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to get counsel. What happened with that?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You don't want to know.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But it hasn't matured into counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So --

MR. FEAMAN: We also thought that delaying it would be --

THE COURT: The creditor and Eliot have
companion petitions to remove Ted, correct?
MR. FEAMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. Is that the status?

MR. PANKAUSKI: That is the status, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So what's the issue
then, a hearing date or how long or what's?
MR. PANKAUSKI: No. We oppose
Mr. Feaman's client, who is a creditor of the
estate, from trying to remove a trustee in probate court.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me deal with Eliot's first because Eliot's was set
creditor, Mr. Stansbury, he doesn't have
standing to remove a trustee -- to remove Ted as trustee.

THE COURT: Okay. You agree, depending upon where it's filed, that Eliot does have standing?

MR. PANKAUSKI: Eliot has standing to seek
Ted's removal, yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And, Your Honor, I
put in a motion to respond to their contempt
nonsense and --
THE COURT: I didn't get the last thing you said.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I put in a motion to respond to their contempt motion they put in here today.

THE COURT: I didn't see that.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. In that motion in case Mr. Feaman somehow isn't, you know, allowed to argue, the law says that you on your own initiative based on review of a trustee's conduct can under I think it's 736.0201, blah, blah, blah, is able to make that decision on your own. And right now we
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earlier but we delayed that, correct?
MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So his matter needs to get set.
MR. PANKAUSKI: Well --
6 THE COURT: Or not.
7 MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PANKAUSKI: If Eliot wants to be heard
on it, that's correct. I mean, we would argue
that he has to file a complaint under 736.0201.
We don't have jurisdiction. And the trust code
is pretty clear, he needs to go file a separate civil action.

THE COURT: Okay. I haven't looked at his pleadings, and I don't know where they are. So
these, both Eliot's and the creditor's
petitions are set in the probate case, correct?
MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So is the issue whether a
petition to remove a trustee can take place in
the estate case or needs to be filed by
separate action in civil court?
MR. PANKAUSKI: That is one of the issues.
25 And regarding Mr. Feaman's client, the

1 MR. FEAMAN: Thank you.
2 Our motion, Your Honor, that we filed addresses the -- may I sit, Your Honor?
4 THE COURT: Yes, sure.
5 MR. FEAMAN: Our motion addresses the issue of standing right up front beginning at the top of page two. And I can summarize that for you orally or allow you a minute to glance at it.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
So we begin, Your Honor, with Florida
Statute 736.0706 which says that the settlor, a co-trustee, or a beneficiary may request the court to remove a trustee.

So then the question is what is the code definition of a beneficiary? For that, Your Honor, we turn to Florida Statute 736.0103 sub 4 where beneficiary is defined. There, Your Honor, beneficiary is defined very broadly as, quote, a person who has a present or future beneficial interest in a trust, vested or contingent.

Now, in this particular probate estate, 25 Your Honor, Mr. Stansbury is a creditor of the

1 insufficient to pay them.
2 Therefore, Your Honor, if we assume that 3 Mr. Stansbury's interest admittedly and one in the future because his claim has not been litigated, and admittedly contingent nonetheless, the trust assets may be called upon to satisfy Mr. Stansbury's claim against the estate. And that trust falls within the definition of 733.707.
Therefore, Mr. Stansbury would have standing because of how beneficiary is defined as any person who has a future beneficial interest in a trust, vested or contingent.

Mr. Stansbury falls into that broad definition. And because you look at the inventory of the Simon Bernstein Estate, it only has a million dollars, his claim on its face is 2.5 million. Then Mr. Stansbury could very well need those trust assets to satisfy his claim. Or, more accurately, the personal representative may have to go to the trust.
Mr. Stansbury has a direct interest in preserving the assets of the trust. Therefore, if we have information to think that those assets are being wasted by the present

Simon Bernstein Estate to the extent of in excess of $\$ 2.5$ million dollars, which was given in a proffer when we had our mediation in the underlying case. The assets of the Simon Bernstein trust are a little over one million dollars at this time.

So because the assets of the -- estate I mean. Because the assets of the Simon Bernstein Estate are facially insufficient to meet the claim of Mr. Stansbury, what happens then?

For that we turn to Florida Statute 733.7073, which is also on page two of our motion, which states, quote -- and this deals with the payment of claims and the order of claims in an estate.

And it states, Any portion of a trust with respect to which a decedent who is the grantor -- and that's this case; Mr. Bernstein was the grantor of this revocable lifetime trust -- the grantor has at the decedent's death a right of revocation, which we have; is liable for the expenses of the administration 24 and obligations of the decedent's estate to the 25 extent that the decedent's estate is

10:18:06-10:18:55
Page 13
successor trustee of the trust, that is Mr. Ted
Bernstein, then we have sought to remove him so that those assets of the trust of which
Mr. Stansbury may claim some day are protected.
THE COURT: Okay. What do you say?
6 MR. PANKAUSKI: Absolutely not, Your
Honor. First of all, Mr. Feaman is trying to
tell you that his client, who is a claimant,
not a creditor, his claim has not been
established, is a beneficiary whose interest is a future interest or vested. And Mr. Feaman's claimant client is not vested. He is not a beneficiary. He is a claimant. We know the difference between a beneficiary and someone making a claim against the estate of Simon Bernstein.
The personal representative is an interested person in the trust, right?
Mr. O'Connell can go to Ted, the trustee, and say, hey, I need to do things or I want to seek your removal. But a claimant is not an interested person in the trust.

Mr. Feaman's client is neither a settlor, a beneficiary, or a named trustee of the Simon
25 Bernstein trust.

THE COURT: Okay. So my question is is there any case law on whether a claimant in an estate can be deemed to be a beneficiary under 736 ?
5 MR. PANKAUSKI: None. 6 MR. FEAMAN: We could not find any, Your Honor. So we are relying upon -- if I may hand Your Honor the statute?
9 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
10 MR. FEAMAN: You are welcome.

