In THE CIRCUiT COURT OF THE FIFTEEN

JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR

PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

IN RE: CASE no.

HON. JUDGE MARTIN H. COLIN

Eliot ivan bernstein, PRO SE,

Plaintiff,

v.

simon L. Bernstein Amended

and restated Trust Agreement,

(DATED JULY 25, 2012);

SIMON L. bERNSTEIN TRUST

AGREEMENT (DATED MAY 20, 2008);

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (AND ALL PARTNERS, ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL);

ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PERSONALLY;

ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY;

DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PERSONALLY;

DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY;

THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY;

THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE PERSONALLY;

THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR HIS CHILDREN;

LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY AS A BENEFICIARY;

LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;

JILL MARLA IANTONI, INDIVIDUALLY AS A BENEFICIARY;

JILL MARLA IANTONI, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;

PAMELA BETH SIMON, INDIVIDUALLY;

PAMELA BETH SIMON, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER CHILDREN;

JOshua ennio zander bernstein (ELIOT MINOR CHILD);
Jacob noah archie Bernstein (ELIOT MINOR CHILD);
Daniel Elijsha Abe Ottomo Bernstein (ELIOT MINOR CHILD);
ALEXANDRA bernstein (THEODORE ADULT CHILD);
ERIC BERNSTEIN (THEODORE ADULT CHILD);
Michael bernstein (THEODORE ADULT CHILD);
MATTHEW LOGAN (THEODORE’S SPOUSE ADULT CHILD);
Molly norah simon (pamela adult child);
Julia iantoni – jill minor child;
Max FRIEDSTEIN – lisa minor child;
CARLY FRIEDSTEIN – lisa minor child;

Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A. (AND ALL PARTNERS, ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL);

JOHN AND JANE DOE’S (1-5000),

Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/

**COMPLAINT TO REMOVE THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN AS**

**ALLEGED SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE ALLEGED SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT,**

**(DATED JULY 25, 2012) AND SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT**

**(DATED MAY 20, 2008)**

COMES NOW, Eliot Ivan Bernstein ("Eliot"), beneficiary of the SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT, (DATED JULY 25, 2012) and the SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT (DATED MAY 20, 2008) and as Guardian to his three minor children who may also be beneficiaries of the trusts of Simon, and pursuant to §736.0706, Fla. Stat. (2013), files this Petition to Remove Theodore Stuart Bernstein (“TED”) or (“THEODORE”) as alleged Successor Trustee of the alleged SIMON L. BERNSTEIN AMENDED AND RESTATED TRUST AGREEMENT, (DATED JULY 25, 2012) and the SIMON L. BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT (DATED MAY 20, 2008 (the "Trusts" or "Simon Trusts"), and in support states[[1]](#footnote-1) on information and belief, as follows:

1. Plaintiff Eliot Ivan Bernstein is over the age of 18, a resident of Palm Beach County, Florida and is a Beneficiary of the Simon Trusts.
2. Plaintiff Eliot Ivan Bernstein is over the age of 18, a resident of Palm Beach County, Florida and is Guardian of his three minor children, who are also Beneficiaries under the Simon Trusts and if Eliot in his individual capacity is prohibited in his individual capacity in the 2012 Amended and Restated Simon Trust (until the Court can determine the remedies from the defects in the documents already proven, which may invalidate the document entirely) than Eliot will argue individually on behalf of his three minor children as Guardian and not on behalf of his interests personally, either way the justifications for removal are the same.
3. This is an action for removal of an alleged Trustee under F.S. §736.
4. Venue of this proceeding is proper in this Court under F.S. §736
5. Eliot has standing to seek removal. The provisions of §736.0706(1), §736.0103, and §733.707(3), Fla. Stats. (2014) govern the issue of who has standing to seek removal of a trustee. Section 736.0706(1) Fla. Stat. (2014) states:

*(1) The settlor, a cotrustee, or a* ***beneficiary*** *may request the court to remove a trustee, or a trustee may be removed by the court on the court's own initiative.*

(emphasis added)

1. §736.0103, Fla. Stat. (2014), defines a "beneficiary":

*(4) "Beneficiary" means a person who has* ***a present or future beneficial interest in a trust, vested or contingent,*** *or who holds a power of appointment over trust property in a capacity other than that of trustee.* (emphasis added)

1. That from the conception of the May 20, 2008 Simon L. Bernstein Trust to 48 days prior to Simon’s death when the alleged July 25, 2012 Simon L. Bernstein Amended and Restated Trust Agreement was allegedly conceived, Eliot and his children were beneficiaries of both the Simon and Shirley Bernstein Estates and Trusts.
2. That the July 25, 2012 Simon Trust has been challenged and already it has been confirmed by Governor Rick Scott’s Notary Public Division to have been improperly notarized so as to make it impossible to know if Simon appeared on the day of signing before the Notary.
3. That the only witnesses to the document are Robert L. Spallina and Kimberly Moran both of whom have confessed to Felony criminal acts, including but not limited to, Forgery (including Post Mortem forgery of Simon’s signature and forgery of Eliot and Theodore’s name by Moran), Fraudulent Notarizations (Moran was arrested and convicted for this crime and her Notary License Revoked) and Fraudulent Alteration and Dissemination of a Shirley Bernstein Trust document (committed and admitted to by Attorney at Law SPALLINA acting on behalf of TED as Fiduciary for Shirley’s Trust) and thus their statements cannot be relied on or trusted for any verification of the document.
4. That the 2012 Amended and Restated Simon Bernstein Trust that attempts to eliminate Eliot in favor of his children as beneficiary may be stricken entirely from the record as a further fraudulent document created Post Mortem in these matters and Eliot again will be a 1/3rd beneficiary of the 2008 Simon Trust. Therefore, Eliot has standing as a future beneficiary of the Simon Trusts when the Court decides on the Validity of the documents and who the ultimate beneficiaries are.
5. That as the 2012 alleged Simon Amended and Restated Trust directly relates to attempts to alter Shirley’s 2008 Shirley Bernstein Trust that became irrevocable on her date of death on December 08, 2010, where Eliot was designated in that beneficiary class as a 1/3rd beneficiary of Shirley’s Estate and Trusts and Eliot states that nothing Simon is alleged to have done or intended to do, could he have legally altered the beneficiary class of the 2008 Shirley Estate and her irrevocable Trusts once she died.
6. That this Court has the Authority Under Florida Law to Remove TED as Trustee of the Trusts. Under Florida law, this Court has broad authority to affect trust administration[[2]](#footnote-2). Under §736.0201, Fla. Stat. (2014), the Court has the following power:

**736.0201. Role of court in trust proceedings**

\* \* \* \*

( 4) A judicial proceeding involving a trust may relate to the validity, administration, or distribution of a trust, including proceedings to:

(a) Determine the validity of all or part of a trust;

(b) **Appoint or remove a trustee**;

(c) Review trustees' fees;

(d) Review and settle interim or final accounts;

(e) Ascertain beneficiaries; determine any question arising in the administration or distribution of any trust, including questions of construction of trust instruments; instruct trustees; and determine the existence or nonexistence of any immunity, power, privilege, duty or right;

(f) Obtain a declaration of rights;

(g) Determine any other matters involving trustees and beneficiaries.

(emphasis added)

1. That there exists a Legal Standard for Removal of Trustee. When removal of a trustee is at issue, the following statutory provisions of §736.0706, Fla. Stat. (2014) are to be considered:

**736.0706. Removal of trustee**

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(2) **The court may remove a trustee** if:

(a) **The trustee has committed a serious breach of trust**;

(b) The lack of cooperation among cotrustees substantially impairs the administration of the trust;

(c**) Due to unfitness, unwillingness, or persistent failure of the trustee to administer the trust effectively, the court determines that removal of the trustee best serves the interests of the beneficiaries**; or

(d) **There has been a substantial change of circumstances** or removal is requested by all of the qualified beneficiaries, the court finds that removal of the trustee best serves the interests of all of the beneficiaries and is not inconsistent with a material purpose of the trust, and a suitable cotrustee or successor trustee is available.

TED's removal is warranted by Subsections (2) (a), (c) and/or (d). Additionally, §736.0802, Fla. Stat. (2014) describes the primary duty of a trustee:

**736.0802. Duty of loyalty**

(1) As between a trustee and the beneficiaries, a trustee shall administer the trust **solely** in interests of the beneficiaries.

(2) Subject to the rights of persons dealing with or assisting the trustee as provided ins. 736.1016 a ... transaction ...which is otherwise affected by a conflict between the trustee's fiduciary and personal interests is voidable by a beneficiary affected by the transaction ... (emphasis added)

*See Aiello v. Hyland,* 793 So. 2d 1150, 1152 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001) (removal of trustee was required where trustee had a conflict of interest with interests of the trust; the conflict of interest made the trustee unable to properly carry out his duty of loyalty to the trust). Therefore, the only remedy is removal and a non-conflicted independent trustee appointed.

1. That Theodore Stuart Bernstein Should Be Removed as Trustee of the alleged Trusts by the very Terms of the Trusts, Conflicts of Interest and Adverse Interests.
2. Theodore Bernstein is Not Eligible to Serve as a Successor Trustee under the very terms of the alleged Trusts, which means he is "unfit" under §736.0706(2)(c).
3. Ted Bernstein is a "related party" and therefore not eligible to serve under the 2012 trust.
4. The previous co-trustees of the alleged 2012 trust were Donald Tescher, Esq. (“TESCHER”) and Robert Spallina, Esq. (“SPALLINA”) by virtue of the Successor Trustee provision set forth in Article IV, Section C of the alleged Revocable Trust. A copy of the alleged 2012 trust[[3]](#footnote-3) is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." By letter dated January 14, 2014 addressed to the five children of Simon Bernstein, TESCHER and SPALLINA, resigned as co-trustees of Simon’s 2102 trust, co-personal representatives/executors to the Estate of Simon, SPALLINA resigned as counsel to TED as alleged Trustee (for irreconcilable differences) in the Shirley Trust and as counsel to TED as Personal Representative of the Shirley Estate and both resigned in all other fiducial and legal capacities they were acting in for any Bernstein family related matters.
5. That upon resignation TESCHER stated, "If the majority of the Bernstein family is in agreement, I would propose to exercise the power to designate a successor trustee by appointing Ted Bernstein in that capacity."
6. That TESCHER made the appointment of TED after claiming he learned that his law firm and SPALLINA had fraudulently altered a Shirley trust document to change beneficiaries illegally and then make illegal distributions under a fraudulent scheme.
7. That the alleged successorship was done without sending notice to beneficiaries that they had done this transfer and the document transferring successorship was notarized by the already convicted Felon for fraudulent notarizations, Kimberly Moran.
8. That TED accepted the alleged successorship without sending notice to beneficiaries and neither TESCHER, SPALLINA nor TED, provided an accounting of the trust upon the transfer or with in the legally proscribed time limit and thus violating Probate and Trust Rules and Statutes. A copy of the resignation letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
9. That if TED has become successor trustee of the 2012 trust, he should be removed. He is ineligible under the very terms of the 2012 trust to serve as successor trustee. Article IV, Section C.(3) (Page 16) of the Revocable Trust states:

C. Appointment of Successor Trustee

3. . .. A successor Trustee appointed under this subparagraph shall **not** be a Related or Subordinate Party of the trust. (**emphasis added**)

Under Article III, Subsection E(7), A "Related or Subordinate Party" is defined in the 2012 alleged Simon trust as follows:

**ARTICLE III. GENERAL**

**E. Definitions.** In this Agreement,

7. Related or Subordinate Party. A *"Related or Subordinate Party"* to a trust describes a beneficiary of the subject trust or a related or subordinate party to a beneficiary of the trust as the terms "related or subordinate party" are defined under Code Section 672( c ).

