IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA PROBATE DIVISION
CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L. BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,

Petitioner,

VS.

TESCHER \& SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L, SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN
(as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COLIN VOLUME I

DATE: FEBRUARY 18, 2014

TIME: 1:32 P.M. - 2:38 P.M.


|  | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | all interested persons and their counsel. | 1 | attorneys for Ted, who is the personal |  |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Good afternoon, Your | 2 | representative of that estate. |  |
| 3 | Honor. On behalf of Defendant Bernstein, the | 3 | If the Judge, Your Honor, let's them out, |  |
| 4 | trustee of the decedent's revocable trust, we | 4 | I would ask that there be provisions in the |  |
| 5 | stipulate that you may accept the resignation | 5 | order such that the Court retains jurisdiction |  |
| 6 | of the two co-personal representatives. | 6 | over them, that they don't walk away |  |
| 7 | In their prayer for relief they ask Your | 7 | discharged, that they are still before Your |  |
| 8 | Honor to handle everything else at a later date | 8 | Honor to the extent that if it is determined |  |
| 9 | and we consent to that. Clearly, we can't have | 9 | that there was some sort of problem with the |  |
| 10 | a petition for discharge. We can stipulate -- | 10 | drafting of the will, with the administration |  |
| 11 | or, excuse me, we can agree that you can accept | 11 | of the estate, or any other of these issues |  |
| 12 | their resignations and later appoint another | 12 | that they're still -- |  |
| 13 | personal representative. | 13 | THE COURT: Well, did you see their |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | 14 | wherefore clause? |  |
| 15 | MR. FEAMAN: Peter Feaman, Your Honor, on | 15 | MR. GLASKO: I'm sorry? |  |
| 16 | behalf of Mr. Stansbury, interested person. | 16 | THE COURT: Did you see their wherefore |  |
| 17 | We have no objection to the petition. | 17 | clause? |  |
| 18 | There is a proposed order that was submitted to | 18 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. |  |
| 19 | us this morning. We have only one objection to | 19 | THE COURT: It says they wanted me to |  |
| 20 | the proposed order when Your Honor is ready to | 20 | accept their resignation, revoke their letters, |  |
| 21 | consider that. | 21 | and reserve on all issues relating to |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. Counsel. | 22 | discharge. |  |
| 23 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, William Glasko. There | 23 | MR. GLASKO: Okay. And I don't have an |  |
| 24 | is a few motions set for today. And I just | 24 | objection to them getting out. I haven't seen |  |
| 25 | want to make a comment about the bigger issue, | 25 | the proposed order. |  |
|  | 7 |  |  | 9 |
| 1 | which is that this law firm represented Simon | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. I thought --I heard it |  |
| 2 | Bernstein and prepared his will. My | 2 | was circulated. Maybe you didn't get it to |  |
| 3 | understanding is that there are issues of | 3 | him. Have him take a look at it. |  |
| 4 | forgery. There have been some criminal cases | 4 | MR. TESCHER: He may not have. |  |
| 5 | opened as a result of some forgeries that | 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I haven't seen it either, |  |
| 6 | relate to estate documents. | 6 | Your Honor. |  |
| 7 | My understanding is that in discovery | 7 | THE COURT: Okay, Take a look. This is |  |
| 8 | there were two trust amendments to the mother's | 8 | the time to do it. |  |
| 9 | trust, which there is some question as to | 9 | And Eliot is pro se. Can someone give him |  |
| 10 | whether or not there is a forgery in that. We | 10 | a copy, as well? |  |
| 11 | believe that there is an undue influence and/or | 11 | MR. TESCHER: I believe Mr. Bernstein did |  |
| 12 | tortious inference case. | 12 | get one. |  |
| 13 | My firm was just retained last night. And | 13 | THE COURT: Did you get a copy, Eliot? |  |
| 14 | I've had a limited ability to review these | 14 | MR, BERNSTEIN: I've got so many things in |  |
| 15 | documents. But based on what l've seen and | 15 | the last few days. |  |
| 16 | what I've heard, we intend to open an action | 16 | THE COURT: Well, okay, but did you get a |  |
| 17 | for undue influence, single tortious | 17 | copy of the proposed order? |  |
| 18 | interference in this case as it relates to the | 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I see it? I don't |  |
| 19 | father's will, which was drafted by Tescher \& | 19 | think so. |  |
| 20 | Spallina. | 20 | THE COURT: If you have one for me that |  |
| 21 | My concern, Judge, is that if you let | 21 | would be helpful. I can look at it at the same |  |
| 22 | these lawyers out as co-personal | 22 | time. |  |
| 23 | representatives. And, again, what l've seen is | 23 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't recall ever seeing |  |
| 24 | a second notice of hearing on mom's estate | 24 | this. |  |
| 25 | where they're asking to withdraw as the | 25 | THE COURT: Say it again. |  |


