
A. I am the co-owner of Nestler Poletto Sotheby's International Realty as a real estate broker.
Q. I have attached -- Exhibit 1 is a page from your Web site that says, "About John Poletto." Is that an accurate page from your Web site that describes some of your professional background?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. ROSE: I move Exhibit 1 into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection? It's his CV.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Number 1.
(Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification
and admitted in evidence.)
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. Is your firm the listing agent for the house at 7020 Lions Head Lane owned by the Shirley Bernstein Trust?
A. Yes.
Q. How long has that house been on the market?
A. One thousand one hundred fifty days.
Q. How does that compare to other listings in your firm?
A. It is one of the longest on record in the last five years.
Q. And the house was initially listed by whom?
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A. Initially listed by our company, myself.
Q. Who owned the house or who was the --
A. Si Bernstein.
Q. Do you recall when that was listed?
A. Original listing date was January 24, 2012.

MR. ROSE: For the record, Mr. Bernstein died in September of 2012.
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. What did you think of the price when it was listed?
A. It was extremely high.
Q. Can you tell the Court why it was listed that high?
A. Mr. Bernstein had another residence on the ocean, and he was spending time between both residences and wasn't motivated at that moment to sell.

THE COURT: What was the listing amount?
THE WITNESS: On the other property?
THE COURT: On this property.
THE WITNESS: On this property, three million
four-fifty.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. Did you provide an affidavit at my request?
A. I did.
Q. Before you signed it, did you read it and edit it?
A. I did.

MR. ROSE: Exhibit 2, Your Honor, is the Affidavit of John Poletto. I would move that into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection to his affidavit?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Well, I havent
had any time to review any of this stuff.
THE COURT: We had this hearing on an expedited basis. That's not a legal basis not to admit it. Okay. Number 2.
(Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification and admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. Is there a pending contract on the house?
A. Yes.
Q. How much is -- what's the price of the pending contract?
A. 1.1 million.
Q. Is it your belief that -- a recommendation that that offer should be accepted by the trustee?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that offer represent, in your opinion, the current fair market value of the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the Court if you have any --
well, specific experience in the St. Andrews community where this particular house is located?
A. I started with the developer in 1983, and -with new sales in the community. 1989 my partner, Mark Nestler, and I established our realty company called Nestler Poletto Realty. We have since 1983, on the new sales and resale side, sold over 750 new and resale homes within the community.
Q. Where do you currently live?
A. In St. Andrews Country Club for the last 25 years.
Q. Does your firm have a number of other houses currently listed in the St. Andrews community?
A. Yes. Currently about 12.
Q. Okay. Was the asking price lowered at some point from what Simon Bernstein had initially told you to sell the house for?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you briefly tell the Court the short history of that?
A. The short history, started with a number that Si Bernstein knew, at the outset, was completely a start number. We went from -- over the course of time

| 1 | down into about -- we broke the two million range in |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | July of 2013. We went to one million nine ninety-five, taking it down consistently based upon showings. We |
| 4 | had traffic and showings, but were simply not getting |
| 5 | offers. |
| 6 | Q. Did you have the house -- did you suggest |
| 7 | that the house be appraised? |
| 8 | A. In all -- same situations, I recommended it |
| 9 | to all clients. |
| 10 | Q. Was the house, in fact, appraised? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor? |
| 13 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 14 | MR. ROSE: I just need to give Mr. Bernstein |
| 15 | his copies. |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, we've never |
| 17 | seen this. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Well, he's showing it to you. |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's the first time |
| 20 | we're getting this stuff, okay, just on the |
| 21 | record -- |
| 22 | MR. ROSE: This is 3 and this is 4. |
| 23 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- and we're |
| 24 | beneficiaries. |
| 25 |  |
|  | 10 |
| 1 | (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for |
| 2 | identification.) |
| 3 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 4 | Q. I'm going to hand you what's marked as |
| 5 | Exhibit 3. Is this a contract between a buyer, whose |
| 6 | name has been redacted, and the Shirley Bernstein |
| 7 | Trust? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. For \$1.1 million? |
| 10 | A. That's correct. |
| 11 | Q. Look at -- paragraph 4 on the first page says |
| 12 | that the closing was to be on April 20, 2015 -- |
| 13 | Do you see that? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. -- or before. |
| 16 | Did circumstances arise after this was signed |
| 17 | that necessitated an earlier or more immediate closing? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Can you just tell the Court briefly? |
| 20 | A. As of April 1st of this year the membership |
| 21 | is going to increase $\$ 30,000$. Current membership is |
| 22 | \$95,000. It will be going to $\$ 125,000$. |
| 23 | Q. When the house was appraised, were you given |
| 24 | a copy of the appraisal? |
| 25 | A. I was not. |

MR. ROSE: I would move the appraisal in as Exhibit 4.

