

NEW YORK STATE
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT
120 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10271

COMPLAINT FORM

1. PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY IN DARK INK.
2. COMPLETE THE ENTIRE FORM AND SIGN.
3. RETURN/SEND FORM TO THE PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT.

COMPLAINANT

Your Name: Eliot I. Bernstein Home Tel: (561) 245-8588
Street Address: 2753 N.W. 34th Street Business Tel: (561) 245-8588
City/Town: Boca Raton State: FL Zip: 33434 County: Palm Beach

COMPLAINT

Public Agency/Individual you are complaining about: First Department - Dept Disciplinary Committee
Street Address (if known): 61 Broadway 10006
City/Town: New York, State: NY Zip: 10006 County: _____

Has this matter been submitted to another agency? Yes No

If so, which agency: First Dept Disciplinary Committee

Is there any legal action pending? Yes No

If so, where: NY Court of Appeals Docket 08-4873-cv from USDCSDNY Case 07civ11196

PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR COMPLAINT BELOW
(use back of form or attach additional documentation if necessary)

Please see attached complaint

12 pages total.

READ THE FOLLOWING BEFORE SIGNING BELOW:

I understand that any false statements made in this complaint are punishable as a Class A Misdemeanor under Section 175.30 and/or Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.

Signature:  Date: 2/9/09

Return to: **NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**
PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT
120 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10271

Received by: _____ Date: _____

*** TRANSMISSION REPORT ***

JAN 7, 2009 21:47 Model # 4200 Series 530-526-5751

START TIME	SENT TO	PAGES	RESULT
21:44	12124166589	13	OK

Public Integrity Group
Complaint
Andrew Cuomo



IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (561) 245-8588

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Monday, February 09, 2009

Roy L. Reardon, Esq.
Chairman
and
Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.
Chief Counsel
New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division
First Department ~ Departmental Disciplinary Committee
61 Broadway
New York, NY 10006

- RE:**
- I. Roy L. Reardon letter dated January 27, 2009¹**
 - II. Alan W. Friedberg letter dated January 12, 2009²**
 - III. Complaints**
 - A. "New Complaints"**
 - 1. Roy L. Reardon, Esq. – Waiting Docket #
 - 2. Alan W. Friedberg, Esq. – Waiting Docket #
 - B. "2008 Complaints" Matters of Attorney Complaints Docket #2008-0756, appears to attempt to merge multiple complaints into one, waiting formal individual docket #'s from NYAG.**
 - 3. Proskauer Rose, LLP – First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - 4. Foley & Lardner - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - 5. Gregg M. Mashberg, Esq. - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - 6. Joanna F. Smith, Esq. - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - 7. Todd C. Norbitz, Esq. - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - 8. Anne B. Sekel, Esq. - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
 - C. "Old Complaints" (Transferred by Unpublished Orders M3198 - Steven C. Krane / M2820 Kenneth Rubenstein and M3212 Raymond A. Joao on Unanimous Consent of First Dept Justices for Conflict of Interest and the Appearance of Impropriety to Second Department. Second Department failed to conduct formal investigations ordered by First Dept.)**
 - 9. Thomas Cahill Special Inquiry No. 2004.1122 (former 1st Dept Chief Counsel) – Ongoing investigation by Martin Gold.
 - 10. Steven C. Krane docket #2004.1883 (1st Dept Officer, former NYSBA President & Proskauer partner)
 - 11. Kenneth Rubenstein docket #2003.0531 (Proskauer partner)

¹ Exhibit 1 - Roy L. Reardon letter dated January 27, 2009

² Exhibit 2 - Alan W. Friedberg letter dated January 12, 2009

RE: Complaints

12. Raymond A. Joao docket #2003-0352
13. Proskauer Rose, LLP - First Dep. Failed to formally docket
14. Meltzer Lippe Goldstein & Schlissel - First Dep. Failed to formally docket

Dear Mr. Reardon and Mr. Friedberg:

It is a pleasure to hear a response from our March 2008³ attorney complaint filings, which defy the established rules for a response, representing a small amount of the problems associated with your conflicted and perhaps illegal handling and dismissal of our 2008 Complaints. May I remind you, that your offices and key members are named as Defendants in several Federal complaints that are related to your former employee Christine C. Anderson's Federal Lawsuit Case No. 07 Civ. 9599 (SAS-AJP) Christine C. Anderson v. the State of New York, et al. ("Anderson"). Anderson in **WHISTLEBLOWER** fashion, as stated by Judge Shira Scheindlin (Scheindlin), reveals criminal actions by your offices in the mishandling of many complaints and specifically names the Iviewit companies in her original complaint. Anderson also was physically assaulted by her immediate supervisor Sherri Cohen, allegedly to keep the lid on the crimes of her supervisor Cohen and Thomas Cahill. Cohen was reprimanded to anger management classes for the attack, efforts to cover a macabre scene of whitewashing of complaints, including those of my former companies, Iviewit and myself individually. Scheindlin has referred the Plaintiffs in the related cases to further investigate the allegations before the Court of Appeals – Second Circuit.


The fact that your offices are named in a TRILLION dollar lawsuit with me personally (Case # 07 Civ. 11196 (SAS) Eliot I. Bernstein, et al. v. Appellate Division First Department, Department Disciplinary Committee et al. & Docket 08-4873-cv in the Court of Appeals) would make your review and opinion of the 2008 Complaints that are related to that very case conflicted and a flagrant violation of most of the rules of your offices and certainly outside of the established procedures, the attorney code of conduct and law. As you also have retained counsel in the lawsuit I have filed, it is beyond belief that would contact me personally instead of through your representative counsel, the New York Attorney General. I am also complaining that this contacting me directly, in an attempt to paper your files with self-interest letters of dismissal of other named Defendants, is a flagrant violation of the ethics and rules that regulate both your offices in a public capacity and the rules that regulate you as attorneys at law. As you know, upon filing the 2008 Complaints, we contacted the NYAG who also agreed that your offices were being represented by their offices and that all complaint contact would funnel through them as your counsel. The absolute lack of integrity on opining on legal matters your offices are named Defendants in is beyond comprehension and causes of a total loss in the integrity of your offices and the legal profession.

Mr. Reardon and Mr. Friedberg, for your conflicted responses and violation of the First Department Rules and Attorney Code of Conduct, I welcome you to the Iviewit affairs with formal New Complaints against both of you with your department and ask that those be forwarded to your counsel the NYAG to have non conflicted parties review the matters versus your highly conflicted offices. The New

³ Exhibit 3 -

<http://iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20080305%20Final%20Plaintiff%20Opposition%20to%20Proskauer%20letter%20as%20counsel.pdf>

Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv



Roy L. Reardon, Esq.
Chairman
&
Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.
Chief Counsel
New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division
First Department ~ Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Page 3 of 7
Monday, February 09, 2009

RE: Complaints

Complaints are to include anyone else in your offices or on the Committee who took part in any review and decision on the 2008 Complaints filed, as indicated in your letters. Please provide the appropriate information regarding the members involved.

Contrary to the exhibited Friedberg letter, the 2008 Complaints which we have been waiting for formal docketing information from the NYAG, were filed against The Law Offices of Proskauer Rose LLP, Foley & Lardner LLP, Gregg M. Mashberg, Esq., Joanna F. Smith, Esq., Todd C. Norbitz and Anne B. Sekel. These were filed for their recent actions as conflicted counsel, representing themselves against their former client in the United States District Court – Southern District of New York and other violations cited in the 2008 Complaints filed. In the words of Judge Scheindlin, “Any further consideration of the substantive issues raised by plaintiffs, including plaintiffs’ requests regarding conflicts of interest, must await resolution of anticipated motions to dismiss.” It is interesting that the presiding Justice found the issues substantive but the Defendants (YOUR OFFICES) reviewing other Defendants 2008 Complaints did not. This is similar to the fact in the Old Complaints that your offices found no reason to investigate, even after the USPTO OED, Harry Moatz, notified you that the patent office had begun investigations of the same attorneys based on the same allegations. Only later was it learned of the conflicts that affected your decisions on the Old Complaints, forcing the moving of those complaints from your offices. Also, we are filing this letter response to not only reinvestigate the dismissal of case Docket #2008-0756, docketed for an unknown person referenced in Friedberg’s letter as the only docket for 6 of the 2008 Complaints but to also complain that the same attorney’s continue to act in conflict at the Court of Appeals, constituting further violations of the Attorney Code of Conduct.