1. The "Code" is defined as "the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 ... "
2. A "Related or subordinate party" under the Code means any nonadverse party who is " ... (2) any one of the following: The Grantor's father, mother, issue, brother or sister ... "
3. TED is the son, or an "issue" of the Grantor, SIMON BERNSTEIN, and a related party (father) to alleged beneficiaries, TED's sons, SIMON's grandsons. Therefore, TED is ineligible as a Related or Subordinate Party and is therefore again unfit to serve as a successor trustee under §736.0706(2)( c ).
4. TED has hijacked the position of Successor Trustee despite the fact that Tescher and Spallina who drafted the 2012 trust knew of the prohibitive language and knew that transferring the Trusteeship to their former client who their crimes directly benefited was not proper, especially where they were resigning and removed from these proceedings in the wake of massive frauds being uncovered that they all were central parties to effectuating and promulgating.
5. Ted Bernstein was specifically disqualified to be a Successor Trustee by the terms of the 2012 Simon trust in another provision of the Trust that also disqualifies TED, Article III E (l) states:

Notwithstanding the foregoing, **for all purposes of this Trust and the dispositions made hereunder**, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN, PAMELA B. SIMON, ELIOT BERNSTEIN, JILL IANTONI and LISA S. FRIEDSTEIN, shall be deemed to have predeceased me ... " (**emphasis added**)

1. That the prior 2008 Simon trust done that was alleged to be amended by Simon 48 days prior to his sudden and unexpected death, reads from Article III E (1),

E. **Definitions**. In this Agreement,

1. **Children. Lineal Descendants**. The terms "child," "children" and "lineal descendants mean only persons whose relationship to the ancestor designated is created entirely by or through (a) legitimate births occurring during the marriage of the joint biological parents to each other, (b) children and their lineal descendants arising from surrogate births and/or third party donors when (i) the child is raised from or near the time of birth by a married couple (other than a same sex married couple) through the pendency of such marriage, (ii) one of such couple is the designated ancestor, and (iii) to the best knowledge of the Trustee both members of such couple participated in the decision to have such child, and (c) lawful adoptions of minors under the age of twelve years. No such child or lineal descendant loses his or her status as such through adoption by another person. **Notwithstanding the foregoing, as I have adequately provided for them during my lifetime, for purposes of the dispositions made under this Trust, my children, TED S. BERNSTEIN ("TED") and PAMELA B. SIMON ("PAM''), and their respective lineal descendants shall be deemed to have predeceased the survivor of my spouse and me**, provided, however, if my children, ELIOT BERNSTEIN, JILL IANTONI and LISA S. FRIEDSTEIN, and their lineal descendants all predecease the survivor of my spouse and me, then TED and PAM, and their respective lineal descendants shall not be deemed to have predeceased me and shall be eligible beneficiaries for purposes of the dispositions made hereunder. (**emphasis added**)

1. Therefore, by the very language of the both of the Simon Trusts, including the 2008 trust done with Shirley[[4]](#footnote-4), Ted Bernstein, in either trust scenario is wholly disinherited, predeceased and disqualified by these provisions to serve as a Successor Trustee, as TED is considered DEAD for all purposes of the disposition and distributions of the Trusts.
2. That this is Prima Facie evidence for this Court to act on its own motion and instantly remove TED to protect the interests of the beneficiaries and others from an unqualified and possibly fraudulent alleged successorship of TED.
3. To make matters worse, SPALLINA and TESCHER allegedly appointed TED as they were removed from the proceedings in all their FIDUCIAL roles and as Counsel to various parties and in disgrace despite this language that disqualifies and prohibits TED from being Successor Trustee, **language** **that TESCHER and SPALLINA wrote.**  This fraudulent transfer of fiduciary power and trusteeship was to retain the illegally gained Dominion and Control of the Estates and Trusts that TED, TESCHER and SPALLINA gained through the alleged fraudulent 2012 documents and maintain a criminal succession of trusteeship by passing the baton to TED who they represented as well and where TED was directly involved and benefited from their crimes.
4. This improper, highly unethical and illegal transfer to aid and the abet and cover up the crimes assured TESCHER and SPALLINA a successor that would continue to aid and abet their crimes and attempt to cover them up in the Court.
5. That since alleging to be Successor Trust Ted has prevented beneficiaries’ complete access to Estate and Trust information, exactly as his predecessors and counsel Tescher and Spallina had done in violation of probate and trust rules and statutes in the Estates and Trusts of Simon while they were acting as fiduciaries in Simon’s estate and Trusts.
6. This criminal successorship has led to a continuation of alleged criminal acts, continued breaches of fiduciary duties, continued Fraud on this Court, continued Fraud on the Beneficiaries and an attempt to Cover Up the crimes by the currently unfit and unqualified alleged successor TED and his last remaining lawyer Alan B. Rose, Esq., after four attorneys at law have already abandoned TED as counsel, including but not limited to, Mark R. Manceri, Esq, Robert L. Spallina, Esq., Donald R. Tescher, Esq. and recently, John J. Pankauski, Esq.
7. That even if Ted were appointed successor he is not now fit to serve.
8. That since becoming alleged Successor Trustee in the 2012 Simon Amended and Restated trust, he has failed to provide a full copy of the Trusts with all Schedules and Addendums (as required by statute to account for the Trust Corpus/Trust Res) and has provided no statutorily required accountings.
9. That since TED was appointed in October 2013 as PR of Shirley’s Estate he has failed to provide a full copy of the Shirley Will with all Schedules and Addendums (as required by statute to account for the Trust Corpus/Trust Res) and has provided no statutorily required accountings.
10. That since becoming alleged Successor Trustee of Shirley’s 2008 Irrevocable Trust on September 13, 2012 Ted has failed to provide a full copy of Shirley’s Trusts with all Schedules and Addendums (as required by statute to account for the Trust Corpus/Trust Res) and has provided no statutorily required accountings.
11. That Ted Bernstein, as Trustee of the 2012 trust of Simon, has a Conflict of Interest with the Estate and Trusts of Simon Bernstein.
12. That at the time of SIMON'S death, it was determined that there existed a life insurance policy issued by Heritage Union Insurance Company ("Heritage") allegedly payable to the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated June 1, 1995 (the "Insurance Trust)[[5]](#footnote-5) as beneficiary, a trust that no legally valid copy of an executed trust exists for to this day.
13. That shortly after SIMON's death in 2012, Robert Spallina, one of the, resigning Co-Personal Representatives of the Estate of Simon Bernstein, resigning Co-Trustees of Simon’s Revocable Trust, resigning counsel to the Co-Personal Representatives and Co-Trustees TESCHER and SPALLINA, resigning counsel to TED as Personal Representative of the Shirley Estate and resigning counsel to TED as alleged Trustee in the Shirley Irrevocable Trusts, submitted a claim form to Heritage Union Life on behalf of the legally nonexistent Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated June 1, 1995 that he signed as “Trustee” of that legally nonexistent trust.
14. That SPALLINA did this for the benefit of the grown children of Simon Bernstein, including TED and his sister Pamela and in detriment to the Estate and Trust beneficiaries.
15. That at the time of filing the alleged fraudulent insurance claim, Co-Personal Representatives and Co-Trustees, TESCHER and SPALLINA had in their possession at the time a 2000 insurance trust done by Proskauer Rose, LLP that they intentionally secreted to attempt to alter the beneficiaries and convert the benefit to their client TED and his sister Pamela.
16. That SPALLINA and TESCHER were simultaneously representing the alleged 2012 Simon Amended and Restated Trust as Co-Trustees and acting as Co-Personal Representatives of the Estate, whose beneficiaries DO NOT INCLUDE TED or his sister Pamela and where if the benefit had been paid to SPALLINA as the alleged Trustee of the legally nonexistent trust, he would have converted the benefit to TED and his sister Pamela to the detriment of the Estate and Trust beneficiaries.
17. That SPALLINA submitted this death benefit claim despite having informed Heritage by letter shortly thereafter that he was "unable to locate the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated June 1, 1995." *(See* Exhibit "C" attached.)
18. That under Florida law, if it is determined that no Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated June 1, 1995 existed at the time of SIMON'S death, the insurance proceeds would be payable to the Estate and Trusts. The benefits would satisfy any Creditors claims and then flow into a pour over trust for either Eliot, Lisa and Jill or the ten grandchildren of Simon, which will be determined by this Court in the future due to the alleged frauds and improper constructions of Simon’s 2012 dispositive documents.
19. That in no scenario would TED or PAMELA receive any proceeds if they flowed into the Estate and Trusts of Simon and thus TED and PAMELA have conflicting interests with their children that they allege to be beneficiaries of Simon’s Estate and Trusts and where TED and Pamela also are acting as Trustees for their children.
20. That no executed insurance trust instrument was produced by SPALLINA and Heritage refused to pay the life insurance proceeds to anyone without a court order and so DENIED the claim[[6]](#footnote-6).
21. To this date, almost two years later, no legally executed trust instrument has been tendered in the Federal Illinois Insurance Litigation or to this Court.
22. That after SPALLINA’S claim was denied, TED mysteriously replaces SPALLINA as “Trustee” and files the federal lawsuit acting as “Trustee” on behalf of the legally nonexistent Insurance Trust then sued Heritage in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (the "Life Insurance Litigation") for Breach of Contract for Heritage’s failure to pay the claim to the legally nonexistent trust. The case has since been removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in Chicago under the tutelage of the Honorable Amy St. Eve.
23. The Estate of Simon Bernstein filed a Motion to Intervene in the Life Insurance Litigation to assert the Estate's interest in the life insurance proceeds. The Plaintiffs, including TED acting as “Trustee”, after SPALLINA initially filed the death benefit claim as the “Trustee” of the legally nonexistent trust, filed a Memorandum of Law in Opposition to the Estate's Motion to Intervene (the "Opposition Memorandum") *(See,* Exhibit "D," attached).
24. That the opening paragraph of the Opposition Memorandum states as follows:

**NOW COMES** Plaintiffs, SIMON BERNSTEIN IRREVOCABLE INSURANCE TRUST dtd 6/21/95, by **TED BERNSTEIN, as Trustee, (collectively referred to as "BERNSTEIN TRUST"), TED BERNSTEIN, individually,** PAMELA B. SIMON, JILL IANTONI AND LISA FRIEDSTEIN. (**emphasis added**)

1. That TED stands to benefit personally if the claim by the Simon Bernstein Estate to the life insurance proceeds is defeated because TED and his siblings (**other than Eliot**) have taken the position that they are the beneficiaries of the legally nonexistent lost or missing Insurance Trust. Despite the opposition of TED BERNSTEIN to the Intervention, the court has granted the Estate's Motion to Intervene. TED is now an opposing party of record to the Estate's interest in the Life Insurance litigation.
2. That TED, individually and as the alleged trustee of the alleged legally nonexistent 1995 Insurance Trust, has placed his personal interests above the interests of the Simon 2012 trust beneficiaries who he alleges are the grandchildren of SIMON but may be Eliot, Jill and Lisa.
3. That through TED's open, notorious and public opposition to the Estate's intervention in the Life Insurance Litigation, an inherent conflict of interest is displayed where TED is blocking the interests of his children so that he may directly convert the monies to his own pocket.
4. That TED, as alleged Successor Trustee of the alleged 2012 Simon trust, owes a duty of loyalty under §736.0706(1), Fla. Stat. (2014) to the alleged trust beneficiaries, to administer the trust solely in their interest. The Estate and 2012 Simon trust beneficiaries are alleged to be the grandchildren of Simon Bernstein, although Eliot has challenged the validity of these documents done days before Simon’s death, especially in light of already proven, admitted and alleged crimes committed in Shirley and Simon’s estates and trusts.
5. This means TED acting as a fiduciary to the Trust must support, or at the least not obstruct, the efforts of the Estate to attempt to recover an additional alleged $1.7 million in life insurance benefits[[7]](#footnote-7), especially where he benefits directly. If the insurance is recovered to the Estate, this would dramatically increase the Estate assets that Eliot and/or his children will receive when the Court determines the beneficiaries due to the residue effects of the crimes that attempted to change beneficiaries in the Estates and Trusts of Shirley and Simon that have caused intentional interferences and delays with expectancies.
6. That by opposing intervention by the Estate TED's actions exposed the estate/trust assets to liability. The need to have this Court Order intervention was due to the fact that TED’S counsel and the prior Co-Personal Representatives/Executors and Co-Trustees Robert Spallina, Esq. and Donald Tescher, Esq. to the Estate, failed to file any intervener action on behalf of the Estate and in fact aided and abetted TED’S efforts to convert the asset of the Estate to TED by SPALLINA’S filing the alleged Fraudulent Insurance Claim to benefit his client TED.
7. That SPALLINA actually acted as the “Trustee” of the lost insurance trust that he claims never to have seen or possessed and also fraudulently acted as the “Trustee” of the primary beneficiary “LaSalle National Trust NA” at his business address, as evidenced in Exhibit C.
8. That when the carrier DENIED SPALLINA’s claim, TED filed the Insurance Litigation as the Trustee of the lost trust and not SPALLINA.
9. That both TED and SPALLINA have made statements that they have never seen or possessed this missing trust and yet both claim to be “Trustee” in pursuing their fraudulent attempts to collect and convert the proceeds outside the Estate to improper parties.
10. That thanks to this Court, William Stansbury (who has financed the counsel for the beneficiaries and his interests as a Creditor), Peter Feaman, Esq., Benjamin Brown, Esq. and others, the Estate is now represented by counsel in the action.
11. That it should be noted that TED’s children’s ALLEGED counsel John P. Morrissey, Esq., also argued against the interests of his alleged clients, TED’s children, in efforts to block the Estate from representation and was questioned about this conflict by Judge Colin in a hearing as to why he was arguing against the interests of his client and Morrissey stated he had not talked to his clients about waiving their interests in the policy. This indicates that Morrissey may in fact be working for TED’s best interest and not his children.
12. That once the disgraced TESCHER and SPALLINA were removed from these matters, the Estate was able by Order of the Court to retain counsel to intervene in the Federal action on behalf of the Estate of Simon in efforts to protect the beneficiaries.
13. That the Federal court has now allowed that intervention on behalf of the Estate of Simon and the Estate is represented for the first time in almost two years. More importantly, TED'S efforts in the Life Insurance Litigation are designed to keep the alleged $1.7 million out of the estate and trust and to redirect the money to him and his siblings (excluding Eliot), in fact, TED and his siblings attempted to convert the insurance proceeds without telling Eliot and in fact told Eliot they were seeking an order from this Court to determine the beneficiaries, while simultaneously trying to move it out the back door.
14. As a consequence of the foregoing conflict of interest, TED is in breach of his fiduciary duty to the beneficiaries of the 2012 Simon trust by opposing efforts to make the Estate more solvent, which in tum exposes the Trust to increased liability, and warrants his removal under §736.0706(2)(a).
15. That TED’s continued interference is an attempt to redirect estate assets to him personally and would further damage the estate beneficiaries. In addition, Ted’s interference with his minion of Attorneys at Law has caused un-necessary and costly legal fees to the Estate and Simon Trusts beneficiaries, as these efforts to move the insurance were billed to the Estates and Trusts.
16. Additionally, this inherent and irreparable conflict of interest is a breach of his duty of loyalty and warrants removal under *Aiello, supra,* 793 So. 2d at 1152. *See also Brigham v. Brigham,* 11 9 So. 3d 374, 386 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009); *McCormick v. Cox,* 118 So. 3d 980, 987-88 (Fla. 3d DCA 2013) (removal of trustee was warranted where trustee had a conflict of interest and breach his fiduciary duties; trial court properly exercised its authority to remove trustee).
