PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## RE-NOTICE OF HEARING

Motion Calendar (Cancels Hearing of September 5, 2002)

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida z33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Proskauer Rose, LLF's Motion for Contempt, To Strike Defendants' Pleadings, and for Attorney's Fees

DATE: Wednesday, September 4, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

## PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 <br> West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 29 day of August, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (\{61)241-7145

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this 19 day of August, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480


Christopher Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING <br> Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida z33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Proskauer Rose, LLP's Motion for Contempt, To Strike
Defendants' Pleadings, and for Attorney's Fees
DATE: Thursday, September 5, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse
205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, September 12, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of the Corporate Representative(s) of Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc., and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. with the most knowledge concerning the allegations contained in the Amended Complaint and in the Answer and Affirmative Defenses.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.<br>Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.<br>214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220<br>Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 10:00 a.m. on Monday, September 9, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of Gerald Lewin, CPA.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The
deposition will continue from day to day until complete.
This $\mathcal{F T}$ day of August, 2002

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsinuite)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of August, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.

cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.
If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15 th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP'S MOTION FOR CONTEMPT, TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' PLEADINGS, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose, LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to Rule 1.380(b) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby moves the Court for an order holding the Defendants in contempt for violating this Court's Order dated July 18, 2002, striking the Defendants' pleadings, and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. The Defendants' attorneys withdrew from this matter in February, 2002. At the time of the withdrawal of the Defendants' attorneys, certain discovery propounded by Proskauer upon the Defendants was outstanding. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]2. The Defendants were without attorneys for almost four months. During that four month period, no responses were filed by the Defendants to the outstanding discovery propounded by Proskauer.
3. Substitute counsel for the Defendants made an appearance in this matter on June 12, 2002. Proskauer then filed a motion to compel responses to the discovery that, by that time, had been outstanding for over five months.
4. Counsel for the Defendants agreed to an order compelling the discovery responses. Accordingly, the parties submitted an Agreed Order to the Court, which the Court executed on July 18, 2002. The Agreed Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Agreed Order required the Defendants to submit all documents responsive to Proskauer's First and Second Requests for Production, as well as serve answers to Proskauer's Second Set of Interrogatories, no later than August 19, 2002.
5. Based upon this Agreed Order, and knowing that responses to its discovery would be received by August 19, 2002, Proskauer noticed the taking of the deposition of a non-party witness locally on August 20, 2002 and further noticed the taking of the deposition of a nonparty witness in Minnesota on August 22, 2002. Counsel for the Defendants was aware that Proskauer deliberately noticed these depositions after the discovery responses were due so that Proskauer would have the benefit of the Defendants' responses prior to the depositions.
6. On August 19, 2002, Proskauer requested that counsel for the Defendants fax the discovery responses to Proskauer so that Proskauer would be able to use the responses to prepare for the depositions on August 20, 2002 and August 22, 2002. Counsel for the Defendants did not do so. At the deposition on August 20, 2002, Proskauer made repeated requests that counsel for the Defendants fax the discovery responses, as they had not yet been received in the mail. It was
not until Wednesday, August 20, 2002, as the undersigned counsel for Proskauer was leaving for the airport to travel to Minnesota to take the deposition on a non-party witness, that counsel for the Defendants finally faxed the Defendants' answers to interrogatories to Proskauer.
7. Although the Defendants' answers to interrogatories certify that they were served by mail on August 19, 2002, Proskauer has never received these interrogatory answers in the mail. A copy of the Defendants' responses to Proskauer's Second Set of Interrogatories is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Moreover, Proskauer has never receieved, by fax or mail, the documents responsive to Proskauers' first and second request for production.
8. Not only have the Defendants blatantly violated this Court's order, but Proskauer had to take the depositions of two witnesses without the benefit of having this discovery available to them. Proskauer has been further prejudiced in that it has waited for these discovery responses for seven months. This matter is currently set for trial during the Court's non-jury trial period commencing September 30, 2002.
9. Due to the Defendants' willful violation of this Court's Order dated July 18, 2002, sanctions, including the striking of the Defendants' answer and affirmative defenses, are warranted. See Kelley v. Schmidt, 613 So. 2d 918, 919 (Fla. $5^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1993) (striking a party's pleadings is appropriate where the offending conduct is willful, flagrant, or persistent). Further, Proskauer should be awarded its attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order as follows:
a. Finding the Defendants in contempt of the Court's July 18, 2002 order;
b. Striking the Defendants' answer and affirmative defenses;
c. Awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion; and
d. Awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This $\mathcal{H}$ day of August, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

## Defendants.

## AGREED ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File its Witness and Exhibit Lists and the Court, upon being advised of an agreement between the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Plaintiff's motion to compel is GRANTED. The Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the Plaintiff's First and Second Requests for Production within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order. Additionally, the Defendants shall serve their answers to the Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories within 30 days of the date of this Agreed Order.
2. The Plaintiff's mption for extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists is and Delendants GRANTED. The Plaintiff shall serve its witness and exhibit lists within 30 days of the service of the Defendants' discovery responses ordered in paragraph 1.
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3. The following deadlines are hereby set and are changed from the deadlines previously set in this Court's Pretrial Order of June 11, 2002:

Rebuttal Witness/Exhibit List Due: September 2, 2002

Mediation Completed:
Pretrial Stipulation Filed:
Discovery Cutoff:
Calendar Call:

September 13, 2002
September 24, 2002
September 20, 2002
Remains the same: September 13, 2002 at 9:00 a.m.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this $\qquad$ day of July, 2002.

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480 FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

## Defendants.

## NOTICE OF SERVICES OF ANSWERS TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Defendants hereby give notice of their service upon the Plaintiffs of their answers to supplemental interrogatories in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $1^{r}$ day of August, 2002.

## SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beadt, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEYEK M.SELZ
FBN: 777420


 instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP．
a．The date when the communication occurred．
i．To fully assess those dates and the conversations we will need all Chri Wheeler and Proskauer notes，documenss，emails and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all neetings with any of the below （section b）mentioned correspondents．
ii．2．29．2000 Letterffrom Wheeler to Utley regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings．
iii．1／26／00 Billing from Chris Wheeler 346：259 Invoice＂Conference as it follow－up on ou money＂
iv．3／24／00 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Simon Bemstein regarding bills
v． $3 / 31 / 00$ Letter fiom Whecler to Simon Fegarding billings and trying is： work out arrangements．
vi．3．9．00 Letter from Wheeler to Uuley reparding past due amounts．
vii．4．10．00 Letter fiom Wheeler to Utiey riparding bill
viii．10．25．99 Letter from Chris Wheeler to 3rian Utjey
ix．6．23．99 To Elio from Chris Wheeler with Si＇s pomments for Brian te： address regarding the over billing
$x$ ．At several Board meetings the billings of Proskquer and the work products were repeatedly questioned by：all Board members and Chris Wheelep．who attended these meetings was fully aware of major concems in the total bill and incompetence of work of product or fiiling to prodube work products．

May 2B， 1999
June 1，\％g9
June 30，if990
July 2， 1999
August 3． 1999
August 5， 1999
August 23， 1999
Seplember 15， 1999
September 22， 1999
Seplember 30． 1999
November 1． 1999 November 9． 1999
February 4， 2000
March 3． 2000
April 6． 2000
April 26， 2000
Juty 18． 2000
Seplember 26． 2000
January 25， 2001
January 30， 2001
March 26， 2001
April 3． 2001
8／19／2002 3：30PM
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Iviewit.com LLC - Private and Confidential Attomey/Client Privitesed Information

April 5, 2001
April 9, 2001
Aprll 14, 2001
April 20. 2001
April 26. 2001
Aprll 26, 2001
May 8, 2001
June 7, 2001
Seplember 4. 2001
October 23. 2001
Ocrober 29, 2009
xi. Gerald Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utley, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, William Kasser and Larry Monciragon uere all commissioned by the Board to nnvestigate the billings and inferior work products, especially in relationship to the parent pool enrofs and missing copyrights.
b. The parties to the communication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bernstein
iii. Eliot Bernstein
iv. Donald Kane
v. Hank Powell
vi. Brian Utley
vii. Kenneth Anderson
viii. Ross Miller
ix. William Kasser
x. Maurice Buchsbaum
xi. Raymond Hersh
xii. William Dick
xiii. Douglas Bochm
xiv. P. Stephen Lamonl
xv. Christopher Whecler
xvi. Mara Lemer Robbins
xvii. Rocky Thomson
xyiii. Raymond Soao
c. Whether the communicktion was oral or in writing; several correspondences between Simon Bernstdin (COB) and Chris Wheeler regarding erroneous billings were in writing and oral.
i. Correspondences between Ross Miller and Proskauer relating to negotiating settrement to the overstated bill, it was agreed to seftic at 100,000 but the deal was never consummated. Several communicationf were oral, several were in writing.

8/9:2002 3:30PM
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i. Correspondences between Ross Miller and Proskauer relating to negotiating sert quent to the overstated ibll, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never consuminated. Several communications were oral, several wert in writing.
ii. Correspondences berween Bill Kasser aild Proskzuer requésting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
iii. Correspondences beiween Raymond Hersh and Froskauer requesting information and Explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
iv. Correspondences between Maurice Buchsbaum and Proskauer requesting infomation and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
v. Correspondences between Gerald Lewin and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in witing.
vi. Correspondences between Hank Powell and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billengs. Ant a review of patent billings and copyright issues that was never forthcoming. Several communications twere oral, several were in writing.
b. The substance of the cormmunication.
i. Over-billing
ii. Patent Incomperdnce \& Repair on two sieparate occasions
iii. Requests for derqiled billing, documentation to support billings, request for missing patent files, request =or detailed notes, request for work products billed and destroyed.
iv. Liebilities arising from patemt and copyright incomperence
v. Gross over billing for corporate structure proposed and recommended by Mr. Wheeler.
vi. Abuses by Mssrs: Whecler, Rubenstein and Proskauer clients of NDA's for their tespective clients or parent pools they may oversee.
vii. Demands by the Board to limit Mr. Utley and Ma. Wheeler from running up substantial bills without Board approyal. Mr. Utley was limited in incurrithg Proskauer or other expense ifems, especially whereas his friends were concerned, to a maximum $\$ 5,000$. Mr. Wheeler is aware of this and proceeds to, bill far in excess for work Mr . Utey requested without proper Board approval.
17. As to Defendants' statemen contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated 12/20/01 that \{s\}ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not periormed af the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any qgreement," please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you claim contains charges for work that was not performed at the Defendants' recurest.

Answer: Distance Learning Billings


Iviewit.com LLC - Privare and Confidential Attomey/Client Privileged Information

Setup Corporations: Imedia, Ilearnit, Internet Train, etc: Name searches and trademarks.

Tradernark applications
Christopher Wheeler attending Board meetings
Entite Corporate Organizations and Re-Organizations were all secommended by Proskauer Rose and where to be small incorporation fees for set-up of Mr. Wheeler's corporate scheme. Instead there are mass billings for this work:
19. As to Defendants statement contained in Defendants' 八mended Second Affirmative Defense dated 12/20/01 that " $\{$ \} ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was nфt performed at the Defiendants' request nor pursuant to any ggreement," did the Deffndants ever complain tis or otherwise notify Proskaue. Rose LLP that Plaintiff allegedly performed work which was not performed at Defendants' request?

Answer: YES
20. If you answered interrogatory 19 in the affimative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP:
a. The date when the communication occurred;
i. To fully assess these dates and the conversations we will need all Chri Wheeler notes and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all meetings with arfy of the below (section b) mentioned correspondents.
ii. 2.29.2000 Letter; from Wheeler to Utiey regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings.
iii. 1/26/00 Billing fom Chris Wheeler 346259 Invdice "Conference as ic follow-up on our money"
iv. 3/24/00 Letter frbm Chris Wheeler to Simon Bernstein regarding bills
v. 3/31/00 Letter frion Wheeler to Simon regarding'billings and trying to work out arrangoments and reduction of bills.
vi. 3.9.00 Leter from Wheeler to Uiley re;;arding past due amounts.
vii. 4.10.00 Letter from Whecler to Uuley regarding bill
viii. A! several Bourd meetings the billings of Proskaher and the work products were repeatedly questioned by all Board members and Chris Wheeler who attended these meetings was fully dware of major concerns in the tolal bill and incompetence of work of product or failing to produce work products.

May 28. 1998
June 1. 1999
June 30. 1999
July 2, 1999
8/19/2002 3:30PM
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August 3. 1899
August 5. 1999
Augusi 23. 1999
September 15, 1889
Seplember 22, 1998
September 30. 1999 November 1. 1999
November 9. 1999
February 4, 2000
March 1. 2000
April : 2000
April 28, 2000
July 16. 2000
September 26, 2000
January 25, 2001
January 30, 2001
March 26, 2001
April 18, 2001
April 6. 2001
April 9, 2001
April 9A, 2001
April 20.2001
April 25. 2009
April 25, 2001
May 8. 2001
June 7. 2001
September 4. 2001
October 2b. 2004
Oclober 29, 2009
ix. Gerald Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utley, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, Williarm Kasser and Larry Moncragon were all commissioned by the Board to investigate the billings and inferior work products, especially in relationship to the patent pool enrors and missing copyrights.
$x$. Several meetings were held with Chris Wheeler and Simon Bernstein (Chairman of the Board) regarding excessive billings and controlling Mr. Ulley and Nir. Wheeler in the billings for personal conferences they held daily.
xi. Chris Wheeler agreed to investigate charges thal Rubenstein/Joao we: forging and chapging patent documents and leaying inventors off patents. Wheeler and Utley suggest using their friend Wiliam Dick (1 Foley and Lardner to correct the gross negligence uncovered in Rubenstein/Joad work.
b. The parties to the comptunication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bemstein

8/19/2002 3:30PM
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c．Whether the communication was oral or in writing；and
i．Several correspondences between Simon Bernstain（COB）and Chris Wheeler regardifg erroneous billings were in wrting and oral．
ii．Correspondences between Ross Miller und Proskauer relating to negotiating settloment to the overstated bill，it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never perfecteil．Several communications were oral，severd were in writing．
iii．Correspondence between Bill Kasser and Proskaver requesting information and Explanations of the billings．Several communications were oral，severdl were in writing．
iv．Correspondencel between Raymond Hersh and Proskaver requesting information and Explanations of the billings．Several communications were oral，severdl were in writing．
v．Correspondences between Maurice Buchsbaum and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the tillings．Several communications were oral，several wers in writihg．
vi．Correspondences berween Geraid Lewin and Proskauer requesting information and lexplanations of the billings．Seyeral communications were oral，severel were in writing．
vii．Cortespondences between Hank Poweli and Probkauer requesting information andiexplanations of the billings．And a review of patent billings and copyright issues that was never forthoming．Several communications were oral，several were in writing．
d．The substance of the communication．
i．Over billing
ii．Patent Incompetence \＆Repair on two separate occasions
iii．Requests for detailed billing，documentation to suppon billings， request for missing patent files，request．for detailied notes，request for work products builled and destroyed．
iv．Liabilities arisifg from patent and copyright incompetence

8／19／2002 3：30PM
Page 9 of 18



CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, NNC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, $\mathbb{N} C$., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FLING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives
notice of the filing of the original Telephonic Deposition of Brian Utley, consisting of Volumes I and II, which was taken on August 22, 2002 and August 23, 2002.

This 25th day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Roca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Trines
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525


# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attomeys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) $833-9715$

## FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number: (561) 241-7145

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 08/21/02 10:20 A.M.
File \# $\qquad$
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 13
RE: TVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES
Comments: AS DISCUSSED.
A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
__ Regular Mail __ Federal Express __ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOL ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELYNOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCLATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

## CERTIEICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 25th day of October，2002，a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U．S．Mail to Steven M．Selz，Esq．，Selz \＆Muvdi Selz，P．A．， 214 Brazilian Avenue，Suite 220，Palm Beach，Fl 33480.


Christopher W．Prusaski，Esq．

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICLAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, NC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

## TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, September
4, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca
Raton, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of RAYMOND T. HERSH.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The
deposition will continue from day to day until complete.
This 19 day of August, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $\qquad$ day of August, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Paim Beach Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF SERVICES OF ANSWERS TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Defendants hereby give notice of their service upon the Plaintiffs of their answers to supplemental interrogatories in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $1^{1^{r}}$ day of August, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beaqn,FL 33480
Tel: (561) $820-9409$
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

Iviewit.com LLC - Private and Co fidential Athomey/Client Privilyad Information
12. Identify each and every person who participated or assisted in preparing the to these interrogatories.

Answer: Eliot Bemstein
13. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated $12 / 20 / 01$ that "Plaintiff has failed to perforn work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties: for which it seeks remuneration...", please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhitit "A" which you claim contains charges for work that the Rlaintiff failed to pexform

Answer:

| Invoice | 320581 |
| :---: | ---: |
|  | 327337 |
|  | 346259 |
|  | 352748 |
|  | 352749 |
|  | 352751 |
|  | 352750 |
|  | 352752 |
|  | 352753 |
|  | 354153 |
|  | 352748 |
|  | 356497 |
|  | 386159 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 320581 |
| Patent Work | 327337 |
| Invoice | 346259 |
|  | 352748 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 327337 |


16. If you answered Interrogatory 5 in the affirmative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Poskauer Rose LLP.
a. The date when the communication occurred.
i. To fully assess these dates and the conyersations we will need all Chri Wheeler and Proskauer notes, documenis, emails and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all meetings with any of the below (section b) mentioned correspondents.
ii. 2.29.2000 Letterfrom Wheeler to Utley regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings.
iii. 1/26/00 Billing from Chris Wheeler 346259 Invoice "Conference as ic follow-up on out money"
iv. 3/24/00 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Simon Bernstein regarding bills
v. 3/31/00 Letter from Wheeler to Simon regarding billings and trying te work out arrangements.
vi. 3.9.00 Letter from Wheeler to Utley reparding past due amounts.
vii. 4.10.00 Letter foom Wheeler to Utley rigarding bill
viii. 10.25 .99 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Brian Utley
ix. 6.23.99 To Elio from Chris Wheeler with Si's domments for Brian to address regarding the over billing
$x$. At several Board meetings the billings of Proskauer and the work products were repeatedly questioned $b:$ all Boand members and Chris Wheeler who attended these meetings was fully aware of major concerns in the total bill and incompetence of work of product or failing to produce work products.

May 28, 1999
June 1. 1999
June 30, 1998
July 2. 1999
August 3. 1999
August 5, 1999
August 23, 1999
September 15, 9999
September 22. 1999
September 30. 1999
November 1, 1998
November 9, 999
February 4, 2000
March 3. 2000
April 6. 2000
April 26, 2000
July 18. 2000
September 26, 2000
January 25. 2001
January 30. 2001
March 26, 2001
April 3, 2001

8/19/2002 3:30PM
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April 5. 2001
April 9, 2001
April 14, 2001
April 20.2001
April 26. 2001
Aprll 26, 2001
May B. 2001
June 7. 2001
Seplember 4, 2001
October 23. 2001
October 29, 2001
xi. Gerald Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utley, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, William Kasser and Larry Moncragon were all commissioned by the Board to investigate the billings and infenior work products, especially in relationship to the parent pool errors and missing copyrights.
b. The parties to the communication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bernstein
iii. Eliot Bernstein
iv. Donald Kane
v. Hank Powell
vi. Brian Utley
vii. Kenneth Anderson
viii. Ross Miller
ix. William Kasser
x. Maurice Buchshaum
xi. Raymond Hersh
xii. William Dick
xiii. Douglas Boehm
xiv. P. Stephen Lampnt
xv. Christopher Whecler
xvi. Mara Lemer Robbins
xvii. Rocky Thomson
xviii. Raymond Joao
c. Whether the communication was oral or in writing; several correspondences between Simon Bermstdin ( COB ) and Chris Wheelex regarding erroneous billings were in writing and oral.
i. Correspondenc is between Ross Miller and Proskauct relating to negotiating settlement to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never consummated. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
i. Correspondences between Ross Miller and Proskauer relating to negotiating settloment to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never consummated. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
ii. Correspondences between Bill Kasser aid Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
iii. Correspondences between Raymond Hersh and Proskauer requesting information and kxplanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
iv. Correspondences between Maurice Bucbsbaum and Proskaver requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
v. Correspondences between Gerald Lewin and Prokkauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
vi. Correspondences between Hank Powell and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the bill:ngs. And a review of patent billings and copyright issues that was never forthcoming. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
b. The rubsrance of the corimunication.
i. Over-billing
ii. Patent Incomperence \& Repair on two siparate ofcasions
iii. Requests for detailed billing, documentertion to support billings, request for missing patent files, request or detailed notes, request for work products billed and destroyed.
iv. Liabilities arising from patent and copyright incompetence
v. Gross over billing for corporate structure proposed and recommended by Mr. Wheeler.
vi. Abuses by Mssrs: Wheeler, Rubenstein and Proskauer clients of NDA's for their tespective clients or parent pools they may oversee.
vii. Demands by the Board to limit Mr. Utley and Ma. Wheeler from running up substantial bills without Board approyal. Mr. Utley was limited in incurring Proskauer or other expense items, especially whereas his friends were concerned, to a maximum $\$ 5,000$. Mr. Wheeler is aware of this and proceeds to bill far in excess for work Mr. Utley requested without proper Board approyal.
17. As to Defendants' statemen contained in Defendarts' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated $12 / 20 / 01$ that \{s\}ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not per:ormed a the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement," please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you claim colntains charges for work that was not performed at the Defendsnts' recurest.

Answer: Distance Learning Billing

Iviewit.com LLC - Pri ...e and Confidential Attorney/Client Privilped Information

Need explanation of billings to understand what charges to pertain to Internet Train/learnit/Imediă or any other distance learning incentives started by Chris Wheeler and Brian Utley

Corporate Name Searches and tilings
Invoice 320581
327337
346259
354153
387122
352748
356497
Multiple Trademark applications
Invoice 320581
327337
346259
352748
352749
352751
352750
352752
352753
354153
352748
356497
386159

General Corporate Advice
Invoice 320581
327337
346259
354153
387122
352748
356497
18. For each invoice identified in response to Interrogatory 17, please explain with particularity the service(s) billed by Plaintiff which was not performed at the Defendants' request.

Answer:

Distance Learning
Acquisitions and merger work with NJ Distance Learning Company.

8/19/2002 3:30PM
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Setup Corporations: Imedia, llearnit, Internet Train, ett: Name searches and trademarks.

Trademark applications
Christopher Wheeler attending Board meetings
Entire Corporate Organizations and Re-Organizations were all secommended by Proskauer Rose and where to be small incorporation fees for set-up of Mr. Wheeler's corporate scheme. Instead there are mass billings for this work
19. As to Defendants statement contained in Defendants' $\lambda$ mended Second Affirmative Defense dated 12/20/01 that " $\{\$\}$ ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was n $\phi$ t performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement," did the Defendants ever complain tis or otherwise notify Proskauc: Rose LLP that Plaintiff allegedly performed work which was not performed at Defendants' request?

## Answer: YES

20. If you answered Interrogatory 19 in the affirmative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP:
a. The date when the comrhunication occurred;
i. To fully assess these dates and the conversations we will need all Chri Wheeler notes and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all meetings with arly of the below (section b) mentioned correspondents.
ii. 2.29.2000 Letterf from Wheeler to Utley regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings.
iii. 1/26/00 Billing from Chris Wheeler 34 (259 Invdice "Conference as ic: follow-up on our money"
iv. 3/24/00 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Simon Bemstein regarding bills
v. 3/31/00 Letter frim Whecler to Simon tegarding billings and trying to work out arrangdments and reduction of bills.
vi. 3.9.00 Letter from Wheeler to Utley rep;arding past due amounts.
vii. 4.10.00 Letter from Wheeler to Utley regarding bill
viii. At several Board meetings the billings of Proskaher and the work products were repeatedly questioned by all Board members and Chris Wheeler who attended these meetings was fully ware of major concerns in the fotal bill and incompetence of work of product or failing to produce work products.

May 28. 1999
June 1. 1999
June 30. 1999
July 2. 1999
8/19/2002 3:30PM
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April 26, 2000
July 1\$, 2000
September 26, 2000 January 25, 2001 January 30. 2001

March 26, 2001
April \$, 2001
April 5. 2001
April 9. 2001
April 14, 2001
April 20. 2001
April 25, 2001
April 25, 2001
May 8. 2001
June 7. 2001
Seplember f. 2001 October 20. 2001 October 29, 2001
ix. Gerald Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utlex, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, William Kasser and Larry Mondragon were all commissioned by the Board to investigate the billings and infexior work products, especially in relationship to the patent pool errots and missing copyrights.
x. Several meetings were heid with Chris Wheeler and Simon Bemstein (Chairman of the Board) regarding excessive billings and controlling Mr. Utiey and Mr. Wheeler in the billings for personal conferences they held daily.
xi. Chris Wheeler agreed to investigate charges that Rubenstein/Joao we: forging and changing patent documents and leaying inventors off patents. Wheeler and Utley suggest using their friend William Dick e! Foley and Lardher to correct the gross negligence uncovered in Rubenstein/Joap work.
b. The partics to the communication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bernstein
iii. Eliot Bernstein
iv. Donald Kane
v. Hank Powell
vi. Brian Utley
vii. Kenneth Andersgn
vii. Ross Miller
ix. William Kasser
x. Maurice Buchsbaum
xi. Raymond Hersh
xii. William Dick
xiii. Douglas Boehm
xiv. P. Stephen Lamotut
xv. Christopher Wheteler
xvi. Mara Lerner Rotbins
xvii. Rocky Thomson
Whether the communication was oral or in writing; and
i. Several correspondences between Simon Bernstein (COB) and Chris Wheeler regarding erroneous billings were in wrting and oral.
ii. Correspondence berween Ross Miller und Proskauer relating to negotiating settlement to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never perfecteid. Several communications were oral, severdl were in writing.
iii. Correspondence between Bill Kasser and Proskquer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Seycral communications were oral, several were in writing.
iv. Correspondences between Raymond Hersh and Proskaner requesting information and explanations of the billings. Seyeral communications were oral, severdl were in writing.
v. Correspondencek between Maurice Buchsbaum and Proskauer requesting infomation and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
vi. Correspondences between Gerald Lewith and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Seyeral communications were oral, several were in writing.
vii. Correspondences between Hank Poweli and Probkaver requesting information andexplanations of the billings. And a review of patent billings and coppright issues that was never forthcoming. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
d. The substance of the communication.
i. Over billing
ii. Petent Incompetence \& Repair on two :eparate pecasions
iii. Requests for deqailed billing, documentation to support billings, request for miss/ng patent files, request for detailed notes, request for work products billed and destroyed.
iv. Liabilities arising from patent and copyright incompetence

```
                    |
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## STATE OF CALIIORNIA

## COUNTY OP SAN DIEGO

On the $19^{\text {th }}$ of August 2002, Eliot I. Benstein whe is peryontity kaowir to ine or produced US F'asspurt $H 034581170$ dr identification, uppeared before nie personally and stated under wath that the unswars sef forth in this rexponse to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatorics are based on hisher personal knowledge anci are true and conect.


# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\mathrm{TH}}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
$\qquad$

## SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Bea¢h, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 82中-9409
Fax: (561) 83:-9715
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## AGREED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND ORDER APPOINTING COMMISSIONER

THIS MATTER came before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion to Take Foreign Deposition and Motion to Appoint Commissioner and the Court, after having been advised of an agreement of the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Motion is GRANTED. The Court hereby appoints Pat Carl \& Associates Court Reporters, 4527 Sunset Road, Minneapolis, MN 55416 as a Commissioner for the taking of the deposition of Brian Utley.

DONE AND ORDERED in West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day of August, 2002.

Hon. Jorge Labarga
Circuit Judge

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO JOIN

## INDISPENSABLE PARTIES TO ACTION AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL

 SETTING BASED ON NEW EVIDENCEDefendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer and to continue the trial setting in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That on August 22, 2002 and continuing through August 23, 2002, the Plaintiff took the deposition of Brian G. Utley, the former President and chief operating officer of the Defendants.
2. That during the taking of such deposition, under cross examination by the undersigned, Brian Utley testified that the only written retainer of the Plaintiff by any of the Iviewit entities was that document attached as Exhibit "A" to the Plaintiff's initial compliant in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit " 1 ".
3. That further Mr. Utely testified that the services by Plaintiff were made to "...all the Iviewit entities..." or words to that effect.
4. Plaintiff had, as former legal counsel for the Defendants, been the law firm responsible for the formation of the various Iviewit entities, including Iviewit.com.LLC.
5. Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in this action, which is a proper and necessary party to this action.
6. That upon information and belief, the Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in that such entity is currently the subject of a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy proceeding, Case No. 01-33407 BKC-SHF, which has since such filing been converted to a Chapter 7 proceeding and which is awaiting the first meeting of creditors. A true and correct notice of the involuntary petition form as to such entity is attached hereto as Exhibit " 2 ".
7. That the services provided to the Plaintiff, and which form the basis for the

Plaintiff's claims for damages in this matter, included services provided to Iviewit.com, LLC, as evidenced by that portion of the billing statement, as dated August 24 , 1999, which specifically references the providing of services by the Plaintiff to Iviewit.com.LLC, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit " 3 ".
8. That the failure of the Defendants to be permitted to join such parties to this action shall work a prejudice on the Defendants and may, depending on the outcome of the pending Chapter 7 Bankruptcy proceedings, create the possibility of inconsistent results in the outcome of this matter.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter and to join as third parties to this action Iviewit, LLC and Iviewit.com, LLC.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $\qquad$ day of August, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A. 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715

By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND MOTION TO APPOINT COMMISSIONER

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby moves for an order allowing the taking of a witness deposition in Minneapolis, Minnesota, and for an order appointing a commissioner for the taking of the deposition. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. Brian Utley ("Utley"), a former principal of the Defendant corporations, resides in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Utley has agreed to have his deposition taken by Proskauer for purposes of discovery in this matter. Proskauer believes that Utley's testimony is important to Proskauer's ability to prove its case in this matter.
2. Proskauer requests that the Court appoint Pat Carl \& Associates Court Reporters, 4527 Sunset Road, Minneapolis, MN 55416, as a commissioner for the taking of Utley's deposition in this matter.
3. The undersigned counsel for Proskauer has conferred with counsel for the Defendants, and counsel for the Defendants has no objection to the relief requested in this motion.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court enter an order allowing Proskauer to take the deposition of Utley in Minneapolis, Minnesota, appointing Pat Carl \& Associates Court Reporters, 4527 Sunset Road, Minneapolis, MN 55416 as a commissioner for the taking of Utley's deposition, and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This $\stackrel{\sqrt{5} \text { day of August, } 2002 .}{ }$
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


David J. George
Florida Bar No. 0898570
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August $\left\langle\frac{S t}{}, 2002\right.$, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## SUBPOENA FOR DEPOSITION

## TO: William Kasser

991 N.W. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton, Fl 33486

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before a person authorized to take depositions at the office of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Fl 33431-7360, on the 20th day of August, 2002, at 11:00 a.m. for the taking of your deposition in this action. If you fail to appear, you may be in contempt of court.

You are subpoenaed to appear by the following attorney, and unless excused from this subpoena by this attorney or the Court, you shall respond to this subpoena as directed.

DATED this $\mathcal{I}^{\frac{S^{t}}{\text { day of }}}$ August, 2002.


Proskauer Rose LLP
Attomeys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
(561) 241-7400

Florida Bar No. 121525

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

# PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New 

York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

## TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, August 22, 2002, before Pat Carl \& Associates Court Reporters, 5841 Cedar Lake Road, St. Louis Park, Minnesota 55416 (763.591.0535), the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of BRIAN G. UTLEY.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This $\underline{1}^{5 t}$ day of August, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of August, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was been furnished by U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Pat Carl \& Associates Court Reporters

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, August 20,
2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton,
Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of WILLIAM KASSER.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This $1 \frac{\text { st }}{\text { day of August, } 2002 .}$

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Bock Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561 $241-7145$ (facsimile)


Matt Prigs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $\frac{\text { St }}{\text { day }}$ of August, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING <br> Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida z33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Take Foreign Deposition and Motion to Appoint Commissioner
DATE: Thursday, August 15, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West Boca Raton, Florida 33431 Telephone: (561) 241-7400

By:


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this 15 day of August, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

By:


Christopher Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

AGREED ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File its Witness and Exhibit Lists and the Court, upon being advised of an agreement between the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Plaintiff's motion to compel is GRANTED. The Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the Plaintiff's First and Second Requests for Production within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order. Additionally, the Defendants shall serve their answers to the Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories within 30 days of the date of this Agreed Order.
2. The Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists is and iterodants
GRANTED. The Plaintiff shall serve itswitness and exhibit lists within 30 days of the service of the Defendants' discovery responses ordered in paragraph 1.

Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
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3. The following deadlines are hereby set and are changed from the deadlines previously set in this Court's Pretrial Order of June 11, 2002 :

Rebuttal Witness/Exhibit List Due: September 2, 2002
Mediation Completed: September 13, 2002
Pretrial Stipulation Filed: September 24, 2002
Discovery Cutoff:
September 20, 2002
Calendar Call:
Remains the same: September 13, 2002 at 9:00 a.m.
 day of July, 2002.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, NC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER COMPELLING THE DEFENDANTS TO OBTAIN COUNSEL AND SETTING STATUS CHECK

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on June 12, 2002 on the Plaintiff's Notice that the Parties Have Not Settled and Motion to Compel the Defendants to Obtain Counsel and to Set a Status Check and the Court, after being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Plaintiff has represented to the Court that the parties have been unable to settle this matter.
2. Within 15 days of the date of this Order, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., shall retain new counsel and a notice of appearance shall be filed with the Court. A corporation cannot represent itself and cannot appear in court without an attorney. Szteinbaum v. Kaes Inversiones y Valores, CA, 476 So. 2d 247, 248 (Fla. 3d DCA 1985).
3. A Status Check is hereby set for June 28, 2002 at 8:45 a.m. in Courtroom 11-B, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 N. Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida.

APPEARANCE OF THE DEFENDANTS AND NEW COUNSEL IS MANDATORY.

FAILURE OF THE DEFENDANTS AND NEW COUNSEL TO ATTEND MAY RESULT IN A DEFAULT BEING ENTERED AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day of June, 2002.


Copies furnished to:

## For Plaintiff:

David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W, Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

For Defendants:
William Kasser, 991 NW 9th Street, Boca Raton, FL 33486; and
P. Stephen Lamont, Chief Executive Officer, Director, I View It Technologies, Inc., 10 Mela, Rancho Palos Verdes, Cal. 90275

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Roca Rato, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that undersigned hereby enters its
appearance as counsel of record for the Defendants in the above-styled matter and that henceforth copies of all pleadings, motions and other papers should be served upon the undersigned.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and hand delivery to the above-listed addressee (s) this VT day of June, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (5 51 ) $820-9409$
Fax: (5ф1) 83-9715
By:
STEVEX M:SELZ
FBN: 777420
?
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?
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CIVIL DIVISION
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CASE NUMBER: CL 014671 AB
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC, et al
Defendant(s).

## ORDER RESETTING JURY TRIAL

## NOTICE

This order contains deadlines and other requirements which will be strictly enforced. Please take the time to read this order carefully and immediately. Additionally, please take the time to diary all deadlines now.

THIS CAUSE, after having been properly noticed for trial, is hereby scheduled to be tried for 2 days sometime during the three (3) month docket commencing SEPTEMBER 30, 2002.

It is further ORDERED as follows:

1) CALENDAR CALL shall be held on FRIDAY, SEPTMBER 13, 2002 at 9:00
A.M.
in Courtroom 11A, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida. ${ }^{1}$

[^1]A) All attomeys of record, or parties not represented by counsel, must be present at calendar call and must arrive on time (the Court will not wait). Attorneys shall not send paralegals, secretaries or any other person who is not a member of the Florida Bar to cover calendar call.
B) All attorneys (or parties if unrepresented) are ORDERED to bring their scheduling calendars to the calendar call.
C) The case will be assigned a SPECIAL SETTING during the three (3) month docket at calendar call. Once the case is specially set, it will not be continued or removed. Therefore, MAKE SURE TO BRING YOUR CALENDAR AND THAT IT IS UP TO DATE.
D) The Court WILL NOT entertain requests for continuances during calendar call. Motions for continuance MUST be raised PRIOR to calendar call during the Uniform Motion Calendar.
2) MOTION PRACTICE PHASE ENDS AND TRIAL PHASE BEGINS

Upon taking the bench at 9:30 A. M. on the first day of trial, the Court will send

## the bailiff to the jury assembly room for a jury panel. Voir dire will begin immediately upon

the arrival of the panel in the courtroom. In other words, on the first day of your special
setting, the motion practice phase of the case ends and the trial phase begins.
A) The Court WILL NOT hear any motions during the trial phase that should have been heard during the motion practice phase of the case. This includes motions in limine which will take longer than the time it takes the bailiff to bring up a jury panel (about 30 minutes), objections raised during depositions, or any other motion that should have been heard during the nine month to one year period that the case was on the docket awaiting calendar call. ${ }^{2}$

[^2]3) All parties are ordered to participate in MEDIATION which must occur no later than 45 days prior to calendar call. If anyone has a problem scheduling mediation, the Court is available every day (except Fridays) during the Uniform Motion Docket to deal with the problem. Again, DO NOT WAIT until the last minute.
4) All parties are ordered to comply with the UNIFORM PRETRIAL

ORDER. Material non-compliance with this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, the striking of pleadings, the striking of the case from the docket or other such action as justice requires.
5) If this cause is disposed of for any reason (settlement) at any time prior to the trial date, you are hereby ordered to immediately advise the undersigned judge so that we may provide the backup case with as much notice as possible.
6) The attorneys are ordered to provide the Court with their proposed jury instructions (standard and special) and the verdict forms at the commencement of the trial (prior to voir dire). Failure to foilow this requirement and failure to file a pre-trial stipulation prior to voir dire may result in the striking of the case from the docket, in addition to other sanctions.

DONE AND ORDERED this 11 th day of June, 2002, at Steralm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida.
JUN 1 f 2na\%
JORGE LABARGA
Circuit Courtmidyen Latorge

Copies furnished to attomeys/parties listed on notice/motion:
Matthew Triggs, Esq.
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Spencer Sax, Esq.
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, FL 33431

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.


IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose, LLP ("Proskauer"), by and through its undersigned counsel, moves the Court for an order removing this matter from the non-jury trial docket commencing July 2, 2002 and resetting this matter for the following trial period. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. This matter is scheduled for non-jury trial during the three (3) month trial docket commencing July 2, 2002. This matter has not previously been scheduled for trial.
2. The Defendants' counsel withdrew from this matter on February 4, 2002. On April 18, 2002, the parties represented to the Court at a status check that they have reached a settlement of this matter in principal and are currently working towards reducing the settlement to writing with all due diligence. At that time, the Court entered an order directing the parties to immediately notify the Court when they have finally settled this matter.
3. Because this matter is still currently set on the Court's non-jury trial docket commencing July 2, 2002, certain pretrial deadlines are approaching. Therefore, it would be in
the best interests of the parties that this matter be removed from the current non-jury trial docket commencing July 2, 2002 and reset on the following non-jury trial docket while the parties finalize their settlement.
4. Counsel for the Plaintiff has conferred with the corporate representative of the Defendant corporations, and he has no objection to the relief requested in this motion.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court enter an order removing this matter from the three (3) month non-jury trial docket commencing July 2, 2002 and resetting this matter on the following non-jury trial docket, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 15th day of May, 2002.


David J. George
Florida Bar No. 0898570
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 15,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Mr. William Kasser, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc., 991 NW 9th Street, Boca Raton, FL 33486; P. Stephen Lamont, Chief Executive Officer, Director, I View It Technologies, Inc., 10 Mela, Rancho Datos Vqrdef, AA 90275.

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.,
a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RESPONSES AND OBIECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANTS

COMES NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOCIES, INC., (sometimes hereinafter jointly referred to as "IVIEWIT"), by and through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and files its responses and objections to Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants with certificate of service date of November 30, 2007, as follows:

1. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
2. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.

However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
3. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
4. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
5. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
6. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are been produced.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 3

WE HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via hand delivery to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this 21st day of January 2002.


M:LLitigation\viewit.com\Pleading\Response to RFP.wpd

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SERVE WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on February 11, 2002, on Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP's Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Witness and Exhibit Lists, and the Court having reviewed the motion, hearing the argument of the parties, and being otherwise duly advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED and $A D J U D G E D$ that motion be and the same is hereby GRANTED. The parties shall serve their Witness and Exhibit lists within ten (10) days of the filing a Notice of Appearance by Defendants' substitute counsel.
 of February, 2002.

FEE 1 2002

Proskauer Rose y. Iviewit.Com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

Copies furnished to:
Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
William Kasser
991 N.W. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton FL 33486

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PROSKAUER'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM

 THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTSPlaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby moves the Court for an order compelling the Defendants to serve their responses to the Plaintiff's first and second requests for production and second set of interrogatories and further moves the Court for an order extending the time within which Proskauer shall serve its trial exhibit and witness lists. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. Proskauer served a first and second request for production on the Defendants on November 30, 2001 and January 18, 2002, respectively. In addition, Proskauer served a second set of interrogatories on the Defendants on January 18, 2002. Copies of Proskauer's first and second request for production and second set of interrogatories are attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
2. In the Defendants' response to Proskauer's first request for production, dated January 21,2002 , the Defendants stated that "all responsive documents are being produced." A copy of Defendants' response to Proskauer's request for production is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." However, no documents were ever produced by the Defendants. Further, the Defendants have never responded to Proskauer's second request for production or second set of interrogatories.
3. On February 4, 2002, the Defendants' counsel withdrew from this matter. During the next four (4) months, the parties attempted to settle this matter. However, because this matter was set for non-jury trial at the time when the Defendants' counsel withdrew, pretrial deadlines approached during the four (4) month period wherein the Defendants were without counsel. On February 11, 2002, this Court granted Proskauer's request for an extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists, and ordered that the parties shall serve their witness and exhibit lists within 10 days of the filing of a notice of appearance by counsel for the Defendants. A copy of the Court's order is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
4. As settlement attempts continued, Proskauer moved for a continuance of the trial in this matter. This Court granted Proskauer's motion for continuance of trial on May 21, 2002 and reset this matter on the Court's non-jury trial docket commencing September 30, 2002. However, pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Procedures, the time for filing the exhibit and witness lists predates the time when the case was reset for trial.
5. After settlement attempts failed, the Defendants' new counsel appeared in this matter on July 12, 2002.
6. Proskauer is unable to file its trial witness and exhibit lists during the time period specified in either the Court's Order dated February 11, 2002 or in the Court's Uniform Pretrial

Procedures, because (i) the Defendants have never provided any documents responsive to Proskauer's first request for production, (ii) the Defendants have never served a response to Proskauer's second request for production, and (iii) the Defendants have never served answers to Proskauer's second set of interrogatories. Regardless of which Order controls the time for serving exhibit and witness lists, the Defendants' failure to respond to discovery requests makes Proskauer's timely compliance impossible.
7. Proskauer will suffer great prejudice if it is made to serve its witness and exhibit lists without having the benefit of the Defendants' discovery responses. Although Proskauer has been diligent in its efforts to pursue discovery in this matter, the withdrawal of the Defendants' counsel has significantly delayed Proskauer's ability to receive responses to its discovery requests.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court order the following:
a. That the Defendants shall produce the documents responsive to Proskauer's first request for production dated November 30, 2001 and second request for production dated January 18,2002 within 20 days;
b. That the Defendants shall serve their answers to Proskauer's second set of interrogatories dated January 18, 2002 within 20 days;
c. That the deadlines for Proskauer filing its trial witness and exhibit lists be extended until 30 days after it receives the Defendants' responses to the aforementioned discovery; and
d. That the Court order any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 18 day of June, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boa Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145
$\underset{\text { David } \mathrm{C} \text {. George }}{(\mathrm{NN}}$
Florida Bar No. 0898570
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 18, 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


[^3]PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP, pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby requests that Defendants IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. produce for inspection and copying the following documents and things within thirty (30) days after service of this request.

## Definitions and Instructions

1. In responding to this request, all designated documents in Defendants' possession, custody or control are to be produced. These include documents in the possession, custody or control of Defendants' attorneys, their investigators or any third party to whom Defendants have surrendered possession, custody or control or who upon Defendants' request would surrender possession, custody or control to Defendants.
2. Each request for documents to be produced, whether memoranda, reports, letters or other documents of any description, contemplates production of the document in its entirety, without abbreviation or expurgation.
3. If any document herein requested was formerly in the possession, custody or control of Defendants and has been lost or destroyed, Defendants are requested to submit in lieu of each such document a written statement which:
(1) describes in detail the nature of the document and its contents;
(2) identifies the person who prepared or authorized the document and, if applicable, the person or persons to whom the document was sent; and
(3) specifies, if possible, the date on which the document was lost or destroyed, and, if destroyed, the conditions of and reasons for such destruction and the persons requesting and performing the destruction.
4. If there are no responsive documents to a request, so state in writing.
5. These requests shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental production if Defendants receive or generate additional documents in the designated categories between the time of original production and the time of trial.
6. In the event that any document called for by this request is withheld on the basis of a claim of privilege, that document is to be identified in writing pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.280(b)(5).
7. As used herein, the term "Plaintiff" shall mean the Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP.
8. As used herein, the term "Defendants" shall mean the Defendants, individually and collectively, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and each of their agents, employees, officers, directors, and attorneys.
9. "Document" shall include any written or recorded or graphic matter, or any electronic or computer stored data, however produced or reproduced, within the possession, custody or control of Defendants, or their agents or attorneys, including the original and every non-identical copy or draft thereof, regardless of the origin or location, including, but not limited to, correspondence, notes, personal diaries, proposals, telegrams, telexes, memoranda, tape recordings, video recordings, transcripts, notes or summaries of telephone or personal conversations, employee handbooks, employee manuals, calendars, logs, journals, reports, minutes, charts, drawings, photographs, agreements, court or administrative agency papers (whether or not filed), pamphlets, brochures, checks, contracts, or any other publications and drafts or translations of any document, Any document bearing any marks, such as initials, receipt stamps, or any note or comment that was not a part of the original text, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of these interrogatories.

## Documents Requested

1. Any and all documents reflecting communications between any of the Defendants and the Plaintiff relating to any of the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A", including without limitation correspondence, letters, notes, emails, faxes, messages, or memoranda.
2. Any and all nonprivileged documents reflecting communications between any of the Defendants and any third parties relating to or concerning the work performed by Plaintiff as reflected in the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint, the claims asserted by Plaintiff in this litigation, and/or the defenses raised by the Defendants.


Complaint, the claims asserted by Plaintiff in this litigation, and/or the defenses raised by the Defendants.
4. Any and all documents showing any payments made by any of the Defendants to the Plaintiff at any time, including without limitation, canceled checks, statements, receipts, letters, correspondence, memoranda, and notes.
5. Any and all documents which relate to or support the Defendants' Third Affirmative Defense that "the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided."
6. Any and all documents which relate to or support the Defendants' Fifth Affirmative Defense that "Plaintiff has already been adequately compensated for its services."

This 30 day of November, 2001.
PROSKAUER ROSE LIP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561)241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145
Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 30,2001 , a copy of the foregoing was served by Facsimile and U.S. Mail on Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boa Rato, FL 33431.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,


Defendant.

## PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP, pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby requests that Defendants IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. produce for inspection and copying the following documents and things within thirty (30) days after service of this request.

## Definitions and Instructions

1. In responding to this request, all designated documents in Defendants' possession, custody or control are to be produced. These include documents in the possession, custody or control of Defendants' attorneys, their investigators or any third party to whom Defendants have surrendered possession, custody or control or who upon Defendants' request would surrender possession, custody or control to Defendants.
2. Each request for documents to be produced, whether memoranda, reports, letters or other documents of any description, contemplates production of the document in its entirety, without abbreviation or expurgation.
3. If any document herein requested was formerly in the possession, custody or control of Defendants and has been lost or destroyed, Defendants are requested to submit in lieu of each such document a written statement which:
(1) describes in detail the nature of the document and its contents;
(2) identifies the person who prepared or authorized the document and, if applicable, the person or persons to whom the document was sent; and
(3) specifies, if possible, the date on which the document was lost or destroyed, and, if destroyed, the conditions of and reasons for such destruction and the persons requesting and performing the destruction.
4. If there are no responsive documents to a request, so state in writing.
5. These requests shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental production if Defendants receive or generate additional documents in the designated categories between the time of original production and the time of trial.
6. In the event that any document called for by this request is withheld on the basis of a claim of privilege, that document is to be identified in writing pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure $1.280(\mathrm{~b})(5)$.
7. As used herein, the term "Plaintiff" shall mean the Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP.
8. As used herein, the term "Defendants" shall mean the Defendants, individually and collectively, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and each of their agents, employees, officers, directors, and attorneys.
9. "Document" shall include any written or recorded or graphic matter, or any electronic or computer stored data, however produced or reproduced, within the possession, custody or control of Defendants, or their agents or attorneys, including the original and every non-identical copy or draft thereof, regardless of the origin or location, including, but not limited to, correspondence, notes, personal diaries, proposals, telegrams, telexes, memoranda, tape recordings, video recordings, transcripts, notes or summaries of telephone or personal conversations, employee handbooks, employee manuals, calendars, logs, journals, reports, minutes, charts, drawings, photographs, agreements, court or administrative agency papers (whether or not filed), pamphlets, brochures, checks, contracts, or any other publications and drafts or translations of any document. Any document bearing any marks, such as initials, receipt stamps, or any note or comment that was not a part of the original text, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of these interrogatories.

## Documents Requested

1. Any and all documents, including but not limited to correspondence, notes, telephone messages, e-mails and the like, which support and/or relate to the allegation contained in Defendants' Second Amended Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration . . . "
2. Any and all documents, including but not limited to correspondence, notes, telephone messages, e-mails and the like, which support and/or relate to the allegation contained in Defendants' Second Amended Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "[s]ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendant was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement."

This 18 day of January, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was served by Facsimile on Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, FL 33431.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT $\mathbb{N}$ AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

DOROTHY H. WILKEN CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

JAN 222002
COPY/ORIGINAL RECEIVED FOR FILING

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF SERVING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 12-20 TO DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP, pursuant to Rule 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby gives notice that it has served the attached Second Set of Interrogatories numbered 12-20 upon Defendants IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and requests that the Defendants answer the Interrogatories within thirty (30) days of the date of service. In responding to the attached Interrogatories, Defendants are to use the Definitions set forth in Schedule A and the Instructions set forth in Schedule B. Defendants and their counsel should review the Definitions and Instructions before preparing Defendants' responses.

This 18 day of January, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 18,2002 , the original plus one copy of these Interrogatories were served by Facsimile on Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, FL 33431.


Christopher W. Prusaski

## Schedule A - Definitions

1. 'Plaintiff" shall mean the Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP.
2. "Defendants" shall mean the Defendants, individually and collectively, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and each of their agents, employees, officers, directors, and attorneys.

3 The "Amended Complaint" shall refer to the Amended Complaint filed in this action and served under certificate of service dated August 3, 2001.
4. The "Answer and Affirmative Defenses" shall refer to the Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses filed in this action and served under certificate of service dated November 2, 2001.
5. The "Amended Second Affirmative Defense" shall refer to the Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense filed in this action and served under certificate of service dated December 20, 2001.
6. "Invoices" shall mean the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A".
7. "Document" shall include any written or recorded or graphic matter, or any electronic or computer stored data, however produced or reproduced, within the possession, custody or control of Defendants, or their agents or attorneys, including the original and every non-identical copy or draft thereof, regardless of the origin or location, including, but not limited to, correspondence, notes, personal diaries, proposals, telegrams, telexes, memoranda, tape recordings, video recordings, transcripts, notes or summaries of telephone or personal conversations, employee handbooks, employee manuals, calendars, logs, journals, reports, minutes, charts, drawings, photographs, agreements, court or administrative agency papers (whether or not filed), pamphlets, brochures,
checks, contracts, or any other publications and drafts or translations of any document. Any document bearing any marks, such as initials, receipt stamps, or any note or comment that was not a part of the original text, is to be considered a separate document for purposes of these interrogatories.
8. The connective terms "and" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the discovery request all responses that might otherwise be construed to be outside of its scope.
9. Using the singular form of any word includes the plural and vice versa.
10. "Identify" means describe in detail and as fully as possible the occurrence, act, situation, event, item, etc. to be identified.
11. "Identify" when used with reference to a person means to state:
(i) her or his full name and present (or, if the present is not known, her or his last known) address and telephone number; and
(ii) her or his present (or, if the present is not known, her or his last known) position or place of employment.
12. "Identify" when used with reference to a statement means:
(i) state the date and place it was made;
(ii) state whether the statement or representation was oral or written;
(iii) if oral, identify the oral communication in which it was made and any recording made thereof;
(iv) if in writing, identify the document in which it was made as set forth in paragraph 12 of these definitions; and
(v) provide the names, addresses, employers, and positions of all persons who made this statement or who were present at the time it was made.
13. To "identify" a document means to state the date of the document, its title (if any), its author(s), the nature of the document (e.g., letter, memorandum), the name of each person to whom it was addressed or distributed, the substance of its contents, its physical location, and the name and address of its custodian or custodians.
14. "Person" means the plural as well as the singular and includes any natural person and any firm, corporation, association, partnership, joint venture, governmental body, or other form of entity.

## Schedule B - Instructions

1. In answering each interrogatory, Defendants are requested to furnish all information known or available to them, regardless of whether this information is possessed directly by Defendants or their attorneys or other agents or representatives.
2. If Defendants cannot answer any of the interrogatories in full, Defendants should answer to the extent possible, specifying the reasons for their inability to respond in full.
3. If any interrogatory is only partially answered, Defendants should state whatever information, knowledge, or belief they have concerning the unanswered portion.
4. The interrogatories are continuing in nature. If, after answering the interrogatories, Defendants obtain or become aware of any further responsive information, they are required to make a supplemental interrogatory answer.
5. If Defendants claim that any information requested by any interrogatory is immune from discovery on the grounds of privilege, they should specify the basis of the privilege and provide
a description of the information sufficient to enable the Court to decide if they have properly invoked the privilege.
6. If the information provided in an interrogatory answer is not within Defendants' personal knowledge, they should identify each person to whom the information is a matter of personal knowledge, if known.

## Interrogatories

12. Identify each and every person who participated or assisted in preparing the answers to these Interrogatories.
13. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration . . ", please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you claim contains charges for work that the Plaintiff failed to perform.
14. For each invoice identified in response to Interrogatory 13, please explain with particularity the service(s) billed by Plaintiff for which Defendants contend was not performed.
15. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration . ..", did the Defendants ever complain to or otherwise notify Proskauer Rose LLP that Plaintiff allegedly failed to perform work?
16. If you answered Interrogatory 15 in the affirmative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP:
a. The date when the communication occurred;
b. The parties to the communication;
c. Whether the communication was oral or in writing; and
d. The substance of the communication.
17. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "[s]ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement," please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you claim contains charges for work that was not performed at the Defendants' request.
18. For each invoice identified in response to Interrogatory 17, please explain with particularity the service(s) billed by Plaintiff which was not performed at the Defendants' request.
19. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated December 20, 2001 that "[s]ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement," did the Defendants ever complain to or otherwise notify Proskauer Rose LLP that Plaintiff allegedly performed work which was not performed at Defendants' request?
20. If you answered Interrogatory 19 in the affirmative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP:
a. The date when the communication occurred;
b. The parties to the communication;
c. Whether the communication was oral or in writing; and
d. The substance of the communication.

## Signature

## Print name

## Print title

## STATE OF FLORIDA )

) ss.
COUNTY OF $\qquad$ )

On $\qquad$ 2002, $\qquad$ , who is personally known to me or produced as identification, appeared before me personally and stated under oath that the answers set forth in this response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories are based on his/her personal knowledge and are true and correct.

Name of Notary Public
My Commission Expires:
(SEAL)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, NC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File Its Witness and Exhibit Lists

DATE: Thursday, July 18, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

6143/S0145-255 ARLIE1/337915 vi

## PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse

 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This $\qquad$
j 8 day of June, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

By:


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true ard correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 18 day of June, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Fl 33480


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT $\mathbb{N}$ AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY，FLORIDA

CASE NO．CA 01－04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP，a New York limited liability partnership，

Plaintiff，
v．
IVIEWIT．COM，INC．，a Delaware corporation， IVIEWIT HOLDINGS，INC．，a Delaware corporation，and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES， LNC．，a Delaware corporation，

Defendants．

PROSKAUER＇S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXYIBIT LISTS

Plaintiff，Proskauer Rose LLP（＂Proskauer＂），hereby moves the Court for an order compelling the Defendants to serve their responses to the Plaintiff＇s first and second requests for production and second set of interrogatories and further moves the Court for an order extending the time within which Proskauer shall serve its trial exhibit and witness lists．In support of this motion，Proskauer states as follows：

1．Proskauer served a first and second request for production on the Defendants on November 30， 2001 and January 18，2002，respectively．In addition，Proskauer served a second set of interrogatories on the Defendants on January 18，2002．Copies of Proskauer＇s first and second request for production and second set of interrogatories are attached hereto as Exhibit ＂A．＂
2. In the Defendants' response to Proskauer's first request for production, dated January 21, 2002, the Defendants stated that "all responsive documents are being produced." A copy of Defendants' response to Proskauer's request for production is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." However, no documents were ever produced by the Defendants. Further, the Defendants have never responded to Proskauer's second request for production or second set of interrogatories.
3. On February 4, 2002, the Defendants' counsel withdrew from this matter. During the next four (4) months, the parties attempted to settle this matter. However, because this matter was set for non-jury trial at the time when the Defendants' counsel withdrew, pretrial deadines approached during the four (4) month period wherein the Defendants were without counsel. On February 11, 2002, this Court granted Proskauer's request for an extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists, and ordered that the parties shall serve their witness and exhibit lists within 10 days of the filing of a notice of appearance by counsel for the Defendants. A copy of the Court's order is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
4. As settlement attempts continued, Proskauer moved for a continuance of the trial in this matter. This Court granted Proskauer's motion for continuance of trial on May 21, 2002 and reset this matter on the Court's non-jury trial docket commencing September 30, 2002. However, pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Procedures, the time for filing the exhibit and witness lists predates the time when the case was reset for trial.
5. After settlement attempts failed, the Defendants' new counsel appeared in this matter on July 12, 2002.
6. Proskauer is unable to file its trial witness and exhibit lists during the time period specified in either the Court's Order dated February 11, 2002 or in the Court's Uniform Pretrial

Procedures, because (i) the Defendants have never provided any documents responsive to Proskauer's first request for production, (ii) the Defendants have never served a response to Proskauer's second request for production, and (iii) the Defendants have never served answers to Proskauer's second set of interrogatories. Regardless of which Order controls the time for serving exhibit and witness lists, the Defendants' failure to respond to discovery requests makes Proskauer's timely compliance impossible.
7. Proskauer will suffer great prejudice if it is made to serve its witness and exhibit lists without having the benefit of the Defendants' discovery responses. Although Proskauer has been diligent in its efforts to pursue discovery in this matter, the withdrawal of the Defendants' counsel has significantly delayed Proskauer's ability to receive responses to its discovery requests.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court order the following:
a. That the Defendants shall produce the documents responsive to Proskauer's first request for production dated November 30, 200 land second request for production dated January 18, 2002 within 20 days;
b. That the Defendants shall serve their answers to Proskauer's second set of interrogatories dated January 18, 2002 within 20 days;
c. That the deadlines for Proskauer filing its trial witness and exhibit lists be extended until 30 days after it receives the Defendants' responses to the aforementioned discovery; and
d. That the Court order any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This $\qquad$ day of June, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241.7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


DavidJ. George
Florida Bar No. 0898570
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 18, 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby responds to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories as follows:

1 HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by hand delivery to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this I $^{\text {5t }}$ day of January, 2002.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985

By: $\qquad$
liene Schnall, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 710474

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al. Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 2

1. Identify each and every person who participated or assisted in preparing the answers to these interrogatories.

William R. Kasser
Employee, Iviewit.com, Inc

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 3
2. List the names and addresses of all persons who are believed or known by Defendants, their agents, or their attorneys to have any knowledge concerning any of the issues in this lawsuit, and specify the subject matter about which the witness has knowledge.

Brian G. Utley
1930 S.W. $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
Raymond T. Hersh
23077 Via Stiel
Boca Raton, FL 33423


Both parties are believed to have knowledge about services rendered by Proskauer Rose LLP to Iviewit.com, Inc.; Iviewit Holdings, Inc.; and Iviewit Technologies, Inc.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 4
3. Identify the representative(s) of the Defendants with the most knowledge as to the allegations contained in the Amended Complaint and the Answer and Affirmative Defenses.

William Kasser
991 N.W. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 5
4. Are there any invoices attached to the amended Complaint as Exhibit " A " which the Defendants claim were paid?.

Yes.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 6
5. If Defendants' answer to interrogatory number 4 is in the affirmative, please identify as to each invoice:
a. the invoice number;

343838
b. the date of payment;

March 8, 2000
c. the amount paid;
$\$ 43,470.94$
d. the check number

1475

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 7
6. Do the Defendants claim that there are any invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A that were not received by the Defendants?

There are invoices that the Defendants have no record of receiving.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 8
7. If the answer to interrogatory number 6 was in the affirmative, please identify the invoice number of each invoice which Defendants claim was not received.

The Defendants have no record of receiving the following invoices:
343840
349188
349189
349190
349191
349859
349888
352748
352749
352750
352751
352752
352753
356503
363830
363831
363832
363833
363834
363835
363836
363837
363840
363841
363844
363970
368322
371505
371753
380021
380022
380023
380024
380025
395537

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 9
8. Did the Defendants notify Proskauer Rose LLP of a complaint and/or objection as to any of the invoices attached to the amended Complaint as Exhibit " A "?

The Defendants do not know if there were any complaints and/or objection as to any of the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " A ".

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 10
9. If the answer to interrogatory number 8 was in the affirmative, please identify as to each invoice where an objection and/or complaint was made:
a. the invoice number;
b. the date of the complaint and/or objection;
c. the name of the agent or employee of Defendants who lodged the complaint and/or objection;
d. whether the complaint and/or objection was verbal or in writing;
e. The name of the agent or employee of Proskauer Rose LLP who was contacted with the complaint and/or objection; and
f. Describe the substance of the objection and/or complaint.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 11
10. As to Defendants' statement contained in Defendants' Third Affirmative Defense that "the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided," please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you contend reflects as "unreasonable" charge as described in the Third Affirmative Defense.

As the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit A do not reflect what services were performed for which charge, it is unreasonable, if not impossible for Defendants to identify each invoice attached as Exhibit A which reflects an unreasonable charge.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 12
11. For each invoice identified in response to Interrogatory 10, please explain with particularity the service(s) performed by the Plaintiff for which defendants contend the charge was "unreasonable" as stated in the Third Affirmative Defense.

See Answer to Interrogatory 10.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 13
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.


WluccAm R. $\angle 4 \leq \leq R 2$
Print Name
$\qquad$
Print title

## STATE OF FLORIDA ) <br> ) 55. <br> COUNTY OF PALM BEACH )

On /asmara /Le_, 2001, WILLIAM R. KASSER, who is personally known to me or reduced as identification, appeared before me personally and stated under oath that the answers set forth in this response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories are based on his personal knowledge and are true and correct.

(SEAL)
OFFICIAL NOTARYSEAL LINDA PLANT NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION NO. CC708855 MY COMMISSION EXP. JAN. 15,2002

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,

v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.,
a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S, RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO DEFENDANT PROPOUNDED ON NOVEMBER 30, 2001

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby respond to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions to Defendants as follows:

1. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Denied.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
2. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
3. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
4. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
5. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 4
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
6. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
7. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
8. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
9. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
10. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
11. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
12. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
13. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
14. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
15. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
16. a. Admit.
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b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
17. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
18. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
19. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the

Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
20. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
21. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invom.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
22. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
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employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
23. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
24. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
25. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
26. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
27. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
28. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However. Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior age.
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
29. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
30. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
31. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
32. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
33. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
34. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
35. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page 23
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
36. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agent. employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to ir complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
37. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
38. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the

Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
39. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
40. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
41. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
42. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
43. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
44. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
45. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE IIP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
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e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
46. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
47. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
48. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
49. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
48. a. The Defendants have no records which reflect receipt of this invoice nor do they have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. As the Defendants have no knowledge of receipt of this invoice, the Defendants are without knowledge as to the status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
49. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
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b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
50. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents,
employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
51. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
52. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice. c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
53. a. The Defendants have one document to their knowledge which reflects receipt of this invoice, but they do not have a copy of the invoice in their records.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
54. a. Admit.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Admit.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.
55. a. Defendants have no record of receipt of this invoice.
b. The Defendants are without knowledge as to whether the invoice was sent by PROSKAUER ROSE LLP on the date reflected on the invoice.
c. Defendants are without knowledge as to status of payment of this invoice.
d. The Plaintiff is without knowledge as to whether PROSKAUER ROSE LLP performed the work as reflected on the invoice.
e. None of the current agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to this invoice being untimely. However, the Defendants are without knowledge as to whether any of the prior agents, employees or representatives of the Defendants objected to or complained to the Plaintiff about the invoice being untimely.

Proskauer Rose LLP, etc. v. Iviewit.com, etc., et al.
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I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via hand delivery to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this $21^{\text {st }}$ day of January 2002.
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; AND IVIEWIT IECHNOLOGIES, INC., RESPONSES AND OBIECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRSI REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANTS

COMES NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., (sometimes hereinafter jointly referred to as "IVIEWIT"), by and through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and files its responses and objections to Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants with certificate of service date of November 30, 2001, as follows:

1. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
2. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege.

However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
3. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
4. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
5. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are being produced.
6. Objection to the extent that this request calls for Defendant, IVIEWIT, to produce documents protected by attorney/client and/or work product privilege. However, without waiving said objection, all responsive documents are been produced.

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANTS

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.; IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.; and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby responds to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories as follows:

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by hand delivery to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boa Rato, Florida 33431, this $21^{\text {14 }}$ day of January, 2002.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, PA.

Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Rato, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.


Defendants.

# DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT 

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and, files its Amended Second Affirmative Defense as follows:

## AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants in that:

1) Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration; and/or
2) Some work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement.


Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.Com, Inc. et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671AB
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I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via US Mail and facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq. and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 on this the $20^{\text {th }}$ day of Decemby 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants-
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

By: $\overbrace{\text { Spencer M. Sax }}^{\text {Facsimile: (561) 994-4985 }}$
Florida Bar No.: 312241
Ilene S. Schnall
Florida Bar No. 0710474

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.


## REPLY

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP hereby replies to the Defendants' Seventh Affirmative Defense and states:

1. Plaintiff denies the Defendants' Seventh Affirmative Defense and specifically avoids same by stating that the Plaintiff's claims for open account and account stated are only directed against Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP respectfully requests that the Court grant the relief requested in the Amended Complaint and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

DATED: November $15,2001$.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for the Plaintiff
One Boca Place - Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 15 day of November, 2001, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., Attorneys for the Defendants, 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, FL 33431.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,


Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP, pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.140, moves this Court to strike the Second, Sixth, Eighth, and Eleventh Affirmative Defenses asserted by the Defendants and in support states as follows:

## Preliminary Statement

This is an action for unpaid legal fees. The Amended Complaint asserts four counts: breach of oral contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit. After this Court denied the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint, the Defendants served their Answer and asserted eleven Affirmative Defenses on November 2, 2001. A copy is attached as Exhibit "A." For the reasons set forth below, the Second, Sixth, Eighth, and Eleventh Affirmative Defenses are legally insufficient and should be stricken.

## Second Affirmative Defense

Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants.

The Second Affirmative Defense violates Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.120, which states that "[i]n pleading the performance or occurrence of conditions precedent, it is sufficient to aver generally that all conditions precedent have been performed or have occurred. A denial of performance or occurrence shall be made specifically and with particularity." Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.120(c) (emphasis added); see also Cooke v. Insurance Co. of N. Am., 652 So. 2d 1154, 1156 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995) (the denial of conditions precedent must be made with specificity and particularity). Here, this bare conclusory statement lacks the particularity required by the Rules and must be stricken.

## Sixth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiff's claims should be stricken because Plaintiff failed to attach to its Amended Complaint all documents upon which it bases its claims, in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.130.

This defense should be stricken for three reasons. First, it is redundant of the First Affirmative Defense, ${ }^{1}$ which states that Plaintiff failed to state a cause of action. Second, it is a second attempt by the Defendants to make this argument after the Court already rejected it by denying the Defendants' motion to dismiss. See Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Ware, 401 So. 2d 1129, 1130 (Fla. 4th DCA 1981) (a motion to dismiss is the proper method to challenge the alleged failure to attach an exhibit to a pleading). Finally, it is merely a bare conclusion which does not give rise

[^4]to a true defense to the Amended Complaint. See Tropical Exterminators, Inc. v. Murray, 171 So. 2d 432, 433 (Fla. 2d DCA 1965) (affirmative defenses do not merely deny the complaint, but must raise new matters that defeat an apparently valid claim); Cady v. Chevy Chase Sav. \& Loan, Inc. 528 So. 2d 136, 137-38 (affirmative defenses are insufficient as a matter of law when lacking in any real allegations of ultimate fact demonstrating a good defense to the complaint). For any of these reasons, the Sixth Affirmative Defense must be stricken.

## Eighth Affirmative Defense

Plaintiff's claim for quantum meruit should be stricken in light of Plaintiff's simultaneous claims for breach of contract, open account, and account stated. Such claims are inherently inconsistent with and repugnant with each other.

Not only does this defense utterly ignore the alternative pleading requirement stated in the Rules of Civil Procedure, the Defendants are again attempting to reargue an issue which was raised in their motion to dismiss and denied by this Court. The law is clear that a plaintiff is free to assert alternative theories of breach of contract, quantum meruit, and account stated as long as it later elects its remedies. Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.110(b); Alvarez v. Puelo, 561 So. 2d 437, 437-38 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990). The striking of this defense is clearly warranted.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense
Plaintiff's demand for attorney's fees should be stricken as Plaintiff has failed to state a contractual or statutory basis thereto.

This is not a proper defense and it should be stricken. See Tropical Exterminators, 171 So. 2d at 433; Cady, 528 So. 2d at 137-38. While the Defendants failed to raise this issue in their motion to dismiss, a motion to strike is the proper method to raise this argument. See Fla. R. Civ. P. $1.140(\mathrm{f})$.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP respectfully requests that the Court enter an order striking the Second, Sixth, Eighth, and Eleventh Affirmative Defenses and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

DATED: November $\dot{1 \zeta}, 2001$.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for the Plaintiff
One Boca Place - Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of November, 2001, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., Attorneys for the Defendants, 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, FL 33431.


Christopher Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and answer and assert affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

1. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Denied.
6. Admitted that venue is proper in Palm Beach County, Florida. All allegations not specifically admitted are denied.
7. Denied.
8. Denied.
9. Denied.
10. Denied.
11. Denied.
12. Denied.
13. Denied.
14. Denied.

## COUNT I- BREACH OF CONTRACI

15. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as if specifically set forth herein.
16. Denied.
17. Denied.
18. Denied.
19. Denied.
20. Denied.

## COUNT 11 • ACCOUNT STATED

21. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as specifically set forth herein.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.

Admitted that PROSKAUER furnished a list of the alleged outstanding statements to Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. All allegations not specifically admitted are
denied.
25. Denied.
26. Denied.
27. Denied.

## COUNTII-OPEN ACCOUNT

28. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.
31. Denied.

## COUNTIV-QUANTUM MERUIT

32. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
33. Denied.
34. Denied.
35. Denied.
36. Denied.
37. Denied.
38. Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in the "WHEREFORE" clauses of the Amended Complaint.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## First Affirmative Defense

39. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted in that Defendants herein were not parties to any contract or agreement with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's allegations are in direct conflict with the relevant written documents.

## Second Affirmative Defense

40. Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants.

## Third Affirmative Defense

41. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided. Thus, Plaintiff's recovery hereIn, If any, should be reduced accordingly.

## Fourth Affirmative Defenses

42. Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants herein are barred or should be stricken in that Plaintiff did not provide any services to Defendants and, thus, Plaintiff would be unjustly enriched if permitted to make any recovery against them.

Fifth Affirmative Defense
43. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff has already been adequately compensated for its services.

## Sixth Affirmative Defense

44. Plaintiff's claims should be stricken because Plaintiff failed to attach to its Amended Complaint all documents upon which it bases its claims, in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.130.

## Seventh Affirmative Defense

45. Plaintiff's claims for account stated and open account do not state causes of action against the Defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were not directed.

## Eighth Affirmative Defense

46. Plaintiff's claim for quantum meruit should be stricken in light of Plaintiff's simultaneous claims for breach of contract, open account, and account stated. Such claims are inherently inconsistent with and repugnant to each other.

## Ninth Affirmative Defense

47. Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to join an indispensable party, namely iviewit LLP.

## Tenth Affirmative Defense

48. Liability, if any, to Plaintiff for the relief requested against Defendants herein is that of a non-party, namely iviewit LLP. Thus, recovery, if any, against Defendants herein should be reduced accordingly.

## Eleventh Affirmative Defense

49. Plaintiff's demands for attorneys' fees should be stricken as Plaintiff has failed
to state a contractual or statutory basis for entitlement thereto.
50. Defendants reserve the right to amend their affirmative defenses if appropriate as discovery progresses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff, award attorneys fees pursuant to Section 57.105, Florida Statutes, and award such other and further relief this Court deems appropriate.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, November 2, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Sulte 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985
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> IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.


## DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

 ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINTCOME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and answer and assert affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

1. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Denied.
6. Admitted that venue is proper in Palm Beach County, Florida. All allegations not specifically admitted are denied.
7. Denied.
8. Denied.
9. Denied.
10. Denied.
11. Denied.
12. Denied.
13. Denied.
14. Denied.

## COUNT I-BREACH OF CONTRACT

15. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as if specifically set forth herein.
16. Denied.
17. Denied.
18. Denied.
19. Denied
20. Denied.

## COUNT II-ACCOUNT STATED

21. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as specifically set forth herein.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.
24. 

Admitted that PROSKAUER furnished a list of the alleged outstanding statements to Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. All allegations not specifically admitted are
denied.
25. Denied.
26. Denied.
27. Denied.

## COUNT III - OPEN ACCOUNT

28. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.
31. Denied.

## COUNT IV - QUANTUM MERUIT

32. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
33. Denied.
34. Denied.
35. Denied.
36. Denied.
37. Denied.
38. Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in the "WHEREFORE" clauses of the Amended Complaint.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## First Affirmative Defense

39. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted in that Defendants herein were not parties to any contract or agreement with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's allegations are in direct conflict with the relevant written documents.

## Second Affirmative Defense

40. Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants.

## Third Affirmative Defense

41. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided. Thus, Plaintiff's recovery herein, if any, should be reduced accordingly.

## Fourth Affirmative Defenses

42. Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants herein are barred or should be stricken in that Plaintiff did not provide any services to Defendants and, thus, Plaintiff would be unjustly enriched if permitted to make any recovery against them.

## Fifth Affirmative Defense

43. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff has already been adequately compensated for its services.

## Sixth Affirmative Defense

44. Plaintiff's claims should be stricken because Plaintiff failed to attach to its Amended Complaint all documents upon which it bases its claims, in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.130.

## Seventh Affirmative Defense

45. Plaintiff's claims for account stated and open account do not state causes of action against the Defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were not directed.

## Eighth Affirmative Defense

46. Plaintiff's claim for quantum meruit should be stricken in light of Plaintiff's simultaneous claims for breach of contract, open account, and account stated. Such claims are inherently inconsistent with and repugnant to each other.

## Ninth Affirmative Defense

47. Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to join an indispensable party, namely iviewit LLP.

## Tenth Affirmative Defense

48. Liability, if any, to Plaintiff for the relief requested against Defendants herein is that of a non-party, namely iviewit LLP. Thus, recovery, if any, against Defendants herein should be reduced accordingly.

## Eleventh Affirmative Defense

49. Plaintiff's demands for attorneys' fees should be stricken as Plaintiff has failed
to state a contractual or statutory basis for entitlement thereto.
50. Defendants reserve the right to amend their affirmative defenses if appropriate as discovery progresses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff, award attorneys fees pursuant to Section 57.105, Florida Statutes, and award such other and further relief this Court deems appropriate.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, November 2, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985
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## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,
 IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court upon Defendants', IVIEWIT. COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and the Court having reviewed the Court file, having heard argument of counsel, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: That Defendants', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, is hereby GRANTED/DENIED

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, EXbrida 33401, on this day of $\qquad$ 2001.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,

## vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.,
 a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ("Proskauer") hereby responds to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and states as follows:

1. This is an action for unpaid legal fees. The Amended Complaint asserts four counts: breach of oral contract, account stated, open account, and quantum merit. The Amended Complaint at 7 clearly sets forth the contractual relationship between the parties by stating that Proskauer entered into an oral agreement with Defendants pursuant to which Proskauer would provide legal services. The Amended Complaint also states that Proskauer performed the work pursuant to the oral contract ( $\$ 11$ ), that Defendants accepted Proskauer's services ( $\$ 12$ ), and that Defendants refused to pay the sum of $\$ 369,460.97$ after timely invoices were sent ( $\$ 13$ ). The Amended Complaint
attaches the invoices and an accounting as Exhibits " $A$ " and " $B$," respectively. The invoices identify the Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2. The basis for Defendants' motion to dismiss is that the original Complaint attached a retainer agreement which named "iviewit LLC" as a party thereto. As a result, Defendants urge dismissal, arguing that iviewit LLC is an indispensible party to this action. Furthermore, Defendants argue that the retainer agreement attached to the original Complaint controls and allegedly contradicts the allegations of an oral contract in the Amended Complaint (which does not attach a retainer agreement). However, this argument is legally defective and the motion to dismiss must be denied.
3. Florida law is clear that a complaint need only state ultimate facts sufficient to show the existence of a cause of action. Greenwald v. Triple D Prop. Inc., 424 So. 2d 185, 185-86 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1986). As stated above, the Amended Complaint clearly alleges the existence of an oral agreement to provide legal services between Proskauer and Defendants. This Court must assume that all material factual allegations in the Amended Complaint are true and must draw all reasonable inferences in favor of Proskauer. See East Caribbean Dev. \& Inv. Corp. v. K-K Auto Serv., 435 So. 2d 364, 365 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1983); ${ }^{1}$ see also Fountainbleau Hotel Corp. v. Walters, 246 So. 2 d 563 , 565 (Fla. 1971) (it is not necessary for the plaintiff to state the theory of its case in the complaint). While Proskauer acknowledges that it previously entered into a written retainer agreement with "iviewit LLC," there is nothing inconsistent with that fact and Proskauer's assertion at 97 of the
${ }^{1}$ In Palm Bch. County v. Savage Constr. Co., 627 So. 2d 1332 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1993). the Fourth District cautioned that "the objective of all pleadings is merely to provide a method for setting out the opposing contentions of the parties. No longer are we concerned with the etrich; and technicalities of the trade.'"

Amended Complaint that it entered into a subsequent oral agreement to provide legal services to Defendants.
4. Furthermore, Defendants have inappropriately attempted to inject extrinsic "facts" into their argument, arguing at $\mathbb{T} 7$ of the motion to dismiss that the entity that actually contracted with Proskauer for payment of fees is "iviewit LLC" and that there is no written contract between Proskauer and Defendants. Plainly, Defendants' contention regarding the identity of the proper defendant raises an issue of fact, which the court cannot resolve at the motion to dismiss stage. ${ }^{2}$ Cintron v. Osmose Wood Preserving, Inc., 681 So. $2 \mathrm{~d} 859,860-61$ (Fla. $5^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1996). As for Defendants' assertion that they are not a party to a written contract, the Amended Complaint clearly states that it is not based upon a written retainer agreement. To the contrary, it is based on an oral agreement. That there is no written agreement between Proskauer and Defendants is of absolutely no consequence, as Florida law does not require a written agreement to provide legal services in such circumstances. See Rule Reg. Fla. Bar 4-1.5.
5. It should also be noted that Defendants' statement at \| 11 that Counts II and III cannot be maintained against IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. or IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. merely because the invoices identify "IVIEWIT.COM, INC." simply misses the mark, as Counts II (account stated) and III (open account) are only pled against Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. - the entity identified on the invoices. Any argument that Proskauer has not stated a viable claim against Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. is frivolous.
6. Count IV (quantum meruit) is maintainable against all of Defendants, as Proskauer has alleged at $97-12$ of the Amended Complaint that it performed services for all Defendants for

[^5]which the parties understood that compensation was to be paid. See Daniel Levine \& Co. Realtors v. Beach Enters., Ltd., 549 So. 2d 1131, 1132 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989). The law is clear that a plaintiff may bring an action under an implied contract regardless of whether or not the parties have entered into a written contract. Banks v. Steinhardt, 427 So. 2d 1054, 1056 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1983). A plaintiff is also free to assert alternative theories of breach of contract and quantum meruit as long as it later elects its remedies. Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.110(b); Alvarez v. Puelo, 561 So. 2d 437, 437-38 (Fla. 2d DCA 1990).
7. As can be seen, the Amended Complaint sets forth all of the ultimate facts required to state claims for breach of contract, open account, account stated, and quantum meruit. Simply put, Defendants' motion to dismiss is without merit and achieves nothing but delay. The legal fees that remain due and owing are, quite frankly, substantial. Pursuant to the authority of this Court, Proskauer specifically requests as award of attorney's fees incurred in opposing Defendants' dilatory motions, pursuant to § 57.105(1), Florida Statutes.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP respectfully requests that this Court deny Defendants' motion to dismiss, grant Proskauer an award of attomey's fees pursuant to § 57.105, Florida Statutes, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

DATED: September 2001.


# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
 IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (collectively referred to herein as "IVIEWIT"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.140 and 1.190 and applicable case law, hereby file this, their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership (hereinafter referred to as "PROSKAUER"), Amended Complaint, on the following grounds:

1. On or about May 2, 2001, Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, filed a Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for an alleged breach of a contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit.
2. With certificate of service date of June 11, 2001, Defendants, IVIEWIT, filed and served their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and failed to satisfy conditions precedent prior to bringing the within action. One of the grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was Plaintiff's failure to post a nonresident cost bond pursuant to Fla. Stat., §57.011.
3. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of June 15, 2001, Plaintiff served its Notice of Filing Nonresident Cost Bond with the Clerk of this Court.
4. One of the other grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was that Plaintiff had sued the wrong party Defendant because Plaintiff had entered into a contract with a non-party, iviewit LLC, and was attempting to impute the alleged breach of contract claim to Defendants who were not parties to the contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC. The written contract (which was attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint) was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, not between Plaintiff and Defendants. Therefore, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a cause of action against these Defendants.
5. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of August 3, 2001, Plaintiff served an Amended Complaint against Defendants for an alleged breach of contract, account stated, open account and quantum meruit. The exhibits attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and simply attached as exhibits
certain invoices addressed to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM, INC. However, there are no documents attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint which show any agreement or contract entered into between Plaintiff and Defendants.
6. Therefore, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed as well for failure to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and for failure to join and/or name an indispensable party, namely, iviewit LLC.
7. Although in the Amended Complaint Plaintiff has removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC (which was previously attached as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Complaint) in an attempt to defeat Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the facts of this case still remain and cannot be refuted. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint arises out of an alleged Engagement Agreement entered into between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, on or about October 8, 1999, for representation in connection with general corporate advice. There is no agreement or contract between Plaintiff and Defendants despite Plaintiff's attempt to attach as exhibits to its Amended Complaint invoices which are simply addressed to one of the Defendants. These "invoices" cannot form the basis of a claim for breach of contract against Defendants.
8. Because iviewit LLC is clearly the proper party that entered into the written contract for legal services with Plaintiff, iviewit LLC is the necessary and proper party Defendant in this action. Because Plaintiff has failed to name and/or join iviewit LLC as an indispensable party, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed and Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice.
9. Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint revealed that the subject alleged contract was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and Plaintiff cannot avoid that fact by simply
failing to attach this contract as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
10. On a Motion to Dismiss, a trial court must consider exhibits attached to and incorporated in a Complaint. Harry Pepper and Associates, Inc. v. Lasseter, 247 So. 2d 736 (Fla. 3d DCA 1971). Any inconsistency between the general allegations of material fact in a Complaint and the specific facts revealed by an attached exhibit has the effect of neutralizing each allegation, thus rendering the pleading objectionable. Id. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint state that Defendants breached the subject agreement and Exhibit A which was previously attached to Plaintiff's Complaint reveals that the agreement was with iviewit LLC, there are inconsistencies in the pleadings such that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is subject to being dismissed.
11. Moreover, because the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were sent to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM.INC. and not to Defendants, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., or IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Counts II, II and IV cannot be maintained against these Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., respectfully request that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, Amended Complaint for the reasons as stated above, to award Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for having to defend this action and for such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this day of August, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.<br>Attorneys for Defendants<br>301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150<br>Boca Raton, Florida 33431

(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985


M: KLitigationWviewit.comlPleadingWotion to Dismiss Am. Compl. wpd.wpd

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New

York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,

DOROTHY H. WILKES
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.


#### Abstract

AMENDED COMPLAINT Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ("PROSKAUER"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and states as follows:


## PARTIES

1. PROSKAUER is a New York limited liability partnership that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida as a law firm, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
2. IVIEWIT.COM, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
3. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
4. IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for damages in excess of fifteen thousand dollars $(\$ 15,000.00)$, exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
6. Venue lies in Palm Beach County pursuant to section 47.011, Fla. Stat., in that this cause of action arose in Boca Raton, Florida and all payments were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

7. Prior to the commencement of this action, PROSKAUER entered into an oral agreement (the "Agreement") with Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT"), pursuant to which IVIEWIT retained PROSKAUER to provide legal services on their behalf.
8. All payments owed pursuant to the Agreement were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.
9. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT agreed to pay PROSKAUER for all legal services performed and to reimburse PROSKAUER for all costs advanced on its behalf.
10. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT was to pay all bills as submitted. .
11. As soon as the Agreement was consummated, PROSKAUER immediately began providing legal services and incurred attorney time, costs and expenses on IVIEWIT's behalf.
12. IVIEWIT accepted the benefit of PROSKAUER's services under the Agreement.
13. Despite IVIEWIT's obligations to pay PROSKAUER pursuant to the Agreement, and PROSKAUER's demands for payment, IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \$369,460.97 (three hundred sixty-nine thousand four hundred sixty and $97 / 100$ dollars), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
14. All conditions precedent to this action have been performed, have occurred, or have been waived.

## Count I-Breach of Contract

15. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
16. PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT pursuant to the Agreement and rendered invoices for those services.
17. Despite PROSKAUER's repeated demands, IVIEWIT breached the Agreement by failing to pay the total amount due and owing for such services.
18. As a result of IVIEWIT's breach, PROSKAUER has been damaged in the amount of $\$ 369,460.97$, plus interest.
19. PROSKAUER will incur attomeys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
20. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER $\$ 369,460.97$ pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attomeys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for $\$ 369,460.97$, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attomeys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## Count II - Account Stated

21. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.
22. Before the institution of this action, PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT.COM, INC., and although IVIEWIT.COM, INC. made infrequent payments on its account, the balance owed to PROSKAUER is $\$ 369,460.97$ as of May $1,2001$.
23. PROSKAUER rendered statements to IVIEWIT.COM, INC. reflecting the work performed for IVIEWIT.COM, INC. and reflecting the amount owed. IVIEWIT.COM, INC. did not object to the statements. True and correct copies of the statements are attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
24. Also, on April 16, 2001, PROSKAUER furmished an entire list of the outstanding statements to IVIEWIT.COM, INC., to which IVIEWIT.COM, INC. never objected. A true and correct copy of the April 16 letter enclosing the list of outstanding statements is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
25. Accordingly, IVIEWIT.COM, INC. is obligated to pay PROSKAUER $\$ 369,460.97$ that is due with interest since April 16, 2001 on the account.
26. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
27. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. owes PROSKAUER $\$ 369,460.97$ pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attomeys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT.COM, INC. for $\$ 369,460.97$, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## Count III-Open Account

28. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.
29. IVIEWIT.COM, INC. owes PROSKAUER $\$ 369,460.97$ that is due with interest since April 16,2001 , according to Composite Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B."
30. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
31. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWTT.COM, INC. owes PROSKAUER $\$ 369,460.97$ pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT.COM, INC. for $\$ 369,460.97$, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

## Count IV - Quantum Meruit

32. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.
33. PROSKAUER performed legal services for IVIEWIT at IVIEWIT's request and with its knowledge. In addition, PROSKAUER advanced various costs on IVIEWIT's behalf, at its request and with its knowledge.
34. IVIEWIT received and accepted the benefit of the legal services performed and costs advanced on its behalf by PROSKAUER, but has failed and refused to pay for the balance owed for said services and costs.
35. By accepting PROSKAUER's legal services without paying therefor, IVIEWIT has been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of services provided and costs expended.
36. The reasonable value of PROSKAUER's services provided and costs advanced on IVIEWIT's behalf for which PROSKAUER has not been paid is $\$ 369,460.97$.
37. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for damages in an amount to be proven at trial, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

This
 day of August, 2001.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $3^{3}$ day of August, 2001, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was been furnished by facsimile (without exhibits) and U.S. mail (with exhibits) to Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., Attorneys for Defendants, 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Ration, Florida 33431.


Matthew Prigs

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADRS ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

343838
January 31, 2000

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE ##: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 82,235.00$
$\$ 3,080.54$
$\$ 85,315.54$

