## IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO: 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN

## PROCEEDINGS BEFORE HONORABLE MARTIN COLIN

DATE: MAY 23, 2014

TIME: 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.

```
1 APPEARANCES:
 3 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM STANSBURY:
 4 MR. PETER M. FEAMAN, ESQ.
   MR. JEFFREY T. ROYER, ESQ.
 5 PETER M. FEAMAN, P.A.
   3695 W. Boynton Beach Blvd., Suite 9
 6 Boynton Beach, FL 33436
 7
 8 APPEARING OF BEHALF OF TED BERNSTEIN:
 9 MR. ALAN ROSE, ESQ.
   PAGE MRACHEK
10 505 S. Flagler Drive
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
11
12 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF FOUR ADULT GRANDCHILDREN:
13 JOHN P. MORRISSEY, ESQ.
   JOHN P. MORRISSEY, P.A.
14 330 Clematis Street, Suite 213
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
15
16 APPEARING AS THE CURATOR:
17 BENJAMIN BROWN, ESQ.
   MATWICZYK & BROWN, LLP
18 625 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 401
   West Palm Beach, FL 33401
19
20 APPEARING PRO SE:
21 ELIOT BERNSTEIN
22
23
24
25
```

1

- BE IT REMEMBERED, that the following
- 3 proceedings were taken in the above-styled cause
- 4 before Honorable MARTIN COLIN at the Palm Beach
- 5 County Courthouse, 200 West Atlantic Avenue, in the
- 6 City of Delray Beach, County of Palm Beach, State of
- 7 Florida, on Friday, the 23rd day of May, 2014, to
- 8 wit:

9

- 10 THE COURT: Good morning. Let me get my
- 11 computer on. We're here in the Bernstein case.
- 12 Appearances.
- MR. BERNSTEIN: Eliot Bernstein, pro se.
- 14 MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman on behalf of
- 15 William Stansbury. And from my office, Jeff
- 16 Royer.
- 17 MR. MORRISSEY: John Morrissey on behalf
- of four of the adult grandchildren.
- 19 MR. ROSE: Alan Rose on behalf of Ted
- 20 Bernstein.
- 21 MR. BROWN: Ben Brown as curator of the
- 22 estate.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. What do we have
- 24 for today?
- MR. ROSE: Before we get to that, I have

1	one sort of an important issue that came up
2	last night.
3	THE COURT: Go ahead.
4	MR. ROSE: It will take 30 seconds.
5	Ted Bernstein sent me an email. And he
6	replied to an email, and accidently the email
7	went to Eliot Bernstein. It was
8	attorney-client privileged communication
9	directly to me from my client Ted Bernstein.
10	The email went to Eliot Bernstein. Under Rule
11	1.285 I sent to Mr. Eliot Bernstein an email
12	immediately asking him to delete or return the
13	privileged materials.
14	I discussed the issue with Mr. Eliot
15	Bernstein this morning and he advised me that
16	he has emailed the document to 2,000 people.
17	He's had a history of posting things on
18	the internet. Because it's attorney-client
19	privileged information it's very sensitive and
20	I'd request the Court to instruct him to comply
21	with Rule 1.285. It was a reply to an email
22	that had a bunch of names and accidentally it
23	went to him. Mr. Bernstein advised me
24	immediately and I advised Eliot immediately.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Bernstein, did you get an

1	email from counsel?
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: I did not get his email.
3	I got an email from my brother addressed to me
4	only. I read it, as usual when I get something
5	bizarre that's attacking and threatening me, or
6	whatever. It was from Ted Bernstein to Eliot
7	Bernstein.
8	THE COURT: It was from
9	MR. BERNSTEIN: Ted Bernstein to Eliot
10	Bernstein.
11	THE COURT: Not from the lawyer?
12	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. He misrepresents
13	everything.
14	THE COURT: We'll take it up at the end.
15	There's other things scheduled. If you
16	remember, we'll take it up.
17	MR. ROSE: Fine.
18	THE COURT: Go ahead.
19	MR. FEAMAN: May it please the Court.
20	Peter Feaman, Your Honor, on behalf of William
21	Stansbury, interested person in the estate.
22	This is Mr. Stansbury's petition for the
23	appointment of an administrator ad litem which
24	has been submitted to Your Honor together with
25	a supplement to the petition to the requested

1	relief.
2	We're asking this Court to appoint
3	Mr. Stansbury as an administrator ad litem of
4	the estate for the sole purpose of making an
5	appearance on behalf of the estate in some
6	litigation that is currently pending in
7	Illinois involving a life insurance policy on
8	Simon Bernstein's life, the deceased, with a
9	death benefit of \$1.7 million.
10	That litigation has been pending for over
11	a year from what I can tell, or about a year.
12	And it has not involved the estate which is
13	very interesting because the documents that
14	I've recently obtained since the filing of our
15	motion, Your Honor, we found out that insurance
16	policy, according to internal records of the
17	insurance company, is actually owned by the
18	deceased Simon Bernstein. So arguably not only
19	is it an asset of the estate, that insurance
20	policy, and the proceeds therefrom, but any
21	litigation concerning the distribution of those
22	proceeds should be in this court, Your Honor.
23	Now that's jumping ahead. But the point
24	is that we're dealing with an asset of the
25	estate and, therefore, this court has every

1	interest in seeing that the estate's assets are
2	marshaled. The first step for that, Your
3	Honor, would be to appoint an administrator ad
4	litem to at least intervene in that federal
5	court action that's up in Illinois.
6	The former personal representatives of
7	this estate, Your Honor, were doing everything
8	they could to keep the money out of the estate
9	from that life insurance policy. They have
10	alleged that the beneficiary is the life
11	insurance trust. The problem is nobody can
12	find the original life insurance trust. Nobody
13	can find even a copy of the life insurance
14	trust. And the records that we show show that
15	the beneficiaries are not, in fact, a life
16	insurance trust. But the first beneficiary,
17	according to Heritage, which is the insurance
18	company, is LaSalle National Trust. The second
19	beneficiary is the Simon Bernstein Trust,
20	whatever that is. But it's not the Simon
21	Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust that is
22	being alleged up in Illinois.
23	Now if there's no clear beneficiary, as
24	Your Honor is aware, then the life insurance
25	proceeds would go to the estate and become an

1 asset, or liquid assets for the estate. that money presently has been put into the registry of the court up in Illinois by the 3 insurance company. They were first requested by the personal representatives of this estate, 5 the former, to pay it to others. And the 6 insurance company said we don't have any 7 documentation to justify that. So they just impleaded the funds. The litigation has been pending, and 10 despite the fact that the estate is the owner 11 of the policy, the estate has never been 12 represented in that action. Now the estate has 13 a high probability of success, we believe, in 14 this case. Because if they're going to try to 15 establish a lost instrument without the 16 17 original or without a copy it's going to be based, I assume, on oral testimony from people. 18 And that is a high burden. Interestingly we 19 found out at first, on this so-called insurance 20 trust, Mr. Spallina (phonetic), who was the 21 22 personal representative, formerly, of this 23 estate, represented to the insurance company that he was the trustee of this insurance 24 When that didn't work, Your Honor -- we 25 trust.

1	have a document that we'll show to the court up
2	in Chicago when that didn't work they're now
3	in court up there saying that Mr. Ted Bernstein
4	is the trustee, or successor trustee, of that
5	insurance trust. Yet there is no copy of that
6	trust before the court in any fashion. The
7	plaintiffs in that lawsuit are now not only the
8	insurance trust, the so-called insurance trust,
9	it's now all the adult children of Mr. Simon
10	Bernstein. Interestingly enough, Your Honor
11	the adult children are not beneficiaries of
12	this estate, Your Honor. It's the ten
13	grandchildren who are the residual
14	beneficiaries as a result of the pour-over
15	provision of the will that leaves all the
16	liquid assets in a trust. The beneficiaries of
17	that trust are the ten grandchildren. So the
18	adults, the adult children of Mr. Simon
19	Bernstein, have every incentive, Your Honor, to
20	see that the estate is not inherited with these
21	life insurance proceeds because if they succeed
22	in this action in Illinois then the adult
23	children inherit or receive the proceeds of the
24	life insurance not the ten grandchildren over
25	whom you have jurisdiction as the beneficiaries

1	in this estate.
2	The curator, Your Honor, has no objection.
3	Mr. Brown
4	THE COURT: Let me stop and hear from Mr.
5	Brown. What's your position on their motion?
6	MR. BROWN: I'm not taking a position on
7	the motion, Your Honor. I can get into it
8	further, I don't really want to interrupt
9	Mr. Feaman. But it would seem to me that if
10	the main estate creditor wants to try to
11	intervene in Chicago on behalf of the estate to
12	bring assets into the estate without looking to
13	the estate for current payment of his fees, in
14	other words, if he finally succeeds then he can
15	then come back to this Court and ask to have
16	his fees reimbursed, then that would seem to be
17	a benefit to the estate as far as marshaling
18	the assets of the estate and, of course, the
19	curator and/or personal representative has a
20	duty to the creditors also to try to marshal
21	the assets of the estate.
22	THE COURT: I got your position.
23	Mr. Rose?
24	MR. ROSE: Our position is pretty simple.
25	And I this is an evidentiary hearing

1	THE COURT: It's an opening to tell me
2	what's going on. I just want your position.
3	MR. ROSE: Tetra (phonetic) and Spallina,
4	who were the prior PRs, believe that the claim
5	to the insurance policy by the estate had no
6	merit because of their discussions with their
7	client, because of their investigation of
8	facts. These people have no evidence to
9	support they have no parol evidence. This
10	is a fight over an insurance policy that only
11	beneficiary there's no dispute that the
12	beneficiary the insurance company has on
13	record, there was a prior beneficiary which was
14	a company pension plan that the company is
15	dissolved, and that's out the only
16	contingent beneficiary, and there's an
17	affidavit that's been filed attached to one of
18	their motions in this Court where the insurance
19	company says the only other beneficiary ever
20	named was the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Life
21	Insurance Trust. There's a shorthand in a
22	computer system, where somebody shorthanded it
23	in the computer, and the affidavit in the
24	insurance company addressing that which says
25	that's shorthand, but in our forms the only

1	beneficiary ever listed is this irrevocable
_	
2	life insurance trust, their only piece of
3	evidence supporting their claim is that the
4	insurance trust cannot be found. But the trust
5	did exist. It has a tax ID number from a
6	federal tax ID number. There's numerous
7	references to it between different lawyers and
8	nobody can find the trust document now. That's
9	an issue that's going to be resolved in
10	Illinois. But they have no evidence other
11	than the fact that the trust doesn't exist
12	they don't have any parol evidence. They don't
13	have any documents. They don't have anything
14	on behalf of the estate.
15	Our concern is they're going to spend the
16	precious few estate assets that are remaining
17	to go to Illinois and fight an issue that has
18	no merit, can subject the estate to a claim,
19	you know, for fees or indemnification or
20	prevailing party attorney's fees award.
21	The policy was owned by Simon Bernstein.
22	That means it's included in his taxable estate.
23	But it does not mean it's owned in his probate
24	estate. The beneficiary is the beneficiary.
25	The policy proceeds are in Illinois. They've

1	been deposited into the court
2	THE COURT: What's the issue that the
3	Illinois judge is being asked to decide?
4	MR. ROSE: Being asked to decide, among
5	competing claims, to the proceeds of this race.
6	Eliot Bernstein is there asserting the exact
7	position that Mr. Stansbury wants to go there
8	to assert. Eliot is asserting that the money
9	should go to the estate and not the irrevocable
10	life insurance trust. That issue is going to
11	require, you know, a summary judgment or a
12	trial with parol evidence to determine who the
13	beneficiary is of that policy.
14	Mr. Stansbury has gone there to intervene
15	and was denied by the judge the right to
16	intervene in the case already once.
17	Our main concern really is twofold. The
18	expense on both what's actively being spent.
19	We want to make sure no estate funds are being
20	expended to pursue this. In an estate that
21	has a very limited amount of funds here
22	THE COURT: Mr. Feaman says that his
23	client will not seek fees for his role as
24	administrator ad litem unless and until a
25	recovery might take place and then he'll make

1	an application with funds then available,
2	meaning the \$1.7 million would then apparently
3	come into the estate.
4	MR. ROSE: I haven't heard testimony to
5	that effect yet.
6	THE COURT: That's a representation.
7	MR. ROSE: He'd also need to represent
8	that he would indemnify and hold the estate
9	harmless if there's any adverse action as a
10	result of him intervening in that case and
11	losing either an award of attorneys fees or
12	THE COURT: I'm not sure about that part
13	yet. I got your position.
14	MR. ROSE: And then the final point is
15	Mr. Stansbury is a potential creditor of the
16	estate. To the extent he goes and even if
17	he would win that lawsuit and bring money into
18	the estate I don't think it's fair to let him
19	get a I don't know what his fee arrangement
20	would be.
21	THE COURT: I'd hear that. Under the
22	statute he has to prove that he provided a
23	benefit to the estate.
24	MR. ROSE: We don't even know if his claim
25	will still exist

1 THE COURT: It may or may not. Mr. Morrissey? MR. MORRISSEY: To address first the last 3 point why should Mr. Stansbury not be allowed to act even though his fees may or may not come 5 at the end. Well, he's a claimant. He's not a 6 creditor. There's a distinction here. 7 claimant he might not be privy, or should not 8 be privy, to certain information because he doesn't have a judgment. He's not one of the 10 eight classes of people. If he's allowed to 11 intervene as a claimant in the Illinois action 12 he may, in fact, become privy to certain 13 information that we, or the estate, does not 14 want him to become privy to because we may end 15 up having to negotiate with a claimant to 16 17 satisfy a claim. We don't want him privy to certain information. We don't want him 18 intervening in actions, and certainly in 19 actions that he's already sought intervention 20 and been denied. 21 22 THE COURT: Was he denied because he didn't have standing because he hadn't been 23 appointed as an administrator? Is that the 24 reason why he was denied? 25

1	MR. MORRISSEY: He attempted to intervene
2	individually and was denied. He was denied
3	because I've attached the order. I filed an
4	opposition and attached the order. And I can
5	read from a couple of sections of the order to
6	indicate and let Your Honor know why he was
7	denied.
8	THE COURT: Hold on. I see it here.
9	MR. MORRISSEY: The court there went
10	through an extensive analysis, legal standard
11	and analysis in its order speaking of
12	intervention as a right, and permissive
13	intervention. And the court said, "The fact
14	that you might anticipate a benefit from a
15	judgment in favor of one of the parties to a
16	lawsuit, maybe, for example, you're a creditor
17	of one of them, does not entitle you to
18	intervene in their lawsuit." That is really
19	the position that Mr. Stansbury is in. The
20	court went on, "Here Stansbury's claimed
21	interest is merely an economic interest that is
22	too remote for purposes of the rule because the
23	estate is not a party to this lawsuit. And
24	Stansbury does not assert that he or the estate
25	are beneficiaries to the life insurance

