

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: [Crystal L. Cox, in Love and Light](#) <savvybroker@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2014 6:13 PM
To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein; arose@pm-law.com; psimon@stpcorp.com; Ted Bernstein; pfeaman@feamanlaw.com; lisa.friedstein@gmail.com; dtescher@tescherspallina.com; rspallina@tescherspallina.com; bbrown@matbrolaw.com
Subject: I Will NOT remove the eMail, EVIDENCE, and in FACT will broadly distribute as is my LEGAL RIGHT.

the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox: Alan Rose DOES not seem to GET Law, nor Care. Oh Well. Anyway Eliot Bernstein is is OWN attorney, so Ted sent an eMail to Eliot and to his attorney, ELIOT. As a matter of LAW Eliot's attorney, which in this case is himself, is entitled to and OBLIGATED to do all that is possible to protect his client, which in this case is himself. So sharing an email to protect his client, is not only his legal right, but under law he is OBLIGATED to protect his client the best he can.



the Ted Bernstein Report by Investi gative Blogger Crysta...

I will NOT Remove the Email. The First Amend
ment and now "Absolute Privilege" laws protect
the broadcast of this email. So Mr. Alan Rose, y
our wast of estate mone...

[View on tedbernsteinreport.b...](#)

Preview by Yahoo

The email below is part of a court proceeding, you all can publicly broadcast under Absolute Privilege laws, as far as I understand that law.

Ted Bernstein eMail:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CITIZEN I REPORT
WITHOUT
FEAR OR
FAVOR.[™]
JOURNALIST

Copyright © 2007 Bob McCarty, L.L.C. All rights reserved. BobMcCarty.com

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Tangle with Eliot or Else TED won't pay ya..

[the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox: Alan Rose, John Pankauski and Ted Bernstein want depositions NOW, they want to PROTECT Jerry Lewin, Al Gortz, and Proskauer Rose, they want information on Eliot's children and their school, they want to BULLY and harass EVERYONE and if John won't TANGLE with ELIOT fire him, no Offense but I am not paying YOU if you won't harass ELIOT and his FAMILY, just Sayin'. They want this all in the name of closing an Estate? WHAT? Well under Absolute Privilege laws I am AGAIN sharing with you the ETHICS of Ted, John and Asshole Alan.](#)

	<p><u>the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crysta...</u></p>
	<p>"Ted Bernstein eMail: "Alan - I want Eliot's deposition scheduled as soon as you can notice him. We can discuss the strategy once he is served.</p>
	<p>View on tedbernsteinreport.b... Preview by Yahoo</p>

Reverend Crystal Cox
Protecting Victims of Corruption
in Love and Light



Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein <iviewit@iviewit.tv>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2014 5:39 PM
To: [Crystal L Cox \(savvybroker@yahoo.com\)](mailto:savvybroker@yahoo.com); Crystal L. Cox @ Liquidating Trustee (Crystal@CrystalCox.com)
Subject: FW: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT - CASE NUMBER 502012CP004391XXXXSB - OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND PETITION FOR FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS
Attachments: [20140718 Order Regarding Privilege.pdf](#)

Eliot Second notice to everyone of the claim of privilege and to follow the law.

Hi, in furtherance to my email below regarding a message sent to you regarding an alleged privileged document, after a hearing regarding the matter the Court ordered that it was privileged and that I notice all recipients to not distribute that letter and delete it, please take this email as my compliance with that Order. Attached is a copy of the Order. The alleged privileged email header that was sent to you is in the prior email below. While I know many of you thought this email was not privileged and that it was threatening and contained information that Ted was breaching his fiduciary duties and misusing trust assets to “protect” himself, even against the advice of counsel, bad decisions by Judge’s do happen. It appears the judge subjectively determined what the contents meant and determined that a letter from Ted to me was somehow inadvertent disclosure of a privileged document, despite the fact that it was never privileged in the first place since it was never sent by Ted to his counsel, the ruling may be precedent setting and wholly invalidate the meaning of attorney client privileged communications to a subjective term depending on what the judge thinks people’s intent are and not the law? Ted’s threats to use trust funds to seek my children’s school records to use against them and threats to deposition and legally harass anyone who may be helping me were also ignored by the judge. I may appeal so I am not sure what you are supposed to do in the meantime with your copies, please consult counsel.

