From:	Eliot Ivan Bernstein
To:	"Jean Francis"; Michael Rachel (Mrachel@sa15.org); Michael Rachel @ Florida - State Attorney (15th Judicial Circuit) (mrachel@sa15.state.fl.us); Captain Carol Gregg @ Palm Beach County Sheriff (greggc@pbso.org)
Cc:	Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. (marcrgarber@gmail.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marc.garber@flastergreenberg.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marcrgarber@verizon.net); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA
Bcc:	Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.; Undisclosed List; Pat Handley (svm231@aol.com); Patrick "Pat" Hanley (cpsvm@yahoo.com); ""tourcandy@gmail.com" (tourcandy@gmail.com)"
Subject:	RE: K Moran 13CF010745
Date:	Wednesday, January 8, 2014 5:55:00 PM

Jean, to further my correspondence attached below sent earlier to you in response to your email, I reviewed the Victim Rights page on your website and have a few additional comments to my last email in response to your earlier email.

http://www.sa15.state.fl.us/stateattorney/VictimWitness/indexRights.htm

-

Crime Victims Have the Right to:

"Be treated with dignity and compassion."

I feel that from the start I have been treated unfairly and without compassion or dignity by both you and Mr. Rachel and am unsure how or why to repair your feelings about me being "argumentative" and more.

"Be protected from intimidation and harm." and "Inform the State Attorney's Office and law enforcement of any threats, acts of revenge, harassment or intimidation as a result of your involvement in a case. Interference with a victim/witness by threat or act is a serious crime."

I have reported in past emails and one yesterday that I am being extorted as part of scheme to shut my family down and prevent my ability to further have the crimes prosecuted by those accused in my complaint to PBSO and you appear to want to ignore this information as non-related as your email infers.

Be informed about the availability of funds through Victim Crime Compensation, when applicable.

"I have not been informed of these funds or if they are applicable"

"Notification of scheduling changes and prompt notification of the results of court proceedings."

I was not notified of the scheduling of the first court hearing until days before it was scheduled, giving me no time to prepare or see any information or speak to anyone from your offices prior.

"Submit an oral or written Victim Impact Statement describing how the crime affected you and your family. The assistant state attorney will assist in the preparation of such statement if necessary."

I was never contacted by your offices prior to scheduling the first cancelled hearing regarding how the crimes affected me or my family and no one contacted me to prepare a statement or even talk with me and hear my side of the story until after you had formulated your charges and scheduled a hearing.

"Receive advance notification of the arrest, the release, or modification of the release conditions and proceedings in the prosecution or petition for delinquency of the accused."

I have received none of these things and I was not informed an arrest was made by your office or of her release or any modification of release conditions, etc.

"Be consulted by the assistant state attorney in order to obtain the views of the victim or family about the release of the accused pending a judicial proceeding, plea agreements, participation in pretrial diversion programs, and the sentencing of the accused in those felony and juvenile cases that involved physical or emotional injury or trauma."

Neither I, nor my family, have been consulted of our views about the release of the accused or plea agreements if those were made. I was not notified until after arrest and release and immediately prior to a hearing that I was given no time to react to that she was arrested or released. When I was finally consulted by you I was consistently interrupted and challenged instead of listened to and my calls and pleas for written responses to my inquiries were wholly denied.

As I am not a lawyer or prosecutor I am not certain of all these rights and would like a reply to each of these rights above and why I was or was not afforded them and please have this information forwarded to your and Mr. Rachel's Direct Report / Supervisor / Superior for further review of how this case was handled and how to proceed forward to overcome the problems. I was surprised that prior to formulating charges you never contacted me for any statements or information or to rebut what apparently you learned from others. I am asking again herein that you please release to me all the people you interviewed or deposed or have had any communications with prior regarding these matters, including if you or any one at your office spoke to Judge Martin Colin or Judge David French. Judge Colin is whose court the FORGERY AND FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZED documents were filed in and all these crimes took place upon his Court with his oversight and were committed by Officers of his Court (Spallina, Tescher, Manceri and Theodore) and where Judge Colin may have adverse interests and conflicts that may or may not interfere with his desire to see this case buried and not prosecuted properly to prevent it from becoming public information what really occurred in and upon his court on his watch. I am not accusing Judge Colin at this time but he is one of the parties involved in the crimes and therefore a material and fact witness and whether intentionally or unintentionally he acted is unknown at this time and due to his involvement I presume you may have contacted him and this may have caused problems additionally with your handling of these matters.

