IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB

TED BERNSTEIN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS VOLUME 1 PAGES 1 - 114

Tuesday, December 15, 2015
North County Courthouse
Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
9:43 a.m. - 4:48 p.m.

Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198 - VOL 1

2	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE
4	MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
5	505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
6	Phone: 561.655.2250 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
7	E mail. Aloseemiachen law.com
8	On behalf of the Defendant:
9	ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
10	2753 NW 34th Street  Boca Raton, Florida 33434
11	Phone: 561.245.8588 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12	I mail Iviewiesiviewiev
13	On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein:
14	JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE
14 15	JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street
	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
15	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213
15 16	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17 18	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17 18 19	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17 18 19 20	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866

1			
2		I N D E X	
3			
4			
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RE	CROSS
6	ROBERT SPALLINA		
7	BY MR. ROSE: BY MR. MORRISSEY:		
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	91	
9			
10			
11			
12		EXHIBITS	
13			
14			
15	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
16	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 1 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 2	COPY OF SHIRLEY'S WILL SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST	34 62
17		AGREEMENT	
18	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 3	BERNSTEIN'S TRUST	
19	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 4 PLAINTIFF'S EX. 5		70 72
20	I MATINITI D DAY. 3	AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT	, 2
20	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 7	_	20
21	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 9		
	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 10	MEETING NOTES	14
22	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 11	4/19/08 LETTER	27
	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 13	NOTES	46
23	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 14		
	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 15		64
24		DURABLE POWER OF ATTORNEY	
	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 17	LETTER	73
25			

1	
1	
2	
3	EXHIBITS (cont'd)
4	
5	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 18 DEATH CERTIFICATE 74
6	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 40A-F GREENWALD DOCUMENTS 17
7	
8	DEFENDANT'S EX. 1 FIRST AMENDMENT TO SHIRLEY 102 BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	THE COURT: We're here on the Bernstein case.
4	Everybody ready to go?
5	MR. ROSE: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes.
6	Alan Rose on behalf of the plaintiff, Ted S.
7	Bernstein, as successor trustee.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. ROSE: And with me is my partner, Greg
10	Weiss. May not be for the whole trial, but he is
11	with us for the beginning.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Well, great. Thanks for
13	coming.
14	And who's on the other side?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se, sir.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to have
17	any counsel? Who's with you at the table?
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's my lovely wife,
19	Candice.
20	THE COURT: All right. And why are you at the
21	table?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's one of the questions I
23	would like to address. I'm here individually.
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued individually.

1 But I'm also here on behalf, supposedly, of my 2 minor children, who aren't represented by counsel. 3 And I'm sued as a trustee of a trust that I've 4 never possessed. 5 THE COURT: Are you asking me a question? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: What's the question? MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are being 8 9 sued. 10 THE COURT: What's the question? 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: And I was sued as their 12 trustee, but I'm --13 THE COURT: Stop, please. 14 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: I would love to talk with you all 16 day --17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 18 THE COURT: -- but we're not going to have 19 that happen. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: This is not a conversation. This 22 is a trial. So my question is, What is your 23 question? You said you had a question. 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: I tried to get counsel for my 25 children who was willing to make a pro hoc vice --

```
1
          THE COURT: When will you ask me the question?
    Because this is all --
 2
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like to stay the
 4
    proceeding.
          THE COURT: Okay. The request for a
    continuance is denied. Thank you.
6
7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Have you read the filing I
8
     filed? Because my children are minor --
9
          THE COURT: Was that your question?
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, my children are
11
    minors --
12
          THE COURT: Please stop.
13
         MR. BERNSTEIN: -- and they're not represented
14
    here.
15
          THE COURT: What is your name again, sir?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein.
16
17
          THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I'll be
18
    courteous, unless it doesn't work; then I'll be
19
    more direct and more aggressive in enforcing the
    rules that I follow when I conduct trials.
20
21
          I've asked you several times if you had
22
    questions. You finally asked me one, and it was,
23
    Did you read my filing? No, I did not. You asked
24
     for a continuance. I have denied that because it's
25
    untimely.
```

1	Now I'm turning back to the plaintiff, and
2	we're going forward with this trial. That is one
3	day set on my docket. We're going to have this
4	trial done by the end of the day. You'll have half
5	the time to use as you see fit; so will the other
6	side. I'll not care if you waste it, but I'll not
7	participate in that. Thank you.
8	Now, from the plaintiff's side, what is it
9	that the Court is being asked to decide today?
10	MR. ROSE: Before I answer, could
11	Mr. Morrissey make an appearance, sir?
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. MORRISSEY: Yes, I'm here on behalf of
14	four of the defendants, Judge, four adult
15	grandchildren, Alexandra Bernstein, Eric Bernstein
16	Michael Bernstein and Molly Simon, all of whom have
17	joined in the plaintiff's complaint today.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Last time I'll ask this
19	question of the plaintiff. What is it that I'm
20	asked to decide today?
21	MR. ROSE: We are asking you to decide whether
22	five testamentary documents are valid, authentic
23	and enforceable. And that is set forth in count
24	two of the amended complaint in this action. The
25	five documents are a 2008 will of Shirley

1 Bernstein, a 2008 trust of Shirley Bernstein, and 2 an amendment by Shirley Bernstein to her 2008 3 trust. THE COURT: When was the amendment? Amendment was in November of 2008. MR. ROSE: THE COURT: All right. So there's also a 2008 6 7 amendment? MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. In fact, I have a -- I 8 don't know if you can read it, but I did put up 10 here on the -- there are seven testamentary 11 documents. We believe five of them to be valid and 12 operative, and two of them to have been with --13 revoked by later documents. So for Shirley, there are three documents that 14 15 count two seeks you to determine are valid, 16 authentic and enforceable according to their terms. And for Simon Bernstein, he has a 2012 will, 17 18 and a 2012 amended and restated trust agreement. 19 And we're asking that these five documents be 20 validated today. There also is a 2008 will and trust that 21 22 you'll hear testimony were prepared, but have been 23 revoked and superseded by later documents. 24 THE COURT: Does everybody agree that Simon's 25 2008 will and trust are invalid or is there some

1	claim that they're valid?
2	MR. ROSE: I can't answer.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'll ask.
4	Are you claiming that the Simon Bernstein 2008
5	will or 2008 trust are valid, or do you agree that
6	they are invalid?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I individually disagree.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: And my children
10	THE COURT: I just wanted to know
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: aren't represented by
12	counsel, so they can't have an opinion
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: even though they're parties
15	to the case.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Like I say, you can waste
17	all your time you want. I won't object to it, but
18	I won't participate in it.
19	You can put on your first witness.
20	MR. ROSE: Thank you. Plaintiff will call
21	Robert Spallina.
22	Thereupon,
23	(ROBERT SPALLINA)
24	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
25	and testified as follows:

1	THE WITNESS: I do.
2	MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay.
4	MR. ROSE: Okay. I brought for Your Honor
5	would you like a book instead of the exhibits?
6	THE COURT: Nothing better than a huge book.
7	MR. ROSE: We may not use all of them, but
8	we'll adjust it later.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. ROSE: And then I was going to hand the
11	witness the original for the admission into the
12	court file as we go.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. ROSE: I have a book for Mr. Eliot
15	Bernstein.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. ROSE:
18	Q. Would you state your name for the record?
19	A. Robert Spallina.
20	Q. Did you know Simon and Shirley Bernstein,
21	Mr. Spallina?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And when did you first meet Simon and Shirley
24	Bernstein?
25	A. In 2007.

1	Q. What was your occupation at the time?
2	A. I was working as an estate planning attorney.
3	Q. With a law firm?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And what was the name of the law firm?
6	A. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Rubin, Ruffin and
7	Forman and Fleisher.
8	Q. And did Simon and Shirley Bernstein retain
9	your law firm?
10	A. Yes, they did.
11	Q. I'm going to approach with Exhibit No. 9
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. Ask if you'd identify that
13	document?
14	A. This was an intake sheet to open up the file,
15	dated November 16th of 2007.
16	Q. And the clients are Simon and Shirley
17	Bernstein?
18	A. The clients were Simon and Shirley Bernstein,
19	yes.
20	MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 9 into
21	evidence, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Any objection?
23	[No verbal response]
24	THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'll
25	receive that as Plaintiff's 19.

```
1
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was received into
     evidence.)
 2
 3
     BY MR. ROSE:
 4
          Q.
               Now, what was the purpose of Simon and Shirley
     Bernstein retaining your law firm?
          Α.
               They wanted to review and go over their
6
7
     existing estate planning and make changes to their
     documents.
8
               I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 10, and ask
 9
          Ο.
10
     you if you can identify for the record Exhibit 10.
11
          Α.
               These are meeting notes, my meeting notes,
12
     and -- and then partner Don Tescher's meeting notes from
13
     several different meetings that we had with Si and
14
     Shirley during the time following them retaining us as
15
     clients.
16
          Q.
               And is it your standard practice to take notes
17
     when you're meeting with clients?
18
          Α.
               Yes.
19
               And were these notes kept in your company's
          Q.
     files and were they produced with Bates stamp numbers?
20
21
          Α.
               Yes, they were.
2.2
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 10 into
23
          evidence, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Is there any objection to the
25
          exhibit?
```

1 [No verbal response]. THE COURT: No objection being stated, they'll 2 be received as Plaintiff's 10. 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was received into 4 evidence.) 6 BY MR. ROSE: 7 Now, for today's purposes, are those notes in chronological or reverse chronological order? 8 9 Α. This is reverse chronological order. Okay. Can you go to the bottom of the stack 10 Ο. 11 and start with the earliest notes. Do they reflect a date? 12 13 Α. Yes. 11/14/07. 14 And if you'd turn to the last page, is that 15 your partner's notes that are in evidence? 16 Α. Yes. We both would always take notes at the 17 meetings. 18 Q. And so the first -- was that the first meeting with Mr. Simon or Shirley Bernstein? 19 20 I believe so, yes. Α. 21 Now, before you met with Simon and Shirley Q. 2.2 Bernstein, did you have any prior relationship with 23 them? 24 No, we did not. Α. 25 Q. Did you personally know either of them before

1 that date? 2 Α. No, I did not. 3 Q. 11/14/2007. Okay. And if you'd just flip back to the client intake. I think that was dated November the 26th? It was two days later, 11/16. The file was 6 Α. 7 opened two days later. So file open. 8 Ο. Now, did you know in advance of the meeting 10 what they were coming in to talk about? 11 Α. Yeah. They were coming in to talk about their 12 estate planning. 13 And did they provide you in advance of the Ο. meeting with any of their prior estate planning 14 15 documents? I believe we had copies of documents. I don't 16 Α. 17 know if they provided them at that meeting or if they 18 provided them before for us to look at, or after, but I 19 know that there were existing documents that were in our 20 file. 21 Okay. Let me approach and hand you Q. 2.2 Exhibit 40A, which is -- bears Tescher Spallina Number 1. 23 24 Does that appear to be an envelope from

25

Stephen Greenwald --

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. -- directed to Simon Bernstein? 3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 Q. And copy of this was in your files when they were produced? Α. Yes. 6 7 And was Stephen Greenwald the prior lawyer Ο. that represented Simon and Shirley Bernstein, as far as 8 you know? 10 Yes. Yes, he was. Α. 11 I'm going to hand you Exhibit 40B, which is a Ο. 12 letter from Mr. Greenwald to Simon and Shirley Bernstein. 13 14 Is that also -- is that also provided in your 15 files? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Does it bear a Bates stamp of your law firm? Ο. 18 Α. Yes, it does. 19 Okay. And does Mr. Greenwald, in that letter, Q. disclose what he is sending to Simon --20 21 Mr. and Mrs. Simon L. Bernstein? 2.2 Α. Yes, he did. Their estate planning documents, 23 including their ancillary documents, their wills, their 24 trusts, health care powers, durable powers and living

25

wills.

1 Ο. And if -- I'll show you 40C, D, E and F, and ask if you can identify these as some of the documents 2 3 that were included with the letter from Mr. Greenwald? We have each of the first codicils to Α. Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein's wills, and we have each of their wills. 6 7 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 40A through F into evidence, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Any objection? 10 [No response.] 11 THE COURT: No objection being stated, I'm 12 going to receive this as Plaintiff's 40A through F. (Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 40A-F were received 13 into evidence.) 14 15 BY MR. ROSE: Within Exhibit 40, is there a will and a --16 Q. 17 for Simon and a will for Shirley? 18 Α. Yes, there is. 19 And could you tell the Court the date of those Q. documents? 20 21 Α. August 15, 2000. 2.2 THE COURT: Are both documents the same date? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. I just wanted 25 to make sure I don't get confused.

## BY MR. ROSE:

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- Q. Can you generally describe what the estate plan reflected in Exhibit 40 would be, who are the beneficiaries and what percentages?
- 5 A. Okay. Just give me a minute. I haven't seen 6 these in...

The plan under the documents -- and let me just make sure it's the same under both documents. The plan under the documents was to provide all the assets to the survivor of Shirley and Si, and that at the death of the survivor of the two of them, assets would pass to -- it appears to be Ted, Pam, Eliot, Jill and Sue and Lisa -- and Lisa. So it looks to be a typical estate plan; everything would pass to the survivor at the first death, and then at the second death everything to the children.

- Q. How many of the children under the 2000 documents?
- 19 A. This shows all five. The will shows all five.
  - Q. What page are you looking at?
- A. The first page of the will. Is this -- oh,
- no. That's just as to tangible personal property. I'm
- 23 | sorry.
- Q. That's okay. Are you on -- are you in Simon's
- 25 or Shirley's?

1 Α. I'm in -- on both documents, to make sure the 2 disposition was the same. 3 Ο. Okay. So on the page -- the first page, it talks under --4 It speaks to tangible personal property. Α. Split equally among the five children? 6 Q. 7 Α. Among the five children. Let me just stop you one second right there. 8 0. If you would, turn --10 MR. ROSE: This might help, Your Honor, if 11 you'd turn to Tab 7. It may be out of order. 12 Might be a good time just to go over the family 13 tree and let -- get everyone on the same page of... We prepared a chart, and I'm going to put 14 15 the -- it lists Simon and Shirley and the names of their children on the second line, and then under 16 17 each child with arrows, the names of the 18 grandchildren and which parents they belong to. 19 THE WITNESS: This looks accurate. MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 7 into 20 21 evidence, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Any objection? 23 [No response.] 24 THE COURT: No objection being stated, that's 25 in evidence as Plaintiff's 7.

```
1
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was received into
 2
     evidence.)
 3
     BY MR. ROSE:
               So under the 2000 documents, for personal
 4
          Ο.
 5
     property, it's split among the five children.
               And when you get to the residuary estate or
6
7
     the amount that was put into trusts, who are the
     beneficiaries?
8
               Again, at the death of the survivor of the two
          Α.
     of them, tangible personal property would go to the five
10
11
     children, and the residuary of the estate would go to
12
     four of the five children. It appears that Pam is cut
13
     out of these documents. And I recall that now, yes.
14
               Okay. So under the 2000 documents, Eliot
15
     Bernstein would get 25 percent of the residuary?
          Α.
16
               Correct.
17
               Now, if you look at page 5, it talks
18
     about -- page 5, near the top, it says "upon the death
19
     of my husband," then "the principal of his trust shall
20
     pass," and then the next sentence says "to the extent
21
     that said power of appointment -- oh, "and such shares
2.2
     equal or unequal and subject to such lawful trust terms
23
     and conditions as my husband shall by will appoint."
24
               Do you see what I'm talking about?
25
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
```

1 Q. That's a power of appointment? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Ο. And then it says, the next sentence, To the 4 extent the power of appointment is not effectively exercised, then it goes to the four of the five children? 6 7 Α. Correct. So under the 2000 documents, the survivor Ο. 8 would have the power to give it all to one? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And theoretically change it and give some to Ο. 12 Pam? 13 That's true, by the language of this document. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. So I'm just going to write. We have a 15 power of appointment, which we don't need to belabor, in favor of the survivor; and then if it's not exercised, 16 17 Eliot gets 25 percent, and three other siblings get the 18 balance? 19 Α. 25 percent each. 20 Ο. Okay. 21 Equal shares. Α. 2.2 O. Now, when Simon and Shirley came to you, did 23 they give you an indication whether they wanted to keep 24 in place the 2000 structure?

They wanted to change the dispositions

25

Α.

```
1
    under their documents.
 2
          Ο.
               Okay. So if we work through your notes now,
 3
     which are in evidence as Exhibit No. 10, the first
     meeting was November the 14th, 2007. You had a
     discussion about Simon's net worth -- Simon and
     Shirley's net worth, how much money they had at that
6
7
     time?
8
          Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 12
          Ο.
10
    before we --
11
               Do you recognize the handwriting on
     Exhibit 12?
12
13
          Α.
               No.
               Okay. I believe it's Simon Bernstein's
14
          Ο.
15
     statement of his net worth.
               But you have seen this document before?
16
17
               I don't recall.
          Α.
18
          Q.
               Okay. And you're not familiar with his
19
    handwriting to --
20
               No. Other than his signature.
          Α.
21
          Ο.
               That's fine.
2.2
               But during the discussion, did you discuss
     Simon's net worth?
23
24
               Yes. Both my partner and I.
          Α.
25
          Ο.
               And if I look at Mr. Tescher's notes, which
```

1 are a little easier to read, he lists the joint 2 brokerage account, some money for Simon, Simon, a 3 house -- the house appears to have a million dollar mortgage -- a condo, some miscellaneous and some life insurance. And he totals -- that totals to 13 million, and then he lists 5 million for 33 shares of the 6 7 company. Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes, I do. 10 Okay. So if I add up what Mr. Tescher wrote Ο. 11 in his notes, I get to about \$18 million. 12 And this is on November the 14th of '07, 13 around 18 million, but that includes life insurance? 14 Α. Yes, it does. 15 Okay. Now, did you meet with them -- how long Ο. 16 were these meetings with Simon and Shirley Bernstein? 17 They could be an hour; sometimes more. Α. 18 Q. Now, if we flip through your notes, does it 19 reflect a second meeting? 20 Yes, it does. Α. 21 And what's the date of the second meeting? Q. 2.2 Α. 12/19/07. 23 And do you have any -- I'm sorry. Ο. 24 Α. 12/19/07. 25 Q. Okay. And what's the -- let's just put all

1	the dates up here. That was the second meeting.
2	Are there notes from a third meeting?
3	A. The next meeting was January 31, '08.
4	Q. Okay. Is there a fourth meeting?
5	A. March 12 of '08.
6	Q. Now, just to put this in perspective, the
7	document that we are going to well, the document
8	that's been admitted into probate in this case is a will
9	of Shirley Bernstein that bears a date of May 20, 2008.
10	Does that sound consistent with your memory?
11	A. Yeah, it was clearly 2008.
12	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. Can you
13	turn that so we can see it?
14	THE WITNESS: Sure. Sorry.
15	THE COURT: Ma'am, you are not a party. You
16	are not an attorney. And you are not really
17	supposed to be sitting there. I'm letting you sit
18	there as a courtesy. If you ask for and inject
19	yourself any further in the proceeding than that,
20	I'll have to ask you to be seated in the gallery.
21	Do you understand?
22	MRS. CANDICE BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	BY MR. ROSE:
25	Q. So you have four meetings with Simon and

Shirley Bernstein.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

24

25

And did it take that long to go over what they wished to do with their estate planning documents?

- A. It was more of us, you know, trying to get a handle on everything that they had, the business, prior planning. From the first meeting to the March meeting, it was only a couple of months. The holidays were in there. So it wasn't uncommon for us to meet with a client more than once or twice when they had a sophisticated plan and asset schedule.
- O. At this time --
- 12 A. By the last meeting, we knew what we needed to do.
  - Q. And around this -- based on your notes, did Simon Bernstein believe he had a net worth all in of about 18 million when he met with you?
- 17 A. Yeah, it appears that way, 18, 19 million 18 dollars.
  - Q. And did he discuss at all with you that he was involved in a business at that time, an insurance business?
- 22 A. Yes.
  - Q. And did he give you an indication of how well the business was doing at around the times of these meetings between November 2007 and March or May of 2008?

