

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION
JUDGE JOHN L. PHILIPS
CASE NO. 502012CP4391XXXXNB/IH;
JUDGE HOWARD COATES;
JUDGE MARTIN COLIN
CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB;
JUDGE DAVID E FRENCH
CASE NO. 2012CP004391 IX

IN RE:
ESTATE OF: SIMON L. BERNSTEIN
DECEASED.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE
PETITIONER,

V.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (AND ALL PARTNERS,
ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL);
ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PERSONALLY;
ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY;
DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PERSONALLY;
DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED PERSONAL
REPRESENTATIVE;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED TRUSTEE
AND SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE PERSONALLY;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED TRUSTEE
AND SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE, PROFESSIONALLY;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR HIS
CHILDREN;
LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY AS A BENEFICIARY;
LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;
JILL MARLA IANTONI, INDIVIDUALLY AS A BENEFICIARY;
JILL MARLA IANTONI, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;
PAMELA BETH SIMON, INDIVIDUALLY;
PAMELA BETH SIMON, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;
MARK MANCERI, ESQ., PERSONALLY;
MARK MANCERI, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY;
MARK R. MANCERI, P.A. (AND ALL PARTNERS,
ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL);
JOSHUA ENNIO ZANDER BERNSTEIN;

OBJECTION TO SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST ACCOUNTING
Wednesday, September 2, 2015

JNAB BERNSTEIN (ELIOT MINOR CHILD);
DEAO BERNSTEIN (ELIOT MINOR CHILD);
ALEXANDRA BERNSTEIN;
ERIC BERNSTEIN;
MICHAEL BERNSTEIN;
MATTHEW LOGAN;
MOLLY NORAH SIMON;
J. IANTONI – JILL MINOR CHILD;
MAX FRIEDSTEIN;
C. FRIEDSTEIN – LISA MINOR CHILD;
PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD & ROSE, P.A.
(AND ALL PARTNERS, ASSOCIATES AND OF
COUNSEL);
ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. – PERSONALLY;
ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. – PROFESSIONALLY;
PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM PLLC, (AND ALL
PARTNERS, ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL);
JOHN J. PANKAUSKI, ESQ. – PERSONALLY;
JOHN J. PANKAUSKI, ESQ. – PROFESSIONALLY;
KIMBERLY FRANCIS MORAN – PERSONALLY;
KIMBERLY FRANCIS MORAN –
PROFESSIONALLY;
LINDSAY BAXLEY AKA LINDSAY GILES –
PERSONALLY;
LINDSAY BAXLEY AKA LINDSAY GILES –
PROFESSIONALLY;
THE ALLEGED “2008 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN
TRUST AGREEMENT, AS AMENDED AND
RESTATED IN THE SIMON L. BERNSTEIN
AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT
DATED JULY 25, 2012”;
JOHN AND JANE DOE’S (1-5000),

RESPONDENTS

TO BE ADDED RESPONDENTS:
JUDGE MARTIN COLIN, BOTH PERSONALLY
AND PROFESSIONALLY;
JUDGE DAVID E. FRENCH, BOTH PERSONALLY
AND PROFESSIONALLY.

OBJECTION TO SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST ACCOUNTING

COMES NOW, Eliot Ivan Bernstein (“Eliot” or “Plaintiff” or “Objector”), Pro Se,
individually and as a beneficiary of the “2008 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT, as
amended and restated in the SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST

OBJECTION TO SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST ACCOUNTING

Wednesday, September 2, 2015

Page 12



AGREEMENT dated July 25, 2012” and the Eliot Bernstein Family Trust created thereunder and Eliot as Guardians for his three minor children, as alleged beneficiaries, of the “SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT dated July 25, 2012” and hereby files this “**OBJECTION TO SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST ACCOUNTING**” and in support thereof states, on information and belief, as follows:

1. That I, Eliot Ivan Bernstein make this Limited Appearance for purposes of satisfying obligations to timely file objections but otherwise object and do not consent to the jurisdiction of this Court and these proceedings, particularly pending the outcome of proceedings in the Florida State Supreme Court.
2. That I have filed a Petition for All Writs with the Florida State Supreme Court seeking various forms of relief including an injunction and also mandamus for Judge Martin Colin to issue a mandatory Disqualification Order being at minimum a necessary Material Fact Witness in the Florida probate proceedings to the circumstances of fraudulent waivers and related documents being filed in his Court and ex parte communications with the office of attorneys Robert L. Spallina, Esq. and Donald R. Tescher, Esq., those offices of Tescher & Spallina, PA being involved in the underlying fraud. A copy of said Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
3. That the entire case and cases should be re-set based upon the frauds upon the Court including the re-setting and voiding of any and all Orders, including but not limited to, those relating to Fiduciaries, Personal Representatives and Trustees.
4. That the Probate matters previously before Judge Martin Colin and Judge French have been grounded in fraud where related direct fraud and related crimes have been admitted to and where Eliot Bernstein individually and on behalf of his minor children reserves any and all

rights to further object based upon fraud which has not yet been discovered and remains concealed by the alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein, his counsel Alan B. Rose, Esq. and related parties.

5. That Ted S. Bernstein is not a valid and legal Successor Trustee and according to the language of the alleged Trust presented to beneficiaries, the Successor Trustee cannot be a related party and further the language of the Trust states that Ted is considered predeceased for all purposes of the Trust and Dispositions made thereunder.
6. That Eliot I. Bernstein as a Beneficiary and Objector herein and on behalf of his minor children makes a general objection to the Accounting in its entirety including but not limited to all payments, disbursements, distributions, fees and monies and values paid or made or distributed of any kind and further raises a general objection that All documents filed in this case and these proceedings may in fact be fraud.
7. That the alleged 2012 Simon Trust accounted for has been found to be improperly notarized and has serious other defects that make the document invalid and Ted has failed to provide a full and complete set of original Simon Trust documents for beneficiaries and forensic analysis to be performed on and thus has failed to prove the existence of a valid Trust and a valid Trust that allows his claim of Trustee.
8. That the alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein has improper business interests and conflicts of interest with the Tescher & Spallina, PA law firm who was acting as his counsel, being the same parties involved in fraud where Attorney Spallina has admitted to fraudulently changing a related Trust document, Shirley Bernstein's Trust, for the benefit of primarily Ted Bernstein at the harm and expense of other beneficiaries and where a Tescher & Spallina, PA employee under their care and direction, Kimberly Moran, has admitted to and been

convicted of fraudulently changing Waivers and improperly notarizing them and forging them for six parties, including the deceased Simon Bernstein whereupon Eliot I. Bernstein individually as Objector and on behalf of his minor children therefore objects to the existence of Ted Bernstein as Trustee in its entirety, objects to the Accounting in its entirety and reserves any and all rights to further object as further fraud is discovered and as justice allows.

9. That the alleged Successor Trustee failed to get a statutorily required accounting on change of Trusteeship from the prior Co-Trustees Tescher and Spallina within 60 days from their resignation. Any and all rights are reserved by Objector Eliot I. Bernstein individually and on behalf of his minor children from failures and breaches of duty caused by alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein and the prior Co-Trustees as a result of these failures.
10. That there is no accounting for the time that Tescher and Spallina were Co-Trustees or prior to that when Simon Bernstein was Trustee and therefore the accounting fails to provide a clear picture of the assets from the time of Simon Bernstein's death to present. Any and all rights are reserved by Objector Eliot I. Bernstein individually and on behalf of his minor children from failures and breaches of duty caused by alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein and the prior Co-Trustees as a result of these failures.
11. That the alleged Successor Trustee has failed to statutorily notice beneficiaries of his Trusteeship after accepting a change of trusteeship from the prior Co-Trustees Tescher and Spallina.
12. That there are not clearly accounted for records of the trust instrument and amendments and requests to inspect the originals have been denied.

13. That the Governor Rick Scott's Notary Public Division has determined the Amended Trust document is not properly notarized, is alleged to be fraudulent and has trust construction and verification processes under litigation currently.
14. That it has been alleged by the PR of Simon's Estate, Brian O'Connell Esq. that Ted is not a valid legal Trustee. See Exhibit B - O'Connell Pleading, and that as stated above the existence of Ted Bernstein as the alleged Trustee is objected to by myself individually and on behalf of my minor children.
15. That it has been alleged by Counsel Peter Feaman, Esq. that Ted and his Counsel Alan B. Rose, Esq. are acting in violation of fiduciary and conduct codes. See Exhibit C - Feaman to O'Connell Letter, and that as stated above the existence of Ted Bernstein as the alleged Trustee is objected to by Objector individually and on behalf of his minor children.
16. There are pending actions against Ted Bernstein as alleged trustee for various breaches of fiduciary duties and violations of law and actions have been taken to remove alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein, which are being litigated before this Court presently, including but not limited to two stayed counter complaints.
17. The 2014 Florida Statutes

Title XLII
ESTATES AND TRUSTS
Chapter 736
FLORIDA TRUST CODE
736.08135 Trust accountings.—

That Ted has failed to provide an understandable accounting. Ted failed as fiduciary to get the statutorily required accounting from the prior Co Trustees, TESCHER and SPALLINA and thus his accounting fails without the historical and statutorily required accounting and

supporting evidence to present an accounting for the Trust's assets since the time Simon died to present.

18. There is no prior accounting of Simon's Trust as the former Co-Trustees, TESCHER and SPALLINA, have failed to provide the statutorily required accounting upon transfer of the trusteeship to Ted and Ted has failed as a fiduciary to demand the required accounting due upon the transfer and necessary to fully account for the Trust assets.

(1) A trust accounting must be a reasonably understandable report from the date of the last accounting or, if none, from the date on which the trustee became accountable, that adequately discloses the information required in subsection (2).

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(b) The accounting must show all cash and property transactions and all significant transactions affecting administration during the accounting period, including compensation paid to the trustee and the trustee's agents. Gains and losses realized during the accounting period and all receipts and disbursements must be shown.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(c) To the extent feasible, the accounting must identify and value trust assets on hand at the close of the accounting period. For each asset or class of assets reasonably **capable of valuation**, the accounting shall contain two values, the asset acquisition value or carrying value and the estimated current value. The accounting must identify each known non-contingent liability with an estimated current amount of the liability if known.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(d) To the extent feasible, the accounting must show significant transactions that do not affect the amount for which the trustee is accountable, including name changes in investment holdings, adjustments to carrying value, a change of custodial institutions, and stock splits.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(e) The accounting must reflect the allocation of receipts, disbursements, accruals, or allowances between income and principal when the allocation affects the interest of any beneficiary of the trust.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

The 2014 Florida Statutes
Title XLII
ESTATES AND TRUSTS
Chapter 736
FLORIDA TRUST CODE

736.0813 Duty to inform and account.—The trustee shall keep the qualified beneficiaries of the trust reasonably informed of the trust and its administration.

(1) The trustee's duty to inform and account includes, but is not limited to, the following:

19. That Ted Bernstein within 60 days failed to notice the qualified beneficiaries of the acceptance of the trust, the full name and address of the Trustee and of the fiduciary lawyer-client privilege with respect to the trustee and attorneys employed by the trustee.

(a) Within 60 days after acceptance of the trust, the trustee shall give notice to the qualified beneficiaries of the acceptance of the trust, the full name and address of the trustee, and that the fiduciary lawyer-client privilege in s. 90.5021 applies with respect to the trustee and any attorney employed by the trustee.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(b) Within 60 days after the date the trustee acquires knowledge of the creation of an irrevocable trust, or the date the trustee acquires knowledge that a formerly revocable trust has become irrevocable, whether by the death of the settlor or otherwise, the trustee shall give notice to the qualified beneficiaries of the trust's existence, the identity of the settlor or settlors, the right to request a copy of the trust instrument, the right to

accountings under this section, and that the fiduciary lawyer-client privilege in s. 90.5021 applies with respect to the trustee and any attorney employed by the trustee.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

Thus, it is objected by myself individually and on behalf of my minor children that alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein has failed to abide by such statutes and rules and duties and any and all rights are reserved herein.

20. That despite repeated requests in writing and in Court filings for Ted Bernstein to provide a complete copy of the trust instrument, codicils, attachments and amendments and allow for inspection of the originals, which in this case is necessary for forensic analysis due to the prior proven multiple fraudulent and forged documents in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, Ted Bernstein has failed to provide proof of the actual trust. That in Eliot's deposition, Alan Rose, Esq. claimed that neither Ted nor he was in possession of original trust documents for inspection.

(c) Upon reasonable request, the trustee shall provide a qualified beneficiary with a complete copy of the trust instrument.

Thus, it is objected by myself individually and on behalf of my minor children that alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein has failed to abide by such statutes and rules and duties and any and all rights are reserved herein.

21. That Ted Bernstein has failed to provide accountings annually and on change of a trustee.

(d) A trustee of an irrevocable trust shall provide a trust accounting, as set forth in s. 736.08135, from the date of the last accounting or, if none, from the date on which the trustee became accountable, to each qualified beneficiary at least annually and on termination of the trust **or on change of the trustee.**

Thus, it is objected by myself individually and on behalf of my minor children that the alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein has failed to abide by such statutes and rules and duties and any and all rights are reserved herein.

22. That Ted Bernstein has failed to provide any information about the assets and liabilities of the trust and the particulars relating to administration. That the accounting submitted by Ted will show that two and half years after Simon died there are still assets that remain secreted and without value or name.

(e) Upon reasonable request, the trustee shall provide a qualified beneficiary with relevant information about the assets and liabilities of the trust and the particulars relating to administration.

Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

(3) The representation provisions of part III apply with respect to all rights of a qualified beneficiary under this section.

Thus, it is objected by myself individually and on behalf of my minor children that the alleged Trustee Ted Bernstein has failed to abide by such statutes and rules and duties and any and all rights are reserved herein.

23. That the accounting for Simon Bernstein is flawed in that the Inventory prepared by Simon, See Exhibit D - Simon Inventories, has assets of his wife Shirley that were not properly inventoried on her Inventory before any alleged transfer, See Exhibit E - Shirley Inventories.

24. That a list of assets of Simon's that are not accounted for on the inventory and requests in writing from the alleged fiduciaries, former CO-TRUSTEES TESCHER and SPALLINA and the current alleged Trustee TED have gone unanswered for over two and half years.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS TO ACCOUNTING (See Exhibit F – Simon Trust Accounting)

APPENDIX B

UNIFORM FIDUCIARY ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

I. ACCOUNTS SHOULD BE STATED IN A MANNER THAT IS UNDERSTANDABLE BY PERSONS WHO ARE NOT FAMILIAR WITH PRACTICES AND TERMINOLOGY PECULIAR TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES AND TRUSTS.

February 23, 2015 Florida Probate Rules 85

25. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

II. A FIDUCIARY ACCOUNT SHALL BEGIN WITH A CONCISE SUMMARY OF ITS PURPOSE AND CONTENT.

February 23, 2015 Florida Probate Rules 86

III. A FIDUCIARY ACCOUNT SHALL CONTAIN SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO PUT THE INTERESTED PARTIES ON NOTICE AS TO ALL SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS AFFECTING ADMINISTRATION DURING THE ACCOUNTING PERIOD.

Commentary: The presentation of the information account shall allow an interested party to follow the progress of the fiduciary's administration of assets during the accounting period.

An account is not complete if it does not itemize, or make reference to, assets on hand at the beginning of the accounting period.

Illustration:

3.1 The first account for a decedent's estate or a trust may detail the items received by the fiduciary and for which the fiduciary is responsible. It may refer to the total amount of an inventory filed elsewhere or assets described in a schedule attached to a trust agreement.

Instead of retyping the complete list of assets in the opening balance, the preparer may prefer to attach as an exhibit a copy of the inventory, closing balance from the last account, etc., as appropriate, or may refer to them if previously provided to the interested parties who will receive it.

Transactions shall be described in sufficient detail to give interested parties notice of their purpose and effect

Illustrations:

3.2 Extraordinary appraisal costs should be shown separately and explained.

3.3 Interest and penalties in connection with late filing of tax returns should be shown separately and explained.

3.4 An extraordinary allocation between principal and income such as apportionment of proceeds of property acquired on foreclosure should be separately stated and explained.

3.5 Computation of a formula marital deduction gift involving non-probate assets should be explained.

26. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

IV. A FIDUCIARY ACCOUNT SHALL CONTAIN TWO VALUES, THE ASSET ACQUISITION VALUE OR CARRYING VALUE, AND CURRENT VALUE.

Commentary: In order for transactions to be reported on a consistent basis, an appropriate carrying value for assets must be chosen and employed consistently.

The carrying value of an asset should reflect its value at the time it is acquired by the fiduciary (or a predecessor fiduciary). When such a value is not precisely determinable, the figure used should reflect a thoughtful decision by the fiduciary. For assets owned by a decedent, inventory values or estate tax values — generally reflective of date of death — would be appropriate. Assets received in kind by a trustee from a settlor of an inter vivos trust should be carried at their value at the time of receipt. For assets purchased during the administration of the fund, cost would normally be used. Use of Federal income tax basis for carrying value is acceptable when basis is reasonably representative of real values at the time of acquisition. Use of tax basis as a carrying value under other circumstances could be affirmatively misleading to beneficiaries and therefore is not appropriate.

February 23, 2015 Florida Probate Rules 88

In the Model Account, carrying value is referred to as “fiduciary acquisition value.” The Model Account establishes the initial carrying value of assets as their value at date of death for inventoried assets, date of receipt for subsequent receipts, and cost for investments.

Carrying value would not normally be adjusted for depreciation.

Except for adjustments that occur normally under the accounting system in use, carrying values should generally be continued unchanged through successive accounts and assets should not be arbitrarily “written up” or “written down.” In some circumstances, however, with proper disclosure and explanation, carrying value may be adjusted.

Illustrations:

4.1 Carrying values based on date of death may be adjusted to reflect changes on audit of estate or inheritance tax returns.

4.2 Where appropriate under applicable local law, a successor fiduciary may adjust the carrying value of assets to reflect values at the start of that fiduciary’s administration.

4.3 Assets received in kind in satisfaction of a pecuniary legacy should be carried at the value used for purposes of distribution.

Though essential for accounting purposes, carrying values are commonly misunderstood by laypersons as being a representation of actual values. To avoid this, the account should include both current values and carrying values.

27. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

The value of assets at the beginning and ending of each accounting period is necessary information for the evaluation of investment performance. Therefore, the account should show, or make reference to, current values at the start of the period for all assets whose carrying values were established in a prior accounting period.

Illustrations:

4.4 The opening balance of the first account of a testamentary trustee will usually contain assets received in kind from the executor. Unless the carrying value was written up at the time of distribution (e.g., 4.2 or 4.3 supra) these assets will be carried at a value established during the executor’s administration. The current value at the beginning of the accounting period should also be shown.

February 23, 2015 Florida Probate Rules 89

4.5 An executor’s first account will normally carry assets at inventory (date of death) values or costs. No separate listing of current values at the beginning of the accounting period is necessary.

Current values should also be shown for all assets on hand at the close of the accounting period. The date on which current values are determined shall be stated and shall be the last day of the accounting period, or a date as close thereto as reasonably possible.

Current values should be shown in a column parallel to the column of carrying values. Both columns should be totalled.

In determining current values for assets for which there is no readily ascertainable current value, the source of the value stated in the account shall be explained. The fiduciary shall make a good faith effort to determine realistic values but should not be expected to incur expenses for appraisals or similar costs when there is no reason to expect that the resulting information will be of practical consequence to the administration of the estate or the protection of the interests of the parties.

Illustrations:

4.6 When an asset is held under circumstances that make it clear that it will not be sold (e.g., a residence held for use of a beneficiary) the fiduciary's estimate of value would be acceptable in lieu of an appraisal.

28. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

4.7 Considerations such as a pending tax audit or offer of the property for sale may indicate the advisability of not publishing the fiduciary's best estimate of value. In such circumstances, a statement that value was fixed by some method such as "per company books," "formula under buy-sell agreement," or "300% of assessed value" would be acceptable, but the fiduciary would be expected to provide further information to interested parties upon request.

29. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

V. GAINS AND LOSSES INCURRED DURING THE ACCOUNTING PERIOD
SHALL BE SHOWN SEPARATELY IN THE SAME SCHEDULE.

Commentary: Each transaction involving the sale or other disposition of securities during the accounting period shall be shown as a separate item in one combined schedule of the account indicating the transaction, date, explanation, and any gain or loss.

February 23, 2015 Florida Probate Rules 90

Although gains and losses from the sale of securities can be shown separately in accounts, the preferred method of presentation is to present this information in a single schedule. Such a presentation provides the most meaningful description of investment performance and will tend to clarify relationships between gains and losses that are deliberately realized at the same time.

VI. THE ACCOUNT SHALL SHOW SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS THAT DO NOT AFFECT THE AMOUNT FOR WHICH THE FIDUCIARY IS ACCOUNTABLE.

Commentary: Transactions such as the purchase of an investment, receipt of a stock split, or change of a corporate name do not alter the total fund for which a fiduciary is accountable but must be shown in order to permit analysis and an understanding of the administration of the fund. These can be best shown in information schedules.

One schedule should list all investments made during the accounting period. It should include those subsequently sold as well as those still on hand. Frequently the same money will be used for a series of investments. Therefore, the schedule should not be totalled in order to avoid giving an exaggerated idea of the size of the fund.

A second schedule (entitled "Changes in Investment Holdings" in the Model Account) should show all transactions affecting a particular security holding, such as purchase of additional shares, partial sales, stock splits, change of corporate name, divestment distributions, etc. This schedule, similar to a ledger account for each holding, will reconcile opening and closing entries for particular holdings, explain changes in carrying value, and avoid extensive searches through the account for information scattered among other schedules.

30. Ted Bernstein has failed to comply with these requirements and the Accounting is objected to in all respects with all rights reserved.

RULE 5.350. CONTINUANCE OF UNINCORPORATED BUSINESS OR VENTURE

(a) Separate Accounts and Reports. In the conduct of an unincorporated business or venture, the personal representative shall keep separate, full, and accurate accounts of all receipts and expenditures and make reports as the court may require.

(b) Petition. If the personal representative determines it to be in the best interest of the estate to continue an unincorporated business or venture beyond the February 23, 2015 time authorized by statute or will, the personal representative shall file a verified petition which shall include:

(1) a statement of the nature of that business or venture;

- (2) a schedule of specific assets and liabilities;
 - (3) the reasons for continuation;
 - (4) the proposed form and times of accounting for that business or venture;
 - (5) the period for which the continuation is requested; and
 - (6) any other information pertinent to the petition.
- (c) Order. If the continuation is authorized, the order shall state:
- (1) the period for which that business or venture is to continue;
 - (2) the particular powers of the personal representative in the continuation of that business or venture; and
 - (3) the form and frequency of accounting by that business or venture.
- (d) Petition by Interested Person. Any interested person, at any time, may petition the court for an order regarding the operation of, accounting for, or termination of an unincorporated business or venture, and the court shall enter an order thereon.

Committee Notes

**SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S NOTICE OF ACCOUNTING OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN
REVOCABLE TRUST**

From: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

Ted S. Bernstein, as Successor Trustee, hereby gives notice of serving upon all interested persons an accounting of the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust u/a/d 7-25-2012. This accounting is rendered from the date on which the Trustee became accountable, February 3, 2014.

LIMITATION NOTICE

Pursuant to Florida Statute Section 736.1008, this Limitation Notice is provided with respect to the enclosed trust accounting for the Simon Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust u/a/d 7-25-2012, for the period from February 3, 2014 and ending March 15, 2015.

AN ACTION FOR BREACH BASED ON MATTERS DISCLOSED IN A TRUST ACCOUNTING OR OTHER WRITTEN REPORT OF THE TRUSTEE MAY BE SUBJECT TO A SIX (6) MONTH STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FROM THE RECEIPT OF THE TRUST ACCOUNTING OR OTHER WRITTEN REPORT. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONSULT YOUR ATTORNEY.

31. That Ted S. Bernstein is not a valid and legal Successor Trustee and according to the language of the alleged Trust presented to beneficiaries, the Successor Trustee cannot be a related party and further the language of the Trust states that Ted is considered predeceased for all purposes of the Trust and Dispositions made thereunder.
32. That the alleged 2012 Simon Trust accounted for has been found to be improperly notarized and has serious other defects that make the document invalid and Ted has failed to provide a full and complete set of original Simon Trust documents for beneficiaries and forensic analysis to be performed on and thus has failed to prove the existence of a valid Trust and a valid Trust that allows his claim of Trustee.
33. That the accounting provided for by the alleged Successor Trustee Ted fails to properly account for the assets of Simon Bernstein and items have been reported Stolen to Palm Beach County Sheriff Investigators, where Ted Bernstein is one of several alleged perpetrators of stolen assets under ongoing investigation.

SUMMARY INFORMATION FOR ATTACHED ACCOUNTING

This summary information is provided pursuant to Florida Statute **736.08135**:

Trust name: Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement u/a/d 7-25-

2012 Trustee: Ted S. Bernstein

Time Period: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

ACCOUNTING OF SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST BY TED S. BERNSTEIN, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE

Trust: Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement u/a/d 7-25-2012 Trustee: Ted S. Bernstein

Time Period: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

34. That the following items on the "Summary" need further investigation, thus Objector objects as set forth below. In addition, the Objector objects as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves his right to further object to same:

- a. "Starting Balance"; and
- b. "Assets on Hand at Close of Accounting Period."

I. Starting Balance Assets per Inventory or on Hand at Close of Last Accounting Period

Income	Principal	Total
	\$30,177.17	\$30,177.17

35. Objector objects to "Receipts" as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

36. The following items listed need further investigation, thus the Objector objects as set forth below. In addition, the Objector objects as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves his right to further object to same.

	Income	Principal	Total
II. Receipts	\$0	\$0	\$0

37. Objector objects to "Disbursements" as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

	Income	Principal	Total
III. Disbursements	\$0	(\$7,250.00)	(\$7,250.00)

38. Objector objects to "Distributions" as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

	Income	Principal	Total
III. Distributions	\$0	\$0	\$0

39. Objector objects to “Capital Transactions and Adjustments” as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

IV. Capital Transactions and Adjustments

Income	Principal	Total
\$0	\$0	\$0

40. Objector objects to “Assets on Hand at Close of Accounting Period” as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

V. Assets of Hand at Close of Accounting Period

Income	Principal	Total
\$0	\$22,927.17	\$22,927.17

41. Objector objects to “Total Assets” numbers 1, 2 and 3 as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

42. That Objector objects to 1, as it does not comply with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as the interest in the LLLP are undisclosed and undefined as there is no way of knowing what the LLLP is composed of and why the assets are illiquid and the values undetermined 2 ½ years after the decedent’s death.

43. That Objector objects to 2, as there is no prior history of the JP Morgan Account and changes to account since the time of the decedent’s death due to the failure of the Alleged Fiduciary Ted to secure prior accountings from his former counsel and discharged Co-Trustees, Tescher and Spallina.

