# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY , FLORIDA 

CASE NO.: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN, Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE

Petitioner(s),

VS.

TESCHER \& SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties associated and of counsel); ROBERT L. SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondent (s) .

## EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN

DATE: July 11, 2014

TIME: 1:35 p.m. - 4:22 p.m.

|  | 2 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM E. STANSBURY: | 1 | case. |  |
| 2 | PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A. <br> 3615 W. BOYNTON BEACH BOULEVARD | 2 | So I make a finding of fact that this |  |
| 3 | BOYNTON BEACH, FL 33436 | 3 | letter was intended to go to Alan. |  |
|  | By: PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQ. | 4 | That finding is supported easily by the |  |
| 4 | JEFFREY ROYER, ESQ. |  |  |  |
| 5 | APPEARING ON BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN: | 5 | fact that shortly before that letter was sent |  |
| 6 | PAGE, MRACHEK, FITZGERALD ROSE | 6 | from Eliot -- from Ted to Eliot -- Eliot has |  |
|  | KONOPKA \& DOW, P.A. | 7 | sent a letter, or a document, by e-mail, to, |  |
| 7 | 505 SOUTH FLAGLER DRIVE, SUITE 600 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 | 8 | among others, Ted. And so Ted's version is |  |
| 8 | By: ALAN B. ROSE, ESQ. | 9 | instead of sending a clean letter from him to |  |
| 9 | JOHN J. PANKAUSKI, ESQ. PANKAUSKI LAW FIRM, PLLC | 10 | Alan, he hit forward, I think he said, and it |  |
| 10 | 120 South Olive Avenue, Suite 701 | 11 | wound up -- or reply -- and it wound up getting |  |
|  | West Palm Beach, Florida 3340 | 12 | sent to Eliot. That is the essence of an |  |
| 11 |  | 13 | inadvertent disclosure. |  |
| 12 | MATWICZYK \& BROWN | 14 | So I find that the matters were intended |  |
| 13 | 625 N. FLALGER DRIVE, SUITE 401 | 15 | to go from Ted to Alan, his lawyer. |  |
|  | WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 | 16 | Eliot then says, not withstanding, the |  |
| 14 15 | By: BENJAMIN P. BROWN, ESQ. (CURATOR) JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ. | 17 | materials in question should not be deemed |  |
|  | 330 CLEMATIS STREET, SUITE 213 | 18 | privileged because of Florida Statute 90.502, |  |
| 16 | WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 | 19 | subsection 4 , that basically stands for the |  |
| 17 | ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN, Pro se | 20 | proposition that even if there is a |  |
| 19 |  | 21 | communication between a client and a lawyer, |  |
| 20 | BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause before | 22 | the lawyer-client privilege doesn't apply when |  |
| 22 | the Honorable MARTIN COLIN, at the Palm Beach County | 23 | the services of the lawyer are sought -- that |  |
| 23 | Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, Room 8, in the City | 24 | is that Ted is seeking the services of the |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of Florida, on July 11, 2014, to wit: | 25 | lawyer -- or obtained to enable or aid anyone |  |
|  | 3 |  |  | 5 |
| 1 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S | 1 | to commit or plan to commit what the client -- |  |
| 2 | *** | 2 | that's Ted in this case -- knew -- knew was a |  |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So | 3 | crime or fraud. |  |
| 4 | listen to my ruling. | 4 | The other assertion is as to subsection 4 |  |
| 5 | The rule is pretty clear on the procedure. | 5 | (c) that this is -- that a privilege shouldn't |  |
| 6 | And I think you folks have done substantially | 6 | apply because it's a communication relevant to |  |
| 7 | what the rule requires. So we're at the point | 7 | an issue of breach of duty by the lawyer to the |  |
| 8 | where Eliot has challenged the assertion of | 8 | client. That is that it shouldn't be |  |
| 9 | privilege. It's uncontradicted that Ted wrote | 9 | privileged or not allowed to be privileged |  |
| 10 | a letter -- an e-mail -- that actually went to | 10 | because it deals with the subject for another |  |
| 11 | Eliot. That's uncontradicted. One issue is | 11 | hearing in which Ted would say that his lawyer |  |
| 12 | did Ted intend that letter to go not to Eliot | 12 | breached the duty to the client. |  |
| 13 | but to Alan, meaning Alan Rose, his lawyer. | 13 | Okay. So 4 (c) clearly doesn't apply to |  |
| 14 | That's a finding of fact that I have to make. | 14 | this letter. |  |
| 15 | Ted said yes to that. There is no other | 15 | So Eliot's assertion is that words within |  |
| 16 | testimonial evidence as to whom that letter was | 16 | this e-mail, in which Ted writes intended to |  |
| 17 | intended, but there is documentary evidence by | 17 | Alan, that if John -- and I haven't heard who |  |
| 18 | looking at the content of the letter. | 18 | that is, but I assume that's John Pankauski, is |  |
| 19 | So l've read the letter and it's pretty | 19 | his other lawyer -- does not want to tangle |  |
| 20 | easy to see that that letter was intended to go | 20 | with Eliot. It then says he wants, that is |  |
| 21 | to Ted's lawyer, Alan. In the beginning of the | 21 | Ted, wants John to be aggressive and forceful, |  |
| 22 | letter it says, Alan. And then the discussion | 22 | if need be, with Eliot and remove him -- and |  |
| 23 | in the letter is clearly directed to that which | 23 | remove him as counsel -- if not, remove him as |  |
| 24 | is part of the subject of the letter, which is | 24 | counsel. |  |
| 25 | this case, it's either the Shirley or the Simon | 25 | So the issue is, in reading that language, |  |