1 Α. Yeah, the business was doing well at that 2 time. He was -- he was very optimistic about the future 3 of the business. Ο. Now, did you do any -- did you prepare any documents before the will was signed in May? Did you 6 prepare drafts of the documents? 7 Α. Yes, we did. We always prepare drafts of documents. 8 And did you share the drafts with Simon and Ο. Shirley? 10 11 Α. Yes, we did. 12 Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 11, and Ο. 13 ask if you can identify that for the record? This is a letter from our firm dated April 19 14 Α. 15 of 2008. It's transmitting the documents to the client, 16 with an explanation that they could follow, better than 17 reading their documents -- a summary of the documents. 18 Q. Is that a true and authentic copy of a 19 document that you created? 20 Yes, it appears to be. Α. 21 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 11 into 2.2 evidence, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Any objection? 24 [No response.] 25 THE COURT: All right. Then that's in

1 evidence as Plaintiff's 11. (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was received into 2 3 evidence.) BY MR. ROSE: And if I read Exhibit 11, the first three Ο. words say, "Enclosed are drafts of each of your wills 6 7 and revocable trusts, the children's family trust, each of your durable powers of attorney, designations of 8 health care surrogate and living wills, " correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 So about a month and 11 days before anything Ο. 12 was signed, documents were sent by Federal Express to 13 Simon and Shirley Bernstein? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And it appears to have gone to Simon's Ο. business? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Now, if you look at -- does your -- does your 19 letter, sort of in laymen's terms, rather than reading through the legalese of a will, explain what the estate 20 21 planning was under the documents that have yet to be 2.2 signed but that you were preparing? 23 Α. Yes, it does, as much as possible in laymen's 24 terms. 25 Q. Can you just give us a short -- well, the will

1 itself for both Simon and Shirley was a relatively 2 simple will that poured over into a revocable trust, one 3 for each? Yes, poured over wills for both. Α. And whoever died first would inherent the Ο. personal property? 6 7 Α. All tangible personal property under the will would pass to the survivor. 8 So assuming Simon survived Shirley, he would Ο. be the sole beneficiary of her estate? 10 11 Α. Correct. 12 And then any of her residuary would go into a Ο. 13 trust? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 And he, in fact, outlived Shirley? Q. He did. 16 Α. Okay. Now, if you go to the second page, at 17 Ο. 18 the top, you describe the will of Shirley Bernstein. 19 It's essentially identical to Si -- it says "Si." Just for the record, that's Simon shorthand? 20 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Si is the personal representative of Shirley's Ο. 23 estate, and Ted is designated as successor if Simon is 24 unable to serve. 25 That was what was in the document you sent in

April?

1

2

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. Yes. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And that provision remained in the final documents you signed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did Ted eventually become a successor personal representative upon Simon's death?
  - A. Yes, he did.
- 9 Q. Then you next start to talk about the Simon L.

  10 Bernstein trust agreement.
- 11 And theoretically, that was going to be the 12 primary testamentary document?
- 13 A. Correct, it was.
- 14 Q. And that's fairly standard?
  - A. Yes. When a client wants to avoid probate, we use a revocable trust to title assets in prior to death. Those assets remain confidential; they're not part of the court record. And the trust is also used to avoid the need for the appointment of a guardian in the event of incapacity, because there's a successor trustee mechanism.
  - Q. Okay. Now, under Simon's trust agreement, moving down to the third paragraph, under that heading, it says that both trusts provide for mandatory income distributions. And then the next sentence starts, "Upon

1 Shirley's death, she has been given a special power to appoint the remaining assets of both the marital trust 2 3 and the family trust to any of your lineal descendants and their spouses, a power to redirect and reallocate." 4 Do you see that? Α. Yes. 6 7 Now, is that consistent with the way the Ο. documents were intended to be drafted? 8 9 Α. Yes, it is. 10 And I guess it's sort of similar to what Ο. 11 existed in the 2000 wills? 12 Α. Typically, you give the survivor of the 13 spouse a power to appoint in the event that they want to change any of the estate planning of the first to die. 14 15 Found in most first marriage documents with only 16 children from that marriage. 17 And this is a first marriage with all five 18 children being the product of the same marriage --19 Α. Yes. 20 -- as far as you know? Ο. 21 Α. As far as I know. 2.2 Ο. And as far as you know, Simon and Shirley 23 Bernstein, they each married only once in their 24 lifetime, to each other? 25 Α. That's all I know.

- 1 Ο. If you flip to the next page, there's a 2 shorter paragraph for Shirley. 3 It basically says -- it's virtually identical, except that Simon is the initial successor, and after 4 that, Ted would be Simon's replacement if he passed 6 away? 7 Α. Correct. Ο. And is that the mechanism by which Ted 8 Bernstein became the successor trustee in this lawsuit? 10 Yes, it is. Α. Now, if Shirley died first, then did the 11 Ο. 12 documents give Simon the same power of appointment over 13 the assets in her trust that was provided for in the Simon document if he died? 14 15 Same power of appointment was in both Α. 16 documents. They were identical documents, with one 17 exception. 18 Q. And what was the exception; the name of the 19 successor trustee? The name of the successor trustee. 20 Α. 21 And then Simon wanted his then business Ο. 22 partner, Bill Stansbury, to be his successor trustee in 23 both his will and his trust, and Shirley wanted her
  - A. Correct. The signer, non-survivor.

oldest son, Ted, to be her successor in both documents?

24

25

1 Q. Okay. And Shirley, I guess it says here, also 2 made a specific gift of \$200,000 to someone named 3 Matthew Logan? 4 Α. Correct. If you look at our family tree chart, I think Ο. Matthew Logan is under Ted. 6 7 He is the son of Ted's second wife, Deborah? Correct. 8 Α. 9 Okay. So there was a \$200,000 special gift to Ο. Matthew that was in the documents that you sent on 10 11 April 9th? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Then you prepared family trusts for the Ο. 14 children. 15 Were those trusts created at the time? 16 Α. Yes, they were. 17 Now, after you sent your letter on April 9th, Ο. 18 did you have a further discussion with Simon and Shirley 19 before the documents were signed? 20 I can't recall, but we probably -- we probably 21 did, to set up a meeting and talk -- you know, either, 2.2 A, talk about the documents, the draft documents, any changes that they wanted to make on the draft documents. 23 24 It would be typical of us to do that, although I don't 25 have any meeting notes that showed that, so...

1 Ο. Now, under -- we'll talk -- let's talk about 2 the ones that matter. 3 Because Shirley died first, her 2008 trust became the beneficiary of her estate? 4 Α. Correct. And then Simon had a power of appointment, 6 Ο. 7 correct? Α. Um-hum. 8 And if -- you have to say yes or no. Ο. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And if he didn't exercise the power of Ο. 12 appointment, was there a default set of beneficiaries 13 that were designated in the documents you drafted in 14 2008? 15 Yes. Α. And what was the default set of beneficiaries? 16 Q. 17 Simon had and Shirley had in their documents Α. excluded Pam and Ted at the death of the survivor of the 18 19 two of them. Okay. So if the power of appointment was not 20 21 properly exercised, it would just go to three, and Eliot 2.2 would end up with 33 and a third percent and two of the other sisters would get the balance? 23 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Q. Did Simon and Shirley eventually execute

```
1
     documents in 2008?
 2
          Α.
               Yes, they did.
 3
          Ο.
               I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 1, which
     is --
 4
               A copy of Si's will from --
          Α.
6
               Do you have Exhibit 1?
          Q.
7
          Α.
               Excuse me. Sorry. Shirley's will.
               Is that a conformed copy of the document?
8
          Ο.
9
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 1 into
10
11
          evidence.
12
               THE COURT: Any objection?
13
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: That's in evidence as
14
15
          Plaintiff's 1.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was received into
16
17
     evidence.)
    BY MR. ROSE:
18
19
               Now, that says "conformed copy." If I turn to
          Q.
20
     the last page, there's no handwritten signatures.
21
          Α.
               Correct.
2.2
               Do you know where the original of that
          Q.
23
     document sits today?
24
          A. It was filed with the court.
25
          Q.
               Okay. So somewhere in the courthouse, the
```

1 original goes. 2 And that's something that the client would 3 keep? Correct. This is what we would send to the 4 Α. client to include with their files. When you filed the original with the court, 6 Ο. 7 did anyone object while Simon was alive? 8 Α. No. Okay. I'm going to hand you Exhibit No. 2. Ο. 10 Do you recognize that document? 11 Yes. This is Shirley's trust agreement that Α. she executed in 2008. 12 13 Ο. Now, does that document have copies of her 14 signature? 15 Α. Yes. These are actual copies of the signing parties and their signatures. 16 17 And how many originals would have been created of this document? 18 19 Α. We always created three originals of the trust 20 agreements. 21 Ο. Okay. Now, if you turn to the next -- if you 22 turn to the last page, it says that Shirley put a dollar 23 into her trust when it was created. 24 Α. Yes.

And that's to make it a valid trust?

25

Ο.

1 Α. Yeah, I mean, it's not required today, but 2 it's pretty much just form to show a dollar. She had 3 certainly funded it more than that. Ο. And eventually Shirley put some assets into the trust? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And if you go to the page before that, Ο. page 27, it appears to be a signature page, correct? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Now, were you one of the witnesses to the Ο. 11 signature of Shirley Bernstein on Exhibit 2? 12 Α. Yes, I was. 13 And were you present with Shirley Bernstein Ο. and the other witness, Traci Kratish, at the time of the 14 15 execution of the documents? 16 Α. Yes, I was. 17 O. And they're notarized by someone named 18 Kimberly Moran. 19 Does she work for your office? Yes, she did. 20 Α. 21 And through her involvement with your firm Ο. 2.2 and -- did she personally know Shirley and Traci 23 Kratish, as well as yourself? 24 Α. Yes, she did. 25 Now, at the same time that Shirley signed her

Q.

- documents, did Simon sign a similar set of 2008 will and trust, similar to the drafts that were sent in April?
- A. Yes, he did. We were all sitting in the main conference area in their offices together.
  - Q. In Simon's office or your office?
- 6 A. In Simon's offices.

8

9

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. So why would someone from your office come to Simon's office rather than rely on the notary that they have there?
- 10 A. Because we wanted to accommodate Shirley and
  11 Si in their offices and not have them travel.
  - Q. You personally went there. Did you personally go through to make sure that the documents were signed with all the formalities required under Florida law to make them valid and enforceable?
- 16 A. Yes, we did. That's why we were there.
- Q. And if Simon did not have a 2008 will and -- sorry.
- If Simon did not have a 2002 will and trust,
  would it be your belief that the 2008 will and trust
  would be valid?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they properly signed with all the same testamentary formalities required by Florida law?
- 25 A. Yes, they were.

1 Q. Okay. Did Shirley at some point amend her 2 trust agreement? 3 Α. Yes, she did. And do you recall why she amended it? 4 Q. She amended it to remove Matt Logan from the Α. 6 document that she had included previously as a specific 7 device. 8 Ο. Do you know why Matt was removed? Α. It's attorney-client privilege. 10 Does it matter? 11 I'll withdraw the question. Ο. Was Matthew removed at the direction of 12 13 Shirley? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. I'll withdraw --16 Α. Yes. Yes. Yes. 17 Did Shirley sign a document that effectively O. 18 removed Matthew? 19 Α. Yes, she did. Let me hand you Exhibit No. 3, and ask you if 20 21 you recognize that document? 2.2 Α. Yes, I do. 23 O. Now, was this document signed with the same 24 testamentary formalities as the 2008 trust? 25 Α. Yes, it was.

```
1
               MR. ROSE: We would move Exhibit 3 into
          evidence, Your Honor.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
          Plaintiff's 3.
6
 7
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
     evidence.)
8
    BY MR. ROSE:
10
               Now, if you look -- there's a paragraph 1 and
          Ο.
11
     a paragraph 3, but no paragraph 2.
12
               Do you know why that is?
13
               It's just a mistake in drafting.
          Α.
14
          Ο.
               And did you specifically discuss with Shirley,
15
     whose privilege I technically would control -- my client
     would control --
16
17
               Did you specifically discuss with Shirley the
     fact that the effect of the first amendment would be to
18
19
     remove the specific gift that she had made for Matthew
20
     Logan?
21
               Yes. Even prior to the signing of the
          Α.
2.2
     document.
23
          Ο.
               And is this the last relevant testamentary
24
     document that Shirley ever signed that you're aware of?
25
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
```

- Q. Did you meet with Simon and Shirley in person to talk about this amendment?
  - A. Si had called me and said that Shirley had a change to her documents, and asked me to give her a call and have lunch with her. I called her. We arranged for a meeting in her house to execute the document.
  - Q. Now, you brought your -- you brought Kimberly with you to get -- for convenience and to make sure the documents were properly executed?
  - A. Correct. She had -- she had her personal assistant that was there, Rachel Walker, to serve as another witness.
- Q. Just so I don't have to go back, what's the date of the amendment?
  - A. November 18th, 2008.

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

- Q. So now we five documents that exist; 2008, will, trust, will, trust, and an amendment to Shirley's trust.
- Did you share any of those documents with any of Simon and Shirley's children at that time?
  - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Did any of the -- did any of the children play any role in bringing Simon or Shirley to your offices?
- A. Not that I'm aware, no.
- Q. Did any of the children accompany them