44. That Objector objects to 3, as the Simon Bernstein Trust is not the sole beneficiary of the

Simon Bernstein Estate, as the children of Simon Bernstein are beneficiaries of Personal Properties of the Estate per the Will.

During Tenure of Ted Bernstein as Successor Trustee

Total Assets in existence at time of acceptance of appointment: Feb. 3, 2014

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------|
| 1. | Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP | \$illiquid/undetermined |
| 2. | JP Morgan Account | \$30,177.17 |
| 3. | Expectancy - sole beneficiary of Simon Bernstein Estate | \$ unknown |

45. Objector objects to "Accounting 1 – Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP" as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

Accounting:

- | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. | Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP | |
| | No known activity | |
| | Value: maximum would be 49% of total value (BFI, LLLP assets = approx. \$436,275 less tax liabilities, expenses) | |
| | | \$illiquid/undetermined |
| | | Est. range: \$150,000-200,000 |

46. Objector objects to "No known activity" above as it is vague and unsubstantiated and no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

47. That Objector objects to the "Value" of BFI, LLLP assets and the approximated value as this does not comply with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as the interests in BFI and

the LLLP are undisclosed and undefined and there is no way of knowing what BFI and the LLLP are composed of and why unidentified assets are illiquid and the values undetermined 2 ½ years after the decedent's death.

48. That Objector objects to "Est. range" as it does not comply with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as it is an estimate with no supporting documents to show how the estimate was derived and no appraisal or other method used to determine such estimate.
49. Objector objects to "Funds from JP Morgan Account" as no substantiating documents were provided, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.
50. Objector objects to "Starting Balance," "Additions," "Expenses," "Ending Balance," and "Ending Balance at JP Morgan" as no substantiating documents were provided and no historical information is available due to the Alleged Trustee Ted's failure to demand the statutorily required accounting from the resigning former Co-Trustees, Tescher and Spallina, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.
51. Objector objects to Fees and Costs paid to Expert Witness Fee: Bruce Stone (\$ 7,250.00) as such fees did not benefit the Trust, thus there is no entitlement to fees. In addition, documentation is needed which shows an itemization of the services provided and time incurred.
52. Objector objects to "Mrachek-Law IOTA" as no substantiating documents were provided and no historical information is available due to the Alleged Trustee Ted's failure to demand the statutorily required accounting from the resigning former Co-Trustees, Tescher and Spallina, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

2 Funds from JP Morgan Account:

Starting balance: \$30,177.17

Additions:	\$0
Expenses:	
11/19/2014	
Expert Witness Fee: Bruce Stone	(\$ 7,250.00)
Ending balance 3-18-15	\$22,927.17*
* Balance at JP Morgan	\$10,000.00
Balance in Mrachek-Law IOTA	\$12,927.17

53. Objector objects to "Additional Information" below as no substantiating documents were provided and no historical information is available due to the Alleged Trustee Ted's failure to demand the statutorily required accounting from the resigning former Co-Trustees, Tescher and Spallina, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

54. That while demonstrating knowledge of missing accounting for Trust assets by former fiduciaries, Ted has done nothing to secure such accountings. That this failure to account is alleged to be due to theft of enormous amounts of assets from the Estates and Trusts of both Simon and Shirley Bernstein, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

Additional Information

The prior trustees have not done any accounting, formal or informal.

The Successor Trustee has investigated and makes the following report (which does not constitute any accounting required of the prior trustees, including Simon Bernstein, as Settlor/Trustee (initial trustee), or Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina, as Successor Co-Trustees.

55. That Ted claims no knowledge of transactions done during trusteeship of Simon Bernstein and Ted has failed as a fiduciary to disclose records of Simon Bernstein that would show any transactions done by Simon and continues a pattern and practice of fraud on the beneficiaries through the suppression of all of Simon's financial records and tax returns, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same..

Transactions during trusteeship of Simon Bernstein, Settlor/Trustees

No knowledge. Settlor-Trustee deceased.

56. That Objector Objects to all entries in "Transactions during trusteeship of Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina, as Successor Co-Trustees" as while Ted claims to have no accounting from the former removed Successor Co-Trustees, TESCHER and SPALLINA, Ted's accounting attempts to reconcile assets during the time they served with no supporting accounting or documentation, thus the Objector reserves any and all further objections after examination of same.

Transactions during trusteeship of Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina, as Successor Co-Trustees

Total Assets in existence at time of appointment:

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------|
| 1. | Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP | \$illiquid/undetermined |
| 2. | Bank Accounts or other assets: | \$ none |
| 3. | Expectancy - sole beneficiary of Simon Bernstein Estate | \$ unknown |

Accounting:

1. Transactions involving Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP

Outflows: Several cash distributions made to limited partner, Simon Bernstein Restated Trust u/a/d 7/25/12:

10/23/12	60,000.00
11/2/12	39,000.00
12/20/13	100,000.00
Total	<u>199,000.00</u>

Ending Value: see above

57. That as Objector I hereby object both individually and on behalf of my minor children to any and all Fees, disbursements, monies or items of value of any kind whatsoever provided and or disbursed or paid to attorneys Tescher & Spallina or any legal or professional counsel and specifically object to the \$15,000 plus “professional fees” paid to said firm and individuals and reserve any and all rights individually and on behalf of my minor children.

58. That the alleged Trustee, Ted, has breached his fiduciary duties by failing to timely and properly account under Florida Statutes.

Filed on Wednesday, September 2, 2015,

Eliot Bernstein, Pro Se, Individually and as legal guardian on behalf of his minor three children.

X

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by email to all parties on the following Service List, Wednesday, September 2, 2015.

Eliot Bernstein, Pro Se, Individually and as
 OBJECTION TO SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST ACCOUNTING
 Wednesday, September 2, 2015

legal guardian on behalf of his minor three children

X

SERVICE LIST

<p>RESPONDENT PERSONALLY, PROFESSIONALLY, AS A GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE FOR MINOR/ADULT CHILDREN, AS AN ALLEGED TRUSTEE AND ALLEGED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE</p> <p>Theodore Stuart Bernstein Life Insurance Concepts 950 Peninsula Corporate Circle, Suite 3010 Boca Raton, Florida 33487 tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES</p> <p>Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@pm-law.com and arose@mrachek-law.com mhandler@mrachek-law.com eklein@mrachek-law.com lmrachek@mrachek-law.com rfitzgerald@mrachek-law.com skonopka@mrachek-law.com dthomas@mrachek-law.com gweiss@mrachek-law.com jbaker@mrachek-law.com mhandler@mrachek-law.com lchristian@mrachek-law.com tclarke@mrachek-law.com gdavies@mrachek-law.com pgillman@mrachek-law.com dkelly@mrachek-law.com eklein@mrachek-law.com lwilliamson@mrachek-law.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES</p> <p>John J. Pankauski, Esq. Pankauski Law Firm PLLC 120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 514-0900 courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com john@pankauskilawfirm.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM AND AS FORMER COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES</p> <p>Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 rspallina@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com</p>
--	---	--	---

<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILD</p> <p>Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Avenue Apartment 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com</p>	<p>COUNSEL FOR LIMITED APPEARANCE representing Mr. Tescher in connection with his Petition for Designation and Discharge as Co-Personal Representative of the Estate of Simon L. Bernstein, deceased.</p> <p>Irwin J. Block, Esq. The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL 700 South Federal Highway Suite 200 Boca Raton, Florida 33432 ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and FORMER WITHDRAWN COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES, NO NOTICES OF APPEARANCES</p> <p>Mark R. Manceri, Esq., and Mark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial Boulevard Suite 702 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308 mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM AND AS FORMER COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES</p> <p>Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A. Boca Village Corporate Center I 4855 Technology Way Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33431 dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspallina.com</p>
<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILD</p> <p>Jill Iantoni 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com</p>	<p>COUNSEL TO CREDITOR WILLIAM STANSBURY</p> <p>Peter Feaman, Esquire Peter M. Feaman, P.A. 3615 Boynton Beach Blvd. Boynton Beach, FL 33436 pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.com</p>	<p>COURT APPROVED CURATOR TO REPLACE THE REMOVED FORMER PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES/CO-TRUSTEES/COUNSEL TO THEMSELVES AS FIDUCIARIES TESCHER AND SPALLINA</p> <p>Benjamin Brown, Esq., Thornton B Henry, Esq., and Peter Matwiczuk Matwiczuk & Brown, LLP 625 No. Flagler Drive Suite 401 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 bbrown@matbrolaw.com attomeys@matbrolaw.com bhenry@matbrolaw.com pmatwiczuk@matbrolaw.com</p>	<p>COUNSEL FOR JILL IANTONI and LISA FRIEDSTEIN</p> <p>William M. Pearson, Esq. P.O. Box 1076 Miami, FL 33149 wpearsonlaw@bellsouth.net</p>

<p>RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILD</p> <p>Lisa Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com</p>	<p>COUNSEL FOR JILL IANTONI and LISA FRIEDSTEIN</p> <p>William H. Glasko, Esq. Golden Cowan, P.A. 1734 South Dixie Highway Palmetto Bay, FL 33157 bill@palmettobaylaw.com eservice@palmettobaylaw.com m tmealy@gcprobate.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT – ADULT CHILD</p> <p>Alexandra Bernstein 3000 Washington Blvd, Apt 424 Arlington, VA, 22201 alb07c@gmail.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT/ARRESTED AND CONVICTED OF FRAUD AND ADMITTED TO FORGERY OF SIX SIGNATURES, INCLUDING POST MORTEM FOR SIMON/HAS HAD NOTARY PUBLIC LICENSE REVOKED BY FLORIDA GOVERNOR RICK SCOTT NOTARY PUBLIC DIVISION. *See notes</p> <p>Kimberly Moran kmoran@tescherspallina.com</p>
<p>RESPONDENT – ADULT CHILD</p> <p>Eric Bernstein 2231 Bloods Grove Circle Delray Beach, FL 33445 ebenstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com m edb07@fsu.edu edb07fsu@gmail.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT – INITIALLY MINOR CHILD AND NOW ADULT CHILD</p> <p>Michael Bernstein 2231 Bloods Grove Circle Delray Beach, FL 33445 mchl_bernstein@yahoo.com</p>		<p>COUNSEL TO ALEXANDRA, ERIC AND MICHAEL BERNSTEIN AND MOLLY SIMON</p> <p>John P Morrissey, Esq. John P. Morrissey, P.A. 330 Clematis Street Suite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 john@jmorrisseylaw.com</p>
<p>RESPONDENT – ADULT STEPSON TO THEODORE</p> <p>Matt Logan 2231 Bloods Grove Circle Delray Beach, FL 33445 matl89@aol.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENTS – MINOR CHILDREN OF PETITIONER Bernstein, Minors c/o Eliot and Candice Bemstein, Parents and Natural Guardians 2753 NW 34th Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 iviewit@iviewit.tv</p>	<p>RESPONDENT – MINOR CHILD</p> <p>J Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, Her Parents and Natural Guardians 210 I Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com</p>	
<p>RESPONDENT/REPRIMANDED BY FLORIDA GOVERNOR RICK SCOTT NOTARY PUBLIC DIVISION FOR FAILING TO NOTARIZE AN ALLEGED 2012 WILL AND TRUST OF SIMON AND SIGNING NOTARY UNDER FALSE NAME</p> <p>Lindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Giles lindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com</p>	<p>RESPONDENT MINOR CHILDREN</p> <p>C. Friedstein (MINOR) & Max Friedstein, Minors c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parents and Natural Guardians 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 6003 Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com</p>	<p>Molly Simon 1731 N. Old Pueblo Drive Tucson, AZ 85745 molly.simon1203@gmail.com</p>	

EXHIBIT A

FLORIDA SUPREME COURT PETITION

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

CAUSE NO. SC15-1077

LOWER TRIBUNAL No(s):

- 1. CASE: 502015CP002717XXXXNB, FORMERLY
502012CP004391XXXXSB, FORMERLY 2012CP004391IX;**
- 2. CASE: 502011CP000653XXXXSB;**
- 3. CASE: 502014CP002815XXXXSB;**
- 4. CASE: 502014CP003698XXXXSB;**
- 5. CASE: 502015CP001162XXXXSB;**
- 6. CASE: 502014CA014637XXXXMB;**
- OTHER RELATED CASES TO NEXUS OF EVENTS**
- 7. CASE: 13-CV-03643 - FEDERAL LAWSUIT IN THE US
DISTRICT COURT OF EASTERN ILLINOIS - HON. JUDGE
JOHN ROBERT BLAKEY;**
- 8. CASE: 07-CV-11196 BERNSTEIN, ET AL. V APPELLATE
DIVISION FIRST DEPARTMENT DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE,
ET AL. – HON. JUDGE SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN;**
- 9. CASE: CA01-04671 AB FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL - HON. JUDGE
JORGE LABARGA.**

**IN THE ESTATES AND TRUSTS OF SIMON LEON BERNSTEIN,
SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN AND PETITIONER'S MINOR CHILDREN
TRUSTS**

**ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN,
PETITIONER**



**PETITION FOR ALL WRITS, WRIT OF PROHIBITION, WRIT OF
MANDAMUS AND PETITION TO STAY CASES AND TEMPORARILY
RESTRAIN SALE, TRANSFER, DISPOSITION OF ANY ASSET AND FOR
PRESERVATION OF ALL EVIDENCE**

WARNING:
POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST


Eliot Ivan Bernstein has pursued in investigations since early 2000 to present, including a Petition to the White House¹, the White House Counsel's Office, the US Attorney General's Office, investigations to the SEC², FBI, and various State Attorney Generals, and actions with the USPTO, and other legal actions, including RICO and ANTITRUST civil litigation and criminal complaints several Florida Supreme Court Justices, The Florida Bar, several New York Supreme Court Justices, the New York Supreme Court Disciplinary Agencies 1st & 2nd, several large law firms and lawyers, political figures at the highest levels in both Florida and New York and others and this may cause any review of the following matters by any member of The Florida Bar, a subsidiary of the Florida Supreme Court, with any title in the organization, to prejudice the

1

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090213%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20LETTER%20OBAMA%20TO%20ENJOIN%20US%20ATTORNEY%20FINGERED%20ORIGINAL%20MAIL%20l.pdf>

2

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090325%20FINAL%20Intel%20SEC%20Complaint%20SIGNED2073.pdf>



rights of Eliot Bernstein and his family and will be construed as a denial of due process that obstructs justice.

Defendants in the RICO and other actions include:

- STATE OF FLORIDA,
- OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA,
- **FLORIDA SUPREME COURT,**
 - Jorge Labarga, in his official and individual capacities, [this lawsuit prior to his unbelievable rise to Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court after the Bush v. Gore election where he aided in the failure to recount the People's vote when he was a civil circuit judge and for his effort to derail Eliot's legal rights in the first lawsuit involving Eliot and others stolen Intellectual Properties that has led to this mess filed before his court. Proskauer v. Iviewit, Case #CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB.]
 - Charles T. Wells, in his official and individual capacities,
 - Harry Lee Anstead, in his official and individual capacities,
 - R. Fred Lewis, in his official and individual capacities,
 - Peggy A. Quince, in his official and individual capacities,
 - Kenneth B. Bell, in his official and individual capacities,
 - THOMAS HALL, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
- **THE FLORIDA BAR,**
 - JOHN ANTHONY BOGGS, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
 - KELLY OVERSTREET JOHNSON, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
 - LORRAINE CHRISTINE HOFFMAN, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
 - ERIC TURNER, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a blue ink fingerprint. The signature is stylized and appears to be the name 'Eric Turner'. The fingerprint is a standard ten-print pattern.

- KENNETH MARVIN, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
- JOY A. BARTMON, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
- JERALD BEER, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
- BROAD & CASSEL, and, all of its Partners, Associates and Of Counsel, in their professional and individual capacities,
- JAMES J. WHEELER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities,
- DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA,
- CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA., [Police Department]
 - DETECTIVE ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities,
 - CHIEF ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities,
- CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities, [now involved in the Estate and Trust matters]
- MATTHEW M. TRIGGS, ESQ. in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer,
- ALBERT T. GORTZ, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities. [now involved in the Estate and Trust matters]

That the Florida judicial system has not only failed Bernstein twice in protecting his properties, life and liberty but it has played a significant role in the alleged criminal acts committed against Petitioner, his family and now perhaps has led to the death of his father, as alleged by Petitioner's brother Ted as a possible "murder." The recent criminal acts committed by Florida Bar attorneys and fiduciaries of the estates and trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein. These

estate and trust crimes part of a fraudulent scheme and an attempt to rob and preclude Petitioner from inheritance, through Post Mortem crimes committed after the passing of his mother and father Shirley and Simon Bernstein through sophisticated complex legal frauds, including multiple Frauds on the Court and Fraud by the Court itself, with irrefutable evidence of criminal acts by lawyers and law firms and now new allegations that Judges are involved on the attempt to fix and silence the crimes of other members of the Florida Bar and others.

That in the original instance of fraud that occurred against Petitioner and his family in the Courts, many of the Florida Supreme Court Justices named herein may recall that Bernstein in early 2000 began pursuing members of the Florida Bar from a case that began with Jorge Labarga and the international law firm Proskauer Rose intimately involved in thefts of technologies valued as “The Holy Grail” and “Priceless” by leading engineers and when Judge LaBarga was a circuit court judge in Palm Beach County and the complaints against the lawyers and judges involved made their way all the way up to the Supreme Court and why many of the Florida Supreme Court Justices are named in all ongoing actions, including the instant matters involving the fraud on the court of Judge Martin Colin and Judge David French, where yet again we find members of the Florida Bar, two Florida judges and several more Florida attorneys at law involved in the criminal acts described herein and again using the Florida Courts to directly

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a circular blue fingerprint. The signature appears to be the initials 'JL' or similar. The number '5' is printed in the center of the fingerprint.

deprive Petitioner and his family of their rights and further retaliate against Petitioner to directly attempt to stop his pursuit of his Intellectual Property rights and more.

These matters are brought expressly to the forefront of this case so matters of conflicts of interest may be properly adjudicated even in the hearing of the instant petitions for writs and other relief and for consideration as to whether the entirety of these matters should be transferred to a jurisdiction outside the State of Florida and other proper relief.

**PETITION FOR ALL WRITS, WRIT OF PROHIBITION, WRIT OF
MANDAMUS AND PETITION TO STAY CASES AND TEMPORARILY
RESTRAIN SALE, TRANSFER, DISPOSITION OF ANY ASSET AND
FOR PRESERVATION OF ALL EVIDENCE**

Now comes ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN (“PETITIONER”) who respectfully petitions and pleads and shows this court as follows:

- I. This is a Petition for All Writs and is a Writ of Mandamus, Writ of Prohibition and a Temporary Restraining Order-Stay prohibiting any transfer, sale or disposition of any assets herein under the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein and Trusts of PETITIONER’S minor children and further requiring the parties to preserve any and all evidence, documents, records, notes, statements,

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a blue ink fingerprint. The signature appears to be 'E. Bernstein'. The fingerprint is a standard ten-print pattern.

properties and materials relating to these Estate and Trust matters in all cases stated in the caption.

2. It is respectfully submitted that Hon. Judge Martin Colin (“COLIN”) has failed to perform mandatory duties under Florida law by failing to mandatorily Disqualify himself under the Judicial Canons and as required by law by instead issuing a “Recusal” Order sua sponte within 24 hours of Denying the Disqualification motion “as legally insufficient” and then after “Recusal” acted outside of his jurisdiction to poison and prejudice these cases by communicating with other Judges to transfer the cases while acting as a “material witness” to fraud upon and in his own court. In so doing Judge Martin Colin has acted in excess of his jurisdiction and outside the law and must be prohibited by the writ herein. Because the Orders of Judge Colin who should have mandatorily Disqualified are a nullity and void and must be officially voided, there are no valid and proper Orders under which the parties are acting and thus the parties herein and each case listed in the caption shall be temporarily restrained from any further transfers, sale, disposition or compromise of any asset herein pending proper determinations of authority to act, proper determinations of who is and should be Trustee, the Personal Representative and what Dispositive documents prevail and other substantive orders in the case.

BASIS FOR INVOKING JURISDICTION



3. This is an Original Proceeding filed in the Florida Supreme Court pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 9.100(b) and 9.030 for extraordinary writs.

4. Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure Provides:

Original Jurisdiction. The Supreme Court may issue writs of prohibition to courts and all writs necessary to the complete exercise of its jurisdiction, and may issue writs of mandamus and quo warranto to state officers and state agencies. The supreme court or any justice may issue writs of habeas corpus returnable before the supreme court or any justice, a district court of appeal or any judge thereof, or any circuit judge.

5. This Court has jurisdiction to issue writs of mandamus, prohibition and any other writ within the exercise of its judicial authority. See *McFadden vs. Fourth Dist. Court of Appeal*, 682 So.2d 1068 (Fla. 1996).

6. Florida Rule of Appellate procedure 9.100(h) provides:

Order to Show Cause. If the petition demonstrates a preliminary basis for relief, a departure from the essential requirements of law that will cause material injury for which there is no adequate remedy by appeal, or that review of final administrative action would not provide an adequate remedy, the court may issue an order either directing the respondent to show cause, within the time set by the court, why relief should not be granted or directing the respondent to otherwise file, within the time set by the court, a response to the petition. In prohibition proceedings, the issuance of an order directing the respondent to show cause shall stay further proceedings in the lower tribunal.

7. On May 14, 2015, Petitioner filed a “VERIFIED SWORN EMERGENCY PETITION AND AFFIDAVIT FOR IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION OF



JUDGE MARTIN COLIN” (EXHIBIT A) and now seeks Mandamus to compel Hon. Judge Martin Colin to strike his Order Denying the Petition (EXHIBIT B) for mandatory Disqualification as “legally insufficient,” and further strike his Order (EXHIBIT C) for Sua Sponte Recusal ordered the day after denying the Petition for Disqualification and then enter an Order of Disqualification as required by law. Petitioners also seek Prohibition which is also appropriate to prevent Judge Colin from further acting in excess of lawful authority and outside his jurisdiction as Judge Colin acted unlawfully in denying the Motion for Mandatory Disqualification as “legally insufficient” and by his own Sua Sponte Recusal Order issued within 24 hours thereafter showed he had continued to act outside the law and further tainting and poisoning the case by communicating with two other local Judges which ultimately lead the action which is immersed in fraudulent filings, fraudulent documents and fraud on the court to somehow be Transferred to one Hon. Judge Coates who himself was previously a Partner working at Proskauer Rose, an international law firm whose conduct and actions are clearly implicated in these cases in the Probate Courts of Florida. In fact, Judge Coates who gets these Probate cases after Judge Colin acts to poison these cases with other Florida Judge, worked in the office of Proskauer Rose right across the hall from Petitioner here in Boca Raton, Florida during key times at issue in the underlying actions.

A blue ink signature is written over a circular fingerprint scan. The signature is stylized and appears to be the initials 'GC'.

**IMMINENT AND IMMEDIATE PENDING ACTIONS MAKING
PROHIBITION, STAY AND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
APPROPRIATE**

8. Prohibition and further Stay and Temporary Restraining Order is further appropriate since the unlawful acts of Judge Colin in denying Disqualification and instead issuing "Recusal" could have the effect of leading the parties herein to further act in fraud such as an immediately imminent illegal Sale of the deceased Simon Bernstein home in Boca Raton, Florida pursuant to an illegal Order of Sale by Judge Colin which should have been vacated as a nullity upon his mandatory disqualification, yet despite being a legal nullity and there being no lawful authority to act, the parties acting in fraud could infer this Sale still proper to move forward and thus must be Stayed and temporarily restrained pending further hearings and determinations. Of fundamental relevance herein and as set out in the mandatory Disqualification motion of Judge Colin, actions were permitted to continue in fraud in his courts for nearly 2.5 years yet Judge Colin had never held a hearing to determine a proper Trustee of the Trusts, no hearing for the meaning and proper construction of the Trusts , and likewise never held a hearing to determine the validity of any Will or Trust nor the Personal Representative of either estate and instead Judge Colin's Court simply permitted parties intertwined in the Fraud such as Ted Bernstein to continue to act illegally selling off property, stealing personal property and making other dispositions and



now the illegal sale of the deceased Simon Bernstein home by Ted Bernstein is imminently scheduled for sale by tomorrow, June 10, 2015. It is noted that in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein alone which was first filed in 2011, there has been no Trust accountings in over 4 years.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

9. The mandatory disqualification of Judge Colin herein came in the Estate cases of my parents, Shirley and Simon Bernstein, with Shirley predeceasing Simon in Dec. 2010 and Simon passing in Sept. 2012. According to the “official” Court records to date, Judge Colin presided over the Estate of Shirley Bernstein while initially Judge French presided over the Estate of Simon Bernstein although eventually Judge Colin begins making rulings and taking action in both cases. At the time of Simon Bernstein’s passing in 2012, his eldest son Ted Bernstein was claiming possible murder of his father at the hospital in Boca Raton, and proceeded to take steps to claim possible murder with the Coroner, members at the hospital and eventually the Palm Beach County Sheriff’s Office back at the home of Simon Bernstein shortly after he was declared deceased. Since that time, valuable personal property items and jewelry which itself was worth more than a million dollars has gone missing and unaccounted for, Simon’s home computer and hard drives had been wiped clean, Shirley’s condo on the beach was sold off illegally, while multiple key and critical documents like Trusts and other business



documents went “missing” and/or not produced by the involved attorneys and fiduciaries. Simon Bernstein had been in the insurance business some 50 years or so and a fair approximate combined worth of both estates could be \$50 to \$100 million.

10. This estimate of combined value does not consider the “missing Iviewit stock” wherein the international law firm of Proskauer Rose was directly involved with Simon Bernstein and the Bernstein family trust and estate planning where the “missing Iviewit stock” alone could send the value of the Estates into the billions of dollars.
11. Yet, despite significant estate and trust planning to provide for Petitioners minor children, Joshua, Jacob and Daniel Bernstein, under Judge Colin the minor children have not only been kicked out of the St. Andrew’s school for non-payment of education bills despite Shirley and Simon having planned for them to attend this school through graduation (including fully funded college plans) and provide for their welfare, but the minor children have even faced risk of having electricity cut off while the children’s home has already had the home security system cut off and other bills remaining unpaid while Ted Bernstein and others have secreted away monies, properties and documents and records while Judge Colin acted as Probate Judge.