Well, 733.707 speaks a little differently than, Mr. Feaman, than I think the way you are interpreting it. That to me says if there's a trust, which there is here, where the decedent is also the grantor, which is the case here, and there's a right of revocation, which is the case here, then the trust could be liable for expenses of administration and obligations of the estate. The trust could be.

MR. FEAMAN: Correct.
THE COURT: But that doesn't -- that's not a definitional section that indicates that how a claimant of an estate could be deemed to be a beneficiary. I am not getting that leap.

MR. FEAMAN: Sure. This --
THE COURT: I mean, because what I am getting at is 733.707 says what it says. So someone could look to a trust to pay for obligations of an estate based upon what that statute says.

You agree with that, Mr. Pankauski?
MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. 733.707
sets out the order of payments and the process.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. PANKAUSKI: Mr. Feaman has to win
first in the probate court, get a judgment, or have a claim satisfied by the estate. Then he turns to Mr. O'Connell and says, Mr. O'Connell, please satisfy my judgment. If Mr. O'Connell doesn't have sufficient funds, he then turns to Ted Bernstein and says, Mr. Bernstein, please give me money from your dad's.

THE COURT: But pending that process the claimant, who is Mr. Feaman's client, says, I want to remove Ted. And the key seems to be does he occupy, as he is making that request now, the position of being a beneficiary?

MR. PANKAUSKI: No, because he neither has a present interest to the trust, he is not vested, and he doesn't have a future interest to the trust. If he were vested this would be a different story. But the Simon Bernstein trust --

THE COURT: Well, he says he has an interest in a future interest in the trust if the estate is insufficient to meet his claim, and his claim becomes ripe, it's determined there's insufficient funds. Then he says he's -- you know, he would then take action against the trust or go to the PR to invade the

10:23:07-10:24:06
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## trust.

MR. PANKAUSKI: Exactly. He's got to go to the PR. And his interest is not vested. The Simon Bernstein trust says nothing about Mr. Feaman's clients. And he is trying to convince you that a claimant in an estate is a beneficiary of Mr. Bernstein's trust.

THE COURT: Hold on. Let me finish reading something else.

MR. PANKAUSKI: And there's no case law on that.

MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, counsel keeps
using the word vested. That's not the only
thing it says under the definition of
beneficiary.
THE COURT: No, it says vested or contingent.

MR. FEAMAN: Or contingent. And if Mr. Stansbury has reason to believe that the assets of the trust are being wasted, he should have the right.

THE COURT: But the question is at this stage as a claimant --

MR. FEAMAN: Why should he have to wait 25 until his claim is finally liquidated after
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| trial, then the estate is insufficient in terms of the trust? <br> THE COURT: Because that may be what the <br> legislature intended. <br> MR. FEAMAN: And now the trust is bare. <br> And then Mr. Stansbury, who maybe had a chance <br> to save what assets were there back when his <br> claim was future and contingent, now he gets to <br> the point where it's present and vested, and <br> now because of the intervening timeframe the <br> assets have been dissipated. <br> THE COURT: So let me ask you. 736.0103, <br> the definitional section of beneficiary, <br> there's no cases that speak about when a <br> claimant can be deemed a beneficiary? <br> MR. FEAMAN: Not that we could find. <br> THE COURT: And you didn't find any <br> either? <br> MR. PANKAUSKI: No, because it doesn't <br> exist in the history of jurisprudence for <br> trusts. A claimant is not a trust beneficiary. <br> He may be a creditor of the estate. <br> MR. FEAMAN: Claimant is a potential <br> beneficiary of the trust in a situation unique <br> like this where the trust assets may be called | could have been the opportunity? <br> THE COURT: Well, wouldn't others who have <br> standing, such as either Mr. O'Connell or <br> Eliot, be able to deal with that issue? <br> Because they clearly have standing. <br> MR. FEAMAN: Yes. Mr. Stansbury would <br> like to deal with it too because he has a potential claim on that trust. <br> THE COURT: I am just not sure though that <br> under the statutory scheme where he is right <br> now as claimant puts him in a position to be deemed a beneficiary. <br> All right. Let me think about it. You <br> know, I mean, I haven't seen this before. And <br> do you have a proposed order? <br> MR. FEAMAN: I do. <br> THE COURT: Thanks. If either side has a <br> proposed order I will take a look at it. <br> MR. PANKAUSKI: It's just blank, Your <br> Honor. <br> MR. FEAMAN: That's all we have. <br> THE COURT: Blank with envelopes is great. <br> So I will rule on this. <br> And then what else do we have to do now that you are here? |
| upon to satisfy my client's claim. <br> MR. PANKAUSKI: That's not a beneficiary. <br> That's a claimant. <br> THE COURT: That's the difference I think <br> I have to figure out. <br> Mr. Feaman, when your client moves, <br> assuming this is what happens, from claimant to <br> creditor, he then gets a class of -- he becomes <br> a numbered class of creditors order of -- then <br> I deal with 733.707 and determine how to pay <br> him from what's left. And then if there's <br> insufficient assets then the trust could be <br> looked at as a source. <br> MR. FEAMAN: Correct. <br> THE COURT: But the trust can be liable <br> for obligations of the estate once I find what <br> those obligations are. But right now I don't <br> know what those obligations are. And the fact <br> that your client is a creditor doesn't put him <br> yet into a position where I could order his <br> claim to be paid because it hasn't been <br> determined yet. <br> MR. FEAMAN: And what happens, <br> respectfully, Your Honor, if when that time <br> comes the trust cupboard is bare when there | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I have got an <br> interim distribution that I put in before <br> anything he is about to say that depends on my <br> kids being in school tomorrow that he has <br> delayed and fakaktad around here long enough. <br> So before he gets into the whole Eliot is <br> contemptuous -- <br> THE COURT: Well, I just want to be able <br> to know if I need to set hearings on things, <br> that's why. This is UMC. I can't have <br> evidentiary hearings. <br> MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: This is -- they are <br> asking for your decision to allow my children <br> to go to school today which they are in school <br> but will be out tomorrow because of -- <br> THE COURT: Who is asking for that? <br> MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They are, meaning he <br> said he is coming to the court to -- I have got <br> a letter here. He is coming to the court to <br> ask for -- <br> THE COURT: What's going on, Mr. Rose? <br> MR. ROSE: Two different things. You want <br> to deal with this children in school? <br> 24 THE COURT: Yes. <br> 25 MR. ROSE: Okay. |

1 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know anything.
2 MR. ROSE: That's fine.
THE COURT: This is UMC.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I deal with it first?
THE COURT: But see, I am not -- I am just
-- remember, at UMC I don't deal with
children's school issues because how could I --
I don't even have any pleadings dealing with this.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: You do. I filed them.

