

Please accept and return the following statement of conflict before continuing further with the body of this document.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM

This conflict of interest form is designed to ensure that the review of the enclosed complaint with the EPI will not be biased by any conflicting financial interest or any other interest by those reviewers responsible for the handling of this complaint.

Disclosure forms with "Yes" answers to either or both of the following questions are requested not to open the remainder of the document and instead forward the matters on to the next available reviewer that is free of conflict.

I. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate have, any direct, or in any outside entity, indirect relation to the following parties to the proceeding of the complaint you are reviewing:

1. Proskauer Rose, LLP

Alan S. Jaffe - Chairman Of The Board - ("Jaffe"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); Robert Kafin - Managing Partner - ("Kafin"); Christopher C. Wheeler - ("Wheeler"); Steven C. Krane - ("Krane"); Stephen R. Kaye - ("S. Kaye"); Matthew Triggs - ("Triggs"); Christopher Pruzaski - ("Pruzaski"); Mara Lerner Robbins - ("Robbins"); Donald Thompson - ("Thompson"); Gayle Coleman; David George; George A. Pincus; Gregg Reed; Leon Gold - ("Gold"); Albert Gortz - ("Gortz"); Marcy Hahn-Saperstein; Kevin J. Healy - ("Healy"); Stuart Kapp; Ronald F. Storette; Chris Wolf; Jill Zammas; FULL LIST OF 601 liable Proskauer Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Proskauer partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Proskauer ROSE LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Proskauer related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("Proskauer").

2. MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN, WOLF & SCHLISSSEL, P.C.

Lewis Melzter - ("Melzter"); Raymond Joao - ("Joao"); Frank Martinez - ("Martinez"); Kenneth Rubenstein - ("Rubenstein"); FULL LIST OF 34 Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. partner, affiliate, company, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein, Wolf & Schlissel, P.C. related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("MLGWS").

3. FOLEY & LARDNER

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine

Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 2 of 43

Ralf Boer ("Boer"); William J. Dick - ("Dick"); Steven C. Becker - ("Becker"); Douglas Boehm - ("Boehm"); Barry Grossman - ("Grossman"); Jim Clark - ("Clark"); FULL LIST OF 1,042 FOLEY AND LARDNER liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Foley & Lardner partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Foley & Lardner; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Foley & Lardner related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("Foley").

4. Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP

Richard Schiffrin - ("Schiffrin"); Andrew Barroway - ("Barroway"); Krishna Narine - ("Narine"); FULL LIST OF 40 SCHIFFRIN AND BARROWAY, LLP liable Partners any other John Doe ("John Doe") Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Schiffrin & Barroway, LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("SB").

5. Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP

Norman Zafman - ("Zafman"); Thomas Coester - ("Coester"); Farzad Ahmini - ("Ahmini"); George Hoover - ("Hoover"); FULL LIST OF 74 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") 5. Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("BSTZ").

6. Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP

Martyn W. Molyneaux - ("Molyneaux"); Michael Dockterman - ("Dockterman"); FULL LIST OF 198 Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP liable Partners; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("WHAD").

7. Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.

Alan M. Weisberg - ("Weisberg"); any other John Doe ("John Doe")
Christopher & Weisberg, P.A. partners, affiliates, companies, known or
not known at this time; including but not limited to Christopher &
Weisberg, P.A.; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel, Employees,
Corporations, Affiliates and any other Christopher & Weisberg, P.A.
related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally.
Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("CW").

8. YAMAKAWA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE

Masaki Yamakawa - ("Yamakawa"); any other John Doe ("John Doe")
Yamakawa International Patent Office partners, affiliates, companies,
known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Yamakawa
International Patent Office; Partners, Associates, Of Counsel,
Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any other Yamakawa
International Patent Office related or affiliated entities both
individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to
as ("Yamakawa").

9. GOLDSTEIN LEWIN & CO.

Donald J. Goldstein - ("Goldstein"); Gerald R. Lewin - ("Lewin"); Erika
Lewin - ("E. Lewin"); Mark R. Gold; Paul Feuerberg; Salvatore
Bochicchio; Marc H. List; David A. Katzman; Robert H. Garick; Robert C.
Zeigen; Marc H. List; Lawrence A. Rosenblum; David A. Katzman; Brad N.
Mciver; Robert Cini; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Goldstein & Lewin
Co. partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time;
including but not limited to Goldstein & Lewin Co.; Partners,
Associates, Of Counsel, Employees, Corporations, Affiliates and any
other Goldstein & Lewin Co. related or affiliated entities both
individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to
as ("Goldstein").

10. INTEL, Real 3d, Inc. (SILICON GRAPHICS, INC., LOCKHEED
MARTIN & INTEL) & RYJO

Gerald Stanley - ("Stanley"); Ryan Huisman - ("Huisman"); RYJO -
("RYJO"); Tim Connolly - ("Connolly"); Steve Cochran; David Bolton;
Rosalie Bibona - ("Bibona"); Connie Martin; Richard Gentner; Steven A.
Behrens; Matt Johannsen; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Intel, Real
3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO
partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time;
including but not limited to Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics,
Inc., Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO; Employees, Corporations,
Affiliates and any other Intel, Real 3D, Inc. (Silicon Graphics, Inc.,
Lockheed Martin & Intel) & RYJO related or affiliated entities both
individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to
as ("Intel/R3D").

11. Tiedemann Investment Group

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 4 of 43

Bruce T. Prolow ("Prolow"); Carl Tiedemann ("C. Tiedemann"); Andrew Philip Chesler; Craig L. Smith; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Tiedemann Investment Group partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Tiedemann Investment Group and any other Tiedemann Investment Group related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("Tiedemann").

12. Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners

Stephen J. Warner - ("Warner"); Ren P. Eichenberger - ("Eichenberger"); H. Hickman Hank Powell - ("Powell"); Maurice Buchsbaum - ("Buchsbaum"); Eric Chen - ("Chen"); Avi Hersh; Matthew Shaw - ("Shaw"); Bruce W. Shewmaker - ("Shewmaker"); Ravi M. Ugale - ("Ugale"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners and any other Crossbow Ventures / Alpine Partners related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("Crossbow").

13. BROAD & CASSEL

James J. Wheeler - ("J. Wheeler"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); any other John Doe ("John Doe") Broad & Cassell partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Broad & Cassell and any other Broad & Cassell related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("BC").

14. FORMER IVIEWIT MANAGEMENT & BOARD

Brian G. Utley/Proskauer Referred Management - ("Utley"); Raymond Hersh - ("Hersh"); Michael Reale - ("Reale")/Proskauer Referred Management; Rubenstein/Proskauer Rose - Advisory Board; Wheeler/Proskauer Rose - Advisory Board; Dick/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board, Boehm/Foley & Lardner - Advisory Board; Becker/Foley & Lardner; Advisory Board; Joao/Meltzer Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schlissel - Advisory Board; Kane/Goldman Sachs - Board Director; Lewin/Goldstein Lewin - Board Director; Prolow/Tiedemann Prolow II - Board Director; Powell/Crossbow Ventures/Proskauer Referred Investor - Board Director; Buchsbaum - Board Director; Warner - Board Director; any other John Doe ("John Doe") Former Iviewit Management & Board partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to Former Iviewit Management & Board and any other Former Iviewit Management & Board related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("Board").

15. FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA:

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 5 of 43

Judge Jorge LABARGA - ("Labarga"); Triggs; any other John Doe ("John Doe") FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT - WEST PALM BEACH FLORIDA staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("15C").

16. THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION:
FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

Thomas Cahill - ("Cahill"); Joseph Wigley - ("Wigley"); Steven Krane, any other John Doe ("John Doe") of THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("First Dept DDC").

17. THE FLORIDA BAR

Lorraine Christine Hoffman - ("Hoffman"); Eric Turner - ("Turner"); Kenneth Marvin - ("Marvin"); Anthony Boggs - ("Boggs"); Joy A. Bartmon - ("Bartmon"); Kelly Overstreet Johnson - ("Johnson"); Jerald Beer - ("Beer"); Matthew Triggs; Christopher or James Wheeler; any other John Doe ("John Doe") The Florida Bar staff, known or not known to have been involved at the time. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("TFB")

18. MPEGLA, LLC.

Columbia University; Fujitsu Limited; General Instrument Corp; Lucent Technologies Inc.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corp.; Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips); Scientific Atlanta, Inc.; Sony Corp. (Sony); EXTENDED LIST OF MPEGLA LICENSEES AND LICENSORS; any other John Doe MPEGLA, LLC. Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") MPEGLA, LLC partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to MPEGLA, LLC and any other MPEGLA, LLC related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("MPEGLA").

19. DVD6C LICENSING GROUP

Toshiba Corporation; Hitachi, Ltd.; Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Time Warner Inc.; Victor Company Of Japan, Ltd.; EXTENDED DVD6C DEFENDANTS; any other John Doe DVD6C LICENSING GROUP Partner, Associate, Engineer, Of Counsel or Employee; any other John Doe ("John Doe") DVD6C LICENSING GROUP partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to DVD6C LICENSING GROUP and any other DVD6C LICENSING GROUP related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("DVD6C").

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 6 of 43

20. harrison goodard foote incorporating Brewer & Son. Any other John Doe ("John Doe") harrison goodard foote (incorporating Brewer & Son) partners, affiliates, companies, known or not known at this time; including but not limited to harrison goodard foote incorporating Brewer & Son and any other related or affiliated entities both individually and professionally. Hereinafter, collectively referred to as ("hgf").

21. Lawrence DiGiovanna, Chairman of the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;

22. James E. Peltzer, Clerk of the Court of the Appellate Division, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department;

23. Diana Kearse, Chief Counsel to the Grievance Committee of the Second Judicial Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee;

24. Eliot I. Bernstein, a resident of the State of California, and former President (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries and the founder of Iviewit and principal inventor of its technology;

25. P. Stephen Lamont, a resident of the State of New York, and former Chief Executive Officer (Acting) of Iviewit Holdings, Inc. and all of its affiliates and subsidiaries; and

26. Any other known or unknown person not name herein or entity that will cause your review of the complaint you are charged with investigating to be biased by any conflicting past, present, or future financial interest or any other interest?

NO YES (please describe below)

II. Do you, your spouse, and your dependents, in the aggregate, receive salary or other remuneration or financial considerations from any entity related to the enclosed parties to the proceeding of the complaint?

NO YES (please describe below)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true and correct. Executed on this ___ day of _____ 2007 the foregoing statements in this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM are true. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties, including possible culpability in the attempted murder of the inventor Eliot Bernstein and

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 7 of 43

his wife and children, in a car bombing attempt on their lives. I agree to accept responsibility for the unbiased review, and presentation of findings to the appropriate party(ies) who also have executed this CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE FORM. A lack of signature will serve as evidence that I have accepted this document in the event that I continue to represent the matters without signing such first.

Signature _____

If you are unable to sign such document and are therefore unable to continue to further pursue these matters, then a statement of whom we may contact in situations where you may be in conflict with the matters would be necessary.

Assuming you are able to proceed with the matters with an affirmed statement that you have no conflict with the matters or those involved with the matters, following is an initial review of your letter and request for further clarifications on all of the following, to be further addressed when we receive your mailed documents to the address in your letter, which was correct:



The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 8 of 43

iviewit

**IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.
IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

P. Stephen Lamont
Former Chief Executive Officer (Acting)
and
Elliot I. Bernstein
Founder and Inventor

By Facsimile and Electronic Mail

April 20, 2007

The Honorable Glenn Fine
Inspector General
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
and
Lonnie Davis
Investigations Field Office
United States Department of Justice - Office of the Inspector General
510 Shotgun Road, Suite 200
Sunrise, FL 33326 Voice

Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida.

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 9 of 43

Dear The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
and all other Gentleman and Gentlewoman involved in this communication,

PREFACE

By way of introduction, I am P. Stephen Lamont, former CEO (Acting) of, as well as a significant shareholder in, Iviewit Holdings, Inc., a privately held Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries, affiliates and related parties (collectively "Iviewit") with more than a fifteen year track record as a multimedia technology and consumer electronics licensing executive and holder of a J.D. in Intellectual Property Law, an M.B.A in Finance, and a B.S. in Industrial Engineering, and I appallingly write at the cross current, by and between the Bureau Office and other parties described below, pattern of frauds, deceits, and misrepresentations that run so wide and so deep that it tears at the very fabric of what has become to be know as free commerce in this country, and, in the fact that it pertains to inventors rights, tears at the very fabric of the Constitution of the United States more fully described below. I write to you with my co-author, Eliot I. Bernstein, who was factually there throughout all of these events and so has contributed to this document to assure the veracity of the statements and provide credible witness to the events described herein. Mr. Bernstein is the main inventor of the technologies; inventions which he claims to have come from divine origin.