1 to -- any time they came to visit you, did any of the children come with them, drag them along? 2 3 Α. No. Q. So you prepared -- did you do some other estate planning in addition to the 2008 testamentary documents? 6 7 Α. Yes, we did. Ο. Can you briefly describe some of the things 8 you did? 10 We had set up a Florida limited partnership. Α. 11 We created a general partner entity for that 12 partnership, a limited liability company. 13 Ο. What's the name of the Florida limited 14 partnership? 15 Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP. Α. Was that an entity that was in existence or 16 Q. 17 was it created under your direction? 18 THE COURT: Can I stop you a second? Is this 19 going to help me figure out the validity of the 20 testamentary documents? 21 MR. ROSE: Only in the very narrowest sense. 2.2 I'm just trying to establish that they had a very 23 lengthy and extensive relationship, and they did a 24 lot of estate planning for Simon and Shirley. But 25 I'll be very brief.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Well, if that becomes relevant
 2
          later, perhaps you could come back to it. But I
 3
          don't see the relevance at this point, so I'll ask
 4
          you to move on.
               MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
    BY MR. ROSE:
6
7
               Now, was Simon concerned at all about asset
     protection as part of some of the things you discussed?
8
9
          Α.
               Yes, he was.
               Now, we have -- did you have any discussion
10
          Ο.
11
     with him about who was expected to live longer or if
12
     either of them had health problems that you had any
13
     knowledge of?
14
               Si was not -- he was in good health, but he
15
     had had some heart issues. And Shirley had had other
16
     issues as well. And I think it -- early on, he didn't
17
     know, but as the relationship went on, we kind of knew
18
     that Shirley was sicker than him and would probably pass
19
     first.
20
               So Shirley died -- it's in the public
21
     record -- but December --
2.2
          Α.
               2010, yeah.
               -- 8th. So Simon was her -- he survived her;
23
          Ο.
24
    he becomes the sole beneficiary as far as tangible
25
     personal property under her will?
```

- 1 A. Yes, he does.
  2 Q. The residuary goes into the Shirley Bernstein
  3 Trust?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. He's the sole successor trustee and the sole beneficiary --
- 7 A. Yes, he is.
  - Q. -- during the term of his life?
- A. Correct.

12

13

14

15

- Q. Now, was there a great deal of effort put into inventorying the assets, things like that?
  - A. No, there wasn't. For purposes of opening up Shirley's probate, we had asked Si to estimate the value of, you know, her tangible personal property. And that's what we included on the inventory that was filed in the probate.
- Q. Now, if I'm correct, 2010 was the year there were no estate taxes at all?
- 19 A. No estate taxes.
- Q. Simon's the sole beneficiary?
- A. Sole beneficiary. Even if there were taxes, there wouldn't have been any tax on the first death, because everything went to Si, and there was a marital deduction.
- Q. While Simon was alive, did Ted have any access

1 to the documents, as far as you know? Did you ever send the testamentary documents of Simon or Shirley to Ted? 2 3 Α. No, we did not. Did Ted play any role in the administration of 4 Ο. the estate while Simon was alive? 5 Α. No, he did not. 6 7 Ο. Did any of the other children play any role in the administration of the estate while Simon was alive? 8 Α. No, they did not. 10 Now, did you have to -- well, strike that. Ο. 11 Because it was only Simon, was it sort of the 12 decision by Simon, That I don't want to spend a lot of 13 time and money in this estate because it's just wasting 14 my own money? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And that's not unusual in a situation where Q. you have a surviving spouse that's the sole beneficiary? 17 18 Α. Correct. 19 Now, did there come a point in time when Pam, Q. who was not a named beneficiary of the -- Shirley's 20 21 documents, learned of the fact that she had been 2.2 excluded? 23 Α. Yes, there was. 24 Okay. And did you get involved with Q. 25 discussions with Pam or her lawyer?

1 Α. She had hired an attorney, who had made a request to get a copy of her mother's documents. And I 2 3 called Si, spoke to Si about it, and he authorized me giving Pam those documents -- or her attorney those 4 documents. 5 Were they provided to any of the other 6 Ο. 7 children; that would be Ted or his brother, Eliot, or his two sisters, Lisa or Jill? 8 9 Α. No, they were not. 10 And did Simon Bernstein at some point decide Ο. 11 to change his testamentary documents? Yes, he did. 12 Α. 13 Do you recall approximately when that Ο. 14 happened? 15 Early 2012, he called and requested that we Α. 16 meet to go over his documents. 17 I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked 18 Exhibit 13, and ask you if you recognize those as your 19 own notes? 20 Yes. These are my notes from that meeting in Α. 21 2012. 2.2 MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 13 into 23 evidence, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Any objection? 25 [No response.]

1 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as 2 Plaintiff's 13 then. 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was received into 4 evidence.) BY MR. ROSE: Now, during this meeting, did Simon discuss 6 Ο. 7 the possibility of altering his estate plan? Yes, he did. Α. 8 Did you also go over his current finances? Ο. 10 Yes, we did. Α. 11 Now, we've seen from 2007 that he had Ο. disclosed about \$18 million. 12 13 As part of the meeting in February of 2012, he 14 gave you sort of a summary of where he stood at that 15 time? 16 Α. Yes, he did. 17 And what was the status of the Shirley 18 Bernstein probate administration in early 2012, about 19 13 months after she passed away? 20 It was still not closed. Α. 21 Do you know why it was not closed? Q. 2.2 Α. I think that we were still waiting -- I'm not 23 sure that -- we were still waiting on waivers and 24 releases from the children to close the estate, to 25 qualify beneficiaries under the estate if Si were to

1 die. We had to get waivers and releases from them. 2 Ο. Standard operating procedure? 3 Α. Standard operating procedure. 4 Q. Okay. So Simon here, it says -- it says at the top "SIPC receivable." 5 Do you know what that is? 6 7 Α. Yes, I do. That was -- Si had made an investment in a Stanford product that was purported to 8 be a CD; it was an offshore CD. And when the Stanford debacle hit, I guess he filed a claim with SIPC to get 10 11 those monies back, because it was supposedly a cash 12 investment. 13 And so he invested in a Ponzi scheme and lost Ο. 14 a bunch of money? 15 Α. Correct. Some of the 18 million he had in 2007 he lost 16 Q. 17 in the next four and a half years in investing in a Ponzi scheme? 18 19 Α. That's correct. And then the maximum that the SIPC -- which is 20 Ο. 21 like the FDIC for investments. 2.2 You're familiar with that, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 The maximum is 500,000. Q. 25 You don't actually necessarily recover

500,000? You have a receivable, right? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Do you know how much he actually realized from the SIPC? 4 Α. I believe he never received anything. Okay. And then it said, LIC receivable, 6 Q. 7 \$100,000. 8 Am I reading that correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And LIC was the company he was involved, with Q. 11 others? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. So I put here 600 that he put, but the Ο. 14 600 is really probably closer to 100 if you didn't get 15 the SIPC money? 16 Α. Correct. 17 So I'm going to just put a little star here 18 and put it's really 100,000, and sort that out. 19 So then he says -- he has -- Si's estate, this 20 would be his personal assets. He's got an interest in 21 the LLLP. 2.2 That is not relevant to discuss how it was formed, but there was an LLLP that was owned, some by 23 24 Si's trust, some by Shirley's trust? 25 Α. Correct.

1	Q.	And at the time, he thought the value was	
2	1,150,000	for his share?	
3	Α.	That's correct.	
4		MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object, Your Honor?	
5		THE COURT: What's the objection?	
6		MR. BERNSTEIN: Relevance.	
7		THE COURT: Overruled.	
8		MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
9	BY MR. ROSE:		
10	Q.	And then he had an IRA that says 750,000.	
11	Α.	Correct.	
12	Q.	And those two things totaled 1,550,000?	
13	A.	No. They totaled one million nine. Right?	
14	Q.	Okay. You're right.	
15		You wrote next to it "estate tax."	
16		What does that mean, on the side next to it?	
17	Α.	I think what I had done was offset the value	
18	of the assets in his estate by the loans that were		
19	outstanding at the time.		
20	Q.	And it shows a million seven in loans?	
21	А.	A million seven in loans.	
22	Q.	So we had loans back in 2008 I'm sorry.	
23	November of 2007 time period or 2008, which were		
24	only so	o we have loans now, you said, a million seven?	
25	Α.	Well, he had a \$1.2 million loan with	

1 JP Morgan that was collateralized with the assets of the 2 LLLP. 3 Ο. And then you list -- just to speed up, then you have -- underneath that, it says Shirley's asset was 4 5 empty, right? Because whatever was in had gone to Simon? 6 7 Α. Yeah, her estate had nothing in it. Ο. She had a Bentley, I think, when she died. 8 Do you know what happened to the Bentley? 10 I wasn't aware that she had a Bentley. Α. 11 Did you come to learn that she had a Bentley Ο. 12 and Simon gave it to his girlfriend, and she traded it 13 in at the dealership and got a Range Rover? 14 Α. Much, much, much later on --15 But you know --Q. -- after Si's death. 16 Α. 17 But you know that to be the case? Ο. 18 Α. I wasn't aware that it was traded for the 19 Range Rover. I thought he bought her the Range Rover. I didn't realize he used a Bentley to do it. 20 21 Okay. Somehow you know the Bentley became Q. 2.2 something for Maritza? 23 Α. Yes. 24 That's the name of his girlfriend? Q. 25 Α. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Then it says, in Shirley's trust, 2 condo, one million -- I'm sorry. I should go to the 3 next column. It says "FMV." That would be shorthand for Fair Market Value? Α. Yes. So condo, 2 million, which is here; house, 6 Ο. 7 3 million; half of the LLLP, which is Shirley's half after -- I assume, after the deduction of the loan, was 8 800,000? 10 Um-hum. Α. 11 Then it says "LIC." That's the company Life Ο. 12 Insurance Concepts that Mr. -- that Simon, his son Ted, 13 and a gentleman named Bill Stansbury had formally been 14 involved, another attorney, shares by then. Because 15 we're in February of 2012. 16 But, in any event, that's Simon's company? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And he told you in 2007 it was worth --19 Mr. Tescher's -- notes, like -- his interest was worth 5 million. 20 21 What did he tell you it was worth in 2012? 2.2 Α. Zero. 23 Then underneath that -- I put zero here, so Ο. 24 zero today. So his net worth -- and then there was a home 25

1 that he owned for -- that Eliot lives in, right? 2 didn't really own it, but he controlled it, Simon? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. Did you set up the entity that owned the home? 5 6 Α. Yes, I did. 7 Ο. Just to save time, there's an entity called 8 Bernstein Family Realty that owns the house. 9 Simon controlled that entity while he was 10 alive? 11 Yes, he did. Α. 12 And his estate holds a mortgage on the house Ο. for 365,000? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 Ο. So there's some interest there. He didn't put it on his sheet when he talked 16 17 to you, but that still would have existed in some form, 18 right? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And it still exists to this day. Ο. 21 We don't know the value of it, but there still 22 is a mortgage, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. But either way, the point of this whole Q. 25 story is, his net worth went down significantly between

## 1 2007 and 2012? 2 Α. 3 Q.

6

9

- Yes, it did.
- And in your world, that's not uncommon, with the stock market crash, the depression, things like that, that a lot of clients with high net worth would
- 7 Α. Many, many of them did. And even the values that are on this sheet were not the real values. 8

have suffered losses during that time?

- Ο. We know that the --
- 10 Clients have a tendency to overstate their net Α. 11 worth.
- 12 All right. And we know the Ocean Drive house Ο. sold for about a million four? 13
- 14 Α. Correct.
- 15 And the Court -- there's an order that Ο. 16 approved the sale, the gross sale price of a million one for St. Andrews? 17
- 18 Α. Correct.
- 19 Okay. So that's still -- that's less than Q. 20 half, even then, Simon thought he would get.
- 21 Now, if you look at the bottom of the 2.2 Exhibit No. 13, it says a word, begins with an "I." I 23 can't really read it.
- 24 Can you read that?
- 25 Α. Insurance.

1 O. Well, did you have some discussions with Simon 2 about his insurance? 3 Α. Yes, we did. 4 Q. In fact, I think -- Mr. Spallina, we talked about he had -- I'm sorry. Mr. Tescher's notes had a \$2 million life 6 7 insurance? Α. Correct. 8 Okay. Is this the same life insurance? Ο. 10 Yes, it is. Α. 11 And was there a discussion about -- I quess it Ο. 12 says 1 million --13 That's one million seven-fifty? A million 75 -- yeah, one million seven-fifty 14 15 was the value of the policy. And the death benefit was a million six? 16 Q. 17 Million six. There was a small loan or Α. 18 something against the policy. 19 Q. Okay. And then it says "Maritza." What was Maritza down there for? 20 21 Α. Si was considering changing -- the purpose of 22 the meeting was to meet, discuss his assets. And he 23 was, you know, having a lot of, I guess, internal -- he 24 had received another letter from his daughter -- he asked me to read the letter from Pam -- that she still 25

1 was not happy about the fact that she had been disinherited under her mother's documents if the assets 2 3 were to pass under the documents and he didn't exercise his power of appointment. And this meeting was to kind of figure out a way, with the assets that he had, to take care of everybody; the grandchildren, the children, 6 7 and Maritza. And so he thought maybe that he would change 8 the beneficiary designation on his life insurance to 10 include her. And we had talked about providing for her, 11 depending on -- an amount -- an increasing scale, 12 depending on the number of years that he was with her. 13 So if you look at the bottom, it says 0 to Ο. 14 2 years, 250. 15 Is that what you're referring to? 16 Α. Yes. Two to four years, 500,000. And then 17 anything over plus-four years would be -- I think that's 600,000. 18 19 Q. Now, during this discussion, was Simon mentally sharp and aware of what was going on? 20 21 Α. Oh, yeah. Yeah, he was -- he was the same 2.2 Simon. He was just -- you know, he was struggling with 23 his estate now. He was getting -- he felt -- I guess he 24 was getting pulled. He had a girlfriend that wanted 25 something. He had his daughter who, you know, felt like

- she had been slighted. And he wanted to try to make good by everybody.
- Q. And at that point in time, other than the house that he had bought that Eliot lived in, were you aware that he was supporting Eliot with a very significant amount of money each year?
  - A. I was not.
- 8 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 BY MR. ROSE:

- 11 Q. Okay. So that's February.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What happens next in relation to Simon coming in to meet with you to talk about changing his documents?
- 16 A. He had called me on the phone and he -- we
- 17 talked again about, you know, him changing his
- 18 documents. He had been thinking about giving his estate
- 19 and Shirley's estate to his grandchildren. And at the
- 20 | February meeting, I did not think it was a great idea
- 21 for him to include his girlfriend, Maritza, as a
- 22 beneficiary of the life insurance policy.
- Q. He took your advice? He didn't change that,
- 24 | as far as you know?
- 25 A. He did not.

- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Continue.
  - A. He did not.

2.2

I had suggested that he provide for her in other ways; a joint account that would pass to her at his death, but not to mix her in with his family in their dispositive documents. And he ultimately took that advice and decided that he wanted to give his estate to his ten grandchildren, and that the policy -- which I had never seen a copy of the policy, but, you know -- he had had. And I knew that he was paying for it, because -- it almost lapsed, or did lapse at one point, and it got reinstated -- that that policy was to pass to an insurance trust that named his five children as beneficiaries.

- Q. And that's something Simon specifically discussed with you when you were going over his estate planning in 2012?
- A. Correct -- or something that we had known about before that meeting. But he was -- at the meeting, he was starting to talk about doing a change to the beneficiary designation to include Maritza, and I wanted to talk him out of that.
- Q. And at some point, he made a decision to actually change his documents, correct?
- A. He did. He did.

1 Ο. And did he direct you to set up any kind of a communication with his children? 2 3 Yes. He said, I want you to get -- put together a conference call with me and you and my five 4 children so I can talk to them about what I want to do with my estate and Shirley's estate. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. This would be a good time for us to take a pause for a morning break. 8 We'll be in session again in 10 minutes. 10 As far as time use goes, so far Plaintiff's 11 side has used 60 minutes. So you have 90 remaining 12 in your portion of the day. And that's where we 13 stand. 14 MR. ROSE: We'll be well within our time, sir. 15 THE COURT: Great. Okay. We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Is ten 16 17 minutes enough time for everybody? That's what it'll be then. 18 19 (A break was taken.) 20 THE COURT: We're ready to proceed. 21 continue. 2.2 MR. ROSE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. ROSE: 24 I think we were when Shirley died in December Q. 25 of 2010, and you meet with Si, according to

1 Plaintiff's 13, on February 1st of 2012. 2 I think by May of 2012 was when this 3 conference call that you mentioned was? Α. Yes, it was. Okay. And did the five children attend the 0. 6 conference call? 7 Α. Yes, they all did. Were you present on the call? 8 Ο. Α. Yes, I was. 10 Was Simon present? Q. 11 Yes, he was. Α. 12 Where was Simon physically during the call? Ο. 13 Α. His office -- I believe his office. 14 Ο. Were you in the same room as Simon? 15 No, I was not. Α. 16 You were in your office? Q. 17 I was in my office. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Generally, what was discussed during 19 this conference call? Simon wanted to talk to his children about 20 Α. 21 providing for his estate and his wife's estate to go to 2.2 the ten grandchildren; wanted to have a discussion with 23 his children and see what they thought about that. 24 And was he asking them for their approval or Ο. 25 permission or...

- 1 Α. Well, I think he wanted to see what they all 2 thought, you know, based on things that had happened in 3 the past and documents that had been created in the past. And I don't know that it was going to sway his opinion, but when he told me, you know, to -- you know, to have the conference call, to contact his -- he said, 6 7 This is what I'm going to do, so...
- Ο. During the call, did Simon ask his children if 8 anybody had an objection to him leaving his and 10 Shirley's wealth to the ten grandchildren?
  - He asked what everybody thought. Α.
  - Ο. Did Eliot respond?
  - Α. Yes, he did.

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Ο. What did he say?
- I'm paraphrasing, but he said something to the Α. 16 effect of, Dad, you know, whatever you want to do, whatever makes you happy, that's what's important. 17
  - Q. Did you also discuss during that call the need to close Shirley's estate?
  - Yes, we did. We had told Si that we needed to Α. get back the waivers of accounting, the releases, and we asked -- he asked them to get those back to us as soon as possible.
- 24 Okay. If I hand you Exhibit 14, it appears to Ο. 25 be an email from Eliot Bernstein to you addressing the

```
1
     waiver that he needed to sign?
 2
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
 3
               MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 14 into evidence.
 4
               THE COURT: Any objection?
               [No response.]
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence
 6
 7
          then as Plaintiff's 14.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was received into
 8
9
     evidence.)
10
               MR. ROSE: As a matter of housekeeping, Your
11
          Honor, I think I might have failed to move in
12
          Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's 2008 trust
13
          agreement, which I would move, to the extent it's
          not in evidence, 1, 2 and 3, which are the
14
15
          operative documents Mr. Spallina's already
          testified about.
16
17
               THE COURT: Any objection?
18
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What was that? I'm sorry.
19
               THE COURT: Is there any objection to
20
          Plaintiff's 1, which is the will of Shirley
          Bernstein, Plaintiff's 2, which is the Shirley
21
2.2
          Bernstein Trust Agreement, and Plaintiff's 3, which
23
          is the First Amendment to the Shirley Bernstein
24
          Trust Agreement?
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. Those are all in
          evidence then as Plaintiff's 1, 2 and 3.
 2
 3
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
 4
     evidence.)
     BY MR. ROSE:
          Q.
               Okay. This email is dated May -- May 17,
6
7
     2012, from Eliot, correct?
               Yes, it is.
          Α.
8
               This would have been after the conference
          Ο.
10
     call?
11
               This, I believe, was after the conference
12
     call, yep.
13
               And he says he's attached the waiver
          Ο.
14
     accounting and portions of petition for discharge,
15
     waiver of service for a petition for discharge, and
16
     receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge that he
17
    had signed.
18
               Did you receive those from Eliot?
19
          Α.
               Yes, I did. We received -- that was the first
20
     waivers that we received.
21
               Then it says "as I mentioned in the phone
          Q.
2.2
     call."
23
               Did you have any separate phone calls with
24
     Eliot Bernstein, you and he, or is he referring to the
25
     conference call?
```

- 1 A. I think he's referring to the conference call.
- Q. Okay. I have not yet -- "I have not seen any
- 3 of the underlying estate documents or my mother's will
- 4 | at this point, yet I signed this document after our
- 5 | family call so that my father can be released of his
- 6 duties as personal representative and put whatever
- 7 | matters that were causing him stress to rest."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. Now, while Simon was alive, did you ever get
- 11 | authorization to share the testamentary documents with
- 12 | Eliot Bernstein?
- 13 A. I did not.
- Q. Now, after the call and after the discussion
- 15 | with the siblings, did you prepare a draft of -- of new
- 16 | documents for Simon?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 15; ask if
- 19 | that's a letter that you sent to Simon Bernstein
- 20 | enclosing some new drafts?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. Now, what's the date of that?
- 23 A. May 24th, 2012.
- Q. And what's -- what is the summary -- well,
- 25 | strike that.

1 You sent this letter to Simon Bernstein? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 Q. By FedEx to his home? Α. Yes, I did. MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 15 in 6 evidence. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? [No response.] 8 9 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as Plaintiff's 15. 10 11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was received into 12 evidence.) BY MR. ROSE: 13 14 Okay. So then first page says, "Dear Si, we 15 have prepared drafts of a new will and an amended and 16 restated trust agreement." 17 Are those the 2012 documents that were his final ones? 18 19 Α. Yes, they are. 20 Okay. Then you sort of do the same thing you 21 did in 2008; you give a little summary of what the 22 estate plan is. 23 "Your amended and restated trust provides that 24 on your death, your assets will be divided among and 25 held in separate trusts for your then living

- grandchildren, correct? I was reading paragraph -- the middle paragraph.
  - A. Yes, I see that. Yes.
  - Q. I actually skipped the part above, which is probably more important, which says -- in the middle of the first paragraph, it says, "In addition, you have exercised the special power of appointment granted to you under Shirley's trust agreement in favor of your grandchildren who survive you."

10 Do you see that?

11 A. Yes.

3

4

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And so that was Simon's intent as discussed on the conference call?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Do you know if you made any changes to these draft documents from May 24th until the day they were signed?
- A. I don't believe so. If I did, it was for
  grammar or something else. The dispositive plan that
  was laid out in this memo was ultimately the subject of
  the documents that he executed in July.
- Q. I'm going to hand you Exhibit 16, which is a durable power of attorney.
- If you flip to Exhibit 16, the last page, does
  it bear a signature of Simon Bernstein?

1	Α.	Yes, it does.	
2	Q.	And it indicates you were a witness to the	
3	signature?		
4	А.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Along with Kimberly Moran, who is someone from	
6	your office?		
7	Α.	Correct.	
8	Q.	And someone named Lindsay Baxley notarized the	
9	documents?		
10	Α.	Yes, she did.	
11	Q.	Do you know who Lindsay Baxley was?	
12	Α.	Lindsay Baxley worked in Ted and Si's office.	
13	Q.	She was like a secretary?	
14	Α.	Assistant to Ted, I believe, maybe.	
15	Q.	Okay. And if you look at	
16		MR. ROSE: Well, first of all, I'll move	
17	Exhi	bit 16 into evidence.	
18		THE COURT: Any objection?	
19		[No response.]	
20		THE COURT: No objection made, then I'll	
21	rece	ive this as Plaintiff's 16.	
22		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 16 was received into	
23	evidence.)		
24	BY MR. ROSE:		
25	Q.	If you look at the last page where the notary	

1 block is there, it says "personally known" with an underline, or "produced identification" with an 2 3 underline. And she's checked the box "personally known" -- or she's checked the line. 4 Do you see that? Α. Yes. 6 7 So do you believe that -- did you know Lindsay 0. 8 Baxley by that point in time? 9 Α. Yes, I did. 10 And you believe -- she obviously knew Simon, Ο. 11 she knew Kim Moran from other dealings between your 12 offices? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. Okay. And did you all sign this durable power 15 of attorney with testamentary formalities? 16 Α. Yes, we did. 17 And what's the date of that? O. 18 Α. July 25, 2012. 19 I'm going to approach with Exhibit 4, and ask Q. you if you recognize Exhibit 4? 20 21 Α. Yes, I do. 2.2 Okay. And what is Exhibit 4? Q. This is Si's new will that he executed in 23 Α. 24 2012, on July 25th, the same day as that durable power

25

of attorney.

1 Q. Now, were you present when Simon executed his new will, which is Exhibit 4? 2 3 Α. Yes, I was. 4 Q. If you turn to the last page --Well, actually, if you turn to the first page, does it say "copy" and bear a clerk's stamp? 6 7 Α. It does. Ο. 8 Okay. MR. ROSE: I would represent to the Court that 10 I went to the clerk's office -- unlike with 11 Shirley's will, I went to the clerk's office and 12 obtained a -- like, a copy made by the clerk of the 13 document itself, rather than have the typewritten 14 conformed copy. 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I object to that? 16 THE COURT: What's the objection? 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Is he making a statement? I'm 18 not sure --19 THE COURT: You're asking me a question. I 20 don't know. 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm objecting. Is that a 2.2 statement? 23 THE COURT: The objection is? What are you 24 objecting to? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: With the statement being

1 from --2 THE COURT: Okay. That was a statement by 3 somebody who's not a sworn witness, so I'll sustain the objection. MR. BERNSTEIN: And the chain of custody of the document, I'm just trying to clarify that. 6 7 Okay. THE COURT: The objection was to the 8 statement. I've sustained the objection. 10 Next question, please. 11 BY MR. ROSE: 12 Ο. Unlike the trust, how many originals of a will 13 do you have the client sign? 14 Α. There's only one. 15 And then you give the client the one with the Ο. 16 typewritten -- you call it conformed copy? 17 We conform the copy of the will. Α. 18 Q. And after Simon died, was your law firm 19 counsel for the personal representative of the Estate of Simon Bernstein? 20 21 Α. Yes, we were. 2.2 Did you file the original will with the court? Q. 23 Α. Yes, we did. 24 Is it your belief that the original of this Q. 25 document is somewhere in the Palm Beach County Court

```
1
     system with the clerk's office?
 2
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
 3
               MR. ROSE: I'd move Exhibit 4 in evidence,
 4
          Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
6
               [No response.]
 7
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No objection stated, I'll
          receive this as Plaintiff's 4.
8
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was received into
 9
10
     evidence.)
11
    BY MR. ROSE:
12
               Now, if you turn to the next to the last page
          Ο.
     of Exhibit --
13
14
          Α.
               Yes.
15
               -- Exhibit 4, you'll see it bears a signature
          Q.
16
     of Simon Bernstein and two witnesses, yourself and
17
     Kimberly Moran, who all assert that you signed in the
18
    presence of each other?
19
          Α.
               Yes.
20
               And then in the next page, it has what would
21
    be a self-proving affidavit?
2.2
          Α.
               Correct.
23
               Now, if you look at the signature block where
          Ο.
24
     the notary signed, where it says "who is personally
25
    known to me," it doesn't seem to have a check box there.
```

1 It just says "who is personally known to me or who has 2 produced [blank] as identification, "right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Q. Is this the same person who notarized the exhibit we just put in evidence, Exhibit 15, the durable power of attorney -- 16, the durable power of attorney? 6 7 Α. Yes. Okay. And again, with regard to 8 0. Exhibit 4 -- strike that. 10 Do you recall where you signed Exhibit 4? 11 Α. Yes. In whose office? 12 O. 13 This was also done in Si's office. Α. 14 Ο. Okay. So you took -- you went personally 15 again, along with Kim Moran, as your practice, to make 16 sure that the documents were signed properly; true? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And that's important because, if the documents 19 aren't properly signed, they might not be valid and enforceable? 20 21 Α. That's correct. 2.2 And I'm going to hand you Exhibit 5. This is Ο. 23 the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust 24 Agreement. 25 Was that signed the same day, at the same