The 2008 Complaints are wholly separate and materially different than the Old Complaints filed and are against different attorneys than the Old Complaints, which were filed against Steven C. Krane, Kenneth Rubenstein, Raymond Joao, Meltzer Lippe Goldstein and Schlissel, Proskauer. Proskauer is complained of again, yet on new grounds and the new attorneys’ actions are wholly separate from the Old Complaints attorneys and their actions. The Old Complaints were wholly different allegations and thus Friedberg’s letter shows that your department failed to correctly review or opine on the 2008 Complaints, as the letter states that the Old and 2008 Complaints are similar when in fact they are wholly different.

Obviously, your review should have been turned over to a non-conflicted third party authority as requested, due to the myriad of conflicts, as the Old Complaints were forced to be turned over to the Second Department by unanimous consent of the First Department Justices for the Appearance of Impropriety and Conflict with your prior Chief Counsel, Thomas Cahill and former Officer, Steven C. Krane. The fact that you both acknowledge the Old Complaints were formerly transferred, combined with your knowledge that you are represented by counsel in a Federal complaint make your dismissal, even your involvement and handling of the 2008 Complaints an act that violates ethics entirely. The Second Department you will note was also a Defendant in the Federal lawsuit.

This continued violation of rules, laws and ethics reminds me to ask if you both if you have listed the Iviewit Federal lawsuit liability in your financial reporting to the State of New York’s accounting authorities, such as, the New York Comptroller’s Office or if you are perpetrating a TRILLION dollar accounting fraud on the great state of New York by hiding your departments legal liabilities in the matter and your continued conflicted actions. Also, have you reported the liability of SEVERAL HUNDRED MILLION dollars of additional related lawsuits to Anderson against your department and its members to all proper authorities?



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.

2753 N.W. 34th St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459

(561) 245-8588

www.iviewit.tv

RE: Complaints

Mssrs. Reardon & Friedberg, please take this letter as a formal complaint against both of you personally and all those who partook in these matters and please docket the New Complaints according to the established procedural rules and laws. Mssrs. Reardon & Friedberg, it is surprising that you are aware of the Old Complaints filed at your department dating back to on or about 2001-2005 that you mention in your letter which were filed in your office by the Iviewit companies and me. The Old Complaints were transferred to the Second Department as you astutely noted; however you fail in your letter to mention that they were transferred due to a finding of the Appearance of Impropriety & Conflicts, in those attorney complaints. In notifying authorities of your newest unethical actions and rule violations, please provide me with all of the following information so that I may formally instigate further oversight of your unlawful and unethical behavior:


1. List of all reviewers or employees who handled these 2008 Complaints, including all Committee members you reference in your letter,
2. Attach complete copies of the files for each separate 2008 Complaint filed and for the Old Complaints filed in your offices,
3. Provide individual 2008 Complaint DOCKET numbers, your letter only indicates one 2008-0756, for the following complained of parties:
 - a. The Law Offices of Proskauer Rose LLP
 - b. Foley & Lardner LLP
 - c. Gregg M. Mashberg, Esq.
 - d. Joanna F. Smith, Esq.
 - e. Todd C. Norbitz
 - f. Anne B. Sekel
 - g. Alan W. Friedberg, Esq. (provide Special Inquiry #)
 - h. Roy Reardon, Esq. (provide Special Inquiry #)

Rules applicable to the New Complaint against Reardon & Friedberg shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

PART 605. RULES AND PROCEDURES OF THE DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

§ 605.6 Investigations and Informal Proceedings

b. 2. Other Situations. In the case of an allegation of misconduct originating in the Court or the Committee, or upon the initiative of the Office of Chief Counsel, the writing reflecting the allegation shall be treated as a Complaint.


Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv

RE: Complaints

g. Preliminary Screening of Complaints. Any complaint received by the Office of Chief Counsel against a member of the Committee or Staff counsel involving alleged misconduct shall be transmitted forthwith to the Committee Chairperson, who shall assign it either to the Office of Chief Counsel or to special counsel who shall conduct or direct the appropriate investigation, and give a written recommendation as to the disposition of the Complaint to the Committee Chairperson, who shall determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint. Any such Complaint which relates to the Committee Chairperson shall, in the first instance, be transmitted to a Hearing Panel Chairperson, who shall conduct the appropriate investigation and determine the appropriate disposition of the Complaint.

NEW YORK LAWYER'S CODE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY - DISCIPLINARY RULES

DR 8-101 [1200.42] Action as a Public Official.

A. A lawyer who holds public office shall not:


1. Use the public position to obtain, or attempt to obtain, a special advantage in legislative matters for the lawyer or for a client under circumstances where the lawyer knows or it is obvious that such action is not in the public interest.
2. Use the public position to influence, or attempt to influence, a tribunal to act in favor of the lawyer or of a client.
3. Accept anything of value from any person when the lawyer knows or it is obvious that the offer is for the purpose of influencing the lawyer's action as a public official.

DR 1-102 [1200.3] Misconduct.

A. A lawyer or law firm shall not:

1. Violate a Disciplinary Rule.
2. Circumvent a Disciplinary Rule through actions of another.
3. Engage in illegal conduct that adversely reflects on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer.
4. Engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation.
5. Engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Please attach to your response the First Department Financial Statements illustrating the liability of more than one TRILLION dollars fully disclosed since the servicing of the Original Federal Complaint against your offices or direct me to the state auditor that handles such liabilities and financial disclosures to the public for your offices.



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv

Roy L. Reardon, Esq.
Chairman
&
Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.
Chief Counsel
New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division
First Department ~ Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Page 6 of 7
Monday, February 09, 2009

RE: Complaints

While the continued illegal activity of your offices remain bemusing, it is disheartening in that illustrates the underbelly of the New York legal system and exposes your offices as merely a storefront for crimes committed by those entrusted with upholding law and the rules regulating attorney misconduct. This letter is also notice within the 30-day period to have the 2008 Complaints and New Complaints formally reviewed but this time please have the review conducted by a non-conflicted third party to save further parties from your offices from becoming defendants in the federal court case. You can find our standard conflict disclosure @

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090127%20Conflict%20of%20Interest%20Form.htm> .

Please take note that P. Stephen Lamont no longer works for the Iviewit companies or myself personally, as indicated in his own words on the www.iviewit.tv website "By way of introduction, I am P. Stephen Lamont, former Acting CEO of Iviewit (counsel advised all Iviewit executives to resign their posts and work along side Iviewit rather than within Iviewit, as the former Board of Directors, Counsel and Accountants, disbanded without requisite notice to Shareholders in violation of law, thereby leaving massive liability and exposure)." Mr. Lamont's surreptitious actions may make Lamont a defendant in the current Federal complaint and this has been noted to the courts and others. Mr. Lamont is misrepresenting himself as the CEO of Iviewit and you should be aware that this may also be criminal misconduct. Please direct ALL complaint matters for Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein, including the 2008 Complaints, Old Complaints and New Complaints bearing any Iviewit marks or claims to Iviewit's address below, any further work by your offices with P. Stephen Lamont to tender self tendered conflicted exonerary letters will be reported to authorities as further fraud.


