Bernstein Q. Vol 2 December 15, 2015

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
2	CASE No. 502014CP003698XXXXNB
3	TED BERNSTEIN,
4	
5	Plaintiff, -vs-
6	DONALD R. TESCHER, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, JILL MARLA IANTONI, et al.,
7	TION SOL INTERSTITIN, CILL INNELLI TIMITONI, CC GI.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN L. PHILLIPS
11	VOLUME 2 PAGES 117 - 260
12	
13	Tuesday, December 15, 2015 North County Courthouse Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33410
14	9:43 a.m 4:48 p.m.
15	
16	Reported By: Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
17	Notary Public, State of Florida West Palm Beach Office Job #1358198- VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	ALAN ROSE, ESQUIRE
4	GREGORY WEISS, ESQUIRE MRACHEK FITZGERALD ROSE KONOPKA THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
5	505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
6	Phone: 561.655.2250 E-mail: Arose@mrachek-law.com
7	
8	On behalf of the Defendant:
9	ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE, ESQUIRE
10	2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, Florida 33434
11	Phone: 561.245.8588 E-mail: Iviewit@iviewit.tv
12	
13	On behalf of Molly Simon, Alexandra, Eric & Michael Bernstein:
14 15	JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQUIRE LAW OFFICE OF JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
16	330 Clematis Street Suite 213
- ° 17	West Palm Beach, Florida Phone: 561.833.0866
18	E-mail: John@jmorrisseylaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			_		
2		I N D	E X		
3			-		
4					
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
6 7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN: BY MR. ROSE: BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	104	120	188	
8	TED BERNSTEIN	194			
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:	206			
10	BY MR. ROSE: BY MR. BERNSTEIN:		213	217	
11					
12					
13			_		
14		EXHII	в I Т S		
15			-		
16					
17	NUMBER DEFENDANT'S EX. 2	LETTE			PAGE 161
18	DEFENDANT'S EX. 3	PETIT	LON FOR	DISCHARGE	198
19					
20					
21	NUMBER	DESCR	IPTION		PAGE
22	PLAINTIFF'S EX. 6				Y 187
23		BERNST	FEIN'S T	RUST	
24					
25					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Proceedings continued from Volume 1.)
4	THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Our
5	witness is still under oath.
6	Is there any further cross-examination?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	CROSS (ROBERT SPALLINA) (Cont'd)
10	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11	Q. Mr. Spallina, just to clarify
12	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can he just stand at
13	the podium?
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, use the podium. Your
15	microphone will help explain your questions. But
16	you can walk up there. If you need to show the
17	witness a document or something, that's fine.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
19	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20	Q. Did you are you a member of the Florida
21	Bar?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	Q. Currently?
24	A. Yes, I am.
25	Q. Okay. You said before you surrendered your

1 license. I said I withdrew from my firm. It wasn't 2 3 that I was not practicing. Okay. In the chain of custody of these 4 Q. documents, you stated that there were three copies made? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. Do you have those three original trust copies here? 8 T do not. 9 Α. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Does anybody? 11 THE COURT: Do you have any other questions of the witness? 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I wanted to ask him 13 14 some questions on the original documents. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Keep going. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Okay. So the original documents aren't in the 18 court? 19 Α. I don't have them. Your firm is not in possession of any of the 20 21 original documents? 2.2 Α. I'm not sure. I'm not at the firm anymore. 23 Ο. When you left the firm, were there documents 24 still at the firm? 25 Α. Yes, there were.

1	Q. Were you ordered by the court to turn those
2	documents over to the curator, Benjamin Brown?
3	A. I don't recall.
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Can he clarify the
5	question, which documents? Because I believe the
6	curator was for the estate, and the original will
7	was already in file, and the curator would have no
8	interest in the trust
9	THE COURT: Which documents? When you say
10	"those documents," which ones are you referring to?
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Any of the trusts and estate
12	documents.
13	THE COURT: Okay. That's been clarified.
14	You can answer, if you can.
15	THE WITNESS: I believe that he was given I
16	believe all the documents were copied by
17	Mr. Pollock's office, and that he was given some
18	type of zip drive with everything. I'm not sure,
19	though. I couldn't
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Did the zip drive contain the original
22	documents?
23	A. Did not. I believe the original documents
24	came back to our office. Having said that, we would
25	only have when we made and had the client execute

1	three documents, two originals of those documents would
2	remain with the client, and then we would keep one
3	original in our file, except including, most of the
4	time, the original will, which we put in our safe
5	deposit box. So we would have one original of every
6	document that they had executed, including the original
7	will, and they would keep two originals of everything,
8	except for the will, which we would give them conformed
9	copies of, because there was only one original will.
10	Q. Okay. I asked a specific question. Did your
11	firm, after the court order of Martin Colin, retain
12	documents, original documents?
13	MR. ROSE: Objection. Sorry. I should have
14	let him finish.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: original documents?
16	THE WITNESS: I believe
17	MR. ROSE: Relevance and misstates the
18	there's no such order.
19	THE COURT: Well, the question is, Did your
20	firm retain the original documents?
21	Is that the question?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	Answer, please.
25	THE WITNESS: I believe we had original

1 documents. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 After the date you were court ordered to 4 produce them to the curator? MR. ROSE: Object -- that's the part I object 6 to. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 To your knowledge -- so, to your knowledge, 11 the documents can't all be here since they may be at 12 your firm today? 13 Α. I don't practice at the firm anymore, so I'm not sure where the documents are. 14 15 Okay. And you said you made copies of all the Q. 16 documents that you turned over to the curator? Did you 17 turn over any original documents as ordered by the 18 court? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. Same objection. 20 There's no court order requiring an original 21 document be turned over. 2.2 THE COURT: What order are you referring to? MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge Colin ordered when they 23 24 resigned due to the fraudulent alteration of the 25 documents that they turn over --

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           I just said, what order are you
 2
          referring to?
 3
               MR. BERNSTEIN: It's an order Judge Colin
 4
          ordered.
               THE COURT: All right. Well, produce that
          order so I can see it, because Judge Colton's [sic]
6
7
          been retired for six or seven years.
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I don't have it with
 8
9
          me, but...
10
               THE COURT: Well, Judge Colton's a retired
11
          judge. He may have served in some other capacity,
12
          but he doesn't enter orders, unless he's sitting as
13
          a replacement judge. And that's why I'll need to
14
          see the order you're talking about, so I'll know if
15
          he's doing that. Okay. Thanks. Next question.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16
17
               Okay. Has anyone, to the best of your
18
     knowledge, seen the originals while you were in custody
19
     of them?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
21
               Okay. Who?
          Q.
2.2
          Α.
               I believe Ken Pollock's firm was -- Ken
23
     Pollock's firm was the firm that took the documents for
24
     purposes of copying them.
25
          Q.
               Did anybody ask you, refer copies to inspect
```

1	the documents?
2	A. Other than Ken Pollock's office, I don't
3	recall.
4	Q. Did I ask you?
5	A. Perhaps you did.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to go through
7	some of the documents with him real quick. But I
8	don't have my wife to hand me the documents, so
9	it's going to take me incredibly long. These are
10	just copies I have. Can I approach him?
11	THE COURT: All approaches are okay.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
13	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14	Q. Are these the documents that you drafted,
15	Shirley's will and Shirley's trust agreement?
16	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, could I see what he's
17	handing the witness before he hands it to them?
18	THE COURT: Say again.
19	MR. ROSE: I don't know what he's handing the
20	witness.
21	THE COURT: All right. You'll need to show
22	the other side the documents that you're handing to
23	the witness so that they're looking at the same
24	thing you're talking about.
25	MR. ROSE: These are not accurate. These are

```
1
     multiple things stapled together. I'd object to
     the exhibit -- or the use of it.
 2
 3
          THE COURT: Ma'am, if you come back up past
     that bar one more time, you'll be in contempt of
 4
 5
     court. I don't want you to be in contempt of
     court. Do you understand my instruction?
6
 7
          MRS. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
          THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
          MR. ROSE: I don't know if that's filed with
 9
10
     the court and I don't know that these are genuine.
11
     And the second document has attached to it --
12
          THE COURT: Well, you don't need to tell me
13
     what the papers are. The thing that the person
14
     who's asking the questions has to do is show you
15
     the documents that he's going to show the witness.
16
          MR. ROSE: Okay.
17
          THE COURT: Then I intend to move forward.
18
     expect he'll show the witness the documents and
19
     then he'll probably ask a question.
20
          Am I right?
21
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to see those?
22
          THE COURT: Nope.
          So then if there's an objection to the
23
24
     documents coming in, if at some time they're
25
     proffered as an exhibit, then I'll take the
```

```
1
          objection.
 2
               Have you seen the documents that are in his
 3
          hand that are going to be shown to the witness?
 4
               MR. ROSE: Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry.
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
               Proceed.
6
7
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Okay. Can you look at the initials on the
          Ο.
8
9
    pages of that document and describe them -- describe
10
     what they look like?
11
          Α.
               The initials?
12
          Ο.
               Yes.
13
          Α.
               On each page, there's an SB --
14
          Ο.
               Okay.
15
               -- for your mother's initials.
          Α.
16
          Q.
               And it's clearly SB?
17
          Α.
               Is it clearly SB?
18
          Q.
               Yeah. Looks like SB?
19
               Yes, it's clearly SB.
          Α.
               Okay. And on this will signed on the same
20
          Ο.
21
     date by my mother in your presence, is that my mom's
2.2
     initials? And does it look like an SB? Do they even
     look similar?
23
24
               Well, your mother was asked to sign these
25
     documents.
```

Q. Okay.

1

- A. When we execute a will, unlike the bottom of
- 3 | the trust agreement where we initial the trust pages, on
- 4 | the bottom of the will, she's supposed to sign her
- 5 | signature. And which she has done at the bottom of each
- 6 page, is sign her signature consistent with the
- 7 | signature page that she signed.
- 8 Q. So what you're saying is, she signed this
- 9 document, that she initialed this document?
- 10 A. Right. We only ask that for purposes of the
- 11 | trust that they initial each page. For purposes of the
- 12 | will, that they sign each page.
- 13 | So this is the signature that she has -- this
- 14 | is her signature on the bottom of this document.
- 15 Q. Well, there's no line saying that's her
- 16 | signature, correct? There would be --
- 17 A. But that was our practice.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. That was our practice, to have --
- Q. Okay. You testified to my dad's state of mind
- 21 | that he was fine.
- 22 Si was usual when you saw him from May through
- 23 his death; is that correct?
- A. Are you speaking about 2012?
- 25 Q. Yes.

1 Α. Correct. 2 Ο. Are you aware of any medical problems my 3 father was having at that time? 4 Α. No, I'm not. Are you aware of any stress he was under? 0. 6 No, I was not. Α. 7 Mr. Rose had you read into or -- read into the 0. record a letter that I wrote with my waiver, saying, 8 9 anything -- I haven't seen the dispositive documents, 10 but I'll do anything, 'cause my dad is under stress, to 11 relieve him of his stress. 12 Do you know what stress I was referring to? I don't. 13 Α. 14 Were you in the May meeting with my father, 15 May 10, 2012? 16 Α. I was -- are you talking about on the 17 telephone call? 18 Q. Correct. 19 I wasn't together with him. Α. 20 Okay. Were you together with anybody on that Ο. 21 call? 2.2 Α. No. I was on -- in my -- my office phone. 23 O. Okay. And at that meeting, did Si state that 24 he was having this meeting to end disputes among certain 25 parties and himself?

A. I don't recall.

1

2

9

18

19

- Q. Were there any disputes you were aware of?
- 3 A. The only thing that he ever brought to my
- 4 attention was the letter that Pam had sent him.
 - Q. And what did Pam's letter state, basically?
- A. I can't remember it. I mean, it was the

 letter that he showed me in February of 2012. But the

 general gist of that letter was that she was unhappy
- 10 Q. Just her or her and her children?

about not being part of their estates.

- 11 A. She may have spoke to her children.
- Q. Was there anybody else who was left out of the wills and trusts?
- 14 A. That was causing him stress?
- Q. No. Just anybody at this point that was left out, other than Pam.
- 17 A. Yes. Ted.
 - Q. And are you aware of anything Ted and Pam were doing to force upon Si changes?
- A. Not to my knowledge, other than the letter
 that Pam had sent to him just expressing her
 dissatisfaction.
- Q. You said you talked to her attorney?
- 24 A. I talked to her attorney.
- Q. And you told her attorney, while Si was

- living, that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts with her brother Ted?

 A. I don't recall the conversation with the
 - A. I don't recall the conversation with the attorney, but, ultimately, Si gave me authorization to send documents to the attorney. So we may have had a conversation about it.
 - Q. So you're stating that Si told you to -- he authorized you to tell his daughter that she had been cut out of the estates and trusts?
- 10 A. He authorized me to send documents to the 11 attorney.
 - Q. Did you send those documents to the attorney?
- 13 A. I believe we did, yes.

4

6

7

8

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. Was Ted and his lineal descendants disinherited?
 - A. They were, under the original documents.
 - Q. Well, under Shirley's document that's currently theirs, Ted considered predeceased for all purposes of disposition according to the language in the document you drafted?
 - A. To the extent that assets passed to him under the trust.
- Q. Well, the document says, for all purposes of disposition, Ted Bernstein is considered predeceased, correct?

1 Α. You'll have to state the question again. 2 Ο. Does the document you drafted say that Ted 3 Bernstein is both considered predeceased under the beneficiary definition with his lineal descendants and 4 5 considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions 6 of the trust? 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. The document's in evidence. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll have him read it. 11 THE COURT: Well, I mean, I can read it. 12 in evidence. So when it comes time, just point me 13 to the part that you want me to read, and I'll read it. But I don't need to have the witness read it 14 15 to me. That's of no benefit. 16 MR. ROSE: Your Honor, and for the record, 17 those issues are part of the other counts and 18 aren't being tried today. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 7, Your Honor, of the 20 Shirley trust. 21 THE COURT: What exhibit number is that? 2.2 MR. BERNSTEIN: You want me to enter it as my 23 exhibit? 24 THE WITNESS: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your 25 Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. Let me go to page 7 of
2	Plaintiff's 2.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I enter this one into the
4	record?
5	THE COURT: Is it the same as the one I
6	already have?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: According to Alan, it's not.
8	THE COURT: According to who?
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Rose.
10	THE COURT: All right. Well, if it comes time
11	for you to put any exhibits in on your case, if
12	that's not a duplicate of an exhibit that's already
13	in, you're welcome to put it into evidence. But
14	this is not the time when you put evidence in.
15	This is the time when you're cross-examining the
16	plaintiff's witness.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: So on Page 7 of Plaintiff's 2, you
19	can go on with your questioning.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Are you there and are we on the same page?
22	Yes?
23	A. Yes, I am.
24	Q. Okay. In the definition of under E1, do
25	you see where it starts "notwithstanding the foregoing"?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. Okay. Can you read that? 3 Α. "Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for 4 purposes of the dispositions made under this trust to my 5 children, Ted S. Bernstein and Pamela B. Simon and their 6 7 respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have 8 predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me, provided, however, if my children Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni 10 and" --11 Okay, that's -- you can stop there. Ο. 12 Would you consider making distributions a 13 disposition under the trust? It would it depend on other factors. 14 Α. 15 Ο. What factors? 16 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 19 Is a validity hearing a disposition of the Q. 20 trust? 21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 2.2 conclusion. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he drafted the document, 24 25 so I'm trying to get what his meaning was when he

1	put it in. And it's relevant to the hearing today.
2	THE COURT: I ruled it's not relevant.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, you did rule that?
4	THE COURT: Do you have another question of
5	the witness? Or we're moving on.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. So for purposes of disposition, Ted, Pam and
9	her lineal descendants are considered predeceased,
10	correct?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy, cumulative
12	and best evidence.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	The document says what it says.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
16	THE COURT: When you ask a witness if it says
17	what it says, I don't pay any attention to his
18	answer, because I'm reading what it says.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
20	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
21	Q. Did you produce a fraudulent copy of the
22	Shirley trust agreement?
23	A. No, I did not.
24	Q. So when you sent to Christine Yates this trust
25	agreement with the attached amendment that you've

1	already admitted you fraudulently altered, was that
2	producing a not valid copy of the trust that was
3	distributed to a party?
4	A. We've already talked about the amendment was
5	not a valid amendment.
6	Q. No, I'm asking, did you create a not valid
7	trust of my mother's and distribute it to Christine
8	Yates, my children's attorney?
9	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. He's
10	covered this.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it has to go to the
12	validity, Your Honor, because
13	THE COURT: The question I'm figuring out is,
14	have we already covered this?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: We touched on a piece of it.
16	The more important part
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll let you reask
18	your question to cover something that we've not
19	already covered.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. And we covered that
21	the
22	THE COURT: You don't have to remind me.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
24	THE COURT: Listen, see, this look at this.
25	I take notes. I write stuff down. Now, a lot of

1	times, if you see me not writing and I'm doodling,
2	that means you're not scoring any points.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: You've got to show me
4	THE COURT: The point is, I should be writing
5	notes. So that means you're not doing any good.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
7	THE COURT: So, please, the reason I write it
8	is so we don't have to repeat things.
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Okay. You've already stated that you created
11	a fraudulent amendment.
12	Did you attach it to a Shirley trust document?
13	A. No. We included the amendment with the
14	documents that we transmitted to her.
15	Q. So it was included as part of the Shirley
16	trust document as an amendment, correct?
17	A. It was included as an amendment.
18	Q. To the Shirley trust document.
19	Thereby, you created a fraudulent copy, a not
20	valid copy of the Shirley trust, correct?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
22	Cumulative.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	You can answer. Did that create a fraudulent
25	version of the trust?

1 THE WITNESS: It could have, yes, Your Honor. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 3 Can you explain why it couldn't have? Because Si ultimately exercised his power of 4 Α. appointment, which was broader than the definitional provision in the document. 6 7 That's not my question. I'll just say it was asked and not answered. 8 9 Okay. So there are not validly -- not valid 10 Shirley trust agreements in circulation, correct? 11 Α. That's not true. 12 Well, the Shirley trust agreement you said Ο. 13 sent to Christine Yates you've just stated was invalidly 14 produced. 15 Α. To Christine Yates. Yeah, okay. So I said "in circulation." 16 Q. 17 Is Christine Yates out of circulation? I don't know what Christine Yates did with the 18 Α. 19 documents. 20 Well, I got a copy, so they're even more in 21 circulation. 2.2 So my point being, you sent from your law firm 23 fraudulent -- a non-valid copy of the document --24 Α. Which document? 25 Q. -- the Shirley trust and her amendment to

1	Christine Yates, right?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll move on from
5	that.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Would you know about when you did that
8	fraudulent alteration of the document?
9	A. January 2013.
10	Q. And you were a fiduciary or you were
11	counsel to the alleged fiduciary, Ted Bernstein, of the
12	Shirley Bernstein trust, correct?
13	A. Yes, we were.
14	Q. And you were counsel to Ted Bernstein as the
15	alleged personal representative of Shirley's estate?
16	A. Yes, we were.
17	Q. And as Ted's counsel in the Shirley trust, can
18	you describe what the not valid trust agreement that was
19	sent to Ms. Yates did to alter the beneficiaries of the
20	document?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	What alterations did that make to the
24	beneficiaries?
25	THE WITNESS: It didn't make any alterations

1 to the beneficiaries. The document's not a valid 2 document and so it couldn't have made any changes 3 to the estate planning. 4 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. But what did it intend to do? 0. MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor. 6 7 What did you say? THE COURT: Next question. 8 9 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Okay. What did it intend to do? Ο. 11 I answered that question earlier. Α. 12 THE COURT: I can't let the witness object to 13 questions. That won't work. 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Earlier 15 you asked me the question, and I responded to you 16 that it was to carry out your father's intent and 17 the agreement that you all had made prior to his 18 death, on that telephone call, and to have a 19 document that would provide, perhaps, clarity to a 20 vague misinterpretation of your mother's document. 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2.2 So instead of going to the court, you just Ο. 23 frauded a document to an attorney, who's representing 24 minor children in this case -- produce a fraudulent copy

of the trust document, making us have total trouble

25

1 understanding what's real and not, especially with your firm's history of fraudulent and forged documents 2 3 submitted to the court in this case. THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're just 4 ranting. Ranting is not allowed. MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry. 6 7 THE COURT: If you'd like to ask a question, I'll let you do that. If I have to call you on 8 this too many more times, I'm going to assume that 10 you're done questioning the witness. 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 12 13 Ο. When did you first meet my parents? 14 Α. 2007. 15 And how did you meet them? Ο. 16 Α. I met them through someone that made a 17 referral to them to our office. 18 Q. You didn't know Ted Bernstein prior to meeting 19 Si? 20 Α. I don't recall who we met first. I'm not 21 sure. 2.2 What firm were you with at the time? Q. 23 Α. Tescher, Gutter, Chaves, Josepher, Rubin and 24 Ruffin and Forman. 25 Q. And how long were you with them?

1 Α. Five-plus years. 2 Ο. And where were you before that? 3 Α. I was in school. Okay. Did you work at Sony Digital ever? 4 Q. I did. Α. You did. And when was that, before school or 6 Q. 7 after? That was from 1994 to '96. 8 Α. So after school? Ο. 10 After college. Α. 11 Okay. So that was -- you just forgot about Ο. 12 that one in your history. 13 Is there any other parts of your biography I'm 14 missing? 15 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 18 Q. Can you repeat, since I'm -- there was a 19 little clarification error there. Your history, you 20 started --21 THE COURT: That's not necessary to repeat the 2.2 history. Do you have a new question? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to get the 24 history. 25 THE COURT: I don't want him to repeat what

1 he's already said. That moves the case backwards. 2 I want to go forward. You're cavitating. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 Did the altered trust document sent to Ο. Christine Yates attempt to convince Yates and others she 6 7 sent that document to that Ted and Pam's lineal descendants were actually inside the document? 8 Α. Say the question again. 10 Well, we read the section where they're Ο. 11 considered predeceased, Ted and Pam and their lineal descendants. 12 13 When you altered that amendment that you said you were just doing Si's wishes postmortem by altering a 14 15 document, my question is, did you put language in there that would have made Ted and Pam's lineal descendants 16 17 now beneficiaries of Shirley's trust? 18 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think it's 19 cumulative. We've covered this. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Can the beneficiary of Shirley's trust be Ted, Pam or their lineal descendants? 24 25 Α. If the assets of her trust were to pass under

```
1
     the trust, no --
 2
          Q.
               Okay.
 3
          Α.
               -- under the trust.
               So in the trust language of the Shirley trust
 4
          Q.
     document, Ted's lineal descendants and Pam's lineal
 5
     descendants can get no dispositions, distributions,
6
7
     whatever you want to call it?
          Α.
               You have to ask the question in a different
 8
9
     way, because I answered the question. I said, if it
10
    passes under the trust, that they would not inherent.
11
     If.
12
          O.
               Okay. When Shirley died, was her trust
13
     irrevocable at that point?
14
          Α.
               It was.
15
               Who were the beneficiaries?
          Q.
               Simon Bernstein.
16
          Α.
17
               And who were the beneficiaries -- well, Simon
          O.
18
     Bernstein wasn't a beneficiary. He was a trustee.
19
          Α.
               No, he became the beneficiary of her trust
20
     when she died. He was the sole beneficiary of her trust
21
     when she died.
2.2
          Q.
               Okay. And then who would it go to when he
23
     died?
24
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
25
               THE COURT: Sustained.
```

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Okay. When Simon died, who would the benefits
3	of Shirley's trust go to?
4	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
5	THE COURT: Are you asking him to tell you
6	what would happen if the mother died first, then
7	the father died second, and we have the trust
8	documents and the wills that are in place so far
9	that have been testified to at the trial?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
11	THE COURT: I already know all that stuff.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well
13	THE COURT: So what is the new question you
14	want to ask that's not cumulative?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get
16	to a very significant point there.
17	THE COURT: Get there. Just go there and see
18	what happens.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: I just have to learn to ask
20	these questions a little more like a lawyer.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: So I have to rethink how to
23	ask that.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Do you recall talking to Detective Ryan

1	Miller?
2	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. Can you tell me all the roles you had in these
6	estates and trusts, and your partner, Don Tescher?
7	A. We were the attorneys to your parents. Upon
8	your dad's death, we became counsel to his estate and
9	served as co-PRs and co-trustees under his documents.
10	Q. Any other roles?
11	A. Served as counsel for we served as counsel
12	for Ted as fiduciary under your mother's documents.
13	Q. And who served as your counsel as trustee
14	PR co-trustee, co-PR?
15	A. Mark Manceri.
16	Q. Mark Manceri submitted that he was your
17	attorney?
18	A. I believe so, yes.
19	Q. Did you take a retainer out with him?
20	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: What's the relevance of the
23	retainer question?
24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I take that back.
25	Mark Manceri was not counsel to us with respect to

1 the estate, except on a very specific matter. 2 THE COURT: The question that was objected to 3 was, did you take out a retainer? What's the 4 relevance of that? MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out if he was properly representing before the court 6 7 these documents, and to his credibility, meaning 8 his --THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 11 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 12 Ο. And a question about the court. How long 13 before you notified the court as a personal 14 representative fiduciary that you had produced a 15 fraudulent trust of Shirley's? To whom? I don't know that we ever 16 Α. 17 represented the document to the court, and I don't know 18 that anyone ever came to the court and said that we did. 19 Well, I did in a petition I filed and served Q. 20 on you --21 MR. ROSE: Objection. 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 -- of January -- excuse me -- petition that I 24 served on you exposing a fraud of what happened with 25 Christine Yates after you admitted that to the police.

1 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 Q. Okay. How many times have you spoken with Alan Rose in the last three months? 5 6 Α. Twice. 7 Ο. Did you prepare for this hearing in any way with Alan Rose? 8 9 Α. I did. 10 Okay. Was that the two times you spoke to Q. 11 him? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Do you see any other of the parties that would Ο. be necessary to validate these trust documents in the 14 15 court today? 16 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 19 And you gave testimony to the total net worth Q. 20 of Simon today, when you were asked by Mr. Rose; is that 21 correct? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 O. How long did you serve as the co-trustee and 24 co-personal representative? 25 Α. Of your father's estate? Since the date of

1	his death.
2	Q. And his trust?
3	A. Same.
4	Q. Okay. Did you produce an accounting to
5	support those claims you made today?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, can I argue that or
9	THE COURT: No.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Not even close. Does that
11	mean I have to ask it a different way?
12	THE COURT: Well, I can't answer questions.
13	I'm not allowed to give anybody legal advice.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. That was procedural, I
15	thought. But okay.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's legal advice.
17	Procedure is a legal issue.
18	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19	Q. As a fiduciary of the estate of Simon and the
20	trust of Simon, did your law firm produce a accounting?
21	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevant to, if
23	he's a fiduciary, his conduct. I mean, there's
24	THE COURT: Here's the way I handle
25	objections

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2	THE COURT: somebody asks a question, and
3	somebody in the courtroom says objection, and then
4	I have them state the legal objection and stop.
5	The other side doesn't say anything, unless I say,
6	Is there any argument one side or the other?
7	Because usually I can figure this stuff out without
8	having to waste time with arguments.
9	I didn't ask for any argument, right? Okay.
10	Sustained. Next question.
11	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12	Q. Mr. Rose asked you about Shirley's Bentley.
13	Are you aware you became aware of Shirley's
14	Bentley, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. When you became aware of Shirley's Bentley,
17	did you put in an amended inventory to account for it?
18	THE COURT: What's this going to help me
19	decide on the validity of the wills or trusts?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just responding to the
21	statements that were brought up.
22	THE COURT: I wish you would have objected to
23	the relevancy then, but you didn't.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: I did.
25	THE COURT: I don't think so.