1	proceeds nor the Bernstein Trust."
2	THE COURT: You represent, Mr. Morrissey,
3	who?
4	MR. MORRISSEY: I represent the four
5	grandchildren.
6	THE COURT: Who, according to Mr. Feaman,
7	may benefit if this money comes to the estate?
8	MR. MORRISSEY: Correct.
9	THE COURT: So the way the case is being
10	litigated now is the only plaintiff the
11	Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance Trust vs.
12	the life insurance company?
13	MR. MORRISSEY: Well
14	THE COURT: That's the way the style of
15	the case is. Are there more plaintiffs than
16	that?
17	MR. FEAMAN: They amended subsequently and
18	joined the adult four of the five of the
19	adult children were joined as plaintiffs.
20	THE COURT: And who is representing them?
21	MR. FEAMAN: Somebody up in Chicago in
22	that action.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. ROSE: I think technically the lawsuit
25	was started by the trust against the insurance

1	company. The insurance company filed an
2	interpleaded, probably by counterclaim. My
3	understanding is, subject to someone correcting
4	me, the insurance company was granted
5	interpleader. They put the funds in the
6	registry of the court. The insurance company
7	is out of the case and even though you have the
8	original style what's left is people asserting
9	a claim to the proceeds.
10	Eliot is there, I think, advocating the
11	claim on behalf of the estate
12	THE COURT: Eliot is pro se. I want we
13	recognize that. From Mr. Morrissey's point of
14	view, do you take a position that your clients,
15	the grandchildren, may have an interest in
16	these monies?
17	MR. MORRISSEY: No well, our position
18	is the following
19	THE COURT: That question first.
20	MR. MORRISSEY: Our position no, on
21	behalf of the four grandchildren.
22	THE COURT: You waive any on behalf of
23	those children you waive any claim to that
24	money?
25	MR. MORRISSEY: I'm not going to waive on

1	the record.
2	THE COURT: You have to stand on one side
3	of the fence or the other on that.
4	MR. MORRISSEY: Quite honestly, I haven't
5	asked them that question. I can't waive
6	something on behalf of my clients when I
7	haven't asked them that question point blank.
8	THE COURT: All right. So you have who
9	the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust is
10	represented by Chicago
11	MR. BERNSTEIN: Adam Simon who is the
12	brother to David Simon who is married to my
13	sister Pam Simon who stands to benefit if the
14	money goes through Illinois.
15	THE COURT: Illinois counsel, okay. And
16	the four children are represented by one
17	lawyer?
18	MR. FEAMAN: That's Adam Simon.
19	THE COURT: Because of the impleading of
20	the funds the battle right now is between the
21	trust and these four children because those are
22	the parties that are now competing for the
23	money?
24	MR. ROSE: I don't think I don't know
25	if the four children are technically parties.

1	I think they're just the battle I think is
2	between Eliot who is asserting that these funds
3	should come into this estate
4	THE COURT: Eliot was allowed to
5	intervene?
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: I got sued in the case,
7	Your Honor, because they had gone behind my
8	back to try to steal this policy around you
9	too and they were told by the insurance
10	company, when Robert Spallina submitted what I
11	allege is a fraudulent insurance claim, and
12	they were told by the insurance company that
13	the claim was denied and they needed a probate
14	court order from you to approve the beneficiary
15	scheme they were proposing using some mashugana
16	lost trust
17	THE COURT: Eliot, you're named as a
18	cross-plaintiff, so you are
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Now I've somehow become a
20	plaintiff a defendant that you showed me
21	last week, or two weeks ago, when you handed me
22	that order. I haven't quite figured out how
23	I'm the named defendant.
24	Your Honor, I'm representing their my
25	children's interests.

1	THE COURT: Hold it. I'm reading
2	something. I see a entity in the style of the
3	case up there called the Simon Bernstein Trust,
4	N.A. What's that? Is that something different
5	than the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Trust?
6	MR. ROSE: It's in the affidavit that was
7	filed, I think attached to Mr. Brown's recent
8	petition for instructions, but In the
9	insurance company's computer they shorthanded
10	the name of the trust. The beneficiary is the
11	Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Life Insurance
12	Trust which is the
13	THE COURT: Ted Bernstein is an individual
14	in this suit now. And who is representing him?
15	MR. ROSE: I don't know that he is an
16	individual. If he's an individual he's
17	represented by Adam Simon.
18	THE COURT: I'm reading it. That's where
19	I get it. They're individually and/or as
20	purported trustee of the irrevocable trust.
21	Eliot is a cross-plaintiff that's where
22	you're named, Eliot vs. Ted, individually
23	and as trustee of the irrevocable trust. And
24	then a bunch of other people and entities are
25	cross-defendants. Right now the competing

1	parties in Illinois are the irrevocable trust
2	and Eliot. Is that basically it
3	MR. ROSE: Yes.
4	THE COURT: who are active; is that
5	true?
6	So the question is should the claimant be
7	declared here an administrator ad litem for the
8	purposes of being permitted to ask the court to
9	be able to intervene, which the court may or
10	may not do?
11	MR. ROSE: There's one other part of my
12	opening I missed on my notes
13	THE COURT: Go ahead. Sure.
14	MR. ROSE: Mr. Morrissey touched on it and
15	reminded me. If you're going to appoint an
16	administrator ad litem it should not be
17	Mr. Stansbury. You can appoint somebody and
18	Mr. Stansbury could fund it, he could pay the
19	expenses of, let's say, Mr. Brown or an
20	independent person to hire a Chicago lawyer
21	and, you know, advance the case. But you would
22	then be preserving issues of privilege and you
23	would be preserving the integrity of the system
24	rather than have Mr. Stansbury, who is a
25	claimant, who is adverse on multiple levels to

1	the estate, as the active person he would be
2	funding the litigation and, in my view, he
3	should be required to indemnify. But you'd
4	have a neutral third person doing it rather
5	that Mr. Stansbury which I think makes a lot
6	more sense.
7	THE COURT: What do you say about the
8	latter comment? That's the only one I want you
9	to address.
10	MR. FEAMAN: The fact that Mr. Stansbury
11	will become privy to confidential information
12	
13	THE COURT: Well, we're not at
14	MR. FEAMAN: Ben Brown
15	THE COURT: I'll allow someone else to
16	intervene to appropriately determine whether
17	the estate has an interest in this money or
18	not. That's the issue, correct?
19	MR. FEAMAN: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Right now the
21	person technically doing that is Eliot who
22	tries his best as a pro se. But it's pretty
23	tough
24	MR. FEAMAN: That's right. He doesn't
25	represent the estate.

1	THE COURT: He represents himself
2	individually. So someone who may look for the
3	interest of the estate. And, you know, these
4	type of litigation, obviously, the Illinois
5	judge is going to have to take evidence I'm
6	not going to do that in my hearing on who
7	the beneficiary is of this policy. That's what
8	has to be determined.
9	MR. FEAMAN: That's correct.
10	THE COURT: The issue is narrow and I
11	think everyone agrees with that.
12	MR. FEAMAN: And
13	THE COURT: What I'm thinking about is
14	you kind of want to be able to make sure that
15	everyone who, perhaps, could ultimately be a
16	beneficiary of this policy have a voice in that
17	litigation. That's the due process part of it.
18	So my thought is, having heard everybody say
19	what they said, I rarely find it to be a
20	problem allowing someone to intervene unless
21	they're a stranger, this wouldn't be a
22	stranger because a voice is a good thing to
23	have. We allow interventions all the time here
24	on my cases. I just hear from someone else.
25	They don't win or lose unless there's merit to

1	them. Someone right now is hovering the
2	position that the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable
	_
3	Trust is the beneficiary. They're lawyered up.
4	The only other person that seems to suggest
5	that that may not be the case and it is the
6	estate that's the beneficiary is Eliot. So I'm
7	considering having someone other than Eliot
8	or in addition to Eliot, because he's there
9	individually on behalf of himself and he's not
10	representing the estate someone represent
11	the interest of the estate.
12	And so the proposal is that that be
13	someone funded by your client, Mr. Feaman, but
14	not but someone who is more neutral like Mr.
15	Brown or something like that. What do you say
16	about that?
17	MR. FEAMAN: We came up with Mr. Stansbury
18	because if he's the one that's willing to fund
19	the intervention and to fund the person the
20	lawyer to make sure that the estate is going
21	to be protected
22	THE COURT: He has more he's like
23	Eliot. He has his own interests, personal
24	interest.
25	MR. FEAMAN: He does. He has interests in

1	money coming into the estate, absolutely.
2	THE COURT: But someone who is more
3	neutral may be the right move there. If that's
4	where I'm going on this, what is your position
5	on that?
6	MR. FEAMAN: If that's where you're going
7	on that then Ben Brown is acceptable in that
8	regard. I would just since Mr. Stansbury is
9	the one that's volunteering, if you will, to
10	fund initially the cost of this, then he needs,
11	through me, some input with Mr. Brown.
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MR. FEAMAN: On all matters.
14	THE COURT: You'd be allowed to have input
15	with him. But Mr. Brown would be there,
16	assuming he's willing to take the assignment,
17	to preserve issues of confidentiality and other
18	concerns that could exist. He sounded, all
19	along, from the beginning, as the perfect
20	centerpiece to do this. What do you say?
21	MR. BROWN: Actually, I a few things to
22	say, Your Honor. The first thing is with
23	regard to the privilege issue. I'm not aware
24	of any privilege that would apply.
25	THE COURT: And I'm not either. But let's

1	get past that point.
2	MR. BROWN: The testamentary exception,
3	this is squarely in the testamentary exception,
4	so there is no privilege in my view of this.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. BROWN: The second issue is that I
7	promised David Simon, I've given to you before,
8	this email thread where he sent me an email and
9	said you're trying to have Mr. Stansbury
10	appointed as administrator ad litem, the estate
11	should not be appearing in Illinois, you're
12	going to be wasting estate assets and you have
13	a conflict of interest because you're the
14	curator and the estate pours over into the
15	revocable trust and the beneficiaries of the
16	revocable trust don't want this policy to go to
17	the estate. I've been accused of conflict of
18	interest. I've been accused of beaches of
19	fiduciary duty already by David Simon who,
20	apparently, is Adam Simon's brother and the
21	father of some of the grandchildren.
22	My third issue is that, I think it's from
23	the Vietnam War, this comes within the category
24	of mission creek. I'm supposed to be temporary
25	interim limited curator. There's supposed to

1	be a personal representative appointed at some
2	point. I've been asked by the parties to
3	consider being the personal representative.
4	Frankly, Your Honor, this case is goes off
5	in a lot of different directions. Whoever the
6	personal representative is going to spend a lot
7	of money just dealing with the different
8	parties and the different people who are
9	involved. And, frankly, I don't know that I
10	have the time. And I really don't want to be
11	the personal representative.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. BROWN: If I'm appointed administrator
14	ad litem it seems like I'm in there for the
15	long run on a federal case. They do move them
16	pretty quickly here in the Southern District of
17	Florida. I know that from experience. I don't
18	know about the Northern District of Illinois.
19	MR. FEAMAN: Well, there's been I can
20	answer that question.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. FEAMAN: There's been a notification
23	of a docket entry entered by the judge on it
24	said that all case dispositive motions are to
25	be filed by mid-July, July 13. So it sounds
1	

1	like we're on a rocket docket to me, Your
2	Honor.
3	And on behalf of Mr. Stansbury I would
4	like to, since he is running the cost, be able
5	to work with whomever it is to pick counsel up
6	in Chicago. And that and to review
7	counsel's bills from Chicago and to help
8	strategize with that counsel the best way to
9	proceed up there should Your Honor go that
10	direction.
11	THE COURT: All right. So let me ask this
12	question: Is there also before me a petition
13	to appoint or determine a PR?
14	MR. FEAMAN: Not today.
15	THE COURT: Not today, okay.
16	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't know if
17	that's set for hearing at all. Although I
18	request that it be set for hearing. The other
19	issue with a PR versus a curator is that
20	Mr. Stansbury has active litigation going on in
21	front of Judge Blanc right now. So far there
22	hasn't been any conflict as far as Ted
23	Bernstein and the estate defending against
24	Mr. Stansbury's claim, but there have been
25	multiple instances where people in this case,

in this room, basically, have said that there 1 could eventually be a conflict of interest because there could be some finger pointing in 3 cross claims. It's hard to purify a case 5 THE COURT: like this and not have it -- not have a 6 situation where it's allegation free of a 7 purported conflict of interest. But it just 8 sounds logical that if -- especially when I'm looking at the latest heading out of the case 10 in Illinois -- if this is, in its simplest 11 12 form, a dispute as to who the beneficiary of this life insurance policy is, I mean that's a 13 -- that's kind of a narrow hearing. We do 14 those types of things in state court. 15 know, you need some discovery. And then you 16 17 present the evidence and the judge makes a decision. Kind of like the way you do in 18 contract cases. And so the parties who claim 19 to be beneficiaries of the policy seem to be 20 Simon Bernstein's Irrevocable Trust and their 21 22 representative. I'm treating Simon Bernstein 23 Trust as the same party for the purpose of this discussion. Eliot, individually, he's there. 24 25 And no one who may have a voice to say I want,

1	on behalf of the estate, because there's no PR.
2	If there's a PR the PR would take care of that.
3	Especially where Mr. Stansbury is willing to
4	front the cost of the fees for that up front it
5	sounds beneficial to have that voice.
6	So I'll put it this way, Mr. Brown, I
7	would expand your curator duties, if you're
8	willing, to take the assignment. If not, we
9	got to go elsewhere. It's up to you.
10	MR. BROWN: The curator duties basically
11	to just effectively be the party who's
12	intervening using Mr. Stansbury's counsel?
13	THE COURT: No. You would be the party.
14	You would hire a lawyer. You're allowed to,
15	like in any other case, you and your lawyer can
16	hear, because your phones work and your emails
17	work, from anyone else including Mr. Feaman and
18	Mr. Rose and Mr. Morrissey, and anyone else can
19	stick their two sense in. That's the way
20	litigation goes. But it seems to be that this
21	isn't an issue that's a finger-pointing issue.
22	This is who the beneficiary of the policy is.
23	The judge is going to look at the documents and
24	either say it's clear on its face or else take
25	parol evidence and we're on our way. This