```
1
     time, with the same procedures?
 2
          Α.
               Yes, it was.
 3
          Ο.
               And would this have been signed with three
 4
     originals?
               Yes, it would be.
          Α.
6
               MR. ROSE: I would move Exhibit 5 into
7
          evidence, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Any objection?
8
9
               [No response.]
10
               THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as
11
          Plaintiff's 5.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was received into
12
13
     evidence.)
    BY MR. ROSE:
14
15
               Now, we looked at the history when you did the
          Ο.
     first set of documents. In the second set, you started
16
17
     in February through July.
18
               Did you have a number of telephone conferences
19
     with Simon during that time?
20
               Yes, we did.
          Α.
21
               And at least a couple of face-to-face
          Q.
2.2
    meetings?
23
          Α.
               Yes, we did.
24
               Did at any time Simon give you any indication
          Q.
25
     that he was not fully mentally sharp and aware and
```

```
1
     acting of his own volition?
               Nope. He was Si that we had known since 2007.
 2
          Α.
 3
          O.
               I'll close with Exhibit 17. This is a letter
     you sent to Simon Bernstein, enclosing a copy of his
 4
     conformed will for him.
 5
6
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
7
          Ο.
               And it's dated the 26th, the day after he
8
     signed the documents?
9
          Α.
               Correct.
10
               And did you also leave him with two of the
          Ο.
11
     originals of his trust?
12
          Α.
               Yes, we did.
               MR. ROSE: I move -- did I move 17 in?
13
          will move it in.
14
15
               THE COURT: Number 7, is it?
16
               MR. ROSE: Seventeen, sir.
17
               THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
18
               Any objection?
19
               [No response.]
20
               THE COURT: All right.
                                       Then that's in
          evidence as Plaintiff's 17.
21
2.2
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 17 was received into
23
     evidence.)
24
    BY MR. ROSE:
25
          Q.
               Now, Simon passed away on September 13, 2012.
```

1 Does that sound right? 2 Α. Yes, it does. I have Exhibit 18 as his death certificate. 3 Ο. MR. ROSE: I'll just move 18 into evidence. 4 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 [No response.] 7 THE COURT: All right. That's in evidence as Plaintiff's 18. 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 18 was received into evidence.) 10 11 BY MR. ROSE: So that's the death certificate for Simon 12 Ο. 13 Bernstein. Did you have any further discussions or 14 15 meetings with Simon after he signed the will and trust in 2012 and before he died? 16 17 Α. Not that I recall, no. 18 Q. And you filed a notice of administration, 19 opened an asset, published it in the Palm Beach Daily Review, did what you had to do? 20 21 Α. Yes, we did. 2.2 O. And you and Mr. Tescher were the personal 23 representatives of the estate? 24 Yes, we were. Α. 25 Q. And you and Mr. Tescher became the successor

- December 15, 2015 75 1 trustees of Simon's amended trust after he passed away? 2 Α. Yes, we did. 3 Ο. I guess while he was still alive, he was still the sole trustee of his trust, which was revocable 4 5 still? Α. Correct. 6 7 And then upon his death, at some point, did Ο. Ted Bernstein become aware that he was going to become 8 the successor trustee to the Shirley trust? 10 Yes. We had a meeting with Ted. Α. 11 And that was the first time he learned about Ο. 12 the contents of her trust, as far as you know? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Initially, did anybody object to the documents 15 or the fact that the beneficiaries were supposed to be the 10 grandchildren? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. When was there first some kind of an objection 19 or a complaint? I can't recall exactly when it happened. 20 21 Okay. Did you at some point get a letter from Q. 2.2 a lawyer at the Tripp Scott firm? 23 Α. Yes, we did.
- 25 something called the status of something called I View

Q.

Okay. I think she was asking you about

1 It Company? Do you recall that? 2 Α. Vaguely. 3 Did you know what the Iviewit company was 4 before you received a letter from the Tripp Scott 5 lawyer? Α. I'm not sure. I'm not sure. I know today. 6 7 can't tell if I'm answering because I know about it today or if I knew about it at that time. 8 9 Ο. Okay. And did -- was she asking for some 10 documents from you? 11 Α. Is this Ms. Yates? 12 O. Yes. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. And did you provide her with certain documents? 15 She had asked for copies of all of Shirley's 16 Α. and Si's estate planning documents. 17 18 Q. And did you provide her with all of the 19 documents? 20 Yes, we did. Α. 21 Was one of the documents that you provided her Q. 22 not an accurate copy of what Shirley had executed during her lifetime? 23 24 Α. That is true. 25 Q. Okay. And I guess I'll hand you Exhibit 6,

- and this -- is Exhibit 6 a document that is not a
  genuine and valid testamentary document of Shirley
  Bernstein?
  - A. That's correct.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Can you explain to the Court why Exhibit 6 was prepared and the circumstances?
- A. It was prepared to carry out the intent of Mr. Bernstein in the meeting that he had had with his five children, and perhaps a vague -- or a layman -- a layman can make a mistake reading Shirley's documents and not understand who the intended beneficiaries were or what powers I had. So this document was created.
- Q. Is it your belief that under the terms of Shirley's document from -- the ones she actually signed, that Simon had the power to appoint the funds to the ten grandchildren?
- A. Yes. We -- we prepared the documents that way, and our planning transmittal letter to him reflected that.
- Q. And this document is, I think you said, to explain it to a layperson in simpler fashion?
- A. It was created so that the person that, you know, didn't read estate planning documents and prepare estate planning documents for a living -- you know, there was no intent to cut out Pam and Ted's children,

1 basically. 2 3

Now, did you ever file this exhibit in the

courthouse?

Α. No, we did not.

Ο. Did you ever use it for any purpose?

No, we did not. Α.

Was it at one point provided to Eliot's Q.

8 counsel?

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Α. Yes, it was.

10 Now, the fact -- putting aside this document, Ο. 11 were any of the other documents that we're talking about 12 in any way altered or changed from the ones that were

13 signed by Shirley or Simon?

> Α. No, they were not.

Now, after these issues came to light, did Ο. Mr. Eliot Bernstein begin to attack you through the internet and through blogging and things like that?

Α. He was doing that long before this document came to light.

Okay. What was Eliot doing? Ο.

Α. His first thing that he did was -- with respect to the courts, was to file an emergency petition to freeze assets and after his brother as successor trustee of his mother's trust had sold the condo.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I object to

1	this line of questioning for relevance to validity?			
2	THE COURT: What's the line of questioning			
3	you're talking about?			
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: The slander defamation going			
5	on about me with, you know, what I do and			
6	THE COURT: Well, I wasn't aware there's a			
7	line of questioning going on. There is a question.			
8	You've objected to it.			
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.			
10	THE COURT: What's the objection to that			
11	question?			
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: The relevancy to a validity			
13	hearing.			
14	THE COURT: Okay. Can I have the court			
15	reporter read the question back?			
16	(A portion of the record was read by the			
17	reporter.)			
18	THE COURT: What is the relevance of whether			
19	this guy's posting on Facebook that's negative or			
20	not?			
21	MR. ROSE: Well, a couple of things, but,			
22	primarily, we're just trying to determine whether			
23	these documents are valid.			
24	THE COURT: Right.			
25	MR. ROSE: And he is the only one who's saying			

1 they're not valid, so I want to give some 2 explanation as to why he's saying they're not 3 valid, as opposed to --THE COURT: I don't care why he's saying they're valid or invalid. I'll wait to see what the facts are. So I'll sustain the objection. 6 7 MR. ROSE: That's fine. BY MR. ROSE: 8 Ο. Did Simon Bernstein make any special 10 arrangements, other than -- strike that. 11 Did Simon or Shirley make any special 12 arrangements, other than the testamentary documents that 13 are admitted into evidence, for special benefits for Eliot Bernstein and his family? 14 15 No, they did not. Α. 16 Any special education trusts, other than Q. the -- these five documents? And I believe there was 17 18 some shares of stock that were put in trust for all ten 19 grandchildren, right? 20 There was no special arrangements made other 21 than the estate planning documents. 2.2 Ο. After Simon died, did Eliot claim to you that 23 Simon was supposed to have made some special 24 arrangements for him? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the relevancy again.

there should be?	
40- to a \$100 million.	
February of 2012?	

1 cross-examination can take place without 2 interruption. So everybody make sure you're 3 fitting within the Plaintiff's side of the room's time limitations. We'll strictly obey those. CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) BY MR. MORRISSEY: 6 7 Good afternoon, Mr. Spallina. My name's John Morrissey. I represent four of the adult grandchildren 8 of Simon Bernstein. 10 And since we're here today about validity, I'm 11 just going to go over, and try to be very brief, 12 concerning the execution of these documents and your 13 knowledge about the execution. Exhibit 1, which has been entered as the will 14 15 of Shirley Bernstein, I'd ask you to direct your 16 attention to that document. And I'm looking here at 17 page 7. I ask that you turn to page 7 of Exhibit 1. 18 Were you a witness of this document, this will 19 that was executed by Shirley Bernstein on May 20th of 2008? 20 21 Yes, I was. Α. 2.2 O. And was Diana Banks the other witness? 23 Yes, she was. Α. 24 And did you and Diana witness Mrs. Bernstein's Q. 25 execution of this document?

A. Yes, we did.

1

- Q. You were present during her execution?
- 3 A. Yes, we were.
- Q. And was she present during your execution of this document as a witness?
- 6 A. Yes, she was.
- Q. And was she, Shirley Bernstein, present during

  Biana Banks' execution of this document?
  - A. Yes, she was.
- 10 Q. Okay. And I'm again focused on this
- 11 Exhibit No. 1, this will of Shirley Bernstein dated
- 12 | May 20th of 2008.
- 13 Is it your opinion that at the time Shirley
- 14 Bernstein executed this document she understood
- 15 generally the nature and extent of her property?
- 16 A. Yes, she did.
- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein
- 18 executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general understanding
- of those who would be the natural objects of her bounty?
- 20 A. Yes, she did.
- 21 Q. Okay. And at the time she -- Shirley
- 22 | Bernstein executed Exhibit 1, did she have a general
- 23 | understanding of the practical effect of this will?
- 24 A. I believe she did.
- Q. Okay. And in your opinion, was Shirley

- 1 Bernstein unduly influenced by any beneficiary of Exhibit 1 in connection with its execution? 2 3 Α. Not to my knowledge. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of any 4 Q. 5 beneficiary or anyone actively procuring Exhibit 1? 6 Α. No, I do not. 7 Ο. Okay. Moving on to Exhibit 2, which is Shirley Bernstein's trust executed on the same date, 8 that is May 20th of 2008, I'll direct your attention to 10 page 27 of Exhibit No. 2. And it appears that Shirley 11 Bernstein executed that document on May 20th of 2008. 12 And the witnesses were yourself and Traci -- I can't 13 read her last name. Traci Kratish. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Did Shirley Bernstein execute Ο. 16 Exhibit No. 2 in the presence of both you and Traci 17 Kratish? 18 Α. Yes, she did. 19 Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 2 in Q. the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Traci Kratish? 20 21 Α. Yes, I did. 2.2 O. Okay. And did Traci Kratish execute Exhibit No. 2 in your presence and Shirley Bernstein's 23 24 presence?

Yes, she did.

Α.

- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, which is her 2008 trust, is it your opinion that she had a general understanding of the nature and extent of her property?
  - A. Yes, she did.
  - Q. Okay. And at the time that Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she understood generally the relationship of those who would -- were the natural objects of her bounty?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 2, is it your opinion that she generally understood the practical effect of this document?
  - A. I believe she did.
- Q. Okay. And did you have any belief that

  Shirley Bernstein was unduly influenced in connection

  with -- by any beneficiary in connection with her

  execution of Exhibit No. 2?
- 20 A. Not to my knowledge.
  - Q. Okay. And do you know or have any information about any beneficiary or anyone else actively procuring Exhibit No. 2?
- 24 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And with respect -- now we'll move on

1 to Exhibit No. 3, which is the first amendment of Shirley Bernstein's trust, executed on November 18th of 2 3 2008. And I'll direct your attention on that Exhibit 3 to Page No. 2. And on Page No. 2 --Well, let me ask this question. Did Shirley Bernstein execute Exhibit No. 3 in the presence of both 6 7 you and Rachel Walker? Yes, she did. Α. 8 Okay. And did you execute Exhibit No. 3 in Ο. 10 the presence of Shirley Bernstein and Rachel Walker? 11 Α. Yes, I did. 12 Ο. And did Rachel Walker execute this document, 13 Exhibit No. 3, in the presence of Shirley Bernstein and 14 vourself? 15 Yes, she did. Α. Okay. And at the time Exhibit No. 3 was 16 Q. 17 executed, is it your opinion that Ms. Bernstein 18 understood generally the nature and extent of her 19 property? 20 Yes, I believe so. Α. 21 And is it your opinion that at the time Q. 2.2 Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, she generally 23 understood the relationship of those who would be the 24 natural objects of her bounty?

25

Α.

Yes, I believe so.

1 Q. Okay. And at the time Shirley Bernstein executed Exhibit No. 3, is it your opinion that she 2 3 generally understood the practical effect of this trust 4 amendment? Α. Yes, I believe so. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 6 Ο. 7 information about any beneficiary or any other person unduly influencing Shirley Bernstein to execute 8 Exhibit No. 3? 10 I do not. Α. 11 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or Ο. information about any person, beneficiary or otherwise, 12 13 actively procuring Exhibit No. 3? I do not. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Moving on to Exhibit No. 4 then, which Ο. is the will of Simon Bernstein, and that is a will that 16 Mr. Bernstein executed on July -- yes, July 25 of 2012. 17 18 And let me direct your attention to page 7 of that will, 19 Exhibit No. 4. And did Simon Bernstein execute this document 20 21 in the presence of you and Kimberly Moran on July 25, 2.2 2012? 23 Α. Yes, he did. 24 And did you execute this document, Q. 25 Exhibit No. 4, as a witness in the presence of Simon

- 1 Bernstein and Kimberly Moran on that date? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 Ο. And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 4 as a witness in the presence of Simon Bernstein and 5 yourself? Α. Yes, she did. 6 7 Ο. Okay. And on this date -- or at the time of execution on this date of July 25, 2012, did Simon 8 Bernstein understand in a general way the nature and 10 extent of his property? 11 Yes, he did. Α. 12 Ο. Okay. At the time that Exhibit No. 4 was 13 executed, did Simon Bernstein generally understand the 14 relationship of those who would be the natural objects 15 of his bounty? 16 Α. Yes, he did. 17 And at the time Exhibit No. 4 was executed, 18 did -- in your opinion, did Simon Bernstein understand 19 the practical effect of this will? 20 Yes, he did. Α. 21 Okay. And do you have any knowledge or Q. 2.2 information about any person, whether beneficiary or 23 otherwise, actively procuring this Exhibit No. 4?
  - A. No, I do not.

25

Q. Do you have any information about any person,

1 beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing Simon Bernstein to execute Exhibit No. 4? 2 3 Α. I do not. Okay. And moving on to the last document 4 Q. then, Exhibit No. 5, which is the Simon Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, and I'll direct 6 7 your attention to page 24 of that Exhibit No. 5. On July 25, 2012, did Simon Bernstein execute 8 9 this trust agreement in the presence of you and Kimberly 10 Moran? 11 Yes, he did. Α. 12 And did you execute this trust, Exhibit No. 5, Ο. 13 as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and Kimberly 14 Moran? 15 Α. I did. 16 And did Kimberly Moran execute Exhibit No. 5 17 as a witness in front of Simon Bernstein and yourself? She did. 18 Α. 19 Okay. And at the time Simon Bernstein Q. executed Exhibit No. 5, in your opinion, did he 20 21 generally understand the nature and extent of his 2.2 property? 23 Α. He did. 24 And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, Ο. 25 did Simon Bernstein, in your opinion, generally

1 understand the relationship of those who would be the 2 natural objects of his bounty? 3 Α. He did. And did Simon Bernstein, when Exhibit No. 5 4 Q. was executed, understand generally the practical effect of this trust agreement? 6 Yes, he did. 7 Α. Ο. And at the time Exhibit No. 5 was executed, do 8 9 you have any knowledge about any person, whether beneficiary or otherwise, unduly influencing 10 11 Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein, to execute this Exhibit No. 5? 12 13 Α. Nothing that I'm aware of. 14 Ο. Okay. And do you have any knowledge or 15 information about any person, whether beneficiary or 16 otherwise, actively procuring Exhibit No. 5? 17 Α. I do not. 18 MR. MORRISSEY: I have no further questions, 19 Judge. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. 21 Now, is there any cross? You're not required 2.2 to ask any questions, but you just need to let me 23 know if you're going to. 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, are you asking me? 25 no idea.

1	THE COURT: I'm not asking you. I'm just			
2	telling you, if you have questions for the witness,			
3	this is your opportunity to ask them; if you don't			
4	have any questions, you don't have to ask any. But			
5	if you're going to, you have to start now.			
6	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA)			
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
8	Q. Mr. Spallina, you were called today to provide			
9	some expert testimony, correct, on the			
10	A. No, I was not.			
11	Q. Oh, okay. You're just going based on your			
12	doing the work as Simon Bernstein's attorney and Shirley			
13	Bernstein's attorney?			
14	A. Yes.			
15	Q. Okay. Are you still an attorney today?			
16	A. I am not practicing.			
17	Q. Can you give us the circumstances regarding			
18	that?			
19	A. I withdrew from my firm.			
20	Q. Are you under a consent order with the SEC?			
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.			
22	THE COURT: Sustained.			
23	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
24	Q. Did you sign a consent order for insider			
25	trading			

1	A. Yes, I did.	
2	Q with the SEC?	
3	You did. Can you give us the circumstances of	
4	your consent order?	
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
6	THE COURT: That won't be relevant. Please	
7	move on to the next question.	
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
10	Q. Were you did you plead to a felony crime?	
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
12	THE COURT: Overruled.	
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant as to	
14	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument.	
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, what did you say?	
16	THE COURT: I didn't ask for argument. I	
17	sustained the objection no, I sustained the last	
18	objection. This one I'm overruling.	
19	You can answer.	
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I can't ask him if he's a	
21	felon?	
22	THE COURT: You're asking the wrong guy.	
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Are	
24	THE COURT: The witness is you asked the	
25	question.	

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
2	Q. Are you a convicted felony?	
3	THE COURT: Let's back up a second.	
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.	
5	THE COURT: When you're asking for a ruling,	
6	and I make one, then we're going to have the	
7	witness answer.	
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
9	THE COURT: I made my ruling. I'm letting the	
10	witness answer your earlier question, unless you're	
11	withdrawing it. Are you withdrawing your earlier	
12	question?	
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.	
14	THE COURT: You can answer the question, which	
15	is, did you plead to a felony?	
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, sir.	
17	THE WITNESS: I have not.	
18	THE COURT: Okay. Next question.	
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
20	Q. Have you pled guilty to a misdemeanor?	
21	A. I have not.	
22	Q. Were you involved in a insider trading case?	
23	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
24	THE COURT: Sustained. Next question.	
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Does that mean he doesn't have	

1	to answer that?	
2	THE COURT: How many times have you been in	
3	court?	
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a few where I've had to	
5	do this.	
6	THE COURT: You know how this works.	
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: I really don't.	
8	THE COURT: All right. If I sustain an	
9	objection, that's means he does not answer the	
10	question.	
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And overruled?	
12	THE COURT: If I overrule an objection, that	
13	means the witness does answer the question.	
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
15	THE COURT: And I've asked you to ask your	
16	next question.	
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
19	Q. Is that your picture on the Florida Law	
20	Review, SEC case settled against Florida attorneys?	
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
22	THE COURT: Sustained.	
23	Do you have any questions on the issues that I	
24	have to decide in this case?	
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, his testimony is based	

1	on his truthfulness.	
2	THE COURT: My question is, do you have any	
3	questions you want to ask about the issues relevant	
4	to this case?	
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is relevant to this	
6	case.	
7	THE COURT: I disagree.	
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.	
9	THE COURT: I thought I made that very clear	
10	in my ruling. You probably want to move on to a	
11	relevant issue.	
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.	
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
14	Q. Mr. Spallina, have you been in discussion with	
15	the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office regarding the	
16	Bernstein matters?	
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.	
18	THE COURT: Overruled.	
19	You can answer that.	
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.	
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	
22	Q. And did you state to them that you	
23	fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document and then	
24	sent it through the mail to Christine Yates?	
25	A. Yes, I did.	

1 Q. Have you been charged with that by the Palm 2 Beach County Sheriff yet? 3 Α. No, I have not. 4 Q. Okay. How many times were you interviewed by the Palm Beach County Sheriff? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 9 Ο. Did you mail a fraudulently signed document to Christine Yates, the attorney for Eliot Bernstein's 10 11 minor children? 12 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 And when did you acknowledge that to the Q. 17 courts or anybody else? When's the first time you came 18 about and acknowledged that you had committed a fraud? 19 Α. I don't know that I did do that. 20 Well, you just said you went to the Palm Beach 21 County Sheriff and admitted altering a document and put 2.2 it in the mail. 23 THE COURT: Let me stop you there. If you 24 want to ask the witness questions, you're permitted 25 to do that. If you would like to argue with the

1	witness, that's not do you have any questions			
2	you want to ask?			
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.			
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
5	Q. So you sent a fraudulent document to Eli			
6	Bernstein's minor children's counsel.			
7	Can you tell us what that document did to			
8	affect the dispositive Shirley trust document?			
9	A. It has no effect.			
10	Q. What was its intended effect of altering the			
11	document?			
12	A. To carry out your father's wishes in the			
13	agreement that he had made with the five of you for a			
14	layperson that would be reading the documents.			
15	Q. You were carrying out his wishes by			
16	fraudulently altering a document?			
17	MR. ROSE: Objection.			
18	THE COURT: Sustained.			
19	That's argumentative. I don't want you to			
20	argue with the witness. That's an argument.			
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.			
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
23	Q. Did the fraudulently altered document change			
24	the beneficiaries that were listed in Shirley's trust?			
25	A. They did not.			

1	Q. Who are the beneficiaries of Shirley's trust?			
2	A. It depends on under the trust instrument,			
3	in the absence of Si exercising his power of			
4	appointment, it would be yourself and your two sisters,			
5	Lisa and Jill.			
6	Q. Oh. So the only beneficiaries in Shirley's			
7	trust are me, Lisa and Jill.			
8	Is that directly or through a family trust?			
9	A. Your father had established your parents			
10	had established family trusts for the three of you to			
11	receive assets from the trust.			
12	Q. Okay. So in that document that you sent to			
13	Christine Yates, did you include Ted and Pam's lineal			
14	descendants under the amendment that you fraudulently			
15	drafted and sent to her?			
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.			
17	THE COURT: Sustained.			
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
19	Q. Did in any way the document that you			
20	fraudulently altered and sent to Yates change the			
21	beneficiaries from Eliot, Lisa and Jill and their lineal			
22	descendants to anybody else?			
23	THE COURT: May I ask a question?			
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.			
25	THE COURT: This document that you're			