12

12. Thus, Petitioner herein, Eliot I. Bernstein, filed a detailed and specified Motion for mandatory Disqualification of Judge Colin on or about May 14, 2015. The motion satisfied all requirements under the law and rules pertaining to mandatory Disqualification under the Canons of Judicial Conduct and was proper in all respects. The motion, which is annexed hereto, set out mandatory Disqualification under several provisions (Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.330, Florida Statute 38, and Florida Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3(B)7, 3(B)5, 3E(1), 3(E)1a, 3(E)1b and 3(E)1b(iv)) pertaining to (a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer; (b) or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding; (c) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.
13. While Petitioner set out a proper legally sufficient motion to mandate Disqualification under all three grounds, most troubling and critical for purposes of the Writ of Prohibition as it relates to Judge Colin's conduct acting in excess and outside jurisdiction is the continuing to act and interfere in proper adjudication of the cases with other judges while being a material witness to the ongoing and continuing frauds in his courts and on his court. See, COLIN Sua Sponte Recusal issued within 24 hours of illegal denial of mandatory disqualification motion.



13

14. It is noted that at the time this mandatory disqualification motion had been filed, Judge Colin had already permitted the cases to continue for nearly 2.5 years without ever holding a hearing to determine who the proper Trustees were, who proper Personal Representatives of the Estate were and are, what the construction and meaning of the Trusts and Estates should be all the while permitting parties such as Ted Bernstein and attorneys Tescher and Spallina who are involved in the direct frauds upon his court to nonetheless continue acting permitting properties to be illegally sold, substantial monies and assets transferred and disposed of while denying Petitioner and Petitioner's minor children rights of inheritancy causing substantial financial and related harm.
15. Such Disqualification motion was filed against the further backdrop of a case wherein the Trustee being illegally allowed to act, Ted Bernstein, had such concerns and suspicions that deceased Simon Bernstein (his father) may have been murdered that he sought action by the Coroner, action to get an independent autopsy and a complaint to the Palm Beach County Sheriff's all within a short amount of hours after Simon Bernstein passed.
16. The Motion for Mandatory Disqualification was filed nearly two years after Petitioner had first filed an Emergency Motion in both the Estate cases of Shirley and Simon Bernstein showing direct fraud on the Court of Judge Colin by the filings of Attorneys Donald R. Tescher, Esq. and Robert L. Spallina, Esq. dating

back to at least October of 2012. By the time the May 2015 Disqualification was filed herein, a paralegal Notary Public Kimberly Moran who was employed by Tescher and Spallina had already been under investigation and later charged and convicted in Notary Fraud involving the same filings made by the Attorney Tescher and Spallina law firm in Oct. 2012. Attorney Spallina, himself, later admitted to the Palm Beach Sheriff of fraudulent actions by himself personally in conspiracy with his partner Tescher involving one of the Trusts (2008 Shirley Bernstein Trust), wherein attorney Spallina admitted to fraudulently changing such 2008 Trust of Shirley Bernstein to change the beneficiaries of this Trust to benefit both Ted Bernstein and Pam Bernstein Simon.

17. Yet Judge Colin, despite stating on the Record on Sept. 13, 2013 that Miranda warnings were appropriate for Ted Bernstein and his attorneys Tescher and Spallina and others, continued to allow the parties to move forward in fraud and held no hearings to correct the frauds and took no actions to refer the attorneys Spallina and Tescher to proper authorities. This was the first hearing held after I filed a detailed Emergency Motion in May of 2013 detailing the fraud upon Judge Colin's Court and other improprieties and requests for relief.
18. While Judge Colin's full involvement in the frauds is presently unknown, it is clear that he was made directly aware of the frauds by Petitioner's Emergency motion filing in May, 2013, if not directly aware or involved earlier. It presently

15

remains unclear the extent to which Judge Colin's acts post sua sponte recusal have further poisoned the fair adjudication of the cases herein.

MANDAMUS

19. A Writ OF Mandamus is appropriate and required to direct JUDGE COLIN to vacate his prior illegal ORDERS, specifically the Sua Sponte Order of Recusal and Order Denying the motion for Disqualification as "legally insufficient" and to further enter an Order of Disqualification and Vacating all other Orders in the case. The writ of mandamus is appropriately used to require a government actor to perform a nondiscretionary duty or obligation that he or she has a clear legal duty to perform. See *Austin v. Crosby*, 866 So. 2d 742, 743 (Fla. 5th D.C.A. 2004) (holding that mandamus may only be granted if there is a clear legal obligation to perform a duty in a prescribed manner). It applies to enforce a right already established. *Austin*, 866 So. 2d at 744. The writ of mandamus will issue to require a trial court to comply with the mandate of an appellate court. *Superior Garlic Int'l, Inc. v. E&A Produce Corp.*, 29 Fla. L. Weekly D2341 (Fla. 3d D.C.A. Oct. 20, 2004).
20. "Mandamus is a common law remedy used to enforce an established legal right by compelling a person in an official capacity to perform an indisputable ministerial duty required by law." *Poole v. City of Port Orange*, 33 So. 3d 739, 741 (Fla. 5th DCA 2010) (citing *Puckett v. Gentry*, 577 So. 2d 965, 967 (Fla. 5th



16

DCA 1991)). “A duty or act is ministerial when there is no room for the exercise of discretion, and the performance being required is directed by law.” *Austin v. Crosby*, 866 So. 2d 742, 744 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).”


21. “Mandamus is a common law remedy used to enforce an established legal right by compelling a person in an official capacity to perform an indisputable ministerial duty required by law.” *Poole v. City of Port Orange*, 33 So. 3d 739, 741 (Fla. 5th DCA 2010) (citing *Puckett v. Gentry*, 577 So. 2d 965, 967 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991)). “A duty or act is ministerial when there is no room for the exercise of discretion, and the performance being required is directed by law.” *Austin v. Crosby*, 866 So. 2d 742, 744 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

22. Petitioner’s motion for Disqualification clearly shows it was properly filed according to law and was facially valid and sufficient and thus Petitioner has established a clear legal right to Disqualification by Judge Colin and mandamus is thus appropriate to enforce this right. The only question before this Court is whether Petitioner met this burden in the filing of the mandatory Disqualification of May 2015 and this Petition and such original Disqualification motion (EXHIBIT A) clearly shows the burden was met by Petitioner thus making mandamus appropriate at this time.

A blue ink signature is written over a blue ink fingerprint. The signature is a stylized, cursive name that is difficult to decipher. The fingerprint is a standard ten-print impression, showing clear ridge patterns.

DISQUALIFICATION MOTION SHOWED JUDGE COLIN AS A MATERIAL FACT WITNESS TO FRAUDULENT FILINGS BY ATTORNEYS ROBERT SPALLINA AND DONALD TESCHER USING A NOW DECEASED SIMON BERNSTEIN TO CLOSE THE ESTATE OF HIS WIFE, SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN, WHO PREDECEASED HIM

23. The Disqualification motion clearly demonstrated Judge Colin as a material fact witness in relation to the fraud by Attorneys Spallina and Tescher specifically in relation to an Oct. 24, 2012 filing wherein Attorney Spallina files multiple documents allegedly signed by then Deceased Simon Bernstein nearly 6 months before, yet filing these documents in Judge Colin's Court in the Estate of Shirley Bernstein as if Simon was present and still alive, thus using a Deceased person to attempt to close the Estate of Shirley Bernstein. One of the documents filed at this time is an April 9, 2012 Petition for Discharge which was signed before attorney Robert Spallina allegedly by Simon Bernstein. In addition to this document being fraud as purporting in October of 2012 to be filed by Simon who was now deceased, the document had further fraud in the document such as alleging Waivers by the Simon Bernstein children had been performed by such date and yet these Waivers were not completed as of April 9, 2012. These Waivers which were not completed as of April 9, 2012 are other documents later admitted by the Tescher Spallina employee and Notary Kimberly Moran to have been forged. The Disqualification motion further shows Judge Colin and his Court Officer having



18

Ex Parte contact with Attorney Spallina two weeks later on Nov. 5, 2012 but not even this Ex Parte communication is docketed until the next day, Nov. 6, 2012.

An excerpt of the Disqualification motion shows just some of the material fact issues relating to the scheme of fraud in Judge Colin's court as follows from paragraph 19:

19. This lack of impartiality by Judge Colin and his Court is further compounded by the facts shown by the face of the Court's own Docket and files that it took at least overnight to even Docket the Nov. 5, 2012 Ex Parte Memo on Nov. 6th, 2012 which leads right in and goes hand in hand with the other mandatory grounds for Disqualification on his own initiative for now having knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts involving the proceeding and being likely to be called as a material and-or fact witness, as it is unknown:

- a. Were the Oct. 24, 2012 Filings filed in person and if so by whom?;
- b. If filed in person is Case Manager Astride Limouzin the person who "received" the filings for the Court or is she just the go between with Spallina office and Judge Colin on the Ex Parte Memo?
- c. Who communicated on the file with Judge Colin? Just Limouzin or any other Clerks and Case Managers?
- d. If filed by Mail then by whom and where is the correspondence and envelopes that the filings arrived in to show who signed the correspondence and mailed them if so? ;
- e. If filed by mail then where are the envelopes and correspondence or has this evidence been destroyed?
- f. Why such a long delay between when the Nov. 5th 2012 Ex Parte Memo was created and then Docketed on Nov. 6, 2012?
- g. How was the Memo transmitted to Spallina office? By fax, by mail? Were any phone calls made by the Court or Court Clerks and Case Managers? Any other Ex Parte communications?
- h. Why was the Nov. 5th, 2012 Memo done Ex Parte and not Communicated to all parties with standing in Shirley's case not only for purposes of avoiding impartiality but also to timely apprise the parties of said filings and defects?



- i. Did Judge Colin review the documents?
- j. Did Judge Colin know if Simon was deceased and when did he know? Who told him?

24. Note: These are not an exhaustive list of material fact questions surrounding these fraudulent filings and actions but were sufficient for the mandatory Disqualification as set out in the May 2015 motion.

25. The Disqualification motion in Exhibit A shows other legally proper and valid grounds for disqualification based upon reasonable fear of bias and lack of impartiality and is detailed in the grounds. It is petitioned to this Court that this May 2015 Disqualification motion is not an exhaustive list of the errors and grounds for Disqualification of Judge Colin but was clearly legally sufficient at the time and Judge Colin and Mandamus should now be issued.

26. As a further except of the May 2015 Disqualification motion, the following is presented:

20. Finally, in his own words in the first day of the hearing to sell the house on March 26, 2015, Colin stated that he first had to have hearings to remove Ted, hearings for trust construction to determine validity and investigation of wrongdoings beyond Tescher and Spallina before being able to proceed further and yet with none of those things were achieved and at the next hearing he allows the sale of the house ignoring his prior statement:

13 MR. ROSE: We didn't share the appraisal
14 because, frankly, we were concerned it would be
15 public and that would defeat their chance of
16 selling it.

17 THE COURT: I'm not -- look, nothing is easy
18 here. It's not going to get easier until we can

19 get hearings where I can start to knock off some
20 of the issues, which is what I have been saying
21 now like a broken record.
22 At some point, either Eliot is going to be
23 sustained on his positions or he's going to be
24 overruled, but one way or the other, we can put
25 some of this stuff to rest. The problem is we're
1 doing all of this business with some of the metes [matters]
2 of the case still up in the air where I haven't
3 been able to adjudicate; the claims that Ted
4 should be removed; the claims that there's
5 wrongdoing beyond Spallina and Tescher, the trust
6 is not valid. I mean, give me a chance to rule on
7 that, because once I rule on that, then the matter
8 is over with on those and you'll know one way or
9 the other what to do.

27. Yet, despite Judge Colin proclaiming on the Record that he had to have hearings on whether Ted should be trustee and what the proper construction of the instruments are, Judge Colin proceeds to allow the Simon Bernstein home to be sold by Ted Bernstein in the next hearing and falsely proclaims this to be an “arms-length” transaction despite never having testimony from the alleged buyer of the home nor disclosing the identity of the buyer. See, Exhibit A Disqualification motion. This comes after Judge Colin has already allowed Ted Bernstein to sell a condo of Shirley Bernstein’s allegedly as the successor Trustee of Shirley’s Trust and yet it is the precise Shirley’s Trust of 2008 that attorney Spallina had admitted to fraudulently altering making such admission to the Palm Beach County Sheriff’s Office on or about Jan. 2014, nearly a year and a half before, without Judge Colin ever holding a hearing on these issues. It is further



24

noted that Ted Bernstein is acting almost solely upon the acts of Tescher and Spallina who were clearly shown by this time to have been engaged in massive fraud upon the Court, yet Colin permits Ted Bernstein to continue to act.

28. COLIN had a statutory duty and was mandated by judicial canons to disqualify himself on his own initiative years before his Sua Sponte Recusal on May 20, 2015 and after PETITIONER filed a Petition to Disqualify on May 14, 2015 that was legally sufficient within Fla. Stat. 38.10 and Fla. Rules Jud. Admin 2.330 and Judicial Canons.

29. That Petitioner, being Pro Se, also motioned COLIN several times to disqualify on his own initiative as required under statutes and Judicial Canons and COLIN failed to rule on the motion and disqualify himself.

30. The Florida Code of Judicial Conduct Canon 3 provides states:

A Judge SHALL disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where: (a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning the party or a party's lawyers.

31. Disqualification is mandatory under Florida Rule of Judicial Administration Rule 2.330 and Florida Statute 38.10. In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the

judge must be disqualified." *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994).


Positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847 (1988); *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610 (1960);

32. Should a judge not disqualify himself, the judge is in violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.") "[A] fundamental requirement of due process is the opportunity to be heard . . . at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." *Armstrong v. Manzo*, 380 U.S. 545, 552 (1965) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). *Garraghty v. Va. Dep't of Corr.*, 52 F.3d 1274, 1282 (4th Cir. 1995); *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976);

33. Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his "appearance of partiality" which further disqualifies the judge. Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996).

34. Disqualification is Mandatory under the Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3

"A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently" Section E. Disqualification.



23

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where: (d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person: (iv) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.”

35. The issues before this Court are the failure of COLIN to mandatorily Disqualify and the “**legal sufficiency**” of the motion to Disqualify filed by PETITIONER and more importantly the failure of COLIN to mandatorily disqualify on his own initiative versus waiting for PRO SE PETITIONER to file sufficient pleadings. In order to demonstrate legal sufficiency, PETITIONER needed to show:

...a well-grounded fear that he will not receive a fair [hearing] at the hands of the judge. **It is not a question of how the judge feels; it is a question of what feeling resides in the affiant's mind and the basis for such feeling.**’

State ex rel. Brown v. Dewell, 131 Fla. 566, 573, 179 So. 695, 697- 98 (1938). *See also Hayslip v. Douglas*, 400 So. 2d 553 (Fla. 4th DCA 1981). **The question of disqualification focuses on those matters from which a litigant may reasonably question a judge's impartiality rather than the judge's perception of his ability to act fairly and impartially.** *State v. Livingston*, 441 So. 2d 1083, 1086 (Fla. 1983) (emphasis added). In a case where the PETITIONER'S liberty is at stake, the court “should be especially sensitive to the basis for the fear.” *Chastine v. Broome*, 629 So. 2d 293, 294 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993). The circumstances of this case are of such a nature that they are “sufficient to warrant fear on PETITIONER'S part] that he would not receive a fair hearing by the assigned



24

judge.” *Suarez v. Dugger*, 527 So. 2d 191, 192 (Fla. 1988).

36. For all the reasons set forth herein and by the attached Disqualification Motion of May 2015 in Exhibit A and upon all the proceedings, documents and records herein, Mandamus must now issue for Judge Colin to strike the prior Sua sponte Order of Recusal, strike the Order denying the Disqualification motion as legally insufficient, and void all Orders in the case from Nov. 2012 forward at minimum.

PROHIBITION

37. The writ of prohibition is issued when a judge improperly denies a motion for recusal or disqualification and appropriately directs the Judge to refrain from exceeding its jurisdiction. *Carroll v. Fla. State Hosp.*, 885 So. 2d 485 (Fla. 1st D.C.A. 2004) (noting that prohibition is the appropriate way to review a trial judge’s order denying a motion to disqualify).
38. WRIT OF PROHIBITION is proper to prevent an inferior court or tribunal from improperly exercising jurisdiction over a controversy and if a petition for a writ of prohibition demonstrates a preliminary basis for entitlement to relief, the court can issue an order to show cause why relief should not be granted. Once a show cause order issues in prohibition, it automatically stays the lower court proceeding. Fla. R. App. P. 9.100(h).

39. The writ of prohibition is issued when a judge improperly denies a motion for recusal or disqualification and appropriately directs the Judge to refrain from exceeding its jurisdiction. *Carroll v. Fla. State Hosp.*, 885 So. 2d 485 (Fla. 1st D.C.A. 2004) (noting that prohibition is the appropriate way to review a trial judge's order denying a motion to disqualify).
40. That COLIN influencing the matters after recusal appears further obstruction and may have given Proskauer inside information and records with intent and scienter in further efforts to derail PETITIONER'S rights.

The Court further stated:

In *Metropolitan Dade County v. Martinsen*, 736 So. 2d 794, 795 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999), this Court restated the well-settled principle "that a party who has been guilty of fraud or misconduct in the prosecution or defense of a civil proceeding should not be permitted to continue to employ the very institution it has subverted to achieve her ends." *Hanono v. Murphy*, 723 So. 2d 892, 895 (Fla. 3d DCA 1998) (citing *Carter v. Carter*, 88 So. 2d 153, 157 (Fla. 1956)).

41. This is the exact same divisive and devious conduct exhibited herein – these state actors are employing the very institution they have subverted to achieve their ends.
42. Thus, in this case, Judge Colin proceeded to poison the further hearing and adjudication of the cases in Florida by having ex parte communications with other Judges of the Florida Courts while he should have been disqualified as a material witness to the Tescher Spallina Moran frauds and for other grounds. Yet, this

26



process of poisoning the case with other Judges leads to the case being directed to one Judge Coates who himself was a Proskauer Rose partner out of the Boca Raton, Florida office right across the hall from Petitioner herein when Proskauer and related parties were stealing away patents and technologies valued in the hundreds of billions (if not trillions) over the lifetime of the IP and heralded by leading engineers and experts as the “holy grail” of the internet.


43. Proskauer Rose, themselves, had in fact “billed” for Estate and Trust work involving Simon Bernstein and Petitioner’s minor children in Billings that came out in a prior action here in Florida heard before Judge Labarga.

See, Proskauer v. Iviewit Lawsuit – Proskauer Legal Bills
@
<http://www.iviewit.tv/20040404ProskauerBillsIviewit.pdf>

06/29/99 M ROBBINS 3.00 Draft and preparation of memoranda to Gortz; Revisions to The Jacob Bernstein 1999 Trust subscription agreement, See Proskauer Rose Billing Lawsuit

09/27/99 M ROBBINS .50 Inter-office conference with G. Karibjanian re: trusts and waiver of permitted transferee provision of S. Bernstein's subscription agreement.

09/28/99 M ROBBINS 1.25 Meeting with Simon Bernstein re: transfer of shares to trusts. Send LLC Agreement to Simon Bernstein. Inter-office conferences with G Karibjanian re: transfer of shares to trusts. Preparation of e-mail to G. Karibjanian retransfer of shares to trusts.



27

44. Judge Colin had already been petitioned and advised about the “elephant in the room” being the Proskauer Rose involvement and missing Iviewit stock and patent fraud by the May 2013 Emergency Motion attached herein and further set out in the May 2015 Disqualification motion. .
45. Attorneys Spallina and Tescher had already filed a Will of Simon Bernstein on or about Oct. 2, 2012 shortly after Simon’s passing that was prepared by Proskauer Rose and thus, clearly Simon Bernstein’s passing was noted in the State of Florida Palm Beach County Court System prior to the Oct. 24, 2012 fraud by Spallina and Tescher when now deceased Simon Bernstein is being used to “close” Shirley Bernstein’s Estate and certainly Simon’s passing was registered in the Florida Probate Court system at the time of the subsequent Nov. 2012 Ex Parte communication to Spallina by Judge Colin’s case assistant on behalf of Judge Colin.
46. Yet, even in “resigning” from the case by the sua sponte recusal Judge Colin continued to poison proceedings and a writ of prohibition must now issue along with protective Orders as requested.

ALL ORDERS OF JUDGE COLIN ARE A NULLITY AND ARE VOID

47. Where a judge fails to disqualify, there is no jurisdiction to act and any order issued is illegal and void. *Kilbourn v. Thompson*, 103 U.S. 168 (1881). In *Kilbourn*, the Sergeant-at-Arms of the United States House of Representatives

48

was held not to have immunity for ordering that the PLAINTIFF be arrested under a warrant issued by the House for refusing to testify because they lacked jurisdiction to issue such an order. *Id.* The court held that the House *did not have jurisdiction* to conduct the particular investigation. The Sergeant at Arms was liable for false arrest and could not assert the issuance of the warrant as a defense. *Id.* An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue. See *Pennoyer v. Neff* (1877) 95 US 714; *Windsor v. McVeigh* (1876) 93 US 274; A void judgment is no judgment at all and "a court must vacate any judgment entered in excess of its jurisdiction." *Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27*, 453 F.2d 645 (1st Cir. 1972). *Kalb v. Feuerstein* (1940) 308 US 433.

48. "A void judgment does not create any binding obligation. *Kalb v. Feuerstein* (1940) 308 US 433. If a court grants relief, which, under the circumstances, it hasn't any authority to grant, its judgment is to that extent void." An illegal order is forever void. A void order is *void ab initio* and does not have to be declared void by a judge. The law is established by the *U.S. Supreme Court in Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, (1920) as well as other state courts, in *People v. Miller*. "Courts are constituted by authority and they cannot go beyond that power delegated to them. If they act beyond that authority, and


certainly in contravention of it, their judgments and orders are regarded as nullities...” *Valley v. Northern Fire and Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348.

49. Thus, because Judge Colin should have disqualified and acted outside his jurisdiction, all such Orders of Judge Colin should now be vacated and voided. Judge Colin himself, even prior to the Sept. 2013 Hearing which occurred after his court was expressly petitioned on the Tescher Spallina fraud by the May 2013 Emergency Motion, must be charged with personally knowing of Simon’s passing by May of 2013 since he issued an Order denying the Emergency motion in BOTH the Estates of Shirley and Simon and thus must have known Simon had passed by that date. It is noted, however, that Judge French had been assigned to Simon’s estate in May of 2013 yet Judge Colin issued the Order denying the Emergency motion. Further, Judge Colin must be chargeable with reading the filings in his own Court by the time he issued the Order closing Shirley’s Estate in Jan. 2013 and thus should have known of Simon’s passing by that time and thus all Orders from Jan. 2013 on must be vacated.

ALL PRIOR ORDERS OF JUDGE COLIN SHOULD BE VACATED AS VOID AND A LEGAL NULLITY

50. “Procedural due process promotes fairness in government decisions by requiring the government to follow appropriate procedures when its agents decide to deprive any person of life, liberty or property.” *John Corp. v. City of Houston*, 214 F.3d 573, 577 (5th Cir. 2000) (internal citations and quotations omitted).

30




“Substantive due process, by barring certain government actions regardless of the fairness of the procedures used to implement them, serves to prevent governmental power from being used for purposes of oppression.” Id. In order to establish either a substantive or procedural due process violation, a plaintiff must first establish the denial of a constitutionally protected property interest. See Bryan v. City of Madison, 213 F.3d 267, 276 (5th Cir. 2000).

LEGAL AUTHORITIES

MANDATORY DISQUALIFICATION

51. COLIN had a statutory duty and was mandated by judicial canons to disqualify himself on his own initiative years before his Sua Sponte Recusal on May 20, 2015 and after PETITIONER filed a Petition to Disqualify on May 14, 2015 that was legally sufficient within Fla. Stat. 38.10 and Fla. Rules Jud. Admin 2.330 and Judicial Canons.
52. That Petitioner, being Pro Se, also motioned COLIN several times to disqualify on his own initiative as required under statutes and Judicial Canons and COLIN failed to rule on the motion and disqualify himself.
53. The Florida Code of Judicial Conduct Canon 3 provides states:

A Judge SHALL disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to




31

instances where: (a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning the party or a party's lawyers.

54. Disqualification is mandatory under Florida Rule of Judicial Administration Rule 2.330 and Florida Statute 38.10. In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that "Disqualification is required if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality. If a judge's attitude or state of mind leads a detached observer to conclude that a fair and impartial hearing is unlikely, the judge must be disqualified." *Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994). Positive proof of the partiality of a judge is not a requirement, only the appearance of partiality. *Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847 (1988); *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610 (1960);
55. Should a judge not disqualify himself, the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996) ("The right to a tribunal free from bias or prejudice is based, not on section 144, but on the Due Process Clause.") "[A] fundamental requirement of due process is the opportunity to be heard . . . at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." *Armstrong v. Manzo*, 380 U.S. 545, 552 (1965) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). *Garraghty v. Va. Dep't of Corr.*, 52 F.3d 1274, 1282 (4th Cir. 1995); *Mathews v. Eldridge*, 424 U.S. 319, 335 (1976);

56. Judges do not have discretion not to disqualify themselves. By law, they are bound to follow the law. Should a judge not disqualify himself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his “appearance of partiality” which further disqualifies the judge. Should a judge not disqualify himself, then the judge is violation of the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution. *United States v. Sciuto*, 521 F.2d 842, 845 (7th Cir. 1996).
57. Disqualification is Mandatory under the Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3 “A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently” Section E. Disqualification. (1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where: (d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person: (iv) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.”
58. The issues before this Court are the failure of COLIN to mandatorily Disqualify and the “legal sufficiency” of the motion to Disqualify filed by PETITIONER and more importantly the failure of COLIN to mandatorily disqualify on his own initiative versus waiting for PRO SE PETITIONER to file sufficient pleadings. In order to demonstrate legal sufficiency, PETITIONER needed to show:



38

...a well-grounded fear that he will not receive a fair [hearing] at the hands of the judge. It is not a question of how the judge feels; it is a question of what feeling resides in the affiant's mind and the basis for such feeling.'

State ex rel. Brown v. Dewell, 131 Fla. 566, 573, 179 So. 695, 697- 98 (1938). See also Hayslip v. Douglas, 400 So. 2d 553 (Fla. 4th DCA 1981). The question of disqualification focuses on those matters from which a litigant may reasonably question a judge's impartiality rather than the judge's perception of his ability to act fairly and impartially. State v. Livingston, 441 So. 2d 1083, 1086 (Fla. 1983) (emphasis added). In a case where the PETITIONER'S liberty is at stake, the court "should be especially sensitive to the basis for the fear." Chastine v. Broome, 629 So. 2d 293, 294 (Fla. 4th DCA 1993). The circumstances of this case are of such a nature that they are "sufficient to warrant fear on PETITIONER'S part] that he would not receive a fair hearing by the assigned judge." Suarez v. Dugger, 527 So. 2d 191, 192 (Fla. 1988).