Also listed at the site are other victim rights that I feel I have not been afforded at this time, including but not limited to, parts of,

Florida Constitutional Amendment, Article I
Sec. 16. Rights of accused and of victims
(b) Victims of crime or their lawful representatives, including the next of kin of homicide victims, are entitled to the right to be informed, to be present, and to be heard when relevant, at all crucial stages of criminal proceedings, to the extent that these rights do not interfere with the constitutional rights of the accused.

Florida Statutes 960.001: Guidelines for fair treatment of victims and witnesses in the criminal justice and juvenile justice systems.

Thank you,
Eliot

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv]
Sent: Wednesday, January 8, 2014 5:05 PM

To: 'Jean Francis'; Michael Rachel (Mrachel@sa15.org); Michael Rachel @ Florida - State Attorney (15th Judicial Circuit) (mrachel@sa15.state.fl.us); Captain Carol Gregg @ Palm Beach County Sheriff (greggc@pbso.org)
Cc: Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. (marcrgarber@gmail.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marc.garber@flastergreenberg.com); Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C. (marcrgarber@verizon.net); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA
Subject: RE: K Moran 13CF010745

Jean, first I have asked to speak to your direct report and he (Mr. Rachel) refuses to speak with me and I just can't seem to understand why. I have asked both of you to sign a conflict of interest disclosure form before making any decisions or taking further actions so I can be assured you have no conflict with other parties I am pursuing in other cases and from your email below it appears you do not intend to comply with that request? As you know I am currently involved in a series of cases involving PUBLIC OFFICE Corruption and to be sure that none of that stuff gets in the way of my parents estate cases, I have asked for a simple disclosure to any of the parties I am pursuing in other actions and if there is no conflict between you and any of them a simple statement of that fact seems reasonable and necessary before further reviewing these matters or making decisions like those in your attached email.

To answer your letter despite my concerns of conflict at this time, I will proceed with the expectation that you will sign a conflict waiver sent or disqualify yourself and send in your reasons and address any parties you may have had conflict with that preclude your involvement. First, yes, I have had problems with you arising from your refusal to listen to a Victim and continuously purporting your incorrect statements about the factual matters of the case. For example, in your email below you state you cannot prove FORGERY, despite Moran's admission to forging the signatures and you claim there was no intent because once upon a time I had signed a Waiver, not the Waivers in the court but a Waiver and therefore you jump to a conclusion that Moran did not intend to defraud me. In fact, your charge, one count of "False or Fraudulent Acknowledgment of Signature by Notary Public" presumes she acknowledged my signature fraudulently on a document and that is not the case factually, as she acknowledged her signature that she Forged of mine on a document I never saw or was party to. She also FORGED my deceased Father's name and has admitted to that as well and I cannot understand why it would not be two counts, does my father not count, as it is OK to Forge dead peoples signatures. Therefore, those documents that are filed in an official proceeding in court are FORGED and FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZED by Moran and therefore it is not the document I or my Father allegedly signed and as I mentioned in prior communications the document I filed is missing from all records and we will need to check with the Attorneys at Law to inspect the Original Signed Waiver that Detective Miller should have procured to validate the claim that she "traced" over an original but apparently he did not as it appears you and he have just taken her word she copied an original? Again, it just appears we are taking the word of the guilty Moran at face value, which is already proven worthless in her perjured and false official statements to two state agencies and Judge Martin Colin's court.

Moran intended to harm me and my Father and deprive me interests in the estates of my parents and it is clear in the PBSO Report that it aided and abetted the changing of beneficiaries as stated in the Official Report. She has defrauded me and my deceased Father with intent and scienter and further participated in a larger series of frauds once these documents were used to seize illegally Dominion and Control of my parents' estates and further deprive me of my properties through a fraud conspired with others. I have never agreed that the documents she "traced" aka "forged" my signature for, if that is what really happened, were the same document I signed in May 2012. Further, that document as you know was used by Attorneys who knew I could not be waiving my interests in the estate and claim that I had seen those interests when factually they never sent them to me as they promised to do in order to get my Waiver signed truthfully and therefore conned me that it would not be used without my first knowing what I was Waiving. As I mentioned in prior communiqués to your office, my Dad at that time was horribly stressed in May 2012 over abuse from his other children who were trying to force him to make changes to the beneficiaries by not

allowing their children to see him if they did not bend to their ways and it appears those changes that were proposed in May 2013 were never made by my Father while alive and it appears he changed his mind to make any changes when the agreed upon abuse of his children that was to end in May 2012 was not lived up to and in fact intensified until his death.

