 THE COURT: I'm in the middle of a trial. 2.0 What? Go. 21 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You're talking to me in 22 a trial? 23 THE COURT: No. Another trial. That's what 24 all of these books are for. 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him one

1 question? 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 CROSS (JOHN POLETTO) 4 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 5 Q. Okay. Mr. Poletto, did you inform the 6 potential buyer of potential litigations that could 7 be -- that I've discussed with you about the property, 8 and that Ted is trying to be removed, and if Ted is 9 found to have acted fraudulently, that his removal 10 herein, it could cause clawbacks, lawsuits; the cost of 11 that could become --12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'd like Your Honor to 13 consider bonding for any possible damage because 14 we have this rush and we're -- all of those 15 things. 16 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 17 Q. But that's my question. You've known about 18 litigation on this property for how long, since we 19 talked, over a year? 20 (Nods). Α. 21 You've been given information. Q. 22 THE COURT: You're talking and he's not even 23 answering. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He's answering. He's 25 shaking his head.

1 I'm not hearing anything. THE COURT: No. 2 BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 3 Can you answer? Q. 4 Ask me the question. Α. 5 Q. Okay. Did you inform the potential buyers of 6 potential litigation you were knowledgeable about? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Was there a reason you didn't? 9 My job is to get the contract -- the property Α. 10 in contract. I'm not in a position to try to scare 11 people away from buying a house we've been trying to 12 sell for almost three years. The objective here is to 13 sell the asset, not scare buyers away. So the answer 14 is absolutely no. My job is to get it in contract and 15 then you guys close it. 16 So your profession doesn't require you to Q. 17 fully disclose potential litigation? 18 Let me ask a question. Is there litigation Α. 19 filed right now? 20 Q. Yes. 21 Was it prior to being filed? Α. 22 Q. Yeah. 23 I haven't received a copy. Α. Okay. 24 Q. I've given you information, we've had 25 conversations about the litigation that's pending;

1 correct? 2 MR. ROSE: I'd object. 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Alan Rose --4 MR. ROSE: I'd object. 5 THE COURT: Stop. 6 MR. ROSE: We're here to get you to order the 7 sale approved. If you don't order the sale 8 approved, it doesn't go forward. 9 THE COURT: The contract is still pending. 10 I'm not negating the contract. You want -- what 11 you wanted was a court order to allow the closing 12 to take place by the 31st. 13 MR. ROSE: And to prohibit him from 14 interfering. 15 THE COURT: I'm going to deal with that --16 I'm going to deal with that second. 17 MR. ROSE: Because he --18 THE COURT: You don't have to argue that, him 19 interfering. I'm going to enter an order right 20 now dealing with that subject. 21 MR. ROSE: As soon as you tell the buyers 22 that there's going to be litigation to claw back 23 the property, these are very wealthy people. They 24 don't need the aggravation. 25 THE COURT: I don't need your help on this

issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSE: I apologize.

THE COURT: So one part of my ruling is that, because Eliot is objecting and says there's a good faith basis for this sale not to go through whereby the -- what's going to happen is there's going to be a change in the asset structure of the trust from property to cash. Eliot is objecting to that.

All right. So, Eliot, I'm going to make this clear, I'm going to right it. If the buyers here, by telling me that the Pony Express told them that there was litigation about this, I know it's coming from you, okay? I am ordering you not to discuss, not to disseminate, or not to give any notice that you think needs to go to the buyers, okay? And if I find out that the buyers find out about the stuff that you just asked Mr. Poletto about, I'm only going to look to you, and then you and I are going to have issues, okay? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I hear it.

THE COURT: Because you may think it's good to do that huffing that you do to Mr. Poletto by telling him all of that stuff that you think has some merit, that I have never found to have any

1 merit yet, okay, so I haven't found the 2 conspiracy, I haven't removed Ted, I haven't found 3 that it matters who's trustee in order to go ahead 4 and sell this for the benefit of the trust. Т 5 might wind up finding that all of the things 6 you're doing is harmful to the trust and the trust 7 beneficiaries, okay. I haven't gotten there yet 8 because that's why I'm trying to push this matter 9 through. 10 So we're going to take this one small step at 11 a time, but I'm ordering an injunction against you 12 not to contact the buyers directly or indirectly 13 with respect to any information concerning this 14 transaction. Understood? 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. I've never 16 contacted any buyers. 17 THE COURT: But you're suggesting that they 18 need to know that. 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm suggesting if he 2.0 knew it. 21 THE COURT: But that's not the point. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. That's fine. Ι 23 understood what you said. 24 THE COURT: That's not your job. 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My next question was:

1 Did you get a lis pendens I filed in this matter? 2 THE COURT: Doesn't matter. I got it. They 3 are told that it's filed. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So are the buyers aware 5 there's a lis pendens? I'm not allowed to ask 6 that? 7 THE COURT: No, you're not allowed to ask 8 that. I don't know who you gave notice to. Ιf 9 you sent notice to the contract -- if you sent 10 notice of this lis pendens to a contract buyer, 11 you're going to be right back in court here so 12 quickly your head is going to ring. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not going to talk 14 to the contract buyer. 15 THE COURT: No, but I don't want you to send 16 something to them. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not going to send 18 anything to the buyer. I would never do that. 19 I'm not doing that. 20 THE COURT: Because, obviously, if there's a 21 sale --22 I'm assuring you, I am MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 23 assuring you on that. 24 THE COURT: -- because if we have a sale, the 25 lis pendens is going to go away. They can't clear

1 title. It can't be sold over that. 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. Now, I don't 3 think I filed it properly in the county and 4 recorded it with the thing. 5 THE COURT: If you improperly file a lis 6 pendens, you're at risk for whatever it is you 7 did, so withdraw your lis pendens then, if that's 8 the case. 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not quite sure I 10 filed it wrong. I'm pro se. We will have to get 11 to that. But the point was, you asked that 12 everything come to you in this case. 13 THE COURT: But you didn't do it with the lis 14 pendens. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did. I gave it to 16 you in October. 17 THE COURT: Well, yeah, but I didn't approve 18 it. I held it because I didn't order it being 19 filed. You did send it. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: In the meantime, all of 21 a sudden, we get alerted there's a pending sale. 22 That would have denied me the due process to file 23 a lis pendens properly. 24 THE COURT: No, no. All you had to do was --25 he went ahead and gave notice because you can't

1 sell it without getting court approval, okay, and 2 so once he filed to get court approval, you had 3 notice, he gave you notice of the hearing. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's just the other 5 day. 6 That's when this all started. THE COURT: 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I filed the lis 8 pendens first --9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- prior to his --11 THE COURT: So what do you want, Ted? 12 MR. TED BERNSTEIN: I know you have something 13 else pressing, but I'm concerned I don't 14 understand something Mr. Poletto said and I want 15 to make sure in court I'm clear. 16 THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Did you say that there's 18 a chance the buyer backs out of this contract if 19 it's not done by March 31st, just walks away from 20 the deal? 21 MR. POLETTO: That's correct. 22 THE COURT: I didn't see that as a 23 contingency. 24 MR. POLETTO: I actually have another 25 document.

1 I haven't allowed my client to MR. ROSE: 2 sign the addendum because the addendum is -- they 3 had a chance to inspect or cancel. They exercised 4 their right, and said we will accept it as is if 5 it closes by March 31st. I have not let him sign 6 that because we -- I mean, I don't know that we 7 technically need court approval to sell property 8 in trust, but in this case, we wanted your 9 approval. 10 THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. ROSE: We were not going to do it 12 otherwise, sir. 13 THE COURT: It's one and the same. 14 MR. ROSE: If we don't sign that, then 15 there's no contract at all and the buyers are not 16 bound by anything, and ultimately what's going to 17 have to happen is we're going to have to lower the 18 asking price by \$30,000 to get these guys to go 19 forward. 20 THE COURT: For right now, subject to me 21 assessing the financial consequence of that to the 22 person who caused that sale not to go through, I 23 mean, that's what I reserve. But, Mr. Rose, part 24 of the problem is, it's like when I tell people 25 when they file emergency hearings, not everything

1 that seems to be important is an emergency. You 2 may have an important reason, and I think you do, 3 to try to sell this by the 31st. It may keep this 4 deal alive, and it may save someone \$30,000, but I 5 can't use that as a basis to deny the 6 beneficiaries the chance, now that we kind of 7 agree that they have a right to be involved in 8 this, to some degree, not contacting the buyers, 9 so there's a trade-off here in that. And so, you 10 know, if this was life or death, I would go for 11 life, but it's not life or death. This is a piece 12 of property. The property will just stay, if this 13 If the buyer backs out, I'll buyer backs out. 14 deal with that. 15 Ted, what else? 16 MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, just to 17 clarify for me, what things can the beneficiaries 18 do to help bring whatever they want to bring to 19 bear to make this happen faster so that we, at 2.0 least, know what the expectations are? 21 THE COURT: That's a good question. The 22 answer is, now that the beneficiaries have notice, 23 okay, if they have an objection that's bona fide, 24 that's based upon a -- for example, here's a 25 potential bona fide basis. No matter what

1 testimony I've heard about the reasonableness of 2 this, the property is really worth more, and if it 3 was, that's something that you, Ted, would want to 4 know, and so let's say --5 MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Yes. 6 THE COURT: -- I don't know who did that 7 appraisal, but a bona fide appraiser said, for 8 some reason -- this is James Hackett, okay -- and 9 some other MAI appraiser said, no way, you know, 10 Ted, this is a 1.4 or \$1.5 million deal, you may 11 want to know that. 12 MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Definitely. 13 THE COURT: So that's one thing, because I'm 14 told that it's not like the history of the 15 listings, as they have decreased and the appraisal 16 has been shared -- this appraisal was done as July 17 of 2014, so did anyone -- did the beneficiaries 18 get this appraisal? 19 MR. ROSE: No, Your Honor. In fact, I would 2.0 not -- I would like you, if you would, ore tenus, 21 to expand your injunction to prohibit the filing 22 in the public records of any of these exhibits, 23 including the appraisal and --24 THE COURT: I'm holding on to the exhibits. 25 I'm not filing them.

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ROSE: He has a copy of them, though. He |
| 2 | now has the appraisal. I would not have advised |
| 3 | he be given a copy |
| 4 | THE COURT: He can't Eliot can't file |
| 5 | anything without sending it to me first. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: But he puts it on the Internet, |
| 7 | and we don't want the appraisal to be on the |
| 8 | Internet. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I've already ordered him not to |
| 10 | do anything directly or indirectly contact any |
| 11 | aspect of this transaction with the buyer. |
| 12 | That's direct or indirect. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: We didn't share the appraisal |
| 14 | because, frankly, we were concerned it would be |
| 15 | public and that would defeat their chance of |
| 16 | selling it. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I'm not look, nothing is easy |
| 18 | here. It's not going to get easier until we can |
| 19 | get hearings where I can start to knock off some |
| 20 | of the issues, which is what I have been saying |
| 21 | now like a broken record. |
| 22 | At some point, either Eliot is going to be |
| 23 | sustained on his positions or he's going to be |
| 24 | overruled, but one way or the other, we can put |
| 25 | some of this stuff to rest. The problem is we're |
| | |

1 doing all of this business with some of the metes 2 of the case still up in the air where I haven't 3 been able to adjudicate; the claims that Ted should be removed; the claims that there's 4 5 wrongdoing beyond Spallina and Tescher, the trust 6 is not valid. I mean, give me a chance to rule on 7 that, because once I rule on that, then the matter 8 is over with on those and you'll know one way or 9 the other what to do. 10 Do you understand what I'm saying? I think 11 we have hearing time coming up. Let's use that, 12 you know, prioritize hearings on this case. So as 13 soon as we can, I'll give it to you. 14 MR. ROSE: I appreciate that. 15 We have one other thing, three seconds. 16 Miss Foglietta would like to go forward with 17 the inspection on Friday and I have no objection 18 to it. It had to be done at any point and she 19 already had it scheduled. 20 THE COURT: That's fine. 21 The only issue is, your prior MR. ROSE: 22 order was that Eliot could be present outside of 23 the house but not go physically into the house 24 during the inspection. We'd just like to maintain 25 that same ruling.

| 1 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Your Honor, you |
|----|---|
| 2 | just said in the last hearing |
| 3 | MS. FOGLIETTA: Your Honor, if I could |
| 4 | THE COURT: Hold on. It's her motion. |
| 5 | MS. FOGLIETTA: If I could, I want to clarify |
| 6 | a few things because we went so quickly through |
| 7 | it, and I just want to bring to Your Honor's |
| 8 | attention, if I may approach, an order that you |
| 9 | prior entered on this, on Mr. Brown as curator, |
| 10 | his motion, where you capped the price of the |
| 11 | inspection at \$500 for Mr. Hittel to do it and |
| 12 | then you included language that Ted and Eliot |
| 13 | could be there, but only could be outside. |
| 14 | So what my petition has, which is directly |
| 15 | under that order I've just handed you, Judge, is |
| 16 | we're actually asking for a few things, and I want |
| 17 | to address something Mr. Rose brought up outside |
| 18 | to me, too, that I just confirmed with |
| 19 | Mr. O'Connell. We're asking for an appraisal, an |
| 20 | inspection, and to take possession and move the |
| 21 | property in the house. Now, when Mr. Hittel |
| 22 | originally agreed to that \$500, that was if things |
| 23 | were not boxed in boxes in the house. We have |
| 24 | since found out that there are. He had said |
| 25 | previously, if things are boxed, now I need an |
| | |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220

1 assistant and it's not going to cost \$500. 2 So what I am seeking is to have Hall and 3 Hall, a different appraiser, actually do this, not 4 Mr. Hittel, at a rate of \$125 an hour, and also to 5 get him an assistant at a rate of \$100 an hour. 6 So that's the first thing. 7 THE COURT: And the tasks these people will 8 undertake is what? 9 MS. FOGLIETTA: To inspect the property 10 that's currently there because there's been 11 allegations some of the property is missing; to do 12 another appraisal because there's been allegations 13 that the first appraisal was done incorrectly. 14 THE COURT: Appraisal of the personalty? 15 MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Let me ask you something. This 17 is being done this way in connection with the sale 18 of the property? 19 MS. FOGLIETTA: Well, we had always planned 2.0 on filing another motion because we found out 21 Mr. Hittel couldn't do the appraisal -- or the 22 inspection -- excuse me -- that you ordered for 23 \$500 and now we've just, you know, kind of brought 24 it to the forefront here because of the sale of 25 the property. But, either way, it needs to get

1 It's always been something that's on our done. 2 plate. 3 THE COURT: So inside this house is what that 4 belongs to the estate? 5 MS. FOGLIETTA: Personal property of the 6 decedents. Furniture -- I haven't seen it myself, 7 but I believe it's furniture, things that are 8 boxed, all sorts of trinkets and things, but the 9 way that I understand it, it's boxed, tangible 10 personal property, and also personal property 11 that's just outside. 12 THE COURT: And none of that is being sold 13 with the unit; is that true? 14 MR. POLETTO: Correct. 15 MS. FOGLIETTA: That's what I have been told. 16 I haven't seen the contract. 17 THE COURT: So everything, then, other than 18 things that are not to be detached, is going to 19 leave there and go to the estate, is that the 20 idea? 21 MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes. 22 It is. I would suggest, if MR. ROSE: 23 there's not a closing, you don't empty the house. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I understand that. 25 There's two different issues. MR. ROSE:

1 They can do their inspection. I have no problem 2 with that. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. ROSE: And we understand there's an 5 increased cost. I don't believe they should do 6 another reappraisal. 7 THE COURT: Well, I don't know that I need an 8 If you want to, in anticipation appraisal now. 9 that there's going to be a closing and that the 10 items of personalty are going to leave there and 11 go somewhere else, and where will be at the 12 direction of the PR; you'll say where you want 13 it -- you know, the idea of having an appraisal is 14 something we can wait on because -- I mean, the 15 items are in the house now and ultimately they 16 will be taken out of the house. 17 MS. FOGLIETTA: The only reason we were 18 asking that is because we have to have Hall and 19 Hall already go to the house to do the inspection, 2.0 and since he will already be there charging the 21 same rate, we figured we might as well just have 22 the appraisal all done at the same time rather 23 than having him have to go back and us come back 24 on another motion, you know, and go through that 25 whole thing, so if we could just do it all at the

1 same time --2 THE COURT: How much is this going to cost, 3 though? 4 MS. FOGLIETTA: It depends how much is in the 5 house. I don't know. It could take one day, it 6 could, at the most, take two days. From what I've 7 been told from Mr. Rose, there's not all that much 8 I'm hoping it will be one day, but it's there. 9 hard for me to say without actually having --10 THE COURT: Well, one day and between the two 11 people, that's \$250 an hour, so eight hours, that 12 now could be, you know --13 MS. FOGLIETTA: And if he's got to go there, 14 anyway, to do the inspection, he might as well do 15 the appraisal. 16 THE COURT: The appraisal is included in that 17 time frame. 18 That's right, Judge, yes, MS. FOGLIETTA: 19 That's the rate we were quoted for it's for both. 2.0 both. So I would ask that, you know, so that he 21 doesn't have to go back and incur additional fees, 22 so we don't have to file another motion, incur 23 additional fees, that we could do the inspection, 24 the appraisal, and then take possession and 25 store --

1 THE COURT: Well, not possession, because it 2 needs to sell with furniture in it. That makes it 3 more --4 MS. FOGLIETTA: We could hold off on that. 5 That was only in anticipation of the sale, Judge, 6 that portion of the motion. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So inspect and appraise. 8 Approved to inspect, appraise, but not remove. 9 MS. FOGLIETTA: And then the next thing, 10 Judge, part of this motion was inspection of some 11 office property, which we briefly spoke about 12 yesterday. Mr. Rose said there's nothing there, 13 but I just wanted to be clear that Your Honor 14 still, you know, allows us to go ahead and inspect 15 it and appraise that. 16 THE COURT: You're allowed to inspect the 17 property under the rules, true? Yes. 18 MS. FOGLIETTA: And then Mr. Rose agreed 19 yesterday that the trust would pay for, and Eliot 2.0 had no objection, the inspection and appraisal of 21 the home -- of the property in the St. Andrews 22 home and we would ask for the same thing for the 23 office stuff, although it seems like there might 24 be nothing there. There may be no cost associated 25 with it.

1 THE COURT: Well, if you have an assistant, 2 if there's nothing in there, that cost could be 3 minimized by someone verifying there's nothing in 4 there. 5 MS. FOGLIETTA: That's correct, Judge. 6 THE COURT: That sounds like it's a quick 7 thing. 8 MS. FOGLIETTA: And then two other things. 9 The prior order that you have in front of you did 10 limit Ted and Eliot from going in, and then Your 11 Honor made -- whether it was a ruling or not, I 12 don't know -- but you made a statement at 13 yesterday's hearing that Eliot was allowed in and 14 was allowed to video it, so I just wanted Your 15 Honor to know there was a prior order that you 16 entered and I wanted to make sure that the estate 17 wasn't doing anything contrary to either your oral 18 statement yesterday or written ruling prior. 19 THE COURT: Let me look and think about this. 20 Okay. I'm going to reverse myself. 21 Eliot, you're not going in. 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I 23 explain why you made that decision? 24 THE COURT: I'm leaving everything in there. 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: This is very important.

| 1 | THE COURT: What? Go ahead. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The reason you made |
| 3 | that decision yesterday is because Joi found out |
| 4 | yesterday that not the only thing in the house |
| 5 | is supposed to be my dad's house stuff, 4,000 |
| 6 | square feet of furniture and possessions of my |
| 7 | mother's condominium are also supposed to be in |
| 8 | that house. You made a court order to inspect |
| 9 | those items at that property. We just found out |
| 10 | yesterday from Joi that there are claims that |
| 11 | Mr. Rose has, or Ted, somebody has sold, or |
| 12 | otherwise moved properties. |
| 13 | THE COURT: So what's there to inspect? |
| 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, to find out |
| 15 | what's missing from |
| 16 | THE COURT: Here's the thing: The appraiser |
| 17 | that goes in is going to not look at title, but |
| 18 | look at items in the house. They will photograph |
| 19 | and prepare a report of what's in there. They are |
| 20 | not going to remove it. It is staying in there, |
| 21 | so I'm not sure what you're getting at. |
| 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They are saying nothing |
| 23 | is left. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Nothing left of what? |
| 25 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Of the personal |
| | |

Г

1 property. 2 THE COURT: Well, no, there is personal 3 property. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Of Si, not of Shirley. 5 THE COURT: But, see, the appraiser is not 6 determining title. He's going to determine what's 7 in there and what it's worth. 8 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, the Court was 9 told one thing. He had no right to sell personal 10 property, meaning that was in their custody. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not dealing with -- if 12 something is sold, I'll deal with that. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm a beneficiary. Whv 14 would you preclude me from the inspection? THE COURT: Because I want to. I think 15 16 you'll be disruptive. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I won't. 18 THE COURT: I'm ruling on that. 19 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I promise you not to be 20 disruptive in any way. 21 THE COURT: The answer is no. You can be 22 present, you can stay outside, you can watch the 23 If he wants to speak to you, he appraiser go in. 24 can, but you can't make him. He's going to look 25 at whatever is in there, he inventories, he

1 photos, he does all of that, and then it's 2 available to be looked at at some point later on. 3 And I don't want this being a show, and that's why 4 I'm changing my mind. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here is the other part. 6 If we're going to do this evaluation of the house, 7 Mr. Poletto, in his statement says that -- in his 8 affidavit or something says there's \$300,000 of 9 damages and remodeling costs and it's in -- well, 10 I was with my dad a lot at his house before he 11 died. The thing was immaculate, perfect, just had 12 an elevator put in. I don't know the cost. 13 THE COURT: What's your point? 14 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The house wasn't 15 crumbling apart like it's being portrayed. I want 16 to know: Did they rip out the copper? Is the 17 wall missing? 18 THE COURT: You have an inspector who did an 19 appraisal and went in. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't looked at 21 that yet. 22 THE COURT: He describes the condition and 23 there's --24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If I'm going to get 25 another inspector, or possibly a second opinion,

64

1 I'd sure like to go in. 2 THE COURT: Well, if -- not you. If you make 3 a bona fide motion to have it inspected by a bona 4 fide appraiser, I might let that person in. Maybe 5 there won't even be an objection on their side. 6 Because no one -- an appraiser is not going to 7 move stuff around. They are going to look, take 8 pictures. You know, that's the problem. They 9 don't want you in there. 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So they are going to --11 they are going to take pictures. Can Joi take 12 video, possibly? 13 THE COURT: Well, I'm not telling them how to 14 do their job. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So there will be photo 16 evidence. 17 THE COURT: We'll see where it goes, but for 18 what you want to accomplish, you need to do it 19 through separate motions, if you think there is 2.0 something in there, but why don't you wait and see 21 what, at least, is reported about being in the 22 house and make up your mind what you want to do. 23 MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, I'll use the same 24 language from previous order. 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MS. FOGLIETTA: And the very last thing, 2 which shouldn't take long, is we need \$500 from 3 the trust to put up front as an initial deposit to 4 get this thing rolling tomorrow so I would ask 5 that as part --6 THE COURT: Any objection? 7 MR. ROSE: There's no objection. One 8 I personally am not paying any of clarification. 9 this. Under the statute that allows the personal 10 representative to request money from a revocable 11 trust, we've agreed, for this purpose only, we'll 12 advance the costs. I'll give her a check for \$500 13 today from our trust account. 14 THE COURT: Thanks. Bye. 15 MR. ROSE: Thank you for your time. 16 THE COURT: No problem. I need to get to my 17 trial. Take this stuff back, please. I'm giving 18 you the exhibits back, I'm not taking them. 19 MR. ROSE: I'll hold them. 20 THE COURT: Get me that order, make sure 21 Eliot doesn't contact this buyer directly or 22 indirectly. 23 MR. ROSE: When should we set another 24 hearing? 25 THE COURT: We have a closing now set for

April 20th so, Eliot, you need to do your due diligence. If you're going to want something to be done, set it so I can hear it before the 20th. So if you want to file something, get me what you want to file, don't file it, I'll look at it, I'll give you a hearing date before the 20th, if it's bona fide. Otherwise, they are going to look forward to selling this on the 20th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I, right now, schedule this hearing to continue so I can deal with the legality of if this is a legal sale? Ι mean, we're going to have to deal -- I don't care. If the price is right -- look, Your Honor, if the price is right, I'm all for it, but if the deal is going to put it into a legal quagmire of fraud, possibly, and make decisions that lead us down that path, I think I have to have a hearing that continues this hearing to determine if the trustee is acting within the statutes. It's real simple. If he's not, then whether the price is good or not isn't the key, the key is the statutes aren't being followed. I don't want to have to bond people, but, you know, obviously if we're going to take a risk of violating statutes to get a sale done because there's a buyer who just popped out

1 of the woodwork today --2 THE COURT: Okay. But I can't give you a 3 hearing right now. I've got to start my trial. 4 If you file something, I'll get you a hearing. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So a continuation of 6 this hearing? 7 THE COURT: Or something new. They are 8 still -- right now I know the sale is for 9 April 20th. They are going to come in before then 10 to get an order that actually allows them to close 11 on that date. 12 MR. ROSE: Or I will advise you that they 13 canceled. We'll keep you posted. 14 THE COURT: Or they have canceled. One of 15 the two. Okay. Bye. 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Thank you. 17 MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, would you like me to 18 write this up and send it to you? 19 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't have time to do 20 that now. Thanks. 21 (The hearing was concluded at 2:10 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| З | THE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, APRIL Y. SEGUI, Registered Professional |
| 7 | Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, State of |
| 8 | Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and |
| 9 | did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings |
| 10 | and that the transcript is a true and complete record |
| 11 | of my stenographic notes. |
| 12 | Dated this 16th day of April, 2015. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | ADTC4 . |
| 19 | |
| 20 | apilly Legui Source |
| 21 | APRIL Y. SEGUI, RPR, FPR |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |

WWW.USLEGALSUPPORT.COM 561-835-0220 69

Α **\$1,000,000**13:15 13:18 **\$1.1**10:9 11:17 14:14 22:21 **\$1.5**52:10 **\$100** 56:5 **\$125** 56:4 **\$125,000**10:22 **\$165** 12:1 **\$170,000** 31:15 **\$177** 12:13 **\$180** 12:12 **\$250** 59:11 **\$3**11:21 **\$30,000**10:21 34:13,18 36:12 36:18 38:7,25 50:18 51:4 \$300,000 64:8 \$33,000 39:18 **\$5.5**31:8 **\$500** 55:11,22 56:1 56:23 66:2,12 **\$7,000**17:10 23:1 **\$95,000**10:22 33:19 **able** 13:22 23:8 33:21 35:24 37:15 54:3 absolutely 43:14 **accept** 50:4 accepted 7:22 32:18 accepting 16:10 accomplish 65:18 **account** 66:13 **accurate** 5:5 38:2 **acted** 42:9 **acting** 67:19 **actual** 33:14 40:21 **addendum** 32:25 33:2,8,15,16 50:2,2 additional 59:21 59:23 **address** 55:17 **adequate** 32:6 40:2 adjudicate 54:3 admit 7:12 admitted 5:13 7:14 11:7 20:17 35:23 **advance** 66:12 advantage 33:5 34:5 **advice** 16:8 17:3 21:5,7

advise 27:13 39:25 68:12 advised 53:2 affidavit 3:15 6:24 7:5,7 14:11 29:6,7 38:20 64:8 affirmed 4:14 16:16 afternoon 27:16 agent 5:15 aggravation 44:24 **ago** 13:19 agree 14:17 37:22 51:7 agreed 55:22 60:18 66:11 agreeing 39:1 Agreement 1:3 20:19 **agrees** 33:17 **ahead** 4:17 6:22 17:24 19:2 30:19 31:25 42:2 46:3 48:25 49:16 60:14 62:1 **air** 54:2 Al's 39:17 Alan 2:6 25:12,19 29:17,19 32:7 44:3 alerted 32:8 48:21 ALEXANDRA 1:6 **alive** 39:14 51:4 allegations 21:18 21:22 56:11,12 alleged 21:11 22:15 **allow** 37:3 44:11 **allowed** 27:23 40:8 47:5,7 50:1 60:16 61:13,14 **allows** 60:14 66:9 68:10 **altered** 20:18 amended 1:4 amendment 20:18 **amount** 6:17 23:10 37:10 analyzed 36:1 Andrews 8:3,12,15 12:25 13:3 33:4 60:21 answer 18:24 29:18 36:5 37:7 43:3 43:13 51:22 63:21

answering 42:23,24 anticipation 58:8 60:5 **anybody** 38:15 **anyway** 59:14 **apart** 31:10 64:15 apologize 45:2 apparently 23:15 35:16 APPEARANCES 2:1 application 33:18 33:22 appraisal 3:16 10:24 11:1,3,9 14:18 37:9 52:7 52:15,16,18,23 53:2,7,13 55:19 56:12,13,14,21 58:8,13,22 59:15 59:16,24 60:20 64:19 **appraise** 60:7,8,15 appraised 9:7,10 10:23 37:9 appraiser 52:7,9 56:3 62:16 63:5 63:23 65:4,6 appreciate 54:14 **approach** 4:18 9:12 33:9 55:8 appropriate 22:24 appropriately 37:11 appropriateness 30:5 **approval** 49:1,2 50:7,9 **approve** 15:3 28:15 29:5 48:17 approved 33:21,24 34:1,2 44:7,8 60:8 approximately 13:20 17:8 **April** 1:23 10:12 10:20 32:16,24 34:12 36:23 38:8 39:12 67:1 68:9 69:6,12,21 **argue** 44:18 **arm's** 14:2 Arose@mrachek-... 2:5 arrangements 29:10 **as-is** 40:22 **aside** 23:5 27:22 **asked** 45:18 48:11

asking 8:17 50:18 55:16,19 58:18 **aspect** 30:15 34:16 35:20 53:11 assessing 50:21 **asset** 43:13 45:7 assistant 56:1,5 61:1 associated 60:24 **assume** 20:7 **assuring** 47:22,23 **attached** 5:3 33:10 38:21 attention 55:8 **attorney** 19:9,10 **attractive** 12:20 **author** 20:6 authored 18:21 authorized 69:8 available 64:2 **aware** 25:15 47:4 **Ayrshire** 12:4,5,6 в **B**1:7 2:6 3:12 **back** 4:3 28:14 29:8 36:21 44:22 47:11 58:23,23 59:21 66:17,18 **background** 5:6 backs 49:18 51:13 51:13 **bad** 34:20 36:3 41:14 **balls** 30:25 **based** 9:3 11:16 40:20 51:24 **basic** 31:14 **basis** 7:11,11 45:5 51:5,25 Beach 1:1,20 2:4,9 18:8 69:3 **bear** 51:19 **behalf**1:10,11,13 2:2,7,13 14:13 **behaving** 26:12 **belief** 7:21 11:17 25:24 **believe** 11:10 25:19,23 33:1 57:7 58:5 **belongs** 57:4 **beneficiaries** 9:24 16:25 22:4 23:21 24:3,5,10 25:11 25:14,14 28:4 29:23 32:2 46:7

51:6,17,22 52:17 **beneficiary** 63:13 **benefit** 46:4 Bernstein 1:3,3,6 1:6,7,8,8,9,11 1:13 2:15,15,18 3:5,6,8,10 4:4 5:10,16 6:3,6,14 7:8 8:18,24 9:14 9:16,19,23 10:6 11:4 12:17,21 14:13 15:7,10,14 15:18,20,24 16:5 16:15 17:13,17 17:22,25 18:5,6 18:12,19,23 19:3 19:5,17,18,19,21 20:5,11,15,19,22 21:3,16,24 22:2 22:15 23:7,12,13 23:18 24:1,2,18 25:22 26:1,3,5,9 26:13,24 27:3,9 29:17,21,22 30:18,21 31:19 32:1 35:14,18 41:17,21,25 42:4 42:12,16,24 43:2 44:3 45:21 46:15 46:19,22,25 47:4 47:13,17,22 48:2 48:9,15,20 49:4 49:7,10,12,17 51:16 52:5,12 55:1 61:22,25 62:2,14,22,25 63:4,8,13,17,19 64:5,14,20,24 65:10,15 67:9 68:5,16 **best**14:12,18 **C**4:1 16:25 **better** 20:17 **beyond** 19:12 36:5 54:5 biggest 13:6 **binding** 38:19 **blew** 31:10 Boca 2:16 **bona** 51:23,25 52:7 65:3,3 67:7 **bond** 67:22 **bonding** 42:13 **books** 41:24 **bought** 12:21 **bound** 50:16 **boxed** 55:23,25 50:8 54:2,12

57:8,9 **boxes** 55:23 break 30:13 Brian 25:13 briefly 8:21 10:19 60:11 bring 51:18,18 55:7 **broke** 9:1 broken 39:9 53:21 **broker** 5:2 **brought** 55:17 56:23 Brown 55:9 builder 12:21,22 13:22 business 54:1 **buy** 32:3 36:22 38:14 **buyer** 10:5 13:11 13:22 14:1,15 33:17,20 34:5,19 35:5 40:21 42:6 47:10,14,18 49:18 51:13,13 53:11 66:21 67:25 **buyers** 12:20,24 13:3 14:21 28:12 28:24 36:10,12 36:12 39:6,25 43:5,13 44:21 45:11,16,17 46:12,16 47:4 50:15 51:8 **buying** 12:24 37:14 43:11 **Bye** 66:14 68:15 С **C.F**1:12,13 **call** 4:6 16:5 27:13 **called** 8:7 27:11 29:9 32:9 cancel 28:13 34:19 39:7 50:3 **canceled** 68:13,14 **CANDICE** 2:15 **capped** 55:10 **care** 67:12 carrying 17:7 **carved** 4:5 case1:2 4:4 26:18 35:3,21 48:8,12

cash 40:22,23 45:8 **cause** 21:17 42:10 **caused** 21:18,20 50:22 central 19:22 **CERTIFICATE** 69:1 certify 69:8 **chance** 31:4 32:2 40:5 41:14 49:18 50:3 51:6 53:15 54:6 **change** 39:11 45:7 **changed** 28:25 changing 64:4 charging 58:20 **check** 66:12 **child**1:11,13 children 1:10 **CIKLIN** 2:8 **CIRCUIT** 1:1,1 circumstances 10:16 **claims** 54:3,4 62**:**10 clarification 66:8 **clarify** 51:17 55:5 **claw** 44:22 clawbacks 42:10 **clear** 45:11 47:25 49:15 60:13 **clearly** 36:3 37:17 **client** 39:3 50:1 client's 39:1 clients 9:9 **close** 16:8 30:17 33:5 34:6,14 37:10 43:15 68:10 closed 11:25 21:13 **closes** 34:10 50:5 **closing** 10:12,17 24:5 32:15,19 36:23 38:8 44:11 57:23 58:9 66:25 **club** 8:12 33:4 34:5 38:25 co-owner 5:1 codes 31:23 **COLIN** 1:17 come 26:15 32:13 48:12 58:23 68:9 comes 11:10 26:15 coming 4:8 22:16 41:1,6 45:14 54:11 commission 36:15 39:17,23

communication 25:13**community** 8:3,6,10 8:15 **company** 6:1 8:7 comparable 12:3,4 compare 5:21 competent 40:18 complete 33:18 69:10 completely 8:24 comps 11:16 **concedes** 38:24 **concern** 30:7 31:6 **concerned** 34:23 38:1 49:13 53:14 concerning 46:13 concluded 68:21 condition 12:3 13:4 64:22 conditions 37:12 condominium 62:7 conducted 14:2 confirmed 29:9 55:18 connection 56:17 consequence 50:21 consequences 37:17 consider 13:24 18:4 42:13 consideration 41:3 consistent 11:14 13:2 consistently 9:3 conspiracy 46:2 **contact** 46:12 53:10 66:21 contacted 46:16 contacting 51:8 contested 35:20 contingency 49:23 contingent 29:1 33:19,20 continuation 68:5 continue 26:19 67:10 continues 67:18 **contract** 3:16 7:16 7:19 10:5 13:25 16:7,22 17:8 22:20 24:14,17 25:2,5,9,17 27:20 28:3,13,23 29:1 30:9 31:2 32:15,17,18,21 32:22 33:20 34:3 34:17 38:18 40:9

40:22 43:9,10,14 44:9,10 47:9,10 47:14 49:18 50:15 57:16 **contracts** 35:12 contrary 61:17 conversation 14:7 conversations 43:25 copies 9:15 18:13 18:15 35:11 **copper** 64:16 **copy** 10:24 18:11 43:23 53:1,3 **core** 40:16,19 **correct** 10:10 11:13 14:6 18:23 19:8,23 24:8 28:6,8 32:16 34:7 44:1 48:2 49:21 57:14 61:5 **cost**12:12 17:7 23:1 34:18 42:10 56:1 58:5 59:2 60:24 61:2 64:12 costs 37:21 64:9 66:12 cotta 13:8 **cough** 26:8 coughed 26:5,6 counsel 17:3 19:16 19:20 20:16 21:5 21:7 **count** 36:11 **counter** 14:6,16 countered 14:14,15 counteroffer 14:11 country 8:12 33:4 34:5 38:25 county 1:1, 19 18:8 48:3 69:3 course 8:25 11:22 11:22 **court**1:1 4:3,10 4:17,19 5:9,11 6:12,17,19,22 7:7,10 8:2,21 29:20 9:13,18 10:19 11:3,5 13:10 15:3,6,9,12,16 15:19,22 16:1,10 **curator** 55:9 16:13 17:12,15 cure 36:16 17:19,23 18:2,10 18:18,20,24 19:2 19:4,14 20:2,6 20:13,25 21:14 21:21 22:1,7,17

23:9,15,24 24:7 24:10,13,16,21 25:5,16,21,25 26:4,7,11,14,25 27:4,8,15,17 28:2,7,10,16,19 29:12,18,25 30:4 30:10,19 31:17 31:25 32:11,21 32:23 33:6,10,13 33:15,24 34:3,8 34:14,20 35:16 35:19 37:24 38:6 38:11,22 39:3,11 39:16,20 40:3 41:19,23 42:2,22 43:1 44:5,9,11 44:15,18,25 45:3 45:22 46:17,21 46:24 47:2,7,11 47:15,20,24 48:5 48:13,17,24 49:1 49:2,6,9,11,15 49:16,22 50:7,10 50:13,20 51:21 52:6,13,24 53:4 53:9,17 54:20 55:4 56:7,14,16 57:3,12,17,24 58:3,7 59:2,10 59:16 60:1,7,16 61:1,6,19,24 62:1,8,13,16,24 63:2,5,8,11,15 63:18,21 64:13 64:18,22 65:2,13 65:17,25 66:6,14 66:16,20,25 68:2 68:7,14,19 Courthouse 1:19 Courtroom 1:20 **creative** 39:14 **cross** 3:3 15:6,11 16:1 18:5 42:3 cross-examination 15:17 17:16 cross-examine 15:21 31:4 crumbling 64:15 current 7:25 10:21 currently 8:11,15 8:16 13:5 56:10 **custody** 63:10 customized 13:1

cut 26:21 27:1 **CV** 3:15 5:9 D **D**3:1 4:1 **D.B**1:9,10 2:13 **dad** 31:7 64:10 dad's 62:5 damage 42:13 damages 64:9 date 6:5 25:2 32:19 34:4 36:23 36:25 37:2,2 39:12 67:6 68:11 **dated**1:4 69:12 **dates** 38:16 day 49:5 59:5,8,10 69:12 days 5:20 11:23 22:16 28:12 31:16,16 59:6 deal 23:2,24 25:16 30:10 36:13,14 38:7 39:14 40:24 41:9 44:15,16 49:20 51:4,14 52:10 63:12 67:10,12,14 **dealing** 18:16 29:15 35:22 44:20 63:11 dealings 14:1 35:23 deals 36:20 **death** 51:10,11 decedents 57:6 decide 20:23 22:12 deciding 22:8 23:5 decision 37:2 61:23 62:3 decisions 67:16 decreased 52:15 **defeat** 53:15 **Defendants** 1:14 definitely 24:7 52:12 degree 51:8 **Delray** 1:20 **demand** 35:25 denied 34:21 48:22 **deny** 51:5 department 21:19 **depends** 59:4 deposit 66:3 deposition 31:4 **describe** 12:19 describes 5:5

64:22 **DESCRIPTION** 3:14 **desire** 26:19 **detached** 57:18 determine 13:23 22:22 40:1 63:6 67**:**18 determining 63:6 developer 8:5 **died** 6:6 31:7 64:11 different 56:3 57:25 diligence 37:1 67:2 direct 3:3 4:20 16:19 30:20 53:12 direction 58:12 **directly** 46:12 53:10 55:14 66:21 disbursements 21:23 **disclose** 43:17 disclosure 32:6 38:15 **discuss** 45:15 discussed 42:7 **dispute** 22:14 disruptions 26:22 disruptive 26:17 63:16,20 disseminate 25:17 45:15 distributions 21:6 document 18:2,21 20:3,7,14 21:8 38:12 49:25 documents 21:25 23:20 24:4 30:22 31:3 32:5 doing 28:24 46:6 47:19 54:1 61:17 Drive 2:4,9 driveway 13:7 **Dtd**1:8,9,11,13 **due** 35:20 37:1 48:22 67:1 duly 4:14 16:16 dummy 30:24 Е **E** 3:1,12 4:1,1 **E-mail** 2:5,10,17 e-mailed 29:2

earlier 10:17

| easier 53:18 | established 8:7 | faith 14:2 45:5 |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| easy 53:17 | estate 5:2 14:13 | familiar 18:7,2 |
| edit 7:2 | 19:11 21:12,12 | 18:25 19:1 |
| eight11:23 59:11 | 22:25 37:7 38:14 | far 29:16 37:3 |
| either 53:22 56:25 | 57:4,19 61:16 | fashion 22:8 |
| 61:17 | evaluation 64:6 | faster 51:19 |
| elevator 64:12 | events 28:22 | father 32:4 |
| eliminate 35:9 | eventually 26:20 | faulting 38:22 |
| Eliot 1:8 2:15,18 | everybody 28:15 | fees 37:20 59:2 |
| 3:6,8,10 5:10 | everybody 20:13 evidence 5:8,13 | 59:23 |
| 7:8 9:16,19,23 | 7:6,14 11:7 | feet 11:24 12:8 |
| 11:4 15:7,10,14 | 14:18 15:13,14 | 62:6 |
| 15:18,20,24 | 17:18,20 18:1 | fide 51:23,25 5 |
| | | |
| 17:13,17,22,25 | 20:4 40:5,16,18 | 65:3,4 67:7 |
| 18:6,12,19,23 | 65:16 | FIFTEENTH 1:1 |
| 19:3,5,18 20:5 | evidentiary 20:10 | fifty 5:20 11:1 |
| 20:11,15,22 21:1 | | 14:8,15 |
| 21:3,16,24 22:2 | 16:19 30:20 | figured 58:21 |
| 22:15 23:7,12,13 | | file 27:13 48:5 |
| 23:18 24:1,2,18 | examined 4:14 | 50:25 53:4 59 |
| 25:22 26:1,3,5,9 | | 67:4,5,5 68:4 |
| 26:13,24 27:3,11 | | filed 28:1,4 29 |
| 28:20 29:1,17,21 | | 43:19,21 47:1 |
| 29:22 30:12,18 | excess 13:13,15,18 | 48:3,10,19 49 |
| 30:21 31:19 32:1 | excuse 56:22 | 49:7 |
| 35:4,14,18,25 | executed 25:6 | filing 52:21,25 |
| 37:5 40:6,13,16 | 27:20 | 56:20 |
| 41:4,10,17,21,25 | exercised 50:3 | final 14:12 32: |
| 42:4,12,16,24 | exhibit 3:15,15,16 | 38:18 |
| 43:2 44:3 45:4,8 | | finally 32:18 |
| 45:10,21 46:15 | 7:4,13 10:1,5 | financial 50:21 |
| 46:19,22,25 47:4 | | find 45:17,17 |
| 47:13,17,22 48:2 | | 62:14 |
| 48:9,15,20 49:4 | exhibits 52:22,24 | finding 46:5 |
| 49:7,10 53:4,22 | 66:18 | fine 46:22 54:2 |
| 54:22 55:1,12 | expand 52:21 | fire 14:25 |
| 60:19 61:10,13 | expect 13:11 | firm 5:15,22 8: |
| 61:21,22,25 62:2 | - | first 4:6,14 9: |
| 62:14,22,25 63:4 | - | 10:11 16:16 |
| 63:8,13,17,19 | experience 8:3 | 17:21 20:18,1 |
| 64:5,14,20,24 | explain 61:23 | 27:10 28:2 49 |
| 65:10,15 66:21 | explained 37:11 | 53:5 56:6,13 |
| 67:1,9 68:5,16 | Express 45:12 | FITZGERALD 2:3 |
| | - | |
| embodied 16:21 | extended 14:20 exterior 13:8 | five 5:24 12:7 |
| emergency 50:25 51:1 | exterior 13:8 extra 18:11 | fixed 39:10 fixtures 30:9 |
| | | |
| empowered 38:13 | extremely 6:11 | Flagler 2:4,9 |
| empty 57:23 | F | flailing 26:25 |
| enter 44:19 | | floor 2:9 13:2 |
| entered 55:9 61:16 | | floors 13:7 |
| entitled 35:8 | fact 9:10 25:7 | Florida 1:1,20, |
| equity 34:6 | 31:11 40:21 | 2:4,9,16 69:3 |
| ERIC 1:6 | 52:19 | 69 : 8 |
| escrow 37:18 | factor 13:6 | flushed 36:9 38 |
| ESQUIRE 2:6,11 | factual 23:3 | Foglietta 2:11 |
| essence 4:5 | fair 7:25 39:15 | 27:6,7,10,16 |
| establish 21:4 | 40:14 | 29:9 30:4,7 |

37:25 38:4 54:16 55:3,5 56:9,15 56:19 57:5,15,21 58:17 59:4,13,18 60:4,9,18 61:5,8 65:23 66:1 68:17 **folks** 4:5 39:13 **followed** 16:8 17:3 67:22 following 19:7 22:5 31:21 follows 4:15 16:17 **foot** 12:2, 12, 13, 14 foreclosure 12:22 forefront 56:24 foregoing 69:9 forget 41:13 form 21:1,14 **forms** 21:9 **forward** 44:8 50:19 54:16 67:8 found 29:1 36:7,8 42:9 45:25 46:1 46:2 55:24 56:20 62:3,9 **four** 13:19 31:15 four-fifty 6:21 fourth 12:10 **FPR**1:23 69:21 **frame** 59:17 **frankly** 53:14 **fraud** 21:18 67:15 fraudulently 42:9 **Friday** 54:17 **Friedstein** 1:11, 12 1:12 **front** 61:9 66:3 **full** 33:17 **fully** 38:15 43:17 **furniture** 57:6,7 60:2 62:6 further 15:5 17:11 30:3 G **G**4:1 gestures 26:15 getting 9:4,20 26:14 35:11,20 49:1 62:21 give 9:14 11:19 17:19 18:2 21:15 24:5 26:23 39:8 45:15 54:6,13 66:12 67:6 68:2 given 10:23 22:4 29:16 32:2 36:21

42:21 43:24 53:3 **giving** 66:17 **go** 4:17 6:22 17:24 19:2 20:11 21:19 26:7 29:14 30:19 31:25 36:20 37:15 41:10,20 42:2 44:8 45:5 45:16 46:3 47:25 49:16 50:18,22 51:10 54:16,23 57:19 58:11,19 58:23,24 59:13 59:21 60:14 62:1 63:23 65:1 goes 30:14 35:2 37:18 40:24 62:17 65:17 going 4:6 10:4,21 10:22 11:9 15:13 21:3,9,17 22:2 22:22 24:25 30:12,13 35:7,25 36:4,10,13,21 37:6,7 38:24 39:18,25 40:21 41:2,13,15 44:15 44:16,19,22 45:6 45:7,10,11,19,20 46:10 47:11,12 47:13,17,25 50:11,16,17 53:18,22,23 56:1 57:18 58:9,10 59:2 61:10,20,21 62:17,20 63:6,24 64:6,24 65:6,7 65:10,11 67:2,7 67:12,15,23 68:9 golf 33:18,21 good 14:2 23:4 35:4 37:14 41:12 45:4,22 51:21 67:20 **gotten** 46:7 **group** 21:17 Guardians 2:13 guys 43:15 50:18 н **H**1:17 3:12 **Hackett** 52:8 hall 4:8 56:2,3 58:18,19 hand 4:11 10:4 27:25

handed 55:15

hands 27:1 happen 26:16 45:6 50:17 51:19 happened 13:21 36:4 happening 23:23 38:23 **happens** 34:16 37:16 hard 40:15,19 59:9 harmful 46:6 he'll 17:19 head 5:16 42:25 47:12 hear 22:11 36:15 45:21 67:3 heard 17:5 26:4 28:19 37:4 40:5 52:1 hearing 1:17 7:10 15:8 18:13 22:16 36:7 40:1 41:1 43:1 49:3 54:11 55:2 61:13 66:24 67:6,10,17,18 68:3,4,6,21 hearings 50:25 53:19 54:12 hears 35:5 heart 27:5 held 22:21 48:18 help 44:25 51:18 high 6:11,13 **higher** 14:5 highlighted 19:7 hired 16:9 17:4 **history** 8:22,23 35:22 52:14 hit 12:23 Hittel 55:11,21 56:4,21 **hold** 41:15 55:4 60:4 66:19 **holding** 22:25 52:24 home 12:2 13:1,23 37:6,12 60:21,22 homes 8:10 12:9,23 13:1 **Honor** 4:18 7:4 9:12,16 15:7 25:4,10 28:8 30:21 33:9,12 37:23 38:17 41:18 42:12 51:16 52:19 55:1 55:3 60:13 61:11

61:15,22 67:13 **Honor's** 55:7 **HONORABLE** 1:17 hoping 59:8 hour 56:4,5 59:11 hours 59:11 house 5:15,19,25 6:2 7:16 8:4,19 9:6,7,10 10:23 11:18 12:10,17 12:21 13:4,11 17:7 32:3 40:14 43:11 54:23,23 55:21,23 57:3,23 58:15,16,19 59:5 62:4,5,8,18 64:6 64:10,14 65:22 **houses** 8:14 Houzz 40:17 **huffing** 45:23 hundred 5:20 37:22 hurt 26:19 Ι **IANTONI** 1:10 idea 37:14 57:20 58:13 identification 5:12 7:13 10:2 11:6 immaculate 64:11 immediate 10:17 important 51:1,2 61:25 **improper** 21:6,23 21:24,24 35:23 improperly 48:5 inadequate 34:22 35:10 inappropriate 40:10 **incentive** 34:6,9 **include** 29:17 **included** 55:12 59:16 including 33:18 52:23 incorrectly 56:13 **increase** 10:21 31:15 increased 58:5 increasing 34:8,12 **incur** 59:21,22 indicated 11:11 14:12 **indirect** 53:12 indirectly 46:12

Page 5 53:10 66:22 individually 1:7,8 1:10,12 2:14 **inform** 42:5 43:5 information 25:17 42:21 43:24 **initial** 14:7 32:17 **initially** 5:25 6:1 8:18 14:4 30:14 injunction 46:11 **inside** 57:3 **inspect** 50:3 56:9 60:7,8,14,16 62:8,13 inspected 29:11 inspection 28:13 38:19 39:6 54:17 54:24 55:11,20 56:22 58:1,19 59:14,23 60:10

46:13

66:3

52:21

65:3

60:20 63:14

intended 38:15

interest 16:25

44:19

interested 31:23

interior 13:7,8

Internet 53:6,8

interfering 44:14

International 5:2

inspections 28:24

inspector 64:18,25

interrupting 24:21 inventories 63:25 investigate 21:19 investigation 21:10 **involved** 36:3 51:7 **issue** 22:18,23 23:3 30:14 33:4 38:10 45:1 54:21 issues 18:16 22:10 23:2,6 31:5 45:20 53:20 57:25 items 58:10,15 62:9,18 Iviewit@iviewi... 2:17 J **J.I**1:10,11 **Ja.B**1:9,10 2:13

James 52:8

January 6:5 Jfoglietta@cik... 2:10**JILL**1:10 **Jo.B**1:9,10 2:13 job 38:1 43:9,14 46:24 65:14 **John** 3:4,9 4:7,13 4:24 5:4 7:5 42:3 **Joi** 32:9 62:3,10 65:11 **JOIELLE** 2:11 Judge 33:3 37:5 55:15 56:15 59:18 60:5,10 61:5 65:23 68:17 judgment 20:17 JUDICIAL 1:1 July 9:2 11:10 52:16 к **keep** 16:2 36:7 37:6 39:14 51:3 68:13 **key** 67:21,21 **kill** 36:13,14 kind 22:3 51:6 56:23 **king** 40:23 **knew** 8:24 46:20 knock 53:19 **know** 18:10 20:2 23:16 24:10,18 25:12,21 26:7,11 28:20 29:23 34:24,25 35:4,19 35:25 36:6,19,22 37:13,25 38:7 40:23 41:5,6 45:13 46:18 47:8 49:12 50:6 51:10 51:20 52:4,6,9 52:11 54:8,12 56:23 58:7,13,24 59:5,12,20 60:14 61:12,15 64:12 64:16 65:8 67:23 68:8 knowledgeable 43:6 **known** 23:23 42:17 **KONOPKA** 2:3 L **L**1:8,9,11,12

Lane 5:16 12:4,5,6

language 55:12 65:24 **large** 69:8 **larger** 12:9 **laugh** 26:2,3,10 **lawsuits** 42:10 **lawyer** 30:1 **layout** 12:19,20 lead 67:16 **leaf** 36:1 learned 29:3 **leave** 25:18 57:19 58:10 **leaving** 61:24 **left** 25:19 29:25 62:23,24 legal 7:11 22:10 23:2 31:21 41:8 67:11,15 **legality** 67:11 **length** 14:2 **let's** 18:2 52:4 54:11 **life** 51:10,11,11 **limit** 61:10 **Lions** 5:16 lis 29:2 47:1,5,10 47:25 48:5,7,13 48:23 49:7 **LISA**1:12 **list**11:23 listed 5:25 6:1,4 6:10,12 8:15 11:20,21 12:7 24:8 25:8 28:25 31:7 listening 23:16 **listing** 5:15 6:5 6:17 28:25 listings 5:21 31:13 52:15 litigation 42:18 43:6,17,18,25 44:22 45:13 litigations 42:6 **live** 8:11 **living** 11:24 12:8 located 8:4 **long** 5:19 29:14 37:13 42:18 66:2 longest 5:23 **look** 10:11 23:20 32:4 35:21,24 45:19 53:17 61:19 62:17,18 63:24 65:7 67:5 67:7,13

looked 64:2,20 **looking** 32:12 33:6 looks 24:16 25:2 28:23 32:15 **lot** 18:12 23:19 36:3 41:7 64:10 **low** 30:25 **lower** 14:4 50:17 **lowered** 8:17 **LUBITZ** 2:8 М **MAI** 52:9 **maintain** 54:24 making 22:3 39:16 March 1:19 11:25 25:3 33:3 34:10 39:13 49:19 50:5 Marias 17:19 **mark** 8:6 17:25 marked 5:12 7:13 10:1,4 11:6 16:22 market 5:19 7:25 12:10 14:18,19 24:11 31:10 37:13 40:14 **marketed** 39:19 marketplace 12:23 MARTENS 2:8 **MARTIN** 1:17 **match** 35:6 **matter** 46:8 47:1,2 51:25 54:7 **matters** 46:3 Max 1:11,12 **mean** 27:22 29:8 35:19 36:10 37:21,25 38:12 38:16 40:3,12 50:6,23 54:6 58:14 67:12 **meaning** 36:17 63:10 means 15:1 17:16 membership 10:20 10:21 33:18,21 **memory** 11:14 merit 45:25 46:1 **metes** 54:1 **MICHAEL** 1:7 middle 24:23 41:19 **million** 6:20 7:20 9:1,2 10:9 11:11 11:12,17,21,23 11:25 12:11 13:20 14:14,15

22:21 30:17 31:8 31:10 35:5 40:11 40:15 52:10 mind 39:22 64:4 65:22 minimized 61:3 minor 1:10,11,13 Minors 2:13 **missing** 56:11 62:15 64:17 Molly 1:7,8 moment 6:16 22:9 Monday 27:16,17 28:14 38:20,21 money 37:18 39:9 66:10 **month** 17:9,10 23:2 32:20 months 12:15 13:19 morning 29:3 **mother's** 62:7 motion 27:13 28:1 28:2,5,15 29:5,8 34:20 55:4,10 56:20 58:24 59:22 60:6,10 65:3 **motions** 65:19 motivated 6:16 move 5:8 7:5 11:1 18:3 55:20 65:7 moved 62:12 MRACHEK 2:3 multiple 20:10 Ν **N**3:1 4:1 **name** 4:22 10:6 **Natural** 2:13 necessarily 41:6,8 necessitated 10:17 **need** 9:14 15:10,24 16:2 29:23 32:14 40:15 44:24,25 46:18 50:7 55:25 58:7 65:18 66:2 66:16 67:1 needed 13:23 29:5 **needs** 35:3 39:9 45:16 56:25 60:2 negating 44:10 negotiated 14:5 neighborhood 11:17 Nestler 5:1 8:7,8 **net** 37:19 **never** 9:16 13:24 23:23 45:25

| AC.1E 47.10 | |
|--|--|
| 46:15 47:18 new 8:6,8,9 13:11 | office 18:22 20:7 27:13 28:14,17 |
| 68:7 | 60:11,23 |
| night 29:4 | Oh 18:19 |
| nine 9:2 12:7 14:7 14:8 | okay 5:11 7:12 8:17 9:20 15:14 |
| ninety-five 9:2 | 16:1 17:17 19:6 |
| ninety-nine 11:23 | 19:25 23:7,12,18 |
| Nods 42:20 | 23:23 24:1,13,16 |
| noise 26:15,25 | 26:16,17,20,24 |
| North 2:9 notes 69:11 | 27:4 28:2 29:13 30:18 31:2 33:13 |
| notice 22:4 24:5 | 33:15 34:22 35:2 |
| 25:6,22 27:8,10 | 35:18 36:12,15 |
| 28:3 29:2 34:22 | 36:16,25 37:24 |
| 35:3,8,10,10 36:17,21,25 | 40:3,11,23 41:10 42:5 43:5,23 |
| 38:10,13,14,17 | 45:14,17,20 46:1 |
| 38:20 45:16 47:8 | 46:7,22 49:1 |
| 47:9,10 48:25 | 51:23 52:8 57:24 |
| 49:3,3 51:22 | 58:3 60:7 61:20 |
| noticed 25:8 notices 27:19,24 | 63:11 68:2,15 once 49:2 54:7 |
| notify 24:3 | ones 20:11 |
| number 3:14 5:11 | online 31:14 |
| 7:12 8:14,23,25 | opening 15:8,12 |
| 11:5 12:13 14:7 14:21 | <pre>operating 21:8 opinion 7:24 14:9</pre> |
| NW 2:15 | 14:23 15:2,4 |
| | - |
| | 16:24 32:5 40:20 |
| 0 | 64 : 25 |
| 0 4:1 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 |
| | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 object 44:2,4 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 objections 34:15 objective 43:12 obviously 25:11 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objections 34:15 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 Occupation 4:25 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objections 34:15 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 occupation 4:25 ocean 6:15 October 48:16 offer 7:22,24 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 Occupation 4:25 Occupation 4:25 Occupation 4:25 October 48:16 Offer 7:22,24 13:19,21,25 14:4 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 63:22 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 object 44:2,4 objecting 45:4,8 objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 objections 34:15 objective 43:12 obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 occupation 4:25 ocean 6:15 October 48:16 offer 7:22,24 13:19,21,25 14:4 14:10,12,14,23 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 63:22 overruled 19:14,14 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 Occupation 4:25 Occober 48:16 Offer 7:22,24 13:19,21,25 14:4 14:10,12,14,23 16:21 32:18 35:6 Offers 9:5 13:14 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 Occupation 4:25 Occean 6:15 October 48:16 Offer 7:22,24 13:19,21,25 14:4 14:10,12,14,23 16:21 32:18 35:6 Offers 9:5 13:14 13:15,17 30:24 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 63:22 overruled 19:14,14 53:24 overturned 36:2 owned 5:16 6:2 |
| O 4:1 O'Connell 2:8 25:13,24 27:7 55:19 Object 44:2,4 Objecting 45:4,8 Objection 5:9 7:7 11:3 18:9 19:12 20:21 24:6 40:25 51:23 54:17 60:20 65:5 66:6 66:7 Objective 43:12 Obviously 25:11 47:20 67:23 Occupation 4:25 Occober 48:16 Offer 7:22,24 13:19,21,25 14:4 14:10,12,14,23 16:21 32:18 35:6 Offers 9:5 13:14 | 64:25 opposed 22:25 opposite 31:12 oral 13:17,19 61:17 order 33:5 44:6,7 44:11,19 46:3 48:18 54:22 55:8 55:15 61:9,15 62:8 65:24 66:20 68:10 ordered 53:9 56:22 ordering 45:14 46:11 ore 52:20 Original 6:5 originally 11:20 12:7 55:22 outset 8:24 outside 26:7 54:22 55:13,17 57:11 63:22 overruled 19:14,14 53:24 overturned 36:2 |

```
Ρ
P4:1
P-O-L-E-T-T-O 4:7
P.A2:3
p.m1:21,21 68:21
page 3:14 5:3,5
 10:11 19:6 20:18
 36:1
Palm1:1 2:4,9
 18:8 69:3
PAMELA 1:7
paragraph 10:11
 19:7 33:11
Parents 2:13
part 36:24 39:5
 45:3 50:23 60:10
 64:5 66:5
participate 24:24
particular 8:4
 12:22 14:1 20:24
parties 21:6
partner 8:6
path 67:17
patio 13:8
pay 36:18 39:18
 60:19
paying 66:8
payment 33:19
pendens 29:2 47:1
 47:5,10,25 48:6
 48:7,14,23 49:8
pending 7:16,19
 32:9 43:25 44:9
 48:21
people 13:9 30:24
 35:21 43:11
 44:23 50:24 56:7
 59:11 67:23
percent 37:22
perfect 41:17
 64:11
period 12:18 14:20
 19:21
person 40:19 50:22
 65:4
personal 2:7 21:11
 29:10 30:8 38:2
 38:4 57:5,10,10
 62:25 63:2,9
 66:9
personally 66:8
personalty 56:14
 58:10
petition 55:14
Phone 2:5, 10, 16
photo 65:15
photograph 62:18
```

photos 64:1 physically 54:23 **pictures** 65:8,11 **piece** 19:22 22:12 22:20,25 51:11 place 22:9,24 37:18 44:12 **Plaintiff** 1:5 2:2 **plan** 13:2 **planned** 56:19 **plate** 57:2 pleadings 18:15 **please** 4:10 66:17 **point** 8:18 24:13 35:4 40:23 46:21 48:11 53:22 54:18 64:2,13 **Poletto** 3:4,9 4:7 4:9,13,24 5:1,4 7:5 8:8 23:17 29:6 30:14 31:5 31:8,18 32:13,13 32:17,22 33:2,8 33:23,25 34:7,12 34:18 36:14 38:21 42:3,5 45:18,23 49:14 49:21,24 57:14 64:7 **POLETTO'S** 3:15,15 **Pony** 45:12 **popped** 67:25 portion 60:6 portrayed 64:15 position 30:5 43:10 positions 53:23 possession 55:20 59:24 60:1 possessions 62:6 possible 42:13 **possibly** 64:25 65:12 67:16 **posted** 68:13 **potential** 42:6,6 43:5,6,17 51:25 **PR**19:11,19,20 28:4 34:24,25 58:12 **PR's** 28:16 **preclude** 63:14 predecessors 35:23 **prepare** 18:13 62:19 **prepared** 28:14 29:4 **present** 40:6,10,13

54:22 63:22 presentation 26:18 pressing 49:13 previous 65:24 previously 55:25 price 6:9 7:18 8:17 11:18,23 14:25 30:17 34:8 35:2 37:4 40:1,4 40:7 50:18 55:10 67:13,14,20 **prior** 13:14,17 43:21 49:10 54:21 55:9 61:9 61:15,18 prioritize 54:12 **pro**2:15 48:10 problem 35:8 40:4 40:6,7 50:24 53:25 58:1 65:8 66:16 problems 20:10 procedure 31:22 proceedings 69:9 proceeds 22:21 37:19 process 33:25 35:20 48:22 profession 43:16 professional 1:24 1:24 5:6 16:9 17:4 69:6,7 **proffer** 16:6,11 **prohibit** 44:13 52:21 **promise** 63:19 **proof** 41:15 **proper** 31:22 **properly** 48:3,23 properties 12:24 31:9 62:12 **property** 6:18,19 6:20 7:25 11:20 11:24 12:5,6 13:23 14:19 19:23 20:24 21:4 21:22 22:6,12,20 24:8,11 25:7,15 29:10 30:8 31:8 32:8 38:3,4 39:19 42:7,18 43:9 44:23 45:8 50:7 51:12,12 52:2 55:21 56:9 56:11,18,25 57:5 57:10,10 60:11 60:17,21 62:9

63:1,3,10 property's 31:14 **proposed** 12:13 prove 41:14 **proven** 41:9 provide 6:24 25:6 public 52:22 53:15 purchased 12:25 purportedly 18:21 **purpose** 37:20 66:11 **purposes** 22:17 pursuant 22:20 **push** 46:8 **put**15:14 16:11,12 27:22 53:24 64:12 66:3 67:15 **puts** 53:6 putting 14:19 23:4 Q quagmire 67:15 qualified 40:17 **question** 18:20,22 19:2 20:13 22:5 23:11 32:1,12 39:12 42:1,17 43:4,18 46:25 51:21 questioning 24:24 questions 17:12 23:9,19 29:15,18 quick 61:6 quickly 47:12 55:6 quite 48:9 quoted 59:19 quoting 20:8 R **R**4:1 **raise** 4:10 22:10 38:25

R4:1 raise 4:10 22:10 38:25 raised 35:4 raising 26:25 range 9:1 11:11 14:8 rate 56:4,5 58:21 59:19 Raton 2:16 read 7:1 21:7 33:13 reading 20:3 33:15 real 5:2 22:25 37:7 38:14 67:19 realize 38:17 really 12:2 34:24 52:2 **realtor** 37:11 **realtors** 16:9 17:4 23:1 28:25 36:20 **realty** 5:2 8:7,8 reappraisal 58:6 **reason** 12:22 33:3 34:1 43:8 51:2 52:8 58:17 62:2 reasonable 11:18 30:16 35:1 37:4 39:21 reasonableness 23:10 30:5 52:1 **reasons** 41:15 **recall** 6:4 **receive** 14:9 16:21 **received** 13:19 25:22 27:11 43:23 recommend 15:2 recommendation 7:21 recommended 9:8 **record** 4:22 5:23 6:6 9:21 11:20 24:20 26:21 32:14 34:23 38:9 53:21 69:10 recorded 48:4 **records** 52:22 RECROSS 3:3 redacted 10:6 **REDIRECT** 3:3 **reduce** 34:11 **reduced** 11:21 referring 20:14 **refers** 35:17 regardless 22:19 Registered 1:24 69:6 **rejected** 14:16 **relevance** 19:12 20:21 21:16 relevancy 18:9 21:2,15 relevant 20:22 24:7**remember** 21:12,14 **remnants** 41:11 remodeling 64:9 removal 42:9 **remove** 60:8 62:20 **removed** 42:8 46:2 54:4 renovations 13:12 **report** 18:7,8 23:22 62:19 69:9

reported 1:23 65:21 **Reporter** 1:24,24 69:1,7,7 represent 7:24 representative 2:7 21:11 66:10 **request** 6:24 66:10 **require** 38:13 43:16 **resale** 8:9,9 **reserve** 15:18,19 50:23 reserving 16:1 31:19 residence 6:14 residences 6:15 **respect** 46:13 response 14:9 **rest** 53:25 **retrieved** 37:15 returned 29:4 **reverse** 61:20 **review** 7:9 30:22 40:8 **revocable** 66:10 **right** 4:3,11 20:20 21:10 22:1,8 23:5,19 25:3,21 25:25 28:10 29:12 31:19 32:11 33:25 34:3 34:19,20 35:14 35:16 37:24 39:6 43:19 44:19 45:10,11 47:11 49:9 50:4,10,20 51:7 59:18 63:9 67:9,13,14 68:3 68:8 **ring** 47:12 **rip** 64:16 **risk** 48:6 67:24 **Robert** 19:10 rolling 66:4 **Rose** 2:3,6 3:4,6 4:7,18,21 5:8,14 6:6,8,23 7:4,15 9:12,14,22 10:3 11:1,8 15:5 16:4 16:12,20 17:11 18:9,16 19:12 20:21,23 24:6 25:12,20 27:12 27:19 28:1,6,8 28:11,18,22 30:3 32:7,25 33:9,11

33:14 35:9 37:22 38:9,12 39:2,5 39:15,17,24 44:2 44:3,4,6,13,17 44:21 45:2 50:1 50:11,14,23 52:19 53:1,6,13 54:14,21 55:17 57:22,25 58:4 59:7 60:12,18 62:11 66:7,15,19 66:23 68:12 **RPR**1:23 69:21 **rule** 24:22 54:6,7 **rules** 60:17 **ruling** 45:3 54:25 61:11,18 63:18 **rush** 34:16 36:11 36:24 38:1,23 42:14 S **s** 3:12 4:1 **sale** 12:1,3,4 14:25 20:24 21:17,24 22:3,9 22:24 23:10,23 24:4 25:15 27:8 29:9,24 30:6,16 31:21 32:6,9 37:15,17 40:11 44:7,7 45:5 47:21,24 48:21 50:22 56:17,24 60:5 67:11,24 68:8 **sales** 8:6,9 12:14 Saturday 29:3 **save** 36:12 51:4 **saying** 23:18 38:17 39:2,3 53:20 54:10 62:22 **says** 5:4 10:11 18:10 19:7 27:23 32:24 33:17 34:4 40:13 45:4 64:7 64:8 scare 43:10,13 **schedule** 67:10 scheduled 54:19 **scope** 19:13 screaming 31:11 **se** 2:15 48:10 **seat** 4:10 29:12 30:2 **second** 12:4,6 19:6 22:11 23:25 32:5

32:11 44:16 64:25 **seconds** 54:15 **see** 10:13 16:2 18:18 24:18 30:23 33:6,7 35:9,21 40:12 41:7 49:22 63:5 65:17,20 seeking 56:2 **seen** 9:17 38:5 57:6,16 **Segui** 1:23 69:6,21 **sell** 6:16 8:19 22:19 31:9 38:14 43:12,13 46:4 49:1 50:7 51:3 60:2 63:9 **selling** 37:10 53:16 67:8 **send** 24:4 28:16 47:15,17 48:19 68:18 sending 53:5 **sense** 20:24 **sent** 18:15 28:15 29:6 47:9,9 separate 22:8 65:19 separately 23:3 September 6:7 sequence 28:22 **serious** 24:22 seriously 13:24 **set** 66:23,25 67:3 seven 12:7 28:12 **seventy** 12:11 **shaking** 42:25 **share** 41:5 53:13 **shared** 52:16 **sheriff** 20:12 **sheriff's** 18:8,22 20:7 21:18 **Shirley** 1:3 4:4 5:16 10:6 19:11 19:17,19,21 20:19 63:4 short 8:21,23 21:1 21:14 38:17 **show** 11:9 17:20 18:19 22:2 27:19 27:21,22,24 31:17 33:11 37:8 64**:**3 **showing** 9:18 14:20 showings 9:3,4 **shown** 12:16

Si 6:3 8:24 12:21 12:25 63:4 **sic** 32:9 side 8:9 65:5 **sift** 34:25 **sign** 50:2,5,14 signed 7:1 10:16 16:7 21:9,13 24:17 28:9,23 33:16 38:18 **similar** 21:17 simmer 21:17 Simon 1:7,7,8,8,9 1:11,12 8:18 **simple** 67:19 **simply** 9:4 14:8 **sip** 26:6 sir 4:23 15:5 27:3 50:12 **Sit** 24:21,22,23 **site** 5:4,5 situations 9:8 **six** 12:15 17:10 23:1 **Slow** 20:25 small 46:10 **sold** 8:9 11:24 12:1,10 17:8 21:4 37:3 48:1 57:12 62:11 63:12 somebody 62:11 **soon** 28:13 44:21 54:13 **sorry** 26:9 **sorts** 57:8 Sotheby's 5:2 **sound** 25:3 37:4 **sounds** 61:6 **South** 1:19 2:4 **space** 11:24 12:8 **Spallina** 19:9,10 19:25 20:9,17 36:2,5 54:5 **Spanish** 13:6,7,7 **speak** 26:22,22 63:23 **speaks** 20:12 specific 8:3 **spend** 13:11 spending 6:15 **spoke** 30:15 32:10 60:11 square 11:24 12:2 12:8,12,12,13,14 62:6 **St**8:3,12,15 12:25

13:3 33:4 60:21 **stand** 4:9 16:12 24:20 31:18 **standing** 24:25 **start** 8:25 53:19 68:3 **started** 8:5,23 24:4 49:6 **state** 4:22 38:2 69:3,7 statement 14:17 15:8,11 61:12,18 64:7 statements 15:12 **statute** 66:9 statutes 22:5 31:23 67:19,21 67:24 **stay** 16:13 51:12 63:22 **staying** 62:20 stenographic 69:11 stenographically 1:23 69:9 **step** 46:10 **stop** 26:20 32:11 44:5 stopping 41:9 **store** 59:25 Street 2:15 Strike 14:24 structure 45:7 structured 15:3 **stuff** 7:9 9:20 15:15 31:24 36:9 45:18,24 53:25 60:23 62:5 65:7 66:17 **subject** 12:9 44:20 50:20 **submit** 17:17 20:5 substantial 41:3 substantially 12:9 sudden 48:21 sufficiency 31:21 suggest 9:6 57:22 suggesting 39:21 46:17,19 **suggests** 40:13 Suite 2:4 **Sunday** 29:4,7 **supposed** 62:5,7 **sure** 15:22,24 19:20 30:8 48:9 49:15 61:16 62:21 65:1 66:20 sustained 53:23

| T 6 T 3:12 6 take 22:9,24 33:5 th 34:5 36:14 39:7 2 41:2 44:12 46:10 3 55:20 59:5,6,24 4 65:7,11,11 66:2 4 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 taken 58:16 5 take 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 takes 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,12 22:8,22 1 27:21,22 28:8,22 1 29:15,20 42:8,8 1 46:2 49:11,12,17 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2< | 8:25 60:9,22 1:7 62:4,16 3:9 64:11 66:1 6:4 ings 17:18 22:4 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 row 32:3 | transaction 15:3 22:18 35:1 46:14 53:11 transcript 69:10 trial 41:19,22,23 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>value 7:25 11:11 14:19 37:6,9 40:14 various 41:14 vastly 34:22 verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 </pre> Wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
|---|---|--|---|
| T 6 T 3:12 6 take 22:9,24 33:5 th 34:5 36:14 39:7 2 41:2 44:12 46:10 3 55:20 59:5,6,24 6 66:17 67:24 4 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 taken 58:16 5 take 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 takes 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,12 22:88,22 1 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 | 1:7 62:4,16 3:9 64:11 66:1 6:4 ings 17:18 22:4 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 22:18 35:1 46:14 53:11 transcript 69:10 trial 41:19,22,23 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 14:19 37:6,9 40:14 various 41:14 vastly 34:22 verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 Wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| T6T 3:126take 22:9,24 33:5th34:5 36:14 39:7241:2 44:12 46:10355:20 59:5,6,24465:7,11,11 66:2466:17 67:245taken 58:165takes 33:2 37:18thtalk 47:133talked 42:193talking 41:21442:225tangible 57:96tasks 56:77tax 21:9thtear 13:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22516:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14123:1 24:3 27:9727:21,22 28:8,22129:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17151:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:131testified 4:15516:17to | 3:9 64:11 66:1 6:4 fings 17:18 22:4 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 fink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 53:11 transcript 69:10 trial 41:19,22,23 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 40:14 various 41:14 vastly 34:22 verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 W wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| T 3:12 6 take 22:9,24 33:5 34:5 36:14 39:7 41:2 44:12 46:10 3 55:20 59:5,6,24 4 65:7,11,11 66:2 4 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 takes 33:2 37:18 th takes 56:7 7 taking 41:21 4 42:22 5 taking 41:21 4 42:22 5 takes 56:7 7 tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 1 20:12 21:4 22:14 1 21:1,22 28:8,22 1 21:1,22 4:3 27:9 7 5:12 61:10 1 | 6:4 ings 17:18 22:4 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | transcript 69:10 trial 41:19,22,23 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>various 41:14 vastly 34:22 verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| take 22:9,24 33:5 th 34:5 36:14 39:7 2 41:2 44:12 46:10 3 55:20 59:5,6,24 4 65:7,11,11 66:2 4 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 takes 33:2 37:18 th takes 33:2 37:18 th takes 33:2 37:18 th takes 33:2 37:18 th taked 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,12 17:22 5 16:12,12 21:4 22:14 1 20:12 21:4 22:14 1 21:1,22 28:8,22 1 15:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 | ings 17:18 22:4 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | <pre>trial 41:19,22,23 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3</pre> | <pre>vastly 34:22 verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| 34:5 36:14 39:7 41:2 44:12 46:10 33 41:2 44:12 46:10 34 45 44 45 44 55:20 59:5,6,24 44 45 45 44 45 44 65:7,11,11 66:2 44 45 55 46 55 66:17 67:24 55 55 55 55 55 taken 58:16 55 55 56 57 17 taked 42:19 33 taked 42:19 33 56 talking 41:21 44 42:22 55 56 target 36:25 56 57 17 target 36:25 56 57 14 42:22 55 16:12,15 17:22 57 target 36:25 77 16 16:12,15 17:22 57 takes 56:7 17 17 16:12,22,14 16 17 16 20:12 21:4 22:14 22:14 16 17 17 17 16 17 < | 6:16 34:24 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 66:17 68:3 trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>verifying 61:3 video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| 41:2 44:12 46:10 33 55:20 59:5,6,24 44 65:7,11,11 66:2 46 66:17 67:24 55 taken 58:16 55 taken 33:2 37:18 th talked 42:19 33 34 talking 41:21 42 42 42:22 55 56 tanget 36:25 56 57 target 36:25 57 17 tear 13:9 th 56 tear 13:9 th 52 tear 13:9 th 52 tear 13:9 th 52 tear 13:9 th 52 tear 13:9 th 53 tear 13:9 th 53 tear 13:9 th 53 tasts 5, 7 54:5 54 testified 4:15 54 54 | 5:24 36:3 40:8 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 DUSAND 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | <pre>trinkets 57:8 trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3</pre> | <pre>video 61:14 65:12 view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| 55:20 59:5,6,24 4 65:7,11,11 66:2 5 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 taken 33:2 37:18 th talk 47:13 3 talked 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:12,15 17:22 18:5,7 19:8,10 20:12 21:4 23:1 24:3 27:21,22 28:8,22 29:15,20 42:8,8 46:2 49:11,12,17 51:15,16 52:3,5 52:10,12 54:3 55:12 61:10 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:13 5 | 1:3,7 42:15 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | <pre>trip 29:4 true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3</pre> | <pre>view 40:24 violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| 65:7,11,11 66:2 4 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 takes 33:2 37:18 th talk 47:13 3 talked 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:12,15 17:22 18:5,7 19:8,10 20:12 21:4 23:1 24:3 20:12 21:4 23:1 24:3 29:15,20 42:8,8 46:2 49:11,12,17 51:15,16 52:3,5 52:10,12 54:3 55:12 61:10 62:11 1 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19< | 6:5 51:17 55:6 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 DUSAND 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | <pre>true 20:14,16 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust 1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3</pre> | <pre>violated 24:22 violating 67:24 vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| 66:17 67:24 5 taken 58:16 5 takes 33:2 37:18 th talk 47:13 3 talk 47:13 3 talked 42:19 3 talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:12,15 17:22 18:5,7 19:8,10 20:12 21:4 23:1 24:3 29:15,20 42:8,8 46:2 49:11,12,17 51:15,16 52:3,5 52:10,12 54:3 55:12 61:10 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 4 44:21 50:24 54 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:1 | 5:16,22,25 57:7 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 41:16 57:13 60:17 69:10 trust1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>violating 67:24 vs 1:5</pre> |
| taken 58:165takes 33:2 37:18thtalk 47:133talked 42:193talking 41:21442:225tangible 57:96target 36:256tasks 56:7THtar 21:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22516:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24444:21 50:24titelling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titenus 52:20titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5554:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 7:8,18 61:8 ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 60:17 69:10 trust1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | vs 1:5 wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| takes 33:2 37:18 th talk 47:13 33 talked 42:19 33 talking 41:21 4 42:22 53 tangible 57:9 66 target 36:25 66 tasks 56:7 TH tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 26 16:12,15 17:22 53 16:12,15 17:22 54 18:5,7 19:8,10 16 20:12 21:4 22:14 16 21:2 21:4 22:14 17 18:5,7 19:8,10 16 20:12 21:4 22:14 17 19:15,20 42:8,8 11 46:2 49:11,12,17 17 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 16 55:12 61:10 16 62:11 11 tell 6:12 8:2,21 12 10:19 13:10 21:1 22 27:19,21,22 32:7 33 36:17 40:24 44 44:21 50:24 54 tenus 52:20 tin terra 13:8 66 | <pre>ink 6:9 15:10 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15</pre> | <pre>trust1:3,8,9,11 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3</pre> | W wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| talk 47:13 33 talked 42:19 33 talking 41:21 4 42:22 55 tangible 57:9 66 target 36:25 66 tasks 56:7 THE tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 23:1 24:3 27:9 Th 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 1 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 1 terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 | 6:13 39:13,15 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 1:13 4:4 5:17 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| talked 42:1933talking 41:214442:2253tangible 57:966target 36:2566tasks 56:7THtax 21:9thtear 13:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:52218:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titenus 52:20titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,53testified 4:15to16:17to | 9:17 40:2 45:16 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 10:7 16:25 17:1 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>wait 18:2 29:7 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| talking 41:21 4 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 target 36:25 6 tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 23:1 24:3 27:9 Th 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 ti terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | 5:22,24 48:3 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 19:11,17,21,22 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 58:14 65:20 walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| 42:22 5 tangible 57:9 6 target 36:25 6 tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 23:1 24:3 27:9 Th 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 1 terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 54:5 3 testified 4:15 16:17 | 1:2 54:10 61:19 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 20:19 21:8 22:13 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>walks 49:19 wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| tangible 57:96target 36:256tasks 56:7THtax 21:9thtear 13:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 3:15 65:19 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 22:19,21 23:4 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>wall 64:17 want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| target 36:25 6 tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 23:1 24:3 27:9 Th 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 1 terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 54:5 3 testified 4:15 1 16:17 to | 7:17 DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 36:18 37:19 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | <pre>want 17:23 18:3,3 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7</pre> |
| tasks 56:7 TH tax 21:9 th tear 13:9 th technically 50:7 1 Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 21:1 21:12,20 tim 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tim tenus 52:20 ti testified 4: | DMAS 2:3 Dusand 5:20 ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 38:12 41:12,14 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 18:18 22:10,11 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| tax 21:9thtear 13:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titenus 52:20titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:1516:17 | busand 5:20 cee 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 45:8 46:4,6,6 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 27:5,25 30:13 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| tear 13:9thtechnically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21110:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:1516:17 | ree 6:20 12:11 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 50:8 54:5 60:19 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 31:20 32:3 35:21 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| technically 50:71Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5216:12,15 17:22518:5,7 19:8,10th20:12 21:4 22:14th23:1 24:3 27:9Th27:21,22 28:8,22ti29:15,20 42:8,8ti46:2 49:11,12,17ti51:15,16 52:3,5752:10,12 54:3155:12 61:10162:111tell 6:12 8:2,21110:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:1516:17 | 2:23 18:13 2:16 43:12 4:15 | 66:3,11,13 trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 35:24 36:15,16 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| Ted 1:3 3:5 16:5 2 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 th 23:1 24:3 27:9 Th 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 ti terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 54:5 3 testified 4:15 16:17 | 2:16 43:12 4:15 | trustee 1:3,7,9,10 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 40:9 41:10,12,13 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| 16:12,15 17:22 5 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 23:1 24:3 27:9 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 1 terra 13:8 6 5 Tescher 36:2,5 3 5 54:5 3 3 16:17 to 1 | 4:15 | 1:12 7:22 19:11 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 44:10 47:15 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| 18:5,7 19:8,10 th 20:12 21:4 22:14 23:1 24:3 27:9 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 3 36:17 40:24 4 5 44:21 50:24 5 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tin 5 terra 13:8 6 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 5 54:5 3 5 3 testified 4:15 16:17 to | | 20:19 22:14,17 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 49:11,14 51:18 52:3,11 53:7 |
| 20:12 21:4 22:14 23:1 24:3 27:9 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 tin 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 3 36:17 40:24 5 5 44:21 50:24 5 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tin 5 terra 13:8 6 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 5 54:5 3 3 5 16:17 to 5 5 | :ow 32 : 3 | 22:19 38:13 46:3 | 52:3,11 53:7 |
| 23:1 24:3 27:9 The 27:21,22 28:8,22 ti 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 tin 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 3 36:17 40:24 5 5 44:21 50:24 5 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tin 5 tenus 52:20 ti 5 terra 13:8 6 5 54:5 3 3 5 16:17 to 16:17 10 | - | | |
| 27:21,2228:8,22ti29:15,2042:8,8ti46:249:11,12,17ti51:15,1652:3,5752:10,1254:3155:1261:10162:111tell6:128:2,2110:1913:1021:127:19,21,2232:736:1740:24544:2150:245telling20:836:1945:12,2465:13titenus52:20titerra13:86Tescher36:2,5354:53516:17to | rown 31 : 1 | | |
| 29:15,20 42:8,8 ti 46:2 49:11,12,17 ti 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 3 36:17 40:24 5 5 44:21 50:24 5 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 ti 5 tenus 52:20 ti 5 terra 13:8 6 5 54:5 3 5 3 testified 4:15 16:17 to | irsday 1 : 19 | 67:18 | 55:5,7,16 58:8 |
| 46:2 49:11,12,17 tim 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tim tenus 52:20 tim 5 terra 13:8 6 5 Tescher 36:2,5 3 5 54:5 3 5 16:17 to 5 | Le 13:7,8 | try 36:11 43:10 | 58:12 63:15 64:3 |
| 51:15,16 52:3,5 7 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tin tenus 52:20 ti 5 terra 13:8 6 5 54:5 3 5 testified 4:15 16:17 to | les 13 : 7 | 51:3 | 64:15 65:9,18,22 |
| 52:10,12 54:3 1 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 time terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 5 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | ne 4:4,5 6:15 | trying 22:7 42:8 | 67:2,4,5,22 |
| 55:12 61:10 1 62:11 1 tell 6:12 8:2,21 2 10:19 13:10 21:1 2 27:19,21,22 32:7 3 3 36:17 40:24 5 44:21 50:24 5 telling 20:8 36:19 6 45:12,24 65:13 tin tenus 52:20 ti 5 terra 13:8 6 6 Tescher 36:2,5 3 5 54:5 3 5 5 16:17 to 16:17 10 | :9 8:25 9:19 | 43:11 46:8 | wanted 44:11 50:8 |
| 62:111tell 6:12 8:2,21210:19 13:10 21:1227:19,21,22 32:7336:17 40:24544:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13tintenus 52:20titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 1:22 12:10,18 | tubes 40:25 | 60:13 61:14,16 |
| tell 6:128:2,21210:1913:1021:1227:19,21,2232:7336:1740:24544:2150:245telling 20:836:19645:12,2465:13tintenus 52:20titerra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5354:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 4:20 15:16,20 | turn 19:6 | wants 16:7 22:23 |
| 10:1913:1021:1227:19,21,2232:7336:1740:24544:2150:245telling20:836:1945:12,2465:13tintenus52:20tinterra13:86Tescher36:2,5354:53testified4:1516:17to | 8:13 21:12 26:1 | two 9:1 11:11,12 | 34:5 63:23 |
| 27:19,21,2232:7336:1740:24544:2150:245telling20:836:1945:12,2465:13tintenus52:20tinterra13:86Tescher36:2,5554:53testified4:15to16:17to | 6:1,16,23 28:3 | 12:14 18:14 | <pre>warning 26:21</pre> |
| 36:1740:24544:2150:245telling20:836:1945:12,2465:13timetenus52:20timeterra13:86Tescher36:2,5554:53testified4:15to16:17to | 9:8 31:11 32:2 | 26:16 31:9,10,14 | wasn't 6:16 38:18 |
| 44:21 50:245telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13tintenus 52:20tinterra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5554:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 2:4 37:13 46:11 | 32:23 57:25 59:6 | 39:2,22 61:17 |
| telling 20:8 36:19645:12,24 65:13tintenus 52:20tinterra 13:86Tescher 36:2,5554:53testified 4:15to16:17to | 4:11 58:22 59:1 | 59:10 61:8 68:15 | 64 : 14 |
| 45:12,24 65:13 tin tenus 52:20 tin terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 to 54:5 3 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to to | 9:17 66:15 | | watch 63:22 |
| tenus 52:20 ti terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 to 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | 8:19 | U | way12:5 22:22,22 |
| terra 13:8 6 Tescher 36:2,5 to 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | nes 12:16,18 | ultimately 50:16 | 31:12 52:9 53:24 |
| Tescher 36:2,5 to 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | tle 48:1 62:17 | 58:15 | 54:8 56:17,25 |
| 54:5 3 testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | 3:6 | understand $49:14$ | 57:9 63:20 |
| testified 4:15 to 16:17 to | | 54:10 57:9,24 | we'll 23:24 30:10 |
| 16:17 to | day 27:17 31:2,3 | 58:4 | 39:7 65:17 66:11 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 | understood 27:2 | 68:13 |
| Lastic. 15.10 17.5 | <pre>day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13</pre> | 46:14,23 | we're 4:3 9:20,23 |
| | <pre>day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 ld 8:18 21:21</pre> | | 21:3 22:2 31:9 |
| | <pre>day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20</pre> | undertake 56:8 | 39:25 42:14 44:6 |
| - | <pre>day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3</pre> | unfurnished 14:14 | 46:10 50:17 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 | |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 | <pre>unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2</pre> | 53:25 55:16,19 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 norrow 66:4 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2 use 19:3 51:5 | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 norrow 66:4 p.24:25 | <pre>unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2</pre> | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 we've 9:16 22:15 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 morrow 66:4 p 24:25 tal 39:17 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2 use 19:3 51:5 54:11 65:23 | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 we've 9:16 22:15 43:11,24 56:23 |
| - | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 morrow 66:4 p 24:25 tal 39:17 wn 28:12 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2 use 19:3 51:5 54:11 65:23 V | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 we've 9:16 22:15 43:11,24 56:23 66:11 |
| 52:13 54:15 56:6 tr | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 d8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 morrow 66:4 524:25 tal 39:17 wn 28:12 ade-off 51:9 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2 use 19:3 51:5 54:11 65:23 <u>V</u> valid 54:6 | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 we've 9:16 22:15 43:11,24 56:23 66:11 wealthy 44:23 |
| | day 27:17 31:2,3 5:12 66:13 68:1 day's 18:13 Ld 8:18 21:21 7:12 28:20 9:23 45:12 47:3 2:14 57:15 59:7 3:9 morrow 66:4 p 24:25 tal 39:17 wn 28:12 | unfurnished 14:14 unit 57:13 updated 12:2 use 19:3 51:5 54:11 65:23 V | 53:25 55:16,19 64:6 67:12,23 we've 9:16 22:15 43:11,24 56:23 66:11 |

| | | 1 | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Wednesday 28:11 | 0 | 320 11:23 | |
| weigh 40:20 | | 33401 2:4,9 | |
| WEISS 2:3 | 1 | 33434 2:16 | |
| went 8:25 9:2 | | 33444 1:20 | |
| 13:25 28:3 31:10 | 1 3:15 5:3,8,11,12 | 34th 2:15 | |
| 31:11 48:25 55:6 | 1.1 7:20 13:20 | 54 CH 2.15 | |
| | 14:11 30:17 35:5 | 4 | |
| 64:19 | 40:11,15 | | |
| West 2:4,9 | 1.3 11:25 | 43:4,16 9:22 | |
| wife 12:25 | 1.4 52:10 | 10:11 11:2,5,6 | |
| willing 36:22 | 1:03 1:21 | 4,000 62:5 | |
| wind 36:4 41:1 | 10 3:16 | 42 3:10 | |
| 46:5 | 11 3:16 | | |
| withdraw $48:7$ | | 5 | |
| witness 3:3 4:6,16 | 11th 11:25 | 5 3:15 19:6 | |
| 6:18,20 16:4,18 | 12 8:16 | 50 18:14 | |
| | 125 34:9,9 | | |
| 19:1,16 24:9,12 | 16 3:6 25:3 | 502014CP003698 | |
| 24:15 25:4,10,19 | 16th 27:21 28:9 | 1:2 | |
| 25:23 40:13 | 69:12 | 505 2 : 4 | |
| witnesses 16:3 | 18 3:6 | 515 2:9 | |
| woodwork $68:1$ | 18th 28:9, 23 33:16 | 561)245-85882:16 | |
| words 27:25 | 34:4 | 561) 655-2250 2:5 | |
| work 13:24 | | 561) 832-5900 2:10 | |
| worried 37:16 | 1983 8:5,8 | | |
| worse 26:14,18 | 1989 8 : 6 | 6 | |
| - | 1st 10:20 34:12 | 600 2:4 | |
| worth 22:6 52:2 | | | |
| 63:7 | 2 | 600,000 13:13 | |
| write 68:18 | 2 3:15 7:4,12,13 | | |
| written 13:14,15 | 2:10 1:21 68:21 | 7 | |
| 13:25 61:18 | 20 1:4 10:12 18:15 | 7 3 : 15 | |
| wrong 32:7 41:7 | 33:11 | 7,594 12:8 | |
| 48:10 | | 7020 5:16 | |
| wrongdoing 36:6 | 20081:4 | 7106 12:4,6 | |
| 54:5 | 2012 6:5,7 | 7154 12:5 | |
| wrongs 41:8,8 | 2013 9:2 | 75 12:18 30:23 | |
| wrongs 41.0,0 | 2014 11:10 52:17 | | |
| X | 2015 1:19 10:12 | 7508:9 | |
| | 25:3 69:12 | 7600 12:12 | |
| X 3:1,12 | 20th 2:9 32:16,24 | 7876 11:24 | |
| | 36:22,23 38:8 | | |
| Y | 39:12 67:1,3,6,8 | 8 | |
| Y1:23 69:6,21 | 68:9 | 8 1:20 | |
| yeah 15:16,22 | | | |
| 17:13,15 19:4 | 23rd 27:18 | 9 | |
| 29:21 38:11 | 24 6:5 | 9/13/12 1:8,9,11 | |
| 43:22 48:17 | 25 8:12 | | |
| | 26 1:19 | 1:13 | |
| 68:19 | 26th 27:17 | 90 31:16 | |
| year 10:20 11:22 | 2753 2:15 | 95 34:9,11 | |
| 42:19 | | | |
| years 5:24 8:13 | 3 | | |
| 43:12 | 3 3:16 9:22 10:1,5 | | |
| yesterday 60:12,19 | 16: 22 32:24 | | |
| 61:18 62:3,4,10 | | | |
| yesterday's 61:13 | 3/31/15 34:6 | | |
| Jescerady S 01.13 | 30 3:8 39:18 | | |
| Z | 30,000 39:13 | | |
| | 31st 30:17 32:19 | | |
| Zillow 23:22 31:13 | 33:3 34:15 39:13 | | |
| 31:14 32:8 40:17 | 44:12 49:19 50:5 | | |
| zone 41:4 | 51:3 | | |
| | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | |
| | | | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA,

CASE NO.: 502012CP004391XXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE

Petitioner(s),

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties associated and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondent(s).

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

/

HONORABLE HOWARD COATES

DATE: June 4, 2015

TIME: 4:10 p.m. - 4:20 p.m.

1 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM E. STANSBURY: 2 PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. BOYNTON BEACH BOULEVARD 3 BOYNTON BEACH, FL 33436 By: JEFFREY ROYER, ESQ. 4 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN: 5 6 PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA & DOW, P.A. 7 505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE, SUITE 600 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 8 By: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PR: 9 10 CIKLIN LUBITZ 515 N. FLALGER DRIVE, 20TH FLOOR 11 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 BRIAN M. O'CONNELL, ESQ. By: 12 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF MOLLY SIMON, ET AL: 13 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ. 14 330 CLEMATIS STREET, SUITE 213 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 15 16 ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, Pro se 17 ALSO PRESENT: CANDICE BERNSTEIN 18 19 BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following 20 proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before 21 the Honorable HOWARD COATES, at the Palm Beach County 22 Courthouse, 3188 PGA Boulevard, Room 3, in the City of 23 Palm Beach Gardens, County of Palm Beach, State of 24 Florida, on June 4, 2015, to wit: 25

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. You may be 3 4 seated. 5 MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Good afternoon. 7 THE COURT: All right. We have the matter of 8 Estate of Simon Bernstein before the court this afternoon. 9 The first motion that I had was a motion 10 11 to stay distribution of estate assets pending 12 satisfaction of all creditors claims. And then 13 I see that there was also an amended notice of 14 hearing for a multitude of different petitions. 15 So why don't we start out with having 16 counsel enter their appearances and then we'll 17 get going. 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Brian O'Connell, Your Honor. 19 I'm the personal representative of the estate. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro 21 se. 22 MR. MORRISSEY: John Morrissey here on behalf 23 of four adult grandchildren of the decedents, Molly Simon, Alexander Bernstein, Michael 24 25 Bernstein and Eric Bernstein.

1 MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Alan Rose on behalf of Ted S. Bernstein as successor 2 3 trustee of the Simon Bernstein Trust, which is the 4 beneficiary of the estate. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. ROYER: Your Honor, I'm Jeff Royer. I am 7 with Peter Feaman's office. We represent William 8 Stansbury, who's an interested person and a substantial claimant of the estate of Simon 9 10 Bernstein. 11 THE COURT: So you're actually the movant on 12 the first motion I talked about then. 13 MR. ROYER: We are. Your Honor, I don't know 14 that we noticed for today, but, yes, it's -- it is 15 a motion that we filed. 16 THE COURT: Oh, that's the motion that I had 17 for hearing. 18 So anyway, who wants to go first in terms 19 of the pending motions because it would 20 probably be helpful to have someone kind of 21 give me an overview of who the players are. 22 MR. ROSE: Can I just raise one issue before 23 we start the hearing? 24 THE COURT: Sure. 25 MR. ROSE: Just because it's -- I sent a

letter to the court advising you that Mr. Eliot 1 Bernstein and his relationship to the Proskauer 2 firm, which you were employed at one time. 3 4 THE COURT: Yeah, I got that letter. Ι 5 reviewed it. Did you copy everybody else on the 6 letter? 7 MR. ROSE: I did. 8 THE COURT: All right. The letter raised an

9 issue of whether I, as the judge, should consider 10 disqualification of myself because of my prior 11 employment with Proskauer Rose, which was 12 approximately 15 years ago.

Once I received that letter, I looked into the JEAC opinions regarding how far back the judges normally go. And, normally, it's a one to two year period that you look back in terms of automatic recusal.

So based on that, I'm not going to sua sponte disqualify myself from this matter. I really have no -- I haven't had contact with Proskauer in any meaningful way in 15 years, so...

MR. ROSE: I was only raising it so that Mr. Eliot Bernstein was aware of that. And if he has any objection -- I don't have any objection.

I wanted to let -- make sure that he was aware of 1 that and would have an opportunity to --2 3 THE COURT: I appreciate it. 4 MR. ROSE: -- object now if he wishes to. 5 THE COURT: Yeah. And I can -- if I can find. I have it here, I'll let everyone know 6 7 which opinion I was relying on when I looked at 8 that. Yeah, just to be more specific on the note 9 that was sent to me -- what was the name of the 10 11 corporate entity that they said was represented 12 by Proskauer? 13 MR. ROSE: It was an entity called Iviewit, 14 which engaged in a lengthy multi-year or 15 decade-long battle with that law firm. 16 THE COURT: With Proskauer or Proskauer was 17 representing one of the parties? 18 MR. ROSE: With Proskauer. Proskauer, I 19 think, represented the -- Proskauer represented 20 Simon Bernstein while he was alive and drafted 21 some documents in 2000 that would have been 22 superceded by 2008 documents. And Proskauer also 23 represented the company that Eliot Bernstein started called Iviewit. 24 25 THE COURT: After 2000?

MR. ROSE: I think there was litigation -- it 1 was during the '90s. 2 Because I left -- I left the firm 3 THE COURT: 4 in 2000, I believe, if my recollection is correct. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I think I know you from there. Did you work at the one in Boca? 6 THE COURT: Yeah, I have no recollection of 7 8 having represented Iviewit, though. 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, actually, which 10 department were you with? 11 THE COURT: Litigation. 12 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I was right across the 13 hall from your office, do you recall? 14 THE COURT: No. 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 16 THE COURT: This is going back 15 years. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. And --18 Just for the record, if I could MR. ROSE: 19 finish. I wasn't suggesting that you did anything 20 I was just bringing it to your attention. wrong. 21 And, also, I do think, though, all the parties 22 would appreciate it if Mr. Eliot Bernstein has an 23 objection based upon your Proskauer relationship 24 and his relationship to that firm, which is --25 that he would raise it if he wanted to, or

otherwise we're fine. Because I don't want to
 have a problem and get recusal motions coming down
 the road.

4 THE COURT: Yeah, and I never take those 5 things personally. It prompted me to go to the Judicial Ethics Advisory Opinions and look at what 6 the standard is. Because if there is a legal 7 8 basis that I would need to disqualify myself, I would absolutely do it. But going back 15 years, 9 10 based on the JEAC opinions, I didn't view there as 11 being any legal requirement that the court would 12 disgualify itself.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: There might be another issue. I think Proskauer is a counter-defendant in one of the estate counter complaints in this matter.

17 THE COURT: Is this matter before me?

18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.

19 THE COURT: Is that accurate?

20 MR. ROSE: Well --

21 MR. O'CONNELL: I'm not sure.

MR. ROSE: I don't want to be the spokesman for the whole world. But I think starting in the '90s Proskauer represented this company that Mr. Eliot Bernstein called Iviewit. And he claims

that Proskauer stole his patents worth billions or 1 trillions of dollars. And then there was 2 litigation that started in maybe 2000 or 2001 and 3 4 continued through State Court, New York Federal 5 Court, and I believe even in this action he may have -- he has filed a counterclaim and if he's 6 7 representing that he joined Proskauer as a -- he 8 also sued most of the partners of the firm at the time. 9 10 THE COURT: Well, my --11 MR. ROSE: Again, I'm just --12 THE COURT: Well, I view the issue of 13 Proskauer attorneys being in front of me somewhat 14 differently than the firm Proskauer being in front 15 of me as a party. So if what I'm hearing is that 16 it's not just a situation where Proskauer 17 attorneys are in front of me, but Proskauer itself 18 is a party to the action, then -- and that's an 19 issue that Mr. Bernstein is raising -- then the 20 court would probably view that slightly 21 differently because in that situation because they 22 are a party and I was a partner, even though it 23 goes back 15 years -- I'd have to look at the JEAC opinions, there may be a legal basis at that 24 25 point. And I don't know if you're sitting right

here now -- there may be a legal basis for me to 1 disqualify myself if Proskauer is actually a party 2 3 in this proceeding to where I would be asked to 4 make rulings, you know, for or against them. So 5 where -- they are a party in this -- because all I have in front of me right now is an estate 6 7 proceeding. MR. ROSE: 8 Right. Well, there's five related -- five or six related cases. 9 Judge Colin, I think after denying the second or 10 11 third motion to disqualify, recused himself and 12 the cases were just recently transferred. In one 13 of the cases there is a counterclaim. It has been 14 stayed because Mr. Bernstein is not allowed to 15 file any papers without getting permission from 16 the judge first. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Not only 18 Mr. Bernstein, you too. 19 THE COURT: All right. Sir, don't interrupt. 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. 21 MR. ROSE: The counterclaim is stayed. And I 22 don't know if Proskauer is a party, but if 23 Mr. Bernstein represents to the court that they're 24 a party, then I would take it --25 THE COURT: You know, the standard for a

motion to recuse is -- the objective standard is a 1 party reasonably in fear that he may not receive a 2 3 fair trial. And even at 15 years is remote -- and 4 I think the cases say that. I'm somewhat 5 sympathetic to a party that would have some concern where a judge who was a former partner at 6 a previous firm is being asked to rule on issues 7 8 affecting that firm.

9 So I'm -- if you're raising the issue, 10 Mr. Bernstein, then I'm -- what I'm telling you 11 is at this point I would recuse myself, if there was a motion filed. If you are not 12 13 raising the issue, then, you know, it's a 14 remote issue, but, you know, it's something 15 that -- it's in the eyes of the beholder to some extent. Are you in reasonable fear of not 16 17 getting a fair hearing and trial on this 18 matter?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not certain yet.
20 That's kind of why I'm here today.

THE COURT: The thing is you have to move quickly because you have to -- you have to do it within 10 days of the date you become aware of the information. And what I told you today is that I was a -- you've probably already known it -- but I 1 was a former partner at Proskauer Rose.

2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, your bio is 3 confusing on the Internet. Some have you there --4 THE COURT: Maybe I'll have to fix that. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And then your -- well, 6 you should fix the court one because it has no 7 biography.

8 The court ones, they don't give THE COURT: that kind of -- it's not like private practice. 9 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's one of the 11 issues I want you to consider because, you know, 12 it's going to take me time to consider all the 13 factors here that I'm learning now. One of the 14 issues is that the technologies that I invented 15 that I allege that Proskauer stole --

16 THE COURT: Here's the thing. I don't really 17 want you to talk to me about anything regarding 18 the substance of this matter until we sort through 19 this recusal issue.

20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: This is what I'm 21 talking about.

THE COURT: Here's the problem. If I make rulings today and you file a motion within 10 days, every ruling I made today is subject to rehearing by the new judge. So it's a waste of 1 time to proceed and hear any motions if you're in 2 good faith telling me you're going to file a 3 motion to recuse.

4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not saying that. But I don't know. But I am saying for you to 5 consider that the technologies are owned partially 6 by my father, 30 percent of the interests in them. 7 8 And that will also, I believe, bring Proskauer into this matter as well. And so, you know, I 9 believe -- like I have obligations, you have 10 11 obligations under the judicial canons. 12 THE COURT: Is Proskauer a party now? 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to sua 15 sponte disqualify myself from this matter. Had I 16 known that Proskauer was a party, I would have 17 done it when I reviewed it the first time. But I 18 understood it was just they were attorneys in the 19 case. 20 So that means, unfortunately, there's 21 going to have to be another assignment of a 22 judge in this matter.

23 Sir, at this point I don't really care if 24 you file a motion to recuse. You raised enough 25 for me that I'm going to sua sponte disqualify

myself. MR. ROSE: Thank you, sir. Thanks, Your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Thank you. (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 4:20 p.m.)

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, |
| 7 | State of Florida at large, certify that I was |
| 8 | authorized to and did stenographically report the |
| 9 | foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a |
| 10 | true and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 11 | Dated this 29th day of February, 2016. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Radowille |
| 14 | DAVID L. MARSAA, COURT REPORTER |
| 15 | DAVID D. MARDAA, COURT REPORTER |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

Γ

| | appearances 3:16 | cases 10:9,12,13 11:4 | distribution 3:11 |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | approximately 5:12 | claimant 4:9 | documents 6:21,22 |
| 10 11:23 12:23 | assets 3:11 | claims 3:12 8:25 | dollars 9:2 |
| 15 5:12,21 7:16 8:9 9:23 | assignment 13:21 | Colin 10:10 | drafted 6:20 |
| 11:3 | attention 7:20 | company 6:23 8:24 | |
| 2 | attorneys 9:13,17 13:18 | complaints 8:15 | E |
| | automatic 5:17 | concern 11:6 | Eliot 3:6,20 5:1,24 6:23 |
| 2000 6:21,25 7:4 9:3 | aware 5:24 6:1 11:23 | concluded 14:9 | 7:5,9,12,15,17,22 8:13,18 25 10:17,20 11:19 12:2,5, |
| 2001 9:3 | | confusing 12:3 | 10,20 13:4,13 14:4 |
| 2008 6:22 | В | contact 5:20 | employed 5:3 |
| 3 | back 5:14,16 7:16 8:9 9:23 | continued 9:4 | employment 5:11 |
| | based 5:18 7:23 8:10 | сору 5:5 | engaged 6:14 |
| 30 13:7 | basis 8:8 9:24 10:1 | corporate 6:11 | enter 3:16 |
| 4 | battle 6:15 | correct 7:4 | entity 6:11,13 |
| | behalf 3:22 4:2 | counsel 3:16 | Eric 3:25 |
| 4:20 14:9 | beholder 11:15 | counter 8:15 | estate 3:8,11,19 4:4,9 8:1 |
| 9 | beneficiary 4:4 | counter-defendant 8:14 | 10:6 |
| | Bernstein 3:6,8,20,24,25 | counterclaim 9:6 10:13,21 | Ethics 8:6 |
| 90s 7:2 8:24 | 4:2,3,10 5:2,24 6:20,23 7:5,9,12,15,17,22 8:13,18, | court 3:3,7,8 4:5,11,16,24 | extent 11:16 |
| Α | 25 9:19 10:14,17,18,20,23 | | eyes 11:15 |
| | | 5,10,12,20 10:19,23,25 | F |
| absolutely 8:9 | billions 9:1 | 11:21 12:4,6,8,16,22 13:12,14 14:5 | |
| accurate 8:19 | bio 12:2 | creditors 3:12 | factors 12:13 |
| action 9:5,18 | biography 12:7 | | fair 11:3,17 |
| adult 3:23 | Boca 7:6 | D | faith 13:2 |
| advising 5:1 | Brian 3:18 | date 11:23 | father 13:7 |
| Advisory 8:6 | bring 13:8 | days 11:23 12:24 | Feaman's 4:7 |
| affecting 11:8 | bringing 7:20 | decade-long 6:15 | fear 11:2,16 |
| afternoon 3:3,5,6,9 4:1 | | decedents 3:23 | Federal 9:4 |
| Alan 4:1 | С | denying 10:10 | file 10:15 12:23 13:2,24 |
| Alexander 3:24 | called 6:13,24 8:25 | department 7:10 | filed 4:15 9:6 11:12 |
| alive 6:20 | canons 13:11 | differently 9:14,21 | find 6:6 |
| allege 12:15 | care 13:23 | disqualification 5:10 | fine 8:1 |
| allowed 10:14 | case 13:19 | disqualify 5:19 8:8,12 | finish 7:19 |
| amended 3:13 | | 10:2,11 13:15,25 | firm 5:3 6:15 7:3,24 9:8,14 11:7,8 |

| fix 12:4,6 | judicial 8:6 13:11 | notice 3:13 | petitions 3:14 | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| front 9:13,14,17 10:6 | К | noticed 4:14 | players 4:21 | |
| G | K | 0 | point 9:25 11:11 13:23 | |
| 6 | kind 4:20 11:20 12:9 | 0 | practice 12:9 | |
| give 4:21 12:8 | | O'connell 3:18 8:21 14:3 | previous 11:7 | |
| good 3:3,5,6 4:1 13:2 | L | object 6:4 | prior 5:10 | |
| grandchildren 3:23 | law 6:15 | objection 5:25 7:23 | private 12:9 | |
| | learning 12:13 | objective 11:1 | pro 3:20 | |
| Н | _ left 7:3 | obligations 13:10,11 | problem 8:2 12:22 | |
| hall 7:13 | legal 8:7,11 9:24 10:1 | office 4:7 7:13 | proceed 13:1 | |
| hear 13:1 | lengthy 6:14 | opinion 6:7 | proceeding 10:3,7 | |
| hearing 3:14 4:17,23 9:15 | letter 5:1,4,6,8,13 | opinions 5:14 8:6,10 9:24 | proceedings 14:8 | |
| 11:17 | litigation 7:1,11 9:3 | opportunity 6:2 | prompted 8:5 | |
| helpful 4:20 | looked 5:13 6:7 | overview 4:21 | Proskauer 5:2,11,21 6:12 | |
| Honor 3:5,18 4:1,6,13 14:3 | | owned 13:6 | 16,18,19,22 7:23 8:14,2 9:1,7,13,14,16,17 10:2,2 | |
| 1 | M | _ | 12:1,15 13:8,12,16 | |
| • | | Р | | |
| information 11:24 | make 6:1 10:4 12:22 | P-r-o-c-e-e-d-i-n-g-s 3:1 | Q | |
| interested 4:8 | matter 3:7 5:19 8:16,17 | p.m. 14:9 | quickly 11:22 | |
| interests 13:7 | 11:18 12:18 13:9,15,22 | papers 10:15 | | |
| Internet 12:3 | meaningful 5:21 | partially 13:6 | R | |
| interrupt 10:19 | means 13:20 | parties 6:17 7:21 | raise 4:22 7:25 | |
| invented 12:14 | Michael 3:24 | partner 9:22 11:6 12:1 | raised 5:8 13:24 | |
| issue 4:22 5:9 8:14 9:12,1 | 9 Molly 3:24 | partners 9:8 | raising 5:23 9:19 11:9,13 | |
| 11:9,13,14 12:19 | Morrissey 3:22 | party 9:15,18,22 10:2,5,22, | | |
| issues 11:7 12:11,14 | motion 3:10 4:12,15,16 | 24 11:2,5 13:12,16 | recall 7:13 | |
| lviewit 6:13,24 7:8 8:25 | 10:11 11:1,12 12:23 13:3, 24 | patents 9:1 | receive 11:2 | |
| J | motions 4:19 8:2 13:1 | pending 3:11 4:19 | received 5:13 | |
| | movant 4:11 | percent 13:7 | recently 10:12 | |
| JEAC 5:14 8:10 9:23 | move 11:21 | period 5:16 | recollection 7:4,7 | |
| Jeff 4:6 | multi-year 6:14 | permission 10:15 | record 7:18 | |
| John 3:22 | multitude 3:14 | person 4:8 | recusal 5:17 8:2 12:19 | |
| joined 9:7 | | personal 3:19 | recuse 11:1,11 13:3,24 | |
| judge 5:9 10:10,16 11:6 12:25 13:22 | N | personally 8:5 | recused 10:11 | |
| | note 6:0 | Peter 4:7 | | |
| judges 5:15 | note 6:9 | | rehearing 12:25 | |

| | | T | ndex: re |
|---|---|------------------------|----------|
| related 10:9 | start 3:15 4:23 | trustee 4:3 | |
| relationship 5:2 7:23,24 | started 6:24 9:3 | | _ |
| relying 6:7 | starting 8:23 | U | _ |
| remote 11:3,14 | State 9:4 | understood 13:18 | |
| represent 4:7 | stay 3:11 | | |
| representative 3:19 | stayed 10:14,21 | V | |
| represented 6:11,19,23 | stole 9:1 12:15 | view 8:10 9:12,20 | |
| 7:8 8:24 | sua 5:18 13:14,25 | | |
| epresenting 6:17 9:7 | subject 12:24 | W | |
| represents 10:23 | substance 12:18 | wanted 6:1 7:25 | |
| requirement 8:11 | substantial 4:9 | waste 12:25 | |
| eviewed 5:5 13:17 | successor 4:2 | William 4:7 | |
| road 8:3 | sued 9:8 | wishes 6:4 | |
| Rose 3:5 4:1,2,22,25 5:7, 11,23 6:4,13,18 7:1,18 | suggesting 7:19 | work 7:6 | |
| 8:20,22 9:11 10:8,21 12:1 | superceded 6:22 | world 8:23 | |
| 14:2 | sympathetic 11:5 | worth 9:1 | |
| Royer 4:6,13 | | wrong 7:20 | |
| ule 11:7 | Т | | _ |
| ruling 12:24 | talk 12:17 | Y | _ |
| rulings 10:4 12:23 | talked 4:12 | year 5:16 | |
| S | talking 12:21 | years 5:12,21 7:16 8:9 | |
| • | technologies 12:14 13:6 | 9:23 11:3 | |
| satisfaction 3:12 | Ted 4:2 | York 9:4 | |
| seated 3:4 | telling 11:10 13:2 | | |
| Simon 3:8,24 4:3,9 6:20 | terms 4:18 5:16 | | |
| sir 8:18 10:19 13:23 14:2,4 | thing 11:21 12:16 | | |
| sitting 9:25 | things 8:5 | | |
| situation 9:16,21 | time 5:3 9:9 12:12 13:1,17 | | |
| | | | |
| slightly 9:20 | today 4:14 11:20,24 12:23, | | |
| | | | |
| sort 12:18 | today 4:14 11:20,24 12:23, | | |
| slightly 9:20 sort 12:18 specific 6:9 spokesman 8:22 | today 4:14 11:20,24 12:23, 24 | | |
| sort 12:18 specific 6:9 | today 4:14 11:20,24 12:23, 24 told 11:24 | | |
| sort 12:18 specific 6:9 spokesman 8:22 | today 4:14 11:20,24 12:23, 24 told 11:24 transferred 10:12 | | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB

TED BERNSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,

Defendants.

TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 114

Tuesday, December 15, 2015 North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410 9:43 a.m. - 4:48 p.m.

Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198 - VOL 1

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES:
     On behalf of the Plaintiff:
2
          ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE
3
          GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
 4
          MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA
          THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
          505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
 5
          West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
                 561.655.2250
          Phone:
6
          E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
 7
8
     On behalf of the Defendant:
9
          ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
          2753 NW 34th Street
10
          Boca Raton, Florida 33434
          Phone:
                  561.245.8588
11
          E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12
13
     On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael
     Bernstein:
14
          JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
          LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
15
          330 Clematis Street
          Suite 213
16
          West Palm Beach, Florida
17
          Phone: 561.833.0866
          E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Direct Cross Vol 1 December 15, 2015 1 2 INDEX 3 4 5 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS ROBERT SPALLINA 6 BY MR. ROSE: 11 7 BY MR. MORRISSEY: 82 91 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 9 10 11 12 EXHIBITS 13 14 15 DESCRIPTION PAGE NUMBER PLAINTIFF'S EX. 1 COPY OF SHIRLEY'S WILL 16 34 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 2 SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST 62 17 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 3 FIRST AMENDMENT OF SHIRLEY 39 18 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 70 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 4 SI'S NEW WILL 19 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 5 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED 72 AND RESTATED TRUST 20 AGREEMENT PLAINTIFF'S EX. 7 DOCUMENT 20 21 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 9 11/16/07 INTAKE SHEET 13 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 10 MEETING NOTES 14 22 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 11 4/19/08 LETTER 27 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 13 NOTES 46 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 14 23 EMAIL FROM ELIOT BERNSTEIN 61 5/24/12 LETTER DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY PLAINTIFF'S EX. 15 64 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 16 24 66 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 17 73 LETTER

25

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 3

- - -EXHIBITS (cont'd) - - -PLAINTIFF'S EX. 18 DEATH CERTIFICATE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 40A-F GREENWALD DOCUMENTS DEFENDANT'S EX. 1 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 102 BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | THE COURT: We're here on the Bernstein case. |
| 4 | Everybody ready to go? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes. |
| 6 | Alan Rose on behalf of the plaintiff, Ted S. |
| 7 | Bernstein, as successor trustee. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: And with me is my partner, Greg |
| 10 | Weiss. May not be for the whole trial, but he is |
| 11 | with us for the beginning. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, great. Thanks for |
| 13 | coming. |
| 14 | And who's on the other side? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se, sir. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to have |
| 17 | any counsel? Who's with you at the table? |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's my lovely wife, |
| 19 | Candice. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. And why are you at the |
| 21 | table? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's one of the questions I |
| 23 | would like to address. I'm here individually. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued individually. |

| 1 | But I'm also here on behalf, supposedly, of my |
|----|--|
| 2 | minor children, who aren't represented by counsel. |
| 3 | And I'm sued as a trustee of a trust that I've |
| 4 | never possessed. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Are you asking me a question? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 7 | THE COURT: What's the question? |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are being |
| 9 | sued. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the question? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued as their |
| 12 | trustee, but I'm |
| 13 | THE COURT: Stop, please. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 15 | THE COURT: I would love to talk with you all |
| 16 | day |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: but we're not going to have |
| 19 | that happen. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 21 | THE COURT: This is not a conversation. This |
| 22 | is a trial. So my question is, What is your |
| 23 | question? You said you had a question. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I tried to get counsel for my |
| 25 | children who was willing to make a pro hoc vice |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: When will you ask me the question? |
|----|--|
| 2 | Because this is all |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like to stay the |
| 4 | proceeding. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. The request for a |
| 6 | continuance is denied. Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you read the filing I |
| 8 | filed? Because my children are minor |
| 9 | THE COURT: Was that your question? |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are |
| 11 | minors |
| 12 | THE COURT: Please stop. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: and they're not represented |
| 14 | here. |
| 15 | THE COURT: What is your name again, sir? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I'll be |
| 18 | courteous, unless it doesn't work; then I'll be |
| 19 | more direct and more aggressive in enforcing the |
| 20 | rules that I follow when I conduct trials. |
| 21 | I've asked you several times if you had |
| 22 | questions. You finally asked me one, and it was, |
| 23 | Did you read my filing? No, I did not. You asked |
| 24 | for a continuance. I have denied that because it's |
| 25 | untimely. |

| 1 | Now I'm turning back to the plaintiff, and |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | we're going forward with this trial. That is one |
| 3 | day set on my docket. We're going to have this |
| 4 | trial done by the end of the day. You'll have half |
| 5 | the time to use as you see fit; so will the other |
| 6 | side. I'll not care if you waste it, but I'll not |
| 7 | participate in that. Thank you. |
| 8 | Now, from the plaintiff's side, what is it |
| 9 | that the Court is being asked to decide today? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Before I answer, could |
| 11 | Mr. Morrissey make an appearance, sir? |
| 12 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 13 | MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, I'm here on behalf of |
| 14 | four of the defendants, Judge, four adult |
| 15 | grandchildren, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein |
| 16 | Michael Bernstein and Molly Simon, all of whom have |
| 17 | joined in the plaintiff's complaint today. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Last time I'll ask this |
| 19 | question of the plaintiff. What is it that I'm |
| 20 | asked to decide today? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: We are asking you to decide whether |
| 22 | five testamentary documents are valid, authentic |
| 23 | and enforceable. And that is set forth in count |
| 24 | two of the amended complaint in this action. The |
| 25 | five documents are a 2008 will of Shirley |
| | |

| 1 | Bernstein, a 2008 trust of Shirley Bernstein, and |
|----|---|
| 2 | an amendment by Shirley Bernstein to her 2008 |
| 3 | trust. |
| 4 | THE COURT: When was the amendment? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Amendment was in November of 2008. |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. So there's also a 2008 |
| 7 | amendment? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. In fact, I have a I |
| 9 | don't know if you can read it, but I did put up |
| 10 | here on the there are seven testamentary |
| 11 | documents. We believe five of them to be valid and |
| 12 | operative, and two of them to have been with |
| 13 | revoked by later documents. |
| 14 | So for Shirley, there are three documents that |
| 15 | count two seeks you to determine are valid, |
| 16 | authentic and enforceable according to their terms. |
| 17 | And for Simon Bernstein, he has a 2012 will, |
| 18 | and a 2012 amended and restated trust agreement. |
| 19 | And we're asking that these five documents be |
| 20 | validated today. |
| 21 | There also is a 2008 will and trust that |
| 22 | you'll hear testimony were prepared, but have been |
| 23 | revoked and superseded by later documents. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Does everybody agree that Simon's |
| 25 | 2008 will and trust are invalid or is there some |
| | |

| 1 | claim that they're valid? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: I can't answer. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. I'll ask. |
| 4 | Are you claiming that the Simon Bernstein 2008 |
| 5 | will or 2008 trust are valid, or do you agree that |
| 6 | they are invalid? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I individually disagree. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And my children |
| 10 | THE COURT: I just wanted to know |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: aren't represented by |
| 12 | counsel, so they can't have an opinion |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: even though they're parties |
| 15 | to the case. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, you can waste |
| 17 | all your time you want. I won't object to it, but |
| 18 | I won't participate in it. |
| 19 | You can put on your first witness. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Thank you. Plaintiff will call |
| 21 | Robert Spallina. |
| 22 | Thereupon, |
| 23 | (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 24 | having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined |
| 25 | and testified as follows: |
| | |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Okay. I brought for Your Honor |
| 5 | would you like a book instead of the exhibits? |
| 6 | THE COURT: Nothing better than a huge book. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: We may not use all of them, but |
| 8 | we'll adjust it later. |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: And then I was going to hand the |
| 11 | witness the original for the admission into the |
| 12 | court file as we go. |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: I have a book for Mr. Eliot |
| 15 | Bernstein. |
| 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 18 | Q. Would you state your name for the record? |
| 19 | A. Robert Spallina. |
| 20 | Q. Did you know Simon and Shirley Bernstein, |
| 21 | Mr. Spallina? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 23 | Q. And when did you first meet Simon and Shirley |
| 24 | Bernstein? |
| 25 | A. In 2007. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. What was your occupation at the time? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. I was working as an estate planning attorney. |
| 3 | Q. With a law firm? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. And what was the name of the law firm? |
| 6 | A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Rubin, Ruffin and |
| 7 | Forman and Fleisher. |
| 8 | Q. And did Simon and Shirley Bernstein retain |
| 9 | your law firm? |
| 10 | A. Yes, they did. |
| 11 | Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit No. 9 |
| 12 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. Ask if you'd identify that |
| 13 | document? |
| 14 | A. This was an intake sheet to open up the file, |
| 15 | dated November 16th of 2007. |
| 16 | Q. And the clients are Simon and Shirley |
| 17 | Bernstein? |
| 18 | A. The clients were Simon and Shirley Bernstein, |
| 19 | yes. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 9 into |
| 21 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 23 | [No verbal response] |
| 24 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'll |
| 25 | receive that as Plaintiff's 19. |
| | |

| 1 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was received into |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence.) |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. Now, what was the purpose of Simon and Shirley |
| 5 | Bernstein retaining your law firm? |
| 6 | A. They wanted to review and go over their |
| 7 | existing estate planning and make changes to their |
| 8 | documents. |
| 9 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 10, and ask |
| 10 | you if you can identify for the record Exhibit 10. |
| 11 | A. These are meeting notes, my meeting notes, |
| 12 | and and then partner Don Tescher's meeting notes from |
| 13 | several different meetings that we had with Si and |
| 14 | Shirley during the time following them retaining us as |
| 15 | clients. |
| 16 | Q. And is it your standard practice to take notes |
| 17 | when you're meeting with clients? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And were these notes kept in your company's |
| 20 | files and were they produced with Bates stamp numbers? |
| 21 | A. Yes, they were. |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 10 into |
| 23 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Is there any objection to the |
| 25 | exhibit? |

| | - |
|----|--|
| 1 | [No verbal response]. |
| 2 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, they'll |
| 3 | be received as Plaintiff's 10. |
| 4 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was received into |
| 5 | evidence.) |
| 6 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 7 | Q. Now, for today's purposes, are those notes in |
| 8 | chronological or reverse chronological order? |
| 9 | A. This is reverse chronological order. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of the stack |
| 11 | and start with the earliest notes. Do they reflect a |
| 12 | date? |
| 13 | A. Yes. 11/14/07. |
| 14 | Q. And if you'd turn to the last page, is that |
| 15 | your partner's notes that are in evidence? |
| 16 | A. Yes. We both would always take notes at the |
| 17 | meetings. |
| 18 | Q. And so the first was that the first meeting |
| 19 | with Mr. Simon or Shirley Bernstein? |
| 20 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 21 | Q. Now, before you met with Simon and Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein, did you have any prior relationship with |
| 23 | them? |
| 24 | A. No, we did not. |
| 25 | Q. Did you personally know either of them before |
| | |

| 1 | that date? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. No, I did not. |
| 3 | Q. 11/14/2007. Okay. And if you'd just flip |
| 4 | back to the client intake. I think that was dated |
| 5 | November the 26th? |
| 6 | A. It was two days later, 11/16. The file was |
| 7 | opened two days later. |
| 8 | Q. So file open. |
| 9 | Now, did you know in advance of the meeting |
| 10 | what they were coming in to talk about? |
| 11 | A. Yeah. They were coming in to talk about their |
| 12 | estate planning. |
| 13 | Q. And did they provide you in advance of the |
| 14 | meeting with any of their prior estate planning |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | A. I believe we had copies of documents. I don't |
| 17 | know if they provided them at that meeting or if they |
| 18 | provided them before for us to look at, or after, but I |
| 19 | know that there were existing documents that were in our |
| 20 | file. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Let me approach and hand you |
| 22 | Exhibit 40A, which is bears Tescher Spallina |
| 23 | Number 1. |
| 24 | Does that appear to be an envelope from |
| 25 | Stephen Greenwald |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q directed to Simon Bernstein? |
| 3 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 4 | Q. And copy of this was in your files when they |
| 5 | were produced? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. And was Stephen Greenwald the prior lawyer |
| 8 | that represented Simon and Shirley Bernstein, as far as |
| 9 | you know? |
| 10 | A. Yes. Yes, he was. |
| 11 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40B, which is a |
| 12 | letter from Mr. Greenwald to Simon and Shirley |
| 13 | Bernstein. |
| 14 | Is that also is that also provided in your |
| 15 | files? |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 17 | Q. Does it bear a Bates stamp of your law firm? |
| 18 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And does Mr. Greenwald, in that letter, |
| 20 | disclose what he is sending to Simon |
| 21 | Mr. and Mrs. Simon L. Bernstein? |
| 22 | A. Yes, he did. Their estate planning documents, |
| 23 | including their ancillary documents, their wills, their |
| 24 | trusts, health care powers, durable powers and living |
| 25 | wills. |

| 1 | Q. And if I'll show you 40C, D, E and F, and |
|----|--|
| 2 | ask if you can identify these as some of the documents |
| 3 | that were included with the letter from Mr. Greenwald? |
| 4 | A. We have each of the first codicils to |
| 5 | Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein's wills, and we have each of |
| 6 | their wills. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 40A through F |
| 8 | into evidence, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 10 | [No response.] |
| 11 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'm |
| 12 | going to receive this as Plaintiff's 40A through F. |
| 13 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 40A-F were received |
| 14 | into evidence.) |
| 15 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 16 | Q. Within Exhibit 40, is there a will and a |
| 17 | for Simon and a will for Shirley? |
| 18 | A. Yes, there is. |
| 19 | Q. And could you tell the Court the date of those |
| 20 | documents? |
| 21 | A. August 15, 2000. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Are both documents the same date? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes, they are, Your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I just wanted |
| 25 | to make sure I don't get confused. |
| | |

1 BY MR. ROSE: 2 Ο. Can you generally describe what the estate 3 plan reflected in Exhibit 40 would be, who are the beneficiaries and what percentages? 4 Okay. Just give me a minute. I haven't seen 5 Α. these in... 6 7 The plan under the documents -- and let me just make sure it's the same under both documents. The 8 plan under the documents was to provide all the assets 9 to the survivor of Shirley and Si, and that at the death 10 of the survivor of the two of them, assets would pass 11 to -- it appears to be Ted, Pam, Eliot, Jill and Sue and 12 Lisa -- and Lisa. So it looks to be a typical estate 13 14 plan; everything would pass to the survivor at the first 15 death, and then at the second death everything to the children. 16 17 Ο. How many of the children under the 2000 documents? 18 19 Α. This shows all five. The will shows all five. 20 What page are you looking at? Q. The first page of the will. Is this -- oh, 21 Α. 2.2 no. That's just as to tangible personal property. I'm 23 sorry. That's okay. Are you on -- are you in Simon's 24 Ο. 25 or Shirley's?

| 1 | A. I'm in on both documents, to make sure the |
|----|---|
| 2 | disposition was the same. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. So on the page the first page, it |
| 4 | talks under |
| 5 | A. It speaks to tangible personal property. |
| 6 | Q. Split equally among the five children? |
| 7 | A. Among the five children. |
| 8 | Q. Let me just stop you one second right there. |
| 9 | If you would, turn |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: This might help, Your Honor, if |
| 11 | you'd turn to Tab 7. It may be out of order. |
| 12 | Might be a good time just to go over the family |
| 13 | tree and let get everyone on the same page of |
| 14 | We prepared a chart, and I'm going to put |
| 15 | the it lists Simon and Shirley and the names of |
| 16 | their children on the second line, and then under |
| 17 | each child with arrows, the names of the |
| 18 | grandchildren and which parents they belong to. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: This looks accurate. |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 7 into |
| 21 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 23 | [No response.] |
| 24 | THE COURT: No objection being stated, that's |
| 25 | in evidence as Plaintiff's 7. |
| | |

| 1 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was received into |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence.) |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. So under the 2000 documents, for personal |
| 5 | property, it's split among the five children. |
| 6 | And when you get to the residuary estate or |
| 7 | the amount that was put into trusts, who are the |
| 8 | beneficiaries? |
| 9 | A. Again, at the death of the survivor of the two |
| 10 | of them, tangible personal property would go to the five |
| 11 | children, and the residuary of the estate would go to |
| 12 | four of the five children. It appears that Pam is cut |
| 13 | out of these documents. And I recall that now, yes. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So under the 2000 documents, Eliot |
| 15 | Bernstein would get 25 percent of the residuary? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | Q. Now, if you look at page 5, it talks |
| 18 | about page 5, near the top, it says "upon the death |
| 19 | of my husband," then "the principal of his trust shall |
| 20 | pass," and then the next sentence says "to the extent |
| 21 | that said power of appointment oh, "and such shares |
| 22 | equal or unequal and subject to such lawful trust terms |
| 23 | and conditions as my husband shall by will appoint." |
| 24 | Do you see what I'm talking about? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I do. |

| 1 | Q. That's a power of appointment? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. And then it says, the next sentence, To the |
| 4 | extent the power of appointment is not effectively |
| 5 | exercised, then it goes to the four of the five |
| 6 | children? |
| 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | Q. So under the 2000 documents, the survivor |
| 9 | would have the power to give it all to one? |
| 10 | A. Correct. |
| 11 | Q. And theoretically change it and give some to |
| 12 | Pam? |
| 13 | A. That's true, by the language of this document. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So I'm just going to write. We have a |
| 15 | power of appointment, which we don't need to belabor, in |
| 16 | favor of the survivor; and then if it's not exercised, |
| 17 | Eliot gets 25 percent, and three other siblings get the |
| 18 | balance? |
| 19 | A. 25 percent each. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | A. Equal shares. |
| 22 | Q. Now, when Simon and Shirley came to you, did |
| 23 | they give you an indication whether they wanted to keep |
| 24 | in place the 2000 structure? |
| 25 | A. No. They wanted to change the dispositions |

| 1 | under their documents. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Okay. So if we work through your notes now, |
| 3 | which are in evidence as Exhibit No. 10, the first |
| 4 | meeting was November the 14th, 2007. You had a |
| 5 | discussion about Simon's net worth Simon and |
| 6 | Shirley's net worth, how much money they had at that |
| 7 | time? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 12 |
| 10 | before we |
| 11 | Do you recognize the handwriting on |
| 12 | Exhibit 12? |
| 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. I believe it's Simon Bernstein's |
| 15 | statement of his net worth. |
| 16 | But you have seen this document before? |
| 17 | A. I don't recall. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And you're not familiar with his |
| 19 | handwriting to |
| 20 | A. No. Other than his signature. |
| 21 | Q. That's fine. |
| 22 | But during the discussion, did you discuss |
| 23 | Simon's net worth? |
| 24 | A. Yes. Both my partner and I. |
| 25 | Q. And if I look at Mr. Tescher's notes, which |
| | |

| 1 | are a little easier to read, he lists the joint |
|----|---|
| 2 | brokerage account, some money for Simon, Simon, a |
| 3 | house the house appears to have a million dollar |
| 4 | mortgage a condo, some miscellaneous and some life |
| 5 | insurance. And he totals that totals to 13 million, |
| 6 | and then he lists 5 million for 33 shares of the |
| 7 | company. |
| 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So if I add up what Mr. Tescher wrote |
| 11 | in his notes, I get to about \$18 million. |
| 12 | And this is on November the 14th of '07, |
| 13 | around 18 million, but that includes life insurance? |
| 14 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Now, did you meet with them how long |
| 16 | were these meetings with Simon and Shirley Bernstein? |
| 17 | A. They could be an hour; sometimes more. |
| 18 | Q. Now, if we flip through your notes, does it |
| 19 | reflect a second meeting? |
| 20 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 21 | Q. And what's the date of the second meeting? |
| 22 | A. 12/19/07. |
| 23 | Q. And do you have any I'm sorry. 12/19? |
| 24 | A. 12/19/07. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And what's the let's just put all |
| | |

| 1 | the dates up here. That was the second meeting. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Are there notes from a third meeting? |
| 3 | A. The next meeting was January 31, '08. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Is there a fourth meeting? |
| 5 | A. March 12 of '08. |
| 6 | Q. Now, just to put this in perspective, the |
| 7 | document that we are going to well, the document |
| 8 | that's been admitted into probate in this case is a will |
| 9 | of Shirley Bernstein that bears a date of May 20, 2008. |
| 10 | Does that sound consistent with your memory? |
| 11 | A. Yeah, it was clearly 2008. |
| 12 | MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. Can you |
| 13 | turn that so we can see it? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Ma'am, you are not a party. You |
| 16 | are not an attorney. And you are not really |
| 17 | supposed to be sitting there. I'm letting you sit |
| 18 | there as a courtesy. If you ask for and inject |
| 19 | yourself any further in the proceeding than that, |
| 20 | I'll have to ask you to be seated in the gallery. |
| 21 | Do you understand? |
| 22 | MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 24 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 25 | Q. So you have four meetings with Simon and |
| | |

| 1 | Shirley Bernstein. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And did it take that long to go over what they |
| 3 | wished to do with their estate planning documents? |
| 4 | A. It was more of us, you know, trying to get a |
| 5 | handle on everything that they had, the business, prior |
| 6 | planning. From the first meeting to the March meeting, |
| 7 | it was only a couple of months. The holidays were in |
| 8 | there. So it wasn't uncommon for us to meet with a |
| 9 | client more than once or twice when they had a |
| 10 | sophisticated plan and asset schedule. |
| 11 | Q. At this time |
| 12 | A. By the last meeting, we knew what we needed to |
| 13 | do. |
| 14 | Q. And around this based on your notes, did |
| 15 | Simon Bernstein believe he had a net worth all in of |
| 16 | about 18 million when he met with you? |
| 17 | A. Yeah, it appears that way, 18, 19 million |
| 18 | dollars. |
| 19 | Q. And did he discuss at all with you that he was |
| 20 | involved in a business at that time, an insurance |
| 21 | business? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. And did he give you an indication of how well |
| 24 | the business was doing at around the times of these |
| 25 | meetings between November 2007 and March or May of 2008? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yeah, the business was doing well at that |
|----|---|
| 2 | time. He was he was very optimistic about the future |
| 3 | of the business. |
| 4 | Q. Now, did you do any did you prepare any |
| 5 | documents before the will was signed in May? Did you |
| 6 | prepare drafts of the documents? |
| 7 | A. Yes, we did. We always prepare drafts of |
| 8 | documents. |
| 9 | Q. And did you share the drafts with Simon and |
| 10 | Shirley? |
| 11 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, and |
| 13 | ask if you can identify that for the record? |
| 14 | A. This is a letter from our firm dated April 19 |
| 15 | of 2008. It's transmitting the documents to the client, |
| 16 | with an explanation that they could follow, better than |
| 17 | reading their documents a summary of the documents. |
| 18 | Q. Is that a true and authentic copy of a |
| 19 | document that you created? |
| 20 | A. Yes, it appears to be. |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 11 into |
| 22 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 24 | [No response.] |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Then that's in |
| | |

| 1 | evidence as Plaintiff's 11. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was received into |
| 3 | evidence.) |
| 4 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 5 | Q. And if I read Exhibit 11, the first three |
| 6 | words say, "Enclosed are drafts of each of your wills |
| 7 | and revocable trusts, the children's family trust, each |
| 8 | of your durable powers of attorney, designations of |
| 9 | health care surrogate and living wills," correct? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. So about a month and 11 days before anything |
| 12 | was signed, documents were sent by Federal Express to |
| 13 | Simon and Shirley Bernstein? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. And it appears to have gone to Simon's |
| 16 | business? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Now, if you look at does your does your |
| 19 | letter, sort of in laymen's terms, rather than reading |
| 20 | through the legalese of a will, explain what the estate |
| 21 | planning was under the documents that have yet to be |
| 22 | signed but that you were preparing? |
| 23 | A. Yes, it does, as much as possible in laymen's |
| 24 | terms. |
| 25 | Q. Can you just give us a short well, the will |

| 1 | itself for both Simon and Shirley was a relatively |
|----|--|
| 2 | simple will that poured over into a revocable trust, one |
| 3 | for each? |
| 4 | A. Yes, poured over wills for both. |
| 5 | Q. And whoever died first would inherent the |
| 6 | personal property? |
| 7 | A. All tangible personal property under the will |
| 8 | would pass to the survivor. |
| 9 | Q. So assuming Simon survived Shirley, he would |
| 10 | be the sole beneficiary of her estate? |
| 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. And then any of her residuary would go into a |
| 13 | trust? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. And he, in fact, outlived Shirley? |
| 16 | A. He did. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Now, if you go to the second page, at |
| 18 | the top, you describe the will of Shirley Bernstein. |
| 19 | It's essentially identical to Si it says "Si." |
| 20 | Just for the record, that's Simon shorthand? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Si is the personal representative of Shirley's |
| 23 | estate, and Ted is designated as successor if Simon is |
| 24 | unable to serve. |
| 25 | That was what was in the document you sent in |
| | |

| 1 | April? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. I believe so, yes. |
| 3 | Q. And that provision remained in the final |
| 4 | documents you signed? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. Now, did Ted eventually become a successor |
| 7 | personal representative upon Simon's death? |
| 8 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. Then you next start to talk about the Simon L. |
| 10 | Bernstein trust agreement. |
| 11 | And theoretically, that was going to be the |
| 12 | primary testamentary document? |
| 13 | A. Correct, it was. |
| 14 | Q. And that's fairly standard? |
| 15 | A. Yes. When a client wants to avoid probate, we |
| 16 | use a revocable trust to title assets in prior to death. |
| 17 | Those assets remain confidential; they're not part of |
| 18 | the court record. And the trust is also used to avoid |
| 19 | the need for the appointment of a guardian in the event |
| 20 | of incapacity, because there's a successor trustee |
| 21 | mechanism. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Now, under Simon's trust agreement, |
| 23 | moving down to the third paragraph, under that heading, |
| 24 | it says that both trusts provide for mandatory income |
| 25 | distributions. And then the next sentence starts, "Upon |

| 1 | Shirley's death, she has been given a special power to |
|----|--|
| 2 | appoint the remaining assets of both the marital trust |
| 3 | and the family trust to any of your lineal descendants |
| 4 | and their spouses, a power to redirect and reallocate." |
| 5 | Do you see that? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Now, is that consistent with the way the |
| 8 | documents were intended to be drafted? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 10 | Q. And I guess it's sort of similar to what |
| 11 | existed in the 2000 wills? |
| 12 | A. Yes. Typically, you give the survivor of the |
| 13 | spouse a power to appoint in the event that they want to |
| 14 | change any of the estate planning of the first to die. |
| 15 | Found in most first marriage documents with only |
| 16 | children from that marriage. |
| 17 | Q. And this is a first marriage with all five |
| 18 | children being the product of the same marriage |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q as far as you know? |
| 21 | A. As far as I know. |
| 22 | Q. And as far as you know, Simon and Shirley |
| 23 | Bernstein, they each married only once in their |
| 24 | lifetime, to each other? |
| 25 | A. That's all I know. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. If you flip to the next page, there's a |
|----|---|
| 2 | shorter paragraph for Shirley. |
| 3 | It basically says it's virtually identical, |
| 4 | except that Simon is the initial successor, and after |
| 5 | that, Ted would be Simon's replacement if he passed |
| 6 | away? |
| 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | Q. And is that the mechanism by which Ted |
| 9 | Bernstein became the successor trustee in this lawsuit? |
| 10 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 11 | Q. Now, if Shirley died first, then did the |
| 12 | documents give Simon the same power of appointment over |
| 13 | the assets in her trust that was provided for in the |
| 14 | Simon document if he died? |
| 15 | A. Same power of appointment was in both |
| 16 | documents. They were identical documents, with one |
| 17 | exception. |
| 18 | Q. And what was the exception; the name of the |
| 19 | successor trustee? |
| 20 | A. The name of the successor trustee. |
| 21 | Q. And then Simon wanted his then business |
| 22 | partner, Bill Stansbury, to be his successor trustee in |
| 23 | both his will and his trust, and Shirley wanted her |
| 24 | oldest son, Ted, to be her successor in both documents? |
| 25 | A. Correct. The signer, non-survivor. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And Shirley, I guess it says here, also |
|----|--|
| 2 | made a specific gift of \$200,000 to someone named |
| 3 | Matthew Logan? |
| 4 | A. Correct. |
| 5 | Q. If you look at our family tree chart, I think |
| 6 | Matthew Logan is under Ted. |
| 7 | He is the son of Ted's second wife, Deborah? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So there was a \$200,000 special gift to |
| 10 | Matthew that was in the documents that you sent on |
| 11 | April 9th? |
| 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. Then you prepared family trusts for the |
| 14 | children. |
| 15 | Were those trusts created at the time? |
| 16 | A. Yes, they were. |
| 17 | Q. Now, after you sent your letter on April 9th, |
| 18 | did you have a further discussion with Simon and Shirley |
| 19 | before the documents were signed? |
| 20 | A. I can't recall, but we probably we probably |
| 21 | did, to set up a meeting and talk you know, either, |
| 22 | A, talk about the documents, the draft documents, any |
| 23 | changes that they wanted to make on the draft documents. |
| 24 | It would be typical of us to do that, although I don't |
| 25 | have any meeting notes that showed that, so |

| 1 | Q. Now, under we'll talk let's talk about |
|----|--|
| 2 | the ones that matter. |
| 3 | Because Shirley died first, her 2008 trust |
| 4 | became the beneficiary of her estate? |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. And then Simon had a power of appointment, |
| 7 | correct? |
| 8 | A. Um-hum. |
| 9 | Q. And if you have to say yes or no. |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. And if he didn't exercise the power of |
| 12 | appointment, was there a default set of beneficiaries |
| 13 | that were designated in the documents you drafted in |
| 14 | 2008? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And what was the default set of beneficiaries? |
| 17 | A. Simon had and Shirley had in their documents |
| 18 | excluded Pam and Ted at the death of the survivor of the |
| 19 | two of them. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. So if the power of appointment was not |
| 21 | properly exercised, it would just go to three, and Eliot |
| 22 | would end up with 33 and a third percent and two of the |
| 23 | other sisters would get the balance? |
| 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | Q. Did Simon and Shirley eventually execute |

documents in 2008? 1 2 Α. Yes, they did. 3 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 1, which is --4 A copy of Si's will from --5 Α. Do you have Exhibit 1? 6 Q. 7 Α. Excuse me. Sorry. Shirley's will. Is that a conformed copy of the document? Ο. 8 Yes, it is. Α. 9 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 1 into 10 11 evidence. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 [No response.] THE COURT: That's in evidence as 14 15 Plaintiff's 1. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was received into 16 evidence.) 17 BY MR. ROSE: 18 19 Now, that says "conformed copy." If I turn to Q. the last page, there's no handwritten signatures. 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Do you know where the original of that Q. 23 document sits today? 24 A. It was filed with the court. 25 Q. Okay. So somewhere in the courthouse, the

| 1 | original goes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And that's something that the client would |
| 3 | keep? |
| 4 | A. Correct. This is what we would send to the |
| 5 | client to include with their files. |
| 6 | Q. When you filed the original with the court, |
| 7 | did anyone object while Simon was alive? |
| 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 2. |
| 10 | Do you recognize that document? |
| 11 | A. Yes. This is Shirley's trust agreement that |
| 12 | she executed in 2008. |
| 13 | Q. Now, does that document have copies of her |
| 14 | signature? |
| 15 | A. Yes. These are actual copies of the signing |
| 16 | parties and their signatures. |
| 17 | Q. And how many originals would have been created |
| 18 | of this document? |
| 19 | A. We always created three originals of the trust |
| 20 | agreements. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Now, if you turn to the next if you |
| 22 | turn to the last page, it says that Shirley put a dollar |
| 23 | into her trust when it was created. |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. And that's to make it a valid trust? |

| 1 | A. Yeah, I mean, it's not required today, but |
|----|--|
| 2 | it's pretty much just form to show a dollar. She had |
| 3 | certainly funded it more than that. |
| 4 | Q. And eventually Shirley put some assets into |
| 5 | the trust? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And if you go to the page before that, |
| 8 | page 27, it appears to be a signature page, correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Now, were you one of the witnesses to the |
| 11 | signature of Shirley Bernstein on Exhibit 2? |
| 12 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 13 | Q. And were you present with Shirley Bernstein |
| 14 | and the other witness, Traci Kratish, at the time of the |
| 15 | execution of the documents? |
| 16 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 17 | Q. And they're notarized by someone named |
| 18 | Kimberly Moran. |
| 19 | Does she work for your office? |
| 20 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 21 | Q. And through her involvement with your firm |
| 22 | and did she personally know Shirley and Traci |
| 23 | Kratish, as well as yourself? |
| 24 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 25 | Q. Now, at the same time that Shirley signed her |

| 1 | documents, did Simon sign a similar set of 2008 will and |
|----|--|
| 2 | trust, similar to the drafts that were sent in April? |
| 3 | A. Yes, he did. We were all sitting in the main |
| 4 | conference area in their offices together. |
| 5 | Q. In Simon's office or your office? |
| 6 | A. In Simon's offices. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. So why would someone from your office |
| 8 | come to Simon's office rather than rely on the notary |
| 9 | that they have there? |
| 10 | A. Because we wanted to accommodate Shirley and |
| 11 | Si in their offices and not have them travel. |
| 12 | Q. You personally went there. Did you personally |
| 13 | go through to make sure that the documents were signed |
| 14 | with all the formalities required under Florida law to |
| 15 | make them valid and enforceable? |
| 16 | A. Yes, we did. That's why we were there. |
| 17 | Q. And if Simon did not have a 2008 will |
| 18 | and sorry. |
| 19 | If Simon did not have a 2002 will and trust, |
| 20 | would it be your belief that the 2008 will and trust |
| 21 | would be valid? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Were they properly signed with all the same |
| 24 | testamentary formalities required by Florida law? |
| 25 | A. Yes, they were. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Did Shirley at some point amend her |
|----|---|
| 2 | trust agreement? |
| 3 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 4 | Q. And do you recall why she amended it? |
| 5 | A. She amended it to remove Matt Logan from the |
| 6 | document that she had included previously as a specific |
| 7 | device. |
| 8 | Q. Do you know why Matt was removed? |
| 9 | A. It's attorney-client privilege. |
| 10 | Does it matter? |
| 11 | Q. I'll withdraw the question. |
| 12 | Was Matthew removed at the direction of |
| 13 | Shirley? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. I'll withdraw |
| 16 | A. Yes. Yes. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Did Shirley sign a document that effectively |
| 18 | removed Matthew? |
| 19 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 20 | Q. Let me hand you Exhibit No. 3, and ask you if |
| 21 | you recognize that document? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 23 | Q. Now, was this document signed with the same |
| 24 | testamentary formalities as the 2008 trust? |
| 25 | A. Yes, it was. |

| 1 | MR. ROSE: We would move Exhibit 3 into |
|----|---|
| 2 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 4 | [No response.] |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 6 | Plaintiff's 3. |
| 7 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was received into |
| 8 | evidence.) |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. Now, if you look there's a paragraph 1 and |
| 11 | a paragraph 3, but no paragraph 2. |
| 12 | Do you know why that is? |
| 13 | A. It's just a mistake in drafting. |
| 14 | Q. And did you specifically discuss with Shirley, |
| 15 | whose privilege I technically would control my client |
| 16 | would control |
| 17 | Did you specifically discuss with Shirley the |
| 18 | fact that the effect of the first amendment would be to |
| 19 | remove the specific gift that she had made for Matthew |
| 20 | Logan? |
| 21 | A. Yes. Even prior to the signing of the |
| 22 | document. |
| 23 | Q. And is this the last relevant testamentary |
| 24 | document that Shirley ever signed that you're aware of? |
| 25 | A. Yes, it is. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Did you meet with Simon and Shirley in person |
|----|--|
| 2 | to talk about this amendment? |
| 3 | A. Si had called me and said that Shirley had a |
| 4 | change to her documents, and asked me to give her a call |
| 5 | and have lunch with her. I called her. We arranged for |
| 6 | a meeting in her house to execute the document. |
| 7 | Q. Now, you brought your you brought Kimberly |
| 8 | with you to get for convenience and to make sure the |
| 9 | documents were properly executed? |
| 10 | A. Correct. She had she had her personal |
| 11 | assistant that was there, Rachel Walker, to serve as |
| 12 | another witness. |
| 13 | Q. Just so I don't have to go back, what's the |
| 14 | date of the amendment? |
| 15 | A. November 18th, 2008. |
| 16 | Q. So now we five documents that exist; 2008, |
| 17 | will, trust, will, trust, and an amendment to Shirley's |
| 18 | trust. |
| 19 | Did you share any of those documents with any |
| 20 | of Simon and Shirley's children at that time? |
| 21 | A. No, we did not. |
| 22 | Q. Did any of the did any of the children play |
| 23 | any role in bringing Simon or Shirley to your offices? |
| 24 | A. Not that I'm aware, no. |
| 25 | Q. Did any of the children accompany them |
| | |

| 1 | to any time they came to visit you, did any of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | children come with them, drag them along? |
| 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | Q. So you prepared did you do some other |
| 5 | estate planning in addition to the 2008 testamentary |
| 6 | documents? |
| 7 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 8 | Q. Can you briefly describe some of the things |
| 9 | you did? |
| 10 | A. We had set up a Florida limited partnership. |
| 11 | We created a general partner entity for that |
| 12 | partnership, a limited liability company. |
| 13 | Q. What's the name of the Florida limited |
| 14 | partnership? |
| 15 | A. Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP. |
| 16 | Q. Was that an entity that was in existence or |
| 17 | was it created under your direction? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Can I stop you a second? Is this |
| 19 | going to help me figure out the validity of the |
| 20 | testamentary documents? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Only in the very narrowest sense. |
| 22 | I'm just trying to establish that they had a very |
| 23 | lengthy and extensive relationship, and they did a |
| 24 | lot of estate planning for Simon and Shirley. But |
| 25 | I'll be very brief. |

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, if that becomes relevant |
|----|--|
| 2 | later, perhaps you could come back to it. But I |
| 3 | don't see the relevance at this point, so I'll ask |
| 4 | you to move on. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. |
| 6 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 7 | Q. Now, was Simon concerned at all about asset |
| 8 | protection as part of some of the things you discussed? |
| 9 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 10 | Q. Now, we have did you have any discussion |
| 11 | with him about who was expected to live longer or if |
| 12 | either of them had health problems that you had any |
| 13 | knowledge of? |
| 14 | A. Si was not he was in good health, but he |
| 15 | had had some heart issues. And Shirley had had other |
| 16 | issues as well. And I think it early on, he didn't |
| 17 | know, but as the relationship went on, we kind of knew |
| 18 | that Shirley was sicker than him and would probably pass |
| 19 | first. |
| 20 | Q. So Shirley died it's in the public |
| 21 | record but December |
| 22 | A. 2010, yeah. |
| 23 | Q 8th. So Simon was her he survived her; |
| 24 | he becomes the sole beneficiary as far as tangible |
| 25 | personal property under her will? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, he does. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. The residuary goes into the Shirley Bernstein |
| 3 | Trust? |
| 4 | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. He's the sole successor trustee and the sole |
| 6 | beneficiary |
| 7 | A. Yes, he is. |
| 8 | Q during the term of his life? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. Now, was there a great deal of effort put into |
| 11 | inventorying the assets, things like that? |
| 12 | A. No, there wasn't. For purposes of opening up |
| 13 | Shirley's probate, we had asked Si to estimate the value |
| 14 | of, you know, her tangible personal property. And |
| 15 | that's what we included on the inventory that was filed |
| 16 | in the probate. |
| 17 | Q. Now, if I'm correct, 2010 was the year there |
| 18 | were no estate taxes at all? |
| 19 | A. No estate taxes. |
| 20 | Q. Simon's the sole beneficiary? |
| 21 | A. Sole beneficiary. Even if there were taxes, |
| 22 | there wouldn't have been any tax on the first death, |
| 23 | because everything went to Si, and there was a marital |
| 24 | deduction. |
| 25 | Q. While Simon was alive, did Ted have any access |

| 1 | to the documents, as far as you know? Did you ever send |
|----|--|
| 2 | the testamentary documents of Simon or Shirley to Ted? |
| 3 | A. No, we did not. |
| 4 | Q. Did Ted play any role in the administration of |
| 5 | the estate while Simon was alive? |
| 6 | A. No, he did not. |
| 7 | Q. Did any of the other children play any role in |
| 8 | the administration of the estate while Simon was alive? |
| 9 | A. No, they did not. |
| 10 | Q. Now, did you have to well, strike that. |
| 11 | Because it was only Simon, was it sort of the |
| 12 | decision by Simon, That I don't want to spend a lot of |
| 13 | time and money in this estate because it's just wasting |
| 14 | my own money? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And that's not unusual in a situation where |
| 17 | you have a surviving spouse that's the sole beneficiary? |
| 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Now, did there come a point in time when Pam, |
| 20 | who was not a named beneficiary of the Shirley's |
| 21 | documents, learned of the fact that she had been |
| 22 | excluded? |
| 23 | A. Yes, there was. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And did you get involved with |
| 25 | discussions with Pam or her lawyer? |
| | |

1 Α. She had hired an attorney, who had made a request to get a copy of her mother's documents. And I 2 3 called Si, spoke to Si about it, and he authorized me giving Pam those documents -- or her attorney those 4 documents. 5 Were they provided to any of the other 6 0. 7 children; that would be Ted or his brother, Eliot, or his two sisters, Lisa or Jill? 8 9 Α. No, they were not. 10 And did Simon Bernstein at some point decide Ο. to change his testamentary documents? 11 Yes, he did. 12 Α. Do you recall approximately when that 13 Ο. 14 happened? 15 Early 2012, he called and requested that we Α. 16 meet to go over his documents. I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked 17 Ο. 18 Exhibit 13, and ask you if you recognize those as your 19 own notes? 20 Α. Yes. These are my notes from that meeting in 21 2012. 2.2 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 13 into 23 evidence, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 [No response.]

| 1 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
|----|---|
| 2 | Plaintiff's 13 then. |
| 3 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was received into |
| 4 | evidence.) |
| 5 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 6 | Q. Now, during this meeting, did Simon discuss |
| 7 | the possibility of altering his estate plan? |
| 8 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. Did you also go over his current finances? |
| 10 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 11 | Q. Now, we've seen from 2007 that he had |
| 12 | disclosed about \$18 million. |
| 13 | As part of the meeting in February of 2012, he |
| 14 | gave you sort of a summary of where he stood at that |
| 15 | time? |
| 16 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 17 | Q. And what was the status of the Shirley |
| 18 | Bernstein probate administration in early 2012, about |
| 19 | 13 months after she passed away? |
| 20 | A. It was still not closed. |
| 21 | Q. Do you know why it was not closed? |
| 22 | A. I think that we were still waiting I'm not |
| 23 | sure that we were still waiting on waivers and |
| 24 | releases from the children to close the estate, to |
| 25 | qualify beneficiaries under the estate if Si were to |

| 1 | dia Wa had to get waiwarg and releaged from them |
|----|--|
| | die. We had to get waivers and releases from them. |
| 2 | Q. Standard operating procedure? |
| 3 | A. Standard operating procedure. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So Simon here, it says it says at |
| 5 | the top "SIPC receivable." |
| 6 | Do you know what that is? |
| 7 | A. Yes, I do. That was Si had made an |
| 8 | investment in a Stanford product that was purported to |
| 9 | be a CD; it was an offshore CD. And when the Stanford |
| 10 | debacle hit, I guess he filed a claim with SIPC to get |
| 11 | those monies back, because it was supposedly a cash |
| 12 | investment. |
| 13 | Q. And so he invested in a Ponzi scheme and lost |
| 14 | a bunch of money? |
| 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | Q. Some of the 18 million he had in 2007 he lost |
| 17 | in the next four and a half years in investing in a |
| 18 | Ponzi scheme? |
| 19 | A. That's correct. |
| 20 | Q. And then the maximum that the SIPC which is |
| 21 | like the FDIC for investments. |
| 22 | You're familiar with that, correct? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. The maximum is 500,000. |
| 25 | You don't actually necessarily recover |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | 500,000? You have a receivable, right? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Do you know how much he actually realized from |
| 4 | the SIPC? |
| 5 | A. I believe he never received anything. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And then it said, LIC receivable, |
| 7 | \$100,000. |
| 8 | Am I reading that correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. And LIC was the company he was involved, with |
| 11 | others? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. So I put here 600 that he put, but the |
| 14 | 600 is really probably closer to 100 if you didn't get |
| 15 | the SIPC money? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | Q. So I'm going to just put a little star here |
| 18 | and put it's really 100,000, and sort that out. |
| 19 | So then he says he has Si's estate, this |
| 20 | would be his personal assets. He's got an interest in |
| 21 | the LLLP. |
| 22 | That is not relevant to discuss how it was |
| 23 | formed, but there was an LLLP that was owned, some by |
| 24 | Si's trust, some by Shirley's trust? |
| 25 | A. Correct. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. And at the time, he thought the value was |
|----|---|
| 2 | 1,150,000 for his share? |
| 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object, Your Honor? |
| 5 | THE COURT: What's the objection? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Relevance. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. And then he had an IRA that says 750,000. |
| 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | Q. And those two things totaled 1,550,000? |
| 13 | A. No. They totaled one million nine. Right? |
| 14 | Q. Okay. You're right. |
| 15 | You wrote next to it "estate tax." |
| 16 | What does that mean, on the side next to it? |
| 17 | A. I think what I had done was offset the value |
| 18 | of the assets in his estate by the loans that were |
| 19 | outstanding at the time. |
| 20 | Q. And it shows a million seven in loans? |
| 21 | A. A million seven in loans. |
| 22 | Q. So we had loans back in 2008 I'm sorry. |
| 23 | November of 2007 time period or 2008, which were |
| 24 | only so we have loans now, you said, a million seven? |
| 25 | A. Well, he had a \$1.2 million loan with |
| | |

| 1 | JP Morgan that was collateralized with the assets of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | LLLP. |
| 3 | Q. And then you list just to speed up, then |
| 4 | you have underneath that, it says Shirley's asset was |
| 5 | empty, right? Because whatever was in had gone to |
| 6 | Simon? |
| 7 | A. Yeah, her estate had nothing in it. |
| 8 | Q. She had a Bentley, I think, when she died. |
| 9 | Do you know what happened to the Bentley? |
| 10 | A. I wasn't aware that she had a Bentley. |
| 11 | Q. Did you come to learn that she had a Bentley |
| 12 | and Simon gave it to his girlfriend, and she traded it |
| 13 | in at the dealership and got a Range Rover? |
| 14 | A. Much, much, much later on |
| 15 | Q. But you know |
| 16 | A after Si's death. |
| 17 | Q. But you know that to be the case? |
| 18 | A. I wasn't aware that it was traded for the |
| 19 | Range Rover. I thought he bought her the Range Rover. |
| 20 | I didn't realize he used a Bentley to do it. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Somehow you know the Bentley became |
| 22 | something for Maritza? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. That's the name of his girlfriend? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Then it says, in Shirley's trust, |
|----|--|
| 2 | condo, one million I'm sorry. I should go to the |
| 3 | next column. It says "FMV." |
| 4 | That would be shorthand for Fair Market Value? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. So condo, 2 million, which is here; house, |
| 7 | 3 million; half of the LLLP, which is Shirley's half |
| 8 | after I assume, after the deduction of the loan, was |
| 9 | 800,000? |
| 10 | A. Um-hum. |
| 11 | Q. Then it says "LIC." That's the company Life |
| 12 | Insurance Concepts that Mr that Simon, his son Ted, |
| 13 | and a gentleman named Bill Stansbury had formally been |
| 14 | involved, another attorney, shares by then. Because |
| 15 | we're in February of 2012. |
| 16 | But, in any event, that's Simon's company? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And he told you in 2007 it was worth |
| 19 | Mr. Tescher's notes, like his interest was worth |
| 20 | 5 million. |
| 21 | What did he tell you it was worth in 2012? |
| 22 | A. Zero. |
| 23 | Q. Then underneath that I put zero here, so |
| 24 | zero today. |
| 25 | So his net worth and then there was a home |
| | |

| 1 | that he owned for that Eliot lives in, right? He |
|----|---|
| 2 | didn't really own it, but he controlled it, Simon? |
| 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you set up the entity that owned |
| 5 | the home? |
| 6 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 7 | Q. Just to save time, there's an entity called |
| 8 | Bernstein Family Realty that owns the house. |
| 9 | Simon controlled that entity while he was |
| 10 | alive? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. And his estate holds a mortgage on the house |
| 13 | for 365,000? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. So there's some interest there. |
| 16 | He didn't put it on his sheet when he talked |
| 17 | to you, but that still would have existed in some form, |
| 18 | right? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And it still exists to this day. |
| 21 | We don't know the value of it, but there still |
| 22 | is a mortgage, right? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. But either way, the point of this whole |
| 25 | story is, his net worth went down significantly between |
| | |

| 1 | 2007 and 2012? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it did. |
| 3 | Q. And in your world, that's not uncommon, with |
| 4 | the stock market crash, the depression, things like |
| 5 | that, that a lot of clients with high net worth would |
| 6 | have suffered losses during that time? |
| 7 | A. Many, many of them did. And even the values |
| 8 | that are on this sheet were not the real values. |
| 9 | Q. We know that the |
| 10 | A. Clients have a tendency to overstate their net |
| 11 | worth. |
| 12 | Q. All right. And we know the Ocean Drive house |
| 13 | sold for about a million four? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. And the Court there's an order that |
| 16 | approved the sale, the gross sale price of a million one |
| 17 | for St. Andrews? |
| 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So that's still that's less than |
| 20 | half, even then, Simon thought he would get. |
| 21 | Now, if you look at the bottom of the |
| 22 | Exhibit No. 13, it says a word, begins with an "I." I |
| 23 | can't really read it. |
| 24 | Can you read that? |
| 25 | A. Insurance. |

| 1 | Q. Well, did you have some discussions with Simon |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | about his insurance? |
| 3 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 4 | Q. In fact, I think Mr. Spallina, we talked |
| 5 | about he had I'm sorry. |
| 6 | Mr. Tescher's notes had a \$2 million life |
| 7 | insurance? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Is this the same life insurance? |
| 10 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 11 | Q. And was there a discussion about I guess it |
| 12 | says 1 million |
| 13 | That's one million seven-fifty? |
| 14 | A. A million 75 yeah, one million seven-fifty |
| 15 | was the value of the policy. |
| 16 | Q. And the death benefit was a million six? |
| 17 | A. Million six. There was a small loan or |
| 18 | something against the policy. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And then it says "Maritza." |
| 20 | What was Maritza down there for? |
| 21 | A. Si was considering changing the purpose of |
| 22 | the meeting was to meet, discuss his assets. And he |
| 23 | was, you know, having a lot of, I guess, internal he |
| 24 | had received another letter from his daughter he |
| 25 | asked me to read the letter from Pam that she still |

| 1 | was not happy about the fact that she had been |
|----|--|
| 2 | disinherited under her mother's documents if the assets |
| 3 | were to pass under the documents and he didn't exercise |
| 4 | his power of appointment. And this meeting was to kind |
| 5 | of figure out a way, with the assets that he had, to |
| 6 | take care of everybody; the grandchildren, the children, |
| 7 | and Maritza. |
| 8 | And so he thought maybe that he would change |
| 9 | the beneficiary designation on his life insurance to |
| 10 | include her. And we had talked about providing for her, |
| 11 | depending on an amount an increasing scale, |
| 12 | depending on the number of years that he was with her. |
| 13 | Q. So if you look at the bottom, it says 0 to |
| 14 | 2 years, 250. |
| 15 | Is that what you're referring to? |
| 16 | A. Yes. Two to four years, 500,000. And then |
| 17 | anything over plus-four years would be I think that's |
| 18 | 600,000. |
| 19 | Q. Now, during this discussion, was Simon |
| 20 | mentally sharp and aware of what was going on? |
| 21 | A. Oh, yeah. Yeah, he was he was the same |
| 22 | Simon. He was just you know, he was struggling with |
| 23 | his estate now. He was getting he felt I guess he |
| 24 | was getting pulled. He had a girlfriend that wanted |
| 25 | something. He had his daughter who, you know, felt like |
| | |

1 she had been slighted. And he wanted to try to make 2 good by everybody. 3 Q. And at that point in time, other than the house that he had bought that Eliot lived in, were you 4 aware that he was supporting Eliot with a very 5 significant amount of money each year? 6 7 Α. I was not. MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevance. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. 9 10 BY MR. ROSE: 11 Okay. So that's February. Ο. 12 Α. Yes. What happens next in relation to Simon coming 13 Ο. 14 in to meet with you to talk about changing his 15 documents? 16 Α. He had called me on the phone and he -- we talked again about, you know, him changing his 17 18 documents. He had been thinking about giving his estate 19 and Shirley's estate to his grandchildren. And at the 20 February meeting, I did not think it was a great idea 21 for him to include his girlfriend, Maritza, as a 2.2 beneficiary of the life insurance policy. 23 Q. He took your advice? He didn't change that, as far as you know? 24 25 Α. He did not.

| 1 | Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Continue. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. He did not. |
| 3 | I had suggested that he provide for her in |
| 4 | other ways; a joint account that would pass to her at |
| 5 | his death, but not to mix her in with his family in |
| 6 | their dispositive documents. And he ultimately took |
| 7 | that advice and decided that he wanted to give his |
| 8 | estate to his ten grandchildren, and that the policy |
| 9 | which I had never seen a copy of the policy, but, you |
| 10 | know he had had. And I knew that he was paying for |
| 11 | it, because it almost lapsed, or did lapse at one |
| 12 | point, and it got reinstated that that policy was to |
| 13 | pass to an insurance trust that named his five children |
| 14 | as beneficiaries. |
| 15 | Q. And that's something Simon specifically |
| 16 | discussed with you when you were going over his estate |
| 17 | planning in 2012? |
| 18 | A. Correct or something that we had known |
| 19 | about before that meeting. But he was at the |
| 20 | meeting, he was starting to talk about doing a change to |
| 21 | the beneficiary designation to include Maritza, and I |
| 22 | wanted to talk him out of that. |
| 23 | Q. And at some point, he made a decision to |
| 24 | actually change his documents, correct? |
| 25 | A. He did. He did. |

1 Q. And did he direct you to set up any kind of a communication with his children? 2 3 Α. Yes. He said, I want you to get -- put together a conference call with me and you and my five 4 children so I can talk to them about what I want to do 5 with my estate and Shirley's estate. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. This would be a good time for us to take a pause for a morning break. 8 We'll be in session again in 10 minutes. 9 10 As far as time use goes, so far Plaintiff's side has used 60 minutes. So you have 90 remaining 11 12 in your portion of the day. And that's where we 13 stand. MR. ROSE: We'll be well within our time, sir. 14 15 THE COURT: Great. Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Is ten 16 17 minutes enough time for everybody? That's what it'll be then. 18 19 (A break was taken.) 20 THE COURT: We're ready to proceed. Please 21 continue. 2.2 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. ROSE: I think we were when Shirley died in December 24 Ο. 25 of 2010, and you meet with Si, according to

| 1 | Plaintiff's 13, on February 1st of 2012. |
|----|---|
| 2 | I think by May of 2012 was when this |
| 3 | conference call that you mentioned was? |
| 4 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. And did the five children attend the |
| 6 | conference call? |
| 7 | A. Yes, they all did. |
| 8 | Q. Were you present on the call? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 10 | Q. Was Simon present? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 12 | Q. Where was Simon physically during the call? |
| 13 | A. His office I believe his office. |
| 14 | Q. Were you in the same room as Simon? |
| 15 | A. No, I was not. |
| 16 | Q. You were in your office? |
| 17 | A. I was in my office. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. Generally, what was discussed during |
| 19 | this conference call? |
| 20 | A. Simon wanted to talk to his children about |
| 21 | providing for his estate and his wife's estate to go to |
| 22 | the ten grandchildren; wanted to have a discussion with |
| 23 | his children and see what they thought about that. |
| 24 | Q. And was he asking them for their approval or |
| 25 | permission or |

| 1 | A. Well, I think he wanted to see what they all |
|----|--|
| 2 | thought, you know, based on things that had happened in |
| 3 | the past and documents that had been created in the |
| 4 | past. And I don't know that it was going to sway his |
| 5 | opinion, but when he told me, you know, to you know, |
| 6 | to have the conference call, to contact his he said, |
| 7 | This is what I'm going to do, so |
| 8 | Q. During the call, did Simon ask his children if |
| 9 | anybody had an objection to him leaving his and |
| 10 | Shirley's wealth to the ten grandchildren? |
| 11 | A. Yes. He asked what everybody thought. |
| 12 | Q. Did Eliot respond? |
| 13 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 14 | Q. What did he say? |
| 15 | A. I'm paraphrasing, but he said something to the |
| 16 | effect of, Dad, you know, whatever you want to do, |
| 17 | whatever makes you happy, that's what's important. |
| 18 | Q. Did you also discuss during that call the need |
| 19 | to close Shirley's estate? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. We had told Si that we needed to |
| 21 | get back the waivers of accounting, the releases, and we |
| 22 | asked he asked them to get those back to us as soon |
| 23 | as possible. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. If I hand you Exhibit 14, it appears to |
| 25 | be an email from Eliot Bernstein to you addressing the |
| | |

| 1 | waiver that he needed to sign? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 14 into evidence. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 5 | [No response.] |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence |
| 7 | then as Plaintiff's 14. |
| 8 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was received into |
| 9 | evidence.) |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: As a matter of housekeeping, Your |
| 11 | Honor, I think I might have failed to move in |
| 12 | Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's 2008 trust |
| 13 | agreement, which I would move, to the extent it's |
| 14 | not in evidence, 1, 2 and 3, which are the |
| 15 | operative documents Mr. Spallina's already |
| 16 | testified about. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What was that? I'm sorry. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Is there any objection to |
| 20 | Plaintiff's 1, which is the will of Shirley |
| 21 | Bernstein, Plaintiff's 2, which is the Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein Trust Agreement, and Plaintiff's 3, which |
| 23 | is the First Amendment to the Shirley Bernstein |
| 24 | Trust Agreement? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |

| 1 | THE COURT: All right. Those are all in |
|----|---|
| 2 | evidence then as Plaintiff's 1, 2 and 3. |
| 3 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was received into |
| 4 | evidence.) |
| 5 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 6 | Q. Okay. This email is dated May May 17, |
| 7 | 2012, from Eliot, correct? |
| 8 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 9 | Q. This would have been after the conference |
| 10 | call? |
| 11 | A. This, I believe, was after the conference |
| 12 | call, yep. |
| 13 | Q. And he says he's attached the waiver |
| 14 | accounting and portions of petition for discharge, |
| 15 | waiver of service for a petition for discharge, and |
| 16 | receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge that he |
| 17 | had signed. |
| 18 | Did you receive those from Eliot? |
| 19 | A. Yes, I did. We received that was the first |
| 20 | waivers that we received. |
| 21 | Q. Then it says "as I mentioned in the phone |
| 22 | call." |
| 23 | Did you have any separate phone calls with |
| 24 | Eliot Bernstein, you and he, or is he referring to the |
| 25 | conference call? |

| 1 | A. I think he's referring to the conference call. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Okay. I have not yet "I have not seen any |
| 3 | of the underlying estate documents or my mother's will |
| 4 | at this point, yet I signed this document after our |
| 5 | family call so that my father can be released of his |
| 6 | duties as personal representative and put whatever |
| 7 | matters that were causing him stress to rest." |
| 8 | Do you see that? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 10 | Q. Now, while Simon was alive, did you ever get |
| 11 | authorization to share the testamentary documents with |
| 12 | Eliot Bernstein? |
| 13 | A. I did not. |
| 14 | Q. Now, after the call and after the discussion |
| 15 | with the siblings, did you prepare a draft of of new |
| 16 | documents for Simon? |
| 17 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 18 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 15; ask if |
| 19 | that's a letter that you sent to Simon Bernstein |
| 20 | enclosing some new drafts? |
| 21 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 22 | Q. Now, what's the date of that? |
| 23 | A. May 24th, 2012. |
| 24 | Q. And what's what is the summary well, |
| 25 | strike that. |

| 1 | You sent this letter to Simon Bernstein? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 3 | Q. By FedEx to his home? |
| 4 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 15 in |
| 6 | evidence. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 8 | [No response.] |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 10 | Plaintiff's 15. |
| 11 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was received into |
| 12 | evidence.) |
| 13 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So then first page says, "Dear Si, we |
| 15 | have prepared drafts of a new will and an amended and |
| 16 | restated trust agreement." |
| 17 | Are those the 2012 documents that were his |
| 18 | final ones? |
| 19 | A. Yes, they are. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Then you sort of do the same thing you |
| 21 | did in 2008; you give a little summary of what the |
| 22 | estate plan is. |
| 23 | "Your amended and restated trust provides that |
| 24 | on your death, your assets will be divided among and |
| 25 | held in separate trusts for your then living |
| | |

| 1 | grandchildren," correct? I was reading paragraph the |
|----|---|
| 2 | middle paragraph. |
| 3 | A. Yes, I see that. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. I actually skipped the part above, which is |
| 5 | probably more important, which says in the middle of |
| 6 | the first paragraph, it says, "In addition, you have |
| 7 | exercised the special power of appointment granted to |
| 8 | you under Shirley's trust agreement in favor of your |
| 9 | grandchildren who survive you." |
| 10 | Do you see that? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. And so that was Simon's intent as |
| 13 | discussed on the conference call? |
| 14 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 15 | Q. Do you know if you made any changes to these |
| 16 | draft documents from May 24th until the day they were |
| 17 | signed? |
| 18 | A. I don't believe so. If I did, it was for |
| 19 | grammar or something else. The dispositive plan that |
| 20 | was laid out in this memo was ultimately the subject of |
| 21 | the documents that he executed in July. |
| 22 | Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, which is a |
| 23 | durable power of attorney. |
| 24 | If you flip to Exhibit 16, the last page, does |
| 25 | it bear a signature of Simon Bernstein? |

Γ

| 1 | A. | Yes, it does. |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2 | Q. | And it indicates you were a witness to the |
| 3 | signature | ? |
| 4 | Α. | Yes. |
| 5 | Q. | Along with Kimberly Moran, who is someone from |
| 6 | your offi | ce? |
| 7 | A. | Correct. |
| 8 | Q. | And someone named Lindsay Baxley notarized the |
| 9 | documents | ? |
| 10 | Α. | Yes, she did. |
| 11 | Q. | Do you know who Lindsay Baxley was? |
| 12 | Α. | Lindsay Baxley worked in Ted and Si's office. |
| 13 | Q. | She was like a secretary? |
| 14 | Α. | Assistant to Ted, I believe, maybe. |
| 15 | Q. | Okay. And if you look at |
| 16 | | MR. ROSE: Well, first of all, I'll move |
| 17 | Exhi | bit 16 into evidence. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 19 | | [No response.] |
| 20 | | THE COURT: No objection made, then I'll |
| 21 | rece | ive this as Plaintiff's 16. |
| 22 | | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was received into |
| 23 | evidence. |) |
| 24 | BY MR. RO | SE: |
| 25 | Q. | If you look at the last page where the notary |

| 1 | block is there, it says "personally known" with an |
|----|--|
| 2 | underline, or "produced identification" with an |
| 3 | underline. And she's checked the box "personally |
| 4 | known" or she's checked the line. |
| 5 | Do you see that? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. So do you believe that did you know Lindsay |
| 8 | Baxley by that point in time? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 10 | Q. And you believe she obviously knew Simon, |
| 11 | she knew Kim Moran from other dealings between your |
| 12 | offices? |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And did you all sign this durable power |
| 15 | of attorney with testamentary formalities? |
| 16 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 17 | Q. And what's the date of that? |
| 18 | A. July 25, 2012. |
| 19 | Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit 4, and ask |
| 20 | you if you recognize Exhibit 4? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And what is Exhibit 4? |
| 23 | A. This is Si's new will that he executed in |
| 24 | 2012, on July 25th, the same day as that durable power |
| 25 | of attorney. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Now, were you present when Simon executed his |
|----|---|
| 2 | new will, which is Exhibit 4? |
| 3 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 4 | Q. If you turn to the last page |
| 5 | Well, actually, if you turn to the first page, |
| 6 | does it say "copy" and bear a clerk's stamp? |
| 7 | A. It does. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I would represent to the Court that |
| 10 | I went to the clerk's office unlike with |
| 11 | Shirley's will, I went to the clerk's office and |
| 12 | obtained a like, a copy made by the clerk of the |
| 13 | document itself, rather than have the typewritten |
| 14 | conformed copy. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object to that? |
| 16 | THE COURT: What's the objection? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Is he making a statement? I'm |
| 18 | not sure |
| 19 | THE COURT: You're asking me a question. I |
| 20 | don't know. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm objecting. Is that a |
| 22 | statement? |
| 23 | THE COURT: The objection is? What are you |
| 24 | objecting to? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: With the statement being |

| 1 | from |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. That was a statement by |
| 3 | somebody who's not a sworn witness, so I'll sustain |
| 4 | the objection. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And the chain of custody of |
| 6 | the document, I'm just trying to clarify that. |
| 7 | Okay. |
| 8 | THE COURT: The objection was to the |
| 9 | statement. I've sustained the objection. |
| 10 | Next question, please. |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. Unlike the trust, how many originals of a will |
| 13 | do you have the client sign? |
| 14 | A. There's only one. |
| 15 | Q. And then you give the client the one with the |
| 16 | typewritten you call it conformed copy? |
| 17 | A. We conform the copy of the will. |
| 18 | Q. And after Simon died, was your law firm |
| 19 | counsel for the personal representative of the Estate of |
| 20 | Simon Bernstein? |
| 21 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 22 | Q. Did you file the original will with the court? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Is it your belief that the original of this |
| 25 | document is somewhere in the Palm Beach County Court |

| 1 | system with the clerk's office? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: I'd move Exhibit 4 in evidence, |
| 4 | Your Honor. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 6 | [No response.] |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection stated, I'll |
| 8 | receive this as Plaintiff's 4. |
| 9 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was received into |
| 10 | evidence.) |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. Now, if you turn to the next to the last page |
| 13 | of Exhibit |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q Exhibit 4, you'll see it bears a signature |
| 16 | of Simon Bernstein and two witnesses, yourself and |
| 17 | Kimberly Moran, who all assert that you signed in the |
| 18 | presence of each other? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And then in the next page, it has what would |
| 21 | be a self-proving affidavit? |
| 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. Now, if you look at the signature block where |
| 24 | the notary signed, where it says "who is personally |
| 25 | known to me," it doesn't seem to have a check box there. |
| | |

| 1 | It just says "who is personally known to me or who has |
|----|--|
| 2 | produced [blank] as identification, " right? |
| 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | Q. Is this the same person who notarized the |
| 5 | exhibit we just put in evidence, Exhibit 15, the durable |
| 6 | power of attorney 16, the durable power of attorney? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. And again, with regard to |
| 9 | Exhibit 4 strike that. |
| 10 | Do you recall where you signed Exhibit 4? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. In whose office? |
| 13 | A. This was also done in Si's office. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. So you took you went personally |
| 15 | again, along with Kim Moran, as your practice, to make |
| 16 | sure that the documents were signed properly; true? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And that's important because, if the documents |
| 19 | aren't properly signed, they might not be valid and |
| 20 | enforceable? |
| 21 | A. That's correct. |
| 22 | Q. And I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5. This is |
| 23 | the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust |
| 24 | Agreement. |
| 25 | Was that signed the same day, at the same |
| | |

| 1 | time, with the same procedures? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 3 | Q. And would this have been signed with three |
| 4 | originals? |
| 5 | A. Yes, it would be. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 5 into |
| 7 | evidence, Your Honor. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 9 | [No response.] |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 11 | Plaintiff's 5. |
| 12 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was received into |
| 13 | evidence.) |
| 14 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 15 | Q. Now, we looked at the history when you did the |
| 16 | first set of documents. In the second set, you started |
| 17 | in February through July. |
| 18 | Did you have a number of telephone conferences |
| 19 | with Simon during that time? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 21 | Q. And at least a couple of face-to-face |
| 22 | meetings? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Did at any time Simon give you any indication |
| 25 | that he was not fully mentally sharp and aware and |
| | |

| 1 | acting of his own volition? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Nope. He was Si that we had known since 2007. |
| 3 | Q. I'll close with Exhibit 17. This is a letter |
| 4 | you sent to Simon Bernstein, enclosing a copy of his |
| 5 | conformed will for him. |
| 6 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 7 | Q. And it's dated the 26th, the day after he |
| 8 | signed the documents? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. And did you also leave him with two of the |
| 11 | originals of his trust? |
| 12 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: I move did I move 17 in? Or I |
| 14 | will move it in. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Number 7, is it? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Seventeen, sir. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 18 | Any objection? |
| 19 | [No response.] |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Then that's in |
| 21 | evidence as Plaintiff's 17. |
| 22 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was received into |
| 23 | evidence.) |
| 24 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 25 | Q. Now, Simon passed away on September 13, 2012. |

| 1 | Does that sound right? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 3 | Q. I have Exhibit 18 as his death certificate. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: I'll just move 18 into evidence. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 6 | [No response.] |
| 7 | THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as |
| 8 | Plaintiff's 18. |
| 9 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was received into |
| 10 | evidence.) |
| 11 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 12 | Q. So that's the death certificate for Simon |
| 13 | Bernstein. |
| 14 | Did you have any further discussions or |
| 15 | meetings with Simon after he signed the will and trust |
| 16 | in 2012 and before he died? |
| 17 | A. Not that I recall, no. |
| 18 | Q. And you filed a notice of administration, |
| 19 | opened an asset, published it in the Palm Beach Daily |
| 20 | Review, did what you had to do? |
| 21 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 22 | Q. And you and Mr. Tescher were the personal |
| 23 | representatives of the estate? |
| 24 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 25 | Q. And you and Mr. Tescher became the successor |
| | |

| 1 | trustees of Simon's amended trust after he passed away? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 3 | Q. I guess while he was still alive, he was still |
| 4 | the sole trustee of his trust, which was revocable |
| 5 | still? |
| 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | Q. And then upon his death, at some point, did |
| 8 | Ted Bernstein become aware that he was going to become |
| 9 | the successor trustee to the Shirley trust? |
| 10 | A. Yes. We had a meeting with Ted. |
| 11 | Q. And that was the first time he learned about |
| 12 | the contents of her trust, as far as you know? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. Initially, did anybody object to the documents |
| 15 | or the fact that the beneficiaries were supposed to be |
| 16 | the 10 grandchildren? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. When was there first some kind of an objection |
| 19 | or a complaint? |
| 20 | A. I can't recall exactly when it happened. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Did you at some point get a letter from |
| 22 | a lawyer at the Tripp Scott firm? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. I think she was asking you about |
| 25 | something called the status of something called I View |

Γ

| 1 | It Company? Do you recall that? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Vaguely. |
| 3 | Q. Did you know what the Iviewit company was |
| 4 | before you received a letter from the Tripp Scott |
| 5 | lawyer? |
| 6 | A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. I know today. I |
| 7 | can't tell if I'm answering because I know about it |
| 8 | today or if I knew about it at that time. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And did was she asking for some |
| 10 | documents from you? |
| 11 | A. Is this Ms. Yates? |
| 12 | Q. Yes. |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. And did you provide her with certain |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | A. She had asked for copies of all of Shirley's |
| 17 | and Si's estate planning documents. |
| 18 | Q. And did you provide her with all of the |
| 19 | documents? |
| 20 | A. Yes, we did. |
| 21 | Q. Was one of the documents that you provided her |
| 22 | not an accurate copy of what Shirley had executed during |
| 23 | her lifetime? |
| 24 | A. That is true. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And I guess I'll hand you Exhibit 6, |
| | |

| 1 | and this is Exhibit 6 a document that is not a |
|----|--|
| 2 | genuine and valid testamentary document of Shirley |
| 3 | Bernstein? |
| 4 | A. That's correct. |
| 5 | Q. Can you explain to the Court why Exhibit 6 was |
| 6 | prepared and the circumstances? |
| 7 | A. It was prepared to carry out the intent of |
| 8 | Mr. Bernstein in the meeting that he had had with his |
| 9 | five children, and perhaps a vague or a layman a |
| 10 | layman can make a mistake reading Shirley's documents |
| 11 | and not understand who the intended beneficiaries were |
| 12 | or what powers I had. So this document was created. |
| 13 | Q. Is it your belief that under the terms of |
| 14 | Shirley's document from the ones she actually signed, |
| 15 | that Simon had the power to appoint the funds to the ten |
| 16 | grandchildren? |
| 17 | A. Yes. We we prepared the documents that |
| 18 | way, and our planning transmittal letter to him |
| 19 | reflected that. |
| 20 | Q. And this document is, I think you said, to |
| 21 | explain it to a layperson in simpler fashion? |
| 22 | A. It was created so that the person that, you |
| 23 | know, didn't read estate planning documents and prepare |
| 24 | estate planning documents for a living you know, |
| 25 | there was no intent to cut out Pam and Ted's children, |
| | |

| 1 | basically. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Now, did you ever file this exhibit in the |
| 3 | courthouse? |
| 4 | A. No, we did not. |
| 5 | Q. Did you ever use it for any purpose? |
| 6 | A. No, we did not. |
| 7 | Q. Was it at one point provided to Eliot's |
| 8 | counsel? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 10 | Q. Now, the fact putting aside this document, |
| 11 | were any of the other documents that we're talking about |
| 12 | in any way altered or changed from the ones that were |
| 13 | signed by Shirley or Simon? |
| 14 | A. No, they were not. |
| 15 | Q. Now, after these issues came to light, did |
| 16 | Mr. Eliot Bernstein begin to attack you through the |
| 17 | internet and through blogging and things like that? |
| 18 | A. He was doing that long before this document |
| 19 | came to light. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. What was Eliot doing? |
| 21 | A. His first thing that he did was with |
| 22 | respect to the courts, was to file an emergency petition |
| 23 | to freeze assets and after his brother as successor |
| 24 | trustee of his mother's trust had sold the condo. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object to |
| | |

| 1 | this line of questioning for relevance to validity? |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | THE COURT: What's the line of questioning |
| 3 | you're talking about? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The slander defamation going |
| 5 | on about me with, you know, what I do and |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, I wasn't aware there's a |
| 7 | line of questioning going on. There is a question. |
| 8 | You've objected to it. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the objection to that |
| 11 | question? |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: The relevancy to a validity |
| 13 | hearing. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the court |
| 15 | reporter read the question back? |
| 16 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 17 | reporter.) |
| 18 | THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether |
| 19 | this guy's posting on Facebook that's negative or |
| 20 | not? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Well, a couple of things, but, |
| 22 | primarily, we're just trying to determine whether |
| 23 | these documents are valid. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: And he is the only one who's saying |
| | |

| 1 | they're not valid, so I want to give some |
|----|--|
| 2 | explanation as to why he's saying they're not |
| 3 | valid, as opposed to |
| 4 | THE COURT: I don't care why he's saying |
| 5 | they're valid or invalid. I'll wait to see what |
| 6 | the facts are. So I'll sustain the objection. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: That's fine. |
| 8 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 9 | Q. Did Simon Bernstein make any special |
| 10 | arrangements, other than strike that. |
| 11 | Did Simon or Shirley make any special |
| 12 | arrangements, other than the testamentary documents that |
| 13 | are admitted into evidence, for special benefits for |
| 14 | Eliot Bernstein and his family? |
| 15 | A. No, they did not. |
| 16 | Q. Any special education trusts, other than |
| 17 | the these five documents? And I believe there was |
| 18 | some shares of stock that were put in trust for all ten |
| 19 | grandchildren, right? |
| 20 | A. There was no special arrangements made other |
| 21 | than the estate planning documents. |
| 22 | Q. After Simon died, did Eliot claim to you that |
| 23 | Simon was supposed to have made some special |
| 24 | arrangements for him? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevancy again. |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes, he did. |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. Did he ever give you an indication how much |
| 5 | money he thought he was going to inherent when his |
| 6 | father died, or his children would inherent when his |
| 7 | father died? |
| 8 | A. Through his subsequent attorney, yes, he did. |
| 9 | Q. And how much money did he indicate he thought |
| 10 | there should be? |
| 11 | A. I heard a number from one of his attorneys of |
| 12 | 40- to a \$100 million. |
| 13 | Q. Are you aware of any assets that Simon |
| 14 | Bernstein had other than what he disclosed to you at the |
| 15 | two times that we've looked at in 2007 and again in |
| 16 | February of 2012? |
| 17 | A. No, I am not. |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: No further questions, Your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 20 | Is there any cross? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 22 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, I have questions as |
| 23 | well. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, let me have the |
| 25 | direct finished. That way, all the |

| 1 | cross-examination can take place without |
|----|--|
| 2 | interruption. So everybody make sure you're |
| 3 | fitting within the Plaintiff's side of the room's |
| 4 | time limitations. We'll strictly obey those. |
| 5 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 6 | BY MR. MORRISSEY: |
| 7 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Spallina. My name's John |
| 8 | Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren |
| 9 | of Simon Bernstein. |
| 10 | And since we're here today about validity, I'm |
| 11 | just going to go over, and try to be very brief, |
| 12 | concerning the execution of these documents and your |
| 13 | knowledge about the execution. |
| 14 | Exhibit 1, which has been entered as the will |
| 15 | of Shirley Bernstein, I'd ask you to direct your |
| 16 | attention to that document. And I'm looking here at |
| 17 | page 7. I ask that you turn to page 7 of Exhibit 1. |
| 18 | Were you a witness of this document, this will |
| 19 | that was executed by Shirley Bernstein on May 20th of |
| 20 | 2008? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I was. |
| 22 | Q. And was Diana Banks the other witness? |
| 23 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 24 | Q. And did you and Diana witness Mrs. Bernstein's |
| 25 | execution of this document? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, we did. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. You were present during her execution? |
| 3 | A. Yes, we were. |
| 4 | Q. And was she present during your execution of |
| 5 | this document as a witness? |
| 6 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 7 | Q. And was she, Shirley Bernstein, present during |
| 8 | Diana Banks' execution of this document? |
| 9 | A. Yes, she was. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And I'm again focused on this |
| 11 | Exhibit No. 1, this will of Shirley Bernstein dated |
| 12 | May 20th of 2008. |
| 13 | Is it your opinion that at the time Shirley |
| 14 | Bernstein executed this document she understood |
| 15 | generally the nature and extent of her property? |
| 16 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
| 18 | executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general understanding |
| 19 | of those who would be the natural objects of her bounty? |
| 20 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And at the time she Shirley |
| 22 | Bernstein executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general |
| 23 | understanding of the practical effect of this will? |
| 24 | A. I believe she did. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And in your opinion, was Shirley |

| 1 | Bernstein unduly influenced by any beneficiary of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Exhibit 1 in connection with its execution? |
| 3 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any |
| 5 | beneficiary or anyone actively procuring Exhibit 1? |
| 6 | A. No, I do not. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 2, which is |
| 8 | Shirley Bernstein's trust executed on the same date, |
| 9 | that is May 20th of 2008, I'll direct your attention to |
| 10 | page 27 of Exhibit No. 2. And it appears that Shirley |
| 11 | Bernstein executed that document on May 20th of 2008. |
| 12 | And the witnesses were yourself and Traci I can't |
| 13 | read her last name. |
| 14 | A. Traci Kratish. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Did Shirley Bernstein execute |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 2 in the presence of both you and Traci |
| 17 | Kratish? |
| 18 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 2 in |
| 20 | the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Traci Kratish? |
| 21 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And did Traci Kratish execute |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 2 in your presence and Shirley Bernstein's |
| 24 | presence? |
| 25 | A. Yes, she did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
|----|--|
| 2 | executed Exhibit No. 2, which is her 2008 trust, is it |
| 3 | your opinion that she had a general understanding of the |
| 4 | nature and extent of her property? |
| 5 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And at the time that Shirley Bernstein |
| 7 | executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she |
| 8 | understood generally the relationship of those who |
| 9 | would were the natural objects of her bounty? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein |
| 12 | executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she |
| 13 | generally understood the practical effect of this |
| 14 | document? |
| 15 | A. I believe she did. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And did you have any belief that |
| 17 | Shirley Bernstein was unduly influenced in connection |
| 18 | with by any beneficiary in connection with her |
| 19 | execution of Exhibit No. 2? |
| 20 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And do you know or have any information |
| 22 | about any beneficiary or anyone else actively procuring |
| 23 | Exhibit No. 2? |
| 24 | A. I do not. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And with respect now we'll move on |
| | |

| 1 | to Exhibit No. 3, which is the first amendment of |
|----|---|
| 2 | Shirley Bernstein's trust, executed on November 18th of |
| 3 | 2008. And I'll direct your attention on that Exhibit 3 |
| 4 | to Page No. 2. And on Page No. 2 |
| 5 | Well, let me ask this question. Did Shirley |
| 6 | Bernstein execute Exhibit No. 3 in the presence of both |
| 7 | you and Rachel Walker? |
| 8 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 3 in |
| 10 | the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Rachel Walker? |
| 11 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 12 | Q. And did Rachel Walker execute this document, |
| 13 | Exhibit No. 3, in the presence of Shirley Bernstein and |
| 14 | yourself? |
| 15 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And at the time Exhibit No. 3 was |
| 17 | executed, is it your opinion that Ms. Bernstein |
| 18 | understood generally the nature and extent of her |
| 19 | property? |
| 20 | A. Yes, I believe so. |
| 21 | Q. And is it your opinion that at the time |
| 22 | Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, she generally |
| 23 | understood the relationship of those who would be the |
| 24 | natural objects of her bounty? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I believe so. |
| | |

1 Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, is it your opinion that she 2 3 generally understood the practical effect of this trust amendment? 4 Yes, I believe so. Α. 5 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 6 Ο. 7 information about any beneficiary or any other person unduly influencing Shirley Bernstein to execute 8 Exhibit No. 3? 9 10 Α. I do not. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 11 Ο. information about any person, beneficiary or otherwise, 12 13 actively procuring Exhibit No. 3? I do not. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Moving on to Exhibit No. 4 then, which Ο. is the will of Simon Bernstein, and that is a will that 16 Mr. Bernstein executed on July -- yes, July 25 of 2012. 17 18 And let me direct your attention to page 7 of that will, 19 Exhibit No. 4. And did Simon Bernstein execute this document 20 in the presence of you and Kimberly Moran on July 25, 21 2.2 2012? 23 Α. Yes, he did. And did you execute this document, 24 Q. 25 Exhibit No. 4, as a witness in the presence of Simon

| 1 | Bernstein and Kimberly Moran on that date? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 3 | Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 4 |
| 4 | as a witness in the presence of Simon Bernstein and |
| 5 | yourself? |
| 6 | A. Yes, she did. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And on this date or at the time of |
| 8 | execution on this date of July 25, 2012, did Simon |
| 9 | Bernstein understand in a general way the nature and |
| 10 | extent of his property? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. At the time that Exhibit No. 4 was |
| 13 | executed, did Simon Bernstein generally understand the |
| 14 | relationship of those who would be the natural objects |
| 15 | of his bounty? |
| 16 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 17 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 4 was executed, |
| 18 | did in your opinion, did Simon Bernstein understand |
| 19 | the practical effect of this will? |
| 20 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or |
| 22 | information about any person, whether beneficiary or |
| 23 | otherwise, actively procuring this Exhibit No. 4? |
| 24 | A. No, I do not. |
| 25 | Q. Do you have any information about any person, |
| | |

| 1 | beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing Simon |
|----|--|
| 2 | Bernstein to execute Exhibit No. 4? |
| 3 | A. I do not. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And moving on to the last document |
| 5 | then, Exhibit No. 5, which is the Simon Bernstein |
| 6 | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, and I'll direct |
| 7 | your attention to page 24 of that Exhibit No. 5. |
| 8 | On July 25, 2012, did Simon Bernstein execute |
| 9 | this trust agreement in the presence of you and Kimberly |
| 10 | Moran? |
| 11 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 12 | Q. And did you execute this trust, Exhibit No. 5, |
| 13 | as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and Kimberly |
| 14 | Moran? |
| 15 | A. I did. |
| 16 | Q. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 5 |
| 17 | as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and yourself? |
| 18 | A. She did. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And at the time Simon Bernstein |
| 20 | executed Exhibit No. 5, in your opinion, did he |
| 21 | generally understand the nature and extent of his |
| 22 | property? |
| 23 | A. He did. |
| 24 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, |
| 25 | did Simon Bernstein, in your opinion, generally |
| | |

| 1 | understand the relationship of those who would be the |
|----|---|
| 2 | natural objects of his bounty? |
| 3 | A. He did. |
| 4 | Q. And did Simon Bernstein, when Exhibit No. 5 |
| 5 | was executed, understand generally the practical effect |
| 6 | of this trust agreement? |
| 7 | A. Yes, he did. |
| 8 | Q. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, do |
| 9 | you have any knowledge about any person, whether |
| 10 | beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing |
| 11 | Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, to execute this |
| 12 | Exhibit No. 5? |
| 13 | A. Nothing that I'm aware of. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or |
| 15 | information about any person, whether beneficiary or |
| 16 | otherwise, actively procuring Exhibit No. 5? |
| 17 | A. I do not. |
| 18 | MR. MORRISSEY: I have no further questions, |
| 19 | Judge. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 21 | Now, is there any cross? You're not required |
| 22 | to ask any questions, but you just need to let me |
| 23 | know if you're going to. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, are you asking me? I had |
| 25 | no idea. |

| 1 | THE COURT: I'm not asking you. I'm just |
|----|--|
| 2 | telling you, if you have questions for the witness, |
| 3 | this is your opportunity to ask them; if you don't |
| 4 | have any questions, you don't have to ask any. But |
| 5 | if you're going to, you have to start now. |
| 6 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide |
| 9 | some expert testimony, correct, on the |
| 10 | A. No, I was not. |
| 11 | Q. Oh, okay. You're just going based on your |
| 12 | doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley |
| 13 | Bernstein's attorney? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Are you still an attorney today? |
| 16 | A. I am not practicing. |
| 17 | Q. Can you give us the circumstances regarding |
| 18 | that? |
| 19 | A. I withdrew from my firm. |
| 20 | Q. Are you under a consent order with the SEC? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 24 | Q. Did you sign a consent order for insider |
| 25 | trading |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, I did. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q with the SEC? |
| 3 | You did. Can you give us the circumstances of |
| 4 | your consent order? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 6 | THE COURT: That won't be relevant. Please |
| 7 | move on to the next question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Were you did you plead to a felony crime? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant as to |
| 14 | THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, what did you say? |
| 16 | THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. I |
| 17 | sustained the objection no, I sustained the last |
| 18 | objection. This one I'm overruling. |
| 19 | You can answer. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I can't ask him if he's a |
| 21 | felon? |
| 22 | THE COURT: You're asking the wrong guy. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Are |
| 24 | THE COURT: The witness is you asked the |
| 25 | question. |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Are you a convicted felony? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Let's back up a second. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 5 | THE COURT: When you're asking for a ruling, |
| 6 | and I make one, then we're going to have the |
| 7 | witness answer. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I made my ruling. I'm letting the |
| 10 | witness answer your earlier question, unless you're |
| 11 | withdrawing it. Are you withdrawing your earlier |
| 12 | question? |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 14 | THE COURT: You can answer the question, which |
| 15 | is, did you plead to a felony? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, sir. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I have not. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Next question. |
| 19 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 20 | Q. Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor? |
| 21 | A. I have not. |
| 22 | Q. Were you involved in a insider trading case? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that mean he doesn't have |
| | |

| 1 | to answer that? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: How many times have you been in |
| 3 | court? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a few where I've had to |
| 5 | do this. |
| 6 | THE COURT: You know how this works. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I really don't. |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. If I sustain an |
| 9 | objection, that's means he does not answer the |
| 10 | question. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And overruled? |
| 12 | THE COURT: If I overrule an objection, that |
| 13 | means the witness does answer the question. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 15 | THE COURT: And I've asked you to ask your |
| 16 | next question. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Is that your picture on the Florida Law |
| 20 | Review, SEC case settled against Florida attorneys? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | Do you have any questions on the issues that I |
| 24 | have to decide in this case? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, his testimony is based |

| 1 | on his truthfulness. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: My question is, do you have any |
| 3 | questions you want to ask about the issues relevant |
| 4 | to this case? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is relevant to this |
| 6 | case. |
| 7 | THE COURT: I disagree. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 9 | THE COURT: I thought I made that very clear |
| 10 | in my ruling. You probably want to move on to a |
| 11 | relevant issue. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with |
| 15 | the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the |
| 16 | Bernstein matters? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 19 | You can answer that. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. And did you state to them that you |
| 23 | fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then |
| 24 | sent it through the mail to Christine Yates? |
| 25 | A. Yes, I did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Have you been charged with that by the Palm |
|----|---|
| 2 | Beach County Sheriff yet? |
| 3 | A. No, I have not. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. How many times were you interviewed by |
| 5 | the Palm Beach County Sheriff? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to |
| 10 | Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's |
| 11 | minor children? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. And when did you acknowledge that to the |
| 17 | courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came |
| 18 | about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud? |
| 19 | A. I don't know that I did do that. |
| 20 | Q. Well, you just said you went to the Palm Beach |
| 21 | County Sheriff and admitted altering a document and put |
| 22 | it in the mail. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Let me stop you there. If you |
| 24 | want to ask the witness questions, you're permitted |
| 25 | to do that. If you would like to argue with the |
| | |

| 1 | witness, that's not do you have any questions |
|----|--|
| 2 | you want to ask? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli |
| 6 | Bernstein's minor children's counsel. |
| 7 | Can you tell us what that document did to |
| 8 | affect the dispositive Shirley trust document? |
| 9 | A. It has no effect. |
| 10 | Q. What was its intended effect of altering the |
| 11 | document? |
| 12 | A. To carry out your father's wishes in the |
| 13 | agreement that he had made with the five of you for a |
| 14 | layperson that would be reading the documents. |
| 15 | Q. You were carrying out his wishes by |
| 16 | fraudulently altering a document? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 19 | That's argumentative. I don't want you to |
| 20 | argue with the witness. That's an argument. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change |
| 24 | the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust? |
| 25 | A. They did not. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Who are the beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. It depends on under the trust instrument, |
| 3 | in the absence of Si exercising his power of |
| 4 | appointment, it would be yourself and your two sisters, |
| 5 | Lisa and Jill. |
| 6 | Q. Oh. So the only beneficiaries in Shirley's |
| 7 | trust are me, Lisa and Jill. |
| 8 | Is that directly or through a family trust? |
| 9 | A. Your father had established your parents |
| 10 | had established family trusts for the three of you to |
| 11 | receive assets from the trust. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. So in that document that you sent to |
| 13 | Christine Yates, did you include Ted and Pam's lineal |
| 14 | descendants under the amendment that you fraudulently |
| 15 | drafted and sent to her? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Did in any way the document that you |
| 20 | fraudulently altered and sent to Yates change the |
| 21 | beneficiaries from Eliot, Lisa and Jill and their lineal |
| 22 | descendants to anybody else? |
| 23 | THE COURT: May I ask a question? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 25 | THE COURT: This document that you're |
| | |

| 1 | referring to, is anybody asking me to probate that |
|----|--|
| 2 | document? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's part of the estate |
| 4 | plan. It's part |
| 5 | THE COURT: Is anybody seeking relief, either |
| 6 | you or the other side, under that document? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. They're seeking to |
| 8 | change the beneficiaries of my mom's trust through |
| 9 | that document and others. |
| 10 | THE COURT: You're misperceiving my question. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Sorry. |
| 12 | THE COURT: That document, which |
| 13 | is nobody's put it in evidence; I don't know |
| 14 | what it is, but it's that thing that you're |
| 15 | asking the witness about, is somebody seeking |
| 16 | relief based upon that document? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Absolutely not. The opposite. |
| 18 | THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking relief |
| 19 | based upon that document? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Oh, absolutely. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. Are you claiming that |
| 22 | that document is subject to probate? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Is the lady who's giving you |
| 25 | advice your attorney? |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Ma'am, are you admitted to the bar |
| 3 | in Florida? Remember what I told you earlier. |
| 4 | I've let you sit there as a courtesy. Generally, I |
| 5 | don't let wives or friends or anybody else sit at |
| 6 | the table where the parties are because it confuses |
| 7 | me. But you're giving that guy advice and you're |
| 8 | also not listening to me, which I find odd, because |
| 9 | I'm going to have you move you back to the gallery |
| 10 | now. Please have a seat in the gallery. Please |
| 11 | have a seat in the gallery. Please have a seat in |
| 12 | the gallery. Soon. When courtesy is not returned, |
| 13 | courtesy is withdrawn. Please have a seat in the |
| 14 | gallery. Thank you. |
| 15 | Do you have any other questions of the |
| 16 | witness? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit this as evidence |
| 18 | to the Court? |
| 19 | THE COURT: Is that the document you've been |
| 20 | asking the witness about? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection to it |
| 23 | being received as an exhibit? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: I don't have any objection to it |
| 25 | being received as an exhibit. But as Your Honor |
| | |

| 1 | noted, we aren't seeking to probate it, and we're |
|----|---|
| 2 | not suggesting it's valid in the first place. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. Well, let me see what |
| 4 | that document is, so then I'll see if I can make |
| 5 | some sense out of it. |
| 6 | You can't Gary's always afraid that if |
| 7 | somebody's not a member of the bar, they might do |
| 8 | something bad to me. Officers of the court aren't |
| 9 | allowed to do things bad to the judge. Other folks |
| 10 | don't know that. And so Gary watches out carefully |
| 11 | for my well-being. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. So this is a document |
| 14 | that's titled "First Amendment to Shirley Bernstein |
| 15 | Trust Agreement." |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And it's in the book that I've |
| 18 | been given earlier by the plaintiff as Tab 6. |
| 19 | You're seeking to put it into evidence as |
| 20 | Defendant's 1? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Right? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Yes, sir. |
| 24 | THE COURT: You're offering it as an exhibit? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Evidence 1. |

| 1 | THE COURT: The objection to it is that it's |
|----|---|
| 2 | not relevant? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Not relevant. Right, relevance. |
| 4 | And it's also not something we're seeking to be |
| 5 | probated or treated as authentic and genuine. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, the other side is seeking to |
| 7 | use the terms of this document instead of the terms |
| 8 | of the amendment that's in evidence, right? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I don't believe that's what he's |
| 10 | doing. |
| 11 | THE COURT: I'm not sure what he's doing, but |
| 12 | in an abundance of caution, I'm going to receive it |
| 13 | for what relevance it might have. I don't perceive |
| 14 | any yet, but we'll see what happens. |
| 15 | So this is Defendant 1. |
| 16 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 was received into |
| 17 | evidence.) |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any other questions of the |
| 19 | witness? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. You've testified here about Kimberly Moran. |
| 23 | Can you describe your relationship with her? |
| 24 | A. She's been our long-time assistant in the |
| 25 | office. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Was she convicted of felony fraudulent |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarization in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 5 | You're asking if she was convicted of a felony |
| 6 | with respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 7 | You can answer the question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I believe she was. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. And what was she convicted for? |
| 12 | A. She had notarized the waiver releases of |
| 13 | accounting that you and your siblings had previously |
| 14 | provided, and we filed those with the court. |
| 15 | Q. We filed those with the court. |
| 16 | Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents |
| 17 | to the court? |
| 18 | A. No. We filed we filed your original |
| 19 | documents with the court that were not notarized, and |
| 20 | the court had sent them back. |
| 21 | Q. And then what happened? |
| 22 | A. And then Kimberly forged the signatures and |
| 23 | notarized those signatures and sent them back. |
| 24 | Judge Colon has a rule in his court to have |
| 25 | those documents notarized, even though that's not the |
| | |

| 1 | requirement under the Florida Probate Code. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. So when you didn't follow the rule, you |
| 3 | frauded [sic] and forged the document? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with that. |
| 7 | THE COURT: You've got to stop a second. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 9 | THE COURT: If you continue to argue with the |
| 10 | witness, then I'll assume you don't have any more |
| 11 | questions. I sustained that last objection to |
| 12 | argumentative. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm a little confused |
| 14 | THE COURT: I'm sorry about your confusion, |
| 15 | but there are ways you could have dealt with that |
| 16 | before this trial. If you are confused during the |
| 17 | trial, you better get unconfused as quickly as you |
| 18 | can because bad things will happen. And I don't |
| 19 | want bad things to happen. I want to get the facts |
| 20 | so that I can accurately decide the case on its |
| 21 | merits. |
| 22 | Stop arguing, ask questions, let the witness |
| 23 | answer, and listen to any rulings that I make on |
| 24 | the objections. That's the last time I'll repeat |
| 25 | that advice to you. Thank you. |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. What law firm submitted those documents to the |
| 3 | court? |
| 4 | A. Tescher & Spallina, P.A. |
| 5 | Q. Are you a partner in that firm? |
| 6 | A. I was. |
| 7 | Q. So your firm that you were a partner with sent |
| 8 | in documents that were fraudulent to the court? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Did Tescher & Spallina law firm submit |
| 13 | Kimberly Moran's forged and fraudulent document waivers |
| 14 | to the court? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: He already said he did. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What is that? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Cumulative means you've already |
| 19 | had that answer given. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I didn't have that. |
| 21 | THE COURT: He's already said that he did. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking if they deposited |
| 23 | them with the court. |
| 24 | THE COURT: And he said they didn't. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I asked him, and he |
| | |

| 1 | said | |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | | THE COURT: I won't argue with you. Do you |
| 3 | want | to go on to the next item or not? |
| 4 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay, I do. |
| 5 | | THE COURT: Okay. Next question, please. |
| 6 | BY MR. BEI | RNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. | Did your office did you submit documents to |
| 8 | close the | estate of Shirley with Simon as the personal |
| 9 | representa | ative at a time Simon was dead? |
| 10 | Α. | We did. |
| 11 | Q. | You did? Excuse me? I didn't hear an answer. |
| 12 | A. | I said yes. |
| 13 | Q. | So Shirley's estate was closed by a dead |
| 14 | personal 1 | representative. |
| 15 | | Can you give me the time that the estate was |
| 16 | closed by | Simon while he was dead? |
| 17 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 19 | | You can answer. |
| 20 | | THE WITNESS: I believe it was October, |
| 21 | Nover | nber 2012. |
| 22 | BY MR. BEI | RNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. | Do you want to check your records on that? |
| 24 | A. | I believe it was after his death. I know he |
| 25 | died Septe | ember 13, 2012. And we had received late from |
| | | |

| 1 | one of your sisters the signed waiver. So it was |
|----|--|
| 2 | probably in November, somewhere around there. |
| 3 | Q. You stated that Simon that Kimberly did |
| 4 | five waivers for the siblings that she sent back in |
| 5 | fraudulently to the court through your law firm. |
| 6 | Did she also do a fraudulent forged signature |
| 7 | of a waiver for Simon? |
| 8 | A. I'm not sure. I guess if you're saying she |
| 9 | did |
| 10 | Q. Well, the court has on file a waiver of |
| 11 | Simon's that she's admitted to. |
| 12 | A. We filed all of the waivers originally with |
| 13 | the court all signed by the appropriate parties, and the |
| 14 | court kicked those back. And she forged and notarized |
| 15 | new documents and sent them to the court. She felt she |
| 16 | had made a mistake. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Are you aware of an April 9th full |
| 18 | waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you? |
| 19 | A. Yeah. That was the waiver that he had signed. |
| 20 | And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of |
| 21 | you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the |
| 22 | accountings. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. And in that April 9th full waiver you |
| 24 | used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that |
| 25 | he has all the waivers from all of the parties? |

| 1 | A. He does. We sent out he signed that, and |
|----|---|
| 2 | we sent out the waivers to all of you. |
| 3 | Q. Okay. So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed, |
| 4 | with your presence, because your signature's on the |
| 5 | document, a document stating he had all the waivers in |
| 6 | his possession from all of his children. |
| 7 | Had you sent the waivers out yet as of |
| 8 | April 9th? |
| 9 | THE COURT: What is it that you want the |
| 10 | witness to answer? There was several questions. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, compounded a little bit? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 14 | THE COURT: So you even |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back. |
| 16 | THE COURT: So you even know the lingo of the |
| 17 | objections. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back to one at |
| 19 | a time, because it's an important point. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver |
| 22 | of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of |
| 23 | the signed waivers of all of the parties? |
| 24 | A. Standard operating procedure, to have him |
| 25 | sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids. |

1 Q. Was Simon in possession -- because it's a sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of 2 3 these waivers of my children on today, April 9th, correct, the day you two signed that? 4 Okay. So if you hadn't sent out the waivers 5 yet to the --6 7 Α. I'm not certain when the waivers were sent out. 8 Were they sent out after the --9 Q. 10 I did not send them out. Α. Okay. More importantly, when did you receive 11 Q. 12 those? Was it before April 9th or on April 9th? Α. We didn't receive the first one until May. 13 14 And it was your waiver that we received. 15 So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney, Ο. to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of 16 all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til 17 18 May? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's relevance 20 and cumulative. He's already answered. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 21 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, this is very relevant. THE COURT: What is the relevance on the issue 23 that I have to rule on today? 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: On the validity? Well, it's

| 1 | relevant. If any of these documents are relevant, |
|----|--|
| 2 | this is important if it's a fraud. |
| 3 | THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I okay. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. When did you get did you get back prior to |
| 7 | Simon's death all the waivers from all the children? |
| 8 | A. No, we did not. |
| 9 | Q. So in Simon's April 9th document where he |
| 10 | says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from |
| 11 | his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get |
| 12 | one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how |
| 13 | could that be a true statement? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Cumulative. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 16 | Here's what I'm going to decide at the end of |
| 17 | the day; I'm going to decide whether Shirley's 2008 |
| 18 | will and trust and 2008 amendment are valid and |
| 19 | enforceable. I'm going to decide whether Simon's |
| 20 | 2012 will and 2012 trust documents are valid and |
| 21 | enforceable. You have a lot more on your mind than |
| 22 | I have on mine. You do. Right? But those are the |
| 23 | things that I'm working on. So I'm focused like a |
| 24 | laser and you're focused more like a shotgun. I'm |
| 25 | telling you this so that you can focus more tightly |
| | |

| 1 | on the questions you're asking and the facts you're |
|----|---|
| 2 | developing so they'll help me make an accurate |
| 3 | decision on those things that I'm going to decide |
| 4 | today. You can keep asking questions that don't go |
| 5 | anywhere, but I would hope that you'll adjust your |
| 6 | approach so that you'll help me make an accurate |
| 7 | decision. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. And on validity, let's just get right to that |
| 11 | real quick. You've testified to a lot of documents here |
| 12 | today, correct, of the estate documents you drafted, |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 15 | Q. Did you gain any pecuniary interest, did you |
| 16 | gain any titles in those documents? |
| 17 | A. Pecuniary interest? No. I was named by your |
| 18 | father as personal representative and trustee of his |
| 19 | trust. |
| 20 | Q. And so you executed you drafted the |
| 21 | documents, you signed them as a witness, and you gained |
| 22 | interest in the documents, correct? |
| 23 | A. No, I did not. |
| 24 | Q. You didn't gain interest as a trustee |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220 Γ

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q or a personal representative of those |
| 3 | documents? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked and |
| 5 | answered. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: I was named as his personal |
| 8 | representative and trustee, along with my partner. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Did you witness the document? |
| 11 | A. I did. |
| 12 | Q. Did you draft the document? |
| 13 | A. I did. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. You mentioned there was Kimberly Moran |
| 15 | there at the signing of these documents, correct? |
| 16 | A. She was. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Can you point her out, because I'm |
| 18 | going to need her to testify as to the validity? |
| 19 | A. I do not see her in the courtroom. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You mentioned a Traci Kratish. Can you |
| 21 | point her out in the courtroom today to validate the |
| 22 | documents? |
| 23 | A. I don't see Traci in the room either. |
| 24 | Q. So she was another witness that is not here |
| 25 | present to validate the documents today? Well, it's |

awful -- okay. 1 Is Kimberly Moran here who notarized the 2 3 documents. MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked that 4 a minute ago. 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't -- did I? Was it 6 7 Moran --THE COURT: No, I thought it was some other 8 9 name. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: So did I. 11 THE COURT: Is Kimberly here? THE WITNESS: She's not. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Next question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Q. Okay. Being a former estate planning attorney. To validate a document, wouldn't you have the 16 parties who witnessed and notarized and signed present? 17 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 18 19 Misstates --THE COURT: Sustained. 20 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2.2 Q. Is it necessary to validate documents with the 23 necessary notaries and witnesses present? 24 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 25 conclusion.

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, I'm the one that's going |
|----|---|
| 2 | make that decision. I don't care what the witness |
| 3 | says about the law. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I gotcha. Okay. |
| 5 | THE COURT: So this would be a good time for |
| 6 | us to take a pause. We're not making headway. |
| 7 | You ever here of cavitation when it comes to |
| 8 | boat propellers? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't know a lot about |
| 11 | the physics of it, but a boat goes forward based on |
| 12 | a propeller spinning in the water. And it happens |
| 13 | sometimes in racing boats, maybe other boats too, |
| 14 | that you get the propeller going so fast or you do |
| 15 | something so much with the propeller that it |
| 16 | cavitates, which means that it's not actually |
| 17 | pushing in the water. It's making a lot of noise. |
| 18 | It's spinning like crazy. It's furiously working, |
| 19 | but it's not propelling the boat forward. I want |
| 20 | to suggest to you that you've hit a point of |
| 21 | cavitation. So this would be a good time for us to |
| 22 | take our lunch break so that when we get back we'll |
| 23 | go forward with this ship that is our trial. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: How long? |
| 25 | THE COURT: It'll be until 1:30. |

Γ

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: That'll give everybody a time to |
| 3 | revive, if necessary, and we'll reconstitute |
| 4 | ourselves at 1:30. Thanks. |
| 5 | (A break was taken.) |
| 6 | (Proceedings continued in Volume 2.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional |
| 8 | Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was |
| 9 | authorized to and did stenographically report the |
| 10 | foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true |
| 11 | and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 12 | Dated this 4th day of January 2016. |
| 13 | Cheville Diline |
| 14 | A think the may |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 17 | Job #1358198-VOL 1 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| | December | 137 2013 | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | 71:5 | 46:13,18 | 40B 16:11 |
| \$ | 16 65:22,24 66:17,21,22 | 51:15,21 53:1 57:17 59:1,2 | 40C 17:1 |
| \$1.2 49:25 | 71:6 | 62:7 63:23 | 5 |
| \$100 81:12 | 16th 12:15 | 64:17 67:18,24 | |
| \$100,000 48:7 | 17 62:6 73:3, | 73:25 74:16 | 5 20:17,18 23:6 |
| \$18 23:11 46:12 | 13,21,22 | 81:16 87:17,22 88:8 89:8 | 51:20 71:22 |
| \$2 54:6 | 18 23:13 25:16, | 106:21,25 | 72:6,11,12 |
| \$200,000 32:2,9 | 17 47:16 74:3, | 108:3,21 | 89:5,7,12,16, |
| + | 4,8,9 | 110:20 | 20,24 90:4,8, |
| | 18th 40:15 86:2 | 20th 82:19 | 12,16 |
| 0 | 19 12:25 25:17 26:14 | 83:12 84:9,11 | 500,000 47:24 48:1 55:16 |
| 0 55:13 | 1st 59:1 | 24 89:7 | 40:1 55:10 |
| 07 23:12 | ISC 59:1 | 24th 63:23 | |
| 08 24:3,5 | | 65:16 | 6 |
| ,- | 2 | 25 20:15 21:17, | 6 76:25 77:1,5 |
| 1 | 2 35:9 36:11 | 19 67:18 | 101:18 |
| <u> </u> | 39:11 51:6 | 87:17,21 88:8 | 60 58:11 |
| 1 15:23 34:3,6, | 55:14 61:12, | 89:8 250 55:14 | 600 48:13,14 |
| 10,15,16 39:10 | 14,21 62:2,3 | | 600,000 55:18 |
| 54:12 61:14,20 | 84:7,10,16,19, | 25th 67:24 | |
| 62:2 82:14,17 | 23 85:2,7,12, | 26th 15:5 73:7 | |
| 83:11,18,22 | 19,23 86:4 | 27 36:8 84:10 | 7 |
| 84:2,5 101:20, | 20 24:9 | | 7 19:11,20,25 |
| 25 102:15,16 | 2000 17:21 | 3 | 20:1 73:15 |
| 1,150,000 49:2 | 18:17 20:4,14 | | 82:17 87:18 |
| 1,550,000 49:12 | 21:8,24 30:11 | 3 38:20 39:1,6, | 75 54:14 |
| 10 13:9,10,22 14:3,4 22:3 | 2002 37:19 | 7,11 51:7 61:14,22 62:2 | 750,000 49:10 |
| 58:9 75:16 | 2007 11:25 | 86:1,3,6,9,13, | |
| 100 48:14 | 12:15 22:4 | 16,22 87:2,9, | |
| 100,000 48:18 | 25:25 46:11 47:16 49:23 | 13 | 8 |
| 11 26:12,21 | 51:18 53:1 | 31 24:3 | 800,000 51:9 |
| 27:1,2,5,11 | 73:2 81:15 | 33 23:6 33:22 | 8th 42:23 |
| 11/14/07 14:13 | 2008 8:25 9:1, | 365,000 52:13 | |
| 11/14/2007 15:3 | 2,5,6,21,25 | | 9 |
| 11/16 15:6 | 10:4,5 24:9,11 | 4 | |
| 12 22:9,12 24:5 | 25:25 26:15 | | 9 12:11,12,20 |
| 12/19 23:23 | 33:3,14 34:1 35:12 37:1,17, | 4 67:19,20,22 | 13:1 |
| 12/19/07 23:22, | 20 38:24 | 68:2 70:3,8,9, | 90 58:11 |
| 24 | 40:15,16 41:5 | 15 71:9,10 | 9th 32:11,17 |
| 13 23:5 45:18, | 49:22,23 61:12 | 87:15,19,25 | 107:17,23 |
| 22 46:2,3,19 | 64:21 82:20 | 88:3,12,17,23 89:2 | 108:3,8,21 |
| 53:22 59:1 | 83:12 84:9,11 | 40 17:16 18:3 | 109:3,12 |
| 73:25 106:25 | 85:2 86:3 | 40 17:16 18:3 | 110:9,10 |
| 14 60:24 61:3, | 110:17,18 | 40- 01:12 40A 15:22 17:7, | |
| 7,8 14-1- 22.4 22.12 | 2010 42:22 | 40A 15:22 17:7, 12 | А |
| 14th 22:4 23:12 | 43:17 58:25 | 40A-F 17:13 | -h |
| 15 17:21 63:18 64:5,10,11 | 2012 9:17,18 | | absence 98:3 |
| | 45:15,21 | | |
| | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| | December | 19, 2019 | 2 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| absolutely | affidavit 70:21 | 60:24 84:10 | assume 51:8 |
| 99:17,20 | affirmed 10:24 | appoint 20:23 | 104:10 |
| abundance | afraid 101:6 | 30:2,13 77:15 | assuming 28:9 |
| 102:12 | afternoon 82:7 | appointment | attached 62:13 |
| access 43:25 | aggressive 7:19 | 20:21 21:1,4, | attack 78:16 |
| accommodate | agree 9:24 10:5 | 15 29:19 | attend 59:5 |
| 37:10 | agreement 9:18 | 31:12,15 33:6, | attention 82:16 |
| accompany 40:25 | 29:10,22 35:11 | 12,20 55:4 | 84:9 86:3 |
| account 23:2 | 38:2 61:13,22, | 65:7 98:4 | 87:18 89:7 |
| 57:4 | 24 64:16 65:8 | approach 11:2 | attorney 12:2 |
| accounting | 71:24 89:6,9 | 12:11 15:21 | 24:16 27:8 |
| 60:21 62:14 | 90:6 97:13 | 67:19 111:6 | 45:1,4 51:14 |
| 103:13 | 101:15 | approaches 11:3 | 65:23 67:15,25 |
| accountings | agreements | approval 59:24 | 71:6 81:8 |
| 107:22 | 35:20 | approved 53:16 | 91:12,13,15 |
| accurate 19:19 | Alan 5:6 | approximately | 96:10 99:25 |
| 76:22 111:2,6 | Alexandra 8:15 | 45:13 | 109:15 |
| accurately | alive 35:7 | April 26:14 | attorney-client |
| 104:20 | 43:25 44:5,8 | 29:1 32:11,17 | 38:9 |
| acknowledge | 52:10 63:10 | 37:2 107:17,23 | attorneys 81:11 |
| 96:16 | 75:3 110:11 | 108:3,8,21 | 94:20 |
| acknowledged | allegedly | 109:3,12,17 | August 17:21 |
| 96:18 | 107:18 | 110:9,10 | authentic 8:22 |
| acting 73:1 | allowed 101:9 | area 37:4 | 9:16 26:18 |
| action 8:24 | altered 78:12 | argue 96:25 | 102:5 |
| actively 84:5 | 95:23 97:23 | 97:20 104:9 106:2 | authorization |
| 85:22 87:13 | 98:20 | arguing 104:22 | 63:11 |
| 88:23 90:16 | altering 46:7 | argument 92:14, | authorized 45:3 |
| actual 35:15 | 96:21 97:10,16 | 16 97:20 | avoid 29:15,18 |
| add 23:10 | amend 38:1 | argumentative | aware 39:24 40:24 50:10,18 |
| addition 41:5 | amended 8:24 | 97:19 98:16 | 55:20 56:5 |
| 65:6 | 9:18 38:4,5 | 104:4,12 | 72:25 75:8 |
| address 5:23 | 64:15,23 71:23 | 106:17 | 79:6 81:13 |
| addressing | 75:1 89:6 | arranged 40:5 | 90:13 107:17 |
| 60:25 | amendment 9:2, 4,5,7 39:18 | arrangements | |
| adjust 11:8 | 40:2,14,17 | 80:10,12,20,24 | R |
| 111:5 | 61:23 86:1 | arrows 19:17 | B |
| administration | 87:4 98:14 | assert 70:17 | back 8:1 15:4 |
| 44:4,8 46:18 74:18 | 101:14 102:8 | asset 25:10 | 40:13 42:2 |
| admission 11:11 | 110:18 | 42:7 50:4 | 47:11 49:22 |
| admission 11:11 admitted 24:8 | amount 20:7 | 74:19 | 60:21,22 79:15 |
| 80:13 96:21 | 55:11 56:6 | assets 18:9,11 | 93:3 100:9 |
| 100:2 107:11 | ancillary 16:23 | 29:16,17 30:2 | 103:20,23 |
| adult 8:14 82:8 | Andrews 53:17 | 31:13 36:4 | 107:4,14,21 |
| advance 15:9,13 | answering 76:7 | 43:11 48:20 | 108:15,18 |
| advince 56:23 | appearance 8:11 | 49:18 50:1 | 110:6 |
| 57:7 99:25 | appears 18:12 | 54:22 55:2,5 64:24 78:23 | bad 101:8,9 |
| 100:7 104:25 | 20:12 23:3 | 81:13 98:11 | 104:18,19 balance 21:18 |
| affect 97:8 | 25:17 26:20 | assistant 40:11 | 33:23 |
| | 27:15 36:8 | 66:14 102:24 | 55.25 |
| | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2

| | December | 15, 2015 | د |
|---|--|--|---|
| <pre>Banks 82:22 Banks' 83:8 bar 100:2 101:7 based 25:14 60:2 91:11 94:25 99:16,19 basically 31:3 78:1 Bates 13:20 16:17 Baxley 66:8,11, 12 67:8 Beach 69:25 74:19 95:15 96:2,5,20 bear 16:17 65:25 68:6 bears 15:22 24:9 70:15 begin 78:16 beginning 5:11 begins 53:22 behalf 5:6 6:1 8:13 belabor 21:15 belief 37:20 69:24 77:13 85:16 belong 19:18 beneficiaries 18:4 20:8 33:12,16 46:25 57:14 75:15 77:11 97:24 98:1,6,21 99:8 beneficiary 28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 89:1 90.10 15</pre> | $ \begin{array}{c} 6:6,8,11,14, \\ 17,20,24 7:3, \\ 7,10,13,16,17 \\ 8:15,16 9:1,2, \\ 17 10:4,7,9, \\ 11,14 11:15, \\ 20,24 12:8,17, \\ 18 13:5 14:19, \\ 22 16:2,8,13, \\ 21 20:15 23:16 \\ 24:9,12,22 \\ 25:1,15 27:13 \\ 28:18 29:10 \\ 30:23 31:9 \\ 36:11,13 41:15 \\ 43:2 45:10 \\ 46:18 49:4,6,8 \\ 52:8 56:8 \\ 60:25 61:18, \\ 21,22,23,25 \\ 62:24 63:12,19 \\ 64:1 65:25 \\ 68:15,17,21,25 \\ 69:5,20 70:7, \\ 16 71:23 73:4 \\ 74:13 75:8 \\ 77:3,8 78:16, \\ 25 79:4,9,12 \\ 80:9,14,25 \\ 81:14,21 82:9, \\ 15,19 83:7,11, \\ 14,17,22 84:1, \\ 11,15,20 85:1, \\ 6,11,17 86:6, \\ 10,13,17,22 \\ 87:1,8,16,17, \\ 20 88:1,4,9, \\ 13,18 89:2,5, \\ 8,13,17,19,25 \\ 90:4,11,24 \\ 91:7,23 92:8, \\ 9,13,15,20,23 \\ 93:1,4,8,13, \\ 16,19,25 94:4, \\ 7,11,14,17,18, \\ 25 95:5,8,12, \\ \end{array} $ | <pre>103:2,6,8,10 104:8,13 105:1,11,17, 20,22,25 106:4,6,22 108:11,13,15, 18,20 109:22, 25 110:4,5 111:8,9 Bernstein's 17:5 22:14 61:12 82:24 84:8,23 86:2 91:12,13 96:10 97:6 Bill 31:22 51:13 bit 108:11 blank 71:2 block 67:1 70:23 blogging 78:17 book 11:5,6,14 101:17 bottom 14:10 53:21 55:13 bought 50:19 56:4 bounty 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:15 90:2 box 67:3 70:25 break 58:8,19 briefly 41:8 bringing 40:23 brokerage 23:2 brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1, 3 27:16 31:21</pre> | 22,25 63:1,5, 14 65:13 69:16 called 40:3,5 45:3,15 52:7 56:16 75:25 91:8 calls 62:23 Candice 5:19 24:12,22 care 8:6 16:24 27:9 55:6 80:4 carefully 101:10 carry 77:7 97:12 carrying 97:15 case 5:3 10:15 24:8 50:17 93:22 94:20,24 95:4,6 104:20 cash 47:11 causing 63:7 caution 102:12 CD 47:9 certificate 74:3,12 chain 69:5 change 21:11,25 30:14 40:4 45:11 55:8 56:23 57:20,24 97:23 98:20 99:8 changed 78:12 changing 54:21 56:14,17 charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4 child 19:17 |
| 28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 | 8,13,17,19,25 90:4,11,24 91:7,23 92:8, 9,13,15,20,23 93:1,4,8,13, 16,19,25 94:4, 7,11,14,17,18, | <pre>brother 45:7 78:23 brought 11:4 40:7 bunch 47:14 business 25:5, 20,21,24 26:1,</pre> | <pre>charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 check 70:25 106:23 checked 67:3,4</pre> |
| | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 41:2 44:7 45:7 | 51:11,16 76:1, | convicted 93:2 | 24:15,23 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 46:24 55:6 | 3 | 103:1,5,11 | 26:23,25 29:18 |
| 57:13 58:2,5 | company's 13:19 | copies 15:16 | 34:12,14,24 |
| 59:5,20,23 | complaint 8:17, | 35:13,15 76:16 | 35:6 39:3,5 |
| 60:8 77:9,25 | 24 75:19 | | 41:18 42:1 |
| 81:6 96:11 | | copy 16:4 26:18 | 45:24 46:1 |
| | compounded | 34:5,8,19 45:2 | |
| 107:21 108:6 | 108:11 | 57:9 68:6,12, | 49:5,7 53:15 |
| 109:3 110:7, | Concepts 51:12 | 14 69:16,17 | 56:9 58:7,15, |
| 11,12 | concerned 42:7 | 73:4 76:22 | 20 61:4,6,17, |
| children's 27:7 | | correct 20:16 | 19 62:1 64:7,9 |
| 97:6 | conditions | 21:2,7,10 | 66:18,20 68:9, |
| Christine 95:24 | 20:23 | | 16,19,23 69:2, |
| 96:10 98:13 | condo 23:4 | 27:9,14 28:11, | 8,22,25 70:5 |
| | 51:2,6 78:24 | 14 29:13 31:7, | 72:8,10 73:15, |
| chronological | conduct 7:20 | 25 32:4,8,12 | |
| 14:8,9 | | 33:5,7,24 | 17,20 74:5,7 |
| circumstances | conference 37:4 | 34:21 35:4 | 77:5 79:2,6, |
| 77:6 91:17 | 58:4 59:3,6,19 | 36:8 40:10 | 10,14,18,24 |
| 92:3 | 60:6 62:9,11, | 43:4,9,17 | 80:4 81:1,19, |
| claim 10:1 | 25 63:1 65:13 | 44:18 47:15, | 24 90:20 91:1, |
| 47:10 80:22 | conferences | 19,22 48:8,16, | 22 92:6,12,14, |
| | 72:18 | 25 49:3,11 | 16,22,24 93:3, |
| claiming 10:4 | confidential | 51:17 52:14 | 5,9,14,18,24 |
| 99:21 | 29:17 | | 94:2,3,6,8,12, |
| clarify 69:6 | | 53:14,18 54:8 | 15,22 95:2,7, |
| clear 95:9 | conform 69:17 | 57:18,24 62:7 | 9,18 96:7,13, |
| clerk 68:12 | conformed 34:8, | 65:1 66:7 | 23 97:18 |
| | 19 68:14 69:16 | 70:22 71:3,17, | 98:17,23,25 |
| clerk's 68:6, | 73:5 | 21 73:9 75:6, | |
| 10,11 70:1 | confused 17:25 | 13 77:4 91:9 | 99:5,10,12,18, |
| client 15:4 | 104:13,16 | 101:16 103:8 | 21,24 100:2, |
| 25:9 26:15 | confuses 100:6 | 109:4 111:12, | 18,19,22 |
| 29:15 35:2,5 | | 13,22 | 101:3,8,13,17, |
| 39:15 69:13,15 | confusion | counsel 5:17 | 22,24 102:1,6, |
| clients 12:16, | 104:14 | 6:2,24 10:12 | 11,18 103:4, |
| 18 13:15,17 | connection 84:2 | 69:19 78:8 | 14,15,17,19, |
| | 85:17,18 | | 20,24 104:5,7, |
| 53:5,10 | consent 62:16 | 97:6 | 9,14 105:3,8, |
| close 46:24 | 91:20,24 92:4 | count 8:23 9:15 | 10,14,16,18, |
| 60:19 73:3 | consistent | County 69:25 | 21,23,24 |
| 106:8 107:24 | 24:10 30:7 | 95:15 96:2,5, | 106:2,5,18 |
| closed 46:20,21 | | 21 | 107:5,10,13, |
| 106:13,16 | contact 60:6 | couple 25:7 | 14,15 108:9, |
| closer 48:14 | contents 75:12 | 72:21 79:21 | |
| Code 104:1 | continuance | | 12,14,16 |
| | 7:6,24 | court 5:3,8,12, | 109:21,23 |
| codicils 17:4 | continue 57:1 | 16,20,24 6:5, | 110:3,15 |
| collateralized | 58:21 104:9 | 7,10,13,15,18, | courteous 7:18 |
| 50:1 | | 21 7:1,5,9,12, | courtesy 24:18 |
| Colon 103:24 | control 39:15, | 15,17 8:9,12, | 100:4,12,13 |
| column 51:3 | 16 | 18 9:4,6,24 | courthouse |
| committed 96:18 | controlled | 10:3,8,10,13, | 34:25 78:3 |
| communication | 52:2,9 | 16 11:3,6,9, | courts 78:22 |
| 58:2 | convenience | 12,13 12:22,24 | 96:17 |
| | 40:8 | 13:24 14:2 | |
| company 23:7 | conversation | 17:9,11,19,22, | crash 53:4 |
| 41:12 48:10 | 6:21 | 24 19:22,24 | |
| | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 |

4

created 26:19 16 30:1 33:18 **Diana** 82:22,24 **divided** 64:24 32:15 35:17, 43:22 50:16 83:8 docket 8:3 19,23 41:11,17 54:16 57:5 **die** 30:14 47:1 document 12:13 60:3 77:12,22 64:24 74:3,12 **died** 28:5 21:13 22:16 75:7 106:24 **crime** 92:10 24:7 26:19 31:11,14 33:3 110:7 **cross** 81:20 42:20 50:8 28:25 29:12 **debacle** 47:10 82:5 90:21 58:24 69:18 31:14 34:8,23 91:6 **Deborah** 32:7 74:16 80:22 35:10,13,18 81:6,7 106:25 38:6,17,21,23 **December** 42:21 cross-39:22,24 40:6 examination 58:24 **direct** 7:19 11:16 58:1 63:4 68:13 82:1 **decide** 8:9,20, 69:6,25 77:1, 81:25 82:15 cumulative 21 45:10 94:24 2,12,14,20 84:9 86:3 105:9,15,18 104:20 110:16, 78:10,18 87:18 89:6 109:20 110:14 17,19 111:3 82:16,18,25 directed 16:2 decided 57:7 current 46:9 83:5,8,14 direction 38:12 custody 69:5 decision 44:12 84:11 85:14 41:17 57:23 111:3,7 **cut** 20:12 77:25 86:12 87:20,24 directly deduction 43:24 98:8 89:4 95:23 51:8 disagree 10:7 96:9,21 97:5, D 95:7 defamation 79:4 7,8,11,16,23 discharge **default** 33:12, Dad 60:16 98:12,19,25 62:14,15,16 16 99:2,6,9,12, **Daily** 74:19 disclose 16:20 Defendant 16,19,22 **date** 14:12 15:1 disclosed 46:12 102:15 100:19 101:4, 17:19,22 23:21 81:14 defendant's 13 102:7 104:3 24:9 40:14 **discuss** 22:22 105:13 108:5 101:20 102:16 63:22 67:17 110:9 25:19 39:14,17 defendants 8:14 84:8 88:1,7,8 46:6 48:22 documents 8:22, **denied** 7:6,24 **dated** 12:15 54:22 60:18 25 9:11,13,14, 15:4 26:14 depending 19,23 13:8 discussed 42:8 62:6 73:7 55:11,12 57:16 59:18 15:15,16,19 83:11 depends 98:2 65:13 107:20 16:22,23 17:2, **dates** 24:1 deposited 20,22 18:7,8, discussion daughter 54:24 105:22 9,18 19:1 22:5,22 32:18 55:25 depression 53:4 20:4,13,14 42:10 54:11 **day** 6:16 8:3,4 descendants 21:8 22:1 25:3 55:19 59:22 52:20 58:12 30:3 98:14,22 26:5,6,8,15,17 63:14 95:14 65:16 67:24 describe 18:2 27:12,21 29:4 discussions 71:25 73:7 28:18 41:8 30:8,15 31:12, 44:25 54:1 109:4 110:17 102:23 16,24 32:10, 74:14 days 15:6,7 designated 19,22,23 disinherited 27:11 28:23 33:13 33:13,17 34:1 55:2 106:9,13, dead 36:15 37:1,13 designation disposition 16 40:4,9,16,19 55:9 57:21 19:2 deal 43:10 41:6,20 44:1, designations dispositions 2,21 45:2,4,5, dealership 27:8 21:25 11,16 55:2,3 50:13 determine 9:15 dispositive 56:15,18 57:6, dealings 67:11 79:22 57:6 65:19 24 60:3 61:15 **dealt** 104:15 developing 97:8 63:3,11,16 **Dear** 64:14 111:2 distributions 64:17 65:16,21 **death** 18:10,15 **device** 38:7 29:25 66:9 71:16,18 20:9,18 29:7, 72:16 73:8