```
1
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          No?
 2
          THE COURT: I'm a car guy, so I pay attention
 3
    if somebody's asking questions about Bentleys just
 4
    because it's interesting.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's so important, Your
 5
    Honor, because --
6
7
          THE COURT: No, it's not. Right now what is
     tied is, are the wills and trusts bound?
8
9
          MR. BERNSTEIN: We have to question his
10
    competency.
11
          THE COURT: And so what's in the estate or
12
    what's in the trust is not of any interest to me
13
    right now. So if that Bentley should have been in
     the estate or should not have been in the estate,
14
15
     it should have been accounted for, not accounted
16
     for, I'm not going to figure out today. But I want
17
     to get all the evidence I possibly can to see
18
    whether these wills and trusts that are in front of
19
    me are valid or not valid. And I'm hoping that
20
    you'll ask some questions that'll help me figure
21
     that out.
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Are those originals that you
23
    have?
24
          THE COURT: See, I'm not the witness. I'm the
25
     judge. So I'm not sworn in and I have no knowledge
```

1 of the facts of this case, other than what the 2 witnesses tell me. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm winding down. I'll check 4 my list. THE COURT: All right. 6 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 7 Are you familiar with a document the Bernstein 8 Family Realty LLC agreement? 9 Α. Yes, I am. 10 Did you draft that document? Q. 11 Yes, I did. Α. 12 Was it part of Simon's estate planning? Ο. 13 It was part of his estate planning -- well, Α. 14 yes --15 And what was --Q. 16 Α. -- in a roundabout way. 17 What was it designed to do? Ο. 18 Α. It was designed to hold title to the home that 19 you and your family live in. 20 Ο. Oh, okay. And so it was -- who's the owners 21 of that? 2.2 Α. The three kids -- your three kids, Josh, 23 Daniel -- your three kids' trusts that your father 24 created -- and Jake -- that he created in -- I believe 25 he created those trusts in 2006.

1 Q. And the prior testimony was, there were no 2 special documents under Simon's estate plan for my 3 family; is that correct? 4 Α. Right. None that we prepared. Those were not documents that we prepared. Q. Okay. I think he asked you if you knew of 6 7 any. 8 So you knew of these, correct? 9 Α. You're making me recall them. Yes. 10 Oh, okay. Because you answered pretty Ο. 11 affirmatively no before, that you weren't aware of any 12 special --13 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for the 14 witness? 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I get it. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 You referenced an insurance policy. 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I -- well, I can't ask him 19 anything. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 You referenced an insurance policy earlier, Q. 22 life insurance policy, that you said you never saw; is 23 that correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And was that part of the estate plans?

1 Α. We never did any planning with that. That was 2 an insurance policy that your father had taken out 3 30 years before. He had created a trust in 1995 for That was not a part of any of the planning that we did for him. Did you file a death benefit claim on behalf 6 7 of that policy? 8 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 11 Is Christine Yates, who you sent the Ο. 12 fraudulently altered Shirley trust document that's not 13 valid, a layman? 14 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Ο. Is she an attorney at law? 18 THE COURT: Now you're asking a different 19 question. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: Thanks. 2.2 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Ο. Is she a layman, as you described prior? 24 She's an attorney. Α. 25 Q. Okay. So you were sending that document that

1 you said you altered to make a layman understand the 2 language in the trust better? 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 4 THE COURT: Let me have you finish your questioning. 6 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 7 But you sent it to Christine Yates, an 0. attorney, who's not a layman? 8 We did. 9 Α. 10 Okay. So it could be that you sent that Ο. 11 document to an attorney to commit a fraud upon her clients, my children, minor children, correct? 12 13 Α. The intent was not to commit a fraud. 14 Ο. Okay. 15 Again, the intent was to carry out your dad's Α. wishes. 16 17 By fraudulently altering documents? O. 18 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. If you ask one more argumentative question, I 20 21 will stop you from asking the other things, because 2.2 I'll figure that you're done. Is that clear? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. 24 THE COURT: I'm done warning you. I think 25 that's just too much to have to keep saying over

```
1
          and over again.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 2
 3
               When Shirley died, were her wishes upheld?
               Your dad was the sole survivor of her
 4
          Α.
     estate -- he was the sole beneficiary of her estate and
6
     her trust.
7
               So her wishes of her trusts when Simon died
          0.
     were to make who the beneficiaries?
8
9
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
10
11
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12
               Who did Shirley make -- are you familiar with
          Ο.
13
     the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust?
14
          Α.
               I am.
15
          Q.
               And is that trust under the Shirley trust?
16
          Α.
               No, it's not.
17
               It's a separate trust?
          Ο.
18
          Α.
               It is.
19
               Is it mentioned in the Shirley trust?
          Q.
20
               It may be.
          Α.
21
          Q.
               As what?
2.2
          Α.
               As a receptacle for Shirley's estate.
23
          O.
               Her trust?
24
               A potential receptacle for Shirley's trust.
          Α.
25
          Q.
               So there were three, the Eliot Bernstein
```

1 Family Trust, Lisa Friedstein and Jill Iantoni Family 2 Trust, that are mentioned as receptacles. I would 3 assume that's the word, beneficiary --4 MR. ROSE: Objection. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 -- of the Shirley trust, correct? 6 Q. 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. THE COURT: Sustained. 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Okay. On Simon's medical state eight weeks Ο. 11 before he died, when these documents of the Simon trust 12 are alleged by you to have been signed, are you aware of 13 any conditions of Simon's at that time medically? 14 Α. I was not. 15 Were you aware of any medicines he was on? Q. 16 Α. I was not. 17 Were you aware he was seeing a psychiatrist? Ο. 18 Α. I was not. 19 Were you aware that he was going for a brain Q. 20 scan? 21 Α. I was not. 2.2 Were you aware that he was brought in to Ο. 23 multiple doctors during that time for brain problems; 24 that they ended up doing a brain biopsy at Delray 25 Medical right around that time that he's said to sign

```
1
     these documents?
 2
               He did not make us aware of any medical issues
 3
     that he had.
 4
          Q.
               Okay. Did you ask him at the time you were
     signing those amended documents if he was under any
     medical stress?
6
7
          Α.
               No, I did not.
8
          Q.
               Okay.
          Α.
               He --
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask him to read that?
10
11
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12
          Ο.
               Can you look at that document and --
13
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Judge, would you like a look
14
          at this?
15
               THE COURT: I don't look at anything that's
16
          not an exhibit.
17
               MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm exhibiting it to him.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's fine, but I
19
          want you to go ahead and ask your question. I
20
          don't look at things that aren't exhibits in
21
          evidence --
2.2
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
23
               THE COURT: -- unless I have to mark them.
24
          But no, I don't have a curiosity to look at pieces
25
          of paper.
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Should I exhibit it as
2	evidence can I exhibit it as
3	THE COURT: If it comes into evidence, I'll
4	look at it.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit it as
6	evidence?
7	THE COURT: Well, have you asked any questions
8	to establish what it is?
9	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10	Q. Is this a letter from your law firm prior
11	law firm?
12	A. I did not prepare this letter
13	Q. Okay.
14	A but it appears to be, yes.
15	Q. Prepared by?
16	A. Donald Tescher.
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Now can I submit it?
18	THE COURT: So you're offering it as an
19	exhibit
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Please.
21	THE COURT: as Defendant's 2.
22	Is there any objection?
23	MR. ROSE: No objection.
24	THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
25	it. And that'll be in evidence as Defendant's 2.

```
1
          Thank you.
               (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 was received into
 2
 3
     evidence.)
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Can you just read into the record
          Ο.
6
     paragraph 2 --
7
               THE COURT: Well, I'm reading it.
          document is in the record.
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay.
10
               THE COURT: I'm reading paragraph 2 even as we
11
          speak, so I don't need the witness to read it for
12
               But if you want to ask him a question, you can
          me.
13
          go ahead with that.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
          Ο.
               Okay. That letter states that Si's power of
16
     appointment for Simon could not be used in favor of Pam,
17
     Ted and their respective children; is that correct?
18
          Α.
               Yes. Don appears to have written that.
19
               Did you get a copy of this letter?
          Q.
               I don't recall getting a copy of it, but
20
          Α.
21
     doesn't mean that I didn't.
2.2
               But you are partners in that firm?
          Q.
23
          Α.
               Yes, we were partners in that firm.
24
               Now, that -- this document --
          Q.
25
               MR. ROSE: Your Honor, can I just -- I don't
```

```
1
     want to go out of order, but this is only relevant
     if the documents are valid. And if he's -- the
 2
 3
     whole point is the documents are valid. And he
     wants to argue the second part, of what they mean,
     then we should not have wasted a whole day arguing
     over the validity of these five documents.
6
 7
          THE COURT: Well, waste of time is what I do
     for a living sometimes. Saying we shouldn't be
 8
     here doesn't help me decide anything.
10
          I thought I was supposed to decide the
11
     validity of the five documents that have been
12
     pointed out; some of them might be valid and some
13
     of them might be invalid. And I'm struggling to
     decide what's relevant or not relevant based upon
14
15
     the possibility that one of them might be invalid
16
     or one of them might not. And so I'm letting in a
17
     little bit more stuff than I normally think I
18
     would.
19
          MR. ROSE: I'm concerned we're arguing the
     second -- the second part of this trial is going to
20
21
     be to determine what the documents mean and what
2.2
     Simon's power of attorney could or couldn't do.
     And this document goes to trial two and not trial
23
24
     one, although I didn't object to its admissibility.
25
          THE COURT: Well, since it's in evidence,
```

1 we'll leave it there and see what happens next. 2 Do you have any other questions of the 3 witness? 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 It says that the document that you 6 Ο. 7 fraudulently altered creating the invalid copy of the Shirley trust had some kind of paragraph 2 that was 8 missing from the original document --10 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 11 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 12 Ο. -- from my understanding. 13 THE COURT: You may finish your question. And 14 make sure it's a question and not an argument. 15 Because you know what happens if this is an 16 argument. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not arquing. I'm just 18 asking --19 THE COURT: I want you to ask your question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 It says here that there was a blank spot that Ο. 2.2 you -- a Paragraph No. 2 which modified the definitional 23 language by deleting words. 24 According to this document, the power of 25 appointment by Simon could not alter the Shirley trust

```
1
     agreement, correct?
               Don seems to be suggesting that in the second
 2
          Α.
 3
    paragraph. I don't necessarily believe that that's the
 4
     case.
               Did you review this document with Don?
 5
          Q.
6
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
 7
               THE COURT: The question is, Did you go over
          this document with Don?
8
9
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
10
               THE COURT: Overruled.
11
               You can answer.
12
               THE WITNESS: No.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
13
               So he's -- Don, in this letter, is describing
14
15
    your actions, correct?
          Α.
16
               Yes.
17
          O.
               Okay. Did you write a letter to anybody
18
     describing your actions?
19
          Α.
               I did not.
20
               You did not.
          Ο.
21
               And what have you done to correct the damages
22
     caused by that to my family?
23
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
24
               THE COURT: Sustained.
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
```

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. And are you aware of an autopsy that was done 3 on my father the day -- or ordered the day he died? MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 7 Are you aware -- well, are you aware of a heavy metal poison test that was done by the Palm Beach 8 9 County coroner? 10 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's --13 THE COURT: Next question. 14 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm trying to figure that out. 15 Your Honor, is -- I can't ask you that question. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Competency. Based on everything you know 18 about Simon, when he signed those documents, he was 19 competent? 20 To my knowledge, he was of sound mind and Α. 21 body. 2.2 Now, are you a medical expert? Q. 23 Α. I'm not. 24 Are you aware of any other fraudulent activity Q. 25 that took place in anything in the estate and trusts of

1 Simon Bernstein by yourself or your employees? 2 Α. Are you referring back to the closing of your 3 mother's estate? Q. I'm referring to any other ---- we've talked about. Α. So can you list those and then just say that's 6 Ο. 7 all that you're aware of? MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 11 Other than the fraud that you've admitted to Ο. 12 in the documents of Shirley, the Moran forged and 13 fraudulent waivers, the April 9th waiver that you and Si 14 signed stating he had all the waivers when he couldn't 15 have, are there any other frauds that you're aware of 16 that took place with these estate and trust documents? 17 Not to my knowledge. Α. 18 Q. When you were first interviewed by the Palm 19 Beach County Sheriff with Kimberly Moran, did you notify them at that first interview that you had fraudulently 20 21 altered a document? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Q. When did you notify the sheriff that you

```
1
     fraudulently altered a document?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Sustained.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 4
               You have these exhibits. This will says
          Ο.
     "conformed copy" on Exhibit 1 of their exhibits; is that
6
7
     correct?
               Yes, it does.
8
          Α.
          Ο.
               Does a conformed copy have to have the clerk
10
     of the court's signature on it?
11
          Α.
               Conformed copy would not be sent to the clerk
     of the courts.
12
13
          Ο.
               Conformed copy -- okay.
               Is that your signature on the document? This
14
15
     is Exhibit 2, Shirley trust agreement, of the
16
    plaintiff's exhibit book, 2, page 27.
17
          Α.
               Yes, it appears to be.
18
          Q.
               It appears to be?
19
          Α.
               Yes.
               All right. And is that Traci Kratish's
20
          Ο.
21
     signature?
2.2
          Α.
               She was there. I can't speak to her
23
     signature.
24
               Did you witness her sign it?
          Q.
25
          Α.
               I did.
```

1 Q. Okay. Is that my mom's signature on page 28? 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 Ο. On this first amendment to Shirley's trust --MR. BERNSTEIN: Exhibit 3, Your Honor, page 1 4 of 3, I guess. It's the first page in that exhibit. 6 7 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Ο. Is that document -- do you recall that 8 document? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. And you recall the day it's signed and Ο. 12 notarized, allegedly? 13 Α. November 18th, 2008. 14 On the front page of that document, what day 15 is the document dated? 16 Α. It's not dated. 17 Is that typical and customary in your office? Ο. 18 Α. Sometimes clients forget to put the date at 19 the top. 20 You forget? Ο. 21 I said, sometimes clients forget to put the Α. 2.2 date at the top. 23 Well, did you check the document before making 24 it a part of a will and trust?

It was notarized as a self-proving document.

25

Α.

1	Q. Are you aware that Kimberly Moran's
2	notarization of the Simon trust has been found by the
3	Governor Rick Scott's notary public division to be
4	deficient?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. Are you aware of Kimberly Moran of your office
9	being contacted by the governor's office in relation to
10	these wills and trusts?
11	MR. ROSE: Objection. Hearsay.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	What do I care if he's aware of that or not?
14	How does that help me decide the validity of these
15	documents?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the governor's already
17	made a claim that
18	THE COURT: But you're asking the witness if
19	he's aware of. Are you aware the sky is blue right
20	now? It doesn't matter to me if he's aware of it
21	or not. Are you aware Rick Scott has started an
22	investigation of a moon landing? It doesn't matter
23	to me if he knows that or not. You asked him are
24	you aware of somebody from Rick Scott's office
25	doing something. It doesn't matter to me if he's

1 aware of that or not. I've got to figure out the 2 validity of these documents, so I need to know 3 facts about that, please. Any other questions of 4 the witness on that? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 6 7 Is that my father's signature? 0. I'm not an expert on your father's signature. 8 Α. But if it's on his will, at the bottom of his will, that must have been a copy that was obtained from the clerk 10 11 of the courts, because that will was filed, and we would 12 have conformed copies in our file, which would not have 13 his signature at the bottom. Apparently, it is. 14 But it does say on the document that the 15 original will's in your safe, correct? 16 Α. For your mother's document, it showed that. 17 Oh, for my father's -- where are the originals Ο. 18 of my father's? 19 Α. Your father's original will was deposited in 20 the court. As was your mother's. 21 How many copies of it were there that were Ο. original? 2.2 23 Α. Only one original. I think Mr. Rose had 24 stated on the record that he requested a copy from the 25 clerk of the court of your father's original will, to

```
1
    make a copy of it.
 2
          Ο.
               Certified?
 3
          Α.
               I'm not sure if he said it was certified or
 4
    not.
               Is that your signature on my father's will?
          Q.
6
               MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Exhibit 4, Your Honor,
7
          Page 7.
               THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
 8
9
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
10
               Okay. Is that my father's signature?
          Q.
11
               Appears to be.
          Α.
12
          O.
               Whose signature is that?
13
          Α.
               That's my signature.
14
          Ο.
               Oh, okay. So the only two witnesses you see
15
     on this document are you and Kimberly Moran; is that
16
     correct?
17
          Α.
               On that page.
18
          Q.
               And both you and Kimberly Moran have had
19
     misconduct in these cases?
20
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
               THE COURT: Overruled. But it's cumulative.
21
2.2
               MR. ROSE: It's cumulative.
23
               THE COURT: How many times do I need to know
24
          this?
25
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What does that mean exactly,
```

1	cumulative? I don't get that. I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: Let's say you hit me over the head
3	with a two-by-four. That's one time. If you do it
4	twice, that's cumulative. Cumulative's not
5	allowed.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's an objection, is that
7	I've asked it
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: and it was answered? Is
10	that what it's kind of saying?
11	THE COURT: Yes, asked and answered. That's
12	another way of saying it.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I got it.
14	THE COURT: Asked and answered is a similar
15	way to say it.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Sorry.
17	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
18	Q. Is that my father's signature, to the best of
19	your knowledge?
20	A. Appears to be, yes.
21	Q. And is that your signature?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	Q. And here, did Kimberly Moran properly notarize
24	this document?
25	A. Kimberly did not notarize the document.

```
1
          0.
               Or Lindsay Baxley, did she check one -- either
 2
     the person was personally known or produced
 3
     identification?
 4
          Α.
              No. This is what Mr. Rose had gone over
    earlier.
              No, those, I believe, are in other documents
6
          Ο.
7
    we'll get to.
               So this notarization, as far as you can tell,
8
9
    is incomplete?
10
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Are we on Exhibit 2?
11
               MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
12
               THE COURT: We're on Exhibit 4, as far as I
13
         recall.
14
               MR. BERNSTEIN: He does not miss a thing.
15
          Your Honor, page 8.
               THE WITNESS: This is Si's documents.
16
               MR. ROSE: Got it.
17
18
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
19
               Okay. So on Simon's trust, weeks before he
          Q.
20
    dies, the notarization's improper?
21
          Α.
               This was the same document we spoke about
22
    before. Yes, she did not circle "known to me,"
23
    although...
24
          Q. So she didn't know you or Simon?
25
          Α.
              No, she knew all of us. She just neglected to
```

```
1
     circle "known to me."
               And that's one of the three functions of a
 2
          Ο.
 3
    notary, to the best of your knowledge, to determine the
     person is in the presence that day by some form of I
     either know you or you gave me a license; is that
6
     correct?
 7
          Α.
               Yes.
          Ο.
               So your firm -- have you done anything since
 8
     knowing this document's improperly notarized to correct
10
     it with the courts?
11
               MR. ROSE: Objection. It misstates facts.
12
          didn't say it was improperly notarized.
13
               THE COURT: Just state the objection, please.
               MR. ROSE: Well, calls for a legal conclusion.
14
15
               THE COURT: Sustained.
16
               MR. MORRISSEY: Another objection.
                                                   Ιt
17
          misstates the law.
18
               THE COURT: Sustained.
19
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
20
               Is that Lindsay -- oh, you can't answer that.
21
               So, to the best of your ability, regarding
22
     your signature, Kimberly or Lindsay Baxley has failed to
23
     state that you either were known to her or produced
24
     identification?
25
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
```

Sustained. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We'll go on to 3 document 5. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 Is that my father's initials, to the best of Ο. your knowledge? 6 7 Α. Appears to be, yes. Ο. Do these initials look similar to you, this 8 one on page 2, next to this one on page 3, next to that 10 thing on page 4? 11 Α. Initials typically don't look perfect page to 12 page, and they don't necessarily look similar page to 13 page. I have seen clients execute a lot of documents, and by the time they get to, you know, the second and 14 15 third document, their signatures and their initials do 16 not necessarily look --17 Look at page 13, for example. I mean, this is 18 almost -- if we go through page by page, tell me if you 19 see any that are even similar. On page -- let's start back at the beginning, if that'll help you. 20 21 That? Do those look similar to you as you're 2.2 flipping through those? 23 Α. Yeah, they have a lot of the same -- similar 24 ending marks. Your father's ending mark was that line. 25 I mean, it's on every single solitary page.

