From:	Eliot Bernstein
To:	"Ted Bernstein"; "Pamela Beth Simon"; "Jill M. Iantoni"; "Lisa"; "Lisa S. Friedstein"
Cc:	"ROBERT SPALLINA (rspallina@tescherspallina.com)"; "Donald R. Tescher (dtescher@tescherspallina.com)"; "mrmlaw@comcast.net"; Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA
Bcc:	Eliot I. Bernstein, Inventor ~ Iviewit Technologies, Inc.; ""tourcandy@gmail.com" (tourcandy@gmail.com)"
Subject:	RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein
Date:	Thursday, September 19, 2013 4:09:00 PM
Attachments:	20130913 TRANSCRIPT Emergency Hearing Colin Spallina Tescher Ted Manceri.pdf

Ted, if you need a full transcript I have attached one for your review. What the judge said to all of you collectively (you Ted, Tescher, Spallina, Tescher & Spallina and Mark Manceri0, was far worse and indicated that criminal acts had been committed and Miranda Warnings should be read to all of you now for the fraud on the court that he saw with his own eyes that took place in his court and upon him personally and where he said it twice. I am surprised you were not arrested on the spot but he did not say he would not do that next time, he just indicated he should do it right then. Not just due to Tescher & Spallina Law Firm and Moran's admittedly fraudulent and alleged forged documents but the fraud on the court and failure to notify the court of dad's passing while depositing these felonious official records in official proceedings. I hope the transcript frees up your recollection.

I do believe that much of these costs will not be paid by the estate or the beneficiaries in the end but by those guilty of committing these crimes and in fact the judge can offer broad relief in these situations to the victims, especially with forensic costs, etc. caused by others bad behavior. I believe there will be bonding and other reliefs that will be ordered by the judge to bear the burdens caused here by you, Tescher and Spallina and others trying to thwart dad's desires and intents and substituting them with your own using felonious documents. Has Robert and Donald reported this to their insurance companies yet? Has Kimberly reported these matters and admissions to her bonding company? I do believe all damages caused by a Notary Public in the employ of a Law Firm while doing business are fully covered by the Law Firm under Florida law. I note Robert and Donald and Mr. Manceri are included in this email and I ask each of you who are you policies with and to please send all parties in this email the carriers, policy numbers, etc. and demand that you report these matters and the litigations that will result, as Ted astutely points out in his letter. Robert do you have the bonding information for Kimberly's carrier? if so please forward that and her policy number too. I would advise all of you involved in the estate to now get counsel in whatever alleged fiduciary, legal or other roles you are claiming. I also note that it was determined in the hearing that Dad died as the Personal Representative and Trustee of Mom's estate and no successors were chosen and approved by the court due to the fraud on the court. I have tax forms and other forms you filed for the sale of the Condo Ted where you were signing as Personal Representative and Successor Trustee of the estate, I just want to clarify exactly what roles you have and are representing yourself in. I did note in the transcript you claimed to the Judge that you were there as Trustee of the Estate of mom, yet how can that be true in light of what we learned in the hearings? I believe you, Spallina, Tescher, Moran and others attempting to perpetrate this fraud are the ones costing the estates and will bear all of the damages for your improper and unlawful actions.

My answer to the Judge's advice to meet with you was plain and clear and the whole of the

conversation is in the transcript and relates to my refusal to meet and participate in any ongoing frauds. If you would like to meet it would be best after you, Tescher, Spallina and Manceri have all resigned from any fiduciary or legal roles you have in the estates, turn over all documents, records and assets to counsel I will secure at that time you comply with this request. Then we can meet and discuss the matter with all of parties and their representative counsel to discuss any settlements. Once again, I caution you that each and every action that you and Robert and Donald and others do in the estate may result in further criminal and civil liabilities against you.

I am confused as to who is representing you at this point or are you representing yourself still individually and as alleged and mistaken "Trustee of the Estate" and "Personal Representative" of mom's estate? With your children now as potential alleged beneficiaries of mom's estate based on legally defective documents that appear to have been done after dad's passing and you acting as their trustee, you may have conflicts and other problems in acting in any fiduciary capacity in the estate and you should not be elected in any fiduciary capacities, especially without counsel. Let me know what your attorney says?

Eliot

From: Ted Bernstein [mailto:tbernstein@lifeinsuranceconcepts.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 3:04 PM
To: 'Eliot Bernstein'; Pamela Beth Simon; Jill M. Iantoni; Lisa; Lisa S. Friedstein
Cc: ROBERT SPALLINA (rspallina@tescherspallina.com); Donald R. Tescher (dtescher@tescherspallina.com); 'mrmlaw@comcast.net'
Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

Eliot > I believe this is a gross misrepresentation of what happened in court on Friday. From my recollection, the judge was quite specific about what he intends to hear on the 28th.

I am also concerned about the way in which the transcripts are being diced. It appears as if there are words being inserted that were not on the record and only partial statements being pasted into your emails. I would ask that you cease from continuing these distortions. Admittedly, I have not yet seen the transcript but I am almost certain that Robert Spallina said more than what you pasted in an earlier email:

12 MR. SPALLINA: I'm sorry?

13 THE COURT: Are you involved in the

14 transaction?

15 MR. SPALLINA: I was involved

I am fairly certain that Robert continued that sentence and explained to Judge Colin, in detail, how he and his firm, including Kim Moran (whom Mom and Dad were both very fond of), were involved in the notary matter addressed by Judge Colin. I believe his explanation was rather lengthy, yet

your email would lead one to believe he answered by saying, "I was involved". Maybe this was an oversight on your part so I shall give you the benefit of the doubt. However, you appear to be adept at the use of cut and paste so I would ask that you try to be more cautious if you intend to continue down this path.