17. That there has been Misconduct in the Shirley Bernstein Estate and Trusts committed again by TED and his criminal friends disguised as Attorneys at Law.
18. There are serious proven and admitted felony crimes and further allegations of fraud, forgery and fraudulently altered trust documents in the Shirley Bernstein Estate and Shirley Bernstein trust, where Ted Bernstein is the Personal Representative of the Estate and the alleged Successor Trustee of Shirley’s trusts.
19. That documents were submitted to the Court bearing notarized signatures of Simon Bernstein on a date after he had passed away.
20. That the signatures were admitted to be FORGED for six parties, including one for Simon done Post Mortem, one for Eliot and one for TED.
21. That TESCHER and SPALLINA’s Legal Assistant and Notary Public, Kimberly Francis Moran, confessed to Palm Beach County Sheriff Investigators that she fraudulently notarized and forged documents and since has been arrested and convicted of Felony misconduct.
22. That these documents and others were then posited with the Court by TESCHER and SPALLINA through their law firm Tescher & Spallina P.A. on behalf of Simon acting as the PR/Executor while DEAD.
23. That yes, Simon was DEAD and yet acted as PR/Executor and where TESCHER and SPALLINA failed to notify the Court of his death and elect a successor to properly and legally close Shirley’s Estate, instead using Simon to close the Estate for four months after he had passed away.
24. That this Fraud was done as part of a larger fraud in efforts to change beneficiaries of Shirley’s irrevocable trust’s beneficiary class, committed through a series of Frauds on the Court that used Simon when he was dead, to appear living at the closing of Shirley’s Estate.
25. That no successor was appointed until this Court reopened the Estate of Shirley due to the fact that Simon closed the Estate as Personal Representative/Executor while dead.
26. That this Court was apprised of these allegations in a hearing conducted September 13, 2013 wherein the Court stated it had enough Prima Facie evidence of felony criminal misconduct and Fraud on the Court by the potential parties involved in advancing these frauds, TED and SPALLINA, that Your Honor stated they should be read their Miranda Rights, twice. *(See* Transcript of Proceedings, pages 15 and 16, attached as Exhibit "E.")
27. Evidence and admissions of further felony misconduct have since been obtained regarding new acts recently uncovered and there are many new crimes being alleged after receiving new and damning evidence from the former disgraced fiduciaries and attorneys at law, TESCHER and SPALLINA, when they resigned and turned over their records and properties to the successor curator, Benjamin Brown, Esq. Brown then turned the information over finally to beneficiaries as part of their records and there appears to be a plethora of new crimes uncovered.
28. The crimes and torts proven and admitted to this point, include but are not limited to,
	1. six admitted instances of forgery (including Post Mortem for Simon),
	2. a proven felony conviction rendered for an admitted six fraudulent notarizations (including Post Mortem for Simon),
	3. an admitted fraudulent alteration of a Shirley’s Trust document by SPALLINA,
	4. Fraud on the Court through fraudulent and false instruments posited in the Court by Officers of the Court and Tescher & Spallina, PA law firm, acting on behalf of a DEAD Personal Representative to close the Estate of Shirley, and,
	5. the Governor Rick Scott’s Notary Public Division’s findings of improper notarizations on Simon’s alleged 2012 Will and Amended and Restated Simon Bernstein Trust done 48 days before his death. The legally invalid notarizations leave it unknown if Simon was present on the day of signing the documents and the only witnesses to alleged signing of the document have already admitted to fraud, SPALLINA and MORAN.
29. The crimes and torts alleged and under investigation at this time, include but are not limited to, all of the following,
	1. Insurance Fraud,
	2. Fraud on a Federal Court,
	3. Illegal distributions of Estate and Trust assets made knowingly to improper parties,
	4. Bank Fraud,
	5. Extortion,
30. Further, the attorney, SPALLINA for TED BERNSTEIN as Personal Representative of the Estate of Shirley Bernstein has admitted to altering provisions of the Shirley Bernstein Trust to Palm Beach County Sheriff Investigators[[8]](#footnote-8), which had the effect of benefitting TED BERNSTEIN’s family primarily by fraudulently and knowingly converting assets to TED’s family.
31. That TED advanced the fraudulent beneficiary scheme to change Shirley’s beneficiaries of her irrevocable beneficiary class with TESCHER and SPALLINA.
32. That statements made by SPALLINA to Palm Beach Sheriff Investigators reveal that TED took distributions against the advice of his counsel, again making him wholly unfit to continue as a fiduciary in these matters.
33. That TED also claimed to Palm Beach Sheriff Investigators that he had not read all of Shirley’s trust documents that he was acting as fiduciary under, see the attached PBSO report.
34. That Ted Bernstein's involvement with his former counsel TESCHER and SPALLINA[[9]](#footnote-9) in such activity involving the Estate and Trust of Shirley Bernstein should disqualify him from serving as Successor Trustee of the Simon Trusts or any other fiducial capacities in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley.
35. That in addition to the instant pleading, the following already filed pleadings, in particular to the motions and petitions to remove TED, are hereby be incorporated in entirety with all Exhibits by reference herein, as additional facts and Prima Facie Evidence for the Court to consider in the removal of TED in all fiducial roles in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein;
36. Docket #244 – Simon Estate (see Exhibit G)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | MOT - MOTION |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Filing Date:** | 28-AUG-2014 |
| **Filing Party:** | BERNSTEIN, ELIOT IVAN |
| **Docket Text:** | (AMENDED) FOR REMOVAL OF PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATES AND TRUST OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN IN ALL FIDUCIAL CAPACITIES ON THE COURT'S OWN INITIATIVE UNEXECUTED ORDER ATTACHED EFILED |