THE COURT: Yeah, but I mean, are they set for hearing for today?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I thought so. I put it in for a hearing today.

THE COURT: Do you have a notice for hearing?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I did. I filed one.
MR. PANKAUSKI: He filed it yesterday.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. Interim
distributions was filed last week. Yesterday I
filed for the motion -- my response to his
contempt nonsense.
THE COURT: All right. Okay. So there's
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a motion for interim distribution. Okay. And there's a notice of hearing was filed yesterday for today?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No. No. It was filed last week for the interim.
THE COURT: For today?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I filed one yesterday for the response to his contempt stuff he wanted to hear today.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Put the contempt stuff aside. Let's deal with the interim distribution issue.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that something I can do at UMC?

MR. ROSE: I don't know if I can answer
that question directly. Can I just tell you
briefly where we are?
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ROSE: It might help you.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROSE: I don't know which proceeding
we are in, but --
THE COURT: Okay. 2 first?
3 4 th

3 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: He made that request probably the very end of July. And I, as Ted's counsel, offered to discuss it with him so we could figure out where we were.

THE COURT: And Ted's role in the estate is what?

MR. ROSE: If I could?
THE COURT: You represent Ted?
MR. ROSE: But not in this estate. That's what I am trying to explain to you.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROSE: There's two different sides.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Can I take the
THE COURT: Right now it's Eliot says
here's pending this petition for interim
distribution --
MR. ROSE: Okay.
THE COURT: -- from the estate. Okay.
All right. So direct it to that.
MR. ROSE: Okay. So Mr. Eliot Bernstein
has made a request for an interim distribution

14
15
16
18
that subject. Okay.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Here's the problem.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He is an alleged
trustee of the most documentated (sic) -- he
can't be, Ted. That's something we have
been --
THE COURT: I am talking about
Mr. O'Connell.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, no. I know. So
I made a petition to the trustee, a request
under the welfare distributions that they are
required to pay. It's not even discretionary.
THE COURT: So is your request in the
estate or in the trust?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Both.
THE COURT: Both?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Both Simon and
Shirley. I made it everywhere I could because
the future of my kids depends on it.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And he is playing
more games.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. But stop.
Remember, folks. Okay. Here's my question to
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everybody. I will let the lawyers answer this
because they know the rules.
Is this something that I can do at UMC ?
MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I mean, UMC has certain rules
that allows oral argument on filed motions. I
can't take testimony. I can't swear anyone in.
I can't take figures. I can't make
determinations.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: They are asking
simply for your approval to allow Ted to make a
distribution that he says he is willing to make
as long as you approve it. I don't know what -- they've delayed it to day of school, the filing these contempt and reconstruct trust to fake crimes. It's crazy; I know. But we are now in a situation where Mr. Rose has said he was coming here today, and I have his
letter, to basically get you to approve Ted who is obligated to make these payments, approve
it. That's all we need.
THE COURT: And you want that?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah, I want him to approve the payment.

THE COURT: So you want what they are
asking?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I want them to get -- well, I want you to approve it but not under their term.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.
6 MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I have got an order for --

THE COURT: But remember, if there's a dispute I have to hear --

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: But they are not disputing.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Rose.

MR. ROSE: If he would let me speak. If I could speak?

THE COURT: Let him tell me what's going on.

MR. ROSE: Right. Okay. First of all, this man is essentially trying to -- no one has any ill will towards him or his children. You have to understand that. We don't. He will take whatever happens and it will be on the internet tomorrow, and he is trashing --

THE COURT: Let's go past that.
MR. ROSE: But it's important to

1 understand. We have boxes. Okay? We live in two boxes. There's a Simon box and a Shirley box.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: I am trying to explain to you that in the Simon box Ted as trustee cannot do anything because Mr. Stansbury won't allow because he has just told you there's two and a half million dollars. That's box number one. So what I am saying to you is, regardless of what he wants to do --

THE COURT: That's the Simon trust you are talking about?

MR. ROSE: Well, Ted is not the PR of the Simon estate. To the extent there's money in the Simon trust he cannot obviously pay out any money. Mr. Stansbury has just told you he is concerned with what happens in the Simon trust. So I am saying to you as an officer of the court there's nothing we can do in the Simon box. And I want to end that. And then we can talk about the Shirley box because I have no interest in his children not going to school, nor does my client.
THE COURT: Well, tell me about the

Shirley trust then.
MR. ROSE: We have to finish the Simon box, and I don't think -- unless his client said to you, I consent to the money coming out of any of the trust or the estate, there's nothing we can do in the Simon box. We should finish it.
8 THE COURT: Switch to the Shirley. 9 MR. ROSE: Okay. In the Shirley trust.
Ted is by name in the document the successor trustee. He is by name in the document the successor personal representative of the estate of Shirley Bernstein.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROSE: We are going to switch now and
we are now going to be in the Shirley case. I
am not sure what's pending. There is the
Shirley estate in which there's no assets in
the estate because while he was alive it all went to Mr. Simon Bernstein.

In the Shirley trust we have initiated an action. There's now an action pending to construe the trust. It has nothing directly to do with why we are here today. But there's a

Page 31
pending action now. And there's a request to
Ted Bernstein to make an interim distribution.
THE COURT: Out of Shirley's trust?
MR. ROSE: Out of Shirley's trust.
THE COURT: And that is done by Eliot?
MR. ROSE: He has made a request. We offered -- Ted has made an interim distribution to every beneficiary. Eliot refused the interim distribution to him last year. I think there were hearings before I was involved in the case where Your Honor addressed that with
Eliot. I mean, there was an interim
distribution to each of the beneficiaries, and Eliot refuses to take.