BACKGROUND

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm
Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of
Florida
April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

*Remainder
on
West
page*

On or about 1997, Iviewit's founder, Eliot I. Bernstein ("Bernstein"), among others ("Inventors"), came upon inventions pertaining to what industry experts have heretofore described as profound shifts from traditional techniques in video and imaging then overlooked in the annals of video and imaging technology. Factually, the main video technology is one of capturing a video frame at a, including but not limited to, 320 by 240 frame size (roughly, $\frac{1}{4}$ of a display device) at a frame rate of one (1) to infinity frames per second ("fps" and at the twenty four (24) to thirty (30) range commonly referred to as "full frame rates" to those skilled in the art). Moreover, once captured, and in its simplest terms, the scaled frames are then digitized (if necessary), filtered, encoded, and delivered to an agnostic display device and zoomed to a full frame size of, including but not limited to, 1280 by 960 at the full frame rates of 24 to 30 fps. The result is, when combined with other proprietary technologies, high quality video at bandwidths of 56 or more Kbps to 6 Mbps per second, at a surprising seventy five percent (75%) savings in throughput ("bandwidth") on any digital delivery system such as digital terrestrial, cable, satellite, multipoint-multichannel delivery system, or the Internet, and a similar 75% savings in storage on mediums such as digital video discs ("DVD's") and the hard drives of many consumer electronic devices. Also, these savings result in a 75% decrease in the necessary processing power to encode the video, making parallel processors obsolete and allowing the process of encoding to occur on even a laptop. The video technology opened new markets therefore in both low bandwidth video, as is found on cell phones and the Internet to the other end of the spectrum to high end video such as HDDVD, etc.

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 11 of 43

changing even the way television was viewed, a change from interlacing to the new scaling process, allowing cable companies to increase channel throughput by 75%. Moreover, on the imaging side, the Iviewit inventions are used on almost every digital camera and present screen design and other devices that utilize the feature of "digital zoom". Furthermore, industry observers who benefited from the Iviewit disclosures have gone on to claim "you could have put 10,000 engineers in a room for 10,000 years and they would never have come up with these ideas..."

Moreover, if these inventions become the subject of say an injunction while investigations are ongoing, it would preclude the use of the technologies while the courts hash these matters out, similar to the case almost brought in the Blackberry matter in recent history. Although dwarfed in comparison, that injunction would have shut Blackberry down to users if not resolved. The results of an injunction of the Iviewit technologies would be catastrophic to the country in that the product recall alone would be devastating to commerce, shutting down video across the Internet, recalling low bandwidth cell phones, recalling digital camera's with digital zoom, halting the transmission of 75% of cable channels, recalling medical devices that use scaled zoom, recalling technologies on the Hubble Space Telescope and other government uses, such as flight and space simulators, advanced weapons systems, etc. These matters are of tantamount importance to federal and international commerce in countless ways, making the job of resolving the crimes against Iviewit a matter of national security concerns unprecedented in the history of invention.

SPECIFIC FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS INVESTIGATED BY BUREAU OFFICE

Moreover, and while grant it I was not a participant during the alleged sabotage and purported theft of the technologies, I found myself leading a company in the midst of a cover up surrounding the following depictions of frauds, deceits, and misrepresentations that run so wide and so deep that it tears at the very fabric of what has become to be know as free commerce in this country, and, in the fact that it pertains to inventors rights, tears at the very fabric of the Constitution of the United States.

Initially, and early in my tenure, rumors began swirling around the company with finger pointing and all from Florida to Los Angeles wherein it caught the jet stream and arrived very soon in New York of alleged breaches of confidentiality pertaining to Iviewit technology, transfers of trade secrets, and, even in certain circumstances, the knowing and willful invention fraud by the outright switching of signature pages of patent filings by early patent counsels.

Still further, I submit that at the first disclosures of the inventions, patent counsel, who had spent half a lifetime TRYING to procure technologies for the transmission of full screen, full frame rate video across a variety of transmission networks, always to no avail and who during the Iviewit disclosures may have thought to themselves "[I] missed that," and "[I] never thought of that," and "[This] changes everything," or thoughts to those effects, might have been so fearful that Iviewit would partner with other proprietary technologies across the video value chain and wipe the carefully crafted patent pools he

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 13 of 43

was lead counsel for, off the face of the map, therefore, the Iviewit inventions HAD to be converted to preserve those pools and the royalties, royalties that with these inventions would be worth a trillion plus dollars.

That was the first step, with the second step, through the direct and indirect introductions of Iviewit, with executed confidentiality agreements ("NDA's"), to hundreds of potential licensees by colleagues of patent counsel mainly from the firm Proskauer and other parties involved in the alleged crimes, being the proliferation of Iviewit disclosures across a wide array of potential licensees and competitors.

Following along, we arrive at the point in the past when the Iviewit inventions had been sabotaged and converted and that everyone had begun to use, paying royalties to patent pools such as MPEGLA, LLC, controlled by Proskauer through their acquisition of control over the pools instantly after gaining disclosure of the invention under attorney client privilege. Instead of the royalties going to the Iviewit inventors they went to, including but not limited to MPEGLA LLC headed by Kenneth Rubenstein of Proskauer, Rubenstein who simultaneously represented Iviewit, without a China Wall to protect Iviewit, from the obvious conflict. Then the final step of converting the inventions to themselves, and through intentional changes of inventors, creating a second set of similar patents with themselves as inventors and owners, via a corporate shell game that involved multiple, unauthorized, similarly named corporate formations, unauthorized stock swaps and unauthorized asset transfers, which resulted in the core patent applications assigned to entities that may have other shareholders, including but not limited to, the limited

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 14 of 43

liability partnership of Proskauer Rose LLP, the alleged perpetrating patent counsel, perhaps, with a view towards resurrecting the backbone technologies at some future point under the patent pools to share in the split of the patent royalties estimated to be worth trillions of dollars with the Iviewit technologies, that without the patents in the pool they would only be able to siphon legal fees from the patent pool which works on split between patent holders of the pools. Certainly they did not go through such elaborate fraud on the United States, foreign nations and multiple state and federal agencies to get legal fees, while others enjoyed the use and others profited from major splits. In fact, Rubenstein contracted through his former firm another attorney Raymond Anthony Joao, who began a series of patents in his own name, now approximately 90 by Joao's own claims, many during his tenure as Iviewit patent counsel and many of the inventions he was to be patenting for Iviewit. As Joao and Rubenstein took disclosure from the Iviewit inventors, initially Eliot I. Bernstein, Jeffery Friedstein, Jude Rosario and Zakirul Shirajee, they ditched the ones signed by the inventors to replace them with meaningless patents with myriads of mistakes and missing disclosures, all in violation of Title 18 & 35 of the Code and many others, all in violation of their oaths before the United States Patent & Trademark Office, oaths under G-d. In fact, Proskauer billed endlessly for Copyrights of the source codes, that now appear on virtually every digital imaging and video hardware and software product (i.e. Windows Media bundled into the Operating System, Real, Quicktime, Media Encoder, Digital Video Camera's, Camcorders, Space Telescopes, Medical Imaging Devices, Space Simulators, Gaming, etc.) and somehow

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 15 of 48

failed to file those Copyrights at all, despite taking thousands of pages of source code to be Copyrighted, again all in violation of multiple Title 18 Code violations.

Upon learning of Joao's patenting ideas that looked similar to their own, Proskauer immediately replaced Joao, who they claimed they were investigating, replaced by one William J. Dick, Esq. of the law firm Foley and Lardner. It was unbeknownst at the time that Dick had worked to attempt to steal patents with Proskauer referred Iviewit President, Brian Utley and Proskauer lawyer, Christopher Clarke Wheeler, Esq. in the past from other businesses immediately prior to undertaking work for Iviewit. Wheeler, the first Proskauer partner to witness the inventions and retain the project for Proskauer (recently convicted of a Felony Driving Under the Influence with Injury charge in the state of Florida) was what appears the ringleader in stealing inventions with Dick and Utley from a business man, Monte Friedkin, of Diamond Turf Equipment out of Florida. Utley had been President of the company and had contracted Dick (his former IBM patent buddy) to write patents in his name and place them into a company incorporated by Wheeler to the detriment of Friedkin. In other words they walked the patents and intellectual properties Utley was working on, out of Friedkin's business and into a company they owned that Friedkin had no interest or idea about, similar to the scam attempted at Iviewit, showing a criminal enterprise with a history. Of course, Utley, Wheeler and Dick, all failed to list this small piece of crime on their resume's and failed to disclose it to shareholders, institutional investors, including the SBA, or management.

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 16 of 43

A close inspection of the depositions of Rubenstein, Wheeler and Utley, in a civil case involving the fraudulent companies in Palm Beach County, instigated by Proskauer against a fraudulent Iviewit company set up by Proskauer to harbor the stolen patents, in a case titled Proskauer Rose v. Iviewit (Case #CA 01-04671AB also cited as CL 01-04671AB in some pleadings, perhaps not even the original filing no. In the Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Palm Beach County Florida, Judge Jorge Labarga, not believed to be the original Judge in the matter), which reveals this prior crime against Friedkin and then illustrates hundreds of instances of perjury and other crimes involving that Court and the lawyers from Proskauer. Upon discovering the two legal actions, which also were being represented by counsel not retained by the legitimate companies or the management, Iviewit retained counsel by calling Caroline Prochotska Rogers ("Rogers") who retained Stephen Selz, Esq. ("Selz") of Florida to investigate and take over the cases. Rogers, also retained counsel for the federal bankruptcy action but that action was abandoned instantly by the parties filing the involuntary for what appeared at the time a mistake in the company they filed against, which they did not have any employment or other contracts with. Later it would be learned that they filed against that company because it too was a fraudulent company harboring stolen patents.

Upon taking over the civil billing case, a counter complaint was filed, rejected by Labarga, although it evidenced that the Proskauer lawyers involved in the case before him were possibly involved in a far greater crime of fraud on the United States. Labarga denied due process at every turn including trying to limit the case to the billing case filed

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 17 of 43

by Proskauer, refusing to hear the evidence that the guys suing were involved in possible crimes to steal patents and fraud the United States. Labarga stated that the prior counsel we did not know was representing us initially had waived our rights to file pretty much anything and had submitted a witness list for Iviewit that included all the criminals???. Yet, Labarga was forced to allow Iviewit to depose several of the Proskauer partners, who under deposition committed multiple perjuries that contradicted prior written statements to the Court and confounded them so much in deposition, that Rubenstein and Wheeler fled their own depositions at their firms law suit, as evidence was laid on the table refuting their claims of knowing nothing about the patents, inventors or inventions. Rubenstein, listed as patent counsel, investor (through a small share given to Proskauer), on the Board of Directors, in all business plans for investment, including a Private Placement Memorandums distributed by Wachovia Securities and sent for investment and audit to the SBA, was so brazen that that Court was in his pocket, that he wrote Labarga a sworn statement claiming he never heard of Bernstein, the Iviewit companies and was being harassed. That he never billed for services and did not want to be deposed as he feared being harassed. One look at Rubenstein's deposition where letters from Warner Bros. executives claimed they checked with Rubenstein who opined favorably on the Iviewit inventions and multiple billings where shown him with entries for a one Kenneth Rubenstein, on calls with investors Wayne Huizenga, Warner Bros. and hosts of other meetings and entries with his name and ones sees why he next fled from the deposition refusing to answer further questions.

Under deposition, both Rubenstein and Wheeler refused to answer direct deposition questions, in direct opposition to law, citing to take it up with the Judge and we would see who he favored. Rubenstein and Wheeler were ordered by the court to return for depositions to answer the questions they refused at the first deposition but what happened on the way to trial is matter of injustice, similar to what happened on the way to the USPTO, that will be backbone evidence to the DOJ OIG investigation and the FBI IA investigations of these matters and to just what happened in that most felonious civil court, headed by Judge Jorge Labarga who has since continued to fail his oath to report the counter complaints claims of crimes against the government, with full supporting evidence in hand to the proper authorities, dismally failing his judicial canons as reported to the Judicial Qualifications Commission, whose investigator should also be investigated for failure to open his eyes to evidence before him. Further, one asks, why later those same crimes exposed in mass against the government to the West Palm Beach Office of the FBI, were not prosecuted when taken by the FBI to the US Attorney for the Southern District of Florida, along with all the other crimes they were apprised of and given evidence in support of and which they then led Iviewit to believe they were investigating until April 17, 2007.

