



IVIEWIT.COM, INC.

By Facsimile

February 25, 2003

Gary L. Casella, Chief Counsel
9th District Grievance Committee
399 Knollwood Road, Suite 200
White Plains, New York 10603
Phone: 914/949-4540
Fax: 914/949-0997

Re: General Complaint against Raymond Anthony Joao on Behalf of Iviewit.com, Inc.

Dear Mr. Cahill:

By way of introduction, I am President (Acting) of the above referenced Company, and write to file a General Complaint against the following member of the New York State Bar Association:

Raymond Joao, Esquire
122 Bellevue Place
Yonkers, NY 10703
914-969-2992

Introduction

Raymond A. Joao, (hereinafter "Joao"), believed to be a resident of the State of New York, and who at various times relevant hereto was initially misrepresented to the Company as a partner of Proskauer Rose LLP (hereinafter "Proskauer") and was factually a partner of Meltzer Lippe Goldstein and Schlissel, and who provided legal services to the Company.

Moreover, beginning on or about September of 1998, the Company, through its agent and principal, Eliot I. Bernstein ("Bernstein"), began negotiations with Proskauer with regard to Proskauer providing legal services to the Company the purpose of which was to develop and market specific technologies developed by Bernstein and two others, which technologies allowed for the scaling, enlargement, panning and zooming of digital images and video without degradation to the quality of the digital image due to what is commonly referred to as "pixelation", the delivery of digital video using proprietary



scaling techniques, a combination of the image pan and zoom techniques and video scaling techniques, and the remote control of video and image applications.

Furthermore, Bernstein engaged the services of Proskauer and in turn Joao, among others, through an engagement letter a true copy of which I attach herein as Exhibit "A", to obtain multiple patents and oversee US and foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described above, and such other activities as were necessary to protect the intellectual property.

Additionally, upon information and belief, Joao upon viewing the technologies developed by Bernstein, and held by the Company, realized the significance of the technologies, its various applications to communication networks for distributing video data and images and for existing digital processes, including, but not limited to digital cameras, digital video disks (DVD), digital imaging technologies for medical purposes and digital video, and that Rubenstein designed and executed, sometimes for himself or others similarly situated, deceptions, improprieties, and, even in certain circumstances, outright malfeasances by the disingenuous insertion of his own interests or the interests of third parties, who were other clients of Proskauer and/or Meltzer Lippe Goldstein and Schlissel, between the Company, as his client and together with its disclosed techniques, and the ultimate end users of its future OEM and other licensees, to the detriment and damage of the Company. Many of the malfeasances against the Company have also involved fraud against the US Patent and Trademark Office.

Specifics of General Complaint

Where the Company employed Joao, Proskauer and Meltzer Lippe Goldstein & Schlissel for purposes of representing the Company to obtain multiple patents and oversee foreign filings for such technologies including the provisional filings for the technologies as described above, and that pursuant to such employment, Joao, Proskauer and Meltzer Lippe Goldstein & Schlissel owed a duty to ensure that the rights and interests of the Company were protected, Joao, Proskauer and Meltzer Lippe Goldstein & Schlissel neglected that reasonable duty of care in the performance of legal services in that they:

- a. Failed to take reasonable steps to ensure that the intellectual property of the Company was protected; and,
- b. Failed to and/or inadequately completed work regarding patents, copyrights and trademarks; and,
- c. Failed to list proper inventors of the technologies based on improper legal advise by Proskauer, and in turn Joao in his lead technological role, that foreign inventors could not be listed until their immigration status was adjusted leading to further erroneous billings by Proskauer for frivolous immigration work. This resulted in the failure of the patents to include their rightful and lawful inventors; and,



d. Failed to ensure that the patent applications for the technologies, contained all necessary and pertinent information relevant to the technologies and as required by law; and,

e. Falsified billing statements and transmitted documents, and,

f. Falsified patent documents and changed the contents of patents prior to filing so as to make the Company patents weak and inaccurate, so as to file patents in his own name that would succeed upon the Companies patents failing. That Mr. Joao who was contracted to procure patents for the Company has now applied for 70+ patents in his own name, many of which appear to be ideas learned while representing the Company.

g. That due to the discovery of many of the above described events the Company's lead investor Crossbow Ventures (a referral of Proskauer Rose) of West Palm Beach, Fla., pulled funding on the Company.

Lastly, the negligent actions of Joao, MLGS and Proskauer resulted in and were the proximate cause of loss to the Company; today, the Company's processes are believed to be on digital camera's, DVD's and virtually all Internet and Broadcast streams of video; true copies of exhibits and witnesses are available on request and/or I will, on behalf of the Company, present them according to proof at commencement of investigation into this General Complaint.

Due to the highly sensitive nature of the patent and copyright materials, exhibits and witnesses will be provided once formal protections have been established in regard to this complaint.

Very truly yours,

Iviewit.com, Inc

By: : Electronic Signature

Eliot I Bernstein
561.364.4240
President

Electronic Signature for P. Stephen Lamont by Eliot I. Bernstein his attorney -in-fact

P. Stephen Lamont
Chief Executive Officer