Now he is coming in and says, I need something different. I need money for my children's tuition. I have no interest in doing anything but figure out what we can do. That's why I tried to have a discussion with him about the issue.
In the Shirley trust side we have a problem because there's a dispute mostly between Mr. Bernstein and the trust and the beneficiaries as to who are the beneficiaries. Mr. Bernstein contends that he --

THE COURT: Eliot?
MR. ROSE: Eliot contends that he might be an individual beneficiary of the Shirley Bernstein trust. The way the documents appear to be drafted, Simon Bernstein had a power of appointment to appoint the assets in the Shirley Bernstein trust, and he appointed it to his ten grandchildren. Over a year ago, before I was involved, the trustee made an interim distribution to the ten beneficiaries. Eliot refused that money. Now he is saying, I want to make -- I want you to make a distribution to St. Andrews School. We don't care. We are fine to make that with a couple caveats.

Number one, Mr. Bernstein, who wants the money, is challenging whether -- who the beneficiaries are. Number two, there may be questions whether if his children are the beneficiaries and not him, I don't want Mr. Bernstein to be sued by his children. And he has filed an indigency paper indicating he has absolutely no money, no job, no income, no this, no that. His children go to a very expensive school paid by his father while he was alive.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: Your Honor was on vacation last
week. I think today is the first day we can be here.

His children are not pawns. They are not
people that are being used for anything. We
are here where we are.
If there's a way to resolve it that
satisfies the Court's concerns, and
particularly you'd have to order Ted to do
this, and I think you'd have to enjoin anyone
from suing him ever for making this
distribution and give him protection. Because,
you know, he is an officer. He is a fiduciary
in this trust. I don't have a problem. If the
payment needs to be done tomorrow, that's not
my creating. We could have done this in May, June or July.

THE COURT: Okay. Slow down a second.
Here's the thing. Let me get to the bottom line here.

MR. ROSE: Right.
THE COURT: As I have always said at prior times we have been here, this is UMC.

MR. ROSE: I understand.
his whole thing there?
THE COURT: All right. But I asked him a question. Let him answer it.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROSE: I provided him -- everyone
that's got the interim distribution signed a
receipt and return agreement that if Your Honor
ordered the money to be returned it would be
returned.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: In this case the money would be spent directly to a school. It would never be coming back. So we would need protection that if it's determined that Eliot was the
beneficiary, that he would agree that this counts against his distribution.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand all. I understand everything you have said.

MR. ROSE: I have given him the papers he would need to sign --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSE: -- and review and the order that Your Honor would want to sign.

THE COURT: Okay.
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THE COURT: So there are rules. Those rules are going to be followed. And I announced last time they are going to be strictly followed. Okay.

So in light of that, if you folks can reach an agreement to deal with this issue and can give me an agreed order this morning, I will consider it. But that requires an agreement. Okay? So that's step one.

Step two is absent that, whatever request is made in this, like all other areas, you file a pleading. And if I have to take evidence, you set it for an evidentiary hearing. If I can do it by five minute oral argument, you set it for UMC. If you need more than five minutes in oral argument, you specially set it. And that's the way it goes.
So do you want to take a few moments and talk to Eliot and anyone else you have to talk to to see if you can reach an agreed order on this while we are here this morning?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: May I just say
something on the record?
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Since he has done

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: For this whole mess.
But I just like to set the record straight.
THE COURT: Well, I don't want you to. I
want you to deal with this issue.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. What he just said, I want to set that straight.

They want me to take a distribution to
what they know are knowingly wrong
beneficiaries that were achieved through a
fraudulent document scheme, and that's been admitted to.

THE COURT: No. Slow down. Slow down.

See, that's not what they've asked.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, that's what they are asking he just said.

THE COURT: No, that's not what he said.
I heard what he said.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: He wants me to take interim distributions like everybody else.

THE COURT: No. Here's what he said.
Money is going to go from the trust to you
and/or your children.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Right.
THE COURT: Okay? It's money passing.
Okay. When you get the money, you would, one, sign a receipt. Okay? That means you get it.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's okay. I am not -- it's a waiver. It's got all kinds of --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what else is in there.
(Overspeaking)
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: It's participating
in fraud. We went through this September '13
and you wouldn't approve it back then because
you weren't going to approve me participating
in a fraud.
THE COURT: All right. But,
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Mr. Bernstein, I can't --
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's what he is asking me to do for the third time. Okay. Use my kids as hostages for school --

THE COURT: I have asked you not to do that. I will instruct you one more time. I don't want that type of talk in my courtroom.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: What type?
THE COURT: Hostage, children. Listen to me carefully.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: Stop. Okay?
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yes.
THE COURT: You are pushing the wrong buttons.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay? If you push this button
again it's going to be adverse to you. I have
asked you not to do that stuff.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: You know, this is a dignified process. Mr. Bernstein, you cut that out right now.

## MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.

THE COURT: All right? I am talking to
you. All right? This is a dignified process.
You keep it that way. Okay? Everyone else is
participating fine. You can have disputes, but
we deal with them in a civilized manner in the
courtroom. Okay? Everyone is civil and
professional here as they speak. That's the
rule. Okay.
You can go out and speak. If you can
reach an agreement, fine. If not, set it.
Fine. Okay? And I will rule on this.
MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. I have an order.
THE COURT: Thanks.
MR. FEAMAN: There's an order that grants and a separate order that denies.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And I will take a look at it.

MR. FEAMAN: With envelopes.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I have an order
if you would like to look at for the payments
you would have to sign.
THE COURT: I am only going to do an agreed order for UMC purposes.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: I can't do anything that's not
agreed to. Show them the order. Maybe you
guys can work out the form. You got to try to
get past some of these barriers to get to the bottom line.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I am not going to participate in fraud.

THE COURT: All right. No one --
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: That's what they are asking me to do.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PANKAUSKI: Are you going to sign the release?

