IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

 PROBATE DIVISION

 CASE NO.: 502014CP002815XXXXNB

OPPENHEIMER TRUST COMPANY

OF DELAWARE, in its capacity as

Resigned Trustee of the Simon Bernstein

Irrevocable Trusts created for the benefit

of Joshua, Jake and Daniel Bernstein,

 Petitioner, Objections to Proposed Order of

Oppenheimer and Proposed

Order

vs.

ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN,

in their capacity as parents and natural

guardians of JOSHUA, JAKE AND

DANIEL BERNSTEIN, minors,

 Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/

**OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ORDER OF OPPENHEIMER / STEVEN LESSNE ESQ. PROPOSED “ORDER APPOINTING GUARDIAN AD LITEM FOR MINORS, JOSHUA, JAKE AND DANIEL BERNSTEIN” AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ORDER**

**OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED OPPENHEIMER / LESSNE PROPOSED ORDER**

1. I, Eliot Ivan Bernstein, OBJECT AND DO NOT CONSENT TO A SINGLE WORD IN THE PROPOSED ORDER AND BELIEVE IT IS PART OF AN ONGOING FRAUD ON AND BY THIS COURT BY STEVEN LESSNE, ALAN ROSE, TED BERNSTEIN, ROBERT SPALLINA, DONALD TESCHER, JUDGE MARTIN COLIN, JUDGE DAVID E FRENCH AND JUDGE JOHN PHILLIPS et al. as stated in prior pleadings to this Court.
2. THE ORDER WAS DRAFTED PRIOR TO THE HEARING BY STEVEN LESSNE AND NOT SHOWN TO ELIOT UNTIL AFTER LESSNE GAVE IT TO JUDGE PHILLIPS AT THE END OF THE HEARING THUS IT CANNOT ACCURATELY REFLECT THE RECORD AND WAS PREFABRICATED WHOLLY PRIOR AND ELIOT OBJECTS AS IT CANNOT REFLECT THE TRUE RECORD WHERE NO RECORD WAS MADE OF THIS HEARING.
3. The Hearing was improperly conducted since no electronic recording of the hearing took place and Guardianship Hearings should be designated as “GA” cases and subject to mandatory Electronic Recording according to the Court Reporting Services Department of the 15th Judicial Circuit and several clerks contacted. See, <http://15thcircuit.co.palm-beach.fl.us/web/guest/court-reporters>
4. That Chief Administrative Judge Colbrath’s Judicial Assistant Diana Grant suggested this matter should be Noticed back for a Hearing since no Electronic Record and did confirm Judge Phillips was Administrative Judge in the North Branch.
5. As Administrative Judge in the North Branch, it is presumed Judge Phillips knew and should have known the type of hearing he was conducting and took proper Judicial steps to ensure a proper Hearing record on such important issues as Guardianship and Eliot Bernstein requested a court reporter when he discovered that Alan Rose and Ted Bernstein took no steps to have one present at their GAL hearing and was denied the ability to find one or get stay.
6. The Court is requested to Disqualify on its own motion or Order new Hearings.
7. There is thus no record of the Hearings for the Court to resolve any issues in the proposed Order.
8. According to one of many witnesses at the Courthouse on Feb. 25, 2016, Alan Rose, Ted Bernstein and Steven Lessne were observed entering the Courtroom on Feb. 25, 2016 for the Hearing before Judge Phillips from at or around the Chambers of Judge Phillips where these parties ultimately produced a Pre-Prepared Order in Advance of any “Hearing” which was not electronically recorded nor any Stenographer present.
9. Eliot Bernstein and his wife Candice Bernstein are fully capable, competent, educated parents of their minor children and there is no basis in law or fact for a guardianship as both parents are fully capable of making proper determinations for the minor children herein and protect their best interests (SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT B - STATEMENT OF CREDITOR WILLIAM STANSBURY IN SUPPORT OF ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN).
10. Eliot Bernstein and Candice Bernstein have already been wrongfully subjected to a Child Protective Services Hotline investigation on or about May 2015 and which resulted in an Un-founded basis for action with witnesses claiming it appeared to be a retaliation by those involved in the lawsuits before this Court. The complaint was dismissed as wholly baseless after a month long thorough investigation by CPS. The complaint allegations are similar to those allegations alleged in these proceedings and where witnesses contacted by Family Services stated that the complaint appeared to be in retaliation and in reference to Eliot’s whistleblowing and exposure of fraud on the court, fraudulent documents, forgeries and more committed in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein by Oppenheimer’s retained counsel in these matters, Robert Spallina, Esq. and Donald Tescher, Esq. whose firm deposited such fraudulent documents in the court and have admitted to fraudulently creating a Shirley Bernstein Trust document and sending it to Eliot’s children’s counsel in these matters via mail, as admitted by Robert Spallina, Esq. in a December 12, 2015 Hearing in the Shirley Trust case. The claims of document fraud are ripe in Eliot’s Counter Complaint and new evidence suggests there are new trust documents found in this matter by a one Alan B. Rose, Esq. that were improperly removed with no writ of possession by him from the Estate of Simon Bernstein, whose property is under the custody of Brian O’Connell, Esq. who replaced Tescher and Spallina who resigned after admitting to fraud and more and THESE NEWLY DISCOVERED DISPOSITIVE DOCUMENTS ARE ALLEGEDLY SIGNED in places the filed trust documents in this case are not and thus there are now dispositive documents missing from this record which may impact the hearings.
