IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO: 502012CP4391XXXXNB

IN RE: ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

Deceased.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE JOHN PHILLIPS

DATE: September 15, 2015

TIME: 9:27 a.m. to 10:32 a.m.

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE:
3
     BRIAN O'CONNELL, ESQ.
     JOIELLE A. FOGLIETTA, ESQ.
     CIKLIN, LUBITZ & O'CONNELL
5
     West Palm Beach, FL 333401
6
7
     APPEARING OF BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY:
     PETER FEAMAN, ESQ.
8
     PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.
     3695 Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
     Boynton Beach, FL 33436
10
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF MOLLY SIMON, et al:
11
12
     JOHN MORRISSEY, ESQ.
     MORRISSEY LAW
     330 Clematis Street, 213
13
     West Palm Beach, FL 33401
14
15
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED S. BERNSTEIN:
16
     ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ.
     PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD & ROSE, P.A.
17
     505 S. Flagler Drive, Suite 600
     West Palm Beach, FL 33401
18
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TESCHER & SPALLINA:
19
     KENNETH S. POLLOCK, ESQ.
20
     SHENDELL & POLLOCK, P.L.
     2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
21
     Boca Raton, FL 33431
22
23
     ALSO PRESENT: Eliot Bernstein
24
25
```

1	BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following
2	proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause
3	before Honorable JOHN PHILLIPS, at the Palm Beach
4	County Courthouse, 3188 PGA Blvd., Palm Beach
5	Gardens, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, on
6	Tuesday, the 15th day of September, 2015, to wit:
7	
8	THE COURT: We're here on the Simon
9	Bernstein case; is that right?
10	MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes, Judge.
11	THE COURT: This ended up in this division
12	of the Court because of a recusal from somebody
13	else in another division of the Court, right?
14	MR. FEAMAN: That raises an interesting
15	point. Peter Feaman on behalf of William
16	Stansbury, a creditor of the estate. I was
17	late coming in. Mr. O'Connell is late. All
18	the attorneys and the litigants are either in
19	West Palm or south. I respectfully don't
20	understand how we ended up here in the north
21	branch. Should we set it back to the main
22	branch?
23	THE COURT: No. That would be judge
24	shopping. When somebody recuses themselves
25	then it's randomly reassigned. I was verifying

1	this isn't a case that started out with me.
2	It's a case that started out with somebody
3	else.
4	MR. FEAMAN: Judge Colin, actually,
5	specifically said in his recusal order north
6	branch, which I didn't understand.
7	THE COURT: That's what the 4th DCA is
8	for. I'm not here to question some other
9	judge's order. You won't have me saying he was
10	wrong. I'm not the appellate judge. If
11	somebody made a mistake and you all think
12	there's relief that should be granted to
13	correct his mistake that's what the 4th is for.
14	Please have a seat.
15	We're here because somebody else is not
16	the judge in the case anymore and I am, right?
17	MR. FEAMAN: Right.
18	THE COURT: We'll go to the next step.
19	This is a case management conference. What is
20	it that I need to do to manage the case? I
21	received the trustees' status report which is
22	lengthy and comprehensive. I've read that.
23	Other than being brought up to speed by
24	having read that report what else needs to be
25	resolved to get this case done?

1	MR. ROSE: Good morning. I'm Alan Rose.
2	Can I speak from here?
3	THE COURT: You can.
4	MR. ROSE: I'm not planning on doing the
5	whole hearing, but briefly there are,
6	technically, four other cases that all were
7	assigned. I think we've noticed a status
8	conference in all four cases.
9	There are two estates. The Simon
10	Bernstein that Your Honor mentioned, he died in
11	2012.
12	THE COURT: Then there's the wife who
13	pre-deceased him, has a case, and I've been
14	asked to consider one of the things that
15	needs to be done is the closing of that estate.
16	MR. ROSE: Correct. She died in 2010.
17	Each of those estates builds into a trust, so
18	there's technically four pieces of pending
19	litigation; an estate of Shirley, a Shirley
20	trust construction, and an estate of Simon and
21	claim in the Simon trusts for the removal of my
22	client. Those are the four separate matters.
23	And then we came before you when Judge Colin
24	recused himself there were pending motions
25	counsel thought best to come and get some sort

1 of order. The one thing that we believe, at least which was in the status report which should be 3 addressed fairly early on, is whether we're going to have a guardian ad litem for the three 5 minor children that are represented by Eliot 6 Bernstein, and try to bring some order to this 7 case which I think was a little bit out of 8 control in Judge Colin's courtroom. THE COURT: Is there a motion for 10 appointment of a GAL? Has a motion been filed 11 12 by someone? MR. ROSE: I think the -- my understanding 13 is the beneficiaries were about to file one. 14 15 don't think they filed yet. There is a pending motion to appoint an attorney for the children. 16 17 It's sort of a similar issue. Mavbe Mr. O'Connell can -- it's on one of his lists 18 of motions. 19 And then there's -- I think the main thing 20 we need to discuss is what order we're going to 21 22 do the hearings in because along with the guardian ad litem it's our position the first 23 thing we should decide, since almost every 24

25

motion you're going to hear on Mr. O'Connell's

1	list is filed by Eliot Bernstein, is he's not a
2	beneficiary. We have a one-count complaint to
3	determine the validity of the documents. And
4	under the documents, as drafted, he's
5	disinherited. He's not a beneficiary under any
6	way and if you remove his standing then I
7	believe we can go to mediation and resolve
8	almost all of these motions without taking up,
9	probably, two or three weeks of the Court's
10	time.
11	THE COURT: Well, I noticed in the
12	trustee's status report that there was
13	mentioned several times that he's not a
14	beneficiary. So has there been an order that
15	establishes that or is that just the position
16	that's being argued by the
17	MR. ROSE: Well, the documents themselves,
18	the operative document, for example, Simon
19	Bernstein's will the sole beneficiary is the
20	trust. Simon Bernstein's trust the soul
21	beneficiaries are his ten grandchildren.
22	Shirley Bernstein's will, the sole beneficiary
23	is her trust. Shirley Bernstein's trust gave
24	Simon Bernstein the power of appointment to
25	appoint and he appointed to his grandchildren.

So what we filed was a one-count complaint to 1 determine those documents. We actually filed a trust construction action. Judge Colin advised 3 us to file -- to add a count. We added one count to determine the validity of those 5 documents. It's been answered by everybody, 6 7 and what Judge Colin did was he severed that one count from everything else and he stayed 8 everything else until we resolved that one That's the issue that we believe, if 10 count. you resolve that issue first, a lot of the 11 12 stuff would go away and that was part of the purpose of the status conference. 13 The parties can't, among themselves, agree what issues 14 should be heard first. If you did that issue, 15 either if he has standing or he doesn't, if he 16 doesn't have standing we'll good through 17 hundreds of thousands of dollars of legal fees 18 resolving motions that he filed if he lacked 19 standing. 20 I think if you couple it with a motion for 21 22 a guardian ad litem there is a motion pending 23 in a fifth case, the Oppenheimer case, that's also before you, not today, for a guardian ad 24

25

litem.

Judge Colin deferred on that.

1	believe Mr. Morrissey's clients are going to
2	move for a guardian ad litem. I believe Mr.
3	Eliot Bernstein, in his papers, has indicated
4	that he has a conflict with his children and
5	they should have a lawyer and a guardian
6	representing them. He can speak for himself to
7	that point.
8	Those are the two issues we think should
9	go first. If it happens first this case would
10	become much more manageable and can even be
11	resolved because, as we indicated in our
12	report, these are relatively small estates.
13	There was a belief that's driving this
14	that there was \$100 million left behind but
15	they left behind modest estates. Over time
16	we've been trying to sell property and trying
17	to narrow things and all we've been doing is
18	spending attorneys' fees between a curator
19	THE COURT: I just want to figure out
20	what's on the judicial plate that needs to be
21	addressed.
22	MR. ROSE: That's what we think should
23	happen first, those two issues, and everything
24	else will fall into place.
25	THE COURT: What is the name or where is

1	the document to be found that has this single
2	count for determination of validity of estate
3	documents or trust documents that was severed
4	out by Judge Colin?
5	MR. ROSE: It's in case 5020143698
6	THE COURT: What are the two letters in
7	between the 14 and the 36
8	MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, CP003698XXX and now
9	
10	THE COURT: I don't need that stuff.
11	What's the docket entry number?
12	MS. FOGLIETTA: The filing number?
13	THE COURT: I want to know where to find
14	this thing that seems to be one of the first
15	things
16	MS. FOGLIETTA: Are you talking about the
17	amended complaint? I have a copy.
18	MR. ROSE: Just the docket entry, if you
19	don't mind.
20	THE COURT: I have a computer here so
21	don't think I'm being rude if I look away from
22	you all.
23	MR. ROSE: It was filed October 3, 2013.
24	MS. FOGLIETTA: I have a copy.
25	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make an

1	objection?
2	THE COURT: Who are you?
3	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm Eliot Bernstein.
4	THE COURT: You can't object yet.
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I make a
6	statement?