either on its four corners or through parol evidence, which I've heard a little bit about, are those words in which Ted is soliciting Alan to commit, or plan to commit, what Ted knew was a crime or fraud.

Eliot's assertion is that when Ted seeks of Alan assistance in compelling John, his other lawyer, to tangle with Eliot, as written, and to be aggressive and forceful, is that tantamount of Ted soliciting Alan to try to get Alan to, in essence, commit a crime -- which Eliot says is a crime -- meaning, threatening him with some force or harm, or the like.

So the court has to make that decision in the context of the letter. And so when I read this letter, the question is, what do I read -because I have no other evidence about what was intended, other than what I heard so far, which really doesn't speak to this, is language from a client to one lawyer telling that lawyer that he wants to basically remove the other lawyer or consider removing him if that lawyer doesn't want to tangle with Eliot, and be aggressive with him. Does that mean that he is requesting the lawyer that he's writing to to be

## 23 opponent, is common in litigation. And there

physically assaultive or batter -- or a
batterer -- or is he telling his lawyer -- Ted
telling Alan -- that he wants John, John
Pankauski, to legally tangle with Eliot. And
legally tangle -- legally be aggressive and forceful.

I rule it's clearly the latter.
I know, Eliot, that throughout many
appearances before me -- and I wasn't sure what
it was at first -- you've told me that you, your family, and others, are being threatened with bodily harm, and I wasn't sure what the nature of that threat is. But if what is in the Paragraph 1 of Exhibit 1 is deemed by you to fall in that category, there's nothing that I can do about you reading it that way, but it's clearly not the case. I mean, that's -it's not necessarily standard language -- I don't know if there is such a thing as standard language -- but lawyers -- clients directing lawyers to toughen up, be aggressive, be forceful, be willing to tangle with the is nothing in this writing that seems to indicate that that means physical, like beat
you up on the street, because that's not the way this is written. It's clear that this is written in the context of the case.

Okay. So I find that the lawyer-client privilege does apply. Because this was not requesting perpetration of a crime of assault or battery against -- against Eliot.