1 Q. Okay. So your testimony today is those are my 2 father's initials? 3 Α. That they were. Q. Okay. I was there when he was... Α. And you've looked at all of these, page 19, 6 Q. 7 page 20? Those look similar to what you're saying -- or why don't you just look at them. If you go through them 8 all, they all look different. But okay. 10 They all look different, and they all look Α. 11 consistent at the same time. 12 Okay. Is that -- on page 24, is that my Ο. 13 father's signature? 14 Α. Appears to be. 15 Is that your signature? Q. 16 Α. Yes, it is. 17 Okay. Now, this is another trust document Ο. 18 that Lindsay Baxley did that's supposed to be notarized, 19 a will and trust, I believe, and the amended and 20 restated. 21 Can you tell that Simon Bernstein was present 2.2 or produced -- or present that day by the notarization? 23 Α. She again failed to mark that he was 24 personally known, but she worked for him. 25 Q. So these dispositive documents are improperly

```
1
    notarized?
 2
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Legal
 3
          conclusion.
               THE COURT: Sustained.
 4
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 5
               Okay. And then let's go to the first
6
          O.
7
    amendment to Shirley Bernstein's trust. Is this a
8
    document prepared --
9
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, that would be 6.
10
               THE COURT: All right.
11
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12
          Ο.
               Is that a document prepared by your law firm?
13
          Α.
               Yes, it is.
               And do you see where it's, "Now therefore by
14
          Ο.
15
    executing this instrument I hereby amend the trust
16
    agreement as following"? And what is it -- what are the
17
    numbering sequences there?
18
          Α.
               It says, I hereby delete a paragraph of
19
    article --
               What number is that?
20
          Ο.
21
               Paragraph B -- it's number 1.
          Α.
2.2
          Q.
               Okay. And what's Number 2?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence. It's in
23
24
          evidence. And it's cumulative.
25
               THE COURT: Two is in evidence, as is
```

```
1
    paragraph one and paragraph three. And I've
 2
    read --
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, no. But Number 1, Your
 4
    Honor, take a look real quick. Number 1; there's
 5
    no Number 2.
          THE COURT: The objection came on your next
6
7
    question, and that was dealing with paragraph 2,
    which says it's already in evidence. And it is.
8
9
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No, no, not paragraph 2. Look
    at down below. Under the "now therefore," there's
10
11
    a Number 1, and I was asking him what Number 2
12
    reads.
13
          THE COURT: I know you were.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: And there is no Number 2.
14
15
          THE COURT: You've asked me to look at
16
    Exhibit No. 6, right? Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 has,
17
    under the therefore clause, a one, a two and a
18
     three. Are you asking me to look at a different
19
    document?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach?
21
          THE COURT: Sure. All right. So that's a
2.2
    different Number 6 than I have. So let's see your
23
    Number 6.
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: What do I do on that?
25
          THE COURT: That's not my decision.
```

```
1
         MR. BERNSTEIN: That's his book, not my book,
 2
     just so you know.
 3
          THE COURT: Well, that Tab 6 is different than
 4
    my Tab 6. So there you go.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, which -- what do
 5
6
     I go off there?
7
          THE COURT: I have no --
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I submit that into
 8
9
    evidence?
10
          THE COURT: I have no preference.
11
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'd like to submit
12
    this, because I'm not sure if the other one is in
    evidence wrong.
13
14
          THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
15
          MR. ROSE: Could I just see the book? Would
16
    you mind?
17
          THE COURT: Here, I'll show you my book. You
18
    can look at that book and see what's going on.
19
          And this will be a good time for us to take a
     short break, and let you all straighten it out.
20
21
    we'll be back in session in 15 minutes. And then
2.2
    we'll go to the bitter end. Each of you has about
23
     60 minutes remaining.
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, when you say
25
     "60 minutes remaining," we haven't got through all
```

```
1
    the witnesses yet.
          THE COURT: Well, we will have by the end of
 2
     60 minutes on each side.
 3
          This trial is over at five o'clock. I told
 4
 5
    you when we started each of you has half of the
    time; please use it wisely; use it as you wish.
6
7
     I've tried to encourage both sides to be efficient.
    When your time is gone, that's the end of the trial
8
9
    for you.
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, the case manager --
11
          THE COURT: When their trial is gone --
12
          MR. BERNSTEIN: At the case management, they
13
     said it would take a day. I argued and said to you
14
     it would take days. I mean, they've got
15
     10 witnesses. I need to have all the people who
    witnessed these documents here.
16
17
          THE COURT: Remember when I said a moment ago
18
    we're in recess? I was serious. Thanks. We'll go
19
    back in session 15 minutes from now.
20
          (A break was taken.)
21
          THE COURT: We're ready to resume. Are there
2.2
    any further questions for the witness on cross?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. We were just working
23
24
    out that 1, 2, 3, Exhibit No. 6, so that we get the
25
    record straight.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: Okay.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Shall I get a copy of yours,
 3
    you get a copy of mine? Or how do you want to do
 4
    that?
          MR. ROSE: Your Honor, I tried to work it out.
 5
          THE COURT: Listen, I don't have any
6
7
    preference as to how we do anything. You all tell
8
    me how you've worked it out, and if I agree with
9
     it, I'll accept it.
10
          MR. ROSE: The copy that's been marked for the
11
    witness, the copy in my book and the copy in your
    book are all identical. I don't know what's in his
12
13
    book, and he wouldn't show me his book on the
14
    break.
15
          THE COURT: Okay.
16
          MR. ROSE: But I'm fine. It's a three-page
17
    document. And if he wants to put it in evidence,
18
    even though it's not operative, I have no
19
    objection.
20
          THE COURT: Okay. So are you putting
21
     something into evidence?
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. The one that I --
23
          THE COURT: Have you showed it to the other
24
     side yet? You can't put secret documents into
25
    evidence, only after they've been seen by everyone.
```

1 Let's at least show it to the other side so they 2 know the document that's being proffered as an 3 exhibit. If they still have no objection, I'll receive it as Defendant's 3. 5 MR. ROSE: This is in evidence already as Exhibit No. -- as Plaintiff's No. 3. 6 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: So what's 6? So now I don't even have the right 6 document. 8 MR. ROSE: The 6 that the witness has is three 9 pages. It's the same 6 that's in your book and 10 11 it's in my book. It's three consecutive pages of 12 the production from Tescher & Spallina law firm. 13 It has the inoperative first amendment as page 1, 14 then it has the operative first amendment as 15 page 2, and the signature page as page 3. It's the 16 same document in everybody's book. That's all I 17 can tell you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, in my book, 3 and 6 are the identical documents --20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- so I would need --23 THE COURT: Are there any other questions of 24 the witness? 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I was going to ask him

1	questions on this document.
2	THE COURT: All right. Well, then, let's go.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I need a I don't
4	have the 6 that everybody else is referring to. My
5	sinks is the same as
6	THE COURT: There you go. Take whatever you
7	need.
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. I think we
9	missed 6. It's just short on 6.
10	THE COURT: All right. Then here's my Tab 6.
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, sir.
12	THE COURT: The idea is to keep moving.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll move on. I'm
14	almost done here.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. Okay. So on Exhibit 3, can you list the
17	numbers there?
18	MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence.
19	Cumulative.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	You need to refer to which page. That's a
22	multi-page document, and both pages have numbered
23	paragraphs on them.
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Page 1 of 2.
25	

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. The Roman Numeral -- or the numerals, can you 3 give the sequence of those numbers? Α. One and three. It's skipping two. And this is a document you allege to be part Ο. of the Shirley trust that you're claiming is valid? 6 7 Α. That's the amendment that Shirley executed in November of 2008. 8 And would there be a reason why your law firm Ο. 10 numbers one, three? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 You can answer. THE WITNESS: Human error. 14 15 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. But it is an error in the document that 16 Q. 17 you're claiming is valid Shirley trust? 18 Α. It's a numbering error. 19 In the document, you're claiming this is a Q. valid amendment, correct? 20 21 Α. Correct. 2.2 Okay. And then in number 6 from the judge, Ο. 23 what's the numbering sequence? 24 One, two, three. Α.

Okay. So you added in a number two?

25

Q.

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. How did you go about doing that?
3	A. There was a paragraph two inserted between one
4	and three.
5	Q. Well, the paragraph that's inserted between
6	one and three wouldn't fit there.
7	So what did you do?
8	A. The document was opened up and a paragraph was
9	inserted.
10	Q. Okay. So you increased the spacing on the
11	document, correct, by adding a number three, correct?
12	A. Adding number two, yes.
13	Q. By adding number two, correct.
14	Okay. So you actually had to alter the
15	chronology as it was placed on the document? You didn't
16	just put a number two there in between one and three?
17	You actually went and expanded the document with words
18	that were inserted by you fraudulently, right?
19	MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative.
20	Cumulative.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
23	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, the witness does have
24	the exhibits in front of him. If Mr. Bernstein
25	could be at the podium.

1 MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't know if he has all the 2 exhibits. 3 THE COURT: Well, do you have the exhibit that 4 I gave you from the Court's? 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, jeez. THE COURT: Because I'd like to have it back 6 7 so that that doesn't get lost. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. You gave me the one 8 9 with one, two, three. 10 Can I get a copy of this from the clerk? 11 THE BAILIFF: There is no clerk. 12 THE COURT: Can I have the document back, 13 please? He's not a clerk. 14 MR. BERNSTEIN: Marshall, sheriff, officer, 15 sir. Sorry about that. 16 THE COURT: He does not make copies. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 18 THE COURT: Thanks. Any other questions of 19 the witness? Your time is rapidly disappearing. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Just going through that. 21 THE COURT: And I think you said earlier you 22 have no objection to Plaintiff's 6 being received 23 as an exhibit? 24 MR. ROSE: Correct. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

```
1
               MR. ROSE:
                          Thank you.
               THE COURT: Then it's in evidence as
 2
 3
          Plaintiff's 6. I'm making it Plaintiff's 6, rather
          than Defendant's 3, because it's already marked and
 4
 5
          it's been referred to by that number.
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was received into
6
7
     evidence.)
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8
          O.
               Are these your notes?
10
               No, they're not. Those are Don's.
          Α.
11
               Do you know the date on that note?
          Ο.
12
          Α.
               3/12/08.
13
               Did you take any notes in the meeting?
          Q.
14
          Α.
               Those are my notes there.
15
               These are? Oh, so this is a compilation of
          Q.
16
     Don's and your notes?
17
               Those are my notes, yes.
          Α.
18
          Q.
               And those were taken on that day?
19
          Α.
               Correct.
20
               Whose notes are those?
          Ο.
21
               I just saw those for the first time today. I
          Α.
22
    believe they're your father's notes.
23
          O.
               How would you know those are my father's
24
    notes?
25
          Α.
               Mr. Rose introduced that document earlier.
```

1 Q. Document 12, did it come from your offices? I don't know where it came from. 2 Α. 3 Ο. Did you Bates stamp this document as part of 4 your documents? I don't recall ever seeing that document. 5 Α. And it doesn't have your Bates stamp from your 6 Ο. 7 production, right? 8 Α. Correct. 9 You were supposed to turn over all your Ο. 10 records, correct? 11 MR. ROSE: Objection. He's testified it wasn't in his --12 13 THE COURT: What's the objection to the 14 question? 15 MR. ROSE: Cumulative. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. Your Honor, I'm 18 done. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 20 Is there any redirect? 21 MR. ROSE: Brief, Your Honor. 2.2 REDIRECT (ROBERT SPALLINA) BY MR. ROSE: 23 24 Assuming the documents are valid, they'll have Q. to be a later trial to determine the effect of Simon's 25

- 1 exercise of his power of appointment?
 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. It doesn't have any direct bearing on whether these five documents are valid?
 - A. No.

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And I take it you don't necessarily agree with

 Mr. Tescher's view as expressed in his letter of

 January 14th, 2014?
- 9 A. Again, I'm seeing that here. Surprised to see 10 that.
 - Q. The original documents, the wills, you retained at all times of Shirley and Simon in your firm?
 - A. Prior to their death, yes.
- Q. And that's consistent practice for a trust and estate lawyer, to keep it in your will vault or in your safe deposit box?
 - A. Yes. I would say most attorneys do that just because there's only one original of the will, and very often documents can get lost if clients take documents home. So, typically, they're kept in a safe deposit box or a safe or something like that, and left with the attorney.
 - Q. I want to make sure I understand and the Court understands what happened with the waiver forms.
- 25 While Simon was alive, he signed a petition

```
1
     for discharge; is that correct?
 2
          Α.
               Correct. April of '08.
 3
          O.
               And --
               MR. BERNSTEIN: What exhibit? Excuse me.
          What number are we looking at?
               MR. ROSE: None -- well, actually, it's in my
 6
 7
          book. If you want to follow along, it's Tab 28.
          But it's not in evidence.
 8
     BY MR. ROSE:
10
               And Simon also then filed a waiver of
11
     accounting himself?
12
          Α.
               Correct.
13
               And is it necessary for Simon, even though
          Ο.
14
    he's the personal representative, to sign a waiver of
15
     accounting because he's a beneficiary?
               I mean, we do it as a matter of course.
16
          Α.
17
               And the signature of Simon Bernstein on
          Ο.
18
     April 9th, that's genuinely his signature?
19
          Α.
               Can I see?
               Exhibit 28 is a petition that was filed with
20
21
     the court. I'm going to just show you the exhibits.
2.2
     Exhibit A says "Petition for discharge full waiver."
23
               Is this a document you would have prepared for
24
     Simon Bernstein to sign?
25
          Α.
               Yeah, our firm would prepare that.
```

1 Q. Okay. And it's a three-page document. 2 Is that Simon Bernstein's signature --3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 Q. -- April 9th, 2012? Yes, he signed the document. Α. And he was alive when he signed the document? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes, he was. Okay. Then he had to sign a waiver of 8 0. 9 accounting, which he signed on the same day? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And you have a document waiver of accounting Ο. 12 on the next page signed by Eliot Bernstein on May 15th? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Ο. And there's no doubt that's Eliot's signature 15 because he's the one who emailed you the document, 16 correct? 17 Α. And sent us the original by mail. 18 Q. Right. And we already have an exhibit which 19 is his email that sent you his waiver form? 20 Α. Correct. 21 And the waiver forms of Ted, Pam, Lisa and Q. Jill are all valid, signed by them on the date that they 2.2 23 indicated they signed it? 24 To the best of my knowledge, yes. Α. 25 Q. So then these got submitted to the court.

1 Is there anything wrong with submitting waiver 2 forms to the court signed by Simon while he's alive 3 after he had passed away? Α. Maybe we should have made a motion to, you know, have a successor PR appointed and file the documents through the successor PR. 6 7 Were you trying to just save expenses because Ο. there was nothing in the estate? 8 Α. Correct. 10 And if Judge Colin had not rejected -- or his Ο. 11 assistant had not rejected the documents, and the estate was closed, it would have been closed based on 12 13 legitimate, properly signed documents of Simon and his five children? 14 15 Α. Correct. 16 So then they get kicked back to your law firm, Q. 17 and you could file a motion and undertake some expense, 18 instead --19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object. This has been asked 20 and answered. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 2.2 BY MR. ROSE: Now, does the fact that -- well, strike that. 23 Ο. 24 At the time that Simon signed his 2012 will 25 and 2012 trust, had there been ever anyone question a

1 signature or a notarization of any document that had 2 been prepared by your law firm? 3 Α. No, there was not. 4 Q. You didn't see anything or observe anything or 5 any behavior of Simon Bernstein during the course of any meeting you had with him that would call into question 6 7 his competence or his ability to properly execute a testamentary document? 8 9 Α. We did not. 10 MR. ROSE: Nothing further, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. 12 Thank you, sir. You can step down. 13 MR. ROSE: At this time, we would rest our 14 case. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Any evidence from the defendant's side? 16 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'd like -- can I call 17 18 back Spallina? 19 THE COURT: If you want to call him as a 20 witness on your behalf, sure. 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, sure. 2.2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spallina, you're 23 still under oath, and you're being called as a 24 defense witness now. 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

```
1
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
               Mr. Spallina, when Simon died on
 2
          Ο.
 3
     September 12th -- or September 13th -- sorry -- 2012,
 4
     and you were responsible as his attorney to appoint Ted
     as the successor, correct, you were in charge of his
6
     wills and trusts?
7
               THE COURT: You just asked three questions in
8
          a row.
9
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry.
10
               THE COURT: Which question would you like the
11
          witness to answer?
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
12
13
          Ο.
               Okay. When Simon died, was Shirley's estate
14
     closed?
15
               No, it was not.
          Α.
16
               Okay. Did you appoint a successor to Simon
          Q.
17
     who was the personal representative of Shirley on the
18
     day he died?
19
          Α.
               I don't understand the question.
               Well, on the day Simon died, there was a
20
          Ο.
21
     successor to him in the will, correct?
2.2
          Α.
               That's correct.
                                Ted.
23
               Okay. Did you appoint Ted?
          Ο.
24
               I did not appoint Ted. Si did.
          Α.
25
          Q.
               Si appointed Ted?
```

1 Α. Si appointed Ted as a successor trustee under the document -- I mean, Shirley appointed Ted as the 2 3 successor trustee to Si under the document. So Simon didn't appoint Ted? 4 Q. Α. Simon did not appoint Ted. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. He was the named successor under your mother's document. 8 9 Ο. Okay. So when Simon died -- just so I get all this clear, when Simon died, your law firm knew Ted was 10 11 the successor, correct? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 According to your story. Okay. Q. 14 Α. Under Shirley's documents, you're talking 15 about. 16 Q. Under the alleged Shirley document. 17 Okay. But yet did Simon then -- after he 18 died, did he not close the estate of Shirley while he 19 was dead? MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 20 21 cumulative. 2.2 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSE: And I believe this whole line of 23 24 questioning's been covered ad nauseam in the first 25 cross-examination.

1 THE COURT: Well, it's important not to ask 2 the same thing over and over again. You have 3 finite time to work with. 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 The estate of Shirley was closed in January, 6 Ο. 7 correct, of 2013? Α. I don't recall, but it sounds -- it has to be 8 sometime after November. 10 Okay. So it was closed by Simon, who was dead Ο. 11 at that time, correct? 12 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Did Ted Bernstein close the Estate of Shirley Ο. 16 Bernstein as the successor personal representative? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Who closed the Estate of Shirley Bernstein? 19 Α. The documents were filed with the court based 20 on the original petition that your father signed. 21 Q. Did you close the estate? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 23 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I'm trying to figure out 25 who closed my mom's estate.

1	THE COURT: What's the relevance I've got to
2	figure out?
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. The documents, they
4	were bringing up these waivers. There's relevance
5	to this.
6	THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
8	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
9	Q. On this petition for discharge that Mr. Rose
10	brought up on his cross and I can't remember where I
11	just pulled that I'm going to take a look. That
12	would be 28.
13	MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I admit this into
14	evidence, Your Honor, since I believe Mr. Rose
15	stated it wasn't?
16	THE COURT: You're just picking up a piece of
17	paper and walking up to me and saying, can I admit
18	this into evidence?
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, they didn't admit it.
20	THE COURT: Is there a foundation laid for its
21	admissibility?
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do I know what it is so that I can
24	make a ruling?

1	discharge.
2	THE COURT: Did anybody testify to that, or
3	are you just
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, he just did.
5	THE COURT: If you have a piece of paper you
6	want to have me consider as an exhibit, the other
7	side has to have seen it and the witness has to
8	have seen it so I'll know what it is.
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They were just talking
10	about it.
11	MR. ROSE: Your Honor, just to speed things
12	along, we have no objection to this document coming
13	into evidence. It is part of our Exhibit 28. The
14	whole 28 could come in evidence. That's fine with
15	me. Then it would all be in evidence. Or however
16	you wish to do it.
17	THE COURT: I'm letting this party take charge
18	of his own case.
19	Are you asking that to be received as an
20	exhibit? There's no objection. So that'll be
21	Defendant's 3. Hand that up, and I'll mark it.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
23	(Defendant's Exhibit No. 3 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	

1	THE COURT: So are you done with it?
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Can I use it still?
3	THE COURT: Anything that's supposed to be an
4	exhibit in evidence has to come back to me.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Gotcha.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Okay. On this document, it's a petition for a
8	discharge, a "full waiver," it says.
9	Was this document sent back to your firm as
10	not notarized by Judge Colin's office?
11	A. I'm not sure. I didn't get the documents
12	back.
13	Q. Is it notarized?
14	A. No, it's not.
15	Q. Did you sign as the notary?
16	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	The question was, is it notarized? The answer
19	was no. Then you asked if somebody else, if
20	they'd sign, and then the witness if he signed as a
21	notary.
22	THE WITNESS: I signed it as the attorney for
23	the estate.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Okay. On April 9th with Simon Bernstein?

- A. Yeah, it appears that way.
 - Q. Could it be another way?
- 3 A. It didn't -- this document did not require
- 4 | that I witness Si's signature. So I believe that that
- 5 document was sent to Si, and he signed it, sent it back,
- 6 | we signed it and filed it.
- 7 Q. So you sent it to Si, he signed it, then sent
- 8 | it back, and you signed it all on April 9th?
- 9 A. It doesn't -- it's what day he signed it
- 10 | that's relevant. He signed it on April 9th.
- 11 Q. And what day did you sign it?
- 12 A. I could have signed it April 11th.
- 13 Q. Well, where does it say April 11th?
- 14 A. My signature doesn't require a date. His
- 15 does.

1

- 16 Q. Why?
- 17 A. Just doesn't.
- 18 Q. Well, the date that the document says this
- 19 | document's being signed on April 9th.
- 20 A. I did not sign that exhibit.
- 21 Q. Next question. On September 13, 2013, the
- 22 | year after my father died, in Judge Martin Colin's
- 23 | court, when he discovered this document, did he threaten
- 24 | to read you your Miranda Rights, stating he had enough
- 25 | evidence to read you Mirandas?

1	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
4	Q. Did you deposit this document, this April 9th
5	full discharge, with the court?
6	A. Did I personally do it?
7	Q. Did your law firm?
8	A. No, the law firm did, yes.
9	Q. Okay. And on whose behalf?
10	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	MR. ROSE: And relevance.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15	Q. Simon was dead when this document was
16	deposited with the court, correct?
17	MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. Relevance.
18	THE COURT: I've got that he is dead written
19	down here several times. It's clear in my mind.
20	You're not moving in a positive direction.
21	MR. BERNSTEIN: I understand that part.
22	THE COURT: All right. New question, please.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
24	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
25	Q. Is this document sworn to and attested by my

1 father? Is it a sworn statement? Does it say "under 2 penalties of perjury"? 3 Α. It does. Okay. So under penalties of perjury, on 4 Q. April 9th, my father and you signed a document, it appears, that states that Simon has fully administered 6 7 the estate. Was that done? 8 Α. Yes, it was. 10 He had settled the estate, made dispositions Ο. 11 of all claims of Shirley's estate? 12 Α. He was the only beneficiary of the estate. 13 The creditor period had passed. He was the only beneficiary of the will? 14 15 He was the only beneficiary of the will if Α. he -- that's if he survived your mother. 16 17 Did you say earlier that the five children 18 were tangible personal property devisees or 19 beneficiaries under the will? I did not. I said your father was the sole 20 21 beneficiary of your mother's estate by virtue of 2.2 surviving her. 23 I thought you mentioned -- can I take a look 24 at the will? 25 Okay. On Simon's will, which is Exhibit 4

```
1
    here --
 2
          Α.
               This is your mother's will we're talking
 3
     about.
               Well, hold on. Well, you did state there were
 4
          Ο.
     mirror documents, correct, at one point? That's okay.
 5
6
     I'll proceed. That part seems to be in error.
7
               Does the document say, "I, Shirley Bernstein,
     of Palm Beach County, Florida hereby revoke all of my
8
9
    prior wills and codicils and make this will my spouse's
10
     assignment. My children are Ted, Pam -- Pamela Simon,
11
     Eliot Bernstein, Jill Iantoni and Lisa Friedstein"?
12
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Best evidence and
13
          cumulative.
14
               THE COURT: Sustained.
15
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16
17
               Was there a separate written memorandum
18
     prepared for this will?
19
          Α.
               No, there was not.
20
               And if Simon didn't survive, the property
          Ο.
21
     would be going to the children, correct?
2.2
               MR. ROSE: Objection.
23
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
24
               MR. ROSE: Best evidence and cumulative.
25
               THE COURT: Sustained.
```

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was I missed that.
2	Can I not ask him that question I just asked?
3	THE COURT: I sustained the objection. You
4	can ask a new question of him.
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
6	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
7	Q. Is there any chance that the children could be
8	beneficiaries of anything under this will?
9	A. Not at the time of your mother's death. Your
10	father survived.
11	Q. So at the time of her death, you're saying
12	that if they both died together, would the
13	children
14	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q be beneficiaries?
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'm done with him.
19	MR. ROSE: No questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can step
21	down now.
22	Next witness, please.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: My next witness, are you
24	saying?
25	THE COURT: If you have another witness, now's

1	the time to call him or her.
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Ted Bernstein well,
3	one second.
4	Is Kimberly Moran, your witness, here? Is
5	Kimberly Moran, an exhibited witness, here,
6	Mr. Rose?
7	THE COURT: Listen, it's your case. I've
8	asked if you have any other witnesses. Do you have
9	any other witnesses?
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: No, I don't. I was going to
11	call some of their witnesses, but they're not here.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you aren't going to call
13	anybody?
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I'm going to call Ted
15	Bernstein.
16	THE COURT: Well, that's a witness, right?
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just was
18	looking for the other ones on the witness list. I
19	didn't know if they were sitting outside.
20	Thereupon,
21	(TED BERNSTEIN)
22	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	THE WITNESS: I do.
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2 Ο. Ted --3 THE COURT: You've got to ask the witness his The record needs to reflect who's 4 testifying. 6 And could I just ask that he stay MR. ROSE: 7 at the podium? THE COURT: Okay. You need to stay near the 8 9 microphone so that I can hear and the court 10 reporter can accurately hear you. And then if you 11 need to go up to the witness stand for some reason, 12 you're allowed to do that. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 13 14 Ο. State your name for the record. 15 Α. Ted Bernstein. Is that your full formal name? 16 Q. 17 Α. That is. 18 Q. Do you go by Theodore Stuart Bernstein ever? 19 Α. I do not. 20 Okay. Is that your name on your birth Ο. 21 certificate? 2.2 Α. Which one? 23 Ο. Theodore Stuart Bernstein? 24 Α. It is not. 25 Q. Okay. Ted, you were made aware of Robert

- Spallina's fraudulent alteration of a trust document of your mother's when?

 A. I believe that was in the early 2013 or '14.

 Q. Okay. And when you found out, you were the
 - A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
 - Q. When you found out that there was a fraudulent altercation [sic] of a trust document, were you the fiduciary in charge of Shirley's trust?
- 10 A. I was trustee, yes. I am trustee, yes.

fiduciary of Shirley's trust, allegedly?

- Q. And your attorneys, Tescher and Spallina, and their law firm are the one who committed that fraud, correct, who altered that document?
- 14 A. That's what's been admitted to by them,
 15 correct.
- Q. Okay. So you became aware that your counsel that you retained as trustee had committed a fraud, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

- Q. What did you do immediately after that?
- A. The same day that I found out, I contacted counsel. I met with counsel on that very day. I met with counsel the next day. I met with counsel the day after that.
- 25 Q. Which counsel?

