

1 you, me and Ken in which we can discuss it.

2 A. Right.

3 Q. So was that statement on your part in this
4 letter precipitated by some specific discussion with
5 Mr. Bernstein?

6 A. I don't recall.

7 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with
8 Mr. Bernstein about Proskauer Rose providing an
9 opinion with regard to the patentability of any of
10 these processes?

Complete perjury
- click here for
opinion by
Proskauer Patent
Counsel

11 A. No.

12 Q. What was the process that was being
13 discussed or was mentioned in your letter or reference
14 to Eliot?

15 MR. TRIGGS: Object to form.

16 Q. Let me rephrase it. What process were you
17 referring to in your letter?

18 A. We were referring to Eliot's technology.
19 Whatever that technology was.

20 Q. Okay. Well, let's go back to that, to the
21 technology issue, because I think you had provided a
22 little testimony about that before, saying it was a
23 portal?

24 A. Right.

25 Q. Okay. Was there anything more specific on