So the next question then becomes whether there remains grounds to challenge the assertion of privilege. The court says no. This document was as privileged as could be. And it's intended -- it is -- the court rules that it is allowed to be treated as an inadvertent disclosed document, to which privilege applies pursuant to the rule. And the remedy -- what's the specific remedy Mr. Rose -- because the way the rule reads, if I enter an order determining that the materials are privileged, which I'm finding. I'm not finding that the right to challenge was waived. I don't think Eliot waived it. He asserted it correctly. Then I can direct what shall be done with the materials. So you folks are telling me that things have happened already. What is it that you are seeking in the order I
do about the materials, which I assume is this one page -- hold on -- I'm asking Alan first, then I'll entertain you.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
MR. ROSE: I think you could find that he violated the rule because he did not sequester it. We're not here for that purpose. I would ask you that --

THE COURT: I didn't even hear evidence on that yet, so I'm not going there yet.

MR. ROSE: I would ask that he delete all copies, notify people --

THE COURT: Okay. Slow down. Hold on. Hold on. One -- you got to go slow.

One, delete all copies in his possession and control?

MR. ROSE: Correct. Including electronic.
THE COURT: Well, okay. Hold on. All right.
MR. ROSE: He should notify the recipients and then provide us with a copy of his notification to them, and request them --

THE COURT: Notify recipients of what?
MR. ROSE: The people that he forwarded the e-mail to. He should notify them of Your Honor's ruling and that he's been ordered to have them

|  | 10 |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | destroy all copies. And I would ask that he | 1 | going to be an order entered. |  |
| 2 | provide -- or file with the court -- proof that | 2 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |  |
| 3 | he's done that. | 3 | THE COURT: The wording of the order, is the |  |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. | 4 | way the rule is, is that I have to determine and |  |
| 5 | MR. ROSE: And then at this point, number | 5 | direct what shall be done with the materials, |  |
| 6 | three, I would like you to order that he's not to | 6 | that's this e-mail. The first thing they want is |  |
| 7 | ever use the privileged material, or republish it, | 7 | an order that tells you, you, to delete this |  |
| 8 | even if he's republishing Crystal Cox's web site, | 8 | e-mail from anything that's -- any document, |  |
| 9 | where she published this -- | 9 | including any electronic storage place, that's in |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: I got it. | 10 | your possession and control. |  |
| 11 | MR. ROSE: -- at his request. | 11 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Well, I want to give it |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. | 12 | to the police departments and -- |  |
| 13 | MR. ROSE: And then we would reserve ruling | 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you do that -- |  |
| 14 | on any sanctions and, hopefully, we won't have to | 14 | well, okay, so let's -- |  |
| 15 | come back. | 15 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I mean, my life's in |  |
| 16 | And then reserve on fees and sanctions for | 16 | danger. I know you didn't -- |  |
| 17 | his violating the order. | 17 | THE COURT: All right. So -- |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: Where is there a fee provision? | 18 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: -- read that. |  |
| 19 | MR. ROSE: We would have to file a motion and | 19 | THE COURT: Listen to me carefully. |  |
| 20 | that would be on the basis of his violating Rule | 20 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |  |
| 21 | 1.285. We have not filed that motion. | 21 | THE COURT: I'm going to order you to delete. |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Where is there a -- where's the | 22 | Okay. I'm going to order you -- this is all |  |
| 23 | rule about fees? | 23 | appropriate -- to notify the recipient of |  |
| 24 | MR. ROSE: 1.375. I mean, I don't have my | 24 | everybody that you sent this to, of the ruling, |  |
| 25 | rule book -- the rule on sanctions for discovery | 25 | meaning you're going to send them a copy of the |  |
|  | 11 |  |  | 13 |
| 1 | violations and also the inherent authority of the | 1 | order, and direct that they shall also delete and |  |
| 2 | court. But we haven't raised that motion yet | 2 | not transmit. |  |
| 3 | because Your Honor just today ruled that the | 3 | Listen to me carefully. I order you not |  |
| 4 | document was privileged. | 4 | to disseminate this document from this moment |  |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So do | 5 | forward to anybody. If you violate my order, |  |
| 6 | you want to be heard on the scope of the order? | 6 | you're going to be in contempt of court. |  |
| 7 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. | 7 | Anybody, any agency, no one, because it's |  |
| 8 | THE COURT: What -- go ahead. First thing is | 8 | privileged. And there is a rule that says |  |
| 9 | that you be ordered to delete -- | 9 | you're not allowed to do that. |  |
| 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: No, l've got all that. | 10 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Do I have the right to |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: Well, that's what I'm getting at. | 11 | appeal this decision? |  |
| 12 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I wanted to | 12 | THE COURT: Yeah. Sure. |  |
| 13 | oppose that. | 13 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's a | 14 | THE COURT: But you have to obey my decision |  |
| 15 | purported remedy that I'm being asked to do. | 15 | pending the appeal. |  |
| 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 16 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah. |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: So what's your basis for opposing | 17 | THE COURT: Sure, you can do that. All |  |
| 18 | that? | 18 | right. Write it up. |  |
| 19 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I appreciate your | 19 | MR. ROSE: Yes, sir. |  |
| 20 | interpretation of that letter. The word forceful | 20 | THE COURT: If you want to write that up now |  |
| 21 | is -- | 21 | you can, or you can get my transcript and write |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Again, you can't re-question -- | 22 | that up. |  |
| 23 | I've already ruled. | 23 | MR. ROSE: I would prefer to get the |  |
| 24 | MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. | 24 | transcript and write it up from the transcript, |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Here's the question. There's | 25 | Your Honor, for clarity. |  |