SPECIFIC INVLOVEMENT BY THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION - LONG BEACH CALIFORNIA OFFICE: 2001-2002

After first learning of the possibility of a much larger fraud via an Arthur Andersen audit on behalf of Iviewit investor Crossbow Ventures, whose funds were two

thirds Small Business Administration SBIC loans (making the SBA the largest holder of Iviewit stock) and whereby the audit found evidence that patents were going into false inventors names and that there was a possibility of identically named companies with missing books and stock certificates. In response to that, the company was blown apart by the lawyers and document shredding was rampant, when Utley came to California and confronted inventor Bernstein, who was working in the advanced technology division of Warner Bros. where he recently opened offices within their building, with an ultimatum of shutting up about the findings or watching his and his family's back when he returned to Boca Raton, Fl. Part of the problem for the conspirators at the time was the accidental acquisition by, including but not limited to, Eliot I. Bernstein, James F. Armstrong and Jennifer Kluge, from Brian Utley whereby evidence was found of two sets of patents which were forcefully extracted from Utley. These contained fragmented evidence including evidence of patents where Brian Utley was like the sole, soulless, inventor of Zoom and Pan on a Digital Camera, Zoom and Pan Imaging Applet and had added himself to what appeared other patents. These led to shareholder and management taped meetings with Foley and Lardner and Proskauer attorneys to address these issues and this is where these attorneys, knowing the jiggy was up began attempts of destroying Iviewit and Bernstein. Problem for Bernstein was that he already had notified many people of the scam and widely distributed the evidence obtained thus far. Bernstein thus contacted his wife who instantly fled Florida leaving behind their worldly possessions, ripping their children from school and family, for the

first of four times now to hide from the conspirators, protect their children and build a case for federal and state investigators.

Another part of the immediate problem was that evidence surfaced of a deal between the fraudulent Iviewit companies and Enron's Broadband Division, in the now infamous Enron/Blockbuster Deal which due to Enron's booking of hundreds of millions of dollars ahead of earning it, on a new technology for broadband internet distribution of movies, based on technologies almost stolen from Iviewit which are the true cause of the collapse of Enron. All evidence of this had to be destroyed by the law firms who had perpetrated the crimes and this may have been the cause of the massive shredding party that took place by Andersen for Enron, once it was learned that the jiggy was up and investigations could begin instantly. In fact, the DOJ OIG would be served well to pursue the Enron broadband division that has recently surfaced for federal prosecution again, for a third time, with an eye for Iviewit's involvement in the collapse. Without the technologies in hand the plot was foiled and with public eye upon them, Enron could not risk attempting to use the stolen technologies to make the revenues and thus had to abandon the project. Problem for Enron was they counted the chickens before hatching and had already booked the revenue falsely.

Bernstein then contacted Harry I. Moatz of the USPTO Office of Enrollment and Discipline that registered attorneys before his federal patent bar were violating their rules and perhaps committing fraud not only against Iviewit but directly against the USPTO and other Commerce Department divisions. Bernstein had called Moatz initially to report

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 21 of 43

that Raymond Joao was writing patents into his own name for inventions that were his clients who had retained him. Bernstein then contacted the Long Beach, California Federal Bureau of Investigation who took preliminary information regarding the matters and directed Bernstein to file a complaint against Utley for terrorist threats against his life and his family's lives with local PD, which Bernstein ultimately did on May 13, 2002 with the County of Los Angeles – Sheriff's Department – File No. 402—2-59-1799-339.

Bernstein, upon discovering further that the companies were involved in a federal bankruptcy in Florida (Case No. 01-33407-BKC-SHF Inv Chap 11 in the Southern District of Florida) and the law suit in civil court in Proskauer v. Iviewit discussed above, both previously unbeknownst to exist by shareholders or management of the legitimate companies, built his case from California and then moved to Florida to the lions den or Labarga's court and the Bankruptcy Court, believing that justice would be had. Both actions filed in Florida were instigated by Proskauer and Proskauer referred management Utley, Michael Reale and an entity RYJO, Inc. ("RYJO"). RYJO a subcontractor under a strategic alliance structured by Proskauer, between Iviewit and Real 3D, Inc. ("R3D") a client of theirs, R3D owned 70% by Lockheed Martin, 20% by Silicon Graphics Inc., and 10% by Intel, later wholly acquired by Intel and a third party necessary with management to file an involuntary. With new counsel relieving dirty counsel, those acting without authority, now replaced by counsel retained by the legitimate companies, Bernstein went back to Florida to pursue his rights. It is presumed that once Proskauer and Foley and Lardner knew the evidence was taken from Utley and disseminated widely they tried to

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine

Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 22 of 43

instantly get rid of the evidence of the fraudulent companies but first had a plan to get the stolen intellectual properties out. Thus, when combined, the billing case that they thought nobody would ever discover was in court and bankruptcy, the companies could do the following: (i) Proskauer would sue fraudulent companies ABC which harbored the stolen patents with a large unpaid bill (ii) this would make them the largest creditor and thus entitled in a bankruptcy to majority of the company and the stolen patents and (iii) with Utley, RYJO and Reale instigating the bankruptcy they would be the remaining benefactors, it would all look clean to the Courts, almost invisible and they would walk off with the stolen assets. They never figured that Bernstein would be tipped off to this in the midst of the process and cause a nightmare that never awakens. From this point, these lawyers, whose assets are at risk entirely in their partnerships knew that the only way to block due process was brute force denial and violation of every oath as lawyers and every oath of every public office they would have to violate in conflict to block any chance of Iviewit's success. With the revenues from the technologies converted to their pools and already generating profits in billions of dollars since invention, it would take either a continuous corruption of any legal or prosecutorial agency the complaints went or easier that with a Presidential top down denial of due process and procedure, through various Presidential appointments in key positions to block it top down. We are asking the DOJ OIG to investigate for any possible connection to election fraud or payola to politicians capable of planting individuals to block Iviewit at each of these agencies. While we reserve the right to file again with more evidence of these claims, at this point

we will await the results of your offices investigation into these matters to determine exactly how high the denial of due process was extended and whom was involved.

SPECIFIC INVLOVEMENT BY THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF
INVESTIGATION – WEST PALM BEACH OFFICE: JANUARY 2003 TO
MARCH 2007

By and by, Bernstein, on or about January 2003 contacted the West Palm Beach Florida Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation located at 505 South Flagler Drive, Suite 500, West Palm Beach, FL 33401 and began to describe, through oral, facsimile and personal interviews what individuals close to Iviewit have since described as the Greatest Patent Story Ever Told or The Greatest Crime Ever Bungled. Moreover, the West Palm Beach Florida Office agent that received these allegations pertaining to Iviewit and Bernstein was Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi (“Lucchesi”). Interested, but skeptical at first, Lucchesi gave Bernstein audience at the West Palm Beach Office, and in one particular instance, Bernstein was accompanied by an Iviewit retained attorney, Mark W. Gaffney, a former staff attorney in the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division (“Gaffney”), who despite Lucchesi’s initial attempts to characterize Iviewit’s and Bernstein’s claims as civil matters not investigated by the Bureau Office, Gaffney recited instances of case law after case law¹, that indicated the allegations in question were in

¹ Available upon request.

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 24 of 43

fact crimes, many covered under Title 18 of the United States Code², all under the FBI's jurisdiction. Convinced, Luchessi, requested more documentation and evidence, and

² THE ECONOMIC ESPIONAGE ACT / ANTITRUST CIVIL PROCESS / THE SHERMAN ACT / TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1965 RICO VENUE AND PROCESS/ TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1961 ("RICO") / TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (A) - RICO / TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (B) RICO / TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1962 (C) RICO / TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 SEC 1962 (D) RICO / TITLE 18 PART I CH 96 SEC 1968 RICO CIVIL INVESTIGATIVE DEMAND / TITLE 18 PART I CH 19 CONSPIRACY SEC 371 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1951 - INTERFERENCE WITH COMMERCE BY THREATS OR VIOLENCE (SEE CAR BOMBING AT HOMEPAGE WWW.IVIEWIT.TV) / TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1952 INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN TRAVEL OR TRANSPORTATION IN AID OF RACKETEERING ENTERPRISES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1956 LAUNDERING OF MONETARY INSTRUMENTS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 95 RACKETEERING SEC 1957 ENGAGING IN MONETARY TRANSACTIONS IN PROPERTY DERIVED FROM SPECIFIED UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY / TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 103 SEC. 2112 - PERSONAL PROPERTY OF UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 SEC 1831 ECONOMIC ESPIONAGE / TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 SEC 1832 THEFT OF TRADE SECRETS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 SEC 1834 CRIMINAL FORFEITURE / TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 SEC 1835 ORDERS TO PRESERVE CONFIDENTIALITY / TITLE 18 PART I CH 90 SEC 1837 APPLICABILITY TO CONDUCT OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 BANKRUPTCY SEC. 152 CONCEALMENT OF ASSETS; FALSE OATHS AND CLAIMS; BRIBERY / TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 SEC 156 - KNOWING DISREGARD OF BANKRUPTCY LAW OR RULE / TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 9 SEC 157 - BANKRUPTCY FRAUD / TITLE 11 CHAPTER 1 SEC 110 - PENALTY FOR PERSONS WHO NEGLIGENTLY OR FRAUDULENTLY PREPARE BANKRUPTCY PETITIONS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 FRAUD AND FALSE STATEMENTS SEC 1001 / TITLE 18 PART I CH 47 SEC 1031 - MAJOR FRAUD AGAINST THE UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 65 SEC 1361 - GOVERNMENT PROPERTY OR CONTRACTS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 103 SEC 2112 - PERSONAL PROPERTY OF UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 103 SEC 2114 - MAIL, MONEY, OR OTHER PROPERTY OF UNITED STATES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 STOLEN PROPERTY SEC 2311 / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 SEC 2314 - TRANSPORTATION OF STOLEN GOODS, SECURITIES, MONEYS, FRAUDULENT STATE TAX STAMPS, OR ARTICLES USED IN COUNTERFEITING / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 SEC 2315 - SALE OR RECEIPT OF STOLEN GOODS, SECURITIES, MONEYS, OR FRAUDULENT STATE TAX STAMPS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 SEC 2318 - TRAFFICKING IN COUNTERFEIT LABELS FOR PHONORECORDS, COPIES OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS OR COMPUTER PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION OR PACKAGING, AND COPIES OF MOTION PICTURES OR OTHER AUDIO VISUAL WORKS, AND TRAFFICKING IN COUNTERFEIT COMPUTER PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION OR PACKAGING / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 SEC 2319 - CRIMINAL INFRINGEMENT OF A COPYRIGHT / TITLE 18 PART I CH 113 SEC 2320 - TRAFFICKING IN COUNTERFEIT GOODS OR SERVICES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 SEC 1621 - PERJURY GENERALLY / TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 SEC 1622 / TITLE 18 PART I CH 79 SEC 1623 - FALSE DECLARATIONS BEFORE GRAND JURY OR COURT / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1341 - FRAUDS AND SWINDLES / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1342 FICTITIOUS NAME OR ADDRESS / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1343 - FRAUD BY WIRE, RADIO, OR TELEVISION / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1344 - BANK FRAUD / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1346 - DEFINITION OF "SCHEME OR ARTIFICE TO DEFRAUD" / TITLE 18 PART I CH 63 SEC 1345 -

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 25 of 43

Bernstein complied by submitting thousands of pages of documentation, many originals upon request of Luchessi, pertaining to the claims in question and CD ROM libraries.

Furthermore, a follow-up meeting to further "teach the case" to Luchessi was scheduled for on or about August 2004 at the Bureau Office in West Palm Beach, Fla.; attendees at this meeting were Luchessi, another FBI special agent specializing in crimes committed by law firms brought in to aid the investigations by Lucchesi, Bernstein, and myself wherein Bernstein, now fully versed in the intricacies of the United States Code, recited a litany of crimes, many falling under the critical Title 18, the very instances, upon information and belief, the Department of Justice charges the West Palm Beach Bureau Office with investigating.