```
•
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
343840
2255 GLADES ROAD
January $\overline{3 i}, 2000$
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. EIIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: HUIZENGA LOAN
FILE \#: 40017.0025

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 1,273.75$ $\$ 25.25$

TOTAL DUE:

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . 3&6259
2255 GLADES ROAD
February 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP $\quad$| 2255 Glades Road |
| :--- |
| Suite 340 West |
| Boca Raton, FL $33431-7360 \quad$ Employer Identification No. 13 -1840454 |

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 72,712.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 3,636.90$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 76,349.40$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/24/99 | 327337 | \$98,878.10 | $(\$ 58,347.55)$ | \$40,530.55 |
| 09/25/99 | 330767 | \$44,206.08 | \$.00 | \$44,206.08 |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | \$. 00 | \$42,038.20 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$.00 | \$50.154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$.00 | \$85,315.54 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . }34918
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ M a r c h ~ 1 5 , ~ 2 0 0 0 ~
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP $\quad$| 2255 Glades Road |
| :--- |
| Suite 340 West |
| Boca Raton, FL $33431-7350 \quad$ Employer Identification No. 13-1840454 |

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
EILE #: 40017.0002
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT


```
IVIEWIT.COM; INC. ... 349189
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ M a r c h ~ 1 5 , ~ 2 0 0 0 ~
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/G/US
EILE 亣: 40017.0003
EOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED EOR THE EERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAI EEES: $37.50
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: S14.30
TOTAL DUE:
    $51.80
```

```
IVIENIT.COM, INC. ... . 349190.
2255 GLADES ROAD
March i's, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE 希: 40017.0008
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED EOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED ERINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
\$27.50
$\ldots 1.61$
$\$ 29.11$

```
IVIENIT.COM, INC. ... ' 349191.
2255 GLADES ROAD March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CLIENT NAME: IVIEMIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
EILE $\#: \quad 40017.0019$

FOR IEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUI

```
TOTAL FEES: $4,032
```

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | RAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/20/99 | 339561 | \$611.94 | \$. 00 | \$ 611.94 |
| 01/25/00 | 342897 | \$1,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 01/25/00 | 342936 | \$881. 64 | \$.00 | S 881.64 |
| TOTAL OE PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES |  |  |  | \$2,493.58 |
| TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE |  |  |  | \$4,032.00 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT DUE |  |  |  | 56.525.58 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . 349378
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 16, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA. RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 81,993.75$

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 4,377.31$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 86,371.06$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 / 12 / 99$ | 333536 | $\$ 42,038.20$ | $(\$ 20.120 .62)$ | $\$ 21,917.58$ |
| $12 / 29 / 99$ | 340154 | $\$ 50.154 .10$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 50.154 .10$ |
| $01 / 31 / 00$ | 343838 | $\$ 85,315.54$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 85,315.54$ |
| $02 / 17 / 00$ | 346259 | $\$ 76,349.40$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 76,349.40$ |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . . 349859
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~
March 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: AIPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
    `SBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $118.90
TOTAL DUE:
$118.90
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
349888
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 21; 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Employer Idenuification No. 13-1840454

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/38/US FILE \#: 40017.0004

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED QRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 14.43$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 14 . \leq 3$

```
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
```

$\$ 14.43$
-

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... }35274
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP $\quad$| 2255 Glades Road |
| :--- |
| Suite 340 West |
| Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360 $\quad$ Employer Identiflcation No. $13-1840454$ |

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/9/US
FILE #: 40017.0003
```

FOR DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD
ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET EORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 58.53$
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 58.53$
OUTSTANDING INVOICES


```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . 352750..
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP $\quad$| 2255 Glades Road |
| :--- |
| Suite 340 West |
| Boca Raton, FL $33431-7360 \quad$ Employer Identification No. 13-1840454 |

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC..
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/42/US
EILE #: 40017.0005
```

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
A.S SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL EEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 588.75$
$\$ \quad 0.40$
$\$ 589.15$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . 352751 ..
2255 GLADES ROAD April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Aoad
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0007
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED EOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET EORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL EEES:
$\$ 76.25$
TOTAL DUE:
576.25

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... 352752.
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
EILE #: 40017.0010
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 76.25$
$\$ 76.25$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... 352753.
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADENLLRK/GENERAL
FILE #: 40017.0019
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED EOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL EEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PA.MMENTS & \begin{tabular}{l}
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 12/20/99 & 339661 & \$611.94 & \$. 00 & \$611.94 \\
\hline 01/25/00 & 342897 & \$1,000.00 & \$.00 & \$1,000.00 \\
\hline 01/25/00 & 342936 & \$881.64 & \$. 00 & \$881.64 \\
\hline 03/15/00 & 349191 & \$4,032.00 & \$.00 & \$4,032.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\(\cdots\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OE PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES:} & \$6,525.58 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:} & \$2,238.50 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{BALANCE DUE:} & \$8.764.08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
354153 ..
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: @0017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 10,872.58$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BAILANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | (\$28, 525.72) | \$13,512.48 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$.00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$.00 | \$76,349.40 |
| 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$86,371.06 | \$.00 | \$86,371.06 |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
ATIENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

356497
May 30, 2000

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS RND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 14.476 .25$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 347.03$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 14,823.28$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INYOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALIANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10 / 12 / 99$ | 333536 | $\$ 42,038.20$ | $(\$ 28,525.72)$ | $\$ 13,512.48$ |  |
| $12 / 29 / 99$ | 340154 | $\$ 50,154.10$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 50,154.10$ |  |
| $01 / 31 / 00$ | 343838 | $\$ 85,315.54$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 85,315.54$ |  |
| $02 / 17 / 00$ | 346259 | $\$ 76,349.40$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 76,349.40$ |  |
| $03 / 16 / 00$ | 349378 | $\$ 85,371.06$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 86,371.06$ |  |
| $04 / 30 / 00$ | 354153 | $\$ 10,872.58$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 10,872.58$ |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
356503
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
```

FOR LEGAL•SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30,2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES: $\$ 125.00$
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$23.22
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 148.22$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $03 / 21 / 00$ | 349859 | $\$ 118.90$ | $\$ .00$ |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

360344
June 30, 2000

## 2255 Glades Road

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Employer Identification No. 13-1840454

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 14,324.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 551.21$ |
| DUE : | $\$ 14.875 .71$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


```
IVIEWIT.COM; INC. ... ` 363830
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ J u l y ~ 2 1 , ~ 2 0 0 0
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002

FOR LEEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PRELIMINARY TRADEMARK SEARCH AND ANALYSIS FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 400.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 400.00$

IVIEWIT. COM, ${ }^{--}$INC.
363831 2255 GLADES ROAD July 21, 2000 SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION:
MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
```

FILE 若: $\quad 40017.0027$

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR THE PREPAPATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK ADPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT.COM

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:

```
IVIEWIT.COM; INC. .... 363832.
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 2i, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0030

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR pREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM;-'INC. .... 363833..
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ J u l y ~ 2 1 , ~ 2 0 0 0
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/\&2/US
FILE \#: 40017.003I

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30; 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TPADEMARK ADPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM"- INC. .... 363834..
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30; 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT IN CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM,- INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0034
```

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30; 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM;- INC. }36383
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAI
FILE \#: 40017.0019
FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 2,865.25\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 51.01\) \\
& \(\$ 2,917.25\)
\end{tabular}
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... 
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Hoad
Suite 340 West

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE 方:. 40017.0011
FILE 方:. 40017.0011
FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```

TOTAL FEES：
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES：
\(\$ 1,657.00\)
\(\$ 10.02\)

TOTAL DUE：
\(\$ 1,667.02\)
```

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/4Z/US
FILE \#: 40017.0008

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30،. 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 1,385.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 17.03\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 1,402.03\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, -- INC. 2255 GLADES ROAD SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0007

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 110.00\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
    \$. 81
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 110.81\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM,-`INC. .... 363844.
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 2I, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360.
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME：IVIEWIT．COM，INC．
MATTER NAME：IVIEWIT LOGO／38／US
FILE \＃：40017．0033

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE \(30 ; 2000\) FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES：
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE：
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM,'- INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton，FL 33431－7360
Employer Identification No．13－1840454

CLIENT NAME：IVIEWIT．COM，INC．
MATTER NAME：GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE FILE \＃：40017．0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30， 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES：

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES：

TOTAL DUE：
\(\$ 1,902.00\)
\(\$ 15.40\)
\＄1，917．40
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . . 368322
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE 丮: 40017.0011

```

FOR LEEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 PREPARATION AND FILING RECORDS OF CHANGE OF NAME FOR 8 TRADEMARK APPLICATIONS

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 650,00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD

```

368777
August 24, 2000
```

SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 11,787.50\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 398.52\) \\
& \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 12,186.02\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99** & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$25,506.68) & \$24,647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$.00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$.00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14.875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14.875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC. MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAI FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN.THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 165.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 165.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. ... . 371752.
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton. FL \(33431-7380\)
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGȦL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 6,458.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 263.47\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 6,721.47\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
371753
September 20, 2000
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: AIPINE VENTURE CAPITAI PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE FILE \#: 40017.0026

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 470.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ 36.80\) \\
& \(\$ 506.80\)
\end{tabular}
376560.

2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7350
Employer Identificalion No. 13-1840454

CLIENT NAME: IVIEHIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHAPGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380021
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 825.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 825.00\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380022
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#\# : 40017.0027

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 3i, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\$102.91

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~

```

380023 -
November 17, 2000
```

SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 82.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ .92\) \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
TOTAL DUE:
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & - REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 07/21/00 363835 & \$975.00 & \$. 00 & \$975.00 \\
\hline TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING & INVOICES: & & \$975.00 \\
\hline TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE: & & & \$82.92 \\
\hline BALANCE DUE: & & & \$1,057.92 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
352748.
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
\$87.50
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\$10.02
TOTAL DUE: \$97.52
OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BAIANCE \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 334208 & \$223.25 & \$.00 & \$2223.25 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829813 & \$900.00 & \$.00 & \$900.00 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829814 & \$2,625.00 & \$.00 & \$2,625.00 \\
\hline 12/16/99 & 339495 & \$96.60 & \$. 00 & \$96.60 \\
\hline 03/15/00 & 349188 & \$1,255.21 & \$. 00 & \$1,255.21 \\
\hline TOTAL OF & PRIOR OU & ANDING INVO & & \$5,100.06 \\
\hline TOTAL OF & CURRENT & OICE: & & S 97.52 \\
\hline BALANCE D & UE: & & & \$5,197.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
380024
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK GENERAL FILE
FILE \#: 40017.0035

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
    \(\$ 82.00\)
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 82.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380025 `
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED ERINTOUT

TOTAL FEES: \(\quad \$ 90.00\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 7.76\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 97.76\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE .. INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 07/21/00 363834 & \$975.00 & \$. 00 & \$975.00 \\
\hline TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING & INVOICE & & \$975.00 \\
\hline TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE: & & & \$97.76 \\
\hline BALANCE DUE: & & & \$1,072.76 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
381460
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEINERNSTEI

382111 ..
December 5, 2000
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC. MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 as SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 18,332.50\) \\
LESS ADJUSTMENT & \(-1,332.50\) \\
& \(\$ 17,000.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 544.77\) \\
& \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 17,544.77\)
\end{tabular}

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAM SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 18,053.75\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 861.52\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 18,915.27\) \\
OUTSTANDING INVOICES &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & (\$43, 470.94 ) & \$41,844.60 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \(\$ .00\) & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$.00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$.00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$.00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$.00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline 12/06/00 & 382111 & \$10,162.75 & \((\$ 4,640.00)\) & \$5.522.75 \\
\hline 01/18/01 & 387122 & \$17.544.77 & (\$4.688.00) & \$12,856.77 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. .. * 394765 ..
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~
March 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 73.45\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 7,303.45\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline \(\overline{01 / 31 / 00}\) & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \((\$ 4 \overline{3.470 .94})\) & \$41, 844.60 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 \({ }^{-}\) & 349378 & \$86,'371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$1.4.823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14.875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$.00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$.00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline 12/06/00 & 382111 & \$10,162.75 & \((\$ 4,640.00)\) & \$5,522.75 \\
\hline 01/18/01 & 387122 & \$17,544.77 & (\$4,688.00) & \$12,856.77 \\
\hline 02/09/01 & 391231 & \$18,915.27 & \$.00 & \$18,915.27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . . 395537
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 12, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

\section*{2255 Glades Road}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

Employer identificatlon No. 13-1840454
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAI
FILE \#: \&0017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED EOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED RRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 630.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 2.76\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 632.76\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALAÑCE \\
\hline 03/15/00 ... & 349191 & \$4,032.00 & \$. 00 & \$4,032.00 \\
\hline 04/18/00 & 352753 & \$2,238.50 & \$. 00 & \$2,238.50 \\
\hline 07/21/00 & 363836 & \$2,917.26 & \$.00 & \$2,917.26 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371505 & \$165.00 & \$. 00 & \$165.00 \\
\hline 11/17/00 & 380021 & \$825.00 & \$. 00 & \$825.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES:} & \$10,177.76 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:} & \$632.76 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{BALANCE DUE:} & \$10,810.52 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
399271
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Employer Toentification No. 13 -1840454
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

```

FOR LEGAI SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 137.00\)

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 247.66\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICEAS
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline \(07 / 21 / 00\) & 363835 & \(\$ 975.00\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 975.00\) \\
\(21 / 17 / 00\) & 380023 & \(\$ 82.92\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 82.92\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. .. . 399272
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATIENTION: NR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIENIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ .46\)
\(\$ 137.46\)
\(\$ 137.46\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{ccccr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNI & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BAIANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hdashline & & & & \\
\(07 / 21 / 00\) & 363844 & \(\$ 975.00\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 975.00\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 399273.
2255 GLADES ROAD- April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 120.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 203.91\) \\
& \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 323.91\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(07 / 21 / 00\) & 363834 & \(\$ 975.00\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 975.00\) \\
\(11 / 17 / 00\) & 380025 & \(\$ 97.76\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 97.76\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD-
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
\$68.64
\$4,950.64

```

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 2255 GLades road
399275.,

SUITE 337 HEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0010

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND Charges incurred for the period ended march 31, 2001 FIXED FEE FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF EXTENSION REQUEST

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 500.00\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\$ 500.00\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMATNING BALLANCE \\
\hline 04/18/00 & 352752 & \$76.25 & \$. 00 & \$76.25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. .. . 400158
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 11, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. BRIAN G. UTLEY

```
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
2255 Glades Road \\
Suite 340 West \\
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) Employer Identification No. \(13-1840454\)
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED RRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES: \$18,695.00
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\$186.61
TOTAL DUE:
\$18,881.61
OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```


\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utley
}
iviewit.com, Inc.
2255 Glades Road, \#337-W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

\section*{Re: Past-Due Invoices}

\section*{Dear Brian:}

As a follow-up to my letter of April 16, 2001, enclosed please find a list of outstanding invoices due and owing.

Sincerely,


Christopher C. Wheeler
cc: Albert W. Gortz
2001









Apr 2001
 -
\(\stackrel{-}{\omega}\)
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\(\underset{-}{-}\)



 \(08 \cdot 905\)
\(\angle V \cdot T Z \angle 9\)
 \(00 \cdot\) szis
 \begin{tabular}{c} 
N \\
玉 \\
© \\
\hline
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{cent：} & 10017 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{＊open invoices IVIEWIT．COM，inc．} \\
\hline & NUMBER & date & fees & costs \\
\hline LL & 363834 & 07／21／00 & 975.00 & ． 00 \\
\hline LI & 363835 & 07／21／00 & 975.00 & ． 0 \\
\hline LL & 363836 & 07／21／00 & 2865.25 & 51.01 \\
\hline LL & 363837 & 07／21／00 & 1657.00 & 10.02 \\
\hline LL & 363840 & 07／21／00 & 1385.00 & 17.03 \\
\hline LL & 363841 & 07／21／00 & 110.00 & ． 81 \\
\hline LL & 363844 & 07／21／00 & 975.00 & ． 00 \\
\hline LL & 363970 & 07／30／00 & 1902.00 & 15.40 \\
\hline L & 368322 & 08／22／00 & 648.00 & 2.00 \\
\hline ：LL & 368777 & 08／24／00 & 11787.50 & 398.52 \\
\hline ：LL & 371505 & 09／20／00 & 165.00 & ． 00 \\
\hline LL & 371752 & 09／20／00 & 6458.00 & 263.17 \\
\hline ［LL & 371753 & 09／20／00 & 470.00 & 36.80 \\
\hline ［LL & 376560 & 10／13／00 & 7807.50 & 27.09 \\
\hline ［LL & 380021 & 11／17／00 & 825.00 & ． 0 \\
\hline ILL & 380022 & 11／17／00 & 90.00 & 12.91 \\
\hline ILL & 380023 & 11／17／00 & 82.00 & ． 92 \\
\hline ILL & 300024 & 11／17／00 & 82.00 & ． 00 \\
\hline ILL & 380025 & 11／17／00 & 90.00 & 7.76 \\
\hline ILL & 391460 & 11／30／00 & 7466.25 & 80.9 \\
\hline ILL & 382111 & 12／06／00 & 20138.75 & 24.00
-24.00 \\
\hline SAYBR & －SvBTOTAL & 01／05／01 & -4616.00
5522.75 & -24.00
.00 \\
\hline HLL & 387122 & 01／18／01 & 17000.00 & 544.77
-544.77 \\
\hline PAYBR & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1832 \\
& - \text { SUBTOTAL }
\end{aligned}
\] & 01／30／01 & -1143.23
12856.77 & -541.77
.00 \\
\hline 3ILL & 391232 & 02／09／01 & 18053.75 & 861.52 \\
\hline SILL & 394765 & 03／06／01 & 7230.00 & 73.45 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15 TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECINOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File its Witness and Exhibit Lists and the Court, upon being advised of an agreement between the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Plaintiff's motion to compel is GRANTED. The Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the Plaintiff's First and Second Requests for Production within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order. Additionally, the Defendants shall serve their answers to the Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order.
2. The Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists is GRANTED. The Plaintiff shall serve its witness and exhibit lists within 30 days of the service of the Defendants' discovery responses ordered in paragraph 1.

Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two
3. The following deadlines are hereby set and are changed from the deadlines previously set in this Court's Pretrial Order of June 11, 2002:

Rebuttal Witness/Exhibit List Due: September 2, 2002
Mediation Completed: September 13, 2002
Pretrial Stipulation Filed: September 24, 2002
Discovery Cutoff: September 20, 2002
Calendar Call: \(\quad\) Remains the same: September 13, 2002 at 9:00 a.m.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of July, 2002.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware
corporation,
Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING}

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File Its Witness and Exhibit Lists

DATE: Thursday, July 18, 2002
TIME: \(\quad\) 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse}

205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(\qquad\) 18 day of June, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Rato, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

By:


David J. George
Florida Bar No. 0898570
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this \(\square\) day of June, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Fl 33480


By:
Christopher Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIF'TEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER RESETTING STATUS CHECK}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon agreement of the parties and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Court's Order dated June 12, 2002 required the parties to appear for a status check on June 28, 2002 at 8:45 a.m.
2. Because the Court's Uniform Motion Calendar is suspended during the week of June 24,2002 , the status check is hereby set for Thursday, July 18, 2002 at 8:45 a.m. in Courtroom 11B, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida.
\(\qquad\) day
of \(\qquad\) , 200 \(\qquad\) .

JUN 277002
Honorable Jorge Labug
Circuit Court Judge

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New York limited liability partnership,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.


\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. \\ AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT}

COMES NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("IVIEWIT"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby files this, their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ("PROSKAUER"), Complaint, on the following grounds:
1. On or about May 2, 2001, Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, filed a Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for an alleged breach of a contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit. IVIEWIT was served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint on May 3, 2001.

3. Plaintiff's Complaint arises out of an alleged Engagement Agreement entered into on or about October 8, 1999, for representation in connection with general corporate advice. In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants, IVIEWIT, breached the Engagement Agreement by failing to pay the total amount due and owing thereunder.
4. Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a cause of action against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for which relief may be granted. Furthermore, Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, should be dismissed for failure to satisfy conditions precedent prior to bringing this action.
5. Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, is a New York limited liability partnership, thus Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, is a non-resident corporation in the State of Florida and is required by Section 57.011, Florida Statutes, to post a bond prior to brining this action. "Section 57.011, F. S., requires non-resident plaintiffs to post a bond with surety conditioned to pay all costs adjudged against plaintiff in the action applied to non-resident corporation despite contention that it applies only to persons." Sound City, Inc. v. Kenwood Electronics, Inc., 330 So.2d 163 (Fla. \(1^{\text {st }}\) DCA 1976). Although PROSKAUER is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, such authorization does not automatically satisfy the provision of Section 57.011, Florida Statutes, requiring a non-resident plaintiff to file a bond with approved security, conditioned for payment of all costs and charges which may be adjudged against him. Op.Atty.Gen., 064-179, (Dec. 15, 1964). Accordingly, Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed or failure to file a bond.
6. Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, alleges in Paragraph 7 of its Complaint, that on or about October 8, 1999, Iviewit LLC entered into an engagement agreement with Plaintiff wherein Plaintiff would provide legal services to Iviewit LLC. Plaintiff attached the agreement as

Exhibit "A" to the Complaint. Plaintiff's allegations in the Complaint and facts revealed by Exhibit "A" are inconsistent. Plaintiff has sued Defendants, IVIEWIT for breach of contract, however Exhibit " A " reveals that Iviewit LLC entered the engagement agreement with PROSKAUER, not Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
7. On a Motion to Dismiss, a trial court must consider exhibits attached to and incorporated in a Complaint. Harry Pepper and Associates, Inc. v. Lasseter, 247 So. 2 d 736 (Fla. \(3^{\text {rd }}\) DCA 1971). Any inconsistency between the general allegations of material fact in a Complaint and the specific facts revealed by an attached exhibit has the effect of neutralizing each allegation, thus rendering the pleading objectionable. Id. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint state that Defendants, IVIEWIT breached the subject engagement agreement and Exhibit " A " attached to Plaintiff's Complaint reveals that the agreement was with Iviewit LLC, there are inconsistencies between the Complaint and the Exhibit " A " attached thereto such that Plaintiff's Complaint is subject to being dismissed.
8. Furthermore, in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that it entered into an agreement with Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., to provide legal services to Defendants, IVIEWIT, under the same terms and conditions as those in the engagement agreement between PROSKAUER and Iviewit LLC. However, Plaintiff failed to attach the alleged agreement to its Complaint. Where a complaint is based on a written instrument, the complaint does not state a cause of action until the instrument or an adequate portion thereof is attached to or incorporated in the complaint. Samuels v. King Motor Co. of Fort Lauderdale 782 So. 2 d 489 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001.); Fla.R. Civ.P., 1.130(a).

WHEREFORE, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order dismissing Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, Complaint for the reasons as stated above and for such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this \(\Perp\) day of June, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985


CA \(01-04671\) AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, 1 IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.




COMPLAINT
Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ("PROSKAUER"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC

\section*{1. PROSKAUER is a ] \\ authorized to}
and conducts business in the State .
County, Florida.
2. IVIEWIT.COM, \(\mathrm{IN}^{\mathrm{N}}\) business in the State of Florida, wi l
3. IVIEWIT HOLDIN



:d to and conducts ida.
theorized to and
4. IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.

\section*{JURISDICTION AND VENUE}
5. This is an action for damages in excess of fifteen thousand dollars \((\$ 15,000.00)\), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
6. Venue lies in Palm Beach County pursuant to section 47.011, Fla. Stat., in that this cause of action arose in Boca Raton, Florida and all payments were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.

\section*{FACTUAL BACKGROUND}
7. On or about October 8, 1999, iviewit LLC entered into an engagement agreement with PROSKAUER, pursuant to which iviewit LLC retained PROSKAUER to provide legal services on its behalf. A true and correct copy of the engagement agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
8. Soon thereafter, PROSKAUER entered into an agreement with Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT"), pursuant to which IVIEWIT retained PROSKAUER to provide legal services on their behalf under the same terms and conditions as those in the engagement agreement between PROSKAUER and iviewit LLC (hereinafter referred to as the "Agreement").
9. All payments owed pursuant to the Agreement were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.
10. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT agreed to pay PROSKAUER for all legal services performed and to reimburse PROSKAUER for all costs advanced on its behalf.
11. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT was to pay all bills as submitted.
12. As soon as the Agreement was consummated, PROSKAUER immediately began providing legal services and incurred attorney time, costs and expenses on IVIEWIT's behalf.
13. IVIEWIT accepted the benefit of PROSKAUER's services under the Agreement.
14. PROSKAUER rendered periodic invoices and a final billing to IVIEWIT for the performance of its services pursuant to the Agreement. Although IVIEWIT paid some of the amounts owed to PROSKAUER, it failed to pay the remaining balance owed to PROSKAUER of \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the invoices. True and correct copies of the invoices (with time detail omitted) are collectively attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
15. Despite IVIEWIT's obligations to pay PROSKAUER pursuant to the Agreement, and PROSKAUER's repeated demands for payment, IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) (three hundred sixty-nine thousand four hundred sixty and 97/100 dollars), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
16. All conditions precedent to this action have been performed, have occurred, or have been waived.

\section*{Count I - Breach of Contract}
17. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
18. PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT pursuant to the Agreement and rendered invoices to IVIEWIT for those services.
19. Despite PROSKAUER's repeated demands, IVIEWIT breached the Agreement by failing to pay the total amount due and owing for such services.
20. As a result of IVIEWIT's breach, PROSKAUER has been damaged in the amount of \(\$ 369,460.97\), plus interest.
21. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
22. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count II - Account Stated}
23. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
24. Before the institution of this action, PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT, and although IVIEWIT made infrequent payments on its account, the balance owed to PROSKAUER is \(\$ 369,460.97\) as of May \(1,2001\).
25. PROSKAUER rendered statements to IVIEWIT reflecting the amount owed, and IVIEWIT did not object to the statements. True and correct copies of the statements are attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
26. Also, on April 16, 2001, PROSKAUER furnished an entire list of the outstanding statements to IVIEWIT, to which IVIEWIT never objected. A true and correct copy of the April 16 letter enclosing the list of outstanding statements is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
27. Accordingly, IVIEWIT is obligated to pay PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) that is due with interest since April 16, 2001 on the account.
28. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
29. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count III - Open Account}
30. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
31. IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) that is due with interest since April 16, 2001, according to Composite Exhibit "B" and Exhibit "C."
32. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
33. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count IV - Quantum Meruit}
34. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.
35. PROSKAUER performed legal services for IVIEWIT at IVIEWIT's request and with its knowledge. In addition, PROSKAUER advanced various costs on IVIEWIT's behalf, at its request and with its knowledge.
36. IVIEWIT received and accepted the benefit of the legal services performed and costs advanced on its behalf by PROSKAUER, but has failed and refused to pay for the balance owed for said services and costs.
37. By accepting PROSKAUER's legal services without paying therefor, IVIEWIT has been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of services provided and costs expended.
38. The reasonable value of PROSKAUER's services provided and costs advanced on IVIEWIT's behalf for which PROSKAUER has not been paid is \(\$ 369,460.97\).
39. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for damages in an amount to be proven at trial, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO.
\[
C A^{\prime} 01-04671 A B
\]

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and JVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.
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\section*{COMPLAINT}

Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP ("PROSKAUER"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, \(\mathbb{I N C}\)., and states as follows:

\section*{PARTIES}
1. PROSKAUER is a New York limited liability partnership that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida as a law firm, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
2. IVIEWIT.COM, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
3. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.
4. IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. is a Delaware corporation that is authorized to and conducts business in the State of Florida, with offices located in Palm Beach County, Florida.

\section*{JURISDICTION AND VENUE}
5. This is an action for damages in excess of fifteen thousand dollars \((\$ 15,000.00)\), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
6. Venue lies in Palm Beach County pursuant to section 47.011, Fla. Stat., in that this cause of action arose in Boca Raton, Florida and all payments were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.

\section*{FACTUAL BACKGROUND}
7. On or about October 8, 1999, iviewit LLC entered into an engagement agreement with PROSKAUER, pursuant to which iviewit LLC retained PROSKAUER to provide legal services on its behalf. A true and correct copy of the engagement agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
8. Soon thereafter, PROSKAUER entered into an agreement with Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT"), pursuant to which IVIEWIT retained PROSKAUER to provide legal services on their behalf under the same terms and conditions as those in the engagement agreement between PROSKAUER and iviewit LLC (hereinafter referred to as the "Agreement").
9. All payments owed pursuant to the Agreement were to be made to PROSKAUER at its Boca Raton, Florida office.
10. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT agreed to pay PROSKAUER for all legal services performed and to reimburse PROSKAUER for all costs advanced on its behalf.
11. Pursuant to the Agreement, IVIEWIT was to pay all bills as submitted.
12. As soon as the Agreement was consummated, PROSKAUER immediately began providing legal services and incurred attorney time, costs and expenses on IVIEWIT's behalf.
13. IVIEWIT accepted the benefit of PROSKAUER's services under the Agreement.
14. PROSKAUER rendered periodic invoices and a final billing to IVIEWIT for the performance of its services pursuant to the Agreement. Although IVIEWIT paid some of the amounts owed to PROSKAUER, it failed to pay the remaining balance owed to PROSKAUER of \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the invoices. True and correct copies of the invoices (with time detail omitted) are collectively attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
15. Despite IVIEWIT's obligations to pay PROSKAUER pursuant to the Agreement, and PROSKAUER's repeated demands for payment, IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \$369,460.97 (three hundred sixty-nine thousand four hundred sixty and 97/100 dollars), exclusive of interest. attorneys' fees and costs.
16. All conditions precedent to this action have been performed, have occurred, or have been waived.

\section*{Count I - Breach of Contract}
17. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
18. PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT pursuant to the Agreement and rendered invoices to IVIEWIT for those services.
19. Despite PROSKAUER's repeated demands, IVIEWIT breached the Agreement by failing to pay the total amount due and owing for such services.
20. As a result of IVIEWIT's breach, PROSKAUER has been damaged in the amount of \(\$ 369,460.97\), plus interest.
21. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
22. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105, Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count II - Account Stated}
23. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
24. Before the institution of this action, PROSKAUER provided legal services to IVIEWIT, and although IVIEWIT made infrequent payments on its account, the balance owed to PROSKAUER is \(\$ 369,460.97\) as of May \(1,2001\).
25. PROSKAUER rendered statements to IVIEWIT reflecting the amount owed, and IVIEWIT did not object to the statements. True and correct copies of the statements are attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
26. Also, on April 16, 2001, PROSKAUER furnished an entire list of the outstanding statements to IVIEWIT, to which IVIEWIT never objected. A true and correct copy of the April 16 letter enclosing the list of outstanding statements is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
27. Accordingly, IVIEWIT is obligated to pay PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) that is due with interest since April 16, 2001 on the account.
28. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
29. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105 , Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count III - Open Account}
30. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
31. IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) that is due with interest since April 16, 2001, according to Composite Exhibit "B" and Exhibit "C."
32. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.
33. Because there are no justiciable issues of law or fact regarding whether IVIEWIT owes PROSKAUER \(\$ 369,460.97\) pursuant to the Agreement, PROSKAUER is therefore entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to section 57.105 , Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for \(\$ 369,460.97\), together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

\section*{Count IV - Quantum Meruit}
34. PROSKAUER realleges and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 above.
35. PROSKAUER performed legal services for IVIEWIT at IVIEWIT's request and with its knowledge. In addition, PROSKAUER advanced various costs on IVIEWIT's behalf, at its request and with its knowledge.
36. IVIEWIT received and accepted the benefit of the legal services performed and costs advanced on its behalf by PROSKAUER, but has failed and refused to pay for the balance owed for said services and costs.
37. By accepting PROSKAUER's legal services without paying therefor, IVIEWIT has been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of services provided and costs expended.
38. The reasonable value of PROSKAUER's services provided and costs advanced on IVIEWIT's behalf for which PROSKAUER has not been paid is \(\$ 369,460.97\).
39. PROSKAUER will incur attorneys' fees and costs as a result of prosecuting this action.

WHEREFORE, PROSKAUER demands judgment in its favor and against IVIEWIT for damages in an amount to be proven at trial, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

This \(\underline{L}^{\frac{2}{d a y} \text { of May, } 2001 .}\)

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745

September 8, 1999

\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utley \\ iviewit LLC \\ c/o Goldstein Lewin \\ 1900 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 300-E \\ Boca Raton, FL 33431
}

\section*{Re: Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC}

Dear Brian:

Thank you for the opportunity to represent iviewit LLC in connection with general corporate advice (the "Work") and such other matters as we may undertake on your behalf from time to time. As is our Firm's custom, we are writing to confirm our agreement regarding such representation.

Our fees for services performed will be billed at our regular hourly rates. Currently, these rates range from \(\$ 135.00\) to \(\$ 385.00\) per hour for all legal services performed by the Firm's attorneys in our Boca Raton office. The hourly rate charged by any particular attomey within the range mentioned depends on such factors as that lawyer's experience, familiarity with the subject matter being worked upon, and such other factors as have been determined by the Firm in establishing the normal hourly rates for its attomeys. Time spent by any legal assistant is currently charged at \(\$ 90.00\) per hour.

In addition to the fees described above, you agree to reimburse and pay us for all disbursements made by us, and our customary charges for in-house services in connection with the legal services performed under this agreement, including document reproduction and facsimile charges, computerized legal research, overtime (if required), travel expenses, court filing fees, postage, messenger and ovemight courier fees, long-distance telephone charges, document preparation charges, word processing, taxes and miscellaneous expenses.

We anticipate billing you on a monthly basis, with payment of all monies due within 30 days of receipt. We will send you periodic statements setting forth the amount of the fees, disbursements and charges to which we are entitled and the basis for their calculation. Although, as noted above, we will ordinarily bill you monthly for fees, disbursements and charges of the preceding

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

Mr. Brian G. Utley
September 8, 1999

\section*{Page 2}
month, we may occasionally defer billing for a given month (or months) if the accrued fees and costs do not warrant current billing or if other circumstances would make it more convenient to defer billing.

We are waiving a retainer at this time, but we reserve the right to ask for one at any time.

You have the right to discharge us as your counsel in connection with the Work at any time, but such discharge shall not affect our right to be paid all our previously incurred but unpaid fees, and all our previously incurred but unpaid charges and disbursements, in accordance with this letter agreement.

We may from time to time, either at your request or at our own initiative, provide you with an estimate of fees or costs that we reasonably anticipate will be incurred in connection with the Work. It is understood that such estimates, which are predicated on a variety of assumptions, are subject to unforeseen circumstances and are by their nature inexact.

If you agree that the foregoing meets with your approval, please sign and return to me the enclosed copy of this letter as soon as possible.

We very much appreciate the opportunity to represent you in this matter.
Best regards.
Cordially,


Christopher C. Wheeler

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LIP}

Mr. Brian G. Utley
September 8, 1999
Page 3

I hereby accept the legal representation by Proskauer Rose LLP on the terms and conditions set forth above.
iviewit LLC


Dated: 101194

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADRS ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 82,235.00\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 3,080.54\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 85,315.54\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 343840
2255 GLADES ROAD
January 31, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTEITION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: HUIZENGA LOAN
FILE \#: 40017.0025

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 1,273.75\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 26.25\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 1,300.00\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

```
2255 GLADES ROAD

346259
2255 GLADES ROAD
February 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 72,712.50\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 3,636.90\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 76,349.40\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & \\
\(08 / 24 / 99\) & 327337 & \(\$ 98,878.10\) & \((\$ 58,347.55)\) & \(\$ 40,530.55\) \\
\(09 / 25 / 99\) & 330767 & \(\$ 44,206.08\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 44,206.08\) \\
\(10 / 12 / 99\) & 333536 & \(\$ 42,038.20\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 42,038.20\) \\
\(12 / 29 / 99\) & 340154, & \(\$ 50,154.10\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 50,154.10\) \\
\(01 / 31 / 00\) & 343838 & \(\$ 85.315 .54\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 85,315.54\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
\$1,195.00
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL:
\$1,255.21

```

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
DATE & & & & & \multicolumn{1}{l}{\begin{tabular}{l} 
REMAINING
\end{tabular}} \\
INVOICE & & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS & \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular}

TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES \(\$ 20,439.75\)
TOTAL OE CURRENT INVOICE
\$ 1,255.21
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE
\(\$ 21,694.96\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349189
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/9/US
FILE \#: 40017.0003

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 14.30\)
\(\$ 51.80\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
349190

SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431 -7360
Employer Identification No.13-1840454
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
EILE \#: 40017.0008

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
\$27.50
\(\$ 1.61\)
\(\$ 29.11\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349191
2255 GLADES ROAD March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```
```

TOTAL FEES: \$4,032

```
TOTAL FEES: $4,032
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
DATE & INVOICE & & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS
\end{tabular}
TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES $2,493.58
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE $4,032.00
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE $$6,525.58
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349378
```



```
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES: $\$ 81,993.75$
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 4,377.31$
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 86,371.06$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | (\$20,120.62) | \$21,917.58 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$. 00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$. 00 | \$76,349.40 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 349859
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ M a r c h ~ 2 1 , ~ 2 0 0 0
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$118.90

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 118.90$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 349888
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ M a r c h ~ 2 1 , ~ 2 0 0 0 ~
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0004
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $14.43
TOTAL DUE:
$14.43
```

TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
$\$ 14.43$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.. . 352748
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
352748
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE #: 40017.0002
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, }200
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 87.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 10.02$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 97.52$ |
| OUTSTANDING INVOICES |  |



```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352749
2255 GLADES ROAD April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```


## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/g/US
FILE #: 40017.0003
FOR DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD
ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $58.53
TOTAL DUE: $58.53
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{llrr} 
DATE \\
INVOICE \\
03/15/00 & 349189 & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular}
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 352750
2 2 5 5 \text { GLADES ROAD April 18, 2000}
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0005
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, }200
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 588.75$
$\$ \quad 0.40$
$\$ 589.15$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352751
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0007
```

FILE \#: 40017.0007

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTCUT

TOTAL FEES:
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 76.25\)
\(\$ 76.25\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
352752
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0010

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
\$76.25
\(\$ 76.25\)
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
EILE \#: 40017.0019

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL EEES:
    \(\$ 1,842.50\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
    \(\$ 2,238.50\)
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & \\
\(12 / 20 / 99\) & 339661 & \(\$ 611.94\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 611.94\) \\
\(01 / 25 / 00\) & 342897 & \(\$ 1,000.00\) & \(\$ 881.64\) & & \(\$ .00\) \\
\(01 / 25 / 00\) & 342936 & \(\$ 1,000.00\) \\
\(03 / 15 / 00\) & 349191 & \(\$ 4,032.00\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 4.032 .00\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES: & \(\$ 5,525.58\) \\
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE: & \(\$ 2,238.50\) \\
BALANCE DUE: & \(\$ 8,764.08\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

\section*{2255 Glades Road \\ PROSKAUER ROSE LLP \\ Suite 340 West Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360}

354153
April 30, 2000
Apri1 30, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 10,872.58\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(10 / 12 / 99\) & 333536 & \(\$ 42,038.20\) & & \((\$ 28,525.72)\) & \(\$ 13,512.48\) \\
\(12 / 29 / 99\) & 340154 & \(\$ 50,154.10\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 50,154.10\) \\
\(01 / 31 / 00\) & 343838 & \(\$ 85,315.54\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 85,315.54\) \\
\(02 / 17 / 00\) & 346259 & \(\$ 76,349.40\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 76,349.40\) \\
\(03 / 16 / 00\) & 349378 & \(\$ 86,371.06\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 86,371.06\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 10/12/99 & 333536 & \$42,038.20 & (\$28,525.72) & \$13,512.48 \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & \$. 00 & \$50, 154.10 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

356503
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE FILE \#: 40017.0026

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 148.22\)
: OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{l}
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 03/21/00 & 349859 & \$118.90 & \$. 00 & \$118.90 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 360344
2255 GLADES ROAD
June 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(12 / 29 / 99\) & 340154 & \(\$ 50,154.10\) & & \((\$ 6,959.48)\) & \(\$ 43,194.62\) \\
\(01 / 31 / 00\) & 343838 & \(\$ 85,315.54\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 85,315.54\) \\
\(02 / 17 / 00\) & 346259 & \(\$ 76,349.40\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 76,349.40\) \\
\(03 / 16 / 00\) & 349378 & \(\$ 86,371.06\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 86,371.06\) \\
\(04 / 30 / 00\) & 354153 & \(\$ 10,872.58\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 10,872.58\) \\
\(05 / 30 / 00\) & 356497 & \(\$ 14,823.28\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 14.823 .28\)
\end{tabular}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PRELIMINARY TRADEMARK SEARCH AND ANALYSIS FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES

```

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 400.00\)
\(\$ 400.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363831
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION:
MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0027
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
FOR THE PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK
APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT.COM

```

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363832
2255 GLADES ROAD JulY 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0030

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363833
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0031

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363834
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT IN CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)

IVIENIT.COM, INC. 363835
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CIASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM;- INC.
363836
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$2,866.25 \(\$ 51.01\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 2,917.26\)
```

--
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363837
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0011

```
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 1,657.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 10.02\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 1,667.02\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

363840

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Employer Identification No. 13-1840454
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0008
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 1,385.00\)
\(\$ 17.03\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 1,402.03\)

IVIEWIT. COM, \({ }^{--}\)INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0007

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 110.00\)
\(\$ .81\)
\(\$ 110.81\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM,'- INC. 363844
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION
FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 368322
2255 GLADES ROAD August 22, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0011

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000
PREPARATION AND FILING RECORDS OF CHANGE OF NAME
FOR 8 TRADEMARK APPLICATIONS
\(\$ 650.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