59. PETITIONER and his minor children are entitled to a full and fair proceeding, including a fair determination of the issues by a neutral, detached judge. Holland v. State, 503 So. 2d 1354 (Fla. 1987); Easter v. Endell, 37 F.3d 1343 (8th Cir. 1994). Due process guarantees the right to a neutral, detached judiciary in order "to convey to the individual a feeling that the government has dealt with him fairly, as well as to minimize the risk of mistaken deprivations of protected

interests.” Carey v. Piphus, 435 U.S. 247, 262 (1978). Principles of due process demand that this case be heard by another judge selected without COLIN’S prejudice and for COLIN to disqualify himself and remove his Orders issued outside his jurisdiction and outside the color of law:

The Due Process Clause entitles a person to an impartial and disinterested tribunal in both civil and criminal cases. This requirement of neutrality in adjudicative proceedings safeguards the two central concerns of procedural due process, the prevention of unjustified or mistaken deprivations and the promotion of participation and dialogue by affected individuals in the decision making process. See Carey v. Piphus, 435 U.S. 247, 259-262, 266- 267 (1978). The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law. See Matthews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 344 (1976). At the same time, it preserves both the appearance and reality of fairness, ‘generating the feeling, so important to a popular government, that justice has been done,’ Joint Anti-Fascist Committee v. McGrath, 341 U.S. 123, 172, (1951) (Frankfurter, J., concurring), by ensuring that no person will be deprived of his interests in the absence of a proceeding in which he may present his case with assurance that the arbiter is not predisposed to find against him. Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc., 446 U.S. 238, 242 (1980).



60. The disqualification rules require judges to avoid even the appearance of impropriety and COLIN'S self-dealing actions after knowing he would be a material and fact witness to crimes that occurred in his court by officers and fiduciaries he appointed, in which his own actions became questionable, establishes a prima facie case of appearance of impropriety:

It is the established law of this State that every litigant...is entitled to nothing less than the cold neutrality of an impartial judge. It is the duty of the court to scrupulously guard this right of the litigant and to refrain from attempting to exercise jurisdiction in any manner where his qualification to do so is seriously brought into question. The exercise of any other policy tends to discredit and place the judiciary in a compromising attitude which is bad for the administration of justice. Crosby v. State, 97 So.2d 181 (Fla. 1957); State ex rel. Davis v. Parks, 141 Fla. 516, 194 So. 613 (1939); Dickenson v. Parks, 104 Fla. 577, 140 So. 459 (1932); State ex rel. Mickle v. Rowe, 100 Fla. 1382, 131 So. 3331 (1930).

* *

The prejudice of a judge is a delicate question for a litigant to raise but when raised as a bar to the trial of a cause, if predicated on grounds with a modicum of reason, the judge in question should be prompt to recuse himself. No judge under any circumstances is warranted in sitting in the trial of a cause whose neutrality is shadowed or even questioned. Dickenson v. Parks, 104 Fla. 577, 140



36

So. 459 (1932); State ex rel. Aguiar v. Chappell, 344 So.2d 925 (Fla. 3d DCA 1977).

61. The United States Supreme Court has stated:

...the inquiry must be not only whether there was actual bias on respondent's part, but also whether there was 'such a likelihood of bias or an appearance of bias that the judge was unable to hold the balance between vindicating the interests of the court and the interests of the accused.' Ungar v. Sarafite, 376 U.S. 575, 588 (1964). 'Such a stringent rule may sometimes bar trial by judges who have no actual bias and who would do their very best to weigh the scales of justice equally between contending parties,' but due process of law requires no less. In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133, 136, 75 S.Ct. 623, 625, 99 L.Ed. 942 (1955). Taylor v. Hayes, 418 U.S. 488, 501 (1974) (emphasis added).

62. The appearance of impropriety violates state and federal constitutional rights to due process. A fair hearing before an impartial tribunal is a basic requirement of due process. See In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133 (1955). "Every litigant is entitled to nothing less than the cold neutrality of an impartial judge." State ex rel. Mickle v. Rowe, 131 So. 331, 332 (Fla. 1930). Absent a fair tribunal, there can be no full and fair hearing.

63. The issues before this Court are the dismissal of the Recusal order of Colin in favor of a mandated mandatory disqualification of COLIN and voiding of his



31

prior orders and the question of “legal sufficiency” of the motion filed by PETITIONER; there is no deference owed to the lower court. *Smith v. Santa Rosa Island Authority*, 729 So. 2d 944, 946 (Fla. 1st DCA 1998). The test for determining the legal sufficiency of a motion for disqualification is an objective one which asks whether the facts alleged in the motion would place a reasonably prudent person in fear of not receiving a fair and impartial hearing. See *Livingston v. State*, at 1087. The fact that the crimes were committed in COLIN’S court by Officers and Fiduciaries under COLIN’S tutelage requires mandatory disqualification on COLIN’S own initiative and casts “a shadow...upon judicial neutrality so that disqualification [of the circuit] is required.” *Chastine v. Broome*, at 295.

64. In *Partin v Solange et al*, 2015 WL 2089081 (Fla.App. 4 Dist., 2015), the court granted the petition to disqualify stating the lower court judge cut-off petitioners' counsel and expressed his prejudgment of the matter and in another hearing, the lower court judge made acerbic comments about petitioners and exhibited overall hostility toward both petitioners and their counsel. Not only did COLIN engage in this similar egregious conduct towards PETITIONER from the start but his disqualification is also mandated because of his direct involvement and handling of the fraudulently notarized and forged documents posited in his court and other direct involvement in the matters that eroded PETITIONER’S rights to fair and



38

impartial due process under law by retaliating for two years against PETITIONER instead.

65. The Due Process Clause serves to protect use of fair procedures to prevent the wrongful deprivation of interests and is a guarantee of basic fairness. *Johnson v. Mississippi*, 403 U.S. 212, 216 (1971); *Peters v. Kiff*, 407, U.S. 493, 502 (1972). "[A] fundamental requirement of due process is the opportunity to be heard . . . at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." *Armstrong v. Manzo*, 380 U.S. 545, 552 (1965) *Garraghty v. Va. Dep't of Corr.*, 52 F.3d 1274, 1282 (4th Cir. 1995); Denying access to important records, evidence, and witnesses and mistreating PETITIONER and his minor children as a pro se party are violations of Equal Protection and due process of law. Pro se parties are a distinct minority class in judicial proceedings. COLIN should have demanded that the minor children and PETITIONER were represented by counsel, forced bonding of the fiduciaries and officers he appointed involved in the criminal acts, posted bonds for the court, reported the misconduct, removed all parties involved in the fraud instead of allowing them to continue to participate for months and even to this day, disqualified himself and instead COLIN took opposite actions to harm PETITIONER and his minor children and delay their inheritances by continuing the Fraud on the court, Fraud in the court and Fraud by the court, to intentionally

cause catastrophic financial ruin upon PETITIONER and his minor children by continuing to hold fraudulent proceedings and illegally issue orders.

66. None of the orders issued by a judge who has been disqualified or should have been disqualified by law are valid. They are void as a matter of law, and are of no legal force or effect. The orders issued by COLIN are null and void and of no force and effect as they are procured by fraud, without jurisdiction, the result of unlawful rulings, are unconstitutional and violate due process causing criminal Obstruction of Justice.

ALL ORDERS OF JUDGE COLIN ARE A NULLITY AND ARE VOID

67. Where a judge fails to disqualify, there is no jurisdiction to act and any order issued is illegal and void. *Kilbourn v. Thompson*, 103 U.S. 168 (1881). In *Kilbourn*, the Sergeant-at-Arms of the United States House of Representatives was held not to have immunity for ordering that the PLAINTIFF be arrested under a warrant issued by the House for refusing to testify because they lacked jurisdiction to issue such an order. *Id.* The court held that the House did not have jurisdiction to conduct the particular investigation. The Sergeant at Arms was liable for false arrest and could not assert the issuance of the warrant as a defense. *Id.* An order that exceeds the jurisdiction of the court is void, and can be attacked in any proceeding in any court where the validity of the judgment comes into issue. See *Pennoyer v. Neff* (1877) 95 US 714; *Windsor v. McVeigh* (1876) 93



US 274; A void judgment is no judgment at all and "a court must vacate any judgment entered in excess of its jurisdiction." Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27, 453 F.2d 645 (1st Cir. 1972). Kalb v. Feuerstein (1940) 308 US 433.

68. "A void judgment does not create any binding obligation. Kalb v. Feuerstein (1940) 308 US 433. If a court grants relief, which, under the circumstances, it hasn't any authority to grant, its judgment is to that extent void." An illegal order is forever void. A void order is void ab initio and does not have to be declared void by a judge. The law is established by the U.S. Supreme Court in Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Ins. Co., 254 U.S. 348, (1920) as well as other state courts, in People v. Miller. "Courts are constituted by authority and they cannot go beyond that power delegated to them. If they act beyond that authority, and certainly in contravention of it, their judgments and orders are regarded as nullities..." Valley v. Northern Fire and Marine Ins. Co., 254 U.S. 348

I. PETITION TO STAY CASES AND TEMPORARILY RESTRAIN ANY SALE, TRANSFER, DISPOSITION OF ANY ASSET OR PROPERTY AND PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

69. Petitioners must establish the following four elements:

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a circular blue ink fingerprint. The number '41' is printed in the center of the fingerprint.


(1) a substantial likelihood that the plaintiffs will prevail on the merits; (2) a substantial threat that plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury if the injunction is not granted; (3) the threatened injury to plaintiffs outweighs the threatened harm the injunction may do to the defendant; and (4) granting the preliminary injunction will not disserve the public interest. *Church v. City of Huntsville*, 30 F.3d 1332, 1342 (11th Cir.1994).

70. The mandamus petition herein and filed motion for mandatory Disqualification clearly shows said motion was legally sufficient and Judge Colin should have mandatorily disqualified. Thus Petitioners have a substantial likelihood to prevail on this application. In addition to an illegal sale of real property being the home of deceased Simon Bernstein imminently scheduled for sale by June 10, 2015, Petitioners have shown loss of property, loss of records, loss of documents and evidence, loss of trusts and inheritances and other issues of irreparable harm. Granting a temporary stay and injunction against further threatened injury to Petitioners outweighs and harm to Respondent –defendants. Granting a temporary stay is in the public interest until a neutral court can sort out the frauds and conflicts and proper parties and proper trustees and proper trusts and instruments.
71. PETITIONER has suffered at the hands of the Florida court system for thirteen years and has been denied INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES and due process to seek redress as the alleged criminals are almost all attorneys at law in their various capacities as private lawyers, judges, prosecutors and politicians.



42

72. PETITIONER has suffered at the hands of the Florida court system for almost three years since the passing of PETITIONER'S father and has been denied PROPERTIES rightfully his through inheritance and again the criminals are almost all attorneys at law and many are connected to the prior INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES thefts.
73. PETITIONER again cannot get redress or due process in the Florida court system and seeks to have the cases moved from the Florida court system as due to his pursuit of Supreme Court Justices, the Florida Bar and many Florida Lawyers and Law Firms and therefore PETITIONER fears he cannot get a fair and impartial hearing and adequate remedy of law by any party that is a member of the Florida Bar.
74. PETITIONER has battled two years to remove JUDGE COLIN for a situation of Fraud on the Court that was irrefutable and cause for disqualification on several grounds but who refused to follow Judicial Canons and Law and thus has caused severe harms to PETITIONER and his three minor children as the record reflects.
75. Even when "recusing" JUDGE COLIN influenced inappropriately the case knowingly to a former PROSKAUER partner and where PETITIONER was again harmed as the new judges COATES then had access to all the courts records to gain further advantage over PETITIONER. That COLIN and COATES knew of



43

the conflict of interest and that PROSKAUER was a Counter Defendant in the certain of PETITIONER'S Counter Complaints and a party to the matters.

76. That COATES had reviewed the case file and stated on the record that he was NOT CONFLICTED with PETITIONER and the matters until PETITIONER reminded JUDGE COATES that despite his desire to stay on the case that he had JUDICIAL CANONS that could make his retaining the case violate them, whereby JUDGE COATES after several attempts to claim NO CONFLICT suddenly SUA SPONTE recused himself.
77. That due to this nefarious setup of PETITIONER'S cases to further stymie and delay and interfere with PETITIONER'S due process and procedure rights PETITIONER fears that no matter how or who the cases are transferred to in Florida that PETITIONER cannot receive due process and any successor to Judge Coates was part of a forgone plan to derail due process.

II. CONCLUSION AND PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PETITIONER seeks a WRIT OF PROHIBITION to prohibit COLIN from:

- a. Acting in excess of his lawful jurisdiction;
- b. Attempting to enforce the May 20th 2015 SUA SPONTE RECUSAL or ANY OTHER ORDER;



44

- c. Taking any action in this matter other than vacating and voiding all Orders and immediately disqualifying himself;
- d. Prohibition is invoked for the protection of PETITIONER and his minor children, whose safety and well being are in danger if this WRIT is denied for lack of a legal remedy.

WHEREFORE, PETITIONER seeks a WRIT OF MANDAMUS, compelling the COLIN to:

- a. abide by the laws of the State of Florida, Federal law and the United States Constitution and cease acting beyond his jurisdiction immediately;
- b. set aside the May 20th 2015 Order to Recuse as void ab initio immediately and instead disqualify himself and make NO FURTHER ACTION;
- c. set aside the ALL ORDERS as void ab initio immediately;
- d. set aside all other Orders in his Court as *void ab initio* immediately as they are the product of fraud on, in and by the court; and,
- e. immediately disqualify himself from this case and take no further action.



A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a circular fingerprint. The fingerprint is also in blue ink and has the number '45' printed in the center.

WHEREFORE, PETITIONER seeks a 30 day STAY ORDER for all cases in order to move the cases to a prescreened conflict free venue, either state or federal.

- a. IMMEDIATELY SEIZE ALL ASSETS AND PROPERTIES OF THE ESTATES AND TRUSTS of Simon and Shirley Bernstein and have all assets that have been converted through the fraudulent orders immediately be returned and put in protective custody by this Court, until all matters of document fraud, trust constructions, trust validity, fraud and breaches of fiduciary duties can be adjudicated by a fair and impartial court of law; and,
- b. Reverse COLIN'S acts to interfere with the next venue in these matters by having the case assigned to a proper jurisdiction and venue without COLIN'S steering the case to a court and judge that he influenced the outcome in choosing.

And for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

DATED: Tuesday, June 30, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Pro Se
2753 NW 34th St.
Tel: (561) 245-8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished
by e-filing and email on this Tuesday, June 30, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,


/s/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Pro Se
2753 NW 34th St.
Tel: (561) 245-8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv


47

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this brief complies with the font standards, i.e. Times New Roman 14 point font as set forth in Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.210.

DATED: Tuesday, June 30, 2015



Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Pro Se

2753 NW 34th St.

Tel: (561) 245-8588

iviewit@iviewit.tv

www.iviewit.tv

EXHIBITS

URL' S ARE FULLY INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE.

Exhibits	Document - URL
A	See Attachment – Disqualification Petition
B	See attachment – Order Denying Disqualification Petition
C	See attachment – Order Sua Sponte Recusal
1	September 02, 2014 Counter Complaint http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140902%20Final%20Signed%20Printed%20Counter%20Complaint%20Trustee%20Construction%20Lawsuit%20ECF%20Filing%20Copy.pdf
2	October 06, 2014 Colin Order Prohibiting Attorney/Fiduciaries from being sued http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20141006%20Order%20on%20Ted%20Bernstein%20Removal%20as%20Trustee%20and%20Attorney%20Protection%20Order.pdf
3	July 25, 2012 ALLEGED Simon Bernstein Trust (See Pages 5,6 and 16, 17) http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120725SimonBernsteinAmendedRestatedTrust.pdf
4	Crystal Cox Blog http://tedbernsteinreport.blogspot.com/2014/07/alan-rose-john-pankauski-and-ted.html
5	TED Testimony Admitting Force and Aggression to be used against PETITIONER with his counsel. http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140711%20Hearing%20TED%20ADMITS%20FORCE%20AND%20AGGRESSION%20AGAINST%20ELIOT.pdf
6	July 18, 2014 COLIN Privilege Order

	http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140718%20Order%20Regarding%20Privilege.pdf
7	Palm Beach County Sheriff Report (Pages 25-28) http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140912%20Sheriff%20and%20Coroner%20Reports.pdf
8	Palm Beach County Coroner Report (Pages 31-51) http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140912%20Sheriff%20and%20Coroner%20Reports.pdf
9	May 06, 2015 TED Deposition (Pages 115-134) http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140912%20Sheriff%20and%20Coroner%20Reports.pdf
10	September 13, 2013 Emergency Hearing http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20Emergency%20Hearing%20Colin%20Spallina%20Tesch%20Ted%20Manceri%20ELIOT%20COMMENTS.pdf
11	May 14 2015 Motion for Disqualification http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150514%20FINAL%20Motion%20for%20Disqualification%20Colin%20Large.pdf
12	June 16, 2104 Petition to Remove Judge Colin http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140616%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20PRINTED%20OBJECTION%20TO%20PROPOSED%20AND%20EXISTING%20ORDERS%20and%20DISQUALIFY%20OF%20HON%20JUDGE%20MARTIN%20COLIN.pdf
13	January 01, 2014 Motion to Disqualify Colin http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140101%20Final

	<u>%20PRINTED%20SIGNED%20Motion%20to%20Disqualify%20Colin%20and%20more%20131279ns.pdf</u>
14	Iviewit RICO and Antitrust <u>http://www.iviewit.tv/20071215usdcsnycomplaint.pdf</u>
15	Iviewit RICO and Antitrust Amended Complaint <u>http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080509%20FINAL%20AMENDED%20COMPLAINT%20AND%20RICO%20SIGNED%20COPY%20MED.pdf</u>
16	Candice Schwager, Esq. Warning - PETITIONER correspondences with Sheriff Andrew Panzer & DOJ OIG Michael Horowitz <u>http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150411CandiceSchwagerEsqWarningDOJOIGHorowitzAndSherifPanzerLetters.pdf</u>

EXHIBIT A



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

TED BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE
OF THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT
DATED MAY 20, 2008, AS AMENDED,

PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NO.: 502014CP003698XXXXSB

PLAINTIFF,

V.

ALEXANDRA BERNSTEIN; ET AL.

DEFENDANTS.

Other Applicable Related Cases this Disqualification of Judge Martin Colin Should Apply to:

Case # 502012CP004391XXXXSB – Simon Bernstein Estate

Case # 502011CP000653XXXXSB – Shirley Bernstein Estate

Case # 502014CP002815XXXXSB – Oppenheimer v. Bernstein Minor Children

Case # 502014CP003698XXXXSB – Shirley Trust Construction

Case# 502015CP001162XXXXSB – Eliot Bernstein v. Trustee Simon Trust Case OLD CASE #
502014CA014637XXXXMB

**VERIFIED SWORN EMERGENCY PETITION AND AFFIDAVIT FOR
IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE MARTIN COLIN**

COMES NOW Eliot Bernstein (“Petitioner”) and files under information and belief this Verified
Emergency Petition and Affidavit for Immediate Disqualification of Judge Martin Colin, pursuant to Fla R.
Admin P. 2.330 and section 38.10, Florida Statutes, for the following grounds and reasons:

**Rule 2.330 (a) Application. This rule applies only to county and circuit
judges in all matters in all divisions of court.**

1. Judge Martin Colin is a circuit judge in the 15th Judicial Circuit Probate Division.

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

1 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

Rule 2.330 (b) Parties. Any party, including the state, may move to disqualify the trial judge assigned to the case on grounds provided by rule, by statute, or by the Code of Judicial Conduct.

2. Petitioner, a party to the case moves for mandatory disqualification and to otherwise disqualify trial Judge Colin provided by rules, statute and by the Code of Judicial Conduct.

a. Judge Colin has violated the following Judicial Canons, including but not limited to,

- i. Canon 1 - A Judge Shall Uphold the Integrity And Independence of the Judiciary
- ii. Canon 2 - A Judge Shall Avoid Impropriety and the Appearance of Impropriety in all of the Judge's Activities
- iii. Canon 3 - A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially and Diligently.

B. Adjudicative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall hear and decide matters assigned to the judge except those in which disqualification is required.

(2) A judge shall be faithful to the law and maintain professional competence in it. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear of criticism.

D. Disciplinary Responsibilities.

(1) A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that another judge has committed a violation of this Code shall take appropriate action.

(2) A judge who receives information or has actual knowledge that substantial likelihood exists that a lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules Regulating The Florida Bar shall take appropriate action.

E. Disqualification.



(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:

(a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding

(d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

(iv) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding;

F. Remittal of Disqualification.

A judge disqualified by the terms of Section 3E may disclose on the record the basis of the judge's disqualification and may ask the parties and their lawyers to consider, out of the presence of the judge, whether to waive disqualification. If following disclosure of any basis for disqualification other than personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, the parties and lawyers, without participation by the judge, all agree the judge should not be disqualified, and the judge is then willing to participate, the judge may participate in the proceeding. The agreement shall be incorporated in the record of the proceeding.

- b. Judge Colin has violated Statutes related to, including but not limited to,
 - i. Fraud on the Court and by the Court – This Disqualification shall Reset the case, render void all relevant Orders and Decisions which shall be vacated, all OFFICERS and FIDUCIARIES presently appointed by such Judge shall be replaced and more.
 - ii. Fraud in the Court
 - iii. Fraud by the Court
 - iv. Obstruction of Justice through Denial of Due Process

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

Thursday, May 14, 2015

v. Aiding and Abetting and more.

c. Judge Colin has violated Probate Statutes and Rules

Rule 2.330 (c) Motion.
A motion to disqualify shall:
(1) be in writing.

3. This Motion is in writing.

Rule 2.330 (c) Motion
(2) allege specifically the facts and reasons upon which the movant
relies as the grounds for disqualification.

4. This Motion specifically alleges specific facts and reasons upon which the movant relies as the grounds for disqualification.

Rule 2.330 (c) Motion
(3) be sworn to by the party by signing the motion under oath or by a
separate affidavit.

5. Petitioner is acting Pro Se and has no attorney and therefore Petitioner has sworn to and signed this Motion for Disqualification under oath and before a notary as required by Rule 2.330 (c)

Rule 2.330 (c) Motion
(4) include the dates of all previously granted motions to disqualify
filed under this rule in the case and the dates of the orders granting
those motions.

6. There has been no previously granted motions to disqualify in this case filed under Rule 2.330

Rule 2.330 (c) Motion
(4) The attorney for the party shall also separately certify that the
motion and the client's statements are made in good faith. In addition
to filing with the clerk, the movant shall immediately serve a copy of
the motion on the subject judge as set forth in Florida Rule of Florida
Rule of Civil Procedure 1.080.

7. Petitioner movant is acting Pro Se and thus has no attorney at law representing him and Pro Se Petitioner has certified that the motion and the statements made herein are made in good faith. That Service is proper to Judge Colin under Rule 1.080.

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

4 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

Rule 2.330 (d) Grounds.

A motion to disqualify shall show:

(1) that the party fears that he or she will not receive a fair trial or hearing because of specifically described prejudice or bias of the judge.

8. That Petitioner asserts that he will not and has not received a fair trial or hearing because of the following specifically described prejudices and biases of Judge Colin under Rule 2.330 (d), and shall be mandatory disqualified for the reasons that follow:

**Canon 3 - A Judge Shall Perform the Duties of Judicial Office
Impartially and Diligently.**

B. Adjudicative Responsibilities.

(1) A judge shall hear and decide matters assigned to the judge except those in which disqualification is required.

E. Disqualification.

(1) A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, including but not limited to instances where:

(a) the judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding

(d) the judge or the judge's spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

(iv) is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding;

9. Judge Colin had reasons to voluntarily disqualify himself from these proceedings prior to and regardless of this Motion to Disqualify him by Petitioner and has failed to do so prompting Pro Se Petitioner to file this disqualification on multiple grounds.

10. Judge Colin's Court Docket in this case reflects an Entry on Nov. 6, 2012 which is the Filed and Time-Stamped Date by the Court Clerk's Office of a Memorandum¹ allegedly made by

¹ November 05, 2012 Memorandum

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20121105%20Court%20Memorandum%20Need%20Notarization%20Receipts%20for%20assets%20from%20all%20of%20specific%20beneficiaries%20were%20not%20notarized.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

5 | Page
Thursday, May 14, 2015

Astride Limouzin, Case Manager which by the express notations on said document was done on behalf of Judge Martin Colin, the Judge in this case at that time.

11. Notwithstanding the fact that this is listed as an Ex Parte communication in the Court's own Docket which will be addressed later, the Ex Parte communication is addressed to Attorney Robert L. Spallina. The Memorandum document purports to be notifying Attorney Spallina on behalf of Judge Colin that "Receipts for assets from all of the specific beneficiaries were not notarized." It is important to note that Attorney Spallina is fully aware at this time that his client Simon Bernstein the Personal Representative has passed away on September 13, 2012 and yet he continues to file with the Court documents on his deceased clients behalf to close the Estate months after his passing and presumably without notifying the Court.
12. However, by the time of this Ex Parte communication which purports to be by Astride Limouzin of Judge Colin's Court on behalf of Judge Colin to Attorney Spallina dated Nov. 5, 2012 by the express language of the document and is rejecting for filing Waivers not notarized by decedent Shirley's deceased at the time husband, Simon Bernstein², and, Eliot Ivan Bernstein, Jill Bernstein-Iantoni, Pam Bernstein-Simon, Theodore Stuart Bernstein and Lisa Bernstein-Friedstein, as the adult surviving children of Shirley Bernstein in the Shirley Bernstein Estate case, Judge Colin's Court had already received for filing:
 - a. A Petition for Discharge (Full Waiver)³ (also needing notarization but not notarized) to close Shirley's Estate allegedly dated April 9th, 2012 and allegedly signed by Simon

² Simon Bernstein un-notarized Waiver @ URL

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120409%20WAIVER%20SIMON%20UNNOTARIZED%20SIGNED%2020120409%20NOT%20FILED%20UNTIL%2020121024%20EIB%20COMMENTS.pdf>

³ Simon Bernstein un-notarized Petition for Discharge (Full Waiver) @ URL

Bernstein on said date and Subscribed before Attorney Robert Spallina on same date of April 9, 2012, yet which is not Filed and Docketed with the Court until Oct. 24, 2012 with Judge Colin's Court and time-stamped by the Clerk's Office on said date, thus meaning Simon Bernstein was acting as Personal Representative/Executor to close Shirley's Estate a month *after he was Deceased on Sept. 13 2012; being filed and time-stamped as received by the Court Clerk of Judge Colin's Court nearly 2 weeks before the Nov. 5, 2012 Ex Parte Memo above;*

- b. A Tax Statement⁴ allegedly dated April 9, 2012 and allegedly signed by Simon Bernstein on said date indicating no Florida Estate Tax due yet again this Document was Filed and Time-stamped with Judge Colin's Court Oct. 24, 2012 nearly 2 weeks before the Ex Parte Memo from Judge Colin to Robert Spallina allegedly made by Judge Colin's Case Manager Astride Limouzin on Nov. 5, 2012 and again posited with the Court by Simon acting as the Personal Representative/Executor after he is deceased; and
- c. A Probate Checklist⁵ dated Feb. 15, 2012 which again references Attorney Robert Spallina as the involved attorney, Simon Bernstein as the Personal

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20121024%20Petition%20for%20Discharge%20NOTE%20signed%20April%2009%202012%20not%20filed%20until%20October%2024%2012%20COMMENTS.pdf>

⁴ Affidavit of No Florida Estate Tax Due @ URL

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120409%20Affidavit%20of%20No%20Florida%20Estate%20Tax%20Due%20SIGNED%2020110409%20NOT%20FILED%20until%2020121024%20Shirley.pdf>

⁵ Probate Checklist

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

7 | Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

Representative/Executor of the Estate but which is not only substantially defective in the items it references but again is not filed until Oct. 24, 2012 and time-stamped as received by Judge Colin's Court Clerks on said date again being filed by Simon acting as Personal Representative/Executor *nearly a month after Simon Bernstein passed away and was deceased but nearly 2 weeks before the Ex Parte Memo to Attorney Spallina by Judge Colin via Case Manager Astride Limouniz dated Nov. 5, 2012.*

13. Judge Colin is chargeable with knowledge of the documents filed and entered into his Court upon which he is adjudicating and presiding over.
14. Thus, prior to transmitting the Nov. 5th 2012 Ex Parte Memorandum from Judge Colin via his Case Manager Astride Limouzin to Attorney Robert Spallina, Judge Colin's Court had received multiple filings as referenced above which are not only dated many months prior to the actual filing date but are clearly filed nearly an entire month after Simon Bernstein was deceased and at least one of these documents is Subscribed and witnessed by Attorney Robert Spallina being the Petition to Discharge to close Shirley's Estate and Judge Colin is now communicating with Attorney Spallina Ex Parte according to the Court's own Docket.
15. As of this date itself, Nov. 5, 2012, Judge Colin should have been Disqualified under the Florida Rules and Statutes and now should be Disqualified under at least 3 separate grounds of the Rules and Codes as an instance in which a Judge's impartiality may be reasonably questioned, as one with knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding and both as a material witness or likely material witness and - or fact witness of disputed and material evidentiary facts in the proceeding.