7	THE COURT: Not yet. I'm looking at this
8	computer screen trying to find the docket.
9	Everybody, please be seated. You're making me
10	nervous.
11	I'm just scrolling through the attorneys.
12	I haven't even gotten to the pleadings yet.
13	I'm looking for a pleading or an order entered
14	October 3rd.
15	MR. ROSE: An amended complaint.
16	THE COURT: I have an amended complaint by
17	Ted Bernstein.
18	MR. ROSE: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And in that amended complaint
20	is the count that was referred to. It's Count
21	II?
22	MR. ROSE: I believe it is, Sir.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. ROSE: Page 13 is the actual the
25	count itself incorporates the allegations and
l	

1	the documents.
2	THE COURT: All right. Count II starts at
3	Paragraph 79 of the document?
4	MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: All right. And then at some
6	point in time you say Judge Colin severed out
7	this count and said it should be heard
8	separately. Is that
9	MR. ROSE: He severed it and stayed
10	THE COURT: Do you know when the order was
11	entered on that?
12	MR. ROSE: 10-6 according to the chart
13	from
14	THE COURT: 10-6-14?
15	MR. ROSE: Yes. It says order on
16	amendments to pleadings. There might be an
17	order that predates that.
18	MS. FOGLIETTA: I do have a copy of it.
19	THE COURT: The other is almost the very
20	next docket entry. The amended petition is
21	Docket Entry 26. The order is Docket Entry 27.
22	MR. ROSE: Specifically Paragraph 3 on
23	Page 2.
24	THE COURT: There was a response filed by
25	Mr. Bernstein and the other defendants. Are
1	

1	those things that happened?
2	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What case? Is this
3	Shirley Bernstein
4	THE COURT: Case Number 14CP3698.
5	MR. ROSE: Everyone has either answered or
6	been defaulted and I noticed the case for
7	trial.
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we here for
9	Simon Bernstein? I'm confused. I'm not
10	prepared for Shirley Bernstein's case today.
11	Can I raise another point, Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: I only do one thing at a time.
13	You must stop.
14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What?
15	THE COURT: You must stop. I do one thing
16	at a time. You're not that thing yet.
17	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
18	THE COURT: This is a case management
19	conference. I'm not deciding anything. I do
20	decide that I'm the one that runs this
21	courtroom so I don't have people jumping up and
22	blurting things out. That doesn't help me
23	orderly go through figuring out what the
24	problem is and how to attack and resolve the
25	problem. My specialty is wrestling stuff to

1	the ground and resolving it. That's what I'm
2	going to do in this case and that's what I do
3	in every case. This is a bigger one to wrestle
4	to the ground than some other ones but there's
5	no octopus case that I've ever met that I
6	haven't been able to figure out sooner or
7	later. The only way I can do that is talk to
8	one person at a time. We'll figure out one
9	thing at a time. I'm not a smart guy but I'm
10	persistent. All these guys know me. I'm
11	looking you in the eye because you haven't met
12	me before, right? Sir, yes, you haven't met
13	me?
14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know me.
16	These other attorneys do because they're in
17	court in front of me on other cases where I've
18	done the same thing. I'm too stupid to
19	well, I'm stupid. I take one thing at a time
20	and I make sure I know what I'm doing and I go
21	to the next thing. I try to be courteous to
22	everybody. I try to make sure everybody is
23	heard. I demand that people be courteous to me
24	in return. I don't take any crap. In that
25	method of proceeding we get through whatever is

1	uncomfortable, whatever is messed up, whatever
2	is complex. We simplify it down enough for me
3	to understand it and then we resolve it.
4	That's what is going to happen in this case.
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So my question is
6	THE COURT: I told you I'm not talking to
7	you yet. I was talking to you to tell you what
8	I'm doing so you're not mystified, but now you
9	sit silently until it's my time to talk to you.
10	Right now I'm talking to some other people.
11	Okay, so
12	MR. ROSE: May I approach
13	THE COURT: the trustees believe the
14	first thing that needs to be done is the
15	resolution of this order that was entered by
16	Judge Colin severing out the count and the
17	amended complaint that deals with the validity
18	of the testamentary documents, correct?
19	MR. ROSE: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: All right. Does anybody
21	object to that issue being resolved first in
22	the order of events in this sequence of cases?
23	MR. O'CONNELL: Are you ready for me?
24	THE COURT: Yeah, I just want to know if
25	there's any objection to having that issue

1	heard and resolved first. That's the issue
2	that I'm chewing on right now.
3	MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I wouldn't call it
4	an objection, but I'd like to be able to
5	explain my role in it and these other motions.
6	THE COURT: Well, first I want to know if
7	there's any reason I should attack this as the
8	first order of business in setting a trial or
9	hearing to have it resolved. Do you have any
10	objection?
11	MR. O'CONNELL: I wouldn't object to that.
12	THE COURT: All right. Does anybody else
13	seated at the tables have any objection?
14	MR. FEAMAN: May it please the Court.
15	Peter Feaman on behalf of William Stansbury.
16	He's a \$2.5 million creditor of the estate of
17	Simon Bernstein.
18	We're here in the estate of Simon
19	Bernstein and it's the position of
20	Mr. Stansbury that a removal of Ted Bernstein
21	as successor trustee should be heard first.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Why?
23	MR. FEAMAN: The reason for that is if
24	that issue is determined one way or the other
25	we believe that is the linchpin to then

1 resolving probably all the other issues in this case. THE COURT: The trustee believes the issue 3 to resolving many of the issues is to determine whether Eliot -- I'm using first names, I'm 5 Is it Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein? sorry. 6 7 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You can call me Eliot. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I don't mean to be disrespectful. I don't want to do that. 10 The trustee's thought is that resolving 11 whether Eliot has any standing to be involved 12 in the litigation is key. You're saying that's 13 not key, it's something else that's key? 14 15 else is it that you're suggesting is the key issue to be resolved? 16 17 MR. FEAMAN: Because that's the Shirley Bernstein trust. The matter that is before 18 Your Honor today is the estate of Simon 19 Bernstein, and Simon Bernstein had a separate 20 trust which was different from the Shirley 21 22 Bernstein trust and the -- most of the assets are in the Simon Bernstein trust which then had 23 the pour-over will into -- most of the assets 24 are in the Simon Bernstein estate and then had 25

1	the pour-over will into the trust and that's
2	that's the matter that is the most significant,
3	in my humble opinion, that is before Your Honor
4	is the Simon Bernstein estate and the Simon
5	Bernstein trust. It's the opinion of
6	Mr. Stansbury that Mr. Ted Bernstein, as a
7	successor trustee to the Simon Bernstein trust,
8	should be heard first.
9	THE COURT: Let me ask this: How is it
10	that there is an order by Judge Colin severing
11	out this count about the validity of some
12	estate documents in the Simon Bernstein case if
13	the documents in question were filed in a
14	different estate? Maybe the trustee can
15	address that.
16	MR. ROSE: Sure.
17	THE COURT: What's up with that?
18	MR. ROSE: We have a trust construction
19	count that was to determine the validity and
20	then the construction of the Shirley Bernstein
21	trust. Within that claim, because there's an
22	overlap of issues there, the standing issue is
23	the same in both. What Judge Colin ordered me
24	to do was to file an additional count into that
25	complaint. Everyone was properly noticed. We

1	already had the jurisdiction over all the
2	beneficiaries, those that answered, those that
3	did not. Nobody moved to dismiss upon the
4	ground that it's not properly in one case, and
5	so because there's a direct overlap between
6	documents that were executed and the validity
7	of those documents, and the validity of the
8	will of Simon directly relates to the validity
9	of the exercise of power of appointment because
10	he exercised his power through his will. So
11	what Judge Colin did was he ordered me to file
12	a simple one-count complaint, as simple as it
13	could be, list the four documents and allege
14	that they're all valid and enforceable. In the
15	context of trying that issue you will decide
16	whether, for example, Simon Bernstein was
17	unduly influenced, if that's an allegation, to
18	execute the power of appointment. The power of
19	appointment is what deprives Mr. Eliot
20	Bernstein of standing. Judge Colin ordered us
21	all put it all in this count. He then stayed
22	everything else and severed that and we're
23	supposed to try that and we get bogged down
24	constantly in
25	THE COURT: Don't get sidetracked or I'll

1	get confused and disaster happens.
2	Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein, you've got
3	an objection to the trial of the issue about
4	the validity of the estate documents that's
5	just been discussed?
6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: What's your objection?
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Several, with that
9	being the first thing. The first part is that
10	Mr. O'Connell has filed with the court in the
11	Simon Bernstein estate nothing to be done with
12	Ted Bernstein as trustee because Mr. O'Connell
13	and Mr. Feaman, two prominent lawyers that you
14	know, have claimed that the document itself
15	that they're operating under precludes Ted
16	Bernstein from being a trustee. The language
17	says he can't be a related party
18	THE COURT: You got to get back to my
19	question.
20	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem
21	- -
22	THE COURT: No. I'm the one that's
23	telling you the question I'd like you to
24	answer. Remember I told you I chew on one tiny
25	thing at a time. I don't want to get confused.