5

| | December | 15, 2015 | 0 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 75:14 76:10, 15,17,19,21 77:10,17,23,24 78:11 79:23 80:12,17,21 82:12 97:14 103:16,19,25 105:2,8 106:7 107:15 108:25 110:1,20 111:11,12,16, 21,22 dollar 23:3 35:22 36:2 dollars 25:18 Don 13:12 draft 32:22,23 63:15 65:16 drafted 30:8 33:13 98:15 111:12,20 drafting 39:13 drafts 26:6,7,9 27:6 37:2 63:20 64:15 drag 41:2 Drive 53:12 duly 10:24 durable 16:24 27:8 65:23 67:14,24 71:5, 6 duties 63:6 | effort 43:10 Eli 97:5 Eliot 5:15 7:16 11:14 18:12 20:14 21:17 33:21 45:7 52:1 56:4,5 60:12,25 62:7, 18,24 63:12 78:16,20 80:14,22 96:10 98:21 Eliot's 78:7 email 60:25 62:6 emergency 78:22 empty 50:5 Enclosed 27:6 enclosing 63:20 73:4 end 8:4 33:22 110:16 enforceable 8:23 9:16 37:15 71:20 110:19,21 enforcing 7:19 entered 82:14 entity 41:11,16 52:4,7,9 envelope 15:24 equal 20:22 21:21 | 55:23 56:18,19 57:8,16 58:6 59:21 60:19 63:3 64:22 69:19 74:23 76:17 77:23,24 80:21 99:3 103:2,6 106:8, 13,15 107:24 111:12 estimate 43:13 event 29:19 30:13 51:16 eventually 29:6 33:25 36:4 evidence 12:21 13:2,23 14:5, 15 17:8,14 19:21,25 20:2 22:3 26:22 27:1,3 34:11, 14,17 39:2,5,8 45:23 46:1,4 61:3,6,9,14 62:2,4 64:6,9, 12 66:17,23 70:3,10 71:5 72:7,10,13 73:21,23 74:4, 7,10 80:13 99:13 100:17 101:19,25 102:8,17 EXAMINATION | 84:8,11 85:2, 7,12 86:2,17, 22 87:2,17 88:13,17 89:20,24 90:5, 8 111:20 execution 36:15 82:12,13,25 83:2,4,8 84:2 85:19 88:8 exercise 33:11 55:3 exercised 21:5, 16 33:21 65:7 exercising 98:3 exhibit 12:11, 12,20 13:1,9, 10,22,25 14:4 15:22 16:11 17:7,13,16 18:3 19:20 20:1 22:3,9,12 26:12,21 27:2, 5 34:3,6,10,16 35:9 36:11 38:20 39:1,7 45:17,18,22 46:3 53:22 60:24 61:3,8, 12 62:3 63:18 64:5,11 65:22, 24 66:17,22 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,9, |
| E | equally 19:6 Eric 8:15 | 11:16 examined 10:24 | 13,15 71:5,9, 10,22 72:6,12 |
| <pre>earlier 93:10, 11 100:3 101:18 earliest 14:11 early 42:16 45:15 46:18 easier 23:1 education 80:16 effect 39:18 60:16 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 97:9,10 effectively 21:4 38:17</pre> | <pre>essentially 28:19 establish 41:22 established 98:9,10 estate 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 18:2,13 20:6,11 25:3 27:20 28:10,23 30:14 33:4 41:5,24 43:18, 19 44:5,8,13 46:7,24,25 48:19 49:15,18 50:7 52:12</pre> | <pre>exception 31:17,18 excluded 33:18 44:22 Excuse 24:12 34:7 106:11 execute 33:25 40:6 84:15,19, 22 86:6,9,12 87:8,20,24 88:3 89:2,8, 12,16 90:11 executed 35:12 40:9 65:21 67:23 68:1 76:22 82:19 83:14,18,22</pre> | 73:3,22 74:3,9 76:25 77:1,5 78:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5,7,10, 16,19,23 85:2, 7,12,19,23 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13,15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2,5,7,12, 16,20,24 90:4, 8,12,16 100:23,25 101:24 102:16 |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

exhibits 11:5 **FDIC** 47:21 FMV 51:3 **Gary's** 101:6 **exist** 40:16 **gave** 46:14 February 46:13 **focus** 110:25 51:15 56:11,20 50:12 existed 30:11 **focused** 83:10 59:1 72:17 52:17 110:23,24 **general** 41:11 81:16 existence 41:16 83:18,22 85:3 **folks** 101:9 **Federal** 27:12 88:9 existing 13:7 **follow** 7:20 15:19 **Fedex** 64:3 generally 18:2 26:16 104:2 59:18 83:15 **felon** 92:21 **exists** 52:20 **forged** 103:22 85:8,13 86:18, **felony** 92:10 104:3 105:13 expected 42:11 22 87:3 88:13 93:2,15 103:1, 107:6,14 expert 91:9 89:21,25 90:5 5 form 36:2 52:17 explain 27:20 100:4 **felt** 55:23,25 formalities 77:5,21 gentleman 51:13 107:15 37:14,24 38:24 explanation **genuine** 77:2 **figure** 41:19 67:15 26:16 80:2 102:5 55:5 formally 51:13 **Express** 27:12 gift 32:2,9 **file** 11:12 **Forman** 12:7 extensive 41:23 39:19 12:14 15:6,8, **formed** 48:23 **extent** 20:20 girlfriend 20 69:22 78:2, 21:4 61:13 forward 8:2 50:12,24 55:24 22 107:10 83:15 85:4 **Found** 30:15 56:21 **filed** 7:8 34:24 86:18 88:10 **fourth** 24:4 give 18:5 21:9, 35:6 43:15 89:21 **fraud** 96:18 11,23 25:23 47:10 74:18 110:2 27:25 30:12 103:14,15,18 **frauded** 104:3 \mathbf{F} 31:12 40:4 107:12 fraudulent 97:5 57:7 64:21 **files** 13:20 face-to-face 103:1,16 69:15 72:24 16:4,15 35:5 72:21 105:8,13 107:6 80:1 81:4 **filing** 7:7,23 fraudulently 91:17 92:3 Facebook 79:19 **final** 29:3 106:15 95:23 96:9 **fact** 9:8 28:15 64:18 97:16,23 **qiving** 45:4 39:18 44:21 finally 7:22 98:14,20 107:5 56:18 99:24 54:4 55:1 **finances** 46:9 75:15 78:10 **freeze** 78:23 100:7 **find** 100:8 **facts** 80:6 **friends** 100:5 **good** 5:5 19:12 **fine** 22:21 80:7 42:14 56:2 104:19 111:1 **front** 89:13,17 finished 81:25 58:7 82:7 **failed** 61:11 **full** 107:17,23 **firm** 12:3,5,9 Gotcha 101:12 **Fair** 51:4 108:21 13:5 16:17 **grammar** 65:19 **fairly** 29:14 **fully** 72:25 26:14 36:21 grandchildren familiar 22:18 **funded** 36:3 69:18 75:22 8:15 19:18 47:22 **funds** 77:15 91:19 103:16 55:6 56:19 **family** 19:12 **future** 26:2 105:2,5,7,12 57:8 59:22 27:7 30:3 107:5 60:10 65:1,9 32:5,13 41:15 **fit** 8:5 G 75:16 77:16 52:8 57:5 63:5 fitting 82:3 80:19 82:8 80:14 98:8,10 Fleisher 12:7 gain 111:15,16, granted 65:7 fashion 77:21 24 **flip** 15:3 23:18 **great** 5:12 **father** 63:5 gained 111:21 31:1 65:24 43:10 56:20 81:6,7 98:9 **gallery** 24:20 **Florida** 37:14, 58:15 111:18 100:9,10,11, 24 41:10,13 Greenwald 15:25 **father's** 97:12 94:19,20 100:3 12,14 16:7,12,19 **favor** 21:16 104:1 **Gary** 101:10 17:3 65:8

Greq 5:9 gross 53:16 guardian 29:19 quess 30:10 32:1 47:10 54:11,23 55:23 75:3 76:25 107:8 **quilty** 93:20 **Gutter** 12:6 **quy** 92:22 100:7 guy's 79:19 н half 8:4 47:17 51:7 53:20 **hand** 11:10 13:9 15:21 16:11 26:12 34:3 35:9 38:20 45:17 60:24 63:18 65:22 71:22 76:25 handle 25:5 handwriting 22:11,19 handwritten 34:20 happen 6:19 104:18,19 happened 45:14 50:9 60:2 75:20 103:21 **happy** 55:1 60:17 heading 29:23 **health** 16:24 27:9 42:12,14 **hear** 9:22 106:11 **heard** 81:11 hearing 79:13 **heart** 42:15 **held** 64:25 **high** 53:5 **hired** 45:1 **history** 72:15 **hit** 47:10 hoc 6:25

holds 52:12 holidavs 25:7 home 51:25 52:5 64:3 Honor 5:5 11:2, 4 12:21 13:23 17:8,23 19:10, 21 26:22 39:2 45:23 49:4 61:11 70:4 72:7 78:25 81:18 100:25 hope 111:5 **hour** 23:17 house 23:3 40:6 51:6 52:8,12 53:12 56:4 housekeeping 61:10 huge 11:6 husband 20:19, 23 Ι **idea** 56:20 90:25 identical 28:19 31:3,16 identification 67:2 71:2 identify 12:12 13:10 17:2 26:13 important 60:17 65:5 71:18 108:19 110:2 importantly 109:11 incapacity 29:20 include 35:5 55:10 56:21 57:21 98:13 included 17:3 38:6 43:15 includes 23:13 including 16:23 **income** 29:24 increasing 55:11

indication 21:23 25:23 72:24 81:4 individually 5:23,25 10:7 influenced 84:1 85:17 influencing 87:8 89:1 90:10 information 85:21 87:7,12 88:22,25 90:15 inherent 28:5 81:5,6 initial 31:4 Initially 75:14 **inject** 24:18 insider 91:24 93:22 instrument 98:2 insurance 23:5, 13 25:20 51:12 53:25 54:2,7,9 55:9 56:22 57:13 **intake** 12:14 15:4 intended 30:8 77:11 97:10 **intent** 65:12 77:7,25 interest 48:20 51:19 52:15 111:15,17,22, 24 internal 54:23 internet 78:17 interruption 82:2 interviewed 96:4 invalid 9:25 10:6 80:5 inventory 43:15 inventorying 43:11 invested 47:13 investing 47:17 investment 47:8,12

investments
 41:15 47:21
involved 25:20
 44:24 48:10
 51:14 93:22
involvement
 36:21
IRA 49:10
issue 95:11
 109:23
issues 42:15,16
 78:15 94:23
 95:3
item 106:3
Iviewit 76:3

J

January 24:3
Jill 18:12 45:8
98:5,7,21
John 82:7
joined 8:17
joint 23:1 57:4
JP 50:1
judge 8:14
81:22 90:19
101:9 103:24
July 65:21
67:18,24 72:17
87:17,21 88:8
89:8

Κ

kick 108:15,18 **kicked** 107:14 kids 108:25 Kim 67:11 71:15 Kimberly 36:18 40:7 66:5 70:17 87:21 88:1,3 89:9, 13,16 102:22 103:22 105:13 107:3 **kind** 42:17 55:4 58:1 75:18 **knew** 25:12 42:17 57:10 67:10,11 76:8

| knowledge 42:13 1ife 23:4,13 43:8 55:2 maximum 47:20, 85:20 87:6,11 43:8 55:9 10xe 6:15 10xel 9:51 85:20 1ifetime 30:24 76:23 105:18 means 94:9,13 20:22 1ifetime 30:24 76:23 105:18 mechanism 22:15 31:8 1ady 99:24 1inited 11:10, 77:23 65:15 66:20 68:12 66:20 68:12 66:20 66:12 66:20 66:12 85:22 55:42 22:14 23:15 23:12,11,11 1apsed 71:11 96:19,22 95:9 97:13 12,17 14:17 1ase 10:6:25 96:9,724 13:6 13:7 17:25 14:6:6,13 23:6,12 23:12,23,12 24:6,23 24:6,23 23:6,12 24:19,21 24:12,23 23:12,12 24:12 23:15 55:4 55:4 10:12,11 11:12 11:12 12:17 14:17 24:18 12:11 | | December | 19, 2019 | 9 |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 85:20 87:6,11 54:6,9 55:9 88:21 90:9,14 16 56:22 Xratish 36:14,17,20,22 11 16 16 16 20,22 11 11 16 17 10 10 31:8 1ady 99:24 11 12,13 11 12,13 10 12,13 11 12,13 10 12,13 10 12,13 10 12,13 13:8 12,14 17,7 14 13:14 13:7 17:12,2,3,4 13:14,17 22:4 23:12,31 10,7:16 15:9,14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 22:4 23:14,17 23:4 23:12,17 13:14 12:7,11 | knowledge 42:13 | life 23:4,13 | love 6:15 | maximum 47:20, |
| 88:22 087:6,11 88:22 90:9,14 54:22 56:22 lunch 40:5 means 94:9,13 105:18 Kratish 36:14, 20,22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 M M meats 10:24 meats 23:23:15 L M meats 11:23 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 12:23:15 L M meats 22:23:15 L M meats 32:23:15 M L M meats 32:23:15 M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M L M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M | 82:13 84:3,4 | 43:8 51:11 | lovelv 5:18 | 24 |
| 88:21 90:9,14 56:22 Iifetime 30:24 M 23.84:14,17, 76:23 Iight 78:15,19 Iady 99:24 Iight 78:15,19 made 32:2 39:19 L Iimited 41:10, 56:20 66:12 1ady 99:24 12,13 66:8, 1arguage 21:13 11,12 67:7 107:16 1apse 57:11 1ase 10:24 1ist 10:21 1ase 10:24 1ist 30:3 98:13,21 1ase 10:24 1ist 50:3 13:7 17:25 13:5 [6:17 1ist 97:24 37:14,24 69:18 144:25 75:22 1ist 97:24 37:13,15 40:8 144:25 75:22 1ive 42:11 1iving 100:8 1awauri 31:9 23:1,6 100:2,12 1aymen 'S 27:19, 22 23:16 11:2,6 1aymen's 27:19, 23 27:9 64:25 77:24 1aymen's 27:19, 23 21:2,224 100:21 1earned 44:21 1coan 49:25 51:8 51:4 16art 16:12,19 10:2:24 martal 30:2 197:14 10:2:24 10:2:24 1aymen's 27:19, 23:17 10:2:2 11:2,6 1ayman 77:9,10 10:3:5 51:25 | 85:20 87:6,11 | 54:6,9 55:9 | - | means 94:9,13 |
| Kratish 36:14, 23.84:14,17, 20,22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 M mechanism 29:21 L light 78:15,19 imitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:147:7 mechanism 29:21 lady 99:24 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15 mechanism 29:21 lady 99:24 limited 41:10, 12,13 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 made 32:2 39:19 55:97:23 65:15 mechanism 29:21 language 21:13 limited 41:10, 12,13 limited 41:10, 12,13 finitations 82:4 mate 32:2 39:19 laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 main 37:3 meeting 13:11, 12:17 14:18 laser 110:24 liste 104:23 liste 107:20 main 37:3 late 106:25 liste 107:21 liste 103:23 make 6:25 8:11 liste 19:15 lawei 13:9 listen 104:23 liste 104:21 liste 104:21 liste 109:12 lawei 31:9 23:1,6 lives 52:1 makes 60:17 memeo 65:20 lawei 31:9 lives 52:1 lives 52:1 maked 45:17 memeo 65:20 layers 77:9,10 lives 52:1 loga 32:35 25:3 martis 30:22 si:14: | 88:21 90:9,14 | | | - |
| 23 84:14,17, 20,22 76:23 light 78:15,19 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:12,13 light 78:15,20 light 78:16,17 light 78:17 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:18 light 78:12 light 78:12 li | | lifetime 30:24 | | |
| 20,22 light 78:15,19 made 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 L minitations 82:4 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 L minitations 82:4 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Lady 99:24 Linked 41:10, 12,13 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Lady 99:24 Linked 41:10, 12,13 make 32:2 39:19 meet 11:23 Language 21:13 Linked 30:3 Biss 25 meet 11:23 Lapsed 57:11 lineal 30:3 99:13/21 main 37:3 meet 13:24 59:14 Late 106:25 Lisa 18:13 45:8 main 37:3 meet 13:23 22:4 12:2:11 Jiste 100:21 liste 100:23 make 12:2 93:6:11 31:7 17:25 46:6:1 54:20 Lawful 20:22 Liste 100:23 1:3:7 17:25 46:6:1 54:20 31:31 Jawrun 31:9 Liste 100:23 1:3:7 17:25 77:10 80:9,11 31:417 23:16 Jawrun 31:9 Live 42:11 1:1:23 24:17 23:16 44:12 23:25 Jawrun 31:9 Live 42:11 1:1:23 24:17 23:16 24:12 25:10 | | | М | |
| L limitations add: 32:2 39:19 23:15 25:8 lady 99:24 limitated 41:10, 23:15 25:8 lady 99:24 lindsay 66:8, 11,12 67:7 107:16 54:22 56:14 54:22 56:14 12,13 107:16 12,17 12,13 107:16 12,17 14:17 22:4 23:15 56:14 12,17 14:18 107:16 12,17 14:17 22:4 23:19,21 12:17 14:18 107:16 12,17 12:17 14:18 12:17 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | |
| L 82:4 45:1 47:7 40:1 45:16 lady 99:24 12,13 57:23 65:15 54:22 56:14 language 21:13 11,12 67:7 10:1 45:16 58:25 lapse 57:11 11,12 67:7 10:716 12,17 14:18 lapse 57:11 11,02 67:7 10:716 12,17 14:18 late 106:25 19:31.21 10:08:16 main 37:3 24:1,2,3,4 13:5 16:17 1isted 97:24 37:13,21 09:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 14:66,13 54:22 13:5 16:17 1iste 10:4:23 13:7 17:25 14:66,13 54:22 57:19,20 75:10 13:7 17:25 13:5 46:17 13:7 17:25 14:17 23:16 24:12,23,4 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 11:12,6 77:10,00:9,11 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:17 1:ves 52:1 1ived 56:4 11:12,2 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:17 10:14 10:4:23 14:17 23:16 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 23:10 10:14 10:22 23:23 72:22 74:15 laymen's 27:19, 23:10 10:14 10:21 77:24 10:14 10:22 14 | 20722 | | made 32:2 39:19 | |
| J 1 | | | | |
| lady 99:24 12,13 11,12 67:7 107:16 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 1ineal 30:3 95:9 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:19 97:13 12,17 14:18 lagse 57:11 95:5,7,21 95:5,7,21 late 106:25 1ist 06:17 main 37:3 24:1,2,3,4 37:14,24 69:18 95:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 46:6,13 54:22 94:19 103:16 1isted 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 1isten 104:23 77:10 80:9,11 82:29 93:6 lawwit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 12:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19,2 77:24 23:6,25 making 68:17 laymen's 27:19 77:24 12.2,24 marital 30:2 43:23 leave 73:10 109:21:2,24 100:4 4:25 75:12 leave 73:10 109:21:17 100:22 102:24 leave 73:10 109:2:14 103:15 25:2 leave 73:10 109:2:14 103:12 6:110 le | L | | | |
| laid65:20Lindsay66:8,97:13meeting13:11,lapsed11,1267:7107:1612,1714:18lapsed57:111ineal30:396:9,2222:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21laser110:241ingo108:16main37:322:423:19,21law12:513:516:1713:532:2335:2557:19,2022:437:14,2469:1813:sten10:2337:13,1540:877:8107:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:2137:13,1540:877:8107:20105:2,12107:51isten10:2235:557:19,2077:8107:8105:2,12107:51isten10:12101:4104:2314:1723:101awrot23:1,6111:2,6makes60:17member101:714:2575:221ived55:1111:2,6match95:314:1723:1016:2423:2372:2274:1510:410:42110:24111:2,6metioned59:312:425:1010:2413:2314:1725:2012:310:1110:2213:514:1713:25:1612:412:1710:3213:1314:1714:1213:514:1713:1514:1713:1514:1714:12:2,2350:151:1 | | | | |
| language 21:13 11,12 67:7 107:16 12,17 14:18 lapse 57:11 lineal 30:3 mail 95:24 11:9,14,17 lapsed 57:11 lineal 30:3 96:13,21 mail 95:24 22:4 23:19,21 laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 mail 37:3 22:4 23:19,21 22:4 23:19,21 late 106:25 list 18:13 45:8 96:5,7,21 make 6:25 8:11 25:6,12 32:21, larse 12:12:107:5 listed 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19,20 75:10 layers 16:7 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 77:20 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 lived 56:4 make 60:17 memo 65:20 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 marital 30:2 43:23 learned 44:21 loans 49:12,51:8 53:4 53:4 62:21 merits 104:21 leared 73:10 loans 49:25 51:8 53:4 72:25 61,11,13 25:16, 11:10e 23:3,5, leared 60:9 a3:5 39:20 as:5 39:20 mariag 30:21, 74:6:12,21,4 64:1,2,4,21,1 loger 42:17 10:3:5 39:20 mariag 30:23 | | | - | |
| LanguageLanguag | laid 65:20 | | | - |
| Lapse5:1198:13,2196:19,2222:422:1,2,7,4Laser10:24Lingo108:16main37:324:1,2,7,4Late106:25Lisa18:1345:8main37:324:1,2,7,4Law12:3,5,913:516:1713:513:717:2525:6,1232:2337:14,2469:1813:ed97:2432:2335:2555:455:455:494:19103:1613:ed97:2432:2335:2577:19,2075:10105:2,12107:513:ed100:856:171:1577:8107:201awrul20:2213:st19:1582:293:614:1723:131awrul20:2213:ed10:4104:2377:28107:2075:101awrul16:711/ve42:11101:4104:2372:2274:151aymen's27:1927:7964:25making66:17memory24:101aymen's27:1927:7964:25marital30:236:2272:251aymen's27:1010:an49:18,2022:1memory24:1012aerned44:2151:710:an49:2551:866:1712aerned44:2110:an49:18,2022:21merital30:212aerned60:921,22,2410:as32:3632:472:2512aerned60:922:438:1553:461:1,1011:1217:3 </td <td>language 21:13</td> <td></td> <td>107:16</td> <td>-</td> | language 21:13 | | 107:16 | - |
| lapsed57:1198:13,2196:9,2222:4 23:19,21lase110:24lingo108:16min37:3late106:25lisg18:13 45:8make6:25 8:1125:6,12 32:21,law12:3,5,9list98:5,7,2113:7 17:2546:6,13 54:2213:5 16:17listed97:2432:23 35:2557:19,20 75:1037:14,24 69:18listen104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:2094:19 103:16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877:8 107:201awful20:22listen 19:1537:10 80:9,1114:17 23:161awger16:7live42:11111:2,6meetings1ayman77:9,10living16:24makes60:171aymen's27:1927:9 64:25makes56:17member77:1211iving16:2425:6,25method 59:31aymen's27:1015:7makes50:17method 59:31eaver73:1010an49:25 51:852:6,25method 59:375:1110an49:18,20,21:2,2,24martaz50:21method 81:61eaytop60:910g-time13:1516:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-time10:22:416:17,18middle65:21.57:2116:12,7610g-21:210:2:2416:17,18middle65:21.57:2117:3 26:1410ag-21:2516:1711:10ag-23:3,5,565:12.616:11 97:6100:22:416:1711: | lapse 57:11 | | mail 95:24 | |
| laser 110:24 lingo 108:16 main 37:3 22:1,27,34 late 106:25 98:5,7,21 make 6:25 8:11 25:6,12,32:22,12 law 12:3,5,9 1ist 50:3 13:7 17:25 18:8 19:1 25:6,12,32:21,25 37:14,24 69:18 listed 97:24 32:23 35:25 55:4 55:20 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 listening 100:8 16:17 17:10 80:9,11 14:17 23:16 lawsuit 31:9 23:1,6 101:4 104:23 77:22 74:15 lawper 16:7 lived 56:1 111:2,6 member 101:7 d4:25 75:22 lived 56:4 111:2,6 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 marital 30:2 32:23 97:14 12:12 51:7 make 60:17 memory 24:10 mentally 55:20 12:21 12:17 54:17 marital 30:2 32:23 62:21 learned 44:21 51:7 54:19,20.55:7 56:21 <td< td=""><td>lapsed 57:11</td><td>98:13,21</td><td>96:9,22</td><td></td></td<> | lapsed 57:11 | 98:13,21 | 96:9,22 | |
| late 106:25 Lisa 18:13 45:8 make 6:25 8:11 25 40:6 45:20 law 12:3,5,9 list 50:5 list 13:7 17:125 25 40:6 45:20 46:6,13 54:22 37:14,24 69:18 listed 97:24 37:13,15 40:6 77:10 | | lingo 108:16 | main 37:3 | |
| law 12:3,5,9 98:5,7,21 13:7 17:25 125 40:6 45:20 law 12:3,5,9 list 50:3 13:7 17:25 146:6,13 54:22 37:14,24 69:18 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 94:19 103:16 listen 104:23 37:13,15 40:8 57:19,20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 listening 100:8 56:1 71:15 77:10 80:9,11 lawyer 16:7 live 42:11 11:2,6 14:17 23:16 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 nakes 60:17 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 memory 24:10 laymen's 27:19, 27:9 64:25 77:24 25:6,25 mentioned 59:3 97:14 48:21,23 50:2 43:23 marital 30:2 62:21 learned 44:21 loans 49:18,20, 21,22,24 marked 45:17 met 14:21 25:16 leaving 60:9 10:2:24 marrieg 30:15, 17:46:12.47:16 14:17 23:16, leaving 60:9 10:2:24 16,17,18 marted 35:2 11:10:22 17:3 26:14 100:2:4 10:2:24 marted 30:23 7,20 53:13,16 leaving 60:9 10:2:24 16,17,18 marited 30:23 | | Lisa 18:13 45:8 | make 6:25 8:11 | |
| law12:3,5,5,7list50:318:819:155:455:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:155:1< | | 98:5,7,21 | | |
| 13:5:14.6:17 1isted 97:24 32:23 35:25 57:19.20 75:10 94:19 103:16 1isten 104:23 37:13.15 40:8 77:19.20 75:10 105:2,12 107:5 1isten 104:23 10:80:9,11 82:2 93:6 77:8 107:20 1awyer 16:7 1ive 42:11 10:4 104:23 77:10 80:9,11 82:2 93:6 14:17 23:16 1ayman 77:9,10 1ive 456:4 101:4 104:23 72:22 74:15 member 101:7 1aymen's 27:19, 23 77:24 77:24 making 68:17 member 101:7 1aearned 44:21 10:31:4.72 51:7 marital 30:2 72:25 merits 104:21 1earned 44:21 10:31:5 25:2 77:24 73:24 73:23 72:25 member 101:7 1earned 44:21 10:31:4.72 54:17 56:21 57:21 member 101:7 metics 104:21 1earned 44:21 10:33:15 25:2 77:24 73:2 73:4 74:13 74:12.2 75:21 mertics 104:21 10:ans 49:18,20 21,22,24 10:33:5 39:20 marriage 30:15, 17.46:12 47:16 10:22,4 16:17,18 10:224 10:224 10:41:24 45:12 38:10 61:10 74:61:2,6,7 | | list 50:3 | | |
| 94.19,103.16listen 104:2337:13,15 40:877.18,107.20105:2,12 107:5listening 100:837:13,15 40:877.18,107.201awful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,677.10 80:9,111awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161awsuit 31:923:1,6101:4 104:2314:17 23:161ayman 77:9,10live 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:201aymen's 27:19, 2377:24matatory 29:24memor 52:202377:2427:9 64:2525:6,2597:1448:21,23 50:254:19,20 55:7metioned 59:397:1451:710an 49:25 51:854:171earned 44:2110an 49:18,20,21,22,24leave 73:1010ar 49:18,20,38:5 39:2017:3 26:1478:18102:2427:19 32:1710g-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3102:2477:1881:1593:9102:24100x 47:13,16103:23,6111:1210x:4 42:15111:210x:24111:210x:24112:2410x:24113:15103:12,18114:1710:21115:1410:21116:12,19102:24117:1881:151115103:23,61115103:24,13,161115103:23,61110:22100;241110:22100;241110:22101:211110:221110:221110:22111110 <td< td=""><td></td><td>listed 97:24</td><td>32:23 35:25</td><td></td></td<> | | listed 97:24 | 32:23 35:25 | |
| 105:2,12 107:5listening 100:856:1 71:15mettings 13:13lawful 20:22lists 19:1523:1,6mettings 13:13lawsuit 31:923:1,611xe 293:614:17 23:16lawyer 16:7lived 42:1111:2,624:25 25:25layman 77:9,10lives 52:1makes 60:17memor 65:20laymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25matters 60:17memor 65:202377:2427:9 64:25matters 50:22mentioned 59:397:1448:21,23 50:243:23mertisl 30:2learne 50:1151:7Maritza 50:2254:19,20 55:7learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21learving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:277:18marriag 30:15,letter 16:12,19102:24Matter 33:26,11,13 25:16,77:18100ked 72:1538:10 61:10matters 63:793:9100ked 72:1538:10 61:10minon 6:2 7:893:9100t 41:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11 | - | | 37:13,15 40:8 | |
| lawful20:22lists19:1577:1080:9,11lawsuit31:923:1,610:4104:1324:2525:25lawyer16:7live42:1111:2,6member101:4104:2344:2575:221ived56:4member101:7memo65:20layman77:2127:964:2577:24making68:17memo65:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24making68:17memo of 55:20laymen's27:19,27:964:2577:24match 24:5572:25memoor 24:10lagnen's77:1010:4104:23memoor 55:2072:25memoor 55:20lagnen's73:1010ans49:2551:854:17memtoed59:3learned44:2110ans49:18,20,53:4martiza50:22merits104:21leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5middle65:2,5leaglese27:2010ng23:1525:273:46,11,1325:16,11746:1247:1617:326:1473:3102:24103:237253:41746:1249:13,20,21,11742:2551:2,6,11746:1249:13,20,21,114:1716,1716,1718:1216,171649:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:1249:13,20,21,11746:12161617< | | | 56:1 71:15 | |
| lawsuit $31:9$ $23:1,6$ $82:2 93:6$ $24:25 25:25$ lawyer $16:7$ live $42:11$ $101:4 104:23$ $24:25 25:25$ $44:25 75:22$ lives $56:4$ $101:4 104:23$ $72:22 74:15$ $76:5$ lives $52:1$ lives $52:1$ laymen's $27:19$ $27:9 64:25$ $77:24$ making $68:17$ layperson $77:21$ LLLP $41:15$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ member $101:7$ learned $44:21$ $54:17$ $48:21,23 50:2$ $25:6,25$ mentioned $59:3$ $75:11$ loan $49:25 51:8$ $54:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leave $73:10$ loans $49:18,20$, $21,22,24$ marked $45:17$ met 1 $4:21 25:16$ leaving $60:9$ $21,22,24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ leaving $60:9$ $102:24$ $10ng 23:15 25:2$ $73:4$ $74:12 47:16$ $17:3 26:14$ $10ger 42:11$ $10ger 42:11$ $16,17,18$ $174:122$ $75:21 76:4$ $102:24$ $102:24$ $81:15$ $103:23$ $72:23,6$ $64:17:7:18$ $81:15$ $10ses 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $10:22$ $11ibility$ $41:12$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10se 53:6$ $103:8:12,18$ $39:19$ $96:11 97:6$ $110:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $10:22$ $77:18$ $81:15$ $10s 44:24:42$ $39:19$ $10:22$ <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>77:10 80:9,11</td> <td></td> | | - | 77:10 80:9,11 | |
| lawyer 16:7live 42:11101:4 104:2372:22 74:1544:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2.6makes 60:17haymen's 27:19,27:9 64:25making 68:17memo 65:202377:2425:6,25mandatory 29:24laymen's 27:1927:9 64:25marital 30:272:252377:2425:6,25mentally 55:201ayperson 77:2151:7marital 30:262:21learned 44:2110an 49:25 51:854:1762:21leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17letter 16:12,19102:24martiage 30:15,17:3 26:14102:24marter 33:217:3 26:14102:24matter 33:216,17,18102:24matter 33:264:1 73:310nger 42:1175:21 76:410ses 53:675:1210ses 53:693:910st 47:13,161ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:12lot 41:24 44:121ability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12 <td></td> <td></td> <td>82:2 93:6</td> <td></td> | | | 82:2 93:6 | |
| 44:25 75:22lived 56:4111:2,6member 101:776:5lives 52:1makes 60:17member 101:7layman 77:9,10living 16:24making 68:17memory 29:24laymen's 27:19, 2327:9 64:25marital 30:277:242377:2425:6,25marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:748:21,23 50:243:23memtod 59:3learned 44:2154:1754:1756:21 57:21merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17michael 8:16leaglese 27:20long 23:15 25:278:18marriag 30:15,17:3 26:14long-timemarted 30:237,20 53:13,1627:19 32:17102:24Matt 38:5,8104:21,3,14,64:1 73:3longer 42:11matter 33:236:10,75:21 76:4looked 72:1538:10 61:10mind 110:2177:1881:1595:16mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:695:16mind 110:2193:9105 47:13,1610 38:12,1839:19111:22105 42:24 44:1239:19minors 7:11 | | | 101:4 104:23 | |
| 76:5lives52:1makesmemo65:20layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17laymen's27:964:25mandatory29:242377:2427:964:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mentioned59:397:1448:21,2350:243:23mertise104:21learn50:1151:7marital30:243:2362:21learned44:21loans49:18,20,marked51:7mertise1eave73:10loans49:18,20,marked51:7marked51:7leaving60:921,22,24marked53:4middle65:2,5lengthy41:2338:539:20marriage30:15,16,17,1817:326:14102:24martied30:237,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24matter33:262:2116,17,1864:173:310nger42:1138:1061:1016,1775:2176:4100ses53:695:16matters63:793:993:9105:447:13,16103:8:12,18100:221iability41:12105:4:2339:19minors7:11 | | | - | |
| layman77:9,10living16:24making68:17memory24:10laymen's27:19,27:9 64:2577:2427:9 64:25mandatory29:24layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25mential30:297:1448:21,23 50:251:748:21,23 50:243:23mentioned59:3learn50:1151:710ans49:25 51:854:19,20 55:7merits104:21leave73:10loans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17metidle65:2,5legalese27:20logan32:3,653:4million23:3,5,legalese27:20long23:15 25:216,17,18marriage30:15,17:3 26:1478:18102:24matter38:5,844:12,2,3,14,16:17 3:310aref42:1138:1061:1044:6,12,13,14,16:17 81102:24matters33:2,3,6,38:1061:1011ability41:12loses53:695:16100:22matters39:910st47:13,161038:12,18,10:22minor6:2 7:893:910st41:2444:1239:199:1197:610:22110:2210:2253:554:239:1910:22 | | | makes 60:17 | |
| laymen's 2327:964:25 77:24mandatory 29:2429:24 mentally 55:20layperson 97:14LLLP 41:15 48:21,23March 24:524:5 25:6,25mentally 55:20learn 75:1151:7March 48:21,2350:2 43:23mentally 62:2151:3 62:21learned 44:2110an 54:1749:18,20, 21,22,24Marked 45:17met 14:21 25:16leaving 60:960:9 21,22,24Logan 32:3,6 38:5Marked 45:17middle 65:2,5letter 16:12,1910ng 23:1523:15 25:20marked 45:17middle 65:2,517:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long 10:22423:15 25:2market 53:451:4 6,11,13 25:16,102:24 75:21 93:9longer 42:11 10sses 77:18matter 33:2 33:933:10 61:10mind 110:21 mind 110:21letting 93:924:17 10sses 53:5losses 53:6 103:15,25:2marters 95:16minor 6:2 7:8 96:11liability Lic 48:6,1041:24 53:554:23minor 53:57:21 | | | making 68:17 | |
| 2377:24March 24:572:25layperson 77:21LLLP 41:1525:6,25marital 30:262:2197:1448:21,23 50:251:7marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marked 45:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20,21,22,24marked 45:17leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,638:5 39:20letter 16:12,1910ng 23:15 25:278:1817:3 26:1410ng 23:15 25:274:027:19 32:17102:24marriage 30:15,64:1 73:3100ger 42:1175:21 76:4longer 42:1177:18losses 53:693:9lost 47:13,16liability 41:12lot 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23 | - | | mandatory 29:24 | - |
| layperson77:21LLLP41:1525:6,25marital30:297:1448:21,2350:243:23marital30:262:21learn50:1110an49:2551:854:17maritza50:22leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leave73:1010ans49:18,20,21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010ag23:1525:253:4million23:3,5,letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,181746:1247:1617:326:14102:24102:24married30:237,2053:13,1664:173:3102:24matter33:238:1061:1016,1716,1714100ger42:1110sses53:61038:1210110:21151110sses53:61038:12,1895:161010:2293:910547:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81ability41:1210553:554:2339:19minors7:11 | | | March 24:5 | - |
| 97:1448:21,23 50:2marital 30:262:21learn 50:1151:7Maritza 50:22merits 104:21learned 44:21loan 49:25 51:854:17marital 30:262:211eave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2454:19,20 55:7merits 104:21leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20marriage 30:15, 16,17,18million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:1617:3 26:14long-time 102:24102:24married 30:23164:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 105 47:13,16matther 33:2,3,6 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 95:16liability 41:12Lic 48:6,1053:5 54:23Matt 38:12,18 39:19minor 57:11 | | | 25:6,25 | |
| learn50:1151:743:23learned44:2151:7Maritza50:2275:11loan49:2551:81eave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24Maritza50:22leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leave73:10loans49:18,20, 21,22,24marked45:17leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17leaglese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:5market51:4 53:4letter16:12,1910mg23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817:326:14 26:14long-time 102:24matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:10matter33:2 38:101etting24:17 93:9losses53:6 10stMatthew32:3,6, 10 38:12,18mind110:21 minor1iability41:12 41:24Lot41:2444:12 39:19minor6:27:8 96:11 | | | marital 30:2 | |
| learned44:21loan49:2551:8Maritza50:22met14:2125:161eave73:10loans49:18,20,54:19,2055:756:2157:21Michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5million23:3,5,lengthy41:23long23:1525:253:4marriage30:15,1746:1247:16letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:216,17,1824,2551:2,6,7,2053:13,1617:326:14102:24matter30:237,2053:13,1654:6,12,13,14,16,1781:1238:1061:10mind110:2154:24,2563:19102:24matter33:238:1061:10mind110:21mine109:1710:2277:1810sses53:695:16Matthew32:3,6,1038:12,1896:1197:693:910st47:13,161038:12,1839:19minor6:27:81iability41:1253:554:2339:1910:22minors7:11 | | | 43:23 | |
| learned44:21loan49:25 51:854:17met14:21 25:1675:1154:1710ans49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21michael8:16leaving60:921,22,24marked45:17middle65:2,5legalese27:2010gan32:3,6 38:5 39:2053:4marriage30:15, 16,17,18middle65:2,5letter16:12,1910ng23:15 25:278:18marriage30:15, 16,17,186,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:1617:3 26:1410g-time 102:24102:24married30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,854:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1254:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1275:21 76:410oked72:1538:10 61:10mind110:2177:1881:1595:16matters63:7 95:16minor6:2 7:8 96:11 97:61iability41:1210t41:24 44:12 53:5 54:2339:19minors7:11 | | | Maritza 50:22 | |
| 75:1154:1754:17leave 73:10loans 49:18,20, 21,22,2456:21 57:21Michael 8:16leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17middle 65:2,5legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20sait53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16,letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18f6,17,18married 30:2317:3 26:14long-time 102:24married 30:23Matt 38:5,8matter 33:264:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24looked 72:15 81:15matter 33:2 38:10 61:10mind 110:211etting 24:17losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 9:19LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23minors 7:11 | | | | met 14:21 25:16 |
| leaving 60:921,22,24marked 45:17mituite 03.2,3legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20saste 39:20market 51:4 53:4saste 39:20lengthy 41:23long 23:15 25:2 78:18long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,1817 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1617 46:12 47:16long -time 102:24marriage 30:2317 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10matter 33:2 38:10 61:1054:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:1264:1 73:3longer 42:11 100ked 72:15matters 63:7 95:16mind 110:21 mind 110:2177:18losses 53:6 10st 47:13,16mattew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6liability 41:1253:5 54:2353:5 54:239:19 | | | - | Michael 8:16 |
| leaving 60:921,22,24legalese 27:20Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20market 51:4 53:4million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:16letter 16:12,19long 23:15 25:2 78:18marriage 30:15, 16,17,18marriage 30:2317:3 26:14 27:19 32:17long-time 102:24married 30:237,20 53:13,1654:24,25 63:19 64:1 73:3longer 42:11 102:24matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7mind 110:21 mine 109:17letting 24:17 93:9losses 53:6 lost 47:13,16Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6 | | | marked 45:17 | middle 65:2,5 |
| legalese27:20Logan32:3,6 38:553:46,11,1325:16, 17:3letter16:12,1910ng23:1525:2marriage30:15, 16,17,1817 46:1247:1617:326:1410ng-time16,17,1816,17,1849:13,20,21, 24,2524,2551:2,6, 7,207,2053:13,1654:24,2563:19102:24Matt38:5,838:1061:1046:1781:1275:2176:4100ked72:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,1895:16100:22Iability41:1210t41:2444:1239:19100:22minor6:2LIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1953:4minors7:11 | leaving 60:9 | | | million 23:3,5, |
| lengthy41:2338:5 39:20marriage30:15,17 46:12 47:16letter16:12,19long23:15 25:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:3 26:14long-time102:2416,17,1824,25 51:2,6,54:24,25 63:19102:24Matt38:5,87,20 53:13,1664:1 73:3longer42:11Matter33:275:21 76:4looked72:1538:10 61:10mind77:1881:15103ses53:695:16letting24:17losses53:695:1693:9lot47:13,1610 38:12,1896:11 97:6liability41:1253:5 54:2339:1996:11 97:6 | legalese 27:20 | - | | 6,11,13 25:16, |
| letter16:12,19101g23:1525:216,17,1849:13,20,21,17:326:1410g-time30:2324,2551:2,6,27:1932:17102:24102:24Matt38:5,864:173:310ger42:11Matt38:5,875:2176:410oked72:1538:1061:1077:1881:1581:1538:1061:10matters63:793:910st47:13,161038:12,18100:221iability41:1253:554:231038:12,18JIC48:6,1053:554:2339:1996:11 | lengthy 41:23 | | | 17 46:12 47:16 |
| 17:3 26:1478:1827:19 32:17long-time54:24,25 63:19102:2464:1 73:3100 er 42:1175:21 76:4looked 72:1577:1881:15letting 24:17103ses 53:693:910st 47:13,16liability 41:1210t 41:24 44:12LIC 48:6,1053:5 54:23 | letter 16:12,19 | | | 49:13,20,21, |
| 27:19 32:17 101g-time 54:24,25 63:19 102:24 64:1 73:3 10nger 42:11 75:21 76:4 100ked 72:15 77:18 81:15 10st 47:13,16 10sses 53:6 1ability 41:12 1ot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matt 38:5,8 Matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 Matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 | 17:3 26:14 | | | |
| 54:24,25 63:19 102.24 64:1 73:3 longer 42:11 75:21 76:4 looked 72:15 77:18 81:15 losses 53:6 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19 | 27:19 32:17 | | | |
| 64:173:3 10nger 42:11 75:21 76:4 looked 72:15 77:18 81:15 93:9 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 | | | | |
| 75:21 76:4 100ked 72:15 77:18 81:15 letting 24:17 losses 53:6 93:9 lost 47:13,16 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 matters 63:7 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 minors 7:11 | | - | | |
| Ietting 24:17 losses 53:6 95:16 110:22 93:9 lost 47:13,16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10:38:12,18 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 39:19 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 95:16 minor 6:2 7:8 | | | | |
| Pacting Package | | | | |
| Isst 47:13,16 10 38:12,18 liability 41:12 lot 41:24 44:12 10 38:12,18 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 39:19 minor 7:11 | - | | | |
| Itability 41:12 Iot 41:24 44:12 39:19 96:11 97:6 LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 39:19 minors 7:11 | | | | |
| LIC 48:6,10 53:5 54:23 minors 7:11 | - | | | |
| | | | | minors 7:11 |
| | 51:11 | 110:21 111:11 | | |
| | | | | |

minute 18:5 minutes 58:9, 11,16,17 miscellaneous 23:4 misdemeanor 93:20 misperceiving 99:10 **mistake** 39:13 77:10 107:16 **mix** 57:5 Molly 8:16 **mom's** 99:8 **money** 22:6 23:2 44:13,14 47:14 48:15 56:6 81:5,9 **monies** 47:11 **month** 27:11 months 25:7 46:19 Moran 36:18 66:5 67:11 70:17 71:15 87:21 88:1,3 89:10,14,16 102:22 Moran's 105:13 Morgan 50:1 morning 5:5 58:8 Morrissey 8:11, 13 81:22 82:6, 8 90:18 mortgage 23:4 52:12,22 mother's 45:2 55:2 63:3 78:24 107:24 **move** 12:20 13:22 17:7 19:20 26:21 34:10 39:1 42:4 45:22 61:3,11,13 64:5 66:16 70:3 72:6 73:13,14 74:4 85:25 92:7 95:10 100:9

moving 29:23 84:7 87:15 89:4 Ν **name's** 82:7 **named** 32:2 36:17 44:20 51:13 57:13 66:8 111:17 **names** 19:15,17 narrowest 41:21 **natural** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 **nature** 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:9 89:21 necessarily 47:25 **needed** 25:12 60:20 61:1 negative 79:19 **net** 22:5,6,15, 23 25:15 51:25 52:25 53:5,10 **nobody's** 99:13 non-survivor 31:25 **Nos** 17:13 notarization 103:2 notarized 36:17 66:8 71:4 103:12,19,23, 25 107:14 **notary** 37:8 66:25 70:24 **noted** 101:1 **notes** 13:11,12, 16,19 14:7,11, 15,16 22:2,25 23:11,18 24:2 25:14 32:25 45:19,20 51:19 54:6 **notice** 74:18 November 9:5 12:15 15:5 22:4 23:12

25:25 40:15 49:23 86:2 106:21 107:2 **number** 15:23 55:12 72:18 73:15 81:11 **numbers** 13:20 0 **obey** 82:4 **object** 10:17 35:7 49:4 56:8 68:15 75:14 78:25 80:25 objected 79:8 objecting 68:21,24 objection 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11 19:22,24 26:23 34:12 39:3 45:24 49:5 60:9 61:4,17, 19 64:7 66:18, 20 68:16,23 69:4,8,9 70:5, 7 72:8 73:18 74:5 75:18 79:10 80:6 91:21 92:5,11, 17,18 93:23 94:9,12,21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 100:22,24 102:1 103:3 104:4,11 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:3,14 111:25 objections 104:24 108:17 **objects** 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 obtained 68:12 occupation 12:1 **Ocean** 53:12

October 106:20 odd 100:8 offering 101:24 **office** 36:19 37:5,7,8 59:13,16,17 66:6,12 68:10, 11 70:1 71:12, 13 95:15 102:25 106:7 Officers 101:8 offices 37:4,6, 11 40:23 67:12 **offset** 49:17 offshore 47:9 **oldest** 31:24 open 12:14 15:8 **opened** 15:7 74:19 opening 43:12 operating 47:2, 3 108:24 operative 9:12 61:15 **opinion** 10:12 60:5 83:13,25 85:3,7,12 86:17,21 87:2 88:18 89:20,25 opportunity 91:3 opposed 80:3 opposite 99:17 optimistic 26:2 **order** 14:8,9 19:11 53:15 91:20,24 92:4 original 11:11 34:22 35:1,6 69:22,24 103:18 originally 107:12 originals 35:17,19 69:12 72:4 73:11 **outlived** 28:15 outstanding 49:19 **overrule** 94:12

overruled 49:7 passed 31:5 20:1 27:1,2 11,20 55:4 46:19 73:25 56:9 81:1 34:15,16 39:6, 65:7,23 67:14, 24 71:6 77:15 92:12 94:11 75:1 110:12 7 46:2,3 58:10 95:18 96:13 59:1 61:7,8, 98:3 **past** 60:3,4 103:4 106:18 20,21,22 62:2, **powers** 16:24 **pause** 58:8 3 64:10,11 overruling 27:8 77:12 **paying** 57:10 66:21,22 70:8, 92:18 practical 83:23 pecuniary 9 72:11,12 overstate 53:10 85:13 87:3 111:15,17 73:21,22 74:8, 88:19 90:5 **owned** 48:23 **perceive** 102:13 9 82:3 52:1,4 practice 13:16 **percent** 20:15 **plan** 18:3,7,9, 71:15 owns 52:8 21:17,19 33:22 14 25:10 46:7 practicing percentages 64:22 65:19 91:16 18:4 Ρ 99:4 **prepare** 26:4,6, **period** 49:23 planning 12:2 7 63:15 77:23 P.A. 105:4 permission 13:7 15:12,14 prepared 9:22 **Palm** 69:25 59:25 16:22 25:3,6 19:14 32:13 74:19 95:15 permitted 96:24 27:21 30:14 41:4 64:15 96:1,5,20 person 40:1 41:5,24 57:17 77:6,7,17 **Pam** 18:12 20:12 71:4 77:22 76:17 77:18, preparing 27:22 21:12 33:18 87:7,12 88:22, 23,24 80:21 presence 70:18 44:19,25 45:4 25 90:9,15 **play** 40:22 84:16,20,23,24 54:25 77:25 personal 18:22 44:4,7 86:6,10,13 **Pam's** 98:13 19:5 20:4,10 **plead** 92:10 87:21,25 88:4 paragraph 29:23 28:6,7,22 29:7 93:15 89:9 108:4 40:10 42:25 31:2 39:10,11 **pled** 93:20 **present** 36:13 43:14 48:20 65:1,2,6 plus-four 55:17 59:8,10 68:1 63:6 69:19 paraphrasing **point** 38:1 42:3 83:2,4,7 74:22 106:8,14 60:15 44:19 45:10 pretty 36:2 111:18 parents 19:18 52:24 56:3 previously 38:6 personally 98:9 57:12,23 63:4 103:13 14:25 36:22 part 29:17 42:8 67:8 75:7,21 **price** 53:16 37:12 67:1,3 46:13 65:4 78:7 108:19 70:24 71:1,14 primarily 79:22 99:3,4 **policy** 54:15,18 perspective **primary** 29:12 participate 8:7 56:22 57:8,9, 24:6 principal 20:19 10:18 12 petition 62:14, **prior** 14:22 **Ponzi** 47:13,18 **parties** 10:14 15 78:22 15:14 16:7 35:16 100:6 **portion** 58:12 **phone** 56:16 25:5 29:16 107:13,25 79:16 62:21,23 39:21 110:6 108:23 portions 62:14 physically privilege 38:9 partner 5:9 possessed 6:4 59:12 39:15 13:12 22:24 possession pro 5:15 6:25 31:22 41:11 **picture** 94:19 108:6,22 105:5,7 **place** 21:24 probate 24:8 109:1,2,16 82:1 101:2 **partner's** 14:15 29:15 43:13,16 possibility partnership plaintiff 5:6 46:18 99:1,22 46:7 101:1 104:1 41:10,12,14 8:1,19 10:20 posting 79:19 101:18 probated 102:5 **party** 24:15 **poured** 28:2,4 plaintiff's problems 42:12 **pass** 18:11,14 **power** 20:21 8:8,17 12:12, procedure 47:2, 20:20 28:8 21:1,4,9,15 25 13:1 14:3,4 3 108:24 42:18 55:3 30:1,4,13 17:12,13 19:25 57:4,13 31:12,15 33:6,

procedures 72:1 **proceed** 58:20 proceeding 7:4 24:19 procuring 84:5 85:22 87:13 88:23 90:16 produced 13:20 16:5 67:2 71:2 **product** 30:18 47:8 properly 33:21 37:23 40:9 71:16,19 property 18:22 19:5 20:5,10 28:6,7 42:25 43:14 83:15 85:4 86:19 88:10 89:22 protection 42:8 **provide** 15:13 18:9 29:24 57:3 76:14,18 91:8 provided 15:17, 18 16:14 31:13 45:6 76:21 78:7 103:14 providing 55:10 59:21 provision 29:3 **public** 42:20 published 74:19 **pulled** 55:24 purported 47:8 purpose 13:4 54:21 78:5 purposes 14:7 43:12 **put** 9:9 10:19 19:14 20:7 23:25 24:6 35:22 36:4 43:10 48:13, 17,18 51:23 52:16 58:3 63:6 71:5 80:18 96:21 99:13 101:19 putting 78:10

Q qualify 46:25 question 6:5,7, 10,22,23 7:1,9 8:19 38:11 68:19 69:10 79:7,11,15 86:5 92:7,25 93:10,12,14, 18,24 94:10, 13,16 95:2 98:23 99:10 103:7 106:5 questioning 79:1,2,7 questions 5:22 7:22 81:18,22 90:18,22 91:2, 4 94:23 95:3 96:24 97:1 100:15 102:18 104:11,22 108:10 111:1,4 quick 111:11 quickly 104:17 R **Rachel** 40:11 86:7,10,12 **Range** 50:13,19 **read** 7:7,23 9:9 23:1 27:5 53:23,24 54:25 77:23 79:15,16 84:13 reading 26:17 27:19 48:8 65:1 77:10 97:14 **ready** 5:4 58:20 **real** 53:8 111:11 **realize** 50:20 realized 48:3 reallocate 30:4 Realty 52:8 **recall** 20:13 22:17 32:20

38:4 45:13 71:10 74:17 75:20 76:1 **receipt** 62:16 receivable 47:5 48:1,6 **receive** 12:25 17:12 62:18 66:21 70:8 98:11 102:12 109:11,13 received 13:1 14:3,4 17:13 20:1 27:2 34:16 39:7 46:3 48:5 54:24 61:8 62:3,19,20 64:11 66:22 70:9 72:12 73:22 74:9 76:4 100:23,25 102:16 106:25 109:14 **recess** 58:16 recognize 22:11 35:10 38:21 45:18 67:20 **record** 11:18 13:10 26:13 28:20 29:18 42:21 79:16 **records** 106:23 **recover** 47:25 redirect 30:4 referring 55:15 62:24 63:1 99:1 **reflect** 14:11 23:19reflected 18:3 77:19 regard 71:8 reinstated 57:12 relation 56:13 relationship 14:22 41:23 42:17 85:8 86:23 88:14 90:1 102:23

released 63:5 46:24 releases 47:1 60:21 103:12 relevance 42:3 49:6 56:8 79:1,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 102:3, 13 103:3 109:19,21,23 110:14 relevancy 79:12 80:25 relevant 39:23 42:1 48:22 92:6,13 95:3, 5,11 102:2,3 109:22 110:1 **relief** 99:5,16, 18 **rely** 37:8 **remain** 29:17 remained 29:3 remaining 30:2 58:11 Remember 100:3 **remove** 38:5 39:19 **removed** 38:8, 12,18 **repeat** 104:24 replacement 31:5 reporter 79:15, 17 represent 68:9 82:8 representative 28:22 29:7 63:6 69:19 106:9,14 111:18 representatives 74:23 represented 6:2 7:13 10:11 16:8 request 7:5 45:2

| | December | 15, 2015 | 13 |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| requested 45:15 | 45:22 46:5 | send 35:4 44:1 | 25 37:10 38:1, |
| required 36:1 | 49:9 56:10 | 108:25 109:10 | 13,17 39:14, |
| 37:14,24 90:21 | 58:14,22,23 | sending 16:20 | 17,24 40:1,3, |
| | 61:3,10 62:5 | - | 23 41:24 |
| requirement | 64:5,13 66:16, | sense 41:21 | 42:15,18,20 |
| 104:1 | 24 68:9 69:11 | 101:5 | 43:2 44:2 |
| residuary 20:6, | 70:3,11 72:6, | sentence 20:20 | 46:17 58:24 |
| 11,15 28:12 | 14 73:13,16,24 | 21:3 29:25 | 61:12,20,21,23 |
| 43:2 | 74:4,11 79:21, | separate 62:23 | 75:9 76:22 |
| respect 78:22 | 25 80:7,8 | 64:25 | 77:2 78:13 |
| 85:25 103:6 | 81:3,18 91:21 | September 73:25 | 80:11 82:15,19 |
| respond 60:12 | 92:5,11 93:23 | 106:25 | 83:7,11,13,17, |
| response 12:23 | 94:21 95:17 | serve 28:24 | 21,25 84:8,10, |
| 14:1 17:10 | 96:6,12 97:17 | 40:11 | 15,20,23 85:1, |
| 19:23 26:24 | 98:16 99:17 | service 62:15 | 6,11,17 86:2, |
| 34:13 39:4 | 100:24 102:3,9 | session 58:9 | 5,10,13,22 |
| 45:25 61:5 | 103:3 104:4 | set 8:3,23 | 87:1,8 91:12 |
| 64:8 66:19 | 105:9,15 | 32:21 33:12,16 | 95:23 97:8 |
| 70:6 72:9 | 106:17 109:19 | 37:1 41:10 | 101:14 103:2,6 |
| 73:19 74:6 | 110:14 111:25 | 52:4 58:1 | 106:8 |
| rest 63:7 | Rover 50:13,19 | 72:16 | Shirley's 18:25 |
| restated 9:18 | Rubin 12:6 | settled 94:20 | 22:6 28:22 |
| 64:16,23 71:23 | | seven-fifty | 30:1 34:7 |
| 89:6 | Ruffin 12:6 | | 35:11 40:17,20 |
| retain 12:8 | rule 103:24 | 54:13,14 | 43:13 44:20 |
| retaining 13:5, | 104:2 109:24 | Seventeen 73:16 | 48:24 50:4 |
| 14 | rules 7:20 | share 26:9 | 51:1,7 56:19 |
| returned 100:12 | ruling 93:5,9 | 40:19 49:2 | 58:6 60:10,19 |
| reverse 14:8,9 | 95:10 | 63:11 | 65:8 68:11 |
| review 13:6 | rulings 104:23 | shares 20:21 | 76:16 77:10,14 |
| | | 21:21 23:6 | 97:24 98:1,6 |
| 74:20 94:20 | S | 51:14 80:18 | 106:13 110:17 |
| revocable 27:7 | | sharp 55:20 | short 27:25 |
| 28:2 29:16 | sale 53:16 | 72:25 | shorter 31:2 |
| 75:4 | save 52:7 | sheet 12:14 | shorthand 28:20 |
| revoked 9:13,23 | scale 55:11 | 52:16 53:8 | 51:4 |
| robert 10:21,23 | | Sheriff 96:2,5, | |
| 11:19 82:5 | schedule 25:10 | 21 | shotgun 110:24 |
| 91:6 | scheme 47:13,18 | Sheriff's 95:15 | show 17:1 22:9 |
| role 40:23 | Scott 75:22 | Shirley 8:25 | 36:2 |
| 44:4,7 | 76:4 | 9:1,2,14 | showed 32:25 |
| room 59:14 | seat 100:10,11, | 11:20,23 12:8, | shows 18:19 |
| room's 82:3 | 13 | 16,18 13:4,14 | 49:20 |
| Rose 5:5,6,9 | seated 24:20 | 14:19,21 16:8, | Si 13:13 18:10 |
| 8:10,21 9:5,8 | SEC 91:20 92:2 | 12 17:17 18:10 | 28:19,22 37:11 |
| 10:2,20 11:2, | 94:20 | 19:15 21:22 | 40:3 42:14 |
| 4,7,10,14,17 | secretary 66:13 | 23:16 24:9 | 43:13,23 45:3 |
| 12:20 13:3,22 | seeking 99:5,7, | 25:1 26:10 | 46:25 47:7 |
| 14:6 17:7,15 | 15,18 101:1,19 | 27:13 28:1,9, | 54:21 58:25 |
| 18:1 19:10,20 | 102:4,6 | 15,18 30:22 | 60:20 64:14 |
| 20:3 24:24 | seeks 9:15 | 31:2,11,23 | 73:2 98:3 |
| 26:21 27:4 | self-proving | 32:1,18 33:3, | Si's 34:5 |
| 34:10,18 39:1, | 70:21 | 17,25 35:22 | 48:19,24 50:16 |
| 9 41:21 42:5,6 | | 36:4,11,13,22, | 66:12 67:23 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | December | 15, 2015 | 14 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 71:13 76:17 | 21:22 22:5,14 | 73:16 93:4,16 | spend 44:12 |
| siblings 21:17 | 23:2,16 24:25 | 98:24 101:23 | split 19:6 20:5 |
| 63:15 103:13 | 25:15 26:9 | 104:8 | spoke 45:3 |
| 107:4 | 27:13 28:1,9, | sisters 33:23 | spouse 30:13 |
| sic 104:3 | 20,23 29:9 | 45:8 98:4 | 44:17 |
| sicker 42:18 | 30:22 31:4,12, | 107:1 | spouses 30:4 |
| side 5:14 8:6,8 | 14,21 32:18 | sit 24:17 | St 53:17 |
| 49:16 58:11 | 33:6,17,25 | 100:4,5 | |
| 82:3 99:6 | 35:7 37:1,17, | sits 34:23 | stack 14:10 |
| 102:6 | 19 40:1,20,23 | sitting 24:17 | stamp 13:20 |
| sign 37:1 38:17 | 41:24 42:7,23 | 37:3 | 16:17 68:6 |
| 61:1 67:14 | 43:25 44:2,5, | situation 44:16 | stand 58:13 |
| 69:13 91:24 | 8,11,12 45:10 | skipped 65:4 | standard 13:16 |
| 108:25 109:16 | 46:6 47:4 | slander 79:4 | 29:14 47:2,3 |
| signature 22:20 | 50:6,12 51:12 | slighted 56:1 | 108:24 |
| 35:14 36:8,11 | 52:2,9 53:20 | | Stanford 47:8,9 |
| 65:25 66:3 | 54:1 55:19,22 56:13 57:15 | small 54:17 | Stansbury 31:22 |
| 70:15,23 107:6 | 59:10,12,14,20 | sold 53:13 | 51:13 |
| signature's | 60:8 63:10,16, | 78:24 | star 48:17 |
| 108:4 | 19 64:1 65:25 | sole 28:10 | start 14:11 |
| signatures | 67:10 68:1 | 42:24 43:5,20, | 29:9 91:5 |
| 34:20 35:16 | 69:18,20 70:16 | 21 44:17 75:4 | started 72:16 |
| 103:22,23 | 71:23 72:19,24 | somebody's | starting 57:20 |
| signed 26:5 | 73:4,25 74:12, | 101:7 | starts 29:25 |
| 27:12,22 29:4 | 15 77:15 78:13 | son 31:24 32:7 | state 11:18 |
| 32:19 36:25 | 80:9,11,22,23 | 51:12 | 95:22 107:24 |
| 37:13,23 38:23 | 81:13 82:9 | sophisticated | stated 12:24 |
| 39:24 62:17 | 87:16,20,25 | 25:10 | 14:2 17:11 |
| 63:4 65:17 | 88:4,8,13,18 | sort 27:19 | 19:24 70:7 |
| 70:17,24 | 89:1,5,8,13, | 30:10 44:11 | 107:3 |
| 71:10,16,19,25 | 17,19,25 90:4, | 46:14 48:18 | statement 22:15 |
| 72:3 73:8 | 11 91:12 | 64:20 | 68:17,22,25 |
| 74:15 77:14 | 106:8,9,16 | sound 24:10 | 69:2,9 109:2, |
| 78:13 96:9 | 107:3,7,18,24 | 74:1 | 16 110:13 |
| 107:1,13,18,19 | 108:3 109:1,2, | Spallina 10:21, | stating 108:5 |
| 108:1,3,21,23 | 15 110:10 | 23 11:19,21 | status 46:17 |
| 109:4 111:21 | Simon's 9:24 | 15:22 54:4 82:5,7 91:6,8 | 75:25 |
| signer 31:25 | 18:24 22:5,23 | 95:14 105:4,12 | stay 7:3 |
| significant | 27:15 29:7,22 | Spallina's | Stephen 15:25 |
| 56:6 | 31:5 37:5,6,8 43:20 51:16 | 61:15 | 16:7 |
| significantly | 65:12 75:1 | | stock 53:4 |
| 52:25 | 107:11 108:22 | speaks 19:5 | 80:18 |
| signing 35:15 | 110:7,9,19 | special 30:1 32:9 65:7 | stood 46:14 |
| 39:21 | simple 28:2 | | stop 6:13 7:12 |
| similar 30:10 | simple 28.2 simpler 77:21 | 80:9,11,13,16, 20,23 | 19:8 41:18 |
| 37:1,2 | - | | 96:23 104:7,22 |
| Simon 8:16 9:17 | SIPC 47:5,10,20 | specific 32:2 38:6 39:19 | story 52:25 |
| 10:4 11:20,23 | 48:4,15 | specifically | stress 63:7 |
| 12:8,16,18 | sir 5:15 6:14 7:15 8:11 9:8 | 39:14,17 57:15 | strictly 82:4 |
| 13:4 14:19,21 | 16:16 24:22 | | strike 44:10 |
| 16:2,8,12,20, | 42:5 58:14 | speed 50:3 | 63:25 71:9 |
| 21 17:17 19:15 | 12.J JU.14 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

80:10 structure 21:24 struggling 55:22 subject 20:22 65:20 99:22 **submit** 100:17 105:12 106:7 Tab submitted 103:16 105:2 subsequent 81:8 successor 5:7 28:23 29:6,20 31:4,9,19,20, 22,24 43:5 74:25 75:9 78:23 **Sue** 18:12 **sued** 5:25 6:3, 9,11 suffered 53:6 suggested 57:3 suggesting 101:2 **summary** 26:17 46:14 63:24 64:21 superseded 9:23 supporting 56:5 supposed 24:17 21 75:15 80:23 supposedly 6:1 47:11 surrogate 27:9 survive 65:9 survived 28:9 42:23 surviving 44:17 **survivor** 18:10, 11,14 20:9 21:8,16 28:8 30:12 33:18 sustain 69:3 80:6 94:8 110:3 sustained 69:9 91:22 92:17 93:24 94:22 96:7 97:18 98:17 104:5,11 105:10 110:15

sway 60:4 10:24 sworn 69:3 109:2,16 **system** 70:1 т 19:11 101:18 table 5:17,21 100:6 talk 6:15 15:10,11 29:9 32:21,22 33:1 40:2 56:14 57:20,22 58:5 59:20 talked 52:16 54:4 55:10 56:17 talking 20:24 78:11 79:3 talks 19:4 20:17 tangible 18:22 19:5 20:10 28:7 42:24 43:14 tax 43:22 49:15 taxes 43:18,19, technically 39:15 **Ted** 5:6 18:12 28:23 29:6 31:5,8,24 32:6 33:18 43:25 44:2,4 45:7 51:12 66:12,14 75:8,10 98:13 **Ted's** 32:7 77:25 telephone 72:18 telling 91:2 110:25 ten 57:8 58:16 59:22 60:10 77:15 80:18 tendency 53:10 term 43:8

terms 9:16 20:22 27:19,24 77:13 102:7 **Tescher** 12:6 15:22 23:10 74:22,25 105:4,12 **Tescher's** 13:12 22:25 51:19 54:6 testamentary 8:22 9:10 29:12 37:24 38:24 39:23 41:5,20 44:2 45:11 63:11 67:15 77:2 80:12 testified 10:25 61:16 102:22 111:11 testimony 9:22 91:9 94:25 theoretically 21:11 29:11 **thing** 64:20 78:21 99:14 things 41:8 42:8 43:11 49:12 53:4 60:2 78:17 79:21 101:9 104:18,19 110:23 111:3 thinking 56:18 thought 49:1 50:19 53:20 55:8 59:23 60:2,11 81:5,9 95:9 tightly 110:25 til 109:17 110:12 **time** 8:5,18 10:17 12:1 13:14 19:12 22:7 25:11,20 26:2 32:15 36:14,25 40:20 41:1 44:13,19 46:15 49:1,19, 23 52:7 53:6

56:3 58:8,10, 14,17 67:8 72:1,19,24 75:11 76:8 82:4 83:13,17, 21 85:1,6,11 86:16,21 87:1 88:7,12,17 89:19,24 90:8 96:17 104:24 106:9,15 108:19 times 7:21 25:24 81:15 94:2 96:4 **title** 29:16 titled 101:14 titles 111:16 **today** 8:9,17,20 9:20 34:23 36:1 51:24 76:6,8 82:10 91:8,15 109:3, 24 111:4,12 today's 14:7 told 51:18 60:5,20 100:3 top 20:18 28:18 47:5 totaled 49:12, 13 totals 23:5 **Traci** 36:14,22 84:12,14,16, 20,22 traded 50:12,18 trading 91:25 93:22 transmittal 77:18 transmitting 26:15 **travel** 37:11 **treated** 102:5 tree 19:13 32:5 trial 5:10 6:22 8:2,4 104:16, 17 **trials** 7:20 75:22 Tripp 76:4

| | December | 15, 2015 | 16 |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| true 21:13 | Typically 30:12 | values 53:7,8 | wished 25:3 |
| 26:18 71:16 | | verbal 12:23 | wishes 97:12,15 |
| 76:24 110:13 | | 14:1 | |
| trust 6:3 9:1, | υ | | withdraw 38:11, |
| 3,18,21,25 | | vice 6:25 | 15 |
| 10:5 20:19,22 | ultimately 57:6 | View 75:25 | withdrawing |
| 27:7 28:2,13 | 65:20 | <pre>virtually 31:3</pre> | 93:11 |
| 29:10,16,18,22 | Um-hum 33:8 | visit 41:1 | withdrawn |
| 30:2,3 31:13, | 51:10 | volition 73:1 | 100:13 |
| 23 33:3 35:11, | unable 28:24 | | withdrew 91:19 |
| 19,23,25 36:5 | uncommon 25:8 | W | witnesses 36:10 |
| 37:2,19,20 | 53:3 | | 70:16 84:12 |
| 38:2,24 40:17, | unconfused | wait 80:5 | wives 100:5 |
| 18 43:3 48:24 | 104:17 | waiting 46:22, | word 53:22 |
| 51:1 57:13 | underline 67:2, | 23 | words 27:6 |
| 61:12,22,24 | 3 | waiver 61:1 | work 7:18 22:2 |
| 64:16,23 65:8 | underlying 63:3 | | 36:19 91:12 |
| 69:12 71:23 | underneath 50:4 | 62:13,15 103:12 107:1, | worked 66:12 |
| 73:11 74:15 | 51:23 | 7,10,18,19,23 | working 12:2 |
| 75:1,4,9,12 | understand | 108:21 109:14 | 110:23 |
| 78:24 80:18 | 24:21 77:11 | waivers 46:23 | works 94:6 |
| 84:8 85:2 86:2 | 88:9,13,18 | 47:1 60:21 | world 53:3 |
| 87:3 89:6,9,12 | 89:21 90:1,5 | 62:20 105:13 | |
| 90:6 95:23 | understanding | 107:4,12,21,25 | worth 22:5,6, |
| 97:8,24 98:1, | 83:18,23 85:3 | 108:2,5,7,23 | 15,23 25:15 |
| 2,7,8,11 99:8 | understood | 109:3,5,7,17 | 51:18,19,21,25 52:25 53:5,11 |
| 101:15 110:18, | 83:14 85:8,13 | 110:7,10 | |
| 20 111:19 | 86:18,23 87:3 | Walker 40:11 | write 21:14 |
| trustee 5:7 | unduly 84:1 | 86:7,10,12 | wrong 92:22 |
| 6:3,12 29:20 | 85:17 87:8 | wanted 10:10 | wrote 23:10 |
| 31:9,19,20,22 | 89:1 90:10 | 13:6 17:24 | 49:15 |
| 43:5 75:4,9 | unequal 20:22 | 21:23,25 | |
| 78:24 111:18, | unlike 68:10 | 31:21,23 32:23 | Y |
| 24 | 69:12 | 37:10 55:24 | |
| trustees 75:1 | | 56:1 57:7,22 | Yates 76:11 |
| trusts 16:24 | untimely 7:25 | 59:20,22 60:1 | 95:24 96:10 |
| 20:7 27:7 | unusual 44:16 | waste 8:6 10:16 | 98:13,20 |
| 29:24 32:13,15 | · | wasting 44:13 | year 43:17 56:6 |
| 64:25 80:16 | v | watches 101:10 | years 47:17 |
| 98:10 | | ways 57:4 | 55:12,14,16,17 |
| truthfulness | vague 77:9 | 104:15 | |
| 95:1 | Vaguely 76:2 | wealth 60:10 | |
| turn 14:14 | valid 8:22 | Weiss 5:10 | |
| 19:9,11 24:13 | 9:11,15 10:1,5 | | |
| 34:19 35:21,22 | 35:25 37:15,21 | well-being 101:11 | |
| 68:4,5 70:12 | 71:19 77:2 | | |
| 82:17 | 79:23 80:1,3,5 | When's 96:17 | |
| turning 8:1 | 101:2 110:18, | wife 5:18 32:7 | |
| typewritten | 20 | wife's 59:21 | |
| 68:13 69:16 | validated 9:20 | wills 16:23,25 | |
| typical 18:13 | validity 41:19 | 17:5,6 27:6,9 | |
| 32:24 | 79:1,12 82:10 | 28:4 30:11 | |
| | 109:25 111:10 | | |
| | | | |
| L | | | |

Γ

| 1 | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA |
|----|---|
| 2 | CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB |
| 3 | TED BERNSTEIN, |
| 4 | Plaintiff, |
| 5 | -VS- |
| 6 | DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al., |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Defendants. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS |
| 11 | VOLUME 2 PAGES 117 - 260 |
| 12 | Tuesday, December 15, 2015 |
| 13 | North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410 |
| 14 | 9:43 a.m 4:48 p.m. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 17 | Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198- VOL 2 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 **APPEARANCES:** On behalf of the Plaintiff: 2 3 ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA 4 THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 5 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Phone: 561.655.2250 6 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com 7 8 On behalf of the Defendant: 9 ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE 2753 NW 34th Street 10 Boca Raton, Florida 33434 Phone: 561.245.8588 11 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv 12 13 On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein: 14 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE 15 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 16 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866 17 E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015 1 - -2 INDEX 3 - - -4 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 5 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 120 BY MR. ROSE: 188 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 194 TED BERNSTEIN 8 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 206 BY MR. ROSE: 213 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 217 11 12 13 - - -14 EXHIBITS 15 - - -16 DESCRIPTION NUMBER PAGE DEFENDANT'S EX. 2 LETTER 17 161 DEFENDANT'S EX. 3 PETITION FOR DISCHARGE 198 18 19 20 21 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE PLAINTIFF'S EX. 6 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 187 22 BERNSTEIN'S TRUST 23 24 25

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | (Proceedings continued from Volume 1.) |
| 4 | THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Our |
| 5 | witness is still under oath. |
| 6 | Is there any further cross-examination? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 9 | CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) (Cont'd) |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Spallina, just to clarify |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can he just stand at |
| 13 | the podium? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, use the podium. Your |
| 15 | microphone will help explain your questions. But |
| 16 | you can walk up there. If you need to show the |
| 17 | witness a document or something, that's fine. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 19 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 20 | Q. Did you are you a member of the Florida |
| 21 | Bar? |
| 22 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 23 | Q. Currently? |
| 24 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. You said before you surrendered your |
| | |

1 license. I said I withdrew from my firm. It wasn't 2 Α. that I was not practicing. 3 Okay. In the chain of custody of these 4 Q. documents, you stated that there were three copies made? 5 Α. Yes. 6 7 Ο. Do you have those three original trust copies here? 8 T do not. 9 Α. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Does anybody? 11 THE COURT: Do you have any other questions of the witness? 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I wanted to ask him 13 some questions on the original documents. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. Keep going. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Okay. So the original documents aren't in the 17 Ο. 18 court? 19 Α. I don't have them. Your firm is not in possession of any of the 20 Q. 21 original documents? 2.2 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not at the firm anymore. 23 Q. When you left the firm, were there documents 24 still at the firm? 25 A. Yes, there were.

| 1 | Q. Were you ordered by the court to turn those |
|----|---|
| 2 | documents over to the curator, Benjamin Brown? |
| 3 | A. I don't recall. |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Can he clarify the |
| 5 | question, which documents? Because I believe the |
| 6 | curator was for the estate, and the original will |
| 7 | was already in file, and the curator would have no |
| 8 | interest in the trust |
| 9 | THE COURT: Which documents? When you say |
| 10 | "those documents," which ones are you referring to? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Any of the trusts and estate |
| 12 | documents. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. That's been clarified. |
| 14 | You can answer, if you can. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I believe that he was given I |
| 16 | believe all the documents were copied by |
| 17 | Mr. Pollock's office, and that he was given some |
| 18 | type of zip drive with everything. I'm not sure, |
| 19 | though. I couldn't |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Did the zip drive contain the original |
| 22 | documents? |
| 23 | A. Did not. I believe the original documents |
| 24 | came back to our office. Having said that, we would |
| 25 | only have when we made and had the client execute |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | three documents, two originals of those documents would |
|----|---|
| 2 | remain with the client, and then we would keep one |
| 3 | original in our file, except including, most of the |
| 4 | time, the original will, which we put in our safe |
| 5 | deposit box. So we would have one original of every |
| 6 | document that they had executed, including the original |
| 7 | will, and they would keep two originals of everything, |
| 8 | except for the will, which we would give them conformed |
| 9 | copies of, because there was only one original will. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. I asked a specific question. Did your |
| 11 | firm, after the court order of Martin Colin, retain |
| 12 | documents, original documents? |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Sorry. I should have |
| 14 | let him finish. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: original documents? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: I believe |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Relevance and misstates the |
| 18 | there's no such order. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, the question is, Did your |
| 20 | firm retain the original documents? |
| 21 | Is that the question? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 24 | Answer, please. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I believe we had original |
| | |

| 1 | documents. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. After the date you were court ordered to |
| 4 | produce them to the curator? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Object that's the part I object |
| 6 | to. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. To your knowledge so, to your knowledge, |
| 11 | the documents can't all be here since they may be at |
| 12 | your firm today? |
| 13 | A. I don't practice at the firm anymore, so I'm |
| 14 | not sure where the documents are. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And you said you made copies of all the |
| 16 | documents that you turned over to the curator? Did you |
| 17 | turn over any original documents as ordered by the |
| 18 | court? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Same objection. |
| 20 | There's no court order requiring an original |
| 21 | document be turned over. |
| 22 | THE COURT: What order are you referring to? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge Colin ordered when they |
| 24 | resigned due to the fraudulent alteration of the |
| 25 | documents that they turn over |

| 1 | THE COURT: I just said, what order are you |
|----|---|
| 2 | referring to? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It's an order Judge Colin |
| 4 | ordered. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. Well, produce that |
| 6 | order so I can see it, because Judge Colton's [sic] |
| 7 | been retired for six or seven years. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I don't have it with |
| 9 | me, but |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well, Judge Colton's a retired |
| 11 | judge. He may have served in some other capacity, |
| 12 | but he doesn't enter orders, unless he's sitting as |
| 13 | a replacement judge. And that's why I'll need to |
| 14 | see the order you're talking about, so I'll know if |
| 15 | he's doing that. Okay. Thanks. Next question. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Has anyone, to the best of your |
| 18 | knowledge, seen the originals while you were in custody |
| 19 | of them? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Who? |
| 22 | A. I believe Ken Pollock's firm was Ken |
| 23 | Pollock's firm was the firm that took the documents for |
| 24 | purposes of copying them. |
| 25 | Q. Did anybody ask you, refer copies to inspect |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | the documents? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Other than Ken Pollock's office, I don't |
| 3 | recall. |
| 4 | Q. Did I ask you? |
| 5 | A. Perhaps you did. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to go through |
| 7 | some of the documents with him real quick. But I |
| 8 | don't have my wife to hand me the documents, so |
| 9 | it's going to take me incredibly long. These are |
| 10 | just copies I have. Can I approach him? |
| 11 | THE COURT: All approaches are okay. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. Are these the documents that you drafted, |
| 15 | Shirley's will and Shirley's trust agreement? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, could I see what he's |
| 17 | handing the witness before he hands it to them? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Say again. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: I don't know what he's handing the |
| 20 | witness. |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. You'll need to show |
| 22 | the other side the documents that you're handing to |
| 23 | the witness so that they're looking at the same |
| 24 | thing you're talking about. |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: These are not accurate. These are |

| 1 | multiple things stapled together. I'd object to |
|----|--|
| 2 | the exhibit or the use of it. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Ma'am, if you come back up past |
| 4 | that bar one more time, you'll be in contempt of |
| 5 | court. I don't want you to be in contempt of |
| 6 | court. Do you understand my instruction? |
| 7 | MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: I don't know if that's filed with |
| 10 | the court and I don't know that these are genuine. |
| 11 | And the second document has attached to it |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, you don't need to tell me |
| 13 | what the papers are. The thing that the person |
| 14 | who's asking the questions has to do is show you |
| 15 | the documents that he's going to show the witness. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Okay. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Then I intend to move forward. I |
| 18 | expect he'll show the witness the documents and |
| 19 | then he'll probably ask a question. |
| 20 | Am I right? |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to see those? |
| 22 | THE COURT: Nope. |
| 23 | So then if there's an objection to the |
| 24 | documents coming in, if at some time they're |
| 25 | proffered as an exhibit, then I'll take the |
| | |

| 1 | objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Have you seen the documents that are in his |
| 3 | hand that are going to be shown to the witness? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. |
| 6 | Proceed. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Can you look at the initials on the |
| 9 | pages of that document and describe them describe |
| 10 | what they look like? |
| 11 | A. The initials? |
| 12 | Q. Yes. |
| 13 | A. On each page, there's an SB |
| 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | A for your mother's initials. |
| 16 | Q. And it's clearly SB? |
| 17 | A. Is it clearly SB? |
| 18 | Q. Yeah. Looks like SB? |
| 19 | A. Yes, it's clearly SB. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And on this will signed on the same |
| 21 | date by my mother in your presence, is that my mom's |
| 22 | initials? And does it look like an SB? Do they even |
| 23 | look similar? |
| 24 | A. Well, your mother was asked to sign these |
| 25 | documents. |

| 1 | Q. Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. When we execute a will, unlike the bottom of |
| 3 | the trust agreement where we initial the trust pages, on |
| 4 | the bottom of the will, she's supposed to sign her |
| 5 | signature. And which she has done at the bottom of each |
| 6 | page, is sign her signature consistent with the |
| 7 | signature page that she signed. |
| 8 | Q. So what you're saying is, she signed this |
| 9 | document, that she initialed this document? |
| 10 | A. Right. We only ask that for purposes of the |
| 11 | trust that they initial each page. For purposes of the |
| 12 | will, that they sign each page. |
| 13 | So this is the signature that she has this |
| 14 | is her signature on the bottom of this document. |
| 15 | Q. Well, there's no line saying that's her |
| 16 | signature, correct? There would be |
| 17 | A. But that was our practice. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. |
| 19 | A. That was our practice, to have |
| 20 | Q. Okay. You testified to my dad's state of mind |
| 21 | that he was fine. |
| 22 | Si was usual when you saw him from May through |
| 23 | his death; is that correct? |
| 24 | A. Are you speaking about 2012? |
| 25 | Q. Yes. |

| 1 | A. Correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Are you aware of any medical problems my |
| 3 | father was having at that time? |
| 4 | A. No, I'm not. |
| 5 | Q. Are you aware of any stress he was under? |
| 6 | A. No, I was not. |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Rose had you read into or read into the |
| 8 | record a letter that I wrote with my waiver, saying, |
| 9 | anything I haven't seen the dispositive documents, |
| 10 | but I'll do anything, 'cause my dad is under stress, to |
| 11 | relieve him of his stress. |
| 12 | Do you know what stress I was referring to? |
| 13 | A. I don't. |
| 14 | Q. Were you in the May meeting with my father, |
| 15 | May 10, 2012? |
| 16 | A. I was are you talking about on the |
| 17 | telephone call? |
| 18 | Q. Correct. |
| 19 | A. I wasn't together with him. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Were you together with anybody on that |
| 21 | call? |
| 22 | A. No. I was on in my my office phone. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. And at that meeting, did Si state that |
| 24 | he was having this meeting to end disputes among certain |
| 25 | parties and himself? |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | A. I don't recall. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were there any disputes you were aware of? |
| 3 | A. The only thing that he ever brought to my |
| 4 | attention was the letter that Pam had sent him. |
| 5 | Q. And what did Pam's letter state, basically? |
| 6 | A. I can't remember it. I mean, it was the |
| 7 | letter that he showed me in February of 2012. But the |
| 8 | general gist of that letter was that she was unhappy |
| 9 | about not being part of their estates. |
| 10 | Q. Just her or her and her children? |
| 11 | A. She may have spoke to her children. |
| 12 | Q. Was there anybody else who was left out of the |
| 13 | wills and trusts? |
| 14 | A. That was causing him stress? |
| 15 | Q. No. Just anybody at this point that was left |
| 16 | out, other than Pam. |
| 17 | A. Yes. Ted. |
| 18 | Q. And are you aware of anything Ted and Pam were |
| 19 | doing to force upon Si changes? |
| 20 | A. Not to my knowledge, other than the letter |
| 21 | that Pam had sent to him just expressing her |
| 22 | dissatisfaction. |
| 23 | Q. You said you talked to her attorney? |
| 24 | A. I talked to her attorney. |
| 25 | Q. And you told her attorney, while Si was |
| | |

1 living, that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts with her brother Ted? 2 I don't recall the conversation with the 3 Α. attorney, but, ultimately, Si gave me authorization to 4 send documents to the attorney. So we may have had a 5 conversation about it. 6 7 So you're stating that Si told you to -- he 0. authorized you to tell his daughter that she had been 8 cut out of the estates and trusts? 9 10 He authorized me to send documents to the Α. 11 attorney. 12 Ο. Did you send those documents to the attorney? 13 Α. I believe we did, yes. Okay. Was Ted and his lineal descendants 14 Ο. 15 disinherited? They were, under the original documents. 16 Α. Well, under Shirley's document that's 17 Q. 18 currently theirs, Ted considered predeceased for all 19 purposes of disposition according to the language in the document you drafted? 20 21 Α. To the extent that assets passed to him under 2.2 the trust. 23 Q. Well, the document says, for all purposes of disposition, Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased, 24 25 correct?

| 1 | A. You'll have to state the question again. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Does the document you drafted say that Ted |
| 3 | Bernstein is both considered predeceased under the |
| 4 | beneficiary definition with his lineal descendants and |
| 5 | considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions |
| 6 | of the trust? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. The |
| 8 | document's in evidence. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll have him read it. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Well, I mean, I can read it. It's |
| 12 | in evidence. So when it comes time, just point me |
| 13 | to the part that you want me to read, and I'll read |
| 14 | it. But I don't need to have the witness read it |
| 15 | to me. That's of no benefit. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, and for the record, |
| 17 | those issues are part of the other counts and |
| 18 | aren't being tried today. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 7, Your Honor, of the |
| 20 | Shirley trust. |
| 21 | THE COURT: What exhibit number is that? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You want me to enter it as my |
| 23 | exhibit? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your |
| 25 | Honor. |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: All right. Let me go to page 7 of |
| 2 | Plaintiff's 2. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I enter this one into the |
| 4 | record? |
| 5 | THE COURT: Is it the same as the one I |
| 6 | already have? |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: According to Alan, it's not. |
| 8 | THE COURT: According to who? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. Well, if it comes time |
| 11 | for you to put any exhibits in on your case, if |
| 12 | that's not a duplicate of an exhibit that's already |
| 13 | in, you're welcome to put it into evidence. But |
| 14 | this is not the time when you put evidence in. |
| 15 | This is the time when you're cross-examining the |
| 16 | plaintiff's witness. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: So on Page 7 of Plaintiff's 2, you |
| 19 | can go on with your questioning. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Are you there and are we on the same page? |
| 22 | Yes? |
| 23 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. In the definition of under E1, do |
| 25 | you see where it starts "notwithstanding the foregoing"? |
| | |

| | · |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | Q. Okay. Can you read that? |
| 3 | A. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have |
| 4 | adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for |
| 5 | purposes of the dispositions made under this trust to my |
| 6 | children, Ted S. Bernstein and Pamela B. Simon and their |
| 7 | respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have |
| 8 | predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, |
| 9 | however, if my children Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni |
| 10 | and" |
| 11 | Q. Okay, that's you can stop there. |
| 12 | Would you consider making distributions a |
| 13 | disposition under the trust? |
| 14 | A. It would it depend on other factors. |
| 15 | Q. What factors? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Is a validity hearing a disposition of the |
| 20 | trust? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 22 | conclusion. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he drafted the document, |
| 25 | so I'm trying to get what his meaning was when he |
| | |

| 1 | put it in. And it's relevant to the hearing today. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: I ruled it's not relevant. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, you did rule that? |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do you have another question of |
| 5 | the witness? Or we're moving on. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. So for purposes of disposition, Ted, Pam and |
| 9 | her lineal descendants are considered predeceased, |
| 10 | correct? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy, cumulative |
| 12 | and best evidence. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | The document says what it says. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 16 | THE COURT: When you ask a witness if it says |
| 17 | what it says, I don't pay any attention to his |
| 18 | answer, because I'm reading what it says. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. Did you produce a fraudulent copy of the |
| 22 | Shirley trust agreement? |
| 23 | A. No, I did not. |
| 24 | Q. So when you sent to Christine Yates this trust |
| 25 | agreement with the attached amendment that you've |

| 1 | already admitted you fraudulently altered, was that |
|----|---|
| 2 | producing a not valid copy of the trust that was |
| 3 | distributed to a party? |
| 4 | A. We've already talked about the amendment was |
| 5 | not a valid amendment. |
| 6 | Q. No, I'm asking, did you create a not valid |
| 7 | trust of my mother's and distribute it to Christine |
| 8 | Yates, my children's attorney? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. He's |
| 10 | covered this. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it has to go to the |
| 12 | validity, Your Honor, because |
| 13 | THE COURT: The question I'm figuring out is, |
| 14 | have we already covered this? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: We touched on a piece of it. |
| 16 | The more important part |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let you reask |
| 18 | your question to cover something that we've not |
| 19 | already covered. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And we covered that |
| 21 | the |
| 22 | THE COURT: You don't have to remind me. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Listen, see, this look at this. |
| 25 | I take notes. I write stuff down. Now, a lot of |
| | |

| 1 | times, if you see me not writing and I'm doodling, |
|----|--|
| 2 | that means you're not scoring any points. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: You've got to show me |
| 4 | THE COURT: The point is, I should be writing |
| 5 | notes. So that means you're not doing any good. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 7 | THE COURT: So, please, the reason I write it |
| 8 | is so we don't have to repeat things. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. You've already stated that you created |
| 11 | a fraudulent amendment. |
| 12 | Did you attach it to a Shirley trust document? |
| 13 | A. No. We included the amendment with the |
| 14 | documents that we transmitted to her. |
| 15 | Q. So it was included as part of the Shirley |
| 16 | trust document as an amendment, correct? |
| 17 | A. It was included as an amendment. |
| 18 | Q. To the Shirley trust document. |
| 19 | Thereby, you created a fraudulent copy, a not |
| 20 | valid copy of the Shirley trust, correct? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 22 | Cumulative. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 24 | You can answer. Did that create a fraudulent |
| 25 | version of the trust? |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: It could have, yes, Your Honor. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. Can you explain why it couldn't have? |
| 4 | A. Because Si ultimately exercised his power of |
| 5 | appointment, which was broader than the definitional |
| 6 | provision in the document. |
| 7 | Q. That's not my question. I'll just say it was |
| 8 | asked and not answered. |
| 9 | Okay. So there are not validly not valid |
| 10 | Shirley trust agreements in circulation, correct? |
| 11 | A. That's not true. |
| 12 | Q. Well, the Shirley trust agreement you said |
| 13 | sent to Christine Yates you've just stated was invalidly |
| 14 | produced. |
| 15 | A. To Christine Yates. |
| 16 | Q. Yeah, okay. So I said "in circulation." |
| 17 | Is Christine Yates out of circulation? |
| 18 | A. I don't know what Christine Yates did with the |
| 19 | documents. |
| 20 | Q. Well, I got a copy, so they're even more in |
| 21 | circulation. |
| 22 | So my point being, you sent from your law firm |
| 23 | fraudulent a non-valid copy of the document |
| 24 | A. Which document? |
| 25 | Q the Shirley trust and her amendment to |
| | |

| Christine Yates, right? |
|--|
| MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| THE COURT: Sustained. |
| MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll move on from |
| that. |
| BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| Q. Would you know about when you did that |
| fraudulent alteration of the document? |
| A. January 2013. |
| Q. And you were a fiduciary or you were |
| counsel to the alleged fiduciary, Ted Bernstein, of the |
| Shirley Bernstein trust, correct? |
| A. Yes, we were. |
| Q. And you were counsel to Ted Bernstein as the |
| alleged personal representative of Shirley's estate? |
| A. Yes, we were. |
| Q. And as Ted's counsel in the Shirley trust, can |
| you describe what the not valid trust agreement that was |
| sent to Ms. Yates did to alter the beneficiaries of the |
| document? |
| MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| THE COURT: Overruled. |
| What alterations did that make to the |
| beneficiaries? |
| THE WITNESS: It didn't make any alterations |
| |

| 1 | to the beneficiaries. The document's not a valid |
|----|---|
| 2 | document and so it couldn't have made any changes |
| 3 | to the estate planning. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Okay. But what did it intend to do? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor. |
| 7 | What did you say? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Next question. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. What did it intend to do? |
| 11 | A. I answered that question earlier. |
| 12 | THE COURT: I can't let the witness object to |
| 13 | questions. That won't work. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Earlier |
| 15 | you asked me the question, and I responded to you |
| 16 | that it was to carry out your father's intent and |
| 17 | the agreement that you all had made prior to his |
| 18 | death, on that telephone call, and to have a |
| 19 | document that would provide, perhaps, clarity to a |
| 20 | vague misinterpretation of your mother's document. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. So instead of going to the court, you just |
| 23 | frauded a document to an attorney, who's representing |
| 24 | minor children in this case produce a fraudulent copy |
| 25 | of the trust document, making us have total trouble |
| | |

| 1 | understanding what's real and not, especially with your |
|----|---|
| 2 | firm's history of fraudulent and forged documents |
| 3 | submitted to the court in this case. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're just |
| 5 | ranting. Ranting is not allowed. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. |
| 7 | THE COURT: If you'd like to ask a question, |
| 8 | I'll let you do that. If I have to call you on |
| 9 | this too many more times, I'm going to assume that |
| 10 | you're done questioning the witness. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 12 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 13 | Q. When did you first meet my parents? |
| 14 | A. 2007. |
| 15 | Q. And how did you meet them? |
| 16 | A. I met them through someone that made a |
| 17 | referral to them to our office. |
| 18 | Q. You didn't know Ted Bernstein prior to meeting |
| 19 | Si? |
| 20 | A. I don't recall who we met first. I'm not |
| 21 | sure. |
| 22 | Q. What firm were you with at the time? |
| 23 | A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin and |
| 24 | Ruffin and Forman. |
| 25 | Q. And how long were you with them? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Five-plus years. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And where were you before that? |
| 3 | A. I was in school. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you work at Sony Digital ever? |
| 5 | A. I did. |
| 6 | Q. You did. And when was that, before school or |
| 7 | after? |
| 8 | A. That was from 1994 to '96. |
| 9 | Q. So after school? |
| 10 | A. After college. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. So that was you just forgot about |
| 12 | that one in your history. |
| 13 | Is there any other parts of your biography I'm |
| 14 | missing? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. Can you repeat, since I'm there was a |
| 19 | little clarification error there. Your history, you |
| 20 | started |
| 21 | THE COURT: That's not necessary to repeat the |
| 22 | history. Do you have a new question? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to get the |
| 24 | history. |
| 25 | THE COURT: I don't want him to repeat what |
| | |

| 1 | he's already said. That moves the case backwards. |
|----|--|
| 2 | I want to go forward. You're cavitating. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Did the altered trust document sent to |
| 6 | Christine Yates attempt to convince Yates and others she |
| 7 | sent that document to that Ted and Pam's lineal |
| 8 | descendants were actually inside the document? |
| 9 | A. Say the question again. |
| 10 | Q. Well, we read the section where they're |
| 11 | considered predeceased, Ted and Pam and their lineal |
| 12 | descendants. |
| 13 | When you altered that amendment that you said |
| 14 | you were just doing Si's wishes postmortem by altering a |
| 15 | document, my question is, did you put language in there |
| 16 | that would have made Ted and Pam's lineal descendants |
| 17 | now beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's |
| 19 | cumulative. We've covered this. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Can the beneficiary of Shirley's trust be Ted, |
| 24 | Pam or their lineal descendants? |
| 25 | A. If the assets of her trust were to pass under |
| | |

| 1 | the trust, no |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay. |
| 3 | A under the trust. |
| 4 | Q. So in the trust language of the Shirley trust |
| 5 | document, Ted's lineal descendants and Pam's lineal |
| 6 | descendants can get no dispositions, distributions, |
| 7 | whatever you want to call it? |
| 8 | A. You have to ask the question in a different |
| 9 | way, because I answered the question. I said, if it |
| 10 | passes under the trust, that they would not inherent. |
| 11 | If. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. When Shirley died, was her trust |
| 13 | irrevocable at that point? |
| 14 | A. It was. |
| 15 | Q. Who were the beneficiaries? |
| 16 | A. Simon Bernstein. |
| 17 | Q. And who were the beneficiaries well, Simon |
| 18 | Bernstein wasn't a beneficiary. He was a trustee. |
| 19 | A. No, he became the beneficiary of her trust |
| 20 | when she died. He was the sole beneficiary of her trust |
| 21 | when she died. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And then who would it go to when he |
| 23 | died? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sustained. |

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. Okay. When Simon died, who would the benefits 3 of Shirley's trust go to? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 4 THE COURT: Are you asking him to tell you 5 what would happen if the mother died first, then 6 7 the father died second, and we have the trust documents and the wills that are in place so far 8 that have been testified to at the trial? 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. 10 THE COURT: I already know all that stuff. 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well --12 THE COURT: So what is the new question you 13 want to ask that's not cumulative? 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get to a very significant point there. 16 17 THE COURT: Get there. Just go there and see 18 what happens. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: I just have to learn to ask 20 these questions a little more like a lawyer. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: So I have to rethink how to 23 ask that. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 25 Q. Do you recall talking to Detective Ryan

| 1 | Miller? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Can you tell me all the roles you had in these |
| 6 | estates and trusts, and your partner, Don Tescher? |
| 7 | A. We were the attorneys to your parents. Upon |
| 8 | your dad's death, we became counsel to his estate and |
| 9 | served as co-PRs and co-trustees under his documents. |
| 10 | Q. Any other roles? |
| 11 | A. Served as counsel for we served as counsel |
| 12 | for Ted as fiduciary under your mother's documents. |
| 13 | Q. And who served as your counsel as trustee |
| 14 | PR co-trustee, co-PR? |
| 15 | A. Mark Manceri. |
| 16 | Q. Mark Manceri submitted that he was your |
| 17 | attorney? |
| 18 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 19 | Q. Did you take a retainer out with him? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 22 | THE COURT: What's the relevance of the |
| 23 | retainer question? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I take that back. |
| 25 | Mark Manceri was not counsel to us with respect to |

| 1 | the estate, except on a very specific matter. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: The question that was objected to |
| 3 | was, did you take out a retainer? What's the |
| 4 | relevance of that? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out |
| 6 | if he was properly representing before the court |
| 7 | these documents, and to his credibility, meaning |
| 8 | his |
| 9 | THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. And a question about the court. How long |
| 13 | before you notified the court as a personal |
| 14 | representative fiduciary that you had produced a |
| 15 | fraudulent trust of Shirley's? |
| 16 | A. To whom? I don't know that we ever |
| 17 | represented the document to the court, and I don't know |
| 18 | that anyone ever came to the court and said that we did. |
| 19 | Q. Well, I did in a petition I filed and served |
| 20 | on you |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q of January excuse me petition that I |
| 24 | served on you exposing a fraud of what happened with |
| 25 | Christine Yates after you admitted that to the police. |
| | |

| , | |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 3 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 4 | Q. Okay. How many times have you spoken with |
| 5 | Alan Rose in the last three months? |
| 6 | A. Twice. |
| 7 | Q. Did you prepare for this hearing in any way |
| 8 | with Alan Rose? |
| 9 | A. I did. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Was that the two times you spoke to |
| 11 | him? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Do you see any other of the parties that would |
| 14 | be necessary to validate these trust documents in the |
| 15 | court today? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. And you gave testimony to the total net worth |
| 20 | of Simon today, when you were asked by Mr. Rose; is that |
| 21 | correct? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. How long did you serve as the co-trustee and |
| 24 | co-personal representative? |
| 25 | A. Of your father's estate? Since the date of |
| | |

| 1 | his death. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And his trust? |
| 3 | A. Same. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you produce an accounting to |
| 5 | support those claims you made today? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, can I argue that or |
| 9 | THE COURT: No. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Not even close. Does that |
| 11 | mean I have to ask it a different way? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, I can't answer questions. |
| 13 | I'm not allowed to give anybody legal advice. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. That was procedural, I |
| 15 | thought. But okay. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, that's legal advice. |
| 17 | Procedure is a legal issue. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. As a fiduciary of the estate of Simon and the |
| 20 | trust of Simon, did your law firm produce a accounting? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant to, if |
| 23 | he's a fiduciary, his conduct. I mean, there's |
| 24 | THE COURT: Here's the way I handle |
| 25 | objections |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: somebody asks a question, and |
| 3 | somebody in the courtroom says objection, and then |
| 4 | I have them state the legal objection and stop. |
| 5 | The other side doesn't say anything, unless I say, |
| 6 | Is there any argument one side or the other? |
| 7 | Because usually I can figure this stuff out without |
| 8 | having to waste time with arguments. |
| 9 | I didn't ask for any argument, right? Okay. |
| 10 | Sustained. Next question. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Mr. Rose asked you about Shirley's Bentley. |
| 13 | Are you aware you became aware of Shirley's |
| 14 | Bentley, correct? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. When you became aware of Shirley's Bentley, |
| 17 | did you put in an amended inventory to account for it? |
| 18 | THE COURT: What's this going to help me |
| 19 | decide on the validity of the wills or trusts? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just responding to the |
| 21 | statements that were brought up. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I wish you would have objected to |
| 23 | the relevancy then, but you didn't. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I did. |
| 25 | THE COURT: I don't think so. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: I'm a car guy, so I pay attention |
| 3 | if somebody's asking questions about Bentleys just |
| 4 | because it's interesting. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's so important, Your |
| 6 | Honor, because |
| 7 | THE COURT: No, it's not. Right now what is |
| 8 | tied is, are the wills and trusts bound? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: We have to question his |
| 10 | competency. |
| 11 | THE COURT: And so what's in the estate or |
| 12 | what's in the trust is not of any interest to me |
| 13 | right now. So if that Bentley should have been in |
| 14 | the estate or should not have been in the estate, |
| 15 | it should have been accounted for, not accounted |
| 16 | for, I'm not going to figure out today. But I want |
| 17 | to get all the evidence I possibly can to see |
| 18 | whether these wills and trusts that are in front of |
| 19 | me are valid or not valid. And I'm hoping that |
| 20 | you'll ask some questions that'll help me figure |
| 21 | that out. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Are those originals that you |
| 23 | have? |
| 24 | THE COURT: See, I'm not the witness. I'm the |
| 25 | judge. So I'm not sworn in and I have no knowledge |

| 1 | of the facts of this case, other than what the |
|----|---|
| 2 | witnesses tell me. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm winding down. I'll check |
| 4 | my list. |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Are you familiar with a document the Bernstein |
| 8 | Family Realty LLC agreement? |
| 9 | A. Yes, I am. |
| 10 | Q. Did you draft that document? |
| 11 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 12 | Q. Was it part of Simon's estate planning? |
| 13 | A. It was part of his estate planning well, |
| 14 | yes |
| 15 | Q. And what was |
| 16 | A in a roundabout way. |
| 17 | Q. What was it designed to do? |
| 18 | A. It was designed to hold title to the home that |
| 19 | you and your family live in. |
| 20 | Q. Oh, okay. And so it was who's the owners |
| 21 | of that? |
| 22 | A. The three kids your three kids, Josh, |
| 23 | Daniel your three kids' trusts that your father |
| 24 | created and Jake that he created in I believe |
| 25 | he created those trusts in 2006. |

| 1 | Q. And the prior testimony was, there were no |
|----|--|
| 2 | special documents under Simon's estate plan for my |
| 3 | family; is that correct? |
| 4 | A. Right. None that we prepared. Those were not |
| 5 | documents that we prepared. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I think he asked you if you knew of |
| 7 | any. |
| 8 | So you knew of these, correct? |
| 9 | A. You're making me recall them. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Oh, okay. Because you answered pretty |
| 11 | affirmatively no before, that you weren't aware of any |
| 12 | special |
| 13 | THE COURT: Do you have any questions for the |
| 14 | witness? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get it. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. You referenced an insurance policy. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I well, I can't ask him |
| 19 | anything. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. You referenced an insurance policy earlier, |
| 22 | life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is |
| 23 | that correct? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. And was that part of the estate plans? |
| | |

| 1 | A. We never did any planning with that. That was |
|----|--|
| 2 | an insurance policy that your father had taken out |
| 3 | 30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for |
| 4 | that. That was not a part of any of the planning that |
| 5 | we did for him. |
| 6 | Q. Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf |
| 7 | of that policy? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Is Christine Yates, who you sent the |
| 12 | fraudulently altered Shirley trust document that's not |
| 13 | valid, a layman? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Is she an attorney at law? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Now you're asking a different |
| 19 | question. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Thanks. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Is she a layman, as you described prior? |
| 24 | A. She's an attorney. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. So you were sending that document that |

| 1 | you said you altered to make a layman understand the |
|----|--|
| 2 | language in the trust better? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Let me have you finish your |
| 5 | questioning. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. But you sent it to Christine Yates, an |
| 8 | attorney, who's not a layman? |
| 9 | A. We did. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So it could be that you sent that |
| 11 | document to an attorney to commit a fraud upon her |
| 12 | clients, my children, minor children, correct? |
| 13 | A. The intent was not to commit a fraud. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. |
| 15 | A. Again, the intent was to carry out your dad's |
| 16 | wishes. |
| 17 | Q. By fraudulently altering documents? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 20 | If you ask one more argumentative question, I |
| 21 | will stop you from asking the other things, because |
| 22 | I'll figure that you're done. Is that clear? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: I'm done warning you. I think |
| 25 | that's just too much to have to keep saying over |
| | |

| 1 | and over again. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 3 | Q. When Shirley died, were her wishes upheld? |
| 4 | A. Your dad was the sole survivor of her |
| 5 | estate he was the sole beneficiary of her estate and |
| 6 | her trust. |
| 7 | Q. So her wishes of her trusts when Simon died |
| 8 | were to make who the beneficiaries? |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Who did Shirley make are you familiar with |
| 13 | the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust? |
| 14 | A. Iam. |
| 15 | Q. And is that trust under the Shirley trust? |
| 16 | A. No, it's not. |
| 17 | Q. It's a separate trust? |
| 18 | A. It is. |
| 19 | Q. Is it mentioned in the Shirley trust? |
| 20 | A. It may be. |
| 21 | Q. As what? |
| 22 | A. As a receptacle for Shirley's estate. |
| 23 | Q. Her trust? |
| 24 | A. A potential receptacle for Shirley's trust. |
| 25 | Q. So there were three, the Eliot Bernstein |
| | |

Г

| 1 | Family Trust, Lisa Friedstein and Jill Iantoni Family |
|----|--|
| 2 | Trust, that are mentioned as receptacles. I would |
| 3 | assume that's the word, beneficiary |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q of the Shirley trust, correct? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. On Simon's medical state eight weeks |
| 11 | before he died, when these documents of the Simon trust |
| 12 | are alleged by you to have been signed, are you aware of |
| 13 | any conditions of Simon's at that time medically? |
| 14 | A. I was not. |
| 15 | Q. Were you aware of any medicines he was on? |
| 16 | A. I was not. |
| 17 | Q. Were you aware he was seeing a psychiatrist? |
| 18 | A. I was not. |
| 19 | Q. Were you aware that he was going for a brain |
| 20 | scan? |
| 21 | A. I was not. |
| 22 | Q. Were you aware that he was brought in to |
| 23 | multiple doctors during that time for brain problems; |
| 24 | that they ended up doing a brain biopsy at Delray |
| 25 | Medical right around that time that he's said to sign |
| | |

| 1 | these documents? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. He did not make us aware of any medical issues |
| 3 | that he had. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Did you ask him at the time you were |
| 5 | signing those amended documents if he was under any |
| 6 | medical stress? |
| 7 | A. No, I did not. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 9 | A. He |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him to read that? |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Can you look at that document and |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge, would you like a look |
| 14 | at this? |
| 15 | THE COURT: I don't look at anything that's |
| 16 | not an exhibit. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm exhibiting it to him. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine, but I |
| 19 | want you to go ahead and ask your question. I |
| 20 | don't look at things that aren't exhibits in |
| 21 | evidence |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 23 | THE COURT: unless I have to mark them. |
| 24 | But no, I don't have a curiosity to look at pieces |
| 25 | of paper. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Should I exhibit it as |
|----|--|
| 2 | evidence can I exhibit it as |
| 3 | THE COURT: If it comes into evidence, I'll |
| 4 | look at it. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit it as |
| 6 | evidence? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, have you asked any questions |
| 8 | to establish what it is? |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Is this a letter from your law firm prior |
| 11 | law firm? |
| 12 | A. I did not prepare this letter |
| 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | A but it appears to be, yes. |
| 15 | Q. Prepared by? |
| 16 | A. Donald Tescher. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Now can I submit it? |
| 18 | THE COURT: So you're offering it as an |
| 19 | exhibit |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Please. |
| 21 | THE COURT: as Defendant's 2. |
| 22 | Is there any objection? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: No objection. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at |
| 25 | it. And that'll be in evidence as Defendant's 2. |
| | |

| 1 | Thank you. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was received into |
| 3 | evidence.) |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Can you just read into the record |
| 6 | paragraph 2 |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, I'm reading it. The |
| 8 | document is in the record. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 10 | THE COURT: I'm reading paragraph 2 even as we |
| 11 | speak, so I don't need the witness to read it for |
| 12 | me. But if you want to ask him a question, you can |
| 13 | go ahead with that. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. Okay. That letter states that Si's power of |
| 16 | appointment for Simon could not be used in favor of Pam, |
| 17 | Ted and their respective children; is that correct? |
| 18 | A. Yes. Don appears to have written that. |
| 19 | Q. Did you get a copy of this letter? |
| 20 | A. I don't recall getting a copy of it, but |
| 21 | doesn't mean that I didn't. |
| 22 | Q. But you are partners in that firm? |
| 23 | A. Yes, we were partners in that firm. |
| 24 | Q. Now, that this document |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can I just I don't |
| | |

| 1 | want to go out of order, but this is only relevant |
|----|---|
| 2 | if the documents are valid. And if he's the |
| 3 | whole point is the documents are valid. And he |
| 4 | wants to argue the second part, of what they mean, |
| 5 | then we should not have wasted a whole day arguing |
| 6 | over the validity of these five documents. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Well, waste of time is what I do |
| 8 | for a living sometimes. Saying we shouldn't be |
| 9 | here doesn't help me decide anything. |
| 10 | I thought I was supposed to decide the |
| 11 | validity of the five documents that have been |
| 12 | pointed out; some of them might be valid and some |
| 13 | of them might be invalid. And I'm struggling to |
| 14 | decide what's relevant or not relevant based upon |
| 15 | the possibility that one of them might be invalid |
| 16 | or one of them might not. And so I'm letting in a |
| 17 | little bit more stuff than I normally think I |
| 18 | would. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: I'm concerned we're arguing the |
| 20 | second the second part of this trial is going to |
| 21 | be to determine what the documents mean and what |
| 22 | Simon's power of attorney could or couldn't do. |
| 23 | And this document goes to trial two and not trial |
| 24 | one, although I didn't object to its admissibility. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Well, since it's in evidence, |

| 1 | we'll leave it there and see what happens next. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Do you have any other questions of the |
| 3 | witness? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. It says that the document that you |
| 7 | fraudulently altered creating the invalid copy of the |
| 8 | Shirley trust had some kind of paragraph 2 that was |
| 9 | missing from the original document |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q from my understanding. |
| 13 | THE COURT: You may finish your question. And |
| 14 | make sure it's a question and not an argument. |
| 15 | Because you know what happens if this is an |
| 16 | argument. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not arguing. I'm just |
| 18 | asking |
| 19 | THE COURT: I want you to ask your question. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. It says here that there was a blank spot that |
| 22 | you a Paragraph No. 2 which modified the definitional |
| 23 | language by deleting words. |
| 24 | According to this document, the power of |
| 25 | appointment by Simon could not alter the Shirley trust |
| | |

| 1 | agreement, correct? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Don seems to be suggesting that in the second |
| 3 | paragraph. I don't necessarily believe that that's the |
| 4 | case. |
| 5 | Q. Did you review this document with Don? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 7 | THE COURT: The question is, Did you go over |
| 8 | this document with Don? |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 11 | You can answer. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. So he's Don, in this letter, is describing |
| 15 | your actions, correct? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Did you write a letter to anybody |
| 18 | describing your actions? |
| 19 | A. I did not. |
| 20 | Q. You did not. |
| 21 | And what have you done to correct the damages |
| 22 | caused by that to my family? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And are you aware of an autopsy that was done |
| 3 | on my father the day or ordered the day he died? |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Are you aware well, are you aware of a |
| 8 | heavy metal poison test that was done by the Palm Beach |
| 9 | County coroner? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's |
| 13 | THE COURT: Next question. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm trying to figure that out. |
| 15 | Your Honor, is I can't ask you that question. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Competency. Based on everything you know |
| 18 | about Simon, when he signed those documents, he was |
| 19 | competent? |
| 20 | A. To my knowledge, he was of sound mind and |
| 21 | body. |
| 22 | Q. Now, are you a medical expert? |
| 23 | A. I'm not. |
| 24 | Q. Are you aware of any other fraudulent activity |
| 25 | that took place in anything in the estate and trusts of |
| | |

| 1 | Simon Bernstein by yourself or your employees? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Are you referring back to the closing of your |
| 3 | mother's estate? |
| 4 | Q. I'm referring to any other |
| 5 | A we've talked about. |
| 6 | Q. So can you list those and then just say that's |
| 7 | all that you're aware of? |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. Other than the fraud that you've admitted to |
| 12 | in the documents of Shirley, the Moran forged and |
| 13 | fraudulent waivers, the April 9th waiver that you and Si |
| 14 | signed stating he had all the waivers when he couldn't |
| 15 | have, are there any other frauds that you're aware of |
| 16 | that took place with these estate and trust documents? |
| 17 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 18 | Q. When you were first interviewed by the Palm |
| 19 | Beach County Sheriff with Kimberly Moran, did you notify |
| 20 | them at that first interview that you had fraudulently |
| 21 | altered a document? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. When did you notify the sheriff that you |

| 1 | fraudulently altered a document? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. You have these exhibits. This will says |
| 6 | "conformed copy" on Exhibit 1 of their exhibits; is that |
| 7 | correct? |
| 8 | A. Yes, it does. |
| 9 | Q. Does a conformed copy have to have the clerk |
| 10 | of the court's signature on it? |
| 11 | A. Conformed copy would not be sent to the clerk |
| 12 | of the courts. |
| 13 | Q. Conformed copy okay. |
| 14 | Is that your signature on the document? This |
| 15 | is Exhibit 2, Shirley trust agreement, of the |
| 16 | plaintiff's exhibit book, 2, page 27. |
| 17 | A. Yes, it appears to be. |
| 18 | Q. It appears to be? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. All right. And is that Traci Kratish's |
| 21 | signature? |
| 22 | A. She was there. I can't speak to her |
| 23 | signature. |
| 24 | Q. Did you witness her sign it? |
| 25 | A. I did. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. Is that my mom's signature on page 28? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 3 | Q. On this first amendment to Shirley's trust |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Exhibit 3, Your Honor, page 1 |
| 5 | of 3, I guess. It's the first page in that |
| 6 | exhibit. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Is that document do you recall that |
| 9 | document? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. And you recall the day it's signed and |
| 12 | notarized, allegedly? |
| 13 | A. November 18th, 2008. |
| 14 | Q. On the front page of that document, what day |
| 15 | is the document dated? |
| 16 | A. It's not dated. |
| 17 | Q. Is that typical and customary in your office? |
| 18 | A. Sometimes clients forget to put the date at |
| 19 | the top. |
| 20 | Q. You forget? |
| 21 | A. I said, sometimes clients forget to put the |
| 22 | date at the top. |
| 23 | Q. Well, did you check the document before making |
| 24 | it a part of a will and trust? |
| 25 | A. It was notarized as a self-proving document. |

| 1 | Q. Are you aware that Kimberly Moran's |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarization of the Simon trust has been found by the |
| 3 | Governor Rick Scott's notary public division to be |
| 4 | deficient? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 7 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 8 | Q. Are you aware of Kimberly Moran of your office |
| 9 | being contacted by the governor's office in relation to |
| 10 | these wills and trusts? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 13 | What do I care if he's aware of that or not? |
| 14 | How does that help me decide the validity of these |
| 15 | documents? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the governor's already |
| 17 | made a claim that |
| 18 | THE COURT: But you're asking the witness if |
| 19 | he's aware of. Are you aware the sky is blue right |
| 20 | now? It doesn't matter to me if he's aware of it |
| 21 | or not. Are you aware Rick Scott has started an |
| 22 | investigation of a moon landing? It doesn't matter |
| 23 | to me if he knows that or not. You asked him are |
| 24 | you aware of somebody from Rick Scott's office |
| 25 | doing something. It doesn't matter to me if he's |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | aware of that or not. I've got to figure out the |
|----|--|
| 2 | validity of these documents, so I need to know |
| 3 | facts about that, please. Any other questions of |
| 4 | the witness on that? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Is that my father's signature? |
| 8 | A. I'm not an expert on your father's signature. |
| 9 | But if it's on his will, at the bottom of his will, that |
| 10 | must have been a copy that was obtained from the clerk |
| 11 | of the courts, because that will was filed, and we would |
| 12 | have conformed copies in our file, which would not have |
| 13 | his signature at the bottom. Apparently, it is. |
| 14 | Q. But it does say on the document that the |
| 15 | original will's in your safe, correct? |
| 16 | A. For your mother's document, it showed that. |
| 17 | Q. Oh, for my father's where are the originals |
| 18 | of my father's? |
| 19 | A. Your father's original will was deposited in |
| 20 | the court. As was your mother's. |
| 21 | Q. How many copies of it were there that were |
| 22 | original? |
| 23 | A. Only one original. I think Mr. Rose had |
| 24 | stated on the record that he requested a copy from the |
| 25 | clerk of the court of your father's original will, to |
| | |

| 1 | make a copy of it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Certified? |
| 3 | A. I'm not sure if he said it was certified or |
| 4 | not. |
| 5 | Q. Is that your signature on my father's will? |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Exhibit 4, Your Honor, |
| 7 | Page 7. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Is that my father's signature? |
| 11 | A. Appears to be. |
| 12 | Q. Whose signature is that? |
| 13 | A. That's my signature. |
| 14 | Q. Oh, okay. So the only two witnesses you see |
| 15 | on this document are you and Kimberly Moran; is that |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | A. On that page. |
| 18 | Q. And both you and Kimberly Moran have had |
| 19 | misconduct in these cases? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Overruled. But it's cumulative. |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: It's cumulative. |
| 23 | THE COURT: How many times do I need to know |
| 24 | this? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What does that mean exactly, |
| | |

| 1 | cumulative? I don't get that. I'm sorry. |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Let's say you hit me over the head |
| 3 | with a two-by-four. That's one time. If you do it |
| 4 | twice, that's cumulative. Cumulative's not |
| 5 | allowed. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's an objection, is that |
| 7 | I've asked it |
| 8 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: and it was answered? Is |
| 10 | that what it's kind of saying? |
| 11 | THE COURT: Yes, asked and answered. That's |
| 12 | another way of saying it. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I got it. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Asked and answered is a similar |
| 15 | way to say it. |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Sorry. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. Is that my father's signature, to the best of |
| 19 | your knowledge? |
| 20 | A. Appears to be, yes. |
| 21 | Q. And is that your signature? |
| 22 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 23 | Q. And here, did Kimberly Moran properly notarize |
| 24 | this document? |
| 25 | A. Kimberly did not notarize the document. |

| 1 | Q. Or Lindsay Baxley, did she check one either |
|----|--|
| 2 | the person was personally known or produced |
| 3 | identification? |
| 4 | A. No. This is what Mr. Rose had gone over |
| 5 | earlier. |
| 6 | Q. No, those, I believe, are in other documents |
| 7 | we'll get to. |
| 8 | So this notarization, as far as you can tell, |
| 9 | is incomplete? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Are we on Exhibit 2? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 12 | THE COURT: We're on Exhibit 4, as far as I |
| 13 | recall. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: He does not miss a thing. |
| 15 | Your Honor, page 8. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: This is Si's documents. |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Got it. |
| 18 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 19 | Q. Okay. So on Simon's trust, weeks before he |
| 20 | dies, the notarization's improper? |
| 21 | A. This was the same document we spoke about |
| 22 | before. Yes, she did not circle "known to me," |
| 23 | although |
| 24 | Q. So she didn't know you or Simon? |
| 25 | A. No, she knew all of us. She just neglected to |

1 circle "known to me." And that's one of the three functions of a 2 Ο. 3 notary, to the best of your knowledge, to determine the person is in the presence that day by some form of I 4 either know you or you gave me a license; is that 5 correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. So your firm -- have you done anything since Ο. 8 knowing this document's improperly notarized to correct 9 10 it with the courts? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. It misstates facts. He 12 didn't say it was improperly notarized. 13 THE COURT: Just state the objection, please. MR. ROSE: Well, calls for a legal conclusion. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. MORRISSEY: Another objection. Ιt misstates the law. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 Q. Is that Lindsay -- oh, you can't answer that. 21 So, to the best of your ability, regarding 22 your signature, Kimberly or Lindsay Baxley has failed to 23 state that you either were known to her or produced 24 identification? 25 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.

| 1 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll go on to |
| 3 | document 5. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Is that my father's initials, to the best of |
| 6 | your knowledge? |
| 7 | A. Appears to be, yes. |
| 8 | Q. Do these initials look similar to you, this |
| 9 | one on page 2, next to this one on page 3, next to that |
| 10 | thing on page 4? |
| 11 | A. Initials typically don't look perfect page to |
| 12 | page, and they don't necessarily look similar page to |
| 13 | page. I have seen clients execute a lot of documents, |
| 14 | and by the time they get to, you know, the second and |
| 15 | third document, their signatures and their initials do |
| 16 | not necessarily look |
| 17 | Q. Look at page 13, for example. I mean, this is |
| 18 | almost if we go through page by page, tell me if you |
| 19 | see any that are even similar. On page let's start |
| 20 | back at the beginning, if that'll help you. |
| 21 | That? Do those look similar to you as you're |
| 22 | flipping through those? |
| 23 | A. Yeah, they have a lot of the same similar |
| 24 | ending marks. Your father's ending mark was that line. |
| 25 | I mean, it's on every single solitary page. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. So your testimony today is those are my |
|----|--|
| 2 | father's initials? |
| 3 | A. That they were. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. |
| 5 | A. I was there when he was |
| 6 | Q. And you've looked at all of these, page 19, |
| 7 | page 20? Those look similar to what you're saying or |
| 8 | why don't you just look at them. If you go through them |
| 9 | all, they all look different. But okay. |
| 10 | A. They all look different, and they all look |
| 11 | consistent at the same time. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Is that on page 24, is that my |
| 13 | father's signature? |
| 14 | A. Appears to be. |
| 15 | Q. Is that your signature? |
| 16 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Now, this is another trust document |
| 18 | that Lindsay Baxley did that's supposed to be notarized, |
| 19 | a will and trust, I believe, and the amended and |
| 20 | restated. |
| 21 | Can you tell that Simon Bernstein was present |
| 22 | or produced or present that day by the notarization? |
| 23 | A. She again failed to mark that he was |
| 24 | personally known, but she worked for him. |
| 25 | Q. So these dispositive documents are improperly |

| 1 | notarized? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Legal |
| 3 | conclusion. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And then let's go to the first |
| 7 | amendment to Shirley Bernstein's trust. Is this a |
| 8 | document prepared |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, that would be 6. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Is that a document prepared by your law firm? |
| 13 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 14 | Q. And do you see where it's, "Now therefore by |
| 15 | executing this instrument I hereby amend the trust |
| 16 | agreement as following"? And what is it what are the |
| 17 | numbering sequences there? |
| 18 | A. It says, I hereby delete a paragraph of |
| 19 | article |
| 20 | Q. What number is that? |
| 21 | A. Paragraph B it's number 1. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And what's Number 2? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. It's in |
| 24 | evidence. And it's cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Two is in evidence, as is |