368777
August 24, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAIÑING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$25,506.68) & \$24,647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 371505
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 165.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 165.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:

```
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
    \(\$ 263.47\)
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 6.721 .47\)

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 371753
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE \#: 40017.0026

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 470.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ 36.80\) \\
TOTAL DUE : & \(\$ 506.80\)
\end{tabular}

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

\title{
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
}

Glades Roa
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 380021
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 825.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 825.00\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 380022
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0027

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\$102.91

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BAIANCE
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380023
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 82.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ .92\) \\
TOTAL DUE : & \(\$ 82.92\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{OUTSTANDING INVOICES}


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK GENERAL FILE
FILE \#: 40017.0035

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCIUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 82.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 82.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380025
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIENIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 97.76\)


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 7,547.20\)
- OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS & REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular}

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

382111
December 6, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BAIANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$45,506.68) & \$4,647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$.00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76.349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86.371.06 & \$.00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14, 823.28 & \$.00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 ' & \$14,875.71 & \$.00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$.00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6.721.47 & \$.00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7.834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:

LESS ADJUSTMENT

|  | $\$ 17,000.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 544.77$ |
| TOTAL DUE : | $\$ 17,544.77$ |

$\$ 18,332.50$
$-1,332.50$
$\$ 17,000.00$
$\$ 17,544.77$

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

391231
February 9, 2001

2255 Glades Road

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | (\$43,470.94) | \$41,844.60 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$.00 | \$76,349.40 |
| 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$86,371.06 | \$. 00 | \$86,371.06 |
| 04/30/00 | 354153 | \$10,872.58 | \$. 00 | \$10,872.58 |
| 05/30/00 | 356497 | \$14,823.28 | \$. 00 | \$14,823.28 |
| 06/30/00 | 360344 | \$14,875.71 | \$.00 | \$14,875.71 |
| 07/30/00 | 363970 | \$1,917.40 | \$.00 | \$1,917.40 |
| 08/24/00 | 368777 | \$12,186.02 | \$. 00 | \$12,186.02 |
| 09/20/00 | 371752 | \$6,721.47 | \$.00 | \$6,721.47 |
| 10/13/00 | 376560 | \$7,834.59 | \$.00 | \$7.834.59 |
| 11/30/00 | 381460 | \$7,547.20 | \$.00 | \$7,547.20 |
| 12/06/00 | 382111 | \$10,162.75 | (\$4.640.00) | \$5,522.75 |
| 01/18/01 | 387122 | \$17,544.77 | (\$4, 688.00) | \$12,856.77 |

394765
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA KATON, FL 33431-7360
AITENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC. } \\ \text { MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE } \\ \text { FILE \#: } & 40017.0001\end{array}$

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 7,230.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 73.45$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 7,303.45$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{01 / 31 / 00}$ | 343838 | \$85, $\overline{315.54}$ | $(\$ 4 \overline{3,470.94})$ | \$41,844.60 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$. 00 | \$76,349.40 |
| 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$86,371.06 | \$. 00 | \$86.371.06 |
| 04/30/00 | 354153 | \$10,872.58 | \$. 00 | \$10,872.58 |
| 05/30/00 | 356497 | \$14,823.28 | \$.00 | \$14.823.28 |
| 06/30/00 | 360344 | \$14,875.71 | \$. 00 | \$14, 875.71 |
| 07/30/00 | 363970 | \$1,917.40 | \$. 00 | \$1,917.40 |
| 08/24/00 | 368777 | \$12,186.02 | \$.00 | \$12,186.02 |
| 09/20/00 | 371752 | \$6,721.47 | \$.00 | \$6,721.47 |
| 10/13/00 | 376560 | \$7,834.59 | \$.00 | \$7.834.59 |
| 11/30/00 | 381460 | \$7,547.20 | \$.00 | \$7,547.20 |
| 12/06/00 | 382111 | \$10,162.75 | (\$4,640.00) | \$5.522.75 |
| 01/18/01 | 387122 | \$17.544.77 | (\$4.688.00) | \$12.856.77 |
| 02/09/01 | 391231 | \$18,915.27 | \$. 00 | \$18.915.27 |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

395537
March 12, 2001

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAI
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 630.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 2.76$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 632.76$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALAÑCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/15/00 | 349191 | \$4,032.00 | \$. 00 | \$4,032.00 |
| 04/18/00 | 352753 | \$2,238.50 | \$. 00 | \$2,238.50 |
| 07/21/00 | 363836 | \$2,917.26 | \$.00 | \$2,917. 26 |
| 09/20/00 | 371505 | \$165.00 | \$. 00 | \$165.00 |
| 11/17/00 | 380021 | \$825.00 | \$. 00 | \$825.00 |
| TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES: |  |  |  | \$10,177.76 |
| TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE: |  |  |  | \$632.76 |
| BALANCE DUE: |  |  |  | \$10,810.52 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
399271
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIENIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0034
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 137.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 10.66$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 147.66$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BAIANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $07 / 21 / 00$ | 363835 | $\$ 975.00$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 975.00$ |
| $11 / 17 / 00$ | 380023 | $\$ 82.92$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 82.92$ |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
399272
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 137.46$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $07 / 21 / 00$ | 363844 | $\$ 975.00$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 975.00$ |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 399273
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D - ~ A p r i l ~ 6 , ~ 2 0 0 1 ~
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$203.91

TOTAU DUE:
$\$ 323.91$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $07 / 21 / 00$ | 363834 | $\$ 975.00$ |  |  |  |
| $11 / 17 / 00$ | 380025 | $\$ 97.76$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 975.00$ |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 399274
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE #: 40017.0019
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $03 / 15 / 00$ | 349191 | $\$ 4.032 .00$ |  |  |
| $04 / 18 / 00$ | 352753 | $\$ 2,238.50$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 4,032.00$ |
| $07 / 21 / 00$ | 363836 | $\$ 2.917 .26$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 2.238 .50$ |
| $09 / 20 / 00$ | 371505 | $\$ 165.00$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 2.917 .26$ |
| $11 / 17 / 00$ | 380021 | $\$ 825.00$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 165.00$ |
| $03 / 12 / 01$ | 395537 | $\$ 632.76$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 825.00$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 632.76$ |

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED including disbursements and CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 FIXED FEE FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF EXTENSION REQUEST

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 500.00$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-24 / 18 / 00$ | 352752 |  |  |  |  |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 400158
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 11، 2001 SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. BRIAN G. UTLEY

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\$186.61

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


NEW YORK los angeles Elsewhere in Florida WASHINGTON NEWARK

April 16, 2001

Mr. Brian G. Utley
iviewit.com, Inc.
2255 Glades Road, \#337-W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Re: Past-Due Invoices

## Dear Brian:

As a follow-up to my letter of April 16,2001, enclosed please find a list of outstanding invoices due and owing.

Sincerely,


Christopher C. Wheeler
cc: Albert W. Gortz


 1300.00
76349.40
1255.21
51.80
29.11
4032.00 86371.06
118.90
14.43
97.52
58.53
589.15
76.25
76.25
2238.50
10872.58
14823.28
148.22
14875.71
 $\circ$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$

$\vdots$ $\begin{array}{lll}\circ & \circ \\ 0 & 0 \\ n & n \\ & \text { n } \\ & 0\end{array}$ | -1 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
|  |
|  |




若:

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR DISCOVERY

This matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery, and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendant's Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery is hereby Granted
$9130 / 02$

## 2. Discover wetoff shall be Qovornbu is 2002


$30^{3}$ day of September, 2002.

Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.


214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boa Rato, FL 33431

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR FILING OF PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order enlarging time within which the parties may file a pretrial stipulation in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. This matter is currently set on the trial docket of this Court for a 4 day nonjury trial commencing December 16, 2002.
2. That based on the prior orders of this Court, as extended by prior agreed orders, the parties have extended discovery and deadlines in this matter.
3. That there remain outstanding issues regarding discovery which have yet to be resolved and that would prevent the Defendants from being able to fully frame the issues for this Court, there being at least two (2) pending depositions. Additionally, the parties have not undertaken the mediation $r^{\circ}$ 'his matter. The previous order in this matter contemplated that all discovery would have been completed prior to the filing of the pre-trial stipulation.
4. That the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by an enlargement of time in this matter, so as to require that the pre-trial stipulation in this matter be filed after the completion of both discovery and mediation.
5. This motion is interposed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order extending the deadline for the filing of the pre-trial stipulation until November 30, 2002.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $Z 6_{0}^{\top+}$ day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A. 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Tel: (561)820-9409
Fax: (561, 83 3-9715
By:
STEVEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,

$$
\mathrm{v} .
$$

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

> To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
> Proskauer Rose, LLP
> 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
> Boca Raton, FL 33431

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Wednesday, October 2, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion To Enlarge Time to File Pre-Trial Stipulation
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $26^{1^{17}}$ day of September,

2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 83-3715
By:
SFEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420 FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR FILING OF PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order enlarging time within which the parties may file a pretrial stipulation in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. This matter is currently set on the trial docket of this Court for a 4 day nonjury trial commencing December 16, 2002.
2. That based on the prior orders of this Court, as extended by prior agreed orders, the parties have extended discovery and deadlines in this matter.

$$
9 / 26102
$$

3. That there remain outstanding issues regarding discovery which have yet to be resolved and that would prevent the Defendants from being able to fully frame the issues for this Court, there being at least two (2) pending depositions. Additionally, the parties have not undertaken the mediation of this matter. The previous order in this matter contemplated that all discovery would have been completed prior to the filing of the pre-trial stipulation.
4. That the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by an enlargement of time in this matter, so as to require that the pre-trial stipulation in this matter be filed after the completion of both discovery and mediation.
5. This motion is interposed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order extending the deadline for the filing of the pre-trial stipulation until November 30, 2002.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\mathcal{Z G}_{0}^{\top+}$ day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING <br> Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Defendants' Compliance with Previous Court Orders and for Sanctions

DATE: October 10, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

## PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 26 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 26 day of September, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Wednesday, October 2, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion To Enlarge Time to File Pre-Trial Stipulation
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $26^{117}$ day of September,

2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) $83 \beta-9715$
By:
SFEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 

 $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDAPROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR FILING OF PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order enlarging time within which the parties may file a pretrial stipulation in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. This matter is currently set on the trial docket of this Court for a 4 day nonjury trial commencing December 16, 2002.
2. That based on the prior orders of this Court, as extended by prior agreed orders, the parties have extended discovery and deadlines in this matter.
3. That there remain outstanding issues regarding discovery which have yet to be resolved and that would prevent the Defendants from being able to fully frame the issues for this Court, there being at least two (2) pending depositions. Additionally, the parties have not undertaken the mediation of this matter. The previous order in this matter contemplated that all discovery would have been completed prior to the filing of the pre-trial stipulation.
4. That the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by an enlargement of time in this matter, so as to require that the pre-trial stipulation in this matter be filed after the completion of both discovery and mediation.
5. This motion is interposed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order extending the deadline for the filing of the pre-trial stipulation until November 30, 2002.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\mathcal{Z}_{0}{ }^{\top+}$ day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Tel: (561) 880-9409
Fax: (561) 83\$-9715
By:
STEVEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 

 $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDAPROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

## Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby give notice of the filing of the original transcription of the proceedings before this Court as held on September 11,2002, the original of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
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provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $26^{T^{\mu}}$ day of September, 2002 to: the above-referenced addressee.
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beqch, FL 33480
Tel: (56
Fax: $(561) 820-9409$
By:

> IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE I5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
No. CA 01-04671 AB
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

The above-entitled cause came on for Hearing before The Honorable Jorge Labarga, Circuit Judge, at the Palm Beach County Courthouse, West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, on the llth day of September, 2002, commencing at 8:45 o'clock A.M.

APPEARANCES:
PROSKAUER ROSE
by: MATTHEW TRIGGS, ESQ.
and CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the plaintiff.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
by: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Appearing by telephone on behalf of the Defendants.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, may I approach? Actually, it's real simple, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure. Come on up.
Motion for Leave to Amend to Join Indispensable Parties to Action and to Continue Trial Setting Based on New Evidence.

MR. SELZ: Okay.
THE COURT: Last time a lawyer said it's real simple, you know, I got a ten-page opinion from the Fourth DCA reversing me, so I'm not buying that anymore.

MR. SELZ: Okay. Your Honor, if $I$ may, briefly this is the scenario, Proskauer Rose is suing Iviewit.com, Iviewit Holdings and Iviewit Technologies for legal fees.

THE COURT: I've got a bad back. This is not my chair and I'm having a hard time. Go ahead.

MR. SELZ: Basically, this is the situation, Your Honor. We took the deposition of Mr. Brian Utley, who is the president of Iviewit. He testified basically there is only one Retainer Agreement that he signed with Proskauer Rose, which is attached to our motion as Exhibit 1.

It basically is with Iviewit LLC, which is not a party to this action, Your Honor. Okay. That's number one.

Number two, is there is an additional party. And this is all referenced in the billing that Proskauer is seeking as the basis for their claim. There's another entity, Iviewit.com LLC, which is now in bankruptcy.

So you've got these two entities, Iviewit LLC and Iviewit.com LLC, neither of which were made parties to this action, but on the face of the billing statement, which I've attached as another exhibit, Exhibit 3 to my motion.

And if you take a look, you'd see there are multiple references. Almost on every page of that billing statement, there is a reference to Iviewit LLC or Iviewit.com LLC.

And what opposing counsel has said as well, they're not suing over that particular billing statement.

But Your Honor, all they've attached to the Complaint is a summary of the bills. They haven't attached any specific delineation of the specific services that were provided, who were they provided to. It basically says,
total hours, charge, this is what you owe. Now, in their original Complaint that was filed in this matter, they actually had attached a copy of the Retainer Agreement with Iviewit LLC.

Previous counsel had moved to dismiss the Complaint for failure to join an indispensable party. Your Honor denied that basically saying, look, on the face of the complaint, because they filed an Amended Complaint subsequently, there is no basis. I'm going to look at the four corners. The four corners don't demonstrate somehow that this is not an indispensable party.

But now clearly, from the deposition testimony of Mr. Utley, that was the Retainer Agreement that was signed and which apparently formed the contractual relationship between Proskauer Rose and the Iviewit entities.

So we're stuck in a situation, Your Honor, where, you know, we've got third parties who are not parties to this action currently who were provided with legal services by the plaintiff, who apparently signed the Retainer Agreement with the plaintiff, which was the
basis for their contractual claims, but are not joined in this action.

What we want to do is get everyone who is involved in this one case so we don't have the possibility of rights being adjudicated for these other parties and obligations when they're not made a party to it.

THE COURT: what do you intend to do? Add these people as parties?

MR. SELZ: I want to add these people as third parties to this action, Your Honor, bring them in, because they are parties who have some liability here, and continue to go forward within discovery on this case to ascertain exactly which one of these services -- We don't have a form complete copy of the document.

THE COURT: When is the case set for trial?

MR. SELZ: It's actually coming up on the next docket, Your Honor.

MR. TRIGGS: September 30th docket.
MR. SELZ: September $30 t h$ docket.
THE COURT: You have a docket call the day after tomorrow.

MR. SELZ: Correct, Your Honor. That is
correct.
THE COURT: I mean, you're bringing this to my attention two days before docket. I've been here every day for the last year. Where have you been?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, I just got into the case pretty recently, a couple months ago. And --

THE COURT: How is that his client's fault?

MR. SELZ: It's not, Your Honor. But it is his client's fault, the fact that they clearly knew who they signed the Retainer Agreement with because they put it on the initial pleadings. They can't proclaim innocence of this knowledge and at the same time hide behind it and say, well, they're not really an indispensable party so - -

THE COURT: Let me hear from him.

MR. TRIGGS: I'm Matt Triggs. I'm with Proskauer Rose. I'm here representing Proskauer Rose.

The motion is not well founded, Your
Honor. We are, as it's just been represented to you, on this docket coming up on september

30th. This case has been pending for over a year. We've already been rolled over once. Proskauer is owed approximately $\$ 400,000$ in legal fees. This is a case where if we don't get this thing to trial, justice delayed is justice denied.

They certainly could have raised this motion ages ago.

And as far as the substance of the motion, Your Honor, as Mr. Selz has represented to you, they have raised this --

THE COURT: I'm listening. I just want to get my notebook.

MR. TRIGGS: They did raise this months ago, Your Honor. In fact, they moved to dismiss raising the same argument that Mr . Selz is representing to you today, Your Honor. You denied that motion months and months ago.

I have prepared a very short memo of law on this, Your Honor. And if $I$ could approach, I will hand it to you along with the cases that I've cited in there.

But the - the Engle case that we have cited stands for the proposition that if you are raising a failure to join an indispensable
party, you do it once.
Your Honor, they did it once. You considered it and you denied it.

This case procedurally should go forward for that reason alone.

As far as the substance goes, the motion that was filed by the defendants here is completely silent on what the test is for failure to join an indispensable party.

It is completely silent because the test is a very difficult test, as we have laid out in our very short motion - I'm sorry - memo there, Your Honor.

The test is that the party has to be so essential to the suit that no decision can be rendered without their joinder.

We've cited to a number of cases. One of them is a Supreme Court case of Hertz. It's at 453 So.2d. 12. You've got to be so essential that a judgment is essentially meaningless without the joinder of these parties.

What we contend, what we've alleged in our Amended Complaint is that there initially was an engagement agreement with one entity, but thereafter, we had an oral contract with
the three entities that we sued for this $\$ 400,000$ in legal fees.

I'm entitled to put on my case, prove $I$ have an contract with his three clients. And if $I$ convince you, we prevail.

He's entitled to put on a defense saying, we didn't contract with these folks. We don't owe this money.

There is absolutely no prohibition to you deciding that issue, deciding whether I'm right or whether Mr. Selz is right in entering a judgment.

A judgment in this case will not impact these other two folks that he now on the eve of trial contends are defendants.

THE COURT: Okay. Guys, I've got to go. I heard from each side. I think I've got a grip on it.

Look, as $I$ said before, the case is set for docket call the day after tomorrow. The case has been rolled over once already. It's been on my trial docket for a year. And when you see my trial orders setting cases for trial, I - took the extra step of pretty much setting down in the first page of the order a
statement that says, this order contains deadines and other requirements which will be strictly enforced. Please take the time to read this order carefully and immediately. Additionally, please take the time to diary all deadlines now.

I state in there that $I$ have set aside one half hour slots every morning from 8:15 to 8:45 to hear special set hearings. I set aside all day Friday. I set aside a week between docket calls to hear nothing but special set hearings. I have the uniform motion calendar every morning. I have been -- With the exception for the two weeks that $I$ took for vacation in July, $I$ have been here every single morning this whole past year.

And I am sorry, but you can't come in two days before docket call and tell me, I just got retained. I want to turn this case upside down again.

So as the Fourth District said in the case of Noble - N-O-b-l-e - versus Martin County Memorial -- That is at 710 So.2d. 567.. Fourth District Court of Appeals, 1997, they said, there comes a point in time in litigation
where each party is entitled to some finality. The rule of liberality in permitting amendment of pleadings gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial.

And we're two days before docket call.
Case has been rolled over once. I'm sorry.
It's time to fish and cut bait.
So on the motion to amend to join
indispensable party is denied. And we will proceed at trial as is.

And if I'm wrong, I'm wrong. Been wrong before. Thank you. Can $I$ give you this back?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, just very briefly,
we've got one other quick problem.
THE COURT: I've got to go. You guys have used up your 15 minutes of fame.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, we've got a problem with a principal witness who's got a health problem.

THE COURT: I understand. If you want to wait around a bit, let me take care of some other people, I'll have time. Let me take care of other people. They've got other hearings. they've got to go to.
(Thereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. SELZ: Okay, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. You guys are back.
MR. SELZ: We're back.
THE COURT: This is the -- See, I already forgot.

MR. SELZ: This is Proskauer Rose versus Iviewit.

THE COURT: The fee hearing.
MR. SELZ: Yes. If I may approach, Your Honor? The last issue that we had, Your Honor - and this really goes both to the request for a continuance and also with regard to an order extending the pre-trial deadines that you previously entered.

Eliot Bernstein, who is the corporate representative in this matter and who is really necessary for a mediation that we're going to conduct after the calendar call -

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SELZ: - unfortunately, his wife is seven months pregnant. That's not the unfortunate part.

The unfortunate part is she has some kind of hemorrhaging. And she's under a doctor's care. And he's out in California. And quite
frankly, he's expressed to me that he has serious concern about her health. Her treating Ob-Gyn has advised that he remain in california because of the seriousness of the condition.

THE COURT: The lady who is pregnant is in California?

MR. SELZ: Yes. She's in California. And she's seven months pregnant and she has bleeding. And they're concerned about whether or not they're going to have to do some kind of Cesarean section or take some kind of remedial surgical steps. There is both concern of her health and safety and the baby's health and safety.

And so right now, $I$ just, you know, he told me just a couple of days ago that this was going on. And $I$ got a letter from the $O b-G y n$ indicating that that's the situation that's being currently undertaken.

The problem we've got, Your Honor, is we've got this court-ordered mediation to take place by the 13 th. He's saying to me, I'm not going to leave my wife. I can't leave to do. that.

THE COURT: I understand. Let me ask you
this, we're going to have docket call the day after tomorrow. What's going to happen is it's a three-month docket. We can schedule this case towards the end of the docket, December, November.

MR. SELZ: That would be fine.
THE COURT: Hopefully, by the end, the baby will have arrived and you know - you know, hopefully everything will be okay with her and she will be in a position to - to give, you know, to attend mediation or whatever.

MR. SELZ: That's the hope, Your Honor. You know, obviously, the idea was she's seven months now. Two more months she will be full term.

THE COURT: What you do need to do if you want to help yourselves out and help me out -MR. TRIGGS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- is you're supposed to bring your calendars with you to calendar call so we can coordinate a date when everybody's available. Make sure your calendars are up to date. Secretaries sometimes don't plug in vacations.

Number two, why don't you call each other
before Friday and agree on a week? I mean, how long do you think it will take? Four days, five days. Fine. Why don't we agree to go the third weak of, you know, November or the first week of December.

And when you come to docket call, judge, we have agreed to go the first week of December. And you know, you're out of here. You know, I'll give you whatever you want.

> MR. TRIGGS: Okay.

MR. SELZ: The other issue we've got is the mediation, which has already been ordered by this court in a prior order for the 13 th.

THE COURT: You need to reschedule that.
MR. TRIGGS: I'm obviously sympathetic to the situation, Your Honor. I'm fine with moving mediation.

The important thing to me is keeping on the docket. And the tail end of the docket would be fine.

THE COURT: Why don't you do - you're in touch with her and her family - and find out when things happen and when she can do this. . And you guys reschedule mediation.

MR. SELZ: Okay. I guess we've got some
depositions they want to take of Mr. Bernstein. I wanted to be able to attend those. I don't know if we can continue the discovery deadine. THE COURT: I have no problem continuing it. If you guys agree to it, that's fine. MR. SELZ: Okay. Because I just want to be able to get this thing going to trial obviously with everyone being able to present what they've got to present and not having a problem with his wife.

THE COURT: As a sitting judge, I can't justify endangering somebody's life over one case. I've got 1200 of these. If it gets rolled over to another docket, whatever it takes - we don't want to go there - but if that's what it takes, that's what it takes. Right now, it seems that if we set it towards the end of the docket and we keep some fire to your feet, things will get done.

MR. SELZ: Okay.
THE COURT: And hopefully, she will have her baby and everything will be great.

MR. TRIGGS: Your Honor, could I raise. just one other issue and we will leave? I know we've got many things we're bringing before
you. You entered an order requiring some discovery coming our way quite sometime ago. That discovery was due three weeks ago by your court order.

THE COURT: What's going on?
MR. SELZ: Again --
MR. TRIGGS: We've got one of the two
things. We got responses to interrogatories. We have no documents. And we noticed it for an 8:45 last week. I think it was last week. And you had no time that day and basically said, come on, come back another time.

THE COURT: I do that.
MR. TRIGGS: Your Honor, I don't know what to do other than if you enter an order -THE COURT: What do you think?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, this again, this is how $I$ really discovered this whole problem with his wife was he was saying, well, I've got a problem with the documents. I said, what's the problem? My wife's got this problem. I've got the documents. I'm - I'm trying to get them copied, but I'm concerned about other things right now.

THE COURT: What don't $I$ just give you
five days. Can you do it in five days?
MR. SELZ: My understanding, Your Honor, from what Mr. Bernstein has told me is there's 16 Banker's boxes of documents.

THE COURT: Can't he send them to
Kinko's?
MR. SELZ: That's what I'm trying to find out, if he can get it done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There are Kinko's in California.

MR. TRIGGS: We're not suing
Mr. Bernstein. We're not suing these companies that we have a claim against. I'm sure there are other Iviewit people.

THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's give them five days. Take them to Kinko's on the way to hospital, drop them off, and on the way back, pick them up.

Let's get this done so he can get his paperwork. Maybe the whole case can be over with when the baby comes and everybody will be happy and I'll be happy.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, I've got an order. that's a blank order with regards to our previous motion.
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```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
No. CA 01-04671 AB
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

The above-entitled cause came on for Hearing
before The Honorable Jorge Labarga, Circuit Judge, at the Palm Beach County Courthouse, West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, on the lith day of September, 2002, commencing at 8:45 o'clock A.M.

APPEARANCES:
PROSKAUER ROSE
by: MATTHEW TRIGGS, ESQ.
and CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the plaintiff.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
by: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Appearing by telephone on behalf of the Defendants.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, may I approach? Actually, it's real simple, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure. Come on $u p$.

Motion for Leave to Amend to Join Indispensable Parties to Action and to Continue Trial Setting Based on New Evidence.

MR. SELZ: Okay.
THE COURT: Last time a lawyer said it's real simple, you know, I got a ten-page opinion from the Fourth DCA reversing me, so I'm not buying that anymore.

MR. SELZ: Okay. Your Honor, if I may, briefly this is the scenario, Proskauer Rose is suing Iviewit.com, Iviewit Holdings and Iviewit Technologies for legal fees.

THE COURT: I've got a bad back. This is not my chair and I'm having a hard time. Go ahead.

MR. SELZ: Basically, this is the situation, Your Honor. We took the deposition of Mr. Brian Utley, who is the president of Iviewit. He testified basically there is only one Retainer Agreement that he signed with Proskauer Rose, which is attached to our motion as Exhibit 1 .

It basically is with Iviewit LLC, which is not a party to this action, Your Honor. Okay. That's number one.

Number two, is there is an additional party. And this is all referenced in the billing that Proskauer is seeking as the basis for their claim. There's another entity, Iviewit.com LLC, which is now in bankruptcy.

So you've got these two entities, Iviewit LLC and Iviewit.com LLC, neither of which were made parties to this action, but on the face of the billing statement, which I've attached as another exhibit, Exhibit 3 to my motion.

And if you take a look, you'd see there are multiple references. Almost on every page of that billing statement, there is a reference to Iviewit LLC or Iviewit.com LLC.

And what opposing counsel has said as well, they're not suing over that particular billing statement.

But Your Honor, all they've attached to the Complaint is a summary of the bills. They haven't attached any specific delineation of the specific services that were provided, who were they provided to. It basically says,
total hours, charge, this is what you owe.
Now, in their original Complaint that was filed in this matter, they actually had attached a copy of the Retainer Agreement with Iviewit LLC.

Previous counsel had moved to dismiss the Complaint for failure to join an indispensable party. Your Honor denied that basically saying, look, on the face of the complaint, because they filed an Amended Complaint subsequently, there is no basis. I'm going to look at the four corners. The four corners don't demonstrate somehow that this is not an indispensable party.

But now clearly, from the deposition testimony of Mr. Utley, that was the Retainer Agreement that was signed and which apparently formed the contractual relationship between Proskauer Rose and the Iviewit entities.

So we're stuck in a situation, Your Honor, where, you know, we've got third parties who are not parties to this action currently who were provided with legal services by the plaintiff, who apparently signed the Retainer Agreement with the plaintiff, which was the
basis for their contractual claims, but are not joined in this action.

What we want to do is get everyone who is involved in this one case so we don't have the possibility of rights being adjudicated for these other parties and obligations when they're not made a party to it.

THE COURT: What do you intend to do? Add these people as parties?

MR. SELZ: I want to add these people as third parties to this action, Your Honor, bring them in, because they are parties who have some liability here, and continue to go forward within discovery on this case to ascertain exactly which one of these services -- We don't have a form complete copy of the document.

THE COURT: When is the case set for trial?

MR. SELZ: It's actually coming up on the next docket, Your Honor.

MR. TRIGGS: September $30 t h$ docket.
MR. SELZ: September 3oth docket.
THE COURT: You have a docket call the day after tomorrow.

MR. SELZ: Correct, Your Honor. That is correct.

THE COURT: I mean, you're bringing this to my attention two days before docket. I've been here every day for the last year. Where have you been?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, I just got into the case pretty recently, a couple months ago. And --

THE COURT: How is that his client's fault?

MR. SELZ: It's not, Your Honor. But it is his client's fault, the fact that they clearly knew who they signed the Retainer Agreement with because they put it on the initial pleadings. They can't proclaim innocence of this knowledge and at the same time hide behind it and say, well, they're not really an indispensable party so --

THE COURT: Let me hear from him.
MR. TRIGGS: I'm Matt Triggs. I'm with Proskauer Rose. I'm here representing Proskauer Rose.

The motion is not well founded, Your Honor. We are, as it's just been represented to you, on this docket coming up on september

30th. This case has been pending for over a year. We've already been rolled over once. Proskauer is owed approximately $\$ 400,000$ in legal fees. This is a case where if we don't get this thing to trial, justice delayed is justice denied.

They certainly could have raised this motion ages ago.

And as far as the substance of the motion, Your Honor, as Mr. Selz has represented to you, they have raised this --

THE COURT: I'm listening. I just want to get my notebook.

MR. TRIGGS: They did raise this months ago, Your Honor. In fact, they moved to dismiss raising the same argument that Mr. Selz is representing to you today, Your Honor. You denied that motion months and months ago.

I have prepared a very short memo of law on this, Your Honor. And if $I$ could approach, I will hand it to you along with the cases that I've cited in there.

But the - the Engle case that we have cited stands for the proposition that if you are raising a failure to join an indispensable
party, you do it once.
Your Honor, they did it once. You considered it and you denied it.

This case procedurally should go forward for that reason alone.

As far as the substance goes, the motion that was filed by the defendants here is completely silent on what the test is for failure to join an indispensable party.

It is completely silent because the test is a very difficult test, as we have laid out in our very short motion - I'm sorry - memo there, Your Honor.

The test is that the party has to be so essential to the suit that no decision can be rendered without their joinder.

We've cited to a number of cases. One of them is a supreme court case of Hertz. It's at 453 So. 2 d .12 . You've got to be so essential that a judgment is essentially meaningless without the joinder of these parties.

What we contend, what we've alleged in our Amended Complaint is that there initially was an engagement agreement with one entity, but thereafter, we had an oral contract with
the three entities that we sued for this $\$ 400,000$ in legal fees.

I'm entitled to put on my case, prove I have an contract with his three clients. And if $I$ convince you, we prevail.

He's entitled to put on a defense saying, we didn't contract with these folks. We don't owe this money.

There is absolutely no prohibition to you deciding that issue, deciding whether I'm right or whether Mr. Selz is right in entering a judgment.

A judgment in this case will not impact these other two folks that he now on the eve of trial contends are defendants.

THE COURT: Okay. Guys, I've got to go.
I heard from each side. I think I've got a grip on it.

Look, as $I$ said before, the case is set for docket call the day after tomorrow. The case has been rolled over once already. It's been on my trial docket for a year. And when you see my trial orders setting cases for trial, I - I took the extra step of pretty much setting down in the first page of the order a
statement that says, this order contains deadines and other requirements which will be strictly enforced. Please take the time to read this order carefully and immediately. Additionally, please take the time to diary all deadlines now.

I state in there that $I$ have set aside one half hour slots every morning from 8:15 to 8:45 to hear special set hearings. I set aside all day friday. I set aside a week between docket calls to hear nothing but special set hearings. I have the uniform motion calendar every morning. I have been -- With the exception for the two weeks that $I$ took for vacation in July, $I$ have been here every single morning this whole past year.

And I am sorry, but you can't come in two days before docket call and tell me, I just got retained. I want to turn this case upside down again.

So as the Fourth District said in the case of Noble - N-o-b-l-e - versus Martin County Memorial -- That is at 710 So.2d. 567,. Fourth District Court of Appeals, 1997, they said, there comes a point in time in litigation
where each party is entitled to some finality. The rule of liberality in permitting amendment of pleadings gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial.

And we're two days before docket call. Case has been rolled over once. I'm sorry. It's time to fish and cut bait.

So on the motion to amend to join indispensable party is denied. And we will proceed at trial as is.

And if I'm wrong, I'm wrong. Been wrong before. Thank you. Can $I$ give you this back?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, just very briefly, we've got one other quick problem.

THE COURT: I've got to go. You guys have used up your 15 minutes of fame.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, we've got a problem with a principal witness who's got a health problem.

THE COURT: I understand. If you want to wait around a bit, let me take care of some other people, I'll have time. Let me take care of other people. They've got other hearings. they've got to go to.
(Thereupon, a recess was taken.)

MR. SELZ: Okay, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. You guys are back.
MR. SELZ: We're back.
THE COURT: This is the -. See, I already forgot.

MR. SELZ: This is Proskauer Rose versus Iviewit.

THE COURT: The fee hearing.
MR. SELZ: Yes. If I may approach, Your Honor? The last issue that we had, Your Honor - and this really goes both to the request for a continuance and also with regard to an order extending the pre-trial deadlines that you previously entered.

Eliot Bernstein, who is the corporate representative in this matter and who is really necessary for a mediation that we're going to conduct after the calendar call --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SELZ: -- unfortunately, his wife is seven months pregnant. That's not the unfortunate part.

The unfortunate part is she has some kind of hemorrhaging. And she's under a doctor's care. And he's out in California. And quite
frankly, he's expressed to me that he has serious concern about her health. Her treating Ob-Gyn has advised that he remain in California because of the seriousness of the condition.

THE COURT: The lady who is pregnant is in California?

MR. SELZ: Yes. She's in California. And she's seven months pregnant and she has bleeding. And they're concerned about whether or not they're going to have to do some kind of Cesarean Section or take some kind of remedial surgical steps. There is both concern of her health and safety and the baby's health and safety.

And so right now, $I$ just, you know, he told me just a couple of days ago that this was going on. And $I$ got a letter from the Ob-Gyn indicating that that's the situation that's being currently undertaken.

The problem we've got, Your Honor, is we've got this court-ordered mediation to take place by the 13 th. He's saying to me, I'm not going to leave my wife. I can't leave to do. that.

THE COURT: I understand. Let me ask you
this, we're going to have docket call the day after tomorrow. What's going to happen is it's a three-month docket. We can schedule this case towards the end of the docket, December, November.

MR. SELZ: That would be fine.
THE COURT: Hopefully, by the end, the baby will have arrived and you know - you know, hopefully everything will be okay with her and she will be in a position to - to give, you know, to attend mediation or whatever.

MR. SELZ: That's the hope, Your Honor. You know, obviously, the idea was she's seven months now. Two more months she will be full term.

THE COURT: What you do need to do if you want to help yourselves out and help me out --

MR. TRIGGS: Yes.
THE COURT: -- is you're supposed to
bring your calendars with you to calendar call so we can coordinate a date when everybody's available. Make sure your calendars are up to date. Secretaries sometimes don't plug in vacations.

Number two, why don't you call each other
before Friday and agree on a week? I mean, how long do you think it will take? Four days, five days. Fine. Why don't we agree to go the third weak of, you know, November or the first week of December.

And when you come to docket call, judge, we have agreed to go the first week of December. And you know, you're out of here. You know, I'll give you whatever you want.

MR. TRIGGS: Okay.
MR. SELZ: The other issue we've got is the mediation, which has already been ordered by this court in a prior order for the l3th.

THE COURT: You need to reschedule that.
MR. TRIGGS: I'm obviously sympathetic to the situation, Your Honor. I'm fine with moving mediation.

The important thing to me is keeping on the docket. And the tail end of the docket would be fine.

THE COURT: Why don't you do - you're in touch with her and her family - and find out when things happen and when she can do this. . And you guys reschedule mediation.

MR. SELZ: Okay. I guess we've got some
depositions they want to take of Mr. Bernstein. I wanted to be able to attend those. I don't know if we can continue the discovery deadine.

THE COURT: I have no problem continuing it. If you guys agree to it, that's fine.

MR. SELZ: Okay. Because I just want to be able to get this thing going to trial obviously with everyone being able to present what they've got to present and not having a problem with his wife.

THE COURT: As a sitting judge, $I$ can't justify endangering somebody's life over one case. I've got 1200 of these. If it gets rolled over to another docket, whatever it takes - we don't want to go there - but if that's what it takes, that's what it takes.

Right now, it seems that if we set it towards the end of the docket and we keep some fire to your feet, things will get done.

MR. SELZ: Okay.
THE COURT: And hopefully, she will have her baby and everything will be great.

MR. TRIGGS: Your Honor, could I raise. just one other issue and we will leave? I know we've got many things we're bringing before
you. You entered an order requiring some discovery coming our way quite sometime ago. That discovery was due three weeks ago by your court order.

THE COURT: What's going on?
MR. SELZ: Again --
MR. TRIGGS: We've got one of the two things. We got responses to interrogatories. We have no documents. And we noticed it for an 8:45 last week. I think it was last week. And you had no time that day and basically said, come on, come back another time.

THE COURT: I do that.
MR. TRIGGS: Your Honor, I don't know what to do other than if you enter an order -THE COURT: What do you think?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, this again, this is how I really discovered this whole problem with his wife was he was saying, well, I've got a problem with the documents. I said, what's the problem? My wife's got this problem. I've got the documents. I'm - I'm trying to get them copied, but I'm concerned about other things right now.

THE COURT: What don't $I$ just give you

KEN SCHANZER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. (954) 922-2660
five days. Can you do it in five days?
MR. SELZ: My understanding, Your Honor, from what Mr. Bernstein has told me is there's 16 Banker's boxes of documents.

THE COURT: Can't he send them to Kinko's?

MR. SELZ: That's what I'm trying to find out, if he can get it done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There are Kinko's in California.

MR. TRIGGS: We're not suing
Mr. Bernstein. We're not suing these companies that we have a claim against. I'm sure there are other Iviewit people.

THE COURT: Let's do this. Let's give them five days. Take them to Kinko's on the way to hospital, drop them off, and on the way back, pick them up.

Let's get this done so he can get his paperwork. Maybe the whole case can be over with when the baby comes and everybody will be happy and I'll be happy.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, I've got an order. that's a blank order with regards to our previous motion.
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PROSKAUER ROSE LLP，a New York limited liability partnership，
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IVIEWIT．COM，INC．，a Delaware corporation， IVIEWIT HOLDINGS，INC．，a Delaware corporation，and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES， INC．，a Delaware corporation，

Defendants．

PLAINTIFF＇S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS＇MOTION TO COMPEL TAKING OF FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Plaintiff，Proskauer Rose LLP（＂Proskauer＂），responds to the Defendants＇Motion to Compel Taking of Foreign Deposition and for Appointment of a Commissioner served under certificate of service dated October 24， 2002 （the＂Motion＂）and further moves，pursuant to Rule 1．280（c）of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure，for a the entry of a protective order as to the taking of the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein（＂Mr．Rubenstein＂），and as grounds states as follows：

1．This is an action by Proskauer to collect unpaid attorney＇s fees from the Defendants，all former clients of Proskauer，

2．The Defendants＇have not alleged，in any pleading，that Proskauer failed to properly perform the work undertaken on their behalf．Notwithstanding Defendants＇failure to plead any such allegation，Defendants are now putting forth an eleventh hour attempt to turn this
matter into a malpractice case (and delay the trial of this matter set for the week of December 16 , 2002) and are altempting to harass a Proskauer attorney (who lives in New Jersey and works in New York) who never billed any time to the Iviewit matter. ${ }^{1}$
3. Specifically, Defendants are attempting to compel Mr. Rubenstein, a partner in Proskauer's New York office, to appear for a deposition. The Motion was filed because Proskauer has refused to produce Mr. Rubenstein for his deposition.
4. The Motion is misleading and misrepresents the discovery in this matter. Citing no particular deposition testimony, Defendants' motion at paragraph I states that prior testimony of the deponents in this matter has revealed that Rubenstein was "involved directly in the providing of services to the Defendants. . . "' Nothing could be further from the truth.
5. Contrary to the Defendants' baseless statement that Rubenstein was involved in the representation of Proskauer, Brian Utley, Defendants' former President and Chief Operating Officer, testified in his deposition as follows:

- At Elliot Bernstein's request, Rubenstein recommended another law firm to handle Defendants' patent matters (BU:70-4, 23); ${ }^{\text {² }}$
- "Rubenstein was never involved" in any of the work, and Defendants" interrogatory answers stating otherwise are a "misrepresentation." (BU:84-5, 7, 21)
- "[o]ther than referring Iviewit to [outside counsel], Rubenstein never did any work for Iviewit" (BU;121-3);

[^6]- Utley never met Rubenstein (BU:121-19);
- Rubenstein had no active role with Iviewit (BU:138-11, 24);
- "Rubenstein and Mr. Wheeler, I'll repeat, had nothing to do with the patents and therefore, I object to them being included in the question." (BU:150-9);

Copies of the pages of the transcript of the Deposition of Brian Utley cited above are attached hereto.
6. Defendants' eleventh-hout desire to depose Mr. Rubenstein is nothing more than a blatantly transparent attempt to harass. Mr. Rubenstein, who billed no time in the Defendants' representation. Although Defendants plan to take the deposition of Christopher Wheeler, Proskauer's corporate representative, the Defendants' intent to harass Rubenstein is further made clear by the fact that the Defendants have never attempted to take the deposition of any of the myriad of Proskauer attorneys who actually did provide legal services for the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectully requests that the Court deny the Defendants' motion to compel Mr. Rubenstein's deposition, enter a protective order consistent with this motion, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 25 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145
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$P R O C E E D I N G S$

Whereupon, the deposition of BRIAN
UTLEY, VOL. I was commenced at 9:00 a.m. as follows:

BRIAN UTLEY,
after having been first duly sworn, deposes and says under oath as follows:


EXAMINATION

By MR. PRUSASKI:
Q. Mr. Utley, my name is Chris

Prusaski, and I'm taking your deposition today in the mattex of Proskauer Rose versus Iviewit.com, Inc., et al, which is a matter pending in Palm Beach County Circuit Court.

Have you ever had your deposition
taken before?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times?
A. Oh, several. Probably four or five.
Q. Okay. The reason I'm asking is just
to generally give you a background of how
depositions, and $I$ think you know. Briefly I'Il
tell you that if $I$ ask any questions which you

Pat Carl \& Associates (763)591-0535 or (800)591-9PCA (722)
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apologize for that.
A. Okay.
Q. Who is Ken Rubenstein?
A. Ken Rubenstein is an intellectual property department head at Proskauer Rose in New York, and he is the person who recommended Meltzer as the patent attorneys to take care of the Iviewit intellectual property matters.
Q. What's your background in IP?
A. Well, I hold a number of patents. I, as general manager of a major $1 B M$ facility, an intellectual property department of patent attorneys reported to me. I was involved in promoting IBM's intellectual property activities. I sold an IBM company with intellectual property attributes and a portfolio that went with the company. So I've been involved in various aspects of intellectual property for many years.
Q. Do you believe that one of the reasons why you were brought into Iviewit was because of your intellectual property background?
A. Yes.
Q. Who from Iviewit directed the firm that Rubenstein recommended to do the IP work?
A. Before I joined the company, it was
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William, $D-I-C-K$, and then it looks like it's cut 84
off, Foley \& Lardner to correct the gross
negligence uncovered in Rubenstein/Joao work.
How do you respond to that statement?
A. Well, Rubenstein was never involved
in any of that work.
Q. Is that a misrepresentation?
A. That's a misrepresentation.
Q. Were there charges by you or anyone at Iviewit that Joao was forging and changing patent documents and leaving inventors off patents?
A. No.
Q. How do you respond to that
statement?
A. That it's inaccurate.
Q. Did you and Mr. Wheeler suggest using, who's described as your friend, at Foley \& Lardner to correct gross negligence in the Rubenstein/Joao work?
A. Well, Rubenstein, as I said, was not involved in the work.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I shouldn't have said that, but I'm reading again off the interrogatories.
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was doing work, Mr. Rubenstein was doing work for 121 Iviewit?
A. I'm not aware -- other than referring Iviewit to Meltzer, Rubenstein never did any work for Iviewit.
Q. Okay. So Rubenstein's sole role, from what you understand, is he referred Iviewit to the Meltzer Law Firm in New York?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he ever part of an advisory board member or was he an advisory board member to Iviewit? And we're talking about Mr. Rubenstein.
A. I have never used him as an advisory board member?
Q. Are you aware of whether or not he ever attended any board meetings with the directors of Iviewit?
A. He never attended a board meeting. I've never met the man.
Q. In regard to meetings with Proskauer Rose, did you have any meetings with Proskauer Rose concerning their retainer by Iviewit?
A. Only in the final weeks of Iviewit's presence in Boca Raton.
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```
engagement agreement refexs to the parent company
of Iviewit.
Q. Well, let's go to my next question
``` on this whole thing, and that is, with regard to, with regard to the approval by the board of directors, we've talked prior about the board of directors and Ken Rubenstein, was Ken

Rubenstein -- you've previously stated that he didn't have any role with regard to the company, no active role?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I hate to bounce back and forth to you about this, he was never, like, an advisor or consultant or anything like that; he was just someone who was Proskauer Rose's person who did work on IP?
A. Yeah, I can't speak to the
discussions that may have taken place between
Mr. Wheelex and Mr. Rubenstein, but --
Q. I'm not asking you to. I'm just saying from what you know because obviously this deposition testimony is given on your own
personal knowledge.
A. Yes. He played no active role in
the company other than having directed the
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with those issues. Are you aware of any other
problem, other than what you've already
described, with regard to the patents pending or granted to Iviewit as overseen by Rubenstein, Mr. Wheeler or Raymond, any other problems?

MR. PRUSASKI: Object to the form.
It assumes facts not in evidence. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, Rubenstein and Mr. Wheeler, I'll repeat, had nothing to do with the patents and therefore, I object to them being included in the question.

By MR. SELZ:
Q. Well --
A. They're not relevant to the question.
Q. There's no question -- then the way you answer it is by simply saying they weren't involved with any problems and that's how you can answer that. I'm not telling you how to answer; I'm trying to break the question down for you. If you want, I'll rephrase it.

Are you aware of any problems vis-a-vis the patents by Iviewit with regard to Mr. Rubenstein, other than what you've already
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\title{
PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL TAKING OF FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), responds to the Defendants' Motion to Compel Taking of Foreign Deposition and for Appointment of a Commissioner served under certificate of service dated October 24, 2002 (the "Motion") and further moves, pursuant to Rule 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, for a the entry of a protective order as to the taking of the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein ("Mr. Rubenstein"), and as grounds states as follows:
1. This is an action by Proskauer to collect unpaid attorney's fees from the Defendants, all former clients of Proskauer.
2. The Defendants' have not alleged, in any pleading, that Proskauer failed to properly perform the work undertaken on their behalf. Notwithstanding Defendants' failure to plead any such allegation, Defendants are now putting forth an eleventh hour attempt to turn this
matter into a malpractice case (and delay the trial of this matter set for the week of December 16, 2002) and are attempting to harass a Proskauer attorney (who lives in New Jersey and works in New York) who never billed any time to the Iviewit matter. \({ }^{1}\)
3. Specifically, Defendants are attempting to compel Mr. Rubenstein, a partner in Proskauer's New York office, to appear for a deposition. The Motion was filed because Proskauer has refused to produce Mr. Rubenstein for his deposition.
4. The Motion is misleading and misrepresents the discovery in this matter. Citing no particular deposition testimony, Defendants' motion at paragraph 1 states that prior testimony of the deponents in this matter has revealed that Rubenstein was "involved directly in the providing of services to the Defendants. . . ." Nothing could be further from the truth.
5. Contrary to the Defendants' baseless statement that Rubenstein was involved in the representation of Proskauer, Brian Utley, Defendants' former President and Chief Operating Officer, testified in his deposition as follows:
- At Elliot Bernstein's request, Rubenstein recommended another law firm to handle Defendants' patent matters (BU:70-4, 23); \({ }^{2}\)
- "Rubenstein was never involved" in any of the work, and Defendants' interrogatory answers stating otherwise are a "misrepresentation." (BU:84-5, 7, 21);
- "[o]ther than referring Iviewit to [outside counsel], Rubenstein never did any work for Iviewit" (BU:121-3);

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Proskauer filed a motion in limine directed to the issue of whether the Defendants can put on proof of any alleged wrongdoing by Proskauer, as the defense was never pled in any of the pleadings in this matter. The motion in limine is set for hearing on November 5, 2002.
\({ }^{2}\) The abbreviation "BU__" followed by a page and line number refers to the transcript of the Deposition of Brian Utley dated August 22, 2002.
}
- Utley never met Rubenstein (BU:121-19);
- Rubenstein had no active role with Iviewit (BU:138-11, 24);
- "Rubenstein and Mr. Wheeler, I'll repeat, had nothing to do with the patents and therefore, I object to them being included in the question." (BU:150-9);

Copies of the pages of the transcript of the Deposition of Brian Utley cited above are attached hereto.
6. Defendants' eleventh-hour desire to depose Mr. Rubenstein is nothing more than a blatantly transparent attempt to harass Mr. Rubenstein, who billed no time in the Defendants' representation. Although Defendants plan to take the deposition of Christopher Wheeler, Proskauer's corporate representative, the Defendants' intent to harass Rubenstein is further made clear by the fact that the Defendants have never attempted to take the deposition of any of the myriad of Proskauer attorneys who actually did provide legal services for the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court deny the Defendants' motion to compel Mr. Rubenstein's deposition, enter a protective order consistent with this motion, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 25 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525
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            IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
        FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
        FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
                            CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
    PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
    York limited liability partnership,
                            Plaintiff,
        -vs-
    IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation
        IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware
        corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES,
        INC., a Delaware corporation,
                                    Defendants.
                COPy
            TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION.
            The following is the telephonic
    deposition of BRIAN UTLEY, VOL. I, taken before
Traci R. Sandstrom, Court Reporter, Notary
Public, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition,
at 5841 Cedar Lake Road, St. Louis Park,
Minnesota 55416, commencing at approximately 9:00
a.m., August 22, 2002.
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PROCEEDINGS
Whereupon, the deposition of BRIAN UTLEY, VOL. I was commenced at 9:00 a.m. as follows:

BRIAN UTLEY,
after having been first duly sworn, deposes and says under oath as follows:

EXAMINATION
By MR. PRUSASKI:
Q. Mr. Utley, my name is Chris

Prusaski, and I'm taking your deposition today in the matter of Proskauer Rose versus Iviewit.com, Inc., et al, which is a matter pending in Palm Beach County Circuit Court.

Have you ever had your deposition
taken before?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times?
A. Oh, several. Probably four or five.
Q. Okay. The reason I'm asking is just to generally give you a background of how depositions, and I think you know. Briefly I'll
tell you that if I ask any questions which you
apologize for that.
A. Okay.
Q. Who is Ken Rubenstein?
A. Ken Rubenstein is an intellectual property department head at Proskauer Rose in New York, and he is the person who recommended Meltzer as the patent attorneys to take care of the Iviewit intellectual property matters.
Q. What's your background in IP?
A. Well, I hold a number of patents. I, as general manager of a major IBM facility, an intellectual property department of patent attorneys reported to me. I was involved in promoting IBM's intellectual property activities. I sold an IBM company with intellectual property attributes and a portfolio that went with the company. So I've been involved in various aspects of intellectual property for many years.
Q. Do you believe that one of the reasons why you were brought into Iviewit was because of your intellectual property background?
A. Yes.
Q. Who from Iviewit directed the firm that Rubenstein recommended to do the IP work?
A. Before I joined the company, it was
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William, \(D-I-C-K\), and then it looks like it's cut
    off, Foley \& Lardner to correct the gross
    negligence uncovered in Rubenstein/Joao work.
    How do you respond to that statement?
    A. Well, Rubenstein was never involved
    in any of that work.
    Q. Is that a misrepresentation?
    A. That's a misrepresentation.
    Q. Were there charges by you or anyone
    at Iviewit that Joao was forging and changing
    patent documents and leaving inventors off
    patents?
    A. No.
    Q. How do you respond to that
    statement?
    A. That it's inaccurate.
    Q. Did you and Mr. Wheeler suggest
    using, who's described as your friend, at Foley \&
    Lardner to correct gross negligence in the
    Rubenstein/Joao work?
    A. Well, Rubenstein, as I said, was not
involved in the work.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I shouldn't have said that, but I'm reading again off the interrogatories.

Proskauer Rose, et al. vs Iviewit. Com, Inc., et al. 8/22/02
was doing work, Mr. Rubenstein was doing work for
    Iviewit?
    A. I'm not aware -- other than
    referring Iviewit to Meltzer, Rubenstein never
    did any work for Iviewit.
    Q. Okay. So Rubenstein's sole role,
    from what you understand, is he referred Iviewit
    to the Meltzer Law Firm in New York?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Was he ever part of an advisory
    board member or was he an advisory board member
    to Iviewit? And we're talking about
    Mr. Rubenstein.
    A. I have never used him as an advisory
    board member?
Q. Are you aware of whether or not he ever attended any board meetings with the directors of Iviewit?
A. He never attended a board meeting. I've never met the man.
Q. In regard to meetings with Proskauer Rose, did you have any meetings with Proskaver Rose concerning their retainer by Iviewit?
A. Only in the final weeks of Iviewit's presence in Boca Raton.

Proskauer Rose, et al. vs Iviewit.Com, Inc., et al. 8/22/02 engagement agreement refers to the parent company of Iviewit.
Q. Well, let's go to my next question on this whole thing, and that is, with regard to, with regard to the approval by the board of directors, we've talked prior about the board of directors and Ken Rubenstein, was Ken

Rubenstein -- you've previously stated that he didn't have any role with regard to the company, no active role?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I hate to bounce back and forth to you about this, he was never, like, an advisor or consultant or anything like that; he was just someone who was Proskauer Rose's person who did work on IP?
A. Yeah, I can't speak to the discussions that may have taken place between Mr. Wheeler and Mr. Rubenstein, but --
Q. I'm not asking you to. I'm just saying from what you know because obviously this deposition testimony is given on your own personal knowledge.
A. Yes. He played no active role in the company other than having directed the

Pat Carl \& Associates (763)591-0535 or (800)591-9PCA (722)

\section*{Proskauer Rose, et al. vs Iviewit. Com, Inc., et al. 8/22/02}
with those issues. Are you aware of any other
problem, other than what you've already
described, with regard to the patents pending or granted to Iviewit as overseen by Rubenstein, Mr. Wheeler or Raymond, any other problems?

MR. PRUSASKI: Object to the form.

It assumes facts not in evidence. Go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, Rubenstein and

Mr. Wheeler, I'll repeat, had nothing to do with
the patents and therefore, I object to them being included in the question.

By MR. SELZ:
Q. Well --
A. They're not relevant to the
question.
Q. There's no question -- then the way you answer it is by simply saying they weren't involved with any problems and that's how you can
answer that. I'm not telling you how to answer;
I'm trying to break the question down for you.
If you want, I'll rephrase it.
Are you aware of any problems
vis-a-vis the patents by Iviewit with regard to
Mr. Rubenstein, other than what you've already

Pat Carl \& Associates (763)591-0535 or (800)591-9PCA (722)

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING \\ Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Notice of Defendants' Noncompliance in Preparing Joint Pretrial Stipulation, Motion for Leave to File a Unilateral Pretrial Statement and Motion for Attorney's Fees

DATE: October 2, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse \\ 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 \\ West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 25 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 25 day of September, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\title{
PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' NONCOMPLIANCE IN PREPARING JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES
}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:
1. By Agreed Order dated July 18, 2002, the Pretrial Stipulation was to be filed in this matter no later than September 24, 2002.
2. Proskauer prepared and faxed a proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants on September 19, 2002 with a cover letter requesting counsel's cooperation in reviewing and proposing any comments or changes to the proposed stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's September 19, 2002 letter and attached proposed Pretrial Stipulation is attached hereto as Exhibit "1."
3. Subsequent to sending the proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants, counsel for Proskauer sent counsel for the Defendants two letters and left several telephone messages, again requesting the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants so that the Pretrial Stipulation could be filed on time. Copies of the letters dated September 20, 2002 and September 23, 2002 are attached hereto as Exhibits " 2 " and " 3 ," respectively. In fact, counsel for Proskauer was able to reach counsel for the Defendants on September 23, 2002, and counsel for the Defendants represented that he would call counsel for Proskauer back to discuss the proposed Pretrial Stipulation. He did not do so.
4. Counsel for the Defendants has failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise cooperate in any way in participating in the preparation of the Pretrial Stipulation.
5. Despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "4."

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit " 4 ," award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(\alpha^{4}\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September \(\underline{24}, 2002\), a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

September 19, 2002
Via Fax and U.S. Mail
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
Please find enclosed for your review a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation. The Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed with the Court no later than Tuesday, September 24, 2002. Therefore, I would be most appreciative if you would review the enclosed stipulation and call me at your earliest convenience.

I look forward to hearing from you.


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem
Encl.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DRAEF JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion in Limine (set for hearing on Nov. 4, 2002); and
b. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Items 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (set for hearing on Oct. 3, 2002).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(A\) " were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;

\section*{DRAFT}
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(A\) " were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " total \(\$ 369,460.97\); and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

\section*{DRAFT}
h. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of \(\$ 369,460.97\);
i. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
j. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
k. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
1. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is \(\$ 369,460.97\);
m. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive permission from the Defendants to perform; and
n. Whether Proskauer or, alternatively, the Defendants are entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
5. The trial will last approximately 2-3 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
Attorneys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Ave., Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409
Facsimile: (561) 833-9715
DRAFT

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525
Dated: Sept. \(\qquad\) , 2002.

Steven M. Selz
Florida Bar No. 777420

Dated: Sept. _, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to Rule 1.280 (c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, moves the Court for the entry of a protective order and as grounds states as follows:
1. The non-jury trial of this matter is on the Court's current non-jury trial docket and has been set by the Court to take place during the week of December 16, 2002. Pursuant to an Agreed Order dated July 18, 2002, the parties agreed that the discovery cutoff in this matter would occur on September 20, 2002. A copy of the order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
2. On September 19, 2002, counsel for the Defendants served a request for production on counsel for the Plaintiff. A copy of the request for production is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The request for production, served one day prior to the agreed upon discovery cutoff, is violative of this Court's Uniform Pretrial Procedures, which requires at paragraph H that "all discovery must be completed' by the date of the discovery cutoff (emphasis added).
3. The Defendants have had sixteen months to serve a request for production in a timely manner and have chosen not to do so. \({ }^{1}\)
4. Proskauer has requested that the Defendants withdraw their inappropriate discovery request; however, the Defendants have refused to do so.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter a protective order as to the Defendants' request for production and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just. This 24 day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Additionally, the current attorney for the Defendants appeared in this matter on July 12, 2002 and, shortly thereafter, agreed to set the discovery cutoff at September 20, 2002.
}

September 20, 2002

\section*{Via Fax and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re.: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc. et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
Yesterday I faxed you a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation for your review and comment. Because the stipulation is due on Tuesday, please call me with your comments as soon as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation.


CWP/kem
\begin{tabular}{ll}
2255 Glades Road & \\
Suite 340 West & \\
Boca Raton, FL \(33431-7360\) & \\
Telephone 561.241 .7400 & NEw YoRK \\
Elsewhere in Florida & LOS ANGELES \\
800.432.7746 & WASHINGGON \\
Fax 561.241 .7145 & PEWARK \\
Christopher W. Prusaski & \\
Attorney at Law & \\
& \\
Direct Dial 561.995 .4767 & \\
cprusaski@proskauer.co m
\end{tabular}

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

\author{
Suite 340 \\ Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360 \\ Telephone 561.241.7400 \\ Elsewhere in Florida
}

September 23, 2002

\section*{Via Fax and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re.: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc. et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
As you know, the Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed tomorrow. I faxed you a proposed stipulation last week and, despite a letter on Friday and several telephone messages, I have not heard from you. Please call me so that we can get this project completed and filed on time.


Christopher W. Prusaski

CWP/kem

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby files its Unilateral Pretrial Statement as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion in Limine (hearing date: November 4, 2002);
b. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (hearing date: October 3, 2002); and
c. Proskauer's Motion for Protective Order (not yet set for hearing).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " total \$369,460.97; and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

\section*{For the Plaintiff:}

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

This 24 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 24,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury
Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blyd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. \(9^{\text {th }}\) Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case, including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LL
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Ration, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\section*{Joseph R. COOK, EsQ.}

EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974 Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon

Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society
Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

\section*{EMPLOYMENT:}

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.

\section*{PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS:}

Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

\section*{PUBLICATIONS:}

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing, Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis, Part V, appearing in the Albany Law Review, 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House.

\section*{SPECIAL INTERESTS:}

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms, lenders and institutions throughout the country.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, \(\mathbb{N C}\)., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31, 2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.

17, 2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
10. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352751 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18, 2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352753 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 30,2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30, 2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30,2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363970 and itemized billing statement dated July 30,2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22, 2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24, 2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement dated Oct. 13,2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \(\# 380021\) and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30, 2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec.

6, 2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

18,2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.

9, 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#394765 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

6,2001
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000, with subparts 1 through 17.
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15.
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document \#287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554).
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document \#273367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document \#256413).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley, including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. All rebuttal exhibits.
108. Aftimpeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561)241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Seiz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

\section*{Defendants.}

\section*{DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST}

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

\section*{Witnesses:}
1. Raymond Joan- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

EXHIBIT B
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

\section*{Exhibits:}
1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this 3 NJ day of September, 2002.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ITEMS \\ 3, 4 AND 5 ON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order striking the items listed as numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List dated September 3, 2002 and in support thereof states as follows:
1. The Defendants' have improperly listed the following "bulk" documents on its trial exhibit list without making any effort to describe the documents such that Proskauer can identify them prior to trial. The Defendants' exhibit list contains, in part, the following:
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.

A copy of the Defendants' exhibit list is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
2. This Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions attaches the Clerk of the Court's Guidelines for Preparation of Exhibits for Premarking in Circuit Civil Jury Trials. The Guidelines state as follows:
6. The exhibit lists must be legible and specific, with each exhibit being listed and numbered accordingly.
7. The exhibit lists which state 'all', 'any', and 'any and all' are not acceptable.
(emphasis added). A copy of the Guidelines is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
3. While this matter is a non-jury trial, the Defendants' improper listing of exhibits flies in the face of this Court's guidelines and places Proskauer in a position of prejudice in not knowing what specific documents the Defendants plan to use at trial.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court strike the items listed as Exhibit numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' trial exhibit list and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(\mid 8\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile:
Matthew Triggs \(241-7145\)
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18 , 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File its Witness and Exhibit Lists and the Court, upon being advised of an agreement between the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Plaintiff's motion to compel is GRANTED. The Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the Plaintiff's First and Second Requests for Production within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order. Additionally, the Defendants shall serve their answers to the Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories within 30 days of the date of this Agreed Order.
2. The Plaintiff's mption for extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists is and beladans
GRANTED. The Plaintiff shall serve its witness and exhibit lists within 30 days of the service of the Defendants' discovery responses ordered in paragraph 1.
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3. The following deadlines are hereby set and are changed from the deadlines previously set in this Court's Pretrial Order of June 11, 2002:

Rebuttal Witness/Exhibit List Due: September 2, 2002
Mediation Completed:
SSeptember 13, 2002
Pretrial Stipulation Filed:
September 24, 2002
Discovery Cutoff: September 20, 2002
Calendar Call: \(\quad\) Remains the same: September 13, 2002 at 9:00 a.m.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of July, 2002.

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, NNC., requests that the Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE, L.L.P., pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and within the time required by said Rule, to produce all documents as set forth below.

This request for production is intended to cover all documents within the possession, custody or control of Plaintiff, or any of its agents, servants, employees, accountants, attomeys and any other person or entities subject to Plaintiff's actual or constructive custody or control, wherever so located.


\section*{I. DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS}
1. "Documents" shall mean, without limitation, any kind of written or graphic matter however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, whether sent or received, or neither, including originals, copies and drafts thereof and including but not limited to papers, books, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telex corporate communications, calender or diary entries, airplane tickets, travel itineraries, appointment books, minutes, transcripts, telephone company bills and/or statements reflecting telephone calls placed, received or charged, reports and recordings of telephone or other conversations or of interviews, or of conferences and/or other meetings, statements, summaries, opinions, reports, studies, analyses, evacuations, contracts, agreements, journals, checks, check stubs, purchase orders change orders, invoices, bills, receipts, cash receipts, cash receipt journals, balance sheets, income statements, auditor's notes, deposit receipts, cash disbursement journals, general ledgers, records of disbursement, computer printouts, bank statements, credit reports, books of account, financial statements to banks of or any other persons or entities, statements, to banks, duplicate deposit receipts, canceled checks, statistical record, lists, tabulations,
instructions，specifications，manuals，pamphlets，publications，raw and refined data，graphs，drawings，advertisements，lists meeting minutes，magnetic tapes，or discs，punch cards，computer printouts， proposals，recommendations and any other data or records kept by electronic，photographic or mechanical means and things similar to the foregoing however denominated．

2．Whenever appropriate throughout this subpoena，the singular form of a word shall be interpreted to include the plural and vice versa，so as to require the broadest possible production．

3．＂And＂and＂or＂shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively，so as to require the broadest possible production．

4．In the event any document has been lost or removed fro the purpose of this action or for any other purpose，please state the name and address of the person who removed the document，the date of the document，the title of the document（if any），the subject matter of the document with reasonable specificity，the name of the person authoring such document，the name of the person to whom such document was given to transmitted，the present location and custodian of such document or any copies thereof．

5．If Production of a document is withheld on the grounds of privilege
or otherwise，state the following for each document withheld：
a．The type of document（i．e．，correspondence，memorandum， telex，etc．）；
b．The date of the document；
c．The person who signed the document
d．The person who received the document；and
e．The reason for withholding production．
6．The document to be produced in response to this request for production shall be segregated according to the specific requests to which such documents are responsive．If a document（s）is／are responsive to more than one paragraph of this subpoena，the document（s）need only be produced once．

All work product，files and all billings for I View It and any／and all affiliated companies including but not limited to：Iviewit Technologies，Inc．，Iviewit．com LLC，Ivlewit LLC，Ivlewlt．com，Uview，Uview．com，Ilearnit，Imedla，Iviewit Holdings，LLC，I．C．，Cyberfyds，RealView and any and all affiliated companies． Including work generated by all Proskauer partners，employees and affiliates． relating to any and all I View II companies in any format including：All files of any form including buf not limiled to：Tapes，Emails，Board meeting notes，Draf documents，Mailed items，Receipts for mailed items，Hand delivered Items， Computer files of any type in any form including backups，Inter－office correspondence regarding I Vlew It or any of it＇s companies，Letters，All Expense Records and supporting backup including Cell phone，corporate phones， personal home phone records for all attorney＇s on any／all matters relating 10 I Vlew It and its billings，Palm Pilot or other PDA flles，Microfiche，Stored Documents，Transcriptions，Video Conference Records，Meeling Room Schedules and any other form of communication，including but not limited to the following documents：

1．All／ANY Patent，Trademark，Copyright and Trade Secret
Provisional Documents and notes for any／all of the above Pending Applications and notes for any／all of the above Assignment Documents
Communications both Inter－office and between other law firms or lawyers relating in any way to I View ft and the above Binders

2．Investigations results and notes or files or evidence of any sort held on behalf of I view it by Proskauer relating to：
Infringement matters
Melizer Lippe investigation
Raymond Joao invastigation
Patenl Fraud Investigations
Brian Utley Investigations
Foley and Lardner Investigations
3．Sealed documents and／or any other documents delivered by your cllent to your office for safe keeping．

4．All transactions for：
Crossbow Ventures
Huiznega Holdings
Jason Gregg
Alpine Ventures
All Loan documents for any individual or company
Tledemann Prolow
Donald Kane
Alan Shapiro
Alan Young
Ellen DeGeneres
Alanis Morrisette
Allas Entertainment
Simon Bernstein
Mitchel Welsch
Kenneth Anderson

\author{
Brian Utiey \\ Michael Reale \\ Subscripilon Agraements \\ Notes \\ William Barber \\ Andrew Chessler \\ Hollywood.com \\ Webcests \\ Wachovia \\ Selman \\ Deutcshe Telecom \\ Michael Fox \\ Reale 3D \\ Disney
}
5. All employment, non-compete, COl's, papers and drafts for all employees, including but not limiled to:

Brian Utley
Michaele Reale
James Armstrong
Guy lantoni
Jill Iantoni
Ellot Bernstein
6. All lease documents including but not limited to:

Rental agreemenls
Furniture agreements
Equipment leases, etc.
7. All audit information and correspondences regarding each and every audit of I Viewit or any of it's affiliates
8. All correspondences of any type between Proskauer and Goldsteln Lewin and Gerald Lewin including but not limited to:
Foley and Lardner
Mr. Akseirad
Holland \& Knight
Tom Wippman
George Villasana
Matt Rosen
Armstrong Hirsh Jackoway \& Tyerman
Richard Rosman
Seybrook Capllal
Davld Kaiserman
Alan Epslein
Stuart Rosow
Mr. Kohner
Arthur Anderson
Hassan Miah
Kevin O'Donnel
Dollinger
FAU or any member
Mitchell Rubenstein

9．Taped conversation of patent call between Zakirul Shirajee，Eliot Bernstein，Raymond Joao and Gerald Lewin．The original tape is requested，please retain a copy only．

10．All taped or video conference conversations of any sort relating to 1 View It and any matters on the billings．

11．All correspondences and documents of any sort relating to I View it for each of the following Proskauer partners or other firm lawyers Including but not limited to：
Chris Wheelar
Al Gortz
Greg Reed
Ken Rubenstein
Mara Lerner Robbins
Jill Zamas
Gayie Coleman
Gloria Burfield
Rocky Thompson
A Levy
Kevin Healey
Stuart Kapp
D．Paris Jr．
Rad Bell
Marcy Hahn Saperstein
B．Schiff
S．Romoff
H．Coates Jr
R．Rowe
Ed Restaino
Susan Weiner
R．Storetle
A．Gutwein
Gregg Goldman
J．Silver
L．Gardner
R．Foster
12．Any and all documents relating to I Viewit matters with any of the following attorneys，firms or others：
William Dick
Raymond Joao
Steven Backer
Douglas Boehm
Stephen Filipek
Meltzer Lippe Goldstein \＆Schnissel
13．All documents of any sorf relating to Gruntal including but not
limlted to
14．All documents and files of any sort relating to RYJO or Ryan Huisman including bul not limited to

15．All documents，files，notes，etc of any sort relating to patent application 5865－2

16．All consulling agreements documents，drafts and fius
17．All term sheet documents，drafls and files
18．All patent documents that were in any way replaced includlng the replaced documents

19．All documents relating to Real3D，Intel，SGI，\＆Lockheed and I View It．

20．All documents relating to any transactions with Iviewil and Distance Learning Companies and or objectives including but not limitad to：
Trademarks
Patents
Trade Secrets
Corporate Filings
Incorporation documents for any company opened or intended to be opened
All documents relating to any transaction of any sort with Internel Train，lleamit，Imedia，etc．

21．All documents relating to Proskauer Rose marketing letter for I View It products to its clients

22．All documents relating to the acceptance of I View It slock by Proskauer or any affiliate，including interoffice correspondences and partner letters and communicatioons．

23．All documents detailing the hiring of Kenneth Rubenstein．
24．All records pertaining to I View It and AOLTW or any affiliate
25．All correspondences of any sort relating to Ron Assaf and Sensormalic

26．Description and notes for meeting on 6／2／99 with Gerald Lewin． Chrts Wheeler and Mr．Bernstein billed as＂lengthy conversation．＂

27．All documents of any sort relating to investigation of COI with Goldstein Lewin，Visula Data and I View It．

28．All filings of name changes for any I View It entity
29．All copies of all NDA＇s for all clients of Proskauer Rose and all copies of retained NDA＇s for all I View it companies for any potential cllent or investor．

30．All enalyses of corporate structure and any form of document or file relating to such．

31．Phone records for Al Gortz，Chris Wheeler and Kenneth Rubenstein relating to initial conversations with anyone relating to 1 View il or il＇s subs

32．All records and copies of business plans and distribution lists of such plans．
33. All coples of checks relating to any lviewit or sub transactions
34. All notes and correspondences of meetings hald with Foley and Lardner
35. All correspondence, meetling noles, relating Brian Utley billings.

At the offices of Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., at the address set forth below and that if any of the information normally contained in the documents, or in some other for, electronic or otherwise, has been photographed, recorded or is retained on a computer or other electronic device, defendant is hereby requested to obtain such information, translated, if necessary, into a reasonably usable form.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this CTH day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brafijian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Behch, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVENM. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEFENDANTS' COMPLIANCE WITH PREVIOUS COURT ORDERS AND FOR SANCTIONS}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves for an order compelling the Defendants to comply with the previous Orders of this Court dated July 18, 2002 and September 12, 2002, and awarding sanctions against the Defendants, and in support thereof states as follows:
1. Pursuant to an Agreed Order dated July 18, 2002, the Defendants were required to serve a response to Proskauer's First and Second Requests for Production no later than August 19, 2002. A copy of the July 18, 2002 Agreed Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The Defendants failed to comply with the Agreed Order.
2. This Court then entered an Order on September 12, 2002, requiring the Defendants to comply with the July 18, 2002 Order no later than September 17, 2002. A copy of the September 12, 2002 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. On September 17, 2002, the Defendants produced approximately 1,500 pages of documents to Proskauer. The Defendants
did not, however, serve any response to the requests for production, or otherwise notify
Proskauer as to whether all the documents the Court ordered to be produced have been produced, or whether any documents were being withheld.
3. Prior to the filing of this motion, counsel for Proskauer wrote to counsel for the Defendants, asking that he acknowledge that a complete production has been made. As of the filing of this motion, counsel for the Defendants has not done so. Defendants' refusal to respond to Proskauer's inquiry regarding Defendants' document production leads to the inescapable conclusion that Defendants have yet to produce all responsive documents.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter an order compelling the Defendants to serve a response to Proskauer's requests for production, award sanctions against the Defendants for violating the orders of this Court (including but not limited to the attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion), and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 24 day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM THE DEFENDANTS AND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH PROSKAUER SHALL FILE ITS WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LISTS}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from the Defendants and Motion for Extension of Time Within Which Proskauer Shall File its Witness and Exhibit Lists and the Court, upon being advised of an agreement between the parties and counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Plaintiff's motion to compel is GRANTED. The Defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the Plaintiff's First and Second Requests for Production within 20 days of the date of this Agreed Order. Additionally, the Defendants shall serve their answers to the Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories within 30 days of the date of this Agreed Order.
2. The Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to serve witness and exhibit lists is and Beladants
GRANTED. The Plaintiff shall serve. itfy witness and exhibit lists within 30 days of the service of the Defendants' discovery responses ordered in paragraph 1.
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3. The following deadlines are hereby set and are changed from the deadlines previously set in this Court's Pretrial Order of June 11, 2002 :

Rebuttal Witness/Exhibit List Due: September 2, 2002
Mediation Completed: September 13, 2002
Pretrial Stipulation Filed: September 24, 2002
Discovery Cutoff:
September 20, 2002
Calendar Call:
Remains the same: September 13, 2002 at 9:00 a.m.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of July, 2002.

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

CA 01-04671 AB

Defendants.

DOROTHYH I.......N
CLERK OF CIRCUIT C JURT CIRCUIT CMILDVISION

SEP 202032
COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVEO FOR FILIN:

\section*{SECOND RE-NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION \\ (Due to Illness of Counsel)}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

\section*{DEPONENT}

Christopher Wheeler, Esq.

DATE AND TIME LOCATION
Tuesday, September 24, One Boca Place, Suite 2002, 11:00 am

340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

\section*{PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(10^{r}\) day of September, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561)820-9409
Fax: (561
STEVEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

\footnotetext{
CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.
}

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boa Rato, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

DEPONENT
Christopher Wheeler, Esq.

DATE AND TIME
Friday, September 20, 2002

\section*{LOCATION}

One Boca Place, Suite 340W
Boca Rato, FL 33431

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
\[
\mathrm{v} .
\]

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation. a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

CA 01-04671 AB

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Monday, September 30, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion To Extend Discovery Deadline
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this ZoTl day of September,

2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) \(\S 3 \beta-9715\)
By:


FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

CA 01-04671 AB



SEP 2 O 2002
RECEMVED ORIGINAI
FOR FILI:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Monday, September 30, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion To Extend Discovery Deadline
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this ZOT day of September,

2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) \(833-9715\)
By:


FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15{ }^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

> v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)

DOROTH: CLERK OF CIKL CIRCUITC SE 1 SE1
KECEAD EHY H. WELKEN CLEP 5 F CIRCUITCOURT CIFCUITT CIVIL DIVISION

SEP 202002
UUPY / ORIGINAL GECEIVED FOR FILINE

\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order extending discovery deadlines in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That on September 11, 2002 the parties appeared before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Continue trial setting and for leave to amend their answer.
2. That at such hearing, and based on the evidence provided that the principal of the Defendants, Elliott Bernstein, was unavailable for mediation and depositions,
this Court instructed that counsel for both the Plaintiff and Defendants were to coordinate an extension of the discovery deadlines in this matter.
3. Although counsel for the Defendants has attempted in good faith to work with counsel for Plaintiff for an agreed extension of the discovery in this matter, counsel for Plaintiff has failed to do so.
4. This matter is currently set on the docket for a 4 day non-jury trial commencing on December 16, 2002.
5. Counsel for Defendants has suggested that the new discovery cut-off date be not later than November 15, 2002 and that the mediation of this matter, which has yet to take place, be held the following week.
6. Based on the inability of the parties to agree as to a date for the extension of discovery in this matter, Defendants respectfully request that the Court set the discovery deadline in this matter for November 15, 2002.
7. This motion is interposed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order extending the discovery deadline in this matter until November 15, 2002.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(\bar{Z}\) 'day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton,

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56 ) 820-9409
Fax: (56N) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.
Defendants.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
\(\qquad\)

CA 01-04671 AB
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YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Monday, September 30, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion To Extend Discovery Deadline
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this ZOTV day of September,

2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) \(\& 3 \beta-9715\)
By:


FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)

CA 01-04671 AB
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\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order extending discovery deadlines in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That on September 11, 2002 the parties appeared before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Continue trial setting and for leave to amend their answer.
2. That at such hearing, and based on the evidence provided that the principal of the Defendants, Elliott Bernstein, was unavailable for mediation and depositions,
this Court instructed that counsel for both the Plaintiff and Defendants were to coordinate an extension of the discovery deadlines in this matter.
3. Although counsel for the Defendants has attempted in good faith to work with counsel for Plaintiff for an agreed extension of the discovery in this matter, counsel for Plaintiff has failed to do so.
4. This matter is currently set on the docket for a 4 day non-jury trial commencing on December 16, 2002.
5. Counsel for Defendants has suggested that the new discovery cut-off date be not later than November 15, 2002 and that the mediation of this matter, which has yet to take place, be held the following week.
6. Based on the inability of the parties to agree as to a date for the extension of discovery in this matter, Defendants respectfully request that the Court set the discovery deadline in this matter for November 15, 2002.
7. This motion is interposed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order extending the discovery deadline in this matter until November 15, 2002.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(\mathrm{Z}^{-1}\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton,

FL 33431.
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56 ) 820-9409
Fax: (56N) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

\section*{CA 01-04671 AB}
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

DOROTHYH WILKEN
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

SEP 202002
COPY / ORIGINAL RECEIVED FOR FILING

\section*{DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., requests that the Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE, L.L.P., pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and within the time required by said Rule, to produce all documents as set forth below.

This request for production is intended to cover all documents within the possession, custody or control of Plaintiff, or any of its agents, servants, employees, accountants, attorneys and any other person or entities subject to Plaintiff's actual or constructive custody or control, wherever so located.

\section*{I. DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS}
1. "Documents" shall mean, without limitation, any kind of written or graphic matter however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, whether sent or received, or neither, including originals, copies and drafts thereof and including but not limited to papers, books, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telex corporate communications, calender or diary entries, airplane tickets, travel itineraries, appointment books, minutes, transcripts, telephone company bills and/or statements reflecting telephone calls placed, received or charged, reports and recordings of telephone or other conversations or of interviews, or of conferences and/or other meetings, statements, summaries, opinions, reports, studies, analyses, evacuations, contracts, agreements, journals, checks, check stubs, purchase orders change orders, invoices, bills, receipts, cash receipts, cash receipt journals, balance sheets, income statements, auditor's notes, deposit receipts, cash disbursement journals, general ledgers, records of disbursement, computer printouts, bank statements, credit reports, books of account, financial statements to banks of or any other persons or entities, statements, to banks, duplicate deposit receipts, canceled checks, statistical record, lists, tabulations,
instructions, specifications, manuals, pamphlets, publications, raw and refined data, graphs, drawings, advertisements, lists meeting minutes, magnetic tapes, or discs, punch cards, computer printouts, proposals, recommendations and any other data or records kept by electronic, photographic or mechanical means and things similar to the foregoing however denominated.
2. Whenever appropriate throughout this subpoena, the singular form of a word shall be interpreted to include the plural and vice versa, so as to require the broadest possible production.
3. "And" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, so as to require the broadest possible production.
4. In the event any document has been lost or removed fro the purpose of this action or for any other purpose, please state the name and address of the person who removed the document, the date of the document, the title of the document (if any), the subject matter of the document with reasonable specificity, the name of the person authoring such document, the name of the person to whom such document was given to transmitted, the present location and custodian of such document or any copies thereof.
5. If Production of a document is withheld on the grounds of privilege
or otherwise, state the following for each document withheld:
a. The type of document (i.e., correspondence, memorandum, telex, etc.);
b. The date of the document;
c. The person who signed the document
d. The person who received the document; and
e. The reason for withholding production.
6. The document to be produced in response to this request for production shall be segregated according to the specific requests to which such documents are responsive. If a document(s) is/are responsive to more than one paragraph of this subpoena, the document(s) need only be produced once.

All work product, files and ail billings for I View It and any/ and all affiliated companies including but not limited to: Iviewit Technologies, Inc.,Iviewit.com LLC, Ivlewit LLC, Ivlewlt,com, Uview, Uview.com, llearnit, Imedia, Iviewit Holdings, LLC, I.C., Cyberfyds, RealView and any and all affiliated companies. Including work generated by all Proskauer partners, employees and affiliates, relating to any and all I View It companies in any format including: All files of any form including but not limited to: Tapes, Emails, Board meeting notes, Draft documents, Mailed items, Receipts for mailed items., Hand delivered Items, Computer files of any type in any form including backups, Inter-office correspondence regerding I View it or any of It's companies, Letters, All Expense Records and supporting backup including Cell phone, corporate phones, personal home phone records for all attomey's on any/all matters relating to 1 Vlew It and its blllings, Palm Pllot or other PDA fles, Microflche, Stored Documents, Transcriptions, Video Conference Records, Meeting Room Schedules and any other form of communication, including but not limilted to the following documents:
1. All/ANY Patent, Trademark, Copyright and Trade Secret

Provislonal Documents and notes for any/all of the above Pending Applications and notes for any/all of the above Assignment Documents Communications both Inter-office and between other law firms or lawyers relating in any way to I View It and the above Binders
2. Investigations results and notes or files or evidence of any sort held on behalf of I view it by Proskauer relating to:
Infringement matters
Meltzer Llppe investigation
Raymond Joao investigation
Patent Fraud Investigations
Brian Ulley Investigations
Foley and Lardner Investigations
3. Sealed documents and/or any other documents delivered by your cllent to your office for safe keeping.
4. All transactions for:

Crossbow Ventures
Huiznega Holdings
Jason Gregg
Alpine Ventures
All Loan documents for any individual or company
Tledemann Prolow
Donald Kane
Alan Shapiro
Alan Young
Ellen DeGeneres
Alanls Morrisette
Atlas Entertainment
Simon Bernstein
Mitchel Welsch
Kennelh Anderson

\author{
Brian Utley Mlchael Reale Subscription Agreaments \\ Notes \\ Wlliam Barber \\ Andrew Chessler \\ Hollywood.com \\ Webcasts \\ Wachovia \\ Selman \\ Deutcshe Telecom \\ Michael Fox \\ Reale 3D \\ Disney
}
5. All employment, non-compete, COI's, papers and drafts for all employees, including but nol limited to:

Brlan Utley
Michaele Reale
James Armstrong
Guy lantoni
Jill lantoni
Ellot Bernstein
6. All lease documents including but not limited to:

Rental agreements
Furniture agreements
Equipment leases, etc.
7. All audit information and correspondences regarding each and every audit of I Viewit or any of it's affiliates
8. All correspondences of any type between Proskauer and Goldstein Lewin and Gerald Lewin including but not limited to:

Foley and Lardner
Mr. Akselrod
Holland \& Knight
Tom Wippman
George Villasana
Matt Rosen
Armstrong Hirsh Jackoway \& Tyerman
Rlchard Rosman
Saybrook Capltal
David Kalserman
Alan Epsteln
Sluart Rosow
Mr. Kohner
Arthur Anderson
Hassan Miah
Kevin O'Donnel
Dollinger
FAU or any member
Milchell Rubenstein
9. Taped cont. sation of patent call between Zakirul' ajee, Eliot Bernstein, Raymond Joao and Gerald Lewin. The onginal lape is requested, please retain a copy only.
10. All taped or video conference conversations of any sort relating to 1 Vlew Il and any matters on the billings.
11. All correspondences and documents of any sort relating to I View It for each of the following Proskauer partners or other firm lawyers including but not limiled to:
Chris Wheeler
Al Gortz
Greg Reed
Ken Rubenstein
Mara Lerner Robbins
Jill Zamas
Gayle Coleman
Gloria Burfield
Rocky Thompson
A Levy
Kevin Healey
Stuart Kapp
D. Paris Jr.

Rod Bell
Marcy Hahn Saperstein
B. Schiff
S. Romoff
H. Coates Jr
R. Rowe

Ed Restalno
Susan Weiner
R. Storette
A. Gutwein

Gregg Goldman
J. Silver
L. Gardner
R. Foster
12. Any and all documents relating to I Viewit matters with any of the following altorneys, firms or others:
William Dick
Raymond Joao
Steven Becker
Douglas Boehm
Stephen Filipek
Meltzer Lippe Goldstein \& Schnissel
13. All documents of any sort relating to Gruntal including but not Ilmiled to
14. All documents and files of any sort relating to RYJO or Ryan Huisman including but not limited to
15. All documents, files, notes, etc of any sort relating to patent appllcation 5865-2
16. All consul agreements documents, drafts and \(f\)
17. All term sheet documents, drafts and files
18. All patent documents that were in any way replaced including the replaced documents
19. All documents relaling to Real3D, Intel, SGI. \& Lockheed and I View lt.
20. All documents relating to any transactions with lviewit and Dislance Learning Companies and or objectives including but not limited to:
Trademarks
Patents
Trade Secrets
Corporate Fillings
Incorporation documents for any company opened or intended to be opened
All documents relating to any transaction of any sort with Internel Train, Ilearnit, Imedia, etc.
21. All documents relating to Proskauer Rose marketling letter for I View It products to its clients
22. All documents relating to the acceptance of I View It stock by Proskauer or any affiliate, including interoffice correspondences and partner letters and communicatioons.
23. All documents detailing the hiring of Kenneth Rubenstein.
24. All records pertaining to I View It and AOLTW or any affiliate
25. All correspondences of any sort relating to Ron Assal and Sensormatic
26. Descriptlon and notes for meeting on 6/2/99 with Gerald Lewin, Chris Wheeler and Mr. Bernstein billed as "lengthy conversation."
27. All documents of any sort relating to investigation of COI with Goldstein Lewin, Visula Data and I View It.
28. All filings of name changes for any I View It entity
29. All copies of all NDA's for all clients of Proskauer Rose and all copies of retalned NDA's for all I View It companies for any potential cllent or investor.
30. All analyses of corporate struclure and any form of document or file relating to such.
31. Phone records for Al Gortz , Chris Wheeler and Kenneth Rubenstein relating to initial conversations with anyone relating to I View It or il's subs
32. All records and copies of business plans and distribution lists of such plans.
33. All coples of checks relating to any Iviewit or sub transactions
34. All notes and correspondences of meetings held with Foley and Lardner
35. All correspondence, meeting notes, relating Brian Utley billings.

At the offices of Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., at the address set forth below and that if any of the information normally contained in the documents, or in some other for, electronic or otherwise, has been photographed, recorded or is retained on a computer or other electronic device, defendant is hereby requested to obtain such information, translated, if necessary, into a reasonably usable form.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(1 \mathrm{TH}^{4}\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.^.
214 Brafinan Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:

FBN: 777420

Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Sep 192002 11:31am

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages & Result \\
Sep 19 & 11:28am & Fax Sent & 2417145 & \(2: 19\) & 12 & OK
\end{tabular}

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

CA \(01-04671\) AB

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION \\ (Changes Time Only)}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Rato, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

\section*{DEPONENT}

Christopher Wheeler, Esq.

\section*{DATE AND TIME}

Friday, September 20, 2002, 11:00 am

\section*{LOCATION}

One Boa Place, Suite 340W
Coca Rato, FL 33431

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

\section*{PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561)820-9409


CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING \\ Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida z33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List
DATE: October 3, 2002
TIME: \(\quad 8: 45\) a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

6143/60145-255 BRLIB1/346676 v1

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse \\ 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 \\ West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 19 day of September, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski
in Linton

- ri piave per \(\#\) FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
(Du...
CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New - Sinter ort:
York limited liability partnership, snow w os aus
Plaintiff,

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

(SPECIALLY SET - 15 MINUTES RESERVED)
A hearing will take place before me on the following matter: . pitt un ans prus
PLAINTIFFS MOTION IN LIMING
TIME: 8:00 a.m.
DATE: November 5, 2002
PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 N. Dixie Highway West Palm Beach, FL 33401

The attorneys must be in Court on time. The Court will not wait. The parties must submit the following to the Court one week before the hearing: (1) copy of all relevant pleadings; (2) copy of memorandum of law; and (3) copy of all case law authority. This hearing cannot be canceled unless the issues of this Motion have been settled. Notices of unavailability filed after the date of this Order shall not apply to this specially set hearing.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc.
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800) 955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.

\author{
Judge Jorge Labarga \\ Circuit Judge
}

Copies furnished to:
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

DOROTHY H. WIEN CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT
CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., requests that the Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE, L.L.P., pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and within the time required by said Rule, to produce all documents as set forth below.

This request for production is intended to cover all documents within the possession, custody or control of Plaintiff, or any of its agents, servants, employees, accountants, attorneys and any other person or entities subject to Plaintiff's actual or constructive custody or control, wherever so located.


\section*{I. DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS}
1. "Documents" shall mean, without limitation, any kind of written or graphic matter however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, whether sent or received, or neither, including originals, copies and drafts thereof and including but not limited to papers, books, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telex corporate communications, calender or diary entries, airplane tickets, travel itineraries, appointment books, minutes, transcripts, telephone company bills and/or statements reflecting telephone calls placed, received or charged, reports and recordings of telephone or other conversations or of interviews, or of conferences and/or other meetings, statements, summaries, opinions, reports, studies, analyses, evacuations, contracts, agreements, journals, checks, check stubs, purchase orders change orders, invoices, bills, receipts, cash receipts, cash receipt journals, balance sheets, income statements, auditor's notes, deposit receipts, cash disbursement journals, general ledgers, records of disbursement, computer printouts, bank statements, credit reports, books of account, financial statements to banks of or any other persons or entities, statements, to banks, duplicate deposit receipts, canceled checks, statistical record, lists, tabulations,
instructions, specifications, manuals, pamphlets, publications, raw and refined data, graphs, drawings, advertisements, lists meeting minutes, magnetic tapes, or discs, punch cards, computer printouts, proposals, recommendations and any other data or records kept by electronic, photographic or mechanical means and things similar to the foregoing however denominated.
2. Whenever appropriate throughout this subpoena, the singular form of a word shall be interpreted to include the plural and vice versa, so as to require the broadest possible production.
3. "And" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, so as to require the broadest possible production.
4. In the event any document has been lost or removed fro the purpose of this action or for any other purpose, please state the name and address of the person who removed the document, the date of the document, the title of the document (if any), the subject matter of the document with reasonable specificity, the name of the person authoring such document, the name of the person to whom such document was given to transmitted, the present location and custodian of such document or any copies thereof.
5. If Production of a document is withheld on the grounds of privilege
or otherwise, state the following for each document withheld:
a. The type of document (i.e., correspondence, memorandum, telex, etc.);
b. The date of the document;
c. The person who signed the document
d. The person who received the document; and
e. The reason for withholding production.
6. The document to be produced in response to this request for production shall be segregated according to the specific requests to which such documents are responsive. If a document(s) is/are responsive to more than one paragraph of this subpoena, the document(s) need only be produced once.

All work product, files and all billings for I View It and any/ and all affiliated companies including but nol limited to: Iviewit Technologies, Inc.,Iviewit.com LLC, Ivlewt LLC, Ivlewlt.com, Uview, Uview.com, llearnit, Imedia, Iviewit Holdings, LLC, I.C., Cyberfyds, RealView and any and all affiliated companies. Including work generated by all Proskauer partners, employees and affiliates, relating to any and all I View it companies in any format including: All files of any form including but not limited to: Tapes, Emails, Board meeting notes, Draft documents, Mailed items, Receipts for mailed items,, Hand delivered Items, Computer files of any type in any form including backups, Inter-office correspondence regarding I View It or any of It's companies, Letters, All Expense Records and supporting backup including Cell phone, corporate phones, personal home phone records for all attomey's on any/all matters relating to I View It and its billings, Palm Plitot or other PDA flles, Microfiche, Stored Documents, Transcriptions, Video Conference Records, Meeting Room Schedules and any other form of communication, including but not limited to the following documents:
1. All/ANY Patent, Trademark, Copyright and Trade Secret
Provislonal Documents and notes for any/all of the above
Pending Applications and notes for any/ail of the above
Assignment Documents
Communications both Inter-office and between other law firms or
lawyers relating in any way to I View It and the above
Binders
2. Investigations results and notes or files or evidence of any sort held
on behalf of I view it by Proskauer relating to:
Infringement matters
Melizer Llppe investigation
Raymond Joao investigation
Patent Fraud Investigations
Brian Utley Investigations
Foley and Lardner Investigations
Sealed documents and/or any other documents delivered by your
cllent to your office for safe keeping.
All transactions for:
Crossbow Ventures
H. Huiznega Holdings
Jason Gregg
Alpine Ventures
All Loen documents for any individual or company
Tledemann Prolow
Donald Kane
Alan Shapiro
Alan Young
Ellen DeGeneres
Alanis Morrisette
Atlas Enterainment
Simon Bernstein
Mitchel Welsch
Kenneth Anderson

\author{
Brian Utley \\ Michael Reale \\ Subscriptlon Agreements \\ Noles \\ William Barber \\ Andrew Chessler \\ Hollywood.com \\ Webcasts \\ Wachovia \\ Selman \\ Deutcshe Telecom \\ Michael Fox \\ Reale 3D \\ Disney
}
5. All employment, non-compete, COI's, papers and drafts for all employees, including but not limited to:

Brian Utley
Michaele Reale
James Armstrong
Guy lantoni
Jill Iantoni
Eliot Bernstein
6. All lease documents including but not limited to:

Rental agreements
Furniture agreements
Equipment leases, etc.
7. All audlt information and correspondences regarding each and every audit of I Viewit or any of it's affiliates
8. All correspondences of any type between Proskauer and Goldstein Lewin and Gerald Lewin including but not limited to:
Foley and Lardner
Mr. Akselrod
Holland \& Knight
Tom Wippman
George Villasana
Matt Rosen
Armstrong Hirsh Jackoway \& Tyerman
Richard Rosman
Saybrook Capltal
David Kalserman
Alan Epstein
Stuart Rosow
Mr. Kohner
Arthur Anderson
Hassan Miah
Kevin O'Donnel
Dollinger
FAU or any member
Milchell Rubenstein
9. Taped conversation of patent call between Zakirul SI ..jee, Eliot Bernstein, Raymond Joao and Gerald Lewin. The original lape is requested, please retain a copy only.
10. All taped or video conference conversations of any sort relating to I View It and any matters on the billings.
11. All correspondences and documents of any sort relating to I View II for each of the following Proskauer partners or other firm lawyers including but not limited to:
Chris Wheeler
Al Gortz
Greg Reed
Ken Rubenstein
Mara Lerner Robbins
Jill Zamas
Gayle Coleman
Gloria Burfield
Rocky Thompson
A Levy
Kevin Healey
Stuart Kapp
D. Paris Jr.

Rod Bell
Marcy Hahn Saperstein
B. Schiff
S. Romoff
H. Coates Jr
R. Rowe

Ed Restalno
Susan Weiner
R. Storette
A. Gutwein

Gregg Goldman
J. Silver
L. Gardner
R. Foster
12. Any and all documents relating to I Viewil matters with any of the following attorneys, firms or others:
William Dick
Raymond Joao
Steven Becker
Douglas Boehm
Stephen Filipek
Meltzer Lippe Goldstein \& Schnissel
13. All documents of any sort relating to Gruntal including but not Ilmiled to
14. All documents and files of any sort relating to RYJO or Ryan Huisman including but not limited to
15. All documents, files, notes, etc of any sort relating to patent application 5865-2
16. All consultir . \(\lg\) reements documents, drafts and fil
17. All term sheet documents, drafts and files
18. All patent documents that were in any way replaced including the replaced documents
19. All documents relating to Real3D, Intel, SGI, \& Lockheed and I View It.
20. All documents relating to any transactions with lviewit and Dislance Learning Companies and or objectives including but not limited to:
Trademarks
Patents
Trade Secrets
Corporate Filings
Incorporation documents for any company opened or inlended to be opened
All documents relating to any transaction of any sort with Internet Train, Ilearnit, Imedia, etc.
21. All documents relating to Proskauer Rose marketing letter for I View It products to its clients
22. All documents relating to the acceptance of I View It slock by Proskauer or any affiliate, including interoffice correspondences and partner letters and communicatioons.
23. All documents detailing the hiring of Kenneth Rubenstein.
24. All records pertaining to I View It and AOLTW or any affiliate
25. All correspondences of any sort relating to Ron Assaf and Sensormatic
26. Description and notes for meeting on 6/2/99 with Geraid Lewin, Chris Wheeler and Mr. Bernstein billed as "lengthy conversation."
27. All documents of any sort relaling to investigation of COI wh Goldstein Lewin, Visula Data and I View It.
28. All filings of name changes for any I View It entity
29. All copies of all NDA's for all clients of Proskauer Rose and all copies of relained NDA's for all I View It companies for any potential cllent or investor.
30. All analyses of corporate structure and any form of document or file relating to such.
31. Phone records for Al Gortz , Chris Wheeler and Kenneth Rubenstein relating to initial conversations with anyone relating to I View It or it's subs
32. All records and copies of business plans and distribution lists of such plans.
33. All coples of checks relating to any Iviewit or sub transactions
34. All notes and correspondences of meetings held with Foley and Lardner
35. All correspondence, meeting notes, relating Brian Utley billings.