I	

In my mind now: What is your objection to trying the issue about the validity of the estate documents that are found in Count II of the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't hear	1	I might make a mistake if I get confused.
trying the issue about the validity of the estate documents that are found in Count II of the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	2	This is the thing I'm trying to establish
estate documents that are found in Count II of the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	3	in my mind now: What is your objection to
the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26? MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	4	trying the issue about the validity of the
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	5	estate documents that are found in Count II of
that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving, and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	6	the amended petition, Docket Entry Number 26?
and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	7	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My problem is is
and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	8	that if Ted is not a trustee properly serving,
where Ted's arguing validity where he's conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	9	and a fraudulent trustee as they're claiming
conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	10	and he's acting improperly, to have a hearing
successfully, his entire family and children are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	11	where Ted's arguing validity where he's
are cut out of everything. So he's got a conflict in arguing a construction THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	12	conflicted, I mean if he doesn't argue
15 conflict in arguing a construction 16 THE COURT: You're not even addressing my 17 question. Thank you. Please be seated. 18 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your 19 question because how can we have how can we 20 hear his 21 THE COURT: You're asking me a question. 22 Your question started with how do we do 23 something. I don't know. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	13	successfully, his entire family and children
THE COURT: You're not even addressing my question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	14	are cut out of everything. So he's got a
question. Thank you. Please be seated. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	15	conflict in arguing a construction
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	16	THE COURT: You're not even addressing my
question because how can we have how can we hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	17	question. Thank you. Please be seated.
hear his THE COURT: You're asking me a question. Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	18	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did answer your
21 THE COURT: You're asking me a question. 22 Your question started with how do we do 23 something. I don't know. 24 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	19	question because how can we have how can we
Your question started with how do we do something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	20	hear his
something. I don't know. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	21	THE COURT: You're asking me a question.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't	22	Your question started with how do we do
	23	something. I don't know.
25 hear	24	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm saying we can't
	25	hear

1	THE COURT: Stop. Please be seated. You
2	failed to answer my question. You got
3	something else on your mind that doesn't
4	address what I'm trying to figure out.
5	Is it true that Judge Colin issued a stay
6	order on the other parts of the litigation and
7	it intended somehow he manifested an
8	intention to resolve the validity of the estate
9	documents? Is there an order that says that
10	somewhere?
11	MR. ROSE: I think that goes too far.
12	There are multiple proceedings. He severed
13	this count
14	THE COURT: I got that.
15	MR. ROSE: It's our view that that should
16	be what is decided
17	THE COURT: I know. But you said a minute
18	ago that he stayed other proceedings. Is there
19	an order that says that? Where do I find that
20	order?
21	MR. ROSE: It's the one that you looked
22	at, October 6th. It stays the rest of the
23	proceedings inside the Shirley Bernstein trust
24	construction case. It doesn't stay everything
25	in the Simon Bernstein side.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. ROSE: That's what I was clarifying.
3	THE COURT: Okay. You've been living with
4	these cases for several years.
5	MR. ROSE: Yes.
6	THE COURT: I've been living with them for
7	30 minutes so I'm not as intimately familiar
8	with the ins and outs of what's going on here.
9	I'm not even familiar with everybody's names,
10	so I apologize to you for that.
11	Well, then there's no reason for me not to
12	set a trial on that Count II of the amended
13	complaint, right? I'll do that whether
14	everybody wants me to do or not that way I'll
15	get something done and that way we'll move down
16	the road. That will be done. Court to order
17	set. How much time you think we need to try
18	that?
19	MR. ROSE: Normally I would think we can
20	try the case within a day.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Anybody think we need a
22	different amount of time?
23	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. I think it
24	will take several days.
25	THE COURT: Why?

1	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, you're going
2	to have to first start with is Ted Bernstein a
3	valid trustee to argue the case. So that's
4	THE COURT: No, I won't have to decide
5	that.
6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You want somebody to
7	argue who's not valid
8	THE COURT: What else? Any other issue?
9	Is there any other issue that's going to take
10	more than a day?
11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, it's very
12	complicated.
13	THE COURT: No, this isn't going to be
14	complicated.
15	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
16	THE COURT: It's not. There's documents,
17	pieces of paper that somebody claims were
18	executed or not executed.
19	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: There's been fraud
20	in the document.
21	THE COURT: I was explaining to you
22	something. If you interrupt me you can be held
23	in contempt. If I interrupt you I'm keeping
24	order in my courtroom. You see the difference
25	there? This is not a conversation. Okay. No
1	

1	need for me to explain anything further. I
2	intend to set this for trial. I intend to set
3	it for a day. I intend that issue of the
4	validity of the estate documents will be
5	resolved in that trial. Is there any reason to
6	not think I can do that in a day other than
7	what Mr. Eliot Bernstein has mentioned?
8	MR. FEAMAN: On behalf of Mr. Stansbury we
9	have no involvement in the Shirley Bernstein
10	estate.
11	THE COURT: So you don't care what I do.
12	MR. ROSE: Mr. O'Connell is a party, he's
13	intervening because of the overlap of the power
14	of appointment. I can't speak for him but I
15	want to make sure he agrees that a day is
16	enough. We are all bad estimators.
17	THE COURT: I asked this question to the
18	entire courtroom. If anybody thinks
19	differently then what I'm getting ready to do
20	you're supposed to say something. He hasn't
21	said anything.
22	MR. MORRISSEY: Judge, John Morrissey. I
23	represent four of the adult grandchildren who
24	will ultimately be beneficiaries under the
25	trust document.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. MORRISSEY: So certainly my clients
3	have an interest here in what's going on. I
4	just want to let Your Honor know, because I
5	don't think I hope Mr. Feaman is not
6	misleading the Court. On two occasions so far
7	he said that he represents a creditor of the
8	estate, that's incorrect.
9	THE COURT: William Stansbury.
10	MR. MORRISSEY: Correct. William
11	Stansbury is not a creditor of the estate.
12	He's someone who filed a claim in the estate.
13	An objection was filed by the personal
14	representative, or counsel for the personal
15	representative, which means that Mr. Stansbury
16	had 30 days to run off and file his lawsuit
17	which he's done. He's not done anything with
18	that separate civil litigation. It's not been
19	reduced to a judgment. He is not a creditor,
20	therefore, Judge, he does not have standing not
21	only with respect to the validity of the
22	documents but with respect to anything else in
23	these various litigations.
24	THE COURT: That's not helping me figure
25	out how much time I need to set aside for this
1	

1	trial.
2	MR. MORRISSEY: I'm sorry.
3	THE COURT: When I'm telling you I'm a
4	simple guy I'm not being modest. I'm just
5	being truthful. That's where I'm at. I'm
6	going to write down what I do next when I leave
7	this room. What I do next when I leave this
8	room is tell my judicial assistant to reserve a
9	day, set this trial date, send you notices.
10	Bang. That thing is done. So that's why I
11	want to stick with this. Do you have any
12	objection to that?
13	MR. MORRISSEY: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Great. This is the way
15	I intend to proceed I love Marty Colin.
16	This guy is a judge that's been around a long
17	time. I know him. He's an entirely different
18	guy than me. I expect that your experience
19	with Judge Colin has been different than
20	sitting here with me. Am I right? I never
21	appeared in front of him as a judge I never
22	appeared in front of him while he's a judge and
23	while I was a lawyer. He appeared in front of
24	me while he was a lawyer and I was a judge. I
25	don't know how he is as a judge but I am pretty

1	sure he's a different guy than me. Nice guy.
2	I like him. But we're different judges. Your
3	experiences with Judge Colin, put them aside.
4	You're having an experience with me now. We
5	have to do it the way I do it or else I'll mess
6	up.
7	The second thing I have on my list of
8	things to ask you about that I've been jotting
9	down here is this request for guardian ad
10	litem. I think I remember asking and being
11	told that no one has filed a formal request for
12	appointment of a guardian ad litem; is that
13	correct?
14	MR. O'CONNELL: Correct.
15	MR. ROSE: In these four cases no one has
16	done that yet.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Am I going to?
18	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I believe they have,
19	actually.
20	THE COURT: When was it filed? What
21	docket entry?
22	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. It
23	was denied a long time ago by Tescher and
24	Spallina, the guys that were removed for fraud
25	in the court. They tried to put guardians on

1	
2	THE COURT: No, no, no. You see I don't
3	want all the other baggage. I just want the
4	answer to that question. When was it filed?
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. At
6	the beginning.
7	THE COURT: At the beginning. That takes
8	me to the bottom. That slows down progress on
9	our case management conference. I will go
10	through it. What was the title of the
11	pleading?
12	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't know. I
13	don't think Joy's records went back that far.
14	MS. FOGLIETTA: We pulled things that were
15	pending, Judge. I don't have that.
16	MR. MORRISSEY: On behalf of the four
17	adult grandchildren it's our intention to file
18	one. We were hoping to file one before today's
19	hearing.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Since that hasn't been
21	filed then I'm not taking action on it. That's
22	my practice. If there's something filed I'll
23	move towards getting it resolved. If it's not
24	been filed and it's just in somebody's mind I
25	find that it's difficult to take any action.