|  | 10 |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't recall ever seeing | 1 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. |  |
| 2 | this. | 2 | THE COURT: They're not being discharged, |  |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, look at it. | 3 | they're resigning and their letters to revoke. |  |
| 4 | Thank you. | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. |  |
| 5 | MR. GLASKO: I have no objection to that, | 5 | THE COURT: Okay. So why do we need to, |  |
| 6 | Judge. | 6 | in this order, fund what the successor PR or |  |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. So there's no objection | 7 | curator is to perhaps -- |  |
| 8 | from Mr. Glasko for his clients. | 8 | MR. BLOCK: We have no objection to |  |
| 9 | Mr. Feaman, you said there was one area, | 9 | striking that paragraph. |  |
| 10 | you had an objection to the form of the order? | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. I'm striking it. |  |
| 11 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor, if it please | 11 | MR. GLASKO: Striking the entire paragraph |  |
| 12 | the Court. | 12 | six? |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: Where is that? | 13 | THE COURT: Number six out, yeah. |  |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Paragraph six recites, Your | 14 | MR. GLASKO: Thank you, sir. |  |
| 15 | Honor, that the successor personal | 15 | THE COURT: I'm going to add, though, a |  |
| 16 | representative or curator is authorized to pay | 16 | new number six which is that -- and here's the |  |
| 17 | blank dollars retainer. | 17 | language. I'll write it for myself and then |  |
| 18 | I respectfully suggest to the Court that | 18 | I'll tell you what I'm going to do. |  |
| 19 | that should be left up to the discretion of the | 19 | Okay. Number six says, The Court reserves |  |
| 20 | successor personal representative or the | 20 | jurisdiction to enforce this order. |  |
| 21 | curator, as the case may be, to make that | 21 | Okay. l've signed it with the changes. |  |
| 22 | decision. I don't think, at this time, that we | 22 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, there is one |  |
| 23 | need to be in the position to anticipate or go | 23 | blank -- |  |
| 24 | that far. | 24 | THE COURT: Oh, so hold on. Paragraph |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. I understand what | 25 | two, there is a blank there. What is it that |  |
|  | 11 |  |  | 13 |
| 1 | you're saying. | 1 | the moving party is asking that I put in there |  |
| 2 | MR. FEAMAN: Other than that, I have no | 2 | where it says within blank days, business days? |  |
| 3 | objection. | 3 | MR. BLOCK: What do you want, 60 days? |  |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pankauski? | 4 | MR. TESCHER: It's the later of, I think, |  |
| 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No objection, Your Honor. | 5 | the date of the order or when the successor is |  |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. And Eliot Bernstein? | 6 | appointed, if I'm not mistaken. Thirty days to |  |
| 7 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Just the objections I | 7 | 60 days would be fine. |  |
| 8 | raised in the filing on February 14th. | 8 | THE COURT: Okay. Counsel. |  |
| 9 | THE COURT: That's - okay. So I don't | 9 | MR. FEAMAN: That sounds too long to me, |  |
| 10 | know what those are. Right now the only | 10 | Your Honor. |  |
| 11 | question is do you agree with the form of the | 11 | THE COURT: Well, give me the -- |  |
| 12 | order? | 12 | MR. FEAMAN: I would say five business |  |
| 13 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah. | 13 | days he can begin the process of turning |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. | 14 | everything over. |  |
| 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Except the part that he's | 15 | THE COURT: Well, this doesn't say begin |  |
| 16 | saying about the amount. | 16 | the process. This says, shall deliver. That's |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: Number six? | 17 | all the property. That's everything. That |  |
| 18 | MR. BERNSTEIN: And is there bonding or | 18 | would be by next Monday every single thing in |  |
| 19 | surety? | 19 | the estate is to be turned over to a successor, |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Well, there is no bond on a | 20 | who I haven't even named yet. |  |
| 21 | discharge. | 21 | MR. FEAMAN: And assuming that you don't |  |
| 22 | MR. BERNSTEIN: We're not discharging him | 22 | name a successor today, then I would like to |  |
| 23 | yet, are we? | 23 | see that done within 10 business days so we can |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: Yeah, I'm sorry, no bond on a | 24 | move this along, Your Honor. |  |
| 25 | resignation. | 25 | THE COURT: Okay. What do you say, |  |