1	A. Alan Rose.
2	Q. Oh. Okay. So he was so Tescher and
3	Spallina were your counsel as trustee, but Alan Rose
4	became that day?
5	A. I'm not sure when, but I consulted him
6	immediately. You asked me when.
7	MR. ROSE: Can I caution the witness that it's
8	fine to say who he consulted with. I think the
9	advice was the attorney-client privilege I would
10	instruct him on.
11	THE COURT: All right. The attorney-client
12	privilege is available, and your client is on the
13	stand. Counsel's reminding him that it exists.
14	Are there any other questions? What is the
15	time period that you're asking about here?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right after he discovered that
17	there had been a fraudulent, invalid will created.
18	THE COURT: Right. And you're asking him what
19	he did afterwards?
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Right afterwards.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have your mother and father
22	both passed away at the time you're asking him
23	that?
24	MR. BERNSTEIN: Correct.
25	THE COURT: So the validity of the documents

1 that I've got to figure out won't have anything to 2 do with the questions you're asking him now about 3 his actions at trustee, will they? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. THE COURT: Tell me how. 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Because, Your Honor, 7 when he found out that there was fraud by his attorneys that he retained, the question is, what 8 did they do with those documents? Did he come to 10 the court to correct --11 The question you're asking him is THE COURT: 12 what did he do. 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. THE COURT: Well, that doesn't tell me 14 15 anything about what the attorneys did. So I'll 16 sustain my own objection. I want to keep you on 17 track here. You're running out of time, and I want 18 you to stay focused on what I've got to figure out. 19 You've got a lot more on your mind than I do. I 20 explained that to you earlier. Do you have any 21 other questions on the issues that I've got to 2.2 resolve at this point? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Q. Have you seen the original will and trust of

```
1
    your mother's?
 2
          Α.
               Can you define original for me?
 3
          Q.
               The original.
               The one that's filed in the court?
 4
          Α.
          Q.
               Original will or the trust.
 6
               I've seen copies of the trusts.
          Α.
 7
          Q.
               Have you done anything to have any of the
     documents authenticated since learning that your
8
9
     attorneys had committed fraud in altering dispositive
10
     documents that you were in custody of?
11
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
12
               THE COURT: Overruled.
13
               THE WITNESS: I have not.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
               So you as the trustee have taken no steps to
          Q.
     validate these documents; is that correct?
16
17
          Α.
               Correct.
18
          Q.
               Why is that?
19
               I'm not an expert on the validity of
          Α.
     documents.
20
21
          Ο.
               Did you contract a forensic analyst?
2.2
          Α.
               I'm retained by counsel, and I've got counsel
     retained for all of this. So I'm not an expert on the
23
24
     validity of the documents.
25
          Q.
               You're the fiduciary. You're the trustee.
```

```
1
     You're the guy in charge. You're the guy who hires your
     counsel. You tell them what to do.
 2
 3
               So you found out that your former attorneys
     committed fraud. And my question is simple. Did you do
 4
     anything, Ted Bernstein, to validate these documents,
6
     the originals?
7
               THE COURT: That's already been answered in
          the negative. I wrote it down. Let's keep going.
8
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
11
               As you sit here today, if the documents in
          Ο.
     your mother's -- in the estates aren't validated and
12
13
     certain documents are thrown out if the judge rules them
     not valid, will you or your family gain or lose any
14
15
     benefit in any scenario?
16
               Can you repeat that for me, please?
          Α.
                                                     I'm not
17
     sure I'm understanding.
18
          Q.
               If the judge invalidates some of the documents
19
     here today, will you personally lose money, interest in
20
     the estates and trusts as the trustee, your family, you?
21
          Α.
               I will not.
2.2
          Q.
               Your family?
               My -- my children will.
23
          Α.
24
               So that's your family?
          Q.
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

1 O. Okay. So do you find that as a fiduciary to be a conflict? 2 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. 4 THE WITNESS: No. MR. ROSE: I think it calls for a legal conclusion. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 9 Well, would it matter to you one way or the Ο. other how these documents are validated? 10 11 Α. What would matter to me would be to follow the documents that are deemed to be valid and follow the 12 13 court orders that suggest and deem that they are valid. 14 That would be what I would be charged to do. 15 So you can sit here today and tell me that the Ο. validity of these documents, even though your family 16 17 will lose 40 percent, has no effect on you? It has no effect on me. 18 Α. 19 Okay. And you don't find that to be adverse Q. to certain beneficiaries as the trustee? 20 21 MR. ROSE: Objection. Calls for a legal 2.2 conclusion. 23 THE COURT: Well, what difference does it make 24 to me? I mean, what he thinks about his role is 25 just not relevant to me.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Your Honor
2	THE COURT: So the next question, please.
3	That's not relevant.
4	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
5	Q. So in no way have you tried to authenticate
6	these documents as the trustee?
7	THE COURT: He has already said that. That's
8	the third time you've asked it, at least. And I've
9	written it down. It's on my papers.
10	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll let it go. I'll
11	let him go today.
12	THE COURT: Okay. You have no further
13	questions of the witness.
14	Is there any cross?
15	MR. ROSE: Briefly.
16	CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN)
17	BY MR. ROSE:
18	Q. You did a few things to authenticate the
19	documents, didn't you? You filed a lawsuit?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. In fact, we're here today because you filed a
22	lawsuit to ask this judge to determine if these five
23	documents are valid, correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. And you fired Mr. Tescher and Spallina on the

1 spot? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Ο. Called the bar association? The next business day. 4 Α. You consulted with counsel, and we retained Ο. 6 additional probate counsel over the weekend? 7 Α. We did. So as far as authenticating the documents, you Ο. 8 personally believe these are genuine and valid 10 documents, right? 11 Α. T do. 12 And you, in fact, were in your office the day Ο. 13 your father signed them? That's correct. 14 Α. 15 And witnessed Mr. Spallina and the notary Ο. coming to the office to sign the documents? 16 17 Α. Yes, that's right. 18 Q. And you had been on a conference call with 19 your father, your brother and your three sisters where your father told you exactly what he was going to do? 20 That is also correct. 21 Α. 2.2 O. And the documents that we're looking at today 23 do exactly what your father told everybody, including 24 your brother, Eliot, he was going to do on the 25 conference call in May of 2012?

- A. Yes, that is correct also.
- Q. Now, I think you were asked a good question.

3 Do you care one way or the other how these

4 documents are decided by the Court?

- A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Did you care when your father or mother made a document that did not specifically leave any money to you?
 - A. I did not.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 10 Q. Now, did you care for anybody other than 11 yourself?
- 12 A. I cared for the -- for the sake of my children.
- Q. And why did you care for the sake of your think the sake of your sa
 - A. My parents had a very good relationship with my children, and I did not want my children to misinterpret what the intentions of their grandparents were and would have been. And for that reason, I felt that it would have been difficult for my children.
 - Q. Did you ever have access to the original will of your father or mother that were in the Tescher & Spallina vaults?
 - A. I have no access, no.
 - Q. Did you ever have access to the original

- copies of the trusts that Mr. Spallina testified were sitting in their firm's file cabinets or vaults?
- 3 A. I did not.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.2

- Q. Now, did you find in your father's possessions the duplicate originals of the trusts of him and your mother that we've talked about?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And do you have any reason to believe that they aren't valid, genuine and signed by your father on the day that he -- your father and your mother on the days that it says they signed them?
- A. None whatsoever.
- Q. You need to get a ruling on whether these five documents are valid in order for you to do your job as the trustee, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Whichever way the Court rules, will you follow
 the final judgment of the Court and exactly consistent
 with what the documents say, and follow the advice of
 your counsel in living up to the documents as the Court
 construes them?
 - A. Always. A hundred percent.
- 23 MR. ROSE: Nothing further, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 25 Is there any redirect?

1	REDIRECT (TED BERNSTEIN)
2	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
3	Q. You just stated that you came to the court and
4	validated the documents in this hearing today; is that
5	correct?
6	MR. ROSE: Objection. It mis
7	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
8	Q. You filed a motion to validate the documents
9	today?
10	THE COURT: Wait. You've got to let me rule
11	on the objection.
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, sorry. I don't hear any
13	objection.
14	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
15	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
16	Q. Okay. Since did you file a motion that
17	we're here for today for validity?
18	A. Explain motion.
19	Q. A motion with the court for a validity hearing
20	that we're here at right now.
21	A. Do you mean the lawsuit?
22	Q. Well, yeah.
23	A. Yes, we did file a lawsuit, yes.
24	Q. Okay. Do you know when you filed that?
25	A. No. I don't know, Eliot. I don't know when I

1 filed it. I don't have it committed to memory. 2 Ο. Do you have an idea? 3 MR. ROSE: Objection. I think the court file will reflect when the case was filed. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. The question was answered, I don't know. 6 7 question. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 8 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 10 Prior to filing this lawsuit, Mr. Rose said 11 you couldn't do anything because you didn't know if the documents were valid. 12 13 My question is, did you do anything from the 14 time you found out the documents might not be valid and 15 needed a validity hearing to today at this validity 16 hearing? 17 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: What's the relevance? 18 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, he knew about these 20 documents being fraudulent for X months. 21 THE COURT: What will that help me decide on 2.2 the validity of the five documents? 23 MR. BERNSTEIN: Why, Your Honor, they didn't 24 come to the court knowing that they needed a 25 validity hearing, and instead disposed and

1 disbursed of assets while they've known all this 2 time --3 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'm not called to rule upon that stuff. I'm 4 called to rule upon the validity of these five paper documents. That's what I'm going to figure 6 7 out at the end of the day. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 8 Mr. Rose asked you if you found documents and Ο. 10 they all looked valid to you, and you responded yes. 11 Are you an expert? 12 Α. I am not. 13 Can you describe what you did to make that Ο. 14 analysis? 15 Α. They looked like they were their signatures on the documents. I had no reason whatsoever to think 16 17 those weren't the documents that were their planning 18 documents. I had no reason at all to think that. 19 Q. Even after your hired attorneys that were representing you admitted fraud, you didn't think there 20 21 was any reason to validate the documents? 2.2 MR. ROSE: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 25 Q. Did you find any reason to validate these

1 documents forensically? 2 I think I answered that by saying that we filed a lawsuit. 3 4 Ο. No, I'm asking you to have a 5 forensic -- you're the trustee. And as a beneficiary -to protect the beneficiaries, do you think you should 6 7 validate these documents with a handwriting expert due to the fact that we have multiple instances of fraud by 8 your counsel who were acting on your behalf? 10 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative and 11 argument. 12 THE COURT: The question is, does he think 13 something. I've already told you when you ask a question do you think, I stop listening. 14 15 relevant what the witness thinks. 16 So I'll sustain the objection. 17 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 18 Q. As a trustee, would you find it to be your 19 fiduciary duty upon learning of document forgeries and frauds by your counsel to have the dispositive documents 20 21 you're operating under validated by a professional 2.2 handwriting expert, forensic expert, et cetera? 23 MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

```
1
    BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
 2
               Do you think these documents should be
 3
     validated -- you're the trustee.
 4
               Do you think these documents should be
 5
     validated by a professional firm forensically?
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
6
 7
               THE COURT: It's not relevant. You just asked
          him if he thinks he should have had them validated.
 8
          I don't care what he thinks. In making my
          decisions today, what he thinks he should have done
10
11
          or not done isn't relevant. I'm looking for facts.
12
          So I really wish you would address your questions
13
          to facts.
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
14
15
               So, to the best of your knowledge, have these
          Q.
16
     documents been forensically analyzed by any expert?
17
               MR. ROSE: Objection. Cumulative.
18
               THE COURT: No, they are not. I already know
19
          that. I wrote it down. He's already said they've
20
          not been.
21
               MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
2.2
     BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
23
               Ted, when your father signed, allegedly, his
24
     2012 documents in July, were you aware of any medical
25
     problems with your father?
```

1 Α. I don't think so. 2 Q. Were you aware that I took him for a biopsy of his brain? 3 Α. 4 I'm not aware of that, no. Were you aware of the headaches he was Ο. suffering that caused him to go for a biopsy of his 6 7 brain? I don't believe he had a biopsy of his brain. 8 Α. But if he did, then I'm not aware of it. 10 Oh, okay. Were you aware of headaches your Ο. 11 father was suffering? 12 Α. I recall he was having some headaches. 13 Were you aware that he was seeing a Ο. 14 psychiatrist? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Were you aware of the reasons he was seeing a Q. 17 psychiatrist? 18 Α. Absolutely not. 19 Were you ever in the psychiatrist's office Q. 20 with him? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Q. For what reason? 23 Α. I wanted to have a conversation with him. 24 Q. About?

About some personal issues that I wanted to

25

Α.

1	discuss with him.
2	Q. Personal issues such as?
3	MR. ROSE: Can I get clarification? Are you
4	talking about you wanted to he may have a
5	privilege.
6	You were discussing Simon's issues or your own
7	personal issues?
8	THE WITNESS: They were both intertwined
9	together.
10	MR. ROSE: I think it's subject to a
11	privilege.
12	THE COURT: All right. Well, you've been
13	warned by your attorney you've got a
14	psychologist-client privilege, so use it as you
15	will.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: He's not a client of the
17	psychiatrist, I don't think.
18	THE COURT: I beg to differ with you.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, he is?
20	THE COURT: Because the answer just clarified
21	that he was in part seeking to be a client. Did
22	you listen to his clarification of his answer?
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
24	THE COURT: Well, I did very closely.
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: What was it?

1 THE COURT: Next question, please. 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll just see it on the 3 transcript. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 4 5 Ο. Were you aware of any medical conditions, depression, anything like that your father was 6 7 experiencing prior to his death? I never found our father to suffer from any Α. 8 9 kind of depression or anything like that during his 10 lifetime. 11 So after your mother died, he wasn't 12 depressed? 13 Α. No. 14 MR. ROSE: Could I again ask Mr. Bernstein to 15 step to the podium and not be so close to my client? 16 17 THE COURT: If you speak into the microphone, 18 it'll be even more easy to hear your questions. 19 Thank you. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 20 21 So, according to you, your father's state of Q. 2.2 mind was perfectly fine after his wife died of -- a 23 number of years --24 I didn't say that. Α. 25 Q. Okay. He wasn't depressed?

1 Α. That's what I said. 2 Ο. Were you aware of any medications he was on? 3 Α. I was, yes. Such as? 4 Q. From time to time, he would take something for Α. your heart when you would have angina pains. But that 6 7 he was doing for 30 years, for a good 30 years, that I knew dad was taking, whatever that medicine is when you 8 have some chest pain. 10 Did you have any problems with your father Ο. 11 prior to his death? 12 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 13 THE COURT: The question is, did you have any 14 problems with your dad before he died? 15 I'll sustain the objection. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Are you aware of any problems between you and 18 your father that were causing him stress? 19 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. 20 21 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 2.2 Ο. Were you aware that your father was changing 23 his documents allegedly due to stress caused by certain 24 of his children?

25

Α.

No.

1 Q. Were you on a May 10th phone call? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. In that phone call, did your father --MR. ROSE: Objection. It's beyond the scope -- well --6 MR. BERNSTEIN: It has to do with the changes 7 of the documents and the state of mind. THE COURT: Do you have a question you want to 8 9 ask? He's withdrawn whatever he was saying, so you 10 can finish your question. 11 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So on May 10th, at that meeting, your 12 Ο. 13 father stated that he was having trouble with certain of his children, and this would solve those problems. 14 15 Are you aware of that? 16 Α. No, I don't -- not from the way you're 17 characterizing that phone call. 18 Q. Well, how do you characterize that? 19 Α. He wanted to have a conversation with his five 20 children about some changes he was making to his 21 documents. 2.2 Ο. And you had never talked to him about the 23 changes, that your family was disinherited? 24 Α. No. 25 Ο. Prior to that call?

1 Α. No. When did you learn that you were disinherited? 2 Ο. I think when I first saw documents with --3 Α. maybe after dad -- once dad passed away. 4 Ο. Were you aware of the contact with your sister Pam regarding her anger at your father for cutting both 6 7 of you out of the will? 8 Α. I'm aware of that. So that was before your father passed? Ο. 10 Excuse me. Can you ask -- say the end of that Α. 11 sentence again. 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you read that back? 13 (A portion of the record was read by the 14 reporter.) 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You asked me a 16 question, and I had answered too quickly. What was 17 the end of the question prior to that? 18 (A portion of the record was read by the 19 reporter.) 20 THE WITNESS: I'm aware that she was angry 21 with him about how -- that he -- she was not in his 2.2 documents. 23 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 24 You didn't learn right there that you weren't 25 in the documents?

1 Α. I can't remember if it was then or if it was when dad died. 2 3 Well, this is very important so can you think back to that time. While your father was alive, did I invite you to a Passover holiday at my home? 6 7 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 8 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. 10 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it's relevance to the state of mind my dad was in while --12 13 THE COURT: Well, you're asking did this guy 14 get invited to your home. You didn't ask about 15 your dad, so I'll sustain the objection. BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 16 17 Okay. Did you get invited to a Passover 18 dinner at my home that your father was attending? 19 Α. I don't recall the circumstances of 20 what -- whatever it is you're referring to. 21 Do you recall saying you wouldn't come to the Q. 2.2 Passover dinner? 23 MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25

1	BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
2	Q. Do you recall writing me a email that stated
3	that your family was dead for all intensive [sic]
4	purposes?
5	MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
6	THE COURT: What's the relevance to the
7	validity of these documents?
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: If Si was in the right state
9	of mind or if he was being, you know, forced at a
10	gun to make these changes by children who had
11	THE COURT: Your question asked this witness
12	if he wrote you a letter that said his family was
13	dead for all intents and purposes. What's that got
14	to do with the validity of these documents?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, it establishes Simon's
16	state of mind.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection.
18	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. Well, then,
19	I'm all done then.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Is there any cross?
22	MR. ROSE: I already crossed.
23	THE COURT: Oh, that's true. So you're all
24	set. You're done. Thank you.
25	Next witness, please.

1 MR. BERNSTEIN: Alan Rose. 2 MR. ROSE: I object. Improper. 3 THE COURT: You've got 11 minutes yet. Well, he's a witness to the 4 MR. BERNSTEIN: 5 chain of custody in these documents. THE COURT: Well, you can call anybody you 6 7 want. I just wanted you to know how much time you 8 had left. 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. 10 MR. ROSE: He wants to call me, and I object 11 to being called as a witness. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. ROSE: I don't think that's proper. 14 THE COURT: I don't think that's proper to 15 call an attorney from the other side as your 16 witness. So I accept the objection. Anybody else? 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, I would agree with 18 that normally --19 THE COURT: Well, thanks. 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- but there's a small 21 The chain of custody we're trying to problem. 2.2 follow in these documents for other reasons, other 23 criminal reasons, is Mr. Rose has pertinent 24 information to; meaning, he claims to have 25 discovered some of these documents and taken them

```
1
    off the property.
 2
          THE COURT: I thought you said you wanted a
 3
    chain of custody?
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Right. Meaning --
          THE COURT: Well, the chain of custody to me
6
    means the chain of custody after the time they were
7
    executed.
 8
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Right.
          THE COURT: All right. He wasn't around when
10
    they were executed.
11
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but he found documents
12
     that are being inserted into this court case as
13
    originals, second originals that he found
    personally, and wrote a letter stating, I just
14
15
    happened to find these documents in Simon's home --
16
          THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the
17
    objection to you calling him as a surprise witness.
18
    He's a representative of your own. Do you have any
19
    other witnesses?
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'm good.
21
          THE COURT: Okay. So you rest?
2.2
         MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest.
          THE COURT: Okay. Is there any rebuttal
23
24
    evidence from the plaintiff's side?
25
          MR. ROSE: No, sir.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: Okay. So the evidence is closed.
 2
     We'll have time for brief closing arguments. And
 3
     I'll take those now. Let me hear first from the
 4
    plaintiff's side.
 5
          MR. ROSE: I'm sorry. Did you say it was time
     for me to speak?
6
7
          THE COURT: Yes. I'm taking closing arguments
 8
     now.
9
          MR. ROSE: Okay. Thank you. May it please
10
     the Court.
11
          We're here on a very narrow issue. And
12
     we -- you know, I apologize to the extent I put on
13
     a little bit of background. We've had an extensive
     litigation before Judge Colin. This is our first
14
15
     time here. And if any of my background bored you,
16
     I apologize.
17
          There are five documents that are at issue,
18
     which we talked about before we started; the 2008
19
     will and trust of Shirley Bernstein, as well as the
     amendment that she signed, and then the 2012 will
20
21
     and trust of Simon Bernstein.
2.2
          So the uncontroverted evidence that you've
23
     heard was from Robert Spallina, who is an attesting
24
     witness to the documents and he was a draftsman of
25
     the documents.
```

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I don't believe it's directly relevant to your inquiry, but you certainly heard evidence that what Simon Bernstein intended and what he communicated were his wishes; the exercise of a power of appointment through a will, the changing of the beneficiaries of his trust document by way of an amended and restated 2012 document, to give his money -- leave his wealth to his ten grandchildren. The final documents as drafted and signed are consistent with what. But what we're here to decide is, are these documents valid and enforceable? And there are self-proving affidavits attached to the documents. And by themselves, if you find the self-proving affidavits to be valid, then the wills themselves are valid and enforceable. Now, the only question that's been raised as to the self-proving affidavit is an issue with notarization. And we have two cases to cite to the Court on the notarization issue. One is from the Florida Supreme Court called The House of Lyons, and one is from a sister court in the State of North Carolina. THE COURT: Just a second. Sir, would you just have a seat.

```
1
    making me nervous.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          Sure.
 3
          THE COURT: Thanks.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Just aching.
          THE COURT: Well, I understand. But just have
 6
    a seat. That'll be better.
                                  Thanks.
 7
          And I'm sorry for the interruption.
          MR. ROSE: No, that's all right.
 8
          If I may I approach with the two cases we
10
    would rely on.
11
          THE COURT: All right.
12
          MR. ROSE: The House of Lyons. The second is
13
    a case from Georgia. The House of Lyons case is
14
     from the Florida Supreme Court. It deals in a
15
     slightly different context, but it deals with
16
    notarization. And so what you have here is, we've
17
    put on evidence. The documents that are in
18
    evidence, that these documents were signed
19
    properly. The witnesses were in the presence of
20
     each other, and the testator and the notary
21
    notarized them.
2.2
          Shirley's documents from 2008, there's no
23
     question that all the boxes were checked. There is
24
    a question that's been raised with regard to
25
     Simon's 2012 will and his 2012 trust; that the
```

```
1
     notary -- rather than the law firm employee
     notarizing them, these were notarized by Simon's --
 2
 3
     the testimony is by an employee of Simon's company,
     not a legal expert. And if on the face of the two
     documents -- and for the record, these would be
 5
     Exhibits 4, which is Simon's will, and Exhibit 5,
6
 7
     which is Simon's trust.
          On Exhibit 4, there's no box to check.
 8
     whole information is written out. And I don't
10
     believe there's any requirement that someone
11
     circled the word -- if you just read it as an
12
     English sentence, the notary confirmed that it was
13
     sworn to and ascribed before me the witness is
     Robert L. Spallina, who is personally known to me
14
15
     or who has produced no identification.
          So I think the natural inference from that
16
17
     sentence is that person was known to him, Kimberly
18
     Moran, who was personally known to me, and Simon
19
     Bernstein, who was personally known to me. So on
     its face, I think it -- the only inference you
20
21
     could draw from this is that the person knew them.
2.2
          Now, we've established from testimony that she
23
     in fact knew the three of them, and we've
24
     established by way of Exhibit 16, which was signed
25
     on the same day and notarized by the same person.
```

1 And Exhibit 16, unlike Exhibit 4, which doesn't have a little check mark, Exhibit 16 has a check 2 3 mark, and the notary properly checks personally 4 known to the people that she was notarizing. So I believe -- and the In Re Lyon case stands for substantial compliance with a notary is 6 7 sufficient. And the North Carolina case is actually more directly on point. The Florida 8 Supreme Court case, Lyons -- and we've highlighted 10 it for the Court, but it says, clerical errors will 11 not be permitted to defeat acknowledges -acknowledgments when they, considered either alone 12 13 or in connection with the instrument acknowledged 14 and viewed in light of the statute controlling 15 them, fairly show a substantial compliance with the 16 statute. 17 The North Carolina case is a will case, In Re 18 Will of Durham. And there it's exactly our case. 19 The notary affidavit was silent as to whether the 20 person was personally known or not. And the Court 21 held the caveat was self-proving. The fact that 2.2 the notary's affidavit is silent as to whether 23 decedent was personally known to the notary or 24 produced satisfactory evidence of his identity does 25 not show a lack of compliance with the notary

1 statute, given the issues of personal knowledge or 2 satisfactory evidence are simply not addressed in 3 that affidavit. So we have a Florida case and we have the 4 North Carolina case, which I think is -- it's 5 obviously not binding, but it is sort of 6 7 persuasive. If they're self-proved, we would win without any further inquiry. The reason we had a 8 trial and the reason we had to file a complaint was 10 everything in this case -- you've slogged through 11 the mud with us for a day, but we've been slogging 12 through the mud for -- basically, I got directly 13 involved in January of 2014, after the Tescher 14 Spallina firm -- after the issues with the firm 15 came to light. So we've been slogging through this. 16 17 But we did file a complaint. We went the next 18 step. So the next step says to you, assume the 19 notaries are invalid, which they aren't invalid; but if they were, all we need to establish these 20 21 documents is the testimony of any attesting 2.2 witness. So we put on the testimony of an 23 attesting witness, Mr. Spallina. He testified to 24 the preparation of the documents. And I do think 25 it's relevant and it will give the Court comfort in

1 making findings of fact that there was an extensive 2 set of meetings between Mr. Spallina and his 3 clients when they did the documents. I mean, we documented for the first set of documents, you know, four meetings, a letter with 5 some drafts, then a meeting to sign the documents, 6 7 some phone calls and some amending the documents. And in 2012, we've documented at least one meeting 8 with notes involving Simon; telephone conferences 10 between Simon and his client; eventually, when a 11 decision was made, a conference call of all the children; drafts of the documents sent; the 12 13 document being executed. And so I think if you look at the evidence, 14 15 the totality of the evidence, there's nothing to suggest that these five documents do not reflect 16 17 the true intent of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. 18 There's nothing to suggest that they weren't 19 prepared by the law firm; that they weren't signed 20 by the people that purport to sign them; that 21 undisputed testimony from an attesting witness was 2.2 that all three people were present, and it was 23 signed by the testator and the two witnesses in the 24 presence of each other. 25 So under either scenario, you get the document