1	I'm crossing that off my list.
2	There's a pending motion to appoint
3	attorneys an attorney for the children. Is
4	that an attorney ad litem?
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: An attorney for my
6	children.
7	THE COURT: Who filed that motion?
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Me.
9	THE COURT: When did you file?
10	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Just to pay the fees
11	for counsel for my children.
12	THE COURT: When did you file it is what
13	I'm trying to figure it out.
14	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: A while ago.
15	THE COURT: Any closer estimate than that?
16	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I've been filing
17	that since the first petition in this case in
18	May of 2013 which still isn't heard.
19	THE COURT: May of 2013 is when you filed
20	it?
21	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
22	MR. O'CONNELL: We think we found one
23	August 28, 2014 in the Simon Bernstein estate.
24	THE COURT: The Simon Bernstein estate is
25	the only one I got up on the computer. The
1	

1	only thing that happened on August 20th is an
2	order by Judge Colin maybe.
3	MR. O'CONNELL: 28th, sorry, Your Honor,
4	2-8.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I just got my trifocals
6	reissued. These are the old ones so an 8 and a
7	0 look alike. I'm moving my head and trying to
8	focus. Bear with me a second.
9	I don't see anything anywhere near the
10	28th of August of '14. Is that the year, '14?
11	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. It says, "Motion to
12	compel estates of Simon and Shirley to pay
13	counsel for Eliot and his minor children."
14	MS. FOGLIETTA: That's in case number
15	THE COURT: Well, I don't see any motion
16	with that description. Perhaps the Court
17	doesn't have it scanned in or something. Who
18	knows. Anybody have a paper copy of it that I
19	can look at?
20	MS. FOGLIETTA: I do.
21	THE COURT: I wouldn't mind looking at a
22	paper copy if you got one handy.
23	MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.
24	THE COURT: And was there a ruling on this
25	motion for having the estate pay for attorneys

1	for Eliot and his minor children? Has there
2	been an order on this?
3	MR. O'CONNELL: Not that I'm aware of,
4	Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Was there ever a hearing?
6	MR. ROSE: I don't believe it was set for
7	hearing. That was alluded to that
8	Mr. Bernstein had requested an attorney for his
9	children and I would suggest that subject
10	to I don't think there was an objection from
11	anyone it's not appropriate to appoint an
12	attorney for his children. If you appoint a
13	guardian ad litem to represent his children
14	then the guardian ad litem has the power to go
15	out and retain counsel and to accomplish the
16	relief that's sought. We don't believe it's
17	appropriate though for Mr. Bernstein himself,
18	but certainly his children who are
19	beneficiaries should have
20	THE COURT: All right. It looks like this
21	motion just asks for money. It's not asking
22	for the appointment of counsel. Mr. Eliot is
23	seeking the issuance of money from the trust
24	for the estate. He alludes to the children
25	needing an attorney but he doesn't ask for one
1	

1	to be appointed. He asks if he can be given
2	money.
3	There's an order I see, Docket Entry 24,
4	where Judge Colin prohibits any new filings.
5	I've not read the order yet but I see the title
6	of the order takes up 20 lines of docket entry
7	here in our computer program. I hope the order
8	is shorter than the title.
9	MR. O'CONNELL: We got it for Your Honor.
10	(Handing)
11	THE COURT: Now are these copies ones I
12	should return to you all or can I keep these?
13	MS. FOGLIETTA: You can keep them.
14	THE COURT: Thanks. Judge Colin had a
15	case management conference. It's a case
16	management order. How about that. It's a
17	great order. He must have been having problems
18	with the progress of this case to issue an
19	order like that. That was at Docket Entry
20	Number 24 which leads me to ask this question,
21	perhaps foolishly, and that's the question if
22	this order was entered by Judge Colin in
23	September of 2014 at Docket Entry Number 24 how
24	come we're up to 82 docket entries and other
25	petitions and things and stuff being filed?

1	Did he disregard the order, because I think
2	it's a great order, or did something else
3	happen that I don't know about that changed the
4	order, or did he retract the order?
5	MR. O'CONNELL: Let me try to help there.
6	Just so you can get my position in all this, I
7	want to explain. I am a successor personal
8	representative in the Simon Bernstein estate,
9	so that's my universe in terms of this matter.
10	I got over a year at this point that I've been
11	involved in that capacity. With regard to that
12	particular order the way everyone has
13	interpreted it is it has to do with anyone to
14	institute new litigation, a new adversary
15	matter they would have to go before Judge
16	Colin, because we certainly have filed, on an
17	administrative level, a number of motions of
18	things that needed to happen.
19	THE COURT: Administrative stuff is
20	allowed to happen.
21	MR. O'CONNELL: To go to your good
22	question, well, why are there so many items,
23	not that we filed a ton of motions and
24	petitions but certainly, on my behalf, there
25	are definitely some that we have filed.

1	THE COURT: Docket Entry Number 41 there
2	is a petition to remove Theodore Stuart
3	Bernstein as alleged successor trustee filed by
4	Eliot Bernstein. How did that get filed? Did
5	Judge Colin approved that?
6	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that.
7	THE COURT: Say that again?
8	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He directed that.
9	THE COURT: So there was a hearing that he
10	authorized this petition to be filed?
11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. And then a new
12	case was started. He ordered a new case to
13	remove Ted and we're in the middle of that.
14	That's one of the cases.
15	Just to clarify something, I'm still
16	confused, the first part about the hearing
17	you're ordering, that's not
18	THE COURT: We're not on that subject.
19	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Are we on Simon's
20	case or Shirley's case? I'm confused by that.
21	THE COURT: I'm confused too. Welcome to
22	my world.
23	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Welcome to mine.
24	THE COURT: We're going to eliminate some
25	of the confusion by trying some of these things
1	

1	pled in this case and one of them that's been
2	pled is Count II of the amended petition of
3	Docket Entry 26 that Judge Colin severed out
4	and said is going to be tried separately.
5	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's in Shirley.
6	THE COURT: I'm telling you what I'm
7	doing. You asked me what I'm doing, to clarify
8	what I'm doing. I just told you.
9	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
10	MR. ROSE: If I can, just briefly with
11	that, what Judge Colin was doing is you can fax
12	him the motion or bring it to his attention
13	THE COURT: He uses fax? Okay. He is a
14	dinosaur.
15	MR. ROSE: He would give permission that
16	something could be filed or not filed. We had
17	to go through the extra step of sending him in
18	advance, or asking permission if I wanted to
19	file a motion to approve a sale or whatever we
20	had to get his permission in advance.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I find
22	there's no pending motion for appointment of
23	attorneys for the children so I'm striking that
24	off my list.
25	Now back to the William Stansbury claim

1	regarding the estate of Simon Bernstein. What
2	is the pleading that sets up any claim that
3	needs to be adjudicated in that case that was
4	not already set? It's the one thing that
5	you're not involved in. What about the claim
6	you said that William Stansbury has?
7	MR. FEAMAN: That's a separate action that
8	was filed and is pending before Judge Blanc in
9	the general jurisdiction division.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So Blanc will figure
11	that one out, right?
12	MR. FEAMAN: And the estate is a
13	defendant.
14	THE COURT: I'm trying to figure out what
15	I have to set. Blanc has that one, right?
16	MR. FEAMAN: Yes, yes, Your Honor.
17	The only thing, with regard to
18	Mr. Stansbury, I believe, is Mr. Stansbury has
19	filed a motion to discharge him from
20	responsibility for funding the estate's
21	participation in some Chicago litigation, and
22	that should be borne by the estate, but that's
23	already set before Your Honor on October 20th
24	in the special set hearing.
25	THE COURT: When was that set? When did

1	the document hit the court records when
2	setting that hearing?
3	MR. FEAMAN: I'd say ten days ago. It was
4	set for the day after tomorrow and it had to be
5	reset at my request due to a conflict, and then
6	it was set October 20, 2015 pursuant to a
7	notice of hearing I believe our office sent
8	out, I believe, ten days ago, approximately.
9	THE COURT: That would be in case number
10	what?
11	MR. FEAMAN: That would be case Number
12	124391CP 12 2012CP4391.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So that's a different
14	case than I have on the computer screen. Let
15	me get that one up.
16	MR. FEAMAN: That's the case number that
17	actually brings us here today pursuant to
18	notice of hearing filed by Mr. O'Connell, the
19	personal representative of the estate.
20	THE COURT: Just a second. I've been
21	looking at, apparently, the trust case,
22	14CP3698.
23	MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, that's the Shirley
24	trust.
25	THE COURT: Did you ever see Colin use a

1	computer in court?
2	MR. O'CONNELL: Not really.
3	THE COURT: That's why I call him a
4	dinosaur. I'd say it to his face trying to get
5	him to be more tech savvy.
6	I'm scrolling, okay. You see me scrolling
7	with my finger. I've scrolled through all the
8	attorneys. This is more like it. We're up to
9	386, and roughly ten days ago there was some
10	sort of hearing set. A re-notice of hearing.