|  | 14 |  |  | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mr. Pankauski? | 1 | prior lawyers without the specific reservation. |  |
| 2 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I think you need more like | 2 | What I was asking for was a specific |  |
| 3 | a couple weeks, 14, 15. | 3 | reservation just so that it's clear to all |  |
| 4 | MR. GLASKO: No objection to 14. | 4 | parties that these lawyers are still part of |  |
| 5 | MR. BLOCK: We would like 15 days. | 5 | this litigation, in as much as there are live |  |
| 6 | THE COURT: You would like what? | 6 | and actual circumstances being litigated right |  |
| 7 | MR. BLOCK: Fifteen. | 7 | now that relate to their conduct. |  |
| 8 | THE COURT: Fifteen. Okay. Sol have 10, | 8 | So what I don't want is just a clean |  |
| 9 | 14, 15. | 9 | order. |  |
| 10 | Eliot, what do you want? You want | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. So give me the legal |  |
| 11 | tomorrow, right? | 11 | authority for what you're asking. Give me the |  |
| 12 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Yesterday. | 12 | statute or the case that says that what you |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Sure. | 13 | want is appropriate in an order on a motion to |  |
| 14 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. | 14 | withdraw. |  |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay. I don't want you folks | 15 | MR. GLASKO: I just wanted to clarify. I |  |
| 16 | to argue about what a business day is. It's | 16 | don't have a statute or a case. |  |
| 17 | going to be March 4th. It can be sooner. | 17 | THE COURT: Any authoritative basis from |  |
| 18 | All right. I'll get you copies. | 18 | any source for that? |  |
| 19 | Okay. What's next? | 19 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, I think the Court has |  |
| 20 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, there is a | 20 | jurisdiction and discretion to clarify in the |  |
| 21 | companion order, petition and order to withdraw | 21 | order that the attorneys are not being let go. |  |
| 22 | as counsel on the Simon Bernstein estate. | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Any objection? | 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Excuse me, Your Honor. |  |
| 24 | MR. GLASKO: Once again, Judge, I just | 24 | THE COURT: Yes. |  |
| 25 | want to make sure that the reservation of | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The firm of Tescher \& |  |
|  | 15 |  |  | 17 |
| 1 | jurisdiction is in the order with regard to the | 1 | Spallina and Mr. Spallina represent Mr. Tescher |  |
| 2 | attorneys and any part that they may have in | 2 | and Mr. Spallina as co-personal representatives |  |
| 3 | subsequent litigation. | 3 | of the estate. They don't represent Ted |  |
| 4 | THE COURT: I'll take a look at the order. | 4 | Bernstein. |  |
| 5 | Show it to him. | 5 | I think what the order was intended to say |  |
| 6 | So if you wouldn't mind, Mr. Spallina, to | 6 | was that Tescher \& Spallina and Robert Spallina |  |
| 7 | circulate the order to everybody. | 7 | are authorized to withdraw as the attorneys for |  |
| 8 | Well, Mr. Pankauski, you're going to be | 8 | the co-personal representatives. |  |
| 9 | representing Ted; is that correct? | 9 | THE COURT: Or for Ted Bernstein in his |  |
| 10 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor, along | 10 | personal representative capacity? |  |
| 11 | with Mr. Rose. | 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Mr. Bernstein isn't |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, they could | 12 | personal representative of the Simon Bernstein |  |
| 13 | do this by an order or by a stipulation for | 13 | estate. |  |
| 14 | substitution, either one. | 14 | THE COURT: Okay. So what's -- |  |
| 15 | So tell me the legal basis for what you | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Mr. Spallina individually |  |
| 16 | want added to this order. | 16 | and Mr . Tescher individually were the |  |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: Well, Judge, what I want -- | 17 | co-personal representatives. |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: Tell me what the law -- give | 18 | THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry, I'm confused. |  |
| 19 | me the law first, because l'll just allow a | 19 | They say in their motion and order that they |  |
| 20 | stipulation. People are just allowed to always | 20 | represent Ted Bernstein. That's not true in |  |
| 21 | substitute one lawyer for another, | 21 | this case? |  |
| 22 | MR. GLASKO: If there were a stipulation | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's correct, in the |  |
| 23 | of substitution of counsel and the Court | 23 | Estate of Simon Bernstein. |  |
| 24 | entered the order, I think the Court, I think | 24 | THE COURT: Okay. Who do you represent in |  |
| 25 | the Court would have jurisdiction over the |  | the Estate of Simon Bernstein? |  |


|  |  |  |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. TESCHER: We represent ourselves. | 1 | the attorney for Ted Bernstein? |  |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's not what | 2 | MR. TESCHER: Yes, Your Honor. |  |
| 3 | the order says. | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? |  |
| 4 | MR. TESCHER: You are correct, that is... | 4 | MR. GLASKO: Again, Judge, I would ask for |  |
| 5 | THE COURT: So what should the order say? | 5 | a reservation of jurisdiction. |  |
| 6 | MR. TESCHER: Withdrawal of counsel for | 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Do you have |  |
| 7 | personal representatives, Donald R. Tescher and | 7 | authoritative position on that? |  |
| 8 | Robert Spallina. | 8 | MR. GLASKO: I don't. |  |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. So do you have a | 9 | THE COURT: That request is denied, |  |
| 10 | different position now that they've said that? | 10 | because if you want to have a request like |  |
| 11 | MR. GLASKO: No, Judge. I actually | 11 | that, have authority to support it. |  |
| 12 | thought we were all on the order where they | 12 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. |  |
| 13 | were asking to withdraw as attorneys in the | 13 | THE COURT: Just asking without authority |  |
| 14 | Shirley estate. | 14 | won't do it. |  |
| 15 | THE COURT: No. We're still on Simon's | 15 | Okay. Granted. |  |
| 16 | case. | 16 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, that concludes |  |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: So they've asked to be let go | 17 | the three motions that we had filed for hearing |  |
| 18 | as personal representatives and the second | 18 | at 1:30. |  |
| 19 | order is discharged as the attorneys for | 19 | THE COURT: So I know there are more |  |
| 20 | themselves? | 20 | motions. Do you folks want them to stay around |  |
| 21 | THE COURT: Right. | 21 | for the next series of motions? |  |
| 22 | Okay. That's granted. | 22 | MR. BLOCK: No, sir. |  |
| 23 | And, Mr. Spallina, you're the attorney for | 23 | THE COURT: No, I'm asking the other |  |
| 24 | yourself in this case, correct? | 24 | people who are moving parties. |  |
| 25 | MR. SPALLINA: Yes, sir. | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor, they're |  |
|  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 1 | THE COURT: And you're also the attorney | 1 | not required to stay. |  |
| 2 | for the -- and the law firm is attorney for | 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. We'll get you |  |
| 3 | who? For themselves, for itself? | 3 | copies if you wait outside. |  |
| 4 | MR. SPALLINA: For the co-PRs of the | 4 | MR. BLOCK: Thank you. |  |
| 5 | estate. | 5 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. |  |
| 6 | THE COURT: And who is that? | 6 | Did they resign as trustees, too, as well as |  |
| 7 | MR. SPALLINA: Donald Tescher and Robert | 7 | PR? Is that in there? |  |
| 8 | Spallina. | 8 | THE COURT: No, nothing about any trust is |  |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. And you're withdrawing | 9 | before me. |  |
| 10 | as attorneys for yourselves in your capacity as | 10 | (Mr. Block, Mr. Tescher and Mr. Spallina |  |
| 11 | co-PR? | 11 | left the courtroom.) |  |
| 12 | MR. TESCHER: Yes, Your Honor. | 12 | THE COURT: Okay. So now I have a motion |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. | 13 | for appointment of Ted Bernstein as curator, a |  |
| 14 | MR. TESCHER: Your Honor, the third motion | 14 | motion for appointment of Eliot Bernstein as |  |
| 15 | that we set for today was on the Shirley | 15 | curator or successor PR, or, in the |  |
| 16 | Bernstein estate for an order on a motion to | 16 | alternative, some other motions. And I know |  |
| 17 | withdraw as counsel. | 17 | that because I have the response from |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? | 18 | Mr. Feaman, but I don't have the motion itself. |  |
| 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: I'm sure I don't. \| just | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The motion. |  |
| 20 | need to see the order, Your Honor. | 20 | THE COURT: I need the moving -- you know |  |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Here you say your | 21 | what, I just got some things in the mail. Let |  |
| 22 | attorney for Ted Bernstein here again. | 22 | me see. |  |
| 23 | MR. TESCHER: That is correct in the | 23 | Okay. I have Ted Bernstein's motion for |  |
| 24 | Shirley Bernstein estate, Your Honor. | 24 | appointment of curator or administrator ad |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: So in Shirley's estate you are | 25 | litem. Is that the traveling pleading? |  |

MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. And I have Mr. Feaman's response in opposition.

Okay. Are there any other pleadings that I am to consider?

MR. FEAMAN: No. I have an ore tenus matter that I was apprised of this morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. But no one's telling me that, though.

MR. FEAMAN: It's -
THE COURT: Oh, it's your ore tenus
motion?
MR. FEAMAN: Yes, mine.
THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
MR. FEAMAN: I'm asking permission to say
it.
THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
MR. FEAMAN: I found out from Eliot
Bernstein this morning that he -- the ore tenus motion is an objection to Mr. Pankauski representing Ted Bernstein in this matter.

The basis of the motion, and I'm prepared to call Mr. Eliot Bernstein to the stand, is that Eliot Bernstein consulted with
everybody is here, why don't I at least have a hearing on or see what's going on with the current motions. I may defer on entering an order until I determine what the merits are of your motion to disqualify.

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Any objection to that procedure?

MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor.
MR. GLASKO: No, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So now on Simon's estate, because I let go the prior PRs, are we now in a situation where there is no PR or curator of Simon's estate; is that true?

MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Everyone agree with that?
MR. FEAMAN: Yes.
THE COURT: So does everyone agree we need
to have someone take their place, that is
Tescher and Spallina?
MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir.
MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir.
MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So let me go around the room.
Mr. Pankauski, what is your request for

Mr. Pankauski to represent him and had a couple of one-hour telephone conversations concerning the representation of Mr. Pankauski, supplied him documents, which were very germane to Mr. Bernstein, Eliot Bernstein's position in this case, which are not aligned with Mr. Ted Bernstein.

And so I'm prepared to go forward and present evidence today.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. But a motion for disqualification of counsel has to be in writing. You may have just learned about it, but that definitely has to be reduced to writing.

MR. FEAMAN: It does, Your Honor. However, I don't want to be in a position to have waived anything by allowing the attorney to go forward and let my silence --

THE COURT: How about this, not knowing, other than what you just told me and not hearing from Mr. Pankauski, --

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: -- I'm going to let you or
whoever thinks it's appropriate file that
motion in writing. But, in the meantime, since
relief in that area?
MR. PANKAUSKI: That you appoint Ted
Bernstein as curator.
THE COURT: And, Mr. Feaman, what's your position there?

MR. FEAMAN: Our position is that we suggest Eliot Bernstein or, in the alternative, an attorney that l've suggested to counsel is Brian O'Connell from the law firm of Casey,
Ciklin -- I guess it's Ciklin, Lubitz now, a Board-certified probate wills and trust attorney for over 20 years to be a curator.

THE COURT: I know Mr. O'Connell.
MR. FEAMAN: Okay. Until we can get this straightened out, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What do you say?
MR. GLASKO: Judge, I would like to see an independent curator appointed. We believe that both Ted and Eliot are going to be fact witnesses with regard to the issues that are going forward in this case.

The attorneys were common to Ted and the decedent Simon with regard to a will that was drafted subsequent to the original will with regard to trust modifications and so forth, so.