THE COURT: Any objection to the appraisal?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No.
THE COURT: Number 4.
(Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification
and admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. I'm going to show you an appraisal that was done in July of 2014, and I believe comes out as an indicated value in the range of one million two or one million two fifty?
A. Correct.
Q. Is that consistent with your memory?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, based upon recent comps in the neighborhood, do you have a belief whether $\$ 1.1$ million is a reasonable price for this house?
A. I'll give these to you so you can have them for the record. A property that was originally listed that we had listed for over \$3 million, and was reduced over the course of time over the course of one year, 320 days, list price of one million eight ninety-nine at 7876 square feet of living space, that property sold for 1.3 million, and just closed as of March 11 th and
is the most recent sale, and that sold for $\$ 165$ a square foot. This home, it was updated and in really exceptional condition. That is one comparable sale. A second comparable sale on 7106 Ayrshire Lane, which by the way, this property also is at 7154 Ayrshire Lane. The second property at the 7106 Ayrshire Lane was listed originally at one nine seven five is 7,594 square feet of living space -- both of these homes are substantially larger than the subject house -- on the market for a fourth time, but sold for one million three seventy, and that was almost 7600 square feet. That cost per square foot was $\$ 180 \mathrm{a}$ square foot. The proposed number we have is $\$ 177$ a square foot. These are the two most recent sales that are within the last six months.
Q. How many times have you shown this, the Bernstein house?
A. Over 75 times over the time period.
Q. How would you describe the layout? Is it a layout that is attractive to most buyers?
A. Si Bernstein bought this house on a builder foreclosure, and the reason was this particular builder had three homes that did not hit the marketplace as to what properties -- what buyers were buying in St. Andrews. Mr. Si, actually, and his wife purchased

|  | 13 |  | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the home and customized the homes themselves. It | 1 | A. By no means. |
| 2 | doesn't have a floor plan that is consistent with the | 2 | Q. And, in your opinion, you would recommend |
| 3 | buyers for St. Andrews. | 3 | that the Court approve the transaction as structured? |
| 4 | Q. And what's the condition of the house | 4 | A. In my opinion. |
| 5 | currently? | 5 | MR. ROSE: Nothing further. Thank you, sir. |
| 6 | A. Well, the biggest factor is it's all Spanish; | 6 | THE COURT: Cross. |
| 7 | Spanish driveway tiles, interior Spanish tile floors, | 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, do I get an |
| 8 | interior -- or exterior patio all terra cotta tile. | 8 | opening statement in this hearing? |
| 9 | Most people would tear all of that out. | 9 | THE COURT: No. |
| 10 | Q. Can you tell the Court how much you would | 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I don't think I need to |
| 11 | expect the new buyer to spend on the house in | 11 | get cross of him if I can get my statement out. |
| 12 | renovations? | 12 | THE COURT: No. Because opening statements |
| 13 | A. In excess of 600,000. | 13 | are not evidence, so I'm going to let you testify. |
| 14 | Q. Have there been any prior written offers in | 14 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Put in evidence |
| 15 | excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ ? Written offers. | 15 | and stuff? |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | THE COURT: Yeah. Now is the time for |
| 17 | Q. Have there been any prior oral offers in | 17 | cross-examination. |
| 18 | excess of $\$ 1,000,000$ ? | 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I reserve that? |
| 19 | A. Four months ago we received an oral offer of | 19 | THE COURT: Reserve what? |
| 20 | approximately 1.1 million. | 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The time to |
| 21 | Q. What happened to that offer? | 21 | cross-examine. |
| 22 | A. The buyer was able to get his builder into | 22 | THE COURT: Yeah. Sure, you can. I'll let |
| 23 | the property and determine the home needed too much | 23 | you do that. |
| 24 | work for them to consider it seriously, so it never | 24 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure I need |
| 25 | went to a written contract offer. | 25 | anything from him. |
|  | 14 |  | 16 |
| 1 | Q. Have the dealings with this particular buyer | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. He's reserving on cross. |
| 2 | been arm's length and conducted in good faith? | 2 | Keep him here just to see if we need him. |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | Any other witnesses? |
| 4 | Q. Did they initially have a lower offer and it | 4 | MR. ROSE: The only other witness I would |
| 5 | was negotiated to being higher? | 5 | call would be Ted Bernstein. |
| 6 | A. Correct. Well, let me counter that. Their | 6 | Just as a proffer, all he would say is that |
| 7 | initial conversation with me was a number in the nine | 7 | there's a contract, he signed it, and he wants to |
| 8 | to nine fifty range, and I simply said that, in my | 8 | close, and he followed the advice of the |
| 9 | opinion, that that would not even receive a response. | 9 | professional realtors he hired. |
| 10 | Q. And then they made an offer, there was a | 10 | THE COURT: Well, I'm not accepting a |
| 11 | counteroffer. That's in your Affidavit. The 1.1 was | 11 | proffer. Put him on or not. |
| 12 | indicated as their best and final offer? | 12 | MR. ROSE: I'll put Ted on the stand. |
| 13 | A. And we -- on behalf of the Bernstein estate, | 13 | THE COURT: Stay here. |
| 14 | we countered the $\$ 1.1$ million offer unfurnished, as is. | 14 | Thereupon, |
| 15 | It was countered at one million one fifty. The buyer | 15 | (TED BERNSTEIN) |
| 16 | rejected that counter. | 16 | having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined |
| 17 | Q. Do you agree with the statement that although | 17 | and testified as follows: |
| 18 | there's an appraisal, the best evidence of the market | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. |
| 19 | value of the property would be putting it on the market | 19 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 20 | for an extended period of time and showing it to a | 20 | BY MR. ROSE: |
| 21 | number of buyers? | 21 | Q. Did you receive the offer that's embodied in |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | the contract that's marked as Exhibit 3? |
| 23 | Q. In your opinion, this offer is -- | 23 | A. Yes, I did. |
| 24 | Strike that. | 24 | Q. And is it your opinion that that is in the |
| 25 | Is this a fire sale price? | 25 | best interest of the trust and the beneficiaries of the |