1. Docket #215 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit H)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | PET - PETITION |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Filing Date:** | 29-JUL-2014 |
| **Filing Party:** | STANSBURY, WILLIAM E |
| **Docket Text:** | PETITION TO REMOVE TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST |

1. Docket #188 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit I)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 188  | RESP - RESPONSE TO: |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Filing Date:** | 27-JUN-2014 |
| **Filing Party:** | STANSBURY, WILLIAM E |
| **Docket Text:** | RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE APPOINTMENT OF TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF AN INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY AS BOTH SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT F/B  |

1. Docket #126 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit J)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 126  | NOF - NOTICE OF FILING |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Filing Date:** | 22-MAY-2014 |
| **Filing Party:** | William Stansbury   |
| **Docket Text:** | JOINDER IN PETITION FILED BY ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEE AND FOR TRUST ACCOUNTING F/B WILLIAM E. STANSBURY, CREDITOR OF THE E/O SIMON BERNSTEIN E-FILED |

1. Docket # - Simon Estate (see Exhibit K)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 97  | PET – PETITION |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Filing Date:** | 07-APR-2014 |
| **Filing Party:** | Eliot Bernstein   |
| **Docket Text:** | PETITION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TESTAMENTARY TRUST, FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEE AND FOR TRUST ACCOUNTING BY ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN |

WHEREFORE, Eliot Ivan Bernstein requests that THEODORE “TED” STUART BERNSTEIN, the alleged apparent successor trustee of the Simon Bernstein Trust, be removed, that the court appoint a Successor Trustee with no apparent conflicts of interest, and that the Court require the filing of a Trust Accounting, whereby TED and the former removed fiduciaries, TESCHER and

SPALLINA, have failed to file or tender to beneficiaries any accounting in the Estate of Shirley and the Shirley trusts for four years and the Simon trust for two years[[10]](#footnote-10).

Dated, September 06, 2014.

Eliot Bernstein, Pro Se, Individually and as legal guardian on behalf of his minor three children.

 X\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

 I, ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by email to all parties on the following Service List, September 06, 2014.

Eliot Bernstein, Pro Se, individually and as legal guardian on behalf of his minor three children