|  |  |  |  | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE COURT: So when you say an independent | 1 | who we kind of pick by consensus of the moving |  |
| 2 | curator, you mean someone other than the person | 2 | parties or some other neutral method. |  |
| 3 | suggested by Mr. Feaman? | 3 | Is this a case that -- why shouldn't that |  |
| 4 | MR. GLASKO: Neither Ted nor Eliot, but an | 4 | position be the one that I take on this as |  |
| 5 | independent. These people are fact witnesses | 5 | opposed to having an interested person such as |  |
| 6 | and I believe there is a conflict, particularly | 6 | Ted involved? |  |
| 7 | with Ted. | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, Mr. Bernstein |  |
| 8 | THE COURT: All right. So how do -- I | 8 | is uniquely qualified to serve and he's willing |  |
| 9 | mean, this is a pick a name out of the hat type | 9 | to serve without compensation. |  |
| 10 | situation? | 10 | He also has personal knowledge about |  |
| 11 | MR. GLASKO: I'm sorry? | 11 | litigation which the estate is involved in. He |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: When you say an independent, | 12 | also has personal knowledge about the assets |  |
| 13 | how is that independent person going to be | 13 | and liabilities of the decedent, his father. |  |
| 14 | derived? | 14 | THE COURT: So let me -- did Simon die |  |
| 15 | MR. GLASKO: Well, I would suggest that | 15 | testate or intestate? |  |
| 16 | the Court could appoint somebody. | 16 | MR. PANKAUSKI: He died with a will, |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: No, I don't do that anymore, | 17 | testate. |  |
| 18 | because the last time I did that and that | 18 | THE COURT: And who were the designated |  |
| 19 | curator or PR or trustee actually sought fees, | 19 | PRs? |  |
| 20 | the issue came up, well, I may be more | 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The designated PRs were |  |
| 21 | favorable to that person because I appointed | 21 | Mr. Tescher and Mr. Spallina, who have now |  |
| 22 | them. | 22 | resigned. |  |
| 23 | MR. GLASKO: Yes, sir. | 23 | THE COURT: Okay. And no one else? |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sol don't have any active | 24 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Correct. No successor was |  |
| 25 | involvement in the selection any longer of the | 25 | named in the will. |  |
|  |  |  |  | 29 |
| 1 | person in that position. | 1 | THE COURT: Is there a preference of |  |
| 2 | MR. GLASKO: Then I would ask the Court to | 2 | appointment that someone has at this stage? |  |
| 3 | direct all counsel to agree to somebody. | 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, nobody has a |  |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. So -- | 4 | majority in interest of the rev trust |  |
| 5 | MR. GLASKO: May I make one more point, | 5 | beneficiaries, no. |  |
| 6 | Judge? | 6 | THE COURT: Everyone agree with that? |  |
| 7 | THE COURT: Go ahead. | 7 | MR. FEAMAN: That's correct. |  |
| 8 | MR. GLASKO: I wanted to make sure that | 8 | THE COURT: No preference, okay. |  |
| 9 | the Court understands, I just came into this | 9 | All right. So it's Ted against the world. |  |
| 10 | case, sol know you know more than I do or at | 10 | Okay. So I got it. |  |
| 11 | least I believe you know the case. | 11 | Well, no, you want Eliot or who, Mr. |  |
| 12 | The original estate plan was -- there are | 12 | Feaman? |  |
| 13 | five children, three were going to receive. | 13 | MR. FEAMAN: Eliot or independent. |  |
| 14 | The will -- | 14 | THE COURT: Or independent. Okay. |  |
| 15 | THE COURT: You want to just tell me | 15 | MR. BERNSTEIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. |  |
| 16 | something ahead of what everyone else wants to | 16 | THE COURT: And, Eliot, who do you want? |  |
| 17 | tell me now. You want this be your opening | 17 | MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm happy with Peter's. |  |
| 18 | statement? | 18 | I'm happy with the independent. I'd like to be |  |
| 19 | MR. GLASKO: No, that's fine, Judge. | 19 | a co-personal representative and l'll, you |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Because I'm ready to go into | 20 | know, bow out of any conflict situation that I |  |
| 21 | hearing your positions. No, I'm going to let | 21 | would see and feel obligated to. |  |
| 22 | everyone be heard. | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. All right. |  |
| 23 | Okay. So, Mr. Pankauski, you want Ted. | 23 | So, Mr. Pankauski, you're up on your |  |
| 24 | Mr. Feaman wants an independent, but he says | 24 | motion. |  |
| 25 | Brian O'Connell. Mr. Glasko says independent | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. |  |

Mr. Ted Bernstein, my client, is not a beneficiary under the estate. He also is trustee of his father's revocable trust.

And I'm prepared to call Ted to ask him questions so you have a record.

THE COURT: Okay. Your first witness.
MR. FEAMAN: Your Honor, if it please the
Court, I have prepared an opening statement, if
Your Honor would allow it.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FEAMAN: It's fairly brief.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. FEAMAN: All right. Thank you, Your
Honor.
If I may approach the --
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FEAMAN: I represent Mr. Stansbury.
And I want to set a little predicate as to who Mr. Stansbury is. He's a creditor of the estate, because he's a plaintiff and the estate is one of the defendants.

However, Your Honor, Mr. Stansbury is a lot more than that as it relates to Ted Bernstein. Because before the passing of Simon Bernstein, which brings us here foday,

Your Honor, the amended complaint was attached.
May I approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. And it's marked
as Stansbury's Exhibit B, Your Honor.
I'd like to draw your attention first to
Count IV of the second amended complaint,
because Count IV is a count against Mr. Ted
Bernstein, but it's not against Simon Bernstein.

And there are other claims throughout this complaint which show that while they're co-defendants, as discovery progresses, Your Honor, it can easily come to pass that there could be cross-claims between the co-defendants for indemnification or contribution depending on the degree of negligence that a jury may assign at some point.

So we would ask that because of that, Your Honor, there is clear conflict of interest that would -- that arises because Mr. Ted Bernstein, should he become appointed as the either curator or successor personal representative, as an individual defendant and managing the affairs of the estate, there can easily be

Mr. Stansbury brought suit against Simon Bernstein, but also his son Ted Bernstein, both in their individual capacities and various corporations that they controlled.

During the time that Mr. Stansbury, who is in the courtroom today, did business with the Bernsteins through life insurance companies. Now, the claims in the underlying estate are for breach of fiduciary duty against both father and son, breach of contract, fraud in the inducement.