Γ

| 1 | paragraph one and paragraph three. And I've |
|----|---|
| 2 | read |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. But Number 1, Your |
| 4 | Honor, take a look real quick. Number 1; there's |
| 5 | no Number 2. |
| 6 | THE COURT: The objection came on your next |
| 7 | question, and that was dealing with paragraph 2, |
| 8 | which says it's already in evidence. And it is. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, no, not paragraph 2. Look |
| 10 | at down below. Under the "now therefore," there's |
| 11 | a Number 1, and I was asking him what Number 2 |
| 12 | reads. |
| 13 | THE COURT: I know you were. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And there is no Number 2. |
| 15 | THE COURT: You've asked me to look at |
| 16 | Exhibit No. 6, right? Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has, |
| 17 | under the therefore clause, a one, a two and a |
| 18 | three. Are you asking me to look at a different |
| 19 | document? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sure. All right. So that's a |
| 22 | different Number 6 than I have. So let's see your |
| 23 | Number 6. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What do I do on that? |
| 25 | THE COURT: That's not my decision. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: That's his book, not my book, |
|----|--|
| 2 | just so you know. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, that Tab 6 is different than |
| 4 | my Tab 6. So there you go. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, which what do |
| 6 | I go off there? |
| 7 | THE COURT: I have no |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit that into |
| 9 | evidence? |
| 10 | THE COURT: I have no preference. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to submit |
| 12 | this, because I'm not sure if the other one is in |
| 13 | evidence wrong. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Any objection? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Could I just see the book? Would |
| 16 | you mind? |
| 17 | THE COURT: Here, I'll show you my book. You |
| 18 | can look at that book and see what's going on. |
| 19 | And this will be a good time for us to take a |
| 20 | short break, and let you all straighten it out. So |
| 21 | we'll be back in session in 15 minutes. And then |
| 22 | we'll go to the bitter end. Each of you has about |
| 23 | 60 minutes remaining. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, when you say |
| 25 | "60 minutes remaining," we haven't got through all |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | the witnesses yet. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Well, we will have by the end of |
| 3 | 60 minutes on each side. |
| 4 | This trial is over at five o'clock. I told |
| 5 | you when we started each of you has half of the |
| 6 | time; please use it wisely; use it as you wish. |
| 7 | I've tried to encourage both sides to be efficient. |
| 8 | When your time is gone, that's the end of the trial |
| 9 | for you. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the case manager |
| 11 | THE COURT: When their trial is gone |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: At the case management, they |
| 13 | said it would take a day. I argued and said to you |
| 14 | it would take days. I mean, they've got |
| 15 | 10 witnesses. I need to have all the people who |
| 16 | witnessed these documents here. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Remember when I said a moment ago |
| 18 | we're in recess? I was serious. Thanks. We'll go |
| 19 | back in session 15 minutes from now. |
| 20 | (A break was taken.) |
| 21 | THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Are there |
| 22 | any further questions for the witness on cross? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We were just working |
| 24 | out that 1, 2, 3, Exhibit No. 6, so that we get the |
| 25 | record straight. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Shall I get a copy of yours, |
| 3 | you get a copy of mine? Or how do you want to do |
| 4 | that? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I tried to work it out. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Listen, I don't have any |
| 7 | preference as to how we do anything. You all tell |
| 8 | me how you've worked it out, and if I agree with |
| 9 | it, I'll accept it. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: The copy that's been marked for the |
| 11 | witness, the copy in my book and the copy in your |
| 12 | book are all identical. I don't know what's in his |
| 13 | book, and he wouldn't show me his book on the |
| 14 | break. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: But I'm fine. It's a three-page |
| 17 | document. And if he wants to put it in evidence, |
| 18 | even though it's not operative, I have no |
| 19 | objection. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. So are you putting |
| 21 | something into evidence? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. The one that I |
| 23 | THE COURT: Have you showed it to the other |
| 24 | side yet? You can't put secret documents into |
| 25 | evidence, only after they've been seen by everyone. |
| | |

| 1 | Let's at least show it to the other side so they |
|----|--|
| 2 | know the document that's being proffered as an |
| 3 | exhibit. If they still have no objection, I'll |
| 4 | receive it as Defendant's 3. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: This is in evidence already as |
| 6 | Exhibit No as Plaintiff's No. 3. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: So what's 6? So now I don't |
| 8 | even have the right 6 document. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: The 6 that the witness has is three |
| 10 | pages. It's the same 6 that's in your book and |
| 11 | it's in my book. It's three consecutive pages of |
| 12 | the production from Tescher & Spallina law firm. |
| 13 | It has the inoperative first amendment as page 1, |
| 14 | then it has the operative first amendment as |
| 15 | page 2, and the signature page as page 3. It's the |
| 16 | same document in everybody's book. That's all I |
| 17 | can tell you. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, in my book, 3 and |
| 20 | 6 are the identical documents |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: so I would need |
| 23 | THE COURT: Are there any other questions of |
| 24 | the witness? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I was going to ask him |
| | |

| 1 | questions on this document. |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's go. |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I need a I don't |
| 4 | have the 6 that everybody else is referring to. My |
| 5 | sinks is the same as |
| 6 | THE COURT: There you go. Take whatever you |
| 7 | need. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. I think we |
| 9 | missed 6. It's just short on 6. |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. Then here's my Tab 6. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir. |
| 12 | THE COURT: The idea is to keep moving. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll move on. I'm |
| 14 | almost done here. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 3, can you list the |
| 17 | numbers there? |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. |
| 19 | Cumulative. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | You need to refer to which page. That's a |
| 22 | multi-page document, and both pages have numbered |
| 23 | paragraphs on them. |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 1 of 2. |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. The Roman Numeral or the numerals, can you |
| 3 | give the sequence of those numbers? |
| 4 | A. One and three. It's skipping two. |
| 5 | Q. And this is a document you allege to be part |
| 6 | of the Shirley trust that you're claiming is valid? |
| 7 | A. That's the amendment that Shirley executed in |
| 8 | November of 2008. |
| 9 | Q. And would there be a reason why your law firm |
| 10 | numbers one, three? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | You can answer. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Human error. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q. Okay. But it is an error in the document that |
| 17 | you're claiming is valid Shirley trust? |
| 18 | A. It's a numbering error. |
| 19 | Q. In the document, you're claiming this is a |
| 20 | valid amendment, correct? |
| 21 | A. Correct. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And then in number 6 from the judge, |
| 23 | what's the numbering sequence? |
| 24 | A. One, two, three. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. So you added in a number two? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Okay. How did you go about doing that? |
| 3 | A. There was a paragraph two inserted between one |
| 4 | and three. |
| 5 | Q. Well, the paragraph that's inserted between |
| 6 | one and three wouldn't fit there. |
| 7 | So what did you do? |
| 8 | A. The document was opened up and a paragraph was |
| 9 | inserted. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So you increased the spacing on the |
| 11 | document, correct, by adding a number three, correct? |
| 12 | A. Adding number two, yes. |
| 13 | Q. By adding number two, correct. |
| 14 | Okay. So you actually had to alter the |
| 15 | chronology as it was placed on the document? You didn't |
| 16 | just put a number two there in between one and three? |
| 17 | You actually went and expanded the document with words |
| 18 | that were inserted by you fraudulently, right? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. |
| 20 | Cumulative. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, the witness does have |
| 24 | the exhibits in front of him. If Mr. Bernstein |
| 25 | could be at the podium. |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know if he has all the |
|----|---|
| 2 | exhibits. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Well, do you have the exhibit that |
| 4 | I gave you from the Court's? |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, jeez. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Because I'd like to have it back |
| 7 | so that that doesn't get lost. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. You gave me the one |
| 9 | with one, two, three. |
| 10 | Can I get a copy of this from the clerk? |
| 11 | THE BAILIFF: There is no clerk. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Can I have the document back, |
| 13 | please? He's not a clerk. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Marshall, sheriff, officer, |
| 15 | sir. Sorry about that. |
| 16 | THE COURT: He does not make copies. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Thanks. Any other questions of |
| 19 | the witness? Your time is rapidly disappearing. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just going through that. |
| 21 | THE COURT: And I think you said earlier you |
| 22 | have no objection to Plaintiff's 6 being received |
| 23 | as an exhibit? |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Correct. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. |

| 1 | MR. ROSE: Thank you. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Then it's in evidence as |
| 3 | Plaintiff's 6. I'm making it Plaintiff's 6, rather |
| 4 | than Defendant's 3, because it's already marked and |
| 5 | it's been referred to by that number. |
| 6 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was received into |
| 7 | evidence.) |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Are these your notes? |
| 10 | A. No, they're not. Those are Don's. |
| 11 | Q. Do you know the date on that note? |
| 12 | A. 3/12/08. |
| 13 | Q. Did you take any notes in the meeting? |
| 14 | A. Those are my notes there. |
| 15 | Q. These are? Oh, so this is a compilation of |
| 16 | Don's and your notes? |
| 17 | A. Those are my notes, yes. |
| 18 | Q. And those were taken on that day? |
| 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | Q. Whose notes are those? |
| 21 | A. I just saw those for the first time today. I |
| 22 | believe they're your father's notes. |
| 23 | Q. How would you know those are my father's |
| 24 | notes? |
| 25 | A. Mr. Rose introduced that document earlier. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Document 12, did it come from your offices? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I don't know where it came from. |
| 3 | Q. Did you Bates stamp this document as part of |
| 4 | your documents? |
| 5 | A. I don't recall ever seeing that document. |
| 6 | Q. And it doesn't have your Bates stamp from your |
| 7 | production, right? |
| 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | Q. You were supposed to turn over all your |
| 10 | records, correct? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. He's testified it |
| 12 | wasn't in his |
| 13 | THE COURT: What's the objection to the |
| 14 | question? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Cumulative. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. Your Honor, I'm |
| 18 | done. |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 20 | Is there any redirect? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Brief, Your Honor. |
| 22 | REDIRECT (ROBERT SPALLINA) |
| 23 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 24 | Q. Assuming the documents are valid, they'll have |
| 25 | to be a later trial to determine the effect of Simon's |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | exercise of his power of appointment? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. It doesn't have any direct bearing on whether |
| 4 | these five documents are valid? |
| 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | Q. And I take it you don't necessarily agree with |
| 7 | Mr. Tescher's view as expressed in his letter of |
| 8 | January 14th, 2014? |
| 9 | A. Again, I'm seeing that here. Surprised to see |
| 10 | that. |
| 11 | Q. The original documents, the wills, you |
| 12 | retained at all times of Shirley and Simon in your firm? |
| 13 | A. Prior to their death, yes. |
| 14 | Q. And that's consistent practice for a trust and |
| 15 | estate lawyer, to keep it in your will vault or in your |
| 16 | safe deposit box? |
| 17 | A. Yes. I would say most attorneys do that just |
| 18 | because there's only one original of the will, and very |
| 19 | often documents can get lost if clients take documents |
| 20 | home. So, typically, they're kept in a safe deposit box |
| 21 | or a safe or something like that, and left with the |
| 22 | attorney. |
| 23 | Q. I want to make sure I understand and the Court |
| 24 | understands what happened with the waiver forms. |
| 25 | While Simon was alive, he signed a petition |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | for discharge; is that correct? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Correct. April of '08. |
| 3 | Q. And |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What exhibit? Excuse me. |
| 5 | What number are we looking at? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: None well, actually, it's in my |
| 7 | book. If you want to follow along, it's Tab 28. |
| 8 | But it's not in evidence. |
| 9 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 10 | Q. And Simon also then filed a waiver of |
| 11 | accounting himself? |
| 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. And is it necessary for Simon, even though |
| 14 | he's the personal representative, to sign a waiver of |
| 15 | accounting because he's a beneficiary? |
| 16 | A. I mean, we do it as a matter of course. |
| 17 | Q. And the signature of Simon Bernstein on |
| 18 | April 9th, that's genuinely his signature? |
| 19 | A. Can I see? |
| 20 | Q. Exhibit 28 is a petition that was filed with |
| 21 | the court. I'm going to just show you the exhibits. |
| 22 | Exhibit A says "Petition for discharge full waiver." |
| 23 | Is this a document you would have prepared for |
| 24 | Simon Bernstein to sign? |
| 25 | A. Yeah, our firm would prepare that. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And it's a three-page document. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Is that Simon Bernstein's signature |
| 3 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 4 | Q April 9th, 2012? |
| 5 | A. Yes, he signed the document. |
| 6 | Q. And he was alive when he signed the document? |
| 7 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Then he had to sign a waiver of |
| 9 | accounting, which he signed on the same day? |
| 10 | A. Correct. |
| 11 | Q. And you have a document waiver of accounting |
| 12 | on the next page signed by Eliot Bernstein on May 15th? |
| 13 | A. Correct. |
| 14 | Q. And there's no doubt that's Eliot's signature |
| 15 | because he's the one who emailed you the document, |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | A. And sent us the original by mail. |
| 18 | Q. Right. And we already have an exhibit which |
| 19 | is his email that sent you his waiver form? |
| 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | Q. And the waiver forms of Ted, Pam, Lisa and |
| 22 | Jill are all valid, signed by them on the date that they |
| 23 | indicated they signed it? |
| 24 | A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. |
| 25 | Q. So then these got submitted to the court. |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | Is there anything wrong with submitting waiver |
|----|--|
| 2 | forms to the court signed by Simon while he's alive |
| 3 | after he had passed away? |
| 4 | A. Maybe we should have made a motion to, you |
| 5 | know, have a successor PR appointed and file the |
| 6 | documents through the successor PR. |
| 7 | Q. Were you trying to just save expenses because |
| 8 | there was nothing in the estate? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. And if Judge Colin had not rejected or his |
| 11 | assistant had not rejected the documents, and the estate |
| 12 | was closed, it would have been closed based on |
| 13 | legitimate, properly signed documents of Simon and his |
| 14 | five children? |
| 15 | A. Correct. |
| 16 | Q. So then they get kicked back to your law firm, |
| 17 | and you could file a motion and undertake some expense, |
| 18 | instead |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Object. This has been asked |
| 20 | and answered. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 22 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 23 | Q. Now, does the fact that well, strike that. |
| 24 | At the time that Simon signed his 2012 will |
| 25 | and 2012 trust, had there been ever anyone question a |
| | |

| 1 | signature or a notarization of any document that had |
|----|--|
| 2 | been prepared by your law firm? |
| 3 | A. No, there was not. |
| 4 | Q. You didn't see anything or observe anything or |
| 5 | any behavior of Simon Bernstein during the course of any |
| 6 | meeting you had with him that would call into question |
| 7 | his competence or his ability to properly execute a |
| 8 | testamentary document? |
| 9 | A. We did not. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Nothing further, Your Honor. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. |
| 12 | Thank you, sir. You can step down. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: At this time, we would rest our |
| 14 | case. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. |
| 16 | Any evidence from the defendant's side? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like can I call |
| 18 | back Spallina? |
| 19 | THE COURT: If you want to call him as a |
| 20 | witness on your behalf, sure. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, sure. |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spallina, you're |
| 23 | still under oath, and you're being called as a |
| 24 | defense witness now. |
| 25 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

Γ

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Mr. Spallina, when Simon died on |
| 3 | September 12th or September 13th sorry 2012, |
| 4 | and you were responsible as his attorney to appoint Ted |
| 5 | as the successor, correct, you were in charge of his |
| 6 | wills and trusts? |
| 7 | THE COURT: You just asked three questions in |
| 8 | a row. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Which question would you like the |
| 11 | witness to answer? |
| 12 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 13 | Q. Okay. When Simon died, was Shirley's estate |
| 14 | closed? |
| 15 | A. No, it was not. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Did you appoint a successor to Simon |
| 17 | who was the personal representative of Shirley on the |
| 18 | day he died? |
| 19 | A. I don't understand the question. |
| 20 | Q. Well, on the day Simon died, there was a |
| 21 | successor to him in the will, correct? |
| 22 | A. That's correct. Ted. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Did you appoint Ted? |
| 24 | A. I did not appoint Ted. Si did. |
| 25 | Q. Si appointed Ted? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Si appointed Ted as a successor trustee under |
|----|---|
| 2 | the document I mean, Shirley appointed Ted as the |
| 3 | successor trustee to Si under the document. |
| 4 | Q. So Simon didn't appoint Ted? |
| 5 | A. Simon did not appoint Ted. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | A. He was the named successor under your mother's |
| 8 | document. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So when Simon died just so I get all |
| 10 | this clear, when Simon died, your law firm knew Ted was |
| 11 | the successor, correct? |
| 12 | A. That's correct. |
| 13 | Q. According to your story. Okay. |
| 14 | A. Under Shirley's documents, you're talking |
| 15 | about. |
| 16 | Q. Under the alleged Shirley document. |
| 17 | Okay. But yet did Simon then after he |
| 18 | died, did he not close the estate of Shirley while he |
| 19 | was dead? |
| 20 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. It's |
| 21 | cumulative. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: And I believe this whole line of |
| 24 | questioning's been covered ad nauseam in the first |
| 25 | cross-examination. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | THE COURT: Well, it's important not to ask |
|----|---|
| 2 | the same thing over and over again. You have |
| 3 | finite time to work with. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 5 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 6 | Q. The estate of Shirley was closed in January, |
| 7 | correct, of 2013? |
| 8 | A. I don't recall, but it sounds it has to be |
| 9 | sometime after November. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So it was closed by Simon, who was dead |
| 11 | at that time, correct? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. Did Ted Bernstein close the Estate of Shirley |
| 16 | Bernstein as the successor personal representative? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Who closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? |
| 19 | A. The documents were filed with the court based |
| 20 | on the original petition that your father signed. |
| 21 | Q. Did you close the estate? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 23 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out |
| 25 | who closed my mom's estate. |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| 1 | THE COURT: What's the relevance I've got to |
|----|--|
| 2 | figure out? |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they |
| 4 | were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance |
| 5 | to this. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose |
| 10 | brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I |
| 11 | just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That |
| 12 | would be 28. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into |
| 14 | evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose |
| 15 | stated it wasn't? |
| 16 | THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of |
| 17 | paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit |
| 18 | this into evidence? |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its |
| 21 | admissibility? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can |
| 24 | make a ruling? |
| 25 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh. It's a petition for |

| 1 | discharge. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Did anybody testify to that, or |
| 3 | are you just |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, he just did. |
| 5 | THE COURT: If you have a piece of paper you |
| 6 | want to have me consider as an exhibit, the other |
| 7 | side has to have seen it and the witness has to |
| 8 | have seen it so I'll know what it is. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They were just talking |
| 10 | about it. |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, just to speed things |
| 12 | along, we have no objection to this document coming |
| 13 | into evidence. It is part of our Exhibit 28. The |
| 14 | whole 28 could come in evidence. That's fine with |
| 15 | me. Then it would all be in evidence. Or however |
| 16 | you wish to do it. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I'm letting this party take charge |
| 18 | of his own case. |
| 19 | Are you asking that to be received as an |
| 20 | exhibit? There's no objection. So that'll be |
| 21 | Defendant's 3. Hand that up, and I'll mark it. |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. |
| 23 | (Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 was received into |
| 24 | evidence.) |
| 25 | |

| 1 | THE COURT: So are you done with it? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Can I use it still? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Anything that's supposed to be an |
| 4 | exhibit in evidence has to come back to me. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Okay. On this document, it's a petition for a |
| 8 | discharge, a "full waiver," it says. |
| 9 | Was this document sent back to your firm as |
| 10 | not notarized by Judge Colin's office? |
| 11 | A. I'm not sure. I didn't get the documents |
| 12 | back. |
| 13 | Q. Is it notarized? |
| 14 | A. No, it's not. |
| 15 | Q. Did you sign as the notary? |
| 16 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 18 | The question was, is it notarized? The answer |
| 19 | was no. Then you asked if somebody else, if |
| 20 | they'd sign, and then the witness if he signed as a |
| 21 | notary. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I signed it as the attorney for |
| 23 | the estate. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. Okay. On April 9th with Simon Bernstein? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yeah, it appears that way. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Could it be another way? |
| 3 | A. It didn't this document did not require |
| 4 | that I witness Si's signature. So I believe that that |
| 5 | document was sent to Si, and he signed it, sent it back, |
| 6 | we signed it and filed it. |
| 7 | Q. So you sent it to Si, he signed it, then sent |
| 8 | it back, and you signed it all on April 9th? |
| 9 | A. It doesn't it's what day he signed it |
| 10 | that's relevant. He signed it on April 9th. |
| 11 | Q. And what day did you sign it? |
| 12 | A. I could have signed it April 11th. |
| 13 | Q. Well, where does it say April 11th? |
| 14 | A. My signature doesn't require a date. His |
| 15 | does. |
| 16 | Q. Why? |
| 17 | A. Just doesn't. |
| 18 | Q. Well, the date that the document says this |
| 19 | document's being signed on April 9th. |
| 20 | A. I did not sign that exhibit. |
| 21 | Q. Next question. On September 13, 2013, the |
| 22 | year after my father died, in Judge Martin Colin's |
| 23 | court, when he discovered this document, did he threaten |
| 24 | to read you your Miranda Rights, stating he had enough |
| 25 | evidence to read you Mirandas? |

| 1 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 3 | BY MR. BER | INSTEIN: |
| 4 | Q. | Did you deposit this document, this April 9th |
| 5 | full disch | arge, with the court? |
| 6 | Α. | Did I personally do it? |
| 7 | Q. | Did your law firm? |
| 8 | Α. | No, the law firm did, yes. |
| 9 | Q. | Okay. And on whose behalf? |
| 10 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 11 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 12 | | MR. ROSE: And relevance. |
| 13 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 14 | BY MR. BER | NSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. | Simon was dead when this document was |
| 16 | deposited | with the court, correct? |
| 17 | | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Relevance. |
| 18 | | THE COURT: I've got that he is dead written |
| 19 | down | here several times. It's clear in my mind. |
| 20 | You'r | e not moving in a positive direction. |
| 21 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: I understand that part. |
| 22 | | THE COURT: All right. New question, please. |
| 23 | | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 24 | BY MR. BER | INSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. | Is this document sworn to and attested by my |

| 1 | father? Is it a sworn statement? Does it say "under |
|----|--|
| 2 | penalties of perjury"? |
| 3 | A. It does. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So under penalties of perjury, on |
| 5 | April 9th, my father and you signed a document, it |
| 6 | appears, that states that Simon has fully administered |
| 7 | the estate. |
| 8 | Was that done? |
| 9 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 10 | Q. He had settled the estate, made dispositions |
| 11 | of all claims of Shirley's estate? |
| 12 | A. He was the only beneficiary of the estate. |
| 13 | The creditor period had passed. |
| 14 | Q. He was the only beneficiary of the will? |
| 15 | A. He was the only beneficiary of the will if |
| 16 | he that's if he survived your mother. |
| 17 | Q. Did you say earlier that the five children |
| 18 | were tangible personal property devisees or |
| 19 | beneficiaries under the will? |
| 20 | A. I did not. I said your father was the sole |
| 21 | beneficiary of your mother's estate by virtue of |
| 22 | surviving her. |
| 23 | Q. I thought you mentioned can I take a look |
| 24 | at the will? |
| 25 | Okay. On Simon's will, which is Exhibit 4 |

| 1 | here |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. This is your mother's will we're talking |
| 3 | about. |
| 4 | Q. Well, hold on. Well, you did state there were |
| 5 | mirror documents, correct, at one point? That's okay. |
| 6 | I'll proceed. That part seems to be in error. |
| 7 | Does the document say, "I, Shirley Bernstein, |
| 8 | of Palm Beach County, Florida hereby revoke all of my |
| 9 | prior wills and codicils and make this will my spouse's |
| 10 | assignment. My children are Ted, Pam Pamela Simon, |
| 11 | Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and Lisa Friedstein"? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence and |
| 13 | cumulative. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Was there a separate written memorandum |
| 18 | prepared for this will? |
| 19 | A. No, there was not. |
| 20 | Q. And if Simon didn't survive, the property |
| 21 | would be going to the children, correct? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: Best evidence and cumulative. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: What was I missed that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Can I not ask him that question I just asked? |
| 3 | THE COURT: I sustained the objection. You |
| 4 | can ask a new question of him. |
| 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 6 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 7 | Q. Is there any chance that the children could be |
| 8 | beneficiaries of anything under this will? |
| 9 | A. Not at the time of your mother's death. Your |
| 10 | father survived. |
| 11 | Q. So at the time of her death, you're saying |
| 12 | that if they both died together, would the |
| 13 | children |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. |
| 15 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 16 | Q be beneficiaries? |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'm done with him. |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: No questions. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step |
| 21 | down now. |
| 22 | Next witness, please. |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: My next witness, are you |
| 24 | saying? |
| 25 | THE COURT: If you have another witness, now's |
| | |

| 1 | the time to call him or her. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Ted Bernstein well, |
| 3 | one second. |
| 4 | Is Kimberly Moran, your witness, here? Is |
| 5 | Kimberly Moran, an exhibited witness, here, |
| 6 | Mr. Rose? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Listen, it's your case. I've |
| 8 | asked if you have any other witnesses. Do you have |
| 9 | any other witnesses? |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I don't. I was going to |
| 11 | call some of their witnesses, but they're not here. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So you aren't going to call |
| 13 | anybody? |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I'm going to call Ted |
| 15 | Bernstein. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, that's a witness, right? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just was |
| 18 | looking for the other ones on the witness list. I |
| 19 | didn't know if they were sitting outside. |
| 20 | Thereupon, |
| 21 | (TED BERNSTEIN) |
| 22 | having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined |
| 23 | and testified as follows: |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 25 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Ted |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got to ask the witness his |
| 4 | name. The record needs to reflect who's |
| 5 | testifying. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: And could I just ask that he stay |
| 7 | at the podium? |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. You need to stay near the |
| 9 | microphone so that I can hear and the court |
| 10 | reporter can accurately hear you. And then if you |
| 11 | need to go up to the witness stand for some reason, |
| 12 | you're allowed to do that. |
| 13 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 14 | Q. State your name for the record. |
| 15 | A. Ted Bernstein. |
| 16 | Q. Is that your full formal name? |
| 17 | A. That is. |
| 18 | Q. Do you go by Theodore Stuart Bernstein ever? |
| 19 | A. I do not. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Is that your name on your birth |
| 21 | certificate? |
| 22 | A. Which one? |
| 23 | Q. Theodore Stuart Bernstein? |
| 24 | A. It is not. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. Ted, you were made aware of Robert |

| 1 | Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of |
|----|---|
| 2 | your mother's when? |
| 3 | A. I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. And when you found out, you were the |
| 5 | fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly? |
| 6 | A. I'm not sure I understand the question. |
| 7 | Q. When you found out that there was a fraudulent |
| 8 | altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the |
| 9 | fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust? |
| 10 | A. I was trustee, yes. I am trustee, yes. |
| 11 | Q. And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and |
| 12 | their law firm are the one who committed that fraud, |
| 13 | correct, who altered that document? |
| 14 | A. That's what's been admitted to by them, |
| 15 | correct. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. So you became aware that your counsel |
| 17 | that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud, |
| 18 | correct? |
| 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | Q. What did you do immediately after that? |
| 21 | A. The same day that I found out, I contacted |
| 22 | counsel. I met with counsel on that very day. I met |
| 23 | with counsel the next day. I met with counsel the day |
| 24 | after that. |
| 25 | Q. Which counsel? |

| 1 | A. Alan Rose. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Oh. Okay. So he was so Tescher and |
| 3 | Spallina were your counsel as trustee, but Alan Rose |
| 4 | became that day? |
| 5 | A. I'm not sure when, but I consulted him |
| 6 | immediately. You asked me when. |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Can I caution the witness that it's |
| 8 | fine to say who he consulted with. I think the |
| 9 | advice was the attorney-client privilege I would |
| 10 | instruct him on. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. The attorney-client |
| 12 | privilege is available, and your client is on the |
| 13 | stand. Counsel's reminding him that it exists. |
| 14 | Are there any other questions? What is the |
| 15 | time period that you're asking about here? |
| 16 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right after he discovered that |
| 17 | there had been a fraudulent, invalid will created. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Right. And you're asking him what |
| 19 | he did afterwards? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right afterwards. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Have your mother and father |
| 22 | both passed away at the time you're asking him |
| 23 | that? |
| 24 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. |
| 25 | THE COURT: So the validity of the documents |

| 1 | that I've got to figure out won't have anything to |
|----|---|
| 2 | do with the questions you're asking him now about |
| 3 | his actions at trustee, will they? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Tell me how. |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Because, Your Honor, |
| 7 | when he found out that there was fraud by his |
| 8 | attorneys that he retained, the question is, what |
| 9 | did they do with those documents? Did he come to |
| 10 | the court to correct |
| 11 | THE COURT: The question you're asking him is |
| 12 | what did he do. |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Well, that doesn't tell me |
| 15 | anything about what the attorneys did. So I'll |
| 16 | sustain my own objection. I want to keep you on |
| 17 | track here. You're running out of time, and I want |
| 18 | you to stay focused on what I've got to figure out. |
| 19 | You've got a lot more on your mind than I do. I |
| 20 | explained that to you earlier. Do you have any |
| 21 | other questions on the issues that I've got to |
| 22 | resolve at this point? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |
| 24 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 25 | Q. Have you seen the original will and trust of |

| 1 | your mother's? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Can you define original for me? |
| 3 | Q. The original. |
| 4 | A. The one that's filed in the court? |
| 5 | Q. Original will or the trust. |
| 6 | A. I've seen copies of the trusts. |
| 7 | Q. Have you done anything to have any of the |
| 8 | documents authenticated since learning that your |
| 9 | attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive |
| 10 | documents that you were in custody of? |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I have not. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. So you as the trustee have taken no steps to |
| 16 | validate these documents; is that correct? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. Why is that? |
| 19 | A. I'm not an expert on the validity of |
| 20 | documents. |
| 21 | Q. Did you contract a forensic analyst? |
| 22 | A. I'm retained by counsel, and I've got counsel |
| 23 | retained for all of this. So I'm not an expert on the |
| 24 | validity of the documents. |
| 25 | Q. You're the fiduciary. You're the trustee. |
| | |

| 1 | You're the guy in charge. You're the guy who hires your |
|----|--|
| 2 | counsel. You tell them what to do. |
| 3 | So you found out that your former attorneys |
| 4 | committed fraud. And my question is simple. Did you do |
| 5 | anything, Ted Bernstein, to validate these documents, |
| 6 | the originals? |
| 7 | THE COURT: That's already been answered in |
| 8 | the negative. I wrote it down. Let's keep going. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 10 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 11 | Q. As you sit here today, if the documents in |
| 12 | your mother's in the estates aren't validated and |
| 13 | certain documents are thrown out if the judge rules them |
| 14 | not valid, will you or your family gain or lose any |
| 15 | benefit in any scenario? |
| 16 | A. Can you repeat that for me, please? I'm not |
| 17 | sure I'm understanding. |
| 18 | Q. If the judge invalidates some of the documents |
| 19 | here today, will you personally lose money, interest in |
| 20 | the estates and trusts as the trustee, your family, you? |
| 21 | A. I will not. |
| 22 | Q. Your family? |
| 23 | A. My my children will. |
| 24 | Q. So that's your family? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Okay. So do you find that as a fiduciary to |
|----|---|
| 2 | be a conflict? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I think it calls for a legal |
| 6 | conclusion. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 8 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 9 | Q. Well, would it matter to you one way or the |
| 10 | other how these documents are validated? |
| 11 | A. What would matter to me would be to follow the |
| 12 | documents that are deemed to be valid and follow the |
| 13 | court orders that suggest and deem that they are valid. |
| 14 | That would be what I would be charged to do. |
| 15 | Q. So you can sit here today and tell me that the |
| 16 | validity of these documents, even though your family |
| 17 | will lose 40 percent, has no effect on you? |
| 18 | A. It has no effect on me. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. And you don't find that to be adverse |
| 20 | to certain beneficiaries as the trustee? |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 22 | conclusion. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, what difference does it make |
| 24 | to me? I mean, what he thinks about his role is |
| 25 | just not relevant to me. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: So the next question, please. |
| 3 | That's not relevant. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. So in no way have you tried to authenticate |
| 6 | these documents as the trustee? |
| 7 | THE COURT: He has already said that. That's |
| 8 | the third time you've asked it, at least. And I've |
| 9 | written it down. It's on my papers. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll let it go. I'll |
| 11 | let him go today. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. You have no further |
| 13 | questions of the witness. |
| 14 | Is there any cross? |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: Briefly. |
| 16 | CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN) |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 18 | Q. You did a few things to authenticate the |
| 19 | documents, didn't you? You filed a lawsuit? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. In fact, we're here today because you filed a |
| 22 | lawsuit to ask this judge to determine if these five |
| 23 | documents are valid, correct? |
| 24 | A. That's correct. |
| 25 | Q. And you fired Mr. Tescher and Spallina on the |
| | |

| 1 | spot? |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. Called the bar association? |
| 4 | A. The next business day. |
| 5 | Q. You consulted with counsel, and we retained |
| 6 | additional probate counsel over the weekend? |
| 7 | A. We did. |
| 8 | Q. So as far as authenticating the documents, you |
| 9 | personally believe these are genuine and valid |
| 10 | documents, right? |
| 11 | A. I do. |
| 12 | Q. And you, in fact, were in your office the day |
| 13 | your father signed them? |
| 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | Q. And witnessed Mr. Spallina and the notary |
| 16 | coming to the office to sign the documents? |
| 17 | A. Yes, that's right. |
| 18 | Q. And you had been on a conference call with |
| 19 | your father, your brother and your three sisters where |
| 20 | your father told you exactly what he was going to do? |
| 21 | A. That is also correct. |
| 22 | Q. And the documents that we're looking at today |
| 23 | do exactly what your father told everybody, including |
| 24 | your brother, Eliot, he was going to do on the |
| 25 | conference call in May of 2012? |
| | |

| 1 | A. Yes, that is correct also. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Now, I think you were asked a good question. |
| 3 | Do you care one way or the other how these |
| 4 | documents are decided by the Court? |
| 5 | A. Absolutely not. |
| 6 | Q. Did you care when your father or mother made a |
| 7 | document that did not specifically leave any money to |
| 8 | you? |
| 9 | A. I did not. |
| 10 | Q. Now, did you care for anybody other than |
| 11 | yourself? |
| 12 | A. I cared for the for the sake of my |
| 13 | children. |
| 14 | Q. And why did you care for the sake of your |
| 15 | children? |
| 16 | A. My parents had a very good relationship with |
| 17 | my children, and I did not want my children to |
| 18 | misinterpret what the intentions of their grandparents |
| 19 | were and would have been. And for that reason, I felt |
| 20 | that it would have been difficult for my children. |
| 21 | Q. Did you ever have access to the original will |
| 22 | of your father or mother that were in the Tescher & |
| 23 | Spallina vaults? |
| 24 | A. I have no access, no. |
| 25 | Q. Did you ever have access to the original |
| | |

| 1 | copies of the trusts that Mr. Spallina testified were |
|----|---|
| 2 | sitting in their firm's file cabinets or vaults? |
| 3 | A. I did not. |
| 4 | Q. Now, did you find in your father's possessions |
| 5 | the duplicate originals of the trusts of him and your |
| 6 | mother that we've talked about? |
| 7 | A. I did. |
| 8 | Q. And do you have any reason to believe that |
| 9 | they aren't valid, genuine and signed by your father on |
| 10 | the day that he your father and your mother on the |
| 11 | days that it says they signed them? |
| 12 | A. None whatsoever. |
| 13 | Q. You need to get a ruling on whether these five |
| 14 | documents are valid in order for you to do your job as |
| 15 | the trustee, correct? |
| 16 | A. Yes, that is correct. |
| 17 | Q. Whichever way the Court rules, will you follow |
| 18 | the final judgment of the Court and exactly consistent |
| 19 | with what the documents say, and follow the advice of |
| 20 | your counsel in living up to the documents as the Court |
| 21 | construes them? |
| 22 | A. Always. A hundred percent. |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Nothing further, sir. |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 25 | Is there any redirect? |
| | |

1 REDIRECT (TED BERNSTEIN) BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 Ο. You just stated that you came to the court and validated the documents in this hearing today; is that 4 5 correct? MR. ROSE: Objection. It mis --6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: O. You filed a motion to validate the documents 8 today? 9 10 THE COURT: Wait. You've got to let me rule on the objection. 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. I don't hear any 13 objection. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 Q. Okay. Since -- did you file a motion that we're here for today for validity? 17 18 Α. Explain motion. 19 Q. A motion with the court for a validity hearing that we're here at right now. 20 21 Α. Do you mean the lawsuit? 2.2 Q. Well, yeah. 23 Α. Yes, we did file a lawsuit, yes. 24 Okay. Do you know when you filed that? Q. 25 Α. No. I don't know, Eliot. I don't know when I

| 1 | filed it. I don't have it committed to memory. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Do you have an idea? |
| 3 | MR. ROSE: Objection. I think the court file |
| 4 | will reflect when the case was filed. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 6 | The question was answered, I don't know. Next |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 9 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 10 | Q. Prior to filing this lawsuit, Mr. Rose said |
| 11 | you couldn't do anything because you didn't know if the |
| 12 | documents were valid. |
| 13 | My question is, did you do anything from the |
| 14 | time you found out the documents might not be valid and |
| 15 | needed a validity hearing to today at this validity |
| 16 | hearing? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 18 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he knew about these |
| 20 | documents being fraudulent for X months. |
| 21 | THE COURT: What will that help me decide on |
| 22 | the validity of the five documents? |
| 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Why, Your Honor, they didn't |
| 24 | come to the court knowing that they needed a |
| 25 | validity hearing, and instead disposed and |
| | |

1 disbursed of assets while they've known all this 2 time --3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'm not called to rule upon that stuff. I'm 4 called to rule upon the validity of these five 5 paper documents. That's what I'm going to figure 6 7 out at the end of the day. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Mr. Rose asked you if you found documents and 9 Q. they all looked valid to you, and you responded yes. 10 Are you an expert? 11 12 Α. I am not. Can you describe what you did to make that 13 Ο. 14 analysis? 15 Α. They looked like they were their signatures on the documents. I had no reason whatsoever to think 16 those weren't the documents that were their planning 17 documents. I had no reason at all to think that. 18 19 Q. Even after your hired attorneys that were representing you admitted fraud, you didn't think there 20 21 was any reason to validate the documents? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Ο. Did you find any reason to validate these

| 1 | documents forensically? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I think I answered that by saying that we |
| 3 | filed a lawsuit. |
| 4 | Q. No, I'm asking you to have a |
| 5 | forensic you're the trustee. And as a beneficiary |
| 6 | to protect the beneficiaries, do you think you should |
| 7 | validate these documents with a handwriting expert due |
| 8 | to the fact that we have multiple instances of fraud by |
| 9 | your counsel who were acting on your behalf? |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative and |
| 11 | argument. |
| 12 | THE COURT: The question is, does he think |
| 13 | something. I've already told you when you ask a |
| 14 | question do you think, I stop listening. It's not |
| 15 | relevant what the witness thinks. |
| 16 | So I'll sustain the objection. |
| 17 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 18 | Q. As a trustee, would you find it to be your |
| 19 | fiduciary duty upon learning of document forgeries and |
| 20 | frauds by your counsel to have the dispositive documents |
| 21 | you're operating under validated by a professional |
| 22 | handwriting expert, forensic expert, et cetera? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Do you think these documents should be |
| 3 | validated you're the trustee. |
| 4 | Do you think these documents should be |
| 5 | validated by a professional firm forensically? |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 7 | THE COURT: It's not relevant. You just asked |
| 8 | him if he thinks he should have had them validated. |
| 9 | I don't care what he thinks. In making my |
| 10 | decisions today, what he thinks he should have done |
| 11 | or not done isn't relevant. I'm looking for facts. |
| 12 | So I really wish you would address your questions |
| 13 | to facts. |
| 14 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 15 | Q. So, to the best of your knowledge, have these |
| 16 | documents been forensically analyzed by any expert? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. |
| 18 | THE COURT: No, they are not. I already know |
| 19 | that. I wrote it down. He's already said they've |
| 20 | not been. |
| 21 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 22 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 23 | Q. Ted, when your father signed, allegedly, his |
| 24 | 2012 documents in July, were you aware of any medical |
| 25 | problems with your father? |
| | |

| 1 | A. I don't think so. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were you aware that I took him for a biopsy of |
| 3 | his brain? |
| 4 | A. I'm not aware of that, no. |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of the headaches he was |
| 6 | suffering that caused him to go for a biopsy of his |
| 7 | brain? |
| 8 | A. I don't believe he had a biopsy of his brain. |
| 9 | But if he did, then I'm not aware of it. |
| 10 | Q. Oh, okay. Were you aware of headaches your |
| 11 | father was suffering? |
| 12 | A. I recall he was having some headaches. |
| 13 | Q. Were you aware that he was seeing a |
| 14 | psychiatrist? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Were you aware of the reasons he was seeing a |
| 17 | psychiatrist? |
| 18 | A. Absolutely not. |
| 19 | Q. Were you ever in the psychiatrist's office |
| 20 | with him? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. For what reason? |
| 23 | A. I wanted to have a conversation with him. |
| 24 | Q. About? |
| 25 | A. About some personal issues that I wanted to |

discuss with him. 1 Ο. Personal issues such as? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Can I get clarification? Are you talking about you wanted to -- he may have a 4 privilege. 5 You were discussing Simon's issues or your own 6 7 personal issues? THE WITNESS: They were both intertwined 8 together. 9 10 MR. ROSE: I think it's subject to a 11 privilege. THE COURT: All right. Well, you've been 12 13 warned by your attorney you've got a psychologist-client privilege, so use it as you 14 15 will. MR. BERNSTEIN: He's not a client of the 16 psychiatrist, I don't think. 17 18 THE COURT: I beg to differ with you. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, he is? THE COURT: Because the answer just clarified 20 21 that he was in part seeking to be a client. Did 2.2 you listen to his clarification of his answer? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: No. 24 THE COURT: Well, I did very closely. 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: What was it?

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: Next question, please. |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll just see it on the |
| 3 | transcript. |
| 4 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of any medical conditions, |
| 6 | depression, anything like that your father was |
| 7 | experiencing prior to his death? |
| 8 | A. I never found our father to suffer from any |
| 9 | kind of depression or anything like that during his |
| 10 | lifetime. |
| 11 | Q. So after your mother died, he wasn't |
| 12 | depressed? |
| 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Could I again ask Mr. Bernstein to |
| 15 | step to the podium and not be so close to my |
| 16 | client? |
| 17 | THE COURT: If you speak into the microphone, |
| 18 | it'll be even more easy to hear your questions. |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 21 | Q. So, according to you, your father's state of |
| 22 | mind was perfectly fine after his wife died of a |
| 23 | number of years |
| 24 | A. I didn't say that. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. He wasn't depressed? |

| 1 | A. That's what I said. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Were you aware of any medications he was on? |
| 3 | A. I was, yes. |
| 4 | Q. Such as? |
| 5 | A. From time to time, he would take something for |
| 6 | your heart when you would have angina pains. But that |
| 7 | he was doing for 30 years, for a good 30 years, that I |
| 8 | knew dad was taking, whatever that medicine is when you |
| 9 | have some chest pain. |
| 10 | Q. Did you have any problems with your father |
| 11 | prior to his death? |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 13 | THE COURT: The question is, did you have any |
| 14 | problems with your dad before he died? |
| 15 | I'll sustain the objection. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Are you aware of any problems between you and |
| 18 | your father that were causing him stress? |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 21 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 22 | Q. Were you aware that your father was changing |
| 23 | his documents allegedly due to stress caused by certain |
| 24 | of his children? |
| 25 | A. No. |

| 1 | Q. Were you on a May 10th phone call? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. In that phone call, did your father |
| 4 | MR. ROSE: Objection. It's beyond the |
| 5 | scope well |
| 6 | MR. BERNSTEIN: It has to do with the changes |
| 7 | of the documents and the state of mind. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Do you have a question you want to |
| 9 | ask? He's withdrawn whatever he was saying, so you |
| 10 | can finish your question. |
| 11 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 12 | Q. Okay. So on May 10th, at that meeting, your |
| 13 | father stated that he was having trouble with certain of |
| 14 | his children, and this would solve those problems. |
| 15 | Are you aware of that? |
| 16 | A. No, I don't not from the way you're |
| 17 | characterizing that phone call. |
| 18 | Q. Well, how do you characterize that? |
| 19 | A. He wanted to have a conversation with his five |
| 20 | children about some changes he was making to his |
| 21 | documents. |
| 22 | Q. And you had never talked to him about the |
| 23 | changes, that your family was disinherited? |
| 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | Q. Prior to that call? |

| 1 | A. No. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. When did you learn that you were disinherited? |
| 3 | A. I think when I first saw documents with |
| 4 | maybe after dad once dad passed away. |
| 5 | Q. Were you aware of the contact with your sister |
| 6 | Pam regarding her anger at your father for cutting both |
| 7 | of you out of the will? |
| 8 | A. I'm aware of that. |
| 9 | Q. So that was before your father passed? |
| 10 | A. Excuse me. Can you ask say the end of that |
| 11 | sentence again. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you read that back? |
| 13 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 14 | reporter.) |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You asked me a |
| 16 | question, and I had answered too quickly. What was |
| 17 | the end of the question prior to that? |
| 18 | (A portion of the record was read by the |
| 19 | reporter.) |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I'm aware that she was angry |
| 21 | with him about how that he she was not in his |
| 22 | documents. |
| 23 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 24 | Q. You didn't learn right there that you weren't |
| 25 | in the documents? |
| | |

| 1 | A. I can't remember if it was then or if it was |
|----|---|
| 2 | when dad died. |
| 3 | Q. Well, this is very important so can you think |
| 4 | back to that time. |
| 5 | While your father was alive, did I invite you |
| 6 | to a Passover holiday at my home? |
| 7 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't recall. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. |
| 10 | THE COURT: What's the relevance? |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevance to the |
| 12 | state of mind my dad was in while |
| 13 | THE COURT: Well, you're asking did this guy |
| 14 | get invited to your home. You didn't ask about |
| 15 | your dad, so I'll sustain the objection. |
| 16 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Did you get invited to a Passover |
| 18 | dinner at my home that your father was attending? |
| 19 | A. I don't recall the circumstances of |
| 20 | what whatever it is you're referring to. |
| 21 | Q. Do you recall saying you wouldn't come to the |
| 22 | Passover dinner? |
| 23 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 25 | |

| 1 | BY MR. BERNSTEIN: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Do you recall writing me a email that stated |
| 3 | that your family was dead for all intensive [sic] |
| 4 | purposes? |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. |
| 6 | THE COURT: What's the relevance to the |
| 7 | validity of these documents? |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: If Si was in the right state |
| 9 | of mind or if he was being, you know, forced at a |
| 10 | gun to make these changes by children who had |
| 11 | THE COURT: Your question asked this witness |
| 12 | if he wrote you a letter that said his family was |
| 13 | dead for all intents and purposes. What's that got |
| 14 | to do with the validity of these documents? |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it establishes Simon's |
| 16 | state of mind. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection. |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. Well, then, |
| 19 | I'm all done then. |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 21 | Is there any cross? |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: I already crossed. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Oh, that's true. So you're all |
| 24 | set. You're done. Thank you. |
| 25 | Next witness, please. |

| 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Alan Rose. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. ROSE: I object. Improper. |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got 11 minutes yet. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he's a witness to the |
| 5 | chain of custody in these documents. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, you can call anybody you |
| 7 | want. I just wanted you to know how much time you |
| 8 | had left. |
| 9 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 10 | MR. ROSE: He wants to call me, and I object |
| 11 | to being called as a witness. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: I don't think that's proper. |
| 14 | THE COURT: I don't think that's proper to |
| 15 | call an attorney from the other side as your |
| 16 | witness. So I accept the objection. Anybody else? |
| 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I would agree with |
| 18 | that normally |
| 19 | THE COURT: Well, thanks. |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: but there's a small |
| 21 | problem. The chain of custody we're trying to |
| 22 | follow in these documents for other reasons, other |
| 23 | criminal reasons, is Mr. Rose has pertinent |
| 24 | information to; meaning, he claims to have |
| 25 | discovered some of these documents and taken them |
| | |

| | ,,, |
|----|---|
| 1 | off the property. |
| 2 | THE COURT: I thought you said you wanted a |
| 3 | chain of custody? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. Meaning |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, the chain of custody to me |
| 6 | means the chain of custody after the time they were |
| 7 | executed. |
| 8 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. He wasn't around when |
| 10 | they were executed. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but he found documents |
| 12 | that are being inserted into this court case as |
| 13 | originals, second originals that he found |
| 14 | personally, and wrote a letter stating, I just |
| 15 | happened to find these documents in Simon's home |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the |
| 17 | objection to you calling him as a surprise witness. |
| 18 | He's a representative of your own. Do you have any |
| 19 | other witnesses? |
| 20 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'm good. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. So you rest? |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Is there any rebuttal |
| 24 | evidence from the plaintiff's side? |
| 25 | MR. ROSE: No, sir. |
| | |

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. So the evidence is closed. |
|----|---|
| 2 | We'll have time for brief closing arguments. And |
| 3 | I'll take those now. Let me hear first from the |
| 4 | plaintiff's side. |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Did you say it was time |
| 6 | for me to speak? |
| 7 | THE COURT: Yes. I'm taking closing arguments |
| 8 | now. |
| 9 | MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. May it please |
| 10 | the Court. |
| 11 | We're here on a very narrow issue. And |
| 12 | we you know, I apologize to the extent I put on |
| 13 | a little bit of background. We've had an extensive |
| 14 | litigation before Judge Colin. This is our first |
| 15 | time here. And if any of my background bored you, |
| 16 | I apologize. |
| 17 | There are five documents that are at issue, |
| 18 | which we talked about before we started; the 2008 |
| 19 | will and trust of Shirley Bernstein, as well as the |
| 20 | amendment that she signed, and then the 2012 will |
| 21 | and trust of Simon Bernstein. |
| 22 | So the uncontroverted evidence that you've |
| 23 | heard was from Robert Spallina, who is an attesting |
| 24 | witness to the documents and he was a draftsman of |
| 25 | the documents. |
| | |

| 1 | I don't believe it's directly relevant to your |
|----|---|
| 2 | inquiry, but you certainly heard evidence that what |
| 3 | Simon Bernstein intended and what he communicated |
| 4 | were his wishes; the exercise of a power of |
| 5 | appointment through a will, the changing of the |
| 6 | beneficiaries of his trust document by way of an |
| 7 | amended and restated 2012 document, to give his |
| 8 | money leave his wealth to his ten grandchildren. |
| 9 | The final documents as drafted and signed are |
| 10 | consistent with what. |
| 11 | But what we're here to decide is, are these |
| 12 | documents valid and enforceable? And there are |
| 13 | self-proving affidavits attached to the documents. |
| 14 | And by themselves, if you find the self-proving |
| 15 | affidavits to be valid, then the wills themselves |
| 16 | are valid and enforceable. |
| 17 | Now, the only question that's been raised as |
| 18 | to the self-proving affidavit is an issue with |
| 19 | notarization. And we have two cases to cite to the |
| 20 | Court on the notarization issue. One is from the |
| 21 | Florida Supreme Court called The House of Lyons, |
| 22 | and one is from a sister court in the State of |
| | |

23 North Carolina.

24

25

THE COURT: Just a second.

Sir, would you just have a seat. You're

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | making me nervous. |
| 2 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Thanks. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just aching. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Well, I understand. But just have |
| 6 | a seat. That'll be better. Thanks. |
| 7 | And I'm sorry for the interruption. |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: No, that's all right. |
| 9 | If I may I approach with the two cases we |
| 10 | would rely on. |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: The House of Lyons. The second is |
| 13 | a case from Georgia. The House of Lyons case is |
| 14 | from the Florida Supreme Court. It deals in a |
| 15 | slightly different context, but it deals with |
| 16 | notarization. And so what you have here is, we've |
| 17 | put on evidence. The documents that are in |
| 18 | evidence, that these documents were signed |
| 19 | properly. The witnesses were in the presence of |
| 20 | each other, and the testator and the notary |
| 21 | notarized them. |
| 22 | Shirley's documents from 2008, there's no |
| 23 | question that all the boxes were checked. There is |
| 24 | a question that's been raised with regard to |
| 25 | Simon's 2012 will and his 2012 trust; that the |
| | |

| 1 | notary rather than the law firm employee |
|----|---|
| 2 | notarizing them, these were notarized by Simon's |
| 3 | the testimony is by an employee of Simon's company, |
| 4 | not a legal expert. And if on the face of the two |
| 5 | documents and for the record, these would be |
| 6 | Exhibits 4, which is Simon's will, and Exhibit 5, |
| 7 | which is Simon's trust. |
| 8 | On Exhibit 4, there's no box to check. The |
| 9 | whole information is written out. And I don't |
| 10 | believe there's any requirement that someone |
| 11 | circled the word if you just read it as an |
| 12 | English sentence, the notary confirmed that it was |
| 13 | sworn to and ascribed before me the witness is |
| 14 | Robert L. Spallina, who is personally known to me |
| 15 | or who has produced no identification. |
| 16 | So I think the natural inference from that |
| 17 | sentence is that person was known to him, Kimberly |
| 18 | Moran, who was personally known to me, and Simon |
| 19 | Bernstein, who was personally known to me. So on |
| 20 | its face, I think it the only inference you |
| 21 | could draw from this is that the person knew them. |
| 22 | Now, we've established from testimony that she |
| 23 | in fact knew the three of them, and we've |
| 24 | established by way of Exhibit 16, which was signed |
| 25 | on the same day and notarized by the same person. |

| 1 | And Exhibit 16, unlike Exhibit 4, which doesn't |
|----|---|
| 2 | have a little check mark, Exhibit 16 has a check |
| 3 | mark, and the notary properly checks personally |
| 4 | known to the people that she was notarizing. |
| 5 | So I believe and the In Re Lyon case stands |
| 6 | for substantial compliance with a notary is |
| 7 | sufficient. And the North Carolina case is |
| 8 | actually more directly on point. The Florida |
| 9 | Supreme Court case, Lyons and we've highlighted |
| 10 | it for the Court, but it says, clerical errors will |
| 11 | not be permitted to defeat acknowledges |
| 12 | acknowledgments when they, considered either alone |
| 13 | or in connection with the instrument acknowledged |
| 14 | and viewed in light of the statute controlling |
| 15 | them, fairly show a substantial compliance with the |
| 16 | statute. |
| 17 | The North Carolina case is a will case, In Re |

18 Will of Durham. And there it's exactly our case. 19 The notary affidavit was silent as to whether the 20 person was personally known or not. And the Court held the caveat was self-proving. The fact that 21 22 the notary's affidavit is silent as to whether 23 decedent was personally known to the notary or 24 produced satisfactory evidence of his identity does 25 not show a lack of compliance with the notary

statute, given the issues of personal knowledge or
 satisfactory evidence are simply not addressed in
 that affidavit.

So we have a Florida case and we have the 4 North Carolina case, which I think is -- it's 5 obviously not binding, but it is sort of 6 7 persuasive. If they're self-proved, we would win without any further inquiry. The reason we had a 8 trial and the reason we had to file a complaint was 9 10 everything in this case -- you've slogged through the mud with us for a day, but we've been slogging 11 12 through the mud for -- basically, I got directly 13 involved in January of 2014, after the Tescher 14 Spallina firm -- after the issues with the firm 15 came to light. So we've been slogging through this. 16

But we did file a complaint. We went the next 17 18 step. So the next step says to you, assume the 19 notaries are invalid, which they aren't invalid; 20 but if they were, all we need to establish these 21 documents is the testimony of any attesting 2.2 witness. So we put on the testimony of an 23 attesting witness, Mr. Spallina. He testified to the preparation of the documents. And I do think 24 25 it's relevant and it will give the Court comfort in

1 making findings of fact that there was an extensive 2 set of meetings between Mr. Spallina and his 3 clients when they did the documents. I mean, we documented for the first set of 4 documents, you know, four meetings, a letter with 5 some drafts, then a meeting to sign the documents, 6 7 some phone calls and some amending the documents. And in 2012, we've documented at least one meeting 8 with notes involving Simon; telephone conferences 9 10 between Simon and his client; eventually, when a decision was made, a conference call of all the 11 12 children; drafts of the documents sent; the 13 document being executed.

And so I think if you look at the evidence, 14 15 the totality of the evidence, there's nothing to suggest that these five documents do not reflect 16 the true intent of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. 17 18 There's nothing to suggest that they weren't 19 prepared by the law firm; that they weren't signed 20 by the people that purport to sign them; that 21 undisputed testimony from an attesting witness was 2.2 that all three people were present, and it was 23 signed by the testator and the two witnesses in the presence of each other. 24

25

So under either scenario, you get the document

| 1 | admitted. In fact, the documents are in evidence. |
|----|---|
| 2 | They've been admitted to probate. But the |
| 3 | testimony under 732.502, 503, the testimony of the |
| 4 | drafting attorney, who attested who was an |
| 5 | attesting witness, is sufficient for these |
| 6 | documents. |
| 7 | There's absolutely no evidence put on the |
| 8 | Court that Simon Bernstein lacked mental capacity. |
| 9 | In fact, the evidence is directly to the contrary. |
| 10 | Every witness testified that he was mentally sharp; |
| 11 | making intelligent decisions; having a conference |
| 12 | call with his children to explain his wishes. And |
| 13 | there's simply no evidence in the record to |
| 14 | determine that he lacked testamentary capacity. |
| 15 | So if I have Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, |
| 16 | with testamentary capacity signing documents in the |
| 17 | presence of two subscribing witnesses, the 2012 |
| 18 | documents should be upheld. I don't know if |
| 19 | there's a question at all even about Shirley |
| 20 | Bernstein's 2008 document, but the testimony is |
| 21 | undisputed that the documents were consistent with |
| 22 | her wishes. You saw a draft letter that explained |
| 23 | to her exactly what was happening. She signed the |
| 24 | documents. The self-proving affidavits for the |
| 25 | Shirley documents are all checked perfectly. And |

| 1 | even if they weren't, we have an attesting witness |
|----|---|
| 2 | here. |
| 3 | And, frankly, I think Eliot Bernstein likes |
| 4 | these documents. And all he wants to do is argue |
| 5 | what they mean and how much money you get from |
| 6 | them. And we didn't really need to spend a day |
| 7 | arguing this, but we have and we're here. And we |
| 8 | believe that the evidence conclusively demonstrates |
| 9 | that these documents are valid. |
| LO | Now, you've heard some nonsense and some |
| L1 | shenanigans. There were a couple of problems in |
| L2 | the case; one with the notarization of documents. |
| L3 | And it's sort of a sad and tortured story, but |

14 it's -- it was clearly wrong for someone to send 15 documents into Judge Colin's courtroom that had 16 been altered. The correct documents were submitted 17 and the estate should have been closed.

18 And when the documents were returned, someone 19 should have gone and filed a motion with Judge 20 Colin to accept the un-notarized documents, since there was no dispute they were signed. And we 21 22 wouldn't be here. But for whatever reason, that 23 happened. And it's unfortunate that happened, but 24 there's no evidence that Ted Bernstein, either of 25 his sisters, or Eliot Bernstein, or any of the

Γ

| 1 | grandchildren played any role in the fabrication of |
|----|---|
| 2 | that document the false notarization. |
| 3 | The fabricated amendment to Shirley's trust |
| 4 | document is a very disturbing fact, and we took |
| 5 | immediate action to correct it. No one's purported |
| 6 | to validate that document. We filed an action to |
| 7 | have the Court construe the documents, tell us |
| 8 | which are valid, tell us what they mean. And |
| 9 | that's where we should be focusing our time on. |
| 10 | And this is, in my view, step one toward that. |
| 11 | But if you look at the evidence we've |
| 12 | presented, if you I understand you've got to |
| 13 | deal with the witnesses that you're handed. And I |
| 14 | think Mr. Spallina's testimony, notwithstanding the |
| 15 | two issues that we addressed, was persuasive, it |
| 16 | was unrebutted. |
| 17 | And we would ask that you uphold the five |
| 18 | documents and determine, as we have pled, that the |
| 19 | five testamentary documents that are in evidence, I |
| 20 | believe, as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be upheld and |
| 21 | determined to be the valid and final testamentary |
| 22 | documents of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. To the |
| 23 | extent there's any question the document that has |
| 24 | been admitted to be not genuine be determined to be |
| 25 | an inoperative and ungenuine document, we would ask |

| 1 | that you enter judgment for us on Count II and |
|----|---|
| 2 | reserve jurisdiction to deal with the rest of the |
| 3 | issues as swiftly as we can. |
| 4 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. |
| 5 | Any closing argument from the other side? |
| 6 | Okay. |
| 7 | I keep forgetting that you've got a right to |
| 8 | be heard, so please forgive me. |
| 9 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, if I may approach, I |
| 10 | have some case law and statutes that I may refer |
| 11 | to. And I'll try to be brief and not cumulative. |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Could I get the other case law |
| 13 | that was submitted? Do you have a copy of that? |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: Sure. |
| 15 | MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, the relevant statute |
| 16 | with respect to the execution of wills is 732.502. |
| 17 | It says that every will must be in writing and |
| 18 | executed as follows. And I'll just recite from the |
| 19 | relevant parts, that is to say relevant with |
| 20 | respect to our case. |
| 21 | The testator must sign at the end of the will |
| 22 | and it must be in the presence of at least two |
| 23 | attesting witnesses. And if we drop down to |
| 24 | Subsection C, the attesting witnesses must sign the |
| 25 | will in the presence of the testator and in the |
| | |

| 1 | presence of each other. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Judge, that was established and uncontroverted |
| 3 | in connection with Mr. Spallina's testimony. So |
| 4 | 732.502 was complied with. |
| 5 | Now, I think that we there was kind of a |
| 6 | distraction with respect to the self-proving |
| 7 | affidavits at the end. As Your Honor's aware, a |
| 8 | self-proving affidavit is of no consequence in |
| 9 | connection with the execution of a will. Execution |
| 10 | of a will as dealt with in 732.502 merely requires |
| 11 | execution at the end by the testator or the |
| 12 | testatrix, and then two witnesses who go ahead and |
| 13 | attest as to the testator's signature. |
| 14 | Now, the self-proving affidavit at the end is |
| 15 | in addition to. So the fact that there may or may |
| 16 | not have been a proper notarization is of no |
| 17 | consequence in connection with a determination of |
| 18 | the validity of any of these documents. So that's |
| 19 | number one. |
| 20 | Number two, I've also provided Your Honor with |
| 21 | another a statutory section, 733.107, and it's |
| 22 | titled "The Burden of Proof in Contest." And it |
| 23 | says there, in Subsection 1, "In all proceedings |
| 24 | contesting the validity of a will, the burden shall |
| 25 | be upon the proponent of the will to establish, |
| | |

Γ

| 1 | prima facie, its formal execution and attestation." |
|----|---|
| 2 | I would submit to the Court that that was done |
| 3 | today. We had Mr. Spallina's testimony, which was |
| 4 | uncontroverted, that indicated that 732.502 was |
| 5 | complied with. The statute goes on to state, "A |
| 6 | self-proving affidavit executed in accordance with |
| 7 | 733.502 or an oath of an attesting witness executed |
| 8 | as required under the statutes is admissible and |
| 9 | establishes, prima facie, the formal execution and |
| 10 | attestation of the will." |
| 11 | So, once again, I would submit to the Court |
| 12 | that there were self-proving affidavits with |
| 13 | respect to all of these testamentary documents. |
| 14 | They were proper in form, and therefore comply or |
| 15 | comport with the second sentence of the statute. |
| 16 | But even if not, we had Mr. Spallina testify today |
| 17 | so as to comply with this second sentence of |
| 18 | Subsection 1. |
| 19 | So if we drop down to the third sentence of |
| 20 | this Subsection 1, it says that, "Thereafter, the |
| 21 | contestant shall have the burden of establishing |
| 22 | the grounds on which probate of the will is opposed |
| 23 | or revocation is sought." |
| 24 | That was not done today by Mr. Eliot |
| 25 | Bernstein. He did not present any evidence or meet |

Γ

| 1 | any burden to overturn these valid wills. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Judge, there is the competency argument. The |
| 3 | testamentary competency, I'm now going to quote |
| 4 | from In Re Wilmott's Estate, 66 So.2d 465. "A |
| 5 | testamentary competency means the ability to |
| 6 | understand generally the nature and extent of one's |
| 7 | property, the relationship of those who would be |
| 8 | the natural objects of the testator's bounty, and |
| 9 | the practical effect of the will." |
| 10 | The only testimony, I elicited that from |
| 11 | Mr. Spallina. His is the only testimony that we |
| 12 | have in this regard. And it's uncontroverted that |
| 13 | both of these decedents met those very specific |
| 14 | criteria which with respect to each and every |
| 15 | one of the five documents that are submitted for |
| 16 | your Court's validation today. |
| 17 | There's also case law, In Re Estate of Weihe, |
| 18 | W-E-I-H-E. That's 268 So.2d 446. That's a Fourth |
| 19 | DCA case that says, "Competency is generally |
| 20 | presumed and the burden of proving incompetency is |
| 21 | on the contestant." So even if we didn't have |
| 22 | Mr. Spallina's testimony today, which I elicited, |
| 23 | competency on the part of both Shirley and Si |
| 24 | Bernstein would be presumed. And it would be the |
| 25 | contestant, Mr. Eliot Bernstein, who would have to |
| | |

| 1 | come up with the or would have the burden of |
|---|--|
| 2 | showing that they were incompetent. He presented |
| 3 | no evidence today in that regard or in that |
| 4 | respect. |

Lastly, there's the In Re Carnegie's estate, Is3 Florida 7. It's a 1943 case. That says that testamentary capacity refers to competency at the time that the will was executed, so on that date.

9 The only testimony we have with respect to any 10 issues of competency on the date -- on the specific 11 dates that these testamentary documents were signed 12 was from Mr. Spallina. And on all such dates and 13 times, Mr. Spallina testified that these requisites 14 with respect to competency -- or testamentary 15 competency were met.

Finally, Judge, undue influence, that would be 16 a reason for invalidating a will. Mr. Bernstein, 17 18 once again, did not present any evidence to go 19 ahead and suggest that these wills or trusts documents should be overturned on the grounds of 20 21 undue influence. And in that regard, I provided 2.2 Your Honor with the Estate of Carpenter, 253 So.2d 23 697. To prove undue influence, one must 24 demonstrate that a beneficiary had a confidential 25 relationship with the decedent and actively

1 procured the will or trust. 2 Mr. Eliot Bernstein did not even suggest today 3 that any of the beneficiaries actively procured the document. Why? Beneficiaries are essentially --4 are ultimately the ten grandchildren. 5 Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, did not suggest 6 7 today that any one of the ten grandchildren, who are ultimately beneficiaries, were active in 8 procuring any of the five documents, nor did 9 10 Mr. Bernstein submit to the Court any evidence of confidential relationship by anyone in connection 11 with the various criteria to raise the presumption 12 13 of undue influence, nor did Eliot Bernstein raise the presumption by satisfying any or enough of the 14 15 criteria under the Carpenter case to go ahead and 16 raise the presumption that anyone, any substantial 17 beneficiary, had committed undue influence with 18 respect to any of these documents. 19 For those various, multifarious reasons, 20 Judge, I would submit to the Court that these 21 documents are valid and should be held as such. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 23 Any closing from the defendant's side? 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. 25 THE COURT: You've got eight minutes

1 remaining.

2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, we're 3 really here today because of a complex fraud on the court and on beneficiaries like myself and my 4 children. The only witness they procured to 5 validate these documents has consented to the SEC 6 7 and felony charges recently with his partner for insider trading. He came up on the stand and 8 admitted that he committed fraud, and that his law 9 10 firm forged documents and frauded documents, and then submitted them not only to the court, but 11 12 beneficiaries' attorneys as part of a very complex 13 fraud to not only change beneficiaries, but to seize dominion and control of the estates through 14 15 these very contestable documents. 16 They've been shown by the governor's office to 17 not be properly notarized. The two people who are 18 going -- well, one is --19 MR. ROSE: I don't want to object to --20 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- has no --21 MR. ROSE: Can I object? He's so far talking 2.2 about things that aren't in evidence. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. You can only argue those things that were 24 received in evidence. 25

| 1 | MR. ROSE: And I realize Your Honor has a good |
|----|---|
| 2 | memory of the evidence |
| 3 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I put in evidence that |
| 4 | Mr. Spallina was SEC |
| 5 | THE COURT: No, I sustained objections to |
| 6 | those questions. |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |
| 8 | THE COURT: You can only argue those things |
| 9 | that came into evidence. |
| 10 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They didn't bring in |
| 11 | any of the necessary parties to validate these |
| 12 | documents, other than Mr. Spallina, who admitted to |
| 13 | the Court today that he fraudulently altered the |
| 14 | trust document. Can I now say that? |
| 15 | THE COURT: It's not good for you to ask me |
| 16 | questions. I've got to rule on objections, and I'm |
| 17 | trying to give you some guidance so that you don't |
| 18 | screw up. But I can't answer your legal questions. |
| 19 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So the only witness has |
| 20 | admitted in this very case that his law firm |
| 21 | submitted forged and fraudulent documents to the |
| 22 | Court already in this case; that he himself did |
| 23 | those frauds. And we're relying on his sole |
| 24 | testimony. |
| 25 | None of the other people who signed these |

| 1 | documents are here today to validate or even |
|----|---|
| 2 | confirm his statements. So it's a highly |
| 3 | uncredible [sic] witness to the documents, |
| 4 | especially when Mr. Spallina drafted, signed as a |
| 5 | witness, gained interest in the documents himself |
| 6 | personally as a trustee, and seems to clearly have |
| 7 | then taken it upon himself to mislead beneficiaries |
| 8 | as to the actual documents. |
| 9 | I have asked for production of these |
| 10 | documents. Today there were no originals produced |
| 11 | to this Court for you to examine. |
| 12 | And more importantly, there's a few last |
| 13 | things I wanted to state to the Court. My children |
| 14 | are not represented here today as beneficiaries. |
| 15 | They were supposed to be represented by a trustee |
| 16 | of a trust that does not exist in our possession. |
| 17 | So they were I was sued as a trustee of a trust |
| 18 | I've never been given to represent my children, who |
| 19 | are alleged beneficiaries by these guys. And the |
| 20 | estate's done nothing to provide counsel to three |
| 21 | minor children, and left them here today without |
| 22 | counsel, and me as a trustee of a trust that |
| 23 | doesn't exist, as far as we know. I've never |
| 24 | signed it. They haven't submitted it to the Court, |
| 25 | to anybody. |

| 1 | I want to bring up Rule 1.20, pretrial |
|----|---|
| 2 | procedure, case management conference process |
| 3 | provides, "The matter to be considered shall be |
| 4 | specified in the order of notice setting the |
| 5 | conference." |
| 6 | So I just want to say that we had a status |
| 7 | conference in Simon Bernstein's estate, and only |
| 8 | Simon Bernstein's estate, and that this trial was |
| 9 | scheduled in Simon's status conference, which |
| 10 | violates that very rule. So this trial, in my |
| 11 | view, was conducted improperly. |
| 12 | Like I said, if you look at the hearing |
| 13 | transcript of that day, you'll see that Mr. Rose |
| 14 | misleads the Court to think that all these cases |
| 15 | were noticed up that day. But Mr. O'Connell, the |
| 16 | PR, had only noticed it up for Simon's estate. So |
| 17 | what I'm doing here at a trial in Shirley's trust |
| 18 | violates Rule 1.20. |
| 19 | There are some other things that are violated |
| 20 | and not I believe we didn't get to discuss |
| 21 | the at the case management, the fact that, you |
| 22 | know and I did try to get this out that we |
| 23 | would need a lot more time for a competency |
| 24 | hearing, for a removal of Ted process, which should |
| 25 | have come first before doing this and letting them |

| 1 | argue, where it's been alleged that there's some |
|----|---|
| 2 | serious problems with Ted Bernstein's |
| 3 | representation, including the fact that the PR of |
| 4 | the estate of Simon has filed with this Court |
| 5 | notice that he's not a valid trustee. |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: Objection. Outside not in |
| 7 | evidence. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. If you're not going to |
| 9 | argue the facts that are in evidence in this trial, |
| 10 | then I'm going to ask you to stop. |
| 11 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'll keep going |
| 12 | on my see, that's what's confusing. What trial? |
| 13 | We had a case management. I was prepared for a |
| 14 | Simon, where I have Simon trust construction, all |
| 15 | those things ready, and I didn't come with any |
| 16 | notes about Shirley. And I've tried to notice the |
| 17 | Court that under 1.200, this trial was scheduled |
| 18 | improperly in the estate of Simon, and should have |
| 19 | been reheard or rescheduled or something. |
| 20 | But that seems not to matter. It doesn't |
| 21 | matter that we follow the rules. I follow the |
| 22 | rules, but it seems that the other side doesn't |
| 23 | follow any of the rules; doesn't submit documents |
| 24 | properly to courts; commits frauds on courts; and |
| 25 | then wants you to believe the validity of these |
| | |

| 1 | documents based on a felony statement to the Court, |
|----|---|
| 2 | who's under a consent with the SEC. |
| 3 | THE COURT: You've got two minutes remaining. |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: There were outstanding |
| 5 | discovery requests. I was denied all these |
| 6 | documents. I was denied the trust that I'm sued |
| 7 | under representing my children. So I can't get any |
| 8 | of those documents. We would have brought all that |
| 9 | up at a real status conference had it been a real |
| 10 | status conference and not a corralling or, as you |
| 11 | called it, a wrangling of octopuses. |
| 12 | THE COURT: That's vivid imagery. Isn't it? |
| 13 | I pride myself on that one. |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Well, I was |
| 15 | wrangled, technically, into the wrong case here |
| 16 | today, in a status conference that you should have |
| 17 | corrected upon learning about this. And Mr. Rose |
| 18 | has been aware of his mistake in misleading the |
| 19 | Court that all these cases were noticed up, when |
| 20 | they weren't. And he didn't come to the Court to |
| 21 | correct it. Kind of like they didn't come to the |
| 22 | Court to correct the validity of these documents |
| 23 | before acting under them, knowing they needed to be |
| 24 | not only challenged on validity, but on |
| 25 | construction of terms, which will come next, which |

1 is going to just go right back into the same circle of fraud. 2 So their star witness is a felon. 3 Their star witness has committed fraud upon this Court in this 4 case. That's who they're relying on, and hoping 5 you bank on his words to validate documents. 6 7 I, Your Honor, am asking that you don't validate the documents; that we move forward to 8 have the documents properly forensically analyzed. 9 They were the subject of ongoing criminal 10 investigations, which are just getting kicked off. 11 12 In fact, I got 7200 documents from Mr. Spallina, 13 where almost, I think, 7200 are fraud. 14 THE COURT: Your time is more than elapsed. I was letting you finish up as a courtesy, but you're 15 16 getting off into things that aren't in evidence --MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I don't think the 17 18 trial was conducted fairly. I think that my due 19 process rights have been denied under the law. 20 THE COURT: Your time is more than up. Thank 21 you. 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 23 Is there any rebuttal? THE COURT: MR. BERNSTEIN: And I still would like to move 24 25 for your disqualification, on the record.

1 THE COURT: On the record doesn't count. 2 You've got to put it in writing. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you sure? I thought I saw in the rules --4 THE COURT: I'll tell you what. You proceed 5 under your understanding of the law and the rules. 6 7 That's fine. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Before I take this --9 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest. THE COURT: -- before I take this rebuttal 11 12 argument, I'll let you put your request for recusal 13 in writing. We'll be out of session five minutes. 14 Is that something you want me to read? 15 MR. ROSE: I just want to make my final --16 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that there's been no possibility that this gentleman 17 18 won't have his moment to shine. 19 So go ahead and go put that in writing, sir. Be back in five minutes. 20 21 (A break was taken.) 2.2 THE COURT: Did you get that written down? Can I approach? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay. 24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to wait for

| 1 | everybody? | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Do you have something that you | | | | |
| 3 | wanted to file, a written motion to recuse? | | | | |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. In freestyle. | | | | |
| 5 | THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at | | | | |
| 6 | it. Thank you. | | | | |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask a question? | | | | |
| 8 | THE COURT: I'll be in recess. I'll take a | | | | |
| 9 | look at this written motion. Thank you. It'll | | | | |
| 10 | take me just a minute. Don't anybody go away. | | | | |
| 11 | (A break was taken.) | | | | |
| 12 | THE COURT: The stack of documents handed up | | | | |
| 13 | to me by the defendant are duplicates of documents | | | | |
| 14 | that he filed, it looks like, twice with the clerk | | | | |
| 15 | on December 4th, and they've already been ruled | | | | |
| 16 | upon by me. But I am also ruling today by | | | | |
| 17 | handwritten order on the face of one of the | | | | |
| 18 | documents that the disqualification motion is | | | | |
| 19 | denied as legally insufficient; already ruled upon | | | | |
| 20 | in the order of 12/8/15, at Docket Entry No. 98; | | | | |
| 21 | identical to motions filed by defendant on | | | | |
| 22 | 12/4/2015 at Docket Entries Nos. 94 and 98; done in | | | | |
| 23 | order of John Phillips, 12/15/15. And since I have | | | | |
| 24 | skills, I made copies of my handwritten order for | | | | |
| 25 | everybody. | | | | |

| 1 | Gary, if you could, just hand these out. | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | That'll take care of all that. | | | | | |
| 3 | Now we can go back to talking about the case. | | | | | |
| 4 | I was going to take the rebuttal argument from | | | | | |
| 5 | Plaintiff's side. I'd take that now. | | | | | |
| 6 | MR. ROSE: I have just the exhibits that we | | | | | |
| 7 | put in evidence on the plaintiff's side, if that's | | | | | |
| 8 | easier for the Court. | | | | | |
| 9 | THE COURT: That would be much easier. Thank | | | | | |
| 10 | you. | | | | | |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: And I have a proposed final | | | | | |
| 12 | judgment. And I wanted to talk about one paragraph | | | | | |
| 13 | of the final judgment in particular. | | | | | |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't had time to review | | | | | |
| 15 | any final judgment or anything. | | | | | |
| 16 | THE COURT: You're interrupting the argument. | | | | | |
| 17 | Thank you. | | | | | |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: So the complaint alleges and I | | | | | |
| 19 | realize we didn't cover every issue in the entire | | | | | |
| 20 | case, but we do it within the four corners of Count | | | | | |
| 21 | II of the complaint. Count II of the complaint was | | | | | |
| 22 | stated in paragraph 79 through 88 of the complaint. | | | | | |
| 23 | And the answer that's filed in this case on | | | | | |
| 24 | Count II at paragraph 80 alleges that there's been | | | | | |
| 25 | a fraud on the court by Ted Bernstein, including, | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Γ

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | but not limited to, proven forgery, fraudulent |
| 2 | notarizations, fraud on the court, altercation |
| 3 | [sic] of trust documents, et cetera, et cetera. |
| 4 | And in paragraph 82, the answer says that Ted |
| 5 | should be removed for his ongoing involvement in |
| 6 | fraud which is dealing with these documents. |
| 7 | Ted Bernstein is serving as a fiduciary. |
| 8 | You've heard that was the defense to this case. |
| 9 | That's stated in the complaint. You heard no |
| 10 | evidence that Ted Bernstein was involved in the |
| 11 | preparation or creation of any fraudulent |
| 12 | documents. In fact, the evidence from Mr. Spallina |
| 13 | was to the contrary. |
| 14 | So our final judgment in paragraph 5 asks the |
| 15 | Court to make a ruling on the issues that are pled |
| 16 | in the answer, specifically that there was no |
| 17 | evidence that Ted was involved and that the |
| 18 | evidence was to the contrary. |
| 19 | So we have no rebuttal. We believe we've |
| 20 | established our case, and we proposed a final |
| 21 | judgment for Your Honor's consideration that |
| 22 | discusses that this is an action to adjudicate five |
| 23 | documents to be the testamentary documents. Based |
| 24 | on the evidence presented, they're genuine, |
| 25 | authentic, valid and enforceable; has the requisite |
| | |

| 1 | findings. Paragraph 5, which I've explained, the | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | reason we believe it's appropriate in the final | | | | |
| 3 | judgment, given the pleadings that were made and | | | | |
| 4 | the lack of evidence on those pleadings. And we | | | | |
| 5 | didn't get into it today, but | | | | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Well, if we didn't get into it | | | | |
| 7 | today, then it's not proper for argument. | | | | |
| 8 | MR. ROSE: Well, it's alleged in the complaint | | | | |
| 9 | and not proven, so I think it's appropriate to make | | | | |
| 10 | a finding on it. You didn't actually hear | | | | |
| 11 | testimony that was relevant to those issues about | | | | |
| 12 | Ted Bernstein. And I would ask you to consider | | | | |
| 13 | that 5 is supported by the evidence and the | | | | |
| 14 | pleadings. | | | | |
| 15 | And 6, we would like you to declare the | | | | |
| 16 | unauthorized one invalid, because it does change | | | | |
| 17 | potentially something, and we want to know what | | | | |
| 18 | we're doing going forward. And I don't think | | | | |
| 19 | anyone disputes that Exhibit 6 that's in evidence | | | | |
| 20 | was not valid. And then it just states this is | | | | |
| 21 | intended to be a final order under the rules of | | | | |
| 22 | probate code. | | | | |
| 23 | So that's our order. We would ask you to | | | | |
| 24 | enter our judgment or a judgment similar to it; | | | | |
| 25 | find in favor of the plaintiff; reserve | | | | |

| 1 | jurisdiction for numerous other matters that we | | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | need to deal with as quickly as we can. But, | | | | |
| 3 | hopefully, with the guidance we get today, we'll be | | | | |
| 4 | able to do it more quickly and more efficiently. | | | | |
| 5 | So thank you. | | | | |
| 6 | THE COURT: All right. Thanks. | | | | |
| 7 | We'll be in recess. It was fun spending time | | | | |
| 8 | with you all. | | | | |
| 9 | Sir, do you have any proposed final judgment | | | | |
| 10 | you want me to consider? I've received one from | | | | |
| 11 | the plaintiff's side. Is there some from the | | | | |
| 12 | defendant's side? | | | | |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I haven't received one | | | | |
| 14 | from them. And seeing theirs | | | | |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. | | | | |
| 16 | Then we'll be in recess. Thank you all very | | | | |
| 17 | much. I'll get this order out as quickly as I can. | | | | |
| 18 | (At 4:48 p.m. the trial was concluded.) | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| _ • | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | | |
| 21 22 23 | | | | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF FLORIDA |
| 4 | COUNTY OF PALM BEACH |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional |
| 8 | Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was |
| 9 | authorized to and did stenographically report the |
| 10 | foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true |
| 11 | and complete record of my stenographic notes. |
| 12 | Dated this 4th day of January, 2016. |
| 13 | $A \downarrow A \cap A \downarrow$ |
| 14 | Sheriller N. Kine |
| 15 | Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Job #1358198-VOL 2 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| December 15, 2015 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | 167:15,16 | 446 245:18 | | | |
| 0 | 173:10 175:9 | 465 245:4 | A | | |
| | 177:22 178:5, | 4th 256:15 | A | | |
| 08 190:2 | 7,9,11,14 | | ability 174:21 | | |
| | 180:24 182:15 | | 193:7 245:5 | | |
| | 183:24 241:20 | 5 | absolutely | | |
| 1 | 20 176:7 | 5 175:3 235:6 | 215:5 222:18 | | |
| 1 100 2 167 6 | 2006 153:25 | 241:20 | 239:7 | | |
| 1 120:3 167:6 168:4 177:21 | 2007 142:14 | 503 239:3 | accept 181:9 | | |
| 178:3,4,11 | 2008 168:13 | 503 239:3 | 230:16 240:20 | | |
| 180:24 182:13 | 184:8 232:18 | | access 215:21, | | |
| 183:24 241:20 | 234:22 239:20 | 6 | 24,25 | | |
| 243:23 244:18, | 2012 129:24 | | accordance | | |
| 20 | 130:15 131:7 | 6 177:9 178:16, | 244:6 | | |
| 1.20 251:1,18 | 191:4 192:24, | 22,23 179:3,4 | account 151:17 | | |
| 1.200 252:17 | 25 194:3 | 180:24 182:7, | accounted | | |
| 10 130:15 | 214:25 221:24 | 8,9,10,20 183:4,9,10 | 152:15 | | |
| 180:15 | 232:20 233:7 | 183:4,9,10 | accounting | | |
| 10th 226:1,12 | 234:25 238:8 | 187:3,6 | 150:4,20 | | |
| 11 230:3 | 239:17 | 60 179:23,25 | 190:11,15 | | |
| 11th 200:12,13 | 2013 140:9 196:7 200:21 | 180:3 | 191:9,11 | | |
| 12 188:1 | 207:3 | 66 245:4 | accurate 126:25 | | |
| 12/15/15 256:23 | 2014 189:8 | 697 246:23 | accurately | | |
| 12/4/2015 | 237:13 | | 206:10 | | |
| 256:22 | 24 176:12 | | aching 234:4 | | |
| 12/8/15 256:20 | 253 246:22 | 7 | acknowledged | | |
| 12th 194:3 | 268 245:18 | 7 133:19 134:1, | 236:13 | | |
| 13 175:17 | 27 167:16 | 18 171:7 246:6 | acknowledges | | |
| 200:21 | 28 168:1 190:7, | 7200 254:12,13 | 236:11 | | |
| 13th 194:3 | 20 197:12 | 732.502 239:3 | acknowledgments | | |
| 14 207:3 | 198:13,14 | 242:16 243:4, | 236:12 | | |
| 14th 189:8 | | 10 244:4 | acting 220:9 | | |
| 15 179:21 | 3 | 733.107 243:21 | 253:23 | | |
| 180:19 | 3 | 733.502 244:7 | action 241:5,6 | | |
| 153 246:6 | 3 168:4,5 175:9 | | actions 164:15, | | |
| 15th 191:12 | 180:24 182:4, | 8 | 18 209:3 | | |
| 16 235:24 | 6,15,19 183:16 | | active 247:8 | | |
| 236:1,2 | 187:4 198:21, | 8 173:15 | actively 246:25 247:3 | | |
| 18th 168:13 | 23 241:20 | | | | |
| 19 176:6 | 3/12/08 187:12 | 9 | activity 165:24 | | |
| 1943 246:6 | 30 155:3 225:7 | J | actual 250:8 | | |
| 1994 143:8 | | 94 256:22 | ad 195:24 | | |
| 1995 155:3 | 4 | 96 143:8 | added 184:25 | | |
| | | 98 256:20,22 | adding 185:11, | | |
| 2 | 4 171:6 173:12 | 9th 166:13 | 12,13 addition 243:15 | | |
| ـــــــــــــــــــــــــ | 175:10 202:25 | 190:18 191:4 | | | |
| 2 133:24 134:2, | 235:6,8 236:1 | 199:25 200:8, | additional 214:6 | | |
| 18 160:21,25 | 241:20 | 10,19 201:4 | address 221:12 | | |
| 161:2,6,10 | 40 212:17 | 202:5 | address 221:12 addressed 237:2 | | |
| 163:8,22 | | | auuresseu 23/:2 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

1

241:15 adequately 135:4 administered 202:6 admissibility 162:24 197:21 admissible 244:8 admit 197:13, 17,19 admitted 137:1 148:25 166:11 207:14 219:20 239:1,2 241:24 248:9 249:12, 20 **adverse** 212:19 advice 150:13, 16 208:9 216:19 affidavit 233:18 236:19, 22 237:3 243:8,14 244:6 affidavits 233:13,15 239:24 243:7 244:12 affirmatively 154:11 affirmed 205:22 **agree** 181:8 189:6 230:17 agreement 126:15 129:3 136:22,25 139:12 140:18 141:17 153:8 164:1 167:15 177:16 agreements 139:10 **ahead** 159:19 161:13 243:12 246:19 247:15 255:19 **Alan** 134:7 149:5,8 208:1, 3 230:1 **alive** 189:25 191:6 192:2

228:5 **allege** 184:5 **alleged** 140:11, 15 158:12 195:16 250:19 252:1 allegedly 168:12 207:5 221:23 225:23 **allowed** 142:5 150:13 172:5 206:12 **alter** 140:19 163:25 185:14 alteration 124:24 140:8 207:1 alterations 140:23,25 altercation 207:8 **altered** 137:1 144:5,13 155:12 156:1 163:7 166:21 167:1 207:13 240:16 249:13 altering 144:14 156:17 210:9 **amend** 177:15 **amended** 151:17 159:5 176:19 233:7 amending 238:7 amendment 136:25 137:4,5 138:11,13,16, 17 139:25 144:13 168:3 177:7 182:13, 14 184:7,20 232:20 241:3 **analysis** 219:14 **analyst** 210:21 analyzed 221:16 254:9**anger** 227:6 **angina** 225:6 **angry** 227:20 **anymore** 121:22 124:13

apologize 232:12,16 Apparently 170:13 appears 160:14 161:18 167:17, 18 171:11 172:20 175:7 176:14 200:1 202:6 **appoint** 194:4, 16,23,24 195:4,5 appointed 192:5 194:25 195:1,2 appointment 139:5 161:16 163:25 189:1 233:5 approach 126:10 178:20 234:9 242:9 255:23 approaches 126:11 255:24 **April** 166:13 190:2,18 191:4 199:25 200:8, 10,12,13,19 201:4 202:5 **argue** 150:8 162:4 240:4 248:24 249:8 252:1,9 **argued** 180:13 **arguing** 162:5, 19 163:17 240:7 argument 151:6, 9 163:14,16 220:11 242:5 245:2 255:12 argumentative 138:21 143:15 155:14 156:18, 20 163:10 185:19 195:20 219:22 arguments 151:8 232:2,7 **article** 177:19 ascribed 235:13

asks 151:2 **assets** 132:21 144:25 219:1 assignment 203:10 assistant 192:11 association 214:3 **assume** 142:9 158:3 237:18 Assuming 188:24 attach 138:12 **attached** 127:11 136:25 233:13 **attempt** 144:6 attending 228:18 attention 131:4 136:17 152:2 **attest** 243:13 attestation 244:1,10 attested 201:25 239:4 attesting 232:23 237:21, 23 238:21 239:5 240:1 242:23,24 244:7 attorney 131:23,24,25 132:4,5,11,12 137:8 141:23 147:17 155:17, 24 156:8,11 162:22 189:22 194:4 199:22 223:13 230:15 239:4 attorney-client 208:9,11 attorneys 147:7 189:17 207:11 209:8,15 210:9 211:3 219:19 248:12 authenticate 213:5,18 authenticated 210:8

| authenticating 214:8Beach 165:8 166:19 203:823 138:3,6,9 139:2 140:4,6, 132:417,21 194:1,9, 12 196:4,5,14, 15,16,18,24authorization 132:4bearing 189:3 beginning 175:20139:2 140:4,6, 141:4,6,9,21179:3,7,8,13, 199:2,5,6,24, 199:2,5,6,24, 199:2,5,6,24, 144:3,4,21,22autopsy 165:2behalf 155:6155:6 144:3,4,21,22199:2,5,6,24, 193:20 201:9131:2,18 151:13,16 154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 154:11 158:12, 154:13 158:12, 154:13 158:12, 154:13 158:12, 154:13 158:12, 154:14 158:12, 155:2 165:2,7, 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 145:15,17 169:1,8,13,19, 20,21,24 170:1 204:8,16 20,21,24 170:1 204:8,16 224:5 207:16 221:20 220:6 221:24 222:2, 233:6 247:3,4, 157:8 202:19 248:12133:4 144:23 157:2,11,13,15,16,18, 16,20,22 217:1,2,7,12, 156:6,23 152:10,15,213:1,4,10,16 224:224:2,24,14, 190:15 202:12, 133:4 144:23 157:2,11,13,25 23219:8,2417,194:1,9,10 204:18,19,20 17,22 160:1,5, 223:16,19,23, 248:12back 122:24 127:3 147:24 127:3 147:24 145:18,19,20 157:5 158:3 197:21 180:19 186:6,12 193:18 192:16 193:18 192:16 193:18 193:14,122:2 155:6 211:15 16 166:1,10,24< |
|--|
| 232:13,15bernstenn174:19 175:2,415 240:3,24,25backwards 144:1120:7,10,18,19176:21 177:5,241:22 242:12BAILIFF 186:11122:11,2014,20,2425 246:17bank 254:6123:15,22179:1,5,8,11,247:2,6,10,13,bar 120:21124:2,8,9,2324 180:10,12,24 248:2,20127:4 214:3126:6,12,13182:7,19,22,25252:11 253:4,based 162:14126:6,12,13182:7,19,22,25252:11 253:4,165:17 192:12132:24 133:3,183:3,8,11,13,14 254:17,22,196:19 253:110,19,22134:3,7,9,17,185:22,24132:2,24basically 131:520 135:6,9,18,17,20 187:8177:7 191:2237:1224 136:3,6,7,188:17 190:4,239:20 251:7,8Bates 188:3,624 136:3,6,7,188:17 190:4,239:20 251:7,8Baxley 173:115,19,2017,24 191:12252:2174:22 176:1815,19,20,17,24 191:12252:2 |

3

| binding 237:6 | | 252:13 253:15 | 211:23 215:13, |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| biography | С | 254:5 | 15,17,20 |
| 143:13 | | cases 171:19 | 225:24 226:14, |
| biopsy 158:24 | cabinets 216:2 | 233:19 234:9 | 20 229:10 |
| 222:2,6,8 | call 130:17,21 | 251:14 253:19 | 238:12 239:12 |
| birth 206:20 | 141:18 142:8 | caused 164:22 | 248:5 250:13, |
| bit 162:17 | 145:7 193:6, | 222:6 225:23 | 18,21 253:7 |
| 232:13 | 17,19 205:1, | causing 131:14 | children's |
| bitter 179:22 | 11,12,14 | 225:18 | 137:8 |
| blank 163:21 | 214:18,25 | caution 208:7 | Christine |
| blue 169:19 | 226:1,3,17,25 | caveat 236:21 | 136:24 137:7 |
| body 165:21 | 230:6,10,15 | cavitating | 139:13,15,17, |
| book 167:16 | 238:11 239:12 | 144:2 | 18 140:1 144:6 |
| | called 193:23 | certificate | 148:25 155:11 |
| 179:1,15,17,18 181:11,12,13 | 214:3 219:4,5 | 206:21 | 156:7 |
| 182:10,11,16, | 230:11 233:21 | certified | chronology |
| 19 190:7 | 253:11 | 171:2,3 | 185:15 |
| bored 232:15 | calling 231:17 | cetera 220:22 | circle 173:22 |
| | calls 135:21 | chain 121:4 | 174:1 254:1 |
| bottom 129:2,4, 5,14 170:9,13 | 174:14 212:5, | 230:5,21 | circled 235:11 |
| | 21 238:7 | 231:3,5,6 | circulation |
| bound 152:8 | capacity 125:11 | challenged | 139:10,16,17, |
| bounty 245:8 | 239:8,14,16 | 253:24 | 21 |
| box 123:5 | 246:7 | chance 204:7 | circumstances |
| 189:16,20 | car 152:2 | | 228:19 |
| 235:8 | care 169:13 | change 248:13 | cite 233:19 |
| boxes 234:23 | 215:3,6,10,14 | changing 225:22 | claim 155:6 |
| brain 158:19, | 221:9 | 233:5 | 169:17 |
| 23,24 222:3,7, | cared 215:12 | characterize | claiming 184:6, |
| 8 | Carnegie's | 226:18 | 17,19 |
| break 179:20 | 246:5 | characterizing | claims 150:5 |
| 180:20 181:14 | Carolina 233:23 | 226:17 | 202:11 230:24 |
| 255:21 256:11 | 236:7,17 237:5 | charge 194:5 | clarification |
| Briefly 213:15 | Carpenter | 198:17 207:9 | 143:19 223:3, |
| bring 249:10 | 246:22 247:15 | 211:1 | 22 |
| 251:1 | carry 141:16 | charged 212:14 | clarified |
| bringing 197:4 | 156:15 | charges 248:7 | 122:13 223:20 |
| broader 139:5 | case 134:11 | Chaves 142:23 | clarify 120:11 |
| brother 132:2 | 141:24 142:3 | check 153:3 | 122:4 |
| 214:19,24 | 144:1 153:1 | 168:23 173:1 | clarity 141:19 |
| brought 131:3 | 164:4 180:10, | 235:8 236:2 | clause 178:17 |
| 151:21 158:22 | 12 193:14 | checked 234:23 | clear 156:22 |
| 197:10 253:8 | 198:18 205:7 | 239:25 | 195:10 201:19 |
| Brown 122:2 | 218:4 231:12 | checks 236:3 | clerical 236:10 |
| burden 243:22, | 234:13 236:5, | chest 225:9 | clerk 167:9,11 |
| 24 244:21 | 7,9,17,18 | children | 170:10,25 |
| 245:1,20 246:1 | 237:4,5,10 | 131:10,11 | 186:10,11,13 |
| business 214:4 | 240:12 242:10, | 135:6,9 141:24 | 256:14 |
| | 12,20 245:17, | 156:12 161:17 | client 122:25 |
| | 19 246:6 | 192:14 202:17 | 123:2 208:12 |
| | 247:15 249:20, | 203:10,21 | 223:16,21 |
| | 22 251:2,21 | 204:7,13 | 224:16 238:10 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| clients 156:12 168:18,21 175:13 189:19 238:3 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| <pre>close 150:10 195:18 196:15, 21 224:15</pre> | | | | |
| <pre>closed 192:12 194:14 196:6, 10,18,25 232:1 240:17</pre> | | | | |
| <pre>closely 223:24 closing 166:2 232:2,7 242:5 247:23</pre> | | | | |
| co-personal 149:24 | | | | |
| co-pr 147:14 | | | | |
| co-prs 147:9 | | | | |
| co-trustee 147:14 149:23 | | | | |
| <pre>co-trustees 147:9</pre> | | | | |
| codicils 203:9 | | | | |
| Colin 123:11 | | | | |
| 124:23 125:3 192:10 232:14 240:20 | | | | |
| Colin's 199:10 200:22 240:15 | | | | |
| college 143:10 | | | | |
| Colton's 125:6, 10 | | | | |
| comfort 237:25 | | | | |
| commit 156:11, 13 | | | | |
| commits 252:24 | | | | |
| committed | | | | |
| 207:12,17 | | | | |
| 210:9 211:4 | | | | |
| 218:1 247:17 | | | | |
| 248:9 254:4 | | | | |
| communicated 233:3 | | | | |
| company 235:3 | | | | |
| competence 193:7 | | | | |
| competency | | | | |
| 152:10 165:17 | | | | |
| 245:2,3,5,19, | | | | |
| 23 246:7,10, | | | | |

14,15 251:23 competent 165:19 compilation 187:15 complaint 237:9,17 **complex** 248:3, 12 compliance 236:6,15,25 complied 243:4 244:5 comply 244:14, 17 **comport** 244:15 concerned 162:19 conclusion 135:22 174:14 177:3 212:6,22 conclusively 240:8 conditions 158:13 224:5 **conduct** 150:23 conducted 251:11 254:18 conference 214:18,25 238:11 239:11 251:2,5,7,9 253:9,10,16 conferences 238:9 confidential 246:24 247:11 confirm 250:2 confirmed 235:12 conflict 212:2 conformed 123:8 167:6,9,11,13 170:12 confusing 252:12 connection 236:13 243:3, 9,17 247:11 consecutive 182:11

253:2 consent consented 248:6 consequence 243:8,17 considered 132:18,24 133:3,5 136:9 144:11 236:12 251:3 consistent 129:6 176:11 189:14 216:18 233:10 239:21 construction 252:14 253:25 construe 241:7 construes 216:21 consulted 208:5,8 214:5 **Cont'd** 120:9 **contact** 227:5 contacted 169:9 207:21 contempt 127:4, 5 Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting 243:24 context 234:15 continued 120:3 **contract** 210:21 239:9 contrary **control** 248:14 controlling 236:14 conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 convince 144:6 **copied** 122:16 copies 121:5,7 123:9 124:15 125:25 126:10 170:12,21 186:16 210:6

216:1 256:24 **copy** 136:21 137:2 138:19, 20 139:20,23 141:24 161:19, 20 163:7 167:6,9,11,13 170:10,24 171:1 181:2,3, 10,11 186:10 242:13 copying 125:24 coroner 165:9 corralling 253:10 **correct** 129:16, 23 130:1,18 132:25 136:10 138:16,20 139:10 140:12 146:10 149:21 151:14 154:3, 8,23 156:12 158:6 161:17 164:1,9,15,21 167:7 170:15 171:16 174:6,9 184:20,21 185:11,13 186:24 187:19 188:8,10 190:1,2,12 191:10,13,16, 20 192:9,15 194:5,21,22 195:11,12 196:7,11 201:16 203:5, 21,23 207:13, 15,18,19 208:24 209:10 210:16,17 213:23,24 214:2,14,21 215:1 216:15, 16 217:5 240:16 241:5 253:21,22 corrected 253:17 counsel 140:11, 14,17 147:8, 11,13,25

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | December | 10, 2010 | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 25 208:3 210:22 211:2 214:5,6 216:20 220:9,20 250:20,22 Counsel's 208:13 count 242:1 255:1 counts 133:17 County 165:9 166:19 203:8 couple 240:11 court 120:4,8, 14 121:11,15, 18 122:1,9,13 123:11,19,23 124:3,7,18,20, 22 125:1,5,10 126:11,18,21 127:3,5,6,8, 10,12,17,22 128:5 133:9, 11,21 134:1,5, 8,10,18 135:17,23 136:2,4,13,16 137:13,17,22, 24 138:4,7,23 140:3,22 141:8,12,22 142:3,4,7 143:16,21,25 144:20 145:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 144:20,45:25 146:5,11,13, 17,21 147:3,22 148:2,6,9,12, 13,17,18 149:2,15,17 150:7,9,12,16, 24 151:2,18, 22,25 152:2,7, 11,24 153:5 154:13 155:9, 18,21 156:4, 19,24 157:10 158:8 159:15, 18,23 160:3,7, 18,21,24 161:7,10 | 164:7,10,24 165:5,11,13 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12,18 170:20,25 171:21,23 172:2,8,11,14 173:12 174:13, 15,18 175:1 177:4,10,25 178:6,13,15, 21,25 179:3,7, 10,14,17 180:2,11,17,21 181:1,6,15,20, 23 182:18,21, 23 183:2,6,10, 12,20 184:12 185:21 186:3, 6,12,16,18,21, 25 187:2 188:13,16,19 189:23 190:21 191:25 192:2, 21 193:11,15, 19,22 194:7,10 195:22 196:1, 13,19,23 197:1,6,16,20, 23 198:2,5,17 199:1,3,17 200:23 201:2, 5,11,13,16,18, 22 203:14,25 204:3,17,20,25 205:7,12,16 206:3,8,9 208:11,18,21, 25 209:5,10, 11,14 210:4,12 211:7 212:7, 13,23 213:2,7, 12 215:4 216:17,18,20, 24 217:3,10, 14,19 218:3,5, 18,21,24 219:3,23 220:12,24 21:7,18 223:12,18,20, 24 224:1,17 | 13,24 229:6, 11,17,20,23 230:3,6,12,14, 19 231:2,5,9, 12,16,21,23 232:1,7,10 233:20,21,22, 24 234:3,5,11, 14 236:9,10,20 237:25 239:8 241:7 242:4 244:2,11 247:10,20,22, 25 248:4,11,23 249:5,8,13,15, 22 250:11,13, 24 251:14 252:4,8,17 253:1,3,12,19, 20,22 254:4, 14,20,23 255:1,5,9,11, 16,22,24 256:2,5,8,12 court's 167:10 186:4 245:16 courtesy 254:15 courts 167:12 170:11 174:10 252:24 covered 137:10, 14,19,20 144:19 195:24 created 138:10, 19 153:24,25 155:3 208:17 creating 163:7 credibility 148:7 creditor 202:13 criminal 230:23 254:10 criteria 245:14 247:12,15 cross 120:9 | Cross- examination 120:6 195:25 Cross-examining 134:15 Crossed 229:22 cumulative 136:11 137:9 138:22 140:2, 21 144:19 145:24 146:4, 14 149:16 156:3 157:9 158:7 164:6 166:8 171:21, 22 172:1,4 174:25 177:2, 24 183:19 184:11 185:20 188:15 195:21 199:16 201:10, 17 203:13,24 220:10,23 221:6,17 242:11 Cumulative's 172:4 curator 122:2, 6,7 124:4,16 curiosity 159:24 custody 121:4 125:18 210:10 230:5,21 231:3,5,6 customary 168:17 cut 132:1,9 cutting 227:6 D dad 130:10 157:4 225:8,14 227:4 228:2, 12,15 dad's 129:20 147:8 156:15 damages 164:21 |
| 18,21,24223:12,18,20,247:12,15147:8 156:15161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20212:14 16Daniel 153:23 | | | | dad's 129:20 |
| 161:7,1024 224:1,17cross 120:9damages 164:21162:7,25225:13,20180:22 197:10Daniel 153:23 | 18,21,24 | - | | |
| 162:7,25 225:13,20 180:22 197:10 Daniel 153:23 | | | cross 120:9 | |
| 162 10 Daniel 153:23 | - | - | | |
| 163:13,19 226:8 228:10, ^{213:14,16} | - | 225:13,20 | | Daniel 153:23 |
| | 163:13,19 | - | 213:14,16 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

6

date 124:3 128:21 149:25 168:18,22 187:11 191:22 200:14,18 246:8,10 **dated** 168:15,16 **dates** 246:11,12 daughter 132:8 **day** 162:5 165:3 168:11,14 174:4 176:22 180:13 187:18 191:9 194:18, 20 200:9,11 207:21,22,23 208:4 214:4,12 216:10 219:7 235:25 237:11 240:6 251:13, 15 days 180:14 216:11 **DCA** 245:19 **dead** 195:19 196:10 201:15, 18 229:3,13 **deal** 241:13 242:2 dealing 178:7 **deals** 234:14,15 **dealt** 243:10 **death** 129:23 141:18 147:8 150:1 155:6 189:13 204:9, 11 224:7 225:11 **decedent** 236:23 246:25 decedents 245:13 **December** 256:15 **decide** 151:19 162:9,10,14 169:14 218:21 233:11 **decided** 215:4 **decision** 178:25 238:11 decisions 221:10 239:11

deem 212:13 **deemed** 135:7 212:12 defeat 236:11 defendant 256:13,21 defendant's 160:21,25 161:2 182:4 187:4 193:16 198:21,23 247:23 **defense** 193:24 deficient 169:4 **define** 210:2 definition 133:4 134:24 definitional 139:5 163:22 **delete** 177:18 deleting 163:23 **Delray** 158:24 demonstrate 246:24 demonstrates 240:8 denied 253:5,6 254:19 256:19 **depend** 135:14 deposit 123:5 189:16,20 201:4 deposited 170:19 201:16 depressed 224:12,25 depression 224:6,9 descendants 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:8,12,16,24 145:5,6 describe 128:9 140:18 219:13 describing 164:14,18 designed 153:17,18 Detective 146:25

determination 243:17 determine 162:21 174:3 188:25 213:22 239:14 241:18 determined 241:21,24 devisees 202:18 **died** 145:12,20, 21,23 146:2,6, 7 157:3,7 158:11 165:3 194:2,13,18,20 195:9,10,18 200:22 204:12 224:11,22 225:14 228:2 **dies** 173:20 **differ** 223:18 difference 212:23 difficult 215:20 Digital 143:4 dinner 228:18, 22 **direct** 189:3 193:25 205:25 direction 201:20 directly 233:1 236:8 237:12 239:9 disappearing 186:19 disbursed 219:1 discharge 190:1,22 197:9 198:1 199:8 201:5 discovered 200:23 208:16 230:25 discovery 253:5 **discuss** 223:1 251:20 discussing 223:6 disinherited 132:15 226:23

227:2 disposed 218:25 disposition 132:19,24 135:13,19 136:8 dispositions 133:5 135:5 145:6 202:10 dispositive 130:9 176:25 210:9 220:20 **dispute** 240:21 **disputes** 130:24 131:2 disqualification 254:25 256:18 dissatisfaction 131:22 distraction 243:6 distribute 137:7 distributed 137:3 distributions 135:12 145:6 disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 **Docket** 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 **document** 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6, 9,24 164:5,8 166:21 167:1,

| | December | 15, 2015 | 8 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 14 168:8,9,14, 15,23,25 170:14,16 171:15 172:24, 25 173:21 175:3,15 176:17 177:8, 12 178:19 181:17 182:2, 8,16 183:1,22 184:5,16,19 185:8,11,15,17 186:12 187:25 188:1,3,5 190:23 191:1, 5,6,11,15 193:1,8 195:2, 3,8,16 198:12 199:7,9 200:3, 5,18,23 201:4, 15,25 202:5 203:7 207:1,8, 13 215:7 220:19 233:6,7 238:13,25 239:20 241:2, 4,6,23,25 247:4 249:14 document's 133:8 141:1 174:9 200:19 documented 238:4,8 documents 121:5,14,17, 21,23 122:2,5, 9,10,12,16,22, 23 123:1,12, 15,20 124:1, 11,14,16,17,25 125:23 126:1, 7,8,14,22 127:15,18,24 | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | doodling 138:1 doubt 191:14 draft 153:10 239:22 drafted 126:14 132:20 133:2 135:24 233:9 250:4 drafting 239:4 drafts 238:6,12 draftsman 232:24 draw 235:21 drive 122:18,21 drop 242:23 244:19 due 124:24 220:7 225:23 254:18 duly 205:22 duplicate 134:12 216:5 duplicates 256:13 Durham 236:18 duty 220:19 | Eliot's 191:14 email 191:19 229:2 emailed 191:15 employee 235:1, 3 employees 166:1 encourage 180:7 end 130:24 179:22 180:2,8 219:7 227:10, 17 242:21 243:7,11,14 ended 158:24 ending 175:24 enforceable 233:12,16 English 235:12 enter 125:12 133:22 134:3 242:1 Entries 256:22 Entry 256:20 error 143:19 184:14,16,18 203:6 errors 236:10 essentially 247:4 establish 160:8 237:20 243:25 established 235:22,24 243:2 establishes 229:15 244:9 establishing 244:21 estate 122:6,11 140:15 141:3 147:8 148:1 |
| <pre>documents 121:5,14,17, 21,23 122:2,5, 9,10,12,16,22, 23 123:1,12, 15,20 124:1, 11,14,16,17,25 125:23 126:1, 7,8,14,22</pre> | 6,16,18,21,24, 25 240:4,9,12, 15,16,18,20 241:7,18,19,22 243:18 244:13 245:15 246:11, 20 247:9,18,21 248:6,10,15 249:12,21 | 14 154:21 173:5 186:21 187:25 202:17 209:20 early 207:3 easy 224:18 effect 188:25 212:17,18 | <pre>established 235:22,24 243:2 establishes 229:15 244:9 establishing 244:21 estate 122:6,11 140:15 141:3</pre> |

8

21,25 199:23 193:7 202:7,10,11, **executed** 123:6 12,21 240:17 184:7 231:7,10 245:4,17 238:13 242:18 246:5,22 244:6,7 246:8 251:7,8,16 executing 252:4,18 177:15 estate's 250:20 execution estates 131:9 242:16 243:9, 132:1,9 147:6 11 244:1,9 211:12,20 exercise 189:1 248:14 233:4 eventually exercised 139:4 238:10 **exhibit** 127:2, everybody's 25 133:21,23, 182:16 24 134:12 evidence 133:7, 159:16 160:1, 8,12 134:13,14 2,19 161:2 136:12 152:17 167:6,15,16 159:21 160:2, 168:4,6 171:6 3,6,25 161:3 173:10,12 162:25 177:23, 178:16 180:24 24,25 178:8 182:3,6 183:16 179:9,13 186:3,23 187:6 181:17,21,25 190:4,20,22 182:5 183:18 191:18 198:6, 187:2,7 190:8 13,20,23 199:4 193:16 197:14, 200:20 202:25 18 198:13,14, 235:6,8,24 15,24 199:4 236:1,2 200:25 203:12, exhibited 205:5 24 231:24 exhibiting 232:1,22 233:2 159:17 234:17,18 **exhibits** 134:11 236:24 237:2 159:20 167:5,6 238:14,15 185:24 186:2 239:1,7,9,13 190:21 235:6 240:8,24 **exist** 250:16,23 241:11,19 **exists** 208:13 244:25 246:3, expanded 185:17 18 247:10 **expect** 127:18 248:22,25 249:2,3,9 **expense** 192:17 252:7,9 254:16 expenses 192:7 EXAMINATION experiencing 193:25 205:25 224:7 **examine** 250:11 **expert** 165:22 examined 205:22 170:8 210:19, 23 219:11 **excuse** 141:6 220:7,22 148:23 155:15 190:4 227:10 221:16 235:4 **explain** 120:15 **execute** 122:25 139:3 217:18 129:2 175:13

239:12 explained 209:20 239:22 exposing 148:24 expressed 189:7 expressing 131:21 extensive 232:13 238:1 **extent** 132:21 232:12 241:23 245:6 F fabricated 241:3 fabrication 241:1 face 235:4,20 256:17 **facie** 244:1,9 **fact** 192:23 213:21 214:12 220:8 235:23 236:21 238:1 239:1,9 241:4 243:15 251:21 252:3 254:12 factors 135:14, 15 **facts** 153:1 170:3 174:11 221:11,13 252:9 **failed** 174:22 176:23 **fairly** 236:15 254:18 **false** 241:2 familiar 153:7 157:12 family 153:8,19 154:3 157:13 158:1 164:22 211:14,20,22, 24 212:16 226:23 229:3, 12 **father** 130:3,14 146:7 153:23

155:2 165:3 196:20 200:22 202:1,5,20 204:10 208:21 214:13,19,20, 23 215:6,22 216:9,10 221:23,25 222:11 224:6,8 225:10,18,22 226:3,13 227:6,9 228:5, 18 **father's** 141:16 149:25 170:7, 8,17,18,19,25 171:5,10 172:18 175:5, 24 176:2,13 187:22,23 216:4 224:21 **favor** 161:16 February 131:7 **felon** 254:3 **felony** 248:7 253:1 **felt** 215:19 fiduciary 140:10,11 147:12 148:14 150:19,23 207:5,9 210:25 212:1 220:19 **figure** 148:5 151:7 152:16, 20 156:22 165:14 170:1 196:24 197:2 209:1,18 219:6 **figuring** 137:13 **file** 122:7 123:3 155:6 170:12 192:5, 17 216:2 217:16,23 218:3 237:9,17 256:3 **filed** 127:9 148:19 170:11 190:10,20 196:19 200:6 210:4 213:19, 21 217:8,24

218:1,4 220:3 240:19 241:6 252:4 256:14, 21 **filing** 218:10 **final** 216:18 233:9 241:21 255:15 **Finally** 246:16 **find** 212:1,19 216:4 219:25 220:18 231:15 233:14 findings 238:1 **fine** 120:17 128:5 129:21 159:18 181:16 198:14 208:8 224:22 255:7 **finish** 123:14 156:4 163:13 226:10 254:15 **finite** 196:3 **fired** 213:25 firm 121:2,20, 22,23,24 123:11,20 124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 **firm's** 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 **Florida** 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6 **focused** 209:18

focusing 241:9 **follow** 190:7 212:11,12 216:17,19 230:22 252:21, 23 **force** 131:19 **forced** 229:9 foregoing 134:25 135:3 forensic 210:21 220:5,22 forensically 220:1 221:5,16 254:9 **forged** 142:2 166:12 248:10 249:21 forgeries 220:19 forget 168:18, 20,21 forgetting 242:7 forgive 242:8 **forgot** 143:11 **form** 174:4 191:19 244:14 **formal** 206:16 244:1,9 **Forman** 142:24 **forms** 189:24 191:21 192:2 **forward** 127:17 144:2 254:8 **found** 169:2 207:4,7,21 209:7 211:3 218:14 219:9 224:8 231:11, 13 foundation 197:20 **Fourth** 245:18 **frankly** 240:3 **fraud** 148:24 156:11,13 166:11 207:12, 17 209:7 210:9 211:4 219:20 220:8 248:3,9,

13 254:2,4,13 **frauded** 141:23 248:10 **frauds** 166:15 220:20 249:23 252:24 fraudulent 124:24 136:21 138:11,19,24 139:23 140:8 141:24 142:2 148:15 165:24 166:13 207:1,7 208:17 218:20 249:21 fraudulently 137:1 155:12 156:17 163:7 166:20 167:1 185:18 249:13 freestyle 256:4 Friedstein 158:1 203:11 **front** 152:18 168:14 185:24 190:22 full 199:8 201:5 206:16 **fully** 202:6 functions 174:2 G gain 211:14 gained 250:5 **gave** 132:4 149:19 174:5 186:4,8 **general** 131:8 generally 245:6,19 gentleman 255:17

genuine 127:10

234:13

152:17

214:9 216:9

241:24

genuinely

190:18

Georgia

get all

195:9

qist 131:8 **give** 123:8 150:13 184:3 233:7 237:25 249:17 **good** 138:5 179:19 215:2, 16 225:7 231:20 249:1, 15 Gotcha 138:6 199:5 Governor 169:3 governor's 169:9,16 248:16 grandchildren 233:8 241:1 247:5,7 grandparents 215:18 grounds 244:22 246:20 guess 168:5 **quidance** 249:17 qun 229:10 **Gutter** 142:23 guy 152:2 211:1 228:13 guys 250:19

н

half 180:5 hand 126:8 128:3 198:21 handed 241:13 256:12 handing 126:17, 19,22 **handle** 150:24 **hands** 126:17 handwriting 220:7,22 handwritten 256:17,24 **happen** 146:6 happened 148:24 189:24 231:15 240:23

happening 239:23 he'll 127:18,19 **head** 172:2 headaches 222:5,10,12 **hear** 206:9,10 217:12 224:18 232:3 **heard** 232:23 233:2 240:10 242:8 **hearing** 135:19 136:1 149:7 217:4,19 218:15,16,25 251:12,24 **Hearsay** 169:5, 11 **heart** 225:6 heavy 165:8 **held** 236:21 247:21 highlighted 236:9 **highly** 250:2 **hired** 219:19 **hires** 211:1 **history** 142:2 143:12,19,22, 2.4 **hit** 172:2 hold 153:18 203:4 **holiday** 228:6 home 153:18 189:20 228:6, 14,18 231:15 **Honor** 120:12 126:16 133:16, 19,25 137:12 139:1 141:6,14 152:6 161:25 165:15 168:4 171:6 173:15 177:9 178:4 179:24 181:5 182:19 185:23 188:17,21 193:10 197:14 198:11 209:6 213:1 218:23

230:17 243:20 246:22 248:2 249:1 254:7 Honor's 243:7 hoping 152:19 254:5 **House** 233:21 234:12,13 **Human** 184:14 **hundred** 216:22 Ι **Iantoni** 135:9 158:1 203:11 **idea** 183:12 218:2 identical 181:12 182:20 256:21 identification 173:3 174:24 235:15 **identity** 236:24 **II** 242:1 **imagery** 253:12 immediately 207:20 208:6 important 137:16 152:5 196:1 228:3 importantly 250:12 **improper** 173:20 230:2 improperly 174:9,12 176:25 251:11 252:18 included 138:13,15,17 including 123:3,6 214:23 252:3 incompetency 245:20 incompetent

246:2 incomplete 173:9 increased 185:10 incredibly 126:9 inference 235:16,20 influence 246:16,21,23 247:13,17 information 230:24 235:9 **inherent** 145:10 **initial** 129:3, 11 initialed 129:9 **initials** 128:8, 11,15,22 175:5,8,11,15 176:2 inoperative 182:13 241:25 **inquiry** 233:2 237:8 inserted 185:3, 5,9,18 231:12 **inside** 144:8 insider 248:8 **inspect** 125:25 instances 220:8 **instruct** 208:10 instruction 127:6 instrument 177:15 236:13 insufficient 256:19 insurance 154:17,21,22 155:2 intelligent 239:11 **intend** 127:17 141:5,10 intended 233:3 intensive 229:3 **intent** 141:16 156:13,15 238:17 intentions 215:18

intents 229:13 interest 122:8 152:12 211:19 250:5 interesting 152:4 interruption 234:7 intertwined 223:8 interview 166:20 interviewed 166:18 introduced 187:25 invalid 162:13, 15 163:7 208:17 237:19 invalidates 211:18 invalidating 246:17 invalidly 139:13 inventory 151:17 investigation 169:22 investigations 254:11 **invite** 228:5 **invited** 228:14, 17 **involved** 237:13 involving 238:9 irrevocable 145:13 **issue** 150:17 232:11,17 233:18,20 **issues** 133:17 159:2 209:21 222:25 223:2, 6,7 237:1,14 241:15 242:3 246:10

J Jake 153:24 **January** 140:9 148:23 189:8 196:6 237:13 **jeez** 186:5 **Jill** 135:9 158:1 191:22 203:11 **job** 216:14 **John** 256:23 **Josepher** 142:23 **Josh** 153:22 judge 124:23 125:3,6,10,11, 13 152:25 159:13 184:22 192:10 199:10 200:22 211:13, 18 213:22 232:14 240:15, 19 242:9,15 243:2 245:2 246:16 247:20 judgment 216:18 242:1 **July** 221:24 jurisdiction 242:2 κ Ken 125:22 126:2 **kicked** 192:16 254:11 kids 153:22 kids' 153:23 **Kimberly** 166:19 169:1,8 171:15,18 172:23,25 174:22 205:4,5 235:17

kind 163:8
172:10 224:9
243:5 253:21
knew 154:6,8
173:25 195:10

218:19 225:8 235:21,23 **knowing** 174:9 218:24 253:23 knowledge 124:10 125:18 131:20 152:25 165:20 166:17 172:19 174:3 175:6 191:24 221:15 237:1 Kratish's 167:20 ь lack 236:25 **lacked** 239:8,14 **laid** 197:20 landing 169:22 **language** 132:19 144:15 145:4 156:2 163:23 Lastly 246:5 **law** 139:22 150:20 155:17 160:10,11 174:17 177:12 182:12 184:9 192:16 193:2 195:10 201:7,8 207:12 235:1 238:19 242:10, 12 245:17 248:9 249:20 254:19 255:6 **lawsuit** 213:19, 22 217:21,23 218:10 220:3 **lawyer** 146:20 189:15 **layman** 155:13, 23 156:1,8 **learn** 146:19 227:2,24 learning 210:8 220:19 253:17 **leave** 163:1 215:7 233:8 **left** 121:23 131:12,15 189:21 230:8