trust?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Have you followed the advice and counsel of the professional realtors that you hired and you just heard testify?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's the carrying cost of the house if it's not sold under this contract, approximately, per month?
A. Six, $\$ 7,000$ per month.

MR. ROSE: Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any questions?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. Can I examine him?

THE COURT: Yeah. That's what
cross-examination means.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Can I submit some things into evidence?

THE COURT: Give them to Marias and he'll -it's not in evidence. You have to show it to him first.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Who, Ted?
THE COURT: Yes, if that's what you want to
do with them. Go ahead.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Do I have to mark it in
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evidence?
THE COURT: Let's wait. Give him a document, ask him what you want. If you want to move it in, I'll consider it.

CROSS (TED BERNSTEIN)
BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
Q. Ted, are you familiar with that report that's
a Palm Beach County sheriff's report?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevancy.
THE COURT: I don't know what it says. Do you have an extra copy for me?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't have a lot of
time to prepare three copies for today's hearing,
but I've got two, one for you. They have 50
copies of this. We sent it in 20 pleadings.
MR. ROSE: This is dealing with issues
about --
THE COURT: I want to see it.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Oh. Show it to you?
THE COURT: So the question is: Is he familiar with this document purportedly authored by the sheriff's office? Is that the question?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct.
THE COURT: You can answer yes or no. Are you familiar with it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am familiar with it. THE COURT: Go ahead. Next question. MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I use that? THE COURT: Yeah. BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
Q. Okay. Can you turn to page 5, the second paragraph? It's highlighted. It says the following, correct, "He said" -- that would be you, Ted -- or Spallina, your attorney who was --

Was Robert Spallina, Ted, your attorney as
trustee and PR of the estate and trust of Shirley?
MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance. Beyond th scope.

THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.
Was he?
THE WITNESS: Was he counsel for me for the Shirley Bernstein Trust?
BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
Q. And Shirley Bernstein when you were PR.
A. I'm not sure about PR. They were counsel for the Shirley Bernstein trust for a period.
Q. So the trust that's central to this piece of property; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So he, Spallina, said, though, that
"Against" --
THE COURT: Here's the thing. You don't know this, but you're reading from a document not in evidence --

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I submit it? THE COURT: -- and the author of it, of the document, is the sheriff's office, I assume is what you're telling me, and they are quoting someone who is not here, Mr. Spallina, so there's multiple evidentiary problems there.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I go to ones when Ted speaks to the sheriff?

THE COURT: Well, just ask him the question without referring to the document. Is this true? BY MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN:
Q. Is it true that against -- that your counsel, Spallina, admitted that against his better judgment, he altered the first page of the first amendment to the Shirley Bernstein Trust Agreement that you're trustee for; right?

MR. ROSE: Objection. Relevance.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: All relevant.
MR. ROSE: We are only here to decide whether the sale of this particular property makes sense.

THE COURT: Slow down.
that.
Looks like the date of the contract is March 16, 2015. Does that sound right? THE WITNESS: It does, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So when this contract was executed, did you provide notice to anyone of the fact that the property that you said you had noticed them was being listed, was now under contract?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, I did. There are several other beneficiaries, obviously, so yes, I did. I know that Alan Rose has been in communication with Brian O'Connell, so, yes, the beneficiaries, many of the beneficiaries were aware of the sale of the property.

THE COURT: Well, did you do -- deal with the disseminate of the information about the contract or did you leave it to someone else to do that?

THE WITNESS: I believe it was left to Alan Rose.

THE COURT: All right. So do you know whether Eliot Bernstein received notice?

THE WITNESS: I believe that he did through Mr. O'Connell. That's my belief.

THE COURT: So -- all right.
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Next time -- Eliot Bernstein, the next time you laugh --

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I didn't laugh.
THE COURT: I heard you.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I coughed. I took a sip and coughed.

THE COURT: Well, you know what? Go outside and cough.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. I did not laugh.