And while it may seem on the surface that as co-defendants their interests are aligned, it's not, because it's a multi-count complaint, Your Honor. And one of the iterations of the complaint is attached to our submission.

We have a second complaint. The second complaint, Your Honor, which is more relevant. The second amended complaint --

THE COURT: And these are circuit civil actions, correct?

MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. They're in Judge Peter Blanc's division.

And the second amended complaint, which is actually the operative document. I apologize,

|  | 34 |  |  | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | direct the proceeds of the life insurance | 1 | THE COURT: I've got it for opening |  |
| 2 | policy on Simon Bernstein's life directly to | 2 | statement purposes. I understand what you're |  |
| 3 | the kids rather than to the estate. | 3 | saying. I'll let you present some evidence. |  |
| 4 | Right there that sets up a terrible | 4 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 5 | conflict of interest based on his past conduct. | 5 | THE COURT: Do you have an opening, |  |
| 6 | THE COURT: Who is the beneficiary of the | 6 | counsel, that you want to give? |  |
| 7 | life insurance? | 7 | MR. GLASKO: No, sir. |  |
| 8 | MR. FEAMAN: Well, that's what's in | 8 | THE COURT: All right. So let me ask |  |
| 9 | dispute, Your Honor, because they claim that | 9 | this. Mr. Pankauski, Mr. Feaman suggested |  |
| 10 | there is an insurance trust that set up the | 10 | through an oral motion, which I'm not going to |  |
| 11 | children as the beneficiaries. However, the | 11 | act on, that there may be grounds to disqualify |  |
| 12 | insurance trust is lost and nobody can find it. | 12 | you from representing Ted Bernstein and sort of |  |
| 13 | So Heritage said, in the absence of a | 13 | discussed it a little bit in open court. |  |
| 14 | designated beneficiary, as you know, it should | 14 | I've already said and he's required by the |  |
| 15 | go to the estate. | 15 | rule to put it in writing. He suggested that |  |
| 16 | So there is an impleader action going on | 16 | there may have been some prior representation |  |
| 17 | up in the Northern District of Illinois. And | 17 | of Eliot Bernstein. |  |
| 18 | that is in direct response of Ted Bernstein | 18 | I want to do something right now that I |  |
| 19 | trying to direct those -- and, by the way, it's | 19 | think is appropriate. I'm going to recess for |  |
| 20 | not an insubstantial sum, Your Honor, it's | 20 | a few minutes and I want you to talk to Mr. |  |
| 21 | $\$ 1.7$ million. My client's claims against the | 21 | Feaman outside so there is no record of this |  |
| 22 | estate are that and more. | 22 | discussion, because I want, if a motion is |  |
| 23 | So this is very significant that | 23 | filed, I want it to be in writing. |  |
| 24 | Mr. Bernstein would try to redirect that, which | 24 | But I think what I want you to do, |  |
| 25 | certainly he has the -- if he thinks he has the | 25 | Mr. Feaman, is tell him as much as you know |  |
|  | 35 |  |  | 37 |
| 1 | right to do, Your Honor. | 1 | about the situation. And then you, having |  |
| 2 | I don't blame Mr. Bernstein in his | 2 | heard it, let me know whether you still think |  |
| 3 | individual capacity to try to keep money out of | 3 | it's appropriate to go forward with |  |
| 4 | the estate if he's a beneficiary of the estate, | 4 | representing Ted or that there's a bona fide |  |
| 5 | but once he crosses that line as the personal | 5 | reason that under the conflict of interest |  |
| 6 | representative -- | 6 | rules you can't. |  |
| 7 | THE COURT: You're kind of going out of | 7 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Understood. |  |
| 8 | opening statement to closing argument. | 8 | THE COURT: So go ahead. Let's do that |  |
| 9 | MR. FEAMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll | 9 | first and then we'll get back. |  |
| 10 | move on. | 10 | Just let my bailiff know when you are |  |
| 11 | And then further, Your Honor, the law is | 11 | ready. |  |
| 12 | such that Ted Bernstein has made | 12 | (Brief recess taken.) |  |
| 13 | misrepresentations to this Court and others in | 13 | THE COURT: Okay. So were you able to |  |
| 14 | the past in connection with the Shirley | 14 | have that informal conversation? |  |
| 15 | Bernstein estate and in connection with this | 15 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. |  |
| 16 | estate and other governmental authorities' | 16 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, Your Honor. |  |
| 17 | evidence we would put on, which would show that | 17 | THE COURT: So are you still going to go |  |
| 18 | Ted Bernstein is, outside of the conflict of | 18 | forward with that written motion? |  |
| 19 | interest, is not otherwise qualified based on | 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes. |  |
| 20 | his basic character in how he has conducted | 20 | THE COURT: Okay. And is there a bona |  |
| 21 | himself in the past, which, as Your Honor | 21 | fide basis for the filing of the motion? |  |
| 22 | knows, since there is no preference, Your Honor | 22 | MR. PANKAUSKI: No, Your Honor. In fact, |  |
| 23 | is allowed to take in the totality of the | 23 | I'm content to have you decide whether l'm |  |
| 24 | situation as to whether Mr. Bernstein should be | 24 | disqualified right here and right now. |  |
| 25 | appointed as a personal representative. | 25 | THE COURT: Yeah, but I can't do that |  |