Regretfully yours,



Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv

ec & cc:

Monica Connell, Esq. ~ Assistant Attorney General - Division of State Counsel Litigation Bureau,
State of New York Office of the Attorney General (YOUR COUNSEL IN THE TRILLION
DOLLAR LAWSUIT AGAINST YOUR OFFICES)



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv

Roy L. Reardon, Esq.
Chairman
&
Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.
Chief Counsel
New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division
First Department ~ Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Page 7 of 7
Monday, February 09, 2009

RE: Complaints

Andrew Cuomo ~ Attorney General of New York State, State of New York Office of the Attorney General

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin, United States District Court ~ Southern District of New York

The Honorable Glenn Fine ~ Inspector General, United States Department of Justice

David A. Paterson ~ Governor, New York State

Thomas P. DiNapoli ~ Comptroller, State of New York

The Honorable John Conyers Jr. ~ Chairman, House Judiciary Committee

Eric Himpton Holder, Jr. ~ Attorney General, United States

The Honorable United States Senator Dianne Feinstein

NYS Committee Members: sampson@senate.state.ny.us, onorato@senate.state.ny.us, schneiderman@schneiderman.org, schneiderman@senate.state.ny.us, hassell@senate.state.ny.us, diaz@senate.state.ny.us, jd Klein@senate.state.ny.us, eadams@senate.state.ny.us, espada@senate.state.ny.us, breslin@senate.state.ny.us, dilan@senate.state.ny.us, savino@senate.state.ny.us, perkins@senate.state.ny.us, maziarz@senate.state.ny.us, jdfranc@senate.state.ny.us, volker@senate.state.ny.us, saland@senate.state.ny.us, lavalle@senate.state.ny.us, bonacic@senate.state.ny.us, winner@senate.state.ny.us, nozzolio@senate.state.ny.us, lanza@senate.state.ny.us, ranz@senate.state.ny.us, spotts@senate.state.ny.us

Enclosure (2)

cmb



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv

*Exhibit 1-2-9-09
First Dept DDC response*

SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION
FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

ROY L. REARDON, ESQ.
CHAIRMAN

61 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10006

January 27, 2009


Re: Complaints Against Thomas J. Cahill, Esq., Chief Counsel
and Alan W. Friedberg, Esq., Chief Counsel and Others

P. Stephen Lamont
Ivicut Technologies, Inc.
175 King Street
Armonk, NY 10504

Dear Sir:

The complaints filed by you against Messrs. Cahill and Friedberg and others, dated July 8, 2004 and September 29, 2008 have been examined by Special Counsel to the DDC and found to be without merit.

Very truly yours,



Roy L. Reardon
Chair

cc: Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.

APPELLATE DIVISION

RECEIVED

JAN 29 2009

Exhibit 2 - First Dept DDO
DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE
SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION
FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
61 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006
(212) 401-0800
FAX: (212) 401-0810
2-9-09
Response

ROY L. REARDON, ESQ.
CHAIRMAN
HALIBURTON FALES, 2d., ESQ.
CHARLOTTE MOSES FISCHMAN, ESQ.
MARTIN R. GOLD, ESQ.
WILLIAM FRANCIS KUNTZ, II, ESQ.
STEPHEN L. WEINER, ESQ.
SPECIAL COUNSEL