250:21 **legal** 135:21 150:13,16,17 151:4 174:14 177:2 212:5,21 235:4 249:18 **legally** 256:19 legitimate 192:13 **letter** 130:8 131:4,5,7,8,20 160:10,12 161:15,19 164:14,17 189:7 229:12 231:14 238:5 239:22 **letting** 162:16 198:17 251:25 254:15 license 121:1 174:5**life** 154:22 lifetime 135:4 224:10 **light** 236:14 237:15 **likes** 240:3 **Lindsay** 173:1 174:20,22 176:18 **lineal** 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:7, 11,16,24 145:5 **Lisa** 158:1 191:21 203:11 **list** 153:4 166:6 183:16 205:18 **listen** 137:24 181:6 205:7 223:22 listening 220:14 litigation 232:14 **live** 153:19 **living** 132:1 162:8 216:20 **LLC** 153:8

long 126:9 142:25 148:12 149:23 **looked** 176:6 219:10,15 **lose** 211:14,19 212:17 **lost** 186:7 189:19 **lot** 137:25 175:13,23 209:19 251:23 **Lyon** 236:5 **Lyons** 233:21 234:12,13 236:9

Μ

made 121:5 122:25 124:15 135:5 141:2,17 142:16 144:16 150:5 169:17 192:4 202:10 206:25 215:6 238:11 256:24 **mail** 191:17 **make** 140:23,25 156:1 157:8,12 159:2 163:14 171:1 186:16 189:23 197:24 203:9 212:23 219:13 229:10 255:15,16 **making** 135:12 141:25 154:9 168:23 187:3 221:9 226:20 234:1 238:1 239:11 management 180:12 251:2, 21 252:13 manager 180:10 Manceri 147:15, 16,25 **mark** 147:15,16, 25 159:23 175:24 176:23 198:21 236:2,3

marked 181:10 224:17 **Moran** 166:12,19 16 189:6 187:4 169:8 171:15, **Miller** 147:1 **needed** 218:15, 18 172:23 **marks** 175:24 **mind** 129:20 24 253:23 205:4,5 235:18 Marshall 186:14 165:20 179:16 negative 211:8 **Moran's** 169:1 201:19 209:19 Martin 123:11 neglected 224:22 226:7 MORRISSEY 200:22 173:25 228:12 229:9, 174:16 242:9, **matter** 148:1 **nervous** 234:1 16 15 169:20,22,25 **net** 149:19 **mine** 181:3 mother 128:21, 190:16 212:9, non-valid 24 146:6 11 251:3 **minor** 141:24 139:23 202:16 208:21 252:20,21 156:12 250:21 **nonsense** 240:10 215:6,22 meaning 135:25 **minute** 256:10 **North** 233:23 216:6,10 148:7 230:24 **minutes** 179:21, 236:7,17 237:5 224:11 231:4 23,25 180:3,19 **Nos** 256:22 mother's 128:15 **means** 138:2,5 230:3 247:25 **notaries** 237:19 137:7 141:20 231:6 245:5 253:3 255:13, notarization 147:12 166:3 20 medical 130:2 169:2 173:8 170:16,20 **Miranda** 200:24 158:10,25 176:22 193:1 195:7 202:21 159:2,6 165:22 Mirandas 200:25 233:19,20 203:2 204:9 221:24 224:5 **mirror** 203:5 234:16 240:12 207:2 210:1 medically mis 217:6 241:2 243:16 211:12 158:13 misconduct notarization's **motion** 192:4,17 medications 171:19 173:20 217:8,16,18,19 225:2 misinterpret notarize 240:19 256:3, medicine 225:8 215:18 172:23,25 9,18 medicines misinterpretatio notarized motions 256:21 158:15 **n** 141:20 168:12,25 **move** 127:17 meet 142:13,15 mislead 250:7 174:9,12 140:4 183:13 244:25 176:18 177:1 misleading 254:8,24 **meeting** 130:14, 199:10,13,18 253:18 moves 144:1 23,24 142:18 234:21 235:2, misleads 251:14 **moving** 136:5 187:13 193:6 25 248:17 **missed** 183:9 183:12 201:20 226:12 238:6,8 notarizing 204:1 **mud** 237:11,12 meetings 238:2, 235:2 236:4 **missing** 143:14 multi-page 5 **notary** 169:3 163:9 183:22 **member** 120:20 174:3 199:15, misstates multifarious memorandum 21 214:15 123:17 174:11, 247:19 203:17 234:20 235:1, 17 multiple 127:1 12 236:3,6,19, **memory** 218:1 **mistake** 253:18 158:23 220:8 23,25 249:2 **modified** 163:22 **notary's** 236:22 **mental** 239:8 mom's 128:21 Ν **note** 187:11 mentally 239:10 168:1 196:25 **notes** 137:25 mentioned **moment** 180:17 **named** 195:7 138:5 187:9, 157:19 158:2 255:18 **narrow** 232:11 202:23 13,14,16,17, **money** 211:19 235:16 20,22,24 238:9 natural **met** 142:16,20 215:7 233:8 245:8 252:16 207:22,23 240:5**notice** 251:4 **nature** 245:6 245:13 246:15 **months** 149:5 252:5,16 **nauseam** 195:24 **metal** 165:8 218:20 **noticed** 251:15, necessarily microphone **moon** 169:22 16 253:19 164:3 175:12, 120:15 206:9

| | December | | <u>т</u> - |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| notified 148:13 | 150:6,21 | officer 186:14 | owners 153:20 |
| notify 166:19, | 151:3,4 155:8, | offices 188:1 | |
| 25 | 14 156:3,18 | one's 241:5 | |
| notwithstanding | 157:9 158:4,7 | 245:6 | P |
| - | 160:22,23 | | |
| 134:25 135:3 | 163:10 164:6, | ongoing 254:10 | pages 128:9 |
| 241:14 | 23 165:4,10 | opened 185:8 | 129:3 182:10, |
| November 168:13 | | operating | 11 183:22 |
| 184:8 196:9 | 166:8,22 167:2 | 220:21 | pain 225:9 |
| now's 204:25 | 169:5,11 | operative | pains 225:6 |
| number 133:21 | 171:20 172:6 | 181:18 182:14 | Palm 165:8 |
| 177:20,21,22 | 173:10 174:11, | | |
| 178:3,4,5,11, | 13,16,25 | opposed 244:22 | 166:18 203:8 |
| 14,22,23 | 177:2,23 178:6 | order 123:11,18 | Pam 131:4,16, |
| 184:22,25 | 179:14 181:19 | 124:20,22 | 18,21 136:8 |
| | 182:3 183:18 | 125:1,3,6,14 | 144:11,24 |
| 185:11,12,13, | 184:11 185:19 | 162:1 216:14 | 161:16 191:21 |
| 16 187:5 190:5 | 186:22 188:11, | 251:4 256:17, | 203:10 227:6 |
| 224:23 243:19, | 13 195:20 | 20,23,24 | Pam's 131:5 |
| 20 | 196:12,22 | ordered 122:1 | 144:7,16 145:5 |
| numbered 183:22 | 197:6 198:12, | 124:3,17,23 | Pamela 135:6 |
| numbering | 20 199:16 | 125:4 165:3 | 203:10 |
| 177:17 184:18, | 201:1,10,17 | orders 125:12 | paper 159:25 |
| 23 | 203:12,22 | 212:13 | 197:17 198:5 |
| numbers 183:17 | 204:3,14 | original 121:7, | 219:6 |
| 184:3,10 | 209:16 210:11 | 14,17,21 | |
| Numeral 184:2 | 212:3,21 | 122:6,21,23 | papers 127:13 |
| numerals 184:2 | 217:6,11,13,14 | | 213:9 |
| | 218:3,17 | 123:3,4,5,6,9, | paragraph |
| | 219:3,22 | 12,15,20,25 | 161:6,10 |
| 0 | 220:10,16,23 | 124:17,20 | 163:8,22 164:3 |
| | 221:6,17 | 132:16 163:9 | 177:18,21 |
| 0'connell | 225:12,15,19 | 170:15,19,22, | 178:1,7,9 |
| 251:15 | 226:4 228:7, | 23,25 189:11, | 185:3,5,8 |
| oath 120:5 | 15,23 229:5,17 | 18 191:17 | paragraphs |
| 193:23 244:7 | 230:16 231:17 | 196:20 209:25 | 183:23 |
| object 124:5 | | 210:2,3,5 | parents 142:13 |
| 127:1 141:12 | 252:6 | 215:21,25 | 147:7 215:16 |
| 162:24 192:19 | objections | originals | part 124:5 |
| 230:2,10 | 150:25 249:5, | 123:1,7 125:18 | 131:9 133:13, |
| - | 16 | 152:22 170:17 | 17 137:16 |
| 248:19,21 | objects 245:8 | 211:6 216:5 | |
| objected 148:2 | observe 193:4 | 231:13 250:10 | 138:15 153:12, |
| 151:22 | obtained 170:10 | outstanding | 13 154:25 |
| objection 122:4 | octopuses | 253:4 | 155:4 162:4,20 |
| 123:13 124:19 | 253:11 | Overruled | 168:24 184:5 |
| 127:23 128:1 | offering 160:18 | 123:23 138:23 | 188:3 198:13 |
| 133:7 135:16, | | 140:22 164:10 | 201:21 203:6 |
| 21 136:11 | office 122:17, | 171:21 184:12 | 223:21 245:23 |
| 137:9 138:21 | 24 126:2 | 199:17 210:12 | 248:12 |
| 140:2,21 | 130:22 142:17 | 218:5 | parties 130:25 |
| 143:15 144:18 | 168:17 169:8, | | 149:13 249:11 |
| 145:24 146:4 | 9,24 199:10 | overturn 245:1 | partner 147:6 |
| 147:2,20 | 214:12,16 | overturned | 248:7 |
| 148:9,21 | 222:19 248:16 | 246:20 | partners |
| 149:1,16 | | | 161:22,23 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

parts 143:13 242:19 **party** 137:3 198:17 **pass** 144:25 **passed** 132:21 192:3 202:13 208:22 227:4,9 **passes** 145:10 Passover 228:6, 17,22 past 127:3 pay 136:17 152:2 penalties 202:2,4 **people** 180:15 236:4 238:20, 22 248:17 249:25 percent 212:17 216:22 **perfect** 175:11 perfectly 224:22 239:25 **period** 202:13 208:15 **perjury** 202:2,4 permitted 236:11 **person** 127:13 173:2 174:4 235:17,21,25 236:20 personal 140:15 148:13 190:14 194:17 196:16 202:18 222:25 223:2,7 237:1 personally 173:2 176:24 201:6 211:19 214:9 231:14 235:14,18,19 236:3,20,23 250:6 persuasive 237:7 241:15 pertinent 230:23 petition

148:19,23 189:25 190:20, 22 196:20 197:9,25 199:7 Phillips 256:23 **phone** 130:22 226:1,3,17 238:7 **picking** 197:16 **piece** 137:15 197:16 198:5 **pieces** 159:24 **place** 146:8 165:25 166:16 plaintiff's 133:24 134:2, 16,18 167:16 178:16 182:6 186:22 187:3,6 231:24 232:4 **plan** 154:2 planning 141:3 153:12,13 155:1,4 219:17 **plans** 154:25 **played** 241:1 **pled** 241:18 podium 120:13, 14 185:25 206:7 224:15 **point** 131:15 133:12 138:4 139:22 145:13 146:16 162:3 203:5 209:22 236:8 **pointed** 162:12 points 138:2 **poison** 165:8 **police** 148:25 policy 154:17, 21,22 155:2,7 Pollock's 122:17 125:22, 23 126:2 **portion** 227:13, 18 **positive** 201:20 possession 121:20 250:16

possessions 216:4 possibility 162:15 255:17 **possibly** 152:17 postmortem 144:14 potential 157:24 **power** 139:4 161:15 162:22 163:24 189:1 233:4 **PR** 147:14 192:5,6 251:16 252:3 practical 245:9 practice 124:13 129:17,19 189:14 practicing 121:3 predeceased 132:18,24 133:3,5 135:8 136:9 144:11 preference 179:10 181:7 preparation 237:24 **prepare** 149:7 160:12 190:25 prepared 154:4, 5 160:15 177:8,12 190:23 193:2 203:18 238:19 252:13 presence 128:21 174:4 234:19 238:24 239:17 242:22,25 243:1 **present** 176:21, 22 238:22 244:25 246:18 presented 241:12 246:2 presumed 245:20,24 presumption 247:12,14,16

pretrial 251:1 **pretty** 154:10 **pride** 253:13 244:1,9 prima **prior** 141:17 142:18 154:1 155:23 160:10 189:13 203:9 218:10 224:7 225:11 226:25 227:17 privilege 208:9,12 223:5,11,14 probate 214:6 239:2 244:22 problem 230:21 problems 130:2 158:23 221:25 225:10,14,17 226:14 240:11 252:2 procedural 150:14 procedure 150:17 251:2 **proceed** 128:6 203:6 255:5 proceedings 120:3 243:23 **process** 251:2, 24 254:19 procured 247:1, 3 248:5 procuring 247:9 **produce** 124:4 125:5 136:21 141:24 150:4, 20 **produced** 139:14 148:14 173:2 174:23 176:22 235:15 236:24 250:10 producing 137:2 production 182:12 188:7 250:9 professional 220:21 221:5 proffered

127:25 182:2 **Proof** 243:22 proper 230:13, 14 243:16 244:14 properly 148:6 172:23 192:13 193:7 234:19 236:3 248:17 252:24 254:9 property 202:18 203:20 231:1 245:7proponent 243:25 **protect** 220:6 **prove** 246:23 **provide** 141:19 250:20 provided 135:4, 8 243:20 246:21 **proving** 245:20 provision 139:6 psychiatrist 158:17 222:14, 17 223:17 psychiatrist's 222:19 psychologist**client** 223:14 **public** 169:3 **pulled** 197:11 **purport** 238:20 purported 241:5 **purposes** 125:24 129:10,11 132:19,23 133:5 135:5 136:8 229:4,13 123:4 put 134:11,13,14 136:1 144:15 151:17 168:18, 21 181:17,24 185:16 232:12 234:17 237:22 239:7 249:3 255:2,12,19 **putting** 181:20

Q question 122:5 123:10,19,21 125:15 127:19 133:1 136:4 137:13,18 139:7 141:8, 11,15 142:7 143:22 144:9, 15 145:8,9 146:13 147:23 148:2,12 151:2,10 152:9 155:19 156:20 159:19 161:12 163:13,14,19 164:7 165:13, 15 178:7 188:14 192:25 193:6 194:10, 19 199:18 200:21 201:22 204:2,4 207:6 209:8,11 211:4 213:2 215:2 218:6,7,13 220:12,14 224:1 225:13 226:8,10 227:16,17 229:11 233:17 234:23,24 239:19 241:23 256:7 questioning 134:19 142:10 156:5 questioning's 195:24 questions 120:15 121:11, 14 127:14 141:13 146:20 150:12 152:3, 20 154:13 160:7 163:2 170:3 180:22 182:23 183:1 186:18 194:7 204:19 208:14 209:2,21

213:13 221:12 224:18 249:6, 16,18 quick 126:7 178:4 quickly 227:16 **quote** 245:3 R raise 247:12, 13,16 **raised** 233:17 234:24 ranting 142:5 **rapidly** 186:19 **read** 130:7 133:10,11,13, 14 135:2 144:10 159:10 161:5,11 178:2 200:24,25 227:12,13,18 235:11 255:14 **reading** 136:18 161:7,10 **reads** 178:12 **readv** 120:4 180:21 252:15 **real** 126:7 142:1 178:4 253:9 **realize** 249:1 **Realty** 153:8 **reask** 137:17 **reason** 138:7 184:9 206:11 215:19 216:8 219:16,18,21, 25 222:22 237:8,9 240:22 246:17 222:16 reasons 230:22,23 247:19 **rebuttal** 231:23 254:23 255:11 **recall** 122:3 126:3 131:1 132:3 142:20 146:25 154:9

161:20 168:8, 11 173:13 188:5 196:8 222:12 228:8, 19,21 229:2 **receive** 182:4 received 161:2 186:22 187:6 198:19,23 248:25 recently 248:7 receptacle 157:22,24 receptacles 158:2 **recess** 180:18 256:8 recite 242:18 **record** 130:8 133:16 134:4 161:5,8 170:24 180:25 206:4, 14 227:13,18 235:5 239:13 254:25 255:1 **records** 188:10 **recusal** 255:12 **recuse** 256:3 redirect 188:20,22 216:25 217:1 **refer** 125:25 183:21 242:10 referenced 154:17,21 referral 142**:**17 referred 187:5 referring 122:10 124:22 125:2 130:12 166:2,4 183:4 228:20 **refers** 246:7 **reflect** 206:4 218:4 238:16 **regard** 234:24 245:12 246:3, 21 **reheard** 252:19 rejected 192:10,11

relation 169:9 relationship 215:16 245:7 246:25 247:11 relevance 123:17 147:2, 20,22 148:4 149:1 150:21 164:23 165:4, 10 166:22 167:2 171:20 196:12,22,23 197:1,4 201:1, 12,17 210:11 218:17,18 225:12,19 228:7,10,11,23 229:5,6 relevancy 135:16 136:11 150:6 151:23 155:8 204:14 relevant 136:1, 2 150:22 162:1,14 200:10 212:25 213:3 220:15 221:7,11 233:1 237:25 242:15, 19 **relieve** 130:11 **rely** 234:10 **relying** 249:23 254:5 **remain** 123:2 remaining 179:23,25 248:1 253:3 remember 131:6 180:17 197:10 228:1 **remind** 137:22 reminding 208:13 **removal** 251:24 **repeat** 138:8 143:18,21,25 211:16 replacement 125:13 **reporter** 206:10 227:14,19

represent 250:18 representation 252:3 representative 140:15 148:14 149:24 190:14 194:17 196:16 231:18 represented 148:17 250:14, 15 representing 141:23 148:6 219:20 253:7 **request** 255:12 requested 170:24 requests 253:5 **require** 200:3, 14 required 244:8 requirement 235:10 **requires** 243:10 requiring 124:20 requisites 246:13 rescheduled 252:19 **reserve** 242:2 resigned 124:24 **resolve** 209:22 respect 147:25 242:16,20 243:6 244:13 245:14 246:4, 9,14 247:18 respective 135:7 161:17 responded 141:15 219:10 responding 151:20 responsible 194:4 **rest** 193:13 231:21,22 242:2 255:10

restated 176:20 233:7 **resume** 120:4 180:21 retain 123:11, 20 **retained** 189:12 207:17 209:8 210:22,23 214:5 retainer 147:19,23 148:3 rethink 146:22 **retired** 125:7, 10 returned 240:18 **review** 164:5 revocation 244:23**revoke** 203:8 Rick 169:3,21, 24 rights 200:24 254:19 **robert** 120:9 188:22 206:25 232:23 235:14 **role** 212:24 241:1 roles 147:5,10 **Roman** 184:2 120:12 Rose 122:4 123:13, 17 124:5,19 126:16,19,25 127:9,16 128:4 130:7 133:7,16 134:9 135:16, 21 136:11 137:9 138:21 140:2,21 143:15 144:18 145:24 146:4 147:2,20 148:21 149:1, 5,8,16,20 150:6,21 151:12 155:8, 14 156:3,18 157:9 158:4,7 160:23 161:25

162:19 163:10 164:6,23 165:4,10 166:8,22 167:2 169:5,11 170:23 171:20, 22 173:4,10,17 174:11,14,25 177:2,23 179:15 181:5, 10,16 182:5,9 183:18 184:11 185:19,23 186:24 187:1, 25 188:11,15, 21,23 190:6,9 192:22 193:10, 13 195:20,23 196:12,22 197:9,14 198:11 199:16 201:1,10,12,17 203:12,22,24 204:14,19 205:6 206:6 208:1,3,7 210:11 212:3, 5,21 213:15,17 216:23 217:6 218:3,10,17 219:9,22 220:10,23 221:6,17 223:3,10 224:14 225:12, 19 226:4 228:7,23 229:5,22 230:1,2,10,13, 23 231:25 232:5,9 234:8, 12 242:14 248:19,21 249:1 251:13 252:6 253:17 255:15 roundabout 153:16 **row** 194:8 **Rubin** 142:23 **Ruffin** 142:24

rule 136:3

217:10 219:4,5

249:16 251:1, 10,18 ruled 136:2 256:15,19 **rules** 211:13 216:17 252:21, 22,23 255:4,6 **ruling** 197:24 216:13 256:16 **running** 209:17 **Ryan** 146:25 S **sad** 240:13 safe 123:4 23 170:15 189:16, 20,21 **sake** 215:12,14 satisfactory 236:24 237:2 satisfying 247:14 **save** 192:7 **SB** 128:13,16, 17,18,19,22 **scan** 158:20 **scenario** 211:15 238:25 scheduled 251:9 252:17 **school** 143:3,6, 9 **scope** 226:5 scoring 138:2 **Scott** 169:21 Scott's 169:3, 24 **screw** 249:18 **seat** 233:25 234:6 **SEC** 248:6 249:4 253:2 **secret** 181:24 **section** 144:10 243:21 **seeking** 223:21 **seize** 248:14 self-proved 237:7

self-proving 168:25 233:13, 14,18 236:21 239:24 243:6, 8,14 244:6,12 **send** 132:5,10, 12 240:14 **sending** 155:25 **sentence** 227:11 235:12,17 244:15,17,19 **separate** 157:17 203:17 September 194:3 200:21 sequence 184:3, sequences 177:17 **serve** 149:23 **served** 125:11 147:9,11,13 148:19,24 **session** 179:21 180:19 255:13 **set** 229:24 238:2,4 setting 251:4 **settled** 202:10 **sharp** 239:10 shenaniqans 240:11 **sheriff** 166:19, 25 186:14 **shine** 255:18 **Shirley** 133:20 136:22 138:12, 15,18,20 139:10,12,25 140:12,17 145:4,12 155:12 157:3, 12,15,19 158:6 163:8,25 166:12 167:15 177:7 184:6,7, 17 189:12 194:17 195:2, 16,18 196:6, 15,18 203:7 232:19 238:17 239:19,25

241:22 245:23 252:16 Shirley's 126:15 132:17 140:15 144:17, 23 146:3 148:15 151:12, 13,16 157:22, 24 168:3 194:13 195:14 202:11 207:5,9 234:22 241:3 251:17 **short** 179:20 183:9 **show** 120:16 126:21 127:14, 15,18 138:3 179:17 181:13 182:1 190:21 236:15,25 **showed** 131:7 170:16 181:23 showing 246:2 **shown** 128:3 248:16 **Si** 129:22 130:23 131:19, 25 132:4,7 139:4 142:19 166:13 194:24, 25 195:1,3 200:5,7 229:8 245:23 **Si's** 144:14 161:15 173:16 200:4**sic** 125:6 207:8 229:3 250:3 **side** 126:22 151:5,6 180:3 181:24 182:1 193:16 198:7 230:15 231:24 232:4 242:5 247:23 252:22 **sides** 180:7 **sign** 128:24 129:4,6,12 158:25 167:24 190:14,24 191:8 199:15,

20 200:11,20 214:16 238:6, 20 242:21,24 signature 129:5,6,7,13, 14,16 167:10, 14,21,23 168:1 170:7,8,13 171:5,10,12,13 172:18,21 174:22 176:13, 15 182:15 190:17,18 191:2,14 193:1 200:4,14 243:13 signatures 175:15 219:15 **signed** 128:20 129:7,8 158:12 165:18 166:14 168:11 189:25 191:5,6,9,12, 22,23 192:2, 13,24 196:20 199:20,22 200:5,6,7,8,9, 10,12,19 202:5 214:13 216:9, 11 221:23 232:20 233:9 234:18 235:24 238:19,23 239:23 240:21 246:11 249:25 250:4,24 significant 146:16 signing 159:5 239:16 **silent** 236:19, 22 **similar** 128:23 172:14 175:8, 12,19,21,23 176:7 **Simon** 135:6 145:16,17 146:2 149:20 150:19,20 157:7 158:11 161:16 163:25 165:18 166:1

| | December | 15, 2015 | 13 |
|---|--|---|---|
| <pre>169:2 173:24 176:21 189:12, 25 190:10,13, 17,24 191:2 192:2,13,24 193:5 194:2, 13,16,20 195:4,5,9,10, 17 196:10 199:25 201:15 202:6 203:10, 20 232:21 233:3 235:18 238:9,10,17 239:8,15 241:22 251:7,8 252:4,14,18 Simon's 153:12 154:2 158:10, 13 162:22 173:19 188:25 202:25 223:6 229:15 231:15 234:25 235:2, 3,6,7 251:9,16 simple 211:4 simply 237:2 239:13 single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11 186:15 193:12 216:23 231:25 233:25 255:19 sister 227:5 233:22 sisters 214:19 240:25 sit 211:11 212:15 sitting 125:12 205:19 216:2 skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 237:10 slogging 237:11,15 small 230:20</pre> | So.2d 245:4,18 246:22 sole 145:20 157:4,5 202:20 249:23 solitary 175:25 solve 226:14 somebody's 152:3 Sony 143:4 sort 237:6 240:13 sought 244:23 sound 165:20 sounds 196:8 spacing 185:10 Spallina 120:9, 11 182:12 188:22 193:18, 22 194:2 207:11 208:3 213:25 214:15 215:23 216:1 232:23 235:14 237:14,23 238:2 244:16 245:11 246:12, 13 249:4,12 250:4 254:12 Spallina's 207:1 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:22 speak 161:11 167:22 224:17 232:6 speaking 129:24 special 154:2, 12 specific 123:10 148:1 245:13 246:10 specifically 215:7 speed 198:11 spend 240:6 spoke 131:11 149:10 173:21 spoken 149:4 spot 163:21 214:1 | <pre>spouse 135:8 spouse's 203:9 stack 256:12 stamp 188:3,6 stand 120:12 206:11 208:13 248:8 stands 236:5 stapled 127:1 star 254:3 start 175:19 started 143:20 169:21 180:5 232:18 starts 134:25 state 129:20 130:23 131:5 133:1 151:4 158:10 174:13, 23 203:4 206:14 224:21 226:7 228:12 229:8,16 233:22 244:5 250:13 stated 121:5 138:10 139:13 170:24 197:15 217:3 226:13 229:2 statement 202:1 253:1 statements 151:21 250:2 states 161:15 202:6 stating 132:7 166:14 200:24 231:14 statue 236:14, 16 237:1 242:15 244:5, 15 statutes 242:10 244:8 statutory 243:21 stay 206:6,8 209:18</pre> | <pre>step 193:12 204:20 224:15 237:18 241:10 steps 210:15 stop 135:11 151:4 156:21 20:14 252:10 story 195:13 240:13 straight 180:25 straighten 179:20 stress 130:5, 10,11,12 131:14 159:6 225:18,23 strike 192:23 struggling 162:13 Stuart 206:18, 23 stuff 137:25 146:11 151:7 162:17 219:4 subject 223:10 254:10 submit 160:5,17 179:8,11 244:2,11 244:2,11 247:10,20 252:23 submitted 142:3 147:16 191:25 240:16 242:13 245:15 248:11 249:21 250:24 submitting 192:1 subscribing 239:17 Subsection 242:24 243:23 244:18,20 substantial 236:6,15 247:16 successor 192:5,6 194:5, 16,21 195:1,3, 7,11 195:1,3, 7,11 195:16 sucd 250:17</pre> |
| small 230:20 | - | 209:18 | |
| | | | 253:6 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

suffer 224:8 suffering 222:6,11 sufficient 236:7 239:5 **suggest** 212:13 238:16,18 246:19 247:2,6 suggesting 164:2 support 150:5 supposed 129:4 162:10 176:18 188:9 199:3 250:15 Supreme 233:21 234:14 236:9 **surprise** 231:17 Surprised 189:9 surrendered 120:25 **survive** 203:20 **survived** 202:16 204:10surviving 202:22 **survivor** 135:8 157:4 **sustain** 148:9 197:6 209:16 217:14 219:3 220:16 225:15 228:15 229:17 231:16 sustained 124:7 133:9 135:17, 23 136:13 140:3 143:16 144:20 145:25 147:3 149:2,17 150:7 151:10 155:9 156:19 157:10 158:8 164:24 165:5, 11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12 174:15,18175:1 177:4 183:20 185:21 188:16 192:21 195:22 196:13 201:2,11,13

203:14,25 204:3,17 212:7 219:23 220:24 225:20 228:24 248:23 249:5 **swiftly** 242:3 **sworn** 152:25 201:25 202:1 205:22 235:13 т 179:3,4 Tab 183:10 190:7 taking 225:8 232:7 talked 131:23, 24 137:4 166:5 216:6 226:22 232:18 talking 125:14 126:24 130:16 146:25 195:14 198:9 203:2 223:4 248:21 tangible 202:18 technically 253:15 ted 131:17,18 132:2,14,18,24 133:2 135:6 136:8 140:11, 14 142:18 144:7,11,16,23 147:12 161:17 191:21 194:4, 22,23,24,25 195:1,2,4,5,10 196:15 203:10 205:2,14,21 206:2,15,25 211:5 213:16 217:1 221:23 240:24 251:24 252:2 **Ted's** 140:17 145:5 telephone 130:17 141:18 238:9 ten 233:8 247:5,7

terms 253:25 **Tescher** 142:23 147:6 160:16 182:12 207:11 208:2 213:25 215:22 237:13 **Tescher's** 189:7 test 165:8 testamentary 193:8 239:14, 16 241:19,21 244:13 245:3,5 246:7,11,14 **testator** 234:20 238:23 242:21, 25 243:11 testator's 243:13 245:8 testatrix 243:12 testified 129:20 146:9 188:11 205:23 216:1 237:23 239:10 246:13 **testify** 198:2 244:16 testifying 206:5 testimony 149:19 154:1 176:1 235:3,22 237:21,22 238:21 239:3, 20 241:14 243:3 244:3 245:10,11,22 246:9 249:24 that'll 152:20 160:25 175:20 198:20 234:6 Theodore 206:18,23 thing 126:24 127:13 131:3 173:14 175:10 196:2 things 127:1 138:8 156:21 159:20 198:11 213:18 248:22, 24 249:8

250:13 251:19 252:15 254:16 thinks 212:24 220:15 221:8, 9,10 **thought** 150:15 162:10 202:23 231:2 255:3 **threaten** 200:23 three-page 181:16 191:1 **thrown** 211:13 tied 152:8 time 123:4 127:4,24 130:3 133:12 134:10, 14,15 142:22 151:8 158:13, 23,25 159:4 162:7 172:3 175:14 176:11 179:19 180:6,8 186:19 187:21 192:24 193:13 196:3,11 204:9,11 205:1 208:15,22 209:17 213:8 218:14 219:2 225:5 228:4 230:7 231:6 232:2,5,15 241:9 246:8 251:23 254:14, 20 times 138:1 142:9 149:4,10 171:23 189:12 201:19 246:13 title 153:18 **titled** 243:22 today 124:12 133:18 136:1 149:15,20 150:5 152:16 176:1 187:21 211:11,19 212:15 213:11, 21 214:22 217:4,9,17 218:15 221:10 244:3,16,24 245:16,22

246:3 247:2,7 248:3 249:13 250:1,10,14,21 253:16 256:16 told 131:25 132:7 180:4 214:20,23 220:13 **top** 168:19,22 tortured 240:13 total 141:25 149:19 totality 238:15 touched 137:15 **Traci** 167:20 track 209:17 trading 248:8 transcript 224:3 251:13 transmitted 138:14 trial 146:9 162:20,23 180:4,8,11 188:25 237:9 251:8,10,17 252:9,12,17 254:18 trouble 141:25 226:13 **true** 139:11 229:23 238:17 trust 121:7 122:8 126:15 129:3,11 132:22 133:6, 20 135:5,13,20 136:22,24 137:2,7 138:12,16,18, 20,25 139:10, 12,25 140:12, 17,18 141:25 144:5,17,23,25 145:1,3,4,10, 12,19,20 146:3,7 148:15 149:14 150:2, 20 152:12 155:3,12 156:2 157:6,13,15, 17,19,23,24

158:1,2,6,11 163:8,25 166:16 167:15 168:3,24 169:2 173:19 176:17, 19 177:7,15 184:6,17 189:14 192:25 207:1,5,8,9 209:25 210:5 232:19,21 233:6 234:25 235:7 241:3 247:1 249:14 250:16,17,22 251:17 252:14 253:6 trustee 145:18 147:13 195:1,3 207:10,17 208:3 209:3 210:15,25 211:20 212:20 213:6 216:15 220:5,18 221:3 250:6,15,17,22 252:5 trusts 122:11 131:13 132:2,9 147:6 151:19 152:8,18 153:23,25 157:7 165:25 169:10 194:6 210:6 211:20 216:1,5 246:19 turn 122:1 124:17,25 188:9 turned 124:16, 21 two-by-four 172:3 type 122:18 typical 168:17 typically 175:11 189:20 U ultimately 132:4 139:4

247:5,8 un-notarized 240:20 uncontroverted 232:22 243:2 244:4 245:12 uncredible 250:3 understand 127:6 156:1 189:23 194:19 201:21 207:6 234:5 241:12 245:6 understanding 142:1 163:12 211:17 255:6 understands 189:24 undertake 192:17 undisputed 238:21 239:21 **undue** 246:16, 21,23 247:13, 17 unfortunate 240:23 ungenuine 241:25 unhappy 131:8 **unlike** 129:2 236:1 unrebutted 241:16 **upheld** 157:3 239:18 241:20 uphold 241:17 usual 129:22 v vague 141:20 valid 137:2,5,6 138:20 139:9 140:18 141:1 152:19 155:13 162:2,3,12 184:6,17,20 188:24 189:4 191:22 211:14

212:12,13 213:23 214:9 216:9,14 218:12,14 219:10 233:12, 15,16 240:9 241:8,21 245:1 247:21 252:5 **validate** 149:14 210:16 211:5 217:8 219:21, 25 220:7 241:6 248:6 249:11 250:1 254:6,8 validated 211:12 212:10 217:4 220:21 221:3,5,8 validation 245:16 **validity** 135:19 137:12 151:19 162:6,11 169:14 170:2 208:25 210:19, 24 212:16 217:17,19 218:15,22,25 219:5 229:7,14 243:18,24 252:25 253:22, 24 **validly** 139:9 **vault** 189:15 vaults 215:23 216:2 version 138:25 **view** 189:7 241:10 251:11 **viewed** 236:14 **violated** 251:19 violates 251:10,18 **virtue** 202:21 **vivid** 253:12 **Volume** 120:3

W

W-e-i-h-e 245:18

U.S. LEGAL SUPPORT (561) 835-0220

| | i de la constancia de la c | | 1 |
|--|---|----------------------|---|
| wait 217:10 | witnessed | | |
| 255:25 | 180:16 214:15 | Z | |
| | witnesses 153:2 | | |
| 166:13 189:24 | 171:14 180:1, | zip 122:18,21 | |
| 190:10,14,22 | 15 205:8,9,11 | | |
| 191:8,11,19,21 | 231:19 234:19 238:23 239:17 | | |
| 192:1 199:8 | 241:13 242:23, | | |
| <pre>waivers 166:13, 14 197:4</pre> | 24 243:12 | | |
| | word 158:3 | | |
| walk 120:16 | 235:11 | | |
| walking 197:17 | words 163:23 | | |
| wanted 121:13 | 185:17 254:6 | | |
| 222:23,25 223:4 226:19 | work 141:13 | | |
| 230:7 231:2 | 143:4 181:5 | | |
| 250:13 256:3 | 196:3 | | |
| | worked 176:24 | | |
| warning 156:24 | 181:8 | | |
| - | working 180:23 | | |
| <pre>waste 151:8 162:7</pre> | worth 149:19 | | |
| wasted 162:5 | wrangled 253:15 | | |
| | wrangling | | |
| wealth 233:8 | 253:11 | | |
| weekend 214:6 | write 137:25 | | |
| weeks 158:10 | 138:7 164:17 | | |
| 173:19 | writing 138:1,4 | | |
| Weihe 245:17 | 229:2 242:17 | | |
| whatsoever | 255:2,13,19 | | |
| 216:12 219:16 | written 161:18 | | |
| Whichever | 201:18 203:17 | | |
| 216:17 | 213:9 235:9 | | |
| wife 126:8 | 255:22 256:3,9 | | |
| 224:22 | wrong 179:13 | | |
| will's 170:15 | 192:1 240:14 | | |
| wills 131:13 | 253:15 | | |
| 146:8 151:19 | wrote 130:8 | | |
| 152:8,18 169:10 189:11 | 211:8 221:19 | | |
| 194:6 203:9 | 229:12 231:14 | | |
| 233:15 242:16 | | | |
| 245:1 246:19 | Y | | |
| Wilmott's 245:4 | | | |
| win 237:7 | Yates 136:24 | | |
| winding 153:3 | 137:8 139:13, | | |
| wisely 180:6 | 15,17,18 | | |
| wishes 144:14 | 140:1,19 144:6 | | |
| 156:16 157:3,7 | 148:25 155:11 | | 1 |
| 233:4 239:12, | 156:7 | | |
| 22 | year 200:22 | | 1 |
| withdrawn 226:9 | years 125:7 | | |
| withdrew 121:2 | 143:1 155:3 | | |
| TAULALOW ILL.A | 224:23 225:7 | | 1 |
| | | | |

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB 1

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME II

- - -

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2014

TIME: 2:30 P.M. - 5:03 P.M.

| | | | | | _ |
|----------|---|-----|----------|--|----|
| | | 49 | | | 51 |
| 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: | | 1 | BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following | |
| 2 | Eliot Bernstein, Pro se 2753 NW 34th Street | | 2 | proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause | |
| 3 | Boca Raton, Florida 33434 | | | before Judge Martin H. Colin, at the South County | |
| 4 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S. BERNSTEIN: Alan B. Rose, Esq. | | | Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Courtroom 2, | |
| | MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE | | | City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of | |
| 6 | KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 | | | Florida, beginning at 2:30, on Wednesday, the 19th | |
| 7 | West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | | | day of February, 2014, to wit: | |
| 8 | John J. Pankauski, Esq. | | 8 | | |
| 9 | PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC | | 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Be seated. | |
| 10 | 120 South Olive Avenue, Suite 701 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 | | 10 | | |
| 11 12 | | | 11 | Okay. So we're back on the Estate of | |
| 12 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF CREDITOR WILLIAM STANSBURY: | | 12 | Simon Bernstein, 2012CP004391. Let the record | |
| 13 | Peter M. Feaman, Esg. | | 13 | reflect counsel is present following | |
| 14 | Nancy E. Guffey, Esq. | | | yesterday's hearing and Mr. Feaman has now | |
| 15 | PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. Boynton Beach Boulevard | | 14 | filed the verified motion to disqualify counsel | |
| | Boynton Beach, Florida 33436 | | 15 | which we're going to first hear. | |
| 16 17 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN | | 16 | So Mr. Feaman, you're up. | |
| | AND JILL IANTONI: | | 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| 18 | William H. Glasko, Esq. | | 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor. | |
| 19 | GOLDEN & COWAN, P.A. | | 19 | Because I have not been able to provide a written | |
| 20 | Palmetto Bay Law Center 17345 South Dixie Highway | | 20 | response and because you were kind enough to give | |
| 24 | Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157 | | 21 | Mr. Feaman an opening statement yesterday, may l | |
| 21 22 | ALSO PRESENT: | | 22 | be briefly heard? | |
| 23 | Ted S. Bernstein William Stansbury | | 23 | THE COURT: Well, hold on. Let him give his | |
| 24 | Candice Bernstein | | 24 | opening statement and then you. | |
| 25 | | | 25 | Go ahead. | |
| | | 50 | | | 52 |
| 1 | INDEX | | 1 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| 2 | | | 2 | Your Honor, we're traveling, as Your Honor | |
| 3 | WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE | | 3 | is aware, under Rule 4-1.18 of the Rules of | |
| 4 | ELIOT BERNSTEIN | | 4 | Professional Conduct dealing with prospective | |
| 5 | Direct by Mr. Feaman 77, 129 | | 5 | clients, which states that a person who | |
| 6 | Cross by Mr. Pankauski 86 | | 6 | discusses with a lawyer the possibility of | |
| 7 | JOHN PANKAUSKI | | 7 | forming a client-lawyer relationship with | |
| 8 | Direct by Mr. Rose 131, 159 | ļ | 8 | respect to a matter is a prospective client, at | |
| 9 | Cross by Mr. Feaman 152 | | 9 | subpart (a). And then subpart (c), Your Honor, | |
| 10 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 10 | a lawyer subject to subdivision (b) shall not | |
| 11 | | | 11 | represent a client with an interest materially | |
| 12 | | | 12 | adverse to those of a prospective client in the | |
| 13 | EXHIBITS MARKED FOR: | | 13 | same or substantially related matter. | |
| 14 | IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE | | 14 | In this case, Your Honor, we have filed | |
| 15 | Exhibit Number 1 108 | | 15 | our verified motion to disqualify counsel as | |
| 16 | Exhibit Number 2 108 | | 16 | opposing counsel to Mr. Bernstein in this | |
| 17 | Exhibit Number 3 113 | - 1 | 17 | proceeding, which we do have a right to do. | |
| 18 | Exhibit Number 3 113 | I | 18 | And in that verified motion it sets forth that | |
| | | I | 19 | there was indeed a relationship formed between | |
| 19 | Exhibit Number 5 125 142 | I | 20 | Mr. Pankauski and the Bernsteins as | |
| 20 | Exhibit Number 6 141 142 | | 20 21 | | |
| 21 | | 1 | 21 22 | prospective specifically Eliot Bernstein | |
| 22 | | | 22 23 | as a prospective client. | |
| 23 | | | | The law, Your Honor, as you know, states | |
| 24 | | | 24 25 | that, under Florida law, attorneys must avoid | |
| 25 | | | 25 | appearances of professional impropriety and any | |

53 55 1 doubt is to be resolved in favor of 1 attorney. 2 disgualifications. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So stop for a second. I 3 We respectively submit that based upon the 3 just want to make sure I'm looking at something --4 papers submitted that, in fact, the 4 because I agree time was quick on this. So in the 5 relationship as prospective counsel was -- and 5 packet that I received, the verified motion to 6 prospective client -- was formed. We attach as 6 disqualify counsel, it's brought by the 7 Exhibit A to the motion an e-mail which, in 7 petitioner, William Stansbury, as a creditor, an 8 fact, memorializes the existence of the 8 interested person in the estate, and it's -- it's 9 relationship. And we would then respectfully 9 verified by Eliot Bernstein and Candice Bernstein. 10 10 request, through our motion, that there be a But it's not brought by them. It's brought only 11 disqualification in this case. I don't know 11 by William. So -- and William doesn't verify it. 12 what opposing counsel's arguments are going to 12 So the moving party doesn't verify the pleading. 13 be, so I will reserve after I hear. 13 So under the rule that governs these type of 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski. 14 pleadings who has to -- two questions -- who has 15 MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. Good 15 to verify the motion; and does the motion have to 16 afternoon. So we're here today -- and I'm going 16 be brought by and/or in behalf of the alleged 17 to try to be concise with my opening statements --17 aggrieved individual? 18 18 what I'm going to try to do is talk about the MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. First 19 burden of proof, the standards which I believe are 19 with regard to the verification. The verification 20 to exist under 4-1.18, because | don't see any 20 has to state that I declare that the facts alleged 21 published decisions on this rule. I know our rule 21 are true. And Mr. Stansbury was not in a position 22 in Florida is a little bit different than the 22 to verify that because it would have been through 23 model rules that the ABA has. I'm also going to 23 secondhand information. So we thought that the 24 try to concisely tell you what the evidence is 24 proper verification should be signed by the people 25 going to show. 25 who actually are the prospective clients against 54 56 1 And then, in closing, I'd just like to 1 whom we say --2 address three points made by opposing counsel. 2 THE COURT: Now, I mean, they may be -- that 3 And thank you for this opportunity to be heard. 3 is Eliot and Candice -- may be witnesses. But 4 This is a tire kicker case. This is a case 4 from the motion itself, the moving party is 5 that the litigators, trial attorneys, who get 5 William. Eliot has not filed a motion. And so --6 lots of calls from prospective clients would 6 I didn't know this until I saw the pleading -- so 7 refer to as, you know, what happens when 7 William has filed a motion. He is seeking to 8 somebody calls up a number of attorneys and 8 disqualify Mr. Pankauski from representing Ted 9 says, hey, would you take my case, and 9 Bernstein. Eliot has not filed any action on 10 confidential information is not relayed, and 10 that. Has made no request for such a 11 then that attorney ends up being involved in a 11 disqualification. And William hasn't even signed 12 case. Should an attorney be removed from a 12 the pleading that he filed. So let me take a look 13 case -- in a tire kicking case -- because 13 at the rule for a second. 14 somebody is calling up and kicking the tires, 14 MR. FEAMAN: Sure, I also have case law with 15 should Your Honor, which you have the absolute 15 regard to whether William can bring it as opposing 16 discretion to do, impose your discretion to 16 counsel. 17 prohibit Mr. Ted Bernstein from having the 17 THE COURT: As opposing party? 18 counsel of his choice. So let me say at the 18 MR. FEAMAN: As opposing party, yes, sir. 19 beginning that Mr. Stansbury's counsel said 19 THE COURT: Okay. So -- I mean, correct me 20 that he has a right to file a motion to 20 if I'm wrong, the rule that is both 4-1.8 and 21 disgualify my firm. We contest that, Your 21 4-1.9 are rules of professional conduct that 22 Honor. That's not accurate. A third party, 22 advise about what lawyers can do under 23 such as a creditor like Mr. Stansbury, does not 23 circumstances that may be involved here. But it 24 have standing to determine whether Mr. Ted 24 doesn't really speak to the remedy. Both of you 25 Bernstein can hire someone as his or her 25 agree with that?

3

| | | 57 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | 1 | primarily the responsibility of the lawyer |
| 2 | THE COURT: So either of you have cases that | 2 | undertaking the representation, opposing counsel |
| 3 | speak to the issues of whether William, as the | 3 | may properly raise the issue, as is the case here. |
| 4 | creditor, not as the purported client, who has an | 4 | That's the authority that we're relying |
| 5 | alleged conflict of interest with Mr. Pankauski, | 5 | upon that we can bring it on behalf of |
| 6 | can bring this action. And secondly, whether | 6 | Mr. Stansbury. |
| 7 | whether I can disqualify Mr. Pankauski without | 7 | THE COURT: So in this Brent case let me |
| 8 | Eliot seeking that to happen, and by William as a | 8 | see who is who. Want to be heard on that matter, |
| 9 | creditor, interested person seeking that, and | 9 | Mr. Pankauski? |
| 10 | whether I can do it based upon a verified motion | 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. |
| 11 | not signed by William. Those are the three issues | 11 | The Brent v. Smathers case is outdated. It's a |
| 12 | I see on the surface. | 12 | 1988 case. That pre-dates the 2009 change to |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | 13 | Florida Rule 4-1.18. It also is traveling under |
| 14 | THE COURT: You want to address that first? | 14 | the wrong rule of professional conduct. 4-1.9 is |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I have the burden, so | 15 | not applicable here. We both agree it's 4-1.18 |
| 16 | I'll go first. First, I know there is a rule that | 16 | dealing with a prospective client. The standards |
| 17 | when you seek to disqualify a judge, that it must | 17 | are different for a prospective client than an |
| 18 | be verified. | 18 | existing attorney-client relationship. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Right. But the and in that | 19 | THE COURT: Okay. Let me okay. Let me |
| 20 | area, the rule speaks out the procedure. | 20 | just look at that for one second. For that to be |
| 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Right. | 21 | true, Mr. Feaman, it would have to be the position |
| 22 | THE COURT: Here, the procedure in the Bar | 22 | by Eliot, through the evidence, or Eliot |
| 23 | Lawyer's Rules of Professional Conduct, it doesn't | 23 | Bernstein, that there was no lawyer-client |
| 24 | speak to it. So I assume the case law speaks to | 24 | relationship between him and Mr. Pankauski. Is |
| 25 | it. | 25 | that the case? |
| | | 58 | |
| 1 | MR. FEAMAN: To my knowledge, the rules are | 1 | MR. FEAMAN: Was he formerly retained, is |
| 2 | silent as to the procedure. | 2 | that your question? |
| 3 | THE COURT: So what does the case law say the | 3 | THE COURT: You're using the word formal, I'm |
| 4 | procedure is? | 4 | not. |
| 5 | MR. FEAMAN: We don't have a case law as to | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: All right. |
| 6 | the procedure. We have it verified to show that | 6 | THE COURT: The cases don't use the word |
| 7 | these are true facts on their face being brought | 7 | formal. |
| 8 | before the court. We didn't find a case that sets | 8 | MR. FEAMAN: As defined by the rules, he's a |
| 9 | forth the procedure of how this is brought, other | 9 | prospective client. The rules make a distinction. |
| 10 | than this should be an evidentiary hearing. | | |
| | | 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's 4-1.18. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. | 11 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 |
| 12 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to | 11 12 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? |
| 12 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party | 11 12 13 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references |
| 12 13 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the | 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even |
| 12 13 14 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case | 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a |
| 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which | 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about Mr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it says as to the law firm's second argument, the | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about Mr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether this could be brought by the creditor and not by |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it says as to the law firm's second argument, the comments to Rule 4-1.7 states that: Although | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about Mr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether this could be brought by the creditor and not by Eliot Bernstein. |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: Now, with regard to Mr. Stansbury bringing being the moving party instead of Mr. Eliot Bernstein. We attached the case to our motion, which was the Smathers case which THE COURT: Brent versus Smathers? MR. FEAMAN: Brent versus Smathers, yes, Your Honor, 529 So. 2d. 1267. And on Page 2 of that decision, Page 2 as copied to you, there is a discussion of Rule 4-1.9. And then at the bottom of the left-hand column, the last sentence, it says as to the law firm's second argument, the | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: So you don't think Rule 4-1.9 applies? MR. FEAMAN: I do. Because it references 4-1.9 in part B of 4-1.18, which states that even when no client-lawyer relationship ensues, a lawyer who has had discussions with a prospective client shall not use or reveal information learned in the consultation, except as Rule 4-1.9 would permit. And so a conflict under 4-1.9 would apply to a prospective client as defined under 4-1.18. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What about Mr. Pankauski, what's your position on whether this could be brought by the creditor and not by |

63

64

| | 6 | 1 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | creditor is not permitted to bring this action. | 1 | understand how William Stansbury can say there is |
| 2 | The authority for that let me state what the | 2 | a conflict that Eliot doesn't say exists. |
| 3 | authority is. Privity is required for someone to | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: Mr. Stansbury is harmed as a |
| 4 | try to go up to an attorney and say you can't | 4 | result of the apparent dereliction of |
| 5 | represent Ted Bernstein. There is no privity | 5 | Mr. Pankauski's duties to Mr. Eliot Bernstein |
| 6 | here. There is no attorney-client relationship | 6 | because |
| 7 | between my firm and the creditor, Mr. Stansbury. | 7 | THE COURT: Even if Eliot doesn't complain? |
| 8 | The authority for that is a 2012 Second District | 8 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. |
| 9 | case called THI Holdings, Thomas Howard Indigo | 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Show me I need a case |
| 10 | Holdings, LLC. And it sets forth that privity is | 10 | that says that that's possible. Because that's |
| 11 | required. It involved a motion to disqualify, a | 11 | what I don't see. Eliot, I think, can complain, |
| 12 | motion for pro hac vice. And it says here as a | 12 | and I'm not sure that it's which rule applies. |
| 13 | matter of undisputed facts, there is no privity | 13 | MR. FEAMAN: And he may yet complain, we |
| 14 | between the estate and Balassa or his firm. And | 14 | don't know. And I can't |
| 15 | it goes on to talk about that. And then it says | 15 | THE COURT: Right. |
| 16 | even if the estate could convince this court that | 16 | MR. FEAMAN: speak for Eliot. |
| 17 | it had standing to raise the disqualification | 17 | THE COURT: I know. Eliot is representing |
| 18 | issue, it cannot establish the legal requirements | 18 | himself. |
| 19 | for disqualification. | 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Nor do I prepare pleadings for |
| 20 | THE COURT: So here's the thing that's | 20 | Mr. Bernstein. |
| 21 | concerning me, Mr. Feaman. The allegation, | 21 | THE COURT: Eliot went so far as to sign your |
| 22 | looking at the motion, is that there was I'm | 22 | verification, but it's not his motion. That's one |
| 23 | using this expression broadly some legal | 23 | problem. But also I'm almost positive because |
| 24 | dealings between Eliot Bernstein and the Pankauski | 24 | of some prior cases I had that the person who has |
| | | | of some proficases i had that the person who has |
| 25 | firm. That's what you allege, correct? | 25 | to complain is about a lawyer representing |
| 25 | firm. That's what you allege, correct? | 25 | |
| 25 | | 25 | |
| | 62 | 25 2 | to complain is about a lawyer representing |
| 1 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | 25 2 1 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski |
| 1 2 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has | 25 2 1 2 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the |
| 1 2 3 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein | 25 2 1 2 3 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these |
| 1 2 3 4 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that | 25 2 1 2 3 4 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look | 25 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party who said that they that the other lawyer had a |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party who said that they that the other lawyer had a conflict of interest because the other lawyer |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it started where it started, ended where it ended, | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party who said that they that the other lawyer had a conflict of interest because the other lawyer here, Mr. Pankauski, had performed some |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 11 22 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 22 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it started where it started, ended where it ended, and, you know, it may be that Ted hired him and | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party who said that they that the other lawyer here, Mr. Pankauski, had performed some lawyer-client services, and there was other |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 62 MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: So and Eliot Bernstein has not and then we also know that Ted Bernstein has hired Mr. Pankauski, that same lawyer that Eliot says he had legal dealings with. True? MR. FEAMAN: True. THE COURT: So here's what I'm just not following you almost like a matter of logic. With Eliot not complaining, how can a creditor or any other outside person who doesn't claim a conflict of interest say I don't want Mr. Pankauski to continue to represent Ted when Eliot has not filed the motion complaining because Eliot is the other purported either prospective or former client, depending upon which rule you look at who has a right to either complain or not. So I'm sure it's not the case in reality, but if Eliot didn't complain I mean, it could be that Eliot is taking a position, you know, whatever I did with Mr. Pankauski and his firm, you know, it started where it started, ended where it ended, | 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to complain is about a lawyer representing someone else, and in this case it's Mr. Pankauski continuing to represent Ted Bernstein, is the person who purportedly is the benefactor of these rules as an either prospective or former client. But if he says no if he doesn't seek disqualification, I'm not sure how someone else can has an interest, under the cases that I read, for that to happen. Let me just look here if there is a case I just saw in my research. MR. FEAMAN: The court THE COURT: I did an extensive case right on Rule 4-1.9, very similar to this, and it was everyone said it was that rule, not the prospective rule. Although, from reading your motion, it's almost the identical type of case. And both lawyers in that case said, though, that it was the 4-1.9 that applied not the 4-1.8. But the moving party was the alleged aggrieved party who said that they that the other lawyer had a conflict of interest because the other lawyer here, Mr. Pankauski, had performed some |

| iding. And I just I mean, | 1 | | |
|--|----|---|---|
| ding. And Liust I mean | 65 | | 67 |
| ang, / na i jaot i moan, | 1 | can do or not do. That's not what's involved | |
| at says he does | 2 | here. So here when Eliot was first in time, | |
| only thing I have is the comment | 3 | right? | |
| | 4 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| (ay. | 5 | THE COURT: You agree with that? | |
| which deals with conflicts of | 6 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes. | |
| | 7 | THE COURT: So Eliot sees Mr. Pankauski, or | |
| ıre. Let me see. | 8 | his office does what he does we may be talking | |
| nd it's at the end in the | 9 | about that in a few moments. And then the | |
| f you have the book. | 10 | question becomes where Mr. Pankauski then | |
| ave the book, yeah. | 11 | continues to or chooses to represent someone | |
| n Page I have the 2013 | 12 | else, Ted Bernstein, it looks like Rule 4-1.18, | |
| | 13 | subsection (c) applies. A lawyer subject to | |
| ay. | 14 | subdivision (b) shall not represent a client with | |
| age 1985. | 15 | interest materially adverse to those of a | |
| ait a minute. My Rule 4-1.8 the | 16 | prospective client in the same or substantially | |
| lifferent page, but what's the | 17 | related matter. And it goes on to talk about | |
| ent? | 18 | that. | |
| onflict charge by an opposing | 19 | So is that the rule that you say applies? | |
| | 20 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| ay. Got that. Let me read it. | 21 | THE COURT: You agree that rule would apply? | |
| says, the second sentence, in | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| raise the question when | 23 | THE COURT: I think Rule 4-1.9, which is the | |
| er that the lawyer has | 24 | other conflict of interest rule, is where | |
| sibility. | 25 | arises where there actually is a situation where | |
| | 66 | | 68 |
| ay. Let me read the entire | 1 | Eliot Bernstein is then called a former client. | |
| -1.8 do you say applies, | 2 | and then Mr. Pankauski would represent Ted. And | |
| | 3 | then subsection (a) there almost uses the exact | |
| /ell, our motion speaks to | 4 | same language. | |
| | 5 | So I'm not sure if Eliot is a former | |
| hteen. Okay. Say that again | 6 | client or a prospective client, but if he's | |
| | 7 | first in line, then the rule is almost | |
| 1.18. | 8 | identical about when Mr. Pankauski then can | |
| t me look at that, it's | 9 | represent Ted Bernstein in the same or | |
| | 10 | related substantially related matter. The | |
| es to prospective | 11 | language is the same, true? | |
| · | 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| ight. | 13 | THE COURT: You agree? | |
| t me read that. | 14 | MR. FEAMAN: True. | |
| kay. | 15 | THE COURT: All right. So let me get passed, | |
| t me look at the comments for a | 16 | though, the procedural aspect as to whether | |
| · ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· | 17 | William can bring that without William | |
| here is maybe a little | 18 | Stansbury can bring this complaint in | |
| | 19 | connection with the motion to disqualify when | |
| Bernstein is a | 20 | Eliot doesn't. If Eliot is the purported party | |
| Bernstein is a e way the rule starts. | 21 | who is harmed by the potential conflicts of | |
| e way the rule starts, | | | |
| | 22 | | |
| e way the rule starts, t when he purportedly kauski and he's a | 22 | | |
| e way the rule starts, t when he purportedly | | Mr. Pankauski or former client, if it got that far. | |
| e wa | | | ski and he's a 22 interest, either as a prospective client of |

71

72

| — | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | | 69 | |
| 1 | behalf of Mr. Stansbury, is that because Eliot | 1 | Bernstein received notice of that. He's chosen to |
| 2 | Bernstein's interests are more closely aligned | 2 | go without counsel. He's chosen not to seek any |
| 3 | with Mr. Stansbury's, and are clearly adverse to | 3 | affirmative relief. |
| 4 | Ted Bernstein, and Mr. Stansbury's interests are | 4 | THE COURT: But that that's to the case. |
| 5 | clearly adverse to Ted Bernstein, that if Eliot | 5 | But I'm talking about the motion to disqualify |
| 6 | Bernstein transmitted information as a prospective | 6 | you. |
| 7 | client to the attorney who's now Ted Bernstein's | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, that's what I am |
| 8 | lawyer, and we're adverse to him, it's our | 8 | speaking about. |
| 9 | position that we're harmed as a result of that. | 9 | THE COURT: So I mean I could do one of |
| 10 | THE COURT: Well but it's not harm | 10 | two things. I can tell Eliot go over to the |
| 11 | that's the rule is not a harm. The rule is a | 11 | library and start writing out Xeroxing this |
| 12 | conflict of interest. And the conflict of | 12 | motion, sign it yourself, and bring it in, and |
| 13 | interest has to be between Eliot and Ted. I'm not | 13 | then, you know |
| 14 | sure how it could be otherwise. | 14 | MR. PANKAUSKI: You just want an oral joinder |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, as a result of that | 15 | right now? |
| 16 | conflict of interest | 16 | THE COURT: Yeah |
| 17 | THE COURT: Well, okay. But I still have | 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's fine. |
| 18 | . to | 18 | THE COURT: that's what I'm getting at. |
| 19 | MR. FEAMAN: we're hurt. | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. Sorry, Judge. |
| 20 | THE COURT: But if Eliot says because | 20 | THE COURT: Okay. What's your position on |
| 21 | there could be waivers, says here in the rule | 21 | that? |
| 22 | 4-1.9 says a lawyer who has formerly represented a | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: But Mr. Stansbury can't do |
| 23 | client shall not thereafter represent another | 23 | it. Eliot could do it. |
| 24 | person, okay, unless and then there's unlesses | 24 | THE COURT: I think Eliot needs to be the |
| 25 | [sic] and one of those things are clearly in | 25 | complaining party. Now, I'm not saying you can't |
| | | | |
| | | 70 | |
| | | 70 | · · · · · |
| 1 | this case Eliot could not complain about it. | 1 | participate as counsel to maybe help steer the |
| 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your | 1 2 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be |
| 2 3 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? | 1 2 3 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. |
| 2 3 4 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? | 1 2 3 4 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? | 1 2 3 4 5 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can I treat this motion and go forward based upon it | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the evidence. So I'm going to allow that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I interject, Your Honor? THE COURT: You're objecting? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, can I interject? THE COURT: What do you want to say? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I politely asked him and told him that he was conflicted, and I felt harmed by it. THE COURT: That's evidence, though. That's potential evidence you want to interject, but you haven't filed this motion. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't learn that until yesterday, so if I have to, I'll do another day. THE COURT: So let me ask this. If the motion is filed, it's in written form, it's filed by Mr. Stansbury. I think it needs to be joined, if not independently, at least joined by Eliot Bernstein. So what's your position and that's clearly what Eliot wants to do, he just doesn't know it yet. What about that, Mr. Pankauski? Can | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | evidence. I'm probably thinking that would be okay, but I think we need Eliot to join. Mr. Pankauski doesn't have an objection. What do you say? MR. FEAMAN: I have no objection to Mr. Eliot Bernstein joining. I'm just not going to be in a position of encouraging him to do something and violate my neutrality. THE COURT: You are not you don't represent him. MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. THE COURT: So Eliot is pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. THE COURT: You know, there is a burden that is on Eliot to start, but since he's joining your motion, I'm going to allow just because for judicial economy and so we don't have to like come back on this matter and everyone is ready to go forward for you to help, almost be second chair counsel, standby counsel, although, I know you represent William, to just help bring out the |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| r | | | | | 0 |
|-----|--|----|----|---|----|
| | | 73 | | | 75 |
| 1 | THE COURT: So come on up and sit here so I | | 1 | administration. | |
| 2 | can look at you and Judge your credibility when I | | 2 | And that's my third point, Your Honor. | |
| 3 | hear things that are going on. So sit right there | | 3 | This isn't an adverse lawsuit. This isn't a | |
| 4 | in the middle. | | 4 | personal injury case. Mr. Ted Bernstein has | 1 |
| 5 | So whether again, I'm not I'm not | | 5 | asked Your Honor if he can administer this | |
| 6 | sure, I won't know til the end, what rule I | | 6 | estate. He wants to be a fiduciary. As he is | |
| 7 | think this comes under, but it looks like it's | | 7 | a fiduciary | |
| 8 | either 1-9 or 1.9 or 1.18, but it sounds | | 8 | THE COURT: Okay. But the participation of | |
| 9 | like it's one of those two. | | 9 | Ted is not questioned. It's whether you can do it | |
| 10 | So, okay, so you're up first. | 1 | 10 | for him. | |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. I would call | Ì | 11 | MR, PANKAUSKI: Understood, | |
| 12 | Mr. Eliot Bernstein to the stand. | | 12 | THE COURT: So Ted is no one is suggesting | |
| 13 | THE COURT: I figured that would be first. | | 13 | Ted is not eligible to request that he be a PR or | |
| 14 | Okay. Come on, Eliot. | | 14 | curator. I mean, that wasn't objected to | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Opening statements. | 1 | 15 | vesterday. | |
| 16 | THE COURT: He has a right to opening. So | | 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. And so, if I | |
| 17 | have a seat here and I'll let Mr. Pankauski finish | | 17 | may, let me go to the standard that we're | |
| 18 | his opening. | | 18 | traveling under today and what we should be doing | |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thanks, Judge. And I | | 19 | here. Because we are going to introduce evidence | |
| 20 | okay. Thank you, Your Honor. So concisely, we | | 20 | that there was no attorney-client relationship. | |
| 21 | are traveling and I agree with Mr. Stansbury's | | 21 | Evidence is going to be introduced that there was | |
| 22 | counsel under 4-1.18. The evidence is going to | | 22 | no confidential information that Eliot Bernstein | |
| 23 | show that my firm never had an attorney-client | | 23 | conveyed to my law firm. The evidence is going to | |
| 23 | relationship with Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | | 23 | show that he called up trying to find an attorney | |
| 25 | And if I may, let's just we've dealt | | 25 | to sue Don Tescher for malpractice regarding some | |
| 25 | | | 25 | | |
| | | 74 | | | 76 |
| 1 | with the standing issue of Mr. Stansbury. You | | 1 | estate matters of his parents. | |
| 2 | know, I'm of the position he does not have | | 2 | I'm going to testify about | |
| 3 | standing. I'm also of the position that Eliot | | 3 | Mr. Bernstein's excuse me about | |
| 4 | lacks standing to participate in this estate | | 4 | Mr. Stansbury's verified motion. I'm going to | |
| 5 | administration. He's not a beneficiary under | | 5 | testify as to what Mr. Stansbury's counsel told | |
| 6 | the decedent's will. He's not a beneficiary | | 6 | me out there yesterday when you asked me to | |
| 7 | under the decedent's revocable trust. | | 7 | step out. And I'm going to demonstrate the | |
| 8 | I do recognize that I'm coming in late to | | 8 | amazing amount of inconsistencies in this | |
| 9 | this estate administration. | | 9 | fiction that we had an attorney-client | |
| 10 | Eliot Bernstein is not an interested | 1 | 10 | relationship, or there is some type of | |
| 11 | person in this estate. He shouldn't even be | | 11 | confidential information that is going to be | |
| 12 | here. | | 12 | adverse to Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 13 | So I need to | | 13 | So it's a three-fold test or three-prong | |
| 14 | THE COURT: What is Eliot Bernstein other | | 14 | test, Your Honor. For you to disqualify this | |
| 15 | than the brother of Ted? | | 15 | firm for you to say Ted Bernstein, you can | |
| 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Nothing. | | 16 | not hire the Pankauski law firm for estate | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | | 17 | administration. You would have first to make a | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I mean, if this was a | | 18 | finding of fact that the interests of Ted | |
| 19 | guardianship, he may have standing to come in and | | 19 | Bernstein are materially adverse, not just | |
| 20 | participate in the administration of his dad's | | 20 | adverse, but materially adverse to the | [|
| 21 | person and property, but it's an estate. He | | 21 | prospective client, Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 22 | totally lacks standing. And because he lacks | | 22 | The second thing that you would need to | |
| 23 | standing, he doesn't he's not an interested | | 23 | find is that I received confidential | |
| 24 | person and can't come in and tell Ted Bernstein | | 24 | information from Mr. Eliot Bernstein. | 1 |
| 25 | who he can hire as an attorney for an estate | | 25 | And then the third thing that you would | |
| 120 | | | | | |

| 1 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|----|
| | | 77 | | 79 |
| 1 | have to find is that I am going to use that | | 1 don't volunteer, because that's important. Okay. | |
| 2 | information, that confidential information, to | | 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. | |
| 3 | the disadvantage of Mr. Eliot Bernstein in an | | 3 BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 4 | estate. | | 4 Q Is your brother Ted Bernstein presently a | |
| 5 | THE COURT: That you are going to or could? | | 5 beneficiary under the trust established by the estate | |
| 6 | I mean, it couldn't be going to | | 6 documents, if you know? | |
| 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That I could. Thank you, | | 7 A I don't believe so. | |
| 8 | Your Honor. | | 8 Q That would be Ted Bernstein? | |
| 9 | So that's the standard under 4-1.18. What | | 9 A That would be. | |
| 10 | does our Fourth District say about this? | 1 | 0 Q And are your interests with Ted Bernstein | |
| 11 | THE COURT: I kind of want opening and not | 1 | adverse in connection with the estate of Simon Bernstein? | |
| 12 | closing. So I got that part. | 1 | 2 A Yes, sir. | |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Okay. So the standard that I | 1 | 3 Q And how so? | |
| 14 | would direct our attention to is the Coolis | 1 | A Well, I'm pursuing Ted in a number of legal | |
| 15 | (phonetic) case. You would need to find and | 1 | 5 actions, criminal actions, for | |
| 16 | again, it's a finding of fact that I had actual | 1 | 5 THE COURT: So focus on the question. Okay. | |
| 17 | knowledge of material confidential information. | 1 | 7 He didn't ask anything about criminal actions. | |
| 18 | What the Fourth has described as protected | 1 | So, you know, you're a witness now | |
| 19 | information. The burden is on Eliot. | 1: | 3 THE WITNESS: We're adverse to each other. | |
| 20 | Finally, because disqualification of a | 2 | THE COURT: You need to pay let me | |
| 21 | party's counsel is such an egregious | 2 | finish you need to pay attention carefully to | |
| 22 | punishment, that we can't resort to | 2 | 2 the question. Listen. Let me finish. | |
| 23 | speculation. Mr. Eliot Bernstein needs to | 2 | 3 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. | |
| 24 | prove by a greater weight of the evidence those | 2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 25 | three prongs that I described in 4-1.18. | 2 | 5 scope of the question. Because Mr. Feaman's | |
| | | 78 | | |
| | | 101 | | 80 |
| 1 | Thank vou, Your Honor. | | questions are designed to be tailormade for this | 80 |
| 1 | Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, All right, Let's get the | | questions are designed to be tailormade for this case. | 80 |
| 1 2 3 | Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we | | questions are designed to be tailormade for this case. Go ahead. | 80 |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. | 80 |
| 2 3 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN | 80 |
| 2 3 4 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. 6 How is your interest in the estate of your | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. 6 How is your interest in the estate of your 7 father directly, or through the trust, established by | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. 6 How is your interest in the estate of your | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION | | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. 5 How is your interest in the estate of your 7 father directly, or through the trust, established by 8 your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted 9 Bernstein? | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN | | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. | | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. | 1 1 1 1 | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q Thank you, Your Honor. 5 How is your interest in the estate of your 7 father directly, or through the trust, established by 8 your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted 9 Bernstein? 0 A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I 9 differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. 2 Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. | 10 11 11 11 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon | 10 11 11 11 11 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? | 10 11 12 11 12 14 14 14 14 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. | 10 10 11 12 12 14 14 14 14 14 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? | 10 11 12 12 14 14 14 14 16 17 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. | 10 10 11 12 11 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the | 10 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 15 | Gase. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? | 10 11 12 12 14 15 14 15 16 17 18 15 20 | Gase. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point. | 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 15 20 20 20 | case. Go ahead. BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you, Your Honor. How is your interest in the estate of your father directly, or through the trust, established by your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted Bernstein? A I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted and his children whether they should inherit under the estate, what is your understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it may be brought out by his answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't believe they should be. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And have you had discussions with Ted | 80 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's get the evidence. Then we can talk about the law once we see what the evidence is. Okay. Raise your right hand. (Thereupon, ELIOT BERNSTEIN was duly sworn by the court) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FEAMAN Q Thank you. Please state your name. A Eliot Ivan Bernstein. Q Your residence address? A 2753 NW 34th Street, Boca Raton, Florida. Q And you are the son of the late Simon Bernstein? A I am. Q And you reside in Florida presently? A I do. Q And are your children beneficiaries under the estate as it presently is structured? A I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point. Q Okay. | 10 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 22 22 | 2 case. 3 Go ahead. 4 BY MR. FEAMAN 5 Q 5 Q 6 by war and the state of your 7 father directly, or through the trust, established by 8 your father's will, in conflict with that of Ted 9 Bernstein? 0 A 1 believe we're at conflict because Ted and I 1 differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. 2 Q 4 I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I 1 differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. 2 Q 4 I believe we're at conflict because Ted and I 1 differ if Ted and his children are part of the estates. 2 Q Okay. And what do you believe what is your 3 understanding, as you sit here today, as to whether Ted 4 and his children whether they should inherit under the 5 MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection. Lack of 7 foundation. 3 THE COURT: I'll let you cross on that, or it 4 may be brought out | 80 |

| | | | | 10 |
|--|--|---|---|----|
| | | 81 | | 83 |
| 1 | A Yes. | 1 | doesn't fit the question. | |
| 2 | Q How so? What has he indicated to you? | 2 | | |
| 3 | A He believes his children should be included | 3 | BY MR. FEAMAN | |
| 4 | in the estate. | 4 | Q Are you aware that your wife sent an e-mail to | |
| 5 | Q Do you disagree with that? | 5 | | |
| 6 | A Ido. | 6 | A Yes. | |
| 7 | Q And did you, in September of last year, | 7 | Q And after that e-mail, did you personally have | |
| 8 | approach, with your wife, the law offices of | 8 | | |
| 9 | Mr. Pankauski? | 9 | A Yes. | |
| 10 | A Yes, sir. | 10 | Q With whom did you speak? | |
| 11 | Q Do you recall about when that was? | 11 | A Mr. Pankauski. | |
| 12 | A September 20th or so, around that area. | 12 | Q Directly? | |
| 13 | Q And was the approach in person or by phone? | 13 | A Yes. | |
| 14 | A By phone. | 14 | Q Was this by telephone? | |
| 15 | Q Okay. And who called? | 15 | A Yes. | |
| 16 | A I believe my wife initiated the call. | 16 | Q For how long? | |
| 17 | Q Okay. Were you present when she made the phone | 17 | A An hour or so. | |
| 18 | call? | 18 | Q Was this shortly after or within a few days | |
| 19 | A I don't believe so. | 19 | after the e-mail was sent by your wife? | |
| 20 | Q Okay. And how long did she withdrawn. As a | 20 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 21 | result of that phone call, was there an e-mail sent to | 21 | Q And was he in possession of documents that had | |
| 22 | your to Mr. Pankauski's office? | 22 | been transmitted by your wife to him? | |
| 23 | A Yes, sir. | 23 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 24 | Q Do you know to whom it was sent? | 24 | Q And did you discuss with Mr. Pankauski anything | |
| 25 | A I believe to Mr. Pankauski and his assistant, | 25 | that you would consider to be confidential? | |
| | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 82 | | 84 |
| | | 82 | A Yeah, confidential and adverse to the | 84 |
| 1 | Michelle Morley. | 1 | • | 84 |
| | | 1 | A Yeah, confidential and adverse to the information about my brother. Q Like what? | 84 |
| 2 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. | 1 | information about my brother. Q Like what? | 84 |
| 2 3 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell | 1 2 3 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's | 84 |
| 2 3 4 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. | 1 2 3 4 5 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 18 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. | 84 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 22 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. | 84 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 20 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 22$ | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. | 84 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Michelle Morley. MR. PANKAUSKI: Objection, speculation. He's believing. THE COURT: Okay. Try to avoid belief, tell me what you know. Can you reanswer? THE WITNESS: Yes. We sent information to both Mr. Pankauski and his assistant. BY MR. FEAMAN Q And were you did your wife send an e-mail as a follow-up to that telephone conversation? A Yes. Q Okay. And were you copied on that e-mail? A Yes. Q Okay. Let me show you what's been marked as Exhibit A, ask you if this is a true copy of the e-mail that was sent by your wife, in which you were copied, after the initial conversation that she had with Mr. Pankauski's office? THE COURT: So just because I think I have the Exhibit A at the top part of that doesn't apply, correct? Because that's February 10th. MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Information about my brother. Q Like what? A Like what we thought about my brother's actions with the other attorneys. The fact that there was forgery going on. We believed he was working with the attorneys who resigned yesterday, Tescher and Spallina. That Tescher and Spallina had brought them in, had business dealings, et cetera. We gave him a lot of confidential information, I feel. Q Did you discuss Mr. Pankauski's law firm representing you? A Yes, sir. Q And was a retainer asked for? A It was. Q And what were the terms of the retainer that you recall? A To he wanted us to pay money and to retain his services. And we couldn't afford it. And I basically told him we couldn't afford it. THE COURT: That's not the question. THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yeah, a retainer was sought. | 84 |

| _ | | | | 1 |
|----|---|----|---|-----|
| | 85 | | 8 | 37 |
| 1 | A Yes, sir. | 1 | A Violated, you know. | |
| 2 | | | Q What is it? | |
| 3 | | 3 | A Violated. | |
| 4 | | 4 | Q Why? | |
| 5 | | 5 | A Because it's a big risk. You know, he was | |
| 6 | | | also referred to me by Joel Weissman, who has very | |
| 7 | | | intimate knowledge of our case and what's going on in | |
| 8 | | | my life, and information regarding my brother. And | |
| | with the court. And I've been waiting for an answer on | 9 | | |
| | that. And then I would have called him back and got | | And he was trying to help me out. And I, you know, I | |
| 1 | the money for him. | 11 | | |
| 12 | - | 12 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. No further questions. | |
| | communication from Mr. Pankauski saying he was | 13 | THE COURT: Cross-examination. | |
| 14 | | 14 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| 15 | A No. | 15 | CROSS EXAMINATION | |
| 16 | Q When did you hear that Mr. Pankauski had been | 16 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | į |
| 17 | | 17 | Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bernstein. | |
| 18 | A Oh, week or two ago. | 18 | A Good afternoon, sir. | |
| 19 | Q And did you review the notice of appearance | 19 | Q In addition to contacting my law firm, you | |
| | that was filed by Mr. Pankauski in this case? | 20 | contacted Joel Weissman's law firm? | |
| 21 | A I did. | 21 | A Joel Weissman was referred to us. | |
| 22 | Q When did you receive that? | 22 | Q Is that a yes? | |
| 23 | A Oh, no, I don't think I've ever reviewed a | 23 | A Yes. | |
| 24 | | 24 | Q And you contacted Norman Fleisher? | |
| 25 | Q Okay. And when you found out that | 25 | A I might have. | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | _ |
| | 86 | | 8 | 8 |
| 1 | Mr. Pankauski was coming in on behalf of Mr. Ted | 1 | Q You did contact Norman Fleisher? | |
| 2 | Bernstein, what was your reaction? | 2 | A Are you telling me I did? | |
| 3 | A I contacted him and said that I felt that he | 3 | Q I'm asking you. | |
| 4 | was conflicted. And that was the first contact. | 4 | A No, you were telling me. But I don't know. | |
| 5 | Q Did you send him an e-mail in that regard? | 5 | Who is Norman Fleisher? | |
| 6 | A I did. | 6 | Q And you contacted attorney Amy Beller? | |
| 7 | Q And did you express any desire that he not | 7 | A I might have. | |
| 8 | represent Mr. Bernstein? | 8 | Q And you contacted Brandon Pratt? | Ì |
| 9 | A Yes, sir. | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Outside the scope of direct. | |
| 10 | Q Okay. And what was his response? | 10 | THE COURT: Overruled. | |
| 11 | A He didn't see eye to eye with me, basically. | 11 | THE WITNESS: I retained Brandon Pratt. | |
| | I sent him then the Bar rules that I felt applied, as a | 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| | follow-up e-mail. Then, you know, I figured I'd come | 13 | Q Please tell us what other | |
| | here and talk to the judge or something. | 14 | A The children retained Brandon Pratt. | |
| 15 | Q So this motion is not something that you have | 15 | Q Your children are minors, correct? | |
| | expressed to Mr. Pankauski prior to today, is that | 16 | A Yes. | |
| | correct? | 17 | Q Please tell us who the other attorneys in Palm | |
| 18 | A No. No, I asked him politely to disqualify, | 18 | Beach County are that you contacted regarding this | |
| 19 | you know, under ethical rules. | 19 | matter? | |
| 20 | Q Okay. And, obviously, you felt that request | 20 | A No. | |
| | was rejected, correct? | 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, the witness is | |
| 22 | A Correct. He's here. | 22 | refusing to answer my question and he hasn't | |
| 23 | Q How do you feel as a result of his continuing | 23 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. | |
| | this case in terms of your personal involvement in this | 24 | THE WITNESS: I answered. I said no. | |
| 25 | case? | 25 | THE COURT: I thought he said none. | |
| | | 1 | | - 1 |

| 1 THE WTNESS: I said no. 1 the estate and has been working closely with them and 2 Discussion the supposed titles and information and accountings and 4 THE WTNESS: No, I don't know to lell him the supposed titles and information and accountings and 5 who Ive contacted in Paints Beach County. the supposed titles and information and accountings and 6 THE COURT: Okay. the supposed titles and information and accountings and 7 FM R, PAKKUSKI the asking and the supposed titles and information and accountings and 8 O. I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't the don't hought about the dom counters, and what I thought about the 9 I don't know. the don't hought about the dom counters, and what I thought about the 10 out close and counters, and what I thought about the the dow whol, didn't hought about the 11 out close and about the dom counters, and what I thought about the the week marked confidential that i sent you, all my e-mails 12 A I don't know. the dow whol. didn't hought about the the marked confidential that i sent you, all my e-mails 13 Discleve week asking about the dom mem. the dow whol. the dow whol. didn'the hin morment. | | 89 | | | 91 |
|--|----|---|----|--|----|
| 2 THE COURT: No, you weren't going to answer 3 or no 4 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know to tell him 5 5 or no 5 6 THE COURT: Chay. 5 7 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 5 8 Q. Imsony, let me asky ou again because I don't way. 6 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that I/ve 9 10 mentioned, si, please tell we with other attorneys that I/ve 9 11 point/secondard in Florida regarding this matter. 11 12 A I don't know, we other sthat you 10 13 MR, FRAMAN, Objection. I think that's 11 14 confidential to. 12 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 12 16 confidential to. 12 17 THE WITNESS: Inhink I we contacted others, 12 Q. Imack, You don't member if you sent me 16 confidential to. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Inhink I we contacted others, 12 Q. You usent me, Joint Parkauski, e-mails? 11 I don't know who. I didn't bring a lat of who I 20 Q. Doy usen any of t | 1 | THE WITNESS: I said no. | 1 | the estate and has been working closely with them and | |
| 3 or no - 3 his supposed lites and information and accountings and 4 THE WITRESS: No, I. don't know to tell him 5 have a differing interest in the outcome of the 6 THE COURT: Oray. 5 have a differing interest in the outcome of the 7 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 7 a but the documents, and what I though tabout him 8 Q. I'm sorn, IE me ask you again because I don't 7 about the documents, and what I though tabout him 9 Passed and the supposed lites and I hormation and accounting. and I hormation and accounting a hard hormation and accounting a hard in hormation and accounting a hard in hormation and accounting a hard hormation and accounting a hard in hormation hard you accounting a hard and more and hard in hormat | 2 | THE COURT: No, you weren't going to answer | | | |
| 4 THE WITNESS: No.1 dort it wore to tell him 5 who Tve contacted in Pain Beach County. 6 THE COURT: Ckay. 7 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that Tve 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that Tve 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that Tve 10 mentioned, sir, please table, who that first interests in the outcome of the sectors. And You know, so 1 feets marked confidential that I sent, you, all my e-mails 12 A I don't know. 10 13 MR. FEAMANL Objection. 1 think that's 11 14 confidential. 11 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. If feel it's 16 16 confidential. 16 17 THE COURT: Think I've contacted others. 17 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 19 19 dia contact. If not, is you. 10 11 fact, you new of a dias 11 storneys to 2 14 A Possibly. 2 Q. You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 15 requested information under confidentially. 1 February 12th, other than t | 3 |) | | | |
| 5 who Ive contacted in Paim Beach County. 5 have a differing interest in the outcome of the 6 THE COURT: Okay. 5 have a differing interest in the outcome of the 7 PY MR. PANKAUSKI 7 about the documents, and whall thought about him 8 0 Immerstand whall thought about him 9 1 A I don't know. 9 10 metacted in Findia regarding this matter. 11 markstand whall thought about him 13 MR. FEAMAN: Objection. 1 think that's 13 14 A Mo or my wife. 15 THE WTINESS: And its. [feel it's 15 Q You sent me emails? 16 confidential to. 16 - mails? 17 THE COURT: If worrule that. Good ahead. 17 A I don't recell at his moment. 18 Soy cue an answer fy ou know of others that you 16 - mails? 11 forth know who. I didn't him a lat of who I 2 Q Yue contacted on who. I didn't him a lat of who I 20 THE WTINESS: It think Ive contacted others, at you who. I and thim this an atter? 2 Q Q 21 I don't know who. I didn't him ga lat of who I 2 < | 4 | THE WITNESS: No, I don't know to tell him | | | |
| 7 BV MR PANKAUSKI 7 about the documents, and what I thought about him 8 Q I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't. 8 working with Spallina and Tescher and all my documents were. 10 mentioned, sir, please tell us what other attorneys. 10 unless they're asking – and all my documents were. 11 you've contracted in Florida regarding this matter. 12 at I don't know. 13 MR, FEAMAN. Objection. I think that's 13 Q You sent me -mails? 14 A. Moor my wile. 14 A. Moor my wile. 15 Q. Kay. You don't ramember if you sent me 15 THE COURT: I'll overule that. Good ahead. 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 A I don't recall at this moment. 16 17 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 1 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 | 5 | who I've contacted in Palm Beach County. | 5 | | |
| 8 0 Imsory, let me ask you again because I don't 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that Ive 9 9 think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that Ive 9 10 ourdiscted in Florida regarding this matter. 10 12 A I don't know. 11 13 MR. FEAMAN: Objection. I hink that's 13 14 confidential co. 15 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 15 16 confidential co. 17 17 THE WITNESS: I hink Ive contacted others, say so. 19 21 contacted and who I havent. 20 22 contacted and who I havent. 22 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 22 24 Q Vou contacted between 6 and 12 attomeys to 22 24 25 represent you in this matter? 25 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted whith he information under confidentiality. 7 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted whithe information under confidentiality. | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. | 6 | estates. And I've expressed that to you and told you | |
| 9 thick we were clear. Besides the attorneys that I ve 9 thick we were clear. Sesides the attorneys 10 mentioned, sit, please tell us what other attorneys 10 unless they're asking – and all my documents were 11 you've contacted in Florida regarding this mater. 11 ank/do confidential tail sent you, all my documents were 12 A I don't know. 12 were marked confidential tail sent you, all my documents were 13 MR, FEAMAN. Objection. I think that's 13 Q You sent me e-mails? 14 A Me or my wife. 13 Q You sent me e-mails? 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 15 Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 16 confidential too. 16 fmails? 18 Q In fact, you newer - 10 thow who. I did the hing a list of who I 21 A Yees, sir. 22 Q Do you have any of flose with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q You contacted with his matter? 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q Kay. Ady you've provided those attorneys that you we | 7 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 7 | about the documents, and what I thought about him | |
| 10 unless they're asking - and all my documents were 11 you've contacted in Florida regarding this matter. 11 12 A I dor't know. 12 13 MR.FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's 13 Q. You sent me e-mails? 14 contidential. 14 A. Me orm ywife. 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 15 Q. You sent me e-mails? 16 confidential too. 16 e-mails? 17 A. I don't recail at this moment. 18 Q. In fact, you never - 19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 A. I have sent you e-mails. Yes. I have. 21 contacted and who I haven't. 20 Q. You sent me o-holn Parkauski, e-mails? 22 contacted and who I haven't. 22 Q. O you sent wo. John Parkauski, e-mails? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A. I believe the ones I just sent you lotagy? 23 A. I believe the ones I just sent you vol tody? 23 24 Q. You contacted between 6 and 12 atomeys to 25 Q. Okay. And you've provided those atomys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 16 A. Sorry, your law firm. <td>8</td> <td>Q I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't</td> <td>8</td> <td>working with Spallina and Tescher and all of those</td> <td></td> | 8 | Q I'm sorry, let me ask you again because I don't | 8 | working with Spallina and Tescher and all of those | |
| 11 you've contacted in Florida regarding this matter. 11 marked confidential, that I sent you, all my e-mails 12 A I don't know. 12 were marked confidential, et cetra. 13 13 MR, FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's 13 Q You sent me e-mails? 14 confidential too. 13 Q You sent me e-mails? 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 16 Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 16 confidential too. 17 A I don't know ho. 16 16 confidential too. 17 A I don't recail at this moment. 18 18 So you can answer if you know do thers that you 18 Q How earn you e-mails.? 14 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 20 Q You sent me exer - 19 A I have sent you e-mails.? 21 Lob throw who. I diad'th thing a list of who I 22 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A I believe than those two, did you send me any 2 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that | 9 | think we were clear. Besides the attorneys that I've | 9 | things. So that's stuff I don't normally tell somebody | |
| 12 A don't know. 12 were marked confidential, et celtra. 13 MR, FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's 13 Q You sent me e-mails? 14 A Me orm ywfe. 14 A Me orm ywfe. 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 15 Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 16 confidential, to nor more, the confidential, to confidential, to nor more, the confidential, to nore, the confidential, to nore | 10 | mentioned, sir, please tell us what other attorneys | 10 | unless they're asking and all my documents were | |
| 13 MR. FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's 13 Q. You sent me e-mails? 14 confidential too. 15 G. Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 16 confidential too. 15 G. Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 17 THE COURT: 11 overrule that. Good ahead. 17 A. I don't recail at this moment. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 18 G. Okay. You don't remember if you sent me 19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 A. I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 20 Q. You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? 21 I.don't know who. I.didn't bring a list of who I 22 Q. Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A. I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q. You contacted with the information that you provided 4 tory law firm? 2 A. Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 3 You sent me -mails? 3 A. I believe than those two, did you send me any 2 Q. Ckay. Ant you've provided those atoremys that you've contacted with the inf | 11 | you've contacted in Florida regarding this matter. | 11 | marked confidential that I sent you, all my e-mails | |
| 14 Confidential. 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 16 Confidential to. 17 THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 19 did contact. If not, say so. 20 THE WITNESS: I think tive contacted others, 21 I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 22 Contact and who I havent. 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Q vau contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided those attorneys that 4 A low you've provided those attorneys that 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 Sorny, your law firm. </td <td>12</td> <td>A I don't know.</td> <td>12</td> <td>were marked confidential, et cetera.</td> <td></td> | 12 | A I don't know. | 12 | were marked confidential, et cetera. | |
| 15 THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's 16 confidential too. 17 THE COURT: If overrule that. Good ahead. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 did contact. If not, say so. 20 THE WITNESS: It hink I/ve contacted others, 21 Ldon't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 22 contacted and who I haven't. 23 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Q Kay. And you've provided those attorneys that 30 Ye contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 2 Q Kay. And you've provided those attorneys that 30 Ye contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 2 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 A Sornight opula within is important. 9 A Not all of them. Contly there ones that 9 A Not all of them. Contly theo ones that | 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection. I think that's | 13 | Q You sent me e-mails? | |
| 16 confidential too. 17 THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 19 did contact. If not, say so. 20 THE WITNESS: I think Ive contacted others, 21 I don't know who. I didn't hing a list of who I 22 contacted and who I haven't. 23 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q And which lawyers - 8 A Similar to you. 9 A No I don't mind the badgering. 10 C Kay. Mr. Fearman asked you whether you were 13 A Yes. 14 Q Hava rey ua adverse to your brother Ted? 15 A We have differing interests in the outcomes 16 e-mails ? 17 C Anyou availy used yeave? <td>14</td> <td>confidential.</td> <td>14</td> <td>A Me or my wife.</td> <td></td> | 14 | confidential. | 14 | A Me or my wife. | |
| 17 THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. 17 A I don't recall at this moment. 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 18 Q In fact, you never 19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 A I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 19 A I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. 21 Idon't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 22 contacted and who I haven't. 23 23 BY MR. PANKALJSKI 23 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKALJSKI 23 Q Naw. And you've provided those attorneys that 20 Okay. Other than Tebruary 10th and 20 O Kay. And you've provided those attorneys that 30 26 A Leare this straight now. Your wife Candice 3 Sentime fin? 3 A I believe the ones 1 just sentyou last week, 2 3 Yea And which lawyers 4 A I believe the ones 1 just sentyou last week, 4 to my law fim? 6 A Sorry, your law fim. 7 6 3 A winchin lawyers 6 <t< td=""><td>15</td><td>THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's</td><td>15</td><td>Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me</td><td></td></t<> | 15 | THE WITNESS: And it is. I feel it's | 15 | Q Okay. You don't remember if you sent me | |
| 18 So you can answer if you know of others that you 19 did contact. If not, say so. 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 21 I dort know who. I didn't bring alls of who I 22 contacted and who I havert. 23 BY MR, PANKAUSKI 24 Q. You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 the opsilow ithin and the information that you provided 4 the opsilow ithin and the information that you provided 5 A. Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q. And which lawyers – 8 A. Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q. And which lawyers – 8 A. Similar to you. 9 A. Not all of them. Only the ones that 16 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q. Chay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 14 | 16 | confidential too. | 16 | e-mails? | |
| 19 did contact. If not, say so. 19 A I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 20 Q You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? 21 I don't know who. I don't bring a list of who I 22 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 22 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 25 Possibly. 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 3 A I believe than February 10th and 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 6 A Similar to you. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Kay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 Sorry, your law firm. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman | 17 | THE COURT: I'll overrule that. Good ahead. | 17 | A I don't recall at this moment. | |
| 20 THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, 20 Q You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? 21 I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 22 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 22 Q Do you have any of those with you today? 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Q You you have any of those with you today? 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Okay. Other than February 10th and 20 Q You you have any of those with you today? 23 3 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 4 Q Cost of them. Only the ones that 6 A 5 A Notall of them. You you weether the ones that 8 Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 4 A loan't recall. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So let' | 18 | So you can answer if you know of others that you | 18 | Q In fact, you never | |
| 21 I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I 22 Contacted and who I havent. 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 24 Q. You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 20 Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law fim? 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q. And which lawyers - 8 A. Similar to you. 9 A. I can't recall. 11 Q. Okay. Kne you adverse to your brother Ted; 13 A. Yees. 14 Q. How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 15 A. We have differing interests in the outcomes 16 builtew that there's been fraud in 17 Q. Can you explain what the differing outcomes 18 are? 19 A. Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in 10 THE court: Wait. Nat. Eliot Bernstein – you 18 are? 19 A. Yeah. I believe that there's no we vidence t | 19 | did contact. If not, say so. | 19 | A I have sent you e-mails. Yes, I have. | |
| 22 contacted and who I haven't. 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 25 represent you in this matter? 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 ween't those e-mails? 25 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law fim? 90 92 1 A Possibly. 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law fim? 90 92 1 A Possibly. 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law fim? 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any 2 e-mails? 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted information under confidentiality. 7 A I believe my wife did. 7 Q And which lawyers - 8 8 Sorry, your law firm. 7 8 A Similar to you. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 1 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 12 adverse to your brother Ted? 14 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Ellot, 11 11 12 A Weah and differing interests in the outcomes 18 are? 16 | 20 | THE WITNESS: I think I've contacted others, | 20 | Q You sent me, John Pankauski, e-mails? | |
| 23 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 23 A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, 25 Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 20 1 A Possibly. 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 A I believe my wife did. 3 A I on yiaw firm? 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? 3 A I believe my wife did. 7 Q And which lawyers 8 A Similar to you. 7 9 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yeas. 13 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 15 Go ahead. 16 out of the estate. 17 Q Mr. Panstation - Mr. Eliot Bernstein - you | 21 | I don't know who. I didn't bring a list of who I | 21 | A Yes, sir. | 1 |
| 24 Q You contacted between 6 and 12 attorneys to 24 weren't those e-mails? 25 Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 25 Q Okay. At you've provided those attorneys that 90 92 1 A Possibly. 90 92 1 A Possibly. 90 92 1 A Possibly. 90 92 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 90 92 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 6 requested information under confidentiality. 7 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 1 A Can't recail. 7 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 12 adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? 1 THE COURT: Wait. Stop. Ellot, 11 Haw are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 q Usen you explain what the differing outcomes 16 out of the estate. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes 15 Go ahead. <td>22</td> <td>contacted and who I haven't.</td> <td>22</td> <td>Q Do you have any of those with you today?</td> <td></td> | 22 | contacted and who I haven't. | 22 | Q Do you have any of those with you today? | |
| 25 represent you in this matter? 25 Q Okay. Other than February 10th and 90 92 1 A Possibly. 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 A Ibelieve my wife did. 4 Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 sorry, but his is important. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recail. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 that's not badgering. 12 THE WINTESS: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q | 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 23 | A I believe the ones I just sent you last week, | |
| 90 90 92 1 A Possibly. 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any 2 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 A I believe my wife did. 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 7 Q And which lawyers 8 Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A loant recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 that's not badgering. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 guestion and this will go smoother. 15 A Yes. 16 are? 17 Q Mr. Bernstein - Mr. Eliot Bernstein - you 18 personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an 18 are? 19 A Yesh. I believe that there's been fraud in 19 e-mail to my law firm?< | | | 24 | weren't those e-mails? | |
| 1 A Possibly. 1 February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that 3 A I believe my wife did. 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? 3 A I believe my wife did. 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 requested information under confidentiality. 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, your law firm. 7 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No. I don't mind the badgering. 10 T HE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 that's not badgering. 11 12 adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 13 A Yes. 13 T HE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 < | 25 | represent you in this matter? | 25 | Q Okay. Other than February 10th and | |
| 2 Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that you've contacted with the information that you provided 4 to my law firm? 2 e-mails? 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? 3 A 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 requested information under confidentiality. 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 that's not badgering. 12 adverse to your brother Ted? 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 A We have differing interests in the outcomes 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 17 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes </td <td></td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>92</td> | | 90 | | | 92 |
| 3 you've contacted with the information that you provided 3 A I believe my wife did. 4 to my law firm? 4 Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 requested information under confidentiality. 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 11 that's not badgering. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yes. 13 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 Go ahead. 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 17 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes | 1 | A Possibly. | 1 | February 12th, other than those two, did you send me any | |
| 4 to my law firm? 4 Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice 5 A Not all of them. Only the ones that 5 sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? 6 requested information under confidentiality. 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Ellot, 11 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 11 that's not badgering. 12 adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 A We have differing interests in the outcomes 15 Go ahead. 16 out of the estate. 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI <td>2</td> <td>Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that</td> <td>2</td> <td>e-mails?</td> <td></td> | 2 | Q Okay. And you've provided those attorneys that | 2 | e-mails? | |
| 5A Not all of them. Only the ones that5sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails?6requested information under confidentiality.6A Sorry, your law firm.7QAnd which lawyers7QOkay. So let's get this straight. And I'm8A Similar to you.8sorry, but this is important.9QAnd which lawyers are those?9ANo, I don't mind the badgering.10A I can't recall.10THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Ellot,11QOkay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were11that's not badgering.12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.13A Yes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15A We have differing interests in the outcomes15G oa head.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20A21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And21Q22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22A23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23Q24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. Y | 3 | you've contacted with the information that you provided | 3 | A I believe my wife did. | |
| 6 requested information under confidentiality. 6 A Sorry, your law firm. 7 Q And which lawyers 7 Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 11 that's not badgering. 12 adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 Go ahead. 15 Go ahead. 16 16 out of the estate. 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 17 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes 17 Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you 18 are? 18 personally, not Candice, you personally nev | 4 | to my law firm? | 4 | Q Let's get this straight now. Your wife Candice | |
| 7QAnd which lawyers7QOkay. So let's get this straight. And I'm8ASimilar to you.8sorry, but this is important.9QAnd which lawyers are those?9ANo, I don't mind the badgering.10AI can't recall.10THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot,11QOkay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were11that's not badgering.12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. So rury.13AYes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15AWe have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | 5 | A Not all of them. Only the ones that | 5 | sent me, personally, John Pankauski, e-mails? | |
| 8 A Similar to you. 8 sorry, but this is important. 9 Q And which lawyers are those? 9 A No, I don't mind the badgering. 10 A I can't recall. 10 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot, 11 Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were 11 that's not badgering. 12 adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. 13 A Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight 14 Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted? 14 question and this will go smoother. 15 A We have differing interests in the outcomes 15 Go ahead. 16 out of the estate. 16 BY MR. PANKAUSKI 17 Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes 17 Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you 18 are? 18 personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an 19 A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in 19 e-mail to my law firm? 20 the estate planners and Ted to change 20 A I did. 21 beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And 22 A I don't believe so.< | 6 | requested information under confidentiality. | 6 | A Sorry, your law firm. | |
| 9QAnd which lawyers are those?9ANo, I don't mind the badgering.10AI can't recall.10THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Eliot,11QOkay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were11that's not badgering.12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.13AYes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15AWe have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | 7 | Q And which lawyers | 7 | Q Okay. So let's get this straight. And I'm | |
| 10A I can't recall.10THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Stop. Ellot,11Q Okay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were11that's not badgering.12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.13A Yes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15A We have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes17Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20A I did.21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22A I don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23Q Thank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | 1 | - | | | |
| 11QOkay. Mr. Feaman asked you whether you were11that's not badgering.12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.13AYes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15AWe have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | · · · · | |
| 12adverse to your brother Ted, and you said yes?12THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.13A Yes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14Q How are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15A We have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes17Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20A I did.21beneficiaries in the courts and in criminal authorities. And21Q Other than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And23Q Thank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 13AYes.13THE COURT: Okay. So just answer a straight14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15AWe have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate.I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | ŀ |
| 14QHow are you adverse to your brother Ted?14question and this will go smoother.15AWe have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17Q18are?17QMr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate.I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And23QThank you.24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | 1 | | |
| 15A We have differing interests in the outcomes15Go ahead.16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17Q Can you explain what the differing outcomes17Q Mr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19A Yeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate planners and Ted to change20A I did.21beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21Q Other than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And23QThank you.24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 16out of the estate.16BY MR. PANKAUSKI17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17QMr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?17QMr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 17QCan you explain what the differing outcomes17QMr. Bernstein Mr. Eliot Bernstein you18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | - | | | |
| 18are?18personally, not Candice, you personally never sent an19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 19AYeah. I believe that there's been fraud in19e-mail to my law firm?20the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | 1 | | |
| 20 the estate by the estate planners and Ted to change20AI did.21 beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22 claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23 I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24 documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 21 beneficiaries in the estate. I've asserted those21QOther than February 10th and February 12th?22 claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23 I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24 documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | • | |
| 22claims in the courts and in criminal authorities. And22AI don't believe so.23I believe that there's now evidence that certain23QThank you.24documents were signed postmortem for my father and24Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 23 I believe that there's now evidence that certain23 QThank you.24 documents were signed postmortem for my father and24 Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | |
| 24 documents were signed postmortem for my father and 24 Okay. You've read your dad Simon's will? | | | | | ļ |
| | | | | - | |
| | | | | | |
| | 25 | myseir illegally. Ted brought these attorneys in to | 25 | A YES, SIF. | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

•

| _ | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|--|----|
| | 93 | | | 95 |
| 1 | Q You are not mentioned in the will as a | 1 | A. Do you see that that looks like an e-mail from your | |
| 2 | | | wife Candice? | |
| 3 | A Which will? | 3 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 4 | Q Your dad's will. The one that's before this | 4 | THE COURT: No, that's but that's on | |
| 5 | court, | 5 | February 10th. Is that what you're getting at? | |
| 6 | A I don't believe so. | 6 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, the date doesn't matter. | |
| 7 | Q May I approach the witness with a copy of | 7 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 8 | | 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 9 | THE COURT: You're allowed to do that. | 9 | Q What is mentioned in line item three? | |
| 10 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 10 | A Copies of revised wills, trusts for Simon | |
| 11 | Q Would you like to take a look at it. If you | 11 | Bernstein. | |
| 12 | can just look through your dad, Simon's, will, which I | 12 | Q Thank you. | |
| | just handed to you, can you just confirm, please, that | 13 | A That means it's an amended and restated trust | |
| 1 | you are not a beneficiary under your dad's will? | 14 | of Simon, not the trust of Simon that you asked about, | |
| 15 | | 15 | just for your edification. | |
| | I was told by Spallina and Tescher that I was a | 16 | Q And, in fact, his amended trust is your dad's | |
| 17 | | 17 | - | |
| 18 | Q So, you know, are you a beneficiary under your | 18 | A If you believe what they are saying. | |
| 19 | dad's will that I just handed to you? | 19 | Q So you have seen your dad's trust? | |
| 20 | THE COURT: So the date of the will? | 20 | A No, I've never seen my dad's trust. I've | |
| 21 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, asked and answered. | 21 | seen an amended and restated trust. The original | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. Date of the | 22 | trust, I believe, has me and my two sisters as | |
| 23 | will? | 23 | beneficiary, and Ted and his children wholly excluded | |
| 24 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The date of the will is | 24 | with my sister Pam as the only non-beneficiaries in | |
| 25 | July 20 looks like first 2012. | 25 | this whole thing. | |
| | 94 | | | 96 |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. Next question. | 1 | Q You earlier testified that you sent an e-mail | |
| 2 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | · · | - | |
| 3 | Q And you are not a beneficiary of your father, | 3 | testimony? | |
| 4 | | 4 | A I believe it was my wife sent an e-mail to | |
| 5 | A I've never seen that. That's been withheld | 1 | your firm. | |
| _ | and suppressed and denied by former counsel | 6 | Q Yes. But I'd like to correct that. | |
| 7 | THE COURT: So is the answer I don't know? | 7 | A Okay | |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: No, it's I've never seen it. | 8 | Q Your wife Candice sent an e-mail to my | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. Straight answer, we'll | 9 | assistant, not to me? | |
| 10 | move through this. | 10 | A Correct. | |
| 11 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 11 | Q And my assistant followed up with Candice by | |
| 12 | Q Your testimony is you've never seen your dad, | | e-mail? | |
| | Simon's, revocable trust? | 13 | A Well, actually, you requested that your | |
| 14 | A That's correct. | | assistant get the documents for your meeting with me. | |
| 15 | Q Do you have Exhibit A in front of you that | | That's how I recall it. Candice came and asked me, and | |
| | Mr. Feaman asked you about earlier? | | we sent you the information to your assistant for your | |
| 17 | A No. | 17 | review for our meeting because you were in California | |
| 18 | Q And Exhibit A was attached to the verified | | or something. | |
| 1 | motion filed by Mr. Stansbury? | 19 | Q Let's be clear. I've never spoken to your wife | |
| 19 | | | Candice? | |
| 19 20 | A No. | 20 | | |
| ł | | 20 21 | A Correct. | |
| 20 | A No. Q Okay. May I approach the witness? THE COURT: Yeah. | | A Correct. | |
| 20 21 22 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? | 21 | A Correct. Q I have never asked Candice for any documents? | |
| 20 21 22 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? THE COURT: Yeah. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 21 22 23 | A Correct.Q I have never asked Candice for any documents?A Except your assistant asked Candice for | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? THE COURT: Yeah. | 21 22 23 | A Correct. Q I have never asked Candice for any documents? | |

| | | 97 | 9 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | conversation with me for an hour or so. Do you remember | | 1 read that, Mr. Bernstein? |
| 2 | that testimony? | | 2 A Mr. Pankauski |
| 3 | A Yeah. And I believe it was two conversations | | 3 Q No, I'm sorry, I meant just read it to |
| 4 | I had with you total. | | 4 yourself, so |
| 5 | Q Now, it's two conversations? | | 5 A All right. |
| 6 | A Yeah. You called me back to tell me you had | | 6 MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm sorry, I don't. I |
| 7 | found a way to pay for your bill. | | 7 should, but I don't. If you'd like to come over |
| 8 | Q And when were those two conversations? | | 8 here, you're more than welcome to look at it with |
| 9 | A September something. I don't have it in | | 9 me. |
| 10 | front of me today. I can check my calendar. | · · | 10 MR. FEAMAN: May I approach the witness? |
| 11 | Q Do you have your calendar with you? | · / | 11 THE COURT: You may. |
| 12 | A I don't. | | 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI |
| 13 | Q Okay. And how far apart were those two | 1 | 13 Q Do you see about in your e-mail one, two, |
| 14 | conversations? | . [| 14 three, four, five, six bless you, Mr. Rose seven |
| 15 | A Shortly thereafter, I believe. | 1 | 15 lines up from the bottom? |
| 16 | Q And they were in the evening, right? | 1 | 16 A Correct. |
| 17 | A I believe. | 1 | 17 Q You see that as of February 10th, |
| 18 | Q Both of them were? | | 18 Mr. Bernstein, your story was that I proposed a retainer |
| 19 | A I believe. | | 19 of \$200,000? |
| 20 | Q And you said the first one lasted an hour or | 2 | 20 A Correct. |
| 21 | so. Do you recall how long this supposed second | 2 | 21 Q Okay. So let me go on from there. You were |
| 22 | conversation lasted? | 2 | 22 asked whether you had whether you discussed |
| 23 | A I believe it was rather brief. | 2 | 23 confidential information to me, and you said yes? |
| 24 | Q Less than five minutes? | 2 | 24 A Correct. |
| 25 | A Maybe more. | 2 | 25 Q And you said that it involved forgery and |
| | | 98 | 10 |
| 1 | Q And I asked you for your belief is that I | | 1 Tescher and Spallina, correct? |
| 2 | asked you for a \$200,000 retainer? | | 2 A Yes. |
| 3 | A No. My belief | | 3 Q Any other confidential information? |
| 4 | THE COURT: No. No. Wait. Next question. | | 4 A Yeah, all kinds of stuff. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | | 5 Q Okay. |
| 6 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 6 A We talked about in the course of our |
| 7 | Q Isn't it your belief that strike that. | | 7 conversation about you representing us. |
| 8 | What's your understanding of how much I asked for a | | 8 Q Well, please tell us what that is. |
| | retainer? | | 9 A You know, I believe we spoke mainly about the |
| 10 | A I don't recall the exact amount for the | 1 | 10 problems in the estate with the forgeries and the |
| 11 | retainer. | 1 | 1 notary public, the police investigations that we were |
| | THE COURT: Then stop. That's your answer. | | |
| 12 | | 1 | |
| | | | 2 launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe |
| 13 | Next question. | 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believewe talked about the various aspects of our legal |
| 14 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 | 12 launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe 13 we talked about the various aspects of our legal 14 strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et |
| 13 14 15 | Next question. | 1 1 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. |
| 13 14 15 16 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. | 1 1 1 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is | 1 1 1 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, | 1 1 1 1 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, correct? | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, correct? A Correct. | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, correct? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, correct? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of that? | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial strategy with you about suing your brother Ted? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Next question. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You sent me an e-mail on February 10th? A Correct. Q Okay. May I approach the witness. And this is a copy of the February 10th e-mail that you sent to me, correct? A Correct. MR. FEAMAN: Do you have another copy of | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | launching against Ted, Tescher, et cetera. I believe we talked about the various aspects of our legal strategy in, you know, against the estates and Ted, et cetera, and were looking to retain you. Q Is your testimony that you and I had a conversation about a legal strategy against the estate? A Against yes, against the estates, and the people in charge, Tescher, Spallina, the personal representatives, getting rid of them, et cetera. Q And is it your testimony that I discussed trial |

.

| 1 | | | | 1 |
|---|--|---|--|-----|
| | | 101 | | 10: |
| 1 | Ted's interest in your dad's estate? | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 2 | A In all the estates. | 2 | Q So you and I did discuss malpractice against | |
| 3 | Q Okay. | 3 | Mr. Tescher? | |
| 4 | A That I told you I believe these documents of | 4 | A Correct. | |
| 5 | 2012 were forged and fraudulent and that we had | 5 | Q Okay. When I asked you about confidential | |
| 6 | evidence, you know, I went into all that. | 6 | | |
| 7 | Q Sir, do we agree Ted is not a beneficiary of | 7 | criminal inquiries, you were talking about some | |
| 8 | your dad's estate and that there would be nothing to | 8 | forgeries. You and I discussed a postdated or backdated | |
| 9 | remove him from? | 9 | notary clause? | |
| 10 | A It's his children, excuse me. | 10 | A No. | |
| 11 | Q Isn't it true that you spoke to me about filing | 11 | Q We didn't discuss a notary clause that was | |
| 12 | a malpractice action? | 12 | presented to this court whose notary seal was improper? | |
| 13 | A Excuse me, let me correct that. I did want | 13 | A Not only the notary seal, but the signatures. | |
| 14 | you to remove Ted. Because Ted was representing that | 14 | Q Okay. So forgive me. You and I had a | |
| 15 | he was trustee of this trust of my father's. And I | 15 | discussion about a deficient notary clause, correct? | |
| 16 | expressed to you that he hadn't sent out the proper | 16 | A A forgery and deficient notary on a forged | |
| 17 | forms. He hadn't followed any of the rules. And that | 17 | | |
| 18 | he was acting in bad faith as an alleged fiduciary | 18 | Q Correct. And when you spoke with me in | |
| 19 | under alleged documents. | 19 | September of 2013, the notary clause information was | |
| 20 | Q You spoke to me about a potential malpractice | 20 | already before this court? | |
| 21 | action against Don Tescher? | 21 | A Part of it. | |
| 22 | A That was only a small part. | 22 | Q Yeah, it was public information? | |
| 23 | Q In fact, you told me that you | 23 | A Some of it. | |
| 24 | A Excuse me, in fact, you are the one we | 24 | Q And the criminal matters that you're talking | |
| 25 | just told you that you should fund your bill from | 25 | about, those were there was already an ongoing | |
| | | 102 | | 104 |
| 1 | Kimberly Moran's forgery and fraud, which Mr. Tescher | 1 | investigation by the time you and I chatted in September | |
| | and Spallina were responsible under Florida law for the | | of 2013? | |
| 3 | | | | |
| | acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery | | | |
| | acts of their notary who committed postmortem forgery of my father's signature, et cetera. | 3 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about | |
| 4 | of my father's signature, et cetera. | 3 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. | |
| 4 5 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a | 3 4 5 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? | |
| 4 5 6 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? | 3 4 5 6 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations | |
| 4 5 6 7 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? | 3 4 5 6 7 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. | |
| 4 5 7 8 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 7 18 9 20 21 22 22 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 21 22 23 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the malpractice, I said that case against Tescher and | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that I'm you might be exposing that stuff. | |
| 4 5 7 8 | of my father's signature, et cetera. Q You told me that you had been looking for a lawyer to sue Mr. Tescher, but you couldn't find one? A Did I? Q Well, that's my question to you. A Oh, that was a statement. THE COURT: He asked you the question. You can answer. THE WITNESS: What was the how BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You told me that you were trying to find an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? A No. Q You didn't tell me that you were looking for an attorney to sue Don Tescher for malpractice? What did you tell me about the malpractice? A Well, you contacted me and said THE COURT: Listen to the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. In regards to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A And I don't know if anybody else knew about that, et cetera. Q Is that a yes? A Yes. There were several investigations going. THE COURT: Try not to volunteer, Mr. Bernstein. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q The matters that you spoke to me about in September of 2013, you had spoken to you had spoken about with other individuals? A I had. Q And, in fact, most of that information was public record because much of it was going on right here in this estate proceeding? A No. Q What wasn't a public record? A I don't want to disclose it. I mean, it was confidential information I gave you at the time. That's I still feel it's confidential and feel that | |

| | . 1 | 05 | | 107 |
|---|--|---|--|-----|
| 1 | discussing in this case. | 1 | Bernstein will 2008, Simon Bernstein | |
| 2 | Q What information about the documents? | 2 | MR. FEAMAN: Got to go slower because the | |
| 3 | A I don't want to | 3 | court reporter | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. He's objecting. | 4 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. Okay. Shirley | |
| 5 | Sustained. | 5 | Bernstein trust, 2008. Shirley Bernstein will, | |
| 6 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 6 | 2008. Simon Bernstein amended trust, 2012. Simon | |
| 7 | Q The February 10th e-mail from Candice to me | 7 | Bernstein will, 2012. Waivers unnotarized and | |
| 8 | that's in front of you. | 8 | notarized, transcripts part 2, 2013, 09/13, | |
| 9 | A Uh-huh. | 9 | Bernstein Holdings, LLC, 2008, last pages, | |
| 10 | Q You would agree that those documents, 1 through | 10 | Bernstein Holdings, LLC, Shirley Bernstein | |
| 11 | 4, are the only documents that Candice provided to my law | 11 | petition for discharge. | |
| 12 | firm? | 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, form. | 13 | Q Bernstein, LLC, you were not a member of that | |
| 14 | Mischaracterizes the date of the e-mail. | 14 | entity, correct? | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Is it February 12th? | 15 | A I don't know. | |
| 16 | MR. FEAMAN: It's September 20th September | 16 | Q Okay. So those are the | |
| 17 | 19th. | 17 | A I believe I am a member. | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 18 | Q Okay. So those are all the documents that | |
| 19 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 19 | Candice provided to my law firm? | |
| 20 | Q Thank you. I'm not even close. Thank you. | 20 | A I believe so. | |
| 21 | The e-mail that I handed you, the | 21 | Q Okay. And you did not provide any other | |
| 22 | September 20, 2013 e-mail. | 22 | documents to my law firm? | |
| 23 | THE COURT: September 19th. | 23 | A I do not believe so. | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | |
| 24 | | 24 | Q So all the documents that were provided to my | |
| 24 25 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | | a So all the documents that are either public documents law firm were documents that are either public documents | |
| | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | | | |
| | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | 25 06 | | |
| 25 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 | 25 06 | law firm were documents that are either public documents | |
| 25 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 10 A It's not in front of me. | 25 06 1 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 10 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it | 25 06 1 2 3 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is | 25 06 1 2 3 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? | |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. | |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 | |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | law firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | <pre>aw firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct?</pre> | 10 |
| 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Thank you. September 19, 2013 A It's not in front of me. Q I'm sorry. Is it THE COURT: That's the Exhibit A that is attached to the motion. MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Judge. May I approach the witness? THE WITNESS: But I don't have that. THE COURT: Okay. He'll give you a copy. Go ahead. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Okay. Mr. Bernstein, so I'm handing you what is Composite Exhibit A, the first page of that, and that's attached to the verified motion of Mr. Stansbury. That's the e-mail that you previously identified sent to my law firm from your wife, Candice, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And you would agree that the documents listed 1 through 4 are the documents that Candice provided to my law firm? A No. Q Okay. What documents did Candice provide to this law firm? | 25 06 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | <pre>aw firm were documents that are either public documents or which have been filed with this court? A No. Q Okay. What's not a public document from that list? A Bernstein, LLC stuff. Q And where did you obtain those documents? A I don't recall. Q You obtained them from Tescher and Spallina, didn't you? A I don't think so. I didn't. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach and get that? Thanks. Your Honor, I'd like to move Composite Exhibit A into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Okay. That's the February 19 letter? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: From Michelle Morley to Candice, correct? MR. PANKAUSKI: Forgive me, it's the Monday,</pre> | |

| | | 109 | · · · · | 111 |
|--|---|------|---|-----|
| 1 | how it was transmitted, but it's the September 19, | | 1 lawyers and you are not a client? | |
| 2 | 2013 e-mail from Michelle Morley to | | 2 A Yeah. | |
| 3 | Mrs. Bernstein. And then the follow-up e-mail | | 3 Q You received this letter from my office within | |
| 4 | from Mrs. Bernstein. | | 4 a day or two of September 24, 2013? | |
| 5 | THE COURT: September 19 e-mail from Michelle | 1 | 5 A I never got it. | |
| 6 | to Candice is 1. | | 6 Q Okay. | |
| 7 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 1 was marked in | | 7 A I've never seen that letter in my life. | |
| 8 | evidence) | | 8 How did you send it? | |
| 9 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | | 9 MR. FEAMAN: You don't get to ask questions. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: Number 2 will be the | | 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. | |
| 11 | September 20, 2013 e-mail from Candice to | | Can I show that to my wife? | |
| 12 | Michelle. | | 12 BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 13 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 2 was marked in | | I3 Q Mr. Stansbury's counsel, in his opening | |
| 14 | evidence) | | 4 statement, said that a relationship was formed between | |
| 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | | 5 you and me. You have never signed a legal services | |
| 16 | THE COURT: So I'll need stamps on them | - · | 16 contract with my law firm? | |
| 17 | eventually. | ŀ | I7 A Correct. | |
| 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | · | 8 Q You've never provided an initial fee or | |
| 19 | THE COURT: I have them here. | · | 9 retainer to my law firm? | |
| 20 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | : | 20 A Correct. | |
| 21 | Q Do you remember when Mr. Stansbury's counsel | | MR. FEAMAN: We'll stipulate, Your Honor, | |
| 22 | questioned you about you receiving a letter from | | 2 there is | |
| 23 | Pankauski saying there was no representation? | | THE COURT: Stipulation accepted. Got it. | |
| 24 | A What do you mean? | | 24 MR. FEAMAN: no formal relationship. | |
| 25 | Q I'm just asking you if you remember that | 12 | 5 BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | 110 | 1 O The documents that's on Exhibit 1 that Candice | 112 |
| | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a | 10 | 1 Q The documents that's on Exhibit 1 that Candice 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained | 112 |
| 2 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained | 112 |
| 23 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained3 from Tescher and Spallina? | 112 |
| 2 3 4 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you | 110 | sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained from Tescher and Spallina? A No. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? | 112 |
| 2 3 4 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? | 110 | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? A I do. | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? A I do. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? A I do. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it references a malpractice action? | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it references a malpractice action? A Yeah. | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I 10 think you need to say how you got the documents. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it references a malpractice action? A Yeah. Q And do you see in the first sentence | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I 10 think you need to say how you got the documents. 1 THE WITNESS: She transmitted them to me. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? A I do. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it references a malpractice action? A Yeah. Q And do you see in the first sentence A Yeah. | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I 10 think you need to say how you got the documents. 1 THE WITNESS: She transmitted them to me. 2 THE COURT: All right. | 112 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. Stansbury's counsel asked you did you ever receive a letter from Pankauski saying I don't represent you? A Correct. Q And you replied in the negative. You said you never received the letter? A Correct. MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q Mr. Bernstein, be kind enough to look at the one-page document that I handed you. Do you see that it is a September 24, 2013 letter addressed to you at your home address? A Allegedly. I've never seen it. Q And do you see that it's from my law firm? A I do. Q And do you see in the third paragraph it references a malpractice action? A Yeah. Q Excuse me, do you see in the second paragraph | | 2 sent to my law firm were documents which you obtained 3 from Tescher and Spallina? 4 A No. 5 Q Who did you obtain those from? 6 A Christine Yates. 7 Q And who's Christine Yates? 8 A She's an attorney at Tripp Scott law firm. 9 Q Okay. And how did you get those documents on 0 Exhibit 1 from the Tripp Scott law firm? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Objection, attorney-client 2 privilege. 3 THE COURT: I don't know that there is one. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll object on that 5 ground, too. 6 THE COURT: Well 7 THE WITNESS: That means she is my attorney 8 for me and my children. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule. I 10 think you need to say how you got the documents. 11 THE WITNESS: She transmitted them to me. 12 THE COURT: All right. 3 BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 112 |

| | | 113 | | | 115 |
|--|--|-----|---|---|-----|
| 1 | Q Okay. So you also hired Tripp Scott to | | 1 | MR. FEAMAN: I have a relevancy objection to | |
| 2 | represent you regarding your mom and dad's estate? | | 2 | | |
| 3 | A I hired them to represent us, my children and | | 3 | | |
| 4 | I. And then I had to split it out to just my children | | 4 | | |
| 5 | because of the conflicts. | | 5 | | |
| 6 | Q Tripp Scott still does not represent you? | | 6 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | i |
| 7 | A No, they don't now. | | 7 | Q So Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that I've | |
| 8 | Q Because you can't pay them? | | 8 | handed you a 74-page e-mail? | |
| 9 | A Partially. | | 9 | | |
| 10 | Q And Brandon Pratt doesn't represent you because | | 10 | Q And that was an e-mail that you prepared? | |
| 11 | you can't pay him? | | 11 | A I'd have to read it all and check that | |
| 12 | A No. | | 12 | nothing has been changed. But | |
| 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | | 13 | | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. | | 14 | | |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I actually overpaid him. | | 15 | Q I'm sorry? | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Don't do that. Eliot, you know | | 16 | | |
| 17 | the rules. | | 17 | have to check. There's been a lot of document | |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir. | | | tampering going on, so I'm not going to attest to it a | |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: May I approach the witness, | | | hundred percent. I haven't had time to review it. | |
| 20 | Your Honor? | | 20 | Q Okay. Would you turn to Page 2, please? | |
| 21 | THE COURT: May you what? | | 21 | A Yes. | [|
| 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Approach the witness. | | 22 | Q And I'm directing this question to you about | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sure. You don't have to ask me. | | 23 | your claim that you shared confidential information with | |
| 24 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 24 | my firm. So please keep that in mind when I ask you | |
| 25 | Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a | | | these questions. On Page 2 excuse me. Go back to | |
| 1 | composite exhibit, a number of documents forgive me, | 114 | 1 | Page 1 for a moment. In this e-mail you not only sent it | 116 |
| | it's not a composite document. It's one e-mail. And | | | age the a moment. In this c man you not only contric | |
| | | | 2 | to Ted Bernstein, but you sent it to everybody after the | |
| | | | | to Ted Bernstein, but you sent it to everybody after the to T-O colon correct? | |
| | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you | | 3. | to, T-O, colon, correct? | |
| 4 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? | i | 3. 4 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? | |
| 4 5 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before | | 3. 4 5 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, | |
| 4 5 6 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 | | 3 4 5 6 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. | |
| 4 5 6 7 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is | | 3. 4 5 6 7 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. | |
| 4 5 6 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 | | 3 4 5 6 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. | | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in | | 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the | | 3. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp? | | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: I need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence. | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Mr. Bernstein, you recognize that as an e-mail that you sent to Ted Bernstein and a number of other people? THE COURT: Okay. Can I ask one thing before you do. You were talking about a September 24 letter that wasn't introduced into evidence, is that I think that you were short copies of that. MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. The September 24, 2013 letter, I'd like to move into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection. MR. FEAMAN: No objection. THE COURT: All right, number 3. I'll give you these things to stamp, here's one, two and you'll have three. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 3 was marked in evidence) MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. May I grab the stamp? MR. FEAMAN: I do. | | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to, T-O, colon, correct? A Is the date missing on that? MR. FEAMAN: That's my objection; improper, lack of foundation. Wait. Excuse me. THE WITNESS: Sorry. THE COURT: Let me see it. MR. FEAMAN: My objection is lack of predicate, foundation. THE COURT: 1 need to see it, so. This is I.D. Number 4. Are you moving this into evidence? Because you need to do that if you're going to refer to it. MR. PANKAUSKI: Most probably. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Feaman, Eliot Bernstein identified this as his e-mail. He just said he didn't have a chance to look at all the pages to make sure there weren't any documents that were snuck in, in essence. THE WITNESS: Without the date, I would say | |