1	isn't a personal type of litigation. And so,
2	you know, the strategies are legal strategies
3	that would be in charge of you and the lawyer
4	you hire.
5	MR. BROWN: I understand that, Your Honor.
6	Basically what you just described is something
7	that Mr. Stansbury could very easily do and pay
8	for himself.
9	THE COURT: Right. But he's but I
10	don't want him to be the party to do that
11	because I think there's he's a claimant.
12	There's I'm not comfortable there.
13	MR. BROWN: Okay.
14	THE COURT: And, you know, you're the
15	neutral person looking out for the estate's
16	interest. He has he's not he's looking
17	out for the estate's interest but in a
18	different manner. So hypothetically if you
19	went up into the litigation and you got
20	convinced by looking at everything you looked
21	at, you and your lawyer, that the beneficiary
22	was the Simon Bernstein Irrevocable Insurance
23	Trust, whatever that is, and not the estate,
24	you have a duty to argue in good faith. You
25	follow what I'm saying? That's where the

1	neutrality part comes in. But you are more
2	advocating, primarily, to the estate at
3	that's the assignment.
4	MR. BROWN: I understand that, Your Honor.
5	But and I know there's a lot of buts here
6	the estate has about 6 to \$700,000 worth of
7	assets, that includes the jewelry.
8	THE COURT: Remember, I'm having
9	Mr. Stansbury pay.
10	MR. BROWN: Oh, you are having Mr.
11	Stansbury, okay.
12	THE COURT: That was the deal.
13	MR. BROWN: And just using his counsel
14	that he already has retained and already tried
15	to intervene with?
16	THE COURT: No. No. You pick the lawyer.
17	He pays.
18	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I will do it
19	subject to whatever personal representative is
20	appointed going ahead and taking over
21	THE COURT: Ultimately if we get to the
22	stage where there's a PR taking the place of
23	you, that would be different. This is let
24	me just tell you, I mean a couple of reasons
25	why I think that works is Mr. Brown has worked

1	with me as curator in a lot of cases. I mean I
2	haven't had one challenge to the reasonableness
3	of the fees ever. He keeps control of the
4	lawyers. You know, and he does really a good
5	job there. So I really, you know, I can't
6	think of a better person to deal with this
7	issue given everyone's competing interest.
8	He'll be fair on what he argues on behalf of
9	the estate. He's not going to run up fees.
10	He's not going to allow the lawyer to run up
11	fees. If you want, I don't think he should be
12	the lawyer probably because I don't think he's
13	admitted in Illinois
14	MR. BROWN: No.
15	THE COURT: and he'll be able to best
16	determine how to filter whatever the
17	information is that other counsel want to give
18	to them. Again, it's a narrow issue. Okay,
19	everyone is jumping up.
20	MR. MORRISSEY: If I could respond on
21	behalf of four of the grandchildren. We're now
22	talking about having to pay, you know, from my
23	client's perspective pockets, Mr. Brown's fees,
24	an attorney up in Illinois
25	THE COURT: I just said that won't be the

1	case.
2	MR. MORRISSEY: That could potentially be
3	the case.
4	THE COURT: It would only be the case if
5	there was a recovery for the estate to which
6	then Mr. Stansbury would say, under the
7	statute, I performed a benefit for the estate.
8	How could that not benefit and from what I'm
9	told your clients, the grandchildren, would be
10	the people who would benefit from that. So why
11	would you complain about that if that's what
12	wound up happening? There's not a dollar
13	coming out of the estate unless there's a
14	recovery basically, and then the recovery would
15	take place and he would seek some recovery of
16	fees.
17	MR. MORRISSEY: And he would seek that
18	THE COURT: Here.
19	MR. MORRISSEY: Here?
20	THE COURT: Sure. You can say what I
21	think you're going to say, it's okay.
22	MR. MORRISSEY: I just want to go back to
23	the basics. The fact that the estate is only a
24	taker in default. So the estate doesn't need
25	to be represented in the Illinois action.

1	It's, for example, there was even talk, I
2	believe, in the Illinois case by one of the
3	banks or insurance companies that it's possible
4	if there's no beneficiary then the State of
5	Illinois could be the taker in default. Well,
6	the State of Illinois wasn't named as a party.
7	They don't have counsel there. Likewise, why
8	should the estate have counsel in an action
9	where they're only the taker of last resort?
10	THE COURT: Because if they're the taker
11	as a matter of law I mean I don't really
12	follow your argument because let's say there's
13	a hearing, which there will be, and the trust
14	is there, Eliot is there, and the estate is
15	there, and the judge hears it all and says the
16	decision is the beneficiary should be the
17	estate, would we say that that's a ridiculous
18	thing that we had the estate participate? I
19	don't think so.
20	MR. MORRISSEY: I don't know what I
21	mean there is no evidence that anyone on behalf
22	of the estate can present that they have ever
23	been named as a beneficiary
24	THE COURT: That could be. It may be then
25	that once Mr. Brown and counsel intervene, see

1	the documents I mean you're not talking
2	how many pages of documents could the
3	beneficiary forms be? It can't be that many.
4	When we sign our life insurance forms we sign a
5	page or two, that's about it. It's not like
6	it's going to be really exotic litigation.
7	This is a narrow, single issue who the
8	beneficiary is of this policy. You know, it
9	may be that it is clear that it's this
10	irrevocable trust and then they'll go from
11	there to see whether that really is an entity
12	that exists. That may be a separate issue. If
13	the judge says someone can name on the life
14	insurance policy, you know, the Star Spangled
15	Banner Fund and if that doesn't exist then we
16	know from contract law what happens if you name
17	a beneficiary that doesn't exist. You go to
18	the next level. You certainly want the life
19	insurance funds going somewhere. That's what
20	we would determine if that took place. Step 1,
21	step 2, step 3, doesn't sound to be that
22	complexed. Last word.
23	MR. ROSE: If I understand what you are
24	saying, which makes sense, Mr. Brown will keep
25	separate time for the time he spends as curator

1	working on the Illinois issue. He will hire
2	counsel and the fees of Mr. Brown and the
3	Illinois counsel, under his direction and his
4	discretion, would be paid by Mr. Stansbury?
5	THE COURT: That's the case. Subject to a
6	claim for reimbursement under the statute.
7	MR. ROSE: I'd want to hear from
8	Mr. Stansbury under oath that he's willing to
9	undertake that expense. Not to talk out of
10	school, but I haven't had discussion with
11	counsel and I didn't necessarily get the sense
12	that that was going to be the case.
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Feaman
14	can represent them.
15	MR. FEAMAN: I am representing as an
16	officer of the Court, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. FEAMAN: My only concern is if
19	there's basically Mr. Stansbury is funding
20	this there's there has to be some type of, I
21	don't want to use the word control, but real
22	input into the process.
23	THE COURT: Well, he's allowed to, like
24	anyone else in cases like this, you could have
25	conversations with Mr. Brown and his lawyer.
I	

1	You can show them what documents there are.
2	You can ask them to discuss things with them.
3	And, you know, I mean they they obviously
4	know he has an interest. And to the extent
5	that they're comfortable I think it's
6	appropriate they'll discuss these things with
7	them.
8	MR. FEAMAN: On behalf of Mr. Stansbury, I
9	would like assurances.
10	THE COURT: I'm not going to I have to
11	keep the there's a line of demarcation I
12	don't want to cross up front.
13	MR. FEAMAN: And I'm not objecting that
14	it's not Mr. Stansbury. I just want to make
15	sure the person who
16	THE COURT: The person who is appointed is
17	going to advocate for the estate.
18	MR. FEAMAN: Right. Agree with that.
19	THE COURT: But let me tell you this, the
20	reason I appoint a curator to do this is the
21	curator is not advocating for Mr. Stansbury.
22	He's advocating for the estate. There's times
23	when the curator could say, after doing
24	everything, I don't think, for example, the
25	estate has a bona fide interest. That may be

1	bad news for your side. But if that's what
2	they conclude then that's what they conclude.
3	If they conclude they do they will continue
4	advocating. It's things we do as lawyers all
5	the time. We go after cases with merit, and
6	shy away from those we think don't have merit.
7	MR. FEAMAN: Yes.
8	THE COURT: There's multilevel here. If
9	someone says that the Bernstein Irrevocable
10	Trust is the beneficiary but that it doesn't
11	exist there may be an argument that could be
12	made how then still as a result of that the
13	estate should get the funds, that would be
14	something that Mr. Brown and counsel could
15	consider advocating. But it's all in good
16	faith stuff.
17	MR. FEAMAN: Sure. I just want to make
18	sure
19	THE COURT: You'll get copies of the
20	bills. You'll be able to see what's that. If
21	at anytime you think that Mr. Brown and the
22	lawyer are, you know, going way beyond what you
23	think they should, from an expense point of
24	view, you can always come back to me.
25	MR. FEAMAN: I'm less concerned with the

1	expense, although it is important, more with
2	being able to pick up the phone and speak to
3	counsel in Chicago and say, hey, have you
4	considered this, I have information that may
5	help your case.
6	THE COURT: I'm not going to micromanage
7	that part. Today if you want to call Mr. Brown
8	for this hearing, for example, and say, Mr.
9	Brown, this is what I think, what do you think,
10	you're allowed to have a discussion on that.
11	That happens all the time, doesn't it?
12	MR. BROWN: It does. It does with
13	everybody in the case, emails and phone calls.
14	THE COURT: You guys email between each
15	other like crazy now.
16	MR. BROWN: That's true. Your Honor, the
17	only as far as keeping my time, if I kept my
18	time at my rate as curator is Mr. Stansbury
19	supposed to pay for that, or is that still
20	payable by the estate?
21	THE COURT: Your time and the lawyer's
22	time are the only rate I approve
23	MR. BROWN: Paid by Mr. Stansbury.
24	THE COURT: the hourly rate, I approve
25	of 350.
I	

1	MR. BROWN: I also propose, it doesn't
2	have to go on the order, it would seem to me,
3	there's nothing wrong, once I retain a Chicago
4	attorney, there's nothing wrong with Mr. Feaman
5	calling that Chicago attorney and me telling
6	the Chicago attorney don't get me on the phone
7	
8	THE COURT: I agree. There's no question.
9	You're the conduit.
10	MR. BROWN: As far as the claim, I'll
11	absolutely rely on Illinois counsel.
12	THE COURT: All right. I think this is
13	pretty clear how it's going to be handled.
14	Yes, sir.
15	MR. ROSE: A couple of minor concerns, I
16	think Mr. Brown went too far. Mr. Stansbury
17	would not pay for all the curator fees, only
18	the curator fees directly related to the
19	Illinois matter.
20	THE COURT: That's what he said. Separate
21	times sheets, sure.
22	MR. ROSE: I'm concerned if they he's
23	going to hire a Chicago lawyer, a Chicago
24	lawyer is going to be expensive. That's what
25	our main concern is
I	

1	THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Brown
2	MR. ROSE: He's a practical guy
3	THE COURT: he's going to find a good
4	lawyer with a reasonable rate, and that's a
5	little higher. He's not going to hire a
6	\$1,000-an-hour-guy.
7	MR. ROSE: But if he hires a lawyer and
8	the bill is \$12,000 and Mr. Stansbury's counsel
9	looks at it and says we don't think we should
10	pay it, Mr. Brown is retaining the person on
11	behalf of the estate, we need to have not a
12	chance for them to complain about bills.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not worried about
14	that now. There's too much I'm not finding,
15	you know I mean one part of this is what
16	I think is the sincerity of Mr. Feaman's side
17	here. And it's kind of a good thing that we
18	have the ability to be able to use
19	Mr. Stansbury's funds that way. They've made
20	the pledge to do it. I don't think they're
21	going to go back on their word.
22	MR. ROSE: I understand. I think
23	Mr. Stansbury should at least, under oath
24	THE COURT: Your request is denied.
25	Mr. Feaman is an officer of the court. He
I	

1	represents
2	MR. ROSE: it would be enforceable as a
3	judgment if he doesn't pay the estate would
4	have a claim against Mr. Stansbury if he, for
5	example, didn't pay some invoices and we got
6	stuck paying the bill for a Chicago lawyer.
7	THE COURT: You want me to rule on that
8	now? Your answer is no. You're real premature
9	on that. Draft an order along the lines I
10	mention.
11	What else for today?
12	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I had two motions
13	for instructions.
14	THE COURT: One had to do with this issue,
15	right?
16	MR. BROWN: That one I basically just took
17	a backseat to because of the administrator ad
18	litem motion.
19	The other, Eliot Bernstein sends me a lot
20	of emails with a lot of requests. I'm not
21	saying it's a bad thing. But he asks me
22	questions I don't necessarily know I can
23	answer. For instance, he got the accounting by
24	Tetra and Spallina and then sent me an email
25	that I've attached to the motion. I don't know

1	if you have the motion for instructions.
2	THE COURT: I do.
3	MR. BROWN: That had 44 different
4	questions, not including subparts, and asked
5	that I hire a forensic accountant, an analyst
6	and acquire account statements from a number of
7	third-party institutions.
8	THE COURT: Is that the motion? I don't
9	have the attachments. It says motion for
10	instructions that's the life insurance one.
11	Hold on.
12	MR. BROWN: It's not necessarily
13	important. Eliot is very thorough. But,
14	again, the estate has limited assets. My view
15	of what the curator should do with respect to
16	the accounting is not take the lead on
17	objecting to what Tetra and Spallina did,
18	investigating the underpinnings of the
19	accounting, that's up to we have a lot of
20	beneficiaries here who are very, very
21	passionate and interested in what's going on
22	with the estate.
23	THE COURT: Stop. You don't have to go
24	further. That position, that's the law. You
25	don't do that. If there's an accounting,

1	there's a rule on objections, the parties
2	object. They don't use you you don't work
3	for them.
4	MR. BROWN: Okay.
5	THE COURT: You work for the court.
6	MR. BROWN: I'll try and craft an order
7	that deals with that motion in that regard.
8	Also, there also was a motion, Eliot has
9	concerns about the 2012 will and its validity.
10	I think your ruling would be the same on that.
11	I don't have a role in trying to contest that
12	will
13	THE COURT: Exactly. You're not an
14	advocate. You don't investigate things that
15	the parties may be interested in. They can do
16	what they think they need to do based on the
17	rules of procedure and statutes.
18	MR. BROWN: That's it.
19	MR. ROSE: If I may address the privilege
20	issue?
21	THE COURT: Okay. The privilege issue,
22	okay.
23	MR. ROSE: May I approach?
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. ROSE: I can file a copy of this.

