

A Page(s)	B Line	C Spallina	D Ted	E Objection	F Sustain / Overule	G Note
5-7	start p. 6 line 20-25 and end of p. 7 end					Phillips cuts off Eliot ability to state that he is not trustee of alleged trust he is sued under and kids are sued under trusts that do not exist. Also cuts off ability to explain that 3 minor children have no counsel, counsel is waiting to come in and need stay Phillips cuts off Eliot trying to inform court that minor children sued have no representation
10	9-19					
24	12-23					Phillips slams Candice for asking for exhibits to turned so we could see them. Phillips ignores and therefore we were precluded from seeing the exhibits being referenced.
91	20-22	x		Relevance	Sustained	Phillips cuts off question into Spallina SEC Consent Phillips cuts off question into Spallina SEC Consent circumstances and rules without objection that not relevant
92	2-8	x				Phillips sustains question on if Spallina was involved in an insider trading case
93-94	start p 93 line 22	x		Relevance	Sustained	Phillips sustains Eliot question if Spallina Picture on front page of law paper regarding insider trading case
94	19	x		Relevance	Sustained	
94-95	p. 94 L23-25 and then p 95 L1-12	x				Phillips claims that questions about Spallina's truthfulness are not relevant and forces EIB to move on and steers questions away Phillips does not allow questions on how many times he was interviewed about the fraud he committed
96	4-7	x		Relevance	Sustained	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
97	15-20	x		None	Sustained	Rose just objects without reason to Eliot asking why Spallina had fraudulently altered a Shirley Trust and Phillips adds it is arguementive.
98	12-17	x		Argumentative	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina if in the altered Shirley Trust he included Ted and Pam's children
98	19-25	x				Eliot asks Spallina if in the altered Shirley Trust did it change beneficiaries and Phillips interupts Eliot and begins asking him questions about the Fraudulent Amendment instead of letting Spallina answer and steers hearing away and Spallina does not answer due to this.
99-100		x				Phillips steers hearing from Spallina answering if his fraudulent amendment changed beneficiaries and goes on tirade against Candice Bernstein and removes her from Gallery for her handing Eliot a document Phillips states the document is objected to for relevance and then Rose states that is what he is objecting to it over and no decision on that is made
101-102	p101 L24-25 and p 102 1-17	x		Relevant		Eliot asks Spallina when he did not follow Colin's rule for notarization of waivers they forged and frauded documents and Phillips sustains
104	2-5	x		Argumentative	Sustained	Phillips for no reason begins to abuse Eliot and threaten him with bad things occuring for no reason
104	6-25	x				Eliot asks Spallina if his law firm sent fraudulent documents to court.
105	7-10	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
105-106	P. 105 12-25 and p106 1-6	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	Eliot asks if TS submitted documents that were frauduent to court, at this point Eliot only had statement that Moran sent documents, not TS
108	3-10	x		Compound		Phillips interupts Eliot question to Spallina regarding April 9 waiver and claims it is compound but it is not, further badgers Eliot stating he knows the procedures
109-110	p 109 L15-25 and p 110 L1-3	x		Compund Relevance	Sustained	Phillips sustains objection to question regarding how Spallina allowed Simon to sign a perjured waiver to the court as part of documents in Shirley
110	9-15	x		Relevance Cumulative	Sustained	Phillips blocks Eliot question regarding April 9 waiver be accepted by Spallina when he knew statements signed under penalty of perjury were untrue
110-111	p 110 L 16-25 and p 111 L 1-7	x				Phillips rudley interjects that Eliot is not asking relevant questions when questioning Spallina regarding Fraudulent documents in the Estate that he is aware of
113	15-20	x		Relevance	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina if he were validating documents as an estate planner if he would bring in other witnesses
113	p 113 - L 22-25	x		Legal Conclusion	Never sustains or overules but goes on long disertation steering the questions away	Phillips interupts Eliot questions to Spallina here to go on long disertation that steers case again and insults Eliot.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
124	3-7	x		Relevance and Mistates	Sustained	Rose objects stating there is no such order to turn over ALL records and interjects false statement into the record and court. Eliot was asking if originals were maintained by TS after court order Phillips steers it away.