More specifically, in the above series of allegations, Iviewit was confident that the West Palm Beach Bureau Office would find a reasonable certainty that, among others, Messrs. Kenneth Rubenstein ("Rubenstein"), Raymond A. Joao ("Joao"), William J. Dick ("Dick"), Steven Becker, and Douglas Boehm, all present or former members of the distinguished Bar of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"), designed and executed, either for themselves or others similarly situated, the deceptions, improprieties, and, even in certain circumstances, outright misappropriation by the disingenuous redirection of the disclosed Iviewit techniques by: (i) burying the critical

INJUNCTIONS AGAINST FRAUD / TITLE 18 PART I CH 83 SEC 1701 - OBSTRUCTION OF MAILS
GENERALLY / TITLE 18 PART I CH 83 SEC 1702 - OBSTRUCTION OF CORRESPONDENCE /
TITLE 18 PART I CH 31 SEC 641 - PUBLIC MONEY, PROPERTY OR RECORDS / SEC 654 -
OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF UNITED STATES CONVERTING PROPERTY OF ANOTHER / TITLE
18 PART I CH 73 SEC 1511 - OBSTRUCTION OF STATE OR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 26 of 43

elements of the inventions in patent applications; (ii) allowing the unauthorized use of Iviewit's inventions under NDA's without enforcement of said NDA's; (III) filing patent applications of their own or others based on the Iviewit inventions; (IV) submitting knowingly false statements and falsified documents done with intent to commit fraud on, including but not limited to, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") via mail and facsimile, the European Patent Office ("EPO"), The Japanese Patent Office ("JPO") and the Korean Patent Office ("KPO") in violation of international treaties in trade and finally the Iviewit shareholders and inventors.

Further, Lucchesi was also apprised of conflicts of interests and the appearance of impropriety in State Supreme Court cases before attorney disciplinary committees by representatives of Proskauer Rose and others, in a public office corruption case in both Florida and New York.³ In New York these matters led to Supreme Court of New York Court Ordered investigations of Rubenstein, Joao and Stephen Krane, amongst others, Krane being the former President of the NYSBA. In Florida these crimes elevated to the Florida Supreme Court and involved the President of the Florida Bar, Kelly Overstreet Johnson, caught in conflict with the main accused Christopher Clarke Wheeler's brother whom she worked for in a small Florida law firm as a direct report to James Wheeler. In Florida another Proskauer partner, Matthew Triggs, was found violating his Supreme Court office with The Florida Bar to defend Christopher Clarke Wheeler his partner while in a blackout period that precluded him from handling any cases before the The

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 27 of 43

Florida Bar. Triggs was also representing Proskauer simultaneously in the Proskauer v. Iviewit case opening up to even more conflicts and violations of his attorney code of ethics governing his conduct in cases before The Florida Bar. These cases are important for the DOJ OIG and FBI IA to investigate as they will be the basis for Iviewit's claims that the inventor Bernstein has been denied his civil rights to due process and procedure at every legal outlet the case has been turned over to, as the criminals in these matters, due to their legal power and political power have been able to position through conflict to avoid prosecution by infiltrating public offices where complaints have been filed without disclosure. It appears that not only have the civil courts been penetrated as with the Labarga case but the attorney disciplinary processes as illustrated in New York and Florida have been infiltrated and now perhaps the DOJ OIG will find that they have infiltrated federal investigations, as appears may be the case in the West Palm Beach Bureau Office of the FBI that we are asking for review of. In each case of conflict and impropriety found, those even ordered for investigation by the Supreme Court of New York were shielded from prosecution having not even to put forth a statement to investigators in their defense, all indicative of a top down denial of due process. In fact, cases at the Boca Raton PD were also derailed leading to an IA investigation of the officer that again disappeared without any forward notice to anyone of what transpired. Another recent subterfuge of a Department of Business and Professional Regulation Complaint, deferred by the AICPA mysteriously for lack of investigative funds to the

³ Exhibit 2 – Ongoing Investigations and Derailed Investigations Chart

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 28 of 43

DBPR, has now led to the discovery of possible conflicts of interest in the Governor of Florida, Charlie Christ's office who has ultimate oversight of the DPBR OIG and whose office is run by Ivicwit's former patent counsel Foley and Lardner and one of the main accused in these matters. In fact, special office position was favored upon Christopher Kise, Senior Counsel to the Governor who was the former Solicitor General at the Florida Supreme Court during Ivicwit's attempt to get justice from that institution to aid in prosecution of its bar members caught in conflict of interest. Strange that Proskauer Rose is found conflicted to the top of The Florida Bar and in a state where they maintain a small Boca Raton real estate office and Foley is found in positions of control at the Florida Supreme Court and now running the Governor's office and acting as counsel. Christ was also found attempting to appoint yet another Foley and Lardner partner, Kevin Hyde, who declined the position when it was publicly revealed that he was conflicted with Christ. Finally, a partner of Wheeler's former law firm and the firm may be a possible subject of investigation in these matters also has significant status at the Governor's office with George LeMieux, Chief of Staff: Mr. LeMieux was the Chief of Staff for the Crist-Kottkamp Campaign and currently serves as Transition Executive Director. He served as the Chief of Staff and Deputy Attorney General for the State of Florida from 2003 through 2006. LeMieux oversaw more than 450 lawyers and 1,300 total staff. LeMieux has also served as a member of the Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart law firm, most recently as a shareholder managing the firm's litigation practice in the Fort Lauderdale office (same office as Wheeler worked at). Finally, on a Foley and Lardner

note, and perhaps subject to these proceedings will be the former Chairman of Foley and Lardner, Michael Grebe who yields perhaps the strongest ability to influence the denial of due process top down through his former role as Chairman of the Republican National Committee (currently under investigation for possible Hatch Act violations in email lost that may have been a back channel for dubious White House correspondences) and the many tentacles than can be tapped in that regard by the RNC, as necessary to block Iviewit politically as needed. Perhaps now, with a wisp of where this is going or has gone, the DOJ OIG may find the answer to why Iviewit, the Shareholders, the United States Government and foreign nations just as the Rolling Stones would say "Can't Get No Satisfaction" in their attempts at due process and procedure and fair and impartial justice. We will not go so far as to say that the US Attorney's office for the Southern District of Florida and perhaps their oversight should also be included for investigation for their failure to prosecute the overwhelming evidence and eyewitnesses that risked life and limb to bring this case this far but...

Oh yes, at last, the FBI agents seemed to embark upon a tangent that the evidence of the car bombing "Iraqi Style" of inventor Bernstein's family's minivan was somehow a State of Florida matter and that Lucchesi had done nothing with such evidence submitted in regards to the bomb that blew to smithereens three cars next to it, hours before Bernstein, his wife Candice and his three children were to be taking possession of it from an auto body shop. Lucchesi was notified instantly and state fire investigator Rick Lee confirmed that the bombing was intentional and Lee was notified to contact

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 30 of 43

Lucchesi which he stated he was doing, as well as, stating he was also notifying the Florida Fire Marshall. Bernstein requested federal protection from Lucchesi, as any blind man can see by viewing the website homepage images at www.iviewit.tv, that this was not a mere threat, this was a real terrorist action by the real terrorists of democracy and free commerce to silence the main witness against them. I guess, I can't get no protection may be the next Stones tune, while humorous I can attest that Mr. Bernstein and his wife do not find this to be a matter for joking but yet another reason the DOJ OIG should investigate the civil rights violations uninvestigated by the investigators in charge of the matters at the FBI, of course before they are murdered for trying to expose the largest crime ever committed in the United States, against the United States, foreign nations and private citizens by those who are supposed to be upholding those rights. Perhaps no greater challenge to the integrity of our great nation now sits upon the shoulder of the DOJ OIG and failure at this level is a total failure of democracy and our Constitution, a lead in to Patengate. We pray for your shield.

Much of the information and documentation can be found at the website www.iviewit.tv in the left toolbar under the Supreme Court Exhibit Gallery.

SPECIFIC INVLOVEMENT BY THE BUREAU OFFICE: APRIL 2007

Fast forward to April 16, 2007, when, upon transmitting a facsimile to Lucchesi pertaining to the discovery of fraudulent documents in the Iviewit files at the EPO with a view towards adding this evidence to the file at the Bureau Office in West Palm Beach, Fla., Bernstein came to learn from the caller that Luchessi had "retired" many months

prior to, later learned, perhaps as early as October or November 2006; the West Palm Beach office had telephoned Bernstein to complain of a ninety page facsimile sent to fax (561) 833-7970, a number given to Bernstein by Lucchesi for submission of additional evidence, the officer complaining that the fax was interrupting other Bureau Office business such as the receiving of subpoenas. Bernstein asked for a follow up call and Special Agent Joseph Sconzo ("Sconzo") returned the call and had a substantive discussion of the Iviewit case in the Bureau Office, though Sconzo denied any case ever existed in their files, insisted that no file existed or could be located under a multitude of names searched, no evidence submitted was existent in the office, and no particular disposition ever was made that he could ascertain from their department files and computers. Momentarily stunned, Bernstein recalling the particular representations made by Lucchesi, including but not limited to, on or about September 2004 that Lucchesi had taken the specific factual allegations to the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida. Lucchesi than stated to Bernstein that following his presentation he was contacting Harry I. Moatz to begin investigation of the Federal crimes committed against the United States and would be working with Moatz on those crimes first, the others to follow. After Bernstein's initial two conversations with Sconzo, Bernstein and I called Sconzo on the afternoon of April 18, to clarify certain issues of the April 16 discussion and the subsequent discussion between Bernstein and Sconzo on April 17.

⁴ Exhibit 1 – Letter to DOJ OIG Glenn Fine

Furthermore, Sconzo surprisingly recanted many of the statements he made to Bernstein one and two days earlier, including but not limited to:

1. While previously stating to Bernstein on April 17 that he [Sconzo] spoke with Lucchesi and during the April 18 call, with me present he would not admit that he spoke with Lucchesi directly; and
2. During the Bernstein calls, Sconzo stated that no case existed and that the Bureau Office had no records of the U.S. Attorney's decision, further proffering that the case files included original evidentiary documents are missing; and
3. Reiterating that he could not find any evidence that the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Iviewit were ever formulated into a specific case file; and
4. Stated that, in his discussion with Lucchesi, Lucchesi represented that he received a call from Harry I. Moatz, Director of Enrollment and Discipline ("OED") of the USPTO ("Moatz") in diametric opposition to the representations of Lucchesi that he [Lucchesi] had called Moatz and that they were conducting a joint Bureau Office/OED investigation; and
5. When Bernstein asked Sconzo what rules and procedures the Bureau Office must follow, Sconzo rebuffed Bernstein stating that "[he] was not going to be interrogated," or words to that effect; and
6. Stated that there was no documentation that evidenced the U.S. Attorney's review or decision of the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Iviewit, let alone

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 33 of 43

specific documentation of the U.S. Attorney's declining to prosecute the specific factual allegations; and

7. Stated that the U.S. Attorney decision is not normally conveyed to the Complainant but if it is, it is done orally, not in writing; and
8. Refused to restate that in the call of April 17, that he [Sconzo] was Supervisor and Senior Officer in charge of handling matters of the West Palm Beach Bureau Office, and factually "flip-flopped" on the April 18 call that he was Lucchesi's supervisor or involved with this case at all and that his only involvement was the, in his view, inappropriate facsimile by Bernstein two days earlier; and
9. Stated that Bernstein had made threats to him, when Bernstein asked if he was referring to the statement that he would file with the FBI IA and DOJ OIG, he stated this was not threat, when pressed further by Bernstein what he meant as he was stunned by the accusation for fear he might get a bag over his head and a one way ticket to Guantanamo Bay aka Camp Gitmoschwitz for such unknown threat, Sconzo refused to reveal the content of the threat and soon thereafter hung up the phone mid conversation with us.

Furthermore, on April 18, 2007, Bernstein and I teleconferenced with John McVie (sp?) ("McVie")⁵, who described himself instead now as the head of the West Palm Beach Bureau Office in West Palm Beach, Fla., contradicting Sconzo's former claim and

who upon learning of our claims, both the specific factual allegations, but more importantly at this juncture, the contradictions of Sconzo and the representations or, perhaps, misrepresentations of Lucchesi, advised Bernstein and I to file a complaint with the Washington Internal Affairs office of the FBI.

Additionally, in this April 18 call with McVie, more doubt crossed the minds of Bernstein and myself when McVie went on to state that:

1. No case existed or was docketed pertaining to the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Ivieuit; and
2. That they had no documentation pertaining to the U.S. Attorney's decision, if the claims were ever taken there at all; and
3. Stated case files and the original evidentiary documents are missing; and
4. Stated that when cases are refused by a U.S. Attorney, such documentation goes into a "zero file" that, in the case of the Bureau Office have not been updated since 1997 and to get such information could be a monumental task; and
5. Stated that there are no procedures the West Palm Beach Bureau Office follows in the disposition of cases and it at the discretion of the particular Special Agent charged with the matters of how cases and the files will be disposed of; and
6. That there were no rules or procedural law regulating the West Palm Beach Bureau Office; and

⁵ McVie was called on April 19, 2006 to clarify his name, the name of Lucchesi's former supervisor, the name of legal counsel and the assistant US attorney he counseled with regarding the matters but has failed

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 35 of 43

7. Stated that Lucchesi's direct report supervisor⁶ had "retired" and there was no replacement just a "blank desk;" and
8. Stated that it is uncommon for FBI to notice Complainants of the outcome of a case or the handling of the files, but that sometimes they do verbally; and
9. Stated that he [McVie] thought the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Ivieuit were civil matters, Bernstein recited him a multiplicity of Title 18 codes, much in the same way he did during the August 2004 meeting with Lucchesi and the other Special Agent brought in by Lucchesi to oversight the crimes committed by the law firms, and much in the same way as the Gaffney meeting of 2003 McVie agreed that many of these Title 18 violations that were cited, although not all listed in footnote 2 where under their jurisdiction to investigate and then immediately stated to again lodge a complaint with the FBI IA; and
10. Stated there was no formal procedure for document maintenance or file retention; and
11. Stated that The Honorable Glenn Fine, Inspector General at the Department of Justice had no oversight of the Bureau Office and it would be a waste of time to notify them and request oversight investigations; and

thus far to return the call.