```
1
    referring to, is anybody asking me to probate that
 2
    document?
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's part of the estate
 4
    plan. It's part --
 5
          THE COURT: Is anybody seeking relief, either
    you or the other side, under that document?
6
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. They're seeking to
7
    change the beneficiaries of my mom's trust through
8
9
    that document and others.
10
          THE COURT: You're misperceiving my question.
11
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Sorry.
12
          THE COURT: That document, which
13
     is -- nobody's put it in evidence; I don't know
14
    what it is, but it's -- that thing that you're
15
    asking the witness about, is somebody seeking
16
    relief based upon that document?
17
          MR. ROSE: Absolutely not. The opposite.
18
          THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking relief
19
    based upon that document?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Oh, absolutely.
21
          THE COURT: All right. Are you claiming that
2.2
    that document is subject to probate?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
24
          THE COURT: Is the lady who's giving you
25
    advice your attorney?
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.			
2	THE COURT: Ma'am, are you admitted to the bar			
3	in Florida? Remember what I told you earlier.			
4	I've let you sit there as a courtesy. Generally, I			
5	don't let wives or friends or anybody else sit at			
6	the table where the parties are because it confuses			
7	me. But you're giving that guy advice and you're			
8	also not listening to me, which I find odd, because			
9	I'm going to have you move you back to the gallery			
10	now. Please have a seat in the gallery. Please			
11	have a seat in the gallery. Please have a seat in			
12	the gallery. Soon. When courtesy is not returned,			
13	courtesy is withdrawn. Please have a seat in the			
14	gallery. Thank you.			
15	Do you have any other questions of the			
16	witness?			
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit this as evidence			
18	to the Court?			
19	THE COURT: Is that the document you've been			
20	asking the witness about?			
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.			
22	THE COURT: All right. Any objection to it			
23	being received as an exhibit?			
24	MR. ROSE: I don't have any objection to it			
25	being received as an exhibit. But as Your Honor			

1 noted, we aren't seeking to probate it, and we're 2 not suggesting it's valid in the first place. 3 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me see what that document is, so then I'll see if I can make 4 5 some sense out of it. You can't -- Gary's always afraid that if 6 7 somebody's not a member of the bar, they might do something bad to me. Officers of the court aren't 8 9 allowed to do things bad to the judge. Other folks 10 don't know that. And so Gary watches out carefully 11 for my well-being. 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha. 13 THE COURT: Okay. So this is a document 14 that's titled "First Amendment to Shirley Bernstein 15 Trust Agreement." 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct. THE COURT: And it's in the book that I've 17 18 been given earlier by the plaintiff as Tab 6. 19 You're seeking to put it into evidence as Defendant's 1? 20 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 2.2 THE COURT: Right? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure. Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: You're offering it as an exhibit? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: No, Evidence 1.

1	THE COURT: The objection to it is that it's			
2				
	not relevant?			
3	MR. ROSE: Not relevant. Right, relevance.			
4	And it's also not something we're seeking to be			
5	probated or treated as authentic and genuine.			
6	THE COURT: Well, the other side is seeking to			
7	use the terms of this document instead of the terms			
8	of the amendment that's in evidence, right?			
9	MR. ROSE: I don't believe that's what he's			
10	doing.			
11	THE COURT: I'm not sure what he's doing, but			
12	in an abundance of caution, I'm going to receive it			
13	for what relevance it might have. I don't perceive			
14	any yet, but we'll see what happens.			
15	So this is Defendant 1.			
16	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 was received into			
17	evidence.)			
18	THE COURT: Any other questions of the			
19	witness?			
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.			
21	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:			
22	Q. You've testified here about Kimberly Moran.			
23	Can you describe your relationship with her?			
24	A. She's been our long-time assistant in the			
25	office.			

1	Q.	Was she convicted of felony fraudulent
2	notarizat	ion in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?
3		MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
4		THE COURT: Overruled.
5		You're asking if she was convicted of a felony
6	with	respect to the Estate of Shirley Bernstein?
7		You can answer the question.
8		MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
9		THE WITNESS: I believe she was.
10	BY MR. BEI	RNSTEIN:
11	Q.	And what was she convicted for?
12	A.	She had notarized the waiver releases of
13	accounting that you and your siblings had previously	
14	provided,	and we filed those with the court.
15	Q.	We filed those with the court.
16		Your law firm submitted fraudulent documents
17	to the court?	
18	A.	No. We filed we filed your original
19	documents	with the court that were not notarized, and
20	the court	had sent them back.
21	Q.	And then what happened?
22	A.	And then Kimberly forged the signatures and
23	notarized	those signatures and sent them back.
24		Judge Colon has a rule in his court to have
25	those doc	uments notarized, even though that's not the

1 requirement under the Florida Probate Code. 2 Ο. So when you didn't follow the rule, you 3 frauded [sic] and forged the document? MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. THE WITNESS: I had nothing to do with that. 6 7 THE COURT: You've got to stop a second. MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: If you continue to argue with the 10 witness, then I'll assume you don't have any more 11 questions. I sustained that last objection to 12 argumentative. MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm a little confused --13 14 THE COURT: I'm sorry about your confusion, 15 but there are ways you could have dealt with that 16 before this trial. If you are confused during the 17 trial, you better get unconfused as quickly as you 18 can because bad things will happen. And I don't 19 want bad things to happen. I want to get the facts 20 so that I can accurately decide the case on its 21 merits. 2.2 Stop arguing, ask questions, let the witness 23 answer, and listen to any rulings that I make on 24 the objections. That's the last time I'll repeat 25 that advice to you. Thank you.

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
2	Q. What law firm submitted those documents to the		
3	court?		
4	A. Tescher & Spallina, P.A.		
5	Q. Are you a partner in that firm?		
б	A. I was.		
7	Q. So your firm that you were a partner with sent		
8	in documents that were fraudulent to the court?		
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.		
10	THE COURT: Sustained.		
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
12	Q. Did Tescher & Spallina law firm submit		
13	Kimberly Moran's forged and fraudulent document waivers		
14	to the court?		
15	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.		
16	THE COURT: He already said he did.		
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: What is that?		
18	THE COURT: Cumulative means you've already		
19	had that answer given.		
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I didn't have that.		
21	THE COURT: He's already said that he did.		
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm asking if they deposited		
23	them with the court.		
24	THE COURT: And he said they didn't.		
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I asked him, and he		

1	said	<del></del>	
2		THE COURT: I won't argue with you. Do you	
3	want	to go on to the next item or not?	
4		MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay, I do.	
5		THE COURT: Okay. Next question, please.	
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
7	Q.	Did your office did you submit documents to	
8	close the	estate of Shirley with Simon as the personal	
9	representative at a time Simon was dead?		
10	A.	We did.	
11	Q.	You did? Excuse me? I didn't hear an answer.	
12	Α.	I said yes.	
13	Q.	So Shirley's estate was closed by a dead	
14	personal representative.		
15		Can you give me the time that the estate was	
16	closed by	Simon while he was dead?	
17		MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.	
18		THE COURT: Overruled.	
19		You can answer.	
20		THE WITNESS: I believe it was October,	
21	Nove	mber 2012.	
22	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		
23	Q.	Do you want to check your records on that?	
24	Α.	I believe it was after his death. I know he	
25	died Septe	ember 13, 2012. And we had received late from	

- one of your sisters the signed waiver. So it was probably in November, somewhere around there.
- Q. You stated that Simon -- that Kimberly did
  five waivers for the siblings that she sent back in
  fraudulently to the court through your law firm.

Did she also do a fraudulent forged signature
of a waiver for Simon?

- A. I'm not sure. I guess if you're saying she
  - Q. Well, the court has on file a waiver of Simon's that she's admitted to.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. We filed all of the waivers originally with the court all signed by the appropriate parties, and the court kicked those back. And she forged and notarized new documents and sent them to the court. She felt she had made a mistake.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of an April 9th full waiver that was allegedly signed by Simon and you?
- A. Yeah. That was the waiver that he had signed. And then in the May meeting, we discussed the five of you, all the children, getting back the waivers of the accountings.
- Q. Okay. And in that April 9th full waiver you used to close my mother's estate, does Simon state that he has all the waivers from all of the parties?

1 Α. He does. We sent out -- he signed that, and 2 we sent out the waivers to all of you. 3 Ο. Okay. So on April 9th of 2012, Simon signed, 4 with your presence, because your signature's on the document, a document stating he had all the waivers in his possession from all of his children. 6 7 Had you sent the waivers out yet as of April 9th? 8 9 THE COURT: What is it that you want the 10 witness to answer? There was several questions. 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, compounded a little bit? 12 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. 13 14 THE COURT: So you even --15 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back. 16 THE COURT: So you even know the lingo of the 17 objections. 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll kick that back to one at 19 a time, because it's an important point. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 April 9th, 2012, you have a signed full waiver Ο. 2.2 of Simon's that says that he is in possession of all of 23 the signed waivers of all of the parties? 24 Standard operating procedure, to have him Α. 25 sign, and then to send out the documents to the kids.

1 Ο. Was Simon in possession -- because it's a 2 sworn statement of Simon saying, I have possession of 3 these waivers of my children on today, April 9th, correct, the day you two signed that? Okay. So if you hadn't sent out the waivers yet to the --6 7 Α. I'm not certain when the waivers were sent 8 out. Were they sent out after the --Ο. 10 I did not send them out. Α. 11 Okay. More importantly, when did you receive Ο. 12 those? Was it before April 9th or on April 9th? 13 Α. We didn't receive the first one until May. 14 And it was your waiver that we received. 15 So how did you allow Simon, as his attorney, Ο. to sign a sworn statement saying he had possession of 16 all of the waivers in April if you didn't get mine 'til 17 18 May? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's relevance 20 and cumulative. He's already answered. 21 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, this is very relevant. 23 THE COURT: What is the relevance on the issue 24 that I have to rule on today? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: On the validity? Well, it's

1 relevant. If any of these documents are relevant, 2 this is important if it's a fraud. 3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I -- okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: When did you get -- did you get back prior to 6 Ο. 7 Simon's death all the waivers from all the children? No, we did not. 8 Α. So in Simon's April 9th document where he Ο. says, he, Simon, on April 9th has all the waivers from 10 11 his children while he's alive, and you didn't even get 12 one 'til after he passed from one of his children, how 13 could that be a true statement? 14 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Cumulative. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 Here's what I'm going to decide at the end of 17 the day; I'm going to decide whether Shirley's 2008 will and trust and 2008 amendment are valid and 18 19 enforceable. I'm going to decide whether Simon's 2012 will and 2012 trust documents are valid and 20 21 enforceable. You have a lot more on your mind than 2.2 I have on mine. You do. Right? But those are the 23 things that I'm working on. So I'm focused like a 24 laser and you're focused more like a shotgun. I'm 25 telling you this so that you can focus more tightly

1 on the questions you're asking and the facts you're 2 developing so they'll help me make an accurate 3 decision on those things that I'm going to decide 4 today. You can keep asking questions that don't go anywhere, but I would hope that you'll adjust your approach so that you'll help me make an accurate 6 7 decision. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 And on validity, let's just get right to that 11 real quick. You've testified to a lot of documents here 12 today, correct, of the estate documents you drafted, 13 correct? Yes, I did. 14 Α. 15 Did you gain any pecuniary interest, did you Ο. 16 gain any titles in those documents? 17 Pecuniary interest? No. I was named by your Α. 18 father as personal representative and trustee of his 19 trust. 20 And so you executed -- you drafted the 21 documents, you signed them as a witness, and you gained interest in the documents, correct? 2.2 23 Α. No, I did not. 24 You didn't gain interest as a trustee --Q.

Objection.

MR. ROSE:

25

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q or a personal representative of those
3	documents?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked and
5	answered.
6	THE COURT: Overruled.
7	THE WITNESS: I was named as his personal
8	representative and trustee, along with my partner.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Did you witness the document?
11	A. I did.
12	Q. Did you draft the document?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. Okay. You mentioned there was Kimberly Moran
15	there at the signing of these documents, correct?
16	A. She was.
17	Q. Okay. Can you point her out, because I'm
18	going to need her to testify as to the validity?
19	A. I do not see her in the courtroom.
20	Q. Okay. You mentioned a Traci Kratish. Can you
21	point her out in the courtroom today to validate the
22	documents?
23	A. I don't see Traci in the room either.
24	Q. So she was another witness that is not here
25	present to validate the documents today? Well, it's

```
1
    awful -- okay.
 2
               Is Kimberly Moran here who notarized the
 3
    documents.
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Asked that
 4
         a minute ago.
6
              MR. BERNSTEIN: I didn't -- did I? Was it
7
         Moran --
 8
               THE COURT: No, I thought it was some other
9
         name.
10
              MR. BERNSTEIN: So did I.
11
              THE COURT: Is Kimberly here?
12
              THE WITNESS: She's not.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
         Q. Okay. Being a former estate planning
    attorney. To validate a document, wouldn't you have the
16
17
    parties who witnessed and notarized and signed present?
18
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
19
         Misstates --
              THE COURT: Sustained.
20
21
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2.2
         0.
              Is it necessary to validate documents with the
23
    necessary notaries and witnesses present?
24
              MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal
         conclusion.
25
```

```
1
          THE COURT: Well, I'm the one that's going
    make that decision. I don't care what the witness
 2
 3
     says about the law.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          I gotcha.
                                     Okay.
          THE COURT: So this would be a good time for
    us to take a pause. We're not making headway.
6
7
          You ever here of cavitation when it comes to
8
    boat propellers?
9
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
10
          THE COURT: Okay. I don't know a lot about
11
     the physics of it, but a boat goes forward based on
12
    a propeller spinning in the water. And it happens
13
     sometimes in racing boats, maybe other boats too,
     that you get the propeller going so fast or you do
14
15
     something so much with the propeller that it
16
    cavitates, which means that it's not actually
17
    pushing in the water. It's making a lot of noise.
18
     It's spinning like crazy. It's furiously working,
19
    but it's not propelling the boat forward. I want
20
    to suggest to you that you've hit a point of
21
    cavitation. So this would be a good time for us to
2.2
    take our lunch break so that when we get back we'll
23
    go forward with this ship that is our trial.
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: How long?
25
          THE COURT: It'll be until 1:30.
```

```
1
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
          THE COURT: That'll give everybody a time to
2
     revive, if necessary, and we'll reconstitute
3
4
     ourselves at 1:30. Thanks.
5
          (A break was taken.)
6
          (Proceedings continued in Volume 2.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	
6	
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	Dated this Ath day of January 2016.
13	Merille V. Line
14	
15	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
16	
17	Job #1358198-VOL 1
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# \$ \$1.2 49:25 \$100 81:12 **\$100,000** 48:7 **\$18** 23:11 46:12 **\$2** 54:6 \$200,000 32:2,9 0 **0** 55:13 07 23:12 80 24:3,5

1 **1** 15:23 34:3,6, 10,15,16 39:10 54:12 61:14,20 62:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5 101:20, 25 102:15,16 **1,150,000** 49:2 **1,550,000** 49:12 **10** 13:9,10,22 14:3,4 22:3 58:9 75:16 **100** 48:14 **100,000** 48:18 **11** 26:12,21 27:1,2,5,11 **11/14/07** 14:13 **11/14/2007** 15:3 **11/16** 15:6 **12** 22:9,12 24:5 **12/19** 23:23 **12/19/07** 23:22, 24 **13** 23:5 45:18, 22 46:2,3,19 53:22 59:1 73:25 106:25 **14** 60:24 61:3, 7,8 **14th** 22:4 23:12 **15** 17:21 63:18 64:5,10,11

```
71:5

16 65:22,24
66:17,21,22
71:6

16th 12:15

17 62:6 73:3,
13,21,22

18 23:13 25:16,
17 47:16 74:3,
4,8,9

18th 40:15 86:2

19 12:25 25:17
26:14

1st 59:1
```

# 2

**2** 35:9 36:11 39:11 51:6 55:14 61:12, 14,21 62:2,3 84:7,10,16,19, 23 85:2,7,12, 19,23 86:4 20 24:9 **2000** 17:21 18:17 20:4,14 21:8,24 30:11 2002 37:19 **2007** 11:25 12:15 22:4 25:25 46:11 47:16 49:23 51:18 53:1 73:2 81:15 2008 8:25 9:1, 2,5,6,21,25 10:4,5 24:9,11 25:25 26:15 33:3,14 34:1 35:12 37:1,17, 20 38:24 40:15,16 41:5 49:22,23 61:12 64:21 82:20 83:12 84:9,11 85:2 86:3 110:17,18 **2010** 42:22 43:17 58:25 **2012** 9:17,18

45:15,21

```
46:13,18
 51:15,21 53:1
 57:17 59:1,2
 62:7 63:23
 64:17 67:18,24
 73:25 74:16
 81:16 87:17,22
 88:8 89:8
 106:21,25
 108:3,21
 110:20
20th 82:19
 83:12 84:9,11
24 89:7
24th 63:23
 65:16
25 20:15 21:17,
 19 67:18
 87:17,21 88:8
 89:8
250 55:14
25th 67:24
26th 15:5 73:7
27 36:8 84:10
```

## 3

3 38:20 39:1,6, 7,11 51:7 61:14,22 62:2 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13 31 24:3 33 23:6 33:22 365,000 52:13

#### 4

4 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,8,9, 15 71:9,10 87:15,19,25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2 40 17:16 18:3 40- 81:12 40A 15:22 17:7, 12 40A-F 17:13

