

**Candice Bernstein**

---

**Subject:** FW: Iviewit Complaints against Gerald & Erika Lewin  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Personal  
**Contacts:** Carl W. Cook

---

**From:** Eliot Bernstein [mailto:iviewit@iviewit.tv]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 09, 2006 7:19 AM  
**To:** 'Carl Cook ~ Inspector General Department of Business & Professional Regulation - State of Florida (carl.cook@dbpr.state.fl.us)'  
**Cc:** 'Caroline Prochotska Rogers, Esq.'; Michele Marlene Mulrooney Jackoway Esq. (MMulrooney@JTWAMM.com); 'Andy Dietz'; Richard D. Rosman, Esq.  
**Subject:** Iviewit Complaints against Gerald & Erika Lewin  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Personal

Sunday, July 09, 2006

**Via - Email**

Ron Russo, Inspector General c/o Carl W. Cook  
Inspector Generals Office – Director of Investigations  
Department of Business & Professional Regulation - State of Florida  
1940 North Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0782  
[carl.cook@dbpr.state.fl.us](mailto:carl.cook@dbpr.state.fl.us)

**RE: Cases 2004-053428**  
**2004-053434**  
**2004-053999**

Dear Honorable Inspector General Ron Russo c/o Director of Investigations Carl W. Cook,

Per our conversation last week, regarding the complaints filed against Gerald and Erika Lewin, we would like to address a few concerns of the finding of no probable cause in these matters and instigate an instant review of the case and those that handled the case. It was our understanding from Jeffrey Sewell of your offices that he had contacted Stephen Lucchesi of the West Palm office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Further, that he would be working not together but in concert with him and turning over his findings to Lucchesi before making any conclusion. At that point we were informed that the results of your efforts would be given to Lucchesi for further review and understanding of how they fit in with federal efforts on several fronts, including at the United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO) and the USPTO Office of Enrollment & Discipline. At the USPTO, Mr. Lewin and his daughter remain under investigation for their part as the main participants in the theft of the Iviewit patents.

The Lewins' remain under investigation with the Inspector General's Office of the Small

Business Administration which has not concluded their investigation into the claim that Mr. Lewin and his daughter are intricately involved in the misappropriation of several million dollars of SBA monies. Further, that they have stolen the patents that the SBA is the largest shareholder of through investments made through the SBIC and funded through Crossbow Ventures of West Palm Beach. Mr. Lewin is also being accused of stealing off with investors stock and funds which he controlled. The theft of the stocks came through the creation of shell companies, with identical or similar names to our shareholders companies, opened without authority and used to house stolen patents. This has left the company and it's investors with worthless intellectual properties in the true and proper companies and unable to access information on the other patents and companies as the Iviewit shareholders do not own these due to the fraud and deceit perpetrated by Lewin and his referrals to our company. Further, shareholders are missing stocks that Mr. Lewin was responsible for with his referred partners at Proskauer Rose, LLP. Mr. Lewin and members of Proskauer were board members and shareholders of Iviewit stock and jumped off the board and dissolved the companies, stealing off with many records and without disclosing to shareholders their intent, in violation of Florida and Delaware laws.

The letter from the investigation team does not address any of these issues individually in their conclusion, so as to preclude us from understanding what claims they investigated and what they did not. It is also suspect that these conclusions were made in advance of other investigations which remain ongoing worldwide, investigations in which the Lewins are an integral party to every crime. Neither the Lewins, nor any other suspect from the legal community has been cleared through formal investigation or in a court of law. In fact, in efforts to block our efforts at due process, they have been found positioning in conflict to clear their complaints at certain bar associations and other departments investigating the matters. Due to the nature of the criminal, large US law firms and the accounting firm of Mr. Lewin, their ability to position in conflict has been aided by their degrees and ability to position within departments seamlessly and unlike any other criminal element.

Therefore, when investigations are reduced to a simple paragraph of no probable cause and we come to find that witnesses given to the DBPR have not been contacted, we become concerned that something may have gone awry. Most shocking according to your office of general counsel we remain in the dark as to how or who, reached this conclusion. Where ample evidence of the crimes has been submitted to your offices and we were under the impression that your office would be working to learn of the multiplicity of additional crimes Mr. Lewin is a key player in with federal agencies still working on these charges, we remain stupefied at how this investigation completed before any of the others.

The Iviewit shareholders are also asking to make part of our shareholders report on this matter that you have full disclosure as to the investigators and boards that handled this matter, as the Office of Chief Counsel appears to maintain the position that this information is not available to the public. Not the results of the investigation or matters of privacy covered under the law but merely the names of all investigators, board members or other department members who were involved in making this decision. The divulgence of investigators' names is typical in most departmental investigations where the names are available to the complainant. If the names remain a secret, what is to prevent conflict and how would one be able to know if conflict has occurred? If there are laws that support this privacy of the names of board members and investigators who made these decisions, please advise as to what sections of law protect this information, as we can find no support legally for this position of the department.

Finally, we are unclear from the decision, exactly what crimes were investigated and which were not. When we submitted our complaint we only knew of a small portion of the crimes committed and had no idea of many of the ancillary crimes which have now surfaced that Mr. Lewin and his daughter were integral players in. Since the department stated that they did not need this additional information as they would be sharing data with the federal investigators, we are unclear what was and was not investigated. We will file additional complaints once this information can be divulged to us.

In conclusion, the Iviewit patents that have been stolen by our former accountants and attorneys are slowly becoming the tip of the iceberg in an unfolding Patentgate. As the attempt to cover up the crimes without due process and procedure is imperative to the criminals, now certain members of disciplinary bodies are also now under investigation for their efforts at the subterfuge of complaints while acting in conflict. We are not claiming that this has occurred within your department but certainly with as much time has passed, positioning may have occurred. Thus, we ask that each investigator and board member sign a letter of no-conflict with any of the law firms or accounting firms that have been accused and due to the size of the firms involved this involves checking for conflict at levels previously unheard of. There are thousands of lawyers alone within a group of firms that were involved, you can find most of the accused firms and individuals at the Iviewit website [www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv) and this will also give the shareholders a sense of security that the investigation was handled properly by non-conflicted investigators and board members. We would like this letter to serve as an official request to re-evaluate the decision of your department and keep the case active until such time that these matters are fully resolved.

Thank you in advance for your time, effort and consideration of the matters,

Eliot I. Bernstein  
Inventor & Acting CEO  
[Eliot](#)  
V (530) 526-5750  
F (530) 529-4110  
Iviewit Technologies, Inc.  
Iviewit Holdings, Inc.  
39 Little Ave  
Red Bluff, California 96080-3519  
[www.iviewit.tv](http://www.iviewit.tv)

**THIS MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES INCORPORATED HEREIN MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION OF IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC., IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND ANY/ALL AFFILIATES AND SUBSIDIARIES. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THIS MAIL AND ITS ATTACHMENTS. PLEASE DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES WITHOUT OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THEM, AND NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AT 530-526-5750. IF YOU ARE THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM FORWARDING THEM OR OTHERWISE DISCLOSING THESE CONTENTS TO OTHERS, UNLESS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY THE SENDER. THANK YOU!**