THE COURT: Mr. Eliot, pending me writing the written order, because electronics move quickly, okay, this order is binding on you as of right now.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. I got it.
THE COURT: So play by the rules.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: I will.
THE COURT: Okay. You have a right to appeal. If the appellate court disagrees with me, you and I will both do what they say.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. Okay. So now for
Wednesday, Eliot's request is we defer on having hearings on the issue of Ted as successor trustee and -- so he can talk to his lawyers and see whether there's some way of dealing with that subject. I'll start on this side. What do you say about that?

MR. ROSE: We're fine with that, Your Honor.
MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. I'll cancel the hearing in view of that.

Okay. Now, what I need you to do is at
some point, because, Eliot, the way this is
going to be triggered, is no one is going to
know what you're doing on your end. You and/or your lawyers should say to the other side, you know, if you hire the lawyer and they engage, they can make an appearance and start to tell them we're the spokespersons for you. Because they either talk to you or they talk to your lawyer, but to make that decision they need a notice of appearance or something from the lawyer. Okay.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And they've explained that to me.

THE COURT: Okay. And so -- but at some point you can have a status check if you need to have this reset.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay.
THE COURT: Meaning, if you don't agree upon or work out this issue, just come back in at an $8: 45$, and if you need another hearing, I'll give you another hearing date.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Beautiful.
Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Feaman gave you -there's something else here.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Is the court going to retain a copy of this once I destroy it, just in
case we are --
THE COURT: Okay. So that's a good question.
All right. So I have it for ID purposes. All right. And Eliot is correct, to preserve the integrity of this, I'm going to keep it, and I'm going to put it in a sealed envelope in the court file subject to being reopened by the court pursuant to order.

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: And I can tell the appeal court that?

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Okay. Got it.
THE COURT: Yeah, that's fair. Okay. And then this says -- the next thing was status conference to discuss other pending motions and petitions, including Eliot's petition for construction of trust and accounting.

Are we going --
MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Put it off.
THE COURT: -- to defer on that until the lawyers can deal with that?

MR. ELIOT BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
MR. ROSE: Just as a heads up, we're going to
be filing a trust construction action in the
Shirley side. He's filed a trust construction on
this side, but that will be moot when we file our action and serve it on everybody. That will be its own adversary proceeding.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine. I'll wait to sign the order. And no hearing subject to what you folks tell me is going on next. Somebody will send me up orders and letters on Mr. O'Connell.

Go ahead.
MR. O'CONNELL: I will, Your Honor.
MR. ROSE: I have two orders from the
first -- the motion to disqualify orders.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll deal with those.
Go ahead, while I'm signing, you can speak.

MR. FEAMAN: I have an order on Ted Bernstein's petition for appointment of successor personal representative. It says denied.

MR. ROSE: Technically withdrawn, I think.
THE COURT: Hold on. Let me just see.
Are these the same that you just gave me?
MR. ROSE: One is for Mr. Pankauski and one is from my firm.