```
40B 16:11
40C 17:1
```

#### 5

5 20:17,18 23:6 51:20 71:22 72:6,11,12 89:5,7,12,16, 20,24 90:4,8, 12,16 500,000 47:24 48:1 55:16

## 6

6 76:25 77:1,5 101:18 60 58:11 600 48:13,14 600,000 55:18

## 7

7 19:11,20,25 20:1 73:15 82:17 87:18 75 54:14 750,000 49:10

## 8

**800,000** 51:9 **8th** 42:23

## 9

9 12:11,12,20 13:1 90 58:11 9th 32:11,17 107:17,23 108:3,8,21 109:3,12 110:9,10

#### Α

absence 98:3

absolutely
99:17,20 abundance
102:12
access 43:25
accommodate
37:10
accompany 40:25 account 23:2
57:4
accounting
60:21 62:14 103:13
accountings
107:22
accurate 19:19 76:22 111:2,6
accurately
104:20
acknowledge
96:16
acknowledged 96:18
acting 73:1
action 8:24
actively 84:5
85:22 87:13
88:23 90:16 actual 35:15
add 23:10
addition 41:5
65:6
address 5:23
addressing
60:25 adjust 11:8
111:5
administration
44:4,8 46:18
74:18
admission 11:11 admitted 24:8
80:13 96:21
100:2 107:11
<b>adult</b> 8:14 82:8
advance 15:9,13
<b>advice</b> 56:23 57:7 99:25
100:7 104:25
<b>affect</b> 97:8

```
affidavit
          70:21
affirmed 10:24
afraid 101:6
afternoon 82:7
aggressive 7:19
agree 9:24 10:5
agreement 9:18
 29:10,22 35:11
 38:2 61:13,22,
 24 64:16 65:8
 71:24 89:6,9
 90:6 97:13
 101:15
agreements
 35:20
Alan 5:6
Alexandra 8:15
alive 35:7
 43:25 44:5,8
 52:10 63:10
 75:3 110:11
allegedly
 107:18
allowed 101:9
altered 78:12
 95:23 97:23
 98:20
altering 46:7
 96:21 97:10,16
amend 38:1
amended 8:24
 9:18 38:4,5
 64:15,23 71:23
 75:1 89:6
amendment 9:2,
 4,5,7 39:18
 40:2,14,17
 61:23 86:1
 87:4 98:14
 101:14 102:8
 110:18
amount 20:7
 55:11 56:6
ancillary 16:23
Andrews 53:17
answering
          76:7
appearance 8:11
appears 18:12
 20:12 23:3
 25:17 26:20
```

27:15 36:8

```
60:24 84:10
         20:23
appoint
 30:2,13 77:15
appointment
 20:21 21:1,4,
 15 29:19
 31:12,15 33:6,
 12,20 55:4
 65:7 98:4
approach 11:2
 12:11 15:21
 67:19 111:6
approaches 11:3
          59:24
approval
          53:16
approved
approximately
 45:13
April 26:14
 29:1 32:11,17
 37:2 107:17,23
 108:3,8,21
 109:3,12,17
 110:9,10
area 37:4
arque 96:25
 97:20 104:9
 106:2
arguing 104:22
          92:14,
argument
 16 97:20
argumentative
 97:19 98:16
 104:4,12
 106:17
arranged 40:5
arrangements
 80:10,12,20,24
arrows
        19:17
assert
        70:17
      25:10
asset
 42:7 50:4
 74:19
assets 18:9,11
 29:16,17 30:2
 31:13 36:4
 43:11 48:20
 49:18 50:1
 54:22 55:2,5
 64:24 78:23
 81:13 98:11
assistant 40:11
```

```
assume 51:8
 104:10
assuming
          28:9
attached 62:13
attack 78:16
attend 59:5
attention 82:16
 84:9 86:3
 87:18 89:7
attorney 12:2
 24:16 27:8
 45:1,4 51:14
 65:23 67:15,25
 71:6 81:8
 91:12,13,15
 96:10 99:25
 109:15
attorney-client
 38:9
attorneys 81:11
 94:20
August 17:21
authentic 8:22
 9:16 26:18
 102:5
authorization
 63:11
authorized 45:3
avoid 29:15,18
aware 39:24
 40:24 50:10,18
 55:20 56:5
 72:25 75:8
 79:6 81:13
 90:13 107:17
```

## В

```
back 8:1 15:4
40:13 42:2
47:11 49:22
60:21,22 79:15
93:3 100:9
103:20,23
107:4,14,21
108:15,18
110:6
bad 101:8,9
104:18,19
balance 21:18
33:23
```

66:14 102:24

Banks 82:22
Banks' 83:8
<b>bar</b> 100:2 101:7
<b>based</b> 25:14
60:2 91:11
94:25 99:16,19
basically 31:3
78:1
Bates 13:20
16:17
Baxley 66:8,11,
12 67:8
<b>Beach</b> 69:25
74:19 95:15
96:2,5,20
bear 16:17
65:25 68:6
<b>bears</b> 15:22
24:9 70:15
<b>begin</b> 78:16
beginning 5:11
begins 53:22
<b>behalf</b> 5:6 6:1
8:13
belabor 21:15
belief 37:20
69:24 77:13
85:16
belong 19:18
beneficiaries
18:4 20:8
33:12,16 46:25
57:14 75:15
77:11 97:24
98:1,6,21 99:8
beneficiary
beneficiary 28:10 33:4
28:10 33:4
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20,
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18,
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16 benefits 80:13
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16 benefits 80:13 Bentley 50:8,9,
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16 benefits 80:13 Bentley 50:8,9, 10,11,20,21
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16 benefits 80:13 Bentley 50:8,9, 10,11,20,21 Bernstein 5:3,
28:10 33:4 42:24 43:6,20, 21 44:17,20 55:9 56:22 57:21 62:16 84:1,5 85:18, 22 87:7,12 88:22 89:1 90:10,15 benefit 54:16 benefits 80:13 Bentley 50:8,9, 10,11,20,21

```
6:6,8,11,14,
17,20,24 7:3,
7,10,13,16,17
8:15,16 9:1,2,
17 10:4,7,9,
11,14 11:15,
20,24 12:8,17,
18 13:5 14:19,
22 16:2,8,13,
21 20:15 23:16
24:9,12,22
25:1,15 27:13
28:18 29:10
30:23 31:9
36:11,13 41:15
43:2 45:10
46:18 49:4,6,8
52:8 56:8
60:25 61:18,
21,22,23,25
62:24 63:12,19
64:1 65:25
68:15,17,21,25
69:5,20 70:7,
16 71:23 73:4
74:13 75:8
77:3,8 78:16,
25 79:4,9,12
80:9,14,25
81:14,21 82:9,
15,19 83:7,11,
14,17,22 84:1,
11,15,20 85:1,
6,11,17 86:6,
10,13,17,22
87:1,8,16,17,
20 88:1,4,9,
13,18 89:2,5,
8,13,17,19,25
90:4,11,24
91:7,23 92:8,
9,13,15,20,23
93:1,4,8,13,
16,19,25 94:4,
7,11,14,17,18,
25 95:5,8,12,
13,16,21 96:8,
15 97:3,4,21,
22 98:18,24
99:3,7,11,20,
23 100:1,17,21
101:12,14,16,
21,23,25
102:20,21
```

```
103:2,6,8,10
 104:8,13
 105:1,11,17,
 20,22,25
 106:4,6,22
 108:11,13,15,
 18,20 109:22,
 25 110:4,5
 111:8,9
Bernstein's
 17:5 22:14
 61:12 82:24
 84:8,23 86:2
 91:12,13 96:10
 97:6
Bill 31:22
 51:13
bit 108:11
blank 71:2
block 67:1
 70:23
blogging 78:17
book 11:5,6,14
 101:17
bottom 14:10
 53:21 55:13
bought
       50:19
 56:4
bounty 83:19
 85:9 86:24
 88:15 90:2
box 67:3 70:25
break
      58:8,19
briefly 41:8
bringing 40:23
brokerage 23:2
brother 45:7
 78:23
brought
         11:4
 40:7
bunch 47:14
business 25:5,
 20,21,24 26:1,
 3 27:16 31:21
       C
call 10:20 40:4
```

58:4 59:3,6,8,

12,19 60:6,8,

18 62:10,12,

22,25 63:1,5, 14 65:13 69:16 **called** 40:3,5 45:3,15 52:7 56:16 75:25 91:8 **calls** 62:23 Candice 5:19 24:12,22 care 8:6 16:24 27:9 55:6 80:4 carefully 101:10 **carry** 77:7 97:12 carrying 97:15 case 5:3 10:15 24:8 50:17 93:22 94:20,24 95:4,6 104:20 cash 47:11 63:7 causing caution 102:12 **CD** 47:9 certificate 74:3,12 chain 69:5 **change** 21:11,25 30:14 40:4 45:11 55:8 56:23 57:20,24 97:23 98:20 99:8 changed 78:12 changing 54:21 56:14,17 charged 96:1 chart 19:14 32:5 Chaves 12:6 **check** 70:25 106:23 **checked** 67:3,4 **child** 19:17 **children** 6:2,8, 25 7:8,10 10:9 18:16,17 19:6, 7,16 20:5,11, 12 21:6 30:16, 18 32:14 40:20,22,25

41:2 44:7 45:7 46:24 55:6 57:13 58:2,5 59:5,20,23 60:8 77:9,25 81:6 96:11 107:21 108:6 109:3 110:7, 11,12
<b>children's</b> 27:7 97:6
Christine 95:24 96:10 98:13
chronological
circumstances
77:6 91:17 92:3
claim 10:1
47:10 80:22
claiming 10:4 99:21
clarify 69:6
clear 95:9
<b>clerk</b> 68:12
clerk's 68:6,
10,11 70:1
client 15:4
25:9 26:15
29:15 35:2,5 39:15 69:13,15
clients 12:16,
18 13:15,17
53:5,10
close 46:24
close 46:24 60:19 73:3 106:8 107:24
106:8 107:24
closed 46:20,21
106:13,16
closer 48:14
Code 104:1
codicils 17:4
collateralized 50:1
Colon 103:24
column 51:3
committed 96:18
communication
58:2
company 23:7 41:12 48:10
1 11.17 10.10

```
51:11,16 76:1,
company's
           13:19
complaint
           8:17,
 24 75:19
compounded
 108:11
Concepts 51:12
concerned 42:7
conditions
 20:23
condo 23:4
 51:2,6 78:24
conduct 7:20
conference 37:4
 58:4 59:3,6,19
 60:6 62:9,11,
 25 63:1 65:13
conferences
 72:18
confidential
 29:17
conform 69:17
conformed 34:8,
 19 68:14 69:16
 73:5
confused 17:25
 104:13,16
confuses 100:6
confusion
 104:14
connection 84:2
 85:17,18
consent 62:16
 91:20,24 92:4
consistent
 24:10 30:7
contact 60:6
contents 75:12
continuance
 7:6,24
continue 57:1
 58:21 104:9
control 39:15,
 16
controlled
 52:2,9
convenience
 40:8
conversation
```

6:21

```
convicted
           93:2
 103:1,5,11
copies 15:16
 35:13,15 76:16
copy 16:4 26:18
 34:5,8,19 45:2
 57:9 68:6,12,
 14 69:16,17
 73:4 76:22
correct 20:16
 21:2,7,10
 27:9,14 28:11,
 14 29:13 31:7,
 25 32:4,8,12
 33:5,7,24
 34:21 35:4
 36:8 40:10
 43:4,9,17
 44:18 47:15,
 19,22 48:8,16,
 25 49:3,11
 51:17 52:14
 53:14,18 54:8
 57:18,24 62:7
 65:1 66:7
 70:22 71:3,17,
 21 73:9 75:6,
 13 77:4 91:9
 101:16 103:8
 109:4 111:12,
 13,22
counsel
         5:17
 6:2,24 10:12
 69:19 78:8
 97:6
count 8:23 9:15
County 69:25
 95:15 96:2,5,
 21
couple 25:7
 72:21 79:21
court 5:3,8,12,
 16,20,24 6:5,
 7,10,13,15,18,
 21 7:1,5,9,12,
 15,17 8:9,12,
 18 9:4,6,24
 10:3,8,10,13,
 16 11:3,6,9,
 12,13 12:22,24
 13:24 14:2
 17:9,11,19,22,
 24 19:22,24
```

```
24:15,23
 26:23,25 29:18
 34:12,14,24
 35:6 39:3,5
 41:18 42:1
 45:24 46:1
 49:5,7 53:15
 56:9 58:7,15,
 20 61:4,6,17,
 19 62:1 64:7,9
 66:18,20 68:9,
 16,19,23 69:2,
 8,22,25 70:5
 72:8,10 73:15,
 17,20 74:5,7
 77:5 79:2,6,
 10,14,18,24
 80:4 81:1,19,
 24 90:20 91:1,
 22 92:6,12,14,
 16,22,24 93:3,
 5,9,14,18,24
 94:2,3,6,8,12,
 15,22 95:2,7,
 9,18 96:7,13,
 23 97:18
 98:17,23,25
 99:5,10,12,18,
 21,24 100:2,
 18,19,22
 101:3,8,13,17,
 22,24 102:1,6,
 11,18 103:4,
 14,15,17,19,
 20,24 104:5,7,
 9,14 105:3,8,
 10,14,16,18,
 21,23,24
 106:2,5,18
 107:5,10,13,
 14,15 108:9,
 12,14,16
 109:21,23
 110:3,15
courteous 7:18
courtesy
          24:18
 100:4,12,13
courthouse
 34:25 78:3
courts 78:22
 96:17
crash 53:4
```

created 26:19 32:15 35:17, 19,23 41:11,17 60:3 77:12,22
<b>crime</b> 92:10
cross 81:20 82:5 90:21 91:6
cross-
examination
82:1
cumulative
105:9,15,18
109:20 110:14
current 46:9
custody 69:5
<b>cut</b> 20:12 77:25

D

**Dad** 60:16

# **Daily** 74:19 date 14:12 15:1 17:19,22 23:21 24:9 40:14 63:22 67:17 84:8 88:1,7,8 **dated** 12:15 15:4 26:14 62:6 73:7 83:11 dates 24:1 daughter 54:24 55:25 day 6:16 8:3,4 52:20 58:12 65:16 67:24 71:25 73:7 109:4 110:17 **days** 15:6,7 27:11 dead 106:9,13, 16 deal 43:10 dealership 50:13

dealings 67:11

**death** 18:10,15

20:9,18 29:7,

**dealt** 104:15

**Dear** 64:14

```
16 30:1 33:18
 43:22 50:16
 54:16 57:5
 64:24 74:3,12
 75:7 106:24
 110:7
debacle 47:10
Deborah 32:7
December
          42:21
 58:24
decide 8:9,20,
 21 45:10 94:24
 104:20 110:16,
 17,19 111:3
decided 57:7
decision 44:12
 57:23 111:3,7
deduction 43:24
 51:8
defamation 79:4
default 33:12,
 16
Defendant
 102:15
defendant's
 101:20 102:16
defendants 8:14
denied 7:6,24
depending
 55:11,12
depends 98:2
deposited
 105:22
depression 53:4
descendants
 30:3 98:14,22
describe 18:2
 28:18 41:8
 102:23
designated
 28:23 33:13
designation
 55:9 57:21
designations
 27:8
determine 9:15
 79:22
developing
 111:2
device
       38:7
```

```
Diana 82:22,24
 83:8
die 30:14 47:1
died
     28:5
 31:11,14 33:3
 42:20 50:8
 58:24 69:18
 74:16 80:22
 81:6,7 106:25
direct 7:19
 11:16 58:1
 81:25 82:15
 84:9 86:3
 87:18 89:6
directed 16:2
direction 38:12
 41:17
directly
          98:8
disagree
          10:7
 95:7
discharge
 62:14,15,16
disclose 16:20
disclosed 46:12
 81:14
discuss 22:22
 25:19 39:14,17
 46:6 48:22
 54:22 60:18
discussed 42:8
 57:16 59:18
 65:13 107:20
discussion
 22:5,22 32:18
 42:10 54:11
 55:19 59:22
 63:14 95:14
discussions
 44:25 54:1
 74:14
disinherited
 55:2
disposition
 19:2
dispositions
 21:25
dispositive
 57:6 65:19
 97:8
distributions
 29:25
```