At the offices of Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., at the address set forth below and that if any of the information normally contained in the documents, or in some other for, electronic or otherwise, has been photographed, recorded or is retained on a computer or other electronic device, defendant is hereby requested to obtain such information, translated, if necessary, into a reasonably usable form.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(19 T+\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{PROSKAUER'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL}

Proskauer Rose LLP files this memorandum of law in opposition to the motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial filed by Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc., and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Iviewit"). As demonstrated herein, Iviewit's motion is not well founded. For two equally compelling reasons, the motion should be denied and this matter should proceed to trial without further delay.

\section*{I. THE COURT HAS ALREADY CONSIDERED AND REJECTED THIS DEFENSE ONCE}

Months ago, Iviewit moved to dismiss Proskauer's Amended Complaint, arguing that Iviewit, LLC was an indispensable party. A copy of Iviewit's motion to dismiss is attached as Exhibit A. The Court denied that motion by order dated October 11, 2001. A copy of that order is attached as Exhibit B.

Having already brought a motion to dismiss alleging a failure to join an indispensable party and lost, Iviewit cannot do so yet again. See Engel Mortgage Co. v. Dowd, 355 So. 2d 1210, 1212 (Fla. 1st DCA 1977) (the intent of Rule 1.140 is to permit the defense of failure to join an indispensable party one time) (emphasis added). Such a defense can only be raised by answer or motion to dismiss and thereafter only by motion for judgment on the pleadings or at trial. See Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(b); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(h)(2). Having chosen to raise this matter by way of motion to dismiss and lost, Iviewit cannot now re-litigate this issue.

\section*{II. THERE ARE NO OTHER INDISPENSABLE PARTIES}

Even ignoring the procedural bar to Iviewit's motion, the motion has no basis. As the Amended Complaint plainly reveals, this is a straightforward action for unpaid legal fees. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it provided legal services. As a matter of law, there cannot be any other indispensable parties.

Under Florida law, an indispensable party is one who has not only an interest in the subject matter of the controversy, but an interest of such a nature that a final decree cannot be rendered between other parties to the suit, or cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final determination may be inconsistent with equity and good conscience. City of Riviera Beach v. Bjorklund, 563 So. 2d 1114, 1115 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990) (citing Nat'l Title Ins. Co. v. Oscar E. Dooly Assocs., Inc., 377 So. 2d 730, 731 (Fla. 3d DCA 1980)). Thus, a party is indispensable if:
(1) he/she has such an interest in the subject matter of the action that final adjudication cannot be made without affecting his/her interests; or
(2) a final decree cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final resolution is inequitable.

Cooper, Inc. v. City of Miami Beach, 512 So. 2d 324, 325 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987).
Indispensable parties are necessary parties that are so essential to a suit that no final decision can be rendered without their joinder. Hertz Corp. v. Piccolo, 453 So. 2d 12, 14 (Fla. 1984). The mere fact that a party's absence may lead to multiple litigation is not sufficient reason to declare him/her an indispensable party. Cooper, 512 So. 2 d at 325.

Given this test, there is no good faith basis to suggest that Iviewit, LLC or Iviewit.com, LLC are indispensable parties. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it performed legal services and has not been paid. But even if there were some legitimate debate as to this point (which there is not), Iviewit would be entitled to defend Proskauer's claim by alleging that it did not contract with Proskauer for Proskauer to perform legal services. There is absolutely no requirement under Florida law that Proskauer be forced to sue the entities that Iviewit apparently wants it to sue. Clearly, any such mandate would be absurd.

Apparently ignoring the requirements of section 57.105 , Florida Statutes, which clearly contemplates that a party conduct a reasonable investigation before asserting claims or defenses, Iviewit suggests that Proskauer did not sue Iviewit.com, LLC because that entity was in bankruptcy at the time. Iviewit also suggests that the invoice it attached to its motion proves that Iviewit.com, LLC is a proper party because the invoice in question references Iviewit.com, LLC.

Purposefully or not, Iviewit overlooks two dispositive facts in making such arguments. First, even a cursory investigation of the filing dates of the two matters would have established that Proskauer filed its lawsuit prior to the bankruptcy filing in question. Second, the invoice that Iviewit references and suggests to the Court "forms the basis for [Proskauer's] claims for damages" was paid in full over two years ago. See Motion at 7. Thus, even assuming Proskauer had, at some point
in time, performed work for that entity, Proskauer has been paid for those services. Such facts were readily available to Iviewit at the time of the filing of its motion.

\section*{III. IVIEWIT SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO DELAY TRIAL}

Section 57.105(3), Florida Statutes, expressly prohibits actions taken primarily for the purpose of unreasonable delay. Here, Iviewit's sole motivation is delay. By alleging that Iviewit.com, LLC is an indispensable party, Iviewit seeks to delay trial. Given its bankruptcy filing, it could not be added as a party to this litigation at this time. In making its motion, Iviewit ignores the fact that Proskauer sued Iviewit prior to any bankruptcy filing, it ignores the fact that the invoice that forms the sole basis for Iviewit's motion was paid long ago, and it ignores the prohibition found in section \(57.105(3)\), Florida Statutes, regarding unreasonably delay. The fact that Iviewit has chosen to file its motion on the eve of calendar call when this matter has been pending for over one year speaks volumes of the true motivation driving the motion. Simply put, Iviewit's motion has no factual or legal foundation, it is procedurally barred, and should be denied.

This \(\underline{L}^{\pi /}\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145
Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this day of September, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
 FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (collectively referred to herein as "IVIEWIT"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.140 and 1.190 and applicable case law, hereby file this, their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership (hereinafter referred to as "PROSKAUER"), Amended Complaint, on the following grounds:
1. On or about May 2, 2001, Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, filed a Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for an alleged breach of a contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit.
2. With certificate of service date of June 11, 2001, Defendants, IVIEWIT, filed and served their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and failed to satisfy conditions precedent prior to bringing the within action. One of the grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was Plaintiff's failure to post a no nresident cost bond pursuant to Fla. Stat., \(\S 57.011\).
3. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of June 15, 2001, Plaintiff served its Notice of Filing Nonresident Cost Bond with the Clerk of this Court.
4. One of the other grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was that Plaintiff had sued the wrong party Defendant because Plaintiff had entered into a contract with a non-party, iviewit LLC, and was attempting to impute the alleged breach of contract claim to Defendants who were not parties to the contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC. The written contract (which was attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint) was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, not between Plaintiff and Defendants. Therefore, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a cause of action against these Defendants.
5. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of August 3, 2001, Plaintiff served an Amended Complaint against Defendants for an alleged breach of contract, account stated, open account and quantum meruit. The exhibits attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and simply attached as exhibits
certain invoices addressed to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM, INC. However, there are no documents attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint which show any agreement or contract entered into between Plaintiff and Defendants.
6. Therefore, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint shouid be dismissed as well for failure to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and for failure to join and/or name an indispensable party, namely, iviewit LLC.
7. Although in the Amended Complaint Plaintiff has removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC (which was previously attached as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Complaint) in an attempt to defeat Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the facts of this case still remain and cannot be refuted. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint arises out of an alleged Engagement Agreement entered into between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, on or about October 8, 1999, for representation in connection with general corporate advice. There is no agreement or contract between Plaintiff and Defendants despite Plaintiff's attempt to attach as exhibits to its Amended Complaint invoices which are simply addressed to one of the Defendants. These "invoices" cannot form the basis of a claim for breach of contract against Defendants.
8. Because iviewit LLC is clearly the proper party that entered into the written contract for legal services with Plaintiff, iviewit LLC is the necessary and proper party Defendant in this action. Because Plaintiff has failed to name and/or join iviewit LLC as an indispensable party, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed and Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice.
9. Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint revealed that the subject alleged contract was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and Plaintiff cannot avoid that fact by simply
failing to attach this contract as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
10. On a Motion to Dismiss, a trial court must consider exhibits attached to and incorporated in a Complaint. Harry Pepper and Associates, Inc. v. Lasseter, 247 So. 2 d 736 (Fla. 3d DCA 1971). Any inconsistency between the general allegations of material fact in a Complaint and the specific facts revealed by an attached exhibit has the effect of ne utralizing each allegation, thus rendering the pleading objectionable. Id. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint state that Defendants breached the subject agreement and Exhibit A which was previously attached to Plaintiff's Complaint reveals that the agreement was with iviewit LLC, there are inconsistencies in the pleadings such that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is subject to being dismissed.
11. Moreover, because the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were sent to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM.INC. and not to Defendants, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., or IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Counts II, II and IV cannot be maintained against these Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., respectfully request that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, Amended Complaint for the reasons as stated above, to award Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for having to defend this action and for such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this i3 day of August, 2001.


\title{
in the circuit court of the fifteenth judicial circuit
} IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court upon Defendants', IVIEWIT. COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and the Court having reviewed the Court file, having heard argument of counsel, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: That Defendants', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, is hereby GRANTED DENIED

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, on this_ day of \(\qquad\) 2001.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
Copies furnished to:
Spencer M. Sax, Esq, Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mathew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431 MiLLitigationviewit comlPleading\Order on Def's Min to Dismiss amended complaint.wpd

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31,2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.
17. 2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21, 2000
10. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21, 2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18, 2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352751 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352753 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 30, 2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30, 2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30, 2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363970 and itemized billing statement dated July 30, 2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22,2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24, 2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20. 2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement dated Oct. 13, 2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380021 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30, 2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec. 6,2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 18, 2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 9. 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#394765 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 6,2001
49. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#395537 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

12,2001
50. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399271 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
51. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399272 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
52. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399273 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
53. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399274 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
54. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399275 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
55. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#400158 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 11,2001
56. Proskauer Rose LLP list of "Open Invoices" for Iviewit
57. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Sept. 8, 1999 ("Re. Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC")
58. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 12, 1999 ("Re. Additional Payment")
59. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 25, 1999 ("Re. Reminder Regarding Additional Payment")
60. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Feb. 29, 2000
61. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 9, 2000
62. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 24, 2000
63. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 31, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
64. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 10, 2000
65. Memorandum from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated Apr. 11, 2000 ("Re. Payment Plan")
66. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 19, 2000
67. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated May 15, 2000
68. Letter from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated May 30, 2000
69. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Dec. 29, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
70. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 4, 2001
71. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 18, 2001 ("Re. January 15 Payment Towards Past-Due Amounts")
72. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 28, 2001 (4 pages)
73. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 (2 pages) ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
74. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 with 3page list of open invoices ("Re. Past-Due Invoices")
75. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 27, 2001 (3 pages) ("Re. Retainer and Past-Due Accounts)
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000, with subparts 1 through 17.
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15.
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document \#287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554).
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document \#273367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document \#256413).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley, including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. All rebuttal exhibits.
108. All impeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220. Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ITEMS 3, 4 AND 5 ON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order striking the items listed as numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List dated September 3, 2002 and in support thereof states as follows:
1. The Defendants' have improperly listed the following "bulk" documents on its trial exhibit list without making any effort to describe the documents such that Proskauer can identify them prior to trial. The Defendants' exhibit list contains, in part, the following:
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.

A copy of the Defendants' exhibit list is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
2. This Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions attaches the Clerk of the Court's

Guidelines for Preparation of Exhibits for Premarking in Circuit Civil Jury Trials. The
Guidelines state as follows:
6. The exhibit lists must be legible and specific, with each exhibit being listed and numbered accordingly.
7. The exhibit lists which state 'all', 'any', and 'any and all' are not acceptable.
(emphasis added). A copy of the Guidelines is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
3. While this matter is a non-jury trial, the Defendants' improper listing of exhibits flies in the face of this Court's guidelines and places Proskauer in a position of prejudice in not knowing what specific documents the Defendants plan to use at trial.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court strike the items listed as Exhibit numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' trial exhibit list and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST}

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

\section*{Witnesses:}
1. Raymond Joao- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

\section*{Exhibits:}
1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this \(3 n\) day of September, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56 () 820-9409
Fax: (56) 333-9715
By:
STEXEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420


\section*{OFFICE OF THE}

\title{
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT \\ FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT PALM BEACH COUNTY
}

\author{
Dorothy H. Wilken \\ Clerk
}

GUIDELINES FOR PREPARATION OF EXHIBITS FOR PREMARKING IN CIRCUIT CIVIL JURY TRIALS
1. Please call the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court three (3) weeks prior to the trial date for a premarking appointment. The number to call is (561) 355-4839. Please note that appointments are made onlv for Thursdays and Fridavs, between the hours of 9 am and 2 pm .
2. If no one is available to answer your call, please leave a message and your call will be returned in a timely manner. All long distance calls will be returned collect. Please provide toll-free numbers if available.
3. Please have the following information available when calling to make an appointment: (1) case number, (2) style of case, (3) number of exhibits, (4) name of attomey and/or contact person (5) fax and telephone numbers. In addition, we suggest that the party making the appointment, extend a courtesy call to the other parties involved informing them of the date and time of premarking appointment.
4. If your exhibit list consist of 30 exhibits or less, please make arrangements to premark with the clerk on the morning of trial prior to court going into session.
5. All exhibits will be premarked for identification.
6. The exhibit lists must be legible and specific, with each exhibit being listed and numbered accordingly.
7. The exhibit lists which state "all", "any", and "any and all" are not acceptable. The list should be typed and each exhibit must be given a number in sequence beginning with \#l. Exhibits that consist of more than one part, and cannot be marked as a composite, should be designated by number and letter, i.e., 1A, 1B, etc.
8. Depositions and pleadings are not routinely marked as exhibits.
9. The Deputy Clerk will prepare exhibit tags and affix them during the premarking. Your exhibit list, as presented, will be used as the Court's official exhibit list.
10. You may present your exhibits in individual folders, mounted or in binders. The mounting of an exhibit is the responsibility of the attorney. It is suggested that photos smaller than \(8-1 / 2 \times 11\), be displayed in an album, or mounted on card stock. Any exhibit too thick to staple, must be bound in some manner, i.e., Acco-type fasteners, binders, etc. Clips are not acceptable for binding exhibits.
11. All premarked exhibits will be returned to the attomey upon signing of the receipt. If someone other than the attomey presents the exhibits for premarking, a letter of authorization from the attorney will be needed in order for that individual to remove the exhibits once the premarking is completed.
12. If possible, we recommend that all parties be present for the premarking.
13. Your cooperation in adhering to these guidelines is appreciated. If you have anv questions, need to make changes or cancel, please call this of fice prior to the appointment at (561)355-4839.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\underline{\text { DEPONENT }}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DATE AND TIME \\
Christopher Wheeler, Esq.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
LOCATION \\
Friday, September 20, \\
2002
\end{tabular} \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
One Boca Place, Suite \\
340 W \\
\\
\end{tabular} & Boca Raton, FL 33431
\end{tabular}

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(10{ }^{\top}\) day of September, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56n) \(820-9409\)
Fax: (561)833-9715

By:


SFEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 83I-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves for an order excluding the Defendants from presenting certain evidence at the trial in this matter, and in support thereof states:
1. This is an action to collect unpaid attorney's fees from the Defendants, who are former clients of Proskauer. This matter is on the Court's current non-jury trial docket, and is set for trial during the week of December 16, 2002.
2. The Defendants served their affirmative defenses in this matter on November 2, 2001 and also filed an amended second affirmative defense on December 20, 2001. Copies of the Defendants' affirmative defenses and amended second affirmative defense are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B," respectively.
3. Now, on the eve of trial, Defendants have indicated an intention to raise matters at trial that are not properly before the Court -- matters that have not been raised in Proskauer's

Amended Complaint or in the Defendants' answer or affirmative defenses. Rather than focusing on the claims and defenses raised in the pleadings, Defendants have suggested that they intend to present evidence at trial regarding: (i) the alleged insufficiency of patent and copyright work performed by another firm; (ii) Proskauer's alleged failure to perform legal services to protect Defendants' patents and copyrights; and (iii) Proskauer's alleged "incompetence of work of product [sic] or failing to produce work products." \({ }^{1}\)
4. The issues that the Defendants seek to inject into the trial of this matter have never been pled as affirmative defenses. In fact, the issues that are the subject of this motion were not raised at all until August 19, 2002 in Defendants' responses to Proskauer's Interrogatories. \({ }^{2}\) A copy of the Defendants answers to interrogatories is attached hereto as Exhibit "C." Proskauer was not put on notice of Defendants' eleventh hour claims, and it would be prejudiced if Defendants were allowed to otherwise prolong the trial of this matter and distract the Court by presenting evidence concerning such matters.
5. It is well established that the failure to assert an affirmative defense in the answer is a waiver of the right to raise the defense. Nash v. Wells Fargo Guard Servs., Inc., 678 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 1996); Con-Dev of Vero Beach, Inc. v. Casano, 272 So. 2d 203, 206 (Fla. \(4^{\text {th }}\) DCA 1973). \({ }^{3}\) Further, under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure \(1.110(\mathrm{~d})\), a party must affirmatively plead certain enumerated defenses and "any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense." Con-Dev at 206 (citing Fla. R. Civ. P. l.110(d)). The First District further defined the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Proskauer vigorously disputes all such baseless accusations.
}
\({ }^{2}\) Proskauer served these interrogatories in February, 2002. The Defendants finally provided answers to the interrogatories approximately six months later, and only after the Court entered an order compelling the answers.
\({ }^{3}\) An unpled defense is not waived in the limited circumstance where the parties expressly or impliedly consent that the issue be tried. Book v. City of Winter Park, 718 So. 2d 945, 946 (Fla. \(5^{\text {th }}\) DCA 1998). That is not the case here.
miscellaneous category of Rule 1.110 as incorporating "any matter which avoids the action, and which the plaintiff is not bound to prove in the first instance in support of it, but which under the rules of evidence the defendant must firmly establish." Joseph Bucheck Constr. Corp. v. W.E. Music, 420 So. \(2 \mathrm{~d} 410,414\) (Fla. \(1^{\text {st }}\) DCA 1982).
6. In the instant case, the Defendants' eleventh hour allegations related to patent and copyright work is an affirmative defense which falls under Rule 1.110 and is waived if not pled. See Nash at 1262 (negligence must be asserted as a defense); Con-Dev at 206 (an allegation that a plaintiff breached the contract under which it sued is an affirmative defense falling under "the miscellaneous category of Rule \(1.110^{\prime \prime}\) and is waived unless raised in the pleadings). Further, the First District's holding in Joseph Bucheck clearly mandates that the issues raised by the Defendants herein be deemed an affirmative defense under the miscellaneous category of Rule 1.110 , as they would bear the burden of proof as to all such issues at trial.
7. As such, the Defendants' failure to raise these issues as affirmative defenses requires that this Court deem the issues waived. The Defendants' last minute prejudicial attempt to change the course of this litigation shortly before trial by injecting new issues into this matter should not be tolerated by the Court.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court enter an order excluding certain evidence at trial consistent with this motion and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 8 , 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. \\ AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., \\ ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and answer and assert affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:
1. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Denied.
6. Admitted that venue is proper in Palm Beach County, Florida. All allegations not specifically admitted are denied.
7. Denied.
8. Denied.
9. Denied.
10. Denied.
11. Denied.
12. Denied.
13. Denied.
14. Denied.

\section*{COUNTI-BREACH OF CONTRACT}
15. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as if specifically set forth herein.
16. Denied.
17. Denied.
18. Denied.
19. Denied.
20. Denied.

\section*{COUNT II-ACCOUNT STATED}
21. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as specifically set forth herein.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.
24. Admitted that PROSKAUER furnished a list of the alleged outstanding statements to Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. All allegations not specifically admitted are
denied.
25. Denied.
26. Denied.
27. Denied.

\section*{COUNT III-OPEN ACCOUNT}
28. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.
31. Denied.

\section*{COUNTIV - QUANTUM MERUIT}
32. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs i-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
33. Denied.
34. Denied.
35. Denied.
36. Denied.
37. Denied.
38. Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitied to any of the relief requested in the "WHEREFORE" clauses of the Amended Complaint.

\section*{AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES}

\section*{First Affirmative Defense}
39. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted in that Defendants herein were not parties to any contract or agreement with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's allegations are in direct conflict with the relevant written documents.

\section*{Second Affirmative Defense}
40. Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants.

\section*{Third Affirmative Defense}
41. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided. Thus, Plaintiff's recovery herein, If any, should be reduced accordingly.

\section*{Fourth Affirmative Defenses}
42. Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants herein are barred or should be stricken in that Plaintiff did not provide any services to Defendants and, thus, Plaintiff would be unjustly enriched if permitted to make any recovery against them.

\section*{Fifth Affirmative Defense}
43. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff has already been adequately compensated for its services.

\section*{Sixth Affirmative Defense}
44. Plaintiff's claims should be stricken because Plaintiff failed to attach to its Amended Complaint all documents upon which it bases its claims, in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.130.

\section*{Seventh Affirmative Defense}
45. Plaintiff's claims for account stated and open account do not state causes of action against the Defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were not directed.

Eighth Affirmative Defense
46. Plaintiff's claim for quantum meruit should be stricken in light of Plaintiff's simultaneous claims for breach of conract, open account, and account stated. Such claims are inherently inconsistent with and repugnant to each other.

\section*{Ninth Affirmative Defense}
47. Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to join an indispensable party, namely iviewit LLP.

\section*{Tenth Affirmative Defense}
48. Liability, if any, to Plaintiff for the relief requested against Defendants herein is that of a non-party, namely iviewit LLP. Thus, recovery, if any, against Defendants herein should be reduced accordingly.

\section*{Eleventh Affirmative Defense}
49. Plaintiff's demands for attorneys' fees should be stricken as Plaintiff has failed
to state a contractual or statutory basis for entitlement thereto.
50. Defendants reserve the right to amend their affirmative defenses if appropric. as discovery progresses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff, award attorneys fees pursuant to Section 57.105, Florida Statutes, and award such other and further relief this Court deems appropriate.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, November 2, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 301 Yamato Road, Sulte 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Deiaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.} AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and, files its Amended Second Affirmative Defense as follows:

\section*{AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE}

Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants in that:
1) Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration; and/or
2) Some work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.Com, Inc. et al.
Case No. CA 01-04671AB
Page 2

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via US Mail and facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq. and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 on this the \(0^{0^{\text {th }}}\) day of Decemby 2001.
SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants-
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) \(994-4499\)
Facsimile: (561) 994-4985
By: \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Spencer M. Sax \\
Florida Bar No.: 312241 \\
llene S. Schnall \\
Florida Bar No. 0710474
\end{tabular}
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PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF SERVICES OF ANSWERS TO SUPPLEMENTAL INTERROGATORIES}

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Defendants hereby give notice of their service upon the Plaintiffs of their answers to supplemental interrogatories in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this \(1^{9^{r}}\) day of August, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beagn, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \(820-9409\)
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVEX M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

Iviewit.com LLC - Priv
dConfidential Attomey/Client Privil \(\quad\) Information
12. Identify each and every person who participated or assisted in preparing the to these interrogatories.

Answer: Eliot Bernstein.
13. As to Defendants' statement coptained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated 12/20/01 that "Plaintiff has failed to perforn work, under the terms of any" oral agreement between the parties! for which it seeks remuneration...", please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhitit "A" which you claim contains charges for work that the plaintiff failed to perform.

\section*{Answer:}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{13}{*}{Invoice} & 320581 \\
\hline & 327337 \\
\hline & 346259 \\
\hline & 352748 \\
\hline & 352749 \\
\hline & 352751 \\
\hline & 352750 \\
\hline & 352752 \\
\hline & 352753 \\
\hline & 354153 \\
\hline & 352748 \\
\hline & 356497 \\
\hline & 386159 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Patent Work Invoice} & \\
\hline & 320581 \\
\hline & 327337 \\
\hline & 346259 \\
\hline & 352748 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{General Corporate Advice} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{Invoice} & 320581 \\
\hline & 327337 \\
\hline & 346259 \\
\hline & 354153 \\
\hline & 387122 \\
\hline & 352748 \\
\hline & 356497 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Prolow/Tiedeman work Invoice 387122

16. If you answered Interrogatory 45 in the affirmative, please explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP.
a. The date when the comitunication occurred.
i. To fully assess these dates and the conversations we will need all Chri Wheeier and Prokkauer notes, documens, emails and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all meetings with any of the below (section b) mentioned correspondents.
ii. 2.29.2000 Letterffrom Wheeler to Uties regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings.
iii. 1/26/00 Billing from Chris Wheeler 346259 Invoice "Conference as tr follow-up on ou money"
iv. \(3 / 24 / 00\) Letter from Chris Wheeler to Simon Bernstein regarding bills
v. 3/3l/00 Letter fiom Wheeler to Simon regarding billings and trying te work out arrangements.
vi. 3.9.00 Letter from Wheeler to Utley reparding past due amounts.
vii. 4.10.00 Letter from Wheeier to Utley regarding bill
viii. 10.25.99 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Brian Utyey
ix. 6.23.99 To Elio from Chris Wheeler with Si's oomments for Brian to address regarding the over billing
x. At several Board meetings the billings of Proskquer and the work products were repeatedly questioned b: all Board members and Chris Wheeler. who attended these meetings was fully aware of major concerns in the lotal bill and incompetence of work of product or failing to produpe work products.

May 28, 1999
June 1. 1999
June 30, 4999
Juiy 2. 999
August 3. 1909
August 5, 1999
August 23, 1999
September 15, 9999
Septernber 22. 1999
September 30. 1999
November 1, 1999
November 9, 1999
February 4, 2000
March 3. 2000
April 6, 2000
April 26, 2000
July 18.2000
September 26.2000
January 25. 2001
January 30. 2001
March 26, 2001
April 3. 2001

April 5. 2001
April 9, 2001
April 14, 2 po1
April 20. 2001
April 26. 2001
Aprll 26. 2001
May B. 2001
June 7. 2001
September 4, 2001
Octaber 23. 2201
October 29, 2001
xi. Geraid Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utieq, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, William Kasser and Larry Monoragon were all commissioned by the Board to investigate the billings and inferior work products, especially in relationship to the patent pool errors and missing copyrights.
b. The parties to the communication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bernstein
iii. Eliot Bernstein
iv. Donald Kane
v. Hank Powell
vi. Brian Utley
vii. Kenneth Anderson
viii. Ross Miller
ix. William Kasser
x. Maurice Buchsbaum
xi. Raymond Hersh
xii. William Dick
xiii. Douglas Boehm
xiv. P. Stephen Lampnt
xy. Christopher Wheeler
xvi. Mara Lemer Robbins
xvii. Rocky Thomson
xviii. Raymond Joao
c. Whether the communicktion was oral or in writing; several correspondences between Simon Bernstein (COB) and Chris Wheeler regarding erroneous billings were in writing and oral.
i. Correspondencés between Ross Miller and Proskauet relating to negotiating settement to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settic at 100,000 but the deal was never consummated. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.

8/19/2002 3:30PM
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i. Correspondences between Ross Miller and Proskauer relating to negotiating settlonent to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never consuminated. Speveral communications were oral, several were in writing.
ii. Correspondences between Bill Kasser and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
iii. Correspondenced between Raymond Hersh and Froskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Seyeral communications were oral, several were in writing.
iv. Correspondences between Maurice Buchsbaum and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
v. Correspondences between Gerald Lewin and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the bill:ngs. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
vi. Correspondences between Hank Powell and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the bilings. And a review of patent billings and copstright issues that was never forthcoming. Several communications hwere oral, several were in writing.
b. The rubstance of the communication.
i. Over-billing
ii. Patent Incompetence \& Repair on two saparate occasions
iii. Requests for derailed billing, documentertion to sapport billings, request for missing patent files, request :or detailed notes, request for work products billed and destroyed.
iv. Liabilities arising from patent and copyright incompetence
y. Gross over billing for corporate structur: proposed and recommended by Mr. Wheeler.
vi. Abuses by Mssrs: Wheeler, Rubenstein and Proskauer clients of NDA's for their tespective clients or patent pools they may oversee.
vii. Demands by the Board to limit Mr. Utley and Mt. Wheeler from running up substiantial bills without Board approyal. Mr. Utley was limited in incurring Proskauer or other expense ifems, especially whereas his friends were concerned, 10 a maximum \(\$ 5,000\). Mr. Wheeler is aware of this and proceeds to bill far \&n excess for work Mr. Utley requested without proper Board approyal.
17. As to Defendants' statemen contained in Defendants' Amended Second Affirmative Defense dated \(12 / 20 / 01\) thal \{s) ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not periormed a the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any kgreement," please identify each invoice attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" which you claim cohtains charges for work that was not performed at the Defendants' recurest.


Setup Corporations: Imedia, Ilearnit, Internet Train, ett: Name|searches and trademarks.

Trademark applications
Christopher Wheeler attending Board meetings
Entire Corporate Organizations and Re-Organizations were all xecommended by Proskauer Rose and where to be small incorporation fees for sef-up of Mr. Wheeler's corporate scheme. Instead there are mass billings for this work
19. As to Defendants statement contained in Defendants' 八mended Second Affirmative Defense dated 12/20/01 that "\{ \(\}\) \}ome work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was n \(\phi\) t perfomed at the Defsndants' request nor pursuant to any agreement," did the Defendants ever complain tis or otherwise notify Proskaue: Rose LLP that Plaintiff allegedly performed work which was not performed at Defendants' request?

Answer: YES
20. If you answered Interrogatory 19 in the affirmative, plaase explain, as to each instance where Defendants complained to or notified Proskauer Rose LLP:
a. The date when the communication occurred;
i. To fully assess these dates and the conversations we will need all Chri Wheler notes and detailed descriptions of billings for any and all meetings with arly of the below (section b) mentioned correspondents.
ii. 2.29.2000 Letter; from Wheeler to Utley regarding disputed billings and providing details for billings.
iii. 1/26/00 Billing form Chris Wheeler 346259 Invoice "Conference as it: follow-up on our money"
iv. 3/24/00 Letter from Chris Wheeler to Simon Bemstein regarding bills
v. 3/31/00 Letter frbm Wheeler to Simon regarding billings and trying to work out arrangements and reduction of bills.
vi. 3.9.00 Letter from Wheeler to Uiley re;'arding past due amounts.
vii. 4.10.00 Letter from Wheeler to Utey regarding bill
viii. At several Board meetings the billings of Proskaher and the work products were repeatedly questioned by all Board members and Chris Wheeler who attended these meetings was fully ware of major concems in the total bill and incompetence of work of product or failing to produce work products

May 28. 1998
June -i. 1999
June 30.1999
July 2. 1999
8/19/2002 3:30PM
Page 7 of 18

August 3. 1899
August 5. 1999
August 23, 1999
September 15. 1899
Seplember 22, 1999
September 30. 1999
November 1. 1989
November 9,1999
February 4.2000
March 3.2000
April \&: 2000
April 26, 2000
July 1\$, 2000
September 26, 2000
January 2\$. 2001
January 36, 2001
March 2p, 2001
April ह, 2001
April 5. 2001
April 9, 2001
April 14, 2001
April 20. 2001
April 25. 2001
April 25, 2001
May 3.2001
June T. 2001
September 4. 2001
October 23. 2001
Oclober 29, 2001
ix. Gerald Lewin, Maurice Buchsbaum, Brian Utley, Ross Miller, Aidan Foley, William Kasser and Larry Mondragon were all commissioned by the Board to investigate the billings and infesior work products, especially in relationship to the patent pool errors and missing copyrights.
x. Several meetings were held with Chris Wheeler and Simon Bemstein (Chairman of the Board) regarding excessive billings and controlling Mr. Utiey and Nif. Wheeler in the billings for personal conferences they held daily.
xi. Chris Wheeler agreed to investigate charges tha Rubenstein/Joao we: forging and changing patent documents and leaying inventors off patents. Wheeler and Utley suggest using their friend William Dick \({ }^{\prime}\) Foley and Lardher to correct the gross negligence uncovered in Rubenstein/Joab work.
b. The parties to the communication;
i. Gerald Lewin
ii. Simon Bernstein

i. Several correspondences between Simon Bernstein (COB) and Chris Wheeler regardiag erroneous billings were in wrting and oral.
ii. Correspondences berween Ross Miller und Proskauer relating to negotiating setilament to the overstated bill, it was agreed to settle at 100,000 but the deal was never perfecteit. Severdal communications were oral, several were in writing.
iii. Correspondences between Bili Kasser and Proskpuer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Several communications were oral, severdl were in writing.
iv. Correspondences between Raymond Hersh and proskauer requesting information and Explanations of the billings. Seyeral communications were oral, several were in writing.
v. Correspondencek between Maurice Burhsbaum and Proskauer requesting information and explanstions of the billings. Several communications, were oral, several wer: in writing.
vi. Correspondences between Gerald Lewin and Proskauer requesting information and explanations of the billings. Seyeral communications were oral, several were in writing.
vii. Correspondences between Hank Poweli and Probkauer requesting information andlexplanations of the bil!ings. And a review of patent billings and coppright issues that was never forthcoming. Several communications were oral, several were in writing.
d. The substance of the communication.
i. Over billing
ii. Patent Incompetence \& Repair on two separate occasions
iii. Requests for defiled billing, documentation to support billings, request for miss ing patent files, request for detailed notes, request for work products billed and destroyed.
iv. Liabilities arising from patent and copyright incompetence



PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blvd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
\[
4 / x / 0
\]
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. \(9^{\text {th }}\) Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case. including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 8 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\section*{JOSEPH R. COOK, EsQ.}

EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974
Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon
Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

\section*{EMPLOYMENT:}

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.

\section*{PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS:}

Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

\section*{PUBLICATIONS:}

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing, Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis, Part V, appearing in the Albany Law Review, 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House.

\section*{SPECIAL INTERESTS:}

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms. lenders and institutions throughout the country.

\section*{EXHIBIT}


\footnotetext{
Confidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain legally privileged material. Dissemination, distribution or coppying of this message, other than by such addressee(s), is strictly pronibited. y you have received this message in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.
}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, NC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{PROSKAUER'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN LNDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUETRIAL}

Proskauer Rose LLP files this memorandum of law in opposition to the motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial filed by Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc., and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Iviewit"). As demonstrated herein, Iviewit's motion is not well founded. For two equally compelling reasons, the motion should be denied and this matter should proceed to trial without further delay.

\section*{I. THE COURT HAS ALREADY CONSIDERED AND REJECTED THIS DEFENSE ONCE}

Months ago, Lviewit moved to dismiss Proskauer's Amended Complaint, arguing that lviewit, LLC was an indispensable party. A copy of Iviewit's motion to dismiss is attached as Exhibit \(A\). The Court denied that motion by order dated October 11, 2001. A copy of that order is attached as Exhibit B.

Having already brought a motion to dismiss alleging a failure to join an indispensable party and lost, Iviewit cannot do so yet again. See Engel Mortgage Co. v. Dowd, 355 So. 2d 1210, 1212 (Fla. 1st DCA 1977) (the intent of Rule 1.140 is to permit the defense of failure to join an indispensable party one time) (emphasis added). Such a defense can only be raised by answer or motion to dismiss and thereafter only by motion for judgment on the pleadings or at trial. See Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(b); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(h)(2). Having chosen to raise this matter by way of motion to dismiss and lost, Iviewit cannot now re-litigate this issue.

\section*{II. THERE ARE NO OTHERINDISPENSABLEPARTIES}

Even ignoring the procedural bar to Iviewit's motion, the motion has no basis. As the Amended Complaint plainly reveals, this is a straightforward action for unpaid legal fees. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it provided legal services. As a matter of law, there cannot be any other indispensable parties.

Under Florida law, an indispensable party is one who has not only an interest in the subject matter of the controversy, but an interest of such a nature that a final decree cannot be rendered between other parties to the suit, or cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final determination may be inconsistent with equity and good conscience. City of Riviera Beach v. Bjorklund, 563 So. 2d 1114, 1115 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990) (citing Nat'l Title Ins. Co. v. Oscar E. Dooly Assocs., Inc., 377 So. 2d 730, 731 (Fla. 3d DCA 1980)). Thus, a party is indispensable if:
(1) he/she has such an interest in the subject matter of the action that final adjudication cannot be made without affecting his/her interests; or
(2) a final decree cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final resolution is inequitable.

Cooper, Inc. v. City of Miami Beach, 512 So. 2d 324, 325 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987).
Indispensable parties are necessary parties that are so essential to a suit that no final decision can be rendered without their joinder. Hertz Corp. v. Piccolo, 453 So. 2d 12, 14 (Fla. 1984). The mere fact that a party's absence may lead to multiple litigation is not sufficient reason to declare him/her an indispensable party. Cooper, 512 So. 2d at 325.

Given this test, there is no good faith basis to suggest that Iviewit, LLC or Iviewit.com, LLC are indispensable parties. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it performed legal services and has not been paid. But even if there were some legitimate debate as to this point (which there is not), Iviewit would be entitled to defend Proskauer's claim by alleging that it did not contract with Proskauer for Proskauer to perform legal services. There is absolutely no requirement under Florida law that Proskauer be forced to sue the entities that Iviewit apparently wants it to sue. Clearly, any such mandate would be absurd.

Apparently ignoring the requirements of section 57.105, Florida Statutes, which clearly contemplates that a party conduct a reasonable investigation before asserting claims or defenses, Iviewit suggests that Proskauer did not sue Iviewit.com, LLC because that entity was in bankruptcy at the time. Iviewit also suggests that the invoice it attached to its motion proves that Iviewit.com, LLC is a proper party because the invoice in question references Iviewit.com, LLC.

Purposefully or not, Iviewit overlooks two dispositive facts in making such arguments. First, even a cursory investigation of the filing dates of the two matters would have established that Proskauer filed its lawsuit prior to the bankruptcy filing in question. Second, the invoice that lviewit references and suggests to the Court "forms the basis for [Proskauer's] claims for damages" was paid in full over two years ago. See Motion at 7 7. Thus, even assuming Proskauer had, at some point
in time, performed work for that entity, Proskauer has been paid for those services. Such facts were readily available to Iviewit at the time of the filing of its motion.

\section*{III. IVIEWIT SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO DELAY TRIAL}

Section 57.105 (3), Florida Statutes, expressly prohibits actions taken primarily for the purpose of unreasonable delay. Here, Iviewit's sole motivation is delay. By alleging that Iviewit.com, LLC is an indispensable party, Iviewit seeks to delay trial. Given its bankruptcy filing, it could not be added as a party to this litigation at this time. In making its motion, Iviewit ignores the fact that Proskauer sued Iviewit prior to any bankruptcy filing, it ignores the fact that the invoice that forms the sole basis for Iviewit's motion was paid long ago, and it ignores the prohibition found in section \(57.105(3)\), Florida Statutes, regarding unreasonably delay. The fact that Iviewit has chosen to file its motion on the eve of calendar call when this matter has been pending for over one year speaks volumes of the true motivation driving the motion. Simply put, Iviewit's motion has no factual or legal foundation, it is procedurally barred, and should be denied.

This \(\|^{-2}\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Roca Ration, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145

\section*{By:}


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this \(\mid \theta^{\boldsymbol{T}}\) day of September, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (collectively referred to herein as "IVIEWIT"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.140 and 1.190 and applicable case law, hereby file this, their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership (hereinafter referred to as "PROSKAUER"), Amended Complaint, on the following grounds:
1. On or about May 2, 2001, Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, filed a Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for an alleged breach of a contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit.
2. With certificate of service date of June 11, 2001, Defendants, IVIEWIT, filed and served their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and failed to satisfy conditions precedent prior to bringing the within action. One of the grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was Plaintiff's failure to post a nonresident cost bond pursuant to Fla. Stat., §57.011.
3. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of June 15,2001 , Plaintiff served its Notice of Filing Nonresident Cost Bond with the Clerk of this Court.
4. One of the other grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was that Plaintiff had sued the wrong party Defendant because Plaintiff had entered into a contract with a non-party, iviewit LLC, and was attempting to impute the alleged breach of contract claim to Defendants who were not parties to the contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC. The written contract (which was attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint) was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, not between Plaintiff and Defendants. Therefore, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a cause of action against these Defendants.
5. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of August 3,2001, Plaintiff served an Amended Complaint against Defendants for an alleged breach of contract, account stated, open account and quantum meruit. The exhibits attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and simply attached as exhibits
certain invoices addressed to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM, INC. However, there are no documents attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint which show any agreement or contract entered into between Plaintiff and Defendants.
6. Therefore, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed as well for failure to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and for failure to join and/or name an indispensable party, namely, iviewit LLC.
7. Although in the Amended Complaint Plaintiff has removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC (which was previously attached as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Complaint) in an attempt to defeat Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the facts of this case still remain and cannot be refuted. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint arises out of an alleged Engagement Agreement entered into between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, on or about October 8, 1999, for representation in connection with general corporate advice. There is no agreement or contract between Plaintiff and Defendants despite Plaintiff's attempt to attach as exhibits to its Amended Complaint invoices which are simply addressed to one of the Defendants. These "invoices" cannot form the basis of a claim for breach of contract against Defendants.
8. Because iviewit LLC is clearly the proper party that entered into the written contract for legal services with Plaintiff, iviewit LLC is the necessary and proper party Defendant in this action. Because Plaintiff has failed to name and/or join iviewit LLC as an indispensable party, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed and Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice.
9. Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint revealed that the subject alleged contract was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and Plaintiff cannot avoid that fact by simply
failing to attach this contract as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
10. On a Motion to Dismiss, a trial court must consider exhibits attached to and incorporated in a Complaint. Harry Pepper and Associates, Inc. v. Lasseter, 247 So.2d 736 (Fla. 3d DCA 1971). Any inconsistency between the general allegations of material fact in a Complaint and the specific facts revealed by an attached exhibit has the effect of neutralizing each allegation, thus rendering the pleading objectionable. Id. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint state that Defendants breached the subject agreement and Exhibit A which was previously attached to Plaintiff's Complaint reveals that the agreement was with iviewit LLC, there are inconsistencies in the pleadings such that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is subject to being dismissed.
11. Moreover, because the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were sent to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM.INC. and not to Defendants, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., or IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Counts II, II and IV cannot be maintained against these Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., respectiully request that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, Amended Complaint for the reasons as stated above, to award Defendants their reasonable attomeys' fees and costs for having to defend this action and for such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this i3 day of August, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985


\section*{IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court upon Defendants', IVIEWIT. COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and the Court having reviewed the Court file, having heard argument of counsel, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: That Defendants', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, is hereby GRANTED DENIED

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palrir Beačh, Florida 33401, on this day of \(\qquad\) 2001.


\section*{Copies furnished to:}

Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150, Boca Raton, fl 33431 Mathew Triggs, Esq, Proskauer Rose, LLP, One Boca Plice, Suite \(340 \mathrm{~W}, 2255\) Clades Road, Boca Ralon, FL 33431 MiLLitigationVviewit.comPleadinglorder on Del's Min to Dismiss amended complaint.wpd

\section*{EXHIBITB}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, 1 NC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER SETTING HEARING \\ (SPECIALLY SET - 15 MINUTES RESERVED)}

A hearing will take place before me on the following matter:

\section*{PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR CONTEMPT, TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' PLEADINGS, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES}

TIME: 8:15 AM.
DATE: December 16, 2002

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 N. Dixie Highway West Palm Beach, FL 33401}

The attorneys must be in Court on time. The Court will not wait. The parties must submit the following to the Court one week before the hearing: (1) copy of all relevant pleadings; (2) copy of memorandum of law; and (3) copy of all case law authority. This hearing cannot be canceled unless the issues of this Motion have been settled. Notices of unavailability filed after the date of this Order shall not apply to this specially set hearing.

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800) 955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.

Judge Jorge Labarga
Circuit Judge
Copies furnished to:
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE
PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DEFENDANTS' ORE TENUS
MOTION TO POSTPONE MEDIATION; AND PLAINTIFF'S ORE TENUS MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on September 11, 2002, on Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial; Defendants' Ore Tenus motion to postpone mediation; and Plaintiff's Ore Tenus motion to compel responses to requests for production.

After being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby
ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties is DENIED;
2. The Defendants' motion to continue trial is DENIED;
3. The Defendants' ore tenus motion to postpone mediation deadline is GRANTED;
and

\section*{कणन4 (x)}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

> ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DEFENDANTS' ORE TENUS MOTION TO POSTPONE MEDIATION; AND PLAINTIFF'S ORE TENUS MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on September 11, 2002, on Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial; Defendants' Ore Tenus motion to postpone mediation; and Plaintiff's Ore Tenus motion to compel responses to requests for production. After being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties is DENIED;
2. The Defendants' motion to continue trial is DENIED;
3. The Defendants' ore tonus motion to postpone mediation deadline is GRANTED; and

Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit, etc., et al. Order on September 11, 2002 Hearing Page 2
4. The Plaintiff's ore tenus motion to compel responses to request for production is GRANTED. The documents ordered to be produced by Court Order dated July 18, 2002 shall be produced on or before September 17, 2002.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:

\section*{For Plaintiff:}

Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\(\qquad\)


\footnotetext{

}

\section*{CRRTIFICATION OP SERVICE}

1, Bat A. Houston, Esq., of Hourton Ebhhady, P.A., 316 N.E. 44 Street, Fort Lnuderdale, Floride 33301 certify:

That I an, and at all times hereinater mentioned was, more than I8 years of age;
That on June 27, 2001. I served a copy of the within surnmons, together with the petition nled in thls case, on

CT Corporation Syatem, Registered Agent for
Iviewit.com, LLC
1200 Sourt Pine Ishend Rond
Pientation, FL 33324
- and .
ivjewit. com LLC
2255 Olenes Rond
Sulte 337. Weat
Boca Ratod. FL 33431
the debtor to thil came. by fdercribe here the mode of service)
By Fist Class Mati, Postago Prepaid

I certify under penality of perjury that the foregoing in true pur correet

Execuled on 6. 27, 209
(Date)


Enn A. Hourton, Eeg.
Houston Shehedy. P.A.
316 Northeart Fourth Steet
Port Lavdendale, PL 33301

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{PROSKAUER'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL}

Proskauer Rose LLP files this memorandum of law in opposition to the motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial filed by Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc., and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Iviewit"). As demonstrated herein, Iviewit's motion is not well founded. For two equally compelling reasons, the motion should be denied and this matter should proceed to trial without further delay.

\section*{I. THE COURT HAS ALREADY CONSIDERED AND REJECTED THIS DEFENSE ONCE}

Months ago, Iviewit moved to dismiss Proskauer's Amended Complaint, arguing that Iviewit, LLC was an indispensable party. A copy of Iviewit's motion to dismiss is attached as Exhibit A. The Court denied that motion by order dated October 11, 2001. A copy of that order is attached as Exhibit B.

Having already brought a motion to dismiss alleging a failure to join an indispensable party and lost, Iviewit cannot do so yet again. See Engel Mortgage Co. v. Dowd, 355 So. 2d 1210, 1212 (Fla. 1st DCA 1977) (the intent of Rule 1.140 is to permit the defense of failure to join an indispensable party one time) (emphasis added). Such a defense can only be raised by answer or motion to dismiss and thereafter only by motion for judgment on the pleadings or at trial. See Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(b); Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.140(h)(2). Having chosen to raise this matter by way of motion to dismiss and lost, Iviewit cannot now re-litigate this issue.

\section*{II. THERE ARE NO OTHER INDISPENSABLE PARTIES}

Even ignoring the procedural bar to Iviewit's motion, the motion has no basis. As the Amended Complaint plainly reveals, this is a straightforward action for unpaid legal fees. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it provided legal services. As a matter of law, there cannot be any other indispensable parties.

Under Florida law, an indispensable party is one who has not only an interest in the subject matter of the controversy, but an interest of such a nature that a final decree cannot be rendered between other parties to the suit, or cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final determination may be inconsistent with equity and good conscience. City of Riviera Beach v. Bjorklund, 563 So. 2d 1114, 1115 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990) (citing Nat'l Title Ins. Co. v. Oscar E. Dooly Assocs., Inc., 377 So. 2d 730, 731 (Fla. 3d DCA 1980)). Thus, a party is indispensable if:
(1) he/she has such an interest in the subject matter of the action that final adjudication cannot be made without affecting his/her interests; or
(2) a final decree cannot be rendered without leaving the controversy in such a situation that its final resolution is inequitable.

Cooper, Inc. v. City of Miami Beach, 512 So. 2d 324, 325 (Fla. 3d DCA 1987).
Indispensable parties are necessary parties that are so essential to a suit that no final decision can be rendered without their joinder. Hertz Corp. v. Piccolo, 453 So. 2d 12, 14 (Fla. 1984). The mere fact that a party's absence may lead to multiple litigation is not sufficient reason to declare him/her an indispensable party. Cooper, 512 So. 2d at 325.

Given this test, there is no good faith basis to suggest that Iviewit, LLC or Iviewit.com, LLC are indispensable parties. Proskauer has sued the entities for which it contends it performed legal services and has not been paid. But even if there were some legitimate debate as to this point (which there is not), Iviewit would be entitled to defend Proskauer's claim by alleging that it did not contract with Proskauer for Proskauer to perform legal services. There is absolutely no requirement under Florida law that Proskauer be forced to sue the entities that Iviewit apparently wants it to sue. Clearly, any such mandate would be absurd.

Apparently ignoring the requirements of section 57.105 , Florida Statutes, which clearly contemplates that a party conduct a reasonable investigation before asserting claims or defenses, Iviewit suggests that Proskauer did not sue Iviewit.com, LLC because that entity was in bankruptcy at the time. Iviewit also suggests that the invoice it attached to its motion proves that Iviewit.com, LLC is a proper party because the invoice in question references Iviewit.com, LLC.

Purposefully or not, Iviewit overlooks two dispositive facts in making such arguments. First, even a cursory investigation of the filing dates of the two matters would have established that Proskauer filed its lawsuit prior to the bankruptcy filing in question. Second, the invoice that Iviewit references and suggests to the Court "forms the basis for [Proskauer's] claims for damages" was paid in full over two years ago. See Motion at 7. Thus, even assuming Proskauer had, at some point
in time, performed work for that entity, Proskauer has been paid for those services. Such facts were readily available to Iviewit at the time of the filing of its motion.

\section*{III. IVIEWIT SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO DELAY TRIAL}

Section 57.105(3), Florida Statutes, expressly prohibits actions taken primarily for the purpose of unreasonable delay. Here, Iviewit's sole motivation is delay. By alleging that Iviewit.com, LLC is an indispensable party, Iviewit seeks to delay trial. Given its bankruptcy filing, it could not be added as a party to this litigation at this time. In making its motion, Iviewit ignores the fact that Proskauer sued Iviewit prior to any bankruptcy filing, it ignores the fact that the invoice that forms the sole basis for Iviewit's motion was paid long ago, and it ignores the prohibition found in section 57.105(3), Florida Statutes, regarding unreasonably delay. The fact that Iviewit has chosen to file its motion on the eve of calendar call when this matter has been pending for over one year speaks volumes of the true motivation driving the motion. Simply put, Iviewit's motion has no factual or legal foundation, it is procedurally barred, and should be denied.

This \(/ 8^{72}\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this \(j d^{-b}\) day of September, 2002, to:

Steven Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership, CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

COME NOW the Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation (collectively referred to herein as "IVIEWIT"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.140 and 1.190 and applicable case law, hereby file this, their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership (hereinafter referred to as "PROSKAUER"), Amended Complaint, on the following grounds:
1. On or about May 2, 2001, Plaintiff, PROSKAUER, filed a Complaint against Defendants, IVIEWIT, for an alleged breach of a contract, account stated, open account, and quantum meruit.
2. With certificate of service date of June 11, 2001, Defendants, IVIEWIT, filed and served their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and failed to satisfy conditions precedent prior to bringing the within action. One of the grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was Plaintiff's failure to post a nonresident cost bond pursuant to Fla. Stat., \(\S 57.011\).
3. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of June 15,2001 , Plaintiff served its Notice of Filing Nonresident Cost Bond with the Clerk of this Court.
4. One of the other grounds raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint was that Plaintiff had sued the wrong party Defendant because Plaintiff had entered into a contract with a non-party, iviewit LLC, and was attempting to impute the alleged breach of contract claim to Defendants who were not parties to the contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC. The written contract (which was attached as Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint) was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, not between Plaintiff and Defendants. Therefore, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a cause of action against these Defendants.
5. Thereafter, with certificate of service date of August 3, 2001, Plaintiff served an Amended Complaint against Defendants for an alleged breach of contract, account stated, open account and quantum meruit. The exhibits attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and simply attached as exhibits
certain invoices addressed to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM, INC. However, there are no documents attached to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint which show any agreement or contract entered into between Plaintiff and Defendants.
6. Therefore, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed as well for failure to state a cause of action against Defendants for which relief may be granted and for failure to join and/or name an indispensable party, namely, iviewit LLC.
7. Although in the Amended Complaint Plaintiff has removed the written contract between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC (which was previously attached as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Complaint) in an attempt to defeat Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the facts of this case still remain and cannot be refuted. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint arises out of an alleged Engagement Agreement entered into between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC, on or about October 8, 1999, for representation in connection with general corporate advice. There is no agreement or contract between Plaintiff and Defendants despite Plaintiff's attempt to attach as exhibits to its Amended Complaint invoices which are simply addressed to one of the Defendants. These "invoices" cannot form the basis of a claim for breach of contract against Defendants.
8. Because iviewit LLC is clearly the proper party that entered into the written contract for legal services with Plaintiff, iviewit LLC is the necessary and proper party Defendant in this action. Because Plaintiff has failed to name and/or join iviewit LLC as an indispensable party, Plaintiff's Amended Complaint should be dismissed and Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice.
9. Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint revealed that the subject alleged contract was between Plaintiff and iviewit LLC and Plaintiff cannot avoid that fact by simply
failing to attach this contract as an exhibit to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
10. On a Motion to Dismiss, a trial court must consider exhibits attached to and incorporated in a Complaint. Harry Pepper and Associates, Inc. v. Lasseter, 247 So.2d 736 (Fla. 3d DCA 1971). Any inconsistency between the general allegations of material fact in a Complaint and the specific facts revealed by an attached exhibit has the effect of neutralizing each allegation, thus rendering the pleading objectionable. Id. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint state that Defendants breached the subject agreement and Exhibit A which was previously attached to Plaintiff's Complaint reveals that the agreement was with iviewit LLC, there are inconsistencies in the pleadings such that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is subject to being dismissed.
11. Moreover, because the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were sent to Defendant, IVIEWIT.COM.INC. and not to Defendants, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., or IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Counts II, II and IV cannot be maintained against these Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., respectfully request that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's, PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, Amended Complaint for the reasons as stated above, to award Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for having to defend this action and for such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, this i3 day of August, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985


M:\Litigationliviewit.com\PleadingWotion to Dismiss Am. Compl. wpd.wpd

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, New
York limited liability partnership
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. \\ AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. \\ MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT}

THIS CAUSE having come before the Court upon Defendants', IVIEWIT. COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWITTECHNOLOGIES, INC., Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and the Court having reviewed the Court file, having heard argument of counsel, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: That Defendants', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNQLOGIES, INC. Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, is hereby GRANTED (DENIED)

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, on this \(\qquad\) day of \(\qquad\) , 2001.

\section*{CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE}

\footnotetext{
Copies furnished to:
Spencer M. Sax, Esq., Sachs, Sax \& Klein, P.A., 301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150 , Boca Raton, FL 33431 Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskaver Rose, LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W, 2255 Clades Road, Boca Raton, FL 33431 M: Kitigation\Viewit.com\Pleading\Order on Def's Mtn to Dismiss amended complaint.wpd
}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION (CHANGING TIME ONLY)}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 17, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of RAYMOND T. HERSH.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 11:00 a.m. on Wednesday, September 11, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca

Raton, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of Gerald Lewin.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The
deposition will continue from day to day until complete.
\(\operatorname{This} \theta_{0}^{t h}\) day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(\underline{( }^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.

cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.
If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
} FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 17, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton,

Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of RAYMOND T. WERSH.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This 6 葡 day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525


I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(\sigma^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15 th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\) 1

\title{
Plaintiff,
}
a Delaware corporation.

\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO JOIN} INDISPENSABLE PARTIES TO ACTION AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer and to continue the trial setting in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That on August 22, 2002 and continuing through August 23, 2002, the Plaintiff took the deposition of Brian G. Utley, the former President and chief operating officer of the Defendants.
2. That during the taking of such deposition, under cross examination by the undersigned, Brian Utley testified that the only written retainer of the Plaintiff by any of the Iviewit entities was that document attached as Exhibit "A" to the Plaintiff's initial compliant in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".
3. That further Mr. Utely testified that the services by Plaintiff were made to "...all the Iviewit entities..." or words to that effect.
4. Plaintiff had, as former legal counsel for the Defendants, been the law firm responsible for the formation of the various Iviewit entities, including Iviewit.com.LLC.
5. Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in this action, which is a proper and necessary party to this action.
6. That upon information and belief, the Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in that such entity is currently the subject of a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy proceeding, Case No. 01-33407 BKC-SHF, which has since such filing been converted to a Chapter 7 proceeding and which is awaiting the first meeting of creditors. A true and correct notice of the involuntary petition form as to such entity is attached hereto as Exhibit " 2 ".
7. That the services provided to the Plaintiff, and which form the basis for the

Plaintiff's claims for damages in this matter, included services provided to Iviewit.com, LLC, as evidenced by that portion of the billing statement, as dated August 24,1999 , which specifically references the providing of services by the Plaintiff to Iviewit.com.LLC, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit " 3 ".
8. That the failure of the Defendants to be permitted to join such parties to this action shall work a prejudice on the Defendants and may, depending on the outcome of the pending Chapter 7 Bankruptcy proceedings, create the possibility of inconsistent results in the outcome of this matter.
9. That the named Defendants are entitled to contribution or indemnification as to some or all of the claims of the Plaintiff with regard to services which form the basis for the Plaintiff's claims.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter and to join as third parties to this action Iviewit, LLC and Iviewit.com, LLC.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(3^{\text {No }}\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

\section*{SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.}

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm B£adh, FL 33480
Tel: (5 1) 820-9409
Fax: (5¢1) \&33-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

\section*{TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.}

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 2:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 10, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Ration, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of

\section*{RAYMOND T. WERSH.}

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This \(3^{3^{\text {rd }}}\) day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(3^{\text {dd }}\) day of September, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15 th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING}

This matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to join indispensable parties to this action and to continue trial setting, and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. Defendant's Motion for leave to amend to join and indispensable party is
hereby Shingle.
2. Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting is hereby \(\qquad\) Dénifu .
3. \(\qquad\)

\(\qquad\) T2) Sin AR-Schiduled by Panties DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this

\(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.

CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boa Rato, FL 33431

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

\section*{Defendants.}

ORDER SETTING HEARING
(SPECIALLY SET - 15 MINUTES RESERVED)
A hearing will take place before me on the following matter:

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CONTEMPT, TO STRIKE DEFENDANTS' PLEADINGS, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES}

TIME: 8:15 AM.
DATE: December 16, 2002

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 N. Dixie Highway \\ West Palm Beach, FL 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800) 955-8771 for assistance.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{SUBPOENA FOR DEPOSITION}

TO: Simon Bernstein
7020 Lions Head Lane
Coca Rato, \(\mathbf{F l}\)
561.477.9096

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before a person authorized to take depositions at the office of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Rato, Fl 33431-7360, on the 18th day of September, 2002, at 9:00 atm. for the taking of your deposition in this action. If you fail to appear, you may be in contempt of court.

You are subpoenaed to appear by the following attorney, and unless excused from this subpoena by this attorney or the Court, you shall respond to this subpoena as directed.

DATED this \({ }^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2002.
Christopher Prusaski, Esq.
For the Court


Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
(561) 241-7400

Florida Bar No. 121525

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

\title{
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
}

York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF MEDIATION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Mediation Conference in the above-styled matter is set for Friday, September 13, 2002, at 10:30 a.m., at the Law Offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Florida 33431. The agreed-upon mediator is David E. Horvath, Esquire, of Alternative Resolution Consultants.

This 6 (h) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)
\(\underbrace{\text { Cuncer }}_{\text {Matthew Triggs }}\)
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(6^{\text {th}}\) day of September, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.

cc: David E. Horvath, Esq. (via facsimile)

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

\author{
TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq. \\ Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. \\ 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 \\ Palm Beach, Florida 33480
}

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 18, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Ration, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of SIMON BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This \(b^{h}\) day of September, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this
I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 0 day of September, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431. within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
DEPONENT & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DATE AND TIME \\
Christopher Wheeler, Esq.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
LOCATION \\
Friday, September 20, \\
2002
\end{tabular} \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
One Boca Place, Suite \\
340W \\
Boca Raton, FL 33431
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561)820-9409
Fax: (561)833-9715

By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

\footnotetext{
CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.
} FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., requests that the Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE, L.L.P., pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and within the time required by said Rule, to produce all documents as follows:
1. The entire file or files regarding the Plaintiff's', either jointly, individually or in any other combination thereof, legal representation of the Defendants and Iviewit.com and Iviewit.com.L.L.C., including, without limitation, those matters regarding the legal representation of the Defendants by Plaintiff as to all corporate,
patent, and intellectual properties issues.
2. Any documents in support of any of claims as asserted by the Plaintiff in this action.
3. Any and all documents which evidence any payments made by Defendants to Plaintiff in this matter, including without limitation, all trust account or other records showing the receipt of funds by Plaintiff from the Defendants.
4. Any and all documents which evidence the steps undertaken by the Plaintiffs in the representation of the Defendants.
5. All billing records, time sheet and other time and expense records as to services alleged to have been provided to the Defendants by Plaintiff and which form the basis for either the claims in the instant action or which the Plaintiff alleges have been previously paid by Defendants.
6. To the extent not provided in response to the above requests for production, all work product and documents, regardless of description, as produced by the Plaintiff for the Defendants or Iviewit.com or Iviewit.com.L.L.C. or any other entity related to the services provided.
7. All documents evidencing any retainer agreement or engagement to perform legal services by and between the Defendants in this action and Plaintiff.
8. All records concerning the receipt of any stock or shareholders interest
received or held by the Plaintiff in any of the Defendant corporations.
9. Any documents regarding the coordination of the patent applications of intellectual property of the Defendants by the Plaintiff and/or Ken Rubenstein, Esq.
10. Any documents which the Plaintiff intends to introduce at trial.
11. Any report of any expert witness engaged by the Plaintiff in this matter to the extent that same is intended to be introduced at trial.

At the offices of Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., at the address set forth below and that if any of the information normally contained in the documents, or in some other for, electronic or otherwise, has been photographed, recorded or is retained on a computer or other electronic device, defendant is hereby requested to obtain such information, translated, if necessary, into a reasonably usable form.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

\title{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
}

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

\section*{FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET FAX Number: (708) 450-1415}

Individual \& Firm: CAROLINE ROGERS, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 09/18/02 11:10 A.M.
File \# \(\qquad\)
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) \(f_{0}\)

\section*{RE: IVIEWIT.COM}

Document(s) Attached: PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND NOTICE OF DEPO AS TO CHRIS WHEELER.

\section*{Comments: AS DISCUSSED- CALL TO ADVISE AS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND IF OK TO SEND OUT TODAY- JUST FAX BACK RESPONSE.}

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
__ Regular Mail \(\qquad\) Federal Express \(\qquad\) Courier

PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR TIIE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IV ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages \\
Sep 18 & 10:39am & Fax Sent & 17084501415 & \(4: 10\) & 6
\end{tabular}

Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Sep 182002 10:38am

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages & Result \\
Sep 18 & \(10: 20 \mathrm{am}\) & Fax Sent & 17084501415 & \(17: 51\) & 25 & OK
\end{tabular}
*

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES TO ACTION AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer and to continue the trial setting in this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That on August 22, 2002 and continuing through August 23, 2002, the Plaintiff took the deposition of Brian G. Utley, the former President and chief operating officer of the Defendants.
2. That during the taking of such deposition, under cross examination by the undersigned, Brian Utley testified that the only written retainer of the Plaintiff by any of the Iviewit entities was that document attached as Exhibit "A" to the Plaintiff's initial compliant in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit " 1 ".
3. That further Mr. Utely testified that the services by Plaintiff were made to "...all the Iviewit entities..." or words to that effect.
4. Plaintiff had, as former legal counsel for the Defendants, been the law firm responsible for the formation of the various Iviewit entities, including Iviewit.com.LLC.
5. Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in this action, which is a proper and necessary party to this action.
6. That upon information and belief, the Plaintiff has failed to join Iviewit.com, LLC in that such entity is currently the subject of a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy proceeding, Case No. 01-33407 BKC-SHF, which has since such filing been converted to a Chapter 7 proceeding and which is awaiting the first meeting of creditors. A true and correct notice of the involuntary petition form as to such entity is attached hereto as Exhibit " 2 ".
7. That the services provided to the Plaintiff, and which form the basis for the

Plaintiff's claims for damages in this matter, included services provided to Iviewit.com, LLC, as evidenced by that portion of the billing statement, as dated August 24,1999 , which specifically references the providing of services by the Plaintiff to Iviewit.com.LLC, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit " 3 ".
8. That the failure of the Defendants to be permitted to join such parties to this action shall work a prejudice on the Defendants and may, depending on the outcome of the pending Chapter 7 Bankruptcy proceedings, create the possibility of inconsistent results in the outcome of this matter.
9. That the named Defendants are entitled to contribution or indemnification as to some or all of the claims of the Plaintiff with regard to services which form the basis for the Plaintiff's claims.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter and to join as third parties to this action Iviewit, LLC and Iviewit.com, LLC.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this \(3^{\infty}\) day of September, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm B€ach, FL 33480
Tel: (5ф1) 820-9409
Fax: (5£1) \&33-9715
By:
FBN: 777420

September 8, 1999

Mr. Brian G. Utley
iviewit LLC
c/o Goldstein Lewin
1900 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 300-E
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Re: Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC
Dear Brian:
Thank you for the opportunity to represent iviewit LLC in connection with general corporate advice (the "Work") and such other matters as we may undertake on your behalf from time to time. As is our Firm's custom, we are writing to confirm our agreement regarding such representation.

Our fees for services performed will be billed at our regular hourly rates. Currently, these rates range from \(\$ 135.00\) to \(\$ 385.00\) per hour for all legal services performed by the Firm's attomeys in our Boca Raton office. The hourly rate charged by any particular attorney within the range mentioned depends on such factors as that lawyer's experience, familiarity with the subject matter being worked upon, and such other factors as have been determined by the Firm in establishing the normal hourly rates for its attomeys. Time spent by any legal assistant is currently charged at \(\$ 90.00\) per hour.

In addition to the fees described above, you agree to reimburse and pay us for all disbursements made by us, and our customary charges for in-house services in connection with the legal services performed under this agreement, including document reproduction and facsimile charges, computerized legal research, overtime (if required), travel expenses, court filing fees, postage, messenger and overnight courier fees, long-distance telephone charges, document preparation charges, word processing, taxes and miscellaneous expenses.

We anticipate billing you on a monthly basis, with payment of all monies due within 30 days of receipt. We will send you periodic statements setting forth the amount of the fees, disbursements and charges to which we are entitled and the basis for their calculation. Although, as noted above, we will ordinarily bill you monthly for fees, disbursements and charges of the preceding

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utiey \\ September 8, 1999 \\ Page 2
}
month, we may occasionally defer billing for a given month (or months) if the accrued fees and costs do not warrant current billing or if other circumstances would make it more convenient to defer billing.

We are waiving a retainer at this time, but we reserve the right to ask for one at any time.
You have the right to discharge us as your counsel in connection with the Work at any time, but such discharge shall not affect our right to be paid all our previously incurred but unpaid fees, and all our previously incurred but unpaid charges and disbursements, in accordance with this letter agreement.

We may from time to time, either at your request or at our own initiative, provide you with an estimate of fees or costs that we reasonably anticipate will be incurred in connection with the Work. It is understood that such estimates, which are predicated on a variety of assumptions, are subject to unforeseen circumstances and are by their nature inexact.

If you agree that the foregoing meets with your approval, please sign and return to me the enclosed copy of this letter as soon as possible.

We very much appreciate the opportunity to represent you in this matter.
Best regards.

Cordially,


\footnotetext{
Christopher C. Wheeler
}

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LIP}

\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utley \\ September 8, 1999 \\ Page 3
}

I hereby accept the legal representation by Proskauer Rose LLP on the terms and conditions set forth above.
iviewit LLC


Dated:_18/99
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\section*{VTMOE}



PENDIWG EANKRUNTCY CABE FIKEDUY OR RGAINST ANY PARTNER






\footnotetext{

}
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\section*{CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE}

1, Bart A. Houston, Esg., of Hourtoo \& Shahady. P.A., 316 N.E. \(4^{\text {d }}\) Street, Fort Lauderdale, Floride 33301 certify:

That I an. and at all times hereinatter mentioned was, mote than 18 yeas of age;
That on June 27, 2001. I served a copy of tae within summons. Lopether with the petition fled in this case. on

CT Corporation Syatem, Registered Agent for
Iviewit.com, LLC
1200 South Pine Island Rond
Plantation. FL 33324
- and -
```

ivjewit.cosp LLC

```

2255 Oliedes Rond
Sulte 337. Weat
Mocn Raton, FL 33431
tho dobror lo thlo cate, by [dorcribe here the mode of service]
By First Class Mall, Postago Prepaid


IVIEWII CORPORATIUN
327337
500 S.E. MIZNER POUT,FVARD
SUITE 102
BOCA MATON, FL 33432-6080
ATIENTTON: MR. ELIOI: 1. RFFNSTFIN
```

CLIFNT NAME: TVJRWTT CORPORATION
MATTER NAME: GFNERAL CORPOR\IE ADVICH:
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```
FOR L.FGAI, SERVICES RFNTFRFD TNCLUDING DIEBUKSFMENTS AND
(HARGES INCURREN) FOR THE PERIOD ENUED JUY.Y 31, 1999
AS SET FOR'LK IN THF ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TO'PAL FEES:

DISBURSBMENTS ANE CHNRGF:S:

TOMAL LUE;
\(592,630.00\)
\(\$ 6,248.10\)

698, 4フ母. IO
```

MuO-cu*GUuG16,!u*

```

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CLIENI: IVIEWIT COKPORATION August 24,1999
MATTER: GENFRAL CORPORATE AUVICE
TATE NAME HOURS DESCRIPTION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12/09/90 & C. WHEELIFR & 2.00 Meecing as to corporate selup and new product \\
\hline 06/01/99 & 1 AKSELRAD & . 50 Tel w/EW\& J. re tax shanture \\
\hline \(06 / 01 / 99\) & A gortz & 2! ¢\% cew \\
\hline 06/01/99 & D THOMPSON & 25 Conference with Attomey Mara Lefror Robbint re employment agreament issues. \\
\hline U5/01/90 & C WHFPILER & 1.00 Conf with Mr. Rubenstein; conf with Mr. leewin; conf with Mr. Healy; cont with Mr. Joan; cunf with Mr. Akselrod re patents, Lax ramifications, copyright work; \\
\hline 06/01/99 & K healy & I. .so Conference call w/E. Hermetein, R. Tono, K. Rubenatoin, C. Whesler, and others re iviewit I.P. issuter; review cal.rom \\
\hline 06/01/99 & M ROMPTNS & \begin{tabular}{l}
.50) inter-office conterences with Zammas re: received suhscription locter Agrecmente (Nubes) and Letcer Agreementg (Common Stock). \\
Incer-office conterence with Wheeler re: rerention of letter Agreemants (Noces). \\
rater-office conferences with zhoman re: rmploynont agreemonts.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 06/01/99 & J RAMMAS & 1.00 Ereparalion of letter to Elict fornstein refaxeling Subecription Letter Agreements; issue shares of iviewit. com, the to iviewit. Inc.; \\
\hline 06/02/99 & R ROWE & . 25 Rev. finders issuc: \\
\hline 06/02/99 & D THOMPGON & II ( 75 ) Correspondence re Finder's Fees Agreement with Attorney Gayle Coleman; Telephone conference re employment agrecment issues. \\
\hline 06/02/99 & C WHEFIER & (1.50) Imenthy conterence with Mr. Eernstein and Mr Lewin What wos wif wetuxe \\
\hline 06/02/99 & K hrial.y & . 35 fiken fer: Roview Contidentiality Agme. \\
\hline 06/02/99 & (G COLEMNN & (2.00) Draft and preparation of employment agreement. raiephone confcrence with E. Bermstein re Mokenzie agreement. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

CIIENT: IVIEWIT CURDORATION

```

CLIENT: IVIEWII CORFORATION

| 06/00/s9 | C. WHEELLEK |  | Prep of notary arknowlcclyment For Mz. Lewin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/08/99 | C. WHEELEH | 1.00 | Conf with Mr. Thompson re corporate structure |
| $06 / 08 / 99$ | C WHFELER | b. 00 | Contruence w/I nkselrad; conference $w / R$. Thompson; conterence w/O.branden; preparation ot confidentiality agreements amd transmittal: conference $w / M r$. Betnslein; conforence w/M. Buchshaum |
| 06/08/99 | 3 ROMOFF | 1. 75 | Telephonc conference w/ DT; Meet w/ IA; Drafiting lle Agreement. |
| 06/08/99 | M ROSBJNS | . 50 | Review suberription packajes from shareholders. Inter oftice conferences with Zammas re: updating chareholder subecription list. |
| 06/08/99 | T ZAMMAS | . 50 | Copy documents for $C$. Whecier; return call to Andrew bietz regarding signing of docmment; fax organizational mimates to simon Rermotein pursuant $\mathcal{L} \mathrm{O}$ ) iot Bernstain's requeyl.. |
| 06/09/99 | H COATES JR. |  | Contcronce with Rocky Thompson rogardirg securities ismars concerning involvement in LLC struckure |
| 05/09/99 | 1) THOMPSON TI | \% 7 \% | Telephone conference with jevry Lewin re structural changes: Confermen with Attorney Mara Leaner Robbins; comterence with Attorney C. Wheeler re poinding mattors: prepare LLC' |
| 06109/99 | C whtikler | 1.00 | Confricence $w / M x$. Rosinan re revition, axtange revision |
| 06/09/99 | C WHEFIRR | 2 b | Set up conference call w/luosalie Bibona |
| 06/09/99 | C WIIEELER | 50 | Conference w/r. Bibona |
| 06/09/99 | C WHELILER | . 50 | Conferonce w/R. Thompson re new enrp. uelup |
| 06/09/93 | S ROMOFF | 7.50 | Drafting H/C Agreement. |
| 06/09/99 | M ROBhins | 1.00 | Inter-otfice confcrences with Zammas re; log of received subseription agracmente. Telephone conferenccs with Diat\%. Telephonc: conferences with Bernotein. Tolephone conterence to trewin. |
| 06/09/99 | T 7AMMAL | . 75 | Keep record of checks and subscription ayreements: preparc and lax list of people who have sent. in checks for shares co Jerry Lewnia. |

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

| CLIENT: | IVIEWIT CORPORATION |
| :--- | :---: |
| MAILER: GENERAL CORPORATE ANVICF |  |
| PAGE: | $\vdots$ |



## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

| CLIENT: | IVIFWIT CORPORATION |  | Aurjuet 24. 1.999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATTER: <br> TAGE: | general corpole 6 | $\angle I E A$ | ADVIC |
| 06/14/99 | A GOR\% | . 50 | Cfs CCW, bewin call |
| 06/14/99 | [) THOMPGON 11 | $1.50$ | Roviow and revige ritic: Agremeril; Telephone conterence with Spancer Romofl re same. |
| $06 / 14 / 99$ | C WHEELER | 2.50 | I'wo conterences w/R.Pibona |
| 06/14/99 | C WHEESICR | . 50 | Conference w/Mr.Kane |
| 06/14/39 | C WhEELER | 23 | contorence $w / M r$, lowin re tax ycructure |
| 06/14/99 | C WHEELIER | 50 | Conference w/Mr. Lewin re investory |
| $06 / 24 / 99$ | a WHEELER | $\therefore 9$ | Immigration mater |
| 06/14/99 | s ROMOFF | . 25 | Conferenes: w/ DT xe: comments on LLC Agreement. |
| 06/14/99 | M Robdins; |  | Tolephone conferonces with Hernstein. Review file re: 1 igt of iviewil shareholder. |
| 06/14/99 | $\checkmark$ 7.AMMAS | $.23$ | Upatate records of subrcription agreement letters execurcid and make a complete copy for Ertide Stangers levter. |
| 06/15/99 | T AKGELRAI | 50 | Review agmics, conf. S. Romotit |
| $06 / 15 / 99$ | D JhOMPSON II | $1.25$ | Conference with Alvorney C. Wheelcix re scructural matlerg; follow. up re same |
| $061 / 25 / 90$ | S WIENER | $.85$ | Foltow up research for Mara Robbins re:privace offering excmprion in ll. |
| 06/15/99 | © WHEFLER |  | Cont with $M x$. lewin; cont with $M x$. Thompon re tax ytructure |
| 05/15/99 | C WHEELER | . 30 | ? Conf with Mr. Kane; call to Ms. Bibona |
| 06/15/99 | C. WHEELEK |  | Conf with Mr. Kane and Ms. Bibond: cont with Ms. Hexmstein |
| 06/25/93 | S ROMOFF |  | Conteremee w/ DT; Confexenco w/ IA; Reviso l.LC Agreemenc. |
| 06/15/99 | M rompins | 1.75 | Thtor-office conterence with Zammas re: nore. subscripcion agreemencs. Telephone onfferences with Aernstein re: note subscription agreements and additional investors. Telephone conterence to Weires ce: hlue sky mattere. preparition of |


DAGE: 8

| 06/1\%/99 | M ROBBINS | 3.5 | Draft and proparation of subseription agreement re: issmance of membership mits of iviewil LLC. Draft and pepararion of iviewit. com litic Limited Liabilily Compnny Agreement. <br> freparation of list of iviewil LLC mombers. <br> breporation of list of elockholders of uview. com, Int, later oftice conferences wjeh Thompion re: tviewit corporale stmucture. Draft and prepariation of uview c!om subacription agreement. Inter officc conferences with \%ammas re: uryani\%atiomil matters for uvirwit. com, lnc, and ivirwit. com LLC. Telophone conterences witl Rumoft re: iviewit LLC bimitad tianility Compeay Agrecment. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/17/59 | $\checkmark$ ZAMMAS | Q. 00 | Preparation of Cortificate or neorgoration for uview, com, rnc: preparation of orgnnizational dormments and 2553 [or now eorporation. |
| 06/18/94 | 5 THOMPSON | 1.25 | Confercmer with Attonney Marn Lerner Robbins re structural issues; Tolephone conference wirh Jexry Lewin and Attorney gpencer Romotf re sünc. |
| $06 / 18 / 99$ | C WHEFIFR | 1.00 | Conf with Mr. Bernstein re palents, response of Hassiar Mian, Rosalie bibona and re patent maberial; cont as to innigration materisla; |
| 06/16/99 | C WHEELER | 3.50 | Review of patente wilh Mr. Toso; conf wich Mr. Iewin re status; conl wirh Mr. Dernstein; Cleck of status of new corporate documents |
| 06/10/99 | $S$ ROMOFE | , | Telephone: conference w/ TT, MR and $G$. Lowin; Conterence w/ s. Kaupas re: blct filinga; Correspondence w/ MR; Helephone: call to A. epgtein; $E$-mail agreencent to A. Epatein. |
| 06/18/99 | 5 KAUPAS; | . 25 | Follow up on oryinization of LLCa for: spencer Romoff |
| 05/18/99 | M ROBBINS | 6.00 | Freparation of ivitwit LLC subbctijrion agreement. Froparation of ivicw.com, Inc. yubscription agreettenc. Revieions to Certificate of Incorporation of uview.com, Inc. Revision to iviewit. cum TilC Agreement. Telephone conferences with komoff re: uvicwit. com, Inc, scructure. 'Ielephome conferences with Lewin. InLer oftice conferences with Thompson. Revisions to uview, coom, hare shareholder list Review |

uview. com. The bylaws. Rovisions 10 Organizational Minutes of uview.com, Tnc. Revisions to Organinational Minutes ot iviowit.com LLC. Dreparalion ot iviewil LLCC organizaljomal Minutes.
$06 / 18 / 99 \mathrm{~J}$ 7AmMAS 5.00 Work on incorporation docnuerils for uview. com. Inc.: check mame availabilicy for LLC's $\boldsymbol{y}_{i}$ gene fax to Eliot Bernstoin reqarding Subecription Letter Ayreement. received; preparation of oryanizarional documents for uview con, Inc.. iviewit Lle' ardi diviewit.com lf, ; prepalalion of foreign gumification documents for iviewit LLC: and iviewit.com hac; order good-standing certiticates for rhe LLC's.