THE COURT: You know what? There was a while that you were behaving well.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am.
THE COURT: You're getting worse now, because noise comes from you, gestures come from you, okay? Every time you do that two things happen. It's disruptive, just like it is now, okay, and it makes the presentation of your case worse. So if you have a desire to hurt yourself, continue to do this until eventually I stop you, okay? So I'm warning you, on the record, cut that out. No more disruptions. Speak only when I ask you to speak, and I'll always give you time to do it.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: No noise, no raising and flailing
your hands. No one else does that, only you. Cut it out. Understood?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will, yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
So I want to get to the heart of this.
Miss Foglietta, you're here for?
MS. FOGLIETTA: Mr. O'Connell.
THE COURT: Did you have notice of this sale from Ted Bernstein?

MS. FOGLIETTA: No. The first notice I
received was from Eliot and then I called
Mr. Rose, who then told me he was just about to file a motion, and call my office and advise us of it.

THE COURT: When was that?
MS. FOGLIETTA: Monday afternoon.
THE COURT: Today is the 26 th, so Monday was the 23 rd .

Mr. Rose, tell me and show me the notices that you gave of this contract being executed on the 16th by Ted. Tell me and then show me. I mean, put aside tell me. Show me, because Ted says he didn't do it, he allowed you to do it. Show me the notices that you gave. I don't want -- there's no words here. Hand them to me.

MR. ROSE: It's the motion we filed.
THE COURT: Okay. The motion. The first time notice of this contract went to the beneficiaries or the PR was when you filed the motion?

MR. ROSE: Correct.
THE COURT: When was that?
MR. ROSE: To correct Your Honor, though, Ted signed it on the 18th, not the 16th.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. ROSE: That was a Wednesday. I was out of town. The buyers had seven days to do an inspection and cancel the contract. As soon as I got back to the office Monday, we prepared a motion to approve and we sent it to everybody.

THE COURT: Did you send it to the PR's office?

MR. ROSE: I did.
THE COURT: Well, you just heard that they said they didn't know about it until Eliot told them.

MR. ROSE: The sequence of the events is Ted signed the contract, it looks like, on the 18th. The buyers were doing their inspections. The realtors changed the listing from listed to a
there, I believe.
MR. POLETTO: The addendum takes it to the 31st of March. The reason being for that, Judge, is because St. Andrews Country Club, the issue was in order to close and take advantage --

THE COURT: I'm looking at the exhibit to see if I see that in here.

MR. POLETTO: It's in the addendum.
MR. ROSE: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: I have it here. It's attached.
MR. ROSE: It's on paragraph 20. I can show it to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me read it.
MR. ROSE: The actual --
THE COURT: I'm reading the Addendum. Okay. Well, the Addendum that was signed on the 18th says that the "Buyer agrees to make full and complete application for golf membership including payment of $\$ 95,000$ for it." And it's contingent upon -- "The contract is contingent upon buyer being able to be approved for a golf membership."

So has he made the application?
MR. POLETTO: Yes.
THE COURT: Is he approved?
MR. POLETTO: He is in the process right now
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of being approved, yes. There's no reason that he would not be approved.

THE COURT: All right. So in the contract itself, which date is also the 18th, it says that "Buyer wants to take advantage of the country club equity incentive and must close by $3 / 31 / 15$."

MR. POLETTO: Correct.
THE COURT: So is the price increasing from 95 to 125 , or is it 125 , but there's an incentive to have -- if someone closes by the end of March, they will reduce it to 95 ?

MR. POLETTO: It's increasing as of April 1st by $\$ 30,000$.

THE COURT: So if I don't let this close on the 31st because of the objections made to the rush aspect of this, what happens to this contract?

MR. POLETTO: It will cost \$30,000 more and the buyer has the right to cancel.

THE COURT: All right. Too bad. Motion is denied.

Your notice is vastly inadequate, okay, and I'm concerned that, for the record, that even the PR really didn't know, and there's other things that the PR didn't know that I have to sift out
about this transaction. It may be reasonable, okay, the price, but because of what goes on in this case, there needs to be notice.

And Eliot raised a good point. You know, if he hears that there's a buyer for 1.1 million, he then, or anyone else, can make an offer to match that, not that he's going to do that, but they are entitled to notice. And the problem here,
Mr. Rose, is I don't see how I can eliminate notice here, and the notice is just inadequate. It doesn't -- they are getting their copies of the contracts today. That's when they just said they got it.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: We don't have the right one.

THE COURT: It's, apparently, the right one because it refers to the -- to that.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: So, you know -- I mean, there's a due process aspect of getting -- in a contested case like this, where people want to look and see, in dealing with the history of not you, but your predecessors admitted to improper dealings with things, they want to be able to look in and they're -- you know, Eliot is going to demand
every page be analyzed and every leaf be overturned because when Spallina and Tescher were involved with this, a lot of bad things clearly happened. Now, could that all wind up going nowhere beyond Spallina and Tescher? The answe is yes. You know, is there any other wrongdoing? I haven't found it yet. I keep hearing about it, but I haven't found it yet.