```
divided 64:24
docket 8:3
document 12:13
 21:13 22:16
 24:7 26:19
 28:25 29:12
 31:14 34:8,23
 35:10,13,18
 38:6,17,21,23
 39:22,24 40:6
 63:4 68:13
 69:6,25 77:1,
 2,12,14,20
 78:10,18
 82:16,18,25
 83:5,8,14
 84:11 85:14
 86:12 87:20,24
 89:4 95:23
 96:9,21 97:5,
 7,8,11,16,23
 98:12,19,25
 99:2,6,9,12,
 16,19,22
 100:19 101:4,
 13 102:7 104:3
 105:13 108:5
 110:9
documents 8:22,
 25 9:11,13,14,
 19,23 13:8
 15:15,16,19
 16:22,23 17:2,
 20,22 18:7,8,
 9,18 19:1
 20:4,13,14
 21:8 22:1 25:3
 26:5,6,8,15,17
 27:12,21 29:4
 30:8,15 31:12,
 16,24 32:10,
 19,22,23
 33:13,17 34:1
 36:15 37:1,13
 40:4,9,16,19
 41:6,20 44:1,
 2,21 45:2,4,5,
 11,16 55:2,3
 56:15,18 57:6,
 24 60:3 61:15
 63:3,11,16
 64:17 65:16,21
 66:9 71:16,18
 72:16 73:8
```

43:10

effort

75:14 76:10, 15,17,19,21 77:10,17,23,24 78:11 79:23 80:12,17,21 82:12 97:14 103:16,19,25 105:2,8 106:7 107:15 108:25 110:1,20 111:11,12,16, 21,22
dollar 23:3
<b>dollar</b> 23:3 35:22 36:2
dollars 25:18
<b>Don</b> 13:12
<b>draft</b> 32:22,23 63:15 65:16
<pre>drafted 30:8   33:13 98:15   111:12,20</pre>
drafting 39:13
drafts 26:6,7,9
27:6 37:2
63:20 64:15
<b>drag</b> 41:2
<b>Drive</b> 53:12
<b>duly</b> 10:24
durable 16:24
27:8 65:23
67:14,24 71:5, 6
duties 63:6

## Е

earlier 93:10, 11 100:3 101:18 earliest 14:11 early 42:16 45:15 46:18 easier 23:1 education 80:16 effect 39:18 60:16 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 97:9,10 effectively 21:4 38:17

97:5 Eli **Eliot** 5:15 7:16 11:14 18:12 20:14 21:17 33:21 45:7 52:1 56:4,5 60:12,25 62:7, 18,24 63:12 78:16,20 80:14,22 96:10 98:21 **Eliot's** 78:7 **email** 60:25 62:6 emergency 78:22 **empty** 50:5 Enclosed 27:6 enclosing 63:20 73:4 **end** 8:4 33:22 110:16 enforceable 8:23 9:16 37:15 71:20 110:19,21 enforcing 7:19 entered 82:14 **entity** 41:11,16 52:4,7,9 envelope 15:24 **equal** 20:22 21:21 equally 19:6 Eric 8:15 essentially 28:19 establish 41:22 established 98:9,10 estate 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 18:2,13 20:6,11 25:3 27:20 28:10,23 30:14 33:4 41:5,24 43:18, 19 44:5,8,13 46:7,24,25 48:19 49:15,18

50:7 52:12

55:23 56:18,19 57:8,16 58:6 59:21 60:19 63:3 64:22 69:19 74:23 76:17 77:23,24 80:21 99:3 103:2,6 106:8, 13,15 107:24 111:12 estimate 43:13 **event** 29:19 30:13 51:16 eventually 29:6 33:25 36:4 evidence 12:21 13:2,23 14:5, 15 17:8,14 19:21,25 20:2 22:3 26:22 27:1,3 34:11, 14,17 39:2,5,8 45:23 46:1,4 61:3,6,9,14 62:2,4 64:6,9, 12 66:17,23 70:3,10 71:5 72:7,10,13 73:21,23 74:4, 7,10 80:13 99:13 100:17 101:19,25 102:8,17 **EXAMINATION** 11:16 examined 10:24 exception 31:17,18 excluded 33:18 44:22 **Excuse** 24:12 34:7 106:11 execute 33:25 40:6 84:15,19, 22 86:6,9,12 87:8,20,24 88:3 89:2,8, 12,16 90:11 executed 35:12 40:9 65:21 67:23 68:1 76:22 82:19 83:14,18,22

84:8,11 85:2, 7,12 86:2,17, 22 87:2,17 88:13,17 89:20,24 90:5, 8 111:20 execution 36:15 82:12,13,25 83:2,4,8 84:2 85:19 88:8 exercise 33:11 55:3 exercised 21:5, 16 33:21 65:7 exercising 98:3 exhibit 12:11, 12,20 13:1,9, 10,22,25 14:4 15:22 16:11 17:7,13,16 18:3 19:20 20:1 22:3,9,12 26:12,21 27:2, 5 34:3,6,10,16 35:9 36:11 38:20 39:1,7 45:17,18,22 46:3 53:22 60:24 61:3,8, 12 62:3 63:18 64:5,11 65:22, 24 66:17,22 67:19,20,22 68:2 70:3,9, 13,15 71:5,9, 10,22 72:6,12 73:3,22 74:3,9 76:25 77:1,5 78:2 82:14,17 83:11,18,22 84:2,5,7,10, 16,19,23 85:2, 7,12,19,23 86:1,3,6,9,13, 16,22 87:2,9, 13, 15, 19, 25 88:3,12,17,23 89:2,5,7,12, 16,20,24 90:4, 8,12,16 100:23,25 101:24 102:16

exhibits 11:5 **exist** 40:16 existed 30:11 52:17 existence 41:16 existing 13:7 15:19 **exists** 52:20 expected 42:11 **expert** 91:9 explain 27:20 77:5,21 explanation 26:16 80:2 **Express** 27:12 extensive 41:23 **extent** 20:20 21:4 61:13 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:10 89:21

#### F

face-to-face 72:21 Facebook 79:19 **fact** 9:8 28:15 39:18 44:21 54:4 55:1 75:15 78:10 **facts** 80:6 104:19 111:1 **failed** 61:11 Fair 51:4 **fairly** 29:14 familiar 22:18 47:22 **family** 19:12 27:7 30:3 32:5,13 41:15 52:8 57:5 63:5 80:14 98:8,10 fashion 77:21 **father** 63:5 81:6,7 98:9 111:18 father's 97:12 **favor** 21:16 65:8

FDIC 47:21 February 46:13 51:15 56:11,20 59:1 72:17 81:16 **Federal** 27:12 **Fedex** 64:3 **felon** 92:21 **felony** 92:10 93:2,15 103:1, **felt** 55:23,25 107:15 **figure** 41:19 55:5 **file** 11:12 12:14 15:6,8, 20 69:22 78:2, 22 107:10 **filed** 7:8 34:24 35:6 43:15 47:10 74:18 103:14,15,18 107:12 **files** 13:20 16:4,15 35:5 **filing** 7:7,23 **final** 29:3 64:18 finally 7:22 finances 46:9 **find** 100:8 fine 22:21 80:7 finished 81:25 **firm** 12:3,5,9 13:5 16:17 26:14 36:21 69:18 75:22 91:19 103:16 105:2,5,7,12 107:5 **fit** 8:5 fitting 82:3 Fleisher 12:7 **flip** 15:3 23:18 31:1 65:24 Florida 37:14, 24 41:10,13 94:19,20 100:3

104:1

**FMV** 51:3 focus 110:25 focused 83:10 110:23,24 **folks** 101:9 **follow** 7:20 26:16 104:2 **forged** 103:22 104:3 105:13 107:6,14 **form** 36:2 52:17 formalities 37:14,24 38:24 67:15 formally 51:13 Forman 12:7 **formed** 48:23 forward 8:2 **Found** 30:15 fourth 24:4 **fraud** 96:18 110:2 frauded 104:3 **fraudulent** 97:5 103:1,16 105:8,13 107:6 fraudulently 95:23 96:9 97:16,23 98:14,20 107:5 **freeze** 78:23 friends 100:5 **front** 89:13,17 **full** 107:17,23 108:21 **fully** 72:25 **funded** 36:3 **funds** 77:15 **future** 26:2 G 111:15,16, 24

gain 111:15,16
 24
gained 111:21
gallery 24:20
 100:9,10,11,
 12,14
Gary 101:10

Gary's 101:6 gave 46:14 50:12 general 41:11 83:18,22 85:3 88:9 generally 18:2 59:18 83:15 85:8,13 86:18, 22 87:3 88:13 89:21,25 90:5 100:4 gentleman 51:13 genuine 77:2 102:5 gift 32:2,9 39:19 girlfriend 50:12,24 55:24 56:21 give 18:5 21:9, 11,23 25:23 27:25 30:12 31:12 40:4 57:7 64:21 69:15 72:24 80:1 81:4 91:17 92:3 106:15 qivinq 45:4 56:18 99:24 100:7 **good** 5:5 19:12 42:14 56:2 58:7 82:7 Gotcha 101:12 **grammar** 65:19 grandchildren 8:15 19:18 55:6 56:19 57:8 59:22 60:10 65:1,9 75:16 77:16 80:19 82:8 granted 65:7 **great** 5:12 43:10 56:20 58:15 Greenwald 15:25 16:7,12,19 17:3

<b>Greg</b> 5:9
gross 53:16
guardian 29:19
guess 30:10
32:1 47:10
54:11,23 55:23
75:3 76:25
107:8
<b>guilty</b> 93:20
Gutter 12:6
<b>guy</b> 92:22 100:7
<b>guy's</b> 79:19

#### Н

**half** 8:4 47:17 51:7 53:20 hand 11:10 13:9 15:21 16:11 26:12 34:3 35:9 38:20 45:17 60:24 63:18 65:22 71:22 76:25 handle 25:5 handwriting 22:11,19 handwritten 34:20 happen 6:19 104:18,19 happened 45:14 50:9 60:2 75:20 103:21 **happy** 55:1 60:17 heading 29:23 health 16:24 27:9 42:12,14 hear 9:22 106:11 **heard** 81:11 hearing 79:13 **heart** 42:15 **held** 64:25 **high** 53:5 **hired** 45:1 **history** 72:15 **hit** 47:10 hoc 6:25

holds 52:12 holidays 25:7 home 51:25 52:5 64:3 Honor 5:5 11:2. 4 12:21 13:23 17:8,23 19:10, 21 26:22 39:2 45:23 49:4 61:11 70:4 72:7 78:25 81:18 100:25 **hope** 111:5 hour 23:17 house 23:3 40:6 51:6 52:8,12 53:12 56:4 housekeeping 61:10 huge 11:6 husband 20:19, 23

## I

**idea** 56:20

90:25 identical 28:19 31:3,16 identification 67:2 71:2 identify 12:12 13:10 17:2 26:13 important 60:17 65:5 71:18 108:19 110:2 importantly 109:11 incapacity 29:20 include 35:5 55:10 56:21 57:21 98:13 included 17:3 38:6 43:15 includes 23:13 including 16:23 income 29:24 increasing 55:11

indication 21:23 25:23 72:24 81:4 individually 5:23,25 10:7 influenced 84:1 85:17 influencing 87:8 89:1 90:10 information 85:21 87:7,12 88:22,25 90:15 inherent 28:5 81:5,6 initial 31:4 Initially 75:14 **inject** 24:18 insider 91:24 93:22 instrument 98:2 insurance 23:5, 13 25:20 51:12 53:25 54:2,7,9 55:9 56:22 57:13 intake 12:14 15:4 intended 30:8 77:11 97:10 **intent** 65:12 77:7,25 interest 48:20 51:19 52:15 111:15,17,22, internal 54:23 internet 78:17 interruption 82:2 interviewed 96:4 invalid 9:25 10:6 80:5 inventory 43:15 inventorying 43:11 invested 47:13 investing 47:17 investment 47:8,12

investments
 41:15 47:21
involved 25:20
 44:24 48:10
 51:14 93:22
involvement
 36:21
IRA 49:10
issue 95:11
 109:23
issues 42:15,16
 78:15 94:23
 95:3
item 106:3
Iviewit 76:3

#### J

January 24:3

Jill 18:12 45:8
98:5,7,21

John 82:7
joined 8:17
joint 23:1 57:4

JP 50:1
judge 8:14
81:22 90:19
101:9 103:24

July 65:21
67:18,24 72:17
87:17,21 88:8
89:8

#### K

kick 108:15,18 **kicked** 107:14 **kids** 108:25 Kim 67:11 71:15 Kimberly 36:18 40:7 66:5 70:17 87:21 88:1,3 89:9, 13,16 102:22 103:22 105:13 107:3 kind 42:17 55:4 58:1 75:18 **knew** 25:12 42:17 57:10 67:10,11 76:8

knowledge 42:13
82:13 84:3,4
85:20 87:6,11
88:21 90:9,14
Kratish 36:14,
23 84:14,17,
20,22

### L

**lady** 99:24 **laid** 65:20 language 21:13 **lapse** 57:11 **lapsed** 57:11 **laser** 110:24 **late** 106:25 law 12:3,5,9 13:5 16:17 37:14,24 69:18 94:19 103:16 105:2,12 107:5 **lawful** 20:22 lawsuit 31:9 **lawyer** 16:7 44:25 75:22 76:5 **layman** 77:9,10 laymen's 27:19, 23 layperson 77:21 97:14 **learn** 50:11 learned 44:21 75:11 **leave** 73:10 leaving 60:9 legalese 27:20 lengthy 41:23 **letter** 16:12,19 17:3 26:14 27:19 32:17 54:24,25 63:19 64:1 73:3 75:21 76:4 77:18 **letting** 24:17 93:9 liability 41:12 LIC 48:6,10 51:11

life 23:4,13 43:8 51:11 54:6,9 55:9 56:22 lifetime 30:24 76:23 **light** 78:15,19 limitations 82:4 **limited** 41:10, 12,13 Lindsay 66:8, 11,12 67:7 **lineal** 30:3 98:13,21 lingo 108:16 **Lisa** 18:13 45:8 98:5,7,21 **list** 50:3 **listed** 97:24 **listen** 104:23 listening 100:8 **lists** 19:15 23:1,6 **live** 42:11 **lived** 56:4 **lives** 52:1 living 16:24 27:9 64:25 77:24 **LLLP** 41:15 48:21,23 50:2 51:7 **loan** 49:25 51:8 54:17 loans 49:18,20, 21,22,24 Logan 32:3,6 38:5 39:20 long 23:15 25:2 78:18 long-time 102:24 longer 42:11 72:15 looked 81:15 losses 53:6 **lost** 47:13,16 **lot** 41:24 44:12

53:5 54:23

110:21 111:11

love 6:15 lovely 5:18 lunch 40:5

M made 32:2 39:19 45:1 47:7 57:23 65:15 66:20 68:12 80:20,23 93:9 95:9 97:13 107:16 **mail** 95:24 96:9,22 **main** 37:3 **make** 6:25 8:11 13:7 17:25 18:8 19:1 32:23 35:25 37:13,15 40:8 56:1 71:15 77:10 80:9,11 82:2 93:6 101:4 104:23 111:2,6 **makes** 60:17 **making** 68:17 mandatory 29:24 **March** 24:5 25:6,25 marital 30:2 43:23 Maritza 50:22 54:19,20 55:7 56:21 57:21 **marked** 45:17 market 51:4 53:4 marriage 30:15, 16,17,18 **married** 30:23 Matt 38:5,8 matter 33:2 38:10 61:10 matters 63:7 95:16 Matthew 32:3,6, 10 38:12,18 39:19

maximum 47:20, 2.4 means 94:9,13 105:18 mechanism 29:21 31:8 meet 11:23 23:15 25:8 40:1 45:16 54:22 56:14 58:25 meeting 13:11, 12,17 14:18 15:9,14,17 22:4 23:19,21 24:1,2,3,4 25:6,12 32:21, 25 40:6 45:20 46:6,13 54:22 55:4 56:20 57:19,20 75:10 77:8 107:20 meetings 13:13 14:17 23:16 24:25 25:25 72:22 74:15 **member** 101:7 **memo** 65:20 memory 24:10 mentally 55:20 72:25 mentioned 59:3 62:21 merits 104:21 met 14:21 25:16 Michael 8:16 **middle** 65:2,5 million 23:3,5, 6,11,13 25:16, 17 46:12 47:16 49:13,20,21, 24,25 51:2,6, 7,20 53:13,16 54:6,12,13,14, 16,17 81:12 mind 110:21 mine 109:17 110:22 minor 6:2 7:8 96:11 97:6 minors 7:11

minute 18:5
minutes 58:9, 11,16,17
miscellaneous
23:4
misdemeanor 93:20
misperceiving
99:10
mistake 39:13 77:10 107:16
mix 57:5
Molly 8:16
mom's 99:8
money 22:6 23:2 44:13,14 47:14
44:13,14 47:14
48:15 56:6
81:5,9
monies 47:11 month 27:11
months 25:7 46:19
Moran 36:18
66:5 67:11
70:17 71:15
87:21 88:1.3
89:10,14,16
102:22
Moran's 105:13
Morgan 50:1
morning 5:5
58:8 Morrissey 8:11,
13 81:22 82:6,
8 90:18
mortgage 23:4
52:12,22
mother's 45:2
55:2 63:3 78:24 107:24
move 12:20
13:22 17:7
19:20 26:21
34:10 39:1
42:4 45:22
61:3,11,13
64:5 66:16
70:3 72:6 73:13,14 74:4
73:13,14 74:4 85:25 92:7
95:10 100:9