|  |  |  |  | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | without it in writing. I just did a little | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. -- |  |
| 2 | research on that, because I think l've had this | 2 | MR. FEAMAN: But I don't know if he's -- |  |
| 3 | issue come up one time before that actually got | 3 | THE COURT: Right, but he wants |  |
| 4 | this far. And I think, one, it has to be in | 4 | Mr. Pankauski for purposes of this motion. |  |
| 5 | writing because there is some verification | 5 | MR. FEAMAN: I'll file that motion |  |
| 6 | requirements. | 6 | tomorrow, Your Honor. I have no interest in |  |
| 7 | MR. FEAMAN: Right. | 7 | trying to delay. |  |
| 8 | THE COURT: So the form of it is | 8 | THE COURT: Sure, I understand that. So |  |
| 9 | important. | 9 | let me just see how -- now we have this new |  |
| 10 | I dealt with this issue in a family law | 10 | calendaring system. Let me see how this thing |  |
| 11 | case, but it was long enough ago that I tried | 11 | works. |  |
| 12 | to pull the order that I wrote, but it's not | 12 | Okay. All right. So, I mean, what l'm |  |
| 13 | imaged, so I can't get it, so I could get a | 13 | going to say now would take everyone to want to |  |
| 14 | better handle on what the standards were, given | 14 | be able to do this. If you can literally get |  |
| 15 | that the representation was that you had | 15 | it done immediately, I have time tomorrow |  |
| 16 | consulted with another party, that is Eliot | 16 | afternoon that opened, if everyone can do it. |  |
| 17 | Bernstein, in some form. | 17 | MR. FEAMAN: I could file it by |  |
| 18 | And that was exactly the issue in this | 18 | 5:00 o'clock tomorrow, Your Honor. I can't get |  |
| 19 | other case that I dealt with. If you want, I | 19 | it done. I've got a hearing on Thursday in |  |
| 20 | can tell you what the other case is in a few | 20 | another court I have to prepare for. I have a |  |
| 21 | moments. | 21 | meeting with a client in Delray later today. |  |
| 22 | The only thing l'm thinking about, though, | 22 | THE COURT: All right. Let me look. |  |
| 23 | is with a motion to disqualify, I'm not certain | 23 | Hold on. This is - I don't have, they |  |
| 24 | now whether it's appropriate to go forward on |  | don't let me have a book anymore. They took it |  |
| 25 | these motions that are left. And I think not, | 25 | away from me. And now they make the computer |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41 |
| 1 | because that's what I also double-checked, |  | calendar so small I can barely read it, so l'm |  |
| 2 | because when a motion to disqualify comes, | 2 | trying. |  |
| 3 | whether of counsel or of a court, if it was a | 3 | So how about the 27th, a week from |  |
| 4 | judge, you have to stop and do that without | 4 | Thursday? I have two hours I can give you. |  |
| 5 | question. It's a little bit less certain on a | 5 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I'm leaving |  |
| 6 | lawyer, but the word is that it's a better | 6 | for an overseas vacation next Tuesday, so I'm |  |
| 7 | practice to dispose of those immediately. | 7 | out till about March 15th. |  |
| 8 | I mean, it has to be writing. I don't | 8 | THE COURT: All right. Then we've got to |  |
| 9 | think they could ever get it written that | 9 | do this before. So let's see. Let me go back |  |
| 10 | quickly that l could get it served on | 10 | to the beginning. |  |
| 11 | everybody, so l'm going to have to hear those | 11 | 1 know it's going to be inconvenient, Mr . |  |
| 12 | first before I can hear the remaining motions. | 12 | Feaman, but it really would be helpful. And I |  |
| 13 | What I will do is, if you tell me, Mr. | 13 | understand it may be an abbreviated way of |  |
| 14 | Feaman, how quickly you can get it, I'll set | 14 | doing it. Maybe your assistant can help you. |  |
| 15 | this right away. I'll set it now. And l'll | 15 | Is there any way you can get it done by the end |  |
| 16 | set the motion to appoint a curator for the | 16 | of the day or first thing in the morning? |  |
| 17 | same time. | 17 | I mean, everyone is here. That way I can |  |
| 18 | We're leaving enough time so we can hear | 18 | do it tomorrow afternoon. |  |
| 19 | the motion to disqualify. And then if it's | 19 | MR. FEAMAN: Okay. |  |
| 20 | granted, it's granted. And then we're not | 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Because, I mean, I just |  |
| 21 | going to probably be able to go forward on | 21 | don't have time and now that I know that. |  |
| 22 | their motion because Ted would be pro se. And | 22 | MR. FEAMAN: We'll try to get it done by |  |
| 23 | there is no competing motions, correct? | 23 | noon? |  |
| 24 | MR. FEAMAN: Ted is also represented by | 24 | THE COURT: Okay. How about that? |  |
| 25 | Mr . Rose here, so he is not without counsel. | 25 | MR. PANKAUSKI: That's fine, Your Honor. |  |