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120215%20Prbate%20Checklist%20Shirley%20NOT%20FILED%20UNTIL%20OCTOBER%2024%202010.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

8 Page
Thursday, May 14, 2015

16. Now, back to the Ex Parte nature of the Nov. 5, 2015 Memo from Judge Colin through Judge Colin's Caseworker Astride Limouzin to Attorney Robert Spallina who is now shown and presumably already known to Judge Colin and his Court Clerks to have filed with the Court multiple documents on behalf of a Deceased person Simon Bernstein and being filed months and months after allegedly performed and completed, yet secrets this information from the Court presumably.
17. A careful review of the Nov. 5, 2012 Ex Parte Memo shows that while the Memo is dated Nov. 5, 2012 on the face of the document, the document is not time-stamped with the Court Clerk's for 24 hours or so or at least until sometime the next day Nov. 6, 2012 as shown by the time stamp on the face of the document.
18. Judge Colin's impartiality can reasonably be questioned by the act of he and his Court Clerk Case Manager Astride Limouzin discovering filings in the Court by Attorney Robert Spallina on Oct. 24, 2012 purporting to act on behalf of a Deceased person Simon Bernstein without any authority demonstrated to act for now Deceased Simon Bernstein and by filing documents purportedly completed nearly 6 months earlier in April 2012, yet instead of Ordering Attorney Spallina for Disciplinary Investigation and to also immediately appear before his Court to Show Cause why said actions should not be immediately referred to Investigative and Prosecutorial authorities, Judge Colin and his Case Manager send an Ex Parte Memo to correct un-notarized Waivers with no mention of the Petition for Discharge now filed on behalf of Deceased Simon Bernstein clearly not able to act on said date.
19. This lack of impartiality by Judge Colin and his Court is further compounded by the facts shown by the face of the Court's own Docket and files that it took at least overnight to even Docket the Nov. 5, 2012 Ex Parte Memo on Nov. 6th, 2012 which leads right in and goes hand

in hand with the other mandatory grounds for Disqualification on his own initiative for now having knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts involving the proceeding and being likely to be called as a material and-or fact witness, as it is unknown:

- a. Were the Oct. 24, 2012 Filings filed in person and if so by whom?;
- b. If filed in person is Case Manager Astride Limouzin the person who "received" the filings for the Court or is she just the go between with Spallina office and Judge Colin on the Ex Parte Memo?
- c. Who communicated on the file with Judge Colin? Just Limouzin or any other Clerks and Case Managers?
- d. If filed by Mail then by whom and where is the correspondence and envelopes that the filings arrived in to show who signed the correspondence and mailed them if so? ;
- e. If filed by mail then where are the envelopes and correspondence or has this evidence been destroyed?
- f. Why such a long delay between when the Nov. 5th 2012 Ex Parte Memo was created and then Docketed on Nov. 6, 2012?
- g. How was the Memo transmitted to Spallina office? By fax, by mail? Were any phone calls made by the Court or Court Clerks and Case Managers? Any other Ex Parte communications?
- h. Why was the Nov. 5th, 2012 Memo done Ex Parte and not Communicated to all parties with standing in Shirley's case not only for purposes of avoiding impartiality but also to timely apprise the parties of said filings and defects?
- i. Did Judge Colin review the documents?
- j. Did Judge Colin know if Simon was deceased and when did he know? Who told him?

20. For purposes of avoiding even the appearance of impropriety, Judge Colin should have Disqualified on Nov. 5, 2012 or at the moment his Court and - or Court Clerk or Case Manager had any involvement in the receipt, handling and processing of any of the filings of Oct. 24, 2012 made by a deceased Personal Representative/Executor, Simon Bernstein.
21. Judge Colin should have disqualified then and must be disqualified now.
22. Even assuming arguendo that Judge Colin had no actual knowledge of the Oct. 24, 2012 filings attempting to use Deceased Simon Bernstein to close the Estate of Shirley Bernstein and had no actual knowledge of the Nov. 5th 2012 Ex Parte communication on his behalf to Attorney Spallina directly involved in the fraudulent illegal acts of using Deceased Simon Bernstein to close Shirley's Estate, at that time, clearly by the time Judge Colin issued the Order to Close the Estate in Jan. 2013⁶ Judge Colin must be presumed to have read and reviewed the documents and filings upon which he issues and rationally bases his Order closing the Estate in Jan. 2013 upon and thus should have not only not issued such an Order but should have halted, frozen and stayed the case and case files of all those involved for investigation by this time and then Disqualified himself as clearly at minimum his own Court officers and Case Manager Astride Limouzin had direct involvement and knowledge of material facts and he could not be in charge of investigating himself and his officers.
23. Now if it is assumed arguendo that Judge Colin will somehow claim he had no knowledge of the Court Docket and filings upon which he issued in Jan. 2013 closing Shirley's Estate upon documents filed by Attorney Spallina which purport to have Simon Bernstein take action as the Personal Representative/Executor while deceased because somehow Judge Colin will claim

⁶ Order of Discharge

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130103%20Order%20of%20Discharge%20Shirley%20Signed%20Judge%20Colin%20Scratched%20Date%20no%20initials.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

11 / Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

that he had not read the documents upon which he based this Order, then this raises a separate basis of Disqualification under the rule requiring the Judge to diligently (and competently) hear cases that are assigned and thus Judge Colin should have been disqualified then and must now be disqualified.

24. Yet even if it is assumed arguendo that Judge Colin had no knowledge of these matters as of the date he issues the Jan. 2013 Order to close Shirley's estate, which of course again raises Disqualification under the rule of "diligently" hearing cases assigned, clearly by the time of May 06, 2013 upon the first filing of Petitioner's "EMERGENCY PETITION TO: FREEZE ESTATE ASSETS, APPOINT NEW PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES, INVESTIGATE FORGED AND FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED TO THIS COURT AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES, RESCIND SIGNATURE OF ELIOT BERNSTEIN IN ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN AND MORE"⁷ this Court and Attorney Spallina are both put on Notice by Petitioner's motion of :

- a. The fraud and alleged fraud in the filings directly involving Spallina including but not limited to documents filed to close Shirley's Estate by Simon Bernstein acting as the Personal Representative of Shirley when Simon Bernstein was already Deceased (Pages 40-43 - Section "IX.FORGED AND FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS FILED IN THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY IN THIS COURT BY TESCHER AND SPALLINA CONSTITUTING A FRAUD ON THIS COURT AND THE BENEFICIARIES AND MORE);

⁷ May 06, 2013 Petition @ URL

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130506%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20Petition%20Freeze%20Estates%20Orginal%20Large.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

Page
Thursday, May 14, 2015

- b. That there were improper notarizations in Dispositive Documents including a Will and Trust (Pages 43-45 Section “X. INCOMPLETE NOTARIZATION IN THE ALLEGED 2012 AMENDED TRUST OF SIMON AND MORE” and “XI. INCOMPLETE NOTARIZATION IN THE 2012 WILL OF SIMON AND MORE”)
- c. That Spallina and Tescher had withheld from beneficiaries in violation of Probate Rules and Statutes any documents on Shirley’s Estate and Trusts for approximately 18 months which should have created further bases for this Court to Order investigation and a prompt hearing to determine truth and authenticity in the Trusts and Estate dispositive documents (Pages 37-40 Section “VIII. PETITIONER FORCED TO RETAIN COUNSEL DUE TO PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES LACK OF DUTY AND CARE, BREACHES OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST REGARDING MISSING ESTATE ASSETS AND DOCUMENTS AND MORE”);
- d. Of utmost importance should have been information that Ted Bernstein himself and with the aid of his counsel reported the possible Murder of he and Petitioner’s Father, which was reported by Ted Bernstein on the date Simon passes away to the Palm Beach County Sheriff and the Coroner and starting two official inquiries into allegations of Murder⁸ (Pages 85-86 Section “XVII. ALLEGED MURDER OF SIMON BERNSTEIN”);

⁸Palm Beach County Sheriff and Coroner's Reports (Pages 25-28 Sheriff Report and Pages 32-41 Coroner Report)


<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140912%20Sheriff%20and%20Coroner%20Reports.pdf>

The Court should note that the initial autopsy failed to run a poison heavy metal test but Petitioner upon finding out that this had not been done ordered the Coroner to test for poison and on March 10, 2014, over a year and half after Simon died, it was completed (Pages 42-44) and several poisons showed elevated levels and the deceased had morphed to a **113 year old male**.

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

13 |

Thursday, May 14, 2015



- e. That the Court and Spallina are notified of substantial personal property missing (stolen) including jewelry and artwork worth millions of dollars and that Shirley's condominium had already been sold by Ted Bernstein and yet no Determination had been made by this Court regarding the validity of the Trusts and Ted Bernstein's right to act and dispose of assets (Pages 51-57 "XIV. VANISHING ESTATE ITEMS AND ASSETS");
- f. That the Court and Spallina are notified of the "Elephant in the Room" relating to the Iviewit stock and Intellectual Property Interests that Simon Bernstein had, worth an estimated billions of dollars, which is tied into a prior RICO action and a prior car-bombing of Petitioner's Minivan (see www.iviewit.tv for graphic images of the Car Bombing that looks like a scene from a war) that was now relating to the case before this Court (Pages 57-82 Section "XV. THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM THE IVIEWIT COMPANIES STOCK AND PATENT INTEREST HOLDINGS OWNED BY SIMON AND SHIRLEY, AS WELL AS, INTERESTS IN A FEDERAL RICO ACTION REGARDING THE THEFT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES AND ONGOING STATE, FEDERAL AND INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS.");
- g. That the Court is notified of an alleged Life Insurance fraud scheme (Pages 27-37 Sections "VI. MISSING LIFE INSURANCE TRUST AND LIFE INSURANCE POLICY OF SIMON" and "VII. INSURANCE PROCEED DISTRIBUTION SCHEME");
- h. That other assets were remaining that should have been been frozen such as the St. Andrew's home recently listed by Petitioner's father weeks before his passing for over \$3 million.



25. Simply reviewing the September 13, 2013 Hearing Transcript⁹ of a proceeding before Judge Colin regarding the Emergency Petition filed on May 06, 2013 and heard on September 13, 2013 (held on the anniversary of Simon's death four months after filing) shows further clear basis for Disqualification of Judge Colin on numerous grounds including knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts and likelihood of being called as a fact witness premised upon his involvement and knowledge of the Ex Parte Communications with Attorney Spallina on Nov. 5th 2012 after the fraudulent filings of Spallina on Oct. 24, 2012 but also based upon clear bias and prejudice and lack of impartiality as by this date September 13, 2013 Judge Colin:

- a. knows about Tescher and Spallina using alleged documents of Deceased Simon Bernstein to close Shirley's Estate filed on Oc. 24, 2012;
- b. knows of the fraudulent Notaries made upon the Waivers that had first been rejected by his Court via the Ex Parte Memo of Nov. 5, 2012 for having no Notaries and then later submitted with the fraudulent Notaries to help close the Estate;
- c. knows that Tescher and Spallina have never been Ordered to Show Cause before his Court about the fraud;
- d. knows he had not referred Tescher and Spallina's law firm's conduct for Attorney Discipline investigation;
- e. knows of the claims of substantial personal properties stolen and missing from Shirley's Estate;

⁹ September 13, 2013 Hearing Judge Colin

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20mirandas.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

15 / Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

- f. knows of Spallina's firm withholding any documents on Shirley's Trusts from beneficiaries for over two years, which should have raised clear red flags particularly in light of the frauds on his own Court by Tescher and Spallina's firm;
- g. knows of the failure to have any Accounting of Shirley's Estate with the failure ongoing for years by this time in violation of Probate Rules and Statutes;
- h. knows he has conducted no Hearing to determine the proper construction and meaning of Shirley's Trusts and Estate, which remains incomplete to this date and determine who the proper Beneficiaries, Trustee and Representatives should be, all which remains unknown to this date;
- i. knows that Ted Bernstein himself reported possible Murder of Simon Bernstein to police authorities and the state Medical Examiner for autopsy on the date of Simon's passing¹⁰;
- j. knows of the "elephant in the room"¹¹ being Iviewit and the Iviewit stock and patents valued in the billions involving Simon Bernstein and now a missing part of the Estates and Trusts and tied into a prior RICO and Antitrust Lawsuit and a car-bombing of Petitioner's minivan reported and investigated by authorities; and
- k. knows that Petitioner's minor children have been intentionally and with scienter denied the trust and inheritance funds for their food, shelter, and well being for months that were all part of their inheritance and yet Judge Colin wants to talk instead that day for most of the hearing about Dunkin Donuts, Burger King and having Petitioner cut his

¹⁰ May 06, 2013 Petition - Section III "POST MORTEM AUTOPSY DEMAND AND SHERIFF DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF MURDER"

¹¹ May 06, 2013 Petition - Section XV "The Elephant in the Room" Pages 57-82

Court lawn¹², instead of addressing any of the serious crimes and frauds in his own Court where he and his Court staff are now witnesses and centrally involved in the fraudulent activities.

26. Now perhaps Judge Colin missed lunch and was hungry that first hearing four months after an Emergency Motion was filed by Petitioner and was thinking about Dunkin Donuts and Burger King but there is no way to look at this proceedings and the transcript without not only finding clear bias and prejudice and lack of impartiality in adjudicating rights to such a gross degree as to constitute not only an abdication of Judicial function, duties and responsibility but done in such a way as to be a mockery of the judicial system and process and denying very important rights and claims raised in Petitioner's filings.
27. Consistent with what has emerged in not only this and other Florida Probate Courts but other Courts in New York and around the nation, a review of the Transcripts of proceedings before Judge Colin shows the standard "M.O.", modus operandi, used by corrupted and conflicted Courts by neglecting and burying the real issues of fraud and integrity of proceedings and filings and actions of licensed attorneys and instead proceeding to threaten and harass those exposing the wrongdoings, as is the case with Petitioner as the exposor of fraud, who then is assaulted with multiple hearings for his alleged Contempt, attempts to have Guardians appointed over his family, threats of sanctions and acts of judicial mockery.
28. Judge Colin falsely claims on this September 13, 2013 date not only that no Emergency issues had been raised in Petitioner's Emergency Motion but also that no assets were left to freeze as

¹² September 13, 2013 Hearing Page 11

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20Emergency%20Hearing%20Colin%20Spallina%20Tescher%20Ted%20Manceri%20ELIOT%20COMMENT%20S.pdf>

requested in the relief of the Emergency Motion when in fact the St. Andrews's home that had been listed and valued at over \$3 million dollars by Simon Bernstein in the months before his passing still had not been sold and of course there is and was the millions in personal property reported as missing and stolen and the illegal sale of Shirley's Trust Beach Condominium all of which can be subject to claw back processes and other injunctive relief while of course the very real emergency issues of actual fraud upon the Court had been shown involving Judge Colin, the Courts employees and his appointed Officers and Fiduciaries making them all Fact and Material Witnesses at minimum and thus emergency and related relief could and should have been granted, including the voluntary disqualification and more.

29. By the time of this hearing on September 13, 2013, not only did Judge Colin wholly fail to have attorneys Tescher and Spallina Show Cause after the Nov. 5, 2012 Ex Parte Memo and discovery of fraud filings by their office knowingly acting on behalf of their client a deceased Personal Representative/Executor Simon Bernstein to FRAUDULENTLY close Shirley's Estate, Judge Colin also wholly failed to have Attorney Tescher and Spallina and the alleged Fiduciary of Shirley's Trust Ted Bernstein answer in Court that day, especially after Tescher, Spallina and Ted Bernstein had never even submitted a written answer to Petitioner's very specific, detailed Emergency Motion filed May 06, 2013 and subsequently filed motions (Non-Emergency as Colin had forced Eliot to refile his Emergency Pleading several times as a Non-Emergency before allowing it to be heard) placing Tescher, Spallina and Ted Bernstein on further notice of fraud allegations and more.
30. The date of this Hearing was nearly an entire year after Tescher and Spallina had first submitted the fraudulent filings before Judge Colin's Court in Oct. 2012 and yet they were not Ordered to answer the Emergency Petition while allowing Shirley's Estate and Trust to be

A handwritten signature in blue ink is written over a circular blue stamp. The signature is stylized and appears to be the name of the person who filed the motion. The stamp is partially obscured by the signature.

squandered in fraud and unaccounted for, as Spallina, Tescher and Ted seized Dominion and Control of the Estates and Trusts of both Simon and Shirley Bernstein through a series of fraudulent dispositive documents and refused to give beneficiaries any documents in violation of Probate Statutes and Rules and Colin remained asleep at the wheel.

31. It is respectfully submitted that by this time on September 13, 2013, Judge Colin is engaging in the aiding and abetting of the fraud and attempting to cover up past fraud in, upon and by the Court, by what is known as “Steering” and orchestrating of the proceedings away from the crimes and criminals and begins a cleverly disguised retaliation against Petitioner that continues to bias and prejudice Petitioner to this date.

32. This can be more clearly seen in the subsequent Evidentiary Hearing of Oct 28, 2013¹³ when again, Judge Colin at the helm, steers and directs the proceedings to avoid the issues of Fraud upon and before his own Court by limiting the proceeding to testimony about a \$25,000 value to Shirley’s Estate Inventory (which was never served to beneficiaries in Violation of Probate Rules and Statutes) and discusses not throwing Spallina’s Legal Assistant and Notary Public, Kimberly Moran “under the bus” who has by this time admitted to the Governor’s Office and West Palm Beach police that she not only falsely Notarized the Waivers, including for a deceased Simon but also forged the signatures for six separate parties, including for the decedent Simon Bernstein Post Mortem, that are ultimately filed before Judge Colin to illegally close the Shirley Estate. Note, while Moran admits to falsifying Notaries and forging signatures on Waivers, not only is there no full record of her acts before Colin’s Court but more importantly none of her admissions addresses the other clear fraud such as the Petition for

¹³ October 28, 2013 Evidentiary Hearing

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131028%20Evidentiary%20Hearing%20TRANSCRIPT%20Shirley%20Estate.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

19

Thursday, May 14, 2015



Discharge containing Spallina's signature on the document filed on behalf of Deceased Simon Bernstein on Oct. 24, 2012 by Tescher and Spallina, utilizing a Deceased person to close Shirley's Estate and Colin has direct knowledge that no examination of Spallina and Tescher regarding their involvement in the Petition and other document frauds used to close the Estate illegally and knowledge of Moran's admitted activities has occurred even to this date in his Court with his own office and Case Manager implicated by the Ex Parte Memo yet Colin has continued to allow Ted Bernstein who has been represented by Spallina and Tescher continue to act with no accountability where almost all the crimes committed directly benefited Ted Bernstein who had been disinherited.

33. At no time does Judge Colin in the Evidentiary Hearing with Tescher, Spallina and Ted Bernstein present seek to ascertain the truth of the fraud, forgeries and fraud on his Court but more importantly wholly failed to force Spallina or Tescher to Show Cause or swear them in to answer questions to explain the acts of Tescher and Spallina's Legal Assistant and Notary Public Moran and explain their law firms acts of filing documents with a deceased client acting as a fiduciary while dead and more importantly no investigation into how Spallina's signature is on the Petition for Discharge also fraudulently filed before Judge Colin, which is Not the subject of any Admissions by his employee Kimberly Moran and where she was not involved in that crime.
34. Judge Colin simply later permits Spallina and Tescher to withdraw as attorneys, instead of removing them instantly and securing their files and the corpus of the Estate and Trusts while the material facts surrounding the fraud that directly involve Spallina by his own Signature on the Petition for Discharge, Judge Colin and his Case Manager Limouzin, by the Nov. 5th 2012 Ex Parte Memo communication remain undetermined and unheard.

35. These are additional grounds for removal in that Judge Colin's failure to Order attorneys Tescher, Spallina and the fiduciary Ted Bernstein at minimum to Show Cause before the Court on the frauds on the Court and for Discipline having actual knowledge of the substantial likelihood of misconduct by the fraud by presence of Spallina's own signature on the document purported to be April 9, 2012 Petition for Discharge but not filed with Judge Colin's Court until Oct. 2012 when Simon Bernstein is Deceased nearly a month is itself a failure to discharge Judicial obligations; and then being further Disqualified for being the necessary fact witness of his own Ex Parte Communication to Spallina as evidenced by the Nov. 5th, 2012 Memo and by prejudice and bias shown by the failure to Order Tescher, Spallina and Ted Bernstein for investigation and discipline and Show Cause before his own Court not only in Nov. 2012 but which has still not happened to this day in May of 2015 some 2.5 years later while permitting Ted Bernstein to continue to act as Trustee and Personal Representative/Executor when Ted Bernstein is directly intertwined, interconnected and involved with his own counsel Spallina and Tescher (as they represented Ted in Shirley's Estate and Trusts while acting as Co-Personal Representatives and Co-Trustees of Simon's Estate and Trusts and further represented themselves in their fiducial capacities in Simon's Estate and Trusts) as attorneys involved in the fraud that ultimately benefit their client and business associate Ted and his lineal descendants who are all considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions of the Shirley Trust and without their fraudulent documents and fraudulent scheme upon the Court would remain so.
36. That after reopening the illegally closed Estate of Shirley in the September 13, 2013 Hearing and immediately prior to the Evidentiary Hearing, Judge Colin, knowing of the Fraud on the Court and already stated to Ted and his counsel Spallina, Tescher and Manceri that he had enough evidence in the hearing to read them all their Miranda Warnings for two separate

crimes identified in the hearing (the Moran fraudulent notarizations and forgeries and Spallina's using a dead Simon to posit documents with Court to close Shirley's Estate) then shockingly and appallingly appointed Ted as a Successor Personal Representative to the newly reopened Shirley Estate shortly thereafter although Ted was not then qualified to serve under Florida Probate Rules and Statutes..

37. It is noted that while an Attorney was present as Counsel for the Petitioner's Minor children in the hearing this Court held on or about Oct. 28 2013, the record should reflect that this counsel Brandan J. Pratt, Esq. not only failed to inform the Court he was retained to represent Petitioner's Minor children Josh, Jacob and Danny Bernstein and instead in the hearing misrepresented to the Court he was representing Eliot and Candice despite their opposition to this claim, but said counsel Pratt further wholly failed to properly and competently cross examine Spallina, Tescher, Moran and Ted Bernstein and call proper witnesses at this hearing to delve into the criminal and civil torts against the beneficiaries despite advance preparation and planning to the contrary with Eliot and Candice. Pratt claimed he was very close to Judge Colin after the hearing and knew what he wanted.
38. Counsel Pratt failed to examine any of the witnesses about the Tescher and Spallina Petition to Discharge fraud, the fraudulent positing of fraudulent records with the court and failed to examine Ted Bernstein, Spallina and Tescher about known personal property items valued at over \$1 million that they were in had custody over as fiduciaries that he knew were alleged stolen and Counsel Pratt was immediately after the hearing withdrawing as counsel but was requested by Petitioner in writing to notify his malpractice carrier of malpractice for his conduct and misrepresentations of this hearing. The Transcript in this regard clearly speaks for

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

22
Thursday, May 14, 2015

itself on what material issues were not only never addressed by Judge Colin but also never asked by Counsel Pratt. See Discharge letter to Counsel Pratt¹⁴.

39. Improper representation by attorney Pratt, likely malpractice itself, does not eliminate Judge Colin's obligations to address fraud upon his own Court by licensed attorneys and fiduciaries he appointed and in fact the actions of attorney Pratt may likely be part of additional steering and orchestration of the proceedings to cover-up the real fraud and delay and denial to Petitioner, his wife Candice Bernstein, and their Minor children Josh, Jacob and Danny of lawful inheritance and monies due under the Trusts.
40. Pratt seemingly falls out of the sky days before the Hearing and is retained by Eliot and Candice for their children's representation, it was later learned that Pratt, on information and belief, was close personal friends and business associates with Andrew Shamp, Esq. and where Shamp it was later learned worked directly for Ted Bernstein in the past as an employee.
41. This pattern of aiding, abetting and obfuscation of the fraud and criminal enterprise and pattern of acts at play as seen further in Judge Colin's continued abdication of judicial functions in duties in relation to the sale of the St. Andrew's home.
42. This Court's recent Order on May 06, 2015 (which falls under the 10 day rule for disqualification herein) permitting the Sale of the St. Andrew's Home shows even further grounds for mandatory Disqualification of Judge Colin (on his own initiative without waiting for Pro Se Petitioner to file a disqualification pleading) although ample grounds have already been established dating back to Nov. 2012.