THE COURT: Let me just do this first. Hold on. I'll make some copies of this. All right. Let me see the order that you want.

| MR. ROSE: We don't think it was denied, we believe it was withdrawn. <br> THE COURT: It was withdrawn. Because you folks comprised, so I didn't actually make a ruling on the merits. Okay. So that's fair. <br> MR. FEAMAN: Okay. There is envelopes. <br> THE COURT: No, I'm going to make the copies and let you -- <br> MR. FEAMAN: All right. Very good. We'll save the stamp. <br> THE COURT: All right. So, folks, final word, you made progress when you cooperated. So use that as a bell weather to try to achieve just what you did. Listen to me, everyone. Eliot, you too. You know, when you go outside here, you did some things that I think are good for the estate. Let's not only wait for you to come to court to be able to do that, accomplish that on your own. Okay. That's the whole idea. <br> Okay. Thanks. Court is in recess. Wait for copies. <br> (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 4:22 p.m.) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| CERTIFICATE <br> THE STATE OF FLORIDA <br> COUNTY OF PALM BEACH. <br> I, DAVID L. MARSAA, Professional Reporter, <br> State of Florida at large, certify that I was <br> authorized to and did stenographically report the <br> foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a <br> true and complete record of my stenographic notes. <br> Dated this 12th day of July, 2014. |  |

Page 1 of 5

| 1 | accomplish 18:18 | associated 1:14 | carefully 12:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1714 | accounting 16:17 | assume 5:18 9:1 | 13:3 |
| 1.285 10:21 | achieve 18:13 | Atlantic 2:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case } 1: 3 \text { 3:25 } 4: 1 \\ 5: 27: 178: 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.375 10:24 | action 16:24 17:2 | authority 11:1 | $16: 1$ |
| 1:35 1:25 | actually 3:10 | authorized 19:8 | category 7:15 |
| 11 1:24 2:25 | 18:4 | Avenue 2:10,23 | cause 2:21 |
| 120 2:10 | adversary 17:3 |  | certify 19:7 |
| 12th 19:11 | against 8:7 | B | challenge 8:9,20 |
|  | agency 13:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { basically } 4: 19 \\ & \text { 6:21 } \end{aligned}$ | challenged 3:8 |
| 2 | aggressive 5:21 |  |  |
| $2002: 23$ | 6:9,23 7:5,21 | basis 10:20 11:17 | check 15:13 |
| 2014 1:24 2:25 | ahead 10:4 11:8 | batter 7:1 | CIRCUIT 1:1 |
| 19:11 | 17:8,13 | batterer 7:2 | City 2:23 |
| 213 2:15 | aid 4:25 | battery 8:7 | clarity 13:25 |
|  | al 1:17 | Beach 1:2 | clean 4:9 |
| 3 | Alan 2:8 | 2:2,3, 7, 10, 13, 1 | clear 3:5 8:2 |
| $3302: 15$ | 3:13,21,22 | 6,22,24 19:3 | clearly 3:23 5:13 |
| 3340 2:10 | $4: 3,10,155: 17$ | beat 7:25 | $7: 7,17$ |
| 33401 2:7,13,16 | 9:2 | Beautiful 15:20 | CLEMATIS 2:15 |
| $334362: 3$ | alleged 1:16 | becomes 8:8 | client 4:21 |
| 3615 2:2 | allowed 5:9 8:13 | beginning 3:21 | 5:1,8,12 6:20 |
|  | 13:9 | BEHALF 2:1,5 | clients 7:20 |
| 4 | already 8:24 | believe 18:2 | COLIN 1:22 2:22 |
| 4 4:19 5:4,13 | 11:23 | bell 18:13 | commit 5:1 6:4,11 |
| 4:22 1:25 18:24 | among 4:8 | BENJAMIN 2:14 | common 7:23 |
| 401 2:13 | and/or 15:1 | BE | communication |
|  | anybody 13:5,7 | $1: 7,9,16$ | 4:21 5:6 |
| 5 | anyone 4:25 | 2:5,17,18 9:4 | compelling 6:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 502012CP004391XXX } \\ & \text { XSB 1:3 } \end{aligned}$ | anything 12:8 | $\text { 11: 7, 10, 12, 16, } 1$ | complete 19:10 |
|  | appeal 13:11,15 | 12:2,11, 15,18,2 | comprised 18:4 |
| 05 | 14:9 16:10 | $0 \text { 13:10,13,16 }$ | concluded 18:24 |
|  | appearance 15:4,8 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 5,7,11 \\ & 15: 10,15,20,24 \end{aligned}$ | conference 16:15 |
| $6002: 7$ | appearances 7:9 | 16:9,12,19,22 | consider 6:22 |
| 625 2:13 | APPEARING 2:1,5 | Bernstein's 17:16 | construction |
|  | appellate 14:9 | binding 14:3 | 16:17,24,25 |
| 7 | applies 8:15 | bit 6:2 | contempt 13:6 |
| 701 2:10 | apply 4:22 5:6,13 | bodily 7:12 | content 3:18 |
|  | 8:5 | book 10:25 | context 6:15 8:3 |
| 8 | appointment 17:16 | BOULEVARD 2:2 | control 9:16 |
| 8 2:23 | appreciate 11:19 | BOYNTON 2:2,3 | $12: 10$ |
| 8:45 15:18 | appropriate 12:23 | breach 5:7 | cooperated 18:12 |
| 9 | assault 8:6 | breached 5:12 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { copies } 9: 12,15 \\ 10: 1 & 17: 24 \end{array}$ |
| 90.502 4:18 | assaultive 7:1 | BROWN 2:12,14 | 18:7,21 |
| A | asserted 8:21 assertion 3:8 | $\mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { copy } 9: 20 \quad 12: 25 \\ & \text { 15:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| able 18:18 | 5:4,15 6:6 8:10 | cancel 14:22 | corners 6:1 |
| above-styled 2:21 | assistance 6:7 | CANDICE 2:18 |  |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK \& MARSAA 561/833.7811
correct 9:17 16:4
correctly 8:22
counsel 1:14 5:23,24