CATHERINE M. ABATE, ESQ.
DOMINIC F. AMOROSA, ESQ.
EUGENE F. BANNIGAN, ESQ.
PATRICK H. BARTH, ESQ.
PETER A. BELLACOSA, ESQ.
NINA BEATTIE, ESQ.
GEORGE BERGER, ESQ.
DR. JANE EISNER BRAM
DOUGLAS W. BRANDRUP, ESQ.
AURORA CASSIRER, ESQ.
CHRISTOPHER E. CHANG, ESQ.
ANN J. CHARTERS
ERNEST J. COLLAZO, ESQ.
LISA D. CORRELL
DENIS F. CRONIN, ESQ.
CHERYL DAVIS, ESQ.
JEAN E. DAVIS
RALPH C. DAWSON, ESQ.
PAUL F. DOYLE, ESQ.
ROSALIND S. FINK, ESQ.
THOMAS FITZPATRICK, ESQ.
WILLIAM L. FREEMAN
PAUL G. GARDEPHE, ESQ.
DAVID R. GELFAND, ESQ.
JOSEPH STEVEN GENOVA, ESQ.
ALFRED G. GEROSA
ROBERT J. GIUFFRA, ESQ.
ROBERT E. GODOSKY, ESQ.
JOHN D. GORDAN, III, ESQ.
RICHARD M. GREENBERG, ESQ.
MAURA BARRY GRINALDS, ESQ.
ROBERT L. HAIG, ESQ.
WILLIAM E. HAMMOND, ESQ.
PATRICIA HANDAL
PATRICIA HATRY, ESQ.
SEYMOUR W. JAMES, JR., ESQ.
ALAN R. KAUFMAN, ESQ.
STEPHEN E. KAUFMAN, ESQ.
MYRON KIRSCHBAUM, ESQ.
ANDREW M. LAWLER, ESQ.
MARVIN LEFFLER
HON. ALFRED D. LERNER
FRANK J. LOVERRO, ESQ.
NANCY B. LUDMERER, ESQ.
MARY B. MAGUIRE
DOUGLASS B. MAYNARD, ESQ.
LAWRENCE D. MCGOVERN, ESQ.
ROBERT J. MCGUIRE, ESQ.
HAROLD F. MCGUIRE, JR., ESQ.
FITZGERALD MILLER
CHARLES G. MOERDLER, ESQ.
MATHIAS E. MONE, ESQ.
MERCEDES A. NESFIELD
LYNN K. NEUNER, ESQ.
FREDERIC S. NEWMAN, ESQ.
JACOB PULTMAN, ESQ.
ANTHONY M. RADICE, ESQ.
TIMOTHY G. REYNOLDS, ESQ.
MARTIN S. ROTHMAN, ESQ.
REUBEN SAMUEL, ESQ.
AUGUSTIN J. SAN FILIPPO, ESQ.
JOHN S. SIFFERT, ESQ.
MARIAN E. SILBER, ESQ.
EUGENE P. SOUTHER, ESQ.
HON. JOSEPH P. SULLIVAN
CHRISTINE COLLINS TOMAS
JOHN L. WARDEN, ESQ.
MILTON L. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ.
COMMITTEE MEMBERS

ALAN W. FRIEDBERG
CHIEF COUNSEL

SHERRY K. COHEN
FIRST DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

JORGE DOPICO
MADY J. EDELSTEIN
NAOMI F. GOLDSTEIN
DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL

MARY LOUISE A. BIUNNO
ANGELA CHRISTMAS
NICOLE CORRADO
KEVIN P. CULLEY
JEREMY S. GARBER
JOSEPH J. HESTER
ROBERTA N. KOLAR
JUN HWA LEE
VITALY LIPKANSKY
STEPHEN P. MCGOLDRICK
KEVIN E.F. O'SULLIVAN
KIM PETERSEN
ORLANDO REYES
ANN E. SCHERZER
EILEEN J. SHIELDS
SCOTT D. SMITH
RAYMOND VALLEJO
STAFF COUNSEL

January 12, 2009

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Eliot I. Bernstein
IVIEWIT
39 Little Avenue
Red Bluff, California 96080-3519

Re: Matter of Various Attorneys
Docket No. 2008.0756

Dear Mr. Bernstein:

The Departmental Disciplinary Committee has completed its investigation of your complaint against the above-referenced attorney. As explained below, the Committee has decided to take no further action.

Specifically, there is insufficient evidence to support your allegations in your most recent complaint. Also, we note that our Court previously transferred a similar complaint that you filed with our office to the Second Judicial Department.

The Committee arrived at this determination after the case was submitted to a member of the Committee, an independent board of lawyers and non-lawyers appointed by the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department. The Committee member concluded that no further investigation or action was warranted.