¹⁴ Brand Pratt Letter and Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131109HuthPrattWithdrawalLetterandConflictDisclosure.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

23 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

43. Judge Colin has absolute, unequivocal direct knowledge that no testimony of the alleged “buyer” occurred during the Hearing on the sale of the St. Andrew’s Home and knows Florida law requires no undue influence or pressure must be exerted or buyer or seller for there to be an “arms-length” transaction yet issues an Order May 6, 2015 as if the Buyer provided testimony when in fact the buyer’s identity is not even known.
44. In fact, despite Florida’s rigid Disclosure laws Judge Colin has withheld a lis pendens I attempted to file on the property and still has not let said lis pendens be filed or published to this Buyer or any prospective buyer and has threatened Petitioner that if he disclosed the Lis Penden or the fact that the home was tangled in these litigations he would hold him in contempt.
45. According to the Florida Real Property Appraisal Guidelines Adopted Nov. 26, 2002 by the Florida Department of Revenue Property Tax Administration Program Definitions Section 3.1.8 Arm’s-Length Transaction: “ This means a sale or lease transaction for real property where the parties involved are not affected by undue stimuli from family, business, financial, or personal factors.” See, <http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/tp/pdf/FLrpg.pdf>.
46. Yet, not only does Judge Colin have actual knowledge he took no testimony from the Buyer since the Buyer was not only not present in Court but the identity not disclosed, but Judge Colin knows the case is ripe with nothing but pressure and undue influence such that Judge Colin has covered up fraud upon his own Court involving licensed attorneys, failed to discharge Judicial obligations and failed to abide by the Code of Judicial Conduct, knows the Trustee he is permitting to act Ted Bernstein reported a possible murder of Petitioner’s father Simon Bernstein the property owner prior to passing, allowing Ted Bernstein to act despite knowing his attorneys and Ted are involved in fraud on the Court and yet failing to conduct a

hearing into the construction and truth of the Trusts even though he says on the Record he knows he has to conduct a hearing and feigned at reading the attorneys Miranda Warnings, has reasons to investigate and suspect these are a continuation of RICO acts tied to a car-bombing, knows or has reason to know the sale is grossly undervalued at \$1,100,000.00 as the property was listed for \$3,200,000.00 weeks prior to the possible murder of Simon Bernstein, knows he and his own Court staff are at least involved as witnesses if not for the fraud itself and is willing to forego his own Judicial responsibilities which could lead to the end of his Judicial career but issues a false order nonetheless saying an arm's length transaction to an unknown buyer, possible straw man buyer was made.

47. Judge Colin knows and should know due process is violated by withholding the identity of the alleged buyer and making such person or entity available for cross-examination.
48. This would seem more than reflective of substantial pressure and influence at play and reflective of a fire sale.
49. Last, fair market value has been defined as "the sum arrived at by fair negotiation between an owner willing to sell and a purchaser willing to buy, neither being under pressure to do so." *Flagship Bank of Orlando v. Bryan*, 384 So.2d 1323 (Fla. 5th DCA 1980). A witness for the appellee admitted at the deficiency hearing that the bank was under pressure to sell the lots and that its bid was lowered because the bank would not be able to sell the lots for what they were worth. The bid price was therefore more an indication of a "quick sale" value than of the property's true fair market value. *BARNARD v. FIRST NAT. BK. OF OKALOOSA CTY.* 482 So.2d 534 (1986) District Court of Appeal of Florida, First District. February 4, 1986.
50. Judge Colin could have Judicially Subpoenaed the Realtor Petitioner had originally spoken to who initially had a far differing opinion of the sales price and value of the home but who then

refused to get involved due to the presence of another of Ted Bernstein's attorneys Alan Rose who, according to his bio at his firm's website, "Handled securities arbitration for investor in a Madoff feeder fund against major brokerage firm which recommended the investment. confidential terms." The case was settled on confidential terms." See, <http://mrachek-law.com/ourteam/alan-b-rose/>.

51. Further, Judge Colin silenced Petitioner via an illegal Order that mandated that Petitioner could do nothing to directly or indirectly notify the buyer of the Lis Penden or that litigation involving the house was at play and had testimony from the Realtor, John Poletto that he had not notified the buyer of any potential litigation and this seems to force Petitioner to not disclose pertinent facts to a buyer in opposite Florida's disclosure laws.
52. Finally, in his own words in the first day of the hearing to sell the house on March 26, 2015, Colin stated that he first had to have hearings to remove Ted, hearings for trust construction to determine validity and investigation of wrongdoings beyond Tescher and Spallina before being able to proceed further and yet with none of those things were achieved and at the next hearing he allows the sale of the house ignoring his prior statement:

13 MR. ROSE: We didn't share the appraisal
14 because, frankly, we were concerned it would be
15 public and that would defeat their chance of
16 selling it.
17 THE COURT: I'm not -- look, nothing is easy
18 here. It's not going to get easier until we can
19 get hearings where I can start to knock off some
20 of the issues, which is what I have been saying
21 now like a broken record.
22 At some point, either Eliot is going to be
23 sustained on his positions or he's going to be
24 overruled, but one way or the other, we can put
25 some of this stuff to rest. The problem is we're
I doing all of this business with some of the metes [matters?]

2 of the case still up in the air where I haven't
3 been able to adjudicate; the claims that Ted
4 should be removed; the claims that there's
5 wrongdoing beyond Spallina and Tescher, the trust
6 is not valid. I mean, give me a chance to rule on
7 that, because once I rule on that, then the matter
8 is over with on those and you'll know one way or
9 the other what to do.

53. That since May 06, 2013 Judge Colin, knowing of the fraudulent documents in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, knowing that Simon Bernstein's 2012 Will and Amended Trust done only days before his death when Simon was suffering severe mental and physical duress have been determined by Governor Rick Scott's Notary Public Division to be improperly notarized and further Petitioner has alleged they are wholly fraudulent, knowing that there are ongoing criminal investigations into the documents of both Estates and Trusts, knowing that the new Executor of Simon's Estate has claimed that Ted is not a legally valid Trustee of Simon's Trust¹⁵ by the very terms of the Trust that claim that a Successor cannot be related to the issuer, knowing that Ted is considered predeceased for all purposes of dispositions under the Shirley and Simon trust, knowing that Peter Feaman, Esq., has stated to Colin that Ted and his counsel Alan B. Rose are not qualified as Trustee and Counsel due to serious problems with Ted and Alan's misconduct¹⁶, knowing that Ted and his counsel Alan B. Rose are counter defendants in two counter complaints filed by Petitioner in these matters with allegations of serious breaches of fiduciary duties (which Colin stayed) and more, knowing that Eliot has filed a

¹⁵ O'Connell Affirmative Defense, Ted is not a valid Trustee

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/O%27Connell%20Ted%20is%20not%20Valid%20Trustee%20in%20Simon%20Trust%20Simon%20Estate%20Answer%20and%20Affirmative%20Defenses%20Shirley%20Trust%20Case.pdf> (Page 7)

¹⁶ Peter Feaman, Esq. Letter to Brian O'Connell Regarding Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose, Esq. misconduct

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20141216%20Attorney%20Peter%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Attorney%20Personal%20Representative%20Brian%20O%27Connell%20re%20Ted%20and%20Alan%20Conflicts.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

27 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

Counter Complaint in the Shirley Trust case that has both he and Judge French listed as material and fact witnesses that may be Defendants in future amended pleadings¹⁷, has ignored all of these facts and held hearing, after hearing, after hearing and has:

- a. allowed Estate and Trust properties to be disposed of and distributed without knowing who the beneficiaries are at this time due to the fraudulent documents affects not being resolved at this time,
- b. allowed Estate and Trust properties to be disposed of and distributed without knowing if the Wills and Trusts are valid,
- c. allowed assets to be converted and changed, including allowing a JP Morgan IRA to be converted to a new account when the old account was missing beneficiaries and monies are alleged stolen from it,
- d. allowed assets to be sold and converted without any accountings in violation of Probate Statutes and Rules,
- e. allowed assets to be sold and distributions made to improper beneficiaries despite not having held trust construction or validity hearings to determine first who the true and proper beneficiaries are, thus delaying intentionally beneficiaries inheritances, while allowing assets to be distributed will now have to be clawed back,
- f. allowed fiduciaries and counsel involved in the commission of the fraud to continue to operate in the courtroom with impunity,
- g. allowed continuous hearings where the alleged Trustee Ted has brought in up to five lawyers to defend himself misusing Trust and Estate assets to do so, who have all now resigned other than Alan B. Rose,

¹⁷ Answer and Counter Complaint Oppenheimer Lawsuit Page 2 - Colin and French listed as Witnesses and Possible Defendants

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140902%20Final%20Signed%20Printed%20Counter%20Complaint%20Trustec%20Construction%20Lawsuit%20ECF%20Filing%20Copy.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

28 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

- h. deprived Minor possible beneficiaries from counsel despite their need arising from the criminal misconduct of his Court and its Officers, Fiduciaries and employees,
- i. deprived Eliot's family from inheritances that has caused massive financial damages to them despite their financial damage arising from the delays in their inheritances from the criminal misconduct of his Court and its Officers, Fiduciaries and employees,
- j. forced the Creditor William Stansbury for two years to accrue hundreds of thousands of dollars of legal fees, while blocking him from being able to have his counsel file to remove Ted, while the job of removing Ted was Colin's from the moment he became aware that Ted and his counsel had committed Fraud on the Court and stated he had enough to read them all their Miranda's twice,
- k. allowed a settlement with Stansbury where Ted Bernstein acting as the Trustee of the Shirley Trust and simultancously a Defendant in the Stansbury Lawsuit with his attorney at law Rose acting as counsel to Ted in his conflicting capacities, that settled Ted personally out of the lawsuit and shifted the burden of the settlement cost entirely to the Trusts of Shirley and Simon beneficiaries and where Ted has no beneficial interests, thereby stiffing the beneficiaries with the settlement cost for acts Stansbury alleges were done primarily by Ted,
- l. allowed Ted and his counsel to block the Estate and Trust of Simon to intervenc in an Illinois Federal Breach of Contract Lawsuit where the beneficiaries of the Estate and Trusts of Simon have potential interest in an insurance policy, where Ted is acting in conflict to achieve this as the Plaintiff in the Breach of Contract lawsuit who stands to get one fifth of the insurance benefit, whercas if the Estate and Trusts of Simon receive the proceeds Ted again would get nothing. Colin only allowing the Estate to intervenc after Stansbury, in efforts to protect the beneficiaries who were unrepresented in the Federal lawsuit and himself to pay the entire cost of the litigation expense for the Estate?

- m. been rude to Petitioner repeatedly and continuously shut him down during hearings, whenever fraud on the court is brought to his attention, and,
- n. interfered with Palm Beach County Sheriff investigations, having detectives told not to pursue Petitioner's criminal complaints and claiming his Court would handle the criminal matters and fraud upon his Court.

54. That from at least the September 13, 2013 hearing Judge Colin had a mandated duty to disqualify himself on his own initiative according to Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law, as he became fully cognizant that his Court had become a crime scene involving Fraud on the Court and Fraud in the Court, directly involving Judge Colin and Judge French and their court, the Officers of the Court, including Attorneys at Law practicing before them, Fiduciaries appointed by them (Personal Representatives and Trustees) and other Court employees.
55. That once it was determined that crimes had been committed in Judge Colin and Judge French's courts constituting Fraud on the Courts and Fraud in the Courts in which Judge Colin would now be a material and fact witnesses to events in the matter, to avoid the appearance of impropriety and conflicts caused due to his direct involvement as both a material and fact witness, Judge Colin should have voluntarily on his own initiative disqualified himself and distanced himself from the matters, allowing a conflict free adjudicator to replace him who could have investigated the involvement of, the Court, Judge Colin, Judge French, the Officers of the Court and the Fiduciaries of the Court and this would have eliminated the appearance of impropriety created due to Judge Colin's direct involvement in the frauds that had occurred and his subsequent handling of investigations or lack thereof of himself and his court.
56. That failing to disqualify himself on his own initiative for mandated causes by Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law, Judge Colin lost jurisdiction in this case and his continued actions are all outside the color of law.
57. That Judge Colin's acts forward in these matters from the point that he had knowledge of criminal misconduct in the Court that would make him a material and fact witness constitute Fraud by the Court.

It is alleged that Judge Colin began a Pattern and Practice of Fraud by the Court by continuing to rule in a matter where disqualification was mandated on his own initiative and so each judicial ruling and proceeding is therefore void.

58. That Petitioner fears that Judge Colin's acts after having cause to disqualify himself have prejudiced and biased the case and continue to prejudice and bias the case, as they are now viewed as part of a Cover Up of the crimes committed in his Court and on his Court by Colin's court appointed Officers and Fiduciaries and the effectuation of new crimes by his Court.
59. That Petitioner fears that Judge Colin's acts outside the color of law after knowing of the causes mandating him to instantly disqualify have been prejudicial to Petitioner and favor those Court officials and fiduciaries that he appointed who committed the criminal acts in and on his Court and these acts have protected himself, his Court appointed officials, fiduciaries and employees who were involved and aid and abet them in evading prosecution and investigation in efforts to cover up criminal acts and have provided legal cover for new criminal acts to be committed under the guise of legal proceedings.
60. Colin is biased and prejudiced against Petitioner who has exposed the crimes of his Court and those committed in Judge David E. French's court in the Simon and Shirley Bernstein Estate and Trust cases and the case involving Petitioner's Minor children.
61. The Estate and Trust cases of Simon and Shirley Bernstein were improperly merged by Judge Colin and Judge French in violation of Probate Rules and Statutes as it was achieved without separate hearings by both Judges and thus improperly transferred to Colin's Court. This included a complex bait and switch, whereby once Colin had approved the transfer to himself of Judge French's case. Judge French's hearing was scheduled on the day before Christmas when the courthouse was closed entirely and Petitioner and his wife showed up to an empty building, ruining their holiday family planned trip to attend. That at the subsequent rescheduled hearing before Judge French, Judge Colin was instead presiding and when asked where Judge French was Colin stated it did not matter if he were there as he routinely handled French's cases. When Petitioner cited the rule calling for separate hearings by each

Judge, Colin proceeded ahead. That Petitioner fears that since the crimes were committed in both courts **this improper merging of the cases was to cover up and protect Judge French and his court officials from investigation and possible prosecution and remove one of the crime scenes entirely since similar acts of fraud are alleged in Judge French's court and similarly all his case files should have been sealed for investigation and he and his court officials questioned as to the Fraud on the Court and Fraud in the Court.**

62. **Once Colin had evidence that FELONY crimes were committed in his Court and Judge French's court by Officers of their courts and fiduciaries of their courts, Colin and French had obligations under Judicial Cannons, Rules of Professional Conduct and Law to report the misconduct to the proper criminal and civil authorities for investigation and failed to do so.**
63. **Once Colin had evidence of Fraud on the Court, he had obligations to immediately disqualify and allow for the resetting of the proceeding by removing all elements of the fraud, removing all officers of the court involved, all fiduciaries involved and have all court and other records of those involved seized for investigation, have all assets seized and frozen and turn the case over to a new adjudicator and Judge Colin did not do any of these things, in fact, he has intentionally and with scienter done the opposite.**
64. **That instead of doing what was mandated when Fraud on the Court is discovered, Colin has allowed a pattern and practice of retaliation against Eliot to take place for his efforts in exposing the criminal acts and has continuously allowed conflicted attorneys at law and fiduciaries, involved with the original fraudsters, to file pleading after pleading to attempt to harm Eliot and his family, including several contempt and guardianship hearings held against Eliot, all bleeding the estates and trusts of thousands upon thousands of illegal legal billings for conflicted counsel.**
65. **Petitioner has blown the whistle on corruption that took place in both Judge Colin and French's courts and has also been involved in an over a decade old whistleblowing lawsuit and other actions against members of this courthouse the 15th Judicial, The Florida Bar and many Judges of the Supreme Court of**

Florida and Petitioner fears this also creates prejudice and bias against Petitioner with virtually the entire State of Florida legal machine conflicted with him.

66. Petitioner's prior Federal RICO sued the following parties of the Florida Bar Association:

STATE OF FLORIDA,
OFFICE OF THE STATE COURTS
ADMINISTRATOR, FLORIDA,

HON. JORGE LABARGA in his official and individual capacities,
[this lawsuit prior to his unbelievable rise to Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court after the Bush v. Gore election where he aided in the failure to recount the People's vote when he was a civil circuit judge and for his effort to derail Eliot's legal rights in the first lawsuit involving Eliot and others stolen Intellectual Properties that has led to this mess filed before his court. Proskauer v. Ivjewit, Case #CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB.]

THE FLORIDA BAR.

JOHN ANTHONY BOGGS, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
KELLY OVERSTREET JOHNSON, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
LORRAINE CHRISTINE HOFFMAN, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
ERIC TURNER, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
KENNETH MARVIN, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
JOY A. BARTMON, ESQ. in her official and individual capacities,
JERALD BEER, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
BROAD & CASSEL, and, all of its Partners, Associates and Of Counsel, in their professional and individual capacities.
JAMES J. WHEELER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities,

FLORIDA SUPREME COURT,

Hon. Charles T. Wells, in his official and individual capacities.
Hon. Harry Lee Anstead, in his official and individual capacities,
Hon. R. Fred Lewis, in his official and individual capacities,
Hon. Peggy A. Quince, in his official and individual capacities,
Hon. Kenneth B. Bell, in his official and individual capacities,
THOMAS HALL, ESQ. in his official and individual capacities,
DEBORAH YARBOROUGH in her official and individual capacities.

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND
PROFESSIONAL REGULATION – FLORIDA,

CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLA., [Police Department]

DETECTIVE ROBERT FLECHAUS in his official and individual capacities,
CHIEF ANDREW SCOTT in his official and individual capacities,

CHRISTOPHER C. WHEELER, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities. [now involved in the Estate and Trust matters]

MATTHEW M. TRIGGS, ESQ. in his official and individual capacity for The Florida Bar and his professional and individual capacities as a partner of Proskauer,

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

33 | Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

ALBERT T. GORTZ, ESQ. in his professional and individual capacities. [now involved in the Estate and Trust matters]¹⁸

67. Petitioner feels that Judge Colin's acts outside the color of law have been intentional to prevent Petitioner from gaining his inheritance and having funds that could be used in this legal action against his court and Petitioner's other legal actions against members of the Florida Bar, including protecting what Judge Colin claims in a Florida Bar Publication to be his mentor¹⁹, Chief Judge Jorge Labarga, who is a central figure in Petitioner's ongoing civil and criminal complaints regarding theft of Intellectual Properties of Petitioner's and his father.
68. Judge Colin is acting outside his jurisdiction once he was mandated to disqualify on his own initiative and acting outside the color of law and therefore he should disqualify on his own initiative instantly and his orders must then be voided. Judge Colin is a disqualified judge who has not relinquished his unlawful jurisdiction.
69. Judge Colin now is also adverse to Petitioner because Petitioner has filed with the Federal Court in the Northern District of Illinois under The Honorable John Robert Blakey exposing the corruption in his Colin's court and throughout the Probate courts in Florida²⁰. Petitioner is seeking to have these Probate cases transferred to the Federal Court involving estate related subject matter (the insurance breach of contract proceeds) under Blakey for investigation, review and further adjudication of the matters free

¹⁸ Full List of Iviewit RICO Defendants @

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm>

¹⁹ Colin statement regarding Labarga as his mentor

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20061224%20Palm%20Beach%20County%20Bar%20Association%20Judge%20Martin%20Colin%20Mentor%20Judge%20Labarga.pdf>

²⁰ Omnibus Motion Federal Court

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150504%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20NOTICE%20OF%20OMNIBUS%20MOTION%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

34 | Page
Thursday, May 14, 2015

of conflicts and illegal activities, once Judge Colin complies with the mandated disqualification or is forced off the case if he continues to refuse.

70. Petitioner has sought Federal Court intervention due to the fact that Petitioner is adverse to all Florida State Bar Members and where he has taken civil action and filed criminal complaints against the Florida State Bar and thus all members are technically and legally conflicted and adverse to Petitioner as members of the organization Petitioner is pursuing.
71. Petitioner has been viciously retaliated by Judge Colin by denying him due process in one manner or another, acting above the law and removing rights of Petitioner and his Minor children, while protecting his Court and those involved in criminal misconduct from exposure of the crimes committed in his and Judge French's court by Officers and Fiduciaries of the Court.
72. Where it may be learned by investigation that both Judge Colin and Judge French may be involved directly in the original Frauds Upon the Court and were willing participants in such crimes against Petitioner and his family, including but not limited to, Fraud on the Court, Fraud in the Court, Fraud by the Court, Forged documents posited with the Court by officers and fiduciaries of the Court, Fraudulent Notarizations (including Post Mortem for decedents in the actions) filed and posited with the Court, Illegal Closing of an Estate using a deceased person's identity and ultimately the possible Murder of Simon Bernstein as alleged by Ted Bernstein and others (not Petitioner) on the day Simon died.
73. Judge Colin's actions once he failed to disqualify as mandated, outside the color law and without jurisdiction, make him an accomplice to current and ongoing fraud against Eliot and Eliot's Minor children who are beneficiaries of the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein and it is clear that Eliot has valid fear that he has been denied due process and procedure once his mandatory disqualification was not entered on his own initiative.

Rule 2.330 (d) Grounds.

(2) That the judge before whom the case is pending, or some person related to said judge by consanguinity or affinity within the third degree, is a party thereto or is interested in the result thereof, or that

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

35 | Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

said judge is related to an attorney or counselor of record in the cause by consanguinity or affinity within the third degree, or that said judge is a material witness for or against one of the parties to the cause.

74. Judge Colin will be a material and fact witness regarding his direct involvement in the documents used fraudulently in his Court, regarding the interaction with the Officers of his Court involved, regarding the interaction with the Fiduciaries of his Court he appointed and his interaction with the Court employees involved in this case as described above, regarding the criminal misconduct that has occurred in and on his Court and that of Judge French's court. Judge Colin's position now as a material and fact witness MANDATE under Judicial Canon his INSTANT DISQUALIFICATION.
75. Judge Colin due to his direct involvement in the matters and failure to disqualify upon mandated grounds requiring his disqualification on his own initiative will now also make him a party of interest in ongoing and future criminal and civil actions to determine if he has committed felony acts and more in so acting outside the color of law. Now there is not only an appearance of impropriety but the alleged possible criminal misconduct of Judge Colin which may constitute criminal impropriety and again cause for MANDATORY DISQUALIFICATION.
76. Judge Colin cannot investigate his own court, himself and the officers and fiduciaries of his Court, especially where he is directly involved, due to the appearance of impropriety this creates and this appearance of impropriety prejudices Petitioner from due process rights.

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(e) Time. A motion to disqualify shall be filed within a reasonable time not to exceed 10 days after discovery of the facts constituting the grounds for the motion and shall be promptly presented to the court for an immediate ruling. Any motion for disqualification made during a hearing or trial must be based on facts discovered during the hearing or trial and may be stated on the record, provided that it is also promptly reduced to writing in compliance with subdivision (c) and

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

36 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

promptly filed. A motion made during hearing or trial shall be ruled on immediately.

77. This Motion is being made within 10 days from Petitioner's receipt of a "FINAL ORDER GRANTING SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO APPROVE SALE OF TRUST PROPERTY" signed May 06, 2015. Where this Order, as with all Orders issued after Judge Colin's Mandatory Disqualification was failed, is an illegally obtained Order and therefore legally void, other grounds for this Order mandating disqualification have also been described herein.
78. This Motion for Disqualification is timely because all actions past and future of Judge Colin are void as his disqualification from the matters should have occurred the instant he was aware that crimes occurred in his Court and on his Court by his appointed Officers and Fiduciaries and thus all judicial acts both past, present and future are all grounds for immediate investigation, disqualification, voiding of all orders and sanctions.

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(f) Determination - Initial Motion.

The judge against whom an initial motion to disqualify under subdivision (d)(1) is directed shall determine only the legal sufficiency of the motion and shall not pass on the truth of the facts alleged. If the motion is legally sufficient, the judge shall immediately enter an order granting disqualification and proceed no further in the action. If any motion is legally insufficient, an order denying the motion shall immediately be entered. No other reason for denial shall be stated, and an order of denial shall not take issue with the motion.

79. Petitioner states that the Motion is legally sufficient under Rule 2.330 as it fully complies with this code and whether Petitioner has filed a legally sufficient pleading would not negate the fact that Judge Colin has to voluntarily disqualify under Judicial Canons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law and whereby whether legally sufficient or not 2.330 allows Colin to disqualify on his own.

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

37 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(g) Determination - Successive Motions.

If a judge has been previously disqualified on motion for alleged prejudice or partiality under subdivision (d)(1), a successor judge shall not be disqualified based on a successive motion by the same party unless the successor judge rules that he or she is in fact not fair or impartial in the case. Such a successor judge may rule on the truth of the facts alleged in support of the motion.

80. Petitioner states there have been no Successive Motions.

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(h) Prior Rulings.

Prior factual or legal rulings by a disqualified judge may be reconsidered and vacated or amended by a successor judge based upon a motion for reconsideration, which must be filed within 20 days of the order of disqualification, unless good cause is shown for a delay in moving for reconsideration or other grounds for reconsideration exist.

81. Petitioner seeks that upon disqualification of Judge Colin, that all prior factual or legal rulings be vacated by the successor judge due to the alleged criminal acts and civil torts against Petitioner. That further, Petitioner seeks a replacement Judge who is not a member of the Florida Bar to preside over the cases of Judge Colin involving the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein and the case involving the Trusts of Petitioner's minor children. That due to the fact that Petitioner does not feel he can get a fair and impartial hearing in the State of Florida by members of the Florida Bar Petitioner is seeking this Court to move the matters to a Federal Court²¹. The following cases that Judge Colin presides over are all tainted for the same reasons as stated herein and judge Colin should immediately voluntarily disqualify himself from these cases as well and save Petitioner the expense and aggravation of having to file Disqualification pleadings in each case to force his mandated disqualification:

²¹ May 14, 2015 Letter to Judge Blakey

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150514%20Letter%20Scheduling%20and%20Discovery%20to%20Hon%20Judge%20John%20Robert%20Blakey.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

38 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

- a. Case# 502012CP004391XXXXSB – Simon Bernstein Estate
- b. Case# 502011CP000653XXXXSB – Shirley Bernstein Estate
- c. Case# 502014CP002815XXXXSB – Oppenheimer v. Bernstein Minor Children
- d. Case# 502014CP003698XXXXSB – Shirley Trust Construction
- e. Case# 502015CP001162XXXXSB – Eliot Bernstein v. Trustee Simon Trust Case OLD
Case# 502014CA014637XXXXMB

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(i) Judge's Initiative.

Nothing in this rule limits the judge's authority to enter an order of disqualification on the judge's own initiative.

82. Petitioner states that Judge Colin should have already entered an order of disqualification on his own initiative according to Judicial Canons, Statutes and Rules when he became aware that disqualification was mandated of him but refused to do so on the repeated requests of Petitioner. If for any reason Judge Colin finds this Motion legally insufficient for any reason, Judge Colin must disqualify himself on his own initiative as set forth under this rule 2.330 (i) and Judicial Canon, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law.

Rule 2.330 Grounds.

(j) Time for Determination.