County 1:2 2:22,24 19:3
court 1:1 3:3 6:14 8:10,12 9:9,13,18,22 10:2,4,10,12,18 , 22
11:2,5,8,11,14, 17,22,25 12:3,13,17,19,2 1

13: 6,12,14,17,2 0 14:1,6,8,9,12,2 1 15:12,16,22,24 16:2,6,7,10,11, 13,20 17:4,12,19,23 18:3,7,11,17,20 19:14

Courthouse 2:23
Cox's 10:8
crime 5:3 6:5,11,12 8:6

Crystal 10:8
CURATOR 2:14
$\qquad$
danger 12:16
date 1:24 15:19
Dated 19:11
DAVID 19:6,14
day 19:11
deal 16:21 17:12
dealing 14:16
deals 5:10
Deceased 1:8
decision 6:14 13:11,14 15:7
deemed 4:17 7:14
defer 14:13 16:20
delete 9:11,15 11:9 12:7,21 13:1

Delray 2:24
denied 17:17 18:1
departments 12:12
destroy 10:1 15:25
determine 12:4
determining 8:18
direct 8:22 12:5 13:1
directed 3:23
directing 7:20
disagrees 14:9
disclosed 8:14
disclosure 4:13
discovery 10:25
discuss 16:15
discussion 3:22
disqualify 17:11
disseminate 13:4
document 4:7 8:11,14 11:4 12:8 13:4
documentary 3:17
DONALD 1:15
done 3:6 8:23 10:3 12:5