THE COURT: All right. Go outside and talk. If you can work on this, fine. And
while I'm in another hearing you can bring in an agreed order.

MR. ROSE: We had other matters. We will reset them.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. ROSE: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll reset them.

THE COURT: Thanks.
(Proceedings concluded at 10:44 a.m.)

Hon. Martin Colin - 08/19/2014 Estate of Simon Bernstein


Hon. Martin Colin - 08/19/2014
Estate of Simon Bernstein


Hon. Martin Colin - 08/19/2014
Estate of Simon Bernstein


Hon. Martin Colin - 08/19/2014
Estate of Simon Bernstein

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { five (3) } \\ & 25: 5 ; 35: 14,15 \\ & \text { Florida }(\mathbf{4}) \\ & 3: 7 ; 10: 12,18 ; 11: 12 \\ & \text { folks }(\mathbf{2}) \\ & 26: 25 ; 35: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 1 \\ & \text { happens (5) } \\ & 11: 10 ; 19: 7,23 ; 28: 22 ; \\ & 29: 18 \\ & \text { hear (2) } \\ & 23: 9 ; 28: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12: 24 \\ \text { initiated (1) } \\ 30: 22 \\ \text { initiative (1) } \\ 8: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7: 12 ; 33: 22 \\ \text { jurisprudence (1) } \\ \text { 18:20 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{long}(3) \\ & 6: 19 ; 21: 5 ; 27: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | look (6) |
|  |  |  | K | 12:15;14:23;15:18; |
|  |  | instruct (1) |  | looked (2) |
|  | heard (3)$7: 9 ; 9: 25 ; 38: 5$ |  | keep (1) | 7:15;19:13 |
| followed (2) |  |  | 40:2 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { following (1) } \\ & 3: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hearing (13) } \\ & 4: 16 ; 5: 3,13,18 ; 6: 19 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 9 ; 12: 1 ; 16: 21,23 \\ & 18: 1 ; 19: 12 \end{aligned}$ | keeps (1) <br> 17:12 | 3:13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| form (1)$41: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 5,9 ; 22: 14,16,18 \\ & 23: 2 ; 35: 13 ; 41: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { intended (1) } \\ 18: 4 \end{gathered}$ | key (1) | M |
|  |  |  | 16:10 |  |
| forward (1)$5: 25$ | hearings (5) | interest (14) | kids (3) | makes (1) |
|  | $4: 11,21 ; 21: 9$,$31: 10$ | 10:22;12:3,13,22; | 21:4;26:20;39:4 | 33:3 |
| four (1) |  | 13:10,11;14:18;16:14, | kinds (1) | making (5) |
| 3:21 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { help (1) } \\ 23: 21 \end{array}$ | 15,20,20;17:3;29:23; | 38:16 | 13:15;16:11;33:8,20; |
| FPR (1) |  | 31:17 | knowingly (1) | 34:12 |
| $3: 8$ | Here's (4) | interested (2) | 37:21 | man (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fraud (3) } \\ & 38: 21,24 ; 41: 6 \end{aligned}$ | hey (1) | interim (17) |  | 28:19 |
|  |  |  | L | manner (1) |
| fraudulent (1) | 13:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 2 ; 22: 21 ; 23: 1,5, \\ & 12 ; 24: 4,10 ; 25: 9 ; 31: 2, \end{aligned}$ |  | 40:4 |
| 37:23 |  |  | lack (1) | MARTIN (1) |
| front (1) | 18:20 | 7,9,12;32:9;33:8,20; | 9:13 | 3:8 |
| 10:6 | Hold (2) | 36:7;38:7 | last (9) | matter (1) |
| funds (2) | 9:3;17:8 | internet (1) | 4:10;8:13;22:22; | 7:3 |
| 16:5,23 | Honor (38) | 28:23 | 23:5;25:4;31:9;33:24; | matters (1) |
| future (10) | 3:16;4:16,18,23; | interpreting (1) | 34:2;35:3 | 41:17 |
| 4:22;10:21;12:4,12; | 5:12;6:17;7:2,7,19; | 15:3 | law (3) | matured (1) |
| 13:11;14:17;16:15,20; | 8:10;9:12,14,17;10:2,3, | intervening (1) | 8:21;14:2;17:10 | 6:6 |
| 18:8;26:20 | 11,12,18,20,25;12:2; | 18:10 | lawyers (2) | may (14) |
| G | 13:7,14:7,8,19,24; | into (5) | 3:13;27:1 | 4:13;9:19;10:3,14; |
| G | 15:22;17:12;19:24; | 6:6;9:4;12:14;19:20; | leap (1) | 12:6,21;13:4;14:7; |
| games (1) | 20:20;25:24;27:4; | 21:6 | 15:14 | 18:3,22,25;32:17; |
|  | 31:11;34:2;36:8,24; | invade (1) | left (3) | 34:17;35:22 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 26: 23 \\ \text { gets (3) } \end{array}$ | 37:5;41:20 | $16: 25$ inventory (1) | 3:14,15;19:11 | maybe (3) |
| 18:8;19:8;21:6 | 3:8 | 12:16 | 18:4 | mean (8) |
| given (3) | Hostage (1) | involved (2) | length (1) | 7:10;11:8;15:16; |
| 5:18;11:2;36:20 | 39:9 | 31:10;32:9 | 5:5 | 20:14;22:1,13;27:5; |
| glance (1) | hostages (1) | issue (9) | letter (2) | 31:12 |
| 10:8 | 39:4 | 6:18;7:20;9:25;10:6; | 21:19;27:19 | meaning (1) |
| goes (1) | humanitarian (1) | 20:4;23:12;31:20;35:6; | liable (3) | 21:17 |
| 35:17 | 33:9 | 37:17 | 11:23;15:7;19:15 | means (1) |
| Good (1) |  | issues (2) | lifetime (1) | 38:14 |
| 3:16 | I | $7: 24 ; 22: 8$ | 11:20 | mediation (1) |
| grandchildren (2) |  |  | light (1) | 11:3 |
| 3:21;32:8 | ill (1) | J | 35:5 | meet (2) |
| grantor (4) | 28:20 |  | limit (1) | 11:10;16:21 |
| 11:19,20,21;15:5 | immediate (1) | job (1) | 37:10 | memory (1) |
| grants (1) | 33:24 | 32:22 | line (2) | 4:9 |
| 40:13 | important (1) | John (2) | 34:21;41:4 | mess (1) |