124-125	p 124 L 15-25 and p125 L 1-15	x		Rose objects stating no court order for TS to turn over docs and originals	Never sustains or overrules but goes on long disertation steering the questions away	Rose objects stating there is no such order to turn over ALL records and interjects false statement into the record and court. Phillips here mistakes Judge Colin for Judge Colon and again steers the line of questioning and based on his misinterpretation of the Colin states "next question"
127	3-8	x				Phillips threatens Candice with contempt for attempting to hand Eliot a document to present that she took to gallery when Phillips removed her from bench
132-133	p 132 L 23-25 p 133 1-9	x		Best Evidence	Sustained	Eliot asks if language in Shirley Trust states Ted is considered dead with his lineal descendants and Ted is considered dead for ALL purposes of disposition of the trust, which would show Ted should not be acting as Trustee in lawsuit making dispositions like Validity or Construction as he is dead. Phillips blocks. Eliot asks if Ted's making distributions would be dispositions, exposing fraud committed and Phillips sustains
135	12-17	x		Relevance	Sustained	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
135	1-23	x		Legal Conclusion Best Evidence, relevancy, cumulative	Sustained	Eliot asks if validity hearing is a disposition and Phillips sustains, goes to if the hearing itself is valid but Phillips not interested
136	8-13	x			Sustained	Eliot asks if for purposes of dispositions Ted, Pam and lineals are considered predeceased.
137-138	p 137 L 6-25 and p 138 L 1-8	x		Cumalitive	Steered case never ruled	Eliot asks did you create a fraudulent Shirley Trust and send to Yates, Rose objects and Phillips chatizes Eliot again and never rules but steers case away from answer
139-140	p 139 22-25 and p 140 L 1-3	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	Eliot asks if TS lawfirm sent fraudulent Shirley Trust and Amend to Yates.
141-142	p 141 L 10-25 and p 142 L 1-11	x		Witness Objects	Steered case never ruled	Eliot asks what the intent of the fraudulent amendment was and Spallina objects, Phillips interjects that Spallina can't object. Phillips never rules and rants at Eliot and again steers case away from line of questioning. Eliot asks Spallina if other parts of his biography are missing after Spallina failed to state he worked for Sony Digital
143	11-16	x		Argumentative	Sustained	
143-144	p 143 18-25 p 144 L 1-3	x			Phillips steers case and objects and interrupts line of questioning	Phillips again blasts Eliot and interrupts line of questioning to get Spallina's background information clarified, as he already has made ommissions in his background, Rose does not object Phillips handles it for him Eliot asks if Spallina put language in fraudulent amend that would make Ted and Pam lineal descendants bene's
144	10-20	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
145	22-25	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	Eliot asks who beneficiaries are after Spallina states Simon was beneficiary of Shirley Trust and Eliot asks then who after Simon.
					Philippss steers case and objects and interupts line of questioning	Phillips interferences with Eliot question of who beneficiaries in Shirley after Spallina declares Si was her beneficiary, Phillips states he already knows who and steers line of questioning away without ever ruling on the objection.
146	1-23 p 146 L 25 and p 147 L 1-	x		Cumalitive		
146-147	3 p 147 19-25 and p 148 L 1-	x		Relevance	Sustain	Phillips sustains Eliot asking if Spallina recalls talking to PBSO detective Ryan Miller Eliot asks if Spallina retained Manceri, Phillips asks Eliot relevancy and while explaining Phillips interupts and sustains
147-148	10 p 148 L 12-25 and p 149 L 1-	x		Relevance	Sustain	
148-149	2	x		Relevance	Sustained	Eliot is asking Spallina about when he notified the Court that he had committed fraud Eliot asks Spallina if he sees any parties that could validate documents in court.
149	13-17	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	
150	4-7 p 150 L 19-25 and p 151 L 1-	x		Relevancy	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina if he produced accountings that validated his claims he made in his testimony regarding the finances of Simon.