11. Eliot Bernstein and Candice Bernstein have already undergone a Guardianship Hearing before Judge Colin where Guardianship was Denied, See Exhibit A – Existing Order, and is and should remain as the law of the case. The reference in the Order to at a later time a hearing being scheduled to address any Guardian issue was specifically stated by Judge Colin at the hearing to not include Oppenheimer as the filer since they were determined to have no standing by Order to bring any future action, including this action and were limited to trying to find a Successor Trustee and an accounting hearing for a final accounting. This filing was filed by a party with no standing, Mr. Lessne and Oppenheimer, other than both as Counter Defendants in the stayed Counter Complaint and who through continued sharp practices is now trying to gain a predatory guardianship to silence Eliot and Candice from exposing fraud in the case as alleged in the Counter Complaint.
12. No change of circumstances or facts have been shown to support this Petition by Steven Lessne which should be deemed abusive and sharp legal process practices by these attorneys and dismissed.
13. Eliot Bernstein’s actions in exposing fraud in the courts and amongst attorneys should be applauded, not sanctioned as should Eliot and Candice Bernstein be applauded for teaching their children to seek Truth and Justice.
14. That the Attached Statement of William Stansbury is in further support of Eliot and Candice Bernstein.
15. As it was already determined in these proceedings Oppenheimer as a resigned Trustee has NO STANDING to move the Court and was allowed a chance to provide an accounting and hearing but in no other way move the Court on behalf of the trusts and this violation obstructs justice in efforts to deny Eliot due process through continued sharp practices.
16. Again it was determined that a resigned trustee has no standing to move the Court in any pleading.
17. That Lessne has claimed that Eliot is conflicted with his children but all references cited in his motion to claim conflicts in this matter are in reference to other dispositive documents in the Shirley and Simon Estates and Trusts and not one reference is made to these trusts in the instant lawsuit and this sharp practice is intended to mislead this court.
18. The inheritances have been dissipated already by the breaches of fiduciary duties outlined in the Counter Complaint and thus there is nothing left and Oppenheimer is the cause of this whole debacle including resigning prior to finding a Corporate Successor as required by the language of the trust and thrusting this whole situation to the Court when they began to panic that Robert Spallina who directed the use of these trust funds improperly by Oppenheimer was under investigation, his legal assistant and notary public was arrested for fraudulent notarizations of six parties, including a deceased Simon and was under investigation for other crimes, including those involving these Unsigned Oppenheimer Trusts they used to file this Complaint that are incomplete and in some instances entirely missing signature pages. It should be noted that at trial Eliot introduced Evidence that showed that Alan B. Rose, Esq. had entered Simon Bernstein’s home and removed documents relating to these trusts from the property which was under the custody of Brian O’Connell involving several alleged Dispositive Documents for Simon and Shirley and these children’s trust, that he illegally removed from the premises thereby disturbing the chain of custody in the documents and becoming a material and fact witness who was questioned at a hearing held the same day in the Shirley Trust case and these newly discovered documents it was learned in court had not been tendered to this Court prior to the hearing and the Complaint has not been amended or sought to be amended to add the documents to the complaint to supersede the prior documents the case is based upon. The case must now be refiled to reflect these alleged new documents that will need to be forensically examined once they are submitted by Lessne and Rose to this Court as the documents are not identical as learned in Court. Eliot’s counsel Candice Schwager, Esq. has requested the trust documents from Mr. Rose who refused to communicate or tender them to her unless she is admitted Pro Hac Vice into the cases and where she needs the documents to enter. In this case if there were any conflicts making Eliot conflicted or causing the need for independent counsel for his children Mr. Rose would have to turn over documents for review prior to any counsel or predatory guardian they are seeking being implemented. Instead of giving Schwager the documents necessary Lessne, working in conspire with Rose have instead chosen to refuse her the documents and instead try to gain a predatory guardian on the children to control them and harass and extort the Bernstein’s . See Exhibit – Rose Letter to Schwager. This Court has also been made aware of this problem that Rose refuses to turn over the documents and Eliot sought a stay to get counsel prior to holding hearings where the minors were unrepresented and this Court refused to grant such stay for counsel and instead attempts to gain a predatory guardianship in order to retaliate against Eliot for exposing fraud, fraud on the Court, fraud by the Court and forgery and fraudulent notarizations in documents in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, PROVEN and FURTHER ALLEGED CRIMES. These are factual realities of the case that Eliot and his beautiful wife Candice have exposed, proven and more already in these cases. This guardianship attempt is highly suspect as nothing more than further harassment and extortion as alleged in the Counter Complaint.
19. Eliot was never adjudicated a vexatious litigant and no proof or witness was brought up at trial of such.