 X\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**SERVICE LIST**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RESPONDENT PERSONALLY, PROFESSIONALLY, AS A GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE FOR MINOR/ADULT CHILDREN, AS AN ALLEGED TRUSTEE AND ALLEGED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVETheodore Stuart BernsteinLife Insurance Concepts950 Peninsula Corporate Circle, Suite 3010Boca Raton, Florida 33487tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com | RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIESAlan B. Rose, Esq.Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A.505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600West Palm Beach, Florida 33401(561) 355-6991arose@pm-law.com andarose@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.comcklein@mrachek-law.com lmrachek@mrachek-law.com rfitzgerald@mrachek-law.com skonopka@mrachek-law.com dthomas@mrachek-law.com gweiss@mrachek-law.com jbaker@mrachek-law.com mchandler@mrachek-law.com lchristian@mrachek-law.comtclarke@mrachek-law.com gdavies@mrachek-law.com pgillman@mrachek-law.com dkelly@mrachek-law.com cklein@mrachek-law.com lwilliamson@mrachek-law.com | RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES John J. Pankauski, Esq.Pankauski Law Firm PLLC120 South Olive Avenue 7th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401(561) 514-0900courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.comjohn@pankauskilawfirm.com  | RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM AND AS FORMER COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIESRobert L. Spallina, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A.Boca Village Corporate Center I4855 Technology WaySuite 720Boca Raton, FL 33431rspallina@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com |
| RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILDPamela Beth Simon950 N. Michigan AvenueApartment 2603Chicago, IL 60611psimon@stpcorp.com  | COUNSEL FOR LIMITED APPEARANCE representing Mr. Tescher in connection with his Petition for Designation andDischarge as Co-Personal Representative of the Estate of Simon L. Bernstein, deceased.Irwin J. Block, Esq.The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL700 South Federal HighwaySuite 200Boca Raton, Florida 33432ijb@ijblegal.com martin@kolawyers.com  | RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM and FORMER WITHDRAWN COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES, NO NOTICES OF APPEARANCESMark R. Manceri, Esq., andMark R. Manceri, P.A., 2929 East Commercial BoulevardSuite 702Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308mrmlaw@comcast.net mrmlaw1@gmail.com | RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY, PROFESSIONALLY AND LAW FIRM AND AS FORMER COUNSEL TO THEODORE BERNSTEIN IN VARIOUS CAPACITIES Donald Tescher, Esq., Tescher & Spallina, P.A.Boca Village Corporate Center I4855 Technology WaySuite 720Boca Raton, FL 33431dtescher@tescherspallina.com dtescher@tescherspallina.com ddustin@tescherspallina.com kmoran@tescherspallina.com |
| RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILDJill Iantoni2101 Magnolia LaneHighland Park, IL 60035jilliantoni@gmail.com | COUNSEL TO CREDITOR WILLIAM STANSBURYPeter Feaman, EsquirePeter M. Feaman, P.A.3615 Boynton Beach Blvd.Boynton Beach, FL 33436pfeaman@feamanlaw.com service@feamanlaw.com mkoskey@feamanlaw.com | COURT APPROVED CURATOR TO REPLACE THE REMOVED FORMER PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES/CO-TRUSTEES/COUNSEL TO THEMSELVES AS FIDUCIARIES TESCHER AND SPALLINABenjamin Brown, Esq.,Thornton B Henry, Esq., andPeter MatwiczykMatwiczyk & Brown, LLP625 No. Flagler DriveSuite 401West Palm Beach, FL 33401bbrown@matbrolaw.com attorneys@matbrolaw.combhenry@matbrolaw.com pmatwiczyk@matbrolaw.com  | COUNSEL FOR JILL IANTONI and LISA FRIEDSTEINWilliam M. Pearson, Esq.P.O. Box 1076Miami, FL 33149wpearsonlaw@bellsouth.net |
| RESPONDENT INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AND TRUSTEE OF HER MINOR CHILDLisa Friedstein2142 Churchill LaneHighland Park, IL 60035Lisa@friedsteins.comlisa.friedstein@gmail.com lisa@friedsteins.com  | COUNSEL FOR JILL IANTONI and LISA FRIEDSTEINWilliam H. Glasko, Esq.Golden Cowan, P.A.1734 South Dixie HighwayPalmetto Bay, FL 33157bill@palmettobaylaw.com eservice@palmettobaylaw.com tmealy@gcprobatelaw.com  | RESPONDENT – ADULT CHILDAlexandra Bernstein3000 Washington Blvd, Apt 424Arlington, VA, 22201alb07c@gmail.com  | RESPONDENT/ARRESTED AND CONVICTED OF FRAUD AND ADMITTED TO FORGERY OF SIX SIGNATURES, INCLUDING POST MORTEM FOR SIMON/HAS HAD NOTARY PUBLIC LICENSE REVOKED BY FLORIDA GOVERNOR RICK SCOTT NOTARY PUBLIC DIVISION. \*See notesKimberly Morankmoran@tescherspallina.com  |
| RESPONDENT – ADULT CHILDEric Bernstein2231 Bloods Grove CircleDelray Beach, FL 33445ebernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.comedb07@fsu.eduedb07fsu@gmail.com  | RESPONDENT – INITIALLY MINOR CHILD AND NOW ADULT CHILDMichael Bernstein2231 Bloods Grove CircleDelray Beach, FL 33445mchl\_bernstein@yahoo.com  |   | COUNSEL TO ALEXANDRA, ERIC AND MICHAEL BERNSTEIN AND MOLLY SIMONJohn P Morrissey. Esq. John P. Morrissey, P.A.330 Clematis StreetSuite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401john@jmorrisseylaw.com  |
| RESPONDENT – ADULT STEPSON TO THEODOREMatt Logan2231 Bloods Grove CircleDelray Beach, FL 33445matl89@aol.com  | RESPONDENTS – MINOR CHILREN OF PETITIONERJoshua, Jacob and Daniel Bernstein, Minorsc/o Eliot and Candice Bernstein,Parents and Natural Guardians2753 NW 34th StreetBoca Raton, FL 33434iviewit@iviewit.tv  | RESPONDENT – MINOR CHILDJulia Iantoni, a Minorc/o Guy and Jill Iantoni,Her Parents and Natural Guardians210 I Magnolia LaneHighland Park, IL 60035jilliantoni@gmail.com |  |
| RESPONDENT/REPRIMANDED BY FLORIDA GOVERNOR RICK SCOTT NOTARY PUBLIC DIVISION FOR FAILING TO NOTARIZE AN ALLEGED 2012 WILL AND TRUST OF SIMON AND SIGNING NOTARY UNDER FALSE NAMELindsay Baxley aka Lindsay Gileslindsay@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com  | RESPONDENT MINOR CHILDRENCarley & Max Friedstein, Minorsc/o Jeffrey and Lisa FriedsteinParents and Natural Guardians2142 Churchill LaneHighland Park, IL 6003Lisa@friedsteins.com lisa.friedstein@gmail.com | RESPONDENT – MINOR CHILD INITIALLY NOW ADULT CHILDMolly Simon1731 N. Old Pueblo DriveTucson, AZ 85745molly.simon1203@gmail.com |  |

**EXHIBIT A**

**ALLEGED TRUST OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN**

**EXHIBIT B**

**Donald R. Tescher, Esq. Letter dated January 14, 2014**

**EXHIBIT C**

**alleged fraudulent insurance claim submitted by attorney at law Robert l. Spallina, esq. and related correspondences**

**EXHIBIT D**

**Memorandum of Law in Opposition to the Estate's Motion to Intervene (the "Opposition Memorandum")**

**EXHIBIT E**

**September 13, 2013 Transcript of Proceedings, pages 15 and 16 re Miranda Warning to Theodore and Robert L. Spallina, Esq.**

**EXHIBIT F**

**2000 insurance trust of simon bernstein done by proskauer rose, llp and correspondences regarding the secreting the trust in favor of a prior lost or missing trust that no executed copies exist for.**