But this stuff has to be flushed out, and so these buyers are going to have to -- I mean, I can't rush this through in this count to try to save the buyers -- the buyers -- $\$ 30,000$. Okay. If you think that it's going to kill the deal, kill the deal or, Mr. Poletto, take it out of your commission, okay, if you want. So if I hear that, okay, that doesn't even cure it because they want notice, meaning you can tell them that you will, not the trust, but you will pay that $\$ 30,000$. I'm not telling you to do this, but I know what realtors do to make deals go through. If they are going to back out, and after notice is given, you know, they are still willing to buy by the 20th, because April 20th is now the closing date, but for the rush part of this, so everyone is on notice that is now the target date of this, okay?

So everyone has to do their due diligence by that date, or then by that date I'll make a decision as to allow this to be sold or not. So far, what I heard, makes the price sound reasonable.

Eliot, when you say, but, Judge, why would the value of this home keep on going down when real estate is going up? The answer is, it is what it is, unless you show me otherwise. They have an appraisal that has an appraised value of this close to the amount that they are selling it, and the realtor explained appropriately about the conditions of the home, and it's been on the market for a long time, and so, you know, no one is buying this, and it's not a good idea to let a sale go that may not be able to be retrieved. Because I'm not worried about what happens with the consequences of this sale, in that, clearly, if it takes place, this money goes into escrow, that is the net proceeds to the trust, and cannot be used for any purpose, can't be used for fees, can't be used for costs. I mean --

MR. ROSE: I would a hundred percent agree with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. So -- but, you know, both -- I mean Miss Foglietta even was
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concerned about the rush job of this -- did I state that accurate -- being that the personal property --

MS. FOGLIETTA: The personal property, we haven't seen it.

THE COURT: So this has got to be flushed out, and for $\$ 30,000$, you know, we can deal with that and have the closing by April 20th.

MR. ROSE: Just for the record, just on the notice issue --

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. ROSE: -- I mean the trust document does not require notice. The trustee is empowered to buy and sell real estate without notice to anybody. We fully intended to make disclosure, and we did it. The dates here -- I mean, I realize Your Honor is saying it's a short notice, but the contract was signed. It wasn't even final or binding until they did their inspection, but we did get the notice out on Monday. The Affidavit of Mr. Poletto was attached on Monday.

THE COURT: I'm not faulting -- it became a rush because -- this would not be happening, everyone concedes, but for what's going on at the country club in the raise of the $\$ 30,000$. You
say -- your client's agreeing to that.
MR. ROSE: I wasn't saying no.
THE COURT: Your client is saying yes to that.

MR. ROSE: It was also part of the inspection, that the buyers had the right to cancel, so they said we'll take it as is with no -- you don't have to do anything, or give us any money for anything that is broken or needs fixed.

THE COURT: Don't change that. But if it's just a question between the April 20th date and March 31st of the 30,000, you folks can think of something creative to keep this deal alive.

MR. ROSE: I don't think it would be fair --
THE COURT: I'm not making him --
MR. ROSE: Al's total commission I think would be $\$ 33,000$, and then he's going to pay 30 of it after he's marketed the property for --

THE COURT: Well, then, don't do that because that's not reasonable. I'm not suggesting that you do that. I wasn't even having in mind how much your commission was.

MR. ROSE: What would you like us to do with the buyers, advise them of what, we're going to
have another hearing to determine if the price is adequate? I think --

THE COURT: I don't have -- I mean -- okay. So I don't have any problem with the price, and I've heard no evidence -- and this was a chance for Eliot to present that, that there's a problem with the price. The problem is is that he's allowed to do other things, like review the contract, and something else that he may want to present, if he can, that makes it inappropriate to have the sale at the 1.1 million, okay? But I'm not -- I mean, there's nothing that I see that suggests it. Eliot may present a witness who says that the fair market value of this house should be much more than 1.1 million, but I would need hard core evidence of that. Not Eliot, he's not qualified to do it; not Zillow, not Houzz. They are not competent evidence to do that. You have to have a hard core person to do that. And I would weigh, then, that opinion, based upon the fact that now there's an actual buyer who is going to do an as-is cash contract. And so that's -you know, cash is king, okay, from my point of view. But I can tell you, if this deal goes down the tubes, it's over your objection, and if you
issue.
MR. ROSE: I apologize.
THE COURT: So one part of my ruling is that, because Eliot is objecting and says there's a good faith basis for this sale not to go through whereby the -- what's going to happen is there's going to be a change in the asset structure of the trust from property to cash. Eliot is objecting to that.

All right. So, Eliot, I'm going to make this clear, I'm going to right it. If the buyers here, by telling me that the Pony Express told them that there was litigation about this, I know it's coming from you, okay? I am ordering you not to discuss, not to disseminate, or not to give any notice that you think needs to go to the buyers, okay? And if I find out that the buyers find out about the stuff that you just asked Mr. Poletto about, I'm only going to look to you, and then you and I are going to have issues, okay?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I hear it.
THE COURT: Because you may think it's good to do that huffing that you do to Mr. Poletto by telling him all of that stuff that you think has some merit, that I have never found to have any
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merit yet, okay, so I haven't found the conspiracy, I haven't removed Ted, I haven't found that it matters who's trustee in order to go ahead and sell this for the benefit of the trust. I might wind up finding that all of the things you're doing is harmful to the trust and the trust beneficiaries, okay. I haven't gotten there yet because that's why I'm trying to push this matter through.