Thanks, eb

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [<mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv>]
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 1:41 PM
To: [Crystal L Cox \(savvybroker@yahoo.com\)](mailto:savvybroker@yahoo.com); Crystal L. Cox @ Liquidating Trustee (Crystal@CrystalCox.com)
Subject: FW: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT - CASE NUMBER 502012CP004391XXXXSB - OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND PETITION FOR FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

Eliot First notice to everyone of the claim of privilege and to follow the law.

I have been notified by counsel for Theodore Bernstein that there was an email that was not supposed to be sent by me as they claim it was privileged and transmitted by mistake to me, although I am the only recipient. The email in questions title was “Re: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT - CASE NUMBER 502012CP004391XXXXSB - OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND PETITION FOR FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS” and had a letter that started “Alan -...” I do not think I can retransmit it to show you which one but the letter appears to be from Alan Rose to Theodore Bernstein. I am obligated under law to make contact with those I sent it to so that they may retrieve the email but I am not sure if that extends any obligation on the receiving party. Anyhoot, as the email threatens my family it appears and others I detest having to request it back but please do whatever you feel the law requires, as cited below;

RULE 1.285.

INADVERTENT DISCLOSURE OF PRIVILEGED MATERIALS

(a)

Assertion of Privilege as to Inadvertently Disclosed Materials.

Any party, person, or entity, after inadvertent disclosure of any materials pursuant to these rules, may thereafter assert any privilege recognized by law as to those materials. This right exists without regard to whether the disclosure was

made pursuant to formal demand or informal request. In order to assert the privilege, the party, person, or entity, shall, within 10 days of actually discovering the inadvertent disclosure, serve written notice of the assertion of privilege on the party to whom the materials were disclosed. The notice shall specify with particularity the materials as to which the privilege is asserted, the nature of the privilege asserted, and the date on which the inadvertent disclosure was actually discovered.

(b)

Duty of the Party Receiving Notice of an Assertion of Privilege. A party receiving notice of an assertion of privilege under subdivision (a) shall promptly return, sequester, or destroy the materials specified in the notice, as well as any copies of the material. The party receiving the notice shall also promptly notify any other party, person, or entity to whom it has disclosed the materials of the fact that the notice has been served and of the effect of this rule. That party shall also take reasonable steps to retrieve the materials disclosed. Nothing herein affects any obligation pursuant to R. Regulating Fla. Bar 4

-

4.4(b).

(c)

Right to Challenge Assertion of Privilege.

Any party receiving a notice made under subdivision (a) has the right to challenge the assertion of privilege. The grounds for the challenge may include, but are not limited to, the following:

(1) The materials in question are not privileged.

(2) The disclosing party, person, or entity lacks standing to assert the privilege.

(3) The disclosing party, person, or entity has failed to serve timely notice under this rule.

(4) The circumstances surrounding the production or disclosure of the materials warrant a finding that the disclosing party, person, or entity has waived its assertion that the material is protected by a privilege. April 17, 2014 Florida Rules of Civil Procedure

57

Any party seeking to challenge the assertion of privilege shall do so by serving notice of its challenge on the party, person, or entity asserting the privilege. Notice of the challenge shall be served within 20 days of service of the original notice given by the disclosing party, person, or entity. The notice of the recipient's challenge shall specify the grounds for the challenge. Failure to serve timely notice of challenge is a waiver of the right to challenge.

(d)

Effect of Determination that Privilege Applies. When an order is entered determining that materials are privileged or that the right to challenge the privilege has been waived, the court shall direct what shall be done with the materials and any copies so as to preserve all rights of appellate review. The recipient of the materials shall also give prompt notice of the court's determination to any other party, person, or entity to whom it had disclosed the materials.