11	MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That was an
12	objection to an accounting that I filed timely.
13	THE COURT: The notice of hearing,
14	Mr. Feaman, that you scheduled, or you sent out
15	that I'm referring to is called the fifth
16	re-notice of hearing and it sets hearing on the
17	motion of creditor William Stansbury for a
18	hearing on October 20.
19	MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: You set aside a 15-minute
21	period of time for that. Judge Blanc has got
22	the litigation that you referred to in his
23	court and he'll figure that out.
24	MR. FEAMAN: Correct.
25	THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. FEAMAN: But there's also, with
2	regard, if I may, Your Honor, to
3	Mr. Stansbury's claim, Mr. O'Connell has also
4	filed a motion to enter and approve a
5	settlement agreement between the estate and
6	Mr. Stansbury which is still out there. But
7	related to that is a motion by Mr. O'Connell
8	filed on 7-20-2015 to have Simon Bernstein
9	declared the beneficiary of the JP Morgan IRA
10	account, and the reason it relates to
11	Mr. Stansbury is because the settlement money
12	contemplated to be paid to Mr. Stansbury would
13	come out of that account and there's a question
14	whether that is actually money that should be
15	part of the estate or not so before we actually
16	wanted to fund the settlement we wanted to I
17	don't mean to speak for Mr. O'Connell we
18	wanted to make sure that that would be
19	appropriate source of funds to fund the
20	settlement so there would be no clawback claims
21	either against Mr. Stansbury or the estate
22	subsequent to the consummation of the
23	settlement.
24	THE COURT: Is that petition at issue?
25	MR. FEAMAN: It Mr. O'Connell?

1	MR. O'CONNELL: I don't think it was filed
2	as an adversary matter. It's a free-standing
3	petition.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. O'CONNELL: Everybody has been served
6	with it.
7	MR. ROSE: For the record we have no
8	objection to that motion being granted. I
9	don't know if anybody objects to the motion.
10	That's certainly something that should be heard
11	if it's objected to very early.
12	THE COURT: Unless somebody notices it up
13	for hearing, get ready for that.
14	We've used up all the time I set aside for
15	the Bernstein case. It would sure be nice to
16	spend the rest of my career talking to you
17	about this but I have other people scheduled at
18	10:30 and I must see them now. Thanks a lot.
19	I'll do my work on setting the trial on the one
20	thing we got and we'll see what happens next.
21	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: It was fun and look forward to
23	a long list of hearings as well.
24	(Whereupon, the hearing is concluded at 10:32 a.m.)
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, JULIE ANDOLPHO, do hereby certify that
4	the foregoing transcript of the proceedings,
5	consisting of pages numbered 1 through 42,
6	inclusive, is a true and correct transcript of the
7	proceedings taken by me before the Honorable JOHN
8	PHILLIPS, on September 15, 2015.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative
10	or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the
11	parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney
12	or counsel, or financially interested, directly or
13	indirectly, in this action.
14	The certification does not apply to any
15	reproduction of the same by any means unless under
16	direct control and/or direction or the reporter.
17	Dated this 12th day of October, 2015.
18	
19	Julie Andolpho, FPR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

\$	28th 31:3,10	above-styled 3:2	allowed 34:20
\$100 9:14		accomplish 32:15	alluded 32:7
\$2.5 16:16	3 10:23 12:22	according 12:12	alludes 32:24
		account 40:10,13	<pre>already 19:1</pre>
0 0 31:7	30 23:7 26:16	accounting 39:12	37:4,23
0 31:7	3188 3:4	acting 21:10	am 4:16 27:20,25 28:17 34:7 42:9
1	330 2:13	action 8:3	28:17 34:7 42:9 amended 10:17
1 42:5	333401 2:5	29:21,25 37:7	11:15,16,19
10:30 41:18	33401 2:13,17	42:13	12:20 15:17
10:32 1:19 41:24	33431 2:21	actual 11:24	21:6 23:12 36:2
10-6 12:12	33436 2:9	actually 4:4 8:2 28:19 38:17	amendments 12:16
10-6-14 12:14	36 10:7	40:14,15	among 8:14
12 38:12	3695 2:9	ad 6:5,23	amount 23:22
124391CP 38:12	386 39:9	8:22,24 9:2	and/or 42:16
12th 42:17	3rd 11:14	28:9,12 30:4 32:13,14	Andolpho 42:3,19
13 11:24		add 8:4	answer 20:24
14 10:7 31:10	4 41 35:1	added 8:4	21:18 22:2 29:4
14CP3698 13:4		additional 18:24	answered 8:6 13:5 19:2
38:22	42 42:5	address 18:15	anybody 15:20
15 1:18 42:8	4th 4:7,13	22:4	16:12 23:21
150 2:21	5	addressed 6:4	25:18 31:18
15-minute 39:20	502012CP4391XXXX	9:21	41:9
15th 3:6	NB 1:2	addressing 21:16	anymore 4:16
	5020143698 10:5	adjudicated 37:3	anyone 32:11 34:13
2	505 2:17	administrative	anything 13:19
2 12:23		34:17,19	25:1,21
20 33:6 38:6	6	adult 25:23 29:17	26:17,22 31:9
39:18	600 2:17	advance 36:18,20	anywhere 31:9
2010 5:16	6th 22:22	adversary 34:14	apologize 23:10
2012 5:11		41:2	apparently 38:21
2012CP4391 38:12	7 7-20-2015 40:8	advised 8:3	APPEARANCES 2:1
2013 10:23 30:18,19	79 12:3	against 40:21	<pre>appeared 27:21,22,23</pre>
2014 30:23 33:23		ago 22:18 28:23	APPEARING
2015 1:18 3:6	8	30:14 38:3,8 39:9	2:3,7,11,15,19
38:6 42:8,17	8 31:6	agreement 40:5	appellate 4:10
20th 31:1 37:23	82 33:24	al 2:11	apply 42:14
213 2:13	9	Alan 2:16 5:1	appoint 6:16
24 33:3,20,23	9 2:9	alike 31:7	7:25 30:2 32:11,12
26 12:21 21:6 36:3	9:27 1:19	allegation 19:17	appointed 7:25
27 12:21		allegations	33:1
2700 2:21	A a.m 1:19 41:24	11:25	appointment 6:11
28 30:23	a.m 1:19 41:24 able 14:6 16:4	allege 19:13	7:24 19:9,18,19 25:14 28:12
			
2-8 31:4	able 14.0 10.4	alleged 35:3	32:22 36:22