|  |  |  |  | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | What time would you like us here tomorrow? | 1 | THE COURT: Well, I might. And I think |  |
| 2 | THE COURT: Hold on, let me just go back. | 2 | that was brought by Eliot to my attention at |  |
| 3 | All right. Two-thirty tomorrow. And you | 3 | some point before today; isn't that true? |  |
| 4 | have from 2:30 to 5:00 then. I'll expand the | 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. Well, part of it is, |  |
| 5 | time that you had for today. So between the | 5 | but there was an admission to authorities by |  |
| 6 | motion to disqualify and then the evidentiary | 6 | Mr. Tescher of alleged, if you believe anything |  |
| 7 | hearing on the motion to appoint. | 7 | they say anymore, but that came out that there |  |
| 8 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. | 8 | was another document on top of the forged one |  |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. We'll do that all. We | 9 | that also tried to switch beneficiaries. |  |
| 10 | have two-and-a-half hours. Does that give you | 10 | Which, by the way, Your Honor, Ted's |  |
| 11 | enough time? | 11 | centrally involved in picking all these |  |
| 12 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. | 12 | attorneys who are bleeding this -- |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: You think so, everyone? | 13 | THE COURT: Well, that's what tomorrow's |  |
| 14 | MR. FEAMAN: Yes, sir. | 14 | hearing is. Let's not pickpocket yet. |  |
| 15 | MR. GLASKO: You said 1:30, Judge? | 15 | Okay. Well, so, I mean, prior hearings |  |
| 16 | THE COURT: Two-thirty. | 16 | reveal the issue of forgery, I don't know what |  |
| 17 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, may I have permission | 17 | documents, I don't remember them, that existed. |  |
| 18 | to appear by phone at the beginning of the | 18 | It may be more than one. |  |
| 19 | hearing? I'm coming down at 1:00 o'clock. | 19 | MR. PANKAUSKI: But l'm learning from |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sure. Yeah, if you'll just | 20 | Mr. Rose and Mr. Bernstein, Ted, is Your Honor |  |
| 21 | tell counsel, l'll just call you directly from | 21 | dealt with a back-dated notary clause. |  |
| 22 | my office phone until you get here. You don't | 22 | THE COURT: Okay. |  |
| 23 | even have to go on CourtCall. | 23 | MR. PANKAUSKI: There is another instance |  |
| 24 | All right. So, Mr. Feaman, I'm going to | 24 | of a trust amendment, an entire trust |  |
| 25 | give you my fax number. Fax me over a copy of | 25 | amendment, that was evidently fabricated that |  |
|  |  |  |  | 45 |
| 1 | your motion. | 1 | was disclosed to my client back in January. |  |
| 2 | Ready? (561)274-1418. | 2 | THE COURT: All right. |  |
| 3 | And really it's right, because now that | 3 | MR. PANKAUSKI: And so my sense is that's |  |
| 4 | I'm looking, I have another case where there is | 4 | one of the things that this Court wants to know |  |
| 5 | a hearing, an evidentiary hearing set for next | 5 | about. |  |
| 6 | Monday, and there is a motion to disqualify | 6 | THE COURT: Well, okay, I'll hear |  |
| 7 | counsel and I have that set for Thursday. | 7 | anything that you think on the issue of -- I |  |
| 8 | And I remember why I did that, because I | 8 | mean, everyone is in agreement that now that |  |
| 9 | thought the better practice was to have that | 9 | Tescher and Spallina are off, someone has to |  |
| 10 | done before the hearing on which the matter is | 10 | take their place, true? |  |
| 11 | set. | 11 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. |  |
| 12 | So, okay. So file that motion. And then | 12 | THE COURT: I mean, we're not going to |  |
| 13 | I'll do both of them and everything else that | 13 | have a vacuum there. So, I mean, this part |  |
| 14 | we have to do on this tomorrow starting at | 14 | isn't too complicated. The issues are Ted, |  |
| 15 | 2:30. Okay? | 15 | Eliot, or a curator who is not related to those |  |
| 16 | All right. Thanks, everybody. | 16 | two? |  |
| 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Your Honor, I feel it's | 17 | MR. PANKAUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. |  |
| 18 | important that we disclose something to you. | 18 | THE COURT: So we can get that done |  |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. | 19 | tomorrow afternoon. |  |
| 20 | MR. PANKAUSKI: The reason the personal | 20 | Okay. Thanks. See you then. |  |
| 21 | representatives resigned is because, in the | 21 | MR. GLASKO: Judge, -- |  |
| 22 | course of this estate administration, evidently | 22 | THE COURT: Yes. |  |
| 23 | a fabricated document was discovered. A trust | 23 | MR. GLASKO: -- l'm sorry, l'd like to |  |
| 24 | amendment was fabricated. And my sense is Your | 24 | ask. I only have the motions that were heard |  |
| 25 | Honor wants to know about that. | 25 | today. I'd just like to ask counsel -- l'll |  |

```
    give you my card, because l'd like to ask
    counsel to deliver the motions for tomorrow to
    me tonight, if you'd be so kind.
    MR. PANKAUSKI: Well, he's filing,
    Mr. Feaman is filing the motion for tomorrow.
    MR. GLASKO: Whatever is being heard
    tomorrow.
    THE COURT: Yeah, give him an extra copy
    of the motion -- here, take mine.
    MR. PANKAUSKI: I've got one, Judge.
    THE COURT: The motion for appointment you
    can take. I have Mr. Feaman's response. Do
    you have that already?
    MR. GLASKO: I do not.
    THE COURT: They'll give that to you.
    Thank you very much.
    (The hearing was adjourned.)
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```
IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT
    IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
                        PROBATE DIVISION
            CASE NO. 502012CP004391XXXXSB
```

IN RE:

ESTATE OF SIMON L, BERNSTEIN,

Deceased.

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE,
Petitioner,
vs.

TESCHER \& SPALLINA, P.A., (and all parties, associates and of counsel); ROBERT L, SPALLINA (both personally and professionally); DONALD R. TESCHER (both personally and professionally); THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN (as alleged personal representative, trustee, successor trustee) (both personally and professionally); et al.,

Respondents.

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN H. COIIN VOLUME II

-     - 

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2014

TIME: 2:30 P.M. - 5:03 P.M.