```
1
    admitted.
                In fact, the documents are in evidence.
 2
     They've been admitted to probate. But the
 3
    testimony under 732.502, 503, the testimony of the
    drafting attorney, who attested -- who was an
 4
    attesting witness, is sufficient for these
 5
 6
    documents.
 7
          There's absolutely no evidence put on the
    Court that Simon Bernstein lacked mental capacity.
 8
     In fact, the evidence is directly to the contrary.
10
    Every witness testified that he was mentally sharp;
11
    making intelligent decisions; having a conference
12
    call with his children to explain his wishes.
13
     there's simply no evidence in the record to
14
     determine that he lacked testamentary capacity.
15
          So if I have Mr. Bernstein, Simon Bernstein,
16
    with testamentary capacity signing documents in the
17
    presence of two subscribing witnesses, the 2012
18
    documents should be upheld. I don't know if
19
     there's a question at all even about Shirley
    Bernstein's 2008 document, but the testimony is
20
21
    undisputed that the documents were consistent with
2.2
    her wishes. You saw a draft letter that explained
23
    to her exactly what was happening. She signed the
24
    documents.
                 The self-proving affidavits for the
25
     Shirley documents are all checked perfectly.
```

1 even if they weren't, we have an attesting witness 2 here. 3 And, frankly, I think Eliot Bernstein likes these documents. And all he wants to do is argue what they mean and how much money you get from And we didn't really need to spend a day 6 7 arguing this, but we have and we're here. And we believe that the evidence conclusively demonstrates 8 that these documents are valid. 10 Now, you've heard some nonsense and some 11 There were a couple of problems in shenaniqans. the case; one with the notarization of documents. 12 13 And it's sort of a sad and tortured story, but it's -- it was clearly wrong for someone to send 14 15 documents into Judge Colin's courtroom that had been altered. The correct documents were submitted 16 17 and the estate should have been closed. 18 And when the documents were returned, someone 19 should have gone and filed a motion with Judge 20 Colin to accept the un-notarized documents, since 21 there was no dispute they were signed. And we 2.2 wouldn't be here. But for whatever reason, that 23 happened. And it's unfortunate that happened, but 24 there's no evidence that Ted Bernstein, either of 25 his sisters, or Eliot Bernstein, or any of the

1 grandchildren played any role in the fabrication of that document -- the false notarization. 2 3 The fabricated amendment to Shirley's trust document is a very disturbing fact, and we took immediate action to correct it. No one's purported to validate that document. We filed an action to 6 7 have the Court construe the documents, tell us which are valid, tell us what they mean. And 8 that's where we should be focusing our time on. 10 And this is, in my view, step one toward that. 11 But if you look at the evidence we've 12 presented, if you -- I understand you've got to 13 deal with the witnesses that you're handed. think Mr. Spallina's testimony, notwithstanding the 14 15 two issues that we addressed, was persuasive, it 16 was unrebutted. 17 And we would ask that you uphold the five 18 documents and determine, as we have pled, that the 19 five testamentary documents that are in evidence, I believe, as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be upheld and 20 determined to be the valid and final testamentary 21 2.2 documents of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. To the 23 extent there's any question the document that has 24 been admitted to be not genuine be determined to be 25 an inoperative and ungenuine document, we would ask

1 that you enter judgment for us on Count II and reserve jurisdiction to deal with the rest of the 2 3 issues as swiftly as we can. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any closing argument from the other side? Okay. 6 7 I keep forgetting that you've got a right to be heard, so please forgive me. 8 9 MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, if I may approach, I 10 have some case law and statutes that I may refer 11 And I'll try to be brief and not cumulative. 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Could I get the other case law 13 that was submitted? Do you have a copy of that? 14 MR. ROSE: Sure. 15 MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, the relevant statute with respect to the execution of wills is 732.502. 16 17 It says that every will must be in writing and 18 executed as follows. And I'll just recite from the 19 relevant parts, that is to say relevant with 20 respect to our case. 21 The testator must sign at the end of the will 2.2 and it must be in the presence of at least two 23 attesting witnesses. And if we drop down to 24 Subsection C, the attesting witnesses must sign the 25 will in the presence of the testator and in the

1 presence of each other. 2 Judge, that was established and uncontroverted 3 in connection with Mr. Spallina's testimony. 732.502 was complied with. 4 Now, I think that we -- there was kind of a distraction with respect to the self-proving 6 7 affidavits at the end. As Your Honor's aware, a self-proving affidavit is of no consequence in 8 connection with the execution of a will. Execution 10 of a will as dealt with in 732.502 merely requires 11 execution at the end by the testator or the 12 testatrix, and then two witnesses who go ahead and 13 attest as to the testator's signature. 14 Now, the self-proving affidavit at the end is 15 in addition to. So the fact that there may or may 16 not have been a proper notarization is of no 17 consequence in connection with a determination of 18 the validity of any of these documents. So that's 19 number one. 20 Number two, I've also provided Your Honor with 21 another -- a statutory section, 733.107, and it's 2.2 titled "The Burden of Proof in Contest." And it 23 says there, in Subsection 1, "In all proceedings 24 contesting the validity of a will, the burden shall 25 be upon the proponent of the will to establish,

1 prima facie, its formal execution and attestation." I would submit to the Court that that was done 2 3 today. We had Mr. Spallina's testimony, which was uncontroverted, that indicated that 732.502 was 5 complied with. The statute goes on to state, "A self-proving affidavit executed in accordance with 6 7 733.502 or an oath of an attesting witness executed as required under the statutes is admissible and 8 establishes, prima facie, the formal execution and 10 attestation of the will." 11 So, once again, I would submit to the Court 12 that there were self-proving affidavits with 13 respect to all of these testamentary documents. 14 They were proper in form, and therefore comply or 15 comport with the second sentence of the statute. 16 But even if not, we had Mr. Spallina testify today 17 so as to comply with this second sentence of 18 Subsection 1. 19 So if we drop down to the third sentence of this Subsection 1, it says that, "Thereafter, the 20 21 contestant shall have the burden of establishing 2.2 the grounds on which probate of the will is opposed 23 or revocation is sought." 24 That was not done today by Mr. Eliot 25 Bernstein. He did not present any evidence or meet

1 any burden to overturn these valid wills. 2 Judge, there is the competency argument. 3 testamentary competency, I'm now going to quote from In Re Wilmott's Estate, 66 So.2d 465. "A 4 5 testamentary competency means the ability to understand generally the nature and extent of one's 6 7 property, the relationship of those who would be the natural objects of the testator's bounty, and 8 the practical effect of the will." 10 The only testimony, I elicited that from 11 Mr. Spallina. His is the only testimony that we 12 have in this regard. And it's uncontroverted that 13 both of these decedents met those very specific criteria which -- with respect to each and every 14 15 one of the five documents that are submitted for 16 your Court's validation today. There's also case law, In Re Estate of Weihe, 17 W-E-I-H-E. That's 268 So.2d 446. That's a Fourth 18 19 DCA case that says, "Competency is generally 20 presumed and the burden of proving incompetency is on the contestant." So even if we didn't have 21 2.2 Mr. Spallina's testimony today, which I elicited, 23 competency on the part of both Shirley and Si 24 Bernstein would be presumed. And it would be the 25 contestant, Mr. Eliot Bernstein, who would have to

```
1
     come up with the -- or would have the burden of
 2
     showing that they were incompetent. He presented
 3
     no evidence today in that regard or in that
     respect.
          Lastly, there's the In Re Carnegie's estate,
     153 Florida 7. It's a 1943 case. That says that
6
7
     testamentary capacity refers to competency at the
     time that the will was executed, so on that date.
 8
          The only testimony we have with respect to any
10
     issues of competency on the date -- on the specific
11
     dates that these testamentary documents were signed
12
     was from Mr. Spallina. And on all such dates and
13
     times, Mr. Spallina testified that these requisites
14
     with respect to competency -- or testamentary
15
     competency were met.
16
          Finally, Judge, undue influence, that would be
17
     a reason for invalidating a will. Mr. Bernstein,
18
     once again, did not present any evidence to go
19
     ahead and suggest that these wills or trusts
20
     documents should be overturned on the grounds of
21
     undue influence. And in that regard, I provided
2.2
     Your Honor with the Estate of Carpenter, 253 So.2d
23
     697.
           To prove undue influence, one must
24
     demonstrate that a beneficiary had a confidential
25
     relationship with the decedent and actively
```

```
1
     procured the will or trust.
 2
          Mr. Eliot Bernstein did not even suggest today
 3
     that any of the beneficiaries actively procured the
     document. Why? Beneficiaries are essentially --
     are ultimately the ten grandchildren.
     Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, did not suggest
 6
 7
     today that any one of the ten grandchildren, who
     are ultimately beneficiaries, were active in
 8
     procuring any of the five documents, nor did
10
     Mr. Bernstein submit to the Court any evidence of
11
     confidential relationship by anyone in connection
     with the various criteria to raise the presumption
12
     of undue influence, nor did Eliot Bernstein raise
13
     the presumption by satisfying any or enough of the
14
15
     criteria under the Carpenter case to go ahead and
16
     raise the presumption that anyone, any substantial
17
     beneficiary, had committed undue influence with
18
     respect to any of these documents.
19
          For those various, multifarious reasons,
20
     Judge, I would submit to the Court that these
21
     documents are valid and should be held as such.
22
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Any closing from the defendant's side?
23
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah.
25
          THE COURT: You've got eight minutes
```

```
1
     remaining.
 2
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, we're
 3
     really here today because of a complex fraud on the
     court and on beneficiaries like myself and my
 4
 5
     children. The only witness they procured to
     validate these documents has consented to the SEC
6
7
     and felony charges recently with his partner for
     insider trading. He came up on the stand and
 8
     admitted that he committed fraud, and that his law
10
     firm forged documents and frauded documents, and
11
     then submitted them not only to the court, but
12
     beneficiaries' attorneys as part of a very complex
13
     fraud to not only change beneficiaries, but to
     seize dominion and control of the estates through
14
15
     these very contestable documents.
16
          They've been shown by the governor's office to
17
     not be properly notarized. The two people who are
18
     going -- well, one is --
19
          MR. ROSE: I don't want to object to --
20
          MR. BERNSTEIN: -- has no --
21
          MR. ROSE: Can I object? He's so far talking
2.2
     about things that aren't in evidence.
23
          THE COURT: Sustained.
24
          You can only argue those things that were
25
     received in evidence.
```

```
MR. ROSE: And I realize Your Honor has a good
1
 2
    memory of the evidence --
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I put in evidence that
 4
    Mr. Spallina was SEC --
          THE COURT: No, I sustained objections to
6
    those questions.
 7
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         Oh, okay.
          THE COURT: You can only argue those things
 8
     that came into evidence.
9
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. They didn't bring in
11
    any of the necessary parties to validate these
12
    documents, other than Mr. Spallina, who admitted to
13
     the Court today that he fraudulently altered the
14
     trust document. Can I now say that?
15
          THE COURT: It's not good for you to ask me
16
    questions. I've got to rule on objections, and I'm
17
     trying to give you some guidance so that you don't
18
     screw up. But I can't answer your legal questions.
19
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So the only witness has
20
     admitted in this very case that his law firm
21
     submitted forged and fraudulent documents to the
2.2
    Court already in this case; that he himself did
23
    those frauds. And we're relying on his sole
24
    testimony.
25
         None of the other people who signed these
```

1 documents are here today to validate or even 2 confirm his statements. So it's a highly 3 uncredible [sic] witness to the documents, especially when Mr. Spallina drafted, signed as a 5 witness, gained interest in the documents himself personally as a trustee, and seems to clearly have 6 7 then taken it upon himself to mislead beneficiaries as to the actual documents. I have asked for production of these 10 Today there were no originals produced documents. 11 to this Court for you to examine. And more importantly, there's a few last 12 13 things I wanted to state to the Court. My children 14 are not represented here today as beneficiaries. 15 They were supposed to be represented by a trustee 16 of a trust that does not exist in our possession. 17 So they were -- I was sued as a trustee of a trust 18 I've never been given to represent my children, who 19 are alleged beneficiaries by these guys. And the estate's done nothing to provide counsel to three 20 21 minor children, and left them here today without counsel, and me as a trustee of a trust that 2.2 23 doesn't exist, as far as we know. I've never 24 signed it. They haven't submitted it to the Court, 25 to anybody.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I want to bring up Rule 1.20, pretrial procedure, case management conference process provides, "The matter to be considered shall be specified in the order of notice setting the conference." So I just want to say that we had a status conference in Simon Bernstein's estate, and only Simon Bernstein's estate, and that this trial was scheduled in Simon's status conference, which violates that very rule. So this trial, in my view, was conducted improperly. Like I said, if you look at the hearing transcript of that day, you'll see that Mr. Rose misleads the Court to think that all these cases were noticed up that day. But Mr. O'Connell, the PR, had only noticed it up for Simon's estate. what I'm doing here at a trial in Shirley's trust violates Rule 1.20. There are some other things that are violated and not -- I believe we didn't get to discuss the -- at the case management, the fact that, you know -- and I did try to get this out -- that we would need a lot more time for a competency hearing, for a removal of Ted process, which should have come first before doing this and letting them

```
1
    argue, where it's been alleged that there's some
 2
     serious problems with Ted Bernstein's
 3
    representation, including the fact that the PR of
     the estate of Simon has filed with this Court
    notice that he's not a valid trustee.
 5
          MR. ROSE: Objection. Outside -- not in
6
7
    evidence.
 8
          THE COURT: Okay. If you're not going to
    arque the facts that are in evidence in this trial,
10
     then I'm going to ask you to stop.
11
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I'll keep going
12
    on my -- see, that's what's confusing. What trial?
13
    We had a case management. I was prepared for a
14
     Simon, where I have Simon trust construction, all
15
     those things ready, and I didn't come with any
    notes about Shirley. And I've tried to notice the
16
     Court that under 1.200, this trial was scheduled
17
18
     improperly in the estate of Simon, and should have
19
    been reheard or rescheduled or something.
          But that seems not to matter. It doesn't
20
21
    matter that we follow the rules. I follow the
2.2
    rules, but it seems that the other side doesn't
23
     follow any of the rules; doesn't submit documents
24
    properly to courts; commits frauds on courts; and
25
    then wants you to believe the validity of these
```

```
1
    documents based on a felony statement to the Court,
    who's under a consent with the SEC.
 2
 3
          THE COURT: You've got two minutes remaining.
 4
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                         There were outstanding
 5
    discovery requests. I was denied all these
    documents. I was denied the trust that I'm sued
6
 7
    under representing my children. So I can't get any
    of those documents. We would have brought all that
 8
    up at a real status conference had it been a real
10
     status conference and not a corralling or, as you
11
     called it, a wrangling of octopuses.
12
          THE COURT: That's vivid imagery. Isn't it?
13
     I pride myself on that one.
14
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, yeah. Well, I was
15
    wrangled, technically, into the wrong case here
16
     today, in a status conference that you should have
17
     corrected upon learning about this. And Mr. Rose
18
    has been aware of his mistake in misleading the
19
    Court that all these cases were noticed up, when
20
     they weren't. And he didn't come to the Court to
21
    correct it. Kind of like they didn't come to the
2.2
    Court to correct the validity of these documents
23
    before acting under them, knowing they needed to be
24
    not only challenged on validity, but on
25
    construction of terms, which will come next, which
```

```
1
     is going to just go right back into the same circle
     of fraud.
 2
                                             Their star
 3
          So their star witness is a felon.
     witness has committed fraud upon this Court in this
           That's who they're relying on, and hoping
     you bank on his words to validate documents.
6
7
          I, Your Honor, am asking that you don't
     validate the documents; that we move forward to
 8
     have the documents properly forensically analyzed.
10
     They were the subject of ongoing criminal
11
     investigations, which are just getting kicked off.
12
     In fact, I got 7200 documents from Mr. Spallina,
13
     where almost, I think, 7200 are fraud.
14
          THE COURT: Your time is more than elapsed. I
15
     was letting you finish up as a courtesy, but you're
16
     getting off into things that aren't in evidence --
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Well, I don't think the
17
18
     trial was conducted fairly. I think that my due
19
     process rights have been denied under the law.
20
          THE COURT: Your time is more than up.
21
    you.
2.2
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          Okay.
23
          THE COURT:
                      Is there any rebuttal?
24
          MR. BERNSTEIN: And I still would like to move
25
     for your disqualification, on the record.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: On the record doesn't count.
 2
     You've got to put it in writing.
 3
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Are you sure? I thought I saw
 4
     in the rules --
          THE COURT: I'll tell you what. You proceed
     under your understanding of the law and the rules.
6
7
     That's fine.
 8
          MR. BERNSTEIN:
                          Okay.
9
          THE COURT: Before I take this --
10
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I rest.
11
          THE COURT: -- before I take this rebuttal
12
     argument, I'll let you put your request for recusal
13
     in writing. We'll be out of session five minutes.
14
          Is that something you want me to read?
15
          MR. ROSE: I just want to make my final --
16
          THE COURT: I just want to make sure that
     there's been no possibility that this gentleman
17
18
     won't have his moment to shine.
19
          So go ahead and go put that in writing, sir.
     Be back in five minutes.
20
21
          (A break was taken.)
2.2
          THE COURT: Did you get that written down?
23
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I approach?
24
          THE COURT: Sure. All approaches are okay.
25
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Do you want to wait for
```

```
1
    everybody?
 2
          THE COURT: Do you have something that you
 3
    wanted to file, a written motion to recuse?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. In freestyle.
 4
          THE COURT: All right. I'll take a look at
6
     it.
         Thank you.
 7
          MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I ask a question?
          THE COURT: I'll be in recess. I'll take a
 8
     look at this written motion. Thank you. It'll
10
     take me just a minute. Don't anybody go away.
11
          (A break was taken.)
          THE COURT: The stack of documents handed up
12
13
     to me by the defendant are duplicates of documents
     that he filed, it looks like, twice with the clerk
14
15
    on December 4th, and they've already been ruled
16
    upon by me. But I am also ruling today by
17
    handwritten order on the face of one of the
18
    documents that the disqualification motion is
19
    denied as legally insufficient; already ruled upon
     in the order of 12/8/15, at Docket Entry No. 98;
20
21
     identical to motions filed by defendant on
2.2
     12/4/2015 at Docket Entries Nos. 94 and 98; done in
23
    order of John Phillips, 12/15/15. And since I have
24
     skills, I made copies of my handwritten order for
25
    everybody.
```

```
1
          Gary, if you could, just hand these out.
     That'll take care of all that.
 2
 3
          Now we can go back to talking about the case.
     I was going to take the rebuttal argument from
     Plaintiff's side. I'd take that now.
          MR. ROSE: I have just the exhibits that we
6
7
     put in evidence on the plaintiff's side, if that's
     easier for the Court.
8
          THE COURT: That would be much easier.
10
     you.
11
          MR. ROSE: And I have a proposed final
12
     judgment. And I wanted to talk about one paragraph
13
     of the final judgment in particular.
          MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't had time to review
14
15
     any final judgment or anything.
16
          THE COURT: You're interrupting the argument.
17
     Thank you.
18
          MR. ROSE: So the complaint alleges -- and I
19
     realize we didn't cover every issue in the entire
     case, but we do it within the four corners of Count
20
21
     II of the complaint. Count II of the complaint was
2.2
     stated in paragraph 79 through 88 of the complaint.
23
          And the answer that's filed in this case on
24
     Count II at paragraph 80 alleges that there's been
25
     a fraud on the court by Ted Bernstein, including,
```

1 but not limited to, proven forgery, fraudulent notarizations, fraud on the court, altercation 2 3 [sic] of trust documents, et cetera, et cetera. And in paragraph 82, the answer says that Ted should be removed for his ongoing involvement in fraud which is dealing with these documents. 6 7 Ted Bernstein is serving as a fiduciary. You've heard -- that was the defense to this case. 8 That's stated in the complaint. You heard no 10 evidence that Ted Bernstein was involved in the 11 preparation or creation of any fraudulent documents. In fact, the evidence from Mr. Spallina 12 13 was to the contrary. 14 So our final judgment in paragraph 5 asks the 15 Court to make a ruling on the issues that are pled 16 in the answer, specifically that there was no evidence that Ted was involved and that the 17 18 evidence was to the contrary. 19 So we have no rebuttal. We believe we've 20 established our case, and we proposed a final 21 judgment for Your Honor's consideration that 2.2 discusses that this is an action to adjudicate five 23 documents to be the testamentary documents. 24 on the evidence presented, they're genuine, 25 authentic, valid and enforceable; has the requisite

```
1
     findings. Paragraph 5, which I've explained, the
     reason we believe it's appropriate in the final
 2
 3
     judgment, given the pleadings that were made and
     the lack of evidence on those pleadings. And we
 5
     didn't get into it today, but --
          THE COURT: Well, if we didn't get into it
6
7
     today, then it's not proper for argument.
                     Well, it's alleged in the complaint
 8
          MR. ROSE:
     and not proven, so I think it's appropriate to make
10
     a finding on it. You didn't actually hear
11
     testimony that was relevant to those issues about
12
     Ted Bernstein. And I would ask you to consider
13
     that 5 is supported by the evidence and the
14
     pleadings.
15
          And 6, we would like you to declare the
     unauthorized one invalid, because it does change
16
17
     potentially something, and we want to know what
18
     we're doing going forward. And I don't think
19
     anyone disputes that Exhibit 6 that's in evidence
     was not valid. And then it just states this is
20
     intended to be a final order under the rules of
21
2.2
     probate code.
23
          So that's our order. We would ask you to
24
     enter our judgment or a judgment similar to it;
25
     find in favor of the plaintiff; reserve
```

```
1
     jurisdiction for numerous other matters that we
    need to deal with as quickly as we can. But,
 2
 3
    hopefully, with the guidance we get today, we'll be
    able to do it more quickly and more efficiently.
 4
 5
    So thank you.
6
          THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
7
          We'll be in recess. It was fun spending time
    with you all.
8
          Sir, do you have any proposed final judgment
9
10
    you want me to consider? I've received one from
11
    the plaintiff's side. Is there some from the
12
    defendant's side?
          MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I haven't received one
13
     from them. And seeing theirs --
14
15
          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
          Then we'll be in recess. Thank you all very
16
17
    much. I'll get this order out as guickly as I can.
          (At 4:48 p.m. the trial was concluded.)
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
5	
6	
7	I, Shirley D. King, Registered Professional
8	Reporter, State of Florida at large, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12	Dated this 4th day of January, 2016.
13 14	Sherila D. Line
15	Shirley D. King, RPR, FPR
16	
17	Job #1358198-VOL 2
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

446

245:18

	0
08	190:2
	1
16 17 18 24 20 1.2 1.2 10 10 11 11 12 12/ 12/ 12/ 12/ 13 14 14t: 15	120:3 167:6 58:4 177:21 78:3,4,11 80:24 182:13 83:24 241:20 83:23 244:18, 0 0 251:1,18 00 252:17 130:15 h 226:1,12 230:3 h 200:12,13 188:1 15/15 256:23 4/2015 66:22 8/15 256:20 h 194:3 175:17 00:21 h 194:3 207:3 h 189:8 179:21 80:19
153 15t: 16 23 18t: 19	246:6 h 191:12 235:24 36:1,2 h 168:13 176:6 3 246:6
199	4 143:8 5 155:3
	2
18 16	133:24 134:2, 8 160:21,25 51:2,6,10 53:8,22

```
167:15,16
 173:10 175:9
 177:22 178:5,
 7,9,11,14
 180:24 182:15
 183:24 241:20
20 176:7
2006 153:25
2007 142:14
2008 168:13
 184:8 232:18
 234:22 239:20
2012 129:24
 130:15 131:7
 191:4 192:24,
 25 194:3
 214:25 221:24
 232:20 233:7
 234:25 238:8
 239:17
2013 140:9
 196:7 200:21
 207:3
2014 189:8
 237:13
24 176:12
253 246:22
268 245:18
27 167:16
28 168:1 190:7,
 20 197:12
 198:13,14
       3
3 168:4,5 175:9
 180:24 182:4,
 6,15,19 183:16
 187:4 198:21,
 23 241:20
3/12/08 187:12
30 155:3 225:7
       4
4 171:6 173:12
 175:10 202:25
 235:6,8 236:1
 241:20
40 212:17
```

```
465
     245:4
4th 256:15
       5
5 175:3 235:6
 241:20
503 239:3
       6
6 177:9 178:16,
 22,23 179:3,4
 180:24 182:7,
 8,9,10,20
 183:4,9,10
 184:22 186:22
 187:3,6
60 179:23,25
 180:3
66 245:4
697 246:23
       7
7 133:19 134:1,
 18 171:7 246:6
7200 254:12,13
732.502
        239:3
 242:16 243:4,
 10 244:4
733.107 243:21
733.502
         244:7
       8
8 173:15
       9
94 256:22
96
  143:8
98 256:20,22
9th 166:13
 190:18 191:4
 199:25 200:8,
 10,19 201:4
 202:5
```

```
Α
ability 174:21
 193:7 245:5
absolutely
 215:5 222:18
 239:7
accept 181:9
 230:16 240:20
access 215:21,
 24,25
accordance
 244:6
account 151:17
accounted
 152:15
accounting
 150:4,20
 190:11,15
 191:9,11
accurate 126:25
accurately
 206:10
aching 234:4
acknowledged
 236:13
acknowledges
 236:11
acknowledgments
 236:12
acting 220:9
 253:23
action 241:5,6
actions 164:15,
 18 209:3
active 247:8
actively 246:25
 247:3
activity 165:24
actual 250:8
ad 195:24
added 184:25
adding 185:11,
 12,13
addition 243:15
additional
 214:6
address 221:12
addressed 237:2
```