The judge shall rule on a motion to disqualify immediately, but no later than 30 days after the service of the motion as set forth in subdivision (c). If not ruled on within 30 days of service, the motion shall be deemed granted and the moving party may seek an order from the court directing the clerk to reassign the case.

83. Petitioner demands due to the EMERGENCY NATURE of this case where claims have been made that Petitioner's children are in life threatening dangers due to the abusive Probate rulings thus far that have interfered intentionally with their and Petitioner's expectancies that this Disqualification be made instantly as it is legally sufficient and MANDATED. Delays could cause further harm of Petitioner's minor children and Petitioner which would result in

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

39

Thursday, May 14, 2015

additional damages and liabilities to those parties ultimately held accountable for the acts of Judge Colin outside the Color of Law.

84. That PRIOR to any other actions by Judge Colin, this Disqualification must first be ruled on.

Florida Statutes 38.10

Disqualification of judge for prejudice; application; affidavits; etc.—

Whenever a party to any action or proceeding makes and files an affidavit stating fear that he or she will not receive a fair trial in the court where the suit is pending on account of the prejudice of the judge of that court against the applicant or in favor of the adverse party, the judge shall proceed no further, but another judge shall be designated in the manner prescribed by the laws of this state for the substitution of judges for the trial of causes in which the presiding judge is disqualified. Every such affidavit shall state the facts and the reasons for the belief that any such bias or prejudice exists and shall be accompanied by a certificate of counsel of record that such affidavit and application are made in good faith.

85. Petitioner has supplied a legally sufficient Affidavit herein.

WHEREFORE, the PRO SE Petitioner requests that Judge Colin immediately disqualify as this is a legally sufficient pleading. In the alternative if it is determined by Judge Colin that this Pro Se pleading is legally insufficient then he must on his own motion and initiative disqualify himself as required by Judicial Cannons, Attorney Conduct Codes and Law.

Under Penalties of perjury, I swear under oath and affirm that I have read the foregoing and the facts

alleged are made in good faith and are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated this 14th day of May, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

Eliot Ivan Bernstein
2753 NW 34th ST
Boca Raton, FL 33434
Telephone. 561-245-8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Petitioner does hereby certify that the foregoing Petition was served on all parties by e-file with the clerk of the court this 14th day of May, 2015.

Eliot Ivan Bernstein
2753 NW 34th ST
Boca Raton, FL 33434
Telephone. 561-245-8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF PALM BEACH COUNTY

Sworn to or affirmed and subscribed before me this 14th day of May, 2015 by Eliot Ivan Bernstein who is known to me or produced the following identification. California DL #C6956008

NOTARY PUBLIC

Print name of Notary:

Sarah Barnett




My commission expires: 07/05/2016

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

AFFIDAVIT

Affiant, Eliot Bernstein hereby states under oath that the attached Verified Emergency Petition and Affidavit for Immediate Disqualification of Judge Martin Colin is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief



Eliot Ivan Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434
(561) 245-8588
iviewit@iviewit.tv

May 14th, 2015

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

Sworn to or affirmed and subscribed before me this 14th day of May, 2015 by Eliot Ivan Bernstein who is known to me or produced the following identification California DL #C6956008


Notary Public Sarah Barnett
Print name: Sarah Barnett

Stamp

My commission expires: 07/05/2016



Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin
42 | Page
Thursday, May 14, 2015



**EXHIBIT 1 - URL EXHIBITS FULLY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE HEREIN IN THE
MOTION**

1. November 05, 2012 Memorandum

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20121105%20Court%20Memorandum%20Need%20Notarization%20Receipts%20for%20assets%20from%20all%20of%20specific%20beneficiaries%20were%20not%20notarized.pdf>

2. Simon Bernstein un-notarized Waiver @ URL

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120409%20WAIVER%20SIMON%20UNNOTARIZED%20SIGNED%2020120409%20NOT%20FILED%20UNTIL%2020121024%20EIB%20COMMENTS.pdf>

3. Simon Bernstein un-notarized Petition for Discharge (Full Waiver) @ URL

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20121024%20Petition%20for%20Discharge%20NOTE%20signed%20April%2009%202012%20not%20filed%20until%20October%2024%202012%20COMMENTS.pdf>

4. Affidavit of No Florida Estate Tax Due @ URL

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120409%20Affidavit%20of%20No%20Florida%20Estate%20Tax%20Due%20SIGNED%2020110409%20NOT%20FILED%20until%2020121024%20Shirley.pdf>

5. Probate Checklist

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20120215%20Prbate%20Checklist%20Shirley%20NOT%20FILED%20UNTIL%20OCTOBER%2024%202010.pdf>

6. Order of Discharge

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130103%20Order%20of%20Discharge%20Shirley%20Signed%20Judge%20Colin%20Scratched%20Date%20no%20initials.pdf>

7. May 06, 2013 Petition @ URL

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

43 | Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130506%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20Petition%20Freeze%20Estates%20Original%20Large.pdf>

8. Palm Beach County Sheriff and Coroner's Reports (Pages 25-28 Sheriff Report and Pages 32-41 Coroner Report)

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140912%20Sheriff%20and%20Coroner%20Reports.pdf>

The Court should note that the initial autopsy failed to run a poison heavy metal test but Petitioner upon finding out that this had not been done ordered the Coroner to test for poison and on March 10, 2014, over a year and half after Simon died, it was completed (Pages 42-44) and several poisons showed elevated levels and the deceased had morphed to a **113 year old male**.

9. September 13, 2013 Hearing Judge Colin

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20mirandas.pdf>

10. May 06, 2013 Petition - Section III "POST MORTEM AUTOPSY DEMAND AND SHERIFF DEPARTMENT INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF MURDER"

11. May 06, 2013 Petition - Section XV "The Elephant in the Room" Pages 57-82

12. September 13, 2013 Hearing Page 11

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20130913%20TRANSCRIPT%20Emergency%20Hearing%20Colin%20Spallina%20Tescher%20Ted%20Manceri%20ELIOT%20COMMENTS.pdf>

13. October 28, 2013 Evidentiary Hearing

<http://iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131028%20Evidentiary%20Hearing%20TRANSCRIPT%20Shirley%20Estate.pdf>

14. Brand Pratt Letter and Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20131109HuthPrattWithdrawalLetterandConflictDisclosure.pdf>

15. O'Connell Affirmative Defense, Ted is not a valid Trustee

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/O%27Connell%20Ted%20is%20not%20Valid%20Trustee%20in%20Simon%20Trust%20Simon%20Estate%20Answer%20and%20Affirmative%20Defenses%20Shirley%20Trust%20Case.pdf> (Page 7)

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

44 | Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015

16. Peter Feaman, Esq. Letter to Brian O'Connell Regarding Ted Bernstein and Alan Rose, Esq. misconduct

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20141216%20Attorney%20Peter%20Feaman%20Letter%20to%20Attorney%20Personal%20Representative%20Brian%20O%27Connell%20re%20Ted%20and%20Alan%20Conflicts.pdf>

17. Answer and Counter Complaint Oppenheimer Lawsuit Page 2 - Colin and French listed as Witnesses and Possible Defendants

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20140902%20Final%20Signed%20Printed%20Counter%20Complaint%20Trustee%20Construction%20Lawsuit%20ECF%20Filing%20Copy.pdf>

18. Full List of Iviewit RICO Defendants @

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/Appendix%20A/index.htm>

19. Colin statement regarding Labarga as his mentor

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20061224%20Palm%20Beach%20County%20Bar%20Association%20Judge%20Martin%20Colin%20Mentor%20Judge%20Labarga.pdf>

20. Omnibus Motion Federal Court

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150504%20FINAL%20ESIGNED%20NOTICE%20OF%20OMNIBUS%20MOTION%20ECF%20STAMPED%20COPY.pdf>

21. May 14, 2015 Letter to Judge Blakey

<http://www.iviewit.tv/Simon%20and%20Shirley%20Estate/20150514%20Letter%20Scheduling%20and%20Discovery%20to%20Hon%20Judge%20John%20Robert%20Blakey.pdf>

Motion for Disqualification Judge Colin

45 Page

Thursday, May 14, 2015



"Surf with Vision"

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588 (o)
(561) 886-7628 (c)

Thursday, May 14, 2015

The Honorable John Robert Blakey
United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division
Everett McKinley Dirksen
United States Courthouse
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604
Courtroom 1725 | Chambers 1046
Telephone Number: (312) 435-6058
Fax Number: (312) 554-8195

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

Dear Honorable Judge John Robert Blakey,

I write to acknowledge and express my understanding of my obligations to conform my filings to the formatting rules of the Court and matters within the Court's jurisdiction. I also write in regards to Scheduling issues after our status call this week with your Court indicating Discovery to be closed upon the taking of the Deposition of my brother, Plaintiff, Ted Bernstein.

I will respectfully be seeking leave by way of formal motion to open the Discovery not only for further examination of Ted Bernstein but also to Notice for

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

Deposition Judge Martin Colin of the Palm Beach Probate Court who I have just petitioned for Mandatory Disqualification on numerous grounds under the Florida Rules and Code including but not limited to being a necessary fact witness and material witness to actions of fraud upon his Court involving licensed attorneys Tescher and Spallina who have also been part of the litigation before this Court.

I have attached the Disqualification motion herein with respect to Florida Judge Colin for good faith reference and seek leave to move by way of formal motion within this Court's formatting rules to demonstrate the intertwined nature of the actions in this Court with the fraud and actions in Judge Colin's Court.

Please note that the car-bombing of my family mini-van in Boynton Beach, Florida was a very real thing and not a day goes by when I don't wonder what will happen any time my wife, children or I get in to a car. Full pictorial evidence and reports by involved authorities thus far can be found at www.iviewit.tv .

This car-bombing was also reported as part of a Petition I filed with the White House to President Obama, the White House Counsel's Office, the US Attorney General, FBI, SEC and other related federal and state agencies and I have attached a link to this Petition which provides a good overview of the "elephant in the room" being the nature of my Technology which is used on the Hubble Space Telescope, for a mass of US Defense applications, across the globe for digital imaging across the internet and more and also outlines how I was directed by Harry I. Moatz of the Office of Enrollment and

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

Discipline of the USPTO to file a Petition claiming fraud upon the United States as well as myself and shareholders involving the Technology, which led to suspensions of the Intellectual Properties. The Technology was validated, used, tested and approved by leading engineers and computer experts on property owned by Lockheed Martin in Orlando, Florida at Real3d, Inc. which was at that time a consortium owned by the Intel Corporation, Lockheed Martin and Silicon Graphics and the technologies were valued in the hundreds of billions of dollars over the life of the IP claimed as the "holy grail" of the internet by these leading engineers. See,

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090213%20FINAL%20SIGNED%20LETTER%20OBAMA%20TO%20ENJOIN%20US%20ATTORNEY%20FINGERED%20ORIGINAL%20MAIL%20l.pdf> .

Also please note that not only is the car-bombing a very real event that occurred in my life during this ongoing Technology fraud and theft, but as noted in the White House Petition and elsewhere even a Federal Agent such as FBI Special Agent Luchessi of the Palm Beach Office of the FBI has "gone missing" according to West Palm Beach Florida FBI Office (leading to my being directed to former Inspector General Glenn Fine of the Department of Justice for resolution, which still has not occurred) while investigating the Iviewit matters leaving myself in a position of not being able to trust even federal officers and agents and thus I typically err on the side of documenting all

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

important information in all known places and federal state and international offices. Now as you may be aware from my prior filings, there are new frauds and criminal acts by same, similar, and/or related actors with reports that my father may have been murdered.

Since the time of the February 2009 White House Petition filing when I was personally on the phone line confirming the fax number and receipt for the White House and White House Counsel's office, not a single US Secret Service Officer, Capitol Police, US Marshall or other federal agent has shown up to say I filed a frivolous and harassing Petition to the President or to challenge the veracity of my statements in the Petition. Again, I respectfully remind the Court that I was directed by a Federal official, Harry I. Moatz, Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline, to file a petition for suspension claiming Fraud Upon the United States by Patent Bar Attorneys and others

Judge St. Eve had already granted me Leave to Amend my Complaint and the motion to take Florida Judge Colin's Deposition in this Court will demonstrate the relevance to these proceedings and action by the intertwined orchestration of fraud cover up by Judge Colin in his Court also involving Ted Bernstein who is a party in this action and attorney Spallina and others common in both cases also exposing the depth and breadth of the powerful financial interests at play. See the 2009 SEC Petition for general background,

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090325%20FINAL%20Intel%20SEC%20Complaint%20SIGNED2073.pdf>

Respectfully Yours,



Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – FL
Iviewit Technologies, Inc. – DL
Uview.com, Inc. – DL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com, Inc. – DL
I.C., Inc. – FL
Iviewit.com LLC – DL
Iviewit LLC – DL
Iviewit Corporation – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – FL
Iviewit, Inc. – DL
Iviewit Corporation

cc/ec:

Enclosure(s)/Attachment(s)/URL's

All Uniform Resource Locators (URL's) and the contents of those URL's are incorporated in entirety by reference herein and therefore must be included in your hard copy file WITH ALL EXHIBITS, as part of this correspondence and as further evidentiary material to be Investigated. Due

Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St. Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588 (o) / (561) 886-7628 (e) / (561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv - www.iviewit.tv

RE: CASE NO. 13 CV 3643 - SCHEDULING AND DISCOVERY

to allegations alleged by New York State Supreme Court Whistleblower Christine C. Andersou and similar claims in the Iviewit RICO & ANTITRUST Lawsuit regarding Document Destruction and Tampering with Official Complaints and Records, PRINT all referenced URL's and their corresponding exhibits and attach them to your hard copy file, as this is now necessary to ensure fair and impartial review.

In order to confirm that NO DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION OR ALTERCATIONS have occurred, once complete forward a copy of this correspondence with all exhibits and materials included to, Eliot I. Bernstein at the address listed herein. This will insure that all parties are reviewing the same documentation and no additional illegal activity is taking place. If you, for any reason, are incapable of providing this confirmation copy, please put your reasons for failure to comply in writing and send that to Eliot I. Bernstein at the address listed herein. Note, that this is a request only for a copy of this Correspondence and the referenced materials and NOT a request for any Case Investigation information, which may be protected by law.

cmb/eib

EXHIBIT B



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROBATE /GUARDIANSHIP DIVISION "IY"

CASE NO. 502014CP003698XXXXSB

TED BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE
OF THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN
TRUST AGREEMENT DATED
MAY 20, 2008, AS AMENDED,
Plaintiff,

v.

ALEXANDER BERNSTEIN; ET AL.,
Defendants.

ORDER DENYING VERIFIED SWORN EMERGENCY PETITION AND
AFFIDAVIT FOR IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE MARTIN
COLIN

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Eliot Bernstein's Verified Sworn
Emergency Petition and Affidavit for Immediate Disqualification of Judge Martin
Colin. It is hereby,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Eliot Bernstein Verified Sworn
Emergency Petition and Affidavit for Immediate Disqualification is **Denied** as legally
insufficient.

DONE AND ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County,
Florida this 18th day of May, 2015.

MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Court Judge

SIGNED & DATED
MAY 18 2015
JUDGE MARTIN H. COLIN

Copies furnished:

Eliot Bernstein, individually
and Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

John P. Morrissey, Esquire
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
303 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2725
Chicago, IL 60601

Brian M. O'Connell, Esquire
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

EXHIBIT C



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502011CP000653XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**IN RE: SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN
ESTATE**

_____ /

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
950 North Michigan Avenue, #2603
Chicago, IL 60611

Max Friedstein and Carley
Friedstein, Minors
c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502015CP001162XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

ELIOT BERNSTEIN, individually;
ELIOT BERNSTEIN as a beneficiary of the
2008 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST
AGREEMENT, as amended and restated in the
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND
RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT dated
July 25, 2012 and as Legal Guardian of
JOSHUA BERNSTEIN, JACOB BERNSTEIN,
and DANEIL BERNSTEIN,
Plaintiffs,

v.

THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, individually;
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, as Successor
Trustee of the 2008 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST
AGREEMENT, as amended and restated in the
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED
TRUST AGREEMENT dated July 25, 2012; ALEXANDRA
BERNSTEIN; ERIC BERNSTEIN; MICHAEL BERNSTEIN;
MOLLY SIMON; JULIA IANTONI; MAX FRIEDSTEIN;
CARLY FRIEDSTEIN; JOHN AND JANE DOE 1-5000,
Defendants.

_____ /

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a

South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

John P. Morrissey, Esquire
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Brian M. O'Connell, Esquire
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502014CP002815XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**OPPENHEIMER TRUST COMPANY
OF DELAWARE, in its capacity as
Resigned Trustee of the Simon Bernstein
Irrevocable Trusts created for the benefit
of Joshua, Jake and Daniel Bernstein,**
Petitioner,

vs.


**ELIOT AND CANDICE BERNSTEIN,
in their capacity as parents and natural
guardians of JOSHUA, JAKE AND
DANIEL BERNSTEIN, minors,**
Respondents.

_____ /

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:

Eliot and Candice Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

Steven A. Lessne, Esquire
777 South Flagler Drive, Suite 500 East
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502012CP004391XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**THE ESTATE OF
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,**
Deceased.

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

John P. Morrissey, Esquire
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
950 North Michigan Avenue, #2603
Chicago, IL 60611

Brian M. O'Connell, Esquire
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Lisa Friedstein and Carley
Friedstein, Minors
c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035

Joshua, Jacob and Daniel
Bernstein, Minors
c/o Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

Irwin J. Block, Esquire
700 S. Federal Highway, Suite 200
Boca Raton, Fl. 33432

Gary Shendell, Esquire
2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
Boca Raton, Fl. 33431

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502012CP004391XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**THE ESTATE OF
SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,**
Deceased.

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

John P. Morrissey, Esquire
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
950 North Michigan Avenue, #2603
Chicago, IL 60611

Brian M. O'Connell, Esquire
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Lisa Friedstein and Carley
Friedstein, Minors
c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035

Joshua, Jacob and Daniel
Bernstein, Minors
c/o Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

Irwin J. Block, Esquire
700 S. Federal Highway, Suite 200
Boca Raton, Fl. 33432

Gary Shendell, Esquire
2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
Boca Raton, Fl. 33431

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502014CP003698XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**TED BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE
OF THE SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN
TRUST AGREEMENT DATED
MAY 20, 2008, AS AMENDED,**
Plaintiff,

v.

ALEXANDER BERNSTEIN; ET AL.,
Defendants.

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein, individually
and Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

John P. Morrissey, Esquire
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
303 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2725
Chicago, IL 60601

Brian M. O'Connell, Esquire
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH
COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: **502011CP000653XXXXSB**
PROBATE DIVISION: IY

**IN RE: SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN
ESTATE**

_____ /

ORDER OF RECUSAL

SUA SPONTE, This Court hereby recuses itself in connection with the above styled case. In that this Court has discussed this case and related cases with the other two Judges in South County, it is requested that the Clerk not reassign this case to a South County Court Judge, but to randomly do so to another Probate Judge in North County.

DONE and ORDERED in chambers, at Delray Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 19th day of May, 2015.



MARTIN H. COLIN
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished:
Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, Fl. 33434

Alan Rose, Esquire
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Pamela Beth Simon
950 North Michigan Avenue, #2603
Chicago, IL 60611

Max Friedstein and Carley
Friedstein, Minors
c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035

Irwin J. Block, Esquire
700 S. Federal Highway, Suite 200
Boca Raton, Fl. 33432

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL. 60035

Peter Feaman, Esquire
3615 Boynton Beach Blvd.
Boynton Beach, Fl. 33436

John J. Pankauski, Esquire
120 South Olive Avenue, 7th Floor
West Palm Beach, Fl. 33401

Mark R. Manceri, Esquire
2929 East Commercial Blvd., Suite 702
Fort Lauderdale, Fl. 33308

Robert Spallina, Esquire
Boca Village Corporate Center I
4855 Technology Way, Suite 720
Boca Raton, Fl. 33431

Donald Tescher, Esquire
Boca Village Corporate Center I
4855 Technology Way, Suite 720
Boca Raton, Fl. 33431

Julia Iantoni, a Minor
c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NUMBER: **502012CP004391XXXXNB**
DIVISION: IJ


IN RE: ESTATE OF

SIMON L BERNSTEIN, Deceased

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated **05/19/15**, the above styled case is reassigned to Division **IJ**, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.



Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller

BY: *Sharon R. Bock*
Deputy Clerk

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:27
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
SOUTH CITY BRANCH - FILED

cc:
cc: ALL PARTIES

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION ²⁰¹¹
CASE NUMBER: ~~502012~~CP000653XXXXNB
DIVISION: IJ

IN RE: ESTATE OF


SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN, Deceased

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated **05/19/15**, the above styled case is reassigned to Division **IJ**, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.

Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller



BY:

Sharon R. Bock
Deputy Clerk

cc:
CC: ALL PARTIES

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:27
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
SOUTH CITY BRANCH FILED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NUMBER: 502014CP003698XXXXNB
DIVISION: IJ

IN RE: SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT

DTD MAY 20, 2008, AS AMENDED

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated *05/19/15*, the above styled case is reassigned to Division *IJ*, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.



Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller

Sharon R. Bock
Deputy Clerk

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:27
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY
SOUTH CITY BRANCH - FILED

cc:
cc: ALL PARTIES

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA


PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NUMBER: **502015CP001162XXXXNB**
DIVISION: IJ

IN RE: THE 2008 SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated **05/19/15**, the above styled case is reassigned to Division **IJ**, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.



Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller


Deputy Clerk

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:26
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
SOUTH CITY BRANCH FILED

cc:
cc: ALL PARTIES

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NUMBER: 502014CP003698XXXXNB
DIVISION: IJ


IN RE: SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT

DTD MAY 20, 2008, AS AMENDED

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated *05/19/15*, the above styled case is reassigned to Division *IJ*, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.



Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller

Sharon R. Bock
Deputy Clerk

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:27
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
SOUTH CITY BRANCH-FILED

cc:
CC: ALL PARTIES

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM
BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA


PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NUMBER: 502014CP002815XXXXNB
DIVISION: IJ

IN RE: SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE TRUSTS CREATED FOR
THE BENEFIT OF JOSHUA, JAKE & DANIEL BERNSTEIN

CLERK'S NOTICE OF REASSIGNMENT

Pursuant to Court order of the Honorable **JUDGE MARTIN H COLIN** dated *05/19/15*, the above styled case is reassigned to Division *IJ*, Judge(s) **JUDGE HOWARD K COATES** for all further proceedings.

WITNESS my hand and seal of this Court this 19 day of May, 2015.



Sharon R. Bock
Clerk & Comptroller

BY: *Sharon Bock*
Deputy Clerk

2015 MAY 19 PM 4:27
SHARON R. BOCK, CLERK
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
SOUTH CITY BRANCH - FILED

cc:
CC: ALL PARTIES

EXHIBIT B

BRAIN O'CONNELL, ESQ. – PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE / EXECUTOR PLEADING CLAIMING TED IS NOT A VALID TRUSTEE

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

Ted Bernstein, as trustee
of the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement
dated May 20, 2008, as amended,

PROBATE DIVISION

FILE NO: 502014CP003698XXXXSB

Plaintiff,

vs.

Alexandra Bernstein; Eric Bernstein;
Michael Bernstein; Molly Simon;
Pamela B. Simon, Individually and as Trustee
f/b/o Molly Simon under the Simon L. Bernstein
Trust Dtd 9/13/12; Elliot Bernstein, individually,
as Trustee f/b/o D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B. under the
Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on
behalf of his minor children D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B.;
Jill Iantoni, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o J.I.
under the Simon L. Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and
on behalf of her minor child J.I.; Max Friedstein;
Lisa Friedstein, Individually, as Trustee f/b/o
Max Friedstein and C.F., under the Simon L.
Bernstein Trust Dtd 9/13/12, and on behalf of her
minor child, C.F.,

Defendants.

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DFEENSE

BRIAN M. O'CONNELL, as Successor Personal Representative of the Estate of SIMON L. BERNSTEIN ("Mr. O'Connell" or "Personal Representative"), hereby files his Answer and Affirmative Defense to the Amended Complaint dated October 3, 2014 ("Amended Complaint"), and states as follows:

1. Admit that Ted Bernstein is over the age of 18; without knowledge, therefore, denied as to Ted Bernstein's residency; the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement dated May 20,

2008, as amended (“Shirley Trust”) speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore denied.

2. Admit.

3. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

4. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

5. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

6. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

7. Admit.

8. Without knowledge.

9. Admit.

10. Admit.

11. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

12. Admit.

13. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

14. The document referenced in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge therefore, denied.

15. The document referenced in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge therefore, denied.

16. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
17. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
18. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
19. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
20. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
21. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
22. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
23. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
24. The Will of Simon L. Bernstein dated July 25, 2012 (“Simon’s Will”) speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge.
25. Simon’s Will speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge as to the authenticity, therefore, denied.
26. Simon’s Will speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
27. Simon’s Will speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
28. Simon’s Will and the Shirley Trust speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

29. Simon's Will and the Shirley Trust speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

30. Simon's Will and the Shirley Trust speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

31. Simon's Will and the Shirley Trust speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

32. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, without knowledge as to Ted serving as the Successor Personal Representative of Shirley's Estate; otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

33. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

34. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

35. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

36. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

37. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

38. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

39. Admit.

40. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

41. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

42. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

43. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

44. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
45. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
46. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
47. The action speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
48. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
49. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
50. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
51. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
52. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
53. The Shirley Trust speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
54. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
55. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
56. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
57. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
58. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
59. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
60. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
61. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
62. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
63. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
64. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

65. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
66. Reallege and restate answers as stated above.
67. The action speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
68. The action speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
69. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
70. The action speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
71. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
72. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
73. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
74. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
75. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
76. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
77. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
78. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.
79. Reallege and restate answers as stated above.
80. The action speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
81. Admit.
82. The assertion and request in paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
83. The documents referenced in paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.
84. Admit.

85. The document referenced in paragraph 85 of the Amended Complaint speaks for itself, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

86. The documents referenced in paragraph 86 of the Amended Complaint speak for themselves, otherwise, without knowledge, therefore, denied.

87. Admit.


88. Without knowledge, therefore, denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. First Affirmative Defense- Lack of Standing- Ted Bernstein lacks the requisite standing as he is not validly serving as Trustee of the Simon Trust, is not a beneficiary of the Simon Trust, and is not representing any minor child that is a beneficiary of the Simon Trust.

WHEREFORE, BRIAN M. O'CONNELL, as Personal Representative of the Estate of SIMON L. BERNSTEIN, hereby files his Answer and Affirmative Defense to the Amended Complaint, and requests attorneys' fees and costs and any other relief deemed just or proper by this Court.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct of the foregoing was sent by e-mail service or U.S. Postal Service on the 17 day of February, 2015 to the parties on the attached Service List.