From: Ted Bernstein [<mailto:tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com>]

Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2014 10:52 PM

To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Subject: Re: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT - CASE NUMBER 502012CP004391XXXXSB - OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND PETITION FOR FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

Eliot sends this to show them which email and omits the alleged privileged content so that recipients would know what email was claimed privileged

Alan - I

df>

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Crystal L. Cox, in Love and Light <savvybroker@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 17, 2014 10:41 PM
To: arose@pm-law.com; psimon@stpcorp.com; Ted Bernstein; Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Subject: this eMail is for Sally, no other party read this, it is privileged

Sally - What is wrong with Alan, he don't seem to KNOW the LAW or remember the shit he Spews, shall we file a motion to compel him to pull his head out of his ass?

If you Sally don't want to help me aggressively compel Alan Rose's head out of his ass then I shall say, sorry to be blunt, but I will have to fire you..

[the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox: Alan Rose is So Full of SHIT, he must have to change his pants several times a day. Talk about Hypocritical LIAR. Alan Rose says there is no truth in the courts, yet he wants this cyberspace TRANSPARENCY to STOP right now or he will tell the JUDGE; good luck with that Alan. You are such a DIPSHIT.](#)



the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crysta...

Alan what is wrong with YOU? Why do you even want your aggressive discovery from Eliot?

[View on tedbernsteinreport.b...](#)

Preview by Yahoo

[the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox: Hey unethical Scumbag attorney Alan Rose; FUCK YOU. The First Amendment TRUMPS this ORDER, Trumps Judge Colin and Certainly Trumps your Dumb Ass.](#)



the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crysta...

I Will NOT Obey Eliot Bernstein nor the Florida State Court in which you are Trying to use to Violate the First Amendment.

[View on tedbernsteinreport.b...](#)

Preview by Yahoo

Crystal
in Love and Light

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein <iviewit@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2014 7:11 AM
To: 'Alan Rose'
Cc: Alan B. Rose Esq. (arose@pm-law.com); John J. Pankauski (courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com); 'Lisa@friedsteins.com'; 'lisa.friedstein@gmail.com'; Pamela Beth Simon (psimon@stpcorp.com); Ted Bernstein; Irwin J. Block @ The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL (ijb@ijblegal.com); William M. Pearson (wpearsonlaw@bellsouth.net); Jill M. Iantoni (jilliantoni@gmail.com); Peter Feaman, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ Peter M. Feaman, P.A. (pfeaman@feamanlaw.com); Jeffrey T. Royer Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ J.T. Royer & Associates LLC (jroyer1148@aol.com); Benjamin P. Brown (bbrown@matbrolaw.com); William Henry Glasko Esq. (bill@PalmettoBayLaw.com); alb07c@gmail.com; 'eberstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com'; Michael Bernstein (mchl_bernstein@yahoo.com); Molly Simon; Matt Logan (matl89@aol.com); 'tourcandy@gmail.com' (tourcandy@gmail.com); Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.; John P. Morrissey Esq. @ John P. Morrissey, P.A. (john@jmorrisseylaw.com); Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA; Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marcgarber@verizon.net); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marc.garber@flastergreenberg.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. (marcgarber@gmail.com); 'tourcandy@gmail.com' (tourcandy@gmail.com); Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.; Undisclosed List
Subject: RE: Inadvertent privileged email FOLLOW UP

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Eliot after reading the law and his right to object to privilege notices Alan under the law that he is disputing the email as Privileged and to schedule an Evidentiary Hearing

Alan, after reviewing the law regarding privileged information I have concluded that the letter my brother sent to me and me alone, which appears threatening to my family, my minor children and my father and mother’s close personal friends, is not privileged under the code section you stated and therefore believe we will need to notice it up for hearing before the Judge. The letter, after having time to review it appears further part of an extortion of my family by my brother and his counsel and the attempt to recall it after sending it directly to me and me alone, appears an attempt to cover up possible further criminal wrongdoings and so I feel that it must get out to more people including to investigators and the court. Would you like to schedule the hearing or would you like me to?

