

EXHIBIT B

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEEN JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

IN RE: THE ESTATE OF
SHIRLEY BERNSTEIN,
Deceased

CASE NO. 502011CP000653XXXXSB

HON. JUDGE MARTIN H. COLIN

ELIOT IVAN BERNSTEIN, PRO SE
PETITIONER,

V.

TESCHER & SPALLINA, P.A., (AND ALL PARTNERS,
ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL),
ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PERSONALLY,
ROBERT L. SPALLINA, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY,
DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PERSONALLY,
DONALD R. TESCHER, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY,
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY,
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED
PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE,
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED
TRUSTEE AND SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE PERSONALLY,
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS ALLEGED
TRUSTEE AND SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE,
PROFESSIONALLY
THEODORE STUART BERNSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR
HIS CHILDREN,
LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, INDIVIDUALLY AS A
BENEFICIARY,
LISA SUE FRIEDSTEIN, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER
CHILDREN,
JILL MARLA IANTONI, INDIVIDUALLY AS A
BENEFICIARY,
JILL MARLA IANTONI, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER
CHILDREN,
PAMELA BETH SIMON, INDIVIDUALLY,
PAMELA BETH SIMON, AS TRUSTEE FOR HER
CHILDREN,
MARK MANCERI, ESQ., PERSONALLY,
MARK MANCERI, ESQ., PROFESSIONALLY,
MARK R. MANCERI, P.A. (AND ALL PARTNERS,
ASSOCIATES AND OF COUNSEL)

COPY
SOUTH COUNTY BRANCH OFFICE
ORIGINAL RECEIVED

JAN 02 2014

SHARON R. BOCK
CLERK & COMPTROLLER
PALM BEACH COUNTY

Motions (I) through (V)

Thursday, January 2, 2014 @ 1:32:49 AM

Page 1 of 68

JOSHUA ENNIO ZANDER BERNSTEIN (ELIOT
MINOR CHILD)
JACOB NOAH ARCHIE BERNSTEIN (ELIOT
MINOR CHILD)
DANIEL ELIJSHA ABE OTTOMO BERNSTEIN
(ELIOT MINOR CHILD)
ALEXANDRA BERNSTEIN (TED ADULT
CHILD)
ERIC BERNSTEIN (TED ADULT CHILD)
MICHAEL BERNSTEIN (TED ADULT CHILD)
MATTHEW LOGAN (TED'S SPOUSE ADULT
CHILD)
MOLLY NORAH SIMON (PAMELA ADULT
CHILD)
JULIA IANTONI – JILL MINOR CHILD
MAX FRIEDSTEIN – LISA MINOR CHILD
CARLY FRIEDSTEIN – LISA MINOR CHILD
JOHN AND JANE DOE'S (1-5000)

MOTION TO:

**(I) STRIKE ALL PLEADINGS OF MANCERI AND REMOVE HIM AS
COUNSEL; (II) FOR EMERGENCY INTERIM DISTRIBUTIONS AND
FAMILY ALLOWANCE; (III) FOR FULL ACCOUNTING DUE TO
ALLEGED THEFT OF ASSETS AND FALSIFIED INVENTORIES; (IV) NOT
CONSOLIDATE THE ESTATE CASES OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BUT
POSSIBLY INSTEAD DISQUALIFY YOUR HONOR AS A MATTER OF
LAW DUE TO DIRECT INVOLVEMENT IN FORGED AND
FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZED DOCUMENTS FILED BY OFFICERS OF
THIS COURT AND APPROVED BY YOUR HONOR DIRECTLY; (V) THE
COURT TO SET AN EMERGENCY HEARING ON ITS OWN MOTION DUE
TO PROVEN FRAUD AND FORGERY IN THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY
CAUSED IN PART BY OFFICERS OF THE COURT AND THE DAMAGING
AND DANGEROUS FINANCIAL EFFECT IT IS HAVING ON PETITIONER,
INCLUDING THREE MINOR CHILDREN AND IMMEDIATELY HEAR ALL
PETITIONER'S PRIOR MOTIONS IN THE ORDER THEY WERE FILED**

COMES NOW, Eliot Ivan Bernstein ("Petitioner"), as Beneficiary and Interested Party both for himself personally and for his three minor children who may also be Beneficiaries and Interested Parties of the Estate of Shirley Bernstein ("Shirley") as Guardian

and Trustee, PRO SE¹, and hereby files this his Motion to (I) STRIKE ALL PLEADINGS OF MANCERI AND REMOVE HIM AS COUNSEL; (II) FOR EMERGENCY INTERIM DISTRIBUTIONS AND FAMILY ALLOWANCE; (III) FOR FULL ACCOUNTING DUE TO ALLEGED THEFT OF ASSETS AND FALSIFIED INVENTORIES; (IV) NOT CONSOLIDATE THE ESTATE CASES OF SIMON AND SHIRLEY BUT POSSIBLY INSTEAD DISQUALIFY YOUR HONOR AS A MATTER OF LAW DUE TO DIRECT INVOLVEMENT IN FORGED AND FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZED DOCUMENTS FILED BY OFFICERS OF THIS COURT AND APPROVED BY YOUR HONOR DIRECTLY; (V) THE COURT TO SET AN EMERGENCY HEARING ON ITS OWN MOTION DUE TO PROVEN FRAUD AND FORGERY IN THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY CAUSED IN PART BY OFFICERS OF THE COURT AND THE DAMAGING AND DANGEROUS FINANCIAL EFFECT IT IS HAVING ON PETITIONER, INCLUDING THREE MINOR CHILDREN AND IMMEDIATELY HEAR ALL PETITIONER'S PRIOR MOTIONS IN THE ORDER THEY WERE FILED dated January 02, 2014 and in support thereof states, on information and belief, as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. That first off, Judge Martin Colin may not be able to review these Motions herein, including requests for Emergency Hearings to be scheduled immediately, as possible

¹ Pleadings in this case are being filed by Plaintiff In Propria Persona, wherein pleadings are to be considered without regard to technicalities. Propria, pleadings are not to be held to the same high standards of perfection as practicing lawyers. See Haines v. Kerner 92 Sct 594, also See Power 914 F2d 1459 (11th Cir1990), also See Hulsey v. Ownes 63 F3d 354 (5th Cir 1995). also See In Re: HALL v. BELLMON 935 F.2d 1106 (10th Cir. 1991)." In Puckett v. Cox, it was held that a pro-se pleading requires less stringent reading than one drafted by a lawyer (456 F2d 233 (1972 Sixth Circuit USCA). Justice Black in Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41 at 48 (1957)"The Federal Rules rejects the approach that pleading is a game of skill in which one misstep by counsel may be decisive to the outcome and accept the principle that the purpose of pleading is to facilitate a proper decision on the merits." According to Rule 8(f) FRCP and the State Court rule which holds that all pleadings shall be construed to do substantial justice.