150-151	10	x		Relevancy	Sustained	Eliot asks if as Trustees Spallina and Tescher produced accountings for the Estate and Trust Eliot asks if Spallina amended Shirley Inventory that he filed stating 25k net worth of Shirley with Amended when he learned of Shirley Bentley he testified to. Phillips interupts and barrages Eliot and steers questions away.
151-153	p 151 L 12-25 and p152 L 1-25 and p 153 L 1-5	x			Phillips objects no objection raised by other side	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
155	6-9	x		Relevancy	Sustained	Eliot asks if Spallina filed Life Insurance Policy death benefit claim regarding his prior testimony about policy.
156	15-25	x		Argumentative	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina if he carried out Simon's wishes by fraudulently altering trust docs and then Phillips threatens Eliot
157	7-10	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	Eliot asks if Shirley's wishes were upheld when she died
157-158	p 157 L 12-25 and p 158 L 1-8	x		Cumalitive	Sustained	Eliot asks if Spallina knows of Eliot Family Trust and if it is a beneficiary of the Shirley Trust after Spallina claims it is a reseptical of her Estate?
161	5-13	x				Eliot asks witness to read evidence paragraph into record and Phillips blocks him from reading it into record
161-163	161 L 15-25 p 162 L 1-25 and p 163 L 1-3	x		Relevancy	Never sustains or overrules but goes on long disertation steering the line of questions	Rose states Eliot is asking questions regarding the construction and that Tescher's resignation letter it is not relevant to validity while it describes fraudulent trust
164	20-25	x		Relevancy	Sustain	Eliot asks Spallina what he has done to correct the damages of his fraud that Tescher letter describes
165	2-5	x		Relevancy	Sustain	Eliot asks if Spallina aware that autopsy was ordered on Si day he died
165	7-15	x		Relevancy	Sustain	Eliot asks Spallina if he is aware of Simon heavy metal poison test
165-166	p 165 L 24-25 and p166 L 1-9	x		Cumalitive	Sustain	Eliot asks Spallina if he is aware of any other fraudulent activity that took place in estates and trusts

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
166	18-23 p 166 L 25 and p 167 L 1-	x		Relevance	Sustain	Eliot asks Spallina if at first interview with PBSO if he told them at that time that he had fraudulently altered a document
166-167	3	x		Relevance	Sustain	Eliot asks Spallina when he notified Sheriff that he fraudulently altered doc Eliot asks if Spallina is aware Gov Rick Scott Notary Division determined Simon docs not properly notarized
169	1-4	x		Hearsay	Sustain	Eliot asks if Spallina is aware Gov Rick Scott Notary Division contacted Moran regarding Wills and Trusts. Phillips then steers case by mocking Eliot and demeaning line of questioning.
169-170	p 169 L 8-25 and p 170 L 1-5	x		Hearsay	Sustain	
171-172	p 171 L 18-25 and p172 L 1-16	x		Relevance by Rose and Cumulative by Phillips	Overrules Relevance but then Phillips claims cumulative and deflects hearing from line of questioning	Eliot asks if both Spallina and Moran have been involved in misconduct in the case as the two witnesses on an estate doc
174	8-18	x		Mistates Facts and then Legal Conclusion	Sustain	Eliot asks if since learning that Simon Estate and Trust docs were not notarized properly by Baxley what did he do to correct it with courts
174	16-18 p171 L 20-25	x		Mistates Law according to Morrissey	Sustain	Eliot asks if since learning that Simon Estate and Trust docs were not notarized properly by Baxley what did he do to correct it with courts
174-175	and p175 L 1	x		Cumalitive	Sustain	Eliot asking Spallina if Baxley did not properly notarize his sig on Simon doc

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
176-177	p 176 L 25 p 177 L 1-4	x		Cumalitive and Legal Conclusion	Sustain	Eliot asks if Simon Estate and Trust docs are not properly notarized by Baxley
179-180	p 179 L 17-25 and p180 L 1- 20	x				Phillips states only 60 minutes left in trial, Eliot states there are many witnesses left to call, that he needs more time and requested such to present his case at the case management meeting and Phillips refused. No way to get case properly heard and call additional witnesses in this amount of time and present my case as well. We are just finishing their first of many listed witnesses