As for your continued representation of my brother in a variety of capacities, now that it appears that most of his other legal minions have fled the scene in the midst of FORGERY, FRAUD ON THE COURT, FRAUD ON THE BENEFICIARIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES and a host of other proven and alleged criminal acts by those who have engaged your services, I again urge you to voluntarily withdraw and disqualify yourself as counsel in these matters as you are now directly involved in the matters of the ongoing FRAUD that is occurring and that you and your firm have worked directly with others to perpetrate the fraudulent misconduct. As such you will be a material and fact witness now to these matters and many of your legal acts, including failing to report your clients misdeeds to the proper authorities and in fact further taking actions to knowingly aid and abet them, in violation of Attorney Conduct Codes and law, will soon be brought to the Court and criminal investigators attention in more detail. I will be adding you and your firm as Respondents in the matters due to your direct involvement in perpetrating the frauds that have occurred and therefore I again ask for your voluntary withdrawal as counsel in these matters. Also, I have repeatedly asked for your malpractice and/or other liability carriers, could you please send me you and your firm’s carrier information as I will be filing malpractice and other civil and criminal claims against you and your firm.

I do believe you committed further FRAUD UPON THE COURT when you misrepresented to the Court in the hearing that the email was addressed to you, which it was not. You claimed to the Court however,

5 Ted Bernstein sent me an email. And he
6 replied to an email, and accidentally the email
7 went to Eliot Bernstein. It was
8 attorney-client privileged communication
9 directly to me from my client Ted Bernstein.
10 The email went to Eliot Bernstein.

21 ...It was a reply to an email
22 that had a bunch of names and accidentally it
23 went to him.

Nothing of your statement is true now that I have had time to review the email and therefore I am serving you notice per the rule you cite that I do not believe the email is privileged in any way and must be shared further with the proper authorities and others but I will give you your day in court to hear this matter before circulating it further.

Thank you,

Eliot

From: Alan Rose [mailto:ARose@mrachek-law.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 4, 2014 12:11 AM
To: Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
Cc: Pamela Beth Simon; William Henry Glasko Esq.; tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com
Subject: Inadvertent privileged email FOLLOW UP

Please advise as the steps you have taken to “promptly notify any other party, person, or entity to whom [you have] disclosed the materials [Ted’s email on May 22] of the fact that the notice has been served and of the effect of this rule.: We ask that you produce a copy of all emails sent to third parties enclosing the privileged letter, and any letters or email sent after the hearing seeking to regain possession of all copies of the privileged email.

Also, please advise as to what “reasonable steps” you took “to retrieve the materials disclosed.”

Alan B. Rose, Esq.
arose@Mrachek-Law.com
561.355.6991

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein <iviewit@iviewit.tv>
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2014 6:07 PM
To: 'Alan Rose'
Subject: RE: Privileged email issue

Tracking:	Recipient	Read
	'Alan Rose'	Read: 6/12/2014 6:08 PM

I think I deleted all copies of the emails I sent as they contained the letter and only kept the original from Ted to me. I notified everyone I sent the mail to of the Rule and advised them to do as they saw fit legally. No I do not need the requests and will file my reply timely per the court order. Eliot

-----Original Message-----

From: Alan Rose [<mailto:ARose@mrachek-law.com>]
Sent: Thursday, June 12, 2014 5:39 PM
To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Subject: Privileged email issue

Please forward, to me only and absolutely not to any other lawyer involved in this case or any third-party not involved in this case, the following document:

The first email you sent to Crystal Cox enclosing Ted's email which we claim was inadvertently sent to you. I would like to see the actual email you sent with the date and time stamp.

Also, please advise if you need additional copies of the document requests you were ordered to respond to today in court

Alan B. Rose

Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Subject: FW: an Invitation for you ..

From: Crystal L. Cox, in Love and Light [<mailto:savvybroker@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 3:31 PM

To: arose@pm-law.com

Cc: courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com; pfeaman@feamanlaw.com; psimon@stpcorp.com; Ted Bernstein; Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Subject: an Invitation for you ..