DOW 2:6
DRIVE 2:7,13
duty 5:7,12

| E |
| :--- |
| easily $4: 4$ |
| easy $3: 20$ |
| either $3: 256: 1$ |
| $15: 6$ |
| electronic $9: 17$ |
| 12:9 |
| electronics $14: 2$ |
| Eliot $1: 92: 17$ |
| $3: 8,11,12$ |
| $4: 6,12,16$ |
| $5: 20,22$ |
| $6: 8,12,23$ |
| $8: 7,219: 4,8$ |
| $11: 7,10,12,16,1$ |
| 9,24 |
| $12: 2,11,15,18,2$ |
| $013: 10,13,16$ |
| $14: 1,5,7,11,24$ |
| $15: 10,15,20,24$ |
| $16: 4,9,12,19,22$ |
| $18: 14$ |

else 15:23
e-mail 3:10 4:7
5:16 9:24 12:6,8
enable 4:25
engage 15:3
enter 8:18
entered 12:1
entertain 9:3
envelope 16:6
envelopes 18:6
ESQ
$2: 3,4,8,9,14,15$
essence 4:12 6:11
estate 1:7 18:16
et 1:17
everybody 12:24 17:2
everyone 18:14
evidence 3:16,17
6:2,17 9:9
EXCERPT 1:21
Exhibit 7:14
explained 15:10
$\frac{F}{\text { fact 3:14 4:2,5 }}$
fair 16:13 18:5
fall 7:15
family 7:11
Feaman 2:2,3
14:20 15:22 17:15 18:6,9
fee 10:18
fees 10:16,23
FIFTEENTH 1:1
file 10:2,19 16:7 17:1
filed 10:21 16:25
filing 16:24
final 18:11
finding 3:14 4:2,4 8:19,20
fine 14:19 17:4
firm 2:9 17:22
first 7:10 9:2
11:8 12:6
17:11,23

FITZGERALD 2:6
FL 2:3,7,13,16
FLAGLER 2:7
FLALGER 2:13
Florida 1:2 2:10,24 4:18 19:3,7
folks 3:6 8:23
17:6 18:4,11
force 6:13
forceful 5:21 6:9 7:6,22 11:20
foregoing 19:9
forward 4:10 13:5
forwarded 9:23
fraud 5:3 6:5

| G |
| :--- |
| getting $4: 11$ |
| 11:11 |
| grounds $8: 9$ |

$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\text { happened 8:24 }}$
harm 6:13 7:12
haven't 5:17 11:2
having 14:13
heads 16:23
hear 9:9
heard 5:17 6:2,18 11:6
hearing 5:11
14:22 15:18,19
17:5
hearings 14:14
Here's 11:25
he's 6:25 9:25
10:3,6,8 16:25
hire 15:3
hit 4:10
hold 9:2,13,18
15:22 17:19,23
Honor 11:3 13:25
14:19,20 17:9
Honorable 1:22 2:22