My Father, who was dead at the time he filed an Alleged Petition to Discharge with the Court also claims while dead, illegally to the Court in a perjured statement in the Petition to Discharge made under Oath allegedly that he had all the Waivers at the time he ALLEGEDLY signed the document on April 09, 2012, which was not deposited in the Court by HIM as Personal Representative/Executor until October 2012 after he was dead. As your letter stated I did not sign any Waiver until May 2012 making his statement at the alleged time of signing perjured, in fact he had none of the Waivers at that time from any parties as Moran claims she did not send them out until May 2012. Further, he never had all the Waivers while alive as my sister Jill Iantoni did not submit a Waiver until after he died in October 2012, so even when months later he supposedly filed the documents personally with Court while dead he still would have perjured himself. I have stated repeatedly that I do not believe that the Waiver we are looking at is the one I signed and sent to Spallina but they should have copies for us to forensically examine and part of the problem is that no verification has been done, as it appears that Moran's claims are what Det Miller and you are basing your charges and prosecution upon, with no verification or fact checking to the detriment of the Victims. If you think I stated the Waiver I signed was the one on file with the court in either of the attempts to posit a Waiver in my name, I will clarify here that I do not believe that either Waiver at the Court is anything but another fraudulent document that was created for me. My wife Candice and I were very clear with Detective Miller on this and why we believe none of the Waivers presented to the Court in my name were the document I signed.

Ms. Moran injured me when she presented a FORGED and FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZED document to the court in my name to close the Estate of my Mother and aid and abet in the illegal changing of the beneficiaries that could not have happened without her crimes enabling such. Therefore, her documents and the crimes then committed by Attorneys at Law Tescher and Spallina in perpetrating a Fraud on the Court by filing these FORGED and FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS and other documents using my Father Post Mortem as Personal Representative/Executor to close my Mother's estate illegally, then allowed them to claim that Simon had closed the Estate of Shirley while alive and then Simon (while still dead) attempted allegedly to change her Beneficiaries of the Estate. The problem is he was deceased at the time he allegedly closed the estate illegally and those documents filed for him while deceased, as if he were alive and the alleged changes are all suspect and these materially affect my interests in the Estates therefore directly injuring and defrauding me with intent. If the changes were not made while Simon was alive and the Estate was closed illegally and Beneficiaries were changed through these Frauds well I would say that she was intentionally and with scienter FORGING and FRAUDULENTLY NOTARIZING DOCUMENTS for me and my deceased Father to both injure and defraud me of my inheritance. It appears without the POST MORTEM help of Moran, Spallina and Tescher's filing FORGED and FRAUDULENT illegal documents no changes were ever made by my Father legally to the estates of either he or my Mother. My Waiver that is not on file with the courts and even those that are not mine on file would not have closed my Mother's estate and would not change the Beneficiaries and was signed as part of a proposed plan to change beneficiaries never happened while my Father was alive because the agreed upon terms were not met by my siblings, especially Theodore and my sister Pamela. In fact, my brother Theodore and Spallina were in my Father's office weeks before he died and my brother is alleged to have been very upset that the changes were not made at that time months after the May 2012 meeting. If my Father never changed his or my Mother's estates legally while alive then my Mother's beneficiaries were never changed legally and therefore I have been injured and defrauded to the tune of alleged millions of dollars by the acts of not only Moran but Spallina and Tescher. The fact that Detective Miller's report states that it changed the beneficiaries POST MORTEM

of my parents affecting personal property distributions should be enough evidence that it defrauded me with intent.