Dear Mr. Alan Rose,

I cordially invite you to GO Fuck Yourself.

You will NOT suppress my First Amendment Rights nor "CHILL" my speech. Not even if you KILL me.

<http://www.ripoffreport.com/r/Alan-Rose-of-Mrachek-Fitzgerald-Rose/West-Palm-Beach-Florida-33401/Alan-Rose-of-Mrachek-Fitzgerald-amp-Rose-Alan-B-Rose-Suppress-Free-Speech-Cover-Up-1149197>

[the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crystal L. Cox: Ted Bernstein, Alan B. Rose of Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose and John Pankauski ~ John J. Pankauski - Pankauski Law Firm PLLC sure seem to be up to NO GOOD.](#)



Our lives begin to end the day we become silent about things that matter.
- Martin Luther King, Jr.

Investigative Blogger Crystal Cox
I Will NOT Remain Silent While Others Suffer

the Ted Bernstein Report by Investigative Blogger Crysta...
Ted Bernstein, Life Insurance Concepts, Alan B. Rose of Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose and John Pankauski ~ John J. Pankauski - Pankauski Law Firm PLLC su...

View on [tedbernsteinreport.b...](#)

Preview by Yahoo

I am an investigative blogger, a Citizen Journalist and estate fraud in FLORIDA is a massive public issue, as so many go to Florida to retire and here we have YOU and other rogue, above the law Florida attorneys enabling notary fraud, going against the sacred wishes of the deceased, harassing families and children, and all in the name of protecting Ted Bernstein, a seemingly corrupt insurance agent, of which the record in this case, in the police reports, in the Illinois insurance fiasco and in his connection to a Boca botox scam, well there is certainly plenty of proof that there is malice here, as you know the facts yet seem to want to suppress them by trying to take away the First Amendment Rights of me, blogger Crystal Cox who just won a massive, landmark ruling on the right to report on public issues such as this.

Oh and your conspiring with attorney Marc Randazza to set up Eliot and myself, well it will all soon be in DOJ, FBI and Bar Complaints as well as legal action against you, your firm, your personal assets and your liability carrier.

Eliot Bernstein NOR anyone anywhere, controls my blogs but me. You yapping to anyone but me about what I post on my blogs, controlled by me, as a matter of my legal and constitutional rights is futile at best.

Also, if your "client" believes I am "guilty" of Extortion, then I advise he grow some balls and file a police report and not slander and defame me. I am looking into filing a civil lawsuit against you all. Clearly you are acting outside of the law and the constitution of the United States of America.

Sincerely,

Crystal L. Cox, Pro Se
Investigative Blogger



Eliot Ivan Bernstein

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein <iviewit@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 1:47 PM
To: Crystal L Cox (savvybroker@yahoo.com); Crystal L. Cox @ Liquidating Trustee (Crystal@CrystalCox.com)
Subject: FW: Inadvetant email

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 1:06 PM
To: 'Alan Rose'
Cc: Alan B. Rose Esq. (arose@pm-law.com); John J. Pankauski (courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com); 'Lisa@friedsteins.com'; 'lisa.friedstein@gmail.com'; Pamela Beth Simon (psimon@stpcorp.com); Ted Bernstein; Irwin J. Block @ The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL (ijb@ijblegal.com); William M. Pearson (wpearsonlaw@bellsouth.net); Jill M. Iantoni (jilliantoni@gmail.com); Peter Feaman, Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ Peter M. Feaman, P.A. (pfeaman@feamanlaw.com); Jeffrey T. Royer Esq. ~ Attorney at Law @ J.T. Royer & Associates LLC (jroyer1148@aol.com); Benjamin P. Brown (bbrown@matbrolaw.com); William Henry Glasko Esq. (bill@PalmettoBayLaw.com); alb07c@gmail.com; 'eberstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com'; Michael Bernstein (mchl_bernstein@yahoo.com); Molly Simon; Matt Logan (matl89@aol.com); "tourcandy@gmail.com" (tourcandy@gmail.com); Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.; John P. Morrissey Esq. @ John P. Morrissey, P.A. (john@jmorrisseylaw.com); Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA; Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marcrgarber@verizon.net); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marc.garber@flastergreenberg.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. (marcrgarber@gmail.com)
Subject: RE: Inadvetant email