.25 Corrospondence w/ T. Zammes re: certificates of tormation; Telephone conference w/ C. Lewin'y asgistant. re: LLC Agreement.

06/27./99 M ROREINS
(5.09) Revisions to Orcuaizational Minutes for iviowit. HC, igiewil.com LLC und uview. com, me. Inter-office conferences with Zammas re: organicavional mallers, foreign gualifications. Telephone conferences with lewin re: uvicw com, Lnc. and iviewit organizational maters. Preparation of Rosario cmployment agreement. Revisiont to stockholder list of uview. com. Inc. Revisions 10 menber ligt of iviewil lto. Kevisions to bylaws of Uviow.com, lnce. Research 2n: foreic̣n cmalifications. Review forcign qualification applicarions for iviewit. com rofe Had iviewit LLC:

OG/21/99 J 7,AMMAS $\quad$. 50 Work on qualificalion documents tor ivjewir LLC
 regarding obvatining copies of the fire Certificatef of Formation; telephone Ceci] Rodriguez to obtain tiling fee check lo file Delaware amendment; fax copies of filed eertificater of formation to Jeriy fewmen.

HUG. GJ. GUVG 16.xvis

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

```
CLIENT: TVIEWIT CORFORATION
PAGE: 10
```

Augus. 24, 1999
OG/22/99 1. THOMPGON I' 1.25 Review orşanizationt documents and
subsciriplion documents for aviewll. LLC,
iviewit com. LLC and Uviewit, inc.
06/22/99 C WHEELLHR
3.00 Dictation of notice provision; ront with Mr.
Stonicy re poysible ventures; ronf wilh Rosalie
Ribano re palricipanty; cont with Jerry Lewin;
06/22/99 M ROBHTNS
4.25 Jeviaions to iviewit. LLC and uview. com, lne.
written consenty. Telephome ronferences with
Fomntif re: lbic Agreement. Telephone
conterences with Lewin re: organicattonal
documents and name availability. Inter-office
conforences with zammas re: realview name
nvailability. Review $H$, and DE name scarch
results. Trademark rosenreh on Wristlaw re:
realview mane availablity. keview traciemark
"earoh results. Tolephone conference with
b.ewin re: trademark search resull.s.
Inter-office conferones with thompson re:
iviewit general corporate matters. Intor-office
conterence with Zammay ro: received fubscription
agreements. Review file re: received
suhscription agrements. Tnter-offies
conferences with coleman re: feceral tradenark
search resnlts.
06/22/99 J LAMMAS $\quad 1.00$ Ser up binders for iviewit LLC and iviewit.ecin
LuC: Check natoe availabllity of realview for M.
Robbins; telophone Jexty Te:win with search
results.
$0 r_{1} / 23 / 995$ KAPP .50 Conf. with CCW regarding various mallera
pertaining lo structure, patents,
confidentiality agregnente
06/23/99 D THOMPSON II 1.20 FOllow-up on riss organigational marrers;
Tolephone confexence with Atcomey Spencer
Romolf re LLC ngreoment; Conforence with
Attorney Mara Lerner Robbjins re same.
06/23/93 © WHEELER
. 75 Conferenco w/3.Kips ro immicystion; conference
as lof fee letter for patent counsel; arrange
for
$06 / 23 / 99 \mathrm{~S}$ ROMOFF $\quad 2 \mathrm{~b}$ Review A . Epstein comments: conference w/ vil.
06/23/99 5 ROMOFF 2.50 Review $\Lambda$. Epetein commenty; Pelephone
conference w/ DI; Revise Agreement.
06/23/9y C EERKOWITR .is ke marginal Lax rates for individunls

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CLIENT: IVIEWIT CORPORATION
$06 / 23 / 99 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ROBBINS}$
$06 / 23 / 99 \mathrm{~J}$ ZAMMAS
06/24/99 S KAR

06/24/99 S KAFP

06/24/99 : KAFF

06/24/99 5 KAトリ
$0.5 / 24 / 99 \mathrm{R}$ STORETCF

06/24/92 D IHOMPSON II
$06 / 24 / 99$ C WIEELER

06/24/99 S ROMOLF

06/24/99 D IHOMPSON II 1.25 Conference call with Attorney sponcex Rumoff and Jerry Lewin re LLC mattere; Telephone conference with Atconney Mara Jormer Robbing ard Jerry Lewin: follow-up.
4.00 Review comments from thompan re; LLC documents and subseription agreenents. Kevisions to Certificace of Incorporation, Orgenitarienal Minutes of iviewat LLC, iviewit.com fitc. and uvisw enm, Inc. iraft. and prepararion of monnorandum to fomotif re: revinw of LLC documente. Revisions Lo ryiaws of uvicow.com, Ince. Horcign Qualific:ation Applicariong of ivicwit LLC ard i.viewit.com LLC. Inter office conferences with Zatmats re: forejgn qualifications of iviewit Luc, iviewit. com LLC: and uview.com, the Telephone conferences with Lewin re: namc change lo rcalview. polephone conference with Lewin ro: capital contiributions of ivicwit LLC' Ielephone confrerence with lewin re: stockholder list. Revisions to etockholder iista. Inter office conforences wilh Thompson re: iviewit ganeral matters. Reviow file re: old fubssription agrementy.

75 Work on foreign qualification docmments.
1.00 l/e with Jude Rosario, l/e to Zack s. and t. $/ \mathrm{c}$ to Ron S. PR LLT
.50 \%/C with Ray Joon regardincs rotainer aygenment, rvw agreemens.
. y 0 kvw comments on Contidentiality nyrocment, conf. wilh RET regarding same
.alf $F / u$ regazding assignment of patenc iscue
.25 Review F-1 euployment authorization; ronf GK

25 Conference with Atcorncy Gtuart. Kapp re Conficientiality Agreement.
1.00 Check status; arrange for agreement revisions for D. Tel.
4. 75 Kevisjing LLC Agremment; Reviewing document package from MR; Telephone concerence w/ DI and G. Towin; Drafeing iviewit. cum LLC Agreement.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2002.
Time: 8:40 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend; Join Indispensable Party and to Continue Trial Setting.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $J T W$ day of September, 2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beafh, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561)
By:
STEVED M.
FBA. 177420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Roca Rato, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2002.
Time: 8:40 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend; Join Indispensable Party and to Continue Trial Setting.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressees) this day of September, 2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.


PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
$\qquad$ 1

CA 01-04671 AB
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

## Exhibits:

1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $\quad 3 n$ day of September, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56 ( ) 820-9409
Fax: (56) 833-9715
By:
STEXEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2002.
Time: 8:40 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West
Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend; Join Indispensable Party and to Continue Trial Setting.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this Jiw day of September, 2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 83 3-9715


STEVED M. SEEZ
FBA. 777420

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## PROSKAUER'S RESPONSE TO IVIEWIT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer") files this response to the motion for leave to amend of Defendants Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (collectively, "Iviewit") and shows the Court as follows:

1. On the eve of trial, in a case that is now over 21 months old, Iviewit seeks leave to turn this case on its head by transforming a straightforward action for unpaid attorneys' fees into what it contends is its $\$ 10$ billion malpractice counterclaim. ${ }^{1}$
2. Counsel for the parties appeared at calendar call in this matter on September 13,2002 and both sides announced that they were ready for trial. Consistent with that representation, this case

[^7]was specially set for trial during the week of December 16, 2002. There was no counterclaim pending at that time.
3. The only reason the case was not tried at that time and was continued to the next available docket was because of the pregnancy of the wife of Iviewit's corporate representative.
4. Pursuant to Court order, discovery in this case has been closed since November 15, 2002. The parties filed their Joint Pretrial Stipulation on January 14, 2003, as required by Court order. A copy of the Joint Pretrial Stipulation is attached as Exhibit 2. It neither references any pending counterclaim nor any request for leave to file such a counterclaim.
5. Calendar call is now scheduled for March 14,2003 , and the 2 day trial is scheduled during this Court's March 31, 2003 docket. In short, this case has been and remains ready for trial as pled -- a 2 day non-jury trial for unpaid legal fees.
6. This Court has already considered and rejected Iviewit's prior attempt to turn this case upside down by raising belated allegations of malpractice as defenses. On November 5, 2002, the Court granted Proskauer's motion in limine, in which Proskauer sought to limit the trial to the issues raised in the pleadings and to preclude any testimony concerning alleged improper work on the part of Proskauer, as no such claim had been raised in the pleadings. A copy of the Order granting the motion in limine is attached as Exhibit 3. During the hearing, the Court cited to the well recognized case of Noble v. Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997) for the proposition that litigants are entitled to some finality as a case approaches trial:

In the case of Noble v. Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2 d 567 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997), the Fourth District held that, there comes a point in litigation where each party is entitled to some finality. The rules of liberality gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial.
. . . We had docket call. Everybody answered ready. And the case is set for trial in a month or so, a bit over a month. And here we are at
this juncture, you're telling the other side that now we're going to rely pretty much [on] malpractice as a defense, and for that reason, we shouldn't have to pay you.

This case has been around for a year-and-a-half. And there has to come a point in time where the trial judges should draw the line. So I'm going to grant the motion in limine. Okay. And that's granted. And you can go to trial on what you've got.
(The Honorable Jorge Labarga, hearing transcript on Proskauer's motion in limine, November 5, 2002, at page 10) (A copy of the hearing transcript is attached as Exhibit 4).
7. The holding of Noble is even more applicable now. Even more time has passed, discovery is closed, and the Joint Pretrial Stipulation has been on file for weeks. The prejudice Proskauer would suffer if leave were granted is substantial. Quite simply, justice further delayed at this point is tantamount to justice denied. Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court deny Iviewit's motion for leave to amend.

This $31^{\frac{5}{d}}$ day of January, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boa Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

By:


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this $3 / \sqrt{/ 5}$ day of January, 2003, to:

## Steven Selz, Esquire

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480


PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,

INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer so as to assert a counterclaim in this matter pursuant to Rule 1.170 (f) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That the Defendants move to amend their answer in this matter so as to include a counterclaim in this matter, which by its nature appears to be a compulsory counterclaim to the extent that the issues arise out of the same nexus of events, as
justice requires that the counterclaim be tried at the same time as the complaint and answer so that all pending issues between the parties may be adjudicated in this action.
2. That as a result of fact that additional evidence in support of the Defendants' counterclaims is found in the Plaintiff's own files and records, the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by the amendment of the Defendants' answer in this matter, nor will this matter be delayed as to the trial of same.
3. Defendants have attached hereto a copy of the proposed counterclaim.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $20^{\text {in }}$ day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:


STEXEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUTT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {th }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUTT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, a New York limited partnership,

CASE NO.: CA 01-04671 AB
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants,

## COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

COME NOW the Counter Plaintiffs, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and IVIEWIT LLC, hereinafter collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT" or Counter Plaintiffs, and hereby sues Counter Defendant, PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, hereinafter "PROSKAUER", a New York limited partnership, and alleges as follows:

## GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

1. This is an action for damages in a sum greater than $\$ 15,000.00$, exclusive
of interest, taxable costs and attorneys fees.
2. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
3. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and California.
4. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
5. IVIEWIT LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, formed by PROSKAUER, which, at all times relevant hereto, was authorized to conduct and conducted business in the Palm Beach County Florida and the State of Califormia.
6. Counter Defendant PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, (hereinafter
"PROSKAUER") is a New York limited partnership, operating a law office in Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.

Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.
7. BRIAN G. UTLEY, (hereinafter "UTLEY") was at all times rele vant hereto a sui juris resident of the State of Florida and who on or about September of 1999 was the president of Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC.
8. CHRISTOPHER WHEELER, (hereinafter "WHEELER") is a sui juris individual and resident of Palm Beach County, Florida, who at all times relevant hereto was a partner of PROSKAUER and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs.
9. KENNETH RUBENSTEN, (hereinafter "RUBENSTEIN") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who various times relevant hereto was initally misrepresented by WHEELER as a partner of PROSKAUER and later became a partner of PROSKAUER, and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs both while at Meltzer, Lippie, et al., and PROSKAUER.
10. RAYMOND JOAO, (hereinafter "JOAO") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who at all times relevant hereto was represented to be RUBENSTEIN's associate at PROSKAUER, when in fact JOAO has never been an employee of PROSKAUER but in fact was an employee of Meltzer, Lippie, et al.
11. That beginning on or about November of 1998, the Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT, through it's agent and principal, Eliot I. Bernstein ("Bernstein"), held discussions with WHEELER with regard to PROSKAUER providing legal services to the company involving specific technologies developed by Bernstein and two others, which technologies allowed for:
i) Zooming of digital images and video without degredation to the quality of the digital image due to what is commonly refereed to as "pixilation"; and,
ii) The delivery of digital video using proprietary scaling techniques; and,
iii) A combination of the image zoom techniques and video scaling techniques described above; and,
iv) The remote control of video cameras through communications networks.
12. That Bernstein engaged the services of PROSKAUER to provide legal services to the company to be formed, including corporate formation and governance for a single entity and to obtain multiple patents and oversee US and foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above, the "Technology", and such
other activities as were necessary to protect the intellectual property represented by the Technology.
13. That at the time of the engagement of PROSKAUER, Bernstein was advised and otherwise led to believe that WHEELER was the PROSKAUER partner in charge of the account.
14. Upon information and belief, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO upon viewing the technologies developed by Bernstein, and held by IVIEWIT, realized the significance of the technologies, its various applications to communication networks for distributing video data and images and for existing digital processes, including, but not limited to digital cameras, digital video disks (DVD), digital imaging technologies for medical purposes and digital video, and that WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO conspired to undertake and in fact undertook a deliberate course of conduct to deprive Bernstein and IVIEWIT of the beneficial use of such technologies for either the use of third parties, who were other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, or for WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO's own financial gain, to the detriment and damage of the Counter Plaintiffs.
15. That WHEELER, who was a close personal friend of UTLEY, recommended to Bernstein and other members of the board of directors of

IVIEWIT that the IVIEWIT engage the services of UTLEY to act as President of the Iviewit.com, LLC based on his knowledge and ability as to technology issues.
16. That at the time that WHEELER made the recommendation of UTLEY to the board of directors, that WHEELER knew that UTLEY was in a dispute with his former employer, Diamond Turf Products and the fact that UTLEY had misappropriated certain patents on hydro-mechanical systems to the detriment of Diamond Turf Products.
17. Additionally, WHEELER was fully aware of the fact that UTLEX was not the highly qualified "engineer" that UTLEY represented himself to be, and that in fact UTLEY lacked real engineering expertise or even an engineering degree and that UTLEY had been fired from Diamond Turf Products due to his misappropriation of patents.
18. That despite such knowledge, WHEELER never mentioned such facts concerning UTLEY to any representative of IVIEWIT and in fact undertook to "sell" UTLEY as a highly qualified candidate who would be the ideal person to undertake day to day operations of IVIEWIT and work on the patents, acting as a qualified engineer.
19. Additionally, WHEELER continued to assist UTLEY in perpetrating such fraud on both the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT and to third parties,
including Wachovia Bank, by approving a false resume for UTLEY to be included in seeking approval of a private placement for IVIEWIT.
20. That based on the recommendations of WHEELER, as partner of PROSKAUER, the board of directors agreed to engage the services of UTLEY as president.
21. That almost immediately after UTLEY's employment and almost one year after initially providing of services, WHEELER provided a retainer agreement for the providing of services by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT LLC, addressed to UTLEY, a true and correct copy of such retainer agreement (the "Retainer") being attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A". That the services provided were in fact to be paid out of the royalties recovered from the use of the Technology, which was to be included in patent pools overseen by RUBENSTEIN.
22. That the Retainer by its terms contemplated the providing of corporate and general legal services to IVIEWIT LLC by PROSKAUER and was endorsed by UTLEY on behalf of IVIEWIT LLC, the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT LLC would not have UTLEY authorized to endorse same as it did not include the intellectual property work which PROSKAUER had already undertaken.
23. That prior to the Retainer, PROSKAUER and WHEELER had provided
legal services to IVIEWIT, including services regarding patent procurement and acted to coordinate such services both internally and with outside counsel, including RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, including times when they were misrepresented as PROSKAUER attorneys.
24. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal services related to corporate, patent, trademark and other work in a sum of approximately $\$ 800,000.00$.
25. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal service never performed, double-billed by the use of multiple counsel on the same issue, and systematically overcharged for services provided.
26. That summaries of the billing statements provided by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit " B ".
27. That based on the over-billing by PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT paid a sum in of approximately $\$ 500,000.00$ plus together with a $2.5 \%$ interest in IVIEWIT, which sums and interest in IVIEWIT was received and accepted by PROSKAUER.
28. That WHEELER, UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER, conspired to deprive IVIEWIT of its rights to the technologies developed by Bernstein by:
a) Transferring patents using Foley \& Lardner so as to name UTLEY as the sole holder of multiple patents in his individual name and capacity when in fact they were and arose from the technologies developed by Bernstein and others and held by IVIEWIT prior to UTLEY's employment with IVIEWIT, and;
b) Upon discovery of the "lapses" by JOAO, that WHEELER and PROSKAUER referred the patent matters to WILLIAM DICK, of Foley \& Lardner, who was also a close personal friend of UTLEY and who had been involved in the diversion of patents to UTLEY at Diamond Turf Products; and,
c) Failing to list proper inventors of the technologies based on improper legal advise that foreign inventors could not be listed until their immigration status was adjusted, resulting in the failure of the patents to include their rightful and lawful inventors and the payment by IVIEWIT for unnecessary immigration work; and,
d) Failing to ensure that the patent applications for the technologies, contained all necessary and pertinent information relevant to the technologies and as required by law; and,
e) Failing to secure trademarks and copyrights and failing to complete trademark and copyright work for the use of proprietary names of IVIEWIT and source code for the Technologies of IVIEWIT as intellectual property, and;
f) Allowing the infringement of patent rights of IVEIWIT and the intellectual property of IVIEWIT by other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, and;
g) Aiding JOAO in filing patents for IVIEWIT intellectual property by intentionally withholding pertinent information from such patents and not filing same timely, so as to allow JOAO to apply for similar patents in his own name, both while acting as counsel for IVIEWIT and subsequently.
29. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Counter Defendant, Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum estimated to be greater than $\$ 10,000,000,000.00$, based on projections by Gerald Stanley, CEO of Real 3-D (a consortium of Lockheed, Silicone Graphics and Intel) as to the value of the technologies and their applications to current and future uses together with the loss of funding from Crossbow Ventures as a result of such conduct.
30. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action have occurred or have been waived or excused.

## COUNT I-LEGAL MALPRACTICE

31. This is an action for legal malpractice within the jurisdiction of this court.
32. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
33. PROSKAUER employed by IVIEWIT for purposes of representing IVIEWIT to obtain multiple patents and oversee foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above.
34. That pursuant to such employment, PROSKAUER owed a duty to ensure that the rights and interests of IVIEWIT were protected.
35. WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER neglected that reasonable duty of care in the performance of legal services in that they:
a) Failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that the intellectual property of IVIEWIT was protected; and,
b) Failed to complete work regarding copyrights and trademarks; and,
c) Engaged in unnecessary and duplicate corporate and other work resulting in billing for unnecessary legal services believed to be in excess of $\$ 400,000.00$; and,
d) By redacting information from the billing statements regarding services provided so to as to give the appearance that the services provided by PROSKAUER were limited in nature, when in fact they involved various aspects of intellectual property protection; and,
e) By knowingly representing and agreeing to accept representation of
clients in conflict with the interests of IVIEWIT, without either consent or waiver by IVIEWIT.
36. That the negligent actions of PROSKAUER and its partners, WHEELER and RUBENSTEIN, resulted in and was the proximate cause of loss to IVIEWIT.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiff demands judgement for damages against Defendant together with reasonable attorneys fees, court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT II-CIVIL CONSPIRACY

37. This is an action for civil conspiracy within the jurisdiction of this court.
38. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
39. Defendant, PROSKAUER and UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, jointly conspired to deprive the Counter Plaintiffs of their rights and interest in the Technology.
40. That UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEEN, JOAO and PROSKAUER with such intent, directed that certain patent rights be put in the name of UTLEY and/or that such patent rights were modified or negligently pursued so as to fail to provide protection of the intellectual property, resulting in the ability of other clients of WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER to make use of such
technologies without being liable to IVIEWIT for royalties normally arising from such use.
41. That PROSKAUER, without either consent of the Board of Directors or proper documentation, transferred securities to Tiedemann/Prolow Investment Group, which entity was also referred by WHEELER, who acted as counsel for such unauthorized transaction.
42. That upon the discovery of the above-described events and conspiracy, IVIEWIT's lead investor, Crossbow Ventures, ceased its funding of IVIEWIT.
43. That Crossbow Ventures, which was a referral of WHEELER, took a security interest in the Technology under the guise of protecting IVIEWIT and its shareholders from the actions of UTLEY, based on the filing of an involuntary bankruptcy (which was later withdrawn), and as to WHEELER and PROSKAUER based on the instant law suit, when in fact such conduct was motivated by Crossbow's attempts to wrongfully detain the interests of IVIEIT in the Technology. Such conduct, upon information and belief, was undertaken with the knowledge and assistance of WHEELER and PROSKAUER.
44. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy and acts of PROSKAUER, UTLEY, WHEELER, JOAO and RUBENSTEIN, the Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT III- BREACH OF CONTRACT

45. This is an action for breach of contract within the jurisdiction of this Court.
46. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
47. Defendant, PROSKAUER, breached the contract with Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC by failing to provide services billed for pursuant to the billing statements presented to the Counter Plaintiffs and over-billing for services provided.
48. That such actions on the part of PROSKAUER constitute beaches of the contract by and between IVIEWIT LLC and PROSKAUER.
49. That as a direct and proximate result of such conduct on the part of PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT LLC has been damaged by overpayment to PROSKAUER and the failure of PROSKAUER to perform the contracted for legal services.

WHEREFORE, IVIEWIT demands judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT IV-TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH AN ADVANTAGEOUS BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP

50. This is an action for tortious interference with an advantageous business relationship within the jurisdiction of this Court.
51. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
52. Counter Plaintiff was engaged in negotiations of technology agreements with both Warner Bros. and AOL/Time Warner as to the possible use of the Technologies of the Counter Plaintiffs and investment in Counter Plaintiffs as a strategic partner.
53. That despite the prior representations of RUBENSTEIN, at a meeting held on or about November 1, 2000, by and between UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN and representatives of Warner Bros. as to the Technology of IVIEWIT and the efficacy, novelty and unique methodology of the Technology, RUBENSTEIN refused to subsequently make the same statements to representatives of AOL and Warner Bros., taking the position that since Warner Bros./AOL is "now a big client of Proskauer, I can't comment on the technologies of Iviewit." or words to that effect in response to inquiry from Warner Brother/AOL's counsel as to the status and condition of the pending patents on the intellectual property.
54. That RUBENSTEIN, having served as an advisor to the Board of Directors for IVIEWIT, was aware of the fact that at the time of the making of the statements set forth in Paragraph 50, above, IVIEWIT was in the midst of negotiations with $\mathrm{AOL} /$ Warner Bros. as to the possible funding of the operations of IVIEWIT in and sum of between $\$ 10,000,000.00$ and $\$ 20,000,000.00$.
55. Further, RUBENSTEIN as a partner of PROSKAUER, and despite his clear prior actions in representing the interests of IVIEWIT, refused to answer questions as to the enforcement of the Technology of IVIEWIT, with the intent and knowledge that such refusal would lead to the cessation of the business relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL and other clients familiar with the Warner Bros./AOL technology group then in negotiations with IVIEWIT, including, but not limited to Sony Corporation, Paramount, MGM and Fox.
56. That the actions of RUBENSTEIN were and constituted an intentional and unjustified interference with the relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL designed to harm such relationship and further motivated by the attempts to "cover-up" the conflict of interest in PROSKAUER's representation of both IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL.
57. That indeed, as a direct and proximate result of the conduct of RUBENSTEIN, Warner Bros./AOL ceased business relations with IVIEWIT to the
damage and detriment of Counter Plaintiffs.
WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\overline{Q 13^{-4}}$ day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:


STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:

1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (Jan. 28, 2003).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:

None.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as $\$ 369,460.97$;
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
h. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
i. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" total $\$ 369,460.97$;
j. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" have not been paid.
k. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

1. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of $\$ 369,460.97$;
m. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
n. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
o. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
p. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is $\$ 369,460.97$;
q. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive authorization from the Defendants to perform; and
r. Whether the services provided by Proskauer benefited the named Defendants or, in the alternative, benefited third parties not parties to this action.
2. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Plaintiff contends that the following is also a disputed issue of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
3. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Defendants contend that the following are also disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether the Defendants assumed the obligations of any other party to Proskauer for legal fees; and
b. Whether the payment to Proskauer by the Defendants was contingent on the funding of loans or other financing to the Defendants from third parties.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
5. The trial will last approximately 2-4 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
9. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSELLP
Attormeys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241.7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triges
Floriỏa Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Flonida Bar No. 121525
Dated: Jan. $14{ }^{24} 2003$.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
Attomeys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Avedue, Suite 220
Palm Bqach, Floride 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409
Facsimite: (561) 833-9175


Stever M. Selz
Forida Bar No. 777420

Dated: Jan. 1 U, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskaue:"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:

1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31,2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.

17,2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21, 2000
10. Proskauer Rose LL.P Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Api. 18,2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Ap:. 18,2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice $\# 352751$ and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice $\# 352753$ and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

30,2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30,2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated Juiy 21,2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363970 and itemized billing statement dated July 30, 2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22, 2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24, 2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept.

20,2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sep:20,2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement atated Oct.

13,2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380021 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov.

17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30, 2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec. 6,2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 18,2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 9, 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice $\# 394765$ and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

6,2001
49. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice : $\# 395537$ and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 12, 2001
50. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice $\% 399271$ and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
51. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399272 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
52. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399273 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
53. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399274 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
54. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399275 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
55. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#400158 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 11,2001
56. Proskauer Rose LLP list of "Open Invoices" for Iviewit
57. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Sept. 8, 1999 ("Re. Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC")
58. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 12, 1999 ("Re. Additional Payment")
59. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utiey dated Oct. 25, 1999 ("Re. Reminder Regarding Additional Payment")
60. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Feb. 29, 2000
61. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 9, 2000
62. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 24, 2000
63. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 31,2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
64. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 10, 2000
65. Memorandum from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated Apr. 11, 2000 ("Re. Payment Plan")
66. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 19, 2000
67. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated May 15, 2000
68. Letter from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated May 30, 2000
69. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Dec. 29, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
70. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 4, 2001
71. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 18, 2001 ("Re. January 15 Payment Towards Past-Due Amounts")
72. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 28, 2001 (4 pages)
73. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 (2 pages) ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
74. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 with 3page list of open invoices ("Re. Past-Due Invoices")
75. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 27, 2001 (3 pages) ("Re. Retainer and Past-Due Accounts)
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000, with subparts 1 through 17.
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15.
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document ${ }_{n}^{n}$ 287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554).
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document ${ }^{\text {F2 }}$ 27367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document $\# 256413$ ).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley; including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. All rebuttal exhibits.
108. All impeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


IN THE CIRCUT COURT OF THE 15 TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury
Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:

1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blvd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case, including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

Joseph R. COOK, EsQ.
EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974
Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon
Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society
Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

## EMPLOYMENT:

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCLATIONS:
Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

## PUBLICATIONS:

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing. Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis. Part V. appearing in the Albany Law Review, 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House:

## SPECIAL INTERESTS:

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms, lenders and institutions throughout the country.


# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 

 $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDAPROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

## Witnesses:

1. Raymond Joao- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

EXHIBIT 3
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32953
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

## Exhibits:

1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of $\therefore$ Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $3 \cap \mathrm{~m}$ day of September, 2002.
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beadh, FL 33480
Tel: ( 561 ) $820-9409$
Fax: $(56)$ ) $833-9715$
By:
STEXEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on November 5, 2002 on Plaintiff's Motion in Limine and the Court, after reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is GRANTED. See Noble v. Martin Mem'l Hosp. Ass'n, Inc., 710 So. 2d 567, 568-69 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1998).

## DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach S\&GAEDANO DATED ${ }^{\text {day }}$

of November, 2002.
NOV 122002
Sudeo derge Labarge
Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

## For Defendants:

Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

> IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE I5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs. No. CA 01-04671 AB

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

The above-entitled cause came on for Hearing before The Honorable Jorge Labarga, Circuit Judge, at the Palm Beach county Courthouse, West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, on the 5 th day of November, 2002, commencing at 8:15 o'clock A.M.

## APPEARANCES:

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
by: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ. Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
bY: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the Defendant.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PRUSASKI: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How are you doing?

MR. SELZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Motion in limine?
MR. SELZ: Motion in limine, and also, Your Honor, we had an objection to Requests for Production from opposing counsel. We've been here before Your Honor earlier last week. And we had agreed that we would hear that again while we had the 15 minutes set this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. Fifteen minutes is not a long time.

MR. PRUSASKI: It's not. I don't believe we have enough time, judge.

I represent Proskauer Rose, the plaintiff. It's our motion in limine. This is an attorneys' fees collection case. The defendants are former clients of Proskauer's. We're suing for about $\$ 367,000$. And this matter is set for trial the week of December 16 th.

Spencer Sax, Sachs, Sax \& Klein, used to represent the defendants. This case was filed a year-and-a-hali ago in May of 2001. And an

Answer was filed by Mr. Sax's fixm over a year ago. The Answer didn't assert as any affirmative defenses that Proskauer had done any incorrect work or had otherwise breached the Retainer Agreement.

The defenses were that we actually billed for work not performed and that the value of the - the bills didn't match the - the reasonableness of the services performed. So there was no allegation of any improper work performed by Proskauer.

It wasn't until August of this year, after Your Honor entered a couple of orders requiring the defendants to serve Answers to Interrogatories, that the defendants asserted for the first time that Proskauer had incorrectly done work; and therefore, they should be able to avoid paying our bills.

It wasn't pled anywhere in any of the pleadings. And it wasn't until a year and a three months after the lawsuit was filed that we first learned that they were going to attempt to put this theory on at trial.

At the time $I$ received the interrogatory answers, the matter was already set on Your

Honor's trial docket.
It came as a surprise to us because we didn't know for a year-and-a-half that they were going to try and put this type of case on at trial. It further completely complicates the case and turns an otherwise simple bill collection matter over on its head.

And there's cases that we cited in the motion. Nash versus Wells Fargo case. It's a Florida Supreme Court, 1996, it says, if you don't assert an affirmative defense, you can't prove it at trial. It's a waiver.

The Con-Dev of Vero Beach versus Casano case, Fourth District, also says, which we cite in the motion, that a defendant has an obligation to plead any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense.

And the First District in Joseph Bucheck Construction that we also cited says that Rule 1.10 (d) requires a defendant to plead any manner which avoids the action which the plaintiff is not bound to prove in the first instance in support of it, but which under the Rules of Evidence, the defendant must firmly
establish.
The Nash case says that negligence is a defense and you have to plead it. The Con-Dev case says that plaintiff's breach of a contract under which it sues is an affirmative defense and you have to plead it.

A year-and-a-half after the lawsuit's filed when the case is already set for trial, a set of interrogatory answers telling us that we did work incorrectly is not fair.

THE COURT: When are we set for trial in this case?

MR. PRUSASKI: December 16th.
THE COURT: It's set for docket call on December l6th, correct?

MR. PRUSASKI: We've already --
MR. SELZ: We're set. We're set.
THE COURT: You're specially set.
MR. SELZ: For December 16 th, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How long do you think it's going to take to try the case?

MR. SELZ: I think we estimated it would be three days.

MR. PRUSASKI: We thought it would be a day-and-a-half, two days before we got these
interrogatory answers.
THE COURT: You're going to get what you reserved at the docket call, and that's all.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, if $I$ may, very briefly, what we've got here right now is a situation where discovery is still pending. We have not gotten production from - -

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Look, you went to docket call. You've answered ready. I specially set you for trial. You're specially set to go for December $16 t h$. I don't understand. Why is discovery still pending? I don't care about that. That's your problem.

MR. SELZ: Well, Your Honor, it is, except what we have is we've had a very difficult time getting any documents from opposing counsel and from - and Proskauer Rose. As a matter of fact, my client has had no access to any of the documents which would support his impression that Proskauer Rose did or did not do what they were supposed to do.

THE COURT: The problem $I$ have with that is in these civil cases, you notice them for trial whenever you want to notice it for trial. And once you notice it for trial, you get a
trial date nine months later. What happens is you guys do things at the end and then you want to squeeze it all in at the end. It's not my problem that we are here at the eve of trial and you're telling me you can't get these documents. I've been here every morning. I haven't missed one day, except for the two weeks vacation $I$ took this summer. Where have you been?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, with regard to discovery, this is what we've got. Mr. Prusaski, I've been asking him for dates since september on two deponents for Proskauer Rose that we wanted to depose. He objected to one. Your Honor the other day ruled that, yes, we could take the deposition of Ken Rubenstein. I asked him both for dates for Ken Rubenstein and Chris Wheeler, the two people we want to depose. He's now telling me their only available dates, which I've been requesting since september, are after the discovery cutoff date, November $15 t h$.

THE COURT: Like I said, if you're having problems with discovery, then come and see me and I'll make a ruling. But now you're telling
me that you're going to do discovery up until the date of trial. Now you want to amend pleadings. You want to allege things that were not in the pleadings. And all of a sudden, you want to put the case into a tailspin. Why should I do that? You've got a trial date. You're specially set. You told me you were ready. You're ready means $I$ can call you tomorrow and you can try the case.

Yes?
MR. PRUSASKI: All I can say is that we have depositions set in the next week when we two depositions, their corporate rep. Your Honor, we were here last week. I have to fly to California for that. When $I$ take those depositions, we're ready for trial. This case has been pending for a year-and-a-half.

And with all due respect, judge, it's a red herring. The law says they can't put in some sort of complicated quasi malpractice case in a bill paying case when they didn't plead it over a year ago. They can't spring this on us at the last minute. The law protects us in that regard.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, their request --
THE COURT: On this motion, you got anything else you wish to argue?

MR. SELZ: On this motion -- The only other thing that I've got on this motion, Your Honor, right now, is the fact that clearly, my clients didn't have access to these documents. We've been making requests for production. We don't have a good faith basis right now to be able to plead an affirmative defense we don't have any proof of. My client has a feeling there was a problem, but if he doesn't have the evidence to back it up, then it would be a frivolous attempt on his part to plead it.

So to that extent Your Honor, I believe that we have acted diligently. We're trying to get these documents from the plaintiff to be able to show up this possible -- If it exists. If it doesn't exist and there's no basis in the documents that we get or in the testimony of the deponents, then we won't be able to assert it, anyway, Your Honor. And that's the point.

If it does exist in those documents and there's something that shows there's a conflict of interest or some other problem that

Proskauer Rose was involved in, then certainly, the first opportunity we have that evidence is when we're going to present it.

THE COURT: In the case of Noble -N-o-b-l-e - versus Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2d. 567, Fourth DCA 1997, the Fourth District held that, there comes a point in litigation where each party is entitled to some finality. The rules of liberality gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial."

The case -- We had docket call.
Everybody answered ready. And the case is set for trial in a month or so, a bit over a month. And here we are at this juncture, you're telling the other side that now we're going to rely on pretty much malpractice as a defense, and for that reason, we shouldn't have to pay you.

This case has been around for a year-and-a-half. And there has to come a point in time where the trial judges should draw the line. So I'm going to grant the motion in limine. Okay. And that's granted. And you can go to trial on what you've got.

MR. SELZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Now what's the next thing?

MR. SELZ: The next thing, Your Honor, is an objection to Requests.for Production. May I approach?

THE COURT: Sure can.
MR. SELZ: Your Honor, basically, we had a list of documents. And they relate to Proskauer Rose's representation of Iviewit and the Iviewit companies. And basically, it goes to requesting their files, any patent trademark, copyright, trade secret --

But let's get the broader picture. The broader picture here is when $I$ transmitted these to Mr. Prusaski on September 19th, his his response was that they had documents - his recent response, as well is he's got documents. He's got about 80 red rope binders with all kinds of documents in them that he hasn't reviewed or considered with regard to a privilege log or preparing a privilege log, and that he would give me access to those 80 red ropes if $I$ dropped anything concerning anything else other than what's in their specific file concerning the Iviewit companies.

KEN SCHANZER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. (954) 922-2660

Again, Your Honor, their position all along has been that their representation was limited to Iviewit. And there is actually only one company here that signed the Retainer Agreement with Proskauer Rose.

So what we want to do is take a look at all the documents concerning any of these other entities, if they exist, if they represented the former president of Iviewit who signed the Retainer Agreement, if they represented him individually, any of those people concerning clients of Proskauer there might have been conflicts with which they should have presented and didn't present to Iviewit as part of their representation.

And again, Your Honor, the key here is this - this request has been outstanding since approximately mid september and we haven't received any documents, other than a blanket objection saying, effectively, that they think it's overbroad, that it's designed to harass, that we're asking for scheduling of meeting rooms and things like that at Proskauer's offices.

Again, Your Honor, part of our position
is that they were involved and people in the firm were involved. And they're not reflected on their billing records. And that we were billed for things not directly involving Proskauer.

I think that was one of the defenses that was raised was that -- It goes ahead and says, that plaintiff's claims do not state cause of against the defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were directed.

One of our affirmative defenses, they billed us for work that was done for other parties which are not parties to this action, and rightfully, should not be part of the claims against Iviewit, the defendants here.

So what we're asking for, Your Honor, is - is this plethora of documents, but the plethora of documents, Your Honor, is designed to show up these defenses, Your Honor, and to provide us with the documents relating to these defenses.

THE COURT: What do you say?
MR. PRUSASKI: The Request for Production was served one day before the discovery cutoff.

It was the first time the defendants had ever attempted to get documents in a year-and-a-half. So we filed a motion for protective order because it wasn't timely. And Your Honor subsequently extended the discovery cutoff.

We have never had a problem showing Proskauer's file to the former clients because under the law, they have a right to look at it. The file takes up an entire conference room table. It was corporate work that was performed over a course of several years.

However, if they had just asked to come and look at the file, $I$ would have said, yeah, come look at it next week.

But what they did was the corporate representative of the defendants on his home computer typed up this Request for Production. It's 35 document requests of what he thinks should be in our file.

If he had said, we'd like to come look at the file, it would not have been an issue. But what they did was this lay person has asked for copies of the personal employment file of several of Proskauer's attorneys who worked on KEN SCHANZER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. (954) 922-2660
their case, home telephone records of Proskauer attorneys, Palm Pilot records of Proskauer attorneys, Proskauer's meeting room schedules from its New York and Florida offices. And most importantly and most offensively, they have asked for all of the Non-Compete Agreements that Proskauer's ever prepared for any client.

So how am I supposed to respond to this in good faith?

I have told counsel that if the court resolves this today or if they withdraw this Request for Production, he can come and look at the file next week. I'll go through it. I'll check to make sure that there's no documents that have been incorrectly kept in the file for other clients. And he can come and spend as much time with it as he wants. But they're insisted on pursuing this document request.

THE COURT: I think in all my time in practicing law and on the bench, I have never seen a Request for Production so broad as this thing is.

I mean, let's look at one. Number four, you want all transactions for Ellen Degeneris,

Alanis Morrisette, Simon Bernstein, Huizenga Holdings. I mean, it goes on and on. Wachovia, Webcasts, Hollywood.Com, Notes, Subscription Agreements, Brian Utley, Michael Reale, Disney.

MR. SELZ: If I may, Your Honor, the reason is because --

MR. PRUSASKI: They want personal employment files of lawyers.

MR. SELZ: -- Proskauer Rose was representing Iviewit in negotiations with those various parties.

And the idea here, Your Honor, again is basically that those parties, to the extent that Proskauer Rose may have been representing them, constituted some kind of conflict or -We got billed or Iviewit is being billed for work that is done concerning those matters.

Again, Your Honor, we don't have access to any of the documents so far.

THE COURT: He says you can go look at them.

MR. PRUSASKI: They would have had access
a year-and-a-half ago if they asked for --
THE COURT: What I'm going to do, I'm
going to grant the protective order. This request for documents is just way too overbi-ad.

And if you wish, I'm going to order him to make whatever they have available at his office and you go look at it. Spend a day or two over there looking at it. And you clip whatever you need to clip. And he'll make copies for you. If you object to that, then come back and see me.

MR. PRUSASKI: I've told counsel that he can come next week, when $I$ come back from California to take his client's depo since he wouldn't appear here. Anytime next week, he can come look through it. And that's been the offer all along.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, so on the record then, Mr. Prusaski will make those available to me next week --

MR. PRUSASKI: Yeah.

MR. SELZ: So that $I$ go ahead and examine them next week.

MR. PRUSASKI: Yeah.

THE COURT: Sure. He'll put them there on the conference table for you. You give him
whatever leeway he needs. And you take whoever you need to with you, sit down and take a look. What you do is take a bunch of these things and -- Post 'ems.

MR. SELZ: And post it, yes.
THE COURT: Post whatever you need. If there's anything that you feel is privileged, just make a note of and come back and see me and I'll cross that bridge when $I$ get there. MR. PRUSASKI: Your Honor, I'm prepared to go through and make a log if there's any documents that are subject to privilege.

THE COURT: According to the Tigg case, you have to or you waive it.

MR. PRUSASKI: Your Honor pointed it out to us, and we told everybody in our office about the case. We have it up on the bulletin board.

THE COURT: All right, guys.
(Thereupon, at 8:32 a.m., the hearing was concluded.)

1 COURT CERTIFICATE
STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF BROWARD )
I, TAMARA EMERICK-MASCI, Registered
Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized
to and did stenographically report the foregoing
proceedings and that the transcript is a true and
complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED THIS Eth day of November, 2002.


PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
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To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

CA 01-04671 AB

COPY / ORIGINAL RECEIVED FOR FILING

JAN 282003


YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend Answer
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission to the above-listed addressee(s) this

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
CA $01-04671 \mathrm{AB}$

Plaintiff,

COPY / ORIGINAL RECEIVED FOR FILING

JAN 282003
DOROTHY H. WILKEN
CLERK OF CIRCUITCOURT
CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

## DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer so as to assert a counterclaim in this matter pursuant to Rule $1.170(\mathrm{f})$ of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That the Defendants move to amend their answer in this matter so as to include a counterclaim in this matter, which by its nature appears to be a compulsory counterclaim to the extent that the issues arise out of the same nexus of events, as
justice requires that the counterclaim be tried at the same time as the complaint and answer so that all pending issues between the parties may be adjudicated in this action.
2. That as a result of fact that additional evidence in support of the Defendants' counterclaims is found in the Plaintiff's own files and records, the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by the amendment of the Defendants' answer in this matter, nor will this matter be delayed as to the trial of same.
3. Defendants have attached hereto a copy of the proposed counterclaim.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\mathcal{R}^{\text {th }}$ day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEXEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {th }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, a New York limited partnership,

CASE NO.: CA 01-04671 AB
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants,

## COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

COME NOW the Counter Plaintiffs, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT
HOLDINGS, INC., IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and IVIEWIT LLC, hereinafter collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT" or Counter Plaintiffs, and hereby sues Counter Defendant, PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, hereinafter "PROSKAUER", a New York limited partnership, and alleges as follows:

## GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

1. This is an action for damages in a sum greater than $\$ 15,000.00$, exclusive
of interest, taxable costs and attorneys fees.
2. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
3. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and California.
4. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
5. IVIEWIT LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, formed by PROSKAUER, which, at all times relevant hereto, was authorized to conduct and conducted business in the Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
6. Counter Defendant PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, (hereinafter
"PROSKAUER") is a New York limited partnership, operating a law office in Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.

Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.
7. BRIAN G. UTLEY, (hereinafter "UTLEY") was at all times relevant hereto a sui juris resident of the State of Florida and who on or about September of 1999 was the president of Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC.
8. CHRISTOPHER WHEELER, (hereinafter "WHEELER") is a sui juris individual and resident of Palm Beach County, Florida, who at all times relevant hereto was a partner of PROSKAUER and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs.
9. KENNETH RUBENSTEIN, (hereinafter "RUBENSTEIN") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who various times relevant hereto was initally misrepresented by WHEELER as a partner of PROSKAUER and later became a partner of PROSKAUER, and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs both while at Meltzer, Lippie, et al., and PROSKAUER.
10. RAYMOND JOAO, (hereinafter "JOAO") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who at all times relevant hereto was represented to be RUBENSTEIN's associate at PROSKAUER, when in fact JOAO has never been an employee of PROSKAUER but in fact was an employee of Meltzer, Lippie, et al.
11. That beginning on or about November of 1998, the Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT, through it's agent and principal, Eliot I. Bernstein ("Bernstein"), held discussions with WHEELER with regard to PROSKAUER providing legal services to the company involving specific technologies developed by Bernstein and two others, which technologies allowed for:
i) Zooming of digital images and video without degredation to the quality of the digital image due to what is commonly refereed to as "pixilation"; and,
ii) The delivery of digital video using proprietary scaling techniques; and,
iii) A combination of the image zoom techniques and video scaling techniques described above; and,
iv) The remote control of video cameras through communications networks.
12. That Bernstein engaged the services of PROSKAUER to provide legal services to the company to be formed, including corporate formation and governance for a single entity and to obtain multiple patents and oversee US and foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above, the "Technology", and such
other activities as were necessary to protect the intellectual property represented by the Technology.
13. That at the time of the engagement of PROSKAUER, Bernstein was advised and otherwise led to believe that WHEELER was the PROSKAUER partner in charge of the account.
14. Upon information and belief, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO upon viewing the technologies developed by Bernstein, and held by IVIEWIT, realized the significance of the technologies, its various applications to communication networks for distributing video data and images and for existing digital processes, including, but not limited to digital cameras, digital video disks (DVD), digital imaging technologies for medical purposes and digital video, and that WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO conspired to undertake and in fact undertook a deliberate course of conduct to deprive Bernstein and IVIEWIT of the beneficial use of such technologies for either the use of third parties, who were other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, or for WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO's own financial gain, to the detriment and damage of the Counter Plaintiffs.
15. That WHEELER, who was a close personal friend of UTLEY, recommended to Bernstein and other members of the board of directors of

IVIEWIT that the IVIEWIT engage the services of UTLEY to act as President of the Iviewit.com, LLC based on his knowledge and ability as to technology issues.
16. That at the time that WHEELER made the recommendation of UTLEY to the board of directors, that WHEELER knew that UTLEY was in a dispute with his former employer, Diamond Turf Products and the fact that UTLEY had misappropriated certain patents on hydro-mechanical systems to the detriment of Diamond Turf Products.
17. Additionally, WHEELER was fully aware of the fact that UTLEY was not the highly qualified "engineer" that UTLEY represented himself to be, and that in fact UTLEY lacked real engineering expertise or even an engineering degree and that UTLEY had been fired from Diamond Turf Products due to his misappropriation of patents.
18. That despite such knowledge, WHEELER never mentioned such facts concerning UTLEY to any representative of IVIEWIT and in fact undertook to "sell" UTLEY as a highly qualified candidate who would be the ideal person to undertake day to day operations of IVIEWIT and work on the patents, acting as a qualified engineer.
19. Additionally, WHEELER continued to assist UTLEY in perpetrating such fraud on both the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT and to third parties,
including Wachovia Bank, by approving a false resume for UTLEY to be included in seeking approval of a private placement for IVIEWIT.
20. That based on the recommendations of WHEELER, as partner of PROSKAUER, the board of directors agreed to engage the services of UTLEY as president.
21. That almost immediately after UTLEY's employment and almost one year after initially providing of services, WHEELER provided a retainer agreement for the providing of services by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT LLC, addressed to UTLEY, a true and correct copy of such retainer agreement (the "Retainer") being attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A". That the services provided were in fact to be paid out of the royalties recovered from the use of the Technology, which was to be included in patent pools overseen by RUBENSTEIN.
22. That the Retainer by its terms contemplated the providing of corporate and general legal services to IVIEWIT LLC by PROSKAUER and was endorsed by UTLEY on behalf of IVIEWIT LLC, the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT LLC would not have UTLEY authorized to endorse same as it did not include the intellectual property work which PROSKAUER had already undertaken.
23. That prior to the Retainer, PROSKAUER and WHEELER had provided
legal services to IVIEWIT, including services regarding patent procurement and acted to coordinate such services both internally and with outside counsel, including RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, including times when they were misrepresented as PROSKAUER attorneys.
24. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal services related to corporate, patent, trademark and other work in a sum of approximately $\$ 800,000.00$.
25. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal service never performed, double-billed by the use of multiple counsel on the same issue, and systematically overcharged for services provided.
26. That summaries of the billing statements provided by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit " B ".
27. That based on the over-billing by PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT paid a sum in of approximately $\$ 500,000.00$ plus together with a $2.5 \%$ interest in IVIEWIT, which sums and interest in IVIEWIT was received and accepted by PROSKAUER.
28. That WHEELER, UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER, conspired to deprive IVIEWIT of its rights to the technologies developed by Bernstein by:
a) Transferring patents using Foley \& Lardner so as to name UTLEY as the sole holder of multiple patents in his individual name and capacity when in fact they were and arose from the technologies developed by Bernstein and others and held by IVIEWIT prior to UTLEY's employment with IVIEWIT, and;
b) Upon discovery of the "lapses" by JOAO, that WHEELER and

PROSKAUER referred the patent matters to WILLIAM DICK, of Foley \& Lardner, who was also a close personal friend of UTLEY and who had been involved in the diversion of patents to UTLEY at Diamond Turf Products; and,
c) Failing to list proper inventors of the technologies based on improper legal advise that foreign inventors could not be listed until their immigration status was adjusted, resulting in the failure of the patents to include their rightful and lawful inventors and the payment by IVIEWIT for unnecessary immigration work; and,
d) Failing to ensure that the patent applications for the technologies, contained all necessary and pertinent information relevant to the technologies and as required by law; and,
e) Failing to secure trademarks and copyrights and failing to complete trademark and copyright work for the use of proprietary names of IVIEWIT and source code for the Technologies of IVIEWIT as intellectual property, and;
f) Allowing the infringement of patent rights of IVEIWIT and the intellectual property of IVIEWIT by other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, and;
g) Aiding JOAO in filing patents for IVIEWIT intellectual property by intentionally withholding pertinent information from such patents and not filing same timely, so as to allow JOAO to apply for similar patents in his own name, both while acting as counsel for IVIEWIT and subsequently.
29. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Counter Defendant, Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum estimated to be greater than $\$ 10,000,000,000.00$, based on projections by Gerald Stanley, CEO of Real 3-D (a consortium of Lockheed, Silicone Graphics and Intel) as to the value of the technologies and their applications to current and future uses together with the loss of funding from Crossbow Ventures as a result of such conduct.
30. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action have occurred or have been waived or excused.

## COUNT I- LEGAL MALPRACTICE

31. This is an action for legal malpractice within the jurisdiction of this court.
32. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
33. PROSKAUER employed by IVIEWIT for purposes of representing IVIEWIT to obtain multiple patents and oversee foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above.
34. That pursuant to such employment, PROSKAUER owed a duty to ensure that the rights and interests of IVIEWIT were protected.
35. WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER neglected that reasonable duty of care in the performance of legal services in that they:
a) Failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that the intellectual property of IVIEWIT was protected; and,
b) Failed to complete work regarding copyrights and trademarks; and,
c) Engaged in unnecessary and duplicate corporate and other work resulting in billing for unnecessary legal services believed to be in excess of $\$ 400,000.00$; and,
d) By redacting information from the billing statements regarding services provided so to as to give the appearance that the services provided by PROSKAUER were limited in nature, when in fact they involved various aspects of intellectual property protection; and,
e) By knowingly representing and agreeing to accept representation of
clients in conflict with the interests of IVIEWIT, without either consent or waiver by IVIEWIT.
36. That the negligent actions of PROSKAUER and its partners, WHEELER and RUBENSTEIN, resulted in and was the proximate cause of loss to IVIEWIT.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiff demands judgement for damages against Defendant together with reasonable attorneys fees, court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT II- CIVIL CONSPIRACY

37. This is an action for civil conspiracy within the jurisdiction of this court.
38. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
39. Defendant, PROSKAUER and UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, jointly conspired to deprive the Counter Plaintiffs of their rights and interest in the Technology.
40. That UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER with such intent, directed that certain patent rights be put in the name of UTLEY and/or that such patent rights were modified or negligently pursued so as to fail to provide protection of the intellectual property, resulting in the ability of other clients of WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER to make use of such
technologies without being liable to IVIEWIT for royalties normally arising from such use.
41. That PROSKAUER, without either consent of the Board of Directors or proper documentation, transferred securities to Tiedemann/Prolow Investment Group, which entity was also referred by WHEELER, who acted as counsel for such unauthorized transaction.
42. That upon the discovery of the above-described events and conspiracy, IVIEWIT's lead investor, Crossbow Ventures, ceased its funding of IVIEWIT.
43. That Crossbow Ventures, which was a referral of WHEELER, took a security interest in the Technology under the guise of protecting IVIEWIT and its shareholders from the actions of UTLEY, based on the filing of an involuntary bankruptcy (which was later withdrawn), and as to WHEELER and PROSKAUER based on the instant law suit, when in fact such conduct was motivated by Crossbow's attempts to wrongfully detain the interests of IVIEIT in the Technology. Such conduct, upon information and belief, was undertaken with the knowledge and assistance of WHEELER and PROSKAUER.
44. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy and acts of PROSKAUER, UTLEY, WHEELER, JOAO and RUBENSTEIN, the Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT III- BREACH OF CONTRACT

45. This is an action for breach of contract within the jurisdiction of this Court.
46. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
47. Defendant, PROSKAUER, breached the contract with Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC by failing to provide services billed for pursuant to the billing statements presented to the Counter Plaintiffs and over-billing for services provided.
48. That such actions on the part of PROSKAUER constitute beaches of the contract by and between IVIEWIT LLC and PROSKAUER.
49. That as a direct and proximate result of such conduct on the part of PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT LLC has been damaged by overpayment to PROSKAUER and the failure of PROSKAUER to perform the contracted for legal services.

WHEREFORE, IVIEWIT demands judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## COUNT IV- TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH AN ADVANTAGEOUS BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP

50. This is an action for tortious interference with an advantageous business relationship within the jurisdiction of this Court.
51. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
52. Counter Plaintiff was engaged in negotiations of technology agreements with both Warner Bros. and AOL/Time Warner as to the possible use of the Technologies of the Counter Plaintiffs and investment in Counter Plaintiffs as a strategic partner.
53. That despite the prior representations of RUBENSTEIN, at a meeting held on or about November 1, 2000, by and between UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN and representatives of Warner Bros. as to the Technology of IVIEWIT and the efficacy, novelty and unique methodology of the Technology, RUBENSTEIN refused to subsequently make the same statements to representatives of AOL and Warner Bros., taking the position that since Warner Bros./AOL is "now a big client of Proskauer, I can't comment on the technologies of Iviewit." or words to that effect in response to inquiry from Warner Brother/AOL's counsel as to the status and condition of the pending patents on the intellectual property.
54. That RUBENSTEIN, having served as an advisor to the Board of Directors for IVIEWIT, was aware of the fact that at the time of the making of the statements set forth in Paragraph 50, above, IVIEWIT was in the midst of negotiations with AOL/Warner Bros. as to the possible funding of the operations of IVIEWIT in and sum of between $\$ 10,000,000.00$ and $\$ 20,000,000.00$.
55. Further, RUBENSTEIN as a partner of PROSKAUER, and despite his clear prior actions in representing the interests of IVIEWIT, refused to answer questions as to the enforcement of the Technology of IVIEWIT, with the intent and knowledge that such refusal would lead to the cessation of the business relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL and other clients familiar with the Warner Bros./AOL technology group then in negotiations with IVIEWIT, including, but not limited to Sony Corporation, Paramount, MGM and Fox.
56. That the actions of RUBENSTEIN were and constituted an intentional and unjustified interference with the relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL designed to harm such relationship and further motivated by the attempts to "cover-up" the conflict of interest in PROSKAUER's representation of both IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL.
57. That indeed, as a direct and proximate result of the conduct of RUBENSTEIN, Warner Bros./AOL ceased business relations with IVIEWIT to the
damage and detriment of Counter Plaintiffs.
WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $10^{\mu}$ day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

September 8, 1999

Mr. Brian G. Utley<br>iviewit LLC<br>c/o Goldstein Lewin<br>1900 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 300-E<br>Boca Raton, FL 33431

Re: Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC

## Dear Brian:

Thank you for the opportunity to represent iviewit LLC in connection with general corporate advice (the "Work") and such other matters as we may undertake on your behalf from time to time. As is our Firm's custom, we are writing to confirm our agreement regarding such representation.

Our fees for services performed will be billed at our regular hourly rates. Currently, these rates range from $\$ 135.00$ to $\$ 385.00$ per hour for all legal services performed by the Firm's attomeys in our Boca Raton office. The hourly rate charged by any particular attorney within the range mentioned depends on such factors as that lawyer's experience, familiarity with the subject matter being worked upon, and such other factors as have been determined by the Firm in establishing the normal hourly rates for its attorneys. Time spent by any legal assistant is currently charged at $\$ 90.00$ per hour.

In addition to the fees described above, you agree to reimburse and pay us for all disbursements made by us, and our customary charges for in-house services in connection with the legal services performed under this agreement, including document reproduction and facsimile charges, computerized legal research, overtime (if required), travel expenses, court filing fees, postage, messenger and overnight courier fees, long-distance telephone charges, document preparation charges, word processing, taxes and miscellaneous expenses.

We anticipate billing you on a monthly basis, with payment of all monies due within 30 days of receipt. We will send you periodic statements setting forth the amount of the fees, disbursements and charges to which we are entitled and the basis for their calculation. Although, as noted above, we will ordinarily bill you monthly for fees, disbursements and charges of the preceding

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Mr. Brian G. Utley
September 8, 1999
Page 2
month, we may occasionally defer billing for a given month (or months) if the accrued fees and costs do not warrant current billing or if other circumstances would make it more convenient to defer billing.

We are waiving a retainer at this time, but we reserve the right to ask for one at any time.
You have the right to discharge us as your counsel in connection with the Work at any time, but such discharge shall not affect our right to be paid all our previously incurred but unpaid fees, and all our previously incurred but unpaid charges and disbursements, in accordance with this letter agreement.

We may from time to time, either at your request or at our own initiative, provide you with an estimate of fees or costs that we reasonably anticipate will be incurred in connection with the Work. It is understood that such estimates, which are predicated on a variety of assumptions, are subject to unforeseen circumstances and are by their nature inexact.

If you agree that the foregoing meets with your approval, please sign and return to me the enclosed copy of this letter as soon as possible.

We very much appreciate the opportunity to represent you in this matter.
Best regards.
Cordially,


Christopher C. Wheeler

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLB

Mr. Brian G. Utley
September 8, 1999
Page 3

I hereby accept the legal representation by Proskauer Rose LLP on the terms and conditions set forth above.
iviewit LLC

By:


Brian G. Utley, President
Dated: $10 / 199$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADPS ROAD
343838
2255 GLADRS ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360 ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC<br>MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE<br>FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 82,235.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 3.080 .54$ |
|  |  |
| TOTAL DUE : | $\$ 85,315.54$ |

TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
$\$ 85,315.54$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
343840
January 31, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTEITTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: HUIZENGA LOAN
FILE #: 40017.0025
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 1,273.75$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 26.25$ |
| TOTAL DUE : | $\$ 1,300.00$ |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
346259
2255 GLADES ROAD
February 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 72,712.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 3,636.90$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 76,349.40$ |

## OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08/24/99 | 327337 | \$98,878.10 | (\$58, 347.55) | \$40,530.55 |
| 09/25/99 | 330767 | \$44,206.08 | \$. 00 | \$44,206.08 |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | \$. 00 | \$42,038.20 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$.00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$.00 | \$85,315.54 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349188
225 GLADES ROAD March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE #: 40017.0002
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL EEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

|  |  |  |  |  | REMAINING |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DATE | INVOICE |  | AMOUNT |  | PAYMENTS |

TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE
$\$ 1,195.00$
$\$ \quad 60.21$
$\$ 1,255.21$
$\$ 20,439.75$
$\$ 1,255.21$
$\$ 21,694.96$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349189
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/G/US
FILE #: 40017.0003
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 37.50$
$\$ 14.30$
$\$ 51.80$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 349190
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ M a r c h ~ 1 5 , ~ 2 0 0 0 ~
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
EILE #: 40017.0008
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 27.50$
$\$ 1.61$
$\$ 29.11$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349191
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE #: 40017.0019
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL EEES:
$\$ 4,032$
OUTSTANDING INVOICES


```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 86,371.06$

## OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE. | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | (\$20,120.62) | \$21,917.58 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$. 00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$.00 | \$76,349.40 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349859
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$118.90
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 118.90$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
349888
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
NATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0004
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$14.43
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 14.43$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 352748.
225 GLADES ROAD April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. EIIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE #: 40017.0002
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 87.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 10.02$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 97.52$ |
| OUTSTANDING INVOICES |  |


| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/20/99 | 334208 | \$223.25 | \$. 00 | \$223.25 |
| 10/20/99 | 829813 | \$900.00 | \$. 00 | \$900.00 |
| 10/20/99 | 829814 | \$2,625.00 | \$. 00 | \$2,625.00 |
| 12/16/99 | 339495 | \$96.60 | \$. 00 | \$96.60 |
| 03/15/00 | 349188 | \$1,255.21 | \$. 00 | \$1,255.21 |
| TOTAL OF | PRIOR OU' | ANDING INV |  | \$5,100.06 |
| TOTAL OF | CURRENT | ICE: |  | \$ 97.52 |
| BALANCE D | UE: |  |  | 55,197.58 |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/9/US
FILE #: 40017.0003
FOR DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD
ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $58.53
TOTAL DUE:
$58.53
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT \\
O3/15/00 & 349189 & PAYMENTS
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular}
```

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352750
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0005
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 588.75$
$\$ \quad 0.40$
$\$ 589.15$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 352751
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0007
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 76.25$
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 76.25$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352752
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Suite 340 West
Soca Raton, FL 33431-7360

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0010
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 76.25$
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 76.25$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352753.
225 GLADES ROAD April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
EILE #: 40017.0019
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/20/99 | 339661 | \$611.94 | \$. 00 | \$611.94 |
| 01/25/00 | 342897 | \$1,000.00 | \$.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 01/25/00 | 342936 | \$881.64 | \$. 00 | \$881.64 |
| 03/15/00 | 349191 | \$4,032.00 | \$. 00 | \$4,032.00 |

TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES:
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
BALANCE DUE:
$\$ 1,842.50$
$\$ \quad 396.00$
$\$ 2,238.50$

| REMAINING |
| ---: |
| BALANCE |
| $\$ 611.94$ |
| $\$ 000.00$ |
| $\$ 881.64$ |
| $\$ 4,032.00$ |

$\$ 6,525.58$
$\$ 2.238 .50$
$\$ 8.764 .08$

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
354153
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
MAITER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 10,872.58$
. OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | (\$28, 525.72) | \$13,512.48 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$. 00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$. 00 | \$76,349.40 |
| 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$86,371.06 | \$.00 | \$86,371.06 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 356497
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/12/99 | 333536 | \$42,038.20 | (\$28, 525.72) | \$13,512.48 |
| 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50,154.10 | \$. 00 | \$50,154.10 |
| 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
| 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$. 00 | \$76,349.40 |
| 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$86,371.06 | \$. 00 | \$86,371.06 |
| 04/30/00 | 354153 | \$10,872.58 | \$.00 | \$10,872.58 |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 356503
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 23.22$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 148.22$
$\because$ OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BALANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 349859 |  |  |  |  |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
360344
2255 GLADES ROAD
June 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING <br> BAIANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 29 / 99$ | 340154 | $\$ 50,154.10$ | $(\$ 6,959.48)$ | $\$ 43,194.62$ |  |
| $01 / 31 / 00$ | 343838 | $\$ 85,315.54$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 85,315.54$ |
| $02 / 17 / 00$ | 346259 | $\$ 76,349.40$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 76,349.40$ |
| $03 / 16 / 00$ | 349378 | $\$ 86,371.06$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 86,371.06$ |
| $04 / 30 / 00$ | 354153 | $\$ 10,872.58$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 10.872 .58$ |
| $05 / 30 / 00$ | 356497 | $\$ 14,823.28$ |  | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 14,823.28$ |

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
363830
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE #: 40017.0002
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLuding disbursements and CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PRELIMINARY TRADEMARK SEARCH AND ANALYSIS FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES

TOTAL FEES: $\$ 400.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 400.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM," INC.
363831
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTTENTION:
MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0027
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR THE PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT.COM

## TOTAL FEES

$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363832
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0030

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30,2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM," INC. 363833
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0031
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$
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```
IVIEWIT.COM,-- INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0034
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEmENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$
— $\$ 975.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM," INC. 363836
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7350
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE #: 40017.0019
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 2,866.25$ $\$ 51.01$
$\$ 2,917.26$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, EL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

363837
July 21, 2000

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0011
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET EORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$1,657.00
$\$ 10.02$

TOTAL DUE:

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

2255 Glades Road

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0008
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 1,385.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 17.03$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 1,402.03$ |

IVIEWIT.COM, -- INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0007
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ .81$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 110.00$
$\$ 110.81$
technologies without being liable to IVIEWIT for royalties normally arising from such use.
41. That PROSKAUER, without either consent of the Board of Directors or proper documentation, transferred securities to Tiedemann/Prolow Investment Group, which entity was also referred by WHEELER, who acted as counsel for such unauthorized transaction.
42. That upon the discovery of the above-described events and conspiracy, IVIEWIT's lead investor, Crossbow Ventures, ceased its funding of IVIEWIT.
43. That Crossbow Ventures, which was a referral of WHEELER, took a security interest in the Technology under the guise of protecting IVIEWIT and its shareholders from the actions of UTLEY, based on the filing of an involuntary bankruptcy (which was later withdrawn), and as to WHEELER and PROSKAUER based on the instant law suit, when in fact such conduct was motivated by Crossbow's attempts to wrongfully detain the interests of IVIEIT in the Technology. Such conduct, upon information and belief, was undertaken with the knowledge and assistance of WHEELER and PROSKAUER.
44. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy and acts of PROSKAUER, UTLEY, WHEELER, JOAO and RUBENSTEIN, the Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged.