| great (1) | 28:25 | 3:17,20 | liquidated (1) | 37:14 |
| 20:22 | income (1) | join (1) | 17:25 | might (2) |
| guys (1) | 32:22 | 5:16 | Lisa (1) | 23:21;32:2 |
| 41:2 | indicates (1) | Judge (1) | 3:7 | million (5) |
|  | 15:12 indicating (1) | 3:8 | listen (2) | 11:2,5;12:17,18;29:9 |
| H | indicating (1) | judgment (2) | 37:6;39:9 | minute (3) |
|  | 32:21 indigency (1) | 16:1,4 | litigated (1) | 10:8;33:24;35:14 |
| half (1) | indigency (1) | July (2) | 12:5 | minutes (2) |
| 29:9 | 32:21 | 24:15;34:18 | little (2) | 25:5;35:15 |
| hand (1) | individual (1) | June (1) | 11:5;15:1 | missing (1) |
| 14:7 | $32: 3$ | $34: 18$ | live (1) | $9: 1$ |
| happened (1) | information (1) | jurisdiction (2) | 29:1 | moments (1) |
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| 35:18 |  | 9;32:8;37:9 | 4:2;5:16 | 20:15,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| money (14) | 0 | Overspeaking (1) | petition (7) | protected (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 16 ; 32: 11,16,22 ; \\ & 33: 10 ; 36: 9,12 ; 38: 9,12 \end{aligned}$ | objected (1) | own (2) $8 \cdot 2325$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22;24:4;26:11 } \\ & \text { etitions (2) } \\ & \text { 6:12;7:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { protection (3) } \\ & \text { 33:19;34:13;36:14 } \\ & \text { provided (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 33:10;36:9,12;38:9,12, |  | $8: 22,25$ |  |  |
| more (4) | $25: 10 ; 33: 7,7$ | P |  | 36:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 20 ; 26: 23 ; 35: 15 ; \\ & 39: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { objections (1) } \\ & 33: 15 \end{aligned}$ | page (2) | $\begin{gathered} 4: 12 ; 7: 21 \\ \text { playing (1) } \end{gathered}$ | purposes (1) |
| morning (3) | obligated (1) | $10: 7 ; 11: 13$ | 26:22 <br> pleading (2) | push (1) |
| 3:16;35:7,21 |  | paid (2) |  | pushing (1) |
| MORRISSEY (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 27:20 } \\ \text { obligations (6) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:21;32:24 } \\ & \text { Palm (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 15 ; 35: 12$ |  |
| 3:20,20 most (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 24 ; 15: 8,19 ; 19: 16, \\ & 17,18 \end{aligned}$ | Palm (2) | pleadings (2) | 39:14 |
| 26:5 | obviously (1) | PANKAUSKI (32) | Please (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11,15,16 ; 19: 19 \\ & 21: 2 ; 22: 15 ; 23: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| mostly (1) | 29:16 | $3: 16,17 ; 4: 5 ; 6: 16,20$ | $3: 12 ; 16: 4,6$point (1) |  |
| 31:22 | occupy (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2,5,7,9,19,24 ; 8: 7 \\ & 9: 12,14,17,19,21 ; 13: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | 20:11 |
| motion (14) | 16:11 |  | $18: 9$ |  |
| 4:5;5:6,9;8:11,15,16, 20;9:22;10:2,5;11:14, | O'Connell (12) | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 5 ; 15: 21,22,25 \\ & 16: 13 ; 17: 2,10 ; 18: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { portion (1) } \\ 11: 17 \end{array}$ | 0 |
| 14:12;22:23;23:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 22,22 ; 4: 19 ; 13: 19 \\ & 16: 3,3,4 ; 20: 3 ; 25: 17,19, \end{aligned}$ | 19:2;20:19;22:20;27:4; | position (3) |  |
| motions (1) | $\begin{gathered} 22 ; 26: 9 \\ \text { offered (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $33: 21 ; 41: 11$ | $16: 12 ; 19: 20 ; 20: 11$ potential (2) | $10: 21 ; 11: 14$ |
| 27:6 moves (1) |  | paper (1) $32: 21$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { potential (2) } \\ 18: 23 ; 20: 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| moves (1) 19:6 | officer (2) | papers (1) | power (1) | 14:11 |
| $\underset{9 \cdot 9}{\text { much (1) }}$ | 29:19;34:14 | $36: 20$participate (1) | PR (4) | $\mathbf{R}$ |
| 9:9 |  |  |  |  |
| $3: 7$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19: 16 \\ \text { one (15) } \end{array}$ | 41:6 participating | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 25 ; 17: 3 ; 25: 17 \\ & 29: 14 \end{aligned}$ | raise (1) |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 24 ; 11: 5 ; 12: 3 \\ & 14: 24 ; 22: 19 ; 23: \end{aligned}$ | 38:20,23;40:3 particular (1) | present (4) | $33: 21$ |
|  | 25:16;28:19;29:9; | 10:24 particularly (1) | 18:9 | 35:6,20;40:9 |
| name (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 15 ; 33: 13 ; 35: 9 ; \\ & 38: 13 ; 39: 6 ; 41: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $34: 10$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { preserving (1) } \\ 12: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{17: 9}{\text { reading (1) }}$ |
| 30:10,11 |  |  | pretty (1) |  |
| named (1) | ones (1) | parties (1) |  | really (1) |
| 13:24 | $4: 12$ | 3:13 | 7:13 | 33:10 |