Alan, I just got back from the hearing and will definitely delete and destroy the email in accordance with law. However, as I mentioned in Court I did not see this email from you until after I had distributed widely as the email appears to threaten me and my family and my father's friends but I will send everyone I sent it to a request to destroy the email but as you know I cannot control them or their actions with the email since that transmission and forward. I will check if I posted it on Facebook or other social sites where I also have a few thousands friends and remove it using their delete functions, again I cannot control who saw that, reposted that or even know who may have viewed or shared, etc. Next time, if things are urgent like that you may want to try and call me directly to prevent any distribution as email can prove to an inefficient way of communication on issues as important as this appears to be to your client. I will consider what you offered regarding dropping the 2012 documents and reverting to the 2008 documents but please remember that I have offered your client(s) a settlement once through attorney Brandon Pratt, Esq. of no less than \$50,000,000.00 and that renegotiations, or a second bite of that apple and generous offer would double that amount, so I assume you know that this amount would need to be settled in addition by your clients.

Have a good day and great weekend and please keep in mind that I am alleging that all attorneys who were prior involved in any way with Tescher or Spallina and putting forth the felonious arguments and documents are conflicted and should instantly withdraw as counsel (until we can ascertain from you and others the extent of your involvement) due to the adverse interests now created by the Fraud, Forgery, Frauds on the Court, Altered Documents, etc. that were prior advanced in these matters by the various counsel who worked together. Always, Eliot.

From: Alan Rose [mailto:ARose@mrachek-law.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2014 11:07 PM
To: iviewit@iviewit.tv
Subject: Inadvetant email

You received an email from Ted intended solely for me, and accidentally sent to you by mistake.

The email was sent around 10:12 pm tonight

Please delete the email immediately without reading it and confirm that deletion by email. The communication was attorney-client protected and you are not entitled to read or possess the email due to the accidental transmission.

Thank you in advance, and if you fail to comply with this request we will be forced to take corrective action with the Court.

Alan B. Rose, Esq.

arose@Mrachek-Law.com

561.355.6991



505 South Flagler Drive
Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
561.655.2250 Phone
561.655.5537 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS LEGALLY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL, INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE **IMMEDIATELY** (1) REPLY BY E-MAIL TO US, AND (2) DELETE THIS MESSAGE.

TAX DISCLOSURE NOTE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Service (Circular 230), we inform and advise you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments), unless otherwise specifically stated, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of (1) avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transactions or matters addressed herein.

If there any documents attached to this email with the suffix .pdf, those documents are in Adobe PDF format, If you have difficulty viewing these attachments, you may need to download the free version of Adobe Acrobat Reader, available at: <http://www.adobe.com>

From: Ted Bernstein [<mailto:tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com>]

Sent: Thursday, May 22, 2014 10:52 PM

To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein

Subject: Re: SERVICE OF COURT DOCUMENT - CASE NUMBER 502012CP004391XXXXSB - OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND PETITION FOR FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

Original Email from Ted directly to Eliot and NOBODY else.
Ted and Eliot are not attorneys this cannot be privileged..

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

Ted Bernstein
561-988-8984
tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com

On May 22, 2014, at 5:01 PM, "Eliot Ivan Bernstein" <iviewit@iviewit.tv> wrote:

Eliot serves Ted an Objection to Accounting.

