## Second Circuit Miscellaneous Forms Notice of Appearance

| Short little: Bernstein et al. v. Appellate Division First Department Disciplinary Committee  |                              |  |                              |
|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
|   | NOTICE OF APP                | EARANCE  |                              |
| Appearance for (provide name of party):   | Proskauer Rose LLP, Kenneth  | Rubenstein, Steven C. Krane and the E                    | state of Stephen Rackow Kaye |
| Status of Party:  Appellant/Petitioner  Appellee/Respondent Cross-Appellant/Cross-Petitioner  Other (Specify):  |                              | Appellee/Cross Respondent<br>Intervenor<br>Amicus Curiae |                              |
| <ul> <li>An attorney will argue this appeal.</li> <li>Name of attorney who will argue attorney's admission</li> <li>Other Federal/State Bar admission</li> </ul>  | sion to this Court (month, d |  |                              |
| <ul> <li>☐ I am a pro se litigant who is not an att</li> <li>☐ I am an incarcerated pro se litigant.</li> </ul>   | torney.<br>TIME REQU         | FST  |                              |
| Oral argument is not desired. Oral argument is desired. Party r request a total of minutes t  | equests minutes or r         | nulti-co-parties   |                              |
| If more than 20 minutes per side is requested, set forth reasons:   |                              |  |                              |
| AVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL/PRO SE LITIGANT  I understand that the person who will argue the appeal must be ready at any time during or after the week of argument which appears on the scheduling order.   |                              |  |                              |
| I know of no dates which would be inconvenient. I request that the argument of this appeal not be calendared for the following dates, which are inconvenient. I have included religious holidays.       |                              |  |                              |
| COUNSEL OR PRO SE LITIGANT MUST ADVISE THE COURT IN WRITING OF ANY CHANGE IN AVAILABILITY. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY BE CONSIDERED BY THE COURT IN DECIDING MOTIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT BASED ON UNAVAILABILITY. |                              |  |                              |
| RELATED CASES  This case has not been before this Court previously. This case has been before this Court previously. The short title, docket number and citation are:                                   |                              |  |                              |
| Matters related to this appeal or involving the same issue have been or presently are before this Court. The short titles, docket numbers and citations are:  |                              |  |                              |
| Signature of counsel of record or pro se  | litigant:                    | Signature of counsel who wif different:                  | vill argue the appeal,       |
| Name of Firm: Proskauer Rose L  |                              | Type or Print Name                                       |                              |
| Telephone: (212) 969-3000 Date  | •                            | hone: Date:  |                              |

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

## NOTICE OF APPEARANCE INFORMATION AND FORM

The form on the reverse side containing appearance, time request, availability, and related case information must be completed by all parties and returned this office when appellant's brief is due.

## FAILURE TO SUBMIT THIS FORM ON TIME WILL BE CONSIDERED IN DECIDING ANY MOTIONS FOR ADJOURNMENT BASED ON UNAVAILABILITY.

Each counsel of record or individual appearing *pro se* must complete this form. If an attorney other than counsel of record will argue the appeal, counsel of record must provide that attorney's name and date of admission to the bar of this Court in the space provided and indicate the dates, if any, when that attorney will be unavailable to argue the appeal.

Counsel of record and counsel who will argue the appeal must be admitted to the bar of this Court or be otherwise eligible to argue an appeal. The Court encourages and prefers written pro hac vice motions, filed as early as possible. Admission pro hac vice will be extended as a matter of course to a member of the bar of a district court within the circuit who has represented a criminal defendant at trial and continues representation on an appeal taken pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act. See Local Rule 46. However, counsel are encouraged to apply for general admission to this Court as soon as they meet the qualifications.

For information concerning admissions and admission applications, contact the Clerk's Office at 212-857-8603.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Joanna Smith, hereby certify that on March 3, 2009 I caused to be served the attached

Notice of Appearance, by U.S. Mail, on the following parties:

Eliot I. Bernstein 2753 Northwest 34<sup>th</sup> Street Boca Raton, FL 33434 Appellant Pro Se

P. Stephen Lamont 35 Locust Avenue Rye, NY 10580 Appellant Pro Se

Patrick J. Walsh Office of the New York Attorney General 120 Broadway, 25th Floor New York, NY 10271 Counsel for the New York State Appellees

Kent K. Anker Friedman Kaplan Seiler & Adelman LLP 1633 Broadway, 46th Floor New York, NY 10019 Counsel for Foley Lardner, Todd C. Norbitz, Esq. and Anne B. Sekel, Esq.

John W. Fried, Esq. Fried & Epstein LLP 1350 Broadway, Suite 1400 New York, NY 10018 Counsel for Appellee Raymond Joao

Richard M. Howard, Esq. Law offices of Richard M. Howard 190 Mineola, NY 11501 Counsel for Meltzer Lippe

Dated: March 3, 2009 New York, NY

Joanna Smìth