241:15 adequately 135:4
administered
admissibility
162:24 197:21
admissible
admit 197:13,
17,19
admitted 137:1 148:25 166:11
207:14 219:20
239:1,2 241:24
248:9 249:12,
20 adverse 212:19
advice 150:13,
16 208:9
216:19
affidavit 233:18 236:19,
22 237:3
243:8,14 244:6
affidavits 233:13,15
239:24 243:7
244:12
affirmatively
154:11 affirmed 205:22
agree 181:8
189:6 230:17
agreement
126:15 129:3 136:22,25
139:12 140:18
141:17 153:8
164:1 167:15 177:16
agreements
139:10
ahead 159:19
161:13 243:12 246:19 247:15
255:19
Alan 134:7
149:5,8 208:1,
3 230:1 alive 189:25
191:6 192:2

```
228:5
allege 184:5
alleged 140:11,
 15 158:12
 195:16 250:19
 252:1
allegedly
 168:12 207:5
 221:23 225:23
allowed 142:5
 150:13 172:5
 206:12
alter 140:19
 163:25 185:14
alteration
 124:24 140:8
 207:1
alterations
 140:23,25
altercation
 207:8
altered 137:1
 144:5,13
 155:12 156:1
 163:7 166:21
 167:1 207:13
 240:16 249:13
altering 144:14
 156:17 210:9
amend 177:15
amended 151:17
 159:5 176:19
 233:7
amending 238:7
amendment
 136:25 137:4,5
 138:11,13,16,
 17 139:25
 144:13 168:3
 177:7 182:13,
 14 184:7,20
 232:20 241:3
analysis 219:14
analyst 210:21
analyzed 221:16
 254:9
anger 227:6
angina 225:6
angry 227:20
anymore 121:22
 124:13
```

```
apologize
 232:12,16
Apparently
 170:13
appears 160:14
 161:18 167:17,
 18 171:11
 172:20 175:7
 176:14 200:1
 202:6
appoint 194:4,
 16,23,24
 195:4,5
appointed 192:5
 194:25 195:1,2
appointment
 139:5 161:16
 163:25 189:1
 233:5
approach 126:10
 178:20 234:9
 242:9 255:23
approaches
 126:11 255:24
April 166:13
 190:2,18 191:4
 199:25 200:8,
 10,12,13,19
 201:4 202:5
argue 150:8
 162:4 240:4
 248:24 249:8
 252:1,9
argued 180:13
arguing 162:5,
 19 163:17
 240:7
argument 151:6,
 9 163:14,16
 220:11 242:5
 245:2 255:12
argumentative
 138:21 143:15
 155:14 156:18,
 20 163:10
 185:19 195:20
 219:22
arguments 151:8
 232:2,7
article 177:19
ascribed
          235:13
```

```
151:2
asks
assets 132:21
 144:25 219:1
assignment
 203:10
assistant
 192:11
association
 214:3
assume 142:9
 158:3 237:18
Assuming 188:24
attach 138:12
attached 127:11
 136:25 233:13
attempt 144:6
attending
 228:18
attention 131:4
 136:17 152:2
attest 243:13
attestation
 244:1,10
attested 201:25
 239:4
attesting
 232:23 237:21,
 23 238:21
 239:5 240:1
 242:23,24
 244:7
attorney
 131:23,24,25
 132:4,5,11,12
 137:8 141:23
 147:17 155:17,
 24 156:8,11
 162:22 189:22
 194:4 199:22
 223:13 230:15
 239:4
attorney-client
 208:9,11
attorneys 147:7
 189:17 207:11
 209:8,15 210:9
 211:3 219:19
 248:12
authenticate
 213:5,18
authenticated
 210:8
```

authenticating
authorization
132:4
_
authorized
132:8,10
autopsy 165:2
aware 130:2,5
131:2,18
151:13,16
154:11 158:12,
15,17,19,22
159:2 165:2,7,
24 166:7,15
169:1,8,13,19,
20,21,24 170:1
206:25 207:16
221:24 222:2,
4,5,9,10,13,16
224:5 225:2,
17,22 226:15
227:5,8,20
243:7 253:18

В

```
122:24
back
 127:3 147:24
 166:2 175:20
 179:21 180:19
 186:6,12
 192:16 193:18
 199:4,9,12
 200:5,8 227:12
 228:4 254:1
 255:20
background
 232:13,15
backwards 144:1
BAILIFF 186:11
bank 254:6
bar 120:21
 127:4 214:3
based 162:14
 165:17 192:12
 196:19 253:1
basically 131:5
 237:12
Bates 188:3,6
Baxley
        173:1
 174:22 176:18
```

```
165:8
Beach
 166:19 203:8
bearing 189:3
     223:18
beq
beginning
 175:20
behalf 155:6
 193:20 201:9
 220:9
behavior
          193:5
beneficiaries
 140:19,24
 141:1 144:17
 145:15,17
 157:8 202:19
 204:8,16
 212:20 220:6
 233:6 247:3,4,
 8 248:4,13
 250:7,14,19
beneficiaries'
 248:12
beneficiary
 133:4 144:23
 145:18,19,20
 157:5 158:3
 190:15 202:12,
 14,15,21 220:5
 246:24 247:17
benefit 133:15
 155:6 211:15
benefits
          146:2
Benjamin 122:2
Bentley 151:12,
 14,16 152:13
Bentleys 152:3
Bernstein
 120:7,10,18,19
 121:10,13,16
 122:11,20
 123:15,22
 124:2,8,9,23
 125:3,8,16
 126:6,12,13
 127:7,21 128:7
 132:24 133:3,
 10,19,22
 134:3,7,9,17,
 20 135:6,9,18,
 24 136:3,6,7,
```

15,19,20

137:11,15,20,

```
23 138:3,6,9
139:2 140:4,6,
11,12,14
141:4,6,9,21
142:6,11,12,18
143:17,23
144:3,4,21,22
145:16,18
146:1,10,12,
15,19,22,24
147:4 148:5,
10,11,22
149:3,18
150:8,10,14,
18,22 151:1,
11,20,24
152:1,5,9,22
153:3,6,7
154:15,16,18,
20 155:10,15,
16,20,22
156:6,23
157:2,11,13,25
158:5,9
159:10,11,13,
17,22 160:1,5,
9,17,20 161:4,
9,14 163:4,5,
11,17,20
164:9,13,25
165:1,6,12,14,
16 166:1,10,24
167:4 168:4,7
169:7,16
170:5,6 171:6,
9,25 172:6,9,
13,16,17
173:11,14,18
174:19 175:2,4
176:21 177:5,
9,11 178:3,9,
14,20,24
179:1,5,8,11,
24 180:10,12,
23 181:2,22
182:7,19,22,25
183:3,8,11,13,
15,24 184:1,15
185:22,24
186:1,5,8,14,
17,20 187:8
188:17 190:4,
17,24 191:12
192:19 193:5,
```

```
17,21 194:1,9,
 12 196:4,5,14,
 15,16,18,24
 197:3,7,8,13,
 19,22,25
 198:4,9,22
 199:2,5,6,24,
 25 201:3,14,
 21,23,24
 203:7,11,15,16
 204:1,5,6,15,
 18,23 205:2,
 10,14,15,17,21
 206:1,13,15,
 18,23 208:16,
 20,24 209:4,6,
 13,23,24
 210:14 211:5,
 9,10 212:8
 213:1,4,10,16
 217:1,2,7,12,
 15 218:8,9,19,
 23 219:8,24
 220:17 221:1,
 14,21,22
 223:16,19,23,
 25 224:2,4,14,
 20 225:16,21
 226:6,11
 227:12,23
 228:9,11,16
 229:1,8,15,18
 230:1,4,9,17,
 20 231:4,8,11,
 20,22 232:19,
 21 233:3
 234:2,4 235:19
 238:17 239:8,
 15 240:3,24,25
 241:22 242:12
 244:25 245:24,
 25 246:17
 247:2,6,10,13,
 24 248:2,20
 249:3,7,10,19
 252:11 253:4,
 14 254:17,22,
 24 255:3,8,10,
 23,25 256:4,7
Bernstein's
 177:7 191:2
 239:20 251:7,8
 252:2
```

binding 237:6 biography 143:13
biopsy 158:24 222:2,6,8
birth 206:20 bit 162:17 232:13
<pre>bitter 179:22 blank 163:21 blue 169:19 body 165:21 book 167:16 179:1,15,17,18 181:11,12,13</pre>
182:10,11,16, 19 190:7
bored 232:15 bottom 129:2,4, 5,14 170:9,13
bound 152:8
bounty 245:8 box 123:5 189:16,20 235:8
boxes 234:23
brain 158:19, 23,24 222:3,7, 8
break 179:20 180:20 181:14 255:21 256:11
Briefly 213:15 bring 249:10 251:1
<pre>bringing 197:4 broader 139:5 brother 132:2 214:19,24</pre>
brought 131:3 151:21 158:22 197:10 253:8
Brown 122:2 burden 243:22, 24 244:21
245:1,20 246:1 business 214:4
24211000 211.1

C cabinets 216:2 call 130:17,21 141:18 142:8 145:7 193:6, 17,19 205:1, 11,12,14 214:18,25 226:1,3,17,25 230:6,10,15 238:11 239:12 **called** 193:23 214:3 219:4,5 230:11 233:21 253:11 **calling** 231:17 calls 135:21 174:14 212:5, 21 238:7 capacity 125:11 239:8,14,16 246:7 car 152:2 care 169:13 215:3,6,10,14 221:9 **cared** 215:12 Carnegie's 246:5 Carolina 233:23 236:7,17 237:5 Carpenter 246:22 247:15 carry 141:16 156:15 case 134:11 141:24 142:3 144:1 153:1 164:4 180:10, 12 193:14 198:18 205:7 218:4 231:12 234:13 236:5, 7,9,17,18 237:4,5,10 240:12 242:10, 12,20 245:17, 19 246:6

247:15 249:20,

22 251:2,21

```
252:13 253:15
 254:5
cases 171:19
 233:19 234:9
 251:14 253:19
caused 164:22
 222:6 225:23
causing 131:14
 225:18
caution 208:7
caveat 236:21
cavitating
 144:2
certificate
 206:21
certified
 171:2,3
cetera 220:22
chain 121:4
 230:5,21
 231:3,5,6
challenged
 253:24
chance 204:7
change 248:13
changing 225:22
 233:5
characterize
 226:18
characterizing
 226:17
charge 194:5
 198:17 207:9
 211:1
charged 212:14
charges 248:7
Chaves 142:23
check 153:3
 168:23 173:1
 235:8 236:2
checked 234:23
 239:25
checks 236:3
chest 225:9
children
 131:10,11
 135:6,9 141:24
 156:12 161:17
 192:14 202:17
 203:10,21
 204:7,13
```

```
211:23 215:13,
 15,17,20
 225:24 226:14,
 20 229:10
 238:12 239:12
 248:5 250:13,
 18,21 253:7
children's
 137:8
Christine
 136:24 137:7
 139:13,15,17,
 18 140:1 144:6
 148:25 155:11
 156:7
chronology
 185:15
circle 173:22
 174:1 254:1
circled 235:11
circulation
 139:10,16,17,
circumstances
 228:19
cite 233:19
claim 155:6
 169:17
claiming 184:6,
 17,19
claims 150:5
 202:11 230:24
clarification
 143:19 223:3,
 22
clarified
 122:13 223:20
clarify 120:11
 122:4
clarity 141:19
clause 178:17
clear 156:22
 195:10 201:19
clerical 236:10
clerk 167:9,11
 170:10,25
 186:10,11,13
 256:14
client 122:25
 123:2 208:12
 223:16,21
 224:16 238:10
```

clients 156:12 168:18,21 175:13 189:19
238:3 close 150:10 195:18 196:15, 21 224:15
closed 192:12 194:14 196:6, 10,18,25 232:1 240:17
closely 223:24 closing 166:2 232:2,7 242:5
247:23 co-personal 149:24
co-pr 147:14 co-prs 147:9 co-trustee 147:14 149:23
co-trustees 147:9
codicils 203:9 Colin 123:11 124:23 125:3 192:10 232:14 240:20
Colin's 199:10 200:22 240:15 college 143:10
Colton's 125:6
<pre>comfort 237:25 commit 156:11, 13</pre>
commits 252:24 committed 207:12,17 210:9 211:4 218:1 247:17 248:9 254:4
communicated 233:3 company 235:3 competence
193:7 competency 152:10 165:17 245:2,3,5,19, 23 246:7,10,

```
14,15 251:23
competent
 165:19
compilation
 187:15
complaint
 237:9,17
complex 248:3,
 12
compliance
 236:6,15,25
complied 243:4
 244:5
comply 244:14,
 17
comport 244:15
concerned
 162:19
conclusion
 135:22 174:14
 177:3 212:6,22
conclusively
 240:8
conditions
 158:13 224:5
conduct 150:23
conducted
 251:11 254:18
conference
 214:18,25
 238:11 239:11
 251:2,5,7,9
 253:9,10,16
conferences
 238:9
confidential
 246:24 247:11
confirm 250:2
confirmed
 235:12
conflict 212:2
conformed 123:8
 167:6,9,11,13
 170:12
confusing
 252:12
connection
 236:13 243:3,
 9,17 247:11
consecutive
 182:11
```

consent 253:2
consented 248:6
consequence
243:8,17 considered
considered
132:18,24 133:3,5 136:9 144:11 236:12
133:3,5 136:9
144:11 236:12
251:3 consistent
129:6 176:11 189:14 216:18
189:14 216:18
233:10 239:21
construction
252:14 253:25
construe 241:7
construes
216:21
consulted
208:5,8 214:5
Cont'd 120:9
contact 227:5
contacted 169:9
207:21
contempt 127:4,
5
Contest 243:22
Contest 243:22
Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25
Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24
Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting
Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting 243:24 context 234:15 continued 120:3
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9
Contest 243:22 contestable 248:15 contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 contesting 243:24 context 234:15 continued 120:3 contract 210:21 contrary 239:9 control 248:14
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 Convince 144:6
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 Convince 144:6 Copied 122:16
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 Convince 144:6 Copied 122:16
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 Convince 144:6 Copied 122:16 Copies 121:5,7 123:9 124:15
Contest 243:22 Contestable 248:15 Contestant 244:21 245:21, 25 Contesting 243:24 Context 234:15 Continued 120:3 Contract 210:21 Contrary 239:9 Control 248:14 Controlling 236:14 Conversation 132:3,6 222:23 226:19 Convince 144:6 Copied 122:16

```
216:1 256:24
copy 136:21
 137:2 138:19,
 20 139:20,23
 141:24 161:19,
 20 163:7
 167:6,9,11,13
 170:10,24
 171:1 181:2,3,
 10,11 186:10
 242:13
copying 125:24
coroner 165:9
corralling
 253:10
correct 129:16,
 23 130:1,18
 132:25 136:10
 138:16,20
 139:10 140:12
 146:10 149:21
 151:14 154:3,
 8,23 156:12
 158:6 161:17
 164:1,9,15,21
 167:7 170:15
 171:16 174:6,9
 184:20,21
 185:11,13
 186:24 187:19
 188:8,10
 190:1,2,12
 191:10,13,16,
 20 192:9,15
 194:5,21,22
 195:11,12
 196:7,11
 201:16 203:5,
 21,23 207:13,
 15,18,19
 208:24 209:10
 210:16,17
 213:23,24
 214:2,14,21
 215:1 216:15,
 16 217:5
 240:16 241:5
 253:21,22
corrected
 253:17
counsel 140:11,
 14,17 147:8,
 11,13,25
```

207:16,22,23,
25 208:3
210:22 211:2
214:5,6 216:20
220:9,20
250:20,22
Counsel's
208:13
count 242:1
255:1
counts 133:17
County 165:9
166:19 203:8
166:19 203:8 couple 240:11
court 120:4,8,
14 121:11,15,
18 122:1,9,13
123:11,19,23
124:3,7,18,20, 22 125:1,5,10 126:11,18,21
22 125:1,5,10
126:11,18,21
127:3,5,6,8, 10,12,17,22
128:5 133:9,
11,21 134:1,5,
8,10,18
135:17,23
136:2,4,13,16
137:13,17,22,
24 138:4,7,23
140:3,22
141:8,12,22 142:3,4,7
142:3,4,/
143:16,21,25 144:20 145:25
146:5,11,13,
17,21 147:3,22
148:2,6,9,12,
13,17,18
149:2,15,17
150:7,9,12,16, 24 151:2,18,
24 151:2,18,
22,25 152:2,7,
11,24 153:5
154:13 155:9,
18,21 156:4, 19,24 157:10
158:8 159:15,
18,23 160:3,7,
18,21,24
161:7,10
162:7,25
163:13,19

```
164:7,10,24
165:5,11,13
166:9,23 167:3
169:6,12,18
170:20,25
171:21,23
172:2,8,11,14
173:12 174:13,
15,18 175:1
177:4,10,25
178:6,13,15,
21,25 179:3,7,
10,14,17
180:2,11,17,21
181:1,6,15,20,
23 182:18,21,
23 183:2,6,10,
12,20 184:12
185:21 186:3,
6,12,16,18,21,
25 187:2
188:13,16,19
189:23 190:21
191:25 192:2,
21 193:11,15,
19,22 194:7,10
195:22 196:1,
13,19,23
197:1,6,16,20,
23 198:2,5,17
199:1,3,17
200:23 201:2,
5,11,13,16,18,
22 203:14,25
204:3,17,20,25
205:7,12,16
206:3,8,9
208:11,18,21,
25 209:5,10,
11,14 210:4,12
211:7 212:7,
13,23 213:2,7,
12 215:4
216:17,18,20,
24 217:3,10,
14,19 218:3,5,
18,21,24
219:3,23
220:12,24
221:7,18
223:12,18,20,
24 224:1,17
225:13,20
226:8 228:10,
```

```
13,24 229:6,
 11,17,20,23
 230:3,6,12,14,
 19 231:2,5,9,
 12,16,21,23
 232:1,7,10
 233:20,21,22,
 24 234:3,5,11,
 14 236:9,10,20
 237:25 239:8
 241:7 242:4
 244:2,11
 247:10,20,22,
 25 248:4,11,23
 249:5,8,13,15,
 22 250:11,13,
 24 251:14
 252:4,8,17
 253:1,3,12,19,
 20,22 254:4,
 14,20,23
 255:1,5,9,11,
 16,22,24
 256:2,5,8,12
court's 167:10
 186:4 245:16
courtesy 254:15
courtroom 151:3
 240:15
courts 167:12
 170:11 174:10
 252:24
cover
       137:18
covered 137:10,
 14,19,20
 144:19 195:24
create 137:6
 138:24
created 138:10,
 19 153:24,25
 155:3 208:17
creating 163:7
credibility
 148:7
creditor
          202:13
criminal
          230:23
 254:10
          245:14
criteria
 247:12,15
cross 120:9
 180:22 197:10
```

```
cross-
examination
 120:6 195:25
cross-examining
 134:15
crossed
         229:22
cumulative
 136:11 137:9
 138:22 140:2,
 21 144:19
 145:24 146:4,
 14 149:16
 156:3 157:9
 158:7 164:6
 166:8 171:21,
 22 172:1,4
 174:25 177:2,
 24 183:19
 184:11 185:20
 188:15 195:21
 199:16 201:10,
 17 203:13,24
 220:10,23
 221:6,17
 242:11
Cumulative's
 172:4
curator 122:2,
 6,7 124:4,16
curiosity
 159:24
custody
        121:4
 125:18 210:10
 230:5,21
 231:3,5,6
customary
 168:17
     132:1,9
cut
cutting 227:6
       D
dad
     130:10
```

229:21

```
dad 130:10

157:4 225:8,14

227:4 228:2,

12,15

dad's 129:20

147:8 156:15

damages 164:21

Daniel 153:23
```

213:14,16

date 124:3 128:21 149:25 168:18,22 187:11 191:22 200:14,18 246:8,10 dated 168:15,16 dates 246:11,12 daughter 132:8 day 162:5 165:3 168:11,14 174:4 176:22 180:13 187:18 191:9 194:18, 20 200:9,11 207:21,22,23 208:4 214:4,12 216:10 219:7 235:25 237:11 240:6 251:13, 15
days 180:14
216:11
DCA 245:19
dead 195:19 196:10 201:15,
18 229:3,13
deal 241:13
242:2
dealing 178:7 deals 234:14,15
deals 234:14,15 dealt 243:10
death 129:23
141:18 147:8
150:1 155:6
189:13 204:9, 11 224:7
225:11
decedent 236:23
246:25
decedents 245:13
December 256:15
decide 151:19
162:9,10,14
169:14 218:21 233:11
decided 215:4
decision 178:25
238:11
decisions 221:10 239:11
221.10 233.11

```
deem
      212:13
deemed 135:7
 212:12
defeat 236:11
defendant
 256:13,21
defendant's
 160:21,25
 161:2 182:4
 187:4 193:16
 198:21,23
 247:23
defense 193:24
deficient 169:4
define 210:2
definition
 133:4 134:24
definitional
 139:5 163:22
delete 177:18
deleting 163:23
Delray 158:24
demonstrate
 246:24
demonstrates
 240:8
denied 253:5,6
 254:19 256:19
depend 135:14
deposit 123:5
 189:16,20
 201:4
deposited
 170:19 201:16
depressed
 224:12,25
depression
 224:6,9
descendants
 132:14 133:4
 135:7 136:9
 144:8,12,16,24
 145:5,6
describe 128:9
 140:18 219:13
describing
 164:14,18
designed
 153:17,18
```

Detective 146:25

determination 243:17
determine
162:21 174:3
188:25 213:22 239:14 241:18
determined
241:21,24
devisees 202:18
<pre>died 145:12,20, 21,23 146:2,6, 7 157:3,7</pre>
7 157:3,7
158:11 165:3
194:2,13,18,20
195:9,10,18 200:22 204:12
224:11,22
225:14 228:2
<pre>dies 173:20 differ 223:18</pre>
difference 212:23
difficult
215:20
Digital 143:4
<pre>dinner 228:18, 22</pre>
direct 189:3 193:25 205:25
direction
201:20
directly 233:1 236:8 237:12
239:9
disappearing
186:19
<pre>disbursed 219:1 discharge</pre>
190:1,22 197:9
198:1 199:8
201:5
discovered
200:23 208:16 230:25
discovery 253:5
discuss 223:1
251:20
discussing

227:2
disposed 218:25
disposition
132:19,24
135:13,19
136:8
dispositions
133:5 135:5
145:6 202:10
dispositive
130:9 176:25
210:9 220:20
dispute 240:21
disputes 130:24
131:2
disqualification
254:25 256:18
dissatisfaction
131:22
distraction
243:6
distribute
137:7
distributed
137:3
distributions
135:12 145:6
135:12 145:6
disturbing
disturbing 241:4
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20,
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20,
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23
<pre>disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17</pre>
<pre>disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21</pre>
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7,
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7,
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6,
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6, 9,24 164:5,8
disturbing 241:4 division 169:3 Docket 256:20, 22 doctors 158:23 document 120:17 123:6 124:21 127:11 128:9 129:9,14 132:17,20,23 133:2 135:24 136:14 138:12, 16,18 139:6, 23,24 140:8,20 141:2,19,20, 23,25 144:5,7, 8,15 145:5 148:17 153:7, 10 155:12,25 156:11 159:12 161:8,24 162:23 163:6,