Notice of Service of Court Documents

E-service recipients selected for service:

Theodore Stuart Bernstein
Life Insurance Concepts
950 Peninsula Corporate Circle, Suite 3010
Boca Raton, Florida 33487
tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com

Alan B. Rose, Esq.
Page, Mrachek, Fitzgerald & Rose, P.A.
505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 600
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
(561) 355-6991
arose@pm-law.com

John J. Pankauski, Esq.
Pankauski Law Firm PLLC
120 South Olive Avenue
7th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 514-0900
courtfilings@pankauskilawfirm.com

Carley & Max Friedstein, Minors
c/o Jeffrey and Lisa Friedstein
Parents and Natural Guardians
2142 Churchill Lane

Highland Park, IL 6003
Lisa@friedsteins.com
lisa.friedstein@gmail.com

Pamela Beth Simon
950 N. Michigan Avenue
Apartment 2603
Chicago, IL 60611
psimon@stpcorp.com

Irwin J. Block, Esq.
The Law Office of Irwin J. Block PL
700 South Federal Highway
Suite 200
Boca Raton, Florida 33432
ijb@ijblegal.com

William M. Pearson, Esq.
P.O. Box 1076
Miami, FL 33149
wpearsonlaw@bellsouth.net

Robert L. Spallina, Esq., RESPONDENT
Tescher & Spallina, P.A.
Boca Village Corporate Center I
4855 Technology Way
Suite 720
Boca Raton, FL 33431
rspallina@tescherspallina.com

John P. Morrissey, Esq.
330 Clematis Street, Suite 213 West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 833-0866
(561) 833-0867
john@jmorrisseylaw.com

Jill Iantoni
2101 Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com

Peter Feaman, Esquire
Peter M. Feaman, P.A.
3615 Boynton Beach Blvd.
Boynton Beach, FL 33436
pfeaman@feamanlaw.com

Benjamin Brown, Esq.
Matwiczuk & Brown, LLP
625 No. Flagler Drive
Suite 401
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

bbrown@matbrolaw.com

Donald Tescher, Esq., RESPONDENT
Tescher & Spallina, P.A.
Boca Village Corporate Center I
4855 Technology Way
Suite 720
Boca Raton, FL 33431
dtescher@tescherspallina.com

Lisa Friedstein
2142 Churchill Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
Lisa@friedsteins.com
lisa.friedstein@gmail.com

William H. Glasko, Esq.
Golden Cowan, P.A.
1734 South Dixie Highway
Palmetto Bay, FL 33157
bill@palmettobaylaw.com

Alexandra Bernstein
3000 Washington Blvd, Apt 424
Arlington, VA, 22201
alb07c@gmail.com

Mark R. Manceri, Esq., RESPONDENT and
Mark R. Manceri, P.A., RESPONDENT
2929 East Commercial Boulevard
Suite 702
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308
mrmlaw@comcast.net

Eric Bernstein
2231 Bloods Grove Circle
Delray Beach, FL 33445
eberstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com

Michael Bernstein
2231 Bloods Grove Circle
Delray Beach, FL 33445
mchl_bernstein@yahoo.com

Molly Simon
1731 N. Old Pueblo Drive
Tucson, AZ 85745
molly.simon1203@gmail.com

Matt Logan
2231 Bloods Grove Circle
Delray Beach, FL 33445

matl89@aol.com

Joshua, Jacob and Daniel Bernstein, Minors
c/o Eliot and Candice Bernstein,
Parents and Natural Guardians
2753 NW 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434
iviewit@iviewit.tv

Julia Iantoni, a Minor
c/o Guy and Jill Iantoni,
Her Parents and Natural Guardians
210 I Magnolia Lane
Highland Park, IL 60035
jilliantoni@gmail.com

Filing Information

Filing #:

Filing Time: 5/22/2014

Filer: Eliot Ivan Bernstein (561) 245-8588

Court: Fifteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Palm Beach County, Florida – Hon. Judge Martin C

Case #: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

Case Style: IN RE: Estate of Simon Bernstein

Document Title: PETITIONER ELIOT BERNSTEIN'S OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING AND
FORMAL, DETAILED, AUDITED AND FORENSIC ACCOUNTING AND DOCUM

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
<http://www.iviewit.tv>

NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency may have read this email without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight and it can happen to ordinary Americans like you and me. You have no recourse nor protection save to vote against any incumbent endorsing such unlawful acts.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521.

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

<20140522 FINAL SIGNED PRINTED OBJECTION TO FINAL ACCOUNTING Low.pdf>