20. The Order for accounting replies was not complied with as Judge Colin had ordered that all filings had to be faxed to him for approval first and he recused and Eliot did not know what to do to comply as stated on the record that was not created at the hearing on February 25, 2016
21. None of the reasons set forth by Oppenheimer represent any reason for a Guardian ad Litem in this case requested by a party with no legal standing to move on behalf of the trusts as it mostly states that Eliot is on a crusade to clean up the court system and remove attorneys and judges who act outside the color of law and Eliot has already in these related matters already had arrests made and found PROVEN AND ADMITTED FORGERY, FRAUDULENT NOTARIZATIONS, FRAUD ON THE COURT, FRAUD ON BENEFICIARIES, FRAUDULENT CREATION OF A SHIRLEY TRUST DOCUMENT and more in these matters. This Predatory Guardian pleading is in efforts to shut down Eliot from exposing and having prosecuted further Fraud in the case, which is currently under investigation.
22. Eliot has stated clearly who he thinks is directly involved in the crimes and has filed multiple criminal complaints against these parties, including some with Creditor William Stansbury and others Stansbury filed alone, both state and federal, including against Lessne and Eliot is also laser specific in who he is alleging as part of the ensuing cover up of the Fraud on the Court and now Fraud by the Court, including now Judge John Phillips and the other attorneys and fiduciaries already involved in proven and admitted felony crimes in related matters. In fact, in the February 25, 2016 hearing Judge Phillips was given a Federal Complaint filing to Judge John Robert Blakey whereby Alan Rose presented into evidence Exhibit A of the complaint, SEE EXHIBIT – MOTION FOR INJUNCTION etc.
23. No evidence or witnesses to support any of the false contentions contained in this Prefabricated PreTrial Order that was prepared prior to hearing and thus cannot reflect the record supports any need for guardians in these matters.
24. Mr. Lessne again knowing he has no standing to move this Court attempts to move the court and should be sanctioned for this repeated attempt to move the court lacking standing other than the allowed accounting. Mr Lessne failed to secure a successor trustee after resigning first and this was because his client Oppenheimer bled the trust dry on the command of Robert Spallina who had nothing to do with these trusts and where Oppenheimer was supposed to have the Estate and Trusts replenish the funds when they were used and when they requested Spallina replenish the trusts as he claimed he would when directing Oppenheimer to misuse funds of trusts he had nothing to do with, Oppenheimer, knowing Spallina was under investigation for the fraud and forgeries abdicated their duties as fiduciaries and instead chose this suit after resigning and before finding successors and thus all costs and damages should be billed directly by this court to them for this sham filing without legal standing.
25. No evidence or witnesses were presented in support of these claims at the hearing and there is no legal basis for this removal and any attempt to remove the Counter Complaint of which MR. LESSNE is a SERVED COUNTER DEFENDANT in need of counsel has already been argued in this case and it was determined that it was stayed until after the accounting hearing at which point Mr. Lessne’s involvement is finished.
26. Eliot states any attempt by this Court to impose a Guardian will be reported as further retaliation and further extortive and abusive abuse of process by all those involved in any such predatory Guardian.
27. Mr. Lessne is aware his role in this case other than as a SERVED COUNTER DEFENDANT are over when he resigned and any interface with any party on behalf of the trusts would be further FRAUD ON THE COURT in addition to those already presented in the Counter Complaint.
28. Again, any action Mr. Lessne takes other than finishing the accounting with Eliot and Candice who were deemed qualified by Judge Colin, SEE ORDER, is further FRAUD ON THE COURT AND FRAUD ON THE BENEFICIARIES and will be duly reported against all parties involved in any such communications with Mr. Lessne and a Successor of any sort.
29. Eliot states regarding the contempt charge that this was discussed in the hearing and it was explained that Eliot was more than happy to comply with the request but Judge Colin ordered that all filing had to be faxed to his chambers before filing and at the time they were due he recused one day after denying a Petition for Disqualification alleging both FRAUD ON THE COURT AND FRAUD BY THE COURT, including FRAUD in this case and thus once this Court determines where Eliot is to send the responses he will be happy to be comply. Also, since new documents that form the basis for the complaint are believed to exist according to Rose and Lessne who have refused to submit them to the Court or Eliot’s retained counsel and therefore they may wholly alter these proceedings and must be presented and reviewed as they may change answers in the accountings and in fact already do as they were not in possession of the trustee when they operated the trust and the terms and other changes may be in there and thus must be submitted to the Court first for Eliot to review and the case refiled or amended based upon them.
30. THE COUNTER DEFENDANTS INCLUDING MR LESSNE AND ROSE HAVE NOT BEEN SERVED.
31. That until newly discovered Dispositive documents are submitted to this Court and determination made of the changes and impact on these proceedings, Mr. Lessne should be restrained from any other activities as the terms and conditions and signatures must all now be evaluated prior to proceeding.