IVIEWIT.COM, ${ }^{-7}$ INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

363844
July 21, 2000

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/38/US
FILE #: 40017.0033
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 975.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 975.00$

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363970
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

## TOTAL FEES:

$\$ 1,902.00$
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
$\$ 15.40$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 1,917.40$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 368322
2255 GLADES ROAD
August 22, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0011

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERTOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 PREPARATION AND FILING RECORDS OF CHANGE OF NAME FOR 8 TRADEMARK APPLICATIONS

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
August 24, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
$\$ 398.52$

TOTAL DUE:
\$12,186.02

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| . | DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAIÑING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12/29/99 | 340154 | \$50, 154.10 | (\$25,506.68) | \$24,647.42 |
|  | 01/31/00 | 343838 | \$85,315.54 | \$. 00 | \$85,315.54 |
|  | 02/17/00 | 346259 | \$76,349.40 | \$. 00 | \$76,349.40 |
|  | 03/16/00 | 349378 | \$ $86,371.06$ | \$.00 | \$86,371.06 |
|  | 04/30/00 | 354153 | \$10,872.58 | \$. 00 | \$10,872.58 |
|  | 05/30/00 | 356497 | \$14,823. 28 | \$. 00 | \$14.823.28 |
|  | 06/30/00 | 360344 | \$14,875.71 | \$.00 | \$14,875.71 |
|  | 07/30/00 | 363970 | \$1,917.40 | \$. 00 | \$1,917.40 |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 165.00$

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 165.00$

TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
$\$ 165.00$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 371752
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE #: 40017.0001
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES: | $\$ 6,458.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 263.47$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 6,721.47$ |



```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 371753
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE #: 40017.0026
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
$\$ 470.00$
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: $\$ 36.80$
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 506.80$

```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
```

376560
October 13, 2000

```
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISEURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES : | $\$ 7,807.50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: | $\$ 27.09$ |
| TOTAL DUE : | $\$ 7,834.59$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

380021
November 17, 2000

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE #: 40017.0019
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 825.00$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/25/00 | 342897 | \$1,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 01/25/00 | 342936 | \$881.64 | \$.00 | \$881.64 |
| 03/15/00 | 349191 | \$4,032.00 | \$.00 | \$4.032.00 |
| 04/18/00 | 352753 | \$2,238.50 | \$.00 | \$2,238.50 |
| 07/21/00 | 363836 | \$2,917.26 | \$.00 | \$2.917.26 |
| 09/20/00 | 371505 | \$165.00 | \$.00 | \$165.00 |
| TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES: |  |  |  | \$11,234.40 |
| TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE: |  |  |  | \$825.00 |
| BALANCE DUE: |  |  |  | \$12,059.40 |

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0027

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| TOTAL FEES : | $\$ 90.00$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : | $\$ 12.91$ |
| TOTAL DUE: | $\$ 102.91$ |

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380023
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

```
CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE #: 40017.0034
```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 82.00$
$\$ .92$
$\$ 82.92$

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


```
IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK GENERAL FILE
FILE \#: 40017.0035

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 380025
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 90.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 7.76\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 97.76\)
\end{tabular}
OUTSTANDING INVOICES


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
boca raton, fl 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

381460
November 30, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\$7,547.20
- OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & - REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$45,506.68) & \$4.647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86.371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10.872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$.00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1.917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$24.00
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 10,162.75$

```

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$45.506.68) & \$4.647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86.371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$.00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & \(360344^{\circ}\) & \$14,875.71 & \$.00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1.917.40 & \$.00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6.721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

LESS ADJUSTMENT
\(-1.332 .50\)
\(\$ 17,000.00\)

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 544.77\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 17,544.77\)

IVIEWIT. COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 861.52\)

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & (\$43,470.94) & \$41.844.60 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$.00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$.00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline 12/06/00 & 382111 & \$10,162.75 & \((\$ 4,640.00)\) & \$5,522.75 \\
\hline 01/18/01 & 387122 & \$17,544.77 & (\$4,688.00) & \$12,856.77 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
394765
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28. 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES
\(\$ 73.45\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 7.303 .45\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENIS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85, \(\overline{315.54}\) & \((\$ 4 \overline{3,470.94})\) & \$41.844.60 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76.349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86.371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$.00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$.00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$.00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1.917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$.00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$5,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7.834.59 & \$.00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline 12/06/00 & 382111 & \$10,162.75 & (\$4,640.00) & \$5,522.75 \\
\hline 01/18/01 & 387122 & \$17.544.77 & (\$4.688.00) & \$12,856.77 \\
\hline 02/09/01 & 391231 & \$18,915.27 & \$.00 & \$18,915.27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 395537
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 12, 2001
SUITE }337\mathrm{ WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAI
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CMARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES: \$630.00
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$2.76
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 632.76$

```

\section*{OUTSTANDING INVOICES}

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034
FOR LEGRL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 137.00\)
\(\$ 10.66\)
\(\$ 147.66\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & \\
\hline \(11 / 17 / 00\) & 380023 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIENIT.COM, INC
399272
2255 GLADES ROAD*
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES: \(\$ 137.00\)

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: ..... \(\$ .46\)
TOTAL DUE: ..... \(\$ 137.46\)
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 07/21/00 & 363844 & \$975.00 & \$.00 & \$975.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD-
SUITE 337 NEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

399273
April 6, 2001
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIENIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 120.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 203.91\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 323.91\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 07/21/00 & 363834 & \$975.00 & \$.00 & \$975.00 \\
\hline 11/17/00 & 380025 & \$97.76 & \$.00 & \$97.76 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAU SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
DISEURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\$68.64

```
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 4,950.64\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lllllr}
\hline DATE & & & & & REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 399275

```
2255 GLADES ROAD-
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0010

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 FIXED FEE FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF EXTENSION REQUEST

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 500.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 500.00\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \(04 / 18 / 00\) & 352752 & \(\$ 76.25\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 76.25\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. BRIAN G. UTLEY

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

Mr. Brian G. Utley iviewit.com, Inc. 2255 Glades Road, \#337-W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

\section*{Re: Past-Due Invoices}

\section*{Dear Brian:}

As a follow-up to my letter of April 16,2001 , enclosed please find a list of outstanding invoices due and owing.


Christopher C. Wheeler
cc: Albert W. Gortz
Apr 162001
\(13: 01: 47\)




Apr 162001



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT}

\section*{COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer so as to assert a counterclaim in this matter pursuant to Rule 1.170 (f) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That the Defendants move to amend their answer in this matter so as to include a counterclaim in this matter, which by its nature appears to be a compulsory counterclaim to the extent that the issues arise out of the same nexus of events, as
justice requires that the counterclaim be tried at the same time as the complaint and answer so that all pending issues between the parties may be adjudicated in this action.
2. Defendants have attached hereto a copy of the proposed counterclaim.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this 20\()^{\dagger-}\) day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEXENM. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boa Rato, FL 33431

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend Answer
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission to the above-listed addressees) this

2Bylt day of January, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

\author{
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
}

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:


STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

\title{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
}

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz

\section*{FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET \\ FAX Number: (561) 241-7145}

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 1/28/03 3:45 PM File \# \(\qquad\)

Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 23

\section*{RE: IVIEWIT.COM}

Document(s) Attached: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND/NOTICE OF HEARING

\section*{Comments: NONE}

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_x_Regular Mail __ Federal Express __ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

\section*{HP Fax K1220}

> Log for
> SELZ MUVDI SELZ
> 5618339715
> Jan 282003 3:53pm

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration Pages Result \\
Jan 28 & \(3: 45 \mathrm{pm}\) & Fax Sent & 2417145 & \(7: 24\) & 22 & OK \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration Pages & Result \\
Jan 28 & \(4: 04 \mathrm{pm}\) & Fax Sent & 3645502 & \(1: 16\) & 2 & Error 346* \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* A communication error occurred during the fax transmission. If you're sending, try again and/or call to make sure the recipient's fax machine is ready to receive faxes. If you're receiving, contact the initiator and ask them to send the document again.

\title{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
}

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz

\section*{FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET FAX Number: (561) 364-5502}

Individual \& Firm: ELIOT BERNSTEIN.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 1/28/02 10:30 A.M.
File \# \(\qquad\)
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 22
RE: IVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: REVISED COUNTERCLAIM-NOTICE OF HEARING AND MOTION

Comments: AS DISCUSSED- THIS IS THE FINAL FORM AS REVIEWED WITH YOUR CHANGES

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
__ Regular Mail __ Federal Express ___ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY named above. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages Result \\
Jan 28 & \(4: 17 \mathrm{pm}\) & Fax Sent & 3645502 & \(0: 49\) & 1 & Error \(346^{*}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* A communication error occurred during the fax transmission. If you're sending, try again and/or call to make sure the recipient's fax machine is ready to receive faxes. If you're receiving, contact the initiator and ask them to send the document again.

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages & Result \\
Jan 28 & \(3: 55 \mathrm{pm}\) & Fax Sent & 17738800222 & \(0: 41\) & 3 & OK \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages & Result \\
Jan 28 & 3:40pm & Fax Sent & 17738800222 & \(4: 27\) & 23 & OK
\end{tabular}

Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Jan 282003 4:00pm

\section*{Last Transaction}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Date & Time & Type & Identification & Duration & Pages & Result \\
Jan 28 & \(3: 59 \mathrm{pm}\) & Fax Sent & 17738800222 & \(1: 20\) & 7 & OK \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)
To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

COPY / ORIGINAL RECEIVED FOR FILING

JAN \(2820 ७ 3\)
CLERKOF CIRCUITLEOURT
CRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION CLERK OF CIRCUITCOURT
CIRCUIT CIVIL DIVISION

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend Answer
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission to the above-listed addressee(s) this
\[
112810^{-3}
\]

\(28{ }^{40}\)day of January, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.


FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend Answer
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission to the above-listed addressee(s) this
\[
1 / 28 / 03
\] a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561)
STEVEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for Leave to Amend their Answer so as to assert a counterclaim in this matter pursuant to Rule \(1.170(\mathrm{f})\) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and as grounds therefore would state as follows:
1. That the Defendants move to amend their answer in this matter so as to include a counterclaim in this matter, which by its nature appears to be a compulsory counterclaim to the extent that the issues arise out of the same nexus of events, as
justice requires that the counterclaim be tried at the same time as the complaint and answer so that all pending issues between the parties may be adjudicated in this action.
2. That as a result of fact that additional evidence in support of the Defendants' counterclaims is found in the Plaintiff's own files and records, the Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by the amendment of the Defendants' answer in this matter, nor will this matter be delayed as to the trial of same.
3. Defendants have attached hereto a copy of the proposed counterclaim.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order permitting the Defendants to amend their answer in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(2 B^{\text {th }}\) day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEUEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, a New York limited partnership,

CASE NO.: CA 01-04671 AB
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation and, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants,

\section*{COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES}

COME NOW the Counter Plaintiffs, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT
HOLDINGS, INC., IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and IVIEWIT LLC, hereinafter collectively referred to as "IVIEWIT" or Counter Plaintiffs, and hereby sues Counter Defendant, PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, hereinafter "PROSKAUER", a New York limited partnership, and alleges as follows:

\section*{GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS}
1. This is an action for damages in a sum greater than \(\$ 15,000.00\), exclusive
of interest, taxable costs and attorneys fees.
2. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
3. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and California.
4. Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., is a Delaware corporation, formed by PROSKAUER, which at all times relevant hereto was authorized to conduct and conducted business in Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
5. IVIEWIT LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, formed by PROSKAUER, which, at all times relevant hereto, was authorized to conduct and conducted business in the Palm Beach County Florida and the State of California.
6. Counter Defendant PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP, (hereinafter
"PROSKAUER") is a New York limited partnership, operating a law office in Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.

Boca Raton, Palm Beach County, Florida.
7. BRIAN G. UTLEY, (hereinafter "UTLEY") was at all times relevant hereto a sui juris resident of the State of Florida and who on or about September of 1999 was the president of Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC.
8. CHRISTOPHER WHEELER, (hereinafter "WHEELER") is a sui juris individual and resident of Palm Beach County, Florida, who at all times relevant hereto was a partner of PROSKAUER and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs.
9. KENNETH RUBENSTEIN, (hereinafter "RUBENSTEIN") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who various times relevant hereto was initally misrepresented by WHEELER as a partner of PROSKAUER and later became a partner of PROSKAUER, and who provided legal services to the Counter Plaintiffs both while at Meltzer, Lippie, et al., and PROSKAUER.
10. RAYMONND JOAO, (hereinafter "JOAO") is a sui juris individual believed to be a resident of the State of New York and who at all times relevant hereto was represented to be RUBENSTEIN's associate at PROSKAUER, when in fact JOAO has never been an employee of PROSKAUER but in fact was an employee of Meltzer, Lippie, et al.
11. That beginning on or about November of 1998, the Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT, through it's agent and principal, Eliot I. Bernstein ("Bernstein"), held discussions with WHEELER with regard to PROSKAUER providing legal services to the company involving specific technologies developed by Bernstein and two others, which technologies allowed for:
i) Zooming of digital images and video without degredation to the quality of the digital image due to what is commonly refereed to as "pixilation"; and,
ii) The delivery of digital video using proprietary scaling techniques;
and,
iii) A combination of the image zoom techniques and video scaling techniques described above; and,
iv) The remote control of video cameras through communications networks.
12. That Bernstein engaged the services of PROSKAUER to provide legal services to the company to be formed, including corporate formation and governance for a single entity and to obtain multiple patents and oversee US and foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above, the "Technology", and such
other activities as were necessary to protect the intellectual property represented by the Technology.
13. That at the time of the engagement of PROSKAUER, Bernstein was advised and otherwise led to believe that WHEELER was the PROSKAUER partner in charge of the account.
14. Upon information and belief, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO upon viewing the technologies developed by Bernstein, and held by IVIEWIT, realized the significance of the technologies, its various applications to communication networks for distributing video data and images and for existing digital processes, including, but not limited to digital cameras, digital video disks (DVD), digital imaging technologies for medical purposes and digital video, and that WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO conspired to undertake and in fact undertook a deliberate course of conduct to deprive Bernstein and IVIEWIT of the beneficial use of such technologies for either the use of third parties, who were other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, or for WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO's own financial gain, to the detriment and damage of the Counter Plaintiffs.
15. That WHEELER, who was a close personal friend of UTLEY, recommended to Bernstein and other members of the board of directors of

IVIEWIT that the IVIEWIT engage the services of UTLEY to act as President of the Iviewit.com, LLC based on his knowledge and ability as to technology issues.
16. That at the time that WHEELER made the recommendation of UTLEY to the board of directors, that WHEELER knew that UTLEY was in a dispute with his former employer, Diamond Turf Products and the fact that UTLEY had misappropriated certain patents on hydro-mechanical systems to the detriment of Diamond Turf Products.
17. Additionally, WHEELER was fully aware of the fact that UTLEY was not the highly qualified "engineer" that UTLEY represented himself to be, and that in fact UTLEY lacked real engineering expertise or even an engineering degree and that UTLEY had been fired from Diamond Turf Products due to his misappropriation of patents.
18. That despite such knowledge, WHEELER never mentioned such facts concerning UTLEY to any representative of IVIEWIT and in fact undertook to "sell" UTLEY as a highly qualified candidate who would be the ideal person to undertake day to day operations of IVIEWIT and work on the patents, acting as a qualified engineer.
19. Additionally, WHEELER continued to assist UTLEY in perpetrating such fraud on both the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT and to third parties,
including Wachovia Bank, by approving a false resume for UTLEY to be included in seeking approval of a private placement for IVIEWIT.
20. That based on the recommendations of WHEELER, as partner of PROSKAUER, the board of directors agreed to engage the services of UTLEY as president.
21. That almost immediately after UTLEY's employment and almost one year after initially providing of services, WHEELER provided a retainer agreement for the providing of services by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT LLC, addressed to UTLEY, a true and correct copy of such retainer agreement (the "Retainer") being attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A". That the services provided were in fact to be paid out of the royalties recovered from the use of the Technology, which was to be included in patent pools overseen by RUBENSTEIN.
22. That the Retainer by its terms contemplated the providing of corporate and general legal services to IVIEWIT LLC by PROSKAUER and was endorsed by UTLEY on behalf of IVIEWIT LLC, the Board of Directors of IVIEWIT LLC would not have UTLEY authorized to endorse same as it did not include the intellectual property work which PROSKAUER had already undertaken.
23. That prior to the Retainer, PROSKAUER and WHEELER had provided
legal services to IVIEWIT, including services regarding patent procurement and acted to coordinate such services both internally and with outside counsel, including RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, including times when they were misrepresented as PROSKAUER attorneys.
24. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal services related to corporate, patent, trademark and other work in a sum of approximately \(\$ 800,000.00\).
25. That PROSKAUER billed IVIEWIT for legal service never performed, double-billed by the use of multiple counsel on the same issue, and systematically overcharged for services provided.
26. That summaries of the billing statements provided by PROSKAUER to IVIEWIT are attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "B".
27. That based on the over-billing by PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT paid a sum in of approximately \(\$ 500,000.00\) plus together with a \(2.5 \%\) interest in IVIEWIT, which sums and interest in IVIEWIT was received and accepted by PROSKAUER.
28. That WHEELER, UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER, conspired to deprive IVIEWIT of its rights to the technologies developed by Bernstein by:
a) Transferring patents using Foley \& Lardner so as to name UTLEY as the sole holder of multiple patents in his individual name and capacity when in fact they were and arose from the technologies developed by Bernstein and others and held by IVIEWIT prior to UTLEY's employment with IVIEWIT, and;
b) Upon discovery of the "lapses" by JOAO, that WHEELER and PROSKAUER referred the patent matters to WILLIAM DICK, of Foley \& Lardner, who was also a close personal friend of UTLEY and who had been involved in the diversion of patents to UTLEY at Diamond Turf Products; and,
c) Failing to list proper inventors of the technologies based on improper legal advise that foreign inventors could not be listed until their immigration status was adjusted, resulting in the failure of the patents to include their rightful and lawful inventors and the payment by IVIEWIT for unnecessary immigration work; and,
d) Failing to ensure that the patent applications for the technologies, contained all necessary and pertinent information relevant to the technologies and as required by law; and,
e) Failing to secure trademarks and copyrights and failing to complete trademark and copyright work for the use of proprietary names of IVIEWIT and source code for the Technologies of IVIEWIT as intellectual property, and;
f) Allowing the infringement of patent rights of IVEIWIT and the intellectual property of IVIEWIT by other clients of PROSKAUER and WHEELER, and;
g) Aiding JOAO in filing patents for IVIEWIT intellectual property by intentionally withholding pertinent information from such patents and not filing same timely, so as to allow JOAO to apply for similar patents in his own name, both while acting as counsel for IVIEWIT and subsequently.
29. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of the Counter Defendant, Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum estimated to be greater than \(\$ 10,000,000,000.00\), based on projections by Gerald Stanley, CEO of Real 3-D (a consortium of Lockheed, Silicone Graphics and Intel) as to the value of the technologies and their applications to current and future uses together with the loss of funding from Crossbow Ventures as a result of such conduct.
30. All conditions precedent to the bringing of this action have occurred or have been waived or excused.

\section*{COUNT I- LEGAL MALPRACTICE}
31. This is an action for legal malpractice within the jurisdiction of this court.
32. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
33. PROSKAUER employed by IVIEWIT for purposes of representing IVIEWIT to obtain multiple patents and oversee foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described in Paragraph 11 above.
34. That pursuant to such employment, PROSKAUER owed a duty to ensure that the rights and interests of IVIEWIT were protected.
35. WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER neglected that reasonable duty of care in the performance of legal services in that they:
a) Failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that the intellectual property of IVIEWIT was protected; and,
b) Failed to complete work regarding copyrights and trademarks; and,
c) Engaged in unnecessary and duplicate corporate and other work resulting in billing for unnecessary legal services believed to be in excess of \(\$ 400,000.00\); and,
d) By redacting information from the billing statements regarding services provided so to as to give the appearance that the services provided by PROSKAUER were limited in nature, when in fact they involved various aspects of intellectual property protection; and,
e) By knowingly representing and agreeing to accept representation of
clients in conflict with the interests of IVIEWIT, without either consent or waiver by IVIEWIT.
36. That the negligent actions of PROSKAUER and its partners, WHEELER and RUBENSTEIN, resulted in and was the proximate cause of loss to IVIEWIT.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiff demands judgement for damages against Defendant together with reasonable attorneys fees, court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

\section*{COUNT II- CIVIL CONSPIRACY}
37. This is an action for civil conspiracy within the jurisdiction of this court.
38. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
39. Defendant, PROSKAUER and UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN and JOAO, jointly conspired to deprive the Counter Plaintiffs of their rights and interest in the Technology.
40. That UTLEY, WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER with such intent, directed that certain patent rights be put in the name of UTLEY and/or that such patent rights were modified or negligently pursued so as to fail to provide protection of the intellectual property, resulting in the ability of other clients of WHEELER, RUBENSTEIN, JOAO and PROSKAUER to make use of such
technologies without being liable to IVIEWIT for royalties normally arising from such use.
41. That PROSKAUER, without either consent of the Board of Directors or proper documentation, transferred securities to Tiedemann/Prolow Investment Group, which entity was also referred by WHEELER, who acted as counsel for such unauthorized transaction.
42. That upon the discovery of the above-described events and conspiracy, IVIEWIT's lead investor, Crossbow Ventures, ceased its funding of IVIEWIT.
43. That Crossbow Ventures, which was a referral of WHEELER, took a security interest in the Technology under the guise of protecting IVIEWIT and its shareholders from the actions of UTLEY, based on the filing of an involuntary bankruptcy (which was later withdrawn), and as to WHEELER and PROSKAUER based on the instant law suit, when in fact such conduct was motivated by Crossbow's attempts to wrongfully detain the interests of IVIEIT in the Technology. Such conduct, upon information and belief, was undertaken with the knowledge and assistance of WHEELER and PROSKAUER.
44. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy and acts of PROSKAUER, UTLEY, WHEELER, JOAO and RUBENSTEIN, the Counter Plaintiffs have been damaged.

WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

\section*{COUNT III- BREACH OF CONTRACT}
45. This is an action for breach of contract within the jurisdiction of this Court.
46. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
47. Defendant, PROSKAUER, breached the contract with Counter Plaintiff, IVIEWIT LLC by failing to provide services billed for pursuant to the billing statements presented to the Counter Plaintiffs and over-billing for services provided.
48. That such actions on the part of PROSKAUER constitute beaches of the contract by and between IVIEWIT LLC and PROSKAUER.
49. That as a direct and proximate result of such conduct on the part of PROSKAUER, IVIEWIT LLC has been damaged by overpayment to PROSKAUER and the failure of PROSKAUER to perform the contracted for legal services.

WHEREFORE, IVIEWIT demands judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

\section*{COUNT IV- TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH AN ADVANTAGEOUS BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP}
50. This is an action for tortious interference with an advantageous business relationship within the jurisdiction of this Court.
51. Counter Plaintiff re-alleges and hereby incorporates that allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully set forth herein.
52. Counter Plaintiff was engaged in negotiations of technology agreements with both Warner Bros. and AOL/Time Warner as to the possible use of the Technologies of the Counter Plaintiffs and investment in Counter Plaintiffs as a strategic partner.
53. That despite the prior representations of RUBENSTEIN, at a meeting held on or about November 1, 2000, by and between UTLEY, RUBENSTEIN and representatives of Warner Bros. as to the Technology of IVIEWIT and the efficacy, novelty and unique methodology of the Technology, RUBENSTEIN refused to subsequently make the same statements to representatives of AOL and Warner Bros., taking the position that since Warner Bros./AOL is "now a big client of Proskauer, I can't comment on the technologies of Iviewit." or words to that effect in response to inquiry from Warner Brother/AOL's counsel as to the status and condition of the pending patents on the intellectual property.
54. That RUBENSTEIN, having served as an advisor to the Board of Directors for IVIEWIT, was aware of the fact that at the time of the making of the statements set forth in Paragraph 50, above, IVIEWIT was in the midst of negotiations with AOL/Warner Bros. as to the possible funding of the operations of IVIEWIT in and sum of between \(\$ 10,000,000.00\) and \(\$ 20,000,000.00\).
55. Further, RUBENSTEIN as a partner of PROSKAUER, and despite his clear prior actions in representing the interests of IVIEWIT, refused to answer questions as to the enforcement of the Technology of IVIEWIT, with the intent and knowledge that such refusal would lead to the cessation of the business relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL and other clients familiar with the Warner Bros./AOL technology group then in negotiations with IVIEWIT, including, but not limited to Sony Corporation, Paramount, MGM and Fox.
56. That the actions of RUBENSTEIN were and constituted an intentional and unjustified interference with the relationship by and between IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL designed to harm such relationship and further motivated by the attempts to "cover-up" the conflict of interest in PROSKAUER's representation of both IVIEWIT and Warner Bros./AOL.
57. That indeed, as a direct and proximate result of the conduct of RUBENSTEIN, Warner Bros./AOL ceased business relations with IVIEWIT to the
damage and detriment of Counter Plaintiffs.
WHEREFORE, Counter Plaintiffs demand judgement for damages against Counter Defendant together with court costs, interest and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by:U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(18^{\mu}\) day of January, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:


STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

September 8, 1999

\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utley \\ iviewit LLC \\ c/o Goldstein Lewin \\ 1900 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 300-E \\ Boca Raton, FL 33431
}

Re: Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC
Dear Brian:

Thank you for the opportunity to represent iviewit LLC in connection with general corporate advice (the "Work") and such other matters as we may undertake on your behalf from time to time. As is our Firm's custom, we are writing to confirm our agreement regarding such representation.

Our fees for services performed will be billed at our regular hourly rates. Currently, these rates range from \(\$ 135.00\) to \(\$ 385.00\) per hour for all legal services performed by the Firm's attomeys in our Boca Raton office. The hourly rate charged by any particular attorney within the range mentioned depends on such factors as that lawyer's experience, familiarity with the subject matter being worked upon, and such other factors as have been determined by the Firm in establishing the normal hourly rates for its attorneys. Time spent by any legal assistant is currently charged at \(\$ 90.00\) per hour.

In addition to the fees described above, you agree to reimburse and pay us for all disbursements made by us, and our customary charges for in-house services in connection with the legal services performed under this agreement, including document reproduction and facsimile charges, computerized legal research, overtime (if required), travel expenses, court filing fees, postage, messenger and overnight courier fees, long-distance telephone charges, document preparation charges, word processing, taxes and miscellaneous expenses.

We anticipate billing you on a monthly basis, with payment of all monies due within 30 days of receipt. We will send you periodic statements setting forth the amount of the fees, disbursements and charges to which we are entitled and the basis for their calculation. Although, as noted above, we will ordinarily bill you monthly for fees, disbursements and charges of the preceding

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

\author{
Mr. Brian G. Utley \\ September 8, 1999 \\ Page 2
}
month, we may occasionally defer billing for a given month (or months) if the accrued fees and costs do not warrant current billing or if other circumstances would make it more convenient to defer billing.

We are waiving a retainer at this time, but we reserve the right to ask for one at any time.
You have the right to discharge us as your counsel in connection with the Work at any time, but such discharge shall not affect our right to be paid all our previously incurred but unpaid fees, and all our previously incurred but unpaid charges and disbursements, in accordance with this letter agreement.

We may from time to time, either at your request or at our own initiative, provide you with an estimate of fees or costs that we reasonably anticipate will be incurred in connection with the Work. It is understood that such estimates, which are predicated on a variety of assumptions, are subject to unforeseen circumstances and are by their nature inexact.

If you agree that the foregoing meets with your approval, please sign and return to me the enclosed copy of this letter as soon as possible.

We very much appreciate the opportunity to represent you in this matter.
Best regards.
Cordially,


Christopher C. Wheeler

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLD}

\section*{Mr. Brian G. Utley}

September 8, 1999
Page 3

I hereby accept the legal representation by Proskauer Rose LLP on the terms and conditions set forth above.
iviewit LLC


Dated: 1018199
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 343838
2255 GLADRS ROAD
January 31, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 82,235.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 3,080.54\) \\
TOTAL DUE : & \(\$ 85,315.54\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 343840
2255 GLADES ROAD
January 31, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTEITTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: HUIZENGA LOAN
FILE \#: 40017.0025

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 1,273.75\)
\$26.25

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 1,300.00\)
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES: \(\quad \$ 72,712.50\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 3,636.90\)
TOTAL DUE:
    \(\$ 76,349.40\)
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{l}
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 08/24/99 & 327337 & \$98.878.10 & (\$58,347.55) & \$40,530.55 \\
\hline 09/25/99 & 330767 & \$44,206.08 & \$. 00 & \$44,206.08 \\
\hline 10/12/99 & 333536 & \$42,038.20 & \$. 00 & \$42,038.20 \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & \$. 00 & \$50,154.10 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349188
2255 GLADES ROAD March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL EEES: \(\quad \$ 1,195.00\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$ 60.21
TOTAL: \(\$ 1,255.21\)
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & BAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 06/30/99 & 826370 & \$11,250.00 & \$. 00 & \$11,250.00 \\
\hline 06/30/99 & 826371 & \$2,700.00 & \$. 00 & \$ 2,700.00 \\
\hline 06/30/99 & 826372 & \$2,644.90 & \$. 00 & \$ 2,644.90 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 334208 & \$223.25 & \$. 00 & \$ 223.25 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829813 & \$900.00 & \$. 00 & \$ 900.00 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829814 & \$2,625.00 & \$. 00 & \$ 2,625.00 \\
\hline 12/16/99 & 339495 & \$96.60 & \$. 00 & \$ 96.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES \(\$ 20,439.75\)
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE \(\$ 1,255.21\)
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE
\(\$ 20,439.75\)
\$ 1,255,21
\(\$ 21,694.96\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
349189
March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

```
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/g/US
FILE \#: 40017.0003
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCIUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET EORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES:
\$37.50
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$14.30
TOTAL DUE:
\$51.80

```
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.. 349190
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 15, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0008

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET EORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL EEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 27.50\)
\(\$ 1.61\)
\(\$ 29.11\)
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 4.032\)

QUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & RAYMENTS & BALANCE \\
\hline 12/20/99 & 339661 & \$611.94 & \$. 00 & \$ 611.94 \\
\hline 01/25/00 & 342897 & \$1,000.00 & \$. 00 & \$ \(1,000.00\) \\
\hline 01/25/00 & 342936 & \$881.64 & \$. 00 & \$ 881.64 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES} & \$2,493.58 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE} & \$4,032.00 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{TOTAL AMOUNT DUE} & \$6.525.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD

```

349378
March 16, 2000
```

BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 81,993.75\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 4,377.31\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 86,371.06\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & FAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& - & & & \\
\hline \(10 / 12 / 99\) & 333536 & \(\$ 42,038.20\) & \((\$ 20,120.62)\) & \(\$ 21,917.58\) \\
\(12 / 29 / 99\) & 340154 & \(\$ 50,154.10\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 50,154.10\) \\
\(01 / 31 / 00\) & 343838 & \(\$ 85,315.54\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 85,315.54\) \\
\(02 / 17 / 00\) & 346259 & \(\$ 76,349.40\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 76,349.40\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349859
2255 GLADES ROAD
March 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE \#: 40017.0026

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 118.90\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 118.90\)

TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
\(\$ 118.90\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 349888
2255 GLADES ROAD March 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0004
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$14.43
TOTAL DUE:
\$14.43

```
TOTAL OF CURRENT INVOICE:
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.. . 352748.
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

```

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
TOTAL DUE:
OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 334208 & \$223.25 & \$. 00 & \$223.25 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829813 & \$900.00 & \$. 00 & \$900.00 \\
\hline 10/20/99 & 829814 & \$2,625.00 & \$. 00 & \$2,625.00 \\
\hline 12/16/99 & 339495 & \$96.60 & \$. 00 & \$96.60 \\
\hline 03/15/00 & 349188 & \$1,255.21 & \$. 00 & \$1,255.21 \\
\hline TOTAL OE & PRIOR OU' & NDING INV & & \$5,100.06 \\
\hline TOTAL OE & CURRENT & ICE: & & \$ 97.52 \\
\hline BALANCE & UE: & & & \$5,197.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.. 352749
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l}
2255 Glades Road \\
Suite 340 West \\
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360 Employer Identiflation No. 13 -1840454
\end{tabular}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/9/US
FILE \#: 40017.0003

```
```

FOR DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD
ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$58.53
TOTAL DUE:
\$58.53

```
OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. . 3.52750
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
2255 Glades Road \\
Suite 340 West \\
Boca Raton, FL \(33431-7360 \quad\) Employer Identillcation No. \(13-1840454\)
\end{tabular}
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: THE CLICK HEARD AROUND THE WORLD/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0005

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 588.75\)
\(\$ \quad 0.40\)
\(\$ 589.15\)
IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352751

2255 Glades Road
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0007

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 76.25\)
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 76.25\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 352752
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 18, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0010

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 76.25\)
\(\$ 76.25\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

352753
April 18, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, }200
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

| DATE | INVOICE | AMOUNT | PAYMENTS | REMAINING BALANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/20/99 | 339661 | \$611.94 | \$. 00 | \$611.94 |
| 01/25/00 | 342897 | \$1,000.00 | \$. 00 | \$1,000.00 |
| 01/25/00 | 342936 | \$881.64 | \$. 00 | \$881.64 |
| 03/15/00 | 349191 | \$4,032.00 | \$. 00 | \$4,032.00 |
| TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES: |  |  |  | \$6,525.58 |
| TOTAL OE CURRENT INVOICE: |  |  |  | \$2,238.50 |
| BALANCE DUE: |  |  |  | \$8,764.08 |

```

TOTAL EEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\(\qquad\)

TOTAL OF PRIOR OUTSTANDING INVOICES:
TOTAL OE CURRENT INVOICE:
BALANCE DUE:
\$1,842.50
\(\$ \quad 396.00\)
\(\$ 2,238.50\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
354153
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 430.08\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 10,872.58\)
? OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(10 / 12 / 99\) & 333536 & \(\$ 42,038.20\) & & \((\$ 28,525.72)\) & \(\$ 13,512.48\) \\
\(12 / 29 / 99\) & 340154 & \(\$ 50,154.10\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 50,154.10\) \\
\(01 / 31 / 00\) & 343838 & \(\$ 85,315.54\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 85,315.54\) \\
\(02 / 17 / 00\) & 346259 & \(\$ 76,349.40\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 76.349 .40\) \\
\(03 / 16 / 00\) & 349378 & \(\$ 86,371.06\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 86,371.06\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 356497
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 347.03\)

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 10/12/99 & 333536 & \$42,038.20 & (\$28, 525.72) & \$13,512.48 \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & \$. 00 & \$50,154.10 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85, 315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85, 315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86.371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 356503
2255 GLADES ROAD
May 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE \#: 40017.0026

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED APRIL 30,2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 125.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 23.22\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 148.22\)
\end{tabular}
. OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lccccr}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(03 / 21 / 00\) & 349859 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
360344
2255 GLADES ROAD
June 30, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 551.21\)
TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 14,875.71\)

\section*{OUTSTANDING INVOICES}

```

IVIEWIT.COM; INC.

```

363830
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK SEARCHES
FILE \#: 40017.0002

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLuding dISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PRELIMINARY TRADEMARK SEARCH AND ANALYSIS FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 400.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 400.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, '` INC. . 363831
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION:
MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0027

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR THE PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT.COM

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 353832
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0030

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND charges incurred for the period ended june 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM,* INC.
363833
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIENIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: A SITE FOR SORE EYES/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0031

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR A SITE FOR SORE EYES CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```
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IVIEWIT.COM,-- INC. 363835
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 42

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM,- INC. 363836
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 2,866.25\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 51.01\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 2,917.26\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
363837
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
-
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
EILE \#: 40017.0011

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL EEES: & \(\$ 1,657.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 10.02\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 1,667.02\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
363840
JulY 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Employer Identification No. 13-1840454
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0008

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES: \(\$ 1,385.00\)

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 17.03\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 1,402.03\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363841
2255 GLLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0007

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 110.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ .81\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 110.81\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM,- INC.
363844
2255 GLADES ROAD
July 21, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT. COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000
FOR PREPARATION AND FILING FOR NEW TRADEMARK APPLICATION
FOR IVIEWIT LOGO IN CLASS 38

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 975.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 975.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 363970
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 1,902.00\)
\(\$ 15.40\)
\(\$ 1,917.40\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0011

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 PREPARATION AND FILING RECORDS OF CHANGE OF NAME FOR 8 TRADEMARK APPLICATIONS

TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 650.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JULY 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 371505
2 2 5 5 ~ G L A D E S ~ R O A D ~ S e p t e m b e r ~ 2 0 , ~ 2 0 0 0
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES
\(\$ 165.00\)

TOTAL DUE
\(\$ 165.00\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
371752
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
TOTAL FEES:
\(\$ 6,458.00\)
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
    \(\$ 263.47\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 6,721.47\)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \varepsilon \cdot \nabla 5 \varepsilon z \tau \\
& 19.98 \tau
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon 9^{\circ} 90 \text { ILSE } \\
& 00.569 \mathrm{I}
\end{aligned}
\] & T0／TT／40 & LOL CNEXS & TTIE \\
\hline 88＊L & てT「てくも & T0／90／50 & 5LZ66を & TIIE \\
\hline ＋9．89 & 00＊288\％ & 20／90／50 & －Lて66E & TTIE \\
\hline โ6．غ0\％ & 00\％0zt & 10／90／80 & とLZ66を & TIIE \\
\hline \(96^{\circ}\) & 00＊Lを & 20／90／50 & てLZ66E & TIIE \\
\hline 99．07 & 00＊LET & T0／90／50 & TLて66E & T7IE \\
\hline \(9 L \cdot \tau\) & 00＊0e9 & ๘0／てт／モ0 & LESS6E & TIIE \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 00^{\circ} \\
& S t^{\circ} \varepsilon L-
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SF• } 50 \varepsilon \\
& \text { SS. } 9 \text { Z69- }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\tau 0 / 8 z / \varepsilon 0
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { WIOLENS- } \\
\text { T96I }
\end{gathered}
\] & צExVd \\
\hline SISOS & Sgise & guya & 8gawns & gdx． \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{－SNI＇WOJ＇IIMGIAI} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{LTOOD ：JNEAITD} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
371753
2255 GLADES ROAD
September 20, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: ALPINE VENTURE CAPITAL PARTNERS DUE DILIGENCE
FILE \#: 40017.0026

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED AUGUST 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES : & \(\$ 470.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ 36.80\) \\
TOTAL DUE : & \(\$ 506.80\)
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```

376560
October 13, 2000
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
```

TOTAL FEES: \$7,807.50
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \$27.09
TOTAL DUE:
$\$ 7,834.59$

```

\section*{OUTSTANDING INVOICES}


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
380021
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 825.00\)
Boca Raton, FL \(33431-7360\)
Emplayer Identitication Ne. 13-1840454
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 380022
2255 GLADES ROAD
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT.COM/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0027

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 12.91\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 102.91\)

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
380024
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK GENERAL FILE
FILE \#: 40017.0035

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000

AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 82.00\)
\(\$ 82.00\)
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
380025
November 17, 2000
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
2255 Glades Road
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```
FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND
CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 97.76\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES


IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 7.466 .25\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ 80.95\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 7.547 .20\)
\end{tabular}
- OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & - REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & \((\$ 45,506.68)\) & \$4,647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$.00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$.00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \(\$ .00\) & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$.00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12, 186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7.834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT. COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

382111
December 6, 2000

AITENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSIEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 10,138.75\)
\(\$ 24.00\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 10,162.75\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 12/29/99 & 340154 & \$50,154.10 & (\$45,506.68) & \$4,647.42 \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & \$. 00 & \$85,315.54 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76,349.40 & \$. 00 & \$76.349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$. 00 & \$86,371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10,872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823,28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$.00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$.00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
387122
2255 GLADES ROAD
January 18, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

```
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

LESS ADJUSTMENT
\(\$ 18,332.50\)
\(-1.332 .50\)
\(\$ 17,000.00\)
\(\$ 544.77\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 17.544 .77\)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

391231
February 9, 2001

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\[
\$ 18,053.75
\]
\(\$ 861.52\)
\(\$ 18,915.27\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & REMAINING BALANCE \\
\hline 01/31/00 & 343838 & \$85,315.54 & (\$43,470.94) & \$41,844.60 \\
\hline 02/17/00 & 346259 & \$76.349.40 & \$.00 & \$76,349.40 \\
\hline 03/16/00 & 349378 & \$86,371.06 & \$.00 & \$86.371.06 \\
\hline 04/30/00 & 354153 & \$10,872.58 & \$. 00 & \$10.872.58 \\
\hline 05/30/00 & 356497 & \$14,823.28 & \$. 00 & \$14,823.28 \\
\hline 06/30/00 & 360344 & \$14,875.71 & \$. 00 & \$14,875.71 \\
\hline 07/30/00 & 363970 & \$1,917.40 & \$. 00 & \$1,917.40 \\
\hline 08/24/00 & 368777 & \$12,186.02 & \$. 00 & \$12,186.02 \\
\hline 09/20/00 & 371752 & \$6,721.47 & \$. 00 & \$6,721.47 \\
\hline 10/13/00 & 376560 & \$7,834.59 & \$. 00 & \$7,834.59 \\
\hline 11/30/00 & 381460 & \$7,547.20 & \$. 00 & \$7,547.20 \\
\hline 12/06/00 & 382111 & \$10,162.75 & (\$4.640.00) & \$5,522.75 \\
\hline 01/18/01 & 387122 & \$17,544.77 & (\$4,688.00) & \$12,856.77 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIENIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
394765

SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA KATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISEURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:
\(\$ 73.45\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 7,303.45\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL \(33431-7360\)
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
NATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

EOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED EEBRUARY 28, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 630.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 2.76\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 632.76\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES

```

IVIEWIT.COM, INC. 399271

```
2255 GLADES ROAD
April 6, 2001
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT LOGO/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0034

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 32, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: \(\$ 10.66\)

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 147.66\)

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \(07 / 21 / 00\) & 363835 & \(\$ 975.00\) & & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 975.00\) \\
\(11 / 17 / 00\) & 380023 & \(\$ 82.92\) & \(\$ .00\) & \(\$ 82.92\)
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIENIT LOGO/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0033

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES: & \(\$ 137.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES : & \(\$ .46\) \\
TOTAL DUE: & \(\$ 137.46\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(07 / 21 / 00\) & 363844 & \(\$ 975.00\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD \({ }^{-}\)
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

399273
April 6, 2001

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/42/US
FILE \#: 40017.0032

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL FEES : & \(\$ 120.00\) \\
DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES: & \(\$ 203.91\) \\
DUE: & \(\$ 323.91\)
\end{tabular}

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
& & & & \\
\hline \(11 / 17 / 00\) & 380025 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD-
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

399274
April 6, 2001

2255 Glades Road Sulte 340 West
```

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: TRADEMARK/GENERAL
FILE \#: 40017.0019

```

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001
AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
\(\$ 4,950.64\)

\section*{OUTSTANDING INVOICES}


IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD \({ }^{-}\)
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. ELIOT I. BERNSTEIN

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: IVIEWIT/38/US
FILE \#: 40017.0010

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 FIXED FEE FOR PREPARATION AND FILING OF EXTENSION REQUEST

TOTAL FEES:

TOTAL DUE:

OUTSTANDING INVOICES
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
DATE & INVOICE & AMOUNT & PAYMENTS & \begin{tabular}{r} 
REMAINING \\
BALANCE
\end{tabular} \\
- & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
2255 GLADES ROAD
SUITE 337 WEST
BOCA RATON, FL 33431-7360
ATTENTION: MR. BRIAN G. UTLEY

400158
April 11, 2001

CLIENT NAME: IVIEWIT.COM, INC.
MATTER NAME: GENERAL CORPORATE ADVICE
FILE \#: 40017.0001

FOR LEGAL SERVICES RENDERED INCLUDING DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 AS SET FORTH IN THE ATTACHED PRINTOUT

TOTAL FEES:

DISBURSEMENTS AND CHARGES:

TOTAL DUE:
OUTSTANDING INVOICES


\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER CHANGING THE DATE OF THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Proskauer's Motion to Compel Mediation and the Deposition of the Defendant's Corporate Representative. After being advised of an agreement of counsel and after being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the date for the taking of the deposition of Elliot Bernstein, the Defendants' corporate representative, as set in this Court's prior Agreed Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Mediation and the Deposition of the Defendants' Corporate Representative, is hereby changed to January 31, 2002 at 10:00 am. at the offices of Plaintiff's counsel. All other provisions of the aforementioned Agreed Order remain in full force
and effect.
DONE and ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of January, 2003.

\title{
SIGNED ANO DATED
}

Copies furnished to:
Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

> Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List
DATE: Wednesday, February 5, 2003
TIME: 8:45 arm.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(23^{2 d}\) day of January, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this \(23^{\text {rtay }}\) an January, 2003, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, VIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING}

\section*{Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Third Notice of Defendants' Noncompliance in Preparing Joint Pretrial Stipulation, Motion for Leave to File A Unilateral Pretrial Statement and Motion for Attorney's Fees

DaTE: Thursday, January 23, 2003
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

6143/60145-255 BRLIE1/354488 vi


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AGREED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO COMPEL MEDIATION AND THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANT'S CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on Proskauer's Motion to Compel Mediation and the Deposition of the Defendant's Corporate Representative, and the Court after being advised of an agreement of the parties and counsel and after being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Motion be and the same is hereby GRANTED as follows:
1. The parties and counsel shall attend a mediation conference on January 29, 2003 at 8:00 a.m. at Alternative Resolution Consultants, 777 S. Flagler Drive, Suite 800, West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401. David E. Horvath, Esquire, of Alternative Resolution Consultants, is hereby appointed Mediator in this matter.
2. Elliot Bernstein, the Defendants' corporate representative, shall appear for the taking of his deposition on January 28, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Plaintiff's counsel.
\[
112 \mathrm{kes}
\]

Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB

DONE and ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of January, 2003.

\title{
SAN 2 ? 2003 \\ Honorable Jorge Labaryde newne Letmer \\ Circuit Court Judge
}

Copies furnished to:
Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF MEDIATION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Mediation Conference in the above-styled matter is set for Wednesday, January 29, 2003 at 8:00 a.m. at Alternative Resolution Consultants, 777 S . Flagler Drive, Suite 800, West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401. The agreed-upon mediator is David E. Horvath, Esquire, of Alternative Resolution Consultants.
\[
11103
\]

This \(\qquad\) day of January, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Bock Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(15^{\text {Til }}\) day of January, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
cc: David E. Horvath, Esq. (via facsimile)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (Jan. 28, 2003).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:

None.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
h. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
i. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" total \(\$ 369,460.97\);
j. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" have not been paid.
k. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;
1. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of \(\$ 369,460.97\);
m. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
n. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
o. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
p. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is \(\$ 369,460.97\);
q. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive authorization from the Defendants to perform; and
r. Whether the services provided by Proskauer benefited the named Defendants or, in the alternative, benefited third parties not parties to this action.
4. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Plaintiff contends that the following is also a disputed issue of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105 , Florida Statutes.
5. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Defendants contend that the following are also disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether the Defendants assumed the obligations of any other party to Proskauer for legal fees; and
b. Whether the payment to Proskauer by the Defendants was contingent on the funding of loans or other financing to the Defendants from third parties.
6. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
7. The trial will last approximately 2-4 days.
8. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

\section*{For the Plaintiff:}

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
9. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Automeys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241 -7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusasici
Florida Bar No. 121525
Dared: Jan. 142003.

SELZ \& MUNDI SELZ, P.A.
Allomeys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409


Stever M. Selz
Florida Bar No. 777420

Dated: Jan. 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v .
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31,2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.

17, 2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
10. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18, 2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352751 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352753 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 30, 2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30, 2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30, 2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated July

21, 2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July

21, 2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363970 and itemized billing statement dated July 30, 2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22, 2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24,2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement dated Oct.

13,2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380021 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov.

17, 2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30,2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec.

6,2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 18, 2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 9, 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \(\# 394765\) and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 6,2001
49. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#395537 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

12, 2001
50. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399271 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
51. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399272 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
52. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399273 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
53. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399274 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
54. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399275 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
55. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#400158 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 11,2001
56. Proskauer Rose LLP list of "Open Invoices" for Iviewit
57. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Sept. 8, 1999 ("Re. Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC")
58. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 12, 1999 ("Re. Additional Payment")
59. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 25, 1999 ("Re. Reminder Regarding Additional Payment")
60. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Feb. 29, 2000
61. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 9, 2000
62. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 24, 2000
63. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 31, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
64. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 10, 2000
65. Memorandum from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated Apr. 11, 2000 ("Re. Payment Plan")
66. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 19, 2000
67. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated May 15, 2000
68. Letter from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated May 30, 2000
69. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Dec. 29, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
70. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 4, 2001
71. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 18, 2001 ("Re. January 15 Payment Towards Past-Due Amounts")
72. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 28, 2001 (4 pages)
73. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 (2 pages) ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
74. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 with 3page list of open invoices ("Re. Past-Due Invoices")
-5. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 27, 2001 (3 pages) ("Re. Retainer and Past-Due Accounts)
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000, with subparts 1 through 17 .
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15 .
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document \#287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554).
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document \#273367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document \#256413).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley, including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. All rebuttal exhibits.
108. All impeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blvd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. \(9^{\text {th }}\) Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Seiz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case, including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


\author{
Joseph R. COOK, EsQ.
}

EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974 Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon

Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society
Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

\section*{EMPLOYMENT:}

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.

\section*{PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS:}

Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

\section*{PUBLICATIONS:}

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing. Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis. Part V. appearing in the Albany Law Review, 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House.

\section*{SPECIAL INTERESTS:}

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms, lenders and institutions throughout the country.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST}

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the
Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

\section*{Witnesses:}
1. Raymond Joao- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

EXHIBIT 3
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

\section*{Exhibits:}
1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this 3 day of September, 2002.


FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, \(\operatorname{NNC}\)., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Seize, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Mediation and the Deposition of the Defendant's Corporate Representative

DATE: Wednesday, January 15, 2003
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance: if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This
 day of January, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLD 2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West Roca Ration, Florida 33431 Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this day of January, 2003, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S THIRD NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' NONCOMPLIANCE IN \\ PREPARING JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:
1. In September, 2002, counsel for Proskauer had sent counsel for the Defendants a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation ("Proposed Stipulation") for review and comment. However, at that time, counsel for the Defendants failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer in any way regarding the Proposed Stipulation. As a result, counsel for Proskauer had no choice but to file a Notice of Noncompliance and request that the Court allow Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement on two prior occasions when the Joint Pretrial Stipulation was due to be filed.


Copies of the two prior Notices of Noncompliance are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B," respectively.
2. This Court then entered an Order on December 16, 2002 requiring the parties to file a Joint Pretrial Stipulation no later than January 6, 2003. A copy of the December 16, 2002 Order is attached as Exhibit "C."
3. Counsel for Proskauer sent several letters to counsel for the Defendants during the month of December requesting that counsel for the Defendants return any comments that he has to the Proposed Stipulation sent in September. Copies of the letters are attached as Exhibit "D."
4. Counsel for the Defendants finally, for the first time, faxed his proposed changes to the Proposed Stipulation to counsel for Proskauer on the afternoon of January 3, 2003 -- the day before the Joint Pretrial Stipulation was due to be filed. A copy of counsel for the Defendants' fax containing his comments to the Proposed Stipulation is attached as Exhibit "E."
5. Counsel for Proskauer incorporated the changes as suggested by counsel for the Defendants and faxed the Proposed Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants for his signature on the morning of January 6, 2003 with a letter requesting that counsel execute the Proposed Stipulation and return it so that it could be filed before the office of the Clerk of the Court closes.
6. Counsel for the Defendants finally faxed a letter at 3:16 p.m. on January 6, 2003 stating that he does not agree to the changes made to the Proposed Stipulation by counsel for Proskauer. A copy of the letter is attached as Exhibit "F." Counsel for Proskauer called the office of counsel for the Defendants on the afternoon of January 6, 2003 but there was no answer.
7. Because counsel for the Defendants chose to wait almost four months (until the day before the Joint Pretrial Stipulation was due) to participate in the preparation of the
stipulation, and despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "G."

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "G," award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(6^{\text {th }}\) day of January, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on January \(\underline{6}^{+h}, 2003\), a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL, 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' NONCOMPLIANCE IN
PREPARING JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in itssefforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:
1. By Agreed Order dated July 18, 2002, the Pretrial Stipulation was to be filed in this matter no later than September 24, 2002.
2. Proskauer prepared and faxed a proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants on September 19, 2002 with a cover letter requesting counsel's cooperation in reviewing and proposing any comments or changes to the proposed stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's September 19, 2002 letter and attached proposed Pretrial Stipulation is attached hereto as Exhibit " 1. "
3. Subsequent to sending the proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants, counsel for Proskauer sent counsel for the Defendants two letters and left several telephone messages, again requesting the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants so that the Pretrial Stipulation could be filed on time. Copies of the letters dated September 20, 2002 and September 23, 2002 are attached hereto as Exhibits " 2 " and " 3 ," respectively. In fact, counsel for Proskauer was able to reach counsel for the Defendants on September 23, 2002, and counsel for the Defendants represented that he would call counsel for Proskauer back to discuss the proposed Pretrial Stipulation. He did not do so.
4. Counsel for the Defendants has failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise cooperate in any way in participating in the preparation of the Pretrial Stipulation.
5. Despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit " 4 ."

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit " 4 ," award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 24 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561)241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 24, 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.
\(\qquad\) , 1

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attomey's fees incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:
1. By Order dated October 2, 2002, the Pretrial Stipulation was to be filed in this matter no later than November 20, 2002. A copy of this Court's Order dated October 2, 2002 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
2. Proskauer prepared and faxed a proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants on November 5, 2002 with a cover letter requesting counsel's cooperation in reviewing and proposing any comments or changes to the proposed stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's November 5, 2002 letter and attached proposed Pretrial Stipulation is attached
hereto as Exhibit 2. The proposed Pretrial Stipulation was originally sent to counsel for the Defendants in September, 2002, as a previous Agreed Order set the due date for the Pretrial Stipulation for September 24, 2002.
3. Subsequent to sending the proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants, counsel for Proskauer sent counsel for the Defendants another letter on November 18, 2002 seeking the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants so that the Pretrial Stipulation could be filed on time. A copy of Proskauer's November 18, 2002 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
4. Counsel for the Defendants has failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise cooperate in any way in participating in the preparation of the Pretrial Stipulation.
5. Despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
6. This is the second time in this litigation that Proskauer has moved the Court for permission to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement due to counsel for the Defendants' refusal to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise participate in the preparation of a Pretrial Stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's first Notice of Defendants' Noncompliance is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 4, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attomey's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on November 19,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO VACATE}

\section*{PRIOR ORDER REQUIRING FILING OF PRETRIAL STIPULATION}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on December 11, 2002 on the Defendants' Motion to Vacate Prior Order Requiring Filing of Pre-Trial Stipulation, and the Court after hearing argument of counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The parties shall file a Joint Pretrial Stipulation no later than January 6, 2003.
2. The trial of this matter is to be reset on the Court's next docket.

DONE and ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of December, 2002.

SIGNED AND DATED
DEC 162002


December 11, 2002

\section*{Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.}

Dear Steve:
Please find attached a proposed order which reflects Judge Labarga's ruling this morming. If you have any objection to the proposed order, please contact me immediately. If I do not hear from you by 4:00 p.m. on Thursday, December 12, 2002, I will assume that you have no objection to the proposed order and I shall send it to the Court.

Further, consistent with the Court's ruling this morning which requires the parties to file a Joint Pretrial Stipulation no later than January 6, 2003, I recommend that you provide me with any comments as soon as possible relating to the proposed stipulation which we have sent to you several times in the past.

Very truly yours,


CWP/kem
Encl.

\title{
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
}

December 23, 2002

\section*{Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.}

Dear Mr. Selz:
Pursuant to the Order Resetting Non-Jury Trial that I received Friday, the mediation conference in this matter must occur on or before Tuesday, January 28, 2003. As I requested in my letter dated December 12, 2002, please provide me with dates in January upon which you and your client are available for mediation and the taking of Mr. Bernstein's deposition. Mr. Bernstein's deposition will occur either the day before or the day after the mediation conference.

Also, as a reminder, the Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed no later than January 6, 2003. I would appreciate it if you would send me your comments to the proposed stipulation that I sent you in September sometime this week so that we have adequate time to finalize the stipulation.

Thank you for your attention to these matters.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\section*{CWP/kem}

December 30, 2002

\section*{Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
As you are aware, the Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed pursuant to the Court's Order one week from today. I sent you letters on December \(11^{\text {th }}\) and December \(23^{\text {rd }}\) requesting that you send me any comments to the proposed Stipulation (which I sent to you in September) as soon as possible. To date, I have not heard anything from you regarding the Stipulation.

Please cooperate with me in preparing the Stipulation by faxing me any comments today so that we have adequate time to discuss the comments and finalize the Stipulation.

Very truly yours,


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem
```

2255 umules Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Teiephone 561.241.7400
Elsewhere in Florida

# Christopher W. Prusaski <br> Attorney at Law 