ſ

| | | 117 | | 1 [,] | 19 |
|----|---|-----|----|---|----|
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. | | 1 | 1 give the weight I give it, I'm not sure. If | |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: Without a date, Your Honor, you | | 2 | 2 there is an issue about when it was sent. So do | |
| 3 | can't connect confidential he's offering it for | | 3 | 3 you remember when you sent this e-mail? | |
| 4 | the purpose that somehow it was | | 4 | 4 THE WITNESS: Looks like maybe shortly after | |
| 5 | THE COURT: First thing is to identify it. I | | 5 | 5 December 26 in response to letters from Tescher | |
| 6 | haven't determined more than that right now. So | | 6 | 6 and Spallina that are attached. | |
| 7 | this is it's being shown to Eliot Bernstein, | | 7 | 7 THE COURT: Of what year? | |
| 8 | purportedly, to be an e-mail from him to others. | | 8 | 8 THE WITNESS: 2013. | |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Correct. | | 9 | 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So objection | |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Well, now that it's missing the | | 10 | | |
| 11 | date, I would say it's not my e-mail. | | 11 | 11 (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 4 was marked in | |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So are you sure you want | | 12 | 12 evidence) | ļ |
| 13 | me to believe that part of your testimony? Listen | | 13 | - | |
| 14 | to me carefully. Because if I don't believe it, | | 14 | | |
| 15 | I'm likely not to believe anything else you say. | | 15 | 15 THE COURT: All right. | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll believe it. | | 16 | IG MR. PANKAUSKI: I'll stamp it. | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Look at the e-mail. Let's not | | 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 18 | play games with me. | | 18 | 8 BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I'm not. | | 19 | 9 Q Mr. Bernstein, would you be good enough to turn | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Well, that was a game playing | | 20 | 20 to Page 2, please? | |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Well, I notice right off the | | 21 | 21 A Yes, sir. | |
| 22 | bat my normal stamp on my e-mails isn't here. | | 22 | 2 Q And so you see on Page 2 that in this | |
| 23 | That scared me. So I said | | 23 | 23 communication to all these people, this e-mail? | |
| 24 | THE COURT: So is I-V-I-E-W-I-T | | 24 | 24 A Yes, sir. | |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. That's all good. | | 25 | 25 Q You're discussing forgery and fraud? | |
| | | 118 | | 12 | 20 |
| 1 | THE COURT: I mean, that's you, right? I | | 1 | 1 A Yes, sir. | |
| 2 | mean, if we go ahead and pull your hard drive, | | 2 | 2 Q And you're discussing wills and trusts of | |
| 3 | will we find this e-mail? | | 3 | 3 Simon's estate, correct? | |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No. No. We can go on that | | 4 | 4 A Well, this is all after our conversation by a | |
| 5 | assumption. | | 5 | 5 long time, I believe. | |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. All | | 6 | 6 Q Is that a yes? | |
| 7 | right. But I don't know the date of it, and you | | 7 | 7 A Yes. | |
| 8 | can ask questions about that. But the subject is | | 8 | 8 Q And you're discussing a power of appointment, | |
| 9 | response to Ted and Donald letters, re, emergency | | 9 | 9 right? | |
| 10 | distributions. And then there's a whole bunch of | | 10 | 0 A Yes. | |
| 11 | other things there. Okay. And then there's some | | 11 | 1 Q And you're talking about grandchildren and | |
| 12 | other dates that are in the body of this exhibit. | | 12 | | |
| 13 | So Mr. Feaman, your objection is what? | | 13 | | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Without an establishment of a | | 14 | | |
| 15 | date on the e-mail it has no probative value as to | | 15 | - | |
| 16 | whether the communications that Eliot made with | | 16 | | |
| 17 | Mr. Pankauski in September were confidential or | | 17 | • • • | |
| 18 | not. | | 18 | | |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. So let me think about | | 19 | | |
| 20 | that. I'm looking here at the documents and | | 20 | - | |
| 21 | they that are contained in this e-mail and | | 21 | , | [|
| 22 | there are a bunch of dates there. I see 2012, | | 22 | | |
| 23 | 2013 dates, court proceedings before me at some | | 23 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 24 | point in 2013. And so admissibility versus | | 24 | 24 attorneys? | |
| | and the state of the second | | 05 | | |
| 25 | weight it's admissible. I mean, I may have to | | 25 | 25 A Yes, sir. | |

Γ

•

| <u> </u> | | | | | 20 |
|----------|---|-----|----------------|---|-----|
| | | 121 | | | 123 |
| 1 | Q Okay. | | 1 | done this before and you're experienced in this. | |
| 2 | THE COURT: I need the Exhibit 4 so I can see | | 2 | I've warned you | |
| 3 | it. As well as the other exhibits if you've | | 3 | THE WITNESS: I have never done it. | |
| 4 | stamped them. | | 4 | THE COURT: Listen to the question. Okay. | |
| 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, I think we did. I'll | | 5 | You don't understand the question, ask that it be | |
| 6 | complete them before we leave. | | 6 | repeated. Mr. Feaman is a really good trial | |
| 7 | Thank you. | | 7 | lawyer. He's not objecting. That means it's a | |
| 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 8 | proper question. And limit your answer to the | |
| 9 | Q Mr. Bernstein, just a couple questions about | | 9 | question. But when you do a narrative, I block it | |
| 10 | your interest in this estate of your father. You | 1 | 0 | out. I don't pay attention to anything you're | |
| 11 | mentioned that I believe you testified that you | 1 | 1 | saying. You are not helping your cause. You're | |
| 12 | believe you inherit from your dad Simon's estate, is that | 1 | 2 | hurting yourself. | |
| 13 | accurate. | 1 | 3 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, it's my first time | |
| 14 | A Ido. | 1 | 4 | ever being | |
| 15 | Q Okay. And you don't want to have Ted be the | 1 | 5 | THE COURT: So it's your first time wanting | |
| 16 | personal representative of the curator because your | 1 | 6 | to hurt yourself. | |
| 17 | interests are adverse to Ted's? | 1 | 7 | THE WITNESS: No, now that you've explained | |
| 18 | A And because Ted's been involved in a lot of | 1 | 8 | it | |
| 19 | confidential information, I discussed with you on the | 1 | 9 | THE COURT: So your answer is stricken. If | |
| 20 | phone. | 2 | 20 | the reporter will read back the question, we can | |
| 21 | Q The truth is, is that you've asked Ted for | 2 | 21 | get a clean answer. And don't give a rambling | |
| 22 | money to live on and Ted won't give you that money? | 2 | 2 | narrative, please. | |
| 23 | A That's your interpretation. | 2 | 3 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I apologize. | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Listen to the question. Try to | 2 | 4 | (Record read) | |
| 25 | answer it. | 2 | 25 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. | |
| | | 122 | | | 124 |
| 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 2 | Q Yes or no? Is that a yes? | | 2 | Q And Ted's refused to? | |
| 3 | A I have been forced to ask Ted, yes. | | 3 | A No. | |
| 4 | Q You've asked Ted to pay your the expenses of | | 4 | Q Okay. You've asked your brother Ted to pay | |
| 5 | your residence? | | ر 5 | your children's tuition? | |
| 6 | A What happened was | | 6 | A I've asked him to pay the expenses of | |
| 7 | THE COURT: No. No. Listen, Stop. Stop. | | 7 | Bernstein Family Realty and the welfare | |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. | | 8 | THE COURT: No. No. See, he didn't ask you | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Listen. Your question has to be | | 9 | about that. | |
| 10 | narrow to the your answer has to be narrow to | 1 | 0 | MR. FEAMAN: Wait. I have to object to the | |
| 11 | the | 1 | 1 | form. And it doesn't define Ted in what capacity. | |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: I was directed to Ted to pay | 1 | 2 | THE COURT: I don't know that I need a | |
| 13 | those bills. | 1 | 3 | capacity for that question. It's a little | |
| 14 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 | 4 | different type of question. So the objection is | |
| 15 | Q And Ted has refused? | 1 | 5 | overruled. But, again, Eliot, listen to the | |
| 16 | A Ted has denied that Janet Craig at | | 6 | question. Answer it as asked. | |
| | Oppenheimer directed that he volunteer to pay the | | 7 | Go ahead. Let's read it back. | |
| | bills. And I was supposed to deal with Ted only, since | | 8 | (Record read) | |
| | she had he had volunteered to become manager of a | 1 | | THE WITNESS: Yes. | |
| | company which he didn't have legal rights to and she | | | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 21 | didn't have the | 2 | | Q You are not currently employed? | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Stop. Stop. | 2 | | A No, I am currently employed. | |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor. | 2 | | Q Where are you employed? | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Your answer is stricken. So, | 2 | | MR. FEAMAN: Relevancy. | |
| 25 | Eliot, here's the last you know, I mean, you've | 2 | | THE COURT: Yeah, tell me the relevancy. | |
| | , | 1 | - | | |

| | 125 | | | 127 |
|--|--|---|---|-----|
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Impeachment. | 1 | Q is that a no? | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Impeachment of what? | 2 | | |
| 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: This isn't about his brother | 3 | | |
| 4 | not serving in a fiduciary capacity. It's about | 4 | Bernstein to ask you to stop blogging about this case? | |
| 5 | his wanting for money. He's unemployed. He keeps | 5 | | |
| 6 | asking for money. | 6 | | |
| 7 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection. Move to strike. | 7 | | |
| 8 | THE COURT: Okay. So the objection to that | 8 | | |
| 9 | last question is sustained. | 9 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | |
| 10 | BY MR, PANKAUSKI | 10 | Q Were you contacted by a firm on behalf of Ted | |
| 111 | Q You are blogging and speaking publicly about | 11 | | |
| | the issues that you communicated to my law firm? | | case? | |
| 13 | A Anything public I communicate to people who | 13 | A I do not believe so. | |
| 14 | are watching the public record. | 14 | Q You have seen this document that's in front of | |
| 15 | Q Is that a yes? | 15 | you before? | |
| 16 | A Ask the question again, please. | 16 | A No. | |
| 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Sure. The court reporter, if | 17 | Q It's your testimony that you have never seen | |
| 18 | you could read it back, please. | | the document that I just placed in front of you before, | |
| 19 | (Record read) | | ever? | |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 20 | A No. | |
| 21 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 21 | THE COURT: Wait. But, no, I'm not sure your | |
| 22 | Q Okay. May I approach the witness? | 22 | testimony is you have seen it before, or you | |
| 23 | THE COURT: You may. | 23 | haven't? | |
| | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 24 | THE WITNESS: I've never seen this document | |
| 25 | Q Mr. Bernstein, I'm going to hand you a | 25 | before. | |
| - | 126 | + | | 128 |
| | 120 | | | |
| | | | | 120 |
| 1 | document would you like a copy? | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. | 120 |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. | 1 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 120 |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. | 1 2 3 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? | 120 |
| 2 3 4 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? | 1 2 3 4 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. | |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? | 1 2 3 4 5 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted beseeching you, asking you to stop blogging about this | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about Judge Colin. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted beseeching you, asking you to stop blogging about this case. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about Judge Colin. A I've provided information | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. FEAMAN: If you have one. Thank you. MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: This will be Number 5? MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification) BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q This is a blog about Ted Bernstein? A Looks like it. Q And Judge Colin? A Yeah. Q And Don Tescher? A Yeah. Q This is a blog that you published on the Internet? A Incorrect. Q Who published this blog? A Looks like investigative blogger Crystal Cox. Q You were contacted by your brother Ted beseeching you, asking you to stop blogging about this | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI Q You know a blogger known as Crystal Cox? A I do. Q And you provide Crystal Cox with information about this case? A About several cases. Q In fact, the blog that's in front of you, the document that I just handed you, that is the product of information which you provided to blogger Crystal Cox? A I have no idea. She could have got it anywhere. Most of it's public information, looks like to me. I can take a look. Q I didn't ask you where about the source. What I'm trying to tell trying to ask you is that the information that Crystal Cox blogged on, that's in front of you, came from information which you provided to Crystal Cox? A No. Q It didn't? A Not that I know of. Q You have provided Crystal Cox information about Judge Colin. | |

| | 129 | | | 131 |
|----|--|----|---|-----|
| 1 | THE COURT: What's wrong with the form? | 1 | Q Okay. And was Mr. Ted Bernstein subsequently | |
| 2 | MR, FEAMAN: It's a statement, You have | 2 | | |
| 3 | provided information. You have to ask a question. | | Bernstein's estate after you spoke to him? | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do it in the form of a question. | 4 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 5 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 5 | Q Do you still wish to remove Ted Bernstein as | |
| 6 | Q Have you provided information to Crystal Cox | 6 | personal representative of the estate of Shirley | |
| | about Judge Colin? | 7 | | |
| 8 | A I believe so. | 8 | A Yes, sir. | |
| 9 | Q About this estate? | 9 | Q Do you wish to remove him as trustee of in | |
| 10 | A believe so. | 10 | | |
| 11 | Q About your mother and father's wills and | 11 | A Yes, sir. | |
| | trusts? | 12 | Q Okay. Did you discuss that with Mr. Pankauski? | |
| 13 | A don't recall. | 13 | A Yes. | |
| | | 13 | | |
| 14 | Q About Ted Bernstein? A Yes. | 14 | MR. FEAMAN: No further questions. THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 15 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 16 | Q And after you provided this information to | 16 | All right. Thanks. You can step down, | |
| 17 | | 17 | Eliot. | |
| 18 | A Okay. | 18 | MR. FEAMAN: The e-mails are in, so I | |
| 19 | Q That's a yes? | 19 | THE COURT: One through 4 is in. | |
| 20 | A That was a statement, wasn't it? | 20 | MR. FEAMAN: So there is no need for me to | |
| 21 | Q Okay. No, that was a leading question. | 21 | call Candice Bernstein to authenticate them. | |
| 22 | A Okay. | 22 | THE COURT: They are in evidence. | |
| 23 | Q Do you need it read back to you? | 23 | Next witness. | |
| 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection to the form. | 24 | MR. FEAMAN: No other witnesses. | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski, you're | |
| | 130 | | | 132 |
| 1 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Could you please read it | 1 | first. | |
| 2 | back, Mr. Reporter. | 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm going to testify, | |
| 3 | (Record read) | 3 | Mr. Rose is going to examine me. | |
| 4 | BY MR. PANKAUSKI | 4 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 5 | | 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | |
| 6 | Q Okay. It was a yes or no question.A It was? Okay. Appears she blogged about | 6 | MR. ROSE: Your Honor, is it okay if I | |
| | certain of those things. | | examine from the counsel table? | |
| 8 | | 8 | THE COURT: Sure. I need I do need the | |
| 9 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have any more guestions. | 9 | Exhibits 1 through 3. I only have | |
| | | 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes. One, two, three. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: Number 5 is ID only, not in | | | |
| 11 | evidence. | 11 | Four should be here. | |
| 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you. | 12 | Thank you, Your Honor. | |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. | 13 | (Thereupon, JOHN PANKAUSKI was duly sworn | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: I have one question. | 14 | by the court) | |
| 15 | THE COURT: Redirect, yes. | 15 | | |
| 16 | | | BY MR. ROSE | |
| 17 | | 17 | Q State your name for the record. | |
| 18 | Q Mr. Eliot Bernstein | 18 | A John Pankauski. | |
| 19 | A Yes, sir. | 19 | Q Do you have any did you bring any papers | |
| 20 | Q in September of 2013, about the time that | | with you today? | |
| | the e-mails went back and forth from Mr. Pankauski's | 21 | A I did. I have an affidavit that I've had | |
| | office to your wife, did you talk to Mr. Pankauski about | 22 | executed, that I'd like to file with the court, because | |
| | opposing Mr. Ted Bernstein as personal representative of | 23 | they a case that says affidavits should be filed, | |
| 24 | the estate of Shirley Bernstein? | 24 | and it's my understanding that's part of the procedure. | |
| | - | | | |
| 25 | A Yes, sir. | | I'd like to provide a copy to counsel and have it filed | |

| | 133 | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | and introduced into evidence. | 1 | did you continue on with your trip to wherever you were |
| 2 | | | going while we interrupted it? |
| 3 | | 3 | A After I met with you and Mr. Ted Bernstein, I |
| 4 | 0 17 | 4 | |
| 5 | | 5 | Q Okay. Did you subsequently conduct an |
| 6 | | 6 | investigation to determine whether there was anything |
| 7 | - | 7 | |
| 8 | · | 8 | Bernstein, as a fiduciary in these matters now that his |
| 9 | • | 9 | lawyers had indicated there was a problem and they would |
| 10 | | | need to withdraw? |
| 11 | | 11 | A Yes, I did. What I did is I went back and I |
| 12 | | | spoke to my office, I spoke to the person who does our |
| 13 | , , , | | intake. When a prospective client calls our office, |
| 14 | | | they do not speak with an attorney, they speak with a |
| 15 | | | person who does the intake. I spoke with that person. |
| 16 | 0 | ł – | She said that she did recall this issue about a |
| 17 | him now. | | backdated notary clause. She went on her computer. I |
| 18 | | | examined her computer screen with her. She revealed to |
| 19 | Q Can you describe and explain to the court how | | me that Candice, the wife of Eliot Bernstein, had |
| 20 | | | spoken to her about a matter involving Don Tescher and |
| 21 | A I did. I was contacted by you on a Sunday | 4 | a backdated notary clause. I had her review her |
| 22 | | 1 | e-mails. I had her review whatever documents that were |
| 23 | Planning Institute in Orlando, and you had asked me to | | sent. She confirmed that the only documents that were |
| 24 | change my travel plans to meet with you and Mr. Ted | | sent were public documents, wills and trusts. I think |
| 25 | | | there was a deposition transcript from a hearing. |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| \vdash | 134 | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the | 1 | After that, I went into my own computer, I looked at my |
| 2 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? | 1 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject |
| 2 3 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting?A You did. There was a great sense of urgency | 1 2 3 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a |
| 2 3 4 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in | 1 2 3 4 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been | 1 2 3 4 5 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 3 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any duties to a prospective client and the nature of that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake person. I had one conversation with Eliot, not two. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Did we give you any indication as to the subject matter of the meeting? A You did. There was a great sense of urgency and distraught. You had said that you were involved in an estate matter that had been you had just been informed your client had just been informed that somebody evidently fabricated a trust amendment and you'd requested to speak to me about that. Q Did we, in fact, meet that day? A We did. You met with me and your client, Ted Bernstein, in your office. You began telling me about an estate case down here involving Don Tescher. And you told me that there had been this backdated or post-death dated waiver or notary clause. And at that time I conveyed to you that I asked you the names of all the parties. None of the party's names rang a bell. But when you mentioned Mr. Tescher and a backdated notary clause, I told you that somebody had called our office regarding Mr. Tescher and a malpractice claim involving a backdated notary clause. And I conveyed to you and Ted that I would need to conduct an investigation as to whether I owed any | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | e-mails. I didn't see any e-mails about this subject matter. I looked in my computer and what I saw was a one take one-page intake sheet from the person who does my intakes saying she spoke with Candice on a particular date in September. And I also found what is Exhibit 3, which is what we call a no-rep letter. It's a letter that my office sent to Eliot Bernstein on September 23, 2003 [sic]. It's a standard practice in our firm that when a prospective client calls us and we are not retained, we send out a do-not-rep letter. And, basically, it says that you contacted us. You decided not to hire us. We're not your lawyers and you are not a client. This letter went out from my office to Eliot Bernstein on September 24, 2013. Q Can you by looking at Exhibits 1 and 2, can you tell the date the documents were sent to you? A Yes, I just need to get them. Q Okay. A May I, Your Honor? Yeah, Candice and Eliot did not e-mail me. They didn't even know my e-mail address. They e-mailed Michelle at my firm, the intake |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | . | | 24 |
|-----|---|----------|--|-----|
| | 137 | | | 139 |
| | succes ille Elicite unite Candice en Thursdou | | | |
| | guess, it's Eliot's wife, Candice, on Thursday, | | ten minutes I can tell whether they're adverse to an | |
| | September 19, 2013. Exhibit 2 is an e-mail to Michelle | 1 | existing client, what the legal issue is, and whether I | |
| 1. | from Candice on Friday, September 20, 2013. The | | can help them or not. I try to politely then shift the | |
| 4 | | 4 | conversation to the sad realities of the business of | |
| | Michelle, 7:10 p.m., I think that's why they're | | law, whether you can afford us or not. When they can't | |
| 1 _ | confused about speaking with me in the evening. I | 6 | afford us, the conversation gets very short. And | j |
| | | 7 | | |
| 8 | | 8 | Bernstein. It didn't last an hour. It didn't last a | |
| 9 | | 9 | half an hour. It lasted less than that. And it was | |
| 10 | | 10 | clear that while the facts are interesting, he was not | |
| 11 | | 11 | going to hire us. He didn't have the money to hire us. | |
| 12 | | 12 | He did not reveal any confidential information to me. | |
| 13 | | 13 | | |
| 14 | • | 14 | there was two matters involving mom and dad's estate, | |
| 15 | | 15 | and his focus was Don Tescher. | |
| 16 | | 16 | Q If you look at the letter that you wrote | |
| 17 | | 17 | Mr. Bernstein declining to represent him, what's the date | |
| 18 | 0 | 18 | of that? | |
| 19 | | 19 | A September 24, 2013. | |
| 20 | | 20 | Q So Friday, the 20th, was is the date on | |
| 21 | reason for the call was to discuss a malpractice | 21 | Exhibit 2. And by the following Tuesday, the 23rd, you | |
| 22 | , | 22 | sent him a letter declining to represent him? | |
| 23 | tell him that there is a two-year statute of | 23 | A (witness nods head). Yes. | |
| 24 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 24 | Q Okay. Did | |
| 25 | malpractice was committed within the estate of his mom | 25 | A Was September 24th a Monday? | |
| | 138 | | | 140 |
| 1 | · | 1 | O Friday the if you look | |
| | or his dad. We didn't talk about removing Ted as trustee. What he told me was, he had been calling up | 2 | Q Friday the if you look | |
| 1 | | 3 | A Friday was the 20th, Saturday was the 21st, | |
| | lawyers and he couldn't find anyone to take his case. And that he had called me and what he wanted to know | - | Sunday is the 22nd, Monday is 23rd. So this went out a | |
| 5 | was, do you have a conflict with Don Tescher? Will you | | Tuesday, September 24th. So if Candice communicated with Michelle on September 20th, I probably spoke with | |
| | sue Don Tescher? I said, I don't have a conflict with | | Eliot on Monday or Tuesday because I remember my my | Ì |
| | Don Tescher. I know the gentleman, but he's not a | | immediate reaction to Michelle, who does my intake, | |
| | friend. I don't do any business with him. So I was | | was, there is nothing here to represent. We are not | |
| 9 | open to that. Mr. Bernstein later contended that I | 9 | representing Eliot Bernstein, he can't afford us. | |
| 10 | asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I have never in my life | 10 | Q Could you just describe generally the nature of | |
| 11 | asked for a \$200,000 retainer. I hope to get there one | 11 | your law practice? | |
| 12 | day, but I'm not there just yet. Our standard | 12 | A Yeah. We handle estate litigation and | |
| 13 | retainer and we don't even use the word retainer | | administration. Predominantly restricted to estates, | |
| 14 | it's initial fee, it's \$15,000. When Mr. Bernstein | | trusts, guardianships, wills, inheritances. That's all | |
| 15 | Eliot Bernstein to his credit told me that he | | we do. | |
| 16 | couldn't afford to pay us and he wanted this on some | 16 | Q Are you aware that our law firm does not do | |
| 17 | | 17 | trusts and estate administration work? | l |
| 18 | fast. Our firm receives literally sometimes dozens of | 18 | A Yes, that's why you called on me. You | |
| 19 | calls a month. And if I listen to everyone's story and | | originally went to another lawyer, but he was | |
| 20 | read every single document that they want us to read, I | | conflicted out, and that's why you've asked our firm to | |
| 21 | | | assist Ted Bernstein with the administration of trusts | |
| 22 | practice, I have a custom, I have a procedure, I do not | 22 | and estates. | |
| 1 | | | | |

23 spend a lot of time on the phone with a prospective 24 client. I give them up to 30 minutes free of charge

25 and that's it. The reason for that is within five to

24 Bernstein in this case, did you undertake, in your view,

Q Before you agreed to become the lawyer for Ted

25 a thorough and detailed analysis of your ethical duties

23

24

| | 141 | | | 143 |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|-----|
| 1 | that might be owed to Mr. Eliot Bernstein as a | 1 | A Yes, 2014. | |
| | prospective client? | 2 | MR. ROSE: I move this into evidence, Your | |
| 3 | A I did. I did that twice. I mean, I did it | 3 | Honor? | |
| | when you contacted me and I met with Mr. Bernstein | 4 | THE COURT: Any objection? | |
| | subsequently to our initial meeting, which was on a | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: No objection. | |
| | Sunday. That's the procedure and that's the | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Number 6. | |
| | investigation that I conducted with Michelle in my | 7 | (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked in | |
| | office. And then subsequently I filed a motion to have | 8 | evidence) | |
| 1 | Mr. Ted Bernstein appointed as curator. Within 48 | 9 | BY MR. ROSE | |
| | minutes I received an e-mail from Eliot Bernstein | 10 | Q If you look at Exhibit Number 6, Mr. Pankauski, | |
| | saying, hey, Pankauski, you can't represent Ted. I'm | 11 | | |
| | going to disqualify you. When that happened, I went | 12 | A Yes, | |
| | back to Michelle and I said we need to discuss this | 13 | Q And if you turn to the Page 2, it appears to be | |
| | again. We re-examined everything that I've already | | in response to a letter you sent Mr. Bernstein on | |
| | described. I found no e-mails from Ted Bernstein or | | February the 10th at 5:06 p.m.? | |
| | Candice Bernstein. I felt I found no confidential | 16 | A Yes. The letter was, I believe, the motion | |
| | information. I found no documents that were excuse | | to appoint Ted Bernstein as curator. | |
| | me I found no documents that were Eliot Bernstein's. | 18 | Q Forty-six minutes later you get this e-mail | |
| | The only documents that Eliot Bernstein provided were | | from Mr. Bernstein? | |
| | someone else's that had been shared with others, like | 20 | A Yes. | |
| | wills and trusts. I, again, came to the conclusion | 20 | Q And in response to receiving this e-mail you | |
| | that my representation of Ted Bernstein would not | | undertook the second investigation you just told us | |
| | violate any duty that I owed a prospective client; such | | about? | |
| 1 | as, Eliot Bernstein. I reviewed 4-1.18. I called the | 24 | A Correct. | |
| 1 | ethics hotline of the Florida Bar. I also did a | 25 | Q Are there things in this e-mail that you find | |
| | | | | |
| ľ | 142 | | | 144 |
| 1 | Westlaw search for cases and authority regarding this | 1 | to be inaccurate? | |
| 2 | issue. | 2 | A Yes. There's a number of them. In his | |
| 3 | Q You mentioned an e-mail you received from | 3 | second line, he says I the end of the first line, | |
| 4 | Mr. Bernstein. Do you have a copy of that with you? | 4 | beginning of the second line I sent you over private | |
| 5 | A I do. There's two of them. | 5 | and confidential, highly sensitive information. He | |
| 6 | Q May Lapproach, Your Honor? | 6 | didn't. He never communicated with me. He didn't send | |
| 7 | A I've got a February 10th, 2014 from Eliot | 7 | over any confidential information. He didn't send me | |
| 8 | Bernstein to me at 5:52 p.m. | 8 | anything. His wife Candice sent some of Simon and | |
| 9 | Q Do you have more than one copy of that? | 9 | Shirley's wills and trusts to Michelle in my office. | |
| 10 | A There should be a few copies there. Oh, you | 10 | He also said we've had, quote, several correspondences | |
| 11 | know what, I have the extra copy. | 11 | on the phone, close quote. We didn't. Candice called | |
| 12 | So on Monday, February 10th, 2014, Eliot | 12 | Michelle. I spoke with Eliot Bernstein one time. He | |
| 13 | Bernstein's | 13 | thinks it was in the evening, it was in the afternoon | |
| 14 | Q Before you do that, what number are we up to, | 14 | before the sun was setting. He said there was a series | |
| 15 | 6? | 15 | of e-mails with you. That's inaccurate. Eliot | |
| 16 | A This would be | 16 | Bernstein never sent an e-mail to me, nor did Candice. | |
| 1.0 | | 17 | And several members of your office. Inaccurate. He | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Six. | | Line Man Open disease and a second to be Adhed allowed as the second | |
| | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for | 18 | his wife, Candice, sent e-mails to Michelle who handles | |
| 17 | | | my intake. He also said he says, complete removal | |
| 17 18 | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for | 19 | | |
| 17 18 19 | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? | 19 20 | my intake. He also said he says, complete removal | |
| 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked | 19 20 21 | my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) | 19 20 21 22 | my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSE: Can we mark this as 6 for identification, Your Honor? (Thereupon, Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification) THE COURT: Yes. | 19 20 21 22 23 | my intake. He also said he says, complete removal of any papers filed in conflict strike that. He says, I'm uncertain how you can forget our meetings. We never had any meetings. We had one phone | |

| | | <u> </u> | | |
|----|---|----------|---|-----|
| | 14 | 5 | | 147 |
| 1 | Candice. He didn't send me volumes of material. He | 1 | THE COURT: Yeah, I would. | |
| 2 | sent Michelle those four or five documents that | 2 | | |
| 3 | Mr. Eliot Bernstein previously identified when he | 3 | - | |
| 4 | | 4 | | |
| 5 | Q Did you ever agree to review the materials he | 5 | evidence) | |
| 6 | sent you? | 6 | BY MR. ROSE | |
| 7 | A No. | 7 | Q So 5:23 on February 11th, the day after you | |
| 8 | Q Did you, in fact, ever review the materials he | 8 | received the e-mail from Mr. Bernstein, you wrote him and | |
| 9 | sent you? | 9 | | |
| 10 | A I did not. | 10 | A I did. I said, I disagree with the substance | |
| 11 | Q Once you sent the letter on February 24 | 11 | and content of your e-mail. My firm intends to remain | |
| 12 | declining to represent him, you took no further action? | 12 | as counsel to your brother, Ted. | |
| 13 | A Correct. | 13 | Q You received a response from Eliot Bernstein | |
| 14 | Q I didn't mean to interrupt you, you can | 14 | the following day at 3:07 in the afternoon? | |
| 15 | continue, if you see anything else in the letter. | 15 | A Yeah. And you know who he cc'd on that? | |
| 16 | A Yes. He says you also proposed a retainer of | 16 | Crystal Cox, the blogger. | |
| 17 | \$200,000. I've never proposed a retainer of \$200,000. | 17 | Q. I guess he cc'd Crystal L. Cox and Crystal L. | |
| 18 | Our retainers our standard retainer is \$15,000. | 18 | Cox at liquidating trustee? | |
| 19 | Q You asked Mr. Bernstein that question today and | 19 | A Yes. | |
| | he denied that he indicated you demanded the \$200,000 | 20 | Q Have you ever heard that name before? | |
| 21 | retainer? | 21 | A No. | |
| 22 | MR. FEAMAN: Object to form. Repetitive. | 22 | Q Have you seen information about you published | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. | | on the Internet? | |
| 24 | BY MR. ROSE | 24 | A I have. Nothing | |
| 25 | Q Anything else in the letter that's inaccurate? | 25 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | |
| | 146 | | | 148 |
| 1 | A Just a moment, please. He said, I informed | 1 | THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained. | |
| 2 | you I would be working on raising those monies to | 2 | THE WITNESS: I have never known | |
| 3 | retain you. Absolutely not. He never told me that. | 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. I sustained the | |
| 4 | He said he didn't have the money. That's why the | 4 | objection. Next question. | |
| 5 | conversation got cut short. That's why we sent him the | 5 | BY MR. ROSE | |
| 6 | September 24, 2013 letter saying we don't represent | 6 | Q Are there any inaccuracies in Mr. Bernstein's | |
| 7 | you. He said he references your promise of | 7 | e-mail to you dated February 12 at 3:07, which is in | |
| 8 | confidentiality. I've never made a promise of | 8 | evidence as Exhibit 7? | |
| 9 | confidentiality to anybody. And I certainly didn't | 9 | A Yes. His first line of his e-mail of | |
| 10 | make any promise of confidentiality to Mr. Eliot | 10 | Wednesday, February 12, 2014 to me, he says, I think | |
| 1 | Bernstein. | 11 | | |
| 12 | Q Did you respond to Mr. Eliot Bernstein's | 1 | firm. We didn't have discussions. Candice spoke to my | |
| | e-mail? | | intake person. I spoke with Eliot once, for less than | |
| 14 | A I did. | | a half an hour. He talks about the transfer of highly | |
| 15 | Q May I approach. I | | confidential sensitive information and strategies. I | |
| 16 | A I responded to him on February 11th, the next | | discussed no trial strategy with Eliot Bernstein. I | |
| | day, I believe, just before 6 p.m. And that's | | know that when a prospective client calls up, that my | |
| 1 | reflected on a two-page document that's in front of me. | | responsibility and custom and practice is to take in a | |
| 1 | And then Mr. Eliot Bernstein responded to that response | | minimum amount of facts to determine whether I can | |
| | on Wednesday, February 12, 2014. | 20 | provide assistance to that client or not. Trial | |
| 21 | MR. ROSE: I would move this in evidence as | 21 | strategies comes later. Eliot Bernstein did not share | |
| 22 | Exhibit 7, Your Honor. | | any trial strategies with me or any litigation | |
| 23 | THE COURT: Any objection? | 23 | strategies with me. He says, I believe we had several | |
| 24 | MR. FEAMAN: No objection. MR. ROSE: Would you like a copy, Your Honor? | 24 | phone calls with you directly and others with your staff. That's inaccurate. There were not several | |
| | | 20 | | |
| | | _ | | |

| | | | | 41 |
|--|--|--|---|-----|
| | 149 | | | 151 |
| 1 | phone calls. He had one call with me. Then he says, | 1 | Q In what capacity do you represent Ted | |
| | including several lengthy conversations between my wife | | Bernstein? | |
| | and your employees. And, again, a large amount of | 3 | A I represent him in his fiduciary capacity. | |
| | information and strategies were given to members of | 4 | Ted Bernstein is the trustee of his dad Simon's rev | |
| | your office as well. Entirely inaccurate. His wife | 5 | trust. He's the PR of his mom's estate. He's the | |
| | sent my intake person copies of Shirley's and Simon's | 6 | trustee of his mom's trust. | |
| | estate planning documents. There was no large amount | 7 | THE COURT: I got to write this down. | |
| | of information. He didn't speak with any employees | 8 | THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor. | |
| 1 | other than me once. I don't think he even spoke with | 9 | THE COURT: Trustee of Simon's. | |
| | Michelle, I think that was Candice. Again, he says I | 10 | THE WITNESS: Simon's trust. | |
| 11 | | 11 | THE COURT: Okay. | |
| 12 | | 12 | THE WITNESS: Personal representative of | |
| 13 | e-mail, Exhibit 7. | 13 | Shirley's estate, trustee of Shirley's trust. | |
| 14 | THE COURT: You need to really move it along, | 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | 1 |
| 15 | we're going to run out of time. | 15 | THE WITNESS: And he's seeking to be | |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 16 | appointed as personal representative of Simon's | |
| 17 | BY MR. ROSE | 17 | estate. | |
| 18 | Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Feaman | 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Got it. | |
| 19 | outside of the courtroom yesterday at the judge's | 19 | BY MR. ROSE | |
| 20 | request? | 20 | Q Do you represent Mr. Bernstein individually in | |
| 21 | A I did. And I have my notes that I took | 21 | any matters? | |
| 22 | contemporaneous with that conversation. | 22 | A I do not. | |
| 23 | MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | 23 | Q Do you anticipate representing Mr. Bernstein | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Well, hold on. | 24 | individually in any matters? | |
| 25 | MR. FEAMAN: Materiality. | 25 | A I do not. | |
| | 150 | | | 152 |
| | | | | |
| 1 | THE COURT: Well, the only thing right now is | 1 | Q Are you familiar with the estate plan of | |
| 2 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know | 2 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? | |
| 2 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. | 2 3 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. | |
| 2 3 4 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may | 2 3 4 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein?A Yes.Q Do you want to can you tell us the short | |
| 2 3 4 5 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? | 2 3 4 5 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes | 2 3 4 5 6 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained, MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained, MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose was to be informal, so that we'd be able to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained. MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So time is of the essence. BY MR. ROSE | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the answer that he took notes. I don't even know what the next question is, so let's wait. MR. ROSE: I'll mark this as Exhibit 8 may I approach? THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to see notes yet. BY MR. ROSE Q Are these notes you took yesterday in discussion with Mr. Feaman? MR. FEAMAN: I object to any testimony concerning notes that he took in a conversation that you requested us to have out in the hall yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So objection sustained, MR. FEAMAN: Can I just proffer? THE COURT: That was not for the purpose of using that conversation as something to fully regurgitate either side's idea of what was said. It wasn't recorded. It was not in my presence. There was no court reporter there. The purpose was to be informal, so that we'd be able to determine whether this hearing was necessary. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein? A Yes. Q Do you want to can you tell us the short version of how you A Eliot doesn't inherit. I mean, you gave me MR. FEAMAN: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Well, it goes to the issue of whether Eliot is an interested person under 731. So that's relevant. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Eliot doesn't inherit under Simon's estate plan. You provided those documents to me, it's my understanding that his mom and dad provided for him outside of those estate plans through the use of trusts and gifts. THE COURT: You folks really need to wrap it up. I want to try to finish this and then, depending on what I rule, deal with the other issue, so you can have some direction from me. So time is of the essence. | |

28

| | | <u> </u> | | 28 |
|--|---|---|--|-----|
| | | 153 | | 155 |
| 1 | way compromises his position or materially disadvantages | | Q Now, the you actually spoke to this | |
| | him when you will be representing Ted as the beneficiary | | particular prospective client, correct? | |
| 3 | in these matters? | 3 | | |
| 4 | A Absolutely not. | 4 | Q And you would agree with me that Mr. Eliot | |
| 5 | Q Do you anticipate there being some litigation | 5 | | |
| 6 | in this trust? | e | | |
| 7 | A Yeah, I do, on the trust. Not necessarily | 7 | Q Okay. You said the focus was on Don Tescher. | |
| 8 | the estate. In the trust. I think that Ted is going | 8 | But could you take a look at Exhibit 3? | |
| 9 | to file a dec action and ask Judge Colin for | g | A Sure. | |
| 10 | instructions on how property under the trust should be | 10 | Q That's your rejection letter right there? | 1 |
| 11 | distributed or not distributed. | 11 | A Yes. | 1 |
| 12 | Q And as counsel, is it your intention to file a | 12 | Q The reference makes no reference to | |
| 13 | dec action and follow the instructions of the court? | 13 | Mr. Tescher, does it? It says, Estate of Shirley | |
| 14 | A Absolutely. | 14 | Bernstein and Estate of Simon Bernstein, correct? | |
| 15 | MR. ROSE: I have nothing further, Your | 15 | A Yes. | 1 |
| 16 | Honor. | 16 | Q Only. And the documents that you received, | |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feaman. | | which are shown on Exhibit 2, which was the e-mail from | |
| 18 | MR. FEAMAN: I'll try to be as brief as I | 18 | Candice Bernstein to Michelle of your office by the | |
| 19 | can. | 19 | way, how long has she worked for you? | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. | 20 | A Oh, Michelle has been with us probably three | |
| 21 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 21 | | |
| 22 | BY MR. FEAMAN | 22 | | |
| 23 | Q If I understood your testimony, Mr. Pankauski, | 23 | | |
| | are you taking the position that there is a difference | 24 | • | |
| 25 | between documents received by your office that you didn't | 25 | A Michelle from my law office received those | |
| | | 54 | | 150 |
| | | | | 156 |
| 1 | | | documents on Exhibit 2. | 100 |
| | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are | | documents on Exhibit 2. Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of | 100 |
| | | 1 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of | 001 |
| 2 3 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? | 1 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? | 001 |
| 2 3 4 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in | 1 2 3 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. | 100 |
| 2 3 4 5 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he | 1 2 3 4 5 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. | 100 |
| 2 3 4 5 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents | 1 2 3 4 5 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? | 130 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? | 130 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. | 130 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know | 130 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. | 130 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave | 156 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar | 156 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? | 156 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust | 136 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for prospective clients. There is no duty on my behalf to | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust amendment revokes all prior amendments, which would | 156 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | see and, therefore, you didn't see those documents? Are you making a distinction? A Yeah. The only distinction I'm making is in the testimony from Mr. Eliot Bernstein; he said that he sent me documents. He didn't. His wife sent documents to my intake person. Q All right. And so you are taking the position that, therefore, you didn't see them? A No, my position is I didn't read them. There is no therefore. I didn't read those documents that he sent that Candice sent to my intake person. Q You don't deny your office received them? A No, not at all. Q And you are familiar, I would assume, with Rule of Professional Conduct 4-5.3 (c) which states that although paralegals or legal assistants may perform the duties delegated to them by the lawyer without the presence or active involvement of the lawyer, the lawyer shall review and be responsible for the work product of paralegals or legal assistants? You would agree with that, correct? A For existing clients, absolutely. Not for | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Okay. And the Simon Bernstein Amended Trust of 2012, correct? A Yes. Q Have you now seen the Simon Bernstein original trust? Before it was allegedly amended in 2012? A The 2008? Q I don't know. A Yeah, when you say original, I don't know what you mean by that. Q Okay. A I looked at Mr Q The trust which this amendment purportedly amends? A I don't know if I've looked at it. I've looked at Simon Bernstein's trust that Mr. Rose gave me, I believe it's the 2012 document. Q Now, you said you were familiar with the estate plan. And all of the documents that are listed here would be necessary documents that would make you familiar with the estate plan, correct? A Necessary, no. I believe the 2012 trust | 156 |

157 159 1 relationship to the -- as far as you can tell -- the 1 Bernstein. 2 estate plan, like the Bernstein Holdings, LLC? 2 Q So even though you didn't learn about it then, 3 A I don't think that's accurate. It's my 3 they still sent to you the Bernstein Holdings, LLC for a 4 understanding from Ted Bernstein and Mr. Rose that 4 reason I guess you have no idea, is that right? 5 Bernstein Holdings, LLC was an entity that Simon A Yeah. I don't know why Eliot's wife, 5 6 created to own a house that Eliot lives in. So where 6 Candice, sent Michelle Bernstein Holdings, LLC other 7 Eliot lives, that's actually owned by an LLC created by 7 than she wanted someone to review them. 8 his dad, Simon. And the members of the LLC are three 8 Q That's certainly -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to 9 trusts. So I think that's all part of Simon's estate 9 interrupt --10 plan, you know, that's one way he helped out Eliot. 10 A That's all right, you're doing a great job. 11 Q By your cross-examination of Mr. Eliot 11 You know, when prospective probate clients call you, 12 Bernstein, when you asked about whether he had asked Ted 12 they won't do a document dump. They want to open up, 13 Bernstein for money, that would be money that would be 13 they want to talk to you for hours, and they want you 14 due either Eliot Bernstein's children or Eliot Bernstein 14 to read everything in the world. We don't do that. We 15 through those trusts, correct? 15 don't have the time or the patience to do it. 16 A I don't know if they're due through that 16 Q You would agree with me that the Bernstein 17 trust. It's my understanding the residence that Eliot 17 Holdings, LLC certainly has nothing to do with the 18 lives in is owned in the LLC, which is responsible for 18 malpractice action against Don Tescher, isn't that 19 correct? 19 paying the real estate taxes, the maintenance and 20 everything like that. I think Eliot Bernstein asked 20 A I wouldn't say absolutely, no. I'm not 21 the trustees of those trusts for money and they've run 21 trying to be evasive. I don't think that's an element 22 out of money, so he asked Ted for more money. 22 of the purported malpractice by Tescher and Spallina. 23 23 Q And the LLC is, in fact, the Bernstein Q Okay. Thanks. 24 Holdings, LLC? 24 A Sure. 25 A Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. 158 160 1 Q Correct? 1 MR. FEAMAN: Okay. 2 A Yes. 2 MR. ROSE: Two questions? 3 Okay. And you received those documents back in 3 THE COURT: Yes, that's it. Q 4 September, correct? 4 **RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION** 5 Α Michelle did, yes. 5 BY MR. ROSE 6 Okay. And you are aware that there is a 6 Q Bernstein Family Realty is not a beneficiary of Q 7 dispute over payments from the LLC from Mr. Ted Bernstein 7 the estate, the will, the trust, is that correct? 8 to Mr. Eliot Bernstein, correct? You asked about it on 8 A That's correct. 9 cross-examination? q Q Absolutely nothing to do with what proceedings 10 10 are going to be before Judge Colin, as far as you know? A There is a dispute over payments to the LLC. 11 Q Payments from the LLC to either Mr. Eliot 11 A Absolutely correct. 12 Bernstein or his kids or for the support of the house? 12 MR. ROSE: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 A You're confusing the LLC with the trust. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat. 14 Q Okay. So the trust should be making payments 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 15 to the Bernstein Holdings, LLC, is that your THE COURT: Any other witnesses on your end? 16 16 understanding? MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. 17 A No. Eliot wants money from Ted individually 17 THE COURT: Okay. I have just a question. 18 and Ted as trustee of either Shirley or Simon's trust. 18 In the estate case, where you're representing Ted, 19 19 And Shirley and Simon's trust don't permit that's the one where Mr. Feaman you're 20 20 distributions to Eliot. representing the creditor, correct? 21 Q You first found out about the issue -- that 21 MR. FEAMAN: Yes. 22 dispute between Mr. Eliot Bernstein and Mr. Ted Bernstein 22 THE COURT: Eliot is representing himself. 23 about the money when you spoke to him in September of 23 Okay. I'll let you do this, Mr. Feaman. What's 24 2013, didn't you? 24 pending in that case now, anything? Other than 25 A No, I learned about it from Alan Rose and Ted 25 the motion to appoint a curator.

> PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | 161 | | | 163 |
|----------------------------|---|-----|----------------------|--|-----|
| 1 | MR. FEAMAN: In the estate itself? | | 1 | appointed as personal representative. | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Yeah. | | 2 | THE COURT: Well, hear what I'm going to do, | |
| 3 | MR. FEAMAN: I am only aware of the motion to | | 3 | and then you'll see if you want to do that. | |
| 4 | appoint Ted Bernstein as the | | 4 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | |
| 5 | THE COURT: PR. | | 5 | THE COURT: Okay. Motion to disqualify is | |
| 6 | MR. FEAMAN: personal representative. | | 6 | denied. | |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. | | 7 | The burden is on Eliot. And I'm treating | |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: But I have not reviewed the | | 8 | this as really being Eliot's motion to show | |
| 9 | file. | | 9 | that he's an interested person under 731.20. | |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. And so is there an | | 10 | He has not carried that burden. And so that | |
| 11 | action filed with respect to Simon Bernstein's | | 11 | would disqualify him from being someone who has | |
| 12 | trust? | | 12 | an interest in trying to stop Mr. Pankauski | |
| 13 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No I'm sorry. | | 13 | from representing Ted. | |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Not yet. | | 14 | And, you know, I agree with the law that | |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. Okay. Have a seat. | | 15 | counsel Mr. Feaman just cited. This is | |
| 16 | Can I see the motion that | | 16 | really a view of Eliot from a subjective point | |
| 17 | Mr. Pankauski that you filed on behalf of | | 17 | of view as to as a prospective client of | |
| 18 | Ted to be appointed PR and the motion that asks | | 18 | Mr. Pankauski, now that Mr. Pankauski is | |
| 19 | for and I think it was both counsel, | | 19 | venturing to represent Ted, which is a | |
| 20 | including Mr. Glasko for a curator instead. | | 20 | subsequent representation. Mr. Pankauski is | |
| 21 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | ļ | 21 | barred from representing Ted if there are | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Let me see those physically. | | 22 | interests that in the estate that | |
| 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: This is my motion for | | 23 | materially that are materially adverse to | |
| 24 | appointment. And I can get you the response in | | 24 | those of Eliot, and the rest of the rule. I | |
| 25 | opposition. | | 25 | find that Eliot has not carried his burden of | |
| | | | _ | <u>-</u> | |
| | | 162 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · | 164 |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. I remember seeing the | | 1 | proof on that, even from a light most favorable | |
| 2 | response, but okay. So here's everyone | | 2 | to him, which I'm giving him. | |
| 3 | finished, ready for me to rule? I'm ready to rule | | 3 | So motion to disqualify denied. | |
| 4 | on everything. | | 4 | Ted's motion for appointment of himself as | |
| 5 | MR. FEAMAN: The only thing I would add, Your | | 5 | curator or administrator ad litem, denied. | |
| 6 | Honor, would be the case that we faxed to you | | 6 | William Stansbury and your client is | |
| 7 | earlier today, and to everybody else, Metcalf v. | | 7 | who? | |
| 8 | Metcalf, 785 So. 2d. 747, which states, quote, in | | 8 | MR. GLASKO: Excuse me, my client is Lisa and | |
| 9 | considering whether the attorney-client privilege | | 9 | | |
| 10 | applies to disqualify an attorney from opposing a | | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. Are they are they a | |
| 11 | former client, the focus is on the perspective of | | 11 | moving party in a formal sense? | |
| 12 | the person seeking out the lawyer, not on what the | | 12 | MR. GLASKO: They are the children of the | |
| 13 | lawyer does after the consultation. | | 13 | decedent, Judge. | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. I agree that's the law. | | 14 | THE COURT: But have they filed I don't | } |
| 15 | All right. So yes. | | 15 | have all the paperwork, I want to make sure I | |
| 16 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, are you making a ruling | | 16 | do have they filed requesting a that there | |
| 17 | on the appointment of curator today? | | 17 | be a curator other than Ted. | |
| 4.0 | | | 18 | MR. GLASKO: No, sir, I've only made an ore | |
| 18 | THE COURT: I am. I'm doing it in like about | | | | |
| 19 | a minute or two. | | 19 | tenus motion. | |
| 19 20 | a minute or two. MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court | | 20 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied | |
| 19 20 21 | a minute or two. MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection | | 20 21 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing | |
| 19 20 21 22 | a minute or two. MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs | | 20 21 22 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing this but I don't have to because William is an | |
| 19 20 21 22 23 | a minute or two. MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs THE COURT: Why? | | 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing this but I don't have to because William is an interested person, able to, as a secured creditor, | |
| 19 20 21 22 | a minute or two. MR. GLASKO: I would like to ask the court because we wanted to lodge an ore tenus objection to that. And I think the court needs | | 20 21 22 | THE COURT: The ore tenus motion is denied only not on the merit because I'm not doing this but I don't have to because William is an | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | | | | | 51 |
|------|---|-----|-----------------|---|-----|
| | | 165 | | | 167 |
| 1 | Simon Bernstein's estate administered, taking | | 1 | into something that is not or shouldn't be. | |
| 2 | himself as a creditor into consideration. | | 2 | Okay. So who's going to write up orders? | |
| 3 | That motion is granted. | | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: As to two of the motions, I | |
| 4 | So you are going to have the following | | 4 | believe your ruling was that just that they | |
| 5 | choices: Within x days, you'll tell me, in a | | 5 | were denied, and I have blank orders on that. | |
| 6 | moment, you're going to tell me how much time | | 6 | That would be the order on the verified motion to | |
| 7 | you, Pankauski, Feaman, Glasko, need, to tell | | 7 | disqualify counsel. And it says the motion of | |
| 8 | me who you want as curator. If that person is | | 8 | Eliot Bernstein. | |
| 9 | a lawyer, the fees are capped at \$350 an hour | | 9 | THE COURT: Okay | |
| 10 | and cannot exceed \$5,000 in any increment | | 10 | MR. FEAMAN: Because | |
| 11 | without coming before the court and telling me | | 11 | THE COURT: But you can do it for reasons I | |
| 12 | why I should raise that cap incrementally. | | 12 | mentioned on the record. So if someone | |
| 13 | We're putting a cap on this situation. | | 13 | MR. FEAMAN: For reasons mentioned on the | |
| 14 | Okay. So you may need to make sure you | | 14 | record. | |
| 15 | can find someone who is willing to serve in | - 1 | 15 | THE COURT: Yeah, that's a good way of doing | |
| 16 | that capacity. | | 16 | it. That way you don't have to start recreating | |
| 17 | If you can't agree on someone, Pankauski, | | 17 ⁻ | it. I gave reasons on there. | |
| 18 | you're going to write two names out; Feaman, | | 18 | MR. FEAMAN: And then I have a blank order on | |
| 19 | you're going to write two names out; | | 19 | a motion for appointment of curator or | |
| 20 | Mr. Glasko, you'll write two names out on a | | 20 | administrator ad litem, which you denied, by Ted | |
| 21 | little piece of paper. We're going to put them | | 21 | Bernstein. | |
| 22 | in a jar and you three will come in on UMC | | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. That's denied. | |
| 23 | after if you, unfortunately, can't agree | | 23 | MR. FEAMAN: And then the other order on | |
| 24 | upon someone, my judicial assistant will come | | 24 | Mr. Stansbury not sure how much detail you want | |
| 25 | out, and in your presence, shake the jar and | | 25 | to get in there. | |
| | · · · · · | | | | |
| | | 166 | | | 168 |
| 1 | pick. So I'll have nothing to do with the | | 1 | THE COURT: Well, you're going to enter that | |
| 2 | actual person because it will be someone that | | 2 | order once you folks talk now. Okay. | |
| 3 | one of the three of you picks. They all the | | 3 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. | |
| 4 | only way that that won't work out is if one of | | 4 | THE COURT: Right now. And Eliot is | |
| 5 | the people you pick are obviously not | | 5 | included in that conversation of whether you can | |
| 6 | qualified, but I assume that's not going to be | | 6 | agree upon someone. Because, I mean, I am not | |
| 7 | the case. | | 7 | suggesting that you, you know, you can't, but you | |
| 8 | So you need to if we go that route, on | 1 | 8 | should be able to. I mean, especially and you | |
| 9 | the two names that each side of the three sides | | 9 | may want to say here's name one, here's name two, | : |
| 10 | are going to pick, make sure that they are | • | 10 | here's name three, just to make sure that the | |
| 11 | willing to serve in advance. | | 11 | people that you now talk about will accept the | |
| 12 | Okay. The reason I don't want Ted is | · | 12 | assignment. Then don't give me the order yet. Go | |
| 13 | because under 733.501 I take a position that | ŀ | 13 | actually speak to these people, make sure they | |
| 14 | given where this case is, and the hype that | ŀ | 14 | accept, and then under the conditions that I'm | |
| 15 | we're achieving a disconnect between what | · | 15 | mentioning. And then we're going to go from | |
| 16 | should be involved and what could be a sensible | • | 16 | there. And then you put the name in the order. | |
| 17 | straightforward estate administration on the | | 17 | Okay? | |
| 18 | estate portion of the case because that's | · | 18 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 19 | all that's before me right now. And not get | · | 19 | THE COURT: Understand? | |
| 20 | carried on into a whole bunch of other things | | 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. | |
| 21 | that aren't yet before me or not yet filed. | | 21 | THE COURT: All right. Understand, Eliot? | |
| 22 | So we're going to take this in nice small | | 22 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. | |
| 23 | steps. This order applies to the estate case | | 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Great. So speak now. I'm | |
| 24 | because there is no other case before me. | | 24 | going to stay, but I'm going to ask you to | |
| 1.05 | | | | | |
| 25 | So we're not going to let this blossom | | 25 | start go outside because we need to kind of | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

| | 1 | 169 | |
|---|---|-----|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | close the court but I'm going to stay here and let the lawyers and Eliot come back in to tell me what you've agreed to. Okay. Thanks. (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 5:03 p.m.) | | |
| 16 17 | | | |
| 18 19 | | | |
| 20 21 | | | |
| 22 23 | | | |
| 24 25 | | | |
| | | 70 | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 3 | CERTIFICATE | | |
| 4 | THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. | | |
| 9 10 | I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a | | |
| 12 | true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 5th day of July, 2014. | | |
| 13 14 | | | |
| 15 16 | DAVID L. MARSAA, COURT REPORTER | | |
| 17 18 | | | |
| 19 20 | | | |
| 21 22 | | | |
| 23 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| | | | Page 1 of 20 |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| \$ | 105:17,23 137:9 | | 6 50:20 89:24 |
| \$15,000 138:14 | | 3 | 142:15,18,20 |
| 145:18 | 2 | 3 50:17 114:15,18 | 143:6,7,10 |
| \$200,000 98:2 | 2 50;16 51;4 | 132:9 136:7 | 146:17 |
| 99:19 138:10,11 | 58:19,20 107:8 | 137:22 155:8 | 600 49:6 |
| 145:17,20 | 109:10,13 | 3:07 147:14 148:7 | |
| \$350 165:9 | 115:20,25 | | 7 |
| • | 119:20,22 | 30 138:24 144:23 | 7 146:22 147:4 |
| \$5,000 165:10 | 136:16 137:2 | 33157 49:20 | 148:8 149:13 |
| | 139:21 143:13 | 33401 49:7,10 | |
| 0 | 155:17 156:1 | 33434 49:3 | 7:10 137:5 |
| 09/13 107:8 | 2:30 48:25 51:6 | | 701 49:9 |
| | 20 93:25 105:22 | 33436 49:15 | 731 152;10 |
| 1 | 109:11 137:3 | 34th 49:2 78:13 | 731.20 163;9 |
| 1 50:15 105:10 | 200 51:4 | 3615 49:15 | |
| 106:18 109:6,7 | | | 733.501 166:13 |
| 112:1,10 116:1 | 2003 136:9 | | 747 162:8 |
| 132:9 136:16,25 | 2008 106:25 | <u>4</u> 50;18 66;7 | 74-page 115:8 |
| 1.18 73:8 | 107:1,5,6,9 | 4 50:18 66:7 | 77 50:5 |
| 1.9 73:8 | 155:24 156:7 | 114:24 115:2 | |
| | 2009 59:12 | 116:12 | 785 162:8 |
| 108 50:15,16 | 2012 61:8 93:25 | 119:10,11 121:2 | |
| 10th 82:21 91:25 | 101:5 107:6,7 | 131:19 | 8 |
| 92:21 95:5 | 118:22 | 4-1.18 52:3 53:20 | 8 150:4 |
| 98:15,18 99:17 | 156:3,6,17,22 | 59:13,15 | 86 50:6 |
| 105:7 108:23 | 2012CP004391 | 60:10,14,20 | 00 3010 |
| 142:7,12,25 143:11,15 | 51:11 | 66:5,8 67:12 | |
| | 2013 65:12 103:19 | 73:22 77:9,25 | <u>A</u> |
| 113 50:17 | 104:2,12 | 141:24 | ABA 53:23 |
| 118 50:18 | 105:22,25 107:8 | 4-1.7 58:24 65:4 | ability 162:25 |
| 11th 146:16 147:7 | 109:2,11 110:13 | 4-1.8 56:20 64:17 | able 51:19 150:22 |
| 12 89:24 146:20 | 111:4 114:11 | 65:16 66:2 | 164:23 168:8 |
| 148:7,10 | 118:23,24 119:8 130:20 136:15 | 4-1.9 56:21 58:21 | above-styled 51:2 |
| - | 137:2,3 139:19 | 59:14 | - |
| 120 49:9 | 146:6 158:24 | 60:11,14,18,19 | absolute 54:15 |
| 125 50:19 | 2014 48:24 51:7 | 64:12,17 67:23 | absolutely 146:3 |
| 1267 58:19 | | 69:22 | 153:4,14 154:22 |
| 129 50:5 | 143:1 146:20 | 4-5.3 154:15 | 159:20 160:9,11 |
| | 148:10 170:12 | 48 141:9 | accept 168:11,14 |
| 12th 92:1,21 105:15 | 20th 81:12 105:16 | | accepted 111:23 |
| | 137:4,10 139:20 | 5 | accountings 91:3 |
| 131 50:8 | 140:2,5 | 5 50:19 126:5,8 | - |
| 141 50;20 | 21st 140;2 | 130:10 | accurate 54:22 121:13 157:3 |
| 142 50:19,20 | | | |
| 152 50:9 | 22nd 140:3 | 5:03 48:25 169:7 | achieving 166:15 |
| | 23 136:9 | 5:06 143:15 | acting 101:18 |
| 159 50:8 | 23rd 139:21 140:3 | 5:23 147:7 | action 56:9 57:6 |
| 17345 49:20 | 24 110:13 111:4 | 5:52 142:8 143:11 | 61:1 101:12,21 |
| 19 48:24 105:25 | 114:6,11 136:15 | | 110:19 137:22 |
| 108:17 109:1,5 | 139:19 145:11 | 502012CP004391XXX XSB 48:2 | 145:12 153:9,13 |
| 137:2 | 146:6 | 1 | 159:18 161:11 |
| 1-9 73;8 | 24th 139:25 140:4 | 505 49:6 | actions 79:15,17 |
| | | 529 58:19 | 84:5 |
| 1985 65:15 | 26 119:5 | 5th 170:12 | active 154:18 |
| 1988 59:12 | 2753 49:2 78:13 | | acts 102:3 |
| 19th 51:6 | 2d 58:19 162:8 | | |
| | | 6 | actual 77:16 |

Page 2 of 20

166:2

actually 55:25 67:25 96:13 113:15 137:10 155:1 157:7 168:13

ad 164:5 167:20

add 162:5

addition 87:19

address 54:2 57:14 78:12 110:14 136:22

addressed 110:13

administer 75:5
administered
165:1
administration
74:5,9,20 75:1

76:17 140:13,17,21 166:17

administrator 164:5 167:20

admissibility 118:24

admissible 118:25

advance 166:11 adverse 52:12 67:15 69:3,5,8

75:3 76:12,19,20 79:11,19 84:1 90:12,14 121:17 139:1 163:23

advise 56:22

affidavit 132:21 133:2

affidavits 132:23 affirmative 71:3

affirmatively 85:14

afford 84:19,20 138:16 139:5,6 140:9

afternoon 53:16 87:17,18 137:8 144:13 147:14

against 55:25 100:12,14,17,18 101:21 102:23 103:2 159:18 aggrieved 55:17

64:18

ago 85:18 103:6 agreed 140:23 169:3 ahead 51:25 80:3,19 89:17 92:15 106:9 118:2 124:17 129:25 133:12 152:12al 48:16 Alan 49:5 158:25 aligned 69:2 allegation 61:21 allege 61:25 alleged 48:15 55:16,20 57:5 64:18 101:18,19 allegedly 110:15 156:6 allow 72:17,23 allowed 93:9 already 103:20,25 141:14 am 71:7 77:1 78:16,23 107:17 124:22 161:3 162:18 168:6 amazing 76:8 amended 95:13,16,21 107:6 156:2,6 amendment 134:7 156:13,23 amendments 156:23 amends 156:14 amount 76:8 85:2 98:10 148:19 149:3,7 Amy 88:6 analysis 140:25 and/or 55:16 answer 80:19 85:9 88:22 89:2,18 92:13 94:7,9 98:12 102:11 121:25 122:10,24 123:8,19,21 124:16 150:2 answered 88:24 93:21 anticipate 151:23

153:5 anybody 104:3 146:9 anyone 138:3 anything 79:17 83:24 117:15 123:10 125:13 135:6 144:8 145:15,25 152:24 160:24 anywhere 128:12 apart 97:13 apologize 123:23 147:2 apparent 63:4 131:10 appearance 85:19,24 appearances 52:25 APPEARING 49:1,4,12,17 appears 130:6 143:13 applicable 59:15 applied 64:17 86:12 148:11 applies 60:12 63:12 66:2 67:13,19 162:10 166:23 apply 60:19 67:21 82:21 appoint 143:17 160:25 161:4 appointed 131:2 141:9 151:16 161:18 163:1 appointment 120:8 161:24 162:17 164:4 167:19 approach 81:8,13 93:7 94:21 98:17 99:10 106:6 108:11 110:7 113:19,22 125:22 126:4 142:6 146:15 150:5 area 57:20 81:12 aren't 166:21 argument 58:23 68:25 arguments 53:12

arises 67:25 aspect 68:16 aspects 100:13 asserted 90:21 assignment 168:12 assist 140:21 assistance 148:20 assistant 81:25 82:7 96:2,9,11,14,16 ,23 165:24 assistants 154:16,20 associates 48:12 assume 57:24 154:14 166:6 assumption 118:5 Atlantic 51:4 attach 53:6 attached 58:14 94:18 106:4,13 119:6 attention 77:14 79:21 94:25 123:10 attest 115:18 **attorney** 54:11,12 55:1 61:4 69:7 74:25 75:24 88:6 102:15,18,25 112:8.17 120:15,17 135:14 162:10 attorney-client 59:18 61:6 64:24 73:23 75:20 76:9 112:11 162:9 attorneys 52:24 54:5,8 84:5,7 88:17 89:9,10,24 90:2,25 120:24 authenticate 131:21 authorities 90:22 authority 59:4 61:2,3,8 142:1 authorized 170:9

Avenue 49:9 51:4

avoid 52:24 82:4

Page 3 of 20 aware 52:3 83:4 156:17,22 167:4 140:9,21,24 113:10 140:16 158:6 141:1,4,9,10,15 believed 84:6 breached 64:25 161:3 ,16,19,22,24 believes 81:3 Brent 58:17,18 142:4,8,25 143:14,17,19 59:7,11 believing 82:3 в 144:12,16 brief 97:23 backdated 103:8 bell 134:17 145:3.19 153:18 134:13,18,20 146:11,19 Beller 88:6 135:17,21 briefly 51:22 147:8,13 137:19 benefactor 64:3 148:16,21 bring 56:15 57:6 bad 101:18 beneficiaries 151:2,4,20,23 59:5 61:1 78:19 90:21 152:2,25 154:4 68:17,18 71:12 badgering 92:9,11 155:5,14,18,23 120:12 72:22 89:21 Balassa 61:14 156:2,5 132:19 beneficiary 157:2,4,5,12,13 Bar 57:22 86:12 74:5,6 79:5 bringing 58:13 ,14,20,23 141:25 93:2,14,17,18 158:7,8,12,15,2 broadly 61:23 94:3 95:23 barred 163:21 2 159:1,3,6,16 101:7 153:2 brother 74:15 160:6 161:4 based 53:3 57:10 160:6 79:4 84:2 87:8 167:8,21 168:22 70:23 90:12,14 100:22 Bernstein Bernsteins 52:20 124:4 125:3 basically 84:20 48:6,9,14 126:22 147:12 86:11 136:12 Bernstein's 49:2,4,23,24 50:4 51:11 69:2,7 76:3 brother's 84:4 bat 117:22 131:3 141:18 52:16,21 brought batch 115:2 142:13 146:12 54:17,25 55:9 55:6,10,16 148:6 156:16 56:9 58:14 Bay 49:19,20 58:7,9 60:23 157:14 161:11 59:23 60:24 80:19 84:8 Beach 48:1 165:1 61:5,24 62:2,3 90:25 49:7,10,15 51:5 63:5,20 64:2 beseeching 126:23 88:18 89:5 66:19 67:12 bunch 118:10,22 170:4 Besides 89:9 166:20 68:1,9 69:4,5,6 become 122:19 70:2,5,7,13,20 bill 97:7 101:25 burden 53:19 71:1 72:7,25 140:23 57:15 72:15 bills 122:13,18 73:12,24 77:19 becomes 67:10 74:10,14,24 bit 53:22 163:7,10,25 beginning 51:6 75:4,22 blank 167:5,18 business 84:9 54:19 144:4 76:12,15,19,21, 138:8 139:4 24 77:3,23 bless 99:14 behalf 78:6,11,15 49:1,4,12,17 block 91:2 123:9 79:4,8,10,11 55:16 59:5 69:1 С 80:9,25 85:17 **blog** 126:11,17,20 86:1 110:25 calendar 97:10,11 128:8 86:2,8 87:17 127:3,10 154:23 92:17 95:11 California 96:17 161:17 **blogged** 128:16 99:1,18 104:9 129:17 130:6 Candice 49:24 belief 82:4 106:11,25 55:9 56:3 82:24 98:1,3,7 blogger 126:21 107:1,5,6,7,9,1 92:4,18 95:2 128:3,10 147:16 0,13 108:5,23 believe 53:19 96:8,11,15,20,2 109:3,4 110:11 78:23 79:7 blogging 125:11 2,23 105:7,11 113:25 114:3,4 80:10,12,20 126:23 127:4,11 106:15,18,21 115:7 81:16,19,25 107:19 blossom 166:25 116:2,18,24 90:19,23 91:23 108:20,23 117:7 119:19 Boca 49:3 78:13 92:3,22 93:6 109:6,11 112:1 121:9 124:7 95:18,22 96:4 131:21 135:19 body 118:12 125:25 126:11 97:3,15,17,19,2 136:5,20 127:4,11 129:14 book 65:10,11 3 100:9,12 137:1,3,4,7 130:18,23,24 101:4 bottom 58:21 140:4 141:16 131:1,5,7,21 107:17,20,23 99:15 144:8,11,16,18, 133:4,20,25 117:13,14,15,16 24 145:1 148:12 Boulevard 49:15 134:11 120:5 121:11,12 149:10 154:11 135:3,8,19 127:2,13 Boynton 49:15 155:18 159:6 136:8,15 137:15 129:8,10 143:16 Brandon 138:9,14,15 cap 165:12,13 146:17 148:23 88:8,11,14

> PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

<u>capacity</u>

139:8,17

124:11,13 125:4 151:1,3 165:16 capped 165:9 carefully 79:21 117:14 Caroline 120:17 carried 163:10,25 166:20 case 48:2 52:14 53:11 54:4,9,12,13 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,8,15 59:3,7,11,12,25 61:9 62:17 63:9 64:1,9,11,15,16 65:2 70:1 71:4 75:4 77:15 80:2 85:20 86:24,25 87:7 102:23 105:1 126:24 127:4,12 128:6 132:23 134:12 137:18 138:3 139:13 140:24 160:18,24 162:6 164:24 166:7,14,18,23, 24 cases 57:2 60:6 63:24 64:7 128:7 142:1 cause 51:2 123:11 cc'd 147:15,17 **Center** 49:19 certain 90:23 130:7 certainly 146:9 159:8,17 certify 170:8 cetera 84:9 91:12 100:12,15,20 102:4 104:4 chair 72:20 chance 116:19 change 59:12 90:20 133:24 changed 115:12 charge 65:19 100:19 138:24 chatted 104:1 check 97:10 115:11,17

children 78:19

80:11,14 81:3

88:14,15 95:23 101:10 112:18 113:3,4 157:14 164:12 children's 124:5 choice 54:18 choices 165:5 chooses 67:11 **chosen** 71:1,2 Christine 112:6,7 CIRCUIT 48:1 circumstances 56:23 cited 163:15 City 51:5 claim 62:10 115:23 134:20 claims 90:22 clause 103:9,11,15,19 134:14,18,20 135:17,21 **clean** 123:21 clear 89:9 96:19 139:10 **clearly** 69:3,5,25 70:21 **clerk** 133:13 client 52:8,11,12,22 53:6 57:4 59:16,17 60:9,17,20 62:15 64:4 66:12,20,23 67:14,16 68:1,6,22,23 69:7,23 76:21 111:1 134:6,10,23 135:7,13 136:10,14 138:24 139:2 141:2,23 148:17,20 155:2,5 162:11 163:17 164:6,8 client-lawyer 52:7 60:15 clients 52:5 54:6 55:25 154:22,23 159:11 close 105:20 144:11 169:1

closely 69:2 91:1 closing 54:1 77:12 Colin 48:21 51:3 126:13 128:23 129:7 153:9 160:10 colon 116:3 column 58:22 comes 73:7 148:21 coming 74:8 86:1 165:11 **comment** 65:3,18 comments 58:24 65:17 66:16 committed 102:3 137:25 communicate 125:13 communicated 125:12 140:4 144:6 communication 85:13 119:23 134:24 communications 118:16 company 122:20 complain 62:16,18 63:7,11,13,25 70:1 complained 64:24 complaining 62:9,13 71:25 complaint 68:18 complete 121:6 144:19 170:11 composite 106:12 108:13 114:1,2 compromises 153:1 computer 135:17,18 136:1,3 concerning 61:21 80:23 150:12 concise 53:17 concisely 53:24 73:20 concluded 169:7 concludes 149:12 conclusion 141:21

Page 4 of 20 conditions 168:14 conduct 52:4 56:21 57:23 59:14 134:22 135;5 154;15 conducted 141:7 conference 152:25 confidential 54:10 75:22 76:11,23 77:2,17 83:25 84:1,10 89:14,16 91:11,12 99:23 100:3 103:5 104:21,22,24 115:23 117:3 118:17 121:19 139:12 141:16 144:5,7 148:15 confidentiality 90:6 146:8,9,10 149:11 confirm 93:13 confirmed 135:23 conflict 57:5 60:19 62:11,25 63:2 64:20 65:19 67:24 69:12,16 80:8,10 138:5,6 144:20 conflicted 70:8 86:4 140:20 conflicts 58:25 65:6 68:21 113:5 confused 137:6 confusing 158:13 connect 117:3 connection 68:19 79:11 consider 83:25 consideration 165:2 considering 162:9 consultation 60:18 162:13 consults 66:22 contact 86:4 88:1 89:19 contacted 86:3 87:20,24 88:6,8,18

89:5,11,20,22,2

Page 5 of 20

| | | <u> </u> | Page 5 of 20 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 4 90;3 102;20 | 96:6,10,21,24,2 | 79:16,20,24 | courtroom 51:4 |
| 126:22 127:3,10 | 5 98:16,19,20 | 80;18 | 149:19 |
| 133:21 136:12 | 99:16,20,24 | | 149:19 |
| 141:4 | 100:1 101:13 | 82:4,19,23 84:21 85:8,9 | courts 90:22 |
| | | | COWAN 49:19 |
| contacting 87:19 | 103:4,15,18 106:15,16 | 87:13 88:10,23,25 | |
| contained 118:21 | 107:14 108:21 | | Cox 126:21 |
| | | 89:2,6,17 | 128:3,5,10,16,1 |
| contemporaneous | 110:3,6 | 92:10,13 | 8,22 129:6,17 |
| 149:22 | 111:17,20 116:3 117:9 | 93:5,9,20,22 | 147:16,17,18 |
| contended 138;9 | | 94:1,7,9,22 | Craig 122:16 |
| | 120:3,12,13 | 95:4,7 98:4,12 | - |
| content 147:11 | 143:24 145:13 154:21 | 99:11 102:10,21 | created 157:6,7 |
| contest 54:21 | | 103:12,20 104:8 | credibility 73:2 |
| | 155:2,5,14,24 | 105:4,23 | _ |
| contingency | 156:3,21 157:15 | 106:3,8 107:3 | credit 138:15 |
| 138:17 | 158:1,4,8 | 108:1,15,17,20 | creditor 49:12 |
| continue 62:12 | 159:19 | 109:5,10,16,19 | 54:23 55:7 |
| 135;1 145;15 | 160:7,8,11,20 | 110:9 111:23 | 57:4,9 60:23 |
| | correspondences | 112:13,16,19,22 | 61:1,7 62:9 |
| continues 67:11 | 144:10 | 113:14,16,21,23 | 160:20 164:23 |
| continuing 64:2 | | 114:5,13,15,23 | 165:2 |
| 86:23 | counsel 48:12 | 115:3 | |
| contract 111.1C | 51:12,14 | 116:8,11,17 | criminal 79:15,17 |
| contract 111:16 | 52:15,16 53:5 | 117:5,12,17,20, | 90:22 103:7,24 |
| conversation | 54:2,18,19 55:6 | 24 | cross 50:6,9 |
| 82:10,17 83:8 | 56:16 59:2 71:2 | 118:1,6,19,23 | 80:18 87:15 |
| 97:1,22 | 72:1,21 73:22 | 119:7,9,15,17 | 153:21 |
| 100:7,17 120:4 | 76:5 77:21 94:6 | 121:2,24 | |
| 136:23 138:17 | 109:21 110:1 | 122:7,9,22,24 | cross-examination |
| 139:4,6,7 | 111:13 132:7,25 | 123:4,15,19 | 87:13 157:11 |
| 144:23 146:5 | 147:12 153:12 | 124:8,12,25 | 158:9 |
| 149:18,22 | 161:19 163:15 | 125:2,8,17,23 | Crystal 126:21 |
| 150:12,18 168:5 | 167:7 | 126:5,7 | 128:3,5,10,16,1 |
| conversations | counsel's 53:12 | 127:7,21 128:1 | 8,22 129:6,17 |
| 87;9 | | 129:1,4,25 | 147:16,17 |
| | County 48:1 | 130:10,13,15 | |
| 97:3,5,8,14 149:2 | 51:3,5 88:18 89:5 170:4 | 131:15,19,22,25 | cumulative 133:9 |
| 149:2 | 8915 17014 | 132:4,8,14,22 | curator 75:14 |
| conveyed 75:23 | couple 121:9 | 133:2,3,7,10,19 | 121:16 141:9 |
| 134:15,21 | course 100;6 | 142:17,22 | 143:17 160:25 |
| convince 61:16 | Course 100:6 | 143:4,6 145:23 | 161:20 162:17 |
| CONVINCE 01:10 | court 48:1 | 146:23 147:1 | 164:5,17 165:8 |
| convinced 93:15 | 51:9,23 53:14 | 148:1,3 | 167:19 |
| Coolis 77:14 | 55:2 56:2,17,19 | 149:14,24 | |
| | 57:2,14,19,22 | 150:1,6,15,17,2 | currently |
| copied 58:20 | 58:3,8,11,17 | 1 | 124:21,22 |
| 82:12,16 | 59:7,19 | 151:7,9,11,14,1 | custom 138:22 |
| copies 95:10 | 60:3,6,11,21 | 8 152:9,18 | 148:18 |
| 114:8 133:14 | 61:16,20 62:2,7 | 153:13,17,20 | |
| 142:10 149:6 | 63:7,9,15,17,21 | 159:25 | cut 146:5 |
| | 64:10,11 | 160:3,13,15,17, | |
| copy 82:15 93:7 | 65:5,8,11,14,16 | 22 | D |
| 98:18,21 106:8 | ,21,23 | 161:2,5,7,10,15 | dad 92:24 93:12 |
| 119:14 126:1 | 66:1,6,9,14,16 | ,22 | 94:12 121:12 |
| 132:25 133:3 | 67:5,7,21,23 | 162:1,14,18,20, | 138:1 151:4 |
| 142:4,9,11 | 68:13,15 | 22,23,24 | 152:15 $157:8$ |
| 146:25 | 69:10,17,20 | 163:2,5 | |
| correct 56:19 | 70:4,6,10,16 | 164:10,14,20 | dad's 74:20 |
| 61:25 62:1 | 71:4,9,16,18,20 | 165:11 | 93:4,14,19 |
| 72:12,14 | ,24 72:10,13,15 | 167:9,11,15,22 | 95:16,19,20 |
| 82:21,22 | 73:1,13,16 | 168:1,4,19,21,2 | 101:1,8 113:2 |
| - | 74:14,17 | 3 169:1 170:15 | 139:14 |
| 86:17,21,22 | 75:8,12 77:5,11 | | date 48:24 |
| 88:15 94:14 95:17 | 78:2,7,24 | Courthouse 51:4 | 93:20,22,24 |
| 95:17 | | | 23:40,44,44 |

Page 6 of 20

95:6 105:14 116:4,22 117:2,11 118:7,15 136:6,17 139;17,20 dated 134:14 148:7 170:12 dates 118:12,22,23 DAVID 170:7,15 day 51:7 70:15 111:4 134:9 136:24 137:9,10 138:12 146:17 147:7,14 170:12 days 83:18 165:5 deal 122:18 152:20 dealing 52:4 59:16 dealings 61:24 62:5 84:9 deals 65:6 dealt 73:25 dec 153:9,13 Deceased 48:7 decedent 164:13 decedent's 74:6,7 December 119:5 decided 136:13 decision 58:20 decisions 53:21 declare 55:20 declining 139:17,22 145:12 deficient 103:15,16 define 124:11 defined 60:8,20 delegated 154:17 Delray 51:5 demanded 145:20 demonstrate 76:7 denied 94:6 122:16 145:20 163:6 164:3,5,20 167:5,20,22 deny 154:12

depending 62:15 152:20 deposition 135:25 dereliction 63:4 describe 133:19 140:10 described 77:18,25 141:15 designed 80:1 desire 86:7 desk 137:13 detail 167:24 detailed 140:25 determine 54:24 135:6 148:19 150:23 determined 117:6 differ 80:11 difference 153:24 different 53:22 59:17 65:17 66:10 124:14 137:10 differing 90:15,17 91:5 direct 50:5,8 77:14 78:8 88:9 94:25 132:15 directed 122:12,17 directing 115:22 direction 152:21 directly 80:7 83:12 148:24 disadvantage 77:3 disadvantages 153:1 disagree 81:5 147:10 disagreed 80:25 147:9 discharge 107:11 disclose 104:20 disconnect 166:15 discretion 54:16 discuss 83:24 84:11 103:2,11 131:12 137:21 141:13 discussed 84:25 99:22 100:21

103:8 121:19 148:16 discusses 52:6 discussing 105:1 119:25 120:2,8 discussion 58:21 100:25 103:15 150:10 discussions 60:16 80:22 148:11,12 dispute 158:7,10,22 disqualification 53:11 56:11 61:17,19 64:6 77:20 disgualifications 53:2 disqualify 51:14 52:15 54:21 55:6 56:8 57:7,17 61:11 68:19 71:5 76:14 86:18 141:12 162:10 163:5,11 164:3 167:7 distinction 60:9 154:2,3 distraught 134:4 distributed 153:11 distributions 118:10 158:20 District 61:8 77:10 DIVISION 48:2 Dixie 49:20 document 103:17 108:3 110:12 114:2 115:17 126:1 127:14,18,24 128:9 138:20 146:18 156:17 159:12 documents 79:6 83:21 90:24 91:7,10 96:14,22,24 101:4,19 104:25 105:2,10,11 106:17,18,21,24 107:18,22,24,25

114:1 115:2 116:20 118:20 135:22,23,24 136:17 141:17,18,19 145:2 149:7 152:14 153:25 154:1,5,10 155:16,22 156:1,19,20,25 158:3 Don 75:25 101:21 102:15,18 126:15 134:12 135:20 137:16,17 138:5,6,7 139:15 155:7 159:18 Donald 48:13 118:9 done 123:1,3 do-not-rep 136:11 doubt 53:1 downtown 133:25 dozen 120:23 dozens 138:18 154:24 drive 49:6 118:2 due 157:14,16 duly 78:6 132:13 dump 159:12 during 136:24 duties 63:5 66:11 134:23 140:25 154:17 duty 141:23 154:23 Е earlier 94:16 96:1 162:7 economy 72:18 edification 95:15 edition 65:13 egregious 77:21 Eighteen 66:6 either 57:2 62:14,16 64:4 68:22 73:8 107:25 150:19 157:14

158:11,18

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

108:6

112:1,2,9,20

Page 7 of 20

| | | 1 | Page 7 of 20 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| element 159:21 | 96:1,4,8,12 | 91:1 100:10,17 | exact 68:3 98:10 |
| | 98:15,18 99:13 | 101:1,8 104:17 | |
| eligible 75:13 | 105:7,14,21,22 | 113:2 120:3 | EXAMINATION 50:3 |
| Eliot 48:9 49:2 | 106:14 108:23 | 121:10,12 129:9 | 78:8 87:15 |
| 50:4 52:21 55:9 | 109:2,3,5,11 | 130:24 131:3,6 | 130:16 132:15 |
| 56:3,5,9 57:8 | 114:2,3 | 133:22 134:5,12 | 153:21 160:4 |
| 58:14 59:22 | 115:8,10 | 137:25 139:14 | examine 132:3,7 |
| 60:24 61:24 | 116:1,18,23 | 140:12,17 149:7 | |
| 62:2,5,9,13,14, | 117:8,11,17 | 151:5,13,17 | examined 135:18 |
| 18,19,25 | 118:3,15,21 | 152:1,14,16 | exceed 165:10 |
| 63:2,5,7,11,16, | 119:3,23 | 153:8 155:13,14 | |
| 17,21 64:25 | 136:21,25 | 156:18,21 | except 60:18 96:23 |
| 66:19 67:2,7 | 137:2,4 141:10 | 157:2,9,19 | 96:23 |
| 68:1,5,20 | 142:3,24 | 160:7,18 161:1 | excluded 95:23 |
| 69:1,5,13,20 | 143:18,21,25 | 163:22 164:25 | excuse 51:18 76:3 |
| 70:1,2,5,7,13,1 | 144:16 146:13 | 165:1 | 101:10,13,24 |
| 9,21,24,25 | 147:8,11 | 166:17,18,23 | 110:23 115:25 |
| 71:10,23,24 | 148:7,9 149:13 | | 116:6,24 141:17 |
| 72:3,6,13,16,24 | 155;17 | estates 80:11 | 164:8 |
| ,25 73:12,14,24 | · | 91:6 | |
| 74:3,10,14 | e-mailed 136:22 | 100:14,18,24 | executed 132:22 |
| 75:22 | e-mails | 101:2 140:13,22 | exhibit |
| 76:12,21,24 | 91:11,13,16,19, | et 48:16 84:9 | 50:15,16,17,18, |
| 77:3,19,23 | 20,24 92:2,5 | 91:12 | 19,20 53:7 |
| 78:6,11 | 117:22 130:21 | 100:12,14,20 | 82:15,20 |
| 92:10,17 113:16 | 131:18 135:22 | 102:4 104:4 | 94:15,18,25 |
| 116:17 117:7 | 136:2 141:15 | 1 | 106:3,12 108:14 |
| 118:16 122:25 | 144:15,18 | ethical 86:19 | 109:7,13 |
| 124:15 130:18 | - | 140:25 | 112:1,10 |
| 131:17 133:4,15 | emergency 118:9 | ethics 141:25 | 114:1,18 118:12 |
| 135:19 | employed | | 119:11 121:2 |
| 136:8,15,20,23 | 124:21,22,23 | evasive 159:21 | 126:8 136:7,25 |
| 137:7 138:15 | | evening 97:16 | 137:2,22 139:21 |
| 139:7 140:6,9 | employees 149:3,8 | 136:24 137:4,6 | 142:20 143:7,10 |
| 141:1,10,18,19, | encouraging 72:8 | 144:13 | 146:22 147:4 |
| 24 142:7,12,24 | ensues 60:15 | eventually 109:17 | 148:8 149:13 |
| 144:12,15 145:3 | | - | 150:4 155:8,17 |
| 146:10,12,19 | enter 168:1 | everybody 116:2 | 156:1 |
| 147:13 | entire 66:1 | 162:7 | |
| 148:13,16,21 | | everyone 64:13 | exhibits 50:13 |
| 152:6,10,13,25 | Entirely 149:5 | 72:19 162:2 | 121:3 132:9 |
| 154;4 155;4 | entity 107:14 | | 136:16 |
| 157:6,7,10,11,1 | 157:5 | everyone's 138:19 | exist 53:20 |
| 4,17,20 | | everything 91:4 | |
| 158:8,11,17,20, | especially 168:8 | 141:14 157:20 | existence 53:8 |
| 22 160:22 | Esq | 159:14 162:4 | existing 59:18 |
| 163:7,16,24,25 | 49:5,8,13,14,18 | | 139:2 154:22 |
| 167:8 | | evidence 50:14 | |
| 168:4,21,22 | essence 116:21 152:22 | 53:24 59:22 | exists 63:2 |
| 169:2 | | 70:10,11 | expenses 122:4 |
| Eliot's 137:1 | establish 61:18 | 72:2,23 73:22 | 124:6 |
| | established 79:5 | 75:19,21,23 | experienced 123:1 |
| 159:5 163:8 | 80:7 | 77:24 78:3,4 | - |
| else 64:1,6 66:24 | | 90:23 101:6 | explain 90:17 |
| 67:12 91:4 | establishment | 108:14 109:8,14 | 133:19 |
| 104:3 117:15 | 118:14 | 114:7,12,19 | explained 123:17 |
| 145:15,25 162:7 | estate 48:6 51:10 | 116:12 119:12 | - |
| else's 141:20 | 55:8 61:14,16 | | exposing 104:23 |
| | 74:4,9,11,21,25 | 133:1,6 143:2,8 | express 86:7 |
| e-mail 53:7 81:21 | 75:6 76:1,16 | 146:21 147:5 | - |
| 82:9,12,15,24,2 | 77:4 78:20 | 148:8 | expressed 86:16 |
| 5 83:4,7,19 | 79:5,11 80:6,15 | evidentiary 58:10 | 91:6 101:16 |
| 86:5,13 92:19 | 81:4 | - | expression 61:23 |
| 95:1 | 90:16,20,21 | evidently 134:7 | - |
| | 50110/20/21 | | |

Page 8 of 20 extensive 64:11 89:13 90:11 133:2,3,10,12 49:3,7,10,15,20 93:21 94:16 51:6 52:24 153:9,12 161:9 extra 142:11 53:22 59:13 98:21 99:10 filed 51:14 52:14 eye 86:11 105:13,16 107:2 78:13,17 89:11 56:5,7,9,12 108:16,25 102:2 141:25 62:13 70:12,17 111:9,21,24 170:4,8 85:8,20 94:19 F 112:11 113:13 108:1 132:23,25 focus 66:21 79:16 fabricated 134:7 114:14,22 141:8 144:20 139:15 155:7 face 58:7 115:1,5 161:11,17 162:11 116:5,9,17,24 164:14,16 fact 53:4,8 76:18 folks 152:18 117:2,9 166:21 77:16 84:5 168:2 118:13,14 123:6 91:18 95:16 **filing** 101:11 124:10,24 125:7 follow-up 82:10 101:23,24 126:2 127:5 86:13 109:3 Finally 77:20 104:15 120:23 128:25 129:2,24 128:8 134:9 finding 76:18 forced 122:3 130:14,17 145:8 155:5 77:16 131:14,18,20,24 foregoing 170:10 157:23 133:4,6,8,15 fine 71:17 forged 101:5 facts 55:20 58:7 143:5 145:22 finish 73:17 103:16 61:13 137:20 146:24 147:25 79:21,22 114:23 139:10 148:19 149:18,23,25 forgeries 100:10 152:19150:10,11,16 103:8 faith 101:18 152:8,12 finished 162:3 forgery 84:6 familiar 152:1 153:17,18,22 99:25 102:1,3 firm 49:9 54:21 154:14 160:1,19,21,23 103:16 119:25 61:7,14,25 156:18,20 161:1,3,6,8,14 62:20 73:23 162:5 163:15 forget 144:21 Family 124:7 75:23 76:15,16 165:7,18 160:6 forgive 103:14 84:11 87:19,20 167:3,10,13,18, 90:4 92:6,19 108:22 114:1 fast 138:18 23 168:3 96:5 105:12 form 70:17 105:13 father 80:7 90:24 Feaman's 79:25 106:15,19,22 124:11 127:5,8 94:3 121:10 107:19,22,25 February 48:24 128:25 father's 80:8 110:16 129:1,4,24 51;7 82:21 111:16,19 101:15 102:4 91:25 92:1,21 145:22 129:11 131:10 112:2,8,10 95:5 98:15,18 formal 60:3,7 115:24 125:12 99:17 105:7,15 favor 53:1 111:24 164:11 127:3,10 134:24 108:17,23 favorable 164:1 136:10,22 formed 52:19 53:6 142:7,12,25 138:18 111:14 143:11,15 faxed 162:6 140:16,20 145:11 former 62:15 64:4 Feaman 49:13,14 147:11 148:12 146:16,20 147:7 68:1,5,23 94:6 50:5,9 148:7,10 firm's 58:23 162:11 51:13,16,17,21 fee 111:18 138:14 52:1 55:18 first 51:15 55:18 formerly 60:1 56:14,18 57:14,16 67:2 69:22 feel 84;10 86;23 57:15,21 68:7 73:10,13 87:11 89:15 forming 52:7 58:1,5,12,18 76:17 86:4 91:4 104:22 59:21 93:25 97:20 forms 101:17 152:24 60:1,5,8,13 106:12 110:21 forth 52:18 58:9 fees 102:25 165:9 61:21 62:1,6 115:4 117:5 61:10 130:21 63:3,8,13,16,19 123:13,15 132:1 felt 70:8 64:10144:3 148:9 Forty-six 143:18 86:3,12,20 65:3,6,9,12,15, 158:21 141:16 forward 70:23 19.22 fit 83:1 72:20 fiction 76:9 66:3,4,8,13,15 FITZGERALD 49:5 foundation 80:17 67:6,20 fiduciary 75:6,7 116:6,10 68:14,25 101:18 125:4 five 97:24 99:14 69:15,19 135:8 151:3 138:25 145:2 Fourth 77:10,18 72:6,12,14 FIFTEENTH 48:1 Flagler 49:6 fraud 90:19 102:1 73:11 78:9 79:3 119:25 80:4,21 82:8,22 figured 73:13 Fleisher 87:24 83:2,3 84:24 86:13 88:1,5 fraudulent 101:5 87:12 88:9 file 54:20 132:22 Florida 48:1

Page 9 of 20

free 138:24 Friday 137:3 139:20 140:1,2 FRIEDSTEIN 49:17 friend 138:8 front 94:15 97:10 105:8 106:1 127:14,18 128:8,16 146:18 fully 150:18 fund 101:25 **funding** 102:24 G game 117:20 games 117:18 **Garber** 120:19 generally 140:10 gentleman 138:7 gets 139:6 getting 71:18 91:2 95:5 100:20 gifts 152:17 given 149:4 166:14 giving 164:2 Glasko 49:18 161:20 162:16,20,24 163:4 164:8,12,18 165:7,20 **GOLDEN 49:19** governs 55:13 grab 114:20 grandchildren 120;11 granted 165:3 great 134:3 159:10 168:23 greater 77:24 Greenberg 120:21 ground 112:15 guardianship 74:19 quardianships 140:14 quess 137:1 147:17 159:4

Guffey 49:14 н hac 61:12 half 139:9 148:14 hall 150:13 hand 78:5 94:24 113:25 125:25 handed 93:13,19 105:21 110:12 115:8 128:9 handing 106:11 handle 140:12 handles 144:18 happen 57:8 64:8 happened 122:6 139:7 141:12 happens 54:7 hard 118:2 harm 69:10,11 harmed 63:3 68:21 69:9 70:9 haven't 70:12 89:22 115:19 117:6 127:23 having 54:17 100:24 147:2 164:25 head 139:23 heading 65:18 108:25 headset 137:13,14 hear 51:15 53:13 73:3 85:16 162:24 163:2 heard 51:22 54:3 59:8 147:20 hearing 51:13 58:10 135:25 150:23 hearsay 133:8 He'll 106:8 help 72:1,20,22 87:10 139:3 helped 157:10 helping 123:11 here's 61:20 62:7 114:16 122:25 162:2 168:9,10 he's 60:8,25 66:22 68:6

71:1,2 72:16 74:5,6,23 82:2 86:22 105:4 117:3 123:7 125:5 138:7 151:5,15 163:9 hey 54:9 141:11 highly 144:5 148:14 Highway 49:20 hire 54:25 74:25 76:16 136:13 139:11 hired 62:4,22 110:24 113:1,3 hold 51:23 83:2 149:24 Holdings 61:9,10 107:9,10 157:2,5,24 158:15159:3,6,17 home 110:14 137:14 Honor 51:17,18 52:1,2,9,14,23 53:15 54:15,22 55:18 57:1,13 58:19 59:10 67:4,20,22 68:12,25 70:3,25 73:20 75:2,5 76:14 77:8 78:1 80:5 87:14 88:21 108:13 110:8 111:21 113:20 114:10 116:16 117:2 119:13 122:23 126:4 130:8 132:6,12 133:5 136:20 142:6,19 143:3 146:22,25 150:14 151:8 153:16 160:12,14,16 161:21 162:6 168:18 HONORABLE 48:21 hope 138:11 hotline 141:25 hour 83:17 97:1,20 139:8,9 148:14 165:9 hours 159:13

158:12 Howard 61:9 hundred 78:21 115:19 hurt 69:19 123:16 hurting 123:12 hype 166:14 **I.D** 116:12 **IANTONI** 49:17 I'd 54:1 86:13 96:6 108:13 114:11 115:11,16 132:22,25 **ID** 115:3 130:10 idea 112:25 128:11 150:19 159:4 identical 64:15 68:8 identification 50:14 126:9 142:19,21 identified 106:14 116:18 145:3 identify 117:5 II 48:21 **I'll** 57:16 70:14 73:17 80:18 89:17 109:16 112:14 114:15 117:16 119:16 121:5 133:14,16 150:4 153:18 160:23 166:1 illegally 90:25 I'm 53:16,18,23 55:3 56:20 60:3 61:22 62:7,17,23 63:12,23 64:6,25 68:5 69:13 71:5,18,25 72:2,7,17,23 73:5 74:2,3,8 76:2,4,7 78:21 79:14 88:3,23 89:8 92:7 94:24,25 98:25 99:3,6 104:23 105:20 106:2,11 109:25 112:19

113:25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

house 157:6

Page 10 of 20

.

| | | | Page 10 of 20 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 115:15,18,22 | infer 65:24 | interested 55;8 | 64:1,15 65:9 |
| 117:15,19 | | 57:9 74:10,23 | 66:9 69:8,10 |
| 118:20 119:1 | informal 150:22 | 152:10 163:9 | 70:17 73:7,9 |
| 123:13 125:25 | information 54:10 | 164:23 | 74:21 75:9 |
| 127:21 128:15 | 55:23 60:17 | 104;25 | 76:13 77:16 |
| 132;2 133;11 | 64:23 69:6 | interesting | |
| | 75:22 76:11,24 | 139:10 | 87:5 89:15 94:8 |
| 138:12 141:11 | - | | 95:13 97:5 |
| 144:21 154:3 | 77:2,17,19 82:6 | interests 69:2,4 | 101:10 104:22 |
| 159:8,20 161:13 | 84:2,10 87:8 | 76:18 79:10 | 105:16 106:1,23 |
| 162:3,18 | 90:3,6 91:3 | 90:15 121:17 | 108:22,24,25 |
| 163:2,7 | 96:16 99:23 | 163:22 | 109:1 110:16 |
| 164:2,21 | 100:3 | interject | 114:2 116:23 |
| 168:14,23,24 | 103:6,19,22 | 70:2,5,11 | 117:7,10,11 |
| 169;1 | 104:15,21,24,25 | | 118:25 |
| immediate 140:7 | 105:2 115:23 | Internet 126:18 | 123:7,13,15 |
| | 121:19 | 147:23 | 124:13 125:4 |
| Impeachment | 128:5,10,12,16, | interpretation | 127:5,6,17 |
| 125:1,2 | 17,22,24 | 121:23 | 128:12 129:2 |
| <pre>important 79:1</pre> | 129:3,6,16 | | 132:24 133:7,8 |
| 92:8 | 139:12 141:17 | interrupt 145:14 | 136:7,9 137:1 |
| | 144:5,7 147:22 | 159:9 | 138:14 152:15 |
| impose 54:16 | 148:15 149:4,8 162:25 | interrupted 135:2 | 156:17 157:3,17 |
| <pre>improper 103:12 116:5</pre> | informed 134:6 | intimate 87:7 | Ivan 48:9 78:11 |
| impropriety 52:25 | 146:1 | introduce 75:19 | I've 85:9,23 87:9 89:5,9,20 90:21 |
| | inherit 80:14 | introduced 75:21 | 91:6 94:5,8 |
| inaccuracies | 121:12 152:6,13 | 114:7 133:1 | |
| 148:6 | | 4 mm + 4 + 4 | 95:20 96:19 |
| inaccurate | inheritances | investigation | 110:15 111:7 |
| 144:1,15,17 | 140:14 | 104:1 134:22 | 115:7 123:2 |
| 145:25 148:25 | initial 82:17 | 135:6 141:7 | 124:6 127:24 |
| 149:5 | 111;18 138;14 | 143:22 | 128:24 132:21 |
| | 141:5 | investigations | 141:14 142:7 |
| included 81:3 | 141:5 | 100:11 104:6 | 145:17 146:8 |
| 155:22 168:5 | initiated 81:16 | | 156:15 164:18 |
| including 149:2 | injury 75:4 | investigative | I-V-I-E-W-I-T |
| 161:20 | | 126:21 | 117:24 |
| | inquiries 103:7 | involved 54:11 | |
| inconsistencies | instead 58:14 | 56:23 61:11 | |
| 76:8 | 161:20 | 67:1 99:25 | J |
| Incorrect 126:19 | 101:20 | 121;18 134;4 | Janet 122:16 |
| | Institute 133:23 | 166:16 | - |
| increment 165:10 | instructions | involvement 86:24 | January 133:22 |
| incrementally | 153:10,13 | 154:18 | jar 165:22,25 |
| 165:12 | intake 135:13,15 | involving 58:25 | Jill 49:17 164:9 |
| indeed 52:19 | 136:4,22 140:7 | 134:12,20 | job 159:10 |
| independently | 144:19 148:13 | 135:20 139:14 | 2 |
| 70:19 | 149:6 154:6,11 | | Joel 87:6,20,21 |
| | intakes 136:5 | isn't 75:3 98:7 | John 49:8 50:7 |
| indicated 81:2 | | 101:11 117:22 | 91:20 92:5 |
| 135:9 145:20 | intends 147:11 | 125:3 159:18 | 132:13,18 |
| 147:9 | intention 153:12 | issue 59:3 61:18 | |
| indication 134:1 | | 74:1 119:2 | join 72:3 |
| | interest 52:11 | 135:16 139:2 | joinder 71:14 |
| Indigo 61:9 | 57:5 58:25 | 142:2 152:9,21 | joined |
| individual 55:17 | 62:11 64:7,20 65:7 67:15,24 | 158:21 | 70:18,19,24 |
| individually | - | issues 57:3,11 | |
| 151:20,24 | 68:22 | 125:12 | joining 72:7,16 |
| 158:17 | 69:12,13,16 | | judge 51:3 57:17 |
| | 80:6 91:5 | item 95:9 | 71:19 73:2,19 |
| individuals | 100:24 101:1 | it's 55:6,8,10 | 86:14 106:5 |
| 104:13 | 121:10 163:12 | 59:11,15 62:17 | 126:13 128:23 |
| | 164:24 | | 129:7 153:9 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 63:12,22 | |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 11 of 20

160:10 162:16 164:13 judge's 149:19 judicial 48:1 72:18 165:24 July 93:25 170:12 к kicker 54:4 kicking 54:13,14 kids 158:12 Kimberly 102:1 kinds 100:4 knew 104:3 knowledge 58:1 77:17 87:7 known 128:3 148:2 KONOPKA 49:6 г lack 80:16 116:6,9 lacks 74:4,22 language 68:4,11 large 149:3,7 170:8 last 58:22 81:7 91:23 95:17 107:9 122:25 125:9 139:8 **lasted** 97:20,22 139:9 late 74:8 78:14 later 138:9 143:18 148:21 launching 100:12 law 49:9,19 52:23,24 56:14 57:24 58:3,5,23 75:23 76:16 78:3 81:8 84:11 87:19,20 90:4 92:6,19 102:2 105:11 106:15,19,22 107:19,22,25 110:16 111:16,19 112:2,8,10 125:12 134:24 138;21 139;5 140:11,16 155:25 162:14 163:14 164:24

lawsuit 75:3 **lawyer** 52:6,10 59:1 60:16 62:4 63:25 64:19,20 65:24 67:13 69:8,22 102:6 123:7 140:19,23 154:17,18 162:12,13 165:9 lawyer-client 59:23 64:22 66:24 lawyers 56:22 64:16 90:7,9 111:1 120:21 135;9 136;13 138:3 169:2 Lawyer's 57:23 **leading** 129:21 learn 70:13 159:2 learned 60:17 152:24 158:25 **least** 70:19 leave 121:6 led 64:23 left-hand 58:22 legal 61:18,23 62:5 79:14 100:13,17 111:15 122:20 139:2 154:16,20 lengthy 149:2 less 97:24 139:9 144:23 148:13 let's 66:12 73:25 78:2,25 92:4,7 96:19 115:3 117:17 124:17 150:3 letter 108:18 109:22 110:2,5,13 111:3,7 114:7,11 136:7,8,11,14 139:16,22 143:14,16 145:11,15,25 146:6 155:10 letters 118:9 119:5 library 71:11 life 87:8 111:7 138:10

light 164:1 **likely** 117:15 limit 123:8 limitations 137:24 line 68:7 95:9 144:3,4 148:9 lines 99:15 liquidating 147:18 Lisa 49:17 164:8 list 89:21 108:4 listed 106:18 156:19 **listen** 79:22 102:21 117:13 121:24 122:7,9 123:4 124:15 138:19 litem 164:5 167:20 literally 138:18 litigation 65:23 140:12 148:22 153:5 litigators 54:5 little 53:22 66:18 124:13 165:21 live 121:22 133:12 lives 157:6,7,18 **LLC** 61:10 107:9,10,13 108:5 157:2,5,7,8,18, 23.24 158:7,10,11,13, 15 159:3,6,17 lodge 162:21 logic 62:8 long 81:20 83:16 97:21 120:5 155:19 lot 84:10 115:17 121:18 138:23 lots 54:6 м mainly 100:9 maintenance

malpractice 75:25 101:12,20 102:15,18,19,23 103:2 110:19 134:20 137:18,21,24,25 139:13 159:18,22 manager 122:19 Manceri 120:15 mark 120:15,19 142:18 150:4 marked 50:13 82:14 91:11,12 109:7,13 114:18 119:11 126:8 142:20 143:7 147:4 MARSAA 170:7,15 Martin 48:21 51:3 material 77:17 145:1 Materiality 149:25 materially 52:11 67:15 76:19,20 153:1 163:23 materials 144:25 145:5,8 matter 52:8,13 59:8 61:13 62:8 67:17 68:10 72:19 88:19 89:11,25 95:6 134:2,5 135:20 136:3 matters 76:1 103:24 104:11 135:8 139:14 151:21,24 153:3 may 51:21 56:2,3,23 59:3 62:22 63:13 65:23 67:8 70:2 73:25 74:19 75:17 78:24 80:19 93:7 94:21 98:17 99:10,11 106:5 108:11 110:7 113:19,21 114:20 116:25 118;25 119:13 125:22,23 126:4 136:20 142:6 146:15 150:4 154:16 165:14

168:9

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

157:19

Page 12 of 20

maybe 66:18 72:1 144;23 97:25 119:4 mean 56:2,19 105:1462:18 65:1 71:9 74:18 75:14 117:10 77:6 104:20 model 53:23 109:24 118:1,2,25 122:25 141:3 145:14 152:6 156:10 159:8 168:6.8 means 95:13 112:17 123:7 meant 99:3 meet 133:24 134:9 meeting 142;12 96:14,17,24 money 84:18 134:2,25 141:5 meetings 144:21,22 146:4 member 107:13,17 members 144:17 149:4 157:8 monies 146:2 month 138:19 memorializes 53:8 mention 137:24 Morley 82:1 mentioned 89:10 93:1 95:9 121:11 134:17 142:3 167:12,13 mentioning 168:15 motion 51:14 merit 164;21 52:15,18 met 134:10 135:3 55;5,15 141:4 Metcalf 162:7,8 58:15 Michelle 82:1,25 108:20,24 109:2,5,12 136:22,25 68:19 137:2,5 140:5,7 141:7,13 71:5,12 144:9,12,18 145:2 149:10 155:18,20,25 158:5 159:6 middle 73:4 163:5,8 mind 92:9 115:24 165:3 minimum 148:19 minors 88:15 minute 65:16 162:19 minutes 97:24 138:24 139:1 149:14

141:10 143:18

Mischaracterizes missing 116:4 mom 113:2 137:25 139:14 152:15 moment 91:17 103:6 116:1 146:1 165:6 moments 67:9 mom's 151:5,6 Monday 108:22 139:25 140:3,6 85:2,11 121:22 125:5,6 139:11 157:13,21,22 158:17,23 Moran's 102:1 108:20 109:2 morning 133:22 mother 129:11 53:7,10 54:20 56:4,5,7 57:10 61:11,12,22 62:13 63:22 64:15 66:4 70:12,17,23 72:17,24 76:4 86:15 94:19,24 106:4,13 141:8 143:16 160:25 161:3,16,18,23 164:3,4,19,20 167:6,7,19 motions 167:3 move 94:10 108:13 114:11 125:7 143:2 146:21

moving 55:12 56:4 58:13 64:18 116:12 164:11 MRACHEK 49:5 myself 90:25 N Nancy 49:14 narrative 123:9,22 narrow 122:10 nature 134:23 140:10 necessarily 153:7 necessary 150:23 156:20,22 negative 110:4 neglected 65:25 neutrality 72:9 nice 166:22 nods 139:23 non-beneficiaries 95:24 none 88:25 134:16 non-issue 156:24 nor 63:19 144:16 no-rep 136:7 normal 117:22 normally 91:9 Norman 87:24 88:1.5 notarized 107:8 notary 100:11 102:3 103:9,11,12,13, 15,16,19 134:14,18,20 135:17,21 137:19 notes 149:21 150:2,6,9,12 170:11 nothing 74:16 101:8 115:12 140:8 147:24 153:15 159:17 160:9 166:1 notice 71:1 85:19,24 117:21 notices 91:2 novel 137:20

NW 49:2 78:13 0 object 112:14 124:10 133:6 145:22 150:11 objected 75:14 objecting 70:4 105:4 123:7 objection 72:4,6 80:16 82:2 89:13 93:21 105:13 108:15,16 112:11 113:13 114:13,14 115:1 116:5,9 118:13 119:9 124:14 125:7,8 127:5 128:25 129:24 143:4,5 146:23,24 147:9,25 148:4 149:23 150:15,24 152:8 162:21 obtain 85:5 108:6 112:5 obtained 108:8 112:2 obviously 86:20 166:5 offering 117:3 office 67:8 81:22 82:18 83:5,8 111:3 130:22 133:25 134:11,19 135:12,13 136:8,14 137:13 141:8 144:9,17 149:5 153:25 154:12155:18,25 offices 81:8 Oh 84:22 85:18,23 102:9 111:10 142:10 155:20 okay 51:9,10 53:14 55:2 56:19 58:11 59:19 60:21 62:23 63:9 65:5,14,21 66:1,6,11,15,18 69:17,24 71:20 72:3,24,25

73:10,14,20

Page 13 of 20

.

| 74:17 75:8 73 | :15,16,18 | page 50:3 | 119:13,16,18 |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 11111113 | 58:19,20 | 121:5,8 |
| 79.1 16 24 | | 65:12,15,17 | 122:1,14 |
| 80:12 Oppe | enheimer | 106:12,23 | 122.1,14 |
| 81:15,17,20 | 2:17 | 115:20,25 116:1 | 125:1,3,10,17,2 |
| | ortunity 54:3 | 119:20,22 | |
| | - | 143:13 | 1,24 126:4,6,10 |
| 84:22 85:25 oppo | sing 52:16 | 143:13 | 127:9 128:2 |
| 86:10,20 87:12 | :12 54:2 | pages 107:9 | 129:5 |
| 89:6 90:2,11 56 | :15,17,18 | 116:20 | 130:1,4,8,12,22 |
| 91:15,25 59 | :2 65:19 | paid 102:25 | 131:12,25 |
| 92:7,12,13,24 13 | 0:23 162:10 | pard 102:25 | 132:2,5,10,13,1 |
| 94:1,9,21 95:7 | sition 161:25 | Palm 48:1 49:7,10 | 8 141:11 143:10 |
| | | 51:5 88:17 89:5 | 153:23 160:16 |
| | . 71:14 | 170;4 | 161:13,17,21,23 |
| 100:5 101:3 | r 166:23 | Palmetto 49:19,20 | 163:12,18,20 |
| 102:22 103:5,14 | 7:6,18,23 | | 165:7,17 |
| L TODIA | 8:2,12,16 | Pam 95:24 | 168:18,20 |
| | | Pankauski 49:8,9 | Pankauski's 63:5 |
| | rs 167:2,5 | 50:6,7 51:18 | 81:22 82:18 |
| 107:4,16,18,21 ore | 162:21 | 52:20 53:14,15 | 83:5,8 84:11 |
| | 4:18,20 | 56;8 | 130:21 |
| 112:9,14,19 | - | 57:1,5,7,13 | |
| | inal 95:21 | 59:9,10,24 | paper 165:21 |
| | 3:13 156:5,9 | 60:10,22,25 | papers 53:4 |
| 117:12,16 orig | inally 140:19 | 61:24 | 132:19 144:20 |
| 118:6,11,19 | _ | 62:4,12,20,24 | paperwork 164:15 |
| | ndo 133:23 | 64:1,21 | |
| / | 5:4 | 66:22,23 | paragraph |
| 123:4,23 124:4 othe | rs 89:18,20 | 67:4,7,10,22 | 110:18,23 |
| 125:8,22 126:7 11 | 7:8 141:20 | 68:2,8,12,23 | paralegals |
| 128:1 14 | 8:24 | 70:22,25 | 154:16,20 |
| 129:18,21,22 | rwise 69:14 | 71:7,14,17,19,2 | - |
| 10010/0/10 | IWISE 09:14 | 2 72:4 | parents 76:1 |
| | ome 91:5 | 73:15,17,19 | Partially 113:9 |
| 132:4,6 133:5 | omes 90:15,17 | 74:16,18 | - |
| T22'2 T20'T2 | | 75:11,16 76:16 | participate 72:1 |
| | ated 59:11 | 77:7,13 80:16 | 74:4,20 |
| 149:16 150:6,15 | ide 62:10 | 81:9,25 82:2,7 | participation |
| 151:11,14,18 79 | :24 88:9 | 83:11,24 | 75:8 |
| | 9:19 152:16 | 85;13,16,20 | |
| 155:/,44 | 8:25 | 86:1,16 | particular 136:6 |
| 150:2,11 | | 87:14,16 | 155;2 |
| | lap 66:19 | 88:12,21 | parties 48:12 |
| 159:23 over | paid 113:15 | 89:7,23 91:20 | 134:16 |
| 100:1,13,17,23 | | 92:5,16 | party 54:22 55:12 |
| | rule 89:17 | 93:10,24 | 56:4,17,18 |
| | 2:19 | 94:2,11,23 | 58:4,17,18 |
| 163:5 164:10 over | ruled 88:10 | 95:6,8 96:2 | 65:20 68:20 |
| | 9:10 124:15 | 98:6,14,23,24 | 71:25 164:11 |
| 167:2,9,22 | 134;22 | 99:2,6,12 | |
| 10012/3/1//23 | | 102:13 103:1 | party's 77:21 |
| 169:4 14 | 1:1,23 | 102:13 103:1 | 134:16 |
| Olive 49:9 owne | d 157:7,18 | 105:6,15,18,19, | passed 68:15 |
| | | 24 106;5,10 | - |
| one-page 110:12 | | 107:12 | patience 159:15 |
| 136:4 | <u>P</u> | 107:12 | pay 79:20,21 |
| ones 90:5 91:23 P.A | 49:6,14,19 | 109:9,15,18,20, | 84:18 97:7 |
| | ,(and 48:12 | 23 110:2,7,10 | 113:8,11 |
| | | 111:12,25 | 122:4,12,17 |
| | 48:25 137:5 | 112:23 | 123:10 124:4,6 |
| 00000 13013 133114 1 | 2:8 143:11,15 | 112:23 | 138:16 |
| opening 51:21,24 | 6:17 169:7 | 113:19,22,24 114:10,20 $115:6$ | |
| 53:17 pack | et 55:5 | 114:10,20 115:6 | paying 157:19 |
| | | 110:13 110:1/ | · |

Page 14 of 20

proceedings 48:20

payments 158:7,10,11,14 pending 160:24 people 55:24 100:19 114:4 119:23 125:13 166:5 168:11,13 percent 78:21 115:19 perform 154:16 performed 64:21 period 110:25 permit 60:19 158:19 permitted 60:25 61:1 person 52:5 55:8 57:9 62:10 63:24 64:3 69:24 74:11,21,24 81:13 135:12,15 136:4,23 148:13 149:6 152:10 154:6,11 162:12 163:9 164:23 165:8 166:2 personal 48:15 75:4 86:24 93:17 100:19,23 121:16 130:23 131:2.6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1 personally 48:13,14,15 83;7 92:5,18 perspective 162:11 Peter 49:13,14 petition 85:8 107:11 petitioner 48:10 49:1 55:7 phone 81:13,14,17,21 121:20 138:23 144:11,22 148:24 149:1 phonetic 77:15 physically 161:22 pick 166:1,5,10 picks 166:3 piece 165:21

placed 127:18 plan 152:1,14 156:19,21 157:2,10 planners 90:20 planning 133:23 149:7 plans 133:24 152:16 **Plaster** 120:21 play 117:18 playing 117:20 pleading 55:12 56:6,12 pleadings 55:14 63:19 please 78:10 88:13,17 89:10 93:13 98:25 100:8 115:20,24 119:20 123:22 125:16,18 130:1 146:1 **PLLC** 49:9 plural 148:11 point 75:2 78:21 102:24 118:24 163:16 points 54:2 **police** 100:11 politely 70:7 86:18 139:3 portion 166:18 position 55:21 59:21 60:22 62:19 69:9 70:20 71:20 72:8 74:2,3 153:1,24 154:7,9 166:13 positive 63:23 possession 83:21 possibility 52:6 possible 63:10 Possibly 90:1 postdated 103:8 post-death 134:14 postmortem 90:24 102:3 potential 68:21 70:11 101:20

power 120:8 PR 75:13 151:5 161:5,18 practice 136:9 138:21,22 140:11 148:18 Pratt 88:8,11,14 113:10 pre-dates 59:12 predicate 116:10 Predominantly 140:13 prepare 63:19 prepared 115:10 presence 150:20 154:18 165:25 present 49:22 51:12 81:17 presented 103:12 presently 78:17,20 79:4 85;3 previously 106:14 145:3 primarily 59:1 prior 63:24 86:16 147:3 156:23,24 private 144:4 privilege 112:12 162:9 privity 61:3,5,10,13 pro 48:9 49:2 61:12 72:13 probably 72:2 116:15 140:5 155:20 probate 48:2 159:11 probative 118:15 problem 63:23 135:9 problems 100:10 procedural 68:16 procedure 57:20,22 58:2,4,6,9 132:24 138:22 141:6 proceeding 52:17