You may seek review of this decision by submitting a written request for reconsideration to this office at the above address within thirty (30) days of the date on this letter.

Very truly yours,



Alan W. Friedberg

D-PR/C
AWF:JD//nkd
I:\Jd-08\Dipms\bernstein.wpd



A facsimile from

**Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
& Eliot I. Bernstein
(561) 245-8588**

**To: Roy Reardon, Esq. & Alan W.
Friedberg, Esq.**
Fax number: (212) 401-0810

Date: 2/9/2009
Pages: 10 Including Cover

Regarding: NEW COMPLAINTS & RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT RESPONSE

**Comments: Please note the new address for ALL Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
correspondences and those to Eliot I. Bernstein.**

Eliot I. Bernstein
Inventor
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message and any attachments are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. SS 2510-2521.

This e-mail message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message or call (561) 245-8588. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

*** TRANSMISSION REPORT ***

JAN 7, 2009 21:03 Model # 4200 Series 530-526-5751

START TIME	SENT TO	PAGES	RESULT
21:00	12124010810	10	OK

Roy L. Reardon, Esq.
Chairman
&
Alan W. Friedberg, Esq.
Chief Counsel
New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division
First Department ~ Departmental Disciplinary Committee

Page 6 of 7
Monday, February 09, 2009

RE: Complaints

While the continued illegal activity of your offices remain bemusing, it is disheartening in that illustrates the underbelly of the New York legal system and exposes your offices as merely a storefront for crimes committed by those entrusted with upholding law and the rules regulating attorney misconduct. This letter is also notice within the 30-day period to have the 2008 Complaints and New Complaints formally reviewed but this time please have the review conducted by a non-conflicted third party to save further parties from your offices from becoming defendants in the federal court case. You can find our standard conflict disclosure @

<http://www.iviewit.tv/CompanyDocs/United%20States%20District%20Court%20Southern%20District%20NY/20090127%20Conflict%20of%20Interest%20Form.htm> .

Please take note that P. Stephen Lamont no longer works for the Iviewit companies or myself personally, as indicated in his own words on the www.iviewit.tv website "By way of introduction, I am P. Stephen Lamont, former Acting CEO of Iviewit (counsel advised all Iviewit executives to resign their posts and work along side Iviewit rather than within Iviewit, as the former Board of Directors, Counsel and Accountants, disbanded without requisite notice to Shareholders in violation of law, thereby leaving massive liability and exposure)." Mr. Lamont's surreptitious actions may make Lamont a defendant in the current Federal complaint and this has been noted to the courts and others. Mr. Lamont is misrepresenting himself as the CEO of Iviewit and you should be aware that this may also be criminal misconduct. Please direct ALL complaint matters for Iviewit and Eliot Bernstein, including the 2008 Complaints, Old Complaints and New Complaints bearing any Iviewit marks or claims to Iviewit's address below, any further work by your offices with P. Stephen Lamont to tender self tendered conflicted exonerary letters will be reported to authorities as further fraud.


Regretfully yours,



Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder & Inventor
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th Street
Boca Raton, FL 33434-3459
(561) 245.8588 (o)
(561) 886.7628 (c)
(561) 245-8644 (f)
iviewit@iviewit.tv
www.iviewit.tv

ec & cc:

Monica Connell, Esq. ~ Assistant Attorney General - Division of State Counsel Litigation Bureau,
State of New York Office of the Attorney General (YOUR COUNSEL IN THE TRILLION
DOLLAR LAWSUIT AGAINST YOUR OFFICES)



Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit Technologies, Inc.
2753 N.W. 34th St.
Boca Raton, Florida 33434-3459
(561) 245-8588
www.iviewit.tv

*** TRANSMISSION REPORT ***

JAN 7,2009 21:23 Model # 4200 Series 530-526-5751

START TIME	SENT TO	PAGES	RESULT
21:22	12124010810	1	OK