WHEREFORE, the proposed Order of Ted Bernstein is Objected to in entirety herein and should be stricken and sanctions imposed for reasons so stated herein regarding this attempt to gain a Predatory Guardianship and an Alternate Order submitted.

Dated: March 01, 2016

/s/Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

2753 NW 34th St

Boca Raton, FL 33434 561-245-8588

iviewit@iviewit.tv

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished to parties listed on attached Service List by E-mail Electronic Transmission; Court ECF; this 1st day of March, 2016.

/s/Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

2753 NW 34th St

Boca Raton, FL 33434

561-245-8588

iviewit@iviewit.tv

**SERVICE LIST**

**EXHIBIT A – EXISTING ORDER RE GUARDIAN AD LITEM**

**EXHIBIT B - STATEMENT OF WILLIAM STANSBURY REGARDING GUARDIAN HEARING**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

 PROBATE DIVISION

 CASE NO.: 502014CP002815XXXXNB

OPPENHEIMER TRUST COMPANY

OF DELAWARE, in its capacity as

Resigned Trustee of the Simon Bernstein

Irrevocable Trusts created for the benefit

of Joshua, Jake and Daniel Bernstein,

 Petitioner,

vs. ALTERNATE PROPOSED ORDER

ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN,

in their capacity as parents and natural

guardians of JOSHUA, JAKE AND

DANIEL BERNSTEIN, minors,

 Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/

**PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ORDER**

THIS CAUSE came before the Court at an evidentiary hearing held on February 25, 2016 upon the “Omnibus Motion (I) To Appoint A Guardian Ad Litem For The Minor Beneficiaries Of The "Grandchildren Trusts;" (II) To Hold Eliot And Candice Bernstein In Contempt Of Court For Their Continued Violation Of A Court Order And Repeated Statements Assaulting The Dignity Of The Court: And (III) To Establish A Schedule And Protocol For Accounting And Turnover Proceedings (the "Motion")” filed by Petitioner, Oppenheimer Trust Company Of Delaware ("Oppenheimer"), in its capacity as the **resigned trustee** of three Irrevocable Trusts settled by Simon Bernstein on September 7, 2006 for the benefit of his grandchildren, minors, Joshua, Jake and Daniel Bernstein (the "Grandchildren Trusts"). The proceeding being defective as it was not Electronically recorded or a Stenographer provided rendering the proceedings defective but whereby the Court otherwise determines having not reviewed the record as one was not created and being otherwise duly advised in the premises, the Court rules as follows:

