

The Florida Bar Internet Inquiry/Complaint Form

PART ONE: (See instructions, part one.)

Your Name: <u>iviewit Holdings, Inc.</u> Address: <u>10158 Stonehenge Circle - Suite 801</u> City: <u>Boynton Beach</u> State: <u>FL</u> Phone: <u>561-364-4240</u> Zip Code: <u>33437</u> ACAP Reference No. _____	Attorney's Name: <u>Eric Montel Turner</u> Address: <u>Cypress Financial Center</u> City: <u>Suite 900 - 5900 North Andrews Avenue</u> Phone: <u>Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309</u> <u>(954) 772-2245 (3)(7)</u>
---	--

1. Mr. Turner while reviewing Florida Bar case #2003-51 109 (15C) made endorsements favoring one side when no investigation had been completed by the Florida Bar.
2. That such endorsements, and further, false claims of the conclusions of the Florida Bar case were used in other state and federal investigations citing the Florida Bar case as having concluded through investigation, whereby the attorney complained of was found vindicated by the Bar, a wholly false claim. When Turner learned of these false and misleading statements being used, that may have arisen from his improper endorsements of the attorney, he failed to take any corrective actions.
3. That upon requests for information to determine if conflicts of interest or the appearance of impropriety was present in the review of the file by the Chairperson, Christopher Wheeler and Spencer Sax, Mr. Turner failed to provide requested information.
4. When apprised of investigation into similar matters by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, that would need information perhaps contained in the Florida Bar file, and to hold off on the destruction of the file, Mr. Turner refused although the information may be critical to these investigations.

We ask that the entire Florida Bar case of Christopher Wheeler 2003-51 109 (15C) be submitted in its entirety as evidence in this matter by the Florida Bar. This file may be obtained by the Florida Bar and Mr. Turner who currently maintains it, the whole of the Wheeler case and the Florida Bar responses are essential to the complaint filed herein against Mr. Turner. Finally Mr. Turner was informed that in related complaints in New York, Mr. Wheeler's firm and partners are found to have had a conflict of interest and the appearance of impropriety that effected the New York Bar complaints, so much so, as to have the Chief Counsel handling the matters motion to move the complaints out the department handling the matter due to Proskauer attorneys who may have abused their public office positions to influence the complaints.

I did did not 11 (circle one or the other) attempt to use ACAP to resolve this situation.
 To attempt to resolve this matter, I did the following:

PART FIVE (See reverse, part five.): *Under penalty of perjury, I declare the foregoing facts are true, correct and complete. I have read and understand the information on the reverse of this page and contained in the pamphlet "Complaint Against a Florida Lawyer." I also understand that the filing of a Bar complaint will not toll or suspend any applicable statute of limitations pertaining to my legal matter.*

P. Stephen Lamont

Digitally signed by P. Stephen Lamont
 DN: cn=P. Stephen Lamont, o=iviewit Holdings, Inc., ou=Corporate, c=US
 Date: 2004.06.30 15:07:16 -0400

Eliot I. Bernstein

Digitally signed by Eliot I. Bernstein
 DN: CN = Eliot I. Bernstein, C = US, O = Ivewit Holdings, Inc.
 Date: 2004.06.30 14:55:29 -04'00'

June 30, 2004

Signature

Date

Founder, President and Inventor - Ivewit Holdings, Inc.

13