1	This is the email in question. Without reading
2	the email, if you look at who it is addressed
3	to at the very top. Mr. Bernstein is saying,
4	this is Ted, telling me he sent it to Eliot by
5	mistake. Last night at 10:12 he got off an
6	airplane and wanted to tell me things. It's to
7	Eliot by accident. If you just read
8	THE COURT: When you say to Eliot by
9	accident, the only person this is sent to is
10	Eliot.
11	MR. ROSE: Correct. He was trying to send
12	it to me. If you look below the word analysis,
13	the first word of the email is Alan.
14	THE COURT: So this was is supposed to go
15	to you and it went to Eliot?
16	MR. ROSE: By mistake. And Mr. Bernstein
17	has advised me this morning he sent it to 2,000
18	people already. He plans on publicizing it
19	THE COURT: I'm sure he didn't do that
20	because if he wants to participate in the case
21	he's obligated to have and comply with the
22	rules of court.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor
24	THE COURT: When you
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: I was sent an email to me.

1	Like I do when I get a letter that has
2	threatening stuff to me I sent it to my friends
3	who are lawyers. I sent it to a number of
4	people. Actually, I got so busy sending it to
5	people, because it scared me a little bit that
6	it was very threatening to people, that by the
7	time I was done my wife stopped me and said we
8	got to go to court. All I know is my brother
9	sent me an email that seems pretty threatening.
10	It was addressed to me. I was the intended
11	recipient.
12	THE COURT: Let me ask you, when the email
13	starts off Alan
14	MR. ROSE: I get a million emails
15	THE COURT: That say Alan?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: That say whoever's name.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know
18	what, I don't buy anything you just told me.
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: I thought my brother was
20	sending me a copy of an email
21	THE COURT: Stop. Stop speaking.
22	I'm going to look at the rule for a second.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
24	MR. ROSE: It's 1.285.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't been prepared
2	for this, so
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't looked at the
5	rules.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: I can show you several
8	instances in my email of people sending me
9	letters addressed to other people, several
10	thousands of those.
11	THE COURT: So, all right. Everyone has
12	to take a deep breath. This situation is done
13	pursuant to Rule 1.285. So Mr. Rose, on your
14	side, correct me if you think I'm wrong,
15	Subsection A says, "When you" your client
16	"takes a position that there's been an
17	inadvertent disclosure of privileged materials
18	to another person" which is what you say
19	happened, correct?
20	MR. ROSE: Correct, sir.
21	THE COURT: It says here, "In order to
22	assert the privilege the party, person or
23	entity shall, within 10 days of actually
24	discovering the inadvertent disclosure, serve
25	written notice of the assertion of privilege on

- the party to whom the materials were disclosed.
- 2 The notice shall specify with particularity" --
- 3 etc. And then there's a procedure.
- 4 MR. ROSE: I did that last night. I
- 5 emailed him last night.
- 6 THE COURT: I didn't know that. So you
- 7 gave him the written notice. I assume he got
- 8 it. Can I see a copy of the notice?
- 9 MR. ROSE: I'm trying to get a copy of the
- 10 notice. Perhaps -- I'm not trying to have the
- 11 whole argument heard today. I just --
- 12 THE COURT: The rule applies.
- MR. ROSE: Right.
- 14 THE COURT: So once he gets notice, the
- 15 rule applies. So the notice will have -- you
- sent it by email?
- 17 MR. ROSE: I have it here now. I do find
- it, sir. May I approach?
- 19 THE COURT: What's the time and date of
- 20 the notice?
- 21 MR. ROSE: May 22, 2014 at 11:07 p.m. I
- 22 said, "You received an email from Ted intended
- 23 solely for me, and accidentally sent to you by
- 24 mistake. The email was sent around 10:12 p.m.
- 25 tonight. Please delete the email immediately

1	without reading it and confirm that deletion by
2	email. The communication was attorney-client
3	protected and you are not entitled to read or
4	possess the email due to the accidental
5	transmission. Thank you in advance. And if
6	you fail to comply with this request we'll be
7	forced to take corrective action with the
8	court." Signed by me sent to the same email
9	address that
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So the rule
11	says, to Eliot, he sent that to you, Rule
12	1.285, Subsection B tells you what you're
13	supposed to do.
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: I haven't seen it yet.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: He's saying he sent it
17	after Ted's email. The last email I read was
18	Ted's email. So I haven't seen it.
19	THE COURT: So open that email
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And do what the rule
22	says.
23	MR. BERNSTEIN: Don't send it to anybody
24	else.
25	THE COURT: Well, okay, that, but it also

1	says some other things of what you're supposed
2	to do. You're supposed to return or destroy
3	it. That's one thing you're supposed to do.
4	And you are to notify anyone else who you
5	disclosed it to that they're to do the same
6	thing and you're also to take reasonable steps
7	to retrieve the materials disclosed
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll do all that.
9	THE COURT: And the only exception to this
10	is if you want to challenge that assertion that
11	you were provided an inadvertent privileged
12	matter. And then the rule says what could
13	happen and we can have litigation and spend a
14	lot of money.
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I'll do whatever it
16	is whatever the law says, as always.
17	THE COURT: There's nothing for me to do.
18	MR. ROSE: I understand. I just want to
19	make sure you
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor, it went out to
21	a lot of people. Like I said, I have a broad
22	base
23	THE COURT: Take a look. When you leave
24	the courthouse
25	MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I'll notify

1	everybody though.
2	THE COURT: Go and take a look at the rule
3	and just do what the rule says.
4	MR. ROSE: And it's not to be posted on
5	social media.
6	THE COURT: You see, I'm not allowed to
7	have dialogue on that now. Other than signing
8	the order, hearing over. Thank you.
9	(Whereupon the hearing is concluded at 10:00 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	
3	I, JULIE ANDOLPHO, do hereby certify that
4	the foregoing transcript of the proceedings,
5	consisting of pages numbered 1 through 54,
6	inclusive, is a true and correct transcript of the
7	proceedings taken by me before the Honorable MARTIN
8	COLIN, on May 23, 2014.
9	I further certify that I am not a relative
10	or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the
11	parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney
12	or counsel, or financially interested, directly or
13	indirectly, in this action.
14	The certification does not apply to any
15	reproduction of the same by any means unless under
16	direct control and/or direction or the reporter.
17	Dated this 27th day of May, 2014.
18	<del></del>
19	Julie Andolpho
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 1 of 11
	<b>54</b> 54:5	21:17 27:20	33:14 47:18
\$1,000-an-hour-		addition 25:8	am 38:15 54:9
guy 43:6	6	address 15:3 23:9	amended 17:17
<b>\$1.7</b> 6:9 14:2	<b>6</b> 33:6	46:19 51:9	among 13:4
<b>\$12,000</b> 43:8	<b>625</b> 2:18	addressed 5:3	amount 13:21
<b>\$700,000</b> 33:6		47:2 48:10 49:9	analysis 16:10,11
	9 9 2:5	addressing 11:24	47:12
1 1 37:20 54:5		administrator 5:23 6:3 7:3	analyst 45:5
1.285 4:11,21	9:00 1:13	13:24 15:24	and/or 10:19
48:24 49:13		22:7,16 27:10	21:19 54:16
51:12	a.m 1:13 53:9	28:13 44:17	Andolpho 54:3,19
10 49:23	ability 43:18	admitted 34:13	answer 28:20
10:00 1:13 53:9	able 22:9 24:14	adult 2:12 3:18 9:9,11,18,22	44:8,23
<b>10:12</b> 47:5 50:24	29:4 34:15	17:18,19	anticipate 16:14
<b>11:07</b> 50:21	40:20 41:2 43:18	adults 9:18	anybody 51:23
13 28:25	above-styled 3:3	advance 22:21	<b>anyone</b> 31:17,18 36:21 38:24
	absolutely 26:1	51:5	52:4
2	42:11	adverse 14:9	anything 12:13
<b>2</b> 37:21	acceptable 26:7	22:25	48:18
2,000 4:16 47:17	accident 47:7,9	advised 4:15,23,24	anytime 40:21
200 3:5	accidental 51:4	47:17	apparently 14:2
2012 46:9	accidentally 4:22	advocate 39:17	27:20
2014 1:12 3:7	50:23	46:14	appearance 6:5
50:21 54:8,17	accidently 4:6	advocating 18:10	Appearances 2:1 3:12
213 2:14	according 6:16	33:2 39:21,22 40:4,15	appearing
<b>22</b> 50:21	7:17 17:6	affidavit	2:3,8,12,16,20
<b>23</b> 1:12 54:8	account 45:6	11:17,23 21:6	27:11
23rd 3:7	accountant 45:5	against 17:25	application 14:1
<b>27th</b> 54:17	accounting 44:23	29:23 44:4	<b>applies</b> 50:12,15
	45:16,19,25	ago 20:21	apply 26:24 54:14
3 3 37:21	accused 27:17,18	ahead 4:3 5:18	<b>appoint</b> 6:2 7:3
30 4:4	acquire 45:6	6:23 22:13 33:20	22:15,17 29:13 39:20
330 2:14	act 15:5	airplane 47:6	appointed 15:24
33401 2:10,14,18	action 7:5 8:13 9:22 14:9 15:12	Alan 2:9 3:19	27:10 28:1,13
33436 2:6	17:22 35:25	47:13 48:13,15	33:20 39:16
	36:8 51:7 54:13	allegation 30:7	appointment 5:23
350 41:25	actions 15:19,20	allege 20:11	approach 46:23
<b>3695</b> 2:5	active 22:4 23:1	<b>alleged</b> 7:10,22	50:18
4	29:20	allow 23:15 24:23	appropriate 39:6
401 2:18	actively 13:18	34:10	<pre>appropriately 23:16</pre>
<b>44</b> 45:3	actually 6:17 26:21 48:4	allowed 15:4,11	approve 20:14
	49:23	20:4 26:14 31:14 38:23	41:22,24
5	<b>ad</b> 5:23 6:3 7:3	41:10 53:6	arguably 6:18
502012CP004391XXX	13:24 22:7,16	allowing 24:20	argue 32:24
XSB 1:2	27:10 28:14 44:17	already 13:16	argues 34:8
505 2:10	Adam 19:11,18	15:20 27:19	argument 36:12
Į.		Į.	