3,6,11,21

16 169:15

165:18 166:12,

```
170:2 173:6,16
 175:13 176:25
 180:16 181:24
 182:20 188:4,
 24 189:4,11,19
 192:6,11,13
 195:14 196:19
 197:3 199:11
 203:5 208:25
 209:9 210:8,
 10,16,20,24
 211:5,11,13,18
 212:10,12,16
 213:6,19,23
 214:8,10,16,22
 215:4 216:14,
 19,20 217:4,8
 218:12,14,20,
 22 219:6,9,16,
 17,18,21
 220:1,7,20
 221:2,4,16,24
 225:23 226:7,
 21 227:3,22,25
 229:7,14
 230:5,22,25
 231:11,15
 232:17,24,25
 233:9,12,13
 234:17,18,22
 235:5 237:21,
 24 238:3,5,6,
 7,12,16 239:1,
 6,16,18,21,24,
 25 240:4,9,12,
 15,16,18,20
 241:7,18,19,22
 243:18 244:13
 245:15 246:11,
 20 247:9,18,21
 248:6,10,15
 249:12,21
 250:1,3,5,8,10
 252:23 253:1,
 6,8,22 254:6,
 8,9,12 256:12,
 13,18
dominion 248:14
Don 147:6
 161:18 164:2,
 5,8,14
Don's
      187:10,16
Donald 160:16
```

```
doodling
          138:1
doubt
      191:14
draft 153:10
 239:22
drafted 126:14
 132:20 133:2
 135:24 233:9
 250:4
drafting 239:4
drafts 238:6,12
draftsman
 232:24
draw 235:21
drive 122:18,21
drop 242:23
 244:19
due
    124:24
 220:7 225:23
 254:18
duly 205:22
duplicate
 134:12 216:5
duplicates
 256:13
Durham 236:18
duty 220:19
       Е
```

```
E1 134:24
earlier 141:11,
 14 154:21
 173:5 186:21
 187:25 202:17
 209:20
early 207:3
easy 224:18
effect 188:25
 212:17,18
 245:9
efficient 180:7
elapsed 254:14
elicited
 245:10,22
Eliot 135:9
 157:13,25
 191:12 203:11
 214:24 217:25
 240:3,25
 244:24 245:25
 247:2,6,13
```

```
Eliot's
         191:14
email 191:19
 229:2
emailed
        191:15
employee
          235:1,
employees
          166:1
encourage 180:7
     130:24
end
 179:22 180:2,8
 219:7 227:10,
 17 242:21
 243:7,11,14
ended 158:24
ending 175:24
enforceable
 233:12,16
English 235:12
enter 125:12
 133:22 134:3
 242:1
Entries
         256:22
Entry 256:20
       143:19
error
 184:14,16,18
 203:6
errors 236:10
essentially
 247:4
establish 160:8
 237:20 243:25
established
 235:22,24
 243:2
establishes
 229:15 244:9
establishing
 244:21
estate 122:6,11
 140:15 141:3
 147:8 148:1
 149:25 150:19
 152:11,14
 153:12,13
 154:2,25
 157:5,22
 165:25 166:3,
 16 189:15
 192:8,11
 194:13 195:18
 196:6,15,18,
```

21,25 199:23 202:7,10,11, 12,21 240:17 245:4,17 246:5,22 251:7,8,16 252:4,18 estate's 250:20 estates 131:9 132:1,9 147:6 211:12,20 248:14 eventually
238:10
everybody's
182:16
evidence 133:7,
8,12 134:13,14
136:12 152:17
159:21 160:2, 3,6,25 161:3
162:25 177:23,
24,25 178:8
179:9,13
181:17,21,25
182:5 183:18
187:2,7 190:8
193:16 197:14,
18 198:13,14,
15,24 199:4
200:25 203:12,
24 231:24
232:1,22 233:2
234:17,18 236:24 237:2
238:14,15
239:1.7.9.13
239:1,7,9,13 240:8,24
241:11,19
244:25 246:3,
18 247:10
248:22,25
249:2,3,9
252:7,9 254:16
EXAMINATION
193:25 205:25
examine 250:11
examined 205:22
excuse 141:6 148:23 155:15
190:4 227:10
execute 122:25
129:2 175:13
2 / 3 3

```
193:7
executed 123:6
 184:7 231:7,10
 238:13 242:18
 244:6,7 246:8
executing
 177:15
execution
 242:16 243:9,
 11 244:1,9
exercise 189:1
 233:4
exercised 139:4
exhibit 127:2,
 25 133:21,23,
 24 134:12
 159:16 160:1,
 2,19 161:2
 167:6,15,16
 168:4,6 171:6
 173:10,12
 178:16 180:24
 182:3,6 183:16
 186:3,23 187:6
 190:4,20,22
 191:18 198:6,
 13,20,23 199:4
 200:20 202:25
 235:6,8,24
 236:1,2
exhibited 205:5
exhibiting
 159:17
exhibits 134:11
 159:20 167:5,6
 185:24 186:2
 190:21 235:6
exist 250:16,23
exists
        208:13
expanded
          185:17
expect 127:18
expense 192:17
expenses 192:7
experiencing
 224:7
expert 165:22
 170:8 210:19,
 23 219:11
 220:7,22
 221:16 235:4
explain 120:15
 139:3 217:18
```

```
239:12

explained
209:20 239:22

exposing 148:24

expressed 189:7

expressing
131:21

extensive
232:13 238:1

extent 132:21
232:12 241:23
245:6
```

F

```
fabricated
 241:3
fabrication
 241:1
face 235:4,20
 256:17
facie 244:1,9
fact 192:23
 213:21 214:12
 220:8 235:23
 236:21 238:1
 239:1,9 241:4
 243:15 251:21
 252:3 254:12
factors 135:14,
 15
facts 153:1
 170:3 174:11
 221:11,13
 252:9
failed 174:22
 176:23
fairly 236:15
 254:18
false 241:2
familiar 153:7
 157:12
family 153:8,19
 154:3 157:13
 158:1 164:22
 211:14,20,22,
 24 212:16
 226:23 229:3,
 12
father 130:3,14
 146:7 153:23
```

```
155:2 165:3
 196:20 200:22
 202:1,5,20
 204:10 208:21
 214:13,19,20,
 23 215:6,22
 216:9,10
 221:23,25
 222:11 224:6,8
 225:10,18,22
 226:3,13
 227:6,9 228:5,
 18
father's 141:16
 149:25 170:7,
 8,17,18,19,25
 171:5,10
 172:18 175:5,
 24 176:2,13
 187:22,23
 216:4 224:21
favor 161:16
February 131:7
felon 254:3
felony 248:7
 253:1
felt 215:19
fiduciary
 140:10,11
 147:12 148:14
 150:19,23
 207:5,9 210:25
 212:1 220:19
figure 148:5
 151:7 152:16,
 20 156:22
 165:14 170:1
 196:24 197:2
 209:1,18 219:6
figuring 137:13
file 122:7
 123:3 155:6
 170:12 192:5,
 17 216:2
 217:16,23
 218:3 237:9,17
 256:3
filed 127:9
 148:19 170:11
 190:10,20
 196:19 200:6
 210:4 213:19,
 21 217:8,24
```

1 910•1 // 990•9
218:1,4 220:3
240:19 241:6
252:4 256:14,
T
21
filing 218:10
5' 7 016:10
final 216:18
233:9 241:21
255:15
Finally 246:16
find 212:1,19
216:4 219:25
220:18 231:15
233:14
findings 238:1
fine 120:17
128:5 129:21
159:18 181:16
198:14 208:8
224:22 255:7
finish 123:14 156:4 163:13
156:4 163:13
130.4 103.13
226:10 254:15
finite 196:3
fired 213:25
firm 121:2,20,
22,23,24
1 100.11 00
123:11,20
124:12,13
124:12,13 125:22,23
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10,
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10,
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20 203:8 233:21
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8 237:4 246:6
124:12,13 125:22,23 139:22 142:22 150:20 160:10, 11 161:22,23 174:8 177:12 182:12 184:9 189:12 190:25 192:16 193:2 195:10 199:9 201:7,8 207:12 221:5 235:1 237:14 238:19 248:10 249:20 firm's 142:2 216:2 fit 185:6 Five-plus 143:1 flipping 175:22 Florida 120:20 203:8 233:21 234:14 236:8

Decembe
5
focusing 241:9
follow 190:7 212:11,12
212:11,12
216:17,19
230:22 252:21,
23
force 131:19
forced 229:9
foregoing
134:25 135:3
forensic 210:21
220:5,22
forensically
220:1 221:5,16
254:9
formed 142:2
forged 142:2 166:12 248:10
166:12 248:10
249:21
forgeries
220:19
forget 168:18, 20,21
101get 100:10,
forgetting
242:7
forgive 242:8
forgive 242:8 forgot 143:11
101900 143.11
form 174:4
191:19 244:14
formal 206:16
formal 206:16 244:1,9
Forman 142:24
forms 189:24
191:21 192:2
forward 127:17
144:2 254:8
found 169:2
207:4,7,21
207.4,7,21
209:7 211:3
218:14 219:9
224:8 231:11,
13
foundation
197:20
Fourth 245:18
frankly 240:3
fraud 148:24
156:11,13
166:11 207:12,
17 209:7 210:9
11 203.1 210.9
211:4 219:20

220:8 248:3,9,

```
13 254:2,4,13
frauded 141:23
 248:10
frauds 166:15
 220:20 249:23
 252:24
fraudulent
 124:24 136:21
 138:11,19,24
 139:23 140:8
 141:24 142:2
 148:15 165:24
 166:13 207:1,7
 208:17 218:20
 249:21
fraudulently
 137:1 155:12
 156:17 163:7
 166:20 167:1
 185:18 249:13
freestyle 256:4
Friedstein
 158:1 203:11
front 152:18
 168:14 185:24
full 190:22
 199:8 201:5
 206:16
fully 202:6
functions 174:2
       G
```

```
gain 211:14
gained 250:5
gave 132:4
 149:19 174:5
 186:4,8
general 131:8
generally
 245:6,19
gentleman
 255:17
genuine 127:10
 214:9 216:9
 241:24
genuinely
 190:18
         234:13
Georgia
get all
         152:17
 195:9
```

gist 131:8 give 123:8 150:13 184:3 233:7 237:25 249:17 **good** 138:5 179:19 215:2, 16 225:7 231:20 249:1, 15 **Gotcha** 138:6 199:5 Governor 169:3 governor's 169:9,16 248:16 grandchildren 233:8 241:1 247:5,7 grandparents 215:18 grounds 244:22 246:20 **guess** 168:5 quidance 249:17 gun 229:10 **Gutter** 142:23 guy 152:2 211:1 228:13 guys 250:19

н

half 180:5 hand 126:8 128:3 198:21 **handed** 241:13 256:12 handing 126:17, 19,22 **handle** 150:24 hands 126:17 handwriting 220:7,22 handwritten 256:17,24 happen 146:6 happened 148:24 189:24 231:15 240:23

happening 239:23
he'll 127:18,19
head 172:2
headaches
222:5,10,12
hear 206:9,10
217:12 224:18
232:3
heard 232:23
233:2 240:10
242:8
hearing 135:19 136:1 149:7
217:4,19
T
218:15,16,25 251:12,24
Hearsay 169:5,
heart 225:6
heavy 165:8 held 236:21
247:21
highlighted
236:9
highly 250:2 hired 219:19
hired 219:19
hires 211:1
history 142:2 143:12,19,22,
24
hit 172:2
hold 153:18
203:4
holiday 228:6
home 153:18
189:20 228:6,
14,18 231:15
Honor 120:12
126:16 133:16,
19,25 137:12
139:1 141:6,14
152:6 161:25
165:15 168:4
171:6 173:15
177:9 178:4
179:24 181:5 182:19 185:23 188:17,21
100.17 01
188:17,21
198:11 209:6
213:1 218:23
413.1 410.43

	December
230:17 246:22 249:1 2 Honor's hoping 1 254:5 House 23 234:12, Human 18 hundred	248:2 54:7 243:7 152:19 33:21 13
Iantoni 158:1 2	03:11
idea 183 218:2	3:12
identical 181:12 256:21	
identific 173:3 1 235:15	
identity	236:24
II 242:1	
imagery	
immediate	
207:20	
important	
137:16 196:1 2	152:5
important	:ly
250:12	
<pre>improper 230:2</pre>	
improperl	_
174:9,1	
176:25	251:11
252:18	
<pre>included 138:13,</pre>	-
including	
123:3,6	214:23
252:3	
incompete	ency
245:20	
incompete	ent
246:2	

incomplete

173:9

```
increased
 185:10
incredibly
 126:9
inference
 235:16,20
influence
 246:16,21,23
 247:13,17
information
 230:24 235:9
inherent 145:10
initial 129:3,
 11
initialed 129:9
initials 128:8,
 11,15,22
 175:5,8,11,15
 176:2
inoperative
 182:13 241:25
inquiry 233:2
 237:8
inserted 185:3,
 5,9,18 231:12
inside 144:8
insider 248:8
inspect 125:25
instances 220:8
instruct 208:10
instruction
 127:6
instrument
 177:15 236:13
insufficient
 256:19
insurance
 154:17,21,22
 155:2
intelligent
 239:11
intend 127:17
 141:5,10
intended 233:3
intensive 229:3
intent 141:16
 156:13,15
 238:17
intentions
 215:18
```

intents 229:13 interest 122:8 152:12 211:19 250:5 interesting 152:4 interruption 234:7 intertwined 223:8 interview 166:20 interviewed 166:18 introduced 187:25 invalid 162:13, 15 163:7 208:17 237:19 invalidates 211:18 invalidating 246:17 invalidly 139:13 inventory 151:17 investigation 169:22 investigations 254:11 **invite** 228:5 invited 228:14, 17 involved 237:13 involving 238:9 irrevocable 145:13 **issue** 150:17 232:11,17 233:18,20 **issues** 133:17 159:2 209:21 222:25 223:2, 6,7 237:1,14 241:15 242:3 246:10

250:21

legal 135:21

J

Jake 153:24 **January** 140:9 148:23 189:8 196:6 237:13 **jeez** 186:5 **Jill** 135:9 158:1 191:22 203:11 **job** 216:14 John 256:23 Josepher 142:23 **Josh** 153:22 judge 124:23 125:3,6,10,11, 13 152:25 159:13 184:22 192:10 199:10 200:22 211:13, 18 213:22 232:14 240:15, 19 242:9,15 243:2 245:2 246:16 247:20 judgment 216:18 242:1 **July** 221:24 jurisdiction 242:2

K

Ken 125:22 126:2 kicked 192:16 254:11 **kids** 153:22 **kids'** 153:23 **Kimberly** 166:19 169:1,8 171:15,18 172:23,25 174:22 205:4,5 235:17 **kind** 163:8 172:10 224:9 243:5 253:21 **knew** 154:6,8 173:25 195:10

218:19 225:8 235:21,23 knowing 174:9 218:24 253:23 knowledge 124:10 125:18 131:20 152:25 165:20 166:17 172:19 174:3 175:6 191:24 221:15 237:1 Kratish's 167:20

L

lack 236:25 **lacked** 239:8,14 **laid** 197:20 **landing** 169:22 language 132:19 144:15 145:4 156:2 163:23 **Lastly** 246:5 **law** 139:22 150:20 155:17 160:10,11 174:17 177:12 182:12 184:9 192:16 193:2 195:10 201:7,8 207:12 235:1 238:19 242:10, 12 245:17 248:9 249:20 254:19 255:6 lawsuit 213:19, 22 217:21,23 218:10 220:3 **lawyer** 146:20 189:15 **layman** 155:13, 23 156:1,8 **learn** 146:19 227:2,24 learning 210:8 220:19 253:17 **leave** 163:1 215:7 233:8 **left** 121:23 131:12,15 189:21 230:8

150:13,16,17 151:4 174:14 177:2 212:5,21 235:4 249:18 **legally** 256:19 legitimate 192:13 **letter** 130:8 131:4,5,7,8,20 160:10,12 161:15,19 164:14,17 189:7 229:12 231:14 238:5 239:22 **letting** 162:16 198:17 251:25 254:15 license 121:1 174:5 **life** 154:22 lifetime 135:4 224:10 light 236:14 237:15 **likes** 240:3 Lindsay 173:1 174:20,22 176:18 lineal 132:14 133:4 135:7 136:9 144:7, 11,16,24 145:5 **Lisa** 158:1 191:21 203:11 **list** 153:4 166:6 183:16 205:18 **listen** 137:24 181:6 205:7 223:22 listening 220:14 litigation 232:14 **live** 153:19 living 132:1 162:8 216:20 **LLC** 153:8

long 126:9 142:25 148:12 149:23 **looked** 176:6 219:10,15 **lose** 211:14,19 212:17 **lost** 186:7 189:19 **lot** 137:25 175:13,23 209:19 251:23 **Lyon** 236:5 **Lyons** 233:21 234:12,13 236:9

M

made 121:5 122:25 124:15 135:5 141:2,17 142:16 144:16 150:5 169:17 192:4 202:10 206:25 215:6 238:11 256:24 **mail** 191:17 make 140:23,25 156:1 157:8,12 159:2 163:14 171:1 186:16 189:23 197:24 203:9 212:23 219:13 229:10 255:15,16 making 135:12 141:25 154:9 168:23 187:3 221:9 226:20 234:1 238:1 239:11 management 180:12 251:2, 21 252:13 manager 180:10 Manceri 147:15, 16,25 mark 147:15,16, 25 159:23 175:24 176:23 198:21 236:2,3

marked 181:10 187:4
marks 175:24
Marshall 186:14
Mantin 122:11
Martin 123:11
200:22
matter 148:1
169:20,22,25
190:16 212:9,
11 251:3
252:20,21
meaning 135:25
148:7 230:24
231:4
means 138:2,5
231:6 245:5
medical 130:2
158:10,25
159:2,6 165:22
221:24 224:5
medically
158:13
medications
1
225:2
medicine 225:8
medicines
158:15
meet 142:13,15
1
244:25
244:25
244:25
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2,
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2,
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2
244:25 meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23 245:13 246:15
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23 245:13 246:15 metal 165:8
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23 245:13 246:15
meeting 130:14, 23,24 142:18 187:13 193:6 226:12 238:6,8 meetings 238:2, 5 member 120:20 memorandum 203:17 memory 218:1 249:2 mental 239:8 mentally 239:10 mentioned 157:19 158:2 202:23 met 142:16,20 207:22,23 245:13 246:15 metal 165:8

	December
224:17	
Miller	147:1
mind 12	9:20
165:20 201:19	179:16
201:19	209:19
224:22 228:12	229:9
16	227 7 7
mine 18	1:3
mine 18 minor 1	41:24
156:12	250:21
minute	256:10
minutes 23,25 1 230:3 2 253:3 2	179:21,
23,25 1	.80·3,19 047:25
253:3 2	255:13,
20	•
Miranda	
Mirandas	
mirror	
mis 217	
miscondu	ct
171:19 misinter	nret
215:18	prec
misinter	pretatio
n 141:2	
mislead	
misleadi	ng
253:18 misleads	251 • 1 /
missed	183:9
204:1	100.0
missing	143:14
163:9	
misstate	
123 : 17 17	174:11,
mistake	253:18
modified	163:22
mom's 1	
168:1 1	96:25
moment	180:17
255:18	
money 2 215:7 2	
240:5	177.0
months	149:5
218:20	

169:22

moon

Moran 166:12,19 169:8 171:15, 18 172:23 205:4,5 235:18 Moran's 169:1 MORRISSEY 174:16 242:9, 15 mother 128:21, 24 146:6 202:16 208:21 215:6,22 216:6,10 224:11 mother's 128:15 137:7 141:20 147:12 166:3 170:16,20 195:7 202:21 203:2 204:9 207:2 210:1 211:12 motion 192:4,17 217:8,16,18,19 240:19 256:3, 9,18 motions 256:21 move 127:17 140:4 183:13 254:8,24 moves 144:1 **moving** 136:5 183:12 201:20 **mud** 237:11,12 multi-page 183:22 multifarious 247:19 multiple 127:1 158:23 220:8 Ν

named 195:7
narrow 232:11
natural 235:16
 245:8
nature 245:6
nauseam 195:24
necessarily
 164:3 175:12,

16 189:6 **needed** 218:15, 24 253:23 negative 211:8 neglected 173:25 nervous 234:1 **net** 149:19 non-valid 139:23 nonsense 240:10 North 233:23 236:7,17 237:5 256:22 Nos notaries 237:19 notarization 169:2 173:8 176:22 193:1 233:19,20 234:16 240:12 241:2 243:16 notarization's 173:20 notarize 172:23,25 notarized 168:12,25 174:9,12 176:18 177:1 199:10,13,18 234:21 235:2, 25 248:17 notarizing 235:2 236:4 **notary** 169:3 174:3 199:15, 21 214:15 234:20 235:1, 12 236:3,6,19, 23,25 **notary's** 236:22 **note** 187:11 **notes** 137:25 138:5 187:9, 13,14,16,17, 20,22,24 238:9 252:16 **notice** 251:4 252:5,16 **noticed** 251:15, 16 253:19

notified 148:13	150:6,21	officer 186:14	owners 153:20
notify 166:19,	151:3,4 155:8,	offices 188:1	
25 notwithstanding	14 156:3,18 157:9 158:4,7	one's 241:5 245:6	P
134:25 135:3	160:22,23		
241:14	163:10 164:6,	ongoing 254:10	pages 128:9
November 168:13	23 165:4,10	opened 185:8	129:3 182:10,
184:8 196:9	166:8,22 167:2	operating	11 183:22
	169:5,11	220:21	pain 225:9
now's 204:25	171:20 172:6	operative	pains 225:6
number 133:21	173:10 174:11,	181:18 182:14	Palm 165:8
177:20,21,22	13,16,25	opposed 244:22	166:18 203:8
178:3,4,5,11,	177:2,23 178:6	order 123:11,18	Pam 131:4,16,
14,22,23	179:14 181:19	124:20,22	18,21 136:8
184:22,25	182:3 183:18	125:1,3,6,14	144:11,24
185:11,12,13, 16 187:5 190:5	184:11 185:19	162:1 216:14	161:16 191:21
224:23 243:19,	186:22 188:11,	251:4 256:17,	203:10 227:6
20 24 23 243 19,	13 195:20	20,23,24	Pam's 131:5
numbered 183:22	196:12,22	ordered 122:1	144:7,16 145:5
numbering	197:6 198:12,	124:3,17,23	Pamela 135:6
177:17 184:18,	20 199:16	125:4 165:3	203:10
23	201:1,10,17 203:12,22	orders 125:12	paper 159:25
numbers 183:17	203:12,22	212:13	197:17 198:5
184:3,10	209:16 210:11	original 121:7,	219:6
Numeral 184:2	212:3,21	14,17,21 122:6,21,23	papers 127:13 213:9
numerals 184:2	217:6,11,13,14	123:3,4,5,6,9,	
	218:3,17	12,15,20,25	paragraph 161:6,10
	219:3,22	124:17,20	163:8,22 164:3
0	220:10,16,23	132:16 163:9	177:18,21
O'connell	221:6,17	170:15,19,22,	178:1,7,9
251:15	225:12,15,19	23,25 189:11,	185:3,5,8
oath 120:5	226:4 228:7,	18 191:17	paragraphs
193:23 244:7	15,23 229:5,17 230:16 231:17	196:20 209:25	183:23
object 124:5	252:6	210:2,3,5	parents 142:13
127:1 141:12	objections	215:21,25	147:7 215:16
162:24 192:19	150:25 249:5,	originals	part 124:5
230:2,10	16	123:1,7 125:18 152:22 170:17	131:9 133:13,
248:19,21	objects 245:8	211:6 216:5	17 137:16
objected 148:2	observe 193:4	231:13 250:10	138:15 153:12,
151:22	obtained 170:10	outstanding	13 154:25
objection 122:4	octopuses	253:4	155:4 162:4,20
123:13 124:19	253:11	Overruled	168:24 184:5
127:23 128:1	offering 160:18	123:23 138:23	188:3 198:13 201:21 203:6
133:7 135:16,	office 122:17,	140:22 164:10	223:21 245:23
21 136:11	24 126:2	171:21 184:12	248:12
137:9 138:21 140:2,21	130:22 142:17	199:17 210:12	parties 130:25
140:2,21	168:17 169:8,	218:5	149:13 249:11
145:24 146:4	9,24 199:10	overturn 245:1	partner 147:6
147:2,20	214:12,16	overturned	248:7
148:9,21	222:19 248:16	246:20	partners
149:1,16			161:22,23
			, -