So we're going to take this one small step at a time, but I'm ordering an injunction against you not to contact the buyers directly or indirectly with respect to any information concerning this transaction. Understood?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes. I've never contacted any buyers.

THE COURT: But you're suggesting that they need to know that.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm suggesting if he knew it.

THE COURT: But that's not the point.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. That's fine. I understood what you said.

THE COURT: That's not your job.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: My next question was:

Did you get a lis pendens I filed in this matter?
THE COURT: Doesn't matter. I got it. They are told that it's filed.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So are the buyers awar there's a lis pendens? I'm not allowed to ask that?

THE COURT: No, you're not allowed to ask that. I don't know who you gave notice to. If you sent notice to the contract -- if you sent notice of this lis pendens to a contract buyer, you're going to be right back in court here so quickly your head is going to ring.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not going to talk to the contract buyer.

THE COURT: No, but I don't want you to send something to them.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not going to send anything to the buyer. I would never do that.
I'm not doing that.
THE COURT: Because, obviously, if there's a sale --

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm assuring you, I am assuring you on that.

THE COURT: -- because if we have a sale, the lis pendens is going to go away. They can't clear
title. It can't be sold over that.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Correct. Now, I don't think I filed it properly in the county and recorded it with the thing.

THE COURT: If you improperly file a lis pendens, you're at risk for whatever it is you did, so withdraw your lis pendens then, if that's the case.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm not quite sure I filed it wrong. I'm pro se. We will have to get to that. But the point was, you asked that everything come to you in this case.

THE COURT: But you didn't do it with the lis pendens.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did. I gave it to you in October.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but I didn't approve it. I held it because I didn't order it being filed. You did send it.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: In the meantime, all of a sudden, we get alerted there's a pending sale. That would have denied me the due process to file a lis pendens properly.

THE COURT: No, no. All you had to do was -he went ahead and gave notice because you can't
sell it without getting court approval, okay, and so once he filed to get court approval, you had notice, he gave you notice of the hearing.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's just the other day.

THE COURT: That's when this all started.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I filed the lis pendens first --

THE COURT: All right.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- prior to his --
THE COURT: So what do you want, Ted?
MR. TED BERNSTEIN: I know you have something
else pressing, but I'm concerned I don't understand something Mr. Poletto said and I want to make sure in court I'm clear.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Did you say that there's a chance the buyer backs out of this contract if it's not done by March 31st, just walks away from the deal?

MR. POLETTO: That's correct.
THE COURT: I didn't see that as a contingency.

MR. POLETTO: I actually have another document.
that seems to be important is an emergency. You may have an important reason, and I think you do, to try to sell this by the 31 st. It may keep this deal alive, and it may save someone $\$ 30,000$, but I can't use that as a basis to deny the beneficiaries the chance, now that we kind of agree that they have a right to be involved in this, to some degree, not contacting the buyers, so there's a trade-off here in that. And so, you know, if this was life or death, I would go for life, but it's not life or death. This is a piece of property. The property will just stay, if this buyer backs out. If the buyer backs out, I'll deal with that.

Ted, what else?
MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, just to clarify for me, what things can the beneficiaries do to help bring whatever they want to bring to bear to make this happen faster so that we, at least, know what the expectations are?

THE COURT: That's a good question. The answer is, now that the beneficiaries have notice, okay, if they have an objection that's bona fide, that's based upon a -- for example, here's a potential bona fide basis. No matter what

MR. ROSE: I haven't allowed my client to sign the addendum because the addendum is -- they had a chance to inspect or cancel. They exercised their right, and said we will accept it as is if it closes by March 31st. I have not let him sign that because we -- I mean, I don't know that we technically need court approval to sell property in trust, but in this case, we wanted your approval.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. ROSE: We were not going to do it otherwise, sir.

THE COURT: It's one and the same.
MR. ROSE: If we don't sign that, then there's no contract at all and the buyers are not bound by anything, and ultimately what's going to have to happen is we're going to have to lower the asking price by $\$ 30,000$ to get these guys to go forward.

THE COURT: For right now, subject to me assessing the financial consequence of that to the person who caused that sale not to go through, I mean, that's what I reserve. But, Mr. Rose, part of the problem is, it's like when I tell people when they file emergency hearings, not everything
testimony I've heard about the reasonableness of this, the property is really worth more, and if it was, that's something that you, Ted, would want to know, and so let's say --

MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Yes.
THE COURT: -- I don't know who did that appraisal, but a bona fide appraiser said, for some reason -- this is James Hackett, okay -- and some other MAI appraiser said, no way, you know, Ted, this is a 1.4 or $\$ 1.5$ million deal, you may want to know that.

MR. TED BERNSTEIN: Definitely.
THE COURT: So that's one thing, because I'm told that it's not like the history of the listings, as they have decreased and the appraisal has been shared -- this appraisal was done as July of 2014, so did anyone -- did the beneficiaries get this appraisal?

