PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

1585 Broadway New York, NY 10036-8299 Telephone 212.969.3000 Fax 212.969.2900 BOSTON CHICAGO LOMDON LOS ANGELES NEW ORLEANS NEWARK PARIS SÃO PAULO WASHINGTON

BOCA RATON

Joanna Smith Attorney at Law

Direct Dial 212.969.3437 jfsmith@proskauer.com

May 13, 2008

## By Fax and U.S. Mail

Eliot I. Bernstein Iviewit Technologies, Inc. 39 Little Avenue Red Bluff, CA 96080

P. Stephen Lamont 35 Locust Avenue Rye, N.Y. 10580

Re: Bernstein v. Appellate Division First Departmental Disciplinary Committee, et al., Index. No. 07 CV 11196 (SAS)

## Dear Sirs:

I write to inform you that we have not yet received your Amended Complaint which, pursuant to the Court's April 14, 2008 Order, was to be filed by May 12, 2008. In that same Order, the Court ruled that "[n]otwithstanding the filing of the Amended Complaint, defendants' deadline to move to dismiss or file an answer remains May 30, 2008." It is our understanding that, pursuant to this Order, our motion to dismiss should address the allegations made in the Amended Complaint, rather than the original Complaint.

We are in receipt of the Court's May 9, 2008 Order addressing our request, made by letter of the same date, to file a motion to stay service of the Amended Complaint on the additional defendants not named in the original Complaint pending the Court's disposition of the motions to dismiss to be filed May 30, 2008. We presume that you are also in possession of that Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court's Order stated that the Amended Complaint was to be filed no later than May 10, 2008, but because May 10, 2008 was a Saturday, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the deadline for filing the Amended Complaint would be the following Monday, May 12, 2008.

## PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Eliot I. Bernstein & P. Stephen Lamont May 13, 2008 Page 2

Reading the two orders together, we believe that it was the Court's intention that Plaintiff serve the original defendants with the Amended Complaint, as it is necessary for us to receive that document in order to complete the motions to dismiss due May 30, 2008. Accordingly, we request that you serve us with the Amended Complaint (by fax followed by mail) as soon as possible.

If we do not receive the Amended Complaint by the close of business tomorrow, May 14, 2008, we will be forced to seek clarification from the Court. Your prompt attention to this letter would be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Joanna Smith

cc: Gregg M. Mashberg

Monica Connell, Esq.
Office of the New York State Attorney General
Counsel for the New York State Defendants

Kent K. Anker, Esq. Lili Zandpour, Esq. Friedman Kaplan Sciler & Adelman LLP Counsel for the Foley Larder LLP Defendants

John W. Fried, Esq. Fried & Epstein LLP Counsel for Defendant Joao

Stephen II. Hall Office of the Virginia State Attorney General Counsel for the Virginia Defendants