| necessary (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { only (3) } \\ & 12: 17 ; 17: 13 ; 40: \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{38: 12}{\text { passing (1) }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { previously (1) } \\ 5: 18 \end{array}$ | reason (1)$17: 19$ |
| 5:5 |  |  |  |  |
| need (11) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { opportunity (1) } \\ 20: 1 \end{array}$ | past (2) | prior (1) | receipt (3) |
| $12: 19 ; 13: 20 ; 21: 9$ |  | pawns (1) | $34: 23$ <br> private (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 17 ; 36: 8 ; 38: 14 \\ & \text { received (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $25: 14 ; 27: 21 ; 31: 15,16$ | oppose (1) |  |  |  |
| 33:18;35:15;36:14,21 | 6:20 | 34:5 | 24:11 | $33: 14$ reconstruct (1) |
| needs (4) | oral (3) | pay (6) | pro (1) | reconstruct (1)27:15 |
| 7:3,13,22;34:16 negotiate (1) | 27:6;35:14,16orally (1) |  | 3:25 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { negotiate (1) } \\ 37: 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:11;26:13;29:16 } \\ & \text { paying (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { probably (1) } \\ 24: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { record (2) } \\ 35: 23 ; 37: 15 \end{array}$ |
| neither (2) | order (18) | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 1 \\ & \text { payment (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { probate }(4) \\ & 6: 23 ; 7: 18 ; 10: 24 ; \\ & 16: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Refresh (1) } \\ 4: 9 \end{array}$ |
| 13:23;16:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:15;15:23;19:9,20; } \\ & \text { 20:15,18;28:6;34:10; } \\ & 35: 7,20 ; 36: 23 ; 40: 11, \\ & \text { 13,14,19,23;41:1,16 } \\ & \text { ordered (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| None (1) |  | $11: 15 ; 27: 24 ; 34: 16$ |  | refused (2) |
| 14:5 nonetheless (1) |  | 15:23;27:20;40:20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 16:1 } \\ \text { problem (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 8 ; 32: 11 \\ & \text { refuses (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nonetheless (1) } \\ & 12: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $26: 2 ; 31: 22 ; 34: 15$ <br> proceeding (2) | 31:14 |
| nonsense (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ordered (1) } \\ & 36: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 8 ; 24: 4 ; 30: 18,23 ; \\ & 31: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { proceeding (2) } \\ 23: 23: 25: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mid r \operatorname{regard}(1) \\ 4: 15 \end{array}$ |
| 8:12;22:24 | others (1) |  | 23:23;25:15 <br> proceedings (2) |  |
| nor (1) | $20: 2$ | people (1) | 3:4;41:24 | $\underset{4: 16 ; 7: 25}{\text { regarding (2) }}$ |
| 29:24 | out (13) | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 6 \\ & \text { person (6) } \end{aligned}$ | process (4) |  |
| notice (2) 22:17;23:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 23 ; 19: 5 ; 21: 15 \\ & 24: 17 ; 25: 13 ; 29: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { person (6) } \\ 10: 21 ; 12: 12 ; 13: 18, \\ 2: 14 \cdot 17 \cdot 25 \cdot 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 23 ; 16: 8 ; 39: 22 ; \\ & 40: 1 \end{aligned}$ | regardless (1) 29:10 |
| number (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 4 ; 31: 3,4,18 ; 39: 22 \\ & 40: 8 ; 41: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { professional (1) } \\ 40: 6 \end{array}$ | release (1) |
| $29: 9 ; 32: 15,17$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22;14:17;25:21 } \\ & \text { personal (5) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $41: 12$ |
| numbered (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 40:8;41:2 } \\ \text { outside (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $3: 22 ; 4: 17 ; 12: 20$ | proffer (1) | relief (1) |
| 3:5;19:9 | $\begin{array}{r} 41: 13 \\ \text { over (4) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 17 ; 30: 12 \\ & \text { Peter (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11: 3 \\ \text { proposed (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14: 21 \\ \text { relying (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
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| 14:7 | 24:18 | settlor (2) | stage (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| remember (4) | Rose (41) | 10:13;13:23 | 17:23 | T |
| 5:23;22:7;26:25; | 3:18,18;21:21,22,25; | Shirley (15) | standing (11) |  |
| 28:8 | 22:2;23:17,21,23;24:6, | 25:1,15;26:19;29:2, | 8:2,6,7;9:13,25;10:6; | talk (5) |
| REMEMBERED (1) | 9,14,20,22,25;25:8,12; | 22;30:1,8,9,13,17,19, | 12:11;14:15,20;20:3,5 | 29:22;35:19,19;39:7; |
| 3:3 | 27:17;28:13,14,18,25; | 22;31:21;32:3,7 | Stansbury (16) | 41:14 |
| removal (2) | 29:5,14;30:2,9,16;31:4, | Shirley's (2) | 4:3,15;8:1;10:25; | talking (3) |
| 8:8;13:21 | 6;32:2;33:13;34:2,22, | 31:3,4 | 11:10;12:10,14,18,22; | 26:8;29:13;39:25 |