**EXHIBIT G**

**i.Docket #244 – Simon Estate**

 **MOT - MOTION**

**Filing Date: 28-AUG-2014**

**Filing Party: BERNSTEIN, ELIOT IVAN**

**Docket Text: (AMENDED) FOR REMOVAL OF PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATES AND TRUST OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN IN ALL FIDUCIAL CAPACITIES ON THE COURT'S OWN INITIATIVE UNEXECUTED ORDER ATTACHED EFILED**

**EXHIBIT H**

**Docket #215 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit H)**

 **PET - PETITION**

**Filing Date: 29-JUL-2014**

**Filing Party: STANSBURY, WILLIAM E**

**Docket Text: PETITION TO REMOVE TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN REVOCABLE TRUST**

**EXHIBIT I**

**Docket #188 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit I)**

 **RESP - RESPONSE TO:**

**Filing Date: 27-JUN-2014**

**Filing Party: STANSBURY, WILLIAM E**

**Docket Text: RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO THE APPOINTMENT OF TED BERNSTEIN AS SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF AN INDEPENDENT THIRD PARTY AS BOTH SUCCESSOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE AND TRUSTEE OF THE SIMON BERNSTEIN TRUST AGREEMENT F/B**

**EXHIBIT J**

**Docket #126 - Simon Estate (see Exhibit J)**

 **NOF - NOTICE OF FILING**

**Filing Date: 22-MAY-2014**

**Filing Party: william stansbury**

**Docket Text: JOINDER IN PETITION FILED BY ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEE AND FOR TRUST ACCOUNTING F/B WILLIAM E. STANSBURY, CREDITOR OF THE E/O SIMON BERNSTEIN E-FILED**

**EXHIBIT K**

**Docket # - Simon Estate (see Exhibit K)**

**PET – PETITION**

**Filing Date: 07-APR-2014**

**Filing Party: eliot ivan bernstein**

**Docket Text: PETITION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TESTAMENTARY TRUST, FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEE AND FOR TRUST ACCOUNTING BY ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN**

1. Eliot also states that much of this pleading has been politely borrowed from the honorable Creditor William Stansbury and his honorable counsel Peter Feaman, Esquire’s filing to remove Theodore Stuart Bernstein as a Fiduciary in the Estates and Trusts of Simon and Shirley Bernstein, for good and just cause. Their Petition was not heard and denied due to lack of standing, not for the substantive issues contained therein, since Eliot has standing he will argue the pleading as a beneficiary or guardian for three beneficiaries with beneficial interests, Pro Se. Eliot has done some Pro Se editing to the prior document filed. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Eliot has filed a pleading with the Court to Remove Theodore on the Court’s own motion based on a host of reasons that disqualify Theodore at this time, including Prima Facie evidence in the Court’s possession already. The filing was docketed August 28, 2014 and titled “AMENDED MOTION FOR REMOVAL OF PR AND TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATES AND TRUSTS OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN” and being all Pro Se, is hereby included by reference in entirety with all exhibits herein. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. This alleged Revocable Trust of Simon’s has been found to have improper notarization affixed by the Governor Rick Scott’s Notary Public Division. The two witnesses to the document have already confessed to fraudulent altercation of other documents in the Shirley Bernstein and Simon Bernstein Estates and Trusts, including admitted forgery and fraudulent notarizations. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. The original Simon Trust done in 2008 with Shirley was not turned over to beneficiaries until TESCHER and SPALLINA were ordered by the Court to turn over their records upon their removal in 2014 to the Curator Benjamin Brown, Esq. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. The Court should note that in TESCHER and SPALLINA’s production documents Ordered by this Court to be turned over to the appointed Curator, Benjamin Brown, Esq., turned up a 2000 insurance trust done by Proskauer Rose, LLP. This Proskauer insurance trust specifically mentioned the insurance policy as part of the trust corpus. This trust was discovered with correspondences indicating that it was intentionally secreted from this Court, a US Federal Court and the true and proper beneficiaries with intent and scienter and replaced with a scheme to use a “lost” and “missing” 1995 Insurance Trust that no executed copies exist for or have been produced. See Exhibit F. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. The Court should note that SPALLINA filed the claim acting as the trustee of the lost trust that he claims never to have seen or possessed and attempted to have the monies converted and comingled with his law firm Tescher & Spallina P.A. account.

The Court should further note that when the Illinois Life Insurance Litigation was filed shortly after SPALLINA’s claim was denied, TED filed the lawsuit as trustee to the lost trust that he too claims never to have seen or possessed an executed copy of, replacing SPALLINA. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. The Court should also note that NO parties in the Insurance Litigation, including the life insurance carriers involved to date have produced a bona fide copy of the executed insurance policy for the Breach of Contract lawsuit that is based upon it and thus no terms, including the beneficiaries and the face are known at this time, making this yet another “Rabbit Hole” of apparent malfeasances. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. Palm Beach County Sheriff Reports can be found at [www.iviewit.tv/Sheriff Reports.pdf](http://www.iviewit.tv/Sheriff%20Reports.pdf) , fully incorporated by reference herein. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. The Court should note that TED’s current counsel, Alan B. Rose, Esq. was also involved in knowingly advancing the fraudulent beneficiary scheme with TESCHER, SPALLINA and TED and continues to advance such fraudulent scheme through continued toxic pleadings with this Court in efforts to now have the Court change Shirley trust documents, four years Post Mortem, in efforts to have the Court, through Fraud on the Court, change the beneficiaries of Shirley’s Irrevocable Beneficiary Class to fit the crimes already committed by TED and his siblings, other than Eliot, when they knowingly took distributions to knowingly improper parties to mainly benefit TED and his sister Pamela Simon who were both disinherited and considered predeceased by both Simon and Shirley, for good and just cause and perhaps this Court is starting to see in part why their parents did not want them involved in the Estates and Trusts in any way, shape or form, as stated, “for all purposes.” [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. The Court should note that NO COMPLETE TRUSTS OR WILLS HAVE EVER BEEN PROVIDED to beneficiaries with all of the Schedules and Addendums attached to show what the Corpus of each entity is and the only accounting tendered in these matters was for Simon’s Estate. The accounting provided was upon the Court’s Order for TESCHER and SPALLINA to file a Final Accounting upon their termination. That accounting has been challenged by ALL parties, including, the Curator Benjamin Brown, Esq., the new Personal Representative of the Simon Estate, Brian O’Connell, Esq. and Eliot, for gross violations of statutory accounting rules and regulations and more. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)