⁶ McVie did offer a name for Lucchesi's supervisor prior to retiring, whom also had retired but we did not get a chance to get the name for formal inclusion herein. McVie who was called on April 19, 2007 had not returned a call to again reveal the oversight's name in time for inclusion.

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 36 of 43

12. Stated that the House and Senate Judiciary Committee had no oversight of the West Palm Beach Bureau Office, but was only capable of making recommendations and thus was powerless over the actions of the FBI.

ACTION REQUESTED

Furthermore, as a result of the series of allegations enclosed, and although it is clear that the role of the Department of Justice is to prosecute allegations that have merit not to investigate allegations which it delegates to the Bureau Office and others, I find it reasonable that your Office: (i) shall find the requisite merit to initiate, and/or oversight investigations; (ii) shall pass these allegations to a staff attorney in the Office of the Inspector General; (iii) shall instruct said staff attorney to institute a formal investigation, including questioning, requests for records, and other information from all parties involved; (iv) shall refer said attorney's findings back to you as the Inspector General of the Department of Justice; (v) shall present such findings for determinative review; (vi) shall witness a disposition that urges disciplinary action against the alleged offending Bureau Office and its Special Agents, jointly and severally; and finally (vi) shall demand a full affirmed conflict disclosure statement from each and every person who may become involved in the ongoing investigations and reviews of former investigations or any other aspect of these matters as illustrated in the attached conflict form at the beginning of this document. That we pray this office signs the first, as a sign of good faith in going forward and assuring public confidence that conflicts will cease to exist in

these matters. The necessity of this statement of no conflict from every participant henceforth cannot be overstated as the case involves upwards of four thousand lawyers who have four thousand more friends in the world of politicks and law enforcement and thus getting rid of any potential for further conflict by extreme conflict screening, that if discovered by Johnny Q. Public a failure in justice free of conflict would cause a total loss of faith in our judicial and political processes.

CONCLUSION

Lastly, Iviewit often asks itself, among other things, "Why did the Hon. Jorge LaBarga of the Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial District, Florida deny Iviewit's Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Assert Counterclaim for Damages (concerning the aforementioned allegations)" and "Why did The Florida Bar ('TFB') dismiss the complaint against Christopher C. Wheeler, Esq. ('Wheeler' and, a non-patent attorney, a main protagonist of the above referenced allegations) despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary" and "Why did the Supreme Court of Florida deny Iviewit's Petition to begin the immediate investigation of the Wheeler complaint (when TFB admitted in writing that the answer to the Wheeler complaint was authored by an attorney in flagrant violation of his public office obligations)" and "Why did the First Department Departmental Disciplinary Committee of New York stall Iviewit's complaint against Rubenstein and Joao despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary" and "Why, despite the New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department's order to begin the immediate investigation of Rubenstein and Joao, did the Second Department

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine

Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007-7:14:29 AM

Page 38 of 43

Departmental Disciplinary Committee of New York dismiss the Rubenstein and Joao complaints and stating that they were 'not under the jurisdiction' of the First Department Court" not even asking those ordered for investigation a single question in their defense and "Why did the Virginia Bar Association dismiss the Dick complaint despite overwhelming evidence that Dick had submitted fraudulent patent portfolio's to the USPTO and the same document caused Moatz to institute investigation of Dick" and "Why did the Supreme Court of the United States decline to hear Iviewit's Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Florida Supreme Court to overturn the Florida Court's decision and make it impossible for Iviewit to file complaints against members of the Florida Bar inapposite the Florida Constitution and again a violation of due process" and "why did John Doll, former Commissioner of Patents at the USPTO, fail to correct the inventors, and why has his successor, Jon W. Dudas, Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, still yet fail to correct the inventors or continue the patent suspensions according to law on formal request and then refuse to take or return Iviewit's call to address the petition directed by Moatz having Bernstein file charges of FRAUD ON THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE in the falsifying of oaths of invention declarations by licensed members of the patent bar to the USPTO, more than three years ago, and cosigned by the Iviewit inventors and Crossbow Ventures, representing the SBA interest, as well" and why would patent office suspend some of the IP pending ongoing investigations and then suddenly cease communications with Iviewit and why would

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 39 of 43

Lucchesi represent that he took his investigation to Moatz of OED to conduct jointly if that were not the case, and why would Lucchesi represent that he took his investigation to the U.S. Attorney's Office when no evidentiary documentation exists to verify that fact, and why is there a question in our minds as to whether Lucchesi voluntarily "retired," or was it more that he was terminated for pursuing the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Iviewit, if he ever did pursue them at all as he represented, and why is there a question in our minds as to whether Lucchesi's then direct report voluntarily "retired," or was it more that he was terminated for allowing Lucchesi to pursue the specific factual allegations of Bernstein and Iviewit, if Lucchesi ever did pursue them at all as he represented, and why would Sconzo find no evidentiary documentation pertaining to issues discussed by and between Lucchesi, Bernstein, and Iviewit since 2003, and why would McVie find no evidentiary documentation pertaining to issues discussed by and between Lucchesi, Bernstein, and Iviewit since 2003, and why would both Sconzo and McVie attempt to sway Bernstein's efforts to complain of the actions of Lucchesi, Sconzo, McVie, and the Bureau Office, and why is there a correlation in our minds that if there are allegations of U.S. Attorney terminations for political reasons may there be Special Agents "retirements" for many of the same reasons, and why is it that we hear that the Iviewit matters are civil by everyone that we next find conflict with, when it is clear that Iviewit cannot prosecute for crimes committed against local, state and federal agencies of the United States and foreign nations, and why has not one investigator or court officer or lawyer upon written request signed an affirmed statement that they are

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 40 of 43

not conflicted with these matters before undertaking the matters, which would bind them only slightly more to duty and Iviewit finds itself answering "[T]HAT IT IS ALL PART AND PARCEL OF THE PATTERN OF FRAUDS, DECEITS, AND MISREPRESENTATIONS THAT RUN SO WIDE AND SO DEEP THAT IT TEARS AT THE VERY FABRIC OF WHAT HAS BECOME TO BE KNOWN AS FREE COMMERCE AND DEMOCRACY IN THIS COUNTRY, AND, IN THE FACT THAT IT PERTAINS TO INVENTORS RIGHTS, DUE PROCESS AND CIVIL RIGHTS TEARS AT THE VERY FABRIC OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES."

Very truly yours,

IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC./IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: _____

P. Stephen Lamont
Former Chief Executive Officer (Acting)



By: _____

Eliot I. Bernstein
Founder and Inventor

cc copy and/or cc:

Select Members of the House and Senate Judiciary Committee's

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida

April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM

Page 41 of 43

Senator Dianne Feinstein

The Honorable Inspector General, Department of Justice, Glenn Fine

Director of the Office of Enrollment & Discipline, United States Patent & Trademark Office,

Harry I. Moatz

The Honorable Inspector General, Department of Commerce, Johnnie Frazier

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Jon W. Dudas

Select Iviewit Shareholders and other Debenture Holders both know and unknown

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida
April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 42 of 43

Exhibit 1 - DOJ OIG April 17, 2007 Fax to Glenn Fine

39 Little Ave
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 529-4110

**Iviewit
Technologies,
Inc./Iviewit Holdings,
Inc**

Fax

- Attn Glenn Fine
only!

To: Federal Bureau of Investigation
Internal Affairs

From: Eliot I. Bernstein

Fax: 202-324-9737

Pages: 10 including cover

Phone: 202-324-3000

Date: 4/17/2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies Investigation

CC:

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

The following facsimile is intended for the office of internal affairs of the FBI. If you have any questions, please contact the sender, Eliot I. Bernstein at (530) 529-4110/

THIS MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES INCORPORATED HEREIN CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THIS MAIL AND ITS ATTACHMENTS. PLEASE DELETE THE MESSAGE AND ITS EMBEDDED FILES WITHOUT READING, OPENING, PRINTING, COPYING, FORWARDING, OR SAVING THEM, AND NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AT (530) 529-4110. IF YOU ARE THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE PROHIBITED FROM FORWARDING THEM OR OTHERWISE DISCLOSING THESE CONTENTS TO OTHERS, UNLESS EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED BY THE SENDER. THANK YOU!



IVIEWIT HOLDINGS, INC.

*Iviewit Technologies, Inc. (fla)
Iviewit Holdings, Inc. - Del.

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. (fla)
Uview.com, Inc. - Del.

*Iviewit Holdings, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit Holdings, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit, Inc. - Fla.

*IC, Inc. (fla)
Iviewit.com, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit.com LLC - Del.

Iviewit.com, Inc. - Fla.

Iviewit.com, Inc. - Del.

Iviewit LLC. - Del.

*Iviewit Corporation

*Indicates companies
where ownership is
currently under
federal and state
investigations.

Eliot I. Bernstein
President, Founder & Inventor
Direct Dial: (530) 529-4110

PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Office of Internal Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
and
Inspector General, Department of Justice, The Honorable Glenn Fine

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation - W. Palm Beach, FL ~ Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Via: Facsimile

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing requesting a formal docketed internal affairs investigation into the Iviewit Technologies, Inc./Iviewit Holdings, Inc./Iviewit.com investigation in W. Palm Beach by Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi ("Lucchesi"). Lucchesi had been formally investigating and had taken the matters to the United States Attorney in Florida regarding matters involving crimes committed against the United States and foreign nations, as well as, crimes against the Iviewit Shareholders & inventors. Lucchesi had also undertaken investigation with Director of the Office of Enrollment & Discipline ("OED"), Harry I. Moatz ("Moatz"), at the United States Patent & Trademark Office ("USPTO"), matters relating to frauds committed upon the USPTO and other federal commerce agencies. Lucchesi was investigating the bombing of my family's car, that blew up three cars next to it and we were under the impression these elements of the case were all active and ongoing with Lucchesi and the United States Attorney. Lucchesi had been apprised and was investigating either directly or in conjunction with others, hosts of federal, state and international crimes that were committed in the attempt to steal technologies valued by industry experts to be worth approximately one trillion dollars or more.

This morning we received a call from the W. Palm Office of the FBI, which is no longer listed as a field office at your website and were chastised for faxing a 90 page



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation - W. Palm Beach, FL - Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 2 of 8

fax to that office and tying up their facsimile machine, as it had ran out of paper. Lucchesi had requested that documents be faxed, as there was not email at the time and a ninety page fax did not seem large in comparison to other documents submitted as evidence in these matters. The office complained that they were unable to receive subpoenas due to our fax, which again seems out of place that a federal office of the FBI is unable to receive faxes due to paper outages and no backup machines for what appear very important faxes to the business of the United States. In a call that followed today from that office, the acting supervisor, Special Agent Joseph Sconzo, claimed that the reason for such poor equipment is that the FBI is so under-funded that they have old equipment?

More disturbing was that when asked to confirm the fax with Lucchesi, that it all had been received, the W. Palm Beach agency informed me that Lucchesi was no longer with the agency and had long since retired. When asked who replaced him on our matters, I was met with a blank. When I asked for a name I was told someone would contact me from that office. When I found that no office was listed on the FBI website any longer in W. Palm, I was concerned and thought that a formal internal affairs investigation should be conducted into these matters for the reason that no notice was given to us from Lucchesi or that office, that new investigators had taken over or how to submit further evidence. Seeing that we have no contact on these matters, when we were led to believe that investigations were underway, leaves us concerned with the conduct of how these matters of life and death and crimes against the United States had been handled, if they had been handled at all and if due process and procedure had been afforded to the complaints or they had been victim of a subterfuge through internal corruptions. It also seemed strange upon visiting the W. Palm office of the FBI, with former CEO of Iviewit, P. Stephen Lamont, the office was located in a private building, not a federal building. We were unsure why but it was explained at that meeting, attended by Lucchesi and another agent attending that specialized in crimes committed by law firms, that the building was acquired as part of criminal acquisition and while not typical that offices were in private buildings, it was not uncommon. While this office was listed when we checked at that time, the fact that it was not listed today forces us to ask when that office was officially formed and when it was officially closed, if at all.