| remove (11) | 25;36:6,12,20,23;37:1; | Show (1) | 13:4;14:20;17:19;18:6; | Ted (22) |
| 4:6;5:11;6:12,22; | 41:17,20 | 41:1 | 20:6;29:7,17 | 3:17,18;4:6;6:12; |
| $7: 21 ; 8: 2,2 ; 9: 22 ; 10: 15 ;$ $13 \cdot 2 \cdot 16 \cdot 10$ | RPR (1) | sic (1) | Stansbury's (2) | $8: 2 ; 9: 22 ; 13: 1,19 ; 16: 6,$ |
| $13: 2 ; 16: 10$ represent (1) | 3:8 | 26:5 | 12:3,7 | 10;24:21;26:6;27:11, |
| represent (1) $24: 21$ | rule (3) | side (6) | Start (2) | 19;29:6,14;30:10;31:2, |
| $24: 21$ <br> representative (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 23 ; 40: 7,10 \\ & \text { rules (4) } \end{aligned}$ | 20:17;25:1, $1,2,12 ;$ $31: 21$ | 3:14;9:4 | 7;33:2,19;34:10 |
| representative (5) $3: 23 ; 4: 17 ; 12: 21 ;$ | rules (4) $27: 2,5 ; 35: 1,2$ | (1) $\begin{gathered}31: 21 \\ \text { sides (1) }\end{gathered}$ | State (1) $3: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ted's (3) } \\ & 8: 8 ; 24: 15,18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:17;30:12 |  | 24:25 | states (3) | tells (1) |
| request (10) | S | sign (7) | 11:14,17;14:17 | 25:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10 ; 10: 14 ; 16: 11 \\ & 24: 10,14: 26: 11,14 \end{aligned}$ |  | $33: 11,16 ; 36: 21,24 ;$ $38 \cdot 14 \cdot 40 \cdot 21 \cdot 41: 11$ | status (2) | ten (2) |
| 24:10,14;26:11,14 $31 \cdot 1,6 \cdot 35: 10$ | same (1) |  | 16 | 32:8,10 |
| $31: 1,6 ; 35: 10$ requested (1) | 33:16 | signed (1) | Statute (6) | term (1) |
| requested $14: 21$ | satisfied | 36:7 Simon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:13,18;11:12;1 } \\ & 15 ; 15: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 28:4 |
| required (1) | satisfies (1) | 3:23;11:1,4,8;12:16; | statutory (3) | erms |
| 26:13 | 34:9 | 13:15,24;16:17;17:4; | 14:13,16;20:10 | terrorists (1) |
| requires (1) | satisfy (4) | 25:1,2,12,18;26:18; | step (2) | 37:3 |
| 35:8 | 12:7,19;16:4;19: | 29:2,6,12,15,16,18,20; | 35:9,10 | testimony (1) |
| reset (2) | save (1) | 30:2,6,21;32:5 | Stop (3) | $27: 7$ |
| 41:18,21 | 18:7 | simply (1) | 25:16;26:24;39:12 | Thanks (5) |
| resolve (1) | saying (3) | 27:11 | story (1) |  |
| 34:8 | 29:10,19;32:11 | sit (1) | 16:17 | $41: 22$ |
| respect (1) | schedule (1) | 10:3 | straight (2) | Therefore (4) |
| 11:18 | 4:11 | situation (2) | 37:15,19 | $12: 2,10,23 ; 14: 19$ |
| respectfully (2) $14 \cdot 19 \cdot 19 \cdot 24$ | scheduled (2) | 18:24;27:17 | strictly (1) | third (1) |
| 14:19;19:24 | 5:20,20 | Slow (3) | 35:4 | $39: 3$ |
| respond (2) | scheme (2) | 34:19;37:25,25 | strongly (1) | though (2) |
| $8: 11,16$ | 20:10;37:23 | somehow (1) | 14:19 | $4: 21 ; 20: 9$ |
| response (4) | school (14) | 8:20 | stuff (3) | thought (4) |
| 22:23;23:8;33:12,13 return (1) | 21:4,14,14,23;22:8; | someone (2) | 23:9,11;39:19 | 4:10;5:10;6:9;22:15 |
| return (1) | 24:11;27:14;29:23; | 13:14;15:18 | sub (1) | three (1) |
| 36:8 returned (2) | $32: 13,24 ; 33: 1,11 ;$ $36 \cdot 13 \cdot 39 \cdot 4$ | sorry (2) $9.6 \cdot 37 \cdot 12$ | $10: 18$ subject (1) | $24: 12$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { returned (2) } \\ 36: 9,10 \end{gathered}$ | se (1) ${ }^{36: 13 ; 39: 4}$ | 9:6;37:12 sought (1) | subject (1) 26:1 | timeframe (1) |
| review (2) | 4:1 | 13:2 | successor (4) | times (3) |
| 8:22;36:23 | second (3) | source (1) | 4:7;13:1;30:10,12 | 5:4;9:5;34:24 |
| revocable (1) | 14:23;25:16;34:19 | 19:13 | sued (3) | today (11) |
| $11: 20$ | section (2) | South (1) | 32:20;33:2,19 | $4: 4 ; 8: 17 ; 21: 14$ |
| revocation (2) | 15:12;18:13 | 3:5 | sufficient (1) | $22: 14,16 ; 23: 3,6,9$ |
| 11:22;15:6 | seek (3) | speak (6) | 16:5 | $27: 18 ; 30: 25 ; 34: 3$ |
| right (42) | 8:7;13:20;14:21 | 18:14;28:14,15;37:4; | suggest (2) | told (4) |
| 3:14,15;4:20,24;5:8; | seems (1) | 40:6,8 | 14:20;25:14 suing (1) | $9: 2 ; 25: 4 ; 29: 8,17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 7,14,24 ; 8: 25 ; 10: 6 \\ & 11: 22 ; 13: 18 ; 14: 11,25 \end{aligned}$ | $16: 10$ separate (3) | speaks (1) | suing (1) | tomorrow (4) |
| 15:6,24;17:21;19:17; | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { separate (3) } \\ 7: 13,23 ; 40: 14 \end{array}$ | $15: 1$ special (2) | $34: 12$ summarize (1) | $21: 4,15 ; 28: 23 ; 34: 16$ |
| 20:10,13;22:25;23:10, | September (1) | 4:6;5:6 | 10:7 | took 4 |
| 20;24:3,8;25:11,20; | 38:21 | specially (1) | Sure (5) | $\boldsymbol{t o p}(1)$ |
| 26:21,24;28:12,18; | set (15) | $35: 16$ | 9:20;10:4;15:15; | $10: 7$ |
| 34:22;36:2;37:13; | 4:6,22;5:7,9;6:25; | spent (1) | 20:9;30:18 | totally (1) |
| 38:11,25;39:22,25; | 7:4,18;21:9;22:13; | 36:13 | swear (1) | 37:11 |
| 40:1,18;41:7,13 | 35:13,14,16;37:15,19; | spillover (1) | 27:7 | towards (1) |
| ripe (1) | 40:9 | 5:21 | Switch (2) | 28:20 |
| 16:22 | sets (1) | St (1) | 30:8,16 | trashing (1) |
| role (1) | 15:23 | 32:13 |  | $28: 23$ |
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