<pre>parts 143:13 242:19</pre>
<pre>party 137:3 198:17</pre>
<pre>pass 144:25 passed 132:21</pre>
192:3 202:13 208:22 227:4,9
passes 145:10
Passover 228:6, 17,22
<pre>past 127:3 pay 136:17</pre>
152:2
penalties 202:2,4
people 180:15
236:4 238:20, 22 248:17 249:25
percent 212:17 216:22
perfect 175:11
perfectly
224:22 239:25
<pre>period 202:13 208:15</pre>
perjury 202:2,4
<pre>permitted 236:11</pre>
person 127:13
173:2 174:4 235:17,21,25
236:20
<pre>personal 140:15 148:13 190:14</pre>
194:17 196:16
202:18 222:25
223:2,7 237:1 personally
173:2 176:24
201:6 211:19 214:9 231:14
235:14,18,19
236:3,20,23 250:6
persuasive
237:7 241:15
<pre>pertinent 230:23</pre>
petition

```
148:19,23
 189:25 190:20,
 22 196:20
 197:9,25 199:7
Phillips 256:23
phone 130:22
 226:1,3,17
 238:7
picking 197:16
piece 137:15
 197:16 198:5
pieces 159:24
place 146:8
 165:25 166:16
plaintiff's
 133:24 134:2,
 16,18 167:16
 178:16 182:6
 186:22 187:3,6
 231:24 232:4
plan 154:2
planning 141:3
 153:12,13
 155:1,4 219:17
plans 154:25
played 241:1
pled 241:18
podium 120:13,
 14 185:25
 206:7 224:15
point 131:15
 133:12 138:4
 139:22 145:13
 146:16 162:3
 203:5 209:22
 236:8
pointed 162:12
points 138:2
poison 165:8
police 148:25
policy 154:17,
 21,22 155:2,7
Pollock's
 122:17 125:22,
 23 126:2
portion 227:13,
 18
positive 201:20
possession
 121:20 250:16
```

possessions 216:4
possibility 162:15 255:17
possibly 152:17
postmortem 144:14
potential 157:24
power 139:4
161:15 162:22 163:24 189:1 233:4
PR 147:14
192:5,6 251:16 252:3
practical 245:9
practice 124:13
129:17,19 189:14
practicing
121:3
predeceased
132:18,24
133:3,5 135:8 136:9 144:11
preference
179:10 181:7
preparation
237:24
prepare 149:7
160:12 190:25
prepared 154:4, 5 160:15
5 160:15
177:8,12
190:23 193:2 203:18 238:19
252:13
presence 128:21
174:4 234:19
238:24 239:17 242:22,25
243:1
present 176:21,
22 238:22 244:25 246:18
presented
241:12 246:2
presumed
245:20,24
presumption

```
pretrial 251:1
pretty 154:10
pride 253:13
prima 244:1,9
prior 141:17
 142:18 154:1
 155:23 160:10
 189:13 203:9
 218:10 224:7
 225:11 226:25
 227:17
privilege
 208:9,12
 223:5,11,14
probate 214:6
 239:2 244:22
problem 230:21
problems 130:2
 158:23 221:25
 225:10,14,17
 226:14 240:11
 252:2
procedural
 150:14
procedure
 150:17 251:2
proceed 128:6
 203:6 255:5
proceedings
 120:3 243:23
process 251:2,
 24 254:19
procured 247:1,
 3 248:5
procuring 247:9
produce 124:4
 125:5 136:21
 141:24 150:4,
 20
produced 139:14
 148:14 173:2
 174:23 176:22
 235:15 236:24
 250:10
producing 137:2
production
 182:12 188:7
 250:9
professional
 220:21 221:5
proffered
```

247:12,14,16

127:25 182:2 **Proof** 243:22 proper 230:13, 14 243:16 244:14 properly 148:6 172:23 192:13 193:7 234:19 236:3 248:17 252:24 254:9 property 202:18 203:20 231:1 245:7 proponent 243:25 protect 220:6 **prove** 246:23 **provide** 141:19 250:20 provided 135:4, 8 243:20 246:21 **proving** 245:20 provision 139:6 psychiatrist 158:17 222:14, 17 223:17 psychiatrist's 222:19 psychologist**client** 223:14 **public** 169:3 **pulled** 197:11 **purport** 238:20 purported 241:5 purposes 125:24 129:10,11 132:19,23 133:5 135:5 136:8 229:4,13 123:4 put 134:11,13,14 136:1 144:15 151:17 168:18, 21 181:17,24 185:16 232:12 234:17 237:22 239:7 249:3 255:2,12,19 **putting** 181:20

Q

question 122:5 123:10,19,21 125:15 127:19 133:1 136:4 137:13,18 139:7 141:8, 11,15 142:7 143:22 144:9, 15 145:8,9 146:13 147:23 148:2,12 151:2,10 152:9 155:19 156:20 159:19 161:12 163:13,14,19 164:7 165:13, 15 178:7 188:14 192:25 193:6 194:10, 19 199:18 200:21 201:22 204:2,4 207:6 209:8,11 211:4 213:2 215:2 218:6,7,13 220:12,14 224:1 225:13 226:8,10 227:16,17 229:11 233:17 234:23,24 239:19 241:23 256:7 questioning 134:19 142:10 156:5 questioning's 195:24 questions 120:15 121:11, 14 127:14 141:13 146:20 150:12 152:3, 20 154:13 160:7 163:2 170:3 180:22 182:23 183:1 186:18 194:7

204:19 208:14

209:2,21

213:13 221:12 224:18 249:6, 16,18 quick 126:7 178:4 quickly 227:16 quote 245:3

R raise 247:12, 13,16 **raised** 233:17 234:24 ranting 142:5 **rapidly** 186:19 **read** 130:7 133:10,11,13, 14 135:2 144:10 159:10 161:5,11 178:2 200:24,25 227:12,13,18 235:11 255:14 reading 136:18 161:7,10 reads 178:12 ready 120:4 180:21 252:15 **real** 126:7 142:1 178:4 253:9 realize 249:1 **Realty** 153:8 reask 137:17 reason 138:7 184:9 206:11 215:19 216:8 219:16,18,21, 25 222:22 237:8,9 240:22 246:17 222:16 reasons 230:22,23 247:19 **rebuttal** 231:23 254:23 255:11 **recall** 122:3 126:3 131:1 132:3 142:20 146:25 154:9

161:20 168:8, 11 173:13 188:5 196:8 222:12 228:8, 19,21 229:2 receive 182:4 received 161:2 186:22 187:6 198:19,23 248:25 recently 248:7 receptacle 157:22,24 receptacles 158:2 recess 180:18 256:8 **recite** 242:18 **record** 130:8 133:16 134:4 161:5,8 170:24 180:25 206:4, 14 227:13,18 235:5 239:13 254:25 255:1 records 188:10 recusal 255:12 **recuse** 256:3 redirect 188:20,22 216:25 217:1 **refer** 125:25 183:21 242:10 referenced 154:17,21 referral 142:17 referred 187:5 referring 122:10 124:22 125:2 130:12 166:2,4 183:4 228:20 **refers** 246:7 reflect 206:4 218:4 238:16 **regard** 234:24 245:12 246:3, 21 **reheard** 252:19 rejected 192:10,11

relation 169:9
relationship
215:16 245:7
246:25 247:11
relevance
123:17 147:2,
20,22 148:4 149:1 150:21
164:23 165:4,
10 166:22
167:2 171:20
196:12,22,23
197:1,4 201:1,
12,17 210:11
218:17,18
225:12,19
228:7,10,11,23
220.7,10,11,23
229:5,6
relevancy
135:16 136:11
150:6 151:23
155:8 204:14
relevant 136:1,
2 150:22
162:1,14
200:10 212:25
213:3 220:15
221:7,11 233:1
237:25 242:15,
19
relieve 130:11
rely 234:10
relying 249:23
254:5
remain 123:2
remaining
179:23,25
248:1 253:3
remember 131:6
remember 131:6 180:17 197:10
228:1
remind 137:22
reminding
208:13
removal 251:24
repeat 138:8
143:18,21,25
211:16
I .
replacement
replacement
125:13
125:13 reporter 206:10
125:13

```
represent
 250:18
representation
 252:3
representative
 140:15 148:14
 149:24 190:14
 194:17 196:16
 231:18
represented
 148:17 250:14,
 15
representing
 141:23 148:6
 219:20 253:7
request 255:12
requested
 170:24
requests 253:5
require 200:3,
 14
required
          244:8
requirement
 235:10
requires 243:10
requiring
 124:20
requisites
 246:13
rescheduled
 252:19
reserve 242:2
resigned 124:24
resolve 209:22
respect
         147:25
 242:16,20
 243:6 244:13
 245:14 246:4,
 9,14 247:18
respective
 135:7 161:17
responded
 141:15 219:10
responding
 151:20
responsible
 194:4
rest 193:13
 231:21,22
 242:2 255:10
```

```
restated
          176:20
 233:7
resume 120:4
 180:21
retain 123:11.
 20
retained 189:12
 207:17 209:8
 210:22,23
 214:5
retainer
 147:19,23
 148:3
rethink
         146:22
retired 125:7,
 10
returned
          240:18
review 164:5
revocation
 244:23
revoke 203:8
Rick 169:3,21,
 24
rights
        200:24
 254:19
robert 120:9
 188:22 206:25
 232:23 235:14
role 212:24
 241:1
roles
      147:5,10
Roman 184:2
Rose 120:12
 122:4 123:13,
 17 124:5,19
 126:16,19,25
 127:9,16 128:4
 130:7 133:7,16
 134:9 135:16,
 21 136:11
 137:9 138:21
 140:2,21
 143:15 144:18
 145:24 146:4
 147:2,20
 148:21 149:1,
 5,8,16,20
 150:6,21
 151:12 155:8,
 14 156:3,18
 157:9 158:4,7
 160:23 161:25
```

```
162:19 163:10
 164:6,23
 165:4,10
 166:8,22 167:2
 169:5,11
 170:23 171:20,
 22 173:4,10,17
 174:11,14,25
 177:2,23
 179:15 181:5,
 10,16 182:5,9
 183:18 184:11
 185:19,23
 186:24 187:1,
 25 188:11,15,
 21,23 190:6,9
 192:22 193:10,
 13 195:20,23
 196:12,22
 197:9,14
 198:11 199:16
 201:1,10,12,17
 203:12,22,24
 204:14,19
 205:6 206:6
 208:1,3,7
 210:11 212:3,
 5,21 213:15,17
 216:23 217:6
 218:3,10,17
 219:9,22
 220:10,23
 221:6,17
 223:3,10
 224:14 225:12,
 19 226:4
 228:7,23
 229:5,22
 230:1,2,10,13,
 23 231:25
 232:5,9 234:8,
 12 242:14
 248:19,21
 249:1 251:13
 252:6 253:17
 255:15
roundabout
 153:16
row 194:8
Rubin 142:23
Ruffin 142:24
rule 136:3
 217:10 219:4,5
```

249:16 251:1, 10,18
ruled 136:2
256:15,19
rules 211:13
216:17 252:21,
22,23 255:4,6
ruling 197:24
216:13 256:16
running 209:17
Ryan 146:25

S

240:13 sad safe 123:4 170:15 189:16, 20,21 sake 215:12,14 satisfactory 236:24 237:2 satisfying 247:14 **save** 192:7 **SB** 128:13,16, 17,18,19,22 **scan** 158:20 scenario 211:15 238:25 scheduled 251:9 252:17 **school** 143:3,6, **scope** 226:5 scoring 138:2 **Scott** 169:21 Scott's 169:3. 24 **screw** 249:18 seat 233:25 234:6 **SEC** 248:6 249:4 253:2 **secret** 181:24 section 144:10 243:21 seeking 223:21 **seize** 248:14 self-proved 237:7

```
self-proving
 168:25 233:13,
 14,18 236:21
 239:24 243:6,
 8,14 244:6,12
send 132:5,10,
 12 240:14
sending 155:25
sentence 227:11
 235:12,17
 244:15,17,19
separate 157:17
 203:17
September 194:3
 200:21
sequence 184:3,
 23
sequences
 177:17
serve 149:23
served 125:11
 147:9,11,13
 148:19,24
session 179:21
 180:19 255:13
set 229:24
 238:2,4
setting
         251:4
settled 202:10
sharp 239:10
shenanigans
 240:11
sheriff 166:19,
 25 186:14
shine 255:18
Shirley 133:20
 136:22 138:12,
 15,18,20
 139:10,12,25
 140:12,17
 145:4,12
 155:12 157:3,
 12,15,19 158:6
 163:8,25
 166:12 167:15
 177:7 184:6,7,
 17 189:12
 194:17 195:2,
 16,18 196:6,
 15,18 203:7
 232:19 238:17
 239:19,25
```

```
241:22 245:23
 252:16
Shirley's
 126:15 132:17
 140:15 144:17,
 23 146:3
 148:15 151:12,
 13,16 157:22,
 24 168:3
 194:13 195:14
 202:11 207:5,9
 234:22 241:3
 251:17
short 179:20
 183:9
show 120:16
 126:21 127:14,
 15,18 138:3
 179:17 181:13
 182:1 190:21
 236:15,25
showed 131:7
 170:16 181:23
showing 246:2
shown 128:3
 248:16
si 129:22
 130:23 131:19,
 25 132:4,7
 139:4 142:19
 166:13 194:24,
 25 195:1,3
 200:5,7 229:8
 245:23
Si's 144:14
 161:15 173:16
 200:4
sic 125:6 207:8
 229:3 250:3
side 126:22
 151:5,6 180:3
 181:24 182:1
 193:16 198:7
 230:15 231:24
 232:4 242:5
 247:23 252:22
sides 180:7
sign 128:24
 129:4,6,12
 158:25 167:24
 190:14,24
 191:8 199:15,
```

```
20 200:11,20
 214:16 238:6,
 20 242:21,24
signature
 129:5,6,7,13,
 14,16 167:10,
 14,21,23 168:1
 170:7,8,13
 171:5,10,12,13
 172:18,21
 174:22 176:13,
 15 182:15
 190:17,18
 191:2,14 193:1
 200:4,14
 243:13
signatures
 175:15 219:15
signed 128:20
 129:7,8 158:12
 165:18 166:14
 168:11 189:25
 191:5,6,9,12,
 22,23 192:2,
 13,24 196:20
 199:20,22
 200:5,6,7,8,9,
 10,12,19 202:5
 214:13 216:9,
 11 221:23
 232:20 233:9
 234:18 235:24
 238:19,23
 239:23 240:21
 246:11 249:25
 250:4,24
significant
 146:16
signing
        159:5
 239:16
silent 236:19,
 22
similar 128:23
 172:14 175:8,
 12,19,21,23
 176:7
Simon 135:6
 145:16,17
 146:2 149:20
 150:19,20
 157:7 158:11
 161:16 163:25
 165:18 166:1
```

169:2 173:24 176:21 189:12, 25 190:10,13, 17,24 191:2 192:2,13,24 193:5 194:2, 13,16,20 195:4,5,9,10, 17 196:10 199:25 201:15
202:6 203:10, 20 232:21 233:3 235:18 238:9,10,17 239:8,15 241:22 251:7,8
252:4,14,18 Simon's 153:12 154:2 158:10,
173:19 188:25 202:25 223:6 229:15 231:15 234:25 235:2, 3,6,7 251:9,16 simple 211:4
simply 237:2 239:13
single 175:25 sinks 183:5 sir 123:22 128:4 183:11 186:15 193:12 216:23 231:25 233:25 255:19
sister 227:5
sisters 214:19 240:25
sit 211:11 212:15
sitting 125:12 205:19 216:2
<pre>skills 256:24 skipping 184:4 sky 169:19 slightly 234:15 slogged 237:10 slogging 237:11,15 small 230:20</pre>

```
So.2d 245:4,18
 246:22
sole 145:20
 157:4,5 202:20
 249:23
solitary 175:25
solve 226:14
somebody's
 152:3
Sony 143:4
sort
     237:6
 240:13
sought 244:23
sound 165:20
sounds 196:8
spacing 185:10
Spallina 120:9,
 11 182:12
 188:22 193:18,
 22 194:2
 207:11 208:3
 213:25 214:15
 215:23 216:1
 232:23 235:14
 237:14,23
 238:2 244:16
 245:11 246:12,
 13 249:4,12
 250:4 254:12
Spallina's
 207:1 241:14
 243:3 244:3
 245:22
speak 161:11
 167:22 224:17
 232:6
speaking 129:24
special 154:2,
 12
specific 123:10
 148:1 245:13
 246:10
specifically
 215:7
speed 198:11
spend
      240:6
spoke 131:11
 149:10 173:21
spoken 149:4
spot 163:21
 214:1
```

```
135:8
spouse
spouse's
          203:9
stack
      256:12
stamp
       188:3,6
stand
      120:12
 206:11 208:13
 248:8
stands 236:5
stapled 127:1
star
      254:3
start 175:19
started 143:20
 169:21 180:5
 232:18
starts 134:25
state 129:20
 130:23 131:5
 133:1 151:4
 158:10 174:13,
 23 203:4
 206:14 224:21
 226:7 228:12
 229:8,16
 233:22 244:5
 250:13
stated 121:5
 138:10 139:13
 170:24 197:15
 217:3 226:13
 229:2
statement 202:1
 253:1
statements
 151:21 250:2
states 161:15
 202:6
stating 132:7
 166:14 200:24
 231:14
status 251:6,9
 253:9,10,16
statute 236:14,
 16 237:1
 242:15 244:5,
 15
statutes 242:10
 244:8
statutory
 243:21
stay 206:6,8
 209:18
```

```
step 193:12
 204:20 224:15
 237:18 241:10
steps 210:15
stop 135:11
 151:4 156:21
 220:14 252:10
story 195:13
 240:13
straight 180:25
straighten
 179:20
stress 130:5,
 10,11,12
 131:14 159:6
 225:18,23
strike 192:23
struggling
 162:13
Stuart 206:18,
 23
stuff 137:25
 146:11 151:7
 162:17 219:4
subject 223:10
 254:10
submit 160:5,17
 179:8,11
 244:2,11
 247:10,20
 252:23
submitted 142:3
 147:16 191:25
 240:16 242:13
 245:15 248:11
 249:21 250:24
submitting
 192:1
subscribing
 239:17
Subsection
 242:24 243:23
 244:18,20
substantial
 236:6,15
 247:16
successor
 192:5,6 194:5,
 16,21 195:1,3,
 7,11 196:16
sued 250:17
 253:6
```

suffer 224:8
suffering
222:6,11
sufficient
236:7 239:5
suggest 212:13
238:16,18 246:19 247:2,6
suggesting
164:2
support 150:5
supposed 129:4
162:10 176:18
188:9 199:3
250:15
Supreme 233:21
234:14 236:9 surprise 231:17
Surprise 231:17 Surprised 189:9
surrendered
120:25
survive 203:20
survived 202:16
204:10
surviving
202:22
survivor 135:8
157:4
sustain 148:9
197:6 209:16
217:14 219:3
220:16 225:15
228:15 229:17
231:16
sustained 124:7 133:9 135:17,
23 136:13
140:3 143:16
144:20 145:25
147:3 149:2,17
150:7 151:10
155:9 156:19
157:10 158:8
164:24 165:5,
11 166:9,23 167:3 169:6,12
174:15,18
175:1 177:4
183:20 185:21
188:16 192:21
195:22 196:13
201:2,11,13
1

```
203:14,25
204:3,17 212:7
219:23 220:24
225:20 228:24
248:23 249:5
swiftly 242:3
sworn 152:25
201:25 202:1
205:22 235:13
```

```
Т
Tab 179:3,4
 183:10 190:7
taking 225:8
 232:7
talked 131:23,
 24 137:4 166:5
 216:6 226:22
 232:18
talking 125:14
 126:24 130:16
 146:25 195:14
 198:9 203:2
 223:4 248:21
tangible 202:18
technically
 253:15
ted 131:17,18
 132:2,14,18,24
 133:2 135:6
 136:8 140:11,
 14 142:18
 144:7,11,16,23
 147:12 161:17
 191:21 194:4,
 22,23,24,25
 195:1,2,4,5,10
 196:15 203:10
 205:2,14,21
 206:2,15,25
 211:5 213:16
 217:1 221:23
 240:24 251:24
 252:2
Ted's 140:17
 145:5
telephone
 130:17 141:18
 238:9
ten 233:8
```

247:5,7

```
terms
       253:25
Tescher 142:23
 147:6 160:16
 182:12 207:11
 208:2 213:25
 215:22 237:13
Tescher's 189:7
test 165:8
testamentary
 193:8 239:14,
 16 241:19,21
 244:13 245:3,5
 246:7,11,14
testator 234:20
 238:23 242:21,
 25 243:11
testator's
 243:13 245:8
testatrix
 243:12
testified
 129:20 146:9
 188:11 205:23
 216:1 237:23
 239:10 246:13
testify 198:2
 244:16
testifying
 206:5
testimony
 149:19 154:1
 176:1 235:3,22
 237:21,22
 238:21 239:3,
 20 241:14
 243:3 244:3
 245:10,11,22
 246:9 249:24
that'll 152:20
 160:25 175:20
 198:20 234:6
Theodore
 206:18,23
thing 126:24
 127:13 131:3
 173:14 175:10
 196:2
things 127:1
 138:8 156:21
 159:20 198:11
 213:18 248:22,
 24 249:8
```

```
250:13 251:19
 252:15 254:16
thinks 212:24
 220:15 221:8,
 9,10
thought 150:15
 162:10 202:23
 231:2 255:3
threaten 200:23
three-page
 181:16 191:1
thrown 211:13
tied 152:8
time 123:4
 127:4,24 130:3
 133:12 134:10,
 14,15 142:22
 151:8 158:13,
 23,25 159:4
 162:7 172:3
 175:14 176:11
 179:19 180:6,8
 186:19 187:21
 192:24 193:13
 196:3,11
 204:9,11 205:1
 208:15,22
 209:17 213:8
 218:14 219:2
 225:5 228:4
 230:7 231:6
 232:2,5,15
 241:9 246:8
 251:23 254:14,
 20
times 138:1
 142:9 149:4,10
 171:23 189:12
 201:19 246:13
title 153:18
titled 243:22
today 124:12
 133:18 136:1
 149:15,20
 150:5 152:16
 176:1 187:21
 211:11,19
 212:15 213:11,
 21 214:22
 217:4,9,17
 218:15 221:10
 244:3,16,24
 245:16,22
```

247:5,8

Bernsteir Decembe	
	_
158:1,2,6,11 163:8,25 166:16 167:15 168:3,24 169:2 173:19 176:17, 19 177:7,15 184:6,17 189:14 192:25 207:1,5,8,9 209:25 210:5 232:19,21 233:6 234:25 235:7 241:3 247:1 249:14 250:16,17,22 251:17 252:14 253:6	
Trustee 145:18 147:13 195:1,3 207:10,17 208:3 209:3 210:15,25 211:20 212:20 213:6 216:15 220:5,18 221:3 250:6,15,17,22 252:5 Trusts 122:11 131:13 132:2,9	
131:13 132:2,9 147:6 151:19 152:8,18 153:23,25 157:7 165:25 169:10 194:6 210:6 211:20 216:1,5 246:19 curn 122:1 124:17,25 188:9 curned 124:16, 21 cwo-by-four 172:3 cype 122:18 cypical 168:17 cypically 175:11 189:20	

132:4 139:4

un-notarized 240:20 uncontroverted 232:22 243:2 244:4 245:12 uncredible 250:3 understand 127:6 156:1 189:23 194:19 201:21 207:6 234:5 241:12 245:6 understanding 142:1 163:12 211:17 255:6 understands 189:24 undertake 192:17 undisputed 238:21 239:21 undue 246:16, 21,23 247:13, 17 unfortunate 240:23 ungenuine 241:25 unhappy 131:8 **unlike** 129:2 236:1 unrebutted 241:16 **upheld** 157:3 239:18 241:20 **uphold** 241:17 **usual** 129:22 V **vague** 141:20 **valid** 137:2,5,6 138:20 139:9 140:18 141:1 152:19 155:13 162:2,3,12

184:6,17,20

188:24 189:4

191:22 211:14

```
212:12,13
 213:23 214:9
 216:9,14
 218:12,14
 219:10 233:12,
 15,16 240:9
 241:8,21 245:1
 247:21 252:5
validate 149:14
 210:16 211:5
 217:8 219:21,
 25 220:7 241:6
 248:6 249:11
 250:1 254:6,8
validated
 211:12 212:10
 217:4 220:21
 221:3,5,8
validation
 245:16
validity 135:19
 137:12 151:19
 162:6,11
 169:14 170:2
 208:25 210:19,
 24 212:16
 217:17,19
 218:15,22,25
 219:5 229:7,14
 243:18,24
 252:25 253:22,
 24
validly 139:9
vault 189:15
vaults 215:23
 216:2
version 138:25
view 189:7
 241:10 251:11
viewed 236:14
violated 251:19
violates
 251:10,18
virtue 202:21
vivid 253:12
Volume 120:3
       W
W-e-i-h-e
```

245:18

		<u> </u>
wait 217:10	witnessed	
255:25	180:16 214:15	7
waiver 130:8	witnesses 153:2	Z
166:13 189:24	171:14 180:1,	zip 122:18,21
190:10,14,22	15 205:8,9,11	21P 122*10,21
191:8,11,19,21	231:19 234:19	
192:1 199:8	238:23 239:17	
waivers 166:13,	241:13 242:23,	
14 197:4	24 243:12	
walk 120:16	word 158:3	
walking 197:17	235:11	
wanted 121:13	words 163:23	
222:23,25	185:17 254:6	
223:4 226:19	work 141:13	
230:7 231:2	143:4 181:5	
250:13 256:3	196:3	
warned 223:13	worked 176:24	
warning 156:24	181:8	
waste 151:8	working 180:23	
162:7	worth 149:19	
wasted 162:5	wrangled 253:15	
wealth 233:8	wrangling	
weekend 214:6	253:11	
weeks 158:10	write 137:25	
173:19	138:7 164:17	
Weihe 245:17	writing 138:1,4	
whatsoever	229:2 242:17	
216:12 219:16	255:2,13,19	
Whichever	written 161:18	
216:17	201:18 203:17	
wife 126:8	213:9 235:9	
224:22	255:22 256:3,9	
will's 170:15	wrong 179:13	
wills 131:13	192:1 240:14	
146:8 151:19	253:15	
152:8,18	wrote 130:8	
169:10 189:11	211:8 221:19	
194:6 203:9	229:12 231:14	
233:15 242:16		
245:1 246:19	Y	
Wilmott's 245:4		
win 237:7	Yates 136:24	
winding 153:3	137:8 139:13,	
wisely 180:6	15,17,18	
wishes 144:14	140:1,19 144:6 148:25 155:11	
156:16 157:3,7	148:25 155:11	
233:4 239:12,		
22	year 200:22	
withdrawn 226:9	years 125:7 143:1 155:3	
withdrew 121:2	1	
1	1 774 77 776 17	1
	224:23 225:7	