51:2 118:23 160:9 169:6 170:10 product 128:9 154:19 professional 52:4,25 56:21 57:23 59:14 154:15 170:7 professionally 48:13,14,16 proffer 150:16 prohibit 54:17 135:7 promise 146:7,8,10 promised 149:11 prongs 77:25 proof 53:19 164:1 proper 55:24 91:2 101:16 123:8 properly 59:3 property 74:21 93:17 153:10 proposed 99:18 145:16,17 prospective 52:4,8,12,21,22 53:5,6 54:6 55:25 59:16,17 60:9,16,20 62:14 64:4,14 66:11,20,23 67:16 68:6,22 69:6 76:21 134:23 135:13 136:10 138:23 141:2,23 148:17 154:23 155:2,5 159:11 163:17

prospects 154:25

protected 77:18

prove 77:24

provide 51:19
106:21 107:21
128:5 132:25
148:20

provided 90:2,3
105:11 106:19
107:19,24
111:18
128:10,17,22,24
129:3,6,16
141:19

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

104:17

Page 15 of 20

152:14,16 public 100:11 103:22 104:16,19 107:25 108:3 125:13,14 128:12 135:24 publicly 125:11 published 53:21 126:17,20 147:22 pull 118:2 punishment 77:22 purported 57:4 62:14 68:20 159:22 purportedly 64:3 66:21 114:24 117:8 156:13 purpose 117:4 150:17,21 pursuing 79:14 putting 165:13 qualified 166:6 question 60:2 65:23 67:10 78:25 79:16,22,25 82:23 83:1 84:21 88:22 92:14 94:1 98:4,13 102:8,10,21 115:22 121:24 122:9 123:4,5,8,9,20 124:13,14,16 125:9,16 127:6,8 129:3,4,21 130:5,14 145:19 148:4 150:3 160:17 questioned 75:9 109:22 questions 55:14 58:25 80:1 87:12 111:9 115:25 118:8 121:9 130:9 131:14 160:2 quick 55:4 quote 144:10,11 114:3 115:7

162;8

R raise 59:3 61:17 65:23 78:5 165:12 raising 146:2 ramble 79:24 rambling 123:21 rang 134:16 **rather** 97:23 Raton 49:3 78:13 re 48:5 118:9 reaction 86:2 140:7 reading 64:14 ready 72:19 162:3 real 157:19 realities 139:4 reality 62:17 really 56:24 123:6 137:17 149:14 152:18 163:8,16 **Realty** 124:7 160:6 reanswer 82:5 reason 65:24 137:21 138:25 159:4 166:12 reasons 167:11,13,17 recall 81:11 84:17 90:10 91:17 96:2,15 97:21 98:10 108:7 129:13 135:16 receive 85:12,22 110:1 112:24 received 55:5 71:1 76:23 110:5 111:3 141:10 142:3,24 143:11 147:8,13 153:25 154:12 155:16,22,25 158:3 receives 138:18 receiving 109:22 143:21 recognize 74:8

record 51:11 104:16,19 123:24 124:18 125:14,19 130:3 132:17 167:12,14 170:11 recorded 150:20 recreating 167:16 Redirect 130:15,16 **RE-DIRECT** 160:4 re-examined 141:14 refer 54:7 116:14 reference 155:12 referenced 137:22 references 60:13 110:19 146:7 referred 87:6,21 reflect 51:12 reflected 146:18 refused 122:15 124:2 refusing 88:22 regard 55:19 56:15 58:12 86:5 162:25 regarding 75:25 87:8 88:18 89:11 113:2 134:19 142:1 regards 102:22 regurgitate 150:19 rejected 86:21 rejection 155:10 related 52:13 67:17 68:10 relationship 52:7,19 53:5,9 59:18,24 60:15 61:6 64:24 66:24 73:24 75:20 76:10 111:14,24 157:1 relayed 54:10 relevancy 113:13 115:1 124:24,25 147:25 149:23 152:8 relevant 152:11

relief 71:3 relying 59:4 remain 147:11 remedy 56:24 remember 91:15 97:1 109:21,25 119:3 137:12,15,20 140:6 162:1 REMEMBERED 51:1 removal 144:19 remove 101:9,14 131:5,9 removed 54:12 removing 100:23,25 138:1 repeated 123:6 Repetitive 145:22 replied 110:4 report 170:9 reporter 107:3 123:20 125:17 130:2 150:21 170:7,15 represent 52:11 61:5 62:12,24 64:2 67:11,14 68:2,9 69:23 72:11,22 85:14 86:8 89:25 110:2 113:2,3,6,10 133:20 139:17,22 140:8 141:11 145:12 146:6 151:1,3,20 163:19 representation 59:2 109:23 141:22 163:20 representative 48:15 100:23 121:16 130:23 131:2,6 151:12,16 161:6 163:1 representatives 100:20 represented 69:22 representing 56:8 63:17,25 84:12 100:7 101:14

135:7 140:9

151:23 153:2

Page 16 of 20

| 160:18,20,22 163:13,21 | 136:11 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | retainer |
| request 53:10 56:10 75:13 | 84:14,16,22,2 85:6 98:2,9,1 |
| 86:20 127:11 | 99:18 111:19 |
| 149:20 | 138:10,11,13 |
| requested 90:6 | 145:16,17,18, |
| 96:13 134:8 | retainers 145:1 |
| 150:13 | rev 151:4 |
| requesting 164:16 | reveal 60:17 |
| required 61:3,11 | 139:12 |
| requirements | revealed 135:18 |
| 61:18 | review 85:19 |
| research 64:9 | 96:17 115:19 135:21,22 |
| reserve 53:13 | 145:5,8 |
| reside 78:17 | 154:19,24 159 |
| residence 78:12 | reviewed 85:23 |
| 122:5 157:17 | 141:24 144:24 |
| resigned 84:7 | 161:8 revised 95:10 |
| resolved 53:1 | revocable 74:7 |
| resort 77:22 | 94:4,13 |
| respect 52:8 161:11 | revokes 156:23 |
| respectfully 53:9 | rid 100:20 |
| respectively 53:3 | rights 122:20 |
| respond 146:12 | risk 87:5 |
| responded | ROBERT 48:13 |
| 146:16,19 | Rogers 120:17 |
| Respondents 48:17 | Rose 49:5 50:8 |
| response 51:20 | 99:14 132:3,6,16 |
| 86:10 118:9 119:5 143:14,21 | 133:18 |
| 146:19 147:13 | 142:18,23 |
| 161:24 162:2 | 143:2,9 145:24 |
| responsibility | 146:21,25 147:2,6 148:5 |
| 59:1 65:25 | 149:17 |
| 148:18 | 150:4,8,25 |
| responsible 102:2 | 151:19 152:23 153:15 156:16 |
| 154:19 157:18 | 157:4 158:25 |
| rest 163:24 | 160:2,5,12 |
| Restate 127:7 | route 166:8 |
| restated 95:13,21 | rule 52:3 53:21 |
| restricted 140:13 | 55:13 56:13,20 57:16,20 |
| result 63:4 | 58:21,24 |
| 69:9,15 81:21 86:23 | 59:13,14 |
| | 60:11,18 62:15 |
| retain 84:18 100:15 146:3 | 63:12 64:12,13,14 |
| 148:11 | 65:16 66:20 |
| retained 60:1 | 67:12,19,21,23 |
| 85:17 88:11,14 | 24 68:7 |
| | 69:11,21 73:6 |

11 er 4,16,22,25 98:2,9,11 8 111:19 10,11,13 16,17,18,21 ers 145:18 1:4 60:17 12 ed 135:18 85:19 115:19 1,22 5,8 9,24 159:7 ed 85:23 4 144:24 d 95:10 ble 74:7 13 **s** 156:23 0:20 122:20 1:5 48:13 120:17 9:5 50:8 ,6,16 8 8,23 ,9 145:24 1,25 ,6 148:5 7 ,8,25 9 152:23 5 156:16 158:25 ,5,12 66:8 :3 53:21 56:13,20 ,20 ,24 ,14 ,18 62:15 ,13,14 66:20 ,19,21,23, :7

152:20 154:14 162:3 163:24 rules 52:3 53:23 56:21 57:23 58:1 60:8,9 64:4 66:25 86:12,19 101:17 113:17 ruling 162:16 167:4 run 149:15 157:21 s sad 139:4 Saturday 140:2 saw 56:6 64:9 136:3 scared 117:23 scope 79:25 88:9 Scott 112:8,10 113:1,6 screen 135:18 se 48:9 49:2 72:13 seal 103:12,13 search 142:1 seat 73:17 160:13 161:15 seated 51:9 second 55:2 56:13 58:23 59:20 61:8 65:22 66:17 72:20 76:22 97:21 110:23 143:22 144:3,4 secondhand 55:23 secondly 57:6 **secured** 164:23 seeing 162:1 seek 57:17 64:5 71:2 seeking 56:7 57:8,9 151:15 162:12 seen 94:5,8,12 95:19,20,21 110:15 111:7 127:14,17,22,24 147:22 156:5 sees 67:7 send 82:9,24 86:5

```
92:1 111:8
  136:11 144:6,7
  145:1
sense 134:3
  164:11
sensible 166:16
sensitive 144:5
  148:15
sent 81:21,24
  82:6,16 83:4,19
  86:12
  91:11,13,15,19,
  20,23 92:5,18
  96;1,4,8,16
  98:15,18 101:16
  106:14 112:2
  114:4 116:1,2
  119:2,3
  120:14,17,21,23
  135:23,24
  136:8,17 139:22
  143:14
  144:4,8,16,18,2
  5 145:2,6,9,11
  146:5 149:6
  154:5,11
  159:3,6
sentence 58:22
  65:22 110:21
September 81:7,12
  97:9 103:19
  104:1,12
  105:16,22,23,25
  109:1,5,11
  110:13 111:4
  114:6,11 118:17
  130:20
  136:6,9,15
  137:2,3,4
  139:19,25
 140:4,5 146:6
  158:4,23
series 144:14
serve 165:15
  166:11
services 64:22
  84:19 111:15
serving 125:4
sets 52:18 58:8
 61:10
setting 144:14
seven 99:14
several 104:6
 128:7 144:10,17
 148:23,25 149:2
shake 165:25
```

Page 17 of 20

110:1 111:13

share 148:21 shared 115:23 141:20 **sheet** 136:4 She's 112:8 **shift** 139:3 **Shirley** 106:25 107:4,5,10 130:24 131:2,6 152:2 155:13,23 158:18,19 Shirley's 144:9 149:6 151:13 **short** 114:8 138:17 139:6 146:5 152:4 shortly 83:18 97:15 119:4 shown 117:7 155:17 sic 69:25 136:9 sides 166:9 side's 150:19 sign 63:21 71:12 signature 102:4 signatures 103:13 signed 55:24 56:11 57:11 90:24 111:15 silent 58:2 similar 64:12 90:8 **Simon 48:6 51:11** 78:14 79:11 95:10,14 107:1,6 144:8 152:2 155:14 156:2,5,16 157:5,8 161:11 165:1 Simon's 92:24 93:8,12 94:4,13 120:3 121:12 149:6 151:4,9,10,16 152:14 157:9 158:18,19 single 138:20 sir 56:18 63:8 79:12 81:10,23 83:20,23 84:13 85:1 86:9 87:18 89:10 91:21 92:25 95:3

101:7 107:4 113:18 115:9 119:21,24 120:1,16,18,20, 22,25 123:25 130:19,25 131:4,8,11 163:4 164:18 168:22 sister 95:24 sisters 95:22 sit 73:1,3 80:13 situation 67:25 165:13 six 99:14 142:17 **slower** 107:2 small 101:22 166:22 Smathers 58:15,17,18 59:11 smoother 92:14 snuck 116:21 somebody 54:8,14 91:9 134:7,18 somehow 117:4 someone 54:25 61:3 64:1,6 66:24 67:11 141:20 159:7 163:11 165:15,17,24 166:2 167:12 168:6 son 78:14 sorry 71:19 88:23 89:8 92:6,8,12 99:3,6 106:2 107:4 111:10 113:18 115:15 116:7 117:1 122:23 123:13 151:8 159:8 161:13 sought 84:23 sounds 73:8 source 128:14 South 49:6,9,20 51:3 Spallina 48:12,13 84:8 91:8 93:16 100:1,19 102:2,24 108:8 112:3 119:6 137:17 159:22

speak 56:24 57:3,24 63:16 83:10 134:8 135:14 149:8 168:13,23 speaking 71:8 125:11 137:6 speaks 57:20,24 66:4 specifically 52:21 speculation 77:23 82:2 spend 138:23 split 113:4 spoke 100:9 101:11,20 103:18 104:11 131:3 135:12,15 136:5 137:7,10,14 140:5 144:12,25 148:12,13 149:9 155:1 158:23 **spoken** 96:19 104:12 135:20 staff 148:25 stamp 114:16,21 117:22 119:16 stamped 121:4 stamping 114:23 stamps 109:16 stand 73:12 standard 75:17 77:9,13 136:9 138:12 145:18 standards 53:19 59:16 standby 72:21 standing 54:24 61:17 65:1 74:1,3,4,19,22, 23 Stansbury 49:12,23 54:23 55:7,21 58:13 59:6 61:7 63:1,3 68:18 69:1 70:18 71:22 74:1 94:19 106:13 164:6 167:24 Stansbury's 54:19 69:3,4 73:21

start 71:11 72:16 167:16 168:25 started 62:21 starts 66:20 state 51:5 55:20 61:2 78:10 132:17 170:4,8 statement 51:21,24 102:9 111:14 127:6 129:2,20 statements 53:17 73:15 states 52:5,23 58:24 60:14 154:15 162:8 statute 137:23 164:25 stay 168:24 169:1 steer 72:1 stenographic 170:11 stenographically 170:9 step 76:7 131:16 steps 166:23 stipulate 111:21 Stipulation 111:23 stop 55:2 92:10 98:12 122:7,22 126:23 127:4,11 163:12 story 99:18 138:19 straight 92:4,7,13 94:9 straightforward

166:17 strategles

148:15,21,22,23 149:4

strategy
100:14,17,22
148:16

Street 49:2 78:13

stricken 122:24 123:19

strike 98:7 125:7 144:20

structured 78:20

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

76:4,5 109:21

Page 18 of 20

| | T | | 1430 10 01 10 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| STUART 48:14 | 125:9 145:23 | 163:13,19,21 | that's 54:22 59:4 |
| stuff 91:9 100:4 | 148:1,3 | 164:17 166:12 | 60:10 61:20,25 |
| 104:23 108:5 | 150:15,24 | 167:20 | 62:23 63:10,22 |
| subdivision 52:10 | sworn 78:6 132:13 | Ted's 101:1 | 66:11 67:1 |
| 67:14 | | 121:17,18 124:2 | 69:11 70:10,20 |
| | т | 162:25 164:4 | 71:4,7,17,18 72:12 75:2 77:9 |
| subject 52:10 | table 132:7 | telephone 82:10 | 79:1 82:21 |
| 67:13 118:8 | | 83:14 152:25 | 84:21 87:11 |
| 134:2 136:2 | tailormade 80:1 | | 89:13 91:9 |
| subjective 163:16 | taking 62:19 | ten 139:1 | 92:11 93:4 |
| submit 53;3 | 153:24 154:7 | tenus 162:21 | 94:5,14 95:4 |
| | 165;1 | 164:19,20 | 96:15 98:12 |
| submitted 53:4 | talk 53:18 61:15 | terms 84:16 86:24 | 102:8 104:22 |
| subpart 52:9 | 67:17 78:3 | Tescher 48:12,13 | 105:8 |
| subsection 66:2 | 86:14 130:22 | 75:25 84:7,8 | 106:3,13,14 |
| 67:13 68:3 | 138:1 159:13 | 91:8 93:16 | 108:17,25 112:1 |
| subsequent 163:20 | 168:2,11 | 100:1,12,19 | 116:5 117:25 |
| - | talked 100:6,13 | 101:21 | 118:1,6 121:23 127:14 128:8,16 |
| subsequently | | 102:1,6,15,18,2 | 129:19 132:24 |
| 131:1 135:5 | talking 67:8 71:5 | 3 103;3 108;8 | 137:5 138:25 |
| 141:5,8 | 103:6,7,24 | 112:3 119:5 | 139:7 |
| <pre>substance 147:10</pre> | 114:6 120:11 137:18,19 | 126:15 | 140:14,18,20 |
| substantially | | 134:12,17,19 | 141:6 144:15 |
| 52:13 67:16 | talks 148:14 | 135:20 | 145:25 |
| 68:10 | tampering 115:18 | 137:16,17 | 146:4,5,17,18 |
| | taxes 157:19 | 138:5,6,7 139:15 155:7,13 | 148:25 152:11 |
| successor 48:15 | taxes 157:19 | 159:15 155:7,13 | 155:10 |
| sue 49:17 75:25 | Ted 49:4,23 | - | 157:3,7,9,10 |
| 102:6,15,18 | 54:17,24 56:8 | Tescher's 137:16 | 159:8,10,21 |
| 137:16 138:6 | 61:5 | test 76:13,14 | 160:3,8,19 162:14 |
| suggesting 75:12 | 62:3,12,22,24 | testified 96:1 | 166:6,18,19 |
| 168:7 | 64:2 67:12 | 121:11 145:4 | 167:15,22 |
| suing 100:22 | 68:2,9 69:4,5,7,13 | | - |
| - | 74:15,24 | testify 76:2,5 | THEODORE 48:14 |
| Suite 49:6,9 | 75:4,9,12,13 | 132:2 133:12 | thereafter 69:23 |
| sun 144:14 | 76:15,18 | testimony 94:12 | 97:15 |
| Sunday 133:21 | 79:4,8,10,14 | 96:3 97:2 | therefore |
| 140:3 141:6 | 80:8,10,11,13,2 | 100:16,21 | 154:1,8,10 |
| | 2,25 85:17 86:1 | 117:13 | |
| support 158:12 | 90:12,14,20,25 | 127:17,22 | <pre>there's 69:24 90:19,23 115:17</pre> |
| supposed 91:3 | 95:23 | 149:12 150:11 153:23 154:4 | 118:10,11 142:5 |
| 97:21 122:18 | 100:12,14,22,23 | | 144:2 |
| suppressed 94:6 | 101:7,14 114:4 | thank 51:17 52:1 | |
| | 116:2 118:9 121:15,21,22 | 53:15 54:3 | Thereupon 78:6 109:7,13 114:18 |
| <pre>sure 55:3 56:14 57:15 62:17</pre> | 121:15,21,22 122:3,4,12,15,1 | 55:18 59:10 | 109:7,13 114:18 |
| 63:12 64:6,25 | 6,18 124:4,11 | 73:11,20 77:7 78:1,10 80:5 | 132:13 142:20 |
| 65:8 68:5 69:14 | 126:11,22 | 83:2 87:14 | 143:7 147:4 |
| 73:6 78:21,25 | 127:3,10 129:14 | 92:23 95:12 | 169:6 |
| 79:2 113:23 | 130:23 131:1,5 | 105:18,20,25 | |
| 116:20 117:12 | 133:20,24 | 106:5 109:9,15 | they're 91:10 137:5 139:1 |
| 119:1 125:17 | 134:10,21 | 114:10,20 121:7 | 137:5 139:1 |
| 127:21 132:8 | 135:3,7 138:1 | 126:3 130:8,12 | |
| 155:9 159:24 | 140:21,23 | 132:5,12 | they've 157:21 |
| 164:15 165:14 | 141:9,11,15,22 | 133:5,16 | THI 61:9 |
| 166:10 167:24 | | 160:12,14 | third 54:22 75:2 |
| 168:10,13 | 151:1,4 153:2,8 | Thanks 51:9 73:19 | 76:25 110:18 |
| surface 57:12 | 157:4,12,22 158:7,17,18,22, | 108:12 131:16 | |
| sustained 93:22 | 25 160:18 | 153:20 159:23 | Thomas 49:6 61:9 |
| 105:5 113:14 | 161:4,18 | 169:4 | thorough 140:25 |
| | | | - |

Page 19 of 20

three-fold 76:13 three-prong 76:13 trustee 48:15 Thursday 137:1 til 73:6 158:18 tire 54:4,13 trustee) (both tires 54:14 48:15 titles 91:3 trustees 157:21 **T-O** 116:3 trusts 95:10 today 53:16 75:18 120:2 129:12 80:13 86:16 135:24 91:22 97:10 140:14,17,21 132:20 145:19 141:21 144:9 162:7,17 152:17157:9,15,21 top 82:20 truth 121:21 total 97:4 try 53:17,18,24 totally 74:22 61:4 82:4 transcript 135:25 85:5,7 104:8 170:10 121:24 139:3 transcripts 107:8 trying 75:24 transfer 148:14 87:10 102:14 transmitted 69:6 83:22 109:1 163:12 112:21 Tuesday 139:21 travel 133:24 140:4,6 traveling 52:2 tuition 124:5 59:13 73:21 turn 115:20 75:18 treat 70:23 143:13 treating 163:7 twice 141:3 trial 54:5 100:21 two-page 146:18 123:6 two-year 137:23 148:16,20,22 trip 135:1 76:10 124:14 **Tripp** 112:8,10 138:17 113:1,6 true 55:21 58:7 U 59:21 62:5,6 **Uh-huh** 79:23 68:11,14 78:25 105:9 82:15 101:11 170:11 UMC 165:22 trust 74:7 79:5 uncertain 144:21 80:7 94:4,13 understand 63:1 95:13,14,16,17, 19,20,21,22 101:15 106:25 understanding 107:5,6 131:10 134:7 151:5,6,10,13 153:6,7,8,10 understood 155:23 156:2,6,13,16,2 168:20 2 157:17 undertake 140:24 158:13,14,18,19

160:7 161:12 101:15 131:9,10 138:2 147:18 151:4,6,9,13 152:19 153:18 128:15 159:21 119:19 120:14 type 55:13 64:15 123:5 168:19,21 80:13,15 98:8 132:24 152:15 157:4,17 158:16 75:11,16 153:23 98:4 116:6

undertaking 59:2 undertook 143:22 undisputed 61:13 unemployed 125:5 unfortunately 165:23 unless 69:24 91:10 unlesses 69:24 unnotarized 107:7 upon 53:3 57:10 59:5 62:15 70:23 165:24 168:6 urgency 134:3 v value 118:15 various 100:13 venturing 163:19 verification 55:19,24 63:22 verified 51:14 52:15,18 55:5,9 57:10,18 58:6 76:4 94:18,24 106:13 167:6 verify 55:11,12,15,22 version 152:5 versus 58:17,18 118:24 vice 61:12 **view** 140:24 163:16,17 violate 72:9 141:23 violated 87:1,3,11 **VOLUME 48:21** volumes 144:25 145:1 volunteer 79:1 104:8 122:17 volunteered 122:19 **vs** 48:11 W wait 65:16 92:10

124:10 127:21 150:3 waiting 85:9 waiver 134:14 waivers 69:21 107:7 warned 123:2 wasn't 75:14 93:15 104:19 114:7 129:20 136:24 150:20 watching 125:14 we'd 150:22 Wednesday 51:6 146:20 148:10 week 85:18 91:23 weight 77:24 118:25 119:1 WEISS 49:6 Weissman 87:6,9,21 Weissman's 87:20 welcome 99:8 welfare 124:7 we'll 94:9 111:21 we're 51:10,15 52:2 53:16 59:4 69:8,9,19 75:17 79:19 80:10 104:25 114:25 136:13 149:15 165:13,21 166:15,22,25 168:15 West 49:7,10 51:4 Westlaw 142:1 we've 73:25 144:10 whatever 62:19 135:22 wherever 135:1 whether 54:24 56:15 57:3,6,7,10 60:22 68:16 73:5 75:9 80:13,14 90:11 99:22 118:16 134:22 135:6 139:1,2,5 148:19 150:23 152:10 157:12 162:9 168:5

| | | | rage | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|------|---|
| whole 95:25 | 133:5,16 139:23 | | 1 | |
| 118:10 166:20 | 148:2 149:16 | | | |
| wholly 95:23 | 151:8,10,12,15 | | | |
| _ | 152:13 160:14 | | | |
| whom 56:1 81:24 83:10 | witnesses 56:3 | | | |
| 83:10 | 131:24 160:15 | | | |
| who's 69:7 112:7 | work 110:25 | | | |
| 167:2 | 140:17 154:19 | | | |
| whose 103:12 | 166:4 | | 1 | |
| wife 81:8,16 | worked 155:19 |] | | |
| 82:9,16 | | | | |
| 83:4,19,22 | <pre>working 84:6 91:1,8 146:2</pre> | | | |
| 91:14 92:3,4 | | | | |
| 95:2 96:4,8,19 | world 159:14 | 1 | | i |
| 106:15 111:11 130:22 135:19 | wrap 152:18 | | | |
| 137:1 144:8,18 | write 151:7 | | | |
| 149:2,5 154:5 | 165:18,19,20 | | 1 | |
| 159:5 | 167:2 | | | |
| William | writing 71:11 | | | |
| 49:12,18,23 | written 51:19 | | | |
| 55:7,11 | 70:17 | 1 | | |
| 56:5,7,11,15 | wrong 56:20 59:14 | | | |
| 57:3,8,11 63:1 65:1 68:17 | 129:1 | | | |
| 72:22 164:6,22 | wrote 139:16 | | | |
| willing 165:15 | 147:8 | | | |
| 166;11 | | | | |
| wills 95:10 120:2 | X | | | |
| 129:11 135:24 | Xeroxing 71:11 | | | |
| 140:14 141:21 | Actoring /1:11 | | | |
| 144:9 | | | | |
| wish 131:5,9 | <u>Y</u> | | | |
| wit 51:7 | Yates 112:6,7 | | | |
| | yesterday 51:21 | | | |
| withdraw 135:10 | 70:14 75:15 76:6 84:7 | | | |
| withdrawn 81:20 | 149:19 150:9,14 | | | |
| withheld 94:5 | yesterday's 51:13 | | | |
| witness 50:3 | | | | |
| 79:2,18,19,23 | <pre>yet 63:13 70:22 114:25 138:12</pre> | | | |
| 80:20 82:6 | 150:7 161:14 | | | |
| 84:22 88:11,21,24 | 166:21 168:12 | | | |
| 89:1,4,15,20 | you'll 114:17 | | | |
| 92:12 93:7 | 163:3 165:5,20 | | | |
| 94:8,21 98:5,17 | yourself 71:12 | | | |
| 99:10 102:12,22 | 99:4 123:12,16 | | | |
| 106:6,7 107:4 110:7 111:10 | you've 89:11 | | | |
| 112:14,17,21 | 90:2,3 92:24 | | | |
| 113:15,18,19,22 | 94:12 111:18 | | | [|
| 116:7,22 | 121:3,21 | | | |
| 117:1,10,16,19, | 122:4,25 123:17 | | | |
| 21,25 118:4 | 124:4 140:20 169:3 | | | |
| 119:4,8 122:8,12,23 | 10313 | | | |
| 123:3,13,17,23, | | | | 1 |
| 25 124:19 | | | | |
| 125:20,22 | | | | |
| 127:24 131:23 | | | | |

IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

-----/

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN

DATE: MAY 23, 2014

TIME: 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.

```
1 APPEARANCES:
 2
 3 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY:
 4 MR. PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQ.
   MR. JEFFREY T. ROYER, ESQ.
 5 PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.
   3695 W. Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
 6 Boynton Beach, FL 33436
 7
 8 APPEARING OF BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN:
 9 MR. ALAN ROSE, ESQ.
   PAGE MRACHEK
10 505 S. Flagler Drive
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
11
12 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF FOUR ADULT GRANDCHILDREN:
13 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ.
   JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
14 330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
15
16 APPEARING AS THE CURATOR:
17 BENJAMIN BROWN, ESQ.
   MATWICZYK & BROWN, LLP
18 625 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 401
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
19
20 APPEARING PRO SE:
21 ELIOT BERNSTEIN
22
23
24
25
```

1 2 BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause 3 before Honorable MARTIN COLIN at the Palm Beach 4 County Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, in the 5 City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of 6 Florida, on Friday, the 23rd day of May, 2014, to 7 8 wit: 9 THE COURT: Good morning. Let me get my 10 computer on. We're here in the Bernstein case. 11 12 Appearances. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se. MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf of 14 15 William Stansbury. And from my office, Jeff 16 Royer. 17 MR. MORRISSEY: John Morrissey on behalf of four of the adult grandchildren. 18 MR. ROSE: Alan Rose on behalf of Ted 19 Bernstein. 20 MR. BROWN: Ben Brown as curator of the 21 22 estate. THE COURT: All right. What do we have 23 for today? 24 25 Before we get to that, I have MR. ROSE:

1 one -- sort of an important issue that came up 2 last night. THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 MR. ROSE: It will take 30 seconds. 4 Ted Bernstein sent me an email. And he 5 replied to an email, and accidently the email 6 went to Eliot Bernstein. 7 It was attorney-client privileged communication 8 directly to me from my client Ted Bernstein. 9 The email went to Eliot Bernstein. Under Rule 10 1.285 I sent to Mr. Eliot Bernstein an email 11 immediately asking him to delete or return the 12 privileged materials. 13 I discussed the issue with Mr. Eliot 14 15 Bernstein this morning and he advised me that he has emailed the document to 2,000 people. 16 He's had a history of posting things on 17 the internet. Because it's attorney-client 18 privileged information it's very sensitive and 19 I'd request the Court to instruct him to comply 20 with Rule 1.285. It was a reply to an email 21 22 that had a bunch of names and accidentally it went to him. Mr. Bernstein advised me 23 immediately and I advised Eliot immediately. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, did you get an 25

email from counsel?

1

2 MR. BERNSTEIN: I did not get his email. I got an email from my brother addressed to me 3 only. I read it, as usual when I get something 4 bizarre that's attacking and threatening me, or 5 whatever. It was from Ted Bernstein to Eliot 6 7 Bernstein. THE COURT: It was from --8 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein to Eliot Bernstein. 10 THE COURT: Not from the lawyer? 11 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: No. He misrepresents everything. 13 THE COURT: We'll take it up at the end. 14 15 There's other things scheduled. If you remember, we'll take it up. 16 17 MR. ROSE: Fine. THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 MR. FEAMAN: May it please the Court. 19 Peter Feaman, Your Honor, on behalf of William 20 Stansbury, interested person in the estate. 21 22 This is Mr. Stansbury's petition for the 23 appointment of an administrator ad litem which has been submitted to Your Honor together with 24 a supplement to the petition to the requested 25

relief.

1

| 2 | We're asking this Court to appoint |
|---|---|
| 3 | Mr. Stansbury as an administrator ad litem of |
| 4 | the estate for the sole purpose of making an |
| 5 | appearance on behalf of the estate in some |
| 6 | litigation that is currently pending in |
| 7 | Illinois involving a life insurance policy on |
| 8 | Simon Bernstein's life, the deceased, with a |
| 9 | death benefit of \$1.7 million. |

10 That litigation has been pending for over a year from what I can tell, or about a year. 11 And it has not involved the estate which is 12 very interesting because the documents that 13 I've recently obtained since the filing of our 14 motion, Your Honor, we found out that insurance 15 policy, according to internal records of the 16 17 insurance company, is actually owned by the deceased Simon Bernstein. So arguably not only 18 is it an asset of the estate, that insurance 19 policy, and the proceeds therefrom, but any 20 litigation concerning the distribution of those 21 22 proceeds should be in this court, Your Honor. Now that's jumping ahead. But the point 23 is that we're dealing with an asset of the 24 estate and, therefore, this court has every 25

interest in seeing that the estate's assets are
marshaled. The first step for that, Your
Honor, would be to appoint an administrator ad
litem to at least intervene in that federal
court action that's up in Illinois.

The former personal representatives of 6 this estate, Your Honor, were doing everything 7 they could to keep the money out of the estate 8 from that life insurance policy. 9 They have alleged that the beneficiary is the life 10 insurance trust. The problem is nobody can 11 find the original life insurance trust. 12 Nobody can find even a copy of the life insurance 13 trust. And the records that we show show that 14 15 the beneficiaries are not, in fact, a life insurance trust. But the first beneficiary, 16 according to Heritage, which is the insurance 17 company, is LaSalle National Trust. The second 18 beneficiary is the Simon Bernstein Trust, 19 whatever that is. But it's not the Simon 20 Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust that is 21 22 being alleged up in Illinois.

Now if there's no clear beneficiary, as
Your Honor is aware, then the life insurance
proceeds would go to the estate and become an

1 asset, or liquid assets for the estate. Now 2 that money presently has been put into the registry of the court up in Illinois by the 3 insurance company. They were first requested 4 by the personal representatives of this estate, 5 the former, to pay it to others. And the 6 insurance company said we don't have any 7 documentation to justify that. So they just 8 9 impleaded the funds.

The litigation has been pending, and 10 despite the fact that the estate is the owner 11 of the policy, the estate has never been 12 represented in that action. Now the estate has 13 a high probability of success, we believe, in 14 this case. Because if they're going to try to 15 establish a lost instrument without the 16 17 original or without a copy it's going to be based, I assume, on oral testimony from people. 18 And that is a high burden. Interestingly we 19 found out at first, on this so-called insurance 20 trust, Mr. Spallina (phonetic), who was the 21 22 personal representative, formerly, of this 23 estate, represented to the insurance company that he was the trustee of this insurance 24 When that didn't work, Your Honor -- we 25 trust.

1 have a document that we'll show to the court up 2 in Chicago -- when that didn't work they're now in court up there saying that Mr. Ted Bernstein 3 is the trustee, or successor trustee, of that 4 insurance trust. Yet there is no copy of that 5 trust before the court in any fashion. 6 The plaintiffs in that lawsuit are now not only the 7 insurance trust, the so-called insurance trust, 8 it's now all the adult children of Mr. Simon 9 Bernstein. Interestingly enough, Your Honor 10 the adult children are not beneficiaries of 11 this estate, Your Honor. 12 It's the ten grandchildren who are the residual 13 beneficiaries as a result of the pour-over 14 provision of the will that leaves all the 15 liquid assets in a trust. The beneficiaries of 16 that trust are the ten grandchildren. So the 17 adults, the adult children of Mr. Simon 18 Bernstein, have every incentive, Your Honor, to 19 see that the estate is not inherited with these 20 life insurance proceeds because if they succeed 21 22 in this action in Illinois then the adult children inherit or receive the proceeds of the 23 life insurance not the ten grandchildren over 24 whom you have jurisdiction as the beneficiaries 25

1 in this estate.

2 The curator, Your Honor, has no objection.
3 Mr. Brown --

Let me stop and hear from Mr. THE COURT: 4 What's your position on their motion? 5 Brown. I'm not taking a position on MR. BROWN: 6 7 the motion, Your Honor. I can get into it further, I don't really want to interrupt 8 Mr. Feaman. But it would seem to me that if 9 the main estate creditor wants to try to 10 intervene in Chicago on behalf of the estate to 11 bring assets into the estate without looking to 12 the estate for current payment of his fees, in 13 other words, if he finally succeeds then he can 14 then come back to this Court and ask to have 15 his fees reimbursed, then that would seem to be 16 a benefit to the estate as far as marshaling 17 the assets of the estate and, of course, the 18 curator and/or personal representative has a 19 duty to the creditors also to try to marshal 20 the assets of the estate. 21 22 THE COURT: I got your position. 23 Mr. Rose? MR. ROSE: Our position is pretty simple. 24 And I -- this is an evidentiary hearing --25

It's an opening to tell me 1 THE COURT: 2 what's going on. I just want your position. Tetra (phonetic) and Spallina, 3 MR. ROSE: who were the prior PRs, believe that the claim 4 to the insurance policy by the estate had no 5 merit because of their discussions with their 6 client, because of their investigation of 7 facts. These people have no evidence to 8 9 support -- they have no parol evidence. This is a fight over an insurance policy that only 10 beneficiary -- there's no dispute that the 11 beneficiary the insurance company has on 12 record, there was a prior beneficiary which was 13 a company pension plan that the company is 14 dissolved, and that's out -- the only 15 contingent beneficiary, and there's an 16 affidavit that's been filed attached to one of 17 their motions in this Court where the insurance 18 company says the only other beneficiary ever 19 named was the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Life 20 Insurance Trust. There's a shorthand in a 21 22 computer system, where somebody shorthanded it in the computer, and the affidavit in the 23 insurance company addressing that which says 24 that's shorthand, but in our forms the only 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

beneficiary ever listed is this irrevocable 1 2 life insurance trust, their only piece of evidence supporting their claim is that the 3 insurance trust cannot be found. But the trust 4 did exist. It has a tax ID number from -- a 5 federal tax ID number. There's numerous 6 references to it between different lawyers and 7 nobody can find the trust document now. That's 8 an issue that's going to be resolved in 9 Illinois. But they have no evidence -- other 10 than the fact that the trust doesn't exist --11 they don't have any parol evidence. They don't 12 have any documents. They don't have anything 13 on behalf of the estate. 14

Our concern is they're going to spend the precious few estate assets that are remaining to go to Illinois and fight an issue that has no merit, can subject the estate to a claim, you know, for fees or indemnification or prevailing party attorney's fees award.

The policy was owned by Simon Bernstein. That means it's included in his taxable estate. But it does not mean it's owned in his probate estate. The beneficiary is the beneficiary. The policy proceeds are in Illinois. They've

he

1

been deposited into the court --

2 THE COURT: What's the issue that the Illinois judge is being asked to decide? 3 MR. ROSE: Being asked to decide, among 4 competing claims, to the proceeds of this race. 5 Eliot Bernstein is there asserting the exact 6 position that Mr. Stansbury wants to go there 7 to assert. Eliot is asserting that the money 8 should go to the estate and not the irrevocable 9 life insurance trust. That issue is going to 10 require, you know, a summary judgment or a 11 trial with parol evidence to determine who the 12 beneficiary is of that policy. 13 Mr. Stansbury has gone there to intervene 14 15 and was denied by the judge the right to intervene in the case already once. 16 17 Our main concern really is twofold. The expense on both -- what's actively being spent. 18 We want to make sure no estate funds are being 19 expended to pursue this. In an estate that 20 has a very limited amount of funds here --21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Feaman says that his client will not seek fees for his role as 23 administrator ad litem unless and until a 24 recovery might take place and then he'll make 25

1 an application with funds then available, 2 meaning the \$1.7 million would then apparently come into the estate. 3 MR. ROSE: I haven't heard testimony to 4 that effect yet. 5 That's a representation. THE COURT: 6 7 MR. ROSE: He'd also need to represent that he would indemnify and hold the estate 8 harmless if there's any adverse action as a 9 result of him intervening in that case and 10 losing either an award of attorneys fees or --11 12 THE COURT: I'm not sure about that part I got your position. 13 yet. MR. ROSE: And then the final point is 14 Mr. Stansbury is a potential creditor of the 15 To the extent he goes and -- even if 16 estate. he would win that lawsuit and bring money into 17 the estate I don't think it's fair to let him 18 get a -- I don't know what his fee arrangement 19 would be. 20 THE COURT: I'd hear that. Under the 21 statute he has to prove that he provided a 22 benefit to the estate. 23 MR. ROSE: We don't even know if his claim 24 will still exist --25

THE COURT: It may or may not.

2 Mr. Morrissey?

1

MR. MORRISSEY: To address first the last 3 point why should Mr. Stansbury not be allowed 4 to act even though his fees may or may not come 5 at the end. Well, he's a claimant. He's not a 6 creditor. There's a distinction here. 7 As a claimant he might not be privy, or should not 8 9 be privy, to certain information because he doesn't have a judgment. He's not one of the 10 eight classes of people. If he's allowed to 11 intervene as a claimant in the Illinois action 12 he may, in fact, become privy to certain 13 information that we, or the estate, does not 14 want him to become privy to because we may end 15 up having to negotiate with a claimant to 16 17 satisfy a claim. We don't want him privy to certain information. We don't want him 18 intervening in actions, and certainly in 19 actions that he's already sought intervention 20 and been denied. 21 22 THE COURT: Was he denied because he didn't have standing because he hadn't been 23 appointed as an administrator? Is that the 24 reason why he was denied? 25

1 MR. MORRISSEY: He attempted to intervene 2 individually and was denied. He was denied because -- I've attached the order. 3 I filed an opposition and attached the order. And I can 4 read from a couple of sections of the order to 5 indicate and let Your Honor know why he was 6 7 denied. THE COURT: Hold on. I see it here. 8 The court there went 9 MR. MORRISSEY: through an extensive analysis, legal standard 10 and analysis in its order speaking of 11 intervention as a right, and permissive 12 intervention. And the court said, "The fact 13 that you might anticipate a benefit from a 14 judgment in favor of one of the parties to a 15 lawsuit, maybe, for example, you're a creditor 16 of one of them, does not entitle you to 17 intervene in their lawsuit." That is really 18 the position that Mr. Stansbury is in. 19 The court went on, "Here Stansbury's claimed 20 interest is merely an economic interest that is 21 22 too remote for purposes of the rule because the 23 estate is not a party to this lawsuit. And Stansbury does not assert that he or the estate 24 are beneficiaries to the life insurance 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 proceeds nor the Bernstein Trust." 2 THE COURT: You represent, Mr. Morrissey, who? 3 MR. MORRISSEY: I represent the four 4 grandchildren. 5 THE COURT: Who, according to Mr. Feaman, 6 may benefit if this money comes to the estate? 7 MR. MORRISSEY: Correct. 8 9 THE COURT: So the way the case is being litigated now -- is the only plaintiff the 10 Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust vs. 11 the life insurance company? 12 MR. MORRISSEY: Well --13 That's the way the style of THE COURT: 14 15 the case is. Are there more plaintiffs than that? 16 17 MR. FEAMAN: They amended subsequently and joined the adult -- four of the five of the 18 adult children were joined as plaintiffs. 19 THE COURT: And who is representing them? 20 MR. FEAMAN: Somebody up in Chicago in 21 22 that action. 23 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSE: I think technically the lawsuit 24 was started by the trust against the insurance 25

1 company. The insurance company filed an 2 interpleaded, probably by counterclaim. My understanding is, subject to someone correcting 3 me, the insurance company was granted 4 interpleader. They put the funds in the 5 registry of the court. The insurance company 6 7 is out of the case and even though you have the original style what's left is people asserting 8 9 a claim to the proceeds. Eliot is there, I think, advocating the 10 claim on behalf of the estate --11 12 THE COURT: Eliot is pro se. I want -- we recognize that. From Mr. Morrissey's point of 13 view, do you take a position that your clients, 14 15 the grandchildren, may have an interest in these monies? 16 17 MR. MORRISSEY: No -- well, our position is the following --18 THE COURT: That question first. 19 MR. MORRISSEY: Our position -- no, on 20 behalf of the four grandchildren. 21 22 THE COURT: You waive any -- on behalf of those children you waive any claim to that 23 24 money? MR. MORRISSEY: I'm not going to waive on 25

1 the record. 2 THE COURT: You have to stand on one side of the fence or the other on that. 3 MR. MORRISSEY: Quite honestly, I haven't 4 asked them that question. I can't waive 5 something on behalf of my clients when I 6 haven't asked them that question point blank. 7 THE COURT: All right. So you have -- who 8 -- the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust is 9 represented by Chicago --10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Adam Simon who is the 11 brother to David Simon who is married to my 12 sister Pam Simon who stands to benefit if the 13 money goes through Illinois. 14 15 THE COURT: Illinois counsel, okay. And 16 the four children are represented by one 17 lawyer? MR. FEAMAN: That's Adam Simon. 18 THE COURT: Because of the impleading of 19 the funds the battle right now is between the 20 trust and these four children because those are 21 22 the parties that are now competing for the 23 money? I don't think -- I don't know MR. ROSE: 24 if the four children are technically parties. 25

1 I think they're just -- the battle I think is 2 between Eliot who is asserting that these funds should come into this estate --3 THE COURT: Eliot was allowed to 4 intervene? 5 I got sued in the case, MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 7 Your Honor, because they had gone behind my back to try to steal this policy -- around you 8 9 too -- and they were told by the insurance company, when Robert Spallina submitted what I 10 allege is a fraudulent insurance claim, and 11 they were told by the insurance company that 12 the claim was denied and they needed a probate 13 court order from you to approve the beneficiary 14 scheme they were proposing using some mashugana 15 lost trust --16 17 THE COURT: Eliot, you're named as a cross-plaintiff, so you are --18 MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I've somehow become a 19 plaintiff -- a defendant that you showed me 20 last week, or two weeks ago, when you handed me 21 22 that order. I haven't quite figured out how I'm the named defendant. 23 Your Honor, I'm representing their -- my 24 children's interests. 25

1 THE COURT: Hold it. I'm reading I see a entity in the style of the 2 something. case up there called the Simon Bernstein Trust, 3 N.A. What's that? Is that something different 4 than the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust? 5 It's in the affidavit that was MR. ROSE: 6 filed, I think attached to Mr. Brown's recent 7 petition for instructions, but... In the 8 insurance company's computer they shorthanded 9 the name of the trust. The beneficiary is the 10 Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Life Insurance 11 Trust which is the --12 THE COURT: Ted Bernstein is an individual 13 in this suit now. And who is representing him? 14 15 MR. ROSE: I don't know that he is an individual. If he's an individual he's 16 17 represented by Adam Simon. THE COURT: I'm reading it. That's where 18 I get it. They're individually and/or as 19 purported trustee of the irrevocable trust. 20 Eliot is a cross-plaintiff -- that's where 21 22 you're named, Eliot -- vs. Ted, individually and as trustee of the irrevocable trust. And 23 then a bunch of other people and entities are 24 cross-defendants. Right now the competing 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 parties in Illinois are the irrevocable trust 2 and Eliot. Is that basically it --MR. ROSE: 3 Yes. THE COURT: -- who are active; is that 4 true? 5 So the question is should the claimant be 6 declared here an administrator ad litem for the 7 purposes of being permitted to ask the court to 8 be able to intervene, which the court may or 9 may not do? 10 There's one other part of my 11 MR. ROSE: 12 opening I missed on my notes --THE COURT: Go ahead. Sure. 13 MR. ROSE: Mr. Morrissey touched on it and 14 15 reminded me. If you're going to appoint an administrator ad litem it should not be 16 17 Mr. Stansbury. You can appoint somebody and Mr. Stansbury could fund it, he could pay the 18 expenses of, let's say, Mr. Brown or an 19 independent person to hire a Chicago lawyer 20 and, you know, advance the case. But you would 21 22 then be preserving issues of privilege and you would be preserving the integrity of the system 23 rather than have Mr. Stansbury, who is a 24 claimant, who is adverse on multiple levels to 25

1 the estate, as the active person he would be 2 funding the litigation and, in my view, he should be required to indemnify. But you'd 3 have a neutral third person doing it rather 4 that Mr. Stansbury which I think makes a lot 5 more sense. 6 7 THE COURT: What do you say about the latter comment? That's the only one I want you 8 to address. 9 MR. FEAMAN: The fact that Mr. Stansbury 10 will become privy to confidential information 11 12 - -THE COURT: Well, we're not at --13 MR. FEAMAN: Ben Brown --14 15 THE COURT: -- I'll allow someone else to intervene to appropriately determine whether 16 17 the estate has an interest in this money or That's the issue, correct? 18 not. MR. FEAMAN: 19 Yes. THE COURT: All right. Right now the 20 person technically doing that is Eliot who 21 22 tries his best as a pro se. But it's pretty 23 tough --That's right. He doesn't 24 MR. FEAMAN: 25 represent the estate.

1 THE COURT: He represents himself 2 individually. So someone who may look for the interest of the estate. And, you know, these 3 type of litigation, obviously, the Illinois 4 judge is going to have to take evidence -- I'm 5 not going to do that in my hearing -- on who 6 the beneficiary is of this policy. 7 That's what has to be determined. 8 9 MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. The issue is narrow and I THE COURT: 10 think everyone agrees with that. 11 MR. FEAMAN: And --12 THE COURT: What I'm thinking about is 13 you kind of want to be able to make sure that 14 15 everyone who, perhaps, could ultimately be a beneficiary of this policy have a voice in that 16 17 litigation. That's the due process part of it. So my thought is, having heard everybody say 18 what they said, I rarely find it to be a 19 problem allowing someone to intervene -- unless 20 they're a stranger, this wouldn't be a 21 22 stranger -- because a voice is a good thing to have. We allow interventions all the time here 23 on my cases. I just hear from someone else. 24 They don't win or lose unless there's merit to 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 them. Someone right now is hovering the 2 position that the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust is the beneficiary. They're lawyered up. 3 The only other person that seems to suggest 4 that that may not be the case and it is the 5 estate that's the beneficiary is Eliot. So I'm 6 considering having someone other than Eliot --7 or in addition to Eliot, because he's there 8 individually on behalf of himself and he's not 9 representing the estate -- someone represent 10 the interest of the estate. 11 12 And so the proposal is that that be someone funded by your client, Mr. Feaman, but 13 not -- but someone who is more neutral like Mr. 14 Brown or something like that. What do you say 15 about that? 16 17 MR. FEAMAN: We came up with Mr. Stansbury because if he's the one that's willing to fund 18 the intervention and to fund the person -- the 19 lawyer -- to make sure that the estate is going 20 to be protected --21

22THE COURT: He has more -- he's like23Eliot. He has his own interests, personal24interest.

25

MR. FEAMAN: He does. He has interests in

1 money coming into the estate, absolutely. 2 THE COURT: But someone who is more neutral may be the right move there. If that's 3 where I'm going on this, what is your position 4 on that? 5 If that's where you're going MR. FEAMAN: 6 7 on that then Ben Brown is acceptable in that regard. I would just -- since Mr. Stansbury is 8 the one that's volunteering, if you will, to 9 fund initially the cost of this, then he needs, 10 through me, some input with Mr. Brown. 11 THE COURT: 12 Sure. MR. FEAMAN: On all matters. 13 THE COURT: You'd be allowed to have input 14 with him. But Mr. Brown would be there, 15 16 assuming he's willing to take the assignment, to preserve issues of confidentiality and other 17 concerns that could exist. He sounded, all 18 along, from the beginning, as the perfect 19 centerpiece to do this. What do you say? 20 MR. BROWN: Actually, I -- a few things to 21 say, Your Honor. The first thing is with 22 23 regard to the privilege issue. I'm not aware of any privilege that would apply. 24 THE COURT: And I'm not either. But let's 25

1 get past that point.

| 2 | MR. BROWN: The testamentary exception, |
|----|---|
| 3 | this is squarely in the testamentary exception, |
| 4 | so there is no privilege in my view of this. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 6 | MR. BROWN: The second issue is that I |
| 7 | promised David Simon, I've given to you before, |
| 8 | this email thread where he sent me an email and |
| 9 | said you're trying to have Mr. Stansbury |
| 10 | appointed as administrator ad litem, the estate |
| 11 | should not be appearing in Illinois, you're |
| 12 | going to be wasting estate assets and you have |
| 13 | a conflict of interest because you're the |
| 14 | curator and the estate pours over into the |
| 15 | revocable trust and the beneficiaries of the |
| 16 | revocable trust don't want this policy to go to |
| 17 | the estate. I've been accused of conflict of |
| 18 | interest. I've been accused of beaches of |
| 19 | fiduciary duty already by David Simon who, |
| 20 | apparently, is Adam Simon's brother and the |
| 21 | father of some of the grandchildren. |
| 22 | My third issue is that, I think it's from |
| 23 | the Vietnam War, this comes within the category |
| 24 | of mission creek. I'm supposed to be temporary |
| 25 | interim limited curator. There's supposed to |
| | |

1 be a personal representative appointed at some 2 point. I've been asked by the parties to consider being the personal representative. 3 Frankly, Your Honor, this case is -- goes off 4 in a lot of different directions. Whoever the 5 personal representative is going to spend a lot 6 7 of money just dealing with the different parties and the different people who are 8 9 involved. And, frankly, I don't know that I And I really don't want to be have the time. 10 the personal representative. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. If I'm appointed administrator 13 MR. BROWN: ad litem it seems like I'm in there for the 14 long run on a federal case. They do move them 15 pretty quickly here in the Southern District of 16 Florida. I know that from experience. I don't 17 know about the Northern District of Illinois. 18 MR. FEAMAN: Well, there's been -- I can 19 20 answer that question. THE COURT: 21 Okay. 22 MR. FEAMAN: There's been a notification 23 of a docket entry entered by the judge on -- it said that all case dispositive motions are to 24 be filed by mid-July, July 13. 25 So it sounds

like we're on a rocket docket to me, Your
 Honor.

And on behalf of Mr. Stansbury I would 3 like to, since he is running the cost, be able 4 to work with whomever it is to pick counsel up 5 in Chicago. And that -- and to review 6 7 counsel's bills from Chicago and to help strategize with that counsel the best way to 8 9 proceed up there should Your Honor go that direction. 10 All right. So let me ask this 11 THE COURT: 12 question: Is there also before me a petition to appoint or determine a PR? 13 MR. FEAMAN: Not today. 14 THE COURT: Not today, okay. 15 Your Honor, I don't know if 16 MR. BROWN: 17 that's set for hearing at all. Although I request that it be set for hearing. The other 18 issue with a PR versus a curator is that 19 Mr. Stansbury has active litigation going on in 20 front of Judge Blanc right now. So far there 21 22 hasn't been any conflict as far as Ted Bernstein and the estate defending against 23 Mr. Stansbury's claim, but there have been 24 25 multiple instances where people in this case,

in this room, basically, have said that there
 could eventually be a conflict of interest
 because there could be some finger pointing in
 cross claims.

It's hard to purify a case 5 THE COURT: like this and not have it -- not have a 6 situation where it's allegation free of a 7 purported conflict of interest. But it just 8 9 sounds logical that if -- especially when I'm looking at the latest heading out of the case 10 in Illinois -- if this is, in its simplest 11 12 form, a dispute as to who the beneficiary of this life insurance policy is, I mean that's a 13 -- that's kind of a narrow hearing. We do 14 those types of things in state court. 15 You know, you need some discovery. And then you 16 17 present the evidence and the judge makes a decision. Kind of like the way you do in 18 contract cases. And so the parties who claim 19 to be beneficiaries of the policy seem to be 20 Simon Bernstein's Irrevocable Trust and their 21 22 representative. I'm treating Simon Bernstein 23 Trust as the same party for the purpose of this discussion. Eliot, individually, he's there. 24 25 And no one who may have a voice to say I want,

on behalf of the estate, because there's no PR. 1 2 If there's a PR the PR would take care of that. Especially where Mr. Stansbury is willing to 3 front the cost of the fees for that up front it 4 sounds beneficial to have that voice. 5 So I'll put it this way, Mr. Brown, I 6 7 would expand your curator duties, if you're willing, to take the assignment. If not, we 8 9 got to go elsewhere. It's up to you. MR. BROWN: The curator duties basically 10 to just effectively be the party who's 11 intervening using Mr. Stansbury's counsel? 12 THE COURT: No. You would be the party. 13 You would hire a lawyer. You're allowed to, 14 15 like in any other case, you and your lawyer can hear, because your phones work and your emails 16 17 work, from anyone else including Mr. Feaman and Mr. Rose and Mr. Morrissey, and anyone else can 18 stick their two sense in. That's the way 19 litigation goes. But it seems to be that this 20 isn't an issue that's a finger-pointing issue. 21 22 This is who the beneficiary of the policy is. The judge is going to look at the documents and 23 either say it's clear on its face or else take 24 parol evidence and we're on our way. 25 This

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 isn't a personal type of litigation. And so, 2 you know, the strategies are legal strategies that would be in charge of you and the lawyer 3 you hire. 4 I understand that, Your Honor. 5 MR. BROWN: Basically what you just described is something 6 7 that Mr. Stansbury could very easily do and pay for himself. 8 9 THE COURT: Right. But he's -- but I don't want him to be the party to do that 10 because I think there's -- he's a claimant. 11 There's -- I'm not comfortable there. 12 MR. BROWN: 13 Okay. THE COURT: And, you know, you're the 14 neutral person looking out for the estate's 15 interest. He has -- he's not -- he's looking 16 17 out for the estate's interest but in a different manner. So hypothetically if you 18 went up into the litigation and you got 19 convinced by looking at everything you looked 20 at, you and your lawyer, that the beneficiary 21 was the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance 22 23 Trust, whatever that is, and not the estate, you have a duty to argue in good faith. 24 You follow what I'm saying? 25 That's where the

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 neutrality part comes in. But you are more 2 advocating, primarily, to the estate at -that's the assignment. 3 MR. BROWN: I understand that, Your Honor. 4 But -- and I know there's a lot of buts here --5 the estate has about 6 to \$700,000 worth of 6 7 assets, that includes the jewelry. THE COURT: Remember, I'm having 8 9 Mr. Stansbury pay. MR. BROWN: Oh, you are having Mr. 10 Stansbury, okay. 11 THE COURT: That was the deal. 12 MR. BROWN: And just using his counsel 13 that he already has retained and already tried 14 15 to intervene with? 16 THE COURT: No. No. You pick the lawyer. 17 He pays. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I will do it 18 subject to whatever personal representative is 19 appointed going ahead and taking over --20 THE COURT: Ultimately if we get to the 21 22 stage where there's a PR taking the place of 23 you, that would be different. This is -- let me just tell you, I mean a couple of reasons 24 why I think that works is Mr. Brown has worked 25

1 with me as curator in a lot of cases. I mean I 2 haven't had one challenge to the reasonableness of the fees ever. He keeps control of the 3 lawyers. You know, and he does really a good 4 job there. So I really, you know, I can't 5 think of a better person to deal with this 6 7 issue given everyone's competing interest. He'll be fair on what he argues on behalf of 8 the estate. He's not going to run up fees. 9 He's not going to allow the lawyer to run up 10 If you want, I don't think he should be 11 fees. the lawyer probably because I don't think he's 12 admitted in Illinois --13 MR. BROWN: No. 14 THE COURT: -- and he'll be able to best 15 determine how to filter whatever the 16 17 information is that other counsel want to give to them. Again, it's a narrow issue. 18 Okay, 19 everyone is jumping up. MR. MORRISSEY: If I could respond on 20 behalf of four of the grandchildren. We're now 21 22 talking about having to pay, you know, from my 23 client's perspective pockets, Mr. Brown's fees, an attorney up in Illinois --24 I just said that won't be the 25 THE COURT:

case.

1

2 MR. MORRISSEY: That could potentially be 3 the case.

THE COURT: It would only be the case if 4 there was a recovery for the estate to which 5 then Mr. Stansbury would say, under the 6 7 statute, I performed a benefit for the estate. How could that not benefit -- and from what I'm 8 9 told your clients, the grandchildren, would be the people who would benefit from that. 10 So why would you complain about that if that's what 11 12 wound up happening? There's not a dollar coming out of the estate unless there's a 13 recovery basically, and then the recovery would 14 take place and he would seek some recovery of 15 fees. 16 17 MR. MORRISSEY: And he would seek that --THE COURT: Here. 18 MR. MORRISSEY: Here? 19 20 THE COURT: Sure. You can say what I think you're going to say, it's okay. 21 22 MR. MORRISSEY: I just want to go back to the basics. The fact that the estate is only a 23 taker in default. So the estate doesn't need 24 25 to be represented in the Illinois action.

It's, for example, there was even talk, I 1 2 believe, in the Illinois case by one of the banks or insurance companies that it's possible 3 if there's no beneficiary then the State of 4 Illinois could be the taker in default. Well, 5 the State of Illinois wasn't named as a party. 6 7 They don't have counsel there. Likewise, why should the estate have counsel in an action 8 9 where they're only the taker of last resort? THE COURT: Because if they're the taker 10 as a matter of law -- I mean -- I don't really 11 12 follow your argument because let's say there's a hearing, which there will be, and the trust 13 is there, Eliot is there, and the estate is 14 there, and the judge hears it all and says the 15 decision is the beneficiary should be the 16 estate, would we say that that's a ridiculous 17 thing that we had the estate participate? 18 Ι don't think so. 19 I don't know what -- I 20 MR. MORRISSEY:

21 mean there is no evidence that anyone on behalf 22 of the estate can present that they have ever 23 been named as a beneficiary --

24THE COURT: That could be. It may be then25that once Mr. Brown and counsel intervene, see

1 the documents -- I mean you're not talking --2 how many pages of documents could the beneficiary forms be? It can't be that many. 3 When we sign our life insurance forms we sign a 4 page or two, that's about it. It's not like 5 it's going to be really exotic litigation. 6 This is a narrow, single issue who the 7 beneficiary is of this policy. You know, it 8 may be that it is clear that it's this 9 irrevocable trust and then they'll go from 10 there to see whether that really is an entity 11 12 that exists. That may be a separate issue. Ιf the judge says -- someone can name on the life 13 insurance policy, you know, the Star Spangled 14 15 Banner Fund and if that doesn't exist then we know from contract law what happens if you name 16 17 a beneficiary that doesn't exist. You go to the next level. You certainly want the life 18 insurance funds going somewhere. That's what 19 we would determine if that took place. Step 1, 20 step 2, step 3, doesn't sound to be that 21 22 complexed. Last word. If I understand what you are 23 MR. ROSE: saying, which makes sense, Mr. Brown will keep 24 separate time for the time he spends as curator 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 working on the Illinois issue. He will hire 2 counsel and the fees of Mr. Brown and the Illinois counsel, under his direction and his 3 discretion, would be paid by Mr. Stansbury? 4 THE COURT: That's the case. Subject to a 5 claim for reimbursement under the statute. 6 I'd want to hear from 7 MR. ROSE: Mr. Stansbury under oath that he's willing to 8 9 undertake that expense. Not to talk out of school, but I haven't had discussion with 10 counsel and I didn't necessarily get the sense 11 12 that that was going to be the case. THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Feaman 13 can represent them. 14 15 MR. FEAMAN: I am representing as an officer of the Court, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. FEAMAN: My only concern is if 18 there's -- basically Mr. Stansbury is funding 19 this there's -- there has to be some type of, I 20 don't want to use the word control, but real 21 22 input into the process. THE COURT: Well, he's allowed to, like 23 anyone else in cases like this, you could have 24 conversations with Mr. Brown and his lawyer. 25

You can show them what documents there are. 1 2 You can ask them to discuss things with them. And, you know, I mean they -- they obviously 3 know he has an interest. And to the extent 4 that they're comfortable I think it's 5 appropriate they'll discuss these things with 6 7 them. MR. FEAMAN: On behalf of Mr. Stansbury, I 8 would like assurances. 9 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I have to 10 keep the -- there's a line of demarcation I 11 12 don't want to cross up front. MR. FEAMAN: And I'm not objecting that 13 it's not Mr. Stansbury. I just want to make 14 sure the person who --15 16 THE COURT: The person who is appointed is 17 going to advocate for the estate. MR. FEAMAN: Right. Agree with that. 18 THE COURT: But let me tell you this, the 19 reason I appoint a curator to do this is the 20 curator is not advocating for Mr. Stansbury. 21 22 He's advocating for the estate. There's times 23 when the curator could say, after doing everything, I don't think, for example, the 24 estate has a bona fide interest. 25 That may be

1 bad news for your side. But if that's what 2 they conclude then that's what they conclude. If they conclude they do they will continue 3 advocating. It's things we do as lawyers all 4 the time. We go after cases with merit, and 5 shy away from those we think don't have merit. 6 7 MR. FEAMAN: Yes. THE COURT: There's multilevel here. If 8 9 someone says that the Bernstein Irrevocable Trust is the beneficiary but that it doesn't exist there may be an argument that could be made how then still as a result of that the

9 someone says that the Bernstein Irrevocable
10 Trust is the beneficiary but that it doesn't
11 exist there may be an argument that could be
12 made how then still as a result of that the
13 estate should get the funds, that would be
14 something that Mr. Brown and counsel could
15 consider advocating. But it's all in good
16 faith stuff.

17MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I just want to make18sure --

THE COURT: You'll get copies of the 19 bills. You'll be able to see what's that. 20 Ιf at anytime you think that Mr. Brown and the 21 22 lawyer are, you know, going way beyond what you think they should, from an expense point of 23 view, you can always come back to me. 24 I'm less concerned with the 25 MR. FEAMAN:

1 expense, although it is important, more with 2 being able to pick up the phone and speak to counsel in Chicago and say, hey, have you 3 considered this, I have information that may 4 help your case. 5 I'm not going to micromanage THE COURT: 6 7 that part. Today if you want to call Mr. Brown for this hearing, for example, and say, Mr. 8 Brown, this is what I think, what do you think, 9 you're allowed to have a discussion on that. 10 That happens all the time, doesn't it? 11 It does. It does with 12 MR. BROWN: everybody in the case, emails and phone calls. 13 THE COURT: You guys email between each 14 15 other like crazy now. 16 MR. BROWN: That's true. Your Honor, the 17 only -- as far as keeping my time, if I kept my time at my rate as curator is Mr. Stansbury 18 supposed to pay for that, or is that still 19 payable by the estate? 20 THE COURT: Your time and the lawyer's 21 22 time are the only rate I approve --23 MR. BROWN: Paid by Mr. Stansbury. THE COURT: -- the hourly rate, I approve 24 of 350. 25

I also propose, it doesn't 1 MR. BROWN: 2 have to go on the order, it would seem to me, there's nothing wrong, once I retain a Chicago 3 attorney, there's nothing wrong with Mr. Feaman 4 calling that Chicago attorney and me telling 5 the Chicago attorney don't get me on the phone 6 7 - -THE COURT: I agree. There's no question. 8 You're the conduit. 9 MR. BROWN: As far as the claim, I'll 10 absolutely rely on Illinois counsel. 11 THE COURT: All right. I think this is 12 pretty clear how it's going to be handled. 13 Yes, sir. 14 15 MR. ROSE: A couple of minor concerns, I think Mr. Brown went too far. Mr. Stansbury 16 17 would not pay for all the curator fees, only the curator fees directly related to the 18 Illinois matter. 19 20 THE COURT: That's what he said. Separate times sheets, sure. 21 22 MR. ROSE: I'm concerned if they -- he's 23 going to hire a Chicago lawyer, a Chicago lawyer is going to be expensive. That's what 24 our main concern is --25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Hold on. Mr. Brown --1 THE COURT: 2 MR. ROSE: He's a practical guy ---- he's going to find a good 3 THE COURT: lawyer with a reasonable rate, and that's a 4 little higher. He's not going to hire a 5 \$1,000-an-hour-guy. 6 MR. ROSE: But if he hires a lawyer and 7 the bill is \$12,000 and Mr. Stansbury's counsel 8 looks at it and says we don't think we should 9 pay it, Mr. Brown is retaining the person on 10 behalf of the estate, we need to have not a 11 chance for them to complain about bills. 12 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not worried about 13 There's too much -- I'm not finding, that now. 14 you know -- I mean one -- part of this is what 15 I think is the sincerity of Mr. Feaman's side 16 17 here. And it's kind of a good thing that we have the ability to be able to use 18 Mr. Stansbury's funds that way. They've made 19 the pledge to do it. I don't think they're 20 going to go back on their word. 21 22 MR. ROSE: I understand. I think Mr. Stansbury should at least, under oath --23 THE COURT: Your request is denied. 24 Mr. Feaman is an officer of the court. 25 He

represents --

1

2 MR. ROSE: -- it would be enforceable as a judgment if he doesn't pay -- the estate would 3 have a claim against Mr. Stansbury if he, for 4 example, didn't pay some invoices and we got 5 stuck paying the bill for a Chicago lawyer. 6 THE COURT: You want me to rule on that 7 now? Your answer is no. You're real premature 8 9 on that. Draft an order along the lines I mention. 10 What else for today? 11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I had two motions 12 for instructions. 13 THE COURT: One had to do with this issue, 14 15 right? That one I basically just took 16 MR. BROWN: a backseat to because of the administrator ad 17 litem motion. 18 The other, Eliot Bernstein sends me a lot 19 of emails with a lot of requests. 20 I'm not saying it's a bad thing. But he asks me 21 22 questions I don't necessarily know I can answer. For instance, he got the accounting by 23 Tetra and Spallina and then sent me an email 24 that I've attached to the motion. I don't know 25

1 if you have the motion for instructions. 2 THE COURT: I do. MR. BROWN: That had 44 different 3 questions, not including subparts, and asked 4 that I hire a forensic accountant, an analyst 5 and acquire account statements from a number of 6 third-party institutions. 7 THE COURT: Is that the motion? I don't 8 9 have the attachments. It says motion for instructions -- that's the life insurance one. 10 Hold on. 11 It's not necessarily 12 MR. BROWN: important. Eliot is very thorough. But, 13 again, the estate has limited assets. My view 14 15 of what the curator should do with respect to the accounting is not take the lead on 16 17 objecting to what Tetra and Spallina did, investigating the underpinnings of the 18 accounting, that's up to -- we have a lot of 19 beneficiaries here who are very, very 20 passionate and interested in what's going on 21 22 with the estate. 23 THE COURT: Stop. You don't have to go further. That position, that's the law. You 24 If there's an accounting, 25 don't do that.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 there's a rule on objections, the parties 2 object. They don't use you -- you don't work for them. 3 MR. BROWN: Okay. 4 THE COURT: You work for the court. 5 I'll try and craft an order MR. BROWN: 6 that deals with that motion in that regard. 7 Also, there also was a motion, Eliot has 8 concerns about the 2012 will and its validity. 9 I think your ruling would be the same on that. 10 I don't have a role in trying to contest that 11 will --12 THE COURT: Exactly. You're not an 13 advocate. You don't investigate things that 14 the parties may be interested in. They can do 15 what they think they need to do based on the 16 17 rules of procedure and statutes. MR. BROWN: That's it. 18 If I may address the privilege 19 MR. ROSE: issue? 20 THE COURT: Okay. The privilege issue, 21 22 okay. 23 MR. ROSE: May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. 24 I can file a copy of this. 25 MR. ROSE:

1 This is the email in question. Without reading 2 the email, if you look at who it is addressed to at the very top. Mr. Bernstein is saying, 3 this is Ted, telling me he sent it to Eliot by 4 mistake. Last night at 10:12 he got off an 5 airplane and wanted to tell me things. It's to 6 Eliot by accident. If you just read --7 THE COURT: When you say to Eliot by 8 9 accident, the only person this is sent to is Eliot. 10 Correct. He was trying to send 11 MR. ROSE: it to me. If you look below the word analysis, 12 the first word of the email is Alan. 13 THE COURT: So this was is supposed to go 14 15 to you and it went to Eliot? By mistake. And Mr. Bernstein 16 MR. ROSE: has advised me this morning he sent it to 2,000 17 people already. He plans on publicizing it --18 THE COURT: I'm sure he didn't do that 19 because if he wants to participate in the case 20 he's obligated to have and comply with the 21 22 rules of court. MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor --23 THE COURT: When you --24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: I was sent an email to me.

1 Like I do when I get a letter that has threatening stuff to me I sent it to my friends 2 who are lawyers. I sent it to a number of 3 people. Actually, I got so busy sending it to 4 people, because it scared me a little bit that 5 it was very threatening to people, that by the 6 time I was done my wife stopped me and said we 7 got to go to court. All I know is my brother 8 9 sent me an email that seems pretty threatening. It was addressed to me. I was the intended 10 recipient. 11 Let me ask you, when the email 12 THE COURT: starts off Alan --13 I get a million emails --MR. ROSE: 14 15 THE COURT: That say Alan? 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: That say whoever's name. 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know what, I don't buy anything you just told me. 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: I thought my brother was 19 sending me a copy of an email --20 THE COURT: Stop. Stop. Stop speaking. 21 22 I'm going to look at the rule for a second. 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. MR. ROSE: It's 1.285. 24 THE COURT: 25 Okay.

1 MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't been prepared 2 for this, so... 3 THE COURT: Okav. MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't looked at the 4 rules. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: I can show you several instances in my email of people sending me 8 9 letters addressed to other people, several thousands of those. 10 THE COURT: So, all right. Everyone has 11 12 to take a deep breath. This situation is done pursuant to Rule 1.285. So Mr. Rose, on your 13 side, correct me if you think I'm wrong, 14 Subsection A says, "When you" -- your client --15 16 "takes a position that there's been an 17 inadvertent disclosure of privileged materials to another person" -- which is what you say 18 happened, correct? 19 20 MR. ROSE: Correct, sir. THE COURT: It says here, "In order to 21 22 assert the privilege the party, person or entity shall, within 10 days of actually 23 discovering the inadvertent disclosure, serve 24 written notice of the assertion of privilege on 25

1 the party to whom the materials were disclosed. 2 The notice shall specify with particularity" --And then there's a procedure. etc. 3 MR. ROSE: I did that last night. I 4 emailed him last night. 5 THE COURT: I didn't know that. 6 So you 7 gave him the written notice. I assume he got it. Can I see a copy of the notice? 8 9 MR. ROSE: I'm trying to get a copy of the notice. Perhaps -- I'm not trying to have the 10 whole argument heard today. I just --11 THE COURT: The rule applies. 12 MR. ROSE: Right. 13 THE COURT: So once he gets notice, the 14 rule applies. So the notice will have -- you 15 sent it by email? 16 17 MR. ROSE: I have it here now. I do find it, sir. May I approach? 18 THE COURT: What's the time and date of 19 the notice? 20 MR. ROSE: May 22, 2014 at 11:07 p.m. I 21 22 said, "You received an email from Ted intended solely for me, and accidentally sent to you by 23 mistake. The email was sent around 10:12 p.m. 24 tonight. Please delete the email immediately 25

1 without reading it and confirm that deletion by 2 email. The communication was attorney-client protected and you are not entitled to read or 3 possess the email due to the accidental 4 transmission. Thank you in advance. And if 5 you fail to comply with this request we'll be 6 forced to take corrective action with the 7 court." Signed by me sent to the same email 8 address that --9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So the rule 10 says, to Eliot, he sent that to you, Rule 11 1.285, Subsection B tells you what you're 12 supposed to do. 13 I haven't seen it yet. 14 MR. BERNSTEIN: 15 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BERNSTEIN: He's saying he sent it 16 17 after Ted's email. The last email I read was Ted's email. So I haven't seen it. 18 So open that email --19 THE COURT: 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. And do what the rule 21 22 says. 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Don't send it to anybody else. 24 THE COURT: Well, okay, that, but it also 25

1 says some other things of what you're supposed 2 to do. You're supposed to return or destroy That's one thing you're supposed to do. it. 3 And you are to notify anyone else who you 4 disclosed it to that they're to do the same 5 thing and you're also to take reasonable steps 6 to retrieve the materials disclosed --7 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll do all that. 8 9 THE COURT: And the only exception to this is if you want to challenge that assertion that 10 you were provided an inadvertent privileged 11 matter. And then the rule says what could 12 happen and we can have litigation and spend a 13 lot of money. 14 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'll do whatever it 16 is -- whatever the law says, as always. 17 THE COURT: There's nothing for me to do. MR. ROSE: I understand. I just want to 18 19 make sure you --MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, it went out to 20 a lot of people. Like I said, I have a broad 21 22 base --23 THE COURT: Take a look. When you leave the courthouse --24 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll notify

everybody though. THE COURT: Go and take a look at the rule and just do what the rule says. MR. ROSE: And it's not to be posted on social media. THE COURT: You see, I'm not allowed to have dialogue on that now. Other than signing the order, hearing over. Thank you. (Whereupon the hearing is concluded at 10:00 a.m.)

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, JULIE ANDOLPHO, do hereby certify that |
| 4 | the foregoing transcript of the proceedings, |
| 5 | consisting of pages numbered 1 through 54, |
| 6 | inclusive, is a true and correct transcript of the |
| 7 | proceedings taken by me before the Honorable MARTIN |
| 8 | COLIN, on May 23, 2014. |
| 9 | I further certify that I am not a relative |
| 10 | or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the |
| 11 | parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney |
| 12 | or counsel, or financially interested, directly or |
| 13 | indirectly, in this action. |
| 14 | The certification does not apply to any |
| 15 | reproduction of the same by any means unless under |
| 16 | direct control and/or direction or the reporter. |
| 17 | I, JULIE ANDOLPHO, do hereby certify that a foregoing transcript of the proceedings, asisting of pages numbered 1 through 54, clusive, is a true and correct transcript of the occeedings taken by me before the Honorable MARTIN JUN, on May 23, 2014. I further certify that I am not a relative employee or attorney or counsel of any of the sties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney counsel, or financially interested, directly or lirectly, in this action. The certification does not apply to any production of the same by any means unless under |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Julie Andolpho |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

Page 1 of 11

33:14 47:18

54 54:5 \$ \$1,000-an-hourguy 43:6 6 **\$1.7** 6:9 14:2 6 33:6 \$12,000 43:8 625 2:18 **\$700,000** 33:6 9 9 2:5 1 1 37:20 54:5 9:00 1:13 **1.285** 4:11,21 48:24 49:13 Α 51:12 **a.m** 1:13 53:9 10 49:23 **ability** 43:18 10:00 1:13 53:9 able 22:9 24:14 29:4 34:15 10:12 47:5 50:24 40:20 41:2 **11:07** 50:21 43:18 13 28:25 above-styled 3:3 absolutely 26:1 2 42:11 2 37:21 acceptable 26:7 2,000 4:16 47:17 accident 47:7,9 200 3:5 accidental 51:4 2012 46:9 accidentally 4:22 2014 1:12 3:7 50:23 50:21 54:8,17 accidently 4:6 **213** 2:14 according 6:16 22 50:21 7:17 17:6 23 1:12 54:8 account 45:6 23rd 3:7 accountant 45:5 27th 54:17 accounting 44:23 45:16,19,25 accused 27:17,18 3 3 37:21 acquire 45:6 30 4:4 act 15:5 330 2:14 action 7:5 8:13 9:22 14:9 15:12 33401 2:10,14,18 17:22 35:25 33436 2:6 36:8 51:7 54:13 350 41:25 actions 15:19,20 3695 2:5 active 22:4 23:1 29:20 4 actively 13:18 401 2:18 actually 6:17 44 45:3 26:21 48:4 49:23 ad 5:23 6:3 7:3 5 13:24 22:7,16 502012CP004391XXX 27:10 28:14 XSB 1:2 44:17

505 2:10

21:17 27:20 addition 25:8 address 15:3 23:9 46:19 51:9 addressed 5:3 47:2 48:10 49:9 addressing 11:24 administrator 5:23 6:3 7:3 13:24 15:24 22:7,16 27:10 28:13 44:17 admitted 34:13 adult 2:12 3:18 9:9,11,18,22 17:18,19 adults 9:18 **advance** 22:21 51:5 adverse 14:9 22:25 advised 4:15,23,24 47:17 advocate 39:17 46:14 advocating 18:10 33:2 39:21,22 40:4,15 affidavit 11:17,23 21:6 **against** 17:25 29:23 44:4 ago 20:21 ahead 4:3 5:18 6:23 22:13 33:20 airplane 47:6 Alan 2:9 3:19 47:13 48:13,15 allegation 30:7 **allege** 20:11 **alleged** 7:10,22 allow 23:15 24:23 34:10 **allowed** 15:4,11 20:4 26:14 31:14 38:23 41:10 53:6 allowing 24:20 already 13:16 15:20 27:19

am 38:15 54:9 amended 17:17 among 13:4 **amount** 13:21 analysis 16:10,11 47:12 analyst 45:5 and/or 10:19 21:19 54:16 Andolpho 54:3,19 answer 28:20 44:8,23 anticipate 16:14 anybody 51:23 **anyone** 31:17,18 36:21 38:24 52:4 anything 12:13 48:18 anytime 40:21 apparently 14:2 27:20 appearance 6:5 Appearances 2:1 3:12 appearing 2:3,8,12,16,20 27:11 application 14:1 applies 50:12,15 apply 26:24 54:14 **appoint** 6:2 7:3 22:15,17 29:13 39:20 appointed 15:24 27:10 28:1,13 33:20 39:16 appointment 5:23 approach 46:23 50:18 appropriate 39:6 appropriately 23:16 approve 20:14 41:22,24 arguably 6:18 argue 32:24 argues 34:8 argument 36:12

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

Adam 19:11,18

Page 2 of 11

```
40:11 50:11
arrangement 14:19
assert 13:8 16:24
  49:22
asserting 13:6,8
  18:8 20:2
assertion 49:25
  52:10
asset 6:19,24 8:1
assets 7:1 8:1
  9:16
  10:12,18,21
  12:16 27:12
  33:7 45:14
assignment 26:16
  31:8 33:3
assume 8:18 50:7
assuming 26:16
assurances 39:9
Atlantic 3:5
attached 11:17
  16:3,4 21:7
  44:25
attachments 45:9
attacking 5:5
attempted 16:1
attorney 34:24
  42:4,5,6
  54:10,11
attorney-client
  4:8,18 51:2
attorneys 14:11
attorney's 12:20
available 14:1
Avenue 3:5
award 12:20 14:11
aware 7:24 26:23
away 40:6
       в
backseat 44:17
bad 40:1 44:21
banks 36:3
Banner 37:15
base 52:22
based 8:18 46:16
basically 22:2
  30:1 31:10 32:6
  35:14 38:19
```

```
44:16
basics 35:23
battle 19:20 20:1
Beach 1:1
  2:5,6,10,14,18
  3:4,6
beaches 27:18
become 7:25
  15:13,15 20:19
  23:11
beginning 26:19
behalf 2:3,8,12
  3:14,17,19 5:20
  6:5 10:11 12:14
  18:11,21,22
  19:6 25:9 29:3
  31:1 34:8,21
  36:21 39:8
  43:11
behind 20:7
believe 8:14 11:4
  36:2
Ben 3:21 23:14
  26:7
beneficial 31:5
beneficiaries
  7:15
  9:11,14,16,25
  16:25 27:15
  30:20 45:20
beneficiary
  7:10,16,19,23
  11:11,12,13,16,
  19 12:1,24
  13:13 20:14
  21:10 24:7,16
  25:3,6 30:12
  31:22 32:21
  36:4,16,23
  37:3,8,17 40:10
benefit 6:9 10:17
  14:23 16:14
  17:7 19:13
  35:7,8,10
BENJAMIN 2:17
Bernstein 1:6
  2:8,21
  3:11,13,20
  4:5,7,9,10,11,1
  5,23,25
  5:2,6,7,9,10,12
  6:18 7:19,21
  9:3,10,19 11:20
  12:21 13:6
  17:1,11 19:9,11
  20:6,19
```

```
25:2 29:23
  30:22 32:22
  40:9 44:19
 47:3,16,23,25
 48:16,19,23
  49:1,4,7
 51:14,16,20,23
 52:8,15,20,25
Bernstein's 6:8
  30:21
best 23:22 29:8
  34:15
better 34:6
beyond 40:22
bill 43:8 44:6
bills 29:7 40:20
 43:12
bit 48:5
bizarre 5:5
Blanc 29:21
blank 19:7
Blvd 2:5
bona 39:25
Boynton 2:5,6
breath 49:12
bring 10:12 14:17
broad 52:21
brother 5:3 19:12
 27:20 48:8,19
Brown 2:17 3:21
  10:3,5,6 22:19
  23:14 25:15
  26:7,11,15,21
 27:2,6 28:13
 29:16 31:6,10
  32:5,13
  33:4,10,13,18,2
 5 34:14 36:25
 37:24 38:2,25
 40:14,21
 41:7,9,12,16,23
 42:1,10,16
 43:1,10
  44:12,16
  45:3,12
  46:4,6,18
Brown's 21:7
  34:23
bunch 4:22 21:24
burden 8:19
busv 48:4
buts 33:5
buy 48:18
```

С **care** 31:2 **case** 1:2 3:11 8:15 13:16 14:10 17:9,15 18:7 20:6 21:3 22:21 25:5 28:4,15,24 29:25 30:5,10 31:15 35:1,3,4 36:2 38:5,12 41:5,13 47:20 cases 24:24 30:19 34:1 38:24 40:5 category 27:23 cause 3:3 centerpiece 26:20 certain 15:9,13,18 certainly 15:19 37:18 **CERTIFICATE** 54:1 certification 54:14 **certify** 54:3,9 challenge 34:2 52:10 **chance** 43:12 charge 32:3 Chicago 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 42:3,5,6,23 44:6 children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children's 20:25 CIRCUIT 1:1 City 3:6 **claim** 11:4 12:3,18 14:24 15:17 18:9,11,23 20:11,13 29:24 30:19 38:6 42:10 44:4 claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11 claimed 16:20

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

21:3,5,11,13

Page 3 of 11

| | | | Page 3 of 11 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| claims 13:5 30:4 | confidentiality | 11:1,18 | 31:7,10 34:1 | | |
| | 26:17 | 13:1,2,22 | 37:25 | | |
| classes 15:11 | confirm 51:1 | 14:6,12,21 | 39:20,21,23 | | |
| clear 7:23 31:24 | | 15:1,22 | 41:18 42:17,18 | | |
| 37:9 42:13 | conflict 27:13,17 | 16:8,9,13,20 | 45:15 | | |
| Clematis 2:14 | 29:22 30:2,8 | 17:2,6,9,14,20, | current 10:13 | | |
| client 4:9 11:7 | consider 28:3 | 23 18:6,12,19,22 | currently 6:6 | | |
| 13:23 25:13 | 40:15 | 19:2,8,15,19 | | | |
| 49:15 | considered 41:4 | 20:4,14,17 | | | |
| clients 18:14 | considering 25:7 | 21:1,13,18 | | | |
| 19:6 35:9 | consisting 54:5 | 22:4,8,9,13 | date 1:12 50:19 | | |
| client's 34:23 | - | 23:7,13,15,20 | Dated 54:17 | | |
| | contest 46:11 | 24:1,10,13 25:22 | David 19:12 | | |
| COLIN 1:9 3:4 54:8 | contingent 11:16 | 25:22 | 27:7,19 | | |
| | continue 40:3 | 27:5 28:12,21 | day 3:7 54:17 | | |
| comes 17:7 27:23 33:1 | contract 30:19 | 29:11,15 | days 49:23 | | |
| | 37:16 | 30:5,15 31:13 | - | | |
| comfortable 32:12 | control 34:3 | 32:9,14 | deal 33:12 34:6 | | |
| 39:5 | 38:21 54:16 | 33:8,12,16,21 34:15,25 | dealing 6:24 28:7 | | |
| coming 26:1 35:13 | | 35:4,18,20 | deals 46:7 | | |
| comment 23:8 | conversations 38:25 | 36:10,24 | death 6:9 | | |
| communication 4:8 | | 38:5,13,16,17,2 | deceased 6:8,18 | | |
| 51:2 | convinced 32:20 | 3 39:10,16,19 | | | |
| companies 36:3 | copies 40:19 | 40:8,19 41:6,14,21,24 | decide 13:3,4 | | |
| - | copy 7:13 8:17 | 41:0,14,21,24 | decision 30:18 | | |
| <pre>company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23</pre> | 9:5 46:25 48:20 | 43:1,3,13,24,25 | 36:16 | | |
| 11:12,14,19,24 | 50:8,9 | 44:7,14 | declared 22:7 | | |
| 17:12 18:1,4,6 | correct 17:8 | 45:2,8,23 | deep 49:12 | | |
| 20:10,12 | 23:18 24:9 | 46:5,13,21,24 | default 35:24 | | |
| company's 21:9 | 47:11 49:14,19,20 | 47:8,14,19,22,2 4 | 36:5 | | |
| competing 13:5 | 54:6 | 48:8,12,15,17,2 | defendant | | |
| 19:22 21:25 | | 1,25 | 20:20,23 | | |
| 34:7 | correcting 18:3 | 49:3,6,11,21 | defending 29:23 | | |
| complain 35:11 | corrective 51:7 | 50:6,12,14,19 | - | | |
| 43:12 | cost 26:10 29:4 | 51:8,10,15,19,2 1,25 52:9,17,23 | delete 4:12 50:25 | | |
| complexed 37:22 | 31:4 | 53:2,6 54:1 | deletion 51:1 | | |
| comply 4:20 47:21 | counsel 5:1 19:15 | courthouse 3:5 | Delray 3:6 | | |
| 51:6 | 29:5,8 31:12 | 52:24 | demarcation 39:11 | | |
| computer 3:11 | 33:13 34:17 36:7,8,25 | craft 46:6 | denied 13:15 | | |
| 11:22,23 21:9 | 38:2,3,11 40:14 | | 15:21,22,25 | | |
| concern 12:15 | 41:3 42:11 43:8 | crazy 41:15 | 16:2,7 20:13 | | |
| 13:17 38:18 | 54:10,12 | creditor 10:10 | 43:24 | | |
| 42:25 | counsel's 29:7 | 14:15 15:7 16:16 | deposited 13:1 | | |
| concerned 40:25 | counterclaim 18:2 | | described 32:6 | | |
| 42:22 | County 1:1 3:5,6 | creditors 10:20 | | | |
| concerning 6:21 | - | creek 27:24 | despite 8:11 | | |
| concerns 26:18 | <pre>couple 16:5 33:24 42:15</pre> | cross 30:4 39:12 | destroy 52:2 | | |
| 42:15 46:9 | | cross-defendants | determine 13:12 | | |
| conclude 40:2,3 | course 10:18 | 21:25 | 23:16 29:13 | | |
| | court 1:1 3:10,23 | cross-plaintiff | 34:16 37:20 | | |
| concluded 53:9 | 4:3,20,25 | 20:18 21:21 | determined 24:8 | | |
| conduit 42:9 | 5:8,11,14,18,19 6:2,22,25 7:5 | curator 2:16 3:21 | dialogue 53:7 | | |
| confidential | 8:3 9:1,3,6 | 10:2,19 | different 12:7 | | |
| 23:11 | 10:4,15,22 | 27:14,25 29:19 | 21:4 28:5,7,8 | | |
| | | | | | |

Page 4 of 11

32:18 33:23 45:3 direct 54:16 direction 29:10 38:3 54:16 directions 28:5 directly 4:9 42:18 54:12 disclosed 50:1 52:5,7 disclosure 49:17,24 discovering 49:24 discovery 30:16 discretion 38:4 **discuss** 39:2,6 discussed 4:14 discussion 30:24 38:10 41:10 discussions 11:6 dispositive 28:24 dispute 11:11 30:12 dissolved 11:15 distinction 15:7 distribution 6:21 **District** 28:16,18 docket 28:23 29:1 **document** 4:16 9:1 12:8 documentation 8:8 documents 6:13 12:13 31:23 37:1,2 39:1 dollar 35:12 done 48:7 49:12 **Draft** 44:9 Drive 2:10,18 due 24:17 51:4 duties 31:7,10 duty 10:20 27:19 32:24 Е easily 32:7 economic 16:21 effect 14:5 effectively 31:11

eight 15:11 **either** 14:11 26:25 31:24 **Eliot** 2:21 3:13 4:7,10,11,14,24 5:6,9 13:6,8 18:10,12 20:2,4,17 21:21,22 22:2 23:21 25:6,7,8,23 30:24 36:14 44:19 45:13 46:8 47:4,7,8,10,15 51:11 else 23:15 24:24 31:17,18,24 38:24 44:11 51:24 52:4 elsewhere 31:9 email 4:5,6,10,11,21 5:1,2,3 27:8 41:14 44:24 47:1,2,13,25 48:9,12,20 49:8 50:16,22,24,25 51:2,4,8,17,18, 19 **emailed** 4:16 50:5 **emails** 31:16 41:13 44:20 48:14 **employee** 54:10,11 enforceable 44:2 entered 28:23 entities 21:24 **entitle** 16:17 entitled 51:3 entity 21:2 37:11 49:23 **entry** 28:23 especially 30:9 31:3 **ESQ** 2:4,9,13,17 establish 8:16 estate 1:6 3:22 5:21 6:4,5,12,19,25 7:7,8,25 8:1,5,11,12,13, 23 9:12,20 10:1,10,11,12,1 3,17,18,21 11:5

12:14,16,18,22, 24 13:9,19,20 14:3,8,16,18,23 15:14 16:23,24 17:7 18:11 20:3 23:1,17,25 24:3 25:6,10,11,20 26:1 27:10,12,14,17 29:23 31:1 32:23 33:2,6 34:9 35:5,7,13,23,24 36:8,14,17,18,2 2 39:17,22,25 40:13 41:20 43:11 44:3 45:14,22 estate's 7:1 32:15,17 eventually 30:2 everybody 24:18 41:13 53:1 everyone 24:11,15 34:19 49:11 everyone's 34:7 everything 5:13 7:7 32:20 39:24 evidence 11:8,9 12:3,10,12 13:12 24:5 30:17 31:25 36:21 evidentiary 10:25 **exact** 13:6 **Exactly** 46:13 example 16:16 36:1 39:24 41:8 44:5 exception 27:2,3 52:9 exist 12:5,11 14:25 26:18 37:15,17 40:11 **exists** 37:12 exotic 37:6 expand 31:7 expended 13:20 **expense** 13:18 38:9 40:23 41:1 expenses 22:19 expensive 42:24 experience 28:17

extensive 16:10

extent 14:16 39:4 F face 31:24 **fact** 7:15 8:11 12:11 15:13 16:13 23:10 35:23 facts 11:8 fail 51:6 fair 14:18 34:8 faith 32:24 40:16 fashion 9:6 father 27:21 favor 16:15 Feaman 2:4,5 3:14 5:19,20 10:9 13:22 17:6,17,21 19:18 23:10,14,19,24 24:9,12 25:13,17,25 26:6,13 28:19,22 29:14 31:17 38:13,15,18 39:8,13,18 40:7,17,25 42:4 43:25 Feaman's 43:16 federal 7:4 12:6 28:15 fee 14:19 **fees** 10:13,16 12:19,20 13:23 14:11 15:5 31:4 34:3,9,11,23 35:16 38:2 42:17,18 fence 19:3 fide 39:25 fiduciary 27:19 FIFTEENTH 1:1 fight 11:10 12:17 figured 20:22 **file** 46:25 **filed** 11:17 16:3 18:1 21:7 28:25 filing 6:14 filter 34:16 final 14:14

Page 5 of 11

finally 10:14 financially 54:12 finding 43:14 Fine 5:17 finger 30:3 finger-pointing 31:21 first 7:2,16 8:4,20 15:3 18:19 26:22 47:13 five 17:18 **FL** 2:6,10,14,18 Flagler 2:10,18 Florida 1:1 3:7 28.17 forced 51:7 foregoing 54:4 forensic 45:5 form 30:12 former 7:6 8:6 formerly 8:22 forms 11:25 37:3,4 frankly 28:4,9 fraudulent 20:11 free 30:7 Friday 3:7 friends 48:2 front 29:21 31:4 39:12 **fund** 22:18 25:18,19 26:10 37:15 funded 25:13 funding 23:2 38:19 funds 8:9 13:19,21 14:1 18:5 19:20 20:2 37:19 40:13 43:19 G gets 50:14 given 27:7 34:7 gone 13:14 20:7 grandchildren

2:12 3:18

9:13,17,24 17:5

18:15,21 27:21 34:21 35:9 granted 18:4 guy 43:2 guys 41:14 н handed 20:21 handled 42:13 **happen** 52:13 happened 49:19 happens 37:16 41:11 hard 30:5 harmless 14:9 haven't 14:4 19:4,7 20:22 34:2 38:10 49:1,4 51:14,18 having 15:16 24:18 25:7 33:8,10 34:22 heading 30:10 hear 10:4 14:21 24:24 31:16 38:7 heard 14:4 24:18 50:11 hearing 10:25 24:6 29:17,18 30:14 36:13 41:8 53:8,9 hears 36:15 He'd 14:7 he'll 13:25 34:8,15 help 29:7 41:5 hereby 54:3 Heritage 7:17 he's 4:17 15:6,10,11,20 21:16 25:8,9,18,22 26:16 30:24 32:9,11,16 34:9,10,12 38:8,23 39:22 42:22 43:2,3,5 47:21 51:16 hey 41:3 high 8:14,19

hire 22:20 31:14 4:12,24 50:25 32:4 38:1 42:23 43:5 45:5 hires 43:7 history 4:17 hold 14:8 16:8 21:1 43:1 45:11 honestly 19:4 Honor 5:20,24 6:15,22 7:3,7,24 8:25 9:10,12,19 10:2,7 16:6 20:7,24 26:22 28:4 29:2,9,16 32:5 33:4,18 38:16 41:16 44:12 47:23 52:20 Honorable 1:9 3:4 54:7 hourly 41:24 hovering 25:1 hypothetically 32:18 т **I'd** 4:20 14:21 38:7 **ID** 12:5,6 I'll 23:15 31:6 42:10 46:6 52:8,15,25 Illinois 6:7 7:5,22 8:3 9:22 12:10,17,25 13:3 15:12 19:14,15 22:1 24:4 27:11 28:18 30:11 34:13,24 35:25 36:2,5,6 38:1,3 42:11,19 **I'm** 10:6 14:12 18:25 20:23,24 21:1,18 24:5,13 25:6 26:4,23,25 27:24 28:13,14 30:9,22 32:12,25 33:8 35:8 39:10,13 40:25 41:6 42:22 43:13,14 44:20 47:19 48:22 49:14 50:9,10 53:6 13:10 16:25

impleaded 8:9 impleading 19:19 important 4:1 41:1 45:13 inadvertent 49:17,24 52:11 incentive 9:19 included 12:22 includes 33:7 including 31:17 45:4 inclusive 54:6 indemnification 12.19 indemnify 14:8 23:3 independent 22:20 indicate 16:6 indirectly 54:13 individual 21:13,16 individually 16:2 21:19,22 24:2 25:9 30:24 information 4:19 15:9,14,18 23:11 34:17 41:4 inherit 9:23 inherited 9:20 initially 26:10 **input** 26:11,14 38:22 instance 44:23 instances 29:25 49:8 institutions 45:7 instruct 4:20 instructions 21:8 44:13 45:1,10 instrument 8:16 insurance 6:7,15,17,19 7:9,11,12,13,16 ,17,21,24 8:4,7,20,23,24 9:5,8,21,24 11:5,10,12,18,2 1,24 12:2,4

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

immediately

higher 43:5

Page 6 of 11

```
17:11,12,25
 18:1,4,6
 20:9,11,12
 21:9,11 30:13
  32:22 36:3
  37:4,14,19
 45:10
integrity 22:23
intended 48:10
  50:22
interest 7:1
 16:21 18:15
 23:17 24:3
 25:11,24
 32:16,17 34:7
 39:4,25
 45:21 46:15
  54:12
interesting 6:13
Interestingly
 8:19 9:10
interests 20:25
 25:23,25
internal 6:16
internet 4:18
```

27:13,18 30:2,8

interested 5:21

interim 27:25

interpleaded 18:2

interpleader 18:5

interrupt 10:8

intervene 7:4 10:11 13:14,16 15:12 16:1,18 20:5 22:9 23:16 24:20 33:15 36:25

intervening 14:10 15:19 31:12

intervention 15:20 16:12,13 25:19

interventions 24:23

investigate 46:14

investigating 45:18

investigation

11:7 invoices 44:5 involved 6:12 28:9

involving 6:7

irrevocable 7:21 11:20 12:1 13:9 17:11 19:9 21:5,11,20,23 22:1 25:2 30:21 32:22 37:10 40:9 isn't 31:21 32:1

issue 4:1,14 12:9,17 13:2,10 23:18 24:10 26:23 27:6,22 29:19 31:21 34:7,18 37:7,12 38:1 44:14 46:20,21

issues 22:22 26:17 it's 4:18,19 7:20 8:17 9:9,12 11:1 12:22,23 14:18 21:6 23:22 27:22 30:5,7 31:9,24 34:18 35:21 36:1,3 37:5,6,9 39:5,14 40:4,15 42:13 43:17 44:21 45:12 47:6 48:24 53:4

I've 6:14 16:3 20:19 27:7,17,18 28:2 44:25

л. Jeff 3:15 **JEFFREY** 2:4 jewelry 33:7 job 34:5 John 2:13 3:17 joined 17:18,19 judge 13:3,15 24:5 28:23 29:21 30:17 31:23 36:15 37:13 judgment 13:11 15:10 16:15 44:3

JUDICIAL 1:1 Julie 54:3,19 July 28:25 jumping 6:23

34:19

т. LaSalle 7:18 **last** 4:2 15:3 20:21 36:9 37:22 47:5 50:4,5 51:17 **latest** 30:10 latter 23:8 law 36:11 37:16 45:24 52:16

jurisdiction 9:25

justify 8:8

lawsuit 9:7 14:17 16:16,18,23 17:24

lawyer 5:11 19:17 22:20 25:20 31:14,15 32:3,21 33:16 34:10,12 38:25 40:22 42:23,24 43:4,7 44:6

lawvered 25:3 lawyers 12:7 34:4

40:4 48:3

lawyer's 41:21 lead 45:16 **least** 7:4 43:23 leave 52:23 leaves 9:15 **legal** 16:10 32:2 **less** 40:25 let's 22:19 26:25 36:12 letter 48:1 letters 49:9 **level** 37:18 levels 22:25 life 6:7,8 7:9,10,12,13,15 ,24 9:21,24 11:20 12:2 13:10 16:25 17:12 21:11 30:13 37:4,13,18 45:10

Likewise 36:7

limited 13:21

line 39:11

27:25 45:14

lines 44:9 liquid 8:1 9:16 listed 12:1 litem 5:23 6:3 7:4 13:24 22:7,16 27:10 28:14 44:18 litigated 17:10 litigation 6:6,10,21 8:10 23:2 24:4,17 29:20 31:20 32:1,19 37:6 52:13 little 43:5 48:5 LLP 2:17 logical 30:9 long 28:15 lose 24:25 **losing** 14:11 **lost** 8:16 20:16 lot 23:5 28:5,6 33:5 34:1 44:19,20 45:19 52:14,21 М main 10:10 13:17 42:25 manner 32:18 married 19:12 marshal 10:20 marshaled 7:2 marshaling 10:17 MARTIN 1:9 3:4 54:7 mashugana 20:15 materials 4:13 49:17 50:1 52:7 **matter** 36:11 42:19 52:12 **matters** 26:13 MATWICZYK 2:17

may 1:12 3:7 5:19 15:1,5,13,15 17:7 18:15 22:9,10 24:2 25:5 26:3 30:25 36:24 37:9,12 39:25 40:11 41:4 46:15,19,23

Page 7 of 11

50:18,21 54:8,17 maybe 16:16 mean 12:23 30:13 33:24 34:1 36:11,21 37:1 39:3 43:15 meaning 14:2 means 12:22 54:15 media 53:5 mention 44:10 **merely** 16:21 **merit** 11:6 12:18 24:25 40:5,6 micromanage 41:6 mid-July 28:25 **million** 6:9 14:2 48:14 minor 42:15 misrepresents 5:12 **missed** 22:12 mission 27:24 mistake 47:5,16 50:24 money 7:8 8:2 13:8 14:17 17:7 18:24 19:14,23 23:17 26:1 28:7 52:14 **monies** 18:16 morning 3:10 4:15 47:17 Morrissey 2:13 3:17 15:2,3 16:1,9 17:2,4,8,13 18:17,20,25 19:4 22:14 31:18 34:20 35:2,17,19,22 36:20 Morrissev's 18:13 motion 6:15 10:5,7 44:18,25 45:1,8,9 46:7,8 motions 11:18 28:24 44:12 move 26:3 28:15 MRACHEK 2:9 multilevel 40:8 multiple 22:25

29:25 Ν N.A 21:4 **narrow** 24:10 30:14 34:18 37:7 National 7:18 necessarily 38:11 44:22 45:12 negotiate 15:16 neutral 23:4 25:14 26:3 32:15 neutrality 33:1 **news** 40:1 **night** 4:2 47:5 50:4,5 **nobody** 7:11,12 12:8 **nor** 17:1 54:11 Northern 28:18 notes 22:12 **nothing** 42:3,4 52:17 **notice** 49:25 50:2,7,8,10,14, 15,20 notification 28:22 notify 52:4,25 numerous 12:6 0 oath 38:8 43:23 object 46:2 objecting 39:13 45:17 objection 10:2 objections 46:1 obligated 47:21 obtained 6:14 obviously 24:4 39:3 office 3:15 officer 38:16 43:25 **Oh** 33:10

33:11 34:18 35:21 38:17 43:13 46:4,21,22 48:17,23,25 49:3,6 51:10,15,20,21, 25 52:25 open 51:19 opening 11:1 22:12 opposition 16:4 oral 8:18 order 16:3,4,5,11 20:14,22 42:2 44:9 46:6 49:21 53.8 original 7:12 8:17 18:8 others 8:6 owned 6:17 12:21,23 owner 8:11 Р P.A 2:5,13 **p.m** 50:21,24 page 2:9 37:5 pages 37:2 54:5 paid 38:4 41:23 **Palm** 1:1 2:10,14,18 3:4,6 Pam 19:13 **parol** 11:9 12:12 13:12 31:25 participate 36:18 47:20 particularity 50:2 **parties** 16:15 19:22,25 22:1 28:2,8 30:19 46:1,15 54:11 party 12:20 16:23 30:23 31:11,13 32:10 36:6 49:22 50:1 passionate 45:21 past 27:1 pay 8:6 22:18

32:7 33:9 34:22

29:15 32:13

41:19 42:17 43:10 44:3,5 payable 41:20 paying 44:6 payment 10:13 pays 33:17 pending 6:6,10 8:10 pension 11:14 people 4:16 8:18 11:8 15:11 18:8 21:24 28:8 29:25 35:10 47:18 48:4,5,6 49:8,9 52:21 perfect 26:19 performed 35:7 perhaps 24:15 50:10 permissive 16:12 permitted 22:8 person 5:21 22:20 23:1,4,21 25:4,19 32:15 34:6 39:15,16 43:10 47:9 49:18,22 personal 7:6 8:5,22 10:19 25:23 28:1,3,6,11 32:1 33:19 perspective 34:23 **Peter** 2:4,5 3:14 5:20 **petition** 5:22,25 21:8 29:12 **phone** 41:2,13 42:6 **phones** 31:16 phonetic 8:21 11:3 pick 29:5 33:16 41:2 **piece** 12:2 plaintiff 17:10 20:20

plaintiffs 9:7
 17:15,19
plan 11:14

plans 47:18

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

okay 17:23 19:15

27:5 28:12,21

Page 8 of 11

please 5:19 50:25 **pledge** 43:20 **pockets** 34:23 **point** 6:23 14:14 15:4 18:13 19:7 27:1 28:2 40:23 pointing 30:3 **policy** 6:7,16,20 7:9 8:12 11:5,10 12:21,25 13:13 20:8 24:7,16 27:16 30:13,20 31:22 37:8,14 position 10:5,6,22,24 11:2 13:7 14:13 16:19 18:14,17,20 25:2 26:4 45:24 49:16 possess 51:4 possible 36:3 posted 53:4 posting 4:17 potential 14:15 potentially 35:2 pour-over 9:14 pours 27:14 **PR** 29:13,19 31:1,2 33:22 practical 43:2 precious 12:16 premature 44:8 prepared 49:1 **present** 30:17 36:22 presently 8:2 preserve 26:17 preserving 22:22,23 pretty 10:24 23:22 28:16 42:13 48:9 prevailing 12:20 primarily 33:2 **prior** 11:4,13 privilege 22:22 26:23,24 27:4 46:19,21 49:22,25

privileged 4:8,13,19 49:17 52:11 privy 15:8,9,13,15,17 23:11 pro 2:20 3:13 18:12 23:22 probability 8:14 probably 18:2 34:12 probate 12:23 20:13 problem 7:11 24:20 procedure 46:17 50:3 proceed 29:9 proceedings 1:9 3:3 54:4,7 proceeds 6:20,22 7:25 9:21,23 12:25 13:5 17:1 18:9 process 24:17 38:22 promised 27:7 proposal 25:12 propose 42:1 proposing 20:15 protected 25:21 51:3 prove 14:22 provided 14:22 52:11 provision 9:15 **PRs** 11:4 publicizing 47:18 purify 30:5 purported 21:20 30:8 purpose 6:4 30:23 purposes 16:22 22:8 pursuant 49:13 pursue 13:20 0 question 18:19 19:5,7 22:6

42:8 47:1 questions 44:22 45:4 quickly 28:16 quite 19:4 20:22 R race 13:5 rarely 24:19 **rate** 41:18,22,24 43:4 rather 22:24 23:4 **RE** 1:6 **reading** 21:1,18 47:1 51:1 real 38:21 44:8 really 10:8 13:17 16:18 28:10 34:4,5 36:11 37:6,11 reason 15:25 39:20 reasonable 43:4 52:6 reasonableness 34:2 reasons 33:24 **receive** 9:23 received 50:22 recent 21:7 recently 6:14 recipient 48:11 recognize 18:13 **record** 11:13 19:1 records 6:16 7:14 **recoverv** 13:25 35:5,14,15 references 12:7 regard 26:8,23 46:7 registry 8:3 18:6 reimbursed 10:16 reimbursement 38:6 **related** 42:18 **relative** 54:9,11 relief 6:1 **rely** 42:11

remaining 12:16 remember 5:16 33:8 **REMEMBERED** 3:2 reminded 22:15 **remote** 16:22 replied 4:6 **reply** 4:21 **reporter** 54:1,16 represent 14:7 17:2,4 23:25 25:10 38:14 representation 14:6 representative 8:22 10:19 28:1,3,6,11 30:22 33:19 representatives 7:6 8:5 represented 8:13,23 19:10,16 21:17 35:25 representing 17:20 20:24 21:14 25:10 38:15 represents 24:1 44:1 reproduction 54:15 request 4:20 29:18 43:24 51:6 requested 5:25 8:4 requests 44:20 require 13:11 required 23:3 residual 9:13 resolved 12:9 resort 36:9 **respect** 45:15 respond 34:20 result 9:14 14:10 40:12 retain 42:3 retained 33:14 retaining 43:10

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

28:20 29:12

Page 9 of 11

retrieve 52:7 return 4:12 52:2 **review** 29:6 revocable 27:15,16 ridiculous 36:17 **Robert** 20:10 **rocket** 29:1 **role** 13:23 46:11 room 30:1 **Rose** 2:9 3:19,25 4:4 5:17 10:23,24 11:3 13:4 14:4,7,14,24 17:24 19:24 21:6,15 22:3,11,14 31:18 37:23 38:7 42:15,22 43:2,7,22 44:2 46:19,23,25 47:11,16 48:14,24 49:13,20 50:4,9,13,17,21 52:18 53:4 Royer 2:4 3:16 **rule** 4:10,21 16:22 44:7 46:1 48:22 49:13 50:12,15 51:10,11,21 52:12 53:2,3 rules 46:17 47:22 49:5 **ruling** 46:10 run 28:15 34:9,10 running 29:4 S **satisfy** 15:17 scared 48:5 scheduled 5:15 scheme 20:15 **school** 38:10 se 2:20 3:13 18:12 23:22 second 7:18 27:6 48:22 seconds 4:4 sections 16:5

seeing 7:1 seek 13:23 35:15,17 **seem** 10:9,16 30:20 42:2 seems 25:4 28:14 31:20 48:9 seen 51:14,18 send 47:11 51:23 sending 48:4,20 49:8 sends 44:19 sense 23:6 31:19 37:24 38:11 sensitive 4:19 sent 4:5,11 27:8 44:24 47:4,9,17,25 48:2,3,9 50:16,23,24 51:8,11,16 separate 37:12,25 42:20 **serve** 49:24 **several** 49:7,9 **sheets** 42:21 shorthand 11:21,25 shorthanded 11:22 21:9 showed 20:20 **shy** 40:6 sign 37:4 **Signed** 51:8 signing 53:7 **Simon** 1:6 6:8,18 7:19,20 9:9,18 11:20 12:21 17:11 19:9,11,12,13,1 8 21:3,5,11,17 25:2 27:7,19 30:21,22 32:22 Simon's 27:20 simple 10:24 simplest 30:11 sincerity 43:16 single 37:7 sir 42:14 49:20 50:18**sister** 19:13

situation 30:7 49:12 so-called 8:20 9:8 social 53:5 **sole** 6:4 **solely** 50:23 somebody 11:22 17:21 22:17 somehow 20:19 someone 18:3 23:15 24:2,20,24 25:1,7,10,13,14 26:2 37:13 40:9 somewhere 37:19 sort 4:1 **sought** 15:20 sound 37:21 sounded 26:18 sounds 28:25 30:9 31:5 Southern 28:16 Spallina 8:21 11:3 20:10 44:24 45:17 Spangled 37:14 **speak** 41:2 speaking 16:11 48:21 specify 50:2 **spend** 12:15 28:6 52:13 spends 37:25 **spent** 13:18 squarely 27:3 stage 33:22 **stand** 19:2 standard 16:10 standing 15:23 **stands** 19:13 Stansbury 2:3 3:15 5:21 6:3 13:7,14 14:15 15:4 16:19,24 22:17,18,24 23:5,10 25:17 26:8 27:9 29:3,20 31:3

32:7 33:9,11

35:6 38:4,8,19

39:8,14,21 41:18,23 42:16 43:23 44:4 Stansbury's 5:22 16:20 29:24 31:12 43:8,19 Star 37:14 started 17:25 **starts** 48:13 state 3:6 30:15 36:4,6 statements 45:6 statute 14:22 35:7 38:6 statutes 46:17 steal 20:8 **step** 7:2 37:20,21 steps 52:6 stick 31:19 stop 10:4 45:23 48:21 stopped 48:7 stranger 24:21,22 strategies 32:2 strategize 29:8 Street 2:14 **stuck** 44:6 stuff 40:16 48:2 style 17:14 18:8 21:2 subject 12:18 18:3 33:19 38:5 submitted 5:24 20:10 subparts 45:4 Subsection 49:15 51:12 subsequently 17:17 succeed 9:21 succeeds 10:14 success 8:14 successor 9:4 sued 20:6 suggest 25:4 **suit** 21:14 **Suite** 2:5,14,18 summary 13:11

Page 10 of 11

supplement 5:25 support 11:9 supporting 12:3 supposed 27:24,25 41:19 47:14 51:13 52:1,2,3 **sure** 13:19 14:12 22:13 24:14 25:20 26:12 35:20 39:15 40:17,18 42:21 47:19 52:19 system 11:22 22:23 Ψ taker 35:24 36:5,9,10 taking 10:6 33:20,22 talk 36:1 38:9 talking 34:22 37:1 tax 12:5,6 taxable 12:22 technically 17:24 19:25 23:21 Ted 2:8 3:19 4:5,9 5:6,9 9:3 21:13,22 29:22 47:4 50:22 Ted's 51:17,18 temporary 27:24 ten 9:12,17,24 testamentary 27:2,3 testimony 8:18 14:4 Tetra 11:3 44:24 45:17 Thank 51:5 53:8 that's 5:5 6:23 7:5 11:15,17,25 12:8,9 14:6 17:14 19:18 21:18,21 23:8,18,24 24:7,9,17 25:6,18 26:3,6,9 29:17 30:13,14 31:19,21 32:25 33:3 35:11 36:17 37:5,19

38:5 40:1,2 41:16 42:20,24 43:4 45:10,19,24 46:18 52:3 therefore 6:25 therefrom 6:20 there's 5:15 7:23 11:11,16,21 12:6 14:9 15:7 22:11 24:25 27:25 28:19,22 31:1,2 32:11,12 33:5,22 35:12,13 36:4,12 38:19,20 39:11,22 40:8 42:3,4,8 43:14 45:25 46:1 49:16 50:3 52:17 they'll 37:10 39:6 **they're** 8:15 9:2 12:15 20:1 21:19 24:21 25:3 36:9,10 39:5 43:20 52:5 Thev've 12:25 43:19 third 23:4 27:22 third-party 45:7 thorough 45:13 thousands 49:10 thread 27:8 threatening 5:5 48:2,6,9 today 3:24 29:14,15 41:7 44:11 50:11 tonight 50:25 top 47:3 touched 22:14 tough 23:23 transcript 54:4,6 transmission 51:5 treating 30:22 trial 13:12 tried 33:14 tries 23:22 true 22:5 41:16 54:6

trust 7:11,12,14,16,1 8,19,21 8:21,25 9:5,6,8,16,17 11:21 12:2,4,8,11 13:10 17:1,11,25 19:9,21 20:16 21:3,5,10,12,20 ,23 22:1 25:3 27:15,16 30:21,23 32:23 36:13 37:10 40:10trustee 8:24 9:4 21:20,23 try 8:15 10:10,20 20:8 46:6 trying 27:9 46:11 47:11 50:9,10 twofold 13:17 **type** 24:4 32:1 38:20 types 30:15 U ultimately 24:15 33:21 underpinnings 45:18understand 32:5 33:4 37:23 43:22 52:18 understanding 18:3 undertake 38:9 unless 13:24 24:20,25 35:13 54:15 usual 5:4 v validity 46:9 **versus** 29:19 Vietnam 27:23 view 18:14 23:2 27:4 40:24 45:14 **voice** 24:16,22 30:25 31:5 volunteering 26:9 vs 17:11 21:22

W waive 18:22,23,25 19:5 War 27:23 wasn't 36:6 wasting 27:12 week 20:21 weeks 20:21 we'll 5:14,16 9:1 51:6 we're 3:11 6:2,24 23:13 29:1 31:25 34:21 West 2:10,14,18 3:5 whatever 5:6 7:20 32:23 33:19 34:16 52:15,16 Whereupon 53:9 whether 23:16 37:11 Whoever 28:5 whoever's 48:16 **whole** 50:11 whom 9:25 50:1 whomever 29:5 who's 31:11 wife 48:7 William 2:3 3:15 5:20 willing 25:18 26:16 31:3,8 38:8 win 14:17 24:25 wit 3:8 work 8:25 9:2 29:5 31:16,17 46:2,5 worked 33:25 working 38:1 works 33:25 worried 43:13 worth 33:6 wound 35:12 written 49:25 50:7 wrong 42:3,4 49:14

| | | Paye | <u> </u> | OL | |
|---------------------------------|--|------|----------|----|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |
| <u>I</u> | | | | | |
| yet 9:5 14:5,13 51:14 | | | | | |
| 51.14 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| You'll 40:19,20 | | | | | |
| 100-11 40:19,20 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY , FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE

Petitioner(s),

vs.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties associated and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondent(s).

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN

DATE: January 8, 2015

TIME: 9:17 a.m. - 9:58 a.m.

1 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM E. STANSBURY: 2 PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. 3615 W. BOYNTON BEACH BOULEVARD 3 BOYNTON BEACH, FL 33436 By: PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQ. JEFFREY ROYER, ESQ. 4 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN: 5 PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD ROSE 6 KONOPKA & DOW, P.A. 7 505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE, SUITE 600 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 By: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. 8 9 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PR: CIKLIN LUBITZ 10 515 N. FLALGER DRIVE, 20TH FLOOR 11 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 By: BRIAN M. O'CONNELL, ESQ. 12 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF MOLLY SIMON, ET AL: 13 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ. 14 330 CLEMATIS STREET, SUITE 213 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 15 16 ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, Pro se 17 ALSO PRESENT: CANDICE BERNSTEIN 18 BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following 19 20 proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before the Honorable MARTIN COLIN, at the Palm Beach County 21 Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Room 8, in the City 22 of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, 23 on January 8, 2015, to wit: 24 25

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 - -Mr. Bernstein, do you want to do 3 THE COURT: what you're doing at 8:45 or do you want to wait 4 until 9:30? 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You mean me? 6 7 THE COURT: I mean, whoever has --MR. FEAMAN: I have an 8:45, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: And you would not normally be here for the 9:30? 10 MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. 11 THE COURT: All right. Okay. All right. 12 Okay. We'll take it. 13 MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 May it please the court. 15 16 THE COURT: I don't have any paperwork, so... 17 MR. FEAMAN: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. Okay. 18 MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman, Your Honor, on 19 behalf of William Stansbury in the Estate of Simon 20 Bernstein. 21 22 This is a motion, Your Honor, to relieve Mr. Stansbury of further responsibility of 23 funding what we call the Chicago insurance 24 litigation. Your Honor may recall that while 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 Mr. Tescher and Spalina were the personal 2 representatives, there was this case going on in Chicago involving \$1.7 million of life 3 insurance. They, for reasons unknown as yet to 4 the court, did not get the estate involved. 5 Mr. Stansbury, as you know, is a creditor 6 of the estate. And so he's looking for ways --7 a substantial creditor, 2.5 million is the 8 9 claim -- he's looking for ways to get money into the estate, sees that there's this 10 litigation going on in Chicago which could 11 result in all that money coming into the 12 estate. 13 THE COURT: I remember. 14 Okay. And so at this point, 15 MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, the mission has been accomplished, in 16 the sense that the estate was allowed -- has been 17 allowed to intervene, discovery is ongoing. 18 In fact, there is a deposition this week up in 19 Chicago, and the attorneys up in Chicago 20 representing the estate have now said, you know, 21 22 we'll even take this case on a contingency to benefit the estate, or even a modified 23 contingency, like reduced hourly. 24 25 THE COURT: What was Mr. Stansbury -- what

1 was the nature of what he was paying? 2 MR. FEAMAN: Just a straight hourly. THE COURT: To the Chicago lawyers? 3 MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 4 And now we think we've got the --5 THE COURT: What's the status of the case up 6 7 there? MR. FEAMAN: Discovery is ongoing. Not yet 8 set for trial. 9 In discussing this with Mr. O'Connell, 10 who's here, now the full-fledged PR. When we 11 first did this, Ben Brown was the curator, 12 authority is limited. 13 So in discussing this with Mr. O'Connell, 14 he agrees. He thinks that they can now go 15 forward without --16 17 THE COURT: Did I hear something that you settled? 18 MR. FEAMAN: We have a signed settlement 19 agreement that Mr. Stansbury has signed. 20 Mr. O'Connell will be coming before the court 21 22 after notice to every interested party. Mr. O'Connell --23 Is everyone on board on the 24 THE COURT: settlement? 25

1 MR. O'CONNELL: I'm not sure, Your Honor. 2 Mr. Rose negotiated with Mr. Feaman --THE COURT: You on board, Mr. Bernstein? 3 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't seen anything 4 5 yet. THE COURT: Oh, you haven't seen it yet. 6 7 Okay. So you say okay to let him off? MR. O'CONNELL: Let him off because, Your 8 9 Honor, the solution is this: If we get the settlement agreement approved, hopefully we can --10 THE COURT: 11 Okav. 12 MR. O'CONNELL: -- then that litigation, basically, will go away so some bells and whistles 13 in terms of --14 THE COURT: The litigation in --15 16 MR. O'CONNELL: In Chicago. 17 THE COURT: Meaning, they're going -- the insurance case is going to settle? 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Well, it can, in this sense. 19 The real need for it, the driver, at least for me, 20 as the fiduciary, is the fact that it's been 21 mentioned, is a claim against the estate that 22 23 exceeds the existing assets. Ergo, I can't just turn my back on the fact that there's a potential 24 25 amount of money to come into the estate. But if

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 we get the settlement done with Mr. Stansbury, 2 then based on what I've seen, there aren't any other claims that really need attention in that 3 sense. And if I need money, there's a clause in 4 the trust that I can get money from the trust. 5 So I'm pretty much covered in that respect. 6 So 7 that's why I wanted to point out to the court the real solution to this --8 9 THE COURT: Are they still going to pursue the 1.7 million in Chicago? 10 MR. O'CONNELL: On that, I think I can deal 11 12 with Mr. Rose, and I can deal with Mr. Morrissey and come up with a way, based on how all this 13 would shake out, and Eliot as well. We might be 14 able to get rid of that litigation. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. O'CONNELL: I know it's a little complicated, but it has to do, Judge, with who 18 would sort of get what based on how that 19 litigation turns out. But I think we would be in 20 a much better position, we would have advanced the 21 ball if we can get that accomplished. 22 23 THE COURT: Eliot, what do you say of Mr. Stansbury's request? 24 25 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't seen anything.