Further, the Attorneys at Law, Tescher and Spallina knew that my Waiver document was secured without my ability to Waive my rights as I was unaware of what rights I was waiving as I had never seen any documents in the estate of my Mother for seventeen months after her death due to their intentional concealmentof these documents owed to me as a beneficiary (in violation of Probate Rules and Statutes) and these were necessary to make the claims that I had seen my interests valid in the Waiver so that I could waive them. This filing therefore of the Waiver by Tescher and Spallina knowing that it was obtained without informed consent should also lead to additional charges against these attorneys for falsely securing a Waiver and then misusing it when they knew it was not legally valid as they were the ones who precluded me from seeing the Waiver information my statements were made on. Again, I signed it without seeing my interests and rights first to save my father from possible heart attack and further hurt being caused by his other children and again I put a disclaimer on the document in my handwriting that I was only signing it for that reason until I saw the documents which would make my claims true, I also sent an email confirming that fact with a Waiver that did not have the handwriting yet on it. As stated in the October 28, 2013 Evidentiary Hearing by Attorney at Law Brandon Pratt, Esq. to Judge Colin,

20 And as far as that's concerned, Eliot's
21 waiver was invalidly executed. The reasons
22 why it was essentially, as shown in the
23 Sustrassen case, there has to be an
24 intentional relinquishment of the right. The
25 evidence that we're going to present is going
1 to show that he signed the waiver, but in
2 conjunction with him signing the waiver he was
3 always under the impression he would still get
4 financial information associated with the
5 estate. They sent him the waiver without any
6 financial information. He sent it back. He
7 sent it along with an e-mail saying, I'm still
8 going to get all this financial information.
9 There's a series of e-mail's spanning
10 throughout the next year, e-mail's and letters
11 from Mr. Bernstein's prior counsel, in which
12 he requested the information. And then as far
13 as Eliot Bernstein's waiver is concerned, this
14 court should not consider that to be valid.
15 Also, many of the waivers have been
16 forged. I think the Court might recall from
17 the last hearing there was a series of waivers
18 that had been forged, that occurred after the
19 prior personal representative had, in fact,
20 died, and so that's another impropriety that
21 we are going to present evidence on.
22 THE COURT: Okay. Then so if I find that
23 Eliot's waiver was invalidated, you want the
24 estate to remain open.
25 MR. PRATT: Exactly.

The Estate remained open and again the issue and importance of Forgery is discussed in the transcript and shows that it materially affects my interests. Moran and Spallina, Tescher, Manceri and my brother all knew that these documents would affect my interests when they conspired together to submit this series of Fraudulent and Forged documents to the court and perpetrate a Fraud on the Court and certain Beneficiaries. Therefore, despite Moran's first claim she did the crime due to Depro Pravera drug use and to save a grieving family from having to do things legally as she first claimed to the Governor's office where she stated the documents were identical other than her stamp in a sworn statement, to her second claim to PBSO that she did not know why she FORGED six names and Fraudulently Notarized six documents other than to save time, which contradicts her claim to the Governor Rick Scott's Notary division that they were identical other than her stamp, to her third claim through her counsel in court that she did it for fear of retribution from her employer, I am sure of one thing, we can no longer trust anything Moran stated as to her motive or intent when committing the crimes. Neither can we trust anything that Spallina, Tescher, Manceri or my brother state any longer as both transcripts from the September 13, 2013 hearing and the October 28, 2013 Evidentiary Hearing are fraught with false statements by them as evidenced in my email from yesterday. The motive is clear to me however, that she defrauded me with intent as part of the larger fraud that took place on the court with her employers Tescher & Spallina P.A,, Donald Tescher and Robert Spallina and that the result was to injure me and others through Fraudulently changing the beneficiaries and depriving me of my rights and properties and allegedly several million dollars.

You will also note in the October 28, 2013 hearing transcript that claims were made that my Mother had no personal property to inventory and now I have filed with the court and PBSO an inventory of over $600,000.00 dollars of insured Jewelry of my Mother's estate that went missing from the estates and was never legally inventoried in her estate on the alleged inventory, which now is being challenged as possibly a fraudulent inventory in the estate. Also missing from her inventory is her personal Bentley paid for in full and there are other assets that may have also been purposely left off. I have filed charges for that as well with PBSO. Moran is the legal assistant/notary public for Tescher & Spallina P.A. and as such she has had a hand in many other documents that are being challenged both civilly and criminally and her participation in all these alleged crimes certainly shows intent to defraud that goes far beyond her false and inconsistent statements to officials investigating her that she acted alone on a one off mistake and if you believe that in the face of the evidence and facts "I have a bridge to sell you."