```
moving 29:23
84:7 87:15
89:4
```

N name's 82:7 **named** 32:2 36:17 44:20 51:13 57:13 66:8 111:17 names 19:15,17 narrowest 41:21 natural 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 **nature** 83:15 85:4 86:18 88:9 89:21 necessarily 47:25 **needed** 25:12 60:20 61:1 negative 79:19 net 22:5,6,15, 23 25:15 51:25 52:25 53:5,10 **nobody's** 99:13 non-survivor 31:25 Nos 17:13 notarization 103:2 notarized 36:17 66:8 71:4 103:12,19,23, 25 107:14 notary 37:8 66:25 70:24 noted 101:1 notes 13:11,12, 16,19 14:7,11, 15,16 22:2,25 23:11,18 24:2 25:14 32:25 45:19,20 51:19 54:6 **notice** 74:18 November 9:5

12:15 15:5

22:4 23:12

25:25 40:15 49:23 86:2 106:21 107:2 number 15:23 55:12 72:18 73:15 81:11 numbers 13:20

0 **obey** 82:4 **object** 10:17 35:7 49:4 56:8 68:15 75:14 78:25 80:25 objected 79:8 objecting 68:21,24 objection 12:22,24 13:24 14:2 17:9,11 19:22,24 26:23 34:12 39:3 45:24 49:5 60:9 61:4,17, 19 64:7 66:18, 20 68:16,23 69:4,8,9 70:5, 7 72:8 73:18 74:5 75:18 79:10 80:6 91:21 92:5,11, 17,18 93:23 94:9,12,21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 100:22,24 102:1 103:3 104:4,11 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:3,14 111:25 objections 104:24 108:17 objects 83:19 85:9 86:24 88:14 90:2 obtained 68:12 occupation 12:1 Ocean 53:12

October 106:20 odd 100:8 offering 101:24 **office** 36:19 37:5,7,8 59:13,16,17 66:6,12 68:10, 11 70:1 71:12, 13 95:15 102:25 106:7 Officers 101:8 offices 37:4,6, 11 40:23 67:12 **offset** 49:17 offshore 47:9 **oldest** 31:24 open 12:14 15:8 opened 15:7 74:19 opening 43:12 operating 47:2, 3 108:24 operative 9:12 61:15 opinion 10:12 60:5 83:13,25 85:3,7,12 86:17,21 87:2 88:18 89:20,25 opportunity 91:3 opposed 80:3 opposite 99:17 optimistic 26:2 **order** 14:8,9 19:11 53:15 91:20,24 92:4 original 11:11 34:22 35:1,6 69:22,24 103:18 originally 107:12 originals 35:17,19 69:12 72:4 73:11 outlived 28:15 outstanding 49:19 overrule 94:12

overruled 49:7 56:9 81:1 92:12 94:11 95:18 96:13	pa 2 pa
103:4 106:18 overruling 92:18	pa pa pe
<pre>overstate 53:10 owned 48:23    52:1,4 owns 52:8</pre>	pe pe pe
P	pe pe
P.A. 105:4 Palm 69:25 74:19 95:15 96:1,5,20 Pam 18:12 20:12 21:12 33:18 44:19,25 45:4	pe pe pe
54:25 77:25 Pam's 98:13	pe
paragraph 29:23 31:2 39:10,11 65:1,2,6	4
paraphrasing 60:15	7
<pre>parents 19:18   98:9</pre>	pe
part 29:17 42:8	]

46:13 65:4 99:3,4 participate 8:7 10:18 parties 10:14 35:16 100:6 107:13,25 108:23 partner 5:9 13:12 22:24 31:22 41:11 105:5,7 partner's 14:15 partnership 41:10,12,14 **party** 24:15 pass 18:11,14 20:20 28:8 42:18 55:3 57:4,13

31:5 ssed 46:19 73:25 75:1 110:12 st 60:3,4 u**se** 58:8 ying 57:10 cuniary 111:15,17 rceive 102:13 rcent 20:15 21:17,19 33:22 rcentages 18:4 riod 49:23 rmission 59:25 **rmitted** 96:24 rson 40:1 71:4 77:22 87:7,12 88:22, 25 90:9,15 ersonal 18:22 19:5 20:4,10 28:6,7,22 29:7 40:10 42:25 43:14 48:20 63:6 69:19 74:22 106:8,14 111:18 rsonally 14:25 36:22 37:12 67:1,3 70:24 71:1,14 perspective 24:6 petition 62:14, 15 78:22 **phone** 56:16 62:21,23 physically 59:12 **picture** 94:19 **place** 21:24 82:1 101:2 plaintiff 5:6 8:1,19 10:20 101:18 plaintiff's 8:8,17 12:12, 25 13:1 14:3,4

20:1 27:1,2 34:15,16 39:6, 7 46:2,3 58:10 59:1 61:7,8, 20,21,22 62:2, 3 64:10,11 66:21,22 70:8, 9 72:11,12 73:21,22 74:8, 9 82:3 **plan** 18:3,7,9, 14 25:10 46:7 64:22 65:19 99:4 planning 12:2 13:7 15:12,14 16:22 25:3,6 27:21 30:14 41:5,24 57:17 76:17 77:18, 23,24 80:21 **play** 40:22 44:4,7 **plead** 92:10 93:15 **pled** 93:20 plus-four 55:17 point 38:1 42:3 44:19 45:10 52:24 56:3 57:12,23 63:4 67:8 75:7,21 78:7 108:19 **policy** 54:15,18 56:22 57:8,9, 12 Ponzi 47:13,18 portion 58:12 79:16 portions 62:14 possessed 6:4 possession 108:6,22 109:1,2,16 possibility 46:7 posting 79:19 **poured** 28:2,4 **power** 20:21 21:1,4,9,15 30:1,4,13 31:12,15 33:6,

11,20 55:4 65:7,23 67:14, 24 71:6 77:15 98:3 **powers** 16:24 27:8 77:12 practical 83:23 85:13 87:3 88:19 90:5 practice 13:16 71:15 practicing 91:16 prepare 26:4,6, 7 63:15 77:23 prepared 9:22 19:14 32:13 41:4 64:15 77:6,7,17 preparing 27:22 presence 70:18 84:16,20,23,24 86:6,10,13 87:21,25 88:4 89:9 108:4 present 36:13 59:8,10 68:1 83:2,4,7 **pretty** 36:2 previously 38:6 103:13 **price** 53:16 primarily 79:22 **primary** 29:12 principal 20:19 **prior** 14:22 15:14 16:7 25:5 29:16 39:21 110:6 privilege 38:9 39:15 **pro** 5:15 6:25 probate 24:8 29:15 43:13,16 46:18 99:1,22 101:1 104:1 probated 102:5 problems 42:12 procedure 47:2, 3 108:24

17:12,13 19:25

procedures 72:1
proceed 58:20
proceeding 7:4
24:19
procuring 84:5
85:22 87:13
88:23 90:16
produced 13:20
16:5 67:2 71:2
product 30:18
47:8
<pre>properly 33:21</pre>
37:23 40:9
71:16,19
property 18:22
19:5 20:5,10
28:6,7 42:25
43:14 83:15
85:4 86:19
88:10 89:22
protection 42:8
provide 15:13
18:9 29:24
57:3 76:14,18
91:8
provided 15:17,
18 16:14 31:13
45:6 76:21
78:7 103:14
providing 55:10
59:21
provision 29:3
public 42:20
published 74:19
_
pulled 55:24
purported 47:8
purpose 13:4
54:21 78:5
purposes 14:7
43:12
<b>put</b> 9:9 10:19
19:14 20:7
23:25 24:6
25.25 24.0
35.44 30.4
35:22 36:4 43:10 48:13, 17,18 51:23
17,18 51:23
52:16 58:3
63:6 71:5
80:18 96:21
99:13 101:19
putting 78:10
- 5
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

# Q

qualify 46:25 question 6:5,7, 10,22,23 7:1,9 8:19 38:11 68:19 69:10 79:7,11,15 86:5 92:7,25 93:10,12,14, 18,24 94:10, 13,16 95:2 98:23 99:10 103:7 106:5 questioning 79:1,2,7 questions 5:22 7:22 81:18,22 90:18,22 91:2, 4 94:23 95:3 96:24 97:1 100:15 102:18 104:11,22 108:10 111:1,4 quick 111:11 **quickly** 104:17

#### R

**Rachel** 40:11 86:7,10,12 Range 50:13,19 read 7:7,23 9:9 23:1 27:5 53:23,24 54:25 77:23 79:15,16 84:13 reading 26:17 27:19 48:8 65:1 77:10 97:14 ready 5:4 58:20 real 53:8 111:11 realize 50:20 realized 48:3 reallocate 30:4 Realty 52:8 **recall** 20:13 22:17 32:20

38:4 45:13 71:10 74:17 75:20 76:1 receipt 62:16 receivable 47:5 48:1,6 **receive** 12:25 17:12 62:18 66:21 70:8 98:11 102:12 109:11,13 received 13:1 14:3,4 17:13 20:1 27:2 34:16 39:7 46:3 48:5 54:24 61:8 62:3,19,20 64:11 66:22 70:9 72:12 73:22 74:9 76:4 100:23,25 102:16 106:25 109:14 recess 58:16 recognize 22:11 35:10 38:21 45:18 67:20 **record** 11:18 13:10 26:13 28:20 29:18 42:21 79:16 records 106:23 recover 47:25 redirect 30:4 referring 55:15 62:24 63:1 99:1 reflect 14:11 23:19 reflected 18:3 77:19 regard 71:8 reinstated 57:12 relation 56:13 relationship 14:22 41:23 42:17 85:8 86:23 88:14

released 63:5 46:24 releases 47:1 60:21 103:12 relevance 42:3 49:6 56:8 79:1,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 102:3, 13 103:3 109:19,21,23 110:14 relevancy 79:12 80:25 relevant 39:23 42:1 48:22 92:6,13 95:3, 5,11 102:2,3 109:22 110:1 relief 99:5,16, 18 rely 37:8 **remain** 29:17 remained 29:3 remaining 30:2 58:11 Remember 100:3 remove 38:5 39:19 removed 38:8, 12,18 **repeat** 104:24 replacement 31:5 reporter 79:15, 17 represent 68:9 82:8 representative 28:22 29:7 63:6 69:19 106:9,14 111:18 representatives 74:23 represented 6:2 7:13 10:11 16:8 request 7:5 45:2

90:1 102:23

requested 45:15
required 36:1
37:14,24 90:21
requirement
104:1
residuary 20:6,
11,15 28:12
43:2
respect 78:22
85:25 103:6
respond 60:12
response 12:23
14:1 17:10
19:23 26:24
34:13 39:4
45:25 61:5
64:8 66:19
70:6 72:9
73:19 74:6
rest 63:7
restated 9:18
64:16,23 71:23
89:6
retain 12:8
retaining 13:5,
14
returned 100:12
reverse 14:8,9
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13 23
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2.
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2, 4,7,10,14,17
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2, 4,7,10,14,17 12:20 13:3,22
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6   74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7   28:2 29:16   75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23   11:19 82:5   91:6 role 40:23   44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9   8:10,21 9:5,8   10:2,20 11:2,   4,7,10,14,17   12:20 13:3,22   14:6 17:7,15
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6   74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7   28:2 29:16   75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23   11:19 82:5   91:6 role 40:23   44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9   8:10,21 9:5,8   10:2,20 11:2,   4,7,10,14,17   12:20 13:3,22   14:6 17:7,15   18:1 19:10,20
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2, 4,7,10,14,17 12:20 13:3,22 14:6 17:7,15 18:1 19:10,20 20:3 24:24
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6   74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7   28:2 29:16   75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23   11:19 82:5   91:6 role 40:23   44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9   8:10,21 9:5,8   10:2,20 11:2,   4,7,10,14,17   12:20 13:3,22   14:6 17:7,15   18:1 19:10,20
reverse 14:8,9 review 13:6 74:20 94:20 revocable 27:7 28:2 29:16 75:4 revoked 9:13,23 robert 10:21,23 11:19 82:5 91:6 role 40:23 44:4,7 room 59:14 room's 82:3 Rose 5:5,6,9 8:10,21 9:5,8 10:2,20 11:2, 4,7,10,14,17 12:20 13:3,22 14:6 17:7,15 18:1 19:10,20 20:3 24:24 26:21 27:4

45:22 46:5 49:9 56:10 58:14,22,23 61:3,10 62:5 64:5,13 66:16, 24 68:9 69:11 70:3,11 72:6, 14 73:13,16,24 74:4,11 79:21, 25 80:7,8 81:3,18 91:21 92:5,11 93:23 94:21 95:17 96:6,12 97:17 98:16 99:17 100:24 102:3,9 103:3 104:4 105:9,15 106:17 109:19 110:14 111:25 **Rover** 50:13,19 **Rubin** 12:6 Ruffin 12:6 **rule** 103:24 104:2 109:24 **rules** 7:20 **ruling** 93:5,9 95:10 **rulings** 104:23

#### S

sale 53:16 save 52:7 **scale** 55:11 schedule 25:10 **scheme** 47:13,18 **Scott** 75:22 76:4 seat 100:10,11, 13 seated 24:20 **SEC** 91:20 92:2 94:20 secretary 66:13 **seeking** 99:5,7, 15,18 101:1,19 102:4,6 seeks 9:15 self-proving 70:21

send 35:4 44:1 108:25 109:10 sending 16:20 **sense** 41:21 101:5 sentence 20:20 21:3 29:25 separate 62:23 64:25 September 73:25 106:25 **serve** 28:24 40:11 service 62:15 session 58:9 **set** 8:3,23 32:21 33:12,16 37:1 41:10 52:4 58:1 72:16 **settled** 94:20 seven-fifty 54:13,14 Seventeen 73:16 **share** 26:9 40:19 49:2 63:11 **shares** 20:21 21:21 23:6 51:14 80:18 **sharp** 55:20 72:25 **sheet** 12:14 52:16 53:8 **Sheriff** 96:2,5, 21 Sheriff's 95:15 Shirley 8:25 9:1,2,14 11:20,23 12:8, 16,18 13:4,14 14:19,21 16:8, 12 17:17 18:10 19:15 21:22 23:16 24:9 25:1 26:10 27:13 28:1,9, 15,18 30:22 31:2,11,23 32:1,18 33:3, 17,25 35:22 36:4,11,13,22,

25 37:10 38:1, 13,17 39:14, 17,24 40:1,3, 23 41:24 42:15,18,20 43:2 44:2 46:17 58:24 61:12,20,21,23 75:9 76:22 77:2 78:13 80:11 82:15,19 83:7,11,13,17, 21,25 84:8,10, 15,20,23 85:1, 6,11,17 86:2, 5,10,13,22 87:1,8 91:12 95:23 97:8 101:14 103:2,6 106:8 **Shirley's** 18:25 22:6 28:22 30:1 34:7 35:11 40:17,20 43:13 44:20 48:24 50:4 51:1,7 56:19 58:6 60:10,19 65:8 68:11 76:16 77:10,14 97:24 98:1,6 106:13 110:17 **short** 27:25 shorter 31:2 shorthand 28:20 51:4 **shotgun** 110:24 **show** 17:1 22:9 36:2 **showed** 32:25 **shows** 18:19 49:20 **si** 13:13 18:10 28:19,22 37:11 40:3 42:14 43:13,23 45:3 46:25 47:7 54:21 58:25 60:20 64:14 73:2 98:3 Si's 34:5 48:19,24 50:16 66:12 67:23

71:13 76:17 siblings 21:17 63:15 103:13
107:4
<b>sic</b> 104:3
sicker 42:18
<b>side</b> 5:14 8:6,8
49:16 58:11
82:3 99:6
102:6
<b>sign</b> 37:1 38:17
61:1 67:14
69:13 91:24
108:25 109:16
signature 22:20
35:14 36:8,11
65:25 66:3
70:15,23 107:6
signature's
108:4
signatures
34:20 35:16
103:22,23
signed 26:5
27:12,22 29:4
32:19 36:25
37:13,23 38:23
39:24 62:17
63:4 65:17
70:17,24
71:10,16,19,25
72:3 73:8
74:15 77:14
78:13 96:9
107:1,13,18,19
108:1,3,21,23
100:1,3,21,23
signer 31:25
significant
56:6
significantly
52:25
signing 35:15
39:21
similar 30:10
37:1,2
1
Simon 8:16 9:17
10:4 11:20,23
12:8,16,18
13:4 14:19,21
16:2,8,12,20,
21 17:17 19:15
1

```
21:22 22:5,14
 23:2,16 24:25
 25:15 26:9
 27:13 28:1,9,
 20,23 29:9
 30:22 31:4,12,
 14,21 32:18
 33:6,17,25
 35:7 37:1,17,
 19 40:1,20,23
 41:24 42:7,23
 43:25 44:2,5,
 8,11,12 45:10
 46:6 47:4
 50:6,12 51:12
 52:2,9 53:20
 54:1 55:19,22
 56:13 57:15
 59:10,12,14,20
 60:8 63:10,16,
 19 64:1 65:25
 67:10 68:1
 69:18,20 70:16
 71:23 72:19,24
 73:4,25 74:12,
 15 77:15 78:13
 80:9,11,22,23
 81:13 82:9
 87:16,20,25
 88:4,8,13,18
 89:1,5,8,13,
 17,19,25 90:4,
 11 91:12
 106:8,9,16
 107:3,7,18,24
 108:3 109:1,2,
 15 110:10
Simon's 9:24
 18:24 22:5,23
 27:15 29:7,22
 31:5 37:5,6,8
 43:20 51:16
 65:12 75:1
 107:11 108:22
 110:7,9,19
simple 28:2
simpler 77:21
SIPC 47:5,10,20
 48:4,15
sir 5:15 6:14
 7:15 8:11 9:8
 16:16 24:22
 42:5 58:14
```

```
73:16 93:4,16
 98:24 101:23
 104:8
sisters 33:23
 45:8 98:4
 107:1
sit 24:17
 100:4,5
sits 34:23
sitting 24:17
 37:3
situation 44:16
skipped 65:4
slander 79:4
slighted 56:1
small 54:17
sold 53:13
 78:24
sole 28:10
 42:24 43:5,20,
 21 44:17 75:4
somebody's
 101:7
son 31:24 32:7
 51:12
sophisticated
 25:10
sort 27:19
 30:10 44:11
 46:14 48:18
 64:20
sound 24:10
 74:1
Spallina 10:21,
 23 11:19,21
 15:22 54:4
 82:5,7 91:6,8
 95:14 105:4,12
Spallina's
 61:15
speaks 19:5
special 30:1
 32:9 65:7
 80:9,11,13,16,
 20,23
specific 32:2
 38:6 39:19
specifically
 39:14,17 57:15
```

```
spend
      44:12
split
      19:6 20:5
spoke 45:3
spouse 30:13
 44:17
spouses 30:4
St 53:17
stack 14:10
stamp 13:20
 16:17 68:6
stand 58:13
standard 13:16
 29:14 47:2,3
 108:24
Stanford 47:8,9
Stansbury 31:22
 51:13
star 48:17
start 14:11
 29:9 91:5
started 72:16
starting 57:20
starts 29:25
state 11:18
 95:22 107:24
stated 12:24
 14:2 17:11
 19:24 70:7
 107:3
statement 22:15
 68:17,22,25
 69:2,9 109:2,
 16 110:13
stating 108:5
status 46:17
 75:25
stay 7:3
Stephen 15:25
 16:7
stock 53:4
 80:18
stood 46:14
stop 6:13 7:12
 19:8 41:18
 96:23 104:7,22
story 52:25
stress 63:7
strictly 82:4
strike 44:10
 63:25 71:9
```

**speed** 50:3

80:10
structure 21:24
struggling
55:22
subject 20:22
65:20 99:22
<b>submit</b> 100:17 105:12 106:7
submitted
103:16 105:2
<pre>subsequent 81:8 successor 5:7</pre>
successor 5:7
28:23 29:6,20
31:4,9,19,20,
22,24 43:5
74:25 75:9
78:23
Sue 18:12
<b>sued</b> 5:25 6:3,
9,11
suffered 53:6
suggested 57:3
suggesting
101:2
<b>summary</b> 26:17 46:14 63:24
46:14 63:24
64:21
superseded 9:23
supporting 56:5
supposed 24:17
75:15 80:23
<b>supposedly</b> 6:1 47:11
surrogate 27:9
survive 65:9
survived 28:9
42:23
surviving 44:17
survivor 18:10,
11,14 20:9
21:8,16 28:8
30:12 33:18
sustain 69:3
80:6 94:8
110:3
sustained 69:9
91:22 92:17
93:24 94:22
96:7 97:18
98:17 104:5,11
105:10 110:15

sway 60:4
sworn 10:24
69:3 109:2,16
system 70:1

Т **Tab** 19:11 101:18 table 5:17,21 100:6 **talk** 6:15 15:10,11 29:9 32:21,22 33:1 40:2 56:14 57:20,22 58:5 59:20 **talked** 52:16 54:4 55:10 56:17 talking 20:24 78:11 79:3 talks 19:4 20:17 tangible 18:22 19:5 20:10 28:7 42:24 43:14 tax 43:22 49:15 taxes 43:18,19, 21 technically 39:15 **Ted** 5:6 18:12 28:23 29:6 31:5,8,24 32:6 33:18 43:25 44:2,4 45:7 51:12 66:12,14 75:8,10 98:13 **Ted's** 32:7 77:25 telephone 72:18 telling 91:2 110:25 ten 57:8 58:16 59:22 60:10 77:15 80:18 tendency 53:10

term 43:8

**terms** 9:16 20:22 27:19,24 77:13 102:7 Tescher 12:6 15:22 23:10 74:22,25 105:4,12 Tescher's 13:12 22:25 51:19 54:6 testamentary 8:22 9:10 29:12 37:24 38:24 39:23 41:5,20 44:2 45:11 63:11 67:15 77:2 80:12 testified 10:25 61:16 102:22 111:11 testimony 9:22 91:9 94:25 theoretically 21:11 29:11 thing 64:20 78:21 99:14 things 41:8 42:8 43:11 49:12 53:4 60:2 78:17 79:21 101:9 104:18,19 110:23 111:3 thinking 56:18 thought 49:1 50:19 53:20 55:8 59:23 60:2,11 81:5,9 95:9 **tightly** 110:25 til 109:17 110:12 time 8:5,18 10:17 12:1 13:14 19:12 22:7 25:11,20 26:2 32:15 36:14,25 40:20 41:1 44:13,19 46:15 49:1,19, 23 52:7 53:6

56:3 58:8,10, 14,17 67:8 72:1,19,24 75:11 76:8 82:4 83:13,17, 21 85:1,6,11 86:16,21 87:1 88:7,12,17 89:19,24 90:8 96:17 104:24 106:9,15 108:19 times 7:21 25:24 81:15 94:2 96:4 **title** 29:16 titled 101:14 titles 111:16 today 8:9,17,20 9:20 34:23 36:1 51:24 76:6,8 82:10 91:8,15 109:3, 24 111:4,12 today's 14:7 told 51:18 60:5,20 100:3 top 20:18 28:18 47:5 totaled 49:12, 13 totals 23:5 **Traci** 36:14,22 84:12,14,16, 20,22 **traded** 50:12,18 trading 91:25 93:22 transmittal 77:18 transmitting 26:15 travel 37:11 treated 102:5 tree 19:13 32:5 trial 5:10 6:22 8:2,4 104:16, 17 **trials** 7:20 **Tripp** 75:22 76:4

true 21:13     26:18 71:16     76:24 110:13  trust 6:3 9:1,     3,18,21,25     10:5 20:19,22     27:7 28:2,13     29:10,16,18,22     30:2,3 31:13,     23 33:3 35:11,     19,23,25 36:5     37:2,19,20     38:2,24 40:17,     18 43:3 48:24     51:1 57:13     61:12,22,24     64:16,23 65:8     69:12 71:23     73:11 74:15     75:1,4,9,12     78:24 80:18     84:8 85:2 86:2     87:3 89:6,9,12     90:6 95:23     97:8,24 98:1,     2,7,8,11 99:8     101:15 110:18,     20 111:19  trustee 5:7
6:3,12 29:20 31:9,19,20,22 43:5 75:4,9 78:24 111:18,
trustees 75:1
trusts 16:24 20:7 27:7 29:24 32:13,15 64:25 80:16 98:10
truthfulness
95:1 turn 14:14 19:9,11 24:13 34:19 35:21,22 68:4,5 70:12 82:17
turning 8:1 typewritten 68:13 69:16 typical 18:13 32:24

```
Typically 30:12
       U
ultimately 57:6
 65:20
Um-hum 33:8
 51:10
unable 28:24
uncommon 25:8
 53:3
unconfused
 104:17
underline 67:2,
 3
underlying 63:3
underneath 50:4
 51:23
understand
 24:21 77:11
 88:9,13,18
 89:21 90:1,5
understanding
 83:18,23 85:3
understood
 83:14 85:8,13
 86:18,23 87:3
unduly 84:1
 85:17 87:8
 89:1 90:10
unequal 20:22
unlike 68:10
 69:12
untimely 7:25
unusual 44:16
       v
vague 77:9
Vaguely 76:2
valid 8:22
 9:11,15 10:1,5
 35:25 37:15,21
 71:19 77:2
```

# 79:23 80:1,3,5 101:2 110:18, 20 validated 9:20 validity 41:19 79:1,12 82:10 109:25 111:10

```
53:7,8
values
        12:23
verbal
 14:1
vice 6:25
View 75:25
virtually 31:3
visit 41:1
volition 73:1
       W
wait 80:5
waiting 46:22,
 23
waiver 61:1
 62:13,15
 103:12 107:1,
 7,10,18,19,23
 108:21 109:14
waivers 46:23
 47:1 60:21
 62:20 105:13
 107:4,12,21,25
 108:2,5,7,23
 109:3,5,7,17
 110:7,10
Walker 40:11
 86:7,10,12
wanted 10:10
 13:6 17:24
 21:23,25
 31:21,23 32:23
 37:10 55:24
 56:1 57:7,22
 59:20,22 60:1
waste 8:6 10:16
wasting 44:13
watches 101:10
ways 57:4
 104:15
wealth 60:10
Weiss 5:10
```

wished 25:3 97:12,15 wishes withdraw 38:11, 15 withdrawing 93:11 withdrawn 100:13 withdrew 91:19 witnesses 36:10 70:16 84:12 wives 100:5 **word** 53:22 **words** 27:6 work 7:18 22:2 36:19 91:12 **worked** 66:12 working 12:2 110:23 works 94:6 **world** 53:3 worth 22:5,6, 15,23 25:15 51:18,19,21,25 52:25 53:5,11 write 21:14 wrong 92:22 wrote 23:10 49:15 Y Yates 76:11 95:24 96:10 98:13,20 **year** 43:17 56:6 **years** 47:17 55:12,14,16,17

well-being

When's 96:17

wife's 59:21

28:4 30:11

wife 5:18 32:7

wills 16:23,25 17:5,6 27:6,9

101:11