behind 9:14,15 borne 37:22 9:4 21:13 approach 15:12 30:3,6,11 31:13 belief 9:13 bottom 29:8 appropriate 32:1,9,12,13,18 32:11,17 40:19 **believe** 6:2 7:7 Boynton 2:9 ,24 36:23 approve 36:19 8:10 9:1,2 branch 3:21,22 CIKLIN 2:5 40:4 11:22 15:13 4:6 16:25 28:18 CIRCUIT 1:1 approved 35:5 BRIAN 2:4 32:6,16 37:18 civil 26:18 approximately 38:7,8 briefly 5:5 **claim** 5:21 18:21 38:8 36:10 believes 17:3 26:12 36:25 argue 21:12 bring 6:7 36:12 beneficiaries 37:2,5 40:3 24:3,7 6:14 7:21 19:2 **brings** 38:17 claimed 20:14 argued 7:16 25:24 32:19 brought 4:23 claiming 21:9 **arguing** 21:11,15 beneficiary builds 5:17 **claims** 24:17 7:2,5,14,19,22 **aside** 26:25 28:3 40:9 40:20 business 16:8 39:20 41:14 clarify 35:15 Bernstein 1:5 assets 17:22,24 2:15,24 3:9 36:7 assigned 5:7 5:10 6:7 7:1,24 clarifying 23:2 capacity 34:11 assistant 27:8 9:3 10:25 clawback 40:20 care 25:11 11:3,5,17 12:25 attack 13:24 13:2,3,8,9,14,1 Clematis 2:13 **career** 41:16 16:7 7 14:14 15:5 client 5:22 **case** 1:2 3:9 attention 36:12 16:17,19,20 4:1,2,16,19,20, **clients** 9:1 26:2 17:6,7,18,20,22 attorney 6:16 25 5:13 6:8 ,23,25 **closer** 30:15 30:3,4,5 8:23 9:9 10:5 18:4,5,6,7,12,2 32:8,12,25 13:2,4,6,10,18 closing 5:15 0 19:16,20 42:10,11 14:2,3,5 15:4 20:2,6,8,11,12, Colin 4:4 5:23 17:2 18:12 19:4 attorneys 3:18 16,20 8:3,7,25 10:4 22:24 23:20 9:18 11:11 21:7,18,24 12:6 15:16 24:3 29:9 30:17 14:16 30:3 22:23,25 23:23 18:10,23 31:14 33:15,18 31:25 36:23 24:1,2,6,11,15, 19:11,20 22:5 35:12,20 36:1 39:8 19 25:7,9 27:15,19 28:3 37:3 28:18,22 31:2 33:4,14,22 **August** 30:23 38:9,11,14,16,2 29:5,12 34:16 35:5 31:1,10 1 41:15 30:5,8,10,14,16 36:3,11 38:25 authorized 35:10 ,21,23,24 **cases** 5:6,8 Colin's 6:9 32:8,17 34:8 14:17 15:22 **aware** 32:3 35:3,4,6,8,11,1 23:4 28:15 coming 3:17 away 8:12 10:21 9,23 36:5,9 35:14 compel 31:12 37:1 39:11 40:8 cause 3:2 41:15 complaint 7:2 В certainly 26:2 8:1 10:17 bad 25:16 Bernstein's 32:18 34:16,24 11:15,16,19 7:19,20,22,23 baggage 29:3 41:10 15:17 18:25 13:10 19:12 23:13 Bang 27:10 **CERTIFICATE** 42:1 **best** 5:25 Beach 1:1 complex 15:2 certification bigger 14:3 2:5,9,13,17 42:14 complicated 3:3,4,5 bit 6:8 24:12,14 **certify** 42:3,9 Bear 31:8 **Blanc** 37:8,10,15 comprehensive changed 34:3 become 9:10 39:21 4:22 **chart** 12:12 blurting 13:22 beginning 29:6,7 computer 10:20 chew 20:24 11:8 30:25 33:7 Blvd 2:9 3:4 behalf 38:14 39:1 chewing 16:2 2:3,7,11,15,19 Boca 2:21 concluded 41:24 3:15 16:15 25:8 Chicago 37:21 **bogged** 19:23 29:16 34:24 conference 4:19 children 6:6,16

	 		
5:8 8:13 13:19	11:2,4,7,16,19,	27:9 38:4 42:17	discuss 6:21
29:9 33:15	23 12:2,5,10,14,19	days 23:24 26:16	discussed 20:5
conflict 9:4 21:15 38:5	,24	38:3,8 39:9	disinherited 7:5
conflicted 21:12	13:4,12,15,18	DCA 4:7	dismiss 19:3
confused 13:9	14:15,17 15:6,13,20,24	deals 15:17	disregard 34:1
20:1,25 21:1	16:6,12,14,22	Deceased 1:6	disrespectful
35:16,20,21	17:3,9 18:9,17 19:25	decide 6:24	17:10
confusion 35:25	20:7,10,18,22	13:20 19:15 24:4	division 3:11,13
consider 5:14	21:16,21	decided 22:16	37:9
consisting 42:5	22:1,14,17 23:1,3,6,16,21,	deciding 13:19	docket 10:11,18 11:8 12:20,21
constantly 19:24	25	declared 40:9	21:6 28:21
construction	24:4,8,13,16,21	defaulted 13:6	33:3,6,19,23,24
5:20 8:3	25:11,17 26:1,6,9,24	defendant 37:13	35:1 36:3
18:18,20 21:15 22:24	27:3,14		document 7:18 10:1 12:3 20:14
consummation	28:17,20,25	defendants 12:25	24:20 25:25
40:22	29:2,7,20 30:7,9,12,15,19	deferred 8:25	38:1
contemplated	,24	definitely 34:25	documents
40:12	31:5,15,16,21,2 4 32:5,20	demand 14:23	7:3,4,17 8:2,6 10:3 12:1 15:18
contempt 24:23	33:11,14 34:19	denied 28:23	18:12,13
context 19:15	35:1,7,9,18,21,	deprives 19:19	19:6,7,13 20:4 21:5 22:9 24:16
control 6:9	24 36:6,13,21 37:10,14,25	description	25:4 26:22
42:16	38:1,9,13,20,25	31:16	dollars 8:18
conversation	39:1,3,13,20,23	determination	done 4:25 5:15
24:25	,25 40:24 41:4,12,22 42:1	determine 7:3	14:18 15:14
copies 33:11	courteous	8:2,5 17:4	20:11 23:15,16
copy 10:17,24 12:18 31:18,22	14:21,23	18:19	26:17 27:10 28:16
correct 4:13	Courthouse 3:4	determined 16:24	drafted 7:4
5:16 15:18	courtroom 6:9	died 5:10,16	Drive 2:17
26:10 28:13,14	13:21 24:24	difference 24:24	driving 9:13
39:24 42:6	25:18	different 17:21	due 38:5
counsel 5:25 26:14 30:11	Court's 7:9	18:14 23:22 27:17,19 28:1,2	446 3013
31:13 32:15,22	CP003698XXX 10:8	38:13	E
42:10,12	crap 14:24	differently	early 6:4 41:11
count 8:4,5,8,10 10:2 11:20,25	creditor 3:16 16:16	25:19	either 3:18 8:16
12:2,7 15:16	26:7,11,19	difficult 29:25	13:5 40:21
18:11,19,24	39:17	dinosaur 36:14	eliminate 35:24
19:21 21:5 22:13 23:12	crossing 30:1	39:4	Eliot 2:24 6:6
36:2	curator 9:18	direct 19:5 42:16	7:1 9:3 10:25 11:3,5
County 1:1 3:4,5	cut 21:14	directed 35:6,8	13:2,8,14,17
couple 8:21		direction 42:16	14:14 15:5 17:5,6,7,8,12
court 1:1	<u>D</u> date 1:18 27:9	directly 19:8	19:19
3:8,11,12,13,23		42:12	20:2,6,8,20
4:7,18 5:3,12 6:10 7:11	Dated 42:17	disaster 20:1	21:7,18,24 23:23
9:19,25	day 3:6 23:20 24:10 25:3,6,15	discharge 37:19	24:1,6,11,15,19
10:6,10,13,20	21.20 23.370713		25:7 28:18,22

29:5,12 30:5,8,10,14,16 ,21 31:13 32:1,22 35:4,6,8,11,19, 23 36:5,9 39:11 else 3:13 4:3,15,24 8:8,9 9:24 16:12 17:14,15 19:22 22:3 24:8 26:22 28:5 34:2
employee 42:10,11
enforceable
enter 40:4
entered 11:13
12:11 15:15 33:22
entire 21:13 25:18
_
entirely 27:17
entries 33:24
entry 10:11,18 12:20,21 21:6 28:21 33:3,6,19,23 35:1 36:3
ESQ 2:4,8,12,16,20
1
establish 21:2
establishes 7:15
estate 1:5 3:16 5:15,19,20 10:2 16:16,18 17:19,25 18:4,12,14 20:4,11 21:5 22:8 25:4,10 26:8,11,12 30:23,24 31:25 32:24 34:8 37:1,12,22 38:19 40:5,15,21
estates 5:9,17 9:12,15 31:12
estate's 37:20
estimate 30:15
_
estimators 25:16
et 2:11
events 15:22

everybody 8:6 11:9 14:22 23:14 41:5
everybody's 23:9
everyone 13:5 18:25 34:12
everything 8:8,9 9:23 19:22 21:14 22:24
example 7:18 19:16
execute 19:18
executed 19:6 24:18
exercise 19:9
exercised 19:10
expect 27:18
experience 27:18 28:4
experiences 28:3
explain 16:5 25:1 34:7
explaining 24:21
extra 36:17
eye 14:11
F
face 39:4
failed 22:2
fairly 6:4
fall 9:24
familiar 23:7,9
famile 21.12

-
F
face 39:4
failed 22:2
fairly 6:4
fall 9:24
familiar 23:7,9
family 21:13
fax 36:11,13
Feaman 2:8 3:14,15 4:4,17 16:14,15,23 17:17 20:13 25:8 26:5 37:7,12,16 38:3,11,16 39:14,19,24 40:1,25 fees 8:18 9:18
30:10
FIFTEENTH 1:1
fifth 8:23 39:15
figure 9:19 14:6,8 22:4

26:24 30:1 37:10,14 3	3 9:23
figuring 13:	
file 6:14 8: 18:24 19:1 26:16 29:1 30:9,12 36	4 1 7,18