The Iviewit matters have been elevated to the House Judiciary Committee for investigation by Chairman of the House Energy and Commerce Committee, John Dingell. The Judiciary Committee has been reviewing the matters for several weeks and we are waiting for determinations and will so be forwarding these issues to them for review as well. There are also other investigations under way on a federal, international and state level of crimes against other state agencies, federal agencies and foreign nations that Lucchesi was apprised of and were being investigated and which we thought were continuing to be investigated by the FBI.

In fact, the fax sent over to Lucchesi this weekend was regarding checking if Lucchesi had contacted Interpol regarding the crimes that appeared to have transcended international waters



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL – Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 3 of 8

through international trade treatise violations, similar to the crimes committed against our USPTO. The USPTO, via the Patent Cooperation Treaty (“PCT”) and subsequently the European Patent Office (“EPO”) were all handed sets of fraudulent patent applications, in violation of federal and international law regarding false declarations of oath. We were advised to contact Lucchesi and did on several occasions, via fax, notify Lucchesi and have him contact the Interpol offices in regard to these matters. Since we have had no contact with Lucchesi, since confirming that he had contacted Moatz, to join investigation into the federal crimes committed against the USPTO and other commerce department agencies he had been apprised of, we are unclear of the status on any of these matters and once Lucchesi had stated that he was beginning formal investigation in Washington with Moatz, he stated that he would contact us when necessary and could not discuss the matters while formal investigations were underway and that to continue to fax and supplementary info to the W. Palm office.

Since we have been complaining of what appears to be a Patentgate, whereby top down government controls were instituted to preclude and block Iviewit and its shareholders from justice at every level, we find further fear of such government corruption when learning that succession of Lucchesi and the forward handling of these matters has never been given to our company in regard to the complaint, in now over two years, in ongoing formal investigations. Since the criminals alleged to have committed these crimes are by no means ordinary criminals, composed mainly of several large law firms, Proskauer Rose (Democratic firm) and Foley and Lardner (Republican firm and former Chairman, Michael Grebe, was also the Republican National Committee (RNC) Chair), and where we have already found conflicts of interests existing already in investigations in both Florida and New York, in state agencies with partners of Proskauer, including derailing the court ordered investigations, by the New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department of three Proskauer partners for allegations of conflict and appearance of impropriety, a ruling by five justice who all conferred after due deliberation to issue orders for investigation. Those investigations were further circumvented through additional conflicts and crimes of other public officers charged with the investigation in New York, all related to Proskauer. It was further learned that Chief Justice of New York Judith Kaye and her former law clerk, Steven C. Krane where both Proskauer related, Kaye married to a partner in the newly formed intellectual property group and Krane (former NYSBA President and leading disciplinary department official) and partner in the newly formed intellectual property group and both with direct involvement in the handling of complaints against Proskauer partners. Krane, in fact, was found handling his own complaint and those of his partners, while holding active roles, that he failed to disclose prior to involvement, with the investigating agency. Roles that due to conflict would have precluded any involvement, yet with a top down control in New York, Proskauer feared naught and acted as if they were bulletproof, and to this point have been, in deflecting any investigations into their actions since they controlled the courts and disciplinary departments. So brazen were they that they failed to docket complaints filed against those caught in further conflicts affording them no due process and procedure without concern for any repercussions.



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL ~ Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 4 of 8

In Florida, members of The Florida Bar (“TFB”), again partners in Proskauer Rose, were found violating Florida Supreme Court (“FSC”) public offices to block complaints against their firm and again acting in concert with the President of TFB at the time to avoid prosecution. The President of the TFB, then relinquished her involvement in the matters when conflict was discovered with her and the main accused, Christopher Clarke Wheeler, Esq. (recently convicted in a Felony DUI w/ Injury in the state of Florida) through his brother James Wheeler, who was the oversight to Johnson in a small boutique Florida law firm. This recusal came months after Johnson was handling the matters and receiving correspondences in the matters with no disclosure of her conflict with Wheeler and immediately after the Iviewit companies found the initial source of denial of due process in that venue when discovering the conflict with Matthew Triggs, Esq. of Proskauer, who was found handling the complaint against Wheeler in violation of his public offices with the FSC TSB. Again, revealing a top down denial of due process in the Florida courts and disciplinary departments.

In Florida again, recent derailing of a complaint with the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (“FDBPR”) against accountant in the scam, Gerald Lewin and his daughter Erika Lewin, was suddenly derailed after investigation on a finding of no probable cause. This appeared highly unusual since the FDBPR had stated they had Lucchesi and were informed to do their own separate investigation and to get back to him with their results to include in his overall case, this information was transmitted to the Iviewit management and again this rush to dismiss seemed suspect. After elevating the complaint to the OIG of the FDBPR and then on to the Governor’s office of Charlie Christ (“Christ”), again conflict was discovered when it was learned that the Governor’s office was controlled by Foley and Lardner partners, with Christ having created special posts in his office for a senior partner of Foley, Christopher Kise (“Kise), the former Solicitor General of Florida, a Foley partner. One now questions if the bizarre series of events at the FSC that led to a further denial of due process of the complaints was not derailed by Kise who may have acted without disclosing his conflicts to derail the complaints. In fact, one must ask why Proskauer Rose is controlling the Florida Courts and disciplinary departments when they are a New York firm with a small office in Boca Raton. Then why Foley and Lardner is controlling the Supreme Court of Florida and the Governors office, when they are a Wisconsin firm that had virtually no presence in Florida prior to meeting Iviewit. Finally, Christ who is personally represented by Foley and Lardner was found attempting to appoint a highly conflicted Foley partner to his office staff but high visibility in the press quashed that appointment.

It appears due to their array of attorneys and the monies generated from the stolen technologies, valued already to have generated several billion to several hundred billion dollars from date of invention that these law firms are capable of penetrating government posts at all levels. It has been speculated by many that due to the fact that outstanding amounts of evidence were submitted to authorities worldwide in the matters, that these criminals knew the only way to



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL ~ Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 5 of 8

prevent prosecution was to seize the United States government top down to block prosecution at every level through conflicts and violations of public offices. It appears now that not only did they take over state governments top down, that they may have also sabotaged federal agencies that were charged with investigating, including what now appears the FBI possibly.

There also was a subterfuge in an ongoing investigation in Boca Raton Florida involving the Boca Raton Police Department ("BRPD"), an investigation that involved false claims by BRPD that the SEC was involved with investigating the matters along with the Boca PD, in allegations that several million dollars were stolen according to eye witnesses, monies that were partially the federally backed Small Business Administrations ("SBA") funds. These investigations were also into stolen proprietary equipment that BRPD initially recovered from the accused and stolen patents. After the ongoing investigation seemed to also be victim of internal subterfuge and the lead officer was accused of mishandling the investigations, the BRPD made claims that meetings were to be conducted with the Boca PD, Lucchesi, the company and two members of the SEC. Days before the supposed meeting, a lawyer for Iviewit asked that the SEC contacts names be revealed and when they finally put forth names, when contact these SEC agents denied any involvement at all and had no idea of the meeting they were to be attending in the next days. This revelation contradicted the BRPD's statements and lead to investigation by Lucchesi, the SEC and internal affairs at the Boca PD or so we thought, although with the retirement of Lucchesi and the FBI's disclosure today that they had no information on the case and that it may have retired with Lucchesi, discussed further herein, again the appearance of internal subterfuge raises its ugly head.

All of these matters are dwarfed, in lieu of a call just received from the W. Palm Beach office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation regarding where the Iviewit matters have gone since the retirement of Lucchesi. The call was from Active Supervisor, Special Agent, Joseph Sconzo ("Sconzo"). Sconzo stated that there are no records other than this weekends fax on the Iviewit matters and he has no records of the case ever being opened at that office or where it went or how it was handled. This is shocking, if not further evidence of possible corruption within the FBI and again a subterfuge of Iviewit complaints now by federal government agencies. When asked if there were any indication the case had been declined by the US Attorney and Lucchesi failed to notify anyone, he stated he could not find any evidence of anything at their offices. He stated he will get back to us with what he finds out but was not willing to put his findings in writing, stating he would only contact me through oral communications. We would like that the internal affairs investigation provide formal written confirmation that these actions are following proper and procedural formalities in the formal complaint process that rules FBI actions on how cases are handled procedurally according to law.

In fact, we would like to know what formal procedures should have followed contact with the US Attorney and what formal disposition procedures would have had to have been followed on any determination. Again, since it is being alleged that these law firms have politicked and



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL ~ Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 6 of 8

consumed positions within investigatory bodies to prevent prosecution, certainly the FBI could have been similarly penetrated to cover up the matters. If the top down control elevates to the President and Vice President, as certain corollary evidence reveals, Presidential appointments and Governor appointments in Texas and Florida, may provide the necessary links to just who is preventing the prosecution of the Iviewit claims in every instance.

The FBI claim of loss of all case information at this time by the W. Palm office, including matters relating to the car bombing and investigation of those life or death matters, is also of particular concern, in that to some degree we felt that the matters were being investigated. This call by Sconzo should stand as sufficient cause for a full internal affairs investigation into all those involved in the matters and if their actions followed procedures to insure the Iviewit claims were afforded due process and procedure under law. Originally, in 2001, the first calls to the FBI were made to the Long Beach office of the FBI, relating to the initial death threats made against myself by recruits of the law firms Proskauer and Foley and Lardner, that forced my family to flee for the first of several times to save our lives. It is particularly disturbing that a case of this magnitude, involving crimes against the United States and foreign nations would have not a single trace of evidence at the investigating office of any disposition or outcome at all and a loss of the entire file by the office, now claiming per Sconzo that cases often retire when officers handling them retire???

Request was made of Sconzo to notify the office of internal affairs for the FBI and the OIG of the Department of Justice of his findings but there was no commitment that such action would be taken, despite the claim that on initial appearance there was no information regarding the matters that the office had undertaken which did raise a brow. When asked if there was also information regarding the federal crimes of submitting falsified patent applications to the USPTO and other Commerce Department agencies, crimes against the United States and foreign nations, not Iviewit or Eliot I. Bernstein, that Lucchesi was working on with other federal and state agencies, again there was no case or record of that office investigating any of these matters. Again, what appears to be cause for a thorough investigation by internal affairs and the DOJ OIG Inspector General, Glenn Fine ("Fine"), who has been advised and copied on many facets of these matters over the last two years, is the frightening fact that no one has a forward plan for the matters or even has record of the crimes Lucchesi stated where under formal FBI investigations. Sconzo was apprised that Fine's office was involved and his attitude became more of attuned to investigating further than attempting to tell us that the matters must not have been worthy of investigation based on the fact that he could not find any evidence of them in his offices, his statements prior to learning of Fine's involvement. Sconzo's call seems highly out of place and sent a shiver down the spine to those involved and witnesses, as the question asked was why he called us prior to contacting retired agent Lucchesi first to get apprised of the case disposition.

Please contact me immediately regarding these matters, as I fear for not only for the life of my family but those who had volunteered to act as witness and others, that presumed they were



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL ~ Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 7 of 8

doing so with the FBI investigating the matters. I am in grave concern that the FBI has taken no actions to protect a citizen whose life has been threatened repeatedly, whose car has been blown up and confirmed as committed with intent by fire investigators. A group of citizens who have followed all the rules of making complaints to all the proper authorities, to find that no one is protecting their rights to life, as well as, the rights guaranteed through the Constitution under Article 1, Sec 8, Clause 8 pertaining to protection of inventors with the full weight of the Constitution, in the event of just such attempts to steal such inventions and murder inventors. In fact, in a RICO case the FBI typically offers protection to witnesses against corruption from small or large mobsters when witnesses' lives may be in danger. Where a group of citizens have brought allegations of corruption that may yield a Patentgate, with attempted murders already occurring in the US and threats already effectuated against ones life, it is stunning that FBI officers who have been fully apprised of the matters and tendered evidence and witnesses against the accused, have not granted an iota of protection to those who are in danger, all indicating a top down control of the government and its regulatory agencies. Control by those at the top to aid and abet those alleged to have committed such atrocities, through violation of public offices of these federal and state investigatory agencies. Most disturbing though is that it now appears that no one is protecting the United States and foreign nations from a group of criminals cloaked as lawyers, politicians and judicial members!