```
Direct Dial 561.995.4767
cprusaski@proskauer.com
```


# PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 

January 2, 2003

## Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

## Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.

Dear Mr. Selz:
This is the fourth letter that I have sent you requesting your cooperation in preparing the Joint Pretrial Stipulation since Judge Labarga entered an Order requiring the parties to file the stipulation by this coming Monday, January $6^{\text {th }}$. Despite these numerous requests, I have not heard from you. As of now, we only have one and a half business days to prepare the stipulation. I sent you the proposed Stipulation back in September (and a few times since then). Please either sign the stipulation and return it to me today or fax me your comments.

If I do not hear from you by the end of the day today, I will file another Notice of Noncompliance and request that the Court allow me to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement.

Very truly yours,


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem

# Selz \＆Muvdi Selz，P．A． 

Attomeys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue，Suite 220
Palm Beach，FL 33480

Steven M．Selz
Tel：（561）820－9409
Liliana M．Selz
Fax：（561）833－9715

## FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number：（561）241－7145

Individual \＆Firm：CHRISTOPHER W．PRUSASKI，ESQ．
From：STEVEN M．SELZ，ESQ．
Date \＆Time：1／3／03 1：10 PM File \＃ $\qquad$
Total number of Pages（INCLUDING this cover sheet） 4
RE：IVIEWIT．COM
Document（s）Attached：PRE－TRIAL STIP
Comments：NONE

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below．Copy／Original sent by：
＿Regular Mail＿＿Federal Express＿＿Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT（561）820－9409．
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY Named above．IF rhe reader of this message is not the intended recipient，or the EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT，YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION，DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED．IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR，PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTYFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U．S．POSTAL SERVICE．THIS OFFICE WILL REMMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT．THANK YOU．

## JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION

Plaintiff，Proskauer Rose LLP（＂Proskauer＂），and Defendants，Iviewit．com，Inc．，Iviewit Holdings，Inc．and Iviewit Technologies，Inc．，pursuant to this Court＇s Order Resetting［Non－］ Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11，2002，hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows：

1．The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court：
a．Proskauer＇s Motion to Strike the Defendants＇Trial Exhibit List（Nov．18， 2002）．

2．The following facts require no proof at trial：
a．The summary of the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit＂B＂total \＄369，460．97；and
b．The summary of invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit ＂$B$＂have not been paid in full．

3．The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried：
a．Whether Proskauer entered into a contract with Iviewit．com，Inc．，Iviewit Technologies，Inc．and Iviewit Holdings，Inc．to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services；
b．Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants；
c．Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer；
d．Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and，if so，what are the amount of the damages：
e．Whether Proskauet and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the
balance owed by Defendants as $\$ 369,460.97$ ；
f．Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer；
g．Whether the Defendants objected，in a timely manner，to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services；
h．Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of $\$ 369,460.97$ ；
i．Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred；
j．Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer；
k．Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received；

1．Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is $\$ 369,460.97$
m．Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive authorization from the Defendants to perform；
n．Whether the services provided by Ptoskauer benefitted the named Defendants or in the alternative benefitted third parties not parties to this action；
o．Whether the Defendants assumed the obligations of any other party to

Proskauer for legal services;
p. Whether the payment to Proskauer by the Defendants was contingent on the funding of loans or other financing to the Defendants from third parties;
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A". Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit " $B$ ".
5. The trial will last approximately 4 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi-Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

## FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET FAX Number: (561) 241-7145

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.

From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.

Date \& Time: 1/6/03 3:00 PM
File \# $\qquad$
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 2
RE: IVIEWIT.COM

Document(s) Attached: LETTER AS TO PRE-TRIAL STIP
Comments: NONE

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_x_ Regular Mail __ Federal Express __ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY Named above. If THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE IIEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF TIIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT TIIE ABOVE ADDRESS VLA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.

Attomeys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
January 6, 2003

## VIA FACSIMULE TRANSMISSION

AND REGULAR MAIL
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Re: Proskauer Rose vs. Iviewit.com; Pre-Trial Stipulation.

## Dear Chris:

I am in receipt of your letter of today's date as to the pre-trial stipulation. I continue to request the changes I had made to the form of same and do not agree with the form you faxed to my offices on today's date at 9:30 am. Please note that the attached exhibits to the Complaint are not invoices but rather billing summaries as they do not reference the actual services provided but rather show time and charges in a summary form.

Please note that I am not trying to split hairs as it is clear under the law that the actual services provided are at issue for quantum meruit claims and that the "invoices" attached to the complaint do not reflect the specifics of those services. Based on same I must object to the form of the stipulation unless the requested changes are made.


Steven M. Selz
For the Firm
SMS/ajf

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:

1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (Jan. 28, 2003).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" total $\$ 369,460.97$; and
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as $\$ 369,460.97$;
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
h. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
i. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;
j. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of $\$ 369,460.97$;
k. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
4. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
m. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
n. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is $\$ 369,460.97$;
o. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive authorization from the Defendants to perform; and
p. Whether the services provided by Proskauer benefited the named Defendants or, in the alternative, benefited third parties not parties to this action.
5. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Plaintiff contends that the following is also a disputed issue of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
6. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Defendants contend that the following are also disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether the Defendants assumed the obligations of any other party to Proskauer for legal fees; and
b. Whether the payment to Proskauer by the Defendants was contingent on the funding of loans or other financing to the Defendants from third parties.
7. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
8. The trial will last approximately 2-4 days.
9. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

## For the Plaintiff:

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525
Dated: Jan. 6. 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:

1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31,2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 17,2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15, 2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

21,2000
10. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21, 2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352751 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352753 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 30, 2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30, 2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30,2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated July

21,2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated Juiy 21, 2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363970 and itemized billing statement dated July 30, 2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22, 2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24, 2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement dated Oct.

13,2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380021 and itemized billing statement dated Nov.

17, 2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov.

17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30, 2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec.

6, 2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 18, 2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 9, 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#394765 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 6, 2001
49. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#395537 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

12, 2001
50. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399271 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
51. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399272 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
52. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399273 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
53. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399274 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
54. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399275 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

6,2001
55. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#400158 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 11,2001
56. Proskauer Rose LLP list of "Open Invoices" for Iviewit
57. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Sept. 8, 1999 ("Re. Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC'')
58. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 12, 1999 ("Re. Additional Payment")
59. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 25, 1999 ("Re. Reminder Regarding Additional Payment")
60. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Feb. 29, 2000
61. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 9, 2000
62. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 24, 2000
63. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 31, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
64. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 10, 2000
65. Memorandum from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated Apr. 11, 2000 ("Re. Payment Plan")
66. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 19, 2000
67. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated May 15, 2000
68. Letter from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated May 30, 2000
69. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Dec. 29, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
70. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 4, 2001
71. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 18, 2001 ("Re. January 15 Payment Towards Past-Due Amounts")
72. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 28, 2001 (4 pages)
73. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 (2 pages) ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
74. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 with 3page list of open invoices ("Re. Past-Due Invoices")
75. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 27, 2001 (3 pages) ("Re. Retainer and Past-Due Accounts)
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000, with subparts 1 through 17.
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15.
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document \#287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554)
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document \#273367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document \#256413).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley, including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. Ail rebuttal exhibits.
108. All impeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFE'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:

1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blvd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case, including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145
Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

## Joseph R. COOK, EsQ.

EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974 Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon

Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society
Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

## EMPLOYMENT:

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS:
Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

## PUBLICATIONS:

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing, Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis. Part V, appearing in the Albany Law Review, 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House.

## SPECIAL INTERESTS:

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms, lenders and institutions throughout the country.

EXHIBIT A

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 

 $15^{\mathrm{TH}}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDAPROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
$\qquad$

## DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

## Witnesses:

1. Raymond Joao- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

EXHIBIT B
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnesses listed or called by Plaintiff.

## Exhibits:

1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $3 \cap \mathrm{H})$ day of September, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.<br>214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220<br>Palm Beach, FL 33480<br>Tel: (561) 820-9409<br>Fax: (56k) 333-9715<br>By:<br>STEXEN MS SELZ<br>FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## RE-NOTICE OF HEARING

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List
DATE: Tuesday, January 28, 2003
TIME: 8:45 atm.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION- CONTINUATION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

## DEPONENT

Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.

DATE AND TIME LOCATION May 16, 2003, 11:00 am

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road Boca Raton, FL 33431

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
for such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $11 /$ day of May, 2003 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \& 20-9409
Fax: (561)833-9715

By:


STEVEX M. SELZ
FBN: 177420

CC: Pinnacle Reporting Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order continuing the trial of this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That this matter is set for a two day bench trial on May 28 through May 29 , 2003.
2. That Plaintiff have provided to the Defendants approximately 800 pages of additional discovery to the Defendants counsel in the last 10 days, which discovery was "newly discovered" by the Plaintiffs.
3. That to require the Defendants to proceed to trial without the opportunity to first review the newly produced discovery and to conduct further discovery as to same will prejudice the Defendants in their defense of this case.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order continuing the trial setting in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $1^{\text {M }}$ day of May 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Bea@h, FL 33480
Tel: (561) $20-9409$
Fax: (561) $833-9715$
By:
STEXEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. and
Matt Triggs, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, May 27, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this Tho day of May, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56才) 820-9409
Fax: (56/f
FBX: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plainuiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporarion,

Defendants.

## OROER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on May 22, 2003 on Plaintiff's Motion for
Sanctions. After reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's request for sanctions is DENIED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants no longer have the right to continue the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day of May, 2003.

## Honorable Jorge Labarga

Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 01-04671-AB
Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions
Copies furnished to:

## For Plaintiff:

Mathew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

## For Defendants:

Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware
corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on May 22, 2003 on Plaintiff's Motion for
Sanctions. After reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's request for sanctions is DENIED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants no longer have the right to continue the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day
of May, 2003.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 01-04671-AB
Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions
Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on May 22, 2003 on Plaintiff's Motion for
Sanctions. After reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's request for sanctions is DENIED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants no longer have the right to continue the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day of May, 2003.

Case No. 01-04671-AB
Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Copies furnished to:

For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY

The undersigned counsel, Christopher W. Prusaski, files this Notice of Unavailability for the dates hereinafter: Thursday, July 3, 2003 through and including Monday, July 14, 2003, and respectfully requests that no matters be scheduled during this time; that no pleadings be filed which require a timely response during this time; and that all pending matters remain in status quo during this time.

This (O) day of June, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boa Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of June, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on May 22, 2003 on Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions. After reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's request for sanctions is DENIED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants no longer have the right to continue the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day of May, 2003.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 01-04671-AB
Order on Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions
Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION IN LIMINE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on May 20, 2003 on Plaintiff's Second Motion in Limine. After reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is GRANTED. The issue of whether the Defendants' former president had the apparent authority to agree to the fees being sought was not pled as an affirmative defense with sufficient specificity and is excluded from the trial of this matter. See Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corp. v. McKenna, 726 So. 2d 361, 363 (Fla. 3d DCA

Case No. 01-04671-AB
Order Granting Plaintiff's Second Motion in Limine
1999).

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day
of May, 2003.

> Honorable Jorge Labarga
> Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79, Florida Statures. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attomey's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attomey's fees.

Dated this $11^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2003.


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on February lth, 2003, a copy of the foregoing was fumished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENY TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79, Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated this $11^{7 \boldsymbol{1}}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431 -7360
(5612241-7400


Mathew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTLFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February Il $^{\text {r+1 }}$, 2003, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New

York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79 . Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., shall execute and deliver to

Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, altorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attomey's fees.

Dated this $1^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
(561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on Februarylth 2003 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

2255 Glades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL $33431-7360$
Telephone 561.241 .7400
NEW YOAK
Elsewhere in Florida LOS ANGELES
WASHINGTON
800.432 .7746

NEWABK
fax 561.241.7145

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date February 11, 2003 | Client-Matter 6143.60145.255 | Fax Transmittal |
| Total Pages (including Cover) 10 |  |  |
| From Cbristopher Prusaski, Esq. | Sender's Room Number 450 |  |
| Sender's Voice Mumber 561.241.4767 | For assistance call 561.241 .7400 |  |
| To Steven M. Seiz, Esq. | Fax No. 561.833 .9715 |  |
| Company Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. | Voice No. 561.820 .9409 |  |

## Message

## Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Xviewit - Proposals for Settlement

Please see attached.

Conlidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain legally privileged material. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message, other than by such addressee(s), is strictly prohbibited if you have received this message in error, please immediately notity us by telephone and retum the original to us at the address above. We will reimburse you tor the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New

 York limited liability partnership,Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79, Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own atomey's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attomeys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated this $\square$ day of February, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLB
Attomeys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Roca Ration, Fl 33431 -7360
(56 $/$ 241-7400

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February , 2003, a copy of the foregoing was fumished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz. \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New

 York limited liability partnership,Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants. 1

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79 , Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the setulement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attomey's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attomey's fees.

Dated this $1^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West 2255 Glades Road
Boca Raron, FL 33431-7360


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on Februaryl(th, 2003, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79, Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attomey's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Xviewit.com, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attomeys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attomey's fees.

Dated this $11^{\text {Th }}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attomeys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
(561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February $\underline{l l}^{\text {H }}, 2003$, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.



## Message

## Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit - Proposals for Settlement

Please see attached.
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| Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Feb 11 | $3: 52 \mathrm{pm}$ | Fax Sent | 17134253972 | $2: 56$ | 4 | OK |

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of the filing of the Transcript of the Deposition of Eliot I. Bernstein, which was taken on January 31, 2003.

This 14 day of May, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241. 7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


# in The circuit court of the FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CiRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY， FLORIDA 

CASE NO．CA 01－04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP，a New
York limited liability partnership，
Plaintiff，
v．
IVIEWIT．COM，NNC．，a Delaware corporation， IVIEWIT HOLDINGS，INC．，a Delaware corporation，and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES， INC．，a Delaware corporation，

Defendants．

## NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff，Proskauer Rose LLP，by and through its undersigned counsel，hereby gives notice of the filing of the original Transcript of the Deposition of William Kasser，which was taken on August 20， 2002.

This $\square$ day of May， 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LIP

Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boa Place，Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Raton，Florida 33431
（561） 241 －7400（telephone）
（561）241－7145（facsimile）


Matthew Frigs
Florida Bar No． 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No． 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, NNC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FLING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of the filing of the original Transcript of the Deposition of Simon L. Bernstein, which was taken on November 14, 2002

This $\qquad$ day of May, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LIP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Bock Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furmished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT COM, 1 NC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and VIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of the filing of the Transcript of the Deposition of Eliot I. Bernstein, which was taken on January 31, 2003.

This $\square$ day of May, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LL
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Roca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Ratoon, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been fumished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.
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## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, $\mathbb{N} C .$, a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of the filing of the original Transcript of the Deposition of William Kasser, which was taken on August 20, 2002.

This $\square$ day of May, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LIP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boa Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Rato, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.
$\qquad$

## NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives
notice of the filing of the original Transcript of the Deposition of Simon L. Bemstein, which was taken on November 14, 2002

This 14 day of May, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LL
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Roca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Roca Rato, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14 day of May，2003，a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furmished by facsimile and U．S．Mail to Steven M．Selz，Esq．，Selz \＆Muvdi Selz，P．A．， 214 Brazilian Avenue，Suite 220，Palm Beach，Fl 33480.


# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA <br> CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB 

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## AGREED ORDER RESETTING STATUS CHECK

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon agreement of the parties and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Court's Order dated June 12, 2002 required the parties to appear for a status check on June 28, 2002 at 8:45 a.m.
2. Because the Court's Uniform Motion Calendar is suspended during the week of June 24, 2002, the status check is hereby set for Thursday, July 18, 2002 at $8: 45$ a.m. in Courtroom 11B, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida.
$\qquad$ day
of $\qquad$ 200 $\qquad$

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to：

Matthew Triggs，Esq．
Christopher W．Prusaski，Esq．
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attomeys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road，Suite 340W
Boca Raton，Florida 33431
Steven M．Selz，Esq．
Selz \＆Muvdi Selz，P．A．
214 Brazilian Avenue，Suite 220
Palm Beach，Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDNGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## QRDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING

THIS MATTER came before the Court on July 24, 2003 on Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting. The Court heard argument of counsel and was otherwise fully advised in the premises. It is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion DENIED/GRANTED.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $\qquad$ day
of July, 2003.
BUENED AND DATED
JUL 242003

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Steven M. Selz, Esq.


## Re: Proskauer Rose v. Iviewitcom

Please see the attached.

[^10]\title{
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, $\operatorname{INC}$., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## ORDER ON ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

This matter coming before the Court on the Motion by Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP and Krishna B. Narine, Esq., for admission pro hac vice and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Schiffrin \& Barroway and Krishna B. Narine, Esq.'s motion for admission pro hac vice is hereby granted, so as to allow the appearance as additional counsel for

Defendants in this matter.

## DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County,

Florida this $\qquad$ day of July, 2003.

# ED AND DATED 

 JUL 242003CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Krishna B. Narine, Esq.
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

# SCHIFFRIN \& BARROWAY, LLP <br> Three Bala Plaza East 

Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
DIRECT DIAL: (610) 822-2202
FAX: (610) 667-7056

## EAX TRANSMITTAL SHEET

## DATE: August 1, 2003

TO: \begin{tabular}{l}
Matthew Triggs <br>

| Steven Selz |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eliot Bernstein |$\quad$ FAX NO : $\frac{561-241-7145}{\underline{561-833-9715}}$ <br>

<br>
Via Electronic Mail
\end{tabular}

FROM: Krishna B. Narine
MATTER: Ivicwit
Number of Pages 4
(including transmittal sheet)

## MESSAGE:

## Proposed changes.

IF THEREIS A PROBLEM WITH TRANSMITTALOR RECEIPT OF THISFAX, PLEASECALL (610) 822-2202. THANK YOU

## NOTE: PLEASE DELIVER THIS FAX IMMEDIATELY TO RECIPIENT

Thus messuge is intended anly for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain informution that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee ur agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hareby notified thal any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have reccived this communication in eroor, please notify us immediately by telephone and relum the original message to us at the ahove address via the II.S. Postal Service Thank you.
*sax

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## ORDER ON ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE

This matter coming before the Court on the Motion by Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP and Krishna B. Narine, Esq., for admission pro hac vice and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Schiffrin \& Barroway and Krishna B. Narine, Esq.'s motion for admission pro hac vice is hereby granted, so as to allow the appearance as additional counsel for

Defendants in this matter.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County,
Florida this $\qquad$ day of July, 2003.


Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Krishna B. Narine, Esq.
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING

THIS MATTER came before the Court on July 24, 2003 on Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting. The Court heard argument of counsel and was otherwise fully advised in the premises. It is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion DENIED/GRANTED.
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beagelety Aldidathifed day
of July, 2003.
JUL 242003
Judge Jorge Lebarge

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Steven M. Selz, Esq.

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

CA 01-04671 AB

NOTICE OF HEARING
(Uniform Motion Calendar)
(Telephonic Appearance by Add. Counsel)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. and
Krishna B. Narine, Esq.
Matt Triggs, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Thursday, July 24, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting, Additional Counsel's Motion to Continue Trial Setting, Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax to the above-listed addressee(s) this $211^{3-}$ day of July, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beqch, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409

FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

CA 01-04671 AB


## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order continuing the trial of this matter and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That this matter is set for a two day bench trial on July 29 through July 30, 2003.
2. That Plaintiff have provided to the Defendants approximately 800 pages of additional discovery to the Defendants counsel which discovery was "newly discovered" by the Plaintiffs.
3. That to require the Defendants to proceed to trial without the opportunity to first review the newly produced discovery and to conduct further discovery as to

$$
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same will prejudice the Defendants in their defense of this case.
4. That further, additional counsel has made an appearance in this matter and as a result thereof, such additional counsel will require time to familiarize itself with this case and the underlying action so as to allow for the adequate representation of the Defendants by such additional counsel, who has filed a notice of appearance in this matter, a true and correct copy of same being attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
5. Further, such additional counsel has a scheduling conflict, and has filed as a separate motion, a motion to continue the trial of this matter based on such conflict.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order continuing the trial setting in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $21^{\top \pi}$ day of July, 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beadh, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By
STEYENM. SELZ
(FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
$15^{\mathrm{TH}}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P.,
Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

## Defendants

CA 01-04671 AB

## NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

NOTICE is hereby given that Krishna B. Narine, Esq., of Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP, Three Bala Plaza East, Suite 400, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004, hereby enters his appearance in this Court for the defendants in the action listed above.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $\underline{Z 151}^{15}$ day of July, 2003 to: Christopher Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, L.L.P. 2255 Glades Road Suite 340 W. Boca Raton, FL 33431.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 18, 2003


Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
Phone: (610) 667-7706
Fax: (610) 667-7056

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
$15^{\mathrm{TH}}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND
FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P.,
Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants

VERIFIED MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE
I, Krishna B. Narine respectfully move this Court for an Order granting admission pro hac vice for the purpose of appearing and participating in the above referenced action. In support of this Verified Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice, and pursuant to Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.061, I, Krishna Brian Narine of Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP, Three Bala Plaza East, Suite 400, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004, counsel to the defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, and Iviewit Technologies, Inc. hereby certify the following under oath and the penalties of perjury:

1. I am an attorney who has been admitted to practice law in the State of Pennsylvania since 1988.
2. I have been a member in good standing of the highest court of the State of Pennsylvania, which is the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, since 1988.
3. I am a member in good standing of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
4. There are no disciplinary complaints pending against me for violation of the rules of any court.
5. I have not been suspended or disbarred for disciplinary reasons from practice in any court.
6. Within the last five years, I have not sought admission pro hac vice in any Florida state court.
7. I do not engage in the practice of law from an office located in the state of Florida.
8. In connection with this action, I am associated with Steven Selz, Esq., FBN: 777420 , Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480, who is a member in good standing of the Florida Bar and is duly licensed to practice law in Florida.
9. I am requesting admission pro hac vice to appear and participate in the above referenced proceedings on behalf of defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., of whom I commenced representation on July 11, 2003.
10. I am familiar with the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration and the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this 1 $^{57}$ day of July, 2003 to: Christopher Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, L.L.P. 2255 Glades Road Suite 340 W. Boca Raton, FL 33431.

Date: June 18, 2003
Krishna B. Narine Bar No. 52238
Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
Phone: ( 6 R) 667-7706
Fax: (61 6\$7-7056
Steven M. Selz FBN: 777420
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue
Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Phone: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715

## STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

## COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Krishna B. Narine who has sworn and says the facts recited in the foregoing Verified Motion for Admission Pro Fac Vice are true.


Sworn and subscribed before me on July 18, 2003


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
$15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA


## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL SETTING

Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order continuing the trial of this matter and as grounds therefore state as follows:

1. This matter is set for a two day bench trial on July 29 through July 30, 2003.
2. Defendants have recently retained the undersigned attorney and law firm to handle a number of matters, including the above referenced action.
3. Defendants have attempted to settle this action and will continue its efforts to do so.
4. The undersigned attorney has a hearing before Magistrate Judge M Faith Angell of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, scheduled for July 29, 2003, in In re Wellbutrin SRZZyban Antitrust Litigation,

Master File No. 02-CV-4398. (A copy of the Order dated February 28, 2003 scheduling that hearing is attached as Exhibit "A"). The undersigned attorney is among Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel in that action, and as set forth in the Order, is required to be present.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for entry of an order continuing the trial setting in this matter

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $2^{15}$ day of July, 2003 to: Christopher Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, L.L.P. 2255 Glades Road Suite 340 W. Boca Raton, FL 33431.

Date: July 18, 2003
Respectfully submitted,
Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
Phone: (610) 667-7706
Fax: (610) 667-7056

## STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

## COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Krishna B. Narine who has sworn and says the facts recited in the foregoing Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting are true.


Sworn and subscribed before me on July $18^{\pi}, 2003$


## IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANLA

IN RE WELLBUTRIN SR/ZYBAN ANTTTRUST : LITIGATION

Master File No. 02-CV-4398

## ORDER

AND NOW, this $28^{\text {d }}$ day of February, 2003, after holding a discovery status hearing in the above-captioned matter, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Based upon the representation that there has been an initial production of documents, self-executing disclosures requirements are eliminated by agreement of counsel.
2. By March 13, 2003, the parties must finalize and execute a confidentiality agreement.
3. Discovery in this action will be staged. Merits discovery [Phase 1] will proceed first, to be followed by class discovery [Phase 2]. ${ }^{1}$ The first stage of Phase 1 discovery will proceed in the following matter:
A. The first stage of merits discovery is limited to paper discovery.
B. The buik of non-privileged documents are to be exchanged in the first stage of Phase I discovery. All documents withheld pursuant to a claim of privilege are to be identified in the withholding party's privilege log.
C. The first stage of merits discovery will end on July 28, 2003.
D. On July 29, 2003 at 10:00 a m. in Courtroom 3-H, I will hold a discovery hearing to discuss the status of this action. The parties are to be prepared to discuss the second stage of Phase 1 discovery, the completion of merits discovery. Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and Counsel for the Defendants are to be present.
[^11][^12]11

E．During the first stage of Phase 1 discovery，no party may serve contention interrogatories．

F．During the first stage of Phase 1 discovery，the parties may not file dispositive motions．

G．During the first stage of Phase 1 discovery，Defendants will not be required to state whether they intend to rely upon an＂advice of counsel＂defense in this litigation．

4．During the course of discovery，the parties are expected to work together to move this case forward．In the event that counsel cannot，in good faith，resolve a discovery dispute without court intervention，they are directed to contact chambers through a telephone call，or letter facsimile， to request the scheduling of a conference．A telephone or in－person conference to discuss and resolve the dispute will be scheduled in a prompt fashion．The filing of formal discovery motions is discouraged．

5．Defendants＇unopposed Motion for Admission of Counsel Pro Hace Vice［Docket Entry No．18］is GRANTED．H．Holden Brooks is admitted pro hace vice to represent Defendants in this litigation．

6．I prefer that the parties do not provide courtesy copies of dispositive motions to my chambers．

7．Given the nature of this litigation，and the number of attorneys involved，Plaintiff＇s liaison counsel is directed to update my chambers，in writing，as to any changes in parties and／or counsel．

## BY THE COURT：



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P.,
Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants

CA 01-04671 AB

DOROTHY H. WILKEs CLERK OF CIRCUTCCOUR
CIRCUIT CIVIL DAVIS JUL 21203

## NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

NOTICE is hereby given that Krishna B. Narine, Esq., of Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP, Three Bala Plaza East, Suite 400, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004, hereby enters his appearance in this Court for the defendants in the action listed above.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this 15 $^{54}$ day of July, 2003 to: Christopher Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, L.L.P. 2255 Glades Road Suite 340 W. Boca Rato, FL 33431

Date: July 18, 2003
Respectfully submitted,


Schiffrin \& Barroway, LLP
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
Phone: (610) 667-7706
Fax: (610) 667-7056

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

CA 01-04671 AB

NOTICE OF HEARING
(Uniform Motion Calendar)
(Telephonic Appearance by Add. Counsel)

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. and Matt Triggs, Esq. Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Krishna B. Narine, Esq.
Three Bala Plaza East
Suite 400
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Thursday, July 24, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion to Continue Trial Setting, Additional Counsel's Motion to Continue Trial Setting, Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax to the above-listed addressee(s) this $\underline{11 T}^{\text {st }}$ day of July, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (56) 833-9715
By
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz

# FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number: (561) 241-7145 

Individual \& Firm: CHRIS PRUSASKI, ESQ.

From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 7/21/03 2:10 PM File \# $\qquad$
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 15
RE: IVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: MOTION TO CONTINUE AND NOTICE OF HEARING

## Comments: NONE

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_X_Regular Mail ___ Federal Express ___ Courier

PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROIIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE AdDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

| HP Fax K1220 | Log for |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | SELZ MUVDI SELZ |
|  | 5618339715 |
|  | Jul $2120031: 32 \mathrm{pm}$ |

## Last Transaction

| Date | Time | Type | Identification |  | Duration | Pages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jul 21 | $1: 28 \mathrm{pm}$ | Fax Sent | 2417145 | $3: 45$ | 15 | OK |

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

# FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number: (610) 667-7056 

Individual \& Firm: KRISHNA B. NARINE, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 7/21/03 3:30 PM File \# $\qquad$
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 22
RE: IVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: MOTION TO CONTINUE AND NOTICE OF HEARING AS FILEDCOPY OF LAST BILLING STATEMENT AS TO OUR SERVICES- \$2910.00 OVER THE $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 , 1 0 0}$, UNBILLED AT THIS POINT- TOTAL OF $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 , 0 1 0 . 1 1}$.

Comments: AS DISCUSSED
A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_X_Regular Mail ___ Federal Express ___ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF TIIIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POS'TAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

HP Fax K 1220
Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Jul 212003 3:05pm

## Last Transaction

| Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jul 21 | $3: 01 \mathrm{pm}$ | Fax Sent | 16106677056 | $4: 34$ | 23 | OK |

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CASE NUMBER: CL 014671 AB
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC, et al
Defendant(s).

# ORDER RESETTING NON JURY TRIAL 

## NOTICE

This order contains deadlines and other requirements which will be strictly enforced. Please take the time to read this order carefully and immediately. Additionally, please take the time to diary all deadlines now.

THIS CAUSE, after having been properly noticed for trial, is hereby scheduled to be tried for 2 days sometime during the three (3) month docket commencing JUNE 30, 2003.

It is further ORDERED as follows:

1) CALENDAR CALL shall be held on FRIDAY, JUNE 13, 2003 at 9:00 A.M.
in Courtroom 11A, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida. ${ }^{1}$

[^13]A) All attorneys of record, or parties not represented by counsel, must be present at calendar call and must arrive on time (the Court will not wait). Attorneys shall not send paralegals, secretaries or any other person who is not a member of the Florida Bar to cover calendar call.
B) All attorneys (or parties if unrepresented) are ORDERED to bring their scheduling calendars to the calendar call.
C) The case will be assigned a SPECIAL SETTING during the three (3) month docket at calendar call. Once the case is specially set, it will not be continued or removed. Therefore, MAKE SURE TO BRING YOUR CALENDAR AND THAT IT IS UP TO DATE.
D) The Court WILL NOT entertain requests for continuances during calendar call. Motions for continuance MUST be raised PRIOR to calendar call during the Uniform Motion Calendar.

## 2) MOTION PRACTICE PHASE ENDS AND TRIAL PHASE BEGINS

Upon taking the bench at 9:30 A. M. on the first day of the trial of your special setting, the motion practice phase of the case ends and the trial phase begins.
A) The Court WILL NOT hear any motions during the trial phase that should have been heard during the motion practice phase of the case. This includes objections raised during depositions, or any other motion that should have been heard during the nine month to one year period that the case was on the docket awaiting calendar call. ${ }^{2}$
3) All parties are ordered to participate in MEDIATION which must occur no later than 45 days prior to calendar call. If anyone has a problem scheduling mediation, the Court is available every day (except Fridays) during the Uniform Motion Docket to deal with the problem. Again, DO

[^14]Judge Labarga's Order Setting Non Jury Trial Page 3

NOT WAIT until the last minute.
4) All parties are ordered to comply with the UNIFORM PRETRIAL

ORDER. Material non-compliance with this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, the striking of pleadings, the striking of the case from the docket or other such action as justice requires.
5) If this cause is disposed of for any reason (settlement) at any time prior to the trial date, you are hereby ordered to immediately advise the undersigned judge so that we may provide the backup case with as much notice as possible.

DONE AND ORDERED this 30 th h day of May, 2003, at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida.

JORGE LABARGA
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to attomeys/parties listed on notice/motion:
Christopher Prusaski, Esq.
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Steven Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Avenue,Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Coca Raton, FL 33431
Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby give notice of the filing of the original transcription of the deposition of Kenneth Rubebnstein, as taken on November 20, 2002, the original of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

$$
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provided by U.S. Mail this $28^{7^{u}}$ day of May, 2003 to the above-referenced addressee(s).

## SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561 /820-9409


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

## Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions

DATE: Thursday, May 22, 2003
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

## PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 16 day of May, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 16 day of May, 2003, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose, LLP ("Proskauer"), respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order awarding sanctions against the Defendants. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. Counsel for the Defendant's noticed the taking of the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein, a partner resident in Proskauer's New York office, for May 16, 2003 at 2:00 p.m. in New York, New York. A copy of the Notice of Taking Deposition is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The deposition was noticed pursuant to the Order of this Court, dated March 20, 2003, which strictly limited Mr. Rubenstein's deposition to the asking of approximately seven questions that Mr. Rubenstein has refused to answer in a prior deposition under the claim of privilege. A copy of this Court's Order dated March 20, 2003 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
2. Counsel for Proskauer flew to New York on the morning of May 16, 2003 to attend Mr. Rubenstein's deposition. Counsel for the Defendants knew, as early as one week
prior to the date of the deposition, that counsel for Proskauer would be traveling to New York to attend the deposition. In fact, counsel for the Defendants agreed to move the time of the deposition to accommodate counsel for Proskauer's flight schedule.
3. At 10:54 a.m. on the morning of the deposition, without any prior warning, counsel for the Defendants faxed a notice of cancellation of deposition to the undersigned counsel for Proskauer. A copy of counsel for the Defendants' fax is attached as Exhibit 3. One of counsel for the Defendants' excuses for canceling the deposition at the last minute was that the Defendants' corporate representative was unable to attend the deposition. The undersigned counsel for Proskauer immediately called counsel for the Defendants and urged him to take the deposition, considering the fact that trial was in less than two weeks, that counsel for Proskauer had already arrived in New York, that the deposition was extremely limited in scope, and that counsel for the Defendants was available to take the deposition. At that time, counsel for the Defendants represented that he would contact his client and call counsel for Proskauer back.
4. Not only did the deposition not go forward, but counsel for the Defendants never bothered to call counsel for Proskauer back to state whether or not the deposition would go forward. Messages were left on counsel for the Defendants' mobile telephone and office telephone.
5. As of the time of serving this motion, numerous calls to counsel for the Defendants have been unreturned.
6. Proskauer has incurred substantial costs and attorneys' fees relating to the Defendants' last-minute cancellation of Mr. Rubenstein's deposition.
7. This is the second time that an attorney representing Proskauer has flown out of the state to attend a deposition which counsel for the Defendants canceled at the last minute. A
copy of Proskauer's previous motion for sanctions relating to the last-minute cancellation of the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative in California is attached as Exhibit 3.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order sanctioning the Defendants, awarding Proskauer its attorneys' fees and costs associated with its counsel's travel to New York, awarding Proskauer its attorneys' fees incurred as a result of Mr.

Rubenstein's preparation for his deposition, and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 16 day of May, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 1 U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITION OF KENNETH RUBENSTEIN AND CHRISTOPHER WHEELER

This matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Continue
Deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion to continue deposition of Christopher Wheeler is hereby
 $1 / 2$ day only.
2. Defendant's Motion to continue deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein is hereby
granter, limiter to only those quester obthlten to at the time of proven Deposition, without untied al fitundey cheat pawiasor 3. ORJVLTIAS By plaintiff.
(3.) The coutnnatien or these drops tans and no i to ore used for enanimesmant.

DOY慵 AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this $20^{2}$ day of March, 2003.

Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
 214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boa Rato, FL 33431

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING OF TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION-CONTINUATION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

## DEPONENT

Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.

DATE AND TIME LOCATION
May 16, 2003, Proskauer Rose LLP 2:00 p.m. 1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

## PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has
been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\qquad$ day of May, 2003 to the above-listed addressee.


CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Arnericans with Disabilities Act of 1990 , persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services ( $800-955-8771$ ) for assistance.

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Atomeys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
May 16, 2003

## VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

AND REGULAR MALL
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Re: Proskauer Rose vs. Iviewit.com; Depositions of Chris Wheeler and Ken Rubenstein.

Dear Chris:
I have received your package of documents in the above-referenced matter. Based on same and the inability of my client to be present at the deposition scheduled for this aftemoon, I am compelled to cancel same. Enclosed/attached is a notice of same. Additionally, I have yet to receive an alternate date from yourself as to the deposition of Chris Wheeler. Please advise as to same.

Looking forward to your response.


For the Firm
SMS/aif

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, NNC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attomeys have canceled the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. as set for May 16, 2003 at 2:00 p.m. due to unavailability of the Defendant's representative and the supplying of new discovery documents by the Plaintiff.

1 HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this f/fy day of May, 2003 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561)820-9409
Fax: (561)833-9715

By:


FBN: 777420

CC: Esquire Deposition Scrvices, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288. or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PROSKAUER'S SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR SANCTIONS

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order compelling the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative and awarding Proskauer its attomeys' fees and costs incurred as a result of Defendants' noncompliance with this Court's previous order dated October 31, 2002. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:

1. This matter is currently scheduled for non-jury trial the week of December 16 . 2002.
2. On October 31, 2002, this Court entered an order granting Proskauer’s previous motion to compel the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein ("Bernstein"). who is a resident of San Diego County, California, and ordering him to appear for deposition on November 7,2002. A copy of the October 31, 2002 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A copy of Proskauer's previous motion to compel is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
3. During the October 31, 2002 hearing, counsel for the Defendants informed the Court that Bernstein's wife was experiencing complications with her pregnancy. To accomodate this situation, the Court ordered that Bernstein's deposition be taken at his home in Escondido, California.
4. On November 6, 2002, the undersigned counsel for Proskauer flew to San Diego, California to take Bernstein's deposition pursuant to this Court's Order.
5. On November 7, 2002, while the undersigned counsel was enroute to Bernstein's home, counsel for the Defendants called the undersigned counsel for Proskauer and stated that Bernstein's wife's obstetrician had faxed a letter indicating that, due to the wife's pregnancy complications, neither Bernstein nor his wife should be disturbed. A copy of the physician's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
6. Counsel for Proskauer was in Escondido, California, and was ready, willing, and able to proceed with the deposition of Bernstein. However, counsel for the Defendants stated that the deposition could not go forward because of the letter from the physician and that it would not be a good idea for counsel for Proskauer to go to Bernstein's house due to the physical condition of Bernstein's wife.
7. Both counsel for Proskauer and counsel for the Defendants attempted to contact the Court to deal with this issue; however, the Court was in trial and was unavailable.
8. The court reporter entered a Certificate of Non-Appearance and both counsel stated this matter on the record. A copy of the Certificate of Non-Appearance and transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
9. The Defendants have taken every possible measure to avoid having Bernstein's deposition taken in this matter. The litany of the Defendants' stalling tactics are set forth below:

- At the last minute, Bernstein cancelled his deposition and the mediation conference scheduled for September 12 and 13, 2002 in Florida, respectively, due to his wife's pregnancy.
- After approximately two months of requests for dates when Bernstein's deposition could be rescheduled, Bernstein announced that he refused to appear for his deposition in Florida.
- Proskauer filed a motion to compel Bernstein's deposition and set the motion for hearing on October 31, 2002.
- Proskauer contacted counsel for the Defendants every day for two weeks prior to the October 31, 2002 hearing in an effort to obtain dates on which Bernstein's deposition could be rescheduled. Proskauer's file also contains approximately 20 letters to counsel for the Defendants requesting dates upon which Bernstein would be available for his deposition.
- On the morning of the October 31, 2002 hearing on Proskauer's first motion to compel, counsel for the Defendants stated that Bernstein would only appear by telephone at his deposition due to his wife's pregnancy. The Court resolved this issue by ordering that the deposition be taken at Bernstein's home.
- The day before the November 7, 2002 deposition, while counsel for Proskauer was enroute to California, counsel for the Defendants, at Bernstein's insistence. attempted to change the location of Bernstein's deposition. However, this was never confirmed by counsel for the Defendants.
- Despite this Court's Order, Bernstein refused to have his deposition taken on November 7, 2002, instead having his wife's obstetrician fax a letter to his attorney.
- Counsel for the Defendants acknowledged on the record that he was unable to communicate with Bernstein on the morning of the November 7, 2002 deposition (see Exhibit 4).

10. Proskauer incurred the following (approximate) expenses in having its counsel travel to California to take Bernstein's deposition:

| Airfare: | $\$ 670.00$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hotel: | $\$ 500.00$ |  |
| Car rental: | $\$ 100.00$ |  |
| Attorneys' time <br> out of office: | $\$ 4,290.00$ | (Roundtrip travel to CA: 16 hours $\times \$ 220=\$ 3,520 ;$ <br> Time driving to Bernstein's home and statement on <br> the record: 3.5 hours $\times \$ 220=\$ 770$ ). |

TOTAL: $\quad \$ 5,560.00$

Proskauer is further prejudiced in that the trial of this matter is set for the week of December 16, 2002 and Proskauer must take Bernstein's deposition to adequately prepare for trial.
10. The Defendants' failure to comply with this Court's October 31, 2002 Order is willful and contemptuous, and Proskauer has been severely prejudiced in its prosecution of this matter by the dilatory tactics of the Defendants and Bernstein.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter an order compelling the Defendants' corporate representative to appear for the taking of his deposition immediately, ordering that the Defendants immediately reimburse Proskauer $\$ 5,560$ for its expenses (including attorney's fees) incurred in its attempt to comply with the October 31, 2002 Order, granting Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion, entering further sanctions
against the Defendants as the Court deems appropriate, and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This $\qquad$ day of November, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile:
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November $1 \mathbf{l}, 2002$, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Federal Express and facsimile to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.
 FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys have canceled the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. as set for May 16, 2003 at 2:00 p.m. due to unavailability of the Defendant's representative and the supplying of new discovery documents by the Plaintiff.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $16 \nmid 2$ day of May, 2003 to the above-listed addressee.
51kek3

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING OF TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION-CONTINUATION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

## DEPONENT

Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.

DATE AND TIME LOCATION
May 16, 2003, 2:00 p.m.

Proskauer Rose LLP
1585 Broadway
New York, NY 10036

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or
fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

## PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $8^{7 / 4}$ day of May, 2003 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561)820-9409
Fax: (5\$) 833-9715

By:
STEUEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PROSKAUER'S SECOND MOTION IN LIMINE

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves for an order excluding the Defendants from presenting certain evidence at the trial of this matter, and in support thereof states:

1. This matter has been pending for twenty-two months. For the third time in this litigation, and on the eve of trial, ${ }^{1}$ the Defendants are again trying to turn this straightforward action to collect unpaid attorneys' fees on its head and inject new issues into this case that were not pled as affirmative defenses or listed as issues to be tried in the Joint Pretrial Stipulation.
2. In the Amended Exhibit List, served on March 15, 2003, the Defendants list, as their proposed Exhibit 59, "[a]ll minutes of Board of Directors meetings for Iviewit regarding limitations on spending by Brian Utley for legal services." ${ }^{\prime 2}$ A copy of the Defendants` Amended
[^15]Exhibit List is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Now, it appears that the Defendants intend to establish at trial that the former President and COO of the Defendant corporations did not have the authority to agree to pay Proskauer's bills.
3. This newest issue that the Defendants seek to inject into the trial of this matter has never been pled as an affirmative defense. A copy of the Defendants' Answer and Affirmative Defenses and Amended Affirmative Defense are attached hereto as Exhibit 2. Moreover, this new issue is contained nowhere in the Joint Pretrial Stipulation. A copy of the Joint Pretrial Stipulation is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
4. The failure of the Defendants to raise this issue in the pleadings precludes them from raising it now. The law is well established that the failure to assert an affirmative defense in the answer is a waiver of the right to raise the defense. Nash v. Wells Fargo Guard Seris., Inc., 678 So. 2d 1262 (Fla. 1996); Con-Dev of Vero Beach, Inc. v. Casano, 272 So. 2d 203, 206 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1973). Further, under Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.110 (d), a party must affirmatively plead certain enumerated defenses and "any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense." Con-Dev at 206 (citing Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.110(d)). The First District further defined the miscellaneous category of Rule 1.110 as incorporating "any matter which avoids the action, and which the plaintiff is not bound to prove in the first instance in support of it, but which under the rules of evidence the defendant must firmly establish." Joseph Bucheck Constr. Corp. v. W.E. Music, 420 So. $2 \mathrm{~d} 410,414$ (Fla. $1^{\text {st }}$ DCA 1982). We submit that the First District's holding in Joseph Bucheck clearly mandates that the new issue raised by the Defendants herein be deemed an affirmative defense under the miscellaneous category of Rule 1.110 , as they would bear the burden of proof as to all such issues at trial.
5. This Court has already considered and rejected the Defendants' prior attempts to turn this case upside down by raising belated allegations of malpractice as defenses. On November 5, 2002, the Court granted Proskauer's motion in limine, in which Proskauer sought to limit the trial to the issues raised in the pleadings and to preclude any testimony concerning alleged improper work on the part of Proskauer, as no such claim had been raised in the pleadings. A copy of the Order granting the motion in limine is attached as Exhibit 4. During the hearing, the Court cited to the well recognized case of Noble v. Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997) for the proposition that litigants are entitled to some finality as a case approaches trial:

In the case of Noble v. Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 4th DCA 1997), the Fourth District held that, there comes a point in litigation where each party is entitled to some finality. The rules of liberality gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial.
. . . We had docket call. Everybody answered ready. And the case is set for trial in a month or so, a bit over a month. And here we are at this juncture, you're telling the other side that now we're going to rely pretty much [on] malpractice as a defense, and for that reason, we shouldn't have to pay you.

This case has been around for a year-and-a-half. And there has to come a point in time where the trial judges should draw the line. So I'm going to grant the motion in limine. Okay. And that's granted. And you can go to trial on what you've got.
(The Honorable Jorge Labarga, hearing transcript on Proskauer's motion in limine, November 5, 2002, at page 10) (A copy of the hearing transcript is attached as Exhibit 5).
6. This Court's granting of the first motion in limine did not stop the Defendants from trying to turn this matter on its head. On January 28, 2003, the Defendants filed a motion for leave to assert a compulsory counterclaim for malpractice, alleging $\$ 10$ billion in damages.

This Court denied the Defendants' motion for leave to amend on February 4, 2003. A copy of the Order denying Iviewit's motion for leave to amend is attached as Exhibit 6.
7. The holding of Noble is even more applicable now. Even more time has passed, discovery has been closed for four months, the Joint Pretrial Stipulation has been filed, and this matter is specially-set for trial in May. In short, this case has been and remains ready for trial as pled -- a 2 day non-jury trial for unpaid legal fees. The Defendants' most recent last minute prejudicial attempt to inject new issues into this matter should not be tolerated by the Court.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court enter an order excluding certain evidence at trial consistent with this motion, and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 26 day of March, 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145
Bathew Triggs

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and United States Mail, this 26 day of March, 2003, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

## Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' AMENDED EXHIBIT LIST

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order of this Court hereby file this, their amended exhibit list in this matter.

## Exhibits:

1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's correspondence to Defendants as to billing statements and application of payments.
4. January 31, 2000 billing statement, \#343838 from Plaintiff.
5. January 31, 2000 billing statement, \#343840 from Plaintiff.
6. February 17, 2000 billing statement, \#34659 from Plaintiff.
7. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349188 from Plaintiff.
8. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349189 from Plaintiff.
9. March 15,2000 billing statement, \#349190 from Plaintiff.
10. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349191 from Plaintiff.
11. March 16, 2000 billing statement, \#349191 from Plaintiff.
12. March 21, 2000 billing statement, \#349859 from Plaintiff.
13. March 21, 2000 billing statement, \#349888 from Plaintiff.
14. April 18,2000 billing statement, \#352748 from Plaintiff.
15. April 18,2000 billing statement, \#352749 from Plaintiff.
16. April 18,2000 billing statement, \#352750 from Plaintiff.
17. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352751 from Plaintiff.
18. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352752 from Plaintiff.
19. April 18,2000 billing statement, \#352753 from Plaintiff.
20. April 30, 2000 billing statement, \#354153 from Plaintiff.
21. May 30, 2000 billing statement, \#356497 from Plaintiff. 22. May 30, 2000 billing statement, \#356503 from Plaintiff.
22. June 30,2000 billing statement, \#360344 from Plaintiff. 24. July 21,2000 billing statement, \#363830 from Plaintiff. 25. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363831 from Plaintiff. 26. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363832 from Plaintiff.
23. July 21,2000 billing statement, \#363833 from Plaintiff.
24. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363834 from Plaintiff.
25. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363835 from Plaintiff.
26. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363836 from Plaintiff.
27. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363837 from Plaintiff.
28. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363841 from Plaintiff.
29. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363844 from Plaintiff.
30. July 30,2000 billing statement, \#363970 from Plaintiff.
31. August 22, 2000 billing statement, \#368322 from Plaintiff.
32. August 24, 2000 billing statement, \#368777 from Plaintiff.
33. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371752 from Plaintiff.
34. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371505 from Plaintiff.
35. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371753 from Plaintiff.
36. October 13, 2000 billing statement, \#376650 from Plaintiff.
37. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380021 from Plaintiff.
38. November 17,2000 billing statement, \#380022 from Plaintiff.
39. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380023 from Plaintiff. 44. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380024 from Plaintiff. 45. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380025 from Plaintiff. 46. November 30,2000 biiling staiement, \#381460 from Plaintiff.
40. December 6, 2000 billing statement, \#382111 from Plaintiff.
41. January 18, 2001 billing statement, \#387122 from Plaintiff.
42. February 9, 2001 billing statement, \#391231 from Plaintiff.
43. March 6, 2001 billing statement, \#394765 from Plaintiff.
44. March 12, 2001 billing statement, \#395537 from Plaintiff.
45. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399271 from Plaintiff.
46. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399272 from Plaintiff.
47. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#3992.73 from Plaintiff.
48. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399274 from Plaintiff.
49. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399275 from Plaintiff.
50. April 11, 2001 billing statement, \#400158 from Plaintiff.
51. All transcriptions of depositions taken in this matter.
52. All minutes of Board of Directors meetings for Iviewit regarding limitations on spending by Brian Utley for legal services.
53. All correspondence by and between Brian Utley and Plaintiff regarding services rendered and billing statements.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List pending completion of discovery in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $15^{\text {TH }}$ day of March, 2003.


FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. AND IVIEWIT TECHNOIOGIES, INC. <br> ANSWER AND AFFIRMAIIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOCIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and answer and assert affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Ámended Complaint as follows:

1. Without knowledge, therefore denied.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Denied.
6. Admitted that venue is proper in Palm Beach County, Florida. All allegations not specifically admitted are denied.
7. Denied.
8. Denied.
9. Denied.
10. Denied.
11. Denied.
12. Denied.
13. Denied.
14. Denied.

## COUNTI-BREACH OF CONTRACT

15. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as if specifically set forth herein.
16. Denied.
17. Denied.
18. Denied.
19. Denied.
20. Denied.

## COUNTII-ACCOUNT STATED

21. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-14 above as specifically set forth herein.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.
24. Admitted that PROSKAUER furnished a list of the alleged outstanding statements to Defendant IVIEWIT.COM, INC. All allegations not specifically admitted are
denied.
25. Denied.
26. Denied.
27. Denied.

## COUNT III- OPEN ACCOUNT

28. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
29. Denied.
30. Denied.
31. Denied.

## COUNTIV-QUANTUM MERUIT

32. Defendants reallege and adopt their answers set forth in Paragraphs 1-6 above as if specifically set forth herein.
33. Denied.
34. Denied.
35. Denied.
36. Denied.
37. Denied.
38. Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in the "WHEREFORE" clauses of the Amended Complaint.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## First Affirmative Defense

39. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted in that Defendants herein were not parties to any contract or agreement with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's allegations are in direct conflict with the relevant written documents.

## Second Affirmative Defense

40. Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants.

## Third Affirmative Defense

41. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, the monies that Plaintiff claims are owed are unreasonable and do not bear a relation to the value of the services provided. Thus, Plaintiff's recovery hereln, If any, should be reduced accordingly.

## Fourth Affirmative Defenses

42. Plaintiff's claims against the Defendants herein are barred or should be stricken in that Plaintiff did not provide any services to Defendants and, thus, Plaintiff would be unjustly enriched if permitted to make any recovery against them.

## Fifth Affirmative Defense

43. Even assuming, arguendo, that Plaintiff can state causes of action against Defendants herein, Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff has already been adequately compensated for its services.

## Sixth Affirmative Defense

44. Plaintiff's claims should be stricken because Plaintiff failed to attach to its Amended Complaint all documents upon which it bases its claims, in violation of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.130.

## Seventh Affirmative Deiense

45. Plaintiff's claims for account stated and open account do not state causes of action against the Defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were not directed.

## Eighth Affirmative Defense

46. Plaintiff's claim for quantum meruit should be stricken in light of Plaintiff's simultaneous claims for breach of contract, open account, and account stated. Such claims are inherently inconsistent with and repugnant to each other.

## Ninth Affirmative Defense

47. Plaintiff's claims should be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to join an indispensable party, namely iviewit LLP.

## Tenth Affirmative Defense

48. Liability, if any, to Plaintiff for the relief requested against Defendants herein is that of a non-party, namely iviewit LLP. Thus, recovery, if any, against Defendants herein should be reduced accordingly.

## Eleventh Affirmative Defense

49. Plaintiff's demands for attorneys' fees should be stricken as Plaintiff has failed
to state a contractual or statutory basis for entitlement thereto.
50. Defendants reserve the right to amend their affirmative defenses if appropriate as discovery progresses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff, award attorneys fees pursuant to Section 57.105, Florida Statutes, and award such other and further relief this Court deems appropriate.

1 HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431, November 2, 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendants
301 Yamato Road, Sulte 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499

Facsimile: (561) 994-4985
By:


Florida Bar No.: 312241
Rachelle R. McBride
Florida Bar No.: 0072801

M:LLitgationlviewit.comPleadinglonswer amended complaint.wpd

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

CASE NO. CA 01-04671AB
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IV!EWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS', IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.
AND IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COME NOW, Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. hereby, through undersigned counsel, and, files its Amended Second Affirmative Defense as follows:

## AMENDED SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to meet all conditions precedent to the bringing of this action against Defendants in that:

1) Plaintiff has failed to perform work, under the terms of any oral agreement between the parties, for which it seeks remuneration; and/or
2) Some work which may have been performed on behalf of the Defendants was not performed at the Defendants' request nor pursuant to any agreement.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.Com, Inc. et al. Case No. CA 01-04671AB
Page 2

I HEREBY certify that a true and correct copy of the forgoing has been furnished via US Mail and facsimile to Matthew Triggs, Esq. and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 W 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431 on this the $20^{\text {th }}$ day of Decimbur 2001.

SACHS, SAX \& KLEIN, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants-
301 Yamato Road, Suite 4150
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 994-4499
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PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:

1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (Jan. 28, 2003).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:

None.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as $\$ 369,460.97$;
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
h. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
i. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" total \$369,460.97;
j. Whether the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" have not been paid.
k. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

1. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of $\$ 369,460.97$;
m. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
n. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
o. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
p. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is $\$ 369,460.97$;
q. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive authorization from the Defendants to perform; and
r. Whether the services provided by Proskauer benefited the named Defendants or, in the alternative, benefited third parties not parties to this action.
2. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Plaintiff contends that the following is also a disputed issue of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer is entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
3. In addition to the items set forth in Section 3, the Defendants contend that the following are also disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether the Defendants assumed the obligations of any other party to Proskauer for legal fees; and
b. Whether the payment to Proskauer by the Defendants was contingent on the funding of loans or other financing to the Defendants from third parties.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
5. The trial will last approximately 2-4 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
9. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSELIP
Atomeys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) $241-7400$
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Mathew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 86574.5
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525
Dated: Jan. If 2003 .

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
Automeys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suit 220
Palm Bcach, Floride 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409
Facsimile: (561) 833.9175


SteverM. Selz
Florida Bar No. 177420

Dated: Jan. 2003.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on November 5, 2002 on Plaintiff's Motion in Limine and the Court, after reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is GRANTED. See Noble v. Martin
Mem'l Hosp. Ass 'n, Inc., 710 So. 2d 567, 568-69 (Fla. $4^{\text {th }}$ DCA 1998).
DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach SIGAEDAND DATEDday
of November, 2002.
NOV 122002
Thide Jarge Laberge
Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:

## For Plaintiff:

Matthew Triggs, Esquire<br>Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire<br>Proskauer Rose LLP<br>2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W<br>Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

```
Plaintifi,
```

vs.
No. CA 01-04671 AB
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

## Defendants.

The above-entitled cause came on for Hearing before The Honorable Jorge Labarga, Circuit Judge, at the Palm Beach County Courthouse, West Palm Beach,

Palm Beach County, Florida, on the $5 t h$ day of November, 2002, commencing at $8: 15$ o'clock A. M.

APPEARANCES:

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
by: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
$S E L Z$ \& MUVDI SELZ, ᄅ.A.
by: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.


THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PRUSASKI: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How are you doing?
MR. SELZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Motion in limine?
MR. SELZ: Motion in limine, and also, Your Honor, we had an objection to Requests for Production from opposing counsel. We've been here before Your Honor earlier last week. And we had agreed that we would hear that again while we had the 15 minutes set this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. Fifteen minutes is not a long time.

MR. PRUSASKI: It's not. I don't believe we have enough time, judge.

I represent Proskauer Rose, the plaintiff. It's our motion in limine. This is an attorneys' fees collection case. The defendants are former clients of Proskauer's. We're suing for about $\$ 367,000$. And this matter is set for trial the week of December 16th.

Spencer Sax, Sachs, Sax \& Klein, used to represent the defendants. This case was filed a year-and-a-half ago in May of 2001 . And an

Answer was filed by Mr. Sax's firm over a year ago. The Answer didn't assert as any affirmative defenses that proskauer had done any incorrect work or had otherwise breached the Retainer Agreement.

The defenses were that we actually billed for work not performed and that the value of the - the bills didn't match the - the reasonableness of the services performed. So there was no allegation of any improper work performed by Proskauer.

It wasn't until August of this year, after Your Honor entered a couple of orders requiring the defendants to serve Answers to Interrogatories, that the defendants asserted for the first time that Proskauer had incorrectly done work; and therefore, they should be able to avoid paying our bills. It wasn't pled anywhere in any of the pleadings. And it wasn't until a year and a three months after the lawsuit was filed that we first learned that they were going to attempt to put this theory on at trial.

At the time $I$ received the interrogatory answers, the matter was already set on Your

Honor's trial docket.
It came as a surprise to us because we didn't know for a year-and-a-half that they were going to try and put this type of case on at trial. It further completely complicates the case and turns an otherwise simple bill collection matter over on its head.

And there's cases that we cited in the motion. Nash versus wells Fargo case. It's a Florida Supreme Court, 1996, it says, if you don't assert an affirmative defense, you can't prove it at trial. It's a waiver.

The Con-Dev of Vero Beach versus Casano case, Fourth District, also says, which we cite in the motion, that a defendant has an obligation to plead any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense.

And the First District in Joseph Bucheck Construction that we also cited says that Rule $1.10(d)$ requires a defendant to plead any manner which avoids the action which the plaintifi is not bound to prove in the first instance in support of it, but which under the Rules of Evidence, the defendant must firmly
establish.
The Nash case says that negligence is a defense and you have to plead it. The con-Dev case says that plaintiff's breach of a contract under which it sues is an affirmative defense and you have to plead it.

A year-and-a-half after the lawsuit's filed when the case is already set for trial, a set of interrogatory answers telling us that we did work incorrectly is not fair.

THE COURT: When are we set for trial in this case?

MR. PRUSASKI: December 16 th .

THE COURT: It's set for docket call on December $16 t h$, correct?

MR. PRUSASKI: We've already --
MR. SELZ: We're set. We're set.
THE COURT: You're specially set.
MR. SELZ: For December 16th, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How long do you think it's going to take to try the case?

MR. SEIZ: I think we estimated it would be three days.

MR. PRUSASKI: We thought it would be a day-and-a-half, two days before we got these
interrogatory answers.
THE COURT: You're going to get what you reserved at the docket call, and that's all.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, if $I$ may, very briefly, what we've got here right now is a situation where discovery is still pending. We have not gotten production from --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Look, you went to docket call. You've answered ready. I specially set you for trial. You're specially set to go for December 16th. I don't understand. Why is discovery still pending? I don't care about that. That's your problem.

MR. SELZ: Well, Your Honor, it is,
except what we have is we've had a very difficult time getting any documents from opposing counsel and from - and Proskauer Rose. As a matter of fact, my client has had no access to any of the documents which would support his impression that Proskauer Rose did or did not do what they were supposed to do.

THE COURT: The problem $I$ have with that
is in these civil cases, you notice them for trial whenever you want to notice it for trial. And once you notice it for trial, you get a
trial date nine months later. What happens is you guys do things at the end and then you want to squeeze it all in at the end. It's not my problem that we are here at the eve of trial and you're telling me you can't get these documents. I've been here every morning. I haven't missed one day, except for the two weeks vacation $I$ took this summer. Where have you been?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, with regard to discovery, this is what we've got. Mr. Prusaski, I've been asking him for dates since September on two deponents for Proskauer Rose that we wanted to depose. He objected to one. Your Honor the other day ruled that, yes, we could take the deposition of Ken Rubenstein. I asked him both for dates for Ken Rubenstein and Chris Wheeler, the two people we want to depose. He's now telling me their only available dates, which I've been requesting since September, are after the discovery cutoff date, November 15 th.

THE COURT: Like I said, if you're having problems with discovery, then come and see me and I'll make a ruling. But now you're telling
me that you're going to do discovery up until the date of trial. Now you want to amend pleadings. You want to allege things that were not in the pleadings. And all of a sudden, you want to put the case into a tailspin. Why should I do that? You've got a trial date. You're specially set. You told me you were ready. You're ready means I can call you tomorrow and you can try the case.

Yes?
MR. PRUSASKI: All I can say is that we have depositions set in the next week when we two depositions, their corporate rep. Your Honor, we were here last week. I have to fly to California for that. When I take those depositions, we're ready for trial. This case has been pending for a year-and-a-half.

And with all due respect, judge, it's a red herring. The law says they can't put in some sort of complicated quasi malpractice case in a bill paying case when they didn't plead it over a year ago. They can't spring this on us at the last minute. The law protects us in that regard.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, their request -THE COURT: On this motion, you got anything else you wish to argue?

MR. SELZ: On this motion -- The only
other thing that I've got on this motion, Your Honor, right now, is the fact that clearly, my clients didn't have access to these documents. We've been making requests for production. We don't have a good faith basis right now to be able to plead an affirmative defense we don't have any proof of. My client has a feeling there was a problem, but if he doesn't have the evidence to back it up, then it would be a frivolous attempt on his part to plead it.

So to that extent Your Honor, I believe that we have acted diligently. We're trying to get these documents from the plaintiff to be able to show up this possible -- If it exists. If it doesn't exist and there's no basis in the documents that we get or in the testimony of the deponents, then we won't be able to assert it, anyway, Your Honor. And that's the point. If it does exist in those documents and there's something that shows there's a conflict of interest or some other problem that

Proskauer Rose was involved in, then certainly, the first opportunity we have that evidence is when we're going to present it.

THE COURT: In the case of Noble -
N-o-b-l-e - versus Martin Memorial Hospital, 710 So. 2d. 567, Fourth DCA 1997, the Fourth District held that, there comes a point in litigation where each party is entitled to some finality. The rules of liberality gradually diminishes as the case progresses to trial."

The case -- We had docket call.
Everybody answered ready. And the case is set for trial in a month or so, a bit over a month. And here we are at this juncture, you're telling the other side that now we're going to rely on pretty much malpractice as a defense, and for that reason, we shouldn't have to pay you.

This case has been around for a year-and-a-half. And there has to come a point in time where the trial judges should draw the line. So I'm going to grant the motion in Iimine. Okay. And that's granted. And you can go to trial on what you've got.

MR. SELZ: Okay.

KEN SCHANZER \& ASSOCIATES, INC. (954) 922-2660

THE COURT: Okay. Now what's the next thing?

MR. SELZ: The next thing, Your Honor, is an objection to Requests. for production. May I approach?

THE COURT: Sure can.
MR. SELZ: Your Honor, basically, we had a list of documents. And they relate to Proskauer Rose's representation of Iviewit and the Iviewit companies. And basically, it goes to requesting their files, any patent trademark, copyright, trade secret --

But let's get the broader picture. The broader picture here is when $I$ transmitted these to Mr. Prusaski on September l9th, his his response was that they had documents - his recent response, as well is he's got documents. He's got about 80 red rope binders with all kinds of documents in them that he hasn't reviewed or considered with regard to a privilege log or preparing a privilege log, and that he would give me access to those 80 red ropes if $I$ dropped anything concerning anything else other than what's in their specific file concerning the Iviewit companies.

Again, Your Honor, their position all along has been that their representation was limited to Iviewit. And there is actually only one company here that signed the Retainer Agreement with Proskauer Rose.

So what we want to do is take a look at all the documents concerning any of these other entities, if they exist, if they represented the former president of Iviewit who signed the Retainer Agreement, if they represented him individually, any of those people concerning clients of Proskauer there might have been conflicts with which they should have presented and didn't present to Iviewit as part of their representation.

And again, Your Honor, the key here is this - this request has been outstanding since approximately mid september and we haven't received any documents, other than a blanket objection saying, effectively, that they think it's overbroad, that it's designed to harass, that we're asking for scheduling of meeting rooms and things like that at Proskauer's offices.

Again, Your Honor, part of our position
is that they were involved and people in the firm were involved. And they're not reflected on their billing records. And that we were billed for things not directly involving Proskauer.

I think that was one of the defenses that was raised was that -- It goes ahead and says, that plaintiff's claims do not state cause of against the defendants to whom the invoices attached to the Amended Complaint were directed.

One of our affirmative defenses, they billed us for work that was done for other parties which are not parties to this action, and rightfully, should not be part of the claims against Iviewit, the defendants here.

So what we're asking for, Your Honor, is - is this plethora of documents, but the plethora of documents, Your Honor, is designed to show up these defenses, Your Honor, and to provide us with the documents relating to these defenses.

THE COURT: What do you say?
MR. PRUSASKI: The Request for Production was served one day before the discovery cutoff.

It was the first time the defendants had ever attempted to get documents in a year-and-a-half. So we filed a motion for protective order because it wasn't timely. And Your Honor subsequently extended the discovery cutoff.

We have never had a problem showing Proskauer's file to the former clients because under the law, they have a right to look at it. The file takes up an entire conference room table. It was corporate work that was performed over a course of several years.

However, if they had just asked to come and look at the file, I would have said, yeah, come look at it next week.

But what they did was the corporate representative of the defendants on his home computer typed up this Request for Production. It's 35 document requests of what he thinks should be in our file.

If he had said, we'd like to come look at the file, it would not have been an issue. But what they did was this lay person has asked for copies of the personal employment file of several of Proskauer's attorneys who worked on
their case, home telephone records of proskauer attorneys, Palm pilot records of Proskauer attorneys, Proskauer's meeting room schedules from its New York and Florida offices. And most importantly and most offensively, they have asked for all of the Non-Compete Agreements that Proskauer's ever prepared for any client.

So how am I supposed to respond to this in good faith?

I have told counsel that if the court resolves this today or if they withdraw this Request for Production, he can come and look at the file next week. I'll go through it. I'll check to make sure that there's no documents that have been incorrectly kept in the file for other clients. And he can come and spend as much time with it as he wants. But they're insisted on pursuing this document request.

THE COURT: I think in all my time in practicing law and on the bench, I have never seen a Request for Production so broad as this thing is.

I mean, let's look at one. Number four, you want all transactions for Ellen Degeneris,

Alanis Morrisette, Simon Bernstein, Huizenga Holdings. I mean, it goes on and on. Wachovia, Webcasts, Hollywood.Com, Notes, Subscription Agreements, Brian Utley, Michael Reale, Disney.

MR. SELZ: If I may, Your Honor, the reason is because --

MR. PRUSASKI: They want personal employment files of lawyers.

MR. SELZ: -- Proskauer Rose was representing Iviewit in negotiations with those various parties.

And the idea here, Your Honor, again is basically that those parties, to the extent that Proskauer Rose may have been representing them, constituted some kind of conflict or -We got billed or Iviewit is being billed for work that is done concerning those matters.

Again, Your Honor, we don't have access to any of the documents so far.

THE COURT: He says you can go look at them.

MR. PRUSASKI: They would have had access a year-and-a-half ago if they asked for .THE COURT: What I'm going to do, I'm
going to grant the protective order. This request for documents is just way too overbi-ad.

And if you wish, I'm going to order him to make whatever they have available at his office and you go look at it. Spend a day or two over there looking at it. And you clip whatever you need to clip. And he'll make copies for you. If you object to that, then come back and see me.

MR. PRUSASKI: I've told counsel that he can come next week, when $I$ come back from California to take his client's depo since he wouldn't appear here. Anytime next week, he can come look through it. And that's been the offer all along.

MR. SELZ: Your Honor, so on the record then, Mr. Prusaski will make those available to me next week --

MR. PRUSASKI: Yeah.
MR. SELZ: So that $I$ go ahead and examine them next week.

MR. PRUSASKI: Yeah.
THE COURT: Sure. He'll put them there on the conference table for you. You give him
whatever leeway he needs. And you take whoever you need to with you, sit down and take a look. What you do is take a bunch of these things and -- Post 'ems.

MR. SELZ: And post it, yes.
THE COURT: Post whatever you need. If there's anything that you feel is privileged, just make a note of and come back and see me and I'll cross that bridge when $I$ get there. MR. PRUSASKI: Your Honor, I'm prepared to go through and make a log if there's any documents that are subject to privilege.

THE COURT: According to the Tigg case, you have to or you waive it.

MR. PRUSASKI: Your Honor pointed it out to us, and we told everybody in our office about the case. We have it up on the bulletin board.

THE COURT: All right, guys.
(Thereupon, at 8:32 a.m., the hearing was concluded.)

## COURT CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA )
COUNTY OF BROWARD )
I, TAMARA EMERICK-MASCI, Registered
Professional Reporter, certify that $I$ was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.

DATED THIS 5th day of November, 2002.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend to Assert Counterclaim for Damages. Having heard argument of counsel, reviewed the moving papers, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendants' motion is DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this $\qquad$ day of February, 2003.

FEB 042003
JORGE LABARGA, CIRCUJT,CQURT JUDGE
Copies furnished:
Matthew Triggs, Esc \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W, Boca i m, Florida 33431; and

Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220. Palm Beach, Florida 33480.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITION OF

 KENNETH RUBENSTEIN AND CHRISTOPHER WHEELERThis matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Continue Deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendants' Motion to continue deposition of Christopher Wheeler is hereby
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 $1 / 2$ day only.
2. Defendant's Motion to continue deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein is hereby
granter, limiter to only those questions obthleten to at the
 3. $\qquad$ O日ThLTIAS Ry PLAINTIFF.
(3.) The countnuaten or these dowositians ant not to de. used for hanimasmant.

DOYZ AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, $20^{\circ}$ day of March, 2003.

Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.


214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boa Rato, FL 33431 FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' AMENDED EXHIBIT LIST

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order of this Court hereby file this, their amended exhibit list in this matter.

## Exhibits:

1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
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3. Plaintiff's correspondence to Defendants as to billing statements and application of payments.
4. January 31, 2000 billing statement, \#343838 from Plaintiff.
5. January 31, 2000 billing statement, \#343840 from Plaintiff.
6. February 17,2000 billing statement, \#34659 from Plaintiff.
7. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349188 from Plaintiff.
8. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349189 from Plaintiff.
9. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349190 from Plaintiff.
10. March 15, 2000 billing statement, \#349191 from Plaintiff.
11. March 16, 2000 billing statement, \#349191 from Plaintiff.
12. March 21, 2000 billing statement, \#349859 from Plaintiff.
13. March 21, 2000 billing statement, \#349888 from Plaintiff.
14. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352748 from Plaintiff.
15. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352749 from Plaintiff.
16. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352750 from Plaintiff.
17. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352751 from Plaintiff.
18. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352752 from Plaintiff.
19. April 18, 2000 billing statement, \#352753 from Plaintiff.
20. April 30,2000 billing statement, \#354153 from Plaintiff.
21. May 30, 2000 billing statement, \#356497 from Plaintiff.
22. May 30, 2000 billing statement, \#356503 from Plaintiff.
23. June 30,2000 billing statement, \#360344 from Plaintiff.
24. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363830 from Plaintiff.
25. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363831 from Plaintiff.
26. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363832 from Plaintiff.
27. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363833 from Plaintiff. 28. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363834 from Plaintiff.
28. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363835 from Plaintiff.
29. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363836 from Plaintiff.
30. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363837 from Plaintiff.
31. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363841 from Plaintiff.
32. July 21, 2000 billing statement, \#363844 from Plaintiff.
33. July 30, 2000 billing statement, \#363970 from Plaintiff.
34. August 22, 2000 billing statement, \#368322 from Plaintiff.
35. August 24, 2000 billing statement, \#368777 from Plaintiff.
36. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371752 from Plaintiff.
37. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371505 from Plaintiff.
38. September 20, 2000 billing statement, \#371753 from Plaintiff.
39. October 13, 2000 billing statement, \#376650 from Plaintiff.
40. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380021 from Plaintiff.
41. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380022 from Plaintiff.
42. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380023 from Plaintiff.
43. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380024 from Plaintiff.
44. November 17, 2000 billing statement, \#380025 from Plaintiff.
45. November 30, 2000 billing statement, \#381460 from Plaintiff.
46. December 6, 2000 billing statement, \#382111 from Plaintiff.
47. January 18, 2001 billing statement, \#387122 from Plaintiff.
48. February 9, 2001 billing statement, \#391231 from Plaintiff.
49. March 6, 2001 billing statement, \#394765 from Plaintiff.
50. March 12, 2001 billing statement, \#395537 from Plaintiff.
51. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399271 from Plaintiff.
52. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399272 from Plaintiff.
53. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399273 from Plaintiff.
54. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399274 from Plaintiff.
55. April 6, 2001 billing statement, \#399275 from Plaintiff.
56. April 11, 2001 billing statement, \#400158 from Plaintiff.
57. All transcriptions of depositions taken in this matter.
58. All minutes of Board of Directors meetings for Iviewit regarding limitations on spending by Brian Utley for legal services.
59. All correspondence by and between Brian Utley and Plaintiff regarding services rendered and billing statements.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List pending completion of discovery in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $15^{\text {Th }}$ day of March, 2003.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Paln 1 Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409


FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

CA 01-04671 AB

## RE-NOTICE OF HEARING

(Uniform Motion Calendar)
(Re-notices Hearing of $3 / 6 / 03$ at request of Plaintiff's Counsel)

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. and
Matt Triggs, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Thursday, March 20, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion to Continue Depositions
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this _It_ day of March, 2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

## SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.

214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561ぬ820-9409
Fax: (56) 833-9715
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITIONS

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order requiring Christopher Wheeler, Esq. and Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq., both partners of the Plaintiff, to appear for their continued depositions in these matters and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein was commenced on October 29, 2002, at which time the Defendants had yet to be provided with access to discovery documents in this matter.
2. That Christopher Wheeler's deposition was commenced on October 31,
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2002 and was not completed at that time and concluded at approximately 4:30 PM, at which time counsel for Defendants indicated that he would need to continue such deposition and which the Plaintiff's counsel indicated the he was unwilling to continue same for another day.
3. That the Defendant requires to continue with the depositions of both Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter so that discovery may be completed.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order directing that the Defendants may continue the depositions of Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $77 \pi$ day of February 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 200-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA 

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITIONS

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order requiring Christopher Wheeler, Esq. and Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq., both partners of the Plaintiff, to appear for their continued depositions in these matters and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein was commenced on October 29, 2002, at which time the Defendants had yet to be provided with access to discovery documents in this matter.
2. That Christopher Wheeler's deposition was commenced on October 31,

2002 and was not completed at that time and concluded at approximately 4:30 PM, at which time counsel for Defendants indicated that he would need to continue such deposition and which the Plaintiff's counsel indicated the he was unwilling to continue same for another day.
3. That the Defendant requires to continue with the depositions of both Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter so that discovery may be completed.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order directing that the Defendants may continue the depositions of Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this $28^{\text {ru }}$ day of February 2003 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) \&33-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Thursday, March 6, 2003.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion to Continue Depositions
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this $2 \Omega \pi^{\sim}$ day of February,

2003 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE $15^{\text {TH }}$ JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEPOSITIONS

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order requiring Christopher Wheeler, Esq. and Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq., both partners of the Plaintiff, to appear for their continued depositions in these matters and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. That the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein was commenced on October 29, 2002, at which time the Defendants had yet to be provided with access to discovery documents in this matter.
2. That Christopher Wheeler's deposition was commenced on October 31,

2002 and was not completed at that time and concluded at approximately 4:30 PM, at which time counsel for Defendants indicated that he would need to continue such deposition and which the Plaintiff's counsel indicated the he was unwilling to continue same for another day.
3. That the Defendant requires to continue with the depositions of both Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter so that discovery may be completed.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order directing that the Defendants may continue the depositions of Kenneth Rubenstein and Christopher Wheeler in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been
 Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431 .

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By:
STEYEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO STRIKE ITEMS 3, 4 AND 5 ON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on February 13, 2003 on the Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List. After being duly advised in the premises, it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's Motion is asa devin ur putt.
(i) The pantie ague that intern 4 ard 5 m Defendants trial Exhibit
List are stricken.

DONE and ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida,
(2) The Defendants may have -1 na banded, lecineif) cory service peith-up all of Prastavesis Arienit files (pertaining to the prim representation of trienit by Prarkaces) and cory the files at Ariurt's expense. t ho foll while they in the
(3) The Defendants shall serve an amended exhibit list no later that mach 15,2003 specifically listing those do cuments

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two Lrienit from Prashaves 'jules that the Defendants propane te uhf at that.
this 13 day of February, 2003.


Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boa Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79 , Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant. Iviewit.com, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as foilows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit.com, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated this $\underline{11}^{\mathrm{TH}}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
(561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February $\underline{I l}^{\text {TH }}, 2003$, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., this Proposal for Settlement pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442 and Section 768.79, Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement as follows:

1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant. Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall pay to the Plaintiff the sum of $\$ 75,000.00$ (Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars).
b. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs.
4. The non-monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:
a. Plaintiff shall execute and file a notice of dropping party with prejudice in favor of Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc.
b. Defendant, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., shall execute and deliver to Plaintiff a general release in favor of the Plaintiff, its partners, attorneys, and employees.

This proposal shall remain open for a period of 30 days from the date of service or until withdrawn in writing, whichever occurs first. Failure by the Defendant to accept this offer in writing within 30 days from the date of the service shall constitute a rejection of this proposal, and may result in appropriate sanctions being imposed by the Court, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Dated this $1^{\text {th }^{\text {h }}}$ day of February, 2003.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340 West
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
C D A
Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February lth, 2003, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFFS PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT TO DEFENDANT, IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby serves upon Defendant, Iviewit

1.442 and Section 768.79 , Florida Statutes. The terms of this proposal shall be for the settlement


1. This proposal is made by the Plaintiff and is directed to Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2. This proposal will resolve all pending claims between the Plaintiff and Defendant, Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
3. The monetary terms of this proposal are as follows:

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on Februaryllur $\underline{l^{t h}}$, 2003, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO ASSERT COUNTERCLAIM FOR DAMAGES

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Defendants' Motion for Leave to Amend to Assert Counterclaim for Damages. Having heard argument of counsel, reviewed the moving papers, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. Defendants' motion is DENIED.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this $\qquad$ day of February, 2003.


Copies furnished:
Matthew Triggs, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W, Boca Raton, Florida 33431; and

Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenuc, Suite 220. Palm Beach, Florida 33480.

$$
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CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

> Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## RE-NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List
DATE: Thursday, February 13, 2003
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

$$
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
CASE NUMBER: CL 014671 AB
Plaintiff(s),
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC, et al
Defendant(s).

## ORDER RESETTING NON JURY TRIAL

NOTICE
This order contains deadlines and other requirements which will be strictly enforced. Please take the time to read this order carefully and immediately. Additionally, please take the time to diary all deadlines now.

THIS CAUSE, after having been properly noticed for trial, is hereby scheduled to be tried for 2 days sometime during the three (3) month docket commencing MARCH 31, 2003.

It is further ORDERED as follows:
i) CALENDAR CALL shall be held on FRIDAY, MARCH 14, 2003 at 9:00 A.M. in Courtroom 11A, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida. ${ }^{1}$

[^16]Judge Labarga's Order Setting Non Jury Trial
Page 2
A) All attorneys of record, or parties not represented by counsel, must be present at calendar call and must arrive on time (the Court will not wait). Attorneys shall not send paralegals, secretaries or any other person who is not a member of the Florida Bar to cover calendar call.
B) All attorneys (or parties if unrepresented) are ORDERED to bring their scheduling calendars to the calendar call.
C) The case will be assigned a SPECIAL SETTING during the three (3) month docket at calendar call. Once the case is specially set, it will not be continued or removed. Therefore, MAKE SURE TO BRING YOUR CALENDAR AND THAT IT IS UP TO DATE.
D) The Court WILL NOT entertain requests for continuances during calendar call. Motions for continuance MUST be raised PRIOR to calendar call during the Uniform Motion Calendar.

## 2) MOTION PRACTICE PHASE ENDS AND TRIAL PHASE BEGINS

Upon taking the bench at 9:30 A. M. on the first day of the trial of your special
setting, the motion practice phase of the case ends and the trial phase begins.
A) The Court WILL NOT hear any motions during the trial phase that should have been heard during the motion practice phase of the case. This includes objections raised during depositions, or any other motion that should have been heard during the nine month to one year period that the case was on the docket awaiting calendar call. ${ }^{2}$
3) Ali parties are ordered to participate in MEDIATION which must occur no later than

45 days prior to calendar call. If anyone has a problem scheduling mediation, the Court is available every day (except Fridays) during the Uniform Motion Docket to deal with the problem. Again, DO

[^17]Judge Labarga's Order Setting Non Jury Trial Page 3

NOT WAIT until the last minute.
4) All parties are ordered to comply with the UNIFORM PRETRIAL

ORDER. Material non-compliance with this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, the striking of pleadings, the striking of the case from the docket or other such action as justice requires.
5) If this cause is disposed of for any reason (settlement) at any time prior to the trial date, you are hereby ordered to immediateiy advise the undersigned judge so that we may provide the backup case with as much notice as possible.

DONE AND ORDERED this 18th day of December 18, 2002, at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida.

Copies furmished to attorncys/parties listed on notice/motion:
Christopher Prusaski, Esq
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Steven Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Avenue,Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO VACATE PRIOR ORDER REQUIRING FILING OF PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on December 11, 2002 on the Defendants' Motion to Vacate Prior Order Requiring Filing of Pre-Trial Stipulation, and the Court after hearing argument of counsel and being otherwise fully advised in the premises it is thereupon ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The parties shall file a Joint Pretrial Stipulation no later than January 6, 2003.
2. The trial of this matter is to be reset on the Court's next docket.

DONE and ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this $\qquad$
SIGNED AND DATED
DEC 162002
ncepe darpa Lumary
Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge
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$\mathbb{N}$ THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT $\mathbb{N}$ AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## RE-NOTICE OF HEARING <br> Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendant's Exhibit List
DATE: December 18, 2002
TIME: 8:45 arm.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

## PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse

 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 27 day of November, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LIP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 27 day of November, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

> Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

## NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

## Defendants' Motion to Vacate Prior Order Requiring Filing Of Pre-Trial Stipulation

DATE: December 11, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY

The undersigned counsel, Christopher W. Prusaski, files this Notice of Unavailability for the dates hereinafter: Thursday, November 28, 2002 through and including Friday,

December 6, 2002, and respectfully requests that no matters be scheduled during this time; that no pleadings to be filed which require a timely response during this time; and that all pending matters remain in status quo during this time.

This $25^{\mathrm{TH}}$ day of November, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Bock Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 35 day of November, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
$\qquad$

## FOURTH RE-NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

## DEPONENT

Christopher Wheeler, Esq.

## DATE AND TIME LOCATION

November 21, 2002, 10:00 am

214 Brazilian Avenue

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
Suite 200-Conference
Room
Palm Beach, FL 33480

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions.

$$
1120102
$$

These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

## PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $\angle C^{\top}$-_ day of November, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (56h)820-9409
Fax: (56) 33-9715

By:


FBN: 777420

CC: Ken Schanzer \& Associates (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 83I-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

## FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number: (561) 241-7145

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 11/20/02 9:20 AM
File \# $\qquad$
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 3
RE: IVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION

## Comments: AS DISCUSSED

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_x_ Regular Mail ___ Federal Express ___ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

## HP Fax K1220

## Last Transaction

| Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 20 9:34am | Fax Sent | 2417145 | $1: 16$ | 3 | OK |  |

Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Nov 202002 9:35am

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO VACATE PRIOR ORDER REQUIRING FILING OF PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order vacating the prior pre-trial order in this matter as to the filing of the pre-trial stipulation and as grounds therefore would state as follows:

1. This matter was originally set for a 4 day non-jury trial commencing December 16, 2002.
2. That this Court, pursuant to its order of November 14, 2002, struck this matter from the trial docket and advised the parties to re-set the matter for trial based
on the availability of the corporate representative of the Defendant, due to the illness of the corporate representative's wife.
3. That despite such clear instructions, counsel for the Plaintiff is insistent that the filing of a pre-trial stipulation is necessary at this time.
4. That as this matter has been stricken from the trial docket, it is no longer at issue and the filing of a pre-trial stipulation is not only unnecessary but premature.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order vacating the prior established pre-trial deadlines in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this $20^{\pi}$ day of November, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Befoch, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) 833-9715
By
STENEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

# Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. 

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

## FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET <br> FAX Number: (561) 241-7145

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 11/20/02 4:27 PM File \# $\qquad$

Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 3
RE: IVIEWIT.COM
Document(s) Attached: MOTION
Comments: NONE

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
_x_Regular Mail ___ Federal Express __ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED AbOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of tilis COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

Log for
SELZ MUVDI SELZ
5618339715
Nov 192002 11:24am

## Last Transaction

| Date | Time | Type | Identification | Duration | Pages | $\underline{\text { Result }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 19 | 11:23am Fax Sent | 2417145 | $0: 51$ | 3 | OK |  | FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

## NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boa Rato, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

## DEPONENT

Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq.

DATE AND TIME
November 20, 2002, 11:00 am

## LOCATION

Proskauer Rose LLP
1585 Broadway
New York, NY 10036

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions. These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or

$$
1119102
$$

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,

## v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S SECOND NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' NONCOMPLIANCE IN PREPARING JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:

1. By Order dated October 2, 2002, the Pretrial Stipulation was to be filed in this matter no later than November 20, 2002. A copy of this Court's Order dated October 2, 2002 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
2. Proskauer prepared and faxed a proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants on November 5, 2002 with a cover letter requesting counsel's cooperation in reviewing and proposing any comments or changes to the proposed stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's November 5, 2002 letter and attached proposed Pretrial Stipulation is attached
hereto as Exhibit 2. The proposed Pretrial Stipulation was originally sent to counsel for the Defendants in September, 2002, as a previous Agreed Order set the due date for the Pretrial Stipulation for September 24, 2002.
3. Subsequent to sending the proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants, counsel for Proskauer sent counsel for the Defendants another letter on November 18,2002 seeking the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants so that the Pretrial Stipulation could be filed on time. A copy of Proskauer's November 18, 2002 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
4. Counsel for the Defendants has failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise cooperate in any way in participating in the preparation of the Pretrial Stipulation.
5. Despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
6. This is the second time in this litigation that Proskauer has moved the Court for permission to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement due to counsel for the Defendants' refusal to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise participate in the preparation of a Pretrial Stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's first Notice of Defendants' Noncompliance is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 4, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 19 day of November, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 19,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

## ORDER ON MOTION TO VACATE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Order and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED/DENIED.


DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this $\qquad$ day of October, 2002.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - . - \& }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attomeys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

```
2. ilades Road
Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431-7360
Telephone 561.241.7400 NEW YORK
Elsewhere in Florida LOS ANGELES
800.432.7746

November 5, 2002

\section*{Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.}

Dear Steve:
Please find enclosed for your review and comment a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation. This is the same document that I sent to you in September. Since the Court granted Proskauer's motion in limine this morning, I think that the issues in this lawsuit are framed clearly so that we can work on preparing the stipulation. Since the stipulation must be filed by November 20, 2002, I would appreciate it if you would note any suggested changes on the stipulation and fax the changes to me next week.

Very truly yours,


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem
Encl.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DRAFG JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LL.P ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (Nov. 18, 2002).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;

\section*{DRAFT}
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "B" total \(\$ 369,460.97\); and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

\section*{DRAFT}
h. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of \(\$ 369,460.97\);
i. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
j. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
k. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
1. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is \(\$ 369,460.97\);
m. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive permission from the Defendants to perform; and
n. Whether Proskauer or, alternatively, the Defendants are entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
5. The trial will last approximately 2-3 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

\section*{DRAFT}

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525
Dated: Nov. \(\qquad\) , 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
Attorneys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Ave., Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409
Facsimile: (561) 833-9715

\section*{DRAFT}

Steven M. Selz
Florida Bar No. 777420

Dated: Nov. __, 2002.

\title{
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
}

\section*{Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.}

Dear Steve:
As you know, the Court entered an Order requiring the parties to file a Joint Pretrial Stipulation no later than November 20, 2002. I sent you a proposed stipulation on November 5th (the same proposed stipulation that we initially sent in September). A copy of the letter and the proposed stipulation are attached. I requested that you review the proposed stipulation and return any comments to me by the week of November 11th. I have not heard anything from you regarding the proposed stipulation and the filing deadline is two days away.

Please contact me today so that we can get the stipulation filed.


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem
Encl.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting
[Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, and pursuant to this Court's Order dated October 2, 2002, hereby files its Unilateral Pretrial Statement as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List; and
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " A " were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " total \(\$ 369,460.97\); and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "B" have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;
h. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of \(\$ 369,460.97\);
i. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
j. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
k. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
1. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is \(\$ 369,460.97\);
m. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive permission from the Defendants to perform; and
n. Whether Proskauer or, alternatively, the Defendants are entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses are attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' list of trial exhibits and trial witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit " \(B\)," along with Proskauer's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Trial Exhibit List.
5. The trial will last approximately 2-3 days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

This \(\qquad\) day of November, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15 TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS' NONCOMPLIANCE IN
PREPARING JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order permitting it to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in this matter and awarding Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of the Defendants in preparing a Pretrial Stipulation and as good grounds therefore states as follows:
1. By Agreed Order dated July 18, 2002, the Pretrial Stipulation was to be filed in this matter no later than September 24, 2002.
2. Proskauer prepared and faxed a proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants on September 19, 2002 with a cover letter requesting counsel's cooperation in reviewing and proposing any comments or changes to the proposed stipulation. A copy of Proskauer's September 19, 2002 letter and attached proposed Pretrial Stipulation is attached hereto as Exhibit " 1. ."
3. Subsequent to sending the proposed Pretrial Stipulation to counsel for the Defendants, counsel for Proskauer sent counsel for the Defendants two letters and left several telephone messages, again requesting the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants so that the Pretrial Stipulation could be filed on time. Copies of the letters dated September 20, 2002 and September 23, 2002 are attached hereto as Exhibits " 2 " and " 3 ," respectively. In fact, counsel for Proskauer was able to reach counsel for the Defendants on September 23, 2002, and counsel for the Defendants represented that he would call counsel for Proskauer back to discuss the proposed Pretrial Stipulation. He did not do so.
4. Counsel for the Defendants has failed to communicate with counsel for Proskauer or otherwise cooperate in any way in participating in the preparation of the Pretrial Stipulation.
5. Despite Proskauer's diligent efforts to prepare a Pretrial Stipulation pursuant to the Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions, Proskauer has no choice but to request that the Court permit the filing of a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit " 4 ."

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court permit Proskauer to file a Unilateral Pretrial Statement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "4," award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in preparing this motion, award Proskauer its attorney's fees and costs incurred in its efforts to obtain the cooperation of counsel for the Defendants relating to the Pretrial Stipulation, and awarding any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 24 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561)241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERYICE}

I certify that on September 24, 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


September 19, 2002
Via Fax and U.S. Mail
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Seiz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc., et al.}

Dear Mr. Selz:
Please find enclosed for your review a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation. The Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed with the Court no later than Tuesday, September 24, 2002. Therefore, I would be most appreciative if you would review the enclosed stipulation and call me at your earliest convenience.

I look forward to hearing from you.


Christopher W. Prusaski
CWP/kem
Encl.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{DRAFE JOINT PRETRIAL STIPULATION}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), and Defendants, Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Iviewit Technologies, Inc., pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby file their Joint Pretrial Stipulation as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion in Limine (set for hearing on Nov. 4, 2002); and
b. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Items 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List (set for hearing on Oct. 3, 2002).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;

\section*{DRAFT}
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(A\) " were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " B " total \(\$ 369,460.97\); and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

\section*{DRAFT}
h. Whether Proskauer provided Defendants with statements of account reflecting a balance owed of \(\$ 369,460.97\);
i. Whether the Defendants knowingly and voluntarily accepted the legal services provided by Proskauer and retained the benefit conferred;
j. Whether the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable for the Defendants to retain the benefit without paying the value thereof to Proskauer;
k. Whether the Defendants have been unjustly enriched in an amount representing the reasonable value of the legal services provided by Proskauer for which payment has not been received;
1. Whether the reasonable value for the services rendered by Proskauer for which payment has not been received is \(\$ 369,460.97\);
m. Whether Proskauer billed the Defendants for services which Proskauer did not receive permission from the Defendants to perform; and
n. Whether Proskauer or, alternatively, the Defendants are entitled to an award of attorney's fees pursuant to section 57.105, Florida Statutes.
4. Plaintiff's lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "A." Defendants' lists of trial exhibits, trial witnesses, and expert witnesses is attached hereto as Composite Exhibit "B."
5. The trial will last approximately \(2-3\) days.
6. The following attorneys are designated trial counsel:

For the Plaintiff:

Matthew Triggs, Esq., David J. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
Attorneys for the Defendants
214 Brazilian Ave., Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
Telephone: (561) 820-9409
Facsimile: (561) 833-9715
DRAFT

\section*{Matthew Triggs}

Florida Bar No. 865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

Dated: Sept. \(\qquad\) , 2002.

Steven M. Selz
Florida Bar No. 777420

Dated: Sept. \(\qquad\) 2002.
2255 as Road
Sute 340 West
Boca Raton, FL 33431.7360
Telephone 561.241 .7400
Elsewhere in Florida
800.432.7746
Fax 561.241 .7145
Christopher W. Prusas ki
Attorney at Law
Direct Dial 561.995 .4767
cprusaski@proskauer.com

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

September 20, 2002

\section*{Via Fax and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Paim Beach, FL 33480
Re.: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc. et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
Yesterday I faxed you a proposed Joint Pretrial Stipulation for your review and comment. Because the stipulation is due on Tuesday, please call me with your comments as soon as possible.

Thank you for your cooperation.


CWP/kem

\section*{Via Fax and U.S. Mail}

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re.: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc. et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
As you know, the Joint Pretrial Stipulation must be filed tomorrow. I faxed you a proposed stipulation last week and, despite a letter on Friday and several telephone messages, I have not heard from you. Please call me so that we can get this project completed and filed on time.


Christopher W. Prusaski

CWP/kem

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S UNILATERAL PRETRIAL STATEMENT}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to this Court's Order Resetting [Non-] Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby files its Unilateral Pretrial Statement as follows:
1. The following is a list of pending motions requiring action by this Court:
a. Proskauer's Motion in Limine (hearing date: November 4, 2002);
b. Proskauer's Motion to Strike the Defendants' Trial Exhibit Lisist (hearing date: October 3, 2002); and
c. Proskauer's Motion for Protective Order (not yet set for hearing).
2. The following facts require no proof at trial:
a. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(A\) " were sent to Iviewit.com, Inc. by Proskauer on or about the date reflected on each invoice;

EXHIBIT
b. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "A" were received by Iviewit.com, Inc. on or about the date reflected on each invoice;
c. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit " \(B\) " total \$369,460.97; and
d. The invoices attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit "B" have not been paid.
3. The following are disputed issues of law and fact to be tried:
a. Whether Proskauer entered into an oral contract with Iviewit.com, Inc., Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Iviewit Holdings, Inc. to provide legal services in exchange for payment for those services;
b. Whether Proskauer performed legal services on behalf of the Defendants;
c. Whether the Defendants breached any contract to provide legal services with Proskauer;
d. Whether Proskauer has suffered damages as a result of any breach of contract by the Defendants and, if so, what are the amount of the damages;
e. Whether Proskauer and Defendants reached an agreement fixing the balance owed by Defendants as \(\$ 369,460.97\);
f. Whether Proskauer rendered statements to the Defendants reflecting the legal work performed by Proskauer;
g. Whether the Defendants objected, in a timely manner, to any statements rendered by Proskauer for legal services;

For the Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esq., David I. George, Esq., and Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose LLP, One Boa Place, Suite 340 West, 2255 Glades Road, Broca Rato, Florida 33431.

For the Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 Palm Beach, FL 33480.
7. Peremptory Challenges: not applicable; non-jury trial.

This 24 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LIP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W.
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 24,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Süite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,

\section*{y.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL WITNESS LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following witnesses that it may call in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq. Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
2. Donald E. Thompson, Esq.

Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West
Boca Raton, FL 33431
3. Joseph R. Cook, Esq. (Expert Witness)

Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.
2200 Corporate Blvd., N.W.
Suite 401
Boca Raton, FL 33431
Mr. Cook will provide expert testimony regarding the reasonableness of the Plaintiff's outstanding invoices to the Defendants. No
written reports have been generated by this expert. A copy of Mr. Cook's curriculum vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
4. Brian G. Utley

9541 Virginia Avenue South
Bloomington, MN 55438
5. Raymond T. Hersh

23077 Via Stel
Boca Raton, FL 33423
6. Gerald Lewin, CPA
c/o Goldstein \& Lewin Accountants
1900 N.W. Corporate Boulevard
East Building - Suite 300
Boca Raton, FL 33431
7. William Kasser

991 N.W. \(9^{\text {th }}\) Street
Boca Raton, FL 33486
8. Simon Bernstein

7020 Lions Head Lane
Boca Raton, FL 33486
9. Eliot Bernstein
c/o Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
10. Maurice Buchsbaum

20805 Cipres Way
Boca Raton, FL
11. All witnesses referenced in Defendants' Exhibit List.
12. All witnesses revealed during the remainder of the discovery period in this case, including any witnesses to be revealed in any documents to be produced or depositions to be conducted.
13. All Impeachment Witnesses.
14. All Rebuttal Witnesses.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile:
Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furmished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


\section*{Josepa R. COOK, EsQ.}

EDUCATION: Graduated, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY, 1974 Magna Cum Laude, Phi Beta Kappa, Omicron Delta Epsilon

Graduated Albany Law School, Albany New York, 1977
Cum Laude
Justinian Honorary Law Society
Legal Writing Instructor, Member and Editor, Albany Law Review

\section*{EMPLOYMENT:}

1977-1979- Appointed Attorney Advisor to The Honorable Charles R. Simpson, United States Tax Court

1979-1984 Associated with Bond, Schoeneck \& King, Boca Raton, Florida
1985 - present Shareholder, Managing Partner, Hunt, Cook, Riggs, Mehr \& Miller, P.A.

\section*{PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS AND ASSOCIATIONS:}

Admitted to practice in New York, Florida, District of Columbia, United States Tax Court, United States Federal Court.

Member, American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Florida Bar Association, South Palm Beach County Bar Association, National Association of Bond Lawyers

\section*{PUBLICATIONS:}

The Continuing Evolution of Industrial Development Bond Financing, Florida Bar Journal, January, 1985 Edition.

The Tax Court: An Historical Analysis. Part V, appearing in the Albany Law Review; 41 Alb. L. Rev. 639 (1977), and 42 Alb. L. Rev. 161 (1978), later reprinted in book form by Commerce Clearing House.

\section*{SPECLAL INTERESTS:}

Lead Counsel for multi-million dollar Bond transactions, involving several law firms, lenders and institutions throughout the country.

EXHIBIT A

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
- York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to the Order Resetting [Non-]Jury Trial and the Uniform Pretrial Instructions dated June 11, 2002, hereby identifies the following exhibits that it may use in connection with the trial of this matter:
1. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343838 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 31,2000
2. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#343840 and itemized billing statement dated Jan.

31, 2000
3. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#346259 and itemized billing statement dated Feb.

17,2000
4. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349188 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

15,2000
5. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349189 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
6. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349190 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
7. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349191 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 15,2000
8. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349378 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 16,2000
9. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349859 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21, 2000
10. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#349888 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 21,2000
11. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352748 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
12. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352749 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
13. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352750 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
14. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352751 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
15. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352752 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 18,2000
16. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#352753 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

18,2000
17. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#354153 and itemized billing statement dated Apr.

30,2000
18. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356497 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
19. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#356503 and itemized billing statement dated May 30,2000
20. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#360344 and itemized billing statement dated June 30,2000
21. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363830 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
22. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363831 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
23. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363832 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
24. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363833 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
25. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363834 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
26. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363835 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
27. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363836 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
28. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363837 and itemized billing statement dated July 21, 2000
29. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363840 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
30. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363841 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
31. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#363844 and itemized billing statement dated July 21,2000
32. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \(\# 363970\) and itemized billing statement dated July 30,2000
33. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368322 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 22, 2000
34. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#368777 and itemized billing statement dated Aug. 24,2000
35. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371752 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20, 2000
36. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371505 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
37. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#371753 and itemized billing statement dated Sept. 20,2000
38. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#376560 and itemized billing statement dated Oct. 13,2000
39. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380021 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
40. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380022 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17,2000
41. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380023 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
42. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380024 and iternized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
43. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#380025 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 17, 2000
44. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#381460 and itemized billing statement dated Nov. 30,2000
45. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#382111 and itemized billing statement dated Dec. 6,2000
46. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#387122 and itemized billing statement dated Jan. 18, 2001
47. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#391231 and itemized billing statement dated Feb. 9, 2001
48. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#394765 and itemized billing statement dated Mar.