filed 6:11,1 7:1 8:1,2, 10:23 12:2 18:13 20:1 26:12,13 28:11,20 29:4,21,22 30:7,19 33 34:16,23,2 35:3,4,10 37:8,19 38 39:12 40:4 41:1	5 19 4 0 ,24 :25 5 36:1
filing 10:12 30:16	
filings 33:4	
financially 42:12	
finger 39:7	
first 6:23 8:11,15 9: 10:14 15:1 16:1,6,8,2 17:5 18:8 24:2 30:17 35:16	4,21 1 20:9
FITZGERALD 2	:16
FL 2:5,9,13,1	
Flagler 2:17	
Florida 1:1	3:5
focus 31:8 FOGLIETTA 2:	4
3:10 10:12,16,2 12:18 29:1 31:14,20 3	4 4
38:23	J.13
foolishly 33	:21
foregoing 42	:4
formal 28:11	
forward 41:2	2
FPR 42:19	
fraud 24:19 28:24	

	Page 4 of 9
6:24 30:13 7:10,14 39:23 puring 13:23	fraudulent 21:9 free-standing 41:2
e 6:14 8:4 8:24 19:11	front 14:17 27:21,22,23
6:16 29:17,18 0:9,12 36:19	fun 41:22 fund 40:16,19
.ed 6:11,15 :1 8:1,2,19	funding 37:20
0:23 12:24 8:13 20:10 6:12,13	funds 40:19
8:11,20 9:4,21,22,24	GAL 6:11
0:7,19 33:25 4:16,23,25 5:3,4,10 36:16	Gardens 3:5 general 37:9
7:8,19 38:18 9:12 40:4,8	getting 25:19 29:23
1:1 .ing 10:12	given 33:1 gotten 11:12
0:16 .ings 33:4	grandchildren 7:21,25 25:23
ancially 2:12	29:17 granted 4:12
nger 39:7	41:8
st 6:23 :11,15 9:9,23 0:14 15:14,21	great 27:14 33:17 34:2
6:1,6,8,21 7:5 18:8 20:9 4:2 30:17	ground 14:1,4 19:4 guardian 6:5,23
5:16 ZGERALD 2:16	8:22,24 9:2,5 28:9,12
	32:13,14
:5,9,13,17,21 agler 2:17	guardians 28:25 guy 14:9
orida 1:1 3:5	27:4,16,18 28:1 guys 14:10 28:24
us 31:8	guys 14:10 20:24
LIETTA 2:4 :10	Handing 33:10
0:12,16,24 2:18 29:14	handy 31:22
1:14,20 33:13 8:23	happen 9:23 15:4 34:3,18,20
lishly 33:21	happened 13:1 31:1
mal 28:11	happens 9:9 20:1
ward 41:22	41:20
42:19	haven't 11:12

14:6,11,12

having 4:24 15:25 28:4

31:25 33:17 18:22 19:15 jumping 13:21 13:9,19,20 14:1,9,10,18,19 20:3 21:4 head 31:7 jurisdiction ,20 15:6,8,10 24:8,9 25:3 19:1 37:9 hear 6:25 33:18 40:24 16:2 17:5 20:22 21:20,25 21:2,24 22:4 **issued** 22:5 23:7,9 24:23 heard 8:15 12:7 issues 8:14 25:19 KENNETH 2:20 14:23 16:1,21 9:8,23 17:1,4 27:2,3,4,5 18:8 30:18 key 17:13,14,15 29:21 30:1,13 18:22 41:10 31:7 32:3 items 34:22 hearing 5:5 16:9 35:15,20,21 21:10 29:19 it's 3:25 4:2 36:6,7,8,23 lacked 8:19 32:5,7 35:9,16 6:17,18,23 8:6 37:14 39:6,15 37:24 38:2,7,18 language 20:16 10:5 11:20 15:9 improperly 21:10 16:19 17:14 39:10,13,16,18 **late** 3:17 18:5 19:4 41:13,24 inclusive 42:6 later 14:7 22:15,21 hearings 6:22 incorporates 24:11,16 26:18 LAW 2:12 41:23 11:25 29:17,23,24,25 lawsuit 26:16 held 24:22 incorrect 26:8 32:11,16,21 33:15,16 34:2 lawyer 9:5 he'll 39:23 indicated 9:3,11 37:4 41:2,11 27:23,24 help 13:22 34:5 indirectly 42:13 I've 4:22 5:13 lawvers 20:13 helping 26:24 influenced 19:17 14:5,17 23:6 leads 33:20 hereby 42:3 28:8 30:16 33:5 ins 23:8 least 6:2 34:10 38:20 Here's 20:20 inside 22:23 39:7 **leave** 27:6,7 he's 7:1,4,5,13 institute 34:14 legal 8:18 16:16 intend 25:2,3 υŢ 21:10,11,14 lengthy 4:22 27:15 John 1:10 2:12 25:12 26:12,17 letters 10:6 3:3 25:22 42:7 intended 22:7 27:17,22 28:1 level 34:17 JOIELLE 2:4 hit 38:1 intention 22:8 29:17 jotting 28:8 linchpin 16:25 **Honor** 5:10 13:11 interest 26:3 17:19 18:3 26:4 Joy's 29:13 lines 33:6 31:3 32:4 33:9 interested 42:12 **JP** 40:9 **list** 7:1 19:13 37:16,23 39:19 28:7 30:1 36:24 interesting 3:14 judge 3:10,23 40:2 41:23 4:4,10,16 5:23 interpreted Honorable 1:10 6:9 8:3,7,25 lists 6:18 34:13 3:3 42:7 10:4 12:6 15:16 **litem** 6:5,23 interrupt hope 26:5 33:7 18:10,23 8:22,25 9:2 24:22,23 19:11,20 22:5 hoping 29:18 28:10,12 30:4 25:22 26:20 intervening 32:13,14 humble 18:3 27:16,19,21,22, 25:13 litigants 3:18 24,25 28:3 hundreds 8:18 intimately 23:7 29:15 31:2 litigation 5:19 involved 17:12 33:4,14,22 17:13 22:6 34:15 35:5 34:11 37:5 26:18 34:14 **I'd** 16:4 20:23 36:3,11 37:8 37:21 39:22 involvement 25:9 38:3 39:4 38:23 39:21 litigations IRA 40:9 II 11:21 12:2 judges 28:2 26:23 21:5 23:12 36:2 isn't 4:1 24:13 judge's 4:9 little 6:8 30:18 I'll 19:25 judgment 26:19 living 23:3,6 23:13,14 28:5 issuance 32:23 29:22 41:19 judicial 1:1 long 27:16 28:23 **issue** 6:17 9:20 27:8 41:23 I'm 4:8,10 5:1,4 8:10,11,15 Julie 42:3,19 10:8,21 15:21,25 **lot** 8:11 41:18 11:3,7,11,13 16:1,24 17:3,16

		rage c er
MOLLY 2:11	15:25	34:1,2,4,12
money 32:21,23		ordered 18:23
33:2 40:11,14	26:13 27:12	19:11,20 35:12
Morgan 40:9	32:10 39:12	ordering 35:17
morning 5:1	41:8	orderly 13:23
Morrissey 2:12	objects 41:9	outs 23:8
	occasions 26:6	overlap 18:22
-	O'Connell 2:4,5	19:5 25:13
_		
	-	<u> </u>
	28:14 30:22	P.A 2:8,16
31:11,15,25		P.L 2:20
		Page 2:16 11:24
37:19 39:17	40:3,7,17,25	12:23
40:4,7 41:8,9	41:1,5,21	pages 42:5
motions 5:24	O'Connell's 6:25	paid 40:12
6:19 7:8 8:19	October 10:23	Palm 1:1
·		2:5,13,17 3:3,4,5,19
		paper 24:17
		31:18,22
	office 38:7	papers 9:3
	okav 13:17 14:15	Paragraph
	15:11 16:3,22	12:3,22
<u>-</u>	17:9 23:1,3,21	participation
mystified 15:8		37:21
	29:20 31:5	particular 34:12
	36:9,13,21	<pre>parties 8:13</pre>
		42:11
		party 20:17
		25:12
_		<pre>pay 30:10 31:12,25</pre>
nor 42:11		
Normally 23:19	ones 14:4 31:6	<pre>pending 5:18,24 6:15 8:22 29:15</pre>
north 3:20 4:5		30:2 36:22 37:8
nothing 20:11		people 13:21
notice 38:7,18	-	14:23 15:10
39:13		41:17
noticed 5:7 7:11		<pre>perhaps 31:16 33:21</pre>
		33:41
13:6 18:25	order 4:5,9	1 1 00 04
13:6 18:25 notices 27:9	order 4:5,9 6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13	period 39:21
13:6 18:25	6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21	permission
13:6 18:25 notices 27:9 41:12	6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21 15:15,22 16:8	permission 36:15,18,20
13:6 18:25 notices 27:9 41:12	6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21 15:15,22 16:8 18:10	permission 36:15,18,20 persistent 14:10
13:6 18:25 notices 27:9 41:12	6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21 15:15,22 16:8 18:10 22:6,9,19,20 23:16 24:24	permission 36:15,18,20 persistent 14:10 person 14:8
13:6 18:25 notices 27:9 41:12 O object 11:4	6:1,7,21 7:14 11:13 12:10,15,17,21 15:15,22 16:8 18:10 22:6,9,19,20	permission 36:15,18,20 persistent 14:10
	money 32:21,23	money 32:21,23