Not even the protection that the case has been handled according to procedure and afforded due process to the complainants at all, by now federal agencies, who claim the files are missing. Not even that the matters are being properly docketed and afforded due process and procedure according to law, is even more mind boggling. Please notify both the House and Senate Judiciary Committee members who are reviewing these matters, of your findings on behalf of the crimes against the United States and the crimes against Iviewit, its shareholders (including the SBA, the largest investor and shareholder of the technologies through SBIC loans) and the inventors, as well as myself of your findings in a formal written statement of the outcome of this complaint to instigate an internal affairs audit of these matters.

For more information regarding the complaints filed with the FBI, please visit the Iviewit website at www.iviewit.tv , there is a plethora of information in the Supreme Court Exhibit Gallery, including correspondences with the FBI.



IVIEWIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Tuesday, April 17, 2007

Re: Iviewit Technologies, Inc. Investigation – W. Palm Beach, FL – Special Agent Stephen Lucchesi

Page 8 of 8

With best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E.I. Bernstein". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Eliot I. Bernstein
President
Iviewit Technologies, Inc./Iviewit
Holdings, Inc.

cc copy and/or cc:

Select Members of the House and Senate Judiciary Committee's

Senator Dianne Feinstein

The Honorable Inspector General, Department of Justice, Glenn Fine

Director of the Office of Enrollment & Discipline, United States Patent & Trademark Office,
Harry I. Moatz

The Honorable Inspector General, Department of Commerce, Johnnie Frazier

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States
Patent and Trademark Office, Jon W. Dudas

F

Florida

top of page ↑

FBI Jacksonville
Suite 200
7820 Arlington
Expressway
Jacksonville, Florida
32211-7499
jacksonville.fbi.gov
(904) 721-1211

FBI North Miami Beach
16320 Northwest Second Avenue
North Miami Beach, Florida 33169-6508
miami.fbi.gov
(305) 944-9101

FBI Tampa
5525 West Gray Street
Tampa, Florida 33609
tampa.fbi.gov
(813) 253-1000

G

Georgia

top of page ↑

FBI Atlanta
Suite 400
2635 Century Parkway,
Northeast
Atlanta, Georgia 30345-
3112
atlanta.fbi.gov
(404) 679-9000

H

Hawaii

top of page ↑

FBI Honolulu
Room 4-230, Kalanianaʻole
FOB
300 Ala Moana Boulevard
Honolulu, Hawaii 96850-
0053

The Honorable Inspector General of the Department of Justice, Glenn Fine
Re: Affirmed Joint and Several Complaint Against the Federal Bureau of Investigation, West Palm Beach, Fla. Office and its Special Agents and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida
April 20, 2007 7:14:29 AM
Page 43 of 43

Exhibit 2 – List of ongoing and derailed investigations

Department Filed With Complaint Filed With Determination NOTES

Department Filed With	Complaint	Filed With	Determination	NOTES
1 House, Judiciary Committee	John Dingell, House Energy & Commerce Committee forwards Viewit former CEO, P. Stephen Lamont complaint to Nita Lowery to Judiciary Committee, Sam Gang	House Judiciary Committee by The Honorable John Dingell	Introduced January 2007	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P. Stephen Lamont, former Viewit CEO, files complaint with Nita Lowery regarding his personal interests in the Viewit companies and informs her of crimes against the United States Patent & Trademark Office, other United States agencies and international crimes against foreign nations. • Lowery passes the information to John Dingell, House Energy and Commerce Committee • Dingell forwards complaint to Sam Gang, House Judiciary Committee • Inventor Eliot I. Bernstein petitions Hon. Senator Dianne Feinstein of the Senate Judiciary Committee on behalf of inventor protectors under Article 1, Sec. 8, Clause 8
2 The Honorable Senator Dianne Feinstein	Appeal for Congress to Intervene on behalf of Inventor Bernstein under (j) Article 1, Section 8, Clause 8 Inventor protectors (j) Due Process & Procedure (iv) Civil Right to Life and (v) notify Congress of crimes directly against the United States	The Honorable Senator Dianne Feinstein	2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiting for response from office concerning the best places to take the complaint filed with Congress. • Petition to Feinstein asks for Government oversight as criminals have violated public offices of a multiplicity of government agencies in attempts to defraud inventors' of inventions. • Call for government to be accountable for all investigations that have been found fraught with conflicts. • Call for Congress to enact legislation that suspends patents indefinitely while investigations are ongoing to protect patents from loss in opposite of the Constitution. • Call for Congress to enact protections for inventors and others lives, after car bombing. • Alert of potential Patentgate
3 Federal Bureau of Investigation	Written Statement with evidence and witnesses. Personal interviews with Eliot I. Bernstein & P. Stephen Lamont	Special Agent - Stephen Luchessi - West Palm Beach by Viewit Management and Shareholders	Formal Investigation - Ongoing Since 2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2005 Luchessi confirms contact with Moatz to formally investigate federal crimes against the USPTO and Commerce Department. • 2005 Luchessi states he has taken complaints to US Attorney for Southern District of Florida for formal investigations. • 2005 FBI initially notified in the Long Beach, California offices, that death threats had been made against inventor Bernstein and that Harry Moatz of the patent office had been apprised of possible fraud against the USPTO. Formal complaints of the death threats was filed with the Rancho Palos Verdes local offices.
4 FBI/Boynton Beach Fire Dept & The Florida Fire Marshal	Car Bomb planted in inventor Eliot I. Bernstein's family mini-van	FBI, Special Agent - Stephen Luchessi & Boynton Beach Fire Investigator Rick Lae/The Florida Fire Marshal	Formal Investigation - Ongoing Since 2005 - Images @ www.viewit.ly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status of investigation unknown. • No protections instituted for inventor Bernstein or his family, despite the attempt and threats on their lives. • Bernstein's forced to flee again for their lives from Florida, the first time after Brian G. Urey threatened the life on inventor Bernstein in 2000 if he exposed the crimes initially exposed by Arthur Andersen and others
5 U.S. Attorney - Southern District Florida	Case brought by FBI, Special Agent, Luchessi		Formal Investigation - Ongoing since 2004	Unknown status of investigation
6 United States Patent & Trademark Office	Petition for Change of Inventors based on charges of fraud on the United States	Commissioner of Patents on behalf of Henry I. Moatz by Inventors & Investor Crossbow Ventures/ Small Business Administration	Formal Investigation - Ongoing Since 1999	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigation has led to suspensions of patent applications by the Commissioner pending investigation outcome • Petition for continued suspension by inventors is granted by the Commissioner's office pending investigation into the alleged patent crimes • Investigation may cause loss of inventor rights as current law is not in place for issues where patent bar members have committed fraud against states and investigators take longer than current suspension laws allow for Congress is petitioned via Dianne Feinstein, by inventor Eliot I. Bernstein for changes to legislation to protect inventor rights. • Moatz advises inventors to call upon Congress to intercede where inventors, owners and assigns on intellectual properties have been falsified, to pursue having the intellectual properties corrected and returned to the true and proper inventors • The inventors are unable to make changes or gain information where they are not listed on the patents under current law • Commissioner of Patents apprised of OED formal investigations with FBI • At the direction of Moatz, Stephen Warner of Crossbow Ventures, (two-thirds federal Small Business Administration funds) signs complaints with inventors, with the Commissioner alleging fraud on the United States Patent & Trademark Office and other crimes against the United States and foreign nations.
7 United States Patent & Trademark Office - Office of Enrollment & Discipline	Formal complaints filed with Director, Harry I. Moatz by Eliot I. Bernstein & P. Stephen Lamont	Formal Investigation - Ongoing Since 1998		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formal investigation of law firms and patent attorneys • Prosecuter Rose (Kanneth Rubenstein, Raymond Joan, others) • Foley & Lerner (William J. Dick, Steven Becker & Douglas Bohm) • Blaney, Sordhoff, Taylor & Zeffman (Norman Zeffman, Thomas Coester, others) • Melzer, Lippe Goldstein Wolfe & Schissel (Raymond Joao, others) • Schrimm & Barroway (Andrew Barroway, Krishna Nairin, others) • Per Moatz, he has begun formal investigation with Special Agent Stephen Luchessi of the FBI concerning the federal

crimes committed against the USPTO and United States by the aforementioned law firms and lawyers

- Moatiz designs patent office team to get inventions suspended at USPTO and directs inventors to file fraud upon the USPTO
- Moatiz advises inventors to seek congressional intervention regarding a variety of patent issues
- Patents are found in former management Brian Utley's name, the patents ending up in fraudulent companies
- Patents, 90 patents, are found in former patent counsel Raymond Joseo's name, many of them being written while he was retained counsel for Iviewit and taken from Iviewit

8 United States Supreme Court

Case No. 05-6811 Eliot L. Bernstein v. The Florida Bar - Certiorari of Florida Supreme Court Case SC-1078

• Justices
0 7
0 7
0 7
0 7
0 7
0 7

Denied. Although United States Solicitor General was invited to undertake the United States, no response to court or Bernstein was ever tendered in response prior to the Supreme Court denying hearing the case.

- Court denied hearing of case, precluding Iviewit shareholders from advancing claims against attorney's caught
- Denying the case set a "Caich 22" whereby citizens were precluded rights to have formal docketing of complaints against public officials and with no state or federal forum to file.

9 United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of Florida

Case No. 01-33407-BKC-SHF- Intel (RYLO), Brian Utley, Raymond Herst and Mktchal Reals file Involuntary bankruptcy against Iviewit.com LLC

Case dropped upon Iviewit - Iviewit was notified by investors in 2001 while doing a Private Placement with Wachovia that they were in a law suit retaining counsel to replace with Proskauer Rose and an involuntary bankruptcy with Intel and former management. Counsel that was prior unknown, acting on the companies behalf. Case will be reopened based upon new evidence, once States, the inventor and shareholders. In so designing this article to demand, applicants in false inventors names due process can be assured for the Iviewit inventions was then filed fraudulently in violation of federal code and finally further prosecuted in over thirty countries in violation of international treaties.

10 AICPA

Case No. TNS 2004-038 - Written Statement with evidence and witnesses that Gerald Lewin had violated ethical codes of conduct

Elizabeth Botz, CPA originally started investigation. New investigator replaced her and dismissed the case due to too busy?

Defamed to Florida Department of Professional Regulation after two years. Regulator investigation underway and then new investigator stated the department did not have the resources to investigate further.

- The AICPA was apprised that crimes had been committed against the federal Small Business Administration and other United States departments and started an investigation.
- A new investigator took over the case and stated the AICPA was to busy to further investigate and to contact Florida State authorities?
- Despite overwhelming evidence that the accountant, Gerald Lewin and his daughter Erika were part of misleading Arthur Andersen auditors and were involved in crimes against the United States and were under investigation, the claim was that they had no resources to investigate further.

11 Boca Raton, Florida Police Complaint 1

Case No. 2001-054580 Embazzlement/Theft of Proprietary Equipment

J Ulloa by William Kasser

6/20/2007 Brian G. Utley & Michael Reals found in possession of stolen proprietary equipment and forced to return stolen property by Boca PD.

12 Boca Raton, Florida Police Complaint 1

Case # Stolen SEA and Corporate Funds over \$1,000,000 including SEA funds

Detective Robert Flechaus - Removed from case for internal affairs review

Upon requests to re-open the case due to further evidence submissions entailing more criminal activities, including fraud on the United States, Detective Robert Flechaus stated he began new investigations with the SEC. The SEC denied even being involved, information forwarded to FBI.

13 Boca Raton, Florida Police Complaint 2

Case # - Stolen Patents and Crimes Against the USPTO & SBA

Detective Robert Flechaus - Removed from case for internal affairs review.