6,2001
49. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#395537 and itemized billing statement dated Mar. 12, 2001
50. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399271 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
51. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399272 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
52. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399273 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
53. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399274 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6,2001
54. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#399275 and itemized billing statement dated Apr. 6, 2001
55. Proskauer Rose LLP Invoice \#400158 and itemized biling statement dated Apr. 11,2001
56. Proskauer Rose LLP list of "Open Invoices" for Iviewit
57. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Sept. 8, 1999 ("Re. Engagement Agreement for iviewit LLC")
58. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 12, 1999 ("Re. Additional Payment")
59. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Oct. 25, 1999 ("Re. Reminder Regarding Additional Payment")
60. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Feb. 29, 2000
61. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 9, 2000
62. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 24, 2000
63. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Simon Bernstein dated Mar. 31, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
64. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 10, 2000
65. Memorandum from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated Apr. 11, 2000 ("Re. Payment Plan")
66. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 19, 2000
67. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated May 15, 2000
68. Letter from Brian G. Utley to Christopher C. Wheeler dated May 30, 2000
69. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Dec. 29, 2000 ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
70. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 4, 2001
71. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Jan. 18, 2001 ("Re. January 15 Payment Towards Past-Due Amounts")
72. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Mar. 28, 2001 (4 pages)
73. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 (2 pages) ("Re. Past-Due Accounts")
74. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 16, 2001 with 3page list of open invoices ("Re. Past-Due Invoices")
75. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Brian G. Utley dated Apr. 27, 2001 (3 pages) ("Re. Retainer and Past-Due Accounts)
76. Letter from Christopher C. Wheeler to Ross Miller dated May 31, 2001 ("Re. iviewit.com, Inc. Accounts Receivable")
77. Letter from Ilene S. Schnall, Esq. to Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. dated Jan. 21, 2002 attaching "the only document that [Defendants] have that is responsive to [Proskauer's] First Request for Production of Documents to Defendants."
78. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and the investors defined in the Securities Purchase Agreement dated February 24, 2000 , with subparts 1 through 17 .
79. Closing binder regarding Securities Purchase Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Alpine Venture Capital Partners, LP dated December 13, 2000, with subparts 1 through 15.
80. Closing binder regarding the reorganization of Iviewit.com, LLC, with subparts A through H .
81. Convertible Promissory Note between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Tiedemann Prolow, LLC (Proskauer document \#287912).
82. Warrant Certificate for Purchase of Class B Non-Voting Common Stock (Proskauer document \#287922).
83. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan (Proskauer document \#262554)
84. Stock Option Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Mitch Welsch (Proskauer document \#273367).
85. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and iLearnit, Inc. (Proskauer document \#294195).
86. Agreement and Plan of Exchange between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. (Proskauer document \#744).
87. Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Non-Disclosure and Proprietary Rights Agreement (Proskauer document \#256413).
88. Iviewit Holdings, Inc. Confidential Private Offering Memorandum dated Jan. 14, 2000 (Proskauer document \#259732).
89. Security Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Joan Stark dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc \#248121) and related investment letter dated Jan. 10, 2000 (Proskauer doc. \#248135).
90. Technology License Agreement between Iviewit Technologies, Inc. and Greg Manning Auctions, Inc. (Proskauer doc \#289044).
91. License Agreement between Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and Internet Train, Inc. dated Dec. 29, 1999 (Proskauer doc \#294675).
92. Transcript of deposition of William Kasser, including all exhibits thereto.
93. Transcript of deposition of Brian G. Utley, including all exhibits thereto.
94. Transcript of deposition of Gerald Lewin, CPA, including all exhibits thereto.
95. Transcript of deposition of Raymond Hersh, including all exhibits thereto.
96. Transcript of deposition of any other persons deposed in this matter prior to trial, including all exhibits thereto.
97. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's First Interrogatories.
98. Defendants' Answers to Proskauer's Second Interrogatories.
99. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Request for Admissions.
100. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's First Request for Production.
101. Defendants' Response to Proskauer's Second Request for Production.
102. All pleadings in the Court's file in this action, including all attachments and exhibits thereto.
103. All items identified in Defendants' exhibit list.
104. Documents to be produced by the Defendants pursuant to the Court's orders compelling production.
105. Summaries of Proskauer's financial documentation relating to the billing of the Defendants.
106. Demonstrative exhibits.
107. All rebuttal exhibits.
108. All impeachment exhibits.

As discovery remains ongoing, Proskauer reserves the right to amend this list.

This 18 day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LIL.P,
a New York limited partnership,

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

> Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

CA 01-04671 AB

\section*{DEFENDANTS' WITNESS \& EXHIBIT LIST}

To: David J. George, Esq. \& Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to the Order Setting Jury Trial in this matter hereby file this, their witness and exhibit list in this matter.

\section*{Witnesses:}
1. Raymond Joao- 750 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10022

EXHIBIT B
2. Gerald W. Stanley- 224 Spinnaker Drive, Vero Beach, FL 32963
3. Christopher Wheeler- One Boca Place, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FL 33431
4. Kenneth Rubenstein-1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
5. William Kasser- address unknown
6. Brian Utley-address unknown
7. Elliot Bernstein
8. Simon Bernstein
9. Any and all witnessës listed or called by Plaintiff.

\section*{Exhibits:}
1. All billing statements or other documents attached to the pleadings in this matter.
2. All transcriptions of depositions and exhibits thereto.
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.
6. Any documents or other exhibits listed by the Plaintiff.

Defendants hereby reserves the right to amend this Witness and Exhibit List
pending completion of discovery in this matter.
I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail to the above-listed addressee(s) this. 3 nos day of September, 2002.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (560) 820-9409
Fax: (56) \({ }^{233-9715}\)
By:
STEXEN M.SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ITEMS 3, 4 AND 5 ON DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order striking the items listed as numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' Trial Exhibit List dated September 3, 2002 and in support thereof states as follows:
1. The Defendants' have improperly listed the following "bulk" documents on its trial exhibit list without making any effort to describe the documents such that Proskauer can identify them prior to trial. The Defendants' exhibit list contains, in part, the following:
3. Plaintiff's entire file as to the representation of the Defendants.
4. All documents regarding the patenting of the intellectual property of Defendants.
5. All documents regarding the patenting of intellectual property of Brian Utley.

A copy of the Defendants' exhibit list is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
2. This Court's Uniform Pretrial Instructions attaches the Clerk of the Court's

Guidelines for Preparation of Exhibits for Premarking in Circuit Civil Jury Trials. The Guidelines state as follows:
6. The exhibit lists must be legible and specific, with each exhibit being listed and numbered accordingly.
7. The exhibit lists which state 'all', 'any', and 'any and all' are not acceptable.
(emphasis added). A copy of the Guidelines is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
3. While this matter is a non-jury trial, the Defendants' improper listing of exhibits flies in the face of this Court's guidelines and places Proskauer in a position of prejudice in not knowing what specific documents the Defendants plan to use at trial.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that this Court strike the items listed as Exhibit numbers 3, 4 and 5 on the Defendants' trial exhibit list and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(\mid 8\) day of September, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on September 18,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


\title{
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT, P.O. BOX 3315, WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402
}

CASE NO.: 4D02-4084
L.T. No. : CA 01-4671 AB

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., ETC., ET AL.
v. PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P., ETC.

Appellant / Petitioner(s),
Appellee / Respondent(s).

\section*{BY ORDER OF THE COURT:}

ORDERED that appellee's motion filed October 21, 2002, to dismiss is granted, and the above-styled appeal is hereby dismissed.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true copy of the original court order.

Served:
ch

Dorothy H. Wilken, Clerk Matthew Triggs

Christopher W. Prusaski
Steven M. Selz
h


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AS TO DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on November 5, 2002 on Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order as to the Defendants' Request for Production and the Court, after reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order relating to the Defendants' Request for Production is GRANTED.
2. Counsel for the Defendants shall view the Iviewit file in Plaintiff's possession on Thursday, November 14, 2002 at the Plaintiff's offices in Boca Raton.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this \(\qquad\) day

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on November 5, 2002 on Plaintiff's Motion in Limine and the Court, after reviewing the motion, hearing argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is GRANTED. See Noble v. Martin Mem'l Hosp. Ass'n, Inc., 710 So. 2d 567, 568-69 (Fla. \(4^{\text {th }}\) DCA 1998).

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Floridathis day
SIGNED AND DATED
NOV 122002
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Honorable Jorge Labarga \\
Circuit Court Judge
\end{tabular}

Copies furnished to:

\section*{For Plaintiff:}

Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

\section*{For Defendants:}

Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF FILING TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT OF REPORTER RE NONAPPEARANCE OF WITNESS}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives notice of the filing of the original Telephonic Affidavit of Reporter Re Nonappearance of Witness dated November 7, 2002.

This 11th day of November, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(l^{\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{h}}\) day of November, 2002, a true and correct copy
FedEx
of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mraitto Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

\section*{Plaintiff's Second Motion to Compel the Deposition of the Defendants' Corporate Representative and for Sanctions}

DATE: Thursday, November 14, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(\|^{\text {TH }}\) day of November, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 11th day of November, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{PROSKAUER'S SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR SANCTIONS}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order compelling the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative and awarding Proskauer its attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of Defendants' noncompliance with this Court's previous order dated October 31, 2002. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follows:
1. This matter is currently scheduled for non-jury trial the week of December 16, 2002.
2. On October 31, 2002, this Court entered an order granting Proskauer's previous motion to compel the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein ("Bernstein"), who is a resident of San Diego County, California, and ordering him to appear for deposition on November 7, 2002. A copy of the October 31, 2002 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A copy of Proskauer's previous motion to compel is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
3. During the October 31, 2002 hearing, counsel for the Defendants informed the Court that Bernstein's wife was experiencing complications with her pregnancy. To accomodate this situation, the Court ordered that Bernstein's deposition be taken at his home in Escondido, California.
4. On November 6, 2002, the undersigned counsel for Proskauer flew to San Diego, California to take Bernstein's deposition pursuant to this Court's Order.
5. On November 7, 2002, while the undersigned counsel was enroute to Bernstein's home, counsel for the Defendants called the undersigned counsel for Proskauer and stated that Bernstein's wife's obstetrician had faxed a letter indicating that, due to the wife's pregnancy complications, neither Bernstein nor his wife should be disturbed. A copy of the physician's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
6. Counsel for Proskauer was in Escondido, California, and was ready, willing, and able to proceed with the deposition of Bernstein. However, counsel for the Defendants stated that the deposition could not go forward because of the letter from the physician and that it would not be a good idea for counsel for Proskauer to go to Bernstein's house due to the physical condition of Bernstein's wife.
7. Both counsel for Proskauer and counsel for the Defendants attempted to contact the Court to deal with this issue; however, the Court was in trial and was unavailable.
8. The court reporter entered a Certificate of Non-Appearance and both counsel stated this matter on the record. A copy of the Certificate of Non-Appearance and transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
9. The Defendants have taken every possible measure to avoid having Bernstein`s deposition taken in this matter. The litany of the Defendants' stalling tactics are set forth below:
- At the last minute, Bernstein cancelled his deposition and the mediation conference scheduled for September 12 and 13, 2002 in Florida, respectively, due to his wife's pregnancy.
- After approximately two months of requests for dates when Bernstein's deposition could be rescheduled, Bernstein announced that he refused to appear for his deposition in Florida.
- Proskauer filed a motion to compel Bernstein's deposition and set the motion for hearing on October 31, 2002.
- Proskauer contacted counsel for the Defendants every day for two weeks prior to the October 31, 2002 hearing in an effort to obtain dates on which Bernstein's deposition could be rescheduled. Proskauer's file also contains approximately 20 letters to counsel for the Defendants requesting dates upon which Bernstein would be available for his deposition.
- On the morning of the October 31, 2002 hearing on Proskauer's first motion to compel, counsel for the Defendants stated that Bernstein would only appear by telephone at his deposition due to his wife's pregnancy. The Court resolved this issue by ordering that the deposition be taken at Bernstein's home.
- The day before the November 7, 2002 deposition, while counsel for Proskauer was enroute to California, counsel for the Defendants, at Bernstein's insistence, attempted to change the location of Bernstein's deposition. However, this was never confirmed by counsel for the Defendants.
- Despite this Court's Order, Bernstein refused to have his deposition taken on November 7, 2002, instead having his wife's obstetrician fax a letter to his attorney.
- Counsel for the Defendants acknowledged on the record that he was unable to communicate with Bernstein on the morning of the November 7, 2002 deposition (see Exhibit 4).
10. Proskauer incurred the following (approximate) expenses in having its counsel travel to California to take Bernstein's deposition:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Airfare: & \(\$ 670.00\) & \\
Hotel: & \(\$ 500.00\) & \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Car rental: \\
Attorneys' time \\
out of office:
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 100.00\) & \(\$ 4,290.00\)
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
(Roundtrip travel to CA: 16 hours \(\times \$ 220=\$ 3,520 ;\) \\
Time driving to Bernstein's home and statement on \\
the record: 3.5 hours \(\times \$ 220=\$ 770\) ).
\end{tabular}

\section*{TOTAL: \(\quad \$ 5,560.00\)}

Proskauer is further prejudiced in that the trial of this matter is set for the week of December 16, 2002 and Proskauer must take Bernstein's deposition to adequately prepare for trial.
10. The Defendants' failure to comply with this Court's October 31, 2002 Order is willful and contemptuous, and Proskauer has been severely prejudiced in its prosecution of this matter by the dilatory tactics of the Defendants and Bernstein.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter an order compelling the Defendants' corporate representative to appear for the taking of his deposition immediately, ordering that the Defendants immediately reimburse Proskauer \(\$ 5,560\) for its expenses (including attorney's fees) incurred in its attempt to comply with the October 31, 2002 Order, granting Proskauer its attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this motion, entering further sanctions
against the Defendants as the Court deems appropriate, and granting any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(\qquad\) day of November, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
\(\underbrace{\text { Facsimile: }}_{\text {Aatthew Triggs }}\)
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on November \(1 \mathbf{1}, 2002\), a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Federal Express and facsimile to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on October 31, 2002 on the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Deposition of the Defendants' Corporate Representative and for Attorney's Fees, and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon the Defendants' corporate representation, shall erpeean tor the taking of his deparition at his house in
 For the Ditandauts shall provide" counsel fer the Plumbist M Mr. Berastem's address in ir or tinder than Friday, Now 1, Rear at wo pom. The plaintiff's request for attorney's teen is DENIED.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 31st day
of October, 2002.


Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order compelling the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative and awarding Proskauer its attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this motion and states as follows:
1. The undersigned counsel for Proskauer has attempted to obtain dates from counsel for the Defendants for the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein ("Bernstein").
2. Because Bernstein is a California resident, counsel for Proskauer is willing to travel to Los Angeles County, California, to take Bernstein's deposition. Proskauer has made numerous verbal and written requests that counsel for the Defendants provide dates for Bernstein's deposition. In fact, counsel for Proskauer has called counsel for the Defendants almost every business day for the past two weeks in an attempt to obtain these dates so that travel arrangements can be made. Counsel for Proskauer has even provided his mobile telephone
number so that he can be reached after hours. However, Defendants' counsel has informed counsel for Proskauer that Bernstein apparently will not cooperate in providing his counsel with dates on which he is available to have his deposition taken.
3. At the time of filing this motion, Proskauer has noticed Bernstein's deposition for November 7, 2002, a date on which counsel for the Defendants indicated he is available. A copy of the Notice of Taking Deposition is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." This Court should compel Bernstein, as corporate representative of the Defendants, to appear for the taking of this deposition on that date.
4. It is apparent that Bernstein is refusing to provide dates for his deposition in an effort to be uncooperative and to further delay the trial of this matter, which is set for the week of December 16, 2002.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter an order compelling the Defendants' corporate representative to appear for the taking of his deposition on the date and time and at the place listed on the attached Notice of Taking Deposition, award Proskauer's its attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this motion, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on October 25,2002 , a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail and facsimile to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\section*{3}

\section*{COLETTEM. LASTMAN, D.O.}

\section*{Obnwiter, Oymecology and}

Reprotwetim Hoalicinf

\section*{To Wham Ie may conearal}

2 am writing with regeid to Mra. Gaadice Ieraetain, vife of Elilort Baraeraio, for whum \(I\) am providins pranaeal oaxa. Mre. Borasiain is curcencly 37 waik pragnank with an eatimates dua date of 25 Revematio
 eardy lathor and could, in laci, go into labor und fulivar any ile betwana now and hur due deta. Kr. \(b\) Mra. Bntnarein live m dsatence from the hom-
 avallability of haip neciesary lor the eare of the ather children and the home tesponaibilitice. It vould not be ressonabia to axpest him co leeve
 vaciona be postpaliod uncti him uify in deliverad, home and able to reauma full family roapunabilitus. Ail indign being normal whan the dolivary oceurn, thic would be moseb from ehe sceusl delivary.
```

    If there aie any quertiona, plmame faci frou to sontect me.
    ```

Renpectifily.

Coleite Wi. Sacomen

Colerte K. Ematman, D.O.

IN THE COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR

PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, \& )
New York limited liability ) partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation. IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, ) INC., a Delaware corporation, ) and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.


TELEPHONIC AFFIDAVIT OF REPORTER RE NONAPPEARNAMCE OF WITNESS, Oceanside, California Thursday, November 7, 2002

Reported by:
TERRY L. DEDRICK
CSR No. 12571
JOB No. 27599

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
BY: CHRISTOPHER PRUSAKI
Attorney at Law One Boca Place, Suite 340W 2255 Glades Road Boca Raton, Florida 33431 (561) 241-7400

Fax: (561) 241-7145

For Defendants:
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
BY: STEVEN M. SELZ
Attorney at Law 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480
(561) 820-8409

Fax: (561) 820-9409

I, TERRY L. DEDRICK, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, CSR No. 02571, do hereby declare as follows:

That on November 7, 2002, at 8:45 a.m., was in transit for the purpose of reportihg the deposition of ELLIOT BERNSTEIN; that \(I\) was in transit and at no time could attempt to further the deposition for ELLIOT BERNSTEIN.

I further certify that the following was stated for the record and reported telephonically by me:

MR. SELZ: "Mr. Selz, on behalf of the defendants' IVIEWIT.

MR. PRUSAKI: "This is attorney Chris Pusaski, calling on behalf of Proskauer Rose. The reason we have asked to go before the court reporter live now is because on October 31st the court, in an order to grant the plaintiff's motion to compel the deposition of the defendants' corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein, and the court entered an order that Mr. Bernstein shall appear for the taking of his deposition at his home in Escondido, California on November the 7th, 2002 at 9:00.
"And yesterday, in compliance with that order, I flew to California, on November 6th. This
morning while I was driving to Escondido, I received a call from Mr . Selz, counsel for the defendants', noticing me that Mr. Bernstein's wifes' physician or OBGYN, sent a letter indicating that there are pregnancy complications and that Mr. Bernstein's and his wife should not be disturbed.
"I, at that point, was about ten minutes away from Mr. Bernstein's home and asked Mr. Selz if Mr. Bernstein would, with a court reporter present, allow me into his home to have the deposition go forward. And he said that he is unable to have his deposition taken even though the court ordered the deposition to go forward. And Mr. Selz indicated that Mr. Bernstein's wife's medical condition is such that I should not go to the home. And so with that representation being made I did not. And right now I'm calling from a parking lot in Escondido about ten minutes away from Mr. Bernstein's home. It's now 9:10. The deposition was ordered to begin ten minutes ago.
"Mr. Selz, do you want to add anything?
MR. SELZ: "My statement is -- and I'll
actually read you the contents -- I'm going to read this into the record while I'm at it. I'm reading
the letter dated November 6, 2002 which is a fax transmital: November 6th, 2002 at 6:00 p.m., C. Eastman D.O. under 8584859424, at the top, and the letterhead is Collett Eastman D.O., obstetrics -- looks like gynecology, reproductive medicine, and has the date 6, November 2002. Addressed as follows: "To whom it may concern, I'm writing with regard to Mrs. Bernstein, the wife of Elliot Bernstein, for whom I am providing prenatal care.
"Mrs. Bernstein is currently 36 weeks pregnant with an estimated due date of \(25 t h\), November. Aside from her routine prenatal care visits, she has been evaluated for possible early labor, and could, in fact, go into labor and delivery at any time between now and her due date.
"Mr. and Mrs. Bernstein live a distance from the hospital and having just recently moved to our area, do not have the immediate availability of help necessary for the care of the other children and the home responsibilities. It would not be reasonable to expect him to leave his family at this time, and I feel it's imperative that his business obligations be postponed until his wife is delivered, home, and able to resume full family
responsibilities, all things being normal when the deliver occurs, this would be a month from the actually delivery.
"If there are any questions, please feel free to contact me. Respectfully Collett Eastman, D.O."

MR. PRUSAKI: "Do you have anything to add?
MR. SELZ: "No.
MR. PRUSAKI: "Mr. Selz, like I said, I'm about ten minutes away from Mr. Bernstein's house and am willing and able to take the deposition today, assuming we could get the court reporter to return, I'm sure she can because she was in Escondido. I'm concerned based on my conversation with you that things are such that if \(I\) return to the house to attempt to take the deposition, that I could potentially be interfering with the wife's medical condition. But I believe that your client violated the court's order and, we, Proskauer Rose, incurred considerable costs in sending me out to California and having me out of the office for three days.

But I want to get your confirmation that I should not go to the house and attempt to take that deposition.

MR. SELZ: "The only information -- as I indicated to you in an earlier conversation this
morning -- the only information \(I\) have is the contents of this letter. So from that perspective I cannot tell you other than what it says, which is apparently any disruption of their residence is something that might cause a problem for Mrs. Bernstein's condition. I don't have any other information, and I certainly don't have any personal knowledge, and no information other than what are the contents of this letter.

MR. PRUSASKI: "Have you been able to speak with your client this morning?

MR. SELZ: "No, I have not.
MR. PRUSASKI: "Well, based on that, I certainly don't want to be accused of doing anything by showing up for this deposition that would cause a medical complication.
"So despite the court's order, where I'm ready to take this deposition -- and I flew all the way out here from Florida -- I cannot go to Mr. Bernstein's house based on this physician's letter. But, and I told you before, Mr. Selz, and I'll put it on the record now, that we are going to seek a motion next week seeking, you know, contempt for violating the court's order because -- and I'll also put on the record -- that we spent months
trying to get a date for Mr . Bernstein's deposition. He already canceled his deposition in September because of his wife's pregnancy when he was going to Florida and then subsequently it took month's to get available dates for him to agree to have his deposition taken. On the morning that we had the motion to compel, on October 31st, your client insisted on having his deposition taken telephonically. Yesterday when I was in Dallas on a layover, I received word that your client now wanted to change the location of the deposition, but that was never confirmed.
"So we -- because that was never confirmed, I proceeded to the house pursuant to the court's order to take the deposition. But based on the totality of the circumstances, I believe Mr. Bernstein is trying everything in his power to have his deposition not taken, and I'm between a rock and a hard place because of the doctor's letter where I'm afraid if I go to the house I would be accused of putting his wife's health in jeopardy. So therefore, I'm not going to go to his house, but returning to San Diego, but Proskauer Rose is going to have to pursue a motion for contempt and/or sanctions, seeking the costs of
this trip and my time out of the office. And I have nothing else to say on that.
"Steve do want to add anything?
MR. SELZ: "No.
MR. PRUSAKI: "Thank you, Ms. Court Reporter.
You can go off the record. Thank you."
I declare under penalty of perjury that the
foregoing is true and correct.
Signed on November 7, 2002, at Oceanside, California.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING}

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Items 3, 4 and 5 on Defendants' Exhibit List
DATE: Thursday, November 14, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse} 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.
\[
A
\]

This 6 day of November, 2002
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boa Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 6 fay of November, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}
(Pursuant to Court's Order Dated October 31, 2002)
TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. (PST) on Thursday, November 7, 2002, at 16975 Guejito Road, Escondido, CA 92027, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of ELLIOT BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete. day of November, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)
\[
c-(954))^{336-540,3}
\]

Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \(1^{\text {st }}\) of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


\section*{cc: Esquire Deposition Services}

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOURTH DISTRICT

DOCKETING STATEMENT
AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL
(Revised as of May 1, 2001)
The Court requires the following information in order to facilitate disposition of the case.
APPELLANT/PETITIONER: If this case involves an original writ, is an appeal of a non-final order or is a case involving child custody, this docketing statement must be completed and returned within five days. In all other cases, the appellant must file the docketing statement within 20 days from the date of the acknowledgment of the notice of appeal.

APPELLEE/RESPONDENT: Is not required to file a docketing statement unless there are amendments, corrections or additions to the docketing statement filed by the appellant/petitioner. Appellee/respondent is only required to file a notice of appearance if counsel's name does not already appear on the certificate of service. Appellee's/respondent's docketing statement, if necessary, is due within 5 days from service of the appellant's/petitioner's docketing statement.

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION:
DOCKETING STATEMENT OF: (CHECK ONE)
APPELLANT/PETITIONER
 APPELLEE/RESPONDENT \(\qquad\)
1. STYLE OF CASE

VIVNT. Con
\(v\).

DEA CASE
NUMBER
4D02-4084

LOWER COURT
CASE NUMBER
CA O1-46 \(14 A\)
prokaurin rusk, LLF.
2a. NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT (If party is not represented by counsel, party should so indicate and provide accurate mailing address and phone number).

ab. APPELLEE'S TRIAL COUNSEL AND/OR APPELLATE COUNSEL (IF KNOWN)


3. INTERESTED PERSONS: List names of all persons or entities having an interest in this matter. Please clarify whether these persons or entities are parties, lawyers or otherwise, and as to parties, designate whether appellant or appellee.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { prosutione nosier, } 2255 \text { batons ness susa nairas, fe } 37131
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Esconoiso, ia c,20Z? }
\end{aligned}
\]
4. JJDGES BELOW: List the name of all judges, deputy commissioners and hearing officers/examiners who were involved in this action below. Specify the judge who entered the order appealed.
Jonor In BAndit
5. JURISDICTION: State the basis for this court's jurisdiction, including the following: (1) the appellate rule providing jurisdiction claimed 9,030 \(\qquad\) ; (2) the date of filing in the lower tribunal of the order
 in a jury action \(\qquad\) , the service date of any Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.530 motion \(\qquad\) , and the date of entry of the order deciding such motion \(\qquad\) .
6. PENDING MATTERS IN LOWER TRIBUNAL: Are there any matters, including counts of claims or counterclaims, still pending in the lower tribunal? If yes, please explain exactly what remains pending.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ye). Cuitices or Platintiff/Appflier is to Action an Seuvices } \\
& \text { Cconimat. }
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{7. CURRENT AND PRIOR PROCEEDINGS IN THIS COURT:}

List by style and case number of this court, all cases which are or have been pending before this court involving issues arising from the same lower tribunal case and the current status of same:

NoNE.

Criminal appeals: List by style and case number of this court all co-defendants currently or previously on appeal to this court.


Similar Issues: List by style and case number of this court, all cases which are or have been pending before this court which are related to this action or which involve an issue which will be similar or determinative to the issue in this case on appeal.
\(N / A\)

If you become aware of appeals filed subsequent to the submission of this docketing statement involving a co-defendant in a criminal case, the same controversy or parties, or substantial similar issues, please file an amended response to this question.

\section*{8. Court Transcript:}

Do you intend to order any portion of the transcript for the appeal? Yes \(\alpha\) No \(\qquad\)
If yes, have all arrangements been made for its preparation?
Yes \(\qquad\) No \(\qquad\) If yes, date ordered 111102
If no, why not? \(\qquad\)
Estimated date of completion:
Estimated number of pages: \(\square\)
10
Name and address of court reporters):

Esovinir onnositian shame
Sir N. flabim on.
w. \(9,0, f+301\)
9. CUSTODY STATUS IN CRIMINAL APPEALS: Is the appellant in custody and serving a sentence imposed as a result of a conviction which is the subject of this appeal? \(\qquad\) If so, state the length of the sentence imposed.
10. ISSUES:


If this case involves the determination of the constitutionality of a statute, cite the statute involved.

Please state in short form the anticipated issues raised. For example, on criminal issues: denial of motion for judgment of acquittal, denial of motion to suppress evidence, error in sentence; on civil issues, award of alimony, error in valuation of assets for equitable distribution, error in determining contract damages; error in admission of hearsay at trial.
11. TYPE OF CASE: PLACE A CHECK BY THE MOST APPROPRIATE TYPE OF CASE:
A. Civil
\(\qquad\) 1. Domestic Relations - divorce, child custody, paternity or support
\(\qquad\) 2. Child dependency
\(\qquad\) 3. Adoption/Termination of Parental Rights
\(\qquad\) 4. Professional Malpractice
\(\qquad\) 5. Products Liability

6. Negligence
7. Contract or Indebtedness
\(\qquad\) 8. Condominium - rules violations, developer suits
\(\qquad\) 9. Foreclosure - mortgage, lien
\(\qquad\) 10. Inmate Appeal - gain time, rule challenges, disciplinary action
\(\qquad\) 11. Attorney's Fees
12. All others - specify \(\qquad\)
B. Criminal
\(\qquad\) 1. Direct Appeal - judgment and sentence
\(\qquad\) 2. Direct Appeal - sentence only
\(\qquad\) 3. Direct Appeal - juvenile
\(\qquad\) 4. Collateral Attack - (Rule 3.850 or habeas corpus) - judgment and sentence
\(\qquad\) 5. Collateral Attack - (Rule 3.800, Rule 3.850 or habeas corpus) - sentence only
\(\qquad\) 6. Collateral Attack - juvenile
\(\qquad\) 7. Appeal by the State
\(\qquad\) 8. All Others - specify \(\qquad\)
C. Administrative
\(\qquad\) 1. Department of Professional Regulation
\(\qquad\) 2. Unemployment Appeals Commission
\(\qquad\) 3. Rule Challenge - specify agency \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) 4. All others - specify \(\qquad\)

\section*{Certificate of Service}

I certify that a copy hereof has been furnished by \(\qquad\) this list day

\(\xrightarrow{\text { ROAD, bout SNTEN, FL } 33431}\)


Sutures un. Suez
(Print Name) FBN \(\cap \cap n 428\)
```

>>>>>>>>>> R E C E I P T <<<<<<<<<<<
DOROTHY H. WILKEN, CLERK CIRCUIT COURT PAGE 1
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
CIRCUIT LAW MAIN

```
```

    CASE NBR: CL-01-004671-AB
    FILE NBR: 12
                                    RECEIPT NBR: 00338074
                                    ISSUE DATE : 10/14/02
                                    RECEIVED: 10/11/02
    RECEIVED FROM:SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.

```

                    PURSUANT TO SEC. 28.24(8)(A) OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES,
                    THE CLERK IS REQUIRED TO CHARGE \$I/PAGE TO COPY ANY
                    INSTRUMENT IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS THAT IS \(81 / 2 X 14\) OR
                    LESS AND \(\$ 5 /\) PAGE FOR COPIES LARGER THAN THAT. THANK
                    YOU FOR YOUR ORDER.
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IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on October 31, 2002 on the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Deposition of the Defendants' Corporate Representative and for Attomey's Fees, and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiffs Motion is granted. Elliot Benstian,
 taking of his depriction of the home in (inly. out Mes 7, \(202 z\) at \(9: 00 \mathrm{am}\) PST. Comment po the Difetchuto shalt provide causal for the Plenty "T TM. Pernetion's address in uniting no later to tor Fri, Trow. 7, 2002 of 5:00 pm. The Plantiths request fo atoner's fee os DENISD.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 31 st day of October, 2002.


Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR ATTORNEYS FEES

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on October 31, 2002 on the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Deposition of the Defendants' Corporate Representative and for Attorney's Fees, and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff's Motions, Ell ot Bernsterin, the Dctendants' urforerte vepreseataone, shill opprean for the taking f his deposition at lis house in
 For the Defendants shall procite" counsel fer the
 than Friday, Now., 2002 at \$100 pom. The plaintiff's request for attorneys's teen is DENIED.

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
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DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 31st day of October, 2002.


Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff, v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO APPOINT FOREIGN COMMISSIONER AND TO COMPEL DEPOSITION OF KENNETH RUBENSTEIN}

This matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Appoint Foreign Commissioner and to Compel the Taking of Deposition as to Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. Defendants' Motion for Appointment of Foreign Commissioner and to
compel the taking of the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. is hereby granted.
2. Esquire Deposition Services, located at 216 E. \(44^{\text {h }}\) Street, \(8^{\text {th }}\) Floor, New York City, New York 10017, is hereby appointed Commissioner to take the deposition of Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. in this matter, which deposition is to be conducted telephonically at a mutually convenient date for the parties prior to November 15,2002; On As OTtiAnwish Achians By THR PitnTlES. DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County,
Florida this \(31^{\sim}\) day of October, 2002.

Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO
TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND APPOINTING COMMISSIONER
THIS MATTER came before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion to Take Foreign Deposition and to Appoint a Commissioner and the Court, after being duly advised in the premises and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion is GRANTED. Esquire Deposition Services, located at 6222 Wilshire Blvd., \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90048 is hereby APPOINTED Commissioner for the taking of the deposition of Elliot Bernstein in Los Angeles, California.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm BeascMEp AMDDAFEDh day of October, 2002.

Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit.com, Inc.
Case No. CA-01-04671 AB

Copies furnished to:
Christopher Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340-West Boca Raton, FL 33431

Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. (PST) on Thursday, November 7, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2049 Century Park East, 32nd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206 (310.557.2900), the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of ELLIOTT BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.
\[
10105102
\]

This \(\frac{15}{\text { day of October, } 2002 .}\)

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this
foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.

cc: Esquire Deposition Services

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.
\(\qquad\)

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING} Motion Calendar

To: \(\quad\) Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Deposition of the
Defendants' Corporate Representative and for Attorneys' Fees

DATE: \(\quad\) October 31, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 25 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 25 day of October, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF FILING DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby gives
notice of the filing of the original Telephonic Deposition of Brian Utley, consisting of Volumes I and II, which was taken on August 22, 2002 and August 23, 2002.

This 25th day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 25 th day of October, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Fl 33480.
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PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEPOSITION OF THE DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE AND FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), moves the Court for an order compelling the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative and awarding Proskauer its attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this motion and states as follows:
1. The undersigned counsel for Proskauer has attempted to obtain dates from counsel for the Defendants for the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein ("Bernstein").
2. Because Bernstein is a California resident, counsel for Proskauer is willing to travel to Los Angeles County, California, to take Bernstein's deposition. Proskauer has made numerous verbal and written requests that counsel for the Defendants provide dates for Bernstein's deposition. In fact, counsel for Proskauer has called counsel for the Defendants almost every business day for the past two weeks in an attempt to obtain these dates so that travel arrangements can be made. Counsel for Proskauer has even provided his mobile telephone
\[
101=+10
\]
number so that he can be reached after hours. However, Defendants' counsel has informed counsel for Proskauer that Bernstein apparently will not cooperate in providing his counsel with dates on which he is available to have his deposition taken.
3. At the time of filing this motion, Proskauer has noticed Bernstein's deposition for November 7, 2002, a date on which counsel for the Defendants indicated he is available. A copy of the Notice of Taking Deposition is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." This Court should compel Bernstein, as corporate representative of the Defendants, to appear for the taking of this deposition on that date.
4. It is apparent that Bernstein is refusing to provide dates for his deposition in an effort to be uncooperative and to further delay the trial of this matter, which is set for the week of December 16, 2002.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that the Court enter an order compelling the Defendants' corporate representative to appear for the taking of his deposition on the date and time and at the place listed on the attached Notice of Taking Deposition, award Proskauer's its attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting this motion, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This \(\gamma\) day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on October \(2 \frac{2}{2}, 2002\), a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail and facsimile to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA \(01-04671 \mathrm{AB}\)

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

\author{
TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq. \\ Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. \\ 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 \\ Palm Beach, Florida 33480
}

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. (PST) on Thursday, November 7, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2049 Century Park East, 32nd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206 (310.557.2900), the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of ELLIOTT BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Paton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)


Matt Prigs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 25 day of October, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480.


\section*{cc: Esquire Deposition Services}

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working days of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL TAKING OF FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order requiring Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. as a partner of the Plaintiff, to submit to the taking of his deposition in New York City, New York and appointing Esquire Deposition Services in New York City, New York, as a Commissioner for the taking of the deposition of Mr. Rubenstein and in support of this Motion would state:
1. That based on the prior testimony of deponents to this matter and the 1012410
personal knowledge of the Defendants corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein, Kenneth Rubenstein was involved directly in the providing of services to the Defendants both prior to his employment with the Plaintiff and subsequently during his employ with the Plaintiff.
2. That Kenneth Rubenstein ("Rubenstein") is an attorney currently employed by the Plaintiff and who works out of the Plaintiff's New York City offices.
3. That the Defendants intend to take the deposition of Rubenstein in New York City, New York, prior to the trial of this matter due to the knowledge of Rubenstein as to the services provided by the Plaintiff to the Defendants; however, counsel for the Plaintiff has refused to make Rubenstein available as set forth in the attached Exhibit "A".
4. That Esquire Deposition Services, located at 216 E. \(45^{\text {th }}\) Street, \(8^{\text {hh }}\) Floor, New York City, New York 10017, should be appointed Commissioner to take the deposition of Rubenstein.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order directing that Kenneth Rubenstein be submitted for deposition and permitting the Defendants to take the deposition of Rubenstein in New York and appointing Esquire Deposition Services, located at 216 E. \(44^{\text {th }}\) Street, \(8^{\text {th }}\) Floor, New York City, New York 10017 as Commissioner to take the deposition of Rubenstein.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(24 i^{32}\) day of October, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (5 1 ) 820-9409
Fax: (5ф1) 833-9715
By:


STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq. Proskauer Rose, LLP 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W Boca Raton, FL 33431

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a hearing has been scheduled in this cause as indicated below. In the absence or disqualification of the Judge listed below, this cause will be brought on for hearing before another Judge who is available and qualified to act thereon.

Judge: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2002.
Time: 8:45 A.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard.
Place: Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Matter: Defendants' Motion Appointment Foreign Commissioner and to Take Foreign Deposition and Plaintiff's Objections to Request for Production.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission to the above-listed addressee(s) this ZuTr day of October, 2002 and that prior to the setting of the hearing in this matter a good faith effort has been made to resolve the matters noticed or due to constraints of time, such efforts have not been made but will be made prior to the date and time set for hearing in this matter.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, fL 33480
Tel: (561) \$20-9409
Fax: (561) \&33-9715
By:
STEVEN M. SELZ
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
vs.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

\section*{Plaintiff's Motion to Take Foreign Deposition and for the Appointment of a Commissioner}

DATE: \(\quad\) October 29, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{MOTION TO TAKE FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), hereby moves for an order permitting it to take the deposition of the Defendants' corporate representative in California and appointing Esquire Deposition Services in Los Angeles, California, as a Commissioner for the taking of the deposition. In support of this motion, Proskauer states as follow:
1. Elliot Bernstein ("Bernstein"), the designated corporate represntative of the Defendants, resides in Los Angeles County, California. Proskauer intends to depose Bernstein in Los Angeles prior to trial.
2. Esquire Deposition Services, located at 6222 Wilshire Blvd., \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Floor, Los

Angeles, California 90048 should be appointed as a Commissioner for the taking of Bernstein's deposition.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer requests that this Court enter an order permitting it to take the deposition of Bernstein in Los Angeles and appointing Esquire Deposition Services, 6222 Wilshire Blvd., \(2^{\text {nd }}\) Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90048 as Commissioner for the taking of the deposition.

This 24 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on October 24 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)

\title{
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL TAKING OF FOREIGN DEPOSITION AND FOR APPOINTMENT OF A COMMISSIONER
}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby move this Court for an Order requiring Kenneth Rubenstein, Esq. as a partner of the Plaintiff, to submit to the taking of his deposition in New York City, New York and appointing Esquire Deposition Services in New York City, New York, as a Commissioner for the taking of the deposition of Mr. Rubenstein and in support of this Motion would state:
1. That based on the prior testimony of deponents to this matter and the
\[
10241,6
\]
personal knowledge of the Defendants corporate representative, Elliot Bernstein, Kenneth Rubenstein was involved directly in the providing of services to the Defendants both prior to his employment with the Plaintiff and subsequently during his employ with the Plaintiff.
2. That Kenneth Rubenstein ("Rubenstein") is an attorney currently employed by the Plaintiff and who works out of the Plaintiff's New York City offices.
3. That the Defendants intend to take the deposition of Rubenstein in New York City, New York, prior to the trial of this matter due to the knowledge of Rubenstein as to the services provided by the Plaintiff to the Defendants; however, counsel for the Plaintiff has refused to make Rubenstein available as set forth in the attached Exhibit "A".
4. That Esquire Deposition Services, located at 216 E. \(45^{\text {th }}\) Street, \(8^{\text {th }}\) Floor, New York City, New York 10017, should be appointed Commissioner to take the deposition of Rubenstein.

WHEREFORE the Defendants, move this Honorable Court for the entry of an order directing that Kenneth Rubenstein be submitted for deposition and permitting the Defendants to take the deposition of Rubenstein in New York and appointing Esquire Deposition Services, located at 216 E. \(44^{\text {th }}\) Street, \(8^{\text {th }}\) Floor, New York City, New York 10017 as Commissioner to take the deposition of Rubenstein.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this 2415 day of October, 2002 to: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A. 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: ( \({ }^{(61)}\) 820-9409
Fax: ( \(\$ 61\) ) 833-9715
By: \(\int_{\text {SFEVEN }}^{\text {M. SELZ }}\)
FBN: 777420

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)
v.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware
corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS,
INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
a Delaware corporation.

\section*{DEFENDANTS NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERS} REGARDING DISCOVERY AND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Defendants hereby respond to the second request for production served by the Plaintiff under the services date of January 18, 2002 as follows and has produced to Plaintiff herewith a copy of a computer compact disk containing data and certifies that there are no other documents in the Defendants' possession responsive to the Plaintiff's request for production in this matter.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing and the discovery referenced therein has been provided by hand delivery this \(\qquad\) day

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

> Plaintiff,
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING}

Motion Calendar
To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Or, Alternatively, Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to the Defendants' Request for Production

DATE: October 24, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga
\[
10,171 \times 2
\]

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050 (b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith attempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This 17 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561)241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this 17 day of October, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher Prusaski

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH
} JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

DOROTHY H. WILKES
C: ERK OF CIRCUIT COURT
CiRCuIT CIVILDIVEAR
QT 21 Enc
COFY/ORIGMAL RECEDED FOR FLING

\section*{PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to Rule 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, moves the Court for the entry of a protective order or, alternatively, moves the Court for an extension of time to serve its responses and objections to the Defendants' Request for Production, and as grounds states as follows:
1. This is an action by Proskauer to collect unpaid attorney's fees from the Defendants, who are former clients of Proskauer.
2. Notwithstanding the rather straightforward nature of this case, one day prior to the discovery cutoff, Defendants served a thirty-five item document request designed principally to harass and annoy -- not seek evidence related to the claims or defenses in this case.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15 TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to Rule 1.280(c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, moves the Court for the entry of a protective order or, alternatively, moves the Court for an extension of time to serve its responses and objections to the Defendants' Request for Production, and as grounds states as follows:
1. This is an action by Proskauer to collect unpaid attorney's fees from the Defendants, who are former clients of Proskauer.
2. Notwithstanding the rather straightforward nature of this case, one day prior to the discovery cutoff, Defendants served a thirty-five item document request designed principally to harass and annoy -- not seek evidence related to the claims or defenses in this case.
3. Rule 1.280 (c) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure specifically protects parties from the annoyance and oppression caused by discovery of the type that the Defendants have chosen to serve in the instant case.
4. Proskauer has no objection to allowing the Defendants to review Proskauer's file relating to its prior representation of the Defendants (subject to any applicable privilege) as it is kept in the normal course of business. However, the Defendants should not be entitled to use the discovery process as a means of harassment by seeking documents such as:
- Copies of documents prepared by Proskauer for other clients not related in any way to the Defendants or to this lawsuit;
- The employment file for one of Proskauer's partners who billed no time on any Iviewit matters;
- The personal home and cellular telephone bills/records of Proskauer attorneys;
- Files from Proskauer's computers;
- Files from the "Palm Pilots" belonging to Proskauer attorneys; and
- "Meeting Room Schedules" from Proskauer's offices.
5. Additionally, Proskauer is unable to draft an appropriate response to each document request because of the vague and ambiguous way in which the request for production was drafted. For example, although the initial paragraph of the request for production seeks documents contained within Proskauer's prior representation file, the individual categories of document requests seem to seek documents unrelated to the prior representation of the Defendants. While such requests would clearly be objectionable, Proskauer is uncertain if the Defendants are limiting their requests to documents contained within Proskauer's file relating to the prior representation of the Defendants.
6. Proskauer seeks an Order limiting the scope of the Defendants' request for production to the inspection of Proskauer's file (subject to any applicable privilege) relating to the prior representation of the Defendants as it is kept in the ordinary course of business.

Alternatively, if the Court determines that a response should be served to each of the thirty-five individual requests, Proskauer requests that the time within which it is permitted to serve its responses and/or objections be extended.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court enter a protective order consistent with this motion or, alternatively, that the Court extend the time within which Proskauer shall serve its responses and/or objections to the Defendants' Request for Production, and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

This 17 day of October, 2002.
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: \(\quad(561) 241-7145\)


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on October 17, 2002, a copy of the foregoing was furnished by U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P,
a New York limited partnership,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.
\(\qquad\)

> Plaintiff,

CA 01-04671 AB

\section*{DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS}

Defendants, IVIEWIT.COM, INC., IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC. and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., requests that the Plaintiff, PROSKAUER ROSE, L.L.P., pursuant to Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and within the time required by said Rule, to produce all documents as set forth below.

This request for production is intended to cover all documents within the possession, custody or control of Plaintiff, or any of its agents, servants, employees, accountants, attorneys and any other person or entities subject to Plaintiffs actual or constructive custody or control, wherever so located.

\section*{I. DEFINITLONS AND INSTRUCTIONS}
1. "Documents" shall mean, without limitation, any kind of written or graphic matter however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, whether sent or received, or neither, including originals, copies and drafts thereof and including but not limited to papers, books, letters, correspondence, telegrams, cables, telex corporate communications, calender or diary entries, airplane tickets, travel itineraries, appointment books, minutes, transcripts, telephone company bills and/or statements reflecting telephone calls placed, received or charged, reports and recordings of telephone or other conversations or of interviews, or of conferences and/or other meetings, statements, summaries, opinions, reports, studies, analyses, evacuations, contracts, agreements, joumals, checks, check stubs, purchase orders change orders, invoices, bills, receipts, cash receipts, cash receipt journals, balance sheets, income statements, auditor's notes, deposit receipts, cash disbursement journals, general ledgers, records of disbursement, computer printouts, bank statements, credit reports, books of account, financial statements to banks of or any other persons or entities, statements, to banks, duplicate deposit receipts, canceled checks, statistical record, lists, tabulations,
instructions, specifications, manuals, pamphlets, publications, raw and refined data, graphs, drawings, advertisements, lists meeting minutes, magnetic tapes, or discs, punch cards, computer printouts, proposals, recommendations and any other data or records kept by electronic, photographic or mechanical means and things similar to the foregoing however denominated.
2. Whenever appropriate throughout this subpoena, the singular form of a word shall be interpreted to include the plural and vice versa, so as to require the broadest possible production.
3. "And" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively or disjunctively, so as to require the broadest possible production.
4. In the event any document has been lost or removed fro the purpose of this action or for any other purpose, please state the name and address of the person who removed the document, the date of the document, the title of the document (if any), the subject matter of the document with reasonable specificity, the name of the person authoring such document, the name of the person to whom such document was given to transmitted, the present location and custodian of such document or any copies thereof.
5. If Production of a document is withheld on the grounds of privilege
or otherwise, state the following for each document withheld:
a. The type of document (i.e., correspondence, memorandum, telex, etc.);
b. The date of the document;
c. The person who signed the document
d. The person who received the document; and
e. The reason for withholding production.
6. The document to be produced in response to this request for production shall be segregated according to the specific requests to which such documents are responsive. If a document(s) is/are responsive to more than one paragraph of this subpoena, the document(s) need only be produced once.

All work product, files and all billings for I View It and any/ and all affiliated companies including but not limiled to: Iviewil Technologies, Inc.,Iviewit.com LLC, Ivlewil LLC, Ivlewit.com, Uview, Uviow.com, Ilearnlt, Imedla, Iviewit Holdings, LLC, I.C., Cyberfyds, RealView and any and all affiliated companies. Including work generated by all Proskauer partners, employees and affiliales, relating to any and ail I View II companies in any format including: All files of any form including but not limiled to: Tapes, Emails, Board meeting notes, Draf documents, Mailed items, Receipts for mailed items,. Hand delivered Items, Computer files of any type in any form including backups, Inter-office correspondence regarding I View II or any of it's companies, Lellers, All Expense Records and supporting backup including Cell phone, corporate phones, personal home phone records for all attorney's on any/all matters relating to 1 Vlew It and its billings, Palm Pllot or other PDA fles, Microfiche, Stored Documente, Transcriptions, Vldeo Conference Records, Meeling Room Schedules and any other form of communication, including but not limited to the following documents:
1. All/ANY Patent, Trademark, Copyright and Trade Secret

Provisional Documents and notes for any/all of the above Pending Applications and notes for any/all of the above Asslgnment Documents
Communications both Inter-office and belween other law firms or lawyers relating in any way 101 View It and the above Binders
2. Investigations results and notes or files or evidence of any sort held on behalf of I view it by Proskauer relating to:
Infringement matters
Mellzer Llppe investigation
Raymond Joao investigation
Patent Fraud Investigations
Brian Ulley Investigations
Foley and Lardner Investigations
3. Sealed documents and/or any other documents delivered by your cllent to your office for safe kaeping.
4. All transactions for:

Crossbow Ventures
Huiznega Holdings
Jason Gregy
Alpine Ventures
All Loan documents for any indlvidual or company
Tiedemann Prolow
Donald Kane
Alan Shapiro
Alan Young
Ellen DeGeneres
Alanis Morrisette
Allas Entertainment
Simon Bernstein
Mitchel Welsch
Kennath Anderson
```

Brian Ulley
Michaal Raale
Subscripllon Agreements
Notes
William Barber
Andraw Chessler
Hollywood.com
Webcasts
Wachovia
Salman
Deutcshe Telecom
Michael Fox
Reale 30
Disney

```
5. All employment, non-compete, COl's, papers and drafts for all employees, including but not limited so:

Brian Ulley
Michaele Reale
James Armstrong
Guy lantoni
Jill lantoni
Eliot Bernstein
6. All lease documents including but not limited to:

Rental agreements
Furniture agreements
Equipment leases, etc.
7. All audil information and correspondences regarding each and every audit of I Viewit or any of il's affiliates
8. All correspondences of any type between Proskauer and Goldsteln Lewin and Gerald Lewin including but not limited to:
Foley and Lardner
Mr. Akselrod
Holland \& Knight
Tom Wippman
George Villasana
Malt Rosen
Armstrong Hirsh Jackoway \& Tyerman
Richard Rosman
Saybrook Caplial
David Kalserman
Alan Epstein
Stuart Rosow
Mr. Kohner
Arthur Anderson
Hassan Miah
Kevin O'Donnel
Dollinger
FAU or any member
Mitchell Rubenstein
9. Taped conversation of patent call between Zakirul Surajee, Eliol Bernstein, Raymond Joao and Gerald Lewin. The original tape is requested, please retain a copy only.
10. All taped or video conference conversations of any sort relating to 1 Vlew It and any matters on the billings.
11. All correspondences and documents of any sort relating to I View Il for each of the following Proskauer partners or other firm lawyers including but not limited to:
Chris Wheelar
Al Gortz
Greg Reed
Kan Rubenstein
Mara Lemer Robbins
Jill Zamas
Gayie Coleman
Gloria Burfield
Rocky Thampson
A Levy
Kevin Healey
Stuart Kapp
D. Paris Jr.

Rod Bell
Marcy Hahn Saperstein
B. Schiff
S. Romoff
H. Coates Jr
R. Rowe

Ed Restalno
Susan Weiner
R. Storetle
A. Gutwein

Gregg Goldman
J. Silver
L. Gardner
R. Foster
12. Any and all documents relating to I Viewit matters with any of the following attorneys, firms or others:
William Dick
Raymond Joao
Steven Backri
Douglas Boehm
Stephen Filipek
Meltzer Lippe Goldstein \& Schnissel
13. All documents of any sort relating to Gruntal including but not limilted to
14. All documents and files of any sort relating to RYJO or Ryan Huisman including bul not limited to
15. All documents, files, notes, etc of any sort relating to patent appllcation 5865-2
16. All consurung agreements documents, drafts and i...,
17. All term sheet documents, drafts and files
18. All patent documents that were in any way replaced including the replaced documents
19. All documents relating to Real3D, Intel, SGI, \& Lockheed and I View It.
20. All documents relating to any fransactions with lviewit and Distance Learning Companies and or objectives including but nol limited to:
Trademarks
Patents
Trade Secrets
Corporate Fillings
incorporalion documents for any company opened or intended to be opened
All documents relating to any transaction of any sort with internet Train, llearnit, Imedia, etc.
21. All documents relating to Proskauer Rose marketing letter for 1 View it products to its clients
22. All documents relating to the acceptance of I View It slock by Proskauer or any affiliate, including interoffice correspondences and partner letters and communicatioons.
23. All documents detailing the hiring of Kenneth Rubenstein.
24. All records pertaining to I View It and AOLTW or any affiliate
25. All correspondences of any sort relating to Ron Assal and Sensormatlc
26. Description and notes for meeting on 6/2/99 with Gerald Lewin, Chris Wheeler and Mr. Barnstein billed as "lengthy conversation."
27. All documents of any sort relating to investigation of COl with Goldstein Lewin, Visula Data and I View It.
28. All fillings of name changes for any I View If entity
29. All copies of all NDA's for all clients of Proskauer Rose and all copies of retained NDA's for all I View it companies for any potential cllent or investor.
30. All analyses of corporate structure and any form of document or file relating to such.
31. Phone records for Al Gortz, Chris Wheeler and Kenneth Rubensteln relating to initial conversations with anyone relating to 1 Vlew It or il's subs
32. All records and copies of business pians and distribution lisls of such plans.
33. All coples of checks relating to any lviewit or sub transactions
34. All notes and correspondences of meetlngs hald with Foley and Lardner
35. All corraspondence, meollng notes, relating Brian Utley billings.

At the offices of Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., at the address set forth below and that if any of the information normally contained in the documents, or in some other for, electronic or otherwise, has been photographed, recorded or is retained on a computer or other electronic device, defendant is hereby requested to obtain such information, translated, if necessary, into a reasonably usable form.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail this W. Prusaski, Esq., Proskauer Rose, LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W, Boca . Raton, FL 33431.
SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A.
214 Brafijian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Tel: (561) \(\{20-9409\)
Fax: \((566)\) ) \(833-9715\)
By:
SPEVENM. SELZ
FBN: 777420

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
} FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

\section*{TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.}

Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, November 14, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, Florida 33341, the attomey for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of SIMON BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

This 16 day of October, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Counsel for Plaintiff
One Boca Place, Suite 340W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
(561) 241-7400 (telephone)
(561) 241-7145 (facsimile)


Matt Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this (LC day of October, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. mail to Steven M. Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, and to Steven I. Greenwald, Esq., Steven I. Greenwald, P.A., 6971 North Federal Highway, Suite 105, Boa Raton, Fl 33487.

cc: Ken Schanzer \& Assoc.

If you are a person with a disability who needs any accommodation in order to participate in this proceeding, you are entitled, at no cost to you, to the provision of certain assistance. Please contact the ADA Coordinator, 15 th Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County Courthouse, 205 North Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, (561) 355-2431, within 2 working davs of your receipt of this Notice; if you are hearing or voice impaired, call (800) 955-8771.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,
IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,
Defendants.

\section*{RE-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION}

TO: Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, October 24, 2002, at the offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Rato, Florida 33341, the attorney for Plaintiff will take the deposition upon oral examination of SIMON BERNSTEIN.

The deposition will be taken for the purpose of discovery and for any other purpose authorized by the appropriate rules of civil procedure before an authorized court reporter. The deposition will continue from day to day until complete.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\mathrm{TH}}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,

> Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{NOTICE OF APPEAL}

TO: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and hereby files his notice of appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in this matter with regard to that certain Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Join Indispensable Parties, as dated September 12, 2002, a true copy of same being attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this \(\|^{\top \dagger}\) day of October, 2002 to the above-named addressee.

SELZ \& MUVDI SELZ, P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

> ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE
> PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DEFENDANTS' ORE TENUS MOTION TO POSTPONE MEDIATION; AND PLAINTIFF'S ORE TENUS MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on September 11, 2002, on Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial; Defendants' Ore Tenus motion to postpone mediation; and Plaintiff's Ore Tenus motion to compel responses to requests for production. After being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties is DENIED;
2. The Defendants' motion to continue trial is DENIED;
3. The Defendants' ore tenus motion to postpone mediation deadline is GRANTED;
and
4. The Plaintiff's ore tenus motion to compel responses to request for production is GRANTED. The documents ordered to be produced by Court Order dated July 18, 2002 shall be produced on or before September 17, 2002.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Honorable Jorge Labarga } \\
& \text { Circuit Court Judge }
\end{aligned}
\]

Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;

\section*{For Defendants:}

Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

CA 01-04671 AB

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.



\section*{NOTICE OF APPEAL}

TO: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and hereby files his notice of appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in this matter with regard to that certain Order Denying Defendants’ Motion to Join Indispensable Parties, as dated September 12, 2002, a true copy of same being attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this HIt \(^{\text {It }}\) day of October, 2002 to the above-named addressee.


PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership, Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOG直S, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DEFENDANTS' ORE TENUS MOTION TO POSTPONE MEDIATION; AND PLAINTIFF'S ORE TENUS MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on September 11, 2002, on Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial; Defendants' Ore Tenus motion to postpone mediation; and Plaintiff's Ore Tenus motion to compel responses to requests for production. After being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties is DENIED;
2. The Defendants' motion to continue trial is DENIED;
3. The Defendants' ore tenus motion to postpone mediation deadline is GRANTED;
and

Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit, etc, it al. Order on September 11, 2002 Hearing Page 2
4. The Plaintiff's ore tenus motion to compel responses to request for production is GRANTED. The documents ordered to be produced by Court Order dated July 18, 2002 shall be produced on or before September 17, 2002.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.
Honorable Jorge Labarga
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Circuit Court Judge
\end{tabular}

Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480 FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

\section*{v.}

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., INC., a Delaware corporation, and
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation. CA 01-04671 AB

\section*{Defendants.}

\section*{NOTICE OF APPEAL}

TO: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Defendants, by and through their undersigned counsel and hereby files his notice of appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal in this matter with regard to that certain Order Denying Defendants' Motion to Join Indispensable Parties, as dated September 12, 2002, a true copy of same being attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this \(\|^{\top \mid}\) day of October, 2002 to the above-named addressee.


IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15 TH

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOG退S, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND TO CONTINUE TRIAL; DEFENDANTS' ORE TENUS MOTION TO POSTPONE MEDIATION; AND PLAINTIFF'S ORE TENUS MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on September 11, 2002, on Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties and to continue trial; Defendants' Ore Tenus motion to postpone mediation; and Plaintiff's Ore Tenus motion to compel responses to requests for production. After being fully advised in the premises, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. The Defendants' motion to join indispensable parties is DENIED;
2. The Defendants' motion to continue trial is DENIED;
3. The Defendants' ore tenus motion to postpone mediation deadline is GRANTED;
and

Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit, etc__t al.
Order on September 11, 2002 Hearing
Page 2
4. The Plaintiff's ore tenus motion to compel responses to request for production is

GRANTED. The documents ordered to be produced by Court Order dated July 18, 2002 shall be produced on or before September 17, 2002.

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this \(\qquad\) day of September, 2002.
\(\qquad\)
Honorable Jorge Labarga Circuit Court Judge

Copies furnished to:
For Plaintiff:
Matthew Triggs, Esquire
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esquire
Proskauer Rose LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431;
For Defendants:
Steven M. Selz, Esquire
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New
York limited liability partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL THE DEFENDANTS' COMPLIANCE WITH PREVIOUS COURT ORDERS AND FOR SANCTIONS}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court on October 10, 2002 on the Plaintiff's Motion to Compel the Defendants' Compliance with Previous Court Orders and for Sanctions, and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that PYa Within 10 days Defaluts shall poetic a stetwent rat all boches within Their possession, costly cued count/ have Lee produced

Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com
Case No. CA 01-04671 AB
Page Two

Copies furnished to:

Matthew Triggs, Esq.
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{SUBPOENA FOR DEPOSITION}

\section*{TO: Simon Bernstein \\ 7020 Lions Head Lane \\ Boca Raton, FI \\ 561.477.9096}

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear before a person authorized to take depositions at the office of Proskauer Rose LLP, 2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 West, Boca Raton, FI 33431-7360, on the 24th day of October, 2002, at 9:00 a.m. for the taking of your deposition in this action. If you fail to appear, you may be in contempt of court.

You are subpoenaed to appear by the following attorney, and unless excused from this subpoena by this attomey or the Court, you shall respond to this subpoena as directed.

DATED this \(1 \frac{\text { th }}{\text { day of October, } 2002 .}\)


Proskauer Rose LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boca Raton, FL 33431
(561) 241-7400

Florida Bar No. 121525

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER ON MOTION TO VACATE}

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate Order and the Court being duly advised in the premises it is thereupon

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED/DENIED.
 Shipalatur chill he field on Jus. 20,2002

DONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm-Beach County, Florida, this \(\qquad\) day of October, 2002.

Honorable Jorge Labarga
Circuit Court Judge
\[
10 / 2102
\]

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

CASE NO. CA 01-04671 AB
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

\section*{Plaintiff,}
vs.
IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDNGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

\section*{NOTICE OF HEARING \\ Motion Calendar}

To: Steven Selz, Esq.
Selz, Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned has called up for hearing the following:

Proskauer's Motion to Vacate Order
DATE: October 2, 2002
TIME: 8:45 a.m.
JUDGE: The Honorable Jorge Labarga

\section*{PLACE: Palm Beach County Courthouse 205 North Dixie Highway, Room 11.1204 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401}

In accordance with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing a special accommodation to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator no later than seven days prior to the proceedings. Telephone: (561) 355-2431 for assistance; if hearing-impaired, telephone (800)955-8771 for assistance.

Pursuant to Rule 2.050(b) of the Florida Rules of Judicial Administration, Movant hereby certifies that a good faith artempt to resolve the above matter has been made or will be made prior to the hearing on this matter.

This \(1^{S t}\) day of October, 2002.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2255 Glades Rd., Suite 340 West
Boca Raton, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher W. Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was furnished by facsimile and United States Mail, this \& day of October, 2002, to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.



\section*{Message}

\section*{Re: Proskauer Rose LLP y. Iviewit.com, Inc.}

Please see the attached.

\footnotetext{
Confidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended onfy for the use of the addressee(s) named above, it may contain legally privileged material. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message, other than by such addressee( \(s\) ), is strictly prohibited, if you have received this message in error, please immediately notity us by telephone and return the original to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.
}

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP, a New York limited liability partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, \(\mathbb{I N C}\)., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{MOTION TO VACATE ORDER}

Plaintiff, Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.540(b), moves the Court for an Order vacating the Court Order dated September 30, 2002 and in support thereof states as follows:
1. On September 30, 2002, counsel for the Defendants attended a Uniform Motion Calendar Hearing on an ex parte basis and, at that hearing, this Court entered an Order on Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery. A copy of the September 30, 2002 Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
2. Proskauer never received the Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery or any Notice of Hearing on the motion. Proskauer had no idea that the motion had been filed or that there was a hearing scheduled. See Affidavit of Matthew Triggs, attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
3. Proskauer did, however, previously notify counsel for the Defendants in a letter dated September 20, 2002 that it did not agree to extend the discovery deadlines in this matter when the issue was raised by counsel for the Defendants in a letter dated September 19, 2002. A copy of counsel for the Defendants' letter discussing extending the discovery cutoff and Proskauer's response are attached hereto as Exhibits " B " and " C ," respectively.
4. The Order attached as Exhibit "A" grants the Defendants relief which Proskauer opposes. Proskauer did not have notice and an opportunity to be heard on this issue, despite the language contained in the Order stating that the Court "heard argument for counsel of both parties. ..."
5. Moreover, counsel for the Defendants never attempted to call Proskauer prior to entering the motion calendar hearing on September 30, 2002 to inquire as to why its attorneys were not there.
6. Proskauer would suffer substantial prejudice if the Court does not vacate its September 30, 2002 Order, in that Proskauer has diligently prepared itself for trial, has completed discovery, and has prepared and filed a proposed Unilateral Pretrial Statement and a motion for leave to file the Unilateral Pretrial Statement.

WHEREFORE, Proskauer respectfully requests that the Court vacate its September 30, 2002 Order and grant any further relief that is reasonable and just.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLD
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340W
Boa Ratoon, Florida 33431
Telephone: (561) 241-7400
Facsimile: (561) 241-7145


Matthew Triggs
Florida Bar No. 0865745
Christopher Prusaski
Florida Bar No. 0121525

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I certify that on October \({\frac{s^{r}}{}}_{L}^{2} 2002\), a copy of the foregoing was furnished by Facsimile and U.S. Mail to Steven Selz, Esq., Selz \& Muvdi Seize, P.A., 214 Brazilian Avenue,

Suite 220, Palm Beach, FL 33480.


Christopher W. Prusaski

\section*{Exhibit A}

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
} \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB a New York limited partnership,

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.


\section*{ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR DISCOVERY}

This matter coming before the Court on the Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery, and the Court having heard argument of counsel for both Plaintiff and Defendants and otherwise being advised in the premises and having considered the grounds for the Motion and considered applicable law, it is FOUND,

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:
1. Defendant's Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery is hereby GRANTED

2- Divancy cutoff shall be hroandu 15,2002

If PONE AND ORDERED at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida this 30 day of September, 2002.

Copies to:
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
214 Brazilian Ave., \#220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Coca Rato, FL 33431

\section*{Exhibit B}

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, NNC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

\section*{AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW TRIGGS}

\section*{STATE OF FLORIDA )}

COUNTY OF PALM BEACH )
Before me, a Notary Public, personally appeared Matthew Triggs, who being duly sworn deposes and says that:
1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Proskauer Rose LLP and I am licensed to practice law in the State of Florida.
2. Proskauer Rose LLP is the Plaintiff in this matter.
3. On October 1, 2002, Steven Selz, counsel for the Defendants, informed me that he had appeared at a Uniform Motion Calendar hearing on September 30, 2002 on an ex parte basis on Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery. He informed me that he had filed such a motion and further filed a Notice of Hearing setting the motion for hearing on September 30, 2002.
4. Proskauer has never received the Defendants' Motion to Enlarge Time for Discovery or a Notice of Hearing for September 30, 2002. Thus, I did not have any knowledge that a hearing was scheduled to occur on September 30, 2002.
5. I have previously notified counsel for the Defendants that Proskauer does not agree to an extension of the discovery cutoff in this matter.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.


SWORN TO and subscribed before me this \(1^{51}\) day of October, 2002 by Matthew Triggs, who is personally known by me to be the person who executed the foregoing.


\section*{Exhibit C}

\author{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. \\ Attomeys At Law \\ 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 \\ Palm Beach, FL 33480
}

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz

September 19, 2002

\section*{VLA FACSIMILLE TRANSMISSION}

Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
2255 Glades Road, Suite 340 W
Boca Raton, FL 33431

Re: Proskauer Rose vs. Iviewit.com; Depositions and Pending Discovery..

Dear Chris:
As you will recall at the hearing on September \(11^{\text {th }}\), after being advised of the limitations placed on Mr. Bernstein to attend depositions, mediation, etc., Judge Labarga advised that we were to coordinate an extension of the discovery deadlines in this matter. Your position now seems to be that the discovery will be extended for your client but not mine. I do not believe that this is either in keeping with the spirit or the directive of the Judge's ruling.

Please advise of what date you wish to establish for discovery cut-off in this matter. I would suggest Friday, November \(15^{\mathrm{th}}\), which is 30 days prior to our trial setting and which is after Mr. Bernstein's wife will have delivered their child. The mediation would be set the following week, the week of November 18-22.

If we cannot reach an agreement in this matter I will be forced to address this matter with the Court; however, I would much prefer if we can simply accomplish that end by agreement.

Please advise me by 5:00 pm today if you have reconsidered your position in this matter so that we may submit an agreed order in keeping with my suggestions.

\section*{VLA FACSIMLLE TRANSMISSION}

Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
Proskauer Rose, LLP
September 19, 2002
Page 2


\section*{SMS/ajf}
cc: Client

\section*{Exhibit D}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP} & 225\% . ades Hoad Suite 340 West Boca Raton, Fl 33431-7360 Telephone 561.241 .7400 Elsowhere in Florida 800.432.7746 F8x 581.241.7145 &  newat \\
\hline & Christopher W. Prusaski Attorney at Law & \\
\hline & Direct Dial 561.995.4767 corusaski@proskaver.com & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

September 20, 2002

Via Fax and U.S. Mail
Steven M. Selz, Esq.
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Re.: Proskauer Rose LLP v. Iviewit.com, Inc. et al.
Dear Mr. Selz:
This letter addresses several issues. First, please allow this letter to confim our telephone conversation this morning wherein you advised me that you are sick with the flu and therefore unable to take the deposition of Christopher Wheeler, which you noticed for 11:00 a.m. today. I have agreed to coordinate a mutually convenient date and time with you to reschedule Mr . Wheeler's deposition.

Second, regarding your letter dated September 19.2002 wherein you indicate that you wish to extend the discovery cutoff in this matter, please be advised that we do not agree to that request. As Matt Triggs and I told you after the September 11, 2002 hearing, the Court never ruled that the parties "were to coordinate an extension of the discovery deadlines" as you state in your letter. Indeed, Judge Labarga stated that we could extend the discovery cutoff if the parties agreed to do so. We do not agree to do so. That brings me to the issue of the request for production that you faxed to me yesterday. Aside from the fact that the request for production is harassing and preposterously overbroad, the request violates the Court's order setting the discovery cutoff for September 20, 2002. If you do not agree to withdraw the request for production, we will file a motion for protective order.

Finally, you state in your letter that "your position now seems to be that the discovery will be extended for your client but not for mine." We have never asked that the discovery deadline be extended. Rather, we simply agreed to your request that we postpone Mr. Bernstein's deposition (which had been properly noticed well within the discovery period) due to his family medical issue.

\section*{PROSKAUER ROSE LLP}

September 20, 2002
Page 2

In sum, pursuant to Judge Labarga's July 18, 2002 order, all discovery in this matrer is finished as of 5:00 p.m. today, with the exception of the depositions of Christopher Wheeler and Eliot Bernstein. We will not agree to extend the discovery cutoff. As for rescheduling Mr.
Bemstein's deposition and mediation, please provide me with dates when he is available.
Very truly yours,


Christopher W. Prusaski

CWP/kem

\title{
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE \(15^{\text {TH }}\) JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA
}

PROSKAUER ROSE L.L.P, CA 01-04671 AB
a New York limited partnership,
Plaintiff,
v.

IVIEWIT.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation, IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation.

Defendants.

\section*{THIRD RE-NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION}

To: Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.
One Boca Place, Suite 340 W
2255 Glades Road
Boca Raton, FL 33431
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorneys will take the deposition of:

DEPONENT
Christopher Wheeler, Esq.

DATE AND TIME LOCATION
October 10, 2002, Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
10:00 am 214 Brazilian Avenue
Suite 200-Conference
Room
Palm Beach, FL 33480

These oral examinations will continue from day to day until completed, before a notary public or other person authorized by law to take depositions.

These depositions are being taken for purposes of discovery, for use at a trial or fro such other purposes as are permitted under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

\section*{PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been provided by U.S. Mail and fax transmission this \(1 S T\) day of October, 2002 to the above-listed addressee.

\author{
SELZ \&MUVDI SELZ, P.A. \\ 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 \\ Palm Beagh, FL 33480 \\ Tel: (561)820-9409 \\ Fax: (561)8 \({ }^{\text {By }}\) STEVEN M. SELZ \\ FBN: 777420
}

CC: Esquire Deposition Services, Inc. (via facsimile)
In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons needing special accommodations to participate in this proceeding should contact the Court ADA Coordinator at (561) 357-5512 no later than seven days prior to the proceeding. If hearing impaired, contact the Court TDD number (305) 831-8288, or Florida Relay Services (800-955-8771) for assistance.

\author{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A. \\ Attorneys At Law \\ 214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220 \\ Palm Beach, FL 33480
}

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz

\section*{FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET FAX Number: (708) 450-1415}

Individual \& Firm: CAROLINE ROGERS, ESQ.

From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 10/1/02 11:40 A.M.
File \# \(\qquad\)
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 5

\section*{RE: IVIEWIT.COM}

Document(s) Attached: LETTER; RE-NOTICE OF TAKING OF DEPOSITION
Comments: I HAVEN'T HAD A RESPONSE TO MY PRIOR LETTER- NEED INFORMATION ON COUNTERCLAIM IMMEDIATELY SO THAT CAN BEGIN WORKING ON SAME.

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:
__ Regular Mail __ Federal Express ___ Courier
PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGE IND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THIS OFFICE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RETURN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THANK YOU.

\title{
Selz \& Muvdi Selz, P.A.
}

Attorneys At Law
214 Brazilian Avenue, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Steven M. Selz
Tel: (561) 820-9409
Liliana M. Selz
Fax: (561) 833-9715

\section*{FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET \\ FAX Number: (561) 241-7145}

Individual \& Firm: CHRISTOPHER W. PRUSASKI, ESQ.
From: STEVEN M. SELZ, ESQ.
Date \& Time: 10/01/02
File \# \(\qquad\)
Total number of Pages (INCLUDING this cover sheet) 4

\section*{RE: IVIEWIT.COM}

Document(s) Attached: LETTER; NOTICE OF HEARING
Comments: NONE

A copy or the original of the attached document will not follow unless otherwise noted below. Copy/Original sent by:

> _x_Regular Mail__ Federal Express ___ Courier

PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY OF ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TRANSMISSION AT (561) 820-9409.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Proskauer served a first and second request for production on the Defendants on November 30, 2001 and January 18, 2002, respectively. In addition, Proskauer served a second set of interrogatories on the Defendants on January 18, 2002. At the time of the withdrawal of Defendants' counsel, none of the documents had been provided in response to the first request for production, and no response had been served to the second request for production and second set of interrogatories.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note that the calendar call has been purposely scheduled to take place two (2) weeks prior to the commencement of the first week of the three month docket. This should be plenty of time for the attorneys or parties in the first case to be tried to issue and serve their trial subpoenas and to finalize trial presentations.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ One half hour slots from 8:15 A. M. to 8:45 A. M. have been set aside each moming (Manday - Thursday) to hear motions. The Court has also reserved every Friday (the entire day) to hear motions. This is in addition to the hearing time available during the Uniform Motion Calendar (8:45-9:30 A. M. Monday - Thursday). Thus, attomeys and parties are afforded plenty of opportunity to present their motions before trial. DO NOT WAIT until the last minute to attempt to schedule the hearing on your motion(s), as there is typically a $4-5$ week waiting period to get a hearing early in the morning, and a $4-5$ month waiting period to get a specially set hearing on Fridays. There is no waiting period for the Uniform Motion Calendar.

[^3]:    Christopher W. Prusaski

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The First Affirmative Defense states that "Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted in that Defendants herein were not parties to any contract or agreement with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's allegations are in direct conflict with the relevant written documents."

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ Proskauer is prepared to prove the existence of the contractual relationship at the time of trial.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Proskauer filed a motion in limine directed to the issue of whether the Defendants can put on proof of any alleged wrongdoing by Proskauer, as the defense was never pled in any of the pleadings in this marter. The motion in limine is set for hearing on November 5, 2002.
    ${ }^{2}$ The abbreviation "BU ${ }^{2}$ " followed by a page and line number refers to the transcript of the Deposition of Brian Utley dated August 22, 2002.
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[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ A copy of the motion for leave to amend and proposed amended counterclaim is attached as Exhibit 1.

[^8]:    Confidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain legally privileged material. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message, other than by such addressee(s), is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notity us by telephone and return the original to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.

[^9]:    Christopher W. Prusaski, Esq.

[^10]:    Confidentiality Note: This message is confidential and intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain legally privileged material. Dissermination, distribution or copying of this message, other than by such addressee(s), is stictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for the cost of the telephone call and postage. Thank you.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The scheduling of Phase 2 discovery will follow in a later Order.
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[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note that the calendar call has been purposely scheduled to take place two (2) weeks prior to the commencement of the first week of the three month docket. This should be plenty of time for the attorneys or parties in the first case to be tried to issue and serve their trial subpoenas and to finalize trial presentations.

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ One half hour slots from 8:15 A. M. to 8:45 A. M. have been set aside each morning (Monday - Thursday) to hear motions. The Court has also reserved every Friday (the entire day) to hear motions. This is in addition to the hearing time available during the Uniform Motion Calendar (8:45-9:30 A. M. Monday - Thursday). Thus, attorneys and parties are afforded plenty of opportunity to present their motions before trial. DO NOT WAIT until the last minute to attempt to schedule the hearing on your motion(s), as there is typically a $4-5$ week waiting period to get a hearing early in the morning, and a 4-5 month waiting period to get a specially set hearing on Fridays. There is no waiting period for the Uniform Motion Calendar.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ This matter is specially-set for non-jury trial on May 28-29, 2003.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brian Utley is the former President and Chief Operating Officer of Iviewit.
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[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note that the calendar call has been purposely scheduled to take place two (2) weeks prior to the commencement of the first week of the three month docket. This should be plenty of time for the attorneys or parties in the first case to be tried to issue and serve their trial subpoenas and to finalize trial presentations.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ One half hour slots from 8:15 A. M. to 8:45 A. M. have been set aside each morming (Monday - Thursday) to hear motions. The Court has also reserved every Friday (the entire day) to hear motions. This is in addition to the hearing time available during the Uniform Motion Calendar (8:45-9:30 A. M. Monday - Thursday). Thus, attomeys and parties are afforded plenty of opportunity to present their motions before trial. DO NOT WAIT until the last minute to attempt to schedule the hearing on your motion(s), as there is typically a $4-5$ week waiting period to get a hearing early in the morning, and a $4-5$ month waiting period to get a specially set hearing on Fridays. There is no waiting period for the Uniform Motion Calendar.