As for you claim about "ADDITIONAL FACTS" I am submitting pertinent to this case, I must ask again for the third time, additional to what? as I am not exactly sure what is additional and what is not due to vagueness in Detective Miller's PBSO Report of what and whom of the many crimes presented we are dealing with? What crimes have you investigated, only the crimes of Moran or all the crimes that were reported against all the conspirators of these crimes to PBSO and what specifically do you claim those to be? Are you even aware of any other alleged crimes that Moran is allegedly involved in that were reported to PBSO as part of the case, as it all seems to missing from the PBSO report and may be part of the problem in our communication breakdown. Please respond in detail to my two prior correspondences sent yesterday, after FIRST confirming or denying any conflicts with me, where the questions are applicable to your prosecution of these matters, including the specific requests regarding what has been investigated exactly and who was investigated, this way I would know what you consider "additional."

Finally, I would like a formal explanation as to why your direct report Mr. Rachel has not spoken with me yet, as I still sense extreme hostility towards me in the tone of both of your letters and again I remind you I am the victim of the crimes and my deceased Mother and Father are also victims of these crimes. I do not think you're handling of the case so far has been very polite and in fact very argumentative, instead of reviewing with me information to the case and going through it without hostility towards me and for failing to respond

to my requests for information twice. I am formally requesting for several reasons, including that your opinion of me appears to have been tainted somehow and you now appear biased, that you turn these matters over to the superior of both you and Mr. Rachel to have a new Prosecutor assigned or to see if they can resolve our issues, for I feel victimized further when dealing with you and Mr. Rachel at this time. Further, I remain unsure if either of you are conflicted or have had conversations with others that may be influencing your decision you so DESPERATELY want, to NOT PROSECUTE MORAN FOR FORGERY and not investigate the crimes of Attorneys at Law, Spallina, Tescher and Manceri and my brother Theodore and the failure to confirm or deny conflicts on request prior to further action was wholly ignored.

If there is a problem getting a new Prosecutor assigned please inform me why and if you would, please reply with the direct report of Mr. Rachel and yourself, as it seems he does not want to speak with me and straighten out the problems you are having with me and I with you. I appreciate that you did legal research to attempt to exculpate Moran from prosecution of Forgery but am surprised prior to making your claim to me you did not do more legal research into the case to see why she was prosecutable under Forgery and this concerns me that you appear to constantly be looking for ways to not prosecute all of the people involved for all of the crimes alleged. Thank you for cancelling the date of the hearing for the time being and in the interim I would like to resolve all the issues in my letters after speaking to the direct report for you and Mr. Rachel, perhaps after that conversation we can all work together again to get this resolved and protect the Victims of these crimes from further criminal acts and prevent other people from becoming victims of these type of estate frauds.

Thank you,
Eliot

From: Jean Francis [mailto:jfrancis@sa15.org]
Sent: Wednesday, January 8, 2014 11:24 AM
To: Eliot Ivan Bernstein
Cc: Michael Rachel
Subject: re: K Moran 13CF010745

Mr. Bernstein,

Re: Kimberly Moran 13CF010745

As stated to you previously, I am the Prosecutor handling this case. Any issues relating to this case should be addressed to me. I have spoken with you extensively regarding this case. You claims your problem arose with me when I refused to listen to your account of what was wrong with the PBSO report and the charges filed. I listened to everything that you had to say during our phone conversation and you were not happy with my answers. I informed you of the reasons the charges were filed the way they were. That is the charge we can prove. Ms. Moran is charged with one count of False or Fraudulent Acknowledgment of Signature by Notary Public for the document relating to you titled "waiver of accounting and portions of petition for discharge; waiver of service of petition for discharge; and receipt of beneficiary and consent to discharge" that was filed with the clerk's office 11/19/2012.

Since our conversation I have also reviewed relevant statutes and case law relating to the forgery charge that you so desperately want us to charge Ms. Moran with. Under the Forgery statute an element of the charge is to prove that Ms. Moran, at the time she "traced" your signature on the document, intended to injure or defraud some person or firm. Per the reports and your conversation with me, you agreed that you originally signed this same document which is dated 5/15/12 and was filed with the Clerk's office

10/24/2012, therefore there is no evidence to show that she intended to injure or defraud you. Based on the evidence we cannot prove all of the elements of that charge beyond a reasonable doubt. Therefore the charges that have been filed will be the only charges based on all of the information and reports provided to our office. Det. Miller submitted the information to our office and although he indicates what charges he would like filed we decide what actual charges to file or not file. As stated previously, if you have additional facts, you should report them to the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office as they are the investigating agency.