Case is under investigation and internal review by Chief Andrew Scott of the Boca Raton PD

14 Boca Raton Police Internal Affairs Investigation

Case # Unknown

Chief Andrew Scott

Case is under investigation and internal review by Chief Andrew Scott of the Boca Raton PD

15 New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department - Disciplinary

Petition for Investigation of Steven Krane, Kenneth Rubenstein and Raymond Joseo for conflict of interest, appearance of impropriety and crimes against the United States

First Dept. Justices: Angela M. Mazzaroli, Richard T. Arditas, David B. Saxe, David Friedman & Lewis A. Gonzalez

Order for Formal Investigation & Disposition of Order. Conflicts and Appearance of Impropriety - Unpublished Orders M3188 - Krane / M2820 Rubenstein and M3212

16 New York Supreme

Complaint No. 2004.1893

Thomas Cahill, removed

Supreme Court of New York - Cases transferred for formal investigation, after review and deliberation of conflicts and appearance of impropriety by

Court Appellate Division First Department - Disciplinary

Steven C. Krane, Esq. - from case for conflict & appearance of impropriety, under Partner - Former President NYSBA & Member First Dept

- Appellate Division First Department - Justices Order investigation for Conflicts and the Appearance of Impropriety. Unanimous Vote

five Justices of the New York First Department
 • Case originally dismissed upon review without investigation due to conflicts found in Steven Krane handling of Complaint in violation of public office almost two years after it had begun.
 • Thomas Cahill, Chief Counsel, First Department; now under special inquiry investigation for his part in aiding and abetting Krane, Rubenstein & Jaso
 • Cahill upon request of Moatz of the USPTO-OED to contact him would not contact Moatz to enjoy investigations and prior to the federal OED investigation being completed tried to dismiss the cases without any formal investigation. At that time it was unknown that Krane was a leading disciplinary committee member with multiple roles at the First Dept. while handling complaints against his partners and then himself
 • Krane writes letter response to his complaint denying roles at the First Dept. Wevitt then contacted First Dept Clerk of the Court Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe to verify Krane's statement and she stated Krane was a member and that she personally sat on Committee's with him. Directs Wevitt to file a petition with First Dept Justices who rule unanimously for investigation

17 New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Second Department - Disciplinary

Case No. T-1689-04
 Steven C. Krane, Esq. -
 Proskauer Rose LLP
 Intellectual Property Partner - Former President NYSBA & Member First Dept

Dianne Kearns, Chief Counsel - CONFLICTS ADMITTED WITH ACCUSED STEVEN KRANE

Failed to complete First Dept. court ordered investigation. Waiting for conflict free forum to press for full investigation as ordered.

• Further conflicts and violations of public offices were found and the Court Ordered Investigations by the First Department were never formally completed
 • Chief Counsel, Dianne Kearns, Second Dept DDC, writes Wevitt that cases were dismissed without investigation. No witnesses provided were called; no evidence tested and she claims she is not under the jurisdiction of the First Dept and therefore does not have to investigate under the court order
 • Kearns fails to respond to the First Dept with her decisions and instead attempts to dismiss the case through contacting Wevitt who did not order the investigation
 • Kearns admits conflicts with both Krane and Chief Judge of New York, Judith Kaye.
 • Kearns fails to disclose conflicts prior to handling the complaints
 • Kearns refuses to docket formally complaints against herself and Lawrence DiGiovanni, Chairman of the Second Dept DDC
 • Clerk of the Court, Patzer (with no authority under the Disciplinary Dept., attempts to write letter stating that Kearns was wrong and that they did do an investigation but dismissed at the review stage
 • No witnesses provided were contacted, no evidence tested and Krane, Rubenstein and Jaso, despite court orders for investigation, did not even have to put forth a response, as the Second Dept, as if it were their law firm shielded them from any responses
 • Due to the fact that Krane and Chief Justice Judith Kaye are the two most influential members of the Courts and Disciplinary in New York conflicts arise in the fact they are both Proskauer affiliated.
 • Krane is a Proskauer partner of the Intellectual Property group under investigation and Kaye was married to Stephen Kaye a Proskauer Intellectual Property Partner both are Wevitt shareholders, making matters more conflicted
 • After discovering that conflicts in New York where inherent at any disciplinary body in New York due to Krane and Kaye having control of the courts and disciplinary, Wevitt awaits the court ordered investigations to be completed by a non-conflicted third party investigatory body, free of conflict and will be soon be seeking such relief from the Supreme Court in regard to the failed investigations

18 New York Supreme Court Appellate Division First Department - Disciplinary

Case No. 2003_0531
 Kenneth Rubenstein
 Division First
 Department -
 Disciplinary -
 Departmental
 Disciplinary

Rosa LLP
 Rubenstein & Proskauer

Thomas Cahill, removed from case for conflict & appearance of impropriety, under special inquiry investigation

Supreme Court of New York See Notes for Krane First Dept investigation

- Appellate Division First Department - Justices Order investigation for Conflicts and the Appearance of Impropriety. Unanimous Vote

19 New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Second Department - Disciplinary

Case No. T-1688-04 -
 Kenneth Rubenstein & Proskauer Rose LLP

New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Second Department - Disciplinary.

Failed to complete First Dept. court ordered investigation. Waiting for conflict free forum to press for full investigation as ordered.

See Notes for Krane Second Dept investigation

20 New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Second Department - Disciplinary

Case No. Unknown
 Number - Raymond Jaso, Proskauer & MilGWS

New York Supreme Court Appellate Division Second Department - Disciplinary.

Initially filed with Second Dept but case mysteriously transfers to First Dept with Rubenstein. Then the case is retransferred again to Second Dept with Rubenstein and Krane after discovery of conflicts and violations of New York Supreme Court - First Dept - Disciplinary Dept.

21 New York Supreme Court of New York Case No. 2003-0352 - New York Supreme Court of New York *Transferred back to Second Department for conflict and appearance of impropriety. See Krane First Dept notes

Court Appellate
Division First
Department -
Disciplinary. Thomas
Cahill, removed from
case for conflict &
appearance of
impropriety, under
special inquiry
Investigation

Raymond Joao, Proskauer
& M.G.W.S

Court Appellate Division
First Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary. Thomas
Cahill, removed from
case for conflict &
appearance of
impropriety, under
special inquiry
Investigation

- Appellate Division First
Department - Justices Order
Investigation for Conflicts
and the Appearance of
Impropriety. Unanimous
Vote

22 New York Supreme
Court Appellate
Division Second
Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary

Case No. T-1690-04 -
Raymond Joao, Proskauer
& M.G.W.S

New York Supreme
Court Appellate Division
Second Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary.

Failed to complete First
Dept. court ordered
investigation. Waiting for
conflict free forum to press
for full investigation as
ordered.

See Notes for Krane Second Dept. investigation

23 New York Supreme
Court Appellate
Division First
Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary. Thomas
Cahill, removed from
case for conflict &
appearance of
impropriety, under
special inquiry
Investigation

Case No. 2004-1122 -
Thomas Cahill, Chief
Counsel First Dept.

Ongoing - Transferred to
special investigator
Merlin God from First
Dept. for conflict

Ongoing Formal
Investigation

Ongoing. Cahill charged with aiding and abetting Krane, Rubenstein & Joao and attempting to cover up conflicts and
violations of public office with Krane.

24 New York Supreme
Court Appellate
Division Second
Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary

Complaint Refused
Docketing - D. Kearse,
Chief Counsel

New York Supreme
Court Appellate Division
Second Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary.

Waiting to have complaint
filed and docketed according
to law in a non-conflicted
third party venue

*Kearse refused docketing a formal written complaint against herself filed with her at her request for failure to follow a
filed and docketed according to law in a non-conflicted
despite admitted conflicts and a complaint filed against her

25 New York Supreme
Court Appellate
Division Second
Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary

Complaint Refused
Docketing - Chairman,
Lawrence Digiovanna

New York Supreme
Court Appellate Division
Second Department -
Departmental
Disciplinary.

Waiting to have complaint
filed and docketed according
to law in a non-conflicted
third party venue

*Kearse refused docketing a formal written complaint against Digiovanna sent to her at her request for failure to obey
affirmal violations of public office. Inoposite of the Florida and United States constitutions

26 Florida Supreme
Court

Case No. SC04-1078 Etot.
Barnstein v. The Florida
Bar - Petition to investigate
Florida Bar complainants due
to conflicts of interest and
public office violations of
Supreme Court Florida Bar
Officers

Justices
o Wells
o Ansted
o Lewis
o Quince
o Ball
o JJ

Denied

Florida Bar and Florida Supreme Court refuse formal and procedural docketing of complaints against officers with
affirmal violations of public office. Inoposite of the Florida and United States constitutions

27 Florida Supreme
Court - The Florida
Bar

Case No. 2003-51 109 1560
- Christopher C. Wheeler

Florida Supreme Court -
The Florida Bar

Conflicts and Appearance of
Impropriety Discovers. Case
elevated to the Florida
Supreme Court and then the
United States Supreme
Court - Wheeler gets
arrested for felony DUI w/
injury

Dismissed upon review without investigation and then re-opened and moved to the Florida Supreme Court upon
discovery of conflicts of interest and appearance of impropriety in Matthew Triggs violation of public office in handling
Case elevated to the Florida Wheeler complaint while in a blackout period precluding handling any matters for the Florida Bar. Without disclosure
Supreme Court and then the Triggs handled complaints for Proskauer partner Wheeler while in such blackout period.

28 Florida Supreme
Court

Christopher C. Wheeler #2 Florida Supreme Court -

*Fisher and FSC refuse docket this formal written complaint where the charges were separate from Wheeler's first

Court - The Florida Bar
 - Complaint Refused
 Formal Docketing and
 Disposition, after conflicts
 and public office violations
 were discovered in Wheeler
 #1?

29 Florida Supreme Court - The Florida Bar
 Complaint Refused
 Docketed by Bar despite
 confirmed conflicts -
 Matthew Tiggs

30 Fifteenth Judicial District, Florida - Judge Jorge Labarga
 Proskauer v. Iviewit, ChII
 Case No. CA 01-14671 AB
 (At time of Iviewit
 discovering this law suit
 that management and
 shareholders were unaware
 of, it was not known that
 these were fraudulent
 companies set up by
 Proskauer to steal
 intellectual property.

31 Judicial Qualifications Commission
 Case Docket No. 03352
 Judicial Qualifications
 Commission and where
 the entire case will be
 appealed upon
 assurance of due
 process in a venue
 conflict free.
 Astonishing new
 evidence shows the law
 suits were filed in fraud
 by Proskauer

32 Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation
 Case Nos. 2004-053428 & 2004-053434 & 2004-053989
 Angela Potter

33 Inspector General - Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation
 Inspector General - Carl
 Cook & Ron Russo

34 Pennsylvania Bar
 No docket # - Krishna
 Nearhe

35 Pennsylvania Bar
 No docket # Andrew
 Barroway

36 Virginia State Bar
 Case Docket No. 04-498-1004 - William J. Dick & the
 Law firm Foley & Lardner

complaint and for additional conflicts, conflicts again confirmed by Fisher in writing

*Flabar and FSC refuse docketing formal written complaint even though they confirm conflicts with Pettitioner and violation of his public office position with Flabar. Elevated to the Florida Supreme Court which denied hearing the case. That decision elevated to United States Supreme Court which also denied hearing the case, leaving the Iviewit shareholders with no Court to hear complaints against public officers violating their public offices.

Default Judgement against Iviewit for failure to retain replacement counsel

- Dismissed upon review with no formal investigation
- Labarga refuses to allow a counter complaint filed by competent counsel for Iviewit showing that attorneys in the billing case have committed crimes against the United States Patent & Trademark Office
- Labarga dismisses Iviewit law firms after cancelling a trial date with no notice to Iviewit or either of two law firms handling the case for Iviewit.
- Labarga immediately rules against Iviewit for failure to retain replacement counsel after dismissing two law firms only days before.
- Proskauer v. Iviewit will be appealed when due process and procedure can be insured based on new evidence.
- It was unknown at the initial lawsuit, that the companies involved in the lawsuit, although similarly named to Iviewit, were set up fraudulently by former counsel to harbor stolen intellectual properties that were almost identical to the Iviewit intellectual properties
- It appears the combination of the bogus involuntary bankruptcy and the bogus lawsuit, were designed to take the stolen patents by instituting a lawsuit against these phony companies, whereby Proskauer would be the largest creditor in the bogus lawsuit concocted by Proskauer reformed management and trial who would also be the owners

Under review by Inspector General Office

Dismissed without investigation
 Dismissed without investigation

Dismissed without investigation - Where Virginia Bar refuses to advance the complaints in accordance with well established rules or return phone calls regarding the matter. Even after being notified of the conflicts in Florida and New York and perjured statement made Dick to that tribunal and the United States Patent Office in the response. In the Iviewit rebuttal to the response, evidence of the perjuries were presented. Also based on an intellectual property docket submitted by Dick on behalf of Foley & Lardner to that tribunal, upon review of the IP docket, Mostelz of the USPTO-OED noted that certain information regarding the owners of those patents was false. This led to suspension of certain of the Iviewit intellectual properties at the USPTO.

37 Institute of Professional Representatives Before the European Patent Office	Ongoing Formal Investigation	Complaints on file with the Institute of Professional Representatives Before the European Patent Office. Requests for investigation of Chris Mercer - President although investigation has been formally begun by that office
38 European Patent Office	Ongoing	Complaints on file with the European Patent Office & Against Patent Attorney's Licensed with that Institution. Complaints on file against Moynereux and all counsel law firms involved in filing the fraudulent applications in Europe. Requests for oversight at EPO.
39 Japanese Patent Office	Ongoing	Complaints on file against

Marlyn Moynereux & the law firm of