185	13-21	x		Argumentative and Cumulative	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina question as to how he altered Shirley Amendment Eliot asks Spallina about document Rose submitted as evidence regarding notes of Simon and why they were not in his production and where it came from
188	9-15	x		Cumulative	Sustained	
196-197	196 L 15-25 and p197 1-7	x		Relevance	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina who closed Shirley Estate
200-201	200 L 21-25 and p201 L 1- 2	x		Relevance	Sustained	Eliot asks Spallina if Colin threatened to read him Miranda
201	4-13	x		Cumulative and Relevance	Sustained and Sustained	Eliot asks who April 9, 2012 document was deposited with court on behalf of
201	15-23	x		Cumulative and Relevance	Sustained	Eliot asks if Si was dead when April 9 waiver was deposited with court
203	4-14	x		Best Evidence and Cumulative	Sustained	Eliot trying to have Spallina read part of Simon/Shirley Will into record regarding five kids perosonal property beneficiaries after Spallina states Simon is sole bene

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
203-204	p203 L 20-25 p204 L 1-5	x		Best Evidence and Cumulative	Sustained	Eliot asking what happens in Shirley will to assets after Simon died
208-209	p208 L2-25 P 209 L 1-23		x		Sustained on Phillips own objection	Eliot asking Ted what he did after he discovered his attorneys committed fraud
210-211	210 L 15-25 and p211 1-9		x		Sustained on Phillips own objection	Eliot asks Ted if he did anything to validate the docs, the originals and Phillips derails on his own and states he already answered. Eliot asks Ted if the fact that his family could lose interest based on outcome of validity trial poses a conflict.
212	1-7		x	Legal Conclusion	Sustained	
212-213	p212 L 19-25 and p 213 L 1- 3		x	Rose objects as Legal Conclusion	Phillips sustains on relevance	Eliot asks Ted as Fiduciary if he has adverse interests, which would preclude him from being trustee in validity hearing Phillips sustains Eliot question if Ted came to hearing to validate docs
217	3-14		x	Mis--	Sustain	
218-219	p 218 L 10-25 and p219 1-7		x	Relevancce	Sustain	Eliot asks Ted if from time he knew docs were fraudulent and others needed a validity hearing if he made any dispositions prior to having those hearings Eliot asks Ted if after learning of his attorneys frauds did he think he needed to have docs validated.
219	13-23		x	Argumentative	Sustain	
220	4-16		x	Cumulative and Argumentative	Sustain	Eliot asking Ted if he thought as a fiduciary to protect beneficiaries if he should have a handwriting expert forensically examine. Eliot asks Ted as fiduciary if he thought documents should be forensically analyzed to operate under them
220	18-24 Line 25 blank		x	Cumulative	Sustain	

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
221	2-13		x	Cumulative	Sustain	Eliot asks Ted if documents should be validated by trustee Ted
221	15-21		x	Cumulative	Sustain	Eliot asks Ted if any expert has analysed or validated documents
223-224	p 223 L 16-25 and p 224 L 1-3		x	Psychiatrist Client Privilege	Ted does not assert but Phillips does for him	Phillips steers hearing from Ted answering what problems he was having with Simon at Psychiatrist office despite Ted never claiming privilege Phillips asserts it and claims Ted stated he was a client of Psychiatrist on record but record does not reflect such
225	10-15		x	Relevance	Sustain	Eliot asks Ted if he had problems with Simon prior to his death
225	17-20		x	Relevance	Sustain	Eliot asks Ted if he was aware of problems with he and Simon before he died
227-228	P 227 L 5-25 and 228 1-15		x	Relevance	Sustain	Eliot asking Ted questions about Simon state of mind when changing his documents as it relates to Ted stressing him to make changes
229	2-17		x	Relevance	Sustain	Phillips denies Eliot asking Ted if Simon was under undue influence and stress by Ted and others to make changes to docs, which could invalidate them and Phillips steers it away
229-231	p 229 L 25 and p 230 L 1-25 and p 231 L 1-19		x	Rose objects to being witness	Sustain	Phillips precludes Eliot from establishing chain of custody of docs with Rose who is part of the chain of custody and steers the hearing away from Rose being sworn in