arrangement 14:19 assert 13:8 16:24 49:22 asserting 13:6,8 18:8 20:2 3:4,6 18:8 20:2 52:10 assertind 99:25 52:10 asset 6:19,24 8:1 2:5,6,10,14,18 3:4,6 10:12,18,21 beache 27:18 became 7:25 52:10 beache 27:18 became 7:25 52:10 asset 6:19,24 8:1 12:16 27:12 33:7 45:14 31:4,17,19 5:20 33:7 45:14 31:1,21,22 33:7 45:14 31:1,21,22 33:1 34:8,21 33:1,34:8,21 33:1,34:8,21 33:1,34:8,21 33:1,34:8,21 33:1,34:8,21 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 beneficial 31:5 b				
assert 13:19 assert 13:19 assert 13:19 assert 13:19 battle 19:20 20:1 battle 10:1 battle 19:20 20:1 battle 19:20 20:1 battle 19:20 20:1 ba	40:11 50:11	44:16	25:2 29:23	
### assert 13:8 16:24	arrangement 14:19	<b>basics</b> 35:23		
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	assert 13:8 16:24	<b>battle</b> 19:20 20:1		<b>care</b> 31:2
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	49:22	Reach 1:1		
assertion 49:25	asserting 13:6,8	-		
assertion 49:25         beaches 27:18         become 7:25         30:21         22:21 25:5         22:				
## Section   Sec	assertion 49:25	beaches 27:18		
asset 6:19,24 8:1 assets 7:1 8:1 assets 7:1 8:1 beginning 26:19 behalf 2:3,8,12 31:15 53:13,34 54:15 better 34:6 betvond 40:22 31:4,7,19 5:20 31:8 33:3 assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 31:8 33:3 assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 attached 11:17 16:34,21:7 44:25 attachments 45:9 beneficial 31:5 beneficial				28:4,15,24
assets 7:1 8:1 9:16 9:16 10:12,18,21 10:12,18,21 12:16 27:12 33:14,17,19 5:20 6:5 10:11 12:14 assignment 26:16 33:18 33:3 assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 assurances 39:9 Atlantic 3:5 attachments 45:9 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beyond 40:22 bit 44:5 bill 43:6 category 27:23 cause 3:3 centerpice 26:20 certainly 15:19	asset 6:19.24 8:1	-	30:21	
9:16 10:12,18,21 12:16 27:12 33:17 45:14 assignment 26:16 31:8 33:3 assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 assurances 39:9 Atlantic 3:5 attached 11:17 16:3,4 21:7 44:25 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:0 54:10,11 beneficiaries attachments 45:9 attachments 45:9 attachments 45:0 54:10,11 beneficiaries attachments 45:0 54:10,11 beneficiary attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorneys 14:11 attorneys 12:20 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6  BENUAMIN 2:17 Bennstein 1:6 2:8,21 banks 36:3 Bennstein 1:6 2:8,21 Bennstein 1:6 2:10,0,11 Bennstein 1:6 2:	-			
10:12,18,21   12:16 27:12   3:14,17,19 5:20   6:5 10:11 12:14   5:10:11 12:14   5:11,21,22   3:14,17,19 5:20   6:5 10:11 12:14   5:11,21,22   3:18 33:3   3:13 34:8,21   36:21 39:8   5:13 33:3   3:13 34:8,21   36:21 39:8   5:14 43:12   5:19 29:1   5:19 29:1   5:19 29:1   3:11 34:8,21   3:12 3:14   3:12 3:14   3:12   5:19   5:19   3:18   3:11 34:8,21   3:11 34:8,21   3:12 3:14   3:12 3:14   3:12   5:19   3:18   3:12 3:14   3:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   3:12 3:14   3:12   3:14   3:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:11   3:15   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:11   3:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   5:12   5:19   3:18   3:18   3:12   3:18   3:18   3:12   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:19   3:18   3:18   3:18   3:19   3:18		beginning 26:19	34:15	-
12:16 27:12 33:7 45:14 assignment 26:16 31:8 33:3 assume s:18 50:7 31:8 33:3 33:13 34:8,21 36:21 39:8 43:11 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind 20:7 behind 20:7 behind 20:5 behind			better 34:6	·
### display of the content of the co	12:16 27:12		beyond 40:22	
assigment 26:16 31:8 33:3 31:8 33:3 assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 assurances 39:9 Atlantic 3:5 behind 20:7 Atlantic 3:5 believe 8:14 11:4 36:2 Ben 3:21 23:14 26:7 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficiaries attacknents 45:9 attacknents 45:9 attackney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11 attorney 34:24 48:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 avard 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6  Benoman 37:15 beneficiaries available 14:1 beneficiaries beneficiary 21:20 24:7,16 22:3 36:4,16,23 36:14,16,23 36:14,10,16 44:12,16 Benoman 37:15 beneficiary beneficiary 27:20 48:13 42:3,5,6,23 44:6 certain 15:9,13,18 certainy 15:19 37:18 certainy 15:19 37:18 certainy 15:9,13,18 certainy 15:19 37:18 certainy 15:19 37:18 certainy 15:9,13,18 certainy 15:9,13,18 certainy 15:19 37:18 certainy 15:9,13,18 certainy 15:19 beneficary bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21	33:7 45:14		<b>bill</b> 43:8 44:6	
assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 assurances 39:9 Atlantic 3:5 attached 11:17 16:3,4 21:7 44:25 attachments 45:9 beneficial 31:5 beneficial		18:11,21,22	<del>-</del>	<b>-</b> -
assume 8:18 50:7 assuming 26:16 behind 20:7 blank 19:7 blank 19:7 bona 39:25 breath 49:12 bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 chance 43:12 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 44:6 assuming 26:16 bixarre 5:5 blanc 29:21 blank 19:7 blank 19:7 blank 19:7 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 charge 32:3 charge 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 44:6 assuming 26:16 blank 19:7 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 44:6 assuming 26:16 blank 19:7 broad 52:21 bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21 charge 32:3 charge 32:3 charge 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 44:6 assuming 26:16 blank 19:7 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 charge 32:3 charge 4:2 charge 32:3 charge 4:2 charge 32:3 charge 4:2 charge 32:3 charge 4:2 charge 32:	31:8 33:3			cause 3:3
assurance 39:9 behind 20:7  Atlantic 3:5 believe 8:14 11:4 36:2 blank 19:7 16:3,4 21:7 16:3,4 21:7 26:7  Attached 11:47 16:3,4 21:7 26:7  Attachments 45:9 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 beneficial 31:5 bring 10:12 14:17 27:15 attempted 16:1 30:20 45:20 bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21 storney 1:17 3:21 10:13, 5, 6 22:19 27:20 48:8, 19 12:1, 24 23:14 25:15 27:2, 6 28:13 23:21 23:14 25:15 27:2, 6 28:13 26:4, 16, 23 36:4,	<b>assume</b> 8:18 50:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		centerpiece 26:20
assurances         39:9         behind         20:7         Blanc         29:21         15:9,13,18           Atlantic         3:5         believe         8:14         11:4         36:2         Blanc         29:21         certainly         15:19,33,18           attached         11:17         16:3,4         21:7         20:0         37:18           attacking         5:5         beneficial         31:5         Boynton         2:5,6         certify         54:14         certify         <	assuming 26:16			certain
Atlantic 3:5  attached 11:17 16:3,4 21:7 44:25 26:7  attachments 45:9  attachments 45:9  attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11  attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2  attorney's 12:20  available 14:1  Avenue 3:5  award 12:20 14:11  aware 7:24 26:23  award 12:20 14:11  aware 7:24 26:23  away 40:6  Ben 3:21 23:14 36:2  Ben 3:21 23:14 Blanc 29:21  blank 19:7  Blod 2:5  bona 39:25  Boynton 2:5,6  breath 49:12  breath 49:12  bring 10:12 14:17  broad 52:21  brother 5:3 19:12  charge 32:3  charge 32:4  charge 32:4  41:1,10,13,10,10,11  32:5,13  33:4,10,13,18,2  41:1,	_	hehind 20:7		15:9,13,18
attached 11:17 16:3,4 21:7 44:25  attachments 45:9 attacking 5:5 attempted 16:1 attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11 attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6  Ben 3:21 23:14 26:7  Ben 3:21 23:14 bona 39:25  Boynton 2:5,6 breath 49:12 bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 brother 5:3 19:12 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 10:13,5,6 22:19 23:14 25:15 24:13,5,6,23 44:3 25:3,6 30:12 29:16 31:6,10 33:4,16,23 33:4,10,13,18,2 31:22 32:21 36:4,16,23 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:7:18 Ben 3:21 23:14 breath 49:12 bring 10:12 14:17 broad 52:21 broad 52:21 brother 5:3 19:12 27:20 48:8,19 Chicago 9:2 10:11 10:3,5,6 22:19 22:10 29:6,7 41:3 22:11 24:7,16 27:2,6 28:13 42:3,5,6,23 42:1,1,1,5,21 41:3 32:5,13 33:14,10,13,18,2 33:14,10,13,18,2 33:14,10,13,18,2 33:14,10,13,18,2 33:14,10,13,18,2 33:14,10,13 Ben backseat 44:17 Ben backseat 44:17 Benstein 1:6 43:1,10 Ben backseat 44:17 Benstein 1:6 43:1,10 City 3:6 Certify 54:1 certify 54:3,9 challenge 34:2 charge 32:3 chicago 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 42:3,5,6,23 42:3,5,6,			-	_
16:3,4 21:7			blank 19:7	
### Addition and the properties of the properties of the properties attacking 5:5 attacking 5:5 beneficiaries 7:15 pring 10:12 14:17 pring 10:12 14:18 pring 10:12 14:19 pring		Ben 3:21 23:14	<b>Blvd</b> 2:5	CERTIFICATE 54:1
attacking 5:5 attempted 16:1 attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11 beneficiary attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6 beneficiary beneficiary attorney 14:21 beneficiary  Beneficiary 7:10,16,19,23 11:11,12,13,16, 13:13 20:14 22:13:6 30:30:24 42:7,16 22:30:314 22:15 31:22 32:21 32:5,13 33:4,10,13,18,2 37:3,8,17 40:10 37:24 38:2,25 40:14,21 41:7,9,12,16,23 33:1,13,20 42:1,10,16 43:1,10 44:12,16 43:1,10 44:12,16 45:3,12 46:4,6,18 15:17 18ernstein 1:6 45:3,12 46:4,6,6,18 15:17 18ernstein 1:6 22:8,21 base 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 30:13 1:10 32:6 30:13 1:10 32:6 30:13 1:10 32:6 30:19 11:20 bux 48:4 claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11 bux 48:4 claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11	44:25	26:7	<b>bona</b> 39:25	<del>-</del>
attempted 16:1 attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11 beneficiary attorneys 14:11 4:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 attorney's 12:20 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1 Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 backseat 44:17 bad 40:1 44:21 banks 36:3 Banner 37:15 base 52:22 based 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 attorney 34:24 16:25 27:15 broad 52:21 brother 5:3 19:12 charge 32:3 chiacage 9:2 10:11 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 10:3,5,6 22:19 23:14 25:15 40:3,1,6,2 23:13 42:17,1,15,21 27:2,6 28:13 42:3,5,6,23 4	attachments 45:9	beneficial 31:5	Boynton 2:5,6	
attempted 16:1 attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11 beneficiary attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 beneficiary 7:10,16,19,23 11:11,12,13,16, 11:11,13,13,16, 11:11,13,13,16, 11:11,13,13,16, 11:11,13,13,16, 11:11,13,13,16, 11:11,13,12,1,1,13,16, 11:11,13,12,1,1,13,16, 11:11,13,12,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	attacking 5:5	beneficiaries	breath 49:12	<del>-</del>
attorney 34:24 42:4,5,6 54:10,11  attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 beneficiary 7:10,16,19,23 11:11,12,13,16, 19 12:1,24 13:13 20:14 25:3,6 30:12 27:2,6 28:13 29:16,23 29:16,7 41:3  available 14:1 25:3,6 30:12 29:16,31 29:16,23  award 12:20 14:11 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 25:3,6 30:12 29:16,31:6,10 32:5,13 33:4,10,13,18,2 37:3,8,17 40:10 37:3,8,17 40:10 37:24 38:2,25 40:14,21 41:7,9,12,16,23 37:7,8,10 42:1,10,16 43:1,10 44:12,16 28:8,21 backseat 44:17 bad 40:1 44:21 banks 36:3 Banner 37:15 backseat 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 based 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 30:13 31:10 32:6 31:1,13;6 17:1,11 19:9,11 buts 33:5 burcher 5:3 19:12 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 10:3,5,6 22:19 23:14 25:15 26:7,11,15,21 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 42:3,5,6,23 42:3,5,6,23 44:6 children 29:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:11 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 27:20 48:8,19 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 27:20 48:8,19 Brown 2:17 3:21 17:21 19:10 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 27:20 48:8,19 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 41:3 41:3 41:3 41:3 41:3 41:3 41:10 41:3 41:10 41:3 41:10 41:3 41:10 41:10 4	attempted 16:1		<b>bring</b> 10:12 14:17	
### ### ##############################	attornev 34:24		broad 52:21	
beneficiary attorney-client 4:8,18 51:2 attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1  Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6  Benus 2:17 3:21 10:3,5,6 22:19 23:14 25:15 26:7,11,15,21 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 32:5,13 36:4,16,23 37:3,8,17 40:10 37:3,8,17 40:10 37:3,8,17 40:10  Benus 2:17 3:21 10:3,5,6 22:19 22:20 29:6,7 41:3 42:3,5,6,23 44:6  children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 17:	<u> </u>		brother 5:3 19:12	
### Attorney-client   4:8,18 51:2	54:10,11	heneficiary		charge 32:3
### ### ##############################	attorney-client			Chicago 9:2 10:11
attorneys 14:11 attorney's 12:20 available 14:1  Avenue 3:5 award 12:20 14:11 aware 7:24 26:23 away 40:6  Benstein 1:6 backseat 44:17 bad 40:1 44:21 banks 36:3 Banner 37:15 base 52:22 based 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  19 12:1,24 13:13 20:14 26:7,11,15,21 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:13 28:4,10,13,18,2 29:16 31:6,10 27:2,6 28:13 27:2,6 28:10 27:2,6 28:10 27:2,6 28:10 27:2,6 28:10 27:2,1,10,10 27:2,6 28:10 27:2,1,10,10 27:2,10,10	4:8,18 51:2			
attorney's 12:20 available 14:1  Avenue 3:5  award 12:20 14:11  aware 7:24 26:23  away 40:6  Benstein 1:6 backseat 44:17 bad 40:1 44:21 banks 36:3  Banner 37:15 base 52:22 based 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  25:3,6 30:12 29:16 31:6,10 32:5,13 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 33:4,10,13,18,2 44:6  children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:19 18:23 17:11 12:25  children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 17:11 12:25  children's 20:25  claim 11:4 12:3,18 14:24 15:17 18:9,11,23 20:11,13 29:24 30:19 38:6 42:10 44:4  claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11  buts 33:5  claimed 16:20	attorneys 14:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
available 14:1  Avenue 3:5  award 12:20 14:11  aware 7:24 26:23  away 40:6  B backseat 44:17  bad 40:1 44:21  banks 36:3  Banner 37:15  base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  available 14:1  25:3,6 30:12  31:22 32:21  36:4,16,23  37:3,8,17 40:10  5 34:14 36:25  44:6  children  9:9,11,18,23  17:19 18:23  17:19 18	attornev's 12:20			
Avenue 3:5  award 12:20 14:11  aware 7:24 26:23  benefit 6:9 10:17 14:23 16:14 17:7 19:13 35:7,8,10  Benstein 1:6 2:8,21 banks 36:3  Banner 37:15  base 52:22 based 8:18 46:16 basically 22:2 30:1 31:10 32:6 31:22 32:21 36:4,16,23 37:3,8,17 40:10 5 34:14 36:25 5 34:14 36:25 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children's 20:25 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children's 20:25 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 17:19 18:23 19:16,21,25 children 9:9,11,18,23 19:16,21,25 children's 20:25 CIRCUIT 1:1 City 3:6 claim 11:4 15:17 18:9,11,23 20:11,13 29:24 30:19 38:6 42:10 44:4 burden 8:19 busy 48:4 busy 48:4 claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11	<del>-</del>	-		
award 12:20 14:11       36:4,16,23       33:4,10,13,18,2       9:9,11,18,23         aware 7:24 26:23       benefit 6:9 10:17       37:24 38:2,25       19:16,21,25         away 40:6       14:23 16:14       41:7,9,12,16,23       19:16,21,25         backseat 44:17       BENJAMIN 2:17       41:17,9,12,16,23       20:25         backseat 44:17       Bernstein 1:6       43:1,10       44:12,16         banks 36:3       3:11,13,20       45:3,12       12:3,18 14:24         banks 36:3       3:11,13,20       45:3,12       15:17         base 52:22       5:2,6,7,9,10,11,1       5,23,25       5:2,6,7,9,10,12         base 52:22       5:2,6,7,9,10,12       5:3,10,19 11:20       5:3,12       20:11,13 29:24         basically 22:2       12:21 13:6       5:3,10,19 11:20       5:3,12       20:11,13 29:24         basically 22:2       12:21 13:6       5:3,10,19 11:20       5:3,12       5:3,12       5:3,12         30:1 31:10 32:6       17:1,11 19:9,11       36:26,19       30:1 33:5       20:11,13 29:24         burden 8:19       5:6,8,12,16       22:6,25 32:11       22:6,25 32:11         bury 48:18       5:20,25       5:2,6,79,910,12       5:2,6,79,910,12       5:2,6,79,910,12       5:2,6,79,910,12       5:2,6,79,910,12 <td< th=""><th><b></b></th><th></th><th></th><th>children</th></td<>	<b></b>			children
aware 7:24 26:23  away 40:6  B backseat 44:17  bad 40:1 44:21  banks 36:3  Banner 37:15  base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2 30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  benefit 6:9 10:17 14:23 16:14 17:7 19:13 35:7,8,10  BENJAMIN 2:17  Benstein 1:6 2:8,21 41:7,9,12,16,23 42:1,10,16 43:1,10 44:12,16 45:3,12 46:4,6,18  Brown's 21:7 34:23  bunch 4:22 21:24 burden 8:19 burden 8:19 busy 48:4  claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11 bury 48:18  claimed 16:20	-		-	
away 40:6       14:23 16:14       40:14,21       children's 20:25         B       35:7,8,10       42:1,10,16       CIRCUIT 1:1         Backseat 44:17       BENJAMIN 2:17       43:1,10       City 3:6         bad 40:1 44:21       2:8,21       45:3,12       12:3,18 14:24         banks 36:3       3:11,13,20       4:5,7,9,10,11,1       15:17         Banner 37:15       5,23,25       Brown's 21:7       18:9,11,23         base 52:22       5:2,6,7,9,10,12       bunch 4:22 21:24       30:19 38:6         based 8:18 46:16       9:3,10,19 11:20       burden 8:19         basically 22:2       12:21 13:6       17:1,11 19:9,11       buts 33:5       Claiment 15:6         35:14 38:19       17:1,11 19:9,11       50:6,19       50:26,25 32:11	award 12:20 14:11	37:3,8,17 40:10		
### 40:6  ### 17:7 19:13 ### 35:7,8,10  ### 17:7 19:13 ### 35:7,8,10  ### 41:7,9,12,16,23 ### 42:1,10,16  ### 43:1,10 ### 43:1,10 ### 44:12,16 ### 43:1,10 ### 44:12,16 ### 43:1,10 ### 43:1,10 ### 44:12,16 ### 43:1,10 ### 4	aware 7:24 26:23	-	-	
B	<b>away</b> 40:6		-	children's 20:25
Benjamin 2:17  backseat 44:17  bad 40:1 44:21  banks 36:3  Banner 37:15  base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2  30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  BENJamin 2:17  Benstein 1:6 42:17  44:12,16 45:3,12 46:4,6,18  Brown's 21:7 34:23  Brown's 21:7 34:23  bunch 4:22 21:24  burden 8:19  busy 48:4  busy 48:4  buts 33:5  city 3:6  claim 11:4 12:3,18 14:24 15:17 18:9,11,23 20:11,13 29:24 30:19 38:6 42:10 44:4  claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11  busy 48:18  claimed 16:20				CIRCUIT 1:1
backseat 44:17       Bernstein 1:6       44:12,16       claim 11:4         bad 40:1 44:21       2:8,21       46:4,6,18       12:3,18 14:24         banks 36:3       3:11,13,20       4:5,7,9,10,11,1       15:17       18:9,11,23         Banner 37:15       5,23,25       5:2,6,7,9,10,12       bunch 4:22 21:24       30:19 38:6         base 52:22       5:2,6,7,9,10,12       burden 8:19       42:10 44:4         basically 22:2       9:3,10,19 11:20       busy 48:4       15:6,8,12,16         30:1 31:10 32:6       17:1,11 19:9,11       buts 33:5       20:aimant         35:14 38:19       20:6,19       buy 48:18       Claimed 16:20	B_		-	<b>City</b> 3:6
bad 40:1 44:21  banks 36:3  Banner 37:15  base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2  30:1 31:10 32:6  35:14 38:19  Bernstein 1:6  2:8,21  46:4,6,18  Brown's 21:7  34:23  bunch 4:22 21:24  bunch 4:22 21:24  burden 8:19  busy 48:4  busy 48:4  buts 33:5  busy 48:18  Claimant  15:6,8,12,16  22:6,25 32:11  busy 48:18	backseat 44:17		-	_
banks 36:3     3:11,13,20       Banner 37:15     4:5,7,9,10,11,1       5,23,25     5:2,6,7,9,10,12       base 52:22     5:2,6,7,9,10,12       6:18 7:19,21     9:3,10,19 11:20       basically 22:2     12:21 13:6       30:1 31:10 32:6     17:1,11 19:9,11       35:14 38:19    Brown's 21:7  18:9,11,23  20:11,13 29:24  30:19 38:6  42:10 44:4  burden 8:19  busy 48:4  5:6,8,12,16  22:6,25 32:11  buy 48:18  Claimed 16:20  Claimed 16:20	bad 40:1 44:21		-	_
Banner 37:15  base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2  30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  4:5,7,9,10,11,1 5,23,25  bunch 4:22 21:24  burden 8:19  burden 8:19  busy 48:4  busy 48:4  buts 33:5  buts 33:5  claimed 16:20	banks 36:3	-		
base 52:22  based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2  30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  5:2,6,7,9,10,12 6:18 7:19,21 9:3,10,19 11:20 burden 8:19  busy 48:4  busy 48:4  buts 33:5  20:6,19  buy 48:18  claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11  buy 48:18				
based 8:18 46:16  basically 22:2  30:1 31:10 32:6 35:14 38:19  6:18 7:19,21 9:3,10,19 11:20 busy 48:4  busy 48:4  busy 48:4  5:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11  busy 48:18  claimant 15:6,8,12,16 22:6,25 32:11  busy 48:18	-		bunch 4:22 21:24	
basically 22:2   12:21 13:6   22:6,25 32:11   20:6,19   20:6,19   20:4:18				42:10 44:4
30:1 31:10 32:6		9:3,10,19 11:20		
35:14 38:19 20:6,19 buy 48:18 claimed 16:20	=		-	
'   hitz/Q:1Q   claimed 16:20		-		
41.0/0/11.10		21:3,5,11,13	buy 48:18	claimed 16:20