Honor's 9:24
hopefully 10:14

| I | latter 7:7 | $\mathrm{N}$ | Paragraph 7:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID 16:3 | lawyer 3:13,21 | nature 7:13 | parties 1:14 |
| idea 18:19 | 4:15,21,23,25 | necessarily 7:18 | pending 13:15 |
| I'll 9:3 14:17,21 | 5:7,11,19 | notes 19:10 | 14:1 16:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15: 18 \\ & 17: 4,12,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 8,20,21,22,25 \\ & 7: 215: 3,7,9 \end{aligned}$ | nothing 7:15,24 | people 9:12,23 |
| I'm 8:19 9:2,10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lawyer-client } \\ 4: 228: 4 \end{gathered}$ | notice 15:8 | perpetration 8:6 |
| 11:11,15 | 4:22 8:4 | notification 9:21 | personal 1:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 21,2216: 5 \\ & 17: 1318: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lawyers } 7: 20,21 \\ 14: 1515: 2 \end{gathered}$ | ```notify ``` | $17: 17$ |
| inadvertent 4:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 15 \text { 15:2 } \\ & 16: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 12,19,22,24 \\ & 12: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { personally } \\ & 1: 14,15,17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:14 | legally 7:4,5 |  | PETER 2:2,3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { including 9:17 } \\ \text { 12:9 16:16 } \end{gathered}$ | let's 12:14 18:17 | 0 | petition 16:16 |
| indicate 7:25 | ```letter 3:10,12,16,18,1``` | obtained 4:25 | $17: 16$ |
| inherent 11:1 | $9,20,22,23,24$ $4: 3,5,7,95: 14$ | O'Connell 17:7,9 | petitions 16:16 |
| instead 4:9 | 6:15,16 11:20 | okay 3:3 5:13 8:4 | physical 7:25 |
| integrity 16:5 | letters 17:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 4,13,18 \\ & 10: 4,12 \end{aligned}$ | physically 7:1 |
| intend 3:12 | life's 12:15 | 11:5,12,14,16,2 | plan 5:1 6:4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { intended } 3: 17,20 \\ 4: 3,145: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { listen } 3: 4 \text { 12:19 } \\ 13: 3 \text { 18:14 } \end{gathered}$ | 4 $12: 2,13,14,20,2$ | play 14:6 |
| 6:18 8:12 |  | 2 13:13 | PLLC 2:9 |
| interpretation | litigation 7:23 | 14:3,5,8,11,12, | point 3:7 10:5 |
| 11:20 | little 6:2 | $15: 9,12,15,20$ | 14:24 15:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { issue } 3: 11 \text { 5:7,25 } \\ 14: 14 \text { 15:17 } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 2,12,13 \\ & 17: 4,12 \end{aligned}$ | police 12:12 |
| it's 3:9,19,25 | MARSAA 19:6,14 | 18:5,6,19,20 | $12: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 6 \quad 7: 7,17,18 \\ & 8: 2,12 \quad 13: 7 \end{aligned}$ | MARTIN 1:22 2:22 | Olive 2:10 | prefer 13:23 |
|  | material 10:7 | opponent 7:23 | PRESENT 2:18 |
| IVAN 1:9 | materials 4:17 | oppose 11:13 | preserve 16:4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I've } 3: 196: 2 \\ 11: 10,23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 18,239: 1 \\ & 12: 5 \end{aligned}$ | opposing 11:17 | pretty 3:5,19 |
| J | matters 4:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { order 8:18,25 } \\ & 10: 6,1711: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { privilege } 3: 9 \\ \text { 4:22 5:5 } \end{gathered}$ |
| JEFFREY 2:4 | 6:24 7:17 | $13: 1,3,5 \quad 14: 2,3$ | 8:5,10,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { John 2:9,15 } \\ & \quad 5: 17,18,216: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 10:24 12:15 | $\begin{array}{r} 16: 8 \text { 17:5,15,25 } \\ \text { ordered 9:25 11:9 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { privileged 4:18 } \\ 5: 98: 11,19 \end{gathered}$ |
| $7: 3$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { meaning } 3: 13 \text { 6:12 } \\ \text { 12:25 15:16 } \end{gathered}$ | orders 17:7,10,11 | 10:7 11:4 13:8 |
| JUDICIAL 1:1 | means 7:25 | others 4:8 7:11 | Pro 1:9 2:17 |
| July 1:24 2:25 | means 7:25 | thers 4:8 7:11 | procedure 3:5 |
| $19: 11$ | merits 18:5 | outside 18:15 | proceeding 17:3 |
|  | moment 13:4 |  | proceedings 1:2 |
| K | moot 17:1 | P | 2:21 18:23 19:9 |
| knew 5:2 6:4 | MORRISSEY 2:15 | P.A 1:13 2:2,6 | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I- |
| KONOPKA 2:6 | ion 10:19,21 | p.m 1:25 18:24 | N-G-S 3:1 |
|  | 11:2 17:11 | page 2:6 9:2 | Professional 19:6 |
| L | motions 16:15 | Palm 1:2 | professionally |
| language 5:25 | 14:2 | 2:7,10,13,16,22 | 1:15,17 |
| 6:19 7:18,20 |  | ,24 19:3 | progress 18:12 |
| large 19:7 | MRACHEK 2:6 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Pankauski } 2: 9 \\ 5: 18 & 7: 4 \text { 17:21 } \end{array}$ | proof 10:2 |

PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK \& MARSAA 561/833.7811

Page 4 of 5


PLEASANTON, GREENHILL, MEEK \& MARSAA 561/833.7811


