



IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

P. Stephen Lamont
Chief Executive Officer
Direct Dial: 914-217-0038

By Facsimile

January 28, 2009

Senator Malcolm A. Smith
New York State Senate President and Majority Leader
907 Legislative Office Building
Albany, N.Y. 12247

Re: AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF STATEMENT OF KEVIN MCKEOWN AT TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2009 HEARING

Dear Sen. Smith:

By way of introduction, I am P. Stephen Lamont, Chief Executive Officer of Iviewit Holdings, Inc., and a significant shareholder in Iviewit. With more than a fifteen year track record as a multimedia technology and consumer electronics licensing executive and holder of a J.D. in Intellectual Property Law, an M.B.A in Finance, and a B.S. in Industrial Engineering, and I write in support of the statement of my Albany representative, Kevin McKeown, at the Tuesday, January 27 hearing.

At that hearing, Mr. McKeown factually pointed to the rampant corruption in the New York State courts and its attorney disciplinary committees and his call for public hearings regarding same, and in support I state as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. In or about February 2003, and in my capacity as Chief Executive Officer of Iviewit, I was a party to attorney misconduct complaints against Kenneth Rubenstein of Proskauer Rose LLP ("Proskauer"), Proskauer, Raymond A. Joao, Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolf & Schlissel LLP ("MLG") filed with the Appellate Division First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee ("1st DDC"), that were mired in undisclosed conflicts, improprieties, and violations of public offices of the 1st DDC from the outset. The attorney discipline response of Rubenstein was authored by Steven C. Krane of Proskauer

who, upon information and belief, held positions at the 1st DDC and other disciplinary agencies at the time of the response making his representation a conflict and violation of public offices.

2. In or about June 2003, and in my capacity of Chief Executive Officer of Iviewit Holdings, Inc., I was a party to a complaint against Steven C. Krane (“Krane”) for the above referenced conflicts and improprieties in the response for Rubenstein that imparted same on the now merged Joao complaint.

3. In or about June 2004, and in my capacity of Chief Executive Officer of Iviewit Holdings, Inc., I was a party to a complaint against Thomas J. Cahill, Chief Counsel of the 1st DDC, filed with the 1st DDC, Special Inquiry #2004.1122, as a result of Cahill’s knowing and willful false information supplied to Iviewit in an effort to protect Krane, that has since been stalled under the direction of persons unknown, and still sits incommunicado in the offices of Martin R. Gold after being transferred by former Chairman of the 1st DDC, Paul J. Curran, for investigation.

4. In or about June 2004, I was an individual movant in a Motion to the New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department (“First Department Court”), *inter alia*, to begin immediate investigation of complaints against the above referenced attorneys and counselors-at-law. Subsequently, the First Department Court granted the Motion and in an unpublished order it was determined to move the complaints of Rubenstein, Proskauer, Krane, MLG, and Joao to the Appellate Division Second Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee (“2nd DDC”) as a result of conflicts and the appearance of impropriety. After thorough review of the subject matter, the First Department Court ordered the immediate investigation of the complaints against each attorney involved – Rubenstein M2820, Joao M3212, and Krane M3198, while the First Department Court ordered the Cahill complaint for a Special Inquiry #2004.1122 by Gold.

5. On or about October 2004, the 2nd DDC summarily dismissed the complaints against Rubenstein, Proskauer, MLG, Joao and Krane, failing to conduct the First Department Court’s ordered investigation. In a discussion with Diana Maxwell Kears, Chief Counsel of the 2nd DDC, Kears stated that “she was not under the jurisdiction of the First Department Court, and need not abide by their order,” or words to those effects.

DAMAGES SUFFERED

6. Was it not for the conflict of interest and appearance of impropriety in Krane’s response for Rubenstein and Proskauer being discovered by Iviewit, the attorneys would have received discipline by reprimand, suspension, or disbarment, where such discipline would have been positively reflected in a variety of complaints across domestic and international agencies, but particularly the Iviewit intellectual property investigation being conducted by Harry I. Moatz, the Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

7. Were it not for the stalling of the Rubenstein and Joao complaints at the 1st DDC, and the unpublished nature of the First Department Court's order, the transfer to an equally conflicted 2nd DDC, and the dismissal of the Rubenstein, Proskauer, Joao, Meltzer and Krane complaints by the 2nd DDC, I would not have suffered the damages of:

- a. Emotional distress; and
- b. Loss of consortium with my family; and
- c. Lack of best available international healthcare for my late wife (deceased 12/11/2003 from breast cancer); and
- d. Lost savings in the amount of approximately One Million Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,250,000);
- e. Lost value of the equity interest in my capital stock in Iviewit Holdings, Inc. in the amount of approximately Two Hundred and Fifty Million Dollars (\$250,000,000)

Lastly, Senator Smith, and as I will be attending any anticipated hearing on the stated corruption in the New York Courts and their disciplinary committees, at any such time I would like to be heard and make a brief statement or testify on the record.

Very truly yours,

IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

By: _____
Chief Executive Officer

Cc: Tim Spotts

Mail Replies to:
35 Locust Avenue
Rye, N.Y. 10580