31:7,10 34:1

claims 13:5 30:4 classes 15:11 clear 7:23 31:24	
clear 7:23 31:24	<b>claims</b> 13:5 30:4
Clematis 2:14 client 4:9 11:7     13:23 25:13     49:15 clients 18:14     19:6 35:9 client's 34:23 COLIN 1:9 3:4     54:8 comes 17:7 27:23     33:1 comfortable 32:12     39:5 coming 26:1 35:13 comment 23:8 communication 4:8     51:2 companies 36:3 company 6:17 7:18     8:4,7,23     11:12,14,19,24     17:12 18:1,4,6     20:10,12 company's 21:9 competing 13:5     19:22 21:25     34:7 complain 35:11     43:12 complexed 37:22 comply 4:20 47:21     51:6 computer 3:11     11:22,23 21:9 concern 12:15     13:17 38:18     42:25 concerned 40:25     42:22 conclude 40:2,3 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	classes 15:11
client 4:9 11:7     13:23 25:13     49:15  clients 18:14     19:6 35:9  client's 34:23  COLIN 1:9 3:4     54:8  comes 17:7 27:23     33:1  comfortable 32:12     39:5  coming 26:1 35:13  comment 23:8  communication 4:8     51:2  company 6:17 7:18     8:4,7,23     11:12,14,19,24     17:12 18:1,4,6     20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5     19:22 21:25     34:7  complain 35:11     43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21     51:6  computer 3:11     11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15     13:17 38:18     42:25  concerned 40:25     42:22  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	-
13:23 25:13 49:15  clients 18:14 19:6 35:9  client's 34:23  COLIN 1:9 3:4 54:8  comes 17:7 27:23 33:1  comfortable 32:12 39:5  coming 26:1 35:13  comment 23:8  communication 4:8 51:2  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	Clematis 2:14
19:6 35:9  client's 34:23  COLIN 1:9 3:4 54:8  comes 17:7 27:23 33:1  comfortable 32:12 39:5  coming 26:1 35:13  comment 23:8  communication 4:8 51:2  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	13:23 25:13
COLIN 1:9 3:4 54:8  comes 17:7 27:23 33:1  comfortable 32:12 39:5  coming 26:1 35:13  comment 23:8  communication 4:8 51:2  companies 36:3  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	
54:8  comes 17:7 27:23	<b>client's</b> 34:23
comfortable 32:12 39:5 coming 26:1 35:13 comment 23:8 communication 4:8 51:2 companies 36:3 company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12 company's 21:9 competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7 complain 35:11 43:12 complexed 37:22 complexed 37	
39:5 coming 26:1 35:13 comment 23:8 communication 4:8 51:2 companies 36:3 company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12 company's 21:9 competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7 complain 35:11 43:12 complexed 37:22 comply 4:20 47:21 51:6 computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9 concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25 concerned 40:25 42:22 concerning 6:21 concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	
comment 23:8  communication 4:8 51:2  companies 36:3  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	
communication 4:8 51:2  companies 36:3  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  complexed 37:22  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	coming 26:1 35:13
51:2  companies 36:3  company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	
company 6:17 7:18 8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12 company's 21:9 competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7 complain 35:11 43:12 complexed 37:22 comply 4:20 47:21 51:6 computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9 concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25 concerned 40:25 42:22 concerning 6:21 concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	
8:4,7,23 11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12 company's 21:9 competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7 complain 35:11 43:12 complexed 37:22 comply 4:20 47:21 51:6 computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9 concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25 concerned 40:25 42:22 concerning 6:21 concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	-
11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6 20:10,12  company's 21:9  competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	company 6:17 7:18
competing 13:5 19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	11:12,14,19,24 17:12 18:1,4,6
19:22 21:25 34:7  complain 35:11 43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	company's 21:9
43:12  complexed 37:22  comply 4:20 47:21 51:6  computer 3:11 11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	19:22 21:25
<pre>comply 4:20 47:21    51:6  computer 3:11    11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15    13:17 38:18    42:25  concerned 40:25    42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18    42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential</pre>	<b>-</b>
51:6  computer 3:11     11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15     13:17 38:18     42:25  concerned 40:25     42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18     42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	complexed 37:22
11:22,23 21:9  concern 12:15 13:17 38:18 42:25  concerned 40:25 42:22  concerning 6:21  concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	
13:17 38:18 42:25 concerned 40:25 42:22 concerning 6:21 concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9 conclude 40:2,3 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	
concerning 6:21 concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9 conclude 40:2,3 concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	13:17 38:18
concerns 26:18 42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	
42:15 46:9  conclude 40:2,3  concluded 53:9  conduit 42:9  confidential	concerning 6:21
concluded 53:9 conduit 42:9 confidential	
conduit 42:9	conclude 40:2,3
confidential	concluded 53:9
	conduit 42:9

confidentiality 26:17
confirm 51:1
conflict 27:13,17 29:22 30:2,8
<pre>consider 28:3 40:15</pre>
considered 41:4
considering 25:7
consisting 54:5
contest 46:11
contingent 11:16
continue 40:3
contract 30:19 37:16
control 34:3 38:21 54:16
conversations
38:25
convinced 32:20
copies 40:19
copy 7:13 8:17 9:5 46:25 48:20 50:8,9
correct 17:8 23:18 24:9
47:11
49:14,19,20 54:6
correcting 18:3
corrective 51:7
cost 26:10 29:4 31:4
counsel 5:1 19:15 29:5,8 31:12
33:13 34:17
36:7,8,25 38:2,3,11 40:14
41:3 42:11 43:8
54:10,12
counsel's 29:7
counterclaim 18:2
County 1:1 3:5,6
couple 16:5 33:24 42:15
course 10:18
court 1:1 3:10,23 4:3,20,25
5:8,11,14,18,19
6:2,22,25 7:5 8:3 9:1,3,6
10 1 15 00

10:4,15,22

11:1,18
13:1,2,22
14:6,12,21
15:1,22
16:8,9,13,20 17:2,6,9,14,20,
23
18:6,12,19,22
19:2,8,15,19
20:4,14,17
21:1,13,18 22:4,8,9,13
23:7,13,15,20
24:1,10,13
25:22
26:2,12,14,25 27:5 28:12,21
29:11,15
30:5,15 31:13
32:9,14
33:8,12,16,21
34:15,25 35:4,18,20
36:10,24
38:5,13,16,17,2
3 39:10,16,19
40:8,19
41:6,14,21,24 42:8,12,20
43:1,3,13,24,25
44:7,14
45:2,8,23
46:5,13,21,24 47:8,14,19,22,2
4
48:8,12,15,17,2
1,25
49:3,6,11,21 50:6,12,14,19
51:8,10,15,19,2
1,25 52:9,17,23
53:2,6 54:1
courthouse 3:5
52:24
craft 46:6
crazy 41:15
creditor 10:10
14:15 15:7
16:16
creditors 10:20
creek 27:24
cross 30:4 39:12
cross-defendants
21:25
cross-plaintiff 20:18 21:21
<b>curator</b> 2:16 3:21
10:2,19 27:14.25 29:19

```
37:25
                      39:20,21,23
                      41:18 42:17,18
                      45:15
                    current 10:13
                    currently 6:6
                            D
                    date 1:12 50:19
                    Dated 54:17
                    David 19:12
                      27:7,19
                    day 3:7 54:17
                    days 49:23
                    deal 33:12 34:6
                    dealing 6:24 28:7
                    deals 46:7
                    death 6:9
                    deceased 6:8,18
                    decide 13:3,4
                    decision 30:18
                      36:16
                    declared 22:7
                    deep 49:12
                    default 35:24
                      36:5
                    defendant
                      20:20,23
                    defending 29:23
                    delete 4:12 50:25
                    deletion 51:1
                    Delray 3:6
                    demarcation 39:11
                    denied 13:15
                      15:21,22,25
                      16:2,7 20:13
                      43:24
                    deposited 13:1
                    described 32:6
                    despite 8:11
                    destroy 52:2
                    determine 13:12
                      23:16 29:13
                      34:16 37:20
                    determined 24:8
                    dialogue 53:7
                    different 12:7
27:14,25 29:19
                      21:4 28:5,7,8
```