1. There is No Record of the proceedings and the matter should be dismissed and further action to bring such a petition stayed until after other proceedings herein
2. That Eliot Bernstein and his wife Candice Bernstein are fully capable, competent, educated parents of their minor children and there is no basis in law or fact for a guardianship as both parents are fully capable of making proper determinations for the minor children herein and protect their best interests.
3. That Case Management for a Complex case was necessary before and is necessary now and should be ordered.
4. That a schedule for full outstanding Discovery compliance should be determined and Discovery hearings conducted for missing, lost, destroyed and withheld discovery.
5. Oppenheimer Trust Co is the resigned Trustee of three trusts created in 2006 for the benefit of three of Simon Bernstein’s grandchildren, Joshua, Jacob and Daniel. Eliot and Candice Bernstein (The Bernstein’s) were sued in this matter as Parents and Natural Guardians for their minor children and have answered the complaints as such, PRO SE.
6. No evidence has been brought forward to support the allegations that The Bernstein’s have any conflict of interest or evidence that they have ever claimed to have any beneficial interest in the three named trusts in this case. References to paragraphs contained herein refer to OTHER trusts where due to fraudulent alterations of trust documents there is now questions and trust construction that needs to still be addressed as to who the proper beneficiaries and permissible appointees are of Simon and Shirley’s Estates and Trusts, NOT the three trusts that Oppenheimer is the Resigned Trustee of.
7. See PP 44-50, 52-60, 65, 109-110, 186 and 253 as referenced in Oppenheimers proposed order that have no bearing or merit in this action.
8. Statements about the Bernstein’s having an overarching goal in this litigation and agenda is unfounded and untrue. The Bernstein’s goal is to seek the truth that has arisen from questionable actions of Oppenheimer at the direction of Simon Bernstein’s prior Personal Representative and Trustee Robert Spallina, who was once a licensed Florida Bar Attorney. As of this date he is ineligible to practice law in the State of Florida due to admitted Fraud and SEC Violations. In addition, Oppenheimer has multiple FINRA reports citing fraud and financial abuse and it is the Bernstein’s intentions to uncover the truth and ask the court to determine if the damages that have occurred to their minor children are due to Oppenheimer’s actions and/or the prior fiduciaries.
9. Any allegations that Eliot Bernstein is a vexatious litigant were not supported with evidence or facts at the hearing and are unfounded and untrue. Any reference to a prior case in New York resulting from business and patent litigation has no bearing on litigation regarding probate matters and family law is exempt from vexatious litigation allegations.
10. Allegations that the Bernstein’s representations of their children’s interests are inadequate or inappropriate are statements and allegations that cannot and were not supported by evidence, therefore are unfounded and untrue.
11. All filings by the Bernstein’s have been filed as Parents and Natural Guardians on behalf of their children AND THEY HAVE NEVER CLAIMED TO BENEFICIARIES OF THESE TRUST IN THIS CASE AND THUS NO CONFLICT HAS EVER EXISTED AS MISREPRESENTED TO THIS COURT BY LESSNE and are not stricken as there is no personal claim made by the Bernstein’s to any benefit of the three trusts created in 2006 solely for their children and this was continued sharp practices by Lessne to move this Court and this Court further sanctions Mr. Lessne for this attempt to mislead the Court.
12. The Bernstein’s shall have 45 days to file a response to Oppenheimer’s Petition for the additional Objections from the date the newly found Dispositive Documents that are trusts that form the basis of this lawsuit, which were discovered by Alan B. Rose, Esq. and removed without authorization from Simon Bernstein estate property with no Writ of Possession and admitted in Rose’s possession, are turned over to this Court. The secreting of dispositive documents necessary to the accounting as they are referenced throughout that allegedly supersede the trusts in the record cause any Objections to Accountings due or claim of violation of previous orders by a former recused Judge moot as they were based on different documents than are now known to exist.
13. The Court Orders that these newly discovered dispositive trust documents that were not sent by the trustees to the beneficiaries and are materially different according to Alan B. Rose, Esq. testimony before the Court on February 25, 2016 regarding his improper and illegal removal of Simon Estate Properties including but not limited to the 3 children’s trusts.

DONE and ORDERED in Chambers, North County Courthouse in Palm Beach Gardens,

Florida, on this 1st day of March, 2016.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS

 Circuit Court Judge

Copies to: Attached Service List

**SERVICE LIST**