Peter 2:8 3:15 16:15 petition 12:20 21:6 30:17 35:2,10 36:2 40:24 41:3 petitions 33:25 34:24 PGA 3:4 PHILLIPS 1:10 3:3 42:8 pieces 5:18 24:17 planning 5:4 **plate** 9:20 pleading 11:13 29:11 37:2 pleadings 11:12 12:16 please 4:14 11:9 16:14 21:17 22:1 pled 36:1,2 **point** 3:15 9:7 12:6 13:11 34:10 POLLOCK 2:20 position 6:23 7:15 16:19 34:6 pour-over 17:24 18:1 power 7:24 19:9,10,18 25:13 32:14 practice 29:22 precludes 20:15 predates 12:17 pre-deceased 5:13 prepared 13:10 PRESENT 2:24 pretty 27:25 probably 7:9 17:1 problem 13:24,25 20:20 21:7 problems 33:17 proceed 27:15

proceeding 14:25

proceedings 1:10
3:2 22:12,18,23
42:4,7
program 33:7
progress 29:8
33:18
prohibits 33:4
prominent 20:13
properly 18:25
19:4 21:8
property 9:16
pulled 29:14
purpose 8:13
pursuant 38:6,17

Q question 4:8 15:5 18:13 20:19,23 21:17,19,21,22 22:2 25:17 29:4 33:20,21 34:22 40:13

R raise 13:11 raises 3:14 randomly 3:25 **Raton** 2:21 **RE** 1:5 ready 15:23 25:19 41:13 really 39:2 reason 16:7,23 23:11 25:5 40:10 reassigned 3:25 received 4:21 record 41:7 records 29:13 38:1 recusal 3:12 4:5 recused 5:24 recuses 3:24 reduced 26:19 referred 11:20 39:22

referring 39:15

regard 34:11 37:17 40:2 regarding 37:1 reissued 31:6 related 20:17 40:7 relates 19:8 40:10 **relative** 42:9,11 relatively 9:12 relief 4:12 32:16 remember 20:24 28:10 REMEMBERED 3:1 removal 5:21 16:20 remove 7:6 35:2,13 removed 28:24 re-notice 39:10,16 report 4:21,24 6:3 7:12 9:12 reporter 42:1,16 represent 25:23 32:13 2:3 26:14,15

representative 34:8 38:19 represented 6:6 representing 9:6 represents 26:7 reproduction 42:15 request 28:9,11 38:5 requested 32:8 reserve 27:8 reset 38:5 resolution 15:15 resolve 7:7 8:11 13:24 15:3 22:8 resolved 4:25 8:9 9:11 15:21 16:1,9 17:16 25:5 29:23

resolving 8:19

14:1 17:1,4,11 respect 26:21,22 respectfully 3:19 response 12:24 responsibility 37:20 rest 22:22 41:16 retain 32:15 retract 34:4 return 14:24 33:12 road 23:16 role 16:5 room 27:7,8 Rose 2:16 5:1,4,16 6:13 7:17 9:22 10:5,8,18,23 11:15,18,22,24 12:4,9,12,15,22 13:5 15:12,19 18:16,18 22:11,15,21 23:2,5,19 25:12 28:15 32:6 36:10,15 41:7 roughly 39:9 rude 10:21 ruling 31:24 run 26:16 runs 13:20

S **sale** 36:19 **savvy** 39:5 scanned 31:17 scheduled 39:14 41:17 screen 11:8 38:14 scrolled 39:7 scrolling 11:11 39:6 seat 4:14 seated 11:9 16:13 21:17 22:1 **second** 28:7 31:8

38:20
seeking 32:23
seems 10:14
sell 9:16
send 27:9
sending 36:17
sent 38:7 39:14
separate 5:22
17:20 26:18 37:7
separately 12:8 36:4
September 1:18 3:6 33:23 42:8
sequence 15:22
served 41:5
serving 21:8
sets 37:2 39:16
setting 16:8 38:2 41 :19
settlement 40:5,11,16,20,2 3
several 7:13 20:8 23:4,24
severed 8:7 10:3 12:6,9 19:22 22:12 36:3
severing 15:16 18:10
SHENDELL 2:20
Shirley 5:19 7:22,23 13:3,10 17:17,21 18:20 22:23 25:9 31:12 36:5 38:23
Shirley's 35:20
shopping 3:24
shorter 33:8
sidetracked 19:25
significant 18:2
silently 15:9
similar 6:17
Simon 1:5 2:11
3:8 5:9,20,21 7:18,20,24 13:9 16:17,18

17:19,20,23,25 18:4,7,12 19:8,16 20:11 22:25 30:23,24 31:12 34:8 37:1 40:8
Simon's 35:19
simple 19:12 27:4
simplify 15:2
single 10:1
sir 11:22 12:4 14:12,14 15:19 20:6
sit 15:9
sitting 27:20
slows 29:8
small 9:12
smart 14:9
sole 7:19,22
somebody 3:12,24 4:2,11,15 24:6,17 41:12
somebody's 29:24
somehow 22:7
someone 6:12 26:12
somewhere 22:10
sooner 14:6
sorry 10:8 17:6 27:2 31:3
sort 5:25 6:17 39:10
sought 32:16
soul 7:20
source 40:19
south 3:19
Spallina 2:19 28:24
speak 5:2 9:6 25:14 40:17
special 37:24
specialty 13:25
specifically 4:5 12:22
speed 4:23
spend 41:16

spending 9:18
standing 7:6
8:16,17,20 17:12 18:22
19:20 26:20
Stansbury 2:7
3:16 16:15,20 18:6 25:8
26:9,11,15
36:25 37:6,18
39:17
40:6,11,12,21
Stansbury's 40:3
start 24:2
started 4:1,2
21:22 35:12
starts 12:2
State 3:5
statement 11:6
status 4:21 5:7 6:3 7:12 8:13
stay 22:5,24
stayed 8:8 12:9
19:21 22:18
stays 22:22
step 4:18 36:17
stick 27:11
stop 13:13,15
22:1
Street 2:13
striking 36:23
•
Stuart 35:2
stuff 8:12 10:10 13:25 33:25
13:25 33:25 34:19
stupid 14:18,19
subject 32:9
35:18
subsequent 40:22
successfully
21:13
successor 16:21
18:7 34:7 35:3
suggest 32:9
suggesting 17:15
Suite 2:9,17,21
supposed 19:23
25:20
sure 14:20,22

18:16 25:15 28:1 31:23 40:18 41:15 **tables** 16:13 taking 7:8 29:21 talk 14:7 15:9 talking 10:16 15:6,7,10 41:16 tech 39:5 technically 5:6,18 **Ted** 2:15 11:17 16:20 18:6 20:12,15 21:8 24:2 35:13 Ted's 21:11 ten 7:21 38:3,8 39:9 terms 34:9 Tescher 2:19 28:23 testamentary 15:18 Thank 21:17 36:21 41:21 Thanks 33:14 41:18 that's 4:7,13 7:16 8:10,23 9:13,22 14:1,2 15:4 16:1 17:13,14,17 18:1,2 19:17 20:4,22 23:2 24:3,9 26:8,24 27:5,10,16 29:21 31:14 32:16 33:21 34:9 35:14,17 36:1,5 37:7,22 38:13,16,23 39:3 41:10 themselves 3:24 7:17 8:14 Theodore 35:2 therefore 26:20 there's 4:12 5:12,18 6:20 14:4 15:25 16:7

> 18:21 19:5 23:11 24:16,19

			rage 9 01 9
29:22 30:2 33:3	39:4	23:13 40:14	
36:22 40:1,13	Tuesday 3:6	whole 5:5	
they're 14:16 19:14 20:15		who's 24:7	
21:9	<u>U</u>	wife 5:12	
thousands 8:18	ultimately 25:24	William 2:7 3:15	
timely 39:12	uncomfortable 15:1	16:15 26:9,10 36:25 37:6	
tiny 20:24	understand 3:20	39:17	
title 29:10	4:6 15:3	wit 3:6	
33:5,8	understanding	work 41:19	
today 8:24 13:10	6:13	world 35:22	
17:19 38:17	unduly 19:17	wrestle 14:3	
today's 29:18	universe 34:9	wrestling 13:25	
tomorrow 38:4	unless 41:12	write 27:6	
ton 34:23	42:15	wrong 4:10	
towards 29:23	upon 19:3	ATOMA TITO	
Trail 2:21		Y	
transcript	valid 19:14	yet 6:15	
42:4,6	24:3,7	11:4,7,12 13:16 15:7 28:16 33:5	
trial 13:7 16:8 20:3 23:12	validity 7:3 8:5		
25:2,5 27:1,9	10:2 15:17	you've 20:2 23:3	
41:19	18:11,19 19:6,7,8 20:4		
tried 28:25 36:4	21:4,11 22:8		
trifocals 31:5	25:4 26:21		
true 22:5 42:6	various 26:23		
trust 5:17,20	verifying 3:25		
7:20,23 8:3 10:3	view 22:15		
17:18,21,22,23			
18:1,5,7,18,21	<u>W</u> weeks 7:9		
22:23 25:25 32:23 38:21,24	_		
trustee 16:21	Welcome 35:21,23		
17:3 18:7,14	we'll 4:18 8:17 14:8 23:15		
20:12,16 21:8,9	41:20		
24:3 35:3	we're 3:8 4:15		
trustees 4:21 15:13	6:4,21 16:18 19:22 28:2		
trustee's 7:12	19:22 28:2 33:24		
17:11	35:13,18,24		
trusts 5:21	39:8		
truthful 27:5	West 2:5,13,17 3:19		
try 6:7 14:21,22	we've 5:7		
19:23 23:17,20 34:5	9:16,17 41:14		
	whatever 14:25		
trying 9:16 11:8 19:15 21:2,4	15:1 36:19		
22:4 30:13 31:7	Whereupon 41:24		
35:25 37:14	whether 6:4		
<u> </u>	17:5,12 19:16		