32:18 33:23	<b>eight</b> 15:11	12:14,16,18,22,	<b>extent</b> 14:16 39:4
45:3	either 14:11	24 13:9,19,20 14:3,8,16,18,23	
direct 54:16	26:25 31:24	15:14 16:23,24	F
direction 29:10	<b>Eliot</b> 2:21 3:13	17:7 18:11 20:3	<b>face</b> 31:24
38:3 54:16	4:7,10,11,14,24	23:1,17,25 24:3	<b>fact</b> 7:15 8:11
directions 28:5	5:6,9 13:6,8	25:6,10,11,20	12:11 15:13
directly 4:9	18:10,12	26:1	16:13 23:10
42:18 54:12	20:2,4,17	27:10,12,14,17	35:23
	21:21,22 22:2 23:21	29:23 31:1 32:23 33:2,6	facts 11:8
disclosed 50:1	25:6,7,8,23	34:9	<b>fail</b> 51:6
52:5,7	30:24 36:14	35:5,7,13,23,24	
disclosure	44:19 45:13	36:8,14,17,18,2	<b>fair</b> 14:18 34:8
49:17,24	46:8	2 39:17,22,25	<b>faith</b> 32:24 40:16
discovering 49:24	47:4,7,8,10,15	40:13 41:20	fashion 9:6
discovery 30:16	51:11	43:11 44:3 45:14,22	father 27:21
discretion 38:4	else 23:15 24:24	·	
	31:17,18,24 38:24 44:11	estate's 7:1	<b>favor</b> 16:15
discuss 39:2,6	51:24 52:4	32:15,17	Feaman 2:4,5 3:14
discussed 4:14	elsewhere 31:9	eventually 30:2	5:19,20 10:9
discussion 30:24	elsewhere 31:9	everybody 24:18	13:22 17:6,17,21
38:10 41:10	email	41:13 53:1	19:18
discussions 11:6	4:5,6,10,11,21	<b>everyone</b> 24:11,15	23:10,14,19,24
dispositive 28:24	5:1,2,3 27:8 41:14 44:24	34:19 49:11	24:9,12
_	47:1,2,13,25	everyone's 34:7	25:13,17,25
<b>dispute</b> 11:11 30:12	48:9,12,20 49:8	everything 5:13	26:6,13
	50:16,22,24,25	7:7 32:20 39:24	28:19,22 29:14 31:17
dissolved 11:15	51:2,4,8,17,18,		38:13,15,18
distinction 15:7	19	evidence 11:8,9 12:3,10,12	39:8,13,18
distribution 6:21	<b>emailed</b> 4:16 50:5	13:12 24:5	40:7,17,25 42:4
District 28:16,18	<b>emails</b> 31:16	30:17 31:25	43:25
docket 28:23 29:1	41:13 44:20	36:21	Feaman's 43:16
	48:14	evidentiary 10:25	<b>federal</b> 7:4 12:6
document 4:16 9:1 12:8	employee 54:10,11	exact 13:6	28:15
documentation 8:8	enforceable 44:2	Exactly 46:13	<b>fee</b> 14:19
	entered 28:23	example 16:16	fees 10:13,16
documents 6:13	entities 21:24	36:1 39:24 41:8	12:19,20 13:23
12:13 31:23 37:1,2 39:1	entitle 16:17	44:5	14:11 15:5 31:4
		exception 27:2,3	34:3,9,11,23 35:16
dollar 35:12	entitled 51:3	52:9	42:17,18
done 48:7 49:12	entity 21:2 37:11 49:23	exist 12:5,11	fence 19:3
Draft 44:9	entry 28:23	14:25 26:18 37:15,17 40:11	<b>fide</b> 39:25
Drive 2:10,18	especially 30:9	exists 37:12	fiduciary 27:19
due 24:17 51:4	31:3		FIFTEENTH 1:1
<b>duties</b> 31:7,10	<b>ESQ</b> 2:4,9,13,17	exotic 37:6	fight 11:10 12:17
<b>duty</b> 10:20 27:19		expand 31:7	_
32:24	establish 8:16	expended 13:20	figured 20:22
	estate 1:6 3:22	expense 13:18	<b>file</b> 46:25
E	5:21 6:4,5,12,19,25	38:9 40:23 41:1	<b>filed</b> 11:17 16:3
easily 32:7	7:7,8,25	expenses 22:19	18:1 21:7 28:25
economic 16:21	8:1,5,11,12,13,	expensive 42:24	filing 6:14
effect 14:5	23 9:12,20 10:1,10,11,12,1	experience 28:17	filter 34:16
effectively 31:11	3,17,18,21 11:5	extensive 16:10	<b>final</b> 14:14
		CACCHOIVE 10:10	

	1		1490 0 01 11
finally 10:14	18:15,21 27:21	hire 22:20 31:14	4:12,24 50:25
financially 54:12	34:21 35:9	32:4 38:1 42:23 43:5 45:5	impleaded 8:9
finding 43:14	granted 18:4	hires 43:7	<pre>impleading 19:19</pre>
Fine 5:17	guy 43:2 guys 41:14	history 4:17	<pre>important 4:1 41:1 45:13</pre>
finger 30:3		hold 14:8 16:8	inadvertent
finger-pointing 31:21	Н	21:1 43:1 45:11	49:17,24 52:11
<b>first</b> 7:2,16	handed 20:21	honestly 19:4	<pre>incentive 9:19</pre>
8:4,20 15:3	handled 42:13	Honor 5:20,24 6:15,22	included 12:22
18:19 26:22 47:13	happen 52:13	7:3,7,24 8:25	includes 33:7
five 17:18	happened 49:19	9:10,12,19 10:2,7 16:6	including 31:17
FL 2:6,10,14,18	happens 37:16	20:7,24 26:22	45:4
Flagler 2:10,18	41:11	28:4 29:2,9,16	inclusive 54:6
Florida 1:1 3:7	hard 30:5	32:5 33:4,18 38:16 41:16	indemnification 12:19
28:17	harmless 14:9	44:12 47:23	indemnify 14:8
forced 51:7	haven't 14:4 19:4,7 20:22	52:20	23:3
foregoing 54:4	34:2 38:10	Honorable 1:9 3:4 54:7	independent 22:20
forensic 45:5	49:1,4 51:14,18	hourly 41:24	indicate 16:6
form 30:12	having 15:16 24:18 25:7	hovering 25:1	<pre>indirectly 54:13</pre>
former 7:6 8:6	33:8,10 34:22	hypothetically	individual
formerly 8:22	heading 30:10	32:18	21:13,16
forms 11:25	hear 10:4 14:21		individually 16:2 21:19,22 24:2
37:3,4	24:24 31:16 38:7	<u>I</u> I'd 4:20 14:21	25:9 30:24
frankly 28:4,9	heard 14:4 24:18	38:7	information 4:19
fraudulent 20:11	50:11	<b>ID</b> 12:5,6	15:9,14,18 23:11 34:17
free 30:7	hearing 10:25	I'll 23:15 31:6	41:4
Friday 3:7	24:6 29:17,18 30:14 36:13	42:10 46:6	inherit 9:23
friends 48:2	41:8 53:8,9	52:8,15,25 Illinois 6:7	inherited 9:20
front 29:21 31:4 39:12	hears 36:15	7:5,22 8:3 9:22	initially 26:10
fund 22:18	He'd 14:7	12:10,17,25	input 26:11,14
25:18,19 26:10	he'll 13:25	13:3 15:12 19:14,15 22:1	38:22
37:15	34:8,15	24:4 27:11	instance 44:23
funded 25:13	<b>help</b> 29:7 41:5	28:18 30:11 34:13,24 35:25	instances 29:25 49:8
funding 23:2 38:19	hereby 54:3	36:2,5,6 38:1,3	institutions 45:7
funds 8:9	Heritage 7:17	42:11,19	instruct 4:20
13:19,21 14:1	he's 4:17 15:6,10,11,20	I'm 10:6 14:12 18:25 20:23,24	instructions 21:8
18:5 19:20 20:2 37:19 40:13	21:16	21:1,18 24:5,13	44:13 45:1,10
43:19	25:8,9,18,22 26:16 30:24	25:6 26:4,23,25 27:24 28:13,14	instrument 8:16
	32:9,11,16	30:9,22	insurance
G	34:9,10,12	32:12,25 33:8	6:7,15,17,19
gets 50:14	38:8,23 39:22 42:22 43:2,3,5	35:8 39:10,13 40:25 41:6	7:9,11,12,13,16 ,17,21,24
given 27:7 34:7	47:21 51:16	42:22 43:13,14	8:4,7,20,23,24
gone 13:14 20:7	hey 41:3	44:20 47:19 48:22 49:14	9:5,8,21,24 11:5,10,12,18,2
grandchildren 2:12 3:18	<b>high</b> 8:14,19	50:9,10 53:6	1,24 12:2,4
9:13,17,24 17:5	higher 43:5	immediately	13:10 16:25
	-		

17:11,12,25	irrevocable 7:21	jurisdiction 9:25
18:1,4,6	11:20 12:1 13:9	justify 8:8
20:9,11,12	17:11 19:9	<b>3 -</b> - <b>3</b>
21:9,11 30:13 32:22 36:3	21:5,11,20,23	
32:22 36:3	22:1 25:2 30:21 32:22 37:10	<u>L</u>
37:4,14,19 45:10	32:22 37:10 40:9	LaSalle 7:18
		<b>last</b> 4:2 15:3
integrity 22:23	isn't 31:21 32:1	20:21 36:9
intended 48:10	<b>issue</b> 4:1,14	37:22 47:5
50:22	12:9,17 13:2,10	50:4,5 51:17
interest 7:1	23:18 24:10	<b>latest</b> 30:10
16:21 18:15	26:23 27:6,22	latter 23:8
23:17 24:3	29:19 31:21	
25:11,24	34:7,18 37:7,12	law 36:11 37:16
27:13,18 30:2,8	38:1 44:14	45:24 52:16
32:16,17 34:7	46:20,21	<b>lawsuit</b> 9:7 14:17
39:4,25	<b>issues</b> 22:22	16:16,18,23
interested 5:21	26:17	17:24
45:21 46:15	it's 4:18,19 7:20	<b>lawyer</b> 5:11 19:17
54:12	8:17 9:9,12	22:20 25:20
interestina (+13	11:1 12:22,23	31:14,15
interesting 6:13	14:18 21:6	32:3,21 33:16
Interestingly	23:22 27:22	34:10,12 38:25
8:19 9:10	30:5,7 31:9,24	40:22 42:23,24
interests 20:25	34:18 35:21	43:4,7 44:6
25:23,25	36:1,3 37:5,6,9	lawyered 25:3
interim 27:25	39:5,14 40:4,15	<del>-</del>
	42:13 43:17	lawyers 12:7 34:4
internal 6:16	44:21 45:12 47:6 48:24 53:4	40:4 48:3
internet 4:18	1've 6:14 16:3	lawyer's 41:21
interpleaded 18:2	20:19	<b>lead</b> 45:16
interpleader 18:5	27:7,17,18 28:2	<b>least</b> 7:4 43:23
interrupt 10:8	44:25	<b>leave</b> 52:23
intervene 7:4	<del></del>	leaves 9:15
10:11 13:14,16	J Jeff 3:15	<b>legal</b> 16:10 32:2
15:12 16:1,18 20:5 22:9 23:16	JEFFREY 2:4	<b>less</b> 40:25
24:20 33:15	jewelry 33:7	let's 22:19 26:25
36:25		36:12
intervening 14:10	<b>job</b> 34:5	letter 48:1
15:19 31:12	John 2:13 3:17	letters 49:9
intervention 15:20 16:12,13	joined 17:18,19	<b>level</b> 37:18
25:19	judge 13:3,15	levels 22:25
interventions	24:5 28:23	life 6:7,8
1nterventions 24:23	29:21 30:17	7:9,10,12,13,15
	31:23 36:15 37:13	7:9,10,12,13,15 ,24 9:21,24
investigate 46:14		11:20 12:2
investigating	judgment 13:11	13:10 16:25
45:18	15:10 16:15	17:12 21:11
	44:3	30:13
investigation 11:7	JUDICIAL 1:1	37:4,13,18
invoices 44:5	<b>Julie</b> 54:3,19	45:10
	July 28:25	Likewise 36:7
involved 6:12	<u>-</u>	limited 13:21
28:9	jumping 6:23	27:25 45:14
involving 6:7	34:19	

lines 44:9 liquid 8:1 9:16 listed 12:1 litem 5:23 6:3 7:4 13:24 22:7,16 27:10 28:14 44:18 litigated 17:10 litigation 6:6,10,21 8:10 23:2 24:4,17 29:20 31:20 32:1,19 37:6 52:13 little 43:5 48:5 **LLP** 2:17 logical 30:9 long 28:15 lose 24:25 losing 14:11 lost 8:16 20:16 lot 23:5 28:5,6 33:5 34:1 44:19,20 45:19 52:14,21 М main 10:10 13:17 42:25 manner 32:18 married 19:12 marshal 10:20 marshaled 7:2 marshaling 10:17 **MARTIN** 1:9 3:4 54:7 mashugana 20:15 materials 4:13 49:17 50:1 52:7 matter 36:11 42:19 52:12 **matters** 26:13 MATWICZYK 2:17 may 1:12 3:7 5:19 15:1,5,13,15 17:7 18:15 22:9,10 24:2 25:5 26:3 30:25 36:24 37:9,12 39:25 40:11 41:4 46:15,19,23

50:18,21 54:8,17	29:25	29:15 32:13 33:11 34:18	41:19 42:17 43:10 44:3,5
_		35:11 34:18	_
maybe 16:16	<u>N</u>	43:13	payable 41:20
mean 12:23 30:13 33:24 34:1	N.A 21:4	46:4,21,22	paying 44:6
36:11,21 37:1	narrow 24:10	48:17,23,25 49:3,6	payment 10:13
39:3 43:15	30:14 34:18 37:7	51:10,15,20,21,	<b>pays</b> 33:17
meaning 14:2	National 7:18	25 52:25	pending 6:6,10
means 12:22 54:15	necessarily 38:11	<b>open</b> 51:19	8:10
media 53:5	44:22 45:12	opening 11:1	pension 11:14
mention 44:10	negotiate 15:16	22:12	<b>people</b> 4:16 8:18
	neutral 23:4	opposition 16:4	11:8 15:11 18:8
merely 16:21	25:14 26:3	oral 8:18	21:24 28:8 29:25 35:10
merit 11:6 12:18 24:25 40:5,6	32:15	order 16:3,4,5,11	47:18 48:4,5,6
_	neutrality 33:1	20:14,22 42:2	49:8,9 52:21
micromanage 41:6	news 40:1	44:9 46:6 49:21	perfect 26:19
mid-July 28:25	night 4:2 47:5	53:8	performed 35:7
million 6:9 14:2	50:4,5	original 7:12 8:17 18:8	perhaps 24:15
48:14	<b>nobody</b> 7:11,12		50:10
minor 42:15	12:8	others 8:6	permissive 16:12
misrepresents	nor 17:1 54:11	owned 6:17 12:21,23	permitted 22:8
5:12	Northern 28:18	·	person 5:21 22:20
missed 22:12	notes 22:12	owner 8:11	23:1,4,21
mission 27:24	notes 22:12		25:4,19 32:15
<b>mistake</b> 47:5,16	52:17	P.A 2:5,13	34:6 39:15,16 43:10 47:9
50:24	notice 49:25	-	49:18,22
money 7:8 8:2	50:2,7,8,10,14,	p.m 50:21,24	personal 7:6
13:8 14:17 17:7 18:24 19:14,23	15,20	<b>page</b> 2:9 37:5	8:5,22 10:19
23:17 26:1 28:7	notification	<b>pages</b> 37:2 54:5	25:23
52:14	28:22	<b>paid</b> 38:4 41:23	28:1,3,6,11 32:1 33:19
monies 18:16	<b>notify</b> 52:4,25	Palm 1:1	perspective 34:23
morning 3:10 4:15	numerous 12:6	2:10,14,18 3:4,6	
47:17		•	Peter 2:4,5 3:14 5:20
Morrissey 2:13	0	Pam 19:13	<b>petition</b> 5:22,25
3:17 15:2,3 16:1,9	oath 38:8 43:23	<b>parol</b> 11:9 12:12 13:12 31:25	21:8 29:12
17:2,4,8,13	object 46:2		phone 41:2,13
18:17,20,25	objecting 39:13	<b>participate</b> 36:18 47:20	42:6
19:4 22:14	45:17	particularity	phones 31:16
31:18 34:20 35:2,17,19,22	objection 10:2	50:2	phonetic 8:21
36:20	objections 46:1	parties 16:15	11:3
Morrissey's 18:13	obligated 47:21	19:22,25 22:1	<b>pick</b> 29:5 33:16
motion 6:15	obtained 6:14	28:2,8 30:19	41:2
10:5,7 44:18,25	obviously 24:4	46:1,15 54:11	<b>piece</b> 12:2
45:1,8,9 46:7,8	39:3	party 12:20 16:23 30:23 31:11,13	plaintiff 17:10
motions 11:18	office 3:15	32:10 36:6	20:20
28:24 44:12	officer 38:16	49:22 50:1	plaintiffs 9:7
move 26:3 28:15	43:25	passionate 45:21	17:15,19
MRACHEK 2:9	<b>Oh</b> 33:10	<b>past</b> 27:1	<b>plan</b> 11:14
multilevel 40:8	okay 17:23 19:15	pay 8:6 22:18	<b>plans</b> 47:18
multiple 22:25	27:5 28:12,21	32:7 33:9 34:22	