As I stated to you previously, please do not continually send emails relating to other cases or information not related to this specific case. Additionally, the case will be reset from January 10th to a future date. Once I find out the new date I will let you know.

Sincerely,

Jean Francis
Assistant State Attorney
White Collar Crime Unit
Office of The State Attorney, 15th Circuit

From: Eliot Ivan Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv]
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 9:22 AM
To: Captain Carol Gregg @ Palm Beach County Sheriff; Michael Rachel; Michael Rachel; Jean Francis
Cc: Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq.; Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP; Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA; Marc R. Garber Esq.; Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.; Marc R. Garber Esq. @ Flaster Greenberg P.C.
Subject: Eliot Bernstein - Additional Charges I would like to file that may have been overlooked of Extortion and Bank Fraud. SA CASE NO. 2013cf010745 and PBSO CASE NUMBERS - #1 (13097087), #2 (13097087) & #3 (12121312)

Dear Captain Gregg, Michael Rachel and Jean Francis,

In furtherance of our discussion and in relation to the cases of my parents' estates I would like to file new Extortion charges against Robert Spallina, Esq., Donald Tescher, Esq., Mark Manceri, Esq. and Theodore Bernstein and others involved. The heart of the matter is the alleged illegal takeover of a business, Bernstein Family Realty LLC ("BFR") that my children own that pays our family's expenses and bills for many years and was to be funded after my parents' deaths with our inheritances. This company receives all the bills, the bills are in the name of the company, I do not receive them or pay them and this has been ongoing for about 7 years uninterrupted due to the unique situation my family is in with car bombings and all that Public Office Corruption RICO matters explained in my earlier messages to all of you, until several months ago when the company was hijacked by my brother and Spallina in again a massive fraud involving several other alleged criminal acts to accomplish this. It also contains information regarding the fact that BFR's checkbook with my Father as the only signatory was used at the direction of Spallina by others for months after my Father died at Legacy Bank, who when I contacted them to see if my wife could sign checks as Spallina was directing her to, they were stunned that no one had notified them of my Father's death, that his accounts were being used and they froze the account and demanded to talk to Spallina. I have attached herein a link to letters from Tescher, Spallina and my brother Ted, along with my rebuttal @ http://www.iviewit.tv/20131229EIBResponseToTedBernsteinandDonaldTescherReEmergencyDistributions.pdf which has much of the details of the extortion and more and how since arrest of Moran has been made they are trying to extort us by turning on and off utilities and more to force us to either play in their schemes and

drop our pursuit of them criminally and civilly or else face further economic calamities. Of course, I will not participate in their fraudulent activities and so they have begun to play games with my family's company and cause immediate harm upon us. I will send over the original email after this correspondence but it may get stuck in your junk box due to its length and number of attachments, please let me know if you receive that or if the link will suffice. As I am a public figure both for my world changing inventions and my efforts to combat Public Office Corruption I believe it is important in these matters to have all investigators and reviewers now screened for potential conflicts with me prior to involvement at any level and having everyone sign the Conflict of Interest Disclosure form I sent last night in my email to all of you would insure the matters are handled free of conflicts and adverse interests with me, which will further insure protection of my family who again is bringing these crimes forward against Attorneys at Law and others.

If you would like to discuss this further or would like me to go file a new complaint please let me know. I do believe some of this information regarding this corporate hijacking and extortion were tendered to Det. Miller and I am not sure it was one of the emails he did not read. As this is ongoing and causing life threatening situations upon my family, including my three minor children, this is urgent that we deal with the complaint to prevent further harms. As you know, desperate men will do desperate things and this extortion is one example of the efforts to quash me that are ongoing and I certainly want to prevent further more damaging acts from occurring. I want also to be notified if Det Miller investigated this crime reported and that it is not part of the evidence he reviewed that he claims he found no other wrongdoings in his Official Report, as again, I do not want any of these crimes to slip through cracks and be considered investigated and prosecuted for, through the prosecution of Moran for her crimes only.

Thank you,

Eliot Bernstein

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
http://www.iviewit.tv

NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency may have read this email without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight and it can happen to ordinary Americans like you and me. You have no recourse nor protection save to vote against any incumbent endorsing such unlawful acts.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521.

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.
Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.