I remind you again that you are causing the assets of the trusts, as well as Dad's estate, to diminish. The money used for hearings, analysis and preparation of your allegations and petitions comes directly from trust and estate assets. As a result, the amount of money that will ultimately be paid to the beneficiaries is going to be less.

I believe the Judge advised you to meet with us. If you would like to do so, please email me and Robert and we can arrange to meet with you at a time that is convenient for you.

Take care,

Ted

From: Eliot Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 2:25 PM
To: Ted Bernstein; Pamela Beth Simon; Jill M. Iantoni; Lisa; Lisa S. Friedstein
Subject: FW: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

From: Eliot Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 2:16 PM
To: 'Myra'
Cc: Caroline Prochotska Rogers Esq. (caroline@cprogers.com); Michele M. Mulrooney ~ Partner @ Venable LLP (mmulrooney@Venable.com); Andrew R. Dietz @ Rock It Cargo USA
Subject: RE: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

I do not agree with your Order at all. Again, I think estate counsel Tescher & Spallina should resign immediately as counsel to the estate for the Fraud on the Court already identified and admitted to at the hearing as the transcripts clearly show.

My response,

1. The proposed Order is false and misleading in the first part of your draft order, as the Emergency Motion was not denied in toto and there are many parts to it and the remainder to be heard on the 28th or whatever date the judge selects, according to the Judge. From the hearing transcript,

   18 **It's only really that there's**

   19 no emergency here. Everything everyone raises

20 on the 28th.

21 MR. MANCERI: Very good, Judge. Do you

22 think we can do it in an hour, Judge?

23 THE COURT: We'll try.

The hearing cannot be solely on Shirley's estate as the matters in Shirley's estate pertain to Simon's estate. I do understand that Simon's estate is administered by Judge French and orders in that estate will come from him. Have you thought of inviting him to attend the hearings as they relate to Simon's estate?

2. I thought the judge wanted the evidentiary hearing moved up from the 10/28/13 to mid-September.

3. I would add that the hearing will also be in regard to any documents or issues in Simon's estate that relate to the closing of Shirley's estate or any documents or issues that effected both estates, including beneficiary changes in either estate and all the documents that effectuated any changes to their 2008 estate plans that appear properly signed and executed.

4. Again, I believe you should advise your clients to withdraw as counsel to the estate as all of these issues relate to their alleged fraudulent acts and their legal assistant, Kimberly Moran and their admitted fraudulent notarizations that are alleged forged and this puts them in conflict now. Finally, I am not sure how the judge is to allow them to continue to represent any parties in these matters until all of the ongoing investigations both criminally and civilly against them are rectified in light of the fact that they have already committed Fraud upon the Court and more and admitted to it in the hearing.

Your cover email states that you will "advise Judge Colin that you do not agree with the provisions of the Agreed Order and will be submitting your own Orders to the Court for its consideration" and instead I would prefer if you not only advise the Judge but submit my entire replies to your proposed orders, including this email and my last, with the original proposed orders I reviewed to the Judge, along with any other advice you will be giving him. If you do not intend on complying with this request please let me know so that I may send them in if I have to file my own proposed orders, either way, did not want to burden the Court with the same documents.

Thank you,

Eliot

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. – DL
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
http://www.iviewit.tv

NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency may have read this email without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight and it can happen to ordinary Americans like you and me. You have no recourse nor protection save to vote against any incumbent endorsing such unlawful acts.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521.

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*The Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 119 Sections 2510-2521 et seq., governs distribution of this "Message," including attachments. The originator intended this Message for the specified recipients only; it may contain the originator's confidential and proprietary information. The originator hereby notifies unintended recipients that they have received this Message in error, and strictly proscribes their Message review, dissemination, copying, and content-based actions. Recipients-in-error shall notify the originator immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. Authorized carriers of this message shall expeditiously deliver this Message to intended recipients. See: Quon v. Arch.

Wireless Copyright Notice. Federal and State laws govern copyrights to this Message. You must have the originator's full written consent to alter, copy, or use this Message. Originator acknowledges others' copyrighted content in this Message. Otherwise, Copyright © 2011 by originator Eliot Ivan Bernstein, iviewit@iviewit.tv and www.iviewit.tv. All Rights Reserved.

From: Myra [mailto:mrmlaw1@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 11:53 AM
To: iviewit@gmail.com
Cc: rspallina@tescherspallina.com
Subject: Estate of Shirley Bernstein

Dear Mr. Bernstein,

I am in receipt of your e-mail dated September 18, 2013 regarding the Agreed Order to

Reopen Estate and Appointing Successor Personal Representatives. I do not agree with your comments, changes as they do not comport with the Order of the Court.

I am attaching a draft Order on Notice of Emergency Motion to Freeze Estates for your review, comments, etc., which I will need to receive from you by noon (12:00 PM) tomorrow. If I do not hear from you, I will submit both Orders to the Court and advise Judge Colin that you do not agree with the provisions of the Agreed Order and will be submitting your own Orders to the Court for its consideration.

Mark R. Manceri, Esq.

Mark R. Manceri, P.A.
2929 E. Commercial Blvd., Suite 702
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308
E-mail: mrmlaw@comcast.net
(954) 491-7099
(954) 771-0545 (fax)

FREE Animations for your email Click Here!