1 THE COURT: No, the --2 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Be paid by the estate, yeah. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Rose? 4 MR. ROSE: We have the same concerns that 5 we've had from the beginning, which is to not be 6 7 spending estate assets on this litigation. We had, you know, if you recall, a lengthy hearing 8 and discussion about it. The concern is it's a 9 case where we don't believe the estate has a 10 11 strong case. And our concern was that you expend 12 estate assets to do it. Now, I hear that the lawyers up 13 THE COURT: there will do it on a contingency fee for the rest 14 15 of the case. MR. ROSE: That's actually something Your 16 17 Honor raised at the last hearing, which is concern that if you take 40 percent of the money, you 18 know, and give it to lawyers, you're taking it out 19 of the family. If you get rid of Mr. Stansbury --20 THE COURT: Mr. Stansbury kind of did this 21 22 semi-gratuitously to keep the litigation alive. He may have had a personal financial interest, but 23 if he's settling the case and getting out, how can 24 I keep him in paying for that Chicago litigation? 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 MR. ROSE: That was -- I agree with you. My 2 suggestion is that you would almost defer this until we have the hearing on the approval of the 3 settlement. If the settlement is approved, I 4 agree, Mr. Stansbury should be out completely. 5 And then, as Mr. O'Connell says, if you do the 6 7 math, if you're going to hire a lawyer on a contingency fee with -- if Mr. Stansbury is out of 8 9 the picture, if you hire a lawyer on contingency fee and give up 40 percent of the money, or a 10 third of the money, to a lawyer, then no matter 11 how you do the math, it ends up -- everyone ends 12 up worse off. Every single person that is a 13 potential beneficiary --14 THE COURT: I obviously would do a 15 contingency fee because the estate and those 16 interested persons don't want to pay hourly. 17 And so it's one or the other, or drop the suit. 18 And drop the suit doesn't seem to be what we want to 19 do at this point. So, you know, if --20

MR. ROSE: Our suggestion --

21

THE COURT: -- the interested people who would have, you know, which are the Bernsteins and Mr. O'Connell, you know, don't want to pay hourly because they don't want to continue to diminish,

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 you know, the value of the estate, then 2 contingency is what's left. MR. ROSE: Mr. Morrissey represents four of 3 the individual creditors. 4 MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, John Morrissey here on 5 behalf of the four adult grandchildren. And if I 6 may approach, I'll provide Your Honor with a copy 7 of the excerpts from a hearing that we had wherein 8 9 Ben Brown was initially appointed, and Your Honor allowed him to go forward with the Illinois 10 litigation on behalf of the estate. 11 12 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I have a copy of that? MR. MORRISSEY: I just have this. 13 At that hearing -- that hearing was on 14 15 November 23rd. Your Honor made it absolutely clear that under no circumstances was the 16 17 estate to pay. And I've highlighted various portions. Your Honor indicates that Mr. Feaman 18 savs that his client will not seek fees for his 19 role as administrator ad litem unless and until 20 recovery might take place, and then he'll make 21 22 application to the funds available. That was what the deal was, essentially. 23 And if Your Honor will look then on the 24 bottom of Page 34, top of Page 35, I make 25

1 inquiry. We're now talking about having to 2 pay, you know, from my client's prospective profits -- pockets -- Mr. Brown's fees, an 3 attorney up in Illinois. And Your Honor says, 4 I just said that won't be the case. It would 5 only be the case if there was a recovery for 6 the estate to which then Mr. Stansbury would 7 say, under the statute, I performed a benefit 8 to the estate. Your Honor then says there is 9 not a dollar coming out of the estate unless 10 there is a recovery, basically. And then the 11 12 recovery would take place. And he, meaning Mr. Stansbury, would seek some recovery of 13 fees. 14 15 So at that May 23rd hearing --THE COURT: That was before we had a PR and, 16 you know, while we had a curator. Now 17 Mr. Stansbury is getting out. So what are you 18 suggesting we do? 19 MR. MORRISSEY: Well, I mean, either you 20 don't let Mr. Stansbury get out, or, if you do --21 22 THE COURT: So hold it. Time out. Your position on behalf of your clients is that 23 Mr. Stansbury purportedly is going to settle the 24 case and he should still pay for the fees up 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 there? Is that what you're telling me? 2 MR. MORRISSEY: No. We don't agree with this purported settlement. That's another issue. 3 THE COURT: I didn't know that. 4 MR. MORRISSEY: This purported settlement is 5 something that we disagree with. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. MR. MORRISSEY: We vehemently oppose. 8 So my 9 client's position is, we oppose the settlement agreement. Mr. Stansbury represented to the court 10 that, yes, he would go forward and intervene to 11 the conclusion of this litigation. Now, at 12 midstream, he's backing out. 13 THE COURT: He's not really backing out. 14 He's saying I'm settling. I'm getting out. 15 Ι 16 shouldn't continue to pay. That sounds logical. 17 If that happens. MR. MORRISSEY: He's not settling, though. 18 THE COURT: But until you just told me that, 19 this side of the room said that there was a 20 settlement agreement that's going to be 21 22 circulated -- I understand not everyone saw it. Ι 23 didn't even know there was opposition, at least in principal. So you're the first voice that I've 24 25 heard that you're opposing it. So, you know, I

mean --

1

2 MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, there are two things that before Your Honor rules on this motion and 3 allows Mr. Stansbury to withdraw, essentially, in 4 terms of paying fees, there are two things that 5 should happen. Number one, as Mr. Rose said, Your 6 7 Honor should hear, you know, this settlement issue, and hear the objections to it and determine 8 9 whether the settlement should go forward. But even before that, Your Honor should hear the 10 Count II of a complaint that Mr. Rose filed. 11 And that is as to the validity of the documents -- the 12 underlying documents themselves. 13 If I may provide Your Honor --14 THE COURT: The underlying documents, which 15 documents are you talking about? 16 MR. MORRISSEY: All of them. 17 The last will and testament of Shirley Bernstein. 18 THE COURT: How does that help me decide what 19 to do with this? 20 MR. MORRISSEY: Well, essentially, everything 21 falls into place after that. Why? Because we 22 don't even know who, ultimately, the beneficiaries 23 24 are. So in terms of a settlement, Mr. O'Connell 25

1 has filed a motion to approve a settlement. 2 It's theoretically possible that after Your Honor determines the validity of these various 3 documents, my clients might not be 4 beneficiaries. So Your Honor would want to 5 make the determination who's the beneficiaries 6 7 before Your Honor hears a motion to approve a settlement and knows who can object to that 8 9 settlement, or agree to it. And if I may provide Your Honor with a 10 copy of the -- Your Honor's order and the 11 notice of trial that has been filed by 12 Mr. Rose. 13 Mr. Rose filed a two-count complaint. 14 And that the second count, if you look at Your 15 16 Honor's order, and specifically Paragraph 3 of 17 that order, says that upon the filing of the answers to Count II -- Count II relates to the 18 validity of the documents themselves -- the 19 court severs Count II --20 THE COURT: Okay. I got it. 21 So 22 Mr. O'Connell, do I have to decide Count II in the 23 amended complaint before you have a clear sense of how to deal with the potential settlement of Mr. 24 25 Stansbury?

1 MR. O'CONNELL: I don't think so, Your Honor. 2 And here's why. Because I'm happy to have Mr. Morrissey advocate -- now I'm hearing -- against 3 the settlement because I think that's, frankly, 4 good for the court to hear all sides of this. So 5 if there is some concerns that he has that the 6 court may find valid, that's fine. 7 I don't think there are. 8 9 THE COURT: I don't adjudicate sides of settlements. Settlements are outside --10 MR. O'CONNELL: I guess whatever his 11 12 objections are. But those are private to you. 13 THE COURT: And I think Mr. Feaman agrees with that, correct? 14 MR. FEAMAN: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: I mean, I can't -- I'm not going to have a hearing on that. 17 What about the point that is made that 18 assuming you do have a draft of a settlement 19 agreement that appears to be appropriate. 20 Mr. Morrissey is saying until Count II is 21 22 adjudicated, you don't even know who the parties are to the settlement who would be in a 23 position to either agree or not agree with it. 24 MR. O'CONNELL: Actually, we know who the 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 parties are, Your Honor, because it would be we have Mr. Stansbury as the claimant and then 2 myself as the defendant. 3 THE COURT: Estate. 4 MR. O'CONNELL: Right. Those are the 5 parties. And then --6 7 THE COURT: Then you have interested people who may or may not agree? 8 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Right. Who are here. THE COURT: Who may or may not be interested, 10 depending on Count II, according to what 11 12 Mr. Morrissey says. MR. O'CONNELL: I think it's important 13 enough, Your Honor, just from the standpoint of 14 getting this estate moving towards a conclusion. 15 16 THE COURT: Right. True. 17 MR. FEAMAN: That settlement really does handle a number of important matters. 18 THE COURT: All right. Here's how I'm going 19 to do this. Is the settlement between the estate 20 and Mr. Stansbury in a final form yet? 21 22 MR. O'CONNELL: It is. We just need some 23 hearing time, Your Honor. It's circulated --THE COURT: 24 It's signed by Mr. Stansbury, 25 MR. O'CONNELL:

1 Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And signed by you, Mr. O'Connell, or will be? 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Will be, once it's approved. 4 THE COURT: So --5 MR. O'CONNELL: And drafted by Mr. Rose. 6 7 THE COURT: You two are the two main parties to the settlement, correct? 8 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. And it was drafted by Mr. Rose, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me finish what I'm 11 12 going to say. And so there will be a motion to approve the settlement. Okay. Notice will go to 13 all interested persons, who are here. And they 14 have a right then to tell me if they object. And 15 I can hear whether or not I think they have 16 17 standing to object, and all those other things that I normally hear at a hearing like that? 18 Yes, Eliot? 19 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If I'm going to be asked to 20 accept or deny that --21 22 THE COURT: You get service. 23 MR. O'CONNELL: He will. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I think it's between the 24 other --25

Yeah, they're the main parties, 1 THE COURT: 2 but they'll serve you with --ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If I have to do that, I 3 have children that have a conflict of my interests 4 versus theirs and that. They would need 5 representative counsel to sign off on it. 6 7 THE COURT: You have a conflict with your children on that? 8 9 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On that particular issue. THE COURT: I don't know, you know, so --10 So they would need counsel 11 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: and I don't -- I would ask the estate to provide 12 that if they're asking us to sign off. 13 MR. FEAMAN: If I may, Your Honor. There is 14 15 a whole issue as to whether the children even have 16 standing before this court because, as Mr. Rose 17 has alleged, the trustee, his client, is the sole beneficiary of the estate. 18 THE COURT: I got that part. Okay. 19 So here's the way that works. I mean, anyone can 20 appear who says they are interested at the hearing 21 22 on the motion to approve settlement. You know, 23 they can appear pro se or through counsel. Whoever shows up, I determine who are you, do you 24 25 have standing. And then if there is standing,

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 then I'll hear what the basis of the objection is. 2 So the children can -- if you say are -- if you think they are independently interested persons, 3 they can hire counsel. 4 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They're minors. 5 THE COURT: They can appear themselves. 6 If 7 they want to take that position. But I can't, at 8:45 today, direct the estate to pay for their 8 9 lawyer. I can't do that. I mean, that's beyond what I'm allowed to do. 10 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'll check with the estate. 11 THE COURT: You can talk to counsel about 12 that. 13 All right. Then -- so how quickly can 14 15 that be done? MR. O'CONNELL: Today, I can circulate it. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to make this a priority matter and I'm going to give you a 18 hearing time on a motion to approve. 19 And then what I'll do is, depending upon 20 that hearing, which I'm going to have right 21 22 away, I'll deal with the issue of 23 Mr. Stansbury's request to be discharged. And I'm not saying, though, that his 24 discharge is solely tied into whether the 25

19

1 settlement is accepted. 2 MR. FEAMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: I have to independently decide 3 whether I think it's appropriate that he continue 4 to fund the litigation. It's never -- never like 5 a life jail sentence type of thing, you know, 6 there's always -- it's an interlocutory order that 7 could be modified. 8 9 MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: I mean, let's, you know, keep in 10 mind what I'm thinking. 11 Okay. MR. FEAMAN: Yeah, because I --12 THE COURT: How much time do you think you 13 need? I got it. 14 MR. FEAMAN: I respectfully suggest to the 15 16 court that there is no compulsion for Mr. Stansbury to do anything with regard to the 17 Chicago litigation. 18 THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a look. 19 Ι mean, I've got a grasp of what's going on. 20 How much time do you think you need, 21 collectively, to have a hearing? 22 23 MR. MORRISSEY: To approve the settlement. I mean, if there's going to be 24 THE COURT: standing and/or substantive objections, I need 25

time to be able to hear that.

1

| 2 | MR. ROSE: I'm not going to shed a brief | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | light on that. They said that I drafted the | | | | |
| 4 | agreement. I did actually, on behalf of the | | | | |
| 5 | Ted, as trustee, I explored settlement with | | | | |
| 6 | Mr. Stansbury to the point of you need to know | | | | |
| 7 | what the number is so you can go to the | | | | |
| 8 | beneficiaries and sort of take their pulse. If | | | | |
| 9 | it's a dollar, it's one thing. If it's two | | | | |
| 10 | billion dollars | | | | |
| 11 | THE COURT: Whoever did the drafting of it | | | | |
| 12 | doesn't matter to me. | | | | |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: My point to you was, though, I did | | | | |
| 14 | get a sense that at least seven of the | | | | |
| 15 | beneficiaries are theoretically against the | | | | |
| 16 | settlement. | | | | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. | | | | |
| 18 | MR. ROSE: So I didn't proceed forward with | | | | |
| 19 | the settlement. And I didn't have a chance to | | | | |
| 20 | speak to Mr. Eliot Bernstein about his position, | | | | |
| 21 | but he's been opposed to many things, but | | | | |
| 22 | THE COURT: No, Eliot doesn't do that. He | | | | |
| 23 | rarely opposes anything. That's my recollection | | | | |
| 24 | of it. | | | | |
| 25 | ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Last hearing I didn't | | | | |
| | | | | | |

1 object to it. 2 THE COURT: Eliot has turned a new leaf, haven't you noticed? 3 MR. ROSE: I haven't, unfortunately. 4 I have. THE COURT: 5 MR. ROSE: Seven out of ten are opposed so I 6 7 think you may get some -- you may get a significant amount of objection to the settlement. 8 9 THE COURT: I just need to know how much time. How much time? 10 Ninety minutes, maybe. 11 MR. ROSE: MR. O'CONNELL: Hour and a half, John? 12 THE COURT: Hold on. I'm filling up this 13 week very quickly. We want to do this right away, 14 so let's take a look here. I mean, can you be 15 16 ready by the 27th? I can give you two hours. And you probably heard, I gave three dates to that 17 other case ahead of you, I'll just take away the 18 date that I give you folks. 19 MR. O'CONNELL: 27th is fine for me, Your 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: Three to five. I'll give you two 22 23 hours. Take a look at your calenders, see if that works. 24 MR. FEAMAN: We're fine. 25

1 MR. ROSE: I can be there. 2 MR. MORRISSEY: I think so. I don't have my calendar, but I'm pretty sure I can. 3 THE COURT: All right. So you folks have 4 that. All right. So I got to -- okay. So you 5 have that on the -- and I'm going to call it 6 motion for settlement. 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. 8 9 THE COURT: Do a notice of hearing 3:00 to 5:00, January 27th. Okay. And let me just make a 10 note for the other case now. Hold on. 11 12 Okay. Yes. MR. ROSE: Just briefly. To follow up with 13 what Mr. Morrissey said, though, his count --14 15 THE COURT: I'm not --16 MR. ROSE: I was going to mention 17 Mr. O'Connell and I've discussed the issue. He's, I think, of the opinion it's important to get a 18 resolution on the validity of the documents, 19 although it doesn't have to be tied to the 20 Stansbury motion. I just wanted to point out, 21 22 I've actually discussed the issue with Mr. O'Connell. 23 THE COURT: So we need to give a trial date 24 on Count II? 25

1 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. And I agree with that, 2 Your Honor. That's correct. THE COURT: How much time? 3 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What case is that in? 4 THE COURT: What case is that in? It's in 5 14CP3698. 6 7 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's a separate case, right? 8 9 THE COURT: Yeah. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Than what we're here for. 10 We're here on Simon. 11 12 THE COURT: Let's see. MR. ROSE: It's technically a trust 13 construction case. 14 15 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not ready for that yet because we have to see if the trustee is fit to 16 argue that case first. 17 THE COURT: I'm just trying --18 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We should have that first 19 like we've been trying to. 20 THE COURT: But we have hearing dates on 21 22 other things. But let me ask you this. How much 23 time do you need for the trial on that? MR. ROSE: Half a day, at most, because there 24 is no evidence. You know, if he has to present 25

1 evidence that the documents are invalid --2 THE COURT: All right. So --And it is a pretty important 3 MR. ROSE: threshold issue. 4 THE COURT: It's at issue? 5 MR. ROSE: It's at issue. 6 7 THE COURT: Ready for trial? MR. ROSE: Ready for trial. We noticed it 8 for trial. 9 THE COURT: Well, I know that. But ready --10 you can notice something ready for trial -- is it 11 ready now for trial? All the reasonable discovery 12 that has to be made has been made? 13 I'm prepared to try the case. MR. ROSE: 14 Ι 15 don't know how much Mr. Bernstein --16 THE COURT: So who are the other parties in 17 that case? MR. ROSE: Mr. O'Connell would like to 18 intervene in the case soley because he's the 19 personal representative of the estate. And this 20 will decide who the beneficiaries are of the 21 22 estate. So he has an interest in it. But he's indicated that he wants to -- he wants to be 23 involved, but he's not going to necessarily be 24 actively litigating it. 25

1 THE COURT: So who else --2 MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. So these -- let me look at the 3 THE COURT: defendants. Looks like a bunch of children. 4 So is that -- Mr. Morrissey, are you the defendant's. 5 MR. MORRISSEY: For four of those. Molly --6 7 THE COURT: And some of these, are they Eliot's children? 8 9 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Minors. Who are unrepresented. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And then your clients? 11 12 MR. MORRISSEY: Four. THE COURT: And then who are the other 13 children? 14 15 MR. ROSE: Everyone else defaulted. They defaulted in the sense that they're not taking a 16 position that they're going to rely on what Your 17 Honor rules. So it's technically, I think, at 18 issue. And it would go a long way to resolving a 19 lot of issues, like, who has standing to do 20 various things. 21 22 THE COURT: So tell me this. What hearings, 23 other than the one set for -- one second. So let me ask you this. Today's 9:30 on the motion for 24 instructions, what's that about? 25 That's the

1 estate case, correct? 2 MR. O'CONNELL: It is. Is that related to this, or not? 3 THE COURT: MR. O'CONNELL: The estate, but not to the 4 litigation, Your Honor. But we need to hear it 5 later. 6 7 THE COURT: So what hearings do we have that are special set now coming up? 8 9 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We need the hearing to remove Ted first. 10 THE COURT: There is no date for that? 11 12 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Still serving the complaint. 13 THE COURT: Because there was an amendment 14 on the complaint. 15 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So there's -- then 16 17 there's -- still serving two of the parties. THE COURT: So is there a -- is there a 18 removal action to remove Ted as trustee of 19 Shirley's trust? 20 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 21 Yes. 22 THE COURT: That's been pending for a while? ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, we can hear that. 23 THE COURT: There's been amendments to that. 24 There was actually a recent filing 25 MR. ROSE:

1 of a -- there's been a motion to dismiss served 2 directed to it. He hasn't served all the other It's not at issue and it's not going to 3 people. be at issue for a significant period of time. 4 I think what Mr. O'Connell feels, and what I feel, 5 and what Mr. Morrissey feels, and the other 6 beneficiaries are, that -- first of all, if you 7 rule that the documents are invalid, then you 8 don't have to remove Ted because he doesn't ever 9 get appointed if the documents go away. 10 So that's, you know, sort of the cart before the 11 12 horse. And the other thing is, you need to get a ruling on the validity of these five documents 13 that are being challenged, only by Eliot, as to 14 the validity, and, I assume, testamentary 15 capacity. And whether Ted is the trustee or --16 17 then you have Mr. O'Connell -- that needs to be tried. 18 THE COURT: I got it. I understand. 19 Okav. MR. ROSE: And then the removal can happen in 20 its ordinary course. 21 22 THE COURT: Go ahead. 23 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The problem is that you have to have the hearing to remove Ted as 24 25 successor because then he can't argue that case.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

28

1 And somebody will have to be appointed to come in 2 and argue these things that only these guys seem 3 to want to argue. So if Ted doesn't survive, then 4 a qualified trustee, somebody else will be 5 appointed who will then decide if we're going to 6 have this or if they're going to concede the 7 documents are a fraud.

8 THE COURT: If it's a hearing to decide 9 validity of documents, and everyone else is going 10 to be participating, including yourself, I don't 11 see why I can't have that hearing.

12 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Because Ted -- we can have 13 it, but they shouldn't be allowed to -- Ted has 14 conflicting interests in the outcome of this.

15 THE COURT: You know, I can take that into16 consideration at the hearing.

17 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. That's fine. I'm18 good with that.

19 THE COURT: I do all that. That's part of
20 what I'll -- you know, it's not only what's said,
21 but who says it, and what --

22 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So, yeah, then let's have 23 that hearing to remove Ted.

THE COURT: Because here's what I want to do.
I want to start giving hearing dates that are --

1 where we can get some orders and move this estate 2 along. Because I think that's important. Okay. So --3 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: In the last hearing you 4 said you would hear the petition to remove Ted. 5 You ordered it, actually. 6 7 THE COURT: I wanted that heard a while ago --8 9 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Then we'll hear the document stuff, if he survives? 10 THE COURT: Yeah, but here's the thing, your 11 12 case got -- I thought I had given a hearing date on that petition to remove and then you amended, I 13 think, isn't that what happened? 14 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 15 No. 16 MR. ROSE: You denied the petition because it was improperly filed. He just started a complaint 17 in which there are --18 THE COURT: Don't talk over each other. 19 20 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. MR. ROSE: He's just filed a new complaint. 21 We have just filed motions to dismiss. There's 22 23 other parties that haven't been served. And I think Mr. O'Connell is involved in --24 That's in Sy. 25 ELIOT BERNSTEIN:

MR. ROSE: Mr. O'Connell is involved in --1 2 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's in Sy, not Shirley. THE COURT: All right. Here's what I'm 3 doing. I'm going to give you your half a day 4 trial date on Count II in this 3698 case. I mean, 5 I'm not going to give it to you now, I'll have my 6 assistant look at my calendar and give you a half 7 a day.Eliot 8 MR. ROSE: That's fine. 9 THE COURT: Eliot, as soon as you're able to 10 serve and do what you can in Shirley --11 12 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm ready. THE COURT: -- you get on whatever you file 13 in any of the cases --14 15 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: On Sy. Okay. But on 16 Shirley I'm ready to have the petition heard. 17 THE COURT: Is that ready to be heard, the petition in the Shirley case? 18 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Let's have it heard the 19 20 same day. MR. ROSE: There's a motion to dismiss 21 22 directed to that. THE COURT: Well, then get that set for 23 hearing. Okay. I mean, let me start hearing, 24 knocking out the things --25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

31

1 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's the order you 2 wanted. That's the order you ordered. THE COURT: The hearing date on a motion to 3 dismiss is easier to get than a half-day trial 4 date. So it will work out. 5 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. 6 7 THE COURT: Any objection to the PR intervening in this 3698 case, which is Ted versus 8 the children? 9 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't even read this 10 thing. I just got it. Did you send this out 11 12 prior to this? MR. O'CONNELL: I believe we did. 13 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We got a notice for the 14 hearing. We didn't get the document attached with 15 it. 16 17 THE COURT: You want -- tell you what. You want, like, I'm going to take some other people, 18 you want to look at it? 19 ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Actually, I do, because we 20 don't know what it was. 21 22 MR. ROSE: Our position, just for the record is --23 THE COURT: Are you okay with him 24 intervening? 25

1 MR. ROSE: If I can -- I have discussed the 2 issue with Mr. O'Connell. I'm concerned that, you know, a lot of estate resources not be expended --3 I discussed with Mr. O'Connell. He's intervening 4 because he wants to protect his right as PR 5 because he needs to know who the beneficiaries 6 7 are. THE COURT: Okay. 8 9 MR. ROSE: So if he's not going to be spending a lot of money on the thing to be 10 involved in it, we have no objection on that 11 basis. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morrissey, you okay with that? 14 15 MR. MORRISSEY: The objection -- my objection 16 would have been the same. I haven't spoken with 17 Mr. O'Connell, but to the extent that -- all beneficiaries are going to be presumably arguing 18 in the clause, and so everyone -- every 19 beneficiary's rights are represented. I don't 20 know that we need extra resources. 21 22 THE COURT: I'm going to let him intervene, 23 subject to Eliot saying no. So talk to him about that. 24 MR. O'CONNELL: 25 Sure.

THE COURT: Give me the order and I'll sign that before you're done with your 9:30. MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. THE COURT: All right. So then Mr. Feaman, I'm going to defer on your motion until I rule on the 27th. And then bring that back and I'll rule on it at that time. MR. FEAMAN: Okay. THE COURT: And just kind of, you know -- all right. That finishes your 8:45. Okay. Any other 8:45's? MR. O'CONNELL: There are no other 8:45's. (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 9:58 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE THE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 21st day of January, 2015. DAVID L. MARSAA, COURT REPORTER

Page 1 of 7

| \$ \$1.7 4:3 |
|--------------------------------|
| <u> </u> |
| 1.7 7:10 |
| 14CP3698 24:6 |
| 2 |
| 2.5 4:8 |
| 200 2:22 |
| 2015 1:24 2:24 35:11 |
| 20TH 2:10 |
| 213 2:14 |
| 21st 35:11 |
| 23rd 10:15 11:15 |
| 27th 22:16,20 23:10 34:6 |
| 3 |
| 3 14:16 |
| 3:00 23:9 |
| 330 2:14 |
| 33401 2:7,11,14 |
| 33436 2:3 |
| 34 10:25 |
| 35 10:25 |
| 3615 2:2 |
| 3698 31:5 32:8 |
| 4 |
| 40 8:18 9:10 |
| 5 |
| 5:00 23:10 |
| 502012CP004391XXX XSB 1:3 |
| 505 2:7 |
| 515 2:10 |
| 6 |
| 600 2:7 |
| 8 |
| 8 1:24 2:22,24 |
| 8:45 3:4,8 19:8 34:10 |
| 8:45's 34:11,12 |

9 9:17 1:25 9:30 3:5,10 26:24 34:2 9:58 1:25 34:16 Α **a.m** 1:25 34:16 **able** 7:15 21:1 31:10 above-styled 2:20 absolutely 10:15 **accept** 17:21 accepted 20:1 accomplished 4:16 7:22 according 16:11 action 27:19 actively 25:25 actually 8:16 15:25 21:4 23:22 27:25 30:6 32:20 ad 10:20 adjudicate 15:9 adjudicated 15:22 administrator 10:20 **adult** 10:6 advanced 7:21 advocate 15:3 against 6:22 15:3 21:15 ago 30:8 agreement 5:20 6:10 12:10,21 15:20 21:4 ahead 22:18 28:22 **al** 1:17 2:12 ALAN 2:8 alive 8:22 alleged 1:16 18:17 **allowed** 4:17,18 10:10 19:10 29:13 allows 13:4 amended 14:23

30:13 amendment 27:14 amendments 27:24 amount 6:25 22:8 and/or 20:25 **answers** 14:18 **anvone** 18:20 anything 6:4 7:25 20:17 21:23 **appear** 18:21,23 19:6 APPEARING 2:1,5,9,12 appears 15:20 application 10:22 appointed 10:9 28:10 29:1,5 approach 3:17 10:7 appropriate 15:20 20:4 approval 9:3 **approve** 14:1,7 17:13 18:22 19:19 20:23 **approved** 6:10 9:4 17:4 aren't 7:2 argue 24:17 28:25 29:2,3 arguing 33:18 assets 6:23 8:7,12 assistant 31:7 associated 1:14 **assume** 28:15 assuming 15:19 Atlantic 2:22 attached 32:15 attention 7:3 attorney 11:4 attorneys 4:20 authority 5:13 authorized 35:8 available 10:22 **Avenue** 2:22 **away** 6:13 19:22

22:14,18 28:10

В

backing 12:13,14
ball 7:22

based 7:2,13,19
basically 6:13

11:11

basis 19:1 33:12

Beach 1:2 2:2,3,7,11,14,2 1,23 35:3

beginning 8:6

behalf 2:1,5,9,12
3:20 10:6,11
11:23 21:4

believe 8:10 32:13

bells 6:13

Ben 5:12 10:9

beneficiaries 13:23 14:5,6 21:8,15 25:21 28:7 33:6,18

beneficiary 9:14 18:18

beneficiary's
33:20

benefit 4:23 11:8

Bernstein 1:7,9,16 2:5,16,17

3:3,6,21 6:3,4 7:25 8:2 10:12 13:18 17:20,24 18:3,9,11 19:5,11 21:20,25 24:4,7,10,15,19 25:15 26:9 27:9,12,16,21,2 3 28:23 29:12,17,22 30:4,9,15,20,25 31:2,12,15,19 32:1,6,10,14,20

Bernsteins 9:23 better 7:21 beyond 19:9 billion 21:10 board 5:24 6:3 bottom 10:25 BOULEVARD 2:2 BOYNTON 2:2,3

Page 2 of 7

BRIAN 2:11 brief 21:2 briefly 23:13 bring 34:6 Brown 5:12 10:9 Brown's 11:3 **bunch** 26:4 С calendar 23:3 31:7 calenders 22:23 **CANDICE** 2:17 capacity 28:16 cart 28:11 **case** 1:3 4:2,22 5:6 6:18 8:10,11,15,24 11:5,6,25 22:18 23:11 24:4,5,7,14,17 25:14,17,19 27:1 28:25 30:12 31:5,18 32:8 cases 31:14 cause 2:20 certify 35:7 challenged 28:14 **chance** 21:19 **check** 19:11 Chicago 3:24 4:3,11,20 5:3 6:16 7:10 8:25 20:18 children 18:4,8,15 19:2 26:4,8,14 32:9 **CIKLIN** 2:10 CIRCUIT 1:1 circulate 19:16 circulated 12:22 16:24 circumstances 10:16 City 2:22 **claim** 4:9 6:22 claimant 16:2 claims 7:3 clause 7:4 33:19

clear 10:16 14:23 CLEMATIS 2:14 **client** 10:19 18:17 clients 11:23 14:4 26:11 client's 11:2 12:9 COLIN 1:22 2:21 collectively 20:22 coming 4:12 5:21 11:10 27:8 complaint 13:11 14:14,23 27:13,15 30:17,21 complete 35:10 completely 9:5 complicated 7:18 compulsion 20:16 concede 29:6 concern 8:9,11,17 concerned 33:2 concerns 8:5 15:6 concluded 34:16 conclusion 12:12 16:15 conflict 18:4,7 conflicting 29:14 consideration 29:16 construction 24:14contingency 4:22,24 8:14 9:8,9,16 10:2 continue 9:25 12:16 20:4 **copy** 10:7,12 14:11 correct 3:11 15:14 17:8 20:2 24:2 26:2 27:1 counsel 1:14 18:6,11,23 19:4,12 count 13:11 14:15,18,20,22 15:21 16:11 23:14,25 31:5

County 1:2 2:21,23 35:3 course 28:21 court 1:1 3:3,7,9,12,15,1 6,18 4:5,14,25 5:3,6,17,21,24 6:3,6,11,15,17 7:7,9,16,23 8:1,4,13,21 9:15,22 11:16,22 12:4,7,10,14,19 13:15,19 14:20,21 15:5,7,9,13,16 16:4,7,10,16,19 ,24 17:2,5,7,11,22 18:1,7,10,16,19 19:6,12,17 20:3,10,13,16,1 9,24 21:11,17,22 22:2,5,9,13,22 23:4,9,15,24 24:3,5,9,12,18, 21 25:2,5,7,10,16 26:1,3,7,11,13, 22 27:3,7,11,14,18 ,22,24 28:19,22 29:8,15,19,24 30:7,11,19 31:3,10,13,17,2 3 32:3,7,17,24 33:8,13,22 34:1,4,9 35:14 Courthouse 2:22 covered 7:6 creditor 4:6,8 creditors 10:4 curator 5:12 11:17 D **date** 1:24 22:19 23:24 27:11 30:12 31:5 32:3,5 Dated 35:11 dates 22:17 24:21 29:25 **DAVID** 35:6,14 day 24:24 31:4,20 35:11

day.Eliot 31:8 deal 7:11,12 10:23 14:24 19:22 Deceased 1:8 decide 13:19 14:22 20:3 25:21 29:5,8 defaulted 26:15,16 defendant 16:3 defendants 26:4 defendant's 26:5 defer 9:2 34:5 **Delray** 2:23 **denied** 30:16 denv 17:21 depending 16:11 19:20 deposition 4:19 determination 14:6determine 13:8 18:24 determines 14:3 diminish 9:25 direct 19:8 directed 28:2 31:22 disagree 12:6 discharge 19:25 discharged 19:23 discovery 4:18 5:8 25:12 discussed 23:17,22 33:1,4 discussing 5:10,14 discussion 8:9 dismiss 28:1 30:22 31:21 32:4 document 30:10 32:15 documents 13:12,13,15,16 14:4,19 23:19 25:1 28:8,10,13 29:7,9 dollar 11:10 21:9

Page 3 of 7

33:16

dollars 21:10 **DONALD** 1:15 done 7:1 19:15 34:2 **DOW** 2:6 draft 15:19 drafted 17:6,9 21:3 drafting 21:11 **DRIVE** 2:7,10 **driver** 6:20 **drop** 9:18,19 Е **easier** 32:4 **either** 11:20 15:24 **Eliot** 1:9 2:16 3:6 6:4 7:14,23,25 8:2 10:12 17:19,20,24 18:3,9,11 19:5,11 21:20,22,25 22:2 24:4,7,10,15,19 26:9 27:9,12,16,21,2 3 28:14,23 29:12,17,22 30:4,9,15,20,25 31:2,10,12,15,1 9 32:1,6,10,14,20 33:23 **Eliot's** 26:8 **else** 26:1,15 29:4,9 Ergo 6:23 **ESQ** 2:3,4,8,11,13 essentially 10:23 13:4,21 estate 1:7 3:20 4:5,7,10,13,17, 21,23 6:22,25 8:2,7,10,12 9:16 10:1,11,17 11:7,9,10 16:4,15,20 18:12,18 19:8,11 25:20,22 27:1,4 30:1 33:3 et 1:17

everyone 5:24 9:12 12:22 26:15 29:9 28:7 33:19 everything 13:21 **evidence** 24:25 25:1 exceeds 6:23 excerpts 10:8 existing 6:23 expend 8:11 expended 33:3 explored 21:5 **extent** 33:17 **extra** 33:21 F **fact** 4:19 6:21,24 falls 13:22 family 8:20 Feaman 2:2,3 3:8,11,14,17,19 4:15 5:2,4,8,19 6:2 10:18 15:14,15 16:17 18:14 20:2,9,12,15 22:25 34:4,8 fee 8:14 9:8,10,16 feel 28:5 feels 28:5,6 fees 10:19 11:3,14,25 13:5 10:6 fiduciary 6:21 FIFTEENTH 1:1 **file** 31:13 **filed** 13:11 14:1,12,14 30:17,21,22 **filing** 14:17 27:25 **filling** 22:13 final 16:21 financial 8:23 **fine** 15:7 22:20,25 29:17 31:9 finish 17:11 finishes 34:10

first 5:12 12:24 24:17,19 27:10 **fit** 24:16 FITZGERALD 2:6 **five** 22:22 28:13 **FL** 2:3,7,11,14 FLAGLER 2:7 FLALGER 2:10 **FLOOR** 2:10 **Florida** 1:2 2:23 35:3,7 folks 22:19 23:4 foregoing 35:9 form 16:21 forward 5:16 10:10 12:11 13:9 21:18 frankly 15:4 fraud 29:7 full-fledged 5:11 **fund** 20:5 funding 3:24 **funds** 10:22 G getting 8:24 11:18 12:15 16:15 given 30:12 giving 29:25 grandchildren grasp 20:20 guess 15:11 guys 29:2 н half 22:12 24:24 31:4,7 half-day 32:4 **handle** 16:18 happen 13:6 28:20 happened 30:14 happens 12:17 happy 15:2 haven't 6:4,6 7:25 22:3,4

having 11:1 hear 5:17 8:13 13:7,8,10 15:5 17:16,18 19:1 21:1 27:5,23 30:5,9 heard 12:25 22:17 30:7 31:16,17,19 hearing 8:8,17 9:3 10:8,14 11:15 15:3,17 16:23 17:18 18:21 19:19,21 20:22 21:25 23:9 24:21 27:9 28:24 29:8,11,16,23,2 5 30:4,12 31:24 32:3,15 hearings 26:22 27:7 hears 14:7 he'll 10:21 help 13:19 here's 15:2 16:19 18:20 29:24 30:11 31:3 he's 4:7,9 8:24 12:13,14,15,18 21:21 23:17 25:19,22,24 30:21 33:4,9 highlighted 10:17 hire 9:7,9 19:4 hold 11:22 17:11 22:13 23:11 Honor 3:8,14,17,19,22 ,25 4:16 5:4 6:1,9 8:17 10:7,9,15,18,24 11:4,9 13:3,7,10,14 14:3,5,7,10 15:1 16:1,14,23 17:1,10 18:14 20:2 22:21 24:2 26:18 27:5 Honorable 1:22 2:21 Honor's 14:11,16 hopefully 6:10 horse 28:12

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

30:23 32:10

Page 4 of 7

7:10

Hour 22:12 hourly 4:24 5:2 9:17,24 hours 22:16,23 Ι **II** 13:11 14:18,20,22 15:21 16:11 23:25 31:5 **I'll** 10:7 19:1,11,20,22 22:18,22 29:20 31:6 34:1,6 **Illinois** 10:10 11:4 **I'm** 6:1 7:6 12:15 15:2,3,16 16:19 17:11,20 19:10,17,18,21, 24 20:11 21:2 22:13 23:3,6,15 24:15,18 25:14 29:17 31:3,4,6,12,16 32:18 33:2,22 34:5 important 16:13,18 23:18 25:3 30:2 improperly 30:17 including 29:10 independently 19:3 20:3 indicated 25:23 indicates 10:18 individual 10:4 initially 10:9 inquiry 11:1 instructions 26:25 **insurance** 3:24 4:4 6:18 interest 8:23 25:22 interested 5:22 9:17,22 16:7,10 17:14 18:21 19:3 interests 18:4 29:14 interlocutory 20:7 intervene 4:18

12:11 25:19 8:13,19 33:22 intervening 32:8,25 33:4 21:14 invalid 25:1 28:8 involved 4:5 25:24 30:24 31:1 33:11 31:19 involving 4:3 isn't 30:14 **issue** 12:3 13:8 18:9,15 19:22 23:17,22 25:4,5,6 26:19 28:3,4 33:2 **issues** 26:20 it's 6:21 7:17 8:9 9:18 14:2 16:13,24,25 27:5 17:4,24 20:4,5,7 21:9 23:18 24:5,13 25:5,6 26:18 28:3 29:8,20 **IVAN** 1:9 **I've** 7:2 10:17 12:24 20:20 23:17,22 J **jail** 20:6 January 1:24 2:24 23:10 35:11 JEFFREY 2:4 21:12 John 2:13 10:5 22:12 Judge 7:18 10:5 13:2 JUDICIAL 1:1 κ knocking 31:25 KONOPKA 2:6 L large 35:7 **last** 8:17 13:17 11:12 21:25 30:4 **later** 27:6 **lawyer** 9:7,9,11 19:9 lawyers 5:3

leaf 22:2 **least** 6:20 12:23 lengthy 8:8 let's 20:10 22:15 24:12 29:22 life 4:3 20:6 **light** 21:3 limited 5:13 **litem** 10:20 litigating 25:25 litigation 3:25 4:11 6:12,15 7:15,20 8:7,22,25 10:11 12:12 20:5,18 **little** 7:17 **logical** 12:16 long 26:19 lot 26:20 33:3,10 **LUBITZ** 2:10 М **main** 17:7 18:1 MARSAA 35:6,14 MARTIN 1:22 2:21 math 9:7,12 **matter** 9:11 19:18 **matters** 16:18 **may** 3:15,17,25 8:23 10:7 11:15 13:14 14:10 15:7 16:8,10 18:14 22:7 maybe 22:11 **mean** 3:6,7 11:20 13:1 15:16 18:20 19:9 20:10,20,24 22:15 31:5,24 meaning 6:17 mention 23:16 mentioned 6:22 midstream 12:13 million 4:3,8

mind 20:11 minors 19:5 26:9 minutes 22:11 mission 4:16 modified 4:23 20:8 Molly 2:12 26:6 **money** 4:9,12 6:25 7:4,5 8:18 9:10,11 33:10 Morrissey 2:13 7:12 10:3,5,13 11:20 12:2,5,8,18 13:2,17,21 15:3,21 16:12 20:23 23:2,14 26:5,6,12 28:6 33:13,15 motion 3:22 13:3 14:1,7 17:12 18:22 19:19 23:7,21 26:24 28:1 31:21 32:3 34:5 motions 30:22 move 30:1 **moving** 16:15 MRACHEK 2:6 myself 16:3 Ν nature 5:1 necessarily 25:24 negotiated 6:2 **Ninety** 22:11 normally 3:9 17:18 note 23:11 notes 35:10 **notice** 5:22 14:12 17:13 23:9 25:11 32:14 noticed 22:3 25:8 November 10:15 0 object 14:8 17:15,17 22:1 objection 19:1 22:8 32:7

Page 5 of 7

33:11,15 objections 13:8 15:12 20:25 obviously 9:15 **O'Connell** 2:11 5:10,14,21,23 6:1,8,12,16,19 7:11,17 9:6,24 13:25 14:22 15:1,11,25 16:5,9,13,22,25 17:2,4,6,9,23 19:16 22:12,20 23:8,17,23 24:1 25:18 26:2 27:2,4 28:5,17 30:24 31:1 32:13 33:2,4,17,25 34:3,12 **Oh** 6:6 okay 3:12,13,18 4:15 6:7,11 7:16 14:21 17:13 18:19 19:17 20:11,19 21:17 23:5,10,12 26:11 28:19 29:17 30:2,20 31:15,24 32:6,24 33:8,13 34:3,8,11 ongoing 4:18 5:8 opinion 23:18 oppose 12:8,9 opposed 21:21 22:6 opposes 21:23 opposing 12:25 opposition 12:23 order 14:11,16,17 20:7 32:1,2 34:1 ordered 30:6 32:2 orders 30:1 ordinary 28:21 outcome 29:14 outside 15:10 Р **P.A** 1:13 2:2,6 Page 2:6 10:25 paid 8:2

Palm 1:2 2:7,11,14,21,23 35:3 paperwork 3:16 Paragraph 14:16 participating 29:10 particular 18:9 parties 1:14 15:23 16:1,6 17:7 18:1 25:16 27:17 30:23 party 5:22 pay 9:17,24 10:17 11:2,25 12:16 19:8 paying 5:1 8:25 13:5 pending 27:22 people 9:22 16:7 28:3 32:18 percent 8:18 9:10 performed 11:8 period 28:4 person 9:13 personal 1:16 4:1 8:23 25:20 personally 1:14,15,17 persons 9:17 17:14 19:3 **Peter** 2:2,3 3:19 petition 30:5,13,16 31:16,18 Petitioner(s 1:11 picture 9:9 **please** 3:15 pockets 11:3 point 4:15 7:7 9:20 15:18 21:6,13 23:21 portions 10:18 position 7:21 11:23 12:9 15:24 19:7 21:20 26:17 32:22 possible 14:2 potential 6:24 9:14 14:24

PR 2:9 5:11 11:16 32:7 33:5 prepared 25:14 present 2:17 24:25 presumably 33:18 pretty 7:6 23:3 25:3 principal 12:24 prior 32:12 priority 19:18 private 15:13 pro 1:9 2:16 18:23 probably 22:17 problem 28:23 proceed 21:18 proceedings 1:21 2:20 34:15 35:9 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 Professional 35:6 professionally 1:15,17 profits 11:3 prospective 11:2 protect 33:5 provide 10:7 13:14 14:10 18:12 pulse 21:8 purported 12:3,5 purportedly 11:24 pursue 7:9 0 qualified 29:4 quickly 19:14 22:14 R raised 8:17 **rarely** 21:23 **RE** 1:6 ready 22:16 24:15 25:7,8,10,11,12 31:12,16,17 real 6:20 7:8 really 7:3 12:14

16:17 reasonable 25:12 reasons 4:4 recall 3:25 8:8 recent 27:25 recollection 21:23 record 32:22 35:10recovery 10:21 11:6,11,12,13 reduced 4:24 **regard** 20:17 related 27:3 relates 14:18 relieve 3:22 rely 26:17 remember 4:14 **REMEMBERED** 2:19 removal 27:19 28:20 **remove** 27:10,19 28:9,24 29:23 30:5,13 report 35:8 **Reporter** 35:6,14 representative 1:16 18:6 25:20 representatives 4:2 represented 12:10 33:20 representing 4:21 represents 10:3 request 7:24 19:23 resolution 23:19 resolving 26:19 resources 33:3,21 respect 7:6 respectfully 20:15 Respondent (s 1:19 responsibility 3:23 rest 8:14 **result** 4:12 rid 7:15 8:20

Page 6 of 7

rights 33:20 ROBERT 1:14 role 10:20 room 2:22 12:20 **Rose** 2:6,8 6:2 7:12 8:4,5,16 9:1,21 10:3 13:6,11 14:13,14 17:6,10 18:16 21:2,13,18 22:4,6,11 23:1,13,16 24:13,24 25:3,6,8,14,18 26:15 27:25 28:20 30:16,21 31:1,9,21 32:22 33:1,9 ROYER 2:4 rule 28:8 34:5,6 rules 13:3 26:18 ruling 28:13 S saw 12:22 se 1:9 2:16 18:23 **second** 14:15 26:23 seek 10:19 11:13 seem 9:19 29:2 seen 6:4,6 7:2,25 **sees** 4:10 semi-gratuitously 8:22 send 32:11 sense 4:17 6:19 7:4 14:23 21:14 26:16 sentence 20:6 separate 24:7 serve 18:2 31:11 served 28:1,2 30:23 service 17:22 serving 27:12,17 settle 6:18 11:24 settled 5:18 settlement 5:19,25 6:10 7:1 9:4 12:3,5,9,21

13:7,9,25 14:1,8,9,24 15:4,19,23 16:17,20 17:8,13 18:22 20:1,23 21:5,16,19 22:8 23:7 settlements 15:10 settling 8:24 12:15,18 seven 21:14 22:6 **severs** 14:20 **shake** 7:14 **shed** 21:2 **Shirley** 13:18 31:2,11,16,18 Shirley's 27:20 **shows** 18:24 sides 15:5,9 **sign** 18:6,13 34:1 **signed** 5:19,20 16:25 17:2 significant 22:8 28:4 **Simon** 1:7 3:20 24.11 **SIMON, ET** 2:12 single 9:13 **sole** 18:17 **solely** 19:25 **soley** 25:19 **solution** 6:9 7:8 somebody 29:1,4 **sort** 7:19 21:8 28:11 sounds 12:16 **SOUTH** 2:7 Spalina 4:1 SPALLINA 1:13,14 speak 21:20 special 27:8 specifically 14:16 spending 8:7 33:10 **spoken** 33:16 standing 17:17 18:16,25 20:25

26:20 standpoint 16:14 Stansbury 2:1 3:20,23 4:6,25 5:20 7:1 8:20,21 9:5,8 11:7,13,18,21,2 4 12:10 13:4 14:25 16:2,21,25 20:17 21:6 23:21 Stansbury's 7:24 19:23 start 29:25 31:24 started 30:17 **State** 2:23 35:3,7 status 5:6 statute 11:8 stenographic 35:10 stenographically 35:8 straight 5:2 **STREET** 2:14 strong 8:11 **STUART** 1:16 stuff 30:10 **subject** 33:23 substantial 4:8 substantive 20:25 successor 1:16 28:25 suggest 20:15 suggesting 11:19 suggestion 9:2,21 suit 9:18,19 **SUITE** 2:7,14 sure 3:18 6:1 23:3,8 33:25 **survive** 29:3 survives 30:10 **Sy** 30:25 31:2,15 т taking 8:19 26:16 talk 19:12 30:19 33:23 talking 11:1

13:16 technically 24:13 26:18 Ted 2:5 21:5 27:10,19 28:9,16,24 29:3,12,13,23 30:5 32:8 ten 22:6 terms 6:14 13:5,25 **Tescher** 1:13,15 4:1testament 13:18 testamentary 28:15 Thank 3:14 that's 3:11 7:7 8:16 12:3,21 15:4,7 19:9 20:2 21:23 24:2,7 26:25 27:22 28:11 29:17,19 30:2,25 31:2,9 32:1,2 **theirs** 18:5 themselves 13:13 14:19 19:6 THEODORE 1:16 theoretically 14:2 21:15 there's 4:10 6:24 7:4 20:7,24 27:16,17,24 28:1 30:22 31:21 Thereupon 34:15 they'll 18:2 they're 6:17 18:1,13 19:5 26:16,17 29:6 third 9:11 threshold 25:4 tied 19:25 23:20 today 19:8,16 Today's 26:24 top 10:25 towards 16:15 transcript 1:21 35:9 trial 5:9 14:12

| | | Page / OL / |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 23:24 24:23 | week 4:19 22:14 | |
| 25:7,8,9,11,12 31:5 32:4 | we'll 3:13 4:22 20:19 30:9 | |
| tried 28:18 | 20:19 30:9 we're 11:1 22:25 | |
| true 16:16 35:10 | 24:10,11 29:5 | |
| trust 7:5 24:13 | West 2:7,11,14,22 | |
| 27:20 | we've 5:5 8:6 | |
| <pre>trustee 1:16,17 18:17 21:5</pre> | 24:20 | |
| 24:16 27:19 28:16 29:4 | <pre>whatever 15:11 31:13</pre> | |
| try 25:14 | wherein 10:8 | |
| trying 24:18,20 | <pre>whether 13:9 17:16 18:15</pre> | |
| turn 6:24 | 19:25 20:4 | |
| turned 22:2 | 28:16 | |
| turns 7:20 | whistles 6:13 | |
| two-count 14:14 | <pre>whoever 3:7 18:24 21:11</pre> | |
| type 20:6 | whole 18:15 | |
| U | who's 5:11 14:6 | |
| ultimately 13:23 | William 2:1 3:20 | |
| underlying | wit 2:24 | |
| 13:13,15 | withdraw 13:4 | |
| understand 12:22 28:19 | work 32:5 | |
| unfortunately | works 18:20 22:24 | |
| 22:4 | worse 9:13 | |
| unknown 4:4 | <u></u> | |
| unless 10:20 11:10 | yet 4:4 5:8 6:5,6 16:21 24:15 | |
| unrepresented 26:10 | yourself 29:10 | |
| upon 14:17 19:20 | | |
| | | |
| V valid 15:7 | | |
| validity 13:12 | | |
| 14:3,19 23:19 | | |
| 28:13,15 29:9 value 10:1 | | |
| various 10:17 | | |
| 14:3 26:21 | | |
| vehemently 12:8 | | |
| versus 18:5 32:8 | | |
| voice 12:24 | | |
| vs 1:12 | | |
| W | | |
| wait 3:4 | | |
| ways 4:7,9 | | |
| | | |

| IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO: 502012CP4391XXXXNB | |
|---|--|
| IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN Deceased. | |
| PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE JOHN PHILLIPS | |
| | |
| DATE: September 15, 2015 TIME: 9:27 a.m. to 10:32 a.m. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE:
3
     BRIAN O'CONNELL, ESQ.
4
     JOIELLE A. FOGLIETTA, ESQ.
     CIKLIN, LUBITZ & O'CONNELL
5
     West Palm Beach, FL 333401
6
7
     APPEARING OF BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY:
     PETER FEAMAN, ESQ.
8
     PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.
9
     3695 Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
     Boynton Beach, FL 33436
10
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF MOLLY SIMON, et al:
11
12
     JOHN MORRISSEY, ESQ.
     MORRISSEY LAW
     330 Clematis Street, 213
13
     West Palm Beach, FL 33401
14
15
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S. BERNSTEIN:
16
     ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ.
     PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD & ROSE, P.A.
17
     505 S. Flagler Drive, Suite 600
     West Palm Beach, FL 33401
18
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TESCHER & SPALLINA:
19
     KENNETH S. POLLOCK, ESQ.
20
     SHENDELL & POLLOCK, P.L.
     2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
21
     Boca Raton, FL 33431
22
23
     ALSO PRESENT: Eliot Bernstein
24
25
```

1 BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause 2 before Honorable JOHN PHILLIPS, at the Palm Beach 3 County Courthouse, 3188 PGA Blvd., Palm Beach 4 Gardens, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, on 5 Tuesday, the 15th day of September, 2015, to wit: 6 7 THE COURT: We're here on the Simon 8 9 Bernstein case; is that right? MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes, Judge. 10 This ended up in this division 11 THE COURT: of the Court because of a recusal from somebody 12 else in another division of the Court, right? 13 That raises an interesting MR. FEAMAN: 14 point. Peter Feaman on behalf of William 15 Stansbury, a creditor of the estate. I was 16 17 late coming in. Mr. O'Connell is late. A11 the attorneys and the litigants are either in 18 West Palm or south. I respectfully don't 19 understand how we ended up here in the north 20 branch. Should we set it back to the main 21 22 branch? 23 THE COURT: No. That would be judge shopping. When somebody recuses themselves 24 then it's randomly reassigned. I was verifying 25

1 this isn't a case that started out with me. 2 It's a case that started out with somebody else. 3 MR. FEAMAN: Judge Colin, actually, 4 specifically said in his recusal order north 5 branch, which I didn't understand. 6 That's what the 4th DCA is 7 THE COURT: for. I'm not here to question some other 8 9 judge's order. You won't have me saying he was I'm not the appellate judge. If 10 wrong. somebody made a mistake and you all think 11 there's relief that should be granted to 12 correct his mistake that's what the 4th is for. 13 Please have a seat. 14 15 We're here because somebody else is not 16 the judge in the case anymore and I am, right? 17 MR. FEAMAN: Right. THE COURT: We'll go to the next step. 18 This is a case management conference. What is 19 it that I need to do to manage the case? 20 Ι received the trustees' status report which is 21 22 lengthy and comprehensive. I've read that. 23 Other than being brought up to speed by having read that report what else needs to be 24 resolved to get this case done? 25

1 MR. ROSE: Good morning. I'm Alan Rose. 2 Can I speak from here? THE COURT: 3 You can. MR. ROSE: I'm not planning on doing the 4 whole hearing, but briefly there are, 5 technically, four other cases that all were 6 assigned. I think we've noticed a status 7 conference in all four cases. 8 The Simon 9 There are two estates. Bernstein that Your Honor mentioned, he died in 10 2012. 11 THE COURT: Then there's the wife who 12 pre-deceased him, has a case, and I've been 13 asked to consider -- one of the things that 14 15 needs to be done is the closing of that estate. Correct. She died in 2010. 16 MR. ROSE: 17 Each of those estates builds into a trust, so there's technically four pieces of pending 18 litigation; an estate of Shirley, a Shirley 19 trust construction, and an estate of Simon and 20 claim in the Simon trusts for the removal of my 21 22 client. Those are the four separate matters. 23 And then we came before you -- when Judge Colin recused himself there were pending motions 24 counsel thought best to come and get some sort 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

5

of order.

1

2 The one thing that we believe, at least which was in the status report which should be 3 addressed fairly early on, is whether we're 4 going to have a guardian ad litem for the three 5 minor children that are represented by Eliot 6 Bernstein, and try to bring some order to this 7 case which I think was a little bit out of 8 control in Judge Colin's courtroom. 9 THE COURT: Is there a motion for 10 appointment of a GAL? Has a motion been filed 11 12 by someone? MR. ROSE: I think the -- my understanding 13 is the beneficiaries were about to file one. Ι 14 15 don't think they filed yet. There is a pending motion to appoint an attorney for the children. 16 17 It's sort of a similar issue. Mavbe Mr. O'Connell can -- it's on one of his lists 18

19 of motions.

20 And then there's -- I think the main thing 21 we need to discuss is what order we're going to 22 do the hearings in because along with the 23 guardian ad litem it's our position the first 24 thing we should decide, since almost every 25 motion you're going to hear on Mr. O'Connell's

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

6

1 list is filed by Eliot Bernstein, is he's not a 2 beneficiary. We have a one-count complaint to determine the validity of the documents. And 3 under the documents, as drafted, he's 4 disinherited. He's not a beneficiary under any 5 way and if you remove his standing then I 6 7 believe we can go to mediation and resolve almost all of these motions without taking up, 8 probably, two or three weeks of the Court's 9 time. 10

11 THE COURT: Well, I noticed in the 12 trustee's status report that there was 13 mentioned several times that he's not a 14 beneficiary. So has there been an order that 15 establishes that or is that just the position 16 that's being argued by the --

MR. ROSE: Well, the documents themselves, 17 the operative document, for example, Simon 18 Bernstein's will -- the sole beneficiary is the 19 Simon Bernstein's trust the soul 20 trust. beneficiaries are his ten grandchildren. 21 22 Shirley Bernstein's will, the sole beneficiary 23 is her trust. Shirley Bernstein's trust gave Simon Bernstein the power of appointment to 24 appoint and he appointed to his grandchildren. 25

So what we filed was a one-count complaint to 1 2 determine those documents. We actually filed a trust construction action. Judge Colin advised 3 us to file -- to add a count. We added one 4 count to determine the validity of those 5 documents. It's been answered by everybody, 6 7 and what Judge Colin did was he severed that one count from everything else and he stayed 8 9 everything else until we resolved that one That's the issue that we believe, if 10 count. you resolve that issue first, a lot of the 11 12 stuff would go away and that was part of the purpose of the status conference. 13 The parties can't, among themselves, agree what issues 14 should be heard first. If you did that issue, 15 either if he has standing or he doesn't, if he 16 doesn't have standing we'll good through 17 hundreds of thousands of dollars of legal fees 18 resolving motions that he filed if he lacked 19 standing. 20

I think if you couple it with a motion for a guardian ad litem there is a motion pending in a fifth case, the Oppenheimer case, that's also before you, not today, for a guardian ad litem. Judge Colin deferred on that. I believe Mr. Morrissey's clients are going to
move for a guardian ad litem. I believe Mr.
Eliot Bernstein, in his papers, has indicated
that he has a conflict with his children and
they should have a lawyer and a guardian
representing them. He can speak for himself to
that point.

8 Those are the two issues we think should 9 go first. If it happens first this case would 10 become much more manageable and can even be 11 resolved because, as we indicated in our 12 report, these are relatively small estates.

13There was a belief that's driving this14that there was \$100 million left behind but15they left behind modest estates. Over time16we've been trying to sell property and trying17to narrow things and all we've been doing is18spending attorneys' fees between a curator --

19THE COURT: I just want to figure out20what's on the judicial plate that needs to be21addressed.

22 MR. ROSE: That's what we think should 23 happen first, those two issues, and everything 24 else will fall into place.

25

THE COURT: What is the name or where is

1 the document to be found that has this single 2 count for determination of validity of estate documents or trust documents that was severed 3 out by Judge Colin? 4 MR. ROSE: It's in case 5020143698 --5 What are the two letters in THE COURT: 6 7 between the 14 and the 36 --MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, CP003698XXX and now 8 9 - -THE COURT: I don't need that stuff. 10 What's the docket entry number? 11 MS. FOGLIETTA: The filing number? 12 THE COURT: I want to know where to find 13 this thing that seems to be one of the first 14 things --15 16 MS. FOGLIETTA: Are you talking about the amended complaint? I have a copy. 17 MR. ROSE: Just the docket entry, if you 18 don't mind. 19 20 THE COURT: I have a computer here so don't think I'm being rude if I look away from 21 22 you all. MR. ROSE: It was filed October 3, 2013. 23 I have a copy. 24 MS. FOGLIETTA: 25 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make an

1 objection? 2 THE COURT: Who are you? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm Eliot Bernstein. 3 THE COURT: You can't object yet. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make a 5 statement? 6 7 THE COURT: Not yet. I'm looking at this computer screen trying to find the docket. 8 9 Everybody, please be seated. You're making me 10 nervous. I'm just scrolling through the attorneys. 11 I haven't even gotten to the pleadings yet. 12 I'm looking for a pleading or an order entered 13 October 3rd. 14 15 MR. ROSE: An amended complaint. 16 THE COURT: I have an amended complaint by 17 Ted Bernstein. MR. ROSE: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And in that amended complaint 19 is the count that was referred to. It's Count 20 II? 21 22 MR. ROSE: I believe it is, Sir. 23 THE COURT: All right. Page 13 is the actual -- the 24 MR. ROSE: count itself incorporates the allegations and 25

the documents.

1

2 THE COURT: All right. Count II starts at Paragraph 79 of the document? 3 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: All right. And then at some 5 point in time you say Judge Colin severed out 6 this count and said it should be heard 7 separately. Is that --8 9 MR. ROSE: He severed it and stayed --THE COURT: Do you know when the order was 10 entered on that? 11 MR. ROSE: 10-6 according to the chart 12 from --13 THE COURT: 10-6-14? 14 15 MR. ROSE: Yes. It says order on amendments to pleadings. There might be an 16 17 order that predates that. MS. FOGLIETTA: I do have a copy of it. 18 THE COURT: The other is almost the very 19 next docket entry. The amended petition is 20 Docket Entry 26. The order is Docket Entry 27. 21 22 MR. ROSE: Specifically Paragraph 3 on 23 Page 2. THE COURT: There was a response filed by 24 Mr. Bernstein and the other defendants. 25 Are

1 those things that happened? 2 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What case? Is this Shirley Bernstein --3 THE COURT: Case Number 14CP3698. 4 MR. ROSE: Everyone has either answered or 5 been defaulted and I noticed the case for 6 7 trial. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we here for 8 Simon Bernstein? I'm confused. I'm not 9 prepared for Shirley Bernstein's case today. 10 Can I raise another point, Your Honor? 11 I only do one thing at a time. 12 THE COURT: You must stop. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What? 14 15 THE COURT: You must stop. I do one thing 16 at a time. You're not that thing yet. 17 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okav. THE COURT: This is a case management 18 conference. I'm not deciding anything. 19 I do decide that I'm the one that runs this 20 courtroom so I don't have people jumping up and 21 22 blurting things out. That doesn't help me orderly go through figuring out what the 23 problem is and how to attack and resolve the 24 problem. My specialty is wrestling stuff to 25

1 the ground and resolving it. That's what I'm 2 going to do in this case and that's what I do This is a bigger one to wrestle in every case. 3 to the ground than some other ones but there's 4 no octopus case that I've ever met that I 5 haven't been able to figure out sooner or 6 7 later. The only way I can do that is talk to one person at a time. We'll figure out one 8 9 thing at a time. I'm not a smart guy but I'm persistent. All these guys know me. 10 I'm looking you in the eye because you haven't met 11 me before, right? Sir, yes, you haven't met 12 me? 13

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.

14

THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know me. 15 These other attorneys do because they're in 16 court in front of me on other cases where I've 17 done the same thing. I'm too stupid to --18 well, I'm stupid. I take one thing at a time 19 and I make sure I know what I'm doing and I go 20 to the next thing. I try to be courteous to 21 everybody. I try to make sure everybody is 22 23 heard. I demand that people be courteous to me I don't take any crap. 24 in return. In that 25 method of proceeding we get through whatever is

1 uncomfortable, whatever is messed up, whatever 2 is complex. We simplify it down enough for me to understand it and then we resolve it. 3 That's what is going to happen in this case. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So my guestion is --5 I told you I'm not talking to THE COURT: 6 7 I was talking to you to tell you what you yet. I'm doing so you're not mystified, but now you 8 9 sit silently until it's my time to talk to you. Right now I'm talking to some other people. 10 Okay, so --11 12 MR. ROSE: May I approach --THE COURT: -- the trustees believe the 13 first thing that needs to be done is the 14 15 resolution of this order that was entered by Judge Colin severing out the count and the 16 amended complaint that deals with the validity 17 of the testamentary documents, correct? 18 MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. 19 All right. 20 THE COURT: Does anybody object to that issue being resolved first in 21 22 the order of events in this sequence of cases? 23 MR. O'CONNELL: Are you ready for me? THE COURT: Yeah, I just want to know if 24 there's any objection to having that issue 25

heard and resolved first. That's the issue 1 2 that I'm chewing on right now. MR. O'CONNELL: Okav. I wouldn't call it 3 an objection, but I'd like to be able to 4 explain my role in it and these other motions. 5 Well, first I want to know if THE COURT: 6 there's any reason I should attack this as the 7 first order of business in setting a trial or 8 9 hearing to have it resolved. Do you have any objection? 10 I wouldn't object to that. 11 MR. O'CONNELL: 12 THE COURT: All right. Does anybody else seated at the tables have any objection? 13 MR. FEAMAN: May it please the Court. 14 15 Peter Feaman on behalf of William Stansbury. He's a \$2.5 million creditor of the estate of 16 Simon Bernstein. 17 We're here in the estate of Simon 18 Bernstein and it's the position of 19 Mr. Stansbury that a removal of Ted Bernstein 20 as successor trustee should be heard first. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Why? The reason for that is if 23 MR. FEAMAN: that issue is determined one way or the other 24 we believe that is the linchpin to then 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

resolving probably all the other issues in this case.

1

2

3 THE COURT: The trustee believes the issue 4 to resolving many of the issues is to determine 5 whether Eliot -- I'm using first names, I'm 6 sorry. Is it Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein? 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You can call me 8 Eliot.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I don't mean to be 10 disrespectful. I don't want to do that.

11 The trustee's thought is that resolving 12 whether Eliot has any standing to be involved 13 in the litigation is key. You're saying that's 14 not key, it's something else that's key? What 15 else is it that you're suggesting is the key 16 issue to be resolved?

17 MR. FEAMAN: Because that's the Shirley Bernstein trust. The matter that is before 18 Your Honor today is the estate of Simon 19 Bernstein, and Simon Bernstein had a separate 20 trust which was different from the Shirley 21 22 Bernstein trust and the -- most of the assets are in the Simon Bernstein trust which then had 23 the pour-over will into -- most of the assets 24 are in the Simon Bernstein estate and then had 25

1 the pour-over will into the trust and that's --2 that's the matter that is the most significant, in my humble opinion, that is before Your Honor 3 is the Simon Bernstein estate and the Simon 4 Bernstein trust. It's the opinion of 5 Mr. Stansbury that Mr. Ted Bernstein, as a 6 successor trustee to the Simon Bernstein trust, 7 should be heard first. 8

9 THE COURT: Let me ask this: How is it 10 that there is an order by Judge Colin severing 11 out this count about the validity of some 12 estate documents in the Simon Bernstein case if 13 the documents in question were filed in a 14 different estate? Maybe the trustee can 15 address that.

16 MR. ROSE: Sure.

17 THE COURT: What's up with that? MR. ROSE: We have a trust construction 18 count that was to determine the validity and 19 then the construction of the Shirley Bernstein 20 Within that claim, because there's an 21 trust. 22 overlap of issues there, the standing issue is the same in both. What Judge Colin ordered me 23 to do was to file an additional count into that 24 25 complaint. Everyone was properly noticed. We

1 already had the jurisdiction over all the 2 beneficiaries, those that answered, those that did not. Nobody moved to dismiss upon the 3 ground that it's not properly in one case, and 4 so because there's a direct overlap between 5 documents that were executed and the validity 6 of those documents, and the validity of the 7 will of Simon directly relates to the validity 8 of the exercise of power of appointment because 9 he exercised his power through his will. 10 So what Judge Colin did was he ordered me to file 11 12 a simple one-count complaint, as simple as it could be, list the four documents and allege 13 that they're all valid and enforceable. In the 14 context of trying that issue you will decide 15 whether, for example, Simon Bernstein was 16 17 unduly influenced, if that's an allegation, to execute the power of appointment. The power of 18 appointment is what deprives Mr. Eliot 19 Bernstein of standing. Judge Colin ordered us 20 all put it all in this count. He then stayed 21 22 everything else and severed that and we're 23 supposed to try that and we get bogged down constantly in --24

THE COURT: Don't get sidetracked or I'll

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

get confused and disaster happens. 1 Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, you've got 2 an objection to the trial of the issue about 3 the validity of the estate documents that's 4 just been discussed? 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 6 7 What's your objection? THE COURT: MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Several, with that 8 9 being the first thing. The first part is that Mr. O'Connell has filed with the court in the 10 Simon Bernstein estate nothing to be done with 11 Ted Bernstein as trustee because Mr. O'Connell 12 and Mr. Feaman, two prominent lawyers that you 13 know, have claimed that the document itself 14 that they're operating under precludes Ted 15 Bernstein from being a trustee. The language 16 says he can't be a related party --17 THE COURT: You got to get back to my 18 question. 19 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem 21 22 THE COURT: No. I'm the one that's 23 telling you the question I'd like you to answer. Remember I told you I chew on one tiny 24 25 thing at a time. I don't want to get confused.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 I might make a mistake if I get confused. 2 This is the thing I'm trying to establish in my mind now: What is your objection to 3 trying the issue about the validity of the 4 estate documents that are found in Count II of 5 the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? 6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 7 My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, 8 and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming 9 and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing 10 where Ted's arguing validity where he's 11 conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue 12 successfully, his entire family and children 13 are cut out of everything. So he's got a 14 conflict in arguing a construction --15 16 THE COURT: You're not even addressing my 17 question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your 18 question because how can we have -- how can we 19 hear his --20 THE COURT: You're asking me a question. 21 22 Your question started with how do we do 23 something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't 24 25 hear --

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 THE COURT: Stop. Please be seated. You 2 failed to answer my question. You got something else on your mind that doesn't 3 address what I'm trying to figure out. 4 Is it true that Judge Colin issued a stay 5 order on the other parts of the litigation and 6 it intended -- somehow he manifested an 7 intention to resolve the validity of the estate 8 9 documents? Is there an order that says that somewhere? 10 I think that goes too far. 11 MR. ROSE: 12 There are multiple proceedings. He severed this count --13 THE COURT: I got that. 14 15 MR. ROSE: It's our view that that should be what is decided --16 THE COURT: I know. But you said a minute 17 ago that he stayed other proceedings. Is there 18 an order that says that? Where do I find that 19 order? 20 MR. ROSE: It's the one that you looked 21 22 at, October 6th. It stays the rest of the 23 proceedings inside the Shirley Bernstein trust construction case. It doesn't stay everything 24 in the Simon Bernstein side. 25

1

5

THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. ROSE: That's what I was clarifying. 3 THE COURT: Okay. You've been living with 4 these cases for several years.

MR. ROSE: Yes.

6 THE COURT: I've been living with them for 7 30 minutes so I'm not as intimately familiar 8 with the ins and outs of what's going on here. 9 I'm not even familiar with everybody's names, 10 so I apologize to you for that.

Well, then there's no reason for me not to 11 set a trial on that Count II of the amended 12 complaint, right? I'll do that whether 13 everybody wants me to do or not that way I'll 14 15 get something done and that way we'll move down the road. That will be done. Court to order 16 17 set. How much time you think we need to try that? 18

MR. ROSE: Normally I would think we can
try the case within a day.

21THE COURT: Okay. Anybody think we need a22different amount of time?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I think it
will take several days.

25 THE COURT: Why?

Well, you're going 1 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: 2 to have to first start with is Ted Bernstein a valid trustee to argue the case. So that's --3 THE COURT: No, I won't have to decide 4 that. 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You want somebody to 6 7 argue who's not valid --THE COURT: What else? Any other issue? 8 9 Is there any other issue that's going to take more than a day? 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's very 11 complicated. 12 THE COURT: No, this isn't going to be 13 complicated. 14 15 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. THE COURT: It's not. There's documents, 16 17 pieces of paper that somebody claims were executed or not executed. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: There's been fraud 19 in the document. 20 THE COURT: I was explaining to you 21 22 something. If you interrupt me you can be held 23 in contempt. If I interrupt you I'm keeping order in my courtroom. You see the difference 24 This is not a conversation. Okay. 25 there? No

need for me to explain anything further. I
intend to set this for trial. I intend to set
it for a day. I intend that issue of the
validity of the estate documents will be
resolved in that trial. Is there any reason to
not think I can do that in a day other than
what Mr. Eliot Bernstein has mentioned?

8 MR. FEAMAN: On behalf of Mr. Stansbury we 9 have no involvement in the Shirley Bernstein 10 estate.

11THE COURT:So you don't care what I do.12MR. ROSE:Mr. O'Connell is a party, he's13intervening because of the overlap of the power14of appointment.I can't speak for him but I15want to make sure he agrees that a day is16enough.We are all bad estimators.

17 THE COURT: I asked this question to the 18 entire courtroom. If anybody thinks 19 differently then what I'm getting ready to do 20 you're supposed to say something. He hasn't 21 said anything.

22 MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, John Morrissey. I 23 represent four of the adult grandchildren who 24 will ultimately be beneficiaries under the 25 trust document. THE COURT: Okay.

1

25

2 MR. MORRISSEY: So certainly my clients have an interest here in what's going on. 3 Ι just want to let Your Honor know, because I 4 don't think -- I hope Mr. Feaman is not 5 misleading the Court. On two occasions so far 6 7 he said that he represents a creditor of the estate, that's incorrect. 8 9 THE COURT: William Stansbury. MR. MORRISSEY: Correct. William 10 Stansbury is not a creditor of the estate. 11 He's someone who filed a claim in the estate. 12 An objection was filed by the personal 13 representative, or counsel for the personal 14 15 representative, which means that Mr. Stansbury had 30 days to run off and file his lawsuit 16 17 which he's done. He's not done anything with that separate civil litigation. It's not been 18 reduced to a judgment. He is not a creditor, 19 therefore, Judge, he does not have standing not 20 only with respect to the validity of the 21 22 documents but with respect to anything else in these various litigations. 23 That's not helping me figure 24 THE COURT:

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

out how much time I need to set aside for this

trial.

1

2

MR. MORRISSEY: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: When I'm telling you I'm a 3 simple guy I'm not being modest. I'm just 4 being truthful. That's where I'm at. 5 I'm going to write down what I do next when I leave 6 this room. What I do next when I leave this 7 room is tell my judicial assistant to reserve a 8 9 day, set this trial date, send you notices. Bang. That thing is done. So that's why I 10 want to stick with this. Do you have any 11 objection to that? 12 MR. MORRISSEY: No. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Great. This is the way 14 15 I intend to proceed -- I love Marty Colin. This guy is a judge that's been around a long 16 I know him. He's an entirely different 17 time. guy than me. I expect that your experience 18 with Judge Colin has been different than 19 sitting here with me. Am I right? 20 I never appeared in front of him as a judge -- I never 21 22 appeared in front of him while he's a judge and 23 while I was a lawyer. He appeared in front of me while he was a lawyer and I was a judge. 24 Ι don't know how he is as a judge but I am pretty 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 sure he's a different guy than me. Nice guy. 2 I like him. But we're different judges. Your experiences with Judge Colin, put them aside. 3 You're having an experience with me now. 4 We have to do it the way I do it or else I'll mess 5 6 up. The second thing I have on my list of 7 things to ask you about that I've been jotting 8 down here is this request for guardian ad 9 litem. I think I remember asking and being 10 told that no one has filed a formal request for 11 appointment of a guardian ad litem; is that 12 correct? 13 MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. 14 15 MR. ROSE: In these four cases no one has 16 done that yet. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Am I going to? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe they have, 18 actually. 19 When was it filed? 20 THE COURT: What docket entry? 21 22 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. It 23 was denied a long time ago by Tescher and Spallina, the guys that were removed for fraud 24 They tried to put guardians on 25 in the court.

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

- -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

THE COURT: No, no, no. You see I don't want all the other baggage. I just want the answer to that question. When was it filed?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. At the beginning.

THE COURT: At the beginning. That takes me to the bottom. That slows down progress on our case management conference. I will go through it. What was the title of the pleading?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. I
don't think Joy's records went back that far.
MS. FOGLIETTA: We pulled things that were
pending, Judge. I don't have that.

16 MR. MORRISSEY: On behalf of the four 17 adult grandchildren it's our intention to file 18 one. We were hoping to file one before today's 19 hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Since that hasn't been filed then I'm not taking action on it. That's my practice. If there's something filed I'll move towards getting it resolved. If it's not been filed and it's just in somebody's mind I find that it's difficult to take any action.

1 I'm crossing that off my list. 2 There's a pending motion to appoint attorneys -- an attorney for the children. Is 3 that an attorney ad litem? 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: An attorney for my 5 children. 6 Who filed that motion? 7 THE COURT: MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Me. 8 9 THE COURT: When did you file? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Just to pay the fees 10 for counsel for my children. 11 THE COURT: When did you file it is what 12 I'm trying to figure it out. 13 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: A while ago. 14 15 THE COURT: Any closer estimate than that? 16 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've been filing 17 that since the first petition in this case in May of 2013 which still isn't heard. 18 THE COURT: May of 2013 is when you filed 19 it? 20 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 21 22 MR. O'CONNELL: We think we found one 23 August 28, 2014 in the Simon Bernstein estate. The Simon Bernstein estate is THE COURT: 24 25 the only one I got up on the computer. The

only thing that happened on August 20th is an 1 2 order by Judge Colin maybe. MR. O'CONNELL: 28th, sorry, Your Honor, 3 2-8. 4 Okay. I just got my trifocals 5 THE COURT: These are the old ones so an 8 and a reissued. 6 0 look alike. I'm moving my head and trying to 7 focus. Bear with me a second. 8 9 I don't see anything anywhere near the 28th of August of '14. Is that the year, '14? 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. It says, "Motion to 11 compel estates of Simon and Shirley to pay 12 counsel for Eliot and his minor children." 13 MS. FOGLIETTA: That's in case number --14 15 THE COURT: Well, I don't see any motion with that description. Perhaps the Court 16 17 doesn't have it scanned in or something. Who knows. Anybody have a paper copy of it that I 18 can look at? 19 20 MS. FOGLIETTA: I do. THE COURT: I wouldn't mind looking at a 21 22 paper copy if you got one handy. 23 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. THE COURT: And was there a ruling on this 24 motion for having the estate pay for attorneys 25

for Eliot and his minor children? Has there 1 2 been an order on this? MR. O'CONNELL: Not that I'm aware of, 3 Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Was there ever a hearing? 5 I don't believe it was set for MR. ROSE: 6 That was alluded to that 7 hearing. Mr. Bernstein had requested an attorney for his 8 9 children and I would suggest that -- subject to -- I don't think there was an objection from 10 anyone -- it's not appropriate to appoint an 11 attorney for his children. If you appoint a 12 guardian ad litem to represent his children 13 then the guardian ad litem has the power to go 14 out and retain counsel and to accomplish the 15 relief that's sought. We don't believe it's 16 appropriate though for Mr. Bernstein himself, 17 but certainly his children who are 18 beneficiaries should have --19 All right. It looks like this 20 THE COURT: motion just asks for money. It's not asking 21 22 for the appointment of counsel. Mr. Eliot is seeking the issuance of money from the trust 23 for the estate. He alludes to the children 24 needing an attorney but he doesn't ask for one 25

1 to be appointed. He asks if he can be given 2 money. There's an order I see, Docket Entry 24, 3 where Judge Colin prohibits any new filings. 4 I've not read the order yet but I see the title 5 of the order takes up 20 lines of docket entry 6 7 here in our computer program. I hope the order is shorter than the title. 8 9 MR. O'CONNELL: We got it for Your Honor. (Handing) 10 Now are these copies ones I 11 THE COURT: 12 should return to you all or can I keep these? MS. FOGLIETTA: You can keep them. 13 Thanks. THE COURT: Judge Colin had a 14 15 case management conference. It's a case management order. How about that. 16 It's a 17 great order. He must have been having problems with the progress of this case to issue an 18 order like that. That was at Docket Entry 19 Number 24 which leads me to ask this question, 20 perhaps foolishly, and that's the question if 21 22 this order was entered by Judge Colin in 23 September of 2014 at Docket Entry Number 24 how come we're up to 82 docket entries and other 24 petitions and things and stuff being filed? 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 Did he disregard the order, because I think 2 it's a great order, or did something else happen that I don't know about that changed the 3 order, or did he retract the order? 4 MR. O'CONNELL: Let me try to help there. 5 Just so you can get my position in all this, I 6 7 want to explain. I am a successor personal representative in the Simon Bernstein estate, 8 so that's my universe in terms of this matter. 9 I got over a year at this point that I've been 10 involved in that capacity. With regard to that 11 particular order the way everyone has 12 interpreted it is it has to do with anyone to 13 institute new litigation, a new adversary 14 15 matter they would have to go before Judge Colin, because we certainly have filed, on an 16 17 administrative level, a number of motions of things that needed to happen. 18 THE COURT: Administrative stuff is 19 20 allowed to happen. MR. O'CONNELL: To go to your good 21 22 question, well, why are there so many items, not that we filed a ton of motions and 23 petitions but certainly, on my behalf, there 24 are definitely some that we have filed. 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 THE COURT: Docket Entry Number 41 there 2 is a petition to remove Theodore Stuart Bernstein as alleged successor trustee filed by 3 Eliot Bernstein. How did that get filed? 4 Did Judge Colin approved that? 5 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that. 6 7 THE COURT: Say that again? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that. 8 9 THE COURT: So there was a hearing that he authorized this petition to be filed? 10 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. 11 And then a new case was started. He ordered a new case to 12 remove Ted and we're in the middle of that. 13 That's one of the cases. 14 15 Just to clarify something, I'm still confused, the first part about the hearing 16 you're ordering, that's not --17 THE COURT: We're not on that subject. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we on Simon's 19 case or Shirley's case? I'm confused by that. 20 THE COURT: I'm confused too. Welcome to 21 22 my world. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Welcome to mine. 23 We're going to eliminate some 24 THE COURT: of the confusion by trying some of these things 25

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 pled in this case and one of them that's been 2 pled is Count II of the amended petition of Docket Entry 26 that Judge Colin severed out 3 and said is going to be tried separately. 4 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's in Shirley. 5 I'm telling you what I'm THE COURT: 6 doing. You asked me what I'm doing, to clarify 7 what I'm doing. I just told you. 8 9 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okav. MR. ROSE: If I can, just briefly with 10 that, what Judge Colin was doing is you can fax 11 him the motion or bring it to his attention --12 THE COURT: He uses fax? Okay. 13 He is a dinosaur. 14 15 MR. ROSE: He would give permission that something could be filed or not filed. We had 16 to go through the extra step of sending him in 17 advance, or asking permission if I wanted to 18 file a motion to approve a sale or whatever we 19 had to get his permission in advance. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 21 I find 22 there's no pending motion for appointment of attorneys for the children so I'm striking that 23 off my list. 24 Now back to the William Stansbury claim 25

1 regarding the estate of Simon Bernstein. What 2 is the pleading that sets up any claim that needs to be adjudicated in that case that was 3 not already set? It's the one thing that 4 you're not involved in. What about the claim 5 you said that William Stansbury has? 6 7 MR. FEAMAN: That's a separate action that was filed and is pending before Judge Blanc in 8 the general jurisdiction division. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So Blanc will figure 10 that one out, right? 11 MR. FEAMAN: And the estate is a 12 defendant. 13 I'm trying to figure out what THE COURT: 14 15 I have to set. Blanc has that one, right? 16 MR. FEAMAN: Yes, yes, Your Honor. 17 The only thing, with regard to Mr. Stansbury, I believe, is Mr. Stansbury has 18 filed a motion to discharge him from 19 responsibility for funding the estate's 20 participation in some Chicago litigation, and 21 22 that should be borne by the estate, but that's already set before Your Honor on October 20th 23 in the special set hearing. 24 THE COURT: When was that set? When did 25

1 the document hit the court records when --2 setting that hearing? MR. FEAMAN: I'd say ten days ago. It was 3 set for the day after tomorrow and it had to be 4 reset at my request due to a conflict, and then 5 it was set October 20, 2015 pursuant to a 6 notice of hearing I believe our office sent 7 out, I believe, ten days ago, approximately. 8 THE COURT: That would be in case number 9 what? 10 MR. FEAMAN: That would be case Number 11 124391CP -- 12 -- 2012CP4391. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So that's a different 13 case than I have on the computer screen. 14 Let me get that one up. 15 MR. FEAMAN: That's the case number that 16 actually brings us here today pursuant to 17 notice of hearing filed by Mr. O'Connell, the 18 personal representative of the estate. 19 Just a second. I've been 20 THE COURT: looking at, apparently, the trust case, 21 22 14CP3698. 23 MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, that's the Shirley trust. 24 Did you ever see Colin use a 25 THE COURT:

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

computer in court?

1

2

19

MR. O'CONNELL: Not really.

3 THE COURT: That's why I call him a 4 dinosaur. I'd say it to his face trying to get 5 him to be more tech savvy.

6 I'm scrolling, okay. You see me scrolling 7 with my finger. I've scrolled through all the 8 attorneys. This is more like it. We're up to 9 386, and roughly ten days ago there was some 10 sort of hearing set. A re-notice of hearing.

11 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That was an 12 objection to an accounting that I filed timely.

13 THE COURT: The notice of hearing, 14 Mr. Feaman, that you scheduled, or you sent out 15 that I'm referring to is called the fifth 16 re-notice of hearing and it sets hearing on the 17 motion of creditor William Stansbury for a 18 hearing on October 20.

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

20THE COURT: You set aside a 15-minute21period of time for that. Judge Blanc has got22the litigation that you referred to in his23court and he'll figure that out.24MR. FEAMAN: Correct.

25 THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. FEAMAN: But there's also, with 2 regard, if I may, Your Honor, to Mr. Stansbury's claim, Mr. O'Connell has also 3 filed a motion to enter and approve a 4 settlement agreement between the estate and 5 Mr. Stansbury which is still out there. 6 But related to that is a motion by Mr. O'Connell 7 filed on 7-20-2015 to have Simon Bernstein 8 9 declared the beneficiary of the JP Morgan IRA account, and the reason it relates to 10 Mr. Stansbury is because the settlement money 11 12 contemplated to be paid to Mr. Stansbury would come out of that account and there's a question 13 whether that is actually money that should be 14 part of the estate or not so before we actually 15 wanted to fund the settlement we wanted to -- I 16 don't mean to speak for Mr. O'Connell -- we 17 wanted to make sure that that would be 18 appropriate source of funds to fund the 19 settlement so there would be no clawback claims 20 either against Mr. Stansbury or the estate 21 22 subsequent to the consummation of the settlement. 23 Is that petition at issue? 24 THE COURT: It -- Mr. O'Connell? 25 MR. FEAMAN:

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

1 MR. O'CONNELL: I don't think it was filed 2 as an adversary matter. It's a free-standing petition. 3 THE COURT: 4 Okay. MR. O'CONNELL: Everybody has been served 5 with it. 6 MR. ROSE: For the record we have no 7 objection to that motion being granted. 8 Ι don't know if anybody objects to the motion. 9 That's certainly something that should be heard 10 if it's objected to very early. 11 Unless somebody notices it up 12 THE COURT: for hearing, get ready for that. 13 We've used up all the time I set aside for 14 15 the Bernstein case. It would sure be nice to 16 spend the rest of my career talking to you 17 about this but I have other people scheduled at 10:30 and I must see them now. Thanks a lot. 18 I'll do my work on setting the trial on the one 19 thing we got and we'll see what happens next. 20 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you. 21 22 THE COURT: It was fun and look forward to 23 a long list of hearings as well. (Whereupon, the hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m.) 24 25

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, JULIE ANDOLPHO, do hereby certify that |
| 4 | the foregoing transcript of the proceedings, |
| 5 | consisting of pages numbered 1 through 42, |
| 6 | inclusive, is a true and correct transcript of the |
| 7 | proceedings taken by me before the Honorable JOHN |
| 8 | PHILLIPS, on September 15, 2015. |
| 9 | I further certify that I am not a relative |
| 10 | or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the |
| 11 | parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney |
| 12 | or counsel, or financially interested, directly or |
| 13 | indirectly, in this action. |
| 14 | The certification does not apply to any |
| 15 | reproduction of the same by any means unless under |
| 16 | direct control and/or direction or the reporter. |
| 17 | Dated this 12th day of October, 2015. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Julie Andolpho, FPR |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

Page 1 of 9

| | | | Page 1 of 9 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| \$ | 28th 31:3,10 | above-styled 3:2 | allowed 34:20 |
| \$100 9:14 | | accomplish 32:15 | alluded 32:7 |
| \$2.5 16:16 | 3 | according 12:12 | alludes 32:24 |
| | 3 10:23 12:22 | account 40:10,13 | already 19:1 |
| 0 | 30 23:7 26:16 | accounting 39:12 | 37:4,23 |
| 0 31:7 | 3188 3:4 | acting 21:10 | am 4:16 27:20,25 |
| 1 | 330 2:13 | action 8:3 | 28:17 34:7 42:9 |
| 1 42:5 | 333401 2:5 | 29:21,25 37:7 | <pre>amended 10:17 11:15,16,19</pre> |
| 10:30 41:18 | 33401 2:13,17 | 42:13 | 12:20 15:17 |
| 10:32 1:19 41:24 | 33431 2:21 | actual 11:24 | 21:6 23:12 36:2 |
| 10-6 12:12 | 33436 2:9 | actually 4:4 8:2 28:19 38:17 | amendments 12:16 |
| 10-6-14 12:14 | 36 10:7 | 40:14,15 | among 8:14 |
| 12 38:12 | 3695 2:9 | ad 6:5,23 | amount 23:22 |
| 124391CP 38:12 | 386 39:9 | 8:22,24 9:2 | and/or 42:16 |
| 12th 42:17 | 3rd 11:14 | 28:9,12 30:4 32:13,14 | Andolpho 42:3,19 |
| 13 11:24 | | add 8:4 | answer 20:24 |
| 14 10:7 31:10 | <u>4</u> 41 35:1 | added 8:4 | 21:18 22:2 29:4 |
| 14CP3698 13:4 | 41 33:1 42 42:5 | additional 18:24 | answered 8:6 13:5 19:2 |
| 38:22 | 42 42:5 4th 4:7,13 | address 18:15 | anybody 15:20 |
| 15 1:18 42:8 | 4th 4:7,13 | 22:4 | 16:12 23:21 |
| 150 2:21 | 5 | addressed 6:4 | 25:18 31:18 41:9 |
| 15-minute 39:20 | 502012CP4391XXXX | 9:21 | anymore 4:16 |
| 15th 3:6 | NB 1:2 | addressing 21:16 | anyone 32:11 |
| | 5020143698 10:5 | adjudicated 37:3 | 34:13 |
| <u>2</u> 2 12:23 | 505 2:17 | administrative 34:17,19 | anything 13:19 25:1,21 |
| 20 33;6 38;6 | 6 | adult 25:23 | 26:17,22 31:9 |
| 39:18 | 600 2:17 | 29:17 | anywhere 31:9 |
| 2010 5:16 | 6th 22:22 | advance 36:18,20 | apologize 23:10 |
| 2012 5:11 | | adversary 34:14 41:2 | apparently 38:21 |
| 2012CP4391 38:12 | 7 | 41:2 advised 8:3 | APPEARANCES 2:1 |
| 2013 10:23 | 7-20-2015 40:8 | against 40:21 | appeared |
| 30:18,19 | 79 12:3 | - | 27:21,22,23 |
| 2014 30:23 33:23 | 8 | ago 22:18 28:23 30:14 38:3,8 | APPEARING |
| 2015 1:18 3:6 38:6 42:8,17 | 8 31:6 | 39:9 | 2:3,7,11,15,19 |
| 20th 31:1 37:23 | 82 33:24 | agreement 40:5 | appellate 4:10 |
| 2011 31:1 37:23 213 2:13 | | al 2:11 | apply 42:14 |
| 24 33:3,20,23 | 9 | Alan 2:16 5:1 | appoint 6:16 7:25 30:2 |
| 26 12:21 21:6 | 9 2:9 | alike 31:7 | 32:11,12 |
| 26 12:21 21:6 36:3 | 9:27 1:19 | allegation 19:17 | appointed 7:25 |
| 27 12:21 | | allegations | 33:1 |
| 2700 2:21 | <u>A</u> a.m 1:19 41:24 | 11:25 | <pre>appointment 6:11 7:24 19:9,18,19</pre> |
| 28 30:23 | able 14:6 16:4 | allege 19:13 | 25:14 28:12 |
| 2-8 31:4 | WERE LIN LUIT | alleged 35:3 | 32:22 36:22 |
| | I I | | |

Page 2 of 9

9:4 21:13

approach 15:12 appropriate 32:11,17 40:19 approve 36:19 40:4 approved 35:5 approximately 38:8 argue 21:12 24:3,7 argued 7:16 **arguing** 21:11,15 **aside** 26:25 28:3 39:20 41:14 assets 17:22,24 assigned 5:7 assistant 27:8 attack 13:24 16:7 attention 36:12 attorney 6:16 30:3,4,5 32:8,12,25 42:10,11 attorneys 3:18 9:18 11:11 14:16 30:3 31:25 36:23 39:8 August 30:23 31:1,10 authorized 35:10 aware 32:3 away 8:12 10:21 в **bad** 25:16 baggage 29:3 Bang 27:10 Beach 1:1 2:5,9,13,17 3:3,4,5 Bear 31:8 **become** 9:10 beginning 29:6,7 behalf 2:3,7,11,15,19 3:15 16:15 25:8 29:16 34:24

behind 9:14,15 **belief** 9:13 **believe** 6:2 7:7 8:10 9:1,2 11:22 15:13 4:6 16:25 28:18 32:6,16 37:18 38:7,8 believes 17:3 beneficiaries 6:14 7:21 19:2 25:24 32:19 beneficiary 7:2,5,14,19,22 40:9 Bernstein 1:5 2:15,24 3:9 5:10 6:7 7:1,24 9:3 10:25 11:3,5,17 12:25 13:2,3,8,9,14,1 7 14:14 15:5 16:17,19,20 17:6,7,18,20,22 ,23,25 18:4,5,6,7,12,2 0 19:16,20 20:2,6,8,11,12, 16,20 21:7,18,24 22:23,25 23:23 24:1,2,6,11,15, 19 25:7,9 37:3 28:18,22 29:5,12 30:5,8,10,14,16 ,21,23,24 32:8,17 34:8 35:3,4,6,8,11,1 9,23 36:5,9 37:1 39:11 40:8 41:15 Bernstein's 7:19,20,22,23 13:10 **best** 5:25 bigger 14:3 **bit** 6:8 Blanc 37:8,10,15 39:21 blurting 13:22 Blvd 2:9 3:4 Boca 2:21 **Chicago** 37:21 **bogged** 19:23 children 6:6,16

borne 37:22 **bottom** 29:8 Boynton 2:9 branch 3:21,22 BRIAN 2:4 briefly 5:5 36:10 bring 6:7 36:12 brings 38:17 brought 4:23 builds 5:17 business 16:8 С capacity 34:11 care 25:11 **career** 41:16 **case** 1:2 3:9 4:1,2,16,19,20, 25 5:13 6:8 8:23 9:9 10:5 13:2,4,6,10,18 14:2,3,5 15:4 17:2 18:12 19:4 22:24 23:20 24:3 29:9 30:17 31:14 33:15,18 35:12,20 36:1 38:9,11,14,16,2 1 41:15 **cases** 5:6,8 14:17 15:22 23:4 28:15 35:14 **cause** 3:2 certainly 26:2 32:18 34:16,24 41:10 **CERTIFICATE** 42:1 certification 42:14 **certify** 42:3,9 changed 34:3 **chart** 12:12 chew 20:24 chewing 16:2

30:3,6,11 31:13 32:1,9,12,13,18 ,24 36:23 CIKLIN 2:5 CIRCUIT 1:1 civil 26:18 **claim** 5:21 18:21 26:12 36:25 37:2,5 40:3 claimed 20:14 claiming 21:9 **claims** 24:17 40:20 **clarify** 35:15 36:7 clarifying 23:2 clawback 40:20 Clematis 2:13 client 5:22 **clients** 9:1 26:2 **closer** 30:15 closing 5:15 **Colin** 4:4 5:23 8:3,7,25 10:4 12:6 15:16 18:10,23 19:11,20 22:5 27:15,19 28:3 31:2 33:4,14,22 34:16 35:5 36:3,11 38:25 Colin's 6:9 coming 3:17 compel 31:12 complaint 7:2 8:1 10:17 11:15,16,19 15:17 18:25 19:12 23:13 complex 15:2 complicated 24:12,14 comprehensive 4:22 computer 10:20 11:8 30:25 33:7 38:14 39:1 concluded 41:24 conference 4:19

Page 3 of 9

| | | | Page 3 of 9 |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5:8 8:13 13:19 | 11:2,4,7,16,19, | 27:9 38:4 42:17 | discuss 6:21 |
| 29:9 33:15 | 23 | days 23:24 26:16 | discussed 20:5 |
| conflict 9:4 | 12:2,5,10,14,19 | 38:3,8 39:9 | |
| 21:15 38:5 | ,24 | DCA 4:7 | disinherited 7:5 |
| conflicted 21:12 | 13:4,12,15,18 14:15,17 | | dismiss 19:3 |
| confused 13:9 | 15:6,13,20,24 | deals 15:17 | disregard 34:1 |
| 20:1,25 21:1 | 16:6,12,14,22 | Deceased 1:6 | disrespectful |
| 35:16,20,21 | 17:3,9 18:9,17 | decide 6:24 | 17:10 |
| confusion 35:25 | 19:25 | 13:20 19:15 | division 3:11,13 |
| consider 5:14 | 20:7,10,18,22 21:16,21 | 24:4 | 37:9 |
| | 22:1,14,17 | decided 22:16 | docket 10:11,18 |
| consisting 42:5 | 23:1,3,6,16,21, | deciding 13:19 | 11:8 12:20,21 |
| constantly 19:24 | 25 | declared 40:9 | 21:6 28:21 |
| construction | 24:4,8,13,16,21 | defaulted 13:6 | 33:3,6,19,23,24 |
| 5:20 8:3 | 25:11,17 26:1,6,9,24 | | 35:1 36:3 |
| 18:18,20 21:15 | 27:3,14 | defendant 37:13 | document 7:18 |
| 22:24 | 28:17,20,25 | defendants 12:25 | 10:1 12:3 20:14 24:20 25:25 |
| consummation | 29:2,7,20 | deferred 8:25 | 38:1 |
| 40:22 | 30:7,9,12,15,19 | definitely 34:25 | documents |
| contemplated | ,24 31:5,15,16,21,2 | demand 14:23 | 7:3,4,17 8:2,6 |
| 40:12 | 4 32:5,20 | denied 28:23 | 10:3 12:1 15:18 |
| contempt 24:23 | 33:11,14 34:19 | | 18:12,13 |
| context 19:15 | 35:1,7,9,18,21, | deprives 19:19 | 19:6,7,13 20:4 21:5 22:9 24:16 |
| control 6:9 | 24 36:6,13,21 | description | 21:5 22:9 24:10 |
| 42:16 | 37:10,14,25 38:1,9,13,20,25 | 31:16 | |
| conversation | 39:1,3,13,20,23 | determination | dollars 8:18 |
| 24:25 | ,25 40:24 | 10:2 | done 4:25 5:15 |
| copies 33:11 | 41:4,12,22 42:1 | determine 7:3 | 14:18 15:14 20:11 23:15,16 |
| copy 10:17,24 | courteous | 8:2,5 17:4 18:19 | 26:17 27:10 |
| 12:18 31:18,22 | 14:21,23 | | 28:16 |
| correct 4:13 | Courthouse 3:4 | determined 16:24 | drafted 7:4 |
| 5:16 15:18 | courtroom 6:9 | died 5:10,16 | Drive 2:17 |
| 26:10 28:13,14 | 13:21 24:24 | difference 24:24 | |
| 39:24 42:6 | 25:18 | different 17:21 | driving 9:13 |
| counsel 5:25 | Court's 7:9 | 18:14 23:22 | due 38:5 |
| 26:14 30:11 | CP003698XXX 10:8 | 27:17,19 28:1,2 | |
| 31:13 32:15,22 42:10,12 | crap 14:24 | 38:13 | E |
| | creditor 3:16 | differently | early 6:4 41:11 |
| <pre>count 8:4,5,8,10 10:2 11:20,25</pre> | 16:16 | 25:19 | either 3:18 8:16 |
| 12:2,7 15:16 | 26:7,11,19 | difficult 29:25 | 13:5 40:21 |
| 18:11,19,24 | 39:17 | dinosaur 36:14 | eliminate 35:24 |
| 19:21 21:5 | crossing 30:1 | 39:4 | Eliot 2:24 6:6 |
| 22:13 23:12 | curator 9:18 | direct 19:5 | 7:1 9:3 10:25 |
| 36:2 | | 42:16 | 11:3,5 |
| County 1:1 3:4,5 | cut 21:14 | directed 35:6,8 | 13:2,8,14,17 14:14 15:5 |
| couple 8:21 | | direction 42:16 | 17:5,6,7,8,12 |
| court 1:1 | | directly 19:8 | 19:19 |
| 3:8,11,12,13,23 | date 1:18 27:9 | 42:12 | 20:2,6,8,20 |
| 4:7,18 5:3,12 | Dated 42:17 | disaster 20:1 | 21:7,18,24 |
| 6:10 7:11 9:19,25 | day 3:6 23:20 | | 23:23 24:1,6,11,15,19 |
| 10:6,10,13,20 | 24:10 25:3,6,15 | discharge 37:19 | 25:7 28:18,22 |
| ,,, | | | |

Page 4 of 9

fraudulent 21:9

everybody 8:6 29:5,12 30:5,8,10,14,16 11:9 14:22 ,21 31:13 23:14 41:5 32:1,22 everybody's 23:9 35:4,6,8,11,19, everyone 13:5 23 36:5,9 39:11 18:25 34:12 **else** 3:13 everything 8:8,9 4:3,15,24 8:8,9 9:23 19:22 9:24 16:12 21:14 22:24 17:14,15 19:22 22:3 24:8 26:22 example 7:18 28:5 34:2 19:16 employee execute 19:18 42:10,11 executed 19:6 enforceable 24:18 19:14 exercise 19:9 enter 40:4 exercised 19:10 entered 11:13 expect 27:18 12:11 15:15 33:22 experience 27:18 28:4 entire 21:13 25:18 experiences 28:3 entirely 27:17 explain 16:5 25:1 34:7 entries 33:24 explaining 24:21 entry 10:11,18 12:20,21 21:6 extra 36:17 28:21 eye 14:11 33:3,6,19,23 35:1 36:3 F ESQ face 39:4 2:4,8,12,16,20 failed 22:2 establish 21:2 fairly 6:4 establishes 7:15 **fall** 9:24 **estate** 1:5 3:16 5:15,19,20 10:2 familiar 23:7,9 16:16,18 **family** 21:13 17:19,25 18:4,12,14 fax 36:11,13 20:4,11 21:5 Feaman 2:8 22:8 25:4,10 3:14,15 4:4,17 26:8,11,12 16:14,15,23 30:23,24 31:25 17:17 20:13 32:24 34:8 25:8 26:5 37:1,12,22 37:7,12,16 38:19 38:3,11,16 40:5,15,21 39:14,19,24 **estates** 5:9,17 40:1,25 9:12,15 31:12 fees 8:18 9:18 estate's 37:20 30:10 estimate 30:15 FIFTEENTH 1:1 estimators 25:16 fifth 8:23 39:15 et 2:11 **figure** 9:19 14:6,8 22:4 events 15:22

26:24 30:13 37:10,14 39:23 figuring 13:23 file 6:14 8:4 18:24 19:11 26:16 29:17,18 30:9,12 36:19 **filed** 6:11,15 7:1 8:1,2,19 10:23 12:24 18:13 20:10 26:12,13 28:11,20 29:4,21,22,24 30:7,19 33:25 34:16,23,25 35:3,4,10 36:16 37:8,19 38:18 39:12 40:4,8 41:1 **filing** 10:12 30:16 filings 33:4 financially 42:12 finger 39:7 first 6:23 8:11,15 9:9,23 10:14 15:14,21 16:1,6,8,21 17:5 18:8 20:9 24:2 30:17 35:16 FITZGERALD 2:16 2:5,9,13,17,21 Flagler 2:17 Florida 1:1 3:5 focus 31:8 FOGLIETTA 2:4 3:10 10:12,16,24 12:18 29:14 31:14,20 33:13 38:23 foolishly 33:21 foregoing 42:4 formal 28:11 forward 41:22 **FPR** 42:19 fraud 24:19 28:24

free-standing 41:2 **front** 14:17 27:21,22,23 **fun** 41:22 **fund** 40:16,19 **funding** 37:20 funds 40:19 G **GAL** 6:11 Gardens 3:5 general 37:9 getting 25:19 29:23 given 33:1 gotten 11:12 grandchildren 7:21,25 25:23 29:17 granted 4:12 41:8 great 27:14 33:17 34:2 ground 14:1,4 19:4 guardian 6:5,23 8:22,24 9:2,5 28:9,12 32:13,14 guardians 28:25 **guy** 14:9 27:4,16,18 28:1 guys 14:10 28:24 н Handing 33:10 handy 31:22

happen 9:23 15:4 34:3,18,20

happened 13:1 31:1

happens 9:9 20:1 41:20

haven't 11:12 14:6,11,12

having 4:24 15:25 28:4

Page 5 of 9

31:25 33:17 head 31:7 hear 6:25 21:20,25 heard 8:15 12:7 14:23 16:1,21 18:8 30:18 41:10hearing 5:5 16:9 21:10 29:19 32:5,7 35:9,16 37:24 38:2,7,18 39:10,13,16,18 41:13,24 hearings 6:22 41:23 held 24:22 he'll 39:23 help 13:22 34:5 helping 26:24 hereby 42:3 Here's 20:20 he's 7:1,4,5,13 16:16 21:10,11,14 25:12 26:12,17 27:17,22 28:1 hit 38:1 Honor 5:10 13:11 17:19 18:3 26:4 31:3 32:4 33:9 37:16,23 39:19 40:2 Honorable 1:10 3:3 42:7 hope 26:5 33:7 hoping 29:18 humble 18:3 hundreds 8:18 Ι **I'd** 16:4 20:23 38:3 39:4 **II** 11:21 12:2 21:5 23:12 36:2 **I'll** 19:25 23:13,14 28:5 29:22 41:19 **I'm** 4:8,10 5:1,4 10:8,21 11:3,7,11,13

13:9,19,20 14:1,9,10,18,19 ,20 15:6,8,10 16:2 17:5 20:22 21:2,24 22:4 23:7,9 24:23 25:19 27:2,3,4,5 29:21 30:1,13 31:7 32:3 35:15,20,21 36:6,7,8,23 37:14 39:6,15 improperly 21:10 inclusive 42:6 incorporates 11:25 incorrect 26:8 indicated 9:3,11 indirectly 42:13 influenced 19:17 ins 23:8 **inside** 22:23 institute 34:14 **intend** 25:2,3 27:15 intended 22:7 intention 22:8 29:17 interest 26:3 interested 42:12 interesting 3:14 interpreted 34:13 interrupt 24:22,23 intervening 25:13 intimately 23:7 involved 17:12 34:11 37:5 involvement 25:9 **IRA** 40:9 **isn't** 4:1 24:13 30:18 issuance 32:23 **issue** 6:17 8:10,11,15 15:21,25 16:1,24 17:3,16

18:22 19:15 20:3 21:4 24:8,9 25:3 33:18 40:24 **issued** 22:5 **issues** 8:14 9:8,23 17:1,4 18:22 items 34:22 it's 3:25 4:2 6:17,18,23 8:6 10:5 11:20 15:9 16:19 17:14 18:5 19:4 22:15,21 24:11,16 26:18 29:17,23,24,25 32:11,16,21 33:15,16 34:2 37:4 41:2,11 **I've** 4:22 5:13 14:5,17 23:6 28:8 30:16 33:5 34:10 38:20 39:7 J **John** 1:10 2:12 3:3 25:22 42:7 JOIELLE 2:4 jotting 28:8 Joy's 29:13 **JP** 40:9 judge 3:10,23 4:4,10,16 5:23 6:9 8:3,7,25 10:4 12:6 15:16 18:10,23 19:11,20 22:5 25:22 26:20 27:16,19,21,22, 24,25 28:3 29:15 31:2 33:4,14,22 34:15 35:5 36:3,11 37:8 38:23 39:21 judges 28:2 judge's 4:9 judgment 26:19 judicial 1:1 9:20 27:8 Julie 42:3,19

jumping 13:21 jurisdiction 19:1 37:9 **KENNETH** 2:20 key 17:13,14,15 ь lacked 8:19 language 20:16 **late** 3:17 **later** 14:7 LAW 2:12 **lawsuit** 26:16 lawyer 9:5 27:23,24 lawvers 20:13 leads 33:20 least 6:2 **leave** 27:6,7 legal 8:18 lengthy 4:22 letters 10:6 **level** 34:17 linchpin 16:25 **lines** 33:6 **list** 7:1 19:13 28:7 30:1 36:24 41:23 **lists** 6:18 **litem** 6:5,23 8:22,25 9:2 28:10,12 30:4 32:13,14 litigants 3:18 litigation 5:19 17:13 22:6 26:18 34:14 37:21 39:22 litigations 26:23 little 6:8 living 23:3,6 long 27:16 28:23 41:23 **lot** 8:11 41:18

Page 6 of 9

love 27:15 **LUBITZ** 2:5 М **main** 3:21 6:20 manage 4:20 manageable 9:10 management 4:19 13:18 29:9 33:15,16 manifested 22:7 Marty 27:15 **matter** 17:18 18:2 34:9,15 41:2 matters 5:22 **may** 15:12 16:14 30:18,19 40:2 maybe 6:17 18:14 31:2 mean 17:9 21:12 40:17 means 26:15 42:15 mediation 7:7 mentioned 5:10 7:13 25:7 **mess** 28:5 messed 15:1 **met** 14:5,11,12 **method** 14:25 middle 35:13 Military 2:21 million 9:14 16:16 mind 10:19 21:3 22:3 29:24 31:21 mine 35:23 minor 6:6 31:13 32:1 minute 22:17 minutes 23:7 misleading 26:6 **mistake** 4:11,13 21:1 modest 9:15 27:4 objection 11:1

MOLLY 2:11 **money** 32:21,23 33:2 40:11,14 Morgan 40:9 morning 5:1 Morrissey 2:12 25:22 26:2,10 27:2,13 29:16 Morrissey's 9:1 motion 6:10,11,16,25 8:21,22 30:2,7 31:11,15,25 32:21 36:12,19,22 37:19 39:17 40:4,7 41:8,9 motions 5:24 6:19 7:8 8:19 16:5 34:17,23 move 9:2 23:15 29:23 moved 19:3 **moving** 31:7 MRACHEK 2:16 multiple 22:12 mystified 15:8 Ν **narrow** 9:17 **nervous** 11:10 **nice** 28:1 41:15 Nobody 19:3 **nor** 42:11 Normally 23:19 **north** 3:20 4:5 nothing 20:11 **notice** 38:7,18 39:13 **noticed** 5:7 7:11 13:6 18:25 notices 27:9 41:12 0 object 11:4 15:21 16:11 objected 41:11

15:25 16:4,10,13 20:3,7 21:3 26:13 27:12 32:10 39:12 41:8 objects 41:9 occasions 26:6 O'Connell 2:4,5 3:17 6:18 15:23 16:3,11 20:10,12 25:12 28:14 30:22 31:3,11,23 32:3 33:9 34:5,21 38:18 39:2 40:3,7,17,25 41:1,5,21 O'Connell's 6:25 **October** 10:23 11:14 22:22 37:23 38:6 39:18 42:17 octopus 14:5 office 38:7 okay 13:17 14:15 15:11 16:3,22 17:9 23:1,3,21 24:15,25 26:1 27:14 28:17 29:20 31:5 36:9,13,21 37:10 38:13 39:6 41:4 **old** 31:6 one-count 7:2 8:1 19:12 ones 14:4 31:6 33:11 operating 20:15 operative 7:18 **opinion** 18:3,5 **Oppenheimer** 8:23 order 4:5,9 6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21 15:15,22 16:8 18:10 22:6,9,19,20 23:16 24:24 31:2 32:2 33:3,5,6,7,16,1 7,19,22

34:1,2,4,12 ordered 18:23 19:11,20 35:12 ordering 35:17 orderly 13:23 outs 23:8 **overlap** 18:22 19:5 25:13 Р **P.A** 2:8,16 **P.L** 2:20 Page 2:16 11:24 12:23 pages 42:5 **paid** 40:12 **Palm** 1:1 2:5,13,17 3:3,4,5,19 paper 24:17 31:18,22 papers 9:3 Paragraph 12:3,22 participation 37:21 particular 34:12 parties 8:13 42:11 party 20:17 25:12 **pay** 30:10 31:12,25 **pending** 5:18,24 6:15 8:22 29:15 30:2 36:22 37:8 **people** 13:21 14:23 15:10 41:17 perhaps 31:16 33:21 **period** 39:21 permission 36:15,18,20 persistent 14:10 person 14:8 personal 2:3

26:13,14 34:7

38:19

GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA PLEASANTON, 561/833.7811

Page 7 of 9

Peter 2:8 3:15 16:15 petition 12:20 21:6 30:17 35:2,10 36:2 40:24 41:3 petitions 33:25 34:24 **PGA** 3:4 PHILLIPS 1:10 3:3 42:8 **pieces** 5:18 24:17 planning 5:4 plate 9:20 pleading 11:13 29:11 37:2 pleadings 11:12 12:16 please 4:14 11:9 16:14 21:17 22:1 pled 36:1,2 **point** 3:15 9:7 12:6 13:11 34:10 POLLOCK 2:20 position 6:23 7:15 16:19 34:6 pour-over 17:24 18:1 **power** 7:24 19:9,10,18 25:13 32:14 practice 29:22 precludes 20:15 predates 12:17 pre-deceased 5:13 prepared 13:10 PRESENT 2:24 pretty 27:25 probably 7:9 17:1 problem 13:24,25 20:20 21:7 problems 33:17 proceed 27:15 proceeding 14:25

proceedings 1:10 3:2 22:12,18,23 42:4,7 program 33:7 progress 29:8 33:18 prohibits 33:4 prominent 20:13 properly 18:25 19:4 21:8 property 9:16 **pulled** 29:14 purpose 8:13 pursuant 38:6,17 Q question 4:8 15:5 18:13 20:19,23 21:17,19,21,22 22:2 25:17 29:4 33:20,21 34:22 40:13 R **raise** 13:11 **raises** 3:14 randomly 3:25 **Raton** 2:21 **RE** 1:5 ready 15:23 25:19 41:13 really 39:2 reason 16:7,23 23:11 25:5 40:10 reassigned 3:25 received 4:21 record 41:7 records 29:13 38:1 recusal 3:12 4:5 recused 5:24 recuses 3:24 **reduced** 26:19 referred 11:20 39:22 referring 39:15

regarding 37:1 reissued 31:6 related 20:17 40:7relates 19:8 40:10**relative** 42:9,11 relatively 9:12 relief 4:12 32:16 remember 20:24 28:10**REMEMBERED** 3:1 removal 5:21 16:20 remove 7:6 35:2,13 **removed** 28:24 re-notice 39:10,16 **report** 4:21,24 6:3 7:12 9:12 **reporter** 42:1,16 represent 25:23 32:13 representative 2:3 26:14,15 34:8 38:19 represented 6:6 representing 9:6 represents 26:7 reproduction 42:15 **request** 28:9,11 38:5 requested 32:8 **reserve** 27:8 **reset** 38:5 resolution 15:15 **resolve** 7:7 8:11 13:24 15:3 22:8 resolved 4:25 8:9 9:11 15:21 16:1,9 17:16 25:5 29:23 resolving 8:19 second 28:7 31:8

regard 34:11

37:17 40:2

14:1 17:1,4,11 **respect** 26:21,22 respectfully 3:19 response 12:24 responsibility 37:20 rest 22:22 41:16 retain 32:15 retract 34:4 **return** 14:24 33:12 road 23:16 role 16:5 room 27:7,8 Rose 2:16 5:1,4,16 6:13 7:17 9:22 10:5,8,18,23 11:15,18,22,24 12:4,9,12,15,22 13:5 15:12,19 18:16,18 22:11,15,21 23:2,5,19 25:12 28:15 32:6 36:10,15 41:7 roughly 39:9 **rude** 10:21 **ruling** 31:24 run 26:16 runs 13:20 S **sale** 36:19 **savvy** 39:5 scanned 31:17 scheduled 39:14 41:17 screen 11:8 38:14 scrolled 39:7 scrolling 11:11 39:6 **seat** 4:14 seated 11:9 16:13 21:17 22:1

Page 8 of 9

18:16 25:15

38:20 seeking 32:23 seems 10:14 sell 9:16 send 27:9 sending 36:17 **sent** 38:7 39:14 separate 5:22 17:20 26:18 37:7 separately 12:8 36:4 September 1:18 3:6 33:23 42:8 **sequence** 15:22 served 41:5 serving 21:8 sets 37:2 39:16 setting 16:8 38:2 41:19 settlement 40:5,11,16,20,2 2 several 7:13 20:8 23:4,24 severed 8:7 10:3 12:6,9 19:22 22:12 36:3 severing 15:16 18:10SHENDELL 2:20 Shirley 5:19 7:22,23 13:3,10 17:17,21 18:20 22:23 25:9 31:12 36:5 38:23 **Shirley's** 35:20 shopping 3:24 shorter 33:8 sidetracked 19:25 significant 18:2 silently 15:9 similar 6:17 **Simon** 1:5 2:11 3:8 5:9,20,21 7:18,20,24 13:9 16:17,18

17:19,20,23,25 18:4,7,12 19:8,16 20:11 22:25 30:23,24 31:12 34:8 37:1 40:8 **Simon's** 35:19 **simple** 19:12 27:4 simplify 15:2 single 10:1 sir 11:22 12:4 14:12,14 15:19 20:6 **sit** 15:9 **sitting** 27:20 **slows** 29:8 **small** 9:12 **smart** 14:9 **sole** 7:19,22 **somebody** 3:12,24 4:2,11,15 24:6,17 41:12 somebody's 29:24 somehow 22:7 someone 6:12 26:12 somewhere 22:10 sooner 14:6 **sorry** 10:8 17:6 27:2 31:3 sort 5:25 6:17 39:10 **sought** 32:16 **soul** 7:20 source 40:19 **south** 3:19 Spallina 2:19 28:24 **speak** 5:2 9:6 25:14 40:17 **special** 37:24 specialty 13:25 specifically 4:5 12:22 **speed** 4:23 **spend** 41:16

spending 9:18 standing 7:6 8:16,17,20 17:12 18:22 19:20 26:20 Stansbury 2:7 3:16 16:15,20 18:6 25:8 26:9,11,15 36:25 37:6,18 39:17 40:6,11,12,21 Stansbury's 40:3 **start** 24:2 started 4:1,2 21:22 35:12 starts 12:2 State 3:5 statement 11:6 status 4:21 5:7 6:3 7:12 8:13 stay 22:5,24 stayed 8:8 12:9 19:21 22:18 stays 22:22 **step** 4:18 36:17 stick 27:11 **stop** 13:13,15 22:1 Street 2:13 striking 36:23 Stuart 35:2 stuff 8:12 10:10 13:25 33:25 34:19 **stupid** 14:18,19 subject 32:9 35:18 subsequent 40:22 successfully 21:13 successor 16:21 18:7 34:7 35:3 suggest 32:9 suggesting 17:15 **Suite** 2:9,17,21 supposed 19:23 25:20 **sure** 14:20,22

28:1 31:23 40:18 41:15 T **tables** 16:13 taking 7:8 29:21 talk 14:7 15:9 talking 10:16 15:6,7,10 41:16 tech 39:5 technically 5:6,18 **Ted** 2:15 11:17 16:20 18:6 20:12,15 21:8 24:2 35:13 Ted's 21:11 ten 7:21 38:3,8 39:9 terms 34:9 Tescher 2:19 28:23 testamentary 15:18 Thank 21:17 36:21 41:21 Thanks 33:14 41:18 that's 4:7,13 7:16 8:10,23 9:13,22 14:1,2 15:4 16:1 17:13,14,17 18:1,2 19:17 20:4,22 23:2 24:3,9 26:8,24 27:5,10,16 29:21 31:14 32:16 33:21 34:9 35:14,17 36:1,5 37:7,22 38:13,16,23 39:3 41:10 themselves 3:24 7:17 8:14 Theodore 35:2 therefore 26:20

there's 4:12

5:12,18 6:20

18:21 19:5

14:4 15:25 16:7

23:11 24:16,19

Page 9 of 9

29:22 30:2 33:3 39:4 23:13 40:14 36:22 40:1,13 Tuesday 3:6 whole 5:5 they're 14:16 who's 24:7 19:14 20:15 U 21:9 wife 5:12 ultimately 25:24 William 2:7 3:15 thousands 8:18 uncomfortable 16:15 26:9,10 timely 39:12 15:1 36:25 37:6 tiny 20:24 39:17 understand 3:20 4:6 15:3 title 29:10 wit 3:6 33:5,8 understanding work 41:19 6:13 today 8:24 13:10 world 35:22 17:19 38:17 **unduly** 19:17 wrestle 14:3 today's 29:18 universe 34:9 wrestling 13:25 tomorrow 38:4 **unless** 41:12 write 27:6 42:15 ton 34:23 wrong 4:10 **upon** 19:3 towards 29:23 Trail 2:21 Y v transcript yet 6:15 valid 19:14 42:4,6 11:4,7,12 13:16 24:3,7 15:7 28:16 33:5 trial 13:7 16:8 validity 7:3 8:5 20:3 23:12 you've 20:2 23:3 10:2 15:17 25:2,5 27:1,9 18:11,19 41:19 19:6,7,8 20:4 tried 28:25 36:4 21:4,11 22:8 25:4 26:21 trifocals 31:5 various 26:23 true 22:5 42:6 verifying 3:25 **trust** 5:17,20 7:20,23 8:3 **view** 22:15 10:3 17:18,21,22,23 W 18:1,5,7,18,21 weeks 7:9 22:23 25:25 32:23 38:21,24 Welcome 35:21,23 **trustee** 16:21 we'll 4:18 8:17 17:3 18:7,14 14:8 23:15 20:12,16 21:8,9 41:20 24:3 35:3 we're 3:8 4:15 trustees 4:21 6:4,21 16:18 15:13 19:22 28:2 33:24 trustee's 7:12 35:13,18,24 17:11 39:8 trusts 5:21 West 2:5,13,17 truthful 27:5 3:19 **try** 6:7 14:21,22 we've 5:7 19:23 23:17,20 9:16,17 41:14 34:5 whatever 14:25 trying 9:16 11:8 15:1 36:19 19:15 21:2,4 Whereupon 41:24 22:4 30:13 31:7 35:25 37:14 whether 6:4

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK & MARSAA 561/833.7811

17:5,12 19:16