BRIAN M. O'CONNELL
Florida Bar No: 308471
ASHLEY N. CRISPIN
Florida Bar No: 37495
JOIELLE A. FOGLIETTA
Florida Bar No: 94238

Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell
515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Telephone: 561-832-5900
Facsimile: 561-833-4209
primary e-mail: service@ciklinlubitz.com
secondary e-mail: slobdell@ciklinlubitz.com

SERVICE LIST

Alan B. Rose, Esq. Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, PA. 505 S. Flagler Dr., Suite 600 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 (561) 355-6991 arose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com Attorney for Ted S. Bernstein	John P. Morrissey, Esq. 330 Clematis St., Suite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 john@jmorrisseylaw.com Attorney for Molly Simon et al	
Eliot Bernstein and Joshua, Jacob and Daniel Bernstein, Minors c/o Eliot and Candice Bernstein, Parents and Natural Guardians 2753 N.W. 34 th St. Boca Raton, FL 33434 iviewit@iviewit.tv	Pamela Beth Simon 950 N. Michigan Ave., Apt. 2603 Chicago, IL 60611 psimon@stpcorp.com	Lisa Friedstein and Carley Friedstein, Minor c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein Parent and Natural Guardian 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 Lisa@friedsteins.com Lisa.friedstein@gmail.com Beneficiary
Jill Iantoni and Julia Iantoni, a Minor c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni, her Parents & Natural Guardians 2101 Magnolia Lane Highland Park, IL 60035 jilliantoni@gmail.com	Max Friedstein 2142 Churchill Lane Highland Park, IL 60035	

EXHIBIT C

PETER FEAMAN, ESQ. TO BRIAN O'CONNELL,
ESQ. LETTER REGARDING TED AND ALAN B.
ROSE, ESQ. CONFLICTS AND MORE

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Subject: FW: Bernstein Estate

Subject: Bernstein Estate
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2014 15:57:54 -0500
From: pfeaman@feamanlaw.com
To: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com
CC: jroyer@feamanlaw.com

Brian,

When you and I spoke last week you indicated that you were in favor of the settlement that Mr. Stansbury had signed and sent to you for signature.

You indicated that you had to work out funding with the trust.

Meanwhile, the Life insurance litigation in Chicago is moving forward.

Our attorneys are taking a deposition in Chicago the week after New Years of "Scooter" Bernstein, I think.

They also want to depose Ted Bernstein and Robert Spallina in early January as well.

I offered my office as a locale for those depositions.

Deposing Ted Bernstein in the Chicago action poses some serious conflict of interest issues for Ted Bernstein and ethical issues for Mr. Rose as the Florida attorney for Mr. Ted Bernstein.

He is being deposed as a party Plaintiff in the Chicago action, the purpose of which is to direct \$1.7 million in life insurance to the 5 adult children of Simon Bernstein away from the Bernstein estate.

Yet Mr. Rose represents Ted Bernstein as Successor Trustee to the Simon Bernstein Trust, the beneficiaries of which are the GRANDCHILDREN OF Simon Bernstein, and the Trust is the beneficiary of the Simon Estate which is directly opposed to the position of Ted Bernstein as Plaintiff in the Chicago Life Insurance litigation.

Just as Ted Bernstein cannot wear both hats, it seems that Alan Rose cannot represent a client so conflicted.

Further, it would seem to me that the estate (you as Personal Representative) has an absolute duty to demand Ted's resignation as Successor Trustee, as his continued role as such imperils the interests of the grandchildren, to whom you owe a fiduciary duty as the Personal Representative.

The bottom line is that the more this drags on, the worse it is going to get for all concerned.

At some point, respectfully, I think you are going to have to take the bull by the horns and 1.) demand that Ted Bernstein resign as Successor Trustee and 2.) Take an active role in directing the attorneys in Chicago to push the case in order to bring it to a successful resolution on behalf of the estate, either by settlement or trial. This means taking over the responsibility for the litigation from Mr. Stansbury in light of the favorable position that the Estate is now in as a result of Mr. Stansbury 's efforts.

I welcome your thoughts on this.

Peter M. Feaman

PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.

3695 West Boynton Beach Boulevard

Suite 9

Boynton Beach, FL 33436

Telephone: 561-734-5552

Facsimile: 561-734-5554

www.feamanlaw.com

Confidentiality: The email message and any attachment to this email message may contain privileged and confidential information, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by return email and delete this message.

EXHIBIT D

SIMON INVENTORIES

*** FILED: PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL SHARON BOCK, CLERK. ***

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
IN RE: ESTATE OF PROBATE DIVISION
SIMON BERNSTEIN File No. 502012CP004391IZXXXXSB
Deceased.

INVENTORY

The undersigned co-personal representatives of the estate of SIMON BERNSTEIN, deceased, who died on September 13, 2012, and whose social security number is XXX-XX-5211, submits this inventory of all the property of the estate, that has come into the hands, possession, control, or knowledge of these personal representatives:

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA – Exempt (Protected) Homestead:

Description

NONE

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA – Non-Exempt Homestead:

Description

Estimated Fair Market Value

NONE

(Whether homestead property is exempt from the claims of creditors, whether it is properly devised and whether it is a probate asset may have to be determined by appropriate proceedings.)

OTHER REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA:

Description

Estimated Fair Market Value

NONE

\$

Total Real Estate in Florida – Except Exempt (Protected) Homestead

\$



PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEREVER LOCATED:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Market Value</u>
Legacy Bank of Florida - Acct. Ending 2587	\$384.25
Wells Fargo - Acct. Ending 1945	1,599.49
Sabadell Bank - Acct. Ending 9414	15,153.18
LIC Holdings, Inc. (33% ownership)	UNDETERMINED
Furniture, furnishings, household goods and personal effects	51,135.00
Jewelry	<u>\$ 63,205.00</u>
TOTAL OF ALL PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FLORIDA REAL ESTATES	\$ <u>131,476.92</u>

All real estate located outside the State of Florida owned by the decedent of which the personal representative is aware, if any, is described on a schedule attached hereto. [If none, so indicate]


NONE

NOTICE: Each residuary beneficiary in a testate estate or heir in an intestate estate has the right to request a written explanation of how the inventory value of any asset was determined, including whether the personal representative obtained an independent appraisal for that asset and from whom the appraisal was obtained. Any other beneficiary may request this information regarding all assets distributed to or proposed to be distributed to that beneficiary.




Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Signed on this 11 day of June, 2013.



ROBERT L. SPALLINA, Esq.
Attorney for Personal Representative
Florida Bar No. 497381
Tescher & Spallina, P.A.
4855 Technology Way, Suite 720
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Telephone: (561) 997-7008
Primary: rspallina@tescherspallina.com
Secondary: kmoran@tescherspallina.com



ROBERT L. SPALLINA, Co-Personal Representative



DONALD R. TESCHER, Co-Personal Representative



PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEREVER LOCATED:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Market Value</u>
Legacy Bank of Florida - Acct. Ending 2587	\$384.25
Wells Fargo - Acct. Ending 1945	1,599.49
Sabadell Bank - Acct. Ending 9414	15,153.18
JP Morgan (4788015220)	77,491.27
JP Morgan (W32585007)	519,266.37
LIC Holdings, Inc. (33% ownership)	UNDETERMINED
Furniture, furnishings, household goods and personal effects	51,135.00
Jewelry	63,205.00
US Life Proceeds	50,800.08
Monarch Life Proceeds	4,000.00
Cincinnati Life Proceeds	7,685.00
Promissory Note from Bernstein Family Realty, LLC (not including accrued interest)	365,000.00

TOTAL OF ALL PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FLORIDA REAL ESTATE \$ 1,155,719.40

All real estate located outside the State of Florida owned by the decedent of which the personal representative is aware, if any, is described on a schedule attached hereto. [If none, so indicate]

NONE

NOTICE: Each residuary beneficiary in a testate estate or heir in an intestate estate has the right to request a written explanation of how the inventory value of any asset was determined, including whether the personal representative obtained an independent appraisal for that asset and from whom the appraisal was obtained. Any other beneficiary may request this information regarding all assets distributed to or proposed to be distributed to that beneficiary.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed on this 21st day of Dec, 2013.



ROBERT L. SPALLINA, Esq.
Attorney for Personal Representative
Florida Bar No. 497381
Teschler & Spallina, P.A.
4855 Technology Way, Suite 720
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Telephone: (561) 997-7008
Primary: rspallina@tescherspallina.com
Secondary: kmoran@tescherspallina.com



ROBERT L. SPALLINA, Co-Personal Representative



DONALD R. TESCHER, Co-Personal Representative



CERTIFIED MAIL™

LAW OFFICES

TESCHER & SPALLIN


BOCA VILLAGE CORPORATE CENTER I
4855 TECHNOLOGY WAY, SUITE 720
BOCA RATON, FLORIDA 33431



7010 1870 0001 8551 2054


PAID BY FL 334

DEC 2011
120
2811# 06.110
6640 BOCA RATON, FL
PB8678131
DEC 27 13
33431



Eliot Bernstein
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434

3343434333



PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEREVER LOCATED:

Description	Estimated Fair Market Value
Sabadell Bank – estate checking account # 15346	\$ 11,735.84
JP Morgan – estate checking account	25,531.59
JP Morgan – estate inherited IRA account # 8004	559,217.78
Promissory Note dated July 1, 2008, payable to Decedent by Bernstein Family Realty LLC	365,000.00
Jewelry (as of 5/14/13 appraisal by A. Matteini & Co.)	63,205.00
Furniture & furnishings (as of 1/22/13 appraisal by Robert A. Hittel)	51,135.00
Reimbursements owed to the Estate by Bernstein Family Realty LLC for expenses and legal fees per Schedule D of the T&S Accounting and per Schedule E of the Amended Accounting of Curator	25,500.00
Reimbursements owed to the Estate by the Simon Bernstein Insurance Trust for legal fees per Schedule D of the T&S Accounting and per Schedule E of the Amended Accounting of Curator	20,000.00
LIC Holdings, Inc. (Company stock held at corporate office per Schedule E of the Amended Accounting of Curator)	Unknown
Objection to the Final Accounting of Personal Representative for the time period of September 13, 2012 through February 28, 2014, dated August 13, 2014	Unknown
Claim for insurance proceeds pending under <u>Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust DTD 6/21/95 v. Heritage Union Life Insurance Company</u> , Case Number 13 cv 3643 (N.D. Ill., E. Div.)	Unknown
Total Personal Property – Wherever Located	\$1,121,325.21

TOTAL OF ALL PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FLORIDA REAL ESTATE

(Except exempt (protected) homestead)

\$ 1,121,325.21


All real estate located outside the State of Florida owned by the decedent of which the Personal Representative is aware, if any, is described on a schedule attached hereto, [If none, so indicate]

NONE KNOWN AT THIS TIME.


NOTICE: Each residuary beneficiary in a testate estate or heir in an intestate estate has the right to request a written explanation of how the inventory value of any asset was determined, including whether the Personal Representative obtained an independent appraisal for that asset and, if so, a copy of the appraisal. Any other beneficiary may request this information regarding all assets distributed to or proposed to be distributed to that beneficiary.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed on December 1, 2014.

94238


ASHLEY N. CRISPIN
Florida Bar # 37495
CIKLIN, LUBITZ, MARTENS, & O'CONNELL
515 North Flagler Drive, 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Telephone No. (561) 832-5900
Facsimile: (561) 833-4209
Primary e-mail: service@ciklinlubitz.com
Secondary email: probateservice@ciklinlubitz.com



BRIAN M. O'CONNELL
Successor Personal Representative

[Print or Type Names Under All Signature Lines]

EXHIBIT E

SHIRLEY INVENTORIES

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

IN RE: Case No. 502011CP000653XXXXSB

ESTATE OF SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

Division: IY

**INVENTORY BY TED S. BERNSTEIN, AS
SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE**

The undersigned Successor Personal Representative of the estate of Shirley Bernstein, deceased, who died December 8, 2010, submits this inventory of all the property of the estate, that has come into the hands, possession, control, or knowledge of this Personal Representative:¹

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA - Exempt (Protected) Homestead: NONE

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA- Non Exempt Homestead: NONE

(Whether or not homestead property is exempt from the claims of creditors, is properly devised and is a probate asset may have to be determined by appropriate proceedings.)

OTHER REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA: NONE

Total Real Estate in Florida - Except Exempt (Protected) Homestead \$ 0.00

PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEREVER LOCATED:

Description: NONE

TOTAL OF ALL PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FLORIDA REAL ESTATE
(Except exempt (protected) homestead) \$ 0.00

¹ This Inventory reports all assets which have come into the possession and knowledge of the undersigned as Successor Personal Representative as of the date of his Appointment. The undersigned did not receive possession of any property disclosed in the initial Personal Representative's Inventory dated August 29, 2011 (attached as Exhibit "A").


All real estate located outside the State of Florida owned by the decedent of which the Personal Representative is aware, if any, is described on a schedule attached hereto, [if none, so indicate].

NONE KNOWN AT THIS TIME.


NOTICE: Each residuary beneficiary in a testate estate or heir in an intestate estate has the right to request a written explanation of how the inventory value of any asset was determined, including whether the Personal Representative obtained an independent appraisal for that asset and, if so, a copy of the appraisal. Any other beneficiary may request this information regarding all assets distributed to or proposed to be distributed to that beneficiary.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed on March 26, 2015.



ALAN B. ROSE
Florida Bar No. 961825
MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE,
KONOPKA, THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 655-2250 Telephone
(561) 655-5537 Facsimile
Email: arose@mrachek-law.com
Secondary: mchandler@mrachek-law.com
Attorneys for Ted S. Bernstein



TED S. BERNSTEIN
Successor Personal Representative

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to all interested persons on the Service List set forth below by: E-mail Electronic Transmission; Facsimile **and** U.S. Mail; U.S. Mail this 30 day of March, 2015.

MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA,
THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 655-2250 Telephone / (561) 655-5537 Facsimile
Email: arose@mrachek-law.com
Secondary: mchandler@mrachek-law.com
Attorneys for Ted S. Bernstein, as Successor Personal
Representative

By: /s/ Alan B. Rose
Alan B. Rose (Fla. Bar No. 961825)

SERVICE LIST

Eliot Bernstein, individually
and Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
as Parents and Natural Guardians of
D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B, Minors
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434
(561) 245-8588 - Telephone
(561) 886-7628 - Cell
(561) 245-8644 - Facsimile
Email: Eliot I. Bernstein (iviewit@iviewit.tv)

John P. Morrissey, Esq.
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 833-0766 - Telephone
(561) 833-0867 - Facsimile
Email: John P. Morrissey
(john@jmorrisseylaw.com)
Counsel for Molly Simon, Alexandra Bernstein,
Eric Bernstein, Michael Bernstein

Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
lisa@friedsteins.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for M.F. and C.F., Minors

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for J.I. a minor

Peter M. Feaman, Esq.
Peter M. Feaman, P.A.
3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
(561) 734-5552 - Telephone
(561) 734-5554 - Facsimile
Email: pfeaman@feamanlaw.com;
service@feamanlaw.com;
mkoskey@feamanlaw.com
Counsel for William Stansbury

Robert Spallina, Esq.
Donald Tescher, Esq.
Tescher & Spallina
925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500
Boca Raton, Florida 33432
rspallina@tescherspallina.com
dtescher@tescherspallina.com

Pam Simon
Pam Simon <psimon@stpcorp.com>

SERVICE LIST

Eliot Bernstein, individually
and Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
as Parents and Natural Guardians of
D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B, Minors
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434
(561) 245-8588 - Telephone
(561) 886-7628 - Cell
(561) 245-8644 - Facsimile
Email: Eliot I. Bernstein (iviewit@iviewit.tv)

John P. Morrissey, Esq.
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 833-0766 - Telephone
(561) 833-0867 - Facsimile
Email: John P. Morrissey
(john@jmorrisseylaw.com)
Counsel for Molly Simon, Alexandra Bernstein,
Eric Bernstein, Michael Bernstein

Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
lisa@friedsteins.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for M.F. and C.F., Minors

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for J.I. a minor

Peter M. Feaman, Esq.
Peter M. Feaman, P.A.
3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
(561) 734-5552 - Telephone
(561) 734-5554 - Facsimile
Email: pfeaman@feamanlaw.com;
service@feamanlaw.com;
mkoskey@feamanlaw.com
Counsel for William Stansbury

Robert Spallina, Esq.
Donald Tescher, Esq.
Tescher & Spallina
925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500
Boca Raton, Florida 33432
rspallina@tescherspallina.com
dtescher@tescherspallina.com

Pam Simon
Pam Simon <psimon@stpcorp.com>

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL
IN RE: ESTATE OF PROBATE DIVISION
SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN File No. 502011CP000653XXXX SB
Deceased.

INVENTORY

The undersigned personal representative of the estate of SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN, deceased, who died on December 8, 2010, and whose social security number is XXX-XX-9749, submits this inventory of all the property of the estate, that has come into the hands, possession, control, or knowledge of these personal representatives:

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA – Exempt (Protected) Homestead:

Description

NONE

REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA – Non-Exempt Homestead:

Description

Estimated Fair Market Value

NONE

(Whether homestead property is exempt from the claims of creditors, whether it is properly devised and whether it is a probate asset may have to be determined by appropriate proceedings.)

OTHER REAL ESTATE IN FLORIDA:

Description

Estimated Fair Market Value

NONE

\$

Total Real Estate in Florida – Except Exempt (Protected) Homestead

\$



PERSONAL PROPERTY WHEREVER LOCATED:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Market Value</u>
Furniture, furnishings, household goods and personal effects	\$ <u>25,000.00 (est.)</u>
TOTAL OF ALL PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FLORIDA REAL ESTATES	\$ <u>25,000.00</u>


All real estate located outside the State of Florida owned by the decedent of which the personal representative is aware, if any, is described on a schedule attached hereto. [If none, so indicate]

NONE

NOTICE: Each residuary beneficiary in a testate estate or heir in an intestate estate has the right to request a written explanation of how the inventory value of any asset was determined, including whether the personal representative obtained an independent appraisal for that asset and from whom the appraisal was obtained. Any other beneficiary may request this information regarding all assets distributed to or proposed to be distributed to that beneficiary.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed on this 29th day of August, 2011.


ROBERT L. SPALLINA, Esq.
Attorney for Personal Representative
Florida Bar No. 497381
Tescher & Spallina, P.A.
4855 Technology Way, Suite 720
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Telephone: (561) 997-7008



SIMON BERNSTEIN, Personal Representative



EXHIBIT F

SIMON TRUST ACCOUNTING

**SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE'S NOTICE OF ACCOUNTING OF
THE SIMON BERNSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST**

From: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

Ted S. Bernstein, as Successor Trustee, hereby gives notice of serving upon all interested persons an accounting of the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust u/a/d 7-25-2012. This accounting is rendered from the date on which the Trustee became accountable, February 3, 2014.

LIMITATION NOTICE

Pursuant to Florida Statute Section 736.1008, this Limitation Notice is provided with respect to the enclosed trust accounting for the Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust u/a/d 7-25-2012, for the period from February 3, 2014 and ending March 15, 2015.

AN ACTION FOR BREACH BASED ON MATTERS DISCLOSED IN A TRUST ACCOUNTING OR OTHER WRITTEN REPORT OF THE TRUSTEE MAY BE SUBJECT TO A SIX (6) MONTH STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FROM THE RECEIPT OF THE TRUST ACCOUNTING OR OTHER WRITTEN REPORT. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONSULT YOUR ATTORNEY.


SUMMARY INFORMATION FOR ATTACHED ACCOUNTING

This summary information is provided pursuant to Florida Statute 736.08135:

Trust name: Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement u/a/d 7-25-2012
Trustee: Ted S. Bernstein
Time Period: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

Signed on March 16, 2015

Successor Trustee:



Ted S. Bernstein

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to all interested persons on the Service List set forth below by: E-mail Electronic Transmission; Facsimile **and** U.S. Mail; U.S. Mail this 30th day of March, 2015.

MRACHEK, FITZGERALD, ROSE, KONOPKA,
THOMAS & WEISS, P.A.
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 655-2250 Telephone / (561) 655-5537 Facsimile
Email: arose@mrachek-law.com
Secondary: mchandler@mrachek-law.com
Attorneys for Ted S. Bernstein, as Successor Trustee

By: /s/ Alan B. Rose
Alan B. Rose (Fla. Bar No. 961825)

SERVICE LIST

Eliot Bernstein, individually
and Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
as Parents and Natural Guardians of
D.B., Ja. B. and Jo. B, Minors
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434
(561) 245-8588 - Telephone
(561) 886-7628 - Cell
(561) 245-8644 - Facsimile
Email: Eliot I. Bernstein (iviewit@iviewit.tv)

John P. Morrissey, Esq.
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 833-0766 - Telephone
(561) 833-0867 - Facsimile
Email: John P. Morrissey
(john@jmorrisseylaw.com)
Counsel for Molly Simon, Alexandra Bernstein,
Eric Bernstein, Michael Bernstein

Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
lisa@friedsteins.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for M.F. and C.F., Minors

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com
Individually and as trustee for her children, and
as natural guardian for J.I. a minor

Peter M. Feaman, Esq.
Peter M. Feaman, P.A.
3695 West Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
(561) 734-5552 - Telephone
(561) 734-5554 - Facsimile
Email: service@feamanlaw.com;
mkoskey@feamanlaw.com
Counsel for William Stansbury

Robert Spallina, Esq.
Donald Tescher, Esq.
Tescher & Spallina
925 South Federal Hwy., Suite 500
Boca Raton, Florida 33432

Brian M. O'Connell, Esq.
Joielle A. Foglietta, Esq.
Ciklin Lubitz Martens & O'Connell
515 N. Flagler Dr., 20th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
561-832-5900 - Telephone
561-833-4209 - Facsimile
Email: boconnell@ciklinlubitz.com;
jfoglietta@ciklinlubitz.com;
service@ciklinlubitz.com;
slobdell@ciklinlubitz.com

Former Curator
Matwiczuk & Brown, LLP
625 North Flagler Drive, Suite 401
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 651-4004 - Telephone
(561) 651-4003 - Facsimile
Email: attorneys@matbrolaw.com

Gary R. Shendell, Esq.
Kenneth S. Pollock, Esq.
Shendell & Pollock, P.L.
2700 N. Military Trail, Suite 150
Boca Raton, FL 33431
(561) 241-2323 - Telephone
(561) 241-2330 - Facsimile
Email: gary@shendellpollock.com
ken@shendellpollock.com
estella@shendellpollock.com
britt@shendellpollock.com
grs@shendellpollock.com

ACCOUNTING OF SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST
BY TED S. BERNSTEIN, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE

Trust: Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement u/a/d 7-25-2012

Trustee: Ted S. Bernstein

Time Period: February 3, 2014 through March 15, 2015

	<u>Income</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>I. Starting Balance</u>			
Assets per Inventory or on Hand at Close of Last Accounting Period		\$30,177.17	\$30,177.17
<u>II. Receipts</u>			
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>III. Disbursements</u>			
	\$0	(\$7,250.00)	(\$7,250.00)
<u>IV. Distributions</u>			
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>V. Capital Transactions and Adjustments</u>			
	\$0	\$0	\$0
<u>VI. Assets of Hand at Close of Accounting Period</u>			
	\$0	\$22,927.17	\$22,927.17

During Tenure of Ted Bernstein as Successor Trustee

Total Assets in existence at time of acceptance of appointment: Feb. 3, 2014

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------|
| 1. | Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP | \$illiquid/undetermined |
| 2. | JP Morgan Account | \$30,177.17 |
| 3. | Expectancy – sole beneficiary of Simon Bernstein Estate | \$ unknown |

Accounting:

1. Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP

No known activity

Value: maximum would be 49% of total value (BFI, LLLP assets = approx. \$436,275 less tax liabilities, expenses)	\$illiquid/undetermined Est. range: \$150,000-200,000
--	--

2. Funds from JP Morgan Account:

Starting balance:	\$30,177.17
Additions:	\$0
Expenses:	
11/19/2014 Expert Witness Fee: Bruce Stone	<u>(\$ 7,250.00)</u>
Ending balance 3-18-15	\$22,927.17*
* Balance at JP Morgan	\$10,000.00
Balance in Mrachek-Law IOTA	\$12,927.17

Additional Informtion

The prior trustees have not done any accounting, formal or informal.

The Successor Trustee has investigated and makes the following report (which does not constitute any accounting required of the prior trustees, including Simon Bernstein, as Settlor/Trustee (initial trustee), or Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina, as Successor Co-Trustees.

**Transactions during trusteeship of
Simon Bersntein, Settlor/Trustees**

No knowlegde. Settlor-Trustee deceased.

**Transactions during trusteeship of
Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina, as Successor Co-Trustees**

Total Assets in existence at time of appointment:

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------------|
| 1. | Interest in Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP | \$ illiquid/undetermined |
| 2. | Bank Accounts or other assets: | \$ none |
| 3. | Expectancy – sole beneficiary of Simon Bernstein Estate | \$ unknown |

Accounting:

1. Transactions involving Bernstein Family Investments, LLLP

Outflows: Several cash distributions made to limited partner,
Simon Bernstein Restated Trust u/a/d 7/25/12:

10/23/12	60,000.00
11/2/12	39,000.00
12/20/13	<u>100,000.00</u>
Total	199,000.00

Ending Value: see above

2. Bank Accounts:

JP Morgan Account (newly opened account)

Starting Balance: \$0

<u>Transactions</u>				
DATE	DESCRIPTION	DEPOSIT	WITHDRAWAL	
10/23/12	Deposit from LLLP	60,000.00		
11/2/12	Deposit from LLLP	39,000.00		
12/26/2012	Fees - CBIZ (tax return Jill Trust)			(500.00)
	Fees - CBIZ (tax return Lisa Trust)			(500.00)
	Fees - CBIZ (tax return Eliot Trust)			(500.00)
	Fees - CBIZ (Bernstein Holdings, LLC)			(595.05)
	Fees - CBIZ (Bernstein Holdings, LLC)			(8,237.60)
10/1/2013	Fees - T&S Professional Fees			(15,146.12)
10/16/2013	Fees - Mark Manceri (Stansbury litigation)			(8,277.00)
11/25/2013	Fees - T&S Professional Fees			(15,067.06)
12/20/13	Deposit from LLLP	100,000.00		
01/15/2014	<u>Internal Revenue Service</u>			<u>(120,000.00)</u>
	TOTALS	Receipts	199,000.00	
		Payments		(168,882.83)
	Ending balance @ Tescher Resignation		\$30,177.17	

Under penalties of perjury, the undersigned Trustee declares that I have read and examined this accounting and that the facts and figures set forth in are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that it is a complete report of all cash and property transactions and of all receipts and disbursements by me as Trustee from February 3, 2014 to March 15, 2015.

Signed on March 26, 2015

Successor Trustee:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ted S. Bernstein", written over a horizontal line.

Ted S. Bernstein