			ruge e er rr
<b>please</b> 5:19 50:25	privileged	42:8 47:1	remaining 12:16
<b>pledge</b> 43:20	4:8,13,19 49:17 52:11	questions 44:22 45:4	remember 5:16 33:8
pockets 34:23	privy		
point 6:23 14:14	15:8,9,13,15,17	quickly 28:16	REMEMBERED 3:2
15:4 18:13 19:7 27:1 28:2 40:23	23:11	<b>quite</b> 19:4 20:22	reminded 22:15
pointing 30:3	pro 2:20 3:13 18:12 23:22		remote 16:22
policy 6:7,16,20		R race 13:5	replied 4:6
7:9 8:12	probability 8:14	rarely 24:19	reply 4:21
11:5,10	probably 18:2 34:12	rate 41:18,22,24	reporter 54:1,16
12:21,25 13:13 20:8 24:7,16	probate 12:23	43:4	represent 14:7
27:16 30:13,20	20:13	rather 22:24 23:4	17:2,4 23:25 25:10 38:14
31:22 37:8,14	problem 7:11	RE 1:6	representation
position	24:20	reading 21:1,18	14:6
10:5,6,22,24 11:2 13:7 14:13	procedure 46:17	47:1 51:1	representative
16:19	50:3	real 38:21 44:8	8:22 10:19
18:14,17,20 25:2 26:4 45:24	proceed 29:9	really 10:8 13:17	28:1,3,6,11 30:22 33:19
49:16	proceedings 1:9 3:3 54:4,7	16:18 28:10	representatives
possess 51:4	1	34:4,5 36:11 37:6,11	7:6 8:5
possible 36:3	proceeds 6:20,22 7:25 9:21,23	reason 15:25	represented
posted 53:4	12:25 13:5 17:1	39:20	8:13,23
posting 4:17	18:9	reasonable 43:4	19:10,16 21:17 35:25
potential 14:15	process 24:17 38:22	52:6	representing
_		reasonableness	17:20 20:24
potentially 35:2	promised 27:7	34:2	21:14 25:10
pour-over 9:14	proposal 25:12	reasons 33:24	38:15
pours 27:14	propose 42:1	receive 9:23	represents 24:1 44:1
PR 29:13,19 31:1,2 33:22	proposing 20:15	received 50:22	reproduction
practical 43:2	protected 25:21 51:3	recent 21:7	54:15
precious 12:16		recently 6:14	request 4:20
_	prove 14:22	recipient 48:11	29:18 43:24
premature 44:8	<pre>provided 14:22 52:11</pre>	recognize 18:13	51:6
prepared 49:1	provision 9:15	record 11:13 19:1	requested 5:25 8:4
present 30:17 36:22	PRs 11:4	records 6:16 7:14	requests 44:20
presently 8:2	publicizing 47:18	recovery 13:25	require 13:11
preserve 26:17	purify 30:5	35:5,14,15	required 23:3
preserving	purported 21:20	references 12:7	residual 9:13
22:22,23	30:8	regard 26:8,23 46:7	resolved 12:9
pretty 10:24	purpose 6:4 30:23	registry 8:3 18:6	resort 36:9
23:22 28:16 42:13 48:9	purposes 16:22	reimbursed 10:16	
prevailing 12:20	22:8	reimbursed 10:16	respect 45:15 respond 34:20
primarily 33:2	pursuant 49:13	38:6	result 9:14 14:10
primarily 33:2 prior 11:4,13	pursue 13:20	related 42:18	<b>result</b> 9:14 14:10 40:12
_		relative 54:9,11	retain 42:3
<pre>privilege 22:22   26:23,24 27:4</pre>	0 	relief 6:1	retained 33:14
46:19,21	question 18:19 19:5,7 22:6	rely 42:11	retaining 43:10
49:22,25	28:20 29:12	TOLY THILL	TOOLIMING TO:IV

retrieve 52:7	seeing 7:1	situation 30:7	39:8,14,21
return 4:12 52:2	seek 13:23	49:12	41:18,23 42:16 43:23 44:4
review 29:6	35:15,17	<b>so-called</b> 8:20 9:8	Stansbury's 5:22
revocable	seem 10:9,16 30:20 42:2	social 53:5	16:20 29:24
27:15,16	seems 25:4 28:14	sole 6:4	31:12 43:8,19
ridiculous 36:17	31:20 48:9	solely 50:23	<b>Star</b> 37:14
Robert 20:10	seen 51:14,18	somebody 11:22	started 17:25
rocket 29:1	send 47:11 51:23	17:21 22:17	starts 48:13
role 13:23 46:11	sending 48:4,20	somehow 20:19	<b>state</b> 3:6 30:15 36:4,6
room 30:1	49:8	someone 18:3	statements 45:6
Rose 2:9 3:19,25 4:4 5:17	sends 44:19	23:15 24:2,20,24	statute 14:22
10:23,24 11:3	sense 23:6 31:19 37:24 38:11	25:1,7,10,13,14	35:7 38:6
13:4 14:4,7,14,24	sensitive 4:19	26:2 37:13 40:9	statutes 46:17
17:24 19:24	sent 4:5,11 27:8	somewhere 37:19	steal 20:8
21:6,15 22:3,11,14	44:24	sort 4:1	<b>step</b> 7:2 37:20,21
31:18 37:23	47:4,9,17,25 48:2,3,9	sought 15:20	<b>steps</b> 52:6
38:7 42:15,22 43:2,7,22 44:2	50:16,23,24	sound 37:21	<b>stick</b> 31:19
46:19,23,25	51:8,11,16	sounded 26:18	stop 10:4 45:23
47:11,16 48:14,24	<b>separate</b> 37:12,25 42:20	<b>sounds</b> 28:25 30:9 31:5	48:21
49:13,20	serve 49:24	Southern 28:16	stopped 48:7
50:4,9,13,17,21	several 49:7,9	Spallina 8:21	stranger 24:21,22
52:18 53:4	sheets 42:21	11:3 20:10	strategies 32:2
Royer 2:4 3:16 rule 4:10,21	shorthand	44:24 45:17	strategize 29:8
16:22 44:7 46:1	11:21,25	Spangled 37:14	Street 2:14
48:22 49:13	shorthanded 11:22	speak 41:2	stuck 44:6
50:12,15 51:10,11,21	21:9	<b>speaking</b> 16:11 48:21	stuff 40:16 48:2
52:12 53:2,3	showed 20:20	specify 50:2	<b>style</b> 17:14 18:8 21:2
rules 46:17 47:22	<b>shy</b> 40:6	spend 12:15 28:6	subject 12:18
49:5	sign 37:4	52:13	18:3 33:19 38:5
ruling 46:10	Signed 51:8	<b>spends</b> 37:25	submitted 5:24
run 28:15 34:9,10	signing 53:7	<b>spent</b> 13:18	20:10
running 29:4	<b>Simon</b> 1:6 6:8,18 7:19,20 9:9,18	squarely 27:3	subparts 45:4
	11:20 12:21	<b>stage</b> 33:22	<b>Subsection</b> 49:15 51:12
satisfy 15:17	17:11 19:9,11,12,13,1	stand 19:2	subsequently
scared 48:5	8 21:3,5,11,17	standard 16:10	17:17
scheduled 5:15	25:2 27:7,19 30:21,22 32:22	standing 15:23	succeed 9:21
scheme 20:15	Simon's 27:20	stands 19:13	succeeds 10:14
<b>school</b> 38:10	simple 10:24	Stansbury 2:3	success 8:14
se 2:20 3:13	simplest 30:11	3:15 5:21 6:3 13:7,14 14:15	successor 9:4
18:12 23:22	sincerity 43:16	15:4 16:19,24	<b>sued</b> 20:6
second 7:18 27:6 48:22	single 37:7	22:17,18,24 23:5,10 25:17	suggest 25:4
seconds 4:4	sir 42:14 49:20	26:8 27:9	<b>suit</b> 21:14
sections 16:5	50:18	29:3,20 31:3 32:7 33:9,11	<b>Suite</b> 2:5,14,18
Sections 1010	sister 19:13	35:6 38:4,8,19	summary 13:11
L			

supplement 5:25 38:5 40:1,2 trust 41:16 42:20,24 7:11,12,14,16,1 W support 11:9 43:4 8,19,21 8:21,25 waive 18:22,23,25 supporting 12:3 45:10,19,24 9:5,6,8,16,17 19:5 46:18 52:3 11:21 supposed 27:24,25 War 27:23 12:2,4,8,11 41:19 47:14 therefore 6:25 13:10 wasn't 36:6 51:13 52:1,2,3 therefrom 6:20 17:1,11,25 wasting 27:12 **sure** 13:19 14:12 19:9,21 20:16 there's 5:15 7:23 22:13 24:14 21:3,5,10,12,20 week 20:21 11:11,16,21 25:20 26:12 ,23 22:1 25:3 12:6 14:9 15:7 weeks 20:21 35:20 39:15 27:15,16 22:11 24:25 40:17,18 42:21 30:21,23 32:23 we'll 5:14,16 9:1 27:25 28:19,22 47:19 52:19 36:13 37:10 51:6 31:1,2 32:11,12 40:10 **system** 11:22 33:5,22 we're 3:11 6:2,24 22:23 35:12,13 23:13 29:1 trustee 8:24 9:4 36:4,12 31:25 34:21 21:20,23 38:19,20 T try 8:15 10:10,20 West 2:10,14,18 39:11,22 40:8 taker 35:24 20:8 46:6 3:5 42:3,4,8 43:14 36:5,9,10 45:25 46:1 trying 27:9 46:11 **whatever** 5:6 7:20 32:23 33:19 taking 10:6 49:16 50:3 47:11 50:9,10 34:16 52:15,16 33:20,22 52:17 twofold 13:17 they'll 37:10 Whereupon 53:9 talk 36:1 38:9 type 24:4 32:1 39:6 whether 23:16 talking 34:22 38:20 they're 8:15 9:2 37:11 37:1 types 30:15 12:15 20:1 Whoever 28:5 tax 12:5,6 21:19 24:21 whoever's 48:16 taxable 12:22 25:3 36:9,10 39:5 43:20 52:5 ultimately 24:15 **whole** 50:11 technically 17:24 33:21 19:25 23:21 Thev've 12:25 whom 9:25 50:1 43:19 underpinnings **Ted** 2:8 3:19 whomever 29:5 45:18 4:5,9 5:6,9 9:3 third 23:4 27:22 who's 31:11 21:13,22 29:22 understand 32:5 third-party 45:7 47:4 50:22 33:4 37:23 wife 48:7 thorough 45:13 43:22 52:18 Ted's 51:17,18 William 2:3 3:15 thousands 49:10 understanding 5:20 temporary 27:24 18:3 thread 27:8 willing 25:18 ten 9:12,17,24 26:16 31:3,8 undertake 38:9 threatening 5:5 testamentary 38:8 48:2,6,9 unless 13:24 27:2,3 24:20,25 35:13 win 14:17 24:25 today 3:24 testimony 8:18 29:14,15 41:7 54:15 wit 3:8 14:4 44:11 50:11 **usual** 5:4 work 8:25 9:2 Tetra 11:3 44:24 tonight 50:25 29:5 31:16,17 45:17 46:2,5 top 47:3 Thank 51:5 53:8 validity 46:9 worked 33:25 touched 22:14 that's 5:5 6:23 **versus** 29:19 working 38:1 7:5 11:15,17,25 tough 23:23 12:8,9 14:6 Vietnam 27:23 works 33:25 transcript 54:4,6 17:14 19:18 view 18:14 23:2 worried 43:13 transmission 51:5 21:18,21 27:4 40:24 23:8,18,24 worth 33:6 treating 30:22 45:14 24:7,9,17 wound 35:12 trial 13:12 **voice** 24:16,22 25:6,18 30:25 31:5 26:3,6,9 29:17 tried 33:14 written 49:25 50:7 30:13,14 volunteering 26:9 **tries** 23:22 31:19,21 32:25 wrong 42:3,4 vs 17:11 21:22 33:3 35:11 true 22:5 41:16 49:14 36:17 37:5,19 54:6

	•	
Y		
vot 9.5 14.5 13		
yet 9:5 14:5,13	I	
51:14		
	I	l l
You'll 40:19,20		
_		
i	I	i l
	I	I
	I	
i	I	i l
i	I	i l
	I	
i	I	i l
1	I	1
i	I	i l
i	I	i l
	I	
i	I	į l
	I	
i	I	i l
i	I	i l
	I	I
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	I
	I	I
	I	I
	I	l l
i	I	i l
i	I	i l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
	I	l l
	I	l l
[	I	į l
[	I	i l
	I	1
[	I	i l
[	I	i l
[	I	i l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
[	I	į l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	1
	I	1
	I	1
	I	i l
	I	
	I	l l
	I	
	I	
	I	
	I	
	I	
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	l l
	I	
	i	