MR. ROSE: No, Your Honor. In fact, I would not -- I would like you, if you would, ore tenus, to expand your injunction to prohibit the filing in the public records of any of these exhibits, including the appraisal and --

THE COURT: I'm holding on to the exhibits. I'm not filing them.
done. It's always been something that's on our plate.

THE COURT: So inside this house is what that belongs to the estate?

MS. FOGLIETTA: Personal property of the decedents. Furniture -- I haven't seen it myself, but I believe it's furniture, things that are boxed, all sorts of trinkets and things, but the way that I understand it, it's boxed, tangible personal property, and also personal property that's just outside.

THE COURT: And none of that is being sold with the unit; is that true?

MR. POLETTO: Correct.
MS. FOGLIETTA: That's what I have been told. I haven't seen the contract.

THE COURT: So everything, then, other than things that are not to be detached, is going to leave there and go to the estate, is that the idea?

MS. FOGLIETTA: Yes.
MR. ROSE: It is. I would suggest, if there's not a closing, you don't empty the house.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand that.
MR. ROSE: There's two different issues.

They can do their inspection. I have no problem with that.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: And we understand there's an increased cost. I don't believe they should do another reappraisal.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know that I need an appraisal now. If you want to, in anticipation that there's going to be a closing and that the items of personalty are going to leave there and go somewhere else, and where will be at the direction of the PR; you'll say where you want it -- you know, the idea of having an appraisal is something we can wait on because -- I mean, the items are in the house now and ultimately they will be taken out of the house.

MS. FOGLIETTA: The only reason we were asking that is because we have to have Hall and Hall already go to the house to do the inspection, and since he will already be there charging the same rate, we figured we might as well just have the appraisal all done at the same time rather than having him have to go back and us come back on another motion, you know, and go through that whole thing, so if we could just do it all at the
same time --
THE COURT: How much is this going to cost, though?

MS. FOGLIETTA: It depends how much is in the house. I don't know. It could take one day, it could, at the most, take two days. From what I've been told from Mr. Rose, there's not all that much there. I'm hoping it will be one day, but it's hard for me to say without actually having --

THE COURT: Well, one day and between the two people, that's $\$ 250$ an hour, so eight hours, that now could be, you know --

MS. FOGLIETTA: And if he's got to go there, anyway, to do the inspection, he might as well do the appraisal.

THE COURT: The appraisal is included in that time frame.

MS. FOGLIETTA: That's right, Judge, yes, it's for both. That's the rate we were quoted for both. So I would ask that, you know, so that he doesn't have to go back and incur additional fees, so we don't have to file another motion, incur additional fees, that we could do the inspection, the appraisal, and then take possession and store --

THE COURT: Well, not possession, because i needs to sell with furniture in it. That makes it more --

MS. FOGLIETTA: We could hold off on that. That was only in anticipation of the sale, Judge, that portion of the motion.

THE COURT: Okay. So inspect and appraise. Approved to inspect, appraise, but not remove.

MS. FOGLIETTA: And then the next thing, Judge, part of this motion was inspection of some office property, which we briefly spoke about yesterday. Mr. Rose said there's nothing there, but I just wanted to be clear that Your Honor still, you know, allows us to go ahead and inspect it and appraise that.

THE COURT: You're allowed to inspect the property under the rules, true? Yes.

MS. FOGLIETTA: And then Mr. Rose agreed yesterday that the trust would pay for, and Eliot had no objection, the inspection and appraisal of the home -- of the property in the St. Andrews home and we would ask for the same thing for the office stuff, although it seems like there might be nothing there. There may be no cost associated with it.

THE COURT: Well, if you have an assistant, if there's nothing in there, that cost could be minimized by someone verifying there's nothing in there.

MS. FOGLIETTA: That's correct, Judge.
THE COURT: That sounds like it's a quick thing.

MS. FOGLIETTA: And then two other things. The prior order that you have in front of you did limit Ted and Eliot from going in, and then Your Honor made -- whether it was a ruling or not, I don't know -- but you made a statement at yesterday's hearing that Eliot was allowed in and was allowed to video it, so I just wanted Your Honor to know there was a prior order that you entered and I wanted to make sure that the estate wasn't doing anything contrary to either your oral statement yesterday or written ruling prior.

THE COURT: Let me look and think about this. Okay. I'm going to reverse myself.

Eliot, you're not going in.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, can I explain why you made that decision?

THE COURT: I'm leaving everything in there.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: This is very important.
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THE COURT: What? Go ahead.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The reason you made that decision yesterday is because Joi found out yesterday that -- not the only thing in the house is supposed to be my dad's house stuff, 4,000 square feet of furniture and possessions of my mother's condominium are also supposed to be in that house. You made a court order to inspect those items at that property. We just found out yesterday from Joi that there are claims that Mr. Rose has, or Ted, somebody has sold, or otherwise moved properties.

THE COURT: So what's there to inspect?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, to find out what's missing from --

THE COURT: Here's the thing: The appraiser that goes in is going to not look at title, but look at items in the house. They will photograph and prepare a report of what's in there. They are not going to remove it. It is staying in there, so I'm not sure what you're getting at.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They are saying nothing is left.

THE COURT: Nothing left of what?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Of the personal
property.
THE COURT: Well, no, there is personal property.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Of Si, not of Shirley.
THE COURT: But, see, the appraiser is not determining title. He's going to determine what's in there and what it's worth.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, the Court was told one thing. He had no right to sell personal property, meaning that was in their custody.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not dealing with -- if something is sold, I'll deal with that.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I'm a beneficiary. Why would you preclude me from the inspection?

THE COURT: Because I want to. I think you'll be disruptive.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I won't.
THE COURT: I'm ruling on that.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I promise you not to be disruptive in any way.

THE COURT: The answer is no. You can be present, you can stay outside, you can watch the appraiser go in. If he wants to speak to you, he can, but you can't make him. He's going to look at whatever is in there, he inventories, he
photos, he does all of that, and then it's available to be looked at at some point later on. And I don't want this being a show, and that's why I'm changing my mind.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here is the other part. If we're going to do this evaluation of the house, Mr. Poletto, in his statement says that -- in his affidavit or something says there's $\$ 300,000$ of damages and remodeling costs and it's in -- well, I was with my dad a lot at his house before he died. The thing was immaculate, perfect, just had an elevator put in. I don't know the cost.

THE COURT: What's your point?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: The house wasn't crumbling apart like it's being portrayed. I want to know: Did they rip out the copper? Is the wall missing?

THE COURT: You have an inspector who did an appraisal and went in.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I haven't looked at that yet.

THE COURT: He describes the condition and there's --

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: If I'm going to get another inspector, or possibly a second opinion,

I'd sure like to go in.
THE COURT: Well, if -- not you. If you make a bona fide motion to have it inspected by a bona fide appraiser, I might let that person in. Maybe there won't even be an objection on their side. Because no one -- an appraiser is not going to move stuff around. They are going to look, take pictures. You know, that's the problem. They don't want you in there.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So they are going to -they are going to take pictures. Can Joi take video, possibly?

THE COURT: Well, I'm not telling them how to do their job.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So there will be photo evidence.

THE COURT: We'll see where it goes, but for what you want to accomplish, you need to do it through separate motions, if you think there is something in there, but why don't you wait and see what, at least, is reported about being in the house and make up your mind what you want to do.

MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, I'll use the same language from previous order.

THE COURT: Yes.
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MS. FOGLIETTA: And the very last thing, which shouldn't take long, is we need $\$ 500$ from the trust to put up front as an initial deposit to get this thing rolling tomorrow so I would ask that as part --

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. ROSE: There's no objection. One clarification. I personally am not paying any of this. Under the statute that allows the personal representative to request money from a revocable trust, we've agreed, for this purpose only, we'll advance the costs. I'll give her a check for $\$ 500$ today from our trust account.

THE COURT: Thanks. Bye.
MR. ROSE: Thank you for your time.
THE COURT: No problem. I need to get to my trial. Take this stuff back, please. I'm giving you the exhibits back, I'm not taking them.

MR. ROSE: I'll hold them.
THE COURT: Get me that order, make sure Eliot doesn't contact this buyer directly or indirectly.

MR. ROSE: When should we set another hearing?

THE COURT: We have a closing now set for

April 20th so, Eliot, you need to do your due diligence. If you're going to want something to be done, set it so I can hear it before the 20th. So if you want to file something, get me what you want to file, don't file it, I'll look at it, I'll give you a hearing date before the 20th, if it's bona fide. Otherwise, they are going to look forward to selling this on the 20th.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I, right now, schedule this hearing to continue so I can deal with the legality of if this is a legal sale? I mean, we're going to have to deal -- I don't care. If the price is right -- look, Your Honor, if the price is right, I'm all for it, but if the deal is going to put it into a legal quagmire of fraud, possibly, and make decisions that lead us down that path, I think I have to have a hearing that continues this hearing to determine if the trustee is acting within the statutes. It's real simple. If he's not, then whether the price is good or not isn't the key, the key is the statutes aren't being followed. I don't want to have to bond people, but, you know, obviously if we're going to take a risk of violating statutes to get a sale done because there's a buyer who just popped out
of the woodwork today --
THE COURT: Okay. But I can't give you a hearing right now. I've got to start my trial. If you file something, I'll get you a hearing.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: So a continuation of this hearing?

THE COURT: Or something new. They are still -- right now I know the sale is for April 20th. They are going to come in before then to get an order that actually allows them to close on that date.

MR. ROSE: Or I will advise you that they canceled. We'll keep you posted.

THE COURT: Or they have canceled. One of the two. Okay. Bye.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
MS. FOGLIETTA: Judge, would you like me t $\phi$ write this up and send it to you?

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't have time to do that now. Thanks.
(The hearing was concluded at 2:10 p.m.)
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